## Omani Mehri

## A New Grammar with Texts

Aaron D. Rubin









Omani Mehri

# Studies in <br> Semitic Languages and Linguistics 

Editorial Board<br>Aaron D. Rubin and Ahmad Al-Jallad

VOLUME 93

The titles published in this series are listed at brill.com/ssl


Ali Musallam al-Mahri, circa 1976
PHOTO COURTESY OF FAISAL AL-MAHRI

# Omani Mehri 

A New Grammar with Texts

## By

Aaron D. Rubin



## B R I L L

This is an open access title distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license, which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided no alterations are made and the original author(s) and source are credited. Further information and the complete license text can be found at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

The terms of the cc license apply only to the original material. The use of material from other sources (indicated by a reference) such as diagrams, illustrations, photos and text samples may require further permission from the respective copyright holder.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Rubin, Aaron D., 1976- author.
Title: Omani Mehri : a new grammar with texts / by Aaron D. Rubin.
Description: Leiden ; Boston : Brill, 2018. | Series: Studies in Semitic languages and linguistics ; volume 93 | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2017059465 (print) | LCCN 2018003264 (ebook) |
ISBN 9789004362475 (e-book) | ISBN 9789004357358 (hardback)
Subjects: LCSH: Mahri language-Grammar. | Mahri language-Texts. |
Mahri language-Oman.
Classification: LCC PJ7112 (ebook)|LCC PJ7112 .R85 2018 (print) |
DDC 492/.9-dc23
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2017059465

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISSN 0081-8461
ISBN 978-90-04-35735-8 (hardback)
ISBN 978-90-04-36247-5 (e-book)

Copyright 2018 by Aaron D. Rubin. Published by Koninklijke Brill nv, Leiden, The Netherlands. Koninklijke Brill nv incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Hes \& De Graaf, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Rodopi, Brill Sense and Hotei Publishing.

Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect this publication against unauthorized use.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

> For my colleagues
> Antoine Lonnet and Sabrina Bendjaballah.

> In sincere gratitude for your friendship, inspiration, and collaboration.

## Contents

List of Texts

XVII

Preface $x x$
Acknowledgements XXI
Abbreviations and Symbols XXIII
Text Citation XXV
A Note on Transcription and Translation XXVI
PART 1
Grammar
1 Introduction ..... 3
1.1 Previous Scholarship on Mehri and MSA ..... 3
1.2 Dialects of Mehri ..... 9
1.3 The Position of Mehri within MSA ..... 11
1.4 The Position of MSA in Semitic ..... 12
1.5 Johnstone's Mehri Texts ..... 15
1.6 Johnstone's Audio Material ..... 17
1.7 This Grammar ..... 18
2 Phonology ..... 21
2.1 Mehri Consonants ..... 21
2.1.1 Glottalic Consonants ..... 22
2.1.2 "Idle Glottis" Consonants ..... 24
2.1.3 The Consonants ' and ' ..... 25
2.1.4 The Non-occurrence and Assimilation of h- ..... 27
2.1.5 The Loss of 1 ..... 28
2.1.6 The Loss of t ..... 31
2.1.7 Assimilation of ð ..... 32
2.1.8 Gemination ..... 33
2.1.9 The Shift of $\mathrm{b}>\mathrm{m}$ ..... 35
2.1.10 Word-final-nC ..... 36
2.2 Mehri Vowels ..... 36
2.2.1 The Effects of Glottalic Consonants on Vowels ..... 40
2.2.2 The Effects of Guttural Consonants on Vowels ..... 43
2.2.3 The Effects of Liquids, w, and n on Vowels ..... 46
2.2.4 Word-final Vowels, -h, and -' ..... 47
2.2.5 The Loss of ə ..... 49
2.3 Word Stress ..... 50
3 Pronouns ..... 51
3.1 Independent Personal Pronouns ..... 51
3.2 Suffixed Pronouns ..... 54
3.2.1 Suffixes on Singular Nouns ..... 55
3.2.2 Suffixes on Plural Nouns ..... 58
3.2.3 Suffixes on Verbs ..... 59
3.3 Direct Object Pronouns ( $t$-) ..... 64
3.4 Demonstratives ..... 66
3.5 Indefinite Pronouns ..... 68
3.5.1 $\quad$ əḥād and țāṭ 'someone' ..... 68
3.5.2 sí 'something, any (thing)' and śī lā 'nothing, not any(thing)' ..... 69
3.5.3 kāl əḥād and kāl ṭāt 'everyone; each one' ..... 70
3.5.4 kāl sī̄yən 'everything' ..... 72
3.5.5 fəlān(ə), əl-fəlāni, ḥadd əl-fəlāni ..... 73
3.5.6 ḥəyálla ṭāt ' 'whoever' ..... 73
3.5.7 Other Indefinite Pronouns ..... 74
3.6 Reflexives ..... 74
3.7 Reciprocals ..... 77
3.8 Relative Pronouns ..... 78
3.8.1 Relative ð- ..... 78
3.8.2 kāl ð- 'whoever' ..... 82
3.8.3 alhān ..... 83
3.8.4 Relative mən hāl ..... 84
4 Nouns ..... 86
4.1 Gender ..... 86
4.2 Duals ..... 88
4.3 Plurals ..... 90
4.3.1 Masculine External Plurals ..... 91
4.3.2 Feminine External Plurals ..... 92
4.3.3 Internal Plurals ..... 93
4.4 Definite Article ..... 96
4.5 Diminutives ..... 100
4.6 Construct State ..... 103
5 Adjectives ..... 105
5.1 Agreement ..... 105
5.2 Declension ..... 106
5.3 Substantivization ..... 110
5.4 Comparatives ..... 111
5.5 Quantifiers ..... 114
5.5.1 'áynət 'a little' ..... 114
5.5.2 bāṣ́ 'some' ..... 114
5.5.3 kāl 'each, every; all' ..... 114
5.5.4 l-ādēd ð- 'each, every' ..... 117
5.5.5 mēkən 'a lot, many' ..... 117
6 Verbs: Stems ..... 118
6.1 G-Stem ..... 118
6.1.1 Ga-Stem ..... 118
6.1.2 Ga Internal Passive ..... 120
6.1.3 Gb-Stem ..... 122
6.1.4 Gavs. Gb Meaning ..... 123
6.2 D/L-Stem ..... 124
6.2.1 $D / L$-Stem Variants ..... 126
6.2.2 $\quad$ Origin of the D/L-Stem Prefix ..... 127
6.2.3 $\quad D / L$-Stem Meaning ..... 129
6.3 H-Stem ..... 131
6.3.1 H-Stem Meaning ..... 134
6.3.2 H Internal Passive ..... 138
6.4 Š-Stems ..... 139
6.4.1 Šı-Stem Form ..... 140
6.4.2 $\quad \check{S}_{1}$-Stem Meaning ..... 141
6.4.3 Š2-Stem Form ..... 145
6.4.4 Š2-Stem Meaning ..... 146
6.5 T-Stems ..... 147
6.5.1 $\quad$ Tr-Stem Form ..... 147
6.5.2 $\quad T_{1}$-Stem Meaning ..... 149
6.5.3 T2-Stem Form ..... 151
6.5.4 T2-Stem Meaning ..... 153
6.6 Quadriliterals ..... 157
6.6.1 Basic Quadriliterals (Q-Stems) ..... 157
6.6.2 $\quad N$-Stem Quadriliterals (NQ-Stems) ..... 159
6.6.3 Š-Stem Quadriliterals (ŠQ-Stems) ..... 160
6.7 Quinqueliterals (Qw- and Qy-Stems) ..... 160

## 7 Verbs: Tenses and Forms 162

7.1 Verbal Tenses and Moods ..... 162
7.1.1 Perfect ..... 162
7.1.2 Imperfect ..... 164
7.1.3 Subjunctive ..... 169
7.1.4 Conditional ..... 175
7.1.5 Imperative ..... 176
7.1.6 Future ..... 178
7.1.7 Internal Passives ..... 181
7.1.8 Passive Participles ..... 182
7.1.9 Compound Tenses ..... 184
7.1.9.1 Compound Tenses with wīka ..... 184
7.1.9.2 Compound Tenses with yakūn ..... 186
7.1.10 The Verbal Prefix д- ..... 187
7.1.10.1 $\partial$ - + Imperfect ..... 187
7.1.10.2 $\partial$ - + Perfect ..... 190
7.1.10.3 ð- + Subjunctive ..... 192
7.2 Weak Verbs ..... 193
7.2.1 I-Guttural and I-Glottalic Verbs ..... 194
7.2.2 I-' and I-' Verbs ..... 196
7.2.3 $\quad I-w$ and I-y Verbs ..... 200
7.2.4 I-l, II-l, and III-l Verbs ..... 204
7.2.5 II-Guttural Verbs ..... 204
7.2.6 II-' and II-' Verbs ..... 207
7.2.7 II-w and II-y Verbs ..... 210
7.2.8 II-Glottalic and III-Glottalic Verbs ..... 215
7.2.9 III-Guttural Verbs (including III-' and III-‘ Verbs) ..... 218
7.2.10 III-w and III-y Verbs ..... 226
7.2.11 Geminate Verbs ..... 235
7.2.12 "Idle Glottis" Verbs ..... 239
7.2.13 Doubly and Triply Weak Verbs ..... 243
7.2.14 Anomalous Verbs ..... 245
7.3 The Irregular Verb ḥōm 'want' ..... 248
7.3.1 Cohortative hōm ..... 250
7.3.2 Motion Verb ḥōm ..... 251
7.3.3 Proximative and Avertative hāom ..... 253
7.3.4 Future ḥōm ..... 253
8 Prepositions ..... 254
8.1 ar 'except, but' ..... 255
8.2 b- 'in, at; with; for; on' ..... 256
8.3 bād 'after' ..... 261
8.4 bark 'in(to), inside; among' ..... 261
8.5 đ̣ār ‘on; about', mən đ̣ār ‘after' ..... 263
8.6 fanōhan 'before; in front of; ago' ..... 265
$8.7 \dot{g} a y r$ 'except', man gayr 'without' ..... 265
8.8 h- 'to; for' ..... 266
8.9 hāl 'at, by, beside' ..... 269
8.10 (al-)hīs ‘like, as’ ..... 270
$8.11 k$ - ( $\check{s}$ - $)^{\text {' }}$ with' ..... 271
8.12 l- 'to; for' ..... 273
8.13 man 'from' ..... 277
8.14 mən kadē 'about, regarding' ..... 280
8.15 әт-mán ‘between’ ..... 280
8.16 naxāli ‘under' ..... 282
8.17 sabēb 'because of' ..... 283
8.18 sār 'behind' ..... 283
8.19 tع 'until, up to' ..... 284
8.20 tawōli 'to, towards' ..... 285
$8.21 x \bar{a}$ like, as ... as' ..... 286
8.22 Additional Prepositions ..... 287
8.23 The Suffixed Forms of Prepositions ..... 288
9 Numerals ..... 295
9.1 Cardinals ..... 295
9.1.1 Numerals 1 -10 ..... 295
9.1.2 Numerals 11-19 ..... 298
9.1.3 Tens ..... 298
9.1.4 Hundreds ..... 299
9.1.5 Thousands and Above ..... 299
9.2 Special Forms Used With 'Days' ..... 300
9.3 Ordinals ..... 301
9.4 Fractions ..... 304
9.5 Days of the Week ..... 304
10 Adverbs ..... 306
10.1 Demonstrative Adverbs ..... 306
10.2 Adverbs of Place ..... 307
10.3 Adverbs of Time ..... 308
10.4 Adverbs of Manner ..... 309
10.5 Adverbs of Degree ..... 310
11 Interrogatives ..... 312
11.1 mōn ‘who?' ..... 312
11.2 hēśzn 'what? why?' ..... 313
11.3 hēśan man 'which? what kind of?' ..... 314
11.4 ḥõ ‘where?' ..... 315
11.5 wa-kōh (kō) 'why?' ..... 316
11.6 hïbōh 'how? what?' ..... 317
11.7 mayt 'when?' ..... 319
11.8 kam 'how many? how much?' ..... 319
11.9 al hẽ lā 'isn't that so?' ..... 320
12 Particles ..... 321
12.1 Coordinating Conjunctions ..... 321
12.1.1 $\quad$ w- 'and' ..... 321
12.1.2 wəlākan 'but' ..... 322
12.1.3 aw 'or' ..... 323
12.1.4 wal 'or; or else' ..... 324
12.1.5 yā ... yā 'either ... or' ..... 325
12.1.6 әð ... әð 'either ... or' ..... 325
12.2 Exclamations ..... 325
12.2.1 'Yes' and ' No ' ..... 326
12.3 Vocatives ..... 327
12.4 Genitive Exponent $\partial$ - ('of') ..... 328
12.5 Miscellaneous Particles ..... 329
12.5.1 ād ..... 329
12.5.2 adámm- ..... 332
12.5.3 ámma ..... 332
12.5.4 ar ..... 333
12.5.5 ‘ámlək ..... 335
12.5.6 әbōb(nع) ..... 336
12.5.7 ber ..... 336
12.5.8 dáwn- ..... 339
12.5 .9 ðє ..... 340
12.5.10 ðək ..... 340
12.5.11 g̀ədéwwən ..... 341
12.5.12 ḥāk ..... 342
12.5.13 lawb ..... 342
12.5.14 lēzəm ..... 342
12.5.15 mākənnáy ..... 343
12.5.16 mōh ..... 344
12.5.17 (ə)ndōh ..... 345
12.5.18 śaf(f) ..... 345
12.5.19 táww- ..... 346
12.5.20 wōgəb ..... 347
12.5.21 wəl $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ..... 348
12.5.22 xāf ..... 348
12.5.23 yámkən ..... 349
13 Some Syntactic Features ..... $35^{\circ}$
13.1 Copular (Non-Verbal) Sentences ..... 350
13.1.1 The Verb wīka ..... 351
13.1.2 The Verb yəkūn ..... 354
13.2 Negation ..... 355
13.2.1 əl... lā ..... 355
13.2.2 al ..... 357
13.2.3 lā ..... 359
13.2.4 l-ād ..... 359
13.2.5 m-ād ..... 362
13.2.6 məḳā ..... 363
13.2.7 zōyəd ..... 364
13.2.8 ábdan ..... 365
13.3 Expressing 'have’ ..... 366
13.3.1 The Preposition k- ..... 366
13.3.1.1 Familial Possession ..... 367
13.3.1.2 Physical and Environmental Conditions ..... 368
13.3.2 Other Prepositions ..... 369
13.4 Conditionals ..... 370
13.4.1 hām ..... 370
13.4.2 әð ..... 373
13.4.3 lū ..... 375
13.4.4 t $\varepsilon$ wə-lū ..... 376
13.5 Subordination ..... 376
13.5.1 Complement Clauses ..... 376
13.5.1.1 Complementizer $\partial$ - ..... 378
13.5.1.2 Complementizer $ð$ - vs. Circumstantial orRelative $ð$ -382
13.5.2 Purpose Clauses ..... 384
13.5.3 Temporal Clauses ..... 385
13.5.3.1 mot ..... 385
13.5.3.2 $t \varepsilon$ ..... 388
13.5.3.3 hīs ..... 391
13.5.3.4 man dēm ..... 395
13.6 Interrogative Clauses ..... 395
PART 2
Texts
14 Johnstone's Texts from Ali Musallam ..... 399
Appendix A: Texts 54 and 65 with Morpheme Glossing ..... 801
Appendix B: Texts 54 and 65 in Arabic Script ..... 815
Appendix C: Supplement to Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon ..... 822
Appendix D: Additions and Corrections to The Jibbali (Shaḥri)
Language of Oman: Grammar and Texts ..... 835
Bibliography ..... 838
Index of Passages ..... 854
Index of Select Mehri Words ..... 871

## List of Texts

Text $1 \quad$ Ba Newas and the Bean ..... 408
Text 2 Two Witches ..... 410
Text 3 The Jewelry Tree ..... 412
Text 4 Ba Newas and the Merchants ..... 416
Text 5 The Slave and His Mistress ..... 420
Text 6 The Jinn Cat ..... 422
Text 7 Magic ..... 424
Text 8 Circumcision ..... 426
Text 9 Love and Marriage ..... 428
Text 10 A Camel-Herder and His Wife (1969) ..... 430
Text 11 A Community ..... 432
Text 12 A Harsusi Raider ..... 434
Text 13 A Camel-Herder ..... 438
Text 14 A Journey to Marry ..... 440
Text 14A Muḥammad Loses a Kid ..... 442
Text 15 The Jinn Cat and the Witch Mother ..... 444
Text 16 A Lunar Eclipse ..... 446
Text 16A A Brief Quarrel ..... 448
Text 17 An Injured Brother ..... 450
Text 18 A Journey to London (October, 1969) ..... 454
Text 19 Ba Newas and the Judge ..... 456
Text 20 Ba Newas and the Sandals ..... 458
Text 22 The Merchant's Handsome Son ..... 468
Text 23 A Lost Camel ..... 480
Text 24 The Unfaithful Sister ..... 484
Text 25 Medicine Men ..... 492
Text 26 A Conversation ..... 496
Text 27 Two Conversations ..... 500
Text 28 A Conversation ..... 504
Text 29 A Conversation ..... 508
Text 30 The Sharpshooter ..... 512
Text 31 An Uncle's Visit ..... 516
Text 32 A Man Who Died of Thirst, and His Orphaned Daughter ..... 518
Text 33 A Boy Who Didn't Like to Work ..... 522
Text 34 A Journey to Dubai (Autobiographical) ..... 524
Text 35 Boys Who Were Refused Hospitality ..... 528
Text 36 Ba Newas and the Poor Boy ..... 532
Text 37 A Man and His Jinn Wife ..... 536
Text 38 Love and Marriage (Autobiographical) ..... 544
Text 39 Pawning a Rifle ..... 548
Text 40 Kidnapped by Witches ..... $55^{2}$
Text 41 A Demon Called śērək ..... 556
Text 42 Bu Zid al-Hilali ..... 558
Text 43 Looking for Rooms ..... 566
Text 44 Visiting Uncles ..... 568
Text 45 Getting the News ..... 570
Text 46 The Rewards of Virtue ..... 572
Text 47 Mistaken for a Thief ..... 576
Text 48 The Ruler's Daughter ..... 578
Text 49 The Angry Old Woman ..... 586
Text 50 The Seven Brothers ..... 590
Text 52 The Reluctant Reciter ..... 592
Text 53 A Visit to St. Paul's ..... 594
Text 54 A Grave Encounter ..... 596
Text 55 A Lecherous Man ..... 600
Text 56 A Conversation ..... 604
Text 56A A Betrothal and Marriage ..... 606
Text 57 A Conversation ..... 610
Text 58 About Animals ..... 612
Text 59 A Miscommunication ..... 614
Text 6o A Shipwreck ..... 616
Text 61 An Argument over Water ..... 618
Text 62 A Visit with Friends in London ..... 620
Text 63 A Visit with Some Jinn ..... 622
Text 64 Kadet ..... 626
Text 65 Ba Newas and the Old Lady ..... 632
Text 66 The Just Ruler ..... 636
Text 67 The Kind Ruler ..... 640
Text 68 The Deceitful One and the Honest One ..... 644
Text 69 The S Say'ar Raid ..... 648
Text 70 An Encounter Between Camel-Herders and Goat-Herders ..... 650
Text 71 A Dialectal Misunderstanding ..... 654
Text 71A A Children's Game ..... 656
Text 72 Collecting Money ..... 658
Text 73 Four Hungry Men and a Date ..... 66o
Text 74 A Desert Island Kingdom ..... 664
Text 75 Aziz ..... 670
Text 76 A Brave Man and His Nephews ..... 678
Text 77 Collecting Honey ..... 684
Text 8o A Misunderstanding ..... 688
Text 81 Hyenas ..... 690
Text 82 A Man on a Hyena ..... 692
Text 83 A Brave Boy ..... 694
Text 84 Singing for their Supper ..... 698
Text 85 Husn Mabda ..... 702
Text 86 Conditionals ..... 710
Text 88 A Treasure Trove ..... 712
Text 89 A Naughty Boy and Sweet Potatoes ..... 716
Text 90 A Mother's Advice ..... 720
Text 91 A Journey to Dubai ..... 722
Text 92 A Saint's Tomb ..... 730
Text 93 Healing a Sick Man ..... $73^{2}$
Text 94 With a Wife and a Mother-in-Law in Enemy Territory ..... 734
Text 95 A Man and His Shadow ..... 746
Text 96 A Conversation ..... 750
Text 97 A Cinderella Tale ..... 752
Text 98 The Man Who Gave Away His Supplies ..... 762
Text 99 Fox and Friends ..... 766
Text 100 Marriage ..... 778
Text 101 A Doctor's Advice ..... 780
Text 102 A Conversation ..... 782
Text 103 A Version of Gulliver's Travels ..... 786
Text 104 Harsusi Tribal History ..... 788
Text 105 At the Airport ..... 796
Text 106 Seeing Ghosts ..... 798

## Preface

When I started working on Mehri, in late 2006, there was almost no one else working in the field of Modern South Arabian languages. My only contact was with Antoine Lonnet, who first got me interested in the subject. But since then, and especially in the last five years, there has been what seems like an explosion of new publications on Mehri and the other MSA languages. First came the edition of Alexander Sima's Mehri texts from Yemen (2009), then my grammar of Mehri (2010), the Mehri grammar of Janet Watson (2012), the Hobyot dictionary by Nakano (2013), my Jibbali grammar and text collection (2014), and the edition of Soqotri texts by Naumkin et al. (2015). In the last five or so years, three teams of outstanding scholars-from France, Russia, and the UK—have conducted fieldwork in Yemen and Oman. From these teams have come, in addition to some of the abovementioned books, numerous groundbreaking articles, and masses of data yet to be published.

My 2010 grammar was based almost exclusively on three related sources: the texts collected by T.M. Johnstone as published by Harry Stroomer (1999), the audio of these texts recorded by Johnstone, and Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon. I knew when I published that grammar that the texts and the lexicon were imperfect sources, but I felt (and still feel) that the 2010 grammar was a good beginning. And I am elated that it has been useful to other scholars in the field. But after its publication, when I obtained copies of the original manuscripts of Johnstone's texts, I realized just how many problems there actually were with the existing published texts. Add to this the truly excellent advances in Mehri phonology and morphology made by other scholars in recent years, and I realized that there was a definite need for both a re-edition of Johnstone's texts and a thorough revision of my grammar.

For this new volume, I have completely re-edited the texts collected by T.M. Johnstone, relying primarily on the Arabic-letter manuscripts written by native speaker Ali Musallam, and on the audio recorded by Ali Musallam. Harry Stroomer did a wonderful service by publishing Johnstone's transcriptions of these texts, but those transcriptions were only preliminary. Only now that we have a far better understanding of the phonology and morphology of Mehri are we able to fully understand the texts and transcribe them correctly. And with these corrected texts come many new interpretations of grammatical features. The present edition of the texts has benefitted greatly from those recent advances made by my colleagues in the field, in particular those of Sabrina Bendjaballah, Julien Dufour, Philippe Ségéral, and Janet Watson.
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## Abbreviations and Symbols

| 1 | first person |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | second person |
| 3 | third person |
| Ar. | Arabic |
| C | consonant; causative stem |
| cf. | compare |
| com. | common (gender) |
| cp | common plural |
| cs | common singular |
| cstr. | construct |
| D | D-Stem (Hebrew piel, Arabic fa"ala) |
| D/L | D/L-Stem (see § 6.2) |
| def. | definite |
| dimin. | diminutive |
| d.o. | direct object |
| du. | dual |
| f. | feminine |
| fd | feminine dual |
| fp | feminine plural |
| fs | feminine singular |
| G | guttural consonant (', ' $, \dot{g}, h, h$, or $x$ ); G-Stem (see § 6.1) |
| gem. | geminate |
| glott. | glottalic |
| gutt. | guttural |
| H | H-Stem (see § 6.3) |
| HV | Hōbyot Vocabulary, Nakano 2013 (see Bibliography) |
| H ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | Hִarsusi text \# (as published in Stroomer 2004) |
| HLL | Harsūsi Lexicon, Johnstone 1977 (see Bibliography) |
| IG | idle glottis (see § 2.1.2) |
| impf. | imperfect |
| impv. | imperative |
| intrans. | intransitive |
| i.o. | indirect object |
| J\# | Jibbali text \# (as published in Rubin 2014) |
| $J L$ | Jibbäli Lexicon, Johnstone 1981 (see Bibliography) |
| JLO | The Jibbali (Shahri) Language of Oman, Rubin 2014 (see Bibliography) |
| L | L-Stem (Arabic fā'ala) |


| lit. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| m | masculine |
| md | masculine dual |
| mp | masculine plural |
| ms | masculine singular; manuscript |
| mss | manuscripts |
| ML | Mehri Lexicon, Johnstone 1987 (see Bibliography) |
| MLO | The Mehri Language of Oman, Rubin 2010 (see Bibliography) |
| MSA | Modern South Arabian |
| n . | note |
| o.a. | one another |
| obj. | object |
| OSA | Old South Arabian |
| perf. | perfect |
| pl. | plural |
| Q | quadriliteral stem |
| redup. | reduplicated |
| sg. | singular |
| s.o. | someone |
| s.t. | something |
| subj. | subjunctive |
| Š1 | Ši-Stem (see § 6.4) |
| Š2 | Š2-Stem (see § 6.4) |
| T1 | T1-Stem (see § 6.5) |
|  | T2-Stem (see § 6.5) |
| trans. | transitive |
| V | vowel |
| $\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{s})$. | variant(s) |
| X > Y | X develops into Y. |
| $\mathrm{X}<\mathrm{Y}$ | X derives from Y . |
| $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ | X becomes $Y$; this symbol is used for derived forms, such as forms with the definite article or a possessive suffix. |
| * | An asterisk marks a reconstructed or underlying form. |
| *Text \# | In chapter 14, an asterisk before a text number means that I did not find any audio for that text, and so the transcription is less reliable. |
| ** | A double asterisk indicates a non-existent or ungrammatical form. |

## Text Citation

The Mehri examples cited in this book, unless otherwise noted, are taken from the texts published in this volume, all of which were collected by T.M. Johnstone. Passages are cited by text number and "line" number, so $35: 11$ refers to Text 35, "line" number 11. I put the word "line" in quotation marks, because the numbered "lines" often run more than one line on the page. In order to facilitate comparison with the edition of Stroomer (1999), which includes most of these texts, I have kept the same line numbering as much as possible, though occasionally I have moved a few words to the preceding or following line.

## A Note on Transcription and Translation

In my 2010 grammar, I retained Johnstone's very inconsistent transcription. In this volume, I have adhered to a much more rigorous system, though there is still some slight variation. My own transcription of the consonants is phonemic, though I allow for some small variation in the transcription of vowels, including epenthetic (non-phonemic) $\partial$, allophonic $a / \varepsilon$, and allophonic final -áh, -īh, and -ēh. In citing Mehri words from other published sources and from Johnstone's own manuscripts, I have usually altered the transcription to match my own, unless there is some specific reason not to.

A consonant in parentheses (e.g., ( $t$ )śźmah in text 3:12 or ( $\partial$-)ṣ́əfōr in text 59:4), unless otherwise noted, indicates that it is not present in the Arabic-letter manuscript and is not pronounced; I include the parenthetical consonant as an aid to comprehension. Word stress is marked (with an acute accent) only when it falls on a short vowel within a polysyllabic word. See further on stress in § 2.3.

For the benefit of those using Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon, I note the following differences between my transcription and his:

| Johnstone | This Book |
| :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $\partial$ |
| $\underline{d}$ | $\partial$ |
| $\underline{d}$ | $\underline{\partial}$ |
| $\dot{z}$ | $\underline{s}$ |

In earlier works, including my 2010 grammar of Mehri and my 2014 grammar of Jibbali, I used the symbol ź or ź instead of ṣ́, primarily to facilitate use and comparison with Johnstone's dictionaries.

The translations of the texts are all my own, though there is naturally much similarity with the translations found in Stroomer (1999), nearly all of which came from Johnstone's manuscripts.

PART 1
Grammar
$\because$


## Introduction

Mehri is a Semitic language spoken mainly in Yemen, in the easternmost governorate of Al-Mahra, and across the border in Oman, primarily in the governorate of Dhofar. A small number of speakers live in southeastern Saudi Arabia, near the Yemeni and Omani borders. The total number of Mehri speakers is unknown, and estimates vary quite a bit. The number is probably at least 130,000, with over half of this group living in Yemen.

Mehri is one of six languages known collectively as the Modern South Arabian (MSA) languages, which in turn are part of the Semitic language family. In addition to Mehri, the MSA languages are Jibbali (also called Shaḥri, Śheri, or Śḥerēt), Ḥarsusi, Soqoṭri, Hobyot, and Bațḥari. ${ }^{1}$ Mehri, Jibbali, and Soqoṭri each have a number of dialects. The established term 'Modern South Arabian' can be misleading, since these languages are not the descendants of the set of languages collectively called Old South Arabian (OSA), nor are they varieties of Arabic.

The linguistic domain of the other MSA languages (see the map on p. 2) is restricted to eastern Yemen, western Oman, and the island groups of Soqotra and Al-Ḥallaniyāt (formerly Khuriya Muriya). None of the MSA languages has a tradition of writing, and they have been known to Europeans only since the 19th century.

### 1.1 Previous Scholarship on Mehri and MSA

The existence of the Modern South Arabian languages was first brought to the attention of Europeans by James Wellsted (1805-1842), a British Lieutenant in Her Majesty's Indian Navy. In 1835, Wellsted published a list of words in Soqoṭri, which he had collected during his survey of the island in $1834 .{ }^{2}$ However, it was Fulgence Fresnel, the French consul in Jeddah, writing on Jibbali in 1838, who

[^0]first recognized the existence of a new branch of the Semitic language family. ${ }^{3}$ Fresnel's work really marks the beginning of Modern South Arabian studies.

The first published information on Mehri was a short word-list given by Wellsted in his popular travel narrative, Travels to the City of the Caliphs, published in $1840 .{ }^{4}$ Another list of about a hundred words and forty phrases, along with a translation (from Arabic) of Genesis 24:1-7, was published in 1846 by a missionary named (Johann) Ludwig Krapf (1810-1881). ${ }^{5}$ H.J. Carter, a surgeon who had traveled with Wellsted, published an even longer list of Mehri words and phrases (1847), providing also some discussion of phonology. Heinrich von Maltzan (1826-1874), known for his travels in the Arab world, published two grammatical studies of Mehri (1871, 1873b) and a short comparative word-list (1873a). Additional Mehri words can be found scattered in a few other 19thcentury publications. A short text (a letter) of about sixty-five words, with Jibbali and Soqoṭri parallels, was published in 1896 by Fritz Hommel (1854-1936).

Unfortunately, with the exception of the scant material published by Hommel, which was collected by Eduard Glaser (1855-1908), none of the 19thcentury data on Mehri or any other Modern South Arabian language was collected by a language specialist, and so the reliability and scope of these publications are often limited. ${ }^{6}$ Still, every witness has some value.

A major turning point in the field of Modern South Arabian studies came in 1898, when a scholarly expedition to Southern Arabia was launched by the Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, now called the Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften. ${ }^{7}$ Fieldwork on MSA was carried out by the Austrian scholars David Heinrich Müller (1846-1912), Alfred Jahn (18751940?), and, a couple of years later, by Wilhelm Hein (1861-1903). The result of this team's efforts was a great wealth of textual material in Mehri, Jibbali, and Soqotrii, published between 1902 and 1909, which greatly advanced the field of MSA. For Mehri, the most relevant publications of texts are Jahn (1902),

3 Discussion of classification appears in Fresnel (1838a: 513ff), but more detailed grammatical discussion appears in Fresnel (1838b, 1838c). Annotated and corrected versions of these and other works of Fresnel can be found in Lonnet (1991).
4 The list of thirty-seven words appears on pp. 26-27.
5 Krapf is well known for his work on East African languages, particularly Swahili. He got his data on Jibbali and Mehri from an informant in East Africa, probably in or near Mombasa, where Krapf lived for a time, and which was then under Omani rule.
6 See Leslau (1946) for a complete bibliography of MSA studies until 1945 .
7 On the background of these expeditions, see the accounts of Landberg (1899) and Müller (1899), as well as the studies of Macro (1993) and, especially, Sturm (2007, 2015). For the study of the languages, the information about the expeditions provided in the introductions to the text editions is much more relevant.
which includes a substantial glossary, Müller (1902, 1907), and Hein (1909). ${ }^{8}$ From this material also came the grammar of Jahn (1905), the important fourpart grammatical study of Bittner (1909-1914a), ${ }^{9}$ and the short linguistic study of Rhodokanakis (1910). These remained the most comprehensive grammatical studies of Mehri until 2010. It must be pointed out that the Mehri texts collected by the Austrian team are all in Yemeni dialects.

In 1937, Bertram Thomas (1892-1950) published a sketch of four MSA languages, one of which was Mehri. ${ }^{10}$ This sketch comprised the first new data on MSA collected in nearly thirty years. Thomas had no previous knowledge of the material collected by the Austrian team, and so he provides an important independent witness to the languages. Unfortunately, Thomas was not a trained linguist, as he himself readily admitted. Like so many of his 19th-century predecessors, he was simply an adventurous traveler with a keen interest in language. ${ }^{11}$ Thomas does have one significant distinction, in that he was the first to collect and publish data on Ḥarsusi and Baț̣̣ari, two MSA languages that were previously unknown to the scholarly world. In fact, Thomas's work remains to this day almost the only published work on the nearly-extinct Baṭhari language. ${ }^{12}$

In 1953, Ewald Wagner published his highly-regarded study of MSA syntax, with Mehri as the main focus. Wagner's study, after Bittner's combined work, is the most detailed grammatical study of the MSA languages from the 2oth century. It included no new data, however; all of his data came from the publications of the Austrian team (Müller, Jahn, and Hein) and from Thomas's sketch.

Charles D. Matthews (1901-1986), an Arabist by training, worked from 1948 to 1961 in Saudi Arabia with the Arabian-American Oil Company (Aramco, now called Saudi Aramco). For part of this time he was involved in surveying areas

8 Müller's Mehri informant was from Al-Ghayḍah. Hein worked with speakers from Qishn, while Jahn worked with speakers from Al-Ghayḍah, Qishn, and Nishtun (a village about halfway between Al-Ghayḍah and Qishn). The Mehri material in Müller (1907) came from Hein.

[^1]of the Empty Quarter in the southeastern part of the country, and there he made the acquaintance of MSA speakers and endeavored to learn something of their languages. He published several articles between 1959 and 1970, though only his 1969 article received much attention. Matthews was the first 2othcentury scholar to recognize the existence of a definite article in Mehri and Jibbali-a fact that completely eluded Müller and Bittner. ${ }^{13}$ It is unfortunate that Matthews did not publish more, especially since most of his knowledge was gained in the field.

In the late 196os and early 1970s, Thomas Muir Johnstone of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, carried out fieldwork on the MSA languages. The results of his efforts were dictionaries of three MSA languages (Ḥarsusi, Jibbali, and Mehri), a number of important articles, and three posthumously published text collections, on Mehri, Ḥarsusi, and Jibbali. Much of Johnstone's Mehri material was collected in 1969-1970 in Dubai. Afterwards, he was able to bring his most productive informant, Ali Musallam, to London for a year, and he continued to work with Ali in Oman on subsequent visits in the mid-197os. ${ }^{14}$ Johnstone's Mehri data all reflect the Omani dialect, in contrast with the Yemeni material collected by the Austrian team and, until very recently, by those researchers who have come after Johnstone (see below). This makes Johnstone's already weighty contributions to Mehri studies all the more valuable.

Sadly, Johnstone died in 1983, just one week shy of his fifty-ninth birthday. He managed to see the publication of his Harsusi and Jibbali dictionaries, which appeared in 1977 and 1981, respectively, but his Mehri Lexicon was published only after his death, in 1987. With nearly five hundred pages of Mehri-English entries (often including comparative MSA material), a one hundred and fortypage English-Mehri word-list, fifty pages of verbal paradigms, and an additional ten pages of grammatical discussion, the Mehri Lexicon was a massively important contribution to the field of Semitic studies. Unfortunately, as Johnstone was not able to oversee the publication of his manuscript, the lexicon is filled with an enormous number of typographical and other errors. His collections of Mehri and Hִarsusi texts were published by Harry Stroomer in 1999 and 2004,

[^2]respectively. His Jibbali texts were published in 2014, in my own JLO. See § 1.5 for more details on the Mehri texts.

Around the same time that Johnstone was active in the field, a Japanese researcher named Aki'o Nakano (1937-2008) was also pursuing field research. The result of visits to Yemen in 1971 and 1974 and a stay in Oman in 1974 was a comparative lexicon of Yemeni Mehri, Jibbali, and Soqoṭri, published in 1986. Later visits led to his Hobyot lexicon-the first ever significant publication on that language—which was published posthumously in 2013. ${ }^{15}$ Like Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon, Nakano's dictionaries must be used with some caution.

Beginning in 1983, two French scholars, Antoine Lonnet and Marie-Claude Simeone-Senelle, made several trips to Yemen to do fieldwork on Mehri, Soqotri, and Hobyot. The result has been a number of important investigative articles and surveys, published both as a team and individually, which have added much to the field of MSA studies. Some of the most important articles are Lonnet (1994a, 1994b, and 2005a), which each contain a wide range of original and very insightful observations. Simeone-Senelle, Lonnet, and Bakheith (1984) includes an original text. Lonnet (2006) and Simeone-Senelle (2011) are overviews of MSA.

Between 2001 and 2004, an Austrian scholar named Alexander Sima collected a large corpus of Mehri texts, both from an informant he brought over to Germany and from informants in Yemen. Sima published several important articles on Mehri, and wrote the bulk of a grammar, which he intended to submit for his Habilitation, as well as a lexicon based on his texts. Sadly, in late 2004, Sima was killed in a car accident in Yemen, only a few months before completing his work. He was just thirty-four years old. His text corpus was published in the summer of 2009, edited by Janet Watson and Werner Arnold. Unfortunately, his grammar and lexicon remain unpublished.

In 2010, my own grammar of Omani Mehri appeared, based on Stroomer's edition of Johnstone's Mehri texts. And in 2012, Janet Watson published a grammar of both the Omani and Eastern Yemeni dialects, based mainly on her own fieldwork in Yemen and Oman. Her grammar also includes a couple of new texts, two of which were re-published in Watson (2013). My grammar of Jibbali, which included a significant number of texts both from Johnstone's manuscripts and my own fieldwork, appeared in 2014. Working on Jibbali did a lot to advance my own understanding of Mehri, and it made clear the need for a new edition of both Johnstone's texts and my grammar.

15 The Hobyot recorded by Nakano shows heavy influence from the local dialect of Mehri.

Janet Watson, in collaboration with Miranda Morris and others, has continued to collect data in Mehri and several other MSA languages. In addition to numerous publications resulting from their fieldwork, some of their data are available online through the Endangered Languages Archive at SOAS, University of London.

In 2007, Samuel Liebhaber completed a dissertation on Yemeni Mehri poetry. Though the focus of the dissertation is not purely linguistic, a number of poetic texts are included, along with some linguistic commentary. Liebhaber has also published a separate edition of some of the poetic texts he has collected (2011a). ${ }^{16}$ In that edition, his texts are presented both in Roman transcription and in a modified Arabic script. Though this is not the first publication to use Arabic script to transcribe Mehri, ${ }^{17}$ this is probably the first by a nonnative author that was intended partly for a native Mehri readership.

In 2013, a team of French scholars, including Sabrina Bendjaballah, Philippe Ségéral, Julien Dufour, Rachid Ridouane, and Antoine Lonnet began a project (titled OmanSAM) on the MSA languages, which included fieldwork on Mehri, Jibbali, and Hobyot in Oman. They also worked with native informants in France. The results of their investigations have been, and will be, far-reaching into all areas of MSA, but especially in the realms of phonology and verbal morphology. Noteworthy studies to date include Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a, 2017a), and the brilliant Habilitation of Dufour (2016).

A Russian team under the direction of Leonid Kogan has conducted fieldwork on Soqotra, and has done extensive work with speakers that they have brought to Moscow. Several important articles and one collection of texts (Naumkin et al. 2014) has been published so far, while another text collection and a descriptive grammar of Soqoṭri are forthcoming. Kogan (2015: 467-597) is a very important study of Modern South Arabian as a subgroup of Semitic, useful especially, but by no means only, for the wealth of lexical studies therein, including many Mehri lexical items.

Native speakers, in addition to those who have assisted outside scholars, have also made their own contributions to the study of Mehri, as have some other Arabic-speaking scholars in Oman, Yemen, and Saudi Arabia. Works in English include Al-Aidaroos $(1996,1999)$ and Almakrami $(2015)$, and the unpublished theses of Al-Fadly (2007), Al-Qumairi (2013, 2017), and Alrowsa (2014). A short sketch of Mehri, mainly focused on vocabulary, was published in Arabic by Al-Mahri (2006). In late 2016, native Mehri speakers in Yemen,
led by Saeed al-Qumairi, established the Mehri Language Center for Study and Research (markaz al-luġah al-mahriyyah lid-dirāsāt wa-l-buḥūt) in the town of Al-Ghayḍah, Yemen.

When I began working on Mehri in 2006, there was almost no one working on the MSA languages, and those few that existed were working individually. Citations of MSA in works on comparative Semitics, rare as they were, relied largely on data that were decades old. Now, a little more than a decade later, there is a vast amount of new data available on Mehri, as well as on Jibbali, Soqotri, and even Hobyot. Teams of scholars in the UK, France, and Russia have each done fieldwork in Yemen and Oman, and more text collections and grammatical studies are forthcoming. From 2013-2017, the OmanSAM project held an annual workshop on the MSA languages, including scholars from France, the United States, the United Kingdom, and Russia. In 2013, the Seminar for Arabian Studies in London held a special session on the languages of Southern Arabia, with five papers on MSA. Several graduate students in the United States and in Europe are currently working on MSA languages. In short, MSA is now a vibrant sub-field within the field of Semitics.

### 1.2 Dialects of Mehri

Mehri can be divided into two basic dialect groups. There is a western group spoken in Yemen, which can be called Yemeni Mehri, and there is an eastern group, which can be called Omani Mehri or Dhofari Mehri. ${ }^{18}$ In Omani Mehri, the language is natively called mahráyyət, and in the local Arabic it is called mahríyya. The political boundary between Yemen and Oman probably does not perfectly correspond to the dialect boundary, but the terms Yemeni and Omani Mehri are sufficiently accurate. Within Yemeni Mehri, we can also distinguish a western dialect area centered around the town of Qishn, a central dialect area centered in the town of Al-Ghayḍah, and an eastern (or sharqiyya) dialect around the town of Heawf, near the border with Oman. ${ }^{19}$ In Yemen, at least, there are also differences between the language of the bedouin population and the language of those speakers settled in the larger towns. While there is dialectal

18 Johnstone used the terms Southern Mehri and Nagd Mehri, for Yemeni and Omani, respectively.
19 On Yemeni dialects, see further in Simeone-Senelle (2002:388) and Kogan (2015:528-532). The locals in Qishn refer to the language as mahríyyat, while in Hawf it is referred to as mahrayyōt.
variation within Omani Mehri, the differences seem to be smaller than we find among Yemeni Mehri varieties.

The differences between Omani Mehri and the various Yemeni dialects are as in most any other language. That is, there are some differences in phonology, in morphology, and in lexicon. ${ }^{20}$ In the realm of phonology, one recognizable difference in pronunciation is that of the phoneme /g/. In Oman, Mehri speakers pronounce this as $g$, while Yemeni speakers pronounce it as a palatal $j$ (IPA [ f ] or [d3]). In Omani Mehri, ' is lost in most environments (see § 2.1.3), while in some Yemeni dialects it is preserved. In the Yemeni town of Qishn, the interdentals $\underline{t}, \partial$, and $\partial$ have merged with $t, d$, and $t$, respectively, but elsewhere they are preserved. Many of the sound rules discussed in chapter 2 (e.g., § 2.1.3, § 2.1.4, $\S$ 2.1.5, § 2.1.8, § 2.2.1, § 2.2.2) are not applicable to Yemeni Mehri dialects.

Morphological differences between the dialects abound, and some are quite fundamental. For example, Yemeni Mehri dialects lack the definite article $a$ found in Omani Mehri (§4.4). There are many differences in the conjugation of verbs. For example, where Omani Mehri indicates a plural verb in many cases by means of ablaut alone (e.g., $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'he said' ~ $\bar{a} m a ́ w r ~ ' t h e y ~ s a i d ' ; ~ y a g h o ̄ m ~ ' h e ~$ goes' ~yaghīm 'they go'), Yemeni Mehri normally has a suffix -əm (e.g., āmōr ~ $\bar{a} m o ̄ r a m ; ~ y a g h o ̄ m \sim y a g h \bar{m} m a m) ;{ }^{21}$ in Omani Mehri this suffix exists, but is more restricted in use.

There are numerous lexical differences between the dialects. For example, in Omani Mehri the usual word for 'woman' is $t \bar{e} t$, but in Yemeni dialects it is harmāt or harmēt. ${ }^{22}$ Sometimes words have different meanings, like the verb karōh, which means 'hide' in Omani Mehri, but 'read' in Yemeni. ${ }^{23}$

In addition to the regional differences, there are also some diachronic differences in the Mehri recorded in various periods: the early 19th century, the turn of the 20th century, the 1970s, and today. For example, fieldwork done with informants in recent years has shown some analogical changes in the verbal

[^3]system, and many of the lexical items recorded by Johnstone are unknown to younger speakers. ${ }^{24}$ There is no doubt that the influence of Arabic has become much more pervasive in the last forty years. ${ }^{25}$

### 1.3 The Position of Mehri within MSA

As discussed in the previous section, the last seven or eight years have seen a major surge of new data and analysis on the MSA languages. Grammatical studies of Mehri, Jibbali, and, to a lesser extent, Soqotri, plus the first real significant data on Hobyot, have allowed us to gain a much more solid understanding of the internal classification of the MSA family.

There is a binary split within MSA, with Jibbali and Soqotri forming one subgroup that we can call Eastern MSA, and the remaining four languages forming a subgroup that we can call Western MSA. There are several important morphological isoglosses between Jibbali and Soqotrri that leave no doubt that these two languages share a common ancestor within MSA. Shared innovations between Jibbali and Soqoṭri include the conditioned loss of prefixed $t$ - in certain verbal forms; loss of $w$ in all forms of I-w verbs; irregular loss of $r$ in some forms of the verb 'to say' (root ' $m r$ ); certain details in the conjugation of the auxiliaries *'ad and *ber; and use of the preposition *'an 'from; than'. ${ }^{26}$

Within Western MSA, it is clear that Ḥarsusi and Batḥ̣ari—each of which are moribund—are closely related to Mehri, as they share a number of innovative features. For example, in these three languages the Semitic active participle has developed into a future tense, while Jibbali and Hobyot have developed other means of expressing the future, and Soqotri has no such development. ${ }^{27}$ Still the paucity of published material in Batḥari precludes making more definite conclusions about the exact relationship of these three languages. ${ }^{28}$

24 No study has been made of diachronic differences in the available Mehri material, but see the comment to text 24:6 (háftək). For a study of this issue in Jibbali, see Rubin (2015a).
Lonnet (2009) is a short study of the Arabic influence on MSA. A thorough study of the Arabic influence, both past and present, on Jibbali and the other MSA languages (or of MSA influence on Arabic) has not yet been attempted. More data are needed on the Arabic dialects of the Mehri-speaking areas. Studies of Dhofari Arabic include Rhodokanakis (1911) and Davey (2016).

On the loss of $t$-, see Johnstone (1968, 198o), Testen (1992), and Voigt (2006). Both Johnstone (1980) and Testen note the importance of this feature in grouping these two languages. On other shared innovations, see Rubin (2015b).
27 On this development in Mehri, see Rubin (2007).
28 Miranda Morris (p.c.) has reported that Mehri and Harsusi are largely mutually intelligible,

Hobyot, on which there was almost no available data until the publication of Nakano (2013), shares some innovations with Mehri, Ḥarsusi, and Baṭ̣̣ari, but can be classified as a separate language within the western branch of MSA. Rubin (2015b) is a study of the classification of Hobyot.

Thus the internal classification of the MSA family can be illustrated by the following tree:


In addition to the genetic relationships among the MSA languages, there are also areal phenomena that cross genetic boundaries. For example, Omani Mehri, Jibbali, and Ḥarsusi all have a prefixed definite article $a-/ \varepsilon$ - (see $\S 4.4$ ), while further to the west, Yemeni Mehri dialects and Hobyot have no such article. The innovation of the article in the more easterly languages-or perhaps the loss of the article in the more westerly languages-appears to be an areal phenomenon. The sound changes pertaining to the consonant $l$ that we find in Omani Mehri (§ 2.1.5) have parallels in Jibbali, but not in Yemeni Mehri dialects. Another example is the pronunciation of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{g} /$, which is [g] in Omani Mehri, Central and Eastern Jibbali, and Ḥarsusi, but $[\mathrm{f}]$ or $\left[\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}\right]$ in Yemeni Mehri, Western Jibbali, and Hobyot.

## 1.4 <br> The Position of MSA in Semitic

The Semitic family of languages is one of the longest attested, most widely studied, and, thanks to Arabic, most widely spoken in the world. Yet with regards to the proper subgrouping of the Semitic family, a consensus has not been reached among scholars, and probably never will be. The following figure illustrates the subgrouping of the Semitic language family as it is best understood given the facts available to date. ${ }^{29}$

[^4]

It is probable that, like the Ethiopian subgroup, MSA is distinct from Central Semitic, since it did not participate in the innovation of the indicative yaqtulu form. ${ }^{30}$ But there is no reason to suggest that MSA and Ethiopian stem from a single node. It is true that they share some features-most of which are also shared by Arabic and/or Old South Arabian-but these features are mainly shared retentions from Proto-Semitic or are the result of areal phenomena (e.g., the leveling of $k$-suffixes in the perfect). The most important morphological feature shared by MSA and Ethiopian to the exclusion of Arabic and OSA is the presence of the imperfective form yaqattal; this, however, is also a retention from Proto-Semitic (as attested by its presence in Akkadian), and is therefore of no use in classification. There are no morphological or lexical innovations that link MSA and Ethiopian Semitic. ${ }^{31}$

From the first discovery of the MSA languages in the 19th century until relatively recently, it was assumed by most scholars that the Old South Arabian languages (Sabaic, Minaic, Qatabanic, Hadramitic) must be the ancestors of the Modern South Arabian languages. ${ }^{32}$ Both groups are attested in Southern Arabia; both groups preserve the three Proto-Semitic sibilants ( $s, s ̌, s)$, in con-

[^5]trast with almost all other Semitic languages; both make broad use of internal (broken) plurals; and the languages share some lexical items (e.g., the root $\dot{g} r b$ 'know'). But N. Nebes has shown, based on the orthography of forms made from weak verbal roots, that the OSA languages, like Arabic, possessed an imperfective of the Central Semitic type. ${ }^{33}$ J. Huehnergard has since given further evidence in favor of the classification of the OSA languages as Central Semitic. ${ }^{34}$

The recognition that the OSA languages should be classified as Central Semitic has made it clear that the MSA languages cannot be derived from the OSA languages. However, even without this fact, the innovations present in OSA and lacking in MSA should have made this clear, for example, the presence of a suffixed definite article -( $h$ ) $n$ in all OSA languages.

It has been suggested that Ḥaḍramitic-in some respects the most divergent of the OSA languages-may still be connected with the Modern South Arabian languages. Hadramitic is the most easterly of the OSA languages, and its homeland (the Haḍramawt, in central Yemen) approaches the Mahra, the westernmost area of present-day Modern South Arabian territory. More importantly, Haḍramitic exhibits some curious isoglosses with MSA, namely the contrasting initial consonants of the third person pronouns and the preposition $h$ - 'to.' ${ }^{35}$ Despite these connections between Haḍramitic and MSA, there are a number of features of Haḍramitic that preclude it from being the ancestor of the modern languages, most importantly the merger of $s$ and $\vartheta$, and possibly also $z$ and $\partial$, each of which are distinct in the modern languages, ${ }^{36}$ and the presence of the suffixed definite article in Haḍramitic, versus the prefixed article (or complete lack of article) in Modern South Arabian. Therefore, it seems safest to say at this point only that the similarities between Haḍramitic and MSA may be due to language contact, as I have suggested elsewhere (Rubin 2008a). Language contact is also probably responsible for the similarities between some MSA and OSA numerals. ${ }^{37}$

[^6]
### 1.5 Johnstone's Mehri Texts

As discussed in § 1.1, Johnstone began collecting texts in Mehri around 1969, and continued through much of the 1970s. At the time of his death in 1983, these texts remained unpublished, and his transcriptions unfinished. Nearly all his texts were first written down in Arabic script by his informant, Ali Musallam, a native speaker of Mehri who was raised in the mountains near Jibjāt (about 30 km northeast of Țaqah). Ali wrote with little to no punctuation, and with inconsistent spelling. ${ }^{38}$ After Ali had written the texts, Johnstone then made his own rough, Roman-letter transcriptions of them. In some cases he later made a more careful written or typed version. All of his manuscripts can be considered working drafts. For most of the texts he also made audio recordings, on which see $\S 1.6$. See Appendix B (pp. 819-821) for sample images of both the Arabicletter and Roman-letter manuscripts. ${ }^{39}$

Harry Stroomer performed a very admirable and valuable service by publishing 106 of Johnstone's Mehri texts in $1999 .{ }^{40}$ Stroomer's edition is based entirely on Johnstone's Roman-letter manuscripts. The English translations that appear in his edition are also mostly based on Johnstone's own manuscripts, and these were also just drafts. These facts are not intended as a criticism in any way. Stroomer did not claim to be a Mehri expert, and was only trying to make Johnstone's material available, which he thankfully did. The publication of these texts, and of Johnstone's Ḥarsusi texts in 2006, did a lot to jumpstart the field of MSA studies.

With the kind permission of Mrs. Bernice Johnstone, I obtained copies of Johnstone's Mehri manuscripts in 2010. ${ }^{41}$ Johnstone gave numbers to most of his texts, from 1 to 103 , plus 14 A and ${ }_{71} \mathrm{~A}$. Stroomer published all of these,

Semitic root *'h $h / w h d$ ), is found outside of MSA only in Qatabanic ( $t d$ ). Also, MSA and OSA both have the root *ślt for the numeral 'three', and *fkh for 'half'. See also § 9.1.1, notes 2, 4, and 20.

Additional images of manuscript pages can be found in Stroomer (1999: xxiv-xxvi). See also Stroomer (2004), which has facsimiles of Johnstone's Hearsusi manuscripts.
Stroomer (1996) is a preliminary publication of the first five of Johnstone's Mehri texts, though the transcription of the texts differs from that of the 1999 edition. The earlier publication, however, includes a greater number of textual notes, nearly all of which are references to entries in $M L$.
41 Johnstone's papers are now held at Durham University Library Special Collections. The Mehri texts are found mainly in Box 6, Files A, B, C, and D. See further details in the introduction to §14.
plus an additional text that he designated number 104. There exist a few more texts among the manuscripts, four of which I have given the numbers 16 A , $21 \mathrm{~A}, 56 \mathrm{~A}$, and $105 .{ }^{42} \mathrm{~A}$ text that I found only in an audio version I have given the number 106. Several of the manuscripts remain unpublished, including some letters from Ali to Johnstone, which are a witness to the warm friendship between the two. Most of these unpublished texts are known only in one medium (that is, only in Arabic script, only in Roman transcription, or only on audio), which is why I have chosen not to publish them. ${ }^{43}$

This volume includes 105 texts, including four texts (16A,56A, 105, and 106) that Stroomer did not publish. Five poetic texts published by Stroomer (21, 51, 78,79 , and 87 ) have not been included in this volume. The poems I have not included mainly because they are difficult to translate. There is also little in them that adds to our understanding of Mehri phonology and morphology, though they include some interesting vocabulary that is not attested in the prose material. In a handful of places in this volume I have made reference to some of these poems published by Stroomer.

The texts included in this edition are not simply corrected versions of those published by Stroomer. They are completely new editions, based on the Arabicletter manuscripts and audio recordings made by Ali Musallam. The differences between the texts herein and those published by Stroomer-all based on the Roman-letter manuscripts-are enormous, and range from a single diacritic or letter to entire chunks of texts. ${ }^{44}$

In the commentary to the texts, I often make reference to Johnstone's Ro-man-letter transcriptions, but they are much less reliable than the other witnesses. The number of errors in his transcriptions is considerable, but this is not a criticism of Johnstone. When Johnstone made his transcriptions, he had not made a complete study of Mehri grammar, so it is natural that he made errors.

42 Johnstone himself gave the first two of these the numbers 21 and 56 , but those numbers are also used for other texts, and so I refer to them as 21 A and 56 A . 16 A is appended to the end of text 16.21 A is a short poem not included in this volume. As for text 105 , the manuscript has on top "M8Ś2" (i.e., Mehri 8, Śḥعri [Jibbali] 2), but it has nothing to do with Mehri text 8 or Jibbali text 2.
43 The major exception is a long text that is an interview between Ali Musallam and a man named Ṣāliḥ. This interview was recorded by Johnstone (Tape 52 ) and later transcribed. But because it contains much highly specialized vocabulary (relating to fishing and other practices) and because Ṣāliḥ spoke a different dialect of Mehri, I have not included it in this volume.
See Rubin (2017) for an overview of the kinds of differences that exist, and discussion of how the differences have impacted our understanding of the language.

In many of the transcriptions he included English glosses for basic words like 'mother', 'son', or 'then', which demonstrate that the transcriptions were made at a time when he had only a very limited command of the language. Any fieldworker naturally makes errors in his or her first attempt(s). I should also emphasize that the numerous errors in Stroomer's edition are nearly all Johnstone's, which Stroomer simply reproduced faithfully. ${ }^{45}$

The included texts cover a variety of genres. There are conversations, folk tales (often quite humorous, and sometimes bawdy), explanations of cultural practices, tribal histories, and biographical stories. A few of the texts are translations, either from English or from the Yemeni Mehri texts of the Austrian team. Overall, the texts are immensely interesting, both as windows into Mehri culture and as entertaining stories.

### 1.6 Johnstone's Audio Material

For most of Johnstone's published texts, there exist audio recordings, which were made in the 1970s. The original tape recordings now belong to the British Sound Archives (catalogue number $\mathrm{C}_{733}$ ), which is part of the British Library, and they have been transferred onto compact discs. In 2006, Mrs. Bernice Johnstone kindly gave me permission to receive copies of her late husband's recordings. The tapes most relevant for the Mehri texts are 116-122, though Mehri material appears also on tapes 42, 52, and 112-113. Of the 105 texts published in this volume, I was able to consult the audio for 88 texts. The texts for which I did not find audio recordings are $7-11,43,78,86$, and $94-96,98-$ 102, and 104. Only a small part of text 97 has audio, and a few other texts have some lines missing. I suspect that audio exists for at least some of these missing texts, but I have yet to find it. One text published herein (106) is attested only on audio.

The recordings of the Mehri texts are, with a few exceptions, not recordings of natural speech, but rather of Ali Musallam reading from the manuscript that he wrote. Text 106 is the one text in this collection that was recorded at natural speed. Ali often struggled to read his own language; he stumbles, pauses, and corrects himself often on the recordings. Sometimes the recording differs slightly from the manuscript. Some of these differences are obvious mistakes, like a missed or misread word, while others are alternative forms, like a plural pronoun used instead of a dual one. Such differences are noted in
the text commentary. The audio was an invaluable resource in preparing this edition of Ali's manuscript texts.

### 1.7 This Grammar

The grammar described in this book is based primarily upon the texts that are included in Chapter 14, on which see further in $\S 1.5$ and $\S 1.6$. It is a heavily revised, updated, and expanded version of my 2010 grammar. Topics in phonology, morphology, and syntax are covered. With regard to morphology, all of the basic topics are covered, though any treatment can never really be considered complete, especially in a case such as Mehri, with so much dialectal variety. Mehri verbal morphology is quite complex, due to the large number of "weak" root consonants that have resulted in various phonetic changes, and there simply are not enough verbal forms attested in the texts to provide complete paradigms for most verb types. In my treatment of the verbal system, I have devoted a lot of space to discussing the derived stems and the use of the tenses, and I discuss the most salient features of the various weak verb types. Although my treatment is extensive, it is necessarily incomplete. A complete list of paradigms, for all stems and root types, would run to hundreds of pages.

In my description of Mehri syntax, there are certainly areas in which more could have been said, but I have chosen to focus on those features which are most remarkable or most practical for reading the texts. Each feature described is well illustrated with examples from the texts. An index of these textual examples is included at the end of this volume.

I have restricted myself in this book to the Omani Mehri of Johnstone's texts, to the exclusion of other published Mehri material. Johnstone's texts reflect a dialect that is different from the dialects of the other available text corpora (i.e., the large corpus of material collected by the Austrian team at the turn of the 2oth century, and the texts collected by Sima), with the exception of a few texts published by Watson (2012). It seemed wiser and clearer to describe well one type of Mehri, rather than attempt to describe multiple dialects simultaneously. Moreover, not only do the different available corpora reflect different geographic dialects, but they also come from different eras. To compare our Omani texts from the 1970s with, say, Müller's texts from around 1899, with Sima's texts from around 2000, is complicated also by diachronic changes. And some published data on Omani Mehri (namely, that of Thomas 1937), though very interesting and important, are not very sound in terms of their linguistic method. Still, reference will be made on occasion to other dialects of Mehri, especially from the recent Omani data provided in Watson
(2012). I also sometimes have made reference to one or more of the other MSA languages, in order to point out a noteworthy difference or similarity, or to illuminate a difficult lexical item.

My philosophy in compiling this grammar was essentially to deduce as much as possible directly from the texts, without the interference of previous descriptions of Mehri. Of course, previous works were invaluable in their help, but, as much as possible, I consulted these only after forming my own initial theories. To this end, Johnstone made the following remark, in an unpublished manuscript:

I have not been preoccupied in the course of my own field work to run down errors in the work of my predecessors, since I have found it on the whole easier not to study their publications too closely. Certain of the wrong ideas I did acquire from them did mislead me seriously, and these of course stick in my mind. ${ }^{46}$

Johnstone was referring to the works of the Austrian expedition. Of course, I have many more predecessors than Johnstone did, authors of the far more reliable material of the last three decades (including that of Johnstone himself). Still, in compiling my 2010 grammar, I was wary of having too many presuppositions based on earlier publications, preferring to reach my own conclusions. In preparing this new grammar, many of the improvements are due to the excellent work of my colleagues over the last five or so years. The studies on phonology and morphology by Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral (e.g., 2014a, 2017a), and Julien Dufour (2016), as well as their personal communications with me, have been invaluable. Janet Watson's 2012 grammar has also been a wonderful resource. Still, I have tried to extract as much information as possible from the evidence available in the texts.

The Mehri language (like Jibbali), has changed over the last forty years, along with the entire country of Oman. Arabic influence on the language has been extensive, and younger speakers can hardly speak a sentence, or so it seems, without including numerous Arabic words and phrases. Younger speakers recognize that their language is not the same as that of their parents and grandparents. Knowing full well that the language as it appears in Johnstone's forty-year-old texts—all of which were carefully written out, and not just spoken spontaneously-does not fully reflect the language as spoken today, and know-

46 This manuscript, entitled "The reliability of the SAE [= Südarabische Expedition] publications on the MSA languages", is in the possession of Antoine Lonnet, who kindly allowed me to borrow it for study.
ing that there is quite a bit of variation among today's many speakers, I still have chosen to use these texts as the basis for my grammar. When writing a grammar of any language, one cannot take into account all variations; that would be an impossible task. Although nearly all of the rules outlined in this grammar are based on the language of a single informant (Ali Musallam), I have found that these rules work just as well for the language of other informants, and that the data closely match what has been found by other researchers. Where there are differences, I have noted them where appropriate.

Writing a grammar of an unwritten, unstandardized language presents its own challenges. In such a situation, there is usually greater variation among speakers, and, moreover, it is not always clear which forms to single out as ideal. For example, if I were writing a grammar of English, I would not list anə as a marker of the future tense. Nevertheless, I use this form regularly in my own native American English dialect, as in I'm əna go home or he's ana call me later (əna < gonna < going to). I might include the form gonna in my grammar, but certainly not ana, even though this is a widely used surface realization of the underlying phrase going to. In a language without a standardized writing tradition, like Mehri, it is not always clear where to draw the line for such lexemes or morphemes. Of course, more reduced forms are typical of fast speech, while more conservative forms are more typical of very careful speech or writing. It is precisely because the language of most of Johnstone's texts reflect more careful speech or writing that I feel justified in choosing to use these as the basis for the grammar. It seems more reasonable to start a description with more conservative forms, and add to these observations on the changes that take place in fast speech. The same point applies to the fact that Johnstone's texts reflect a slightly older stage of the language-conservative grammatically, as well as phonetically.

It also seems to me that many of the readers of this volume will be most interested in Mehri for its importance to comparative Semitic studies, and so for this reason as well it makes good sense to base the grammar on Johnstone's texts. Those who are interested only in contemporary Mehri, or in modern Arabic interference in Mehri, will still find much of use in this book.

Ideally, a grammar of Mehri would be constructed on the basis of my having lived for six months or more in some Mehri-speaking town, hearing and speaking the language on a daily basis, and interacting with male and female speakers of all ages. None of my efforts described above can totally compensate for not having studied the language in its native environment. However, I believe that this grammar has succeeded in describing the essential structures of the language. Still, I have no doubt that there is more out there to describe, or that some of the suggestions in the book may be subject to revision.

## Phonology

### 2.1 Mehri Consonants

The following table illustrates the phonemic inventory of Omani Mehri:

|  |  |  | \# \# \# 0 |  |  |  | 坒 |  | त |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voiceless |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  | $k$ |  | () |
| voiced | $b$ |  |  | $d$ |  |  | $g$ |  |  |
| glottalic |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  | k |  |  |
| Fricatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| voiceless |  | $f$ | $\underline{t}$ | $s$ | $s$ | $\check{s}$ | $x$ | $\xrightarrow{h}$ | $h$ |
| voiced |  |  | б | $z$ | $l$ | (j) | $\dot{g}$ | , |  |
| glottalic |  |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{S}{ }$ | S | $\stackrel{\text { ş }}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| Nasal | $m$ |  |  | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  |  | $r$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant | $w$ |  |  |  |  | $y$ |  |  |  |

## Notes:

- The historical consonant ' is lost. See below, § 2.1.3 and § 2.2.4.
- In Yemeni dialects, $g$ is realized as a palatal [ $f$ ] or [d3].
- The phoneme $l$ is lost or becomes $w$ in some environments. See below, § 2.1.5.
- The consonant $j([3]$ or [d3]) occurs only in loanwords. In the texts, it is found in the word janbáyyat 'dagger' (from Arabic janbiyyat-), but ganbáyyat is also found (cf. 34:11 and 34:12).
- The pronunciation of the fricative $\dot{g}$ can be velar (IPA [ $\chi]$ ) or uvular (IPA [ь]). It can also be pronounced as a glottalic fricative [ $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ ] (Dufour 2016: 23). This explains why Ali Musallam sometimes confuses $k$ and $\dot{g}$ in his spelling.
- The consonant ' is lost in most environments, unlike in Yemeni dialects. See below, § 2.1.3.
- Johnstone described the phoneme ṣ́ as voiced, rather than glottalic, but it behaves like the other glottalic consonants. See below, § 2.2.1.
- The phoneme ṣ̌ is very rare. It only occurs in four words in the texts: ṣōba' 'fingers', manṣ้abēt 'bow', ṣ้ənáyf 'corner', and the verb ḳaṣ้áwl 'snap'. In at least some Yemeni dialects this phoneme is an affricate, and is thus transcribed $\check{c}$ in some publications (e.g., Sima 2009).

The MSA phoneme ${ }^{* v}$ (cognate with Arabic $s$ ) became $h$ in Mehri in most environments. As a consequence, the phoneme $\check{s}$ in Mehri is rather rare. Morphological $\check{s}$ derives from different historical sources. The 2 fs suffixed pronoun -š comes from ${ }^{*}$ - $k i$, ${ }^{1}$ while the verbal prefix $s^{-}$- (§6.4) comes from *st- (the Semitic Ct- or Št-Stem). Lexical š often occurs in loanwords (e.g., kšūf 'uncover, expose' < Arabic kašafa; ašráyn 'twenty' < Arabic), but in at least one noun it is derived from a historical * (šabdīt 'liver', from the Semitic root $k b d$ ). In some environments the sound change $\check{s}>h$ appears to have been blocked due to phonolog-
 šm). ${ }^{2}$

### 2.1.1 Glottalic Consonants

The consonants $\not \subset, \underline{k}, \underline{s}, t, s, s$, and $\stackrel{y}{c}$ are glottalic. Another term used for such consonants is "ejective". Semitists often refer to these consonants as "emphatic", a term which is rather vague. In Arabic, these "emphatic" consonants are pharyngealized, while in the Ethiopian Semitic languages they are glottalic. Johnstone was the first scholar to make it widely known these consonants were in fact glottalics in Mehri (and in the other MSA languages), and his first announcement of this fact in 1970 (published as Johnstone 1975b) was significant in the field of Semitics.

[^7]The above having been said, the glottalic articulation of the "glottalic" consonants is not always present. Their consistent articulation as glottalics is not quite as evident as, say, in Amharic. Johnstone (1975a: 6) makes two important points:

1. Aspiration of most of the voiceless, non-glottalic consonants constitutes an important element in the distinction of glottalic/non-glottalic pairs.
2. The degree of glottalization can vary in strength, depending on a number of factors, such as whether a consonant is initial, medial, or final, and the effect of contiguous consonants.

Johnstone also writes that it is not completely clear how the glottalic consonants fit into the categories of voiced and voiceless, and that "perhaps best defined as partially voiced" (1975a: 7). What is certain is that the glottalics pair with voiced consonants when it comes to certain morphological features, such as the appearance of the definite article (§4.4) and the prefixes of the D/L-Stem (§6.2) and H-Stem (§6.3). The glottalics also pair with voiced consonants when it comes to certain sound changes (see $\S$ 2.1.2), but with guttural consonants (voiced or voiceless) when it comes to others (see § 2.2.1).

Watson (2012: 12-16) claimed that all of the emphatic consonants except $k$ normally have a pharyngeal realization, rather than a glottalic one, though she also recognized glottalic $s \underset{c}{ }$ and $t$ in some environments. See also the studies of Watson and Bellem (2010, 2011). The later study of Watson and Heselwood (2016) describes the situation a bit differently. They maintain that the Mehri emphatics are all glottalics with voiced allophones, and they show how the emphatics, like the voiced consonants (but unlike the voiceless ones) are unaspirated. Ridouane, Gendrot, and Khatiwada (2015) and Ridouane and Gendrot (2017) also provide solid evidence for a glottalic pronunciation. All these researchers acknowledge that there is some variation among speakers as to the pronunciation of these consonants.

It should be mentioned that the consonants $\not \partial$, ṣ̆, and ṣ́ are transcribed by
 Johnstone and in both $M L O$ and $J L O$ ) do not make it clear that this phoneme is the glottalic counterpart of ś. Johnstone believed this phoneme to be the voiced counterpart of $s$, rather than a glottalic, which explains his use of $z$ in $M L$. The consonant $\underset{\partial}{\partial}$ does seem to be voiced in Omani Mehri. As noted above, $c ̧$ in place of $\stackrel{s}{s}$ stems from the fact that this phoneme can be realized as an affricate in some dialects. Also note that some scholars prefer to transcribe the glottalics with a following apostrophe or accent mark rather than a dot below, e.g., $k$ ' and $s^{\prime}$ for $k$ and $s$. . The former follows IPA conventions, while the latter is traditional in the field of Semitics.

### 2.1.2 "Idle Glottis" Consonants

In several respects, the voiceless, non-glottalic consonants $f, \underline{t}, t, s, s, s, k, x$, $h$, and $h$-what Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a) have termed "idle glottis" consonants—behave differently than the voiced and glottalic consonants.

Unstressed phonemic a does not occur between two idle glottis consonants. ${ }^{3}$ This has an effect on various morphological patterns. For example, the Ga-Stem 3ms perfect pattern $C \partial C \bar{u} C$ becomes $C C \bar{u} C$ when the first two consonants are idle glottis (e.g., ġarūb 'he knew', but $k t u \bar{b}$ 'he wrote').

Lack of a between two idle glottis consonants also has an effect on syllable structure, which in turn has an effect on vowels. Cf. aġáygas 'her husband' (< *aǵáyg + os) vs. abáts 'her house' (< *abáyt $+s$ ). The presence of a in agááygas means that the diphthong ay is in an open syllable, but the lack of a in *abáyt$s$ means that ay is in a doubly-closed syllable, and so is reduced to á. (The diphthong ay is only allowed in a stressed open syllable or a stressed, singlyclosed final syllable; see $\S 2.2$.) For an example with the feminine forms of adjectives, see $\S 5.2$, n. 8 . For more significant effects pertaining to the verbal system, see § 7.2.12.

The following additional points can be made:

- Clusters of two or three idle glottis consonants are allowed in syllable-initial or syllable-final position, e.g., kšfis 'he uncovered her' (24:9), ftkūt 'she left' (48:9), and watxf 'he came' (31:1). Sometimes an epenthetic (non-phonemic) a may intervene to break up a cluster of three, e.g., wátxəf (31:9) and təsháyt. 'you will be killed' (24:39).
- The definite article $a$ - does not appear before an idle glottis consonant (except before a cluster of two idle glottis consonants). See $\S 4.4$ for details.
- The characteristic prefix $a$ - of the D/L- and Q-Stems, and the prefix $h$ - of the H-Stem do not appear before an idle glottis consonant. See $\S 6.2, \S 6.3$, and $\S$ 6.6.1 for details.

An exception to the rule is found with certain verb forms. 3 mp perfects and $2 / 3 \mathrm{mp}$ imperfect and subjunctive forms that do not have a suffixed $-\partial m$ have an underlying final $-a\left(<{ }^{*}-u\right)$, which is evident by the fact that these verbs do not show vowel reduction when an object suffix is added (§7.1.1 and $\S 7$ 7.1.2). For example, a form like wazáwmham 'they gave them' (35:2) must be from *wazáwma-ham; if the underlying form were **wazáwm-ham, then we would expect a surface form **wazámham. The lack of vowel reduction applies even when the underlying a should not be present between two idle glottis conso-

[^8]nants. So we find 3mp perfect škalītzah 'they heard the story from him' (92:6), not **skaláth, and 3mp imperfect yaxlīfk 'they will replace you' (76:5), not **yoxláfk. For a more extensive discussion of these idle glottis consonants, see the seminal article by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a). See also §7.2.12 for many more examples from within the verbal system.

### 2.1.3 The Consonants' and ${ }^{\prime}$

The consonant ' is lost in most environments in Omani Mehri. It is regularly retained (outside of some Arabisms) only in three environments:

1. When followed by stressed á in a closed syllable, e.g., 'ámlam 'they did' (cf. áymal 'he did'), 'aśs 'he got up' (cf. āśśūt 'she got up'), and fa'ámke 'your feet' (24:28; cf. fawm 'feet' < *fa'ūm or *fááwm).
2. When followed by the diphthong ay in an initial syllable (stressed or unstressed), in a stressed, open syllable, or in a stressed, final (open or closed) syllable, ${ }^{4}$ e.g., 'ayśée ‘dinner', 'áynət 'a little', 'áymar ‘singer', 'aylīg 'young camel', ba'áyli 'owners of',5 ba'áyr 'male camel', and kal'áy 'he let me'. The major exceptions to this are monosyllabic nouns of the shape $C V C$, e.g., ayn 'eye', ayd 'sardines', and ayb 'disgrace' (including derived forms like áynah 'his eye'). When unstressed, initial 'ay is hard to distinguish from ay on the audio, but Ali regularly spelled such words with $\varepsilon$ in his manuscripts. When the definite article is added to a word beginning with unstressed 'ay-, the sequence $a^{\prime} a y$ - (both vowels unstressed) is normally realized $a^{\prime} i$-, e.g., $a^{c} i s e \bar{e} k$ 'your dinner'. Again the ' is often hard to hear, though it is regularly written in the Arabic-letter manuscripts. ${ }^{6}$
3. In the sequence $V^{〔} y$, which we find in D/L-Stem forms of I-c, II-y verbs (§6.2.1; §7.2.2; §7.2.7), e.g., $a^{\text {cyitt }}$ 'he cried out' and $a^{\prime} y$ ts 'he kept alive'; in some forms of I-‘, II-y verbs and II-‘, III-w/y verbs, e.g., yayénah he may look at him with the evil eye' (22:3); and in a very few nouns, e.g., ba'yōr 'male camels', wa'yōl 'ibexes', and $k \bar{e}$ ' yōt 'female spirit'.'

4 This refers only to an original ay. A diphthong ay that arises from the shift of $\bar{\iota}>a y$ after ${ }^{\text {' }}$ (§ 2.2.2) does not count. Examples are koṭ'áy 'he cut me' (75:18), but koṭáys 'he cut her' (75:18, < *kat ${ }^{〔} i s$ ); 3ms Gb-Stem perfects of I-‘verbs, e.g., áymal 'he did' (< *'imal) and áygab 'he loved' (< *'igab); and the numeral áyśar 'ten (days)' (< *'iśar; see § 9.2).
5 The pronunciation of ba'áyli tends towards bəéli. On 'aylïg, see the comment to text 102:11.
6 On the other hand, the exceptional cases where we do not find 'before stressed ay (like áygab 'he loved' and ayn 'eye') are regularly spelled by Ali with I (without \&).
7 Where $V^{\prime} y$ comes from $V^{\prime} \partial y$, we normally find $\bar{a}$, e.g., $\bar{a} y$ énthe 'his eyes’ < *a-‘yénthe (77:1).

In other environments, 'is lost, e.g., ōśar 'ten' (< *cossar) and $\bar{a} d$ 'still, yet' (< *‘ $\bar{a} d$ ). The sequences 'ə, 'á, and 'e $\bar{e}$ become $\bar{a}$, e.g., $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'he said' (< *‘əmūr). In medial position, when ' is lost between vowels, the vowels merge, e.g., ș $\bar{a} k$ 'he called' < *s.s̊āk. The sequence $\partial^{\text {c }}$ also becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., hāśūśs 'he roused’ (< *həs‘súś), but word-final -ə‘ becomes -a (sometimes phonetically -a’ or -a’), e.g., $n u \overline{k a}$ 'he came' (< *nūkə ). Word-final $-\bar{e}^{-}$and $-\bar{a}^{c}$ become $-\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $-\bar{a}$, respectively, which are pronounced $-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $-a^{\prime}$ unless suffixes are added, e.g., 3 ms subjunctive yanké' 'he comes' (< *yank $\bar{e}$ '), but yankēh 'he comes to him' (< "yankē'h); see also § 2.2.4. The sequence $a^{\prime}$ also becomes $\bar{\varepsilon}$, as in yah $\bar{\varepsilon} r a s$ 'he may marry' (< *yaháras).

Where ' is lost, it remains present in the underlying phonological form. So, for example, the underlying ' causes diphthongization in the form áygab 'he loved' (< *īgəb), even though it is lost in pronunciation. Likewise, we find nákak 'I came' < *nōk'วk; if the 'were not present in the underlying form, we would not see reduction of $\bar{o}>a ́$ in the closed syllable. On the other hand, the underlying ' is not relevant for the sound rule $C V l^{\prime} C>C \bar{\varepsilon} C$ (see $\S 2.1 .5$ ). Hence we find 3 fs subjunctive thálab 'she may over-milk' (< *thál'əb), with no loss of $l$.

When the definite article $a$ - (§4.4) is added to a word in which an initial ' has been lost, the article either merges with the following vowel, or is pronounced with a hiatus, e.g., āṣar or aáṣar 'the night'.

The etymological glottal stop ' has been lost everywhere. But while the loss of ' is a recent phenomenon, loss of 'must be older. Compare the following two columns of words:

| $f \bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'leg' < *fa'm | $r o ̄ h ' h e a d ' ~<~ * r a ' h ~$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $s a \bar{k} k$ 'he called' < *ṣa'ák. | sōl'demand payment of a debt' < *sa'ál |

The sequence $a^{\prime}(a)$ must have first become $\bar{a}$. The fact that $a^{\prime}(a)$ became $\bar{o}$, as in rōh and sōl, but $a^{c}(a)$ became $\bar{\varepsilon}$ or $\bar{a}$ (the latter after a guttural or glottalic), as in $f \bar{\varepsilon} m$ and $s ̦ \bar{a} k$, shows that the shift of * $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ happened before the loss of , and that when $a^{c}(a)$ became $\bar{a}$, the shift of ${ }^{*} \bar{a}>\bar{o}$ was no longer operative. That is to say, we can posit a relative chronology for the following sound changes:

1. ${ }^{*} a^{\prime}(a)>{ }^{*} \bar{a}$ (e.g., ** $\left.s a{ }^{\prime} a l>{ }^{*} s \bar{a} l\right)$
2. ${ }^{*} \bar{a}>\bar{o}\left(\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g}\right.$., $\left.{ }^{*} s \bar{a} l>s \bar{o} l\right)$

However, while Ali normally spelled forms of 'eyes' with the Arabic letter I, he once wrote it with $\mathcal{\&}$ (42:27; but with $\$ in $42: 28$ ).
 $\bar{a}$, given above)

We may hear initial ' used before a word-initial vowel, but this is not phonemic. And ' used in final position either stems from a historical ' (see above and $\S$ 2.2.4), or is simply a phonetic variant of a word-final vowel.

For more on historical ' and ' as root consonants in the verbal system, see §7.2.2, §7.2.6, and §7.2.9.

In $M L$, the consonants ' and 'are often confused, and one should always be aware of this fact when using that dictionary.

### 2.1.4 The Non-occurrence and Assimilation of h-

The morpheme $h$ assimilates to a following $h$ or $h$. That is,

$$
h C>C C(\mathrm{C}=h, h()
$$

One common word affected by this rule is the preposition $h$ - 'to; for' (§8.8). In most cases, the initial geminate $h$ or $h$ is simplified. As a result, there are many places where we expect the preposition $h$ - before an indirect object, but it is not noticeably (to the naked ear) present. Such are:
amatwe hab $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'pasturage for the camels' (13:8)
hēśzn 'why?' (27:2) (lit. 'for what?'; see § 11.2)
naḥōm nạ̣lēb hanfáyyan 'let's milk for ourselves' (35:20)

$\bar{a} m u \bar{r} h e \bar{x} \partial r$ hạbráh 'the old man said to his son' (83:2)
āmárk hərbātye 'I said to my companions' (91:4)
sḥāt ḥamátah 'he slaughtered for his sister-in-law' (94:48)
Sometimes the geminate resulting from the assimilation is clearly audible, as in: ${ }^{8}$
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$ aḥ-ḥabráh 'he said to his son' (48:15)

Individual words can also be affected by this rule, e.g., meḥh 'clarified butter' < *mahḥ < *mašḥ (Jibbali mašh). There are also exceptions in the texts,

[^9]namely, $h$-hōkam (20:51; but see the comment to that text), h-hawōdi (70:7), and $h$-háywal (91:11). ${ }^{9}$

This rule is also commonly met in forms of H-Stem verbs. In the H-Stem perfect and imperfect, the element $h$ that is so characteristic of the stem assimilates to a following voiceless, non-glottalic consonant. That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h C_{1} C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3}>C_{1} C_{1}(\partial) C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}=\text { voiceless, non-glottalic }\right) \\
& \text { y} \partial C_{1} C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3}>y \partial C_{1} C_{1}(\partial) C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}=\text { voiceless, non-glottalic }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the perfect, this results in an initial geminate that often surfaces as a simple consonant. So, for example, the 3 ms perfect ffalūt 'he fled' is often pronounced falūt. The geminate is normally heard when non-initial, as in wa-ffalūt 'and he fled'. See further in §6.3, as well as Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a; 2017a).

### 2.1.5 The Loss of 1

In Omani Mehri, the consonant $l$ is subject to phonetic change when it occurs in the environment $C V \_C$, whether or not the following consonant is part of the same syllable. When $l$ is preceded by a stressed short vowel in a closed syllable, the sequence $V l$ becomes $\bar{\varepsilon}$ ( $\bar{a}$ after a guttural or glottalic). That is to say:

$$
C \bar{V} l C>C \bar{\varepsilon} C \text { or } C \bar{a} C(\mathrm{~V}=\text { á or } a ́)
$$

We can see this sound change, for example, in nouns that have the pattern CVCC:

```
\overline{\varepsilon}f 'thousand' (< *alf)
g\overline{\varepsilon}d 'skin' (< 'gald)
hām 'dream' (< *halm)
k\overline{\varepsilon}\underline{t} 'speech' (< *kal\underline{t})
kāb 'mind; heart' (< *'kalb)
```

We also find the change in the phrase $k \bar{a}-t \underline{a} t$ ' 'each one', which is a variant of $k a \bar{l} l t a \bar{t}$ ( (§3.5•3). An exception to the rule is the word kawb 'dog, wolf' (< *kalb), which has an unexpected $a w$. However, the shift of $l>w$ in this word actually

[^10]pre-dates the Omani Mehri sound change, since it is found also in Yemeni Mehri dialects, Ḥarsusi kawb/kōb, Jibbali kJb, and Hobyot kōb (HV, p. 213).

In the plural forms of the Mehri words listed above, the $l$ is not subject to the sound rule, so we see, e.g., yalēf 'thousands', ḥaláwm 'dreams', and kalōb 'dogs, wolves'.

When preceded by an unstressed vowel, $l$ in a closed syllable is realized as $w$, that is:

$$
C V l C>C V w C(\mathrm{~V}=\partial \text { or } a)
$$

We find this change, for example, in marked feminine nouns of the pattern $C V C C \bar{V} t$, as in:

```
howkkāt 'ring' (< *halkāt)
kawtēt 'story' (< *kaltuēt)
kawsēt 'button' (< *kalsēt)
mawkēt 'possession of a woman in marriage' (< *malkēt)
xawfit 'window' (< *xalfit)
xawkkāt 'mole (on skin)' (< 'xalkāt)
```

As with the previous set of nouns, the $l$ is present in the plural forms, e.g., kalábtan 'female dogs' and xalōyaf 'windows'. The loss of $l$ can also be seen in a few nouns of other patterns, for example, mawsē 'rain' (root $l s w$ ), masəwmūt 'sacrificed animal' (root $s l m$ ), and sawsalēt 'chain' (root $s l s l)$. Also, even when a noun does not normally meet the conditions for loss of $l$, it may do so when a suffix is added, e.g., kabáylət 'tribe', but akabā̄tkam 'your tribe' (38:16, < *akabáltkam).

When the syllable la- occurs word initially-as with other syllables of the shape $C \partial$ - whose initial consonant is a liquid or nasal ( $\S 2.2 .3$ ) -it is often realized $a l$-. Consequently, the initial sequence $a l$ - often shifts to $\partial w$-. This affects a small number of nouns and adjectives, like awbadēt 'noise' (< *labdēt) and awbōn 'white' (< *abōn). The preposition l- (§8.12) and the prefix $l$ - of the 1cs subjunctive (§7.1.3) are not affected, however.

These sound changes are most commonly met in verbs that have $l$ as a root consonant. From verbs whose first root consonant is $l$, we find the alternation of $l$ and $w$ or $\bar{\varepsilon} / \bar{a}$ throughout the paradigms of most stems, for example:

[^11]awtēg 'he was killed' (< *altēg < *latēég, cf. lūtə $\dot{g}$ 'he killed’) watġōna ‘will kill (ms)' (< awtg̈ōna < *altġōna < *latḡōna) ${ }^{10}$

From verbs whose second root consonant is $l$, we find forms like:

```
ḥēmək 'I dreamt' (< *hálmək, cf. háálam 'he dreamt')
ġəwkáwt 'she looked' (< *'galkáwt, cf. ġalūk 'he looked')
kawtūt 'she told' (< *kalt \(\bar{u} t\), cf. kalūt 'he told')
ḥawbōh 'they (two) milked' (< *halbōh, cf. halūb 'he milked')
tag̈ākam ‘you (mp) look' (< "təġálkam, cf. yaġáwlaḳ ‘he looks’)
yakētam 'they tell' (< yakáltam, cf. yakūlot 'he tells')
```



```
sawbōna 'will wait (ms)' ( < *salbōna, cf. sīlab 'he waited')
```

And from verbs whose final root consonant is $l$, we find forms like:

```
ahtaw\overline{\varepsilon}k 'I went crazy' (< *ahtzwálk, cf. aḥtzwūl 'he went crazy')
śxawl\overline{k}k 'I sat' (< *'śxawlálk, cf. śxawlūl 'he sat')
šal\overline{s 'take it!' (< *śalćls, cf. śaléli 'take me!')}
```

The loss of $l$ and shift of $l>w$ is blocked before some guttural consonants (at least $h$, ' ', and $^{\prime} h$ ), as in ṣalh 'truce', alḥāk 'he caught up', halháwk 'he rounded up', kal'áy 'he let me', and alhē 'it bleated'. The form towēhe 'to him' has the variants tawálhe and təwélhe (see §8.23). Loss of $l$ is also blocked before $y$ and $w$, as in salyēba 'will wait (mp)', tawélys 'to me', alwīm 'he expected', and gálwak 'I got ill', and when $l$ is followed by another $l$, as in dallōna 'will guide (ms)' and dállak 'I guided'. There is also a tendency to preserve $l$ before the glottalic $t$, as in yalṭōf 'he was kind' (15:10), wa-lṭamátah 'and she slapped him' (48:11), and the noun salțān 'ruler, sultan' (e.g., 68:6), though these words are all probably Arabic loans. There is also some variation; cf. дə-wțəmūk 'the one who slapped you' (47:4, < *дд-lṭmūk), from a verb which also may be a borrowing (cf. Arabic laṭama 'slap'). I-l verbs show no changes in the T1-Stem, e.g., yaltáyw 'it may wrap' (49:11) and altta $\dot{g} o ̄ h ~ ' t h e y ~ k i l l e d ~ e a c h ~ o t h e r ' ~(4: 17) . ~$

[^12]
### 2.1.6 The Loss of t

The prefix $t$-, which is used with the third-person feminine and all secondperson forms of the imperfect, subjunctive, and conditional, is often lost in pronunciation when it occurs before $t, t, s, s, s, s, s$, or $\check{s}$. In such cases in the texts, I have transcribed the underlying prefix in parentheses. Examples are:

```
(t)tzhš (49:15)
(t)ták\mp@code{a`n (64:6) (< *t-ttáḳkan)}
(t)t!aym (35:5)
(t)tōm (75:22)
(t)sbēt (89:13)
(t)syür (44:9)
(t)ṣábran (27:23)
(t)ṣāri (54:19)
(t)śalūlan (68:9)
(t)š́́mah (3:12)
(t)ṣhhōk (36:25)
(t)ṣáți (89:31)
(t)šhēgas (22:32)
(t)šǎkfi (85:34)
```

When not word initial (e.g., after the conjunction wa-) the loss of $t$ may cause gemination of the following consonant; see the comment to text 68:9.

It is less common to find the prefix $t$ - preserved before the above consonants. Examples of $t$ - preserved before $s, s, s, s ̌$ in the texts are:

```
tslébi (76:18)
tsāmi (83:5)
tsmēḥam (24:41)
taṣábran (58:9)
tśľlél (85:24)
tśśmah (85:28) (cf. 3:12 above)
tšàkfi (85:29) (cf. 85:34 above)
atṭwéhi (75:6)
```

The prefix $t$ - before another $t$ results in an initial geminate $t$ that often simplified, as in the first two examples in this section. But the geminate can also be preserved, pronounced with or without an epenthetic initial schwa. So for example, we find ( $t$ )tīyan (15:17; 35:12), with only a simple $t$ pronounced, but also attīyan (15:18) (< *ttīyzn). When there is a prefixed conjunction, the gem-
inate is preserved, as in wa-ttéhəh (15:6). When preserved before $t$, we find an initial epenthetic schwa, as in atṭwéhi (75:6).

As a general rule, when the prefix $t$ - is absent from the audio, it is also absent from Ali's Arabic-letter manuscript. And when it is heard on the audio, it is usually present in the manuscript. This is true for all of the above examples. Since Ali read the manuscripts for the audio recordings, his spelling evidently influenced his pronunciations. However, there are also times when Ali wrote the prefix $t$ - in the manuscript, but it is not heard on the audio, e.g., ( $t$ )śn $\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{s}$ (48:7) and ( $t$ )syērzm (89:12).

It should be added that the Mehri numeral ' 9 ' (see § 9.1.1 for the forms) exhibits this same loss of historical $t$-before $s$, and that the loss of the $t$ - prefix has parallels in the other MSA languages. ${ }^{11}$

### 2.1.7 Assimilation of д

The particle $\partial$-, which can be a relative pronoun (§3.8.1), genitive exponent (§12.4), or verbal prefix (§7.1.10), sometimes assimilates to a following consonant. The verbal prefix $\partial$ - regularly assimilates to a following $t$, and sometimes assimilates to another dental or sibilant. In such cases, the resulting wordinitial geminate is often simplified (as in the first three examples below), in which cases the verbal prefix is simply suppressed. Alternatively, the initial geminate is pronounced with an epenthetic $a$, as in the remainder of the examples below.

```
kō hēt tabáyk 'why are you crying?' (5:10) (tabáyk < *д-təbáyk)
kō tēm tḥáfram naxāli abáyti ‘why are you digging under my house?'
    (19:16) (tháfrom < *خ-tḥáfram)
sē taṣ́hōk ‘she was laughing' (89:9) (taṣ́ḥ̄̄k < *J-tzṣ́hōk)
\(k o ̄ ~ h e ̄ t ~ \partial t-t a g ̆ a ́ w l a k ̣ ~ b a y ~ w a-t-t a b a ́ y k ~ ' w h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ l o o k i n g ~ a t ~ m e ~ a n d ~\)
    crying?' (22:25)
ankōt arissit at-tanhōk 'the serpent came roaring' (42:26)
hātamūt at-tagōla aganēd 'it spent the night gnawing on the branches'
    (42:30)
tétrah ət-tzḳáyn g̀igēn 'his wife was nursing a boy' (64:6)
hē \(\partial s\)-śsxawlūl 'he was sitting down' (77:7)
```

For more examples, see $\S 7$ 7.1.10.1. Note that the particle $\partial$ - is apparently not suppressed before $t$ - when it is part of the verbal root, though evidence for this

[^13]is not abundant. Cf. ðə-ttaláyk ‘I regret' (53:6, root thy) and əð-tābəm 'they were weary' (61:1, root $t^{t} b$ ); but see also §13.5.3.1, n. 25 .

Cases of assimilation with the relative pronoun and genitive exponent are much less common in the texts, but we do find a few. Examples with the relative pronoun are:
b-anēhar ðعh s-sarīn 'in this wadi behind us' (28:5)
anḥōm naślēl te ḥawōdi s-sarīn 'we should move to the valley behind us' (30:2) $)^{12}$
ag̉áyg aś-śōm amandáwķəh 'the man who sold his rifle' (39:10)
ḥabrē ðд-ttēt as-sayūr šīham 'the woman's son who had gone with them' (42:22)
šxabarátah tēt at-taḳáyn 'the woman who was nursing questioned him' (63:13)
$k \bar{a} l$ дz-zzyūd 'all that was more' (66:8)
agááyg əð̣-ð̣ār abáyr 'the man who was over the well' (68:4)
fakh ə $\partial$-həb $\bar{\varepsilon} r \partial z-z a g e \bar{d}$ 'half of the camels that were stolen' (69:8)
And examples of assimilation with the genitive exponent are:
káwḥal ad-dagōg 'chicken eggs' (18:12)
fakh $\partial s-s \bar{\varepsilon} t$ 'half an hour' (53:6)
kāāēw วś-śxōf 'a bowl of milk' (63:6)
kaff $\partial z$-zaytūn 'the hand of an olive tree' (85:16)

### 2.1.8 Gemination

Consonant gemination exists in Mehri, though it plays almost no role in derivational or inflectional morphology. Most examples of gemination result from a consonant assimilation.

In the nominal system, gemination is simply lexical in words like $\dot{g} a g g i t$ 'girl', ġaggōtən ‘girl', ba-halláy '(at) night', sənnáwrət 'cat', ámma 'as for' (§ 12.5.3), ḳənnáwn 'small', and xammōh 'five'.

There are a number of nouns with the pattern $C \partial C C \bar{o} C$ or $C \partial C C \bar{a} C$ that designate occupations. One might suggest that in this pattern the gemination is a feature of derivational morphology. However, these words are most likely

12 Assimilation of $\partial$ - seems to be the norm before forms of $s \bar{a} r$ 'behind' with a pronominal suffix. See also 80:4 and probably also 102:4, though I found no audio to confirm the latter.
just borrowings from Arabic. At best, they are part of a noun pattern that is no longer very productive. Examples are hallāk. 'barber', ṣəyyōd 'fisherman', ḳaṣsāb 'butcher', dallōl 'guide', and ḥaddōd 'blacksmith'.

Some nouns exhibit gemination in the plural, but not in the singular. An example is xaddōmət 'workers' (sg. xōdəm). Gemination here is obviously part of the morphology of the plural pattern, but such examples are met infrequently and are mainly (or possibly always) borrowings from Arabic.

In word-final position, a geminate cluster is sometimes shortened in pronunciation. This is true of both nouns and verbs. So, for example, hamm 'name' may be pronounced ham, and radd 'he came back' may be pronounced rad. The word $k \bar{a} l$ 'all; each' ( $\S 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ ) always has a simple $l$, but the base to which suffixes are attached is kall- (e.g., kállah 'all of it'). A word-initial geminate, which with nouns and adjectives stems mainly from the definite article (see § 4.4), is either shortened or pronounced with the aid of an epenthetic a. So, for example, ttē $\underline{t}$ 'the woman' is pronounced either $t \bar{e} \underline{t}$ or $a t t e \bar{e} \underline{t}$. When a prefixed preposition or conjunction is added, the initial geminate is usually heard, e.g., wa-ttēt 'and the woman'. See also § 2.1.4, § 2.1.6, § 2.1.7, and § 2.1.9 for cases of initial geminates caused by consonant assimilation.

In the verbal system, gemination also plays no part in derivation. We do find gemination in verbs whose second and third root consonants are identical (geminate verbs; see §7.2.11), and in places where an assimilation has taken place. So, for example, geminate clusters are met in forms of D/L- and H-Stem verbs whose initial root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, e.g., D/L ssōfar 'he traveled' and H ffarūk 'he frightened' (see § 6.2 and $\S 6.3$ ). As with nouns, an initial geminate consonant is usually either simplified in word-initial position or resolved by epenthesis, e.g., 3 ms perfect sōfar or assōfar 'he traveled' (but wa-ssōfar 'and he traveled'). Gemination is also found in Ti-Stem verbs whose second root consonant is a sibilant, dental, or interdental (e.g., nátṭab 'fall off, drop (intrans.)', < *natṭab; see further below and in §6.5.1) and in T2-Stem verbs whose second root consonant is a dental or sibilant (e.g., ḩəみдūr 'he was careful', < *aḥtəдūr; see further below and in §6.5.3). Occasional irregular assimilation also causes gemination, as in the verbs attōma 'hear' and (t)takk 'drink' (see $\S 6.5 .3$ and $\S 7.2 .14)$.

There is also a general sound rule that results in geminate consonants, namely:

$$
C_{1} \partial C_{I} V>\partial C_{1} C_{1} V\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}=\text { identical consonants; } \partial \text { is unstressed }\right)
$$

The effects of this rule are seen in three places in the verbal system:

1. Various forms of geminate verbs are affected, e.g., H-Stem 3fs perfect hagallūt 'she boiled' (< *haglalūt) and G-Stem 3md imperfect yadallōh (< *yadlalōh). See further in §7.2.11. Comparable changes occur also in Qwand Qy-Stems (§6.7), e.g., śxawallūt 'she sat' (< *́śxəwlalūt).
2. In the T1-Stem imperfect (§6.5.1), the infixed morpheme $t$ assimilates to a dental, interdental, or sibilant, resulting in a geminate consonant that is then subject to the abovementioned rule. Examples are 3 ms imperfect yanatṭáwb 'he drops' ( < "yanatṭūb < *yanțt!̣ūb < *yantaṭūb) and 3mp imperfect yaraṣṣáyṣ 'they press together' (< *yarəṣṣịs < *yarṣaṣiṣ < *yartaṣiṣ).
3. In the T2-Stem perfect and subjunctive ( $\S 6.5 \cdot 3$ ), the infixed morpheme assimilates to dentals and interdentals, resulting in a geminate consonant that is then subject to the abovementioned rule. Examples are 3 ms perfect ḥəððūr 'he was careful' (< *əḥддūr < *ahtəðūr) and 3ms perfect xəṣṣáwṣ


In cases \#2 and \#3, we could suggest that the metathesis takes places prior to the actual assimilation, in which case $C_{1}$ in the sound rule needs not represent two identical consonants, but rather two identical consonants or two consonants with potential for assimilation. Examples following this analysis would be yaraṣsáyyṣ 'they press together' (< *yaraṣ̣ṣṣ < *yaratṣīṣ < *yartaṣịs) and haððūr


On the sound change $C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}>C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$, which also results in a geminate cluster, see § 7.2.11.

### 2.1.9 The Shift of $\mathrm{b}>\mathrm{m}$

There is an assimilatory sound change in Omani Mehri * $b V n>m V n$. This is seen in the following words:

```
 am-mán 'between' < am-bán < *man bayn (§ 8.15)
mandáwḳ 'rifle' < Arabic bunduq
mәnēdam 'person' < *banēdam (lit. 'son of Adam')
mandēr 'port' < Arabic bandar
mandáyrah 'flag' < Arabic bandēra
mangaráy 'bracelet, bangle' < bangrī (cf. Hindi bangrī)
zabōn 'time' < Arabic zamān
```

We also occasionally find the shift in a word with $n$ in a different position within the word, as in mastōn 'orchard, plantation' < Arabic bustān.

Forms of some of the above words with the original $b$ are found in Yemeni Mehri dialects, e.g., beyn or bīn 'between' (Jahn 1905: 125; Bittner 1914a: 12; Watson 2012: 114) and bnādam 'person' (Sima 2009: 230, text 45:2).

Verbal roots do not follow this rule (e.g., banōh 'build', kabūn 'hide'), ${ }^{13}$ and there are also many noun exceptions, e.g., ḥabūn 'sons' (construct báni). Sometimes doublets exist. For example, $M L$ lists both bənnāy (s.v. $b n v$ ) and mənnōy (s.v. mny) 'builder', the former from Arabic, and the latter a Mehrized form.

We also sometimes find a separate assimilatory shift of $b$ to $m$ that affects the preposition $b$ - (§8.6) before nouns or pronouns that have an initial $m$. So we find:

```
am-maskōt < b-maskōt 'in Muscat' (91:24)
ank\varepsiloń' am-mōh < ank\varepsiloń' b-mōh 'bring water' (76:2; cf. also 94:37)
(m-)mōn < b-mōn '(with) who?' (97:34)
```

This change is not universal, as can be seen from phrases like bə-məndáwk 'with a rifle' (6:10) and ba-maṣráwf 'with supplies' (8:7). It is not clear how regular the change is before the words mōn 'who?', mōh 'water', and maskōt 'Muscat'; in Jibbali it seems to be the norm before at least the first two of these ( JLO, § 2.1.4).

### 2.1.1 Word-final -nC

A word-final voiced consonant following the consonant $n$ is devoiced:

$$
n C_{+v o i c e} \#>n C_{- \text {-voice }} \#
$$

So, for example, on the audio recordings of Ali Musallam made by Johnstone, hand 'India' is pronounced hant (e.g., 40:6). Likewise, the word lang 'boat' is pronounced lank (e.g., 45:15), though the suffixed form alángah 'his boat' (74:24) is pronounced with a voiced $g$. I have not indicated this devoicing in the transcription.

### 2.2 Mehri Vowels

Mehri vowels have been a source of considerable disagreement in the past, though enormous progress has been made in the last several years, primarily through the work of Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral. ${ }^{14}$ We find

[^14]in Omani Mehri six long vowels, three short vowels, and four diphthongs, though not all are phonemic:

Long vowels: $\bar{a} \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{e} \bar{\iota} \bar{o} \bar{u}$
Short vowels: $a \varepsilon$ ว $i u$
Diphthongs: ay aw วy วw

We also find the nasals $\tilde{o}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, but they are restricted to just one or two words each (see below). Vowel length is for the most part predictable. We find long vowels only in the following three contexts:

1. In open, stressed syllables.
2. In word-final, stressed syllables closed by only one consonant (-CVC\#)
3. From compensatory lengthening as a result of a lost ', ', or contraction of a non-final sequence $\partial w$ or $\partial y$.

When we find a long vowel in an unstressed syllable, it is the result of compensatory lengthening or contraction. Unstressed long $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is often met as the result of a lost ', e.g., $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'he said' < *‘əmūr; see $\S$ 2.1.3. Unstressed long $\bar{\iota}$ is often met in the broken plural pattern CīCōC, ${ }^{15}$ e.g., rịhōm 'nice (mp)' (43:4, < *rayhōm).

When we find a short vowel in an open, stressed syllable, the syllable is actually closed in the underlying form. The most common examples of this are forms like nákak 'I came’, which has the underlying form /nák‘ək/; see further in § 2.1.3. Word-finally, unstressed long vowels are shortened, with no change in quality. Examples are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n u \overline{k a} \text { 'he came' (< *nūk } \bar{a}<{ }^{*} n \bar{u} k{ }^{c} \text { ) } \\
& \text { abáyti ‘my house’ (< *abáytī) } \\
& \text { śīni 'he saw' (< *śīn̄ < *śīnəy) } \\
& \text { gïlu 'he was sick' (< *gïlū < *gīlaw) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Word-final long vowels normally attract a final $-h$ or -' when stressed, and additional changes may occur; see further in § 2.2.4.

When an underlying long vowel should be in a closed syllable (or is doubly closed word-finally, i.e., CVCC\#), the vowel is reduced. We see the following correspondences of long and reduced vowels:

[^15]| Long | Reduced |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{u}$ | $8^{16}$ |
| $\bar{\imath}$ |  |
| $a w^{17}$ |  |
| ay |  |
| $\bar{o}$ | $a(\varepsilon)$ |
| $\bar{a}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ |  |
| $\bar{e}$ |  |

The reduced vowel $a$ has the allophone $\varepsilon$, which is especially common in a doubly-closed syllable and in the reduced form of the noun pattern $C \bar{e} C ə C$ (base $C \dot{\varepsilon} C C-$ ), except before $x$ or $\dot{g} \cdot{ }^{18}$ Examples are:

```
ġ\partialrūb 'he knew' }->\mathrm{ ġarábk `I knew'
sūmaḥ 'he forgave' }->\mathrm{ sámha`` 'I forgave'
rīkab 'he mounted' }->\mathrm{ rákbak 'I mounted'
xaṣáwm 'enemies' }->\mathrm{ xaṣámhe 'his enemies'
abáyt 'the house' }->\mathrm{ abáts 'her house'
thōm 'you want' }->\mathrm{ tháms 'you want her'
nәkōt 'she came' }->\mathrm{ nәkáts 'she came to her'
bār 'he went out' }->\mathrm{ bark 'I went out'
tēt 'woman, wife' }->\mathrm{ tetrk 'your wife'
n\partialwtēg}\mathrm{ 'we may kill' }->\mathrm{ nәwtáġs 'we may kill her'
yardēd 'he may return' }->\mathrm{ yardéds 'he may return her'
sēkan 'settlement' }->\mathrm{ séknak 'your settlement'
```

16 This can sometimes be realized $a$ when following a glottalic or guttural. See the end of $\S$ 2.2.1, the end of $\S 2.2 .2$, and $\S 7.2 .1$ for examples.
17 The sequence $a w$ may appear in a non-final closed syllable when $a$ is a reduced form of an underlying $\bar{o}$, as in xaláwkys 'my clothes' < *xalōwkye $\leftarrow x a l o ̄ w a k ̣ ~ ' c l o t h e s ' . ~$
18 Nouns of the pattern $C \bar{e} C \partial C$ are equivalent to the Hebrew segholate type and the Arabic pattern $f a c$.

The default short vowel is $a$. Note that it can, and often does, bear stress. The short vowels $a$ and $\varepsilon$, when not the reflex of a reduced long vowel, are rather rare. The vowel $a$ is found in the definite article $a$ - (see $\S 44$ ), in the suffix of the ms future CəCCōna (see §7.1.6), and in the conjunction walākan 'but'. The short vowel $\varepsilon$ is often an allophone of $a$, but cannot be considered so in the possessive suffixes used for plural nouns (see §3.3.2) or in a handful of isolated words, like the demonstrative $ð \varepsilon h(§ 3.4)$ or the preposition $t \varepsilon(\S 8.19)$. The short vowels $i$ and $u$ are mainly found word-finally, as allophones of unstressed $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$, respectively. Final short $-i$ is often met as a morpheme indicating a ics possessive or object pronoun (§3.2.1 and §3.2.3), and this derives from historical $\bar{i}$. When not word-final, short $i$ is either a phonetic variant of $a$, or, in the case of the pronominal suffixes (§3.2.2), a vocalized y (e.g., háziham 'their goats' < *házyham). On short $i$ in the sequence $a^{i} i$-, see $\S$ 2.1.3. An exceptional short $i$ is found in the exclamatory particle his-táw 'ok!'. Non-final short $u$ is not used in this book, but in some other publications (e.g., Watson 2012) it is used as a phonetic variant of $a$.

The unstressed sequence $a y$, except when followed by a stressed vowel, is usually realized $\bar{\imath}$, or word-finally as $i$. Similarly, the unstressed sequence $\partial w$ can be realized $\bar{u}$ when not followed by a stressed vowel, though in this book I have maintained the transcription $\partial w$, except word-finally. See $\S 7.2 .7$ and $\S 7.2 .10$ for examples.

The vowels $\bar{o}$ (often pronounced closer to an open [ $\bar{\jmath}]$ ) and $\bar{u}$ rarely contrast, and sometimes the pronunciation of the two are difficult to distinguish. A case of contrast is the Ga-Stem 3fs perfect $\operatorname{t} \partial b(\partial) r u ̄ t$ 'she broke (trans.)' vs. Gb-Stem $\underline{t} \partial b r o ̄ t$ 'she/it broke (intrans.)'. Another example is G active yaślūl 'he carries' vs. G passive yaślōl 'he is carried'. Likewise, $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{e}$ rarely contrast and can be hard to distinguish. A minimal pair is xawfit 'next (f.)' and xawfèt 'window'. Since the vowels $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{u}$ are susceptible to diphthongization in certain environments (see below, § 2.2.1 and §2.2.2), this fact can help to decide whether a particular pattern has an underlying $\bar{u}$ or $\bar{o}, \bar{\iota}$ or $\bar{e}$. (See also $\S 3.2$.1 on the diphthongization of $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{u}$ for other reasons.)

The long vowel $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is in most cases an allophone of $\bar{a}$ (e.g., f $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ or fām 'leg'), but as Johnstone has pointed out ( $M L$, p. xiii), a minimal pair can be found, e.g., $b \bar{a} r$ 'he went (at night)' vs. $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'camels'.

When a word-final or stem-final diphthong -ay or -aw is combined with the suffix $-\partial n,{ }^{19}$ the subsequent syllabification (á/yan or $\left.a ́ / w \partial n\right)$ results in a stressed

19 A suffix -ən is regularly used as a first person plural marker (§ 3.2.1; § 7.1.1), the marker of the imperfect in certain verbal stems (§ 7.1.2), and the marker of the conditional (§ 7.1.4). It is also found with a number of adverbs, especially those related to time (§10.3).
short vowel in an open syllable. Because this situation is not allowed, the glide is geminated in order to keep the proper syllable structure. ${ }^{20}$ Examples are the 1cp reflexive pronoun ḥənfáyyən (§3.6), the 1 p reciprocal pronouns tataṭidáyyən and țaytïdáyyan (§3.7), the dual imperfect of certain verbal stems (§7.1.2), all dual conditionals (§6.1.1, n. 3), and the words ġadéwwan 'let's go' (§ 12.5.11) and g asráwwan 'evening'.

Nasalized long vowels occur in Mehri in a very few words, and are of doubtful phonemic status. The vowel $\tilde{o}$ occurs in just a single word, $h \underset{\sim}{0}$ 'where?', which plainly derives from *hōn (cf. Ḥarsusi hōnah, Jibbali hun), which in turn comes from Semitic *’̄̄n (cf. Hebrew 'ān 'where?'). We can find a near minimal pair, $h \tilde{o}^{\prime}$ 'where?' vs. hōh 'I', but given that $\tilde{o}$ occurs in no other words, we can hardly call it phonemic. A nasalized $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is used in the particle $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$ 'yes' (§ 12.2.1) and in the interrogative particle $h \tilde{\varepsilon}$ (§11.9), but this nasal vowel is no more phonemic than the nasal vowel often heard in English 'huh?' or 'uh-huh'.

I have tried in this book to be consistent in the transcription of vowels, but I have indicated some variation. This means that the transcription is not strictly phonemic. I regularly indicate epenthetic $a$, but indicate the variation between $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{e}, \bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}, a$ and $\varepsilon$ only in cases where it is very clear. ${ }^{21}$

On the relationship of the vowels and word stress, see §2.3.

### 2.2.1 The Effects of Glottalic Consonants on Vowels

The glottalic consonants $\underset{\sim}{\partial}, k, s, s, s, s, s$, and $t$ cause the changes $\bar{\iota}>a y, \bar{u}>a w$, and $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$, when these vowels carry stress and follow the glottalic. Most guttural consonants also cause these changes, but because they also have other effects, they are treated separately in § 2.2.2. Following are the most commonly met environments for these changes:

For $\bar{\imath}>a y$ :

1. The vowel $\bar{\iota}$ in the first syllable of Gb -Stem 3 ms and 3 fp perfects becomes áy, e.g., káyrab 'he approached', đ̣áyma 'he was thirsty' (cf. tībar 'he broke', wīka 'he became').
2. The vowel $\bar{\iota}$ that occurs before certain object suffixes attached to 3 ms and 3fp perfects (see §3.2.3) becomes áy, e.g., zarkáyh ‘he stabbed him’ (cf.

20 This gemination was first recognized and explained by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b).
21 For example, if it was difficult to determine whether the audio recording had $\bar{o}$ or $\bar{u}$, I transcribed the vowel that was expected based on the morphological pattern or evidence from elsewhere. But if we expected $\bar{o}$, and the audio very clearly had $\bar{u}$, I transcribed $\bar{u}$.
wazmīh 'he gave him') and śabkáysan 'he tied them' (cf. ġabrīsan 'he met them').
3. The vowel $\bar{\iota}$ that occurs in the 3 mp perfect and imperfect of many H and Ši-Stem verbs becomes áy, e.g., H hac̣ṣáym 'they spent the afternoon' (cf. hansim 'they breathed'). The same goes for the 3 mp perfect and most plural imperfect and subjunctive forms of T2-Stems, e.g., T2 əntəḳáyl 'they chose' (cf. aftarig 'they watched').
4. The suffix -ita of the G-Stem fs future becomes -áyta, e.g., sḥoṭáyta 'will slaughter (fs)' (cf. kawtitita 'will tell [fs]').

For $\bar{u}>a w$ :
5. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that follows the second root consonant in $\mathrm{Ga}-, \mathrm{H}_{-}, \mathrm{S}_{1}-$, and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ Stem $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ perfects, becomes áw, e.g., G raṣáwn 'he tied up' (cf. ġalūk 'he looked') and H habṣáwr 'he saw well' (cf. hag்fūl 'he cheered up'). In the Ga-Stem, this means that the $3 \mathrm{~ms}, 3 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 fp perfects look identical when the second root consonant is a glottalic.
6. The 3 fs suffix -ūt found with most perfects becomes -áwt, e.g., G ġawkáwt 'she looked' (cf. kawtūtt 'she told') and Šı šadhaḳáwt 'she looked down' (cf. šxəbarūt 'she asked'). (The suffix -ōt remains unaffected, e.g., ṣāṭōt 'she took'.)
7. The vowel $\bar{u}$ in the first syllable of Ga perfects whose final root consonant is ', $\dot{g}, h$, or $x$ (see § 2.2.2) becomes áw, e.g., káwla 'he let', root $k l^{c}$ (cf. nūka 'he came', root $n k^{\prime}$ ), and ṭáwrah 'he left' (cf. sūbəḥh 'he swam').
8. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that follows the first root consonant in the Ga imperfect becomes áw, e.g., akáwdar ‘I can’ (cf. akūtəb 'I write').
9. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that follows the second root consonant in $\mathrm{H}_{-}$, $\check{S}_{1}-$, and T1Stem imperfects becomes áw, e.g., yahabṣáwr 'he sees well' (cf. yəhəḳbūl 'he arrives').
10. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that occurs before a 2 ms object suffix when attached to a 3 ms or 3 fp perfect (see §3.2.3) becomes áw, e.g., ṣākáwk 'he called you' (cf. ksūk 'he found you').
11. The plural suffix - $\bar{u} t z n$, most commonly found attached to plural futures (§ 7.1.6), becomes-áwtən, e.g., rahṣ́áwtən 'will bathe (fp)' (cf gazmūtən ‘will swear [fp]').

For $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$ :
12. The feminine suffix -ēt becomes -āt. This applies to nouns, e.g., faṣṣṣāt 'silver', warkāt 'note, paper', and to the 3 fs perfect of G passives, D/L-, Š2-, and Q-Stems, e.g., G passive $x a w k \bar{a} t$ 'she was born' and sabṭāt 'she was hit'.
13. The vowel $\bar{e}$ of Ga subjunctives and imperatives becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., yagंṣaṣs 'he winks' (cf. yadlēl 'he guides'), yot $\bar{a} f$ 'he may visit' (cf. yamēt 'he may die'), and $\bar{a} k a \bar{a} f$ 'be quiet!' (cf. $\bar{a} \bar{z} \bar{m}$ 'give!').
14. The vowel $\bar{e}$ after the second root consonant in forms of the G passive perfect becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., roṣān 'he was tied up' (cf. ḳabēr 'he was buried').
15. The suffix -ēta of the fs future of derived stems becomes -āta, e.g., mašfz$k$ āta 'will marry (fs)' (cf. məšənðərēta 'will vow [fs]').
16. The vowel $\bar{e}$ of Š2-Stem perfects and subjunctives becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., ša $\neq \bar{a} d \partial m$ 'he preceded' (cf. šagēlas 'he quarreled with'). (There are no examples in the texts.)
17. The dual suffix -óh (<-ēh) of certain perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive verb forms becomes -áh (<-āh), e.g., H yahzwṣaḳáh 'they (two) may attach' (cf. yaharkabáh 'they two may mount') (There are no examples in the texts.)

There is at least one exception, which is that the $\bar{e}$ of the 2 fs imperfect, subjunctive, and fs imperative of at least some stems does not change, as in the Ga-Stem imperfect takēdər 'you can' (99:28) and the D/L-Stem imperative akēēi 'go back!' (e.g., 3:5). This suggests that this $\bar{e}$ is from an earlier ay. ${ }^{22}$

The above list is not exhaustive, but covers the most important and commonly seen changes. More verb examples can be found in $\S 7.2 .1$ and $\S 7.2 .8$.

As noted above, these changes of $\bar{u}, \bar{l}$, and $\bar{e}$ only take place when these vowels bear stress. So we find, for example, ṣĭfōn 'guests' (4:3), ṭīhōr 'pure (mp)' (4:11), and $k \bar{e}^{-} y \bar{y} t$ 'female spirit' ( $15: 2$ ), with stress on the final syllable. ${ }^{23}$ Anyway, where $\bar{\iota}$ or $\bar{u}$ occur in unstressed syllables, they are only the surface representation of an underlying $\partial y$ or $\partial w$, as in ṣ̂̃̄fōn 'guests' < *̣̣́zyfōn.

On rare occasion we do find stressed $\bar{\iota}$ following a glottalic, but in such cases $\bar{\iota}$ is only the surface representation of an underlying $y \bar{l}$, e.g., šaşiçk 'they got annoyed' (40:4, < šaş́yīk).

A glottalic consonant followed by a liquid ( $r$ or $l$ ) or $w$, can also trigger diphthongization or the shift $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$. See $\S 2.2 .3$ for examples.

We also sometimes find that a stressed $a$ can be realized $a$ when following a glottalic, e.g., yakáfdam 'they go down' (58:6), for expected yakáfdəm; yað̣ábram

22 In support of this argument, cf. also $2 m s$ imperfect tabáyk 'you cry' (e.g., 5:10) and 2 fs tabēki 'you cry' (e.g., 75:23), from the III-w/y verb bakōh.
23 A possible exception, according to $M L$ (s.v. $k \underset{r}{ }$ ), is $k a y s ̣ o ̄ r ~ ' s h o r t ~(m p) ' ~(<~ * k i ̄ ̣ s o ̄ r) . ~ I f ~ t h i s ~$ form is correct, unstressed $\bar{\iota}$ perhaps became ay because there are two glottalics in this word.
'they apologize’ (61:7), for expected yađ̣ábram; and haḳsámk 'I spent the day', for expected həḳ̣ámk (62:6).

### 2.2.2 The Effects of Guttural Consonants on Vowels

The guttural (velar, pharyngeal, and glottal fricative) consonants ${ }^{\prime}, \dot{g}, h, h$, and $x$ cause a number of sound changes, though they have their greatest effect on the formation of verbs. They can all be considered 'weak' root letters with respect to the verbal system, in that they all cause phonetic changes in verbal paradigms. However, the gutturals are not a totally uniform group.

The four velar and pharyngeal fricatives ( ${ }^{〔}, \dot{g}, h$, and $x$ ) all cause the same changes to a following vowel as the glottalics, that is, $\bar{\imath}>a y, \bar{u}>a w$, and $\bar{e}$ $>\bar{a}$, when these vowels carry stress. Even though the consonant ' is most often lost in pronunciation, it remains phonologically present in underlying forms. As with glottalics, diphthongization does not normally occur when $\bar{\imath}$ is a surface representation of an underlying əy (e.g., xīnīta 'she will betray' <
 kids' and hairīt 'donkey'), in which case $\bar{\imath}$ is unstressed anyway. Following are the most commonly met changes:

## For $\bar{\imath}>a y$ :

1. The vowel $\bar{\iota}$ in the first syllable of Gb -Stem 3 ms and 3 fp perfects becomes áy, e.g., ḥáybar 'he was cold' (cf. țībar 'he broke').
2. The vowel $\bar{\iota}$ that occurs before certain object suffixes attached to 3 ms and 3fp perfects (see §3.2.3) becomes áy, e.g., samḥáys 'he excused her' (cf. bagdīs 'he chased her') and nakáyham 'he came to them' (< "nak'áyham; cf. bagdīham 'he chased them').
3. The vowel $\bar{c}$ that occurs in the 3 mp perfect and imperfect of many H - and Š1-Stem verbs becomes áy, e.g., H habġáyṣ́ 'they hated' (cf. hansīm 'they breathed'). The same goes for the 3 mp perfect and most plural imperfect and subjunctive forms of T 2 -Stems.
4. The suffix -īta of the G-Stem fs future becomes -áyta, e.g., watġáyta 'will kill (fs)' (< *awtg̀īta < *altg̀̀ta < *latg̀īta; cf. kəwtīta 'will tell [fs]').

For $\bar{u}>a w$ :
5. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that follows the second root consonant in $\mathrm{H}_{-}, \mathrm{S}_{1}-$, and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ Stem $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ perfects becomes áw, e.g., həbáwr 'he took out (animals) at night' ( < *hab'áwr) and habg̈áwṣ́ 'he hated' (cf. haġfūl 'he cheered up'). In the H-Stem, this means that the $3 \mathrm{~ms}, 3 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 fp perfects look identical
when the second root consonant is a guttural. Unlike with glottalics (see § 2.2.1, Rule \#5), this rule does not apply to Ga-Stems whose second root consonant is a guttural, because such verbs are subject to other changes; see below, as well as $\S 7.2 .5$ and $\S 7.2 .6$.
6. Most III-Guttural verbs use the suffix -ōt for the 3 fs perfect, rather than $-\bar{u} t$, but the two attested III-g verbs in the texts (lūtəg่ 'kill' and nūtzg 'throw') use -áwt < - $u$ t, e.g., watğáwt 'she killed' (29:7; cf. wazamūt 'she gave'). We also find -áwt with II-Guttural, III-w/y verbs, e.g., harxáwt 'she let go' (root $r x w$ or $r x y$; cf. habkūt 'she made cry', root bky).
7. The vowel $\bar{u}$ in the first syllable of Ga-Stem 3 ms and 3 fp perfects whose final root consonant is ' (see § 2.2.2) becomes áw, e.g., xáwda 'he duped' (cf. $n \bar{u} k a$ 'he came'). It is extremely rare, however, to have a guttural as both the first and third root consonants; xáwda ( $M L$, s.v. $x d^{\wedge}$ ), which does not appear in the texts, may be the only such verb in the language.
8. The vowel $\bar{u}$ which follows the first root consonant in the Ga imperfect becomes áw, e.g., nağáwla. 'we look' (cf. nagūzam 'we swear').
9. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that follows the second root consonant in $\mathrm{H}^{-}, \check{S r}_{1-}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{1}-$ Stem imperfects becomes áw, e.g., yahzbgáwṣ́ 'he hates' (cf. yzhaḳbūl 'he arrives').
10. The vowel $\bar{u}$ that occurs before a 2 ms object suffix when attached to a 3 ms or 3 fp perfect (see §3.2.3) becomes áw, e.g., səmháwk 'he excused you'. (There are no examples in the texts.)
11. The plural suffix -ūtzn, most commonly found attached to plural futures, becomes -áwtən, e.g., kəláwtan 'will leave (fp)' ( < *kal'áwtən; cf. gazmūtən 'will swear [fp]').

For $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$ :
12. The feminine suffix $-\bar{e} t$ becomes $-\bar{a} t$. This applies to nouns, e.g., farhāt 'happiness', margäat 'dusty area', and to the 3 fs perfect of G passives, $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-, Š2-, and Q-Stems. (There are no examples in the texts.)
13. The vowel $\bar{e}$ of a few Ga-Stem subjunctives and imperatives becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., 3 ms yagār 'he falls' (< "yag'ār) and yanhāg 'he dances' (cf. yabgēd 'he chases'). However, the subjunctives and imperatives of most II-Guttural verbs have the vowel $\bar{o}$ in their base (like Gb-Stems). See $\S 7.2 .5$ and § 7.2.6.
14. The vowel $\bar{e}$ after the second root consonant in forms of the G passive perfect becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., shāt ' 'it (m.) was slaughtered' (cf. kabēr 'he was buried').
15. The suffix -èta of the fs future of derived stems becomes -āta, e.g., məhəṣ-
baḥāta 'will become (fs)' (cf. maharkabēta 'will mount [fs]'). (There are no examples in the texts.)
16. The vowel $\bar{e}$ of Š2-Stem perfects and subjunctives becomes $\bar{a}$, e.g., šx $\bar{a} r a g$ 'he interpreted' (cf. šhēwab 'he thought').
17. The dual suffix -áh (<-ēh) of certain perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive verb forms becomes -áh, e.g., H yahaṣbạ̣áh 'they (two) may become' (cf. yaharkabáh 'they two may mount'). (There are no examples in the texts.)

The above list is not exhaustive, but covers the most important and commonly seen changes. More verb examples can be found in § 7.2.1, § 7.2.2, § 7.2.5, § 7.2.6, and § 7.2.9.

On rare occasion we do find stressed $\bar{\imath}$ following a guttural, but in such cases it is only the surface representation of an underlying $y \bar{l}$, e.g., ya $\dot{g} \bar{\imath} \nsupseteq \partial n ~ ' t h e y ~ w i l l ~$ anger' (90:15, < yağyı̣̈ən).

In addition to the sound changes outlined above, verbs whose second or third root consonant is a guttural (including $h$ and etymological ') have distinct conjugations. These are treated in detail in § 7.2.5, §7.2.6, and §7.2.9, but here we will mention one additional sound change that has an effect on III-Guttural verbs, namely:

$$
C ə C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C ə G\left(G={ }^{\prime}, \check{\prime}, \dot{g}, h, h, \text { or } x\right)
$$

This change is met most often in the Ga-Stem of verbs whose third root consonant is any of the gutturals. These have the pattern $C \bar{u} C \partial C$ in the 3 ms perfect, while strong verbs have the pattern $C \partial C \bar{u} C$ (§6.1.1). So there exist such GaStem verbs as dūbah 'collect honey,', ${ }^{24}$ dūlax 'seize', gūdəh 'drift to shore', lūtag 'kill', nūfag 'throw', nūgəḥ ‘succeed', nūṣaḥ 'advise', sūbaḥ ‘swim', sūmaḥ 'forgive', sáwrax 'make a bang, fire (intrans.)', ${ }^{55}$ ṭáwbox 'make a mark', and ṭáwroh ‘leave, let'.

When the final root consonant is ' or ', the final -a' or -ə' becomes -a (see § 2.1.3), as in the III-' verbs $k \bar{u} s a$ 'find' and mūla 'fill', ${ }^{26}$ and the III-' verbs hūma 'hear', káwla 'let, leave', káwṭa 'cut', mūna 'hold', nūka 'come, bring', rūfa 'go up', and tūba 'follow'.

[^16]This sound change affects forms from other stems as well. For example, it affects the imperfects of $\mathrm{H}^{-}$, Š1--, and T1-Stems (e.g., H yahaṣáwbaḥ 'he becomes'), and the perfect of the T2-Stem (e.g., aftūraḥ 'he was delighted'). For more examples, see §7.2.9. A similar shift $C ə C C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C C ə G>C \partial ́ C C ə G$ affects QStems, as in yabárḳa (< *yabárḳa` < *yabūrḳ̊' < *yabarḳūc) and yamárkạh 'he tidies up' (< *yamūrkəว̣ < 'yamərkūḥh; cf. yamərḥáwb 'he welcomes').

We also sometimes find that a stressed a can be realized $a$ when following a guttural, e.g., yaxádmam 'they work' (67:3), for expected yaxádmam; yahákram 'they were refusing access' (70:1), for expected yahákram; and šagḥádk ‘I was convinced' (82:3), for expected šagḥádk.

### 2.2.3 The Effects of Liquids, w , and n on Vowels

In several places, we find that the changes $\bar{u}>a w, \bar{\imath}>a y$, and $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$, which regularly occur following glottalics (§ 2.2.1) and certain gutturals (§ 2.2.2), also take place following a liquid ( $r$ or $l$ ) or $w$. In such cases, there is normally a glottalic consonant preceding the liquid or $w$. Examples with a glottalic plus a liquid or $w$ include H-Stem verb forms like the perfects haṭláwk 'he released', haṣrráwb 'he became ill', and šəkráwt 'she hid' (< *šzkrūt); a few comparative adjectival forms (e.g., aklā̄l 'less, smaller' and aṭwāl 'taller'; see §5•4); ${ }^{27}$ subjunctive forms of III-Guttural verbs in the G-Stem (e.g., 3ms yatrāḥ 'he may leave'); perfect and subjunctive forms of III-Guttural verbs in the H-and Šı-Stems (e.g., 3 ms šzkrāh 'he had his head shaved'; see further in §7.2.9); Gb-Stem forms like 3mp subjunctive yakráyb 'they might get near'; and some nouns (e.g., śstráyr 'rag, strip of cloth').

Examples with a guttural plus a liquid are the passive participle maǵráyb 'well-known' (< *məgrrïb) and the noun yagráyb 'crow, raven'. The roots $r b$ ' and $r f^{\prime}$ both have subjunctive forms with $\bar{a}\left(<{ }^{*} \bar{a}^{c}\right)$ in place of the expected $\bar{\varepsilon}\left(<{ }^{*} \bar{e}\right)$ in the $\mathrm{Ga}^{-}, \mathrm{H}-$, and $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems, also perhaps because of the liquid (see further in §7.2.9).

We also find diphthongization in the presence of geminate liquids, as in the 3 fs perfect form farráwt 'she fled' (37:11, < *farrūt) and in the 3 ms suffixed perfect ðərráyhəm 'he spread them' (48:13, < *дәrrīhәт). ${ }^{28}$

In an open syllable, a sequence of $r, l$, or $n$ followed by a is often metathesized. In word-initial position it is optional, for example:

27 But Watson (2012: 50, 107) has aṣlēh 'fatter'; cf. also the H-Stem 3ms perfect haṣlẹ̣̄ 'he arranged a truce' (ML, s.v. ṣlḥ).
28 The inconsistency of transcription in $M L$ makes some of the relevant data uncertain. For example from the root frr, ML lists the T2-Stem 3 ms perfect both as aftərūr (p.lv) and aftaráwr (s.v. frr). Unfortunately, this verb does not occur in the texts.
anxāli 'under' (3:8; but naxāli in 19:14)
ankáyn 'he came to us' (82:4; but nakáyn in 20:25)
ardīyam 'throw (mp)!' (91:23; but ms radéh in 63:16)

This includes the prefixed preposition $l-(\S 8.12)$, which is normally realized al- before a consonant, and the prefix $l$ - of the 1cs subjunctive ( $\$ 7$.1.3) and conditional (§7.1.4).

Word-internally the metathesis is more regular. Examples are:

```
tháḥantِəm 'you (mp) make break an oath' (31:10, < *tháḥnat_əm; cf. 2ms
    tháḥnət)
ǵátzrbki 'we recognized one another' (74:22, < *gátrabki, cf. 3fp ġátrab)
ǵátarbam 'they recognized one another' (74:24, < *ǵátrabzm)
támərham 'their dates' (99:7, < 'támraham)
```

In fact, the metathesis regularly triggers the shift of $l>w$ discussed in $\S 2.1 .5$, for example:

```
awbūd 'he shot' (10:15, < *albūd < *labūd)
gátəwsam 'they argued' (32:11, < *gátzlsəm < *gátlasam)
məháfawti 'we'll run away' (35:10, *məháfalti < *məháflati)
```

Sometimes the sequence $\partial w$ is itself metathesized to $w a$, as in:

```
watg̈ōna 'will kill (ms)' (37:13, < awtg̈ōna < *altġōna < *latg̈ōna)
```



```
kátwat_ki `we chatted' (74:21, < kátzwt_ki < *kátzl\underline{tki < *kátlotrki)}
```


### 2.2.4 Word-final Vowels, -h, and -'

The vowels $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{e}$, when followed by a word-final morpheme $-h$, are often realized á. Final -īh can also be realized -ēh or -eh. That is, there is a sound rule:

$$
V h \#>\text { áh\# }(\mathrm{V}=\bar{\imath}, \bar{e})
$$

We see the effects of this in various prepositions with a suffixed 3 ms pronoun (see the paradigms in §8.23), the 3 ms form of the direct object pronoun (see $\S 3 \cdot 3$ ), and in the form of the 3 ms object suffix attached to $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3$ fp perfect verbs (see §3.2.3). We see it also in nouns ending in $-\bar{e}$ used with a 3 ms possessive suffix, e.g., ḥāmáh 'his mother' ( $\leftarrow$ hāamē 'mother'; cf. hāāēk 'your mother') and ḥabráh 'his son' ( $\leftarrow$ ḥabrē ‘son'; cf. ḥabrēk 'your son'). The rule is not normally
in effect when $h$ is not a morpheme. So the pronouns $h \bar{e}$ 'he' and sē 'she' (§ 3.1) are often pronounced (and written in Arabic characters) with a final $-h$, but the vowel is never reduced. Likewise, the vowel is not reduced in the demonstrative pronoun ðīh 'this' (§3.4) or the adverb yamšīh 'yesterday'.

Word-final -ō almost invariably attracts a final $-h .{ }^{29}$ We find this in various dual verb forms (see §7.1.1 and §7.1.3), in the masculine numeral trōh ' 2 ', in the $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ perfect of III-w/y verbs (e.g., bakōh 'he cried'; see §7.2.10), and in several other words (e.g., kōh 'why', hïbōh 'how?', and yamōh 'today'). ${ }^{30}$ These words all have an underlying final - $\bar{o}$, which is realized -óh, with a slight shortening of the vowel and final aspiration. In the transcription in this book, I have used -ōh, since nowhere do ó and $\bar{o}$ contrast. The final $-h$ of the dual forms and of III-w/y perfects is extant only when word-final. ${ }^{31}$ For those dual verb forms that have an underlying -ē instead of - $\bar{o}$ (see §7.1.1 and §7.1.3), we find the same final $-h$, and the same sound change described above, namely, $-\bar{e} h>$ -áh.

Words with a final $-\bar{a}$ and $-\bar{\varepsilon}$ are a bit more interesting, in that an $h$ is added only sometimes. In other cases, a final ' is heard. In both cases (as with -ōh, -īh, and $-\bar{h} h$ ), the vowels are shortened. As a result, final -áh and - $\varepsilon$ h contrast with final $-a^{\prime}$ and $-\varepsilon^{\prime}$. Compare the 3 ms subjunctive verbs yabkéh 'he cries' (root $b k y$ ) and $y \partial n k \varepsilon^{\prime}$ 'he comes' (root $n k^{\prime}$ ), the 3 ms imperfect yzŕ́' 'he herds' and 3 ms subjunctive yaréh 'he may herd' (both root $r$ 'w), or the nouns $x \bar{a} h$ 'mouth' and $k a^{\prime}$ 'place'.

When a suffix is added, both $-h$ and -' are lost, as in 3 mp subjunctive yank $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'they come', 3 ms subjunctive ( +3 ms object) yank $\bar{h}$ 'he may come to him', or the noun akāhzm 'their place’. ${ }^{32}$ Because the vowel length is noticeably longer when a suffix is added, I have indicated the shortening of $-a ́ h /-\varepsilon ́ h$ and $-a^{\prime} /-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ in the transcription. For the transcription of -ōh there is no such need, since - $\bar{o}$ and -ó nowhere contrast, and since a suffix never follows - $\bar{o}$.

In sum, there seems to be a general rule, whereby a long vowel is shortened before a final $-h$. In the cases of $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{e}$ the vowel quality is also affected, in that we often hear -д́h.

29 On the rare verb forms with final - $\bar{o}$, see $\S 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4, \mathrm{n} .72$.
30 It is noteworthy that Ali Musallam nearly always transcribed this final $-h$ in his Arabicletter transcriptions of Mehri.
31 Dual $\bar{o}$ shifts to $a y$ when object suffixes are added (§3.2.3) and the root consonant $y$ reappears on III-w/y verbs when suffixes are added (§7.2.10). So we never find -ō plus an object suffix.
$3^{2}$
With some nouns, final - $h$ may remain, since it may be, or at least may be perceived to be, part of the root; see the comment to text 42:35.

After other final long vowels ( $a y, \bar{e}, \bar{u}$ ) or final short vowels, a final -' is sometimes heard, ${ }^{33}$ but since it is not contrastive and has no effects, it is not normally transcribed.

### 2.2.5 The Loss of a

In the environment $\bar{V} C \partial C \#$, a phonemic $\partial$ is lost when suffixes are added, with the result that the long vowel or diphthong, no longer in an open syllable, is then reduced. We see this with both nouns and verbs. Some noun examples are:

```
śēḥaz 'frankincense' }->\mathrm{ śśh\zi 'my frankincense'
sēk\partialn 'settlement' }->\mathrm{ sék(ə)nək 'your settlement' (see also § 3.2.1)
hōram 'road' -> háármi 'my road'
hōkzm 'ruler' -> hákmah 'his ruler'
ḥkáwmət 'kingdom' }->\mathrm{ aḥkámti 'my kingdom'
```

Verb examples are ubiquitous. Some are:

```
yabūgad 'he chases' }->\mathrm{ yabágdam 'they chase' (Ga-Stem imperfect)
yabügad 'he chases' }->\mathrm{ yabágdah 'he chases him' (Ga-Stem imperfect)
ya\dot{g}ōrab 'he knows' }->\mathrm{ yaġárbas 'her knows her' (Ga-Stem imperfect)
nişzz 'he sipped' }->\mathrm{ nàśzzk 'I sipped' (Gb-Stem perfect)
agōrəb 'he tried' }->\mathrm{ agárbək 'I tried' (D/L-Stem perfect)
tföšal 'you may embarrass' }->\mathrm{ tfášli `you may embarrass me' (D/L-Stem
    subjunctive)
šhēwab 'he thought' }->\mathrm{ šháwbak 'I thought' (Š2-Stem perfect)
yašhēwab 'he may think' >yašháwbam 'they may think' (Š2-Stem
    subjunctive)
maxtïlaf 'will change (ms)' }->\mathrm{ maxtalfêta 'will change (fs)' (T1-Stem
    future)
```

The vowel $a$ in an initial syllable can be lost when the definite article or other prefixed particle is added. Some examples are:
raḥbēt 'town' $\rightarrow$ arḥabēt 'the town', ba-rhabē̄t 'in a town' position.
$m a h ̣ l o ̄ b ~ ' y o u n g ~ c a m e l s ' ~ \rightarrow a m h ̣ a l o ̄ b ~ ' t h e ~ y o u n g ~ c a m e l s ', ~ k a-m h a l o ̄ b ~ ' w i t h ~$ young camels'

For more examples with the definite article, see § 4.4.

### 2.3 Word Stress

The transcription in this book indicates stress only on short vowels, since stress on long vowels is predictable. Most words only have one long vowel or diphthong, in which case the stress falls on the long vowel or diphthong. If a word has more than one long vowel, then the long vowel nearest the end is stressed. As discussed in $\S 2.2$, unstressed long vowels are the result of a lost consonant or contraction of $\partial y$ or $\partial w$.

Stressed short vowels occur mainly in non-final closed syllables and final CVCC syllables. Most exceptions to this rule are the result of lost consonants, e.g., nákak (< underlying *nák'ək < *$n o ̄ k ` \partial k$ ). A final $-\bar{V}$ or $-\bar{V} h$ may be shortened in pronunciation, but the vowels remain long underlyingly (see § 2.2.4). There are also a small number of exceptional words, like man 'from' (§8.13), bar 'son of' (§4.6), and ber 'already' (§ 12.5.7).

Where we find a stressed short vowel, it often stems from a reduced long
 swore' ( $\leftarrow g a z u \bar{m}$ 'he swore'). In verbal patterns, the position of stress normally remains fixed, unless a suffix with a long vowel is added, e.g., sáthàb 'he crawled', sátḥabak 'you (ms) crawled', sátḥabkam 'you (mp) crawled', but satḥabōt 'she crawled'; yahábhal 'he may cook', yahábhəlam 'they (mp) may cook', but yahabhaláh 'they (md) may cook' (< *yahabhalēh).

## Pronouns

### 3.1 Independent Personal Pronouns

Following are the independent forms of the Mehri personal pronouns:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | $h o ̄ h$ | akáy | noḥāh |
| 2 m | hēt | atáy | atēm |
| 2 f |  |  | atēn |
| 3 m | $h \bar{e}$ | hay | hēm |
| 3 f | $s \bar{e}$ |  | $s \bar{n}$ |

Notes:

- The final -h of ıcs hōh and ıcp nəḥāh is heard most clearly at the end of a clause of phrase, or when it is stressed. Ali Musallam consistently included it in his Arabic-letter transcriptions. The 1cp form is often pronounced $\partial n h \bar{a} h$ or (after a vowel) nḥāh.
- The 3 s pronouns can also be heard with a final $-h$ when clause- or phrasefinal, but the $h$ is less strong than after $\bar{o}$. Since the vowels of $h \bar{e}$ and $s \bar{e}$ are not subject to the reduction described in §2.2.4, I have chosen not to transcribe a final - $h$.
- The second person singular forms show no gender distinction in Omani Mehri (or in much of Yemeni Mehri), but 2 fs hït exists in Eastern Yemeni Mehri (Watson 2012: 66).
- The initial $\partial$ - of the 2 p forms is lost after the interrogative $k \bar{o}$ 'why?' (§ 11.5) and the particle $a b \bar{o} b n \varepsilon$ 'please' (§12.5.6), e.g., kō tēm 'why are you?' (36:15). The same is presumably true of the 1 d and 2 d forms.

The independent pronouns have several functions. Most commonly, they are used as the subject or predicate of a non-verbal sentence (§13.1), or as the subject of a verbal sentence. Examples of such non-verbal sentences are:
> hōh ġayg fakáyr 'I am a poor man' (91:3)
> $h \bar{o} h ~ a \dot{g} \bar{a} k$ 'I am your brother' (34:28)
> hēt háaywal 'you are crazy' (94:36)
> hēt gayg 'you are a man' (93:6)
> hē ǵigēn háywal 'he is a crazy boy' (91:8)
> ḥõ se 'where is she?' (65:9)
> nəว̣āh ķənyáwn 'we were children' (89:11)
> akáy ġayw 'we (two) are brothers' (74:22)
> atáy ḥabánye 'you (two) are my sons' (74:23)
> hēm xaṣámhe 'they are his enemies' (64:18)
> sēn ba-ḥawōdi ð亢̈h 'they were in this valley' (31:3)

In a verbal sentence, the pronoun-if one is used at all—normally precedes the verb, but can sometimes follow when used for emphasis. Examples of verbal sentences with an independent pronoun used as the subject are:
> hōh ḥōm šūk'I want (to go) with you' (76:4) (cf. ḥōm šūk, 76:7)
> kō hēt tabáyk ‘why are you crying?' (19:6)
> hē al yaǵgárbham lā 'he didn't know them' (37:7)
> śīni aġaganōt w-áygab bīs, wa-sē āgbōt bah 'he saw the girl and fell in love with her, and she fell in love with him' (24:16)
> naḥāh al nag̈ōrab ḥōram lā 'we don't know the way' (35:4)
> sēn ber mōt kāl 'they had all died' (99:39)
> hōh aṣátḳi, ar atēn dállakan lā 'I was truthful, you just didn’t know the
> way' (99:41) (Note that the phrase hōh aṣáṭ̣i is non-verbal.)
> abáyd lā hōh 'I don't lie’ (34:16)
> āśśūt fanwáy. wa- ’aśśzk hōh 'she got up before me. And (then) I got up' (97:43)

In general, however, pronouns are usually omitted in verbal sentences. This is even true when the verb is in the future tense, which is not marked for person (§7.1.6). When the pronoun appears, it can emphasize a change of subject or give contrastive emphasis, as in the examples from 24:16, 97:43, and 99:41 above, but in most cases there is no obvious reason for its appearance. Passages in which the pronoun is omitted are abundant, but a few representative examples are:

```
\(\bar{a} m \bar{u} r\), "al śinəək tah lā" 'he said, "I haven't seen it"' (23:14)
ankōna ba-g̀ganōt, wa-xīnīta būk 'you will beget a girl, and she will
    betray you' (24:2)
ba-kám thōom (t)soom lay aməndáwḳək how much do you want to sell me
    your rifle for?' (39:1)
wa-kōh al sayárš lā ‘why didn’t you go?' (97:22)
```

A redundant independent personal pronoun can also be fronted, to emphasize the subject (usually second person) of a negated verbal or non-verbal sentence. We see fronting also with imperatives (§ 7.1.5), which normally have no explicit subject. Examples are:
hēt al hēt ðд-ḥtámk lā ‘you aren’t sure’ (82:3)
hēt al hēt axáyr manīn lā 'you are not better than us' (61:4)
wa-hēt, l-ād tabkéh lā 'and you, don't cry anymore!' (40:7)
hōh mašákf. wa-hēt āmōl hīn 'ayśé 'I'm going to sleep. But you make dinner for us!' (76:5)

A fronted independent pronoun can also emphasize the object of a preposition or particle. This is most common with 'have' constructions (§13.3):

```
walākan \partialtēm, al ahād yzḳáwdər līkam lā 'but you, no one can beat you'
    (73:12)
hōhal šay ar ðōmah 'I have only this' (73:5)
```

An independent pronoun can also be used to clarify a direct object suffix, or it can function as an object in cases where no verb is present:
ksáki, hōh w-arībēy, ðə-naṣ́hōk 'he found us, me and my friend, laughing' (91:22)
ðōmah yasdádki, hōh wa-ḥāmay, warx wa-zōyad 'this would be enough for us, me and my mother, for a month and more' (42:10)
sháyli fanōhan aganēd, wa-mg̀ōran hōh 'first finish the branches, and then (eat) me' (42:29)

An independent pronoun can be used to strengthen a possessive or objective suffix, indicating contrastive emphasis. In the texts this is rather rare with possessive suffixes, but less so with objective suffixes:
aǵōrab ag̀āy hōh 'I know my own brother' (64:16)
b-arāyak hēt '(no,) as you wish!' (101:3) (in response to b-arāyak'as you wish', 101:2)
tḥōm tāzémən ḥabrēk nətह́hวh, aw thámən əntह́hk hēt 'do you want to give us your son so we can eat him, or do you want us to eat you!' (2:3)
táwwak taklēy hōh al-syēr 'you ought to let me go' (20:43)
tháymi hōh al-ṭāf 'do you want me to scout?' (29:13)
tśhïd ðд-hē akfáylas awkəláy hōh, śźrə' 'do you bear witness that he, her custodian, has authorized $m e$, the judge?' (100:5)

Finally, the independent pronouns can follow the genitive exponent $\partial$ - (§12.4), in which case they function as possessive pronouns ('mine, yours, ours, etc.'). This construction, unknown in classical Semitic, is known from elsewhere in Modern South Arabian and from modern Ethiopian Semitic. Among the few examples from the texts (all of which are first persons) are:
> ðōməһ ðд-Һōh 'this is mine' (75:21)
> ðōməh adébəh ðə-hōh 'this honey is mine' (77:7)
> trōh ðə-nḥāh 'two of ours' (89:4)
> hē ðд-hōh 'it's mine' (67:8)

In text 87:1 (a poetic text published in Stroomer 1999, but not included in this collection), we find ðә-həh, translated as 'what was his'. As transcribed in the text, we can analyze the $h \partial h$ in this phrase as the preposition $h$ - 'to; for' (see §8.8) plus the 3 ms object suffix, i.e., 'to/for him'. But perhaps hah is a mistaken transcription for the independent pronoun he (Ali normally spelled both the same way in Arabic script, and the audio is inconclusive), in which case we would have $\partial \partial-h \bar{e} ~ ' h i s ', ~ p a r a l l e l i n g ~ \partial \partial-h o ̄ h ~ ' m i n e ' ~ i n ~ t h e ~ e x a m p l e s ~ a b o v e . ~ B o t h ~$ analyses seem to work in that poetic passage.

### 3.2 Suffixed Pronouns

To express pronominal possession, a special suffixed form of the pronoun is attached to the noun. There are two closely related sets of suffixes used with nouns, one used with singular nouns and one with plural nouns. Dual nouns (§4.2) cannot take pronominal suffixes. A noun with a possessive suffix must also have the definite article (see §4.4). ${ }^{1}$ There are also two closely related sets

[^17]of suffixes used to indicate the direct object of a verb. The pronominal objects of most prepositions and of certain particles are also expressed with a suffix, from the sets of suffixed used for nouns; see further in § $3.3, \S 8.23, \S 12.5 .1$, and §12.5.7 for examples.

### 3.2.1 Suffixes on Singular Nouns

The suffixes that attach to singular nouns are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | -i | -əki | -ən |
| 2 m | -ək | -əki | -əkam |
| 2 f | -əš |  | -əkən |
| 3 m | -əh | -zhi | -дham |
| 3 f | $-\partial s$ |  | -asan |

## Notes:

- Unless the noun ends in a stressed vowel, the possessive suffixes are not stressed.
- When a noun ends in a vowel, the ics suffix is $-y$.
- The 1 cd and 2 cd forms are identical, and so must be distinguished by context. They are rarely used, in any case.
- For those suffixes listed above with an initial $a$, this $a$ is phonemic. It is not present if the word ends in a vowel or (except for the 1cp) if the word ends in a voiceless, non-glottalic consonant. This has an effect on syllable structure (see below). The phonemic a of the dual, 2 p , and 3 p suffixes is usually heard only when the noun base ends in a cluster of two consonants (including geminate consonants).

To illustrate the forms of these suffixes, we can use the nouns hayb 'father' and $\dot{g} \bar{a}$ 'brother':

ḥayb: háybi, háybək, ḥáybəš, háybəh, ḥáybəs, háyb(ə)ki, háyb(ə)ki, ḥáyb(ə)hi, háybən, háyb(ə)kam, háyb(ə)kən, ḥáyb(ə)həm, háyb(ə)sən²
$\dot{g} \bar{a}: \quad a \dot{g} \bar{a} y, a \dot{g} \bar{a} k, a \dot{g} \bar{a} s{ }^{2}, a \dot{g} \bar{a} h, a \dot{g} \bar{a} s, a \dot{g} \bar{a} k i, a \dot{g} \bar{a} k i, a \dot{g} \bar{a} h i, a \dot{g} \bar{a} n, a \dot{g} a ̄ k z m, a \dot{g} a ̄ k z n$, ağāham, ağāsan

Many nouns show some sort of change in their base when suffixes are added. As noted above, when the final consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then the $a$ that precedes the second- and third-person suffixes (and the rare icd suffix) is lost. This is because, as discussed in $\S$ 2.1.2, unstressed phonemic a cannot come between two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants. ${ }^{3}$ When this loss of a results in a doubly-closed final syllable, then we see vowel reduction in the noun base. For example, from bayt 'house', we get abáyti 'my house' (with ay in an open syllable), but abátk 'your house' (< *abáytk), with reduction of ay to a in the doubly-closed final syllable. The noun bayt has the same structure as hayb 'father' or gayg 'man; husband' (CayC), but compare abáyti 'my house' and abátk 'your house' with the forms of hayb given above, or with agáygi ‘my husband' and aǵáygak 'your husband'. Below are some additional suffixed forms of bayt, and forms of tēt 'woman'. Note that before the $3 \mathrm{~ms}-h$ an epenthetic $a$ is often heard, but this has no bearing on the underlying syllable structure.
bayt: abáyti, abátk, abátš, abát(a)h, abáts, abáytan, etc., abátsən


Nouns of more than one syllable with an unstressed $a$ in the final syllable, often lose that vowel when a suffix is added, at least phonemically ( $\S$ 2.2.5). The resulting closed, non-final syllable causes a vowel reduction. So, for example, the noun sēkən 'settlement' has the base sékn- (< *sēkn-), e.g., sékni 'my settlement', séknak 'your settlement', séknah 'his settlement', etc., and the noun ḥōkam 'ruler' has the base hákm- (< *hōkm-), e.g., hákmi ‘my ruler', hákmas 'her ruler', ḥákman 'our ruler', etc. We sometimes find an epenthetic a added back before a stem-final liquid or nasal, e.g., sékəni 'my settlement', sékanək 'your settlement', and támarham 'their dates' ( $\leftarrow$ tōmar 'dates'). With these bases that end in a consonant cluster, the dual, 2 p , and 3 p suffixes have an initial $a$, as noted

[^18]above; when the final consonant is a sonorant, we then see metathesis (§ 2.2.3), e.g., sékənham (< *séknəhวm).

Nouns that have the vowel $\bar{u}$ or $\bar{\iota}$ in the final syllable normally show diphthongization when suffixes are added. This includes the nouns with the feminine suffixes -īt and -ūt. However, because $t$ is a voiceless, non-glottalic consonant, it is subject to the rules described above (and in $\S 2.1 .2$ ), meaning that the diphthong is reduced to á in most forms. Compare the following sample forms of the nouns gūr 'slave' (def. ḥāgūr), dīd 'uncle' (def. ḥədīd), brīt 'daughter' (def. habrīt), and xalūt 'paternal aunt; mother-in-law; step-mother':
gūr: ḥāgáwri, ḥāgáwrək, ḥāgáwrəš, hāgáwrəh, ḥāgáwrəs, etc., ḥāgáwr(ə)sən
dīd: ḥadáydi, ḥədáydək, ḥədáydəš, ḥวdáydəh, ḥədáydəs, etc., ḥədáyd(ə)sən
brīt: ḥabráyti, ḥabrátk, ḥabràtš, ḥabrát(a)h, ḥabráts, etc., ḥabrátsan
xalūt: ${ }^{5}$ xaláwti, xalátk, xalátš, xalát(a)h, xaláts, etc., xalátsan
The nouns $h \underset{a}{m} m \bar{e}$ 'mother' and $h \not a b r e \bar{e}$ 'son' both have the 1cs suffix -áy, and the 3 ms forms in -ēh are usually realized -áh, following the rule described in § 2.2.4:

ḥāmē: ḥāmáy, ḥāmēk, ḥāmēs, hāàóh (< ḥāmēh), ḥāmēs, etc., ḥāmēsan
ḥabrē: ḥabráy, ḥabrēk, ḥabrēs, ḥabráh (< ḥabrēh), ḥabrēs, etc., ḥabrēsən

When a noun ends in $-i\left(<{ }^{*}-\partial y\right)$, it becomes $y$ before suffixes (except dual, 2p, and 3p suffixes), e.g., táwyah 'his meat' (13:7), from táywi 'food'; tádyas 'her breast' (40:15), from tōodi 'breast'; aǵátyak 'your neck' (49:11), from gंōtei 'neck'; and améśyak 'your excrement' (99:55), from mēśi 'excrement'. Before the 1cs suffix $-i$, the $y$ may be lost in pronunciation, but is still present underlyingly, e.g., aǵáti 'my neck' (99:11, < *aǵátyi). ${ }^{6}$ Before the dual, 2 p , and 3 p (-CV and -CVC) suffixes, -i may remain, e.g., táywiham 'their meat' (99:6), but note the reduction in ḥawádiham 'their valley' (30:14, < *hawōdy-ham). The shift of $i>y$ in the examples above reflects the loss of $a$ in the underlying $\partial y$, by the rule described in § 2.2.5, e.g., "táyway > taywi 'meat', but *táywy-ah > táwyah 'his meat'.

[^19]
### 3.2.2 Suffixes on Plural Nouns

The suffixes that attach to plural nouns are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | -ye | -iki | -yzn |
| 2 m | -kع | -iki | -ikam |
| 2 f | -š $\underbrace{\text { c }}$ |  | -ikan |
| 3 m | -he | -ihi | -iham |
| 3 f | -sع |  | -isan |

## Notes:

- The suffixes are never stressed.
- The dual and plural suffixes are the same as those attached to singular nouns, except that the suffixes are preceded by the vowel $i$.
- The $-i$ of the dual and plural suffixes is underlyingly a consonant $y$, as proven by the fact that it triggers vowel reduction in a form like háziham 'their goats' < *hōzyham (not **hōziham). But on the surface, it is realized $y$ only in the 1cp.
- The forms of these suffixes differ somewhat in Eastern Yemeni Mehri (Watson 2012: 75).

As with singular nouns, plural nouns often show a reduction or change of the stressed vowel when possessive suffixes are added. Often this is because a long vowel in a final closed (CVC) syllable is regularly reduced when it is no longer in the final syllable (e.g., h.abūn 'sons' > ḥabán-). Or, if the final syllable has an unstressed $\partial$, this can be lost (see §2.2.5), with the result that a preceding open syllable with a long vowel becomes closed (e.g., xalōwak 'clothes' > xaláwk.-). Following are all the suffixed forms of these two sample nouns:

ḥabūn: ḥəbánys, ḥabánke, ḥəbánšع, ḥəbánhe, ḥabánse, ḥabániki, ḥəbániki, ḥəbánihi, ḥabányan, ḥabánikam, ḥabánikan, ḥabániham, ḥabánisan
xəlōwəḳ:7 xaláwkys, xəláwk(ə)ke, xaláwḳše, xaláwḳhe, xaláwḳse, xaláwkiki, xəláwkiki, xaláwkihi, xaláwkyan, xaláwkikam, xaláwkikən, xaláwḳiham, xaláwkisan

The final -zn of external feminine plurals (see §4.3.2) is dropped before adding suffixes. For example, from $\bar{a} y \bar{\varepsilon} n t z n ~ ' e y e s ', ~ g ̇ a ́ w t z n ~ ‘ s i s t e r s ', ~ a n d ~ h ̣ a ̄ d u ̄ t z n ~ ' h a n d s ', ~$ we find:
āȳ̄ntən: āyántys, āyántke, āyántše, āyánthe, āyántse, āyántiki, āyántiki, āyántihi, āyántyan, āyántikam, āyántikan, āyántiham, āyántisan
ğáwtən: ag̉átys, ag̉átke, ag̉átšs, etc., agátisən
hāaūtzn: ḥādátys, ḥādátke, ḥādátšs, etc., h hādátisan

### 3.2.3 Suffixes on Verbs

A pronominal direct object is often indicated by a suffix attached to the verb. With perfect-tense verbs, pronominal object suffixes are attached only to thirdperson forms. With imperfect, subjunctive, and imperative forms, the suffixes can be attached to any form ending in a vowel or the final root consonant. ${ }^{8}$ The future tense and conditional forms of the verb cannot take object suffixes. ${ }^{9}$

There is one set of suffixes attached only to the 3 ms and 3 fp forms of perfecttense verbs, the two of which are always identical. These suffixes are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 c | $-a ́ y$ | $-\partial ́ k i$ | $-i ̄ n$ |
| 2 m | $-\bar{u} k$ |  | $-i ̄ k \partial m$ |
| 2 f | -áyš |  | $-i ̄ k \partial n$ |

7 In the suffixed forms, $a w$ is allowed in a non-final closed syllable because $a w$ is already the reduced form of $\bar{o} w$ (that is, the reduced form of $\bar{o}$ plus the consonant $w$ ).
8 The rules governing which verb forms can accept suffixes differs in Yemeni Mehri dialects. See Rubin (2011: 73-74) and Watson (2012: 201-202). Note that the example from Johnstone's text 52:3, cited by Watson (2012: 201), is based on a faulty reading that was noted already in $M L O$ (p. 37, n. 2).
9 The future form maġtábəri (94:43) was translated by Johnstone as 'meet me', i.e., as an

| 3 m | -īh | -àhi | -īham |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 f | -ıs |  | -īsan |

Notes:

- Unlike the possessive pronominal suffixes used with nouns, and the suffixes used with other verb forms, these suffixes carry stress.
- The 3 ms suffix is often realized $-\bar{e} h$ or -óh, as per the rule described in § 2.2.4.
- The initial $\bar{\iota}$ and $\bar{u}$ of the suffixes are diphthongized when following a guttural or glottalic consonant (see below, as well as $\S 2.2 .1$ and $\S$ 2.2.2).
- Before suffixes, there is often a vowel reduction in the verbal stem (e.g., wazūm 'he gave' > wazm-). The paradigms in Chapter 6 list the respective bases of the different verbal stems.
- Watson (2012: 70) records the dual suffixes -iki and -īhi, and we expect that these should have the same vowel - $\bar{\iota}$ that we see in the singular and plural suffixes. However, the one relevant form in our texts has -áki. ML (p. xvii) also has -ə́ki and -áhi. See the comment to text 91:22 and the comments to the first two tables in §8.23.

Some examples are:
wazmáy 'he gave me' (18:6)
$\bar{a} z m u \bar{k}$ 'he invited you' (22:81)
bagdīh 'he chased it' (14A:2)
śanyīs 'he saw her' (15:10)
hadallīn 'he guided us' (60:8)
śalláy 'they (f.) took me' (40:23)
sawbáyš 'he waited for you' (75:7)
šxabarīh 'he asked him' (12:7)
g̀abrisan 'he met them' (99:40)
wazmīham 'he gave them' (10:10)

Examples with verbs that have a guttural ( ${ }^{c}, \dot{g}, h$, and $\left.x\right)$ or glottalic final root consonant exhibit the diphthongization discussed in $\S 2.2 .1$ and $\S 2.2$.2 . Examples are:

```
scākáwk 'he called you' (20:25) (< *ṣākūk)
hamáyh 'he heard it' (40:8) (< *hวm'ॅॅh)
```

[^20] in his edition). This is a md future 'we'll meet'.

```
sḥoṭáyh 'he slaughtered it' (48:23) (< *shatṭih)
kabssáyh 'they (f.) bit him' (92:4) (< *kabṣīh)
nəkáyn 'he came to us' (20:25) ( < *naki'n)
tabáysan 'he followed them' (63:3) (< *tab'isən)
```

There is another set of suffixes that is used with 3 fs , 3 d , and many 3 mp perfect tense verbs, as well as all imperfects, subjunctives, and imperatives that end in a vowel or the final root consonant:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 c | $-i /-y$ | $-k i$ | $-n$ |
| 2 m | $-k$ | $-k i$ | $-k \partial m$ |
|  | 2 f |  |  |
| 3 m | $-h$ | $-h i$ | $-h \partial m$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3 f | $-s$ |  | $-s \partial n$ |

Notes:

- Unlike the suffixes used with 3 ms and $3_{3} f p$ perfects, these suffixes are unstressed.
- An epenthetic a may be used before these suffixes.
- The underlying form of the ics suffix is a consonantal $-y$, which is proven by the fact that it triggers vowel reduction (e.g., wazmáti 'she gave me’ < *wazmūty, not **wazmūti). But the suffix surfaces as $-i$ (< *-дy, with epenthetic a), unless the verbal base ends in a vowel, e.g., kal̄̄y 'let me!' ( $\leftarrow$ kalé' ‘let!' > kaal̄̄).
- The suffixes can attach to a 3mp perfect verb only if the form ends in the final root consonant (e.g., wazáwm 'they gave', 3 mp of wazūm). Suffixes do not attach to those 3 mp perfects with suffixed -(ə)m (e.g., tábam 'they followed', 3 mp of $t u \bar{b} a)$; see further in §3.3.
- 3 mp perfects and $2 \mathrm{mp} / 3 \mathrm{mp}$ imperfects, subjunctives, and imperatives with only ablaut (no suffixed $-m$ ) have an underlying final $-ə\left(<{ }^{*}-u\right.$ ) that affects the syllable structure when suffixes are added, e.g., wazáwmham (< *wazáwma-
həm < *wazáwmu-ham). More examples are provided in §7.1.1 and § 7.1.2, and in n .13 below.
- The final -ōh of 3 d perfect verbs is replaced by ay before object suffixes (as it is also before the conditional suffix $-\partial n) .{ }^{10}$
- These suffixes are not used when a verb ends in a consonant other than a root consonant or 3 fs perfect $-t$. In those cases, an independent object pronoun must be used (§3.3), at least in Omani Mehri.

Some examples of 3 fs , 3 mp , and 3 d perfects with object suffixes are:
karbáti ‘she came near me’ (36:12)
šxabïrəh 'they asked him' (3:10)
tabátah 'she followed him' (15:9)
śanyáts 'she saw her' (15:13)
ankáthi 'it (f.) came to them' (17:2)
garbátham 'she knew them' (48:28)
sabṭáys 'they (two) hit her' (65:12) ${ }^{11}$
śanyáyah 'they (two) saw him' (84:6)
wazáwmi 'they gave me' (4:9)
ġabáwri 'they met me' (62:4)

šxabīrham 'they asked them' (72:2)
haláwbsan 'they milked them' (35:7)

Some examples of imperfects with object suffixes are:
talhámi ‘you (ms) touch me' (22:20)
əgáárbak 'I know you' (20:46)
วṣáṭš ‘I will take you’ (24:19)
yabágdah 'it was following him' (95:4)
yasdádki 'it would be enough for us (two)' (42:10)
yanákaham 'he would come to them' (64:10)

Some examples of subjunctives with object suffixes are:

[^21]```
tháffki ' you marry me (off)' (100:2)
amdéḥk ‘I flatter you’ (52:18)
tāzémah 'you (ms) invite him' (22:66)
nəwtágs 'we kill her' (24:9)
tháwkəbən ‘you (fs) should get us in’ (75:6)
naháddalkam 'we will show you' (6o:5)
al-sáxbarham 'I ask them' (28:6)
```

Finally, some examples of imperatives with object suffixes are:

```
arṣáni 'tie (ms) me up!' (24:28)
saákah 'call (ms) him!' (22:24)
awbáds ... wə-wtág̀s 'shoot (ms) her ... and kill her!' (6:10)
w-āz\varepsilońmham 'give (ms) them!' (37:14)
```

The addition of a suffix to an imperfect or imperative can sometimes result in the loss of gender distinction. For example, the forms ta $\dot{g} \bar{o} r a b$ 'you (ms) know/she knows' and tagंērab 'you (fs) know' both have the base taǵgarb- when suffixes are added. We find taġárbah 'you (fs) know him' in 94:34, which is identical to tağárbah 'she knows him' (e.g., 94:36). ${ }^{12}$

When a 2 fs imperfect or subjunctive (or fs imperative) has a suffixed $-i$, the suffix is lost when an object suffix is added. For example, 2ms thōm 'you want' and tháymi 'you want' have the bases tḥám- and thám- before suffixes, respectively, with the expected vowel reductions ( $\bar{o}>a$ and $a y>a$ ). Compare tḥámi ‘you (ms) want me' (e.g., 85:26) and thámən 'you (ms) want us' (e.g., 2:3) with thámi 'you (fs) want me' (e.g., 32:19) and tháman 'you (fs) want us' (24:24). ${ }^{13}$ To cite another example, the simple fs imperative $\bar{a} z \bar{e} m i$ 'give!' (22:60) has the base $\bar{a} z a ́ m$ - before suffixes, e.g., $\bar{a} z a ́ m i ~ ‘ g i v e ~(f s) ~ m e!’ ~(32: 19) ~ a n d ~ a ̄ z a ́ m a h ~$ 'give (fs) it!' (22:6o). Curiously, the base is actually different from that of the ms imperative, which is $\bar{a} z \varepsilon ́ m-$-, e.g., $\bar{a} z \varepsilon ́ m i ~ ' g i v e ~(m s) ~ m e!' ~(53: 4) ~ a n d ~ a ̄ z \varepsilon ́ m ə h ~$ 'give (ms) it!' (91:10), even though the unsuffixed ms imperative form $\bar{a} z \bar{e} m$ has

[^22]the same vowel $\bar{e}$ of the fs imperative. ${ }^{14}$ Compare also the fp imperative and the singular imperative forms with a 1cp suffix: azēman tī (20:4) 'give (fp) me!', əzદ́mən ‘give (ms) us!' (91:24), and azámən ‘give (fs) us!' (32:21). ${ }^{15}$ See also § 7.1.5.

These pronominal object suffixes can indicate only direct objects. They cannot serve as indirect objects, as they can in some Semitic languages. This may not be clear from the examples above or in the texts, since a number of Mehri verbs take a direct object where English has an indirect object (e.g., wazūm 'give' and nūka 'come').

It should be mentioned that when a verb takes a double direct object, either object can appear as a pronominal suffix. Compare the following two sentences containing the verb wazūm 'give':
wa-wzamīs adarēham 'and he gave her the money' (85:5)
wa-wzamīs ḥāgáwrah 'and he gave her to his slave' $(85: 25)^{16}$

### 3.3 Direct Object Pronouns ( $t$ - )

In addition to the object suffixes (§3.2.3), there also exists a set of independent direct object pronouns, which are built on a direct object marker $t$ - plus pronominal suffixes. ${ }^{17}$ This particle $t$ - occurs only with suffixes, never independently. The full set of forms is as follows:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | $t \bar{l}$ | tīki | tīn |
| 2 m | tīk | $t i ̄ k i$ | tīkam |
| 2 f | $t \stackrel{\text { čs }}{ }$ |  | tīkən |

14 The reduced vowel á of the fs base suggests an underlying ay (i.e., * $\bar{a} z a ́ y m i$ ), but we clearly hear $\bar{e}$ in the unsuffixed form $\bar{a} z \bar{z} m i$.

15 As noted in § 7.2.3, the imperative forms of the verb wazūm 'give' (and other I-w verbs) can have either $\bar{a}$ or $\partial$ as the initial vowel. These are variants that have no bearing on the rest of the form.

It is possible that the phrase could be translated 'he gave his slave to her', but the context strongly favors the suggested translation.
We could say that the base is $t \bar{\iota}-$ in Omani Mehri, since the vowel $\bar{\iota}$ appears in all forms

| 3 m | tah | tīhi | tīhzm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 f | $t \bar{s}$ |  | tīsən |

Notes:

- The declension of the particle $t$ - is unique, though very similar to the prepositions $b$-, $k$-, and $l$ - (see § 8.23). In other Mehri dialects, some of the forms can differ with regard to the quality of the vowel following $t$ -
- 3 ms tah comes from an underlying *tīh. See § 2.2.4.

In Omani Mehri (but not all Mehri dialects), ${ }^{18}$ the independent direct object pronouns are not interchangeable with the verbal object suffixes. Rather, the direct object pronouns are used in complementary distribution with the verbal object suffixes, as they are used only when a verbal suffix cannot be added to the verb form (see §3.2.3). Specifically, the direct object pronouns are used with all first- and second-person perfects; 3 mp perfects that have a suffixed -m; imperfect and imperative forms that have a suffixed - $m$ or - $n$ (i.e., in a consonant that is not a root consonant); and all futures and conditionals. Examples are:

```
wazámk tah 'I gave him'(53:4)
kūsam tïhi la 'they didn't find them' (35:17)
yardīyam tah 'they will throw him' (20:31)
háddalam tīn 'show (mp) us!' (60:4)
tawyōna tī 'you'll eat me' (99:30)
```

A verb that takes a double direct object can be followed by two direct object pronouns, if the verb is unable to accept an object suffix, for example:
yáş̣ək mən akənyyáwn yaǵṣāazm tı̄ tวh 'I am afraid that the children will snatch it from me' (37:22) al wazámk tīn tīham lā 'you didn't give them to us' (91:30)

[^23]However, if the form of such a verb is able to accept an object suffix than one of the objects will be suffixed to the verb, as in:
wa-śśanyīs tīham 'and he showed it to them' (67:8)

As Watson has observed (2012: 205), if a verb has two pronominal direct objects, then the one equivalent to the English indirect object will precede, whether it takes the form of an object suffix on the verb (as in 97:48) or an independent direct object (as in 37:22 and 91:30). The example from $67: 8$ above does not violate this rule, since the causitive verb used (('́)śanōh 'show') is doubly transitive. That is, wa-śśznyīs tīham is literally 'and he made them see it'. This rule does not seem to apply when only one of the two direct objects is pronominal; see the end of §3.2.3.

On the use of $t$ - in combination with the preposition (al-)his ‘like, as', see §8.10.

### 3.4 Demonstratives

Mehri demonstratives fall into two classes, near and far. For each class there is a set of longer forms (with a suffix -mah) and a set of shorter forms. The forms are:

Near demonstratives ('this, these'):

| ms. ðо̄тәh | fs. Jimah | cp. alyōmah |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ms. $\begin{aligned} & \text { ch }\end{aligned}$ | fs. ðıh | cp. (none attested) ${ }^{20}$ |

Far demonstratives ('that, those'):

While the longer forms of the near demonstratives are very common in the texts, the shorter forms are relatively rare, each occurring just a few times. Omani Mehri has no short form of the near plural demonstrative.

[^24]In contrast to the near demonstratives, the shorter and longer far demonstrative sets occur with roughly equal frequency in the texts. The ms and fs long forms ð́́kəmah and ðákəməh are not easy to distinguish in fast speech. As for the shorter forms of the far demonstratives, $\partial \bar{e} k$ is much more common in the texts than ðək. (On the use of ðək as an introductory particle, see § 12.5.10.)

The demonstratives can be used independently as pronouns, for example:

```
ðōmah he}\mp@subsup{}{}{`}\mathrm{ 'it [lit. this] is him' (37:22)
ðömah al hē śawr gīd là 'that [lit. this] is not good advice' (go:6)
ðōmah háyyri 'this is my donkey' (46:12)
ð\varepsilonh hááybi wz-ð\varepsilońh a\dot{g}\\y 'this is my father and this is my brother' (48:31)
ðìmah tēt\underline{t}\mathrm{ 'this is my wife' (46:16)}
ð\varepsilońkamah yakdēr 'might that one be able?' (42:47)
ð\partialk al yabáyd lā 'that (guy) doesn't lie' (57:14)
alyōmah ḥabány\varepsilon 'these are my sons' (74:23)
alyēk ráddam habériham 'those (men) returned their camels' (12:14)
```

Much more often, the demonstratives function as attributive adjectives, as in:

```
amkōn ðōməh 'this place' (10:12)
tōgar ðōmah 'this rich man' (65:14)
ḥawōdi đìmah 'this valley' (42:17)
sənēt ðìmah 'this year' (39:12)
aġáyg ðch 'this man' (77:5)
hawōdi \partialōh 'this valley' (31:3)
hābū alyōmah 'these people' (62:13)
xalōwak alyōmah 'these clothes' (37:5)
akūa` đékaməh 'that land' (63:1)
anhōr ð\partiaĺkəməh 'that day' (54:7)
agzáyrot ðókaməh 'that island' (74:3)
aġáyg dēk 'that man' (42:47)
harōm \partialēk 'that tree' (94:37)
aṣāwar ð\partialk 'that rock' (99:19)
aġarfèt ðayk 'that room' (22:97)
aġ\partialyūg alyákamah 'those men' (41:10)
hāaū alyēk 'those people' (65:6)
```

When used attributively, the demonstrative usually follows the noun and the noun must have the definite article ( $\S 4.4$ ), as in the examples above. Examples of the demonstrative preceding its head noun are far less common in the texts.

Among the few examples are:

```
ðōməh aǵḡgēn 'this boy' (76:12)
Jimah hāamēk 'this mother of yours' (15:17)
ðìməh agáwhərət 'this jewel' (22:54)
ðīməh akassēt 'this story' (88:14)
ðə́kəməh awáktən 'that time of ours' (104:35)
```

It is likely that the demonstrative precedes in 15:17 and 104:35 because of the possessive suffix used on the noun. The others are probably the result of Arabic interference.

### 3.5 Indefinite Pronouns

### 3.5.1 $\quad$ əhād and tāat 'someone'

The pronoun aḥād has the meaning of 'someone' or 'anyone'. Combined with a negative al (... lā), it has the meaning 'no one, nobody, (not) anyone'. Examples are:

```
aḥād al-hīs t\overline{\imath} 'is anyone like me?' (42:3)
wal\overline{\varepsilon} ahād ənkáykzm 'has anyone come to you?' (45:5)
hām \partialḥād mənkēm ḳarbáy 'if any one of you come near me' (47:11)
man țawr aḥād yahātūm \partialִār akōobar 'sometimes someone spends the
    night by the grave' (54:3)
al kask bis aḥād la}\\I didn't find anyone in it' (38:2
al ahāad harföna tīs la 'no one will move it' (67:5)
```



```
    (66:1o)
w-al ahhād yzkáwdər yalhōm \partialhāa}l lā 'no one can touch anyone' (104:38)
```

In one passage, aḥād has the translation 'some' or 'some people':
aḥād yašbáyd, w-əḥād yวšasdūk, w-əḥād yōmər ðə-yzḥlōm ‘some disbelieved, and some believed, and some said he was dreaming' (92:6)

Note that $a h ̣ \bar{a} d$, which is clearly derived from the common Semitic numeral 'one', is not used in the Mehri numeral system (§ 9.1.1). ${ }^{21}$

[^25]The Mehri numeral tāṭ 'one' can sometimes be used in to mean 'someone', as in:

```
hām țāt g\overline{l}lu 'if someone was sick' (25:17)
hām t!āṭ mōt 'if someone dies' (54:1)
tāməram hïbōh hām wazámkam țāṭ ḳəhwēt 'what do you say if you give
    someone coffee?' (59:13)
```

On the alternation of aḥād and t $t \bar{a} t$, see further in §3.5•3.

### 3.5.2 śī 'something, any(thing)' and śī lā 'nothing, not any(thing)'

The word ść (cognate with or, more likely, borrowed from Arabic šay' 'thing') is most often used with the meaning of 'something' or 'anything', for example:

```
hōh kask ś\imath` `I found something' (37:22)
wîka lūk ś\imath `has something happened to you?' (42:7)
maháffəḳ tah ḥabráyti mən ġayr ś\imath `I will give my daughter to him in
    marriage for free [lit. without anything]' (42:42)
hām \partialḥād yaġōrab śl 'if anyone knows anything' (65:7)
ðōməh śl məḳádd\partialr 'this is something preordained' (65:14)
hām tḥōm man hanáy ś\imath` 'if you want any from me' (77:4)
àz\varepsilońməh śi 'give him something!' (91:10)
hámak tīs ġ\partialtaryōt ś\imath `did you hear her say something?` (94:17)
```

Used in a negative sentence, as the subject, predicate, or indirect object, śç means 'nothing', '(not) anything'. The combination śíl lā can also be used on its own to mean 'nothing'. Examples are:
wazyēma tīkam śç lā 'we won't give you anything' (35:14)
al šzh śl lā 'he didn't have anything' (65:1)
alśı̄ yodūm lā 'nothing lasts' (98:15)
al háássak bo-śí lā ‘I didn’t notice anything’ (103:3)
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "sī̀ lā" 'he said, "(It's) nothing"' (94:32)

In combination with a preceding noun (usually in an interrogative or negative sentence), śc also has the sense of an adjective 'any', for example:
káskam mətwē ś si yomōh ‘did you find any pasturage today?' (26:3)
rawn wa-b $\bar{\varepsilon}$, walākan abḳār śı̄ lā 'goats and camels, but not any cows' (29:15)
$\bar{a} d$ wazyēma tīn śxōf ść 'will you give us any milk yet?' (35:13)
wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ roḥmēt ś̄̄ šīham sanēt Jīmah 'have they had any rain this year?'
(45:3)
hām šūk dərēham śī lā 'if you don't have any money' (86:8)
al wīka ḥarb śī lā 'there hasn't been any war' (104:28)
Finally, śç can also be used to express an existential (again in either an interrogative or negative sentence), though examples are rather few. Among these are:
wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ śc̄ ayd 'are there any sardines?' (27:9)
al śt ṣáyga ķaráyb lā 'there wasn't any shelter nearby' (17:12)
al śīl la đ̣ār ḥamōh 'there was nothing at the water' (95:11)
al śl mōh fanwīkam lā 'there is no water ahead of you' (94:37)
śsi lā mōh báwmah ḳaráyb 'there is no water here nearby' (99:29)
The last two examples show an interesting difference. In the first (94:37), śc̄ is used as an existential, and the whole clause is negated by al ... $l \bar{a}$. In the second (99:29), śl lā is in itself a negative existential.

On the longer form śîyən, which occurs in the compound kāl śỹyən, see §3.5.4.

### 3.5.3 kāl əḥād and kāl tāṭ 'everyone; each one'

The phrase k $\bar{a} l \partial h a \bar{a} d$ means 'everyone' or 'each one'. It is normally treated as grammatically singular. Examples are:
kāl əḥād yošanðūr bə-msəlámtən ‘everyone makes vows with sacrificial animals' (16:4)
kāl əḥād ṣ́āt aráwah ‘each one got his lot' (99:7)
yāməram ḥābū wa-kāl ə̣̣ād ðə-ġərbīham 'people and everyone who knew them say (this)' (104:30)
kāl ąhād yahātūm hāl xáṣmah 'everyone spends the night with his enemy' (104:39)

However, $k \bar{a} l$ $\partial h a ̄ d$ can be also be used appositionally with a dual or plural verb, noun, or pronoun. Examples are:
šawgiś ḥābū kāl aḥād al-sćkənah 'the people, each one went home to his settlement' (lit. 'the people went, each one to his community') (9:10)
battadōh kāl ạhād makōn 'they each went to (their own) place [lit. each one to a place]' (12:9)
báttadəm kāl ạhād ba-hármah 'they all went their (separate) ways [lit. each one on his way]' (12:13)
mət gazōt ḥəyáwm yašawgīs ḥābū kāl aḥād al-sćkวnəh ‘when the sun goes down, the people go home, everyone to his (own) settlement' (54:3)

The phrase $k \bar{a} l t ̣ a \bar{a} t$ (sometimes reduced to $k \bar{a}-t \underline{a} t ̣$ by the rule discussed in § 2.1.5) can also mean both 'everyone' or 'each one'. It occurs about three times more often than $k \bar{a} l a h ̣ \bar{a} d$ in the texts. The examples from the texts suggest that $k \bar{a} l$ aḥād is more often used to refer to a whole group ('everyone'), while k $\bar{a} l ~ t ~ t a ~ t ̣ t ~ i s ~$ more commonly used when highlighting the individuals ('each one'), though there appears to be a lot of overlap in usage. If reference is clearly feminine, then the phrase is $k \bar{a} l t t a y t$. Examples of $k \bar{a} l t ̣ a ̄ t ~ a r e: ~$

```
kāl țāt yanké' ba-kəwt̄ēt 'each one should offer [lit. bring] a story' (48:29)
kāl ṭāt yaślūl ṣáwri trrayt ṭalōfaf 'each one carries two flat stones' (71A:1)
kāl ṭāt kalūt b-akassátah 'each one told his story' (74:24)
śall śātáyt masáwmar, wa-ṭákk kāl ṭāṭ bo-xáff 'he took three nails, and he
    hammered each one into a foot' (76:16)
kāl țāt t ba-ḥáydəh škay 'each one (had) a sword in his hand' (104:32)
\(k a ̄ l ~ t ̣ a ̄ t ~ y a ̄ g o ̄ b ~ y a \dot{g} r e ̄ b ~ a g i ̄ d ~ m a n ~ a k o ̄ m a h ̣ ~ ' e v e r y o n e ~ w a n t s ~ t o ~ k n o w ~ t h e ~ g o o d ~\)
    from the bad' (73:12)
kāl țayt šīs romḥāt ð-ðəhēb 'each one (witch) had a spear of gold’ (2:1)
kāl ṭayt tantakōl ġayg tšéffḷah 'each one should choose a man to marry'
    (15:21)
```

As with $k \bar{a} l ~ a ب h a \bar{a} d$, the phrase $k \bar{a} l t t \bar{a} t ~ i s ~ t r e a t e d ~ a s ~ g r a m m a t i c a l l y ~ s i n g u l a r ~(c f . ~$ the above examples), though it can also be used appositionally with a dual or plural, as in:
$b \bar{a} r \partial m ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ k a ̄-t ̣ a ̄ t ̣ ~ h-a b a ́ t z h ~ ' t h e ~ p e o p l e ~ w e n t ~ h o m e, ~ e a c h ~ t o ~ h i s ~ o w n ~$ house' (97:28)
wazmīham k $\bar{a}-t \underline{a}$ ạ hayb 'he gave them each a crow-bar [or: shovel]' (19:13)
śallōni kā-ṭāt ṭāwar 'we'll each pick up a rock' (35:10)
kalēm tīhəm kāl ṭāt bark dəhrīz 'leave them each in a (separate) cell' (46:17)
hēm kāl ṭāt waḥśīh 'they were each alone' (50:4)

The last example shows that while $k \bar{a} l t t \bar{a} t ̣$ is used appositionally with the plural $h \bar{e} m$, it is still grammatically singular, as shown by the 3 ms suffix of waḥśīh.

A few times in the texts, we also find $k \bar{a} l$ used by itself meaning 'all' or 'everyone', for example:

kāl āmáwr 'they all said' (42:43)
sēn ber mōt kāl 'they had all died' (99:39)
For more on $k \bar{a} l$, see $\S 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$.

### 3.5.4 kāl sī̀yən 'everything'

The indefinite pronoun 'everything' is expressed in Mehri by kāl śy̆yan, for example:
> báwmah kāl śỹyan gōli 'here everything is expensive’ (18:15)
> aṣābər axáyr mən kāl śȳyən 'patience is better than everything' (61:9)
> ktēbər háyni kāl śỹyan ðə-wīka 'write down for me everything that
> happens' (66:1)
> hēt fayázk lay ba-kāl śȳyan 'you have surpassed me in everything' (76:18) šiham kāl śȳyən 'they have everything' (104:36)

In one passage in the texts, a shorter form $k \bar{a} l{ }_{l}^{s} \bar{\imath}$ is used:
nāsáṣəh axáyr man kāl śs̄ 'we fear it more than anything' (7:2)
The use of ść here may reflect a nuance of meaning, since the sense is closer to English 'anything; any (other) thing', rather than 'everything'. Or, it may just be an error. ${ }^{22}$

In a few cases, kāl śíyan is strengthened by an appositional kállah 'all of it' (see §5.5.3), for example:
tōli ġaráwb kāl śīyən kállah 'then they realized everything' (67:9)
kalētei lay bo-kāl śīyən kálləh 'tell me everything!' (85:34)
kalōna kāl śȳyən kállah 'he will leave absolutely everything' (98:11)
For more on $k \bar{a} l$, see $\S 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$, and for more on śĺ, see $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$. On 'everything' as a relative pronoun, see $\S$ 3.8.3.

22 As noted in the comment to this passage in the texts, Johnstone transcribed kāl śryan in his Roman-letter transcription, suggesting a possible later correction.

### 3.5.5 fəlān(ə), əl-fəlāni, ḥadd əl-fəlāni

The noun falān (f. falānə), which appears more than thirty times in the texts, is used, like English 'so-and-so', to represent an unnamed person. It is used in stories when a name is unknown, or when the storyteller wishes to keep a character anonymous. Its use is much more common than in English. Examples are:
šay ġayg hámmahfalān 'with me was a man whose name was so-and-so' (18:2)
hōh bar falān bar falān 'I am the son of so-and-so, son of so-and-so' (20:45)
hēt ḥəbrē ðə-falān 'you are the son of so-and-so' (20:47)
falān gïlu 'so-and-so got sick' (96:3)
hōh attēt $\partial$ д-falān 'I am the wife of so-and-so' (94:47)
ā falānz, syēri $k$-aġáygəəš 'so-and-so, go with your husband!' (94:12)
hē hārūs ba-fəlāna bərt fəlān 'he has married so-and-so, daughter of so-and-so' (100:4)

With nouns not referring to people, the Arabic word al-falāni (f. al-falāníyya) can be used adjectivally to mean 'such-and-such', as in:
hōh nákak man raḥbēt al-falāníyya 'I came from such-and-such town' (22:28)
nəḥāh b-amkōn al-falāni 'we are in such-and-such place' (22:85)
The phrase ba-ḩadd al-falāni, borrowed from Arabic, means 'in/to such-andsuch place', as in:
akōfi bo-hádd al-falāni 'go to such-and-such a place' (74:4)
ba-hádd al-falāni hē ðə-səwbáyš 'in such-and-such place he is waiting for you' (75:7)

### 3.5.6 ḥəyálla ṭāt 'whoever'

There is one attestation in the texts of the pronoun ḥyyálla ṭāt 'whoever' (lit. 'whichever one'), where it is followed by the relative pronoun:
hōh ðə-ġarábk ḥəyálla ṭāt ðд-yanké’ báwmah ḥarfōna tīs 'I know that whoever comes here will move it' (67:5)

The word ḥayálla 'whichever' comes from dialectal Arabic hayyalla, which in turn has been grammaticalized from the phrase hayya allāh 'may God sustain (any choice).

According to one native speaker of Yemeni Mehri, the word ḥəyálla can also be used as an exclamation meaning something like 'it is good (news) that', e.g., ḥzyálla agáayg gahēm 'it is good news that the man went!'.

### 3.5.7 Other Indefinite Pronouns

As in Arabic, the particle $\dot{g} a y r$ ' except' (§8.7) with a suffix can substitute for an indefinite pronoun, with a meaning 'someone/something else'. Examples are:
adámmah bárah $k$-əḥād ġáyran 'he is probably with somebody else [lit.
(someone) besides us]' (41:4)
ðə-səyūr yaxlöf gंáyrəh 'something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone' $(97: 27)$

The word hāgat 'something' (an Arabism) is attested twice in the texts, and seems to be synonymous with śī.
hag̉dáyk ḥōgat ‘I forgot something’ (70:6)
āmáyli ḥənáfš tāmáyli ḥōgət 'pretend you are doing something!' (94:8)
The noun manēdam 'person' may also be used in place of 'someone', but there is no reason to call this a pronoun. An example is:
kalūt lay manēdam ð-al yabáyd lā 'a person who doesn't lie told me’ (57:12)

### 3.6 Reflexives

Reflexivity in Mehri is occasionally expressed by means of a verbal pattern, though often in such examples the English reflexive pronoun can be omitted without change in meaning. Some examples are:
$s e \bar{e}$ šakráwt 'she hid (herself)' (85:22) (Šı-Stem)
hām šhawábk 'if you warm yourself (by the fire)' (86:4) (Šis-Stem)
šábdas 'detach (yourself) from her!' (94:43) (Šı-Stem)

See further in § 6.4 and $\S 6.5 .{ }^{23}$ However, more often, Mehri employs a reflexive pronoun based on suffixed forms of the noun ḥənōf- (du./pl. ḥənfáy-). This is historically the definite form of a noun nōf, based on the Semitic root * $n f s$ 'soul,', ${ }^{24}$ but the noun is never used without suffixes. Following are the forms of the reflexive pronoun:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | ḥənōfi | ḥanfáyki | ḥanfáyyan |
| 2 m | hanáfk | hanfáyki | hanfáykam |
| 2 f | ḩanáfš |  | hanfáykan |
| 3 m | ḥanáf(z)h | hanfáyhi | ḥənfáyhəm |
| 3f | hənáfs |  | hanfáyhan |

Note:

- Because in Omani Mehri the preposition $h$-'to, for' is often suppressed before an initial $\boldsymbol{h}$ - (see $\S 2.1 .4$ ), this reflexive pronoun can also function as a dative 'to myself, yourself, etc.'.

Following are some examples of the reflexive pronoun in context:

```
alūt\partialgं ḥənōfi 'I will kill myself' (75:24)
hว\partial\partialōr bə-ḥənáfk 'watch out for yourself!' (18:18)
śzlálah hēt ḥanáfk 'you take it for yourself!' (22:47)
hübōh tkōsa ḥənáfk 'how do you find yourself?' (i.e., 'how are you?') (84:8)
àzámi ḥanáfš 'give yourself to me!' (48:23)
kšüf ḥanáfəh 'he exposed himself' (24:42)
```

23 I found no examples of a simple pronominal suffix (on a verb or preposition) used to indicate a reflexive, but see the comment in Watson (2012: 200, n. 4).
24 The Semitic root is *$n f s$, but the root is irregular in all of the MSA languages. For example, Jibbali has $\varepsilon n u ́ f$ (pl. $\varepsilon n f \jmath f$ ), suggesting a root $n f f$, while Soqoṭri has some forms with a metathesized root $n h f$ (< *$n s f$ ).
nəḥōm naḥlēb ḥanfáyyan 'let's milk for ourselves' (35:20)
háfəwtəm ba-ḥənfáykam 'save [lit. escape with] yourselves' (42:19)
ġarūf hanfáyham 'he got water for themselves' (68:3)

The reflexive pronoun is also used in the idiom áymal ḥənōf- 'pretend' (lit. 'make oneself'), which occurs about a half-dozen times in the texts. A few of the passages are:
> $\bar{a} m l o ̄ t ~ h ̣ ə n a ́ f s ~ g \dot{g} a y g ~ ' s h e ~ p r e t e n d e d ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ m a n ' ~(l i t . ~ ' s h e ~ m a d e ~ h e r s e l f ~ a ~$ man') (48:27)
> gārw-áymal ḥanáfəh ber mōt 'he fell and pretended he had died' (64:21)
> țāt yāmōl ḥənáfă ðə-gïlu 'one will pretend he has a fever' (84:2)
> āmáyli ḥənáfš tāmáyli ḥōgat 'pretend you are doing something!' (94:8)

Other idioms that use the reflexive pronoun are sayūr $k$ - $h a n o \bar{f}$ - 'go to the bathroom' (lit. 'go with oneself') (cf. 97:37), səyūr b-ḥənōf- 'be oneself again, recover' (cf. 25:16), and (s')śanōh ḥənōf- 'have one’s fortune read' (lit. 'show oneself') (cf. 24:1).

English '-self', in the adverbial sense of 'by oneself, alone', corresponds to the Mehri word wąhś- plus a pronominal suffix. As with many prepositions (§8.23), the suffixes look like those that are attached to 3 ms perfect verbs (see $\S 3.2 .3$ ), e.g., ics waḥśáy, 2 ms waḥśük, 3 ms waḩśīh, and $3^{\mathrm{ffs}}$ waḥśīs. ${ }^{25}$ There are about ten examples in the texts, among which are:
> ağáyg sōbaryāgōb waḥśīh 'the man always liked (to be) by himself' (15:3) hōh tēt wa haśáy 'I am a woman by myself' (64:26)
> al ahmūm l-aklēs wahśs̄s lā 'I cannot leave her by herself' (74:13) hāl ạ̣ād aw wạ̣śūk ‘with someone, or by yourself?’ (8o:5)
> kō tēm kálakam tah yasyēr wahśīh yamšīh 'why did you all let him go by himself yesterday' (89:34)

There is one example in the texts of the reflexive pronoun ḥonōf-used with the adverbial meaning 'by oneself':
ǵátri šīs ḥənáfs 'he spoke with her by herself' (48:11)

[^26]
## 3.7 <br> Reciprocals

Reciprocals are most often expressed by means of a T-Stem verb. For example:
kátwotam ḥābū 'the people chatted (with one another)' (63:13) (T1-Stem) əntáwḥəm 'they fought (with one another)' (70:4) (T2-Stem)
hēm al ġátarbam lā 'they didn't recognize one another' (74:9) (T1-Stem) thaym tagtēsəm 'you want to quarrel (with one another)' (77:8) (T1Stem)

See further in §6.4.4, §6.5.2, and §6.5.4. However, Mehri sometimes employs a special reciprocal pronoun, formed on the bases țāṭīdáy- for masculine forms, and taytīdáy- for feminine forms. ${ }^{26}$ This pronoun is derived from the numeral $t a \bar{t} /$ /tayt 'one'. Following is the complete set of forms:

|  | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 m | țāṭīdáyki | țāṭīdáyyan |
| If | țaytīdáyki | țaytīdáyyan |
| 2 m | țāṭc̄dáyki | țāṭdāykam |
| 2 f | taytīdáyki | taytīdáykən |
| 3 m | țāṭīdáyhi | țāṭdīáyham |
| 3 f | țaytīdáyhi | țaytīdáysan |

Note that this is the only word in the language for which gender is distinguished in the first person.

26 The only feminine reciprocal form that occurs in the texts is taytīdáysan (99:52). This was mistranscribed by Johnstone (and hence by Stroomer) as ṭaṭīdáysan, the form on which I based my original analysis in $M L O$. This single mistake thus affected my entire paradigm in $M L O$ (p. 51), which lacked all the feminine forms. Watson (2012:78) recorded the feminine forms, and the correct reading of the form in text 99:52 corroborates Watson's data.

The pronoun țāṭīdáy- can be preceded by a preposition where the context requires it. There are about ten occurrences of ṭāṭday- in the texts, some of which are:

```
āmərōh ha-ṭāṭīdáyhi, "hēt əngáys" 'they said to one another, "You are
    impure"' (4:17)
nahōom narṣān țāṭidáyyan 'let's tie each other up' (24:25)
tōli fhēmam țāṭīdáyham 'then they understood one another' (59:14)
nákam ḥābū wa-ffáskəm tīhi mən țāṭīdáyhi 'people came and separated
    them from each other' (61:5)
aytáyl śzbūḳ arīkōb al-ṭayṭidáysan 'the fox tied the camels to one
    another' (99:52)
```

In some cases, a simple transitive verb plus a reciprocal pronoun is synonymous with an existing reciprocal verb. For example, we find in the texts the G-Stem lūtə $\dot{g}+$ reciprocal pronoun, as well as the T1-Stem láttə $\dot{g}$, both meaning 'kill one another', for example:
tawtēg̉am ṭāṭīdáykam '(lest) you kill one another' (104:9) (G-Stem)
láttoġam 'they killed one another' (3:19) (T1-Stem)

In cases where there is a prepositional relationship between multiple actors, without a sense of reciprocity, we find a construction 'one' + preposition + 'one', as in:
yoṭkáwk aṣawáyr ṭayt đ̣ār ṭayt 'they pound rocks on one another' (lit.
'one upon one') (16:2)
agárbam t tāt bād ṭāt 'they tried, one after the other' (50:3)

### 3.8 Relative Pronouns

### 3.8.1 Relative д-

The basic relative pronoun in Mehri is $\partial(\partial)$ - (var. $\partial \partial-$ ) 'who, that, which'. It does not decline for gender or number, and it does not matter if the antecedent is human or non-human. ${ }^{27}$ Sometimes the consonant $ð$ assimilates to a following

[^27]dental or sibilant, as described in § 2.1.7. The relative can be followed by a verbal or non-verbal clause. Some examples are:
gátəwsəm aġəyūg ðə-śátmam hərōm 'the men that bought the tree argued' (3:18)
fátnək hēxar ðə-nkáyn ‘do you remember the old man who came to us?’ (22:73)
ðōmah hē ag̀īgēn ðə-ṣāṭ teťk 'this is the boy who took your wife' (22:89)
kūsəm məṣār ðə-mīla dərēhəm 'they found a turban that was full of money' (68:2)
ş́aḥākəm ḥābū ðə-hənīn mən agáyg 'the people that were by us laughed at the man' (71:4)
āmūr aáśar ð-aġáyg ðə-yāgōb b-aġaggīt 'the friend of the man who loved the girl said' (75:6)
yaxlīfk ġəyūg ð-axáyr mank 'men who are better than you will replace you' (76:5)

In some cases, a resumptive independent pronoun follows the relative. In all of these cases the antecedent functions as the subject of a non-verbal clause within the relative clause. Examples are:
śīnan rawn bāṣ́ $\partial$-əl sēn bə-xáyr lā ‘I saw some goats that were not well' (26:6)
hēm đ̣ār raḥmennōt $\partial$-al sē mēkan lā 'they were on vegetation that was not much' (30:1)
țāṭ mankēm að-hē țawáyl yasyēr yakfēd bark xan 'one of you who is tall should go walk down into the hold' (91:18)

If the antecedent is the direct object of the verb in the relative clause, then a resumptive object pronoun-either a verbal object suffix (§3.2.3) or a direct object pronoun (§3.3)—must be used, for example: ${ }^{28}$
al kask aḥād lā ð-əǵgárbah 'I didn’t find anyone that I knew' (lit. 'that I knew him') (34:20)
b-arḥōyab ð-aḥákamsən 'in the towns that I rule' (66:1)

[^28]‘əśś agáyg ðə-wátxfam tzh aġəyūg 'the man that the men had come to got up' (73:5) ${ }^{29}$
karōh akáwt ðə-hərkáys ‘he hid the food that he had stolen’ (84:5)
A resumptive pronoun must also be used if the relative is in a prepositional relationship with the antecedent, as in:
asāhàən ðる-barkóh a cisé 'the dish that had the food in it' (24:47)
 spent the night got up' (73:11)
gahmōh h-arhabēt đa-bīs aġaganōt'they went to the town that the girl was in' (75:4)
hēt syēri bark xalōwak ðə-hōh nákak barkīham 'you go out in the clothes that I came in' (75:8)
arīkōb đə-g̈zz̄w đ̣áyrsan 'the camels upon which they had made the raid' (104:24)

The relative can optionally be omitted when the antecedent is indefinite. However, the rules regarding the use of a resumptive pronoun in the relative clause still apply. Some examples of this are:
țวwōh ġayg yašawkūf 'they came to a man who was sleeping' (2:2)
bə-ṣ́āfür xawr hámməh arīri 'in Dhofar there is a lagoon whose name is (Khawr) Rawri' (7:7)
wbáysi ba-xalōwak yadōn nákak tīk bīham 'put on new clothes that I brought for you' (24:6)
ġaláwk aḥād yaġōrab aġarōyi 'they looked for someone who spoke my language' (34:25)
šay gंayg yahōōm yaṭāf layš ‘I have a man who wants to visit with you’ (38:15)
naḥōm rậhēt țayt nahárhan bīs amandáwkən '(we were) heading for a certain town in which we could pawn our rifle' (91:2)
walē $a k o \overline{s a}$ aḥād yamzūz 'perhaps I will find someone who smokes' (94:25)
ksōna bū toġárbhom 'you will meet people that you know' (37:16)

[^29]In the last example above, it is possible that the relative $\partial$ - is missing for phonological reasons, since $\partial$ - is normally suppressed before an initial $t$ - (see further in §2.1.7 and §7.1.1o.1). The example from 7:7 above illustrates how a resumptive pronoun is also required in contexts where English uses 'whose', i.e., where the antecedent is in a possessive relationship with a noun in the relative clause (cf. also 18:2).

The relative $\partial$-can also be used with no antecedent, with the meaning '(the) one who' or 'that/those which'. Examples are rare in the texts, but a few are:
> al bïham ð-āwanīn lā 'there is no one among them [lit. not among them one] that has helped us' (91:14)
> ðə-səyūr yaxlöf ġáyrah 'something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone' (97:27)
> ðд-yaḥōm yzk!ṭān 'the one who wants to cut us off' (98:9)
> šardīd ḥáziham kálsən, ġayr ðə-bér sḥāṭam tīsən amharéh 'they got back all of their goats, except for those that the Mehris had already slaughtered' $(104: 34)^{30}$

Keeping with the above use, the relative $\partial$ - can also be used following an independent pronoun or the interrogative pronoun mōn, with the meaning 'the one that, the one who', as in:
hēt ðə-ğábbək nəxāhe 'you are the one who defecated under it' (3:18) hēt ðə-harákəวk abááyrən 'you are the one who stole our camel' (23:10)
hōh ðд-látġək tīs ‘I am the one who killed it' (42:43)

mōn ðə-xəyūn būk 'who (is the one who) betrayed you?' (22:89)

The relative pronoun is not required following $m \bar{n}$ if it is the subject of a verb; see further in § r1.1.

The first four examples from the set above show another interesting feature of Mehri relative clauses. If the relative pronoun (used in these cases with no antecedent) is itself the predicate in a non-verbal clause with a pronominal subject, then the verb in the relative clause agrees with that pronominal subject, and not the relative pronoun. Compare the Mehri phrase hōh ðд-kásk 'I am the one who found', in which there is a first-person verb following the relative, with the English phrase 'I am the one who is', in which a third-person verb follows the relative.

Similarly, if the antecedent of the relative pronoun, functioning as the subject of the relative clause, is itself the predicate in a non-verbal clause with a pronominal subject, then the verb in the relative clause (along with any pronominal suffixes referring to the antecedent) agrees with that pronominal subject, and not its grammatical antecedent. A few examples will make this clear:

```
hōh ġayg að-hōm al-gंabēr ḥábye ‘I am a man that wants [lit. I want] to
    meet his [lit. my] parents' (20:35)
hōh āgáwz дว-l-ād wáṣalək lā al-syēr, wa-l-ād habṣárk lā ‘I am an old
    woman who can't manage to go anymore, and doesn't see well
    anymore' (46:2)
hōh g̀ayg ðə-xəlásk ḥōrəm 'I am a man who lost the road' (47:8)
hēt tāká' ðə-wbádk tāt al-f \(\bar{m} m\) 'you should be one who has shot someone
    in the leg [or: foot]' (72:4)
hōh ġayg d-al afyádkəm mən śı̄ lā 'I am a man who is of no use to you for
    anything' (73:4)
hēt ḥōkam tháwkam ba-ḥáḳk 'you are a ruler who rules justly' (74:20)
hōh ġayg д-al šay kawt lā ‘I am a man who has no food’ (92:2)
hōh ġayg ð-al akáwdar l-əśxáwwal b-akā’ ðōmah lā ‘I am a man who
    cannot stay in this land' (94:4)
\(h o ̄ h \dot{g} a y g ~ \partial m z \bar{u} z\) 'I am a man who smokes' (94:29)
```

This rule applies also when the antecedent is the direct object of the verb in the relative clause, in which case the required resumptive pronoun (see above) agrees with the subject of the nominal clause rather than the antecedent, as in:
hōh sannáwrət əð-ḳōnək t̄̄ ‘I am the cat that you raised [lit. that you raised me]' (6:11)

On the use of $\partial$ - as a genitive exponent, see $\S 12.4$; in conjunction with some numerals, see § 9.1.4 and § 9.3; to form possessive pronouns, see $\S 3.1$; and as a verbal prefix, see § 7.1.1o.

### 3.8.2 kāl д-' 'whoever'

As the antecedent of a relative clause, $k \bar{a} l$ is used to mean 'whoever', 'everyone/anyone who', 'each one that'. Some examples are:
$k \bar{a} l ~ \partial \partial-n u ̄ k a ~ x \partial z o ̄ h ~ ' e v e r y o n e ~ w h o ~ c a m e ~ r e f u s e d ’ ~(36: 3) ~$
kāl ðə-ḥərūf aṣāwar ðīməh man ḥōrəm, həh agáwhərət 'whoever moves this rock from the road, the jewel is for him' $(67: 6)$
$k \bar{a} l$ ðə-yaḥ̄m xadmēt wə-maskēn, yanké' 'whoever wants work and a dwelling-place, let him come' (74:7)
kāl ðд-šáh dərēham yakáwdər yaślēl salēb 'whoever has money can carry arms' (94:28)

With an interceding man, this construction can also have the meaning 'whoever/whichever/any (one) of', as in:
$k \bar{a} l$ manīn ðə-bdōh, yəkṣōṣ harōhəh 'whichever of us has lied, his head will be cut off' (24:37)
kāl mankēm ðə-watğáys, yafrēr 'whichever of you killed it, he should jump' (42:43)
kāl mankēm Әə-ḥátrak mən amkōnəh, Әว-l-əwbádəh 'any one of you who moves from his place, I will shoot him' (64:31)
kāl mənhēm дə-ḥərfìs ksōna hadáyyat 'whichever one of them moves it will find the gift' (67:5)

### 3.8.3 alhān

Mehri possesses a special relative pronoun alhān (known also from elsewhere in MSA; cf. Jibbali alhín, Hobyot alhīn, Ḥarsusi han), meaning 'all that', 'everything (that)', or 'whatever'. ${ }^{31}$ It can be followed by a verbal or non-verbal clause. Examples of its use are:
wazyēma tīk alhān thēom 'they will give you whatever you want' (65:8)

śïtzm amaráwkab w-alhān barkīham 'he bought the ships and all that was in them' (74:6)
śitam alhān šah 'he bought all that he had' (74:12)
šáxbarham alhān ġátaryam yallōh 'ask them what they talked about last night' (74:20)
kalūt h hābū b-alhān hamáyh 'he told the people all that he had heard' (40:8)

The final example above illustrates two important points. First, alhān can be the object of a preposition, unlike the relative pronoun $\partial$-. Second, the verb following alhān can have a resumptive pronoun.

### 3.8.4 Relative mən hāl

The phrase man hāl has two meanings. ${ }^{32}$ It can function as a compound preposition 'from (the presence of)', on which see §8.9. It is also used as a relativelocative 'where', used with or without an antecedent. Examples with an antecedent are:

ḳrōh táwyah bark daḥlīl man hāl al ạhād yaśśnyah lā 'he hid his meat in a cave where no one would see it' (13:7)
syēram bah te hāl harōm man hāl ḳabṣáh ayəðbīr 'bring him to the tree where the hornet stung him' (25:13)
nákam karmáym man hāl kādēt yasūkan 'they got to the mountains where Kadet was living' (64:11)
bark amhfarūt man hāl aġəyūg hfawr 'into the hole where the men had dug' (88:11)
țayt mansēn (t tśxawlūl đ̣ār ṣāwar man hāl takábalan lay 'one of them would sit on a rock where she could watch me' (89:3)

When used without an antecedent, man hāl can mean either 'where', '(in/to) a/the place where', or 'wherever', as in:
sḥāt wōz man hāl attagēr yaśényam tah lā 'he slaughtered a goat (in a place) where the merchants couldn't see him' (4:2)
mən hāl nákak, yoṣ́háyk mənáy ḥābū 'wherever I came to, people would laugh at me' (34:10)
man hāl ag̈áyg assōfar, tssáfron šah ‘wherever the man traveled, she traveled with him' (74:10)
aghōm man hālal aḥād yanōka lā ‘I go where no one else goes' (76:1)
háśnan man hāl śīnək tah 'show us where you saw it' (95:8)

The phrase man hāl can also be a relative 'from where', serving in place of **mən man hāl, as in:
hōh ḳafdōna bark ḥəwōdi man hāl nákan 'I will go down into the valley where we came from' (70:6)
śīni sékanah man hāl gahēm 'he saw the settlement he had set out from' (98:1)

[^30]anké’ ba-ḥərōh ð-arīsít man hāl $\partial$ - $a$ lēk ${ }^{\text {'bring back the head of the serpent }}$ from where it was hung' (42:43)

In this last example, the passive verb ð-ālēk. has the verbal prefix $\partial-(\S 7.1 .10 .2)$, not the relative pronoun.

Finally, in one passage in the texts we find relative man hāl preceded by the preposition $t \varepsilon$ 'until, up to', meaning 'to where':
śáll aḳādər te man hāl ḥāráwn thəḳṣáwman 'he took the pot to where the goats spend the day' $(1: 7)$

## CHAPTER 4

## Nouns

## 4.1

## Gender

Mehri has two grammatical genders, masculine and feminine. Masculine nouns have no formal marker. Feminine nouns are sometimes recognizable by the presence of a stressed suffix $-\bar{\imath} t,-\bar{e} t,-\bar{a} t$, or $-\bar{o} t,{ }^{1}$ or unstressed suffix $-\partial t$. Feminine nouns frequently lack an explicit feminine marker, in which case the gender of an individual noun is simply lexical. Following are some examples of marked and unmarked feminine nouns:

> Marked feminine: bahlīt 'word', baḳərēt 'cow', faṣṣ̣āt 'silver', ġaggīt 'girl', $\dot{g} \partial g a n o ̄ t ~ ' g i r l ', ~ k s \partial w e ̄ t ~ ' c l o t h i n g ', ~ k a w t e ̄ t ~ ' t a l e ', ~ k ̣ a h w e ̄ t ~ ' c o f f e e ', ~ k a s s e ̄ t ~ ' s t o r y ', ~$ láwḳət 'bottle', maḳśōt 'dead tree', naxlīt 'palm-tree', raḥbēt 'town', rīśīt 'snake’, sáḥrət 'witch', sanēt 'year', ṣáyg̉ət 'jewelry’, šanēt 'sleep', warḳāt 'paper; note', xadmēt 'work', xəwfēt 'window', xațərāt 'time', yabīt 'female camel'

Unmarked feminine: āgrēz 'testicle’, āgáwz ‘old woman', ārkáyb 'mouse', ayn 'eye', bayt 'house', bōkar 'young she-camel', danyē 'world', fêm 'leg; foot', farháyn 'horse', gēzal 'boulder', ḥāmē 'mother', ḥərọ̄s 'acacia', karmáym 'mountain', kawt 'food', mandáwk ‘rifle', mawsē 'rain', maws 'razor', moṣ́rāḥ 'tooth', rīkēb 'riding-camel', ṣāwar 'rock', țabráyn ‘hyena', wōdi ‘valley', wōrom (def. ḥōram) 'road', wōz (def. ḥōz) 'female goat; goats'

Nearly all singular nouns ending in $-t$ have feminine gender, even if the $t$ is part of the root, as with bayt 'house' and kawt 'food'. Exceptions are bəháymət 'poor fellow' (ML, s.v. bhm), which has parallel cognate forms (that is, masculines ending in $-t$ ) attested in Hobyot and Jibbali, and wakt 'time', an Arabic loanword. On the other hand, plural nouns ending in -(a)t are normally masculine, e.g., zəyáwrot 'jars' (sg. zayr); see § 4.3.3 for additional examples.

A very few nouns seem to be of variable gender, such as mawse 'rain' (cf. 47:2 and 49:1), and kalōn 'bride/groom'. ${ }^{2}$ Based on the limited evidence in the texts,

[^31]it seems that the feminine noun sawēhar serves as the plural of both masculine sēḩar 'warlock, wizard' and feminine sáḥrət 'witch'. ${ }^{3}$

Some masculine nouns referring to animate beings have a recognizable feminine counterpart, while others do not. Feminine nouns referring to humans most often have an explicit feminine-marking morpheme, though there are exceptions. Compare the following pairs:

Masculine<br>g$\grave{\imath} g e ̄ n ~ ' b o y ’$<br>habrē 'son'<br>gūr 'male servant'<br>bāl 'master'<br>dìd 'paternal uncle'<br>xayl 'maternal uncle'<br>haym 'brother-in-law'<br>hayr 'male donkey'<br>kawb 'dog, wolf'<br>sēḥar 'wizard'

Feminine
g̀agənōt 'girl'
brīt 'daughter' (def. ḥabrīt)
garīt 'female servant'
bālīt 'mistress'
dīt 'maternal aunt'
xalūt 'paternal aunt'
hamáyt 'sister-in law'
hierìt 'female donkey'
kawbīt 'bitch'
sáḥrət 'witch'

But:

| gayg 'man' | tēt 'woman' |
| :--- | :--- |
| hayb 'father' | hāmé 'mother' |
| bo'áyr 'male camel' | yabāt 'female camel' |
| téyah 'male goat' | wōz 'female goat' (def. $h \bar{o} z$ ) |
| hēxar 'old man' | $\bar{a} g a ́ w z ~ ' o l d ~ w o m a n ' ~$ |

Not all nouns referring to female animals are feminine in gender. For example, the nouns dərhīs 'one-year old female (goat) kid', faráyṣ́ 'young she-camel', and hātor 'two- or three-month old female (goat) kid' are all grammatically masculine.

Feminine nouns that are marked in the singular need not be, and usually are not, marked in the plural. For example, the plurals of bahlīt 'word', nōbēt 'bee', and rīsít 'snake' are, respectively, bəhēl, nəwēb, and royēś, all of which lack

[^32]an explicit feminine morpheme. And as a corollary, nouns that lack a feminine marker in the singular can exhibit a suffix - $t$ in the plural, as with mandáwk 'rifle', pl. mənádkəət, though such examples are uncommon. See further in § 4.3.3.

### 4.2 Duals

Nouns in Mehri possess a distinct dual form, as do pronouns and verbs. Unlike the formation of noun plurals, the formation of the dual is remarkably simple. For almost all nouns, regardless of gender, the dual is formed simply by adding the suffix $-i$ to the singular, for example:

| warx 'month', du. wárxi | $t e \bar{t}$ 'woman', du. tēt $i$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| káwzarot 'date-basket', du. |  |
| káwzarati |  |

In actual use, the dual form of the noun is nearly always followed by the numeral 'two' (m. trōh, f. trayt). Examples from the texts are:
> ǵáygi trōh 'two men' (104:5)
> kōni trōh 'two horns' (88:7)
> wárxi trōh 'two months' (17:11)
> āṣari trōh 'two nights' (98:6)
> kāadari trōh 'two pots' (35:17)

```
tēti trayt 'two women' (2:1)
yabīt trayt 'two camels' (32:9)
sənēti trayt 'two years' (37:18)
farháyni trayt 'two horses' (24:11)
sáwri trayt 'two rocks' (71A:1)
```

In speech, this dual ending $-i$ is interpreted by Mehri speakers as part of the following numeral (e.g., warx itrōh). In fact, in Ali Musallam's Arabic-letter transcriptions, he nearly always wrote $i$ - prefixed to the numeral trōh, rather than suffixed to the noun. However, speakers do not use the form itrōh for the numeral when used alone or preceding a noun.

In a few places, the numeral 'two' precedes the noun, in which case the noun is in the plural:
trōh gayw 'two brothers' (40:1)
trōh śhawd 'two witnesses' (9:4; 100:1)

Johnstone (1975a: 21) cites two nouns that exhibit a unique, unpredictable dual, namely, $\dot{g} a y g$ 'man' (dual ǵgáwgi) and ġaggīt 'girl' (dual ġəggáwti), though only the former is found in the texts. He also says that these unique dual forms can sometimes be used without an accompanying numeral; the attested examples
of agáwgi 'the two men' (4:14;5:17) confirm this. Also note the unusual case of fakh 'half', whose dual form fákḥi serves also as its plural, a use which is quite logical semantically. Dual fákḥi can also occur without an accompanying numeral (cf. 65:12; 77:10).

Adjectives do not have dual forms, so a dual noun is modified by a plural adjective, as in: ${ }^{4}$

ṣáwri trayt țalōfəf 'two flat stones' (71A:1)

The dual form also does not occur with possessive suffixes. So, when the numeral 'two' follows a noun with a possessive suffix, that noun is based on the plural form, as in:

```
ag̉áthe trayt 'his two sisters' \((15: 1)^{5}\)
ḥəbánys śātáyt 'my three sons'
habánse trōh 'her two sons'6
```

In terms of subject-verb agreement, we find both dual verbs with plural noun subjects and plural verbs with dual noun subjects. However, dual noun subjects and dual verbs do not usually co-occur, presumably for reasons of redundancy. Among the few examples of a dual noun subject with a plural verb are:
> g̀̄ $\bar{g} e \overline{n i}$ trōh wátxfam 'two boys came' (35:1)
> nūka kēéyōti trayt 'two female spirits came' (68:6)

Compare the preceding examples with the following, which have dual verbs, but plural nouns:
kafdōh togēr 'the (two) merchants went down' (4:12)
sīrōh ḥambaráwtan 'the (two) boys went' (35:16)
sīrōh hāägrōn 'the (two) slaves went' (65:10)
dartōh hāāērton 'the (two) slave girls went around' (97:31)

[^33]An exception is aǵáwgi aḥtəwalōh 'the two men have gone crazy' (4:14); surely it is not a coincidence that agáwgi is one of the few nouns with an unpredictable dual, and which can be used without an accompanying numeral. The choice of whether to put the noun or verb in the dual does not seem to be totally dependent on word order, as shown by the above examples, but it does seem to be the case that the dual element usually comes first. ${ }^{7}$

When the number 'two' is used independently, it does require a dual verb:
trōh rakbōh barkēh 'two (men) rode in it' (60:6)
trōh ðд-yasīrōh 'two were traveling' (68:1; 72:1)
trō̄h sīrōh ... wa-trōh āmarōh 'two went ... and two sang' (84:4)
In some cases, all verbs in a narrative sequence remain either dual or plural, as determined by the first verb. For example, in 35:1 (given above), the verb is plural because of the dual noun preceding it. All of the verbs and pronouns referring to the 'two boys' remain plural for the next several lines, even though the dual noun is not mentioned again. In 35:10, on the other hand, after a short break in the narrative about the 'two boys', we find a dual verb (śallōni), after which the verbs and pronouns are dual for the next several lines. In other cases, we find just one dual verb, followed in sequence by several plural verbs (e.g., 72:2). Sometimes we find flip-flopping between dual and plural verbs (e.g., 66:3). In several cases, Ali wrote a dual form in his Arabic-letter text, but read a plural on the audio, or vice versa. ${ }^{8}$ In short, there seems to be a lot of flexibility in the use of dual verbs, no doubt an indication of obsolescence.

## $4 \cdot 3$ <br> Plurals

Mehri exhibits two types of plural marking on nouns. There are external plurals, meaning that an explicit plural-marking suffix is used, and there are internal plurals, meaning that plurality is indicated by means of internal vowel changes, with or without the addition of an infix or suffix. Internal plurals are far more common in Mehri than external plurals; for masculine nouns they are used almost exclusively.

[^34]A few nouns have a suppletive plural, meaning they form their plural from a different base. Such are ġīgēn 'boy', pl. ambaráwtan; yabīt 'female camel', pl. $b \bar{\varepsilon} r ; n \partial h \bar{o} r ~ ' d a y ', ~ p l . ~ y u ̄ m ; ~ m a n e ̄ d ə m ~ ' p e r s o n ', ~ p l . ~ b u ̄ ; ~ ' 9 ~ a n d ~ k a h w e ̄ t ~ ' c o f f e e-s h o p ', ~$ pl. makōhi. ${ }^{10}$ The noun tēt 'woman' (pl. yanīt) falls into this category from a synchronic perspective, but historically both forms are from the same root, 'nt.

Some singular nouns can have both a singular and collective meaning, e.g., ṣáyğat 'ornament; jewelry', tōmar 'date; dates', and $w \bar{o} z$ 'female goat; goats'. A collective noun may be treated as grammatically singular or plural. For example, the collective ðəbbēt 'flies' takes feminine plural verb concord in text 29:4, but feminine singular concord in 29:7; cf. also the morphological plural $a ð b e ̄ b ~(29: 18)$. The plural noun harōm 'tree' can also be used with a singular meaning, and in fact is much more commonly used in the texts than singular harmáyt, which is found just once (70:1). ${ }^{11}$ The plural nouns $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'camels' and rawn 'goats' can also be used as collectives. ${ }^{12}$

### 4.3.1 Masculine External Plurals

Nouns with the masculine external plural marker -in are quite rare in Mehri, and are exceedingly rare in the texts. Two examples are ktabin 'books' (25:18, sg. $k t o ̄ b)$, and, with the allomorph -áyn, maytáyn 'dead (people)' (40:4, sg. mōyat). ${ }^{13}$ Other examples not occurring in the texts can be found in $M L$, such as ðәпōb
$9 \quad$ The word $b \bar{u}$ (def. $h \bar{a} \bar{b} b \bar{u}$ ) is likely historically connected with Semitic *' $a b(w)$ - 'father' (Kogan 2015: 547). If so, then this means that it is related to the Mehri singular hayb 'father', but the plural of the latter is hawb.
Makōhi (attested in 48:13) is the broken plural of makhōyət, the Yemeni Mehri word for 'coffee-shop', which occurs in text 48:14 (though the audio has kahwēt here). ML (s.v. $k h w(y))$ says that it is also the plural of the Omani Mehri word $k a h w e \bar{t}$ 'coffee-shop'. As I discuss elsewhere ( $\S 9.3$, n. 16), text 48 contains several Yemeni Mehri forms.
In some contexts, it is unclear whether a singular or plural is intended. For example, in 70:2, we find the phrase bāl ḥāráwn ðə-yalūbad harōm, which I have translated as 'a goatherder beating a tree'. But 'beating trees' is an equally possible translation in this context. However, in 70:3 we find harōm ðд-yalábdah 'the tree that he was beating (it)', where the 3 ms resumptive object suffix makes it clear that harōm is singular. Similarly, we can cite kūsan harōm əð-báh ḥfūl, walākan đ̛́yrah yaðbōr mēkan 'we found a tree that had ripe figs on it, but there were a lot of hornets in it' (25:3), which has a ms suffix referring to harōm on both bəh and đ̣áyrəh. In 94:37, hərōm is modified by the ms demonstrative ðēk.
Though $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ is the generic word for 'camels', it also serves as the plural of yabīt 'female camel'. The word $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ has the same root as ba'áyr 'male camel' (pl. ba'yōr).

13 The mp form maxāśəráyn 'mixed' (6o:1) seems to be an Arabized form (as noted in $M L$, s.v. $x$ śr), though it is not clear if this verbal root occurs in Arabic with this meaning. There is a verb $x$ śūr 'mix' in Mehri, however.
'tail', pl. ðənəbīn; gafōn 'eyelash, eyelid', pl. gəfanīn; ${ }^{14} \dot{g} \overline{o ̄ b ~ ' e x c r e m e n t ', ~ p l . ~ g ̇ a b b i ̄ n ; ~}$ k.arōṣ 'mosquito', pl. karaṣīn; and zawōd 'supplies', pl. zawadīn. ${ }^{15}$ Note that almost all of these words have the singular pattern $C ə C \bar{C} C$. Kogan (2015: 474-475) has suggested that the suffix -in is not to be seen historically as the external plural marker (like Arabic -ina or Hebrew -ìm), but rather should be compared to Arabic broken plural patterns with the suffix -ān (e.g., ġulām 'boy', pl. gilmān).

In addition to the rare suffix -in, we find the likewise rare masculine plural morpheme -ōn. Examples from the texts are garōn 'slaves' (e.g., 22:104, sg. gūr), and ṣ̂f̄fon 'guests' (e.g., 4:3, sg. ṣ́ayf ). We also find this morpheme in the feminine plural āgzōn 'old women' (e.g., 97:8, sg. āgáwz). ${ }^{16}$

The most common suffix found with masculine plurals is $-\partial t$, though this is nearly always used in conjunction with an internal plural pattern (see § 4.3.3). An example of -at used independently is dallōlat 'guides' (60:8, sg. dallōl); cf. also h.addōdət 'blacksmiths', sg. haddōd, listed in ML (s.v. ḥdd). A small number of masculine nouns take the feminine external plural marker, on which see §4.3.2.

### 4.3.2 Feminine External Plurals

The external feminine plural marker is -tən/-ōtzn/-ūtzn/-áwtan. The form -tan is especially common with adjectives, including most of those based on the pattern CəCáyC (see §5.2), while -ūtən is used with the future tense (see §7.1.6), which was originally a nominal form. With nouns the external feminine plural marker is a bit less common, though it is found with some frequently occurring feminine nouns, especially those referring to humans and body parts. At least one masculine noun has a plural with feminine external suffix, though it remains grammatically masculine. Below are most of the feminine external plurals found in the texts, though several of these are attested only with pronominal suffixes:

> ayn, pl. āyéntən 'eye'
> (ha)brīt, pl. (ha)bántan ‘daughter'
> ð̣ay, pl. đِәуōtan 'scent' (masculine?)
> fikkā, pl. fakyūtən 'cover' (masculine?)
> gənyōt, pl. gənnáytən ‘female jinn’
> garīt, pl. gērtan 'slave-girl'
> ġayfēn, pl. ġəfənūtən ‘dress’ (masculine?)

[^35]$\dot{g} \partial g g \bar{t} t$, pl. $\dot{g} \partial g g o ̄ t z n ~ ‘ g i r l ' ~$<br>$\dot{g}$ īgēn 'boy', pl. ambaráwtən 'boys' (masculine)<br>ġagənōt, pl. ġəgənáwtən 'girl'<br>ġayt, pl. ǵáwtan ‘sister’<br>hayd, pl. hādūton 'hand'<br>ḥayðēn, pl. ḥayðánton 'ear'<br>kawbīt, pl. kalábtən 'female dog, bitch'<br>ş́əfarït, pl. ṣ́afártən 'braid, plait (of hair)'17

Unfortunately, the attestations of the nouns $\partial \quad a y$, fik $k \bar{a}$, and $\dot{g} a y f \bar{e} n$ are not in contexts that allow us to determine whether they are masculine or feminine, so ambaráwtan is the only certain masculine noun in the list above with a feminine external plural suffix. The plural of hamm 'name' is homūtzn (ML, s.v. hmy), and this is also likely masculine. ${ }^{18}$

Some masculine nouns with the prefix $m \partial$ - have plurals in -ūton (e.g., mahiśan 'soothsayer', pl. maśśanyūtən), but these are all historically active participles, i.e., what has become the future tense in Mehri, and futures from derived stems have the common plural ending -ūtzn (see § 7.1.6).

Before possessive suffixes ( $\S 3.2 .2$ ), the element $-\partial n$ of the feminine plural marker (presumably historical nunation) is lost, as in ḥəbántse 'her daughters' (15:4), ag̉áthe 'his sisters' (15:1), āyéntše 'your (f.) eyes' (42:27), hā dáthe 'his hands' (75:10), and aṣáfártse 'her braids' (75:14).

An external feminine plural suffix - $\bar{o} t$ is attested with a small number of
 (2:3); and mətálli ‘second, other; later', cp matalyōt (30:13). On the suffix -ōn,


### 4.3.3 Internal Plurals

By far the most common method of indicating the plurality of a noun is changing the vocalic pattern, with or without the addition of an infix or suffix. For the most part, these internal plural forms are unpredictable. If we grouped
$17 M L$ (s.v. źfr) lists ṣ́əfǐr, pl. ṣ́əfarūtən, with the meaning 'plait of hair', and ṣ̣əfrīt, pl. ṣ́əfártən, with the meaning 'leaf with a scent like thyme ... used by women on their hair'. However, it is the latter term that occurs in the texts meaning 'braid, plait (of hair)'. See further in the comments to texts $75: 11$ and $75: 15$. The plural is clearly feminine, since we find both fs tayt 'one' (75:13) and fp bisan 'them' (85:10) referring to this word.
18 The attestations of hamm 'name' in our texts give no clue to its gender, but the word is masculine in the Yemeni texts collected by Sima (2009: 230, text 45:1). It is possible, of course, that the gender of this word differs between the two dialects.
into sets nouns whose singular and plural patterns were the same (e.g., sg. $h e \overline{e x a r, ~ p l . ~ h i ̄ x a ̄ r ; ~ s g . ~ n e ̄ h \partial r, ~ p l . ~ n \bar{u} h \bar{a} r), ~ t h e r e ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ d o z e n s ~ o f ~ s u c h ~ g r o u p s . ~}{ }^{19}$ In general, internal plurals themselves can be loosely classified according to several types:

Type 1: Internal plurals indicated with the change of a single vowel or diphthong.

This type includes many quadriliteral nouns of the pattern CaCCi C (var. CəCCáyC; pl. CəCCōC):20 dəḥhīl (pl. dəḥlōl) 'cave, hole', darhīs (pl. dərhōs) 'one-year old female (goat) kid', gardīś (pl. gardōś) 'ground; desert', karmáym (pl. karmōm) 'mountain', katfif (pl. katfōf) 'wing', maḥlīb (pl. maḥlōb) 'young camel', mahțáym (pl. maḥtōm) 'camel-rope', magrīr (pl. magrōr) 'beehive', mandīl (pl. mandōl) 'handkerchief', śatráyr (pl. śaṭrōr) 'rag, strip of cloth', yag்ráyb (pl. yagrōb) 'crow, raven', zənbīl (pl. zənbōl) 'basket'

Several masculine kinship terms: dīd (pl. dūd) 'paternal uncle', hayb (pl. hawb) 'father; (pl.) parents', haym (pl. ḥawm) 'brother-in-law; father-inlaw; (pl.) parents-in-law', xayl (pl. xawl) 'maternal uncle'

And others: āṣáyyṣ (pl. āṣáwṣ́) ‘bone’, faráyṣ́ (pl. farōṣ) ‘young she-camel', $n \bar{\iota} d(\mathrm{pl} . n \bar{u} d)$ '(water-)skin', rīkēb (pl. rīkōb) 'riding-camel'

Type 2: Internal plurals with total pattern replacement.
 āśr (pl. 'ayśōr) 'friend', bōkar (pl. bakōr) 'young she-camel', bark (pl. bīrōk) 'knee', bayt (pl. bəyūt) 'house', gayg (pl. gंəyūg) 'man', hēxar (pl. hīxār) 'old man', ḥarf (pl. ḥaráwf) 'gold coin', ḥōram (pl. ḥayrēm) 'road (def.)', ḥōtor (pl. ḥiṭār) '(goat) kid', k kādər (pl. kēēōr) 'pot',22 karš (pl. karáwš) 'money; Maria Theresa dollar', kayd (pl. ḳayūd) 'rope', kawb (pl. kalōb) 'dog, wolf', nēḥar (pl. nīhārr) 'wadi', sēkan (pl. skūn) 'settlement; family', śsbb (pl. śabōb) 'young man', ṣáyga (pl. ṣīgé’) 'shelter; (animal) pen', tōgar

[^36](pl. tagēr ) 'merchant, rich man', ${ }^{23}$ warx ( pl . wōrəx) 'month', xásam (pl. xaṣáwm) 'enemy', xōtam (pl. xtūm) 'ring'24

Type 3: Internal plurals with pattern replacement and an infixed $w$.

Examples include: āṭar (pl. āṭōwar) 'perfume', faṭx (pl. faṭōwax) 'hit, blow', markēb (pl. maráwkab) ‘ship', másmar (pl. masáwmar) 'nail', maxbāt (pl. məxáwbəṭ) 'cartridge', nēðər (pl. nəðōwar) 'vow', xalēk (pl. xəlōwək) 'cloth, dress (pl. clothes)', xaṭrāk. (pl. xaṭáwrak) 'stick'

Type 4: Marked feminine singulars with internal, unmarked feminine plurals (some with infixed $y$ ).

Examples include: bahlīt (pl. bahēl) 'word', bakarēt (pl. baḳār) 'cow', dagarīt (pl. dēgar) 'bean’, gazáyrət (pl. gazōyar) 'island’, harmáyt (pl. harōm) 'tree’, ${ }^{25}$ janbáyyət (pl. janōbi) 'dagger', ${ }^{66}$ kabáylat (pl. kabōyal) 'tribe', nōbēt (pl. nəwēb) 'bee', roḥbēt (pl. raḥōyab) 'town', rissit̀t (pl. rəyēs' 'snake', sáḥrət (pl. sawēḥar) 'witch', ṣafaráyyat (pl. ṣəfōri) 'pot', wáhnət (pl. wahōyan) 'shoulder blade', xawfēt (pl. xalōyaf) 'window'

Type 5: Internal plurals with suffixed $-t$ (some with infixed $w$ or $y$ ).

These are usually masculine nouns, including: hērək (pl. həráwḳət) 'thief', ḥōkam (pl. ḥkōmat) 'ruler', kabáyn (pl. kabáwnat) 'scorpion', malēk (pl. maláykət) ‘angel', ${ }^{7}$ rībay (pl. ərbāt) 'companion', skayn (pl. skáwnət) 'knife', škay (pl. škáyyat) 'sword', xōdəm (pl. xaddōmət) 'worker, servant', ${ }^{28}$ zayr (pl. zayáwrat) 'jar'

The word tōgar also has a plural form tagarēt. Based on 66:1 and 66:1o it appears that they are free variants as nouns. It is possible that tagarēt is preferred for the adjective plural (e.g., 65:8), and tagēr for the noun plural (e.g., 4:1).

See the comment to text 22:51.
As noted in §4.3, harōm is often grammatically singular in the texts.
Besides janbáyyat, pl. jənōbi (e.g., 9:8; 3:19), the texts also have ganbáyyat, pl. ganōbi (e.g., 34:11; 104:36).
$M L$ (s.v. $m l k$ ) lists a plural malōkat, but in the texts (4:9), we find maláykat. It is unclear if malēk 'king' has a different plural than malēk 'angel'.
The singular xōdzm is according to $M L$ (s.v. $x d m$ ), since only the plural form occurs in the texts (e.g., 19:13). Based on other nouns of this plural pattern, e.g., dallōl ( pl. dallölat) 'guide' and haddṑd (pl. haddōdət) 'blacksmith', we might expect a singular xəddōm. An adjective

A feminine example is: mandáwk (pl. manádkot) 'rifle’

Among diminutive plurals, we also find some internal plurals with an infixed $y$ (in the suffix $-\bar{\varepsilon} y \bar{e} n$ ), as well as plurals that show partial reduplication (e.g., nəḥrāḥōr 'little wadis', sg. dimin. nəwāḥār). See further in §4.5.

Certain nouns can be classed as irregular, either because their plural is formed from a different base (see $\S 4.3$ for examples) or because the base is phonologically altered in the plural. Examples fitting the latter category are habrrē (pl. habbūn) 'son', gga (pl. gayw) 'brother', tēet (pl. yanīt), 'woman', and wōram (pl. ayrēm) 'road' (def. ḥōram, ḥayrēm). On the similarly irregular plural bases of 'daughter' and 'sister', see § 4.3.2.

### 4.4 Definite Article

The existence of a definite article in Mehri was for a long time not recognized, because Mehri dialects differ with regard to this feature. Yemeni Mehri dialects lack a definite article, but one undoubtedly exists in Omani Mehri. ${ }^{29}$ The productive form of the definite article is an unstressed prefixed $a$ - (sometimes pronounced $\varepsilon$-), which does not inflect for gender or number. Some words have a definite article $h$ - or $h$-, but these are lexical, and such forms must be learned individually. The great majority of nouns take the article $a$-, the use of which is phonologically conditioned. That is, before certain consonants it does not appear. The rules are as follows:
a. The definite article $a$ - is found before the voiced and glottalic consonants $b, d, \partial, \not \partial, g, \dot{g}, j, k, l, m, n, r, s, s, s, s, s, t ̣, w, y$, and $z$. Examples are bayt 'house' (def. abáyt), débəh 'honey' (def. adébวh), ðəbbēt ‘flies' (def. aдəbbēt), đ̣áwma 'thirst' (def. a đ̣áwma), gazáyrət 'island' (def. agzáyrət), ġayg 'man' (def. aġáyg), janbáyyat 'dagger' (def. ajanbáyyat), k $\bar{a}$ ' $p l a c e ’ ~(d e f . ~$ $a k \cdot \bar{a})$, lang 'launch' (def. aláng), məkōn 'place' (def. amkōn), nəhōr 'day' (def. anhōr), raḥbēt 'town' (def. arḥəbēt), ṣāwar 'rock' (def. aṣāwar), ṣ́áyga

[^37]‘shelter; (animal) pen’ (def. aṣ́áyga), ṣ̌ōba’ 'fingers’ (def. aṣōba’), ṭayk 'fig tree’ (def. aṭáyk), warēk 'papers' (def. awrēk), yağráyb 'raven, crow' (def. ayaġráyb), zənbill 'basket’ (def. azənbïl).
b. The definite article is found before a cluster of voiceless, non-glottalic consonants (§ 2.1.2). ${ }^{30}$ Examples are: $f s ' \vec{e}{ }^{\prime}$ 'lunch' (def. afśé'), hfūl 'ripe (wild) figs' (def. aḥfūl), ḥkáwmət 'government' (def. aḥkáwmət), ksəwēt 'clothes' (def. aksawēt), ktōb 'book' (def. aktōb), śxōf 'milk' (def. aśxōf), xtūm 'rings' (def. axtūm).
c. For nouns with etymological initial ' that has been lost before a stressed vowel, the article may appear (pronounced with a hiatus) or may be assimilated to the initial vowel of the word. So from $\bar{a} s ̣ a r ~ ' n i g h t ', ~ w e ~ m a y ~$ hear definite aáṣər or $\bar{a} s ̣ ə r$ 'the night'. When initial ' is preserved (see $\S$ 2.1.3), then the article appears as expected before this voiced consonant, e.g., $a^{\text {' isse }}$ 'the dinner' and a'áymar 'the singing'.
d. The definite article $a$ - does not occur before the voiceless, non-glottalic consonants $f, h, \underline{h}, k, s, s, s, s, t, \underline{t}$, and $x$ (except when there is a cluster of two, as in rule b). Instead, there is gemination of the initial consonant. In practice, however, the gemination is very often not realized, in which case the article is then not present at all (or, one could say that it has the surface form Ø). For example, the definite form of $t e \bar{t}$ 'woman' can be simply tēte, or it can be $t t e \bar{t} \underline{t}$ or attēte. An initial geminate is heard more often, but not always, with a prefixed preposition or the conjunction wa-, e.g., wa-ttēt 'and the woman'.

An unstressed $a$ is often deleted in the syllable following the definite article $a$-. For example:

```
bakāar 'cattle' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(a b k a \bar{a} r\)
gazáyrət 'island' \(\rightarrow\) def. agzáyrət
mənēdəm 'human being' \(\rightarrow\) def. amnēdəт
\(n \partial h \bar{o} r\) 'day' \(\rightarrow\) def. anhōr
warēk. 'papers' \(\rightarrow\) def. awrēk
```

But not:

Johnstone's transcriptions in Stroomer's edition of the texts and in his other publications completely obscured this phenomenon. This rule was subsequently discovered by Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral (2014a) in the course of their own fieldwork. The revised editions of Johnstone's texts published herein match splendidly with the rule that they uncovered.

```
mawsē 'rain' \(\rightarrow\) def. amawse
məndáwk 'rifle' \(\rightarrow\) def. aməndáwk
k.aráwš 'money' \(\rightarrow\) def. aḳaráwš
```

Cases like amawsē and amandáwk retain the a to avoid a cluster of three consonants, while in a case like akarawš the a is retained because of the preceding glottalic consonant.

As already mentioned, words with the definite article $h$ - or $h$ - are lexical, and for the most part it is unpredictable (synchronically, at least) which nouns use these article. The article $h$ - is by far the more common of the two, and it is found both with (synchronically) vowel-initial and consonant-initial words. We can formulate the following rules to describe the form of the article $h$-:
a. The default form is haz-. Examples are: brīt 'daughter' (def. habbrīt), dīd 'paternal uncle' (def. ḥədīd), mōh 'water' (def. ḥəmōh), nōb ‘big (f.)' (def. ḥənōb), nīd '(water-)skin' (def. ḥənīd), nōf- 'self' (def. ḥənōf-), ${ }^{31}$ rōh 'head' (def. ḥərōh), wōdi ‘valley’ (def. ḥəwōdi).
b. Where the historical root has an initial glottal stop, the form is $h \bar{a}-(h a-$ in a closed syllable). Examples are: arnáyb 'hare' (def. ḥarnáyb), bū 'people' (def. $h \bar{a} b \bar{u}), g \bar{u} r$ 'slave' (def. $h ̣ a \overline{g u} r)$, rīt 'moon' (def. hār$r \bar{i} t)$, rawn 'goats' (def. ḥāráwn).
c. Before a voiceless, non-glottalic consonant or before $y$ the form is ha-. ${ }^{32}$ Examples are: fərōk. 'flocks, camps' (def. hafrōk.), skūn 'settlements' (def. ḥaskūn), salōb 'arms, weapons' (def. ḥaslōb), śabōb 'young men' (def. ḥaśbōb), yabīt 'female camel' (def. ḥaybīt), yanīt 'women' (def. haaynīt), yūm 'days' (def. ḥayūm).
d. In a couple of words in which an initial glottal stop was replaced by $w$, the form is $h$-, which replaces the $w$ : wōram 'road' (def. hōoram), wōz 'female goat; goats' (def. $h \bar{o} z) .{ }^{33}$

Many of the nouns with the definite article $h$ - have an etymological initial '. When the consonant was part of the root, this is usually reflected in the long

31 The form hanōf- only occurs with suffixes, and so always appears as definite within the texts; see further in $\S 3.6$. The word $n \bar{\rho} f$ is cognate with Arabic nafs 'soul; self', though the root has undergone some mutation in Modern South Arabian (§3.6, n. 24).
32 An exception is ḩyáwm 'the sun', though here the prefix can be considered part of the lexeme, not as a removable article.
33 The word $w \bar{o} z$ must be connected etymologically with Arabic 'anz, Hebrew ${ }^{〔} e \bar{z}$, etc., but the proto-MSA form can be reconstructed with '.
$\bar{a}$ of the definite article $h \bar{a}$-, as noted above. For example, $b \bar{u}$ is probably from Semitic root *'b 'father' (as is Mehri hayb 'father'); gūr 'slave' is cognate with Arabic 'ajīr and Akkadian agru 'laborer'; rit is probably cognate with Hebrew $\bar{o} r$ 'light'; and rawn is cognate with Syriac 'arnā 'mountain goat'. Definite plurals like hafrōk ‘flocks, camps' (sg. fark) and haslōb 'arms, weapons' (sg. salēb) must reflect a broken plural pattern ** $a C C \bar{a} C$. The word yabitt 'female camel' derives from the root ' $b l$, while $y \partial n \bar{i} \underline{t}$ 'women' derives from the root ' $n \underline{t}$.

Some other nouns with an initial $y$ also take the definite article ha-, like yūm 'days', while others do not, like yaðbīr 'hornet' (def. ayaðbīr) and yaǵráyb 'crow' (def. ayagrráyb). ${ }^{34}$

Other nouns with the definite article $h$-, like mōh 'water', rōh 'head', and nōf'self', and the adjective $n \bar{o} b$ 'big' do not have etymological initial 'or $y$, but they do each have the pattern $C \bar{o} C$, so perhaps some analogy took place with words of this pattern. The noun wōdi 'valley' may also fit with these nouns, since the pattern is nearly identical. The noun nid 'water-skin' may also belong here; its plural is $n \bar{o} d$, so probably this attracted the article $h$-, which then spread to the singular. The word $w \bar{z} z$ 'female goat; goats' (def. $h \bar{o} z$ ), mentioned above, also has the shape $C \bar{o} C$.

Nouns like brīt 'daughter' and died 'paternal uncle' perhaps take the article $h$ on analogy with other kinship terms like hayb 'father' (historical root 'b), hāmé 'mother' (historical root 'm), and haym 'brother-in-law'. 35 The feminine dīt 'maternal aunt' has a definite $h \underline{a} d \bar{i} t$, rather than the expected **hadīt, probably under the influence of $h \bar{a} m \bar{e}$ 'mother'. In short, the appearance of an article $h{ }^{h}$ can be explained in most cases, though it cannot necessarily be predicted.

For several nouns, especially those with etymological initial ', an initial $h$ has become part of the base of the noun, rather than simply the article. This includes the words hayb 'father', hāame 'mother', ${ }^{36}$ habre 'son' (but construct bar; see §4.6), ${ }^{37}$ ḥōtal 'tamarisk', (ba-)halláy 'night', ḥayðēn 'ear', and ḥáymal

Some nouns with initial $y$-vary by dialect, and even Johnstone's own data are inconsistent on occasion. For example, for yatīm 'orphan' (pl. yatōm), ML (s.v. ytm) lists the definite forms haytīm and haytōm. But in the texts we find the definite plural form aytōm (16:2), as well as the fs definite aytamūt (32:11). See further in Sima (2002a).
In the case of haym 'brother-in-law', the initial $h$ is etymological.
$M L$ (s.v. 'mm) gives a form ' $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'mother' as the indefinite of $h \bar{a} m$ (correctly hā $\bar{a} \bar{e}$ ), but Johnstone indicates that it is very rare; it is not in the texts.
Though ' is not strictly etymological in the noun habrē, it could be from an initial pros- thetic syllable, as in Arabic 'ibn. Or, perhaps the initial haəbrē is analogical, as I suggest for the forms hadēd and hāāitt. According to $M L$ (s.v. $b r v$ ), the plural ḥəbūn has an indefinite form būn, but ḥabūn is also used as an indefinite in the texts (7:3).
'right', each of which can be either indefinite or definite. This initial $h$ - of these words may stem from a sound change affecting initial ', a change which is seen elsewhere, in words like ḥõ 'where' (< *'ān; cf. Hebrew 'ān 'where?’) and ḥāwaláy 'first' (cf. Arabic 'awwal). In words like hayb 'father', therefore, it is not correct to parse the prefix $h$ - as the definite article, since it does not have this specific function with these words.

The article $h$ - is far less common than $h$-, occurring with only a few words, all of them plural. The most commonly met words with $h$ - are $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'camels' (def. $h \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ ) and $\partial r b \bar{a} t$ 'companions' (def. hərbāt). There are numerous words that have an initial $h$ - in ML and Johnstone's own transcriptions of the texts (published by Stroomer), but in fact should correctly be transcribed with $h$-, as proven by the Arabic-letter manuscripts of the texts and the audio. Among such cases are ḥaskániham 'their communities' $(72: 5)^{38}$ and ḥaśbōb 'the young men' (42:47).

It should be pointed out that the article $h$ - or $h$ - need not appear on both the singular and plural forms of a noun. For example the definite form of sēkan 'settlement; family' is simply sēkən ( or ssēkən), but the definite plural is haaskūn.

Finally, as discussed elsewhere (§3.2.1), the definite form of the noun is the form to which possessive suffixes must be attached (but see the comment on rábbak in text 36:3), for example:
$b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'camels' $\rightarrow$ habérhe 'his camels'
bayt 'house' $\rightarrow$ abátk 'your house'
$\dot{g} a y t$ 'sister' $\rightarrow$ aǵáyti 'my sister'
$k$ ādar 'pot' $\rightarrow$ akādarah 'his pot'
$w \overline{o ̄ z}$ 'goats' $\rightarrow$ ḥázyzn ‘our goats'
rōh 'head' $\rightarrow$ ḥərōhi 'my head'

### 4.5 Diminutives

It is not clear how widely used diminutives are, and it is probable that frequency of use varies by speaker. There are only about a dozen different diminutive nouns attested in the texts. Watson (2012: 62) says that diminutives are more likely to be used by women and children, and that they are "considerably more common in both [Mehri] dialects than can be inferred from Johnstone's texts". The forms found in the texts are:

38 The erroneous initial $h$-appears not only in Johnstone's published transcription of the text (Stroomer's line 72:6), but is also cited in $M L$ (s.v. skn).


```
awaddōt 'small (amount of) sardines', dimin. of ayd 'sardines' (45:8)
gawēlēw 'little fever', dimin. of gōlaw 'fever' (105:2)
\(\dot{g} \partial y \bar{\varepsilon} g e \overline{e n}\) 'boy', dimin. of ǵgayg 'man' (8:4)
harm \(\bar{\varepsilon} y \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{n}\) 'bushes', dimin. of harōm 'tree(s)' (26:4)
karamōt 'hill, little mountain', dimin. of kərmaym 'mountain' (88:9; 94:41)
marāy 'a little grass', dimin. of māray 'grass, pasturage' (26:4)
raḥbēnōt 'small village place', dimin. of raḥbēt 'town' (6o:3)
raḥmēnōt 'little vegetation', dimin. of raḥmēt 'vegetation; rain' (30:1)
śawēhar 'new moon; first part of the first crescent of the moon', dimin. of
    śēhar 'first crescent of the moon' (82:1)
sawānōt 'a little while', dimin. of \(s \bar{\varepsilon} t\) 'long period of time' (18:6; \(36: 2\);
    94:19)
waḳētēn 'a little time', dimin. of wakt 'time' (81:4)
```



One diminutive adjective is attested in the texts, namely, rawāhāk 'a little ways away' (83:3, dimin. of rēḩak 'far'). In addition, it is likely that the common nouns
 recognized as such by native speakers today, ${ }^{39}$ and at least the latter has its own diminutive (see below). Lonnet (2003: 436) has suggested that gasráwwan '(in the) evening' is also historically a diminutive.

In one of Johnstone's unpublished manuscripts, found among his papers between texts 26 and 27, there is a list, written by Ali Musallam in Arabic script, of nearly thirty diminutive forms. ${ }^{40}$ These were subsequently recorded on audiotape by Ali, and most were included in $M L$. The forms are as follows; stress falls on the final syllable, unless noted: ${ }^{41}$

```
ālg}\overline{\varepsilon}y\overline{e}n(pl. dimin. of 'aylīg 'young camel')
bakar\varepsilon̄náwtan (pl. dimin. of bakarēt 'cow')42
```

This last point was confirmed by Rood (2017: 128-129).
Ali did not include the source lexemes of the diminutive forms, except for $\dot{g} a y g$ 'man', ġaganōt 'girl', mənēdam 'person', and tēt 'woman'.
The recording is found on tape $118 / 3$, just before text 27 . Excluded from my list here are three forms that are attested in the texts: $\dot{g} \partial y \bar{\varepsilon} g \bar{q} n, h \partial r m \bar{\varepsilon} y \bar{e} n$, and marāy. I have included rəhbbēnōt, even though it occurs in the texts, since the list also has the plural form. The word ṣawrēnōt appears twice in the list, but I have listed it here just once. I have also rearranged the list alphabetically.
Ali actually wrote and read mag்rēnáwtan (pl. dimin. of maǵrāt 'frankincense tree'), but he undoubtedly meant to write baḳərēnáwtən, since the words before and after this one (in

```
bawatōt, pl. bawatáwtan (dimin. of bayt 'house')
farṣāayēn (pl. dimin. of faráwṣ́ ‘young she-camel')
```



```
hawerrōt (dimin. of ḥarr 'small goat-pen')
```



```
\(k t \bar{c} b e \overline{n, ~ p l}\). ktabēyēn (dimin. of ktōb 'book')
maḥlēbáwtan ( pl dimin. of maḥlīb 'camel calf')
manēdēm (dimin. of mənēdəm 'person')
```



```
nawāhār, pl. nậrāḥōr (dimin. of nēḥar 'wadi')
śx \(\bar{\varepsilon} f e \bar{n}\) (dimin. of śx \(\bar{o} f\) 'milk')
raḥbēnōt, pl. raḥbēnáwtan (dimin. of roḥbēt 'town') \({ }^{43}\)
ṣawrēnōt (dimin. of ṣāwar 'rock')
tawotōt (dimin. of tēt 'woman')
wadēyēn, pl. wadēyáwtan (dimin. of wōdi ‘valley’) \({ }^{44}\)
wazēyáwtan (pl. dimin. of \(w \bar{o} z\) 'goat')
\(x ə w f \bar{n} n o ̄ t\), pl. xวwfènáwtวn (dimin. of xawfēt 'window')
ṣ́əw \(\bar{\varepsilon} g \varepsilon^{\prime}\), pl. ṣ́agā \(\bar{o}\) ’ (dimin. of ṣ́áyga 'shelter; [animal] pen’)
```

These small sets of forms are not enough to draw any solid conclusions about the formation of diminutives in Mehri. We can simply note some features that apply to two or more forms, such as the use of the suffixes $-\bar{o} t,-\bar{\varepsilon} n \bar{o} t$, and $\bar{\varepsilon} C \bar{e} n$, and the infixation of $w$ between the first and second root consonants. Plural diminutives sometimes show partial reduplication, as in ḥaṭr $\bar{\varepsilon} t ̣ \bar{r}$, na $h r a \bar{h} h \bar{o} r$, and ṣ́zgā $\bar{a}{ }^{\prime}$.

Many of the forms above were included in Johnstone (1973), which is an important treatment of diminutives in MSA, and some additional forms appear in ML. Jahn (1905: 43-45) also has some relevant data. Watson (2012) treats diminutives in a number of places in her grammar, including diminutive adverbs (pp. 50, 121-122), demonstratives (pp. 49-50, 80), adjectives (pp. 106-
the ordering of the manuscript) refer to kinds of animals; the latter also appears in $M L$. There is actually a dot below the $m$ (as if for the letter $b$ ). In Ali's handwriting, medial $\dot{g}$ and $k$ are hard to distinguish.
On the audio recording, Ali read raḥmēnōt, pl. raḥmēnáwtan (from roḥmēt 'vegetation; rain').
I assume that wad $\bar{\varepsilon} y \bar{e} n$ is the diminutive of $w \bar{o} d i$, and this assumption is supported by the fact that wad $\bar{\varepsilon} y e \bar{n}$ appears in the list just after nəwāhār, and the plural wad $\bar{\varepsilon} y a ́ w t a n$ appears (a few words later) just after naḥrāhōr. In Johnstone (1973: 103) and ML (s.v. wdy) the singular diminutive is given as wad $\bar{\varepsilon} y$, which is either a variant or an error.
107), nouns (pp. 52-53, 62-63, 143-144), and numerals (p. 112). A fair amount of additional data on diminutives, along with a theoretical analysis, can be found in Rood (2017).

### 4.6 Construct State

The construct state, a characteristic feature of the Semitic language family, has all but disappeared from Mehri, which instead makes use of the particle $\partial$ - to express a genitive relationship (see §12.4). ${ }^{45}$ However, remnants of the older construction survive with a handful of words, usually with a limited semantic function. In some cases a unique construct form of the noun is preserved. These are:
bar (cstr. pl. báni) 'son of'; bart 'daughter of': These constructs are limited to two main functions. The first is in conjunction with proper names. In the texts, names are almost always substituted by the generic word falān 'so-and-so', as in hōh bar (bart)falān 'I am the son (daughter) of so-and-so' (e.g., 20:45; 94:42). The second use is with compound family words like bar (báni) dīd 'cousin(s)' (lit. 'son(s) of an uncle'), bar-g்ā 'nephew' (lit. 'son of a brother'), and their feminine equivalents. ${ }^{46}$
$b \partial t$ 'house of': This is restricted to the sense of 'clan, familial line' (e.g., 42:5; 94:32), and is not used when referring to possession of an actual house (which would be expressed as abáyt д-, e.g., 19:14).
$b \bar{a} l$ (cstr. pl. ba'áyli; f. bālīt): This is the most productive of the construct forms. It is often used in constructions involving professions, e.g., bāl rawn 'goat-herder' (f. bālīt rawn, pl. bo'áyli rawn), bāl bēr 'camel-herder', bāl bakārr 'cow-herder', bāl hfōy 'herder of suckling mother-camels', bāl ayś 'rice merchant', and bāl ksawēt 'clothing merchant'. The construct is also productive in the meaning of 'owner of', as in bāl kahwēt 'coffee seller'

[^38](also bāl məkahōyzt), bāl háwri 'owner of the canoe', bāl səyārəh '(car) driver', and ba'áyli abdén 'the badan (boat) owners'; and in the meaning 'people of', as in ba'áyli arḥabēt 'the people of the town', ba'áyli šarḥ 'partygoers', and ba'áyli agbēl 'the people of the mountains'. Note also the more idiomatic bālxayr'a well-to-do person' (47:12), ba'áyli aṭāba akōmaḥ'those with bad manners' (29:6), bāl hamōh 'the one (cup) with water (in it)' (22:6o), and bālīt akāma 'a flintlock rifle' (64:9). ${ }^{47}$

In addition, a construct phrase is sometimes used in phrases involving quantities (partitives), most commonly with 'áynat 'a little (bit)' (see also §5•5.1). Examples are:

```
'áynzt ātarīt 'a little buttermilk' (35:2)
'áynat tōmar 'a little bit of date; a few dates' (73:5)
`áyn\partialt tambōku 'a little tobacco' (94:33)
figōn ḳahwēt 'a cup of coffee' (18:12)
xáymah rīkōb luawt 'five camel-loads of food' (65:15)
rīkēbi trayt k.awt 'two camel-loads of food' (98:13)
```

As elsewhere in Semitic, only the second member of a construct phrase can take the definite article, for example:
$b \bar{a} l$ rawn 'a goat-herder' $\rightarrow$ def. bāl hāaráwn 'the goat-herder' ba'áyli baḳār 'cow-herders' $\rightarrow$ def. ba'áyli abḳār 'the cow-herders'

## Adjectives

### 5.1 Agreement

Mehri adjectives can be used attributively (as in 'the good boy') or predicatively (as in 'the boy is good'). In either case, an adjective will always agree in gender and number with the noun it modifies (with the exception of dual nouns; see below). When used attributively, an adjective will also agree with the noun in definiteness. Adjectives follow the same rules as nouns when it comes to the appearance of the definite article $a$ - (§4.4). ${ }^{1}$ Attributive adjectives follow the noun. Following are some examples:

Attributive adjectives:

```
hēt ġayg háawal 'you are a crazy man' (98:7)
hēt tēt
wátxfam bo-wōdi nōb 'they came in the evening to a big valley' (42:15)
hábrátk hanōb 'your older [lit. big] daughter' (97:34)
wbáysi ba-xalōwak yadōn 'put on new clothes!' (24:6)
wa-kō hēt đ̣alámk aġīgēn faḳáyr 'why were you unjust to the poor boy?'
    (36:34)
šanðárk ð-əl-háwfak akáyợ amhákbal ‘I promise that I’ll pay you next
    summer [lit. the coming summer]' (39:16)
ağāy śōx bz-kaṭár 'my big brother was in Qatar' (34:20)
```

Predicate adjectives:
háybi fakáýr 'my father was poor' (34:4)
agáyg ḥáywal 'the man is crazy' (55:7)
attēt raḥáymat 'the woman was beautiful' (38:11)
agंaganōt bars nōb 'the girl was already big' (24:5)
aġı̄gēn ķawáy wə-xfáyf 'the boy was strong and quick' (42:2)
amandáwkak dawáylat 'your rifle is old' (39:3)

[^39]hēt ṣaḥh 'you are alive' (20:58)
hałláts al sē gádat lā 'its condition was not good' (83:1)

The sentences ag̉áyg háywal 'the man is crazy' (55:7) and háabi fakáyr 'my father was poor' (34:4), which in isolation could be translated 'the crazy man' and 'my poor father', show that attributives and predicatives are not always distinguishable out of context.

The one exception to the agreement rule is a noun in the dual. Adjectives have only singular and plural forms, so a dual noun is modified by a plural adjective, for example: ${ }^{2}$

ṣáwri trayt țalōfaf 'two flat stones' (71A:1)
An adjective modifying the first member of a genitive phrase (see § 12.4) will follow the entire phrase. Whether an adjective in such a position modifies the first or second member of the phrase, if not clear from gender/number agreement, must be gleaned from context. Examples are:

> ḥabrīt ð-aşayyōd ḥanōb 'the older [lit. big] daughter of the fisherman' (97:33)
> ḥabrē ðд-hōkzm aḳənnáwn 'the younger [lit. small] son of the ruler' (97:46)
> hayb ð-aǵīgēn aməráyṣ̣' the father of the sick boy' (65:8)

In the first example above, the adjective $\not \subset \partial n o ̄ b$ is feminine and so must modify habrit. But in the other two examples, the adjective happens to have the same gender and number as both nouns. If context allowed it, these last two sentences could be translated instead as 'the son of the young ruler' and 'the sick father of the boy'.

### 5.2 Declension

Adjectives normally have separate forms for masculine and feminine, though a small number (e.g., rēḥak 'far') have only a common singular form. For those with a distinct feminine form, the feminine will end in either -(a)t, - $\bar{t}$, - $\bar{e} t$, or $-\bar{u} t$ (with -(ə)t and -īt being more common), but the choice of ending is not always predictable.

[^40]Adjectives behave like nouns when it comes to forming plurals, in that one finds plurals of both the internal and external type. Adjective plurals are somewhat more predictable than noun plurals, however. In addition, external plurals are more common with adjectives than they are among nouns. Despite their similarity to nouns, adjectives need to be treated separately because of the variability one finds in the treatment of gender and number (i.e., whether or not gender is distinguished in the singular and plural, and whether number is distinguished at all). An adjective that distinguishes gender in the singular does not necessarily do so in the plural. As discussed in $\S 5 \cdot 1$, adjectives do not have dual forms. We can divide adjectives into four classes, based on the level of gender and number distinction:

Type 1: All genders and numbers distinct.

Examples: ḳōməḥ 'bad, evil', fs ķam(ə)ht, mp kəmḥ̄̄, fp kamhōt raḥáym 'beautiful', fs rạ̣áymət, mp rịhōom, fp rạámtan

This includes adjectives of the common pattern CəCáyC: bəráy 'free (of debt); innocent', baxáyl 'mean', dəwáyl 'worn out', dəxáyl 'forsworn', ðəráy ‘strange', faḳáyr 'poor', 3 g̉aláyợ 'fat', ġəráyb ‘strange’, ġzzáyr ‘deep', həmáyg 'stupid', ḥśaym 'respectable', karáym 'generous', kaṣáyr ‘short, low', ķzwáy 'strong', maráyṣ́ ‘sick', nagáys 'unclean', nəkáyd 'unpleasant', ${ }^{4}$ nəkáy 'innocent; pure’, raḥáym 'beautiful; kind', roxáyṣ ‘cheap’, səmáyh ‘flat', śadáyd 'tough; tiresome', țəháyr 'pure', țəરૂáyl 'heavy', ṭaráy 'fresh, damp', țəwáyl 'long', xfayf 'light; quick', xaláy ‘unmarried; empty-handed', zaháyb 'prepared'

Other adjectives ending in -áy (many of which refer to a cultural group):

[^41]ansáy 'human', āmkáy 'middle', ārbáy 'Arab', faġzśáy 'well-stocked in milk', gənnáy 'jinn', handáy 'Indian', habśáy 'Ethiopian', harrsáy 'Ḥarsusi', hāwaláy 'first; former', mahráy 'Mehri'

Adjectives of the pattern $\mathrm{C} \partial \mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{C}$ (several of which refer to a physical
 pled', faṭ $\bar{a}$ ' 'naked', ssənēw 'deaf', s'hā $h$ 'sharp', tfél 'lame', xarēs 'gap-toothed',


Adjectives of the pattern $\mathrm{Co} C \partial C$ (vars. $C \bar{o} C i, C \bar{O} C a)$ : ōkal 'wise', fōsal 'lazy', gōli 'expensive', kōmah 'bad, evil', kōsi 'cruel', ṣōf 'pure, clear', šōga 'brave'

Adjectives of the pattern məСССіС (var. məССáyC): mainly passive participles (see § 7.1.8), but also others, like maskáyn 'poor' and masláym 'Muslim' ${ }^{6}$

And others: āgaz 'lazy', báydi 'untruthful, lying', háywal 'crazy', kannáwn 'small',7 ${ }^{7}$ káyśa 'dry', alyōn 'soft', sēhal 'easy', sahḥh 'alive, healthy', yadīn 'new'

It must be pointed out that adjectives with the same pattern in the masculine singular do not necessarily have the same feminine and plural forms. For example, most of the adjectives of the common pattern CəCáyC have fs forms of the shape CəCáyCət (e.g., baxáylat, karáymət, raháymat, śadáydat), but from hamáyg, we find fs hamgit..$^{8}$ Most adjectives of this same pattern have mp forms of the shape CīCōC (e.g., bīxōl, kīrōm, rīḥōm, sílōōd), but from daxáyl, we find mp daxal $\vec{e}$, and from maráyṣ́, mp marwọ̄s. ${ }^{9}$

Type 2: Gender distinction in the singular, but common gender in the plural.

[^42]Example: gīd 'good', f. gádət, cp. gīyēd
This includes basic color words: ōfar 'red, brown', haṣáwr 'green, yellow', ḥōwar 'black', awbōn 'white'

And others: $\bar{a} w \bar{e} r$ 'blind', gīd 'good', $\dot{g} \bar{a} h \partial r$ 'other, another', mašēg̈zr ‘second, other', matálli 'second, other; later'

Type 3: Singular and plural are distinct, but both with common gender.
Example: țəwfíf 'flat', cp. țalōfaf

Besides țawfif, there are no other certain examples of this type. We find in $M L$ words like magrráyb 'well-known', maśháyr 'famous', and maṣw̄̄b 'wounded' with no fs, and only a single plural form given (in these cases, all of the shape $m_{\partial} C C \bar{o} C$ ). But these are passive participles, which normally decline for gender in both singular and plural (see § 7.1.8). It is possible that some of these are gender specific (see below), or, more likely, that the lexicon entry is just incomplete.

Also included (by default) in this category of adjectives that have a single form for both singular and plural are those few adjectives that are used only with masculine or feminine nouns. Those that are used only with feminine nouns usually lack a feminine marker.
 'heavily pregnant', nōb 'big'
Masculine only: hēxar 'old' (of people only), ${ }^{11}$ śōx 'big'

Most interesting in this latter category is the fact that adjectives for 'big' are gender specific, as are the adjectives for 'old' (of people). ${ }^{12}$

10 This is nominal in origin, and it is most often used alone as a noun, 'old woman'. Its adjectival use can be seen in hāàmáh āgáwz 'his old mother' (65:9).
11 Like $\bar{a} g a ́ w z, ~ h e \overline{e x a r ~ i s ~ n o r m a l l y ~ u s e d ~ a s ~ a ~ n o u n, ~ ' o l d ~ m a n ' . ~ I t s ~ a d j e c t i v a l ~ u s e ~ c a n ~ b e ~ s e e n ~ i n ~}$ háybah hēxar 'his old father' (64:6).
12 For inanimate things, one can use the adjective dzwáyl 'old, worn out' for either gender. Interestingly, at least some Yemeni Mehri dialects have feminine forms of śōx, namely, fs śaxt (e.g., Sima 2009: 230, text 45:1) and fp śīyáxtan (e.g., Sima 2009: 84, text 9:3). I have discussed the words for big in MSA elsewhere (Rubin 2014b: 130; 2015b: 331). On the etymologies of śōx and nōb, see Kogan (2015: 577, n. 1501).

Type 4: No inflection at all (one form for all genders and numbers).

This type includes: ḳaráyb 'near', kāạam 'cold', maṭk ‘sweet’, malhāt ‘salty, brackish', rēḥak 'far, distant', ṣāfar 'yellow, green', țayf 'bitter', waṭīyōh 'inadequate, in poor condition', xale" 'empty, alone'

Most of the adjectives in this relatively small category are nominal in origin; cf. ḳāṣam 'coldness', malḥāt 'salt', ṣāfar 'brass', țayf 'Aloe dhufarensis', and xalē 'desert'. Several are connected to taste, as observed by Rood (2017: 205). ${ }^{13}$ Comparative adjectives also fall in this category (see §5.4). The form rēḩək sounds very close to the Gb-Stem perfect rīhok 'be far away', but several passages in the texts require that rēḩak be an adjective and not a verb (e.g., 10:3; 36:27).

A final note on declension in general: The type of gender marking exhibited by an adjective is no indication of whether or not one finds an internal or external plural. So, for example, both kōmah and háywal are classed as Type 1, yet the former has an external masculine plural and the latter has an internal one.

## Substantivization

An adjective can also be used on its own, functioning as a noun. A substantivized adjective can refer to a person, a thing, or an abstract concept, as in:
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a k ̧ a n n a ́ w n ~ . . . ~ a t t o ̄ l i ~ k a l u ̄ t ̄ ~ s ́ o ̄ x ~ ' t h e ~ y o u n g e r ~[l i t . ~ s m a l l] ~ o n e ~ s a i d ~ . . . ~$
then the elder one told' (74:15)

śīnək hawrīt ọār akōbər 'I saw something black by the grave' (54:9)
$k a ̄ l ~ t ̣ a ̄ t ̣ ~ y a ̄ g o ̄ b ~ y a \dot{g} r e ̄ b ~ a g i ̄ d ~ m ə n ~ a k o ̄ m a h ̣ ~ ' e v e r y o n e ~ w a n t s ~ t o ~ k n o w ~ t h e ~ g o o d ~$ from the bad' (73:12)
waráwd ḥamōh đékamah śōx w-akannáwn 'they went down to that water, the old and the young' (95:12)
farr ōfar 'the red one jumped' (37:15)

[^43]The numeral țāt 'one' can also be used with adjectives, as in English:

```
tāt háywal 'a certain crazy man' (lit. 'a crazy one') (6o:10)'14
tāṭ ōfar, wz-ṭàt hōwar, wa-t!āṭ awbōn 'a red one, a black one, and a white
    one' (37:14)
```

Just as adjectives can behave as nouns, so too can nouns look like adjectives. A noun can be used in apposition to another noun (or noun phrase), with the result that it looks like an attributive adjective. Examples are:

```
aġáyg aṣ́áyf 'the guest' (lit. 'the man the guest') (22:62)
aġ\partialyūg aṣiffôn 'the guests' (4:3)
aġ\partialyūg adallōlat 'the guides' (60:8)
tēt sáhrrt 'a witch' (6:9)
aġagənōt aytzmūt 'the orphan girl' (32:11)
wōz tarkā\ṣ` 'a spotted goat' (25:13)
g}ayg bāl xayr 'a well-to-do person' (47:12)
```

In each of the above examples, the first word of each phrase is seemingly superfluous. Cf. also the phrases with kalōn in § 4.1, n. 2.

### 5.4 Comparatives

Comparative adjectives in Mehri have the shape $a C C \bar{e} C$ or $a C C \bar{a} C$; the latter shape is found when the second root consonant is guttural or glottalic, or when the first is $k$ or $t$ and the second is $r, l$, or $w .{ }^{15}$ Comparatives do not decline for gender or number.

In the texts, only a handful of comparatives occur. These are:
aktēer 'more' (99:28; 103:2) ${ }^{16}$
aklā̄l 'less, smaller' (41:8)

[^44]```
ațwāl 'longer' (66:7)
axáyr 'better; more' (see below)
xass 'worse; less' (see below)
```

Other comparatives can be found in ML (undoubtedly of the same pattern, despite Johnstone's transcriptions with initial a-), e.g., aḳ̣ām 'colder', aḥrēk. 'hotter', and ashēl 'easier', and in Watson (2012: 50, 107), e.g., aṣlēh 'fatter', arḥāk 'further', and arḥām 'prettier; nicer'.

The pattern has almost certainly been borrowed from the Arabic comparative pattern 'aCCaC (traditionally called the elative), as have many of the base adjectives whose comparatives are used in Mehri. However, if we compare Mehri $a k l \bar{a} l$ with Arabic 'aqallu, we see that the pattern has been leveled to cover geminate roots in Mehri.

The most frequently occurring comparative in the texts, by far, is axáyr 'better', the comparative of xayr 'good(ness)'. Though the base adjective xayr comes from Arabic xayr 'good; better', the form axáyr seems to be a Modern South Arabian innovation. ${ }^{17}$ In addition to the basic meaning 'better', axáyr can also mean 'more', and can be used as an adverb 'especially' or 'mostly'. It is also attested three times in the variant form $x \bar{a} r .{ }^{18}$ Examples of its various meanings are:
axáyr hīkam yāk.ām asdəḳā' it was better for them to be friends' (50:5) hōh axáyr monkēm ‘I am better than you’ (61:8) aṣābar axáyr man kāl śīyan 'patience is better than everything' (61:9) háybi axáyr mənáy yōmər 'my father sings better than me' (52:2) yaxlīfk ġəyūg ð-axáyr mank 'men who are better than you will replace you' (76:5)
 nāṣáṣə h axáyr mon kāl śsi ‘we fear it more than anything' (7:2) axáyr āṣar $\partial$-agamāt 'especially on Friday night' (7:7)

[^45]Note again that comparative forms do not decline for gender or number (cf. the example above from 76:5), and that the preposition of comparison is man (see §8.13). ${ }^{19}$

The comparative form xass, meaning 'worse' or 'less' (cf. the Arabic verb xassa 'become less'), is attested just three times in the texts:
> al hē xass lā man yamšīh 'it was no worse than yesterday' (26:4) xass 'áynat 'a little less' (27:24)
> wazmóh śātáýyt ðəré, xass mən aðદ́rə’ ðд-kəənáwn ‘he gave him three cubits, minus a child-size cubit' $(66: 4)^{20}$

Johnstone transcribed this word xaṣs in his transcriptions of the passages from 26:4 and 27:24, though Ali's Arabic-letter manuscripts of the texts have xass; cf. also xaṣ in ML (s.v. xṣṣ). Jahn (1902: 197) lists the form haṣs (= xaṣs) 'weniger, schlechter', so it is possible that xaṣs exists as a variant. ${ }^{21}$ I found no evidence for the form axáss, which Johnstone recorded in $M L$ (s.v. xss).

Watson (2012:108) reports that comparatives can also be made with a simple adjective (+ man) or with an adjective followed by axáyr or aktēer (+ mən). Watson also points out that axáyr, aklāl, and xass are suppletives, since they correspond to adjectives from different roots: respectively, gīd 'good', kənnáwn 'small', and ḳōmzh 'bad'. There is a fourth suppletive, $\bar{a} k \underset{a}{ }{ }^{\prime}$ 'bigger' (cf. śōx 'big'), not found in the texts, but included in $M L$ (s.v. ' $k r$ ), Jahn (1902:163), and Watson (2012: 107). ${ }^{22}$

Finally, mention should be made here of the form $x \not \partial y \bar{o} r$, which seems to be an internal plural form of xayr (cf. Arabic xiy $\bar{a} r$ ). It is attested only as a noun, in the form xayōrsan 'the best of them' (lit. 'their best', 70:7).

19 An exception is with the noun zōyad 'more', as in zōyad al-fakh 'more than half' (69:6). On zōyad, see §13.2.7.
20 This translation was originally suggested to me by Antoine Lonnet. On this passage, see further in the comment to text 66:4.
21 We do find alternation of $s$ and $s$ elsewhere. For example, the verbal root $s d k$ (e.g., 92:6; 93:7) alternates with $s ̣ d k$ (e.g., 23:3; 82:2). On this root, see also the comment to text 20:6.
22 According to $M L, \bar{a} k a \bar{a} r$ is used only for men (like the adjective śōx in Omani Mehri), but Watson compares it to both masculine śōx and feminine nōb 'big'.

### 5.5 Quantifiers

### 5.5.1 'áynət 'a little'

The word 'áynat means 'a little (bit)'. It can be used on its own as a noun (e.g., 27:24), or as a quantifying adjective before another noun. In this latter use, we might describe 'áynot as a noun in the construct state (see $\S 4.6$ ), but since the construct has essentially been lost in Mehri, it is more fitting in a synchronic description to classify 'áynət as a quantifier. All of the examples of 'áynət as a quantifier from the texts are:

```
'áynzt ātzrït 'a little buttermilk' (35:2)
'áynat tōmar 'a little bit of date; a few dates' (24:21; 73:5)
'áynat tambōku 'a little tobacco' (94:33)
```

With a noun like tōmar, which can be used as a singular or a collective, 'áynət can mean either 'a little (bit)' or 'a few'.

### 5.5.2 bāṣ 'some'

The indeclinable word $b \bar{a} s ̣ ́ ~(<~ A r a b i c ~ b a ' d ̣) ~ m e a n s ~ ' s o m e ' . ~ A s ~ a ~ q u a n t i f y i n g ~$ adjective, it occurs just three times in the texts, always following an indefinite plural or collective noun. The attestations are:

```
rawn bäṣ` 'some goats' (26:6)
xalōwak bāṣ́ 'some (other) clothes' (37:6)
bū bäṣ` 'some people` (38:10)
```

$B \bar{a} s ̣ ́ c a n ~ a l s o ~ f u n c t i o n ~ a s ~ a ~ n o u n, ~ e i t h e r ~ u s e d ~ a l o n e ~ o r ~ i n ~ c o n j u n c t i o n ~ w i t h ~ a ~ d e f i-~$ nite noun. In the latter case, $b \bar{a} s ̣$ is followed by partitive man (§ 8.13), though no examples occur in the texts. The examples of $b \bar{a} s ̣$ used as a noun in the texts are:
> bạ̣̄́ məśśanyūtən ðд-ktəbīn, wa-bāṣ yaráyb, wa-bāṣ yəðćram, wa-bāṣ yaférśam 'some were medicine men with [lit. of] books, some chanted, some measured, and some would cast stones' (25:18)
> $b \bar{a} s ̣$ ṣ́zrūf 'some are at the end of lactation' (26:8)

### 5.5.3 kāl 'each, every; all'

The common word $k \bar{a} l$ is used with both nouns and pronominal suffixes, and has the meanings 'each, every', 'all (of)', and 'the whole'. Before singular and icp pronominal suffixes, the base káll- is used, but kál- is used with the heavy (CVC) 2 p and 3 p suffixes.

Preceding an indefinite singular noun, $k \bar{a} l$ means 'each, every'. Examples are:

```
k\overline{a}lwōz 'every goat' (3:7)
kāl sanēt 'every year' (32:13)
kāl äṣar 'every night' (42:17)
kāl marēṣ` 'every illness' (65:7)
ba-kāl makōn 'anywhere' (lit. 'in every place') (70:2)
kāl trōh aw kāl saãtáyt 'each two or each three' (71A:1)
```

Following a definite singular noun, and always with a resumptive pronominal suffix, $k \bar{a} l$ means 'the whole'. For example:

```
anhōr kállas 'the whole day' (lit. 'the day, all of it') (10:16)
se\overline{yah kállah 'the whole desert' (23:3)}
xarf kállah 'the whole summer' (25:5)
hayáwm kállas 'the whole day' (36:27)
halláywi kállah 'my whole night' (85:27)
akassēt kállas 'the whole story' (91:28)
```

As the example from 85:27 shows, the noun can also take a possessive pronominal suffix in this construction.

Following a definite plural or collective noun, $k \bar{a} l$ means 'all (of the)'. If the noun has a pronominal suffix, or is followed by a demonstrative, then $k \bar{a} l$ must have a resumptive pronominal suffix; otherwise it does not.

```
sawēhar kāl 'all the witches' (2:8) \({ }^{23}\)
háziham kálsən 'all their goats' (11:2)
ḥayrēm kāl 'all the roads' (23:3)
\(h \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} r k \bar{a} l\) 'all the camels' (29:5)
amōlas kállah 'all of her property' (32:30)
\(h ̣ a \bar{b} \bar{u} k \bar{a} l\) 'all the people' (63:1)
\(t a g e \bar{r} k \bar{a} l\) 'all the merchants' (66:10)
arhōoyab kāl 'all the towns' (74:5)
ḥəmbəráwtən kāl 'all the boys' (89:20)
táywihəm kállah 'all their meat' (99:6)
```

[^46]aṣáyġət ðákəməh kálləs 'all that jewelry’ (99:48)
haynit̄ $\partial$-arḥabēt kāl 'all the women of the town' (37:11) ${ }^{24}$
bə'áyli arḥabēt kāl 'all the inhabitants of the town' (97:5)

The last two examples show that if $k \bar{a} l$ modifies a noun in a genitive phrase (whether the particle $\partial$ - or a construct is used), $k \bar{a} l$ must follow the entire phrase, like any other adjective (see §5.1).

The uses of $k \bar{a} l$ can be summarized as follows:

1. $k \bar{a} l+$ indefinite singular noun $=$ 'each, every' (e.g., $k \bar{a} l$ w $w \bar{z}$ 'every goat')
2. Definite singular noun $+k \bar{a} l+$ suffix $=$ 'the whole $X$ ' (e.g., anhōr kállas 'the whole day')
3. Definite plural noun $+k \bar{a} l=$ 'all of $X^{\prime}$ (e.g., $h \bar{a} b \bar{u} k \bar{a} l$ 'all the people')
4. Definite Plural Noun + possessive $+k \bar{a} l+\operatorname{suffix}=$ 'all of (his) $X^{\prime}$ (e.g., háziham kálsən 'all their goats')

In cases 2-4, the word $k \bar{a} l$ is clearly being used in an appositional relationship with the preceding noun. Similarly, we can find $k \bar{a} l$ used with a pronominal suffix in apposition to another pronoun (independent or suffixed), again meaning 'all of'. Examples are:

ḥabēsam tīham kálham 'imprison them all' (lit. 'imprison them, all of them') (46:17)
kalēteti lay ba-kāl śīyan kállah 'tell me absolutely everything [lit. everything all of it]' (85:34)
shattáysan kálsan 'he slaughtered them all' (99:39)

Related to the above is the use of $k \bar{a} l$ in apposition to the subject of a passive verb. There is one attested example of this in the texts:
ksūt ḥāráwn ðə-sḥāt kálsən 'and she found the goats all slaughtered [lit. having been slaughtered, all of them]' (99:42)

[^47]$K \bar{a} l$ is also used in several pronominal compounds. On $k \bar{a} l a h a \bar{a} d$ and $k \bar{a} l t a \bar{a} t$ 'everyone; each one', see $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$; on $k a \bar{l}$ śíyan 'everything', see $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$; and on $k \bar{a} l$ ð- 'whoever', see §3.8.2.

### 5.5.4 l-ādēd ð- 'each, every'

The rare construction $l-\bar{a} d \bar{e} d ~ \partial-~ a l s o ~ m e a n s ~ ' e a c h, ~ e v e r y ' . ~ 25 ~ I t ~ i s ~ a t t e s t e d ~ o n l y ~$ twice in the texts, in both cases followed by a plural noun:
ttéh țayt l-ādēd ð-āṣáwr 'it should eat one each night' (6:7) yวwōḳa k-hāráwn l-ādēd ðд-ḥayūm 'he was with the goats every day' (17:11)

It also appears in one of the unpublished letters from Ali Musallam to T.M. Johnstone, written in 1970:
əśōni aṣáwrətk l-ādēd ðд-ḥayūm 'I see your picture every day’

### 5.5.5 mēkən 'a lot, many'

The word mēkan 'a lot (of), many, much' can be used as either an adjective or a noun. When used as an adjective, it normally follows its head noun, which can be indefinite or, less often, definite. Examples of mēkan used as an adjective are:

```
bū mēkən 'many people' (54:1; 65:6) (but with definite ḥābū in 9:7)
amōl mēkzn 'a lot of property' (34:4;58:1) (but with indefinite mōl in 7:3)
`ayśé mēkan 'a lot of food' (73:11)
karáwš mēkan 'a lot of money' (86:7)
hittār mēkzn 'a lot of (goat) kids' (89:2)
rawn mēkzn 'a lot of goats' (99:36)
```

Some examples of mēkan used independently as a noun are:
xaṣámke mēkən 'your enemies are many' (10:12)
lūtag mēkən b-aškáyah 'he killed many with his sword' (69:7)
hārōsən, wə-xásran mēkən 'we got married, and we spent a lot' (72:2)

25 This is from Arabic 'adad 'number' (pl. a'dād) or 'adīd 'numerous'. The prefix $l$ - is the Arabic definite article.

## Verbs: Stems

Like other Semitic languages, Mehri verbal roots are mainly triliteral (that is, they have three root consonants), and appear in a variety of derived verbal stems, each characterized by particular vowel patterns and, in many cases, the addition of certain prefixed or infixed elements. The basic stem is designated the G-Stem (for German Grundstamm 'basic stem'), according to the conventions of Semitic linguistics. There are six derived verbal stems for triliteral roots: the D/L-Stem, the H-Stem, two Š-Stems (Š1 and Š2), and two T-Stems (T1 and T2). In addition, there are also quadriliteral and quinqueliteral verbs, though these-especially the latter-are very few in number. Each verbal stem will be treated in turn below, with regard to both its form and its function.

For the verbal paradigms given in this chapter, I have used data from the texts wherever possible, but have also had to rely in some cases on the paradigms found in ML. Occasional data from the fieldwork of Sabrina Bendjaballah, Julien Dufour, and Philippe Ségéral were used for comparison. In many places, the forms presented here differ from those found in ML, which contains many errors; not all of those errors are explicitly indicated. As for the sample verbs listed in the sections devoted to the meaning of the derived stems, nearly all of these come from the texts themselves.

The various verbal stems do not occur with equal frequency. Of the derived stems, the H-Stem is the most common, and the S $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-Stem is the least common. The G-Stem is more common than any of the derived stems.
6.1 G-Stem

In Mehri, the G-Stem is divided into two types, an A type (Ga-Stem) and a B type (Gb-Stem). There is also an internal passive of the Ga-Stem. The distribution of Ga and Gb verbs is often simply lexical, though in some cases the two types can be seen to have a different function. The meanings of Ga-vs. Gb-Stem verbs are taken up below (§6.1.4).

### 6.1.1 Ga-Stem

The Ga-Stem is characterized by a shape $C ⿰ 冫 \bar{u} \bar{u} C$ in the 3 ms perfect, or $C C \bar{u} C$ if the first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (§ 2.1.2; §7.2.12). The 3 mp perfect of the strong verb is formed by ablaut, though a suffix $-\partial m$ is
used instead for certain types of weak verbs. ${ }^{1}$ Unlike in the Gb-Stem, there are distinct imperfect and subjunctive forms. Following are the full conjugations of the Ga-Stem verbs bagūd 'chase' and ktūb 'write':

| 1 CS | Perfect bagádk | Imperfect abūgad | Subjunctive <br> l-abgēd ${ }^{2}$ | Conditional l-abgēdan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | bagádk | tabūgad | tabgēd | tabgēdan |
| 2 fs | bagádš | tabēgad | tabgēdi | tabgēdan |
| 3 ms | bagūd | yabūgad | yabgēd | yabgēdan |
| 3 fs | $b a g(a) d \bar{u} t$ | tabūgad | $t a b g e ̄ d$ | $t a b g e ̄ d ə n$ |
|  | bagádki | abagdōh | l-abgadōh | l-abgadáyyan ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | bagádki | tabagdōh | tabgadōh | tabgadáyyan |
| 3 md | bag (a)dōh | yabagdōh | yabgadōh | yabgadáyyan |
| 3 fd | bagadtōh | tabagdōh | tabgadōh | tabgadáyyan |
| 1cp | bagūdən | nabūga | nabgēd | nabgēdən |
| mp | bagádkam | tabágdəm | tabgēdəm | $t a b g e ̄ d a n$ |
| 2 fp | bagádkan | tabágdan | $t a b g e ̄ d a n ~$ | $t a b g e ̄ d a n$ |
| 3 mp | bagáwd | yabágdam | yabgēdam | yabgēdan |
| 3 fp | bagūd | tabágdan | tabgēdan | tabgēdan |

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: bagd-

Imperative: ms bagēd, fs bagēdi, mp bagēdam, fp bagēdan

Future: ms bagdōna, fs bagdīta, md bagdōni, fd bagdáwti, mp bagyēda, fp bagdūtan

[^48]| 1CS | Perfect ktábk | Imperfect <br> akūtab | Subjunctive <br> l-aktēb | Conditional l-aktēban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | ktà $k$ | tkūtab | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b$ | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b a n$ |
| 2 fs | $k t a ́ b s ̌$ | tkētab | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b i$ | $t(a) k t e ̄ b a n$ |
| 3 ms | $k t u ̄ b$ | yakūtab | yaktēb | yaktēban |
| 3 fs | $k t a b u ̄ t$ | tkūtəb | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b$ | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b a n$ |
| 1 cd | ktábki | akatbōh | l-aktabōh | l-əktabáyyan |
| 2cd | ktábki | tkatbōh | $t(\partial) k t a b o ̄ h$ | $t$ (a)ktabáyyan |
| 3 md | ktabōh | yakatbōh | yaktabōh | yaktabáyyan |
| 3 fd | ktabtōh | tkatbōh | $t(\partial) k t a b o ̄ h$ | $t(a) k t a b a ́ y y a n ~$ |
| 1cp | $k t u ̄ b a n$ | nəkūtəb | naktēb | nəktēbən |
| 2mp | ktábkam | tkátbam | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b \partial m$ | $t$ (a)ktēban |
| 2 fp | ktábkan | tkátban | $t(a) k t e ̄ b a n$ | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b a n$ |
| 3 mp | ktáwb | yakátbam | yaktēbəm | yaktēban |
| 3 fp | $k t u ̄ b$ | tkátbən | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b ə n$ | $t(\partial) k t e ̄ b ə n$ |

3 ms perfect base with object suffixes: kotb-

Imperative: ms ktēb, fs ktēbi, mp ktēbəm, fp ktēbən

Future: ms ktabōna, fs ktəbīta, md ktəbōni, fd ktəbáwti, mp kt(ə)yēba, fp ktəbūtən

### 6.1.2 Ga Internal Passive

The Ga-Stem is the only stem for which there is good evidence of an internal passive. There are about forty attestations in the texts. The 3 ms perfect has the basic pattern $C ə C \bar{e} C$, or $C C \bar{e} C$ if the first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (§ 2.1.2; §7.2.12). Noteworthy in the passive is the 3 fs perfect suffix - $\bar{e} t$ and dual -д́h (<-ēh < * $\bar{e}$ ), corresponding to $-\bar{u} t$ and $-\bar{o} h$ in the active stem. Similar to the Gb-Stem, the imperfect and subjunctive forms have the same base, except in the plural. Following is the full passive conjugation of $k t \bar{u} b$ 'write':

| 1Cs | Perfect <br> ktábk | Imperfect aktōb | Subjunctive <br> $l$-əktōb ${ }^{4}$ | Conditional l-əktībən |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | ktábk | $t(\partial) k t \bar{o} b^{5}$ | $t(a) k t o ̄ b$ | $t(a) k t i ̄ b a n$ |
| 2fs | ktábš | $t(a) k t a ́ y b i$ | $t(a) k t a ́ y b i{ }^{6}$ | $t$ (a)ktīban |
| 3 ms | $k t e ̄ b$ | yaktōb | yaktōb | yaktībon |
| 3 fs | $k t a b e \bar{t}{ }^{7}$ | $t(\partial) k t o ̄ b$ | $t(\partial) k t o ̄ b$ | t(a)ktïban |
| 1 C | ktábki | aktabáh | l-aktabáh | l-əktabáyyan |
| 2cd | ktábki | $t(a) k t a b a ̀ h ~$ | t(a)ktabáh | $t$ (a)ktabáyyan |
| 3 md | ktabáh | yaktabáh | yaktabáh | yaktabáyyan |
| 3 fd | ktabtáh | $t(\partial) k t a b a ́ h ~$ | t(a)ktabáh | $t$ (a)ktəbáyyan |
| 1cp | $k t e ̄ b a n$ | naktōb | naktēb | naktībon |
| 2mp | ktábkam | $t(a) k t i ̄ b$ | $t$ (a)ktēbam | $t(a) k t i ̈ b a n$ |
| 2 fp | ktábkan | $t(\partial) k t o ̄ b a n$ | $t(a) k t e ̄ b a n$ | $t$ (a)ktïban |
| 3 mp | ktēbam | yaktīb | yaktēbam | yaktībon |
| 3fp | $k t e ̄ b$ | $t(a) k t o ̄ b a n$ | t(a)ktēbən | $t(a) k t i ̈ b a n$ |

Imperative: none

Future: none (but see § 7.1.8)

Note the specialized meaning of passive xalēk 'be born' vs. active xalūk. 'create' (cf. 38:12). Another lexical G passive may be faṣāh 'be embarrassed'; see the comment to text 94:2. Also note that for some verbs the active and passive are identical in some forms, as a result of phonetic changes (see rule \#14 in $\S$ 2.2.2). For example, the $3 m s$ perfect $s h a \bar{a} t$ can be either active or passive, though context normally removes potential ambiguity (e.g., 89:5).

[^49]For further discussion on the use of the G passive, see §7.1.7. On the passive participle, see § 7.1.8.

### 6.1.3 Gb-Stem

The Gb-Stem has several characteristics that distinguish it from the Ga-Stem. These include a 3 ms perfect pattern $C \bar{\imath} C ə C$; stress on the initial syllable in nearly all forms of the perfect; a 3 fs perfect suffix $-\bar{o} t$, rather than $-\bar{u} t$; a 3 mp perfect with a suffix -am, rather than ablaut; ablaut in the 2 mp and 3 mp imperfect, rather than a suffixed -am; and identical forms of the imperfect and subjunctive (except for the $l$ - prefix of the 1 cs and 1 cd forms). ${ }^{8}$ The Gb future is identical in shape to the Ga future. Following is the full conjugation of the Gb verb nis̈az 'sip (something hot)':

| 1 CS | Perfect náśzak | Imperfect วnśōz | Subjunctive <br> l-дnśōz ${ }^{9}$ | Conditional <br> l-ənśīzan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | náśzak | tənśōz | tənśōz | tənśǐzən |
| 2fs | náśzəš | tənśáyzi | tonśáyzi | tanśżzən |
| 3 ms | nişaz | yวns̄ōz | yวnśōz | yansiżzon |
| 3 fs | naśzōt | tanśōz | tanśōz | tənśżzən |
| 1cd | náśzzki | anśazōh | $l-\partial n s ́ a z o ̄ h ~$ | $l$-дnśzzáyyan |
| 2cd | náśzəki | tənśazōh | tanśazōh | tənśazáyyan |
| 3 md | naśzōh | yanśazōh | yanśazōh | yanśzzáyyan |
| 3 fd | naśzatōh | tanśazōh | tanśazōh | tənśazáyyan |
| 1cp | náśzan | nənśōz | nənśōz | nənśïzən |
| 2mp | náśzakam | tənśīz | tวnśīz | tanśżzan |
| 2 fp | náśzakan | tənśózan | tənśózan | tanśîzan |
| 3 mp | náśzวm | yansíz | yansizz | yansizzan |
| 3 fp | nişaz | tənśōzən | tənśōzวn | tənśizzon |

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: nəśz-

[^50]Imperative: ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~ms}$ nəśōz, fs nəśáyzi, mp naśı̄z, fp nəśōzan

Future: ms nəśzōna, fs nəśzīta, md nəśzōni, fd nəśzáwti, mp nəśyēza, fp nəśzūtan

### 6.1.4 Gavs. Gb Meaning

Previous scholars have suggested that the Gb pattern is used for 'middle' or 'stative' verbs, but such a blanket statement is imprecise. It is true that a good number of Gb verbs are statives or middles, such as:

```
áygab 'be/fall in love'
áywar 'be(come) blind'
dáyni 'become pregnant'
đáyma 'be(come) thirsty'
flơal 'break (intrans.), get broken (of teeth)'
gillu 'be(come) sick, feverish'
háybar 'be(come) cold'
milla 'be(come) full'
mirot 'be(come) red-hot'
mïraṣ́ 'be(come) ill'
sîkar 'be(come) intoxicated'
sülam 'be(come) healthy, safe'
śl̈a 'be(come) satisfied'
tīgar 'be(come) rich'
wīka 'be, become; stay'
```

However, the Gb class also includes a number of transitive verbs. Some transitive Gb-Stem verbs are:

```
áymal 'do, make'
fiton 'remember'
háylam 'dream'
káybal 'accept'
Káybzṣ 'sting'
lïbas 'wear, put on (clothes)'
```

[^51]```
nūs̊zz 'sip (something hot)'
silab 'wait for'
śīni ‘see’
wīda 'know'
\(w \bar{t} s{ }^{2} l\) 'arrive at, reach'
\(z i ̄ g a d ~ ' s e i z e ~(~ a s ~ b o o t y) ' ~\)
```

There are also Ga verbs that are intransitive or stative, such as ākáwr 'grow up, become big', ġamūs 'disappear', and wakáwf 'be(come) silent'. So, while it is true that many stative verbs fall into the Gb class, it is not accurate to say that all Gb verbs are statives or that all statives are Gb .

Some roots appear in both the Ga- and Gb-Stem. In such cases, the Gb normally functions as the medio-passive or intransitive counterpart of the Ga , as in:

Gb biṣ̂ək 'snap, tear (intrans.)' vs. Ga baṣ́áwk 'snap, tear (trans.)'
Gb biṣ̂or 'tear (intrans.)' vs. Ga boṣ́áwr 'tear (trans.)'
Gb fikas' 'burst (intrans.), explode' vs. Ga fakáwś 'shatter (trans.)'
Gb mēla 'be(come) full, fill (intrans.)' vs. Ga mūla / malōh 'fill (trans.)' ${ }^{\text {ll }}$
Gb tībər 'break (intrans.), be broken' vs. Ga ta təbūr 'break (trans.)'

In some cases, the Ga- and Gb-Stems seem to be similar or identical in meaning. In addition to the common variants Ga hūma and Gb hīma 'hear', compare:

Ga ḳərūb and Gb káýyrab 'approach, be near'
$\mathrm{Ga} z \partial g \bar{u} d$ and Gb zīgad 'seize s.o.'s animals'

### 6.2 D/L-Stem

The D/L-Stem is characterized by a long vowel following the first root consonant in the 3 ms perfect and subjunctive, and by a suffix -an on all imperfect forms. ${ }^{12}$ The base pattern of the strong verb in the perfect is (a)CōCəC. The prefix $a$ - appears only when the initial root consonant is voiced or glottalic,

[^52] the initial root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then it is geminated; however, a geminate consonant is usually simplified in word-initial position, e.g., 3 ms perfect sōfar or (ə)ssōfər 'he traveled' (but wə-ssōfar 'and he traveled'). When the first root consonant is $h$ or $h$, gemination is often very hard to detect, even when not word-initial. The distribution of this verbal prefix $a$ - is very similar to that of the definite article (see §4.4). Following are the full paradigms of two strong verbs in this stem (arōkab 'put [a pot] on the fire' and (s)sōfar 'travel'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 cs | arákbək | arákban | l-arōkab | l-arákbən |
| ms | arákbak | tarákbən | tarōkab | tarákban |
| 2 fs | arákboš | tarákbon | tarēkab | tarákban |
| 3 ms | arōkab | yarákbən | yarōkab | yarákbən |
| 3 fs | arkabēt | tarákbən | tarōkab | tarákban |
|  | arákbzki | arkabáyyan | l-arkabáh | l-arkabáyyan |
|  | arákbaki | tarkabáyyan | tarkabáh | tarkabáyyan |
| 3 md | arkabáh ${ }^{13}$ | yarkabáyyan | yarkabáh | yarkabáyyan |
| 3 fd | arkabtáh | tarkabáyyan | tarkabáh | tarkabáyyan |
|  | arákbon | narákbən | narōkab | narákbon |
| p | arákbəkəm | tarákbon | tarákbam | tarákban |
| 2fp | arákbəkən | tarákbən | tarákban | tarákban |
| mp | arákbam | yarákban | yarákbam | yarákban |
| 3fp | arōkab | tarákbən | tarákban | tarákban |

3 ms perfect base with object suffixes: arkab-14

Imperative: ms arōkəb, fs arēkəb, mp arákbəm, fp arákbən

Future: ms marōkab, fs markəbēta, md marákbi, fd markabēti, cp markabūtan

[^53]| 1 Cs | Perfect <br> (s)sáfrak | Imperfect assáfran | Subjunctive $l$-assöfar ${ }^{15}$ | Conditional l-assáfran |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | (s)sáfrok | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s) s \bar{o} f \partial r$ | $t(s)$ sáfran |
| 2 fs | (s)sáfraš | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s) s \bar{e} f a r$ | $t(s)$ sáfran |
| 3 ms | (s)sōfar | yassáfron | yassōfar | yassáfron |
| 3 fs | (s)sfarēt ${ }^{16}$ | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s) s o ̄ f \partial r$ | $t(s)$ sáfran |
| 1 cd | (s)sáfraki | asfaráyyan | $l$-asfaráh | l-asfaráyyan |
| 2cd | (s)sáfraki | tsfaráyyan | tsfaráh | tsfaráyyan |
| 3 md | (s)sfaráh | yasfaráyyan | yasfaráh | yasfaráyyan |
| 3 fd | (s)sfartáh | tsfaráyyan | tsfaráh | tsfaráyyan |
| 1cp | (s)sáfran | nassáfran | nassōfar | nassáfran |
| 2mp | (s)sáfrakam | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s)$ sáfram | $t(s)$ sáfron |
| 2 fp | (s)sáfrakan | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s)$ sáfran |
| 3 mp | (s)sáfram | yassáfran | yassáfram | yassáfron |
| 3 fp | (s)sōfor | $t(s)$ sáfran | $t(s)$ sáfron | $t(s)$ sáfron |

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: $s f a r$ -

Imperative: $\mathrm{ms}(s)$ sōfar, $\mathrm{fs}(s) s e \bar{f} \partial r, \mathrm{mp}(s)$ sáfram, $\mathrm{fp}(s)$ sáfran
Future: ms massōfar, fs masfarēta, md massáfri, fd masfarēti, cp masfarūtən

### 6.2.1 D/L-Stem Variants

II-w/y verbs (§7.2.7) and geminate verbs (§7.2.11) look quite different in the D/L-Stem. They have the pattern (a)CCīC in the 3ms perfect, (a)CCīCan in the 3 ms imperfect, and $y a C C \bar{l} C$ in the 3 ms subjunctive. This pattern likely reflects a stem that is historically different from the D/L-Stem, but synchronically it has taken the place of the D/L-Stem for geminate and II-w/y verbs. ${ }^{17}$ At least one

15 The initial la- of the 1 cs and 1 cd subjunctive and conditional can also be realized $a l-$; cf. al-ssōfar in text 40:9.
16 In the 3 fs (and 3 d ) perfect, in which there is a cluster of two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, it is possible that the prefix $a$ - may be used; see the comment to text 97:6. This may also be possible in the dual imperfect and subjunctive, and fs, fd , and cp future, where the same cluster is found. But there are no relevant forms in the texts to check this. In recent fieldwork in Oman, Sabrina Bendjabllah recorded 3 fs sfarēt, with no $a$-.
17 We might compare the polel stem in Biblical Hebrew, which takes the place of the piel (D-Stem) for most II-w/y and geminate roots.

II-h verb also has this pattern, namely, azhīb 'dress up a woman in finery' (but cf. amōhal 'ease, lighten'). In a synchronic description we can consider these D/L-Stems, as they share its characteristic features (e.g., the prefix $a$-, imperfect suffix -ən, $3^{f f s}$ perfect with $\left.-\bar{e} t\right)$, but in a historical perspective we should look for a different source.

Another variant of the D/L-Stem, represented in Mehri by a single verb, also has a different historical source. This is the verb śéwar 'consult', which does not appear in texts, but is listed in $M L$ (pp. xxxv-xxxvi, 388 ). ${ }^{18}$ This verb conjugates like a strong D/L-Stem, except with $\bar{e}$ in place of $\bar{o}$ in the first syllable of the perfect and subjunctive. When we look outside of Mehri, we find that there are a handful more verbs of this type in Jibbali, and abundant examples in Soqotri, and that verbs of this type are not restricted only to certain root types (like the (a)CCīC pattern in Mehri). Most importantly, Ḥarsusi regularly has the vowel $\bar{e}$ in its D/L-Stem. We can conclude, following Dufour (2016: 265), that proto-MSA had two different stems corresponding to the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$, one with the shape *(a)CōCə $C$ and one with the shape *(a) C $\bar{e} C \partial C$. In Mehri and Jibbali, the former has almost completely replaced the latter, while in Harsusi, the latter has replaced the former. Perhaps (a)CōCəC reflects an original D-Stem ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} a \mathrm{Ca}$ ), while ( $a$ ) $\mathrm{C} \bar{C} C \partial \mathrm{C}$ reflects an original L-Stem ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CaCa}$ ).

Finally, there is yet another rare type of D/L-Stem in Mehri, which includes only two known verbs: $(s) s \bar{a} d ~ ' h e l p ' ~(<~ *(s) s ~ s a ̄ d)^{19}$ and $a n \dot{g} \bar{a} \bar{l}$ 'sweat'. In Mehri, this pattern is similar to the one found with II-w/y and geminate verbs (with $\bar{a}$ instead of $\bar{\iota}$ ), but in Jibbali there are some differences that suggest that this pattern is distinct. Like the pattern (a)CCi$C$, this one is restricted, in this case to II-guttural verbs, perhaps reflecting the fact that these gutturals were not geminated in the historical D-Stem.

### 6.2.2 Origin of the D/L-Stem Prefix

If the MSA D/L-Stem can indeed be traced back to the Semitic D- and/or LStem, the prefix $a$ - remains to be explained. Unlike the H-Stem or the other derived stems, the Semitic D- and L-Stems have no prefixed or infixed morpheme. Rather, they are characterized only by the lengthening of a root consonant (D-Stem) or theme vowel (L-Stem). The prefix $a$ - that we find in Mehri (and similar prefixes in the other MSA languages) is very likely a copy of the prefixed morpheme that we find in the H-Stem, added in MSA in order to pro-

[^54]vide symmetry to the system of derived verbal stems. So the D/L-Stem is the counterpart of the H -Stem in the way that the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem is the counterpart of the T1-Stem and the Š2-Stem is the counterpart of the Š1-Stem. The affixes associated with this symmetrical scheme can be represented as follows:

|  | D/L~H | T | $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type 1 | ${ }^{*} h(>h-)$ | $t$ | $\check{s}$ |
| Type 2 | ${ }^{*} h(>a-)$ | $t$ | $\check{s}$ |

The question then remains why exactly the inherited prefix * $h$ - remained $h$-in the Mehri H-Stem, but shifted to $a$-in the D/L-Stem. We can prove, at least, that the morpheme * $h$ - has two reflexes in Mehri. The proof is in the Mehri H-Stem passive (§6.3.2), which has the prefix $a$ - (e.g., awk $\bar{a}$ 'it was put'), as opposed to the H-Stem active, which has the prefix $h$ - (e.g., hawkā 'he put'). That is to say, within the H-Stem itself, the inherited prefix * $h$ - has two different reflexes, probably the result of differences in the historical vowels following the prefix (cf. Hebrew active higgíd 'he told' vs. passive huggad 'it was told'). There is also support from within Harsusi. While in Mehri the element $h$ - of the H-Stem is present in all three major tenses (except in those verbs where the $h$ - is absent for phonological reasons), in Ḥarsusi the affix is $a$ - for the perfect and imperfect, but $h$ - in the subjunctive. Compare the following Mehri and Harsusi forms: ${ }^{20}$

|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mehri | harkūb | yaharkūb | yahárkab |
| Harsusi | arkōb | yarkōb | yahárkab |

So in Hearsusi, like with the Mehri H-Stem active vs. passive, we see that the historical morpheme * $h$-has developed both a reflex $h$ - and a reflex $a$-. Therefore, it is completely reasonable to suggest that the prefix $a$ - of the MSA D/L-Stem can also be traced back to the prefix * $h$-, which was added to this stem in proto-MSA on analogy with the H -Stem, thus making the two stems part of a symmetrical system of derived verbal stems.

20 The importance of the Harsusi evidence, along with the entire idea that the prefix $a$ derives from *h-, comes from the analysis of Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a: 185-186) and Dufour (2016: 235-236).

### 6.2.3 D/L-Stem Meaning

It is not possible to assign a productive or consistent meaning to the D/L-Stem. Johnstone called it the intensive-conative stem (e.g., 1975a: 12), probably after Bittner's Steigerungs- und Einwirkungsstamm (1911: 28), but this designation is not justified. The two most common, meaningful types of $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem verbs are denominatives and causatives of intransitive verbs. A great many must simply be considered lexical. It is also important to recognize that a significant percentage of Mehri D/L-Stems have counterparts in the Arabic D-Stem (Form II, $f a " a l a)$ or L-Stem (Form III, fā́ala), and many or most of these are likely Arabic borrowings or calques. Among the verbs that are causatives of intransitives, we find:
$a^{‘} y \not ̄ s$ 'look after, keep alive’ (cf. G āyūś 'live, survive’; Arabic G 'āša ‘be alive', D 'ayyaša 'keep alive')
abdīd 'separate (trans.)' (no G attested in Mehri, but cf. Jibbali G bedd 'separate (intrans.)'; also cf. Arabic G badda 'disperse (intrans.)', D baddada 'disperse (trans.)')
$a \dot{g} w \bar{\imath} r$ 'distract, keep occupied' (cf. Gb ġáywar 'be distracted, not pay attention')
ōlaḳ 'hang (trans.)' (no G attested in Mehri, but cf. Ti ātlaḳ 'be hung up', T2 ātzlūk 'hang (intrans.)'; also cf. Arabic G 'aliqa 'hang (intrans.)', D 'allaqa 'hang (trans.)')
(f)förah 'make happy' (cf. Gb firoh 'be happy')
(h)hōðər 'warn s.o.' (cf. G ḥəðūr 'be on one's guard', and the more
common T2 ḥəððūr 'be careful, take care (not to); look out for'; Arabic G ḥaðira 'be on one's guard', D ḥaððara 'warn')
(h) hyyll 'trick s.o.' (cf. G ḥyyūl 'be senile')
(k)kōmal 'finish' (no G attested in Mehri, but cf. Arabic G kamala 'be finished', D kammala 'finish')
akōōdəm 'put in front of, offer (food)' (cf. G kadūm 'come, go before';
Arabic G qadama 'come, go before', D qaddama 'put in front of, offer')
akoosẹər 'hold back in generosity; shorten' (cf. G kaṣáwr 'be/fall short; run short of')
(s)sōlam 'save, preserve; surrender' (cf. Gb sīlam 'be safe, be saved';

Arabic G salima 'be safe', D sallama 'save; surrender')
aş́yīk ‘make s.o. fed up, annoy’ (= H haş́yūḳ; cf. G ṣ́zyūk ‘be fed up’; Arabic
D ḍayyaqa and L deāyaqa 'harass, annoy')
awōṣal 'take, bring s.o.' (= H hawṣáwl, though the H-Stem takes two direct objects; cf. Gb wiṣal 'arrive'; Arabic G waṣala 'reach, get to', D waṣṣala 'take, bring s.o.')
(x)xōrab 'spoil, damage' (cf. Gb xáyrab 'be spoilt'; Arabic G xariba 'be destroyed', D xarraba 'destroy')

As for denominatives, we find:
(h)ḥōni ‘dye with henna' (cf. haynē 'henna'; Arabic D ḥanna'a 'dye with henna')
akōfi 'go away' (cf. kafée 'back', so lit. 'turn one’s back to')
arōba 'give s.o. protection' (probably denominative from rībáy 'companion, fellow tribesman')
aşy̆ḥh 'shout' (cf. șayḥ 'voice'; Arabic D ṣayyaḥa 'shout, cry out')
ațōrəf 'put aside' (cf. țərēf 'side')
atyif 'collect aloe' (cf. tayf 'aloe [Aloe dhufarensis]')
( $x$ )xwīṣ 'collect xawṣ for basket-weaving' (cf. xawṣ 'palm leaves used for weaving baskets')

A few D/L-Stem verbs are deadjectival:

(k)kōram 'be generous to’ (cf. karáym 'generous')
akōmah 'foil (plans), frustrate; disappoint' (cf. kōməḥ 'bad')
$a z h \bar{b} b$ 'dress up a woman in finery' (cf. zaháyb 'prepared, ready')

A great many D/L-Stems must simply be considered lexical, such as the following:

```
ōbal 'try, test'
awōda 'see s.o. off' (cf. Arabic D wadda'a 'see s.o. off')
awōð\partialn 'call to prayer' (cf. Arabic D 'aððana 'call to prayer')
ollam 'mark; teach' (cf. Arabic D 'allama 'teach')
\overline{a}w\overline{l}\ 'warn'
a}w\overline{l}g 'delay, divert'
\overline{a}win 'help' (cf. Arabic L 'āwana 'help')
ayytt 'cry out; weep' (cf. Arabic D 'ayyata 'cry out')
ozzr 'annoy, pester'
abōrak 'bless' (cf. Arabic L bāraka 'bless')
abōśzr 'give good news' (cf. Arabic D baššara 'bring news')
admìm 'grope, feel about' (cf. Q adámdəm, with the same meaning)
(f)fask 'separate (people fighting)'
(f)fōxar 'beautify, dress up'
```

```
agōrab 'try' (cf. Arabic D jarraba 'test, try')
```



```
(h)hōdi ‘divide, share’ (cf. Arabic L hādā ‘exchange gifts’)
(h)hōnad 'feel sleepy' (perhaps denominative from hanūd 'drowsiness')
(h) ḥōli 'describe; beckon' (cf. ḥalōt 'description')
(h)ḥōmal 'load' (cf. G ḥəmūl 'carry, bear'; Arabic D ḥammala 'load')
(h)hōrram 'swear (not to do s.t.)' (cf. Arabic D ḥarrama 'declare s.t.
    forbidden; refrain from s.t.')
(h)ḥoṣal 'acquire, get' (= G ḥoṣáwl; cf. Arabic G ḥaṣala and D ḥaṣsala
    'obtain, get’)
akōobal 'watch, keep an eye on' (cf. Arabic L qābala 'stand opposite, face')
akwin 'measure'
akyīs 'try s.t. (for size) on s.o.' (cf. Arabic D qayyasa 'measure')
amōsi 'kiss'
arōtzb 'arrange, tidy up' (cf. Arabic D rattaba 'arrange')
(s)sōfar 'travel' (cf. Arabic L sāfara 'travel')
aṣōli 'pray’ (cf. Arabic D șallā ‘pray')
(ś)śōbah ‘suspect; look like’ (cf. Arabic L šābaha ‘look like’)
(t)tawīb 'repent'
awdīd 'assign tasks'
awōlam 'prepare (trans.)'
awōkal 'authorize, empower' (cf. Arabic D wakkala 'authorize, empow-
        er')
awōṣaf 'describe' (= H hawṣáwf)
awōṣi ‘advise’ (cf. Arabic D waṣṣā ‘advise’)
(x)xōbat 'cock (a gun)'
(x)xōṭar ‘endanger, risk' (cf. xaṭār ‘danger'; Arabic L xāṭara 'risk,
endanger')
```


### 6.3 H-Stem

The H-Stem is characterized by a prefixed $h$ throughout the paradigm. The base pattern in the perfect has the shape $h_{\partial} C C \bar{u} C$. However, if the initial root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic (§2.1.2), and the second root consonant is not, then the prefix assimilates to the first root consonant. ${ }^{21} \mathrm{An}$ epenthetic a is often added after the first root consonant of those verbs in which the prefix

[^55]$h$-has been assimilated. In initial position, the geminate consonant that results from the assimilation is usually simplified, e.g., tamūm 'he finished' (<ttamūm < *ttmūm < *htmūm) and farūk or frūk. 'he frightened' (< *ffrūk < *hfrūk). If both the first and second root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic, then the prefix $h$-does appear, with or without an epenthetic a, e.g., $h$ (a)thūm 'he imagined' and $h(\partial) f t \bar{u} k$ 'he took out/off/away'. ${ }^{22}$ Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in this stem (harkūb 'mount'):

| 1CS | Perfect harkábk | Imperfect aharkūb | Subjunctive al-hárkab | Conditional al-hárkaban |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | harkábk | tharkūb | thárkab | thárkaban |
| 2 fs | harkábš | tharkáybi | thárkab (i) ${ }^{23}$ | thárkaban |
| 3 ms | harkūb | yaharkūb | yahárkab | yahárkaban |
| 3 fs | harkabūt | tharkūb | thárkab | thárkaban |
| 1 C | harkábki | aharkabōh | al-harkabáh | al-harkabáyyan |
| 2 c | harkábki | tharkabōh | tharkabáh | tharkabáyyan |
| 3 md | harkabōh | yaharkabōh | yaharkabáh | yaharkabáyyan |
| 3 fd | harkabtōh | tharkabōh | tharkabáh | tharkabáyyan |
| 1ср | harkōban | naharkūb | nahárkab | nahárkaban |
| 2mp | harkábkam | tharkīb | thárkabam | thárkaban |
| 2 fp | harkábkan | tharkūban | thárkəbən | thárkaban |
| 3mp | harkīb | yaharkīb | yahárkzbəm | yahárkaban |
| 3fp | harkūb | tharkūban | thárkaban | thárkaban |

3 ms perfect base with object suffixes: harkab-

Imperative: ms hárkəb, fs hárkəb(i), mp hárkəbəm, fp hárkəbən

Future: ms mahárkab, fs maharkabēta, md mahárkabi, fd maharkabēti, cp maharkabūtan

[^56]Note that the 1cp perfect form has the vowel $\bar{o}$, while the 3 ms has $\bar{u}$. This is in contrast with the G-Stem, which has $\bar{u}$ in both forms, e.g., hakfōdən 'we let down' (60:10) vs. G-Stem kafūdən 'we went down' (25:7). The Š1-Stem and T2-Stem also have $\bar{o}$ in the 1cp perfect. This interesting phenomenon is confirmed not only by the data from our texts, but also by data collected by recent fieldwork. ${ }^{24}$

For verbs that lose the prefixed $h$ in the perfect, the $h$ reappears in the singular and plural forms of the subjunctive and conditional. Following is the complete paradigm of the verb (f)farūk. 'frighten': 25

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | (f)faràkk | affarūk. | al-háfrak | l-háforḳ |
| 2 ms | (f)farákk | $t(f) f$ frūk | tháfrok | tháfarkon |
| 2 f | (f)farákš | $t(f) f$ ¢ráyki | tháfrok. $(i)$ | tháforkıon |
| 3 ms | (f)farūk | yaffarūk | yaháfrak | yaháforkon |
| 3fs | (f)farkáwt ${ }^{26}$ | $t(f) f a r u ̄ k$ | tháfrok | tháfarkon |
|  | (f)frọkki | affarkōh | l-affarkáh ${ }^{27}$ | l-affarkáyy |
|  | (f)fràkki | $t(f) f$ frkōh | $t(f) f$ frkáh | $t(f) f a r k a ́ y y \partial n$ |
|  | (f)farkōh | yaffarkōh | yaffarkáh | yaffarkáyyan |
| fd | (f)fraktōh | $t(f) f a r k o ̄ h$ | $t(f) f$ frkáh | $t(f) f a r k a ́ y y \partial n$ |
|  | (f)farōķan | naffarūk | naháfrak | naháfarkın |
|  | (f)faràķkam | $t(f) f a r i k$ | tháforkom | tháfarḳan |
|  | (f)faràkkın | $t(f) f a r u ̄ k ə n$ | tháforḳon | tháfarkon |
|  | (f)farîk | yaffarik. | yaháfarḳəm | yaháfarkon |
| 3fp | (f)frrūk. | $t(f) f$ ¢rūkən | tháfarḳan | tháfarḳan |

24 I refer to the data of Sabrina Bendjaballah, Julien Dufour, and Philippe Ségéral. My thanks to Sabrina Bendjaballah for bringing this phenomenon to my attention.
25 As noted above, there is usually an epenthetic a after the first root consonant. I have included it in the paradigm.
26 The underlying form is *(f)frakūt (from the pattern $\left(C_{1}\right) C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{3} \bar{u} t$ ), but there is metathesis of the $\partial$ and the liquid (§2.2.3). Cf. also (f)fawtūt 'she ran away' (22:70) < *ffaltūt < *fflatūt. We see the same metathesis in the 3md perfect, dual imperfect, dual and plural subjunctive (except 1cp), conditional, plural imperatives, and future (except ms) forms. For verbs whose second root consonant is not a liquid, there is no metathesis; compare háfowtam 'escape (mp)!' (< *háflətəm, 42:19) and háǵfələm 'amuse (mp)!' (84:6). 3fs (f)farkáwt also has the expected diphthongization of $\bar{u}>a ́ w$ after a glottalic consonant (§ 2.2.1).
27 The subjunctive dual suffix is -áh instead of -áh (< *-ēh) for this verb because of the glottalic $k$ (see § 2.2.1).

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: (f)fark-

Imperative: ms háfraḳ, fs háfroḳ(i), mp háfarḳəm, fp háfarḳan

Future: ${ }^{28} \mathrm{~ms}$ maháfrok, fs maffarḳāta, md maháfarki, fd maffarḳāti, cp məffarkáwtan

### 6.3.1 H-Stem Meaning

The primary function of the Mehri H-Stem is causative (cf. Hebrew hiph'il, Arabic 'af'ala). The causative meaning is usually derived from a G-Stem verb. This includes causatives of some intransitive and stative verbs, meaning that the H Stem has some overlap in function with the D/L-Stem. Some examples are:
$h a ̄ s ́ u ̄ s s^{~ ' r o u s e, ~ w a k e ~ u p ~(t r a n s .) ; ~ b e a r ~(f r u i t) ' ~(c f . ~ G ~ ' a s ́ s ́ ~ ' r i s e, ~ g e t ~ u p ; ~ g r o w ') ~}$
hāwūr 'make blind' (cf. Gb áywar 'be blind')
habhūl 'cook, prepare' (cf. G bahēl 'be cooked, ready')
habkōh 'make s.o. cry' (cf. G bakōh 'cry')
habkōh 'put aside, save' (cf. Gb báyḳi 'be left over, remain')
habrūk 'make (camels) kneel' (cf. G barūk 'kneel (of camels)')
habáwr 'take out (animals) at night' (cf. G bār 'go out at night')
$h \bar{a} d \bar{u} g$ 'suckle' ( $=\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L} \bar{o} d \partial g$; cf. G $\bar{a} d \bar{u} g$ 'suck (at the breast)')
hadlūl 'lead, guide' (= G dall 'lead, guide')
hədxáwl 'make s.o. swear' (cf. G doxāl 'swear, promise')
həðhūb 'flood (trans.)' (cf. G ðəhēb 'be flooded')
$h(\partial) f h \bar{u} s ́^{\prime}$ boil (meat and potatoes)' (cf. G fhēs 'boil (intrans.)')
(f)farūd 'frighten (animals)' (cf. G farūd 'stampede, panic')
(f)farūk. 'frighten' (cf. Gb firzk 'be afraid')
$h(\partial) f t u ̄ k$ 'take out/off/away' (cf. G ftūk 'come/go out')
hagáwr 'knock down' (cf. G gār 'fall')
haglūl 'light a fire; boil (trans.)' (cf. G gall 'be alight; boil (intrans.)')
(h)hawōh 'drop, make fall' (cf. G hawōh 'fall')
hakfūd 'take/let down' (cf. G kafūd 'go down')
haḳśs' 'dry (trans.)' (cf. Gb káyśa 'be dry')
(h)hawūb 'warm by the fire' (cf. Gb hīwab 'get warm')
(h)hənūut 'make s.o. break an oath' (cf. Gb háynntt ‘swear a lie, break an oath')

28 The fs future suffix is -āta instead of -ēta for this verb because of the preceding glottalic $k$ (see § 2.2.1). Likewise, the fd suffix is -āti<-ēti, and the cp suffix is -áwtan <-ūtzn.

```
(h)ḥarūk 'burn (trans.)' (cf. Gb ḥáyrək 'get burnt')
hวwbūs 'dress s.o.' (cf. Gb lības 'wear')
həwṣáwk ‘stick (trans.), attach’ (cf. Gb līṣak ‘stick (intrans.), adhere’) \({ }^{29}\)
hamlōh 'fill (trans.)' (= Ga mūla/malōh; cf. Gb mīla 'be full') \({ }^{30}\)
hamlūk 'give s.o. legal possession of a woman in marriage' (cf. G malūk
    'possess')
həmrūt 'heat red-hot' (cf. Gb mïrat 'be(come) red-hot')
hamwūt 'kill' (cf. G mōt 'die')
həndūr 'give milk; suckle' (cf. G nədūr 'drink milk (used of babies)')
hankáwb 'throw off (usually of a mount)' (cf. Gb nīkab 'fall off (a
    mount)')
harkūb 'mount s.o.' (cf. G rakūb 'ride, mount')
harwōh 'give to drink' (cf. Gb ráywi ‘drink to repletion')
(ś)śanōh ‘show' (cf. Gb śīni 'see')
(t)tamūm 'finish (trans.), complete' (cf. Gb tamm 'be finished, finish
    (intrans.)')
(t)tawōh 'feed' (cf. G tawōh 'eat')
hawkūb 'put in' (cf. G wakūb 'enter')
hวwká' 'put, put down' (cf. Gb wīka 'be, become; stay')
hawrūd 'take down to water' (cf. G warūd 'go down to water')
hawṣáwl 'take s.o. somewhere' ( = D/L awōṣal, though the D/L-Stem takes
    just one direct object; cf. Gb wīşal 'arrive, reach')
(x)xadūm 'employ, give work' (cf. G xadūm 'work')
( \(x\) )xalūs 'mislead' (cf. G xalūs 'get lost')
hazyūd 'increase (trans.); give more' (cf. G zəyūd 'increase (intrans.);
        be(come) more than')
```

Some H-Stem causatives have extended or narrowed in meaning, but the derivation can still be seen, for example:
həðrōh 'let the blood of a goat run over a sick person’ (cf. Gb ðìra 'bleed (intrans.) $)^{31}$

[^57]```
hağfül 'cheer s.o. up' (cf. G ga \(\mathfrak{a f u ̈ l ~ ' b e ~ c a r e f r e e ' ) ~}\)
hağwūs 'put down (e.g., feet) under water' (cf. G goos 'dive')
hokṣáwm 'spend the afternoon (to avoid the heat)' (cf. Gb káyṣam 'be cool')
harṣōh 'reconcile with s.o. (usually a wife)' (cf. Gb ráyṣic 'be agreeable') hawṣáwb 'hit (with a bullet)' (cf. G ṣōb 'be/go straight') \()^{32}\)
```

Some H-Stem verbs are not causatives derived from a G-Stem, but can still be seen as causative in meaning. Such are:
hālūk. 'light (trans.), kindle' (cf. G ātūk' 'make a fire')
hađ̣náwn 'imagine' (cf. Ə̣ann 'thought')
(f)ffkōh 'cover' (cf. Šı šafkōh 'cover oneself')
(f)fūk' 'give in marriage' (cf. Šıs sfukk 'get married (female subject only)') ${ }^{33}$
hagnōh 'warm (trans.)' (cf. Šl šagnōh 'get warm') ${ }^{34}$
hag̀yūg 'bear young (of animals)' (cf. gayg 'man')
(k)kawr '(make s.t.) roll down'
(h)həkōh 'give water to' (cf. anomalous T2 (t)takk 'drink')
(h)hamōh 'call, name' (cf. hamm 'name')
handēx 'fumigate, perfume with incense smoke' (cf. Tı náddax 'get smoke in one's eyes', nïdēe '(incense-)smoke')
hanhōh 'burn (trans.)' (cf. Šı šanhōh 'get burnt')
harbá’ 'lift/pull/take up' (cf. Šis šrrbáa 'climb to the top of s.t.')
harxōh 'release, let go' (cf. Ši šarxōh and Ti rátxi 'be untied, be released')
(s)'śawk ' light (on fire), burn (trans.)' (cf. T1 śátwak 'miss, long for') ${ }^{35}$
hatlááw 'release, set (a horse) after' (cf. Ti tátlak k 'be released')
hawgūs 'take out/bring home animals in the early evening' (cf. Šis šzwgūś 'go (in the early evening)')
hawré' 'keep away, hold back (trans.)' (cf. Š š̌zwré' back off, stand down')

32 The G-Stem 3 ms perfect șawb, given in $M L$ (s.v. ṣwb), is surely an error for ṣōb.
33 On this anomalous verb, see further in § 7.2.14.
34 See the comment to text 84:4.
35 The form (ś)śawk (3ms subjunctive yzháśak, 86:12) behaves as if its root were śk (cf. (k)kawr). The T1-Stem śátwâk, assuming it is connected (as Johnstone did), reflects a root śwk. If the root were originally ṣ́wk, as suggested in $M L$ (s.v. śwk.), we could perhaps argue for an anomalous change of 3 ms perfect *hoṣ́wūk > *hoṣ́ūk > *həṣ́áwk > *hśáwk > (ś)śawk. Jahn (1902: 242) also recorded 3ms perfect śawk.

Many H-Stems do not function as causatives, and must simply be considered lexical. Some have roots that appear in other stems, while others do not. Many of these verbs that do not function as causatives (and many of those that do, for that matter) are likely borrowings from Arabic, especially from the Arabic C-Stem (Form IV, 'af'ala). Such are:
hāmūn 'trust (in s.o.)' (cf. Arabic C 'āmana 'trust (in s.o.)')
hāmūr 'order' (cf. Arabic G 'amara ‘order' vs. Mehri G āmūr 'say' [root 'mr])
hānōh 'intend, mean' (cf. mān̄̄ 'intent, intention')
hārūs 'marry, get married' (cf. Arabic G 'arasa or D 'arrasa 'get married')
hātūm 'spend the night'
həbġáwṣ́ ‘hate’ (cf. G baġāṣ ‘dislike’; Arabic C ’abġaḍa ‘hate’, but G bag̈iḍa 'be hated')
habṣáwr 'see well' (cf. Arabic C 'abṣara 'see')
habśūr 'look forward to (s.t. good or bad); anticipate’ (cf. D/L abōśar 'give good news')
habṭá 'be late, be delayed' (cf. boṭáy 'slow, late'; Arabic C 'abṭa'a 'be late')
(f)falūt 'escape, flee, run away' (cf. Arabic C 'aflata 'escape'; Mehri D/L fōlat 'free oneself', T1 fátlat 'be untied')
(f)faré 'begin'
(f)farūk 'recover from a fever' (on the homophonous (f)farūk' 'frighten', see above) ${ }^{36}$
$h(\partial) f s e \bar{h}$ 'stop doing, leave off'

hoġbūr 'give help' (cf. Šı šəġbūr 'ask for help from')
haġdōh 'forget, lose'
hag̣ṣáwb 'lose s.t. of importance’ (cf. G ġaṣáwb 'disarm, take by force’, the meaning of which is more causative than the H -Stem)
(h)hakáwṭ 'give birth (used of camels)' (cf. Arabic C 'asqaṭa let fall, drop; have a miscarriage')
(h)həwūl 'understand (a language)' (probably cf. Arabic C 'aḥwala 'convert, translate')
(k)kabūr 'stay with s.o. to drink milk'
hakbbūl 'arrive, draw near' (cf. Arabic C 'aqbala 'draw near')
hamrūṣ́ 'nurse, look after' (this is the opposite of causative; cf. Gb mirrṣ́ 'be ill')
hanféx 'blow, breathe' (seems to = G nafx)
hangūd 'go to Najd (in Dhofar)' (denominative from nagd 'Najd'; cf.
Arabic C 'anjada 'travel in the Najd')
hankūr 'feel; understand, realize' (cf. Gb nïkar 'understand, catch on')
hənśūr 'have had enough sleep; feel refreshed' (cf. Arabic C 'anšara 'resurrect from the dead')
hargūf 'shiver (with fever)' (cf. Arabic C 'arjafa 'shiver')
hərhūn 'pawn; leave s.t. as a pledge' (cf. Arabic C'arhana 'pawn; leave s.t.
as a pledge')
harsōh 'cast anchor' (cf. Arabic C 'arsā 'cast anchor')
hərxáwṣ 'give permission to leave' (cf. Šı šrrxawṣ̣ 'take/want leave';
Arabic D raxxasa 'permit')
həaşbāh 'be/happen in the morning; become' (cf. Arabic C'aṣbaha
'be/happen in the morning; become'; Mehri $k$-sōbah 'morning';)
hasfür 'whistle' (cf. Arabic G șafara and D șaffara 'whistle', but Judeo-
Arabic C 'asfara 'whistle')
hoṣ́ráwb 'be ill'
$h(\partial)$ thūm 'think, imagine, suspect'
( $t$ tazlōh 'regret'
hawfōh 'pay a debt' (perhaps cf. wōfi 'honest')
hawhōh 'come to help'
hawlōh 'go back to, turn towards, direct oneself to' (cf. Arabic C'awlā 'turn back/towards')
hawṣ̂áwf ‘describe' (= D/L awōsaf; cf. waṣf 'description’ [< Arabic])
(x)xaṣáwb 'send; send for'
(x)xawōh 'send s.o. confidentially'
hazbūr 'feel pleasure at s.o.'s misfortune'

### 6.3.2 H Internal Passive

An H-Stem internal passive exists in Mehri, but is very rare. In the texts there is just one attested form:
 already ground, (with) fine flour in it [lit. having been put in]' (97:6)

The form $\chi$-aw $k \bar{a}$ must be a 3 ms passive imperfect of the H-Stem hawk $\mathfrak{a}$ 'put, place'; the verbal prefix $\partial$ - indicates a circumstantial ( $\$ 7 \cdot 1 \cdot 10.1$ ). ${ }^{37}$ It is inter-

Already Bittner (1915b: 11 ) analyzed the corresponding Yemeni Mehri verb (hūqa) in
esting that the characteristic $h$ - of the H-Stem is not present in this passive form (see the discussion in §6.2.2). As noted in the comment to this text, the manuscript originally had an $h$ (haw $k a \bar{a}$ ), which was then crossed out. Johnstone (1975a:19) lists a passive 3 ms perfect $a w k \bar{a}$ and 3 ms imperfect/subjunctive yawkā. He also lists one more H-Stem passive verb, namely, 3ms perfect aglēl (probably better aglēl) and 3 ms imperfect/subjunctive $y \partial g l \bar{l} l$, from the H-Stem haglūl 'boil'. More data are needed on the H-Stem passive.

## 6.4 Š-Stems

Mehri possesses two stems that are characterized by a prefixed $\check{s}$. The one which we will call the $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem is by far the more common of the two. It has the basic pattern ša $C C \bar{u} C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The other Š-Stem, which we will call the Š2Stem, has the basic pattern ša $C \bar{e} C ə C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The Š2-Stem, like the D/L- and T2-Stems, is characterized by a suffixed -an on all imperfect forms. Bittner (1911: 51) and Johnstone (1975a:13; ML, pp. xxi, lix) referred to both of the Š-Stems as causative-reflexive verbs, but this designation is not very accurate, as will be seen below.

The Mehri (and other MSA) Š-Stems do not derive from the Proto-Semitic C-Stem, which had a prefixed ${ }^{*} s$-, and which is the source of the Š-Stem in Akkadian, Ugaritic, and some OSA languages (e.g., Qatabanic). The Semitic CStem is the source of the MSA H-Stem, which in Mehri exhibits the shift of the prefix ${ }^{*} s V->h V$ (and $>V$ - elsewhere in MSA) that we see in most West Semitic languages. The Mehri prefix $\check{s}$ - comes from an earlier *st-, that is, from a Semitic Ct-Stem, corresponding to the Arabic istaf'ala (Form X). The MSA CtStem split into two types, an Š1-type and an Š2-type, mirroring the two types of T-Stems (§6.5). ${ }^{38}$ The developments in both the forms and meanings of the MSA Š-Stems have some limited similarities with developments of the Ct-Stem in Arabic dialects of the region, but these connections remain to be explored in detail. ${ }^{39}$

[^58]
### 6.4.1 $\quad$ Š-Stem Form

The basic pattern of the 3 ms perfect is $\check{s} a^{2} C C \bar{u} C$. When the first root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, but the second is voiced or glottalic, then the a of the prefix is not present; instead, there is an epenthetic a following the first root consonant, e.g., šxabūr 'ask' and škalūū 'listen to a story'. The exception is if the first root consonant is $s$ or $\mathfrak{s}$, e.g., šəsdūk. 'believe s.o. is telling the truth' (not **ssadūk). If both the first and second root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic, then we find a cluster of three consonants, which can optionally be broken up with an epenthetic a after the prefix, e.g., šftēḥ or šaftēḥ 'be mated (female animals)'.

The conjugation of the Šı-Stem is parallel to that of the H-Stem, with $\check{s}$ where the H -stem has $h$. Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the Ši-Stem (šanðūr 'vow, promise'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 cs | šanðárk | əšanðūr | al-šánðər | l-əšánðərว |
| 2 ms | šanðárk | $t s ̌ ə n \partial u ̄ r$ | tšánðər | tšánðarən |
| 2 fs | šวnðárš | tšanðáyri | tšánðər (i) ${ }^{40}$ | tšánðərən |
| 3 ms | šanðūr | $y \partial s ̌ z n \partial u ̄ r$ | yašánðər | yašánðəran |
| $3^{\text {fs }}$ | šanðərūt | $t s ̌ ə n \partial u ̄ r$ | tšánðər | tšánðวrən |
| 1 c | šanð⿱㇒́rki | วšanðərōh |  | l-əšənðəráyyan |
| 2 cd | šanðárki | tšanðərōh | tšanðəráh | tšanðょráyyon |
| 3 md | šənðәrōh | yašanðərōh | yašanðəráh | yašənðəгáyyən |
| 3 fd | šanðərtōh | tšanðərōh | tšanðəráh | tšanðəráyyan |
| 1cp | šənðōrən | $n ə s ̌ ว n ð \bar{r}$ | nəšánðər | nəšánðərən |
| 2 mp | šanðárkam | tšandir | tšánðərวт | tšánðərən |
| 2fp | šanðárkan | tšanðūrən | tšánдәrən | tšánðərən |
| 3 mp | šənðı̀r | yวšanðır | yวšánðərəm | yวšánðərən |
| 3 fp | šənðūr | tšanðūrən | tšánðərən | tšánðərən |

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: šanðar-

Imperative: ms šánðər, fs šánðər(i), mp šánðərวт, fp šánðərən

40 As with the H -Stem ( $\S 6.3$, n. 23 ), some speakers today may add a final $-i$ to the 2 fs subjunctive and imperative, though the forms should correctly be without the suffix - - . In our texts, no final $-i$ is used with these forms.

Future: ms məšánðər, fs mašanðərēta, md mašánðəri, fd məšanðərēti, cp məšวnðərūtan

Like the H-Stem and T2-Stem, the 1cp perfect has the vowel $\bar{o}$, while the 3 ms has $\bar{u}$. This is in contrast with the G-Stem, which has $\bar{u}$ in both forms, e.g., šakṣōrən 'we ran short' (91:13) vs. G-Stem kaəfūdan 'we went down' (25:7).

### 6.4.2 $\quad \check{S ̌}_{1}$-Stem Meaning

As mentioned above, Johnstone refers to the Š-Stems as causative-reflexive verbs. This designation applies only to a minority of Ši-Stems. Among the examples in the texts are:

```
šāgūl 'hurry (oneself)' (cf. H hāgūl 'make s.o. hurry')
šabdūd 'separate oneself from' (cf. D/L abdīd 'separate (trans.)')
šhawūb 'warm oneself by the fire' (cf. H (h)hawūb 'warm by the fire
    (trans.)')
šaḳháwb 'play the harlot' (cf. H həḳháwb 'turn a woman into a harlot;
    seduce')
šaḳwōh 'become strong' (cf. Gb ḳáywi 'be strong', H hakwōh 'strengthen')
šawnēx 'rest, be(come) rested' (cf. H hawnēx 'give s.o. rest')
šarbá' 'climb (to the top)' (cf. H harbá’ 'lift, pull up')
šawré' 'back off, stand down' (cf. H hawré' 'keep away, hold back (trans.)')
```

There are a few verbs that might be called causative-passive, since they can be seen as the passive of a corresponding causative (H-Stem) verb. Such are:
šadlūl 'be guided; need directions' (cf. H hadlūl 'lead, guide')
šfük. ‘get married’ (used with a female subject only) (cf. H (f)fük. 'give in marriage' ${ }^{41}$
šamlūk ‘be given legal possession of a woman in marriage’ (cf. H hamlūk 'give s.o. legal possession of a woman in marriage')
šardūd 'get back; ask for s.t. back' (cf. H hardūd 'give back')
šวwṣáwb 'be wounded, be hit (with a bullet)' (cf. H həwṣáwb 'hit (with a bullet)')
šaṣ́yūk ‘get fed up; have trouble’ (cf. G ș́ayūk ‘be fed up’, D/L aṣyı̄̈k and H hวș̣̂ūk 'make s.o. fed up')
šawfōh 'be revenged for s.o., avenge s.o.; be paid a debt' (cf. H hawfōh 'pay a debt')
š(ว)xtūn 'be circumcised' (cf. G $x t u \bar{n}$ 'circumcise', H $h(\partial) x t u \bar{n}$ 'have a child circumcised')

A few $\check{S ̌}_{1}$-Stems have a meaning something like 'believe s.o./s.t. is $X^{\prime}$ ':
šabdōh 'not believe, believe s.o. is lying' (cf. G badōh 'lie, tell a lie') škabūr 'consider large' (cf. Arabic Ct istakbara 'consider large') $\check{s}(\partial) k t \underline{u} r$ 'be too much; think s.t. is too much' (cf. Gb kītar 'be abundant', H haktūr 'say/give more'; Arabic Ct istaktara 'think s.t. is too much') šasd $\bar{u} k$. 'believe s.o. is telling the truth' (cf. G sadūk 'tell the truth') ${ }^{42}$ štakáwl 'find guests unwelcome; (+ reflexive hanōff-) think oneself a
burden' (cf. Gb titikal 'be heavy', H ( $t$ )țtakáwl 'put a heavy load on';
Arabic Ct istatqala 'find s.t. annoying or burdonsome') ${ }^{43}$

However, most Š1-Stems can only be categorized as lexical. Examples are:

```
šāðūrr 'refuse s.o.' (cf. H hāð̄ūr 'excuse, excuse oneself')
šāföh 'recover, improve in health' (cf. affyot 'health')
š\varepsilon̄mūn 'believe; listen to, obey' (cf. H h\overline{\varepsilon}mūn 'trust')
š\varepsilon̄nūs 'dare’
šāsūr 'love, like, be keen on'
šäṣōh 'be/get worried about'
šōda 'curse, insult' (cf. d\overline{a}wēt 'complaint')
šadhūk. 'look, look down'
šadrūk 'survive' (cf. G darūk 'come quickly to help', D/L adōrak 'save s.o.'s
    life by giving water')
š(\partial)ftēh `be mated (female animals)' (cf. G fotḥ 'open')
šaghūm 'set off (in the morning)' (cf. G gahēm 'go, go in the morning')
šagḥáwd 'be(come) convinced' (cf. G gaḥād 'deny, refuse; convince')
š\partialġbūr 'ask for help from, collect funds from' (cf. H h\partial\dot{g}būr 'give help')
šgglōh 'buy s.t. at a high price' (cf. H haglōh 'sell s.t. at a high price')
šawǵáwr 'raid' (cf. Gb ğáywar 'be distracted, not pay attention', D/L aġwīr
    `distract, keep occupied’)
šhzmūm 'be encouraged, be bold'
```

42 We also find šaṣdūk. See further in the comment to text 20:6.
$43 M L$ (s.v. $t$ thl) gives an H-Stem hatkáwl, but we do not expect the prefix $h$-before the voiceless $\underline{t}$. I assume it is an error, though this needs to be confirmed. (See also §6.3, n. 21.) The unexpected prefix is also given in $J L$ for the Jibbali cognate.
šḥagōh 'stand firm; settle a difficulty'
šhyyūr 'be paralyzed with fear' (cf. G ḥayūr 'be confused, get lost')
škalūl 'catch (in one's hands)' (cf. H (k)kalūl 'catch (s.t. dropping)')
škalūt 'listen to a story' (cf. G kalūt 'tell')
$\check{s}(\partial) k t u ̄ b$ 'have s.o. write (a charm)' (cf. Arabic Ct istaktaba 'have s.o. write s.t.')
šakrōh 'hide (intrans.), hide oneself' (cf. G ḳarōh 'hide (trans.)') (reflexive, but there is no recorded H-Stem of this root)
šakráwr 'confess'
ša $k$ ṣáwr 'run out of, run short of' (very close to G kaṣáwr 'be/fall short; run short of')
šakṣ̂ōh 'be paid off, receive blood-money’ (cf. G kaṣ̣́ōh 'pay off, pay bloodmoney')
šakt tá’ 'become despondent, tired (of a situation)' (cf. Gb káayṭa 'be tired')
šamdūd 'take s.t. (from s.o.)' (cf. H hamdūd 'give')
šamrụ̣̄́ 'fall ill; be ill' (cf. Gb mīraṣ' 'be ill'; H hamrūṣ' 'nurse, look after' is not causative)
šəndūm 'renege, ask for s.t. back' (cf. Gb nīdəm 'repent of s.t., be sorry about')
šanðūr 'vow, promise' (H hənðūr seems to have a similar meaning)
šanḥáwr 'complain, lodge a complaint'
šənṣáwr 'be victorious' (cf. nēṣər 'victory')
šarḥáwm 'get rain (in a dry period)' (cf. roḥmēt 'rain')
šaṣfōh 'find out; gather news' (cf. ṣafōt 'news')
šaṣhāh 'be(come) healthy' (cf. ṣaḥh 'alive, healthy', ṣaḥhāt 'health')
šaṣháwr 'be branded' (cf. G ṣaḥār 'brand')
šaṣyūm 'run short of milk' (cf. ṣáymat 'shortage of milk')
šəwdé' 'keep safe' (cf. H həwdé' 'give s.o. protection'; Arabic Ct istawda'a 'entrust, give for safekeeping')
šawgūś' 'go (in the early evening)' (cf. H həwgūś 'take out/bring home animals in the early evening')
šawkūf 'sleep, fall asleep' (cf. H hzwkūf 'let s.o. ill rest on one's shoulder; set up (a stone)')
šxəbūr 'ask' (cf. Arabic tD taxabbara and Ct istaxbara 'inquire')
While a large number of D/L-Stems and H-Stems have clear Arabic counterparts, most Š1-Stems do not. And when there is an Arabic cognate, the Ši-Stem does not regularly correspond to any one Arabic verbal stem. For example, from the above lists, šāðūur, šznṣáwr, š(ə)xtūn, and probably šōda correspond to Arabic Gt-Stems (Form VIII, ifta'ala); š(ə)ktūb, š(ว)kt̄ūr, šamdūd, štə $\partial$ káwl, and
šawdé' correspond to Arabic Ct-Stems (Form X, istaf'ala); šāgūl, šamlūk, šzwfōh, and šxəəbūr correspond to both tD- and Ct-Stems (Forms V and X, tafa"ala and istaf'ala); šhayūr corresponds either to a tD- or Gt-Stem (Form V or VIII, tafa"ala or ifta'ala); šawǵáwr and šakráwr to C-Stems (Form IV, 'af'ala); šz̄mūn to both a C- and Gt-Stem (Forms IV and VIII, 'af'ala and ifta'ala); šāfōh and šaṣ́yūk to a tL-Stem (Form VI, tafā́ala); škabūr to both a C- and Ct-Stem (Forms IV and X, 'af'ala and istaf'ala); and šasdūk. to a D-Stem (Form II, fa"ala). This can be seen more clearly in the following table:

|  | D | C | tD | tL | Gt | Ct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| šōda |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| šāðūr |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| šāfōh |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| šāgūl |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |
| šēmūn |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| š2wġáwr |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| šḩəyūr |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  |
| škabūr |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| $s ̌(\partial) k t \bar{u} b$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| $\check{s}(\partial) k \underline{\underline{L}}$ ūr |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| šaḳráwr |  | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |
| šamdūd |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| šamlūk |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |
| šanṣáwr |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |


| šasdūḳ | $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| šaṣyūḳ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |
| šťḳ́́wl |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| šawd̄̄ |  |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| š2wfōh |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |
| šxabūr |  |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |
| š(ว)xtūn |  |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |

As evident from the table, the Mehri Š1-Stems most often correspond to Arabic Ct - and Gt -Stems, but without the relative regularity or predictability that we see in the Mehri D/L- and H-Stems. As discussed in $\S 6.4$, the morpheme $\check{s}$, characteristic of the Š-Stems, comes from an earlier *st, seen also in the Arabic Ct-Stem (Form X, istaf'ala).

### 6.4.3 Š2-Stem Form

The basic pattern of the 3 ms perfect is šaCē $C \partial C$, and like the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ Stem, it is characterized by a suffixed -ən on all imperfect forms. If the first root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then vowel $a$ of the prefix is lost, e.g., šhēwab 'think, figure'. Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the Š2Stem (šanēsam 'sigh'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | šanásmək | əšnásman | $l$-ašnēsam | l-ašnásman |
| 2 ms | šanásmək | təšnásman ${ }^{44}$ | təšnēsam | tašnásman |
| 2 fs | šənásməš | təšnásman | tašnēsəm | tašnásman |
| 3 ms | šanēsam | yašnásman | yašnēsam | yašnásman |
| 3 fs | šansamēt | təšnásman | təšnēsam | təšnásman |

44 Before $s \check{s}^{\prime}$, the prefix should be $t$-, not $t$--, but we usually find the latter in the Š2-Stem prefixconjugations because of the following consonant cluster.

| 1 C | šanásməki45 | ašnasmáyyan | l-ašansamáh | $l$-дšnasmáyyan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 cd | šanásməki | tašnasmáyyan | tašansamáh | tašnasmáyyan |
| 3 md | šansamōh | yašnวsmáyyan | yašansamáh | yašnวsmáyyan |
| 3 fd | šansamtōh | tašnasmáyyan | tašansamáh | tašnasmáyyan |
| 1cp | š2násmən | nəšnásman | nəšnēsam | nəšnásman |
| 2mp | šanásməkam | tašnásman | tašnásmam | tašnásman |
| 2 fp | šวnásməkan | tašnásmən | tašnásman | tašnásman |
| 3 mp | šanásmam | yašnásman | yวšnásmam | yašnásman |
| 3fp | šวnēsəm | təšnásmən | tašnásmən | tašnásman |

3 ms perfect base with object suffixes: šanasm-
Imperative: ${ }^{46} \mathrm{~ms}$ šənēsəm, fs šənēsəm, mp šənásmam, fp šənásmən

Future: ms mašnēsam, fs mašansamēta, md mašnásmi, fd mašansamēti, cp məšənsəmūtan

### 6.4.4 Š2-Stem Meaning

Johnstone (ML, p. lxiii), wrote that many verbs of the Š2 pattern have an implication of reciprocity, and this claim holds true. That is not to say that these are reciprocal verbs. For example, the verbs šanēwaṣ 'wrestle with' and šagēlas 'quarrel with' can be used with a singular subject and a direct object. However, the actions referred to (wrestling and quarreling) are reciprocal in nature. Likewise, šalēbəd means 'shoot back at' and takes a singular subject, but the implication is that shots are being fired in both directions. If these were true reciprocals, they would mean 'wrestle with one another' and 'shoot at one another', etc. This kind of explicit reciprocity is normally expressed with a TStem (see below, §6.5). Attested Š2-Stem verbs with implied reciprocity are:

> šādal 'bet s.o.'
> šadēyan 'borrow' (cf. D/L adyīn 'give credit; lend money'; Arabic Ct istadāna 'borrow')
$M L$ (p. lxiii) has the $1 / 2$ dual form šansámki, but I assume this is an error, since we do not expect a stressed vowel between the second and third root consonants. This needs confirmation. My suggested šanásmaki would likely be pronounced šanásamki. Likewise, I expect that the $2 \mathrm{mp} / 2 \mathrm{fp}$ forms would be pronounced with -əm- for -mə-. The only Š2-Stem imperative attested in the texts is ms šarēwag (56A:2), from a text that was not published by Stroomer.

```
šagēlas ‘quarrel with; tell s.o. off’ (cf. Tı gátlas ‘quarrel with one another')
šalēbวd 'hit, shoot back at' (cf. G awbūd 'hit, shoot')
šanēwaṣ 'wrestle with, struggle with' (cf. T2 antawūṣ 'wrestle with one
    another')
šarēwag 'consult with'
šaw \(\bar{\varepsilon} d\) 'arrange a meeting; promise' (cf. w \(\bar{\varepsilon} d\) 'appointment, promise')
šazēfar 'struggle with s.t.'
```

Other Š2 verbs have no implication of reciprocity, and must simply be considered lexical. Such are:
šagēmal 'take all of s.t.' (cf. G gamūl 'buy the whole of s.t.', gamlēt 'total') šhēwab 'think, figure'
šxārəg 'read; interpret' (cf. Arabic D xarraja 'interpret, deduce' and Ct istaxraja 'deduce')

As already mentioned, and as is clear from the lists of Š1- and Š2-Stems above, $\check{S}_{2}$ verbs are overall much less common than Ši-Stems. In fact, the $\check{S}_{2}$-Stem is the least common of the six triliteral derived stems.

### 6.5 T-Stems

Mehri possesses two derived verbal stems that are characterized by an infixed $t$, which is inserted between the first and second root consonants. Both T-Stems occur fairly frequently. The one which we will call $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ has the basic pattern Cát $C a C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The other stem, which we will call $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, has the basic pattern $\partial C t \partial C \bar{u} C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The T2-Stem, like the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ - and Š2-Stems, is characterized by a suffixed -zn on all imperfect forms. Johnstone (1975a: 13; ML, pp. xxi, xlvii) refers to both of the T-Stems as reflexives, but this designation covers just a minority of T-Stem verbs. Besides reflexives, we find reciprocals, passives, and a number of verbs without a clear derivational meaning.

### 6.5.1 $\quad T_{1}$-Stem Form

The T1-Stem is characterized by an infixed $t$, which is inserted between the first and second root consonants. It is distinguished from the T2-Stem by its vocalic patterns, and by the lack of the suffix - $\partial n$ in the imperfect forms (save the 2 fp and 3 fp ). The base pattern of the strong verb in the perfect is $C a ́ t C ə C$. If the second and third root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic, then the a in the second syllable of the perfect is lost phonemically, though epenthetic a
may be heard, e.g., watxf or wátxaf 'come (in the evening)'; see further on such verbs in § 7.2.12. Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the T1-Stem (ġátbor 'meet one another'):

| 1 CS | Perfect gátbarak | Imperfect ${ }^{47}$ <br> aġtabūr | Subjunctive <br> $l-\partial \dot{g} t i ̈ b a r$ | Conditional l-aġtábran |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | gátborək | tag̀tabūr | tağtībar | togtábran |
| 2 fs | gátbarəš | taġtabáyri | $t ə \dot{g} t i ̄ b a r^{48}$ | tağtábran |
| 3 ms | gátbar | yaġtabūr | yaġtïbar | yaġtábrən |
| 3 fs | $\dot{g} \mathrm{a}$ tbarōt | $t$ tog̀tabūr | taġtībar | tağtábrən |
| 1cd | ġátbarki | aġtabrōh | $l$-aġtabráh | l-aġtabráyyan |
| 2 C | gátbarki | təġtzbrōh | toġtabráh | taġtabráyyan |
| 3 md | ġatbarōh | yaġtabrōh | yaġtabráh | yaġtabráyyan |
| 3 bfd | ġatbartōh | tağtabrōh | tağtabráh | taġtabráyyan |
| 1cp | gátbaran | nəġtəbūr | nəğtībar | naġtábran |
| 2mp | ġátbarkam | taġtabir | tağtábram | tağtábran |
| 2bfp | ġátbarkan | tıġtabūrən | tağtábran | taġtábran |
| 3 mp | ġátbaram | yaġtabir | yağtábram | yȧgtábrən |
| 3fp | ġátbar | taġtabūrən | toğtábrən | togtábran |

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: ${ }^{49}$ g$\partial t b \partial r-$

Imperative: ms ġatībar, fs ġatībar, mp ġatábrəm, fp ğatábrən
 maġtabrūtan

47 If the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then there is normally no vowel after the infix $t$. So from the verb nátfaz 'cut one's foot', we find ics imperfect antfüz, though this may also be pronounced antafüz.
48 The 2fs subjunctive and fs imperative are distinguished from the ms in verbs whose third root consonant is $y$, e.g., g̈atáyr 'speak', fs ġətáyri (cf. 94:9, 94:10). It is likely that at least some other T -Stem verbs have $-i$ in the 2 fs subjunctive and imperfect, especially among younger speakers.
Because T1-Stems are generally not transitive, they are rarely found with object suffixes. One example from the texts is ratkyīs 'he read it' (85:19).
50 Only about ten T1-Stem futures are attested in the texts.
51 The paradigmatic md form in $M L(\mathrm{p}$. lxx) is the incorrect məntátziṭa (root $n f z$ ), a form

When the second root consonant is a sibilant, dental, or interdental ( $s, s, s, s, s ̣$, $\check{s}, \stackrel{s}{c}, t, t, d, \partial, \not \partial$, or $z)$, then the infix $t$ assimilates to that consonant in the perfect, for example, náț̣ab 'he dropped' < *nátṭab and fáṣṣ́zh 'he was embarrassed' < *fátṣ́ə h. For most of these same consonants, we see assimilation also in the imperfect (parallel to the assimilation we see in the T2-Stem perfect and subjunctive), e.g., yanatṭáwb 'he drops' (< *yanatṭūb < *yanṭatū̄ [or *yanatṭūb] < *yantoṭūb). ${ }^{52}$ With geminate roots (§7.2.11), we find a geminate $t$ in place of the second root consonant, e.g., háttam 'he was sad', not **hátmam (root hmm). More examples of these changes can be found in the next section.

### 6.5.2 Tı-Stem Meaning

A number of T1-Stems are reciprocals. Since reciprocals occur only in the dual and the plural, the 3 mp forms of the perfect are given below after the 3 ms singular forms. These "singular" forms are actually valid forms, since the 3 ms and $3 f p$ are identical for all verbs in the perfect. Such are:

```
báttzd (pl. báttzdzm) 'part from one another'53
gátlos (pl. gátzwszm) 'quarrel with one another'
gátbar (pl. gátbaram) 'meet one another' (cf. G g̀buūr 'meet s.o.')
gátrəb (pl. gátarbam) 'know one another' (cf. G g̀rū̄b 'know')
hátrab (pl. hátərbam) 'be at war with one another' (= T2 ahtərūb; cf. D/L
    (h) hōrrab 'be at war with s.o.'; Arabic tL tahāraba and Gt ihtaraba 'be
    at war with one another')
kátlot (pl. kátzwtam) 'talk to one another' (cf. G kalūtِ 'tell')
látbad (pl. látbadəm) 'fight with one another'54 (cf. G labūd 'hit, shoot')
láthak (pl. láthəəkzm) 'catch up to one another' (cf. G lahāâk 'catch up to,
    overtake'; Arabic tL talāhaqa 'catch up to one another')
láttag (pl. láttaġam) 'kill one another’ (cf. G lūtzġ ' kill')
tátan (pl. tátānəm) 'stab one another' (cf. G tạ̄n 'stab')
```

clearly mangled by a typesetter. Luckily, there is one md form attested in the texts, namely, mag̀tábəri 'we will meet' (94:43). This form was incorrectly parsed by Johnstone; see § 3.2.3, n. 9 .

See also § 2.1.8 and the comment to text 53:3.
The form báddad is given in $M L$ (s.v. $b d d$ ), but this is an error. The texts consistently have báttad (12:9; 12:13; 82:5; 94:47), as does the paradigm section of $M L$ (pp. xlviii-xlix).
In $M L$ (s.v. $l b d$ ), this verb is translated as a passive 'be hit', but in a passage like 12:12, the meaning is clearly reciprocal.

Others can be loosely classified as passives, intransitives, or reflexives, most often with a corresponding G-Stem:
fáttak 'be released, set free' (cf. G fakk 'release')
fátkah 'break in half (intrans.); be half gone’ (cf. D/L (f)fōkaḥ 'break in half (trans.)')
fáṣṣ́zh 'be embarrassed' (cf. G passive faṣ́āḥ 'be embarrassed', D/L
(f)fóṣạ̣ 'embarrass s.o.')
gátḥi (pl. gátḥəyzm) 'gather together (intrans.)' (always plural)
gátma (pl. gátmam) 'gather (intrans.)' (always plural; cf. G gūma 'gather
(trans.)'; Arabic tD tajamma'a and Gt ijtama'a 'gather, come together')

háttam 'be sad, be anxious' (cf. Arabic Gt ihtamma 'be grieved')
ḥátrak 'move (intrans.)' (= T2 ậtarūk; cf. D/L (ḥ)h̄̄rək 'move (trans.)';
Arabic tD taharraka 'move (intrans.)')
ḳátta 'be cut, be cut off' (cf. G ḳáwṭa 'cut, cut off'; Arabic tD taqatṭa'a 'be cut off')
káttal 'spill (intrans.)' (cf. G ḳall 'spill (trans.)')
kátlab 'change form, change into (intrans.)' (cf. G ḳalūb 'turn; turn into (another shape)')
kátməḩ̣ 'despair, be disappointed’ (cf. D/L akōməḥ ‘disappoint')
mátḥan 'be in trouble; be upset' (cf. G maḥān 'give s.o. bad news; disturb s.o.')
mátxak 'come out, be pulled out (said of a dagger)' (cf. G maxāk 'draw, pull out (a dagger)')
náț̣ab 'fall off, drop (intrans.)' (cf. H hanṭáwb 'drop (trans.), let fall')
ráttoṣ 'be pressed, be compressed; be crowded' (cf. G raṣ̣ 'press,
compress')
sátḥab 'crawl on one’s belly' (cf. G sḥāb 'drag')
wátka ' 'wake up (intrans.)' (cf. D/L awōḳa ’ 'wake up (trans.)')
wátx (a)f 'come (in the evening)'
xátlaf 'change (intrans.); be different' (cf. Gb xáyləf 'succeed, come
after; replace'; Arabic Gt ixtalafa 'be different, differ (intrans.)')
xátyab 'be disappointed, be frustrated' (= T2 axtayūb; cf. Arabic G xāba
and tD taxayyaba 'be disappointed, be frustrated')
Still others, including some transitive verbs, are probably best considered lexical, such as:

```
gátri 'speak' (can be reciprocal when used in the dual and plural)
hátraf 'move (trans. or intrans.), go away' (cf. G ḥərūf 'move, remove')
ḥátwag 'need' (cf. ḥōgət 'thing; need'; Arabic Gt iḥtāja ‘need') \({ }^{55}\)
ḳátnam 'collect fodder' (= D/L akōnəm and T2 aḳtənūm)
mátraḳ 'draw (a dagger)' (takes a d.o.)
mátwi 'have leisure time’
rátki 'read' (takes a d.o.)
śátwak 'miss, long for' (cf. H (ś)śawk 'light (on fire), burn (trans.)'; Arabic
    tD tašawwaqa and Gt ištāqa 'long for') \({ }^{56}\)
```

The verb śtztam 'buy' is historically a T-Stem of the root s'm (cf. G śōm 'sell'), but has come to behave completely as a Gb-Stem verb, as if from the root stm.

Finally, note that T1-Stems can correspond to an Arabic tD-Stem (Form V, tafa"ala), tL-Stem (Form VI, tafāáala), or Gt-Stem (Form VIII, ifta'ala).

### 6.5.3 T2-Stem Form

Like the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stem, the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem is characterized by an infixed $t$ between the first and second root consonants. The basic shape of the 3 ms perfect is $\partial C t \partial C \bar{u} C$, though the medial $a$ is normally lost if the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic. If the first root consonant is also voiceless and non-glottalic, then there are no further changes, e.g., aftkūr 'think, wonder'. Otherwise, the cluster resulting from the loss of the medial a is usually resolved by shifting the initial syllable from $\partial C$ - to Ca-, e.g., watxáwr 'stay behind, come late' (< *watxūr < *awtxūr). ${ }^{57}$

In the Tr-Stem, the infixed $t$ is adjacent to the second radical, where it is subject to regressive assimilation to dentals and interdentals. In the T2Stem, it is normally adjacent to the first radical, and is subject to a different assimilation. For example, while the infixed $t$ assimilates to the following $s$ in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ form kássi (< *kátsi), it does not assimilate to the preceding $s$ in the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ form astzyūr. There is, however, assimilation found with verbs whose second root consonant is $\underline{t}, \partial, d$, $s$, or $\underset{s}{\prime}$ (and perhaps others), in which case we again see a shift of the initial syllable from $\partial C$ - to Сə-, e.g., ḥəðдӣ 'be careful' (< *hətдӣr < *aḥtaðūr) and waddáwd 'divide tasks among one another' (< "watdáwd <

[^59]*awtadáwd)..$^{58}$ As mentioned in §6.5.1, the T1-Stem imperfect, which also has the underlying pattern $(C) \partial C t \partial C \bar{u} C$, shows the same assimilation and shift in syllable structure. See also the discussion in § 2.1.8.

We also see irregular assimilation of an initial root consonant $h$ in two T2Stem verbs, namely, attōma 'listen' (< *ahtōma, root hm') and the anomalous (t)taḳk ‘drink' (root hky). ${ }^{59}$

Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the T2-Stem (axtalūf 'differ, be different; disappoint, let s.o. down'):

| 1 Cs | Perfect axtaláfk | Imperfect axtalīfon | Subjunctive ${ }^{60}$ <br> l-axtalōf | Conditional <br> l-axtalīfon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ms | axtaláfk | taxtalīfon | taxtalöf | taxtalīfon |
| 2 fs | axtaláfš | toxtalīfan | taxtaláyfi | taxtalīfan |
| 3 ms | axtalūf | yaxtalîfon | yaxtalōf | yaxtalîfon |
| 3 fs | axtalfüt ${ }^{61}$ | toxtalīfan | taxtalōf | toxtalīfan |
| 1 cd | axtaláfki | axtalfáyyan | l-axtalfóh | l-axtalfáyyan |
| 2cd | axtaláfki | taxtalfáyyan | taxtalfáh | taxtalfáyyan |
| 3 md | axtalfōh | yaxtalfáyyan | yaxtalfáh | yaxtalfáyyan |
| 3 fd | axtalaftōh | taxtalfáyyan | taxtalfáh | taxtalfáyyan |
| 1cp | axtalōfan | noxtalīfon | naxtalōf | naxtalifan |
| 2mp | axtaläfkam | taxtalīfan | taxtalîf | taxtalīfan |
| 2fp | axtaláfkan | toxtalīfan | taxtalōfan | taxtalīfan |
| 3 mp | axtalîf | yaxtalîfon | yaxtalif | yaxtalîfon |
| 3 fp | axtalūf | toxtalīfan | taxtalōfan | taxtalīfan |

58 Regarding the consonant s, data in $M L$ are mixed and probably wrong in some places. We find hasṣáwr with assimilation (s.v. hẹr), but kotṣáwr (s.v. kṣr)—surely to be corrected to ḳaṣsáwr—and axtaṣáwṣ (s.v. xṣ̣̣). However, text 55:16 clearly has xaṣṣáwṣ. There is also mixed evidence for some of the other dentals and sibilants (e.g., $t$ ), at least some of which are likely also subject to assimilation. More data are needed.
59 On these verbs, see §7.2.14 and the discussion in Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017a).
6o That the subjunctive (and imperative) base has the vowel $\bar{o}$, and not $\bar{u}$ (as in $M L$, pp. livlix), is proven by forms like 3 fs tantakōl 'she should choose' ( $15: 21$ ). If the underlying vowel were $\bar{u}$, then this form would have a diphthong ( ${ }^{* *} \operatorname{tanta} k$ káw $l$ ), following the rule described in § 2.2.1. However, this ō reduces to á, e.g., toġtfónk 'she may cover her face for you' (38:13) and yaxtaráfs 'he may harvest it' (77:5).
61 The 3 fs perfect of the T2-Stem sometimes has the suffix $-\bar{o} t$.

3ms perfect base with object suffixes: ${ }^{62}$ axtalf-

Imperative: ms axtalōf, fs axtaláyfi, mp axtalīf, fp axtalōfan

Future: ms maxtalīf, fs maxtalfēta, md maxtalīfi, fd maxtalfēti, cp maxtalfütan

It should be noted that evidence for some forms of the T 2 -Stem is rather meager. For example, there are just two T 2 -Stem futures attested in the texts ( $36: 5$ and $56: 19$ ), and only five different imperative forms; see also n. 58 .

Like the H-Stem and Š1-Stem, the 1cp perfect has the vowel $\bar{o}$, while the 3 ms has $\bar{u}$. This is in contrast with the G-Stem, which has $\bar{u}$ in both forms, e.g., ahtawōlan 'we went crazy' (4:15) vs. G-Stem kafūdan 'we went down' (25:7). Curiously, unlike the other derived verbal stems, the base of the future is not identical with that of the subjunctive and imperative (see §7.1.6). ${ }^{63}$

### 6.5.4 T2-Stem Meaning

Roots found in the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem are most often also attested in the D/L-Stem, though the derivational relationship between the two is not always obvious. When there is a clear relationship, the T2-Stem is usually a passive of the D/LStem, less often a reflexive. Many T2-Stems are borrowings from the Arabic tD-Stem (Form V, tafa"ala) or tL-Stem (Form VI, tafä́ala), which have a similar relationship with the Arabic D- and L-Stems. Examples of T2-Stem verbs that are passives or reflexives of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem are:

```
watxáwr 'stay behind, come late' (cf. D/L awōxar 'postpone')
ātzlūm 'learn' (cf. D/L ōlam 'teach'; Arabic D 'allama 'teach', tD ta'allama
    'learn')
ātzwūr 'be hurt' (cf. D/L āwīr 'hurt (trans.)')
ātōśi 'have dinner, eat' (cf. D/L ōśi 'give dinner') 64
```

62 Because T1-Stems are generally not transitive, they are very rarely found with object suffixes. No examples occur in the texts.
63 This is the case also in Yemeni Mehri; see Bittner (1911:43-49). The paradigm in Jahn (1905: 93) is incorrect, and is contradicted by the data in Jahn (1902).

64 In ML and ḤL (s.v. 'syy), the Mehri D/L-Stem is given as 'āśí, but this is probably an error. The expected D/L-Stem is oośi, and this is the form listed in Jahn (1902: 166). If a $a s i ́ i$ is indeed correct, it would be from an earlier *eési, and it would be a rare D/L-Stem of the pattern that we see in the verb śéwar (see § 6.2.1). The Ḥarsusi cognate $\bar{a} s$, given in $M L$ and $H L$ (s.v. §'y) is from an earlier *éés, but in Ḥarsusi this is the regular pattern of the D/L-Stem.
abtərūk 'be blessed' (cf. D/L abōrək 'bless'; Arabic L bāraka 'bless', tD tabarraka and tL tabāraka 'be blessed')
ágtfün 'keep one’s face covered (of a woman); seclude oneself' (cf. D/L
$a \dot{g} \bar{f} \not \partial n$ 'cover (the face); keep a woman in seclusion before marriage')
ḩəððūr 'be careful, take care (not to); look out for' (cf. D/L (h)hōðəәr
'warn'; Arabic D ḥaððara 'warn' and tD taḥaððara 'be careful')
aktalūb 'be upset, be worried' (cf. D/L akōlab 'upset')
amthūl 'ease up, become easier' (cf. D/L amōhal 'ease, lighten') ${ }^{65}$
asthūl 'go safely, go with good fortune' (cf. D/L (s)sōhal 'bid farewell to')
awtalūm 'be prepared, be ready (of person or thing)' (cf. D/L awōlam
'prepare')
$x \partial s ̣ s a ́ w s ̣$ 'get one's due; be brought to nought' (cf. D/L (x)xṣáyṣ 'give s.o. his due; bring to nought' $)^{66}$

A number of T2-Stems are reciprocals, again often with a corresponding D/LStem verb. Since these reciprocals occur only in the dual and plural, the 3 mp forms of the perfect are given below after the singular forms. The forms of the 3 ms perfect are still valid ones, since they are identical to the 3 fp .
ahtōdi (pl. ahtádyam) 'divide amongst one another' (cf. D/L (h)hōdi 'divide, share out')
aḥtalūf (pl. aḥtalīf) 'make a pact/alliance with one another' (cf. Š2 šhālaf 'make an alliance with s.o.')
aḥtərūb (pl. aḥtərīb) 'be at war with one another' (= Tı háátrab; cf. D/L
(h)ḥōrab 'be at war with s.o.'; Arabic tL tahāaraba 'be at war with one another') ${ }^{67}$
antōwah (pl. antáwḥam) 'fight with one another'
artōwa (pl. artáwam) 'draw lots for portions' (cf. D/L arōwa 'divide s.t. into portions', ráwa 'lot, portion’)
artawūg (pl. artəwīg or artáwgam) 'plot against, make a plan (as a group)' (cf. D/L arwīg 'consult', T rátwag 'consult one another') ${ }^{68}$
waddáwd (pl. wadáwdəm) 'divide tasks among one another’ (cf. D/L awdīd 'assign tasks')

See the comment to text 29:5.
66 See the comment to text 55:16.
$67 M L$ lists only the T1-Stem hátrab, but the T2-Stem occurs in text 104:28. The T1-Stem occurs in text 104:29.
On the variant forms of the 3 mp perfect, see the comment on artáwgan in text 91:26.

Other T2-Stems must be considered lexical. Such are:
$\bar{a} t$ ķáwd 'believe' (cf. Arabic Gt i'taqada 'believe firmly')
$\bar{a} t \partial w \bar{u} \partial$ 'take refuge' (cf. D/L $\bar{a} w \bar{l} \partial$ 'warn'; Arabic tD ta'awwaða 'take refuge' $)^{69}$
ātzyūn 'betray’
abtōṣa 'make purchases' (cf. Arabic tD tabaḍḍa'a 'shop, purchase')
aftkūr 'think, wonder' (cf. D/L (f)fökar 'think'; Arabic tD tafakkara and Gt iftakara 'ponder, reflect')
aftarūg 'watch, look at' (cf. Arabic tD tafarraja 'watch')
aftōrah 'be excited, look forward to' (cf. Gb firaḥ 'be happy', D/L (f)fōrah 'make happy') ${ }^{70}$
aftarūḳ ‘dissipate, disperse (intrans.)' (cf. G farūḳ ‘distribute guests over various houses', T1 fátrak 'become separated'; Arabic tD tafarraqa and Gt iftaraqa 'disperse, become separated') ${ }^{71}$
aǵtfūk 'go astray (of women)'
a $\dot{t} t \partial r u \bar{b}$ 'be away from home, be abroad; go down (of the sun)' (cf.
ġráayb 'strange'; Arabic tD taġarraba 'be away from home, be abroad')
(t)takk 'drink' (cf. H (h)hakēh 'give water to')
əttōma 'listen to' (cf. G hūma 'hear'; Arabic Gt istama'a 'listen to')
aḥtawūl 'go crazy' (cf. Gb ḥáywal 'be crazy')
aktawūl 'panic, get upset'
ḳaddūm 'go before, precede’ (cf. G ḳadūm 'go before'; Arabic tD taqaddama 'go before, precede')
kathō’ 'drink coffee’ (cf. kazhwēt 'coffee'; Yemeni Arabic tQ tigahwa 'have coffee' $)^{72}$

[^60]70 The attested 3 ms imperfect form yaftárhan 'he was excited' (89:35) is the paradigmatic form for a T2-Stem with a root-final $h$ ( $M L$, p. lvii; see also $\S 2.2 .2$ and $\S 7.2 .9$ ). However, the attested ics imperfect form aftarị̄̂an 'I was happy' (89:21) looks like a paradigmatic T2 imperfect for a strong verb (i.e., as if from *əftarūh $)$.
In $M L$ (s.v. $f r k$ ), it is claimed that this T2-Stem verb is always plural, which is inaccurate; cf. the $3 f s$ perfect in text 98:1.
72 This verb, which is the only T2-Stem verb attested in the texts that is II-Guttural and III-w/y, is unusual in that the perfect and subjunctive have a final $-\bar{o}$, and not $-\bar{o} h$, like III-w/y verbs in the G-, H-, and Šı-Stems. Only with the Q-Stem agasrō' 'chat at night' do we also see a final $-\bar{o}$ '. But the pattern of $k ə t h \bar{o}^{\prime}$ does not look like a Q-Stem, and $M L$ lists an imperfect with a suffixed -zn, which can only be a T2-Stem form. See the comment to ktéhəwyam in text 48:29, and additional forms in texts 59:14 and 85:15.
aktōta 'be helpless (from hunger or thirst)' (cf. Arabic tD taqatṭa'a 'be at the end of one's resources, be helpless')
amtōni 'wish' (= Tı mátni; cf. Arabic tD tamannā 'wish, desire')
amtōra $\dot{g}$ 'roll around in the dust'
antzkáwl 'choose' (apparently = G nəḳáwl and D/L anōḳal 'choose')
astōmi 'shout one's tribal war-cry'
astawūd 'be blackened'73
astayūr 'defecate, go to the bathroom' (cf. G səyūr 'go', and the equivalent idiom sayūrk-ḥanōf- 'go to the bathroom' [lit. 'go with oneself'])
วṣtzyūd 'fish, go fishing' (cf. șayd 'fish'; Arabic tD taṣayyada and Gt iṣṭāda 'hunt, catch')
aśtaláwl 'wander aimlessly' (cf. G śall and Tı śáttal 'migrate, move')
awtəkūl 'rely on, trust' (cf. D/L awōkal 'entrust with'; Arabic D wakkala 'entrust' and tD tawakkala 'rely on, trust')
awtōṣ́a (also awtōṣi) 'perform ritual ablutions before prayer' (cf. Arabic tD tawaḍḍ'a 'perform ritual ablutions before prayer') ${ }^{74}$
axtalūf 'differ, be different; disappoint, let s.o. down' (Tı xátlof can also have the latter meaning; cf. Arabic tL taxālafa and Gt ixtalafa 'be different')
axtarūf 'gather, harvest (e.g., fruit, honey)' (cf. Gb xáyraf 'ripen, bloom') axtayūn 'have an illusion; create an illusion for s.o.'

A number of roots seem to occur with the same meaning in both of the T-Stems. Such are:

T1 hátrəb ~ T2 aḥtərūb 'be at war with o.a.' (cf. Arabic tD and Gt)
T1 háátrək~T2 aḥtarūk 'move (intrans.)' (cf. Arabic tD)
T1 ḳátnam~T2 aḳtanūm 'collect fodder'
T1 látḥəm ~ T2 altậáwm 'touch o.a.' (cf. Arabic Gt)
T1 mátni ~T2 amtōni ‘wish' (cf. Arabic tD)
T 1 xátlaf $\sim \mathrm{T} 2$ axtalūf 'disappoint s.o., let s.o. down'
T1 xátyab ~ T2 axtayūb 'be disappointed' (cf. Arabic tD)

Of course, it is very possible that we are misled by the brief definitions in $M L$ or the limited contexts in which these verbs occur, and that on closer inspection the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ verbs have different nuances. But assuming that the data are accurate for at least some of these pairs of verbs, we can explain

[^61]the identical meanings of the two stems quite easily. Most of these verbs are probably borrowed from Arabic, where the source verb is either a tD or a Gt. There is no rigid patterning for the borrowing of Arabic T-Stem verbs; we find some Arabic tD-, tL-, and Gt-Stems that correspond to Mehri T1-Stems, and some that correspond to Mehri T2-Stems. In these synonymous pairs, the verb was borrowed into both stems. In the case of hátrab ~ aḥtarūb, we see that already in standard Arabic this verb appears either in the tD- or Gt-Stems with the identical meaning.

### 6.6 Quadriliterals

Quadriliteral verbs are relatively rare in the texts, with the exception of the verb abárka 'run', which appears more than a dozen times. There are three types of quadriliterals: a basic quadriliteral type (Q-Stem), an N-Stem quadriliteral type (NQ-Stem), and an Š-Stem quadriliteral type (ŠQ-Stem). These will be discussed in turn below. Quinqueliterals (Qw- and Qy-Stems) will be discussed separately in §6.7.

### 6.6.1 Basic Quadriliterals (Q-Stems)

Most quadriliteral verbs belong to the Q-Stem, for which there are two characteristic patterns for the perfect stem of the strong verb: $(a) C_{1} a C_{2} C_{3} \partial C_{4}$ (true quadriliterals) and $(a) C_{1} a C_{2} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$ (reduplicated verbs). The prefixed $a$ - of both patterns is the same prefix that is found in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem, and is present in the same environments, namely when the initial root consonant is voiced or glottalic (§6.2). Like the D/L-Stem (and H-Stem), if the initial root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then that consonant is geminated; however, an initial geminate consonant is usually simplified in word-initial position. The conjugation of the perfect looks similar to the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem. Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 mp perfect |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q-Stem (true) | amárḥab | amárḥabam | 'welcome' |
| Q-Stem (redup.) | adámdəm | adámdəməm | 'grope' |
| D/L-Stem | arōkab | arákbəm | 'put on fire' |
| H-Stem | hakfüd | hakfid | 'let down' |

However, in the imperfect and subjunctive, the conjugation of Q-Stems mirrors more closely that of H - and Š1-Stems, though the Q-Stem retains the prefix vowel $a$ - like the D/L-Stem. Compare the following forms:

|  | 3ms impf. | 3mp impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q-Stem (true) | yamarháwb <br> (< *yamərhūb) | yamərháyb <br> (< *yamərḥ̄b) | yamárhab |$\quad$ 'welcome'

The Q-Stem verbs found in the texts are:
abárka 'run'75
adámdəm 'grope’ (= D/L admīm)
aġasrō' 'chat at night, chat all night' (cf. gasráwwan '(in) the early evening' $)^{76}$
(k)kárbal 'crawl on one's knees'
akálad (< *akál'əd) 'roll (trans.)' ${ }^{77}$
amárḥab 'welcome' (cf. Arabic Q marhaba 'welcome', denominative from marhaba 'welcome!')
amárkah 'tidy up; drink coffee'78
(t)tárðəт 'mumble'

75 The texts show that the 3ms imperfect is yabárḳa (e.g., 3:7), and not yabráka, as given in $M L$ (s.v. $b r k^{`}$ and p. lxix). See further in § 2.2.2 and §7.2.9.
76 See the comment on nagáásru in text 48:29.
77 See the comment to text 67:4.
78 Text 59 deals with a misunderstanding based on the two very different meanings of this verb in Omani Mehri ('tidy up') and Yemeni Mehri ('drink coffee').

For the true quadriliteral type, the second root consonant is nearly always a liquid or glide ( $r, l, w$, or $y$ ). The one exception in the above list is $a \dot{g} a s r o \overline{ }{ }^{\prime}$, which has a final weak consonant $w / y$.

### 6.6.2 $\quad N$-Stem Quadriliterals (NQ-Stems)

Quadriliteral roots can also be found in the NQ-Stem, with a prefixed morpheme $n$ - that precedes the first root consonant in all tenses. As in some Ethiopian Semitic languages (e.g., Ge‘ez), the N-Stem is not productive as a derivational stem in Mehri, but is found only with quadriliteral roots. N-Stem quadriliteral verbs can be of two types: $\partial n C_{1} \partial C_{2} C_{3} \bar{u} C_{4}$ (true quadriliteral) and $\partial n C_{1} \partial C_{2} C_{1} \bar{u} C_{2}$ (reduplicated). The N-Stem quadriliterals attested in the texts are:

```
anfadfūd 'have scabies, swellings' (cf. fadfid 'scabies, ringworm')
anḥaṭmūl 'be smashed'
ənkəwáwl 'have swollen testicles'79
anšarxáwf 'slip away, sneak away (intrans.)' (cf. Q šərxáwf 'sneak s.t. to
    s.o.')
```

The NQ-Stem is sometimes a passive or intransitive of a Q-Stem, as with anšarxáwf, above; for other examples, see $M L$ (s.v. $k r b t ̣$ and $k r f d$ ).

There is one non-quadriliteral N -Stem attested, namely, the future mankáyṭa (root $k t^{c}, 99: 28$ ). This is undoubtedly a direct borrowing of the Arabic N-Stem (Form VII) inqaṭa'a 'expire'. ${ }^{80} M L$ also includes a few NQw- and NQy-Stems, namely, anḩaṣīūub 'become smart' (s.v. ḥṣbb), ankafirūr 'pout' (s.v. kfrr), and anṣahēwū (w) '(camel) become fawn in color' (s.v. ṣhww). ${ }^{81}$

[^62]
### 6.6.3 Š-Stem Quadriliterals (ŠQ-Stems)

Quadriliteral roots can in rare cases be found in an ŠQ-Stem, with a prefixed morpheme $s \check{s}$-. The only such verb attested in the texts is:
šadárbəš 'call a camel by flapping one's lips' (cf. Q adárbəš 'flap one's lips
to make a camel come')

### 6.7 Quinqueliterals (Qw- and Qy-Stems)

All quinqueliteral verbs can really be taken from triliteral roots with an infixed $w$ or $y$ after the second root consonant, and a reduplicated final root consonant. I call these Qw- and Qy-Stems. Only two Qw-Stems are attested in the texts, one of which is the very common verb śxawtūl 'sit, stay'. Only a handful of Qy Stems are attested, all of which are rather rare. The basic patterns of the 3 ms perfect are $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \partial w C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$ and $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \partial y C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$, though the latter usually surfaces as $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$ (also $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \bar{e} C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$ or $\left.C_{1} \partial C_{2} a y C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}\right){ }^{83}$ The vowel a between the first and second root consonants disappears when they are both voiceless and non-glottalic, as in śxəztūl.

Unlike the Q-Stems treated in §6.6.1, Qw- and Qy-Stems have no prefixed $a$-, and the conjugation of all tenses mirrors that of the H-Stem. The complete conjugation of śxawlūl 'sit, stay' is as follows:

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 cs | śxawlēk (< *-álk) | aśxawlūl | $l$-aśxáwwal | l-aśxáwwalan |
| 2 ms | śxawlèk | (t)śxawtūl | (t)śxáwwal | (t)śxáwwalan |
| 2fs | śxawlēs | (t)śxawláyli | (t)śxáwwal | (t)śxáwwalan |
| 3 ms | śxəwtūl | yaśxawlūl | yaśxáwwal | yaśxáwwalan |
| 3 fs | śxawallūt | (t)śxəzwlūl | (t)śxáwwal | (t)śxáwwalan |
| 1 C | śxəwlēki | aśxawallōh | l-əśxəwláh | l-əśxawláyyan |
| 2cd | śxəwlēki | (t)śsxawallōh | (t)śxawláh | (t)śxawláyyan |
| 3 md | śxawallōh | yaśxawallōh | yaśxawláh | yaśxawláyyan |
| 3 fd | śxawallatōh | (t)śsxawallōh | (t)śxawláh | (t)śxawláyyan |

[^63]| 1cp | śxawlūlan | nəśxawlūl | naśxáwwal | naśxáwwalan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 mp | śxawlēkam | (t)śsxawtèl | (t)śxáwlam | (t)śxáwwalan |
| 2 fp | śxəwlēkan | (t)śxawlūlan | (t)śxáwlan | (t)śxáwwalan |
| 3 mp | śxawtīl | yaśxawlil | yวśxáwlam | yaśxáwwalan |
| 3fp | śxəwlūl | (t)śxawlūlan | (t)śxáwlan | (t)śxáwwalan |

Imperative: ms śxáwwal, fs śxáwwali, mp śxáwlam, fp śxáwlan

Future: ms maśxáwwal, fs məśxawlēta, md məśxáwwali, fd məśxəwlēti, cp məśxawlūtan

The only other Qw-Stem verb attested in Johnstone's texts is zaḥawlūl 'slide across (a surface)', attested just once in a poetic text not included in this volume, but published by Stroomer (text 79:8). Qy-Stem verbs found in the texts include:

```
āfirūr 'be(come) red' (cf. ōf\partialr 'red, brown')
 anh`ybūb 'low, shriek (of camels)'84
ṣaġīrūr or ṣagġayrūr 'scream, shriek'
anțīrūr 'flow (of blood)'
xamīlūl '(tears) run silently, well up,85
```

Among others in ML are:

```
awbīnūn 'become white' (< *labīnūn; cf. awbōn 'white')
ḥ\mp@code{wirūr 'turn black' (cf. ḥōwar 'black')}
```

The fact that several verbs of this type are derived from color words makes obvious the connection between this pattern and the Arabic stem if'alla (Form IX). This is not to say that the verbs are borrowed from Arabic.

As mentioned above, ML also includes several NQw- and NQy-Stems; see further in §6.6.2.

84 We could, in theory, call this an NQ-Stem of a root hybb, but because of the reduplicated final root consonant it makes more sense as a Qy-Stem of a root $n h ̣ b b$. The same can be said of anțīrūr.
85 This appears in Johnstone's text 79:1, not published in this volume, but included in Stroomer's edition.

## CHAPTER 7

## Verbs: Tenses and Forms

### 7.1 Verbal Tenses and Moods

### 7.1.1 Perfect

All perfects are formed by attaching the following suffixes to the appropriate verbal base:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | -k | -ki | -ən |
| 2 m | -k | $-k i$ | -kam |
| 2 f | $-s$ |  | -kan |
| 3 m | - | -ōh / -áh | -am/- |
| 3 f | $-\bar{u} t /-o ̄ t /-e \bar{e} t$ | -tōh / -táh | - |

Notes:

- The 1 cs and 2 ms perfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The 3 ms and 3 fp perfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The 1cd and $2 c d$ perfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- When the 3 mp form does not have the suffix -əm, it has an internal vowel change (ablaut) instead. As a general rule, this happens whenever the 3 ms form has the vowel $\bar{u}$ in the final syllable. The suffix $-\partial m$ is used more frequently in Yemeni Mehri.
- $3 m p$ forms with only ablaut (no suffix $-ə m$ ) have an underlying final -ə (< *$u$ ) when object suffixes are added. This is why we see no vowel reduction in a form like wazáwmham 'they gave them' (35:2, < *wazáwmə-həm), rather than **wazámham (< **wazáwm-ham). ${ }^{1}$

[^64]- The 3fs suffixes - $\bar{u} t$ and $-\bar{o} t$ are usually not free variants. ${ }^{2}$ The suffix $-\bar{o} t$ is found with Gb-Stems, T1-Stems, II-Guttural verbs, III-Guttural verbs, and IIw verbs. ${ }^{3}$
- The less common 3 fs suffix - $\bar{t} t$ and the 3 d suffixes - $\partial h$ and -táh are used only with G passives, D/L-Stems, Š2-Stems, and Q-Stems.
- The 3d suffixes -áh and -táh stem from an underlying -ēh and -tēh (<-ē and $-t \bar{e})$. On the shift of -ēh\# > áh\#, see § 2.2.4.
- The allomorphs of the dual suffixes, -ōh and -áh, likely both come from an earlier *-ay.

The basic use of the perfect is as a past tense, for example:

```
sayáwr tawōli agáayg 'they went to the man' (3:15)
hámakən 'did you hear?' (20:8)
hēt al matk lā 'didn't you die?' (20:69)
barwōt tēt 'the woman gave birth' (24:4)
mōn āmūr hūk 'who told you?' (36:27)
śxawlül, wa-šzmrūṣ' 'they stayed, and he fell ill' (48:6)
kaśś bin šàtzh w-abárḳa 'he flashed his buttocks to us and ran' (91:4)
wa-kōh al sayárš lā ‘why didn't you go?' (97:22)
káṣşm ḥarōhs 'they cut off her head' (97:52)
```

We also find the first person forms of the perfect—at least with the verbs sayūr 'go' and gahèm 'go'-used as an immediate future, for example:

[^65]hōh sayárk 'I'm off!' (56:16)
hōh gahémk 'I'm off!' (102:10)

The particle $\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w w a n ~ ' l e t ' s ~ g o ', ~ i f ~ i t ~ i s ~ i n ~ f a c t ~ a n ~ a r c h a i c ~ p e r f e c t ~ f o r m ~(s e e ~$ $\S 12.5 .11)$, reflects the same usage.

The perfect can also have a performative function, usually with verbs of swearing, but also with verbs that have a legal implication. Examples are:

```
hármak l-ād adūbah zōyad te al-mēt 'I swear I won’t collect honey ever again until I die' (77:2)
halēk lūk tšźmni 'I swear you will obey me’ (90:9)
wa-hōh śamk amandáwk w-amhazēm. wa-hōh śátmak ‘I (hereby) sell you the rifle and the cartridge-belt. And I (hereby) buy (it)' (39:6-7)
alhān faṣáwl am-manwīn hōh ḳáblak ‘whatever they decide between us I will accept' (77:4)
```

Related to this is the use of the perfect in potential oaths, as in:
xályak tētéi, al (t)ṭaym man hanīn śxōf 'may I divorce my wife [= I swear], you won't taste any milk from us' (35:5)

The perfect also appears regularly after a variety of particles, including the conditional particles hām, əðд, and $l \bar{u}$ (see $\S 13.4$ ), the temporal particles mət, $t \varepsilon$, and $h \bar{s} s$ (see $\S 13.5 \cdot 3$ ), and the subordinating conjunction ar wa- 'unless' (§12.5.4). The combination of the particle ber plus the perfect can sometimes be translated with a pluperfect (see $\S 12 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ ). On the perfect combined with the verbal prefix $\partial$-, see § 7.1.10.2.

### 7.1.2 Imperfect

All imperfects are formed by attaching a set of prefixes and suffixes to the appropriate verbal base. As discussed in Chapter 6, the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems are categorized by the addition of a suffix -ən on all imperfect forms. For this reason, it is convenient to divide the set of imperfect affixes into two types. The prefixes are identical for both sets. Following are the prefixes and suffixes used with $\mathrm{G}^{-}, \mathrm{H}-$, $\mathrm{S}_{1}-$, and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems, as well as all quadriliterals, and quinqueliterals:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | ә- | ว-...-ōh/-áh | $n-$ |
| 2 m | $t$ - | $t$-...-ōh/-áh | $t-\ldots(-\partial m)$ |
| 2 f | $t-(. .-i)$ |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y$ - | y-...-ōh/-áh | $y-\ldots(-\partial m)$ |
| 3f | $t$ - | $t-\ldots .-\bar{o} h /-\partial)^{\prime}$ | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

Following are the prefixes and suffixes used with D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | ว-...-ən | a-...-áyyan | $n-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 2 m | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ | t-...-áyyan | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 2 f | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y-\ldots-\partial n$ | y-...-áyyan | $y-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3f | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ | $t$-...áyyzn | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

Notes to both sets of affixes:

- 2 ms and $3_{3}$ fs imperfects are identical for every verb in the language. In the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems, as with a few weak verb types in other stems, the 2 fs is also identical to these two forms.
- $2 c d$ and 3 fd imperfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- 2 fp and 3 fp imperfects are identical for every verb in the language. In the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-, \check{S ̌}_{2-}$, and T 2 -Stems, the $2 \mathrm{~ms}, 3 \mathrm{fs}$, and 2 mp are also identical to these two forms.
- In the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$, $\mathrm{Š}_{2}-$, and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stems, the 3 ms and 3 mp imperfects are identical. ${ }^{4}$
- The 2fs form (except in the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems) often has ablaut in addition to the suffix -i. In the Ga-Stem (except for most weak verb types), we find only ablaut (and no suffix). When an object suffix is added, the suffix $-i$ is lost (see the discussion in §3.2.3).
- In the Gb-, G passive, $\mathrm{H}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems (except for some weak verb types), and for some weak verb types in the Ga-Stem, the 2 mp and 3 mp forms have ablaut in place of the suffix -әm.
- 2 mp and 3 mp forms with only ablaut (and no suffix -əm) have an underlying final -ə $\left(<{ }^{*}-u\right)$ when object suffixes are added. This is why we see no vowel reduction in forms like yakláwlah 'they pour it' (10:17, < *yakláwla-h), rather than **yaḳlálah (< **yakláwl-h), or tháymas 'you (mp) want her' (65:9, < *tháyma-s), rather than **thóms (< **tháym-s). ${ }^{5}$
- The prefix $t$ - is usually lost before certain consonants (see $\S$ 2.1.6).
- The imperfect dual suffix -áh (<-ēh; see § 2.2.4) is used only with G passives.
- The allomorphs of the dual suffixes, -ōh and -óh, likely both come from an earlier *-ay.
- The dual suffix -áyyzn, used with D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems, is made of a suffix -ay plus the imperfect suffix -ən. However, the sequence -áyan (syllabified -á/yon) would have a short vowel in a stressed open syllable, which is not allowed. Therefore, the whole suffix is realized -áyyan. ${ }^{6}$

The imperfect can, in various contexts, indicate almost any tense or aspect. It can be used as a general, habitual, or immediate present; a habitual past; a future; a present or past progressive; or a circumstantial complement. This suggests that the imperfect is basically an imperfective, indicating incomplete action. However, the imperfect can also function as a narrative past tense, with a clear perfective meaning.

Following are examples of the imperfect used as a general, habitual, or immediate present:

[^66]```
a gōrab axáyr mank ‘I know better than you’ (19:20)
śs̄wōt, hām sē rēḥak, tənōfa 'fire, if it is far away, is useful' (36:28)
mōn yasūkan bərk abáyt ðïmah 'who lives in this house?' (38:11)
ðōmah al yahūrak lā 'this (guy) doesn't steal' (47:14)
al akáwdar al-ttákk zōyad lā ‘I can’t drink any more’ (49:10)
ag̉arōyon yaxtalūf 'our language differs' (71:1)
hōh āgōb ba-ttēti, wə-sē tāgōb bay 'I love my wife, and she loves me'
(94:4)
\(k \bar{a} l\) śỹyan yatmōm wa-yaxlōf 'everything gets finished and is replaced'
(98:8)
```

Following are examples of the imperfect used as a past habitual, past continuous, or imperfective:
xəṭərāt ṭayt sēkan yasūkan bz-wōdi ‘once a community was living in a valley' (11:1)
sənnáwrət tḥəbūb ag̉áyg. yowázməs aśxōf wə-yalṭōf bīs 'the cat loved the man. He would give her milk and was kind to her' (15:10)
fanōhən nātəkáadən b-amśanyūtən 'we used to believe in medicine men' (25:17)
$k \bar{a} l$ sanēt tfayd yabitti trayt aw śhalīt 'every year she would redeem two or three camels' (32:13)
ḥəmbəráwtən sōbər yantáwḥən 'the boys would always fight' (50:1)
hōh al aḳáwdər l-ag̀táyr anglīz̄̄yat lā ‘I couldn’t speak English’ (62:7)
kādēt l-ād yašēnūs yakfēed arhạabēt lā ‘Kadet didn’t yet dare to go down to town' (64:8)
kāl āṣar yanōka ba-ṭāt 'every night he would bring one in' (64:9)
mət ḥaynīt falūk al-ḥiṭār, ahūrək amáws ðə-háybi w-asḥōṭ ḥiṭār 'whenever the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids' (89:3)

A future tense is most often indicated by a future (see § 7.1.6), but the imperfect can also be used as either a simple or habitual future, as in:
al ankálak lā 'we won't let you' (20:72)
$m \dot{g} o ̄ r a n ~ h o ̄ h ~ a s ̣ ́ a ́ t ̣ s ~ s ̌ a y ~ w-a h a ̄ r u ̄ s ~ b a y s ̌ ~ ' t h e n ~ I ~ w i l l ~ t a k e ~ y o u ~ w i t h ~ m e ~ a n d ~$ marry you' (24:19)
al ardūd lā ‘I won’t go back' (37:19)
 (40:17)
mət shēk đōməh, əwázmək am̌̌ēḡər 'when you have finished with this, I will give you the other' ( $55: 5$ )
$l-\bar{a} d$ adūbəh zōyad 'I won't collect honey ever again' (77:2 $)^{7}$
yaktalīt bay akabōyal, hām falátk 'the tribes will talk (badly) about me if I run away' (83:2)
hēt kannáwn, w-əl ahād yaśśnyวk lā ... al ahād yanūkəd lūk lā 'you are small, and no one will see you ... no one will fault you' (91:15)

It can also be used to indicate a future relative to a past tense (English 'would'), as in:
karōh táwyah bark dahhlill man hāl ahād yaśényah lā 'he hid his meat in a cave where no one would see it' (13:7)
gazámk l-äd ashōōt hitụār zōyad 'I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore' (89:10) ${ }^{8}$

In narrative contexts, the imperfect can sometimes be used as a simple past (perfective) tense. There are several dozen examples in the texts. Sometimes a perfect (or multiple perfects) will begin a narrative sequence, followed by one or more imperfects. But just as often, an imperfect is used as a narrative past tense without a preceding perfect. An imperfect used as a narrative past can also be followed by a perfect in the same sentence. Some examples are:
 husband got up, took out a sword, and killed the slave and the woman' (5:17)
yznōka yдəðbī, yzkbbássi, wz-bákzk 'a hornet came and stung me, and I cried' (25:4)
xtūl agáyg wa-siñi wē, wz-wzbdēh. yahəṣáwb aṣāwər ðə-fənw̄̄h, watənūtaś tzwōli aǵáyg, wa-tāwōr áynzh țayt 'the man went hunting and saw an ibex, and he shot at it. He hit the rock behind it, and (the bullet) ricocheted back to the man, and his one eye was blinded' ( $30: 8$ )
te nūka, yzġárbi, w-abárka tzwálys 'then when he came, he recognized me, and he ran towards me' (34:27)

[^67]te ba-ḥวlláy aġəyūg ber əð-šəwkīf, thūrəḳ məndáwk wə-təlūtəg ag̉ās 'then at night, when the men had fallen asleep, she stole a rifle and killed her brother' (64:30)
thháyw ba-kábś man đ̣ār saṭh, wa-bəkūt 'she let the lamb fall from the roof, and she cried' (75:23)
həmáyh əššaráyf wə-ġátyađ̣, wə-yzkáwfəl aktōb 'the holy man heard him and got angry, and he closed the book' (88:6)

The imperfect is also the most commonly met form in the apodosis of conditional sentences; see $\S 13.4$ for discussion and examples.

In Mehri, a past or present progressive, as well as a circumstantial, is usually indicated by the imperfect in combination with the verbal prefix $\partial$-, as discussed separately below (§7.1.1o.1). However, because the verbal prefix $\partial$ - does not normally occur before the prefix $t$-(i.e., the prefix of all second person and third feminine imperfects), what looks like a bare imperfect can sometimes serve to indicate a progressive or circumstantial. In reality, however, these are underlyingly imperfects with the prefix $\partial$-. An example is:

## kō hēt tabáyk ‘why are you crying?’ (5:1o) (tabáyk < *ð-təbáyk)

See § 7.1.10.1 for more examples.

### 7.1.3 Subjunctive

The subjunctive is constructed with nearly the same prefixes and suffixes that are used for the imperfect. The biggest differences are that the 1cs and 1cp forms have a prefix $l-$, and that the characteristic -ən of the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems imperfect is absent. The full set of affixes is:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | l- | l-...-д̀h/-ōh | $n-$ |
| 2 m | $t$ - | $t$-...-áh/-ōh | $t-\ldots(-\partial m)$ |
| 2 f | $t-(\ldots-i)$ |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y$ - | $y$-...-̇́h/-ōh | $y-\ldots(-\partial m)$ |
| 3 f | $t$ - | $t$-...-д́h/-ōh | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

## Notes:

- The ics and icd prefix $l$ - can be realized $l a-$ or $\partial l-.{ }^{9}$
- 2 ms and 3 fs subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language. For a small number of verb types (Š2-Stems and some Ti-Stems), 2 fs forms are also identical.
- $2 f p$ and 3 fp subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- 2 cd and $3_{3} \mathrm{fd}$ subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- Where the imperfect has the dual suffix -ōh or -áyyan (except for G passives), the subjunctive of most verb types has -áh (<-ēh; see § 2.2.4). Only with GStem ( Ga and Gb ) active verbs is the dual suffix $-\bar{o} h$ used in the subjunctive. Both -ōh and -áh likely come from an earlier *-ay.
- In the D/L-, H-, and Š1-Stems, 2fs forms normally have ablaut instead of the $-i$. In some others verb types, like the Gb- and T2-Stems, we find both ablaut and the suffix. In contemporary speech, speakers often simply add an $-i$ to the 2 ms form, where historically there was just ablaut. ${ }^{10}$ When an object suffix is added to a 2 fs form with the suffix $-i$, the $-i$ is dropped (see the discussion in §3.2.3).
- Some verbs (mainly Gb- and T2-Stems) have ablaut in place of the suffix -əm for the 2 mp and 3 mp forms. The forms with only ablaut (and no suffix -əm) have an underlying final $-\partial\left(<^{*}-u\right)$ when object suffixes are added. This is why we see no vowel reduction in forms like yasháyṭas 'they may kill her' (24:43, < *yasháyṭa-s), rather than **yasḥáṭs (< **yasháayt-s).
- The prefix $t$ - is usually lost before certain consonants (see § 2.1.6).

The verbal base to which these affixes attach is usually different than the base used for the imperfect. Only with Gb-Stems (including most G-Stem IIGuttural verbs; see $\S 7.2$.5 and $\S 7.2 .6$ ) and G passives are the imperfect and subjunctive forms not distinct. Since all 1cs and icd subjunctives are preceded by a particle $l$-, this means that even for verbs that do not distinguish the forms of the imperfect and subjunctive, the 1 cs and 1 cd forms are always distinct. For example, the Gb-Stem 3 ms form yā$m \bar{m} l$ (root ' $m l$ ) can be either imperfect or subjunctive, depending on context. But ics $\bar{a} m o \bar{l}$ can only be imperfect, and $l$ $\bar{a} m o ̄ l$ can only be subjunctive.

[^68]The subjunctive form can be used either independently or dependently, though the latter is far more common. When used independently in the third persons, it can express a number of things, including: 1. suggestion or obligation, equivalent to English 'should'; 2. a third person imperative, best translated with English 'let'; 3. a wish, like English 'may'; 4. uncertainty, like English 'might'. Examples are:

```
yakšēf al-hanáfah 'he should expose himself' (24:38)
\(k a ̄ l ~ \partial ə-y \partial h ̣ o ̄ m ~ x \partial d m e ̄ t ~ w a-m ə s k e ̄ n, ~ y \partial n k e ́ ' ~ ' w h o e v e r ~ w a n t s ~ w o r k ~ a n d ~ a ~\)
    dwelling-place, let him come!' (74:7)
šūk āmēl gīd ... ạhād yaháhrrak bah 'you have a good field ... someone
    should burn it' (91:9)
țāt yāká’ k-həbēr, wa-ṭāt y yāka k-hāráwn, wa-ṭāt yakfēd arḥəbēt ‘one should
    be with the camels, one should be with the goats, and one should go
    down to town' (102:1)
yahmámk śs lā ‘don’t worry [lit. let nothing concern you]!' (75:3)
abēli yabōrək būk 'may God bless you!' (33:5)
yวffárḥk abēli ba-xáyr 'may God make you happy with good things!'
    (57:13)
wádan lā mayt yonké' 'we don't know when it might come' (45:17)
```

Less often, we find a first or second person independent subjunctive, which likewise expresses suggestion, obligation, wishing, or uncertainty, as in:
hībōh l-āmōl 'what should I do?' (20:23)
hībōh al-kafēd man đ̣ār háyri 'why should I get down off my donkey?' (46:11)
al-frēh ba-ḥabráy 'let me rejoice in my son!' (90:13)
wádak mayt l-attáḳkah ... wádak hēśan l-āmōl hah ‘do you know when I should drink it? ... Do you know what I should do for it?' $(101: 8,10)$
ðə-ว́mlək tīl-ākạ́’ dənyı̄t ‘I think I may be pregnant' (101:18)

As a simple statement-that is, not in a direct or indirect question or an exclamation-a first person cohortative ('let me, let's' or 'I/we should') is normally expressed with the verb ḥōm 'want' plus a subjunctive verb (see §7.3.1). For cohortative 'let's go!', there is the particle gadéwwan (see § 12.5.11). Suggestion or obligation in the second person ('you should') is more often expressed with the particle tóww- (§ 12.5.19).

A subjunctive verb can also be used dependently, as the complement of another verb. By far the most frequently occurring verb that takes a verbal
complement is ḥōm 'want', on which see § 7.3. In translation, a subjunctive verb used as a verbal complement often corresponds to an English infinitive. The subjunctive can share a subject with the preceding verb, as in:
yašanðūr h-arḥamōn yaháðhab nēḥər ðōra' wa-nēḥar śxōf 'he vowed to God to flood (one) wadi with blood and (one) wadi with milk' (3:3) hē šawēd sékanah yankēhəm bād nahōri trayt 'he had promised his community that he would come back to them after two days' (32:5) al agंōrab l-aġtáyr arbáyyat lā ‘I didn't know how to speak Arabic' (34:18) al akáwdər l-əġtáyr lā 'I couldn’t speak' (40:26)
$\bar{a} z a ́ w m ~ y a h g e ̄ m a m ~ l i ̄ n ~ ' t h e y ~ i n t e n d e d ~ t o ~ a t t a c k ~ u s ' ~(60: 11) ~$
kādēt l-ād yašēnūs yakfféd arḥabēt lā ‘Kadet didn’t yet dare to go down to town' (64:8)
 night' (8o:6)
hōh sirōna al-šàkf 'I will go to sleep' (84:7)

Alternatively, and very often, the dependent subjunctive can have its own subject, which is the object of the preceding verb, as in:
talábk tīk taklēt lay 'I ask you to tell me' (20:38)
kalēyl-əbkéh ‘let me cry!' (22:19)
kalōna tīk tarfá' 'I will let you climb up' (53:4)
ḥōkam xaṣáwb ḥambaráwtan yahētamam hāl tēt 'the ruler sent the boys to spend the night with the woman' (74:13)
al yāgōb aḥād yāká' hīs tah lā 'he didn't like anyone to be like him' (76:11)
țəréhi al-nékš' 'let me have intercourse with you!' (99:46)
In all of the last six examples, the subject of the subjunctive is the direct object of the preceding verb; it can also be the indirect object, as the following examples show:

ḥōkam āmūr háyni al-syēr šīkan 'the ruler told me to sleep [lit. go] with you' (20:25)
ktabōna tawōli aǵáyg yanké" wa-yaṣ́ọt attéṫzh 'I'll write to the man to come and take his wife' (22:79)
tədōfa hə-gərīt tháwka səm bərk a'isée ð-ag̀ās 'she paid a servant-girl to put poison in her brother's food' (24:46)
mōn āmūr hūk tāmōl waṭōmah 'who told you to do like this?' (36:27)

The example above from 22:79 shows that a verb can govern more than one dependent subjunctive.

Some verbs require a preposition before their verbal complement, including ḥəðдūr (man) 'be careful, take care (not to)', xazōh (man) 'refuse', and faṣ́ạh (man) 'be shy, nervous, embarrassed.' ${ }^{11}$ Examples are:

ḥəððōr man ta $\partial$ lēm ḥābū ‘be careful not to be unjust to the people' (74:4) ab'áyr xazōh man yattákk hamōh the camel refused to drink up the water' (49:16)
faṣ́áḥk man l-aghōm tawōli bū ðərē ‘I am embarrassed to go to strange people' (94:2)

A similar construction is used with yaṣs (man) 'be afraid', which is treated in § 13.5.1.

A dependent subordinate verb can also occur after a non-verbal phrase, and in such cases is also equivalent to an English infinitive. Some non-verbal phrases that can be followed by a subjunctive are axáyrh-(var. xārh-) 'it's better for $X$ to,', ${ }^{12}$ and ayb l- 'it's a disgrace for $X$ to'. Examples are:
axáyr hīkam tankēm tīn 'it's better for you to come to us' (28:19)

al xār hūk taghōm šīham lā 'it's better for you not to go with them' (42:14) ayb līkam təntáwḥam sabēb ðд-ḥamōh 'it's a disgrace for you to fight because of water' ( $10: 6$ )

Compare the similar use of the subjunctive in the predicate of a non-verbal phrase:
anyétah ba-ḥəyśtəh yaḥkēm ḥābū ba-tִəyōb wa-mād 'his goal in his life was that he rule the people with goodness and intelligence' (67:1)

A dependent subjunctive can also indicate purpose. Sometimes these purpose clauses are best translated with English 'so that', while other times they are best translated with an infinitive, making them look identical to those cases where the subjunctive functions as a simple verbal complement. Some examples are:

[^69]anké $\partial w-b \bar{o} h l$-ərṣánk 'come here so that I can tie you up!' (24:26)
wazmáh mōh yattákk 'he gave him water to drink' (13:9)
kāl t tayt tantzḳōl gayg tšéffḷəh 'each one should choose a man to marry' (15:21)
səyūryวśné' asfōri 'he went to see the pots' (36:24)
Sometimes a purpose clause is indicated by a particle $t \varepsilon$ or $l$-agarē, both of which are followed by a subjunctive; on purpose clauses, see further in § 13.5.2.

The subjunctive is also used after a number of particles, including $l \bar{\varepsilon} z a m$ 'must' (§ 12.5.14), (a)ndōh 'let me!' (§ 12.5.17), tว́ww- 'ought to' (§ 12.5.19), wōgab 'it is proper that; ought to' (§12.5.20), yámkan 'perhaps' (§12.5.23), and the temporal conjunction $t \varepsilon$ 'until' ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$ ). On the use of the subjunctive in the apodosis of conditional sentences, see § 13.4.1.

The subjunctive can also be found in a few idiomatic expressions, such as following the particle $y \bar{a}$ rayt 'if only; would that!'. The subjunctive verb itself is the idiom in l-aḥme $\bar{d}$ 'how nice $X$ must/would be!' and yakl $\bar{e} l b$ - 'there is no damned $X!:^{13}$

```
wa-yā rayt l-əśné’ aḥād manhēm ‘would that I could see one of them!'
    (94:32)
\(l\)-aḥmēd aṣafaráts 'how her braids must be!’ (lit. 'let me praise her
    braids!') (85:7)
nēḥar maxtīb yaklēl bah ḳawt 'a barren wadi that there was no damned
    food in' (26:15)
```

Subjunctives can also be used as part of a threat, promise, or oath, at least when in the apodosis of a conditional sentence. For discussion and examples, see §7.1.10.3 and §13.4.1.

A subjunctive form of the verb wîka 'be' plus another verb in the perfect or imperfect, along with a preceding particle $\bar{a} d$, has the meaning 'perhaps', 'might be', or 'could it be that', usually introducing a question. See §7.1.9.1 and §12.5.1 for examples. The subjunctive is also used for the negative imperative, on which see § 7.1.5.

[^70]
### 7.1.4 Conditional

Conditional verbs, which are very restricted in their use, take the same set of prefixes as the subjunctive, including the prefix $l$ - for 1cs and 1cd forms. The suffixes are different however, since the conditional is characterized by the presence of the suffix $-\partial n$ on all forms. ${ }^{14}$ In those stems characterized by a suffixed -ən in the imperfect-namely, the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stems-the conditional is identical to the imperfect, except for the prefixed $l$ - of the 1cs and icd. In the other verbal stems, the conditional is formed by adding the suffix -ən to the subjunctive, with the result that conditional forms are distinct from the subjunctive, except, for most verbs, the 2 fp and 3 fp forms, since those subjunctive forms already end in -ən. In a few verb types, including all GbStems and weak G-Stems with a guttural as the second root consonant, the conditional is distinguished also by ablaut of the stem; cf. 3 fp subjunctive taghōman 'they go' (= imperfect) with 3 fp conditional taghïman.

Conditional forms occur primarily in the apodosis of unreal conditional sentences introduced by $l \bar{u}$ (see $\S 13 \cdot 4 \cdot 3$ ). All such examples from the texts are:
> lū ād śinək tah, akīrən l-ənfíh' 'if only I saw him again, I would want to be helpful to him' (43:9)
> lū al hē háywal lā, al yasháyṭan hạabátah lā' 'if he wasn't crazy, he would not have slaughtered his camel' (55:7)
> lū al ber lūtag arbōt manīn lā, al nawtēg̈an tah lā 'if only he had not already killed four of us, we would not have killed him' (83:7)
> lū amnēdəm yaháwsab alhān nəkōna tah b-aməstákbbəlah, al aḥād yākān yaškūf lā 'if man thought about all that will happen [lit. come] to him in his future, no one would sleep' (98:10) ${ }^{15}$
> lū hōh ḳōrək akáwt ... hībōh yāmaran ḥābū? ... al aḥād yāmēran, 'l-āzīz falān'lā 'if I had hidden the food ... what would the people say? ... No one would say, "Oh woe for so-and-so!"' (98:12)

The verb akirən 'I wish, would like', used only in this form, is likely a conditional of the (uncommon) verb kīwar 'love', despite the missing prefix l-. ${ }^{16}$ The form

[^71]occurs three times in the texts, once in the apodosis of an unreal conditional sentence (see the passage from 43:9 above), and twice on its own. The other passages are:
akīran béri hāl ḥāmáy 'I wish I was with my mother!' (42:23)
hōh ar akīrən l-āmēr, walākan aḳárdi tfátk lā ‘I would indeed like to sing, but my voice won't come out' (52:4)

Because the conditional in many cases does not have a distinct form (that is, it often has the same form as an imperfect or subjunctive), there are many places where a verb form may look like a conditional. For example, the form yakabaláyyan in text 94:41 could, in theory, be parsed as either a 3md imperfect or a 3 md conditional of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem $a k \bar{o} b a l$. However, since an imperfect is expected here, and there is no reason to expect a conditional, this form is surely an imperfect. Similarly, in 96:1, the form yatáxfan could be parsed either as a 3 ms subjunctive with a 1cp object suffix or as a 3 ms conditional. But given the context, the form is unquestionably the former.

### 7.1.5 Imperative

The imperative is conjugated for person and number. No dual imperatives are attested in the texts, and the plural is used in those few places where we might expect a dual (e.g., 74:22), so it is unclear if dual imperatives are used at all. The forms of the imperative are essentially those of the second person subjunctive forms minus the prefix $t$-, with the vowel $\partial$ added where necessary for pronunciation (e.g., 2 ms subjunctive taklēt 'you may tell' $\rightarrow$ ms imperative kalēt 'tell!'). Some examples are:
anké $\partial w$-bōh 'come here!' (1:4)
ontēr lay 'untie me!' (20:48)
akēēi ... w-āmēri hīsan aḥōm l-armēs hanīsən 'go ... and tell them I want to
chat with them!' (85:2)
śxáwwal báwmah ‘stay here!' (75:6)
kalēt̄i lay 'tell me!' (74:18)
kasạāṣam ḥərōhs 'cut off her head!' (97:52)
hวmé', ā ḥabráy 'listen, my son!' (22:77)
tīyant $t \bar{\imath}$ 'eat me!' (2:4)
$\bar{a} z e \bar{e} m a m$ tīn hōba mī 'give us seven hundred!' (60:5)
azámən habéryan 'give us our camels!' (32:21)
malēham mōh 'fill them with water!' (97:7)

As in the subjunctive, when a fs imperative form has the suffix $-i$, that suffix is dropped when an object suffix is added. However, there can still be a distinction between the masculine and feminine forms with an object suffix. Compare the following forms of the ms and fs imperative of the verb wazūm 'give', when object suffixes are added. ${ }^{17}$

|  | 'give!' (ms) | 'give!' (fs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no object | azēm (22:48) | $z z e ̄ m i ~(22: 60) ~$ |
| + 1cs object | azémi (34:15) | azámi (32:19) |
| + 1cp object | azémən (91:24) | azámən (32:21) |

Also as in the subjunctive, the mp form, if it does not have the suffix -əm, has an underlying final $-\partial\left(<^{*}-u\right)$ that is evident when suffixes are added. So we get forms like sḥáyṭas ( $24: 3$, < *sḥáyṭz-s) and sḥáyṭi ( $37: 23,<$ *shááṭa-y), with no vowel reduction.

As in almost all other Semitic languages, the imperative form is not used in a negative phrase. Instead, a negative command is expressed by a negative subjunctive. As with most other negative phrases, the negative particles are variable. We find $a l . . . l \bar{a}, l-\bar{a} d \ldots l \bar{a}$, or simply $l \bar{a}$ (see further in §13.2.1). Some examples are:
al taḍ̂ēeri bay lā 'don’t nag me!' (98:13)
al taḳtalōb bah lā ‘don't worry about it!' (71:3)
taḳtalōb lā ‘don’t worry!' (102:3)
taktawōl lā ‘don’t worry!' (19:11)
al tāṣōṣ lā 'don't be afraid!' (34:25) (but tāṣōṣ lā in 67:2)
al tabkī lā ‘don’t cry!' (75:23)
al taklēti al-háybi lā ‘don't tell father!' (89:18)
al taġtáyr ġarōy ḳōmə̣̣ lā ‘don’t use [lit. speak] bad language!' (90:15)

[^72]taklēm tah báwmah lā ‘don't leave him here!' (91:9)

Sometimes, because of the loss of the prefix $t$ - before certain consonants (see
 worry about him' ( $57: 8$ ) and $l-\bar{a} d(t) s a l a ́ b s ~ ‘ d o n ' t ~ w a i t ~ f o r ~ h e r ~ a n y m o r e ’ ~(94: 15) . ~$.

### 7.1.6 Future

The future is an integral part of the Mehri verbal system, functioning mainlybut not exclusively-as a simple future tense. It has been called the active participle in most previous literature on Mehri, but this designation is not very useful. It is true that the future can be traced to a historical active participle, and that it still declines as a noun, but it functions very much like a verb. ${ }^{18}$

For the forms of the future, there is a major division between those of the G-Stem and the derived stems, as is typical of participial forms in Semitic. In the G-Stem, the future has the following basic forms, which can vary slightly for verbs with "weak" root consonants: ${ }^{19}$

| ms | CəCCōna | md | CəCCōni | mp | CəCyēCa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fs | CəСC̄̄ta | fd | CəCCáwti | fp | CəCCūtən |

Note that the masculine plural form has an internal plural pattern. We find a number of differences in Yemeni Mehri dialects: the mp form has an external plural suffix -ēya (CəCCēya), the dual future has been lost, and, in at least one Yemeni Mehri dialect, the feminine plural apparently has the variant form CaCCátna. ${ }^{20}$

In all of the triliteral derived stems (D/L-Stem, H-Stem, T-Stems, and ŠStems) and with quadriliteral and quinqueliteral verbs ( $\mathrm{Q}-$, Qw -, and Qy Stems), the future is characterized by a prefixed $m(\partial)$. With the exception of T2-Stems (see $\S 6.5 \cdot 3$ ), the base of the derived-stem future is identical to that of the subjunctive (i.e., the 3 ms subjunctive form minus the prefix $y$-). The masculine singular future is simply the prefix $m(\partial)$-plus the base, while the other forms are made from the masculine singular plus the following endings:

[^73]\[

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{fs} & -\bar{e} t a & \mathrm{md} & -i & \mathrm{fd} & -\bar{e} t i^{21} & \mathrm{cp} & -\bar{u} t \partial n
\end{array}
$$
\]

Paradigm forms for the various stems can be found in Chapter 6. Note that for all derived-stem futures in Omani Mehri, there is no gender distinction in the plural, and that the common plural form uses the historical feminine plural suffix (§ 4.3.2). In most, but not all, Yemeni dialects, there are distinct masculine and feminine plural forms of the future for the derived stems. ${ }^{22}$

It is curious that gender distinction was lost in the plural of the derived stems, but not for the G-Stem future. The issue of the loss of gender distinction in the derived-stem future may be related to the same phenomenon in the adjectival system. That is to say, some Mehri adjectives also do not exhibit gender distinction in the plural, for no apparent reason (e.g., gīd 'good', cp gīyēd; see $\S 5.2$ ).

Of the approximately 350 attestations of the future in the texts (from about 125 different roots), nearly all are used with a straightforward future-tense function. A few representative examples are:
 agənnáy ḥśərōna arḥabēt 'the jinn will wipe out the town' (42:20) $h ̣ a ̄ b \bar{u}$ ankiys $\bar{\varepsilon}$... wa-skyēna báwmah 'people will come ... and they will settle here' (74:5)
wazmūtan tīk alhān thōm 'we'll give you all that you want' (1:5) hēt ḳənnáwn $w$-ādək al hēt mahāras lā 'you are a child and you won't marry yet' (8:8)
ṣāḳōna ḥōkam wa-mšáxbar tah 'I'll call the ruler and ask him' (20:6) maháwṣal tīk teţk'it will lead you to your wife' (37:15)

When the subject is pronominal, the pronoun can be, and very often is, omitted, as the above examples show. Person, therefore, must frequently be gleaned from context.

In rare cases, the future is best translated with a present, as in:

[^74]məwṣəyēta šūk b-anḳāt alyōməh ‘I advise you on these points' (90:15)
Given the basic future-tense meaning of the future, it is not at all surprising to find it used in the apodosis of real conditional sentences, though more often an imperfect is used in this context (see further in §13.4). Examples with the future are:
thōm xadmēt, āmlōna hūk '(if) you want work, I will make (it) for you' (86:2)
hām al nákak bīham lā, kaṣ̣̄ōna ḥarōhk 'if you don't bring them, I will cut off your head' (86:3)
hām al nákak bīs lā, sḥaṭōna tīk'if you don't bring her, I will kill you' (86:11)
əð hē káybal ... bagdōna tīham 'if he accepts ... I'll chase them out' (22:93)
In some cases, a future in the apodosis corresponds to an English future perfect, as in:
əдə hē səyūr šay, hē śanyōna təh 'if he slept with me, he will have seen it' (55:10)

One example is not technically part of a conditional, but can be considered the equivalent of an unreal conditional. It serves as the answer to an interrogative conditional sentence with a conditional form in the apodosis (yāmērən):
lū hōh ḳōrək akáwt ... hībōh yāmərən ḥābū? āmyēra, 'ġayg boxáyl wə-káwb' 'if I had hidden the food ... what would the people say? They would say, "A mean man and a dog!"' (98:12)

In a few places, we also find the future in the protasis of a real conditional sentence. One example is:
hām al sēn wardūtan lā, ḥōm al-háwrad habérye 'if they aren't going to go down to the water, I want to take my camels down to the water' (27:3)

For other examples of the future in conditional sentences, see § 13.4.1.
A very small number of future-tense forms retain a participial-adjectival function. One such adjective in the texts is mahákbal (from haḳbūl 'arrive, draw near'), which is found used circumstantially, as a progressive tense relative to
a past tense verb, and as an attributive adjective meaning 'coming; next.'. ${ }^{23}$ Another may be maxtīb 'barren, empty' (from xátyab 'be frustrated'), though this could be a passive participle (§7.1.8). Examples are:
nēhar maxtüb 'a barren wadi' (26:15)
hámam bah mahákbal līham 'they heard he was headed towards them' (32:6)
śanyōh akáwm mahákbal láhi 'they saw the raiding-party approaching them' (83:1)
šanð’́rk ð-al-háwfak akáỵ̛̆ amhákbal ‘'I promise that I'll pay you next summer [lit. the coming summer]' (39:16)

Watson (2012: 99) adds the example maháfga 'frightening'. There are also a few nouns that derive from the old active participle, e.g., mahis̈ən 'medicine man'.

### 7.1.7 Internal Passives

Internal passives occur in Mehri mainly in the G-Stem, but H-Stem passives also exist. There are about forty internal passive forms in the texts, all but one of which are G-Stem passives. Internal passives occur in the perfect, imperfect, subjunctive, and conditional, and both the perfect and imperfect forms can be preceded by the verbal particle $\partial$ - ( $\$ 7$ 7.1.10). There is no future tense form, but there is a passive participle, on which see $\S 7$ 7.1.8. See $\S 6$.1.2 for the full paradigm of a G-Stem passive verb, and § 6.3.2 on the H-Stem passive. Following are some of the attested passages with a G-Stem passive verb:
yámkan harēk ‘maybe it was stolen’ (23:4)
əð hē bah al-hīs aġəyūg, atēm tasḥáyṭ. w-əð hē al bah fēhal lā, hē yasḥōt 'if he has (a penis) like (other) men, you will be killed. And if he doesn't have a penis, he will be killed' (24:39)
$h o ̄ h$ ठ-əślōl 'I was being carried' (25:15)
ðə-xəwkāt báwməh 'she was born here' (38:12)
ber ḳabēr 'he is already buried' (40:10)
ðд-rṣánk h-arīsīt 'I've been tied up for the serpent' (42:17)
kosk ḥābū ðə-yarṣáyṣ ‘I found the people pressed together’ (53:3)
kādēt ber awtēg ‘Kadet has already been killed’ (64:29)
tāśōś lā, ar wa-sabṭāt ba-xatarāk ‘she won't wake up unless she is hit with a stick' (65:11)
kask tīs bark dahlïl ber ð-ātmēt 'I found it in a cave already bandaged up’ (81:3)
hāmáy wazmátham h hōṭr ba-háţrhวm ðə-shāt t 'my mother gave them a kid for their kid that was slaughtered' (89:5)
thōm tzwtōg 'do you want to be killed?' (94:25)
ksūt agányat ber ṭa ${ }^{2} n \bar{e} t$ t 'she found the sack (of grain) already ground' (97:16)
awtēg̀am manhēm tamanitt 'eight of them were killed' (104:29)

### 7.1. 8 Passive Participles

Passive participles do not seem to be very productive or common in Mehri, though the category does exist, even if neglected in most other grammatical treatments. Johnstone mentions in his $M L$ (p. xix) that Mehri has both active and passive participles, but fails to mention the passive participle in his sketch of MSA (1975a). Simeone-Senelle (1997; 2011) makes no mention of either participle in her sketches. There is some discussion of passive participles in Bittner (1911: 24-25) and only a brief mention in Watson (2012:49).
The basic forms of the passive participle have the following patterns for strong verbs:

```
ms məCCīC fs məCCəCūt 24
mp maCCōC (or maCáwCaC) fp maCCáCtən 25
```

This pattern is used not only for G-Stems, but also for H- and T-Stems. This fact was mentioned already by Bittner (1911: 24-25), and is supported by evidence from the texts.

Passive participles are used either as attributive or predicative adjectives. Some examples are:

```
yāmərzm masḥáyr 'they say (he is) bewitched' (7:9) (cf. G shār 'bewitch')
makōn magrráyb ba-lándan 'a famous place in London' (53:1) (cf. G ġrūb
    'know')
ba'áyr öfar, wa-maṣháyr al-harōh ... wz-mäšǐm hayyðēnah śáymal 'a red [or
    brown] camel, branded on the head ... and its left ear is cut off' (28:8)
    (cf. G şahār 'brand' and G āsūum 'cut off')
```

[^75]ṭāt mașwīb 'one was wounded' (64:6) (cf. H hawṣáwb 'hit (with a bullet)') anṣalāt magazzōt 'the blade was loosened' (64:19) (cf. G g gazz 'loosen')
anḥōm nədfēn amaláwtəg่ 'let's bury the dead' (64:26) (cf. G lūtag่ ‘kill') ${ }^{26}$ $k u ̄ s ə m$ aṣəfəráts māšəmūt 'they found her braid cut off' (75:15) ḡ̄d məḥmị̣̄ wə-mərdáy 'a flayed and discarded animal skin' (99:3) (cf. G
 rawēg̈ad ... maxláttan 'pregnant camels ... all mixed up' (104:4) (cf. G xalūt ' 'mix')

In one passage in the texts, a passive participle is used as a future passive, mirroring the use of the inherited active participle as the future tense:
hōh makṣáyb ag̉áți ‘I will have my head [lit. neck] cut off' (99:11)
Sometimes it is not so clear whether to classify these forms as passive participles or as lexicalized adjectives. Such is the case with mawșáyf 'famous' (76:1), which is clearly derivable from həwṣáwf 'describe', but has a somewhat different connotation than the literal past participle. More complicated is the word maśhīr 'famous' (e.g., 64:1; pl. maśhōr). This has the pattern of a passive participle, but the only related verb from this root is the intransitive T2-Stem aśthūr 'be famous'. So maśhīr does not seem to be a passive participle in the productive sense. Moreover, maśhïr is almost certainly an adaptation of the Arabic passive participle mašhūr.

There appears to be at least one passive participle of a different pattern, in the following passage:
> amāray đ̣ār assárf ḥáymal tawáy 'the grass on the right side was eaten' (23:18)

Here, təwáy is likely an adjective with a pattern that corresponds historically to a passive participle (e.g., the Ge'ez pattern $C \partial C \bar{u} C$ or the Aramaic $C \partial C \bar{\iota} C$ ). We also find the form $k t \bar{\iota} b$ 'written' (39:5), used in the fixed phrase hām $k t \bar{\imath} b$ 'if it is

26 In $M L O$ (p. 28), I suggested that this form derived from *malūta $\dot{g}<{ }^{*} m a l t \bar{u} \dot{g}$, but the fact that Jibbali has malźbtəg ghows that the element $a w$ is part of a broken plural pattern, not a diphthongized $\bar{u}$.
27 The word mahmiṣ̣ is given as a noun in $M L$ ('skin of a kid', s.v. hems), but likely has its origins as a past participle.
written (i.e., God willing)'. ${ }^{28}$ It is not clear if this is the same passive participle pattern as tawáy, or another pattern.

There are also a number of borrowed Arabic passive participles in the texts, including maḥámmal 'loaded' (3:11; Ar. muḥammal), makáddər 'preordained' (65:14; Ar. muqaddar), mēsūl 'responsible' (91:28; Ar. mas'ūl), and mahárram 'forbidden' (94:28; Ar. muḥarram). On matárrax 'famous', see the comment to text 88:13.

### 7.1.9 Compound Tenses

Compound tenses, in which a form of a verb meaning 'to be' (wīka or yakūn) is used as an auxiliary, are quite rare in the texts. The number of examples of these compound tenses is in most cases so small that solid conclusions cannot be made about their productivity. Some are likely calques of Arabic compound tenses. On the two verbs wīka and yakūn in general, see §13.1.1 and §13.1.2.

### 7.1.9.1 Compound Tenses with wîka

One of best attested compound tenses met in the texts (though still with just four attestations) consists of a subjunctive form of wīka 'be(come)' plus a perfect tense. This combination expresses a hypothetical or uncertainty that is past tense relative to the main verb in the sentence, i.e., a relative past subjunctive. The attested examples are:
$h \bar{h} h$ šaṣdóḳk lā yāḳá’ xatūs 'I don’t believe he could have gotten lost' (23:3) wa-hthámk tah yāká̉' šawṣáwb ag̉áṭu 'and I suspected he might have caught the implication' (82:4)
ag̈áyg šhēwab téṫəh tākáa' ber sīrūt man đ̣ār ḥamōh 'the man figured his wife would have already gone from by the water' (94:20)
mət nákan, tāk̄āy ber țạánš gányวt wə-bér mōləš azəyáwrət 'when we get back, you should have already ground the sack (of maize) and filled the jars' (97:7)

Once we find the subjunctive of wīka followed by the verb haom 'want'. In this context, the verb 'want' is the complement of the verb yaṣs 'be afraid', and as such is a subjunctive. Presumably, since the irregular verb hoom (§7.3) has no subjunctive, the subjunctive of wīka is used before it. The passage is:

28 This Mehri phrase was recorded already by Thomas in the narrative of his journey in Southern Arabia (1932: 103). He also recorded a version of this phrase in Baṭhari (1937: 274).

```
ðว-yásş̣ak tīs man tākạ́’ tḥōm tātyōn līn 'I am afraid that she might want to inform on us' (94:39)
```

Again just once, we find a conditional form of wīka followed by an imperfect. This is in the apodosis of a conditional sentence beginning with $l \bar{u}(\S 13 \cdot 4 \cdot 3)$ :
lū amnēdəm yaḥáwsab alhān nəkōna tah b-amastáḳbəlah, al aḥād yākān yaškūf lā 'if man thought about all that will happen [lit. come] to him in his future, no one would sleep' (98:10)

In 98:11, this same passage is repeated, though with the addition of the particle $\partial$ - before the final verb ( $\partial$-yaškūf). The use of the auxiliary here may add a habitual sense, that is, 'no one would be able to sleep (habitually)', as opposed to a simple conditional yašákfan 'no one would be able to fall asleep (on one occasion)'.

And once we find the combination of an imperfect form of wîka plus a perfect tense, indicating a past habitual of a verb with a stative meaning. The compound tense in this passage follows a simple imperfect with the same past habitual function, but of an active verb.

ḥáybi wa-háybak man zabōn yāfadōh man đ̣ār ðīməh, wa-yawḳōh ( (J-)ṣərōh bərk amsəyōl 'my father and your father used to jump from this (one), and land standing in the valley-bottom' (99:22)

In this case, yawḳōh is not an auxiliary, but rather the main verb, while ( $\partial-) s ̣ a r o ̄ h$ is a circumstantial.

In one passage, wīka plus an imperfect seems to indicate an ingressive 'began to':
məğāṭwə-wīka hē yanšárxəf 'he stretched out and began to slip away' (69:4)

A subjunctive of wîka plus a verb in the perfect or imperfect is used in conjunction with the particle $\bar{a} d$ (§12.5.1), giving the sense of 'might be' or 'could be', always in a question. There are four examples in the texts:

$\bar{a} d y \bar{a} k a a^{\prime}$ ar Әə-yдbáyd 'perhaps it was someone who was lying [or: lies]?' (57:11)

$\bar{a} d t a \bar{a} k \bar{a} y$ danyīt 'could you perhaps be pregnant?' (101:17)
The following table summarizes the attested uses of wīka in a compound tense:

| wīka | Main Verb | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subjunctive | perfect or imperfect | relative past subjunctive |
| subjunctive | hōm | subjunctive of hōm |
| conditional | imperfect | habitual conditional |
| imperfect <br> (as main verb) | б- + perfect <br> (as circumstantial) | past habitual of stative |
| perfect | imperfect | ingressive ('began to') |
| $\bar{a} d+$ subj. | perfect or imperfect | 'perhaps?', 'might be?', <br> 'could it be that?' |

### 7.1.9.2 Compound Tenses with yokūn

Compounds with the verb yakūn are very rare in the texts. Twice we find an imperfect form of $y \partial k u \bar{n}$ followed by an imperfect indicating a present progressive. The auxiliary does not have a clear function.
ðək tkūn təġtūri $k$-agənnáwnse 'it's just that she is conversing with her jinns' (65:11)
tōmar śātáyt ṭəwōr, w-aśháwd yzkáwn ðว-yวhámam 'she says (this) three times, and the witnesses are listening' (100:2)

It is very likely that ta $\dot{g} t u \bar{u} r i$ in $65: 11$, like the verb yahámam in 100:2, has an underlying prefixed $\partial$-, which is suppressed because of the prefix $t$ - (see § 2.1.7; § 7.1.10.1).

Twice we find yakūn plus a perfect, both times following a conditional clause, and both times indicating a future perfect. The examples are:
hām al nákak tīk ṣərōmah lā ... akūn ráddak tawōli hábye 'if I don't come back to you now ... I will have gone back to my parents' (94:17)
hām meśš āṣáwṣ́w-agalé’ bark agardīś, tkáyni ð-ātéśyaš 'if you expel the bones and the date-stones onto the ground, you will have eaten' (99:11)

A more literal translation of the compound tense would be 'it will be the case that' + past tense, e.g., 'it will be (the case that) I have gone back to my parents'.

### 7.1.1 $\quad$ The Verbal Prefix д-

Perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive verbs can all be preceded by the particle $\partial$-. This is to be distinguished synchronically from the relative pronoun $\partial-(\S 3.8 .1)$ and the genitive exponent $\partial$ - ( $\S 12.4$ ), though these all derive historically from the same source. ${ }^{29}$

### 7.1.10.1 $\quad \partial$ - + Imperfect

The combination of $\partial$ - plus the imperfect can indicate a past or present progressive, or a circumstantial clause. The verbal prefix $\partial$ - must have its origins in the relative pronoun $\partial$-. In fact, there are numerous passages in which $\partial$ - plus an imperfect verb can be interpreted as indicating either a relative clause or a circumstantial progressive. Consider the following examples, each with two possible translations:
káwla aġāh ðə-yəšawkūf 'he left his brother who was sleeping' or 'he left his brother sleeping' (17:3)
nūka ġayg ðə-yวsyūr bə-ḥáyḳ 'a man came who was walking on the shore' or 'a man came walking on the shore' (20:32)
$\bar{a} d$ fạtnak hēxar ðə-nkáyn ðə-yabáyk ‘do you still remember the old man who came to us who was crying?' or 'do you still remember the old man who came to us crying' (22:73)
hūma ṣayh əд-yōmər 'he heard a voice that was saying' or 'he heard a voice saying' (40:5)
hōh ġayg д-əǵgáwlaḳ mən yabīt 'I'm a man who is looking for a camel' or 'I'm a man looking for a camel' (63:4)
śīni bū mēkən ðд-yวwákbəm bayt ðə-tōgar 'he saw many people who were going into a rich man's house' or 'he saw many people going into a rich man's house' ( $65: 6$ )

[^76]From such contexts, relative $\partial$ - plus an imperfect must have been reinterpreted as simply a circumstantial, referring to either the subject or object of the main verb. And indeed, we find many cases of this construction used as a circumstantial, where a relative clause does not fit. Thus, indicating circumstantial clauses is one common function of the verbal prefix $\partial$-. Some examples are:
ag̉áyg radd təwōli sékənวh ðə-yวḳtōməһ̣ wə-ðə-yวxtəyūb 'the man returned to his settlement, despairing and disappointed' (12:14)
səyáwr ag̈ı̄gēn wz-ḥāmáh ðə-yabákyam 'the boy and his mother went
away crying' (36:14)
əśśniham ðə-yaġtáryam 'I saw them speaking' (40:24)
mayt hámak tı̄ $\partial$-ōmər 'when did you hear me singing?' (52:11)
ksátวh дว-yдxáwdəm 'she found him working' (59:6)
śxəwlūl ðə-yaftkīrən 'he sat down thinking' (65:2)
hámam təh ḥābū ðə-ya'yïtan 'the people heard him yelling' (77:2)

Now consider the following example, in which $\partial$ - plus an imperfect can be parsed as a relative clause, a circumstantial, or simply a main verb:
xəṭərāt ġayg ðə-yzghōm bə-ḥ̄̄rəm 'once there was a man who was walking on the road' or 'once there was a man walking on the road' or 'once a man was walking on the road' (46:1)

Most likely from contexts like the last example, the prefix $\partial$ - plus an imperfect came to indicate simply a progressive action, whether past or present. There are indeed many examples of this in the texts. Some of examples of $\partial$ - plus the imperfect indicating a present progressive are:
anḥāh ðว-nhวwrūd 'we are taking (our) animals to the water' (10:4)
hēxar ḥśaym, walākan ðə-yabáyk 'the man is respectable, but he's crying' (22:33)
ba-xáyr hē, wa-ðд-yaxáwdam 'he is fine, and is working' (57:8)
ḥābū ðə-yวṭáwf lah. wə-ḥábhe ðə-yวšxəb̄̄r 'the people are visiting him.
And his parents are asking' (65:7)
haวbūr ðә-yazyūd 'the cold is increasing' (84:4)
$\bar{a} d ə һ$ дд-yáwdəg 'is he still breast-feeding?' (101:15)

Even more common in the texts are examples of $\partial$ - plus the imperfect indicating a past progressive, some of which are:
ġəsūmən, wə-hōh ð-əślōl 'we set off at dawn, and I was being carried' (25:15)
hōh d-əbáyk, w-ənk'áy āskaráy 'I was crying, and a policeman came to me' (34:21)
ḥābū ðə-yaṣ́háyk mánah 'the people were laughing at him' (42:49)
nəḥāh ðə-nəsyūr bə-ḥōrəт 'we were going on the road' (46:15)
hōh ð-aghōm ba-ḥármi ‘I was going on my way’ (77:6)
hīs alyēk ðд-yəntáwḥən, hวwrūd házhe 'when [or: while] those guys fought, he brought his goats to the water' (61:6)
háybi ðə-yzbáyd būk 'father was lying to you' (89:23)
hīs ðə-yzḥáwfar, yaháyw đ̣áyrah baṭh 'when he was digging, dirt would fall on him' (48:17)

The final example above (48:17) illustrates nicely the difference between an imperfect with and without $\partial$-. In this sentence, $\partial \partial-y z h a ́ w f \partial r ~ i s ~ a ~ p a s t ~ p r o g r e s-~$ sive 'was digging' (i.e., 'was in the process of digging'), while the bare imperfect yzháyw is a past continuous or habitual 'would fall' or 'was falling (repeatedly)'.

As mentioned briefly above (§7.1.2), and as discussed in $\S$ 2.1.7, the verbal particle $\partial$ - normally does not occur before the prefix $t$-, i.e., before all second person and third feminine forms of the imperfect. It actually assimilates, but an initial geminate $t t$ is usually simplified to $t$. This means that what looks like a bare imperfect can indicate a circumstantial, or a present or past progressive. Really these are cases of $\partial$ - plus the imperfect, in which the prefix $\partial$ - is suppressed. Examples are:
man hēśzn taṣ́hōk 'what are you laughing at?' (5:4)
hīs sēn taġtáryan, hənīsən sannáwrət 'when they were talking, the cat was by them' (15:7)
kō tēm tháfram naxāli abáyti 'why are you digging under my house?'
(19:16)
hōh ber hámak tīk nahōr țayt tōmar 'I heard you one day singing' (52:10)
sīrūt hāāgrīt tabáyk 'the slave-girl left crying' (85:4)
sē taṣ́hōo 'she was laughing' (89:9)
ksūt ḥābū ðə-yəftərīgən wa-haynīt tənáhgən 'she found the men watching and the women dancing' (97:13)

In this last example, the phrases ḥābū ðə-yəftərīgən and ḥaynīt tənáḥgən should have the exact same structure, showing that the prefix $\partial$ - must be present underlyingly.

The geminate cluster resulting from the assimilated particle is usually heard following the conjunction wa-. When word-initial, it can also be pronounced with an epenthetic initial $\partial$. Examples are:
$k o ̄ h e ̄ t ə t-t \partial g \dot{g}$ áwlaḳ bay wə-t-təbáyk ‘why are you looking at me and crying?' (22:25)
ənkōt $\operatorname{arissit}$ ət-tənhōḳ 'the snake came roaring' (42:26)
hātzmūt ət-təgōla agənēd 'it spent the night gnawing on the branches' (42:30)


### 7.1.10.2 $\quad \partial$ - + Perfect

When used with a verb in the perfect tense, the prefix $\partial$ - has a variety of related functions. One use of the perfect with $\partial$ - is to indicate a circumstantial. While an imperfect with $\partial$ - can indicate a circumstantial referring to simultaneous action, the perfect with $\partial$ - indicates a circumstantial referring to an action that has taken place, or it indicates a circumstantial stative. Often such a circumstantial can be translated with an English perfect participle ('having done $X$...' or 'having become $X \ldots$...), even if this is somewhat awkward. This is illustrated in the following examples:
kūsa ḥáybəh ð-áywar 'he found his father blind [lit. having gone blind]' (24:50)
kūsam ḥabrūt ðə-ḥōkəm ðə-rṣanēt 'they found the daughter of the ruler tied up [lit. having been tied up]' (42:15)
wátxfək əð-gáyak 'I've come back hungry [lit. I came having become hungry]' (63:12)
kálak tīs sār abyūt alyēk ðว-šzwkfūt 'I left her behind those houses sleeping [lit. having fallen asleep]' (65:9)
ráddəm ðə-šanṣáyr 'they came back having been victorious' (69:8)
hātīm ðə-hazīn 'they spent the evening sad [lit. having become sad]' (74:14)
kask tīs bark daḥlīl ber $\partial$ - $\bar{a} t m e \bar{t} t$ 'I found it in a cave already bandaged up [lit. having been bandaged]' (81:3)
sīrūt ḍār ḥəmōh ðд-farḥōt 'she went to the water happy [lit. having become happy]' (94:23)

Present-tense (or relative present-tense) statives tend to be expressed in Mehri with $\partial$ - plus a perfect, as can be seen from several of the circumstantial examples above. So for example, phrases like 'I am/was hungry' and 'I am/was cold'
are expressed literally as 'I have/had become hungry' and 'I have/had become cold'. This is true also when used as the main verb in a clause. We could say then that the $\partial$ - prefix indicates what in English would be called a present perfect or pluperfect, but is used to indicate the present tense of Mehri stative verbs. Examples are:

```
hōh ðә-gálwak wa-ðд-hábrak 'I was sick and had chills' (18:2)
\partial-\partialhtzw\overline{\varepsilon}k aw hībōh 'have you gone [or: are you] crazy or what?' (20:5)
hèm дд-h.azin 'they were sad' (23:1)
hōh \partialд-yáṣฺak manš 'I am afraid of you' (54:19)
al hōh ðд-ḥtámk lā 'I'm not sure' (57:10)
hābū \partialð-tābam w\overline{l}y\partialn 'the people were very weary' (61:1)
hวmbəráwtวn əð-gáyam 'the boys were hungry' (84:7)
hōh \partialд-hándak 'I'm sleepy' (99:5) (but cf. hōh hándak, 84:9)
hōhðд-gáyak 'I'm hungry' (99:10)
```

The common verb gंarūb 'know' behaves as a stative verb when it comes to the use of $\partial$-, as can be seen in the following examples:

```
hēt ar ðə-g்arábk naḥāh wōgab līn nasḥōṭ hūk 'you surely know that it is
    obligatory for us to slaughter for you' (31:14)
hōh ðд-g்arábk tīk đ̣ār aṣáyga 'I know you are above the shelter' (64:29)
hōh ðə-ġaràbk ḥayálla t tāt ðд-yanké" báwmah ḥərfōna tīs 'I know that
    whoever comes here will move it' ( \(67: 5\) )
hōh ðд-ġarábk amānēh ‘I knew his intention’ (71:2)
hēt \(\partial \partial-\dot{g} \partial r a ́ b k\) tah 'you know it' (82:4)
```

We do also find the bare imperfect of $\dot{g} \partial r u ̄ b$ many times in the texts, e.g., $\dot{\operatorname{g}} \dot{o} \bar{r} \partial b$ axáyr monk ‘I know better than you' (19:20). It may be that the perfect with prefixed $\partial$ - has an aspectual nuance indicating knowledge at a particular moment, as opposed to general knowledge. Or perhaps the fact that an independent pronoun is used in all of these examples is relevant somehow.

Another verb that behaves like a stative is hānōh 'intend, mean', as in:

```
ðд-hānōh yaftēk 'he intends to leave' (57:8)
al hōh ðə-hānáyk awṭōməh lā! ... ar ðว-hānáyš hēśan ‘I didn’t mean (to do)
    thus ... then what did you mean?' (59:10-11)
əð-hānáyk al-syēr h-дḥād 'I intended to go to someone’ (77:5)
```

When used in the perfect, the verb áymal 'make, do' sometimes has the meaning 'think, believe, be of the opinion'. In the texts, this meaning occurs only
with the first person singular ( ${ }^{\prime}$ mlak). ${ }^{30}$ In a few cases, it is found in conjunction with the prefix $\partial$-:
kask śatráyr ... wa-ð-ว́mlək təh ð-ənsáy ‘I found a rag ... and I think it was a human's' (63:9)
ðд-ว́mlək tīs tháflət mən đ̣áyri ‘I think she will run away from me’ (94:22)
ðə-‘ómlək tīl-ākâ’ dənyı̄t 'I think I may be pregnant' (101:18)
For more on 'ámlək (and its shorter variant 'amk), see § 12.5.5.
With other verbs, $\partial$ - + perfect can indicate a present perfect, that is, a past action that still applies to the present, rather than a completed action. Examples are:
> ðд-ḥagárk harōm ðōməh 'I have been guarding this tree' (3:11)
> ənḥāh ðə-šaṣyōmən 'we’ve run short of milk' (28:14)
> hōh ðə-ฮ̣əlámk ‘I have been unjust’ (36:36)
> ðə-xtáwn ḥabániham 'they've circumcised their sons' (99:37)

In other contexts it can indicate a pluperfect, as in:
ð-áygab ba-ttēt dìmah 'he had fallen in love with this woman' (22:74)
hē ṣəbūr hīs, w-abēli ðд-fílóh mən xəyénts 'he was patient with her, and God had saved him from her betrayal' (24:52)
śaff anhōr ðákəməh ðə-mōt ġayg, wə-ðд-kəəbáwrəh 'it so happened that that day a man had died, and they had buried him' (54:7)
 that region' (63:1)
7.1.10.3 $\quad \partial$ - + Subjunctive

The verbal prefix $\partial$-appears before a subjunctive a handful of times in the texts. In each case the context is a threat or promise. Examples are:
hām ād hawrádk ḥamōh ðōmah zōyad, ðə-nวwtágk 'if you bring (your) animals to this water ever again, we will kill you' (10:9)

[^77]```
bsr gazūm hām al wákak k-hāráwn lā, ðд-yzsbáṭk'he swore that if you were not with the goats, he would hit you' (33:1)
hām ahād mənkēm karbáy, ðz-l-əwbádəh'if any of you come near me, I will shoot him' (47:11)
b-awághi, ð-al-azémk hənō̄fi by my honor [lit. face], I will give myself to you' (55:3)
kāl mankēm ðə-hátrək man amkōnəh ðə-l-awbádวh 'any one of you who moves from his place, I will shoot him' (64:31)
```

The prefix $\partial$ - here is idiomatic, and probably stems from the use of $\partial$ - as a complementizer, with an implied verb of promising or swearing. This is the only way to explain both the particle $\partial$ - and the use of a subjunctive. So, for example, a phrase like $\partial$-nawtágk 'we will kill you' in text 10:9 may stand for a longer phrase like šənðárk ðz-nawtágk 'I vow that we will kill you'. There are also a couple of examples of a subjunctive used this way without the prefix $\partial$ - (54:18; 99:34). This is not problematic, because the complementizer $\varnothing$ - is sometimes absent (see § 13.5.1.1).

The prefix $\partial$ - also appears before a subjunctive when $\partial$ - is part of the phrase $l$-agare $\bar{\varnothing}$ - 'so that; in order to'. See further in $\S 13.5 .2$.

### 7.2 Weak Verbs

The term "weak verb" refers to any verb whose conjugation differs from that of the basic paradigm of a particular stem (see Chapter 6) because of the presence of one or more particular root consonants that cause or have caused phonetic changes. Mehri, like all of the MSA languages, is particularly rich in weak verb types. In this section, I will provide an overview of the major weak verbs types and their characteristic features.

Following the conventions of Semitic linguistics, a Roman numeral is used to indicate the position of the root consonant. So, for example, I-w refers to roots in which $w$ is the first root consonant, while III-Guttural refers to roots in which the third root consonant is a guttural.

In not a few cases, data are rather limited, and so some of the conclusions below may only be tentative. Moreover, there exists variation in the conjugation of some of these verb types, particularly among younger speakers. So some of the data below may not reflect the usage of all speakers, even within Omani Mehri. Johnstone's $M L$ provides about fifty pages of verbal paradigms, covering almost all weak verb types; unfortunately, as noted elsewhere, there are many errors therein. Still, it remains a very useful reference for the conjugation of
many verb types. In the following sections I have pointed out some of these errors, but I have not addressed every exceptional or suspicious form contained in ML.

### 7.2.1 I-Guttural and I-Glottalic Verbs

Verbs whose initial root consonant is a guttural (other than ', ${ }^{\prime}, h$ ) or a glottalic are not weak, except for the fact that they are subject to the changes of $\bar{\imath}>a y$ in the Gb-Stem perfect, and $\bar{u}>a w$ in the Ga-Stem imperfect (see $\S 2.2 .1$ and $\S$ 2.2.2). I-h verbs behave as strong verbs, while I-' and I-‘ verbs are weak verbs (see §7.2.2). Compare:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagáwd | yabūgad | yabgēd |  |
| Ga I-Gutt. | $x \partial d \bar{u} m$ | $x ə$ dáwm | yวxáwdzm | $y a x d e ̄ m$ | 'work' |
| Ga I-Glott. | kabūr | kabáwr | yaḳáwbor | yzḳbēr | 'bury' |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tiol }}$, | tábram | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | 'break' |
| Gb I-Gutt. | háylam | hálmam | yahtōm | yaḥōm | 'dream' |
| Gb I-Glott. | káyrab | kárbam | $y \partial k r o ̄ b$ | yakrōb | 'approach' |

As discussed already in §2.2.1 and §2.2.2, I-Glottalic and I-Guttural verbs also sometimes have á where we expect á, as in the 3mp imperfects yzkáfdəm 'they go down' (58:6, for expected yakáfdəm), yað̣ábram 'they apologize' (61:7, for expected yađ̣ábrəm), yaxádmam 'they work' (67:3, for expected yaxádmam), and yaḥákram 'they were monopolizing' (70:1, for expected yaḥákram).

An exceptional I-Guttural verb is $\dot{g} a r \bar{u} b ~ ' k n o w ', ~ w h i c h ~ h a s ~ t h e ~ v o w e l ~ \bar{o} ~ i n ~ t h e ~$ imperfect, rather than $a w\left(<{ }^{*} \bar{u}\right) .{ }^{31}$ Other I-gे verbs, e.g., $\dot{g} a b \bar{u} r$ 'meet', behave as

[^78]other I-Gutturals, as do other I-Guttural, II-r verbs, e.g., ġərūu 'fetch' and herūf 'move'. Compare the following forms:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | $b a g \bar{d} d$ | yabūgad | yabágdəm | yabgēd |  |
| Ga I-Gutt. ( $\mathrm{I}-\dot{\mathrm{g}}$ ) | $\dot{g} \partial b \bar{u} r$ | yağáwbar | $y \partial \dot{g} a ̈ b r a m$ | $y \partial \dot{g} b \bar{e} r$ | 'meet' |
| Ga $\dot{g} r b$ | $\dot{g} \partial r u ̄ b$ | $y \partial \dot{g} o ̄ r a b$ | yagárbam | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ġgrēb | 'know' |
| Ga I-Gutt., II-r | herūf | yaḥáwraf | ya̧hárfam | $y z h r e \bar{f}$ | 'move' |

When the third root consonant is a guttural ( ${ }^{c}, h, \dot{g}$, or $x$ ) or $h$, in which case the 3 ms perfect has the base $C \bar{u} C ə G$ ( or $C \bar{u} C a$ for III-; see $\S 7.2 .9$ ), then I-Guttural and I-Glottalic verbs have the expected shift $\bar{u}>a w$. Verbs with a first and third guttural are very rare, however, and exist only when the third root consonant is '. I-Glottalic and III-Guttural is also a rather rare combination. Sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'forgive' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-Gutt. | sūmaḥ | sámḥam | yasūmaḥ | yasmēḥ |  |
| Ga I-Glott., III-Gutt. | ṭáwrah | tárham | yaṭáwrah | yzțrāh ${ }^{32}$ | 'leave' |
| Ga III-` & \(n u ̄ k a\) & nákam & yanōka & \(y \partial n k \hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\) & 'come' \\ \hline Ga I-Gutt., III-` | xáwda | xádam | $y \partial x \bar{o} d a$ | $y \partial x d \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 'dupe' |
| Gb I-Glott., III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | káwla | kálam | yzkōla | $y \partial k l^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 'leave' |

32 The Ga-Stem subjunctive of III-Guttural verbs can have either $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{e}$ (see §7.2.9). The $\bar{a}$ in $y \partial t r a ̄ h$ is because of the preceding glottalic $+r$ (see $\S 2.2 .3$ ).

In the Š2-Stem of I-Glottalic and I-Guttural roots, we find the expected shift of $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$ in the perfect and subjunctive, though examples are very rare.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Š2 strong | šhēwab | šháwbam | yวšnásman | yašhēwab |
| 'think' |  |  |  |  |
| Š2 I-Gutt. | šxxārag | šxárgam | yašxárgam | yašxārag |
| 'read' |  |  |  |  |

### 7.2.2 I-'and I-'Verbs

In the Ga-Stem, verbs whose first root consonant is 'are characterized by having a long $\bar{a}$ in the first syllable of the perfect, subjunctive (and hence imperative), and future, as a result of the shifts of ${ }^{*} \partial>\bar{a}$ and ${ }^{*} a^{c}>\bar{a}(\S 2.1 .3)$. In the imperfect, most I-‘ Ga-Stem verbs (a half dozen of which occur in the texts) have the 3 ms pattern $y$ áw $C ə C\left(<{ }^{*} y \partial \bar{u} C ə C\right.$, with the expected diphthongization [§ 2.2.2] and
 $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say' has instead 3ms yōmər, 3mp yāmaram (< *ya'ámram), with the same imperfect pattern as the I-Guttural verb gंarūb 'know'. ${ }^{33}$

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3fs perf. | 1cs perf. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | $b a g \bar{u} d$ | bagadūt | bagádk | 'chase' |
| Ga I-` | āšūm | āšวmūt | āšómk | 'cut' |
| Ga ${ }^{\prime} m r$ | $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ | āmərūt | āmórk | 'say' |
| Ga I-¢, II/III-IG | $\bar{a} k u \bar{s}$ | $\bar{a} k s u ̄ t$ | ākósk | 'mix' |

33 As with g̈arūb (see n. 31), the Jibbali cognate also behaves differently than other I-Guttural verbs; see $J L O$ ( $\$ 7.4 .2$ ). Also note that the root of this verb is ' $m r$ throughout MSA, though similar verbs elsewhere in Semitic have the root' $m r$. If the two roots are cognate, the sound correspondence is irregular; see Kogan (2015: 544).

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdam | yabgēd | bagdōna |
| Ga I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yáwšam | $y \overline{a ̄ s ̌ a m ə m ~}$ | $y \overline{a ̄ s ̌ e ̄ m ~}$ | āšmōna |
| Ga ${ }^{\prime} m r$ | yōmar | yāmərəm | $y \overline{a ̄ m e ̄ r ~}$ | āmrōna |
| Ga I-c, II/III-IG | yă̇ks | yȧáksam | $y a \bar{k} \bar{e}^{\text {s }}$ | $\bar{a} k s o ̄ n a$ |

For the verb $\bar{a} k \bar{u} s$ 'mix', listed in $M L$ (s.v. ' $k s$ ), the $3 m s$ imperfect is ya'öks (< *ya $\bar{u} k s$ ), with loss of a between the "idle glottis" root consonants (§2.1.2; $\S 7.2 .12$ ), reduction of $\bar{u}$ to á in the doubly-closed final syllable (§2.2), and preservation of 'before the stressed á (§ 2.1.3).

In the Gb-Stem of I-' verbs, we see the expected shift of $\bar{\iota}$ to ay in the 3 ms perfect (§2.2.2), and the ' is lost. As per the rules outlined in § 2.1.3, unstressed initial $\mathfrak{y}$ - shift to $\bar{a}$ ( 3 fs and 3 d perfect), but stressed initial ' $\partial$ - is retained (first and second person, and 3 mp perfect). In the imperfect, subjunctive, and future, Gb-Stems show the expected shift of $a^{c}>\bar{a}$ that we see also in Ga-Stems.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | tī̈ar | tabrōt | tıábram | ṫábrak |
| Gb I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | áymal | $\bar{a} m l o ̄ t$ | ámlam | 'ámlak ${ }^{34}$ |
| Gb I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | áygab | $\bar{a} g b o ̄ t$ | 'ágbam | 'ágbak |

34 This particular form has an irregular variant 'amk when it has the meaning 'I think'. See $\S 12.5 \cdot 5$ and the comments to texts $26: 6$ and 28:18.

|  | 3ms impf./subj. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf./subj}$. | ms fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | yathbir | tıarōna |
| Gb I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $y a ̄ m o ̄ l$ | yāmīl | āmlōna |
| Gb I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $y \bar{a} g o ̄ b$ | yāgīb | $\bar{a} g b o ̄ n a$ |

The retention of stressed initial $\boldsymbol{\succ}$-, as well as the shift of unstressed initial $\boldsymbol{\jmath}$ to $\bar{a}$, can also be seen in I-` geminate verbs. Compare:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G gem. | radd | raddūt | ráddəm | yordūd | yordēd | 'go back' |
| G gem., I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'aśś | āşśūt | 'ăśśam | $y a ̄ s u^{\prime} s$ | $y a \bar{s}$ és | 'get up' |

As for I-' verbs, none occur in the G-Stem in the texts. ML (s.v. 'sr) lists forms for one, wasūr 'hobble (an animal)', with an initial $w$ in both the perfect and imperfect, but the forms need to be verified. ${ }^{35}$

In the D/L-Stem, both I-' verbs and I-‘verbs occur, and they behave differently. For I-' verbs, ' is replaced with $w$, while for I-' verbs, the first root consonant is simply lost. For I-`, II-y verbs, which use a variant type D/L-Stem (see $\S 6.2 .1$ and $\S 7.2 .7$ ), ' is retained. Some sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | arōkab | arákbəm | yarákban | yarōkəb | 'put on fire' |
| D/L I- ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | awōðən | awáðməm | yawáðnən | yawōðən | 'call to prayer' |

$35 M L$ lists an Šı-Stem šawsūr, but we expect šāsūr for a I-' verb, like šāmūn. There may be some mixing with a root $w s r$, perhaps because there is already a verb šāsūr 'love' from the root 'sr. But in the Ga-Stem, if wasūr were from a root $w s r$, then we would expect a 3 ms imperfect $y \partial w u \bar{s} \partial r$, though $M L$ gives yawsūr. This is why the forms need to be checked.

| D/L I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\bar{o} z \partial r$ | $\bar{a} z r ə m$ | yāzarən | $y \overline{z o z}$ r | 'annoy' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L I-¢, II-y | a'yüt | a'yüţam | ya'yütan | ya'yüt | 'cry out' |

In the H - and Šı-Stems, I-‘ verbs show the expected shift $a^{c}>\bar{a}$ in the first syllable of the perfect and imperfect; likewise in all tenses in the T2-Stem. In the H - and $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem subjunctive, as well as in the Š2-Stem imperfect, we find the expected shift $\dot{a}^{c}>\bar{\varepsilon}$. In the Š2-Stem perfect and subjunctive we find the expected shift $\bar{e} \bar{e}$ $>\bar{a}$. Some representative forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | yzharkūb | yzhárkab |  |
| H I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $h a ̄ r u ̄ s$ | $y z h a ̄ r u ̄ s$ | $y \partial h \bar{r} r a s$ | 'marry' |
| Š1 strong | šanðūr | yวšanðūr | yวšánðวr | 'vow' |
| Ši I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | šāgūl | yašāgūl | yaš̌̄gal | 'hurry' |
| Š2 strong | šanēsam | yวšnásmən | yašnēsəm | 'sigh' |
| Š2 I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | šādal | yašēdalan | yašādal | 'bet' |
| T2 strong | axtalūf | yaxtalīfon | $y a x t a l o ̄ f$ | 'differ' |
| T2 I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ātalūm | yātalīman | yātzlōm | 'learn' |

No T1-Stem I-‘ verbs occur in the texts, though a few are listed in $M L$. The forms listed are generally as we would expect (e.g., 3 ms perfect $\bar{a} t C ə C$ ).

As for I-' verbs, ML includes one H-Stem, which does not occur in the texts, and a few $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems, two of which occur in the texts. In the Ši-Stem forms, the texts show the vowel $\bar{\varepsilon}$ in the perfect and imperfect. No subjunctive forms occur, but the ms future mašēman (56:14; 90:12) confirms the vowel $\bar{e}$ that appears in $M L$. The H-Stem forms below come from $M L$; the vowels of the perfect and imperfect are probably $\bar{\varepsilon}$, like the Š1-Stem.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | yaharkūb | yahárkab | 'mount' |
| H I-' | hēmūn | yzhēmūn | $y \partial h e ̄ m ə n^{36}$ | 'trust' |
| Ši I-' | šēnūs | $y \partial s ̌ \bar{E} n u \bar{s}$ | yašēnวs | 'dare' |
| Ši I-' | šēmūn | yošs̄mūn | yašēman | 'believe' |
| Šı strong | šənðūr | yวšənðūr | yдšánðər | 'vow' |

$M L$ (s.v. ' $x r$ ) lists T2- and Š2-Stems of the root ' $x r$, the forms of which have $w$ as the first root consonant, like in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Stem}$. We could probably just consider these from a root $w x r$ from a synchronic point of view.

### 7.2.3 I-w and I-y Verbs

Verbs whose first root consonant is $w$ are regular in the Ga-Stem, with the exception of the Ga subjunctive (and hence imperative) forms, in which the $w$ is lost. ${ }^{37}$ The prefix vowel of the I-w subjunctive (and imperative) shows variation between $\bar{a}$ and $\partial$. Compare:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. | ms impv. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | yabūgad | yabgēd | bagēd |
| Ga I-w | wazūm | yawūzam | yazēm/yāzēem | $\partial z e \bar{e} m / \bar{a} z e \bar{m} m$ |
| Ga I-w | 'gave' |  |  |  |

$36 M L$ (s.v. 'mn) actually has yahōmən, but the long vowel of the H-Stem subjunctive should match that of the Š1-Stem. I suspect an error in $M L$.
37 According to Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b), younger speakers produce a subjunctive with $w$ preserved (e.g., 3ms yowzēm 'he gives'), which is obviously on analogy with strong verbs (including I-l verbs).

Most Ga-Stems whose first root consonant is $l$ have a shift $l>w$ in the perfect (§ 2.1.5), e.g., $\partial w b \bar{u} d ~ ' h e ~ h i t ' ~(<~ * l a b u ̄ d), ~ b u t ~ d o ~ n o t ~ b e h a v e ~ l i k e ~ t r u e ~ I-w ~ v e r b s ; ~$ cf. 3ms subjunctive yowbēd (< "yalbēd).

In the Gb-, D/L-, H-, and Šis-Stems, I-w verbs generally follow the patterns of strong verbs. Some sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'break' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | tī̈ar | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ |  |
| Gb I-w | wīşal | yawṣōl | yawṣōl | 'arrive' |
| D/L strong | arōkəb | yarákban | yarōkab | 'put on fire' |
| D/L I-w | awōṣal | yawáṣlan | yawōṣal | 'bring' |
| H strong | harkūb | yzhərkūb | yzhárkab | 'mount' |
| H I-w | hawkūb | yahawkūb | yaháwkab | 'put in' |
| Šı strong | šanðūr | yzšznðūr | yдšánðər | 'vow' |
| Ši I-w | šawgūś | $y \partial s ̌(\partial w) g u ̄ s ́$ | yašáwgaś | 'go in evening' |

The Ši-Stem imperfect has a variant with the pattern $y_{a} C C \bar{u} C$, with loss of the root consonant $w$; cf. 3ms imperfect yašgūś (31:6), 3mp imperfect yašgı̄s (54:3), and 3 ms imperfect yaškūf 'he sleeps' (98:10, but yašzwkūf in 2:2). ${ }^{38}$ The H-Stem appears to have this variant as well, though evidence is slim; see the comment to text 30:8. I-w verbs that are also III-‘ have some distinct forms in others stems, most notably H-Stem; see further in §7.2.9.

The only I-w Š2-Stem attested in the texts is šaz $\bar{\varepsilon} d$ 'arrange a meeting; promise', which also happens to be the only II- ' Š2-Stem attested in the texts. The forms are weak because of the ${ }^{〔}$, which is lost, but the initial $w$ behaves as a strong consonant:

38 Johnstone noted this variation in $M L$ (p. lxi). For other examples, see the comments to texts 16:5 and 95:7.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'sigh' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | šanēsəm | šanásməm | yašnásman | yašnēsam |  |
| Š2 I-w, II-` | šวw $\bar{\varepsilon} d$ | šวw ${ }^{\text {che }}$ dəm | $y \partial s ̌ w \bar{\varepsilon} d \partial n$ |  | 'promise' |

I-w verbs also seem to be regular in the T2-Stem, though data from the texts are extremely scarce; one attested form is the future mowtzkil '(I'll) have faith' (36:5), which has the pattern of a strong T2-Stem.

In the T1-Stem, I-w verbs behave as strong verbs in the perfect, but the $w$ is lost in the imperfect and subjunctive:

|  | 3 ms perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj . | 'meet' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 strong | ğátbar | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b u ̄ r$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \stackrel{\text { İbar }}{ }$ | yagtábram |  |
| T1 I-w, II-Glott. |  | yatkáw | yatīkəd | yoták¢̣əm | 'wake up' |
| T1 I-w, II/III-IG | wátx(ə)f | yotxáwf | yotáxf | yotáxfam | 'come' |

There are no singular subjunctives or imperatives without suffixes in the texts, and $M L$ gives conflicting forms. For the 3 ms subjunctive of the verb wátkað

 long vowel, which is most likely $\bar{l}$, as in other T1-Stem subjunctives. The 3 ms subjunctive form (with a 1cp object suffix) yatáxfan (96:1) tells us nothing; because the final two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (see §7.2.12), we expect yatáxf from an underlying pattern yaCīCəC. ${ }^{39}$ Confirmation is needed that 3 ms subjunctive yatīkəð̣, ms imperative tīkað̣, and ms future matīkəðِ are the correct forms.

In short, I-w verbs show loss of the root consonant $w$ only in the Ga-Stem subjunctive and imperative; the T1-Stem imperfect, subjunctive, imperative, and future; and sometimes in the H - and Ši-Stem imperfect. Elsewhere, the consonant $w$ is strong.

39 Watson (2012: 263) lists the expected ms imperative taxf (taxf in her transcription).

Verbs whose first root consonant is $y$ are exceedingly rare; $M L$ lists only two such roots, $y s s s$ and $y t m$, and only the geminate Gb-Stem verb yaṣs 'be afraid' occurs in the texts. In the perfect yaṣ behaves like any other geminate verb, but in the imperfect and subjunctive it behaves like a Gb-Stem. It is, in fact, one of only two Gb-Stem geminate verbs (see §7.2.11). In the imperfect and subjunctive, $y$ is lost and the prefix vowel is lengthened.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'break' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tiol }}$ Or | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | yot ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ìr | $y \partial \underline{t} b o ̄ r$ |  |
| Gb gem., I-y | $y \partial s s^{\prime}$ | $y a ̄ s ̣ o ̄ s ̣$ | $y \overline{a s} \underline{S a ́ y s}^{40}$ | $y a \bar{s} o ̄ s ̣$ | 'be afraid' |
| Ga geminate | radd | yardūd | yzrdáwd | yardēd | 'go back' |

$M L$ (p. 462) lists H-Stems of both I-y roots, though they behave differently. Both roots lose the $y$, but $y s ̣ s$ has no subsequent vowel lengthening (unlike in the GStem imperfect and subjunctive). Because the H-Stem of $y$ tm has a long vowel in the initial syllable, it looks in the perfect (no imperfect or subjunctive is given) as if it were from a root 'tm or 'tm. Neither H-Stem I-y verb is attested in the texts, and so the forms need to be verified.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H geminate | haglūl | haglìl | yahaglūl | yzhággal | 'boil' |
| H I-y, gem. | hoṣáwṣ | haṣáyṣ | yzhəṣáwṣ ${ }^{41}$ | yzháyyaṣ | 'frighten' |
| H I-y | hātūm | hātīm | (unknown) | (unknown) | 'be orphaned' |
| H I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | hātūm | hātīm | yzhātūm | yahētam | 'spend night' |

[^79]
### 7.2.4 I-l, II-l, and III-l Verbs

Verbs that have $l$ as one of their root consonants do not really form a separate class of weak verbs, in that they follow the strong verb patterns of conjugation. However, since the consonant $l$ is subject to phonetic changes that can obscure the verbal pattern, verbs with $l$ could be considered weak. The effects of $l$ on verb forms have already been discussed in $\S$ 2.1.5, where numerous examples can be found.

One additional point to be mentioned concerns the form of the subjunctive of I-l verbs in the H - and Ši-Stems. By the rules outlined in § 2.1.5, the sequence álC in the subjunctive in these stems should shift to $\bar{\varepsilon} C$. However, the very limited evidence found in $M L$ (one subjunctive in each stem) suggests some variation. Compare the following forms:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | yzhərkūb | yahárkab | 'mount' |
| H I-1 | hawṣūk. | yahzwṣūk. | yaháwṣak | 'attach' |
| Š1 strong | šanðūr | yวšznðūr | yašánðar | 'vow' |
| Ši I-1 | š2wbūd | yašowbūd | yaš $¢ \square \partial d$ | 'be hit' |

If the subjunctive form yaháwṣak that is listed in $M L$ (s.v. lṣ̂.) is, in fact, correct, then it is probably an analogical form (as if from a root $w s ̣ k$ ).

There is also one verb form in which $l$ is lost unexpectedly, namely the GbStem ics perfect 'ámlak, which has the variant ' $\partial m k$ when it has the meaning 'I think'. See further in § $12.5 \cdot 5$ and in the comments to texts $26: 6$ and 28:18.

### 7.2.5 II-Guttural Verbs

Verbs whose second root consonant is one of the gutturals $\dot{g}, h, h$, or $x$ are distinctive in the G-Stem (on ' and ', see $\S 7.2 .6$ ). All verbs whose second root consonant is $\dot{g}, h$, or $x$, have the pattern $C ə C \bar{a} C$ in the perfect. ${ }^{42}$ Firstand second-person forms have the base CəCáC- (except 1cp, which retains the long vowel, as we expect: $C ə C \bar{a} C ə n)$. Verbs whose second root consonant

[^80]is $h$ follow this same pattern, except that the 3 ms perfect has the pattern $C a C \bar{e} C$, with $\bar{e}$ rather than $\bar{a}$, and with $C \partial C \varepsilon ́ C$ - (less often $C \partial C a ́ C$-) for the first and second persons. All II-Guttural verbs have - $\bar{t}$ in the 3 fs perfect and -əm in the 3 mp perfect, like Gb-Stems, and nearly all have the Gb-Stem 3 ms imperfect/subjunctive pattern yaCCōC. A very few II-Guttural verbs (including naḥāg 'dance’ and raḥāṣ́ 'wash') have Ga-type imperfects and subjunctives, except that the imperfect has the vowel $\bar{o}$, where the strong Ga-Stem has $\bar{u}$, and the subjunctive shows the expected shift of $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$ after the guttural. ${ }^{43}$

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 'break' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tïlor }}$ | $\underline{t}$ tabrōt | tábram | tábrak |  |
| Gb II-Gutt. | ṣ́zhāk |  | ş́əhākam | ṣa̧hák(a)k | 'laugh' |
| G II-h | gahēm | gahmōt | gəhēmam | gahémk | 'go' |
| Ga II-Gutt. | nəḥāg | naḥgōt | nəḥāgəm | nəḥágk | 'dance' |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagadūt | bagáwd | bágdək | 'chase' |


|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3fp impf. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | yotِ $b$ ir | tatbōron | yathoōr | yotbir |
| Gb II-Gutt. | yaş́hōk | yaş̣̣áyk | taṣ̂hōkən | yaş̣hōk | yaş̣háyk |
| G II-h | $y 2 \mathrm{ghōm}$ | yaghìm | taghōman | $y a g h o ̄ m$ | yaghīm |
| Ga II-Gutt. | yวnōḥวg | yənáhgam | tənáhgən | yznhāg | yənhāgam |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdam | tabágdan | yabgēd | yabgēdam |

43 These same II-Guttural verbs, as well as the Ga-type II-` verb $g \bar{a} r$ (see §7.2.6), are also exceptional in Jibbali, though in Jibbali they are more irregular compared to the Ga-Stem. See JLO (pp. 186-187).

Few II-Guttural verbs are attested in the D/L-Stem. At least two verbs (ang $\bar{a} l$ 'sweat' and the II-' verb $(s) s \bar{a} d$ 'help') belong to one of the rare D/L-Stem subtypes. And at least one II-h verb (azhīb 'dress up a woman in finery') is conjugated like one of the other rare subtypes, normally used for II-w/y and geminate roots. (On both of these subtypes, see § 6.2.1.) Other II-Guttural verbs seem to follow the pattern of strong verbs, e.g., amōhal 'ease, lighten', (f)fōham 'make understand', and (f)föxar 'pretty up s.o.', but these strong-type verbs (all from $M L$ ) need to be confirmed.

In the H-, Š-, and T-Stems, the only characteristic features of II-Guttural (IIh, II-g.g, and II-x) verbs are the sound changes $\bar{u}>a w$ and $\bar{\imath}>a y$ that we expect (§ 2.2.2). No II-Guttural Š2-Stems are attested in the texts, but the forms are as strong verbs. II-h verbs behave like strong verbs in all of these derived stems. Some sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | 1cp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | harkīb | harkōban | yaharkūb | 'mount' |
| H II-Gutt. | habġáwṣ | habġáyṣ́ | habjōọsən | yahabġáwṣ | 'hate' |
| H II-h | habhūl | habhil | habhōlan | yahabhūl | 'cook' |
| Š1 strong | šanðūr | šznðìr | šanðōran | yzšznðūr | 'vow' |
| Ši II-Gutt. | šznḥáwr | šonḥáyr | šanḥōron | yzšənḥáwr | 'complain' |
| Š1 II-h | šadhūk. | šadhīk | šadhōḳan | yzšadhūk. | 'look down' |
| Ti strong | ġátbar | ğátbəram | ġátbaran | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b u ̄ r$ | 'meet' |
| Ti II-Gutt | mátḥon | mátḥənəm | mátḥənən | yomtaháwn | 'be in trouble' |
| T2 strong | axtalūf | axtalief | axtalōfan | yaxtalīfon | 'differ' |
| T2 II-Gutt | watxáwr | watxáyr | watxōran | yowtoxáyran | 'come late' |
| T2 II-h | amthūl | amthil | amthōlan | yamthïlan | 'ease up' |

### 7.2.6 II-' and II-'Verbs

Verbs whose second root consonant is ' or ' form a special class of II-Guttural verbs, different enough to merit separate treatment. In the G-Stem, verbs that are II-‘ behave like other II-Guttural verbs in that their forms are based on the pattern $C \partial C \bar{a} C$ for the 3 ms perfect, and most have a Gb-type imperfect and subjunctive with $3 \mathrm{~ms} y_{\partial} C C \bar{o} C$. The difference is that the ' is lost, resulting in a monosyllabic base $C \bar{a} C$ for the perfect and 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive form yaCōC.

Two exceptions are the verbs $g \bar{a} r$ 'fall' and $b \bar{a} r$ 'go at night', which happen to be the only II-` verbs with $r$ as the third root consonant. Like Ga-Stems, these two verbs have distinct imperfect and subjunctive forms. ${ }^{44}$ Both verbs have a subjunctive with $\bar{a}$, namely, 3ms yagār and yabār, parallel to the verbs nə $\underset{h}{ } \bar{a} g$ and $r a h ̣ a s ̣$ that were discussed in §7.2.5. We expect $\bar{o}$ in the imperfect (cf. yanōhag), but instead we find 3 ms yagáwr (< *yag'ūr < *yagū́rr?) and yabáwr, though only the latter verb is attested in the texts in the imperfect. ${ }^{45}$ Other sources, including $M L$, offer conflicting data. ${ }^{46}$ All II-‘ G-Stems have a 3 fs perfect ending in -ōt.

As for verbs whose middle root consonant is ' (of which there are very few), they behave like II-‘ verbs, except that they have the base $C \bar{o} C$ in the perfect. Some examples are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { till }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | tabrōt | tábram | ṫábrak |
| Gb II-Gutt. | ṣ́zhāk | şah heōt | ṣ́zhāākəm | şa̧hák(a)k |

44 Strangely, it is the verb $g \bar{a} r$, which goes against the pattern of nearly all other G-Stem II-‘ verbs, that Johnstone chose for his paradigms in ML (pp. xxv-xxvi). Morris (2012: 484) has suggested that the root is $g^{\prime} r$, but this cannot be: It does not behave like a II-' verb, and the root $g^{\prime} r$ 'fall' is attested Jibbali (with 'preserved). Moreover, there are the parallel subjunctive forms of $b \bar{a} r$, whose root is certainly $b$ 'r.
$M L$ (s.v. $b^{\prime} r$ ) gives yabōr for the 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive, but in the texts we find 1cp impf. nabáwr (82:1), 3ms subj. yabār (69:4), 1cs subj. al-bār (80:6), and fs impv. bāri (97:11).
46 Sabrina Bendjaballah confirmed yagáwr in her fieldwork (and kindly shared with me the audio proof), but found bār to behave like other II-` verbs, with yabōr for both imperfect and subjunctive. Jahn's Yemeni texts also have imperfect yabōr (1902: 3, lines 23 and 26), and in his lexicon he lists imperfect yagōr (1902: 180).

| Gb II- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $s$ sāk. | şākōt | șākom | şák(a)k | 'call out' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb II-' | śōm | śāmōt | śōmam | śamk | 'sell' |
| Ga strong | bogūd | bagadūt | bagáwd | bagádk | 'chase' |
| Ga II-Gutt. | $n ə h a ̄ g$ | naḥgōt | nahāāam | nahágk | 'dance' |
| Ga $g^{\prime} r$ | $g \bar{a} r$ | $g a ̄ r o ̄ t$ | gārom | gark | 'fall' |
| Ga $b^{\prime} r$ | $b \bar{a} r$ | $b \bar{a} r o ̄ t$ | bārəm | bark | 'go at night' |

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $y \partial \underline{t} b o ̄ r$ | yotaitr | $y \partial \underline{t} b o ̄ r$ | tabrōna |
| II-Gutt. | yaş̣hōk | yaṣháyk | yaş̣hōk | şąḥkōna |
| Gb II-` | $y \partial s$ ōk | yaṣáy | $y \partial s$ ōk | şākōna |
| Gb II- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | yosom | yasiom | yวśōm | śēmōna |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdam | yabgēd | bagdōna |
| Ga II-Gutt. | yanōḥag | yənáhgam | yənhāa | naḥgōna |
| Ga $g^{\prime} r$ | yagáwr | yagáwram | $y \partial g \bar{a} r$ | gārōna |
| Ga $b^{\prime} r$ | yabáwr | yabáwram | $y a b a \bar{r}$ | bārōna |

In the G internal passive of II-' verbs, the second root consonant appears as $w$, at least according to the single form we find in the texts (3ms imperfect yaswōl); see further in the comment to text 4:1. Recall that ' is often replaced by $w$ in derived-stem forms of I-’ roots (§7.2.2). On II-^, III-w/y G-Stem verbs like rōh 'herd', see the end of § 7.2.10.

No II-' verbs are attested outside of the G-Stem, with the exception of śitzom
'buy'. And though this verb is historically a Ti-Stem of the root s'm, it conjugates as if it were a Gb-Stem of the root śtm.

The only II-‘ $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem is the verb $(s) s \bar{a} d$ 'help', which is conjugated in one of the rare D/L-Stem subtypes. See further in § 6.2.1 and § 7.2.5.

In the H-Stem, II-‘ verbs also show the loss of ${ }^{\text {', }}$, as well as the expected shifts of $\bar{u}$ to $a w$ and $\bar{\iota}$ to ay found with all II-Gutturals (§ 2.2.2). So in the perfect, we find 3 ms (ha)CáwC < *(ha)C'áwC < *(ha)C' $\bar{u} C$. In the subjunctive, we find the regular shift of the unstressed sequence * $\partial>\bar{a}$ (or $a$ in a closed, post-tonic syllable), e.g., 3ms yzhábar < *yəháb‘ər, and 3mp yəhábārəm < *yaháb̊ərəm:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H strong | harkūb | harkı̄b | yahərkūb | yəhárkab | 'mount'

As we expect, II-‘ verbs in the Šı-Stem behave as in the H-Stem, though no such verbs are attested in the texts. Only one II-‘ verb is attested in the Š2-Stem, namely šz $\partial \bar{\varepsilon} d$ 'arrange a meeting; promise'. In this case, the sequence * $C \bar{e} \triangleleft \partial C$ of the perfect and subjunctive and the sequence *Cá $\partial C$ of the imperfect both collapse to $C \bar{\varepsilon} C$ :

|  | 3 ms perf. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | šznēsam | šanásmam | yวšnásmən | yašnēsam | 'sigh' |
| Š2 II- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | šวw $\bar{\varepsilon} d$ | šวwēdəm | $y \partial s ̌ w \bar{\varepsilon} d \partial n$ | $y ว \check{s} w \bar{\varepsilon} d$ | 'promise' |

Only one T1-Stem form is attested from a II-` verb in the texts, namely, the T1 3mp perfect ṭátānam 'they stabbed one another' (3:19; 4:17, < *tát'ənam; cf. strong $\dot{g}$ átbərəm). No II-‘ verbs are attested in the T2-Stem.

### 7.2.7 II-w and II-y Verbs

Ga-Stem verbs whose second root consonant is $y$ differ from strong verbs only in the imperfect, which has the 3 ms base yəCC $\bar{u} C$ ( 3 mp yəCCáwC). The imperfect looks like that of geminate verbs (§7.2.11). Unstressed $\partial y$ (when not followed by a stressed vowel) is usually realized $\bar{\iota}$ (§2.2). This affects the 3 fs perfect, the future (except mp), and most dual forms, e.g., 3 fs perfect sīrūt (< *sayarūt), 3md perfect sīrōh (< *səyərōh), 3ms imperfect and subjunctive yasīrōh (< *yasəyrōh < *yasyarōh), ms future sīrōna (< *səyrōna), and mp future sīyēra (< *sayyēra), but ics perfect sayárk. Compare the following forms of the verb səyūr 'go', the most common II-y G-Stem:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3fs perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagáwd | bagadūt | bagádk | 'chase'


|  | 3ms impf. | 3mp impf. | 3fp impf. | 3ms subj. | ms fut. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdəm | təbágdən | yabgēd | bagdōna |
| Ga II-y | yasyūr | yasyáwr | tasyūrən | yasyēre47 | sīrōna |
| Ga gem. | yardūd | yardáwd | tərdūdan | yardēd | raddōna |

II-w verbs behave as strong verbs in the Gb-Stem (e.g., áywar 'become blind', $k$ iwar 'love'), but in the Ga-Stem, they form a separate conjugation type. Like II-' verbs, II-w verbs have a 3 ms perfect of the shape $C \bar{o} C$, but there are numerous differences in the conjugation; most notably, unlike all II-' verbs (and nearly

47 The $y$ may sometimes be lost in pronunciation in the subjunctive and imperative. See, for example, the comment to text 99:46 (al-nékš).
all II-Guttural verbs), II-w Ga-Stems have distinct imperfect and subjunctive forms. Ga-Stem II-w verbs also have a 3 fs perfect form in -ōt and a suffixed 3 mp perfect (like II-Guttural verbs), while the strong verb has 3 fs -ūt and a 3 mp with ablaut. Compare:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3fs perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagáwd | bagadūt | bágdək | 'chase'


|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 fp impf. | 3 ms subj . | ms fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdəm | tabágdan | yabgēd | bagdōna |
| Ga II-w | yдmūt | yamáwt | təmūtən | yдmēt | mətōna |
| G II- ${ }^{\text { }}$ | yosóm | yaśôm | tśōmən | yдśōm | śs̄mōna |

It is not clear that any II-y roots are used in the Gb-Stem. For a possible example, see the comment to text 24:23.

In the D/L-Stem, II-w and II-y verbs follow the same patterns. Both $w$ and $y$ remain present in the forms, but the stem itself is distinctive. Instead of the perfect/subjunctive pattern (a)CōCəC, II-w/y verbs have the pattern (a)CCīC. On this pattern, which is found also with geminate verbs (§7.2.11), see further in §6.2.1. Examples are:

48 In the paradigms in $M L$ (p. xxix), the verb $m \bar{o} t$ is mistakenly identified as a II-y verb.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | arōkab | arákbəm | yarákban | yarōkab | 'put on fire' |
| D/L II-w | akwin | akwīnam | yakwīnən | yakwēn | 'measure' |
| D/L II-y | asyüh | aşū̆ham | yaṣȳ̈hon | yaşūh | 'shout' |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ gem. | abdīd | abdīdəm | yabdīdan | yabdīd | 'separate' |

 yağyıて̣ən, 90:15).

As discussed in § 6.2.1, the lone Mehri verb (ś)śéwar 'consult' has an anomalous pattern in the D/L-Stem, with $\bar{e}$ instead of $\bar{o}$ as the theme vowel. ${ }^{49}$ The fact that it has this unique pattern does not seem to be connected to the fact that it is a II-w verb, as shown by evidence of this verb type from other MSA languages. Some of the forms are:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D/L strong, I-IG | (s)sōfar | (s)sáfram | yassáfran | yassōfar |
| D/L II-w, I-IG | (travel'tawīb | (t)tawībam | yattwīban | yattwīb |
| D/L śwr | (ś)śéwar | (ś)śáwram | yaśśáwran | yaśśēwar |
| 'consult' |  |  |  |  |

For the most part, II-w verbs follow strong verbs in the $\mathrm{H}-$, Š-, and T-Stems, for example:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | yzhərkūb | yahárkab |  |
| H II-w | $h \partial \dot{g} w u ̄ s ̣$ | yahวġwūṣ/yzhağōs | yahágwaṣ | 'put under water' |
| Š1 strong | škabūr | yaškabūr | yašákbar | 'consider large' |
| Šı II-w | šhəwūb | yašhəwūb | yašáhwab | 'warm by fire' |
| Š2 strong | šanēsam | yašnásman | yašnēsam | 'sigh' |
| Š2 II-w | šanēwas | yašnáwṣan | yašanēwas | 'wrestle' |
| Ti strong | ġátbar | $y \partial \dot{g} t \partial b u \bar{r}$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \bar{l}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ar | 'meet' |
| T1 II-w | śátwə ${ }^{50}$ | yaśtəwūk | yaśtiowak | 'miss' |
| T2 strong | axtalūf | yaxtalīfan | yaxtalöf | 'differ' |
| T2 II-w | aḥtawūl | yahtawïlan | yaḥtawōl | 'go crazy' |

Unstressed $\partial w$ may be realized as $\bar{u}$ in fast speech, and so we can hear forms like H-Stem 3 fs perfect hağūṣáwt (< haġawṣáwt < *həg்waṣáwt; cf. strong harkabūt). In the texts we find H-Stem imperfect ics $\partial h \partial \dot{g} \bar{o} s ̣(103: 2)$, rather than $\partial h \partial \dot{g} w u \bar{u}$, but this just reflects a surface phonetic shift of $C w \bar{u}>C \bar{o}$ (cf. the H-Stem of Iw, III-Guttural verbs in §7.2.9). On some analogical variation in the T2-Stem perfect plural forms, see the comment to artáwgan in text 91:26.

Though less common, II-y verbs are also basically regular in the H-, Š-, and T-Stems:

50 As mentioned in the comment to text 14:6, ML (śwḳ) includes both śátwak and śatūḳ. The latter is just an erroneous transcription of śátwak 'miss', which can sound close to śatūk in fast speech. Likewise, ML (s.v. ḥwg) includes separate entries for ḥātūg and hátwag 'need' (see the comment to text 94:13), but these are again just variant transcriptions of the same verb.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | yaharkūb | yahárkab |  |
| H II-y | hazyūd | yzhazyūd | yaházyad | 'increase' |
| Šı strong | šวnðūr | yдšanðūr | yวšánðər | 'vow' |
| Šı II-y | šaş́ūk | yašaş́yūk | yašáşyak | 'get fed up' |
| Ti strong | ġátbar | $y \partial \dot{g} t \partial b u \bar{r}$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \bar{l}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ar | 'meet' |
| T1 II-y | ġátya ${ }^{\text {d, }}$ | yaġtzyūọ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \stackrel{l}{\text { l }}$ ¢ | 'get angry' |
| Š2 strong | šənēsam | yวšnásmən | yašnēsəm | 'sigh' |
| Š2 II-y | šadēyan | yašdéynan | yašdēyam | 'borrow' |

 junctive of ǵátyaḍ 'get angry' (the only II-y T1-Stem verb in the texts) is not attested in the texts, and so the form $y \partial \dot{g} t i \bar{l} \partial$, which comes from $M L,{ }^{51}$ needs confirmation.

In at least two II-w roots, we see a metathesis of the first two root consonants in the H - and Šsi-Stems. ${ }^{52}$ These are the roots $\dot{g} w r$ and $s ̦ w b$, from which we find Šı šəwgáwr 'raid', H həwṣáwb 'hit (with a bullet)', and Šı šəwsááwb 'be wounded, be hit (with a bullet). ${ }^{53}$ These verbs behave as if they were from the roots $w \dot{g} r$ and $w s ̣ b$, i.e., they behave as I-w verbs ( $w \dot{g} r$ also II-Guttural, and $w s ̣ b$ also II-

52 H-Stem həwnēx 'give rest' and Šı-Stem šawnēx 'rest' (99:15; ML, s.v. $n w x$ ) may also be examples, but this Semitic root appears to have been metathesized to $w n x$ in MSA.
53 The root $\dot{g} w r$ can be seen in the Gb-Stem $\dot{g}$ áywar 'be distracted, not pay attention' and in the D/L-Stem $a \dot{g} w \bar{l} r$ 'distract, keep occupied'. The root $s ̣ w b$ can be seen in the G-Stem ṣō $b$ 'go straight', the passive participle mașwīb 'wounded', and in the noun șawb 'direction'. The G-Stem 3ms perfect șawb, given in $M L$ (s.v. șwb), is surely an error for $s ̣ o \bar{b}$. Interestingly, $M L$ (s.v. ṣwb) also gives a regular Šı-Stem form šaṣwūb 'be wounded deliberately', though this form does not occur in the texts.

Glottalic). ${ }^{54}$ In $M L$ (p. xliv), there is a footnote to the paradigm of hawșáwb that reads "in many hollow [i.e., II-w/y] verbs of this kind the radicals occur in the order wCC". However, both in the texts and in ML, I found no other roots that undergo metathesis like these two. ${ }^{55}$ So either Johnstone's footnote is incorrect, or the data in the texts (and $M L$ ) are not telling the whole story. The few other II-w roots that have a glottalic or guttural as the first root consonant seem to behave as expected (e.g., $\mathrm{H}(h) h \nmid \partial w \bar{u} l$ ' 'understand (a language)', H haṣwūr 'make stand', and Š̌ šațwūl 'think (the night) is long').

On II-w, III-y verbs like hawōh 'fall' and tawōh 'eat', see §7.2.10, § 7.2.13, and §7.2.14.

### 7.2.8 II-Glottalic and III-Glottalic Verbs

Like the I-Glottalic verbs discussed in $\S 7.2 .1$, verbs whose second or third root consonant is a glottalic consonant are not really weak. However, for forms in which the glottalic should be followed by $\bar{u}, \bar{l}$, or $\bar{e}$, those vowels are subject to the changes described in $\S$ 2.2.1. Following are some sample forms of II-Glottalic verbs with diphthongization of $\bar{u}$ or $\bar{c}$ :

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 mp impf. | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagáwd | yabūgad | yabágdəm |  |
| Ga II-Glott. | raṣáwn | raṣáwn | yərūṣən | yaráṣ̆m | 'tie up' |
| Gb strong | tīlor | tábram | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | $y \partial \underline{\underline{l}}$ bir | 'break' |
| Gb II-Glott. | wị̧al | wáṣlam | yzwṣōl | yawṣáyl | 'arrive' |
| H strong | harkūb | harkīb | yaharkūb | yaharkïb | 'mount' |
| H II-Glott. | hakṣáwm | hakṣáym | yahaḳsáwm | yahaḳsáym | 'spend the afternoon' |

[^81]| Š1 strong | šznðūr | šznðìr | yzšanðūr | yašznðìr | 'vow' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ši II-Glott. | šzksc̣áwr | šakssáyr | yzšzḳṣáwr | yašaḳṣáyr | 'run short' |
| T1 strong | ġátbar | ġátbərəm | $y \partial \dot{g} t \partial b u \bar{r}$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \partial b \bar{r} r$ | 'meet' |
| T1 II-Glott. | rát ${ }^{\text {a }}$ aṣ | rátkaşam | yartakáwṣ | yartakáyṣ | 'get stuck' |
| T2 strong | axtalūf | axtalīf | yaxtalīfon | yaxtalīfan | 'differ' |
| T2 II-Glott. | antakáwl | əntakáyl | yantakáylan | yəntakáylan | 'choose' |

Note that in the Ga-Stem, the 3 ms perfect shifts from $C ə C \bar{u} C$ to $C ə C a ́ w C$, which makes it look identical to the 3 mp perfect. Other forms that show diphthongization include the $2 / 3 \mathrm{mp}$ subjunctive and mp imperative forms of the Gb and T2-Stems (e.g., T2 antakáyl 'choose (mp)!', 102:1).

In some forms of the Ga subjunctive of II-Glottalic verbs, as well as in some forms of the perfect of the G internal passive, we find the regular shift $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$, for example:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | yabgēd | yabgēdəm |  |
| Ga II-Glott. | raṣáwn | yarṣān | yarṣānam | 'tie up' |
| G pass. strong | bagēd | yabgōd | yabgīd | 'be chased' |
| G pass. III-Glott. | $r a s ̣ a ̄ n$ | yarṣōn | yarşáyn | 'be tied up' |

For verbs whose third root consonant is a glottalic, the major forms affected are $3 f s$ perfects, fs and fp futures (and fd for derived stems), where we see the expected diphthongization of $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{\iota}$ and shift of $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{fs} \mathrm{perf}$. | fs future | $\mathrm{fp} / \mathrm{cp}$ future ${ }^{56}$ | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | $b \partial g \bar{u} d$ | bagadūt | bagdìta | bagdūtan |  |
| Ga III-Glott. | kərūṣ | ḳarṣáwt | korsááta | karṣáwtzn | 'pinch' |
| Gb strong | tīl ${ }^{\text {ar }}$ | tabrōt | tabrîta | ṫabrūtzn | 'break' |
| Gb III-Glott. | mīraṣ | marṣōt | marṣáyta | marṣáwtan | 'become ill' |
| G pass. strong | $b \partial g e ̄ d$ | bagdēt | (none) | (none) | 'be chased' |
| G pass. III-Glott. | sabēt | sabṭāt | (none) | (none) | 'hit' |
| D/L strong, I-IG | (s)sōfar | (s)sfarēt | masfarēta | masfarūtan | 'travel' |
| D/L III-Glott., I-IG | (x)xōbot | (x) $x a b t a \bar{t} t$ | maxboṭāta | maxbatáwtan | 'cock' |
| H strong | harkūb | harkabūt | maharkabēta | maharkabūtan | 'mount' |
| H III-Glott. | hamrūṣ | hamarṣ́áwt | mahamarṣāta | mahamarṣáwtan | 'nurse' |
| Ši strong | šanðūr | šznðərūt | mašənðırēta | məšənðərūtən | 'vow' |
| Ši III-Glott. | šadhūk. | šadhaḳáwt | mašadhəḳāta | məšadhaḳáwtan | 'look down' |

The initial vowel of an object suffix attached to a 3 ms or 3 fp perfect is also affected by a final glottalic consonant, e.g., ṣākáwk 'he called you' (20:25) (< *ṣākūk) and shoṭáyh 'he slaughtered it' (48:23) (< *shoṭīh). See §3.2.2 for more examples.

As noted in § 2.2.1, II-Glottalic verbs sometimes have á where we expect á, as in the ics perfect haḳsámk ‘I spent the day’, for expected haḳṣámk (62:6).

### 7.2.9 III-Guttural Verbs (including III-' and III-‘Verbs)

Verbs whose final root consonant is one of the six gutturals ', ${ }^{\prime}, \dot{g}, h, h$, or $x$ are subject to a variety of sound changes, most of which have already been discussed ( $\S$ 2.2.2). The most characteristic feature of this verb type is the sound change $C \partial C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C \partial G$ (where $G={ }^{\prime},{ }^{\prime}, \dot{g}, h, h$, or $x$ ), which affects Ga-Stem perfects, T 2 -Stem perfects and imperfects, H-Stem imperfects, and passive participles, among other forms.

In the Ga -Stem, in addition to a 3 ms with the pattern $C \bar{u} C \partial G$, we find a 3 mp perfect with -əm in place of ablaut. For III-‘ and III-' verbs, the 3 ms perfect has a final $-a\left(<-\partial^{〔}\right.$ or $-\partial^{’}$; see § 2.1.3). In the suffixed forms of III-’ verbs, the ' simply disappears. With III-' verbs, the ' is lost, but is present in the underlying form; this is why we see a short vowel in an open syllable in a form like ics perfect nákak (< *nák‘ək). For the 3 fs perfect, we find -ōt with III-h, III-x, and III-‘ verbs, but III-' verbs have - $\bar{u} t$ and III-g verb have -áwt (< $\left.{ }^{*}-\bar{u} t\right) .{ }^{57}$ No 3 fs perfects of a III-h verb (of which there are very few in the language) are attested in the texts.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3fs perf. | 3mp perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bəgadūt | bagáwd | bágdək | 'chase'

When 1cs object suffixes are added to the 3 ms and 3 fp perfect of III-' verbs, the ' remains, per the rules outlined in $\S 2.1 .3$ (see especially n. 4), e.g., ank'áy 'he came to me' (18:13), but ankáys 'he came to her' (< "nək'īs, 48:19).

The Ga-Stem imperfect is normal for III-Guttural verbs, except that III-‘ and III-' verbs have the vowel $\bar{o}$ in place of $\bar{u}$. III-‘ and III-' verbs also have a final $-a(<$ $-\partial^{\prime}$ or - $\partial^{\prime}$ ); as in the perfect, ' is present in the underlying form. The subjunctive is regular, except that while most verbs have the vowel $\bar{e}$, a small number have $\bar{a}$.

57 The one example of a III-ğ verb in the texts is watgáwt 'she killed' (29:7), but see also the comment to text 24:47.
58 The underlying form is *kūsak, but it shifts to kask because the second and third root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (§ 2.1.2; § 7.2.12).

Those with $\bar{a}$ in the subjunctive usually have a glottalic or $r$ as the first root consonant (see §2.2.3). ${ }^{59}$ For III- ${ }^{\wedge}$ verbs we find $-\bar{\varepsilon}$ or $-\bar{a}$, shortened in final position to $-\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ and $-a^{\prime}$, respectively ( $\S 2.2 .4$ ), e.g., 3 ms subjunctive yank $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ 'he may come', but 2 fs tank $\bar{\varepsilon} y, 3 \mathrm{mp}$ yənk $\bar{\varepsilon} m$, and $3 \mathrm{~ms}+3 \mathrm{~ms}$ object yənk $\bar{\varepsilon} h$. The subjunctive forms ending in $-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ are clearly distinguished from the subjunctives of III-w/y verbs, which end in -غ́h (see § 7.2.10). Examples are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdəm | yabgēd | yabgēdam | 'chase' |
| Ga III-Gutt. | yasūmah | yasámḥam | yasmēḥ | yasmēham | 'forgive' |
| Ga I-Glott., II-r, III-Gutt. | yatúwrah | yatórḥam | yatrāh | yatrāḥam | 'leave’ |
| Ga III- ${ }^{-}$ | yәnōka | yanákam | yənké | $y ə n k \bar{\varepsilon} m^{60}$ | 'come' |
| Ga III- ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | $y \partial r o ̄ f a$ | yaráfam | yarfá | yarfām | 'climb' |
| Ga III-' | yakōsa | yakōsəm ${ }^{61}$ | $y a k s \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | $y a k s \bar{\varepsilon} m$ | 'find' |

III-' verbs are sometimes confused with III-w/y verbs, and so from the verb kūsa 'find' one also finds an imperfect yakáys, on the model of III-w/y forms like yabáyk (< bakōh 'cry'). ${ }^{62} M L$ (s.v. $m l^{\prime}$ ) lists a similar imperfect for the III-'

59 Of course, when the second root consonant is glottalic, then $\bar{e}$ shifts to $\bar{a}$ by regular sound change (§ 2.2.1). The alternation between $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{a}$ is what we find also in the H -Stem perfect and subjunctive of III-Guttural verbs (see below).
6o $M L$ (p. xxvi) gives 3mp yankáyam, but there is no evidence for such a form. The texts consistently have the pattern yaCC包m for the 3 mp subjunctive of III-‘ verbs.
61 This form is not certain. The only imperfect of this verb that occurs with audio is 1cs $\partial k o ̄ s a$ (34:11). Johnstone transcribed $2 k o ̄ s a$ also in text 94:25 and 94:29. In 104:2 we find 3 mp yamōlam (from the III-' verb mūla), but there is no audio to confirm this transcription made by Johnstone.
62 We also find 3ms perfect ksūh/ksōh in some Yemeni Mehri dialects (Jahn 1902:202; Sima 2009: 552, text 99:25), and even as a variant in Omani Mehri (Watson 2012: 86). Similar forms exist in Harsusi and Hobyot. Jahn (1905: 109) gives ksú for the Yemeni dialect of al-Ghayḍah, but kúsi for the dialect of Qishn. The Omani Mehri speakers interviewed by
verb mūla 'fill. ${ }^{63}$ Given that there are only a few III-' verbs, and that the root consonant ' is replaced by $w$ in other environments (see $\S 7.2 .2$ ), including in the H-Stem of III-Guttural roots (see below), it is not surprising to find such analogical forms. There is also some mixing of the forms of other III-Guttural verbs. For example, in the texts there is some confusion between dūbah (IIIGuttural) and dabōh (III-w/y) 'collect honey'; see the comment to text 77:3. ${ }^{64}$

In the Gb-Stem, III-Guttural perfects (except III-' verbs) look just as they do in the Ga-Stem, except for the 3 ms (and 3 fp ), which has the normal Gb -Stem vowel pattern; III-‘ and III-’ verbs have the expected shift of final -ə` and -ə` to - $a$. The imperfect and subjunctive are distinguished, and they follow the patterns of Ga-Stems.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | 3fs perf. | 1cs perf. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tiol }}$ ar | tábram | tabrōt | tábrak | 'break' |
| Gb III-Gutt. | firoh | fárḥam | fárḥōt | fárḥak | 'be happy' |
| Gb III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | śība | śábam | śabōt | sábak | 'be satisfied' |
| Gb I-w, III- | wīda | wádam | wadōt | wádak | 'know' |
| Gb I-w, II-Glott. III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | wīka | wákam | wakōt | wákak | 'be(come)' |
| Gb III- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | mīla ${ }^{65}$ | mīlam | malōt | milak | 'be full' |

Sabrina Bendjaballah in 2017 recognized only yakáys for the 3 ms imperfect of $k u \bar{s} a$, and also accepted $k s o ̄ h$ for the 3 ms perfect. For another example, cf. gaśōh 'belch' (ML, s.v. gś; cf. Arabic jaša'a). In Jibbali, III-' verbs have, in most forms, merged with III-w/y verbs.
63 See the comment to text 97:7.
64 According to $M L$ (s.v. fth. ), the Ga-Stem of the root fth 'open' can have the shape fützh or fath (< *fütah); the former follows the pattern of other III-Guttural verbs, while the latter (which occurs in text 22:98) follows the pattern of "idle glottis" III-Guttural verbs (see $\S 7.2 .12$ ). The existence of fützḥ as an acceptable variant needs confirmation.
65 Many of the forms of mïla given in ML (p. xxiv) are very suspect. Another certain III-' GbStem is káyna (3ms imperfect yzḳōna, 76:11). A possible III-' Gb-Stem is ðīra 'bleed (trans.)', for which $M L$ (s.v. ðry) lists the erroneous 3ms perfect ðáyar'. Jahn (1902: 173) lists a GbStem 3ms perfect dïre (with the normal shift $\partial>d$ found in some Yemeni Mehri towns, e.g., Qishn).

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $y \partial \underline{t} b o ̄ r$ | yotair | ydtabor | yotair |
| Gb III-Gutt. | yafürah | yafárham | yafrēh | yafrēham |
| Gb III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $y \partial s$ ōba | yzśábam | yaśbé | $y)^{\prime} s$ cesm |
| Gb I-w, III-` & yzwōda & yzwádam & \(y \bar{\varepsilon} d \varepsilon^{\prime}\) & \(y \bar{\varepsilon} d \bar{\varepsilon} m\) \\ \hline Gb I-w, II-Glott. III-` | yzwōka | yzwákam | $y a \bar{k}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $y \bar{a} k \bar{a} m$ |
| Gb III- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | yomōla | yamálam | yzml ${ }^{\prime}$ | yamlēm |

The future forms of the G-Stems have the expected sound changes (§ 2.1.3; 2.2.2), though the mp form of III-‘ verbs has an epenthetic $i$, which makes it look a bit unusual (CəCiy $\left.\bar{\varepsilon}<{ }^{*} C ə C y \bar{\varepsilon}<{ }^{*} C ə C y \bar{e} a\right)$. For III-' verbs, 'simply disappears.

|  | ms fut. | fs fut. | mp fut. | fp fut. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G strong | bagdōna | bagdìta | bagyēda | bagdūtən | 'chase' |
| Ga III-Gutt. | wวtġōna ${ }^{66}$ | watġáyta | watyēġa | watġáwtan | 'kill' |
| Ga III- ${ }^{-}$ | nəkōna | nəkáyta | nəkiyē | nəkáwtan | 'come' |
| Ga III-' | ksōna | ksīta | ksēya | ksūtən | 'find' |

III-Guttural roots are rather rare in the D/L-Stem, but they behave as strong verbs, except for a few expected sound changes, like $-\bar{e} t>-\bar{a} t$ (in the 3 fs perfect) and final $-\partial^{c}>-a$ (for III-‘ verbs).

|  | 3ms perf. | 3fs perf. | 3mp perf. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | arōkab | arkabēt | arákbam | 'put on fire'


|  | 3ms/3mp impf. | 3ms subj. | 3mp subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| D/L strong | yarákbən | yarōkəb | yarákbəm |
| D/L III-Gutt. | yakámḥən | yakōməḥ | yakámḥəm |
| D/L III-‘ | yarában | yarōba | yarábam |

III-Guttural verbs also exhibit various peculiarities in other stems. In the H - and Ši-Stems, most III-Guttural verbs have the vowel $\bar{e}$ in the final syllable ( $>\bar{\varepsilon}$ for III'verbs). If the second root consonant is a glottalic, then $\bar{e}$ shifts to $\bar{a}$ by regular sound change (§2.2.1), but a few other verbs have $\bar{a}$. Usually these other verbs with $\bar{a}$ have a glottalic or $r$ as the first root consonant. This situation is parallel to the variation between $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{a}$ in the Ga-Stem subjunctive (see above). Like with most G-Stems of III-Guttural roots, the 3fs perfect has the suffix - $\bar{t}$, and the 3 mp perfect has -əm. For III-‘ verbs, like in the G-Stems, the vowels $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $-\bar{a}$ are shortened to $-\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $-\hat{a}^{\prime}$ when word-final. III-' roots (the few that there are) are treated as III-w/y.

|  | 3 mp perf. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | harkabūt | harkīb |  |
| H III-Gutt. | handèx | handaxōt | handēxəm | 'fumigate' |
| H III-Gutt. | haṣbāh | haṣbahōt | haşbāḥam | 'become' |


| H III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | haḳ́s $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ | hakssot | haksśs̄m | 'dry' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | harbá | harbōt | hərbām | 'lift up' |
| H I-w, III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {aw }}$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ | hawrōt | hวwr $\bar{m} m$ | 'keep away' |
| Š1 strong | šznðūr | šznðərūt | šznðìr | 'vow' |
| Šı III-Gutt. | šadlēx | šadəwxōt | šadlēxam | 'be despoiled' |
| Ši III-Gutt. | šakrāh | šakorḥōt | šวkrāhom | 'get head shaved' |
| Ši III-‘ | šaķ́s' ${ }^{\prime}$ | šaçsoot | šว $\frac{1}{}$ śṡm | 'get dry' |
| Ši III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | šarbá | šrrbōt | šarbām | 'climb' |

 we find also in the G perfect, except that III-` verbs have the vowel $\bar{o}$, as in the G-Stem imperfect. However, probably because III-Guttural verbs are relatively rare in these stems, we also find forms that behave like strong verbs. For verbs that are both I-w and III-Guttural, the $w$ is lost, unlike other I-w H- and ŠiStems (cf. the H-Stem of II-w verbs in §7.2.7). ${ }^{67}$ The subjunctive forms pose no problems.

|  | 3ms impf. | 3mp impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | $y \partial h \partial r k u ̄ b ~$ | yahərkīb | yahárkab |
| H III-Gutt. 'mount' |  |  |  |
|  | yahənūdax or <br> yahəndūx | yahanádxam or <br> yahəndīx | yahándax | 'fumigate'

[^82]| H III-Gutt. | yahaṣáwbah | yahaṣábḥam | yahạṣbah | 'become' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H III-‘ | yzhəḳōśa | yohəkáśam | yahákśa | 'dry' |
| H III-‘ | yaharōba | yohərábam | yahárba | 'lift up' |
| H I-w | yahawkūb | yzhawkīb | yaháwkab | 'put in' |
| H I-w, III- ${ }^{-}$ | yahōra | yzhōram | yzháwra | 'keep away' |
| Š1 strong | yzšznðūr | yašznðìr | yašánðar | 'vow' |
| Ši III-Gutt. | $y \partial s ̌ d \bar{u} l \partial x^{68}$ | yašdēxวm | yašádlax | 'be despoiled' |
| Šı III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yaškōs̊a | yaškáśam | yašáḳśa | 'get dry' |
| Ši III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yašrōba | yašrábam | yašárba | 'climb' |

In the T1-, $\mathrm{T}_{2}-$, and ${ }^{2} 2$-Stems, the forms show the same sort of changes as in the stems already described. The T2-Stem has 3 fs perfects with $-\bar{o} t$ and $3 m p$ perfects with $-\partial m$, and we see final $-\partial^{c}>-a$ in all stems. In the T1-Stem imperfect and $\mathrm{T}_{2}-$ Stem perfect, we find the shift $C ə C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C ə G$ (but see the comment to text 12:14), and the T2-Stem subjunctive has the similar shift $C \partial C \bar{o} G>C \bar{o} C \partial G$. Unlike in other stems, T1-Stem imperfects of III-` verbs do not have the vowel $\bar{o}$, but more evidence is needed to confirm this; see the comment to text 98:5 (taktūta).

|  | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{fs} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 strong | ġátbar | $\dot{g}$ g tbarōt | ġátbaram | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b u ̄ r$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t i ̄ b a r$ | 'meet' |
| T1 III-Gutt. | fátkoh | ftakhōt | fátḳaham | yaftūḳah | yaftīkah | 'break in half' |

68 There are very few Ši-Stem III-Guttural verbs, and almost no forms in the texts. The $^{\text {ren }}$ imperfect and subjunctive forms given here are presumptive. It is possible that one can also use "strong" forms like 3 ms imperfect $y$ ašzdlū $x$ and $3 m p$ yzšadlı̄x, as in the H-Stem.

| T1 III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | káțta | kotṭōt | káțtam | yzktūṭa | yaktīṭa ${ }^{69}$ | 'be cut' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | axtatūf | axtalfüt | axtalief | yaxtalīfon | yaxtalōf | 'differ' |
| T2 III-Gutt. | aftōrah | aftarḥōt | aftárḥam | yaftárḥən ${ }^{70}$ | yaftōrah | 'be excited' |
| T2 III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | aftōra | aftarōt | aftáram | yaftáran | yaftōra | 'separate' |
| Š2 strong | šanēsam | šansamēt | šanásmam | yašnásman | yašnēsam | 'sigh' |
| Š2 III-Gutt. | šféṣzh | šfoṣhāat | šfáṣham | yašfáṣhan | yašfesṣah | 'gossip' |
| Š2 III- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | šagēśa | šagasót | šzgáśam | yašgáśan ${ }^{71}$ | yašgēśa | 'belch' |

As in other stems, III-' roots (few that there are) are usually treated as III-w/y in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}-, \mathrm{T}_{2}-$, and $\check{S}_{2}$-Stems. For an example, see the comment to text $48: 11$.

Verbs from quadriliteral roots that are III-‘ and IV-` have sound changes similar to those that we see in various forms from triliteral III-` roots, e.g., 3 ms perfect akálad (< *aḳál’əd), and 3ms imperfect yaḳaláwd (< *yakal'áwd
 *yabarkū́c (with a shift $C \partial C C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C C \partial G$, similar to the shift $C \partial C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C \partial G$ that we find in the G-Stem and elsewhere). Likewise, 3 ms imperfect yamárką derives from *yamūrkəḥ < *yamərkūh. Compare:

69 The 3 mp imperfect and subjunctive is yaktátam (cf. $M L$, pp. l-li). Other III-Guttural verbs have á where III-‘ verbs have á in this form (cf. Ga-Stem nákam 'they came' vs. sámḥəm 'they forgave').
70 See also §6.5.4, n. 70.
71 The sample paradigm in $M L$ (pp. lxiii-lxiv) lacks the suffix $-n$ in the imperfect, but this is an error. Several entries in $M L$ have the correct suffix (cf. s.v. $\left.\not \partial w^{\prime}, r w^{c}\right)$. The dictionary entry in $M L$ (s.v. $\left.g s^{\prime}\right)$ incorrectly has 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive yašgēśa.
$72 M L$ mistakenly lists this verb under the root $k^{\prime} l d$ rather than $k^{\prime} d$. See further in the comment to text 67:4.

|  | 3 mp perf. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q strong | adámdəm | adamdzmēt | adámdəтəт | yadımdūm | yədámdəm | 'grope' |
| Q III-Gutt. | amárhab | amarhabēt | amárhabam | yamərháwb | yamárlab | 'welcome' |
| Q III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | akálad | akalādēt | akáladəm | yakaláwd | yakálad | 'roll' |
| Q IV-G | amárkıh | amarkahāt | amárkah̆m | yamárkah | yamárkah | 'tidy up' |
| Q IV- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | abárka | abarkāt | abárkam | yabárka | yabárka | 'run' |

On II-w/y, III-' verbs like gūya 'be hungry' and đ̣áwya 'smell', see § 7.2.13.

### 7.2.10 III-w and III-y Verbs

Verbs whose final root consonant is historically $w$ or $y$ are characterized by the loss of that consonant in most forms. In the Ga-Stem, the 3 ms perfect has the pattern CəCōh. In the Arabic-letter manuscripts of the texts, Ali consistently wrote the final $-h$, and it is clearly audible on the audio and among informants. The 3mp has ablaut, and $w$ as the final root consonant, while the first- and second-person forms of the perfect have the base $С \bar{\circ} C ə-$. When the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic ( $\S 2.1 .2 ; \S 7.2 .12$ ), the $a$ is not allowed before first- and second-person suffixes (except 1cp), and the long $\bar{o}$ of the first and second persons is then reduced to $a$ because of the closed syllable (e.g., *bōkk > bakk ‘I cried'). Sample forms of the Ga-Stem perfect are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagadūt | bagáwd | bágdak |  |
| Ga III-w/y | kanōh | kənūt | kənōw | kōnək | 'raise' |
| Ga III-w/y, II-IG | bakōh | bəkūt | bakīw | bakk | 'cry' |
| Ga II-w, III-y | hawōh | hawūt | hawis | hōwak | 'fall' |

When a suffix is added to the 3 ms perfect, the final root consonant comes back as $y$, e.g., təwyáh 'he ate it' (24:22, from towōh), and ķənyáy 'he raised me' (74:15, from ḳənōh; cf. strong bagdáh and bagdáy). A y also appears in all forms of the future, e.g., ms ķryōna (71:3), fs ḳaryïta (63:5), and mp ḳaryēya (from karōh 'hide').

In the Ga-Stem imperfect, the base is CáyC throughout the singular and plural (except $2 \mathrm{fs} t_{2} C \bar{e} C i$ ). The subjunctive is very similar to that of strong verbs, except that the vowel $\bar{e}$ is lowered to $\bar{\varepsilon}$ in the singular and 1 cp . As with the 3 ms perfect, there is a consistent final $-h$ in the singular and icp subjunctive (except $2 f s t_{\partial} C C \bar{\iota}$ ). The final syllable of the 3 ms subjunctive pattern $y a C C \varepsilon ́ h ~ c o n t r a s t s ~ w i t h ~ t h a t ~ o f ~ G b-S t e m s ~(s e e ~ b e l o w) ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ o f ~ I I I-‘ ~ v e r b s ~$ (§7.2.9), both of which have $y_{\partial} C C \varepsilon^{\prime}$. In the second and third person plural subjunctive, $y$ appears as the final root consonant, and the preceding vowel is raised to $\bar{\imath}$. Verbs whose second root consonant is also a glide (i.e., II-w, IIIy verbs) pattern with other III-w/y Ga-Stems (see also §7.2.14). Sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj . | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdəm | yabgēd | yabgēdəm |  |
| Ga III-w/y | yzkáyn | yakányzm | yoknéh | yakn̄̄am | 'raise' |
| Ga III-w/y, II-IG | yabáyk | yabákyam | yabkéh | yabkīam | 'cry' |
| Ga II-w, III-y | yaháyw | yaháwyam | yahwéh | yahwāyam | 'fall' |

In the singular and icp subjunctive forms (and ms imperative), unlike in the perfect, the final $h$ remains even when suffixes are added. For example, from the verbs təwōh 'eat' and țəwōh 'come at night', we find $\partial n t \varepsilon ́ h k ~ ' w e ~ m a y ~ e a t ~ y o u ' ~$ (2:3), ttéhวh 'she may eat him' (15:6), yatéhkam 'he may eat you' (42:19), (t)tzhš 'she may eat you' (49:15), and atṭwéhi 'she may come to me at night' (75:6). For more on the verb tawōh, which has some anomalies, see §7.2.14.

In the Gb-Stem, III-w and III-y verbs fall into three types. Some verbs reflect distinct III-w and III-y types, though there are very few of these attested in the texts. These look a lot like strong Gb-Stems, but with a final root consonant $w$ or $y$ (i.e., $C \bar{l} C \partial w$ and $C \bar{C} C \partial y$ ). In the 3 ms , the word-final sequences $-\partial w$ and $-\partial y$ become $-u$ and $-i$, respectively ( $\S 2.2$ ). We only find two such Gb-Stem III-w
verbs in the texts (bīru 'give birth'73 and gïlu 'be ill'), ${ }^{74}$ and only one such III-y verb (śinni 'see'). The third, and much more common, type of III-w/y Gb-Stem, presumably reflecting a merger of the two root types, has a 3 ms perfect of the shape CáyCi, e.g., báyki 'remain'.

Both the śini and báyḳi types can be considered III-y; they follow the same patterns, except that báyḳi has a diphthong where śīni has $\bar{i}$. The first-person, second-person, and 3 mp perfect forms of the śīni and báyki types retain the long vowel in the first syllable, but the root consonant $y$ is absent; for example, śīni has the base śīn- throughout the perfect, rather than **́s $\begin{gathered}\text { yy-, while báyḳi }\end{gathered}$ has the base báyk. Otherwise, the perfects follow the pattern of strong verbs:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tī }}$ ar | tabrōt | tábram | tábrak | 'break' |
| Gb III-w | gīlu | galwōt | gálwam ${ }^{75}$ | gálwak | 'become sick' |
| Gb III-y | śini | śanyōt ${ }^{76}$ | śiñm | śsinək | 'see’ |
| Gb III-y | báyḳi | $b 2 k y \bar{o} t^{77}$ | báykam | báy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ak | 'remain' |

73 As discussed in the comment to text 85:31, this verb is erroneously listed in $M L$ (s.v. $b r w$ ) as a Ga-Stem.
74 A few others can be found in $M L$ (s.v. $k t w, n g y, s ̣ n w$ ). The form naywū in $M L$ (s.v. $n^{\prime} w$ ) is reminiscent of this type, but this form is almost certainly a mistake; see the comment to text 75:22.
75 The 3 mp perfect is presumptive and needs confirmation.
$76 \quad M L$ (p. xxxii) gives the 3 fs perfect śīnūt, which may be a variant form made on analogy with the other forms of the perfect. The texts have śanyōt (49:19) and the base śznyát- before object suffixes (15:13; 54:11). Sima (2009: 240, line 27) has śnūt, following the pattern of GaStem bakūt 'she cried', but his texts are in Eastern Yemeni Mehri.
77 Likewise, from dáyni 'become pregnant', we find 3 fs dənyōt (9:11). However, from a supposed Gb-Stem ráyṣ́i (ML, s.v. rṣ́y), we find 3fs raṣáwt (8:2), with the Ga-Stem same pattern CəCūt, like Sima's śnūt (see the previous note). See also the comment to text 8:2, and n. 8o below. Also note that Jahn (1902: 219) gives a Ga-Stem 3ms perfect roṣ́ōh (rdú in his transcription) for Yemeni Mehri.

When an object suffix is added to a III-y Gb-Stem 3 ms perfect, the root consonant $y$ is retained, e.g., śznyīs 'he saw her' (15:10). The $y$ of both types of III-y verbs and the $w$ of III-w verbs appears in all forms of the future:

|  | ms future | fs future | mp future | 'break' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | ṫabrōna | tabrïta | $\underline{\text { tabyēra }}$ |  |
| Gb III-w | galwōna | galwīta | galyēwa | 'become sick' |
| Gb III-y | śənyōna | śznyīta | śonyēya | 'see' |
| Gb III-y | bakyōna | bakyīta | bakyēya | 'remain' |

In the Gb imperfect and subjunctive, III-w verbs follow the pattern of strong verbs. The III-y Gb-Stems are more remarkable, however. Unlike other GbStems (except III-Guttural verbs), they have distinct imperfect and subjunctive forms, which have the same patterns as III-‘ verbs (§7.2.9): 3ms imperfect ${ }^{*} y_{\partial} C \bar{o} C \partial C$ ( $>y_{\partial} C \bar{o} C i$ for III-y, and $>y_{\partial} C \bar{o} C a$ for III- ${ }^{-}$) and $3 \mathrm{~ms} y_{\partial} C C \bar{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ ( $\left.<y_{\partial} C C \bar{\varepsilon}\right)$. In the subjunctive, the historical final ${ }^{*}-\bar{e} C$ is realized $-\varepsilon^{\prime}$, like III-‘ Ga-Stems, as compared to the Ga-Stem subjunctive of III-w/y verbs, which has $-\varepsilon$ ह́h.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 mp impf. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | yota ${ }^{\text {a }}$ r | $y \partial \underline{t} b \bar{o} r$ | yotabir | 'break' |
| Gb III-w | $y \partial g l o ̄(w)$ | yaglōw | $y a g l o ̄(w)$ | yaglōw | 'become sick' |
| Gb III-y | yasooni | yaśányam ${ }^{78}$ | yaśné ${ }^{\prime}$ | yzśn̄̄m ${ }^{79}$ | 'see' |
| Gb III-y, II-Glott. | yabōki | yabákyam | yabkáa | yabkām | 'remain' |
| G III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yдnōka | yдnákam | yənké | yənkēm | 'come' |

[^83]For III-y verbs, when a suffix is added to the singular imperfect, the final $y$ is retained, e.g., yaśényak 'he sees you' (91:15) and aśźniham 'I see them' (40:24, < *aśony-ham). When a suffix is added to the singular subjunctive, the final ' is lost, e.g., nəśnēs 'we may see it' ( $81: 2$ ). Note also that there may be some mixing of the Ga- and Gb-Stems for III-y verbs. According to $M L$ (s.v. rṣy), the imperfect of ráyṣ́i ‘accept' (a Gb-type perfect) is yəráyṣ́ (a Ga-type imperfect). ${ }^{80}$ We also see mixing between III-' and III-w/y Ga-Stems verbs (e.g., kūsa 'find'; see § 7.2.9, especially n .62 ).

In the D/L-Stem, III-w/y verbs are more or less regular. The final root consonant is always $y$, and the final unstressed sequence $\partial y$ is realized $i$.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | arōkəb | arákbən | arákbək | yarákbən | yarōkab | 'put on fire' |
| D/L III-w/y | $a k o ̄ f i$ | akáfyam | akáfyak | yakáfyan | yakōfi | 'go away' |

In the H - and Ši-Stems, the third-person forms of III-w/y verbs are reminiscent of the Ga-Stem in the perfect. In the first and second persons, however, the patterns are different. Interestingly, the stressed vowel of the 1cp is unique, just as in H - and Šı-Stem strong verbs, though the vowel itself is $\bar{\imath}$ rather than $\bar{o}$. If the second root consonant is guttural or glottalic, then the 1cp has the vowel $\bar{a}$ in place of $\bar{\iota} .{ }^{81}$

|  | 3ms perf. | 3fs perf. | 3mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 1cp perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | $h \partial r k u ̄ b$ | $h \partial r k \partial b u ̄ t$ | $h \partial r k i ̄ b$ | harkábk | harkōban |
| H III-wount'y | habkōh | habkūt | habkīw | habkáyk | habkīyan | 'make cry'

[^84]| H III-w/y, II-Gutt. | harxōh | harxáwt | harxáyw | harxáyk | harxāyan | 'let go' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ši strong | šənðūr | šənðərūt | šznðìr | šanðárk | šanðōrən | 'vow' |
| Šı III-w/y | šabdōh | šabdūt | šabdīw | šabdáyk | šabdīyan | 'not believe' |
| Ši III-w/y, III-Glott. | šāṣōh | šāṣáwt | šāṣáyw | šāṣáyk | šāṣāyzn | 'worry' |

The imperfect of III-w/y verbs in the H - and $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems is also similar to the Ga-Stem of these roots. In the subjunctive, however, the situation is more complex. The H- and Ši-Stems show two different subjunctive patterns, 3 ms yaháCC/yzšáCC (variants yaháCC/yəšáCC) and yahīCəC/yzšīCə $C$. The pattern yaháCC/yašáCC occurs mainly with verbs whose second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, while $y \partial h \bar{i} C \partial C / y a h \bar{i} C ə C$ occurs almost exclusively with verbs whose second root consonant is voiced or glottalic. The forms yaháCC/yašáCC can be derived from yahīCəC/yzhīCəC by vowel reduction, but this is expected only where the first and second root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (e.g., yaháft < *yahīft < *yzhïfat). Such a reduction is also reasonable to suggest for verbs whose initial root consonant is sonorant (e.g., yahánḥ < *yahīnḥ < *yahinaḥ). 3ms subjunctive yaháwf and yašáwf (confirmed by several forms in the texts) can be derived from *yahīwaf and *yešīwaf, since there is a tendency for á > á before $w$ (i.e., "yahīwaf > *yaháwf >yaháwf). ${ }^{82}$ But several cases of yzháCC/yzšáCC listed in $M L$ cannot be explained this way. ${ }^{83}$

82 Cf. 3 fp tháwlan $(63: 3) \leftarrow 3 \mathrm{~ms}$ yahīwal ( $90: 2$; 98:1; root $w l y$ ), with $\bar{\imath}$ reduced to $a$, rather than á, before $w$. Occasionally we see the same shift with unstressed a, e.g., mawṣáyf 'famous' (76:1, from the pattern *məCCīC). Also, it is because hawf is a reduced form of hiwaf that we do not see any reduction in a form like háwfi 'pay me' (39:15); it comes from *hiwf-y, not *hawf-y.
$83 M L$ is probably unreliable for some verbs. Some of the forms in $M L$ were likely assumed by Johnstone, and not actually heard. It is also possible that there is some mixing of the types. Also note that in some places where $M L$ records the vowel $a$ for subjunctives of the pattern yahVCC/yzšVCC, the texts have the expected á for some of these. For example, $M L$ (s.v. $n h ̣ v$ ) has 3ms yahánḥ (actually yanánḥ), but we find mp imperative hánḥam in text 20:61.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | yahərkūb | yaharkīb | yzhárkab | yahárkabam | 'mount' |
| H III-w/y | yzhəðáyr | yahəðáryam | yzhïðər | yahádram | 'run blood over' |
| H III-w/y | yzhənáyh | yahənáhyam | yahánḥ | yahánḥam | 'burn' |
| Š1 strong | yวšanðūr | yašznðīr | yдšánðər | yдšánðərəm | 'vow' |
| Š1 III-w/y | yašbáyd | yašbádyam | yašībad | yašábdəm | 'not believe' |
| Ši III-w/y | yzšwáyf | yašwáfyam | yašáwf | yašáwfom | 'avenge' |

As expected, the imperative and future forms mirror the two different subjunctive types. So we find, for example, ms mahiśsn 'will show' (65:8, from (s')śanōh) and ms mašīkaṣ่ 'will accept compensation' (65:14, from ša kṣ́ōh), but ms məháwf 'will pay' (39:4, from hawfōh).

In the T1-Stem, the final root consonant is always $y$. The perfect is more or less regular, though the final unstressed sequence $\partial y$ is realized $i$, as elsewhere.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tistrong | ġátbar | $\dot{g}$ atbarōt | ġátbaram | g átbarak |
| T1 III-w/y | ġátri | $\dot{g} \partial t a r y o ̄ t$ | ġátaryam | ġátaryak |

The $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ imperfect has a base ${ }^{*} y_{\partial} C t \bar{u} C ə{ }_{\partial} C\left(>y_{\partial} C t \bar{u} C i\right)$, like III-Guttural verbs, and the subjunctive, though it looks different, may also have the same historical pattern as III-Guttural verbs.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3mp impf. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti strong | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b \bar{u} r$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}$ | $y \partial \dot{g} t \stackrel{\text { İbar }}{ }$ | yağtábram | 'meet' |
| Ti III-w/y | $y \partial \dot{g} t u ̄ r i$ | yaġtáryam | yaġtáyr | yȧ่táyram ${ }^{84}$ | 'speak' |
| Ti III-Gutt. | yaftūķ.h | yaftàkham | yaftīkah | yaftáḳham | 'break in half' |

The few attested III-w/y T2-Stems have forms whose patterns align with IIIGuttural verbs, with the final unstressed sequence $\partial y$ realized $i$, as elsewhere.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | axtalūf | axtalīf | yaxtalīfon | yaxtalōf | 'differ'

One exception is the verb ${ }^{2} \partial t h{ }^{-}$' 'drink coffee' (85:15), the only attested IIGuttural, III-w/y T-Stem, which has a 3 ms perfect that patterns more like strong verbs. ${ }^{85}$

The verb (t)takk 'drink' is historically a T2-Stem of the root hky, though it has become anomalous, with irregular assimilation of both the first and third root consonants in most forms, and with the unexpected suffix -ēt in the 3 fs perfect (cf. 3fs amtanyūt from amtōni). ${ }^{86}$ Following are some of its forms:

84 This form, listed in $M L$ (p. liii), needs confirmation. We might expect yaġtáryam, like Ga-Stem 3ms imperfect yabáyk ~ 3mp yabákyam (from bakōh 'cry'), though yaġtáyram matches the III-Guttural pattern. No T1-Stem plural subjunctives from III-w/y roots occur in the texts.
85 See §6.5.4, n. 72 and the comment to text 48:29.
86 For discussion, see Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017a). Note that there is at least one other $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem with a 3 fs perfect in $-\bar{e} t$, at least according to $M L$ (s.v. kḥl), namely, kothalēt 'she applied kohl (to the eyes)', but this is suspect and needs confirmation.

| 3 ms perf. | 3 fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 3 ms impf. | 3 ms subj. | cp future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(t) t \partial k k$ | $(t) t a k y \bar{e} t$ | $(t) t a ́ k k \not \partial m$ | yattáḳkən | yattákkk | mattakyūtan |

III-w/y verbs whose middle root consonant is '(e.g., bōh 'give milk', rōh 'herd', and sōh 'collect') form their own sub-type, which is a variation on the III-w/y type, rather than of the II-' type. ${ }^{87}$ Following are some sample forms:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3fs perf. | 3mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 1cp perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bəgadūt | bagáwd | bágdək | bágdən |
| Ga III-w/y | kənōh | kanūt | kənōw | kōnək | kōnən |
| Ga III-w/y, II-IG | bəkōh | bəkūt | bəkīw | bakk | bōkən |
| Ga II-‘, III-w/y | rōh | rawt | rayw | rayk | rāyan ${ }^{88}$ |


|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms future | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdam | yabgēd | bagdōna |  |
| Ga III-w/y | yokáyn | yakányam | yoknnéh | kənōna | 'raise' |
| Ga III-w/y, II-IG | yabáyk | yabákyzm | yabkśh | bakōna | 'cry' |
| Ga II-^, III-w/y | $y \partial r \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | yaráyam | $y \partial r \bar{s} h$ | rōna | 'herd' |

87 From the root $n^{\prime} w$, we expect nōh 'mourn'. $M L$ has nayw $\bar{u}$, which is certainly an error. See also the comment to text 75:22.
88 The long $\bar{a}$ comes from *${ }^{*}$, i.e., $r \bar{a} y \partial n<{ }^{*} r \partial ' y \partial n$. If the underlying form were *ráyan (from a base ray-), then we might expect a surface form ráyyan (see § 2.2). Although cf. H-Stem forms like harxāyan, discussed above.

### 7.2.11 Geminate Verbs

Geminate verbs, i.e., verbs whose second and third root consonants are identical, are very common in Mehri; ML lists 174 different geminate verbal roots. The geminate root consonant is never a guttural ( $\left.{ }^{\prime}, \stackrel{\varepsilon}{,} \dot{g}, h, h, x\right)$, with one known exception, ${ }^{89}$ nor is it ever a glide ( $w$ or $y$ ). ${ }^{90}$ They have a unique conjugation in all stems.

In the G-Stem, there is a distinction between Ga- and Gb-Stems, though only marginally, since just two Gb-Stem geminate verbs are attested (yaṣs 'be afraid' and tamm 'be finished'). ${ }^{91}$ Both Ga- and Gb-type geminates have the pattern C ©CC in the 3 ms perfect, though the final geminate cluster may be simplified in speech when word-final (i.e., when no suffixes are added). The 3 mp perfect is formed by adding the suffix $-\partial m$, rather than by ablaut. The 3 fs perfect suffix is $-\bar{u} t$ for Ga -Stems and - $\bar{o} t$ for Gb -Stems, as with strong verbs, though occasionally we find an exceptional form (e.g., śallōt 'she took', $36: 8$ ). Like II-w and II-y verbs (§ 7.2.7), the Ga-Stem geminate imperfect and subjunctive are distinguished by the vowel alternation $\bar{u} \sim \bar{e}$. In fact, the imperfect and subjunctive forms are very close to those of II-y verbs, including having the same ablaut in the second and third person plural forms of the imperfect.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 3ms impf. | 3mp impf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagáwd | bágdək | yəbūgəd | yabágdəm |
| Ga gem. | radd | ráddam | ráddək | yardūd | yardáwd |
| Ga II-y | səyūr | səyáwr | sayárk | yəsyūr | yasyáwr |

89 This is the onomatopoetic baxx 'hiss', used when referring to a snake or lizard (ML, s.v. $b x x$ ).
90 The root $y y$, listed in $M L$ (p. 37), is an error for 'wy. Some other consonants are found only rarely as the geminate element. For example, only one root has a geminate $\not \partial$ ( $k \partial \not \partial \partial \emptyset$ 'squeeze against; drag out'), and only one has a geminate ṣ̌ (ġosṣš 'gulp [milk]'). There are also no geminate roots with initial š or ṣ̆.
$91 \quad M L$ and $H L$ (s.v. $t m m$ ) list Ga-type imperfects and subjunctives for tamm, but the texts consistently have $-\bar{o} t$ for the $3^{\mathrm{ff}}$ perfect, suggesting a Gb-Stem. Cf. tammōt 'it ran out' vs. tommūut 'it irrigated' in text $30: 14$. The one imperfect in the text (yatmōm, $98: 8$ ) was transcribed with $\bar{o}$ by Johnstone, but unfortunately there is no audio for confirmation. The verb tamm is also attested with a Gb-type imperfect and subjunctive in Yemeni Mehri (Jahn 1902: 230) and in Hobyot (HV, p. 169).

|  | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. | ms fut. | mp fut. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabgēd | yabgēdam | bagdōna | bagyēda | 'chase' |
| Ga gem. | yardēd | yardēdəm | raddōna | radyēda | 'go back' |
| Ga II-y | yasyēr | yasyēram | sīōna | sīyēra | 'go' |

As noted above, the Gb-Stem perfect of geminate verbs differs from that of the Ga-Stem only in the $3 \mathrm{fs} .{ }^{92}$ The imperfect and subjunctive forms, however, follow the patterns of strong Gb-Stems.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3mp perf. | 3ms impf.// <br> subj. | 3mp impf./ <br> subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | țībar | tábram | yatbōr | yattbīr | 'break'

In the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem, geminate verbs have the base (a)CCi$C$, again patterning with II-w and II-y verbs (§7.2.7). As noted in §6.2.1, this perhaps reflects a stem that is historically different from the D/L-Stem, but synchronically has taken the place of the D/L-Stem for geminate and II-w/y verbs. Some sample forms are:

[^85]|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'put on fire' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | arōkəb | arákbam | yarákban | yarōkab |  |
| D/L gem. | abdīd | abdīdam | yabdīdən | yabdīd | 'separate' |
| D/L II-y | aşyüh | assüḩam | yaṣȳ̈han | yassyōh | 'shout' |

In the H - and $\mathrm{Sr}_{1}$-Stems, geminate verbs behave as strong verbs in those forms in which the second and third root consonants are separated by a stressed vowel. So, for example:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | harkūb | harkīb | yzhərkūb | yaharkīb |  |
| H gem. | haglūl | haglìl | yahaglūl | yahaglāl | 'boil' |
| Šı strong | šanðūr | šznðìr | yวšznðūr | yašznðìr | 'vow' |
| Ši gem. | šərdūd | šardīd | yašzrdūd | yašzrdīd | 'get back' |

But in the perfect, H - and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$-Stem geminates are subject to the change $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }_{\partial} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~V}$ $>\partial C_{2} C_{2} V(\S 2.1 .8)$. So in the 3 fs perfect, we find forms like hagallūt 'she boiled' < *haglalūt (94:41; cf. strong harkabūt), but in the 2 ms perfect, there is no change, as in (t)tamámk ‘I finished’ (e.g., 18:10), since this form does not contain the sequence $C_{2} \partial C_{2} V$. Likewise, when suffixes are added to the $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ perfect, we get forms like hadallīn 'he guided us' ( $60: 8$, < *hadlalīn) and šmaddáh 'he took it from him' (73:6, < *šamdadīh).

In the dual forms of the H - and $\mathrm{S}_{1}$-Stem imperfect, and in all forms of the H - and $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem subjunctive, imperative, and future, the sequence $C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$, becomes $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$, turning the initial root consonant into the geminate element. Compare the forms in the previous table to the ones below:

|  | $3 \mathrm{md} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. | ms fut. | 'mount' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | yaharkabōh | yahárkab | yahárkabam | mahárkab |  |
| H gem. | yahaggalōh | yahággal | yahággalam | mahággal | 'boil' |
| Ši strong | yдšวnðərōh | yašánðər | yдšánðərวт | mašánðər | 'vow' |
| Ši gem. | yašarradōh | yašárrad | yašárrədəm | mašárrad | 'get back' |

In the T1-Stem, we also find the shift of $C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ to $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$, e.g., 3ms perfect káttal 'it spilled' < *kátlal (37:24). The imperfect of T1-Stem geminates seems to behave as with strong verbs. The same is true for the subjunctive, though with some sound changes, e.g., yaḳtáll < *yaktill < *yaktīlal (cf. strong yaġtïbar). As mentioned in $\S 6.5 .1$, when the second root consonant is a dental or sibilant, we find the same assimilation of the morpheme $t$ that we find in the T2-Stem perfect and subjunctive (§6.5•3), e.g., yarəṣsáwṣ̣ (< *yarวṣṣūṣ < *yarətṣūṣ or *yarṣaṣūṣ < *yartaṣūṣ).

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 mp perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 mp impf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti strong | ġátbar | ġátbaram | $y \partial \dot{g} t a b u ̄ r$ | $y a \dot{g} t a b i ̄ r$ |
| Tı gem. | káttal | káttalam | yaktatūl | yaktaläl |
| T1 gem., II-ṣ | ráttos | ráttoṣam | yzraṣṣáwṣ | yaraṣṣáyṣ |


|  | 3 ms subj . | 3 mp subj. | ms fut. | 'meet' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti strong | $y a \dot{g} t i ̄ b a r$ | yȧt ${ }^{\text {tábram }}$ | maġtïbar |  |
| Tigem. | yaktáll | yaktállam | maktáll | 'spill' |
| T1 gem., II-s | yartáṣṣ | yartáş̣̣am | martáṣs | 'be pressed' |

There are only a few $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem geminates, and data are very sparse. It seems that geminates behave as strong verbs in the perfect, except that we find aw between the identical root consonants where the strong verb has $\bar{u}$ (3ms and 3 fp ) and $\bar{\iota}$ (3mp), and the 3 mp has the suffix -zm in place of ablaut. ${ }^{94}$ Examples are 2 ms subjunctive aṣ́taláwl 'he wandered aimlessly' (cf. strong axtalūf) and 3 mp waddáwdam 'they divided up tasks' (37:25; cf. strong axtalīf). ${ }^{95}$ In the imperfect, $M L$ tells us that all geminate $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stems (the few that there are) have $a w$ in place of expected $\overline{\text { in e e.g., } 3 \mathrm{~ms}}$ yaśtaláwlan (cf. strong yaxtalīfan), but more data are needed to confirm this. The subjunctive has $a w$ in place of $\bar{o}$, e.g., 2 ms təṣ́təláwl (90:7; cf. strong toxtzlōf). No Š2-Stem geminate verbs are attested in the texts; just one is listed in $M L$ (s.v. wdd: šawēdad 'take one's share of work'), but no other forms are provided.

### 7.2.12 "Idle Glottis" Verbs

As discussed elsewhere, voiceless, non-glottalic consonants can have various effects on verb conjugation. For example, the prefix $a$ - of the D/L-Stem (§6.2) and the prefix $h$ - of the H-Stem ( $\S 6.3$ ) are not used before a single voiceless, non-glottalic consonant. When more than one root letter is voiceless and nonglottalic, we see other effects. For example, the Ga-Stem 3 ms perfect pattern $C ə C \bar{u} C$ (e.g., bagūd 'he chased') becomes $C C \bar{u} C$ when the first two consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (§6.1.1). This is because, as discussed in § 2.1.2, an unstressed phonemic a cannot occur between two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants. It was the seminal article by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a) that explained this rule and the associated verb forms. Since they referred to voiceless, non-glottalic consonants as "idle glottis", I refer to verbs that show the effects of two or more idle glottis consonants as idle glottis verbs.

In Ga-Stem, verbs whose second and third root consonants are idle glottis (II/III-IG) have a 3 ms imperfect of the shape $y_{\partial} C \partial \partial^{C C}\left(<{ }^{*} y a C \bar{u} C C\right.$ ). There is nothing irregular about this form. The underlying pattern is the same as those we have seen elsewhere for strong verbs, except for the lack of a between the two idle glottis consonants. The missing vowel creates a closed syllable that leads to the regular vowel reduction $\bar{u}>\partial$. Compare the following forms:

94 As noted already in $\S 2.2 .3$, n. 28, there is some inconsistency in $M L$ on this issue. From the root frr, ML lists the form aftarūr in one place (p. lv), and aftaráwr in another (s.v. frr). On ṣ́ in the form aṣ́taláwl, see the comment to text 90:7 (taṣ́taláwl). The geminate $d$ of waddáwdam has nothing to do with the fact that it is a geminate verb, but rather is the result of an assimilation of the infixed $t$. See further in $\S 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$.

|  | 3ms perf. | 3fs perf. | 1cs perf. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | bagūd | bagədūt | bagádk | 'chase'


|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | yabūgad | yabágdam | yabgēd | bagdōna |
| Ga I/II-IG | yəkūtzb | yakźtbam | yaktēb | ktabōna |
| Ga II/III-IG | yaráfs | yaráfsam | yarfēs | rafsōna |
| Ga I/II/III-IG | yafátk | yafátkam | yaftēk | $f t(\partial) k o ̄ n a$ |

Most idle glottis roots also happen to be III-Guttural. Recall that in the Ga-Stem, III-Guttural verbs (§7.2.9) have a 3 ms perfect pattern $C \bar{u} C ə C$. For II/III-IG verbs, the 3 ms perfect is therefore $\operatorname{CəCC}\left(<{ }^{*} C \bar{u} C C\right.$ ). Some sample Ga-Stem forms of III-Guttural idle glottis forms are:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms impf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-Gutt. | sūmạh | yasūmạh | yasmēḥ |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | 'forgive' |  |  |
|  | fash | yafásh | yəfsēh |
| 'let in' |  |  |  |

96 Because of the initial liquid, one can also hear 3 ms ərfüs, 3 fs ərfsüt, and 1 cs $\partial r f$ f́sk.

| Ga III-Gutt., IG | $f \partial s x$ | yafásx | $y \partial f s e \bar{x}$ | 'dash away' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | fat ${ }^{97}$ | yafáth | yaftēh | 'open' |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | nəfh | yanåfh | yanfēh | 'recover' |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | nəf̣ | yanáf̣ | yanfēh | 'anger' |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | $n a f x$ | $y z n a ́ f x$ | yanfēx | 'blow' |
| Ga III-Gutt., IG | $n \partial t x$ | yanátx | yantēx | 'pluck' |

With III-w/y verbs, which have the base $C^{\circ} C_{\partial-}$ for the forms of the first and second person perfect, the addition of the pronominal subject marker (except ${ }_{1 c p}$ ) results in an idle glottis cluster, as discussed already in §7.2.10. The same holds true for the common III-' verb $k \bar{u} s a$, which has the base $k \bar{u} s$ - for the forms of the first and second person perfect. Compare the following additional forms:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 1cs perf. | 2 fs perf. | 1cp perf. | 'chase' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | $b a g \bar{d} d$ | bágdək | bágdaš | bágdan |  |
| Ga III-w/y | kanōh | kōnak | ḳōnəš | kōnวn | 'raise' |
| Ga III-w/y. II-IG | $b a k o ̄ h$ | bakk | bakš | bōkan | 'cry' |
| Ga III-w/y, I/II-IG | $f{ }^{\prime}$ sōh | faśk | faśš | föṡzn | 'lunch' |
| Ga III-', I/II-IG | $k u \bar{s} a$ | kask | kasš | kūsən | 'find' |

In the Gb-Stem, II/III-IG verbs show the expected vowel reduction in the perfect $\left(\mathrm{Ci} \mathrm{C} \partial \mathrm{C}>{ }^{*} \mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CC}>\mathrm{C} \partial \mathrm{CC}\right)$, though such verbs are rare.

97 According to $M L$ (ftḥ), G fütəḥ also occurs, but this needs confirmation. The form yaftoth, given in $M L$ for the 3 ms imperfect, is a typographical error.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | $\underline{\text { tïlor }}$ | yatabōr |  |
| Gb II/III-IG | notk | yontōk | yontōk |

D/L-Stem verbs whose second and third root consonants are idle glottis have unusual forms in the perfect and the subjunctive, both showing a change * $\mathrm{C} \overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{C} \mathrm{C}>{ }^{*} \mathrm{C} \bar{o} C C>\mathrm{CaCC}$. The imperfect forms are not affected.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | 'put on fire' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | arōkab | yarákbən | yarōkəb |  |
| D/L II/III-IG | anáf | yanáf̣̆̇n | yanáf̣ | 'threaten' |
| D/L II/III-IG | anáfx | yanáfxan | yanáfx | 'be angry' |
| D/L strong, I-IG | (s)söfar | yassáfran | yassōfar | 'travel' |
| D/L I/II/III-IG | (f)fask | yaffáskan | yaffásk | 'separate' |
| D/L I/II/III-IG | (f)fasx | yaffásxan | yaffásx | 'take off' |
| D/L I/I/III-IG | (f)fatš | yaffátšan | yaffátš | 'examine' |

Idle glottis (both I/II and II/III) forms can be found in other stems. For example, the 3 ms subjunctive of the Šı-Stem I-w idle glottis verb šzwkūf 'sleep' has the shape yašàkf. This derives from *yašáwkf < *yašáwkaf, with the loss of schwa and then the reduction of the diphthong $a w$ to $\partial$. III-Guttural, idle glottis Hand Ši-Stems also show reduction ${ }^{*} C \bar{u} C ə C>{ }^{*} C \bar{u} C C>C ə C C$ in the imperfect. In the T2-Stem of III-Guttural verbs, we see vowel reduction in both the perfect and subjunctive ( ${ }^{*} C \overline{ } \bar{C}$ ə $C>C a ́ C C$ ). Compare:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H III-Gutt. | handēx | $y \partial h \partial n u ̄ d a x$ or yahəndūx | yahándax | 'fumigate' |
| H III-Gutt., II/III-IG | hanfêx | yahanáfx or yahanfūx | yahánfax | 'inflate' |
| Šis III-Gutt. | šadlēx | $y \partial s ̌ d u ̄ l a x$ | yzšádlax | 'be despoiled' |
| Ši III-Gutt., I/II-IG | š(a)ftēh | yašfáth | yašáftah | 'be mated' |
| Ši I-w | šawgūś | $y \partial s ̌(\partial w) g u ̄ s{ }^{\text {d }}$ | yašáwgaś | 'go in evening' |
| Šı I-w, II/III-IG | šawkūf | $y \partial s ̌(\partial w) k u ̄ f$ | yašàkf | 'sleep' |
| T1 I-w | wátkə ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | yatḳáw | yatīkə ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 'wake up' |
| Tı I-w, II/III-IG | wátx(a)f | yatxáwf | yztáxf | 'come' |
| T2 III-Gutt. | aftōrah | yaftárḥan | yaftōroh | 'be excited' |
| T2 III-Gutt., II/III-IG | aftásh | yaftásḥan | yaftásh | 'wander' |

### 7.2.13 Doubly and Triply Weak Verbs

A significant percentage of Mehri verbs have more than one weak root consonant. Some discussion of doubly-weak roots-that is, verbs with two weak root consonants-can be found already in the preceding sections. Below are some additional representative forms of just some of the many possible combinations.

|  | Stem | 3 ms perf. | 1cs perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-^, geminate | Ga | 'as's' | 'äśśak | $y a ̄ s u^{\prime} s$ | $y a ̄ s \overline{c o s}^{\prime}$ | 'get up' |
| I-^, II/III-IG | Ga | $\bar{a} k u \bar{s}$ | ākósk | yzàks | $y a \bar{k} k \bar{s}$ | 'mix' |


| Gem., II-Glott. | Ga | şakk |  | yaşkáwk | yaṣkāk | 'call' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II-w, III-y | Ga | hawōh | hōwวk | yaháyw | yahwéh | 'fall' |
| II-w, III-y | T1 | mátwi | mátwayak | yamtūwi | yamtáyw | 'have time' |
| I-Glott., II-w | G | $s$ sōr | ssark | yaṣáwr | $y a s ̣ a ̄ r$ | 'stand' |
| I-Glott., III- | Ga | káwla | kálak | yokōla | $y a k l \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 'leave' |
| I-Glott., III- | Gb | đ̣áyma | đ̣áymək | yдव̣ōma | $y \partial \partial ̣ m \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | 'be thirsty' |
| I-l, III-Gutt. | Ga | lūtog | látġ $k$ | $y a t u ̄ t \partial \dot{g}$ | $y z w t \bar{e} \dot{g}$ | 'kill' |
| II-w/y, III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Ga | gūya | gáyak | yagy ${ }^{\prime}$ | yagyé | 'be hungry' |
| I-Glott., II-w/y, III-' | Ga | đ̣áwya | đ̣áwyək | $y \partial \partial y^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | yzव̧y ${ }^{\prime}$ | 'smell' |
| I-w, II-Glott., III- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Gb | wīka | wákak | yzwōka | $y a \bar{a} k{ }^{\prime}$ | 'be' |
| II-¢, , III-w/y | G | rōh | rayk | $y \partial r \varepsilon^{\prime}$ | $y a r \bar{c} h$ | 'herd' |
| I-^, II-w/y | D/L | a'yüt. | a'yátk | yāyīton | $y$ āyüt | 'cry out' |
| I-¢, III-w/y | H | hānōh | hānáyk | yaháyn | $y \partial h \bar{\varepsilon} n$ | 'intend' |
| I-w, II-Glott., III-Gutt. | H | hawkáa | hawkāk | $y z h o ̄ k a^{98}$ | yaháwḳa | 'put' |
| II- ${ }^{\text {c }}$, III-w | $\check{S ̌}_{1}$ | šōda | šádak | yašōda | $y \partial s ̌ e ̄ d a^{99}$ | 'curse' |

Most of the time, forms of verbs with multiple weak root consonants are predictable, since the rules for the different weak types simply combine. For exam-

[^86]ple, the verb 'aśś behaves like other geminate verbs, except that the sequence \#Ca- becomes \#C $\bar{a}$ - in the 3 ms imperfect (and elsewhere), as we expect with a I-‘ verb.

Sometimes one weak type can take precedence over another. For example, II-Guttural verbs normally have the suffix -ōt in the 3 fs perfect, while III-w/y verbs have the suffix $-\bar{u} t$. For verbs that are both II-Guttural and III-w/y, the latter takes precedence in the 3fs perfect, where we find - $\bar{u} t$, e.g., harxáwt 'she released' (< *harxūt, 89:10). Sometimes there are forms connected to both root types. For example, for the root $l h w / l h y$, there is evidence of both a II-h type (alhē) and III-w/y type (lahōh) in the G-Stem. ${ }^{100}$

Sometimes, however, combinations of weak consonants result in unexpected conjugations. For example, the H -Stem 3 ms imperfects yahōka (root $w k^{c}$ ) and yzhōra (root $w r^{c}$ ) do not look like other I-w H-Stems or other III-‘ HStems, in that the $w$ is lost. The Šı-Stem šōda 'curse' (root $d^{\prime} w$ ) does not look like either a II-` or III-w verb. ${ }^{101}$ Another example is the G-Stem II-‘, III-w/y verb rōh 'herd' (§7.2.10). Based on other III-w/y verbs (e.g., ḳarōh 'hide', 1cs ḳōrək), we could not predict the ics perfect form rayk.

### 7.2.14 Anomalous Verbs

A number of verbs can only be called anomalous, as they exhibit irregularities that make their conjugation unique and unpredictable. For example, the GaStem verb tawōh 'eat' is anomalous in the subjunctive, in that other verbs of the same type do not lose the consonant $w .{ }^{102}$ Compare:

|  | 3ms perf. | 1cs perf. | 3ms impf. | 3mp impf. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga II-w, III-y | həwōh | hōwək | yəháyww | yzháwyam |
| Ga II-w, III-y | 'fall' |  |  |  |

[^87]|  | 3ms subj. | 3mp subj. | ms fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga II-w, III-y | yahwéh | yzhwīyzm | hawyōna |
| Ga II-w, III-y | yall' |  |  |
|  | yatīyzm | tawyōna | 'eat' |

Also anomalous are the verbs (f)fūk 'give in marriage' and šfūk 'get married' (the latter used with a female subject only), which are, respectively, the H - and $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems of the root $h f \underset{k}{ }$. In the H-Stem, the root consonant $h$ shows irregular assimilation, e.g., $3 \mathrm{~ms}(f) f u \bar{k}$ < ${ }^{*} h h f u \bar{u} .{ }^{103}$ The Šı-Stem perfect may be derived directly from the H-Stem by analogy (i.e., H (f)fūk. $\rightarrow$ Šı šfūk, like H (h)həwūb 'warm by the fire (trans.)' $\rightarrow$ Šis šhzwūb 'warm oneself by the fire'), rather than by sound change due to assimilation of $h($ *̌̌zhfūk. > *̌̌ffūk > šfūk). Following are some sample forms:

|  | 3ms/3fp perf. | 3fs perf. | 3 mp perf. | 1cs perf. | 'frighten' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | (f)farūk. | (f)farḳáwt | (f)farīk | (f)faràk (a)k |  |
| H hf | (f)fūk. | (f)fə ${ }^{\text {káwt }}$ | (f)fik |  | 'give in marriage’ |
| Š1 strong | šznðūr | šənðərūt | šznðìr | šanðə́rk | 'vow' |
| Ši $h f k^{104}$ | $s$ šfūk | šfợáwt | *sfik. | šfákak | 'get married' |

103 See further in the study of Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017a).
104 As noted above, the verb šfük is only used with a feminine subject, but the masculine forms given in this table and the next are still illustrative of its conjugation (e.g., 3 fs imperfect tašfük can be inferred from $3 \mathrm{~ms} y \partial s ̌ f u ̄ k$ ). The 3 ms form šffük is not hypothetical, since the 3 ms perfect is always identical to the 3 fp , but the other masculine forms are not used (hence the asterisks).

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{impf}$. | $3 \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{impf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms fut. | fs fut. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | yaffarūk | yaffarik. | yaháfrok | maháfrak | maffarkāta |
| H $h f \ldots$ | yaffük | yaffik | yaháffak | maháffak | maffakāta |
| Ši strong | yzšənðūr | yašznðı̄r | yдšánðər | məšánðər | məšənðərēta |
| Ši hfk | *yašfūk. | * $y$ ašfik | *yašáffak | *mašáffak. | mašfakāta |

The same assimilation of $h$ is seen in the T2-Stems $(t)$ ta $k k^{\prime}$ 'drink' (root hky; discussed in § 7.2.10) and attōma 'listen' (root $\mathrm{hm}^{`}$ ), both of which are well attested in the texts, as well the H-Stem (f)fēh 'save; put aside (food) as distasteful' and Š1-Stem šfēh 'eat food put aside', neither of which occurs in the texts. ${ }^{105}$ All of these verbs are the subject of a thorough study by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017a).

It is unclear whether or not to call certain verbs anomalous. For example, the G-Stem of the historical root ṣ́bt has a 3 ms perfect ṣ́āt 'he took', and 3ms imperfect subjunctive yaṣōt, neither of which is predictable based on that root. We could call this anomalous or irregular, but in synchronic terms, it seems (based on the limited data in the texts) that the verb ṣāt $\operatorname{simply}$ behaves as if the root were ṣ́t (that is, like a II-‘ verb), and the fact that its root is ṣ́bt is just a historical curiosity. ${ }^{106}$

Another example is the verb śittzm 'buy'. As already noted above ( $\S 6.5 .2$ and $\S 7.2 .6$ ), this verb is historically a T1-Stem of the root s'm (cf. G soom 'sell'), but it conjugates as if it were a regular Gb-Stem from the root śtm (cf. the ms future śtamōna). Like ṣāṭ, śítzm is anomalous only if we consider its historical root.

On the verb (ś)śawk 'light (on fire), burn (trans.)', see § 6.3.1, n. 35, and on the seemingly anomalous Šis šawǵáwr 'raid', H hawṣáwb 'hit (with a bullet)', and Ši šawṣáwb 'be wounded, be hit (with a bullet)', see § 7.2.7. The verb yakūn (root $k w n$ ) is anomalous in that it is used only in the imperfect (see $\S 13.1 .2$ ), but its conjugation is as expected for a II-w Ga-Stem.

[^88]The most common anomalous verb is ḥom 'want', which will be discussed separately in the following section.

### 7.3 The Irregular Verb hām 'want'

The verb heom 'want' is extremely common, appearing approximately 350 times in the texts. ${ }^{107}$ The verb only conjugates like an imperfect, but can have both past-tense and present-tense meanings. ${ }^{108}$ It can also be used where context requires a perfect, e.g., after a conditional particle (§13.4) or a temporal conjunction ( $\S 13.5 \cdot 3$ ), and can even be used (in conjunction with an auxiliary) in a context that requires a subjunctive (see $\S 7$ 7.1.9.1). Its conjugation is irregular. In addition to containing vowel patterns that do not match other verb types, it normally lacks a prefix in the 1 cs and 1 cd forms. ${ }^{109}$ Its forms are as follows:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 C | hoom | hamōh | naḥōm |
| 2 m | thōm | tḥamōh | thaym |
| 2 f | tháymi |  | thōman |
| 3 m | yahōm | yahamōh | yaháám |
| 3 f | thōom | thamōh | thōman |

There are several uses of this verb. The basic and most common meaning of the verb is 'want', followed by a direct object or by a dependent verb. Some examples with a nominal or pronominal direct object are:

[^89]haom attētic 'I want my wife’ (37:23)
hēśan thōm ... ḥōm ḳáwzarati trayt 'what do you want? ... I want two baskets of dates' (98:4)
hām mōh 'I want water' (99:28)
al ḥámsan lā ‘I don’t want them’ (89:27)
hədəlláy bə-wōrəm țayt ð-əl sē ḥōrəm ðə-ḥáms lā ‘he directed me to a certain road that wasn't the road I wanted' (62:3)

A following dependent verb appears in the subjunctive, as in:

```
hōm al-h\overline{rras `I want to get married' (8:8)}
thōm tāz\varepsilońman ḥabrēk 'do you want to give us your son?' (2:3)
thaćymi tšǎsffki `do you want to marry me?` (24:17)
al hoom al-h\overline{\varepsilon}tam lā 'I don't want to stay the night` (31:4)
yahoom yoṭāf layš 'he wants to visit with you' (38:16)
thaym (t)śxáwlam aw là 'do you want to stay or not' (35:5)
naḥōm nassōf\partialr 'we wanted to travel' (91:1)
thooman attīyan tīk 'they wanted to eat you' (15:18)
hōm al-háḥraḳk-āmēlak `I want to burn your field' (91:11)
```

In all of the above nine examples, the subject of hom is the same as that of the following verb. But in Mehri, as in English and some Arabic dialects, the verb hōm can be followed by a nominal or pronominal direct object, which in turn can be the subject of a following verb. Consider the following examples:
thaym $\partial t t e \bar{t} t$ tardēd 'do you want the woman to come back?' (4:8)
hōh ḥōm ağáyti (t)śaléli ‘I wanted my sister to carry me’ (89:14)
nəḥámhəm yāśēśəm 'we want them to wake up' (4:15)
hamk tśné’ attētic 'I want you to see my wife’ (22:41)
tháyman al-ḥõ naháxlaf 'where do you want us to move to?' (29:8)
ḥáybhəm yahámhəm yāạām asdə $k \bar{a}$ ' 'their father wanted them to be friends' (50:1)
naḥámkam tafṣālam əm-mənwīn 'we want you to mediate between us' (77:9)
hēśan thámi l-āmōl 'what do you want me to do?’ (90:14)

If this kind of hoom construction occurs in the protasis of a conditional sentence, and the same dependent verb should be repeated in the apodosis, then the verb is used only once, in the subjunctive. Examples of this are:
hām tḥámən, nəślēl 'if you want us to (move), we'll move' (30:3)
hām ar thámki, l-āmərōh'if you really want us both to (sing), let's both sing' (52:6)

Used independently, without any object or dependent verb, hōm (in any form) is the equivalent of 'want to', 'will', 'do', or 'be willing'. This use (especially when the form is a first person) often comes in response to a question or statement containing hōm. Examples are:
tḥōm tśōm līn askáyn ðōmah ... ḥōm lā 'do you want to sell us this knife? ... I don't want to' (4:10)
tháymi hōh al-ṭāf? wa-ya-ḥōl, naḥōm 'do you want me to scout? Indeed, we do' (29:13-14)
hām ar fflkáwk, hōh ḥōm 'if he really lets you marry (me), I am willing' (38:19)
hām al tháymi lā, sīrīta təwōli aḳaṣṣāb 'if you don't want to [or: if you won't], I'll go to the butcher' (49:3)
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r} \partial n ~ h-a n o ̄ x \partial ð \overline{e ́, ~ " s ́ o ̄ m ~ l i ̄ n ~ k ̣ a w t!" ~} \bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "hōm lā" 'we said to the captain, "Sell us food!" He said, "I don't want to [or: I won't]"' (91:14)

In one passage, hām (plus a direct object) has the meaning 'accept'. Another verb would probably use the perfect tense in this context (see § 7.1.1):
naḥōm śsrṭ ðōmah 'we accept this deal' (24:39)

### 7.3.1 Cohortative ḥ̄om

The first-person forms ḥōm, ḥamōh, and naḥōm can have a cohortative meaning 'let's' or 'I/we should'. Dependent verbs, most always also first person, are subjunctive, as expected. icp cohortatives are by far the most common. Examples are:
naḥōm naháxlaf məkōn 'let's move away [lit. change place]' (10:12)
nəḥōm nakfél adakkōn wa-nsyēr abáyt 'we should close the store and go home' (22:7)
nahōm narṣān ṭāṭīdáyyan 'let's tie each other up' (24:25)
naḥōm naghōm 'let's go' (94:2)
naḥōm nadfēn amaláwtəg่ ‘let’s bury the dead’ (64:26)
naḥōm naktïlot 'let's chat' (74:14)
Only a few dual cohortatives are attested in the texts:

# hamōh al-sīrōh arḥabēt al-falāníyya 'let's go to such and such town' (66:2) hamōh al-sirōh ba-l-xəfē' 'let's go in disguise' (66:3) <br> ḥəmōh l-affawtáh te đ̣ār akōn ðēk w-al-šḥagyáh ḥalákəmah let’s run away up onto that peak and make a stand there' (83:2) 

A handful of ics cohortatives are also attested:

ḥōm al-šáwgaś ‘I should leave’ (38:18)
ðōməh ag̀̄̄gēn wəḳōna axáyr mənáy mət ākáwr, wa-hōm l-əwtág̉วh 'this boy will be better than me when he grows up, so I should kill him' (76:12)
ḥōm al-syēr ḍār ḥamōh 'I should go to the water' (94:19)
hōm, mət ḥābū šawgiss, l-ənkēś al-āgáwz ðə-mtōt ‘I should, when the people leave, dig up the old woman who died' (65:3)

This last example (65:3) is a nice illustration of how hōm (cohortative or not) is allowed to be separated from a dependent verb by another clause. ${ }^{110}$

In a few cases, a 1cp cohortative is followed by a third-person verb. Two examples are:
anḥōm ṭāt yāká’ ḥáywal, wa-trōh yamnēm tah 'let's one of us be crazy, and two should hold him back' (91:6)
nəḥōm a $\dot{g} \bar{a} k$ yah $\bar{\varepsilon} r \partial s ~ b i \bar{s}$ 'we should have your brother marry her' (97:44)

And in one case, a dependent verb is merely implied:
naḥōm awṭōmah 'we should (do) like this' (29:11)

### 7.3.2 Motion Verb ḥōm

The verb hōm can also be used as a sort of pseudo-motion verb, best translated as 'be heading to/for'. In this meaning, it is most often found in a circumstantial clause, preceded by a verb of motion (usually sayūr 'go', gahēm 'go', or (s)sōfar 'travel'). Sometimes it is followed by a preposition ( $h$ - or tawōli), other times by a direct object, with no difference in meaning. The form of hoom is often separated from the motion verb by an adverbial phrase (or phrases). Consider the following examples:

[^90]ssáfrak man dabáy fanēmšīh ba-ṭayyāryah, ḥōm ha-lándan 'I traveled from
Dubai the day before yesterday by plane, heading for London' (18:1) sayárki hōh wa-sadáyḳi ... naḥōm tawōli amkōni ‘we went, my friend and I
... heading to my place' (18:8)
sīrōh agáyg wa-ḥabráh, yaháym h-abáyt 'the man and his son left, heading for home' (22:9)
gahémk mən aśhạ́yr, ḥōm ṣalōt 'I went from the mountains, heading to Ṣelot' (38:2)
xəṭarāt ð-aghōm, ḥōm təwōli sékəni ‘once I was walking, heading towards my settlement' (54:4)
gahēm, yahōom h-arḥabēt 'he went, heading for the town' (65:4)
sáfrom mon akāhəm, yaháym ha-kā’ ṭāt 'they travelled from their country, heading for a certain country' (74:1)
$k$-sōbaḥ gahmōh, yahamōh tawōli ḥaskániham 'in the morning they went off, heading for their settlements' (76:13)
ftūk ḥabrē ða-ḥōkam, yaḥōm h-amasgēd 'the ruler's son left, heading for the mosque' (85:17)

This use of haom is probably an extension of its use in the following type of sentence, where heom is preceded by a verb of motion and followed by a dependent verb:
 to town' (98:1)
sīrūt tِabráyn, thōōm tamśśh 'the hyena went off, heading to defecate' (99:12)
ġayg sōfar bark markēb, yaḥōm yabtōṣ́a man raḥbēt ṭayt ‘a man traveled in a ship, intending to shop [or: trade] in a certain town' (103:1)

The use of heōm to mean 'be heading to' following a verb of motion has led to its independent use with this meaning (i.e., without a preceding motion verb). In the half dozen or so attested examples of hōm used independently in this way in the texts, it is always followed by a preposition. Examples are:
hadlīli ba-sēkan ðə-hōm tawēhe 'they directed me to the settlement that I was heading to' (38:7)
hē mahráy, yaḥōm h-akāả ð-amhəréh 'he was a Mehri, heading to the land of the Mehris' (59:1)
al šay ḥātam lā yahááym al-ḥõ 'I am not sure where they were headed' (73:1)
tháymi al-ḥõ ... ḥōm təwōli ag̉átke 'where are you headed? ... I am headed to your sisters' (85:3)

### 7.3.3 Proximative and Avertative ḥōm

Another use of hōm is in conjunction with the particle $\operatorname{ber}$ (§12.5•7), together with which it has a proximative meaning 'be about to' or an avertative meaning 'nearly.' ${ }^{111}$ This use is found about ten times in the texts. Some of these attestations are:

> béri ḥōm l-awbáds 'I was about to shoot her' (or: 'I nearly shot her') (54:13)
> bars thōom tháhkoṭ '(the camel) is about to give birth' (63:15)
> bárham yaháym yašákfam 'they were about to go to sleep' (75:17)
> bárah yạhōm yamēt 'he was about to die' (or: 'he was nearly dead') (75:18)
> kask aǵáyg đeh bárəh yạhōm yahwéh 'I found this man about to fall' (77:6) bárah yậōm yasyēr 'he is about to go' (91:26)

### 7.3.4 Future ḥōm

In Yemeni Mehri dialects, the verb heom can indicate a future, as it can also in Hearsusi. There are a small number of places in the texts where we might consider haom to be indicating a future, but it is normally not used as such in Omani Mehri, or at least not in our texts. An example where hām could be interpreted either as 'want' or a future is:
ba-kám tḥōm (t)śōm lay amandáwkak 'how much do you want to [or: will you] sell me your rifle for?' (39:1)

For examples of hōm used to indicate the future in Yemeni Mehri, see Lonnet (1994b: 231) and Simeone-Senelle (1993: 262-263).

[^91]
## CHAPTER 8

## Prepositions

The Mehri prepositions found in the texts are:

| $b$ - 'in, at; with; for; on' <br> bād 'after' <br> bark 'in(to), inside; among' <br> ḍār 'on; about' <br> fanōhan 'before; in front of; <br> $\dot{g} a y r$ 'except' <br> $h$ - 'to; for' <br> hāl'at, by, beside' <br> (al-)hīs 'like, as' <br> $k$ - 'with' <br> $l$ - 'to; for' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

man 'from'
am-mán 'between' man đ̛ār 'after' man gayr 'without' man kadē ‘about' naxāli 'under' sabēb 'because of' $s \bar{a} r$ 'behind' $t \varepsilon$ 'until, up to' təwōli 'to, towards'

There are also two particles that cannot strictly be called prepositions, but that behave as such or are translated as such. Therefore, they are included in this chapter. These are:

```
ar 'except, but' }x\overline{a}\mathrm{ 'like, as ... as'
```

Prepositions are of two types. The first type, those consisting of a single consonant, are prefixed to the noun. There are only four of these: $b-, h$-, $k$-, and $l$-. When a noun begins with a consonant, these prepositions are usually pronounced with the helping vowel $\partial$. The voiceless $h$ - and $k$ - are pronounced without a following vowel when the following word begins with a single voiceless, non-glottalic consonant (§ 2.1.2), while an initial $l a$ - is often realized $a l$-. A few examples are:

| b-anēhar 'in the wadi' (28:5) | ba-hōram 'on the road' (3:1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| h-arḥabēt 'to the town' (22:37) | ha-lándən 'to London' (18:1) |
| $h$-sawk 'to the market' (22:2) | ka-bā nəwās 'with Ba Newas' (65:9) |
| $k$-abkár 'with the cattle' (15:5) | $k$-həb $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'with the camels' (42:1) |
| l-arḥabēt 'to the town' (65:5) | al-sékanah 'to his settlement' (9:10) |

The second type, which includes all other prepositions, are treated as separate words. Each of the prepositions listed above will be treated in turn below. Some additional (and rare) compound prepositions will be treated together in §8.22.

Pronominal objects of prepositions are indicated by suffixes, with the exception of $(\partial l-) h \bar{s}$ and $t \varepsilon$. These suffixes are sometimes attached to a base that is different from the bare form of the preposition, and the suffixes themselves can differ slightly from those used with nouns and verbs (§3.2). A complete list of prepositions with pronominal suffixes appears in $\S 8.23$.

## 8.1 ar 'except, but'

The particle ar appears frequently in the texts and with a variety of meanings, most of which are discussed in $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$. One of the basic meanings of $a r$ is 'only' (see $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$ ), and from this meaning we often find a preposition-like usage corresponding to the prepositional use of English 'except' or 'but'. It can be followed by a noun or an independent pronoun. Examples are:

> al šay hīkam masḥaṭáwt lā ar tēt̄i 'I have no slaughter-animal for you except my wife' (4:4)

> anymore, except old men' (25:19)
> al xalūf walēd lā ar ġaganōt 'he left behind no children except a girl' (32:10)

> hōh al aḳáwdar l-aġtáyr anglīz̄̄yat lā ar xawr 'I couldn't speak English except for a little bit' (62:7)
> alaḥād yasūkən bah lā ar akayōy 'no one lived in it except spirits' (76:11)
> alšīn darēham lā ar xawr 'we didn't have any money except a little' (84:1) ${ }^{1}$
> al šīs walēd lā ar hē 'she had no children except him' (36:3)
> $\bar{a} d a h a l a h ̣ a ̄ d ~ y o ̄ m a r ~ h a ́ y n i ~ a h a s ̣ a ́ w l a ̣ h ~ l a ̄ ~ a r ~ h e ̄ t ~ ' n o ~ o n e ~ e v e r ~ h a s ~ t o l d ~ m e ~$ that I do (it) well except you' (52:17)

[^92]In the last two examples, $a r$ is followed by an independent pronoun, rather than an object pronoun. This makes it clear that ar does not function grammatically as a true preposition. The particle $a r$ in the use described above nearly always appears in a phrase negated with ( $a l$ ) ... $l \bar{a}$. The one exception in the texts, out of more than twenty examples, is found in text 29:6 (in a question). To express 'except' in a positive sentence, $\dot{g} a y r$ is normally used (see § 8.7).

Just as English 'except' and 'but' can function both as prepositions and as conjunctions, so too can Mehri ar, with the same meanings. There are about ten examples in the texts, nearly always following a negative clause. When $a r$ is followed by hām 'if' (§13.4.1), then the sequence $\operatorname{ar} h \bar{a} m$ is often best translated by 'unless', as in 36:29 below. Some examples are:

```
śćwōṭ tanōfa lā ar hām sē ənxāli ḥamōh 'fire is not useful unless [lit.
    except if] it is under the water' \((36: 29)\)
hōh al anōḥag lā ar ba-xaláwkye 'I will not dance without [lit. except
    with] my (own) clothes' (37:9)
yawázmam tīsan ayd lā, ar hām wakōt sanēt kamht 'they don't give them
    sardines, except if it is a bad year' (58:7)
sē al tḥáməh lā, ar ḥkáwm līs hábse 'she didn't love him, but her parents
    forced her' (75:1)
al ạ̣ād yakáwdar yašárbas lā ar ba-mśs̄b 'no one could climb up to it
    except with ladders' (88:1)
a'iš́ryz lā, ar xaṣámys 'not my friends, but my enemies' (94:32)
```

On the compound ar w- 'unless', see $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$.

## $8.2 \quad b$ - 'in, at; with; for; on'

The preposition $b$ - has a variety of functions. It can indicate location 'in' or 'at', or an instrumental 'with' or 'by'. It can also mean 'with' in its comitative sense (with some verbs of motion); 'for', in the sense of 'in exchange for'; and even 'on', usually with reference to the body. The basic locative meaning 'in, at' is illustrated in the following examples:

> bə-wōdi, kūsa hab $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'in a valley, he found the camels' (12:10)
> yasūkan ba-rh̆abēt 'he was living in a town' (20:1)
> hē ba-hánd 'he is in India' (4o:6)
> h-arhabēet ðə-bīs tēē 'to the town that the woman was in' (22:37)
> wakōna ber bīsən aśxōf 'maybe they have [lit. in them (is)] milk already' $\quad(29: 7)$

The instrumental meaning of $b$ - is illustrated in the following examples:
əwbáds bə-məndáwk ‘shoot it with a rifle!’ (6:10)
sabūt ${ }^{\text {bah }}$ tēt 'he hit the woman with it' (4:9)
lūtag mēkan b-aškáyah 'he killed many with his sword' (69:7)


With verbs of motion, $b$ - has a comitative meaning 'with'. When combined with the verb nūka 'come', the sense is usually 'bring'; ${ }^{2}$ it can also sometimes have this sense with other motion verbs, like sayūr 'go'. Some examples are:

```
ankáyh ba-maṣráwf 'he brought him supplies' (8:7)
awbáysi ba-xalōwak yadōn nákak tīk bīham 'put on new clothes that I
    brought for you!' (24:6)
nak \(\bar{\varepsilon} m\) tı̄ ba-'ayśé 'bring me dinner!' (99:2)
sayūr b-abkarēt 'he went with the cow' (1:12)
məḥámməd nūda bə-ḥāráwn 'Muhammad went out with the goats'
    (14A:1)
sayūr \(b \bar{\iota} s\) 'he went away with her' (48:16)
anḥōm nassōfar bah 'we want to travel with him' (91:8)
```

The common phrase $n \bar{u} k a b$ - 'bring' merits some further comment. Its meaning 'bring', as stated above, comes from the use of $b$ - as a comitative 'with' in conjunction with verbs of motion. A sentence like 'come to me with food!' comes simply to mean 'bring me food!'. So what in English is the direct object of 'bring' (e.g., 'food' in the previous example) must be preceded by $b$-in Mehri. Moreover, the verb $n \bar{u} k a$ as a motion verb normally takes a direct object, and so the English indirect object (whether a person or place) is normally the direct object in Mehri (cf. the first three examples above), though sometimes this object is indicated by a preposition $h$-, tzwōli, or $h \bar{a} l$, for example:
ankōt həh bə-ḩamōh 'she brought him [or: for him] water' (48:11)
nákam bïham tawálhe 'they brought them to him' (48:13)
nūka bīs hāl ḥáybəh wə-hāmáh 'he brought her to his father and mother' (48:19)

[^93]The phrase nūka $b$ - can also have the idiomatic meaning 'bear (a child)' (e.g., 11:4; 85:31), which is obviously a more specific meaning derived from the broader 'bring (forth)'. When referring to humans, at least, this idiom can have either a male or female subject; if the other partner with whom the subject has a child is mentioned, the partner is preceded by mən. Some examples are:

> nūka mans ba- $\dot{g}$ Ī $\bar{e} n ~ w a-\dot{g} \partial g ə n o ̄ t ~ ' h e ~ h a d ~ w i t h ~ h e r ~ a ~ b o y ~ a n d ~ a ~ g i r l ' ~(48: 1) ~$ ənkōt mánəh ba-ğ̄gēn ‘she bore with him a boy' (75:25)

The preposition $b$ - can also have the meaning 'for, in exchange for', as in:

```
āmōl xōtzm b-alf d\overline{n}\overline{a}r 'make a ring for a thousand dinars' (22:47)
wazmōna tīk ganbáyyat b-amandáwkak 'I will give you a dagger for your
    rifle' (34:11)
anḥāh k.ş̦́yēya tīk ba-hāmēk 'we will compensate you for (the loss of)
    your mother' (65:14)
ba-kám ś\varepsilon̄mōna tīs 'how much will you sell it for?' (99:50)
```

And, finally, $b$ - can have the meaning 'on', most often with reference to the body, but also with certain words like wōram 'road', $k \bar{a}$ ' 'ground', and gazáyrat 'island':

```
ġayg дд-yasyūr bว-hōrəm 'a man was traveling on the road' (3:1)
kask śotráyr b-ak.k```'I found a rag on the ground' (63:9)
aġáyg ðə-gūdəḩ̣ ba-gzáyrət 'the man who washed up on an island' (74:3)
bah śēf mēkan 'he had a lot of hair' (lit. 'on him (was) a lot of hair') (9:8)
ðōmah al bahfēhal là 'this (man) has no penis' (lit. 'on him (there is) no
penis') (24:36)
```

The last two examples show how this meaning of $b$ - can be used to indicate certain kinds of inalienable possession. For discussion and more examples of this, see §13.3.2.

The preposition $b$ - is also used in conjunction with a large number of verbs, and it is probably this use of $b$ - which is encountered most often. Such verbs are:
áygab b- 'love, be in love with'
(vs. áygab man 'be delighted with')
$\bar{a} t a k a ́ w d ~ b$ - 'believe in'
áylak $b$ - 'run short for/of'
áymal b- 'do to s.o.' (vs. áymal 'do s.t.')
hāmūn b- 'trust s.o.'
$h \bar{a} m \bar{u} r b$ - 'give an order for s.t.' (vs. hāmūr l- 'order s.o.')
$h \bar{a} r u ̄ s b$ - 'marry' (vs. hārūs hāl 'marry into s.o.'s family')
 to; d.o.: thing tied)
$\bar{a} t ̣ a ́ w f ~ b-~ ‘ s e i z e ~(a n i m a l s) ; ~ s p o o k ~$ (animals)'
$\bar{a} w \bar{l} \partial b$ - 'warn s.o.'
$\bar{a} w \bar{l} g b$ - 'delay s.o.'
$\bar{a} z u \bar{m} b$ - 'invite for' ( $b$-: thing invited for; d.o.: s.o.; vs. $\bar{a} z u \bar{m}$ 'decide', $\bar{a} z u \bar{m} l$ - 'have designs on s.t.')
$\bar{o} z a r$ b- 'annoy s.o.'
badōh b- 'lie to' (vs. badōh l- 'tell a lie about s.o.')
$b \not a \bar{e} r b$ - 'ask s.o. for help'
abōrak b-'bless'
$h a b s ́ u ̄ r b$ - 'look forward to'
šōda $b$ - 'curse, insult s.o.'
dall $b$ - 'guide, lead s.o.'
hadlūl $b$ - 'lead, guide' ( $b$-: to person or place; d.o.: person led)
šədárboš $b$ - 'call (a camel) by flapping one's lips'
Ø$\partial b u \bar{u} r ~ b-~ ' n a g ; ~ a p o l o g i z e ~ t o ~ s . o . ' ~$
fāl $b$ - 'hurt s.o.'
aftkūr $b$ - 'think about'
(f)faré' $b$ - 'begin s.t.'
(f)farūd $b$ - 'frighten (animals)'
(f)farūk $b$ - 'frighten'
firaç $b$ - 'be happy with'
$h(a) f s e \bar{h} h-$ 'stop doing s.t.'
šagēmal $b$ - 'take all of s.t.'
$\dot{g} a l u ̄ k b$ - 'look at' (vs. ġalūk man look for')
$a \dot{g} w \bar{l} r b$ - ‘distract, keep s.o. occupied'
hūma b- 'hear about' (vs. hūma 'hear s.t.')
(h)həwōh b- 'drop, make fall'
hadd $b$ - 'pull up, pull at' (h)harūk b-'burn s.o. or s.t.'

ḩððひū $b$ - 'look out for [= on behalf of], guard' (vs. ḥəðдūr mən 'take care not to, guard against')
hass $b$ - 'be conscious of' (vs. ḥass 'feel')
(h)hyül b-'trick s.o.'
kalūt $b$ - 'tell s.t.' (l-: to s.o.)
kátlot $b$ - 'talk to one another about'
kaśś $b$ - 'expose oneself to s.o.'
(k)kawr b- 'make s.t. roll down (usually stones)' (l- 'to')
$k a z z b$ - 'shoot s.o. at close range'
aktatūb b-'be worried about'
akálad $b$ - 'roll s.t.'
akōṣar b-'hold back in generosity with s.o.'
lības $b$ - 'put on' (vs. lībəs 'wear')
$\partial w \dot{g} \dot{a} z b-$ 'to sneak/slip s.t.' (d.o.: to s.o.)
līțaf $b$ - 'be kind to, look after'
šamlūk $b$ - 'be given legal posses-
sion of a woman in marriage'
amárḥab b- 'welcome s.o.'
amōsi $b$ - 'kiss'
handēx $b$ - 'fumigate; perfume with incense smoke'
šənðūr $b$ - 'vow on'
nūfog $b$ - 'throw'
honfēx $b$ - 'breathe on'
šanḥáwr b- 'lodge a complaint against' (hāl: 'with')
hanḥōh b- 'burn s.o. or s.t.'
nūka b- 'bring; bear (a child)' (vs.
nūka 'come (to)'; nūka ba-kəwtēt
'tell a story')
radōh b- 'throw s.t.' (vs. radōh l-
'throw at')

```
raṣáwn b- 'tie to/with'
artowūg b- 'plot against'
ráyṣ́i \(b\) - 'be agreeable to, agree with
    s.t.'
sūmaḥ b- 'allow s.t.' (vs. sūmaḥ l-
    'allow s.o., give permission to;
    forgive')
śūbah b- 'suspect s.o.' (vs. śūbah l-
    'look like')
śūna \(b\) - 'be unkind to s.o.,
    humiliate s.o.'
(s')śawk b- 'light s.t. on fire, burn
    s.t.'
\(a t y\) ı́s \(b\) - 'terrify s.o.'
wīda \(b\) - 'know about'
```

wafūd $b$ - 'ask for the hand of s.o. in marriage' (hāl: the person asked)
šawfōh b- 'be revenged for s.o., avenge s.o.'
axtalūf $b$ - 'let s.o. down' xáyli $b$ - 'be alone with s.o.' $x a l u ̄ t ~ b-' m i x ~ s . t . ~(d . o) ~ w i t h ~.(b-) ~ s . t . ~$ else' (vs. xalūt l- 'join up with s.o.')
(x)xaṣáwb b- 'send s.t.' (l-: to s.o.;
vs. xaṣáwb 'send s.o.')
(x)xōtor b- 'endanger s.o.'
xəyūn $b$ - 'betray s.o.'

One occasionally also finds $b$ - with verbs that are not normally followed by this preposition, for example, kafūd 'go down' (with wōdi 'valley', e.g., 63:2), śall 'carry s.t.' (e.g., 22:85; usually with d.o.), and həwṣáwf 'describe s.t.' (only 96:5; elsewhere d.o.). Also note the verbal idioms șāk bə-śáwr 'call (s.o.) in consultation' (22:92), tūba ba-śaff/b-aśfūtan 'follow tracks' (e.g., 32:8; also with $s \bar{a} r$ ), and ṭáwla aṣafōt b- 'news go around about s.o.' (74:5).

The preposition $b$ - is also found in a number of non-verbal idiomatic phrases. Such are:

| $b$-abárr 'outside; ashore' | bo-háyk ‘on the shore' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b-\bar{a} m k$ (or b-aámk) 'halfway, in the middle' | bə-ráxaṣ 'for cheap, cheaply' ba-xōtar 'down there, downstairs; |
| b-aġzrbēt 'abroad' | below' |
| b-arāyak (pl. b-arāykam) 'as you wish' | bə-xáyr 'well' dáwnək b- 'take!' (see § 12.5.8) |
| ba-hagdēke 'on your feet' ba-háákk 'justly' | āká’ hal-hálla $b$ - 'be careful with!' |
| ba-halláy 'at night' | $y \bar{a}$ hay b- (or yā hááyza b-) |
| ba-hanáfk 'by yourself' | 'welcome!' (followed by a noun |
| ba-ḥərōhk 'under your protection [lit. your head]' | or pronominal suffix) |

Also note the idiomatic expressions bay hássi 'I am conscious’ (40:26) and būk hēśzn 'what's (the matter) with you?' (48:5) (see § 13.3.2).

## 8.3 bād 'after’

The preposition $b \bar{a} d$ means 'after'. It occurs a dozen times in the texts, though four of these are in the phrase $b \bar{a} d$ gēhamah 'the day after tomorrow'. Twice it occurs in the compound preposition man bād, in both cases with pronominal suffixes. The concept of 'after' is much more often expressed by man đ̣ār (see §8.5). Following are some of the examples found in the texts:
$w a-b \bar{a} d$ sawānōt, awsūt anhōr kállas 'and after a little while, it rained the whole day' (10:16)
hē šawēd sékanah yankēhəm bād nahōri trayt 'he had promised his community that he would come back to them after two days' (32:5) agárbam tāt bād t tāt 'they tried, one after the other [lit. one after one]' (50:3)
syēr gēhamah wa-rdēd bād gēhamah 'go tomorrow and return the day after tomorrow' (56:3)
wa-bādēs, sáddəm 'and after this [lit. it], they came to a truce' (104:31) hām matk, l-ād aḥādya'yīśan tū lā man bādūk'if you die, no one will look after me after you (are gone)' (36:4)
səyūr šawkūf, wa-sē śxəwallūt bādēh 'he went to bed, and she stayed behind [lit. after him]' (48:26)
w-akáyṣ́ar, mən bādīham, gərōh lah bakōr 'and the leopard, after they (had gone), young camels passed by him' (99:4)
hōh saddōna bādīkən ḥāráwn 'I'll be enough for the goats when you're gone [lit. after you]' (99:37)

Note that in the final four examples above, (man) $b \bar{a} d$ has the specific meaning 'after s.o. is gone'. The preposition sār can also be used in this way; see below, §8.18.

## 8.4 bark 'in(to), inside; among'

The preposition bark is mainly used to indicate location inside or motion into. Examples from the texts are:

```
t\varepsilon kūsa fôka bork dəḥlül, wə-wkūb bark adəḥlïl 'then he found a pool of
    water in a cave, and he entered the cave' (3:1)
hawgūś bark wōdi nōb 'he took (them) into a big valley' (13:6)
aṣōy\partialgं bark adəkkōnah 'the jeweler was in his shop' (22:68)
```

sēn bark ag̈arfèt ðayk 'they are in that room' (22:97)
mōn yasūkan bark abáyt đīməh 'who lives in this house?' (38:11)
al hōh sīrōna lā bark amawsē ðīmah 'I won't go in this rain' (49:5)
wa-wbūd bark hamōh 'and he shot into the water' (95:4)
By extension of its basic meaning 'inside', bark can also be used to mean 'among', as in:
bark hāaráwn 'among the goats' (3:7)
bark habēr 'among the camels' (28:9)
škaryōh bark harōm 'they hid among the trees' (35:17)
karbalàh ḥambaráwtan bark abḳār 'the boys crawled among the cows' (35:18)

We also find in the texts the compound prepositions ts bark 'into' and man bark 'from inside', though the latter is attested just once, and the former just four times. Some examples are:
sayárk te bark rékab 'went up onto a ledge' (38:6)
aḳálad ba-ṣāwar nōb te bark āmk ðə-ḥōram 'he rolled a big rock into the middle of the road' (67:4)
náṭtəbวm āṣ́áwṣ́ w-agalé' mən bark šətš 'the bones and date-stones dropped from inside her backside’ (99:12)

The preposition bark is also used in a handful of idioms, including:

```
bark amġ\partialrāb 'in the (late) bark š\varepsilon̄ra' 'on/into/along a street'
    evening' bark xadmēt 'at work, employed'
bark raḥt 'happy' (lit. 'in happiness') ktūb bark 'write on s.t.' (also ktūb
bark sayārah (or máwtar) 'in/by a b
    car'
```

The lone use of bark in an expression of time, bark amgarāb 'in the evening', is attested just twice ( $25: 9 ; 37: 17$ ); the alternative $k$-amgंarāb, attested just once, is found in the same text (25:14).

## 8.5 $\quad$ व̄ār ‘on; about', mən đ̣ār 'after'

The preposition đ̣ār has the basic meaning of 'on, upon'. Before suffixes, it has the base $\not \partial a y r$-. Illustrative examples are:

```
hē yaślūl tōmar đ̣ār sárfah sáymal w-ayśs đ̣ār sárfah ḥáymal 'it was carrying
    dates on its left side and rice on its right side' (23:16)
hərkūb agátəh đ̛ār ṭayt, wa-hē rīkzb đ̣ār ṭayt 'he mounted his sister onto
    one (horse), and he rode on one' (24:11)
siñək tīsən đ̣ār karmáym ðayk 'I saw them on that mountain' (28:2)
wazyēma tīk arīkábyan w-əlhān đ̣áyrsan 'we'll give you our riding-camels
    and all that is on them' (3:12)
wz-ngūf đ̣áyrən aðəəbbēt 'and the flies set upon us' (29:4)
```

One also finds the combinations man đ̛̣ār 'from upon, off of' and, less often, $t \varepsilon$ $\partial ̣ \overline{a r}$ ' 'up on to', for example:
> radīw bah mən đ̣ār déhəરૂ' 'they threw him from a cliff' (20:53)
> kafüd man đ̣ār harōm 'he came down from the tree' (70:3)
> yzháyw đ̛̣yrəh baṭh, wz-sē tanūgəf mən đ̣áyrəh abáthh ‘dirt would fall on
> him, and she would brush the dirt off of him' (48:17)
> sïrōni t $\varepsilon$ đ̣ār adéhəইk đōməh 'we'll go up onto this cliff' (35:10)
> sayūr bīs $t \varepsilon$ đ̣ār bayr 'they brought her to a well' (97:10)

In a few cases, usually in conjunction with a verb of running away (like (f)falūt 'escape, flee, run away', farr 'jump; flee, run away', or naġām 'go away angry, storm off'), man đ̣ār means 'from the presence of', for example:
$w$-əfflıūt mən đ̣ār agáág 'I ran away from the man’ (34:24)
yásṣak tiss $m$-äd tafrēr mən đ̛́ýrən 'I am afraid she might run away from us' (37:10)
wz-nğámk man đ̛̣áyrhzm 'and I went away angry from them' (89:26)

The compound man $\partial \bar{a} \bar{r}$ can also mean 'about' or 'over', in the sense of 'concerning', though there are just a few examples in the texts:
g̀əyūg ðд-láttəğəm báwməh, w-al wádak mən đ̣ār hēśzn lā 'men have
killed each other here, and I don't know over what' (3:19)
gátəwsam ağáwhe man đ̣ār awért 'his brothers argued over the inheri-
tance' (32:11)
antáwḥan naḥāh w-baḩarēt man đ̣ār amaṭbāx 'we and the sailors would fight over the kitchen' (91:23)

Far more often, however, the compound man $\partial \underline{a} r$ has the meaning 'after'. Sometimes, in expressions of future time, this is better translated as 'in'. Examples are:
atté mən đ̛̣ār warx, mōt aǵáyg 'then after a month, the man died' (2:7)
məháwf tı̄ ar mən đ̣ār ass 'you will pay me only after (some) difficulty' (39:13)
wa-gəhémk тən đ̣ār ḥəlēb ðə-ḥāráwn 'I went after the milking of the goats' (47:2)
śxəwlïl mən đ̣ār akəəhwēt 'they stayed after the coffee' (48:29)
nəkōna tīk mən đ̣̄ār rība yūm 'I'll come back to you in four days' (56:6)
mən đ̣ār xəṭərāt ðákəməh, wákam 'ayśōr 'after that time, they were friends' (61:9)

The compound man $\partial \bar{a} r$ is not attested with suffixes when it means 'after'. Instead, $b \bar{a} d ~(§ 8.3)$ or $s \bar{a} r ~(§ 8.18) ~ i s ~ u s e d . ~$

Simple $\partial \bar{a} r$ also occurs in a few idioms, several of which involve either water or corpses:
đ̄ār bayr 'at a well'
д̄ār kōbar 'to/at a grave'
đִār moḳabrēt 'to/in a graveyard'
đִār maláwtəg 'to/by [lit. over] dead bodies'
ð̣ār amāray 'in the pasture' đ̄ār (ha)mōh 'at/by/to (the) water' đ̣ār sarf ḥáymal (śáymal) 'on the right (left) side'

On rare occasion, the compounds man $\partial \hat{a} r$ and $t \varepsilon ~ đ ִ \bar{a} r ~ c a n ~ a l s o ~ o c c u r ~ i n ~ t h e s e ~$ idioms (cf. 97:10, above). Two nice examples of the idiomatic usage of $\not \subset \bar{a} r$ can be seen in the following passage:
hawrūd habérhe đ̣ār mōh, wa-kūsa bū đ̣ār hamōh 'he took his camels down to water, but he found some people by the water' (64:1)

Note also the verbal idioms šedrūk mən đ̣ār 'survive s.t.' (30:12), həftōh đ̛̣ār 'focus on' (90:1), and šəġbūr đ̛̣ār 'get help from, collect funds from' (e.g., 72:2).

## 8.6 fanōhən 'before; in front of; ago'

The word fanōhzn is most often met as an adverb meaning 'before, previously' or 'first' (see § 10.3), but it is also found used as a preposition 'before', with both temporal and spatial meanings. Its spatial use is often best translated as 'in front of' or 'ahead of'. Before suffixes, it has the base farw- (for the forms, see § 8.23). Examples are:

```
wo-ssáfron fanōh\partialn agz\varepsiloń' 'and we traveled before sunset' (60:1)
àmárk hīs, "syēri fanwáy!" wa-hōh sayárk man sarīs 'I said to her, "Go in
    front of me!" And I went behind her' (54:17)
fanw\overline{l}\mp@code{mm məskōt 'Muscat is (just) ahead of you' (91:14)}
śini hälah fanwöh 'he saw his shadow in front of him' (95:5)
äśs̄ūtfanwáy 'she got up before me' (97:43)
```

As in many other languages (e.g., Arabic, Hebrew, German), the idea of ' $X$ ago' is literally expressed in Mehri as 'before $X$ '. There are just a couple of examples in the texts:

```
fanōhzn ašráyn sənáyn 'twenty years ago' (2:1)
xəṭarāt fanōhən hōba sanáyn 'once, seven years ago' (14:1)
```


## 8.7 $\dot{g} a y r$ 'except', mən $\dot{g} a y r$ 'without'

The basic meaning of $\dot{g} a y r$ is 'except', 'besides', or 'but' (all in their prepositional senses). It occurs with this meaning only six times in the texts, and all of these are within positive phrases. This contrasts with ar 'except, but' (§ 8.1), which nearly always occurs in a negative context. Some examples of $\dot{g} a y r$ are:
mōn yəkáwdər yardéds ṣaḥháyt ġayr arḥamōn 'who can bring her back alive but God?' (4:8)
ġárkam ḥābū ðə-barkīh, ġayr ağáyg w-ađ̣ānah 'the people who were in it drowned, except the man and his family' (74:1)
adámmah bárəh $k$-aḥād ġáyran 'he is probably with someone else [lit. someone besides us]' (41:4)
ðə-səyūr yaxlöf ġáyrah 'something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone' (97:27)

In this last example, $\dot{g} a y r$ with a suffix is functioning like an indefinite pronoun, with a meaning 'someone/something else'. See further in § 3.5.7.

Much more common in the texts than $\dot{g} a y r$ is the compound preposition man $\dot{g} a y r$, which means 'without'. ${ }^{3}$ Examples are:

```
'วśś ágīgēn śśōx man ġayr ḥass 'the older [lit. big] boy got up without a
    sound' (17:3)
hēśan naḳdēr nāmōl mən ġayr ab'áyrən 'what would we be able to do
    without our camel?' (23:2)
hām ḳálan tīsən man gayr ayd 'if we leave them without sardines' (27:16)
ḳalēhวm ślot totyūm man gayr ḳawt 'leave them three days without food'
    (37:14)
háwfi mən ġayr ġarōy 'pay me without a word [lit. talk]' (39:15)
awtági mən ġayr sabēb 'kill me without a reason!' (88:6)
```

In one passage we find the compound ba-ğáyr, with the same meaning:
nəhōr țayt ġayg bāl habēr mōzab ba-ğáyr aḥād 'one day there was a camel-herder herding alone, without anyone' (13:1)

Like simple $\dot{g} a y r$, the compounds man $\dot{g} a y r$ and ba- $\dot{g} a ́ y r$ are normally attested in positive phrases.

In our relatively limited amount of data, it seems that $\dot{g} a y r$ is normally used with human objects, and man $\dot{g} a y r$ with non-human objects. And with pronominal suffixes, only $\dot{g} a y r$ - is attested.

## $8.8 \quad h$ - 'to; for'

The preposition $h$ - has the basic meanings of 'to' and 'for'. It has the same general meanings as the preposition $l-$, yet in the majority of cases the two are not interchangeable. Comparison of the two prepositions will be taken up below, in $\S 8.12$. In the texts, the preposition $h$ - is most often encountered marking the indirect object of the verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say'. Multiple examples can be found in practically every text. A few are:

[^94]

```
yōmar háyni 'he says to me' (18:18)
gēhəmah āmyēra háyni 'tomorrow you will say to me' (33:4)
```



```
\(\bar{a} m a ́ w r h\)-aġaganōt 'they said to the girl' (42:40)
```

The verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ is never followed by $l-$. On the other hand, the verb katūt 'tell, (rarely) talk', which has a very similar meaning, is nearly always followed by $l$ (see $\S 8.12$ for examples). ${ }^{4}$ Still, about fourteen times in the texts (five of these in text 22), kalūt is followed by $h$-, as in: ${ }^{5}$

```
al hōh kawtōna hūk lā 'I won't tell you' (12:7; cf. kalūt l- in 12:8)
kalūt hah 'he told him' (22:27)
hōh kawtīta h-agंāy b-āgēbak 'I'll tell my brother about your love' (38:21;
    cf. kalótkl- earlier in the same line)
kawtūt h-abāläts 'she told her mistress' (85:13)
```

The phrase $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r h$ - can also be used impersonally to mean 'call', 'be named/ called', as in:
yāmaram hīn bat bū zīd al-halāli 'they call us the clan of Bu Zid al-Hilali' (42:6)
xəțərāt ġayg yāmaram hah kādēt 'once there was a man named [lit. they called him] Kadet' (64:1)

Following are examples of $h$ - with the benefactive meaning 'for':

```
śátmak ksəwēt h-āśəri 'I bought clothes for my friend' (38:2)
al šīn ḳaráwš lā h-anáwl 'we didn't have money for the fare' (91:1)
lēzam l-ashọọt hīkam 'I must slaughter for you' (4:6)
hfawr hah bayr 'they dug a well for him' (20:73)
axáyr hīkəm tankēm tīn 'it's better for you to come to us' (28:19)
```

It is a curious feature of Mehri that some of the most common verbs of motion often do not govern a preposition. When they do, the preposition is only rarely $h$ - (and even more rarely $l$-). We find $h$ - used about two dozen times in the

[^95]texts following a verb of motion (including, in a few cases, the pseudo-motion verb hōm, on which see §7.3.3). In these cases, the object of $h$ - is nearly always a place; only once is the object a person (77:5), and even then it is only an indefinite pronoun referring to a person. Some examples of $h$ - following a motion verb are:

```
sayūr h-abátah 'he went to his house' (20:64)
ráddəm h-akāham 'they went back to their country' (40:20)
gahmōh h-arhabbēt 'they went to the town' (75:4)
sáfran bark badén, nəḥōm ha-ṣáwr ... wa-hēm yaḥáym yassáfram h-xalīg
'we traveled in a badan, heading for Ṣur ... and they wanted to travel
to the Gulf' (84:1)
wa-ð-hānáyk al-syēr h-əḥād 'and I intended to go to someone' (77:5)
```

Also relatively uncommon is the use of $h$ - to mark other kinds of indirect objects, besides as a benefactive and with the verbs $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ and $k a l u \bar{u} t$, as discussed above. The following verbs govern an object with the preposition $h$-:

```
dūfa \(h\) - 'pay s.o.'
\(h(\partial) f t o ̄ h h\) - 'advise s.o.' (vs. \(h(\partial) f t o ̄ h\)
    ḍār 'focus on')
gazūm h- 'swear to'
ġaṣ́ṣ \(h\) - 'wink at'
šənðūr \(h\) - 'vow to s.o.'
noṭáwk \(h\) - 'kiss s.o. in greeting’
ragūzh-'sing to/for'
ṣabūr \(h\) - 'have patience with s.o.'
    (vs. ṣabūr man 'do without' and
    ṣabūr l- 'give respite to')
```

A few other verbs are found in the texts with an object preceded by $h$-. One is the verb $k a l \bar{u} b$ 'return' (24:32), which is usually followed by $l-.{ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ second is with gazūm 'swear' (47:11), which we find in one other passage followed by l- (31:5). And once we find sümaḥh-(56A:9) with the same meaning as the usual sümah $l$ - 'allow, permit s.o..' ${ }^{7}$

[^96]The preposition $h$ - is also found in a couple of non-verbal idioms, including $h$-asáyrab 'in the autumn' and ber $h$-, indicating an elapsed amount of time (see further in § 12.5.7). For the rare cases in which $h$ - is translated as 'have', see §13.3.2.

In sum, $h$ - is met most often after the verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say'. It is rare after verbs of motion, even rarer as an indirect object marker 'to'. It is relatively common with the benefactive meaning 'for', and, unlike $l$ - (see below, § 8.12), is used in rather few idiomatic phrases. Finally, recall that $h$ - usually assimilates to a following $h$ or $h$ (see § 2.1.4).

## 8.9 hāl 'at, by, beside'

The basic meaning of $h \bar{a} l$ is roughly that of French chez. An appropriate English translation can be 'at', 'by', 'beside', 'with', or 'at the place of'. Like tawōli (§ 8.20), the object of $h \bar{a} l$ is normally a human, or a noun denoting a human collective, like sēkan 'settlement; family'. Before pronominal suffixes, the base han- is used (see $\S 8.23$ for forms). Examples from the texts are:

```
hātūm hāl hámhe 'he spent the night with his in-laws' (10:10)
sannáwrət shərōt hāl ḥarōhah 'the cat stayed awake by his head'
    (15:12)
xadūm hāl tōgar 'he got work with a merchant' (24:33)
báykak hōh hāl harōm 'I remained by the tree' (25:3)
a`iséek hanīn 'your dinner is at our place' (22:56)
aklel'` hanūk ajanbáyyat dimah 'I'll leave this dagger with you' (34:15)
hakṣōman hanīham 'we spent the day with them' (38:10)
hanīs xabz wz-káwt 'by her was bread and food' (42:15)
ksōna hanūk kālēw 'you'll find a bowl by you' (63:16)
```

A related but slightly more idiomatic use refers to local customs or practices, as in:
nə̣̣āh hanīn nātakáydən ba-sēḥər 'we, in our region, believe in magic' (7:2)
naḥāh hənīn nōmər 'amárḳaḥ' 'we, around us, we say amárkə九ḥ' (59:11)

Mehri $h \bar{a} l$ can also be used after verbs of motion (most often nūka 'come'), meaning 'to', 'up to'. Examples are:
nūka bīs hāl hááybah 'he brought her to his father' (48:19)
nákam hāl āgáwz 'they came to the old woman' (65:10)
səyūr hāl ḥōkam 'he went to the ruler' (36:5)
wátxfak hāl sēkan 'I came in the evening to a settlement' (38:7)
$n u ̄ k a ~ h \partial n i ̄ s ~ h o ̄ b a ~ y \partial n \bar{t} \underline{t}$ 'seven women came to her' (97:22)
There are also several compound prepositions based on $h \bar{a} l$. By far the most common of these is man hāl 'from (the presence/possession of)'. The compounds al-hāl and $t \varepsilon h \bar{a} l$ 'to' can substitute for simple $h \bar{a} l$ after a verb of motion. Examples are:
> hōh nákak mən hāl hábys 'I came from my parents' (20:70)
> hōh gahémk man hāl sékani 'I went from my settlement' (38:1)
> hēt tšhōl man hanīn gazē 'you deserve a reward from us' (22:101)
> akō̄i al-hāl aṣōyдgं 'go over to the jeweler's' (22:47)
> sayáwr al-hāl ḥāmēs 'they went to her mother' (97:46)
> səyūr te hāl āgáwz 'he went to an old woman' (48:6)
> nūka te hanáy 'he came to me' (71:2)

The compound man $h \bar{a} l$ is also commonly used as a relative 'where(ever)', on which see further in § 3.8.4.

As for verbal idioms, note wafūd hāl 'ask s.o. for s.o.'s hand in marriage' ( $b$-: the person whose hand is asked for), and hārūs hāl 'marry into s.o.'s family', for example:
wafūd bīs hāl ḥáybəs 'he asked her father for her hand in marriage' (14:2) $h \bar{o} m$ วl-hērəs hanūk 'I want to marry into your family' (56A:1)

We also find šawkūf hāl 'sleep with' (e.g., 85:29), romūs hāl 'chat (at night) with' (e.g., 85:35), wakōt hāl 'became the wife of' (74:10) (< wīka 'be(come)'), and šamdūd man hāl 'take s.t. from s.o.' (73:6).

### 8.10 (al-)hīs 'like, as’

The preposition (al-)hīs 'like, as' cannot take pronominal suffixes, but rather it indicates a pronominal object by using the direct object marker $t$-, e.g., (ol-)hīs $t \bar{\imath}$ 'like me', (al-)hīs tīk 'like you', etc. With nominal, pronominal, or adverbial objects, $h \bar{s} s$ is most often found in the compound al-hīs. Examples from the texts are:
hōh aśńnyah al-his háybi 'I see him like my father' (18:18)
al-his ḥabūn ð-tagēr 'like the sons of (other) merchants' (22:35)
yzkäbəm hah fáhlah al-hiss fanōhan 'they returned his penis to him as before' (24:32)
hābū $a l-h \bar{s}$ abáth man kēetar 'the people are as numerous as grains of sand [lit. like sand from their large amount]' (29:17)
$\bar{a} k a r a h ~ a k l a \bar{l}$ man abkarēt, hiss amhalēb'its size is smaller than a cow, like a calf' (41:8)
hē hiss tīkam 'he is like you' (22:93)
ahād $\partial l-h \bar{s} s$ tī 'is there anyone like me?' (42:3)
 (76:ı)

This preposition is normally followed by a noun, direct object pronoun ( $t-$ ), or adverb, as in the examples above, but in a few cases it acts as a conjunction 'like, as' followed by a verbal phrase. All such examples from the texts are:
> 'ámlak al-hīs āmárk háyni ‘I did as you told me’ (20:18)
> yaktawīlan, al-hīs hām țāt xalūs man xōram he panics, like if someone gets lost from the road' (43:1)
> yahyūr wa-yzhsūs al-hīs śî đz-yagrérəh xōṭar he would freeze up [or: get dizzy] and feel like something was pulling him down' (77:1)

On the temporal conjunction $h \bar{s}$ ' when', see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$.

## $8.11 \quad k$ - (š-) ' ${ }^{\prime}$ with’

The basic meaning of the preposition $k$ - is 'with' in a comitative sense. ${ }^{8}$ Before pronominal suffixes, the base is $\check{s}-$, which derives historically from a palatalized $k$ - ( $\$_{2.1}$ ). Some examples are:
āká $k$-hāráwn 'stay with the goats!' (33:1)
wz-wkūb $k$ - $\bar{g}$ áwz 'and he went in with the old woman' (75:7)
syērk-agáwke 'go with your brothers!' (91:11)
alahād šzh lā 'no one was with him' (14A:1)

[^97]hām tḥōm tsyēr šay 'if you want to go with me' (55:2)
sabīw aǵáyg šīham 'they took the man prisoner with them' (69:2)

It is also regularly used with the verb ǵátri 'speak, talk', as in:
hōh maġtáyr $k$-ḥāmáy ... wa-ğátri šīs ḥabrēs 'I will speak with my mother ... and her son spoke with her' (9:2-3)
ǵátri agáayg k-xalátəh. āmūr hīs, "ġətáyri k-ḥəbrátš" 'the man spoke with his mother-in-law. He said to her, "Talk with your daughter"' (94:9)

Based on the meaning 'with', $k$ - has come to be used in the possessive construction corresponding to English 'have', as in:
al šīham ḳawt lā 'they had no food' (lit. '[there is] not with them food') (11:2)
šay naxlīt 'I have a date-palm' (lit. 'with me [is] a date-palm') (77:5)
This construction is discussed further in $\S 13.3 .1$. The preposition $k$-, with pronominal suffixes, is also used in a variety of expressions relating to physical or environmental conditions, for example:
šzh ḥark 'it was hot' (lit. 'heat [is] with him') (32:2)
hōh šay aktīw 'it was dark' (lit. 'the darkness [was] with me') (91:16)
More examples, along with discussion, can be found in § 13.3.1.2.
The preposition $k$ - is also used idiomatically in a few expressions relating to time of day, namely: ${ }^{9}$
$k$-sōbach '(in the) morning'
$k$-a $\partial$ áhr 'at noon; in the afternoon'
$k$-amgंarāb 'in the evening'

As noted in §8.4, $k$-amg̈zrāb is attested just once in the texts (25:14), as is the alternative bork amgंarāb (25:9).

[^98]The preposition $k$ - seems also to be incorporated into the form kaláyni '(in the) evening'. With $k$-sōbah 'in the morning', the $k$ - is really likewise inseparable, despite the hyphenated transcription. Moreover, $k$-sōboh is often best translated simply as 'morning'. Compare the following two sentences:
$t \varepsilon k$-sōbaḥ ag̉áyg šawkūf 'then, in the morning, the man went to sleep' (22:65) ( $t \varepsilon=$ 'then')
$h a ̄ t u ̄ m t \varepsilon k$-sōbaḥ 'he stayed the night until morning' (9:11) ( $t \varepsilon=$ 'until')

As for other idioms, we find:

```
səyūr k- 'sleep with (sexually)' (lit. 'go with')
səyūr k-ḥ\partialnōf- 'go to the bathroom' (lit. 'go (with) oneself')
wīka raháym k- 'be nice to'
```

$8.12 \quad l$ - 'to; for'

The preposition $l$ - is usually translated into English as 'to' or 'for', just like the preposition $h$-. However, as already noted above (§ 8.8), the two are very rarely interchangeable. One very common use of $l$ - is to mark the indirect object of the verb kalūt 'tell; talk'. The verb kalūt is usually followed by $l$ - (about fifty times in the texts), though we also find kalūt followed by $h$ - about fifteen times in the texts (five of which are in text 22). However, the semantically similar verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ (always followed by $h$-) is never found in combination with $l$-. Examples of kalūt with $l$ - are:

```
kalētl lay 'tell me!' (5:11)
kalótck lēs b-aġarōy d-aġās ‘I told her her brother's words' (38:21)
kaláwt lah ḥābū bis 'people told him about her' (40:14)
kawțōna al-ḥābū 'I will tell the people' (55:6)
kalūtan līham b-akassēt kállas 'we told them the whole story' (91:28)
```

In general, one cannot say that $l$ - is regularly used to mark an indirect object. A number of verbs that we would expect to take an indirect object instead take a direct object in Mehri. The most notable example is the verb wazūm 'give', which can take two direct objects, as in:
wazmōna tiš xamsáyn karš ‘'Ill give you fifty dollars' (48:6)
al wazámk tīn tīham lā 'you didn't give them to us' (91:30)

Verbs of motion in Mehri are also often followed by a direct object. And when they are followed by a preposition, it is very rarely $l$-. In fact, $l$ - is never found after the common motion verbs sayūr 'go', nūka 'come', wiṣal 'arrive', or gahēm 'go', except in the combinations al-ḥõ 'to where?' and $\partial w$-bōh 'to here' (§ 10.1). ${ }^{10}$ Just six times $l$ - follows radd 'return' (after which tawōli is more common; see below, § 8.20), four times kafud 'go down' (after which a direct object is most common), and a handful of less common verbs only once. It is found regularly only with certain (semantically similar) motion verbs, such as hokbū̄l 'come near', ḳərūb 'approach', and lahāf 'come close to' (see the list below). The only two motion verbs that are found used with both $h$ - and $l$ - in the texts are radd 'return' and kafūd 'go down'.

With the benefactive meaning 'for', $l$ - is found about twenty times in the texts, less than a third as often as $h$ - in this function. And even though there is overlap in meaning, $h$ - and $l$ - largely occur in different contexts (i.e., after different verbs and nouns). Some of the examples in which l- means 'for' are:

> nahāram lah yabīti trayt 'they sacrificed two camels for him' (32:9)
> yakūn lūk śakk 'it will be an offense for you' (33:3)
> hāāēk hāwkáwt lūk śwōt 'your mother made a fire for you' (36:11)

In one or two passages, it is not clear if $l$ - is indicating motion or a benefactive. For example, hakfüd lah ḳayd (48:3) could mean either 'they let down a rope to him' or 'they let down a rope for him'.

Although l-is relatively rare as a general preposition meaning 'to' or 'for', it is extremely common as an object marker following certain verbs. Some of these can surely be considered indirect objects (e.g., gazūml- ‘swear to' and śōml- ‘sell to'), but the majority can be considered idiomatic. Attested in the texts are:

```
hāmūrl- 'order s.o.' (vs. hāmūr b- badōh l- 'tell a lie about s.o.' (vs.
    'give an order for s.t.') badōh b- 'lie to')
a}yūbl- 'cheat s.o., break an oath to'
ātzyūnl-'betray'
a}z\overline{u}m l- 'have designs on s.t.' (vs
    a}zu\overline{m}\mathrm{ 'decide', āzūm b- 'invite
    for')
šadhūkl- 'look down at'
dakkl- 'spring on, pounce upon'
ha\partialrōhl- 'let the blood of a goat
    run over s.o.'
(f)fakōhl- 'cover' (b-: with)
```

[^99]fayūz l- 'surpass s.o., beat' garōh l- 'pass by s.o.; happen to'
gazūm l- 'swear to' (vs. gazūm man
'swear against')
həğbūr l- 'give help to s.o.'
ǵátya l l- 'get angry at’
šawğáwr l- 'raid'
hagūm l- 'attack'
attōma l- 'listen to'
hagg l- 'refuse s.o. permission to marry'
hkūm l-'force s.o.'
hátwag l- 'need s.o.'
haṣ́áwr l- 'persuade s.o.'
(k)kabūr l- 'stay with s.o. to drink milk'
akōbal l- 'watch, keep an eye on'
$h ə k ̣ b u ̄ l l-$ 'draw near to, come towards'
akōdəm l- 'offer s.o. (food)'
kədūr l- 'manage against, get the better of' (vs. kadūr 'can, be able')
kalūb l- (ba-)salōm 'send greetings to s.o.; greet s.o.' (also kalūb salōm l-)
ḳarūbl- 'get near, approach'
akyiss l-'try s.t. (for size) on (l-) s.o.'
laḥāfl- 'come close to, next to'
laḥāk l- 'help' (vs. lahāā 'catch up to')
lazz l- 'press up against'
amthūl l- 'become easier for'
nakūśl- 'exhume'
nəḳáwd l-'blame, criticize’
radōhl- 'throw at' (vs. radōh b'throw s.t.')
rūfa l- 'climb (a rope); board (a ship)'
rátki l- 'read the Quran over s.o.' (vs. rátki 'read s.t.')
harxōh l- 'release, let go'
sall l- 'attack'
sūmaḥ l- 'allow s.o., give permission to; forgive (rare)' (vs. sūmah b- 'allow s.t.'; d.o. 'forgive')
șabūr l- 'give respite to' (vs. șabūr man 'do without' and ṣabūr h'have patience with s.o.')
šzwṣáwb l- 'be wounded in'
śóm l- 'sell to s.o.'
śūbahl- 'look like' (vs. śūbəh b'suspect s.o.')
śadd l- 'saddle'
śhēd l- 'bear witness to/against'
śaráwg l- 'sew up'
śátwak $l$ l- 'miss, long for'
ṣ́əḥākl- 'make fun of' (vs. ṣ́ahāk
man 'laugh at')
tōfl- 'visit'
hoṭláwk śíwōt l- ‘open fire on’ (lit. 'release fire')
həwhōh l- 'come to help s.o.'
wakūbl-'go in (to see) s.o.; go into (sexually)' (vs. wakūb 'enter')
xalūt l- 'join up with s.o.' (vs. xalūt 'mix s.t. with [ $b-]$ s.t. else')
( $x$ )xaṣáwb $l$ - 'send for; send to s.o.'
yaṣṣ l- 'be afraid for s.o.' (vs. yaṣs mən 'be afraid of')

As with $b$-, one finds $l$ - sometimes used with verbs where it is not expected, for example $k s ̌ u ̄ f ~ ' e x p o s e ~ s . t . ' ~(e . g ., ~ 24: 38 ; ~ e l s e w h e r e ~ w i t h ~ d . o),. ~ k a f u ̄ d ~ ' g o ~ d o w n ~ t o ' ~$ (e.g., 64:18; usually d.o.), rakūb 'mount' (e.g., 102:11; usually with $\partial ̣ a \bar{r}$ or d.o.), salūb
'wait for' (48:8; elsewhere with d.o.), xtūl 'stalk, creep up on' (10:14; elsewhere with d.o.), and $x a z o \bar{h}$ 'refuse' ( $94: 4$; elsewhere with man).

The verb šxabūr 'ask' is twice found with $l$-, meaning 'ask about s.o.' (20:46; 94:14). It is not clear whether this is really distinct from šxabūur man 'ask about s.t.', since in one place šxəəbūr man also means 'ask about s.o.' (32:6). A number of other verbs with $l$ - are attested just once, and it is uncertain if the preposition is required when these verbs have these meanings. Such are latt l- 'bandage up s.t.' (75:18), látwi l- 'wrap (intrans.) around s.t.' (49:11), rabb l- 'accept a tulchan, substitute for a lost calf' (76:15), and raṣáwn l- 'tie to' (89:9; once also raṣáwn $b$-, 42:15, with the same meaning). ${ }^{11}$ As noted already in $\S 8.8$, we find gazūm $l-$ once (31:5) and gazūm $h$ - once (47:11), both meaning 'swear to'. The verb $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{f}$ 'fetch water' is attested in the same line with both $h$ - and $l$-, with no difference in meaning (68:3). The phrase $\bar{a} m o ̄ l l-X \dot{g} \partial r o ̄ y ~ ' m a k e ~ t r o u b l e ; ~ g i v e ~ s . o . ~ a n ~ a r g u m e n t ' ~$ (46:13) also seems to be an idiom; elsewhere (including earlier in 46:13) $\bar{a} m \bar{o} l$ 'make; do' uses $h$ - before an indirect object. Another idiom is gazōt lay ḥayáwm 'the sun went down on me' (8o:6).

The preposition $l$ - also occurs in a number of non-verbal idioms. Some are:
> hāǎē l-'far be it from (s.o.)!'12
> karáyb l- 'near, close to'
> $l$-aráwram 'along the sea(shore)'
> l-aráyk 'on an empty stomach; before/without breakfast' ${ }^{13}$
> $l$-āyōman 'last year'
> al-ḥōlat ðimah 'in this condition/way'
> al-ḥorram 'by/on the road' (vs. ba-ḥorram 'on the road') ${ }^{14}$
> l-akassēt ðákamah like that [lit. that story]'
> (sayūr) l-amaráwkaṣs '(walk) on crutches'
> rēḥəḳl-'far for s.o. (to go), far from' (vs. rēḥak.k man 'far from') ${ }^{15}$

[^100]```
al-xāh ð-abáyt 'at the door of the house’ \(w \bar{o} g a b l\) - 'it is proper for; ought to' (see § 12.5.20)
```

Several attested idioms have to do with parts of the body, as in:

```
al-ḥərōh 'on the head' (28:8)
al-fỳm 'in/on the leg/foot' (72:4)
al-háyd 'on the hand' (75:16)
l-ārk ð-ansēm 'on an/the artery' (75:18)
```

In cases where a verbal idiom or other kind of phrase containing $l$ - is a loan from Arabic, Mehri $l$ - can correspond to Arabic 'alā and 'ilā, as well as to Arabic li-.

On the compounds l-adafēt $\partial$-, 'by the side of, beside’ and al-sáyb $\partial$ - 'in the direction of', see $\S 8.22$. And for the rare cases in which $l$ - is translated as 'have (time left)', see § 13.3.2.

In short, the use of the preposition $l$ - is highly idiomatic, and we find that $l$ and $h$ - have little overlap in function. The verb kalūt 'tell', most often followed by $l$-, is sometimes found with $h$-. Both prepositions are found a handful of times after the motion verbs radd and kafūd. Very few other verbs are attested with both prepositions with no difference in meaning; among these are gazūm 'swear' and $\dot{g} \partial r u ̄ f$ 'fetch water'. And finally, both prepositions can have a benefactive meaning 'for', though $h$ - is far more common in this use.

### 8.13 man 'from'

The preposition man has the basic meaning 'from', with reference to both location, time, and cause or reason. By extension, it is used in partitive constructions ('of') and in comparative constructions ('than'); it also has a few other, less common uses. The following examples illustrate its basic meaning 'from' with respect to location:
ftawk hāāū man amasgēd 'the people were coming out of the mosque' (4:13)
nūka mən ḥəwōdi 'he came from the valley' (10:1)
hวwōh mən déhəḳ ḳənnáwn 'he fell from a small cliff' (17:4)
ḥōm mank aṣáṭ 'I want the truth from you' (22:89)
karūt man ḥābū 'she hid (her) from the people' (24:4)

When man indicates cause or reason, it can be translated as'from' or 'because of'. Examples are:
śéra'šamrụ̄̂ man faṭx 'the judge fell ill from the head-wound' (48:12)

ḥitṭāral təwīw śī lā yamōh mən aðəbbēt 'the kids didn't eat anything today
because of the flies' (26:13)

[lit. sleep did not come to her] because of her son' (36:8)
béri mōyat man agəwé’ 'I was dying from hunger' (103:7)

Examples in which man is used with reference to time are very rare in the texts. One is:
man $k$-sōbaḥ 'from the morning' (10:3)

We also find man used with a slightly different temporal meaning 'since' or 'for' (in the sense of 'since ... ago'). In the latter meaning, mon is often used in a negative phrase in conjunction with the particle $l-\bar{a} d(\S 13.2 .4)$. In one passage it means simply 'ago'. Examples are:
$\bar{a} d i a l$ śñak tīham lā man warx 'I have not seen them for a month' (20:41)
man warxi troh l-ād xaṣáwb ba-śç-lā 'he hasn't sent anything at all for two months' (57:7)
man mayt? man waḳōna áyśar yūm 'since when? since about ten days (ago)' (101:13-14)
ab‘áyri ðə-həġṣ̄̄bən tah mən áyśar yūm 'my camel that we lost ten days ago' (28:6)

In two passages, man means 'according to', though this is clearly an extension of the meaning 'from'. These are:
$\bar{a} d$ al aḥād yaśényah lā ... man aḳáwl ð-aġəyūg alyákamah 'no one has ever seen it again ... according to the report of those men' (41:10)
man ḥābū ðд-škalītzh, ādəh ṣaḥh 'according to the people who heard the story from him, he was still alive' (92:6)

Following are examples of the partitive use of mon, in which use mən is normally preceded by some sort of quantifier or pronoun:
trōh man attagēr 'two of the merchants' (4:1)
sḥəṭōna ṭāt t mən ḥəbánšs 'I will kill one of your sons' (48:23)
ðōməh mən ātəkádhวт ðә-sēḥər 'this is some of their belief in magic' (7:8)
kāl manīn ðə-bdōh 'whichever of us has lied' (24:37)

Examples of the comparative use of mən are:
al hē xass lā man yamšīh 'it was no worse than yesterday' (26:4)
atēm axáyr manáy, aw hōh axáyr mankēm 'you are better than me, or I am better than you' (42:12)
háabi axáyr mənáy yōmər 'my father sings better than me' (52:2)

For further discussion of comparatives, see § 5.4.
The preposition man is also used idiomatically with a number of verbs, including:

```
áygab man 'be delighted with' (vs.
    áygab b-'love’)
bakōh man 'weep for/from'
đ̣áwla man 'be crippled in (a body
    part)'
gahēr man 'be dazzled by'
gəzūm mən 'swear against' (vs.
    gazūm l- ‘swear to’)
g̀aff man 'lift s.o. up by'
ġalūk man ‘look for' (vs. ġalūk \(b\) -
    'look at')
ġáyṣ́zn mən 'feel sorry for s.o.'
háttam man 'be sad about'
həддӣr тәn 'take care not to,
    guard against' (vs. ḥəддӣr b-
    look out for [= on behalf of ],
    guard')
hayūr man 'get confused about'
š(a)ktūb man 'have s.o. write a
    charm against'
káwṭa man 'be tired of'
áygab man 'be delighted with' (vs. áygab b- 'love')
bakōh man 'weep for/from'
đ̣áwla man 'be crippled in (a body part)'
gahēr man 'be dazzled by'
gəzūm mən 'swear against' (vs.
gazūm l- 'swear to')
g\(\partial f f\) man 'lift s.o. up by'
ġalūk man 'look for' (vs. ġalūk \(b\) 'look at')
ğáyṣ́an man 'feel sorry for s.o.'
háttam man 'be sad about'
ḥəдӣr mən 'take care not to, guard against' (vs. ḥəддūr blook out for [= on behalf of ], guard')
ḩyūr man 'get confused about'
š(a)ktūb man 'have s.o. write a
charm against'
káwṭa man 'be tired of'
```

šakṭá' man 'become despondent, tired from'
nūṣəh mən 'advise against' sadd man 'be able to do without; enable s.o. (d.o.) to do without' ṣabūr man 'do without' (vs. ṣəbūr
$l$ - 'give respite to' and șabūr h'have patience with s.o.')
ṣ́วhāk mən 'laugh at' (vs. ṣ́əḥāk l-
'make fun of')
šaṣ́yūk man 'get fed up with'
tāb mən 'be tired of; have trouble with'
țyyūb man 'enjoy s.t., have one’s fill
of'
šxəəbūr man 'ask about s.t./s.o.' xalūs man 'stray from, get lost
from; miss (a target)'
xazōh man 'refuse s.o. or s.t.'
yaṣs man 'fear, be afraid of' (vs.
yaṣṣ l-'be afraid for s.o.')

The preposition man is also found in a number of other idiomatic expressions. Such are:

| gamāt man gamāt 'every week [or: | man xawr 'after a little while' |
| :---: | :---: |
| Friday]' | man azbōn ḥāwaláy 'from/in |
| kállah mank 'it's all your fault' (lit. | former times' |
| 'it's all from you') | maśhīr man 'famous for' |
| man fanōhən 'before(hand)' | maxwif man 'afraid of' |
| man s $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ 'a long time ago' | rēhak man 'far from' (also, less |
| man tawr 'sometimes' | often, rēhak l-) (see § 8.12, n. 15) |
| man $\underline{t}$ ōdi 'at the breast' (said of a | tōgar man 'rich in' |
| baby) | $x a l \bar{e}$ man 'empty of, devoid of' |

On the interrogative héśzn man, see § 11.3. On the temporal conjunction man his 'since', see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$. On the prefixed form $\partial m$-, see $\S 8.15$ and $\S$ 10.1.

### 8.14 man kadè 'about, regarding'

The compound preposition man kadē 'about, regarding' is not found in any of the texts included in this volume, but it happens to be attested a few times in the other texts recorded or written by Ali Musallam for Johnstone (see §1.5). Examples of its use are:
> kalētِ līn man kadē aṣáyd 'tell us about fishing!'
> kəwtōna lūk man kadē haannīt 'I'll tell you about women'

### 8.15 am-mán 'between’

The preposition am-mán is a near homophone of the preposition man 'from', distinguished in its unsuffixed form only by the sometimes difficult-to-hear initial element am-. However, the two prepositions are historically unrelated. The form am-mán derives from *am-bán (from an earlier *man bayn), as shown by the Yemeni Mehri forms beyn, bīn, or bān, ${ }^{16}$ Hobyot bīn, Ḥarsusi bēn, and

[^101]Soqoṭri (am-)bín, ${ }^{17}$ and forms from other Semitic languages (e.g., Arabic bayna, Hebrew $b \bar{e} n)$. The shift of $b>m$ in the Omani Mehri form is due not to the preceding $m$, but rather to the following $n$. On the sound change $b V n>m V n$, see $\S$ 2.1.8. The suffixed forms of am-món are made from the base $\partial m-m ə n w$ (see $\S 8.23$ for forms). Examples from the texts are:
dəhfáti am-mán awahōyan 'she smacked me between the shoulder blades' (40:22) $h \bar{e}$ šawkūf am-mán trōh 'he went to sleep between two (men)' (69:3) śsrṭ am-mənwáy w-əm-menwūk 'the deal between me and you' (32:22) $t s ̌ a w k u ̄ f ~ \partial m-m a n w ̄ ̄ h ~ w-\partial m-m a ́ n ~ t \varepsilon ́ t ̇ \partial h ~ ' s h e ~ w o u l d ~ s l e e p ~ b e t w e e n ~ h i m ~ a n d ~$ his wife' (46:7)
alhān faṣáwl am-manwīn hōh ḳáblak'whatever they decide between us I
will accept' (77:4)
hádyam adébəh am-manwáyhi 'they divided the honey between them' (77:10)

In one passage am-mán means 'among':
gāram aməláwtəg əm-mənwīhวm 'dead fell among them’ (104:29)
It is worth mentioning that in his Arabic-letter manuscripts, Ali almost never indicated the initial am- of am-mán, but rather wrote simply من مان ( $\left.m^{\prime} n\right) .{ }^{18}$ This is consistent with his overall system of orthography, in that he normally does not indicate an initial geminate, even if a schwa-vowel precedes. Probably because of this spelling, Johnstone normally transcribed simply man in place of am-mán, but the audio usually has a clear am-mán.

[^102]
### 8.16 naxāli 'under'

The preposition naxāli (sometimes realized $\partial n x \bar{a} l i)$ means 'under. ${ }^{19}$ For pronominal objects, it takes the suffixes used with plural nouns. The consonant $l$ is lost in some of the suffixed forms, according to the rules outlined in § 2.1.5; see the full list of forms in $\S 8.23$. Examples from the texts are:

```
ḳəfūdən b-abárr nəxāli déhəḳ śōx 'we came down onto shore under a big cliff' (6o:10)
ag̉áyg šakrōh naxāli haddūt 'the man hid under the cradle' (63:8)
kūsa nəxāli ḥərōhs śātáyt ḥəráwf 'he found under her head three (gold) coins' (97:41)
```

hēt ðə-ğábbək nəxāhe 'you are the one who defecated under it' (3:18)
alhān kask naxāse, hē ðว-hōh 'whatever I find under it is mine' (67:8)

The compound preposition man naxāli occurs three times in the texts. One of these means literally 'from under, from below'. Another is 'under', used figuratively with the sense of 'under the authority of', while the third is used more idiomatically with the sense of 'in the presence of, before'. ${ }^{20}$ These are:

ṣāk mən naxāli hāạən 'he called out from below the castle' (48:3)
káwla mən nəxāse gērtan 'he put under her (authority) servant-girls' (24:45)
šənðว́rk ... mən naxāli aśháwd 'I promise ... in the presence of the witnesses' (39:5)

Twice in the texts we find the compound $t \varepsilon$ naxāli 'down to, to under':
hawōh śéra' te naxāli hāạan 'the judge fell to the bottom of [lit. to below] the castle (wall)' (48:5)
sayūr bīham te anxāli hāạsan 'he brought them to below the castle' (48:13)

[^103]
### 8.17 sabēb 'because of'

The preposition sabēb 'because of' only occurs three times in the texts: once with a prefix $a l-$, once with a following genitive $\partial$-, and once on its own. The meaning 'because of' is more often conveyed by the preposition man (see above, $\S 8.13$ ), and sabēb as a preposition can probably be seen as an Arabism. Following are all attested cases of sabēb as a preposition in the texts:

```
ayb līkəm təntáwḥวm sabēb ðд-ḥəmōh 'it's a disgrace for you to fight
    because of water' (10:6)
alaḥād yahōō yaxlēt līn lā al-sabēbah 'no one wants to join up with us
    because of him' (89:32)
‘äśśam sabēb aṣáwt ðə-bərhóh 'they woke up because of the voice of
    Berhoh' (104:23)
```

We also find sabēb in the texts as a noun meaning 'reason, cause' (e.g., 22:94; 43:3).

### 8.18 $s a \bar{r}$ 'behind'

The preposition sār has the basic meaning of 'behind', with reference to location. There are also a few examples in which it seems to have a temporal meaning 'after'. When suffixes are added, the base is usually the compound form man sar-; the simple base sar-is found in contexts in which the prepositional phrase is used in a relative clause (with the relative pronoun $\partial$ - assimilated) and after certain verbs (e.g., bakáwṣ̣ 'run'). Some examples with the basic meaning 'behind' are:
šawkīf sār harōm 'they went to sleep behind a tree' (17:2)
anḥōm naślēl ts ḥawōdi s-sarīn 'we should move to the valley behind us'
$\square$
ankēs man sarīs wa-mné' tádyas 'come up to her from behind her and grab her breast(s)' (40:15)
xəṭárkam ād yanké’ máwsəm mən sarīh ‘do you think another tradingboat will come again behind [or: after] it?' (45:16)
āmárk hīs, "syēri fanwáy!" wa-hōh səyárk man sarīs ‘I said to her, "Go in front of me!" And I went behind her' (54:17)

The verb tūba 'follow' is normally followed by a direct object (e.g., 22:11; 94:18), but it can also be followed by $s \bar{r} r$, either as part of an adverbial complement (cf. 15:9 below) or to mark the object of the verb (cf. 12:11 below), as in:

> tabátah sənnáwrət man sərīh 'the cat followed him from behind [lit. from behind him]' (15:9)
> tábam sār aśfūtən ðə-həbērr 'they followed the camels' tracks' (12:11)

This verb can also be followed by $b$ - if the object is śaff (pl. śfützn) 'track(s)' (e.g., 54:6). Additional verbal idioms are bakawṣ́ sār 'run after' and bakōh sār 'cry over', as in:
bəkáwṣ́ sarı̄s habrē đə-hōkəm 'the ruler's son ran after her' (97:15)
bəkōh ağáyg sār téťh 'the man cried over his wife' (19:5)
hēt tabēki sār kabś 'you are crying over a lamb' (75:23)
In the verbal idioms tūba sār and bəkawṣ́ sār, the preposition clearly has its basic meaning 'behind'. In the idiom bakōh sār, the preposition seems to indicate cause, though we might think of $s \bar{a} r$ as having a temporal meaning 'after'; in both of the above passages (19:5;75:23), the subject is crying because of someone or something that has gone away. There is one other passage in which $s \bar{a} r$ appears to indicate cause, but again the underlying sense may be a temporal 'after':
yáş̣̣ək tīs m-ād tafrēr man đ̣áyrən, wa-mg̈ōran ḥabráy yamēt sarīs, mən $\bar{a} g e \bar{b} \partial s$ 'I am afraid she might run away from us, and then my son will die because of her, out of love for her' (37:10)

## $8.19 \quad t \varepsilon$ 'until, up to'

The particle $t \varepsilon$ is most commonly used as a temporal conjunction meaning either 'until' or '(then) when', and often also as an adverb 'then' (see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.2$ ). However, in conjunction with nouns or adverbs, it functions as a simple preposition with either a temporal meaning 'until' or a spatial meaning 'up to'. Examples are:
salōb te ba-halláy 'wait until night' (22:36)
anḥōm naślēl te ḥawōdi s-sarīn 'we should move to the valley behind us' (30:2)
wazmōna tīham anáwlham man ṣ́afōr te báwmah 'I will give them their fare from Dhofar to here' (91:32)
sáfran bark máwtar man maskōt te dabáy 'we traveled in a car from
Muscat to Dubai' (91:33)
səyáwr te ḥərōṣ amšğarēt 'they went to the next acacia' (99:15)
The longer form atté (see §13.5•3.2) is not attested in the texts as a preposition. As discussed in several sections above, $t \varepsilon$ also occurs in combination with a number of other prepositions. One finds $t \varepsilon b$ - 'up on to', $t \varepsilon$ bark 'up on to', $t \varepsilon \not \partial \bar{a} r$ 'up on to', $t \varepsilon h \bar{a} l$ 'to (s.o.)', and $t \varepsilon$ naxāli 'down to', though all of these are quite rare. The most common of these is $t \varepsilon \not \partial \bar{a} r$, which is found about ten times in the texts.

### 8.20 tawōli 'to, towards'

The preposition tawōli 'to, towards' is very common, even more common in the texts than $h$ - to indicate motion towards. ${ }^{21}$ To mark pronominal objects, it takes the suffixes used with plural nouns. The consonant $l$ is lost in some of the suffixed forms, according to the rules outlined in $\S 2.1 .5$; see the full list of forms in §8.23.

The plurality of the occurrences of tawōli are following a form of the verb $s z y \bar{u} r$ 'go', though it is also found with a number of other verbs of motion. Only rarely does it follow a non-motion verb (e.g., ġalūk 'look', ktūb 'write', a yyṭt 'cry out'). Most notable is that, like $h \bar{a} l(\S 8.9)$, the object of təwōli is nearly always a person or group of people (or animals); when it is not, it is usually a noun denoting a human collective, like sēkan 'settlement; family'. ${ }^{22}$ It is usually only when the object of trwōli is not a person or noun denoting a human collective that it is best translated 'towards'. Examples are:
sayūr ḥōkวm tawōli ḥaynitِ 'the ruler went to the women' (20:24) sayáwr təwōli śsrēt w-aḥkáwmət 'they went to the judge and the government' (24:38)

[^104]```
hōh sīrōna tawōli háybbi 'I will go to my father' (24:49) agáyg sayūr tawōli sékanah 'he went to his settlement' (31:15) nūka haynīt tawōli kalōn 'the women came to the bride' (9:7)
śallam tah tzwōli sēkzn 'they carried him to the settlement' (17:9)
hē radd tawōli abátah 'he went back to his house' (18:9)
a yiṭ tawōli hāāū 'he cried out to the people' (17:6)
gahōm tawōli sékənak 'go to your settlement'' (38:21)
ktūb tawōli aṣōyag xatt 'he wrote a letter to the jeweler' (22:83)
xəṣáwb bīs tzwōli hōkzm 'he sent it to the ruler' (48:14)
galákak tawōli akōbar 'I looked towards the grave' (54:13)
yabdáwd kálham tawōli badūn tāt ' 'all of them throw towards one badūn'
    (71A:1)
```

The distinction between human and non-human objects is illustrated very nicely by the following example in which the verb sayür has two objects:
w-əsyūr h-arḥabēt təwōli aáśər ðд-ḥáybi ‘I went to the town to my father’s friend' (34:15)

There is a also noun tawōli which means 'end part of the night' (e.g., 42:25;103:2).
$8.21 \quad x \bar{a}$ 'like, as ... as’

As mentioned in the introduction to this chapter, it is not certain that $x \bar{a}$ should be classified as a preposition, but it is included here for convenience because of its semantic function. In context, $x \bar{a}$ is often best translated into English as 'like' or 'as ... as'. Literally, it means something more like 'as if'. It is always followed by an independent subject pronoun. Consider the following examples:
agiḡēn raháym xā hē ritt 'the boy was beautiful like the moon' (22:1) xawr kāṣam xā hē $f$ हlg 'the lagoon was cold as ice' (36:1)
awbōn xā hē śxōf, wz-xfáyf xā hē bark 'white like milk, and fast like
lightning' (41:8)
ag̈ḡēn, āmáwr, xā hē ṣār 'the boy, they said, was like a gazelle' (83:3)

Literally, these examples translate as 'beautiful as if he (were) a moon', 'cold as if it (were) ice', etc., but it is unclear whether or not $x \bar{a}$ (or $x \bar{a} h \bar{e}$ ) has been grammaticalized and is considered (natively) as a true preposition. In all other occurrences of $x \bar{a}$ in the texts, however, $x \bar{a}$ does not function like a preposition.

In those cases, the meaning of $x \bar{a}$ is usually more transparent as 'as if', but it is still always followed by an independent subject pronoun. Following are all of the additional attestations of $x \bar{a}$ :

```
nēhar maxtīb yaklēl bah kawt, məḳā xā sē nəkátah arhamēt đīmah 'a barren
    wadi that there was no damned food in, as if this (recent) rain hadn't
    come there [lit. to it]' (26:15)
al \(x a \bar{a} h \bar{h} h\) ð-agūzam lūk lā 'it's not like I haven't sworn to you' (31:6)
hōh ar ənōfa, walākan məḳā xā hōh ð-ənōfa śı̄ hənīkəm ‘I am indeed of
    use, but it's as if I were of no use to you in anything' (33:2)
əśōni ḥābū xā hēm bark ḥəmōh 'I saw people as if they were in water'
    (40:23)
wal \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) maḳā \(x \bar{a}\) hēm xalēḳam '(it is) as if they were not born' (90:8)
```

It is noteworthy that three of the five examples above use the negative particle $m \partial k \bar{a}$ (see §13.2.6). In fact, three of the four examples of maḳā in the texts are in combination with $x \bar{a}$.

### 8.22 Additional Prepositions

There are a handful of phrases that might be considered compound prepositions, all of which occur just once or twice in the texts. Most of these can be analyzed as simple prepositions plus nouns. These are:

```
l-adəfēt ð- (with suffixes: l-adəf\varepsilońt-) 'by the side of, next to' (cf. dafēt 'body,
    side')
b-aðōbal ð- (with suffixes: b-að́́bl-) 'beside' (cf. ðōbal 'side, edge') 23
l-agarē ð-'for the sake of, on account of'24
kəyōs ð- like' (cf. kəyōs 'proportion; good fit, proper measure')
al-sáyb \partial- 'in the direction of' (a word sayb 'direction?' is not attested)
b-ațrēf д- 'beside' (cf. țrēf 'side')
mən aṭrēf \partial- 'from among, out of'
```

[^105]The attested contexts are:
wə-wğāz bah aǵáyg ðə-l-adafétəh 'and he slipped it to the man next to him' $(73: 6)^{25}$
te $b$-að́zbali, hawkáyh w-akōfi 'then when he was beside me, he put it down and left' (92:2)
hōh ða-mátḥənək mánah yaḳōla xadmátah l-agarēy ‘I was troubled that he had to leave his work on account of me' (43:9)
thōm (t)sōom āmark l-agarē ðə-tambōku 'do you want to trade [lit. sell] your life for the sake of tobacco?' (94:36)
 was exactly like this story' (48:31)
sayárk al-sáyb ðд-śīwōt ‘I went in the direction of the fire’ (47:3) hīs bársan b-aṭərēf ð-aṣ́áyga, mánam wōz 'after they were by the pen, they took a goat' (31:12)
 'they pay half of this debt out of the livestock that is with us in the mountains' (58:8)

The phrase man atorrēf $\partial$ - also occurs in text 75 (three times) in conjunction with the particle ámma. The combined phrase $w$-ámma man aṭərēf $\partial$ - means something like 'and now about'; see further in § 12.5.3.

The preposition balá 'without' occurs just one time in the texts, in the phrase balá šak 'without a doubt' (7:5). This is simply an Arabism, as is the related word baláš 'for nothing, gratis' (22:48). The preposition $\bar{a} w \bar{e} s ̣$ 'in place of, as a substitute for' also occurs just one time (17:15). Its status in Mehri is unclear, though it is presumably borrowed from the Arabic preposition 'iwada, which has the same meaning.

### 8.23 The Suffixed Forms of Prepositions

The prepositions can be categorized on the basis of their suffixed forms. The monoconsonantal prepositions $b$ - 'in, at; with; for', $h$ - 'to; for', $k$ - 'with', and $l$ - 'to;

[^106]for' (as well as the direct object marker $t$-; see $\S 3.3$ ) form one group. These four are declined as follows:

|  | $b$ - | $h$ - | $k$ - | l- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | bay | háyni | šay | lay |
| 2 ms | $b u ̄ k$ | hūk | šūk | lūk |
| 2fs | bayš | hayš | šayš | layš |
| 3 ms | $b a h$ | hah | šzh | $l a h$ |
| 3fs | $b \bar{s}$ | $h \bar{s}$ | šı̄s | liss |
| 1 cd | (bàki) | (háki) | šīki | làki |
| 2cd |  |  |  |  |
| 3 cd | (báhi) | (háhi) | šīhi | láhi |
| 1cp | $b \bar{n}$ | hīn | šīn | līn |
| 2mp | bīkam | hīkam | šǐkam | līkam |
| 2 fp | bikzn | hīkan | šikan | lîkan |
| 3 mp | bīham | hīham | šĭhzm | līham |
| 3fp | $b i ̄ s \partial n$ | hīsən | šīsən | līsən |

The one exceptional form among these four prepositions is the 1 cs form háyni. In addition, we can point out the following:

- The suffixes used with these prepositions look like the object suffixes used with $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3$ fp perfects (§3.2.3).
- The underlying 3 ms suffix is -īh, though it is realized as -źh or, less often, -ēh or -eh, as per the rule discussed in §2.2.4. In the texts, I have always
transcribed -áh. Cf. the variation in the 3 ms object suffixes on 3 ms perfects, discussed in § 3.2.3.
- The anomalous ics form háyni, though it does not conform with the rest of this group, does have parallels in the other MSA languages (e.g., Hobyot hini, Jibbali híni, Soqoṭri énhi).
- The vowel of the dual forms is a bit problematic. The two attestations of 3cd láhi with audio (74:16; 83:1) clearly have á. The two attestations of 3cd šhi $(4: 13 ; 35: 20)$ have $\bar{\imath}$, though Ali did not indicate the vowel in his Arabicletter transcription (as he did, for example, for 3 mp šīhzm, and usually for 3 cd tīhi). The shift $\bar{\imath}>$ á does not seem to be because of the following $h$, since we never find the shift before the 3mp suffix -ham, and because we find -áki as a 2cd verbal object suffix (see the comment to text 91:22); see also the note to the following table. So the vowels of the dual forms of $b$ - and $h$ - remain uncertain, as also with dual object suffixes on verbs (§3.2.3).

Another group consists of $b \bar{a} d$ 'after', bark 'in(to), inside', hāl 'at, by, beside', fənōhən 'before; in front of', am-mán 'between', and sār 'behind'. These forms are:

|  | $b \bar{a} d$ | bark | fanōhวn | $h a \bar{l}$ | әт-mən | $s \bar{a} r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | bādáy | barkáy | fanwáy | hanáy | am-mənwáy | saráy |
| 2 ms | $b \bar{a} d u ̄ k$ | barkūk | fanwūk | hanūk | am-manwūk | sarūk |
| 2fs | bādáyš | barkáyš | fonwáyš | hanáyš | am-manwáyš | saráyš |
| 3 ms | bādīh | barkih | fanwih | hanīh | am-manwàh | sarīh |
| 3fs | $b a ̄ d i ̄ s$ | barkis | fanwis | hanis | ( m -manwis) | sarīs |
| 1cd | ? | ? | (fanwáki)? | ? | am-mənwáyki | (sarīki)? |
| 2cd |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 cd | ? | ? | (fanwáhi)? | ? | əm-mənwáyhi | (sarīhi)? |
| 1cp | bādīn | barkin | fanwēn | hanīn | am-manwīn | sarin |


| 2mp | bādīkam | barkīkam | fanwīkam | hanikam | am-manwīkam | sarīkam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2fp | bādīkan | barkikan | fanwīkan | hanīkan | am-mənwīkan | sarīkən |
| 3 mp | bādīham | barkīham | fanwīham | hanīham | am-manwīham | sarīham |
| 3fp | $b \bar{a} d i \bar{s} ə n$ | barkīsan | fanwisan | hanīsən | am-manwīsan | sarīsən |

## Notes:

- The suffixes used with these prepositions look like the object suffixes used with $3 \mathrm{~ms} / 3$ fp perfects (§3.2.3).
- The only attested dual form in this group is the 3cd manwáyhi (77:10), though there is no audio confirmation of this form. In ML (p. xviii), Johnstone gives the forms fanwáki and fanwáhi as representative of this group. Watson (2012: 70) lists the dual forms sarīki and sarīhi. If Johnstone's forms are correct, or even if these are mistranscriptions for fanwîki and fanwīhi then it is very unclear whether the others pattern with fanwáhi or the attested manwáyhi. Therefore, I have marked the unattested dual forms given above with a question mark. See also the final note to the previous table able.

Another set includes $\partial \underline{a} r$ r 'on; about' and $\dot{g} a y r$ 'except':

|  | $\grave{\partial} \bar{a} r$ | $\dot{g} a y r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Cs | đִáyri | ġáyri |
| 2 ms | đ̛áyrək | ġáyrak |
| 2fs | đ${ }^{\text {áyraš }}$ | ġáyrəš |
| 3 ms | đ̛áyrah | ġáyrah |
| 3 fs | đ̛áyrəs | ̇̇áyras |


| 1cd | đِáyrki | j̇áyrki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2cd |  |  |
| 3 cd | đِáyrhi | j̇áyrhi |
| 1cp | đáyrən | ġáyran |
| 2mp | đ̣áyrkam | j̇áyrkam |
| 2fp | đ̣áyrkan | ġáyrkan |
| 3 mp | đ̣áyrham | ġáyrham |
| 3 fp | đáyrsən | ġáyrsən |

Note:

- The suffixes used with these prepositions look like the object suffixes used with singular nouns (§3.2.1). The vowel $\partial$ is part of the underlying suffix. The syllable $r$ r is regularly metathesized to $\partial r$, e.g., *đ̣áyrəsən > đ̣áy (ə)rsən. This is why we find the diphthong ay in what looks to be a closed syllable in the dual and plural forms.

The prepositions tawōli 'to, towards' and naxāli 'under' are unlike other prepositions, in that they take the suffixes used with plural nouns (§3.2.2). This is due to the final $-i$ of their bases, which was reinterpreted as part of the suffix in the plural forms. For example, the 3 mp form naxáliham is etymologically made up of the base naxāli plus the 3 mp suffix -ham, i.e., nəxāli-hzm. This was reinterpreted as a base naxāl plus the suffix -iham that is found attached to plural nouns, i.e., nəxál-iham, and subsequently the entire paradigm shifted to this pattern. The forms are:

|  | tawōli | nəxāli |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | towálye | nәxálye |
| 2 ms | tวwēk | nәха̄kย |
| 2fs | tวwēš | nวxāš |
| 3 ms | təwēhe/təwálhe | nəxāhe |
| 3 fs | $t ว w \bar{\varepsilon} s \varepsilon$ | nวхāsء |
| 1cd | tawáliki | nəxáliki |
| 2cd |  |  |
| 3 md | tawálihi | nəxálihi |
| 1cp | təwályən | naxályan |
| 2mp | təwálikzm | nəxálikzm |
| 2fp | təwálikən | naxálikən |
| 3 mp | tawáliham | naxáliham |
| 3 fp | tawálisan | nəxálisən |

Notes:

- The lof the bases naxál- and təwál- is usually lost before suffixes beginning with a consonant other than $y$, i.e., all $2 s$ and $3 s$ forms. This is a result of the rule outlined in $\S 2.1 .5$. The 3 ms is attested both with and without assimilation of the $l$ (cf. 22:15 and 48:13), presumably because of the guttural $h$. It is possible naxāhe also has a variant with the l preserved.
- The base tawál- has the free variant təwél-, e.g., towálhe ~ təwélhe and tawálikam~təwélikam.

Finally, the preposition man 'from' is unique. Its suffixed forms are:

|  | singular | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | manáy | mankáy | manīn |
| 2 m | mank |  | mankèm |
| 2 f | manš |  | mankēn |
| 3 m | mánəh | manháy | manhēm |
| 3 f | məns |  | mansēn |

The particles $a r$ 'except, but', $t \varepsilon$ 'until, up to', and $x \bar{a}$ 'like; as ... as' cannot take suffixes. In fact, $t \varepsilon$ is not attested with a pronominal object at all, while $a r$ and $x \bar{a}$ are followed by independent subject pronouns. The preposition sabēb 'because of' takes suffixes like any ordinary noun, though only 3ms sabēbah is attested in the texts (89:32).

## Numerals

### 9.1 Cardinals

### 9.1.1 Numerals 1-10

Following are the numerals 1-10 in Omani Mehri: ${ }^{1}$

| 1 | Masculine tāt $(t+\bar{a} d)$ | Feminine tayt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | trōh | trayt |
| 3 | śãtáat | śhalīt |
| 4 | arbōt | árba |
| 5 | xəmmōh | xáymah |
| 6 | yatīt | hatt |
| 7 | yabáyt | hōba |
| 8 | tamanīt | ṫmōni |
| 9 | sayt/sa'áyt | $s \bar{\varepsilon}$ |
| 10 | āşarìt | ōśar |

As is characteristic of Semitic languages, the masculine numerals $3-10$ (that is, those used in conjunction with masculine nouns) have the suffix - $t$ (except xammōh), which is otherwise typically a feminine marker (§4.1).

The masculine numbers are used for counting. The numeral t tāt (f. tayt) (which can also have the sense of 'a certain') normally follows the noun, but can sometimes precede it when referring to a person (e.g., 42:52, 6o:10; 70:4; 88:1), in which case the noun can be considered appositional to the numeral. The more historically correct masculine form is $t \bar{a} d,{ }^{2}$ but $t \bar{a} t ̣$ is the form that Ali normally used; only once in his texts did he write țād (4:1). On $t \bar{a} t$ as an indefinite pronoun, see § 3.5 .1 and $\S 3.5 \cdot 3$.

[^107]The numeral trōh (f. trayt) usually follows a dual form of the noun, but can also precede, in which case the accompanying noun appears in the plural (e.g., 9:4). See further in $\S$ 4.2. The vowel of $\underline{t r o ̄} h$ correponds to the dual suffix - $\bar{o} h$, used in a number of verb forms ( $\S 7$.1.1, $\S 7.1 .2$, and $\S 7.1 .3$ ); as noted elsewhere, this -ōh likely derives from an earlier *ay, which is still seen in the feminine form trayt.

The form śātáyt derives not from **şltááyt (which would give śzwtáát in Omani Mehri), but rather from *Śstáyt. Watson (2012: 110) recorded sát táyt in Eastern Yemeni Mehri. Western Yemeni Mehri has śäfáyt or śag̀ (a)titt (Jahn 1905: 74), ${ }^{3}$ Harsusi has śáfáyt (HLL, s.v. ślś'), Hobyot has śátēte (HV, p. 265), Jibbali (in which ' or l should remain) has śotét (JLO, § 9.1.1), and Soqoṭri has śátzh (Johnstone 1975: 23). The $h$ of the feminine form shalitu is found in Yemeni Mehri dialects, as well as in Hobyot and Jibbali. ${ }^{4}$

Unlike 'one' and 'two', the numerals from 'three' to 'ten' normally precede the noun; however, they can sometimes follow when the phrase is definite (e.g., 64:33; cf. $66: 5$ for a counterexample), and always follow when the noun has a possessive suffix (e.g., $50: 1$ ).

In addition to the texts themselves, we hear numbers in various places on the audio recordings. Often Ali read the text number in Mehri, and in a couple of places he (and at least one other speaker) counted to ten. In those instances, we hear both sayt and sz'áyt for 'nine (m.)'. The latter does sometimes sound more like sāáyt, which is probably where Johnstone got the transcription säáyt in text 104:29 (see the comment to that passage). ${ }^{5}$

Following are some examples of numerals in context:
$1 \mathrm{~m} \quad \dot{g} i g e ̄ n ~ t ̣ a ̄ d ~ ' o n e ~ b o y ' ~(35: 8), ~ k ̣ a ̄ ’ t ̣ a ̄ t ~ ' a ~ c e r t a i n ~ p l a c e ' ~(25: 1), ~ t ̣ a ̄ t ~ d a l l o ̄ l ~ ' o n e ~$ guide' (6o:8)
If nahōr ṭayt 'one day' (24:5), fāmas țayt 'one of its legs [lit. its one leg]' (6:14), wōdi ṭayt 'a certain valley' (44:12)

[^108] (91:1), naḥāh śātáayt 'we are three' (42:24)
3 f śhalīt sanáyn 'three years' (14:3), śhalīt sāt 'three hours' (18:10), śhalīt

$4 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ arbōt $\dot{g} \partial y u \bar{u} g$ 'four men' (88:7), arbōt āṣáwr 'four nights' (92:1), arbōt manin 'four of us' (83:7)
4 f árba rīğād 'four pregnant camels' (12:10)
5 m xammōh țวwōr 'five times' (20:42), xammōh wōrəx 'five months' (10:10)
5 f xáymah sanáyn 'five years' (14:5), xáyməh rīkōb 'five camel-loads' (65:15)
$6 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ yotīt bū 'six people’ (71A:1), yotīt waṣ́āh 'six times per day’ (93:3)
6 f hatt yūm 'six days' (27:22) (see §9.2)
7 m ḥabánhe yabáyt '(his) seven sons' (50:1), yabáyt zəyáwrət 'seven jars' (97:7), hēm yabáyt 'they were seven' (70:3)
$7 \mathrm{f} \quad$ hōba yanīt 'seven women' (97:16), hōba sənáyn 'seven years' (14:1)
8m tamanīt ðəré’ 'eight cubits’ (98:11)
8 f tamōni sənáyn 'eight years' (8:1)
9 m sayt man ad-daráw ' 'nine of the Duru' (104:29)
10m āśarīt ġəyūg 'ten men' (104:6), āśarīt dīnār 'ten dinars' (22:40)
1of ōśar rawn 'ten goats' (70:5), ōśar man ḥázye 'ten of my goats' (81:4)

Interestingly, the numeral țāt (f. țayt) comes between a noun and its attributive adjective. In these cases (of which only a handful are attested), țāt / țayt is usually best translated with an indefinite article or the phrase 'a certain':

```
š\overline{\varepsilon}rə`t!āt śōx 'a certain big street' (18:10)
sēkzn țāṭ rēḥวḳ 'a certain settlement far away' (64:32)
ġ\partialganōt ṭayt arháymot 'a certain pretty girl' (97:19)
raṣk ṭāṭy\partialdinn 'a new lot' (98:9)
śawr țāṭy\partialdīn 'a new plan' (98:9)
```

Numerals with pronominal suffixes are not attested in the texts, though such constructions are possible (e.g., śāt̄ótyan 'we three', śāt̄ótkam 'you three'). We do,
however, find in the texts a suffix (dual, of course) attached to the noun kaláyt 'both': kaláthi 'both of them' $(20: 25) .{ }^{6}$ In a partitive expression, the numeral is followed by the preposition mən, as in arbōt manīn 'four of us' (83:7).

There also exist diminutive forms of the numerals, but none are found in the texts. See further in Watson (2012: 111-112).

### 9.1.2 Numerals 11-19

The numerals 11 to 19 are made simply by saying 'ten and one', 'ten and two', etc., with both elements agreeing in gender with the noun. However, in the texts, we find most often that these forms are replaced by numerals borrowed from Arabic. ${ }^{7}$ The following are all of the forms of the teens attested in the texts:

## Native Mehri form:

15 ōśar wa-xáymah 'fifteen (dollars)' $(39: 3)^{8}$
Arabic forms:
11 had'ášar ġayg 'eleven men' (104:10, 104:25)
14 arbātāšar zayr 'fourteen jars' (97:21)
15 xamstāšaryūm 'fifteen days' (97:31)
16 anhōr ðə-sattāšar 'the sixteenth day' (97:32) (see below, § 9.3)

The noun following a numeral 11 to 19 can either be singular (like $\dot{g} a y g$ and zayr above) or plural (like yūm above). As discussed in the comment to text 104:10, the Roman manuscript of that text includes the variant āśarīt wa-ṭāt gंəyūg, using the native Mehri number plus a plural noun. See also the comment to text 104:25.

### 9.1.3 Tens

The forms of the tens in Mehri have been borrowed from Arabic. Those attested in the texts are ašráyn ' 20 ' (less often aśráyn), śalātáyn ' 30 ', arba'áyn ' 40 ', and xamsáyn '50'. The pattern of śalātáán ' 30 ' derives from Arabic, but the initial ś

[^109]is Mehri; the form is thus a hybrid, as is the variant aśráyn for ' 20 '. For numbers such as $21,22,23$, etc., the digit usually follows the ten (but see ' 25 ' below) and is preceded by wa-, e.g., ašráyn wa-ṭāt '21'. As with the teens, nouns following numerals twenty and above can be either singular or plural. Examples from the texts are:

20 ašráyn sanáyn ‘20 years’ (2:1), ašráyn alf ‘20,00o’ (4:1), aśráyn karáwš ' 20 dollars' ( $25: 8$ ) ašráyn wə-ṭāt '21 (men)' (104:21) ašráyn wa-śātáyt manāsīr '23 Manasir (tribesmen)' (104:24) xams w-ašráyn náfar '25 people' (104:8), xams w-ašráyn ġayg '25 men' (104:10) śalātáyn alf ‘ 30,000 ' (65:15)
40 arba'áyn yūm '40 days' (8:6), arba'áyn karáwš ' 40 dollars' (77:3), arba'áyn ġayg '40 men' (104:27)
50 xamsáyn rawn ‘50 goats’ (20:32), xəmsáyn karš ‘50 dollars’ (72:3)

### 9.1.4 Hundreds

The numeral ' 100 ' is myēt, and ' 200 ' is the dual myētitrayt. For all other multiples of ' 100 ', the plural form $m \bar{\imath}$ is used, preceded by a feminine digit. Accompanying nouns are usually in the singular. In a few cases, the genitive exponent $\partial$ - (§12.4) is placed between the numeral and the noun.

100 myēt bū '100 people' (22:32), myēt kīlo ð-ays' '100 kilos of rice' (66:4), myēt karš '10o dollars' (85:3), myēt ðд-kárš '100 dollars' (85:5), myēt gən $\vec{\imath}$ '100 guineas' (85:12)
200 myēti trayt ðд-kárš ‘200 dollars' (64:11), myēti trayt ‘200’ (85:8)
300 śhalīt mī (69:5)
500 xáymah mī (9:3)
700 hōba mī (60:5)

We also find a form with the Arabic dual suffix in one passage in the texts: myētáyn dīnār '200 dinars' (22:50).

### 9.1.5 Thousands and Above

The numeral 'rooo' is $\bar{\varepsilon} f$ in Mehri, but the Arabic form alf is usually found in the texts. As expected, '2000' is $\bar{\varepsilon} f i t r o ̄ h$. Multiples from ' 3000 ' up to '10,0oo' are made with a masculine numeral plus the plural yalēf 'thousands'. Higher multiples normally use the singular form alf. Accompanying nouns can be singular or plural. The numbers attested in the texts are:

```
1000 alf dīn\overline{rr '1000 dinars' (22:47), \overline{\varepsilon karawš '1000 dollars' (20:32)}}\mathbf{1}\mathrm{ (2)}
4000 arbōtyalēf '4000' (22:48)
10,000 āśzrīt yalēf '10,000' (22:49)
20,000 ašráyn alf karš '20,00o dollars' (4:1), ašráyn alf 'the 20,000' (4:10)
30,000 śzlātáyn alf '30,00o' (65:15)
40,000 arba'áyn alf '40,00o' (22:53)'
```

'Million' is malyōn (pl. malēyīn). This is a borrowing from Arabic, as evidenced by the shared broken plural pattern.

1,000,000 malyōn karš '1,000,000 dollars' (36:2), bū malēȳ̄n 'millions of people' (74:4)

### 9.2 Special Forms Used With 'Days'

A peculiar feature of the numeral system of Mehri (though not unknown from some other Semitic languages) is the existence of a special set of numerals used for counting three or more days, all based on the pattern $C \bar{l} C \partial C$. These are as follows (note that the forms from six to nine do not occur in the texts):

```
nahōr țayt 'one day'
nahōri trayt 'two days'
śllot yūm 'three days'
rība yūm 'four days'
xáymahyūm 'five days'
```

šīd $\partial \underline{t} y u ̄ m$ 'six days'10
šība yūm 'seven days'
tīman yūm 'eight days'
tīsa yūm 'nine days'
áyśar yūm 'ten days'

The form used for 'five days' is identical to the simple feminine ordinal xáyməh. The one occurrence in the texts of 'six days' uses the simple feminine numeral: hatt yūm 'six days' (27:22). This is perhaps on analogy with 'five days'. (The cardinal feminine form of 'six' is used with 'days' also in Jibbali.) It is also worth pointing out that in order for one of the special forms to be used, the word yūm need not follow if it has been previously mentioned; cf. nəhōritrayt aw śl̆trt 'two or three days' (99:52). Compare the use of the feminine numeral vs. the special form used with 'days' in the following passage:

[^110]There are also special ordinal forms used with 'days', which will be covered in the next section.

## 9.3 <br> Ordinals

Following are the forms of the ordinals $1-10$. There are two feminine forms: those used in connection with the word 'day', and those used with all other feminine nouns.

| 1st | Masculine hāazaláa ${ }^{12}$ | Feminine hāwalīt | Form with 'day' (no special form) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd | $m ə s ̌ e ̄ g ̀ r r^{13}$ | mašaġərīt | (no special form) |
| 3 rd | śōlot | śżtot ${ }^{14}$ | śawtıīt |
| h | rōba' / rōba | rábat | arbáyt |
| h | xōmas | xamst | xamhīt |
| h | sōdas | sád(z)st | šadtuit |
| h | sō $b \partial^{\prime} / s o ̄ b a$ | sábat | šabáyt |
| 8th | tōmən | támnat | tomnīt |
| 9th | tōsa' / tōsa | tásat | tsáyt |
| 10 | ōśzr ${ }^{15}$ | āśrət | āśrīt |

11 See the comment to this passage (sillot yūm) in the text section.
$12 M L$ (s.v. 'wl and $h(w l$ ) translates hāwaláy only as 'ancient', but that is just a secondary meaning. $M L$ translates $h a \bar{a} w \bar{l} l$ as 'first', but this appears to be a noun meaning 'first part'. It is found in the phrase hā̄wil ð-aáṣar 'the first part of the night' (42:25), in parallel with fakh ð-aássar 'the middle part of the night' and tzwöli ð-aáṣar 'the last part of the night', in the same passage.
13 Forms of 'second' without the definite article $a$ - do not occur in the texts, and in the one

$14 M L$ (s.v. ślt $\underline{t}$ ) gives the feminine of śō $\partial \underline{t}$ as śzwtitt, which is the form used only with 'day'. We find the same with the ordinals ' 4 th' and higher in $M L$, none of which are attested in the texts. See further in the comment to text 37:20.
15 The ordinal forms of ' 10 ' are not attested in the texts. Watson (2012: 113) claims that the forms are no longer used in Omani Mehri, but did find the expected forms ōśrr and äśrzt in the Yemeni dialect she studied; these match the forms found by earlier researchers (Jahn 1905: 76; Bittner 1913a: 96). Johnstone (1975a: 24; ML, s.v. śr) gives masculine ordinal áyśar, which is an error; this is the cardinal form used with 'days’ (§9.2). He also gives the

As evident from the above list, the ordinals for three through nine are all built on the same pattern: $C \bar{o} C \partial C$ for masculine and $C a ́ C C \partial t$ for feminine. The ordinal forms used with 'day' have the pattern CəCCīt (essentially the feminine of the pattern $C \bar{\iota} C \partial C$ discussed in §9.2). The words for 'first' and 'second' also have plural forms, namely, mp ḥāwalōy (fp ḥāwaláytan) and cp məšaġərūtan, though these do not appear in the texts.

For 'second', there are two other words besides mašēg̈ar attested in the texts. The first is tōni $(48: 23,24)$, a form based on the regular ordinal pattern $C \bar{C} C \partial C$. This can probably be considered a Yemeni Mehri form in the texts, ${ }^{16}$ though Watson (2012: 113) did record toni in very limited use in Omani Mehri. The second is mətálli (64:19; fp matalyōt in 30:13), which is based on the same root as the word tōli 'then'. Its basic meanings are something like 'other, later, following', meanings given in $M L$ (s.v. $t l t$ [sic]). ${ }^{17}$ The adjective mašëg̈ar can also mean 'other', while the adjective ḥāwaláy can also mean 'former' or 'previous', as can be seen in some of the examples below.

Some examples of the ordinals in context are:

1 a agáyg ḥāwaláy 'the first man' (73:9), awbūd hāazáay 'he shot the first one' (64:19), agááygas ḥāwaláy ‘her first husband' (22:77), azbōn ḥāwaláy 'former times' (99:1)
If tétah hāwalīt 'his first wife' (22:102)
2 m ṭawr amšēg̈ar 'a second time' (65:12), kālt tāṭyaḥōmyaxbēr amšēg̈ar 'each one wanted to test the other' (73:10)
2 f ag̈átzh amšğərēt 'his second sister' (37:19), ḥəwōdi amšğarēt 'the next valley' (92:4), f $\overline{\text { žmas }}$ amšğarēt 'its other leg' (81:4)
3 m śōlotِ ð-āsáwr 'the third (one) of the nights' (22:68), āṣar śōlat 'the third night' (37:20), lēlat ðд-śśōlat 'the third night' (48:24)

[^111]$3 \mathrm{f} \quad$ anhōr śawtīt 'the third day' (22:49; 91:27), anhōr ðд-śawțit 'the third day' (88:5), aġátah วśśz$t \underline{t} t$ 'his third sister' (37:20)
4 m arōbə' ð-āṣáwr 'the fourth of the nights' (37:16), arōba' 'the fourth (man)' (73:8)
4 f anhōr $\partial$-arbáyt 'the fourth day' (24:20)
$5 \mathrm{f} \quad$ xamhēt 'the fifth (day)' (32:5)

16 anhōr ðə-səttāšar 'the sixteenth day' (97:32)

As seen in the above examples, there are many cases in which the ordinal immediately follows the noun (e.g., aǵáyg ḥāwaláy 'the first man', 73:9; anhōr śawtīt 'the third day', 91:27), but we also find some examples in which the genitive exponent $\partial$-comes between the noun and the ordinal (e.g., anhōr Әд-śzwtīt 'the third day', 88:5). The construction of the type $X$ Әд-ORDINAL is met only when referring to days or times of the day, and in such cases the ordinal is being used substantively, not adjectivally. This is proven by a case
 in conjunction with nahōr 'day’ (explicitly or implicitly), cannot be modifying
 implied nahōr 'day', and the phrase can be translated literally 'the night of the sixth day' or more loosely as 'the sixth night'. The phrase nahōr ðд-śawtīt would then be literally 'the daytime of the third (day)', though a simple translation as 'the third day' is preferable. There are also examples of the ordinal preceding a plural noun, with the genitive exponent $\partial$-between them, and in these cases the ordinal is also acting substantively (e.g., soolot $ð$ - $\bar{a}$ ṣáwr 'the third (one) of the nights', $22: 68$ ), but a translation using an attributive adjective is possible (e.g., 'the third night').

In the context of a past tense narrative, the idea of 'next' (i.e., 'the following') is expressed with xáylaf (f. xəwfitt), as in āṣar xáylaf 'the next night' (69:3) and anhōr xawfit 'the next day' (30:8;69:5). ${ }^{18}$ Alternatively, amšēg̈ar can mean 'next' (i.e., 'the second'), as in anhōr amšğərēt 'the next day' (89:31). In a future context, 'next' (i.e., 'the coming') is expressed with mahákbal, as in akáyọ̆ amhákbal 'next summer' (39:16). ${ }^{19}$ The idea of 'last' (i.e., 'the previous') is expressed by the

18 The phrases anhōr xəwfit and anhōr amšġərēt tend to follow the conjunction te 'then', in which case the definite article of anho$r$ is often elided, resulting in a pronunciation $t \varepsilon$ $n(a) h o ̄ r x a w f i t / a m s ̌ g ̆ \partial r e ̄ t$.
19 It seems likely that mahákbəl in this usage is a calque of Arabic muqbil, which is the C -stem ('af'ala) active participle from the cognate root.
verb garōh 'pass' in a relative clause, as in anhōr ð-agamāt ðə-garūt 'last Friday' (lit. 'the Friday that passed', 53:1).

The ordinals for 'three' and above can also be used as adverbs, while fanōhən is used for 'first(ly)' and mag̈ōran for 'second(ly)'. Evidence is slim, but we find a nice sequence of fanōhən 'first(ly)', mag̈ōran 'second(ly)', and śōlatِ 'third(ly)' in 90:15.

### 9.4 Fractions

The following fractions are attested in the texts:

1/2 faḳh (du./pl. fákhi), ${ }^{20}$ as in faḳh əs-s $\bar{\varepsilon} t ~ ‘ h a l f ~ a n ~ h o u r ' ~(53: 6), ~ a f f a ́ k h ~ д ə-h o ̄ z ~$ 'half of the goat' (25:15), faḳ̣ дд-wárx 'half a month' (72:6), faḳ̣ əð-həb $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ 'half of the camels' ( $69: 8$ )
1/3 śalēt (du. śaláyti, pl. śalwōt), as in śalētِ ð-aáṣar 'a third of the night' (42:33)
1/4 rabáyt (pl. rabōys), as in śhalīt rabōys 'three-quarters' (66:9)

### 9.5 Days of the Week

The Mehri words for the days of the week all contain elements borrowed from Arabic. They are:

```
anhōr \partialz-l-ḥād 'Sunday' (105:1)
anhōr \partialа l-дt_tnēn 'Monday'
anhōr \partial\partial-tֻtāt}\underline{t}\mathrm{ 'Tuesday'
anhōr ð-arbü" 'Wednesday'
anhōr ðд-xәт\overline{s 'Thursday'}
anhōr \partial-agәmāt 'Friday' (53:1; 85:4)
anhōr ðд-sábt 'Saturday'
```

The word $\bar{a} s ̣ \partial r ~ ' t h e ~ e v e n i n g, ~ n i g h t ' ~ c a n ~ b e ~ s u b s t i t u t e d ~ f o r ~ a n h o ̄ r ~ ' t h e ~ d a y ', ~ i n ~$ which case the night before is usually the intended reference, e.g., $\bar{a} s ̣ a r ~ \partial-$ agəmāt 'Thursday night' (7:7) and aáṣər ðə-l-əthēn 'Sunday night' (37:2). ${ }^{21}$ In

[^112]48:2, the word gamāt is used alone in the phrase gamāt man gamāt, but it is unclear if it means 'every week' or 'every Friday'; both work in the context of the story. The word gamāt undoubtedly means 'week' in the phrases wakōna gamāt 'about a week' (91:13) and śxawtūl gamāt 'he rested a week' (93:7).
variation in usage. In Johnstone's own translations, the passages from $7: 7$ and $37: 2$ were translated as 'Friday night' and 'Monday night', respectively, and it is possible that these may have been the intended meanings in Ali Musallam's texts. ML (s.v. $\underline{t n y}$ ), on the other hand, has 'Sunday night', with an explicit note that āṣər refers to the night before.

## Adverbs

As in many other Semitic languages, there are no productive means for creating adverbs in Mehri. In some places where we find an adverb in English, Mehri uses a prepositional phrase, for example:

```
arṣáni bə-ḥáys 'tie me up tightly [lit. with force]' (24:28)
hēt ḥōkam thááwkam ba-háḳk ‘you are a ruler who rules justly [lit. with
    rightness]' (74:20)
káwța ba-háys 'he cut (too) forcefully [lit. with force]' (75:17)
\(t \operatorname{ta} t ̣ h a r g u ̄ f b\)-abēdi 'one fake-shivered [lit. shivered on pretense]' (84:4)
```

Such examples are relatively uncommon in the texts. Even less common in the texts is the use of a modified cognate accusative as a substitute for an adverbial expression, as in:
wa-šgawsétəh ḥāmáh maglēs kawáy 'and his mother gave [lit. scolded] him a severe scolding' (or: 'she scolded him severely') (14A:8)
gatūk b-ágägēn ǵáylak kawáy 'he looked at the boy (with) an intense look' (or: 'he looked at the boy intensely') (22:8)
kaṭáys makṭāt ķannát 'he gave her [lit. cut her] a small cut' (or: 'he cut her lightly') (75:18)

Though there are no productive means of creating adverbs, there are numerous lexical adverbs. These are discussed below according to type.

### 10.1 Demonstrative Adverbs

The words for 'here' and 'there' pattern with the demonstratives, in that there are forms with and without the element -mah (see §3.4). The longer forms (i.e., those with the element -mah) are far more common overall, though in combination with l- 'to', only the shorter form of 'here' is used. Following are the forms, along with some sentences illustrating their use:

| Here: | bōh | There: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | báwmah haláwk |  |
| ḥəlákəməh |  |  |


| To here: | $a w-b o ̄ h\left(<{ }^{*} a l-b \bar{o} h\right)$ <br> $b a ́ w m a h$ | To there: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | (no special |
| :--- |
| form attested) |

From here: $a m-b \bar{o} h \quad$ From there: (no short form attested) am-báwmah, man báwmah man ḥalákamah

The shorter forms bōh and haláwk (when not in combination with a preposition) are very rare in the texts, each occurring just two or three times. Each seems to have a much more restricted usage than their respective longer forms. The two attested cases of $b \bar{o} h(42: 27,42: 35)$ are both in presentative statements ('here is ...'), while the three attested cases of haláwk ( $5: 7,5: 8 ; 23: 18$ ) are in existential statements. For motion towards, aw-bōh is only attested with imperatives. When other tenses are used, we find báwməh used for motion instead. Compare the passages below from texts 1:4 and 94:33, both of which contain a form of the verb nūka 'come'. Examples are:

```
\(k o ̄ ~ h e ̄ t ~ b a ́ w m a h ~ ' w h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ h e r e ? ' ~(3: 10) ~(~) ~\)
skyēna báwmah 'they will settle here' (74:5)
```



```
ankย́ əw-bōh 'come here!' (1:4)
al yanákam báwmah lā 'they don't come here' (94:33)
nákak am-bōh 'I come [or: came] from here' (80:2)
sayárk ... əm-báwməh ‘I went ... from here’ (53:1)
hāmftakk man báwmah 'if I get out from here' (3:3)
al ạ̣ād yašēnūs yanké’ halákamah 'no one dared come there' (95:1)
hakṣáwm ḥalákaməh 'he spent the day there' (99:39)
haláwk tōmar 'there are dates there' ( \(5: 8\) )
sayáwr man ḥalákamah 'they went from there' (68:2)
```


### 10.2 Adverbs of Place

In addition to the demonstrative adverbs discussed in the previous section, some adverbs of place are:

```
abárr 'outside; ashore’ (directional)
    b-abárr 'outside; ashore’ (locational)
aġáwf 'up, upwards, upstairs, uphill' (directional)
    mən ag̉áwf 'from above'
\(b\)-ag̈zrbēt 'abroad' (locational)
```

> $b ə-k \bar{a} l m ə k o ̄ n$ 'everywhere, anyplace’
> al-hák 'inside; to the north; upstream' (locational or directional)
> mən hałk 'from inside; from the north; from upstream'
> aw-mṣā’ 'down; downstream; to the south' (< 'al-maṣ $\vec{a})$
> xōtar 'down, downward, downstairs; below' (directional)
> bə-xōtor 'down there, downstairs; below' (locational)

We can also add here the word kinə ${ }^{h}$ 'back (towards)', which seems to be used only with the verb radd 'go back, return', as in rádd kiñ ${ }^{2}$ man häl nūka 'he went back towards where he had come from' (12:10). The word is not historically an adverb, however, and can decline for gender and number in order to agree with the subject of a verb. ${ }^{1}$

### 10.3 Adverbs of Time

```
ábdan 'never' (see § 13.2.8)
bäd gēhzm\partialh 'day after tomorrow'
ba-halláy 'at night'2
ðáwbzn 'mid-morning'
fönah 'before(hand), previously' (less common than fənōhan)
fanōhan 'first(ly); before(hand); previously, formerly'
    mənfanōhan 'before(hand)'3
    fan\varepsilon̄mšh̆h 'day before yesterday'
    gëhamah 'tomorrow; the next day (in narrative)'
    gasráwwon '(in) the early evening (or late afternoon)'
    hayūm w-äşáwr 'day and night'
    k-ađ̣áhr 'at noon; in the afternoon'
    kaláyni 'in the evening'
    k-söb\partialh' 'in the morning'
    k-amġ\partialrāb 'in the evening' (rare)
    l-äyöman 'last year'
```

[^113]```
mağōran 'later; then; second(ly)'
man s \(\bar{\varepsilon} t\) 'a long time ago'
man ṭawr 'sometimes'
man xawr 'after a little while'
nahōran '(at) midday'
sētzn 'a while ago'
sōbar 'always'
səwānōt '(for/in) a little while' (see §4.5)
ṣarōmah 'now'
tع (+ adv.) 'then' (see §13.5.3.2)
(ot)tōli 'then'4
tawr 'once'
        ṭáwri trōh 'twice'
tányzn 'secondly'5
xațərāt 'once' (lit. 'time') \({ }^{6}\)
yallōh 'last night'
yallilah 'tonight'
yamōh 'today'
yamšȟh 'yesterday'
```

One can observe (following Lonnet 2003: 436) that several of these adverbs have a final element-ən, namely, đáwbən, fənōhən, ġasráwwən, l-āyōmən, тәg்ōrən, nəhōrən, s̄̄tən, and t tányən. Cf. also wīyən and xáṣ̣ən, included in §10.5.

### 10.4 Adverbs of Manner

fisé' 'quickly'<br>fáxra 'together'<br>taw 'well' (see below)<br>al-xārxáwr 'slowly, gently'

[^114]waḩ́s'- by oneself' (see § 3.6)
(l-)awṭákzməh, waṭákaməh 'thus, like that, in that way' (see below) watōmah 'thus, like this, in this way' (see below)

The word taw is found only once in the texts as an adverb, but the same word serves as the base of the particle táww- 'must, ought to' (§ 12.5.19), and as part of the exclamatory phrase his-táw 'very good! ok!' (§ 12.2). The adverbial example is:
aḥād yaḥwēk taw lā 'no one will understand you well' (80:19)

The forms waṭōmah 'thus, like this, in this way' and (l-)awṭákamah 'thus, like that, in that way' contain the same suffixed elements that we see in the near and far demonstrative pronouns ðōməh 'this' and ð́́kวтəh 'that' (§3.4), and in the demonstrative adverbs báwmah 'here' and halákzməh 'there' (§10.1). Corresponding to bōh 'here', the short form of the near demonstrative adverb, there also exists a short form waṭō, but it is not attested in the texts. ${ }^{7}$ The phrases al-hōlat ðïmah 'in this manner' (24:15, 24:25) and l-akəssēt ðákaməh 'in that way [lit. that story]' (84:10) have meanings similar to waṭomah and (l-)awṭákamah.

### 10.5 Adverbs of Degree

waḳōna 'about, approximately; perhaps, maybe' (see below)
wīyzn 'very (much); well' (see below)
$x \bar{a} s ̣$ 'especially'
xáṣṣan 'especially' (see the comment to text 46:7)

The form waḳōna 'about, approximately; perhaps, maybe' is the masculine singular future of the verb wīka 'be, become' (§ 13.1.1), but its two adverbial uses are relatively common. In the meaning 'about, approximately', wakōna is, as expected, most often followed by a number or time word like 'month' or 'year'. When it means 'perhaps, maybe', it can be used either with a nominal or verbal phrase, or it can be used independently, as in text 26:7. The following examples illustrate these adverbial uses of wakōna:

[^115]ber ādamūt wakōna myēt bū 'she had already executed about a hundred people' (22:32)
śxəwlīl wəḳōna faḳ̣ ðд-wárx 'they stayed about a half a month' (72:6) śxəwlūl wəḳōna áyśar yūm 'he remained about ten days' (74:3) wakōna ber bīsən aśxōf 'maybe they have milk already' (29:7)
hēt warkōna meśk wal̄̄ đ̛aḥāk 'perhaps you defecated or urinated' (99:53)

The adverb wiyzn is relatively rare in the texts, occurring just seven times. It occurs twice modifying an adjective, and five times modifying a verb. ${ }^{8}$ Its basic meaning seems to be 'very much', as in:

```
fōnah gīd wīyan '(it was) very good before' (23:2)
ǵátyaðəm lūk wīyan 'they are very angry at you' (37:18)
hā \(\mathrm{a} b \bar{u}\) әб-tābam wīyan 'the people were very weary' (61:1)
```



```
ḥamōh rēḥวḳ līham wīyan 'the water was very far from them' (104:3)
```

In two places, wīyzn is better translated as 'very well', though the original meaning 'very much' can be seen:

```
hōh al \partial\dot{g}orab ḥōram w\overline{y}yan lā 'I didn't know the road very well' (47:2)
arībēy al yahabṣáwr wīyan la 'my friend didn't see very well' (82:2)
    (habṣáwr = 'see well')
```

[^116]
## CHAPTER 11

## Interrogatives

The Mehri interrogatives are as follows: ${ }^{1}$

| mōn | who? |
| :---: | :---: |
| hēṡan | what? why? what for? |
| hēśวn mon | which? what kind of? |
| hõ | where? |
| wa-kōh (kō) | why? |
| hībōh | how? what? |
| mayt | when? |
| kam | how many? how much? |

Each of these interrogatives will be treated in turn below. ${ }^{2}$ On turning a declarative sentence into an interrogative one, with or without the interrogative particle wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$, see $\S 12.5 \cdot 21$. On the interrogative hān/hanna 'what? which?', which does not occur in our material, see Watson (2012: 81).

## 11.1 mōn 'who?

The interrogative mōn 'who?' is fairly straightforward. The following sample passages from the texts illustrate its use in Mehri:

```
mōn 'who?' (97:34)
hēt mōn 'who are you?' (20:68)
hēt bar mōn 'whose son are you?' (lit. 'you are the son of whom?') (20:44)
atēm bot mōn 'what clan are you?' (lit. 'you are the house of whom?')
    (42:5)
mōn āmūr hūk ‘who told you?' (36:27)
mōn yasūkan bark abáyt đīmah 'who lives in this house?' (38:11)
```

[^117]```
mōn mankēm yakáwdar yatِbēr alyōmah 'which [lit. who] of you can break these?' (50:2)
mōn mənkēm yaḥōm 'which [lit. who] of you wants?' (95:2)
\(m \bar{n} \partial \partial-l u ̄ t \partial \dot{g}\) arisis̄t 'who killed the serpent?' (42:40)
mōn ðว-yдḥōm yวwtáğวh 'who is the one who wants to kill him?' (83:4)
```

In the final two examples, in both of which mōn is used as the subject of a verb, mōn appears in conjunction with the relative pronoun $\partial$ - (see $\S 3.8 .1$ ). Comparing these with other passages above and in the texts, we can conclude that the use of the relative $\partial$ - is not obligatory (as it is in Jibbali, for example), since there are many verbal sentences in which mōn is used alone.

## 11.2 hēśzn 'what? why?’

The interrogative h $\bar{\varepsilon} s{ }^{\prime} z n ~ ' w h a t ? ' ~ c a n ~ b e ~ u s e d ~ a s ~ t h e ~ s u b j e c t ~ i n ~ a ~ v e r b a l ~ o r ~ n o n-~$ verbal sentence, as the direct object in a verbal sentence, or as the object of a preposition. In some contexts hībōh (see §11.6) corresponds to the English use of 'what?'. Example of hēszon used as the subject of a verbal or non-verbal sentence are:

```
hēśan garōh 'what happened?' (65:13)
būk hēśzn 'what's with you?' (48:5)
hēśan šīkzm 'what do you have?' (104:17)
šayš hēśzn 'what do you have?' (94:45)
hēśan martáyk 'what is (this word) martayk?' (71:4)
hēśzn halátzh 'what is its description?' (28:7)
```

Examples of hēśzn used as a direct or indirect object are:
hēśsn tḥōm 'what do you want?' (10:5)
tḥōm hēśzn 'what do you want?' (70:6)
hēśan śīnak 'what did you see?' (95:6)
h $\bar{\varepsilon} s$ śn tōmar 'what is she saying?' (99:44)
hēśzn l-āmōl 'what should I do?' (101:12)
hēśan akáwdər l-āmōl 'what can I do?' (67:2)
hēśan thámi l-āmōl 'what do you want me to do?' (90:14)
hēśzn 'ámlaš b-adənyē ‘what have you done in this world?' (68:6)
hēśan ǵátarikam 'what did you talk about?' (74:21)
bə-hēśzn kátwatki ‘what did you two chat about?’ (74:22)
mən hēśan tzş̦̣hōk 'what are you laughing at?' (5:4)

hēt k-hēśan báwmah 'what are you with here?' (99:49)

It can also be used as an independent interrogative, as in:
hēśzn 'what?' (82:4; 89:16)

It can be used in indirect questions, functioning as either subject or object, as in:
kalētِ lay hēśzn 'ámlak'tell me what you did!' (20:17)
śanyēya gēhəmah hēśan yāḳá’ 'we'll see what happens tomorrow' (75:11)
wádak hēśzn l-āmōl 'do you know what I should do?' (101:10)
hámaš ayaġráyb hēśzn ðд-yōmər ‘did you hear what the crow was
saying?' (5:4)
ġəyūg ðə-láttaġəm báwməh, w-əl wádak mən đ̣ār hēśan lā 'men have
killed each other here, and I don't know over what' (3:19)

The phrase *h-hēśzn, literally 'for what?' also means 'why?' (cf. Jibbali $h$-íné), but because of the rule described in § 2.1.4, this phrase regularly surfaces simply as hēśzn. And so h $\bar{\varepsilon} s{ }^{2} ə n$, originally just meaning 'what?' has come to also mean 'what for?' or 'why?'. Examples are:

```
hēśzn 'why?' (27:2)
hēśan 'for what?' (42:7)
tšaxbūr mansēn hēśan 'what you asking about them for?' (28:5)
hēśan l-azéməh 'why should I give him (a camel)?' (89:32)
tháməh hēśzn 'what do you want it for?' (97:38)
hēśzn nákak ‘what did you come for?’ (99:36)
```


## 11.3 hēśzn man 'which? what kind of?'

The interrogative hēśan is combined with the preposition man 'from' to express 'which?' or 'what kind of?', a meaning also often carried by English 'what?'. The position of man is not fixed, and so a pronoun (personal or demonstrative) can intervene between hēśzn and mən. Examples are:

```
hēśan man śawr 'what kind of plan?' (35:9)
hēśan man bēdi 'what kind of con?' (72:1)
hēśan man ṣ́ayáft ðīməh ‘which wedding is this?’ (75:5)
hēśan man ḥáylat 'what kind of trick?' (75:11)
hēśan man ǵīgēn ðōmah 'what kind of boy is this?' (91:7)
hēt hēśzn man ġayg 'what kind of man are you?' (42:50; 74:7)
hēśan hēt man gayg 'what kind of man are you?' (63:4)
hēśan hēt man tēt 'what kind of woman are you?' (6:11)
hēśan hēt man manēdəm 'what kind of person are you?' (20:34)
```



```
    you?' (i.e., 'what are you talking about?') (20:13)
```

An example in an indirect question is:
al wīda hēśzn man mahrēt yāmōl lā 'he didn’t know what kind trick he might do' (65:2)

## 11.4 ḥõ 'where?'

The interrogative ḥo means 'where?' in both direct and indirect questions. Examples are:
a ciśéy ḥõ 'where is my dinner?' (42:26)
hõ a ciśéy 'where is my dinner?' (42:34)
ar tēti $i$ hã 'so where is my wife?' (37:12)
ḥabrátš ḥõ 'where is your daughter?' (48:8)
hõ se 'where is she?' (65:9)
hātámk ḥõ yallōh 'where did you spend the night last night?' (80:3)
wádak tīsan ḥõ habēr barūk 'do you know where the camels stopped?'
(lit. 'do you know them where the camels kneeled?') (28:4)

The interrogative can be combined with $l-$ 'to' (realized as al-ḥõ) and man 'from', when used with verbs of motion (or where motion is implied):
al-hõ tsyawr 'where are you going to?' (72:2)
al-hõ taghēm 'where are you going to?' (72:5)
al-ḥõ sīrōna yamōh 'where are you going today?' (44:1)
man ḥõ nákak ‘where did [or: do] you come from?' (80:1)
hēt mən ḥõ nákak ‘where do you come from?' (80:20)
tháymi al-hõo ‘where are you headed?' (85:3)
$l-\bar{a} d$ wīda al-hõ yahīwal lā 'he didn't know anymore which way [lit. to where] to head' (98:1)

Note that ḥõ often appears in phrase-final position more often than do the other interrogatives. This is a tendency, but certainly not a rule, as evident from the examples above.

## 11.5 wa-kōh (kō) 'why?'

The basic meaning of Mehri wa-kōh is 'why?', and this is the meaning which it nearly always carries. The prefixed conjunction wa- 'and' can be considered lexical, and need not have a conjunctive function. When followed by an independent personal pronoun, the shorter form $k \bar{o}$ is normally used in the texts, without the prefixed $w a-$. Moreover, $k \bar{o}$ and the pronoun form a single stress unit (i.e., a single word for stress purposes); ${ }^{3}$ since the $\bar{o}$ of $k \bar{o} h$ is not then strictly word-final, the phonetic final $-h($ see $\S$ 2.2.4) is not there. As noted in §3.1, the second person plural pronouns $\partial t e \bar{m}$ and $\partial t e \bar{n}$ are shortened to tēm and tēn when they follow $k \bar{o}$. Examples are:

```
wa-kōh 'why?' (36:26; 57:9; 97:3)
háybi, wa-kōh al hārósk lā 'Father, why haven't you gotten married?'
    (97:2)
kō hēt báwmah 'why are you here?' (3:10)
kō tēm báwmah 'why are you (pl.) here?' (82:4)
wa-kōh al sayárš lā ‘why didn't you go?' (97:22)
kō hēt tabáyk 'why are you (m.) crying?' (5:10)
```



```
kō tēm tabákyam 'why are you (pl.) crying?' (36:15)
kō tēm kִəfádkəm 'why did you come down?' (74:19)
kō hēt tábak tīn 'why did you follow us?' (22:11)
wa-kōh ġarábk tī ōmar 'why do you know I (can) sing?' (52:7)
```

[^118]wa-kōh əð-g்ərábk abḳār tṣábrən mən ḥəmōh 'why do you think [lit. know] the cows would do without water?' $(27: 4)^{4}$

In the last two examples, both of which contain a form of the verb $\dot{g} \partial r \bar{u} b$ 'know', Stroomer (following Johnstone) translated kōh as 'how?', which is an acceptable translation in context. In these cases, kōh is 'why?' meaning not 'what is the purpose of your knowing?', but rather 'by what reason have you come to know?'. In another passage 'how?' (= 'in what way?') really is the only possible translation of $k \bar{o} h$ :
wว-kōh aḥād yaḳáwdar yaġbēr ḥábhe ðə-bér mōtəm ‘and how [lit. why]
can someone meet his parents who have already died?' (20:36)

When $k o \bar{h}$ precedes a noun subject, then an independent pronoun must immediately follow the interrogative, as in:
$k o ̄ h e \overline{a g} i g g e \bar{n}$ дд-yabáyk 'why is the boy crying?' (63:1o)
kō hēm ḥābū alyēk ðə-yawákbam bark abáyt ðayk? 'why are those people going into that house?' (65:10)

Syntactically, we can consider the noun to be in apposition to the pronoun. But since the pronoun seems to be required, we need not translate the phrase literally (e.g., 'why is he, the boy, crying?').

It should be pointed out that Bittner (1914a: 30), following Jahn before him (1902: 235), gives the word for 'why?' only as wukô (= wa-kō). Wagner (1953: 6o) follows suit. Watson (2012: 123) also has only $w k o \bar{h}$. Johnstone ( $M L$, s.v. $k^{’}$ ) notes only that wa-kōh appears more than $k \bar{h} h$. We have seen that the default form is wa-kōh, but the form is $k \bar{o}$ when followed by a pronoun.

## 11.6 hībōh 'how? what?'

The basic meaning of hībōh is 'how?', in the senses of 'in what way/manner?' and 'in what condition?'. Examples are:
hïbōh wátxfam hūṭār 'how [i.e., in what condition] did the kids come back this evening?' (26:12)

[^119]hībōh atṭwáhi 'how will she come to me in the night?' (75:6)
hïbōh tetkk 'how is your wife?' (97:50)
hībōh al kálam tīš tšákfi lā 'how did they not let you sleep?’ (74:17)
ba'áyli habēr, hībōh ðə-wbáwd 'the camel-herders, how have they been doing?' (45:9)
hïbōh ḥayr ḥáyrak 'how is the donkey your donkey?' (46:12)
hïbōh hankárk 'how do you feel?' (84:6)
hībōh tkōsa ḥanáfk ‘how are you?' (lit. 'how do you find yourself?') (84:8)
hībōh amātáymək 'how was your night?' (85:27)

In certain contexts, especially in combination with the verbs $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say', áymal 'do', and śīni ‘see’, hïbōh corresponds to English 'what?'. It can also mean 'what?' when used independently. Examples are:

```
hïbōh śawr 'what's the plan?' (6:6)
hībōh ḥām 'what is a dream?' (19:21)
hïbōh āmárk ‘what did you say?' (80:9)
atēm tāmaram hībōh 'what do you say?' (80:16)
hībōh āmlōna ‘what will we do?' (98:9)
hïbōh l-āmōl 'what should I do?' (37:15)
hībōh 'ámlam 'what did they do?' (74:20)
hïbōh Әд-yōmər 'what was it saying?' (5:4)
hībōh yāmarən ḥābū 'what would the people say?' (98:12)
hībōh śīnəš 'what did you see?' (98:13)
ð-วḥtzwēk aw hībōh 'have you gone crazy or what?' (20:5)
hībōh 'what?' (80:13)
walākan hībōh 'but what?' (42:3)
```

As can be seen from some of the examples given in § 11.2, the interrogative hēśzn can also be used with the verbs $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, áymal, and śini. That is to say, hībōh and $h \bar{s} \dot{s} \partial n ~ s e e m ~ t o ~ b e ~ s y n o n y m o u s ~ i n ~ s o m e ~ e n v i r o n m e n t s . ~ W e ~ e v e n ~ f i n d ~ b o t h ~ u s e d ~$ identically in the same text, in the mouth of the same speaker. For example, we find hībōh 'ámlam 'what did they do?' (74:20), followed shortly thereafter by hēśan ’ámlakam ‘what did you do?' (74:21).

We also find hībōh meaning either 'how' or 'what' in indirect questions, as in:
wádakam ḥābū hībōh sanēt ðı̄məh 'do you know how the people are this year?' (45:1)
ag̀īgēn l-ād wīda hībōh yāmōl lā 'the boy didn't know at all what to do' (76:3)

In a couple of cases, hībōh means 'why?' or 'how come?'. Just as hēśzn 'why'? comes from *h-hēśzn (see §11.2), it is possible that hībōh in such cases is from an underlying * $h$-hībōh.
hībōh al-kəəfèd man đ̛ār ḥáyri 'why should I get down off my donkey?' (46:11) hībōh 'ámlaš waṭōmah 'why did you do that?' (97:51)

## 11.7 mayt 'when?'

Mehri mayt presents no complications in terms of meaning. It is used to mean 'when?' in both direct and indirect questions:

> mayt hámak tī ð-ōmər 'when did you hear me singing?' (52:11) mayt tardēd lay ‘when will you come back to me?' (56:5) wádan lā mayt yanké' 'we don't know when it might come’ (45:17) wádak mayt l-attàkkəh ‘do you know when I should drink it?' (101:8) mən mayt ‘since when?’ (101:13)

On the temporal particle $m \partial t$, which is derived from mayt, see $\S$ 13.5•3.1.

## 11.8 kam 'how many? how much?'

Mehri kam is used for the question 'how many?' or 'how much?.' The question '(for) how much?', when used with regards to money, is ba-kám. Among the few examples in the texts are:
kam yūm ( $t$ )ṣábran man ḥamōh 'how many days will they do without water?' (27:21)
ḥəmáwlət ba-kóm 'how much is a camel-load?' (27:11)
bə-kám thōom (t)sōom lay aməndáwkək 'how much do you want to sell me your rifle for?' (39:1)
bə-kám śēmōna tīs 'how much will you sell it for?' (99:50)

On the use of the preposition $b$ - to mean 'for, in exchange for', see $\S 8.2$.

## 11.9 al hẽ lā 'isn't that so?'

In one passage, we find the interrogative phrase al he $l \bar{a}$, which acts as a tag question, equivalent to English 'isn't that so?' or 'no?' (French n'est-ce pas). The passage is:
hēt sīrōna gēhamah, al hẽ lā 'you'll go tomorrow, won't you?' (56:1)
Watson (2012: 336) recorded $a h \bar{a} l \bar{a}$, which she interprets as $a h \bar{a}$ 'yes' (presumably the same as $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$ 'yes' in our texts) plus là 'no', that is 'yes no'. But the manuscript and audio of text $56: 1$ clearly have al hẽ $l \bar{a}$. We also find the same phrase used in Jibbali (ol hẽ $\supset)$; see $J L O, \S$ 11.11.

## Particles

## 12.1 <br> Coordinating Conjunctions

Following is a list of the basic Mehri coordinating conjunctions:

```
w- 'and'
walākan 'but'
aw 'or'
wal\overline{\varepsilon} 'or; or else'
```

Each of these will be discussed in turn below. On the use of $t \varepsilon$ as a coordinating conjunction, see § 13.5•3.2. For subordinating conjunctions, see § 13.4 and $\S 13.5$. On the use of $a r$ 'except, but' and $a r w$ - 'unless' as subordinating conjunctions, see §8.1 and § 12.5.4.

### 12.1.1 w- 'and'

The most common conjunction in Mehri is the clitic particle $w$-, which appears as wa-before a word beginning with a consonant. Sometimes in fast speech wais metathesized to $\partial w$-, resulting in a surface pronunciation $u$. This particle is used to join two elements within a clause, or to join two clauses. Examples of the former are:

sayárki hōh wa-hē 'he and I went' (18:13)
tōmar w-ayś 'dates and rice' (23:15)
amandáwḳi ar gádət wa-rxáyṣat 'my rifle is good and cheap' (39:4)
ag̈īggēn ḳəwáy wa-xfáyf 'the boy was strong and quick' (42:2)
tšawkūf am-manwīh w-əm-mán téṫəh 'she would sleep between him and
his wife' (lit. 'between him and between his wife') (46:7)
sē wa-ḥáybas w-ȧgās w-aǵgáygas 'she and her father and her brother and
her husband' (48:33)
šay mawsē wว-ḥวbūr 'it was raining and I was cold' (lit. 'with me were
rain and cold’) (53:6)
hōh wo-śsōx mánan tah 'the big one and I held him back' (91:7)
xams w-ašráyn ġayg 'twenty-five men' (lit. 'five and twenty') (104:10)

And some examples of $w$ - used to join two clauses are:
hēt ḳənnáwn w-ādk al hēt mahērəs lā 'you are a child, and you won't marry yet' (8:8)
$s ̣ o ̄ r w a-\dot{g} \partial l u ̄ k$ b-aǵīgēn 'he stood and looked at the boy' (22:8)
ðōməh amftēh, wa-sēn bork aġarfēt ðayk'this is the key, and they are in that room' (22:97)
yaġárbi, w-abárka towályz 'he recognized me, and he ran towards me' (34:27)
'ágbak bīs, wa-sē āgbōt bay 'I fell in love with her, and she fell in love with me' (38:18)
śxawlūl, wa-šamrūṣ́ 'they stayed, and he became ill' (48:6)
Anyone reading Mehri texts will quickly notice that the conjunction $w$ - is used more frequently within a narrative context than 'and' is used in English, even at the beginning of a sentence. For this reason it is sometimes best left untranslated.

Sometimes in the texts, $w$ - best corresponds to English 'but', though 'and' is usually possible as well. In such cases the contrastive sense is minimal. Examples are:
śxəwallūt bark aláng, w-aġáyg kəfūd wə-wkūb al-ḥōkam 'she stayed on the boat, but the man went down and went to the ruler' (74:12)
hāgūr bōyar, wa-ttēt thágsah aṣáţ̣ah 'the slave was a liar, but the woman thought he was being truthful' (5:12)
šawkūf, wa-sannáwrat sharōt hāl harōhəh'he fell asleep, but the cat stayed awake by his head' (15:12)
nákak aṣ́áyga, w-al kask aḥād lā 'I came to the shelter, but I didn't find anyone' (54:4)

On the sequence $a l \ldots w$-al 'neither ... nor', see $\S$ 13.2.1, and on the compound ar $w$ - 'unless', see § 12.5.4.

### 12.1.2 wolākan 'but'

The particle walākan, ${ }^{1}$ clearly borrowed from Arabic wa-lākin, corresponds to English 'but'. Examples of its use are:

[^120]kūsən hərōm əð-báh ḥfūl, walākan đ̣áyrah yaðbōr mēkan 'we found a tree that had ripe figs on it, but there were a lot of hornets in it' (25:3)
hā $b \bar{u}$ yātəkáydan bühəm, walākan man sanáyn alyōmah l-ād aḥād yātzkáydan bïham lā 'people used to believe in them, but in these years, no one believes in them anymore' (25:19)
hōh ar ḳənnáwn, walākan hámak 'I am only a child, but I have heard' (48:30)
šxabīri, walākan hōh al akáwdar l-əġtáyr anglīz̄̄yat lā 'they questioned me, but I couldn't speak English' (62:7)
bagáwdəh, walākan l-ād alhāạkam tah lā 'they chased him, but they didn't catch up to him' (69:5)
walākan hīs śīni aġəyūg həḳbīl lah, ḳərōh aḳóth 'but when he saw the men approaching him, he hid his food' (73:3)

Watson (2012: 131) mentions the variant forms lākan, mākan, mākani, and mākanay; neither lākan or mākan occurs in Johnstone's texts, but on the other two forms, see § 12.5 .15 .

### 12.1.3 aw 'or'

As a simple coordinating conjunction, aw means 'or'. Examples are:
mən đ̛ār sanēt aw zōyad 'after a year or more' (16:1)
ð-aḥtzwēk aw hïbōh 'have you gone crazy or what?' (20:5)
atēm axáyr mənáy, aw hōh axáyr mənkēm 'you are better than me, or I am better than you' (42:12)

know (if) he wanted to mislead me, or (if) he didn't know the way' (62:2)
arbōt aw yotīt bū 'four or six people' (71A:1)
hāl ạhād aw waḥśūk ‘with someone, or by yourself?’ (8o:5)
atēm wakiyē b-amkōnkam, aw śalyēla 'will you be in your (current) place, or will you move?' (96:4)
hámak tī aw lā ‘did you hear me or not?' (96:7)
ṣoṭk aw bēdi 'true or false [lit. a truth or a lie]' (99:1)

In some passages, $a w$ functions as a subordinating conjunction 'unless', as in:
al hōh kawt̄ōna hūk lā aw ber ġarábk tīk 'I won't tell you unless I know you' (12:7)

```
hagggūt lah tétah m-ād yahēras aw yahōōm yaxxōli ‘his wife didn’t let him get married [to another woman] unless he wanted to get divorced' (32:28)
al afátk lā aw təśtōm háyni ganbáyyat 'I won’t go unless you buy me a dagger' (34:33)
```

It is possible that $a w$ in such contexts derives from $\operatorname{arw}$ - (see $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$ ).

### 12.1.4 walē 'or; or else'

The conjunction wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ (< Arabic wa-'illā) is occasionally used, like $a w$, to indicate simple 'X or Y'. It seems to be used mainly in statements, while $a w$ is often used in questions. The conjunction wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ can also be used in a negative context, as in the last example below. Examples are:
yasháyṭ bēr wal̄̄ rawn 'they slaughter camels or goats' (54:2)
hā (54:3)
hām ṣarōt walē raddūt lay 'if she stops or comes back at me' (54:18)

a'iśárke wal̄̄ śč ‘(are they) your friends or something?' (94:32)
naḥāh waḳiy $\bar{\varepsilon} b$-amkōnan te gēhamah wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ bād gēhamah 'we will be at our place until tomorrow or the next day' (96:5)
al țībar walē $\bar{a} t a w u ̄ r$ 'he didn't get broken (bones) or get hurt' (99:19)

In other contexts, wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ has the meaning 'or else', in which case it usually follows an imperative and precedes an imperfect or future tense verb:
kalēti lay b-aṣáṭ, wal̄̄ watḡōna ḥanōfi 'tell me the truth, or else I will kill myself!' (37:13)
$\bar{a} z a ́ m i ~ h ̣ ə n a ́ f s ̌, ~ w a l \bar{\varepsilon} ~ s h ̣ ə t ̣ o ̄ n a ~ t ̣ a ̄ t ~ m a n ~ h ̣ a b a ́ n s ̌ \varepsilon ~ ' g i v e ~ y o u r s e l f ~ t o ~ m e, ~ o r ~ e l s e ~ I ~$ will kill one of your sons!' (48:23)
ōbal, wal̄̄ yaxlīfk ġayūg ð-axáyr mank 'try, or else men who are better than you will replace you!' (76:5)
azémən xəṭáwrkyan, walē məšanḥarūtan bīkam 'give us our sticks, or else we'll lodge a complaint against you!' (91:24)
āmáyli háyni háylat, wal̄̄ mətōna ṣərōməh 'make me a scheme, or else I'll die now' (99:29)

The sequence wal $\bar{\varepsilon} \ldots$... wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ can mean 'either ... or', as in: ${ }^{2}$
wal̄̄ mazzōna ba-təmbōku wal̄̄ ba-rṣōṣ 'either you'll smoke tobacco or bullets' (94:26)

The particle wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ can be used at the beginning of a question, where it means something like 'perhaps'. Since in this use it is not functioning as a conjunction, it is treated separately below in $\S 12.5 \cdot 21$.

### 12.1.5 yā ... yā 'either ... or'

The particle $y \bar{a}$, used before two or more different elements, has the meaning 'either ... or'. There is just one example in the texts:
yā yalhákah yā lā 'either he'll catch him, or not' (96:3)
Watson (2012: 297) gives additional examples. She also has examples of both wa $\bar{\varepsilon} \ldots w a t \bar{\varepsilon}$ and $a w . . . a w$ with this meaning.
12.1.6 əð ... əð 'either ... or'

The particle дð can also be used to conjoin a set of two or more phrases, indicating uncertainty on the part of the speaker. This usage corresponds to English 'either $X$ or $Y$ ' or 'whether it be $X$ or $Y$ '. An example is:
əð wazūm ḳarš, wə-ð wazūm ḳárši troh 'either (a person) gave a dollar or he gave two dollars' (72:3)

On the conditional particle $\partial \partial$, see §13.4.2.

### 12.2 Exclamations

There are numerous exclamatory particles found in the texts. These include:

| bass 'enough! that's all' | haláy 'let's go!' (see the comment |
| :--- | :--- |
| bass mən X 'enough of $X$ !' | to text 48:15) |
| gंadéwwən 'let's go!' (see § 12.5.11) | hāšē l- 'far be it from (s.o.)!' |
| his-táw 'ok!' | l-āziz X 'oh woe for $X^{\prime}$ |

[^121]```
ma yaxālaf 'nevermind!'
asthōl 'goodbye!' (lit. 'go in good
fortune!')
wa-hīh 'oh!'
wa-lū 'even so!' (see §13.4.4)
xáybən ‘ok! fine!’
```

(yā) háy (yz) b- ‘welcome!'
(followed by suffix or noun)
yā rayt (+ subj.) 'would that! I
wish!' (see §7.1.3)
yaxx 'ugh!'
yદ́ye ‘ok!'

Many of these can probably be considered Arabisms, like bass, ḥās̄ē l-, ma yaxāləf, wz-lū, yā ḥáy(yz), and yā rayt. No doubt other such Arabic words are used by Mehri speakers.

We also find the exclamatory particle $y \bar{a}$ used in a cry of lament or anger, as in:
$y \bar{a}$ ḥāmáy, yā ḥāmáy ‘oh my mother, my mother!' (65:13)
$y \bar{a} \bar{a} z \bar{z} z, y \bar{a} a \bar{a} z \bar{z} z^{\prime o h}$ Aziz, oh Aziz!' (75:23)
$y \bar{a}$ 'azzotáyn 'oh, I'm so sorry!' (85:24)
yā aytáyl! yā báydi ‘you fox! You liar!' (99:47)

### 12.2.1 'Yes' and 'No'

The basic word for 'yes' in Mehri is $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$, which is used to answer a direct question. A slightly more emphatic affirmative reply to a question is ya-ḥōl'yes indeed!'. The exclamations yéys 'ok!' and his-táw 'ok!', listed in § 12.2, indicate assent following a statement or command, rather than a reply to a question.

For 'no', the basic word is $l \bar{a}$, but more common in the texts is ábdan 'no! no way! never!', which is a borrowing of Arabic 'abadan 'never, not at all'. For a more emphatic negative reply to a question, one can use ba-háw 'no way! not at all!' or barr 'no way! never!'.

The particle lawb, or its longer variant wa-ya lawb, can also be used for 'no', either when the intention is to contradict an earlier (positive) statement (as in the first two examples below), or in answer to a question with two alternatives (as in the last three examples below). It can mean 'yes' when contradicting a negative statement, as in the third, fourth, and fifth examples below.
aməndáwḳək dawáylat ... lawb, aməndáwḳi ar gádət 'your rifle is old ...
No, my rifle is good' (39:3-4)
nəkōna tīkam bād gēhaməh. wa-ya lawb, ankēn gēhaməh 'I'll come back to you the day after tomorrow. No, come back to us tomorrow' (44:4-5)
hām al sēn wardūtan lā ... wa-y a lawb wardūtan 'if they aren't going to go down to the water ... Yes, they will indeed go down to the water' (27:3-4)
hēt al tanōfa lā. lawb, hōh ar ənōfa '(Mother:) You are of no use. (Son:) Yes, I am indeed of use!' (33:1-2)
ðək al hōh lā. wa-ya lawb 'that wasn't me. Yes it was!' (52:13-14)
tḥáyman nəślēl aw nabḳá’ b-amkōnən? lawb, anḥōm naślēl 'do you want us to move or remain in our place? No, let's move' (29:17-18)
hāl aḥād aw waḥśūk? wa-y a lawb wą̣śáy 'with someone, or by yourself? No, by myself' (8o:5-6)
$\bar{a} d ə h$ ðə-yáwdag aw ber āràṣəš təh? lawb, ādəh ðว-yáwdəg 'is he still breast-feeding, or have you already weaned him? No, he is still breastfeeding' (101:15-16)

See $\S 12.5 .13$ for additional uses of the particle lawb.

### 12.3 Vocatives

The vocative particle in Mehri is $\bar{a}$, for example:

```
ḥддōr, a ḥəbráy 'be careful, my son!' (22:44)
a ḥáybi, hōh kask ś\imath` 'Father, I found something' (37:22)
ham\overline{\varepsilon}m, a ḥabánye 'listen, my sons!' (50:4)
ā g̀īgēn, wal\overline{\varepsilon} tzkáayr 'boy, can you read?' (71:2)
\overline{a}dərwī̌, thōm talhōm 'hey dervish, do you want to jump?' (42:48)
```

When the definite article $a$ - is present (§4.4), the vocative particle is normally omitted. When the definite article $h$ - is present, the vocative particle can optionally be omitted. Both cases tend to involve kinship terms with a ics possessive suffix. Examples are:
ag̉áyti, fāš bay 'Sister, you've hurt me' (24:27)
agáy, amárkəḥ 'Brother, drink up!' (59:8)
ḥáybi, wa-kōh al hārásk lā ‘Father, why haven't you gotten married?' (97:2) (cf. 37:22, above)

There is one possible example of the Arabic vocative particle $y \bar{a}$ in the texts (y $\bar{a}$ haybi 'father!', $97: 42$ ), but it is more likely that $y \bar{a}$ here is used as part of a cry of excitement, similar to the cases of anger or lament described above in §12.2.

### 12.4 Genitive Exponent $\partial$ - ('of')

The genitive exponent in Mehri is $\partial$-, corresponding to English 'of'. ${ }^{3}$ This particle, like the conjunction $w$ - (see §12.1.1) and the prepositions $b$-, $h$-, $k$-, and $l$ - (see $\S 8)$, is prefixed to the noun and often has the helping vowel $\partial$. Sometimes the consonant $ð$ assimilates to a following dental or sibilant; see further in § 2.1.7. The particle $\partial$ - is, with few exceptions (see $\S 4.6$ ), the only way to express a genitive relationship with another noun. (On pronominal possession, see § 3.2.1). The nouns preceding and following the genitive exponent can be either definite or indefinite. Examples are:

```
habrīt ðд-hōkzm 'the daughter of the ruler' or 'the ruler's daughter' (48:6)
brït ðə-tōgar 'a daughter of a merchant' or 'a merchant's daughter' (75:1)
habrē ð-aġāh 'his brother's son' (76:1)
xāh д-abáyt 'the door of the house' (75:8)
arḥabēt да-ḥáybas 'her father's town' (48:33)
ađ̣áyð-ənsáy 'the scent of a human' (63:8)
aġáyg ð-aġ\partialganōt 'the girl's husband' (75:10)
tēt\underline{ Әд-ḥ饻 дд-ḥōkam 'the ruler's son's wife' (85:28)}
aðōra` ð-aṣōbə` \partial-aġ\partiaĺtzh 'the blood from [lit. of] his sister's finger' (48:18)
```

As demonstrated by the last two examples above, a string of multiple genitives is possible.

Like English 'of' and similar particles in other languages, Mehri $\partial$ - can also be used to indicate the materials from which something is made, to describe the contents of something, or to specify the particular type of something. Examples are:
figōn ðд-mōh 'a cup of water' (37:24)
gányat ð-ayś 'a sack of rice’ (98:4)
tōgar ðд-ksəwēt 'a cloth merchant' (66:2)
mastōn дə-nēxal 'an orchard of date-palms' (37:1)
abáyr ðə-hamōh 'the water well' (48:2)
halēb ðд-ḥāráwn 'the milking of the goats' (47:2)

[^122]As discussed in $\S 5.1$, an adjective modifying the first member of a genitive phrase normally follows the entire phrase. Whether an adjective in such a position modifies the first or second member of the phrase, if not clear from gender/number agreement, must be gleaned from context. Examples are:

```
ḥabrīt ð-așayyōd ḥənōb 'the older [lit. big] daughter of the fisherman'
    (97:33)
ḥวbrē ðд-hōkəm akənnáwn 'the younger [lit. small] son of the ruler'
    (97:46)
hayb ð-ag̈ı̄gēn amaráyṣ̣ 'the father of the sick boy' (65:8)
```

In the first example above, the adjective ḥonōb is feminine and so must modify habritt. But in the other two examples, the adjective agrees in gender and number with both nouns in the phrase. If context allowed it, these last two sentences could be translated instead as 'the son of the young ruler' and 'the sick father of the boy'.

As already discussed (§4.6), the genitive exponent is sometimes absent in partitive constructions. On the use of the genitive exponent $\partial$-following certain numbers, see § 9.1.4 and § 9.3.

### 12.5 Miscellaneous Particles

### 12.5.1 ād

The temporal particle $\bar{a} d$ has a variety of meanings when used in a positive sentence, including 'still', 'yet', 'again', and 'while', though 'still' is the most common of these. When $\bar{a} d$ means 'still', it is often followed by a pronominal suffix, in which case it has the following forms:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 c | $\bar{a} d i$ | $\bar{a} d k i$ | $\bar{a} d \partial n$ |
| 2 m | $\bar{a} d(\partial) k$ |  | $\bar{a} d k i$ |
|  | $\bar{a} d k \partial m$ |  |  |
| 2 f | $\bar{a} d \check{s}$ |  | $\bar{a} d k \partial n$ |


| 3 m | $\bar{a} d z h$ | $\bar{a} d h i$ | $\bar{a} d h \partial m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 f | $\bar{a} d \partial s$ |  | $\bar{a}(d) \operatorname{san}^{4}$ |

Some examples with the meaning 'still' are:
$\bar{a} d$ fátnək hēxər ðə-nkáyn 'do you still remember the old man who came to us?' (22:73)
$\bar{a} d ə h ~ l i ̄ s ə n ~ f a k ̣ h ~ \partial ə-w a ́ r x ~ ' t h e y ~ s t i l l ~ h a d ~ h a l f ~ a ~ m o n t h ~(l e f t) ' ~(30: 9) ~$
hēt ādək kəənnáwn 'you are still a child’ (34:8)
$\bar{a}(d)$ sən šīn waḳōna ašráyn rawn 'we still have about twenty goats' (39:14)
$\bar{a} d i$ ðə-ttaláyk tīs 'I still regret it' (53:6)
ādi bay ayaṣáyt ‘I still had the fear’ (54:15)
ber dáxlam, hīs ādham fáxra, al aḥād manhēm yabáyd 'they had vowed, when they were still together, that neither of them would lie' (74:2)

In about seventeen passages in the texts, $\bar{a} d$ (almost always followed by a suffix) is used in a dependent clause, where it has the meaning 'while' or 'while still'. In a dozen of these cases, we simply find the set phrase $\bar{a} d-(+$ suffix $) l a-w t ̣ a ́ k z m ə h, ~$ meaning something like 'while like this' or 'while doing this' (la-wṭákamah 'thus, in that way'). Examples are:
$\bar{a} d ə h$ śxəwlūl, nūka məwsē 'while he was sitting, rain came' (3:2)
$\bar{a}$ dham la-wṭákamah, nákam aġəyūg 'while they were like this, the men came' (24:36)
akōji bə-ḥənáfk ādək ḥ́aym 'turn your self around while you still have (some) respect!' (37:23)
$\bar{a} d ə h ~ l a-w t ̣ a ́ k a m ə h$, śīni śs̄wōt d-akayōy 'while he was doing this, he saw a fire of (some) spirits' (76:9)
hām al-ghōm ād šay akāṣam ‘I want to go while it's still cool out' (94:35)
$\bar{a} d s t z \dot{g} t u ̄ r i ~ s ̌ z h, ~ s ̣ a ̄ k a ́ w t ~ l i ̄ s ~ h a b b r a ́ t s ~ ' w h i l e ~ s h e ~ w a s ~ s p e a k i n g ~ w i t h ~ h i m, ~ h e r ~$ daughter called to her' (99:45)

In one passage (35:13), $\bar{a} d$, without a suffix, is best translated as 'yet':

[^123]$\bar{a} d$ wazyēma tīn śxōf ść 'will you give us any milk yet?' (35:13)
In one passage, $\bar{a} d$ has the meaning 'again', a meaning that $\bar{a} d$ very often has in negative sentences (see $\S 13.2 .4$ ). It is used in combination with $z \bar{o} y a d$, as it often is in a negative sentence ( $\S 13.2 .7$ ):
hām ād həwrádk ḥəmōh ðōməh zōyวd, ðə-nəwtáğk 'if you bring (your)
animals to this water ever again, we will kill you' (10:9)

In a related use, $\bar{a} d$ can mean 'another' (in the sense of 'an additional one') or 'one more', though examples are few:
> xəṭárkam ād yanké' máwsam man sərīh 'do you think another tradingboat will come again behind it?' (45:16)
> $\bar{a} m a ́ w r ~ a ̄ d ~ l a n g ~ t ̣ a ̄ t ~ m a h a ́ k b a l ~ ' t h e y ~ s a i d ~ o n e ~ m o r e ~ b o a t ~ w a s ~ c o m i n g ' ~$ (45:17)
> hōh ād ar mahētam hah 'I will only spend one more night (waiting) for it' (45:18)

In conjunction with a subjunctive form of the verb wīka 'be' plus another verb, $\bar{a} d$ has the meaning 'perhaps', 'might be', or 'could it be that', always introducing a question. There are four examples in the texts:

```
ād tāká' tzbáyd 'might you be lying?' (34:16)
a}dya\overline{a̧áa' ar \partialд-yдbáyd 'perhaps it was someone who was lying [or: lies]?'
    (57:11)
ād tākām látġakam ḥāmáy 'have you perhaps killed my mother?' (65:13)
a}d t\overline{a}ka\overline{a}y dznyīt 'could you perhaps be pregnant?' (101:17)
```

Another occurrence of $\bar{a} d$ in a question may also have the meaning 'perhaps', though the context also would allow for a meaning 'yet':
$\bar{a} d$ a ḥād śīni házzyan 'has anyone seen our goats?' (31:2)
In a negative context, $\bar{a} d$ can mean 'before', a meaning which derives from the literal meaning 'when not yet'. On this, and all other uses of $\bar{a} d$ in a negative context, see § 13.2.4.

### 12.5.2 adámm-

The particle adámm- is always used with a pronominal suffix, and it has the meaning 'probably'. It is attested only once in the texts, with a 3 ms suffix. The passage is:
adámməh bárəh $k$-əḥād ǵáyrən 'he is probably with somebody else [lit.
(someone) besides us]' (41:4)

Forms of the other persons are as we expect, e.g., adámmi 'I probably’, adámməs 'she probably', etc. See Watson (2012: 376) for some additional examples.

### 12.5.3 ámma

The particle ámma, borrowed from Arabic, has the meaning 'as for' or 'but', used to stress a contrasting subject. ${ }^{5}$ Sometimes it can be omitted in translation. It appears about fifty times in the texts, often in combination with the conjunction $w$-. Examples are:
w-ámma ag̉áyg kəlōn, šah məndáwk 'as for the groom, he had a rifle' (9:8) ámma hōh, hātámk, wa-hē radd təwōli abátวh 'me, I spent the night, and he went back to his house' (18:9)
w-ámma bāl ḥāráwn, hīs əlyēk ðд-yəntáwḥən, həwrūd ḥázhe 'and as for the goat-herder, when [or: while] those guys fought, he brought his goats to the water' (61:6)
ámma tēt, śxawallūt bark aláng, w-ag̉áyg kafūd 'the woman, she stayed on the boat, but the man went down' (74:12)

In some of the attested passages, ámma appears more than once, contrasting two or more explicit subjects (ámma ... w-ámma), as in:
ámma ṭayt ṣarōt, w-ámma ṭayt wakəbūt bark amkōn 'one stood (outside), and one entered the place' (2:3)
ámma aṣōyaġ, šzwkūf ... w-ámma aġáyg aṣ́áyf, śxawlūl 'as for the jeweler, he went to sleep ... As for the guest, he remained' (22:62)
ámma ḥāráwn wə-həbēr, tkūnən bīsən śxōf lā ... w-ámma abḳār, tkūnən bīsan aśxōf 'as for the goats and the camels, they don't have milk ... As for the cows, they do have milk' (58:2)

[^124]ámma trōh rakbōh barkēh, w-ámma tạàt rīkab bark aláng 'two rode in it [the canoe], and one rode in the boat' (6o:6)

In several texts ( $1,2,4,71 \mathrm{~A}$ ), ámma serves to indicate more generally the beginning of a story.

In text 75, ámma occurs three times in conjunction with the phrase man ațərēf $\partial$-. The phrase man aṭərēf $\partial$ - (cf. țərēf ‘side’) is attested once meaning 'from among' (see §8.22), but all together, w-ámma man aṭarēf $\partial$ - just means 'and now as for':
w-ámma mən aṭərēf d-ag̈áyg 'and now as for the man' $(75: 10,12,14)$

### 12.5.4 ar

The very common particle ar has multiple meanings. It appears in $M L$ under the root ' $r$ (p. 26), where it is glossed as 'but; just, only; except; indeed', and again under the root $\dot{g} y r$ ( p . 147), where it is glossed as 'except, only, just; certainly'. It can have all of these meanings, as well as others, like 'so' and 'unless'. One of the most common meanings of $a r$ is 'only, just', used most often in positive sentences, for example:
šay $\operatorname{ar} h \bar{e}$ 'I have only him' (2:4)
hōh ar ṭāt 'I am only one (person)' (20:12)
ar ḥōm al-šáxbarham man ab'áyri'I only wanted to ask them about my camel' (28:6)
nūka ar ba-ḥiṭār 'they bore only female kids' (30:11)
kūsa ar kabs' 'he found only a lamb' (37:13)
maháwf tı̄ ar man đ̣ār ass 'you will pay me only after (some) difficulty' (39:13)
$h o ̄ h$ ar ḳannáwn 'I am only a child’ (48:30)
ð̌́kวməh ar hālak 'that's only your shadow' (95:10)

On the combination ðək ar, see §12.5.10. Sometimes ar meaning 'only’ is used in conjunction with the negative element al (cf. French ne ... que). The meaning is no different from when $a r$ is used in a positive phrase. Examples are:

> al šīham ar hēt 'they have only you' (18:18)
> $l-\bar{a} d$ ar hōh 'only I am left' $(64: 27)(l-\bar{a} d<* a l \bar{a} d)$
> hōhal šay ar ðōmah 'I have only this' (73:5)
> hōh al šay ar ḥōṭri troh 'I only have two kids' (89:8)
> hēt al ġayábk ar man boṭōl 'you only fainted from fear' (95:10)
hftūk adašdástzh barhóh, w-al habkōh ar awzārah 'Berhoh took off his robe, and left on only his waist-cloth' (104:18)

Presumably from this use of ar meaning 'only' in combination with al, ar has developed into a pseudo-preposition meaning 'except' or 'but' in a negative sentence (using al... $l \bar{a}$ or just $l \bar{a}$ ). For example, the above sentence from 18:18 translates as 'they have only you', but this is semantically the same as 'they do not have (anyone) except you'. We find that ar really does mean 'except' or 'but' in many cases; for example, a sentence like al šīs walēd lā ar hē (36:3) really means 'she had no children but him', not the more literal 'she had no children, only him'. However, that ar is grammatically not a preposition is proven by the fact that it can be followed by an independent pronoun or by another preposition. For further examples of $a r$ used as a pseudo-preposition (and conjunction) 'except', see §8.1.

Also very common in the texts is the use of $a r$ to mean something like 'really', 'surely', 'indeed', or 'certainly'. Some examples are:

## nəhāh ar anhōm 'we would really like to' (28:14)

hēt al tanōfa lā. lawb hōh ar ənōfa '(Mother:) You are of no use. (Son:) Yes, I am indeed of use!' (33:1-2)
hām ar ffkáwk, hōh hōom 'if he really lets you marry (me), I am willing' (38:19)
hōh ar maháwf tīk 'I will indeed pay you' (39:12)
hām ar máthənək, məháwf tīk 'if you are really in trouble, I'll pay you' (39:14)
tšhöl ar gazē 'you surely deserve payback' (73:11)
ðōmah ar ðд-hōh 'this is really mine' (77:3)
salōmat yamōh ar gályat lūk'peace today (will be) expensive for you indeed' (83:6)
hēt ar mazzōna yamōh 'you will indeed smoke today' (94:26)

In a few passages in the text, this use of ar can be a bit awkward in English, and so it is probably best untranslated. Examples are:
aməndáwki ar gádət wa-rxáyṣat 'my rifle is good and cheap' (39:4) ənhāh ar bīn aṣābar ādəh 'we have patience still' (73:4)

At the beginning of an interrogative phrase (usually containing an interrogative pronoun or adverb), ar means something like 'so', 'well', or 'but'. There are ten such occurrences in the texts. Some of these are:

```
ar kō hēt ət-təğáwlak bay 'so why are you looking at me?' (22:25)
ar tēt \(\underline{i}\) ḥõ 'so where is my wife?' (37:12)
ar ðə-hānáyš hēśzn 'well what did you mean?' (59:11)
ar hēśan man bahlīt 'báxəṣ ðд-rōh' 'but what kind of word is báxəṣ ðд-
    rōh?' (8o:15)
ar hēt tḥōm taksēr šaráfi ‘but you wanted to damage my honor?' (85:36)
ar hībōh tḥōm tāmōl 'so what do you want to do?' (90:7)
```

Before a verbal phrase, the particle ar can also function as a subordinating conjunction meaning 'unless', in which case it is followed by the conjunction $w$ - and a verb in the perfect. There are about a dozen examples in the texts. We also find $a w$ used to mean 'unless', and it seems likely that this is a contraction of $a r w$-, rather than the conjunction $a w$ (see § 12.1.3 for examples). Some of the attested examples of arw- 'unless' are:

```
ðə-l-əwbádəh, ar wə-tháym tarábam tı̄ 'I will shoot him, unless you want
    to give me safe passage' (47:11)
al thawrūd lā ar wa-thōm ba-kawwēt 'don't bring (them) down unless you
    want (to do so) by force' (64:3)
tāsōś lā, ar wə-sabṭāt bə-xaṭəāā ṭáwri trōh 'she won't wake up unless she
    is hit twice with a stick' (65:11)
śēmīta tah layš lā, ar wa-tháymi tšákfi hāl ag̉áygi 'I won’t sell it to you,
    unless you want to sleep with my husband' (85:29)
al ḳadárk al-syēr lā ar wa-mázzək 'I can’t go on unless I smoke’ (94:26)
```

This last use is similar to the use of ar as a conjunction 'except', discussed in §8.1.

### 12.5.5 'ámlək

The form 'ámlak is a ics perfect of the verb 'áymal 'do, make', and so its basic meaning is 'I did' (e.g., 20:18). However, in idiomatic usage, ’ámlək or ðд-ว́mlak means 'I think'. In this use, the form is sometimes reduced to 'əmk or ðд- ámk, as noted already by Watson (2012: 94). The verb is followed by a direct object pronoun (see §3.3), which serves as the subject or (less often) the object of the complement clause, even if that clause has an expressed nominal subject or an expressed object. Examples are:
'ámlək tah ag̉áyg aṣáṭ̊るh 'I believe the man is truthful' (23:21)
'amk tīsan man hīs tzwōh amāray 'I think they are (this way) because they ate the grass' (26:6)
’ámlak tīsan tarhōộan lā ‘I think they are not far away’ (28:2)
’ámlak tīsən tháğyagan mastīhal awárx ðōmah ‘I think they will give birth at the end of this month' $(28: 18)^{6}$
‘ámlak tah naḳlēh báwmah 'I think we'll leave him here’ (91:8)
ðə-’ámlək tīs tháflət mən đ̣áyri təwélikəm 'I think she will run away from me to you' (94:22)
'ámlak tah ber gahēm' 'I think he already left' (94:42)

### 12.5.6 $\quad$ əbōb( $\mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ )

The particle $\partial b \bar{o} b(n \varepsilon)$ occurs just three times in the texts. It is used to make a plea or emphatic request, and means something like 'please' or 'I beg you'. It is followed by a noun or independent pronoun, and then by a command. In the very limited evidence that we have, the longer form abōbne is used before a pronoun, and the shorter $\partial b \bar{o} b$ is used before a noun.
abōbne tēm, hágnam aṣáyga 'please warm up the pen' (26:9)
abōb ḥวbráy, šémni ‘please, my son, obey me!' (90:11)
abōbne tēm, taḳlēm tah báwmah lā 'please don't leave him here!' (91:9)

### 12.5.7 ber

The particle $b \varepsilon r$ (sometimes pronounced bar in fast speech) is extremely common, appearing more than one hundred and seventy times in the texts. It occurs on its own, as well as with pronominal suffixes. The forms with suffixes are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 c | béri | bárki | bárən |
| 2 m | bark | bárki | bárkəm |
|  | 2f |  |  |
| 3 m | bárkan |  |
| bárah | bárhi | bárhəm |  |
|  |  |  | bársən |

[^125]This particle has several uses. In conjunction with a verb (nearly always a perfect), or in a non-verbal sentence, $b \varepsilon r$ very often means 'already'. If the sentence is non-verbal, $b \varepsilon r$ is used with a pronominal suffix, while if the sentence is verbal, there is usually no suffix. Examples with the meaning 'already' are:
> ber țyábk mansēn 'I already had my fill of them' (20:16)
> hē ber karmūk waṭōmah 'he has already been so generous to you' (22:52)
> hōh ber āmárk hūk manfanōhən 'I already told you (that) before' (24:53)
> $a \dot{g} a \bar{k} k$ ber mōt wa-bér kabēr 'your brother has already died and been buried' (40:10)
> hōh béri śátwaḳak al-ḩábys 'I already miss my parents' (20:63)
> aġagənōt bars $b$-abáyt 'the girl is already in the house' (48:9)
> ber tákkəইk akəhwēt 'have you already drunk the coffee?' (59:11)
> hōh ber śábak 'I am already full' (73:6)
> mat awōðən fēgar, tāḳāy barš al-xāh ð-abáyt ‘when they call [lit. he calls]
> the dawn prayer, you should already be at the door of the house' (75:8)
> hēt bark śsbb ṣarōmah 'you're a young man now already’ (89:15)

Sometimes the word 'already' is superfluous in English, and the Mehri phrase containing $b e r$ is best translated with a simple perfect or pluperfect, as in:
ber ḳawbīh kabś 'they have turned him into a lamb' (40:7)
béri $\partial$-əmtányən tīkəm 'I have been wishing for you (to come)' (42:6)

In the example from text $42: 6$, we see that the combination of $b \varepsilon r$ and an imperfect with a prefixed $\partial$-corresponds to an English perfect progressive.

If the verb preceded by $b \varepsilon r$ refers to a past action relative to another verb in the sentence, then the former normally corresponds to an English pluperfect, but on occasion corresponds best to an English simple past. Examples are:

> ḥāgūr ber karōh mōh 'the slave had already hidden water' (5:2)
> hənkūr əð-sēfawtūt wa-bér ṣāṭōt amōlah kálləh 'he realized that she had run away, and had taken all his wealth' (22:70)
> ber șākazk tīk, w-āmárk háyni 'I (had) called you, and you said to me’ (20:19)

In combination with the preposition $h$-, $b \varepsilon r$ refers to an elapsed duration of time, as in:
ber háyni sēt mən sékəni 'I have already been (away) a long time from my family' (31:4)
ber háyni sēt mənhēm 'I have been (away) a long time from them’ (44:2)
ber hūk sēt wa-hēt b-ag̉arbēt? ber háyni wałọōna xáymah sanáyn 'were you abroad for a long time? I was (away) for about five years' (57:12)
bér háyni āṣəri trōh xalē ' 'I have been alone [or: hungry] for two nights' (63:4)

A second very common use of $b \varepsilon r$, and one that seems to be an extension of the previous meaning, is its use in temporal clauses (§ $\$ 3.5 \cdot 3$ ), following $h \bar{t} s, m \not \partial t$, $t \varepsilon$, or $t \varepsilon h \bar{s} s$. If a temporal clause is non-verbal and has a pronominal subject, then $b \varepsilon r$ (with a suffix) is required as a placeholder for the subject. We find $b \varepsilon r$ used in verbal temporal clauses as well, usually indicating a perfect or pluperfect. Some examples are:
hīs héri bark amarkēb, dahfáti t tēt ġaláyđ̣əət 'after I was in the boat, a fat woman smacked me' (40:25)
$a b k a ̄ r ~ b a ̄ r ~ h i ̄ s ~ b e r ~ h a l a ́ w b s a n ~ ' t h e ~ c o w s ~ w e n t ~ a w a y ~ a f t e r ~ t h e y ~ h a d ~ m i l k e d ~$ them' (35:7)
mat bársan bark hàmōh, ślēle xaláwkisan 'when they are (already) in the water, pick up their clothes' (37:3)
mat ber tawōh, șākəวh 'after he has eaten, call him' (22:88)
te bárham śyéx, hámam ba-hōkzm ð-agzáyrzt 'when they were big, they heard about the ruler of the island' (74:8)
te āsar ber ḥābū šzwkīf, tawōh gayg yašzwkūf 'then when the people had gone to sleep (one) night, they [witches] came to a man who was sleeping' (2:2)
sīrōh te bárhi ba-kāa t tāt 'they went until they were in a certain place' (72:3)
te hīs hárham ba-hōram, kūszm $\dot{g} a y g ~ a \bar{a} w \bar{e} r$ 'then when they were on the road, they found a blind man' (46:9)

Further examples of $b \varepsilon r$ in temporal clauses, along with additional discussion, can be found in § $13.5 \cdot 3$ and its subsections.

In a very few cases, $b \varepsilon r$ is found after other particles or expressions:
adámmah bárəh $k$-ahād gááyrən 'he is probably with somebody else [lit.
(someone) besides us]' (41:4)
akīran béri hāl hạmáy 'I wish I was with my mother' (42:23)
śáfi béri bark āmk ð-abḳār 'as it happened, I was in the middle of the cows' (47:5)

A third use of $b \varepsilon r$ is in conjunction with the verb hām 'want' (see §7.3.4), together with which it means 'be about to' or 'nearly'. This combination is found only about eight times in the texts. Some of these attestations are:
béri họom l-zwbáds 'I was about to shoot her' (54:13)
ba-xáyr, wa-bárs thōom tháhkọt '(the camel) is well, and it is about to give birth' (63:15)
bárah yạhōm yamēt 'he was about to die' (or: 'he was nearly dead') (75:18)
kask ag̉áyg ð̌h bárah yạhōm yahwéh 'I found this man about to fall' (77:6)
kaliyē tah te, bárah yahōom yasyēr, mašanḥarūtan bah 'we'll leave it until,
when he is about to go, we'll lodge a complaint against him' (91:26)
On the use of $b \varepsilon r$ to express possibility, usually two contrasting possibilities, see Watson (2012: 373).

Finally, it should be mentioned that in Jibbali and Soqotri, the cognate ber is treated as a verb, rather than as a particle, and takes the suffixes of a verb in the perfect. This is one of the morphological isoglosses that distinguishes the Eastern and Western branches of the Modern South Arabian language family. ${ }^{7}$

### 12.5.8 dáwn-

The particle dáwn-, always used with a second person object suffix (e.g., 2ms dáwnak, 2mp dáwnkam), can be translated as an imperative 'take!'. The preposition $b$ - is required before the object. Following are all of the examples in the texts:
dáwnək bīhən 'take them!' (20:50)
dáwnak hēt b-aḥkáwmət 'you take the kingdom!' (20:78)
dáwnak b-aḥkámti 'take my kingdom!' (42:51)

The example from 20:50 comes in response to someone who used the command (a)ndōh ‘give!' (see below, § 12.5.17). The form dáwnak is likely a borrowing of Arabic dūnaka 'take! here you are!' (with a 2 ms object suffix). ${ }^{8}$

[^126]
## $12.5 .9 \quad$ б $\varepsilon$

The particle $\partial \varepsilon$ has relatively little function. It is found six times in the texts, three of these before an interrogative word, and always at the beginning of a phrase. In an interrogative sentence, it seems to correspond to English 'well' or 'but' as a sort of introductory particle in questions like 'but why?' or 'well who?'. In a declarative statement, it seems only to add a slight emphasis. The attested examples are:

```
ð\varepsilon hōh šāgēk 'I'm in a hurry' (27:27; 28:12)
```



```
    made them kneel for the male camels except children?' (29:6)
ð\varepsilon hōh ber gazámk fönah 'well, I already swore earlier!' (31:13)
 « kō hēt watōmah 'but why are you (doing) like this?' (59:6)
ð\varepsilon wa-kōh \partialwṭákamah 'well, why (did you do) that?' (71:3)
```

Watson (2012: 79), who gives an example in which $\partial \varepsilon$ precedes the interroga-
 singular demonstrative, $\partial \varepsilon h$. This might be true historically, but it is worth noting that Ali Musallam consistently spelled this particle ذا (without a final -h), while the demonstrative he consistently spelled ذه (with a final -h).

### 12.5.10 ðək

The particle $\partial \partial k$, which is probably to be connected with the far demonstrative $\not \bar{e} k / \not \partial ə k$ (see §3.4), can be used as an introductory particle, equivalent to English 'it's (just) that' or 'it's because'. It occurs seven times in the texts with this meaning, all but once in combination with the particle ar 'only, just' (§12.5-4). Examples are:
ðək ar วḥād mənkēm ġabb ənxāhe 'it's because one of you defecated under it' (3:16)
ðək ar alhəəkáysan haəbūr 'it's just that the cold has got to [lit. caught] them' (26:9)
ðək ar nəḥágkən 'it's just that you were playing' (26:16)
ðək ar hēt thəð̛̣náyni 'it's just that you're suspicious [or: imagining (things)]' (64:17)
ðək tkūn təġtūri $k$-agənnáwnse 'it's just that she is conversing with her jinns' (65:11)
ðək ar yáṣṣak 'it’s just that you're afraid' (91:19; 95:8)

There is an eighth occurrence of $\partial \partial k$, which seems to mean something like 'just as'. It is not clear if it should be interpreted as the same particle $\partial \partial k$ discussed above:
lawb tōmər hēt ðək hạáybak yōmar 'truly, you sing just as your father sings'
(52:1)

### 12.5.11 ġədéwwən

The particle ġadéwwan has the meaning 'let's go!' or 'come on!'. It can be used by itself, with a following prepositional phrase, or with a 1cp subjunctive verb. Some examples of its use are:


```
ġadéwwan, mahiśan tīk 'let's go, I'll show you!' (65:8)
āmáwr, "gंadéwwan tawōli śśrə’!" \(\bar{a} m \bar{u} r\), "gंadéwwan!" 'they said, "let’s go to
    the judge!" He said, "Let's go!"' (23:11)
ġadéwwan hāl aḥkáwmat 'let's go to the authorities!' (46:14)
gंadéwwan tawōli ḥābū ‘let's go to the people!' (77:4)
ġadéwwan tawēhe 'let's go to him!' (94:47)
ġadéwwan naśné’ 'let's go see!' (3:16)
\(\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w w a n ~ n \partial t b \bar{\varepsilon} s\) 'let's go follow her!' (94:22)
```

There is also a singular form ġadéw 'go!', attested once in the texts (106:3), and a dual form $\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w k i ~ ' l e t ' s ~ g o!', ~ w h i c h ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ s h o w ~ u p ~ i n ~ t h e ~ t e x t s . ~ H i s t o r i c a l l y, ~$ $\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w w a n$ and $\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w k i$ appear to be 1cp and 1cd perfects, respectively, despite their unusual conjugations, ${ }^{9}$ while $\dot{g} \partial d \varepsilon ́ w$ may be an archaic imperative form (Watson 2012: 96, 135).

There is a related G-Stem verb $\dot{g} \partial d \bar{o} h(\operatorname{root} \dot{g} d w)$, but it has the more narrow meaning 'die, perish'; it is missing from ML, but attested in Watson (2012: 83). The Jibbali cognate agád and the Soqoṭri cognate ' $\partial d$, which come from the metathesized root $w \dot{g} d$, both have a more general meaning 'go'.

The particle ḥaláy, used just once in the texts (48:15), seems to be a synonym of gadéwwan.

[^127]12.5.12 hāāk

The particle $h \bar{a} k$ means 'here you are!'. The form is really $h \bar{a}$ - plus a 2 ms object subject. To a woman, one would say $h ̣ \bar{a} s{ }^{\prime}$, and to a group, ḥākam (m.) or ḥākən (f.). ${ }^{10}$ In the texts, we find only the 2 ms form $h \bar{a} k(83: 6)$. It is unclear whether or not Mehri $h \bar{a} k$ is etymologically related to Jibbali hũk ( $J L O, \S 12.5 \cdot 10$ ), but a connection with Arabic hāka (mp hākum) seems likely.

### 12.5.13 lawb

As discussed in § 12.2.1, lawb can be used as an interjection to contradict another statement, in which case it is usually translated as 'yes' or 'no'. The particle lawb (or the longer form wa-ya lawb) can also be used at the beginning of a clause to mean 'truly', 'indeed', or 'certainly'. Examples from the texts are:
lawb tōmər hēt ðək háybak yōmər 'truly, you sing just as your father sings' (52:1)
lawb ffárḥk tīn 'you have truly made us happy' (57:13)
lawb yāməram amharéh 'truly, the Mehris (can) sing' (84:5)
lawb šūk āmēl gīd 'indeed you have a good field' (91:9)
wa-hīh, lawb gazēkam xayr 'oh, thank you indeed' (28:20)
wa-hīh, lawb fátnak 'oh, I do indeed remember him' (57:14)

In one passage, lawb is used within a clause, rather than at its beginning:
aġəyūg lawb habṭām hūṭār 'the men have certainly kept the kids away (too) long' (26:9)

The use of lawb as 'indeed' is certainly related historically to the use described in $\S$ 12.2.1. In cases where lawb is used to contradict a negative statement (see $\S 12.2 .1$ for examples), 'indeed' sometimes works as a translation just as well as 'yes'.

### 12.5.14 lēzəm

The indeclinable particle l $\bar{\varepsilon} z a m$ (< Arabic lāzim), has the meaning of 'must', 'have to', or 'it is necessary that'. It is usually followed by a subjunctive verb or, to make explicit a future obligation ('will have to'), a future. It can also be used simply on its own, with or without a following non-verbal phrase. Some examples from the texts are:

[^128]lēzam l-ashọọt hīkam 'I must slaughter for you' (4:6)
l $\bar{z} z a m ~(l-) a r d$ ह́h bah ráwram 'I must throw him into the sea' (20:27)
lēzam naftēk 'we must leave' (34:31)
lह̄zam tartáyḳ háyni awarḳāt ðīmah 'you must read this paper for me' (85:18)
lēzzm amnēdəm yākấ’ šah afkəráyyat 'a man has to have consideration' (98:10)
lēzəm ðəkyēra haybátk 'they will have to mention your camel' (63:6)
lēzam a isisek hanīn 'your dinner must be at our place' (22:57)
lēzam afśēkam hanáy ‘you must have lunch with me' (lit. 'your lunch must [be] by me') (36:19)

In one passage we find $l \bar{\varepsilon} z a m$ followed by the imperfect yakūn. This anomalous verb has no subjunctive (§ 13.1.2), but we might have expected the subjunctive of wīka here instead (cf. the passage above from text 98:10).
lēzam yakūn təmōm 'there must be an even number' (71A:1)

### 12.5.15 mākənnáy

The particle mākənnáy occurs just four times in the texts, ${ }^{11}$ and its exact transcription is somewhat uncertain. Of the four occurrences, two are from texts for which I found no audio, and a third has audio that is hard to hear, even at slow speed. Johnstone's transcriptions in the Roman-letter manuscripts are also inconsistent, and do not seem to match the audio. Compare Johnstone's transcriptions with the forms heard on the audio:

|  | Johnstone | audio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 81:3 | makann(i) ${ }^{12}$ | mākənnáy |
| $89: 1$ | mākánni | mākónay? |
| $100: 7$ | mákənnáy | (none found) |
| 102:1 | makənnáy | (none found) |

Watson (2012: 292) lists the forms mākan, mākani, and mākanay, and adds (p. 289, n. 13) that she found no evidence of a geminate $n$.

[^129]Whatever its exact form in the texts, it can be used, like ámma (see § 12.5.3) to emphasize a contrastive subject, as in:
atēm antakáyl. mākənnáy hōh, wakōna k-habēr 'you choose. As for me, I will be with the camels' (102:1)

It can also be used to mark the beginning of a story, or a new section of a story, similar to ámma. But while ámma is normally followed by a noun, mākənnáy is followed by other types of words. The combination of mākənnáy plus xəṭərāt (tayt) 'once' can be translated as 'now once ...'. In the texts, the adverb xaṭrā$t$ is much more often used by itself at the beginning of a story, with little to no difference in meaning (e.g., 49:1; 74:1). The remaining examples of mākənnáy from the texts are:
> wə-mākənnáy xəṭərāt kəlūt̄ līn ġayg 'now once a man told us' (81:3) mākənnáy xəṭərāt ṭayt ð-əwxāfan b-aṣ́áyga đékวməh 'now once we were camped in that shelter' (89:1)
> wə-mākənnáy hām əḥād hārūs bə-ġaggīt 'now if someone marries a young girl' (100:7)

It is perhaps passages like those we see in texts 100:7 and 102:1 that led Watson (2012: 131) to list mākannáy as a variant of walākan 'but', though Watson also recognizes the other above meanings of the particle.

### 12.5.16 mōh

The particle mōh occurs just two times in the texts,,$^{13}$ and it is hard to assign it an exact meaning. The attested examples are:

```
āmēr mōh 'please sing!' (52:3)
ft!ōn mōh 'please remember!' (57:13)
```

13 Another example of mōh appeared in Stroomer's edition of text 6o:13, based on Johnstone's Roman-letter manuscript. That edition has mō nḥā śxawtūlan 'we stayed indeed'. This line also appears in $M L$ (s.v. $m$ '), where it is translated 'well we stayed'. That passage is also probably why $M L$ has the definition 'well; indeed'. In fact, as the original Arabicletter manuscript and audio recording prove, the correct transcription here is wa-nhāh śxawlūlon 'and we stayed'.

In both of these examples, mō $h$ follows an imperative. Based on the context of the stories, mōh seems to add emphasis or urgency to the imperative, and so I have suggested a translation 'please'. It is also possible that it adds a sense of urgency rather than politeness, closer to something like 'well, sing then!'. Overall, it seems that mōh has little semantic value.

In $M L$ (s.v. $m^{\prime}$ ) it is suggested that Mehri $m \bar{o} h$ (which Johnstone transcribed $m \bar{o}$ ) is cognate with Jibbali mor 'ok' (and its longer form móğor). As mentioned already in $J L O$ (p. 311), this idea is highly improbable. A more likely cognate is the Jibbali particle $\tilde{u} / u n(J L O, \S 12.5 .2$ ).

### 12.5.17 (ə)ndōh

The particle (a)ndōh is used like an imperative, and is historically probably a frozen imperative form, perhaps connected with the Semitic root $n d n / n t n$ 'give'. When followed by a noun or pronominal object suffix, it has the meaning 'give here!' or 'give me!', but when followed by a subjunctive verb, it has the meaning 'let me!'. Unlike a regular imperative, however, it does not conjugate for gender or number. The examples of this particle from the texts are:

```
andōh adgaráyti 'give me my bean!' (1:6)
wa-ndōh manš aṣáyġat 'give me your jewelry [lit. the jewelry from you]!'
    (3:5)
wa-ndōh amandáwk 'give me the rifle!' (20:49)
andōhəm 'give them to me!' (24:7)
andōh fáámke 'give me your feet!' (24:28)
wa-ndōh l-ək!tāš ‘let me cut you!' (75:18)
andōh al-śné' xaṭ! 'let me see the letter!' (75:21)
```


### 12.5.18 śaf(f)

The particle śaf(f), which occurs roughly twenty times in the texts, has the meaning 'it (so) happened/turned out that' or 'as it (so) happened/turned out' (or the present tense equivalents of these phrases). The bare form śaff is used when it is followed by a nominal subject, but it takes a pronominal suffix (with the base śaf-) when the subject precedes or is otherwise unexpressed. Following are some examples from the texts:
wa-ḥaynitِ śáfsan sawēḥər 'and it so happened that the women were witches' (15:4)
ðōməh bā nəwās. śáfəh ṣaḥh 'this is Ba Newas. It turns out he’s alive' (20:56)
wa-śáfham gannáwn 'and it so happens they were jinns' (37:7)
wa-śáff ṣ́ātáyh sawēhar 'it turned out that witches got hold of him' (40:2)
 woman was spending the night by the grave of her brother' (54:9)
śaff tēt, hīs śanyáti, ġı̄būt man ayaṣáyt 'it turns out the woman, when she saw me, she fainted from fear' (54:11)

In one example from the texts it has the meaning 'probably':
śafk təḡ̄ōrab hōh aṣtáwṭ agáwfi 'you probably know I have pain in my chest' (101:10)

This particle śaf(f) is to be distinguished from the noun śaff (pl. śfūtzn) 'track, footprint' (e.g., $32: 7 ; 64: 12$ ), but it is possible that they are related. ${ }^{14}$ On the audio recordings, the suffixed form śáffah 'his tracks' (e.g., 32:7) is distinct from śáfah 'it turns out he' (< śafh, e.g., 20:56).

### 12.5.19 táww-

The particle táxw- occurs in the texts mainly with second-person pronominal suffixes, and is followed by a verb in the subjunctive. ${ }^{15}$ It has the meaning of 'must', 'ought to', or 'should'.
> táwwakam tsmēḥam tī 'you must excuse me' (24:41)
> táwwək (t)ṣabēr lay te akáyỵ̣̆ 'you need to give me respite until the summer' (39:12)
> táwwak tāmōl háyni māráwf 'you must do me a favor' (46:2)
> táwwaš takfēdi man đ̣áyri 'you must get down off me' (46:5)
> táwwakam ar tsmēham lay 'you really ought to allow me' (64:2)
> táwwak tsāmi 'you should spare me' (83:5)

In one case tóww- is used independently, with the verb implied:
āmərūt tétzh, "дə-yáṣṣak tīs mən tāká’ tḥōm tātyōn līn." āmūr aġáyg, "táwwas lā" 'his wife said, "I am afraid that she might want to inform on us." The man said, "She shouldn't"' (94:39-40)

[^130]The particle táww- is probably to be connected with the adverb taw 'well' ( $\$ 10.4$ ) and the second element of the exclamation his-táw 'ok!'. ${ }^{16}$

The form watō- listed in $M L$ (s.v. $w t^{\top}$ ), and appearing once in Stroomer's edition of the texts $(64: 2)$ is a mistake. In fact, what Johnstone analyzed at watō- is simply the conjunction $w$ - plus the particle táww. Additional proof (besides the manuscript and audio evidence for the pronunciation of the form in text 64:2), is that the Jibbali parallel version to Mehri text 64:2 has tōkum, corresponding exactly to Mehri táwwakam.

### 12.5.20 wōgəb

The particle $w \bar{o} g a b$ (< Arabic wajjib) is followed by a subjunctive verb and has the meaning 'it is proper that', 'it is obligatory that', 'ought to', or 'should'. Person is indicated either with a suffixed pronoun attached directly to wōgab (which has the base (a)wágb-before suffixes) or with the preposition $l$ - plus a suffixed pronoun. ${ }^{17}$ In the examples from the texts, the former is used exclusively in negative statements, and the latter exclusively in positive statements. The examples from the texts are:
> naḥāh wōgab līn nasḥōṭ hūk'it is obligatory for us to slaughter for you' (31:14)
> wōgab līn anḳbēr amaláwtag 'we should bury the dead' (64:28)
> al wágabkam lā təwtēġam məknáyw man tōdi ‘you shouldn’t kill a baby at the breast' $(64: 25)$
> alwágabkam (t)syēram wa-təklēm amaláwtag waṭōmah lā 'you shouldn't go and leave the dead like this' $(64: 26)$
> al awágabkam lā tātāfam lay ba-ḥázyan 'you shouldn't frighten our goats on me' (70:2)
> awágbək tslébi lā wa-tfášli bork ḥābū 'you shouldn't disarm me and embarrass me among the people' (76:18)

We see in the last four examples above that a clause with $w \bar{o} g \partial b$ can be negated in more than one way. In 76:18, al is omitted. In 64:25 and 70:2, lā immediately follows the suffixed form of $w \bar{o} g a b$. In 64:26 and 76:18, lā comes at the end of the clause.

[^131]12.5.21 wəl̄̄

The use of wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ as a conjunction 'or; or else' was treated above (§12.1.4). The same particle can also be used to mean 'maybe' or 'perhaps', though there are only a few examples in the texts. Among the clearest of these are:
> wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ alḥōk amáwsam 'perhaps I'll catch the trading-boat' (45:12)
> wal̄̄ rábbak yasāman tī 'perhaps the Lord will protect me' (36:3) wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ əkōsa aḥād yamzūz 'perhaps I'll find someone who smokes' (94:25)

Presumably derived from this usage, wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ can also be used at the beginning of a phrase as a sort of interrogative particle, similar in use to Arabic ' $a$ - or Biblical Hebrew hă-. There are a dozen or so examples in the texts. We can ignore the particle in translation, or we can again use 'maybe' or 'perhaps', with no real change in meaning:
> wal̄̄ káskəm mətwē śı ‘did you find any grazing?’ (26:14; 29:3) wal̄̄ śı ayd 'are there any sardines?' (27:9) wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ aḥād onkáykom 'has anyone come to you?' (45:5)
> wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ amáwsam nūka 'has the trading boat come?' (45:14)
> wal̄̄ śinək ḥabráy ‘did you perhaps see my son?’ (57:5)
> $\bar{a} \dot{g} \grave{\imath} g e ̄ n, w a l \bar{\varepsilon}$ taḳáyr 'boy, can you read?' (71:2)

Far more often, however, a declarative is made into an interrogative simply by intonation of voice, with no special particle necessary. See further in $\S 13.6$.

### 12.5.22 xāf

The particle $x \bar{a} f$ means something like 'I think', 'maybe', or 'it might be'. Watson (2012: 375), who connects the particle with the Arabic verb $x a \bar{f} f a$ 'fear', says that this particle "conveys the speaker's hesitation in committing to the truth of a proposition". Her description fits the one attested example in the texts:
bə-xáyr hē wə-ðə-yวxáwdəm, wวlākan xāf hīs əl xəṣáwb bə-śi lā, ðə-hānōh yaftēk 'he is fine, and working, but I think maybe since he has not sent anything, he intends to leave' (57:8)

The above passage is parallel with Jibbali text $8: 8$, in which we find the Jibbali verb $\varepsilon$ thúmk 'maybe; I think'. The same use of $x \bar{a} f$ is attested in Hobyot. ${ }^{18}$

18 Cf. $H V$, pp. 145, 177, 283, 284.

### 12.5.23 yámkən

The particle yámkən (< Arabic yumkin) means 'perhaps' or 'maybe'. It is normally followed by a subjunctive verb or, when indicating a simple past, a perfect. It can also be used in a non-verbal sentence. Examples are:
yámkən harēk 'maybe it was stolen' (23:4)
yámkən tag̈rēb ag̉arōyah 'maybe you know his language' (34:26)
yámkən yanké' 'maybe he'll come' (41:4)
yámkən hūk amaṭláwbak lā 'maybe you don't get what you want [lit. your desire]' (43:4)
yámkan xaláws manīn 'maybe they couldn't find us' (64:15)
yámkan l-anké' hah b-aḥād yadbéhah 'maybe I would bring to it someone to collect it' (77:4)

## Some Syntactic Features

## 13.1 <br> Copular (Non-Verbal) Sentences

Mehri, like many other Semitic languages, does not make use of a verb 'be' in the present tense. Instead, subject and predicate are simply juxtaposed. Examples are:
hōh ġaygfakáyr ‘I am a poor man' (91:3)
hēt ġayg ḥáywal 'you are a crazy man' (98:7)
ðōmah amftēh, wa-sēn bark agarfēt дayk'this is the key, and they are in that room' (22:97)
Jìmah tētic 'this is my wife' (46:16)
alyōmah ḥabánye, wa-hēt ag̉áygi 'these are my sons, and you are my
husband' (74:23)
báwməh kāl śīyzn ġōli 'here everything is expensive’ (18:15)
ag̀īgēn ḳəwáy wa-xfáyf 'the boy was strong and fast' (42:2)

about is the daughter of the ruler' (22:31)
hábke bark agənnēt 'your parents are in Paradise' (20:70)
$k o ̄ ~ h e ̄ t ~ b a ́ w m ə h ~ ' w h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ h e r e ? ' ~(3: 10) ~$
hïbōh śawr 'what's the plan?' (6:6)
hēśan haalátzh 'what is its description?' (28:7)
ḥõ a 'iśéy ‘where is my dinner?' (42:34)

In copular sentences, an interrogative can come in either the subject position, as in the last four examples above, or in the predicate, as in the following three examples (see also §13.6):
hēt mōn 'who are you?' (20:68)
tēti h hõ 'where is my wife?' (37:12)
šayš hēśzn 'what do you have?' (94:45)

Occasionally, in place of a copula, we find a personal pronoun placed between the subject and predicate. This happens most often when the subject is an independent relative clause, when the predicate is modified by a relative clause, when an adverb separates the subject and predicate, or when the whole clause is negated, as in:

[^132]This is an open access chapter distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.
alhān kask naxāss hē ðə-hōh 'whatever I find under it is mine' (67:8)
ðōməh hē aṣōyว $\dot{g} ~ ð \partial-w k u ̄ b ~ ' t h a t ~[l i t . ~ t h i s] ~ i s ~ t h e ~ j e w e l e r ~ t h a t ~ h a s ~ c o m e ~ i n ' ~$ (22:87)

házikam yallōh sēn ba-ḥawōdi ð̄̄h 'last night your goats were in this valley' (31:3)
ðōmah al hē šágli lā 'this is not my intention' (22:22)
aġalēt al hē manáy lā 'the mistake is not from me' (36:30)
ðōmah hē amkaṣáwdi 'this is my reason' (22:30) (but cf. ðīmah tētei 'this is my wife', 46:16)

A copula is normally missing from past tense contexts as well, for example:

```
hāgūr bōyar 'the slave was a liar' (5:12)
a\dot{q}İgēn rsḥáym 'the boy was beautiful' (22:1)
háybi fakáyrr 'my father was poor' (34:4)
a\dot{g}āy śōx bo-koṭár 'my big (older) brother was in Qatar' (34:20)
arḥabēt bīs xawr, wz-xáwr k<āṣam 'the town had [lit: in it (was)] a lagoon,
    and the lagoon was cold' (36:1)
aǵáyg məhráy 'the man was a Mehri' (69:2)
haláts al se\overline{ gádat lā 'its condition was not good' (83:1)}
```

For more examples of the lack of a present or past copula in 'have' sentences (like the first half of the example from text 36:1, above), see §13.3.1.

### 13.1.1 The Verb wīka

The verb wikka can mean 'be', however it is rarely used as a simple copula. Much more often, it has the sense 'stay', 'become', or 'happen, take place,', ${ }^{1}$ for example:
> $w \bar{l} k{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}$ - $-\mathrm{a} \bar{r} r a w n$ 'he stayed with the goats' (14A:5)
> hōh waḳōna $k$-hāaráwn 'I will stay with the goats' (3:5)
> háybi yowōḳa k-hāráwn 'my father would stay with the goats' (89:2)
> ətēm waḳiyē $b$-amkōnkam, aw śalyēla? naḥāh waḳiȳ̄ $b$-amkōnən te
> gēhamah 'will you be [or: stay] in your (current) place, or will you
> move? We'll be in our place until tomorrow' (96:4-5)
> wīka raḥáym k-hāāu mən đ̣ār táybər ð-akēēōr 'he was [or: became] nice to people after the breaking of the pots' $(35: 23)$

[^133]wákak ba-xáyr ‘I became well' (25:16)
waḳōna ba-xáyr 'he'll get well' (101:19)
mən đ̣ār xəṭərāt đókəməh, wákam 'ayśōr 'after that time, they were [or:
became] friends' (61:9)
wīka lūk śı 'has something happened to you?' (42:7)
ktēbəm háyni kāl śīyวn əð-wīka 'write down for me everything that happens' (66:1)
hīs waḳōt hagmēt 'when the attack took place' (69:7)
hēt waḳōna malēk b-agzáyrat dīmah 'you'll become king on this island' (74:4)
ðōməh ag̀̄̄gēn wəkōna axáyr mənáy mət ākáwr 'this boy will be better than me when he grows up' (76:12)
lū amnēdəm yaḥáwsəb alhān waḳōna lah 'if man thought about all that will happen to him' (98:11)

In a past existential phrase ('there was/were'), the presence or absence of the verb wīka is semantically predictable. For a true existential, when the phrase 'there was/were' can be replaced with 'there existed', no verb is needed: ${ }^{2}$

```
xat!rā̄t ġayg 'once there was a man' (15:1)
al śt ṣáyga k.aráyb lā 'there wasn't any shelter nearby' (17:12)
xoṭrrāt ḥōkam bo-rḥabēt 'once there was a ruler in a town' (36:1)
bark sēkən ð\varepsilońkəməh ġayg 'in that community there was a man' (93:1)
```

When an existential 'there was/were' can be replaced with 'there happened/ took place', then wīka is used:
tє āṣər ṭāṭ, wīka šarḥ b-arḥabēt 'then one evening, there was a danceparty in the town' (37:9)
sanēt ṭayt waḳōt háwrat 'one year there was a drought' (61:1)
al wīka ḥarb śī lā 'there hasn't been any war' (104:28)

The verb wīka is really only used as a copula in two environments. The first is when a subjunctive is required because of meaning (i.e., 'should be' or 'might be', as in the first three examples below) or syntax (i.e., functioning as a dependent verb, as in the last three examples below):

[^134]ṣərōmah hēt tāká’ Ә-əwbádk tcāt 'now you should (pretend to) be one who has shot someone' (72:4)
mət awōðən fēgər, tāḳāy bərš al-xāh ð-abáyt 'when they call [lit. he calls] the dawn prayer, you should already be at the door of the house' (75:8)

alyāgōb ahād yāká' hīs tzh lā 'he didn't like anyone to be like him' (76:11) anḥōm țāt yāká’ háywal ‘let's one of us be crazy' (91:6)
hthámk tah yāká̉ man xaṣámyan 'I think he might be from our enemies' (94:42)

The second environment in which wīka is used as a copula is in the protasis or apodosis of a conditional sentence, although in these cases 'become' often fits the context. Examples are:
wa-hōh, hām abēli yaḥōm, wakōna šah roḥáym 'and I, if God wills, will be good to him' (18:17)
hām aġərōyas wīka ṣaṭk, akēēyōt amšg̈arēt, waḳōna ag̉arōyas ṣaṭk 'if her words are true, (then) the second spirit-woman, her words will be true' (68:13)

However, we can also find examples of a missing copula in a conditional sentence, such as:
lū al hē háaywal lā, al yasḥáyṭan ḥaybátah lā 'if he wasn't crazy, he wouldn't have slaughtered his camel' (55:7)

The verb wikka is also attested with a few other meanings. In about a half dozen passages (three of them in text 99), wikka means something like 'reach, get to', 'happen/come upon', or 'wind up (in/at/on)', meanings the Arabic cognate waqa'a also has. A few of these passages are:
te wákak đ̣ār āgarēzəh 'then I happened upon his testicle' (91:16)
farr akáyṣ̊ar, wə-wīka đ̣ār aṣāwər 'the leopard jumped, and he got to the (other) rock' (99:20; cf. also 99:19)
āfūd akáyṣ̊ar ... wə-wīka bork amsəyōl 'the leopard jumped ... and he wound up in the valley-bottom' (99:24)
wīka līham hazáyz 'a strong wind came upon them' (103:1)

In one place, followed by a dependent (subjunctive) verb, it means 'begin':

> wīḳa hē yanšárxaf 'he began to slip away' (69:4)

And in one place we find the idiom wîka hāl 'to become a wife to':
wakōōt hāl gayg 'she became the wife of a man' (74:10)
The masculine singular future-tense form wakōna can mean 'about, approximately' or 'perhaps, maybe'; see further in § 10.5. On the use of wikka in compound verb tenses, see $\S 7$.1.9. And on the use of a subjunctive form of the verb $w \bar{k} k a$ in conjunction with the particle $\bar{a} d$, see §12.5.1.

### 13.1.2 The Verb yakūn

The verb yakūn, like ḥōm (see §7.3), only occurs in the imperfect. It is used as a copula meaning 'be' in places where a habitual aspect or general present-tense meaning is intended, or when a general future is intended. It is also found in the apodosis of conditional sentences. It seems almost to function as a suppletive to wīka in its (limited) use as a copula. ${ }^{3}$ That is, we find $w \bar{k} k ̣ a$ used as a copula in places where we need a subjunctive or future, and yakūn in places where we need an imperfect. It is not problematic that both yakūn and $w \bar{l} k a$ are found in the apodosis of conditional sentences, since in this position we regularly find both imperfects and futures (see § 13.4.1).

In $M L$ (s.v. $k w n$ ), yakūn is glossed as 'to be constantly, be all the time; be acceptable', but this is somewhat imprecise. It is not that the verb means 'be constantly, be all the time', but rather that the verb is often used in contexts where the imperfect is used to indicate a habitual. The habitual aspect is indicated by the verbal form (the imperfect), not necessarily by the semantics of the verbal root itself. Some examples of $y \partial k u \bar{n}$ in context are:
yəkūn lūk śakk, hām ġátəryak k-ḩədáydək'it will be an offense if you speak with your uncle (this way)' (33:3)
tkūn ḥāmáy bark ḥāráwn wa-hab̄̄r 'my mother would be (habitually) among the goats and camels' (34:6)

[^135]yāmarəm asśśrrk yzkūn $k$-szwēhar 'they say the śērək is (habitually) with witches' (41:1)
əð hē shāạt haybátzh, hē yzkūn háywal. w-əð hē al shāat hacybátzh lā, hōh əkūn kahbēt 'if he slaughtered his camel, he is crazy. And if he didn't slaughter his camel, I am a whore' (55:9)
habrē đə-dasōs yวkūn dasōs 'the son of a snake will be a snake' (64:26)

In the apodosis of a conditional, as in $55: 9, y z k u \bar{n}$ is not required, as we can see from the following example:
 servant-girl, he is a brave man' (22:94)

In one passage we find yakūn following $\ell \bar{\varepsilon} z z m ~ ' m u s t ': ~$
lēzam yakūn tamōm 'there must be an even number' (71A:1)
The particle $\bar{\varepsilon} z z m$ is normally followed by a subjunctive or, less often, a future (see §12.5.14). The imperfect form yzkün is apparently substituting for the subjunctive here, since, as noted above, this verb only has imperfect forms.
Johnstone's gloss of 'be acceptable' is appropriate only in one passage, for the phrase đōmah al yakūn lā 'this will not do [lit. be]' (94:5), an idiom also found in Yemeni Mehri. ${ }^{4}$

### 13.2 Negation

This section will cover a variety of negative particles in Mehri. The indefinite pronouns ahād and śť, which respectively have the meaning 'no one' and 'nothing' in negative contexts, have been treated elsewhere ( $\S 3 \cdot 5.1$ and $\S 3.5 \cdot 2$ ).
13.2.1 al ... lā

Verbal and non-verbal sentences are normally negated by the elements al ... $\bar{a}$. Most often, both elements are used in tandem (cf. French ne ... pas), though there is some variation with the exact placement of these elements within the sentence. Before the particle $\bar{a} d$, $a l$ is reduced to $l$ (see $\S 13.2 .4$ ).

In non-verbal sentences with a pronominal subject (including ahād 'someone' and śs 'something', but not demonstratives), the element al precedes the entire phrase to be negated (including the subject), while the element lä follows the entire phrase. With pronouns (especially second person singular ones), there also is a tendency to add a fronted pronoun. When the grammatical subject is a noun, a demonstrative pronoun, or a relative pronoun, $a l$ follows the subject, but is then followed by a resumptive pronoun. The result is that the nominal subject is essentially fronted. Examples of negated non-verbal sentences are:
> al hōh hērak lā ‘I am not a thief' (47:8)
> hōh al hōh sannáwrot lā ‘I am not a cat’ (6:11) (cf. also 15:18)
> hētal hēt ḥōkam lā 'you are not the ruler' (91:29)
> hēt al hēt axáyr manīn lā 'you are not better than us' (61:4)
> al hēm xaṣáwm lā 'they aren't enemies' (64:15)
> al atēm țīhōr lā 'you are not pure' (4:11)
> al sē sənnáwrot lā 'it wasn't a cat' (6:2)
> al šīham kawt lā 'they didn't have food' (30:1)
> ðōmah al hē šágli lā 'this is not my intention' (22:22)
> agáyg ðōmah al bah fēḥal lā 'this man has no penis' (24:36)
> ðōmah al hē śawr gīd lā 'this is not good advice' (90:6)
> aġalēt al hē manáy lā 'the mistake is not from me' (36:30)
> amhoṣáwlal hē gīd lā 'the pay [lit. yield] was not good' (57:4)
> tēt al sē mən aḳəbáylət ðə-xəṣámhe lā 'the woman was not from the tribe of his enemies' (94:33)
> šīn rawn bāṣ́ $\partial$-al sēn ba-xáyr lā 'we have some goats that are not well' (26:6)
> hadəlláy ba-wōrəm ṭayt ð-əl sē ḥōrəm ðə-ḥáms lā 'he directed me to a certain road that wasn't the road I wanted' (62:3)

In verbal sentences al usually comes after the subject (if there is an expressed subject) and directly before the verb, and $l \bar{a}$ still follows the entire verbal clause or sentence, including (usually) any objects of the verb. There are three qualifications to this rule. First, sentences with a future usually behave like non-verbal sentences, and so al usually (but not always) precedes an expressed pronominal subject. Second, al precedes the subject if it is an indefinite pronoun (like śc 'something' or aṭād 'someone'; see § 3.5 .1 and $\S 3.5 .2$ for additional examples). Third, if the verb has the verbal prefix $\partial$ - (see $\S 7.1 .1$; but not relative $\partial$-), then the element $\partial l$ will also precede an expressed pronoun. Examples of negated verbal sentences (including futures) are:
al radīw būk hāāgrōn bark aráwram lā ‘didn’t the slaves throw you into the sea?' (20:59)
hēt al matk lā ‘didn’t you die?' (20:69)
háabon al nūka lā 'our father hasn't come back' (20:74)
hē al tawyáh lā 'he didn't eat it' (24:22)

al tāṣōṣ lā ‘don't be afraid’ (34:25)
hōh al kask yanīt lā 'I didn't find (any) women' (22:100)
abḳār al tṣábran lā man ayd 'the cows won't do without sardines?' (27:15)
al takáwdar lā ... aghōm man hāl alạ̣ād yanōka lā ‘you can't (come) ... I
go where no one else goes' (76:1)
al hōh ftkōna lā 'I won't leave’ (34:32)
hām al hēt sīrōna lā 'if you won't go' (49:5)
al naḥāh āmyēla bah ś $\bar{l}$ lā 'we won't do anything to him' (82:3)
anḥāh al məšawgaśūtan lā ‘we won’t go' (35:4)
alśı̄yadūm lā 'nothing lasts' (98:15)
alahāa ḥarfōna tīs lā 'no one will move it' (67:5)
al hōh ð-aḥtəwēk lā ‘I haven’t gone crazy’ (20:6)
al hōh ðə-hānáyk əwṭōməh lā ‘I didn’t mean (to do) thus’ (59:10)
13.2.2 al

In certain environments, $a l$ is used without a following $l \bar{a}$. We find this most commonly in combination with the particle ar, giving the sense of 'only' (cf. French ne ... que), as in:
al šīham ar hēt 'they have only you' (18:18)
hōh al šay ar ḥōtori troh 'I only have two kids' (89:8)
hēt al ġayábk ar man baṭōl 'you only fainted from fear' (95:10)

For additional examples and more details on the particle $a r$, see § 12.5.4.
With verbs of swearing or promising, al (or $l$ - before $\bar{a} d$ ) is used alone to negate a dependent verbal phrase, as in:
daxlūtan l-ād ənnōka anáxalke zōyad 'we'll promise we won't come to your date-palms ever again' (37:5)
ber dáxlam, hīs ādham fáxra, al aḥād manhēm yabáyd 'they had promised, when they were still together, that neither of them would lie' (74:2)
hármak l-ād adūbah zōyad te al-mēt 'I swear I won’t collect honey ever
again until I die' (77:2)
hē hōoram al yadūbah 'he swore he would not collect honey' (77:8)
hēm gazáwm al yaṣ́áyt bah kaṣ́áyyat 'they swore they wouldn't take compensation for it' (89:5)
gazámk l-ād asḥōṭ ḥittār zōyad 'I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore' (89:10)

This happens even in direct reports of swearing or promising, as in:
gazamūt, "al ašfóḳ" ‘she swore, "I will not marry"' (32:12)
The combination $\bar{a} d-(+$ suffix) $a l$ means 'before', with regards to a potential event that has not happened (and not 'before' simply indicating a prior activity in a past-tense narrative). Examples are:
naḥōm nawbádəh, ādəh al sḥarīn 'let's shoot him, before he bewitches us' (82:2)
$\bar{a} m e \bar{r}$ hah yatáxfan gēhamah, āds al gazōt ḥayáwm 'tell him to come to us tomorrow, before the sun goes down' (96:1)

The sequence $\bar{a} d-a l$ is to be distinguished from $l-\bar{a} d \ldots l \bar{a}$, which usually means 'not yet; still not', but can also mean 'before' in a past tense context. See further in §13.2.4.

Finally, the sequence $a l \ldots w$-al can also be used as the equivalent of English 'neither ... nor'. Examples from the texts are:
al tēt šzh, w-al ḥaybátəh šah 'he had neither the woman nor his camel' (55:16)
al (t)ṭōm al mōh w-al kawt 'she didn't taste either water or food' (75:22)
al šīham al śíwōṭ w-al mōh w-al đ̣ērōb, w-akā̉ đékamah al tkōsa bah al mōh $w$-al đ̣̂ērōb w-al śīwōt 'they didn't have fire or water or firewood, and that place, you wouldn't find in it water or firewood or fire' (76:11)

There is one passage in which we find al used alone unexpectedly. I suspect that the particle $l \bar{a}$ was simply forgotten in error. The passage in question is:
wa-kōh hēt al takōla ḥabrēk yasyēr šūk h-sawk ‘why don't you let your son go with you to the market' (22:2)

### 13.2.3 lā

It is not rare to find the element $l \bar{a}$ used without $a l$, as the equivalent of $a l \ldots l \bar{a}$ (cf. French pas), in both verbal and non-verbal sentences. Some examples are:

```
ḥōm lā ‘I don't want to’ (4:10)
kawtōna hūk lā ‘I won’t tell you’ (20:37)
śīnak tah lā 'I haven't seen it' (23:9)
ankáwdər nəślēl lā ‘we can’t move’ (28:12)
šátkalam ḥənfáykam lā ‘don't think yourselves a burden' (28:15)
hāráwn bīsan śxōf mēkan lā 'the goats didn't have much milk' (30:13)
kūsam tīhi lā 'they didn't find them' (35:17)
āgáwz āśs̄ūt lā 'the old woman didn't wake up' (65:10)
tāṣōṣ lā ‘don’t be afraid!’ (67:2)
hā \(b \bar{u}\) šǐham ḳawt lā 'the people had no food' (98:2)
```

There do not appear to be any rules governing when one uses only $l \bar{a}$, as opposed to $a l$... $l \bar{a}$, unlike the use of just $a l$, which is restricted to certain environments. There are certain tendencies, however. For example, we find hōm lā 'I don't want to' a dozen times in the texts, but not once do we find al haōm lā. On the other hand, in a negated possessive construction with $k$ - (§ 13.3.1), we almost always find $a l . . . l \bar{a}$.

The particle lā can also be used as an interjection 'no!' (e.g., $28: 15,35: 14$; see also § 12.2.1), and it can be used independently, substituting for an entire phrase, as in hámak t̄̄ aw lā 'did you hear me or not?' (96:7).

### 13.2.4 l-ād

The form $l-\bar{a} d$ is simply a combination of the negative al plus the particle $\bar{a} d$, the positive uses of which were treated in §12.5.1. In a negative sentence, $l-\bar{a} d$ can mean 'still not', 'not yet', 'before', 'never', or 'not at all'. When pronominal suffixes are attached to $l-\bar{a} d$ (see $\S 12.5 .1$ for the forms), the negative element al follows $\bar{a} d(\bar{a} d i a l, \bar{a} d(\partial) k ~ a l$, etc.).

Since one basic meaning of $\bar{a} d$ is 'still', it is unsurprising that one basic meaning of $l-\bar{a} d . . . l \bar{a}$ is 'still not' or 'not yet'. The particle $\bar{a} d$ may or may not have a pronominal suffix, as in:
hēt ḳannáwn $w-\bar{a} d \partial k$ al hēt mahēras lā 'you are a child and you won't marry yet' (8:8)
hōh l-ād akáwfəd lā man đ̣áyrək 'I will not get down off you yet' (46:4)
kādēt l-ād yašēnūs yakfēed arḥabēt lā 'Kadet didn’t yet dare to go down to town' (64:8)
l-äd šawrēm lā 'they still didn't back off' (70:3)
hōh ādi al aǵárbas lā ‘I didn't know it yet' (38:9)
āmūr ðēk ð-ādəh al ātōśi lā 'that one who hadn't yet eaten dinner said' (84:9)

Sometimes this use of $l-\bar{a} d$ (normally with a pronominal suffix) is best translated as 'never', meaning 'not yet (still not) up until this point in time'. In these cases, the accompanying verb is always in the imperfect. Often this use is combined with a phrase including the particle $a r$ 'except' (§ 12.5.4) or mən hīs 'since' (§ 13.5.3.3). Examples are:
hōh, man hīs xəlákəək, ādi al ahūrək lā ‘since I was born, I have never stolen' (47:9)

ādah al a hāad yōmər háyni ahaṣáwlạh lā ar hēt 'no one ever has told me that I do (it) well except you' (52:17)
$\bar{a} d \partial h$ al yasyūr tawáliham lā ar xaṭarāt đákaməh he had never gone to them, except that time' (59:1)

have never seen since we were born' (82:4)
ādial aśśnisan lā ‘I had never seen them’ (89:21)

When used along with an expression of time that has passed (using man (his) or $b \varepsilon r h$-), the element $\bar{a} d$ (with a pronominal suffix) has no direct translation value in English, but it gives the sense of a present perfect or past perfect. This use is clearly connected with the uses described above. Examples are:
$\bar{a} d i a l$ śñək tīham lā man warx 'I haven't seen them for a month' (20:41)
ādial śīnak hábys lā man hīs mōtzm 'I haven't seen my parents since they died' (20:43)
ber hah xamhīt ādah al śñin sékanah lā 'it was already the fifth (day) that
he hadn't seen his community' (32:5)
ber háyni sēt ādial śiñzk tah lā 'I have not seen him for a long time' (57:6)
Also related to this basic meaning of 'still not' or 'not yet' is the use of $\bar{a} d-a l$ as a temporal conjunction 'before', or more literally 'when still not'. As discussed in § 13.2.2, in this usage only the negative element $2 /$ is used, as opposed to the others uses described above and below, which require also the following $l \bar{a}$. The verb following $\bar{a} d-a l$ is in the perfect tense. A few examples are:
$\bar{a} d ə h$ al tamūm lā, ġarbīh háybah 'before he finished [lit. he had not yet finished], his father recognized him' (74:23)
nəḥōm nəwbádəh, ādəh al sḥarīn 'let's shoot him, before he bewitches us' (82:2)
$\bar{a} m e \bar{r}$ hah yatáxfan gēhamah, $\bar{a} d s$ al gazōt hayáwm 'tell him to come to us tomorrow, before the sun goes down' (96:1)

A second, and more common, basic use of $l-\bar{a} d . . . l \bar{a}$ is to mean 'not anymore', 'not any longer', 'not again', or 'never (again)'. In this use, l- $\bar{a} d$ is not used with pronominal suffixes, and the following verb can be any tense. Examples are:
ankátham aġallēt, wa-l-ād habṣáyr ḥōram lā 'a mist came upon them, and they couldn't see the way anymore' (17:2)
hām sayárk, l-ād raddōna lēn lā 'if you go, you won't come back to us again' (20:72)
$l-\bar{a} d$ tabkéh lā 'don't cry anymore’ (40:7)
hōh ā gáwz ða-l-ād wáṣalək lā al-syēr, wa-l-ād habṣárk lā 'I am an old woman who can't manage to go anymore, and doesn't see well anymore' (46:2)

hōh l-ād šay gamáylat lā ‘I don’t have any more strength’ (83:4)
$l-\bar{a} d$ sabṭōna tīs lā ‘I won’t hit you anymore’ (89:18)

$l-\bar{a} d \operatorname{tank} \bar{\varepsilon} y ~ l a ̄ ~ w a ́ r x i ~ t ~ t r o ̄ h ~ ' d o n ' t ~ c o m e ~ b a c k ~ t o ~ m e ~ a g a i n ~ f o r ~ t w o ~ m o n t h s ' ~$ (98:4)
l-ād śīnək harbātye lā ‘I didn’t see my companions again’ (103:1)

While $l-\bar{a} d$... lā alone can mean 'not anymore', 'not again', etc., this negative construction is sometimes strengthened by another word. The most common is zōyad 'more' (see further in §13.2.7), but once in the texts we find ábdan 'never' (see $\S 13.2 .8$ ). These words reinforce, but do not alter, the meaning.

A third basic use of $l-\bar{a} d . . . l \bar{a}$ gives the idea of 'not at all', a negative sense stronger than that of simple $a l \ldots l \bar{a}$, though sometimes a translation 'at all'

[^136]seems superfluous in English (e.g., 69:5, cited below). In this use, $\bar{a} d$ does not take suffixes. Some examples of this are:
$l-\bar{a} d ~ k a ́ w l a ~ a m o ̄ l ~ y a t t o ́ k ̣ k ~ m o ̄ h ~ l a ̄ ~ ' t h e y ~ d i d n ' t ~ l e t ~ t h e ~(o t h e r) ~ l i v e s t o c k ~ d r i n k ~$ any water at all' (27:5)

$l-\bar{a} d ~ a ̄ s ́ s ́ u ̄ t ~ l a ̄ ~ ' s h e ~ d i d n ' t ~ w a k e ~ u p ~ a t ~ a l l ' ~(65: 10) ~(~) ~$
bagáwdah, walākan l-ād alhāạkam tah lā 'they chased him, but they didn't catch up to him' (69:5)
mūna ḥādáthe, wz-l-ād ḳədūr yahtīrəḳ lā 'he grabbed his hands, and he couldn't move at all' (75:1o)
a $\dot{g} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{l} g e ̄ n ~ l-\bar{a} d ~ w \bar{l} d a ~ h i ̄ b o ̄ h ~ y a ̄ m o ̄ l ~ l a ̄ ~ ' t h e ~ b o y ~ d i d n ' t ~ k n o w ~ a t ~ a l l ~ w h a t ~ t o ~ d o ' ~$ (76:3)
aǵáyg l-ād šēmūn tétzh lā 'the man didn't listen to his wife at all' (94:26)
Once in the texts this use is best translated 'never (in the future)' or 'not ever'. The sense is different than the use of $\bar{a} d$ to mean 'never (up to this point in time), as described above.
nəด̣ōm ... naḳlēhfakáyr l-agarē l-ād yahēras lā 'we should ... leave him poor, so that he won't ever get married' (6:12)

Just as the negative $a l$ can be combined with the particle $a r$ to mean 'only' (see $\S 12.5 .4$ and $\S 13.2 .2$ ), so negative $l-\bar{a} d$ can combine with $a r$ in this way, giving the meaning 'only $X$ is left' (lit. 'still only $X^{\prime}$ ).
ber tamūm aġaggōtan Ә-arhabēt. l-ād ar hōh'he has already used up the girls of the town. There is only me left' (42:19)
$l-\bar{a} d$ ar hōh, tēt 'only I am left, a woman' $(64: 27,29)$

### 13.2.5 m-ād

The particle $m-\bar{a} d$, which derives from man $\bar{a} d,{ }^{6}$ occurs fourteen times in the texts. Its basic meaning is 'lest' or 'so that not', and it is not used in conjunction with any other negative particle. Some examples are:

[^137]háfawtam ba-ḥənfáykam, m-ād yanké’ agannáy wa-yatéhkam ‘save [lit. escape with] yourselves, lest the jinn come and eat you!' (42:19)
ag̉áyg dəfōna hīn m-ād nāṭlah 'the man will pay us so that we don't hold him up' (91:26)
thōm taġwīr b-aǵáayg m-ādyztbé' tēt 'she wanted to keep the man occupied so that he did not follow the woman' (94:18)
$\bar{a} z a ́ m i ~ a s ̣ a ́ y g ̇ \partial t ~ l-\partial d f e ́ n s ~ m ə n ~ a k ̣ a ́ w m, ~ m-a ̄ d ~ y \partial s ̣ a ́ y t ̣ ̧ s ~ ‘ g i v e ~ m e ~ t h e ~ j e w e l r y ~ s o ~$ I can hide it from the raiding-party, lest they take it' (99:44)
ḥəððōr man tarkōb al-‘aylīg yafūrəd, m-ād yahánḳabak 'be careful not to ride a young camel that bolts, lest it throw you off' (102:11)

When following the verb yaṣs 'be afraid' (+ d.o.) and preceding a dependent (subjunctive) verb, $m-\bar{a} d$ is best translated simply as 'that', with the sense of 'that something (bad) will happen', or can even be omitted from translation. It does not indicate a negative clause. There are five examples from the texts:

```
yáṣsak tīk m-ād talwámi (l-)aḳlēk bark šatfêti 'I am afraid that you will
    expect me to let you in my basket' (20:37)
yáṣṣak tīk m-ād tahtawōl, hām kalátِk hūk‘I am afraid you will go crazy if I
    tell you' (22:26)
yáṣşək tīs m-ād təfrēr mən đ̣áyrən 'I am afraid she might run away from
    us' (37:10)
yáṣṣəm ag̉áygəs m-ād yaḥtวwōl 'they were afraid her husband might go
    crazy' (37:12)
yáṣṣək ağāy m-ādyāṣōṣ ‘I was afraid that my brother would get scared'
    (106:5)
```

See further on the verb yasss in $\S 13.5 .1$ and $\S$ 13.5.1.1. A similar construction is found once with the verb hagg 'refuse':

ḥaggūt lah tétzh m-ād yahērəs aw yaḥōm yaxxōli ‘his wife didn't let him get married unless he wanted to get divorced' (32:28)

### 13.2.6 məkā

The negative particle maḳā occurs just four times in the texts. In $M L$ (s.v. $w k^{c}$ ), it is defined as 'that not, not (a neg. particle, occurring us[ually] in oaths)'. However, as can be seen from the four examples below, this definition really only covers one of the attested passages (47:11). In the remaining three, maḳ $\bar{a}$ means something like '(it is) as if not'. No other negative particle is used
in conjunction with mak $\bar{a}$. However, three of the attested examples are in combination with $x \bar{a}$ 'as if', on which see $\S 8.21$. The four attestations are:
nēḥar maxtīb yaklēl bah ḳawt, məḳā xā sē nəkátวh arḥəmēt ðı̄məh 'a barren wadi that there was no damned food in, as if this (recent) rain hadn't come there [lit. to it]' (26:15)
walākan məkā xā hōh ð-ənōfa śc̄ hənīkzm 'but it's as if I were of no use to you in anything' (33:2)
hōh gazmōna hīkam maḳā hōh hēraḳ'I will swear to you that I am not a thief' (47:11)
walē mak $\bar{a} x$ a $h e \bar{e} m x a l e \bar{e}$ kam 'it's as if they had were never [lit. not] born' $(90: 8)^{7}$

In the Roman manuscript of text 26, Johnstone added several other phrases with $m \partial k \bar{a}$. These were later included in $M L$ (s.v. $k l l$; one of them also s.v. śwl). They are:

> məkā al šīs śzwáyl lā 'it's as if she has no equal'8
> yəklēl bīs məkā šiss śawáyl ‘she has no bloody equal!'
> yəklēl bay məkā hē šay ‘I damned well haven't got it!'

As is evident from three of the above seven examples, mə $k \bar{a}$ is an essential part of idioms using the verb yaklēl, the 3 ms subjunctive of the verb kall (see also the comment to text 26:15).

Watson (2012: 337)—who provides a few other examples of makā in con-text-is certainly correct when she suggests that mak $\bar{a}$ derives from negative man plus $y \bar{a} k a \bar{a}$, the 3 ms subjunctive of the verb wīka (§ 13.1.1). Already Johnstone took makā from the verb wīka (ML, s.v. wk ${ }^{c}$ ). Cf. also $m-\bar{a} d<m ə n ~ \bar{a} d$, discussed in § 13.2.5.

### 13.2.7 zōyəd

The word zōyad can have the meaning 'more', as in:

[^138]mən đ̣̄̄r sanēt aw zōyad 'after a year or more' (16:1)
warx wa-zōyad 'a month and more' (42:10)
awtáwġ manhēm zōyadal-fáḳh 'they killed more than half of them' (69:6)

It is also attested as a noun meaning 'surplus' (66:7), and there is a corresponding verb zayūd 'be(come) more; increase (intrans.)' (e.g., 66:8; 84:4).

Most frequently-about twenty times in the texts—zōyad is met in conjunction with a negative particle (or particles), together with which it has the meaning '(not) anymore' or '(not) any longer'. The negative particle is usually $l$ $\bar{a} d$... lā, but twice zōyad occurs with the simpler al ... lā. As discussed in § 13.2.2, the element $l \bar{a}$ is missing in the case of a verb of swearing or promising. Examples are:
l-ād tahfèr zōyad lā 'don't dig anymore!' (19:25)
l-ād akáwdar zōyad lā ‘I couldn't anymore’ (20:12)
l-ād anfōna tīkam zōyad lā 'I won't be of use to you anymore’ (33:6)

$l-a ̄ d$ śīnan tīham zōyad lā 'we didn't see them ever again' (60:8)
al aḳáwdər al-ttáḳk zōyad lā ‘I can’t drink anymore’ (49:10)
daxlūtan l-ād ənnōka anáxalke zōyad 'we'll promise we won't come to your date-palms ever again' (37:5)
hármak l-ād ađ̣áwbar būk zōyad te al-mēt 'I swear I won't nag you anymore until I die' (98:15)

Two similar passages from texts 56:9 and 56:10 show that the negatives al ... zōyad lā and l-ād ... zōyad lā mean essentially the same thing:
l-ād hōh sawbōna tīk zōyad là 'I won't wait for you any longer' (56:9)
wa-kōh al sawbōna tī zōyad lā 'why won't you wait for me any longer?' (56:10)

In just one passage in the texts, zōyad is used in a positive context, in conjunction with $\bar{a} d$, to mean 'again, anymore':
hām ād həwrádk ḥəmōh ðōməh zōyad, ðə-nəwtág̀k 'if you bring (your) animals to this water ever again, we will kill you' (10:9)

### 13.2.8 ábdan

The word ábdan (< Arabic 'abadan) can be used as an exclamation, meaning 'never!', 'no way!', or simply 'no!' (see §12.2.1). There are about three dozen
examples of ábdan used in this way in the texts, e.g., 1:5, 20:41, and 64:3. However, in a couple of cases, it is used in conjunction with a verb in a negative phrase as an adverb 'never; not ever' (a use it also has in Arabic).

> al ahāād yotáyw axáyr man arībēh lā ábdan 'no one ever eats more than his companion' (73:13)
> aráṣk al yaktūṭa lā ábdan ... walākan əššáraf, hām tamm, l-ād yaxlōf lā ábdan '(our) lot will never be cut off ... But honor, if it is finished, is never replaced again' (98:8)

In the first example above, and in the first half of the second example, ábdan follows a verb negated by al ... lā, giving a generic sense 'never'. In the second half of the second example, ábdan is combined with the negative $l-\bar{a} d \ldots l \bar{a}$, giving the sense 'never again'.

### 13.3 Expressing 'have'

As in most other Semitic languages, there is no verb 'have' in Mehri. Instead, the concept is expressed with a periphrastic construction with a preposition. Most often the preposition $k$ - is used, but the prepositions $b$-, $h$-, and $l$ - can also correspond to 'have' in certain contexts. Each of these will be discussed in turn.

### 13.3.1 The Preposition k-

The basic meaning of the preposition $k$ - is 'with', as described in $\S 8.11$. With pronominal suffixes (using the base $\check{s}$-; see $\S 8.23$ for a complete list of forms), it can express the equivalent of 'have'. If the possessor is a noun, a resumptive pronominal suffix must be used with the preposition. Examples are:

```
šay naxlīt 'I have a date-palm' (77:5)
```



```
šīs wōz 'she had a goat' (49:1)
al šīham ḳawt lā 'they had no food' (11:2)
al šīn ḳəráwš lā ‘we didn't have any money’ (91:2)
ġayg šah rïkēb 'a man had a riding-camel' (12:1)
ḥāmáy šīs amōl mēkan 'my mother had a lot of property' (34:4)
sékəni al šīham moṣráwf lā 'my family has no supplies' (18:14)
hōh šay śawr 'I have a plan' (35:8)
hōh al šay ar ðōmah ‘I have only this' (73:5)
```

As the last two examples show, an independent pronoun can be added for emphasis, or simply to make the logical subject explicit. Several of the examples above also show that an overt past tense marker is normally absent from this construction, and so tense must be gleaned from context. This agrees with what was said about copular sentences above (§13.1). There is just one example in the texts where the verb wïka 'be, become' makes the past tense explicit:

```
abōḳi wīka šīsən arḥəmēt 'the remainder (of the goats) had grazing'
    (17:15)
```

In contexts where a subjunctive is required, the verb wīka is also used, though there are just two examples in the texts:
tāḳá’ šūk maws ‘you should have a razor' $(75: 11)^{9}$
lēzəm amnēdəm yāká̉' šah afkəráyyət ðə-ḥənáfah 'man has to have consideration for himself' (98:10)

### 13.3.1.1 Familial Possession

As in Jibbali and other MSA languages, when the object of possession in a 'have construction' is a noun indicating a close family member (father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, wife, brother-in-law), that noun must carry a redundant possessive suffix:
šay ḥabráyti 'I have a daughter' (lit. 'I have my daughter') (48:7)
šah ḥáymah 'he had a brother-in-law' (lit. 'he had his brother-in-law') (64:10)
šah tétəh raháymət 'he had a beautiful wife' (19:1)
hōh šay ḥāmáy āgáwz 'I have an old mother' (65:7)
šah ḥabánhe yabáyt 'he had seven sons' (50:1)
šah śhalīt ag̉áthe 'he had three sisters' (37:7)
šáh ag̈āh. w-ağāh šah ḥabrótzh 'he had a brother. And his brother had a daughter' (85:1)

Note also the phrase nūka bə-ḩəbánhe 'he had [or: bore] sons [lit. his sons]' (12:16). The special treatment of familial terms in connection with possession

[^139]is often found in other languages, for example, in Italian, where one typically says, e.g., la mia faccia 'my face' and la mia vicina 'my neighbor', but mia mamma 'my mother'. The difference in the case of Mehri is that we are dealing not with a possessive phrase of the type 'my mother', 'your brother', but rather with a pseudo-verbal 'have' construction.
13.3.1.2 Physical and Environmental Conditions

The suffixed forms of the preposition $k$ - are also used in a variety of expressions relating to physical or environmental conditions. What in many languages is expressed by an impersonal expression is often expressed in Mehri with a personal one. So, for example, the equivalent of 'it is raining' will vary depending on context; specifically, its expression depends on who is experiencing the rain. If relating one's own experience, one would say šay amawsē 'it's raining (for me)' (e.g., 62:1). But if relating a story in the third person plural, one would say šīhəm amawsē 'it's raining (for them)' (e.g., 35:1). Following are several other examples to illustrate this use of $k$-:
šah ḥark 'it was hot' (lit. 'heat [was] with him') (32:2)
šay aməwsē wa-ḥəbūr 'it was rainy and cold' (lit. 'with me [were] rain and cold') (53:6)
šay agallēt 'there was mist' (or: 'it was misty') (47:4)
šīn ḥārīt 'there was moonlight' (81:1)
hōh šay aktīw 'it was dark' (91:16)
šah awákt 'it was hot (at night)' (91:19)
hām al šissan arīh lā 'if they don't have [or: if there isn't] a hot wind' (27:22)
ḥōm al-gahōm ād šay akāṣam 'I want to go while it's still cool' (94:35)
šzh aġallēt w-amawsē 'it was misty and rainy' (98:1)
nəḥāh šīn aməwse 'we've had rain' (or: 'it's been rainy') (98:3)
šīn śawahēr 'there was a new moon' (82:1)
šīn ḥabūr 'it was cold' (105:1) ${ }^{10}$
As can be seen from the examples above, in some passages we can translate either with an English impersonal construction ('it is/was' or 'there is/was') or with a 'have' construction.

There are sometimes other ways to express environmental conditions. For example, there is a G-Stem verb 'rain' from the root $l s w$, the same root of the

[^140]word mawsē 'rain'. This verb is used twice in the texts, both times impersonally in the feminine singular, $\partial w s u \bar{t}$ 'it rained' $(10: 16 ; 96: 7) .{ }^{11}$ We also find twice the verb šarḥáwm 'have rain', both times in the 3mp perfect šarháam 'they had/got rain' ( $30: 14 ; 44: 14$ ). However, in the texts, the constructions with $k$ - are more common than the verbs.

These expressions of condition, like the 'have' construction discussed above (§13.3.1), lack any explicit reference to tense, which must be gathered from the context. So, just as šah ḳawt can mean 'he has food' or 'he had food', likewise šzh hark can mean 'it is hot' or 'it was hot'.

### 13.3.2 Other Prepositions

The preposition $b$ - can be used to express certain kinds of inalienable possession, in particular those involving parts of the body or bodily conditions (e.g., 'have hair', 'have milk'), states of mind (e.g., 'have patience'), or parts of plants (e.g., 'have leaves'). Literally, $b$ - is being used in these cases like English 'on' or 'in' (see § 8.2), but in English translation the verb 'have' usually works best. Following are some examples:
> bəh śēf mēkən 'he had a lot of hair' (lit. 'on him [was] a lot of hair') (9:8) aġáyg ðōmah al bah féḥal lā 'this man has no penis' (24:36) kūsan harōm əð-báh ḥfūl 'we found a tree that had ripe figs on it' (25:3) ḥāráwn bīsən aśxōf 'the goats have [lit. in them (is)] milk' (31:10) śallōt gawdīl ðว-báh śīwōṭ 'she took a stick that had fire on it' (36:8) al bay katfōf lā ‘I don't have wings' (56:8)
> bah kōni trōh 'it had two horns' (88:7)
> agááy ðōmah al bah ḥaśmēt lā 'this man has no honor [or: respect]' (91:14)

In this same category, we can place the idiom bay ḥássi 'I was conscious' (40:26), literally 'in me (was) my consciousness', and probably also the idiom būk hēśan 'what's (the matter) with you?' ( $48: 5 ; 80: 7$ ).

The preposition $h$ - 'to, for' (§8.8) is translated by 'have' in a few places in the texts. In each case, $h$ - really has a benefactive meaning 'for', but 'have' or 'get' makes for a smoother translation. The relevant passages are:

[^141]hām haṣbāh ṣ̣aḥ̣, hah malyōn ḳarš' if he makes it to morning alive, he'll get [lit. for him is] a million dollars' (36:2)
șarōməh al hūk śc̄ lā 'now you get [or: have] nothing' (36:11; cf. also 32:22)

Finally, in conjunction with $\bar{a} d$ 'still' (plus a pronominal suffix), $l$ - can be used to express 'have', with regards to amount of time remaining. The two attested examples of this are:
$\bar{a} d ə h ~ l i ̄ s ə n ~ f a k ̣ h ~ \partial ə-w a ́ r x ~ ' t h e y ~ s t i l l ~ h a d ~ h a l f ~ a ~ m o n t h ~(l e f t) ' ~(30: 9) ~$
$\bar{a} d ə h ~ l u ̄ k ~ m ə s a ́ y r y ́ s i ̄ l o t ~ y u ̄ m ~ ' y o u ~ s t i l l ~ h a v e ~ a ~ j o u r n e y ~ o f ~ t h r e e ~ d a y s ' ~(37: 16) ~$

### 13.4 Conditionals

There are three conditional particles in Omani Mehri: h $\bar{a} m, \partial \partial$, and $l \bar{u}$, of which hām is by far the most common. These correspond in use superficially to Classical Arabic 'in, 'iða, and law, in that the first two normally indicate real conditionals, while the third normally indicates an unreal conditional. However, the difference in usage between hām and əð does not parallel that of Arabic 'in and ' 'ða. Each of these particles will be discussed in turn. Also treated below is the compound particle te wa-lū 'even if'.

### 13.4.1 hām

Hām (corresponding to hān, hən, or $\bar{a} m$ in Yemeni Mehri dialects) is the particle normally used to introduce a real conditional. It is by far the most common Mehri word for 'if', occurring nearly 200 times in the texts. If hām introduces a verbal clause, the verb or verbs in this clause are nearly always in the perfect tense (remembering that the imperfect of hoom can also function as its perfect; see $\S 7.3$ ); there are about a dozen exceptions in the texts, which are discussed below. If the apodosis of a conditional hām-clause is a verbal clause (which it is in all but about eight cases in the texts), then the verb in the apodosis can be an imperfect (most commonly), a future, an imperative, or (least often) a subjunctive. The hām-clause can precede or follow the main clause, and in a few cases it is even found embedded within the main clause. Some examples of conditional sentences with hām are:
hām raṣáwt, xtyēna tīk' if she consents, we'll circumcize you' (8:2)
hām sayárk, l-ād raddōna līn lā 'if you go, you won't come back to us again' (20:72)
hām țāt gīlu, yasyūr hāl amśanyūtan 'if someone was sick, he would go to the medicine men' (25:17)
hām šīsən arīh, tṣábrən rība yūm 'if they have a hot wind, they do without (water) for four days' (27:22)
hām ḥāráwn nūka ar bo-ḥiṭār, w-əl nūka b-ārōơ lā, shayṭ hiṭtār 'if the goats bear only female kids, and don't bear (any) male kids, kill the female kids' (30:12)
hām al sḥāṭən hah lā, yanákdam līn ḥābū 'if we don't slaughter for him, people will criticize us' (31:11)
hām haṣbāḥ ṣaḥh, hah malyōn k karš 'if he makes it to morning alive, he'll get [lit. for him is] a million dollars' (36:2)
śs̄wōt, hām sē rēḩak, tənōfa 'fire, if it is far away, is useful' (36:28)
yəktalīt bay akabōyal, hām falátk wa-kálak tīk 'the tribes will talk (badly) about me if I run away and leave you' (83:2)
hām al nákak tīk ṣərōmah lā, al ( $t$ )šéṣ́i lā 'if I don’t come back to you now, don't think I'm late' (94:17)
hām hādágəš tah wa-hēt dənyüt, yomūt' if you suckle him and you are pregnant, he will die' (101:19)

There are seven cases in the texts in which hām is directly followed by an imperfect, instead of by a perfect; in each case the reference is to an event taking place at the moment of speech. ${ }^{12}$ There are also five cases in which hām is followed by a future. ${ }^{13}$ When the hām-clause has an imperfect, the apodosis can have an imperative, non-verbal clause, imperfect, or subjunctive. When the $h \bar{a} m$-clause has a future, the apodosis usually has a future or, in one case (37:23), an imperative. Some of the relevant passages are:
hām thámay, kəfēd līn əw-bōh 'if you hear me, come down here to us' (64:29)
hām təġtūri $k$-agənnáwnse, tāśōś lā 'if she is conversing with her jinns, she won't wake up' (65:11)
hām thámay, hōh arībēk, w-ənké əw-bōh 'if you hear me, I am your friend, so come here!' (76:12)
hām al atēm wazyēma tī tētí lā, sḥáyṭi 'if you won't give me my wife, kill me!' (37:23)

[^142]hōh śēmōna lūk, hām hēt maháwf tī házye h-aṣáyrab 'I'll sell it to you if you pay me my goats in the autumn' (39:4)
hām al hēe sīrōna lā, sīrīta tawōli haddōd 'if you won't go, I'll go to the blacksmith' (49:5)

As already noted in $\S 7.3$, if $h \bar{o} m$, followed by a direct object, occurs in the protasis of a conditional sentence, and the dependent verb should be repeated in the apodosis, the verb is used only once, in the subjunctive. Examples of this are:
hām tḥámən, nəślēl 'if you want us to (move), we'll move' (30:3)
hām ar thámki, l-āmərōh'if you really want us both to (sing), let's both sing' (52:6)

Besides this special construction with hōm, and not counting a subjunctive used as a negative imperative (see the example from 94:17, above), there are just eight other cases in which we find a subjunctive in the apodosis of a conditional sentence. Three of these have a real subjunctive meaning, best translated with English 'should':
hībōh l-āmōl, hām hāmárk lay 'what should I do, if you command me?' (20:23)
hām วḥād yaġōrab śí, yวdáwyah 'if anyone knows anything, he should treat him' (65:7)
hām al kask tah lā, hībōh l-āmōl 'if I don't find him, what should I do?' (96:2)

In four others, a subjunctive is used as part of a threat, promise, or oath (on this use of the subjunctive, see § 7.1.10.3):
hām ād hawrádk ḥəmōh ðōməh zōyวd, ðə-nวwtágk 'if you bring (your) animals to this water ever again, we will kill you' (10:9)
hām əḥād mankēm ḳərbáy, ðə-l-əwbádəh'if any of you come near me, I will shoot him' (47:11)
hām ṣarōt walē raddūt lay, l-əwbáds 'she stops or turns back to me, I'll [or: I should] shoot her' (54:18) ${ }^{14}$

[^143]hām nakōt ġallēt ... wa-ftàkk hōh ṣaḥh, al-háðhab nēḥar ðōra' 'if a mist comes ... and I get out alive, I'll flood a wadi with blood' (99:34)

The eighth additional case of a subjunctive in the apodosis is in a poetic text not included in this volume (text 21:11). This passage also contains the only example in which a subjunctive occurs in the protasis, following hām:
hām hayš al-kalēt, l-ənkēś awrēk 'if I were to tell you, I would destroy the papers' (21:11) ${ }^{15}$

Finally, it should be pointed out that there are a few examples where a conditional particle is missing. Each of these are before a form of the verb hōm, and each of these are in the same text (86:1, 86:2, 86:6), which lists conditionals out of context. There are nearly thirty examples where we do find the expected hām before a form of hām (e.g., 4:10; 100:1). These examples from text 86 may, in their original contexts, be part of contrasting conditionals, in which case the lack of a conditional particle is expected (see the end of the next section).

### 13.4.2 әд

The particle дд, perhaps a borrowing of Arabic 'iða, occurs eleven times in the texts. Like hām, əð normally indicates real conditions. However, it seems to be used exclusively to indicate two contrasting conditionals. As with hām, if að precedes a verbal clause, the verb will be in the perfect. Following are all the occurrences of $\partial ð$ in the texts:
əð hē šēmūn, hōh nakōna tīkam ... w-əð hē xazōh, šáxbar mən aḳáṣdəh 'if he agrees, I will come to you ... and if he refuses, ask him his goal' (22:17-18)
 he accepts, he is like you ... but if he kills the woman and the servantgirl, he is a brave man' (22:93-94)
əð hē bah al-hīs aġəyūg, atēm tasḥáyṭ. w-əð hē al bah fēhal lā, hē yasḥōt 'if he has (a penis) like (other) men, you will be killed. And if he doesn't have a penis, he will be killed' (24:39)

[^144]əð hē sḥāt ḥaybátəh, hē yakūn ḥáywal. w-əð hē al sḥāt ḥaybátah lā, hōh akūn kaḥbēt'if he slaughtered his camel, he is crazy. And if he didn't slaughter his camel, I am a whore' (55:9)
əð hē sayūr šay, hē śanyōna tah, w-əð hē badōh, al kawtōna b-awáṣfah lā ‘If he slept with me, he will have seen it, and if he lied, he will not (be able to) give [lit. tell] its description' (55:10)
əð sē kawt̄ūt layš, śalēli kamkēm man đ̣ār ḥərōhš ... wว-sē gəḥdátš, al thēērək kamkēmaš lā 'if she tells you, lift your headcloth off your head ... but (if) she denies you (any knowledge), don't touch your headcloth' (94:42-43)

In this last example, the expected $\partial \partial$ is missing from the second conditional. It may have been assimilated to the following $s$ (i.e., $\partial \partial-s \bar{e}>\partial s-s \bar{e})$. Unfortunately, I found no audio for that text. Regardless, the first $\partial \partial$ still occurs in a context in which there are two contrasting conditionals.

Interestingly, when there are two contrasting conditionals, both of which have the verb hoom in the protasis, then the conditional particle is omitted. There are four sets of examples of this in the texts. In all of the examples, the apodosis has either a form of the imperative antz $k \bar{o} l$ ' 'choose!' or a form of the exclamation b-arāyak 'as you wish'. Two of the examples are:
thaym tháḥənṫəm təh, b-arāykam. wə-tḥáym təklēm tah, b-arāykəm 'if you want to make him break his oath, as you wish. And if you want to leave him alone, as you wish' (31:10)
thōm txxōli, antzḳōl. wa-thōm (t )śxáwwal, antaḳōl 'if you want to get divorced, choose (so). And if you want to remain, choose (so)' (32:25)

As mentioned at the end of the last section (§13.4.1), it is possible that the conditionals in 86:1, 86:2, and 86:6 are contrasting conditionals taken out of context, since we find $h \bar{o} m$ in the protasis of these phrases, with no preceding conditional particle.

As discussed already in § 12.1.6, the particle $\partial ð$ can also be used to conjoin a set of two or more phrases, indicating uncertainty on the part of the speaker. This usage corresponds to English 'either $X$ or $Y$ ' or 'whether it be $X$ or $Y$ '. An example is:
əð wazūm karš, wə-ð wazūm ḳárši troh 'either (a person) gave a dollar or he gave two dollars' (72:3)

### 13.4.3 lū

The particle $l \bar{u}$ introduces an unreal conditional. As with hām and $\partial \partial$, if $l \bar{u}$ is followed by a verbal clause, the verb will usually appear in the perfect. If the apodosis contains a verb, that verb will be in the conditional tense (§ 7.1.4). In fact, this is the only environment in which the conditional tense occurs. The examples found in the texts are:
> lū ād śinək tah, akīrən l-ənféh'if only I saw him again, I would want to be helpful to him' (43:9)
> lūal hē ḥáywal lā, al yasháýṭan haybátah lā 'if he wasn't crazy, he would not have slaughtered his camel' (55:7)
> lū ṣabūran, axáyr hīn 'if only we had been patient, it would have been better for us' (61:7)
> lū al ber lūtag arbōt manin lā, al nawtēg̀an tah lā 'if only he had not already killed four of us, we would not have killed him' (83:7)
> lū zahédk hībōh l-āmēr ḥābū rīhōm 'if only I had known what to say to the nice people' (43:11)
> lū hōh ḳōrək akáwt ... hībōh yāməran ḥābū? āmyēra, "ġayg baxáyl wakáwb!" w-al ahād yāmērən, "l-āzīzfalān'lā!" 'if I had hidden the food ... what would the people say? They will say, "A mean man and a dog!" No one would say, "Oh woe for so-and-so!"' (98:12)

This last two examples are interesting. In text 43:11, there is no apodosis. And in text 98:12, in addition to the actual apodosis that is part of the question, the reply has what can be considered two more apodoses: one with a future tense ( $\bar{a} m y \bar{e} r a$ ), indicating the real outcome (of this hypothetical situation), and one with a conditional form (yāmēran), indicating the unreal outcome.

In just one place in the texts, l $\bar{u}$ introduces an unreal non-past conditional and is followed by an imperfect. The apodosis still contains a conditional tense, though it is a compound tense made up of a conditional form of wīka 'be(come)' plus an imperfect:
lū amnēdam yahháwsab alhān nəkōna tah b-aməstáḳbalah, al a ḥād yākān yoškūf lā 'if man thought about all that will happen [lit. come] to him in his future, no one would sleep' (98:10)

In 98:11, this same passage is repeated nearly verbatim, though with a different verb in the relative clause (wakōna lah for nakōna tah) and the addition of the particle $\partial$ - before the final verb (дд-yวškūf).

### 13.4.4 te wə-lū

The phrase $t \varepsilon w z-l \bar{u}$ means 'even if' (cf. Arabic hattā wa-law). It occurs about ten times in the texts, and it does not govern the use of the conditional tense like the bare particle $l \bar{u}$ does (§13.4.3). Some examples of $t \varepsilon$ wə-lū are:
al ardūd lā, te wa-lū amūt 'I won't go back, even if I'll die' (37:19)
te wa-lū fōnah féra', yahaṣáwbah nōðal, te wa-lū al hē nōðal lā 'even if he was brave before, he becomes a coward, even if he is not a coward' (43:2)
te wa-lū bárah mōyat man agawé', yaṣáwbar al-hīs arībēh 'even if he is dying of hunger, he is patient like his companion' (73:13)
al naḥāh āmyēla bah śl lā, te wa-lū ðə-rīkəb đ̣ār țabráyn 'we won't do anything to him, even if he was riding on a hyena' (82:3)
$\bar{a} k$ á' $^{\prime}$ д-fárḥək, tع wa-lū ðə-mátḥənək 'be (like) you're happy, even if you're sad [or: in trouble]' (90:15)
kāl aḥād yahātūm hāl xáṣməh, te wa-lū Ә-əwtáwg h háybəh wa-ḥəbráh 'everyone spends the night with his enemy, even if (they are) the ones who killed his father and his son' (104:39)

In one case, wa-l $\bar{u}$ (without $t \varepsilon$ ) is used on its own as an exclamation 'even so!' $(89: 33) \cdot{ }^{16}$

### 13.5 Subordination

### 13.5.1 Complement Clauses

The term 'complement clause' is fairly broad and covers a variety of sentence types. For the present purposes, we will distinguish three types of complement clauses, illustrated by the following English sentences:
(a) I want to speak Mehri.
(b) I want you to speak Mehri.
(c) I know (that) you speak Mehri.

Types (a) and (b), containing an infinitive in English, correspond in Mehri to constructions involving a dependent subjunctive verb, as in the following examples:

16 Cf. Arabic wa-law, which can have the same usage.
yaḥōm yoṭāf layš ‘he wants to visit you' (38:16)
al agōōrab l-aġtáyr arbáyyat lā ‘I didn’t know how to speak Arabic’ (34:18)
ḥamk tśné’ attēte 'I want you to see my wife’ (22:41)
ṭalábk tīk taklēt lay 'I ask you to tell me' (20:38)

These types of sentences have been treated already in §7.1.3 and $\S 7 \cdot 3$. Sometimes these subjunctive constructions can be translated with an English type (c) complement clause, instead of with an infinitive. For example, the last sentence above could be translated 'I ask that you tell me'. And, in fact, there are a few places where a subjunctive construction is best translated, or can only be translated, with an English type (c) complement clause. Such cases nearly always involve verbs of thinking, like (дд-) 'źmlək 'I think', ${ }^{17}$ hagūs 'think', $h(\partial) t h u ̄ m ~ ' t h i n k, ~ i m a g i n e, ~ s u s p e c t ', ~ s ̌ h e ̄ w a b ~ ' t h i n k, ~ f i g u r e ', ~ a n d ~ s ̌ a s d u ̄ k / s ̌ a s ̣ d u ̄ k . ~$. 'believe s.o. is telling the truth. ${ }^{18}$ One can sometimes translate with an infinitive, though usually this is awkward. Some examples are:
'öm(la)k tisəən tháǵyəgən 'I think (that) they will give birth' (28:18)
 al nahágsah yasyēr lā 'we didn't think (that) he would go' (89:35)
hōh šaṣdákk lā yāká’ xalūs 'I don't believe (that) it could have gotten lost' (23:3)
agáyg šhēwab téṫวh tāká' ber sīrūt 'the man figured (that) his wife would have already gone' (94:20)
wa-hthámk tah yāká̉ mən xəṣámyən 'and I think (that) he might be from our enemies' (94:42)

On occasion, these verbs of thinking can be followed by a perfect, rather than a subjunctive, as will be discussed in $\S$ 13.5.1.1.

Similarly, the verb yoṣs 'be afraid' is normally followed by a subjunctive, though this construction can only be translated with an English type (c) complement clause. However, the verb yaṣs uses the preposition man before the subordinate clause (see § 7.1.3 for other such verbs), as it normally does before an object (e.g., 47:5; 54:17). Examples are:

[^145]yáṣṣək man aḥād ya‘yénah 'I am afraid (that) someone will look at him with the evil eye' (22:3)
ðд-yáṣṣak mən yaslēl līsən kawb yamōh 'I am afraid (that) a wolf might snatch (one) from them today' (26:9)
yáş̣ək mən aḳənyáwn yวg่ṣābəm tī tah 'I am afraid that the children will snatch it from me' (37:22)
ðə-yáṣṣak tīs mən tháflət mən đ̣áyri h-aṣáyga 'I am afraid (that) she might run away from me to the shelter' (94:16)

The verb yaṣs is sometimes, but not always, followed by an anticipatory pronominal direct object, as in 94:16, above. It is curious that yaṣs in this case takes a direct object, since when not in a complement construction, a pronominal object of yaṣs requires man (e.g., 54:17). See also $\S 13.2 .5$, on the use of yaṣs with the particle $m-\bar{a} d$.
13.5.1.1 Complementizer $\partial-$

Complement clause type (c) involves, in English, an optional complementizer 'that'. In Mehri, the particle $\partial$ - serves as an optional complementizer, but it is not clear if there are rigid rules governing its use. The following verbs are attested in the texts only with the complementizer $\partial$-: áylam 'learn, know,'19 ḥtūm 'be sure', šakráwr 'confess', śhēd 'witness', and šaṣfōh 'find out'. However, it must be stressed that the evidence is so meager (essentially just one example per verb in the texts) that it cannot be taken as proof that these verbs must be followed by the complementizer $\partial$-. Some examples are:
$k e \bar{f}$ 〔̄̄mək ðə-hē đ̣́áyrəh tōmar 'how did you know that it has on it dates?' (23:15)
hōh ðд-ḥtámk ðə-hē agáyg ðд-rīkəb đ̛̣ār tabráyn 'I was sure that the man was riding on a hyena' (82:2)
šakrárk ba-ḥənōfi əð-hōh đ̣alámk 'I confess of myself that I was unjust' (19:24)
təśhīd ðə-hē hārūs ba-falānə ... wə-tśhīd ðə-hē, akfáylas, awkəláy hōh ... ‘do you bear witness that he has married so-and-so? ... And do you bear witness that he, her custodian, has authorized me ...?' (100:4-5)
 she, his beloved, was betrothed' (75:2)

19 As mentioned in the comment to text $23: 15$, the Gb-Stem verb 'áylam (likely from Arabic 'alima) is not included in ML.

The following verbs are never attested in the texts with the complementizer ð-: daxāl 'promise', gazūm 'swear', háylam 'dream', (h)ḥōram 'swear', and hàs 'feel'. Again, the evidence is so slim (one to three examples per verb in the texts) that more data are needed to prove that these verbs cannot ever be followed by the complementizer $\partial$-. Some of these examples are:

```
ḥēmak yallōh xaznēt ðд-ḥáybi ənxāli abátk 'I dreamt last night (that) my
    father's treasure was under your house' (19:17)
daxlūtən l-ād ənnōka anáxalke zōyad 'we'll promise (that) we won't come
    to your date-palms ever again' \((37: 5)^{20}\)
ḥássak țəwyáy ba-ḥalláy 'I felt them come to me [lit. I felt (that) they
    came to me] in the night' (40:22)
hē hēōram al yadūbah 'he swore (that) he would not collect honey' (77:8)
hēm gazáwm al yaṣ́áyṭ bah kaṣ́áyyat 'they swore (that) they wouldn't take
    compensation for it' (89:5)
```

If we compare those passages above in which a complementizer is used and those passages in which it is not, we notice that the complementizer $\partial$ - is always followed by an independent pronoun, in all but one case (19:24), a third-person pronoun. In the examples in which $\partial$ - is absent, there is never an independent pronoun beginning the complement clause. If we are to derive a rule from this, are we to say that $\partial$ - occurs because of the following third-person pronoun, or that the pronoun is used because of the complementizer $\partial$-?

A handful of verbs are attested both with and without a following complementizer. Among these verbs are: $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say', ġarūb 'know', and hankūr 'feel; understand, realize'. For $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{b}$ and $h ə n k \bar{u} r$, the data follow a similar pattern to what was already observed: the complementizer is normally used when the complement clause begins with a third-person independent pronoun, but it is normally absent if the subject of the complement clause is a first- or secondperson pronoun, or if no pronoun is present. Some examples of $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{u} b$ and hankūr with the complementizer are:

```
g̀arábk tah ðə-hē sadáyki máxlaṣ šay ‘I knew that my friend was sincere
    with me’ (18:17)
```

20 We might expect dəxāl 'promise' to take a following subjunctive, as šaw $\bar{\varepsilon} d$ 'promise' does in 32:5, 55:3, and 91:30. The verb daxāl is followed by the imperfect here (and in 68:16) because it is a general promise involving a sustained action (with $l-\bar{a} d ~ . . . ~ z \bar{o} y \partial d ~ ' n e v e r ~ a g a i n '), ~ r a t h e r ~$ than the promise of a one-time activity. Cf. also the imperfect following verbs of swearing, as in the example from 77:8 above.
garūb ağáyg əð-hē barkźh ġəyūr 'the man knew that it had something harmful in it' (24:48)
ġrū̄b ða-hē zarūk a a azēmal 'he knew that he had stabbed only the camel-load' (76:12)
ġəráwb hābū ðə-hē al śī la đ̣ār hamōh, wa-ġəráwb ðว-hē, mət ṭāt yasss,
 was nothing at the water, and they knew that whenever someone is afraid, he'll see anything in front of him that will frighten him' (95:11)


Some examples of $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{b}$ and hənkūr without the complementizer are:
hōh agōrab hambaráwtan yabádyzm lā ā 'I know (that) the boys don't lie' (74:20)
$h e ̄ ~ ð z-g ̆ \partial r u ̄ b ~ a l ~ s ̌ i ̆ h a m ~ a l ~ s ́ c ́ w o ̄ t ̣ ~ w-a l ~ m o ̄ h ~ ' h e ~ k n e w ~(t h a t) ~ t h e y ~ d i d n ' t ~ h a v e ~$ fire or water' (76:11)
hōh ar ber hankárk maháwf tī 'I already suspect (that) you will pay me’ (39:13)

In the examples from 74:20 and 76:11, in which the subordinate clauses have third-person subjects, we might wonder why we do not find $\varnothing$ - plus a thirdperson pronoun. The environment in 76:11 (with a negative existential in the complement clause) is the same as that of the first part of 95:11.

Other questions still remain. For example, compare the following two sentences, which have a very similar structure:
ġəráwb āskēr ðə-hē āwēr báydi 'the officers knew that the blind man was a liar' (46:20)
tēt $\underline{\text { J-agááyg ġərbáts } ð \partial-s e ̄ ~ s a ́ d k ə t ~(s a d a ́ y k z t) ' t h e ~ m a n ' s ~ w i f e ~ k n e w ~ t h a t ~ s h e ~}$ was a friend' (94:46)

In both examples, the verb in the main clause has a nominal subject, and the complement clause is non-verbal. Both mean ' $X$ knew that $Y$ was $Z$ ', where $X$ and $Z$ are nouns and $Y$ is a pronoun. But in 94:46, the verb has an anticipatory object suffix (lit. 'he knew her that she was a friend'). Why do we not find the same suffix in 46:20? The suffix is presumably optional. ${ }^{21}$

[^146] (Davey 2016: 221) and mā ḥad min tuggār is-sūq yi'rafiš inniš bint xāl̄̄ 'none of the market

We find the same variation when the complementizer is absent, in sentences with first- and second-person pronouns. Compare the following two sentences:

```
ag̉árbak hēt bōyar ‘I know (that) you are a trickster’ (lit. 'I know you, you
    are a trickster') (99:26)
śafk taġōrab hōh əṣtáwṭ agáwfi 'you probably know (that) I have pain in
    my chest' (101:10)
```

Why does the verb in 99:26 have a suffix, but not in 101:10? The pronoun immediately follows the verb in both cases. Again, perhaps an object suffix is simply optional, or perhaps it has to do with first- vs. second-person pronouns. More data are needed.

The verbs of thinking or believing discussed above (§13.5.1) are normally followed by a subjunctive if the subordinate clause has a present, future, or past conditional meaning. But if the subordinate clause is a simple past tense, then we find either a perfect (sometimes with $\partial$-, as described in § 7.1.1..2) or a nonverbal clause. In these cases, we sometimes find the complementizer $\partial$-, the appearance of which seems roughly to follow the pattern found with the verb $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{b}$ 'know', outlined above. That is, when $\partial$ - is used, it is followed by a thirdperson pronoun. The verbs 'ámlak 'I think' and hagūs 'think' are often followed by an direct object, which anticipates the subject of the complement clause, as in the examples in §13.5.1. Following are some additional examples:

```
‘ámlak tah ber gahēm 'I think (that) he already left' (94:42)
\(h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ s ̌ a s d i ̄ k ~ ð \partial-h e ̄ ~ s ́ e ̄ r ə k ~ s ̣ a t ̣ k ~ ' t h e ~ p e o p l e ~ b e l i e v e d ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ s ́ e ̄ r o k ~ i s ~ r e a l ~[l i t . ~\)
    true]' (41:9)
əhūgas šxabaráy ar man an‘āl 'I thought he just asked me about the
    sandals' (20:26)
yдhūgas \(т ə \dot{g} f f e s ̣\) đə-ḳáwt 'he thought (that it) was a package of food'
    (91:20)
yahūgas ag̈ı̄gēn ðə-šawkūf 'he thought that the boy was sleeping' (76:12)
```

traders know that you are my cousin' (Davey 2016: 193). In the second example, the verb (yi'rafiš) has a 2 fs object suffix, while in the first example, the verb ( $a^{\prime} r a f$ ) has no such suffix. Incidentally, the use of complementizer дə- plus an independent pronoun is likely a calque of the colloquial Arabic complementizer inna plus a pronominal suffix, as in the above examples.
ahágsah ðə-rīkab đ̣ār țabráyn ‘I thought that he was riding on a hyena’ (or: 'I thought him (to be) riding ...') (82:1)
ahágsəš mən aṣáṭəzš hasṣárš lay ‘I thought (that) you were persuading me truthfully [lit. from your truth]' (94:8)

Now whereas we see some patterns regarding the use of the complementizer $\partial$ - with $\dot{g} \partial r u \bar{b}$ and $h \partial n k \bar{u} r$, and probably also for the various verbs of thinking, the verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'say' is more problematic, since no clear rules govern the use of a following complementizer. All we can say is that it is more often absent than present. Compare the following sentences:

```
mōn āmūr hūk ðə-hōh ōmər 'who told you that I sing?' (52:9)
hámak ḥābū āmáwr hē bərk xədmēt 'I heard people say (that) he was
    employed' (57:6)
āmáwr yośtōm kāl śȳyən 'they said (that) he buys everything' (74:11)
yāməram ðə-sawēḥar tāmōlan h-tabrayēn xtūm 'they say that witches
    make rings for the hyenas' (81:2)
\(\bar{a} m a ́ w r ~ h \partial g u ̄ m ~ ว l-s e ̄ k ə n ~ \partial \varepsilon h ~ ' t h e y ~ s a y ~(t h a t) ~ i t ~ a t t a c k e d ~ t h i s ~ s e t t l e m e n t ' ~\)
    (102:4)
```

In cases where the complementizer is missing, the following clause can probably be considered a direct quotation.

In a couple of cases, both involving the verb šznðūr 'vow, promise' we find a complementizer $\partial$ - used in conjunction with a subjunctive, as in:

```
šənðárk ðว-l-əḳlēk tháķ̣am man hāl thōom 'I promise that I'll let you spend
    the day wherever you want' (33:3)
šanðə́rk ð-əl-háwfək ‘I promise that I'll pay you’ (39:16)
```

This is probably connected with the independent use of the subjunctive as part of a threat, promise, or vow (see § 7.1.10.3). An example of šanðūr followed by a subjunctive without the complementizer can be seen in text 3:3, in which case it is perhaps relevant that the verbs are in the third person, while the examples with $\partial$ - above have first-person verbs.
13.5.1.2 Complementizer $\partial$ - vs. Circumstantial or Relative $\partial$ In § 7.1.10.1 and § 7.1.1o.2, we saw a number of examples of the verbs hūma 'hear', kūsa 'find', and śīni 'see' followed by a circumstantial-marking particle $\partial$-. A representative example is:
əśéniham ðə-yaġtáryam 'I saw them speaking’ (40:24)

Could this not be a complement clause, literally meaning 'I saw (them) that they were speaking'? Perhaps, but we saw in § 13.5.1.1 that the complementizer $\partial$ - is normally followed by a third-person pronoun (or, with $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, by a pronoun or noun). Other examples with these verbs show more clearly that $\partial$ - in such passages is not a complementizer, for example:
kask tīs bork daḥlīl ber ð-ātmēt ‘I found it in a cave already bandaged up
[lit. having been bandaged]' (81:3)

In this passage, if $\partial$ - were a complementizer, rather than a marker of a circumstantial verb, we would expect it to occur earlier in the phrase, or at least before $b \varepsilon r$. But it is safe to say that the various uses of the particle $\partial$ - (relative, complementizing, and circumstantial) are not always totally distinct.

The verbs hūma 'hear', śīni 'see', and hagūs 'think' regularly take a circumstantial phrase in contexts where English has a complement clause. Following are some examples, where a circumstantial phrase in Mehri is best translated with a complement clause in English. Where the particle $\partial$ - is used, it is the construction described in § 7.1.10.2.
hámam bah mahákbal lïhəm 'they heard he was headed towards them' (lit. 'they heard about him heading towards them') (32:6)
śīnək tīn ðə-gáyan 'you saw we were hungry' (73:11)
əśōni āfōr ṭáwla mən aráwram 'I see a cloud has come up from the sea’ $(96: 7)^{22}$

There is one example in the texts of hūma 'hear' followed by a complementizer $\partial$ - (plus a third-person pronoun), perhaps because the subject of the complement clause is a noun plus a relative clause:
hámak ðə-hēm təgēr ðə-bīs đ̣əláwm ḥābū ‘I heard that the merchants
who are in it are unjust to the people' (66:2)

22 The particle $\partial$ - in this example is either surpressed because of the following $t$, or has been assimilated (ot-ṭáwla).

### 13.5.2 Purpose Clauses

Purpose clauses in Mehri can be either marked or unmarked, though unmarked ones are more common in the texts. An unmarked purpose clause simply includes a subjunctive verb. Some examples are:

```
wazmáh mōh yattókk 'he gave him water to drink' (13:9)
ð-ərtəwūg būk (t)tīyan tīk 'they have plotted against you to eat you' (15:17)
kāl t tayt tantakōl gayg tšéfflkəh 'each one should choose a man to marry'
    (15:21)
anké \(2 w\)-bōh l-arṣánk 'come here so I can tie you up!' (24:26)
sayūryaśné' asfōri 'he went to see at the pots' (36:24)
ḥōm l-ərfá’ ag̉áwf al-śné' 'I wanted to climb upstairs to see' (53:3)
ankáyn ba-fand \(\bar{\varepsilon} l\), anṭōm fand \(\bar{\varepsilon} l\) 'he brought us back sweet potatoes, so
    that we could taste sweet potato' (89:11)
āzámi aṣáyg̉at l-ədféns man akáwm 'give me the jewelry so I can hide it
    from the raiding-party' (99:44)
```

A purpose clause can also be introduced by $t \varepsilon$ (cf. Arabic hattā), which is followed by a subjunctive verb in a non-past context or a perfect verb in a past tense context. Examples are:
al hē ġaggīt lā te tāṣōṣ lah 'he is not a girl so that you should be afraid for him' (22:4)
 capture him!' (24:19)
radyōni akēdōr að-barkīham aśxōf, te yotِbīr 'we'll pelt the pots that the milk is in, so that they break' (35:10)
al bay katfōf lā te l-afrēr 'I don't have wings to fly (with)' (56:8)
al hōh ḥāgáwrak lā, tel-ədbéh hūk‘I am not your slave, that I should collect honey for you' (77:4)

The particle l-agare $(\partial-)$, which before a noun means 'for the sake of, on behalf of' (see § 8.22), can also introduce a purpose clause. There is no clear difference between l-agare $(\partial$ - $)$ and $t \varepsilon$ in this function. As expected, the verb of the purpose clause will be in the subjunctive. There are ten such examples with l-agarē ( $\partial-)$ in the texts:
nahōom notِbēr abkárhe te naḳlēhfakáyr l-agarē l-ād yahēras lā 'we should break his cows in order to leave him poor, so that he'll never marry' (6:5; repeated in 6:12)
sháyyṭ hīṭār l-agarē ḥābū yašádərkam man đ̣ār agawé’ 'kill the female kids, so that the people might survive the famine' (30:12)
ftēhi xāšl-agarē ðə-l-ākēb ‘open your mouth so I can come in’ (42:27)
bə'áyli habēr wa-hāráwn yahábyam al-ba'áyli abkāā l-agarē ðə-yəśxáyf 'the camel- and goat-herders come to the cow-herders in order to drink milk' (58:4)
šxəวbarátah ... l-agarē ð-aǵáyg yahmé' 'she questioned him ... so that the man would hear' (63:13)
hē al šah śî lā l-agarē ðə-yaśtōm maṣráwf 'he didn't have anything (with which) to buy supplies' (65:1)
nūka ḳēyōti trayt l-agarē tərḥāṣ́zn 'two spirit-women came to bathe' (68:6)
nəkōt ḥāmáy l-agarē (t)ṣ́áṭi 'my mother came to get me’ (89:31)
yaǵ $\overparen{l ̣ \partial a n ~ t i ̄ k l-a g a r e ̄ ~ t x a l e ̄ s ~ ' t h e y ~ w i l l ~ a n g e r ~ y o u ~ s o ~ t h a t ~ y o u ~ w i l l ~ g o ~ a s t r a y ' ~}$ (90:15)

Of the ten examples of $l$-agarē in a purpose clause, four have the particle $\partial$-following l-agarē (42:27, 58:4, 63:13, and 65:1). However, in three of the remaining six cases (68:6, 89:31, and 90:15), the verb following l-agarē has (or should have) the prefix $t$-, before which the particle $\partial$ - is usually suppressed (see § 7.1.10.1). Therefore, it is not really clear whether l-agarē or l-agare $\bar{\gamma}$-is more common in a purpose clause.

## 13.5•3 Temporal Clauses

There are three main markers of temporal subordination in Omani Mehri, mət, $h \bar{s}$, and $t \varepsilon$. Each of these can be translated by English 'when', though each has its own special functions. That is, the three are usually not interchangeable in meaning. These three particles will be treated in turn.

### 13.5.3.1 mot

The particle mat, which is a reduced form of the interrogative mayt 'when?' (§11.7), ${ }^{23}$ has two basic functions as a marker of temporal subordination. It can refer to an event that has not yet happened (i.e., a future or relative future), or, rather less commonly, it can refer to a habitual action. In either usage, $m \partial t$ is followed by either a verb in the perfect tense (remembering that the imperfect

23 For mat as the reduced (unstressed) form of mayt, we can compare bat, the frozen construct form of bayt 'house’ (§4.6).
of the verb heom can also function as its perfect; see §7.3) or by a non-verbal clause. Some examples of mat referring to an event that has not happened yet are:
mət nūka akáyỵ̣, háwfi 'when the summer comes, pay me' (39:15)
mət shēk ðōməh, awázmək amšēg̀ar 'when you have finished with this, I will give you the other' (55:5)
ðōməh aḡ̄̄gēn waḳōna axáyr manáy mət ākáwr 'this boy will be better than me when he grows up' (76:12)
wazmōna tīkam fand $\bar{\varepsilon} l$ mat nákakam 'T'll give you sweet potatoes when you come back' (89:12)
mət tḥáymi tftēki, skēbi aġayrōrət ð-dərēham 'when you want to leave, pour the bag of money' $(97: 24)$

In six passages in the texts (out of the fifty or so times mot is attested referring to a future event), the combination mat ber in a verbal clause has the meaning 'after'. This use of $b \varepsilon r$ derives from its basic meaning 'already' (see § 12.5.7). A few examples of this are:
háwrad bo-ḥəlláy, mət ber abḳār šawgūś mən đ̣ār ḥəmōh 'take (the camels) down to the water at night, after the cows have come home from the water' (27:6)
mat ber ḳabáwr, yasḥáyt bēr walē rawn 'after they bury (him), they slaughter camels or goats' (54:2)
mət ber śhēdəm aśháwd, yəhวmlūk śéra' ag̉áyg 'after the witnesses have borne witness, the judge gives legal possession to the man' (100:6)

As discussed in $\S 12.5 \cdot 7$, if the temporal clause is non-verbal and has a pronominal subject, then the particle $b \varepsilon r$, which carries no meaning in this case, is required to hold the subject. Examples are:
mat bársan bark ḥamōh, śalēl xaláwkisan 'when they are in the water, pick up their clothes' (37:3)
mət bark ḳaráyb al-ḥāṣən, anfēg b-aśfèt sərūk 'when you are near the castle, throw the hair behind you' (86:9)

Once, we find $b \varepsilon r$ in the main clause, indicating a time prior to the temporal mot-clause, i.e., a future perfect (on the compound future perfect tense, see § 7.1.9):
mət nákan, tāk kāy ber ṭahánš gányat wə-bér mōlaš azayáwrət 'when we get back, you should have already ground the sack (of maize) and filled the jars' (97:7)

As mentioned above, the second basic use of $m \partial t$ is to refer to a habitual action, whether past or present. In these cases, mot can be translated as 'when' or 'whenever'. There are about a dozen such passages in the texts, some of which are:
mət ḥābū śīnam tīs, yāṣáwṣ 'when people see it, they are afraid' (16:2) mat gazōt ḥəyáwm, yašgiśs ḥābū 'when the sun goes down, the people go home' (54:3)
mat gūya, yatáyw man amāray ‘when(ever) he got hungry, he ate from the vegetation' (74:3)
mət ḥaynīt falūk al-ḥiṭār, ahūrək amáws ðə-ḥáybi w-asḥōṭ ḥiṭār 'whenever the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids' (89:3)
mət ṭāt yaṣs, yaśōni kāl śīyan fanw̄̄h ðə-yaffarūk bah 'whenever someone is afraid, he'll see anything in front of him that will frighten him' (95:11)

The particle $m \partial t$ also means 'after' in the idiom mət səwānōt 'after a little while', which can be used in the context of a past narrative. Examples are:

```
mət sawānōt, y\partialhftūk tāt 'after a little while, he would take one off'
    (42:32)
mət s\partialwānōt, y\partialwōka đ̛ār țāṭ mən วl-manāsīr 'after a little while, he
    happened upon one of the Manasir' (104:19)
```

In two passages we find $m ə t+\partial-.{ }^{24}$ In both cases, the particle $\partial$ - comes between $m \partial t$ and a verb. Here, the particle $\partial$ - should be parsed as the verbal prefix $\partial$ (§7.1.10), indicating a continuous or progressive. Normally we would expect $\partial$ + an imperfect to indicate a continuous or progressive, but in one case we find a perfect, since $m \partial t$ requires a following perfect; the other cases have a form of hom, whose imperfect is used in place of the perfect ( $\S 7.3)$. The passages are:

ṭāt yakēb bərk ag̈áyg mət ðə-šarbá’ kərmáym, wə-ṭāt yakēb bərkīh mət towōh aba'yōr 'one (jinn) should go into the man when he is climbing

[^147]the mountain, and one should go into him when he eats the camels' $(37: 25)^{25}$
 'whenever he wanted to sleep with his wife, she would sleep between him and his wife' (46:7)

### 13.5.3.2 $t \varepsilon$

The very common particle $t \varepsilon$ (sometimes $\partial t t \varepsilon ́$ ) has a variety of functions and meanings. It can be used as a preposition 'until' (see § 8.19) and as a subordinating conjunction 'so that', indicating purpose (§13.5.2), but it is most frequently encountered as a marker of temporal subordination. It is used as such in narration of past events, and carries a nuance of sequential action. That is, it has the meaning of 'then when', though a translation 'when' is often sufficient, and sometimes even preferable. Because it has this nuance of 'then', a temporal 'when'-clause with $t \varepsilon$ always precedes the main clause. The temporal conjunction $t \varepsilon$ can be followed by a verb in the perfect or by a non-verbal phrase. Examples from the texts are abundant. Some are:
te wáṣalam, kūsam ḥamōh 'then when they arrived, they found the water' (5:5)
te gazōt ḥayáwm, ḳərōh táwyah bark daḥlīl 'then when the sun went down, he hid his meat in a cave' (13:7)
nūka hēxar ... ts wīṣal hāl aǵáyg wa-ḥəbróh, ṣōrwə-ġalūk b-ag̈īgēn 'an old man came ... When he got to the man and his son, he stood and looked at the boy' (22:8)
$t \varepsilon$ šawkūf, śallūt xaláwkhe 'then when he went to sleep, she took his clothes' (48:26)
tōli kafdōh təwōli ḥōkam. te ankōh, āmūr ḥōkam, "kō tēm ḳəfว́dkəm" 'then they went down to the ruler. When they came, the ruler said, "Why did you come down?"' (74:19)
tє nákak, kask ag̉áyg ð̌h bárəh yạhōm yahwéh 'then when I came, I found this man about to fall' (77:6)
tōli ṣaḥkōt attēt ... tōli gahmōh ... tع karbōh al-sēkan ðə-xəṣámhe, āmūr htétzh 'then the woman laughed ... then they went ... then when they got near the settlement of his enemies, he said to his wife' (94:24)

The verb tawōh might also be preceded here by $\partial$ - underlyingly, in which case it has assimilated to the following $t$ - (see $\S 2.1 .7$ ).
te kaláyni nūka abáyt, ksīs xaláyyat 'then when he came home in the evening, he found it empty' (22:69)
te $k$-sōbaḥ ḳalūb ḥass, šxabīrah ḥābū 'then in the morning when he regained consciousness, the people questioned him' (95:6)

As the last two examples show, we sometimes find an adverb of time in the subordinate clause, in addition to the verb phrase.

A pronominal subject is rarely expressed after $t \varepsilon$. Both mat (§ 13.5.3.1) and $h \bar{s}$ ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ ) can express a following pronominal subject with the help of the particle $b \varepsilon r$, but $t \varepsilon$ must be followed by $h \bar{s} b \varepsilon r$. There are just a few examples of this, including:
> tє hīs bárham ba-ḥōrəm, kūsam ġayg āwēr 'then when they were on the road, they found a blind man' (46:9)
> te hīs béri b-aámk əð-ḥōrəm, ankōt aməwsē 'then when I was in the middle of the journey, the rain came' (47:2)

The same restriction applies when $b \varepsilon r$ is being used in combination with the verb $h \frac{\bar{o}}{} \mathbf{m}$ to mean 'be about to' (see § $7 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ ), or when $b \varepsilon r$ is being used with the subordinator to give the clear sense of 'after' (see § 13.5.3.3):
te hīs bárham yaḥáym yašákfam, ag̉áyg kalōn hftūk amáws 'then when they were about to go to sleep, the groom took out the razor' (75:17)
tє hīs ber aṣályam, śīnəm ḥābū awarḳāt' 'after they had prayed, the people saw the paper' (85:17)

In rare cases, $t \varepsilon$ is combined with $h \bar{c} s$ for no apparent reason, as in:
te hīs wáṣalan báwmah, āmūr 'then when we got here, he said' $(46: 16)^{26}$
In many passages, $t \varepsilon$ is simply followed by an adverb or adverbial phrase. Such adverbs or adverbial phrases are nearly always temporal in nature, like $k$-sōbah 'in the morning', ba-ḥalláy 'at night', kaláyni 'in the evening', nahōrṭayt 'one day', etc. In this case, we could parse $t \varepsilon$ as a temporal conjunction preceding a nonverbal clause, or we can parse $t \varepsilon$ as a simple adverb. For example, a phrase like tع ba-halláy could be read literally as 'then when (it was) at night' or simply as
'then at night', though the latter is preferable as a translation. Some examples from the texts are:
tع man đ̣ār sanēt, sayūr tawōli hááyวs 'then after a year, he went to her father' (9:1)
te ba-ḥalláy habáwr aǵáyg abḳárhe 'then at night the man took out his cows' (15:9)
te nahōr ṭayt nákam tah śātáyt śabōb 'then one day, three young men came to him' (37:7)
te nahōr ṭayt kaláyni, ḳṭ̂ōt abōkar 'then one day in the evening, the camel got tired' (37:17)
te mgं $\bar{o} r a n, ~ h \bar{c} s ~ y a h ̣ o ̄ m ~ y a b \overline{a r}, ~ m a \dot{g} \bar{a} t$ 'then later, when he wanted to leave, he stretched out' (69:4)
te k-sōbəḥ, hīs bīṣar abṣār, kafdōh ḥambaráwtan 'then in the morning, when the dawn broke, the boys got off (the ship)' (74:17)
te b-aámk ðə-ḥōrəm, kūsəm ġayg дə-yasyūr 'then in the middle of the way, they found a man traveling' (70:7)

The last example shows that the adverbial phrase need not be temporal, though it nearly always is.

The adverbial $t \varepsilon$ differs from its near synonym ( $\partial t$ )tōli, in that ( $\partial t) t o t l i$ cannot be directly followed by another adverb. Instead, the conjunction his must intervene, as in:
tōli hīs ba-ḥalláy, hawkáa' soff šah bark śōwōṭ 'then, at night, he put the hairs he had in the fire' $(37: 25)$

In addition to having the meaning '(then) when', the conjunction $t \varepsilon$ is also often found with the meaning 'until', a meaning it also has as a preposition (see §8.19). In this case, the subordinate clause follows the main clause, and the verb following $t \varepsilon$ is a perfect if the reference is to the past, or subjunctive if the reference is to the future. Examples are:

ḥābū g̉aláwk mənhēm te káțtam 'the people looked for them until they got tired' (35:17)
te gazōt ḥayáwm, sayūr agंḡgēn te wīşal xawr 'then when the sun went down, the boy went until he reached the lagoon' (36:6)
sayárk te wáṣalak abáyt 'I went until I got to the house' (62:4)
dáwnak hēt b-ahkáwmət te nankēk 'you take the kingdom until we come
back to you' (20:78)

```
ṣabēri lay te al-háftak abaráwka alyōməh 'wait for me until I take off these
    veils' (42:31)
hármak l-ād aḍáwbar būk zōyad te al-mēt ‘I swear I won’t nag you ever
    again until I die' (98:15)
mən \(\bar{\varepsilon} y\) aytáyl te l-ənk \(\bar{\varepsilon} \check{s}\) 'hold the fox until I get to you!' (99:43)
```

There are some passages in which it is somewhat ambiguous whether $t \varepsilon$ is functioning as 'until' or 'then when'. For example, in text $20: 54$, we find the words bā nəwās səyūr te wīṣal abátวh śxawlūl. Depending on punctuation (in writing) or natural pauses and stress (in speech), this could be translated either ‘Ba Newas went until he reached his house. He stayed’ or 'Ba Newas went. Then when he reached his house, he stayed'. (The audio recording supports the former translation.) One can easily see how these two uses of $t \varepsilon$ overlap syntactically.

Sometimes Mehri uses $t \varepsilon$ (probably based on its meaning 'until') where English would use a simple conjunction 'and', for example:
> hagamūt līs te hamawtáts 'he attacked her and [lit. until] he killed her' (15:13)
> śállam tah te radīw bah mən đ̣ār déhəḳ 'picked him up and threw him from a cliff' (20:53)
> səyūr aṣōyдg ts fath $a b \bar{o} b$ 'the jeweler went and he opened the door' (22:98)
> sayūr te kabūn đ̛̣ār naxlīt 'he went and he hid in a palm-tree' (37:4)
> śallīs te nūka bīs hāl ḥáybah wa-ḥāmáh 'he took her and brought her to his father and his mother' (48:19)
> hวwrūd ḥázhe te harwōh 'he brought his goats to the water and let (them) drink [lit. until he had let (them) drink]' (61:6)

### 13.5.3.3 hīs

The particle hiss can function as a preposition meaning 'like', in which case it is usually found in the compound al-hīs (see §8.10). It is encountered most often, however, as a marker of temporal subordination 'when'. Of the three such markers- $m \partial t, t \varepsilon$, and $h \bar{s}-h \bar{s} s$ is the one that appears most frequently in the texts. Unlike $m a t$, but like $t \varepsilon$, $h \bar{s}$ is used in the context of a past narrative, and a following verb appears in the perfect. But while the $t \varepsilon$-clause (when it means 'when') must precede the main clause, the his-clause can precede, follow, or be embedded within the main clause. And while $t \varepsilon$ carries a sequential nuance 'then when', hīs is simply 'when'. This is not to say that hīs cannot be used in a context where 'then when' is appropriate; it can, and in such cases it is
optionally preceded by the adverb (at)tōli 'then'. Hīs also has other meanings not found with $t \varepsilon$, as will be outlined below. Following are some examples of hīs meaning 'when':

```
`asśś aġáyg hīs hūma aṣáwt ðz-ḥaybūt 'the man got up when he heard the
    sound of the camel' (13:3)
şərōmah, hīs hēm kāl ṭāt waḥsíh, wákam sīhōl 'now, when they were each
    alone, they were easy (to break)' (50:4)
ag̈áyg, hīs šzwkūf, ādūl abīrákh\varepsilon 'the man, when he went to sleep, had
    raised his knees' (69:4)
attōli a\dot{g}āh, hīs hūma aġarōyzh, ġ\partialrbīh'then his brother, when he heard
    his words, recognized him' (74:16)
attōli hīs nūka, hftūk xalōwak 'then, when he came, he took off the
    clothes' (75:7)
hīs hámak tīs ġ\partialtəryōt wəṭákəməh, rōdək b-abaráyk 'when I heard her talk
    like this, I threw the kettle' (89:24)
hīs śanyīn ḥāgūr, yaṣṣ 'when the slave saw us, he got scared' (91:3)
hīs alhāḳan tah, bakōh. hīs bakōh, ġáṣnək mánah 'when we caught up
    to him, he started to cry. When he cried, I felt compassion for him'
    (91:5)
```

As with the other temporal conjunctions, a pronominal subject in a non-verbal $h \bar{s}$-clause is nearly always expressed as a suffix on the particle $b \varepsilon r$, as in:
hīs bárham đ̛̣ār ḥəmōh, nūka aḳáwm 'when they were at the water, the raiding-party came' (10:8)
hīs bárəh ba-ḥวwōdi, hagūm lah kawb 'when he was in the valley, a wolf attacked him' (14A:2)
hīs béri bar ōśar sanáyn, āmárk háybi 'when I was ten years old, I said to my father' (34:7)
hīs bárən b-aámk, ġəbūrən gūr 'when we were on the way [lit. in the middle], we met a slave' (91:2)
hīs bárah rēhảk, kaśś bīn šátวh 'after he was far away, he flashed his buttocks to us' (91:4)

However, there are a few examples of $h \bar{s} s$ followed by an independent pronoun, as in:
hīs hēm fáxra, al ḳadárkam līham lā 'when they were together, you couldn't manage them' (50:4)
 yesterday, when I was alone' (52:15)

In one passage, we twice find $h \bar{\iota} s$ followed by an imperfect (with a suppressed prefix $\partial$-), indicating a past progressive. Hīs can be translated here as 'when' or 'while':
hīs sēn taġtáryan, hanīsən sannáwrət, wa-hamátsan hīs taġtáryan ‘when they were talking, the cat was by them, and she heard them when they were talking' (15:7)

In many, if not most, passages in which hīs means 'when', it can also be translated as 'after' or 'as soon as'. But to make the sense of 'after' or 'as soon as' more explicit, it is combined with the particle ber. Only very rarely does $b \varepsilon r$ take a pronominal suffix in this usage. Some examples are:
$h i ̄ s ~ b \varepsilon r f s$ s̄h, šzwkūf 'after he ate lunch, he fell asleep' (12:4)
hīs ber towīw, ámma aġáyg aðəráy gahēm 'after they had eaten, the strange man went away' (13:11)
$a b k a ̄ a ̄ b a ̄ r ~ h i ̄ s ~ b e r ~ h ̣ a l a ́ w b s a n ~ ' t h e ~ c o w s ~ w e n t ~ a w a y ~ a f t e r ~ t h e y ~ h a d ~ m i l k e d ~$ them' (35:7)
hīs bárham śxəwlīl, ṣākōōt əttēt agāās 'after they sat down, the woman called to her brother' (64:29)
hīs ber təmūт wa-bér kafūd xōṭər, āmūr ag̉áyg дд-ksēh fanōhən 'after he finished (collecting honey) and came down, the man who found it first said' (77:3)
aġáyg mōt hīs ber tamūm kawtétzh 'the man died as soon as he finished his story' (92:6)

The combination mən hīs has the meaning 'since', in the temporal sense of 'from the time when'. Examples are:
mən hīs hábye mōtam, ber śīnək tīhวm xammōh ṭawōr ‘since my parents died, I have already seen them five times' (20:42)
ādi al śīnək hábye lā man hīs mōtam 'I haven’t seen my parents since they died' (20:43)
mən hīs xaláḳək, ādi al kəsk aḥād al-hīs tīhəm lā ‘since I was born, I never met [lit. found] anyone like them' (62:13)

In a few places, $h \bar{\iota} s$ has the meaning 'since', in the causal sense, or 'because':
kállah mank, hīs kálak tah yasyēr mən hanīn 'it's all your fault [lit. it's all from you], since you let him go away from us' (17:7)
hīs hēt habrē ðə-falān, kəlōna tīk tsyēr 'since you are the son of so-and-so, I will let you go' (20:47)
hīs ber bahérš bay, mašēman tīs 'since you have asked me for help, I will obey you' (90:12)

And in a few passages, nearly all in text 99, his functions as a relative 'when', 'at the time when':
kəwt̄ēt man azbōn ḥāwaláy, hīs sáfram, ayt̄áyl wa-káwb w-akáyṣ̊ar waṫəbráyn w-ayวġráyb w-arxamūt 'a story from former times, when the fox, the wolf, the leopard, the hyena, the raven, and the vulture traveled' (99:1)
kawt̄ōna b-abṣōrət ð-aytáyl ... hīs āds adənyē taġtūri 'I'll tell about the cleverness of the fox ... (at the time) when the (whole) world still spoke' (99:2)
kəwt̄ōna bə-kəwt̄ēt ð-al-ḥarsīs mən azbōn ḥāwaláy, fanōhən šárkəh, hīs āds al ankōt lā 'I'll tell you a story of the Ḥarasis from former times, before the Company, before (the time when) they had come' (104:1)
hábys wa-ḥábikam, mən hīs šaxtīn, yāfodəm mən đ̣ār aṣāwər ðayk te aṣāwar ðayk 'my forefathers and your forefathers, from (the time) when they were circumcised, would jump from that rock to that rock' (99:17)

In this final example (99:17), man is functioning the same way as in the idiom man zabōn ḥāwalay 'long ago' in 104:1.

The compound al-hīs, usually met as a preposition meaning like, as' (see $\S 8.10)$, also functions as a subordinator in a few places, for example:
’ámlak al-hīs āmárk háyni ‘I did as you told me’ (20:18)
al-hīs ber āmlōt b-aǵáygas hāawaláy, āmlīta būk waṭákamah 'as she has done to her first husband, thus will she do to you' (22:77)
yaḥsūs al-hīs śı́ đд-yagrérəh xōṭər 'he would feel like something was pulling him down' (77:1)

In one passage, al-hiss as a subordinator has either a causal or temporal meaning. It is perhaps an error for $h \bar{s}$, since al-his ‘like' occurs just two words earlier in the same passage:
hōh aśényah al-hīs ḥáybi, al-hīs hē yanáṣhi man ḥayrēm akamḥ̣ōt 'I see him like my father, since [when?] he advises me against bad ways' (18:18)

### 13.5.3.4 man dēm

Once in the texts we find man $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\varepsilon} m \\ & \text { 'as long as, while', where it is used with }\end{aligned}$ a pronominal suffix. It is clearly connected with Arabic mā dāma 'as long as, while'. The passage is:

```
karbōna tīk lā man dēmək ṣaḥ̣h 'I won't come near you as long as you are
    alive' (99:26)
```


### 13.6 Interrogative Clauses

A declarative is most often made into an interrogative simply by intonation of voice, with no special particle necessary. Representative examples are:

```
\(h \bar{e} t\) дḥtzwēk 'have you gone crazy?' (40:10)
wīka lūk śı ‘has something happened to you?’ (42:7)
bass 'that's it?' (42:53)
tháymi tazámi ‘do you want to give me?’ (48:24)
hámak ahạd āmūrftkōna ‘did you hear somebody say he will [or: would]
    leave?' (57:9)
kóskan ḥābū ‘did you find the people?’ (99:41)
```

Several particles can also be used in conjunction with interrogative clauses. The particle wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ can be used at the beginning of a question, where it means something like 'perhaps'; see further in § 12.5.21. The particle $a r$ at the beginning of an interrogative clause (usually containing an interrogative pronoun or adverb) means something like 'so', 'well', or 'but'; see further in § 12.5.4. On the phrase al $h \tilde{\varepsilon} l \bar{a}$, used as a tag question (like English 'isn't that so?'), see § 11.9.

Interrogative pronouns or adverbs usually come at the beginning of a clause, but they can sometimes come at the end, especially ḥõ 'where?' (§ 11.4). Compare the following examples:

```
a'iśēy hõ 'where is my dinner?' (42:26)
ḥõ a 'iśéy 'where is my dinner?' (42:34)
hēśzn nákak ‘what did you come for?’ (99:36)
thámah hēśan 'what do you want it for?' (97:38)
hībōh āmárk ‘what did you say?' (80:9)
ətēm tāmaram hībōh 'what do you say?' (80:16)
```

See further on the interrogative pronouns and adverbs in Chapter 11.

PART 2
Texts
$\because$

## Johnstone's Texts from Ali Musallam

As described in $\S 1.1$ and $\S 1.5$, Johnstone's primary informant for Mehri, and the source of nearly all of his texts, was Ali Musallam (born ca. 1945), a native speaker from the mountains near Jibjāt (about 3okm northeast of Țaqah). All of the Mehri texts remained unpublished at the time of Johnstone's death in 1983, but Harry Stroomer performed a great service by publishing them in 1999. However, that edition was based on Johnstone's own Roman-letter transcriptions and translations of the texts, rather than the informants own Arabic-letter versions or the audio recordings. Because Johnstone's transcriptions and translations were only rough drafts, and because the grammar of Mehri had not yet been fully described, his own manuscripts contain a large number of errors. Stroomer's printed edition, which follows Johnstone's inconsistent system of transcription, also has many typographical errors. Stroomer often made use of Johnstone's posthumously published Mehri Lexicon, which has an inordinate amount of errors. In short, a new edition of Johnstone's texts is needed. The decision to re-edit the texts is in no way a criticism of Johnstone's or Stroomer's work. It is simply the case that now our understanding of the Mehri language puts us in a much better position to parse the texts accurately.

The texts published in this volume are based on the Arabic-letter manuscripts (the autographs of the author of the texts) and, when available, the audio versions (mainly read by the author from his written copies). One text (106) is based only on an audio recording. There are very many differences between the texts printed herein and those printed in Stroomer (1999), and most of these differences are presented without comment. Many reflect the correction of errors, but others are due to the fact that Johnstone's Roman-letter manuscripts sometimes differ in content from the Arabic-letter originals and the audio. Sometimes, a difference is as minor as the presence or absence of the conjunction wa- 'and', while in other cases words, phrases, or even sentences were added or removed in Johnstone's transcribed version. ${ }^{1}$

The table below shows kinds of manuscripts that are extant for each text (not including some of the unpublished manuscripts), as well as which texts have Jibbali parallels, ${ }^{2}$ which texts have audio versions, and which texts have

[^148]word-lists and translations. All of the manuscripts are in the collection of Johnstone's papers housed at the Durham University Library. Unless otherwise noted, the Mehri texts can be found in Box 6, files A-D, and Johnstone's English translations can be found in Box 6, files E-F. Copies of most of the translations from Box 6 can also be found in Box 1, file F. Some of the translations exist in multiple versions (handwritten and/or typed), but I have not indicated this in the table below. Manuscripts found elsewhere (i.e., in other boxes of Johnstone's papers at Durham) are indicated in the final column.

| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Eng. trans. | Other info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 23 | yes | yes | yes (3) | yes | yes | typed Roman manuscript in 6F; last two lines missing from the Arabic manuscript and audio |
| 2 | none | yes | yes | yes (3) | yes | yes | typed Roman manuscript in 6F; one Roman manuscript includes translation by Johnstone |
| 3 | 22 | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | typed Roman manuscript in 6F; translation in 1 F is incomplete |
| 4 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 5 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | one English translation by Johnstone in 6A |
| 6 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 7 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 8 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 9 | none | yes | no | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |

Musallam was also the author. Some texts are nearly verbatim translations of the Jibbali version or vice versa (e.g., texts $86,89,93$, and 94 ), while others are different versions of the same story, with varying degrees of similarity (e.g., texts $1,3,37$, and 42 ). Three texts ( 97,99 , and 104) also have parallel Ḥarsusi versions, and a few are versions of Yemeni Mehri texts found in the editions of Jahn (1902) and Müller (1907). All parallel versions are noted in the headers to each text.

| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Eng. trans. | Other info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | none | yes | no | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 11 | none | yes | no | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 12 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | first four lines missing from audio; two English translations by Johnstone in 6A |
| 13 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 14 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 14A | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 15 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 16 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 16A | none | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | appended to manuscript of text 16; not in Stroomer |
| 17 | none | yes | yes | yes (3) | yes | yes |  |
| 18 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 19 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 20 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 21 | none | no | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | poem |
| 21A | none | no | yes | no | yes | no | poem; not in Stroomer |
| 22 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 23 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 24 | 17 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | one page of a second Roman manuscript also extant (lines 40end); one English translation by Johnstone in 6A |
| 25 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |


| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Eng. trans. | Other info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 27 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 28 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 29 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 30 | none | yes | yes | yes (3) | yes | yes |  |
| 31 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 32 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 33 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 34 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 35 | none | yes | yes <br> (2) | yes | yes | yes | short word-list included; one audio version cuts off in line 18 |
| 36 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 37 | 30 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 38 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 39 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 40 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 41 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 42 | 54 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 43 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | Roman manuscript has only first five lines; translation only through line 9 |
| 44 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 45 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 46 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | similar in content to Jahn (1902: 117) |


| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Eng. trans. | Other info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 48 | 36 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | translated from Jahn (1902: 7-14) |
| 49 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 50 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 51 | none | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | poem |
| 52 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 53 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | loose English translation by Ali in 6B |
| 54 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 55 | 2 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 56 | 3 | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | a third Roman manuscript (with this text and text J 3 ) is owned by A. Lonnet |
| 56A | 7 | yes | yes | no | yes | no | Arabic manuscript in Box 6A; not in Stroomer |
| 57 | 8 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 58 | 9 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 59 | 34 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 60 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 61 | 20 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 62 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 63 | 33 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 64 | 25 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | partial English translation by Ali in 6C |
| 65 | 18 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |


| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Eng. trans. | Other info |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 67 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 68 | 19 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | based on Müller (1907: 59-63); parallel Jibbali text unpublished |
| 69 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 70 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 71 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 71A | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 72 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 73 | 21 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 74 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 75 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 76 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 77 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 78 | none | no | no |  |  | yes | poem (from Müller?) |
| 79 | none | no | yes |  |  | yes | poem |
| 80 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 81 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 82 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | English translation by Ali in 6A |
| 83 | 83 | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 84 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 85 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | translated from Jahn (1902: 14-21) |


| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman <br> ms | Arabic <br> ms | Eng. <br> trans. | Other info |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 86 | 86 | yes | no | yes (2) | yes | yes | one Roman manuscript includes an <br> English translation by Johnstone |
| 87 | none | no | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes | poem; Roman manuscript includes <br> English translation by Johnstone |
| 88 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 89 | 49 | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 90 | 57 | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | yes |  |
| 91 | none | yes | yes | yes $(2)$ | yes | yes | the second Roman manuscript |
| contains only lines 1-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| M text | J text | Included | Audio | Roman <br> ms | Arabic <br> ms | Eng. <br> trans. | Other info |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 104 | none | yes | no | yes (2) | yes | no | Arabic manuscript in Box 6A; Roman <br> manuscripts from Stroomer |
| 105 | none | yes | yes | no | yes | no | Arabic manuscript in Box 6A; not in <br> Stroomer |
| 106 | 16 | yes | yes | no | no | no | Audio is natural speech; not in <br> Stroomer |

The transcriptions and translations of the texts below are my own, but are necessarily close in many places to those of Johnstone and Stroomer. (Nearly all of the translations in Stroomer's edition are based on Johnstone's manuscript translations, though he sometimes made changes based on ML or Johnstone's manuscript notes.) Four of the texts ( $16 \mathrm{~A}, 56 \mathrm{~A}, 105$, and 106 ) are not included in Stroomer's edition, nor are occasional portions of some other texts (e.g., the last seven lines of text 43). In order to facilitate comparison with Stroomer's edition, I have largely kept the same numbering of lines, though in a handful of places I have altered a line break. An asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ before a text number means that I did not find any audio for that text, and so the transcription is less reliable. In the transcription, a consonant in parentheses (e.g., (t) séməh in text 3:12 or ( $\partial-$-)ṣafōr in text 59:4), unless otherwise noted, indicates that it is not present in the Arabic-letter manuscript and is not pronounced; I include the parenthetical consonant as an aid to comprehension. I have aimed for consistency in transcription, but allow for variation where there exists variation in pronunciation.

## Text 1 (= J23, but a shorter variant): Ba Newas and the Bean

1 w-ámma kวwt̄ēt ðə-bā nวwās, nəhōr ṭayt ðд-yasyūr, wว-šáh dagarīt ṭayt.
2 te kūsa ġaganáwtan ka-mḥalōb wa-thaglūlan dēgar. āmūr hīsan, "ḥōm alxəlēt bïkวn adgaráyti." āmūr aġaggōtan, "adgarótk al tanōfa lā, walākan śxáwwal wa-téh šīn."
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "lā. ḥōm al-xalēt bīkan adgaráyti." āmūr hah, "xalēt.". hawká" adgarótวh bark aḳādər wə-śxəzwlūl atté habhīl. $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h \partial h ~ a g ̇ \partial g a n a ́ w t ə n, ~ " \partial n k \varepsilon ́ ~ \partial w-b o ̄ h . ~ t e h ~ s ̌ i ̄ n . " ~ " ~$ $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "ḥōm adgaráyti." āmūr hah, "wazmūtən tīk alhān thōm." āmūr, "ábdan. ḥōm adgaráyti." wa-bakōh. wazmáh faḳh. āmūr, "ábdan. əndōh adgaráyti." te wazmáh akāādər w-alhān barkīh. hāráwn, yənōka dərhīs, yətַūbər aḳādər ðə-bā nəwās. tōli bakōh bā nəwās. wazáwməh ḳādər, wə-xazōh. āmūr, "ḥōm akēādəri." āmáwr həh ḥābū, "aḳādərək ber ṭībər. wəzyēma tīk kādər ð-axáyr mən akādərək." āmūr, "lā. ḥōm ar aḳādəri." tōli wazáwməh adərhīs. wว-səyūr. attદ́ ərṣáwn adərhásəh mən hāl abḳār thəkṣáwmən, tənōka bək઼rēt, ttūbər adərhīs ðə-bā nəwās.
bakōh bā nəwās. āmáwr hah ḥābū, "wazyēma tīk dərhīs ð-axáyr man adərhásk." āmūr, "lā. ḥōm ar adərháysi." wə-hē ðə-yzbáyk. attōli wazáwməh abḳərēt. məḡōrən səyūr b-abkłərēt, wə-ḳaláys mən hāl habēr. te tabárkan, tanōka yabīt, tt̄ūbar abkarēt.
13 bakōh bā nawās. wazáwmah bakarēt, wa-xazōh. tōli wazáwmah haybīt ðə-tِəbarūt abḳəráth.
 $h a b \bar{\varepsilon} r$. I wonder if the intended text was man hāl habēr tabárkan 'where the camels were kneeling'. Unfortunately, the last two lines of the text (except the first few words of line 12) are missing from both the audio and the Arabic manuscript.
tabárkan: Johnstone transcribed tabárkan, but the form should be tabárkən.

## Translation of Text 1

1 As for the story of Ba Newas, one day he was traveling, and he had one bean.
2 Then he found (some) girls with young camels, and they were boiling beans. He said, "I want to mix my bean with yours [lit. with you]." The girls said, "Your bean won't be of use, but sit and eat with us."
3 He said, "No. I want to mix my bean with yours." They said to him, "Mix (it)." He put his bean into the pot and sat until they cooked (the beans).
4 The girls said to him, "Come here, eat with us!"
5 He said, "I want my bean." They said to him, "We'll give you all that you want." He said, "No, I want my bean." And he cried.
6 They gave him half. He said, "No, give me my bean!" Then they gave him the pot and all that was in it.
7 And he took the pot to where the goats spend the day, and he put down the pot. Then when the goats came, a kid came and broke Ba Newas's pot.
8 Then Ba Newas cried. They gave him a pot, but he refused. He said, "I want my pot."
9 The people said to him, "Your pot has been broken. We'll give you a pot that's better than your pot." He said, "No, I only want my pot." Then they gave him the kid.
10 And he went. Then he tied up his kid where the cows spend the day. A cow came and broke Ba Newas's kid.
11 Ba Newas cried. The people said to him, "We'll give you a kid that is better than your kid." He said, "No, I only want my kid." And he was crying.
12 And then they gave him the cow. Then he went with the cow and left it where the camels were. Then when they knelt, a camel came and broke the cow.
13 Ba Newas cried. They gave him a cow, but he refused. Then they gave him the camel that broke his cow.

## Text 2 (no J): Two Witches

1 w-ámma kəwtēt amšġərēt, fənōhən ašráyn sanáyn, yāmərəm tēti trayt səwēḥər, wa-kāl ṭayt šīs rəmḥāt ð-ðдhēb.
2 tع āṣər ber ḥābū šzwkīf, təwōh ġayg yəšəwkūf.
3 ámma ṭayt ṣərōt, w-ámma ṭayt wakabūt bark amkōn. wa-hāśśźtəh mən šanēt, w-āmərūt hah, "tḥōm tāzémən ḥabrēk antéhah, aw thámən antéhk hēt?"
āmūr ağáyg, "tīyən tī, wa-kəə̄̄n ḥabráy. šay ar hē." tōli ṭānáh. wə-həṣbāh ṣaḥh. wa-ð-g̈arūb haynūt, wa-kzlūt al-ḥābū.
6 w-āmáwr hīsan ḥābū, "hām ətēn nakəəyōt, gazēmən walē šámərtən." āmūr, "ənḥāh məšamərtūtวn walē gazmūtan." ətté mən đ̣ār warx, mōt aġáyg. wa-ḥaynīt sayūr tšámartən. wə-hīs wīṣal, šamrūt, walākan ġáymag. wa-hīs radd, watáwgंsan.
8 wə-mġōrən sawēhar kāl yaṣs, wə-l-ād hagūm l-əhāad lā. wว-šāfīw ḥābū w-akanyáwn.

## Translation of Text 2

1 As for the second story, twenty years ago, they say (there were) two women, witches, and each one had a spear of gold.
2 Then when the people had gone to sleep (one) night, they came to a man who was sleeping.
3 One stood (outside), and one entered the place. And she woke him up from sleep and said to him, "Do you want to give us your son so we can eat him, or do you want us to eat you?"
4 The man said, "Eat me, and leave my son alone. I have only him." Then they stabbed him.
5 And in the morning he was alive. He knew the women, and he told the people.
6 And the people said to them, "If you are innocent, swear or be tried by ordeal." They said, "We will be tried by ordeal or swear."
$7 \quad$ Then after a month, the man died. And the women went to be tried by ordeal. And when they arrived, they were tried by ordeal, but they failed. And when they returned, they killed them.
8 And then all the witches were afraid, and they didn't attack anyone again. And the people and the children recovered.

Text 3 (= J22, but a variant version): The Jewelry Tree
xəṭərāt ġayg ðə-yวsyūr bə-ḥōrəm. əttōli đ̣áyma. tع kūsa fōḳa bərk dəḥlīl, wa-wkūb bark adaḥlīl, wa-ttáḳk te ráywi. $w$-ādəh əś-śxəwlūl, nūka məwsē, wว-tháyw ṣāwər wว-thədūd xāh д-adəḥlūl. w-aġáyg bark adaḥlīl.
yวšanðūr h-arḥəmōn yaháðhวb nēḥวr ðōrə’ wว-nēḥər śxōf, "hām ftakk mən báwmah."
attōli ftkūt aṣāwar, wa-ftūk agंáyg.
wว-səyūr ətté kūsa tēt k-ḥāráwn wว-šīs ṣáyġət. āmūr hīs, "əlháyki! nūka tōgər, wə-ð-yวhádyən ḥaynīt ṣáyġət kāl ð-əl šīs ṣáyġət lā. wə-ndōh mənš aṣáyg̉at. wo-hēt aḳēfi, wa-hōh waḳōna k-ḥāráwn." sīrūt əttēt. wว-hīs ber ġəmsūt, ḥəlūb aġáyg ḥāráwn bark nēḥər ḳənnáwn te ðəhēb. wə-mgंōrən sḥāt ḥāráwn bərk nēḥər ṭāt tع ðəhēb.
wə-ḳáwla téyəh ṣaḥh. wว-mət tēt šวdhəḳáwt, tśōni téyəh ðә-yabárḳa bərk ḥāráwn. wว-ḳáwla kāl wōz đ̄ār hərōm. tōmər tēt, "ḥāráwn ð-āmūk." w-agंáyg səyūr ətté śxวwlūl วl-ḥōrəm. wa-ḳáwla aṣáyġət đ̣ār harōm, wa-ġább ənxāli hərōm. wว-śxวwlūl te nkáyh maḳṭār ašráyn rīkōb, wə-ð̛áyrsan ḳawt wa-ksəwēt. tōli šxəəīrəh, āmáwr həh, "kō hēt báwməh?" āmūr, "ðə-ḥəgárk harōm ðōmah. kāl sabū"yวhəṣáwbəḥ maḥámmal ṣáyg̉at."

5 alháyḳi: The verb alhāḳ here (fs imperative alháyḳi) must mean something like 'hurry' or 'run', meanings not listed in ML (s.v. lhẹ), but not that far off from the attested meaning 'catch up' (e.g., 48:22; 69:5; 76:10, 16). The same meanings are attested for the Jibbali cognate ( $J L O$, p. 666). wa-káwla: At the beginning of line 7, the Roman manuscripts have wamūla 'and he filled' (transcribed $u$ moळla' in the earlier manuscript) before wa-káwla, but this is not on the audio or in the Arabic manuscript. wa-mət: The Roman manuscripts have wa-his, against the audio and the Arabic manuscript.
8 tōmar tēt, "hāráwn ð-āmūk": This whole phrase is missing from the Roman manuscripts, and there is no corresponding English phrase in Johnstone's manuscript translation. Stroomer, who very rarely included anything not in one of Johnstone's Roman-letter manuscripts, has here tōmar tēt, "ḥāráwn śanūk", either based on the audio or another manuscript that I

## Translation of Text 3

1 Once a man was traveling on the road. Then he got thirsty. Then he found a pool of water in a cave, and he entered the cave, and he drank until he was satisfied.
2 While he was sitting (in the cave), rain came, and a rock fell onto the mouth of the cave. And the man was in the cave.
3 He vowed to God to flood (one) wadi with blood and (one) wadi with milk, "if I get out from here."
4 Then the rock fell away, and the man got out.
5 And he went on, and then he found a woman with the goats, and she had jewelry. He said to her, "Hurry [or: run]! A rich man has come, and he is giving out jewelry to women, whoever doesn't have any jewelry. Give me your jewelry [lit. the jewelry from you]. You go, I will stay with the goats!"
6 The woman went. And after she had disappeared, the man milked the goats in a small wadi until it flooded. And then he slaughtered the goats in (another) wadi until it flooded.
7 And he left a male goat alive. And when the woman looked down, she saw the male goat running among the goats. And he left each goat on a tree.
8 The women said, "The goats are pasturing." And the man went and sat by the road. And he left the jewelry on a tree, and he defecated under the tree.
9 And he sat until a caravan of twenty riding-camels came to him, and on them were food and clothes.
10 Then they asked him, they said to him, "Why are you here?"
11 He said, "I have been guarding this tree. Every week it becomes loaded with jewelry."
have not seen. The Arabic manuscript and audio do include this phrase, and the final word is clearly $ð-\bar{a} m \bar{u} k$ (written اذاموق). The verb $\bar{a} m \bar{u} k$, here a 3 fp perfect, is not in $M L$. It means 'pasture in the valley', as confirmed by an informant. Related verbs are attested in Jibbali (Bittner 1917b: 16) and Soqoṭri (Leslau 1938: 315). The verb preserves the original meaning of the noun *'amk (cf. Hebrew 'emeq 'valley'), which has come to mean only 'middle' in the MSA languages.

12

13
3 ənxāhe, l-ād yāmōl ṣáyġət lā."
14 āmáwr, "yદ́ye." səyūr aġáyg k-arīkōb təwōli sćkənəh. w-aġəyūg śxəwl̄̄l ənxāli hərōm. tє nūka sabūं, hวṣbāḥ xaláy harōm.
15 sayáwr tawōli aġáyg. āmáwr hah, "hēt bōdak bīn. harōm al haṣbāḥ đ̣áyrah śī lā."
 səyáwr. atté wáṣalam hərōm, ġaláwk te kūsəm aj̇ōb ənxāli hərōm.
17 āmūr aġáyg, "al ber āmárk hīkəm lā, 'ḥəðð̄̄r mən aḥād yว̇gbēb anxāli horōm'?"
tōli gátəwsəm aġəyūg ðว-śátməm hวrōm. kāl ṭāṭ āmūr, "hēt ðд-ġábbək nəxāhe."
19 attōli țátānəm bə-janōbi tع láttə ġəm. wว-səyūr ajgáyg w-acyīt ḥābū. āmūr, "த̈əyūg дə-láttəġəm báwməh, w-əl wádak mən đ̄ār hēśan lā."
əð-ð̄ār: The Arabic manuscript has just $\not \subset a \bar{r}$, but the expected relative pronoun is heard on the audio. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed $a \not \partial \bar{a} r$.

12 They said to him, "Do you want to sell it?" He said, "No." They said, "We'll give you our riding-camels and all that is on them. And take the jewelry on the tree, and we'll guard the tree."
13 He said, "Ok. But be careful that no one defecates under it. If anyone defecates under it, it won't make jewelry anymore."
14 They said, "Ok." The men went with the riding-camels to his settlement. And the men stayed under the tree. Then when a week came, the tree was empty.
15 They went to the man. They said to him, "You lied to us. The tree, nothing appeared on it."
16 He said, "It's because one of you defecated under it." He said, "Let's go see!" They went. Then when they reached the tree, they looked until they found the excrement under the tree.
17 The man said, "Didn't I already tell you, 'Be careful that no one defecates under the tree'?"
18 Then the men that bought the tree argued. Each one said, "You are the one who defecated under it!"
19 And then they stabbed each other with daggers until they killed one another. And the man went and called out to the people, he said, "Men have killed each other here, and I don't know over what."
20 And the people came and buried the men. And the trick of the cunning man is finished.

## Text 4 (no J): Ba Newas and the Merchants

1 w-ámma kəwt̄ēt țayt ðə-bā nəwās, šah téţah wว-šīham rawn. wว-yaswōl waḳōna ašráyn alf man hāl tagēr. te āṣar ṭād nákam tah trōh man attagēr yasïləh ašráyn alf karš.
amárḥab bīhəm wa-hənṣ́wf hīham. wว-sḥāt wōz mən hāl attəgēr yaśényam tah lā.
 aġəyūg aṣ́l̃fōn.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ hīham bā nawās, "házyan xțəmūtan, w-al šay hīkam masḥəṭáwt lā ar tēti."
 lā." ba-bəyōrat, wa-nṭirūr aðōrə' man akîirōd ðə-ḥōz.
7 āmáwr hah agंəyūg, "hēt al hēt maxlīk. lā! hēt ar baláys!" wə-ṣōrəm ag̉əyūg ðа-yabákyam man tēt.
attōli āmūr hīham bā nawās, "tabkīyam lā! thaym attēt tardēd ṣaḥháyt?" āmáwr həh, "mōn yaḳáwdər yardéds ṣaḥḥáyt ġayr arḥamōn?"
āmūr hīhəm, "nákam tī maláykət wa-wzáwmi askáyn ðōməh." wa-hənfēx bah wa-sabūt t bah tēt ṭáwri trōh. tōli htorkōt. tōli āśśūt.
āmáwr hah aġayūg, "thōm tśōm līn askáyn ðōmah?" āmūr hīham, "ḥōm lā." āmáwr hah, "hām tḥōm tazémən askáyn ðōməh, mabaryūtən tīk mən ašráyn alf." āmūr, "hōm lā."
tōli wazáwmah ašráyn alf w-abáryam tah man ašráyn alf. walākan āmūr hīhəm, "hām sḥáṭəəm bəh w-əl ətēm țīhōr lā, yənōfa lā." wa-kəfdōh tagēr h-arḥabēt wa-šh̄ham askáyn.
tع anhōr ð-agamātftawk ḥābū mən aməsgēd. wə-šīhi ag̉áwihi wa-yamnōh ṭāṭ wə-sḥəṭáyah, wə-mənōh amšēg̉ar wə-sḥttáyəh. nákam ḥābū ðə-yáyịṭən. āmáwr, "ag̉áwgi əḥtəwalōh, sḥāṭəm aǵáwihi."
$1 \quad$ wa-yaswōl: The audio has wa-yaswīl, but the manuscripts have wa-yaswōl. The forms are, respectively, the 3 mp and 3 ms imperfect G passives of the root $s^{\prime} l$.
$l$-ashooṭ: The Roman manuscripts have the ics subjunctive l-ashōọ here, which is what we expect. The audio has the future shoṭona, which is grammatically acceptable (cf. text $63: 6$ ), but less suited to the context. The Arabic manuscript has the ungrammatical al-shaṭōna, which was no doubt simply an error on Ali's part.

## Translation of Text 4

1 And as for one story of Ba Newas: He had a wife, and they had goats. And he owed about twenty thousand to (some) merchants. Then one night, two of the merchants came to him to collect twenty thousand dollars from him.
2 He welcomed them and spread out (a carpet) for them. And he slaughtered a goat where the merchants couldn't see him.
3 And he filled the gullet and windpipe with the blood of the goat, and he tied them to his wife's neck. And they went back to the guests.
4 Ba Newas said to them, "Our goats are sickly, and I have no slaughteranimal for you except my wife."
5 The men said to him, "No one slaughters anyone, and we don't want a slaughter-animal."
6 He said, "I must slaughter for you." And he got up and slaughtered his wife. The woman pretended to fall down, and the blood flowed out of the gullet and windpipe of the goat.
7 The men said to him, "You are not a human being! You are just the devil!" And the men stood crying over the woman.
8 And then Ba Newas said to them, "Don't cry! Do you want the woman to come back alive?" They said to him, "Who can bring her back alive but God?"
9 He said to them, "Angels came to me and gave me this knife." And he blew on it and hit the woman with it two times. Then she moved. Then she got up.
10 The men said to him, "Do you want to sell us this knife?" He said to them, "I don't want to." They said to him, "If you want to give us this knife, we will release you of the twenty thousand." He said, "I don't want to."
11 Then they gave him twenty thousand, and released him from the (other) twenty thousand. But he said to them, "If you slaughter with it and you are not pure, it won't be of use."
12 And the merchants went town to the town, and they had the knife.
13 Then on Friday, the people were coming out of the mosque. And they had their brothers with them, and they grabbed one and slaughtered him, and grabbed the second and slaughtered him.
14 The people came crying. They said, "The two men have gone crazy. They slaughtered their brothers."

15 attōli āmáwr hīhวm, "naḥāh al aḥtawōlan lā. tháymham yāśéśam?" āmáwr ḥābū, "nzḥámham yāśéśam."
16 hanfxōh te āgáwz. al aḥād 'aśślā.
17 attōli āmərōh hə-ṭāṭīdáyhi, "hēt angáys!" āmūr amšēg̀ər, "hēt əngáys!" attōli tátānəm ba-janōbi w-alttağōh. wa-tวmmōt kวwtēt ðว-bā nəwās.

15 Then they said to them, "We haven't gone crazy. Do you want them to wake up?" The people said, "We want them to wake up."
16 They blew until they were unable. No one woke up.
17 And then they said to one another, "You are impure!" The second one said, "You are impure!" Then they stabbed each other with daggers and killed each other. And the story of Ba Newas is finished.

## Text 5 (no J): The Slave and His Mistress

1 xaṭərāt țayt tēt wa-ḥāgáwrəs ðə-yasīrōh.
2 wa-hāgūr ber ḳaōh mōh məkōn ṭāṭ wə-táywi makōn ṭāṭ wə-tōmər makōn tāt.
wə-səyáwr. tع ḳ́rbam, hámam yдġráyb ðว-yōmər, "ğã, ğã!" tōli ṣ́ạ̣āk ḥāgūr.
4 āmarūt hah abālátəh, "mən hēśan taṣ́hōk?" āmūr, "hámaš ayag̉ráyb hēśan дд-yо̄тәr?" āmərūt, "hїbōh дә-yōmar?" kūsəm ḥəmōh.
6 wə-sayáwr. tōli hámam ayaġráyb.
7 āmūr ḥāgūr, "ayaġráyb āmūr, ‘ḥəláwk táywi ðə-ḳəráyb!" sīrōh. te wáṣəlวm, kūsam táywi. wa-sīrōh. tōli hámam ayaġráyb.
8
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ ḥāgūr, "āmūr ayag̉ráyb, 'ḥaláwk tōmər.'" sīrōh. te wáṣalam, kūsam tōmar.
9 wa-sīrōh te məkōn țāṭ, hámam ayəg̉ráyb. bəkōh hāāūr.
10 āmərūt abālótəh, "kō hēt tabáyk?" āmūr ḥāgūr, "kalēy l-əbkéh!" āmərūt hah, "kalētِ lay!" āmūr, "əkáwdər lā. ðōməh śī faṣ́hāt lay." wa-hāāūr bōyar, wa-ttēt thágsah aṣáṭah.
tōli āmūr ḥāgūr, "āmūr háyni ayaġráyb, 'hām al sayárk k-abālátk lā, təmūt."
tōli āmərūt hah, "nəké əw-bōh, syēr šay." wa-ráddəm təwōli sēkan.
te gēhaməh aġáyg ðə-ttēēt śxəwlūl. āmūr ḥāgūr, "abālayti, ḥōm วl-h̄̄s yamšīh."
āmərūt hah, "hēśan al-hīs yamšīh?" āmūr, "hōm al-syēr šayš." yāśúś ag̉áyg ðə-ttēt, yaślūl škáy, wa-yalūtəg h hāgūr wa-ttēt. wa-təmmōt kəwtēt Ә-agáwgi.

3 sayáwr: The audio has the dual form sīrōh, but the manuscripts have 3mp sayáwr.
aṣáṭəzh: Although Johnstone always transcribed this word ṣadk (which is etymologically correct), both in his manuscripts and in $M L$ (s.v. ṣdk), Ali consistently (for all twenty occurrences in the texts) wrote and read satt.k. səyárk: The Roman manuscripts have nəyákək here, from the verb nəyūk 'have intercourse with', but the Arabic manuscript and audio have səyárk. Likewise, in line 16, the Roman manuscripts have al-nákš (cf. 99:46) in place of al-syēr šayš.
ð-agáwgi: The Roman manuscripts have instead ðд-ḥāgūr 'of the slave'.

## Translation of Text 5

1 Once a woman and her slave were traveling.
2 And the slave had already hidden water in one place, meat in one place, and dates in one place.
3 And they went. When they got close, they heard a crow saying, "Ca, ca!" Then the slave laughed.
4 His mistress said to him, "What are you laughing at?" He said, "Did you hear what the crow was saying?" She said, "What was it saying?"
5 He said, "It was saying, 'In such-and-such a place is water'." The mistress laughed. Then when they arrived, they found the water.
6 And they went on. Then they heard the crow.
7 The slave said, "The crow said, "There is meat is there, close by'". They went. When they arrived, they found the meat. And they went on. Then they heard the crow.
8 The slave said, "The crow said, 'There are dates there'." They went. When they arrived, they found the dates.
9 And they went on to a certain place. They heard the crow. The slave cried.
10 His mistress said, "Why are you crying?" The slave said, "Let me cry!"
11 She said to him, "Tell me!" He said, "I can't. This is an embarrassment for me."
12 And the slave was a liar, but the woman thought he was being truthful.
13 Then the slave said, "The crow said to me, 'If you don't sleep [lit. go] with your mistress, you will die'."
14 Then she said to him, "Come here, go with me." And they returned to the settlement.
15 Then the next day the woman's husband was sitting. The slave said, "My mistress, I want like yesterday."
16 She said to him, "What like yesterday?" He said, "I want to sleep [lit. go] with you."
17 The woman's husband got up, took out a sword, and killed the slave and the woman. And the story of the two people is finished.

## Text 6 (no J): The Jinn Cat

xəṭərāt sēkən, bəááli abḳār. ḳənīw sənnáwrot.
walākan sənnáwrət sáḥrət, al sē sənnáwrət lā. ar taṣ́hōr ḥənáfs sannáwrət. te nahōr ṭayt aḳtəwbōt tēt, wa-rəkbōt ḍār țabráyn ba-halláy wa-bārōt xawr. tع waṣalōt, ksūt ḥāmē ð-aǵáyg bāl abkāar w-ag̉átəh taktəlūtən. $w$-āmūr, "naḥōm națbēr abḳárhe te naḳlēh fakáyr l-agarē l-ād yahēras lā." āmarūt ag̉átzh, "hūbōh śawr?"
āmərūt ḥāmáh, "kaláwtan ṭayt man tabrayényan bark daḥlīl, wa-mət gazōt ḥวyáwm, tsyēr təwōli abkār wə-ttéh ṭayt l-ādēd ð-āṣáwr.
walākan hām aġáyg kūsa əttabráyn wə-wətġáys, təmōtən abḳáryan nəḥāh."
ðōməh aġərōy ðə-ḥaynīt, wə-sənnáwrət thámasən. walākan sē ðə-ḳtəwbōt tēt sáhrot.
te ba-halláy sīrūt sannáwrot tawōli ag̉áyg bark amkōnəh, wə-sē tēț. wəhāśśว́tzh man šanēt w-āmərūt həh, "akōfi təwōli adaḥlīl ðēk. ksōna tabrá́yn barkēh. wa-wbáds ba-məndáwk wə-wtágs!"
āmūr ag̉áyg, "hēśan hēt man tēt?" āmarūt, "hōh sannáwrat əð-kōnək tī, walākan hōh al hōh sannáwrat lā.
hōh tēt sáḥrət. wə-hámak ḥāmēk w-ag̉átk tāmərən, 'nəḥōm əntéh abḳār ð-ağáyg wa-nəklēhfaḳáyr l-agarē l-ād yahēras lā.'
wa-ð-āmūr h-tַabráyn tsyēr bark adaḥlïl wa-kāl āṣər attéh bakaəēt man abkárke."
 wa-hīs gazōt ḥəyáwm, țabarūt abḳərēt ðд-ḥāmáh. w-āmūr hīsan, "hōh béri ġarábk tīkan." wa-hārūs ba-tēt, wa-bagūd ḥāmáh w-ağátzh.
sáhrət: Ali missed the word sáhrət on the audio, but it is in the manuscripts.
$h \bar{a} m \bar{e}$ : The audio has instead $t \bar{e} \underline{t}$ 'wife'. The Arabic manuscript also has tēt, but ḥāmē was added above it as a correction.
nəḥōm: Everything following naḥōm in lines 12 and 13 is quite different in the Roman manuscripts than in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio. The version from the Arabic manuscript and audio is given here, while Stroomer, as always, followed the Roman manuscripts. Lines 14-16 are totally absent from the Arabic manuscript and the audio.

## Translation of Text 6

1 Once there was a community, cow-herders. They raised a cat.
2 But the cat was a witch, it wasn't a cat. She just showed herself as a cat.
3 Then one day she changed into a woman, and she rode on a hyena at night and came to a lagoon.
4 When she arrived, she found the mother of the man, the cow-herder, and his sister chatting.
5 And they said, "We should break his cows in order to leave him poor, so that he'll never marry."
6 His sister said, "What's the plan?"
7 His mother said, "We'll leave one of our hyenas in a cave, and when the sun goes down, it should go to the cows and eat one each night.
8 But if the man finds the hyena and kills it, our own cows will die."
9 This was the talk of the women, and the cat heard them. But she changed into a witch.
10 Then in the evening, the cat went to the man in his place, and she was a woman. And she woke him up from sleep and said to him, "Go to that cave. You'll find a hyena in it. Shoot it with a rifle and kill it!"
11 The man said, "What kind of woman are you?" She said, "I am the cat that you raised, but I am not a cat.
12 I am a witch. And I heard your mother and your sister saying, 'We should eat the man's cows and leave him poor, so that he won't ever get married'.
13 And they said to the hyena that it should go into the cave and every night eat a cow from your cows."
14 The man went and found the hyena and broke one of its legs.
15 And when the sun went down, it broke his mother's cow.
16 And he said to them, "I know about you." And he married a woman, and chased away his mother and his sister.

## *Text 7 (no J): Magic

1 sēḥar bah mēkən đ̛ərūr, xāṣ ha-ḳənyáwn.
2 naḥāh hanīn nātzḳáydan bə-sēḥər, wə-nāṣáṣah axáyr mən kāl śī. wว-hənīn anáḥs. hām ṭaṭ šah mōl mēkən aw ḥabūn mēkən, yāṣōṣ mən sawēḥər lánhən ttáwyon aḳənyáwn wə-tḥáśrən amōl, wə-xāṣ $b-a k ̣ \bar{a}$ ð-amharéh wa-ṣáfūr.
4 wa-hām tēt bərwōt, thənūdax ba-śéḥaz ð-amġərāt. wว-yāmərəm hām sēḥar đ̣áywa śēhəz, l-ād yznōka lā. wə-ðōməh yātzḳáydən bəh ba'áyli agabēl axáyr, wə-balá šakk sēḥər mēkən ba-ṣāfūr.

7 wa-ba-ṣáfür xawr hámməh arīri. yāmərəm tkūnən đ̣áyrəh səwēḥər ba-ḥalláy, w-axáyr āṣar ð-agamāt.
ðо̄məh man ātakāāhวm ðə-sẹḥar.
9 wa-hām aḥād gīlu, yāmarəm masḥáyr wa-yaśśényam hah hāl amoṭawwo'áyn.

2 śç: While the Arabic manuscript has śl̃, the Roman manuscript has śı̄ən (= śřyzn), suggesting a possible later correction.
3 lánhan: This is an Arabic particle, with an Arabic 3fp suffix. The form lánhan is transcribed in the Roman manuscript, but the form in the Arabic manuscript, apparently الان اول, is very difficult to interpret.
3 ttáwyan: While the Roman manuscript has the 3 fp form tawyan (= ttáwyan), which fits the context following the Arabic particle lánhən, the Arabic manuscript has the 3 mp imperfect yatáyw. The 3 mp yatáyw was added above tawyan in the margin of the Roman manuscript, and a superscript $t$ was added to the beginning of tawyan.
3 tháśrən: Where the Roman manuscript has the 3 fp imperfect tháśran, the Arabic manuscript has ḥáwśar (حاوشر), which perhaps was a mistake for 3 ms imperfect yaháwśar.
balá šakk: This is Arabic.

amoṭawwa'áyn: This must be from Arabic muṭawwi' or muṭāwi'. The translation 'healer' comes from Johnstone's own manuscript translations (found in Box 6F).

## Translation of Text 7

1 Magic has much harm in it, especially for children.
2 We, in our region, believe in magic, and we fear it more than anything.
3 And we have ill-fortune. If one has a lot of property or many children, he is afraid of witches that they will eat the children and destroy the property, especially so in the land of the Mahra and Dhofar.
4 And if a woman gives birth, she fumigates with frankincense resin. And they say if a wizard smells the frankincense, he won't come.
5 And this the inhabitants of the mountains believe in more, and without a doubt there is much magic in Dhofar.
6 And the people fear it more than (anything) in the world.
$7 \quad$ And in Dhofar there is a lagoon whose name is (Khawr) Rawri. They say that there are witches by it at night, and especially on Thursday night.
8 This is some of their belief in magic.
9 And if someone is sick, they say (he is) bewitched and they get a diagnosis for him from the healer.

## *Text 8 (no J): Circumcision


2 tōli āmūr hááybah, "ḥōm al-šáxtan." āmūr, "šáxbar ḥāmēk. hām raṣáwt, $x t y e ̄ n a ~ t i ̄ k$."
3 tōli šxəbūr ḥāmēk wə-rṣ́áwt wə-nákam ba-ġáyg ðə-yəkhōlyaxtēn.
4 tōli raḥạṣ́wa-ṣárr ag̉əyz̄gēn wa-kaláyh səwānōt wว-xtənīh. wa-háybəh ḳəfūd arḥabēt wa-nkáyh ba-məṣráwf, walākan ag̈ı̄gēn yahəṣ́ráwb wa-yabáyk man aṣəṭáyṭ. ḳənnáwn w-ādək al hēt məhērəs lā."
rṣ́áwt: If the 3 ms perfect is the Gb-Stem ráyṣ̣i, as in $M L$ (s.v. rṣ́y), then we expect a 3 fs perfect raṣ́yōt, both in this line and the next. The 3 fs form raṣ́áwt follows the pattern used for the Ga-Stem (cf. bakūt 'she cried'), and it is noteworthy that $M L$ (s.v. rṣ́y) lists an imperfect yaráyṣ́, also of the Ga-type, rather than a Gb-type imperfect yarōṣí. Johnstone transcribed a final -ṣ́áwt both lines, while the Arabic manuscript has رضوت in line 2 (for raṣ́áwt or raṣōt), but رضيوت in line 3 (presumably for raṣ́yōt). Unfortunately, I did not find audio for this text. See also §7.2.10, notes 76,77 , and 80 .
tōli raḥaṣ̂́: This phrase is absent from the Arabic manuscript.
məsəwmūt: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'slaughtered animal for party'. ML (s.v. slm) has 'animal slaughtered for votive purposes'.

## Translation of Text 8

1 Once there was a boy who was eight years old [lit. his age was eight years].
2 Then he said to his father, "I want to get circumcised." He said, "Ask your mother. If she consents, we'll circumcise you."
3 Then he asked his mother and she consented, and they brought a man who was able to circumcise.
4 Then he washed and tied the boy's foreskin, and left him for a little while and (then) circumcised him.
5 And they made a party. His sister and mother danced, and they slaughtered a sacrificial animal.
$6 \quad$ And he was sick for forty days. His mother stayed awake over him and treated him.
$7 \quad$ And his father went down to the town and brought him supplies, but the boy was ill and cried from the pain.
8 Then when got better, he said, "I want to get married." But his parents refused him and said, "You are a child, and you won't marry yet."

## *Text 9 (no J): Love and Marriage

1 xəṭərāt ġayg áygəb bə-tēt āgēb ķəwáy. tع mən đ̣ār sənēt, səyūr tawōli háybas wa-wfūd hanīh, wa-ffakáyh. walākan ḥāmē ðə-ttēt tháməh lā, wa-xazūt mánah.
2 wa-ttōli səyūr aǵáyg wa-nūka hāl ag̉ās śōx, wa-kəlūt̄ lah b-akəssótəh. $w$ - $\bar{m} m u \bar{r}$ ağ $\bar{a}$ ðว-tēt, "hōh maġtáyr $k$-ḥāmáy."
3 wa-səyūr tawōli āgáwz. wa-ġátri šīs haəbrēs, wa-ffaḳátəh, walākan ḳalūt lah xáymah mī. wə-śáll ag̉áyg axsōrət wə-nūka bo-trōh śhawd, wə-šamlūk.
5 wa-kəfáwd arḥəbēt wə-šamlūk mən hāl śśrə’. wə-sittəm aṣ́əyáft, ašráyn kawōzar. ðə-yalábdam wa-ðд-yərágzam, wa-hวḳ̣áym.
wa-ġabáwr hābū mēkən aṣ́ayáft. wə-nūka ḥaynīt tawōli kalōn w-azhīb tēt kalōn. mēkən. wa-hē śsbb rahááy.w-ag̉áyg kalōn hātūm hāl ḥámhe, wa-sḥāṭəm hah. āṣərəs.

## Translation of Text 9

1 Once a man loved a woman greatly [lit. a strong love]. Then after a year, he went to her father and ask him (for her hand), and he gave him (her hand). But the woman's mother didn't want him, and she refused him.
2 And then the man went away and came to her older [lit. big] brother, and he told him his story. And the woman's brother said, "I will talk with my mother."
3 And he went to the old woman. Her son spoke with her, and she gave him (her hand), but she put on him (a bride-price of) five hundred.
4 And the man raised the bride-price and brought two witnesses, and he got legal possession.
5 And they went down to the town, and he got legal possession from the judge. And he bought the wedding food, twenty date-baskets.
6 And they loaded the wedding food onto the riding-camels, and they went to the settlement. They came in the morning, shooting (guns) and singing, and they spent the day.
$7 \quad$ And many people came together for the wedding feast. And the women came to the bride and made up the bride.
8 And as for the groom, he had a rifle, a dagger, and an indigo robe, and he had a lot of hair. He was a handsome young man.
9 And the woman had jewelry of gold and silver.
10 Then in the evening, they shared out the dates, and the people, each one went home to his settlement. And the groom spent the night with his inlaws, and they slaughtered for him.
11 Then at night, he went into (his) bride, and stayed the night until morning. And the woman got pregnant from her night.

## *Text 10 (no J): A Camel-Herder and His Wife (1969)

1 ġayg bāl hab̄̄r ðə-yahวwrūd. nūka man ḥəwōdi, wə-šáh ḳaṭāt ðə-b $\bar{\varepsilon}$, wa-šáh téṫtah.
2 $w$-al šīham walēd lā, walākan tēt dənyīt.
3 wa-gəhēmam man $k$-sōbaḥ. te wáṣalam rēḥəḳ mən ḥəwōdi, kūsəm bāl hāáráwn.
$w$-āmūr hīhəm, "al tháwrədəm ḥəmōh lā! anḥāh ðə-nhəwrūd w-əl nəḥōm habēr tārēdan ḥəmōhən lā. walākan hām hawrádkəm ḥəmōhən, dəryēma habérikam."
5 āmūr ag̉áyg bāl habēr, "ənḥāh məháwrədūtan həbéryan. hēśan thōm?"

7 tōli ag̉áyg bāl ḥāráwn áyīt, wa-ba'áyli habēr hawrīd.
8 hīs bárham ợār hamōh, nūka akáwm təwōli bāl habēr.
9 wə-səbáwṭ ag̉áyg bāl habēr fátxi trōh wa-gazáwm, "hām ād həwrádk ḥәтōh ðōməh zōyad, ðә-nəwtáġk." wa-ṭaláwbah ṣalḥ xammōh wōrox, wa-wazmīham ṣalh. w-āmarūt hah téṫah, "naḥōm naháxlaf makōn man amkōn ðōmah. hēt gayg wahááy, wo-xaṣámke mēkan."
13 āmūr, "gēhəmah maxxawfūtan makōn."
14 ādham ðə-yaġtáryam, śñəəm awa'yōl, w-abárḳa ag̉áyg wa-xtūll-awa‘yōl. $w$-əwbūd wa-hawṣáwb wēl wa-sḥāt, wa-śallīh təwōli habēr wa-ttēt. wahātīm. anhōr kállas, wa-tté ðəhēb ḥəwdáy h-aráwram. wa-śxəwlīl ḥābū đ̣ār arḥamēt wa-hab $\bar{\varepsilon} r ~ a ́ y m a l ~ a s ́ x o ̄ f ~ ð ə-y \partial k l a ́ w l a h ~ a k ̣ a ̄ . ~ . ~$ wə-sáddəm hē wa-xaṣámhe, w-əḥtalīf. wə-hāráwn haġyūg wə-habēr hakáwṭ. wa-tวmmōt kวwtēt ð-ag̉áyg.

8 akáwm: The word kawm most often means 'raiding-party' (cf. 83:1), but it can also refer more generally to a group of men of fighting age, as fits the context here. Cf. JL (s.v. kwm).
$15 w \bar{e} l$ : We expect $w \bar{\varepsilon} l$ for 'ibex' (cf. $30: 5$ and $30: 8$ ), but Johnstone transcribed here wēl, and the Arabic manuscript has ويل. In text 30, Ali wrote وال for $w \bar{\varepsilon}$.

## Translation of Text 10

1 A camel-herder was bringing (his) animals to water. He came from the valley, and with him were some camels, and with him was his wife.
2 And they didn't have children, but the wife was pregnant.
3 And they walked from the morning. Then when they got far away from the valley, they found a goat-herder.
4 And he said to them, "Don't take (your) animals to the water! We are taking (our) animals to the water, and we don't want the camels going down to our water. But if you take (them) to our water, we will hamstring your camels."
5 The camel-herder said, "We will take our camels to the water. What do you want?"
6 The camel-herder's wife said, "It's a disgrace for you to fight because of water."
7 Then the goat-herder cried out, and the camel-herders took the animals to the water.
8 When they were at the water, the group came to the camel-herder.
9 And they hit the camel-herder twice (on the head) and swore, "If you bring (your) animals to this water ever again, we will kill you."
10 And they requested of him a truce of five months, and he gave them a truce.
11 And he brought home his camels, he and his wife.
12 And his wife said to him, "Let's move away from this place. You are a lone man, and your enemies are many."
13 He said, "Tomorrow we'll move location."
14 While they were speaking, they saw (some) ibexes, and he ran and stalked the ibexes.
15 And he shot and hit an ibex and slaughtered (it), and he took it to the camels and the woman. And they spent the night.
16 Then in the morning, clouds came up, and it thundered and was lightning. And after a little while, it rained the whole day, and until the wadis flooded into the sea.
17 And the people stayed by the vegetation (from the rain), and the camels made (so much) milk that they could pour it onto the ground.
18 And he and his enemies reconciled, and they allied with one another. And the goats gave birth, and the camels gave birth.
19 And the story of the man is finished.

## *Text 11 (no J): A Community

1 xəțərāt țayt sēkan yasūkan bə-wōdi, wə-šīham arḥamēt.
2 walākan háziham kálsan madōni, w-al šīham kawt lā.
3 wa-hēm əд-gáyam. tع āṣar ṭāṭ haġyagūt wōz.
4 wə-hīs zəḥrōt, ankōt b-ārị̛̄. wə-nūka agááyg wə-sḥāt ārị̛̣̄.

3 gáyam: The Arabic manuscript and the earlier Roman manuscript have gáyam, while the later Roman manuscript has gáwyam. As I have shown elsewhere (Rubin 2017), the 3 ms form of this verb is $g \bar{u} y a$, and so we expect 3mp gáyam.

## Translation of Text 11

1 Once a community was living in a valley, and they had pasturage [lit. vegetation after rain].
2 But all their goats were pregnant, and they had no food.
3 And they were hungry. Then one night a goat gave birth.
4 And when it squealed, it bore a male kid. And the man came and slaughtered the kid.

## Text 12 (no J): A Ḥarsusi Raider

xəṭərāt ġayg šah rīkēb, wə-gazōh mən agaddēt ð-əl-ḥarsīs.
wว-yaḥōm yagōrab amsáyrah. te $k$-sōbah, śadd l-arīkēbah śadéd wa-rīkēb, wa-gəhēm.
wa-šáh ḥamōh wa-zawōd, walākan ḥamōh al hē mēkan lā.
tع k-ađ̣́hr áymal afśēh wa-həkṣáwm ənxāli ḥərōṣ. wə-hīs ber fśōh, šawkūf. te l- 'āṣar, šawgūś.
te wátxəf al-sēkən, amárḥəbəm bəh, wa-sḥāṭəm hah wōz.
te k-sōbaḥ, gahēm wa-g̈abūr gं ayg al-hōrəm, wa-šxabarīh man habēr. walākan ag̉áyg šxabarīh man hámmah wa-man akabētəh, w-āmūr hah, "al hōh kawt̄ōna hūk lā aw ber ġarábk tīk."
kalūt̄ lah, walākan badōh bah. wa-hīs kalūt lah, hadalláh ba-habēr. wa-battadōh kāl aḥād məkōn.
te ba-ḥalláy ba-wōdi, kūsa habēr wa-zīgad árba rīḡād wə-rádd kīnəḥ mən hāl nūka.
$t \varepsilon k$-sōbəḥ, faḳáwd bəáali həbēr, wə-tábam sār aśfūtən ðə-həbēr. te nahōrən, śīnəm ag̉áyg wə-látəbdəm. wə-nūka ṭāṭ, w-arōba ag̉áyg l-amródd ðə-habēr. wa-báttadəm kāl ahād ba-ḥárməh.
alyēk ráddam habériham, w-ag̉áyg radd tawōli sćkanəh ðə-yaktūmah wə-дә-yахtәуūb.
wa-mən đ̣̄̄r xəṭərāt ðə́kəməh, āmūr, "mətōna mən adənyē w-ādi al-zágdək həbēr дд-hābū lā." wə-ttawīb wə-hārūs wə-nūka ba-ḥəbánhe, wə-wīka ōḳəl, wa-ḥagōh amōl, wa-wīka məðkịr. wa-ḥ́bbəm tah ḥābū.
ð-əl-ḥarsīs:The Roman manuscripts have ḥarāsīs, but there is no evidence of the long $\bar{a}$ in the Arabic manuscript. There is no audio evidence for this line of the text. See further in the comment to text 104:1.
l- 'āṣar: This is Arabic al- 'aṣr 'afternoon prayer', not Mehri āṣar 'night'. It corresponds to about four o'clock in the afternoon (Davey 2016: 172). Cf. also 62:6.
al-sēkan: The manuscripts have the preposition al-, but it is absent from the audio.
ðə-həb̄$r$ : The Roman manuscripts have $b \partial-h \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} r$, but this is a mistake. The Arabic manuscript and audio have $\partial \partial-h \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} r$.
yaktūmaḥ: Johnstone transcribed yaktōmah here, and it is indeed very difficult to decide whether the audio has yaktūmaḥ or yaktōmaḥ. It is often

## Translation of Text 12

1 Once a man had a riding-camel, and he went raiding from the Jiddat alHarasis.
2 And he wanted to try a journey [lit. his journey]. Then in the morning, he saddled up his camels and went off.
3 And he had water and supplies, but the water was not much.
4 Then in the afternoon, he made his lunch, and he spent the afternoon under an acacia tree. And after he ate lunch, he fell asleep. Then in the late afternoon, he went on.
5 Then when he arrived at a settlement, they welcomed him, and they slaughtered a goat for him.
6 Then in the morning, he went, and he met a man by the road, and he asked him about the camels.
7 But the man asked him his name and his tribe, and he said to him, "I won't tell you unless I know you."
8 He told him, but he lied to him. And when he told him, he directed him to the camels.
9 And they each went to (their own) place.
10 Then at night in a valley, he found the camels and he swiped four pregnant camels, and he went back towards where he had come from.
11 Then in the morning, the camel-herders missed (the camels), and they followed the camels' tracks.
12 Then at noon, they saw the man and the exchanged shots. And one came and gave the man safe conduct for the return of the camels.
13 And they all went their (separate) ways.
14 Those (men) returned their camels, and the man returned to his settlement, despairing and disappointed.
15 And after that time, he said, "I will die from this world before I again swipe people's camels."
16 And he repented, got married, and had sons. And he became wise, amassed property, and became well-known. And people loved him.
the case that $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{o}$ are hard to distinguish. We expect yaktūmaḥ. Since there are so few T1-Stem imperfects of III-Guttural roots attested in the texts, some further research is needed to confirm the vowel in such forms. məдkir: The Roman manuscripts have man дəkir, but this is another mistake. The Arabic manuscript and audio have məдkir.

[^149]17 yərḥ̂́məh: The Arabic manuscript and audio have rḥáməh. On its own, this could only be from a ms imperative (rahōm), which does not fit the context. And if it were from a 3 ms perfect raḥām, the suffixed form would be arḥəmáh. The Roman manuscripts have the expected 3 ms subjunctive yarhámah. Actually, the earlier Roman manuscript originally had rḥámah, but was corrected to yarḥáməh. The loss of ya- seems to be connected to the preceding abēli; cf. the comments to texts 27:25 and 75:18.

17 Then he died last year, and left behind a boy and a girl. May God have mercy on him and his family in Paradise.

## Text 13 (no J): A Camel-Herder

1 nəhōr țayt ġayg bāl habēr mōzab bə-g்áyr วḥād wว-šáh țวḥ̄ō ðə-bēr.
2 wa-hātūm ba-msayōl. te ba-ḥalláy, nūka aḳáyṣ́ar yạ̣áwṣaf yabūt, xayōrsan kāl.
3 wə-ว̊śś aġáyg hīs hūma aṣáwt ðə-ḥaybīt, wə-śáll aməndáwḳəh w-əwbūd, walākan xalūs.
4 wa-sḥāt ḥaybīt wa-śáll attáywi đ̣ār ba'áyr, wa-hawrūd habérhe đ̛ār ḥəmōh. te gazōt ḥəyáwm, ḳərōh táwyah bark daḥlīl man hāl ạhād yaśényah lā. wa-habrūk habérhe bark nēḥər ḳannáwn. walākan anēḥar bah amətwē habēr. hah śxōf, wa-wazmáh mōh yattákk. wa-šawkīf. te $k$-sōbaḥ, aṣályam fēgar wa-ḥaláwb, wa-śxāfam, wə-hfhiss táywi. wə-hīs ber təwīw, ámma ag̉áyg aðəráy gəhēm, w-ámma ag̉áyg bāl həb̄̄r tūba habérhe.
$1 \quad t \quad t a h \bar{o} b:$ According to $M L$ (s.v. thb $)$, this is a herd of about a hundred camels.
$2 y z k ̣ a ́ w s ̣ a f: T h e ~ R o m a n ~ m a n u s c r i p t s ~ h a v e ~ w a-k a s ̣ a ́ w f ~(3 m s ~ p e r f e c t), ~ w h i l e ~$ the Arabic manuscript and audio have yakáwṣaf (3ms imperfect). In the earlier Roman manuscript (the later one was typed), the form yakáwṣəf was added in the margin.
$7 \quad$ aḥād: We usually find the negative particle al used when aḥād means 'no one', which Ali would have written as part of the same word. The Arabic manuscript has just aḥād here. It is possible that man hāll-əḥād (with a geminate $l$ in speech) was written just man hā $l \partial \underline{a} \bar{a} d$ because the word $h \bar{a} l$ ends with $l$.
$k$-sōbah: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali wrote $k$-ṣōbaḥ, with ص(s), probably under the influence of Arabic. The audio has $k$-sōbaḥ. Out of 80 times in the texts, he spelled the word $k$-sōbąh with $ص$ (s) just 12 times; elsewhere he used س (s).

## Translation of Text 13

1 One day there was a camel-herder herding alone, without anyone, and he had about a hundred camels.
2 And he spent the night in a valley-bottom. Then at night, a leopard came and broke (the neck of) a female camel, the best one of them all.
3 And the man got up when he heard the sound of the camel, and he took his rifle and shot, but he missed.
4 And he slaughtered the camel and carried the meat on a male camel, and he took his camels down to the water.
5 And he found people at the water. He gave them (some) meat, and he milked for them (some) milk.
6 And after he milked, he took (them) into a big valley, and he found vegetation and pasturage.
7 Then when the sun went down, he hid his meat in a cave where no one would see it.
8 And he made his camels kneel in a small wadi. But the wadi had pasturage for the camels.
9 Then at night, a man came to him hungry and thirsty. He gave him meat, and he milked (some) milk for him, and he gave him water to drink.
10 And they went to sleep. Then in the morning, they prayed the dawn prayer, they milked, they drank the milk, and they boiled (some) meat.
11 And after they had eaten, the strange man went away, and the camelherder followed his camels.

## Text 14 (no J): A Journey to Marry

1 xəṭərāt fanōhən hōba sanáyn ġayg hámməh falān sayūr mən anágd xōṭar.
2 wa-hīs wīṣal sēkən, kūsa tēt, w-áygab bīs wa-wafūd (bīs) hāl ḥáybas, wə-ffəḳáyh. wə-hārūs bīs wə-xáysər məndáwḳ wə-janbáyyət wə-bəáarr. wa-śxawlūl šīs śhalīt sanáyn wa-mg̈ōran áygab ba-tēt t tayt. wa-xxxōli ttétzh wa-hārūs b-amkawrátzh. wa-hīs ber hārūs, ssōfar wa-káwla ttétah danyīt.
wa-ttēt nakōt ba-g̈̀gēn wa-háybah əġtərūb ba-sfēr xáymah sanáyn w-ādah al śīni sékanah lā.
6
wa-xadūm bə-šórṭah, walākan ḥōṣal śı̄ lā. wa-hē bárah śátwak al-sékanah. wa-mġōrən nakáyh ġayg ssadáyḳəh ənglīzū w-āmūr hah, "hamk tāmi g̉arōy mahráy."

1 falān: The Roman manuscripts have moḥámmad, as did originally the Arabic manuscript. However, the word was crossed out on the Arabic manuscript, and replaced with falān. The audio has falān.
2 wafūd (bīs) hāl: The Roman manuscripts have wafūd bis hāl, while the Arabic manuscript and audio have just wafūd hāl. háybos: The Roman manuscripts have the plural hábse 'her parents'.
2 ffạáyh:While the Arabic manuscript has ffạááyh (3ms perfect (f)fük plus a 3ms object suffix), the Roman manuscripts have fikah (3mp perfect (f)fik. plus a 3 ms object suffix). ML (s.v. šfk) cites this passage with the forms found in the Roman manuscripts (wafūd bīs hāl hábse wa-fiķəh).
6 šárṭəh:Though this word is transcribed śárṭə h in the Roman manuscripts, the audio clearly has šárṭah. The word is just a borrowing of Arabic šurṭah 'police'.
śátwaḳ: ML (s.v. śwk. lists both a verb śátwaḳ 'long for' and a verb śatūk 'long for'. These are in fact two variant transcriptions of the same $\mathrm{T}_{1}-$ Stem verb. The correct form is śátwak, but in fast speech the sequence wa sounds very close to $\bar{u}$.

## Translation of Text 14

1 Once, seven years ago, a man whose name was so-and-so went down from the Najd.
2 And when he reached a settlement, he found a woman. He fell in love with her, and he asked her father for her hand in marriage. And he gave him her hand, and he married her, and he paid as a bride-price a rifle, a dagger, and a male camel.
3 And he stayed with her for three years, and then he fell in love with a certain (other) woman.
4 And he divorced his wife and married his beloved. And after he got married, he went away and left his wife pregnant.
5 And the woman had a boy. His father was away on a journey for five years, and he didn't see his family at all [or: hadn't yet seen his family].
6 And he worked with [lit. in] the police, but he didn't earn (a lot). And he already missed his family.
7 And then a man, his English friend, came to him and said, "I want you to teach me Mehri language."

7 ssadáykəh: In both the Roman and Arabic manuscripts, the initial consonant is written $s$. . Ali did the same in text 18 (lines $3,8,13$ ), but correctly wrote $s$ in 105:1. Were $s$ correct, we would expect the definite article $a$-. On the audio we hear a geminate ss, with the gemination of the voiceless consonant representing the article. The mistake with this word is based on confusion between the roots $s d k$ (e.g., šasdūk 'believe s.o. is telling the truth'; see the comment to text 2o:6) and șdk (e.g., șadk or ṣaṭk 'truth'; see the comment to text 5:12), both of which derive historically from $s ̦ d k$.
$7 \quad$ tāmi: The Arabic has here تامي tāmi, which matches the audio. The Roman manuscripts have ta'àmi, but there is no audible glottal stop. The form derives from *tálmi, which in turn derives from the $2 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ subjunctive tōlam (root'lm) plus a ics object suffix.

## Text 14A (no J): Muḥammad Loses a Kid

1 məḥámməd nūda ba-ḥāráwn $w-ə l$ əḥād šah lā.
2 wa-hīs bárəh ba-ḩawōdi, hagūm lah kawb wa-sáll ba-ḥọ̄ər. wa-bagdīh wa-lhāk, walākan ḥōtar mōt.
3 wa-shāạt wa-śáll hōōtər bark kazūt wa-ḥวṭáwb đ̛̣rōb wa-ṣabōh.
4 wa-hīs ber habhūl, hōdi fáḳ̣i. hē təwōh faḳ̣h wa-káwla faḳh.
5 wə-wīka k-ḥāráwn wa-bórəh дð-ḩəðдūr mən kawb.
6 walākan šah wōz təว̣áwla, wə-ðว-yวsyūr līs al-xārxáwr. te gazōt h həyáwm, kalōh təwōli aṣáyga. wa-hīs kalōh, kalūt al-hābū.
8 wa-šgawsétah ḥāmáh maglēs ḳəwáy. āmarūt, "hēt šawkáfk wa-kálak házke h-kalōb."
9 háttam məḥámməd man amgalēs ðə-ḥāmáh, walākan wəkáwf mən fəṣ́hāat ðд-ḥābū.
(Note: a tenth line appears in the Roman manuscripts and in Stroomer's edition. It is not clear that this line belongs with text 14A. The Arabic version of the line appears on a separate page from the rest of text 14 A , and the previous lines of 14 A are written as if the text ends after line 9 . The line is also not on the audio recording. Therefore, I have not included that line here.)

2
sall: The manuscripts all have șall, but this must be an error, as ṣall 'he ran fast' does not fit the context. The verb here is clearly sall 'drag away', as on the audio, and as fits the context; cf. also the use of sall in text 22:85. See also the comment to text 26:5. wakáwf: The manuscripts have wạáwf, but the audio has ðə-wəḳáwf.

## Translation of Text 14A

1 Muhammad went out with the goats, and no one was with him.
2 And when he was in the valley, a wolf attacked him and dragged away a kid. He chased it and caught up, but the kid was dead.
3 He slaughtered (it) and took the kid into a shallow cave. He collected firewood, and roasted (it).
4 After he had cooked (it), he divided (it) into halves. He ate half, and left half.
5 And he stayed with the goats, and was looking out for wolves.
6 But he had a goat that was limping, and he was going slowly for her. Then when the sun went down, he brought (the animals) into the pen.
7 And when he had brought in (the animals), he told the people (what happened).
8 And his mother gave him a severe scolding. She said, "You fell asleep and left your goats for the wolves."
9 Muḥammad was sad from his mother's scolding, but he kept quiet from shame in front of the people.

## Text 15 (no J): The Jinn Cat and the Witch Mother


2 wa-šǐham sannáwrat, wa-śáff sannáwrat ḳē yōt.
3 w-ag̉áyg sōbaryāgōb wahsičh, hē wa-sékanah.
4 wa-ḥaynit̄ sáfsən sawēḥər, āgáwz wa-ḥəbántse.
5 te nəhōr țayt, ag̉áyg wīka k-abḳār, wə-haynitt ðə-həḳ̣áwm. āmərūt āgáwz, "ag̉áyg ðōməh ōzar bi. sōbar yāgōb wahśīh.
naḥōm ṭayt manīn taḳtīlab hah rīs̃īt wa-ttéhah, wa-naftàkk mánah." walākan hīs sēn taġtáryan, hənīsən sənnáwrət, wa-hamátsən hīs taġtáryan. tōli āmūr, "ba-ḥalláy, ag̉áyg yahabáwr abḳār, wə-mət bār ba-ḥalláy, ṭayt tabáyta tah wa-məḳtəwbēta hah rīsit̀."
tє ba-ḥalláy həbáwr ag̉áyg abkárhe, w-āṣər ktīw wə-məwsē. te həbáwr, tabátah sannáwrət man sərīh.
attōli śanȳ̄s wa-bgadēs, walākan sannáwrət xazūt. sənnáwrət tḥəbūb ag̉áyg. yawázmas aśxōf wa-yalṭōf bīs.
attōli kaláys (t)syēr šah.
te ba-ḥalláy, šəwkūf, wə-sənnáwrət shərōt hāl ḥərōhəh. te faḳh ð-āsəər nəkōt āgáwz ðə-ktəwbōt risisit ḥəwrūt, tḥōm attéh ḥabrēs.
te ankōt, śanyáts sannáwrat, wa-hagamūt līs te hamwatáts. w-ag̉áyg šzwkūf, šah ṣafōt lā.
tє mtōt āgáwz, nəkōt sənnáwrət wə-kətəwbōt tēt raḥáymət.
wa-hāśśūt ağáyg wa-kawtūt lah ba-hāmáh w-ag̉áthe.
āmərūt, "дīməh ḥāmēk sáhrət w-ag̉átke sawēhər, wə-ð-ərtəwūg būk (t)tīyən tīk. attīyan tīk. wa-ṣarōmah hōh sīrīta wa-l-ād asūkan báwmah lā. wa-hēt ber šūk aṣafōt." wə-sīrūt sənnáwrot w-ag̉áyg kəəbūr ḥāmáh. w-āmūr h-ag̉áthe, "kāl ṭayt tantaḳōl g gayg tšéffḳah, wa-hōh ber əð-g̈arábk kāl śàyan."

14 šawkūf: The Arabic manuscript and audio have just šzwkūf (3ms perfect), but the Roman manuscripts have yašəwkūf (3ms imperfect).
21 śtiyzn: The Roman manuscripts have śt̄, but the Arabic manuscript and audio have śryan.

## Translation of Text 15

1 Once there was a man, his mother, and his two sisters, and they had cows.
2 And they had a cat, but it so happened that the cat was a spirit-woman.
3 And the man always liked (to be) by himself, he and his family.
4 And it so happened that the women were witches, the old woman and her daughters.
5 Then one day, the man was with the cows, and the women were passing the day (together). The old woman said, "This man annoys [lit. annoyed] me. He always likes (to be) by himself.
6 Let's one of us change into a snake and eat him, so we can be rid of him."
7 But when they were talking, the cat was by them, and she heard them when they were talking.
8 Then they said, "At night, the man takes out the cows. When he goes out at night, one (of us) will follow him and change into a snake for him."
9 Then at night the man took out his cows, and the night was dark and rainy [lit. darkness and rain]. When he took (the animals) out, the cat followed him from behind [lit. behind him].
10 Then he saw her and chased her away, but the cat refused. The cat loved the man. He would give her milk and was kind to her.
11 Then he let her go with him.
12 Then at night, he fell asleep, but the cat stayed awake by his head. And at midnight, the old woman came and changed into a black snake, wanting to eat the her son.
13 When she came, the cat saw her, and he attacked her and [lit. until] he killed her.
14 And the man slept, he didn't have (any) knowledge (of what happened).
15 Then when the woman was dead, the cat came and changed into a beautiful woman.
16 And she woke the man and told him about his mother and his sisters.
17 She said, "This mother of yours was a witch, and your sisters are witches, and they have plotted against you to eat you.
18 But I am not a cat. I am a spirit-woman. I heard them wanting to eat you.
19 And now I will go away and not live here anymore. You now [lit. already] have knowledge (of what happened)."
20 And the cat went away, and the man buried his mother.
21 And he said to his sisters, "Each one should choose a man to marry. I already know everything."

## Text 16 (no J): A Lunar Eclipse

mən đ̣ār sənēt aw zōyวd, təmūt ḥārīt.
wə-mət ḥābū śīnəm tīs, yāṣáwṣ, wə-yaṭkáwk aṣawáyr ṭayt ḍār ṭayt, wəyahabákyam aytōm, te arḥ̆mōn yag̣ṣōn mənhēm, wa-taḳtīlab ḥārīt hīs fanōhan.
wa-yāmaram, "hārīt tawīwas kalōb. wa-hām al katawbōt hīs fanōhan lā, təkyūm akáymət."
wə-yabákyam, wə-kāl əḥād yวšanðūr bə-msəlámtən, hām ḳətวwbōt ḥārīt hīs fanōhan. wa-yaškīf lā ts taktīlab ṣáfyat wə-yāká’ abṣār.
$2 y \bar{a}$ ṣáwṣ: The audio here clearly has yāṣáwṣ, but this form is unexpected. The expected 3 mp imperfect is yāsáys, as confirmed by recent fieldwork in Oman by Sabrina Bendjaballah (cf. also text 7:6). This is probably a variant plural, formed on analogy with other geminate imperfects (like the following verb, yaṭkáwk), since yaṣs is one of just two attested GbStem geminates (§7.2.11). See also the comment to text 53:3. yวǵṣōon: Johnstone had difficulty parsing this verb. In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed yag $\partial 0 \bar{o}$, while in the later one he transcribed yakð̊ō. He added the gloss 'make pass' in the earlier Roman manuscript, and perhaps saw a connection with the Arabic verb qaḍā 'finish, put an end to'. However, if indeed yag $\partial \bar{o}$ or yak $\not \bar{o}$ came from this root, it is not clear what verb form it would be. The Arabic manuscript and audio make clear that the form is yag ṣōn, a 3 ms subjunctive of the GbStem ǵáyṣ́an ‘have compassion'.
3 akáymət: On the audio, Ali read this as aǵáymət. $y \partial s ̌ k i f f: T h e ~ A r a b i c ~ m a n u s c r i p t ~ a n d ~ a u d i o ~ c l e a r l y ~ h a v e ~ y a s ̌ k i f, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a ~$ variant of yašowkīf; see ML (p. lxi, n. 1) and § 7.2.3.

## Translation of Text 16

1 After a year or more, the moon disappears [lit. dies].
2 And when people see it, they are afraid, and they pound rocks on one another (i.e., rock upon rock), and they make orphans cry, so that God will have compassion for them, and the moon will change back as (it was) before.
3 And they say, "The moon, wolves ate it. And if it doesn't change back as (it was) before, Judgment Day has come."
4 And they cry, and everyone makes vows with sacrificial animals, if the moon changes back as (it was) before.
5 And they don't sleep until it (the moon) becomes clear again, and the dawn comes.

## *Text 16A (no J): A Brief Quarrel

1 ġayūg ðə-yวsyáwr bə-ḥōrəm, wə-ġabáwr ġayg wə-tēt̄ ðə-yaghīm.
2 attōli gátzwsəm. āmūr ag̉áyg, "ətēm əwhádkəm ag̀āy, wa-hōh วwbádk xáylakam yamšīh.
3 wə-ṣarōməh axáyr hīn nəsdēd." wə-sáddəm.

## Translation of Text 16A

1 Some men were traveling on the road, and they met a man and a woman going.
2 Then they quarreled. The man said, "You shot my brother, and I shot your uncle yesterday.
3 And now it's better for us to come to terms." And they came to terms.

## Text 17 (no J): An Injured Brother

1 xəṭərāt gāgēni trōh hātīm hāl sēkən. ts bə-haวlláy, bārəm yaḥáym təwōli sékənham.
2 te b-aámk að-ḥōrəm, ankáthəm ag̉allēt, wa-l-ād habṣáyr ḥōram lā, wa-xaláws man ḥōrəm. tōli šawkīf sār harōm. ðд-yวšawkūf.
 wa-bakōh tek-sōbah.
5 w-ámma aġīgēn akəənnáwn, hīs $k$-sōbəḥ, 'əśś, ġəlūk mən ag̀āh, wว-l-ād ksēh lā.
a y $\bar{t}+\mathrm{t}$ tawōli hā $\mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ w-āmūr, "agंāy, tawīwah kalōb!" yabīt. wa-hawṣáyk amṣ́árḥhe l-aðōra'. te mən đ̣ār warx, səyūr l-aməráwḳaṣ, wə-támm ðə-yadūr ḳəráyb al-sēkən. tє mən đ̛̣ār wárxi trōh, nəḳáwś mánəh a ițēm, wə-wīka ba-xáyr, wə-yəwōḳa $k$-hāāráwn l-ādēd ðд-ḥayūm.

1 hātēm: Most of the $3 m p$ verbs and $3 m p$ suffixes in lines 1 and 2 (1: hātīm, bārəm, yaḥáym, sékənhəm; 2: ənəkáthəm, həbṣáyr) were written as such in the Arabic manuscript and the earlier Roman manuscript, and are read as such on the audio. The forms were later corrected in the earlier Roman manuscript to 3 md forms (1: hātəmōh, bārōh, yaḥəmōh, sékənhi; 2: ənəkáthi, habṣərōh). The remaining 3 mp forms in lines 2 and 3 (2: xaláws, šawkīf; 3: šawkiff) were transcribed as duals already in the earlier Roman manuscript (2: xawsōh, šawkfōh; 3: šawkföh), though the 3mp forms are used in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio. These dual forms constitute useful data, especially given the relative rarity of duals elsewhere, but they were not part of the author's original story.
habṣáyr: In addition to the comments regarding this verb in the previous note, it should be mentioned that in all of the Roman manuscripts, this verb is transcribed without an initial $h$-. This is clearly an error, since the verb is an H-Stem. The $h$ - appears in the Arabic manuscript and is audible on the audio.

## Translation of Text 17

1 Once two boys spent the night by a settlement. Then at night, they went out, heading to their settlement.
2 Then in the middle of the journey, a mist came upon them, and they couldn't see the way anymore [or: at all], and they got lost from the way. Then they went to sleep behind a tree.
3 After they had gone to sleep, the older [lit. big] boy got up without a sound. He went off and left his brother sleeping.
4 Then he fell from a small cliff. He broke his leg and his teeth got broken. And he cried until morning.
5 As for the younger [lit. small] boy, when it was morning, he got up, he looked for his brother, but he didn't find him at all.
6 He cried out to the people and said, "My brother, wolves have eaten him!"
7 And the people and his parents went looking. His mother was crying and rebuking her husband, saying to him, "It's all your fault [lit. it's all from you], since you let him go away from us!"
8 Then they found him passed out. He was not conscious [lit. in him was not consciousness].
9 And they carried him to the settlement, and they nursed him and put him in a cast. They slaughtered a camel for him, and they stuck his teeth back in with the blood.
10 Then after a month, he walked on crutches, and he continued walking around near the settlement.
11 Then after two months, they took off his cast from him, and he was better. And he was with the goats every day.

7 hanīn: The audio has hənīn 'with us', but the Roman manuscript has hanáy 'with me' (correctly hanáy). The Arabic manuscript probably also has hənīn, though in Ali's handwriting hənīn and hanáy can look identical.
10 tamm: The gloss 'kept on' was added by Johnstone in the margin of the Roman manuscript. The verb is defined in $M L$ (s.v. tmm) only as 'to be finished; to finish', but Arabic tamma 'be finished' can also have the meaning 'continue, persist'. wa-yowōka: The manuscripts all have wa-wīka, but the audio has wayowōka, which fits the context.

12 te anhōran nakōt amawsē, w-al śc ṣáyga karáýyb lā.
13 tōli hāáráwn šarṣ́á, wa-hē ðə-yáwṭaf ba-ḥāráwn.
14 tōli nákam tah ġəyūg wə-śállam ḥāráwn təwōli aṣáyga, wə-sḥātam wəḳōna fakh.
15 walākan abōḳi wīka šīsən arḥəmēt. wə-šftēḥ ḥāráwn wə-nūka bə-ḥəbánisən $\bar{a} w e \overline{s s ~(\partial-) a l y e ̄ k ~ \partial д-m o ̄ t . ~ w ว-t ว m m o ̄ t . ~}$

12 aməwsē: The manuscripts have indefinite mowsē, but the audio has definite amowsē. $\bar{a} w \overline{e s s ̣ ́}(\partial$-) $\partial l y \bar{e} k$ : The audio has $\bar{a} w \overline{e s s ̣ ́ ~} \partial-\partial l y \bar{e} k$, but the manuscripts all have just $\bar{a} w e ̄ s ̣ a l y e ̄ k . ~$

12 Then one day, rain came, and there wasn't any shelter nearby.
13 Then the goats were dying of exposure, and he was urging the goats on.
14 Then (some) men came to him and took the goats to the shelter, and they slaughtered about half.
15 But the remainder had grazing. And the goats mated and bore their children in place of those that died. And it is finished.

## Text 18 (no J): A Journey to London (October, 1969)

1 ssáfrak man dabáy fanēmšīh bo-ṭəyyāryah, ḥōm ha-lándən.
2 wa-hōh ðə-gálwək wə-ðว-hábrək, wə-šáy ġayg hámməh falān. wə-nákan abátzh wa-šawkófk.
te k-sōbəด̣, ank'áy talafōn man hāl sadáyki.
wa-ṭəwbáy (l-)anké' tawōli amdarsēt. wa-nákak tah, wa-sayūr bay tawōli táxtar. wə-wวzmáy ḥəbáwb, wə-ráddən təwōli amdərsēt. wə-śxəwlūlən səwānōt. wa-səyūrən tawōli amṭām, wa-fōśan ṣayd, wa-ftūkan.
wə-məḡōrən sayárki hōh wə-sədáyḳi bark aráyl ənxāli aḳā, naḥōm təwōli amkōni. barkīh śhalī̀ sāt, w-al tamámk tah lā. te ba-ḥalláy, ráddək təwōli abáyti. wə-šawkáfk.
tع k-sōbəḥ, səyárk təwōli amṭām wa-káṭak aráyk ba-káwḥal əd-dəgōg wa-fígōn k. kahwēt.
wa-śxawlēk te ank'áy sadáyḳi, wa-sayárki hōh wa-hē təwōli amdərsēt. wa-məḡōrən āmárk hah, "sékวni al šīham maṣráwf lā, wa-ḥōm dərēhəm al-háxṣab bīham." w-āmūr, "al hōh makōọar lā, walākan āká’ gayg ḥaṣbēb. báwmah kāl śỹyan gōli.
wa-hīs āmūr háyni waṭōməh, ġərábk tah ðว-hē sadáyki máxlaṣ šay, wa-hōh, hām abēli yaḥōm, waḳōna šah raháym.
wa-hōh aś́nyah al-hīs ḥáybi, al-hīs hē yanáṣhi man hayrēm aḳamḥ̄ōt. wayōmar háyni, "ḥəðдōr ba-ḥวnáfk. hēt šūk sēkən wa-ḳənyáwn, w-al šīhวm ar hēt."

4 (l-)ank $\varepsilon^{\prime}:$ The manuscripts and audio have $a n k \varepsilon^{\prime}$, but this is probably a mistake. The prefix $l$ - was added to the later Roman manuscript. The expected form l-ənké' occurs in 20:12 and 77:4.
amțām: This word is not in ML, but it is clearly a borrowing of Arabic maț'am 'restaurant'.
šawārə‘/š̄rra': Although listed in ML (s.v. śr') with an initial ś, the words $s ̌ \bar{\varepsilon} r a$ ' 'street' and šawāra' 'streets' are clearly pronounced on the audio with an initial š. Both are simply Arabic forms (šāric, pl. šawāríc), which is also why the consonant ' is preserved.

## Translation of Text 18

1 I traveled from Dubai the day before yesterday by plane, heading for London.
2 And I was sick and had chills, and with me was a man whose name was so-and-so. We came to his house and I went to sleep.
3 Then in the morning, a phone (call) came to me from my friend.
4 He asked me to come to the school.
5 And I came to him, and he went with me to a doctor.
6 And he gave me (some) pills, and we went back to the school. And we stayed a little while.
7 And we went to a restaurant and had fish for lunch, and we left.
8 And then my friend and I went in the subway [lit. rail under the ground], heading to my place.
9 We came in the evening. Me, I spent the night, and he went back to his house.
10 Then I went to see the big streets. I found a certain big street, and I walked on it for three hours, and I didn't come to the end of it.
11 Then at night, I went back to my house, and I went to sleep.
12 Then in the morning, I went to a restaurant and had breakfast with chicken eggs and a cup of coffee.
13 And I stayed until my friend came to me, and he and I went to the school.
14 And then I said to him, "My family has no supplies, and I want money to send (to my family)."
15 And he said, "I won't hold back (in generosity), but be a smart guy. Here everything is expensive.
16 And if you play around (with money), then you'll run yourself short."
17 And when he spoke to me like that, I knew that my friend was sincere with me, and I, if God wills, will be good to him.
18 And I see him like my father, since he advises me against bad ways and says to me, "Watch out for yourself! You have a family and children, and they have only you."

10 śyēx: Although $M L$ (s.v. śyx) gives the plural form śyyax, which is what Johnstone transcribed in the Roman manuscript of this text (and also in 74:8), the audio clearly has śyēx (also in 74:8). The spelling in the Arabic manuscript (شياخ) also makes clear that śyēx, and not śīyax, is correct. Jahn (1902: 240) lists the plural śíyāx (var. śēx), which matches the form in Johnstone's texts.
17 hām abēli yaḥōm: This phrase corresponds to Arabic 'in šā̉a llāh.

## Text 19 (no J): Ba Newas and the Judge

1 xəțวrāt ġayg fakáyr, wə-šáh téṫəh roḥáymət. wə-yāgōb bīs śźrə’ ð-arḥəbēt.
2 te nahōr țayt, nəkáyh ag̉áyg ðə-ttēt.
3 āmūr hah, "yallōh ḥ̂̀mak hanōfi xályak tēte.."
4 āmūr həh, "tธtkftkūt man amélkək."
5 bakōh ağáyg sār téṫzh.
6 tōli yagáyr lah bā nawās. āmūr hah, "kō hēt tabáyk?"
7 āmūr hah, "əśśérə’ hftūk attēti. yahōm yahēras bīs."
8 āmūr hah, "kalēt lay b-akassátk."
9 āmūr hah, "yallōh ḥ̄̄mək xályak tēti, wa-šxabárk hāl śérə".
$10 \quad$ w-āmūr háyni, 'tețkftkūt.'"
11 āmūr hah bā nəwās, "təktəwōl lā.wə-gēhəməh awēdək báwməh."
12 te gēhəməh, ğátbarəт w-āmūr bā nəwās, "nahōm ahād yaxdēm šīn."
13 wa-ṣ́āt xaddōmət. wazmīham kā-ṭāṭ hayb. w-āmūr hīhวm, "gंadéwwən!"
14 te wáṣaləm naxāli abáyt ðə-śśśrə", āmūr hīhəm, "hfēram naxāli abáyt ðimah."
hfawr naxāli abáyt. tōli šadhūk. līham śéra'.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h i ̄ h a m, ~ " k o ̄ ~ t e ̄ m ~ t h a ́ f r a m ~ n a x a ̄ l i ~ a b a ́ y t i ? " ~ " ~$

āmūr śéro’, "hēt al šūk 'ilm lā ar ḥām."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r b \bar{a}$ nawās, "il-hilm 'ilm."
āmūr śérə’, "hōh śźrə", w-aḡōrəb axáyr mənk."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ b a ̄ ~ n a w a ̄ s, ~ " h i ̄ b o ̄ h ~ h ̣ a ̄ m ? " ~ " ~$
āmūr śérr’, "il-hilm miš cilm!"
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$ bā nəwās ḥābū, "šhīd lah." āmūr bā nəwās, "ar kō hēt haftákk tēt ð-aǵáyg ðōmah?"
āmūr, "šakrárk ba-ḥənōfi əð-hōh đ̣alámk, wa-ttēt tərdēd l-ag̈áygas.
wa-hēt, bā nəwās, l-ād tahfēr zōyad lā."
wa-tammōt kəwtēt ð-ag̉áyg.

12 ǵátbarəm: The Roman manuscript has a 3md dual perfect gátbərōh (written ǵátibro), but the Arabic manuscript and audio have 3mp ǵátbaram. il-hilm 'ilm: This phrase is Arabic, as is the word 'ilm in line 18, and the quote in line 22. audio. In the Roman manuscript it appears within brackets. The Roman manuscript has la tahfēr, which is undoubtedly an error for l-ād taḥfēr.

## Translation of Text 19

Once there was a poor man, and he had a beautiful wife. And the judge of the town loved her.
Then one day, the woman's husband came to him.
3 He said to him, "Last night I dreamt that I divorced my wife."
4 He said to him, "Your wife has left your possession."
5 The man cried over his wife.
6 Then Ba Newas happened by him. He said to him, "Why are you crying?"
7 He said to him, "The judge took away my wife. He wants to marry her."
8 He said to him, "Tell me your story."
9 He said to him, "Last night I dreamt I divorced my wife, and I inquired with the judge.
10 And he said to me, 'Your wife has left.'"
11 Ba Newas said to him, "Don't worry. Tomorrow meet me [lit. your meetingplace] here."
12 Then the next day, they met, and Ba Newas said, "We'll want someone to work with us."
13 And he got workers. He gave them each a crow-bar [or: shovel]. And he said to them, "Let's go!"
14 Then when they arrived at [lit. under] the house of the judge, he said to them, "Dig under this house."
15 They dug under the house. Then the judge looked out [or: down] at them.
16 He said to then, "Why are you digging under my house?"
17 Ba Newas said, "I dreamt last night that my father's treasure was under your house."
18 The judge said, "You have no knowledge of it except a dream."
19 Ba Newas said, "Dreaming is knowing."
20 The judge said, "I am a judge, and I know better than you."
21 Ba Newas said, "What is a dream (then)?"
22 The judge said, "Dreaming is not knowing!"
23 Ba Newas said to the people, "Bear witness against him." Ba Newas said, "So why did you take away this man's wife?"
24 He said, "I confess of myself that I was unjust, and the woman should return to her husband.
25 And you, Ba Newas, don't dig anymore."
26 And the story of the man is finished.

## Text 20: Ba Newas and the Sandals

1 xəṭərāt bā nəwās yวsūkən bə-rḥabēt wə-ðə-yวxáwdəm hāl ḥōkəт дarḥabēt.
te nahōr țayt, āmūr hah ḥōkam, "ənkēy b-an'álys man hāl ḥaynīt."
āmūr, "yદ́ys." akōfi.
tє wīṣal haynit, āmūr, "āmūr hīkan ḥōkam, 'azēman tı̄ al-syēr šīkan'"
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "hībōh ag̉arōy ðōməh? ठ-дḥtวwēk aw hībōh?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, " $\bar{a}$, al hōh ð-aḥtawēk lā, walākan ḥōkam yaḥōm manáy ðəráyyat. walākan hām al šasdókkən tī lā, ṣāḳōna ḥōkəm wə-mšáxbar tah."
āmūr hah haynīt, "ṣákəh!" tōli ṣāḳ, āmūr, "ṭayt aw kaláyt?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h ̣ o ̄ k ə m, ~ " k a ̄ l . " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~ " h a ́ m a k ə n ? " ~ " ~$
tōli wazmīh, w-akōfi bā nawās.
ts nūka hāl ḥōkam, w-al nakáyh b-an'álhe lā, āmūr, "kō hēt al nákak b-an'álys lā?"
 zōyad lā."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ hōkam, "hēśan ðōmah man ġarōy šūk?"
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "hēt al āmárk háyni, 'ənk $\bar{\varepsilon} y b$ - an'álys'lā?" āmūr, "ya-ḥōl!"
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "səyárk wa-nákak tīsən kaláyt." āmūr, "hõ sēn?"
āmūr, "bark abátsan, wa-bér ț̣yábk mansēn."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " k a l e ̄ t l ~ l a y ~ h e ̄ s ́ a n ~ ’ a ́ m l a k!" ~ " ~$
āmūr, "'ómlak al-hīs āmórk háyni. sayárk te wóṣalək hayniēt. āmárk hīsən, ‘āmūr hīkən ḥ̂ōkวm, «วzēmən tī al-nəkēkวn»,'wว-wzวтáy.
19 wa-bér ṣáḳək tīk, w-āmárk háyni, 'kaláyt'. wว-ṣarōmah ber ṭəyábk."
20 āmūr, "hēśon 'nákak'?"
21 āmūr, "naḥāh hanīn b-arhabētən, 'nákak', 'yasyūr k-haynīt.""
$\partial n k \bar{\varepsilon} y$ : Part of the play on words here is the phonological similarity of the verb nūka 'come' with the verb nəyūk 'have intercourse' (used in 99:46). Compare, for example, ics perfect nákak vs. nayákak, 1cs subjunctive lank ${ }^{\prime}$ ' vs. l-anyēk.
6 šasdáḳkan: Ali is inconsistent with the spelling of various forms of this verb. The root is $s d k$ (cf. $M L$, s.v. $s d k$ ), as also in the noun sədáyk 'friend' (e.g., 14:7; 18:3). But here, as well as in $41: 9$ and $67: 4$, Ali spelled the word with $t$ in place of $d$. Elsewhere he spelled it with $d(23: 3 ; 92: 6 ; 93: 7)$ or $t$ (82:2; 99:38; 99:45). In 23:3 and 82:2 he also has $s$ in place of $s$. See also the comment to text 5:12, on the noun soṭ 'truth'.

## Translation of Text 20

1 Once Ba Newas was living in a town and working for the ruler of the town.
2 Then one day, the ruler said to him, "Bring me my sandals from the women."
3 He said, "Ok." He left.
4 Then when he got to the women, he said, "The ruler said to you, 'Let me sleep [lit. go] with you'."
5 They said, "What is this talk? Have you gone crazy or what?"
6 He said, "No, I haven't gone crazy, but rather he wants offspring from me. But if you don't believe me, I'll call the ruler and ask him."
7 The women said to him, "Call him!" Then he called, he said, "One or both?"
8 The ruler said, "All." He said, "Did you hear?"
9 Then they let [lit. gave] him, and Ba Newas went back.
10 Then when he came to the ruler, and he didn't bring him his sandals,
11 he said, "Why didn't you bring my sandals?"
12 He said, "I couldn't anymore. I am only one, and they are two. I couldn't bring anymore."
13 The ruler said, "What kind of talk is this from [lit. with] you?"
14 He said, "Didn't you say to me, 'Bring me my sandals'?" He said, "Indeed!"
15 He said, "I went and I brought them both." He said, "Where are they?"
16 He said, "In their house, and I already had my fill of them."
17 He said, "Tell me what you did!"
18 He said, "I did as you told me. I went until I got to the women. I said to them, 'The ruler said to me (to say), «Let me go with you»,' and they let [lit. gave] me.
19 And I called you, and you said to me, 'Both'. And now I have had my fill."
20 He said, "What does nákak ('come/bring') mean?"
21 He said, "By us, in our town, nákak is 'sleep [lit. go] with women'."
$8 k \bar{a} l:$ The Roman manuscripts have kzláyt 'both', but the Arabic manuscript and audio have $k \bar{a} l$ 'all'. See also the comment on $k \bar{a} l$ in line 25 .
an'álhe: $M L$ (s.v. $n^{\prime} l$ ) rightly considers na‘āl an Arabic word (< ni'āl), which is why the consonant ' is preserved. Cf. also the unsuffixed form an'äl in line 26.
abátsən: Though all the manuscripts have abátsən 'their house', the audio has abyátison 'their houses'.
āmūr, "wว-hēt ṣarōmah ber səyárk k-haynīt?"
āmūr, "hībōh l-āmōl, hām hāmárk lay?"
tōli səyūr ḥōkəm tawōli ḥaynīt wa-šxวbərīsən.
āmūr haynīt, "nəkáyn bā nəwās w-āmūr hīn, 'hōkəm āmūr háyni al-syēr šīkən,' wว-nḥāh šabd̄̄yən təh. tōli ṣāḳáwk w-āmūr, 'ṭayt aw kāl?’ āmárk, ‘kaláyt.' wa-bér sayūr šīn."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ ḥōkəm, "hōh āmárk hah, 'ankēy ar b-an'álys.'wว-hīs šxว $\partial \partial r a ́ y, ~ \partial h u ̄ g \partial s$ šxəbaráy ar man an ‘āl, w-āmárk həh, 'kəláyt'.
walākan lēzəm (l-)ardéh bah ráwram."
tōli mánam bā nawās wa-ḳaláwbəh bark šatfēt wa-śaráwg lah barkīs. w-āmūr ḥāgərōn, "śəlēlam təh ráwrəm." wə-śállam təh hāāgərōn.
te wáṣəlวm ḥayk, kūsəm aráwram šéhวk.k. kálam təh bo-ḥáyk wว-səyáwr yafś̌̄yam,
te aráwram tkaléh wə-yardīyam bəh man đ̣ār déhak.
tōli nūka ġayg ðə-yวsyūr bə-ḥáyk, wว-šáh xəmsáyn rawn, wว-šáh $\bar{\varepsilon} f$ ḳəráwš, wo-məndáwk wa-janbáyyət.
tє kūsa šatfēt. tōli laḥmīs, tōli ḥátrək bā nəwās.
tōli āmūr həh aġáyg, "hēśan hēt man manēdəm?"

āmūr, "wว-kōh aḥādyวḳáwdəryวġbēr hábhe ðว-bér mōtəm?"
āmūr, "kəwt̄ōna hūk lā. yáṣṣak tīk m-ād talwámi (l-)aḳlēk bark šatfēti."
āmūr, "tolábk tīk taklēt lay."
āmūr, "hām aḥād sayūr bark šatfēt ðīmah, yว̇̇áwbar hábhe. wə-hōh ḥáṣalak šatfēt ðimah man hāl malēk."
śaráwg: The form here is the 3mp perfect. ML (s.v. śrg) lists śarawg as the 3 ms perfect, but this is an error for śarūg. In the English-Mehri word-list at the back of $M L$ (p. 588), the verb 'sew up' is transcribed śarōg. hábyع: The Roman manuscripts have hábyən 'our parents', but the audio has hábye 'my parents'. The latter seems to fit the context better. The Arabic manuscript is unclear, and could read either حبي (the expected spelling for hábye, as in lines $41,42,43,47,60,63$, and $70^{\circ}$ ) or حبين ( the expected
$k \bar{a} l:$ The Roman manuscripts have kaláyt 'both', but the Arabic manuscript and audio have $k \bar{a} l$ 'all'. See also the comment to line 8.
kaláyt: The Roman manuscripts have kaláthi 'both of them’, but the Arabic manuscript and audio have kaláyt 'both'.
(l-)ardéh:The manuscripts and audio have ardéh (probably < *al-rdéh), but we expect $l$-ardéh. The expected form l-ərdéh occurs in 64:22 and 89:25.

22 He said, "And now you slept with the women?"
23 He said, "What should I do, if you command me?"
24 Then the ruler went to the women and asked them.
25 The women said, "Ba Newas came to us and said to us, 'The ruler told me to sleep [lit. go] with you', and we thought he was lying. Then he called you and said, 'One or all?' You said, 'Both.' And we slept with him."
26 The ruler said, "I said to him, 'Just bring me my sandals'. And when he asked me, I thought he just asked me about the sandals, and I said to him, 'Both'.
27 But I must throw him into the sea."
28 Then they grabbed Ba Newas and put him into a basket and sewed him up in it.
29 And he said to the slaves, "Take him to the sea." And the slaves took him.
30 Then when they got to the shore, they found the sea at ebb-tide. They left him on the shore and went to have lunch,
31 until the tide [lit. the sea] came in and they could throw him from a cliff.
32 Then a man came walking on the shore, and he had fifty goats, and he had a thousand dollars, a rifle, and a dagger.
33 And he found the basket. Then he touched it, and Ba Newas moved.
34 Then the man said to him, "What kind of person are you?"
35 He said, "I am a man that wants to meet his [lit. my] parents who have died."
36 He said, "And how [lit. why] can someone meet his parents who have already died?"
37 He said, "I won't tell you. I am afraid that you will expect me to let you in my basket."
38 He said, "I ask you to tell me."
39 He said, "If someone goes in this basket, he will meet his parents. I acquired this basket from an angel."
spelling for hábyan). I presume that Ali's reading of hábye on the audio reflects his intended spelling.
talwámi: The Arabic manuscript and audio clearly have talwámi, which must be a D/L-Stem subjunctive talwēm plus a ics object suffix. ML (s.v. $l w m$ ) defines the G-Stem lōm as 'expect', but the D/L-Stem alwim only as 'blame'. However, as noted in ML, the Jibbali D/L-Stem cognate is recorded with the meaning 'expect'.
(l-) $\partial k \nmid \bar{\varepsilon} k$ : The manuscripts and audio have $\partial k l \bar{\varepsilon} k$, but we expect $l-\partial k l \bar{\varepsilon} k$. The expected form $l-\partial k l \bar{\varepsilon} k$ occurs in 33:3.
āmūr, "táwwak tháxawfi!"
āmūr, "ábdan! hōh śátwaḳək al-hábye. ādi al śīnək tīhəm lā mən warx. śīnək tīham wa-ráddək bark šatfēti.
wa-man hīs hábye mōtam, ber śīnak tīham xammōh ṭawōr."
āmūr, "táwwak taḳl $\bar{\varepsilon} y$ hōh al-syēr. ādi al śīnak hábys lā man hīs mōtam." āmūr, "hēt bar mōn?"
āmūr, "hōh bar falān bar falān."
āmūr, "háybək bə-xáyr hē wə-bárk agənnēt, wə-ðə-yวšxəbūr lūk. wวlākan hōh əg̈árbak lā man fanōhวn.
wa-hīs hēt ḥəbrē ðд-falān, ḳəlōna tīk tsyēr, wəlākan thábṭa lā. hōh śátwaḳək al-hábye."
āmūr, "ábdan." tōli āmūr hah, "əntēr lay!"
wə-nətūur lah, w-āmūr, "ākēb fisé' wə-ndōh aməndáwk w-ajanbáyyət wo-ḥāráwn!"
āmūr, "dáwnak bīhan."
āmūr bā nəwās, "hām nákam tīk hābū ðə-yháym yardīyวm būk, ḥəððōr mən təġtáyr. yวg்árbəm akárdək, wว-yənótrəm lūk wว-yวkētəəm h-ḥōkəm. wa-ḥōkəm yanūḳəd lay. hē ber țəwbáy l-əḳlēh bark šatfēti, wa-hōh xōzək." āmūr, "yદ́yc." te nákam ḥāgarōn wa-śállam tah te radēw bah man đ̣ār déhak. wว-bā nəwās səyūr te wịsal abátวh. śxəwlūl.
ts man đ̣ār warx, lībəs b-amandáwk w-ajanbáyyət wa-ksəwēt gádət. wa-ṣāt ḥāráwn h-sawk, wə-śōm ḥāráwn.
tōli āmáwr ḥābū, "ðōməh bā nəwās. śáfah ṣaḥh!!"
tōli šaṣfōh ḥōkəm wə-xxaṣáwb al-bā nəwās te ənkáyh.
āmūr, "hēt ṣaḥh?" āmūr, "ya-ḥōl!" āmūr, "วl radīw būk hāagarōn bark aráwram lā?"
āmūr, "ya-ḥōl, walākan g̉abárk hábye bark agannēt, wa-ráddak. wazáwmi xəmsáyn rawn wə-məndáwḳ wว-jənbáyyət."
wə-ḥāráwn: The Roman manuscripts add $w$-akaráwš 'and the money', but this is missing from the Arabic manuscript and audio. bïhan: The Roman manuscripts have bïhzm, with the 3 mp suffix, but the Arabic manuscript and audio have bïhan, with the 3 fp suffix. The 3 fp suffix is correct, since it refers to aməndáwk w-ajanbáyyat wa-ḥāráwn 'the rifle, the dagger, and the goats', all three of which are grammatically feminine. If w-akəráwš 'and the money' is added, as in the Roman manuscripts, then bïhəm would be correct, since ḳráwš is masculine.

40 He said, "You must change places with me!"
41 He said, "No way! I miss my parents. I haven't seen them for a month. I saw them, and I came back in the basket.
42 And since my parents died, I have already seen them five times."
43 He said, "You ought to let me go! I haven't seen my parents since they died."
44 He said, "Whose son are you?"
45 He said, "I am the son of so-and-so, son of so-and-so."
46 He said, "Your father is well and in Paradise, and he was asking about you. But I didn't know you before.
47 Since you are the son of so-and-so, I will let you go, but don't be long. I miss my parents."
48 He said, "Never." Then he said to him, "Untie me!"
49 He untied him, and he said, "Get in quickly, and give me the rifle, the dagger, and the goats!"
50 He said, "Take them!"
51 Ba Newas said, "If people came to you wanting to throw you, be careful not to speak. They'll recognize your voice, and they'll untie you and tell the ruler.
52 And the ruler will reprimand me. He already asked me to let him in the basket, and I refused."
53 He said, "Ok." Then the slaves came and picked him up and [lit. until] they threw him from a cliff.
54 And Ba Newas went until he reached his house. He stayed.
55 Then after a month, he put on the rifle, the dagger, and good clothes. And he took the goats to the market and sold the goats.
56 Then the people said, "This is Ba Newas. It turns out he's alive!"
57 Then the ruler found out and sent for Ba Newas, and he came to him.
58 He said, "You're alive?" He said, "Indeed!"
59 He said, "Didn't the slaves throw you into the sea?"
6o He said, "Indeed, but I met my parents in Paradise, and I came back. They gave me fifty goats, a rifle, and a dagger."
$51 \quad h$ - $h \bar{o} k z m$ : It is unclear on the audio recording if the $h$ is really pronounced here, but it is written in the Arabic manuscript.

61 āmūr, "hēt bōdək." w-āmūr ḥōkam ḥāgarōn, "hfēram bayr, wa-kəə̄̄m bā nawās barkēh, wa-hánḥam bah śīwōt.".
62 āmáwr, "yદ́yє!" ḥfawr ḥāgərōn bayr wə-bā nəwās ḥfūr mən abátəh te wị̣al abáyr.
63 tōli āmáwr ha-bā nawās, "kəfēd bark abáyr!" āmūr, "gazēkam xayr! hōh béri śátwaḳak al-hábys."
64 wa-kafūd bā nawās wa-šáh láwḳə wa-kaláys bark abáyr, wa-hē wakūb bark adaḥlīl wa-sayūr h-abótəh.
wa-ḥābū həwīw b-aḍērōb mən ag̉áwf, te mīla abáyr ṣábbam gंāz wə-šxāṭəm bïham.
wa-ḥābū ṣōram. attōli nəḳbōt aláwḳət. āmáwr, "hวmé", ḥərōh ðว-bā nəwās fikaś." w-aḳáfyzm.
67 wa-hē śxawlūl warx. kask hábke w-agannēt." āmūr, "ḥōm al-syēr." tōli ḥfawr hah bayr, wa-ḥharịk bah. wə-śxəwlīl wárxi trōh. tōli nákam ḥabūn ðд-ḥōkam təwōli bā nawās. àmáwr, "háyban al nūka lā."


61 hfēram ... wa-ḳalēm ... wa-hánḥam: The audio has the three mp imperative forms ḥfēram ‘dig!', kalēm ‘leave!’, and hánḥam 'burn!', but the manuscripts all have the 3 mp subjunctives yahfēram, yaklēm, and yahánham. The subjunctives reflect indirect speech ('he told the servants to dig ... to leave ... and to burn'), while the imperative forms must be direct speech. recompense') is absent from $M L$ (cf. also Jibbali gazé 'reward'). It is most often used in the phrase gazēk xayr 'thank you!' (pl. gazēkam xayr), as here and in 28:20 and 39:14. The bare form gazē is found in 22:101 and 73:11. On the lack of the expected definite article in this phrase, apparently part of the idiom, see the comment to text 36:3 (rábbak).
65 šx $\bar{a} t ̣ a m: ~ M L ~(s . v . ~ s ́ x t) ~ l i s t s ~ t h i s ~ v e r b ~ w i t h ~ a n ~ i n i t i a l ~ s ́, ~ a n d ~ J o h n s t o n e ~ t r a n-~$ scribed ś in the Roman manuscripts, but the audio clearly has š. (In Ali's

61 He said, "You are lying [lit. lied]." And the ruler said to the slaves, "Dig a well, put Ba Newas in it, and burn him with fire."
62 They said, "Ok!" The slaves dug a well, and Ba Newas dug from his house until he reached the well.
63 Then they said to Ba Newas, "Get down into the well!" He said, "Thank you! I already miss my parents."
64 And Ba Newas went down. He had a bottle, and he left it in the well. And he entered the tunnel and went to his house.
65 And the people dropped in firewood from above. Then when the well was full, they poured in gas and lit it.
66 And the people stood (to watch). Then the bottle cracked. They said, "Listen, Ba Newas' head exploded." And they went away.
67 And he stayed a month.
68 Then he put on good clothes, and he went until he got to the ruler. He said, "Who are you?"
69 He said, "I am Ba Newas." He said, "Didn't you die?"
70 He said, "No. I came from my parents and your parents in Paradise, and they send you greetings."
71 He said, "I want to go!"
72 Ba Newas said, "No way! We won't let you. If you go, you won't come back to us again, when you find your parents in Paradise."
73 He said, "I want to go." Then they dug a well for him, and they burned him.
74 And they waited two months. Then the ruler's sons came to Ba Newas. They said, "Our father hasn't come back."
75 He said, "Your father won't come back from his parents in Paradise."

Arabic-letter transcription, ś and $\check{s}$ are both transcribed $\underset{\sim}{*}$.) The root may show some free variation, or perhaps dialectal variation.
$h \bar{h} h$ : In place of $h \bar{o} m$ al-syēr hōh 'I want to go!', which is what the Arabic manuscript and audio have, the Roman manuscripts have hōm al-syēr tawéliham 'I want to go to them'. The earlier Roman manuscripts add hōh above təwéliham, indicating the variant text.
al nkálak: The Arabic manuscript has the negative particle al, while the Roman manuscript does not. The audio sounds like ankálak, probably from *alnkálak.
āmáwr ḥabūn ðə-ḥōkəm, "nəḥōm nasyēr təwélhe!" āmūr, "ý́ye."
$k$-sōbəḥ, hanḥáyw ba-ḥəbūn дə-ḥōkəт w-awzáyrham.
78 w-āmáwr ha-bā nəwās, "dáwnək hēt b-aḥkáwmət te nənk $k$ k." wa-ḥkūm bā nəwās aṭáwl ð-azəbōn. wə-təmmōt kəwtēt.

[^150]76 The ruler's sons said, "We want to go to him!" He said, "Ok."
77 In the morning, they burned the ruler's sons and their vizier.
78 And they said to Ba Newas, "You take the kingdom until we come back to you!"
79 And Ba Newas ruled from then on [lit. the length of the time]. And the story is finished.

## Text 22 (no J): The Merchant's Handsome Son

1 xațarāt tōgar ba-rḥabēt wa-šáh g ḡ̄ ēn wa-ġaganōt. w-ágīgēn raḥáym xā hē rìt.
tع nahōr ṭayt āmarūt hāmē ð-ag̈̄̄gēn h-ag̈áygas, "wa-kōh hēt al taḳōla
ḥabrēk yasyēr šūk h-sawk, wa-y ātalōm attagōrət al-hīs habbūn attagēr?"
āmūr ag̉áyg, "yáṣṣak mən ạhād yáyźnah."
àmarūt tēt, "al hē gaggīt là te tāṣōṣ lah."
te nhōr xəwfit ṣāṭáyh h-sawk.
tє wáṣalam adəkkōnah nákam hāaū yaftarīgən ag̀̄̄gēn te mūlam sawk.
tōli āmūr agááy, "nəḥōm naḳfēl adakkōn wa-nsyēr abáyt. ðōməh śawr
əд-hāmēk."
ādham la-wṭákəmah, nūka hēxarftūk man amasgēd. te wịṣal hāl ag̉áyg
wa-habráh, ṣōr wa-ġalūk b-ȧ̇̄̄gēn ġáylak kəwáy.
9 attōli sīrōh ağáyg wa-ḥəbráh, yaháym h-abáyt. tōli tabáyham hēxar.
10 te wáṣalam xāh ð-abáyt, ṣōr ḥayb ð-aj̈̆̄gēn wa-šxabūr hēxar.
11 āmūr həh, "kō hēt tábak tīn?" āmūr, "hōm l-āḳá" aṣáyfək yəmōh."
12 āmūr, "áhlan wa-sáhlan!" tōli nákam tah ba-fśē", w-āmūr həh, "fśsh!"
13
14
tōli āmūr aġ̄̄gēn háabbวh, "agáyg alfśōh lā, wə-ðд-yaġáwlak bay wə-ðə-
yabáyk. w-al wádak hēśan šáglah lā."
15 āmūr hah ḥáybah, "akōfi tawēhe wa-śxáwwal hanēh wa-lḥáməh. wa-kalé"
ḥanáfk tḥámah $h$-šáġal kōmoḥ.
wa-hām xazōh, awṣeṣ lah. wa-hōh makōbal līkam.
17 วð hē šēmūn, hōh nakōna tīkam wə-wtg̀ōna ağáyg.
$18 w$-əð hē xazōh, šáxbar man akáṣdəh." āmūr ag̀ īgēn, "ý́ys."
19 sayūr aġīgēn tawōli hēxar w-āmūr hah, "kō hēt tabáyk?" āmūr hah, "kalēy
l-abkéh!"

2 attagēr: The Arabic manuscript has al-tagēr (التجير), which is probably just an Arabized spelling, using the Arabic definite article (which would be pronounced $\partial t$ - anyway). The audio does not have al-, nor did Johnstone transcribe it in the Roman manuscripts. $t e \bar{t}$ : As with $\operatorname{tage} \bar{r}$ in line 2, Ali wrote al-tēt$\underline{(1)}$ ) in the Arabic manuscript, again using the Arabic article. The audio has just tēt.
$4 \quad t \varepsilon$ : Though $t \varepsilon$ is in the manuscripts, Ali missed it on the audio.
18 šáxbar: The Roman manuscripts have šáxbarah 'ask him', but the Arabic manuscript and audio have simply šáxbor 'ask'.

## Translation of Text 22

1 Once there was a rich man [or: merchant] in a town, and he had a boy and a girl. And the boy was beautiful like the moon.
2 Then one day, the mother of the boy said to her husband, "Why don't you let your son go with you to the market, so he can learn business like the sons of (other) merchants?"
3 The man said, "I am afraid that someone will look at him with the evil eye."
4 The woman said, "He is not a girl so that you should be afraid for him."
5 Then the next day, he took him to the market.
6 Then when they got to his store, people came to look at the boy, and [lit. until] they filled the market.
7 Then the man said, "We should close the store and go home. This was your mother's idea."
8 While they were still like this, an old man came who had come out of the mosque. When he got to the man and his son, he stood and looked at the boy (with) an intense look.
9 Then the man and his son left, heading for home. Then then old man followed them.
10 Then when they got to the door of the house, the boy's father stopped and asked the old man.
11 He said to him, "Why did you follow us?" He said, "I want to be your guest today."
12 He said, "Welcome!" Then they brought him lunch, and he said to him, "Eat!"
13 Then he ignored [lit. left] the lunch, and he looked at the boy and cried.
14 Then the boy said to his father, "The man didn't eat lunch. He is looking at me and crying. I don't know what his intention is."
15 His father said to him, "Go back to him and sit next to him and touch him. And pretend [lit. leave yourself] that you want him for a bad purpose.
16 And if he refuses, press against him. And I'll be watching you.
17 If he agrees, I will come to you and kill the man.
18 And if he refuses, ask him his goal." The boy said, "Ok."
19 The boy went to the old man and said to him, "Why are you crying?" He said to him, "Let me cry!"

18 akáṣsdah: The manuscripts have akáṣdah 'his goal', but on the audio Ali read akassátah 'his story'.
tōli nūka ag̀igēn wa－lhām ag̀áyg．attōli āmūr hēxar，＂kō hēt talhámi？＂ $\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$ ，＂hamk tsyēr šay．＂ āmūr agáyg，＂astágfar olḷáh！ðōməh al hē šáǵli lā．＂ āmūr hah agiğēn，＂hām al＇ámlak bay lā，ṣākōna háybi w－āmrōna，＇ag̉áyg hagūm lay，＇wz－shaṭōna tīk háybi．＂
āmūr，＂ṣákəh！ðōməh al hē sáǵgli lā．＂wz－háyb ð－ag̀igēn ðд－yagáwlak bīham． tōli āmūr ag̈̄gēn，＂ar kō hēt tt－tzğáwlək bay wa－t－tzbáyk？＂
tōli āmūr hēxar，＂kawt̄ōna hūk，walākan yáṣsak tīk m－äd ta ḥtawōl hām kalötk hūk．＂
āmūr，＂ábdan．＂tōli kalūth hah．
āmūr，＂hōh nákak man rạhbēt al－falāníyya．te nahōr，gōrak naxāli hāạən， wa－siñək tē̄t šadhakáwt mən xawfèt．
wa－ăgbak bīs．wa－sē（t）śśábhan lūk bađ̛－đ̣ábṭ．
wa－hīs śñak tīk，fạtnak tīs．wa－ðōmah hē amkaṣáwdi．

 wa－həみみōr mən（t）šhēgəs hənáfk tsyēr．＂
 ＂hēxar ḥśaym，walākan ðə－yabáyk．al šah walēd lā，wz－hīs śanyáy，bakōh．＂ tōli wazáwmah karáwš，wa－sayūr hēxar．
te nhōr xawfit，àmūr agīgēn hāmáh，＂hōm karáwš w－al－syēr al－śōm wa－l－aśtōm al－hīs habūn ð－tagēr，wa－háybial kal＇áy lā．＂
āmarūt hah，＂y̌́ys．salōb te ba－halláy．mat háybak šawkūf，wazmīta tīk kəráwš．＂
söfar ag̀igēn ðд－yzhọo yasyēr h－arhabēt ðд－bīs tẹ̄．

22
astáğfar all！áh：This is Arabic astag̈fir allāh，lit．＇I ask God’s forgiveness＇． $m-\bar{a} d$ ：The Roman manuscripts have man，but the Arabic manuscript and audio have $m-a ̈ d$ ．
naxāli：The Roman manuscripts have man naxāli，but the Arabic manu－ script and audio have just nəxäli．
$w$－aǵáygas s sōyaġ tōgr：This is an addition found only in the Roman manu－ scripts．It is not in the Arabic manuscript or on the audio．Cf．line 43 － təəวdmah ．．．ādamūt：These must be，respectively，the 3 fs imperfect（plus 3 ms object suffix）and 3 fs perfect of a Ga－Stem verb ādūm＇execute＇．ML （s．v．${ }^{〔} d m$ ）lists a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem with this meaning，but neither of these forms can be a D／L－Stem．

20 Then the boy came and touched the man. Then the old man said, "Why are you touching me?"
21 He said, "I want you to go with me."
22 The boy said, "God forbid! This is not my intention."
23 The boy said to him, "If you don't do (anything) with me, I will call my father and will say, 'The man attacked me', and my father will kill you."
24 He said, "Call him! This is not my intention." And the boy's father was watching them.
25 Then the boy said, "So why are you looking at me and crying?"
26 Then the old man said, "I will tell you, but I am afraid you will go crazy if I tell you."
27 He said, "Never." Then he told him.
28 He said, "I came from such-and-such town. One day, I passed under a castle, and I saw a woman looking down from the window.
29 And I fell in love with her. And she looks like you exactly.
30 And when I saw you, I remembered her. This is my reason.
31 And the woman that I told you about is the daughter of the ruler. (And her husband is a rich jeweler.)
32 And if anyone stands under the castle, she executes him. She has already executed about a hundred people. And be careful not to think about yourself going."
33 He said, "Ok." Then the boy left the old man and came to his father. He said to him, "The man is respectable, but he's crying. He has no children, and when he saw me, he cried."
34 Then they gave him (some) money, and the old man left.
35 Then the next day, the boy said to his mother, "I want (some) money, so I can go buy and sell like the sons of (other) merchants, but my father wouldn't let me."
36 She said to him, "Ok. Wait until night. When your father goes to sleep, I'll give you (some) money."
37 And the boy traveled, intending to go to the town that the woman was in.
$32(t) s ̌ h e ̄ g a s:$ This verb, an Š2-Stem of the root hgs, is not in $M L$ (s.v. hgs), though the G-Stem hagūs 'think' is listed. The Jibbali equivalent s̃hégas 'think' is listed in $J L$ (s.v. $h g s$ ).
kol'áy: The manuscripts have a perfect kol'áay (a form found also in 89:6), but the audio has the imperfect yakálay.
ts ba-ḥalláy wazmátəh ḳaráwš mēkən. wa-sayūr te wịṣal arḥabēt ðə-bīs attēt. wakūb hāl hallāk, w-āmūr hah, "halēk háyni!"
wa-ḥəūk hah, wa-wazmáh āśarīt dīnār. tōli ḥallāk tə áaggab mən śśsbb.
$w$-āmūr hah, "ḥamk tśné' əttēti." wa-ṣāḳáys te nəkōt tétah ā gáwz.
wazmīs xamsáyn karš. tōli šxabarátah mən aḳáṣdəh.

āmərūt hah, "həəððōr, ā ḥəbráy!"
āmūr hīs, "ḥamš tāmáyli háyni wōram al-śnēs."
āmarūt āgáwz, "šūk mēkan ķráwš?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "šay mēkan." āmarūt hah, "akọfi al-hāl aṣōyagं, w-āmōl xōtam b-alf dīnār. wa-mat tammáh, āmēr həh, ‘ōməh ḳənnáwn, wa-śalálah hēt hanáfk!’ wa-salōb.
te nhōr xawfit, āmōl xōtam b-ərbōt yalēf. wa-mət tammáh, $\bar{a} m e \overline{r, ~ Ə o ̄ m a h ~}$ kənnáwn, wazmōna tīk tah hadáyyat.' w-azēm xaddōmat man āśarīt dīnār baláš.
w-anhōr śawtīt, āmōl xōtzm b-āśarìt yalēf, w-āmēr, 'ðōmah ḳənnáwn.' $w$-əzéməh tah."
tōli áymal waṭákวməh wa-yวwūzam xaddōmət ð-aṣōyag่ (m-)mán myēt w-am-mán myētáyn dīnār.
tōli aṣōyagं śall axtūm tawōli tétzah. wa-kalūt hīs ba-kkarōmət ð-ágīgēn.
tōli āgbōt bah attēt w-āmarūt h-ağáygas, "hēt sáfalah!wa-kōh al táwzam aġáyg hanūk b-acisée, wa-hē ber karmūk waṭōmah?"
te nhōr ðд-rbáyt, nūka ag̈̆gēn, wว-šáh gáwhərət b-ərbə'áyn alf akámts.

38 arḥabēt: Similar to the errors in lines 2 and 4, Ali wrote al-raḥbēt (الرحبيت), using the Arabic article. The audio clearly has arḥabēt.
40 táággab: This is an Arabic verb form (standard táajjaba, colloquial tѓajjab).
46 mēkən ķəráwš: The Roman manuscripts have karáwš mēkən, but the Arabic manuscript and audio have mēkan karáwš.
(m-)mán myēt: We expect am-mán myēt, but on the audio Ali read man. However, he stumbled several times on the following $w$-am-mán, so it is possible he just made a mistake in reading, since he does not always distinguish man and am-mán in his Arabic-letter spelling; both can be simply من (see §8.15, n. 18).
myētáyn: This is an Arabic dual form.
axtūm: Although $M L$ (s.v. xtm) lists the plural form xətōwam, the audio clearly has axtūm, as do all the manuscripts (with some variation in the transcription in the Roman manuscripts). The same form appears in texts

38 Then at night, she gave him a lot of money. And he went until he reached the town that the woman was in.
39 He went in to a barber's, and he said to him, "Cut (my hair) for me!"
40 And he cut (his hair) for him, and he gave him ten dollars. Then the barber took a liking to the lad.
41 And he said to him, "I want you to see my wife." And he called her and [lit. until] his old wife came.
42 He gave her fifty dollars. Then she asked him his purpose.
43 He said, "I love a woman, the daughter of the ruler, and her husband is a jeweler."
44 She said to him, "Be careful, my son!"
45 He said to her, "I want you to make me a way to see her."
46 The old woman said, "Do you have a lot of money?"
47 He said, "I have a lot." She said to him, "Go over to the jeweler's, and make a ring for a thousand dinars. And when he finishes it, say to him, "This is small. You take it for yourself!' And wait.
48 Then the next day, make a ring for four thousand. And when he finishes it, say, 'This is small. I will give it to you (as) a present.' And give the workers ten dinars for nothing.
49 And the third day, make a ring for ten thousand, and say, 'This is small.' And give it to him."
50 Then he did this, and he gave the jeweler's workers between a hundred and two hundred dinars.
51 Then the jeweler took the rings to his wife. And he told her about the generosity of the boy.
52 Then the woman fell in love with him, and she said to her husband, "You are low! Why don't you invite the man to your place for dinner, and he has already been so generous to you?"
53 Then the fourth day, the boy came, and he had a jewel whose value was forty thousand.

81:2 and 88:5; cf. also the Jibbali plural axtúm. Jahn (1902: 91, line 23) has xtóum, which could match either xtūm or xtōwam.
$h$-aǵáygas: All the manuscripts have h-aǵáygas 'to her husband', but the audio has $h$ - $a s ̣ \bar{o} y \partial \dot{g}$ 'to the jeweler'.
alf: All the manuscripts have alf 'thousand', but the audio has the plural yalēf 'thousands'.
akámts: This word is not in the Roman manuscript, nor is it listed in ML. It comes from Arabic qimat 'value, worth'.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r h-a s ̣ o ̄ y \partial \dot{g}$, " $h a m k ~ t a ̄ m o ̄ l ~ h a ́ y n i ~ ð ı ̄ m ə h ~ a g a ́ w h ə r ə t ~ x o ̄ t ə m . " ~$
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "yદ́ys." ts təmmīs, āmūr, "Jìməh al bīs śéni lā. wว-śalēs ḥənáfk." tōli āmūr hah, "a ciśēk hənīn!" āmūr, "ábdan." $\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "l̄zzam a ciśēk hanīn!"
te nákam, śxəwlīl bark amgalēs w-ātéśyam.
tع ba-ha alláy, āśśūt tēt w-āmlōt mōh bark figōni trōh. ámma ṭāt, barkēh masákkar ðд-šanēt, w-ámma ṭāṭ, barkēh śí lā.
w-āmərūt ḥāgəráts, "āzēmi fígōn əð-bərkēh adīwē agáaygi. wz-bāl ḥəmōh āzáməh ağáyg aṣáyf."
attōli wazmátham ḥāgarīt, wa-ttáḳkam.
ámma aṣōyдğ, šawkūf, w-al ḥəss bว-śī lā. w-ámma ag̉áyg aṣáyf, śxəwtūl.
te nkōt tēt, wa-śxawallūt, wa-šxabarátah. wa-kalūt hīs ba-kāl śīyən.
tōli āmarūt hah, "ḥōm l-aghōm šūk." āmūr, "y $\dot{y} y \varepsilon$ !"
hātəmōh fáxra. te k-sōbəḥ, agáyg šəzkūf wa-ttēt sīrūt h-amkōnəs.
wว-səyūr ag̉áyg aṣáyf. tōli āmərūt əttēt̄ h-ag̉áygəs, "દ̄zzm tāzéməh saātáyt āṣáwr."
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "y $y \varepsilon$." wa-hēm yāmīl woṭákaməh.
 adəkkōnəh.
ts kaláyni nūka abáyt, ksīs xaláyyat.
hankūr əð-sē fawtūt wa-bér ṣ̂āṭōt amōlah kálləh.
wə-sayáwr te wáṣalan arḥəbēt ð-ag̈īgēn. wə-nūka hāl háybəh, wə-šáh attēt wa-hāgaráts.
tōli šxabaráh, āmūr, "man ḥõ hūk attēt wa-ḥāgarīt?"
tōli kalūtِ lah, w-āmūr, "ād fátnək hēxər ðə-nkáyn ðə-yabáyk?" āmūr, "fátnak."
74 āmūr, "д-áygab bə-ttētِ ðīməh. wa-hīs kalūt̄ lay, ágbək bīs, wa-gahémk təwēse, wə-nákak bīs."
āmūr hah ḥáybah, "ṭáyyab! attēt šīs ag̉áygas aw lā?"
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "šīs ag̉áygas, wa-bér aḥ́amáy, walākan ’ágbak ba-ttēt."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "əl anūṭək hūk lā hām hārásk bīs. həmé", ā habráy! al-hīs ber āmlōt b-aġáygas ḥāwaláy, āmlīta būk waṭákamah. wa-hām hārásk bīs, awághi al yalhōm awághak lā.
w-amōl ð-ag̈áyg, ḥsábəh, wə-nahōom naḥfáđ̣əh. wə-ktəbōna təwōli ag̉áyg yanké wa-yวṣ́ōt attétzh wa-hāgərátəh w-amōlah."

70 วð-sē: All the manuscripts have just sē, but the audio has $\partial \partial-s \bar{e}$.
$79 w$-amōlah: The phrase $w$-amōlah 'and his property' is not in the Arabic manuscript or on the audio, but appears in the Roman manuscripts.

54 He said to the jeweler, "I want you to make this jewel into a ring for me."
55 He said, "Ok." Then when he finished it, he said, "This doesn't look good [lit. doesn't have appearance]. Take it for yourself."
56 And then he said to him, "Your dinner is at our place!" He said, "Never."
57 He said, "Your dinner must be at our place!"
58 Then when he came, they sat in the salon and had dinner.
59 Then at night, the woman got up and put [lit. made] water in two cups. One, in it was a sleeping drug, and the other, in it was nothing.
6o And she said to her servant-girl, "Give the cup with the medicine in it to my husband. And the one with (just) water, give it to the guest."
61 Then she gave them to the servant-girl, and they drank.
62 As for the jeweler, he went to sleep, and wasn't aware of anything. As for the guest, he remained.
63 Then the woman came, and she sat down, and she questioned him. And he told her everything.
64 Then she said to him, "I want to go with you!" He said, "Ok!"
65 They spent the night together. Then in the morning, the man went to sleep, and the woman went to her place.
66 And the man, the guest, left. Then the woman said to her husband, "You must invite him for three nights."
67 He said, "Ok." And they did so.
68 Then when the third (one) of the nights came, the man and the jeweler's wife ran away. And the jeweler was in his shop.
69 Then when he came home in the evening, he found it empty.
70 He realized that she had run away, and had taken all his wealth.
71 And they went until they reached the boy's town. And he came to his father, and with him was the woman and her servant-girl.
72 Then he asked him, he said, "Where did you get [lit. from where do you have] the woman and the servant-girl?"
73 Then he told him, and he said, "Do you still remember the old man who came to us crying?" He said, "I remember."
74 He said, "He had fallen in love with this woman. And when he told me, I fell in love with her, and I went to her, and I brought her back."
75 His father said to him, "Good! Does the woman have a husband, or not?"
76 He said, "She has a husband, and he respected me, but I love the woman."
77 He said, "I will not kiss you (in greeting) if you marry her. Listen, my son! As she has done to her first husband, thus will she do to you.
78 And if you marry her, my face will not touch your face.
79 And the man's property, count it, and let's keep it safe. And I'll write to the man to come and take his wife and his servant-girl and his property."

8o āmūr hah ag̀īgēn, "al aḳálas lā!"
81 āmūr hah háybah, "dīmah xáynət, wa-xōyan mánah xayr lā. wa-hēt xōyan, wə-xánk b-arībēk ð-āzmūk."
tōli āmūr ágígēn, "ý́ys."
hāmūr attōgar bo-ḥábs ðə-ttēt wa-hāgərīt, wa-ktūb təwōli aṣōyag xatt.. w-āmūr, "ila ḥaḍrat al-maḍayyif al-məḥtaram, tahīyah țayyibah," wə-ba'd: "wáṣalam tīn kawb ðə-yaslūl ba-kawbīt. wa-hām thōom kawbūt, nəké. naḥāh b-amkōn al-falāni."
attōli hārūs ḥabrē ðə-ttōgar bart ḥadáydəh. te nhōr ð-aṣ́ayáft nūka aṣōyag. tōli āmūr ag̈īgēn háybəh, "ðōməh hē aṣōyəğ ðə-wkūb."
āmūr hah, "kalēh fanōhan yatéh, wa-mət ber təwōh, ṣákəวh, wa-hōh mašáxbar tah."
 hōom mank aṣáṭ. mōn ðд-xəyūn būk, attēt aw ḥabráy?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "attēti $x$ innūt bay, wa-ḥabrēk lā, walākan ġarrátah attēt.". $\bar{a} m u ̄ r h a h, ~ " t \varepsilon t \underline{k} k$ wa-hāgarátk w-amōlak hanin bark amān." tōli tōgar ṣāk habráh ba-śáwr. āmūr, "hōh madḥōna attēt d-aṣōyagं,
 əð hē káybal, hē hīs tīkam, wa-yašhōl śī lā, wa-bagdōna tīham.
 ġayr śt̄, wa-yakūn assabēb mank."
 tasmēḥ tetrk, wa-haynit tag̀tfükən."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " y \varepsilon ́ y \varepsilon, ~ w a l a ̄ k a n ~ h ̣ o ̄ m ~ ว l-s ́ n e ̄ s ə n . " ~ " ~$
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r h a h$, "дōmah amftēh, wa-sēn bark ag̉arfēt ðayk."
wa-sayūr aṣōyag te fatḥ abōb, wa-šáh skayn. wa-ṭān əttéṫzh wa-ṭān ḥāgarīt, wa-ftūk.
xánk: This must be from a verb $x \bar{n}$ (II-w G-Stem). $M L$ (s.v. $x w n$ ) lists only xəyūn (II-y G-Stem), a verb which occurs elsewhere in the texts, including in this story (22:89, 22:90; 74:10, 74:13, 74:17). ḤL (s.v. $x w n$ ) does list Mehri (and Ḥarsusi) xōn.
84 'ila ... tayyibah: This entire phrase is Arabic.
92 təg̈tfūkən: This form can be parsed either as a 3 fp imperfect of a Ti-Stem $\dot{g} a ́ t f \partial k$ or as a 3 fp subjunctive of a $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem $\partial \dot{g} t f u \bar{k}$. ML lists both verbs, but only the T2-Stem with the meaning 'go astray'. Since we expect an imperfect in this context, we can probably give the same meaning to the T1-Stem ǵgatfak. Note that the Jibbali T1-Stem ǵg tfak can also mean 'go astray' (JL, s.v. $\dot{g} f$ ).

8o The boy said to him, "I won't leave her!"
81 His father said to him, "This (woman) is a traitor, and nothing good comes from a traitor. And you are a traitor. You betrayed your friend who invited you (to his home)."
82 Then the boy said, "Ok."
83 The merchant ordered the imprisonment of the woman and the servantgirl, and he wrote a letter to the jeweler.
84 And he said, "To the honorable giver of hospitality," and afterwards:
85 "There has come to us a dog carrying a bitch. And if you want the bitch, come. We are in such-and-such place."
86 Then the merchant's son married his cousin. Then on the day of the wedding, the jeweler came.
87 Then he said to his father, "That [lit. this] is the jeweler that has come in."
88 He said to him, "Let him eat first, and after he has eaten, call him, and I will ask him."
89 Then they called him, and the merchant said to him, "This is the boy who took your wife. But I want the truth from you. Who betrayed you, the woman or my son?"
90 He said, "The woman betrayed me, not your son. Rather, the woman deceived him."
91 He said to him, "Your wife and your servant-girl and your property are with us in safe-keeping."
92 Then the merchant called his son for consultation. He said, "I will praise the jeweler's wife, and I'll say to the jeweler, 'Women go astray, and so forgive her'.
93 If he accepts, he is like you, and he deserves nothing, and I'll chase them out.
94 But if he kills the woman and the servant-girl, he is a brave man, and I'll give him for nothing [lit. without anything] your sister in marriage, and this [lit. the reason] will be because of you."
95 The boy said, "Ok." And they went back to the jeweler. The merchant said to him, "We want you to forgive your wife. Women go astray."
96 He said, "Ok, but I want to see them."
97 He said to him, "This is the key, and they are in that room."
98 And the jeweler went and [lit. until] he opened the door. And he had a knife. He stabbed his wife and stabbed the servant-girl, and he came out.

99 āmūr hah tōgar, "haynīt hõo?"
100 āmūr, "hōh al kask yanīt lā. kask kalábtan."
101 tōli 'aśś tōgar w-amōsi bah, w-āmūr, "hēt tšhōl man hanīn gazē, walākan maháffak tik habráyti."
102 wa-ffaḳáyh ḥabrátah, wa-sē axáyr mən attéṫəh hạwalīt.
103 wa-śxawlūl sanēt wa-mgंōrən āmūr aṣōyà், "ḥōm l-ərdēd aḳāy."
104 āmūr hah tōgar, "Jōmah markēb śḥān, w-alyōmah garōn wa-gērtan. wa-ð̄̀mah tttk. wa-ghim!"
105 wa-gahēm aṣōyȧg bárah tōgar man mōl wa-garōn. wa-tammōt.

101 tōgar: This word appears in both Roman manuscripts, but is absent from the Arabic manuscript and the audio. It was probably added later in order to make clear who the subject is.
104 śḥān: We should perhaps transcribe here aś-śhān, with an assimilated relative pronoun. Although since markēb is indefinite, a relative is not necessary. It is hard to tell from the audio if there is a geminate $s$.

99 The merchant said to him, "Where are the women?"
100 He said, "I didn't find any women. I found bitches."
101 Then the merchant got up and kissed him, and he said, "You deserve a reward from us, and I will give you my daughter in marriage."
102 And he gave him his daughter in marriage, and she was better than his first wife.
103 And they stayed for a year, and then the jeweler said, "I want to go back to my country."
104 The merchant said to him, "This is a ship that's loaded, and these are slaves and servant-girls. And this is your wife. Go!"
105 And the jeweler went, already rich in wealth and slaves. And it is finished.

## Text 23 (no J): A Lost Camel

xəṭərāt śāt̄áyt təgēr śxəwlīl đ̣ār amāray, wə-hēm ðд-ḥəzīn. āmūr ḥāwaláy, "hēśan naḳdēr nāmōl mən ġayr ab'áyrən? ab'áyrən fōnəh gīd wīyan, wa-yaślūl tēekal, wa-kawáy."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ śōlat, " $m a$ amhōrət ð-ab'áyr, wa-hē āwēr áynah țayt, walākan hē yagōrrab ḥayrēm kāl, yanké' sēyaḥ kállah. walākan hōh šaṣdákk lā yāká’ xatūs."
āmūr, "yámkən hərēẹ." $\bar{a} d h \partial m ~ l a-w t ̣ a ́ k a m ə h, ~ n ə k a ́ y h \partial m ~ \dot{g} a y g . ~ t o ̄ l i ~ s ̌ x a b i ̄ r a h . ~$

$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "ḍáyrəh tōmər w-ayś?" āmáwr, " $\varepsilon h e!" ~$
āmūr, "xərēs amṣ́árḥวh āmkyīt?" āmáwr, "ẽhẽ!"
$\bar{a} m u \bar{u}, ~ " s i n n a k ~ t a h ~ l a ̄ . " ~$
āmáwr, "kēf hályak tah līn wa-ṣarōmah āmárk, '(al) śīnak tah lā’? hēt ðд-hərákəək ab‘áyrən!"
āmūr, "ábdan!" tōli səyūr yaḳōfi mənhēm. tōli mánam tah. āmáwr, "ġadéwwan tawōli śśra?!" āmūr, "gंadéwwan!"
sayáwr te wáṣalam śéra'.
kaláwtِ təgēr al-śśrə’. wว-məg̈ōrən šxabūr śśrə’ aġáyg. āmūr hah, "ḥõ ab‘áyr ðа-hābū alyōmah?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " a l ~ s ́ i n ə k ~ t a h ~ l a ̄ . " ~$
 $w$-ayś, wə-xarēs amṣ́árhah āmkyı̄t?
 háymal?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "hīs béri ba-ḥōram, śīnək ākāab đ̣ār วssárf ðд-ḥáymal (t)táwyan ayś, wa-ð̣ār assárf śáymal śīnak nawēb (t)táwyan tōmar.
$2 \quad t \quad t e \bar{e} a l$ : This word is not in $M L$, though its root is well known. In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'heavy loads'. $m a$ : The use of this particle is an Arabism. The following word, mahōrat, also ultimately derives from Arabic (<mahārat 'cleverness, skillfulness'). sēyah: The Arabic manuscript has سيح, and Johnstone transcribed sēḥ in both Roman manuscripts. ML (s.v. ṣyḥ) has șayḥ. The audio has sēyaḥ (perhaps < séyaḥ < sayḥ), though Ali did stumble a bit when reading this word. The Jibbali cognate has ṣ (JL, s.v. ssyḥ; Nakano 1986: 109). For Ḥarsusi, $H L$ has sēh, but listed under the root ṣyh (!), suggesting a possible typo.

## Translation of Text 23

1 Once there were three merchants sitting in the pasture, and they were sad.
2 The first one said, "What might we be able to do without our camel? Our camel was very good before, and it carried heavy loads, and was strong."
3 The third said, "Oh the cleverness of the camel! It was blind is one eye, but it knew all the roads, so it could travel the whole desert. But I don't believe it could have gotten lost."
4 He said, "Maybe it was stolen."
5 While they were like this, a man came to them. Then they questioned him.
6 He said, "Are you looking for a camel that is blind in its left eye?" They said, "Yes!"
7 He said, "On it are dates and rice?" They said, "Yes!"
8 He said, "Its middle tooth is missing?" They said, "Yes!"
9 He said, "I haven't seen it."
10 They said, "How did you describe it to us and now you say, 'I haven't seen it'? You are the one who stole our camel!"
11 He said, "No way!" Then he went to turn away from them. Then they grabbed him. They said, "Let's go to the judge!" He said, "Let's go!"
12 They went until they got to the judge.
13 The merchants told the judge. And then the judge questioned the man. He said to him, "Where is these people's camel?"
14 He said, "I haven't seen it."
15 He said, "But you described it exactly. And how did you know that it has on it dates and rice, and is missing its middle tooth?
16 And how did you know that it was carrying dates on its left side and rice on its right side?"
17 He said, "When I was on the road, I saw birds on the right side eating rice, and on the left side, I saw bees eating dates.

3 šaṣd $d \dot{k} k k$ : Ali spelled this šaṣták $k$ in the Arabic manuscript. See further in the comment to text 20:6.
10 al: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali wrote al, but omitted it on the audio. It can be omitted freely, with no change in meaning. Compare lines 9 and 14.

15 ' $\bar{\varepsilon} m ə k$ : This is from a Gb-Stem áylam 'know, learn', which is missing from ML. It is presumably a borrowing of Arabic 'alima. The Gb-Stem élam is also found in Jibbali, and is likewise missing from $J L$.

18

20 attōli śśrə’ āmūr ḥābū, "aḳáfyam, ġalēḳəm mən ab‘áyrkəm. wa-hām al káskəm tah lā, ḥabyēsa aġáyg.
walākan ‘ámlak tah aġáyg aṣáṭkəh, walākan aġáyg ōḳəl." wa-sayáwr attagēr wa-ġaláwk man ab‘áyr, wə-kūsəm təh. wว-təmmōt.

18 séf $z^{\prime}$ : This word is not in ML, but in the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone also added the gloss 'untouched, uneaten'. The Jibbali cognate śsf $\varepsilon$ ' 'untouched, uncropped grass' is listed in $J L$ (s.v. ssf).

18 And there was grass there. The grass on the left side was uneaten, but the grass on the right side was eaten, and every mouthful was uneaten in the [lit. its] middle.
19 And I recognized that it was missing its middle tooth."
20 Then the judge said to the people, "Go back (and) look for your camel. If you don't find it, we'll put the man in prison.
21 I think the man is truthful, but the man is (too) clever."
22 And the merchants went and looked for the camel, and they found it. And it is finished.

# Text 24 ( $=$ J17; the Mehri version was translated from Jibbali, but not exactly): The Unfaithful Sister 

1 xaṭarāt ḥōkəm ba-rḥabēt. te nahōr ṭayt śanōh ḥənáfəh.
2 w-āmūr hah amhéśni, "ankōna bə-gंagənōt, wa-xīnīta būk." tōli assōfar ḥōkəm, wa-ḳáwla attéṫah dənyīt. w-āmūr, "hām nákaš baġaganōt, sháýtas!"
tōli barwōt tēt wa-nkōt ba-ġagənōt, walākan karūt man ḥābū. w-āmarūt, "nákak ba-g̀̄ $g e ̄ n . " ~$
te nahōr țayt nūka ḥōkam, w-ag̉aganōt bars nōb. tōli āmūr ḥáybas, "ḥōm al-śné’ ag̀īgēn." "háftak xalōwak alyōmah, wa-wbáysi ba-xalōwak yadōn nákak tīk bïhəm." āmərūt aġagənōt, "andōham wz-wbsōna al-ḥ́k.".

 te bo-ḩalláy, sīrūt aġagənōt təwōli ag̀ās, wa-kəwt̄ūt həh. āmərūt, "háybi $\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ watyēġa tı̄ gēhamah." tōli ‘śś ag̀ās, wa-hftūk farháyni trayt, wa-śádd līsan, wa-śáll azwōdham, wa-hərkūb ag̉átəh đ̣ār țayt, wa-hē rīkab đ̣ār ṭayt.
bāram te wáṣalam đ̣ār mōh. hātīm.
$t \varepsilon k$-sōbaḥ aġīgēn yasyūr yaġlēk man aṣáyr.
$b \bar{u} k$ : The audio has $b \bar{u} k$ 'you (ms)', but the manuscripts have $b \bar{\imath} k a m$ 'you (mp)'.
3 sḥáyṭas: The form sḥáyṭas is the mp imperative shayṭ plus the 3 fs object suffix. If it were the fs imperative, we would expect vowel reduction (see §3.2.3, especially n.13). A mp imperative does not seem to fit the context, but the Jibbali version of this story $(17: 3)$ also has a mp imperative. háftzk: The vowel in the first syllable makes clear that this is the fs impera- tive form. No final $-i$ is written in the Arabic manuscript, nor is one heard on the audio. In 75:8, we find the form háftki (in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio), showing that the final $-i$ of the fs imperative is optional. Sabrina Bendjaballah has found in recent fieldwork that younger speakers of Mehri normally use a suffix - $i$ in the H-Stem subjunctive/imperative (though with the stem vowel $a$, without any ablaut), while older speakers do not. The variation we find in the texts (háftək here and háftki in text 75:8) attests to variation within Ali Musallam's own speech.

## Translation of Text 24

1 Once there was a ruler in a town. And one day he had his fortune read.
2 And the fortune-teller said to him, "You will beget a girl, and she will betray you."
3 Then the ruler traveled, and left his wife pregnant. He said, "If you bear a girl, kill her!"
4 Then the woman gave birth to a girl, but she hid (her) from the people. And she said, "I had a boy."
5 Then one day the ruler came back, and the girl was already big. Then her father said, "I want to see the boy."
6 Then the girl came, and she was wearing boys clothes. Her father said to her, "Take off those clothes and put on new clothes that I brought for you."
7 The girl said, "Give them to me, and I'll put (them) on inside."
8 The man said, "You are not a girl that you should be embarrassed. I want to see you."
9 Then the man uncovered her, and he knew that she was a girl, and he swore that "we will kill her tomorrow."
10 Then at night, the girl went to her brother, and she told him. She said, "My father said they will kill me tomorrow."
11 Then her brother got up and took out two horses, saddled them, and took their supplies. And he mounted his sister onto one, and he rode on one.
12 They went until they got to (some) water. They spent the night.
13 In the mornings, the boy would go look for gazelles.

6 wbáysi: The final $-i$ is not heard on the audio, though it is written in the Arabic manuscript. With or without the final $-i$, the form must be feminine, since the ms imperative is awbōs. The suffix -i is part of the underlying paradigm, unlike in the form háftak discussed in the previous comment.
6 tīk: The manuscripts have $t \bar{k}$ ( you (ms)' here, while on the audio Ali first said $t i \bar{s}$ 'you (fs)', but then corrected himself to $t \bar{i} k$. In the story, the character is speaking to a girl that he thinks is a boy. Nevertheless, the two imperatives in this same line are fs forms, and so tǐs fits in that context.

14 akáfyam.

14 ahfūul: $M L$ (s.v. $h f l$ ) lists only hafalēt, of which $h f_{f u} /$ is the plural.
$15 h \bar{o} l a t$ :This noun is not listed in $M L$, which lists only ḥōl (s.v. $h w l$ ). This word comes from Arabic ḥālat, just as ḥōl comes from the Arabic synonym ḥāl. The word holt is attested in Jibbali texts TJ4:57 and AKı:3, but is likewise missing from $J L$. See also the comment to text 28:7.
17 tšášfḳi: Ali struggled a bit when reading this word, which he read tšášfki, as if the root were šfk instead of $h f k$. The Arabic manuscript has just one $\check{s}$. Ali's use of $\check{s}$ in place of $h$ was perhaps due to the Jibbali cognate (cf. the $2 f s$ subjunctive ( $t$ )šišfəg used in Jibbali text 17:17). The intended Mehri form was likely tšá(f)fkci. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed tวšiffaḳáy, while $M L$, which cites this passage, has təšaffaḳáy, but the object suffix should not be stressed.

14 And if he didn't find gazelles, he would gather ripe figs. And he would eat unripe fruit, and take the ripe figs for his sister.
15 And they stayed about a month in this condition. Then one day, the son of the ruler of that water came.
16 Then he saw the girl and fell in love with her, and she fell in love with him.
17 Then he spoke to her. He said, "Do you want to marry me?"
18 The girl said, "I have a brother, and he won't let me get married."
19 He said, "Find [lit. make for him] a way for us to capture him, and then I will take you with me and marry you."
She said, "Ok. Come to us on the fourth day, and you'll find that I have already tied up my brother."
21 The men left, and the boy came to his sister. He had a few dates for his sister (that he got) from a man.
22 But he didn't eat it. He wanted it for his sister.
23 Then she said to him, "Brother, we've become bored. Let's play."
24 He said, "What kind of game do you want us (to do)?"
25 She said, "Let's tie each other up." He said, "Ok." And they (did) like this.
26 Then on the fourth day, the day of the meeting with the men, she said to him, "Come here so I can tie you up!"
27 He came, and she tied him up. And she tied him up tightly [lit. with force]. Then he said to her, "Sister, you've hurt me. Tie me up gently!"
28 She said to him, "Later you tie me up tightly, but give me your feet!"
29 And he gave her his feet, and she tied him up until she had secured (him). The men came.
30 She said to them, "Come here. The man has already been tied up."
31 Then the men came and cut off the boy's penis, and they took the girl and left.
şáaykon: ML (s.v. žyk) lists a Ga-Stem ṣ́ayūk, the 1cp of which should be ş́zyūkən. It is hard to see how ṣ́áykən derives from ṣ́zyūḳ. The form ṣáyykan (from an underlying ṣáy $k a n$ ) could be the 1cp perfect of a Gb-Stem ṣáyyak (< *ṣ̂yzk), though if there is indeed a Gb-Stem verb of the root ṣ́yk, this would be the only attested Gb-Stem of a II-y root. The form ṣáyḳan could also be from Gb-Stem ṣ́áyḳi (root ṣ̂kw orṣ̂ky), or at least is being conjugated as if from a verb ṣ́áyki. Since there are several other semantically similar verbs attested from the root ṣyy (e.g., D/L aṣ́ľk 'annoy’ and Šı šaş́yūk 'get fed up'; 40:4-5), it is doubtful that there is really a verb ṣ́áyki. Cf. also the Arabic root $d y q$, e.g., $d \bar{a} q a$ 'be fed up'.
yakṣōṣ: The earlier Roman manuscript has yagṣōṣ (the later Roman manuscript is incomplete and lacks this line), and $M L$ includes a root gṣs with entries for $\mathrm{G}^{-}$and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stem verbs. However, the Arabic manuscript has yaksōṣ here. $M L$ also includes the root $k \underset{s}{ } s$, which has cognates with $k$ in Harsusi (HL, s.v. $k s ̣(s))$, Jibbali (JL, s.v. $k \underset{s}{\text { ses }), ~ H o b y o t ~(H V, ~ p . ~ 166), ~ a n d ~}$ Soqoṭri (Leslau 1938: 381; Naumkin et al. 2014: 595). Either gṣs is a biform of $k s s$, , or, more likely, it is an erroneous entry in $M L$.
tōli nakōt rīs̄īt nōb wə-lātūt fēhal ð-ağīgēn te wīka ba-xáyr. wə-yənákam tah malēki trōh wa-yakābəт hahf $\varepsilon$ '́hlah al-hīs fanōhən. wə-gəhēm ts wīṣal arḥabēt ðə-bīs agátıh, wə-xədūm hāl tōgar. attōli āgbōt bah ḥabrīt ðə-tōgar, wa-hē áygab bīs. tōli wafūd hāl hááybas, wa-ffakáyh. ādham lə-wṭákəməh, nákam aġəyūg ð-āšáwm féḥləh w-āmáwr, "ag̉áyg ðōmah al bah fēhal lā."
tōli āmūr, "mašādəl tīkzm. wa-kāl manīn ðə-bdōh, yakṣōṣ ḥərōhah."
āmáwr, "y $y$ ye." tōli səyáwr təwōli śsrēt w-aḥkáwmət. w-āmūr, "gēhəməh syēram bark amīdēn wa-yakšēf al-ḥənáfah.
əð hē bah al-hīs aġəyūg, atēm tasháyṭ. w-əð hē al bah fēhal lā, hē yashōọ." àmáwr, "naḥōm śsrṭ ðōməh."
tع $k$-sōbəh, ġátbərəm bərk amūdēn. w-āmáwr həh, "kšēf ḥənáfk!"
āmūr hīhəm, "táwwəkəm tsmēḥəm tī!" āmáwr, "ábdan! ansámḥk lā!" tōli kšūf ḥənáfəh, wə-nákam aśháwd, wə-kūsəm təh al-hīs aġəyūg.

attōli sūməḥ ag̉átəh mən séḥəț, wa-bagdīs ḥōkəm, ḥáyb ð-ag̉áygas. wə-ṣa $\mathfrak{t ̣ a ́ y s ~ a g ̉ a ̄ s , ~ w ə - x ə d m i ̄ s ~ h ə n a ́ h , ~ w ə - k a ́ w l a ~ m ə n ~ n ə x a ̄ s \varepsilon ~ g e ̄ r t a n ~ t x ə d e ̄ m ə n ~}$ tis. tōli tədōfa hə-gərīt tháwka sam bərk a ísiē ð-ag̀ās. wa-hīs bárah nəxāhe, tanōka sənnáwrət wə-tślūl aṣāhan ðə-barkáh a iśē wa-təráyd (wa-nfägáwt) bah bark bayr. tōli ġarūb ag̉áyg əð-hē barkáh ġəyūr.
tع $k$-sōbah, āmūr h-ag̉átah, "hēt śxáwwali bark abáyt. wa-hōh sīrōna tawōli háybi."
nəkōt ... lātūt: The audio has the perfects nakōt and lātūut, while the manuscripts have the imperfects tonōka and talōt. śsrēt: The more common word for 'judge' is śéra' (e.g., 9:5; 23:11), a bor- rowing of Arabic šāric 'lawgiver'. The form śsrēt, which is not in ML (but was recorded by Jahn 1902: 242), is from Arabic šir'at 'law'. Here it could also mean 'court'.

32 Then a big snake came and licked the boy's penis until it got better. And two angels came to him and returned his penis to him as before.
33 And he went until he reached the town that his sister was in, and he got work with a merchant.
34 Then the merchant's daughter fell in love with him, and he fell in love with her.
35 Then he asked her father for her hand in marriage, and he gave him her hand.
36 While they were like this, the men who had cut off his penis came and said, "This man has no penis."
37 Then he said, "I'll bet you. And whichever of us has lied, his head will be cut off."
38 They said, "Ok." Then they went to the judge and the government. And he (the judge) said, "Tomorrow, go to the town square, and he should expose himself.
39 If he has (a penis) like (other) men, you will be killed. And if he doesn't have a penis, he will be killed." They said, "We accept this deal."
40 Then in the morning, they met in the town square. And they said to him, "Expose yourself!"
41 He said to them, "You must excuse me!" They said, "Never! We won’t excuse you!"
42 Then he exposed himself, and the witnesses came, and they found him (to be) like (other) men.
43 And they killed the men. And they brought his sister, intending to kill her.
44 Then he excused his sister from execution. And the ruler, her husband's father, threw her out.
45 And her brother took her, and gave her work with him, and put under her (authority) servant-girls to work for her.
46 Then she paid a servant-girl to put poison in her brother's food.
47 And when it was in front of [lit. under] him, a cat came and picked up the dish that had the food in it, and threw it into a well.
48 Then the man knew that it had something harmful in it.
49 Then in the morning, he said to his sister, "You stay in the house, and I will go to my father."

47 taráyd: The Arabic manuscript and audio have taráyd 'it threw' (3fs imperfect). The Roman manuscripts both have nfəg gáwt 'it threw' (3fs perfect), though in the earlier manuscript, Johnstone added taráyd in the margin. Both options fit the context.
wa-gəhēm te wiṣ̣al arḥəbว́təh, kūsa háybəh ð-áywər.
wa-nkáyh bo-dīwē te wịka bo-xáyr.
wว-mgंōrən kəlūt lah b-aḳəssēt ðว-ḥวbrátวh, alhān āmlōt bəh, wว-hē ṣəbūr hīs, w-abēli วð-fíláh man xวyénts.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h a h ~ h a ́ y b ə h, ~ " a ̄ ~ h ̣ ə b r a ́ y, ~ ð a ́ k ə m ə h ~ \partial l ~ m a n s ~ f a ́ y d ə t ~ l a ̄ . ~ w ว-h o ̄ h ~ b e r ~ a ̄ m a ́ r k ~$ hūk man fanōhan."
wa-śxawlūl aj̨̈̄gēn wa-ḥkūm arḥabátah. wa-tammōt kawtēet.
$51 \quad d \bar{\iota} w \bar{e}:$ The manuscripts have singular $d \bar{\imath} w \bar{e}$ 'medicine', but on the audio, Ali read the plural dēwūton 'medicines'. Note that $M L$ (s.v. $d w y$ ) lists a plural dəwyōtan, matching (more or less) the plural duyūtən listed by Nakano (1986: 41). The form Ali read looks similar to the Yemeni Mehri form diwūt listed by Jahn (1902: 173).
tє wïka: The manuscripts have te wïka, but the audio has wo-wîka. filáh: The form filáh is from an underlying *faylīh.

50 And he went, and when he got to his town, he found his father blind [lit. having gone blind].
51 And he brought him medicine and [lit. until] he became well.
52 And then he told him the story of his daughter, all that she had done to him, (how) he was patient with her, and (how) God had saved him from her betrayal.
53 His father said to him, "My son, this (girl) is no good [lit. no benefit from her]. I already told you (that) before."
54 And the boy stayed and ruled his town. And the story is finished.

## Text 25 (no J): Medicine Men

hōh hīs ādi ḳənnáwn məṣárrəḳ, wə-ḥábyะ yošāsīri. te sənēt ṭayt, nōśən ḳā ṭāṭ, wa-śxawlūlan bah wárxi trōh.
wə-məḡōrən ḳəlūbən te nákan ṣ́áyga. wə-səyūrən nḥāh ḳənyáwn ðəng̉áwlak mən ậfūl.
tōli kūsan hərōm əð-báh ḥ̂ūl, walākan đ̣áyrəh yaðbōr mēkan. wa-ffəlīt ḥambaráwtən wa-báykəək hōh hāl harōm.
yanōka yaðbīr, yaḳbáṣi, wə-bákək wə-səyárk təwōli hábye.
wa-mğōrən gálwak mánah wa-nfadfádk. wə-támm bay amrēṣ xarf kállah te aṣáyrab.
āmáwr ḥábye, "naḥōm nahisson hah." wa-kəfüdən arḥəbēt te nákan hāl amhişən. wə-śśnōh háyni.
wa-wazmáh hááyi xammōh ḳaráwš wə-śállam hah aśráyn ḳaráwš hām wákak bo-xáyr.
w-āmūr amhīson, "hádram lah bə-wōz ḥəwrūt mən đ̣ār məḳabrēt bark amg̀ $\partial \mathrm{a} a \mathrm{~b}$."
wa-həдrīw lay, w-əl wákak bə-xáyr lā. tōli āmáwr, "naḥōm nəhīśn həh hāl a hād Әд-yarōb."
wə-nákam bə-hēxər ðд-yərōb, wə-rāb lay, wə-həwṣáwf háyni wōz āfrūt.
wa-haðrīw lay bīs, w-al wákak ba-xáyr lā.
tōli sayūran hāl ṭāṭ ðə-yдð̄̄ra w-āmūr, "syērəm bah te hāl harōm man hāl kabṣáh ayaðbīr, wa-hádram lah ba-wōz tarḳāṣ."
wə-səyūrən te wáṣalən amkōn mən đ̣ār nəhōri trayt. tє nákan k-amġərāb, həðrīw lay wa-hātōmən.
maṣárraḳ: In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'spoilt because alone'. The word is not in ML.
wazmáh ḥáybi: The Arabic manuscript and earlier Roman manuscript have wzáwməh hábys 'my parents gave him', and the later Roman manuscript has just wzáwmah 'they gave him', but the audio has wazmáh háybi 'my father gave him'.
aśráyn: Ali normally used the form ašráyn in the texts, but here used aśráyn.
бд-yдrōb: In $M L$ (s.v. $r^{\prime} b$ ), the verb $r a \bar{b}$ is defined as 'be possessed by a spirit (healer)', but in the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'one who chants (nonsense) under possession in presence of a sick person who sits before him with a cloth over head'. My translation 'one who chants' does not capture all of this cultural information.

## Translation of Text 25

1 When I was little, I was spoiled, and my parents loved me. One year, we migrated to a certain place, and we stayed there for two months.
2 And then we came back until we came to cave. And we children went looking for ripe figs.
3 Then we found a tree that had ripe figs on it, but there were a lot of hornets in it. And the boys ran away, but I remained by the tree.
4 A hornet came and stung me, and I cried and went to my parents.
5 And then I got sick from it, and I got swollen. And the illness stayed with me the whole monsoon season until the fall.
6 My parents said, "We should show him to a medicine man."
7 And we went down to town and [lit. until] we came to a medicine man. And he examined me.
8 And my father gave him five dollars, and promised him [lit. took for him] twenty dollars if I got better.
9 And the medicine man said, "Pour the blood of a black goat over him, in a graveyard in the evening."
10 And they poured the blood over me, but I didn't get better. Then they said, "We should show him to a medicine man who chants."
11 And they brought an old man who chanted, and he chanted over me, and he prescribed for me a red goat.
12 And they poured its blood over me, but I didn't get better.
13 Then we went to one who measures for possession, and he said, "Bring him to the tree where the hornet stung him, and pour the blood of a spotted goat over him."
14 And we went until we got to the place, after two days. Then when we came in the evening, we spent the night.

13 Әд-удðōra: In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'measures with spread fingers and can tell from span if ginn is in'. At the end of the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone also added the explanation 'measures with finger span on self saying he'll die he'll get better. If he has a measure left over he'll die'. The form must be a G-Stem 3 ms imperfect, from a 3 ms perfect $ð \bar{u} r a ~\left(\operatorname{root} \partial r^{c}\right)$; no such verb is in $M L$. The 3 mp imperfect yzð́́ram occurs in line 18 of this text.

15 w-āmūr amhisson, "ḳəbērəm əffáḳh ðд-ḥōz."wa-kəbūranfaḳ̣h ðд-h̄ōz. te $k$-sōbah ġasūman, wa-hōh $\partial$-əślōl.
16 tє k-a⿱̣áhr səyárk bə-ḥənōfi. wə-wákak bə-xáyr.
17 wə-nhāh fanōhən nātəkáydən b-amśanyūtan. wə-hām ṭāt gūlu, yasyūr hāl amśanyūtən.
18 bāṣ məśśanyūtən ðə-ktəbīn, wa-bāṣ́ yдráyb, wə-bāṣ́ yað́rram, wว-bāṣ yaf́rś́am wa-yāməram, "nəg்ōrab."
19 wa-ḥābū yātzkáydən bīhวm, walākan mən sanáyn alyōməh l-ād aḥād yātəkáydən bīhəm lā, ar hīxār ðə-mən azbōn ḥāwaláy. wə-təmmōt.

18 yaférśzm: In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'throw pebbles or small shells \& tell a fortune'. ML (s.v. frś) has the gloss 'cas[t] pebbles for a fortune reading' for a G-Stem farūs. The 3 mp imperfect yaférśam (written يفارشم by Ali) is from an underlying yafárśam.

15 And the medicine man said, "Bury half of the goat." And we buried half of the goat. Then in the morning, we set off at dawn, and I was being carried.
16 Then at noon, I walked by myself. I became well.
17 We used to believe in medicine men. And if someone was sick, he would go to the medicine men.
18 Some were medicine men with [lit. of] books, some chanted, some measured, and some would cast stones and say, "We know."
19 And people used to believe in them, but in these years, no one believes in them anymore, except old men from the olden days [lit. former times]. And it is finished.

## Text 26 (no J): A Conversation

1 A: "hõ ráykam yamōh?"
2 B: "rāyan aṭīfēh alyōməh, wa-rahākan lā."
3 A: "káskam matwē śí yamōh?"
4 B: "al hē xass lā man yamšīh. bah harmēyēn wa-marāy, walākan hagūm līn kawb, w-akámhən təh."
5 A: "wəḳōna ar ber sall bə-śl??"
6 B: "ba-háw! śīnək tah ġamūs man aşanáyf дə-karmáym, wa-hē xaláy. walākan šīn rawn bāṣ́ $\partial$-əl sēn bə-xáyr lā. 'əmk tīsən man hīs təwōh amāray."
7 A: "wakōna. wal̄ watxf ðว-hśkūr hā $r a ́ w n ? "$
8 B: "állah ðə-hōh śn̄ə $k$ tīsən ð-āfērūr anáytisan, walākan bāṣ ṣ́arūf."
9 A: "дək ar alhəəkáysən ḥəbūr. əbōbne tēm, hágnəm aṣáyga. aġəyūg lawb habtām hịṭār wa-ðд-yáṣṣak mən yaslēl līsan kawb yamōh."
10 B: "nákam ḥiṭār. ḥəðдīr man ḥāráwn thalābən. məğōran nālōḳ b-aṣífōn. ḥarēram ḥiṭār!"
11 C: "salōm aláykam!"
12 A: "w-aláykam salām! hībōh wátxfam ḥ̂̀ṭār?"
13 C: "wa-hīh! al śīnan badēl lā mən hīs habēr ənkáyn. əngūf đ̣áyran aðəbbēt wa-hiṭ̂ār al tawīw śí lā yamōh man aðabbēt."
14 A: "xáyban. wal̄̄ káskam matwē ść?"

4 mərāy: As noted in $M L$ (s.v. $m r^{\prime} y$ ), this is a diminutive of māray.
5 sall:The Arabic manuscript and audio have sall, but Johnstone mistakenly transcribed an initial ś in the Roman manuscripts, as also line 9. See the comment to text 14A:2. ' $\partial m k$ : This is from ' $\partial m l ə k$, but in this idiom it is often reduced to 'əmk (Watson 2012:94). This is the only certain such example of reduction from Johnstone's corpus. See also §12.5-5, and the comment to text 28:18.
8 állah: Johnstone added the gloss 'indeed' in the margin of the first Roman manuscript. It is, of course, an Arabism.
8 ṣ̂ərūf: According to $M L$ (s.v. źrf), this verb means '(animals) to have recently conceived', but according to Miranda Morris (p.c.) it refers to an animal at the end of lactation, which may or may not be because the animal is newly pregnant.
9 yaslēl:The Arabic manuscript and audio have yaslēl, but Johnstone mistakenly transcribed yaślēl in both Roman manuscripts. Cf. also line 5 .

## Translation of Text 26

1 A: "Where did you herd today?"
2 B: "We herded on those mountain-slopes, but we didn't go far."
3 A: "Did you find any pasturage today?"
4 B: "It was no worse than yesterday. It had shrubs and a little grass, but a wolf attacked us, and we foiled it."
5 A: "Maybe he really has snatched something away?"
6 B: "No way! I saw him disappear behind the corner of the mountain, and it was empty-handed. But we have some goats that are not well. I think they are (this way) because they ate the grass."
7 A: "Maybe. Did the goats come back with a lot of milk?"
8 B: "Indeed, I saw them with red udders [lit. their udders having become red], but some are at the end of lactation."
9 A: "It's just that the cold has got to [lit. caught] them. Please warm up the pen. The men have certainly kept the kids away (too) long, and I am afraid that a wolf might snatch (one) from them today."
10 B: "The kids came back. Be careful that the goats don't give too much milk (to the kids). Then we'll run short for the guests. Put the kids in the pen!"
11 C: "Hello!"
12 A: "Hello! How did the kids come back this evening?"
13 C: "Oh! We haven't seen an improvement since the camels came to us. The flies have set upon us, and the kids didn't eat anything today because of the flies."

14 A: "Fine. Did you find any grazing?"

10 thalāban: This is a 3 fp subjunctive of the H-Stem verb haláwb '(goats) give too much milk to kids' (root l'b). The $h$ in Stroomer's edition is a misprint or a mistake; perhaps, given the meaning, the verb was parsed by him as coming from the root $h l b$, which has to do with milking. All of the manuscripts and the audio have $h$. 2 mp imperfect $t \bar{a} l \bar{l}$. 'you will run short', but all the manuscripts have nā$l o ̄ k$ 'we will run short'.

[^151]16 A: "ā. ðək ar nəḥágkən wə-ḳálakən ḥittárikən."

15 maxtīb: $M L$ (s.v. $x y b$ ) gives the meaning 'exhausted (wadi)', but since this is a participle from the verb xátyab 'be disappointed, be frustrated' (§ 7.1.6), I assume that it means something closer to 'barren' or 'stunted', rather than 'exhausted'. In either case, the point of the story is clear, which is that there was no pasturage to be found in that wadi. yaklèl: At the end of the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone included the following phrases, with their translations: yaklīl bay maḳā hē šay 'I bloody well haven't got it!' and yaklīl bīs maḳā šīs śawáyl 'there’s nobody bloody like her'. ML (s.v. kll) includes both of these phrases, with only slightly different translations, and with the correct subjunctive form yaklēl (as opposed to yaklīl) in both phrases. Note that the verb yaklēl in these expressions is always followed by the preposition $b$-, and that the negative element mak $\bar{a}$ (see $\S 13.2 .6$ ) serves in place of the more common elements al... lā. $M L$ (s.v. $k l l$ ) defines the verb kall as 'be fed up; find (a place) unpleasant'. Given this idiomatic usage of subjunctive yaklēl, we might compare the Hebrew verb qillēl 'curse', though the consonant correspondence is irregular.

15 C: "No. Just a barren wadi that there was no damned food in, as if this (recent) rain hadn't come there [lit. to it]!"
16 A: "No. It's just that you were playing and left your kids."

## Text 27 (no J): Two Conversations

1 A: "wádakəm abḳār wardūtan aw lā yamōh?"
2 B: "h文śzn?"
3 A: "hām al sēn wardūtan lā, ḥōm al-háwrad habérye."
4 B: "wa-ya lawb wardūtən. wa-kōh əð-ġərábk abḳār tṣábrən mən ḥəmōh?"
5 A: "yaghōm țayf abḳār! ōzar bīn. l-ād káwla amōl yattáḳ̂k mōh lā."
6 B: "háwrad ba-ḥalláy, mət ber abḳār šawgūś man đ̣ār ḥəmōh."
[Second conversation]
7 B: "hēt nákak man ḥõ?"
8 A: "hōh nákak mən arḥabēt."
9 B: "wà $\bar{\varepsilon}$ śc ayd?"
10 A: "ayd mēkan, walākan ġályot."
11 B: "ḥamáwlat ba-kám?"
12 A: "ḥəmáwlat waṣalōt āśarīt karáwš.
13 wa-kōh, al šikam ayd lā?"
14 B: "bə-háw! āds səddīta yallīləh."
15 A: "hībōh? abkār al tṣábrən lā mən ayd?"
16 B: "ábdan. (t)ṣábrən lā. wə-hām ḳálan tīsən man ġayr ayd, al thəndūrən aḳənyáwn lā. ábdan.
17 thūgas al-hīs tīkam, ba'áyli habēr wa-hāráwn? naḥāh ba'áyli abkār tāban mən abḳār alyōmah, w-ámma ətēm, l-ād tśtīm ayd lā."
18 A: "kəm yūm (t)ṣábran man ḥəmōh abkār?"
19 B: "nahōr țayt."
20 A: "bass?"
21 B: "bass. wa-kōh? habēr, kəm yūm (t)ṣábrən man ḥəmōh?"
22 A: "xáymah yūm aw hatt yūm, hām al šīsən arīh lā. wa-hām šīsan arịh, (t)ṣábran rïba yūm."

23 B: "wə-ḥāráwn? kəm yūm (t)ṣábrən man ḥəmōh?"
24 A: "waḳōna waṭōməh, wal̄̄ xass 'áynat."
$h \bar{\varepsilon} s ́ \partial n$ : This is for underlying *h-h $\bar{\varepsilon} s ́ \partial n$. See $§$ 2.1.4.
$5 \bar{o} z \partial r$ : The form $\bar{o} z \partial r$, which is in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio, is the D/L-Stem 3 fp perfect of the root 'zr, agreeing with $a b k \underset{a}{ } r$ (cf. lines 1 and 2, with clear feminine agreement). The Roman manuscripts have $\bar{a} z \partial r \partial m$, which is the 3 mp perfect.
6 mat ber: The manuscripts have mat ber, though the audio has only mat.

## Translation of Text 27

1 A: "Do you know, will the cows go down to the water today or not?"
2 B: "Why?"
3 A: "If they aren't going to go down to the water, I want to take my camels down to the water."
4 B:"Yes, they will indeed go down to the water. Why do you think [lit. know] the cows would do without water?"
5 A: "Damn the cows! They've annoyed us. They didn't let the (other) livestock drink any water at all."
6 B: "Take (them) down to the water at night, after the cows have come home from the water."
[Second Conversation]
7 B: "Where did you come from?"
8 A: "I came from town."
9 B: "Are there any sardines?"
10 A: "Many sardines, but expensive."
11 B: "How much is a camel-load?"
12 A: "A camel-load has reached ten dollars.
13 Why, don't you have sardines?"
14 B: "Not at all! There's just enough for tonight."
15 A: "What? The cows won't do without sardines?"
16 B: "Not at all. They won't do without. And if we leave them without sardines, they won't suckle the young ones. Not at all.
17 Do you think (we are) like you, the camel-herders and goat-herders? We cow-herders have trouble with these cows, while you, you don't buy sardines at all."
18 A: "How many days will the cows do without water?"
19 B: "One day."
20 A: "That's it?"
21 B: "That's it. Why? The camels, how many days will they do without water?"
22 A: "Five days or six days, if they don't have a hot wind. And if they have a hot wind, they do without for four days."
23 B: "And the goats? How many days will they do without water?"
24 A: "About the same, perhaps a little less."

25 B: "abēli šwádakam!"<br>26 A: "āzámk (t)s(y)ēr?"<br>27 B: "ẽhẽ, ðe hōh šāgēk. ḳəlēb (ba-)salōm al-sékanak!"<br>28 A: "wa-hēt salōm!"

25 šwádakəm: The Arabic manuscript has yošwádakəm, while the audio and Roman manuscripts have just šwádakam. ML (s.v. wd') has the incorrect šawad $\bar{\varepsilon}[k] \partial m$, which is where the form in Stroomer's edition must come from. The form yašwádakam is the Š1-Stem 3ms imperfect yaš(w)ōda (root $w d^{\prime}$ ) plus a $2 m p$ object suffix (cf. anōka 'I come’, ənákakam 'I come to you’). In the shortened form šwádakam, perhaps the elision of the initial yzwas precipitated by the fact that $a b \bar{e} l i$ ends in $-i$. The Mehri expression is clearly connected to Arabic astawdi'ukumu llāha 'farewell' (lit. 'I entrust you to God'), although in Arabic 'God' is the object, and the verb (from the same root) is 1 cs. See also text $75: 18$, where there is evidence for forms both with and without the initial yz-. Cf. also the comment to text 12:17.
27 ð $h \bar{o} h$ : The audio has $\partial$ д-hōh, but the Arabic manuscript has $\partial \varepsilon$ ( $(\dot{)}$. The same phrase occurs in text 28:12, where the audio clearly has $ð \varepsilon$.
27 (ba-)salōm: The expected ba- (cf. 44:11) is not written in either manuscript, perhaps because of the preceding $b$. However, it is audible (barely) on the audio. Cf. also 94:31, where the $b$ - is absent for sure.

25 B: "Goodbye [lit. God will keep you]!"
26 A: "You decided to go?"
27 B: "Yes, I'm in a hurry. Send greetings to your settlement!"
28 A: "And you, greetings!"

## Text 28 (no J): A Conversation

1 A: "wádakəm həbs̄r ḥõ bərūk?"
2 B: "kaláyni śīnak tīsan đ̣ār karmáym ðayk, wa-’ámlak tīsən tarḥōkan lā."
3 C: "tšxabūr man hēşan?"
4 A: "ð-əšxəbūr mən habēr. wádak tīsən ḥõ hab̄̄r borūk?"
5 C: "b-anēḥar ðch s-sərīn. tšxəbūr mansēn hēśzn?"
6 A: "śī lā. ar ḥōm al-šáxbarhəm man ab'áyri ðə-hag̣ṣōbən təh man áyśar yūm, w-al kask mánah ṣafōt lā."
7 C: "héśan hàlátzh, ab’́ýyr?"
8 A: "bə'áyr ōfar, wa-maṣhááyr al-ḥərōh ṣāb wa-hákf wa-māšīm ḥayðēnah śáymal."
9 B: "śinək ba'áyr yaśśábhan lah kaláyni bark habēr. watākan hēt hētam hanīn, te $k$-sōbaḥ tšaghōm habēr. ba'áyli habēr šaṣyīm. aḥād yatxáfham lā. $h a b \bar{\varepsilon} r k a ̄ l r i ̈ g a ̄ d . "$
10 A: "wə-kōh hāaráwn ðə-wbūd hūbōh?"
11 B: "ḥāráwn bə-xáyr wə-bīsən xayr. ḥāráwn ðə-həġyūg awáḳt ðōməh, wa-šissan amāray."
12 A: "д́ hōh šāgēk, ḥōm l-ərdēd. al kálak ahād hāl sćkəni lā, wə-nhōōm nəślēl, walākan ankáwdər naślēl lā ar b-ab‘áyran."
13 B: "xáyban. hēt hētam yallīlah hanīn. śátwakan lūk. te k-sōbah, tšaghōm habēr. walē thaym txalētam līn?"
14 A: "nəḥāh ar ənḥōm, walākan šťəḳōlən ḥənfáyyan. ənḥāh ðд-šəṣ́yōmən."
B: "lā. šátkalam ḥənfáykam lā!fákam āfyat!"
$4 h a b \bar{\varepsilon} r b \partial r u ̄ k$ : Johnstone took $h \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ barūk as the beginning of line 5 , but on the audio Ali read it as part of line 4. The Arabic manuscript has no line breaks, and so is ambiguous. $s ̣ a ̄ b$ wa-hákf: In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone bracketed off these two words, and added below them the symbol $\dagger$, obviously meaning 'cross'. Neither word is in ML, and in Johnstone's manuscript translation of this text, he does not translate hakf. According to Miranda Morris (p.c.), $h o k f f$ is a horizontal brand mark, while șāb is a perpendicular brand-mark. A șab wo-hekf is a cross with arms of equal length (+), and is a widely used brand-mark. The word șāb, not in ML is presumably cognate with (or borrowed from) the Arabic root $s ̣ l b$ 'cross'.
wa-kōh: The exact function of wa-kōh here is unclear. Its usual meaning

## Translation of Text 28

1 A: "Do you know where the camels have stopped [lit. knelt]?"
2 B: "This evening I saw them on that mountain, and (so) I think they are not far away."
3 C: "What are you asking about?"
4 A: "I am asking about the camels. Do you know where the camels stopped?"
5 C: "In this wadi behind us. What are you asking about them for?"
6 A: "Nothing. I only wanted to ask them about my camel that we lost ten days ago, and I have found no news about it."
7 C: "What is its description, the camel?"
8 A: "A red [or brown] camel, branded on the head is a cross, and its left ear is cut off."
$9 \quad$ B: "I saw a camel that looks like it this evening among the (other) camels. But stay the night with us, and in the morning you'll go to the camels. The camel-herders are short of milk. No one (can) stay the night with them. All the camels are pregnant."
10 A: "How have the goats been doing?"
11 B: "The goats are well and have well [i.e., have milk]. The goats have recently given birth, and they have pasturage."
12 A: "I am in a hurry, I want to get back. I didn't leave anyone with my family, and we want to move, but we can't move without [lit. except with] our (male) camel."
13 B: "Ok. You stay tonight with us. We've missed you. And in the morning, you'll go to the camels. Maybe you (all) want to join up with us?"
14 A: "We would really like to, but we'd think ourselves a burden. We've run short of milk."
15 B: "No. Don't think yourselves a burden! We insist!"
'why?' is not a great fit for the context. Johnstone translated it as 'well then'.
15 fákam āfyat: This idiom means literally something like 'swear (by your) health!', and is used when one is insisting that someone do something. A similar expression is fákam abēli ‘swear (by) God!'. The element fákam is here masculine plural; when speaking to one man, one says fük āfyat. The Roman manuscripts have instead here mankēm ar fáydat 'from you is only good'.

16 A: "xáybən, naḥōm. wa-hōh k-sōbəḥ mašágham habēr. wa-hām kask ab'áyri, raddōna tawōli sékəni wa-śalyēla tawálikam."
17 B: "yā ḥay bīkam! walē házikam madōni?"
18 A: "ya-ḥōl madōni, wa-’óm(la)k tīsan tháğyagan mastīhal awárx ðōməh. walākan ḥázyan tyábtan man đ̣ār háwrat."
19 B: "axáyr hīkəm tənkēm tīn. báwməh amāray."
20 A: "wa-hīh, lawb gazēkəm xayr. w-abáśarkəm tī b-ab‘áyri ðə-šakṭāk mánəh."
21 k-sōbəḥ səyūr aġáyg te šaghūm habēr, wว-kūsa ab'áyrəh bark habēr. walākan ksēh ðə-sabēt. səbáwṭəh ba'áyli habēr. ab'áyr ġalēm wə-yáwrək $h a b \bar{\varepsilon} r$.
22 B: "wal̄̄ kask ab'áyrak?"
23 A: "ya-ḥōl kask tah, walākan ðə-sabēt.. sabáwṭวh bə áyli hab̄̄r."
24 wa-támm kēt $\partial$-ag̉áyg wa-ssēkən.

18 'ám(la)k: Ali spelled this word 'amk in the Arabic manuscript, but read 'ámlak on the audio. See also § 12.5•5, and the comment to text 26:6. tyábtən: The manuscripts have tyábtən, which must be a fp adjective. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added Arabic تعب in parentheses in the margin. $M L$ (s.v. $t^{\prime} b$ ) lists an adjective tə ${ }^{\text {ce} b ~ ' w e a k ~(p e o p l e) ' ~(p r o b a b l y ~}$ better transcribed tááy), but gives no other forms. On the audio, Ali mistakenly read what sounds like tābant.
$\dot{g} a l e \bar{m}$ : This word is not in ML. It could either be a noun or a G passive 3 ms perfect from the root $\dot{g} l m$. We can compare the Jibbali Gb-Stem gélam '(camels) run wild (after summer)' ( $J L$, s.v. $\dot{g} l m$ ). Miranda Morris (p.c.) recorded ġalēm as a noun meaning 'male camel in rut (i.e., in its mating period)'.

16 A: "Fine, we would like to. And in the morning I'll go to the camels. And if I find my camel, I'll go back to my settlement, and we'll move to you."
17 B: "Welcome to you! Are your goats pregnant?"
18 A: "Pregnant indeed, and I think they will give birth at the end of this month. But our goats are weak from the drought."
19 B: "It's better for you to come to us. There is pasturage here."
20 A: "Oh, thank you indeed! And you have given me good news about my camel, which I was nervous about."
21 In the morning the man went and came to the camels, and he found his camel among the camels. But he found it had been beaten. The camelherders had beaten it. The camel was in rut and had fought with the (other) camels.
22 B: "Did you find your camel?"
23 A: "Indeed I found it, but it had been beaten. The camel-herders beat it."
24 And the story of the man and the settlement is finished.

## Text 29 (no J): A Conversation

1 A: "ḥõ ḳəbóṣ́kəm yamōh?"
2 B: "man hālyamšǐh."
3 A: "wal̄̄ káskam matwē śī yamōh?"
4 B: "kūsən matwē, walākan nūka abḳār wa-ngūf đ̣áyrən aðəbbēt. wa-habēr $\bar{a} k u ̄ s ̌, w$-al ṭām kawt lā.
5 te ġasráwwan amthalūt līsən aðəbbēt wa-təwōh. walākan habēr kāl līkaḥ."
6 A: "dॄ mōn habarkīsən h-aba'yōr ar ḥambaráwtən, bə'áyli aṭāba aḳōmaḥ. məg̈ōrən ālyēka b-andēr ð-akəənyáwn.
7 nəḥōm naháxṣab al-bəáyli aḥfôy yankēm tīn. waḳōna ber bīsən aśxōf. watē naḥōm naháxlaf mən amkōn ðōməh. aðəbbēt wวtğáwt habēr."
8 B: "tháymanal-hõ naháxlaf?"
9 A: "nəḥōm nəhángəd bark ḥəwōdi ðīməh əw-mṣā̉."
10 B: "xáybən. nəḥōm yamōh b-amkōnən, w-əḥād yəṭāf ḥəwōdi ðīmah. hām kūsa bīs mətwē, nəxxalūf. wa-hām al kūsa mətwē lā, nəkūn (nəwōka) ādən b-amkōnən."
11 A: "śáwrak gīd. naḥōm awṭōmah."
12 B: "mōn mankēm țafōna?"
13 A: "b-arāykəm, tháymi hōh al-ṭāf?"
14 B: "wa-ya-ḥōl, nahōō!"
15 ṭōf ag̉áyg, wə-kūsa amətwē, wəlākan kūsa ḥābū ðə-škátrəm bərk ḥəwōdi, rawn wa-bēr, walākan abkār śl lā.
16 wa-rádd təwōli habérhe, wə-kalūt al-ḥābū. āmūr,

5 amthalūt: Johnstone transcribed mathalōt, and $M L$ (s.v. $m h l$ ) lists the 3 ms perfect mathūl, but the audio here clearly has amthalūt. And in the Arabic manuscript, Ali spelled this word امتلوت, clearly indicating the initial vowel. When the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then the T2-Stem pattern ${ }^{2} C t C \bar{u} C$ often shifts to $C \partial t C \bar{u} C$ in order to avoid a cluster of three consonants, but in this case, a cluster of the sonorant $m$ plus two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants is acceptable. bə'áyli aṭāba akōmaḥ:The phrase literally means 'owners of bad manners'. In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'those with manners, bad', and Stroomer adopted this translation in his edition. I prefer the translation 'ill-bred people', given in $M L$ (s.v. $t b^{c}$ ), since the story is referring improper treatment of camels, not impoliteness. $\bar{a} t y e ̄ k a$ : While the Arabic manuscript has the mp future ālyēẹa, Ali read

## Translation of Text 29

1 A: "Where did you herd (the camels) today?"
2 B: "Where (they were) yesterday."
3 A: "Did you find any grazing today?"
4 B: "We found grazing, but the cows came, and the flies set upon us. And the camels crowded together, and they didn't taste any food.
5 Then in the evening, the flies eased up on us, and they ate. But all the camels have conceived."
6 A: "Well, who would have made them kneel for the male camels except children, ill-bred people? Then they'll run short of the children's milk."
$7 \quad$ A: "We should send for the herders with suckling mothers to come to us. Maybe they have milk already. Or else we should move from this place. The flies are killing [lit. killed] the camels."
8 B: "Where do you want us to move to?"
9 A: "We should go to the Najd, in this valley to the south [or: downstream]."
10 B: "Ok. We should (stay) today in our place, and someone should scout out this valley. If he finds grazing in it, we'll move. And if he doesn't find grazing, we'll still be in our place."
11 A: "Your advice is good. We should (do) like this."
12 B: "Which of you will scout?"
13 A: "As you wish. Do you want me to scout?"
14 B: "Yes indeed, we do [lit. want]!"
15 The man scouted, and he found grazing, but he found people had gathered in great numbers in the valley, (with) goats and camels, but not any cows.
16 And he came back to his camels, and he told the people. He said,
the 3 mp imperfect $y \bar{a} l \bar{i} k$ on the audio. The meaning is the same. In the Roman manuscripts, Johnstone transcribed alyēka, probably reflecting alyēka.
10 nəkūn (nəwōka): The Arabic manuscript and audio have nəkūn. In the older Roman manuscript, Johnstone indicated that nəwōḳa was the equivalent of nəkūn. In his later manuscript, he wrote only nəwōḳa, which does seem to be a better fit in this context. On the difference between these two verbs, see $\S$ 13.1.1 and $\S$ 13.1.2.

17 A: "hōh ṭafk, wa-kásk amətwē, walākan ḥābū al-hīs abáṭh man kētar. ṣarōmah thááyman naślēl aw nabkáa b-amkōnan?"
18 B: "lawb, anhōm nəślēl mən aðbēb ð-abkār."
19 wə-təmmōt akassēt Әə-bว'áyli habēr.

17 A: "I scouted, and I found grazing, but the people are as numerous as grains of sand [lit. like sand from their large amount]. Now do you want us to move or remain in our place?"
18 B: "No, let's move from [or: because of] the cows' flies."
19 And the story of the camel-herders is finished.

## Text 30 (no J): The Sharpshooter

xəṭərāt sēkən ðə-skáwn bə-wōdi, wə-ð-gáyam, w-ə šīhəm ḳawt lā. wวḥázihəm madōni, wa-hēm đ̣̂ār raḥmēnōt ð-əl sē mēkan lā.
attōli āmūr hīham ag̉áyg, "ənḥōm naślēl te ḥวwōdi s-sarīn. bīs awə yōl, wa-nśxáwwal te házyan tháġyagan."
āmáwr, "b-arāyək. hām thámən, nəślēl. tāban man ḥəwōdi ðīməh." tōli sállam. te wáṣalam ḥəwōdi, kūsam tīs háwrat, walākan bīs awa yōl. w-ag̉áyg labbūd. yáwšam xyēt. tع nahōr țayt, xtūl wa-nūka ba-w̄̄l śōx. w-anhōr xawfit, xtūl wa-nūka ba-t-tōh. tōli āmərūt tēt ṭayt, "ag̉áyg ðōməh saddōna tīn man amṣaráwf ð-arḥabēt." w-al āmarūt "xōb áyni" lā.
te nahōr xawfitt, xtūl ag̉áyg wa-śīni wēl, wa-wabdēh. yahəṣáwb aṣāwar ðдfanwīh, wa-tanūṭaś tawōli ag̉áyg, wa-tāwōr áynah țayt. wa-rádd tawōli sēkan, bárah āwēr.
wa-śxəwlīl. wa-rádd līhวm šáddəhวm ð-agəwé, walākan ḥāráwn ḳáyrəb thág̀yə gən. ādəh līsən faḳh ðə-wárx. wə-šamrūṣ́ ag̉áyg. tōli gáyam wə-sḥāṭəm wōz. w-anhōr xəwfitt, sḥāṭam țayt.

1 skawn: The three Roman manuscripts have skawn, the 3 mp perfect, matching the other 3 mp verbs in this line. On the audio, Ali read $3 \mathrm{~ms} s k u \bar{n}$. The 3 ms also works, since sēkzn is really singular. The Arabic manuscript is ambiguous, since both skūn and skawn would be written سكون. raḥm $\bar{\varepsilon} n o ̄ t$ :The diminutive raḥm $\bar{\varepsilon} n o ̄ t ~ h e r e ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ t r a n s l a t e d ~ ' a ~ l i t t l e ~ r a i n ' ~$ or 'a little vegetation (that comes after rain)'. The latter fits better after the preposition đ̄ār 'over'.
$l a b b \bar{u} d$ : The Arabic manuscript and audio have just labbūd here. ML defines this as 'good shot', and I take this as a description of the man (i.e., 'he is a good shot'), rather than of the shooting (i.e., 'he shot a good shot'). The Roman manuscripts, as well as $M L$ (s.v. $l b d$ ), all have yalūbəd labbūd 'he could shoot a good shot'. yahaṣáwb: This is the 3ms imperfect of the Šı-Stem hawșáwb 'be hit'. The root is historically $s ̦ w b$, but $w s ̣ b$ in the H - and Šı-Stems. According to $M L$ (p. xliv and s.v. șwb), the 3 ms imperfect is yzhowṣáwb, which is what we expect for a I-w, II-Glottalic verb. For this text, Ali's Arabic manuscript has and his later manuscript has yahaṣwōb. The audio clearly has yahaṣáwb.

## Translation of Text 30

1 Once a community was living in a valley. They were hungry, and they didn't have food. Their goats were pregnant, and they were by a little vegetation that was not a lot.
2 Then the man said to them, "We should move to the valley behind us. In it are ibexes. We should stay there until our goats give birth."
3 They said, "As you wish. If you want us to, we'll move. We are tired of this valley."
4 Then they moved. When they got to the valley, they found it parched, but there were ibexes in it.
5 And the man was a good shot. He could cut threads (with his shots). Then one day, he went hunting and brought back a big ibex.
6 And the next day, he went hunting and brought back two.
7 Then a certain woman said, "This man will be sufficient for us (more than) provisions from town." But she didn't say xōb áyni (to ward off the evil eye).
8 Then the next day, the man went hunting and saw an ibex, and he shot at it. He hit the rock behind it, and (the bullet) ricocheted back to the man, and his one eye was blinded. And he went back to the settlement, already blind (in one eye).
9 And they stayed. And their burden of hunger returned to them, but the goats were close to giving birth. They still had half a month (left).
10 And the man became ill. Then they got hungry, and they slaughtered a goat. And the next day they slaughtered one (more).

It appears, then, that like we find for I-w verbs in the Ši-Stem, the H-Stem also has two variant imperfects, yzhaw $C \bar{u} C$ and yzhaCū$C$. See further in the comment to text 95:7, and in § 7.2.3.
8 bárah $\bar{a} w \bar{e} r$ : On the audio, Ali read ber áywar, using a verbal phrase rather than a non-verbal one. The manuscripts all have bárah $\bar{a} w e \bar{r}$.
9 šáddəhəm: In the Roman manuscripts, Johnstone transcribed ś, as also in $M L$ (s.v. śdd). However, the audio clearly has $\check{s}$, no doubt reflecting a simple Arabism (cf. Arabic šadd). The Arabic manuscript is ambiguous, since Ali wrote both š and ś with the Arabic letter ش ش In the earlier Roman manuscript, it looks like an original $\check{s}$ was corrected to ś.

11 tōliffaré’ hāāráwn b-aġı̄g, walākan nūka ar bo-hịtūā.
12 attōli āmūr ag̉áyg, "hām ḥāráwn nūka ar ba-ḥiṭār, w-əl nūka b-ārọ̄ọ lā, sḥayt ḥiṭār l-agərē ḥābū yošádərkวт mən đ̣ār agəwé'."
13 tōliffar $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ ' hāráwn amatalyōt b-ārōọ, walākan ḥāráwn bīsan śxōf mēkən lā.
14 tammōt līsən arḥəmēt, wa-ráddam ḥəwádiham ḥāwalīt. tōli šarḥáym wa-ṭəmmūt akā̉ kállah.
15 wə-hāráwn áymal aśxōf, wə-mkáwr. wákam fəg่əśōy ðə-yəkláwl, mən đ̣ār aṣ́áymət əð-fənōhən.
16 wa-təmmōt kawtēt.
$11 a \dot{g} \bar{\imath} g$ :This word is not in $M L$. In the earliest Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'in birth'. It is clearly a noun from the root $\dot{g} y g$ (cf. H-Stem haġyūg 'give birth').

11 Then the goats began birthing, but they bore only female kids.
12 Then the man said, "If the goats bear only female kids, and don't bear (any) male kids, kill the female kids, so that the people might survive the famine."
13 Then the later goats began (to bear) male kids, but the goats didn't have much milk.
14 The vegetation ran out on them, and they returned to their earlier valley. Then they got rain, and it irrigated the whole land.
15 And the goats made milk, and they bagged (it for butter-making). They became milk-rich, so that they could spill (it) out, after the previous shortage.
16 And the story is finished.

## Text 31 (no J): An Uncle's Visit

1 ġayg ðə-wátxf ag̉átəh ðว-šflkáwt. wə-bér šīs ḥəbánse mən ag̉áygəs.
2 tōli āmūr, "ād aḥād śīni házyzn?"
āmərūt aġátzh, "ḥázikam yallōh sēn ba-həəwōdi ðīh, walākan ðд-yāmərəm yวślēlam. w-al wádan lā hēm śállam aw lā, walākan gंətərbūt ḥəyáwm, wə-xār hūk thētəm."
"al hōm al-hētam lā. ber háyni sēt mən sékani."
"ber gazámk lūk thētam!"
tōli aḳōfi ag̉áyg. āmərūt tēt, "al xā hōh ð-agūzam lūk lā. ardēd aw-bōh! aḥād yašgūś lā ṣarōməh. gazōt ḥayáwm, wa-báni ag̉átk śátwakam lūk."
"xáyban, hōh mahētəm. walākan ber gazámk man amsḥəṭáwt."
8 "al hōh sḥoṭáyta hūk lā."
te wátxaf hā āráwn wa-šīsən ḥambaráwtən. tōli g̉abarátham ḥāmēham w-āmarūt hīham,
10 "xáylkam hanīn, walākan ber gazūm man amsḥaṭáwt. ṣarōməh tḥaym tháḥəntəm tah, b-arāykəm. wə-tháym taḳlēm təh, b-arāykวm. ḥāráwn bīsan aśxōf, wa-śxəfōna."
11 āmáwr ḥəmbəráwtən, "yวhōom yagzēm aw lā, nəḥāh sḥəyēṭa h-axáylən. məg̈ōrən yašxəbīrəh ḥābū, 'sḥāṭəm hūk báni ag̉àtk aw lā?', wว-hām al shāạtan hah lā, yanákdam līn ḥābū."
tع kalōh ḥāráwn wa-hīs bársan b-aṭərēf d-aṣáyga, mánam wōz wa-sḥāṭəm tis.
13 te nákam ḥəmbəráwtən hāl xáylhəm, āmūr hīham xáylhəm, "ðॄ hōh ber gazámk fōnah!"
14 āmáwr ḥəmbaráwtən, "hēt ar ðə-ġərábk nəḥāh wōgab līn nasḥōt hūk." wa-hātīm. te k-sōbaḥ ağáyg sayūr təwōli sékanah. wə-təmmōt.

6 yašgūś: The manuscripts and audio all have yašgūś here. $M L$ (p. lxi, n. 1) gives 3 ms imperfect $y \partial s ̌(\partial) g u ̄ s ́ s$ as a variant of the more regular-looking yašawgūś. The form yašgūś was also produced by informants. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added "(əw)" in the margin above yašgūś, indicating the variant yašawgūś. See also 54:3 and § 7.2.3.

## Translation of Text 31

1 A man came to his sister who had gotten married. And she already had sons from her husband.
2 Then he said, "Has anyone seen our goats?"
3 His sister said, "Last night your goats were in this valley, but they were saying they would move. And we don't know if they moved or not, but the sun has gone down, and it's better for you to stay the night."
4 "I don't want to stay the night. I have already been (away) a long time from my family."
5 "I have already sworn to you that you'll stay the night!"
6 Then the man turned away. The woman said, "It's not like I haven't sworn to you. Come back here! No one is going now. The sun has gone down. And your nephews have missed you."
7 "Ok, I'll stay the night. But I already swore against (having any) slaughtered animal."
8 "I won't slaughter for you."
9 Then the goats came, and with them the boys. Then their mother met them and said to them,
10 "Your uncle is with us, but he already swore against (having any) slaughtered animal. Now if you want to make him break his oath, as you wish. And if you want to leave him alone, as you wish. The goats have milk, and he will drink milk."
11 The boys said, "Whether he wants to swear or not, we will slaughter for our uncle. Later people will ask him, 'Did your nephews slaughter for you, or not?' And if we don't slaughter for him, people will criticize us."
12 Then when the goats came home, and after they were by the pen, they took a goat and slaughtered it.
13 Then when the boys came to their uncle, their uncle said to them, "Well, I already swore earlier!"
14 The boys said, "You surely know that it is obligatory for us to slaughter for you."
15 And they spent the night. Then in the morning, the man went to his settlement. And it is finished.

## Text 32 (no J): A Man Who Died of Thirst, and His Orphaned Daughter

 hōram lā.
2 wa-šáh ḥark. te wīşəl b-aámk əð-ḥōrəm, đ̣áyma. wə-l-ād ḳadūr yasyēr lā, wa-l-ād ġarūb ḥōram lā.
haḳ̣áwm naxāli ḥərōṣ. te nahōran, l-ād ḳวdūr yaġtáyr lā.
4 sḥāṭ ab'áyrah wa-hftūk kērəś ð-ab'áyr, w-āṣáwr fert.w wa-ttókk.k mən ḥəmōh ðд-kērəś. al śīni sékanah lā. walākan nūka lā.
wa-ġaláwk mánah. tōli kūsəm śáffah.
wə-tábam bə-śáffəh, te kūsəm təh ber mōt mən đ̣áwma. wə-hē bərk amgəfūt ð-ab‘áyrəh.
naḥāram lah yabīti trayt.
w-ağáyg xalūf ašráyn bēr, w-al xalūf walēd lā ar ġaganōt.
tōli ġátəwsəm aǵáwhe mən đ̣̄̄r awértِ ð-aġáyg, wə-ð̣əláwm aġəgənōt aytamūt.
tōli ākarūt ag̉aganōt, wə-xวdəmūt amg̀ār. wə-gazəmūt, "วl ašfōk te l-əfdéh habēr əд-háybi."
attōli ag̉aganōt ḥaṣalēt śēḥaz. wa-kāl sanēt tfayd yabīti t trayt aw śhalīt, te ber šīs habēr kāl mən hāl ḥadáydas.
tōli wafūd bīs bar ḥadáydas.
āmərūt, "məšfəḳāta lā ar kāl ðว-yวḥōm yazémi śśḩzi ðว-bér xədámk təh man hāl ḥadáydi."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g} \imath ̄ g e ̄ n, ~ " w a z y e ̄ m a ~ t i ̄ s ̌ ~ s ́ s ́ h ̧ z a s ̌ . " ~ " ~$ wazáwmas śśhzzas. hīs bárah šīs, šfakátah.
wa-śxawallūt šah nahōr ṭayt, wa-xazūt mánah.
tōli āmūr, "hām al tḥámi lā, azámi śśhzi."
$\bar{a} m ə r u ̄ t, ~ " s ́ e ̄ h a z ~ \partial e ́ k a m a h ~ a r ~ x a d m e ̄ t ~ ð \partial-h ̣ a ́ y d i . " ~$

14 bar ḥadáydəs: The manuscripts have bar hadáydəs, but on the audio, Ali read instead the synonymous phrase ḥəbrē ðə-ḥədáydəs.
20 ð́́kəməh: The manuscripts have ðと́kəməh 'that', but Ali read ðōməh 'this' on the audio. Likewise in line 22, the manuscripts have alyákamah 'those', but Ali read alyōmah 'these'.

## Translation of Text 32

1 Once a man was looking for his community, and he had a camel. And he didn't know the way.
2 And it was hot. When he got halfway, he got thirsty. He couldn't go anymore, and he didn't know the way.
3 He spent the day under an acacia tree. Then at midday, he couldn't speak anymore.
4 He slaughtered his camel. He took out the camel's stomach, and he squeezed out the undigested food. And he drank some of the water from the stomach.
5 He had promised his community that he would come back to them after two days, and it was already the fifth (day) that he hadn't seen his community.
6 Then his community got worried about him, and they inquired about him. They heard he was headed towards them, but he didn't come.
$7 \quad$ And they looked for him. Then they found his tracks.
8 And they followed his tracks until they found him already dead from thirst. And he was in the chest cavity of his camel.
9 They sacrificed two camels for him.
10 And the man left behind twenty camels, but he left behind no children except a girl.
11 Then his brothers argued over the man's inheritance, and they were unfair to the orphaned girl.
12 Then the girl grew up, and she worked the frankincense trees. And she swore, "I will not marry until I redeem my father's camels."
13 Then the girl acquired frankincense. And every year she would redeem two or three camels, until she had all of the camels (back) from his uncle.
14 Then her cousin asked for her hand in marriage.
15 She said, "I will not marry, except to whoever wants to give me my frankincense that I have worked for from my uncle."
16 The boy said, "We will give you your frankincense."
17 They gave her her frankincense. After she had it, she married him.
18 And she stayed with him one day, and she refused him.
19 Then he said, "If you don't want me, give me my frankincense."
20 She said, "That frankincense is surely the product [lit. work] of my (own) hand."
āmūr, "xáybən, azámən habéryan."
22
āmərūt, "həb̄̄r alyákəməh ar man sār háybi. wa-śérṭ əm-mənwáy w-əmmənwūk al-šéffḳək, wว-bér šfáḳək tīk. ṣərōməh al hūk śi lā."
23 āmūr, "ġədéwwan təwōli śérə", anšáxbar."
24 səyáwr təwōli śśrə’ wə-šxวbīr. w-āmūr śérə', "ṣarōməh al hūk śī lā ar aməwkēt ðว-ttēt, wว-bér šūk aməwkáts.
25 ṣarōmah tḥōm txxōli, antaḳōl. wa-tḥōm (t)śxáwwal, antakōl."
26 āmūr, "al hōm al-xōli lā."
27 śxəwlūl sanēti trayt, w-ādəh al xōli lā.
28 tōli agंáyg yahōō yahērəs wa-ḥaggūt lah tétrah m-ād yahēras aw yahōm yoxxōli.
29 tōli šaṣyūḳ ag̉áyg wa-xxōli.
30 wว-šfaḳáwt ṭāṭwว-bér šīs amōlas kállวh. wว-nkōt bə-ḥəbánse. wว-təmmōt.
wa-nkōt bə-ḥəbánse: This sentence is missing from the audio, but it is in the manuscripts.

21 He said, "Ok, give us our camels."
22 She said, "Those camels are surely from my father. The deal between me and you was that I marry you, and I already married you. Now you are owed nothing."
23 He said, "Let's go to the judge to ask."
24 They went to the judge and they asked. And the judge said, "Now you are owed nothing except marital possession of the woman, and you already have marital possession of her.
25 Now if you want to get divorced, choose (so). And if you want to remain, choose (so)."
26 He said, "I don't want to get divorced."
27 He remained two years, and he didn't get divorced.
28 Then the man wanted to get married (to someone else), but his wife didn't let him get married unless he wanted to get divorced.
29 Then the man got fed up, and he divorced (her).
30 And she married someone, and she already had all of her property. And she bore sons. It is finished.

## Text 33 (no J): A Boy Who Didn't Like to Work

1 Mother: āmūr hūk ḥədáydək, "āká" k-ḥāráwn," wa-bér gazūm hām al wákak k-ḥāráwn lā, ðə-yวsbáṭk. hēt əl tənōfa lā.
2 Son: lawb, hōh ar ənōfa, wàākan məkā xā hōh ð-ənōfa śī hənīkəm!
3 Mother: bass, ḳəlह́’ aġərōy! yəkūn lūk śakk, hām ġátəryak k-ḥədáydək. āká’ $k$-ḥāráwn yamōh, wa-gēhəməh šanðárk ðə-l-əḳlēk tháḳ̣əm man hāl tḥōm.
4 Son: lawb, hōh að-ğarábk tīkam. gēhamah āmyēra háyni, "āká" k-ḥāráwn. wa-hēt al tanōfa lā!"
5 Mother: xáyban. śné', (al-) frēḥ hōh ba-ḥabráy. abēli yabōrak būk!
6 Son: xáybən. hōh waḳōna k-hāráwn yamōh, walākan hām gēhəməh al kálakəm tı̄ al-hákṣam lā, l-ād anfōna tīkam zōyad lā.
7 Mother: yéyc. aḳōfi sār ḥázke, wə-həððōr mən kawb!

5 (al-)frēh: The expected ics subjunctive prefix $l$ - is absent from the Arabic manuscript and the audio. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone included the prefix $l_{z}-$, but circled it and added the note "can be dropped". Part of this line is reproduced in $M L$ (s.v. $f r h$ ), where the verb is transcribed $l$ farēh. The expected form al-frēh occurs in text 90:13.

## Translation of Text 33

1 Mother: Your uncle told you, "Stay with the goats!" And he swore that if you were not with the goats, he would hit you. You are of no use.
2 Son:Yes, I am indeed of use, but it's as if I were of no use to you in anything!
3 Mother: Enough, stop [lit. leave] the talk! It will be an offense for you if you speak with your uncle (this way). Be with the goats today, and tomorrow I promise that I'll let you spend the day wherever you want.
4 Son: No, I know you. Tomorrow you will say to me, "Be with the goats. You are of no use!"
5 Mother: Fine. Look, let me be happy with my son. May God bless you!
6 Son: Fine. I will be with the goats today, but if tomorrow you don't let me spend the day (where I want), I won't be of use to you anymore.
7 Mother: Ok. Go after your goats, and watch out for wolves!

## Text 34 (no J): A Journey to Dubai (Autobiographical)

ḥāmáy al sawmēt aḥād lā ar hōh wว-g்aganōt.
wว-bér ənkōt b-arbōt ambəráwtən wว-gंวgənōt wว-mōtəm.
w-ámma ḥáybi, šah ġīgēn man tēt țayt, walākan əmtōt tēt, w-aǵḡgēn bəttōdi. wว-məg்ōrən hārūs bว-ḥāmáy. walākan วl səwmēt aḥād lā ar hōh wa-ġaganōt.
wว-ḥāmáy šīs amōl mēkan, walākan háybi faḳáyr walākan xaddōmi. wว-mġōrən áyməl dəkkōn mən amōl ðə-hāmáy, wə-xədūm bərkîh. wə-nḥāh ḳənyáwn. tkūn ḥāmáy bark ḥāráwn wa-həb̄̄r, wa-háybi bark adəkkōn.
wว-hīs béri bar ōśər sənáyn, āmárk háybi, "śtōm háyni məndáwk!!" āmūr ḥáybi, "ā ḥəbráy, hēt ādək ḳənnáwn, w-əl tḥáwməl salēb lā." āmárk həh, "hām al hēt śtəmōna háyni məndáwḳ lā, hōh məssōfar." wə-məg்ōrən śītəm háyni məndáwk. wə-gəhémk, wə-mən hāl nákak, yวṣháyk mənáy ḥābū. yāmərəm, "hēt ḳənnáwn wə-tśəlūl məndáwk!" wə-mgंōrən akōsa gंáyg. āmūr háyni, "wazmōna tīk ganbáyyot baməndáwḳək."
 wa-sayárk tawōli ḥāmáy wa-kวlótk līs.
āmərūt ḥāmáy, "šándam aməndáwkək, walē háybək sabṭōna tīk." āmárk hīs, "yćye. sīrōna." w-əsyūr h-arḥəbēt təwōli aáśər ðว-ḥáybi, w-āmárk həh, "āmūr hūk háybi, ‘əzémi ərbə ‘áyn ḳəráwš', w-əl-ḳəlย’’ hənūk ajanbáyyət ðīməh." $\bar{a} m u \bar{r}, ~ " \bar{a} d ~ t a ̄ k ̣ a ́ ’ ~ t ə b a ́ y d ? " ~ a ̄ m a ́ r k, ~ " ə b a ́ y d ~ l a ̄ ~ h o ̄ h . " ~$ wวzmáy arbə'áyn ḳəráwš, wว-səyárk ṣəlōlət. wว-ṣáṭk gəwāz wว-ssáfrək. wa-hábye al wádam bay lā.

tkūn ḥāmáy: The Arabic manuscript and audio have tkūn ḥāmáy 'my mother was', in which case the preceding phrase nḥāh ḳənnáwn must be part of a separate sentence. The Roman manuscript, however, has $n k u ̄ n k-$ ḥāmáy 'we were with my mother', in which case the phrase nḥāh ḳənnáwn 'we kids' would be the subject of the verb $n k u \bar{n}$. ganbáyyət: On the audio, Ali read ganbáyyət here, but janbáyyət in lines 12 and 15 . The same variation is found elsewhere in the texts. $w-a l-k \not \partial l \varepsilon^{\prime}:$ The manuscripts lack the prefix $\partial l-$, but it is heard on the audio.

## Translation of Text 34

1 My mother didn't keep alive any children [lit. anyone] except me and a girl.
2 She had had four boys and a girl, but they (three boys) died.
3 As for my father, he had a boy from a (different) wife, but the woman died when the boy was at the breast. And then he married my mother. But she didn't keep alive any children except me and a girl.
4 And my mother had a lot of property, while my father was poor, but hardworking.
5 And then he made a shop from my mother's property, and he worked in it.

6 And we were kids. My mother would be among the goats and camels, and my father would be in the shop.
7 And when I was ten years old, I said to my father, "Buy me a rifle!"
8 My father said, "My son, you are still a child, and you don't carry arms."
9 I said to him, "If you won't buy me a rifle, I will go away."
10 And then he bought me a rifle. And I went, and wherever I came to, people would laugh at me. They would say, "You are a child and you're carrying a rifle!"
11 And then I found a man. He said to me, "I will give you a dagger for your rifle."
12 And I was a child. I didn't know the value of the rifle. And I took the dagger to my mother and told her.
13 My mother said, "Ask for your rifle back, or else your father will beat you."
14 I said to her, "Ok, I'll go."
15 I went to the town to my father's friend, and I said to him, "My father says to you, 'Give me forty dollars', and I should leave this dagger with you."
16 He said, "Might you be lying?" I said, "I don't lie."
17 He gave me forty dollars, and I went to Ṣalalah. And I got a travel pass and went away. And my parents didn't know about me.
18 Then I reached Qatar, and I got off. And I didn't know how to speak Arabic.

17 șalōlət: According to ML (s.v. ṣll), the Mehri form for Ṣalalah is ṣalōt, but this is an error (Miranda Morris, p.c.). The correct name in Mehri is ṣalōlat. There is, in fact, a place called ṣalōt, on which see the comment to text 38:2.
tōli gazōt hayáwm, wa-bákk.
w-al kásk ahād lä đ-agárbah. w-agāy śōx ba-katár bark āskaráyyat. tōli hōh d-əbáyk, w-ənk'áy āskəráy. āmūr háyni, "kō hēt tabáyk?" āmárk hah, "hōh kannáwn, w-al agōrrb arhabēt Jïməh lā." wa-hōh ð-əġtūri šah mahráyyat, tōli agáyg al hawūl ag̉arōyi lā. tōli ḥhōli háyni. āmūr, "nəkéz วw-bōh!"
 bark šerra.
wz-bagdáy ag̉áyg te man'áy, wz-hē ðд-yōmar, "al tạ̣̄ōs lā." mən'áy wz-tarráy təwōli amərkēz. wə-ğวláwk mən əhād ðд-yağōrəb ag̈ərōyi.
te kūsam ag̀āy, āmáwr hah, "báwməh gìgēn k kənnáwn ðz-yzad́yk, w-al ğərūban ağərōyzh lā. yámkan tagrēb ağərōyzh."
 affaūt.
yásṣak mánah. w-al garàbk tah lā. wz-hē ðд-yōmar, "hōh agāak!"
te lhakরáy w-amōsi bay, wz-ðд-ybáyk mən ağzṣ́nāti. wz-šxวbaráy, wz-hōh ð-əbáyk mən farhāt. wz-hē ðz-ybáyk mənáy, wz-yวšxวbári. wa-kalátِk lah. ämárk, "höh ða-ffalátk man hábye." wə-yzkūtzb xatt tawōli hábye. wる-śxawtūlan warx ṭāt. w-āmūr aḡāy, "hōh ðə-ğáṣnək man xaláwti, l-ánha taṣáwbar mənk lā. wa-lēzam naftēk." āmárk həh, "al hōhftkōna lā." āmūr, "文zzm. ftkōna, wz-hōh šūk." àmárk, "al afátk lā aw taśtōm háyni ganbáyyat." āmūr, "yéys." te wáṣalən dəbáy, āmūr háyni, "thōm jənbáyyat, antakōl. wz-thōm skayn gid, antzkōl."
āmárk, "hōm skayn gīd." wa-sītzm háyni skayn, wz-ftūkən akān. wztammōt kawtēt.

27 w-abárka: The audio has the 3ms perfect abárka, while both manuscripts have the 3 ms imperfect yabórka.
29 šxabaráy: This form appears twice in this line, both written identically in the Arabic manuscript. On the audio, Ali read 3ms perfect šxxabaráy for the first occurrence, but 3 ms imperfect yašxabári for the second. Oddly, both verbs are absent from the Roman manuscript.
hábyz: Ali wrote háábi 'my father' in his Arabic manuscript, but read hábye 'my parents' on the audio. The Roman manuscript also has hábye.
31 -ánha: The Arabic manuscript has لا 31 , which is the Arabic particle li'ánna with the Arabic 3fs pronominal suffix (cf. the Dhofari Arabic form li-'annhā given by Davey 2016: 205). The Roman manuscript has linneha

19 Then the sun went down, and I cried.
20 And I didn't find anyone that I knew. And my big brother was in Qatar, in the police.
21 Then I was crying, and a policeman came to me. He said to me, "Why are you crying?"
22 I said to him, "I am a child, and I don't know this town." And I was speaking Mehri with him, so the man didn't understand my language.
23 Then he beckoned me. He said, "Come here!"
24 And I went with him. Then when I saw the police station, I got scared and I ran away from the man into the street.
25 And the man chased me and [lit. until] he caught me, and he was saying, "Don't be afraid." He caught me and led me to the station. And they looked for someone who knew my language.
26 Then when they found my brother, they said to him, "There's a young boy here who is crying, and we don't know his language. Maybe you know his language."
27 Then when he came, he recognized me, and he ran towards me. And when I saw him run towards me, I ran away.
28 I was afraid of him. And I didn't recognize him. And he was saying, "I'm your brother!"
29 Then he caught up with me and kissed me, and he was crying out of compassion for me. And he questioned me, and I was crying out of happiness. And he was crying for me, and he questioned me.
30 And I told him. I said, "I've run away from my parents."
31 And he wrote a letter to my parents. And we stayed for a month. And my brother said, "I feel sorry for my step-mother, because she can't do without you. We must leave."
32 I said to him, "I won't leave." He said, "We must. We'll leave, and I'll (go) with you."
33 I said, "I won't leave unless you buy me a dagger." He said, "Ok."
34 Then when we got to Dubai, he said to me, "If you want a dagger, choose. Or if you want a good knife, choose."
35 I said, "I want a good knife." And he bought me a knife, and we left for our place. And the story is finished.
(but cf. the transcription l-ánha in ML, s.v. 'nn). On the audio, Ali hesitated several seconds before reading instead the negative particle al.

## Text 35 (no J): Boys Who Were Refused Hospitality

1 xaṭərāt g g̀ $\bar{g}$ ēni tِrōh wátxfam al-ba'áyli abkār, wa-šīham amawsē.
2 te nákam kaláyni ḥōkəb, wazáwmham 'áynat ātarīt.
3 w-āmūr hīham g̀ayg, "šáwgaśam! l-ād thētəmam lā."
4 āmáwr ḥəmbaráwtən, "ənḥāh al nəgंōrəb ḥōrəm lā, wa-(šīn) ḥabūr wa-mawsē. wa-nḥāh al mašawgəśūtan lā."
tōli āmūr ag̉áyg, "xályak tēti, al (t)țaym man hanīn śxōfyallīlah. thaym (t)śxáwlam aw lā?"

6
tōli nūka abkārr wa-ḥəláwb wa-yaṣbáwb bark akēēdōr (aḳadōwar)waśxāfam. wa-ḥambaráwtən śxawtīl.
7
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " y \varepsilon ́ y \varepsilon, ~ w a l a ̄ k a n ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ l a h ̣ y e ̄ k ̣ a ~ t i ̄ k i . " ~$

2
ḥōkab: ML (s.v. ḥkk [sic]) has ḥākab (pl. ḥakōb), but ḥākab is likely a misprint for ḥōkab. A short word-list that Johnstone made for this text has ḥōkab (pl. ḥkūb) 'cow-village, community'. Cf. also JL (s.v. ḥkb) and HV (p.110).

4 wa-(šin) ḥabūr: The Roman manuscript has wa-šīn ḥabūr, but both the Arabic manuscript and the audio lack the expected šīn. In the second audio version, Ali stumbled a bit and said wa-ḥabūr ... wa-šīn ... wa-haəbūr wa-mawsē.
tōli: On the second audio version (this text was recorded twice), Ali said attōli here. On the other hand, in line 7 , he said tō $l i$, while the first audio version has attöli. This only underscores the fact that tōli and attōli are free variants.
yaṣbáwb:The form sakáwb in Stroomer's edition was simply a misreading of the Roman manuscript, which has the ungrammatical form ṣabáwb (with the prefix $y$-added in the margin above). The Arabic manuscript and both audio versions have yaṣbáwb. dōwar in the first audio recording of this story. In the second recording, he read $a k \bar{e} d \overline{d o} r$. Likewise in lines 10 and 16 . (Line 23 is missing from the first audio version, but the second has akēedorr.) The Roman manuscript has

## Translation of Text 35

1 Once two boys came in the evening to (some) cow-herders, and it was raining.
2 Then when they came to the camp, they gave them a little buttermilk.
3 And a man said to them, "Go! Don't spend the night."
4 The boys said, "We don't know the way, and it's cold and raining. We won't go."
5 Then the man said, "May I divorce my wife [= I swear], you won't taste any milk from us tonight. Do you want to stay or not?"
6 Then the cows came, and they milked (them) and poured (the milk) into the pots, and they drank. And the boys sat there (without milk).
$7 \quad$ Then the rain stopped. And the cows went away after they had milked them.
8 Then one boy said, "I have a plan."
9 The other said, "What kind of plan?"
10 He said, "We'll go up onto this cliff, and we'll each pick up a rock. And we'll pelt the pots that the milk is in, so that they break. And we'll run away."
11 He said, "Ok, but the people will catch us."

عkeedúur throughout, with $\varepsilon k$ duwwar added in the margin at line 6 only. ML (s.v. kdr) lists only the plural kaydōr, but cf. the Ḥarsusi plural kadáwr ( $H L$, s.v. $k d r$ ).
gawūt: On the first audio version, Ali read gawūt, the expected 3 fs perfect of the III-w/y verb gawōh, while on the second he clearly read gawōt. The latter form looks like the 3 fs perfect of a Gb-Stem or a III-` verb (e.g., $n \bar{u} k a)$. The two root types are sometimes mixed up, and Ali's mistake may be a reflection of that tendency. The confusion may also stem from the fact that this verb is rarely, if ever, used in the masculine. We know that the root is gwy from comparative evidence (e.g., Jibbali $g \bar{e}$ ). The Roman manuscript has gawūt, and a short word-list that Johnstone made for this text also has gawūt, along with the 3 fs imperfect $\operatorname{tagwu}$. In $M L$ (s.v. $g w y)$, the 3 fs imperfect is transcribed $\operatorname{tag} w \overline{0}$. We expect a 3 fs imperfect təgáyw, following verbs like hawōh 'fall' (§7.2.13) and təwōh 'eat' (§ 7.2.14). An imperfect $\operatorname{tagw} \bar{o}$, if correct, must be a Gb-Stem form.
$t \varepsilon \not \partial \bar{a} r:$ The first audio version and the manuscripts have $t \varepsilon \not \partial \bar{a} r$, but the second audio version has just $\partial \bar{a} r$.
āmūr, "mat ġamáski, məšákri. wə-mġōrən məháfərdi b-abḳār. l-ād ḳəlōni tīsan (t)tīyan lā."
tōli āmūr ṭāṭ h-ag̉áyg, "ād wazyēma tīn śxōf śţ?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "lā. wazyēma tīkam śî lā."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " x a ́ y b ə n, ~ a b e ̄ l i ~ s ̌ w a ́ d a k ว m . ~ ə n h ̣ a ̄ h ~ b a ̄ y e ̄ r a . " ~$
wə-sīrōh ḥəmbəráwtən tع đ̣ār aḳəṣṣāt ð-aṣ́áyga. šadhəḳ̄̄h wə-śanyōh akēēōr anxáliham.
wa-radyáyham b-aṣawáyr, wa-tِabrōh k kādəri trōh. wa-ffawtōh wa-škaryōh bark harōm. wa-ḥābū g̉aláwk mənhēm te ḳáṭam. kūsam tīhi lā. tōli ráddam ḥābū. wa-hīs ber ráddəm, karbaláh ḥambaráwtan bark abḳār, wa-ffrīd bīsan.
te faḳh д-aáṣar, śanyōh śīwōṭ ðว-ba'áyli habēr. wa-bārōh te karáyb al-habēr. śxawallōh.
wa-šīhi ānīt. tōli āmūr ṭāt, "nahōm nəḥlēb ḥənfáyyan."

tع $k$-sōbah sirōh tawōli haskánihi.
wə-mg̈ōrən aǵáyg bāl abḳār, hām nəkáyh əḥād, yəwázməh śxōf. wə-wīka rəḥáym k-ḥābū mən đ̣ār táybor ð-akēdōr. wว-tommōt kowtēt.

15 abēli šwádakam: On this phrase, see the comment to text 27:25.
17 radyáyhəm: On the first audio recording, Ali read radīwham (3mp perfect + 3mp object), but on the second, he read radyáyham (3md perfect + 3mp object). The manuscripts have the dual form.
18 karbaláh: Though Stroomer's edition has karbalē, which matches the expected dual based on the paradigms in $M L$ (cf. p. lxvi), the Roman manuscript has kerbalé (karbalē in ML, s.v. frd), and the Arabic manuscript has كربل. The second audio version clearly has karbaláh, while the first audio version cuts off immediately before this word. This reflects the change -ēh > -áh discussed in § 2.2.4. $\bar{a} n \bar{t}$ : See the comment to text 84:5.
22 ḥaskánihi: The manuscripts have the dual possessive suffix -hi, but the audio has haskániham, with the 3mp suffix.

12 He said, "When we disappear, we'll hide. And then we'll frighten the cows. We won't let them eat."
13 Then one said to the man, "Will you give us any milk yet?"
14 He said, "No. We won't give you anything."
15 He said, "Fine, may God keep you. We will go."
16 And the boys went up onto the ledge of the cave. They looked down and saw the pots below them.
17 And they threw the rocks at them, and they broke two pots. And they ran away and hid among the trees. And the people looked for them until they got tired. They didn't find them.
18 Then the people came back. And after they came back, the boys crawled among the cows, and they frightened them.
19 Then at midnight, they saw the fire of the camel-herders. And they went until (they were) near the camels. They sat down.
20 And they had a water-skin. Then one said, "Let's milk for ourselves."
21 The other one [lit. that one] said, "Ok." Then they milked into the waterskin, and they drank. And they stayed.
22 Then in the morning they went to their settlements.
23 And later, the man, the cow-herder, if anyone came to him, he would give him milk. And he was nice to people after the breaking of the pots. And the story is finished.

## Text 36 (no J): Ba Newas and the Poor Boy

1 xəṭərāt ḥōkəm bə-rḥabēt. w-arḥabēt bīs xawr, wə-xáwr ṭāṣəm xā hēfelg.
2 te āṣar ṭāt, āmūr ḥābū, "mōn ðə-yaḥōm yahētam bark xawr faṭā" te k-sōbəh? wa-hām haṣbāh ṣaḥh, hah malyōn ḳarš."
3 kāl ðə-nūka xazōh. əttōli šasfōh ġīgēn fakáyr. wə-šáh ḥāmáh āgáwz, w-əl šīs walēd lā ar hē. attōli āmūr ḥāmáh, "ạhōm al-hētam bark xawr. wal̄̄ rábbak yəsāman tī, wə-nə'yūś."
4 āmarūt ḥāmáh, "ā ḥabráy, anḥōm lā. wว-hēt, hām matk, l-ād aḥādya‘yiśan tī lā man bādūk."
 al-hētzm bark xawr." āmūr, "y $y$ ye."
6 te gazōt ḥəyáwm, səyūr ağı̄gēn te wịsal xawr.
7 haftūk xaláwkhe, wa-kafūd bark hamōh.
8 atté ba-ḥalláy, āgáwz l-ād nəkáts šanēt lā man ḥabrēs. śallōt gəwdīl ðə-báh śíwōt.
wa-sīrūt te ba-gardīs. hāwkáwt śwōṭ wa-śxawallūt.
ts $k$-sōbaḥ, haṣbāḥ ag̈̄̄gēn ṣaḥh. wa-sīrōh hē wa-hā āmáh təwōli hōkam.
tōli āmūr ḥōkam, "ḥāmēk hāwkáwt lūk śīwōț, wa-ṣarōmah al hūk śi lā."
āmūr aġīgēn, "ḥāmáy al ḳrbáti lā. wa-śīnək śīwōt man məśnē ð-ayn."
àmūr, "ábdan. wazmōna tīk śī lā."
sayáwr ag̈ıḡ̄n wa-ḥāmáh ðə-yabákyam.
tōli kūsam bā nəwās. āmūr hīhəm, "kō tēm təbákyam?"
kəláwt lah b-akassēt kálləs. āmūr, "al təktəwīl lā. hōh məháftək līkəm akarášikam."
17 te nahōr xawfit, āzūm ḥōkam w-askérhe ba-fśe $\overrightarrow{\text {. }}$

3 rábbək: It is unclear why this word does not have a definite article, and why it has a 2 ms possessive suffix. It appears to be an idiomatic frozen form. Cf. gazēk(əm) in texts 20:63 and 39:14, which also lacks the expected definite article in combination with a possessive suffix.
nə'yūś: ML (s.v. 'yś) gives the imperfect $y \bar{a} y u ̄ s ́$, but the ' is preserved both in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio, as we expect from the rules outlined in § 2.1.3. The form is pronounced with an epenthetic vowel after the ', so it sounds closer to nə'ayūs'. But the correct form is nə'yūs', like nəsyūr 'we go' (§ 7.2.7).
$g a w d \bar{l} l: M L$ (s.v. $g d l$ and $g w d l$ ) gives the form $g o \bar{d} d \bar{e} l$, but the existence of a pattern $C \bar{o} C \bar{e} C$ or $C \bar{o} C \bar{l} C$ seems very unlikely. In fact, this word is gawdīl as

## Translation of Text 36

1 Once there was a ruler in a town. And the town had a lagoon, and the lagoon was cold as ice.
2 Then one evening, he said to the people, "Who wants to spend the night in the lagoon naked until morning? And if he makes it to morning alive, he'll get a million dollars."
3 Everyone who came refused. Then a poor boy found out. And he had an old mother, and she had no children except him. Then he said to his mother, "I want to spend the night in the lagoon. Perhaps the Lord will protect me, and we'll survive."
4 His mother said, "O my son, let's not. You, if you die, no one will look after me after you (are gone)."
5 The boy said, "I will have faith." And he went to the ruler, and he said to him, "I want to spend the night in the lagoon." He said, "Ok."
6 Then when the sun went down, the boy went until he reached the lagoon.
7 He took off his clothes, and he went down into the water.
8 Then at night, the old woman could not sleep [lit. sleep did not come to her] because of her son. She took a stick that had fire on it.
9 And she went to the dry ground (near the lagoon). She made a fire and she sat down.
10 Then in the morning, the boy was alive. And he and his mother went to the ruler.
11 Then the ruler said, "Your mother made a fire for you, and so now you get nothing."
12 The boy said, "My mother didn't come near me. I saw the fire only from afar [lit. from view of the eye]."
13 He said, "No way. I won't give you anything."
14 The boy and his mother went away crying.
15 Then they found Ba Newas. He said to them, "Why are you crying?"
16 They told him the whole story. He said, "Don't worry. I will get you your money."
17 Then the next day, he invited the ruler and his soldiers for lunch.
proven by the audio (also in 76:9) and by the Jibbali cognate gabdél (with $b$ < *w). The nominal pattern CəCC̄̄C is well attested (e.g., dərhīs 'kid', dəḥlīl 'cave', and mandīl 'kerchief').
āmáwr hah, "naḥāh šīn xədmēt, w-əl mátwayan lā." āmūr, "l̄zzəm afśēkəm hənáy. wə-hōh šay ađ̣̄rōō. w-əl məhábṭa lā." āmáwr, "yє́yย." tє nákam təh, həglūl, wว-káwla śīwōt rēḥəḳ mən aṣfōri. wa-həkṣáym. əttōli āmūr ḥōkəm, "habh̄̄k lā?" āmūr, "səwānōt."
wว-hēm lə-wṭákəməh tع gəzōt ḥəyáwm. āmūr ḥōkəm hə-bā nəwās, "həbhēk lā?" āmūr, "sawānōt." tōli fátḳah aáṣər, wa-ḥəmōh al ṣabb lā. attōli g̉átyวđ̣̆ ḥōkəm, wa-səyūr yวśné’ aṣfōri. tōli kūsa ḥəmōh al ṣ́abb lā. āmūr ho-bā nəwās, "hēt ar (t)ṣ́hōk līn?" āmūr, "wa-kōh? hōh al hōh ð-əhaglūl lā?" āmūr, "wว-kōh ðə-ġərábk śīwōṭ tənōfa hām sē rēḥəḳ? həyáwm kállวs wa-fáḳ̣h ð-aáṣər wa-ḥəmōh al ṣabb lā. mōn āmūr hūk tāmōl waṭōməh?" āmūr, "nəḥāh nətōba śawr ðə-ḥákmən, wว-nəhūgəs aṣáṭəəh. āmūr, śs̄wōt, hām sē rēḥək, tənōfa.'"
āmūr ḥōkəm, "śīwōt tonōfa lā ar hām sē ənxāli ḥəmōh!" $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ b a ̄ ~ n ə w a ̄ s, ~ " a g ̇ \partial l e ̄ t ̣ ~ a l ~ h e ̄ ~ m ə n a ́ y ~ l a ̄ . ~ a g ̇ a l e ̄ t ~ a r ~ m a n k . " ~$
 hām sē rēḥək, tənōfa ḥəmōh?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ b a ̄ ~ n \partial w a ̄ s, ~ " l a ̄, ~ w ว l a ̄ k a n ~ h a ́ m a k ~ t i ̄ k ~ a ̄ m a ́ r k ~ t ə n o ̄ f a . " ~$ āmūr ḥōkəm, "tənōfa ḥəmōh lā ar hām sē nəxāli aṣəfəráyyวt."
 faḳáyr wə-ḥāmáh?
ðว-bér hātūm bark xawr te k-sōbəḥ w-āgáwz śallōt gawdīl b-agardīś w-āmárk, 'hēt hawábš al-habrēš!"" āmūr ḥōkəm, "hōh ðə-đ̣əlámk wa-ṣərōmah wazmōna tīhəm aḳərášihəm." wว-xxaṣáwb l-ȧgīgēn. wazmáh aḳərášhe, malyōn ḳarš. wa-tīgar ȧ̈̄̄gēn wa-ḥāmáh. wa-tammōt.

ḥəyáwm: This word normally means 'sun', as it does everywhere else in the texts (over twenty times). Here it has the meaning 'daytime'.

18 They said to him, "We have work, and we aren't free."
19 He said, "You must have lunch with me. I have the wood. And I won't keep you long."
20 They said, "Ok." Then when they came to him, he lit (the fire), but he left the fire far from the pots.
21 And they passed the afternoon. Then the ruler said, "Haven't you cooked (it)?" He said, "In a little while."
22 And they were like this until the sun went down. The ruler said to Ba Newas, "Haven't you cooked (it)?" He said, "In a little while."
23 Then it was midnight, and the water hadn't gotten warm.
24 Then the ruler got angry, and he went to see the pots. Then he found the water hadn't (even) gotten warm.
25 He said to Ba Newas, "Are you just making fun of us?"
26 He said, "Why? Aren't I cooking?"
27 He said, "Why did you think fire is useful if it is far away? The whole day and half the night, and the water didn't (even) get warm. Who told you to do like this?"
28 He said, "We follow the advice of our ruler, and we think he tells the truth. He said, 'Fire, if it is far away, is useful."
29 The ruler said, "Fire is not useful unless [lit. except if] it is under the water!"
30 Ba Newas said, "The mistake is not from me. The mistake is from you."
31 The ruler said to Ba Newas, "Quit the joking! Why did you think fire, if it is far away, is useful for water?"
32 Ba Newas said, "No, but I heard you said it was useful."
33 The ruler said, "It is not useful for water unless [lit. except if] it is under the pot."
34 Ba Newas said, "You are witnesses to his words. And why were you unjust to the poor boy and his mother?
35 The one who spent the night in the lagoon until morning, and the old woman took a burning stick to the dry-ground, and you said, 'You warmed your son!'"
36 The ruler said, "I have been unjust, and now I will give them their money."
37 And he sent for the boy. He gave him his money, a million dollars. And the boy and his mother were rich. It is finished.

Text 37 (= J3o, but a variant version): A Man and His Jinn Wife

1 xəṭərāt ġayg tōgar ba-rḥabēt, wa-šàh mastōn ðə-nēxal, tōmar. walākan mat káyrab txarōfən, thaṣábḥən ðə-xərēt. tōli sayūr tawōli amhiśan wa-kalūtِ lah b-akassátah. tōli āmūr hah, "akōfi aáṣər ðৈ-l-aťnēn, wa-kabēn đ̣ār naxlūt. wa-ḥəððōr man (t)šakf! te ba-ḥalláy, ankáwtan tīk śhalīt ġaggōtan gannáytan wa-raḥ̣̣áwtan bark ḥawṣ́. mahaftkūtan xaláwḳisan. wa-kąēsan. mat bársan bark ḥamōh, śalēl xaláwkisan wa-manēhวm. wa-sēn al (t)syūrən lā ar ba-xaláwkkisən. wa-ḩəððōr mən tāṣōṣ." āmūr, "yع́ys." tōli səyūr te kəbūn đ̣ār nəxlūt, wə-hātūm. te fátkah aáṣər, nūka ag̉aggōtən wa-hftūk xaláwkisan, wa-kafūd bark hamōh. wa-hīs bársan bark ḥamōh, nūka ag̉áyg wa-śáll xaláwḳisan. tōli ankáyh w-āmūr hah, "táwwak tstérən! wa-dəxlūtən l-ād annōka anáxalke zōyad." āmūr, "ábdan. walākan hōh mənōna xalōwak alyōməh, wə-ətēn akáfyən!" āmūr hah, "naḥāh al anḳáwdar lā naḳōfi ar ba-xaláwkyan." tōli wazūm trayt xaláwḳisən, wa-mūna ṭayt raḥáymət. wa-ṣāṭáys h-abátzh. wa-karōh xəláwkss, wə-wazmīs xalōwək bāṣ. attōli áygab bīs wa-hārūs bīs. wa-šàh śhalīt ag̉áthe. te nahōr ṭayt nákam tah śātáyt śabōb, wa-wfáwd hanáh. wa-hē al yȧgárbham lā. tōliffaḳáyhəm. wə-śáfhวm gənnáwn. wa-śxawlūl sanēt. wa-mg̈ōran sōfar ha-rhabēt ṭayt, wa-ḳ́wla tétəh hāl hāà ${ }^{\text {áh. }}$
8 wa-mərūす̣ hāamáh, āmūr hīs, "ḩððáyri mən təzēmi attēti xəláwkss. maḥhaylēta bayš, walākan ḥəððáyri mən txadēš." āmərūt, "təḳtəlōb lā!" wa-gəhēm ag̉áyg.
9 te āṣar t tāt, wīḳa šarḥ b-arḥəbēt. w-āmáwr ḥābū, "nวḥōm əttēt ðə-fəlān tənḥāg." wa-ğátaryam šīs. tōli āmərūt, "hōh al anōhag lā ar ba-xaláwkye. walākan g̉atáyram k-xaláwti tazémi xaláwkye, wa-hōh nahgīta." tōli ġátəryam hāā $k$-āgáwz, wa-ġátri šīs hōkวm.

2 aáṣər ðว-l-ət̨nēn: See § 9.5, n. 21.
5 tstéran: The footnote in Stroomer's edition suggests that this verb comes from the root $s r r$, but it is clearly a 2 ms subjunctive $t s t \bar{e} r$ (from $s t u \bar{r}$ 'cover', root str) with a 1cp object suffix.
7 gənnáwn: ML (s.v. gnn) lists the plural gannáwni, but the audio clearly has gannáwn (likewise in line 18). The Arabic manuscript has جنويا) in both lines, though line 25 has اجنوني. Cf. also 65:11.

## Translation of Text 37

1 Once there was a rich man in a town, and he had an orchard of date-palms, dates. But whenever they were close to ripening, they would be stripped of fruit in the morning.
2 Then he went to the medicine man and told him his story. Then he said to him, "Go on Sunday night and hide in [lit. on] a palm-tree. And be careful you don't fall asleep!
3 Then at night, three jinn girls will come to you and bathe in the pool. They'll take off their clothes. Let them. When they are in the water, pick up their clothes and keep them.
4 And they won't go away without [lit. except with] their clothes. And don't be afraid." He said, "Ok." Then he went and [lit. until] he hid in a palm-tree, and he spent the night. Then in the middle of the night, the girls came and took off their clothes, and they went down into the water.
5 And after they were in the water, the man came and took their clothes. Then they came to him and said to him, "You must cover us! We'll promise we won't come to your date-palms ever again." He said, "No way. I will keep these clothes, and you go away!"
6 They said to him, "We cannot go without [lit. except with] our clothes." Then he gave two their clothes, and he kept one who was beautiful. And he took her to his house. He hid her clothes, and he gave her some (other) clothes. Then he fell in love with her and married her.
7 And he had three sisters. Then one day, three young men came to him and asked him permission to marry. And he didn't know them. Then he let them marry. And it so happens they were jinns. And they stayed a year. And then he traveled to a certain town, and he left his wife with his mother.
8 And he instructed his mother, he said to her, "Be careful not to give my wife her clothes. She'll (try to) trick you, so be careful she doesn't dupe you!" She said, "Don't worry!" And the man went away.
9 Then one evening, there was a dance-party in the town. And the people said, "We want the wife of so-and-so to dance." And they spoke with her. Then she said, "I will not dance without [lit. except with] my (own) clothes. So speak with my mother-in-law so she'll give me my clothes, and I'll dance." Then the people spoke with the old woman, and the ruler spoke with her.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, ＂anḥōm naśné’ attēt đīmah mat naḥagōt．＂āmarūt，＂Yáṣṣak tīs m－ād tafrēr man đ̣́áyrən，wa－mğōran ḥabráy yamēt sərīs，man āgēbəs．＂āmáwr hā $b \bar{u}$, ＂al sē sīrīta lā．＂
11 tōli hyȳllam b－āgáwz te wazamūt xalōwak．wa－nḥagōt t táwri trōh，wa－

tōli yáṣsam ag̈áygas m－ād yoḥtəwōl．ḳəbáwr kabś，w－āmáwr，＂mət agááyg nūka，āmyēra hah，＇tēt amtōt．＇＂ts man đ̣ār wakt，nūka ag̉áyg．āmūr hē，＂ar tēti h hõ？＂
13 āmarūt，＂ā ḥabráy，attétrk amtōt，wa－ġalēk akábras．＂śxawlūl wa－bakōh．tōli nəkūś akōbər wa－kūsa ar kabś．tōli āmūr hāàmáh，＂kalēți lay b－aṣáṭ，wal̄ watḡōna ḥənōfl！＂
tōli kawtūt lah b－akassēt kállas．attōli sayūr tawōli amhéśni wa－šxabarīh． tōli āmūr hah amhéśni，＂kənéh śāt⿱㇒士口át farōṣ，ṭāṭ ōfar，wa－ṭāṭ hōwar，wa－ṭāṭ awbōn，wa－kalēhวm bark maḥðərūt．w－āzémham akāṣ́ab，wa－kalēham śhalīt sənáyn．wə－mət təmm śhวlīt sanáyn，ķəl̄hวm śl̆ət yūm mən ġayr ḳawt．
 tawōli aḳāṣab ðékəməh məháwṣal tīk tețk．＂áymal wəṭákəməh．te təmm śhalīt sanáyn，farr ōfər，wə－səyūr ag̉áyg te nūka hāl amhéśni．āmūr həh， ＂faráyṣ ófar farr．ṣarōməh hībōh l－āmōl？＂
āmūr hah，＂gəhōm l－akāṭər ðə－həyáwm te takṭá＂abōkar．wa－man hāl katōt，
 śātáyt āṣáwr．w－arōbəə ð－āṣáwr，nəkōna sēkən ðə－ttétk．＂
17 tōli gəhēm ag̉áyg sənēt．te nəhōr ṭayt kaláyni，koṭōt abōkər．wə－ķláys wə－
 āmərūt，＂yā ḥay b－ağāy！＂tōli nákam aṣ́áyga wə－kūsa ḥáyməh．
nəkūś：The verb nakūś＇dig up，exhume；rummage around for＇is a bit difficult to find in $M L$ ，since the heading $n k s$ is erroneously printed as an entry under the root $n k s$（p．296），and written together with the verb nəküś （i．e．，$n k s ́ n \partial k u ̄ s ́)$ ．
amhéśni：Both occurrences of this word in this line appear as such in the Arabic manuscript．On the audio，Ali read the synonymous amhiśon for both（cf．line 2），though for the second he corrected himself to amhésni． He read the word correctly in line 15 ．
$\bar{o} f \partial r$ ：I have translated this as＇red＇，but＇brown＇is also a possibility． śllatyūm：The manuscripts have śīlot tyūm，but on the audio Ali mistakenly read śhalīt yūm．

10 He said, "We want to see this woman when she dances." She said, "I am afraid she might run away from us, and then my son will die because of her, out of love for her." The people said, "She won't go."
11 Then they tricked the old woman and [lit. until] she gave (her) the clothes. And she danced two times, and she surpassed all the women of the town. And she fled.
12 Then they were afraid her husband might go crazy. They buried a lamb, and they said, "When the man comes, we'll tell him, 'The woman died." Then after a time, the man came. He said, "So where is my wife?"
She said, "O my son, your wife died, and (go) look at the grave." He sat down and cried. Then he dug up the grave and found only a lamb. Then he said to his mother, "Tell me the truth, or else I will kill myself!"
14 Then she told him the whole story. Then he went to a medicine man and questioned him. Then the medicine man said to him, "Rear three young she-camels, a red one, a black one, and a white one, and leave them in a pen. And give them alfalfa (lucerne), and leave them for three years. And when three years have elapsed, leave them three days without food.
15 And then leave the alfalfa behind the pen, and whichever of them jumps out of the pen to the alfalfa will lead you to your wife." He did thus. Then when three years had elapsed, the red one jumped, and the man went until he came to the medicine man. He said to him, "The red she-camel jumped. Now what should I do?"
16 He said to him, "Go in the direction of the sun until the camel gets tired. And wherever the camel gets tired, leave it. And you still have a journey of three days by foot [lit. on your feet]. And you'll find people you know for three nights. And on the fourth of the nights, you'll come to your wife's settlement."
17 Then he went for a year. Then one day in the evening, the camel got tired. He left it and kept going. Then in the late evening, he saw goats and he saw a woman. Then when he got there, he found his sister. She said, "Welcome, my brother!" Then they came to the shelter, and he found his brother-inlaw.

15 farr amḥəðərūt: The Roman manuscript has farr man amḥəðərūt, but the preposition man is absent from the Arabic manuscript and the audio.
wว-sḥāt həh wว-šxวbərīh mən aḳáṣdəh. āmūr, "ð-ətōba əttēt̄i." āmūr həh,
 lūk wīyən ðə-mának ḥabráthəm sənēti trayt. wə-xār hūk tardēd." 9 āmūr, "ábdan. al ardūd lā, te wa-lū amūt." tōli wazmáh śfēt man alhyćtəh, w-āmūr, "hōh əl šay hūk mə'áwnət lā ar дīməh. mət šaṣyáḳək, ḳəl̄̄s bərk śīwōṭ, wว-hōh anákak." tع k-sōbəḥ, səyūr. wátxəf hāl ag̉ótəh amšġərēt. wa-wazmīh háymah śfēt mon alhyćtəh. w-āṣar śōlot, wátxəf hāl ag̉átəh əśśz̄t̄ət, wว-wวzmáh háymah śfēt mən alhyýtah. te k-sōbəh, səyūr. tє nūka kaláyni b-aðōbal ða-sēkan, kabūn.
tōli śīni tétrah sīrūt taḍḥōl, wa-xtalīs te manáys. wa-ġarbátah. attōli āmarūt hah, "ḥábye watyēġa tīk. walākan akēb bark xalēḳi, wa-sīrōni towōli hábye." wa-wkūb bark xalēḳəs, wa-sīrōh ts nkōh hāl háybas.
āmarūt háybas, "ā háybi, hōh kask śí, walākan yáṣṣak man aḳənyáwn yaġṣābəm tī tah. wa-hōh ba-harōhk bah." āmūr, "ḥarázt, te wa-lū šayš aġáyg əð-bér mən‘áyš sanēti trayt." āmərūt, "ðōməh hē!"
hātīm. tōli āmūr, "ḥōm attēt̄." āmáwr, "nahāh, ber hyolēt bīn tetk te arában tīk. ṣərōməh aḳ̄fi bə-ḥənáfk ādək hṣ́aym!" āmūr, "ábdan. hām əl atēm wazyēma tī tēt̄i lā, sḥáyṭi."
āmáwr, "hām gárak xawr ðōməh, wə-hām šarbāk əkkərmáym ðayk wəhēt tabárḳa wə-ð̛áyrək fı̄gōn ðə-mōh, wว-šadráyk tīs w-əl ḳáttal lā, wə-hām tōwak ba'áyri trōh, wazyēma tīk tēt." āmūr, "yદ́ys. ṣabērəm lay te k-sōbah."

19 śfēt: $M L$ (s.v. śf ) lists śaft 'hair', pl. śēf, but I suspect that śaft is a mistake. The other MSA languages have a singular form closely corresponding to Mehri śfèt. See also the comment to śaff in line 25.
20 aśśz$t \underline{t}$ : Ali stumbled on this word, but eventually read $\partial s ́ s ́ \bar{\varepsilon} t \partial t$. This matches what he wrote in the Arabic manuscript (شاثت), what Johnstone transcribed in the Roman manuscript (śźztt), and what Watson (2012: 113) recorded for Omani Mehri (śāt̄at). ML (s.v. ślt $)$ lists only śəwtīt as the feminine form of the ordinal śōlat, but that form is used only with 'day' (cf. texts 22:49, 88:5 and 91:27; see also § 9.3).
$h \not \partial r o ̄ h k$ : There is an audible $h$ in this word, though Ali did not transcribe it in the Arabic manuscript. Still, the underlying form must be harōk, since ḥərōhk should become ḥəráhk. Cf. also 42:52.

18 And he slaughtered for him and asked him about his objective. He said, "I am following my wife." He said to him, "Watch out for yourself that the jinns, the woman's parents, don't eat you. They are very angry at you that you kept their daughter for two years. It's better for you to go back."
19 He said, "No way. I won't go back, even if I'll die." Then he gave him a hair from his beard [or: chin], and he said, "I don't have any help except this. When you get in trouble, put it in the fire, and I'll come to you." Then in the morning, he left. He came in the evening to his second sister.
20 And his brother-in-law gave him a hair from his beard. And on the third night, he came to his third sister, and his brother-in-law gave him a hair from his beard. Then in the morning, he left. Then when he came in the evening to the edge of a settlement, he hid.
21 Then he saw his wife go to urinate, and he snuck up to her and grabbed her. And she recognized him. Then she said to him, "My parents will kill you. But go inside my dress, and we'll go to my parents." And he went inside her dress, and they went and [lit. until] they came to her father. She said to her father, "Father, I found something, but I am afraid that the children will snatch it from me. And I (am putting) it under your protection." He said, "It's guaranteed, even if you have the man who took you for two years." She said, "It is him!"
23 They spent the night. Then he said, "I want my wife." They said, "Us, your wife has already tricked us so that we gave you protection. Now turn yourself around while you still have (some) respect!" He said, "No way. If you won't give me my wife, kill me!"
24 They said, "If you drink up this lagoon, and if you climb that mountain while running with a cup of water on you, and you get to the top and don't spill (the cup), and if you eat two camels, we will give you the woman." He said, "Ok. Give me respite until morning."

25 tōli hīs ba-ḥalláy, hawká’ śaff šah bark śīwōṭ. wa-nákam tah ḥámhe agannáwn, wa-kəlūt līhəm. attōli waddáwdəm. ámma ṭāt yagré' xawr, waṭāt yakēb bərk ag̉áyg mət ðд-šarbá’ karmáym, wə-ṭāt yakēb bərkīh mət towōh abacyōr.
 wa-təmmōt.

25 śaff: The audio clearly has śaff, as do the manuscripts. As noted above in the comment to line 19, ML (s.v. śf) lists a singular form śaft, but this must be an error either for śfēt or śaff, both of which occur in this text with the meaning 'hair'. In this line, śaff could be taken as a collective noun. Jahn (1902:240) lists śfit 'hair' (pl. śéf) and śuff 'mane' (pl. śföf). The plural form śéf occurs in texts 9:8 and 86:9. See also the comment to śáfss text 75:15.
25 šah: We expect ðд-šóh, with a relative pronoun, but there is no evidence in the manuscripts. It is certainly possible that the relative pronoun was assimilated to the following sibilant (see §2.1.7), but there is no clear gemination on the audio. agannáwn: The Arabic manuscript has جنوني, but the audio has agannáwn. See the comment to line 7, above.

25 Then, at night, he put the hairs he had in the fire. And his jinn brothers-in-law came to him, and he told them. Then they divided up the tasks. One should drink up the lagoon, and one should go into the man when he is climbing the mountain, and one should go into him when he eats the camels.
26 Then in the morning, the conditions were set, and they did thus. And the man succeeded, and he got his wife. And it is finished.

## Text 38 (no J): Love and Marriage (Autobiographical)

xəṭərāt hōh gəhémk mən hāl sékəni, ḥōm əl-ṭāf วl-g்ayg āśəri. hámak bəh ðд-gïlu.
wว-gəhémk mən aśháyr, ḥōm ṣəlōt. attōli ḳəfádk arḥəbēt, wə-śátmək ksəwēt $h$-āśari. wว-gəhémk, te kaláyni nákak bo-wōdi, w-əl kask bīs aḥād lā. wว-gəzōt ḥəyáwm. əttōli đ̣áymək, wว-səyárk bork ḥəwōdi əw-mṣā . tōli hámak hass, wa-səyárk te kəsk bə'áyr.
mának ab‘áyr wa-rákbək lah. te wáṣalak wōdi ṭayt, kəsk bīs aḥād lā. attōli ḳálak ab‘áyr wa-sayárk te bark réḳab, šawkáfk.
tє k-sōbəḥ gəhémk, wว-wátxfək hāl sēkən. hātámk hənīhəm. te k-sōbəh hadlīli bə-sēkวn ðә-hōm tวwēhe.
te kaláyni wátxfək wə-kásk aáśari bə-xáyr. wəzámk təh aksəwēt, wə-sḥāt
wว-hātámk. tع k-sōbəḥ āmūr, "nəḥōm ənḳəfēd arḥəbēt, sədḥ." wว-hōh ādi วl əg்árbəs lā.
te wáṣalan arḥabēt, āzáwmən bū bāṣ, wa-haḳ̦̣ōmən hənīhəm. te ġasráwwan ftūkən man abáyt.
tōli əśōni tēt šadhaḳáwt mən xəwfēt, wว-ttēt raháaymət. tōli šxว āmárk, "mōn yasūkan bark abáyt ðìməh?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "tēt məhráyyət, wวlākan ðว-xəwḳāt báwməh." āmárk, "ḥōm วl-śnēs." āmūr, "yámkən tə่̇tfánk."
āmárk, "bədéh bīs. āmēr, ‘ðōməh mən aḳəb̄̄tkวm nūka yวṭāf layš’."
sayūr aġáyg. te nkáys, āmūr, "šay ġayg yaḥōm yoṭāf layš."
 ðōmah man aḳab̄̄tkวm. yวḥōm yaṭāf layš."
șāōt: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added a marginal note that this referred to 'district; mtns near Sidḥ'. Miranda Morris (p.c.) confirms that Selot is the name for the area of land that runs from the sea to the foot of the mountains, from Țaqah to east of Mirbaț. Since Ali was from Jibjat, it makes sense that he would head this way before heading further east to Sadh (see line 9). As discussed in the comment to text 34:17, the identification of ṣalōt as Ṣalalah in ML (s.v. ṣll) is a misprint for ṣalōlat. arḥəbēt, sədḥ: The Arabic manuscript has arḥəbēt sadḥ, while the Roman manuscript has just sadh, and the audio has just arhabēt.
fáṣ(ṣ)ḩək: The Arabic manuscript has فاشُك, the Roman manuscript has fáṣhak, and the audio has fáṣhəə. The form can be either D/L fáṣ̣́ək

## Translation of Text 38

1 Once I went from my settlement, heading to visit a man, my friend. I heard he was sick.
2 I went from the mountains, heading to Șelot. Then I went down to the town, and I bought clothes for my friend. And I went, until I came in the evening to a valley, and I didn't find anyone in it.
3 And the sun went down. Then I got thirsty, and I went downstream in the valley.
4 Then I heard a noise, and I went and [lit. until] I found a camel.
5 I grabbed the camel and I rode it. Then when I reached another valley, I didn't find anyone in it.
6 Then I left the camel and went up onto a ledge, (and) I went to sleep.
7 Then in the morning I left, and I came in the evening to a settlement. I spent the night with them. Then in the morning, they directed me to the settlement that I was heading to.
8 Then in the evening I came and found my friend well. I gave him the clothes, and he slaughtered for me.
9 And I spent the night. Then in the morning, he said, "Let's go down to the town, to Sadḥ." And I didn't know it yet.
10 Then when we got to the town, some people invited us, and we spent the day with them. Then in the evening we left the house.
11 Then I saw a woman look down from a window, and the woman was beautiful. Then I asked my friend, I said, "Who lives in this house?"
12 He said, "A Mehri woman, but she was born here." I said, "I want to see her."
13 He said, "It's possible she'll cover her face for you."
14 I said, "Lie to her. Say, "This (man) from your tribe has come to visit with you."
15 The man went. When he came to her, he said, "I have a man who wants to visit with you."
16 She said, "I saw him. He is a stranger [lit. strange man], and I am embarrassed by him." He said, "This man is from your tribe. He wants to visit with you."
'I embarrassed’ or T1 fáṣ(ṣ̂)ḥək (< fáṣş̧̣həək) 'I was/am embarrassed'. The latter seems correct based on the context, though it is surprising that it takes a direct object.

17 tōli samḥōt lay. wa-wakábk. ts nákak, āmlōt hīn šēhi.
18 tōli ágbak bīs, wə-sē āgbōt bay. tōli āmárk, "hōm al-šáwgəś." āmərūt, "ábdan, hētəm."
19 tōli hātámk, wə-ğátəryak šīs hārs. āmərūt, "g̉ətáyr k-ag̀āy, wə-hām ar ffḷáwk, hōh ḥōm."
20 te nəhōr xəwfit, ğátəryak $k$-ağās. āmūr, "naḥāh al naġárbak lā, w-al mafflkáwtan tīk lā."
21 attōli kalótِk līs b-aġarōy ð-ag̀ās. āmarūt, "hēt ṣarōmah gahōm tawōli sékənək, walākan nəkēn mən đ̣ār warx. wa-hōh kəwtīta h-ag̀āy b-āgēbək, wa-mxxawyēta tawēhe haynīt tāmēran hah."
22 wa-sayárk wa-ráddək man đ̣ār warx. wə-xazōh man yaháfffki. wə-sayárk bəsənēt xammōh ṭəwōr. wa-šāðəráy tع kkámlək sənēt. wa-ffakáy. wa-təmmōt.
nak $\bar{\varepsilon} n$ : The audio has nak $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ 'come back', while the Arabic manuscript has nək $\bar{\varepsilon} n$ 'come back to us'. wa-hōh kawtitta: The remainder of the text given in the manuscripts, begin- ning with wa-hōh kawtīta in line 21, is missing from the audio. Instead, the audio has a shorter (and different) ending: wə-nákak mən ðִārwarx wə-wfádk, wə-ffikik, wə-hārósk. wə-təmmōt 'and I came back after a month, and asked for her hand, and they let me marry, and I got married. And it is finished'.

17 Then she allowed me. And I came in. When I came, she made us tea.
18 Then I fell in love with her, and she fell in love with me. Then I said, "I should leave." She said, "No, stay the night."
19 Then I spent the night, and I talked marriage with her. She said, "Speak with my brother, and if he really lets you marry (me), I am willing."
20 Then the next day, I spoke with her brother. He said, "We don't know you, and we won't permit you to marry (her)."
21 Then I told her her brother's words. She said, "You go to your settlement now, but come back to us in a month. And I'll tell my brother about your love, and I'll send the women to him confidentially to tell him."
22 And I went and came back after a month. And he refused to let me marry. And I went five times in a year. And he refused me until I had spent a year. And he let me marry. And it is finished.

## Text 39 (no J): Pawning a Rifle

1 A: "bə-kóm thōm (t)śōm lay aməndáwkək?"
2 B: "ba-‘ašráyn rawn ḥāṣ́ar."
3 A: "wazmōna tīk ōśar wa-xáymah. ōśar ḥāṣar, wa-xáymah h-aṣáyrab. hām ṣarxōt wa-nṭalūt, wa-hōh śtəmōna, te wa-lū šag̀láyk tīs. amandáwkảk dawáylat, walākan hōh maxwīf, wa-hōm mandáwk."
4 B: "lawb, aməndáwḳi ar gádət wə-rxáyṣət, wə-hōh śēmōna lūk, hām hēt maháwf tī ḥázye h-aṣáyrab."
5 A: "al hōh đ̣əwmōna tīk lā, hām ktīb. xáybən, šanðárk b-ōśar rawn hāṣ̂ar, wa-xáymah h-aṣáyrab, wə-mən nəxāli aśháwd."
6 B: "wə-hōh śamk aməndáwk w-amhazēm."
7 A: "wa-hōh śátmək."
8 B: "tawōfak aṣaḥ̣átk!"
9 A: "wa-hēt salōm!"
10 tōli nūka aṣáyrab. āmūr ag̉áyg əś-śōm aməndáwḳəh,
11 B: "ād məháwf tı̄ házyz?"
12 A: "hōh ar maháwf tīk, walākan táwwak (t)ṣabēr lay te akáyỵ̆. házyan ðə-mōt sanēt ðīmah."
13 B: "hōh ar ber hankárk maháwf tī ar mən đ̣ār ass."
14 A: "təktəwōl lā. hām ar máthanək, məháwf tīk. ā(d)san šīn wəḳōna ašráyn rawn ðə-yəśxáyf mənsēn ak. $\quad n y a ́ w n . ~ w a z m o ̄ n a ~ t i ̄ k ~ x a ́ y m ə h ~ m ə n s e ̄ n . ~$ wa-ṣabárk lay, gazēk xayr."

3 șərxōt: ML (s.v. $s r x$ ) lists the verb sáwrax 'make a bang; fire, be fired' with non-glottalic $s$, noting that this is in contrast to the Arabic cognate with $s$ (șaraxa 'bellow, roar'). In the Arabic manuscript, however, Ali wrote s. The initial consonant $s ̣$ must be glottalic, since otherwise we would expect sūrax, not sáwrax (cf. sūmaḥ'forgive'). JL (p. 242) gives the root as ṣrx, but $H L$ (p. 112) has $s r x$.
3 šaglláyk: Stroomer's edition has šaġaláyḳk here, but this is an error. Neither the manuscripts nor the audio have evidence of a $k$. The form šzglláyk is a ics perfect of the Š1-Stem šaglōh 'buy at a high price' (ML, s.v. $\dot{g} l y)$. Johnstone lists in $M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} l k$ ) an Š2-Stem verb šogāalak 'buy s.t. expensive', which is surely what misled Stroomer. It is likely that the verb ša $\dot{g} a \bar{l} \partial k$, though listed in $M L$, does not really exist, as the meaning 'buy s.t. expensive' is unexpected for the root $\sqrt{ } \dot{g} l k$. Even if it did exist, the ics perfect would be šaḡākəək (< *̌̌əğálkəək), not šag̉aláykkk.
5 hām ktīb: Although I have translated this here as 'God willing', the literal

## Translation of Text 39

1 A: "How much do you want to sell me your rifle for?"
2 B: "For twenty goats up front."
3 A: "I'll give you fifteen. Ten up front, and five in the autumn. If it fires and ejects, I'll buy (it), even if I buy it at a high price. Your rifle is old, but I am afraid, and I want a rifle."
4 B: "No, my rifle is good and cheap, and I'll sell it to you if you pay me my goats in the autumn."
5 A: "I won't do you wrong, God willing. Ok, I promise ten goats up front, and five in the autumn, in the presence of the witnesses."
6 B: "And I (hereby) sell you the rifle and the cartridge-belt."
7 A: "And I (hereby) buy (it)."
8 B: "May it suit your health!"
9 A: "And well-being to you!"
10 Then the autumn came. The man who had sold his rifle said,
11 B: "Will you still pay me my goats?"
12 A: "I will indeed pay you, but you need to give me respite until the summer. Our goats have died this year."
13 B: "I already suspect you will pay me only after (some) difficulty."
14 A: "Don't worry. If you are really in trouble, I'll pay you. We still have about twenty goats that the children are getting milk from. I'll give you five of them. But (if) you (can) give me a respite, thank you."
translation is 'if (it is) written'. This Mehri phrase was recorded already by Thomas in the narrative of his journey (1932:103) and a version of this phrase was recorded by him for Baṭ̣ari (1937: 274).
6 amhazēm: This is the definite form of mahzēm, which is a borrowing of Arabic miḥzām 'belt'. The word is not in ML, though ML (s.v. ḩzm) lists Central Jibbali mahzzém 'cartridge-belt' (also in JL and $H L L$, s.v. ḥzm). $H L$ includes Ḥarsusi and Mehri maḥzēm 'cartridge-belt'.
akáyọ: I have translated this as 'summer' here, but it really refers to the hot months before the monsoon that comes in July and August.
$\bar{a}(d)$ san: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali spelled this word أسن, without the expected $d$. There has been a partial assimilation here; on the audio, Ali read ázsan. In Soqoṭri and Jibbali, the $d$ is regularly lost before first- and second-person suffixes (except 1cp). This is the only such example from the texts of $\bar{a} d$ plus a 3 fp suffix.

[^152]16 šanð⿱́rk: The Roman manuscript has the 1cs perfect šznðórk, but the Arabic manuscript and audio have the ms imperative šánðər. Ali stumbled on this word, reading first 3 ms perfect šanðūr, then (after a prompt by Johnstone) the ms imperative šánðər. If šánðər is correct, then the whole line must still be from the mouth of speaker B, and the translation would be "promise, 'I will pay you next summer!"."

15 B: "I'll give you a respite now, but when the summer comes, pay me without a word [lit. talk]."
16 A: "I promise that I'll pay you next summer."

## Text 40 (no J): Kidnapped by Witches

1 xaṭərāt trōh giayw. attōli mīrrṣ́ ṭāt xammōh wōrax. tōli mōt.
2 wa-śáff ṣāṭáyh sawēhar man xawr, wa-ḳbáwrah.
$3 \quad w$-ağāh sōbar yasyūr đ̣ār akōbar ð-aḡāh wa-yabáyk.
4 attōli šaṣík mánah amaytáyn.
5
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "hōh ar gahmōna."
attōli ssōfar aġáyg, w-āmáwr ḥābū, "aǵáyg aḥtzwūl." wa-ssōfar ağáyg te wịşal hand.
šxabūr mən handyūt, wa-kaláwṭ lah ḥābū bīs.
w-āmáwr, "hām thōm tank $\bar{\varepsilon} s, ~ a n k \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}$ man sərīs wə-mné tádyas."
tōli áymal awṭákamah. tı manáys wa-kəlūt līs.
attōli āmarūt, "mət ḥāráwn kalōh, ṣār, w-ağāk yag̉árbak."
tōli áymal waṭákamah, wa-kəlōh ḥāráwn. alhē kabś hīs śīni ag̀āh, wa-nūka aḡāh wa-manáyh.
$5 a s$ asiçk: This is the D/L-Stem 2 ms perfect of the root ṣy $k$. The underlying
 šaṣy $\ddot{\text { lug. Johnstone glossed this verb in the Roman manuscript as 'I'm fed }}$ up', a translation followed by Stroomer in the printed edition. Such a translation must assume that the form is a 1cs G-Stem perfect, but the context and the initial preformative $a$ - makes it clear that it is a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ Stem. The form əṣ́ūkak in Stroomer's edition is based on a misreading of Johnstone's transcription aṣ́ikikik. On this root, see also the comment to text 24:23.
$h ̣ \bar{a} b \bar{u}$ : We might expect here $a l-h ̣ a ̄ b \bar{u}$. In fact, the Arabic manuscript originally had al-hāabu , but the prefix was crossed out. Neither the Roman manuscript or the audio has $\partial l-$. The form $h \underset{a}{ } \bar{b} \bar{u}$ here must represent an underlying $h-h \bar{a} b \bar{u}$, since the indirect object of kalū$t$ requires the preposition $l$ - or $h$-.
tádyas: The audio has tádyas, based on the singular tōodi, while the Roman manuscript has tídáyse, based on the plural tíddáy. The Arabic manuscript

## Translation of Text 40

1 Once there were two brothers. Then one got sick for five months. Then he died.
2 It turned out that witches got hold of him after a little while. And they buried him.
3 And his brother would always go to his brother's grave and cry.
4 Then the dead got fed up with him.
5 Then he heard a voice saying, "You have annoyed the graveyard.
6 Your brother is not dead. Your brother, witches took him, and he is in India.
7 They have turned him into a lamb. And you, don't cry anymore!"
8 Then the man went back and told the people all that he had heard.
9 And he said, "I want to travel to India."
10 The people said to him, "Have you gone crazy? Your brother has already died and been buried. Stop [lit. leave] the craziness!"
11 He said, "I am really going."
12 Then the man traveled, and the people said, "The man went crazy."
13 And the man traveled until he got to India.
14 He asked about a (certain) Indian woman, and the people told him about her.
15 And they said, "If you want to get to her, come up to her from behind her and grab her breast(s)."
16 Then he did thus. Then he grabbed her and told her.
17 Then she said, "When the goats come, stand (there), and your brother will know you."
18 Then he did thus, and the goats came home. The lamb bleated when he saw his brother, and his brother came and took him.
has تديس, which could represent either tádyas or tِ tidáyse, but most likely represents tádyas.
17 mat ḥāráwn: Although both manuscripts have mat $\partial$ - hāaráwn, the prefix $\partial$ is unexpected. The audio lacks the prefix $\partial$-.
18 alhē: ML (s.v. lhv) lists the G-Stem lahū 'bleat' (for which lahōh is a better transcription), which is the expected G-Stem form of a III-w/y root (§7.2.10). However, the manuscripts and audio for this passage have alhe $\bar{e}$, which follows the pattern of II-h verbs (§ 7.2.5). This looks like a Jibbali form (cf. Jibbali alhé), however, Jahn (1902: 208) lists Mehri lehéy 'bleat' (meckern).

19 w-āmūr, "дōmah hē." wa-ḳawbátah te ḳátlab hīs fanōhan.
20 wa-ráddəm h-akāhəəm, wə-sīlam wakōna ōśar sənáyn.
21 wa-mg̈ōrən šxabīrah ḥābū, āmáwr hah, "hássak ba-ḥnáfk hīs śallūk səwēhər?"
22 āmūr, "ya-ḥōl! ḥássak ṭəwyáy ba-ḥalláy. wa-nkōt ṭayt mansēn wa-dəhfáti am-mán awahōyan, w-aġyábk hass.
wa-śalláy ba-ḥalláy man xawr, w-aśōni hā āū xā hēm bark ḥamōh.
24 w-əśع́niham ðə-yaġtáryam, walākan al əhámahəm lā.
25 wa-hīs béri bark amərkēb, dahfáti tēt g galáyđ̣əət wa-kátəwbək kabś.
26 wa-hōh bay hássi kállah, walākan al akạwdər l-ag̉táyr lā."wə-təmmōt.

20 ōśrr: The manuscripts have the correct feminine cardinal form ōśrr, but Ali mistakenly read áyśar on the audio, which is the form used only with 'days' (§ 9.2).
wə-tammōt: The Roman manuscript has about ten additional words before wa-tammōt, printed in Stroomer's edition as lines 27-28. I would transcribe these as wa-ṁंōran sïlam ag̉áyg wakōna ōśar sanáyn. mağōrən mōt ðд-ṣáṭ ‘and then the man remained healthy [or: safe] for about ten years. Then he died for real.'

19 And he said, "This is him." And she changed him back, and [lit. until] he changed back as (he was) before.
20 And they went back to their country, and he remained healthy [or: safe] for about ten years.
21 And then the people asked him, they said to him, "Were you aware of yourself when the witches took you?"
22 He said, "Yes indeed! I felt them come to me in the night. And one of them came and smacked me between the shoulder blades, and I lost consciousness.
23 And they took me at night after a little while, and I saw people as if they were in water.
24 And I saw them speaking, but I didn't hear them.
25 And after I was in the boat, a fat woman smacked me and I turned into a lamb.
26 And I had complete consciousness, but I couldn't speak." And it is finished.

## Text 41 (no J): A Demon Called śérak

1 yāmaram əśśērak yakūn $k$-sawēḥar, wa-ḥābū yašbádyam kēt.
2 tع nahōr ṭayt, ġayūg дə-yasyáwr ba-ḥōrəm. tōli śīnam yanīt śxawlūl, wa-śáfsan sawēḥər.
3 tōli āmarūt ț̣yt, "aġáyti, wa-kō hē šśērək l-ād nūka lā awákt ðōməh?" āmarūt țayt, "adámmah bárəh $k$-aḥād ġáyrən. walākan naḥōm nasyēr bark amarg̈āt ðayk wa-nəmtōrəğ, wə-məhaṣfarūtən. wə-yámkən yanké'." w-aġəyūg дə-kəbáwn, wə-ðə-yวhámam tīsən b-alhān āmūr. tōli sayūr haynīt. te wīṣal amarğāt, amtōragं wa-haṣfūr.
7 attōli nūka ðə-yabárḳa. walākan aġəyūg aṣȳ̄ham, wa-ffalūt.
 bark. w-ākarah aḳlālman abḳarēt, hīs amḥalēb." tōli hābū šasdīk ðə-hē śērak ṣaṭk. yakūn k-sawēhar.
10 w-ād al aḥād yaśényah lā ar xoṭərāt ð⿱́kวтəh, mən aḳáwl ð-ag̉əyūg alyákamah. wa-tammōt.

8 bark: Although the Roman manuscript has bōrok, the audio has bark. The spelling برق in the Arabic manuscript suggests that bark was intended, since Ali would likely have written بورق for bōrak.. ML (s.v. brk) lists only bōrak for 'lightning', though Jahn (1902: 170) lists both bark and bōraḳ; cf. also Hobyot bark (HV, p. 196) and Jibbali berk (JL, s.v. brk.).

## Translation of Text 41

1 They say the śērok is (habitually) with witches, but (some) people don't believe the talk.
2 One day, (some) men were going on the road. Then they saw women sitting, and it so happened they were witches.
3 Then one (witch) said, "My sister, why is it that the śērək has not come yet in this time?"
4 The (other) one said, "He is probably with someone else [lit. (someone) besides us]. But let's go into that dusty area and roll around, and we'll whistle. And maybe he'll come."
5 And the men had hidden, and they heard all that they were saying.
6 Then the women went. Then when they got to the dusty area, they rolled around and whistled.
$7 \quad$ Then he (the śērək) came running. But the men shouted, and it ran away.
8 And they said, "We can't describe it. White like milk, and fast like lightning. And its size is smaller than a cow, like a calf."
9 Then the people believed that the śérək is real [lit. true]. It is with witches.
10 And no one has ever seen it again, except that time, according to the report of those men. And it is finished.

## Text 42 (= J54, but a variant version; see also Johnstone 1978): Bu Zid al-Hilali

1 xaṭərāt ġayg wa-ḥāmáh $k$-habēr ba-ṣáyḥ, w-al yaśźnyam aḥād lā.
 yaráyd ba-xəṭrákah, wə-yabárḳa te yošákklah.
te nahōr ṭayt, āmūr ḥāmáh, "วḥād al-hīs t̄̄?" āmarūt, "bə-háw, walākan āmáwr ..." āmūr, "walākan hībōh? kalētِi lay aṣót!̣!" ba-yabūt masawmūt, hām śīnak bat bū zīd al-halāli." tع āṣar, śīnəm aġəyūg mahəḳbalūtan līham. tع wáṣalam, āmūr agīgēen, "ətēm bot mōn?"
āmáwr, "ənḥāh yāmaram hīn bat būzīd al-halāli." āmūr, "yā ḥáyya bīkam! béri ð-amtányan tīkam."
7 āmáwr, "hēśan? wīka lūk śí?" āmūr, "ábdan, walākan ḥōm l-axṭār šīkam."
 wa-hāmáh háợ̛̣i trōh. wa-hēm śātáyt, wa-kāl t ṭāt haợḍ.
tōli bot bū zīd al-halāli shēlam, w-ag̈āgēn wa-ḥāmáh təwyōh xawr.
āmáwr, "hām hēt tḥōm taxṭār šīn, shōl háḍđ̣ə ək!" āmūr, "al aḳáwdar lā. ðōmah yasdádki, hōh wa-ḥāmáy, warx wa-zōyad."
āmūr bū zīd al-halāli, "Xáyban, al tṣáwlah (t)syēr šīn lā. wa-nḥāh šīn saláwb: kāl ð-al shēl háḍđ̣əว lā, nalátg̉ah."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "ḥōm šīkam al-śné’ far'áytkam, atēm axáyr manáy, aw hōh axáyr mankēm."
tع k-sōbaḥ šáddəm al-farhayéniham, wa-hē śadd al-farháynah.
$\bar{a} m \partial r u ̄ t ~ h a h ~ h ̣ a ̄ m a ́ h, ~ " a l ~ x a ̄ r ~ h u ̄ k ~ t a g h o ̄ m ~ s ̌ i ̄ h a m ~ l a ̄ . ~ a l y o ̄ m a h ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ b a ́ r h a m ~$ məg̈rōb." āmūr, "hōh axáyr mənhēm."
wə-səyáwr. te wátxfam bə-wōdi nōb, kūsam ḥabrīt ðд-ḥōkəm ðə-rṣənēt ba-gəndēt. wa-hənīs xabz wa-káwt. tōli šxabīras, āmáwr, "kō hēt báwmah?" āmərūt, "ðə-rṣánk h-arīs̄t bərk hawōdi ðīməh, gənnáy. wə-kāl āṣar yวwázməm (tah) ġaggīt wa-káwt a ${ }^{\text {cisēh }}$.

11 tṣáwlah:This 2 ms imperfect form (used also in text 76:3) is based on a GaStem perfect șūlaḥ. Such a verb is not listed in ML (s.v. ṣlḥ), though other forms of this root are listed. The Ga-Stem must be based on Arabic șalaḥa 'be suitable, proper, righteous, fitting'. Cf. also Jibbali șélaḥ 'be suitable, fine', used in the Jibbali version of this story (J54:9); see also JL (s.v. ṣlh).

## Translation of Text 42

1 Once a man and his mother were with the camels on a desert plain, and they didn't see anyone.
2 And the boy looked after himself with milk and meat. And the boy was strong and fast. He would throw a stick, and run to catch it.
3 Then one day, he said to his mother, "Is there anyone like me?" She said, "No way, but they've said ..." He said, "But what? Tell me the truth!"
4 She said, "They say that the clan of Bu Zid al-Hilali is better than you." He said, "I vow a sacrificial camel, if I see the clan of Bu Zid al-Hilali."
5 Then (one) night, they saw men approaching them. When they arrived, the boy said, "What clan are you [lit. you are the clan of whom]?"
6 They said, "Us, they call us the clan of Bu Zid al-Hilali." He said, "Welcome to you! I have been wishing for you (to come)."
7 They said, "For what? Has something happened to you?" He said, "Not at all, but I want to travel with you."
8 They spent the night, and he slaughtered a camel. And they divided it up into five shares. For the boy and his mother, two shares. And they were three, and each one (got) a share.
9 Then the clan of Bu Zid al-Hilali finished, but the boy and his mother ate (only) a little.
10 They said, "If you want to travel with us, finish your share!" He said, "I can't. This would be enough for us, me and my mother, for a month and more."
11 Bu Zid al-Hilali said, "Ok, you are not fit to go with us. We have a custom: Whoever doesn't finish his share, we kill him."
12 He said, "I want (to go) with you to see your bravery, (and to see if) you are better than me, or I am better than you."
13 Then in the morning, they saddled their horses, and he saddled his horse.
14 His mother said to him, "It's better for you not to go with them. These are people already famous." He said, "I am better than them."
15 And they went. Then when they came in the evening to a big valley, they found the daughter of the ruler tied up to a tree trunk. And by her was bread and food.
16 Then they asked her, they said, "Why are you here?"
17 She said, "I've been tied up for the serpent in this valley, a jinn. Every night they give it a girl and food (for) its dinner.
$17 t z h$ : The expected object $t a h$ is in the Roman manuscript, but is missing from the Arabic manuscript and the audio.

26 attōli ankōt arīs̄īt at-tənhōḳ, wa-tōmər, "a iśēy ḥõ?"
27 āmūr hīs ṭāt,, "aciśēš bōh, walākan ftēḥi xāšl-agərē ðә-l-ākēb, wว-ġəmēṣi āyéntše!"
28 ftḥōt xās wa-ġวmṣáwt āyéntsع, wa-śáll gandēti trrayt, wa-yahōḳa ṭayt,
$28 y z h o ̄ k a$ : This is the H-Stem 3 ms imperfect of the root $w k^{c}$. According to $M L$ (p. xlvii and s.v. $w k^{c}$ ), the 3 ms imperfect is yahaw $k \bar{a}$. However, there is no reason why the form should be so different from other H-Stem verbs whose third root consonant is ' (e.g., hərbá', 3ms imperfect yahərōba). That is, we expect yzh(a)wōka, which is what Jahn (1902: 235) recorded (yehuwōqa); this becomes yahōka (see § 7.2.9, especially n. 67). Cf. also the Ḥarsusi 3 ms imperfect yawōka, from $a w k \bar{a}$, and the Jibbali 3 ms imperfect $y \bar{\jmath} k a^{c}$, from $\varepsilon b k a^{c}$. The audio has yzhōka here, which is what Johnstone transcribed in the Roman manuscript, and what Ali wrote in the Arabic manuscript (يهوق). See also the comment to text 88:2. 'put across', though no such verb is listed in ML. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added a form śagáwbəh in the margins, which can only be a Ga-Stem 3mp perfect plus a 3ms object. He also added a form śigab, a GbStem perfect, which would mean something like 'lie across (intrans.)'. We can compare the Arabic noun mišjab 'a rack (for clothes, guns, etc.)', and several words listed in $J L$ (s.v. śgb). ML (s.v. śgb) lists a form śagōb 'across' as if it were a preposition, including the form śagabīs 'across her', which was likely taken from this text (Johnstone added the gloss 'across it' in the margin of the Roman manuscript). I suspect the entry in $M L$ is based on a misanalysis of this passage.

18 And if it is not given anything, it will wipe out the town.
19 And it has already used up the girls of the town. There is only me left, the daughter of the ruler. And you, save [lit. escape with] yourselves, lest the jinn come and eat you!"
20 Then they untied the girl, and they ate the food that was by her. She said, "The jinn will wipe out the town!"
21 They said, "Don't be afraid. We are with you." Then at night, they heard the sound of the serpent from upstream.
22 Then when they heard its sound, the woman's son who had gone with them trembled.
23 Then they covered him with bedclothes, and he was crying from cowardice and saying, "I wish I was with my mother!"
24 Then Bu Zid gave them assignments. He said, "We are three, and each one should take a third of the night."
25 One said, "I want the first part of the night." And one said, "I want the middle part of the night." And Bu Zid (took) the last part of the night.
26 Then the serpent came roaring, and it said, "Where is my dinner?"
27 One said to it, "Here is your dinner, but open your mouth so I can come in, and close your eyes!"
28 It opened its mouth and closed its eyes, and he took two tree branches

28 śádkas əwxáyw ... ðēk hə-ðēk: This whole piece of text is missing on the audio, but appears in the manuscripts. Ali seems just to have stumbled in the reading of the text. What he said instead on the audio is wa-śagbisan man ḥannūk h-ḥənnūk 'and he put them from palate to palate'.
awxáyw: This is an adjective ('lower') from the root $l x y / l x w$. I did not find this root in $M L$, but a cognate form occurs in Johnstone's Jibbali text 6:13, namely the fs adjective $\varepsilon$ lxét. (Jibbali text 54 is a parallel version of this Mehri text, but the relevant passage is not in the Jibbali version.) The Jibbali word is missing from $J L$, but Bittner (1916a: 58; 1917b: 48) has (e)lxe, fs elxét 'lower'. Soqoṭri has lạhé 'below; downwards' (Leslau 1938: 231; Naumkin et al. 2014: 602), and Morris (2012: 486) cites additional cognates from Baṭ̣ari and Hobyot. $\bar{a} l e \bar{w}$ : This is an adjective ('upper') from the root 'ly/'lw. Johnstone ( $M L$, s.v. $' / v$ ) took the word as an adverb 'at the top', and listed also a Central Jibbali form a'alé 'the highest one'. However in his Jibbali texts (6:13 and TJ3:19), the Jibbali word is an adjective. The word is missing from JL, but Bittner (1916a: 58; 1917b: 16) included it.
wə-śagbīs mən śádkas awxáyw $h$-śádkas ālēw, wə-ṭayt mən śádkəs ðēk ha-ðēk.
wə-həwḳá agáwbəh đ̣ār agənēd. w-āmūr hīs. "sháyli fanōhən agənēd, wว-mg்ōran hōh."
hātəmūt ət-tagōla agənēd atté təmm śalēt ð-aáṣər. āmərūt, "ðōməh al hē a‘iśēy lā.ftēk man xāhi!"
wa-xáylaf līs ṭāt. wว-hē raḥáym — anáwrah al-hīs hārīt—w-áymal baráwḳa. w-āmūr, "ṣəbēri lay te al-háftək aboráwḳa alyōməh."
āmərūt, "yع́yc." mot səwānōt, yวhftūk ṭāt, wa-yวrūgaz hīs.
attōli skərōt mən aráwgaz ð-aġáyg te təmm śalēt ð-aáṣar. āmərūt, "ðōməh al hē a ciśèy lā. háftək aborḳā’!"
həftūk abarḳā̀, wa-gंawḳáwt bah. tōli gahrōt man awbənēt ð-agंáyg. w-āmərūt, "hõ a ciséy?"
$n \bar{u} k a ~ b \bar{u} z \bar{\imath} d . ~ \bar{a} m u \bar{r}, ~ " a ‘ i s ́ e ̄ s ̌ ~ b o ̄ h . ~ m ə d e ̄ d i ~ x a ̄ h s ̌!" ~ w ə-m ə d d u ̄ t ~ x a ̄ h s, ~ w a-w a b d \overline{\imath ̄ s ~}$ b-aškáy. wa-ffalīt m-ād yagḥáfhəm aðhīb ð-aðōrə’.
w-āšūm harōh д-arīsīt wz-śalláh, wว-ṣāṭ agंagənōt. wว-bār hē w-ajagənōt tє wakáwb arḥabēt.
āmūr h-aġวgənōt, "ḥəððáyri mən tkəlēt̄i l-aḥād!" wว-həwkəbīs abáts.
wว-fárr mən aḳā’ te həwká’ hərōh ð-arīsī̄t đ̣ār saṭh, wə-ṭáwbəx aðōrə’ b-aámḳ ðə-ḥạ̣̄ən.
wว-rádd te wīṣəl aġáwhe. šawkīf. te k-sōbəḥ hวṣbāḥ ḥərōh ð-arīs̄īt đ̣ār ḥāṣən, w-ālōmət ð-aðōrə' bə-fáḳh ðə-ḥāṣən. w-agंəgənōt hāl hábse. āmáwr h-aġəgənōt, "mōn ðə-lūtəg arīsit?" $\bar{a} m ə r u ̄ t, ~ " ə l w a ́ d a k ~ l a ̄ . " ~$ tōli xxaṣáwb ḥōkəm al-bə'áyli arḥəbēt. āmūr, "mōn ðə-lūtə $\dot{g}$ arīśīt?" kāl ðə-nūka yōmər, "hōh!" əttōli āmūr hīhəm, "kāl ðə-wətg்áys məháffəḳtəh habráyti man ġayr śı."
hawká: This word is in the manuscripts, but Ali skipped it on the audio. aganēd: For the first occurrence of this word, the manuscripts have aganēd, but Ali mistakenly read the singular agzndēt on the audio. For the second, he also read agəndēt, but then corrected himself to aganēd. tagōla: $M L$ (s.v. $g l^{〔}$ ) lists the imperfect form yagáwla, but this is an error. The correct 3 ms imperfect of the verb gūla 'gnaw' is yagōla (like nūka, yənōka).
baráwka: This is the plural of barkāa' 'veil' (used in lines 33 and 34). I did not find the word in $M L$, but it is given in $H L$ (s.v. $b r k^{c}$ ). It is ultimately derived from Arabic burqa' or birqa.
and put one in from his lower cheek to his upper cheek, and one in the (other) cheek from that (side) to that one.
29 And he put his shield on the branches. And he said to it, "First finish the branches, and then me."
30 It spent the night gnawing on the branches until a third of the night was finished. It said, "This is not my dinner! Get out of my mouth!"
31 And (another) one took (his) place with it. And he was handsome-his beauty [lit. light] was like the moon-and he put on [lit. made] veils. And he said, "Wait for me until I take off these veils."
32 It said, "Ok." After a little while, he would take one off, and he was singing to it.
33 Then it became intoxicated by the man's singing, until a third of the night was finished. It said, "This is not my dinner. Take off the veil!"
34 He took off the veil, and it looked at him. Then it was dazzled by the man's whiteness. And it said, "Where is my dinner?"
35 Bu Zid came. He said, "Here is your dinner. Open your mouth!" And it opened its mouth, and he struck it with a sword. And they fled, lest the torrent of blood wash them away.
36 And he cut off the serpent's head and picked it up, and he took the girl. And he went, he and the girl, until he entered the town.
37 He said to the girl, "Be sure not to tell anyone!" And he brought her into her house.
38 And he jumped from the ground and [lit. until] he put the serpent's head on the roof, and he made a mark with the blood in the middle of (the wall of) the castle.
39 And he went back until he got to his kinsmen. They went to sleep. Then in the morning, the serpent's head was on top of the castle, and the mark of blood was in the middle of the wall. And the girl was with her parents. They said to the girl, "Who killed the serpent?" She said, "I don't know."
41 Then the ruler sent for the people of the town. He said, "Who killed the serpent?"
Everyone that came said, "Me!" Then he said to them, "Whoever killed it, I will give him my daughter in marriage for free [lit. without anything]."
$x \bar{a} h s ̌ / x a \bar{h} h s$ : In these two words, the $h$ is audible on the audio, and Ali wrote $h$ in his Arabic transcriptions. We can compare these to $x \bar{a} s$ in line 29, which has no $h$ on the audio or in Ali's transcription. Cf. also the comment to line 52 .
kāl āmáwr, "hōh ðə-látġวk tīs!" āmūr hīham ḥōkəm, "kāl mənkēm ðəwatġáys, yafrērwa-nké ba-ḥarōh д-arīsitt man hāl ð-ālēḳ."
kāl ðə-nūka farr te káyṭa. al ḳədáwr lā.
attōli nūka bū zīd ðə-lībəs labs ðд-fḳáyr, te ṣōr hāl ḥābū ðд-yalḥáym.
attōli šadhaḳáwt ag̉aggīt man xawfēt wa-śanyśtəh. $w$-āmarūt háybas, "ā hááyi, āmēr h-ag̉áyg ðēk yalhōm k-hābū." āmūr, "yaxx! ḥaśbōb al ḳวdáwr yalháym lā. đદ́kวmah yakdēr?" āmərūt, "aḡōrab! yámkan yāká" hē." attōli āmūr ḥōkəm, "ā darwīs, thōm talhōm?" $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r, ~ " \varepsilon ̃ h \tilde{\varepsilon}, ~ h o ̄ m . " ~ ' ~$
tōli laḥām țawr, wa-gār ba-bēdi. wa-ḥābū ðว-yaṣ́háyk mánah. wa-lhām amšġərēt, wə-nūka bəh.
tōli āmūr hah ḥōkəm, "hēt hēśan man ġayg?" āmūr, "hōh abū zīd al-halāli." àmūr, "dáwnak b-aḥkámti, wa-mháffak tīk ḥabráyti."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "harōhk sōlam. hōh al aśōm far'áyti lā. walākan šīn ṭāṭ nōðal, wə-nḥōm tazēməm tīn aḥād yaháwşalah ḥāmáh."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " b a s s ? " \bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "bass." wə-təmmōt kəwt̄ēt.
$\bar{a} l e \bar{e} k:$ This appears to be a G internal passive of the root ${ }^{〔} k$. $M L$ lists the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem ōlak with meaning 'hang (trans.)', but compare the Arabic G 'aliqa 'hang (intrans.)' vs. D 'allaqa 'hang (trans.)'.
farr te káyṭa: The manuscripts have the 3 ms forms farr and káyṭa. On the audio, Ali read, "farr te káyṭa ... te káṭam ... farram te káṭam". The forms farram and kátam are 3mp. Both singular and plural forms work in this context. harōhk sōlam: In the Roman manuscript, after the gloss 'let your head be safe', Johnstone added the parenthetical comment 'I don't want anything from you'. In $M L$ (s.v. slm), Johnstone translated this idiom as 'you are quite safe'. Note also that I have transcribed harōhk, since the $h$ is audible on the audio, although Ali did not indicate $h$ in the Arabic manuscript (as also in text 37:22).

43 They all said, "I am the one who killed it!" Then the ruler said to them, "Whichever of you killed it, he should jump and bring back the head of the serpent from where it was hung."
44 Everyone who came jumped until he got tired. They weren't able.
45 Then Bu Zid came, dressed in the clothes of a poor man, and [lit. until] he stood by the people who were jumping.
46 Then the girl looked down from the window and saw him.
47 And she said to her father, "Father, tell that man to jump with the people." He said, "Ugh! The young men can't jump. Might that one be able?"
48 She said, "Try! Maybe it will be him." Then the ruler said, "Hey dervish, do you want to jump?" He said, "Yes, I want to."
49 Then he jumped once, and he pretended to fall [lit. fell on pretense]. And the people were laughing at him. And he jumped the second time and brought it back.
50 Then the ruler said to him, "What kind of man are you?" He said, "I am Abu Zid al-Hilali."
51 He said, "Take my kingdom, and I will give you my daughter in marriage."
52 He said, "Your head is safe. I don't sell my bravery. But we have a certain coward with us, and we want you to give us someone to take him to his mother."
53 He said, "That's it?" He said, "That's it." And the story is finished.

## *Text 43 (no J): Looking for Rooms

1 amnēdam, hām hē b-ag̉arbēt, sōbar yaktəwīlan, al-hīs hām ṭāt xalūs man ḥōram.
2 te wa-lū fōnah féra', yahaṣáwbah nōðal, te wa-lū al hē nōðal lā.
3 ðïmah sabēb ðд-káṣdi ðд-yallōh wə-ðд-yวтōh.
4 wa-hōh ar kask bū rīhōm, walākan yámkan hūk amaṭláwbak lā.
5 sētan ftəkk tawáliham, ḥōm al-šáxbar man bayt.
6 walākan hīs nákak, kəskyənīt bərk abáyt təkátbən, wə-šxəbárk tīsən mən makōn.
7 w-āmūr háyni, "śxáwwal." wa-śxawlēk wa-həbṭāk. tōli wazamáy warḳāt, w-āmūr háyni, "ktūbən hūk awáşf д-amkōn."
ráddak te nákak arībēy. wazámk tah awarkāt. w-āmūr, "よīməh al tsadūd lā. wə-hōh sīrōna təwáliham, wə-nkōna mən hənīham b-agəwōb д-aṣát k" wa-hōh ðд-mátḥənək mánəh yakōla xadmátวh l-agarēy, walākan lū ād śinak tah, akīran l-anfēh al-hīs ber naf"áy ṭáyyab. hēt āmárk fanōhən ḥābū báwmah rịhōm. wa-kō hēm al yanáfam tīk wa-yaklēm arībēk yoxdēm? hēm al ġərūb aġərōyi lā wa-tté yanfèm tī.
11 hēt al zahédk lā. wa-lū zahédk hūbōh l-āmēr ḥābū rīhōm walākan yāgı̈b yassādəm țāṭīdáyhวm. al hēm al-hīs tīn lā. nədḥōs ṭāṭīdáyyan.
12 hāā̄ ðə-ġəráwb kāl śīyən. ṭāt ātzlūm yagंōrrb hībōh yassād harbāthe.

## Translation of Text 43

1 A person, if he is abroad, always panics, like if someone gets lost from the road.
2 Even if he was brave before, he becomes a coward, even if he is not a coward.
3 This is the reason for my objective of last night and today.
4 And I have found only nice people, but maybe you don't get what you want [lit. your desire].
5 After a little while, I went out to (see) them, wanting to inquire about a house.
6 But when I came, I found women in the house writing, and I asked them about the place.
7 And they said to me, "Sit." And I sat and waited a long time. Then they gave me a piece of paper, and they said to me, "We've written for you the description of the place."
8 Then I went back until I came to my friend. I gave him the piece of paper. And he said, "This will not be enough. I'll go to them, and bring back from them the true answer."
9 And I was troubled that he had to leave his work on account of me. If only I saw him again, I would want to be helpful to him, as he had helped me so well.
10 You said before that the people here were nice. Why weren't they helpful to you and let your friend work? They didn't know my language in order to be of use to me.
11 You didn't know. If only I had known what to say to the nice people, but they love to help each other. They are not like us. We annoy each other.
12 The people know everything. One learned to know how to help his friends.

## Text 44 (no J): Visiting Uncles

1 A: "al-ḥõ sīrōna yamōh?"
2 B: "sīrōna al-ṭāf al-xálye. ber háyni sēt manhēm."
3 A: "xáybən. thábṭa lā. al sáddən mənk lā."
4 B: "Yéye. al hōh mahábṭa lā. nəkōna tīkam bād gēhamah."
5 A: "wa-ya lawb, ənkēn gēhəmah. l-ād āðər lā."
6 B: "hām ar ḳálam tī xálye l-ardēd gēhəməh, nəkōna tīkəm."
7 A: "šawādək. xáybən."
8 tōli gahēm te wịṣal xálhe. hātūm hanīham āṣar ṭāt.. w-āmūr h-xálhe, "ḥōm al-gahōm təwōli sékani."
9 āmáwr hah, "ábdan. al (t)syūr lā. ādən śátwakan lūk."
10 āmūr, "sékani mamtḥanūtən, w-al ḳálak aḥād lā man saráy ar yanīt wa-kənyáwn. w-al yasdáwd manáy lā. wa-ḥámkam tsmēḥam lay."
11 āmáwr hah, "xáyban, gahōm! wa-kəlēb līham ba-səlōm." "w-atēm saláym!"
12 tōli sayūr ag̉áyg. te wīṣal sékanah, ksīham ber śállam man amkōnham hāwaláy, tabáyham te ksīham ba-wōdi tayt.
13 walākan ḥəwōdi háwrat, wa-bīs kawb. yahūgam līham man ṭáwri trōh $b$-anhōr.
14 walākan ag̉áyg šah məndáwk, wa-yalábdəh. wə-maġōrən šarháám.

3 thábṭa lā:The manuscripts have thábṭa lā ‘don't be long', but the audio has al thábța līn lā ‘don’t be long (coming back) to us'.
$6 \quad l$-ardēd: The manuscripts have the 1cs subjunctive $l$-ərdēd, but the audio has the ms future raddōna. A subjunctive would have to be dependent verb that is part of the protasis ('if they let me return'), while a future would have to be part of the apodosis ('if they let me, I will return').
11 $w$-ətēm saláym: It is not clear if this phrase is meant to go in the mouths of the uncles or in the mouth of the man. I have opted for the latter.

## Translation of Text 44

1 A: "Where are you going today?"
2 B: "I am going to visit my uncles. I have been (away) a long time from them."
3 A: "Fine. Don't be long. We can't manage without you."
4 B: "Ok. I won't be long. I'll come back to you the day after tomorrow."
5 A: "No, come back to us tomorrow. There is no excuse."
6 B: "If indeed my uncles let me return tomorrow, I'll come back to you."
7 A: "You've promised. Fine."
8 Then he went until he reached his uncles. He spent one night with them. And he said to his uncles, "I want to go back to my settlement."
9 They said to him, "No, don't go. We still miss you."
10 He said, "My settlement will be in trouble; I left no one (there) behind me except women and children. They won't manage without me. I want you to excuse me."
11 They said to him, "Fine, go! And give them greetings." "And may you be well!"
12 Then the man left. When he got to his settlement, he found they had already moved from their previous place. He followed them and [lit. until] he found them in a certain valley.
13 But the valley was dry, and there was a wolf in it. It attacked them twice in a day.
14 But the man had a rifle, and he shot it. And then they had rain.

## Text 45 (no J): Getting the News

1 A: "wádakəm ḥābū hībōh sənēt ðīməh?"
2 B: "āmáwr ḥābū bə-xáyr wə-šȟว 2 aśxōf."
3 A: "walē raḥmēt śı šīham sanēt ðimah?"
4 B: "šīhəm țəśōś, walākan ak āa bəh amətwē, kot

6 B: "əд-nákam ḥābū w-āmáwr abḳār ðə-wbūd woṭ̄̄̄̄h mən akáll ðд-sśēr."
7 A: "wal̄̄ šīham ayd sanēt ðìməh?"
8 B: "āmáwr awaddōt, walākan g̉ályat."
9 A: "wる-bə'áyli habēr, hībōh ðə-wbáwd?"
10 B: "дə-wbáwd bə-xáyr. hərōm bah amətwē, wว-həb̄̄r ðə-hhวḳáwṭ."
11 A: "wa-hīh! abáśrək abēli ba-xáyr. xáybən, hōh gahmōna tawōli ba'áyli habēr. thámi, hām kask sékənak, l-āmēr hīham hūbōh?"
12 B: "āmēr hīhəm hōh ḳəfdōna arḥabēt. walē alhōḳ amáwsam l-əśtōm maṣráwf h-xárf, w-al hōh mahábṭa lā."
13 A: "ร̌awādək, xáybən. āmrōna hīhəm. al taḳtəlōb lā."
14 B: "walદ̄ amáwsam nūka?"
15 A: "yallōh nūka lang əð-bərkīh ayś wa-tōmər, wa-ðə-yəśōm ba-ráxaṣ, walākan sētən akōfi."
16 B: "xəṭárkəm ād yankê' máwsəm mən sarīh?"
17 A: "āmáwr ād lang ṭāṭ məhákbəl, walākan wádan lā mayt yənké"."
18 B: "hōh ād ar mahētam hah yallülah te gēhəməh. wə-hām al nūka lā, raddōna."
19 A: "b-arāyak! xáyban."

4 taśōś: The transcription here is uncertain. The Arabic manuscript has طشوش, the Roman manuscript has țaśáwwaś, and ML (s.v. ṭ́śs) has ṭaśōwaś, but the audio has țaśōś (or perhaps ṭawśōś). ML records the Jibbali form ṭśsś.
4 katōrat: This word is not in ML, but Johnstone adds the gloss 'half-dry' in the Roman manuscript. Johnstone transcribed the initial consonant as $k$, but Ali wrote (k). The audio seems to support the latter, but it is not totally clear. awaddōt: This is the singular diminutive of áydət 'sardine'. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'a little qty of sardines'. I assume his translation is correct, though a translation 'small sardines' may be possible.

## Translation of Text 45

1 A: "Do you know how the people are this year?"
2 B: "They've said that the people are well and they have milk."
3 A: "Have they had any rain this year?"
4 B: "They've had some light showers, but the place has fodder, good halfdry (grass)."
5 A: "Has anyone come to you from the cow-herders?"
6 B: "People have come to us and said that the cow-herders continue to be in poor condition from the scarcity of straw."
7 A: "Do they have sardines this year?"
8 B: "They say a small amount of sardines, but (they are) expensive."
9 A: "And the camel-herders, how have they been doing?"
10 B: "They've been well. The trees have fodder, and the camels have given birth."
11 A: "Oh! God brought you good news. Ok, I will go to the camel-herders. If I find your community, what do you want me to say to them?"
12 B: "Tell them I will go down to the town. Perhaps I'll catch the trading-boat to buy supplies for the summer monsoon, and I won't be long."
13 A: "I promise, ok. I'll tell them. Don't worry."
14 B: "Has the trading-boat come?"
15 A: "Last night a boat came that had in it rice and dates, and it was selling for cheap, but it turned around a little while ago."
16 B: "Do you think another trading-boat will come again behind it?"
17 A: "They said one more boat was coming, but we don't know when it might come."
18 B: "I will only spend one more night (waiting) for it tonight, until tomorrow. And if it doesn't come, I'll go back."
19 A: "As you wish! Ok."

16 xaṭárkam: This must be connected with Arabic xaṭara, which can have the meaning 'occur to, come to mind, recall'. Second-person forms of the verb occur also in texts 52:14, 99:18, and 99:23. The particle maxátṭar 'probably', included in Watson (2012:373), but not found in our texts, is from the same root.

Text 46 (no J; similar in content to Jahn 1902, p. 117): The Rewards of Virtue

1 xəṭərāt ġayg ðə-yaghōm bə-hōram. te wị̄al b-aámk, kūsa āgáwz śxəwallūt. tōli šxabarīs, āmūr, "kō hēt báwməh?"
2 āmərūt, "hōh āgáwz ðə-l-ād wáṣalak lā al-syēr, wə-l-ād habṣárk lā. wətáwwak tāmōl háyni māráwf wa-(t)śaléli."
3 āmūr, "y $y$ ys." śallīs. tōli káyṭa, w-āmūr, "nวḥōm ənšáwnax."
4 āmərūt, "hōh l-ād akáwfad lā mən đ̛̣áyrək." wว-śáfs ġabrē.
attōli āmūr, "táwwaš takfēdi man đ̣áyri!" āmarūt, "ábdan." tōli rátki līs, wa-hawūt man đ̣áyrah.
walākan tənákah, xáṣṣən mət ðд-yวḥōm yašàkf hāl téțวh, tšawkūf əmmanwīh w-əm-mán tétrah.
8 attōli səyūr təwōli amhişan, wa-šktūb ktūb məns. wa-l-ād nəkátəh lā. te nahōr ṭayt, kafūd arḥabēt wa-šáh téṫəh, wa-šĭham ḥayr. te hīs bárham ba-ḥōram, kūsam gंayg āwēr. wáṣalam arḥabēt.
11 āmūr hah, "wáṣalan, wa-ṣərōməh kaəfēd!" āmūr, "hībōh al-kəfēd mən đ̣ār háyri?
ðōmah ḥáyri, wa-ttēt tēți." āmūr ag̉áyg, "hībōh ḥayr ḥáyrak wa-ttēt tetk? nəḥāh ðд-‘̌́mlan hūk māráwf wa-śállan tīk man ḥōrəm. wa-ṣərōmah āmlōna līn g̀ $\partial o \bar{y}$ ?"
14 āmūr, "ya-ḥōl! hēt tḥōm tag̣ṣábi tēți wa-háyri. walākan ġadéwwan hāl aḥkáwmat!" sayáwr towōli aḥkáwmət.
15 te wáṣalam, šznḥáwr ag̉áyg āwēr. āmūr, "aġáyg ðōməh ġaṣbáy tētِi wəḥáyri." w-āmūr ag̈áyg дə-ttēt, "nəḥāh ðə-nəsyūr ba-ḥōrəm. tōli kūsən təh ba-ḥōram, wa-’ómlam hah māráwf wa-śállan tah.
$1 \quad k \bar{u} s a$ : The verb $k \bar{s} s a$ 'he found' is missing in the Arabic manuscript and on the audio.
wa-(t)śaléli: Since there is no initial $t$ on the audio or in Ali's Arabic manuscript, this form could be parsed two ways. It could be from a 2 ms subjunctive ( $t$ )śalēl (plus the 1cs object suffix -i), with the $t$ - suppressed because of the initial śs (see § 2.1.6). This is how I have parsed it in my translation. Alternatively, it could be read as from a ms imperative śalēl (plus the ics object suffix -i) 'carry me!'. The audio lacks the conjunction wa-, though it is in the manuscripts.

## Translation of Text 46

1 Once a man was walking on the road. Then when he was in the middle (of his journey), he found an old woman sitting. Then he asked her, she said, "Why are you here?"
2 She said, "I am an old woman who can't manage to go anymore, and doesn't see well anymore. You must do me a favor and carry me."
3 He said, "Ok." He carried her. Then he got tired, and he said, "Let's rest."
4 She said, "I will not get down off you yet." And it turned out she was a jinn.
5 Then he said, "You must get down off me!" She said, "Never."
6 Then he read the Quran against her, and she fell off of him.
$7 \quad$ But she kept coming to him, especially whenever he wanted to sleep with his wife, she would sleep between him and his wife.
8 Then he went to the medicine man, and he had a charm written against her. And she didn't come to him ever again.
9 Then one day, he went down to the town, and he had his wife, and they had a donkey. Then when they were on the road, they found a blind man.
10 And he said to them, "Take me to the town!" And they carried him on the donkey until they got to the town.
11 He said to him, "We have arrived, so now get down!" He said, "Why should I get down off my donkey?
12 This is my donkey, and the woman is my wife." The man said, "How is the donkey your donkey and the woman your wife?
13 We did you a favor and picked you up off the road. And now you are going to make trouble [lit. words] for us?"
14 He said, "Indeed! You want to snatch my wife and my donkey. So let's go to the authorities!" They went to the authorities.
15 Then when they arrived, the blind man lodged a complaint. He said, "This man snatched from me my wife and my donkey." And the woman's husband said, "We were going on the road. Then we found him on the road, and we did him a favor and carried him.

[^153]20 tōli ġaráwb āskēr ðə-hē āwēr báydi. wa-hftīk l-ag̉áyg wa-ttéťวh, w-āwēr báyḳi bark habs. wə-təmmōt.
wa-ttámam: Johnstone transcribed here wattamam, exactly as he did in line 17 . However, the form in line 18 is a 3 mp perfect, while the form in line 17 is a mp imperative. According to $M L$ ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{lvi}$ ), the 3 mp perfect of the anomalous verb attōma has the form támam, while attámam is the expected mp imperative (cf. 46:17). The 3mp perfect is actually ttámam, though the geminate is often not heard in initial position; after a particle like $w$ z- it is heard more clearly. On the audio, the imperative in $46: 17$ and the perfect in $46: 18$ sound identical.
man yasawwi ... zülah: This sentence is all Arabic. My translation is rather loose.
ma ... l-ḥəmārah: This sentence is all Arabic.
tє hīs wáṣalan báwmah, āmūr, ‘ð̄mah tēt̄i, wa-ðōmah háári'?" tōli bakōh $\bar{a} w \bar{e} r, w-\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "atēm thaym toव̣lēman tī!"
tōli āmūr ḥōkam, "ḥabēsam tīham kálham, wa-ḳa $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ tīham kāl ṭāṭ bark dahrīz, w-attámam līham."
attōli ’ámlam awṭákəməh wə-ttámam līhəm. tōli āmūr ag̉áyg ðə-ttēt, "mən yasawwi faḍillah, yarga‘fizzülah!"
attōli āmūr āwēr, "ma šī xsārzh, walē l-harmah walē l-ḥamārah!"
-

16 Then when we got here, he said, 'This is my wife, and this is my donkey'!" Then the blind man cried, and said, "You want to persecute me!"
17 Then the ruler said, "Imprison them all, and leave them each in a (separate) cell, and listen to them."
18 Then they did thus, and they listened to them. Then the woman's husband said, "Whoever tries to do good, it comes back in his face!"
19 Then the blind man said, "No loss, neither the woman nor the donkey!"
20 Then the officers knew that the blind man was a liar. And they released the man and his wife, and the blind man stayed in prison. And it is finished.

## Text 47 (no J): Mistaken for a Thief

1 xaṭərāt gəhémk man hāl sékəni, ḥōm al-ṭāf kā̉ ṭāt āmáwr bah arḥəmēt. wə-gəhémk mən đ̣ār ḥalēb ðд-ḥāráwn. te hīs béri b-aámk əð-ḥ̄rəm, ənkōt amawsē w-aġallēt, wa-hōh al aḡōrab ḥōram wāyzn lā.
3 wa-gəhémk. tōli ḥəyárk man ḥōram, wa-l-ād wádak al-ḥõ al-syēr lā. tōli
 wa-śáff ber gazōt ḥəyáwm, wə-šáy ag̉allēt. thabṣáwr ðə-wṭəmūk lā. wa-ḥābū ðə-yáṣsəm mən harḳ, wə-śáfi béri bərk āmk ð-abḳār. tōli ṭəhásk wə-gárk, wa-ffərūd abkār. wə-nákam ḥābū ðə-yabárkam. te śīnam tī, āmáwr, "hērak!!" w-a yyītam.
tōli āmárk hīham, "al hōh hērək lā! hōh gayg ðə-xəlásk ḥōrəm, wa-gárk wa-ffarūd abḳār."
āmáwr, "barr! hēt ar hērək!!" āmárk, "hōh, man hīs xalákəək, ādi al ahūrək lā!"
āmáwr, "hēt ar hērək,, wa-hábśar b-ōrək!" tōli śīnak tīham āzáwm lay. xábṭək aməndáwki, wa-gazámk, "hām əḥād mənkēm ḳərbáy, ðə-l-əwbádəh, ar wə-tháym tarábam tī. hōh gazmōna hīkam məḳā hōh hērək.
wa-hōh gंayg bāl xayr, w-al atáyw harōm lā!"
tōli hīs yáṣṣam, arábam tī. wə-nákak tīham wə-šx $\begin{aligned} & \text { biri. }\end{aligned}$ tōli nūka ġayg wa-ġarbáy, w-āmūr hīhəm, "ðōmah al yahūrək lā." wahātámk hənīhəm, wə-ḥháśməm tī. te $k$-sōbəḥ, ráddək təwōli sćkəni. wa-təmmōt.

4 б-əwṭamūk lā: In Stroomer's edition, the phrase al thabṣáwr ḥáydək mən f $\bar{\varepsilon} m ə k l \bar{a}$ 'you couldn't tell your hand from your foot' appears in parentheses at the end of line 4 . This may have been taken directly from $M L$ (s.v. $b s ̣ r)$, since it is not in either manuscript, nor on the audio.
ðə-l-əwbádəh: Stroomer's transcription ð-əlūbádəh, based on ðəluubádəh in Johnstone's Roman manuscript, seems to suggest that he took it as an imperfect, but this form would be impossible. The G-Stem verb awbūd (< *labūd) 'shoot' does have a 1 cs imperfect alūbad. However, the ics imperfect with a suffix would be alábdah (cf. 3ms yalábdah in texts 44:14, 70:3, and 95:4). The form on the audio and in the Arabic manuscript is $\partial \partial-l-$ awbádah (spelled ذلوباده in the Arabic manuscript), which is the 1cs subjunctive $l-\partial w b \bar{e} d$ plus a 3 ms object suffix. Compare the same subjunctive uses of this verb, in very similar contexts, in texts 54:18 and 64:31.

## Translation of Text 47

1 Once I was going from my settlement, heading to visit a certain place that they said had rain.
2 And I went after the milking of the goats. Then when I was in the middle of the journey, the rain and mist came, and I didn't know the road very well.

3 And I went. Then I got confused about the way, and I didn't know anymore where to go. Then I smelled the fire of the cow-herders, and I went in the direction of the fire.
4 As it happened, the sun had already gone done, and there was mist. You couldn't (even) see the one who slapped you [i.e., right in front of you].
5 And the people were afraid of theft, and as it happened, I was in the middle of the cows.
6 Then I slipped and fell, and the cows panicked. And the people came running.
7 Then when they saw me, they said, "Thief!" And they yelled.
8 Then I said to them, "I am not a thief! I am a man who lost the road, and I fell, and the cows panicked."
9 They said, "No way! You are indeed a thief!" I said, "Since I was born, I have never stolen!"
10 They said, "You are indeed a thief, so look forward to your disgrace!" Then I saw them intending (to move) against me. I cocked my rifle, and I swore,
11 "If any of you come near me, I will shoot him, unless you want to give me safe passage. I will swear to you that I am not a thief.
12 I am a well-to-do person, and I don't eat forbidden [e.g., stolen] food!"
13 Then, since they were afraid, they gave me safe passage. And I came to them, and they questioned me.
14 Then a man came and recognized me, and he said to them, "This (guy) doesn't steal." And I spent the night with them, and they treated me with respect. Then in the morning, I returned to my settlement. And it is finished.

## Text 48 (= J36, but a variant version; translated from Jahn 1902, pp. 7-14): The Ruler's Daughter

1 ḥōkəm bə-rḥəbēt hārūs bə-tēt, wə-nūka məns bə- $\dot{g} \bar{g} g \bar{e} n ~ w a-\dot{g} \partial g ə n o ̄ t . ~$ wa-mətōt tēt, wa-śxawlīl. wa-šīham garīt.
2 attōli ḥōkəm wa-ḥəbráh āzáwm yaghīm ḥagg, wa-ḥəbrátəh wa-ḥāgərīt bərk hāṣən. āmūr hīsan ḥáybas, "tftēkən lā! amaṣráwfkən hanīkən, w-abáyr ðдḥəmōh hanīkən. wa-gəmāt mən gamāt, marọōna śéra' yankēkan ba-táywi wa-xað̣ōri."
hīs nahōr ð-agamāt k-sōbəḥ, nūka śśrə’, wa-šáh zanbīl ð-táywi wa-xəḍōri. wə-ṣāk.k mən naxāli hāṣsan, wə-həkfūd ləh ḳayd. w-āṣáwb azənbīl w-āmūr hīsən, "hárban!" wa-hē śxəwlūl bark azanbīl.
4 harbōt ḥāgarīt, attōli ḥassátəh tِəḳáyl. tōli āmarūt h-abāláts, "alháyḳi lay!" wa-lhaḳōt līs, wa-hərbātōh. atté káyrab xawfēt, śīni śéra’ bark azanbül. attōli nūka b-askáyn w-ās̄ūm akáyd.
 $h a \bar{a} b \bar{u}, ~ " b u ̄ k ~ h e ̄ s ́ a n ? " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~ " h o ̄ w ə k ~ m ə n ~ \partial ̛ a ̄ r ~ h a y r . " ~$ śxəwlūl, wə-šamrūṣ. te wīka bə-xáyr, səyūr te hāl āgáwz. āmūr hīs, "wazmōna tīš xəmsáyn ḳarš, wə-nkह̄y ba-ḥəbrīt ðə-ḥōkəm." āmərūt, "yદ́ys."
7 sīrūt te ankōt hāl ḥəbrīt ðə-ḥōkəm. āmərūt hīs, "hōh ḥādátš, wว-hēt al tanákay lā! ṣarōməh ḥamš tənkēy. šay ḥabráyti, thōm (t)śnēš." āmərūt hīs, "gēhamah ankáyta tīs $k$-sōbaḥ." hīs $k$-sōbah, sīrūt təwēse. te ankáts, wa-wkabūt bark abáyt, amarḥabēt bīs wa-hanṣ́afūt hīs. w-āmarūt hīs, "ḥabrátš họo?" āmarūt, "ḥabráyti xaṣábk tīs $h$-sawk. saláybi lay wa-hōh sīrīta ṣākáyta tīs." ftkūt āgáwz wə-sīrūt təwōli śćrə'. āmərūt həh, "aġəgənōt bars b-abáyt." sayūr วśśśra' te nūka hanīs. śxawtūl.
10 tōli ag̉agənōt āzamūt tāśéś, wə-mənáys śérə' mən ḥáydəs. āmūr hīs, "śxáwwali!" wa-śxawallūt, wa-ġátaryam hē wa-sē.

2 hīsən háabbəs, "tftēkən:The Arabic and Roman manuscripts have hīs 'to her', but 2 fp $t f t e ̄ k ə n ~ '(d o ~ n o t) ~ g o ~ o u t ' . ~ T h e ~ a u d i o ~ h a s ~ h i ̄ s a n ~ ' t o ~ t h e m ', ~ b u t ~ 2 f s ~ t f t e ̄ k i ~$ '(do not) go out'. amaṣráwfkan hanīkən ... hənīkən: For these three words, which each have the expected 2 fp suffix -kan 'your' in the Arabic manuscript, Ali mistakenly read 3 fp -san on the audio. The Roman manuscript has-san for each, with -kan in brackets in the margins.

## Translation of Text 48

1 A ruler in a town married a woman, and he had with her a boy and a girl. And the woman died, and they stayed. And they had a servant-girl.
2 Then the ruler and his son decided to go on the Hajj, and his daughter and the servant-girl (remained) inside the castle. Her father said to her, "Don't go out! Your supplies are by you, and the water well is by you. And every week [or: Friday] I'll instruct the judge to bring you meat and produce."
3 Then on Friday morning, the judge came, and he had a basket of meat and produce. And he called out from below the castle, and they let down a rope to him. And he tied on the basket and said to them, "Pull up!" And he was sitting in the basket.
4 The servant-girl pulled up, and she found it heavy. Then she said to her mistress, "Help me!" And she helped her, and they pulled up. Then when he got near the window, they saw the judge in the basket. Then they brought a knife and cut the rope.
5 And the judge fell to the bottom of [lit. to below] the castle (wall). The judge got up. Then when he got to his house, the people said to him, "What's with you?" He said, "I fell off of a donkey."
$6 \quad$ They stayed, and he fell ill. Then when he got better, he went to an old woman. He said to her, "I'll give you fifty dollars, and bring me the ruler's daughter." She said, "Ok."
7 She went and [lit. until] she came to the ruler's daughter. She said to her, "I am your aunt, and you don't come to (see) me. Now I want you to come to me. I have a daughter, she wants to see you." She said to her, "Tomorrow I'll come to you in the morning."
8 Then in the morning, she went to her. When she came to her and entered the house, she welcomed her and laid out (a rug) for her. And she said to her, "Where is your daughter?" She said, "My daughter, I sent her to the market. Wait for me, and I'll go call her."
9 The old woman left and went to the judge. She said to him, "The girl is already in the house." The judge went and came to her. He sat down.
10 Then the girl decided to get up, and the judge grabbed her by her hand. He said to her, "Sit down!" And she sat down, and he and she talked.
$2 x a \not \partial o ̄ r i$ :The manuscripts have $x a \partial ̣$ ōri, but the audio has xaḍāro (or possibly xəđ̣ārz), perhaps reflecting Arabic xuḍāra 'greens'. In line 3, the word on the audio sounds more like $x ə \partial ̣ a \bar{r} w i$.

11 attōli āgáwz ftkūt manhēm. ġátri šīs hanáfs. w-āmərūt hah, "his-táw! walākan mən đ̣̄ār aṣalōt ð-ađ̣áhr." wagbōt ađ̣áhr. ənkōt həh bo-ḥəmōh wāmarūt hah, "watōṣi. naḥōm naṣōli." watọṣi, wa-śallūt abaráyk wa-lṭamátวh bah l-awághah. w-āmlōt bah faṭx. wa-sīrūt h-abáts. te ankōt ḥạṣən, fthōt līs ḥāgaràts, wa-wkabūt. wa-ṣəkktōh abōb wa-śxawallatōh.
12 wว-śśśra' šamrūṣ man faṭx. šxəəbīrah ḥābū. āmáwr, "mən hēśan faṭx?" āmūr, "gark man đ̣ār ba'áyr."
13 wว-šamrūṣ. te wīka ba-xáyr, səyūr gūma ḳənyáwn, āmūr hīhəm, "gəmēm háyni mən amkōhi ḥərráwḳt ðə-təmbōku wə-réga əð-kəhwēt." wə-gámam həh aḳənyáwn, wə-nákam bïhəm təwálhe, wa-śallīhวm. te ba-ḥəlláy səyūr bühəm tع ənxāli ḥāṣən ðə-ḥōkəт wə-ðərráyhวm al-ḥāṣən dār ma dār. wa-rádd h-abátəh wa-ktūb warḳāt wə-xxəṣáwb bīs tawōli ḥōkəm ba-ḥagg. waṣalōt awarḳāt al-hāl a adáwlat. šxargīs wa-kūsa barkīs, "ḥabrátk ḳaḥbēt, w-abátk moḳhōyat."
15 āmūr aḥ-ḥəbráh, "gəhōm wə-sḥōt ag̉átk, w-ənk $\bar{\varepsilon} y$ b-aðōrəs bark láwkot." gahēm te nūka hāl ag̉átzh. āmūr hīs, "ḥaláy! ġədéwwən!"
16 wa-hərbáys šah đ̣ār farháyn, wa-səyūr bīs. te bo-halláy nákam naxāli harōm, wa-śxəwlīl. wa-ḥfūr ag̀ı̄gēn, yaḥōm yasḥáṭs wa-yadfáns wa-yəślēl aðōras bark láwkat tawōli háybah.
17 hīs ðə-yวháwfər, yaháyw đ̣áyrəh baṭh, wa-sē tənūgəf mən đ̣áyrəh abáth alhān wīka đ̣ār āđ̣mátวh. attōli ǵáyṣ́an mans w-al ġarūb assabēb ðə-látġas lā.
$11 \quad w a t o \overline{o s} i$ : Both occurrences of watōṣi in this line reflect T2-Stem forms of the root $w \frac{s}{\prime}$. The first use of this word is the ms imperative. The Arabic manuscript has وتوشي, and on the audio Ali first read watōṣi, then corrected himself to wətọ̣̄́a. The second use of this word is the 3 ms perfect. Again the Arabic manuscript has وتوشي, and on the audio Ali read just watōṣ́i. The historically correct form for both the ms imperative and 3 ms perfect is watōṣ́a (< * watōṣ́a'), following the pattern of other III-Guttural verbs (see $\S 7.2 .9$ ), and this is the form given in $M L$ (s.v. wṣ́). The form watōṣ́i (for both the ms imperative and 3 ms perfect) is analogical with III-w/y verbs, and reflects the general falling together of III-' and III-w/y verbs (as well as ' and $w$ in other root positions). dār ma dār: This is an Arabic phrase. adáwlat: This word (dáwlat < Arabic dawlat) is used in Jahn's version of the story. Elsewhere, Ali's Omani Mehri version normally uses ḥōkam.

11 Then the old woman left them. He spoke with her by herself. And she said to him, "Ok! But after the afternoon prayers." The afternoon came. She brought him water and said to him, "Make your ablutions. Let's pray." He made his ablutions, and she took the pitcher and smacked him with it on his face. And she gave [lit. made] him a head-wound. And she went to her house. Then when she got to the castle, her servant-girl opened up for her, and she went in. And they shut the door and stayed.
And the judge fell ill from the head-wound. The people questioned him. They said, "What is the head-wound from?" He said, "I fell off of a camel." And he was ill. Then when he got better, he went (and) he gathered (some) children, and he said to them, "Collect for me from the coffeehouses cigarette [lit. tobacco] butts and coffee-grounds." And the children collected for him, and they brought them to him, and he took them. Then at night he brought them to below the castle of the ruler, and spread them all around the castle.
14 And he went back to his house and wrote a note and sent it to the ruler on the Hajj. The note reached the ruler. He read it and found in it, "Your daughter is a whore, and your house is a coffee-house."
15 He said to his son, "Go and kill your sister, and bring me her blood in a bottle." He went until he came to his sister. He said to her, "Let's go!"
16 And he lifted her onto a horse, and he went away with her. Then at night they came to some trees, and they stayed. And the boy dug, intending to kill her and bury her and take her blood in a bottle to his father.
17 When he was digging, dirt would fall on him, and she would brush the dirt off of him, all that was on his back. Then he had compassion for her, and he didn't know the reason for killing her.

14 moḳhōyət: On the audio, Ali mistakenly read kəhwēt (قهويت) instead of makhōyat (متهويت). Both words can mean 'coffee-house'. See also the first comment to line 27.
15 ḥaláy: The Arabic manuscript has ḥaláy ġədéwwan, but on the audio Ali read first ḥəláy ġədéwwon, but then ḥəláy aw ġədéwwən, adding aw 'or'. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone put ġadéwwan in parentheses. So it seems that the two are synonymous here. The word haláy is otherwise unattested in Mehri.
āmarūt hah, "ṭərāḥ tع ḥābū yašákfam." wว-səyūr šəwkūf, wว-sē śxวwallūt bādēh. tع šəwkūf, śallūt xəláwḳhe wว-ḥaslábhe, wว-rkabōt ḍār farháynah wa-bārōt.
27 hīs 'aśś ba-halláy, l-ād kūsa ahād lā. wa-sē sīrūt l-aḳātərəs atté waṣalōt hagg. wakəbūt hāl ṭāt bāl ḳəhwēt, w-āmlōt hanáfs ġayg. āmərūt haməḳhōyi, "hōm l-əxdēm hənūk." xədəmūt hənēh bark maḳhōyət.
$21 \quad b \bar{u}$ : The Arabic manuscript and audio have $h \underset{a}{ } b \bar{u}$, but the Roman manuscript has $b \bar{u}$, and the initial $h \bar{a}$ - was later crossed out on the Arabic manuscript.
21 k. 2 dēmam (ḳaddēm): Though the manuscripts have the Ga-Stem mp imperative $k$ odēməm, on the audio Ali read the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem mp imperative koddèm (< *kotdīm).
21 ḳadēm (kaddōm): Though the manuscripts have the Ga-Stem ms imperative ḳədēm, on the audio Ali read the T2-Stem ms imperative ḳəddōm (< *katdōm)

18 Then he got up and shot a gazelle, and he killed it and put the blood into the bottle. But the blood was a small amount. And he pricked his sister's fingers. Then he filled the bottle with the blood from his sister's fingers, and he took the bottle and left. He left her there in God's care. And he left for her a pitcher of water. She drank from it, and the remainder she prayed with.
19 When she was there two days, the son of the ruler of another town came to her, and he took her and [lit. until] brought her to his father and his mother. They welcomed her. He said to them, "I want to marry her." And he married her and stayed, and she had with him three children.
20 Then he decided to go on the Hajj. He said to her, "I will go on the Hajj." She said to him, "I am (going) with you." He said, "Fine!"
21 And they prepared the trip. Then on the day that they intended to go, people came to his father. He said to the soldiers, "Go ahead!" And he had a slave vizier. He said to him, "Go ahead with the soldiers!"
22 And the caravan, the woman, and her sons were with them, and he was delayed. He said to them, "I'll catch up to you."
23 And they left. Then when they were on the road, the slave came at night to the woman. He said to her, "Give yourself to me, or else I will kill one of your sons!" She said to him, "Kill him!" And he killed him and buried him. And they went on until the second night.
24 He said to her, "Do you want to give me? Or else I will kill the second of your sons!" She said to him, "Kill him!" And he killed him and buried him. And they went on until the third night.
25 He said to her, "Do you want to give me? Or else I will kill the third of your sons!" She said to him, "Kill him!" And he killed him and buried him. And they went on until the fourth day. He said to her, "Do you want to give me? Or else I will kill you!"
26 She said to him, "Wait [lit. allow] until the people go to sleep." And he went to bed, and she stayed behind [lit. after him]. Then when he went to sleep, she took his clothes and his weapons, and mounted his horse and left.
27 When he got up in the night, he didn't find anyone at all. And she went on her way until she reached the Hajj. She went in to certain coffee-house owner, and she pretended she was a man. She said to the coffee-man, "I want to work with you." She worked with him in the coffee-house.
$27 b \bar{a} l$ kahwēt: The manuscripts have $b \bar{a} l$ kahwēt, but the audio has $b \bar{a} l$ mak$h \bar{o} y \partial t$. See also the comment on makhōyat in line 14.
27 amakhōyi: The Arabic manuscript and audio have amaḳhōyi, but the Roman manuscript has amkáhwi.
wə-nūka ag̉áygəs wa-ḥāgáwrəh wə-háybas w-ag̈ās wə-śśśrə’, wəśənyétham. wə-nákam bark amkəhōyat, wo-ġorbáthom. wo-háybas al yagōrrab ag̉áygas lā, w-ag̉áygas al yaġōrəb ḥáybas lā. attōli āmərūt ha-bāl amkahōyat, "ḥōm l-āzēm ḥābū alyēk." āmūr hīs, "his-táw!"
29 āzmátham, w-āmlōt hīhวm áiśē. w-ātéśyam, wa-nkátham ba-kahwēt. waḳtéhəwyam, wa-śxəwlīl man đ̣ār aḳəhwēt. āmərūt hīhəm, "ḥáyya bīkəm! walākan nəḥōm nagásru, wə-kāl t tāt yanké' ba-kəwtēt." āmáwr, "his-táw!"
 wa-kalūt ag̉áygas te ttamūm. wa-kalūt hāgáwrah te ttamūm. wa-kalūt bāl amḳəhōyat te ttamūm. attōli āmáwr hīs, "hənūk!" āmərūt, "hōh ar ḳənáwn, walākan hámak ..."
$\bar{a} m a ́ w r ~ h i ̄ s, ~ " k a l e ̄ t ~ l i ̄ n ~ b a-k a w t e ̄ t ~ ð \partial-h a ́ m a k ~ b i ̄ s . " ~ a ̄ m ə r u ̄ t, ~ " h a ́ m a k ~ h a ̄ b u ̄ ~ . . . " ~$

 akassēt ðīmah."
'aśś salṭān w-amōsi bīs, wa-‘əśś ag̀ ās w-amōsi bīs. wa-ḳóṣ̣əm ḥərōh ðд-śśśrə’. w-ağáygas koṣṣ ḥərōh ðว-ḥāgáwrəh. wə-gəhēməm sē wa-ḥáybəs w-ag̀ās w-aġáygəs te nákam b-arḥəbēt ðдháybas. śxawtīl sanēt, wa-gahēməm sē w-ağáygas h-arḥabēt d-ag̈áygas. wa-śxawlīl. wa-tammōt.
ktéhวwyam: This form, which Ali read on the audio, is a bit strange. For the root is $k h w$, we do not expect both $w$ and $y$. From the 3 ms perfect T2-Stem kothō', we might expect 3 mp kathōw (like a strong T2-Stem), or aktéhyam (like a III-y T2-Stem). The manuscripts suggest that the intended form was wa-ktéhwam, which would pattern with the latter, but with III-w in place of III-y. In Yemeni Arabic (and presumably also Dhofari), the verb tigahwa is quadriliteral (root ghwy), so there must be some interference here. The fact that the 3 ms perfect ends in $\vec{o}^{\prime}$ rather than $-\bar{o} h$, as is typical for III-w/y, roots is striking. See also $\S 6.5 \cdot 4, \mathrm{n} .72$.
naǵásru: $M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} s r w$ ) lists 3 ms perfect $a \dot{g} s a r o ̄$ and 3 ms subjunctive yag sərō. For a Q-Stem, however, we expect aġasrō’ and yaǵásru (< *yaǵásrow). Indeed, the audio confirms the 1cp subjunctive nag̈ásru here and 3 ms perfect $a \dot{g} a s r o \bar{o}$ in 85:15.
$b$-arhabēt: The preposition $b$ - is unexpected here, but is present in the manuscripts and on the audio. It is likely an error.

And her husband came, and his slave, her father, her brother, and the judge, and she saw them. And they came into the coffee-house, and she recognized them. But her father didn't know her husband, and her husband didn't know her father. Then she said to the coffee-house owner, "I want to invite those men." He said to her, "Fine!"
29 She invited them, and she made dinner for them. They ate dinner, and she brought them coffee. And they drank coffee, and they stayed after the coffee. She said to them, "Welcome to you! But we should spend the night, and each one should offer [lit. bring] a story." They said, "Fine!"
Her father told (a story) until he finished. And the judge told (a story) until he finished. And her brother told (a story) until he finished. And her husband told (a story) until he finished. And his slave told (a story) until he finished. And the coffee-house owner told (a story) until he finished. Then they said to her, "It's with you!" She said, "I am only a child, but I have heard ..."
31 They said to her, "Tell us a story that you have heard." She said, "I have heard people ..." And she offered a story that was exactly like this story. She said to them, "This is my husband, and this is his slave, and this is my father, and this is my brother, and this is the judge, the one who made this story."
32 The Sultan got up and kissed her, and her brother got up and kissed her. And they cut off the judge's head. And her husband cut off the head of his slave.
33 And she, her father, her brother, and her husband went until they came to her father's town. They stayed a year, and she and her husband went to her husband's town. And they stayed. And it is finished.

## Text 49 (no J): The Angry Old Woman

1 xaṭərāt āgáwz, wə-šīs wōz bərk ṣáyga. attōli nūka məwsē ḳəwáy wə-xxatūl aṣáyga.
2 attōli āmərūt āgáwz ḥōz, "nəḥōm nəkfēd bərk abáyr." āmərūt ḥōz, "ḥōm lā."
3 āmərūt āgáwz, "hām al tḥáymi lā, sīrīta towōli akaṣṣāb yasḥáṭ̌̌." āmərūt $h \bar{o} z, ~ " x a ́ y b a n, ~ s y e ̄ r i!" ~$
4 tōli sīrūt āgáwz te ankōt hāl akaṣṣāb. āmərūt hah, "syēr towōli ḥōzi wa-sḥáṭ!"
5
attōli bagdīs akaṣṣāb. āmūr, "al hōh sīrōna lā bark amawsē ðīmah." āmərūt āgáwz, "hām al hēt sīrōna lā, sīrīta tawōli ḥaddōd yatbēr askáwnatke." āmūr hīs, "xáyban, syēri!" sīrūt āgáwz təwōli haəddōd. āmərūt hah, "syēr tawōli akaṣṣāb wə-ṭbēr askáwnəthe!" āmūr ḥəddōd, "hōm lā."wa-bagd̄̄s.
7 tōli āmərūt, "sīrīta tawōli ḥəmōh w-āmrīta hah yakṣām śōwōṭək." āmūr, "xáyban, syēri!"
sīrūt te nakōt ḥamōh. āmərūt, "akōfi wa-ḳ̦ām śīwōt ə ə-ḥəddōd!" āmūr hīs ḥәmōh, "hōm lā. akēf!"" wa-bagdīs.
9 āmərūt, "hām al tḥōm lā, sīrīta tawōli ab'áyr yattákkak." āmūr hīs, "akēef!!" wə-sīrūt āgáwz te waṣalōt hāl ab'áyr. āmərūt hวh, "aḳōfi w-əttáḳk hamōh ðēk!" āmūr hīs, "hōh ða-hábrək w-al akáwdar al-ttákk zōyad lā bark amawsē ðìmah." wa-bagdīs.
11 āmərūt āgáwz, "xáybən, sīrīta təwōli akáyd yaltáyw al-ag̉átyək te yowtáğk." àmūr hīs, "akēē!!"
12 wa-sīrūt towōli akáyd w-āmarūt hah, "akōfi tawōli ab'áyr wa-ltáyw alagáátyah wa-wtágah!"
13 āmūr hīs, "akēfi! al hōh sīrōna lā." āmərūt, "xáybən, sīrīta tawōli ārkạyb taḳ̣́āk." āmūr, "xáybən, syēri!"
14 wa-sīrūt te ankōt hāl ārkরáyb. āmərūt hīs, "akēfi tawōli akáyd wa-koṣāh!" āmarūt ārkáyb, "hōm lā. syēri!"
15 āmərūt āgáwz, "xáybən, sīrīta təwōli sənnáwrət (t)tहhš." āmərūt, "xáybən, akēf!!"w-akafyēt āgáwz təwōli sannáwrot.

3 akoṣṣāb: The word koṣs $\bar{a} \bar{b}$ 'butcher' (< Arabic qaṣṣāb) is missing from ML. 11 yaltáyw: In ML (s.v. lwy), the Ti-Stem látwi is defined only as 'get bent, bend'. The Jibbali cognate lútbi is given the additional definition 'turn a corner; hang around' (JL, s.v. lwy). In the first Roman manuscript, John-

## Translation of Text 49

1 Once there was an old woman, and she had a goat in a shelter. Then a strong rain came and caused the shelter to leak.
2 Then the old woman said to the goat, "Let's go down into the well." The goat said, "I don't want to."
3 The old woman said, "If you don't want to, I'll go to the butcher so he can kill you." The goat said, "Fine, go!"
4 Then the old woman went until she came to the butcher. She said to him, "Go to my goat and slaughter it!"
5 Then the butcher chased her away. He said, "I won't go in this rain." The old woman said, "If you won't go, I'll go to the blacksmith so he can break your knives." He said to her, "Fine, go!"
6 The old woman went to the blacksmith. She said to him, "Go to the butcher and break his knives!" The blacksmith said, "I don't want to." And he chased her away.
7 Then she said, "I'll go to the water and tell it to put out your fire." He said, "Fine, go!"
8 She went until she came to the water. She said, "Go and put out the blacksmith's fire!" The water said to her, "I don't want to. Go away!" And it chased her away.
9 She said, "If you don't want to, I'll go to the camel so he can drink you up." He said, "Go away!"
10 And the old woman went until she got to the camel. She said to him, "Go and drink up that water!" He said to her, "I am cold and I can't drink any more in this rain." And he chased her away.
11 The old woman said, "Fine, I'll go to the rope so it can wrap itself around your neck until it kills you." He said to her, "Go away!"
12 And she went to the rope and said to it, "Go to the camel and wrap yourself around its neck and kill him!"
13 It said to her, "Go away! I won't go." She said, "Fine, I'll go to the mouse to chew through you." It said, "Fine, go!"
14 And she went until she came to the mouse. She said to her, "Go to the rope and chew through it!" The mouse said, "I don't want to. Go!"
15 The old woman said, "Fine, I'll go to the cat so she can eat you." She said, "Fine, go away!" And the old woman went to the cat.
stone added the gloss 'be wrapped around', and in the second he added the gloss 'wrap o.s. around'.

16 te waṣalōt, āmərūt hīs, "akēfi təwōli ārkরáyb wa-tīyas! ārḳ́yb xazūt man taḳ̦̣̂á akạyd, w-akáyd xazōh mən yowtēg ab'áyr, w-ab'áyr xazōh mən yattáḳk hamōh,
17 wa-ḥamōh xazōh man yakṣām śīwōṭ ða-ḥaddōd, wa-haddōd xazōh man yoțbēr askáwnot ð-aḳaṣ̣āb,
18 w-akaṣṣāb xazōh man yasḥōt hōzzi, wa-ḥ̄zi xazūt man takfēd bark abáyr." attōli sīrūt sannáwrat tawōli ārkáyb. tōli ārkáyb, hīs śanyōt sannáwrat, abərḳāt təwōli aḳáyd. w-akáyd abárḳa təwōli ab‘áyr, w-ab‘áyr abárḳa tawōli ḥəmōh, wa-ḥəmōh abárḳa tawōli śīwōṭ ðə-ḥaddōd, wa-ḥaddōd abárḳa təwōli akoṣṣāb,
20 w-akoṣṣāb abárḳa təwōli ḥōz, wว-ḥōz abərḳāt bərk abáyr. wa-kāl ṭāt áyməl b-àāmar ð-āgáwz. wa-təmmōt.

16 Then when she arrived, she said to her, "Go to the mouse and eat it! The mouse refused to chew through the rope, and the rope refused to kill the camel, and the camel refused to drink up the water, and the water refused to put out the blacksmith's fire, and the blacksmith refused to break the butcher's knives,
18 and the butcher refused to kill my goat, and my goat refused to go down into the well."
19 Then the cat went to the mouse. Then the mouse, when it saw the cat, ran to the rope. And the rope ran to the camel, and the camel ran to the water, and the water ran to the blacksmith's fire, and the blacksmith ran to the butcher,
20 and the butcher ran to the goat, and the goat ran into the well. And everyone did according to the command of the old woman. And it is finished.

## Text 50 (no J): The Seven Brothers

xaṭarāt ġayg, wz-šàh hąbánhe yabáyt, walākan hambaráwtan sōbər yəntáwhan. wa-háybham yahámham yākām asdak $\vec{a}$. te nəhōr ṭayt, səyūr háybhəm wə-nūka ba-yzbáyt đ̣ērōb, w-āsbīham fáxrə. w-ämūr hīham, "mōn mankēm yakáwdar yathbēr alyōmah?" agárbam tāt bād tặt, wa lākan al kadáwr lā. attōli natrīham wa-wazmīham
 man ğayr t̄̄b.
attōli āmūr hīham háybham, "hamēm, ā habánye!fanōhan, hīs hēm fáxra, al kaədárkam līham lā. wz-ṣarōmah, hīs hēm kāl ṭāt wàhsīh, wákam sîhōl. ṣəōməh, ā habánys, ākām fáxra, w-al ahād yzkáwdər līkəm lā!" tōli fhēməm ḥambəráwtan, wz-wákam asdzkāa, wz-wkōt háydham tayt. wə-ğəráwb əð-hēm axáyr hīhวm yākām asdəkā. wə-təmmōt.

2 đ̛ērōb: The Roman manuscript has the near-synonym xaṭáwrək in parentheses after dēēō . $w$-ạsbïhzm: The Roman manuscript has instead wa-rṣənīhzm, which means the same thing. $y$ yááwdar: In the Roman manuscript, the relative pronoun $\partial$ - was added in parentheses in the margin. root are listed. Cf. also Jibbali ta'b 'trouble' (JL, s.v. t'b).

## Translation of Text 50

1 Once there was a man, and he had seven sons, but the boys would always fight. And their father wanted them to be friends.
2 Then one day, their father went and brought seven sticks, and he tied them together. And he said to them, "Which [lit. who] of you can break these?"
3 They tried, one after the other [lit. one after one], but they couldn't. Then he untied them and gave them each a stick, and he said to them, "Each one should break his stick." Each one broke his stick without trouble.
4 Then their father said to them, "Listen, my sons! Before, when they were together, you couldn't manage them. And now, when they were each alone, they were easy. Now, my sons, be together, and no one will manage against you!"
5 Then the boys understood, and they became friends, and their hands [lit. hand] became one. And they knew that it was better for them to be friends. And it is finished.

## Text 52 (no J): The Reluctant Reciter

1 A: "lawb tōmar hēt ðək háybə kyōmər."
2 B: "lawb, ḥáybi axáyr manáy yōmər."
3 A: "āmēr mōh! háğfalan!"
4 B: "hōh ar akīran l-āmēr, walākan akárdi tfatk lā."
5 A: "xáyban, āmēr al-xārxáwr!"
6 B: "hōh al ōmar axáyr mank lā. hām ar thámki, l-āmərōh. ag̉arōy ba-xāk. wa-hōh tabōna tīk."
7 A: "wa-kōh дว-ğarábk tı̄ ōmər?"
8 B: "wa-ya-ḥōl, tōmar wa-thaṣáwlah."
9 A: "mōn $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r ~ h u ̄ k ~ \partial ə-h o ̄ h ~ o ̄ m ə r ? " ~ " ~$
10 B: "ḥābū āmáwr háyni, wa-hōh ber hámak tīk nahōr ṭayt tōmar."
11 A: "mayt hámak tī ð-ōmar?"
12 B: "yamšh man ḥayūm kāl."
13 A: "дәк al hōh lā."
14 B: "wa-ya lawb! hēt xəṭárkəm al agंōrəb akárdək lā?"
15 A: "xáyban. ādialōmar lā ar yamšh̄, hīs hōh waḥśáy."
16 B: "hēt ar thaṣáwlah, walākan thōm tāmēr lā."
17 A: "ādah al aḥād yōmər háyni ahaṣáwlạh lā ar hēt. tḥōm tamdéḥi."
18 B: "hōh al ḥōm amdéḥk lā. ar man aṣáṭki."

1 tōmar: This verb normally means 'say', but it can also have the sense of 'recite or sing (a poem)'. Cf. also text 84.
8 thoṣáwlah: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'you do well', a meaning not listed in ML (s.v. ṣlh). The meaning 'do well' is listed, however, in $J L$ (s.v. slḥ) for the Jibbali cognate $\varepsilon s ̣ l a ́ h . ~ O n ~ t h e ~ G-S t e m ~ s ̣ u ̄ l o h, ~, ~$ which has a similar meaning, see the comment to text 42:11. xaṭárkam: See the comment to text 45:16.

## Translation of Text 52

1 A: "Truly, you sing just as your father sings."
2 B: "No, my father sings better than me."
3 A: "Please sing! Cheer us up!"
4 B: "I would indeed like to sing, but my voice won't come out."
5 A: "Fine, sing slowly!"
6 B: "I don't sing better than you. If you really want us both to (sing), let's both sing. The words are in your mouth. And I will follow you."
7 A: "Why do you know I (can) sing?"
8 B: "Indeed, you sing and you do (it) well."
9 A: "Who told you that I sing?"
10 B: "People told me, and I heard you one day singing."
11 A: "When did you hear me singing?"
12 B: "Yesterday of all days."
13 A: "That wasn't me."
14 B: "Yes it was! Do you think I don't know your voice?"
15 A: "Fine. I have never sung except yesterday, when I was alone."
16 B: "You do (it) well indeed, but you don't want to sing."
17 A: "No one ever has told me that I do (it) well except you. You want to flatter me."
18 B: "I don't want to flatter you. It's only the truth."

## Text 53 (no J): A Visit to St. Paul's

1 sayárk anhōr ð-agəmāt ðə-gərūt วm-báwməh, ahōm al-śné' məkōn ṭāt hámak ḥābū kāl (дə-)nūka. yōmər məkōn məg்ráyb bo-lándən. wa-sayárk, wว-šáy aməwsē. te waṣálak amkōn, kəsk məkōn ðə-l-ād šah śzwáyl là.
wakábk wa-kásk hāaū ðə-yraṣ̣̣áyṣ man kéţrham. wa-wəkábk. tōli ḥōm l-arfá’ ağáwf al-śné'.
sayárk te nákak hāl ġayg, wa-šxabárk tah man ḥōrəm. tōli āmūr háyni, "āzémi šalángi țrōh, wa-ḳəlōna tīk tərfá'." wazámk tah wa-ráfak. te wáṣalak, ġalákak xōṭar. attōli hayárk wa-kafádk.
w-ādi ðə-ttaláyk tīs, walākan šay amawsē wa-ḥəbūr, w-al habṭāk lā barkīs, wakōna faḳ̣ $\partial s$-sēt.

1 (дә-)nūka: It is not clear what form was intended here. The Arabic manuscript has ذورك, which is a mistake. If we assume that $n$ was missing by accident in the spelling, which seems likely, then this could represent ðд-yənōka (though Ali most often indicated the $y$ in such forms in his spelling) or ðə-nūka. Alternatively, if Ali accidentally wrote $\partial$ in place of $n$, then this would represent just nūka. The Roman manuscript has ðə-nūka. Ali stumbled on the audio, and seems to have settled on nūka.
ðә-l- $\bar{d} d$ : The manuscripts have $\not \partial-l-\bar{a} d$, but the audio has just $\partial$-əl.
3 ðə-yrəṣṣáyṣ:The form yaraṣṣáyṣ is the 3mp imperfect of the T1-Stem ráttoṣ. $M L$ (s.v. $r s ̣ s$ ) gives a 3 mp imperfect yartoṣáyṣ, which is either a regularized variant or a mistake. As discussed at the end of $\S 6.5 .1$, a dental or sibilant second root consonant is subject to assimilation in the T1-Stem perfect, just as in the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem perfect and subjunctive (all of which have the underlying pattern (C)aCtaCūC).
həyárk: According to $M L$ (s.v. hyr), the verb hayūr means something like 'got dizzy from a height'. In Ali's own English version of this story, which is not an exact translation of his Mehri version, he wrote 'I nearly falling myself' [sic]; Johnstone later crossed out 'falling myself' and replaced it with the more grammatical 'fell'. Miranda Morris (p.c.) has recorded Bațḥari hzyōr and Hobyot hēyar, both with the meaning 'be(come) dizzy on heights'.

## Translation of Text 53

1 I went last Friday from here, wanting to see a certain place that I heard everyone comes to. They say it is a famous place in London.
2 And I went, and I had rain. Then when I got to the place, I found (it was) a place that has no equal.
3 I went in and found the people pressed together from their great number. And I went it. Then I wanted to climb upstairs to see.
4 I went until I came to a man, and I asked him the way. Then he said to me, "Give me two shillings, and I will let you climb up." I gave him and I climbed up.
5 Then when I got there, I looked down. Then I got dizzy and I went down.
6 And I still regret it, but it was rainy and cold, and (so) I didn't stay long in it (the church), maybe half an hour.
$7 \quad$ And I left and returned to my house. And it is finished.

## Text 54 (no J): A Grave Encounter

$1 \quad$ b-akān, hām țāt mōt yanáyśzh wə-yalháykə bū mēkən, ġəyūg wə-yənīt.
2 wa-ḥaynīt tabákyan wa-tənáyən təh. wə-mət ber ḳəbáwr, yasháyṭt bēr wat̄̄ rawn, wal̄̄ bakāaryanḥáyr lah.

3 wa-ḥaynīt təwákan đ̣ār akōbər. wə-mət gazōt ḥəyáwm, yəšgis ḥābū kāl aḥād al-sékanah. wa-man ṭawr aḥād yahātūm đ̣ār aḳōbar, ḥāmáh walē ag̉átah watē ag̉āh.
4 xəṭərāt ð-aghōm, ḥōm təwōli sékəni. te kaláyni nákak aṣáyga, w-əl kask a $h a \bar{a} d ~ l a ̄ . ~$
5 ḥābū ber śállam bark ḥəwōdi. wa-ḥaşáṣk.
6 wa-kósk aśfūtən ðə-ḥābū, wə-tábak b-aśfūtən ðд-ḥābū bərk ḥəwōdi aw-mṣā ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
wa-bárk hawōdi ðákəməh maḳəbrēt. wว-śáff anhōr ðákวməh ðə-mōt ġayg, wa-ðд-k̨əbáwrah. wə-səyárk. wa-bér gazōt ḥəyáwm. te nákak hāl amkabrēt, wa-hōh ðə-yáṣşak. yāmərəm ḥābū akəyōy yəkáwn hāl amkəbrēt.
9 wə-śáff tēt ðə-hātəmūt đ̣ār akōbər ð-ağās. tōli śīnək ḥəwrīt đ đār akōbər, walākan əhūgas saḳáft.
10 tōli śīnək tīs ḥtarkōt, tōli xábṭək aməndáwki. wa-səyárk ḳaráyb l-akōbər. hármi tanōka hāl aḳōbar.

1 yanáyśzh: This is the 3 mp imperfect of the verb $n \bar{a} s{ }^{\prime}$ (root $n$ '́s), with a 3 ms object attached. It is not the imperfect of naśōh (root nśw/y), whose 3 ms imperfect is yznáyśs. The 3 mp form yznáyś (< yanćýś) follows the pattern of other II-Guttural G-Stems (cf. yalháyḳah in this line, and see further in §7.2.6).

1 yalháykah: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'come'. Elsewhere, the verb lahāk with a direct object means 'catch up with, overtake' (e.g., 35:11), and with l-it means 'help' (e.g., 48:1). I have suggested 'follow' in place of 'come' since it is closer to the basic meaning 'catch up with'.
təná'yzn: This is the G-Stem 3 fp imperfect of the root $n^{\prime} w$. It has the same pattern as the tabákyən just before it. ML (s.v. $n^{`} w$ ) has the 3 ms perfect nayw $\bar{u}$, but this is surely an error. See further in the comment to text 75:22.
3 yašgīs: The manuscripts and audio all have yašgiss here. $M L$ (p. lxi, n. 1) gives 3 ms imperfect $y \partial s ̌(\partial) g u \bar{s}$ as a variant of the more regular-looking $y \partial s ̌ a w g u ̄ s ́$. The form yašgiś was also produced by informants. See also text 31:6 and §7.2.3.

## Translation of Text 54

1 In our country, if someone dies, they carry him on a bier and many people follow, men and women.
2 And the women cry and mourn him. And after they bury (him), they slaughter camels or goats, or else they sacrifice cows for him.
3 And the women stay by the grave. And when the sun goes down, the people go home, everyone to his (own) settlement. And sometimes someone spends the night by the grave, his mother or his sister or his brother.
4 Once I was walking, heading towards my settlement. Then in the evening I came to the shelter, but I didn't find anyone.
5 The people had moved into the valley. And I looked for tracks.
6 And I found the people's tracks, and I followed the people's tracks down into the valley.
7 And in that valley was a graveyard. And it so happened that that day a man had died, and they had buried him.
8 And I went. And the sun had already gone down. Then I came to the graveyard, and I was afraid. People say that spirits live [lit. are] in the graveyard.
9 And it so happened that a woman was spending the night by the grave of her brother. Then I saw something black by the grave, but I thought it was a grave column.
10 Then I saw her move, and I cocked my rifle. And I went near the grave. My path came by the grave.

5 ḥaṣ́aṣ́k: This appears to be the ics perfect of an H-Stem (ḥ)həọ̣́ūṣ, but ML (s.v. ḥźź) lists only a G-Stem ḥaṣṣ 'track down'. The G-Stem 1cs perfect is háasṣṣz.
9 hawrīt: Both manuscripts and the audio have hawrīt. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone glossed this as 's.t. black'. This is either a noun or a variant feminine form of the adjective hōwar 'black'. ML, s.v. ḥwr, lists only the feminine ḥowrūt, which is used in texts $15: 12$ and 25:9.
9 ahūgas: The manuscripts have the 1cs imperfect ahūgas, but on the audio Ali read the ics perfect hagásk.
attōli l-ād siñzk s sic hátrok lā. śaff tēt, hīs śznyáti, gībūt mən ayaṣáyt.
 háyni.
béri hōm l-awbáds. tōli gà lákak tawōli akōbar, wa-siñak tah yadīn. hankárk ðд-hē ahād ðд-mōt anhōr đд̀kวməh.
attōli hās̃áśk attēt̄ w-āmárk, "hām hēt məsawmēt, hōh gayg masláym. $w$-ās̄ésil!"
tōl $\bar{a} s$ śśū. wa-nákak tīs wa-ġrrábək tīs. walākan ādi bay ayzṣáyt man tākáá ar g̈abrē, wa-ð-əktawbōt háyni al-hīs tēt đàkamah.
attōli āmárk hīs, "ṣarōmah al ạhād yahātūm báwməh lā. wə-ġadéwwən tawōli sćkzni. wz-k-sōbə h tardáydi hām tháymi."
tōli sīrūt šay, walākan hōh ðə-yásssak mans. w-āmárk hīs, "syēri fanwáy!" wa-hōh sayárk mən sarīs. wə-ð-xábṭək aməndáwki.
bark akābi, "hām ṣarōt wal̄̄ raddūt lay, l-awbáds." w-āmárk hīs, "hām hēt məsawmēt, ḩəððáyri mən (t)ṣāri. hōh ðд-yåsşak manš, wa-hām ṣarš, awbadōna tīs."
attōli l-äd ṣarōt lā. wə-sayūrən te wáşəəən sékəni. wə-hātōmən.
tz $k$-söbəh sē raddū̀t tawōli akōbər, wə-hōh báykəək hāl sćkəni. wa-təmmōt.

11 l-äd siñək śī hátrak lā: This is what the manuscripts have, but on the audio Ali stumbled and read l-ād śīnək tīs hátrak ... tīs hṭarkṑt lā 'I didn't see her move' (cf. line 10). The difficulty was that he misread ث́ $\begin{gathered}\text { íl 'something' as }\end{gathered}$ تيس tiss 'her'.
l-awbáds: Ali stumbled with this form (a 1cs subjunctive plus 3 fs object suffix) and read the imperfect alábdəs 'Tlll shoot her'. The Arabic manuscript has l-2wbáds. On this use of this subjunctive, see § 7.1.10.3.
20 sayūran:The Arabic manuscript has sīrōna (سيرونا) 'we will go', which must be a mistake for sayūrən (سيورن) 'we went', which is what the audio has. The Roman manuscript has sirūt 'she went', which is ok, though sayūran fits the context better.

11 Then I didn't see anything move. It turns out the woman, when she saw me, she fainted from fear.
12 Then I came to the grave and I found the woman passed out. I thought (she was) a demon (possessing a human body) creating an illusion for me.
13 I was about to shoot her. Then I looked towards the grave, and I saw that it was new. I realized that someone had died that day.
14 Then I roused the woman and I said, "If you are a Muslim, I am a Muslim man. Get up!"
15 Then she got up. I went up to her and I recognized her. But I still had the fear that she might really be a demon, and that she had taken the form of that woman for me.
16 Then I said to her, "Now no one spends the night here. Let's go to my settlement. And in the morning you can go back if you want."
17 Then she went with me, but I was afraid of her. And I said to her, "Go in front of me!" And I went behind her. And I had cocked my rifle.
18 In my mind (I thought), "If she stops or turns back to me, I'll [or: I should] shoot her."
19 And I said to her, "If you are Muslim, be sure not to stop. I am afraid of you, and if you stop, I'll shoot you."
20 Then she didn't stop at all. And she went until we got to my settlement. And we spent the night.
21 Then in the morning she went back to the grave, and I remained with my settlement. And it is finished.

## Text 55 (= J2): A Lecherous Man

1 xəṭərāt ġayg wə-tētِ ðə-yasyáwr, wə-hīs bárhəm b-aámk əð-hōrəm. āmūr ag̉áyg, "hōom al-syēr šayš."
āmarūt tēt, "hām tḥōm tsyēr šay, sḥọt ḥaybátk, wa-mgंōrən sīrōna šay!" āmūr, "dəxáyli təzámi ḥənáfš!"" āmərūt, "b-awághi, ð-əl-əzémk ḥənōf." yasyūr ağáyg, yashōṭ ḥaybátəh. wa-hīs ber sḥāt, āmūr, "āzámi ḥənáfš!" wazmátəh aġətkóts. āmərūt, "mət shēk ðōməh, awázmak amšēg̉ar."
6 haḳ̣áwm ağáyg ợā ag̉ətḳ́áyt ðə-ttēt. tōli l-ād shēl lā. āmūr ağáyg, "hām al tháymi tazámi a áábrəš lā, kəwt̄ōna al-ḥābū."
āmarūt tēt, "hām kalátk, āmrīta, 'aǵgáyg ḥáywal. wa-lūal hē háywal lā, al yasháyṭtan ḥaybátzh lā’"
wa-sīrōh te waṣalōh ḥābū. tōli kalūt ağáyg. āmūr, "hōh sayárk k-falāna." āmərūt tēt, "ag̉áyg ḥáywal. šah yabīt wa-sḥaṭáys. wa-məḡōrən yahōom yabdéh lay. walākan syēram ḥárman wว-śnēm. əð hē sḥāṭ haybátəh, hē yakūn ḥáywal. w-að hē al sḥāt ḥaybátah lā, hōh akūn ḳaḥbēt.
walākan hōh bay śī barkfiţ̣ãi. əみ hē səyūr šay, hē śanyōna təh, w-əð hē badōh, al kawtōna b-awáṣfah lā.
wa-hōh maśśanyēta tah ḥaynī̄. walākan fanōhən syēram ḥárman wa-śn̄̄m haybátzh!"
sayáwr ḥābū wə-kūsam ḥaybátวh sḥəṭāt. wə-sīrūt tēt wa-(ś)śanyūt haynit̄. wa-śáff šīs arḩoṣát.
tōli šxəabir ag̉áyg mən awáṣf дə-ttēt. āmūr, "д-əntəfūt agábrəs, wəțวháyrat." wว-ḥaynīt kūsa əttēt bīs amənsōb, wว-līs arḥəṣāt.
(tōli kūsam) ag̈áyg badōh al-tēt. wə-wbáwdəh ag̉áwse b-arbōt faṭōwวx.
sīōna: The audio has the future sirōna, though the manuscripts have the 2 ms imperfect $t s y u ̄ r$.
д-əl-əzámk: The prefix $\partial$ - is not heard on the audio, though it appears in both manuscripts.
('s'śanyūt: We expect the H-Stem 3fs perfect śśznyūt, distinct from the G-Stem 3fs perfect śanyōt (49:19), though they are not distinguished in spelling in the Arabic script. Unfortunately, Ali stumbled a bit on the audio when he read this word, and his reading sounds like śanyōt; perhaps he mistook it for the G-Stem. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone did transcribe śanyūt here, but śanyōt for the Gb-Stem in 49:19.

## Translation of Text 55

1 Once a man and woman were walking, and when they were in the middle of the journey, the man said to the woman, "I want to sleep [lit. go] with you."
2 The woman said, "If you want to sleep with me, slaughter your camel, and then you'll sleep with me."
3 He said, "Swear you will give yourself to me!" She said, "By my honor [lit. face], I will give myself to you."
4 The man went (and) slaughtered his camel. And after he had slaughtered (it), he said, "Give yourself to me!"
5 She gave him the back of her knee. She said, "When you have finished with this, I will give you the other."
6 The man spent the day on the back of the woman's knee. Then he still had not had enough. The man said, "If you don't give me your privates, I will tell the people."
7 The woman said, "If you tell, I will say, 'The man is crazy. And if he wasn't crazy, he wouldn't have slaughtered his camel."
8 And the two went until they reached the people. Then the man told (them). He said, "I slept [lit. went] with so-and-so."
9 The woman said, "The man is crazy. He had a camel and he slaughtered it. And then he wanted to lie about me. But go to our road and see! If he slaughtered his camel, he is crazy. And if he didn't slaughter his camel, I am a whore.
10 And I have something in my privates. If he slept with me, he will have seen it, and if he lied, he will not (be able to) give [lit. tell] its description.
11 And I will show the women. But first go to our road and see his camel."
12 The people went and found his camel slaughtered. And the woman went and showed the women. And it so happened that the woman had her period.
13 Then they asked the man for a description of the woman. He said, "She has plucked her privates, and she is clean [i.e., not menstruating]."
14 And the women found that the woman had pubic hair and had her period.
15 The man lied about the woman, and her brothers hit him four times (on the head).

15 tōli kūsam: These words are missing from the audio, present in the Roman manuscript, and present but crossed out in the Arabic manuscript.

16 wa-sayūr ðə-xaṣṣáwṣ. al tēt šah, w-al ḥaybátah šah.
17 ðōməһ man ḥáylət ðə-ḥaynīt. wə-təmmōt kawt̄ēt.

16 дд-xəṣṣáwṣ: This is the T2-Stem of the root $x s ̣ s$. . In $M L$ (s.v. $x s ̣ s)$, the T2Stem is given as axtaṣáwṣ, following the pattern of a strong verb. But $t$ assimilates to $\underset{\sim}{\text { in }}$ the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem. In the Jibbali version of this story, which is told nearly verbatim, Ali used the T2-Stem $\partial$-axtaṣéṣ.

16 And the man went away having gotten his due; he had neither the woman nor his camel.
17 This is about [lit. from] the cunning of women. And the story is finished.

## Text 56 (= J3): A Conversation

1 A: "hēt sīrōna gēhamah, al hẽ lā?"
2 B: "hōh sīrōna wa-hthámk tī al-hábṭa."
3 A: "wa-kōh thábṭa? syēr gēhamah wa-rdēd bād gēhəmah."
4 B: "əl əkáwdər lā. aḳā’ rēḥək."
5 A: "xáybən. bərk akābək, mayt tordēd lay?"
6 B: "nəkōna tīk mən đ̣ār rība yūm."
7 A: "hēt sīrōna ḥágtək aw sīrōna tənḥāg?"
8 B: "sīrōna hágti, walākan al bay katfōf lā te l-afrēr."
9 A: "xáyban, b-arāyak. hām al nákak tī bād gēhəməh lā, l-ād hōh səwbōna tīk zōyad lā."
10 B: "wa-kōh al sawbōna tī zōyad lā?
11 xáyban, hām l-ād hēt sawbōna tī lā, l-ād hōh sīrōna lā.
12 wa-mgōran, hām al kask tīk lā, al a gōrab ḥōram lā."
13 A: "xáyban, sawbōna tīk. syēr wa-ḥəððōr mən thábṭa."
14 B: "xáyban, hōh sīrōna. wa-hām ráddak w-al kask tīk lā, l-ād hōh mašēman tīkzōyad lā."
15 A: "hōh āmárk hūk sawbōna tīk, hām nákakl-awādək."
16 B: "xáybən. txaláfi äfyat. hōh sayárk."
17 A: "asthōl! kalēb salōm man hāl nákak."

19 A: "taḳtəlōb lā. maxtalīf būk lā." wa-təmmōt.

## Translation of Text $5 \mathbf{5}$

1 A: "You'll go tomorrow, won't you?"
2 B: "I'll go, and I think I'll be a while."
3 A: "Why will you be a while? Go tomorrow and return the day after tomorrow."
4 B: "I can't. The place [lit. land] is far."
5 A: "Ok. When do you think you will [lit. in your heart when will you] come back to me?"
6 B: "I'll come back to you in four days."
7 A: "Will you go for necessity [lit. your need] or will you go to have fun?"
8 B: "I'll go for necessity [lit. my need], but I don't have wings to fly (with)."
9 A: "Ok, as you wish. If you don't come back to me the day after tomorrow, I won't wait for you any longer."
10 B: "Why won't you wait for me any longer?
11 Ok, if you won't wait for me any longer, I won't go.
12 (Because) then if I don't find you, I won't know the road (you took)."
13 A: "Ok, I'll wait for you. Go and be careful not to be long."
14 B: "Ok, I'll go. And if I return and I don't find you, I won't trust you anymore."
15 A: "I said to you I'll wait for you, if you come at your promised time."
16 B: "Ok, be well [lit. may health come after me]! I'm off."
17 A: "Goodbye! Send greetings to wherever you get to."
18 B: "Be sure not to go and leave me!"
19 A: "Don't worry. I won't let you down." And it is finished.

## Text 56A (= J7): A Betrothal and Marriage

1 xəṭərāt ġayg səyūr mən anágd, yahōm aśháyr. hīs wīṣəl aśḥáyr, kūsa ġayg. $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "ḥōm al-h $\bar{\varepsilon} r a s ~ h a n u ̄ k, ~ h a ̄ m ~ h e ̄ t ~ m a h a ́ f f ə k ~ t i ̄ . " ~ " ~$ āmūr, "hōh məháffak tīk, hām wazmōna tī aməndáwḳək." āmūr, "wəzmōna tīk amandáwkki." āmūr, "xáyban, syēr šarēwag ḥaynīt. hām sēn firəḥ̣ būk, maháffak tīk."
3 āmūr, "xáybən. mayt al-śnēk?" āmūr, "gēhəməh." sayūr ag̉áyg təwōli haynīt wa-ġátri šīsən. hēt wạฺōna raḥáym šīn. məffḳáwtan tīk." wa-sádd hē wa-ḥaynitu. wa-sayūr tawōli aǵáyg, hayb ðว-ttēt, wa-kalūt lah. wə-wazmáh amandáwḳah. wa-sīrōh tawōli sēkan. wə-ṣāṭ təwkəlēt mən hāl tētِ. wa-kəfáwd hē w-aśháwd arhabēt, hē waśhádhe arḥəbēt, təwōli śśrə', wə-šəmlūk. wว-şitəəm aṣ́zyáft tōmər, wa-ráfam. wa-habrīk arīkōb, wa-śxawlīl waḳōna sāh. məġōrən nákam ḥābū ðə-yəġábrəm aṣayáft. wə-nákam rəgzīt. wə-nūka bər hadīd ðə-ttēt ðə-yวxōla. al a hāād yahārūs bart ḥadáydəh lā. tōli wazmáh ag̉áyg ðə-hārūs āśarīt ḳəráwš wə-sūməḥ hah yahērəs. wa-wkūb a áṣar đékaməh. wə-śxəwlūl šīs waḳōna warx. tōli āmūr hīs, "naḥōm nafrēṣ tawōli ḥábys." āmarūt hah, "al asyūr lā man hāl hábyc." tōli āmūr ḥáybas, "hōh ḥōm attēt̄i tafrēés šay." āmūr hah, "xáyban, syēram."
11 āmūr, "attēt xazūt mən tafrēṣ." tōli nūka ḥáybวs, wa-gzūm līs. tōli attēt $\bar{a} m a r u ̄ t, ~ " a l ~ h o ̄ h ~ s i ̄ r i ̄ t a ~ l a ̄ . " ~$

2 šarēwag: As noted in §6.4.3, n. 46, this form provides the only example of an Š2-Stem imperative in all of the texts.
hámyon: This appears to be the plural 'our sons-in-law', though a singular would fit the context a bit better. The Jibbali parallel (7:4) also seems to have the suffix used with plural nouns; see the comment in $J L O$ (p. 407). sadd: We expect 3 mp sáddam here, not 3 ms sadd. yaǵgabram: According to $M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} b r$ ), the G-Stem $\dot{g} \partial b \bar{u} r$ only has the meaning 'meet, come to meet', but here it must mean something like 'contribute, give help', which is the meaning associated with the H-Stem

## Translation of Text 56A

1 Once a man went from the Najd, heading for the mountains. When he reached the mountains, he found a man. He said, "I want to marry into your family, if you will let me."
2 He said, "I will let you marry if you give me your rifle." He said, "I will give you my rifle." He said, "Ok, go consult the women. If they are happy with you, I will let you marry."
3 He said, "Ok. When might I see you?" He said, "Tomorrow." The man went to the women and spoke with them.
4 The old woman, the mother of the woman (he wanted to marry), said, "We have a custom. We will be nice to our sons-in-law, if you will be nice to us. We will let you marry." And he and the women made an agreement.
5 And he went to the man, the father of the woman, and told him. And he gave him the rifle. And they (the two of them) went to the settlement.
6 And he took possession of the woman in marriage. And he and the witnesses went down to town, he and his witnesses to the town, to the judge, and he got legal possession. And he bought dates for the wedding feast, and they went back.
7 Then in the morning, they came to the settlement and they fired shots, and they frightened the goats away from the settlement. They made the riding-camels kneel, and they stayed about an hour.
8 Then people came contributing to the wedding-feast. And they came (with) songs. And the woman's unmarried cousin came. No one (else should) marry his cousin.
9 Then the man who got married gave him ten dollars, and he permitted him to marry. And he consummated [lit. entered] that night. And he stayed with her about a month.
Then he said to her, "Let's go home to my parents." She said to him, "I will not go from my parents." Then he said to her father, "I want my wife to go home with me." He said to him, "Fine, go."
11 He said, "The woman has refused to go." Then her father came and swore to her. Then the woman said, "I won't go."
$h \partial \dot{g} b \bar{u} r$. The verb in the parallel Jibbali version (7:8) is an H-Stem. It is conceivable that Ali confused the Mehri G- and H-Stems.
$8 y$ yxōla: This must be the 3 ms imperfect of the Gb-Stem xáyli (root $x l^{\prime}$ ). Johnstone neglected to list the meaning 'be unmarried' in $M L$, as also for the cognate Jibbali verb in $J L$; see the comment in $J L O$ (p. 408).

12 tōli āmūr ḥáybas, "tēt xazūt man (t)syēr. tḥōm (t)śxáwwal, b-arāyak. wathōm txxōli, wazyēma tīk aməndáwḳək." āmūr, "hām al sē sīrīta šay lā, maxxōli." tōli xōli aǵáyg, wə-ṣāṭ aməndáwkəh. wə-təmmōt.

12 Then her father said, "The woman has refused to go. If you want to stay, please do. And if you want to get divorced, we will give you your rifle." He said, "If she won't go with me, I will get divorced." Then the man got divorced, and he took his rifle. And it is finished.

## Text 57 (= J8): A Conversation

1 A: "bsr hūk sēt wa-hēt b-ag̀ərbēt?"
2 B: "ber háyni wạōna xáyməh sanáyn."
3 A: "xáybən. háạlək śl?"
4 B: "ḥáṣlək aráṣki. fənōhən xədámk sī̄ lā. wa-mən tōli xədámk xədmēnōt, walākan amhaṣáwl al hē gīd lā."
5 A: "walē śīnak habráy?"
6 B: "bsr háyni sc̄t ādial śīnək tah lā, walākan hámak hābū āmáwr hē bərk xadmēt. wa-kōh, al xaṣáwb līkam ba-śí lā sanēt ðìmah?"
7 A: "wa-ya lawb ðə-yəxxaṣáwb, walākan mən wárxi trōh l-ād xaṣáwb ba-śı̄ lā, wa-l-ād nūka mánəh gəwōb lā. wə-nḥāh ðə-šāṣáyan təh."
8 B: " $t$ (ť̌s®ṣ̊əm tah lā. bə-xáyr hē, wa-ðə-yวxáwdəm, walākan xāf hīs al xaṣáwb bə-śíl la, ðд-hānōh yaftēk."
9 A: "wa-kōh? hámak วḥād āmūr ftkōna?"
10 B: "hámak ġarōy, walākan al hōh ðə-htámk lā mōn ð-āmūr háyni."
11 A: "ād yāká’ ar ðд-yдbáyd?"
12 B: "ba-háw! kalūt̄ lay mənēdəm ð-əl yabáyd lā, walākan alfáṭəək hámməh lā."
13 A: "fṭōn mōh! lawb ffárḥk tīn. yaffárḥk abēli ba-xáyr!"
14 B: "wa-hīh, lawb fátnak. falān ð-āmūr háyni, ðək al yabáyd lā."

4 amḥasáwl: The word maḥ̣̣áwl is absent from $M L$, just as the word maḥ̣ól (used in the parallel Jibbali version) is absent from JL. The noun is clearly from the same root as the D/L-Stem verb (h)hōọal 'earn', used in lines 3 and 4; cf. also Arabic maḥ̣̣ūl 'result; yield, gain'.
7 ðд-šāṣáyzn: The prefix ðд-, which we expect here, is heard clearly on the audio, but is not in either manuscript.
$8 x \bar{a} f$ : On this word, which is not in $M L$, see § 12.5.22.

## Translation of Text 57

1 A: "Were you abroad for a long time?"
2 B: "I was (away) for about five years."
3 A: "Ok. Did you earn anything?"
4 B: "I earned my lot. First I didn't work at all. And then I worked a little job, but the pay [lit. yield] was not good."
5 A: "Did you perhaps see my son?"
6 B: "I have not seen him for a long time, but I heard people say that he was employed. Why, didn't he send you anything this year?"
$7 \quad$ A: "Yes, he has been sending, but he hasn't sent anything at all for two months, and a letter hasn't come from him at all. We have been worried about him."
8 B: "Don't worry about him. He is fine, and is working, but I think maybe since he has not sent anything, he intends to leave."
9 A: "Why? Did you hear somebody say he will [or: would] leave?"
10 B: "I heard (some) talk, but I'm not sure who told me."
11 A: "Perhaps it was someone who was lying [or: lies]?"
12 B: "No way! A person who doesn't lie told me, but I don't remember his name."
13 A: "Please remember! You have truly made us happy. May God make you happy with good things!"
14 B: "Oh, I do indeed remember him. So-and-so who told me, that guy doesn't lie."

## Text 58 (= J9, with slight variations): About Animals

1 hanīn b-aśháyr amōl mēkən, ḥāráwn wa-habēr w-abkār.
2 ámma hāáráwn wa-habēr, tkūnən bīsən śxōf lā bərk aməwsē ðə-xárf. w-ámma abḳār, tkūnən bīsən aśxōf.
wa-yวmákrəm wə-yagámam améḥh.
wa-ba'áyli habēr wa-ḥāráwn yahábyam al-bəáyli abḳār l-agarē ðə-yəśxáyf ts taṣrōb.
bə'áyli abḳāryəkáwn waṭȳōh, w-ámma boáyli habērwa-ḥāráwn, yahábyam līham ba'áyli abḳār.
 towōh ayd lā, yomáwt w-al yāmūl śxōf lā.
w-ámma ḥāráwn wa-habēr, yวwázmam tīsan ayd lā, ar hām wậōt sanēt k.amḥt. w-ámma ba'áyli abḳār, sōbər hēm ðд-yswīl mən arḥabēt. walākan hām ṣarbōt, yahwáfyam faḳ̣ ð-aðəтmēt ðīmah man aṭarēf ð-amōl ðд-hənīn b-aśháyr.

9 wa-tِányan, abkāar taṣábran lā mən ḥəmōh. wə-təmmōt.
tkünən: The 3 fp imperfect tkūnən is perhaps unexpected here. It is agreeing with the logical subject ḥāráwn wa-hab $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ in its first appearance, and $a b k \underset{a}{r}$ in its second, even though the grammatical subject is śxōf 'milk' in both cases. The same 3 fp verb form appears in the parallel Jibbali version (J9:2).
4 taṣrōb:This must be a Gb-Stem subjunctive, though no G-Stem is listed in $M L$ (cf. also the Gb-Stem 3fs perfect șarbōt in line 8). Note that the noun șáyrəb 'autumn; post-monsoon period' has the same form as the 3 ms Gb Stem perfect of this root. On the Jibbali parallel, see further in Appendix D (correction to JLO, p. 412).
$7 \quad h \bar{e} m$ : The manuscripts have wa-hēm, but the audio has just hēm.
8 aðəтmēt: The manuscripts have aðəmmēt, but the audio has adáyn (< Arabic dayn 'debt'); cf. the noun dun used in the Jibbali version. tányan: This is an Arabism, from Arabic t tāniyan. Ali even used the Arabic spelling ثانيا.

## Translation of Text 58

1 We have in the mountains a lot of livestock: goats, camels, and cows.
2 As for the goats and the camels, they don't have milk in the rain of the summer monsoon. As for the cows, they do have milk.
3 And they (people) store milk and collect clarified butter.
4 The camel- and goat-herders come to the cow-herders in order to drink milk until it becomes autumn.
5 (Then) the cow-herders do poorly. And the camel- and goat-herders, the cow-herders go to them for milk.
6 They (the cow-herders) bring the clarified butter down to town, and they sell it for sardines for the cows. The cows, if they don't eat sardines, they die and they don't make milk.
$7 \quad$ And as for the goats and the camels, they don't give them sardines, except if it is a bad year. And the cow-herders, they are always in debt to the town.
8 But when autumn has come, they pay half of this debt out of the livestock that is with us in the mountains.
9 And moreover, the cows will not do without water. And it is finished.

## Text 59 (= J34, but a variant version): A Miscommunication

xəṭərāt ġayg gahēm man anágd əð-ṣafór. wว-hē məhráy, yaḥōm h-akā ð-amhəréh. w-ādəh al yasyūr towálihəm lā ar xəṭarāt ðákəməh.
tє nūka b-arḥəbēt ð-amhəréh wว-wkūb bark bayt, kūsa tēt. amərḥəbēt bəh w-āmlōt hah ḳahwēt.
wa-hē śxəwlūl bark ajarfēt. ts nəkátəh b-akəhwēt, āmərūt həh, "hēt amárḳəh, wo-hōh āmlīta afśè.".
āmūr aġáyg, "his-táw!" w-aġáyg al yȧḡōrab abhalīt ðı̄mah lā. abhalīt ðīmah b-anágd (ð-)ṣafōr, 'amárḳəh’,' 'arōtəb amkōn' aw 'anōð̣əf amkōn'.
hīs əttēt sīrūt, 'əśś ag̉áyg wə-śáll akəərmōś w-artəbīhəm. wə-śáll aḳəhwēt wa-ḳəláys al-sárf ṭāt, w-arōtəb amkōn.
attōli ankōt tēt. ksátəh ðə-yวxáwdəm. āmarūt hวh, "дع kō hēt wวṭōməh?" āmūr, "hēt āmárš háyni 'amárḳəh’, wว-hōh amárḳəḥk." āmərūt həh, "aġāy, amárḳəḥ ar aḳəhwēt!" āmūr, "his-táw!" wว-sīrūt tēt təwōli aməṭbāx. w-aġáyg śall aḳəhwēt wว-ḳəláys b-abárr wə-śxəwlūl. te ənkátəh əttēt, āmərūt həh, "ber amárḳəḥk?" āmūr, "Ẽhẽ, ber śállak aḳəhwēt abárr." āmərūt, "ag்āy, al hōh ðə-hānáyk waṭōmah lā!"
āmūr, "ar ðə-hānáyš hēśən?" āmərūt, "ber táḳkək aḳəhwēt?" āmūr, "lā." āmərūt əttēt, "nəḥāh hənīn nōmər 'amárḳəh’."
āmūr aġáyg, "naḥāh hənīn 'amárkoh' 'arōtəb amkōn'." āmərūt, "xáybən, sméḥi! hōh ðə-hānáyk əttáḳk aḳəhwēt. walākan kəlēt lay, hanīkəm tāmarəm hībōh hām wazámkam ṭāt ḳəhwēt?" āmūr, "nōmar hah, 'aḳthō’!’" tōlifhēham ṭāṭīdáyham. wa-tammōt.
táḳ̂ək: The manuscripts have táḳkək, but on the audio, Ali first read náśzək, then corrected himself to tákkak. Both mean 'you drank', but the Gb-Stem $n i s \not \partial z$ has a more restricted meaning of 'sip (something hot)'. The Jibbali version of the story uses the cognate of náśzak.
wazámkam ṭāṭ: Ali stumbled on the audio here, and eventually read wazmīkam ṭāt 'someone gives you'. The manuscripts have wazámkam ṭāt 'you give someone', which fits the context best and is certainly what was intended. Johnstone added wazmîkam in the margin of the Roman manuscript. aḳth ${ }^{-}$: The underlying form here is *aktho$w$, the ms imperative of the T2Stem kathō'. On this verb, see $\S 6.5 \cdot 4$, n. 72 .

## Translation of Text 59

1 Once a man went from the Najd of Dhofar. He was Mehri, heading to the land of the Mehris. And he had never gone to them, except that time.
2 Then when he came to a town of the Mehris and entered a house, he found a woman. She welcomed him, and she made coffee for him.
3 And he sat in the room. Then when she brought him the coffee, she said to him, "You drink up (amárkah ), and I'll make lunch."
4 The man said, "Ok!" But the man didn't know this word. This word in the Najd of Dhofar, amárkah, is 'clean the place' or 'tidy up the place'.
5 After the woman went out, the man got up and picked up the things and cleaned them. He took the coffee and put it to one side, and he cleaned the place.
6 Then the woman came. She found him working. She said to him, "But why are you (doing) like this?"
7 He said, "You said to me, 'clean up' (amárḳah), and I cleaned up."
8 She said to him, "Brother, drink up (amárko̧h) just the coffee!" He said, "Ok!"
9 And the woman went to the kitchen. And the man took the coffee and put it outside and sat down. Then when the woman came, she said to him, "Have you already drunk up (amárkahk)?"
10 He said, "Yes, I already took the coffee outside." She said, "My brother, I didn't mean (to do) like that!"
11 He said, "Well what did you mean?" She said, "Have you already drunk the coffee?" He said, "No." The woman said, "We, around us, we say amárkoh."
12 The man said, "We, around us, amárka̧h is 'to clean the place'."
13 She said, "Ok, forgive me! I meant you should drink the coffee. But tell me, around you [or: in your region], what do you say if you give someone coffee?"
14 He said, "We say to him, akthō?!" Then they understood one another. And it is finished.

## Text 6o (no J): A Shipwreck

1 xaṭarāt sáfran man dabáy bark lang man ṣawr, wa-barkēh bū maxāśaráyn. wə-ssáfrən fanōhən agzé.. nəḥōm nərdēd akān.
te wáṣalan ġabbēt yāməram hīs ġabbēt salāməh. wáṣalan tīs $k$-sōbəḥ. tōli ankáyn hazáyz w-amawsē. anōxəðē, "háddəlวт tīn məkōn gōna!" wə-məháddəlūtan tīkam." āmūr anōxəðē, "y $y$ ys."
wə-šīhəm háwri. ámma trōh rəkbōh bərkēh, w-ámma t tāt rīkəb bark aláng. wว-sayūrən. ðд-ḥəmáwlət ráwram. zōyad lā. walākan šīn ṭāt dallōl, wa-hadallīn b-amkōn.
tє wakūbən bark xawr ḳənnáwn, ftūkən. wə-l-ḥámdu li-llāh sēmən. l-ād hagūsan al-salōmat lā.
wa-šīn ṭāt háywal. te ḳafūdan b-abárr naxāli déhəḳ śōx, wa-hakfōdən alhān bark aláng man ḥāmal. attōli āmūr ḥáywal, "al tśxáwlam báwmah lā! ašháwḥ nəkiyē wa-mkkārūtan līkam b-aṣawáyr." attōli səyūran wa-xxalōfən məkōn ṭāṭ. ādən śxawlūlan, nákam ašháwh wa-kkáyr b-aṣawáyr mən ag̉áwf. w-āzáwm yahgēmam tīn. walākan əwbáwdhəm aġəyūg tahəráyb. wa-hīs yáṣ̣am, falīt. wə-nhāh śxəwtūlən. te nəhōr xəwfit sáfron. wə-l-ḥámdu li-llāh l-ād wīḳa lēn śī lā te wáṣalan aḳān. wa-tammōt.

10
ašháwḥ: The Arabic-speaking Šiḥūḥ (or Šaḥūḥ; adjective šiḥ̣̂̄̄ or šeḥhī) are the main tribe of Oman's mountainous Ras al-Musandam Peninsula. Up until at least the 1970s, they had a reputation for being hostile to outsiders. The term Šiḥūḥ can also be used more broadly to include the Kumzari population of the Ras al-Musandam Peninsula, who speak an Iranian language.
tahəráyb: Johnstone ( $M L$, s.v. $h r b$ ) parsed this word as a verbal noun of the D/L-Stem (h)hōrab 'put to flight, force to flee' (no doubt from Arabic harraba, with the same meaning). There is a vowel audible after the $t$. It

## Translation of Text 6o

1 Once we traveled from Dubai in a boat from Ṣur, and in it were all kinds of people. And we traveled before sunset, intending to go back to our country.
 in the morning. Then a strong wind and rain came upon us.
3 Then when we got to a small village, we anchored by it. Then the strong wind pushed the boat to the deep area.
4 While we were like this, three men, residents of the town, came to us. The captain said to them, "Guide us to a sheltered place!"
5 They said, "If you want us to guide you to a sheltered place, give us seven hundred, and we'll guide you." The captain said, "Ok."
6 And they had a canoe. Two rode in it, and one rode in the boat. And we went.
$7 \quad$ Then the strong wind didn't let us stay alongside the shore, and the mast broke. And we tossed half of the load into the sea.
8 Then the rope of the canoe that the guides were in snapped, and we didn't see them ever again. But we had one guide, and he guided us to the place.
9 Then when we entered a small lagoon, we got off. And, praise God, we were safe. We hadn't thought (we would find) safety.
10 And we had with us a certain crazy man. Then we came down onto shore under a big cliff, and we took down all the baggage that was in the boat. Then the crazy man said, "Don't stay here! The Šiḥūḥ will come and roll rocks down on you."
11 Then we went and moved on to another place. While we were sitting, the Šiḥūḥ came and rolled down rocks from above. And they intended to attack us.
12 But the men shot at them to scare them off. And when they got scared, they fled.
13 And we stayed. Then the next day we left. And, praise God, nothing more happened to us until we reached our country. And it is finished.
is possible that the particle $t \varepsilon$ 'until' was intended, though Ali regularly wrote this is a separate word, and the Arabic manuscript has تهريب. If $t \varepsilon$ were intended, then the verb would have to be a 3 mp perfect ( $h$ )hərīb, from an (otherwise unattested) H-Stem (h)harūb, which would mean that Ali made a mistake on the audio.

## Text 61 (= J2o): An Argument over Water

1 sənēt țayt wakōt háwrot, wa-hābū əð-tābam wāyən. wə-ḥəmōh xawr.
2 te nahōr ṭayt hawrīd ba'áyli ḥāráwn wa-ba'áyli habēr wa-ba'áyli abḳār ḍār ḥamōh.
attōli āmūr aġáyg ðə-k-abḳār, "fənōhən abḳáryən məttakyūtən, wəmağōrən ətēm."
$4 \bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a g ̉ a ́ y g ~ b a ̄ l ~ h a b \bar{\varepsilon} r, ~ " a ̄ k a ̄ f!~ h e ̄ t ~ a l ~ h e ̄ t ~ a x a ́ y r ~ m ə n i ̄ n ~ l a ̄ . " ~$
5 tōli əntawḥōh, wa-nákam ḥābū wa-ffáskəm tīhi man ṭāṭīdáyhi. walākan bāl habēr əwbūd məndáwk bark abḳār wa-ffarūd.
6 w-ámma bāl ḥāráwn, hīs alyēk ðə-yəntáwḥan, hวwrūd házhe te hərwōh.
7 walākan śxəwlīl faḳ̣h ðə-wárx, w-ənkáthəm aməwsē. wə-ġátbərəm ðə-yวð̣ábram bə-ṭāṭīdáyham, wə-yāmərəm, "lu ụabūrən, axáyr hīn." w-ámma bāl ḥāráwn, ðд-yaṣ́ḥōk mənhēm, w-āmūr, "hōh axáyr mankēm. hīs ətēm antáwḥkəm, hōh hawrádk házye."
9 wa-mən đ̣ār xoṭərāt ðə́kəməh, wákam 'ayśōr. w-āmáwr, "aṣābər axáyr man kāl śl̄yən!" wə-təmmōt kəwťéthəm.

5 tīhi man țāṭīdáyhi: Ali clearly read the 3md form tīhi on the audio (twice, actually), but then read 3mp ṭāṭīdáyham. The Arabic manuscript has the 3md suffix -hi in both forms. The Roman manuscript has the 3 mp suffix -ham in both forms.
6 дд-yantáwḥən:The prefix $\partial$-is in the Arabic manuscript, but is not audible on Ali's recording. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the prefix in parentheses in the margin. ðд-yađ̣ábram: The prefix $\partial$ - is in the manuscripts, but is not audible on the recording.

## Translation of Text 61

1 One year there was a drought, and the people were very weary. And the water was scarce.
2 Then one day goat-herders, camel-herders, and cow-herders brought (their animals) down to the water.
3 Then the man who was with the cows said, "First our cows will drink, then you."
4 The camel-herder said, "Be quiet! You are not better than us."
5 Then the two fought, and people came and separated them from each other. But the camel-herder shot his rifle into the cows, and they panicked.
6 As for the goat-herder, when [or: while] those guys fought, he brought his goats to the water and let (them) drink [lit. until he had let (them) drink].
$7 \quad$ They stayed half a month, and (then) the rain came. And they came together apologizing to one another, and they said, "If only we had been patient, it would have been better for us."
8 As for the goat-herder, he was laughing at them, and he said, "I am better than you. When [or: while] you fought, I brought my goats to the water."
9 And after that time, they were friends. They said, "Patience is better than everything." And their story is finished.

## Text 62 (no J): A Visit with Friends in London

1 yamšīh sayárk ḥōm tawōli bū a íśćrys. (hámmahəm smit w-ađ̣ānəh.)wว-šáy aməwsē, wa-hōh al əgंōrab ḥōram lā.
2 walākan səyárk al-dalōlət. te wáṣalak bark šēra’ t tāt, šxabárk ġayg man hōram. tōli hadalláy ba-wōram țayt ð-əl sē ḥōrəm ðд-ḥáms lā.w-əl wádak lā hē yahōom yaháxawsi, aw hē al yag̀ōrab ḥōram lā.
4 attōli sayárk te wáṣalak wōram ṭayt. kask g gayg ṭāt, wa-šxabárk tah. tōli hadalláy ba-ḥōram, wa-sayárk te wáṣalak abáyt.
5 kask tīham ( ठ-)śxəztīl дə-sēbam tī. wa-hīs śīnam tī, ġabáwri al-xāh ð-abáyt w-amárḥabam bay. tayt."
7 wə-səyūran te wáṣəlan abáyt, wว-kūsən a iśśrhe. w-amárḥəbəm bīn wa-šxabīri, walākan hōh al aḳáwdər l-ag̀táyr anglīž̄yzt lā ar xawr.
8 walākan hēm, man kēt̄ər ð-arḥámtham, yāmarəm, "ḥəwōlan tīk."
9 wa-ftūkən mən abáyt ðákəməh wə-ráddən h-abáthəm, w-akádməm līn 'ayśé. w-ātéśyan, wa-mg̀ōran āmárk, "ḥōm al-syēr h-abáyti."
10 āmūr ag̉áyg, "ənḥāh məhəwṣatūtən tīk h-abátk bark səyārəh." āmárk, "ábdan!" tōli hōh gazámk.
tōli āmūr, "xáybən, syēr bark táksi, w-ənḥāh āmyēla talafōn." wa-’́mlam talafōn, wa-nkōt səyārəh, wว-śalláy bāl səyārəh.
12 te wáṣalak abáyti, wázamk bāl səyārah karáwš. āmūr háyni, "āśarək ber wazmáy karáwš man ḍáyrak."
13 wa-gahēm, wa-hōh д-aftkīrən b-arhōmət ðд-ḥābū alyōmah. man hīs xalákəək, ādial kask aḥād al-hīs tīham lā. wa-təmmōt.

1 hámmahวm smit w-ađ̣ānah: This sentence is absent from the audio, but was added to the Arabic manuscript in the margin. The Roman manuscript has just smit w-að̣ānəh. I do not know to whom Ali was referring, but it was not G. Rex Smith (according to him), Johnstone's colleague, who later prepared $M L$ for publication. wōrəm: The audio has ḥōrəm, but this is a mistake. The indefinite form wōram is required before the following tayt 'one'. The manuscripts have the correct indefinite form wōram, though in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added $h$ above the $w$ of $w \bar{r} r a m$, no doubt because of the audio.

## Translation of Text 62

1 Yesterday I went out, heading to some people, my friends. (Their name was Smith, and his family.) And it was raining, and I didn't know the way.
2 But I went by directions. Then when I got into a certain street, I asked a man about the way.
3 Then he directed me to a certain road that wasn't the road I wanted. And I didn't know (if) he wanted to mislead me, or (if) he didn't know the way.
4 Then I went until I got to another [lit. one] road. I found a man, and I asked him. Then he directed me to the road, and I went until I got to the house.
5 I found they had been sitting waiting for me. And when they saw me, they met me at the door of the house and welcomed me.
6 And I spent the day with them. Then in the late afternoon, the man said, "Let's go (and) we'll show you another [lit. a] house."
$7 \quad$ And we went until we got to the house, and we found his friends. They welcomed me and questioned me, but I couldn't speak English except for a little bit.
8 But they, out of their great kindness, said, "We understand you."
9 And we left that house and went back to their house, and they offered me dinner. We had dinner, and then I said, "I want to go back to my house."
10 The man said, "We will take you to your house in the car." I said, "No way!" Then I swore.
11 Then he said, "Ok, go in a taxi, and we'll make the phone call." And they telephoned, and the taxi came, and the driver took me.
12 Then when I got to my house, I gave the driver money. He said to me, "Your friend gave me money on your behalf."
13 And he left, and I was thinking about the kindness of these people. Since I was born, I never met [lit. found] anyone like them. And it is finished.

5 ( $\quad$-)śxawtill: On the audio Ali read wa-śxawtīl, then stumbled and read, most likely, aś-śxəwlīl. The manuscripts lack $\partial$-.
6 l-āṣar: See the comment to text 12:4.
11 syēr: The Arabic manuscript has the ms imperative syēr 'go!', while the Roman manuscript has syire, which surely is meant to represent the mp future sīyēra (< *sayyēra). Ali stumbled a bit on the audio, but read the mp future sīyēra 'we'll go'. Since Ali travels in the taxi alone in the story, I assume that the imperative was intended.

## Text 63 (= J33, but a variant version): A Visit with Some Jinn

1 xəțərāt ġayg ðə-yдğáwlək. mən yabīt. wə-gəhēm. w-akā̄ xəlḕ mən ḥābū. ḥābū kāl ðə-nśīw mən aḳā đ $\varepsilon$ ह́kวməh.
2 te kaláyni, kafūd ba-wōdi wa-śñi habēr. yahūgas bū, wa-śáff kəyōy.
3 śxəwlūl w-aḳōbal al-habēr al-ḥõ tháwlan. te śanyīsən hawlōh bark ḥawōdi al-ḥák, wa-tabáysan.
4 te man đ̣ār agzé", nūka hāl tēt təḳáyn. tōli āmərūt hah, "hs̄śan hēt mən g̀ayg?" āmūr, "hōh ğayg ð-əğáwlək mən yabīt дə-nzōt. wə-bér háyni āṣari trōh xalē."
5 āmarūt tēt, "yā háayya būk! walākan nahāh bū kayōy, w-al yāgīb b-ansōy lā. walākan hōh ḳaryīta tīk ənxāli həddūt, wa-həəðōr mən taḥtīrək wa-həみдōr man tāṣọṣ! wə-ttōma əl-ḥābū mət nákam. lēzəm ðəkyēra ḥaybátk. wə-nḥāh l-ād nəhəṣáwbəḥ lā, walākan k-sōbəḥ ksōna ḳālēw วś-śxōf hənūk.
7 śxōf, wə-śanyōna yag்ráybi tِrōh. w-ərdéh līhəm b-aḳālēw wə-gahōm! wa-ksōna ḥaybátk."
āmūr, "ý́ys." tع kaláyni kalōh habēr, w-ag̉áyg šakrrōh naxāli haddūt. attōli àmáwr ḥābū, "a đ̣áy д-ənsáy! mən ḥõ ađ̣áy ð-ənsáy?"
9 āmərūt tēt, "kaláyni kask śatráyr b-ak $\bar{a}$, wa-śállak tah. wa-ð-วómlak tah д-ənsáy."
10 tōli ḳarsáwt ḥabrīs te bakōh. āmūr háybah, "kō hē agंiggēn ðд-yabáyk?" āmarūt tēt, "gūya. ḥalēb hah!"
tōli ḥalūb aǵáyg bark ḳālēw te malōt, wa-wzūm attēt. wa-ttēt̄ wazmátəh ag̉áyg.
 tōli kátawțam ḥābū. āmūr ṭāṭ, "śīnək yabīt ansáyyat bark ḥawōdi ðīmah əw-mṣā̉." attōli šxəbərátəh tēt at-təkáyn l-agarē ð-ag̈áyg yahmé. āmərūt, "xáyban, haybīt śīnak tīs ba-xáyr? axáyr man habéryan?" āmūr, "ba-xáyr, wa-bárs tḥōm tháhḳaṭ." attōli tēt t karṣáwt ağáyg. āmarūt həh, "hámak?" āmūr, "hámak."
16 āmarūt, "šakf, te $k$-sōbəḥ ksōna ḥaybátk. wa-ksōna hənūk kālēw. wə-śxōf, wa-mət ber śxafk, śanyōna yaġráybi tِrōh. radéh līham b-akālēw!"

3 al-hák: This word literally means 'inside', but can also be used to mean 'north' or 'upstream'.

## Translation of Text 63

1 Once a man was looking for his camel. And he went. And the region was empty of people. All the people had migrated from that region.
2 Then in the evening, he went down into a valley, and he saw some camels. He thought (they were) people, but it so happened that (they were) spirits.
3 He sat and watched where the camels were heading to. Then he saw them head north [or: inside] into a valley, and he followed them.
4 Then after sunset, he came to a woman who was nursing. She said to him, "What kind of man are you?" He said, "I'm a man looking for a camel that has strayed. And I have been alone [or: hungry] for two nights."
5 The woman said, "Welcome to you! But we are spirit-people, and they don't like humans. But I will hide you under the cradle, but be sure not to move, and be sure not to be afraid!
6 And listen to the people when they come. They will have to mention your camel. We will no longer be here in the morning, but in the morning you'll find a bowl of milk by you.
7 Drink, and you'll see two ravens. Throw the bowl at them and go! And you'll find your camel."
8 He said, "Ok." Then in the evening, the camels came home, and the man hid under the cradle. Then the people said, "The scent of a human! From where is the scent of a human?"
9 The woman said, "This evening I found a rag on the ground, and I picked it up. I think it was a human's."
10 Then she pinched her son and [lit. until] he cried. His father said, "Why is the boy crying?" The woman said, "He's hungry, milk for him!"
Then he milked into a bowl until it was full, and he gave (it) to the woman. And the woman gave it to the man.
12 Then at night, the people milked. And the woman said to her husband, "Milk a lot! I've come back hungry [lit. I came having become hungry]." And the man milked, and she gave it to the man who was under the cradle. Then the people chatted. One man said, "I saw a human camel in this valley to the south." Then the woman who was nursing questioned him so that the man would hear.
14 She said, "Ok, is the camel that you saw well? Better than our camels?"
15 He said, "It is well, and it is about to give birth." Then the woman pinched the man. She said to him, "Did you hear?" He said, "I heard."
16 She said, "Go to sleep, and in the morning you'll find your camel. You'll find a bowl by you. Drink, and after you have drunk, you'll see two ravens. Throw the bowl at them!"

17 wa-šวwkūf ag̉áyg. tะ $k$-sōbaḥ kūsa aśxōf. śxāf wz-śīni yaġráybi trōh. wa-rdōh līham b-akālēw wa-gahēm.
18 te kūsa ḥaybátəh ðə-haḳtáwt, wə-šīs faráyṣ̣. wə-gahēm təwōli sékənəh. wa-tammōt.

17 And the man went to sleep. Then in the morning, he found the milk. He drank and saw two ravens. He threw the bowl at them and he left.
18 Then he found his camel had given birth, and it had a she-calf. And he went back to his settlement. And it is finished.

## Text 64 (= J25, but a variant version): Kadet

1 xaṭərāt ġayg yāmaram hah kādēt, wa-hē bárah maśhīr man šagēt wamaddēt wa-labbūd. te nahōr ṭayt həwrūd habérhe đ̣ār mōh, wə-kūsa bū đ̣ār ḥamōh.
2 tōli āmáwr həh, "al tháwrəd həbérke lā!" āmūr, "həbérye məḳaṭáwtən mən halákt. wa-táwwakam ar tsmēḥam lay!"
3 āmáwr, "ábdan! al thawrūd lā ar wa-thōm ba-kawwēt!" āmūr, "ábdan!" tōli hawré habérhe.
attōli anhaybūb habēr. astōmi kādēt. āmūr, "tənákdən lay, hām həwrēk tīsən man đ̣ār ḥəmōh." attōli hawrūd. yadūrəm țayt mən habérhe. wa-fárr kādēt wa-xxōbəṭ aməndáwkah, wə-wbūd ag̉áyg əð-dərūm haybátəh, wə-wวtġáyh. wa-mánam təh trōh, wə-kādēt šah janbáyyat, wə-ṭān ṭāt mənhēm, wə-ṭāt maṣwīb. wa-káwla habérhe (t)tåkḳən te ráywi. w-āṭáwf te nūka aḍānəh,
 tōli kalūt līham, w-ātáwf te bárham rēhak b-anágd, mən hāl xaṣámhe al yagárbam lā.
məśhīr: ML (s.v. śhr) has məśháyr, but the Roman manuscript of this text and the audio have maśhir. makaṭáwtan: The audio has makoṭáwt, though Ali seemed to hesitate with his reading of this word. The manuscripts have makoṭáwtan, which must be a fp passive participle. If the cp future of the T2-Stem aktōta was intended, then we would expect maktaṭáwtan.
tənákdən: We expect tənákdən here, but the audio seems to have tənákdən. Perhaps the vowel is colored by the following glottalic. On á >áafter a glottalic, see § 2.2.1. ted himself to jənbáyyzt.

## Translation of Text 64

1 Once there was a man named [lit. they called him] Kadet, and he was famous for bravery, generosity, and marksmanship. Then one day he took his camels down to water, but he found some people by the water.
2 Then they said to him, "Don't bring your camels down!" He said, "My camels are exhausted from thirst. So you really ought to allow me!"
3 They said, "No way! Don't bring (them) down unless you want (to do so) by force!" He said, "No way!" Then he held back his camels.
4 Then the camels lowed. Kadet shouted his tribal war-cry. He said, "They get annoyed with me if I hold them back from the water." Then he brought (them) down.
5 And after they were by the water, the man who had been refusing access to the water came. He had a sword. He hamstrung one of his camels. And Kadet jumped up and cocked his rifle, and he shot the man who hamstrung his camel, and he killed him.
6 And two (men) grabbed him, but Kadet had a dagger, and he stabbed one of them, and one was wounded. And he let his camels drink until they had their fill. And he hurried off until he got to his family, his wife and his old father. And his wife was nursing a boy.
$7 \quad$ Then he told them, and they hurried off until they were far away in the Najd, to a place where his enemies didn't know.
8 They stayed. And the camels didn't have milk, and Kadet didn't yet dare to go down to town.
9 And their food was only from wild game. He would stalk ibexes, and every night he would bring one in. He had a flintlock, but he had nearly used up the ammunition. So he would grind saltpeter and make it into gunpowder.
10 And he had a brother-in-law. He would come to them and go [i.e., come back and forth]. Then after a long time, his brother-in-law went until he got to Kadet's enemies. He said, "If you want to pay me, I will lead you to Kadet."
11 They said, "We'll give you two hundred dollars if you lead us to him and
fect ráywi), but the manuscripts have te tarwēn (تا تروين) 'so they could drink their fill' (with 3 fp subjunctive tarwēn). Both possibilities fit the context and are grammatically correct. On these uses of $t \varepsilon$, see $\S 13.5 .2$ and § 13.5.3.2.
9 bālīt akāma: Johnstone ( $M L$ and $J L$, s.v. $k m^{\prime}$ ) translates this as 'flintlock', but this may not be the correct term, since kāma means 'percussion cap', a feature lacking on a flintlock. Still, it clearly refers to an older type of rifle.
tah." āmūr, "his-táw!" wə-gəhēməm ts nákam karmáym mən hāl kādēt yasūkən.

$$
15
$$ falān, ağāš, w-al hēm xaṣáwm lā. walākan yámkan xaláws mənīn."

16 āmərūt tēt, "ōmər hūk, ḥəððōr ba-həəáfk! aġōrəb ağāy hōh: sōḳəṭ wəyadlōm."
17 āmūr kādēt, "hās̄ē al-háymi! al ḥayrámhe alyōməh lā. ðək ar hēt thað̣náyni."
18 wə-kādēt al wátxəf šah zōnət lā ar məxbāt ṭāt. ādhəm la-wṭákəməh, ḳəfáwd līhəm aġayūg mən amərkáyt ð-aṣáyga. wə-ġərbīhəm ðə-hēm xəṣámhe. attōli āwīð bīham, walākan al šawrēm lā.
19 wə-wbūd hā̄wəláy wə-hagārēh. wəlākan təmūm amxáwbəṭ. wə-ṭān amtálli, wə-hīs məxāḳ ajanbáyyat mən agáwf д-ağáyg, mátxəḳ šah aḳōn, w-anṣalāt bəkyōt bərk agáwf ð-ag̉áyg. śaff anṣalāt məġazzōt.
 w-atáf̣̣ māyar.
21 ber lūtəg่ trōh, w-ādhəm ərbōt. bagáwdəh. əttōli l-ād ḳadūr yaháflət lā. sayūr tع b-agōbi ð-akəṣṣāt. gār w-áymal ḥənáfah ber mōt.
22 tōli śīnəm tah aġəyūg w-āmáwr, "naḥōm ṭāt t manīn yankēh wa-yardéh bah mən akəsṣāt." āmūr ṭāt, "hōh ḥōm l-ərdéh bah!"
23 sayūr te nūka hāl kādēt. hīs wīṣal, farr kādēt wa-yaġfáfah man fawm, wa-yahháyw bah man agōt. wa-hē hawōh šah, wa-mōtam.

23 yəg̈fáfah:The Arabic manuscript suggests yaǵfáfəa $h$, a 3 ms imperfect plus a 3ms object, while the Roman manuscript has $\dot{g} a f f e \bar{h}$ (transcribed as $\dot{g}$ affēh in ML, s.v. $\dot{g} f f$ ), the 3 ms perfect plus a 3 ms object. Either fits the context. On the audio, Ali read a totally different verb, which sounds like xaśfèh 'he pierced him'.
23 yahháyw: The manuscripts have the 3ms imperfect yahháyw. Ali stumbled on the audio, but ultimately read the 3 ms perfect $(h) h \partial w o ̄ h$. Either form fits the context. I assume that the idiom (h)hawōh b- 'throw down' is from the H-Stem (h)hawōh 'make fall', not the G-Stem hawōh 'fall'. This and the other attested forms ( $20: 65 ; 75: 23 ; 76: 15 ; 88: 8,11$ ) could be from either stem, since the only difference between the stems (in the attested
we kill him." He said, "Ok!" And they went until they got to the mountains where Kadet was living.
And Kadet was stalking ibexes. Then he found his brother-in-law's tracks. He recognized his brother-in-law's tracks, but the rest he didn't recognize. But he wasn't afraid when he found his brother-in-law's tracks. Then in the evening, he came to his family. He said to his wife, "Has anyone come to you?"
14 The woman said, "No, why?" He said, "I found your brother's tracks. And there were men with him, but I didn't recognize them."
She said, "Watch out for yourself! These are enemies." He said, "No way, so-and-so, your brother, is with them, so they aren't enemies. But maybe they couldn't find us."
16 The woman said, "I am telling you, watch out for yourself! I know my own brother: vile and greedy."
17 Kadet said, "Far be it from my brother-and-law! These aren't his ways. It's just that you're suspicious [or: imagining (things)]."
18 And Kadet by the evening had no ammunition except one cartridge. While they were like this, the men came down to them from the corner of the shelter. And he recognized that they were his enemies. Then he warned them, but they didn't back off.
19 And he shot the first one and felled him. But he used up the cartridges. And he stabbed the second one, but when he pulled out the dagger from the man's chest, the hilt came out, but the blade stayed in the man's chest. It turned out the blade was loose.

20 Kadet ran away. One (of the men) shot at him, and he was wounded in the leg. And he ran away to the mountain-side, and the mountain-side was steep [or: rough].
21 He had already killed two, and there were still four. They chased him. Then he couldn't run away any more. He went up to the ledge of the cliff. He fell and pretended he had died.
22 Then the men saw him and said, "One of us should go up to him and throw him off the cliff." One said, "I want to throw him off!"
23 He went until he got to Kadet. When he got there, Kadet jumped up and lifted him by the legs, and he threw him off of the ledge. He fell with him, and they died.
forms) would be a geminate $h$ that is barely distinguishable from single $h$ (e.g., G yaháyw vs. H yahháyw), if distinguished at all. An H-Stem causative fits the meaning, and causatives that require $b$ - before an object are not unknown (see §8.2).

24 wa-ráddam śātáyt tawōli ađ̣ān. látġam hēxər, hayb ðə-kādēt, wว-látġəm ḥabráh man haddūt.
$\bar{a} m ə r u ̄ t ~ h i ̄ h \partial m ~ a t t e ̄ t, ~ " ə l ~ w a ́ g a b k a m ~ l a ̄ ~ t a w t e ̄ g ̉ \partial m ~ m ə k ̣ n a ́ y w ~ m a n ~ t o ̄ d i!~ ð o ̄ m ə h ~$ al hē šáğal d-akabōyal lā."
26 āmáwr, "ḩabrē ðว-dəsōs yəkūn dəsōs." wə-wtáwġəh. w-āzáwm yaghīm. attōli āmarūt hīham attēt, "al wágabkam (t)syēram wa-taklēm amaláwtəg waṭōmah lā! wa-hōh tēt waḥśáy. hētəmam, wa-shayēṭa yabūt. wa-nhōom nadfēn amaláwtag. $w$-atēm ber látġəkəm śōx w-akənnáwn. l-ād ar hōh, tēt, w-akāa xalè man ḥābū. wa-gēhamah gahmīta šīkam tawōli harbātys."
28 āmūrt ṭāt, "wōgab līn ankbbēr amaláwtəġ, wə-nhētəm hāl tēt.". w-ag̀ās ðд-kabūn đ̣ār aṣ́áyga.
29 hīs bárhəm śxəwlūl, ṣākōt əttēt ag̉ās. āmərūt, "hōh ðд-ġərábk tīk ḍār aṣáyga. wa-hām thámay, kafēd līn əw-bōh! kādēt ber əwtēg̀, wa-háybah ber awtēg,

 ber əð-šawkîf, thūrək məndáwḳ wə-təlūtəg ag̀ās. mən amkōnəh, ðว-l-əwbádah. hōh látġək ag̀āy, w-əl hīkəm ḥaḳk lā."
 hā $b \overline{b u} t \varepsilon$ đ̛ār aməláwtəğ. ḳabáwrhวm.
w-aġayūg śātáyt, hīs attēt ber ġaṣabátham amandáwḳham wa-bārōt man đ̛áyrhəm, ffalìt.
wa-hābū nákam đ̣ār amaláwtəg anhōr xəwfit, wa-ḳəbáwrham. wa-kādēt wə-téṫəh, kəwtéthəm gádət, wa-háym əð-kādēt, kəwtétəh ḳamht. wa-təmmōt.

25 məknáyw: The manuscripts have məknáyw 'baby', but Ali stumbled on the audio and read koznáwn 'child'.

24 And the three went back to the family. They killed the old man, Kadet's father, and they killed his son in the cradle.
The woman said to them, "You shouldn't kill a baby at the breast! This is not the way of the tribes."
26 They said, "The son of a snake will be a snake." And they killed him. And they decided to leave. Then the woman said to them, "You shouldn't go and leave the dead like this! I am a woman by myself. Spend the night, and we'll slaughter a camel. And let's bury the dead.
27 You have already killed an adult and a child. Only I am left, a woman, and the area is empty of people. Tomorrow I'll go with you to my tribesmen."
28 One said, "We should bury the dead, and spend the night with the woman." And her brother had been hiding above the shelter.
29 After they sat down, the woman called to her brother. She said, "I know you are above the shelter. And if you hear me, come down here to us! Kadet has already been killed, his father has been killed, and my son who was at the breast has been killed. And only I am left, a woman, so come down here!"
30 The man came down and [lit. until] he came to the men and his sister, and they passed the evening. Then at night, when the men had fallen asleep, she stole a rifle and killed her brother.
31 The men jumped up, intending to grab the woman. She swore, "Any one of you who moves from his place, I will shoot him. I killed my brother, and you have no right."
32 And she left. Then when she got to a certain settlement far away, she told them. They wept, and the people went to help the dead. They buried them.
And the three men, after the woman had taken their rifle and left them, they fled.
34 And the people came to the dead the next day, and they buried them.
35 Kadet and his wife, their story is good, but Kadet's brother-in-law, his story is bad. And it is finished.

## Text 65 (= J18, with slight variations): Ba Newas and the Old Lady

1 xəṭərāt bā nəwās ðə-yaghōm, yahōm yakfēed arḥəbēt. wə-káwla aḍānəh man ġayr ḳawt. wa-hē al šah śí lā l-agarē ðว-yaśtōm maṣráwf h-aḍānah. tє wīṣal đ̛ar məḳəbrēt, kūsa ḥābū ðə-yวḳábrəm āgáwz. śxəwlūl ðə-yəftkīrən. al wīda hēśan man mahrēt yāmōl lā. mət ḥābū šawgis, l-ənkēs al-āgáwz ðə-mtōt." śxəwtūl bā nəwās tع hābū šzwgiś. nəkūś al-āgáwz wa-kəláys bərk aṣbáğtəh. wa-śallīs wa-gahēm, yaḥōm h-arḥabēt.
wa-sayūr atté wīṣal karáyb l-arḥabēt. káwla āgáwz, wa-ffḳōh līs b-aṣbáğtah, wa-nūka arḥəbēt. hēm ḥābū alyēk ðə-yawákbam bark abáyt ðayk?" āmūr, "ḥəbrē ðд-tōgər məráyṣ́, wə-ḥābū ðə-yวṭáwf lah. wz-ḥ́bhe ðдyašxabīr, "hām วḥād yaḡōrab śt̄, yadáwyzh." āmūr bā nawās, "hōh šay hāmáy āgáwz wa-təḡōrəb kāl mərēṣ."
 tagarēt. wazyēma tīk alhān thōm." attōli sīrōh atté ankōh hāl hayb ð-ag̈̄̄gēn amaráyṣ́.
9 āmūr ağáyg ðə-nūka kə-bā nəwās, "aġáyg ðōməh šah hāmáh āgáwz, wətaġōrab kāl mərēṣ." āmūr tōgar, "ḥõ sē?" āmūr bā nawās, "kálak tīs sār abyūt alyēk ðд-šawkfūt. wa-hām tháyməs, háxṣab gūri trōh yankēm bīs." attōli xxaṣáwb gūri țrōh yankēm bīs. attōli sīrōh ḥāgərōn. te nákam hāl āgáwz, hāśşşas. tōli l-ād āśśūt lā. raddōh təwōli ḥābū. āmáwr, "āgáwz āśśūt lā."

2 məhrēt: This word is not in ML, but must be related to məháyr 'clever' and məhōrot 'cleverness, skill' (< Arabic mahārat). It is equivalent in meaning to Jibbali hailt 'trick', used in line 3 of the Jibbali parallel version (J18:3). The form mathnēt in Stroomer's edition is an error. The manuscripts and audio clearly have mahrēt. Al-Aidaroos (1996:44) includes the word mahrēt 'job', and gives the Hadrami Arabic equivalent mihreh.

## Translation of Text 65

1 Once Ba Newas was going, intending to go down to the town. And he left his family without food. He didn't have anything (with which) to buy supplies for his family.
2 Then when he reached a graveyard, he found people burying an old woman. He sat down thinking. He didn't know what kind of trick he might do.
3 And he didn't have anything, if he went down to the town. Then he thought up a wicked idea. He said, "I should, when the people leave, dig up the old woman who died."
4 He stayed until the people left. He dug up the old woman, and put her into his robe. And he took her and went, heading for the town.
5 And he went until he got close to the town. He left the old woman, covered her with his robe, and he went into the town.
6 Then he saw many people going into a rich man's house. Then he asked a man, he said, "Why are those people going into that house?"
7 The man, "The rich man's son is sick, and people are visiting him. And his parents are asking, 'If anyone knows anything, he should treat him'." Ba Newas said, "I have an old mother, and she knows about every illness."
8 The man said, "Let's go, I'll show you the sick boy's father. The people are rich. They will give you whatever you want." Then they went until they got to the father of the sick boy.
9 The man who came with Ba Newas said, "This man has an old mother, and she knows about every illness." The rich man said, "Where is she?" Ba Newas said, "I left her behind those houses sleeping. If you want her, send two slaves to bring her."
10 Then he sent two slaves to bring her. The slaves went. When they came to the old woman, they roused her. Then she didn't wake up at all. They returned to the people. They said, "The old woman didn't wake up."

3 fékar: This word is not in ML, though its meaning is obvious both from the context and based on the common T2-Stem verb aftkūr. We can probably also compare Arabic fikr 'thought, idea'.
$3 \quad l$-ənkēs: On the verb nakūś, see the comment to text 37:13.

11 āmūr bā nəwās, "ðək tkūn təġtūrik-agənnáwnse, wə-hām təġtūrikagənnáwnse, tāśūś lā, ar wə-səbṭāt bə-xəṭrāk ṭáwri t trōh. wə-syērəm wa-sabēṭəm tīs ṭáwri tēōh, walākan ḥəðдīr mən tawtēg̉am ḥāmáy!" āmáwr ḥāgərōn, "yと́ys." wa-sīrōh ṭawr amšēg̀ $\partial r$. te nákam hənīs, sabṭáys ṭáwri trōh. attōliftaḳhōt fáḳ̣i.
rəddōh ḥāgərōn ðд-yabákyəm. āmūr bā nəwās, "hēśən gərōh? ād tākām látġəkəm ḥāmáy?" āmáwr, "āgáwz mətōt!" bəkōh bā nəwās, āmūr, "yā hāamáy, yā ḥāmáy!" tōli āmūr tōgar, "ðōməh śı̄ makáddər. wa-nhāh ḳaṣ́yēya tīk ba-ḥāmēk. wazyēma tīk hā āgarōn ðว-lótġam tīs." āmūr, "ḥōm lā. al mašīkaṣ́ ba-ḥāmáy garōn lā!" $\bar{a} d h \partial m ~ l a-w t ̣ a ́ k a m ə h, ~ s s a d i ̄ d ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ h a-b a ̄ ~ n a w a ̄ s ~ b a-s ́ a l a ̄ t a ́ y n ~ a l f ~ w a-~$ ḥamáwlat ðə-xáymah rīkōb ḳawt. wa-sayūr təwōli a đ̣ānah bárəh tōgar. wa-təmmōt agaráymət ðว-bā nawās.
təə̇tūri: It is likely that $t \not \partial \dot{g} t u ̄ r i ~(l i k e ~ ð ə-y z h a ́ m a m ~ i n ~ 100: 2, ~ w h i c h ~ a l s o ~ f o l-~$ lows the auxiliary yakūn) has an underlying prefixed $\partial$-, which is suppressed because of the prefix $t$ - (see § 7.1.1o.1).
agannáwnse: ML (s.v. gnn) lists a plural gənnáwni. In the Arabic manuscript, Ali spelled this word with a final يس, (for both occurrences), suggesting an ending -isc. The Roman manuscript also has -isc. However, the audio clearly has gannáwnse, with no $i$. Cf. also text 37:7.
sīrōh: The manuscripts have 3mp sayáwr, though the transcription sīrō was added later to the Roman manuscript. The audio has 3md sïrōh. Either form works here.
məḳáddər: This is Arabic mukáddar 'preordained, predestined decree'.

11 Ba Newas said, "It's just that she is conversing with her jinns, and if she is conversing with her jinns, she won't wake up unless she is hit with a stick two times. Go, hit her two times, but be careful you don't kill my mother!"
12 The slaves said, "Ok." And they went a second time. Then when they reached her, they hit her twice. Then she broke in half.
13 The slaves came back crying. Ba Newas said, "What happened? Have you perhaps killed my mother?" The slaves said, "The old woman died!" Ba Newas cried, and said, "Oh my mother, my mother!"
14 Then the rich man said, "This is something preordained. We will compensate you for your mother. We will give you the slaves who killed her." He said, "I don't want (that). I will not accept slaves as compensation (bloodpayment) for my mother!"
15 While they were like this, the people got (them) to agree that he would get [lit. for him (was)] thirty thousand (dollars) and five camel-loads of food. And he went back to his family already a rich man. And (the story of) Ba Newas's crime is finished.

## Text 66 (no J): The Just Ruler

1 xaṭəāt ḥōkəm ba-rḥabēt. te nəhōr ṭayt, āmūr h-aktábthe, "ktēbəm háyni kāl śīyən əд-wīḳa b-arḥōyab ð-əḥว́kamsən." attōli ktawb ḥayūm w-āṣáwr, w-awáṣfam ba-rḥəbēt ṭayt ðд-hēm təgərēt đ̣วláwm ḥābū.
2 tōgar ðə-ksəwēt yowūzəm ḥābū aðérə' kōọər, wə-ttōgər ð-āyś yawūzəm ḥābū mīzūn ḳōṣar. tōli g gátyaḍ ḥōkəm, wə-ṣāk awzáyrah w-āmūr, "ḥəmōh al-sīrōh arḥabēt al-falāníyya. hámak ðə-hēm tagēr ðə-bīs đ̛əláwm ḥābū, wa-ḥōm l- $\bar{\varepsilon} d \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ṣaṭk aw bēdi."
3 āmūr awzīr, "y $y$ ye, walākan hamōh al-sīrōh ba-l-xəfēe." tōli sīrōh ḥōkam w-awzáyrah. te nákam arḥəbēt, wəkabōh hāl bāl aksəwēt. āmūr ḥōkəm, "āzémi śātáyt ðəré?""
4 wa-wazmáh śātáyt ðəré, xass man að́́rə’ ðд-kəənnáwn. attōli sīrōh təwōli bāl āyś, w-āmūr, "āzémi myēt kīlo ð-ayś!" wə-wazmáh waḳōna arbōt kīlo. tōli səyūr təwōli ēmīr ð-arḥəbēt, w-āmūr həh, "g̉วdéwwən, wa-ṣōt šūk āskēr!" ðд-fanōhan al wákam mandīl ðə-kəənáwn lā." tōli wazmáh, walākan al-hīs fanōhən.
6 attōli āmūr h-āskēr, "s̄īnkəm?" āmáwr, "şīnən." āmūr ḥōkəm, "akwīnam

7 tōli aḳiñəm að́́rəh b-aðદ́rə’ ð-aksəwēt. tōli āmáwr, "aðérəh aṭwāl mən aðદ́rə’ ð-aksəwēt." əttōli āmūr ḥōkวm, "āšēməт azōyad ðə-zəyūd mən að́̌rə’ ð-aksəwēt!"

1 aktábthe: $M L(k t b)$ lists the noun kōtab 'clerk', but no plural form. Presumably, aktábthe is from a plural ktáwbot (cf. ḥōkəm 'ruler', pl. ḥkáwmət).
1 д-əḥákəmsən: On the audio, Ali stumbled and read the ics perfect ḥkámk tīsan 'that I ruled', though the manuscripts have the ics imperfect ahákamsan 'that I rule'. (The Roman manuscript actually has aḥákamsən.)
$3 b a-l-x \partial f \vec{e}$ : This is Arabic $b i-l-x a f \vec{a} \bar{\prime}$ (or fil-xafäa), with the Arabic definite article $l$.
4 xass mən að́́rə’ ðə-kฺпnáwn: This phrase is difficult, in part because the manuscript and audio evidence is unclear. First, xass 'less' is missing from the audio, though it is in the manuscripts. Second, it is unclear if the phrase following mən is aðérə’ (sg.), ðə-kənnáwn (sg.), aðərย́ (pl.) ðдkənyáwn (pl.), or some combination of singular and plural forms; the spellings in the Arabic manuscript suggest aðəré' (pl.) and ḳənnáwn (sg.).

## Translation of Text 66

1 Once there was a ruler in a town. Then one day, he said to his clerks, "Write down for me everything that happens in the towns that I rule." So they wrote day and night, and they described one town where the merchants were unjust to the people.
2 A cloth merchant would give the people a short cubit, and the rice merchant would give the people a short measure. Then the ruler got angry, and he called his vizier and said, "Let's go to such-and-such town. I heard that the merchants who are in it are unjust to the people, and I want to know if it's the truth or a lie."
3 The vizier said, "Ok, but let's go in disguise." Then the ruler and his vizier went. When they got to the town, they went in to the cloth merchant. The ruler said, "Give me three cubits!"
4 And he gave him three cubits, minus a child-size cubit. Then they went to the rice merchant, and he said, "Give me a hundred kilos of rice!" And he gave him about four kilos (less). Then he went to the Emir of the town, and he said to him, "Come on, and bring soldiers with you!"
5 And they went to the cloth merchant. He said to him, "Give me three cubits. The three cubits from earlier did not even make [lit. become] a child's kerchief." Then he gave him, but as before.
6 Then he said to the soldiers, "Did you see?" They said, "We saw." The ruler said, "Measure his forearm, and the cubit that he measures with for the people, and see!"
7 Then they measured his forearm against the cubit for the cloth. Then they said, "His forearm is longer than the cubit for the cloth." Then the ruler said, "Cut off the surplus (of his arm) that is more than the cubit for the cloth!"

Ali stumbled with both words on the audio. The precise meaning of the phrase is also unclear. Since the basic meaning of đéra' (Arabic đirā̄) is 'forearm', the literal meaning is most likely '(three cubits) minus the (length of) a child's forearm', i.e., 'a little less than three cubits'. Or, if xass should be omitted, a more literal translation would be '(three cubits) from the forearm (size) of a child [i.e., a shorter cubit than normal]'. yaðōra: This must be the imperfect of a verb ðūra, related to the noun ð́́rə' 'forearm; cubit', though it is not in ML. It is no doubt borrowed from Arabic ðara'a 'measure'.
w-āšáwm kāl az-zəyūd man ḥáydəh. wə-səyūr tawōli bāl āyś. āmūr hah, "āzémi myēt kïlo!" wa-wazmáh waḳōna rabōt kīlo. āmūr, "alyōmah myēt kīlo." attōli āmūr ḥōkam h-āskēr, "akáfyวm wa-nkēm tī ba-kīlo man aməḥkəmēt!" wə-səyáwr wə-nákam bə-kīlo.
w-āmūr, "kalēm kīlo ðə-ttōgar bark keff tāt,, wa-kīlo ð-amaḥkamēt bark keff ṭāt!"' tōli ’ámlam awṭákəmah, wa-kūsam kīlo ðว-ttōgar ḳaṣáwr waḳōna śhalīt rabōye. āmáwr āskēr, "kaṣáwr śhalīt rabōyc."
āmūr ḥōkəm, "ḳaṣāṣam man abdēnah wa-kalēm man táwyah đ̣ār kīlo te yākấ al-hīs kīlo ð-aməḥkวmēt." wə- ‘ómlam əwṭákaməh. wə-mən đ̣ār xaṭəāt ðákəməh, yáṣṣam tagēr kāl, wa-l- $\bar{d} d ~ a h ̣ a ̄ d ~ đ ̣ a l u ̄ m ~ a h ̣ a ̄ d ~ l a ̄ . ~ w ə-t ə m m o ̄ t . ~$
$k \bar{a} l \partial z-z \partial y \bar{u} d:$ The Arabic manuscript has $k \bar{a} l$ zəyūd (as usual, with no indication of $\partial z-<\partial \partial-$ ), while the Roman manuscript has kall zayūd, with $z \bar{o} y \partial d$ written in the margin above zayūd. The audio has $k \bar{a} l a z-z \bar{o} y \partial d$, though Ali stumbled on the reading. Stroomer has kāl zōyad ðд-zəyūd, using the phrase from the previous line. I suggest that the manuscripts (ignoring the marginal correction to the Roman manuscript) are correct. The noun zōyad does not fit the context, since 'all the surplus' should be azōyad kāl, not kāl zōyad (see §5.5•3). amaḥkamēt: The noun maḥkamēt 'court' is absent from ML. It is clearly from Arabic maḥkamat.
$9 \quad k \varepsilon f f: M L$ (s.v. $k f f$ ) defines this word only as 'palm of the hand' (its common Semitic meaning), but it can also refer to a pan on a balance scale (as Arabic kaffa also can).

8 And they cut off from his hand all that was more. And he went to the rice merchant. He said to him, "Give me a hundred kilos!" And he gave him about four kilos (less). He said, "This is a hundred kilos." Then the ruler said to the soldiers, "Go back and bring me a kilo from the court!" And they went and brought a kilo.
9 And he said, "Put the merchant's kilo on one side (of a scale), and the court's kilo on one side!" Then they did so, and they found the merchant's kilo fell short, at about three-quarters. The soldiers said, "It fell short at three-quarters."
10 The ruler said, "Cut (pieces) from his body, and put from his flesh onto the kilo until it is like the court's kilo." And they did so. After that time, all the merchants were afraid, and no one was unjust to anyone again. And it is finished.

## Text 67 (no J): The Kind Ruler

1 xəṭərāt ḥōkəm raḥáym, wə-‘ayūś zabōn ṭəwáyl. w-anyétəh ba-ḥəyćtəh yaḥkēm ḥābū ba-ṭəyōb wa-mād. hē yaḥbūb ḥābū wīyan, wa-sōbar yaftkīran hēśan yaḳdēr yāmōl ḥābū aréḥtham.
2 te nəhōr țayt ṣāk. awzáyrəh wə-šxəbəráh. āmūr, "hēśzn əḳáwdər l-āmōl ḥābū aréhtham?" awzáyrəh ðə-wkáwf. attōli āmūr hah, "ənké əw-bōh!

$3 \bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a w z \bar{r}$, "hēt 'ámlak hābū mēkən reḥt. wa-ḥābū āgzēt. hām kūsam śı ðд-yạ̣təwūg xədmēt, al yaxádmam tah lā." āmūr ḥōkəm, "hēśan amānēk hīs təðmūm ḥābū?"
āmūr awzīr, "hām al šasdáḳək tī lā, ġadéwwan, wa-mahissan tīk!" tōli sīrōh. tع bərk āmk əð-ḥōrəm, səyūr awzīr w-aḳálad ba-ṣāwar nōb te bark āmk. əð-ḥōram. āmūr ḥōkəm, "kō hēt waṭákaməh?"
āmūr, "śné! al ạ̣ād ḥərfōna tīs lā." āmūr ḥōkəm, "bōdək! hōh ðə-g̉arábk ḥəyálla ṭāt ðə-yənké’ báwmah ḥərfōna tīs." āmūr awzīr, "xáybən. hām hēt ðə-šāsárk ḥābū, kalé’ nəxāli aṣāwวr ðīməh hadáyyat! wa-kāl mənhēm ðə-ḥarfis ksōna hadáyyat." tōli hftūk ḥōkəm ġayrōrət, wa-barkīs gáwharət. wa-kəláys bark daḥlīl дaṣāwar. wə-ktūb bərk warḳāt, "kāl ðə-ḥərūf aṣāwər ðīməh mən ḥōrəm, hah agáwharat."
7 tōli āmūr awzīr, "ġadéwwən! wə-man đ̣ār warx, ksiyē aṣāwər ðīməh b-amkōnəs." sīrōh. te mən đ̣ār warx, kūsəm aṣāwar b-amkōnəs. attōli axxṣáwb al-ḥābū.

3 mēkan reḩt: Since mēkan normally follows the noun that it modifies, it is possible that mēkzn modifies $h \bar{a} b \bar{u}$, in which case the translation would be 'you have given many people happiness'. The fact that $h \bar{a} b \bar{u}$ is definite does not disqualify it from connection with mēkan; even though mékan
 Johnstone's own translation has 'much happiness', which appears to fit the context better. $x a d m \bar{e} t$ : The Arabic manuscript has $h$-xədmēt, but this is probably an error. Cf. line 9, where the Arabic manuscript has just xadmēt.
4 akálad: Johnstone transcribed this verb akālad in the Roman manuscript (and akálad in line 8), but ML (s.v. $k^{〔} l d$ ), and hence Stroomer's edition, have $a k \underset{a}{a} l \partial d$. The audio clearly has $a$ in the final syllable (also in line 8), which derives from an underlying form *akál'วd (root $k l^{\prime} d$ ), rather than **akálad (root $k{ }^{\prime} l d$ ). The imperfect yakaláwd given in $M L$ must derive

## Translation of Text 67

1 Once there was a kind ruler, and he lived a long time. His goal in his life was that he rule the people with goodness and intelligence. He loved the people very much, and he always was thinking what could make the people happy [lit. make the people their happiness].
2 Then one day he called his vizier and questioned him. He said, "What can I do to make the people happy?" His vizier was silent. Then he said to him, "Come here! Speak, don't be afraid! I want to know what the people think about my rule."
3 The vizier said, "You give [lit. make] the people much happiness. But the people are lazy. If they find something that needs work, they don't work at it." The ruler said, "What is your intention when you insult the people?"
4 The vizier said, "If you don't believe me, let's go, I'll show you!" Then they went. Then in the middle of the road, the vizier went and rolled a big rock into the middle of the road. The ruler said, "Why did you (do) this?"
5 He said, "Look! No one will move it." The ruler said, "You lie! I know that whoever comes here will move it." The vizier said, "Fine. If you love the people, leave a gift under this rock! And whichever of them moves it will find the gift."
6 Then the ruler took out a pouch, and in it was a jewel. And he put it into a hole in the rock. And he wrote on a piece of paper, "Whoever moves this rock from the road, the jewel is for him."
$7 \quad$ Then the vizier said, "Let's go! In a month, you'll find this rock (still) in its place." They went. Then after a month, they found the rock in its (same) place. Then he sent for the people.
from *yakalud. Having the liquid $l$ in second root position, rather than third, is typical for a quadriliteral verb (§6.6.1).
5 ḩəyálla: On the audio, Ali stumbled and read hām ṭāt 'if someone' for ḥyyálla ṭāt 'whoever'. In the Arabic manuscript, it looks like Ali originally wrote ḥyyálla, crossed it out and wrote $h \bar{a} m$, and then crossed that out and wrote ḥəyálla again. The Roman manuscript has ḥəyálla, with hām added in the margin. This is the only attested example of the word hayálla in all the texts. For more on this word, see § 3.5.6. gáwhərət: For the first occurrence of this word in this line, Ali read the plural gawōhar 'jewels', though the Arabic manuscript has gáwhərət. For the second occurrence, he stumbled, first reading gawōhar, but then correcting himself to gáwharət.

8 te nákam tah, āmūr hīhəm, "wə-kōh al ṭāt mankēm yaḥáwraf aṣāwər ðīməh mən amkōnəs? walākan hōh ḥərfōna tīs, w-alhān kask nəxāss hē ðд-hōh." w-akálad b-aṣāwar wə-hftūk aġayrōrət ðə-bərkīs agáwhərət. wə-śśənyīs tīham.
9 tōli ġəráwb kāl śīyan kállah, wa-hām śīnəm śı ðə-yahtəwūg xədmēt, yaxádmam tah. wa-tammōt.

8 When they got to him, he said to them, "Why didn't one of you move this rock from its place? Now I will move it, and whatever I find under it is mine." And he rolled the rock and took out the pouch that the jewel was in. And he showed it to them.
9 Then they realized everything, and (now) if they see something that needs work, they work at it. And it is finished.

## Text 68 (= J19 [unpublished], but missing the last few lines; based on Müller 1907: 59-63 = Bittner 1917: 16-23): The Deceitful One and the Honest One

1 hēm trrōh ðд-yasīrōh fáxra, ṭāt xōyan wa-ṭāt akāābh ṣōfi.
2 hīs bárham ba-ḥōrəm, kūsəm maṣār ðə-mīla dərēham. wa-sayáwr mən halákamah.
3 te wóṣalam bayr, āmūr ṭāṭ h-ağāh, "kafēd wa-ġərēf līn bark haənid mōh!" kəfūd bark abáyr, wa-ġərūf hanfáyham mōh bark hanīd ðékamah. ag̉áyg əว̣-ð̣ār abáyr dəlōh ḥənīd b-akáyd, wə-śáll hañīd w-amṣār ðadərēhวт w-akáyd, wə-sayūr.
w-ag̉áyg šzh śxawtūl bark abáyr, w-al hamm yašárba lā. kūsa ḥanáfah məkōn, wa-kafūd barkīh.
6 wə-nūka kē‘yōti trayt l-agarē tərḥāṣan bark abáyr. wə-mən đ̣ār arháwṣ́, āmarūt ṭayt mansēn, "hēśan ’ámlaš b-adənyē?" āmərūt, "wakábk bark haəbrīt ðว-salṭān, wa-sē ta‘yịtan."
7 tōli āmərūt amšğərēt, "hēśan yaḳálaš man ḥabrīt ðə-salṭān?" āmərūt hīs, "tī̆far ðə-ḥáyr. hām ạ̣ād śallīsən bark magamrēt wa-bərkīs śīwōt, wa-handēx naxāse, afátk mans."
8 attōli āmərūt amšg̉arēt, "hēśzn ‘ámlaš b-adənyē?" āmərūt, "šay xizzōnət ba-hádd al-falāni."
9 w-āmərūt hīs, "hēśzn yafáths?" āmərūt hīs, "hām sḥaṭš ðáyrəs bəkarēt
 (t)śalūlan mans xamsáyn rīkōb darēham wa-xamsáyn zanbōl."

10 w-ag̈īgēn bark abáyr ðə-yattáman līsən. āmarūt țayt mansēn, "gazōt ḥəyáwm, wa-sīrūtan." wa-sayūr.
11 wa-rūfa l-aḳáyd, wa-həġdōh akáyd ðə-mən sərīsən. wə-rūfa ag̉áyg l-akáyd, wə-sayūr man ḥalákaməh. te wīṣal arḥəbēt, kūsa ḥəbrīt дə-ḥōkəm ta'yīton.
12 wว-śáll țfarīt ðə-ḥáyr bark amṫəmnétəh. wə-nūka hāl ḥōkəm. w-āmūr həh, "təḡōrəb śt?"" āmūr, "əḡōrəb." āmūr həh, "məháffək tīk habráyti, hām haftákk məns āfarīt ðimah."
13 wə-wkūb līs w-āmūr, "hām aġarōyas wīka ṣaṭk, akēe‘yōt amšġərēt, wakōna ag̉arōyas ṣaṭ.".

9 (t)śzlūlən: I take this as a 3 fp passive imperfect. It could also be an active imperfect ( 2 fp or 3 fp ), but it is not clear then who the subject would be in the context of the story. For the passive we expect $\bar{o}$ in place of $\bar{u}$. Also, the $s$ is pronounced geminate on the audio, from assimilation of the prefix $t$.

## Translation of Text 68

1 They were two traveling together, one deceitful and one whose heart was pure.
2 When they were on the road, they found a turban that was full of money. And they went from there.
3 Then when they reached a well, one said to his companion [or: brother], "Go down and get water for us in the water-skin!" He went down into the well, and he got water for themselves in that water-skin.
4 The man who was over the well pulled up the water-skin by the rope, and he took the water-skin, the turban of money, and the rope, and went off.
5 And his companion [lit. the man with him] sat in the well, and he wasn't able to climb up. He found a spot for himself, and he went down into it.
6 And two spirit-women came to bathe in the well. And after the bath, one of them said, "What have you done in this world?" She said, "I entered the sultan's daughter, and (now) she screams."
7 Then the other one said, "What will keep you from the Sultan's daughter?" She said to her, "The dung of a donkey. If someone left it in an incenseburner and (there was) fire in it, and he fumigated under her, I would leave her."
8 Then the other one said, "What have you done in this world?" She said, "I have a treasure-chest in such-and-such place."
9 And she said to her, "What will open it?" She said to her, "If you slaughter a red [or: brown] cow over it, and you burn the skin of a wolf over the treasure-chest, the treasure-chest will be unlocked, and fifty ridingcamels in money and fifty baskets would be loaded from it."
10 And the boy was in the well listening to them. One of them said, "The sun has gone down, so we'll go." And they went.
11 And they climbed up the rope, but they forgot the rope behind them. And the man climbed up the rope, and he went away from there. Then when he got to the town, he found the daughter of the ruler screaming.
12 He carried dung of a donkey in his waistband. And he came to the ruler. He said to him, "Do you know anything?" He said, "I do know." He said to him, "I will marry you to my daughter, if you remove this demon from her."
13 And he went in to (see) her and said, "If her words are true, (then) the second spirit-woman, her words will be true."

This is the only example in the texts (with audio) of a lost prefix $t$ preceded by the conjunction wa-.

14 w-āmūr ḥāgarīt, "āzámi magamrēt wว-barkīs śīwōṭ." wə-wzamátah hāagarīt magamrēt wa-śīwōt.
15 wa-kafūl xalōyaf, wa-fátt atfarīt bark amgamrēt wa-śīwōt. wa-handēx ba-ḥabrüt да-ḥōkaт.
16 wa-ġtaryōt mans aḳēyōt. wว-hadxalīs l-ād tanákas zōyad ts tamēt mən adənyē. wว-tวmmōt.

14 He said to the slave-girl, "Give me an incense-burner and (put) fire in it." And the slave-girl gave him an incense-burner and fire.
15 And he closed the windows, and crumbled the dung into the incenseburner and fire. And he fumigated the ruler's daughter.
16 And the spirit-woman spoke from (inside) her. And he made her swear to never come to her again until she passes [lit. dies] from this world. And it is finished.

## Text 69 (no J): The Ṣay'ar Raid

1 xəṭərāt ḳabáylat yāmərəm hīs aṣāyar g̀azīw, yaháym təwōli anágd, ṣ́āōr. wa-səyáwr, hēm wakōna myēti trayt. te kafáwd bə-wōdi b-anágd, kūsəm rïḡād, wa-zágdam tīsan.
2 wə-səyáwr wōdi ṭayt. kūsəm həbēr, wə-šīsən ar ġayg ṭāṭ, w-əl šah salēb lā.

3 te āṣər xáylaf hātīm, wa-hābū kāl b-aşḥáyr. tع faḳh ð-aáṣər ənšarxáwf, hīs ber ḥābū šawkīf. wa-hē šawkūf am-mán t trōh. wa-ða-ffว ạáyw lah ba-kámbal, wə-ðə-šวwkfōh kāl t cāt đ̣ār śərōḳs t tāt.
4 walākan aġáyg, hīs šawkūf, ādūl abīrákhe. te mg̈ōrən, hīs yậōm yabār,
 wa-bār.
5 tōli faḳáwdəh wa-bagáwdəh, walākan l-ād alhāḳəm təh lā. wa-bār aǵáyg. te nahōr xəwfit, nūka aśḥáyr. kūsa aġəyūg wa-həbēr. wə-gátmam waḳōna śhalīt mī. wa-g̀azīw sār aṣāyar. tع āṣar Ә-arbáyt, látḥəkam ba-ḥalláy. w-aṣāyar bárham ðə-šēnīs, bárhəm ḳəráyb l-akāhวm. wว-həbrīk habériham bark wōdi wə-ðə-hātīm. te šəwkīf, hagáwm amhəréh, wa-haṭláyk līham śīwōt man kāl amkōn. w-əwtáwġ manhēm zōyad al-fákh, w-abōkiffalīt.
7 w-ámma amhərદ́h, əwtēgं mənhēm ag̉áyg ðə-səbīwah aṣāyar fanōhən, wə-ðə-ffalūt mənhēm. hē al šah məndáwk lā. šah škáy, wa-hīs waḳōt hagmēt, hagūm b-aškáyah. wa-lūtəg่ mēkən b-aškáyzh, walākan əwtēg. walākan hē ðə-ḥərḳōt šabdátəh fanōhən. faḳh əð-hวbēr əz-zagēd habérhe. wə-ráddəm habēr kāl w-arīkōb ð-aṣāyar, wə-ráddam ðว-šanṣáyr. wə-l-ād g̀zzīw zōyad lā aṣāyar təwōli amharéh. wว-məg்ōrən sáddəm, wa-mən đ̣ār xəṭərāt ðákวmah l-ād ġazīw zōyad lā. wa-tammōt.

1 aṣāyar: Although Ali wrote aṣāyar in the Arabic manuscript, he said kabáylat дə-falān 'such-and-such tribe' on the audio. In line 5, he said akabáylat 'the tribe' in place of aṣāyar (twice), while in line 7 he simply omitted așāyar on the audio. In line 8, he said akáwm 'the raiding party' instead of aṣāyar (twice). The Ṣay'ar tribe (sometimes called the Saar in English) are from the Headramawt region of Yemen. məhráy: Although Ali wrote məhráy in the Arabic manuscript, he said man kabáylat ðə-falān 'from such-and-such tribe' on the audio.
3 anšarxáwf: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the verb sátḥab 'he crawled away' in brackets after anšarxáwf 'he slipped away', presumably just recording a near-synonym.

## Translation of Text 69

1 Once a tribe that is called the STay'ar went raiding, heading for the Najd, Dhofar. And they went, about two hundred (men). Then when they came down to a valley in the Najd, they found some pregnant camels, and they stole them.
2 And they went down to a valley. They found some camels, and with them only one man, and he had no weapon. They seized all the camels, and they took the man prisoner with them. And the man was a Mehri.
3 Then the next night, they spent the night, and all the people were in the mountains. Then in the middle of the night, he slipped away, after the people went to sleep. He went to sleep between two (men). They had covered him with a blanket, and they had gone to sleep each on one side of it.
4 But the man, when he went to sleep, had raised his knees. Then later, when he wanted to leave, he stretched out and began to slip away. And he stole a camel from his own camels that he recognized, and he left.
5 Then they noticed him missing and chased him, but they didn't catch up to him. And the man traveled at night. Then the next day, he came to the mountains. He found the men and the camels. And about three hundred gathered. And they went raiding after the Ṣay'ar. On evening of the fourth day, they caught up with them at night. And the S.ay'ar had been without fear, (since) they were already close to their country.
6 And they (the Mehris) made their camels kneel in a valley and settled in for the night. Then when they (the Şay'ar) were sleeping, the Mehris attacked, and they opened fire on them from every direction [lit. place]. And they killed more than half of them, and the rest fled.
7 As for the Mehris, the man who the Ṣay'ar had taken prisoner previously, and who had escaped from them, was killed. He had no rifle. He had a sword, and when the attack took place, he attacked with his sword. And he killed many with his sword, but he was killed.
8 He had been in a rage [lit. his liver had been burning] earlier. Half of the camels that were stolen were his camels. And they returned all the camels and riding-camels of the Ṣay'ar, and they came back having been victorious. And the S.ay'ar never again raided the Mehris.
9 And then they reconciled, and after that time, they didn't go raiding again. And it is finished.
$8 \quad \partial z-z z g e \bar{e} d$ :The relative pronoun $\partial$ - is not indicated in the manuscripts, but there is a trace of it on the audio, as $\partial z-z a g e \bar{d}$ or $z-z a g e \bar{d}$.

## Text 70 (no J): An Encounter Between Camel-Herders and Goat-Herders

1 xaţarāt sēkən ðə-ba'áyli rawn ķafáwd ba-wōdi. wa-ḥวwōdi ðákamah bis mətwē, walākan bīs ba'áyli bēr. wə-yaḥákrəm līs mən aḥād yowbēd hormáyt mən hāl tənákan həbēr.
tع nəhōr ṭayt, kūsəm ġayg bāl h hāráwn ðə-yalūbəd hərōm. tōli āṭáwf lah baházhe. tōli āmūr hīham, "ātwīð man šittān! al awágabkam lā tāṭāfam lay ba-ḥázyan! wa-hōh ġayg al-hīs tīkam. walākan hām al tháymi báwmah lā, āmēram háyni, wa-nḥāh śalyēla. wa-házyan 'ayśūtən ba-kāl məkōn." tōli l-ād šawrēm lā. wa-hēm yabáyt, w-ag̉áyg bāl hā ráwn al aḥād šzh lā.
 āmáwr, "ábdan!" wə-yalábdəh bə-fáțxi trōh. wə-nákam bə'áyli həbēr wə-hagáwm l-aġáyg. w-antáwḥam, walākan ḳadáwr lah lā. wə-wbáwdəh ba-xammōh faṭōwax, wə-wbadīham ba-xammōh faṭōwəx. wādhəm la-wṭákaməh, ənkōt tétַzh. wə-wbədūt ṭāt ba-fátxi t trōh. tōli fásskam. walākan bo'áyli habēr ṣ̂āṭam ōśar rawn ba-ğáṣab. attōli śállam bə'áyli hạāráwn, yaḥáym ag̉áwf. te wáṣalam đ̣ār ḥəwōdi mən ağáwf, āmūr ağáyg h-tétzəh, "hēt ākkāy k-hāráwn, wa-hōh ḳəfdōna bark ḥəwōdi man hāl nákan." āmərūt tēt, "thōm hēśzn?" āmūr, "haġdáyk họgət, wa-hōm l-ərdēd hīs." āmərūt, "yદ́ys."
7 tōli səyūr aġáyg te wīṣal ḥวwōdi. kūsa hab̄̄r, wə-yวṣōt yabīt ðд-xəyōrsan kāl, wa-gəhēm te nūka hāl téṫəh, wa-gəhēməm. te b-aámḳ ðə-ḥōrəm, kūsəт g̀ayg ðд-yวsyūr, yahōm h-ḥəwōdi mən hāl nákam.
$2 \bar{a} t w \bar{l} \not \partial:$ Johnstone tells us that this is a first person singular form (ML, $J L$, and $H L$, s.v. ' $w ð$ ), and he translated it as 'I seek refuge'. If correct, then it would have to be a T2-Stem imperfect, minus the characteristic suffix -ən. If it were a Tı-Stem subjunctive, we would expect $l-\bar{a} t \bar{l} w a \partial$. I suggest that it must instead be a T2-Stem masculine plural imperative 'take refuge!', in which case the form is exactly as we would expect. This also explains why we find Jibbali equivalent átéð in text $\mathrm{J} 25: 12$, but átóð in $J L$; the former must be a plural imperative, and the latter a singular. It also makes more sense in this context that the attacker is warning his victims to seek refuge. The MSA T2-Stem has surely been borrowed from the Arabic tD-Stem ta'awwaða 'take refuge'.

## Translation of Text 70

1 Once a community of goat-herders went down into a valley. And that valley had pasturage, but there were camel-herders in it. And they were refusing access to it so that no one could beat a tree (for fodder) where the camels roamed.
2 Then one day, they found a man, a goat-herder, beating a tree. Then they spooked his goats on him. Then he said to them, "Seek refuge from the devil! You shouldn't frighten our goats on me! I am a man just like you. So if you don't want me here, tell me, and we'll move. Our goats can survive anywhere [lit. in every place]."
3 But they still didn't back off. And they were seven, and the goat-herder had no one with him. He came down from the tree that he was beating, and he said, "I am off, so leave my goats!" They said, "Never!"
4 Then one camel-herder pelted a goat and broke its leg [lit. broke it]. The goat-herder pounced on him and hit him with two blows. And the camel-herders came and attacked the man. They fought, but they couldn't overpower him.
5 They hit him with five blows, and he hit them with five blows. And while they were like this, his wife came. And she hit one with two blows. Then they separated from each other. But the camel-herders took ten goats by force.
6 Then the goat-herders moved, headed uphill. Then when they got up above the valley, the man said to his wife, "You stay with the goats, and I will go down into the valley where we came from." She said, "What do you want?" He said, "I forgot something, and I want to go back for it." She said, "Ok."
7 Then he went until he got to the valley. He found some camels, and he took a camel that was the best of them all, and he went back until he got to his wife, and they went. Then in the middle of the way, they found a man traveling, headed to the valley that they had come from.

2 'ayśūtzn: Ali spelled this word with ' in the Arabic manuscript, but the 'is not heard on the audio. See § 2.1.3, rule \#2.
$5 \dot{g}$ ásab: This is Arabic $\dot{g} a s b$ 'forcible seizure'. It is missing from $M L$, though several verb forms from the root $\dot{g} s b$ are listed.

8 tōli maṛ̛áyh ag̉áyg bāl ḥāráwn, āmūr, "akōfi wə-nké' ba'áyli habēr, w-āmēr hīham, 'ḥaybátkam šīn, wa-hām tháymas, háxṣabam līn ba-házyan!'" attōli xxaṣáyb līhəm bo-ḥázihəm, wa-hēm xaṣáyb līhəm bo-haybóthəm. w-ahtolīf, wə-wákam asdək.kā. wə-təmmōt.

8 Then the goat-herder instructed him, he said, "Go off and get to the camelherders, and say to them, 'Your camel is with us, and if you want it, send us our goats!" Then they sent them their goats, and they sent them their camel. And they made a deal, and they became friends. And it is finished.

Text 71 (no J): A Dialectal Misunderstanding

xəṭarāt śxəwlēk bark dəkkōn, wz-kəráyb lay ġayg məhráy. wə-hē mən akā̄ al-gənūbi, w-ag̈arōyzn yaxtalūf.
tōli nakáyh xatṭ man hāl harbāthe, wa-hē al yartūki lā. tōli nūka te hanáy, āmūr háyni, "ä gīgèn, wal̄̄ takáyr?" amānह̄h, "wal̄̄ tartūki xatṭ?" āmárk, "ya-hōl, əkáyr." wz-hōh ðz-ğràbk amān $\bar{h}$.
walākan hōom al-şh $\bar{o} k$ lah, wa-kalábk xaṭ̂ bark amxabáyi. attōli āmūr a ááyg, "Je wa-kōh awṭákzməh?" āmárk həh, "hēt āmárk háyni, ‘walē takáyr?', wahōh āmárk hūk, 'ya-hāl, hōh akáyrr', şarōməhal taktalō̄ bəh lā! karyōna tah."
āmūr, "hōh āmárk hūk waṭōmah lā." tōli hīs śīnak tah háttam, āmárk hah, "ma yaxālaf! martáyk hūk xátṭak." āmūr, "hēśsn martáy!?"" āmárk, "karyōna hūk xáttok." wz-ş̨́hākzm hābū ðə-hanīn mən agáyg. wz-təmmōt.

2 takáyr: In Omani Mehri, the verb for 'read' is rátki. In the Yemeni Mehri dialect of the man in the story, the verb 'read' is ķrōh (cf. Arabic qara'a); cf Jahn (1902: 205). In Omani Mehri, karōh means 'hide'. The root of Omani Mehri rátki (rky) is probably a metathesized version of the root $k r^{2} / k r y$. ma yaxāləf: This is Arabic ma yaxālif 'it doesn't matter; it's all right'.

## Translation of Text 71

1 Once I was sitting in a shop, and near me was a Mehri man. He was from the southern area, and our language differs.
2 Then a letter came to him from his friends, but he couldn't read. Then he came up to me, he said to me, "Boy, can you read (tzkáyr)?" His intention was, "Can you read (tartūki) a letter?" I said, "Sure, I can read [or: hide]." And I knew his intention.
3 But I wanted to make fun of him, and I put the letter into my pocket. Then the man said, "Well, why (did you do) that?" I said to him, "You said to me, 'Can you read?', and I said to you, 'Sure, I can read [or: hide].' Now don't worry about it! I'll read [or: hide] it."
4 He said, "I didn't tell you [to do] like that." Then when I saw him become anxious, I said to him, "It's all right! I'll read (martáyk) you your letter." He said, "What is martáyk?" I said, "I'll read (karyōna) you your letter." And the people that were by us laughed at the man. And it is finished.

## Text 71A (no J): A Children's Game

1 wə-ámma anéhag ð-abdūn, yəkáwn ərbōt aw yatīt bū. lēzəm yəkūn təmōm, wa-yəkáwn kāl t trōh aw kāl śātáýyt fáxro. wa-kāl ṭāt yaślūl ṣáwri trayt ṭəlōfəf, wa-yabdáwd kálham təwōli badūn țāt. wa-kāl mənhēm ðə-xəlūs mən abdūn, w-aṣáwrəh wəḳōt rēḥək mən hərbāthe, yarōka ṭáwri tِrōh al-fēməh ṭayt mən abdūn ðēk hə-ðēk. ðōməh awásff ð-anéhag ð-abdūn. wว-tวmmōt.

1 badūn: The exact meaning of badūn is not clear, but it must mean something like 'target, marker'. In this game, as clear from the story and from a drawing made by Johnstone in the Roman manuscript, there are two markers placed at some distance apart, not unlike the English game of horseshoes. ML takes badūn from the root bdd; cf. the verb badd 'throw a stone', used later in line 1.
1 tamōm: This word is glossed in ML (s.v. tmm) as 'completeness; completely' (< Arabic tamām), but Johnstone added the gloss 'even' in the Roman manuscript.

## Translation of Text 71A

1 And as for the game of the badūn, there are four or six people. There must be an even number, and each two or each three are together (as a team). And each one takes two flat stones, and all of them throw towards one badūn.
2 And whoever of them misses the badūn, and whose stone is farthest away from (those of) his companions, he hops on one foot from this badūn to that one. This is the description of the game of the badūn. And it is finished.

## Text 72 (no J): Collecting Money

1 xațrāt trōh ðə-yasīrōh ba-hōram. te waṣalōh b-aámk ðə-hōrəm, āmūr ṭāṭ mənhēm, "naḥōm naxdēm bēdi." āmūr ṭāṭ, "hēśan man bēdi?" āmūr, "nəḥōm yāká̉ ṭāt mankáy hārūs, wə-nšáğbar hāaū."
sīrōh te wátxfam sēkan. hātīm. tōli šxəbīrham, āmáwr, "əl-ḥõ tsyawr?" $\bar{a} m a r o ̄ h, ~ " h a ̄ r o ̄ s ə n, ~ w a-x a ́ s r a n ~ m e ̄ k a n . ~ w a-n ə s ̌ g ̆ a b u ̄ r ~ \partial ̣ a ̄ r ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ . " ~$
3 tōli hag̀bīr līham. əð wazūm karš, wa-ð wazūm ḳárši trōh. wa-háy lawṭákamah te ḥáṣalam waḳōna xamsáyn karš. sīrōh te bárhi ba-kā̄ ṭāṭ. āmūr ṭāt, "̣̣arōmah naḥōm naháxlaf mən hārsūt. wə-ṣarōməh hēt tāká̉ дə-wbádk ṭāt al-fēm wə-ðə-nḳáyṣ́. hōh, ber təmm adáwri. ber wákak hōh ðə-hārásk fanōhən. ṣərōməh hēt tāká’’ ət-təḳ̂́yṣ́." āmūr, "yéye." sīrōh te watxfōh sēkən. āmáwr hīhวm, "al-hõ taghēm?" āmərōh, "ðə-nkáyṣ̣.
 ḥābū." wazáwmham, wa-gəhmōh ətté waṣalōh hāl ḥaskániham. wə-śxəwlīl wəkōna faḳh ðə-wárx. ámma дēk ð-āmūr "hārósk", hārūs. w-ámma ðēk ð-āmūr "əwbádk taṭ al-fêm", əwbūd ṭāt. wə-wīka līhəm alhān amtányam tah. wa-kāl śīyan man takdáyr ð-arḥəmōn. w-āmūr ḥāwaláy, "amtōni ḥənáfk bə-xáyr, w-əl təmtōni ḥənáfk šarr lā." wa-tammōt.

1 mankáy: The manuscripts have mənkáy, with the 1cd pronominal suffix, but the audio has manin, with the 1cp suffix.
5 aj̈̄̄gēn: The manuscripts have $a \dot{g} \bar{g} g e \bar{n}$ 'the boy', but the audio has ajáagg 'the man'.
7 šarr: On the audio, Ali clearly read Arabic šarr, and not the Mehrized borrowing śarr (ML, s.v. śrr).

## Translation of Text 72

1 Once two (men) were traveling on the road. When they were in the middle of the journey [lit. the road], one of them said, "We should play a con [lit. work a lie]." (The other) one said, "What kind of con?" He said, "Let's have it be that one of us got married, and we'll collect funds from the people."
2 They went until they came to a settlement. Then they asked them, they said, "Where are you going to?" They said, "We got married, and we spent a lot (as a bride-price). So we are collecting funds from people."
3 Then they gave them assistance. Either (a person) gave a dollar or he gave two dollars. And they (did) thus until they earned about fifty dollars. They went until they were in a certain place. One said, "Now let's move away from the marriage.
4 Now you should (pretend to) be one who has shot someone in the leg [or: foot], and we are paying compensation. Me, my turn is over. I was already the one who got married before. Now you be the one who is paying compensation." He said, "Ok."
5 They went until they got to a settlement. They said to them, "Where are you going to?" They said, "We are paying compensation. This guy shot someone in the leg. Now we have to pay compensation, and we are collecting funds from people." They gave to them, and they went back to their settlements.
6 And they stayed about a half a month. As for the one who said "I got married", he got married. And as for the one who said "I shot someone in the leg", he shot someone.
7 And all that they wished for happened. Everything is by the decree of God. And the ancient one said, "Wish yourself well, and don't wish for yourself evil." And it is finished.

## Text 73 (= J21, with slight variations): Four Hungry Men and a Date

1 xaṭəāt ərbōt ġayūg ðə-yasyáwr xaṭáwr, walākan al šay ḥātam lā yaḥáym al-h̃õ. walākan ḥaláthəm sayáwr rēḥək. tōli šaḳ̣áyr azawōd, wa-bér hīhวm āṣari trōh man ġayr ḳawt. wa-bárham tābəm, walākan gंəyūg məśhōr mən ṣābər wə-šagēət wə-'ázzət ən-náfs. te kaláyni kəfáwd bə-wōdi. kūsəm g̀ayg, w-ağáyg ðékวməh šah ḳawt. walākan hīs śīni ag̉ayūg haḳbïl lah, korōh akảth. yaḥōm yaxbēr aġayūg mōn mənhēm ðə-yวtéh wə-yวklé' hərbāthe.
hātīm hanīh, w-āmūr hīham, "hōh ġayg д-al afyádkam man śí lā. al šay ḳawt lā." āmáwr aġəyūg, "ənḥāh ar bīn aṣābər ādəh." wə-hātīm. te ba-ḥalláy, bárhวm šawkīf, 'asśs ag̉áyg дə-wátxfam tah aġəyūg, wa-hftūk 'áynat tōmar. wa-sayūr te alhāf al-ṭāt man aġayūg, wa-wgंazēh ba-tōmar. w-āmūr hah, "hōh al šay ar ðōməh, wa-háməh hūk. wa-téh wa-kalé’ aṣáyh!" šməddáh aǵáyg man hənáh, wə-wg்āz bəh aġáyg ðə-l-adəfźtəh. āmūr, "hōh ber śábak, w-ādi habḳáyk ðōməh. háməh hūk. tzh wa-kəəદ́’ aṣáyh!"
šməddáh mən hənว́h, wə-wg̀ āz bəh ag̉áyg ðə-l-adəf́ťh. āmūr həh, "hōh ber śábak, w-ādi habḳáyk ðōməh. hámah hūk. teh wa-kalé’ aṣáyh!"' šməddáh mən hanáh, wə-wgं āz bəh arōbə’. w-āmūr hah al-hīs aġáwhe.
 te lazz l-agáyg ḥāwaláy. wa-wazmáh tōmar. āmūr hah, "hōh ber śábak, wa-kálak hūk ðōmah." attōli šməddáh man hənáh, wə-radōh bah l-aṭáwl ðд-ḥáydəh. w-aġəyūg kāl ṭāt yaḥōm yaxbēr amšēġar, walākan mánam mən ṭāṭīdáyham.
attōli 'aśś ag̉áyg að-hātīm hanáh aġəyūg, wa-hftūk līham 'ayśē mēkan. wahāśšīham, āmūr, "āśēśam, ātéśyzm!" āmáwr ag̉ayūg, "tšhōl ar gazē! tḥōm txabérən hīs śīnak tīn ðə-gáyan."
šagē $\partial t w z-{ }^{-} a ́ z z \partial t ~ \partial n-n a ́ f s$ : These are Arabic šagāat and 'izzat an-nafs. mən hanáh: The manuscripts have man hənáh, but on the audio Ali read man háydah 'from his hand'.
gazē: On this word, see the comment to text 20:63. The phrase tšhōl gazē is found also in 22:101. The parallel Jibbali version has xázé, an otherwise unattested noun that (based on other forms of the root) must mean something like 'shame'. Curiously, the Arabic manuscript of the Mehri version has $\mid \underset{ز}{\mid}$; which is either a mistake for $\mid$ (which is how Ali spelled the word gazē in 22:101), or represents a word cognate with Jibbali xázé. The audio clearly has gaze , as does the Roman manuscript. It is also

## Translation of Text 73

1 Once there were four men going, traveling, but I am not sure where they were headed. But it was said of them that they traveled far.
2 Then they ran short of supplies. And they were already without food for two nights. They were weary, but (they were) men famous for patience [or: endurance], bravery, and strength of spirit. Then in the evening, they went down into a valley. They found a man, and the man had food.
3 But when he saw the men approaching him, he hid his food. He wanted to test the men, (to see) which [lit. who] of them would eat and let down his companions.
4 They spent the evening with him, and he said to them, "I am a man who is of no use to you for anything. I have no food." The men said, "We have patience still." And they spent the evening.
5 Then at night, when they had fallen asleep, the man that the men had come to got up and took out a little bit of date. He went and [lit. until] he pressed up against one of the men, and he slipped him the date. And he said to him, "I have only this. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet [lit. leave (your) voice]!"
6 He took it from him and slipped it to the man next to him. He said, "I am already full, and I have this leftover still. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet!"
7 He took it from him and slipped it to the man next to him. He said to him, "I am already full, and I have this leftover still. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet!"
8 He took it from him and slipped it to the fourth (man). And he said to him what [lit. as] his brothers (had said).
9 Then he took it from him, and he got up. He pretended that he was going to urinate, and he pressed up to the first man. And he slipped him the date. He said to him, "I am already full, and I've left this for you."
10 Then he took it from him, and he threw it as far as he could [lit. the length of his arm]. And the men, each one wanted to test the other, and so they withheld from one another.
11 Then the man who the men had spent the night with got up, and he took out a lot of food for them. He woke them up, he said, "Wake up, have dinner!" The men said, "You surely deserve payback! You wanted to test us, when you saw we were hungry."
possible that xázé in the Jibbali version was a mistake for gazé (both in the manuscripts and on the audio).

12 āmūr, "smēḥam lay! kāl ṭāt yāgōb yag̉rēb agīd man akōməh. walākan ətēm, al aḥād yaḳáw dar līkam lā." wa-təmmōt.
13 nəḥāh hanīn amsáyrat gádat. al aḥād yatáyw axáyr man arībēh lā ábdan. te wa-lū bárah mōyat man agawé, yaṣáwbar al-hīs arībēh. wə-təmmōt.

12 He said, "Forgive me! Everyone wants to know the good from the bad. But you, no one can beat you." And it is finished.
13 Among us, the journey is fair [lit. good]. No one ever eats more than his companion. Even if he is dying of hunger, he is patient like his companion. And it is finished.

## Text 74 (no J): A Desert Island Kingdom

 yaháym ha-kā’ ṭāt. wa-ssáfram. tء bárham ba-g̉abbēt, țïbar amarkēb, wa-ğárḳam ḥābū ðə-barkīh, ġayr ağáyg w-aḍānəh.
walākan kāl ṭāṭ wīka đ̛ār lawḥ, wə-ṭáffam bīhəm. ámma ag̉áyg, gūdəḥ bəgazáyrət. w-ámma ttēt, gədḥōt ba-rəḥhē̄t. wa-ḥəmbaráwtən kāl t tāt gūdah ba-raḥbēt. w-ag̉áyg dáyyan, wa-ttéťh ka-дālik. wa-bér dáxlam, hīs ādham fáxra, al aḥād manhēm yabáyd.
ámma aġáyg ðə-gūdə̣̣h bə-gzáyrət, śxəwlūl wəḳōna áyśar yūm b-agzáyrət
 āṣar ṭāt, ankáyh malēk.
āmūr həh, "aḳōfi bə-hádd al-falāni, wa-śənyōna ṣāwวr āfarūt. wa-hfēr anxāsє ðદ́ra", wa-ksōna xaznēt. wa-hēt wakōna malēk b-agzáyrət ðīməh. wankiyḡ tīk bū matēyīn, wa-hēt wakōna amalékhวm. walākan ḥəððōr man təợlēm ḥābū.
wa-gēhəməh nəkiyē tīk arbōt məráwkəb. məhərsūtən báwməh, wəbarkīham boṣāt. wa-śtōm aməráwkəb w-alhān bərkīhəm. wa-ḥābū məšāsarūtən tīk, wa-ṭláyta būk aṣafōt b-arḥōyab kāl. wa-ḥābū ankiys $\begin{gathered}\text { tīk }\end{gathered}$ mən arḥōyab kāl, wa-skyēna báwmah." $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "yદ́ys." te gēhaməh sayūr wa-śīni aṣāwar āfarūt. wə-hfūr ənxāse, wakūsa ðəhēb wa-faṣ́ṣāt wa-gawēhar. te nahōran haḳbill lah arbōt maráwkab tع hərsīw. attōli kəfáwd ḥābū, wə-ġəbrīhəm aǵáyg wə-śītəm aməráwkəb $w$-alhān barkīham.

2
$k a-ð a ̄ l i k: ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ a n ~ A r a b i s m ~(<~ A r a b i c ~ k a-ð a ̄ l i k a ~ ' a l s o ') . ~ A n o t h e r ~ w o r d ~$ meaning 'also' is ṭáwran (ML, s.v. ṭwr), which is also an Arabism (< Arabic tawran).
dáxlam: The transcription dáxlam is uncertain. The Roman manuscripts have dá $x^{x}$ alam, while the audio has dáx $(x)$ alam (it is not certain that the $x$ is geminate), or perhaps dáx ( $x$ )alam. The (mistaken) intention was probably dóxlam, with the verb conjugated as a strong Gb-Stem, rather than as a II-Guttural verb, since II-Guttural verbs pattern with the GbStem in many ways (see $\S 7$ 7.2.5). The Arabic manuscript has دخلم, with no indication of the intended vowels. Ali's spelling could reflect daxālam (as

## Translation of Text 74

1 Once a man, his wife, and their sons, two boys, traveled from their country, heading for a certain country. And they traveled. Then when they were in deep waters, the ship broke apart, and the people who were in it drowned, except the man and his family.
2 But each one was on a (separate) board, and they kept them afloat. As for the man, he washed up on an island. As for the woman, she washed up at a town. And the boys, each one washed up at a (different) town. And the man was religious, and his wife too. And they had promised, when they were still together, that neither of them would lie.
3 As for the man who washed up on an island, he remained about ten days on that island. And the island had no one on it, and when he got hungry, he ate from the vegetation. Then one evening, an angel came to him.
4 He said to him, "Go to such-and-such a place, and you'll see a red rock. Dig a cubit under it, and you'll find a treasure. And you'll become king on this island. Millions of people will come to you, and you'll be their king. But be careful not to be unjust to the people.
5 And tomorrow four ships will come to you. They'll anchor here, and in them are goods. Buy the ships and all that is in them. The people will love you, and the news about you will go around in all the towns. People will come to you from all the towns, and they will settle here."
6 He said, "Ok." Then the next day he went and saw the red rock. And he dug under it, and he found gold, silver, and jewels. Then at noon, four ships approached him and [lit. until] they anchored. Then the people got off, and he met them and bought the ships and all that was in them.

Stroomer wrote), the expected (correct) 3 mp perfect of the well-attested G-Stem daxāl. However, Ali normally spelled forms like this with an alif
 (71:4), and شانی for śhānam (74:24). We also find دخال for 3 ms daxāl in 99:31. While there is good evidence that the spelling دخلم دخل would not have been used to represent daxālam, it is equally possible that this atypical spelling (and Ali's spellings often varied) is what caused Ali to misread it on the audio.
boṣ́āt: This word, borrowed from Arabic biḍāatat- 'goods', is missing from ML.

7 āmáwr həh ḥābū, "h̄̄śzn hēt mən ġayg?" āmūr, "hōh ḥōkəm ð-agzáyrət ðїməh. wə-kāl ðə-yวḥōm xədmēt wə-məskēn, yənké!" tōli šaṣfiw ḥābū mən xabēr ðōməh, wə-nákam ḥābū te bárhəm məl̄̄yīn. wə-wīka məlēk ð-agzáyrət ðว́kaməh.
w-ámma ḥəmbəráwtən, kāl t tāt ḳənīwah bū, w-ātzlīm. te bárham śyēx, hámam bə-ḥōkəm ð-agzáyrət, wə-hámam bə-xədmēt b-agzáyrət. wə-kāl ṭāt sōfar mən hāl ḥābū əð-kənīwวh te nákam agzáyrət ðə-bīs háybhəm. wə-nákam hāl ḥōkəm, wə-hēm al ğátarbam lā. āmáwr, "nəḥōm xədmēt."
 w-ámma ṭāt, kōtəb hanáh. wว-śxəwlīl, w-al ġátarbam lā.
 wa-dxalōh al bīham yaxyūn b-amšēġər. wa-man hāl aġáyg assōfar, tssáfran šah. w-aǵáyg tōgar.
11 tōli hūma ba-ḥōkəm ð-agzáyrət yəśtōm kāl śíyən. āmūr h-tēt, "nahōm nəssōfar h-agzáyrət. hámak ba-ḥōkam yadīn, w-āmáwr yaśtōm kāl śȳyวn." āmərūt, "yع́ys."
12 sáfrom t乏 nákam b-agzáyrət. ámma tēt, śxəwallūt bərk aláng, w-ag̉áyg kəfūd wə-wkūb al-hōkəm. wə-śt̄tzm alhān šah. attōli aǵáyg tōgər āmūr, "hōm al-ssōfar." āmūr ḥōkam, "ábdan! yallīlah a isisek hanīn."
13 āmūr, "hōh šay tēt, arībēti, ð-al axyūn bīs lā. w-al ahmūm l-əḳlēs waḥsiss lā yallīlah." āmūr ḥōkəm, "hōh šay ambaráwtan amēnyáyn, wa-maháxṣab tīham yahētəmam hanīs bark aláng te $k$-sōbaḥ." āmūr tōgar, "his-táw!" attōli ḥōkəm xəṣáwb ḥəmbəráwtən yəhētəməm hāl tēt, wə-ttōgar hātūm hāl ḥōkam.
14 te ba-ḥalláy hambaráwtan al ankátham šanēt lā. wa-śáff kāl ṭāt manhēm fiṭən aáṣar ðə-ğárkəm. wว-ġəráwb ag̉abbēt, walākan ḥəmbaráwtan al ǵátərbam lā. wa-hātīm ðə-ḥəzīn. tōli āmūr ṭāt manhēm, "al ankátan šanēt lā, wa-naḥōm naktīlat.."

7 maskēn: This word, almost certainly from Arabic maskan 'dwelling, residence', is missing from $M L$.
8 syēx: See the comment to text 18:10.
9 hanáh: The Arabic manuscript and audio have hanáh 'with him', but the Roman manuscript has hanáy ‘with me’ (correctly hanáy).
hanīn: The audio has hanīn 'with us', but the Roman manuscript has hanáy 'with me' (correctly hanáy). The Arabic manuscript probably also has hanīn, though in Ali's handwriting hanīn and hanáy can look identical.

7 The people said to him, "What kind of man are you?" He said, "I am the ruler of this island. And whoever wants work and a dwelling-place, let him come!" Then the people heard about this news, and people came until they were millions. And he became king on that island.
8 And as for the boys, people raised each one, and they got an education. When they were big, they heard about the ruler of the island, and they heard about the work on the island. And each one traveled from the people that raised him until they came to the island that their father was on.
9 And they came to the ruler, and they didn't recognize one other. They said, "We want work." He said, "Ok." Each one, he made him responsible for something. One was responsible for the treasury, and one was a clerk with him. And they remained, but they didn't recognize one other.
10 And as for the woman, their mother who washed up at the other town, she became the wife of a man, and they promised that neither of them would betray the other. And wherever the man traveled, she traveled with him. And the man was a merchant.
11 Then he heard about the ruler of the island who would buy everything. He said to the woman, "Let's travel to the island. I heard about a new ruler, and they said he buys everything." She said, "Ok."
12 They traveled until they got to the island. The woman, she stayed on the boat, but the man went down and went to the ruler. And he bought all that he had. Then the merchant man said, "I want to go." The ruler said, "No! Tonight your dinner is with me."
13 He said, "I have a wife, my companion, whom I will not betray. And I cannot leave her by herself tonight." The ruler said, "I have two trustworthy boys, and I'll send them to spend the night with her on the boat until morning." The merchant said, "Ok!" Then the ruler sent the boys to spend the night with the woman, and the merchant spent the night with the ruler.
14 Then at night, sleep wouldn't come to the boys. It turned out each of them remembered the night that they (almost) drowned. And they knew the (place in the) deep waters, but the boys didn't know each other. And they spent the evening sad. Then one of them said, "Sleep hasn't come to us, so let's chat with one another."

13 amēnyáyn: This must be a colloquial Arabic dual adjective 'amīnáyn. The word is missing from $M L$.

15 āmūr akəənáwn, "hēt kəlētِ fanōhวn!" wa-ḥāmēhวm tattáman līhəm. attōli kalūt śōx, āmūr, "xoṭarāt hōh wa-hábye w-ag̀ āy akannáwn sáfron man raḥbēt, naḥōm ha-rḥabēt țayt. wa-hīs báran b-aġabbēt đīmah, t̄ībər bīn amərkēb, wa-ġárkam hábys w-ag̀ āy wa-hābū kāl. wa-báykak hōh đ̣ār lawḥ, wa-ṭə́ff bay te gádḥə k bo-raḥbēt ṭayt. wa-kósk ġayg, wa-kənyáy wa-həwkabáy amdarsēt."
attōli ag̈āh, hīs hūma aġərōyzh, ġərbīh. w-amōsi bah w-āmūr, "hōh agंāk!" wa-bəkīw te k-sōbaḥ mən farhāt. wa-ḥāmēhi tattáman láhi, walākan şabarūt.
tع $k$-sōbəḥ, hīs bīṣar abṣār, ḳəfdōh ḥəmbəráwtən, w-ag̉áyg tōgar rūfa. tōli āmərūt tēt h-tōgar, "hēt xayánk bay, wa-ḳálak hanáy ambaráwtan. wayallōh al ḳálam tī al-šàkf lā." āmūr, "hībōh al kálam tiš tšákfi lā? hagáwm layš?"
18 āmarūt, "lā, walākan ḥōm al-šánḥar bīham." āmūr aġáyg, "kalēt̄i lay!" āmərūt, "ábdan, walākan hēt (t)tōma l-aġarōyi wa-l-ag̈arōyham." tōli kaəfdōh təwōli ḥōkam. te ankōh, āmūr ḥōkam, "kō tēm ḳəfádkam?" āmūr ag̉áyg, "attēt ðīməh tḥōm tšánḥar ba-ḥəmbaráwtən ðə-yallōh hātīm hənīs." āmūr ḥōkวm, "hībōh ‘ámlam?" āmərūt tēt, "hām hēt ḥōkวm tháwkam baḥákk, ṣōk ḥəmbaráwtan wa-šáxbarham alhān g̉átaryam yallōh." āmūr, "his-táw. walākan hōh əḡōrab ḥəmbaráwtan yabádyəm lā." tōli ṣākáyhəm. $\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ hōkam, "hēśan ’ámlakam yallōh ba-ttēt đimah?" āmarōh, "al ámlan śī lā." āmarūt tēt, "śáxbarham alhān g̉átaryam yallōh." āmūr, "h $\bar{\varepsilon} s{ }^{2} a n$ ġátarikam yallōh?" āmərōh, "วl ankátki šanēt lā, wa-kátwəťki."
āmūr ḥōkəm, "bə-hēśzn kátwəțki?" āmərōh, "əkáy ġayw, wə-ġátərbki yallōh." āmūr ḥōkam, "wa-kōh ġayw? kalētem lay b-akassátki!" attōli kalūt śōx ba-kawtē̄t kállas.
23 ādah al tamūm lā, ġarbīh háybah, ḥōkam, wa-bakōh. w-āmūr, "ətáy habbánye!" āmarūt tēt, "alyōmah ḥabánye, wa-hēt ağáygi!"
 ba-ttēt alángəh ðəhēb wa-faṣ́ṣāt. wa-śxəwlīl fáxra te mōtam, wa-hēm bark raḥt. wa-tammōt.

15 hōh wa-hábys: On the audio, Ali read hōh wa-háybi 'me and my father'. The manuscripts have hōh wa-hábye 'me and my parents'.

15 The younger [lit. small] one said, "You tell (a story) first!" And their mother was listening to them. Then the older [lit. big] one told, he said, "Once me and my parents and my little brother traveled from a town, heading for a certain town. And when we were in this deep water, the ship broke apart on us, and my parents and my brother and all the people drowned. But I stayed on a board, and it kept me afloat until I washed up at a certain town. And I found a man, and he raised me and put me in school."
16 Then his brother, when he heard his words, recognized him. And he kissed him and said, "I am your brother!" And they cried until morning out of happiness. And their mother was listening to them, but she waited.
17 Then in the morning, when the dawn broke, the boys got off (the ship), and the merchant man climbed on. Then the woman said to the merchant, "You betrayed me, and you left boys with me. Last night they didn't let me sleep." He said, "How did they not let you sleep? Did they attack you?"
18 She said, "No, but I want to lodge a complaint against them." The man said, "Tell me!" She said, "No, but listen to my words and to their words."
19 Then they went down to the ruler. When they came, the ruler said, "Why did you come down?" The man said, "This woman wants to lodge a complaint against the boys that spent the night with her last night."
20 The ruler said, "What did they do?" The woman said, "If you are a ruler who rules justly, call the boys and ask them what they talked about last night." He said, "Ok. But I know that the boys don't lie." Then he called them.
21 The ruler said, "What did you do to this woman last night?" They said, "We didn't do anything." The woman said, "Ask them what they talked about last night." He said, "What did you talk about last night?" They said, "Sleep wouldn't come to us, and so we chatted with one another."
The ruler said, "What did you two chat about?" They said, "We are brothers, and we recognized each other last night." He said, "Why brothers? Tell me your story!" Then the older one told the whole story.
Before he finished, his father, the ruler, recognized him, and he wept. And he said, "You are my sons!" The woman said, "These are my sons, and you are my husband!"
24 Then each one told his story, and they knew each other. They loaded up for the man who brought the woman, his boat, with gold and silver. And they remained together until they died, and they were happy. And it is finished.

## Text 75 (no J): Aziz

1 xəțərāt ġayg yāgōb bo-ġaggīt, brīt ðə-tōgar, wa-sē tāgōb bah, walākan hábse xazīw man yaháffḳam tah. te man ḍār wakt, fikas bar ḥadáydəs. wa-sē al thámah lā, ar ḥkawm līs ḥábse.
2 attōli šaṣfōh amkwáyrəs ðə-sē, amkəwrátəh, šfวḳáwt, wə-wəḳáyta aṣ́əyáfts mən đ̛ār áyśzr yūm. w-aḳā rēḥək. əttōli səyūr ag̉áyg te nūka hāl ġayg āśarah. āmūr hah, "hībōh śawr?"
3 āmūr, "hēśzn garōh?" āmūr aġáyg, "amkəwráwti šfakáwt. wə-hām ād taḳáwdər háyni ba-háylat, kəlēt lay!" āmūr hah āśarah, "yahmámk śí lā. g̀adéwwan!"
4 śaddōh l-arīkábihi wa-gahmōh h-arḥabēt ðə-bīs aġaganōt. w-akā rēhak. tع mən đ̛̣ār áyśar yūm, watxfōh arḥəbēt, wə-wkəbōh hāl āgáwz. w-aáṣar ðદ́kวməh wakōōt aṣəyáft ð-ag̉aggīt.
attōli ag̉ayūg šxəbīr āgáwz. āmáwr, "hēśzn mən ṣ́əyáft ðiməh?" āmərūt āgáwz, "aṣayáft ðд-ḥa brīt ðə-falān. hārūs bīs bar ḥadáydəs. wa-sē al tḥáməh lā. tāgōb ba-ǵáyg badəwáy, wa-hábse al yaḥáyməh lā."
6 tōli āmáwr hīs aġayūg, "wazyēma tīs myēt ðว-kárš, wə-tháwkəbən līs." āmərūt, "yદ́yc." tōli āmūr aáśar ð-agंáyg ðд-yāgōb b-ag̉əggīt, "ámma hēt, śxáwwal báwmah, w-aġaggīt ṭəwyīta tīk." āmūr, "hībōh atṭwéhi, wa-sē kalōn?" āmūr hah, "yahmámk śī lā!" tōli lības ba-xalōwวḳ ðə-tēte, wə-wkūb k-āgáwz te ankōh hāl aġaggīt kalōn bark aḳāṣar. w-aġaggīt təġōorab aáśar ð-amkwáyras. attōli hīs nūka, hftūk xəlōwək ( $\partial$-)đ̣áyrəh, w-āmūr h-aġəggitt, "hām tháymi təśn $\bar{y}$ a amkwáyrəš, bə-ḥádd al-fə āni hē ðə-səwbáyš." xalōwak ðว-hōh nákak barkīham, w-al yahmámš śî lā! walākan mat awōðən fēgar, tākāy barš al-xāh ð-abáyt." āmərūt, "yéyc." wə-wวzmátəh xaláwkss, wว-sē wabวsūt bə-xəlōwəḳ ðə-nūka barkīham. wa-ftkūt. te ankōt hāl amkwáyrəs, hātəmūt hənáh te k-sōbəḥ.
$1 \quad$ h.hawm: $M L$ (s.v. $h k m$ ) lists various meanings for the G-Stem $h k u \bar{u} m$, but not 'force', which is the meaning here. The meaning 'force' is also attested for the Jibbali cognate ḥkum (cf. text J51:20), as well as for the Hobyot cognate ḥkūm 'force' (HV, p. 148). akāṣər: ML (s.v. kṣr) defines $k$ kāṣər only as 'first-floor or top-floor room', but in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'big house'. Cf. also Jibbali kéṣar 'palace' (e.g., text TJ4:2).

## Translation of Text 75

1 Once a man loved a girl, the daughter of a rich man [or: merchant], and she loved him, but her parents refused to let him marry (her). After a while, they married her to her cousin. She didn't want him, but her parents forced her.
2 Then her beloved found out that she, his beloved, was betrothed, and that her wedding would be in ten days. And the place was far away. Then the man went until he came to a man, his friend. He said to him, "What's the plan?"
3 He said, "What happened?" The man said, "My beloved is betrothed. And if you can perhaps (devise) for me a trick, tell me!" His friend said to him, "Don't worry [lit. let nothing concern you]. Let's go!"
4 They saddled up their riding-camels and went to the town that the girl was in. And the place was far away. Then after ten days, they came to the town, and they went in to an old woman's (place). And that evening was the girl's wedding.
5 Then the men questioned the old woman. They said, "Which wedding is this?" The old woman said, "The wedding of the daughter of so-and-so. Her cousin married her. But she doesn't love him. She loves a Bedouin man, but her parents don't want him."
6 Then the men said to her, "We'll give you a hundred dollars, and you should get us in to (see) her." She said, "Ok." Then the friend of the man who loved the girl said, "You stay here, and the girl will come to you in the night." He said, "How will she come to me in the night, when she is a bride?" He said to him, "Don't worry!"
7 Then he put on women's clothes, and he went in with the old woman until they came to the girl, the bride, inside the mansion. And the girl recognized the friend of her beloved. Then, when he came, he took off the clothes that were on him, and he said to the girl, "If you want to see your beloved, in such-and-such place he is waiting for you."
8 She said, "What's the plan?" He said, "Take off your clothes for me. And you go out in the clothes that I came in, and don't worry! But when they call [lit. he calls] the dawn prayer, you should already be at the door of the house."
9 She said, "Ok." And she gave him her clothes, and she put on the clothes that he came in. And she left. Then when she came to her beloved, she stayed with him until morning.

10 wə-ámma man aṭərēf ð-ag̈áyg, hīs wəkūb lah ag̉áyg ð-aġaganōt wə-yzhōm yalháməh, mūna ḥādáthe, wə-l-ād ḳadūr yahtīrək lā tع k-sōbəḥ. wə-səyūr ag̉áyg kalōn te nūka hāl ḥ̣ayb ð-aġaggīt. āmūr, "hēt al fákəək tī ar gannáy. manáy ba-háydah ṭayt te $k$-sōbah."
11 āmūr, "ma yəxālaf! ənḥāh yallūləh āmyēla ḥáylət, wə-śənyēya gēhəməh hēśan yākeáa." āmūr ağáyg kalōn, "h̄̄śan man ḥáylat?" āmūr, "tāḳá’ šūk maws, wa-mət дə-wəkábk līs, āšēm ṭayt man aṣafártse. wa-nḥāh, k-sōbəḥ, śznyēya ag̉aggīt." āmūr ağáyg, "yદ́ys."
12 w-ámma man aṭarēf ð-ağáyg ð-áymal ḥənáfh ġaggīt, ftūk, áymal ḥənáfah yahōm yaḍhōl, wə-ġabūr aġaggīt al-xāh ð-abáyt. ámma aǵáyg, səyūr təwōli āśarah. w-ámma aġaggīt, sīrūt təwōli amkōnəs. ts bə-halláy, aǵáyg lības b-alábs ðə-ttēt wa-sayūr te wakūb hāl aġaggīt, w-aġaggīt ftkūt te ankōt amkwáyrəs.
13 w-ȧ̇áyg hātūm b-amkōn ð-ag̉aggīt. tع ba-ḥalláy ṭəwōh ag̉áyg yahọōm towōli téṫzh, wə-šóh maws. tع nūka w-ag̉áyg d-áymal ṣafártən. tōli ağáyg kəlōn yáwšam ṭayt mən aṣ̊əfárthe, wว-šawkūf.
14 tع $k$-sōbạ ftūk ag̉áyg təwōli ḥadáydah w-āmūr, "hōh ber āšámk ṭayt mən aṣáfártse. wə-syērəm, śnēm tis!!" w-ámma mən aṭərēf ð-aġáyg дáymal ḥənáfh tēt, ftūk te ġəbūr aġəggīt al-xāh ð-abáyt, w-ās̄ūm țayt mən aṣ́áártse. w-āmūr hīs, "syēri fisć'?"
15 wa-sīrūt te wakabūt amkōnəs. attōli nákam hábse, wa-śīnam śáfse, wakūsam aṣafaráts māšamūt. tōli āmáwr h-ag̉áyg, "ðīmah tetk." āmūr, "ábdan!

al fákak: The al was crossed out in the Arabic manuscript, but it is heard on the audio.
aṣ́əfártse: ML (s.v. źfr) lists ṣ́əfǐr, pl. ṣ́əfarūtən with the meaning 'plait of hair', and ṣafrït, pl. ṣafártən with the meaning 'leaf with a scent like thyme ... used by women in their hair'. However, it is only the latter term that occurs in the texts (this text and text 85) meaning 'braid, plait (of hair)'. The unsuffixed plural form şafártan occurs in line 13 of this text, as well as in 85:10; cf. also the Jibbali plural ṣ́วfórta listed in both $M L$ and $J L$ (s.v. $z z f r$ ), the Ḥarsusi plural ṣ́fártan listed in $H L$ (s.v. źfr), and the Hobyot plural śifōrta listed in $H V(\mathrm{p} .1)$. On the singular form, see the comment to line 15 . ḥədáydəh: The word ḥədēd is defined in ML (s.v. $d w d$ ) only as 'paternal uncle, father's brother or cousin' (cf. 32:15). It also clearly has the meaning 'uncle' in the compound bar(t) hadīd 'cousin'. In the context of this story, since the man has married his cousin, hadīd could mean either 'uncle' or

10 Now as for the man, when the girl's husband came to him and wanted to touch him, he grabbed his hands, and he couldn't move at all until morning. And the groom went until he came to the girl's father. He said, "You only married me to a jinn! She repelled me with one hand until morning."
11 He said, "It's all right! Tonight we'll play [lit. do] a trick, and we'll see what happens tomorrow." The groom said, "What kind of trick?" He said, "You should have a razor, and when you have gone in to (be with) her, cut off one of her braids. And we, in the morning, will look at the girl." The man said, "Ok."
12 Now as for the man who had made himself into a girl, he left, pretending he wanted to urinate, and he met the girl at the door of the house. The man, he went to his friend. And the girl, she went to her place. Then at night, the man put on the clothes of a woman and went until he got to the girl, and the girl left and [lit. until] she came to her beloved.
13 And the man spent the night in the girl's place. Then at night, the man came in, wanting to (be with) his wife, and he had a razor. And he came, and the man had made braids. Then the groom cut off one of his braids, and he went to sleep.
14 Then in the morning the man went out to his uncle [or: father-in-law] and said, "I have cut off one of her braids. Go and see it!" And as for the man who had made himself a woman, he went out and [lit. until] he met the girl at the door of the house, and he cut off one of her braids. And he said to her, "Go quickly!"
15 And she went until she got in to her place. Then her parents came, and they looked at her hair, and they found her braid cut off. Then they said to the husband, "This is your wife." He said, "No way! The strength is not the strength of a woman. The strength is really the strength of a man!"
'father-in-law'. $J L$ (s.v. $d w d$ ) lists 'father-in-law' as an additional meaning of did 'paternal uncle'. And in $H V$ (p. 103), we find the following Hobyot sentence: hō ə’ōmər har ḥ̂̀mi 'a dīdi' 'I call my father-in-law dīdi (uncle)'.
15 śáfse: The underlying form of this noun is either śaff or śéf, though if the latter, we would expect śáfss. See further in the comment to text 37:25. aṣafaráts: This must reflect an underlying ṣ́əfarīt or ṣ́əfarūt. As noted above in the comment to line 11, the form ş̦affït appears in $M L$ (s.v. źfr), though not with this meaning. This seems to be an oversight. Cf. also Jibbali ṣafrét ( JL, s.v. żfr ), Hobyot ṣ́firīt (HV, p. 1), and Ḥarsusi ṣ́afarōt (HL, s.v. źfr).
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}, ~ " x a ́ y b \partial n . ~ y a l l i ̄ l a h, ~ h a ̄ m ~ a ̄ d ~ m ə n a ́ t k, ~ k o t ̣ a ̄ s ~ a l-h a ́ y d . ~ w a-k-s o ̄ b \partial h, ~$ śənyēya." te ba-ḥalláy, kāl ṭāt sayūr təwōli amkōnəh. ag̉áyg xáylaf ag̉aggīt, w-aġaggīt sīrūt tawōli amkwáyras.
te faḳh ð-aáṣar, nūka ag̉áyg tawōli téṫəh, wa-šàh maws. te hīs bárham yaḥáym yošákfam, ag̉áyg kəlōn hftūk amáws wə-yวḳọṭa ag̉áyg al-háyd. wa-śáff káwṭa ba-háys te āšūm ārk ð-ansēm.
walākan ağáyg latt lah. te k-sōbaḥ bárah yahōom yamēt. ftūk wa-gabūr aġaganōt al-xāh ठ-abáyt, w-āmūr hīs, "hōh béri ḥōm al-mēt. ag̉áyg koṭ'áy lārk ð-ansēm. wə-ndōh l-əkṭāš!" wa-kot!áys məkṭāt kəənnát, w-āmūr hīs, "hōh matōna yamōh. w-abēli yašwádaš!"
w-ağáyg hámməh āzīz. səyūr ağáyg. te wīşə hāl āśarəh, kəlūt̄ ləh, wəgahmōh. tع bárhi b-aámk, mōt ag̉áyg. kabrīh aáśarah wa-gahēm. tع kūsa ġáyg ðə-yəkáwfəd arḥəbēt, wə-wazmáh xaṭt təwōli ag̉aggīt.
20 wa-səyūr ag̉áyg te wịṣal arḥabēt. śənyśtəh ag̉aggīt mən xəwfêt. tōli ṣākátah. āmərūt, "wal̄̀ g̉abárk aḥād əl-hármək?" āmūr, "ġəbárk ġayg, wə-wazmáy xaṭt tawōli g̀aggīt b-arḥabēt ðīmah, wa-hōh al əğárbas lā." $\bar{a} m ə r u ̄ t ~ a g ̇ \partial g g \overline{t t, ~ " \partial n d o ̄ h ~ ว l-s ́ n \varepsilon ́ " ~ x a t t!!" ~ t o ̄ l i ~ a ̄ m ə r u ̄ t, ~ " д o ̄ m ə h ~ ð ว-h o ̄ h!" ~ w-~}$ artakyśtəh, wa-ksūt xəbēr ð-ag̉áyg əð-mōt. tōli təlūṭəm aġáyg ðə-nūka ba-xáțt, wa-thāwūr áynəh. wa-śxawallūt. al (t)ṭōm al mōh w-al kawt. wa-ḳanūt kabś wa-hhamátəh āzīz. wa-sē thōm tabkéh wa-tənēh aǵáyg, walākan šह̄nsūt lā. tōli thháyw bo-kábś mən đ̣ār saṭ̣, wə-bəkūt ḥayūm w-āṣáwr. tōmər, "yā $\bar{a} z i \bar{z}, ~ y a \bar{a}$ āz̄̄z!" āmūr háybas, "hēt tabēki sār kabś, wa-hōh ġanáy. ṣáyṭi man amōli alhān tháymi, w-al tabkī lā!"

18 makṭāt: Johnstone (followed by Stroomer) mistakenly transcribed man kaṭāt, but the Arabic manuscript and audio clearly have a single noun mokțāt. The meaning is obviously 'a cut', from the verb káwṭa 'cut'. ML (s.v. $\left.k t^{\top}\right)$ does list a noun makt $\bar{a} t$, but only with the meaning 'waterless desert' (likewise the cognates listed in $J L$ and $H L$ ).
18 yašwádáš: The Arabic manuscript has šwádaš. The Roman manuscript had šwādaš, but an initial yz- was later added, probably to match the audio, which has yašwádaš. See further in the comment to text 27:25, where we find the opposite forms attested in the manuscripts and audio. Cf. also the comment to text 12:17.
$\tan \bar{\varepsilon} h$ : This is a G-Stem subjunctive of the root $n^{\prime} w$. In $M L$ (s.v. $n^{\prime} w$ ), Johnstone defines the verb only as 'mew', though he gives the meaning 'keen over the dead' for the Jibbali cognate. As for the form, Johnstone gives the 3 ms perfect nayw $\bar{u}$, but this is surely incorrect. All other II- ${ }^{〔}$, III-w/y

16 He said, "Fine. Tonight, if she repels you again, cut her on the hand. And in the morning, we'll see." Then at night, each one went to his place. The man replaced the girl, and the girl went to her beloved.
17 Then in the middle of the night, the man came to his wife, and he had a razor. Then when they were about to go to sleep, the groom took out the razor and cut the man on the hand. But it so happened that he cut (too) forcefully and [lit. until] he cut an artery.
18 But the man bandaged it. Then in the morning, he was about to die. He went out and met the girl at the door of the house, and he said to her, "I am about to die. The man cut me on an artery. Let me cut you!" And he gave her [lit. cut her] a small cut, and he said to her, "I will die today. Farewell [lit. may God keep you safe]!"
19 And the man, his name was Aziz. The man left. Then when he got to his friend, he told him (what happened), and they went. Then in the middle of the way, the man died. His friend buried him and went on. Then he met a man going down to the town, and he gave him a letter for the girl.
20 And the man went until he got to the town. The girl saw him from the window. Then she called to him. She said, "Did you perhaps meet anyone on your way?" He said, "I met a man, and he gave me a letter for a girl in this town, but I don't know her."
21 The girl said, "Let me see the letter!" Then she said, "This is mine!" And she read it and found the news that the man had died. Then she slapped the man who brought the letter, and she blinded his eye.
22 And she stayed. She didn't taste either water or food. And she reared a lamb, and named it Aziz. She wanted to cry and grieve for the man, but she didn't dare.
Then she let the lamb fall from the roof, and she cried day and night. She would say, "Oh Aziz, oh Aziz!" Her father said, "You are crying over a lamb, and I am rich. Take whatever you want from my property, and don't cry!"
verbs have the shape $C \bar{o} h$ in the $3 m s$ perfect (cf. $M L$, s.v. $b^{c} w, r^{c} w$, and $s^{\prime} v$ ). Moreover, the subjunctive form tan $\bar{\varepsilon} h$ in this line looks like the subjunctive of other such verbs, which in turn follow the pattern of other III-w/y verbs in the Ga-Stem. The 3fp imperfect appears in text 54:2.
ḥayūm w-āṣáwr: On the audio, Ali mistakenly read the singular ḥəyáwm 'the sun', which has the same spelling (in Arabic characters) as hayūm. The Roman manuscript has the plural hayūm. The plural must be correct, since $\bar{a} s ̣ a ́ w r ~ i s ~ p l u r a l . ~$

24 āmərūt, "ábdan, ar wa-tzkāābəm āziz ṣahḥ, watē tfiḳi amkwáyri abadəwáy. w-aǵáygi yaxxályi, wal̄̄ alūtəg ḥanōf."
25 attōli āmáwr h-ag̈áygəs, "xōli!" wว-xxōli ag̉áygəs, wə-hārūs bīs amkwáyras. $w$-ənkōt mánah ba-ğīgēn, wa-hhamátah āzīz. wa-tammōt.

[^154]24 She said, "No, unless you bring Aziz back alive, or else you should marry me to my Bedouin beloved. And my husband should divorce me, or else I'll kill myself."
25 Then they said to her husband, "Divorce (her)!" And her husband divorced (her), and she married her beloved. And she bore with [lit. from] him a boy, and she named him Aziz. And it is finished.

## Text 76 (no J): A Brave Man and His Nephews

1 xəṭərāt ġayg šōga bárəh mawṣáyf. te nəhōr țayt āmūr, "ḥōm al-gahōm alxəṭār." āmūr ḥabrē ð-ag̀āh, "ḥōm al-gəhōm šūk." āmūr hah, "al təkáwdar lā. hōh ġayg śadáyd, w-aghōm man hāl al ạhād yanōka lā." āmūr, "ábdan! ḥōm $s ̌ u ̄ k$."
gahmōh. te man đ̣ār waḳt, waṣalōh gaddēt ð-al bīs ạhād lā ar ḳəyōy. w-al bis đ̛̣ērōb lā, w-al bīs mōh lā. attōli āmūr ag̉áyg ha-bar-g̀āh, "hōh mašákf. wa-hēt ənké’ am-mōh wa-ð̣ērōb, w-āmōl hīn ‘ayśé!"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g}$ īgēn, "yćys." tōli ag̉áyg šzwkūf, w-aġīgēn l-ād wīda hībōh yāmōl lā. al kūsa al mōh, w-al kūsa đ̣ērōb, w-al kūsa śwōt.t tōli hāśús hadáydah. āmūr, "hōh al wádak hībōh l-āmōl lā." āmūr hah, "ma yoxālaf. hēt al tṣáwlah šay lā. raddōna tīk tawōli hábke." tōli raddōh. te waṣalōh hāl ḥaskániham, āmūr ag̉áyg, "hōh gahmōna." $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g} a ̄ h ~ a k ̣ ə n n a ́ w n, ~ " h o ̄ h ~ h ̣ o ̄ m ~ s ̌ u ̄ k . " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r ~ h ə h, ~ " ə l ~ t ə k a ́ w d ə r ~ l a ̄ . " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~$ "ábdan, ḥōm šūk!" gahmōh. te waṣalōh amkōn ḥāwaláy, āmūr aġáyg, "hōh mašákf. wa-hēt
 $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g} a ́ y g, ~ " o ̄ b a l, ~ w a l \bar{\varepsilon}$ yaxlīfk ġəyūg ð-axáyr mank!" $\bar{m} m u ̄ r, ~ " y \varepsilon ́ y \varepsilon . " ~ " ~$ aǵáyg šəwkūf, w-ag̈ı̄gēn l-ād wīda hībōh yāmōl lā. hāśūś ag̀āh, w-āmūr hah, "al wádak hībōh l-āmōl lā." āmūr, "hēt al tṣáwlah šay lā." wa-raddēh tawōli ḥábhe.
gaddēt: I did not find this word in $M L$, but in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'waterless, treeless desert'. The word is cognate with the first word of the Arabic place-name Jiddat al-Harāsiss, the region in Oman to the east of Dhofar.
2 ha-bar- $\dot{g} a ̄ h$ : On the audio, Ali misread this phrase as ha-bar-ǵǵtzh. am-mōh: We expect $b \partial-m o \bar{h}$, but the $b$ has assimilated to the following $m$. The same assimilation is attested in Jibbali (e.g., J39:2). See also texts 94:37 and 97:34.
3 al kūsa al mōh: The al before mōh is probably an error. It would be correct if other objects followed, but instead additional verbs are used, each with their own objects. Cf. al mōh in line 11.
3 tṣáwlạh: On this verb, see the comment to text 42:11.
4 ḥaskóniham: The manuscripts have ḥaskóniham, with a 3mp possessive suffix, but Ali read ḥaskónihi, with a 3md suffix. Johnstone corrected the Roman manuscript to reflect the audio.

## Translation of Text 76

1 Once a brave man was already famous. Then one day he said, "I want to go traveling." His brother's son said, "I want to go with you." He said to him, "You can't. I am a tough man, and I go where no one else goes." He said, "No! I want (to go) with you!"
2 They went. Then after a while, they came to a barren area that had no one in it except spirits. And there was no water in it. Then the man said to his nephew, "I'm going to sleep. But you bring water and firewood, and make us dinner!"
3 The boy said, "Ok." Then the man went to sleep, and the boy didn't know at all what to do. He didn't find water, and he didn't find firewood, and he didn't find fire. Then he woke up his uncle. He said, "I don't know at all what to do." He said to him, "It doesn't matter. You aren't fit (to be) with me. I will take you back to your parents."
4 Then they went back. When they got to their settlements, the man said, "I am going." His younger [lit. little] brother said, "I want (to go) with you." He said to him, "You can't." He said, "No, I want (to go) with you!"
5 They went. Then when they got to the same [lit. previous] place, the man said, "I'm going to sleep. But you make dinner for us!" The boy said, "Where is the firewood? And where is the water? And where is the fire?" The man said, "Try, or else men who are better than you will replace you." He said, "Ok."
6 The man went to sleep, and the boy didn't know at all what to do. He woke up his brother, and he said to him, "I don't know what to do." He said, "You won't do well with me." And he took him back to his parents.
$5 \quad \bar{o} b a l: M L$ (s.v. $\left.{ }^{\prime} b l\right)$ defines the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem verb $\bar{o} b a l$ (here the ms imperative) as 'test s.t. or s.o.; look after', but in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'try, maybe he'll succeed maybe not'. The content here clearly requires the meaning 'try'. Johnstone also added in the manuscript the phrase $\bar{o} b a l b$ - 'look after a sick animal'. yaxlïfk: As discussed in $\S 7$ 7.1.2, because the 3 mp imperfect has an underlying final $-a$, we normally do not see vowel reduction when an object suffix is added. And as discussed in $\S$ 2.1.2, this underlying $a$ is present even between two voiceless and non-glottalic consonants, i.e., in an environment where unstressed phonemic a is not usually allowed. On the audio, Ali read first yaxlófk, then corrected himself to yaxlïfk. The manuscripts also both have a long $\bar{\imath}$.

7 te waṣalōh, āmūr ag̉áyg, "hōh gahmōna." āmūr ḥabrē ð-ag̉átวh, "hōh hōm šūk." āmūr hah, "al tṣáwlah šay lā." tōli āmūr, "ábdan, hōm šūk!"
8 sīrōh. te waṣalōh b-agaddēt ḥāwalīt, āmūr, "hēt āmōl hīn 'ayśé, wa-hōh
 ðд-yวġáwlak mən a đ̣̄ēōb.
$9 \quad \bar{a} d ə h$ lə-wṭákəməh, śnini śīwōt ð-akəyōy. wə-xtəl̄̄hวт. te nūka, kūsa nīd ðə-mōh wə-śīwōṭ. wว-śáll gəwdīl, wว-śáll ḥənīd ðə-barkáh ḥəmōh, wa-ffa tūt.
10 wa-bagáwdəh akəyōy, walākan alhāḳam tah lā. te wīṣal hāl amháṭt, al kūsa đ̣ērōb lā. nəḥāṣ́ mən aktáwbət ð-arīkōb te áymal alhān yasdūd a iśs̄ēhəm. áyməl xabz. te həbhūl, ḳáwla xabz đ̛ār agányət, wə-ḳáwla ḥənīd ðə-ḥəmōh дд-mīla.
11 wa-ffakōh l-azēmal. áymal ḥanáfəh šawkūf. wa-hē sayūr wa-šakrōh, ддġərūb xáylah yakōna, w-əl yāgōb aḥād yāká̉ hīs tah lā. te nūka xáyləh ba-ḩalláy, śīni xabz, wa-śīni ḥəmōh, wə-śīni śīwōt.. wa-he ðə-ġarūb al šīham al śīwōt w-al mōh w-al đ̣ērōb, w-aḳả đékamah al tkōsa bah al mōh w-al ḍērōb w-al śīwōț, w-al aḥād yasūkan bah lā ar akayōy.
tōli āmūr xáylah, "дōməh aġīgēn wakōna axáyr mənáy mət ākáwr, wa-hōm $l$-əwtáġəh." əttōli śīni azēmal, wə-yəhūgəs ag̈̄̄gēn дə-šawkūf. wə-zərkáyh baḳənátəh. tōli g̀rrūb ðə-hē zarūk ar azēməl. əttōli ṣāk, $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "hām thámay, hōh arībēk, w-ənké əw-bōh!"
13 attōli ankáyh, wa-hātamōh. te k-sōbəḥ gahmōh, yahamōh təwōli ḥaskániham. te bárhi b-aámk,, ksəyōh bayr. āmūr ag̉áyg ha-bar-g̉átəh, "kəfēd wa-ġərēf līn mōh. wa-hōh dalyōna tīk b-akáyd." āmūr ag̈̀gēn, "y kəfūd ag̈̄̄gēn wa-ġərūf mōh.
 bark abáyr. wə-ṭàkk másmər bark xaff дə-ḥaybátəh, wə-gəhēm.
waṣalōh: The Arabic manuscript originally has wiṣal bah 'he arrived with him' (or 'he brought him'). The verb wịşal (ويصل) was later changed to waṣalōh 'they arrived' (the ي was crossed out, and a final وه- added). However, the following bah was not crossed out, as it should have been. On the audio, Ali read waṣalōh, but stumbled as he read the (now ungrammatical) following bah 'with him'.
$\bar{a} d ə h$ : The Arabic manuscript has only $\bar{a} d$, but this seems to be an error. The audio and Roman manuscript have $\bar{a} d \partial h$, as we expect.
amhátṭ: This word, which I did not find in $M L$, is glossed by Johnstone in the Roman manuscript as 'station, night-camp for camels only; camel saddle place'. Cf. Arabic mahatt 'stopping-place'.
aktáwbot: The word kotáwbat is the plural of katēb (ML, s.v. ḳtb), which is

7 Then when they arrived, the man said, "I am going." His sister's son said, "I want (to go) with you." He said to him, "You won't do well with me." Then he said, "No, I want (to go) with you!"
8 They went. Then when they arrived at the same [lit. previous] barren area, he said, "You make us dinner, and I will take out the riding-camels." The boy said, "Ok." When his uncle disappeared, the boy went looking for firewood.
9 While he was doing this, he saw a fire of (some) spirits. He snuck up to them. When he got there, he found a skin of water and fire. And he took a fire-log, and he took the skin that had water in it, and he ran off.
10 And the spirits chased him, but they didn't catch him. Then when he got to the camp, he didn't find any firewood. He took slices off the riding-camels' (wooden) harnesses, until he made what their dinner required. He made bread. Then when he cooked (it), he put down the bread on the sack, and he put down the water-skin that was full.
11 And he covered the camel-load. He pretended he was sleeping (under there). And he went and hid, knowing that his uncle was jealous and didn't like anyone to be like him. Then when his uncle came at night, he saw the bread, and he saw the water, and he saw the fire. And he knew that they didn't have fire or water or firewood, and (he knew that) that place, you wouldn't find in it water or firewood or fire, and no one lived in it except spirits.
12 Then his uncle said, "This boy will be better than me when he grows up, so I should kill him." Then he saw the camel-load, and he thought the boy was sleeping. And he stabbed him with his spear. Then he knew that he had stabbed only the camel-load. Then he called out, he said, "If you hear me, I am your friend, so come here!"
13 Then he came to him, and they spent the night. Then in the morning, they went off, heading for their settlements. When they were on the way [lit. in the middle], they found a well. The man said to his nephew, "Go down and fetch us water. I'll pull you up with the rope." The boy said, "Ok." He went down and fetched water.
14 And the man gave water to the riding-camels, and he filled the water-skin. And he took the rope, and left the boy in the well. And he hammered a nail into the foot of his camel, and he left.
a harness made of hard wood, placed under the mazamlēt, the soft, grassfilled bags on which the camel's load is laid (ML, s.v. zml).
ksayōh: The manuscripts have $k s o ̄ h$, but the audio has ksəyōh (cf. also 99:2). This is another example of a III-' verb falling together with III-w/y verbs.
w-ag̈ḡ̄ēn báyǩi bərk abáyr, hīs gàmūs xáylah. haybátzh bīs mahțtáym, wa-tarbūb lah. tōli šadérbaš bīs, wa-həkfadūt (həwūt) lah b-amahṭáym. wz-mūna b-amaḥtáym, wz-haybît ḥaddūt bəh agáwf.
te wịşl hanīs, kūsa ba-xáffas másmar. attōli śall sáātáyt masáwmar, wz-ţàkk kāl tāat bə-xáff. wə-rīkəb đ̣ār haybátzh, wə-gəhēm tع alhāk xáyləh. attōli h̄̄s śñi bar-ğátzh hakbūl lah, zarkáyh b-akənáth. wz-škallīs ag̈ğḡn ba-háydəh. wz-gəhēm wz-š̌ว akənīt ðə-xáylah. attōli āmūr hah, "awágbak tslébi lā wa-tfášli bark hābū. wa-hēt fayázk lay ba-kāl śýyən. wa-hōh arï̄ēk te tamēt, wa-rdēd lay akənēti." tōli wazmīh akənátzh, wz-səddōh. w-ag̈ḡ̄ēn wīka axáyr mən xáylah. wz-tzmmōt.
tarbüb: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone mistakenly transcribed tal$b \bar{u} b$, and added the gloss 'loved'. No such verb is otherwise attested, but perhaps he thought this was connected to the word hawbïb 'heart' (root $l b b$; dialectal hal $l \bar{e} \bar{b})$. In fact, the Arabic manuscript and audio make clear that the intended form was tarbūb, from the verb robb (root $r b b$ ), used only with regard to female camels (and perhaps other animals) to mean 'accept a tulchan, substitute for a lost calf'. (On this practice, see text TJ2 in JLO.) The sense here is that the camel has taken the boy for its calf, and so it loves him.
hakfadūt: The audio has hakfadūt 'it let down', while the Roman manuscript has hawūt 'it made fall'. Both H-Stem verbs fit the context very well. The form in the Arabic manuscript is very unclear. Ali wrote هؤوت, with three dots over the second letter, a letter not found anywhere else in the texts. (The letter is used for $v$ in some adapted Arabic scripts, like Kurdish and Uyghur.) It is almost certain that Ali simply made an error in writing, and, in fact, he stumbled several times in his reading before settling on hakfadūt.
tfášl: This is a D/L-Stem (f)fóš̌al (from the Arabic D-Stem faššala), which, besides its basic meaning of 'thwart, cause to fail', can mean 'embarrass' (in both Mehri and Arabic). Johnstone transcribed here $s$ in place of $\check{s}$, but the audio clearly has š. In $M L$, the verb (misprinted as sōšal instead of fǒšl) has $\check{s}$, but it is listed under the root $f s l l$ (which itself is alphabetized as if it were $f s ̌ l)$. $M L$ defines the verb as 'confound, surpass'.

15 And the boy remained in the well, after his uncle disappeared. His camel had a lead-rope, and it loved him. Then he called it, and it let down the rope to him. And he grabbed the rope, and the camel pulled him up.
16 Then when he got to it, he found a nail in its foot. Then he took three nails, and he hammered each one into a foot. And he mounted his camel, and he went until he caught up to his uncle.
17 Then when he saw his nephew approaching him, he thrust at him with his spear. But the boy caught it with his hand. And he went on, his uncle's spear with him.
18 Then he said to him, "You shouldn't disarm me and embarrass me among the people. You have surpassed me in everything. I am your friend until you die, so give me back my spear." Then he gave him his spear, and they made a pact. And the boy became better than his uncle. And it is finished.

## Text 77 (no J): Collecting Honey

1 xəṭərāt ġayg śīni magrīr bark aámk að-déhaḳ. attōli rūfa, yạ̣ōm yadbéh amgarīr. te wịṣl karáyb l-amgarīr, l-ād awfōh makōn lā. hām yahōm yag̀lēk xōtor, yahyūr wa-yaḥsūs al-hīs śı đд-yagrérəh xōṭər. wว-hām ġalūk ağáwf, anwēb tawákban bark āyénthe. wa-šḥวyūr ḥalákamah, l-ād yahūgas al-salōmat lā.
attōli áyūt, wə-hámam təh ḥābū ðə-yáyịţə. wə-həwḥáyw lah wə-wbáwd məśēb wa-kafūd līsan te wīṣal ba-xōṭar. w-āmūr, "ḥármak l-ād adūbah zōyad te al-mēt." āmūr, "ber śīnək amáywat bark āyéntye. te wa-lū adébah ḡōli wo-dīwē!"
attōli šarbá’ ṭāt al-kayūd. atté wīṣal amgarīr, wa-dūbah wa-ḥhōọal mánah tamēn ð-ərba'áyn ḳaráwš. hīs ber təmūm wa-bér ķafūd xōṭər, āmūr ağáyg ðд-ksēh fənōhən, "до̄тәһ ar ðə-hōh! wว-hōh วд-kásk təh fənōhən." āmūr ag̉áyg ðə-dūbah, "hēt āmárk, 'l-ād hōh dabhōna zōyad lä'. wa-hīs ber gazámk, 'l-ād adūbah zōyad', hōh dábhak." ənké’ hah b-əhāā yadbéhəh. walākan hēt, hām tḥōm mən hənáy śt, al hōh makōọar būk lā." āmūr ağáyg ðə-dūbəh, "al hōh ḥāgáwrək lā, tel-ədbéh hūk." āmūr aġáyg ðว-kūsa amgərīr, "xáybən, ġədéwwən təwōli hābū. w-alhān faṣáwl am-manwīn hōh káblak." sīrōh te waṣalōh hāl ḥābū, wə-kūsəm ġəyūg əð-śxəwlīl. attōli šxabīr hāl aġəyūg, wə-wzáwm mān̄̄. šxəbūr aġáyg ðə-kūsa amgərīr, āmūr, "hōh šay naxlīt ðд-bér xarfōt, walākan al lafk tīs lā. wว-ð-hānáyk al-syēr h-əḥād yaxtəràfs háyni. ādi lə-wṭákวməh, nūka aġáyg ðعh w-əxtərūf anxaláyti, wəśáll alhān bīs man xarēf, wa-mğōran āmūr,' 'al wazmōna tīk śī lā.' wa-hībōh tāmaram ḥaṣ́áwrat?"

2 maś $\bar{\varepsilon} b$ : See the comment to text 88:1.
3 dūbah: On the audio, Ali read dabōh for both occurrences of dūbah in this line, even though the Arabic manuscript has دوبه (likewise in line 4 and twice in line 6 , but not in lines 8 or 10). In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed dəbūh for the first occurrence of dūbah in line 3 (but not the second, or in line 4). In fact, dabōh is an acceptable variant of $d \bar{u} b a h$. On the mixing of III-Guttural verbs and III-w/y verbs, see further in $\S 7.2 .10$. $M L$ lists the two variants under different roots ( $d b h$ and $d b y$ ), but the correct root for both is $d b h$.

## Translation of Text 77

Once a man saw a beehive in the middle of a cliff. The he climbed up, intending to collect honey from the hive. Then when he got close to the hive, he couldn't climb anymore [lit. anywhere]. If he wanted to look down, he would get dizzy and feel like something was pulling him down. And if he looked up, the bees would get into his eyes. And he was trapped there, thinking (he wasn't) safe at all.
2 Then he yelled out, and the people heard him yelling. And they came to help him, and they knocked together ladders, and he went down on them and [lit. until] he got down. And he said, "I swear I won't collect honey ever again until I die." He said, "I have seen death in my eyes. Even if honey is expensive and (can be) medicine!"
3 Then someone climb up with ropes. Then he got to the hive, and he collected honey and got from it forty dollars' worth. After he finished and came down, the man who found it first said, "This is really mine! I am the one who found it first." The man who collected the honey said, "You said, 'I won't collect honey ever again'. And after you swore, 'I won't collect honey ever again', I collected the honey."
4 He said, "No way! The hive is my hive, and I am the one who found it. Maybe I would bring to it someone to collect it. But you, if you want any from me, I won't hold back (in generosity)." The man who collected the honey said, "I am not your slave, that I should collect honey for you." The man who found the hive said, "Fine, let's go to the people. Whatever they decide between us I will accept."
5 They went until they got to the people, and they found men sitting around. Then they questioned the men, and they gave an explanation. The man who found the hive asked, he said, "I have a date-palm that has borne fruit, but I wasn't able to climb it. And I intended to go to someone to harvest it for me. While I was thus, this man came and harvested my date-palm, and took all that it had in fruit, and then he said, 'I won't give you anything.' And what do you present say?"
$5 x \partial r \bar{f} f:$ This word is not in $M L$, but it is obviously connected with the verbs xáyraf 'bear fruit' and axtarūf 'harvest fruit', both used in this line. Johnstone transcribed xarēf, but on the audio it sounds closer to xaráyf.
attōli šxəbīr aġáyg ðə-dūbəh. āmūr aġáyg дə-dūbəh, "hōh ð-əghōm bəhármi. attōli hámak táyēṭ, wə-həwháyk. te nákak, kzsk ag̉áyg ðгh bárəh yahōm yahwéh, wa-fayēk tah man amáywət. əttōli āmūr háyni, 'hōh kask magrīr, walākan al lafk lā.' wa-l- $\bar{a} d ~ h o ̄ h ~ d a b h o ̄ n a ~ z o ̄ y a d ~ l a ̄ . ' w-a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~$ ‘’̣ármak l-ād adūbah zōyad.'
wa-hīs hámak tah gazūm, hōh xátrak ba-həənōfi wa-dábhək. wa-hīs śanyáy ḥáṣəlak adébəh, āmūr, ðōməh amgaráyri, wa-ðōməh adébəh ðə-hōh.' wə-hē əś-śxəwtūl. wa-hībōh tāmarəm ḥaṣ́áwrat?"
āmáwr aġəyūg ðə-ḥวṣ́áwr, "yámkən yasyēr wว-yənké" hวh b-əḥād yadbéhəh həh." w-āmūr aġáyg əð-dūbəh, "hē ber ḥərmáh." āmáwr aġəyūg, "hē hōrəm al yadūbah. ṣarōməh tháyman nəf̣̣ālam-mənwīkam, antaḳáyl! wə-tháym tagtēsəm, antakáyl!"
āmáwr, "nəḥámkam taf̣ālam am-mənwīn." āmáwr aġəyūg, "ráyṣkzam ba-fáṣalan?" āmarōh, "ráyṣan."
attōli faṣáwl aġəyūg əm-mənwīhəm yahhádyam adźbəh fákḥi,faḳ̣h hağáyg əð-dūbəh, wə-faḳh h-ağáyg ðə-kūsa amgərīr. wə-hhádyəm adébəh əm-mənwáyhi wa-səddōh. wə-tวmmōt.
yahhádyam ... hhádyzm: Though the manuscripts have the D/L-Stem forms yahhádyzm 'they should divide' and hádyam 'they divided', Ali read on the audio the T2-Stem forms yahtádyam 'they should divide amongst one another' and ahtádyam 'they divided amongst one another'. am-mənwáyhi:Though the manuscripts have am-mənwáyhi, with the 3md suffix, Ali read on the audio am-manwīham, with the 3 mp suffix.

6 Then they asked the man who collected the honey. The man who collected the honey said, "I was going on my way. Then I heard a cry for help, and I went to help. Then when I came, I found this man about to fall, and I saved him from death. Then he said to me, 'I found a hive, but I couldn't climb (to it). And I will not collect honey ever again.' And he said, 'I swear I won't collect honey ever again.'
7 And when I heard him swear, I endangered myself and collected the honey. And when he saw me get the honey, he said, 'This is my hive, and this honey is mine.' But he had sat down. And what do you present say?"
8 The men who were present said, "Maybe he would have gone and brought to it someone to collect it for him." And the man who collected the honey said, "He already swore it." The men said, "He swore he would not collect honey. Now if you want us to mediate between you, choose! Or if you want to quarrel, choose!"
$9 \quad$ They said, "We want you to mediate between us." The men said, "Do you accept our judgment?" They said, "We accept."
10 Then the men decided between them that they should divide the honey into halves, half for the man who collected the honey, and half for the man who found the hive. And they divided the honey between them and made up. And it is finished.

## Text 8o (no J): A Misunderstanding

1 A: "mən ḥõ nákak?"
2 B: "nákak am-bōh, mən mārīb."
3 A: "hātámk ḥõ yallōh?"
4 B: "hātámk ba-ḥawōdi ðīh s-sarīn."
5 A: "hāl ạ̣ād aw wa ḥsūk?"
6 B: "wa-ya lawb waḥśáy. gazōt lay ḥəyáwm, wa-l-ād habṣárk al-bār lā.w-al hōh ba-xáyr lā."
7 A: "būkhēśan?"
8 B: "bay báxaṣ ðд-rōh."
9 A: "hībōh āmárk?"
10 B: "āmárk, bay báxaṣ ðə-rōh."
11 A: "ḥәwēk tīk lā."
12 B: "āmárk hūk, bay báxaṣ ðə-rōh. bay báxaṣ ðə-rōh."
13 A: "hībōh? ṣáṭṭək haərōhk?"
14 B: "ह̃h $\tilde{\varepsilon}$."
15 A: "ar hēs̄ən man bahlīt 'báxaṣ ðว-rōh"?"
16 B: "naḥāh nōmar "báxaṣ ðə-rōh'. atēm tāmaram hībōh?"
17 A: "naḥāh nōmar ‘̣̣áṭə $k$ ḥarōhi."
18 B: "xáyban, hōh ṣáț̣ak ḥarōhi."
19 A: "hām āmárk ‘bay báxəṣ ðə-rōh’, ahạd yahww̄̄k taw lā."
20 B: "hēt man ḥõ nákak?"
21 A: "hōh nákak mən məśkáyṣ."
22 B: "abhēl taxtalūfan!" wว-tammōt.

8 báxaṣ: The root bxṣ, not understood by the other speaker in this story, is attested with the meaning 'pain' in several Yemeni Mehri sources (cf. Jahn 1902: 167; Nakano 1986: 41; Sima 2009, texts $32: 18$ and 82:8). It is a metathesized version of the root șxb found in Jibbali ( $J L$, s.v. șxb) and Hobyot (HV, p. 89). This correspondence was pointed out already by Lonnet (1985: 54). The MSA root has a general meaning 'be in pain, ache', and is perhaps related to Arabic șaxiba 'shout, clamor'.
21 məśkáyṣ: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'sunrise'. See further on this word in the comment to text 104:5.

## Translation of Text 8o

1 A: "Where did [or: do] you come from?"
2 B: "I came [or: come] from here, from Marib."
3 A: "Where did you spend the night last night?"
4 B: "I spent the night in this valley behind us."
5 A: "With someone or by yourself?"
6 B: "No, by myself. The sun went down on me, and I couldn't see well enough anymore to travel at night. And I was not well."
7 A: "What's the matter with you?"
8 B: "I have a headache (báxəṣ̣ ðд-rōh)."
9 A: "What did you say?"
10 B: "I said, I have a headache."
11 A: "I don't understand you."
12 B: "I said to you, I have a headache. I have a headache."
13 A: "What? Your head hurts you?"
14 B: "Yes."
15 A: "But what kind of word is báxaṣ ðə-rōh?"
16 B: "We say báxaṣ ðə-rōh ('an ache of the head'). What do you say?"
17 A: "We say ṣátṭak ḥərōhi ('I have pain in my head')."
18 B: "Fine, I have pain in my head."
19 A: "If you say bay báxaṣ ðə-rōh, no one will understand you well."
20 B: "Where do you come from?"
21 A: "I come from the east."
22 B: "Words differ!" And it is finished.

## Text 81 (no J): Hyenas

 halláy, nəkōt ta abráyn te karáyb al-ḥāráwn wa-ffardūt bīsən. wa-šīn ḥārīt, wa-śīnan tīs. wa-wbədīs ag̀āy wa-ḥวwṣáwb.
tع $k$-sōbวḥ śīnən tīs əð-gārōt b-amsayōl, wa-sayūrən nəśnēs. wa-kūsən xōtam bə-hayðēnas. wa-yāmaram ðə-sawēḥar tāmōlan h-tِabrəyēn xtūm. wa-mākənnáy xaṭəāt kalūt līn g̀ayg, āmūr, "əwbádk tabráyn wa-tِabárk fèmas, wa-kálak tīs. te nahōr ṭayt," āmūr, "kask tīs bark daḥlīl ber ð-āt̄mēt." $\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " w a-t \underline{2} b a ́ r k f \bar{\varepsilon} m a s ~ a m s ̌ g ̇ \partial r e ̄ t ~ w a-k ̣ a ́ l a k ~ t i ̄ s . ~$ ts man đ̣ār wakētēn," āmūr, "ṭafk līs wa-kásk ber ðว-ātِəmēt fēmas amšğərēt." āmūr, "wə-mōt ōśar mən ḥázys awákt ð́́kəməh." w-ag̉áyg ðékaməh al yabáyd lā. wə-tวmmōt.
$1 \quad x x \partial t \bar{o} l a n$ :The Arabic manuscript has a prefix $\partial$ - before this verb, but there is no prefix in the Roman manuscript or on the audio. As for the verb itself, the root $x t l$ is absent from $M L$. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'come down from mts'. JL (s.v. $x t ̣ l$ ) gives a Jibbali a G-Stem xoṭól 'go with o's animals to a place after the monsoon rains where no others have been yet for pasture' and an H-Stem axṭél 'bring o's animal to uncropped pasture after the monsoon rains'. Miranda Morris (p.c.) has also recorded a Jibbali noun xaṭalít 'the time, marked by celebrations, of moving from the lower to the higher pastures, especially taking the camels from the coastal areas up to the mountains to feed on the fresh new grazing brought up by the monsoon'. The Mehri form xxəṭōlan must be an H-Stem 1cp perfect; the G-Stem would be xaṭáwlon. ( $h$-) ak $\bar{a}$ : On the audio, Ali clearly read $h$ - $a k \bar{a} \bar{a}$, though $h$ - is absent from the manuscripts.

## Translation of Text 81

1 Once we were camping in a valley. We had come to that place (to graze). Then one night, at night, a hyena came close to the goats and frightened them. There was moonlight [lit. the moon was with us], and we saw it. My brother shot at it and hit (it).
2 Then in the morning we saw it had fallen in the valley bottom, and we went to see it. And we found a ring in its ear. They say that witches make rings for the hyenas.
3 Now once a man told us, he said, "I shot a hyena and broke its leg, and I left it. Then one day," he said, "I found it in a cave already bandaged up." He said, "And I broke its other leg and left it.
4 Then after a little while," he said, "I visited it, and I found its other leg bandaged up." He said, "And ten of my goats died at that time."
5 And that man doesn't lie. And it is finished.

## Text 82 (no J): A Man on a Hyena

1 xaṭərāt hōh wa-ṭāṭ ðд-nbáwr ba-ḥōram ba-ḥalláy. wa-šīn śawahēr. attōli śīnək manēdam man rēḥəḳ. ahágsah ðə-rikəb đ̛̣ār tِabráyn. āmárk h-arībēy, "śxáwwal! ġalēk ag̉áyg ðə-rīkəb đ̣ār țabráyn!"
āmūr arībēy, "kalé’ abēdi!" āmárk, "hām al šaṣdákk tī lā, śxáwwal wa-śné?!" walākan arībēy al yahabṣáwr wīyan lā. wa-hōh ðə-ḥtámk ðว-hē ag̉áyg ðдrīkəb đ̣ār tِabráyn. wa-sínak tah hīs ḳəfūd mən đ̛̣áyras, wə-nkáyn. āmárk h-arībēy, "naḥōm nawbádah, ādəh al sharīn!" $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a r i ̄ b \overline{e ́, ~ " k a l \varepsilon " ~ h ̣ ə w e ̄ l!~ h e ̄ t ~ a l ~ h e ̄ t ~ \partial a-h ̣ t a ́ m k ~ l a ̄ . ~ w-ə l ~ n a h ̣ a ̄ h ~ a ̄ m y e ̄ l a ~ b a h ~}$ śī lā, te wa-lū ðд-rīkəb đ̛̣ār tِabráyn. al hīn ḥaḳk bah lā." wa-gaḥdáy arībēy $b$-al-ḥakị̂ka. šagḥádk ba-ttōli.
4 walākan hīs ankáyn agáyg, àmūr hīn, "kō tēm báwmah?" āmárk, "siñan śı̄ ð-ādən al nəśényah lā mən hīs xalēkən." āmūr aġáyg, "hēśan?" āmárk, "hēt ðə-g̈ərábk tah." tōli sī̄nək awághəh ð-āfêrūr, wə-hthámk təh yākáká šəwṣáwb agáạtu, w-al wádak lā. amnēdəm ādəh yomūt. w-arībēy gəḥdáy. wə-báttədən nəḥāh w-ag̈áyg, wəhē ðд-yəšgēsən tīn wว-ðд-yวšōda bīn. wว-hōh ber hámak ḥābū yāmərəm, "sawēḥar tarkōban đ̣ār tabrayēn ba-ḥalláy." wa-tammōt.

1 śawahēr: Stroomer's edition has śawēhar, following ML (s.v. śhr), but the form in $M L$ is an error. The manuscripts and audio clearly have śzwa $h e \bar{r}$. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'new moon', and 'new moon' appears also in the English translation of the text made by Ali. aǵáyg: The manuscripts have ágáyg, but the audio has $\dot{g} a y g$. If the latter was intended, then the translation of the sentence should be 'but I was sure that he was a man riding on a hyena'.
al-hakîka: This is Arabic al-ḩakilka 'the truth'. Ali wrote in the Arabic manuscript, but pronounced it al-hag̈ïga on the audio.
ð-āfērūr: The audio has $\partial$ - $\bar{a} f e \overline{r u ̄ r ~(3 m s ~ p e r f e c t), ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ R o m a n ~ a n d ~ A r a-~}$ bic manuscripts have ðə-yāfērïrən. It is not clear how to parse the form $y \bar{a} f e ̄ r \bar{r} r ə n$ in the manuscripts, since the expected 3 ms imperfect is yāfērūr. šวwṣáwb: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'catch'. $M L$ (s.v. ṣwb) defines the verb šzwṣáwb only as 'be hit, wounded' (cf. 64:20 and

## Translation of Text 82

1 Once someone and I were traveling at night on the road. And there was a new moon. Then I saw a person far away. I thought he was riding on a hyena. I said to my friend, "Stop! Look at the man riding on a hyena!"
2 My friend said, "Stop lying [lit. leave the lie]!" I said, "If you don't believe me, stop and see!" But my friend didn't see very well. But I was sure that the man was riding on a hyena. And I saw him get down off of it, and he came towards us. I said to my friend, "Let's shoot him, before he bewitches us!"
3 My friend said, "Stop the craziness! You aren't sure. We won't do anything to him, even if he was riding on a hyena. We have no right against him." And my friend convinced me of the truth. I was convinced in the end.
4 But when the man came to us, he said to us, "Why are you here?" I said, "We saw something we have never seen since we were born." The man said, "What?" I said, "You know." Then I saw his face turn red, and I suspected he might have caught the implication, but I didn't know.
5 A person will still die [i.e., whether he tells the truth or not]. And my friend convinced me (again). We and the man separated from each other, and he was telling us off and cursing us. But I have heard people say, "Witches ride on hyenas at night." And it is finished.

83:3). I am following Johnstone in the translation 'caught', but it is possible that it does mean 'be wounded' here, meaning that the man was offended or insulted.
4 aǵáṭ: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'implication'. The word is not in $M L$, and it is perhaps connected with Arabic $\dot{g} a t \underset{w}{ }$ 'cover'. In Ali's English translation, he did not translate the phrase šəwṣáwb ag̉átu.
5 amnēdam $\bar{a} d ə h$ yдmūt: The meaning of this idiom is clearly stated in Ali's English translation, in which he wrote 'a man will one day day [sic] whither [sic] he tells the truth or not'.

## Text 83 (= J83): A Brave Boy

1 xəṭərāt ġayg wə-ḥabráh $k$-həbēr b-anágd. w-awákt ð $\varepsilon$ kəməh aḳəwōm yağázyam ha-ṭāṭīdáyhəm. te nahōr śanyōh aḳáwm məhákbal láhi. wa-hēxar al šah mandáwḳ lā. w-ag̈īgēn šah mandáwḳ, walākan ḥəláts al sē gádat lā, w-amxáwbot xáybət.
wə-hēxar ðд-yaว̣ōla man fêməh. attōli āmūr hēxar habráh, "bə-hənáfk, waḳalēy báwmah!" āmūr ag̀īgēn, "yaktalīt bay aḳabōyal, hām falátk wa-kálak tīk. walākan ḥamōh l-affawtáh tع đ̣ār aḳōn ðēk w-al-šhagyáh ḥalákamah." wa-ffowtōh.
3 aġigēn, āmáwr, xā hē ṣār, wə-yaffatūt. wə-mət bárəh rəwāhak, yəšlábdən al-háybah te yawṣálah. attōli waṣalōh aḳōn, wa-šalēbəd aġīgēn man đ̣ār aḳōn. wa-bér hagáwr trōh. attōli šawṣáwb ḥáybah, w-ag̈̄̄gēn hagáwr śōlat. wa-ttamūm amxáwbat!. ādəh šah ṭāt bass. attōli āmūr hīham, "hōh l-ād šay gamáylat lā. təmámk amxáwbotye, wassēmək ḥənōf." wa-bér śḥān aməndáwḳวh. attōli āmáwr akáwm, "mōn ðд-yahōm yowtáġวh?" tōli āmūr ṭāṭ mənhēm, "hōh. ag̀āy awtēg̈, wz-ḥōm al-šáwf bah." āmáwr hah, "xáybən, aḳōfi təwēhe! ber təmūm amxáwbaṭe." w-akōfi te
 $\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g} a ́ y g, ~ " s a l o ̄ m ə t ~ y a m o ̄ h ~ a r ~ g ̉ a ́ l y a t ~ l u ̄ k . ~ b e r ~ l a ́ t g ̇ ə k ~ s ́ a ̄ t a ́ y t ~ ð ə-h e ̄ m ~ x ə y o ̄ r, ~$ w-ādk tháwsab al-salōmət? fənōhən háddali bə-həbēr, ādk ṣaḥh." āmūr aġı̄gēn, "ād āðər?" āmūr ağáyg, "barr!" āmūr aġı̄gēn, "hōh šay bēr ar xawr, walākan wazmōna tīk amgəháwdi. ḥāk!"

1 hàlátah: ML (s.v. ḥlv) defines hàlōt only as 'description' (e.g., 28:7; cf. also the D/L-Stem verb (h)hōli 'describe', used in 23:10), but here, a better definition is 'condition'. Perhaps the word has been influenced by the word ḥōlat 'condition' (e.g., 24:15).

## Translation of Text 83

1 Once a man and his son were with the camels in the Najd. And at that time the raiding-parties were raiding each other. Then one day they saw the raiding-party approaching them. And the old man didn't have a rifle. The boy had a rifle, but its condition was not good, and the cartridges were few.
2 And the old man was lame in his foot [or: leg]. Then the old man said to his son, "(Save) yourself, and leave me here!" The boy said, "The tribes will talk (badly) about me if I run away and leave you. But let's run away up onto that peak and make a stand there." And they fled.
3 The boy, they said, was like a gazelle, and he fled. And as he got a little further away, he would fire back past his father, so he could get to it [the peak]. Then they reached the peak, and the boy fired from atop the peak. He had already brought down two (men). Then his father was wounded, and the boy brought down a third. And he used up his cartridges. He had only one left.
4 Then he said to them, "I don't have any more strength. I've finished my cartridges, and I hereby surrender myself." And he had already loaded his rifle. Then the raiding-party said, "Who wants to kill him?" Then one of them said, "I do. My brother has been killed, and I want to avenge him." They said to him, "Ok, go to him! He has already finished his cartridges." And he went until he got near the boy. The boy said to him, "You should spare me!"
6 The man said, "Peace today (will be) expensive for you indeed. You already killed three who were the best, and you're still counting on peace? First direct me to the camels, while you're still alive." The boy said, "Is there still (a chance for) a pardon?" The man said, "Never!" The boy said, "I have only a few camels, but I will give you my best effort. Here you are!"

7 wə-kázz bah wə-wtəg̉áyh. wə-nákam akáwm wə-látġəm ag̀īgēn, wə-
 $w$ - $\bar{m} \bar{u} r$, "ū al ber lūtag arbōt manīn lā, al nəwtēġan tah lā. al aḥ̣ād yahagōza bah lā, walākan ber hagáwr arbōt báni dáydi." wa-təmmōt.
$7 \quad y \partial h \partial g \bar{z} z a$ : The layout of the entry for the verb hagzōh in ML (s.v. $g z y$ ) makes it appear that yahgōza is the 3 ms subjunctive form. In fact, this can only be a variant 3 ms imperfect. The correct 3 ms imperfect is yahagáyz, while yahagōza would be the 3 ms imperfect of a root $g z^{\prime}$ or $g z^{〔}$. The 3 ms subjunctive is yahīgaz.
báni dáydi: The phrase báni dáydi, in which báni is the construct plural of 'son’ (see §4.6), is actually realized as bən idáydi. In Ali’s Arabic-letter transcription, and on the audio, the final $-i$ is clearly part of the second word, with a pause between ban and $i$-. This is the same phenomenon that is found with the dual, where the suffix $-i$ is usually considered by the speaker to be connected to the following numeral trōh, rather than suffixed to the noun (see §4.2).
$7 \quad$ And he shot him and killed him. And the raiding-party came and killed the boy, and the raiding-party left. And one of the raiding-party told people about the bravery of the boy, and he said, "If only he had not already killed four of us, we would not have killed him. No one can make up for his absence, but he had already brought down my four cousins." And it is finished.

## Text 84 (no J): Singing for their Supper

1 kวlūt lay ṭāṭ mən sadḳāye, "xəṭərāt sáfrən." hēm sát̄áyt əmbəráwtən. āmūr, "sáfran bark badén, nəḥōm ha-ṣáwr." āmūr, "al šīn darēham lā ar xawr." wahēm yạ̣áym yassáfrom h-xalīg, wə-ssáfram bark abdén. te wáṣalam agōzar, wa-wbadátham abalēt, wa-harsīw waḳōna xáymah yūm. attōli br'áyli abdén l-ād wazáwmham ḳawt tənōfa lā. attōli āmūr t tạt mənhēm, "hībōh śawr?" āmūr ṭāt, "hōh šay śawr. salyēba te ba-ḥalláy. ámma trrōh yasīrōh təwōli ḥābū wə-yāmərōh, w-ámma ṭāt yāmōl ḥənáfh ðə-gїlu wə-ðд-ḥáybər.
3 wa-yakfēd bark xan wa-yahrēk līn kawt. wa-trōh ðə-yāmərōh, hām śanyōh aḥād kafūd xan, ‘ḥəдðōr ba-ḥanáfk, aməwgēt nəkōt!'"
4 te ba-ḥalláy, țāṭ hargūf b-abēdi, wa-t-trōh sīrōh tawōli anōxəдē w-āmərōh.
 kəfūd bark xan, wa-trōh āmərōh. agंwaráh ba-ḥābū. wə-mət śanyōh aḥād mən aġəyūg ḥátrək, "hīgən ḥənáfk, ḥəbūr ðə-yəzyūd!" yāmərəm bəáyli abdén, "lawb yāmərəm amhəréh!" wa-hēm la-wṭákəməh, teftūk arībēhəm mən xan. ber mūla ānīt tōmər, wə-ġayrōrət ayś, wə-šarbá ${ }^{\prime}$ mən xan. wa-ktīw, ōðəl ð-awárx. wa-ḳərōh aḳáwt ðə-hərḳáys b-amkōnhəm. attōli śanyáyah hərbāthe w-āmərōh hah, "hībōh hankórk?" āmūr, "farákk ṣarōmah." āmərōh trōh ( ठ-)śxawallōh hāl anōxəðē wa-harbāthe, "smēham līn. ḥamōh al-sīrōh təwōli arībēki." āmūr anōxəде̄, "ábdan, háğfalam tīn səwānōt!"
badén: This is Arabic badan, a type of small dhow common in Oman. agōzar: This is the Mehri name for al-Jāzir, a coastal area in the southern part of the al-Wustā governorate of Oman. It lies roughly a third of the way from Ṣalalah to Ṣur.
yāmarōh: See the comment to text 52:1.
3 amawgēt: $M L$ (s.v. $m w g$ ) has the form máwgat, comparable to Jibbali mébgat ( JL , s.v. $m w g$ ), but the manuscripts and audio clearly have aməwgēt in this story. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone did later add the form amáwgat in the margin.
higan: This form must be an H -Stem ms imperative from a root $g n w$ or $g n y$. It is perhaps etymologically $g n^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{cf} . J L\right.$, s.v. $g n^{\prime}$ ). The form hagnē listed in $M L$ (s.v. $\left.g n^{\prime}\right)$ is likely an error. We expect a 3 ms perfect hagnōh, and, in fact, Johnstone included hagnō in the margin of the Roman manuscript of this text.

## Translation of Text 84

1 One of my friends told me, "Once we traveled." They were three boys. He said, "We traveled in a badan, heading for Ṣur." He said, "We didn't have any money except a little." And they wanted to travel to the Gulf, and they traveled in a badan. Then when they got to al-Jāzir, the north wind hit them, and they anchored for about five days.
2 And the owners of the badan didn't give them enough food at all. Then one of them said, "What's the plan?" One said, "I have a plan. We'll wait until night-time. Two will go to the people and sing, and one will pretend he has a fever and chills.
3 And he should go down to the hold and steal food for us. And the two that are singing, if they see anyone go down to the hold, (they'll say,) 'Watch out for yourself, the wave has come!"
4 Then at night, one fake-shivered [lit. shivered on pretense], and two went to the captain and sung. The captain said, "What's with your friend?" They said, "He's shivering (with fever) and has chills." Then he went down to the hold, and the two sang. They distracted the people. And when they saw one of the men move, (they said,) "Warm yourself, the cold is increasing!"
5 The owners of the badan said, "Truly, the Mehris (can) sing!" And they were like this, until their friend came out of the hold. He had filled a waterskin with dates, and a bag with rice, and he climbed out of the hold. And it was dark, the moonless part of the month. And he hid the food that he had stolen in their place.
6 Then his friends saw him and said to him, "How do you feel?" He said, "I have recovered now." The two that were sitting by the captain and his friends said, "Excuse us. We want to go to our friend." The captain said, "No, amuse us for a little while!"
$5 \bar{a} n \bar{t} t: M L$ (s.v. ' $n(t))$ defines this word as 'large-mouthed waterskin'. However, in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone glossed the word as 'small waterskin' (underlining his), and suggests that it is the diminutive of nīd 'waterskin' (used, e.g., in 76:9). However, the two words have different roots ('ny or 'nt and nyd or $n w d$ ). Miranda Morris (p.c.) confirms that an $\bar{a} n \bar{t} t ~ i s ~ n o r-~$ mally smaller than a nīd.

7 wə-ḥəmbaráwtən əð-gáyam. tōli āmūr ṭāṭ mənhēm, "hōh káṭak mən a'áymar, wa-hōh sīrōna al-šákf. w-āmrōna h-falān yaxláfi. ber ffrūk. șərōməh." attōli səyūr təwōli arībēh, wว-ksēh ber śl̈ba. āmūr həh, "hēt ṣərōməh akōfi. āmēr k-fəlān, wə-hōh təwyōna. wə-mət śábak, nəkōna tīk, wa-falān yasyēr wə-yātōśi." āmūr, "yćyc."
8 səyūr ðēk ðə-gīlu, wə-nūka aġəyūg hāl arībēh. w-āmáwr həh, "hübōh tkōsa hanáfk?" āmūr, "farák k, wa-ḥōm al-āmēr." w-āmərōh.
9 wə-ðēk ātōśi. ts śība, nūka hāl hərbāthe. āmūr, "hōh hənśárk." āmūr ðēk ð-ādəh al ātōśi lā, "hōh hándək, wa-sīrōna al-šàkf." wว-səyūrw-ātōśi. wa-ttarōh āmərōh hāl aġəyūg te šhวwbáh arībēhi ber śība.
10 āmarōh h-aġayūg, "nạ̣āh hándan. smēḥam līn." wa-sīrōh. wa-hēm l-akassēt đákวmah te wáṣalam ṣawr. wa-təmmōt.

7 yasyēr wa-yātōśi: While the manuscripts have the expected subjunctives yasyēr and yātōśi, on the audio Ali mistakenly read the imperfects yasyūr and yātéśyan, but then corrected the latter to yātōśi.
$7 \quad$ But the boys were hungry. Then one of them said, "I am tired from the singing, and I will go to sleep. But I'll tell so-and-so to replace me. He has already recovered now." Then he went to his friend, and he found him already full. He said to him, "You go now. Sing with so-and-so, and I'll eat. And when I am full, I'll come to you, and so-and-so can come and have dinner." He said, "Ok."
8 That guy that was sick went and came to the men by his friend. And they said to him, "How are you [lit. how do you find yourself]?" He said, "I have recovered, and I want to sing." And they sang.
9 And that (other) one had dinner. Then when he was full, he came to his friends. He said, "I am refreshed." That one who hadn't yet eaten dinner said, "I'm sleepy, and I'll go to sleep." And he went and had dinner. And the two sang by the men until they imagined that their friend was already full.
10 They said to the men, "We're sleepy, excuse us!" And they went away. And they did like this [lit. this story] until they got to Ṣur. And it is finished.

## Text 85 (no J; translated from Jahn 19o2: 14-21): Ḥusn Mabda

1 xəṭərāt ḥōkam ba-rəḥbēt, wz-šáh aḡāh. w-ag̉āh šah ḥəbrátəh, wa-nhabōt ākáwl ðə-gंəyūg. attōli āmūr ḥōkəm h-ag̀āh, "ḩabrátk nahabōt ākáwl дวġəyūg, wa-ḥabrátk mašḳaḥbēta." attōli śītam hīs garīt ḥabśáyyat, wa-kaláys hanīs.
tє nəhōr ṭayt, āmərūt hīs abāláts, "aḳēfi təwōli ḥəbántən ðə-ḥədáydi, wāmēri hīsən aḥōm l-ərmēs hənīsən." sīrūt ḥāgarīt. te waṣalōt xāh ðว-ḥāṣən, g̀ grīs ḥabrē ðд-ḥōkวт.
3 āmūr hīs, "tháymi al-ḥõ?" āmarūt, "ḥōm təwōli ag̀átke." āmūr hīs, "ardēdi tawōli abālåtš, w-āmēri hīs, 'bar ḥadáydəš yạ̧ōm yaśné’ káffaš, wa-yazámš myēt karš̌."
4 sīrūt hāagarīt tabáyk. atté waṣalōt abāláts, āmarūt hīs, "kō hēt tabēki?" āmərūt hīs, "bar ḥadáydəš āmūr, ‘ḥōm al-śné’ akkáffaš', wə-yazámš myēt karš." āmərūt hīs, "akē̄i towēhe wa-nkēy b-adərēhวm. w-āmēri həh anhōr б-agamāt yagréh naxāli xawfēt, wa-məśśanyēta tah káff." sīrūt ḥāgərīt təwōli ḥabrē ðд-ḥōkəт w-āmərūt hวh, "āzémi туēt ðд-kárš, w-anhōr ð-agamāt gəréh ənxāli xəwfēt." wว-wzəmīs adərēhวm. wə-sīrūt ḥāgarìt tawōli abāláts wa-wzəmáts adərēham. wə-sīrūt aġagənōt təwōli aməstōn ðə-ḥáybวs, wə-śallūt śarx mən zaytūn. wa-nhfátah w-āmlōt hah ṣ̄ōba' wa-h ḥanyétzh.
7 te nahōr ð-agamāt, garōh bar ḥadáydas naxāli xawfēt, wə-śśanyétah anáhf əð-zaytūn. āmūr, "l-əḥmēd aṣ́əfəróts!" te nəhōr xəwfitt, āmūr ḥāgəráts, "āmēri h-abālátš wazmōna tīs myēti trayt wa-l-śné’ aṣ̊faráts."
9 sīrūt ḥāgərīt tabáyk. te nəkōt hāl abāláts, āmərūt hīs, "kō hēt təbēki?" āmərūt, "bar ḥədáydəš āmūr, ‘ḥōm al-śné’ aṣafarátš mən xวwfēt'. wazmōna tiš myēt t trayt."

1 hazbrátah: In Jahn's version of the story (in the Yemeni Mehri dialect of al-Ghayḍah), from which Johnstone's version was loosely translated, the daughter is identified immediately as Ḥusn Mbadā [sic]. $x \bar{a} h$ : The audio and Roman manuscript have axāh.
$7 \quad l$-aḥmēd: This ics subjunctive form of the verb hamūd 'praise' is used idiomatically to mean something like 'how $X$ would/must be (if I could only experience it)!. See $M L$ (s.v. ḥmd) for more examples, nearly all of which were recorded by Johnstone in the Roman manuscript of this text.

## Translation of Text 85

1 Once there was a ruler in a town, and he had a brother. And his brother had a daughter, and she carried away the minds of men. So the ruler said to his brother, "Your daughter has carried away the minds of men, and she will become a whore." Then he bought her an Ethiopian slave-girl, and he left her with her.
2 Then one day, her mistress said to her, "Go to my uncle's daughters, and tell them I want to chat with them." The slave-girl went. Then when she got to the door of the castle, the son of the ruler met her.
3 He said to her, "Where are you headed?" She said, "I am headed to your sisters." He said to her, "Go back to your mistress, and tell her, 'Your cousin wants to see your hand, and he'll give you a hundred dollars'."
4 The slave-girl left crying. When she got to her mistress, she said to her, "Why are you crying?" She said to her, "Your cousin said, 'I want to see your hand', and he'll give you hundred dollars." She said to her, "Go to him and bring back the money. And tell him on Friday he should pass under the window, and I'll show him my hand."
5 The slave-girl went to the ruler's son and said to him, "Give me a hundred dollars, and on Friday, pass under the window." And he gave her the money. And the slave-girl went back to her mistress and gave her the money.
6 And the girl went to her father's plantation, and she took the branch of an olive tree. She sliced it and made fingers for it, and she put henna on it.
7 Then on Friday, her cousin passed under the window, and she showed him the slice from the olive tree. He said, "How her braids must be!"
8 Then the next day, he said to her slave-girl, "Tell your mistress I will give her two hundred dollars so I can see a braid of her hair."
9 The slave-girl left crying. Then when she got to her mistress, she said to her, "Why are you crying?" She said, "Your cousin said, 'I want to see a braid of your hair from the window'. He'll give you two hundred dollars."

7 aṣafaráts: See the comments to 75:11 and 75:15.
9 aṣ́əfarátš: Ali read aṣ́əfəráts 'her braid' on the audio, but the manuscripts have aṣ́afarátš ‘your braid'.
 ənxāli xəwfēt." sīrūt ḥāgərīt wa-nkōt b-adərēhวm. wə-sīrūt ag̉aggīt wəbəṣ́arūt sab‘áyyət Әə-háybวs, w-āmlōt ṣ́afártən. wə-həndaxōt bīsən, w-āmlōt hīs đ̛̣yōtan gīyēd.
tє nəhōr Ә-agəmāt, garōh bar ḥadáydəs, wว-śśanyétəh tīsən mən xawfēt. āmūr, "l-дḥmēd aramsáts!"
12 te nahōr xəwfitt, āmūr ḥāgərīt, "āmēri h-abālátš, 'wazmōna tiš myēt gant",' wa-l-armēs hanīs yallīlah."
13 sīrūt haāgarīt wa-kəwt̄ūt h-abāláts. āmərūt hīs, "akēfi wə-nk $\bar{\varepsilon} y b-a ð \partial h e ̄ b, ~$ $w$-āmēri hah man ọār a 'iśé yanké."
 ḥabśáyyat wa-hawbasáts ṣáyġət w-āmlōt hīs āṭōwar. wa-sē šaḳráwt. tع nūka ḥabrē ðə-ḥ̄̄kəm, śxəwlūl hāl ḥabśáyyat. wə-wzamátวh ḳahwēt, wa-kathō' w-ag̀asrō.
16 te ba-ḥalláy bárham šawkīf, sīrūt ag̉aganōt wa-ktəbūt bə-warkāt. āmərūt, "kaff az-zaytūn, wa-ṣafártan ðə-sab'áyyah. wa-ramsēt дə-ḥabśáyyat, wa-bárt ḥadáydak ftkūt baráyyat!" w-āṣbátah b-aməndáylah. tє $k$-sōbah ftūk ḥəbrē ðə-ḥōkəm, yaḥōm h-aməsgēd. te hīs ber aṣályam, śīnəm ḥābū awarḳāt b-aməndīl дд-ḥəbrē ðд-ḥōkวт, w-əl aḥād yวšह̄nūs yaġtáyr šah lā. tع háybbวh yāṣọṣ mánəh. attōli āmáwr hābū, "h̄̄śan man warḳāt b-amandáylak?" attōli g̉alūk waśanȳ̄s, wa-hftkīs man aməndáylah. wa-hē al yartūḳi lā. tōli wazmīs śéra', $w$-āmūr hah, "lēzam tərtáyḳ háyni awərkāt ðīməh."
rətkyīs ş́rrə’. kūsa barkīs, "kaff əz-zaytūn, wə-ṣafártən ðə-sab'áyyah. wə-rəmsēt ðə-ḥəbśáyyat, wa-bárt ḥadáydəkftkūt baráyyat!" attōli aḳōfi təwōli hadáydəh w-āmūr, "ḥamk tháffki habrátk." sayūr ḥədáydəh tawōli ḥəbrátəh w-āmūr hīs, "bar ḥadáydaš yaḥōm yahēras bayš." āmərūt hááyวs, "háffḳi!" wa-ffḷáys.
sab'áyyzt: It is interesting that Ali used the Arabic construct form here in this genitive construction, even though he follows it with the Mehri genitive exponent ðд-. Elsewhere in this text he read the word as sab'áyyah (e.g., line 16). In his Arabic manuscript, he always wrote the word with its Arabic spelling, سبعية.
aġasrō’: See the comment on naǵásru in 48:29.
az-zaytūn: The Arabic manuscript has just zaytūn, but the audio has $\partial z$ zaytūn (< $\partial$-zaytūn), as does the Roman manuscript (likewise in lines 19 and 35).

She said, "Go and bring back the money, and tell him on Friday he should pass under the window." The slave-girl went and brought back the money. And the girl went and tore a shawl of her father's, and she made braids. And she fumigated them (with incense), and she gave [lit. made for] it good scents.
11 Then on Friday, her cousin passed by, and she showed him them from the window. He said, "How her conversation must be!"
Then the next day, he said to the slave-girl, "Tell your mistress, 'Tll give you a hundred guineas', so I can chat with her tonight."
The slave-girl went and told her mistress. She said to her, "Go and bring back the gold, and tell him he should come after dinner."
14 The slave-girl went, and he counted out the gold for her. And she went back to her mistress. Then she dressed up the Ethiopian girl, put jewelry on her, and made perfumes for her. And she hid.
15 Then when the ruler's son came, he sat with the Ethiopian girl. And she gave him coffee, and he drank coffee and passed the evening.
16 Then at night when they had gone to sleep, the girl went and wrote on a piece of paper. She said, "The hand of an olive tree, and the braids of a shawl. A chat with an Ethiopian girl, and your cousin got away guilt-free!" And she tied it to his kerchief.
17 Then in the morning the ruler's son left, heading for the mosque. After they had prayed, the people saw the paper on the ruler's son's kerchief, but no one dared speak with him. Even his father was afraid of him.
18 Then the people said, "What kind of paper is on your kerchief?" Then he looked and saw it, and he took it off his kerchief. But he didn't read. So he gave it to the judge, and he said to him, "You must read this paper for me." The judge read it. He found in it, "The hand of an olive tree, and the braids of a shawl. A chat with an Ethiopian girl, and your cousin got away guiltfree!"
20 Then he went to his uncle and said, "I want you to marry me to your daughter." His uncle went to his daughter and said to her, "Your cousin wants to marry you."
She said to her father, "Marry me off!" And he married her off.
tartáyk: On the audio Ali read tartáykas 'read it', which does not fit the context, since the direct object (awarkāt diməh) is specified.

22 te aáṣar xáyləf, wakūb ag̉áyg. wa-sē āmlōt nīd débəh, wa-ffəkáwt lah ba-ġəfənūtən. w-āmlōt đ̣áyrəh āţr, wa-sē šakrráwt.
tє nūka ag̉áyg, bə-ḥáydəh romḥāt. wə-ṭān̄̄s. wə-fərráwt ənṭəfēt mən adébəh bark xāhah.
āmūr, "yā ‘azzətáyn! aðōrəs débวh!" wว-śxəwlūl səwānōt. wa-nkōt mən sərīh wə-mənōt ajanbáyyətəh w-āmərūt, "hām al thōon tśléli b-awághək lā, watğáyta tīk." attōli śallīs b-awághah man alūtəg.
25 wa-sayūr gēhamah wa-hārūs ba-tēt̄ aġarhīt. wa-kalyīs h-abáyt ðəḥadáydəh, wa-harbáys ag̉áwf. wa-hásan mabdé', haḳəfdīs xōṭar bark maxzēn. wə-wzəmīs ḥāgáwrah. wa-šamlūk bīs wə-śxəwlūl. wa-ḥ́sən mabdé' āmlōt maḥfarūt, wa-kวwbūt barkīs zayr baṣār. hīs ba-ḥalláy ṭəwōh ḥāgūr, āmərūt həh, "hām thámi, məlē azáyr mōh!" wa-hātūm ḥāgūr ða-yazfūf, halláywah kállah te $k$-sōbaḥ. wa-sē šawkfūt.
 amātáymək?" āmūr ḥāgūr, "ḥalláywi kálləh ‘zəfēf! zafēf!'" wə-wḳáwf mánəh.
28 wa-ttētِ дд-ḥāgūr šīs xəṭrāk. āmərūt hīs tēt дд-ḥəbrē ðд-ḥōkəm, "tháymi tśźmah lay?"
$\dot{g} \partial f a n u ̄ t \partial n: M L(\dot{g} f n)$ gives the definition 'coloured sheet used as a sari', but in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'wedding clothes (women's clothes)'. He also added the Arabic word عباية 'abāya, the traditional robe worn by Muslim women.
$\bar{a} t ̣ a r:$ The manuscripts have the singular $\bar{a} t ̣ r$, but Ali read the plural $\bar{a} t ̣ o ̄ w a r$ on the audio (cf. line 14). The Roman manuscript has āṭōwar in parentheses in the margin.
$24 y \bar{a}$ 'azzətáyn:The word 'azzotáyn is not in $M L$, and it is obviously an Arabic form. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'I'm sorry'. maxzēn: This word, a borrowing of Arabic maxzan 'storeroom', is not in $M L$ (s.v. $x z n$ ), though we do find related words like $x a z u \bar{n}$ 'store; seclude in a special room' and xaznēt 'treasury' (better 'treasure' in texts 19:17 and 74:4). In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'down to [sic] hall'. I have translated it as 'basement', used in the sense of 'storage room'. $k$ kowbūt: The Arabic manuscript and audio clearly have kowbūt, the 3 fs perfect of the G-Stem verb kalūb 'return'. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone first missed this word completely, but then added wkubuut (with $k$ instead of $k$ ) in parentheses in the margin. Stroomer's edition has hawkz-

Then the next night, the man came in. She made a skin of honey, and she covered it with her clothes. And she put [lit. made] perfume on it, and she hid.
23 Then when the man came, in his hand was a spear, and he stabbed her. And a drop of the honey flew into his mouth.
24 He said, "Oh, I'm so sorry! Her blood is (like) honey!" And he sat for a little while. And she came up behind him and grabbed his dagger, and she said, "If you don't want to take me under your protection, I will kill you." Then he took her under his protection from being killed.
25 And he went the next day and married another woman. And he brought her to his uncle's house and took her upstairs. And (as for) Husn Mabda, he took her downstairs into the basement. And he gave her to his slave. And he took marital possession of her, and they remained.
26 And Ḥusn Mabda made a pit, and she put a broken jar in it. When the slave came at night, she said to him, "If you want me, fill the jar with water!"
27 And the slave spent the night carrying water, his whole night until morning. And she went to sleep. When in the morning the ruler's son came down and questioned the slave, he said to him, "How was your night?" The slave said, "My whole night was 'Carry water! Carry water!" And he was [or: they were] silent about it.
28 And the slave's wife had a stick. The ruler's son's wife said to her, "Do you want to sell it to me?"
$b \bar{u} t$ 'she put in', which fits the context, but is not supported by the manuscripts or audio. Jahn's version has kalbōt (= Omani kzwbüt), and Jahn (1902: 204) gives lassen ('leave') as a possible definition of this verb.
26 mal $\bar{e}$ : This is the ms imperative of the G-Stem mūla (root $m l^{\prime}$ ). We might expect $m \partial l \varepsilon^{\prime}$ (see §7.2.9), but the audio and Roman manuscript have mal $\vec{e}$. The Arabic manuscript has the spelling $)_{0}$, which suggests phonemic malk'. Cf. also 97:7 and 97:21.
halláaywa: The word halláy 'night' has the base halláyw- when suffixes are added. The non-suffixed form appears in the texts only in the compound ba-halláy.
amätáymak: This word is not in ML, but it is from the same root ('tm) as the common verb hātüm 'spend the night'. zaféf: On the audio, Ali read zaff (perhaps an Arabism) in place of both occurrences of zafêf.
āmərūt, "śs̄mīta tah layš lā, ar wa-tháymi tšákfi hāl ag̉áygi, wa-hōh al-šákf hāl aġáygəš." āmarūt, "yદ́yc."
tع kaláyni, attēt ðว-ḥəbrē ðว-ḥōkวт ḳวfวdūt hāl ḥāgūr, wว-ttēt ðә-ḥāgūr rəfōt hāl ḥəbrē ðə-ḥōkəm. wว-šวwkīf, wa-dáyni haynīt mən āṣərsən. tє k-sōbəḥ, gəhēməm ḥəbrē ðə-ḥōkəm wว-ḥāgáwrəh ḥagg, wว-ḥaynīt śxəwlūl. tōli bīru. ámma ttēt ðว-ḥōkวm, nวkōt bว- $\dot{g} \bar{g} g e ̄ n ~ h ̣ o ̄ w a r, ~ g u ̄ r . ~ w ว-t t e ̄ t ~ ð ว-h ̣ a ̄ g u ̄ r ~$ nakōt ba-ḡ̄̄gēn awbōn.
attōli nákam aġəyūg mən ḥagg, wa-həəmbaráwtən bárhəm ðə-yวsyáwr. attōli xaṣbīham ḥaynīt yȧgbēram hábiham ba-farṣ́āt.
 wว-ḥəbrē ðə-ḥāgūr əwbōn. attōli fáṣş̣ah ḥəbrē ðə-ḥōkəm, wวlākan wวḳáwf. tє nákam abáyt, āmūr ho-ttéťəh, "kalētِi lay ba-kāl śīyon kállah, walē
 lay!' āmarūt, 'ḥōm lā, ar wa-tḥáymi (t)šákfi hāl ag̉áygi, wa-hōh al-šákf hāl aġáygəš.' wว-hōh šawkáfk hāl ḥāgūr, wว-sē šəwkfūt hənūk, wว-dáynən mən āṣərən."
tōli səyūr ḥabrē ðว-ḥōkวm tع nūka hāl hásan mabdé". āmūr, "วl yasdádš šáġวl ðə-‘’́mlaš tah fənōhən lā? fanōhən 'ámləš háyni kaff əz-zaytūn, wəmg̈ōrən ‘ámlaš háyni ṣafártən ðə-səb‘áyyəh, wə-mgंōrən ḳálaš tī l-ərmēs hāl habśáyyวt. wə-mgंōrən ḥəyz̄š bə-ttēti, wə-šawkfūt hāl ḥāgūr!" āmərūt, "ar hēt tḥōm taksēr šaráfi, tháffḷ̣i gūr, wa-hōh bart hadáydək!" āmūr, "hēt b-awághi, wว-ðદ́h hạวbráy." wว-təmmōt.
bīru: $M L$ (s.v. $b r w$ ) lists a 3 ms Ga-Stem perfect barō (non-existent, since this verb is used only in the feminine), which would be equivalent to a 3 fp barō, since the 3 ms perfect is always identical to the 3 fp perfect. However, the audio here clearly has birru, and the Arabic manuscript has .بيرو. Jahn's version also has the form bïru (cf. also the discussion in Bittner 1911: 108). Rather than a Ga-Stem, as Johnstone's barō suggests, this verb is actually a Gb-Stem. This is one of two Gb-Stems that preserve the original root consonant $w$ (bīru < *birəw); the other is gïlu 'be ill' (see §7.2.10). The Jibbali cognate of this verb is also a Gb-Stem (bíri).
34 (hásan mabd $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ ): Ali read hásan mabdé on the audio, but it is not in the manuscripts.
36 taksēr: According to $M L$ (s.v. ksr), the G-Stem ksūr means 'overcome, get the upper hand'. In this passage, the meaning is that of Arabic kasara 'break, destroy; violate'.

29 She said, "I won't sell it to you, unless you want to sleep with my husband, and I'll sleep with your husband." She said, "Ok."
30 Then in the evening, the wife of the ruler's son went down to the slave, and the wife of the slave went up to the ruler's son. And they went to bed, and the women got pregnant from their night. Then in the morning, the ruler's son and his slave went on the Hajj, and the women stayed.
31 Then they gave birth. As for the ruler's wife, she bore a black boy, a slave. And the slave's wife bore a white boy.
32 Then the men came back from the Hajj, and the boys were already walking. Then the women sent them to meet their fathers at the harbor.
33 And the boys went and [lit. until] they met their fathers. The son of the ruler was black, a slave, and the son of the slave was white. Then the ruler's son was embarrassed, but he kept quiet.
34 Then when they came to the house, he said to his wife, "Tell me absolutely everything [lit. everything all of it], or I'll kill you!" She said, "She (Ḥusn Mabda) had a stick, and I said to her, 'Sell it to me!' She said, 'I don't want to, unless you want to sleep with my husband, and I'll sleep with your husband.' So I slept with the slave, and she slept with you, and we got pregnant from our night."
35 Then the ruler's son went until he got to Ḥusn Mabda. He said, "The stuff that you did before wasn't enough for you? First you made me a hand from an olive tree, then you made me braids from a shawl, and then you left me to chat with an Ethiopian girl. And then you tricked my wife, and she slept with a slave!"
36 She said, "But you wanted to damage my honor, to marry me to a slave, and I am your cousin!"
37 He said, "You are under my protection, and this is my son." And it is finished.

## *Text 86 (= J86): Conditionals

1 thōm xsōrat, xasrōna.
2 thōm xədmēt, āmlōna hūk.
3 hām al nákak bīhəm lā, kaṣṣōna ḥərōhk.
4 hām šhawábk lah, (t)šhōl śī lā.
5 hām ’̀mlak tah, hūk síl lā.
6 tḥōm tawtág̈i, awtáġi mən ġayr sabēb!
7 hām šūk ḳaráwš mēkan, táwwak tházīdi.
8 hām šūk darēham śí lā, awázmək (wazmōna tīk).
9 mat bark ḳaráyb al-ḥāṣan, anfëğ b-aśfēt sarūk!
10 hām al ġátri lā, koṣyēṣa (koṣāṣ) harōhəh.
11 hām al nákak bīs lā, sḥaṭōna tīk.
12 hām śı garōh lūk, háśak ba-śatráyr!
táwwak tházīdi: The protasis táwwak tházīdi 'you ought to give me more’ matches that of the Jibbali version of this sentence. However, in the Arabic manuscript and in the original Roman manuscript (the rougher draft), there are two options given for the protasis. In addition to táwwak tházīdi, we find also simply the imperative házīdi 'give me more!'. The transcription tahzīdi, found in Stroomer's edition (Johnstone had thezīdi in one Roman manuscript, and thazīdi in the other), is an error for tházīdi (< tházyadi).
awázmak: In the older (rougher) Roman manuscript, there is only the imperfect awázmak, with the added word sōbar (in parentheses) and the translation ' I 'll give you (always)'. The word sōbar is included in the later Roman manuscript without parentheses. In the Arabic manuscript, however, there is both awázmak and wazmōna tīk, given as variant possibilities. After awázmak is added the Arabic word dā̉iman ('forever, always', indicating a habitual future), and after wazmōna tīk is added the word mustaqbal ('future', indicating a one-time event). Therefore, the word sōbar in the Roman manuscript (in parentheses) was intended only to clarify the sentence (like dä̉iman in the Arabic manuscript).
ḳaşyēṣa (kaṣāṣ): The Arabic manuscript has ḳaṣyēṣa 'we will cut off', which matches what is found in the Jibbali version of this sentence. However, both Roman manuscripts have instead the ms imperative kossāṣ 'cut off!'. Both forms work in this context. In the Arabic manuscript, there is another (less violent) variant, ḥəbyēsa tah 'we will imprison him'.

## Translation of Text 86

1 (If) you want a bride-price, I will pay a bride-price.
2 (If) you want work, I will make (it) for you.
3 If you don't bring them, I will cut off your head.
4 If you warm yourself by it, you don't deserve anything.
5 If you do it, there is nothing for you [or: you will get nothing].
6 (If) you want to kill me, kill me without a reason!
7 If you have a lot of money, you ought to give me more.
8 If you don't have any money, I will give you (some).
9 When you are near the castle, throw the hair behind you!
10 If he doesn't speak, we will cut off his head.
11 If you don't bring her, I will kill you.
12 If anything happens to you, burn the rag!

## Text 88 (no J): A Treasure Trove

1 xəṭərāt ġəyūg hámam bə-ṭəmā bark kazūt. w-akəzūt đákaməh, al aḥād
 hēm sát̄táyt mahréh wa-ṭāt + āfōri.
əttōli nəkáyhวm ġayg. āmūr, "hōh šay ktōb ðə-yəhōra ans wə-gáns. wə-hām thaym tsyērəm təwōli aṭamā, hōh martáyk wə-mháwra mənkēm agənnáy."
 səyáwr aġəyūg, wə-hē šīham. te nákam, awbáwd məś̄̄b, wว-šarbām te wáṣalam.
5 aġəyūg ḥfawr, wว-ššaráyf rátḳi hīhəm nahōri trayt. w-anhōr ðว-śawțīt, śīnəm ālōmət ð-aṭəmā. kūsəm xtūm д-ðəhēb, wə-kūsəm fəṣ́ṣāt.
6 tōli āmūr āfōri, "aġáyg ðə-yวttərðūm bə-xōṭər waḳōna ar al-hīs hāābū." attōli hamáyh aššaráyf wa-ğátyað̣, wa-yzḳáwfal aktōb.
7 ādham lə-wṭákəməh, nūka agənnáy. yāmərəm ag̉əyūg, "agénsəh al-hīs arīsit̀, walākan ag̉awđ̣áynah al-hīs arbōt ġayūg, wa-báh ḳōni ťrōh." tє wīka b-amsayōl ḥaśyōś.
wa-kəfáwd ag̉əyūg wa-nāśam tah. yāməram, "ṭāt mən āfōri mōt, ț̄̈bər $\bar{a} k e ̄ r m o ̄ t . ~ w ə-s ́ a l e ̄ l a m ~ t a h ~ a g ̉ a ́ w f, ~ t \varepsilon ~ đ ̣ a ̄ r ~ k a r ə m o ̄ t . " ~ " ~$
10 wa-ḳəbáwrah. te ṣarōməh akábrah ādəh ṣ́ahēr.
11 w-ámma agannáy, daḳáwl ba-ḥərōhวh gēzal nōb, wa-həwōh bīs bark amhfarūt mən hāl aġəyūg h.fawr.
12 ðīmah kəwt̄ēt ṣəṭ. wa-ḥáybi ber śīni amkōn əð-báh aṭamā, wa-bér hfūr khābū. w-āmūr, "kūsan ḳālēw." w-amkōn ba-ḥəwōdi ðə-nṣ́áwr, wa-hámm ð-akəzū̄t akərbว́ti.
$1 \quad k a z u ̄ t: M L$ (s.v. $k w s w / k w z)$ gives the form $k a z u ̄ t$, while the English-Mehri word-list in ML (p. 501, 'cave') has koṣōt. The manuscript and audio evidence for this text has $\underset{\text { kazūt. ML defines } k \text { kazūt as } \text { little, shallow, overhung }}{ }$ mountain ledge', while in the Roman manuscript Johnstone added the gloss 'by cave, ledge'.
$1 \quad m ə s \bar{\varepsilon}_{b}$ : Stroomer commented in a footnote in his edition of this text that $m ə s \bar{\varepsilon} b$ was absent from $M L$, s.v. śbb. This is true, but the word appears (correctly) under the root śb. closely connected with the Hearāsīs. See also J. Carter (1977: 24).imperfect), or yaháwra (as in Stroomer's edition). Johnstone transcribed

## Translation of Text 88

1 Once some men heard about a treasure in a mountain-cave. And this cave, no one could climb up to it except with ladders. And they said the treasure was guarded by a jinn. They were three Mehris and one 'Ifari.
2 Then a man came to them. He said, "I have a book that keeps away men and jinn. And if you want to go to the treasure, I will read and keep the jinn away from you."
3 They said, "We want to." He said, "But I get [lit. for me is] half the treasure." They said, "Ok."
4 The men went, and he was with them. Then when they got there, they knocked together ladders, and they climbed up until they reached (it).
5 The men dug, and the holy man (šarīf) read for them for two days. And on the third day, they saw an indication of the treasure. They found rings of gold, and they found silver.
6 Then the 'Ifari said, "The man who is mumbling down there will be just like (other) people [i.e., will get a share]." Then the holy man heard him and got angry, and he closed the book.
$7 \quad$ While they were like this, the jinn came. The men said, "Its shape was like a snake, but its width was like four men, and it had two horns."
8 The Mehris, they went down the ladders. But the 'Ifari, he jumped off [lit. made himself fall] and [lit. until] he wound up on the valley-bottom all smashed (in his bones).
9 And the men went down and carried him on a bier. They said [or: sang], "One of the 'Ifar has died, his little pelvis shattered. So carry him up, up onto the little mountain."
10 And they buried him. Even now, his grave is still visible.
11 And as for the jinn, it butted a big boulder with its head, and made it fall into the hole where the men had dug.
12 This story is true. My father saw the place where the treasure was, and he dug with some people. And he said, "We found a milk-bowl." And the place is in the Wadi Naṣawr, and the name of the mountain-cave is Akərbáti.
yahōre in the Roman manuscript. In fact, yahōra is the correct H-Stem 3ms imperfect of the root $w r^{c}$. See also the comment on yahōka in text 42:28.
$5 x t \bar{u} m$ : See the comment to text 22:51.
5 kūsam: The manuscripts have kūsamfaṣṣ́āt, but Ali read xtūm faṣ́ṣāt. aķərbáti: On the audio, Ali read aġabráti. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone put "Negd" in the margin.

13 wə-yāmərəm əngrīz ber śīnəm tīs, walākan al wádak ṣəṭk aw bēdi. w-ámma amkōn, bah țəmā mətárrax, walākan al aḥād yašēnūs yaḳrábəh lā.
14 Jìmah akassēt tammōt, wa-sē ṣaṭk.

13 matárrax: This is perhaps from an Arabic mutārrix (root 'rx; cf. Arabic $t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{x} x$ 'history'), though I have not found such a form attested.

13 And they say Englishman have seen it, but I don't know if it's true or a lie. And as for the place, there is a famous treasure in it, but no one dares to go near it.
14 This story is finished, and it is true.

## Text 89 (= J49): A Naughty Boy and Sweet Potatoes

mākənnáy xəṭərāt țayt ð-əwxāfon b-aṣ́áyga ð́́kəməh, mən đ̣ār amáywət
 wz-šīn ḥittār mēkən, wa-hááybi yowōḳa k-hāráwn aw k-amōl. wa-mət ḥaynīt falūk al-ḥittār, ahūrək amáws ðə-ḥáybi w-əsḥōt hīṭār. tōli ḥaynit t śanyáy, wa-mət tḥōmən taflēkan, ṭayt mansēn (t)śxəzwlūl đ̣ār ṣāwar man hāl takáblan lay. wa-bér sḥaṭk śātáyt ḥittār, trō̄h ðə-nḥāh wว-ṭāṭ ðə-ḥədádye. walākan ḥāmáy wazmátham ḥōtor ba-ḥáṭərhวm ðə-sḥāṭ, wa-hēm gazáwm al yaṣáyṭ bah kaṣ́áyyat.
attōli ḳrīw manáy amáws ðə-ḥáybi, wa-haynịt l-ād ḳəl'áy al-syēr təwōli hiṭār lā.
te nahōr ṭayt, wakábk bark aṣáyga wa-kásk hōṭəri tēōh, śkūl ða-ḥādáyti, wa-xənákək ṭāt mənhēm.
wa-ḥaynīt hūma aṣ́ğəráyr ðə-ḥōṭərwə-nūka. āmarūt ḥādáyti, "a ḥəbráy, hōh al šay ar hōṭari trōh. wa-kō hēt hamwátk ṭāṭ?"
wa-sē taṣ̂hōk. āmárk, "a ḥādáyti, ar śēkal!" attōli sabṭáti ḥāmáy wa-rṣanáti al-gandēt te kaláyni. wa-gazámk l-ād asḥōt hịṭ̄̄r zōyad, wa-hərxáwt lay. wə-śxəwlūlan. te man đ̣ār wakt, kafūd ḥáybi arḥabēt wa-nkáyn ba-fəndēl, anṭōm fandēl. wə-nḥāh aḳənyáwn ādən al nag̀ $\bar{o} r a b ~ f a n d \bar{\varepsilon} l ~ l a ̄ . ~$.
$w$-āmūr háyni ḥáybi, "hām tḥōm hēt w-ag̉átk (t)syērəm đ̣ār ḥəmōh, wazmōna tīkam fandēl mət nákakam.
walākan ḥəððōr man (t)sbēt agátk! wa-hām sabáṭk ag̉átk, al wazmōna tīk śı lā." āmárk, "y $\dot{y}$ с."
səyūrən. tōli hōh ḥōm ağáyti (t)śaléli tع đ̣ār ḥəmōh. āmárk, "ag̉áyti, śaláli!" āmərūt, "hēt bark śebb ṣarōməh, w-al aḳáwdər al-śl̄èk lā." tōli sayárk l-asbáṭs. āmərūt háyni, "fáṭnək śt?"" āmárk, "hēśan?" $\bar{a} m a r u ̄ t$, "f̣tōn!" āmárk hōh, "fənd̄̄l!" āmərūt, "āmūr hūk háybi, ‘hām sabáṭ ag̉átk, al wazmōna tīk śi l lả."
tōli āmárk, "l-ād sabṭōna tīs lā. w-al təklēt̄i al-háybi lā." āmarūt, "y sayūran. te wáṣalan đ̣ār ḥəmōh, malūt lay baráyk mōh.
$1 a \dot{g} \bar{a} y$ : Jibbali text 51 (included in $J L O$ ) tells of the death of Ali's brother. $\bar{a} h ̣ ə b r a ́ y: T h e ~ m a n u s c r i p t s ~ h a v e ~ a ̄ h ̣ ə b r a ́ y, ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ a u d i o ~ h a s ~ y a ̄ h ̣ ə b r a ́ y, ~ w i t h ~$ the Arabic vocative particle.

## Translation of Text 89

1 Now once we were camped in that shelter, after the death of my brother. And I was naughty. The goats had given birth, and people had joined with us.
2 And we had a lot of (goat) kids, and my father would stay with the goats or with the livestock.
3 And whenever the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids. Then the women saw me, and when they wanted to let out (kids), one of them would sit on a rock where she could watch me.
4 I had already killed three kids, two of ours and one of my uncles'.
5 But my mother gave them a kid for their kid that was slaughtered, but they swore they wouldn't take compensation for it.
6 Then they hid my father's razor from me, and the women didn't let me go by the kids anymore.
7 Then one day, I went into the shelter and found two kids, twins of my aunt. And I strangled one of them.
8 And the women heard the squealing of the kid and came. My aunt said, "My son, I only have two kids, so why did you kill one?"
9 And she was laughing. I said, "Aunt, (it was) only a twin." Then my mother hit me and tied me to a tree-trunk until the evening.
10 And I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore, and she let me go.
11 And we remained. Then after a while, my father went down to town and brought us back sweet potatoes, so that we could taste sweet potato. We children didn't yet know sweet potato.
12 And my father said to me, "If you want to go with your sister to the water, I'll give you sweet potatoes when you come back.
13 But be sure not to hit your sister! If you hit your sister, I won't give you anything." I said, "Ok."
14 We went. Then I wanted my sister to carry me up to the water. I said, "Sister, carry me!"
15 She said, "You're a young man now already, and I can't carry you."
16 Then I went to hit her. She said to me, "Do you remember something?" I said, "What?"
17 She said, "Remember!" I said, "Sweet potatoes." She said, "Father said to you, 'If you hit your sister, I won't give you anything'."
18 Then I said, "I won't hit you anymore. And don't tell father." She said, "Ok."
19 We went. Then when we reached the water, she filled a kettle with water for me.
w-āmərūu háyni, "sálē, w-āmrīta háybi, ‘âli axáyr man hambaráwtan kāl!"" śállak abaráyk wa-ð-əftrrịhan ba-fondēl. ādial aśénisan lā.
 "fandèl!"
àmarūt, "háybi ðə-yzbáyd būk. yahámk (t)syēr đ̣ār hamōh." hīs hámak tīs ġətaryōt watákaməh, rōdək b-abaráyk dz-barkáh hàmōh, wa-şállak sāwar.
sayárk l-ardह́h aǵáyti. tōli ṣagalayrráwt, wa-həwháyw hā $b \bar{u}$.
wa-nkōt hā ämáy wz-sabṭáti. wz-nğámk mən đ̛áyrhəm. āmūr háyni háybi, "ənk $\varepsilon$ ə w-böh! wazmōna tīk fandēl."
āmárk, "a h hámsan lā. sīrōna tawōli axxálys." wz-sayárk tawōli axxálys. hēm karáyb līn.
te nákak ð-əbáyk, āmūr xáyli, "ā bar-gáyti, kō hēt tabáyk?" āmárk, "sabtạti hāmáy, wa-hōh naǵámk tawēke." tōl $\mathfrak{a} m u ̄ r ~ a x x a ́ y l i, ~ " x a ́ y b a n, ~ a ̀ ~ b a r-g ̆ a ́ y t i, ~ a n k e ́ ? " ' ~ s ́ x a w l \bar{k} k ~ h a ̄ l ~ x a ́ y l i . ~$ te kaláyni kalōh hāáráwn, wz-’̌s'śs xáyli wz-shạạt wōz.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " b a r-\dot{g} a ́ y t i ~ b e r ~ n a g ̀ a ̄ m ~ t ə w a ́ l y s . ~ s h ə t ̣ o ̄ n a ~ h ə h . " ~ t \varepsilon ~ a n h o ̄ r ~ a m s ̌ g ̈ \partial r e ̄ t, ~$ nəkōt hāà́y l-agərē (t)șátiti.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$ xáyli, "al yasyūr lā, ar wz-tháymi tazáməh yabit."" āmərūt, "hēśan l-azémah? ag̈ḡ̄ēn maktáyli, w-al ahăd yahōm yaxlēṭ līn lā al-sabēbəh. wz-sabūt hambaráwtən, wz-yasūbət ag̉áth." āmūr xáyli, "wz-lū." attōli wazmáti yabīt, wa-l-syēr šīs. āmūr xáyli, "kō tēm kálakam tah yasyēr wahhşīh yamšh?"
āmarūt hāmáy, "al nəhágsah yasyēr lā. ðд-g̈arábk tah ðə-yaftérhan ba-

ng̈ámk: ML (s.v. ng̈m) lists the 3 ms perfect nagm, but this is just a mistake for nag̀ām. The correct form na $\dot{a} \bar{a} m$ appears in the English-Mehri index, and in line 31 of this text. Also, the definition in $M L$ suggests that the verb is used only for women, but this is not the case. A better definition is 'go away angry; storm off' (with man đ̛ār 'from') or 'come angry' (with tawōli 'to').
31 bar-gáyti: The manuscripts have $\bar{a}$ bar-gáyti, but we do not expect a vocative particle $\bar{a}$ here, and there is no $\bar{a}$ on the audio. It is possible that, instead of a vocative, Ali wrote the definite article $a$-, but that would also be unexpected.
hēśan l-azémah: The translation in Stroomer's edition reads 'why should I give it (to him)?'. The verb wazūm 'give' takes a double direct object (see

20 And she said to me, "Carry (this) and I will say to father, 'Ali is better than all the (other) boys'!"
21 I carried the kettle and was excited about the sweet potatoes. I had never seen them.
Then when we got close to the settlement, I said to my sister, "Do you remember something?" She said, "No." I said, "Sweet potatoes!"
23 She said, "Father was lying to you. He wanted you to go to the water."
24 When I heard her talk like this, I threw the kettle that had the water in it, and I picked up a rock.
25 I went to pelt my sister. Then she shrieked and people came to help.
26 And my mother came and hit me. And I went away angry from them. My father said to me, "Come here! I'll give you sweet potatoes."
27 I said, "I don't want them. I am going to my uncles." And I went to my uncles. They were close to us.
28 Then when I came crying, my uncle said, "Nephew, why are you crying?" I said, "My mother hit me, so I've come to you angry."
29 Then my uncle said, "Ok, nephew, come." I stayed with my uncle.
30 Then in the evening, the goats came home, and my uncle got up and slaughtered a goat.
31 He said, "My nephew has come to me angry. I will slaughter for him." Then the next day, my mother came to get me.
32 My uncle said, "He won't go unless you want to give him a camel." She said, "Why should I give him (a camel)? The boy is naughty, and no one wants to join up with us because of him.
33 He's hit the boys and he hits his sister." My uncle said, "Even so!"
34 Then she gave me a camel so I would go with her. My uncle said, "Why did you all let him go by himself yesterday?"
35 My mother said, "We didn't think he would go. I knew he was excited about the sweet potatoes, but the boy, it turns out his heart is hard." And I went with my mother. And it is finished.
§ 8.12), and so an object suffix on the verb can in theory refer to either the patient (English d.o.) or recipient (English i.o.). However, in this passage the suffix must refer to 'him', since the object being given is feminine (yabīt 'camel'). The verb 'I should give it (f.)' would be l-azéms.
līn: Though the audio has līn, the Arabic manuscript has bīn (cf. 1:2). The corresponding Jibbali version has ben in this line (but len in line 1!).

## Text 9o (= J57): A Mother's Advice

1 Mother: "kalé' āzáwm alyōmah! haft đ̣ār śawr ṭāt!!"
2 Boy: "al wádak al-ḥõ al-hīwal lā."
3 M : "fisč̌"! tāká" daḥáys lā!"
4 B: "xáybən, háfti háyni!"
5 M : "hām thámi al-háft hūk, āká’ hal-hálla b-amōlək."
6 B: "Jōmah al hē śawr gīd lā."
7 M: "lawb mālēz ag̀īgēn ðōməh. xáyban, ar hībōh thōm tāmōt? thōm taṣ́təláwl? əl ạ̣ād yonáfak lā ar amōlək. yəṣ̣̣áyk šūk bark awághək, wə-mg̈ōrən yдðmáwmək. yāmərəm, ‘sīnkวm ḥəbrē ðə-bət falān?’"
8 B: "līham hēśan manáy? walē maḳā xā hēm xalēk.kam."
9 M : "hal̄̄k lūk tšźmni."
10 B: "xáyban baháyri bay!"
11 M : "Xáyban abōb ḥabráy, šદ́mni!"
12 B: "hīs ber bahérš bay, məšēman tīš."
13 M: "al-frēḥ ba-ḥabráy!"
14 B: "hēśan tḥ́mi l-āmōl?"
15 M : "fənōhən āká" hal-hálla b-amḥagēk. wə-mgंōrən al taġtáyr g̉arōy kōməḥ lā bark hag gēr. wว-śōlət, āká’ əð-fárḥək, te wə-lū ðд-mátḥənək. aġəyūg yaghïlək ḥákkək, hām ğátyaдək. wə-yag̈ı̛̣əən tīkl-agərē txalēs wə-tərkábk ḥōrəm. məwṣayēta šūk b-ankāt alyōməh." wə-təmmōt.

5 hal-hálla: Though this word appears in $M L$ under the root $h l$, see $J L$ (s.v. ' $/ h l^{\prime}$ ') on the Arabic source of the word and its possible etymology.
7 taṣ́təláwl: It is unclear if we should transcribe taśtzláwl or taṣ́tzláwl. The Roman manuscript and $M L$ (s.v. śll) have ś, but Ali spelled the word with ض in the Arabic manuscript, suggestingṣ́, and the audio seems to support this. Cf. Arabic ḍalla 'go astray, lose one's way', which may be the source of (or cognate with) the Mehri verb, or which may at least be the source of Ali's spelling. In the Jibbali version of the text (J57:7) we have the same problem, in that the Roman manuscript (and the accompanying wordlist) and $J L$ have ś, but Ali spelled the word with ض. Cf. also Mehri ṣall 'disappear, go away' (ML, s.v. źll).
7 yaṣ́háyk: On the audio, Ali mistakenly read yaṣ́háykzm, though he had correctly written yaṣ́háyk in the Arabic manuscript.
$9 \quad h a l \bar{\varepsilon} k$ : This is an H-Stem perfect of the root $h l^{\prime}$, which ML (s.v. $h l^{\prime}$ ) defines only as 'adjure'. In the earlier Roman manuscript, Johnstone glossed the verb as 'I swear; promise; adjure'. The corresponding passage in the Jibbali

## Translation of Text 90

1 Mother: "Leave these plans aside. Focus on one plan!"
2 Boy: "I don't know where I should direct myself."
3 M: "Hurry up! Don't be stubborn!"
4 B: "Ok, advise me!"
5 M: "If you want me to advise you, be mindful of your livestock."
6 B: "That is not good advice."
7 M: "Truly, this boy is sickening. Ok, so what do you want to do? Do you want to drift around aimlessly? No one will be of use to you except your livestock. They will laugh with you to your face, and then they will criticize you. They'll say, 'Have you seen the son of such-and-such house [or: clan]?"
8 B: "What are they to me? It's as if they were never [lit. not] born."
9 M: "I swear you will obey me!"
10 B: "Ok, ask me for help!"
11 M: "Ok, please, my son, obey me!"
12 B: "Since you have asked me for help, I will obey you."
13 M: "Let me rejoice in my son!"
14 B: "What do you want me to do?"
15 M: "First, be mindful of your family property. And then don't use [lit. speak] bad language in public. And third, be (like) you're happy, even if you're sad [or: in trouble]. People will steal from you your right, if you get angry. They will anger you so that you will go astray and put yourself in the wrong. I advise you on these points." And it is finished.
version has xalák, which seems to be an H-Stem of the root $x l^{c}$. The verbs are probably related, despite the irregular sound correspondence $x \sim h$. One Jibbali speaker that I met, whose English was weak, glossed xalák as 'I swear'. Another explained that this word is used (or was used; the word is old-fashioned), usually by a parent or family member, with the sense of 'you must obey!'.
amḥagēk: The word maḥgē 'family property, household' is not in ML, though the Jibbali cognate mahgé 'family; family property' appears in $J L$ (s.v. $h g v$ ). In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'property'. Related in meaning is the verb hátgi, defined in $M L$ (s.v. $h g w$ ) as 'stay at home', and in $H L$ (s.v. $h g w$ ) as 'stay with one's family'. tərkábk ḥōrəm: This idiom means literally something like 'the road will ride you'.

## Text 91 (no J): A Journey to Dubai

1 xaṭəāt anḥāh śātáyt ambaráwtan, naḥōm nəssōfar. wa-gəhēmən man hāl haskányan, w-al šīn ḳəráwš lā h-anáwl. walākan ṭāṭ manīn, soox, šah mandáwk. wa-śállan tah nahárhanah b-anáwl.
walākan ðə-ffalōtən mən đ̣ār ḥábyən, w-əl šīn ḳəráwš lā. wว-gəhēmən ðдnsyūr l-aráwram, naḥōm raḥbēt ṭayt nahárhan bīs amandáwḳan. hīs báran b-aámk, ġabūran gūr. wo-šáh ḳəráwš, wว-šáh sä̉ah bark háydəh. attōli āmūran, "naḥōm nəg̣ṣábəh aḳarášhe wə-sāəวtah." hīs śanyīn ḥāgūr, yasṣ, wa-xəṣ́ṣ bark aráwram. wa-tában tah, wa-tōli bahēr bīn. āmūr, "hōh ġayg faḳáyr, wa-táwwakəm təḳlēm tī."
4 hīs hámak tah waṭákaməh, g̉áṣnək mánəh, w-āmárk harbātye, "nahōōm
 w-abárka. bakōh, g̈asṣnək mánəh w-arábak təh. w-akáfyən. "anḥōm ṭāt yāká’ ḥáywal, wa-trōh yamnēm tah." hēxər, šxəbərīn. āmūr, "hēśan mən g̀īgēn ðōməh?" tīkam azwōdkam." āmūr ḥáywal, "lawb šūk āmēl gīd! his-táw, aḥād yaháḥrak bah!"
 hāl ġayg ba-rḥəbēt. hərhōnən aməndáwḳən hənáh.
áywa: The Roman manuscript has áywa (Arabic 'yes'), and the transcription in the Arabic manuscript (ايواه) seems to support this. On the audio, Ali read something like iys̄h, a reading which the Arabic manuscript also supports.

## Translation of Text 91

1 Once we were three boys, wanting to travel. We went from our families, but we didn't have money for the fare. But one of us, the big [or: oldest] one, had a rifle. And we took it to pawn it for the fare.
2 So we ran away from our parents, and we didn't have any money. And we went, going along the sea, heading for a certain town in which we could pawn our rifle. When we were on the way [lit. in the middle], we met a slave. He had money, and he had a watch in his hand.
3 Then we said, "We should snatch his money and his watch." When the slave saw us, he got scared, and he waded into the sea. We followed him, and then he pled with us. He said, "I am a poor man, and you ought to leave me alone."
4 When I heard him like this, I felt compassion for him, and I said to my companions, "We should leave him alone." And we left him alone, and the slave went on. After he was far away, he flashed his buttocks to us and ran.
5 Then we got angry at him, and we chased him and [lit. until] we caught up. When we caught up to him, he started to cry. When he cried, I felt compassion for him, and I gave him protection. And we left.
6 Then when we got near the town, we found an old man guarding sweet potatoes. When we got near him, we said, "Let's one of us be crazy, and two should hold him back."
7 And one of us became crazy, and the big one and I held him back. Then when we came to the old man, he questioned us. He said, "What kind of boy is this?"
8 We said, "He is a crazy boy, and we want to travel with him. And if we let him go, we're afraid he will burn people's fields. But now we can't manage him anymore. I think we'll leave him here."
9 The old man said, "No way, please don't leave him here! I will give you your supplies." The crazy one said, "Indeed you have a good field! Surely someone should burn it!"
The old man said, "Ah, did you hear? He has designs on my field!" We said to him, "Give him something, and he'll leave you alone. We want him to go with us."
11 The old man said to the crazy one, "What do you want?" He said, "I want to burn your field." The old man said, "Go with your brothers!" The crazy one said, "I won't, unless you want to give me my bundle of sweet potatoes."
12 He said, "I will give you. But go away from me!" And he gave him (some), and we went until we got to a man in a town. We pawned our rifle with him.

13 wa-śxawtūlan. te man ợār nahōri trayt, nūka lang yahōm h-xalīg. sáfran barkīh. nəด̣hāh nahōm maskōt. te man đ̣ār nahōri trrayt, awbadátən đ̣ərbēt, wa-harsīyan ba-gzáyrət waḳōna gamāt. wa-nḥāh al ḳadūrən nassōfar lā. wa-šakṣōrən azawōd.
14 attōli āmūrən h-anōxəðē, "śōm līn ḳawt!" āmūr, "hōm lā.fanwīkəm məskōt." tōli āmūran, "agáyg ðōmah al bah ḥaśmēt lā, w-al bīham ð-āwanīn lā."
15 te ba-ḥalláy, āmarōh háyni harbātye, "hēt ḳannáwn, w-al aḥād yaśényak lā. wa-kəfēd bark xan wa-nkēn ba-tōmar w-ayś. wa-hām aḥād ksūk, hēt ḳənnáwn, al aḥād yanūkəd lūk lā. wว-nḥāh āmyēra, wว-hām śīnən əḥād yahōm yakfēd bark xan, maḥəððərūtan tīk." āmárk, "y $\varepsilon$ ys."
16 te ba-halláy, kafádk. wa-śáff hēxar šawkūf bark xan. wa-hōh šay aktīw, w-al habṣárk lā. wa-ð-admīmən ba-ḥáydi. te wákak đ̣ār āgrēzəh, wałōna al-hīs rōh ðә-тnēdəт.
17 wa-fárr ðə-yวṣ́girūur, wa-hōhftakk mən xan. te nákak harbātye ð-əṣ́hōk, āmáwr, "ar a'iśē ḥõ?" āmárk hīham, "hōh kask 'ayśē, walākan alhāḳək tah lā. đ̣ār raff.
walākan țāt mənkēm əð-hē ṭəwáyl yasyēr yakfēd bərk xan, wə-yākēb alḥáydah śáymal man ġayr ṣayḥ. wa-yadámdam ba-háydah. ksōna ḳáwzarət ð-tōmar wa-skēr w-ayś bark mağféṣ đ̣ār raff."
 te wīṣl, a dámdəm ba-háydə h. wa-hēxar amankáwal að-šawkūf. wa-šàh awákt, wa-đ̣áyrəh fikkā lā.
 ṣ́ğayrūr hēxar wa-yōmar, "ā kalōb! kaliyē tī al-šákf lā!" attōli a'yīt ağı̄gēn. yōmar, "mankáwal! mankáwal!"
āmūr hēxər, "ākāaf! wə-wazmōna tīk alhān thōm, wa-kalह́" aġərōy!" āmūr, "xáyban, āzémi ayś wa-skēr wə-šēhi wə-tōmər, azwōdən te maskōt, w-əl kəwt̄ōna h-əḥād lā."
$t \varepsilon$ wákak: The Arabic manuscript has تا وقا(ك). The final 5 in parentheses may have been added by a different hand. On the audio, Ali stumbled a bit, then read $t \varepsilon w o ̄ k ̣ a$ (for $t \varepsilon$ awōka, the ics imperfect). Perhaps an original وقا وقاك was simply an error for وakak), which was later corrected. That is, perhaps وقا was simply a nonsensical (erroneous) wáka, rather than an imperfect awōka. Both wákak and awōḳa fit the context, but though the imperfect tense generally is found quite often used as a narrative past, the imperfect of the verb wīka is very rare in Johnstone's texts; it is attested just once as a narrative past (104:19) and twice as a habitual (17:11; 89:2).

13 And we stayed. Then after two days, a boat headed for the Gulf came. We traveled in it. We were heading for Muscat. Then after two days, a windstorm hit us, and we anchored at an island for about a week. So we couldn't travel. And we ran short of supplies.
14 Then we said to the captain, "Sell us food!" He said, "I don't want to. Muscat is (just) ahead of you." Then we said, "This man has no honor [or: respect], and there is no one among them that has helped us."
15 Then at night, my friends said to me, "You are small, and no one will see you. So go down into the hold and bring us back dates and rice. And if anyone finds you, you are small, so no one will fault you. And we will sing, and if we see anyone wanting to go down into the hold, we'll warn you." I said, "Ok."
16 Then at night, I went down. And it turned out an old man was sleeping in the hold. It was dark, and I couldn't see well. And I was feeling around with my hand. Then I happened upon his testicle, (which was) about like (the size of) a person's head.
17 And he jumped up shrieking, and I ran out of the hold. Then when I got to my friends laughing, they said, "So where is the dinner?" I said to them, "I found dinner, but I didn't get it. It's on a shelf.
18 But one of you who is tall should go walk down into the hold, and enter into the left side without a sound. And he should feel around with his hand. He'll find a basket of dates, sugar, and rice in a package on a shelf."
19 Then one got up and went, and he was grumbling. He was saying, "It's just that you're afraid!" And he went down. Then when he got there, he felt around with his hand. And the old man with swollen testicles was sleeping. And it was hot, so he had no covering on him.
20 Then he happened upon the old man's testicle, and pulled on it. He thought it was a package of food. And the old man shrieked and said, "You dogs! You won't let me sleep!" Then the boy yelled. He said, "Swollen testicle! Swollen testicle!"
21 The old man said, "Be quiet! I'll give you whatever you want, but stop the talking!" He said, "Ok, give me rice, sugar, tea, and dates, our provisions until Muscat, and I won't tell anyone."

[^155]22 wazmáh, wa-sayūr ağı̄gēn te ankáyn. ksáki, hōh w-arībēy, ðə-naṣ́hōk. hōh kalótkl-agáyg ðə-hənáy, hīs ag̈̀̄gēn aḳōfi bark xan.
wə-nḥāh šīn təḳáwša', w-əntáwḥən nəḥāh w-bəḥərēt mən đ̣ār aməṭbāx.
$w$-al šēn̄̄s yakrráyban lā. te ba-ḥalláy, āmūr hīham anōxəð̄e, "mət ḥəmbəráwtən šawkīf, ardīyam ba-xəṭáwrkihวm aráwram!" te ba-ḥəlláy bárən šəwkōfan, həráwk xoṭáwrkyan wə-rədīw bïhวm ráwram. te k-sōbəḥ l-ād kūsan xaṭáwrkyan lā.
āmūrən h-anōxəð̄e, "ar xəṭáwrkyən ḥõ?" āmūr, "əl wádak lā." āmūrən, "atēm salábkam tīn, wa-ðōmah b-akān ayb. azéman xaṭáwrkyan, wat̄ məšanḥarūtan bīkam am-maskōt.
25 w-aḥkámtan tağōrab saláwbən. fanōhən xōzak man (t)śōm līn ḳawt, wa-mgंōran xōzkam l-amaṭbāx wa-haràkakam tīn xaṭáwrkyan." āmūr, "šદ́nḥaram!" sayūran te wáṣalan maskōt. artáwgan. āmūran, "al mašanḥarūtan bah ṣarōmah lā. ḳaliyē tah te, bárah yaḥōm yasyēr, məšanḥarūtən bah. w-ag̈áyg dafōna hīn m-ād nāṭlah." te wáṣalan maskōt, śxawlūlan nahōri trrayt. te anhōr śawt̄īt, bárah yạhōm yaghōm, ráfam līn āskēr yahárxaṣam tīn. attōli šanháwran hanīhəm. wa-kalūṫə līham b-akassēt kállas. āmáwr āskēr, "ðìməh garáymət." wanōxəдē bárah yaḥōm yassōfar. āmáwr hah āskēr, "wa-kōh al (t)sóm līhəm ḳawt lā? wว-hām mōtəm mən agəwé' bərk amhəmēlək, mōn mēsūl? wa-mag்ōrən haràkakam tīham xaṭáwrḳiham."
29 āmūr anōxəð̄e, "hōh al asūmaḥ ba-xəṭáwrəḳ bark amḥəmēli lā." āmūran nəḥāh, "ādən bərk aḥkómtən, w-aḥkómtən tsūməḥ bə-xəṭáwrəḳ. wə-hēt al hēt ḥōkam lā."

22 ksóki: We might expect the suffix -īki, but the manuscripts and audio have ksáki. The vowel á cannot just be because of the III-' root, because we find the expected vowel $\bar{\imath}$ in other forms of this verb with an object suffix, e.g., $k s i \bar{s}$ 'he found it' (22:69) and ksīham 'he found them' (44:12). Unfortunately, this is the only example in our texts of a 3 ms perfect plus a dual object suffix. We also find the 3cd suffix -áhi with prepositions, and $M L$ ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xvii}$ ) also records -áki. See the comments to the first two tables in § 8.23. xəṭáwrḳiham: The underlying form is *xaṭáwarḳiham. The áw must be in an open syllable, otherwise it would be reduced to á (e.g., **xoṭárḳiham). Likewise for the other seven occurrences of this suffixed noun in this text. 24 am-mask $\bar{o} t$ : This is for $b$-maskōt, but the $b$ - was not written in the Arabic manuscript. The initial gemination is very slight on the audio.
26 artáwgan: The T2-Stem 3ms perfect artawūg 'he plotted, made a plan' should have a 3mp artəwīg and ıcp artəwōgan (cf. aḥtawōlan in 4:15). Here

He gave him (them), and the boy went until he got to us. He found us, me and my friend, laughing. I told the guy that was with me, when the boy went into the hold.
23 And we had clubs, and we and the sailors would fight over the kitchen. And they didn't dare get near us. Then at night, the captain said to them, "When the boys go to sleep, throw their sticks into the sea!" Then at night, when we had fallen asleep, they stole our sticks and threw them into the sea. In the morning, we didn't find our sticks anymore.
24 We said to the captain, "So where are our sticks?" He said, "I don't know." We said, "You've disarmed us, and this is a disgrace in our country. Give us our sticks, or else we'll lodge a complaint against you in Muscat!
25 And the government knows our custom. First you refused to sell us food, and then you refused us the kitchen and stole our sticks from us."
26 He said, "Lodge a complaint!" We went until we reached Muscat. We made a plan. We said, "We won't lodge a complaint against him now. We'll leave it until, when he is about to go, we'll lodge a complaint against him. And the man will pay us so that we don't hold him up."
27 Then when we got to Muscat, we remained for two days. Then on the third day, when he was about to go, soldiers boarded us to allow us to leave. Then we lodged a complaint with them.
28 And we told them the whole story. The soldiers said, "This is a crime." And the captain had wanted to [or: was about to] leave. The soldiers said to him, "Why wouldn't you sell them food? And if they died of hunger on your ship, who would be responsible? And then you stole their sticks from them."
29 The captain said, "I don't allow sticks on my ship." We said, "We are still in our own jurisdiction, and our jurisdiction allows sticks. You are not the ruler."
we find icp artáwgən, and ML (s.v. $r w g$ ) gives both $\partial r t a w i ̄ g$ and $\partial r t a ́ w g ə m$ for the 3mp perfect. The forms artáwgəm and artáwgən must be analogical with II-w, III-Guttural verbs like antōwạh (3mp antáwḥam).
nātloh: This form is a 1cp subjunctive plus a 3ms object suffix. The audio clearly has nāṭlah, the Roman manuscript has naa'aaṭ̊lah, and the Arabic manuscript has the unusual spelling نااطله. ML lists a G-Stem āṭ́áwl 'delay', but the G-Stem subjunctive form here would be nāṭáləh (<1cp subjunctive $n \bar{a} t ̣ \bar{l} l+3 \mathrm{~ms}-h)$. In line 31, the G-Stem has an intransitive meaning 'be delayed'. The form nāṭlah in line 26 must be from a D/L-Stem ōtal (1cp subjunctive nōtol). Cf. also Arabic D 'attala 'hinder'.
attōli āmūr anōxəðē, "wz-kōhal šanḥ́yrr hīs wáşaวən?" āmūrən, "hēt šəwēdak tīn tazéman xaṭáwrkyan, wa-l-äd našánḥr lā. wa-ṣarōmah al wazámk tīn tīham lā."
āmáwr āskēr, "ṣarōmah al šūk sfêr lā. b-arāyzk man tatyábham wayasmēhem tīk, wa-b-arāyzk mən takfēd šīn tawōli aḥkáwmət wa-tāṭōl yzmōh."
 şafōr te báwmah, wa-fkēkzm tī manhēm!" ämáwr āskēr, "b-arāyzk, nahāh al nəḥáwkəm lūk lā." āmūr anōxəдē, "hōm."
attōli wazmīn anáwlan ðə-man ṣ́afôr te maskōt, wa-sámhan tah mən xəṭáwrak. wa-kəfüdən, wa-hē assōfər. wə-nhāh sáfran bark máwtar man maskōt tr dabáy. wa-tammōt akassēt.
šənḥáyr:Though the Arabic manuscript and audio have 3mp šznháyr, the Roman manuscript originally had 2 mp šznḥárkzm '(why didn't) you lodge a complaint'. tatyábham: Ali stumbled on this word on the audio, and in the end read something that sounds closer to tatyübham. We expect tatyábham (<taty $\bar{b}$-ham), with reduction of $\bar{\imath}>$ á in the closed non-final syllable.

30 Then the captain said, "So why didn't they lodge a complaint when we arrived?" We said, "You promised us you'd give us our sticks, and we wouldn't lodge a complaint. But now you didn't give them to us."
31 The soldiers said, "Now you don't have (permission to) travel. Either you can appease them and they can forgive you, or you can come down with us to the authorities and be held up today."
32 The captain said, "I don't want them with me anymore. I will give them their fare from Dhofar to here, and rid me of them!" The soldiers said, "If you wish, we won't prosecute you." The captain said, "I do [lit. I want]."
33 Then he gave us our fare from Dhofar to Muscat, and we forgave him for the sticks. And we got down, and he left. And we traveled in a car from Muscat to Dubai. And the story is finished.

## Text 92 (no J): A Saint's Tomb

1 fanōhən ḥābū hanīn yātəḳáydən ba-ğáyg əð-kabēr ba-nṣ́áwr, bə-wōdi b-anágd. wa-yāməram (hah) waláy. te āṣar ṭāt, ġayg ðə-yaxxwịsən ḥəlákaməh. wə-háh ərbōt āṣáwr. tōli šaḳ̣áwr akáwt, wə-səyūr te ḍār akōōər ð-ag̈áyg ð́̇kəməh. ðōməh man aġərōyah. $\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " w-a ̄ m a ́ r k, ~ ‘ h a ̄ m ~ t h a ́ m a y, ~ h o ̄ h ~ g ̇ a y g ~ ð-ə l ~ s ̌ a y ~ k a w t ~ l a ̄ . " " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~ " w z-~$ ráddək. tع kaláyni," āmūr, "siñək yot áyl həḳbūl lay, wə-bárk xāhəh ṣāḥən ðə-mīla káwt. te b-að́́bวli, həwkáyh w-aḳōfi." $\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "Sállək tah w-ātéśyak." āmūr, "śxəwl̄̄k xáymah yūm. w-aytáyl, mət gəzōt ḥəyáwm, yənákay bə-ṣāḥən ðə-mīla." te āṣər ðə-šadt̄īt, ag̉áyg yzḥōm yaghōm. āmūr, "ātéśyak te śábak." āmūr, "śállak aṣāḥən šay."
4 wə-səyūr ag̉áyg. te wịṣal ḥəwōdi amšg̀arēt, hagūm lah aryēś wa-kabṣáyh. wa-hātūm ağáyg bárəh ðə-yəšnázan amáywat. te nəhōr xəwfit, kūsam tah bū ðə-yaxáṭərəт ādəh ṣaḥh.
5 šxabīrəh, wa-kəlūt l̄̄hวm ba-kəwtēt ðīməh. wə-mən xəṭərāt ðə́kəməh, ḥābū ātəḳáyd b-akōōbər ð́́kəməh. wə-hām əḥ̄ād warūd ḥəmōh ðékəməh ðə-bə-ḩəwōdi, yabtərīkan bah ðōməh mən akáwl ð-ag̈áyg ðə-kəəbēs. wว-mən ḥābū ðд-škวlītzəh, ādəh ṣaḥh. w-ag̈áyg mōt hīs ber təmūm kวwtétzh. aḥād yašbáyd, w-aḥād yašasdūk, w-aḥād yōmər ðว-yaḥlōm. wa-təmmōt.

1 yāmaram (hah): The word hah is missing from the audio, and was also originally missing from the manuscripts. In both manuscripts, hah was added later in the margins. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'they used to call him', a translation which would require hah. aryēś: According to $M L$ (s.v. rśś), the plural form of rissict 'snake' is rīyēś, but Johnstone transcribed aryēś in the Roman manuscript (from an indefinite rayés), and the audio seems to confirm this. For the plural pattern $C \partial C \bar{e} C$, we can compare nouns like nəwēb 'bees' and baḳār 'cows' (the latter with $\bar{e}>\bar{a}$ after the glottalic $k$ ).
ðə-yдšnázan: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali wrote ذشنزن, which can be read in various ways. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed $\partial$ išnáaṣấn (and added the gloss 'fighting'), an odd form that could perhaps be an Š2-Stem imperfect from a root $n s s^{c}$. Ali stumbled on the audio, but ultimately read ðə-yəšnézən or ðə-yวšnēzən, which could be interpreted

## Translation of Text 92

1 Before, people among us believed in a man who was buried in Noṣawr, in a valley in the Najd. They say he was a saint. One night, a man was collecting palm-leaves there. He was away four nights. Then he ran short of food, and he went to the grave of that man. This (story) is according to his words.
2 He said, "And I said, 'If you hear me, I am a man who has no food'." He said, "And I went back. Then in the evening," he said, "I saw a fox approaching me, and in its mouth was a dish full of food. Then when he was beside me, he put it down and left."
3 He said, "I took it and ate dinner." He said, "I stayed five days. And the fox, whenever the sun went down, he would bring me a full dish." Then on the sixth night [lit. the night of the sixth (day)], the man wanted to go. He said, "I ate dinner until I was full." He said, "I took the dish with me."
4 And the man went. Then when he reached the second valley, the snakes attacked him and bit him. And he spent the night wrestling with death. Then the next day, people who were traveling found him still alive.
5 They questioned him, and he told them this story. And after that time, the peopled believed in that grave. And if someone went down to that water in the valley, this (person) would be blessed by it because of the words of the man who was bitten.
6 And according to the people who heard the story from him, he was still alive. But the man died as soon as he finished his story. Some disbelieved, and some believed, and some said he was dreaming. And it is finished.
as an Š2-Stem imperfect from a root $n^{\prime} z$. None of these roots are attested in $M L$, but I suggest that Ali intended yašnázan, the expected Š2-Stem imperfect of the root $n z^{\prime}$, which is attested in Arabic with the meaning 'wrestle' in several stems (e.g., L-Stem nāza'a). The form yašnáwṣan in Stroomer's edition comes from $M L$ (s.v. $n w s ̣$ ), but I see no other evidence for this form.
5 дә-طə-ḩวwōdi: The Arabic manuscript has дə-bə-ḥəwōdi, but the Roman manuscript and audio have just ba-ḥzwōdi.

## Text 93 (= J55): Healing a Sick Man

$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "hōh alūṭəm aməwṭáwmət." tōli šxabarīh ag̉áyg ðə-wátxf. āmūr, "talátmas bo-hēśan?" āmūr, "วlạṭmas b-ārfit walē ba-ryē.". $\dot{g} a y g, ~ h o ̄ h ~ \dot{g} a y g . ~ h e ̄ t ~ t e ̄ t, ~ h o ̄ h ~ t e ̄ t . ~ h e ̄ t ~ a n g a ́ s t, ~ h o ̄ h ~ a n g a ́ y s . ~ h e ̄ t ~ t ̣ ə h a ́ y r ə t, ~ h o ̄ h ~$ ṭaháyr."
wə-śxəwlūl gamāt ag̉áyg ðə-báh aməwṭáwmət, wə-wīka ba-xáyr. əttōli šəsdīk ḥābū aġáyg ð́́kəməh ð-āmūr, "əlūṭəт aməwṭáwmət." wə-hām əḥād bah aməwṭáwmət, yaxxṣáyb lah. wə-wīḳa məg̉ráyb yaḥhayū aməwṭáwmət. wə-təmmōt.

1 aməwṭáwmət: In one Roman manuscript, Johnstone glossed this as 'wryface', while in the other he glossed it as 'facial paralysis, wry-faced lips drawn to one side'. ML (s.v. lṭm) has the definition 'stroke, wry-mouth'. The Jibbali version (which was translated from the Mehri) has the word axéthés, which is variously glossed in the manuscripts and $J L$ (s.v. 'xy and $h s$ ) as 'wry-mouth', 'possession by jinn', or 'St. Vitus dance (?) [= Sydenham's chorea]; possession by a spirit when s.o. babbles nonsense'. I have chosen to leave the word untranslated, but it is clearly some sort of medical condition involving paralysis or twitching of the face or mouth, perhaps caused by a stroke. $\bar{a} r f i t:$ This is the desert palm (Nannorrhops ritchieana). See further on the uses of this plant in Miller and Morris (1988: 224).
6 angáys: On the audio, Ali mistakenly read the feminine angást again. $h ִ \bar{a} b \bar{u}$ : This word is missing from one of the two Arabic manuscripts and from the audio.

## Translation of Text 93

Once a man was traveling. In the night, he came to a community, and in that community there was a man who had mawṭáwmat. When he came to the people, he found with them a man.
2 He said, "I (can) strike out məwṭáwmət." Then the man who had come questioned him. He said, "With what do you strike it out?" He said, "I strike it with a palm-leaf or a lung."
3 He said, "What do you do [lit. say]?" He said, "I strike it six times per day. Listen to me after we have made [lit. prayed] the evening prayer."
4 They passed the evening. Then after the evening prayer, the man who strikes out məwtáwmət came, and in his hand was a palm-leaf.
5 He snuck up on the man who had mawṭáwmət, and he was not paying attention. Then he struck him with the palm-leaf. And the man who had come was listening to them.
6 The man who strikes said, "I have struck you, məwṭáwmət. I have a secret about you. You are man, I am a man. You are a woman, I am a woman. You are unclean, I am unclean. You are clean, I am clean."
7 And the man who had mawtáwmat rested a week, and he became well. Then people believed that man who said, "I (can) strike out mawṭáwmət." And if someone has mawṭáwmat, they send for him. And he became famous (for) curing mawṭáwmat. And it is finished.

7 yzhḩəyū: This H-Stem, perhaps from a historical root hyw, behaves as if from the root $h y^{\prime} \cdot 3 \mathrm{~ms}$ perfect (h) hyye ${ }^{\overrightarrow{3}}$ and 3 ms subjunctive yaháhya', listed in ML (s.v. ḥwy), follow the patterns of other of III-Guttural verbs. 3ms imperfect yahḩyyū follows the pattern of strong verbs (cf. strong yaffalūt), but III-Guttural verbs can sometimes behave as strong verbs in the H Stem; see §7.2.9.

## *Text 94 (= J6o): With a Wife and a Mother-in-Law in Enemy Territory


 hātūm.
te $k$-sōbəh, āmūr h-tétah, "nəḥōm naghōm!" āmərūt, "hōh ādi ḳənnát, wafaṣáḥk man l-aghōm təwōli bū ðərē. wə-táwwak taḳlēy hāl hábye sanēt ðìmah."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ a \dot{g} a ́ y g, ~ " h o ̄ h ~ g ̇ a y g ~ m a x w i ̄ f ~ m a n ~ a k ̣ a ̄ ̉ ~ đ o ̄ m ə h, ~ w-a l ~ a k a ́ w d ə r ~ l-ə s ́ x a ́ w w a l ~$ báwmah lā." tōli āmərūt ḥāmēs, "əl nəsdūd məns lā." āmūr ağáyg, "ətēm ber fàkkam, w-al šīkวm ar (t)sdēdəm."
āmərūt hāāes, "ábdan!" te nəhōr xəwfit, səyūr ag̉áyg te hāl hāāū. kalūt līhəm, w-āmūr, "hōh ġayg ð-əl akáwdər l-aśxáwwal b-akā’ ðōməh lā, wāgáwz xazūt al-ḥabráts. wa-hōh āgōb bz-ttēté, wz-sē tāgōb bay, w-āgáwz thōom tabdádən."
tōli sayáwr hāāu təwōli āgáwz w-āmáwr hīs, "дōməh al yakūn lā, tabdīdi am-mán aǵáyg wa-ttétzah." tōli āmarūt āgáwz, "hōh ḥams tsyērk-ag̉áygas, walākan sē xazūt."
tōli āmáwr hīs ḥābū, "xáybən, gazēmi, 'al ədūr əm-mənwīham, hām tēt tḥōm (t)syēr!" gazamūt āgáwz, "aladūr am-manwīham." wa-sīrūt tawōli

2 faṣáḥ̂k: Johnstone's transcription in the Roman manuscript (fıźḥâk) must be an error. If faşáạk was intended, then it is either from a G passive faṣ́ạh, which is the form listed in ML (s.v. fźh), or a Ga-Stem faṣ́áwh. If the latter, then the verb is conjugated as a strong verb, rather than as
 $m z ́ g$ ). I wonder if Ali may have intended either fáṣ́hə $k$, from a Gb-Stem fiṣoh, or fáṣ(ṣ́z)hak from the T1-Stem fáṣṣ́zh (found in 85:33). The Arabic manuscript has فضخك, which tells us nothing (cf. فضَ for fáṣṣ̣̊h in 85:33). (t)sadēdəm: This is almost certainly a 2 mp subjunctive sadēdam < tsdēdam, though it could also be a mp imperative sadēdəm. The form in the Jibbali version (snid) is equally ambiguous.
5 tabdīdi: Both manuscripts have tabdīdi, with a final -i. The D/L-Stem 2fs subjunctive should not have a final $-i$, as pointed out already by Wagner (2001: 345); cf. §6.2 and the paradigm of this verb in $M L$ (p. xxxiv). The form here is likely analogical, since the 2 fs subjunctive of many other verb types do exhibit a final $-i$. With this particular verb type, the 2 fs is otherwise identical to the 2 ms , so it is not surprising that the 2 fs attracts

## Translation of Text 94

Once a man went from his land, heading to a certain land in which he got married. And in that land were his enemies. And he went until he came to his wife's community. He spent the night.
2 Then in the morning, he said to his wife, "Let's go!" She said, "I am still young [lit. little], and I am embarrassed to go to strange people. You should leave me with my parents this year."
3 The man said, "I am a man afraid of this land, and I cannot stay here." Then her mother said, "We won't manage without her." The man said, "You've already given (her) in marriage, and you have (no choice but) to manage." Her mother said, "No way!" Then the next day, the man went to the people. He told them, and he said, "I am a man who cannot stay in this land, and the old woman refused her daughter. I love my wife, and she loves me. And the old woman wants to separate us."
5 Then the people went to the old woman and said to her, "This will not do [lit. be], that you would separate a man and his wife." Then the old woman said, "I want her to go with her husband, but she refused."
6 Then the people said to her, "Ok, swear, 'I will not come between them if the woman wants to go'." The old woman swore, "I won't come between
the suffix -i. For a similar phenomenon in the H-Stem, see the comment to text 24:6.
gazēmi, 'วladūr: Stroomer's edition has lā tadōr'(swear) you will not come between'. The $l \bar{a}$ is a mistake, based on Johnstone's erroneous transcription in the Roman manuscript. As for the verb, Johnstone transcribed ${ }^{t} d u u r$. While it is true that Ali's transcription لدور could stand for al $(t) d u \bar{r}$, with the $t$-not realized because of the initial $d$ - of the verbal base, the form $(t) d \bar{u} r$ is not the correct 2 fs subjunctive. As noticed already by Wagner (2001: 345), the 2fs subjunctive should be (t)dáyri. Therefore, unless Ali simply made an error of conjugation, the only way to parse Ali's transcription is as a 1cs subjunctive $\partial d \bar{u} r$.
6 gazamūt āgáwz, "วl วdūr: I have translated this as direct speech here, parsing the verb as a ics imperfect (G-Stem, root $d w r$ ). However, since the $t$ is not written or pronounced, Ali's transcription لدور may also be parsed as a $3 f s$ imperfect $(t) d \bar{u} r$, in which case the translation of the phrase would be 'she swore she wouldn't come between them'. A clear example of $g a z u \bar{m}$ followed by direct speech can be found in line 38 .
hab bráts w-āmarūt, "hōh al aḳáwdər l-əġtáyr šayš lā, walākan mət ag̉áygas ġátri šayš, xəzī, wa-hōh ḥaṣ́rīta layš (t)syēri." āmərūt, "yźyc."
hātīm. tع ba-halláy, attēt kวwtū̄t h-aǵáygas b-aġərōy ðə-hāmēs. āmūr hīs ag̉áygas, "xáyban. hēt xazı̄ gēhamah man (t)syēri, wa-mət haṣ̂rūt layš hāmēš, āmēri, 'yદ́ys, sīrīta.'
wa-mat āmərūt hayš hāāēs, 'kō hēt šāmánš?', āmēri, 'ahágsəš man aṣát kaš ḥaṣ́árš lay.' w-āgáwz bars dəxáylat l-ād taġtūri šayš hāl hāāu. wə-mət śīnəš āgáwz tḥōm txalé' bayš, ahtīrəf məns. āmáyli ḥənáfs̃ tāmáyli ḥōgət te naghōm."
 $k$-habrátš taghōm šay. hōh al aḳáwdər l-əśxáwwal báwmah lā. w-aṣálh təmm lay. āds šay yomōh mən aṣálh." āmərūt āgáwz, "hōh al ḳadárk līs lā, walākan hēt ġətáyr šīs." āmūr aǵáyg, "hōh ǵátaryak šīs yallōh, w-āmərūt, 'śawr ar k-hāmáy."
tōli hīs āgáwz hamōt ag̉arōy ð-ag̉áyg, šhวmmūt. w-āmáwr hīs hāā̄ū, "layš śakk, hām xárbaš attēt ð-ağáyg m-ād (t)syēr šzh."
$\bar{a} m \partial r u ̄ t ~ a ̄ g a ́ w z, ~ " h o ̄ h ~ a l ~ x a ́ r b a k ~ t i ̄ s ~ l a ̄ . " ~ a ̄ m a ́ w r ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄, ~ " x a ́ y b ə n, ~ h a ̄ m ~ a l ~ x a ́ r b ə s ̌ ~ " ~$ tīs lā, ġətáyri š̄̄!" āmərūt āgáwz, "ā falāna, syēri k-ag̉áygəš!"
13 āmərūt tēt, "yćyc." āmərūt āgáwz, "nəkēy aw-bōh! ḥátwagək layš." āmərūt tēt, "mátwəyak lā ṣərōməh." wə-sē la-wṭákวməh te səyáwr ağáyg wə-ttéťəh. hīs g̉amáws, śīnəm āgáwz mən sərīhวm. āmūr ag̉áyg, "g̉zlēẹ āgáwz! hēt syēri đ̣ār ḥəmōh, wə-hōh səwbōna āgáwz wə-mag̉wīr bīs. wə-hām šxəəbərūt layš, āmrōna, 'sīrūt hīn ho-mōh'.
$t x a l \mathcal{E}^{\prime}:$ For the Gb-Stem verb xáyli, ML (s.v. $\left.x l w\right)$ lists a subjunctive yaxlē, and Johnstone transcribed txalē in the Roman manuscript. But since similar Gb-Stem subjunctives have ́ $^{\prime}$ (e.g., yaśné' < śīni), which Johnstone also often transcribed as $\bar{e}$, and since the Arabic manuscript has $ل$, I assume that $t x a l \varepsilon^{\prime}$ is correct here.
13 ḥátwagak: Johnstone transcribed this form as ḥatốgak in the Roman manuscript, and he listed the 3 ms form $h \underset{a}{t} \bar{u} g$ in $M L$ (s.v. $h w g$ ). My transcription hátwagak is based on the parallel form śátwəḳək 'I missed', which Johnstone mistranscribed as śatōḳək in several places (e.g., 20:41). Unfortunately, I found no audio to confirm the transcription hátwagak here, but there are several examples of śátwakak on the audio recordings.
them." And she went to her daughter and said, "I cannot speak with you, but when your husband speaks with you, refuse, and I will (pretend to) persuade you to go." She said, "Ok."
$7 \quad$ They passed the evening. In the night, the woman told her husband what her mother had said [lit. the words of her mother]. Her husband said to her, "Ok. You refuse to go tomorrow, and when your mother persuades you, say ‘Ok, I'll go.'
8 And when your mother says to you, 'Why did you agree?', say, 'I thought you were persuading me truthfully [lit. from your truth]'. The old woman is already forsworn that she won't speak with you anymore in front of the people. And when you see the old woman wants to be alone with you, move away from her. Pretend you are doing something until we go."
9 She said, "Ok." They passed the night. Then in the morning, the man spoke with his mother-in-law. He said to her, "Talk with your daughter so she'll go with me. I can't stay here. The truce is over for me. I still have (just) today (left) of the truce."
10 The old woman said, "I have no control over her, but you speak with her." The man said, "I spoke with her last night, and she said, 'The decision [lit. opinion] (rests) only with my mother'."
Then when the old woman heard the man's words, she was encouraged. And the people said to her, "It's a sin for you if you prevent [lit. spoil] the man's wife so she won't go with him."
12 The old woman said, "I didn't prevent her." The people said, "Ok, if you didn't prevent her, speak with her!" The old woman said, "So-and-so, go with your husband!"
13 The woman said, "Ok." The old woman said, "Come here! I need you." The woman said, "I don't have time now." And she (did) thus until the man and his wife left.
14 When they departed [lit. disappeared], they saw the old woman behind them. The man said, "Look, the old woman! You go to the water, and I will wait for her and keep her occupied. And if she asks for you, I will say, 'She went for water for us.'
$14 \dot{g} a l e ̄ k ̣:$ Stroomer has $\dot{g} a l e ̄ k ̣ i ~ h e r e, ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ w h a t ~ w e ~ e x p e c t ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ f s ~ i m p e r a-~$ tive, but the manuscripts both have $\dot{g} a l e \bar{k}$. The Jibbali version here has the special imperative form ġolókũn (discussed in the comment to text J16:3 in $J L O$ ).
wa-hōh maḡwīr bīs. wz-mət šháwbak tiš ber sayárš man đ̣ār hamōh, āmrōna hīs, 'hām tháymi tawēda falāna, ksitt tīs đ̛̣ār hamōh'. wa-hēt š̄̄gal, $l-a ̈ d ~(t) s ə l a ́ b s ~ đ ̛ a ̄ r ~ h a m o ̄ h ~ l a ̄ . ~ w-a w \bar{\varepsilon} d a s ̌ ~ n a x a ̄ l i ~ a t a ́ y k ~ ð e ̄ k . " ~ " ~$ falāna ḥõ?" āmūr agáyg, "siriūt đ̛ār ḥamōh wz-nkáyta tīn báwməh. walākan ðд-yáṣşak tīs mən tháflot mən đ̛́yri h-aṣáyga."
āmarūt āgáwz, "wa-kōh, hámak tīs ġətrryōt stī?" āmūr ag̉áyg, "hámak tīs āmərūt, 'hām al nákak tīk ṣarōməh lā, al (t)šseṣi lā. əkūn ráddək tawōli hábys."
8 tōli farhọōt āgáwz. thūgas ağáyg mən asạṭłวh. tōli śxวwallūt āgáwz, thōm taġwīr b-agáyg m-ād yatbé' tēt.
āmūr aġáyg, "hōm al-syēr đ̣ār hamōh." āmərūt āgáwz, "šxáwwal hənáy səwānōt. śátwzkəək lūk." sē thōm tag̀wīr b-aǵáyg, w-aǵáyg yzhōm yag̀wīr ba-ttēt.
śxawallōh. tōli agáyg šhēwab tétah tāká’ ber sīrūt man đ̣ār hamōh. āmūr, "habṭōt ffalāna. al āmárk hayš lā maffawtēta man đ̛́yri? hōh gahmōna. l-ād sawbōna tis zōyad lā."
āmərūt āgáwz, "xáybən, hōh sīrita đ̣ār hamōh, wə-hām kəsk tīs, āmrīta hīs ( $t$ )tab̄k." gəhēm agáygg te kūsa attétah ber śxawallüt naxāli atáỵ́. āmarūt, "kō hēt habṭāk?" āmūr ag̀áyg, "hōh śxawlēk hāl hāmēs. wzhiss ankáti, al thōom (t)ssxáwwal lā. thōm (t)tabšs. tōli āmárk hīs, falāna
 hīs hamōt āgáwz ag̀arōy ðōmah, farh̄̄̄t w-āmarūt, nəḥōm naśxáwwal sawānōt'. sē thōm tagiwīr bay, wa-hōh hōm l-ag̀wīr bīs. wz-sē ṣarōməh sīrūt đ̣ār ḥamōh ðд-fərḥōt, thágsəš ráddəš tzwáliham." tōli şăhkōt attēt w-āmarūt h-agááygs, "hay $\bar{k} k$ ba-hāmáy!" tōli gahmōh. $w$-ağáyg yamzūz. te karbōh al-sēkən ðд-xəṣámhe, āmūr h-téṫวh, "hēt śxáwwali báwmah wa-hōh sīrōna tawōli sēkən ðōmah. wà $\bar{\varepsilon}$ дkōsa ahād yamzūz." ämarūt attēt, "thōm towtōg̀? al axáyr hūk (t)syēr lā."

15 tawēda: This is the 2fs subjunctive of a D/L-Stem awōda (root wd') 'see s.o. off'. The verb, no doubt borrowed from Arabic wadda'a 'see s.o. off', is missing from $M L$, but the Jibbali cognate appears in $J L\left(s . v . w d^{c}\right)$. The Jibbali verb is also used in the parallel Jibbali version of this line. The form $t z \check{s} \bar{\varepsilon} d \partial n$ that appears in Stroomer's version of this story, which does not even fit grammatically, seems to be based on Stroomer's own idea, since both manuscripts clearly have tawēda.

15 And I will keep her occupied. And when I think you have already gone from by the water, I will say to her, 'If you want to see so-and-so off, you'll find her at the water'. And you hurry up, don't wait for her anymore at the water. Your meeting-place is under that fig tree."
16 The woman said, "Ok." The woman went, and the man waited for his mother-in-law. Then when she came, she said, "So where is so-and-so?" The man said, "She went to the water and will come back to us here. But I am afraid she might run away from me to the shelter."
17 The old woman said, "Why, did you hear her say something?" The man said, "I heard her say, 'If I don't come back to you now, don't think I'm late. I will have gone back to my parents'."
18 Then the woman was happy. She thought the man was being truthful. Then the old woman stayed, wanting to keep the man occupied so that he did not follow the woman.
19 The man said, "I should go to the water." The old woman said, "Stay with me a little while. I've missed you." She wanted to keep him occupied, and the man wanted to keep the old woman occupied.
20 They stayed. Then the man figured his wife would have already gone from by the water. He said, "So-and-so is late. Didn't I tell you she would run away from me? I'll go. I won't wait for her any longer."
21 The old woman said, "Ok. I'll go to the water, and if I find her, I'll tell her to follow you." The man went until he found the woman already sitting under the fig tree.
She said, "Why are you late?" The man said, "I was sitting with your mother. When she came to me, she didn't want to stay. She wanted to follow you. Then I said to her, 'So-and-so, I think she will run away from me to you. Let's go follow her!'
23 When the old woman heard these words, she became happy and said, 'Let's stay a little while'. She wanted to keep me occupied, and I wanted to keep her occupied. And now she went to the water happy. She thinks you've gone back to them."
24 Then the woman laughed and said to her husband, "You tricked my mother!" Then they went. And the man smoked (habitually). Then when they got near a settlement of his enemies, he said to his wife, "You stay here, and I'll go to this settlement. Perhaps I'll find someone who smokes." His wife said, "Do you want to be killed? It's not good for you to go."

15 tayk: $M L$ (s.v. tyk) glosses this only as 'wild fig tree', but according to Miller and Morris (1988: 208) this is Ficus vasta.
 alyōmah. wว-ḥōm al-gəhōm ād šay aḳāṣam."

28 mošēexi: On the Mashāyikh, who are believed to have special powers, see Bakhit (1982: 55).
aġarōyham: The manuscripts both confirm that this word has the 3 mp suffix -ham. So the reference seems to be to the people of the area, and not the women he is addressing. The Jibbali version also has the mp suffix here.
 Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'hut'.

26 He said, "I can't go on unless I smoke." The woman said, "You will indeed smoke today. Either you'll smoke tobacco or bullets." Then the man didn't listen to his wife at all, and he went.
27 But the man spoke their language. He cocked his rifle and went. When he came, he found women passing the day. Then the women said to him, "Who [lit. son of who] are you?"
28 He said, "I am a Mashaykhi." The women said, "The Mashāyikh do not carry arms." The man said, "Whoever has money can carry arms. It is not forbidden for them." And the man was speaking with the women in their language.
29 The women said, "What do you want?" The man said, "I am a man who smokes, and I have used up (my) tobacco. I came to you (thinking) perhaps I would find someone who smokes."
30 A woman said, "Go to that hut. You'll find an old man who smokes, and perhaps he'll give you something." The man went. When he came, he found an old man sitting.
31 He greeted him and sat down. The old man said, "Who [lit. son of who] are you?" He said, "I am a Mashaykhi." The old man said, "You lied. Your blood is not Mashaykhi." The man said, "I did not lie."
32 Then the old man said, "Do you know the house of so-and-so?" The man said, "I know them, why?" He said, "(It's) nothing." The man said, "(Are they) your friends or something?" The old man said, "Not my friends, but my enemies. Would that I could see one of them!"
33 The man said, "They don't come here." Then the old man got up and gave him a little tobacco. While they were like this, a woman came, and that woman knew the man. The woman was not from the tribe of his enemies, but she had married one of them.
34 Then she said, "So-and-so, why are you here?" Then the man winked at her, and she shut up. The old man said to the woman, "Do you know him?" She said, "No, I thought he was (another) man, but I was mistaken."
35 Then the man got up and went (to leave). The old man said to him, "You don't want to spend the day? We'll make lunch for you." The man said, "No, I am looking for a camel, and I heard it is in these wadis. I want to go while it's still cool [lit. while I still have the cold (weather)]."
$\bar{a} d$ : The Arabic manuscript has $\bar{a} d$, but the Roman manuscript unexpectedly has $\bar{a} d z h$.
wa-ftūk mən ārṣāt, wə-lhəર̣átəh tēt ðə-təğárbəh. āmərūt həh, "hēt háywal? thōm (t)sōm àmark l-agarē ðə-tambōku?" tōli kalūt hīs (līs) aǵáyg.
$\bar{a} m a r u ̄ t ~ a t t e ̄ t, ~ " s ̣ a r o ̄ m a h ~ h a g a r u ̄ t ~ h ̣ ə y a ́ w m, ~ w-a l ~ a h ̣ a ̄ d ~ y a s y u ̄ r ~ l a ̄ . ~ w-a l ~ s ́ i ̄ ~ m o ̄ h ~$ fanwīkam lā. walākan hēt syēr tawōli tstk, wa-hōh mahaggalēta hīkam f'sēe. wə-nkáyta tīkəm nəxāli hərōm ðēk, wə-nkáyta šay əm-mōh, hām hāmánk bay."
āmūr ag̉áyg, "hāmánk bayš, walākan naḥōm naghōm." tōli gazamūt tēt, "al ( $t$ )syūr te ber tafśīyam." āmūr ag̉áyg, "his-táw, ma yaxālaf. salyēba tīš." ag̉áyg sayūr tawōli téṫəh wa-kalūt līs ba-ttēt ðə-gazəmūt, "al (t)syūr te ber tafśíyam." tōli āmərūt téṫəh, "дว-yáṣṣak tīs mən tāká" thōm tātyōn līn." āmūr ağáyg, "táwwas lā. wə-nḥāh salyēba tīs, walākan al məśxawlūtan $b$-awēdəs lā. məḥtarfūtan wə-məḳabalūtan līs. wə-hām śīnan šīs ahād, sīyēra."
tōli sīrōh ag̉áyg wa-ttéťah te đ̣ār karamōt, man hāl yakabaláyyan sēkan. śxawallōh, wa-ttēt hagallūt w-āmlōt bark baráyk šēhi. te habhalūt, sīrūt, w-ag̉áyg wa-ttétrah śanyáys hīsftkūt man sēkan waḥsis̄s.
tōli āmūr a ġáyg h-tétzah, "g̉abárs w-āmēri hīs, 'hōh bart falān,' man xəṣámye, 'wə-sīnak ġayg sētən báwməh. w-ag̉áyg xā hē ðəráy, wə-hthámk tah yākấ’ man xaṣámyan, walākan 'ámlak tah ber gahēm'. əð sē kawt̄ūt layš, śalēli kamkēm man đ̣ār ḥarōhš, wa-hōh mahánkar.
l-agarē ðд-: The Roman manuscript lacks ðә- in this phrase, but it is present in the Arabic manuscript.
$k a l u ̄ t h i \bar{s}$ (līs): The Roman manuscript has kalūt hīs, and then in parentheses "better kalūt $l \bar{l} s$ ". In the Arabic manuscript, hīs was crossed out and replaced by līs. Cf. line 46, which has only hīs. hagarūt: The Roman manuscript has hagarūt, as does $M L$ (s.v. hgr). If $M L$ is correct that this comes from a Gb-Stem hïgar, then we would expect the $3^{f s}$ perfect to be hagrōt. So the transcription here is questionable.
37 am-mōh: Since we expect the preposition ba- here following the verb nkáyta, I assume an assimilation * $b-m \bar{o} h>m-m o \bar{h}$. Since I found no audio for this text, I have no evidence that the am-was pronounced. However, the same change is found in text $76: 2$, for which there is indeed supporting audio evidence, as well as in the parallel Jibbali version of this line. maśxawlūtan: Johnstone transcribed məśxawalūtan, and this could be for either maśxawlūtan or maśxəwwalūtan. Unfortunately, this is the only future of the verb śxawlūl (or any other Qw-Stem) in all the texts.

36 And he left the hut, and the woman who knew him caught up with him. She said to him, "Are you crazy? You want to trade [lit. sell] your life for the sake of tobacco?" Then he told the woman (what happened).
37 The woman said, "The sun is at midday now, and no one is traveling. And there is no water ahead of you. So you go to your wife, and I'll boil you lunch. I'll bring (it) to you under that tree, and I'll bring some water with me, if you trust me."
38 The man said, "I trust you, but we want to go." Then the woman swore, "You won't go until after you've had lunch." The man said, "Ok, it's fine. We'll wait for you."
39 The man went to his wife and told her about the woman who swore, "You won't go until after you've had lunch." Then his wife said, "I am afraid that she might want to inform on us."
40 The man said, "She shouldn't. We'll wait for her, but we won't stay in her meeting-place. We'll move and keep an eye on her. And if we see anyone with her, we'll go."
41 Then the man and his wife went up onto a hill, where they could keep an eye on the settlement. They stayed, and the woman boiled and made tea in a kettle. Then when she was done cooking, she went, and the man and his wife saw her when she left the settlement alone.
42 Then the man said to his wife, "(Go) meet her and say to her, 'I am the daughter of so-and-so', from my enemies, 'and I saw a man here earlier. And the man (seemed) like a stranger, and I think he might be from our enemies, but I think he already left'. If she tells you, lift the head-cloth up off your head, and I will understand.

41 yakabaláyyzn: Johnstone did not record gemination in his transcription, and recorded a suffix -áyan for the dual forms of the D/L-Stem imperfect in $M L$. No audio was found for this text. On the presumed transcription with gemination, see the final note to the table of suffixes in § 7.1.2 (and n. 6).

42 kamkēm: The Arabic manuscript has just kamkēm 'the head-cloth', while the Roman manuscript (like the Jibbali version) has kamkēməš 'your headcloth.
wa-hōh gahmōna, wa-hēt šàbdəs, wa-maġtábari đ̣ār kərmáym đayk. wa-sē gahdátš, al thērək kamkēmǎ̌ lā."
 mōn?" āmarūt, "hōh bart falān. wa-sīnak g gayg sēəan báwmah, wz-hthámk tah yāká’ mən xəṣámyən." āmarūt attēt, "dékamah man harbātyan ðz-yagáwlak man yabūt. wa-bér
 āmərūt, "šay mōh. ḥōm l-arhạṣ."
āmərūt tēte $\partial$-ağáyg, "hōm al-syēr šayš"" āmərūt tēt, "hōh hōm l-arhậṣ. wz-kōh (t) syēri say?"" tōli tēt $ð$-ağáyg g̀arbáts ðə-sē sádkat (sadáykzt). wa-kzwtūt hīs.
 wa-śxวwlil wa-fšīw. te g gasráwwan, báttadəm.
attēt raddūt tawōl i sékznəs, w-ağáyg wz-ttétah šzwgaśōh te watxfōo hāl
 $t \varepsilon k$-sōbəh, gəhmōh agáyg wa-ttétzh te nákam hāl sékənham. wa-tammōt.

43 šábdas: This is the Šı-Stem fs imperative šábbad (root bdd) plus a 3fs object suffix -s. we expect tháyrək. In any case, tháyrək and thērək would be pronounced almost the same. note that says "corr. to sdáykzt". The Arabic manuscript originally had سادقت (= sádkot), but this was crossed out and replaced with سديقت (= sadáykat).

43 And I'll go, and you detach yourself from her, and we'll meet on that mountain. And if she denies (it) to you, don't move your head-cloth."
44 She said, "Ok." The woman went. Then when she met her, the woman carrying the lunch said, "Who [lit. daughter of who] are you?" She said, "I am the daughter of so-and-so. I saw a strange man here earlier, and I think he might be from our enemies."
45 The woman said, "That was one of our friends [or: fellow tribesmen] who was looking for a camel. He already left." The man's wife said, "What do you have in the kettle and the pot?" She said, "I have water. I want to wash up."
46 The man's wife said, "I want to go with you." The woman said, "I want to wash up. Why would you go with me?" Then the man's wife knew that she was a friend, and she told her.
47 She said, "I am the wife of so-and-so. Let's go to him!" They went until they got to the man. And they sat and had lunch. Then in the evening, they parted ways.
48 The woman went back to her settlement, and the man and his wife went until they got to the man's brother's settlement. The man's brother got up and slaughtered for his sister-in-law, and they spent the night.
49 Then in the morning, the man and his wife went until they came to their settlement. And it is finished.

## *Text 95 (= J39, but a variant version): A Man and His Shadow

1 xaţəāt sēkan ðə-wxāf bə-wōdi, wa-bárk ḥəwōdi đákaməh mōh bark gōt. wəkaráyb al-ḥamōh makabrēt. w-al ạhād yašēnūs yanké halákamah lā b-aáṣar, ar wa-hē $b$-anhōr.
2 tє āṣər ṭāṭ āmūr ġayg, "mōn mənkēm yahōm l-azémah wōz, wa-yasyēr ḍār ḥamōh wa-yarḥāṣ́, wa-yaśxáwwal s̄̄t țayt?"
3 āmūr ṭāt, "hōh ḥōm." wə-səyūr. te wīṣal ḥamōh, šadhūḳ bark agōt wə-śini hālah. yahūgas manēdam bark hamōh.
4 tōli xōbaṭ aməndáwkah wa-wbūd bark ḥamōh. wa-hē, mət ḥátraf məkōn, yaśōni hālah yabágdah, wa-hē yalábdah. tōli falūt wa-yaśōni hālah, ṭawr man sarīh wa-ṭáwr man fanw̄̄h.
5 te káyrab al-ḥābū, śīni hāləhfənwīh. wə-mátraḳ ajanbáyyət wə-ṭān, wətəğ̣ōt ajanbáyyat b-agardīś. yahūgəs śı mənáys, wə-ġəyūb mən ayaṣáyt.
 śállam tah. te $k$-sōbəh ḳalūb ḥass, šxəəbirəh ḥābū. āmáwr, "hēśan śīnək?" āmūr, "siñək gannáy bark ḥəmōh, wa-wbádək tah, walākan al yašṣáwb lā. wa-mġōrən falátk wa-bgadáy. tōli śn̄əək tah fanwáy wa-ṭánk təh, walākan mūna ajanbáyyat, wa-l-ād kadórk līs lā." āmáwr ḥābū, "дək ar yáṣsək! walākan nəḥōm gēhəməh bə-ḥalláy nəsyēr đ̣ār ḥamōh, wa-háśnan man hāl śīnak tah." āmūr, "ý́ys."
9 te gēhəmah ba-ḥalláy, səyáwr. te nákam ơār ḥəmōh, āmáwr həh, "šádhəḳ!" tōli šədhūk w-āmūr, "g̉əlákəəh! g̀əlákəəh!" nákam aġəyūg ðə-yabárḳam. tє nákam təh, āmáwr, "hõ?" āmūr, "g̉alákah!" tōli śīnəm hālah. āmáwr, "ð́́kวməh ar hālak, wa-hēt วl ġəyábk ar mən boṭōl."
$1 g \bar{t} t$ :This word is listed in $M L$ (s.v. $g w w$ ), though the footnote in Stroomer's edition (p. 262, n. 1) seems to suggest that it is missing from ML. yašṣáwb: This is the 3 ms imperfect of the Šı-Stem šzwṣáwb 'be hit'. The root is historically $s \underset{w}{ } b$, but $w s ̣ b$ in the H - and Šı-Stems. According to the entry for this verb in $M L$ (s.v. șwb), the 3ms imperfect is yašawṣáwb, which is probably where Stroomer got the form used in his edition of this text. Among the verbal paradigms in $M L$ (p. lxi), the 3 ms imperfect is given as yašzwṣōb. Ali's Arabic manuscript has رشصوب, while Johnstone's Roman manuscript has iišṣoob, both of which reflect an imperfect yašṣáwb. I-w verbs in the Ši-Stem have two variant imperfects, as already noted in $M L$ (lxi), and as evidenced elsewhere in the texts. The imperfects

## Translation of Text 95

1 Once a community was camped in a valley, and in that valley there was water in a hole. And close to the water was a graveyard. And no one dared come there at night, only if it was daytime.
2 Then one night a man said, "Which [lit. who] of you wants me to give him a goat, and will go to this water and bathe, and stay one hour?"
3 One guy said, "I want to." And he went. Then when he got to the water, he looked down into the hole and he saw his shadow. He thought it was a person in the water.
4 Then he cocked his rifle and shot into the water. And he, whenever he changed places, would see his shadow following him, and he shot at it. Then he ran away and saw his shadow, sometimes behind him and sometimes in front of him.
5 Then when he got near the people, he saw his shadow in front of him. And he pulled out his dagger and stabbed (it), and the dagger got stuck in the dirt. He thought something had taken hold of it, and he fainted from fear.
6 Then the people got worried about him, and they went (and) looked for him. Then when they found him passed out, they picked him up. Then in the morning when he regained consciousness, the people questioned him. They said, "What did you see?"
7 He said, "I saw a jinn in the water, and I shot at it, but it didn't get hit. And then I ran away, and it chased me. Then I saw it in front of me and I stabbed it, but it took hold of my dagger, and I couldn't overpower it."
8 The people said, "It's just that you were afraid! But let's go tomorrow night to the water, and show us where you saw it." He said, "Ok."
9 Then the next night, they went. When they got to the water, they said to him, "Look down!" Then he looked down and said, "Look at it! Look at it!"
10 The men came running. Then when they got to him, they said, "Where?" He said, "Look at it!" Then they saw his shadow. They said, "That's only your shadow, and you only fainted from fear."
yašawṣáwb/yzšṣáwb show the same exact variation as yašzwgūś/yzšgūś. See further in the comment to text $30: 8$, and in § 7.2.3.
10 batōl: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'fear (cowardice?)'. ML (s.v. $b \underset{t}{ }$ ) defines the word as 'fear', but probably based on this story. It also has the word bōtal 'cowardly'. I also wonder if man baṭōl could mean here something like 'for no reason'; cf. Arabic bāṭil 'baseless, false, groundless'.

11 tōli g̉aráwb ḥābū ðə-hē al śī lā đ̣ār ḥamōh, wว-ġəráwb ðə-hē, mət ṭāt yaṣ, yəśōni kāl śȳyan fanwīh ðว-yaffarūk bah.
12 mag̈ōrən waráwd ḥəmōh ðékəmah, śōx w-aḳənnáwn. wa-təmmōt.

11 Then the people knew that there was nothing at the water, and they knew that whenever someone is afraid, he'll see anything in front of him that will frighten him.
12 Then they went down to that water, the old and the young. And it is finished.

## *Text 96 (no J): A Conversation

1 A: "gəhōm wa-ğabérəh, w-āmēr hah yatáxfan gēhamah, āds al gazōt hayáwm."
2 B: "wa-hām al kask tah lā, hībōh l-āmōl?"
3 A: "háwḳa həh məṛ̛̛áyt hāl əḥād. āmēr həh, 'falān gīlu.' yā yalḥáķəh yā lā. wa-šēgal, ḥəðдōr man togंwōr."
4 B: "atēm waḳiys̄ b-amkōnkam, aw śalyēla?"
5 A: "nวḥāh wakiyē b-amkōnən te gēhวməh walē bād gēhaməh. wa-hām sállan, àmyēla hīkam ālōmət. xatyẹṭa hīkam man hāl hawtīyan. wahēt háwṣaf bah. āmēr hah, 'āmáwr hūk hábke, «hām tarōh ḥərōhah, al yahəkáśśว $\operatorname{ar}$ hənīn»."
6 B: "yćyc."
7 A: "əśōni āfōr ṭáwla mən aráwram. wa-hām əwsūt, mahərṣ́áwtan. šēgalam līn! hámak tī aw lā?"
8 B: "hámak tīk. al tāwīg bay lā! āmēr háyni ‘asthōl'?"
9 A: "xáybən, asthōl!" wə-təmmōt.

1 gēhamah: In margin of the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'tonight', but the word normally means 'tomorrow'.
3 háwka:The Arabic manuscript has only háwka 'put, place!', but the Roman manuscript has both háwḳa and the alternative ḳalı́’ 'leave!'. Both mean essentially the same thing here.
5 hīkam: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali wrote hīham 'to them'.
5 háwṣəə: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali mistakenly wrote háwsaf (حوسف). He almost never confused $h$ and $h$ or $s$ and $s$ elsewhere. yahakáśah: This is the H-Stem 3 ms imperfect of the root ḳśc (yahakōśa) plus a 3ms object suffix -h.

## Translation of Text 96

1 A: "Go and meet him, and tell him to come to us tomorrow, before the sun goes down."
2 B: "And if I don't find him, what should I do?"
3 A: "Leave a message for him with someone. Tell him, 'So-and-so got sick.' Either he'll catch him, or not. But hurry, be sure not to linger."
4 B: "Will you be in your (current) place, or will you move?"
5 A: "We'll be in our place until tomorrow or the next day. And if we move, we'll make you an indicator. We'll mark out where we headed. And you, give (him) a description of it. Tell him, 'Your parents said to you, «If his head is wet, he should dry it only with us»'"'
6 B: "Ok."
$7 \quad$ A: "I see a cloud has come up from the sea. So if it rains, we'll lose animals to exposure. Hurry back to us! Did you hear me or not?"
8 B: "I heard you. Don't hold me up! Tell me 'goodbye'!"
9 A: "Fine, goodbye!" And it is finished.

## Text 97 ( $=\mathrm{J} 97$ = H. 9 = Müller 1907: 34-45, from which it was translated= Bittner 1917a: 92-107): A Cinderella Tale

1 ġayg sōbar yaṣtəyūd aṣáyd (șəyyōd) wa-hārūs bə-tēt wə-nūka mans bəg̀ gəənōt. wə-mtōt ḥāmēs, wə-kənōh agंagənōt te āk.krūt. wə-yวkálas b-abáyt wa-hē yasyūr yaṣtayūd aṣáyd.
tع nahōr ṭayt āmərūt hah ḥabrátəh, "ḥáybi, wa-kōh al hārásk lā?" āmūr hīs, "hōhal ḥōm al-hēras lā."
āmərūt hah, "wə-kōh?" āmūr hīs, "məg்ōrən tśánan bayš." āmərūt həh, "lā, hēras!" wə-hārūs ba-tēt wə-nūka bīs h-abáyt hāl haəbrátəh. wə-śxəwallūt šah.
wə-nūka mans ba-ġaganōt wa-kənáts te āḳərūt. wə-śxəwlūl, wə-(t)šāsūr ḥəbráts wa-thabg̈áwṣ́ ḥabrīt ð-aṣarēt ( (-aṣayyōd).
tє nahōr ḥabrē ða-ḥōkam yaḥōm yašáxtan, wa- ’́mlam hah šarḥ. wa-ṭaláwb ba'áyli arḥabēt kāl, wə-nákam.
6 wa-ttēt $\partial$-aṣayyōd affxarēt (azīnēt) ḥabráts. wa-ḥabrīt ð-aṣəyyōd, wazmáts gányat ðว-bárr. āmərūt hīs, "ṭahánah!"
$1 \quad \dot{g} a y g$ sōbaryaṣtəyūd aṣáyd (ṣəyyōd): Ali's Arabic manuscript has ġayg sōbar yaṣtzyūd aṣáyd, matching what he wrote in the Jibbali version ( $\dot{g} e y g$ sóbar yabtéran $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ d)$. However, he later added ṣəyyōd in the margin. Johnstone's Roman manuscript has only $\dot{g} a y g$ ssayyōd 'a man, a fisherman'. The latter more accurately reflects Müller's Mehri version, on which Ali's Mehri version was based, and which has $\dot{g} a y j$ ḥawwōt.
3 tśánan: This is either the 3 fp imperfect of a Ga-Stem śūna (ML, s.v.śn ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ), or the 3 fs imperfect of an otherwise unattested D/L-Stem (ś)śōna (cf. Arabic D šanna'a 'slander; revile'). The latter fits the context best, and the other versions also have 3 fs verbs.
4 д-aṣ́arēt: The Arabic manuscript has ḥabrīt д-aṣ́arēt ð-aṣəyyōd, but the word $\partial$-aṣ́ərēt has been put in square brackets. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed ḥabrīt ð-aṣ́arēt ð-aṣayyōd, but put ð-aṣayyōd in parentheses; above $\partial$-aṣərēt he added 'better', and above $\partial$-aṣəyyōd he added 'or!'. Ali's Jibbali version has here just $\varepsilon$ ṣ́érét. The Mehri word ṣ́arēt means 'other wife'. ML includes it only in the English-Mehri word-list (p. 619), defined as 'wife other than the first in a polygamous marriage', $J L$ (ṣ́rr) gives the same meaning for the Jibbali cognate. But it seems, based on this context (in which aṣ́arēt refers to the deceased first wife), that the meaning is just 'other wife'. In a word-list for this text (found among Johnstone's papers, Box 11A), Johnstone defined ṣ́ərēt ( pl . ṣawárra') as 'co-wife'.

## Translation of Text 97

1 A man was always catching fish (was a fisherman), and he married a woman and had a girl with her. Her mother died, and he raised the girl until she grew up. He would leave her in the house and go fishing.
2 Then one day his daughter said to him, "Father, why haven't you gotten married?" He said to her, "I don't want to get married."
3 She said to him, "Why?" He said to her, "Then she would mistreat you." She said to him, "No, get married!" So he married a woman and brought her into the house with his daughter. And she remained with him.
4 And he had a girl with her, and she raised her until she grew up. They remained, and she loved her daughter and hated the daughter of the other wife (of the fisherman).
5 Then one day the ruler's son wanted to get circumcised, and they made a party for him. And they invited all the inhabitants of the town, and they came.
6 And the fisherman's wife prettied up her daughter. And the daughter of the fisherman, she gave her a sack of grain. She said to her, "Grind it!"
$6 \quad$ affxarēt (azinēt): Both manuscripts present affxarēt and azīnēt as alternatives. The transcription affxarēt is a bit uncertain. As a D/L-Stem with an initial voiceless, non-glottalic consonant we expect (f)fxərēt (or variant (f)fxarēt because of the guttural $x$ ). The Arabic manuscript has انغريت (as also in line 20 ), and this spelling could indicate afxarēt. If the prefix $a$ - is present, then it must be because of the cluster of two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, just as we get the definite article $a$ - in the same environment (§4.4). The Roman manuscript originally had $\varepsilon f x a r e \bar{t}$, which matches this reading. (Johnstone transcribed $\varepsilon$ - for the prefix also in $\varepsilon z \overline{\iota-}$ $n \bar{e} t$; it is just a variant transcription for $a$-.) However, the initial $\varepsilon$ - was later crossed out, and $\partial$ was added above it, indicating a reading $\partial f x a r e ̄ t$. The same is true for line 20 . An initial $\partial$ - makes best sense if we have an initial geminate, i.e., affxarēt. The Arabic spelling could also represent $a(f) f x a-$ $r e \bar{t}$, though Ali most often did not indicate an initial epenthetic $\partial$ - in his spelling. Nowhere else do we find the prefix $a$ - before a voiceless consonant cluster in the D/L-Stem, so it remains unclear if afxarēt or affxarēt was intended. In recent fieldwork, Sabrina Bendjaballah recorded $3 \mathrm{fs} s f z$ rēt 'she traveled', with no $a$-.

7 wazmáts yabáyt zəyáwrət wa-āmərūt hīs, "məlēhəm mōh! mət nákan, tākāy ber ṭahánš gányat wa-bér mōlaš azayáwrat." wa-bārōt, sē wa-ḥəbráts, təwōli šarḥ, wə-ḥəbrīt ð-aṣəyyōd b-abáyt. wəțwyīs hōba āgzōn.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h i ̄ s, ~ " w a-k o ̄ h ~ a l ~ b a r s ̌ ~ l a ̄ ~ t a w o ̄ l i ~ s ̌ a r h ? " " ~ a ̄ m ə r u ̄ t ~ h i ̄ s a n, ~ " m a ́ t w a y a k ~ l a ̄ . ~ s ̌ a y ~$ maḥnēt." āmūr hīs, "āśéśl!" w-āśśūt.
wa-sayūr bīs te đ̣ār bayr wa-harbá’ mōh, wa-rhasṣáys wa-hawbasīs xalōwak. wa-ṣáyġət.
11 w-āmūr hīs, "bāri!"wə-wzəmīs ġayrōrət ðə-dərēham wə-wzəmīs ġayrōrət ðд-ḳəbáwnət.

12 w-āmūr hīs, "syēri təwōli šarḥ wa-nḥāgi te, mət kánaš wz-tḥáymi tftēki, skēbi aġayrōrət ðд-dərēham bark amdōrət wə-skēbi aġayrōrət d-akabáwnət đ̣̄̄r attēt $\underline{\text { б-aṣayyōd (Әə-ḥáybəš) wa-ḥabráts." āmarūt, "his-táw." }}$ ðə-ḥōkəт ðə-ṣōr hāl ḥābū. wə-sē wəkabūt bark amdōrət. wə-nḥagōt. ts śabōt, skabūt ag்ayrōrət ðə-dərēham bark amdōrət, wa-skabūt aġayrōrət ð-akabáwnət đ̣ār tēt də-ḥáybas wa-ḥəbráts, wa-ftkūt.
 $\bar{a} m \bar{r} r$ ḥaynīt, "bass man šarḥ te gēhəməh ba-ḥalláy." wa-bāram ḥābū. wa-sē sīrūt. te nkōt abáyt, ksūt agányat ber ṭaḥnēt ṭakáyḳ ð-awḳā, wazayáwrət ðд-mīlam mōh, wa-hōba yanīt Әд-śxəwlūl.

7 malēham: The Arabic manuscript has the spelling olor, which could reflect malēham or malēham, but the same form in line 21 is spelled which must be for malēham. Johnstone transcribed malēham in both lines. The fs imperative must be a III-' form (from mūla), and not a III-w/y form (from malōh), since the latter would be malī. The 2 fs mōlaš, if Johnstone transcribed the vowel $\bar{o}$ correctly, looks like a III-w/y form (see below). See also the comment to text 85:26 (mal $\vec{e})$.
7 gányat: We expect the definite form agányat here (cf. line 16), but the manuscripts both have gányət. This may just be an error.
7 mōlaš: If Johnstone's transcription mōlaš is correct (also in line 18), then it reflects a III-w/y type perfect (as if from a 3 ms malōh). It is possible that the correct transcription is mūlaš, in which case it reflects a III-' type perfect. Elsewhere in the texts we find forms of the III-' type (e.g., mūlam in 22:6). The 3 ms perfect $m o \bar{l} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$, listed in $M L$ (s.v. $m l^{\prime}$ ) should be corrected to mūla.
$8 \quad b \bar{a} r o \bar{o}$ : The manuscripts have 3 fs $b \bar{a} r o ̄ t$ here (like the Jibbali version), but in the Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the 3 fd form bārtōh in the margin, as an option.
$7 \quad$ And she gave her seven jars and said to her, "Fill them with water! When we come back, you should have already ground the sack (of grain) and already filled the jars."
8 And she went out, she and her daughter, to the party, and the fisherman's daughter was in the house. And seven old women came to her.
9 They said to her, "Why didn't you go out to the party?" She said to them, "I don't have time. I have work." They said to her, "Get up!" And she got up.
10 And they brought her to a well and drew water, and they washed her and dressed her in clothes and jewelry.
11 And they said to her, "Go out!" And they gave her a bag of money and a bag of scorpions.
12 And they said to her, "Go to the party and dance until, when you have had enough [or: get tired] and want to leave, pour the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and pour the bag of scorpions over the fisherman's (your father's) wife and her daughter." She said, "Ok."
13 She went. Then when she came, she found the men watching and the women dancing. And the ruler's son was standing with the people. And she went onto the dance-floor.
14 And she danced. Then when she had enough, she poured the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and poured the bag of scorpions over her father's wife and her daughter, and she left.
15 And the ruler's son ran after her, but he didn't catch up to her. And he returned to the people. He said to the women, "Enough partying until tomorrow night." And the people left.
16 And she went. Then when she got home, she found the sack (of grain) already ground, (with) fine flour in it [lit. having been put in], and the jars filled with water, and seven women sitting.

9 towōli šarḥ: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali added al-hāl šarḥ as an alternative to towōli šarh.
ð-aṣəyyōd (дว-ḥáybəš): Both manuscripts give tēt ðд-háabวš ‘your father's wife' as an alternative to tēt $\underline{\text { б }}$-aṣayyōd 'the fisherman's wife'.
haynit̄: In the Arabic manuscript, Ali wrote $h-\bar{a} g z \bar{o} n$ 'to the old women'. The Roman manuscript has haynīt 'to the women', which matches the Jibbali version.
16 д-awkā: This is an H-Stem passive form (see §7.1.7). In the Arabic manuscript, Ali had written ذهوقاء (дд-hawká’), but crossed it out and wrote ذاوقا (ð-awḳā) above it. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone had ðд-(h)áwḳá, but then crossed out the $h$.

17 āmūr hīs, "nəḥágəš?" āmərūt hīsən, "nəḥágək, wə-bəḳáwṣ́ saráy ḩəbrē ðəḥōkam." āmūr hīs, "laḩakáyš?" āmərūt, "lā." āmūr hīs, "his-táw." wa-śall məns aṣáyġət wa-xəlōwวk, wว-səyūr, wว-sē šawkfūt. wa-sē naḥagōt. tє kaəōt mən anéhag, skabūt aġayrōrət ð-adərēhəm bark amdōrət, wə-skəbūt aġayrōrət ð-aḳabáwnət đ̣ār tēt ðə-háaybas wa-ḥəbràts, wa-ftkūt.
27 wa-haṭláwk sarīs farháyn habrē ðə-ḥōkəm. te makōn natṭabōt ḥagáwlats. āmūr hīs, "hagáwlatš!" āmərūt, "дə-sayūr yaxlōf gंáyrah." wə-rádd ḥəbrē ðд-ḥōkam, wa-ḥagáwlat šah. səyūr ts nūka hāl bə'áyli šarh, $\bar{a} m u \bar{r}, ~ " b a s s!~ h a ́ f s a h ̣ ə n ~ b a-s ̌ a r h ̣!" ~ w a-b a ̄ r ə m ~ h ̣ a ̄ b u ̄ ~ k \bar{a}-t ̣ a ̄ t ̣ ~ h-a b a ́ t ə h . ~$

ḥagáwlats: ML (s.v. hgl) defines hagáwlat as 'bracelet of iron or silver', but it can also refer to an anklet. According to Morris and Shelton (1997: 184187, 355), Omani Arabic ḥagūla also has both meanings.

They said to her, "Did you dance?" She said to them, "I danced, and the ruler's son ran after me." They said to her, "Did he catch up to you?" She said, "No." They said to her, "Ok." And they took the jewelry and clothes from her, and went. And she went to sleep.
18 And her father's wife came back with her daughter and woke her up. She said to her, "Did you already do the grinding?" She said, "Yes." "And did you already fill the jars with water?" She said, "Yes." And they stayed.

23 They drew water and washed her, and dressed her in clothes and jewelry. And they said to her, "Go to the party!"
24 And they gave her a bag of money and a bag of scorpions. They said to her, "Dance until you've had enough, and when you want to leave, pour the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and pour the scorpions over the fisherman's wife and her daughter."
25 She went. Then when she came to the party, she found the men standing and she found the women dancing. She entered the party. And the ruler's son was ready on a horse.
26 And she danced. Then when she had enough of the dancing, she poured the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and she poured the bag of scorpions over her father's wife and her daughter, and she left.
27 And the ruler's son set the horse after her. Then at (one) place, her bracelet fell. He said to her, "Your bracelet!" She said, "Something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone." Her sister said to her, "Do you not know a certain pretty girl who went to the party and danced and poured a bag of money onto the dance-floor and left, and the ruler's son ran after her and didn't catch up to her?" And they stayed.
20 Then the next evening, the men and women went (back). And the fisherman's wife prettied up her daughter.
And she gave the daughter of the fisherman two sacks of grain. She said to her, "Grind them!" And she gave her fourteen jars. She said to her, "Fill them up with water!" And she went, she and her daughter, to the party.
And the daughter of the fisherman, seven women came to her. They said to her, "Why didn't you go to the party?" She said, "I don't have time." They said to her, "Get up!" And she got up and went with them until they got to the well.

And the ruler's son returned, the bracelet with him. He went until he got to the people at the party. He said, "Enough! Stop the party!" And the people went home, each to his own house.

29 wə-sē sīrūt. tع ankōt b-abáyt, ksūt agwōni bársən țəḳáyk, wə-ksūt azayáwrət дə-mīlam mōh, w-āgzōn (ठ-)śxəwlūl. śall məns aṣáyġat wa-xalōwək. āmərūt hīsən, "haṭláwk saráy ḥəbrē ðд-ḥōkəm forháyn." āmūr hīs, "laḥəḳáyš?" āmərūt, "lā, walākan ḥagáwlti naṭtabōt, wว-śallīs. āmūr háyni, ‘’̣วgáwlatš!', āmərk hah, ‘дə-səyūr yaxlōf gंáyrah?"
wa-ḥabrē ðə-ḥōkam ṣāṭ ḥagáwlat wa-wzamīs garīt t trayt. āmūr hīsən, "dērən ba-ḥวgáwlət ðīməh w-akyīsən tīs al-haynīt w-aġagənáwtən. kāl ðəwakōt līs sawē, kalētan lay!" wa-dartōh ḥāgērtan bark arḥabēt xamstāšar yūm, w-al ksītōh ahāad lā.
anhōr ðə-sattāšar, waṣaltōh b-abáyt ð-aṣayyōd. w-akyīs al-tét̨ah, w-al wīka lā. w-akyīs al-ḥabróts, w-al wīka lā. w-akyīs al-ḥabrīt ð-aṣayyōd, wə-wīka kวyōs.
sīrtōh ḥāgērtən təwōli abēlsan w-āmərtōh hah, "ḥəbrït ð-aṣəyyōd ḥənōb." wa-xxaṣáwb l-aṣayyōd, wa-nūka aṣayyōd.
 āmūr hah ḥəbrē ðə-ḥōkəm, "ba-ḥabrátk ḥənōb." āmūr, "his-táw!" wəsáddəm yahēras, wว-hārūs.
35 tع nəhōr ðд-kabkēb, attēt дд-ḥáybas wazmáts bark ṣafaráyyat dēgər. āmərūt hīs, "tīyah!" wa-tawátah.
36 wa-śxəwallūt wa-nkáys hōba yanīt wa-hftūk alhān bark háfəlas. w-áymal hīs ḥəráwf bark háfalas.
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r ~ h i ̄ s, ~ " m ə t ~ n u ̄ k a ~ a g ̉ a ́ y g ə s ̌ ~ w ə-t h ̣ a ́ y m i ~(t) s y e ̄ r i ~ k-h ̣ ə n a ́ f s ̌, ~ a ̄ m e ̄ r i ~ h-~$ aġáygəš, "āzémi amṣárrək l-astzyūr barkīh'?" wə-śxawallūt.
tє nūka ag̉áygəs, āmərūt həh, "āzémi amṣárrək!" āmūr hīs, "tháməh hēśan?" āmərūt hah, "ḥōm l-astayūr barkīh." wว-wzวmīs wa-sīrūt k-ḥənáfs. wa-ksūt tēt ðə-ḥáybas ðə-ṣarōt bark ḥammām. āmərūt hīs, "kō hēt báwmah? syēri walē ṣarōmah ṣakáyṭta al-habrē ða-ḥōkam." $f t k u ̄ t$, wa-sē śxawallūt w-astaryūt bark amṣárr, wa-nkōt. āmarūt hah, "syēr w-anké b-amṣárrək!" sayūr wə-kūsa barkīh xammōh ḥəráwf, wə-śallīhəm.
 haráwf.

34 (m-)mōn: This must be underlyingly *b-mōn. The manuscripts give no indication of the initial doubled $m$, but it seems likely that am-mōn was intended, as we find in the Jibbali version of this text. The preposition $b$ is required before the object of the G-Stem verb hārūs, as evidenced by ba-habrátk in the reply. See also the comments to texts 76:2 ( $\partial m-m \bar{o} h)$ and 94:37 (am-mōh).

They said to her, "When your husband comes and you want to go to the bathroom, say to your husband, 'Give me your turban so I can defecate in it'!" And she waited.

38 Then when her husband came, she said to him, "Give me your turban!" He said to her, "What do you want (it) for?" She said to him, "I want to defecate in it." He gave (it) to her, and she went to the bathroom.
And she found her father's wife standing in the bathroom. She said to her, "Why are you here? Go, or else now I'll call the ruler's son."
40 And she left, and she sat down and defecated in the turban, and she came back. She said to him, "Go and bring back your turban!" He went and he found in it five (gold) coins, and he took them.
41 And they went to sleep. Then in the morning, she got up before him. And he got up and found under her head three (gold) coins.

42 wa-sayūr wa-kalūtِ al-háybah. āmūr, "yā ḥáybi, hō ... tēț, wakábk hanīs ba-ḥalláy, w-āmərūt háyni ‘āzémi amṣárrak!', wə-wzámk tīs təh.
43 wa-sīrūt bah ḥəmōh, wa-nkōt w-āmərūt háyni, 'syēr h-amsárrak!', wa-səyárk hah, wa-kásk barkīh xammōh ḥaráwf ( (-) дəhēb. wə-nákak, wə-šəwkōfan te
 naxāli ḥərōhs."
44 āmūr ḥáybəh, "la-bádd ḥáybəs məlēk." āmūr həh, "ādəh šəh g̉agənōt ṭayt." $\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " n \partial h ̣ o ̄ m ~ a \dot{a} a ̄ k y z h e ̄ r \partial s ~ b \bar{s}$."
45 sīrūt aṣafōt bark arḥabēt ðд-hē, habbrē ðд-ḥōkam, téťh tastayīrən ḥəráwf. wə-səyáwr al-hāl ḥáybəs w-āmáwr həh, "nวḥōm ənhērəs bə-ḥəbrátk." āmūr hīham, "śáwras hāl ḥāmēs."
46 sayáwr al-hāl hāāmēs, w-āmarūt hīham, "his-táw." wa-hārūs habbrē ðə-hōkəm akannáwn bīs.
tє nahōr ða-kabkēb, hagallūt hīs hāmēs bark ṣafaráyyat dēgar, w-āmarūt hīs, "til" wa-təwūt.
hīs ba-ḥalláy, nūka ḥabrē ðə-ḥōkəm wa-śxəwlūl hənīs. āmərūt hah, "āzémi amṣárrak!" wa-wzamīs (təh), wว-sīrūt bah təwōli hammām. wa-məlátəh gंōb w-ənkátzh.
49 wa-sayūr aġ̄̄gēn, yaḥōm amṣárrah, wa-ksīh kállah ğōb. wa-bār wa-šəwkūf hāl ḥāmóh.
$50 \quad$ te $k$-sōbəḥ nūka hāl ḥáybəh. āmūr həh, "hībōh tețk?" āmūr həh, "tənōfa lā. ġəśśūt xaláwkys."
51 səyáwr al-hāl aġəgənōt. āmáwr hīs, "hībōh 'ámləš wวṭōməh?" āmərūt, "дōmah man ḥāmáy. tawáti dēgar."
52 xaṣáyb līs wa-nkōt. āmūr ḥōkam, "kaṣāṣam ḥarōhs!" wa-káṣṣam ḥarōhs wa-śxawlīl. wa-təmmōt.
kalūt al-háybah: The Roman manuscript has kalūt al- 'he told (to)', with gátri $k$ - 'he spoke with' in the margin above. The Arabic manuscript has gátri háybah, with kalūt in the margin above. The word háybah in the Arabic manuscript is for an underlying *h-ḥáybah (see § 2.1.4).
la-bádd: This is from Arabic lā budda 'it must be that'.
$w z \partial m \bar{s}(t \partial h)$ : The direct object $t \partial h$ is absent from the Roman manuscript and the audio, but is in the Arabic manuscript, albeit added in the margin. The Jibbali and Ḥarsusi versions have the equivalent direct object here, though it is absent from Müller's version. It is not required, as shown by $w z a m \bar{s} s$ in line 38 (and similarly in the Jibbali and Ḥarsusi versions).

42 And he went and told his father. He said, "Father, I ... the woman, I went to her at night, and she said to me, 'Give me your turban!', and I gave it to her.
43 And she took it to the water, and she came back and said to me, 'Go get [lit. for] your turban!'. And I went for it, and I found five gold coins in it. And I came back, and we went to sleep until morning. And she got up before me. And I got up and found three gold coins under her head."
44 His father said, "Her father must be an angel." He said to him, "He still has one more daughter." He said, "We should have your brother marry her."
The news went around in the town that he, the ruler's son, his wife defecated coins. And they went to her father and said to him, "We want to marry your daughter." He said to them, "The decision [lit. her plan] (rests) with her mother."
46 They went to her mother, and she said to them, "Ok." And the ruler's younger [lit. small] son married her.
47 Then on the wedding day, her mother cooked beans for her in pot, and she said to her, "Eat!" And she ate.
48 When it was night, the ruler's son came and stayed by his wife. She said to him, "Give me your turban!" And he gave it to her, and she went with it to the bathroom. She filled it with excrement and came back to him.
49 And the boy went, heading for his turban, and he found all of it (full of) excrement. And he went home and slept at his mother's.
50 Then in the morning he came to his father. He said to him, "How is your wife?" He said to him, "She is no use. She dirtied my clothes."
51 They went to the girl. They said to her, "Why did you do that?" She said, "It is [lit. this is from] my mother. She fed me beans."
They sent for her and she came. The ruler said, "Cut off her head!" And they cut off her head, and they remained. And it is finished.

## *Text 98 (no J): The Man Who Gave Away His Supplies

1 xaṭarāt ġayg gahēm, yahọōm yakfēd arhabāet, wa-šáh aġallēt w-amawsē. tōli hayūr. l-ād wīda al-hõ yahīwal lā. wa-hē gahēm anhōr kállas. te kaláyni, aftərkáwt ag̉allēt, wa-śñi sékanah mən hāl gəhēm.
2 k-sōbəḥ, āmáwr həh, "kō hēt nákak xaláy?" āmūr, "hōh ḥəyárk, wə-l-ād dállak ḥōram lā." wa-ḥābū šīham ḳawt lā. wa-hātīm. te k-sōbəḥ, haṣbāh agawō.
wa-gəhēm. te nūka arhabēt, wəkūb hāl ġayg āsorəh wa-kalūt lah baḥātzh. āmūr hah, "naḥāh šīn amawsē, w-amōl al bah śxōf lā, wa-hōh al šay ḥāṣər lā. wa-ḥámk taḳbéli maṣráwf. wa-mət amōl áymal aśxōf wa-tháym thákbaram līn, b-arāykəm."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " h \varepsilon ̄ s ́ z n ~ t h ̣ o ̄ m ? " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~ " h ̣ o ̄ m ~ k a ́ w z a r a t i ~ t r a y t ~ w ə-g a ́ n y a t ~ ð-a y s ́ . " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r ~$ ağáyg, " ma yaxāləf, walākan l-ād tank $\bar{\varepsilon} y ~ l a ̄ ~ w a ́ r x i ~ t r o ̄ h . ~ n ə h ̣ a ̄ h ~ s ̌ a k ̣ ̦ o ̄ r a n, ~ w-~$ amáwsəm ādəh ðд-həbṭá’. ṣərēfam əlyōməh tع amáwsam yanké. hēt ġayg karáym."
āmūr aġáyg, "təktūṭa lah ar kāl ðə-kəṭáys!" tōli gəhēm aǵáyg təwōli sékənəh. wə-yдg்áwbər ḥābū al-hōrəm, wə-yวnōza līhวт. wə-hē lawṭákamah.
tōli təmūm káwzarət ṭayt, w-aṭōrəf ṭayt wa-ttəmūm. tōli aṭōrəf āyś, wa-hē la-wṭákaməh. te watxf hāl sćkanəh, ād šah a iśēhəm ð-āṣəri tַrōh. tōli āmarūt hah tétzh, "hēt ġayg ḥáywal! (t)šadáynan amōl ðə-g்ayūg, wə-təwázməh al-ḥayrēm. wə-mgंōrən, l-ād aḥād wazmōna tīk ś lā."
agawō: The noun gawō 'rainless, clear weather' is missing from $M L$ (s.v. gwy), just as the Jibbali cognate go (heard from an informant) is missing from JL. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone (who transcribed the form عgwuuw) added the gloss 'rainless'.
 both have ḥātzh. The form hāatzh comes from *háltzh, which is a suffixed form of ḥōlat 'condition'. The noun ḥōlat is missing from $M L$, but is used in text 24:15; see further in the comment to that passage. taḳbéli: Johnstone glosses this verb as 'give me an advance' in his manuscript translations. Based on the form, it could be either a Ga- or Gb-Stem subjunctive. No Ga-Stem is listed in ML, and the Gb-Stem káybal means 'accept; find acceptable' (cf. 22:93).
5 trḳtūṭa: It is not certain whether we should transcribe taktūṭa or taḳtōta here. In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone transcribed tzktūṭa, but 3ms yaktōta in line 8. No T1-Stem imperfects from III-‘ roots are attested in the

## Translation of Text 98

1 Once a man set out, intending to go down to town, and it was misty and rainy. Then he got lost. He didn't know anymore which way [lit. to where] to head. And he walked the whole day. Then in the evening, the mist dissipated, and he saw the settlement he had set out from.
2 In the morning, they said to him, "Why did you come back emptyhanded?" He said, "I got lost, and I didn't know the way anymore." And the people had no food. They spent the night. Then in the morning, it was clear weather.
3 And he set out. Then when he got to the town, he went into his friend's place and told him about his condition. He said to him, "We've had rain, but animals have no milk, and I have no cash. I want you to advance me supplies. And when the animals make milk and you want to come to us for milk, you're welcome."
4 He said, "What do you want?" He said, "I want two baskets of dates and a sack of rice." The man said, "That's fine, but don't come back to me again for two months. We have run short, and the trading-boat is still late. Be sparing with these until the trading-boat comes. You are a generous man."
5 The man said, "It will be cut off only to the one who has cut it off!" Then the man went towards his settlement. But he met people on the road, and he donated to them. And he (continued) thus.
6 Then he finished one date-basket, and moved to another and finished (it). Then he moved to the rice, and he (continued) thus. Then when he came to his settlement, he had left (only) their dinner for two nights.
7 Then his wife said to him, "You are a crazy man! You borrow men's property on credit, and you give it away on the roads. Afterwards, no one will give you anything again."
texts with audio. ML is also inconsistent (as is often the case) regarding this form. For example, in the paradigms in $M L$ (pp. l-li) we find 3ms imperfect yortūfan (an obvious mistake for yortūfa) and yakttūta, but in the individual entries we find yaktōta (s.v. $k t^{c}$ ) and yartōfa (s.v. rf $f^{c}$ ). During fieldwork in Oman, Julien Dufour (p.c.) recorded both yartūfa and yartōfa, which is either reflective of free variation or the fact that $\bar{o}$ and $\bar{u}$ can be very hard to distinguish.
5 yonōza: In the Roman manuscript, Johnstone has the following note regarding lines 5 and 6: "People used to side [sic] by the road waiting for folk to come up from town with civil. supplies". He adds later on that this is a true story.
āmūr agááyg, "hēt tēt ḥəwalīt. aráṣk al yaktūṭta la ábdan. wə-kāl śȳyən yatmōm wa-yaxlōf. walākan əššáraf, hām tamm, l-ād yaxlōf lā ábdan." āmərūt tēt, "ṣarōməh hūbōh āmlōna mət shēlən?" āmūr, "ādən šīn a‘isē ðдyallülah, w-ādi al aftkárk lā te gēhamah, mat shēlan. kāl śíyan yanōka ḳaōs. hēt wádaš hām gēhamah yahásbaḥ raṣk ṭāt yadīn? walē ðə-yaḥōm yakṭān man aḳáwt yaháṣbah šah śawr ṭāt yadīn man śáwrah ḥāwaláy?" āmərūt tēt, "al wádak lā, walākan lēzəm amnēdəm yāká’ šah afkoráyyot ðə-ḥənáfəh." āmūr ağáyg, "lū amnēdəm yaḥáwsəb alhān nəkōna təh b-amastákbalah, al aḥād yākān yaškūf lā." $\bar{a} m a r u ̄ t ~ t e ̄ t, ~ " w a-k o ̄ h ? " " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r ~ a g ̇ a ́ y g, ~ " a l ~ w a ́ d a s ̌ ~ l a ̄ ? " ~ a ̄ m ə r u ̄ t ~ t e ̄ t,, ~ " a ̄ . " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r ~$
 kāl śřyan kálləh, wa-sīrōna naxāli aṭáyn ba-t-təmənīt ðəré’ ðə-dérg. wa-lū amnēdəm yaḥáwsab alhān waḳōna lah, al aḥād yāḳān ðə-yaškūf lā. hēt aktalábš b-amṣaráwfan. wa-kōh al taktalīban b-amáywat? lū hōh kōrək akáwt mən ḥābū ðə-gáyam, wə-nákak báwməh wə-mátk, hībōh yāmərən ḥābū? āmyēra, 'ğayg baxáyl wa-káwb!' w-əl aḥād yāmērən, ‘l-āzīzfalān' lā!" ādhəm lə-wṭákวтəh, nūka aġāh mən amxəṭār wə-ðə-yวḥáwməl rīkēbi
 " lā." āmūr, "xáyban, al toḍ̂bēri bay lā hām wazámk." wə-nūka ġáyg mən hāl āśərəh, wə-šว́h mərð̛̣áyt mən hənīh. āmūr həh, "hām thōm kawt, kafēd līn. amáwsam nūka." $\bar{a} m ə r u ̄ t ~ t e ̄ t, ~ " a l ~ s ́ i ́ ~ y a d u ̄ m ~ l a ̄, ~ h a ̄ m ~ t h ̣ o ̄ m ~ t h ̣ ə \partial ð o ̄ r ~ b a-h ̣ ə n a ́ f k . ~ w a l a ̄ k a n ~ h ̣ a ́ r m a k ~$ $l-a ̄ d ~ a \partial ̣ a ́ w b a r ~ b u ̄ k ~ z o ̄ y a d ~ t \varepsilon ~ a l-m e ̄ t . ~ w a-h e ̄ t ~ b a-k a ́ y f a k!" ~ w a-t ə m m o ̄ t . ~$

9 yokṭān: The Roman manuscript has yokṭá'an, while the Arabic manuscript has يقطان, suggesting the expected yokt $t \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}$.
yadūm: This must be from a G-Stem dōm, borrowed from Arabic dāma 'last, go on, persist'. The verb is absent from $M L$, but $J L$ (s.v. $d w m$ ) has the Jibbali cognate $d \bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'last a long time'. Cf. also the comment to text 99:26.

8 The man said, "You are a crazy woman. (Our) lot will never be cut off. Everything gets finished and is replaced. But honor, if it is finished, is never replaced again."
9 The woman said, "Now what will we do when we finish?" He said, "We still have tonight's dinner, and I won't think about it until tomorrow, when we've finished. Everything will work out [lit. come] accordingly. Do you know if tomorrow there will be a new lot? Or (if) the one who wants to cut us off from food will have a newer plan than his former plan?"
10 The woman said, "I don't know, but man has to have consideration for himself." The man said, "If man thought about all that will happen [lit. come] to him in his future, no one would sleep."
11 The woman said, "Why?" The man said, "You don't know?" The woman said, "No." The man said, "A man will die and leave the world, leave his property, and leave absolutely everything, and will go under the dirt with eight cubits of white cloth. And if man thought about all that will happen to him, no one would sleep.
You were worried about our supplies. Why don't you worry about death? If I had hidden the food from the people who were hungry, and I came here and died, what would the people say? They will say, 'A mean man and a dog!' No one would say, ‘Oh woe for so-and-so!!"'
13 While they were like this, his brother came from a trip, carrying two camel-loads of food. The man said to his wife, "What did you see? Was (our) lot cut off, or not?" She said, "No." He said, "Ok, don't nag me if I give."
14 And a man came from his friend, and he had a message from him. He said, "If you want food, come down to us. The trading-boat has come."
15 The woman said, "Nothing lasts, if you (only) want to look out for yourself. So I swear I won't nag you ever again until I die. And (do) as you like!" And it is finished.

## ＊Text 99 （＝ H 2 ，from which it was translated $=\mathrm{J} 48$ ，but a longer， variant version）：Fox and Friends

1 kawt̄ēt mən azbōn hāwaláy，hīs sáfram，aytáyl wa－káwb w－akáyṣar wa－ țabráyn w－ayaġráyb w－arxəmūt．sáfram．kəwt̄ēt al ahād yowōda lā sē ṣəṭk aw bēdi． wa－kəwțōna b－abṣōrət ð－aytáyl wə－káwb w－akáyṣ̣ar wə－tِəbráyn w－ayaġráyb w－arxamūt，hīs āds adənyē təġtūri．wə－səyáwr wə－xวṭáwr．hīs āṣar ġasráwwən wátxfam，āmūr hīhəm akáyṣ́ər，＂šáwgəśam nək̄̄m tī bว－＇ayśé， we－hōh məhētam．＂wa－šawgīs．šวwgūś kawb wa－šวwgaśūt ț tabráyn wa－ šawgəśūt arxamūt w－ayaġráyb w－aytáyl．arxəmūt šəwgəśūt，w－ayagrráyb w－aytáyl kaṭarōh．te ba－halláy，ksayōh śī lā．
3 w－aytáyl xáylaf b－amxáwlaf дə－ḥafrūk．k．kūsa gēd maḥmịṣ wə－mərdáy bah， wa－śállah．wa－t⿱亠𧘇abráyn šawgəśūt kərmáym．ksūt gazalēt ðə－gīrōb marṣafēt ba－karmáym．wə－ksūt amərṣ́afēt．wa－káwb šawgūś wə－śīni bālīt ḥāráwn． wa－šawgंáwr līs wa－dūlax līs darhīs，wa－šáll bah． w－akáýṣar，mən bādīhəm，gərōh lah bəkōr ḥəlákəməh．wə－fárr wə－wīka đ̣ār aṣ́āhar（ $\partial-)$ țáyt mənsēn，wə－hagārīs wə－wtəğ́gys．wátxfəm wə－gátmam hā $b \bar{u}, w a-h a ̄ l \bar{k} k$ śćwōt．
5 watxfōt tabráyn ðə－ktōt man śall ð－agzəlēt．āmərūt，＂hōh ðə－hándək． mašawkfēta te yabhōl a ciśēkam．wa－mgंōrən tàkд̣am tī．＂
6 wə－hēm hālīk śīwōthวm．wว－śaráwf wa－hawkām ḥaṣs bərk śīwōṭ．wa－sḥāṭam ḥaybátham wa－rdīw b－agēdas．wa－daḥākam ḥōzham wa－ṣabīw táywiham kálləh．
$2 \partial$－aytáyl ．．．w－arxamūt：This passage is crossed out in the Arabic manu－ script，but appears in both Roman manuscripts．
wə－mərdáy bəh：There may be a mistake here．The Jibbali version has god maḥmís ðə－rdiēš＇an animal skin that someone threw away’（rdiēš is for rdí beš），while the Ḥarsusi version has gōd mḥamīs mardè＇a thrown away animal skin＇．Mehri mərdáy and Ḥarsusi mərd̄̄ are passive participles， functioning as adjectives．As such，mardáy should not take an object bah； $b a h$ would be expected（like Jibbali beš）after an active verb．It is possible that Mehri bah means＇in it＇，referring to one of the camps． morṣ́afēt：I have translated this simply as＇cached＇，but it literally means ＇hidden under stones＇．Cf．the G－Stem roṣ́áwf＇cache，hide under stones＇ （ML，s．v．rźf）． hagārīs：This derives from＊hag＇rris，which is based on the 3 ms perfect hagáwr（＜＊hag＇ūr）．

## Translation of Text 99

1 A story from former times, when the fox, the wolf, the leopard, the hyena, the raven, and the vulture traveled. They traveled. A story that no one knows if it is true or false [lit. a truth or a lie].
2 And I'll tell about the cleverness of the fox, the wolf, the leopard, the hyena, the raven, and the vulture, when the (whole) world still spoke. And they went and traveled. When one night, at evening-time, they arrived (somewhere), the leopard said to them, "Go out (and) bring me dinner, and I'll spend the evening (here)." And they went out. The wolf went out, the hyena went out, the vulture went out, and raven and the fox. The vulture went out, and the raven and fox looked around. By night, they had found nothing.
3 And the fox moved on to the deserted camps of the flocks. He found a flayed and discarded animal skin, and he pick it up. And the hyena went to the mountains. She found half a jarab-measure of dates cached in the mountains. She found the cache. And the wolf went and saw a goatherdswoman. And he raided her and snatched a kid from her, and he carried it back.
4 And the leopard, after they (had gone), young camels passed by him there. And he jumped and set upon the back of one of them, and he felled it and killed it. They came back and gathered, and lit a fire.
5 The hyena came back tired from carrying the dates. She said, "I'm sleepy. I'll go to sleep until your dinner is cooked. Wake me up then."
6 And they lit their fire. They heated stones and put stones onto the fire. And they slaughtered their camel and threw away its skin. And they skinned their goat and roasted all their meat.

5 ták up (trans.)', from a root tk $\partial$, which is a secondary root based on the root $w k \nsupseteq . M L$ (s.v. $t k \not \subset)$ lists the 3 ms subjunctive as yotīkað̣, from which we can derive a ms imperative tīkaḍ and mp imperative tók $\not$ д̣am. However, the D/L-Stem 3ms subjunctive should be yattōkoḍ, and the mp imperative should be tákððəm (see §6.2). If $M L$ is incorrect, and the subjunctive is indeed as expected, then the vowel á that Johnstone transcribed here is suspect. If $M L$ is correct, then the subjunctive is perhaps borrowed from the related Ti-Stem wátkod 'wake up (intrans.)'. The secondary root $t k \underset{\gamma}{\partial}$ is found in other MSA languages (at least Ḥarsusi, Jibbali, and Soqoṭri), and comparative evidence suggests that the forms of the subjunctive are indeed anomalous. But see the comment to line 7 , below.
$7 \quad w$-əhtádyam. w-əhtádyam támərham. wə-tِəbráyn šawkfūt. w-artáwam. wahīs artáwam, kāl aḥād ṣāṭt aráwah. w-āmūr hīhวm aytáyl, "āzēməm tī ḥə ḍِ

 ṭáwrah āṣ́áwṣ́ w-agalé.. wə-sē ðว-šawkfūt, wa-hawkabīham bark šats. wə-s̄̄ šawkfūt w-al ḥassūt lā.
wa-šawkīf te $k$-sōbaḥ. hīs $k$-sōbaḥ, watḳaḍōt țabráyn. āmarūt, "yálla, ḥõ háḍð̛i? wa-hōh yallōh ātéśyək lā."
 wátkəว̣əš lā?" āmərūt, "lā, hōh țamk śī lā, wə-hōh дə-gáyak."
11 āmūr hīs, "syēri wə-mśı đ̛̣ār agardīs. wว-hām al meśš āṣáwṣ́ w-agalé" lā, hōh məḳ̣áyb ag̉át̄i. wa-hām meśš āṣáwṣ́ w-agalé bərk agərdīś, tkáyni ð-ātéśyaš, w-əkūn aṣáṭki hōh, w-əkṣáwṣ harōhš."
wa-śhēdəm līham agamāt la-wṭákamah. wa-sīrūt tabráyn, thōm tamśćh. āds la-wṭákaməh náṭəabam āṣ́áwṣ́ w-agalé" mən bərk šatš. āmáwr, "aṣáṭkəh aytáyl. śaff hēt al wátkaðđəš sā!"
samháys man séhət.. wə-gəhēmam, wə-sayáwr. āmūr hīs aytáyl, "hēt śaláli te ḥarọṣ́ ðayk, wa-mən ḥəlákamə hōh śallōna tīš."
wa-səyáwr te wóṣalam ḥərōṣ. āmūr hīs, "ādš tع ḥərōṣ́ ðayk."
 ð-aytáyl. radōh ba-ḥənáfah mən đ̣áyras w-āmūr, "ṫabráyn kaṭōt!! tِabráyn katoōt!!"
16
tōli róddam līs, wə-sē ðə-g்aybūt. w-abərkāt w-āmərūt, "bədōh ayṭáy!"" wa-nákam tīs wa-təwīwas.

7 mattōkəว̛̣: This form, which Johnstone transcribed as mattūkaðِ, looks like a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem future. It follows the regular $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem vowel pattern, which is normally identical to that of the subjunctive and imperative. But the subjunctive itself may be irregular (see the previous comment). If Johnstone's transcription with $\bar{u}$ is correct, then the form of the future is anomalous, but the reduced vowelá of the imperative ták ted.
məkṣáyb: Johnstone transcribed here magṣáyb, and Ali wrote مقشيب, which could stand for maḱşáyb, maḳśáyb, or maḳšáyb. However, it is likely that the root $k s ̣ b$ was intended. As for the form, it looks like a G-Stem passive participle, being used as a future passive. (The normal future derives from the historical active participle; see § 7.1.6.) Unfortunately, there is no parallel to this verb in the Jibbali version.
$7 \quad$ And they divided up. They divided up their dates. And the hyena had gone to sleep. And they drew lots. When they drew lots, each one got his lot. And the fox said to them, "Give me the hyena's portion, and then I'll wake her up."
8 And they gave (him) the hyena's portion, and he ate the good meat and the good dates. And he left the bones and the date-stones. She was sleeping, and he put them in her backside. She was sleeping and didn't notice.
9 And they went to sleep until morning. When it was morning, the hyena woke up. She said, "Hey, where is my portion? I didn't eat dinner last night."
10 They said to her, "Your portion is with the fox." The fox said to her, "You ate dinner last night. Didn't you wake up?" She said, "No, I didn't taste anything, and I'm hungry."
11 He said to her, "Go and defecate on the ground. And if you don't expel the bones and the date-stones from your backside, I will have my head [lit. neck] cut off. But if you expel the bones and the date-stones onto the ground, you will have eaten, and I will be (proven) truthful, and I will cut off your head."
12 And the group bore witness to them like this. And the hyena went off, heading to defecate. While she was thus, the bones and the date-stones dropped from inside her backside. They said, "The fox was truthful. It turns out you didn't wake up!"
13 He excused her from being killed. And they set off, and they went. The fox said to her, "Carry me up to that acacia tree, and from there I'll carry you." have until that acacia."
15 And they went to the next acacia. The fox was rested, but the hyena was tired from carrying the fox. He threw himself off of her and said, "The hyena is tired! The hyena is tired!"
16 Then they came back to her, and she had fainted. But she ran away and said, "The fox lied!" But they came to her and ate her.

13 ḥərọ̣̄́: Johnstone (ML, s.v. ḥrź) believed this tree to be Acacia mellifera, also known as a blackthorn tree, though in $H L$ (s.v. $h r z ́$ ) he indicated his uncertainty with a question mark. Miller and Morris (1988: 177; likewise Morris 2002: 57) maintain that this is Acacia tortilis, also known as the umbrella thorn acacia.

17 wa-gəhēmam te ṣāwər nōb. āmūr hīham ayṭáyl, "hábys wa-hábikวm, man hīs šaxtīn, yāfadəm man đ̣ār aṣāwar ðayk te aṣāwar ðayk."
18 āmáwr, "hām ar aṣáţ̣ək xəṭark, tāfēd!" wa-hē xfayf, w-āfūd.
19 farr mən đ̣ār aṣāwar ðīh te wīka đ̛̣ār aṣāwər ðək, w-əl wîka bah śĭ lā. al țībar walē ātawūr.
 wa-wīka đ̣ār aṣāwar wə-sïlam.
21 wa-ráddam al-káwb wa-təw̄̄wah. wa-gahēmam hē w-akáyṣ̣ar, wa-gahmōh te ankōh gōt ba-karmáym.
wa-hīs nəkōh agōt, āmūr ayt̄áyl, "ḥáybi wa-ḥáybak mən zabōn yā̆fadōh man đ̣ār ðı̄məh, wə-yəwḳōh (д-)ṣərōh bark amsayōl." w-amkōn rēḥəḳ láhi bark agōt.
āmūr hah, "hēt báydi. hām hēt aṣáṭək xaṭárk, tagōrab!"
āfūd aytáyl mən đ̣ār agōt, wə-wīka bəh śi lā. w-āfūd akáyṣar mən amkōn д-
 saḥ.
w-āmūr h-aytáyl, "kərōb laywə-téh śabḥ ð-āyéntye, wə-mən āgōrəz, wə-mən táywi agīd, ādi ṣaḥḥ! axáyr hūk mən l-āká’ fōtīt."
āmūr həh, "əg்árbək hēt bōyar. ḳrbōna tīk lā mən dēmək ṣaḥh. axáyr amáwtzk!"
27 wa-ṭrḥ̣áy te mōt. wa-hīs mōt, radd lah wa-təwōh abg̉áytzh.
28 wə-gəhēm ayť́ýáyl te kūsa ḥirīt. wə-hē mənkááṭa mən ađ̣áwma. tōli hīs kūsa ḥirīt, āmūr hīs, "hōh mənḳáyṭa mən ađ̣áwma, wə-l-ād šay akt̄ēr lā mən a ̣̣áwma. hām takēēdər tsēmi, hōm mōh."
29 āmərūt hah, "s̄ī lā mōh báwməh ḳəráyb." āmūr hīs, "āmáyli háyni háylət, walē mətōna ṣərōməh."
30 āmarūt hah, "ḥəmōh bark háfali. walākan hām hawkábk tīk bark háfali, hēt məğōrən 'aybōna lay wə-təwyōna tū."
xoṭárk: See the comment to text 45:16.
$(\partial$-)ṣarōh: No prefix $\partial$ - is indicated in either manuscript, but I suggest it is present underlyingly.
26 man d $\bar{\varepsilon} m \partial k$ : The form dēm- must come from Arabic dāma 'last, continue', as used in the phrase mā dāma 'as long as'.
$a b \dot{g} a ́ y t z h$ : If this is indeed from a noun boǵáyt, as $M L$ suggests (s.v. $b \dot{g} y$ ), then the possessed form should be abġátzh.
28 mankáyṭa: Curiously, Ali spelled this word (twice in this line) as two: $\dot{j}$ غايطا. (His use of \& for $k$ is not unusual.) The form mankáyta is unique, and may be a borrowing of the Arabic N-Stem (Form VII) inqaṭa'a 'expire'.

17 And they went up to a big rock. The fox said to them, "My forefathers and your forefathers, from when they were circumcised, would jump from that rock to that rock."
18 They said, "If you really recall truthfully, then jump!" And he was swift, so he jumped.
19 He jumped from this rock and [lit. until] he got to that rock, and nothing happened to him. He didn't get broken (bones) or get hurt.
20 And the wolf jumped from this rock to that rock, and he got broken (bones). And the leopard jumped, and he got to the (other) rock and was unharmed.
21 And they came back to the wolf and ate him. And he and the leopard went off. They went until they came to a chasm in the mountain.
22 And when they got to the chasm, the fox said, "My father and your father used to jump from this (one), and land standing in the valley-bottom." And the place was far away from them in the chasm.
23 He said to him, "You're a liar. If you recall truthfully, then try it!"
24 The fox jumped from the chasm ledge, and nothing happened to him. And the leopard jumped from the fox's place, and he wound up in the valleybottom, broken and smashed. But he was still alive.
25 And he said to the fox, "Come up to me and eat the fat of my eyes, and my testicles, and the good meat, while I am still alive! It's better for you than (if) I should be a carcass."
26 He said to him, "I know you are a trickster. I won't come near you as long as you are alive. Better your death!"
27 And he left him until he died. And when he died, he went back to him and ate what we wanted [lit. his desire].
28 And the fox went on until he found a donkey. And he was dying of thirst. Then when he found the donkey, he said to her, "I am dying of thirst, and I have no more (strength) left because of thirst. If you can save me, I want water."
29 She said to him, "There is no water here nearby." He said to her, "Make me a scheme, or else I'll die now."
30 She said to him, "There is water in my stomach. But if I let you into my stomach, then you'll betray me and eat me."

30 'aybōna: Ali spelled this word with ' in the Arabic manuscript. Cf. the comment to text 70:2, and see § 2.1.3, rule \#2.
$\bar{a} m u \bar{r}$, "hēśan tháymi man wágəh? wə-hōh wazmōna tiš wágəh." wa-dəxāl hīs b-awághah, wa-hawkabátah barkšats.
w-āmərūt həh, "ksōna mōh ṭāt maṭk wz-ṭāt malhāt. akəráyb malhāt,

 ráywi.
'asśs wa-ġalūk aǵáwf bark háfalas, wa-nátx šabdáts wa-kalyáts wahawbáybas, wa-tzwyĭham.
hīs tawyïham, matōt hīrīt, wa-hē bark háfalas. šənðūr h-abēli, "hām nəkōt ġallēt wa-tarū̄t šit ðə-hīrīt wa-ftàkk hōh ṣahhh, al-háðhab nēhar đōra’ wa-nēhar śxöff."
 aytáal.
wa-gəhēm k-sōbah. wa-gəhēm te kūsa tēt wa-habráts. šişn rawn mēkən, w-āmərtōh həh, "hēśan nákak? wz-mən ḥõ nákak?"
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "nákak mən skūn, wə-xxaşáyb lîkan tankēn. hēm šhhəm šarh. wz-ðə-xtáwn habbániham, wa-xxaṣáyb līkan tankēn tïham. wa-hōh saddōna bādīkzn ḥāráwn."

sayūr ayțáyl wz-halūb hāaráwn bark nēhar te đzhēb. w-abárka al-hāráwn. shaṭáysan kálsan te haðhūb anēḥər ðōrə', wz-ttamūm anéðərah. wz-ṭáwrəḥ
 ts gēhaməh, nūka haaynüt, tēt wa-habróts. wa-hīs nūka, g̀abrīson rēhak mon haāráwn, wa-kàūb lişan salōm.
āmūr aytáyl, "káskən hābū?"" āmūr haynitt, "nəhāh al kūsən ahād lā. hēt bōdak bīn!" āmūr, "hōh aṣóṭi, ar atēn dállakan lā!" wz-sī̄ūt habbritt ðə-ttēt tzwōli hāráwn, wa-ksūt hāráwn ðə-sḥāt kálsən. $w$-āgáwz śxawallūt hāl aytcáyl. $w$-āgáwz ṣanwīt, thōma lā. wa-ṣākōt habróts, āmərūt, "ā hāmáy, mənēy aytáyl te l-ənkēs!""
tawyīham: Johnstone transcribed tawīham here and in the next line. I assume that this was an error for the expected tzwyйham, since in similar forms elsewhere (e.g., țwyìta in text 75:6), the $y$ is a bit difficult to hear on the audio.
38 šasdakáh: See the comment to text 20:6.

ḥarṣ́: The plural harṣ̣́ (sg. ḥarōṣ́; cf. line 13) is not listed in ML (s.v. ḥrź), but the Ḥarsusi plural harṣ́ (sg. ḥorōṣ́) is listed in $H L$ (s.v. ḥrź).

31 He said, "What kind of guarantee do you want from me? I'll give you a guarantee." And he swore to her by his honor, and she let him into her backside.
32 And she said to him, "You'll find sweet water and salt-water. The near one is the salty, and the far one is the sweet." The fox went into the donkey's backside. And he went into her stomach, and found the sweet water and the salt-water. And he drank from the sweet water. He drank until he was satisfied.
33 He stood and looked up into her stomach, and he plucked her liver, her kidney, and her heart, and he ate them.
34 After he ate them, the donkey died, and he was in her stomach. He vowed to God, "If a mist comes and moistens the donkey's backside and I get out alive, I'll flood a wadi with blood and a wadi with milk."
35 And when it was morning, the mist came with dew, and it moistened the donkey's backside, and the fox got out.
36 And he went in the morning. He went until he found a woman and her daughter. They had a lot of goats. And they said to him, "What did you come for? And where did you come from?"
37 He said, "I came from some settlements, and they sent for you to come. They are having a party. They've circumcised their sons, and they sent for you to come to them. And I'll be enough for the goats when you're gone [lit. after you]."
38 And they believed him. The woman and her daughter went, and left him with the goats.
39 The fox went and milked the goats in a wadi until it was flooded. And he ran to the goats. He slaughtered them all until he flooded the wadi with blood, and he completed his vow. And he left the goats standing against acacia trees. They had all died. And he spent the day there.
Then the next day, the women came back, the woman and her daughter. And when they came, he met them far away from the goats, and he greeted them.
The fox said, "Did you find the people?" The women said, "We didn't find anyone. You lied to us!" He said, "I was truthful, you just didn't know the way!"
And the woman's daughter went to the goats, and she found the goats all slaughtered.
But the old woman sat by the fox. And the old woman was deaf, she couldn't hear. And her daughter called out, she said, "Hey mother, hold the fox until I get to you!"

50 āmūr həh, "məḳśōt thāśūś hūk ṣáyg̉ət woțōməh?" āmūr həh, "Ẽhẽ." āmūr həh, "bə-kám ś $\overline{m o ̄ n a ~ t i ̄ s ? " ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r ~ h ə h, ~ " h o ̄ h ~ s ́ \varepsilon ̄ m o ̄ n a ~ t i ̄ s ~ m e ̄ k ə n . " ~ w ə-s ́ t ə m i ̄ s ~}$ aġáyg ð́ćkəməh b-arīkábhe wa-ḥmáwlisən. wa-hē ḥəgūr harōṣ. āmūr həh, "həəððōr mən təmśćh ḳəráyb līs, w-əl təð̣hōl ḳəráyb līs lā. wว-hām đִəḥāk ḳəráyb līs wal̄ meśk ḳəráyb līs, al thāśūś śī lā."
52 w-aytáyl śabūḳ arīkōb al-ṭaytīdáysan wa-səyūr, w-aġáyg śxəwlūl. hagūr harōm nahōri trayt aw śīlot, w-amkəśōt hāśs̄ōt śi lā.
$l$-дdféns: Stroomer translated this as 'to pay', as if from the verb dūfa 'pay', rather than the verb dafün 'bury'. Were that correct, there would be no way to explain the $n$ in the form, and the following preposition $m \partial n$ would be unexpected.
 Appendix to MLO (p. 329), I suggested that this form was an error, but it is not. This is the ics subjunctive of the verb nayūk 'have intercourse' (al-n(y)ēk) combined with the 2 fs object suffix. The same form occurs in Johnstone's Roman transcription of text $5: 16$; see the comment to text $5: 13$. astawōd: $M L$ (s.v. $s w d$ ) lists only a Tı-Stem verb sátwad 'be disgraced; be blackened', and under it includes the imperative stzwōd. In fact, astawōd can only be the imperative of a $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem verb $\partial s t z w \bar{u} d$. The form here could also be analyzed as a perfect astzwūd.
$46 y \bar{a}$ sawād al-w'yzh: This is an Arabic idiom, from Arabic sawād 'black' and colloquial wíyzh 'face'. Both colloquial Arabic wíyzh and Mehri wágah stem from Classical Arabic wajh, although Dhofari Arabic normally has

The old woman said, "What is she saying?" The fox said, "She said, "The raiding party has come,' so give me the jewelry so I can hide it from the raiding-party, lest they take it."
45 The old woman believed (him). She gave him all the jewelry, and he took it. And while she was speaking with him, her daughter called to her and said, "Hold the fox, and I'll come to you!"
46 And the old woman said, "What is she saying?" The fox said, "She said, let me have intercourse with you!" And when he talked to her like this, the old woman jumped up (saying), "May your face be blackened! You disgrace [lit. black-face]! You fox!"
47 And he ran away from her. "You fox! You liar!" And he escaped from her, but he had the jewelry. And he ran away from her. The women chased him, but they didn't catch him.
48 He went until he came to a dry dead tree (used) for a marker in the road. He hung all that jewelry on it, and he defecated under it and buried his excrement. And someone came to him from the caravan. He had ridingcamels, and he sat down.
49 He said to him, "What are you with here?" He said to him, "I sat by this dead tree for a week, until it grew for me this jewelry."
50 He said to him, "A dead tree grew for you jewelry like that?" He said to him, "Yes." He said to him, "How much will you sell it for?" He said to him, "I'll sell it for a lot." And that man bought it for his riding-camels and their loads. And he guarded the acacia.
51 He said to him, "Be sure not to defecate near it, and don't urinate near it. If you urinate near it or defecate near it, it won't grow anything."
52 And the fox tied his camels to one another (in a line). And he left, and the man stayed. He guarded the tree for two or three days, but the dead tree didn't grow anything.
wigh (cf. Davey 2016: 154; 269). On the realization of Arabic $j$ as $y$, see Johnstone (1965, especially 239-240). In HL (s.v. wgh), Johnstone translates the idiom as 'you disgraceful fellow!', while Holes (2001: 553) translates the feminine version of the idiom in Gulf Arabic (sōdat il-wēh) as 'you naughty girl!.
50 ḥmáwlisan: The base of this form is probably hamōwal, the plural of hāmal 'load'. ML (s.v. ḥml) lists only the singular, but Jahn (1902: 192) gives the plural of ḥāmal as ḥamōwal. Johnstone transcribed ḥmōlisən, but since an $\bar{o}$ would be reduced to $a$ before the possessive suffix-isən, his transcription cannot be correct.
bagūd aytáyl te alhałáyh. hīs alhakáyh, āmūr, "əm-manwáyw-əm-manwūk śsrṭ. hēt wakōna meśk walē ọaḥāk."
āmūr həh, "là!" tōli rəddōh tawōli amkəəśōt. te ankōh, nəkūś aytáal ḥəlákəməh. ðə-g்ərūb ḥənáfəh ðə-mśōh nəxāli amkəśōt.
nəkūś améśyah. āmūr həh ayț́áy, "ðōməh améśyak!" āmūr həh aġáyg, "lā, hēt bōdak. ðćkamah al améśi lā." "lā, hēt bōdak!" āmūr, "lā, hōh bōdək lā. hōh amáyś rēḥak, walākan hēt meśk!" wə-səyūr aytáyl b-arīkábhe, wə-ðēk səyūr.
səyūr ayț́yl te țəwōh hāl sēkən. hīs ṭəwōh hāl sēkən, raṣáwn arīkábhe. wa-ḥalákวтah habēr məśabbōt wa-farhวyēn məśabbōt.
āmáwr h-aytááyl, "al tarṣān arīkábke ḥalákaməh lā, mən habēr wa-farhəyēn takṣ̌ālan tīsən."
$\bar{a} m u ̄ r$, "ā." wa-hīs k-sōbəḥ, haṣbāḥ arīkōb ð-aytáyl ðд-mōt kálsən, ðə-tِəbīr, ðə-rəkūt đ̣́áyrsan habs̄r wa-farhวyēn.
tōli a'yīt ayṭ́áyl. wa-hīs a'yịt, dáfam hah rīkōb. wa-k-sōbəḥ, śabkáysən. wa-tammōt.
am-manwáy $w$-дm-manwūk: I assume the presence of the initial $\partial m$-, though Johnstone's transcribed just manwáy wa-manwūk in the Roman manuscript. We would not expect the Arabic transcription to indicate the initial am-, and it does not.
$n \partial k u ̄ s$ : See the comment to text 37:13.
améśi: The Roman manuscript has $\varepsilon m \varepsilon ́ s i \varepsilon$. I suspect that Ali said améśi (<améśyi; from méśi 'excrement'). Johnstone's $s$ (instead of ś) was just an oversight, but the final $\varepsilon$ that he heard was perhaps just an epenthetic vowel linking this word to the following $l \bar{a}$. Or, he may have heard améśys, with the suffix $-y \varepsilon$. If so, then $-y \varepsilon$ (the suffix regularly used with plural nouns) was perhaps used because the base of the noun ends in $-i$; but elsewhere this noun is treated as singular (cf. améśyah and améśyak in this line). The spelling لمشي in the Arabic manuscript supports an underlying al améśyi. Cf. also ä̈ǵáti ‘my neck' (from ġōt̄i ‘neck') in line 11 (spelled اغثي ), not **agátye.
kálson: It is possible that kálsən is connected more closely with the following verb. I have translated 'the fox's camels had all died, having been broken', but perhaps it should be 'the fox's camels had died, all of them having been broken'.

53 He chased after the fox until he caught up with him. When he caught up with him, he said, "There was a deal between me and you. Perhaps you defecated or urinated."
54 He said to him, "No!" Then they went back to the dead tree. When they came back, the fox dug there. He knew that he himself had defecated under the dead tree.
55 He dug up his excrement. The fox said to him, "This is your excrement!" The man said to him, "No, you lied. That is not my excrement." "No, you lied!" He said, "No I didn't lie. I defecated far away, but you defecated!" And the fox went off with his riding-camels, and that (guy) went off.
56 The fox went until he came to a settlement (at night). When he came to the settlement, he tied up his camels. And there were sated camels and sated horses there.
57 They said to the fox, "Don't tie up your camels there, or the camels and horses will kill [lit. snap] them."
58 He said, "No." And in the morning, it turned out that the fox's camels had all died, having been broken, the camels and horses having stepped on them.
59 Then the fox cried out. And when he cried out, they paid him some ridingcamels. And in the morning, he tied them in a line. And it is finished.

## *Text 100 (no J, but cf. J45): Marriage

1 hām țāt yahōm yahērəs bo-tēt,, fanōhən yaġtūri šīs, wə-mgंḡrən yaġtūri $k$ akfáylas. wa-hām fikah, yənōka bə-trōh śhawd. wə-yōmər akfáyl дə-ttēt, "ā falāna, hōh wakáyl l-aháffḳəš falān?"
2 tōmər, "hēt wakáyl tháffḳi falān." tōmər śātáyt ṭəwōr, w-aśháwd yəkáwn ðəyahámam. mg̈ōrən akfáyl ðə-ttēt yōmər, "д-awáklək śérə’ falān yahámlək ag̉áyg ðōməh falānə bərt fəlān." w-aśháwd yəkáwn ðə-yวhámam. wə-yวsyáwr ağáyg ðə-hārūs, hē w-aśhádhe, təwōli s sérə’. mət nákam, yōmər ag̉áyg ðə-hārūs, "hōh hārásk ba-falāna, wa-ḥōm təwkəlēt." yōmar śśra’, "šūk śhawd ðд-hēt hārásk?"
4 yōmar, "aśhádye, alyōmah hēm." yōmar śśra' h-aśháwd, "taśhīd ðə-hē hārūs ba-falāna bart falān? wa-sē awkalēt akfáylas?" yāməram, "nəśhōd." yōmər śźrə' h-aśháwd, "wə-tśhīd ðə-hē, akfáylas, awkaláy hōh, śérr', falān bar falān, al-hámlak ag̉áyg ðōməhfalāna?" yāmaram aśháwd, "nəśhōd." mət ber śhēdəm aśháwd, yəhəmlūk śźrə’ aġáyg. wə-yəśtōm aṣayáftəh, wวyasyūr təwōli sēkən. wa-mən đ̣ār aṣayáft ba-ḥalláy, yəwūkəb ag̉áyg al-téṫəh. wə-yāmərəm həh 'aáṣər ðə-kəbkēb'. təmm awáṣf дə-hārsūt ðə-ttēt. wə-mākวnnáy hām วḥād hārūs bə-ġaggīt, háybəs yasdūd yawáklən śźrə’ yzhámlək ağáyg ðə-hārūs.
walākan hām aġəggīt yotəmūt mən ḥáybəs, wว-šīs ag̀ās, lēzəm b-arṣās. wə-sē tawáklən aḡās, al-hīs tēt. ðōməh awáṣf ðə-hārsūt. wว-təmmōt.

7 śzrrə':The Roman manuscript has bə-śzra', but this is likely a mistake. The Arabic manuscript has just śéra', and nowhere else in this text does the verb awōkal have an object followed by $b$-(cf. lines $2,5,7$, and 8 ).

## Translation of Text 100

1 If one wants to marry a woman, first he speaks with her, and then he speaks with her custodian. And if they allow him to marry, he brings two witnesses. And the woman's custodian said, "So-and-so, am I authorized to marry you off to so-and-so?"
2 She says, "You are authorized to marry me to so-and-so." She says (this) three times, and the witnesses are listening. Then the woman's custodian says, "I authorize judge so-and-so to give this man legal possession of so-and-so daughter of so-and-so." And the witnesses are listening.
3 And the man who has gotten married goes, he and his witnesses, to the judge. When they come, the man who has gotten married says, "I have married so-and-so, and I want legal (spousal) possession." The judge says, "Do you have witnesses that you have gotten married?"
4 He says, "My witnesses are these [lit. these are they]." The judge says to the witnesses, "Do you bear witness that he has married so-and-so, daughter of so-and-so? And has she authorized her custodian?"
5 They say, "We bear witness." The judge says to the witnesses, "And do you bear witness that he, her custodian, has authorized me, the judge, so-andso son of so-and-so, to give this man legal possession of so-and-so?" The witnesses say, "We bear witness."
6 After the witnesses have borne witness, the judge gives legal possession to the man. And he buys his wedding-feast, and goes to his settlement. And after the wedding-feast, at night, he goes into his wife. And they call it 'the wedding [lit. entering] night'. The description of the marrying of a woman is finished.
7 Now if someone marries a young girl, her father is sufficient to authorize the judge to give legal possession to the man who has gotten married.
8 But if the girls is orphaned from her father, and she has a brother, it must be by her consent. And she authorizes her brother, like a (grown) woman. This is the description of marriage. And it is finished.

## *Text 101 (no J): A Doctor's Advice

1 Doctor: "thámi al-ṣhárk?"
2 Patient 1: "b-arāyak!"
3 Doctor: "b-arāyวk hēt!"
4 Patient 1: "xáybən, hámrət amáṣhər."
5 Doctor: "mīrət amáṣhər. ālēm mən hāl tḥōm (t)šáṣhər."
6 Patient 1: "hámak hā $6 \bar{u}$ āmáwr aṭáyf dēwē."
7 Doctor: "xáybən, āmrōna hə-ṭáyt mən haynịt tatyīf."
8 Patient 1: "wádak mayt l-attákkəəh?"
9 Doctor: "attáḳ̂əh l-aráyḳ."
10 Patient 2: "śafk tagंōrab hōh aṣṭáwṭ agáwfi. wádak hēśan l-āmōl hah?"
11 Doctor: "ya-ḥōl wádak. mət k-sōbəh, attáḳk gayd wa-bəḳāṣ te təḳtá. wə-mət nákak, āṣāb agáwfək bə-śţráyr śllat yūm. wəḳōna bə-xáyr."
12 Patient 3: "ḥəbráy yaṣṭáwṭ háfalah. hz̄śən l-āmōl həh?"
13 Doctor: "man mayt?"
14 Patient 3: "man waḳōna áyśar yūm."
15 Doctor: "ādəh ðə-yáwdəg aw ber āráṣ́aš tวh?"
16 Patient 3: "lawb, ādəh ðə-yáwdəg."
17 Doctor: "ād tākāy dənyīt?"
18 Patient 3: "дә-‘ámlak tī l-āḳá’ dənyīt."
19 Doctor: "ḥððáyri! ārēṣi à̇̄̄gēn. hām hādágəš təh wว-hēt dənyı̄t, yəmūt. hēt l-ād bayš śxōf lā. bayš gayd, wə-hām āráṣəš təh, waḳōna bə-xáyr."

6 aṭáyf: tayf is Aloe dhufarensis. It is the juice that is used as medicine; see Miller and Morris (1988: 182).

## Translation of Text 101

1 Doctor: "Do you want me to brand you?"
2 Patient 1: "As you wish!"
3 Doctor: "As you wish!"
4 Patient 1: "Ok, heat up the branding-iron."
5 Doctor: "The branding-iron is heated. Mark where you want to be branded."
6 Patient 1: "I've heard people say that aloe is a medicine."
7 Doctor: "Ok, I'll tell one of the women to collect aloe."
8 Patient 1: "Do you know when I should drink it?"
9 Doctor: "Drink it on an empty stomach (before breakfast)."
10 Patient 2: "You probably know I have pain in my chest. Do you know what I should do for it?"
11 Doctor: "Sure I know. In the morning, drink colostrum and run until you get tired. And when you come back, tie up your chest with cloth for three days. You [or: It] will get well."
12 Patient 3: "My son has pain in his stomach. What should I do for him [or: it]?"
13 Doctor: "Since when?"
14 Patient 3: "Since maybe ten days ago."
15 Doctor: "Is he still breast-feeding, or have you already weaned him?"
16 Patient 3: "No, he is still breast-feeding."
17 Doctor: "Could you perhaps be pregnant?"
18 Patient 3: "I think I may be pregnant."
19 Doctor: "Be careful! Wean the boy. If you suckle him and you're pregnant, he will die. You don't have milk anymore. You have colostrum, and if you wean him, he'll get well."

## *Text 102 (= J47, with slight variations): A Conversation

1 A: "noḥōm nəwəddáwd. țāt yāká" k-həbēr, wa-ṭāt yāka k-hāráwn, wa-ṭāt yakfēd arḥabēt đ̣ār arīkōb. wa-ḥaynīt təgrēfan aṣ̂̃̆gé' wa-ḥambaráwtən yəktánməm l-arīkōb. hām nūka mən amxəṭār, tənákan ðə-gūya. w-ətēm antəkáál. mākənnáy hōh, waḳōna k-həbēr."

2
B: "xáyban, hēt āka" k-habēr. wa-kabēṣ ḥəwōdi ðīməh, wa-haððōr ba-hab $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ man tənzēn. wa-haybátk bars tḥōm tháhkoṭ."
3 A: "y ́́ys, taktalōb lā!"
4 B: "wa-hēt āká" k-ḥāráwn. wa-həððōr man kawb! āmáwr hagūm al-sēkan ðгh (s-)sarīn yallōh."
5 C: "yغ́ys."
6 B: "wa-hōh ḳafdōna arḥəbēt đ̣ār arīkōb, w-ətēm ḥəmbəráwtan ḳətánməm l-arīkōb."
7 āmáwr ḥəmbaráwtən, "nahōm lā, ar wə-nkōna tīn bə-ḳəsmēt."
8 B: "nakōna tīkəm ba-kasmēt."
9 Boys: "yéye."
10 B: "xáyban, hōh gahémk."
11 Wife: "haððōr man tarkōb al-‘‘aylīg yafūrəd, m-ād yahánḳabak."
12 B: "lawb, hōh ar rakbōna lah!"
13 Wife: "axáyr hūk lā."
14 B: "lā, ḥōm l-aḥmérah!" tōli rīkab l-acilīg, w-acilīg rēf. wa-tōli farūd bah wa-hənkəəbīh. tōli ṣaḥkōt mánəh tétah.
15 àmarūt, "lawb ḥamárk!"
16 āmūr ag̉áyg, "hazbárš háyni hīs nákbbək. moțáli!"
17 āmarūt tēt, "kō hēt šádak bay?"
18 āmūr, "bass."
19 āmərūt tētِ, "xáybən, āká’’ háyni al-hīs ḥáybi ar ba-rṣ́awē!"

11 'aylīg: Johnstone transcribed 'eeliik, and ML (s.v. 'lg) has 'ēlīg. However, since we expect initial ' to be preserved before ay (see § 2.1.3), and since Watson (2012) consistently transcribed 'aylīg (e.g., pp. 14 and 59), I assume that 'aylīg is correct. The $k$ in Johnstone's manuscript is definitely incorrect; Ali spelled the word عيليج in the Arabic manuscript. a'ilīg: Johnstone transcribed the two occurrences in this line as 'eyliik and $a^{\prime}$ yyliik. I transcribe $a^{c} i$ - based on the definite forms of other words with initial 'ay- (see § 2.1.3).
hīs nákbak: It is not clear whether this phrase goes with what precedes or what follows. That is, the line could be hazbárš háyni hīs nákbak. matáli!

## Translation of Text 102

1 A: "Let's divide up work among one another. One should be with the camels, one should be with the goats, and one should go down to town on the riding-camels. And the women should sweep out the dwellings, and the boys should collect fodder for the riding-camels. When they come back from a trip, they come back hungry. You choose. As for me, I will be with the camels."
2 B: "Ok, you be with the camels. Herd in this valley, and watch out that the camels don't wander off. And your camel is about to give birth."
3 A: "Ok, don't worry!"
4 B: "And you be with the goats. And watch out for a wolf! They said it attacked this settlement behind us last night."
5 C: "Ok."
6 B: "I'll go down into town on the riding-camels, and you boys collect fodder for the riding-camels."
7 The boys said, "We won't, unless you'll bring us a gift."
8 B: "I'll bring you a gift."
9 Boys: "Ok."
10 B: "Ok, I'm off."
11 Wife: "Be careful not to ride a young camel that bolts, lest it throw you off."
12 B: "No, I will ride it!"
13 Wife: "You'd better not [lit. it's not good for you]."
14 B: "No, I want to tame it!" Then he rode the young camel, and the camel was unbroken. Then it bolted with him on, and it threw him. Then his wife laughed at him.
15 She said, "Indeed you tamed (it)!"
16 The man said, "You enjoyed my pain when I fell. Be like me!"
17 The woman said, "Why did you curse me?"
18 He said, "(I) just (did)."
19 The woman said, "Ok, be to me like my father, unless you offer [lit. except with] a reconciliation!"
'you enjoyed my pain when I fell. Be like me!' or hazbárš háyni. hīs nákbəzk, mot́áli! ‘you enjoyed my pain. When I fall, be like me!.' Johnstone's punctuation in the Roman manuscript favors the former, but his punctuation in the Roman manuscript of the Jibbali version favors the latter. Unfortunately, I found no audio for either version of this story.

20 wว-sīrūt (t)śalēl aḳərmáśss təwōli hábse. tōli hərṣyȳ̄ faḳh ðə-yวbīt, wว-sáddəm. wว-hē ḳəfūd arḥəbēt. tє āṣər xáyləf, watxf mən arḥəbēt. wว-təmmōt.

20 The woman left, carrying her things to her family. Then he offered her a half a camel as a reconciliation, and they agreed. And the man went down into town, and (then) came back up from the town. And it is finished.

## Text 103 (no J): A Version of Gulliver's Travels

1 xəṭarāt ġayg sōfar bark markēb, yahōm yabtōṣa mən raḥbēt ṭayt. te bárhəm b-aámk, wīka līhəm hazáyz, wə-țībər amərkēb. āmūr ag̉áyg, "l-ād śīnək harbātye lā."
2 āmūr, "wə-sábḥək te təwōli ð-aáşr." āmūr, "l-ād šay aktēr lā." āmūr,

 āmūr, "tع gádḥək hayḳ bo-gzáyrət ð-əl bīs əḥād lā. ráfak abárr wa-kásk rīdīt ðə-bīs māráy. wa-šawkáfk w-al ḥássak ba-śī lā te gēhamah nahōran. hássak ba-ḥárk ðə-ḥəyáwm ṣahdōt āyéntye. ḥōm l-āśēś, walākan ḳadárk lā." $\bar{a} m u ̄ r, ~ " w-\partial h s u \bar{s} s$ śı ðə-yarśūś đ đáyri, walākan al aḳáwdər al-ḥōrək ḥərōhi lā. tōli ḥássak śı ðə-yasyūr đ̣ār agáwfi, wa-šarbá’ te đ̣ār alhyyēti."


$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$, "tōli ṣag g̀ayrórk. hīs hīma aṣ́ğəráyr, kəfūd wa-ffalūt. wa-śn̄nək waḳōna myēt man agénsah ḳaráyb lay, walākan ffalīt."
7 āmūr, "béri mōyot man agəwé' w-ađ̣áwma, walākan śīəək markēb harsōh b-agzáyrət ðákamah man ađ̣ərbēt." wa-sayūr tawēhe, wa-śállam tah. wa-təmmōt.
$\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ : In recounting this story, Ali inserted $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ 'he said' multiple times in each line. For simplification, I have removed all but the first use of $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$ in lines 3 through 7. I have left them all in line 2 just to show how often he used them.
$\partial h \partial \dot{g} \overline{o s s: ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ f r o m ~} \partial h \partial \dot{g} w \bar{u} s ̣(\S 7.2 .7)$. We find the shift of $C w \bar{u}>C \bar{o}$ also in some other verb types; cf. §7.2.9.
tawēli: Though we find tawōli ð-aáṣər earlier in this line (both in the manuscripts and on the audio), the Arabic manuscript and audio clearly have $t a w \bar{\varepsilon} l i \partial$-aáṣar the second time. The form taw $\bar{\varepsilon} l i$ is perhaps a diminutive.
$\partial$-əl $h \bar{e}$ : It is not clear if this should be parsed $\partial$-al $h \bar{e}$ (with the independent pronoun $h \bar{e}$ ) or $ð$-al $h a h$ (with the 3 ms suffixed form of the preposition $h$-). shīm: Johnstone transcribed sahm in the Roman manuscripts and in ML (s.v. shm), and scham in the margin of the Arabic manuscript, but the Arabic manuscript and audio clearly have the plural shim. The plural of sahm 'arrow' is actually missing from ML, but cf. Arabic sahm 'arrow', pl. sihām.

## Translation of Text 103

1 Once a man traveled in a ship, intending to shop [or: trade] in a certain town. Then when they were on the way [lit. in the middle], a strong wind came upon them, and the ship was broken. The man said, "I didn't see my companions again."
2 He said, "And I swam until the end of the night." He said, "I had no more (strength) left." He said, "I would put down my foot, but I didn’t touch the ground. Then at the very end of the night," he said, "my foot touched the ground." He said, "And I waded in the water for a period of about two hours."
3 He said, "Then I washed up on the beach on an island that had no one on it. I climbed ashore and found a plain that had pasturage. And I fell asleep, and didn't notice anything until the next day at midday. I felt the heat of the sun prick at my eyes. I wanted to stand up, but I couldn't."
4 He said, "And I felt something crawling on me, but I couldn't move my head. Then I felt something walking on my chest, and it climbed up onto my chin."
5 He said, "Then I looked down. I saw a person who wasn't (even) a fingerspan, and in his hand was a bow, and on his back were arrows."
6 He said, "Then I screamed. When he heard the scream, he got down and ran away. And I saw about a hundred of his kind near me, but they ran away."
7 He said, "I was dying from hunger and thirst, but I saw a ship anchor at that island from the wind-storm." And he went towards it, and they took him. And it is finished.

## *Text 104 (= H33): Ḥarsusi Tribal History

1 kəwt̄ōna ba-kəwtēt ð-əl-ḥarsīs mən azbōn ḥāwaláy, fənōhən šárkəh, hīs āds al ankōt lā. wə-xəṭərāt đว́kaməh al šīhəm mōh lā.
2 wa-ḥəmōham rēḩək, məsáyr śllot yūm aw rība yūm đ̣ār rīkēb, ar wənkáyhวт məwsē $b$-agaddēt, yamōlam mans ḥənédiham wa-yahákyam házihวm w-arīkébihวm.
 xáyr, axáyr man ṣərōməh. wa-hátərbam, hēm w-amanāsīr. wa-šawg̉áyr līhəm amanāsīr b-agaddēt. wa-kūsəm agaddēt xaláyyat.
4 wə-zágdəm habēr, alhān kūsəm man bēr, rəwēg̈ad ḥarsáytən wə-gənaybəyōt wa-‘ffray $\bar{\varepsilon} t ~ m a x l a ́ t ̣ t ə n . ~$
5 wə-kūsam ğáygi trōh wə-wtáwġhวm, man al-ḥarsīs. wə-səyáwr al-manāsīr məśkáyṣ. wə-hámam bïhəm, wə-gáthəyam wə-tábam tīhəm.
6 wa-xəṭarāt ðákəməh al-ḥarsīs xáybat, walākan ġayg mənhēm əl-hīs āśarīt ġəyūg. al hēm ṣarōməh lā. wə-bagáwd amanāsīr. hēm xams w-ašráyn. wə-l-harsīs ràkbam. wa-šīham al-‘ffār śātáyt, wə-l-harsīs tamənīt.
 wa-hēm bo-halláy. w-amanāsīr ðə-hātīm. hēm xams w-ašráyn náfar. wa-ṭəwīw tīham al-ḥarsīs. wa-hīs bárham ḳəráyb līhəm, āmūr hīham barhóh, "manēm bahlīt ṭayt man txalēsam ba-ṭāṭīdáykam wa-təwtēg̉am țāṭ̄̄dáykəm, atēm al-ḥarsīs wo-l-‘ffār." wa-hēm had'ášar ġayg, wa-farwīham xams w-ašráyn ġayg.

1 al-ḩarsīs: Johnstone consistently transcribed harāsīs in his Roman manuscripts. However, in the Arabic manuscript there is no evidence for the long $\bar{a}$, and the audio of the Harsusi version consistently has harsis, despite the fact that the printed text also has harāsiss. The initial al- is the Arabic definite article. See also the comment to text 12:1. amanāsīr: Johnstone consistently transcribed manāṣīr, with glottalic ṣ, but the Arabic manuscript always has the word with $s$. Sometimes (e.g., line 5) we also find al-manāsīr, with the Arabic definite article, in place of amanāsīr.
xaláyyat: In the Roman manuscripts, after xaláyyat we find the phrase al $b \bar{s}$ a $\underset{h}{ } \bar{a} d ~ l \bar{a}$ 'there was no one in it', but this phrase is not in the Arabic manuscript. The phrase is present in the published Harsusi version. maśkáyṣ: The word maśkáyṣ is given in ML (s.v. śkṣ) as məśkayṣ (with a non-glottalic $k$ ), but the Arabic manuscript has $k$, as do the Roman

## Translation of Text 104

1 I'll tell you a story of the Harasis from former times, before the Company, before they had come. And at that time they had no water.
2 Their water was far away, a journey of three days or four days on a camel, unless if rain came to them on the Jiddat (al-Harasis), they would fill from it their water-skins, and give water to their goats and their riding-camels.
3 But the water was very far from them. At that time the people were healthy and well, better than now. But they clashed, they and the Manasir. The Manasir raided them on the Jiddat. And they found the Jiddat empty.
4 They seized the camels, all the camels they could find, pregnant camels, Harsusi, Janaybi, and 'Ifari mixed together.
5 And they found two men and killed them, from the Harasis. And the Manasir went to the east. And they (the Harasis) heard about them, and they gathered together and followed them.
6 At that time the Harasis were few, but one of them was like ten men. They are not now. And they chased the Manasir. They (the Manasir) were twenty-five (men).
$7 \quad$ And the Hearasis mounted up. They had with them three Ifaris and eight Harasis.
8 They caught up with them at Qarun al-'Alamah in al-'Amayri. They caught up with one another at night. The Manasir had stopped for the night. They were twenty-five people.
9 And the Harasis came to them. When they were near them, Berhoh said to them, "Take a word lest you mistake one another and kill each other, you Ḥarasis and 'Ifaris." And they were eleven men. And facing them were twenty-five men.
manuscripts. The translation given by Stroomer is 'eastwards', Johnstone added the gloss 'going east' in one Roman manuscript, while ML has the translation 'sunrise, east'. Interestingly, the corresponding passage in the Ḥarsusi version of this text (Stroomer 2004: 18, text 3:5) has mag்arrabīn 'westwards' (though this word is not in $H L$ ). According to Miranda Morris (p.c.), however, H.arsusi məg்arrab actually means 'inland, to the north'. Cf. also text 80:21.
həd'ášar ġayg: The Arabic manuscript has həd'ášər ġayg (هداعشر غيج; likewise in line 25), while one Roman manuscript has āśrīt wo-ṭāt ġgyūg, and the other Roman manuscript has both phrases as variants (but with ḥad'ášar in place of had'ášar). The published Ḥarsusi version has ḥadāšar $\dot{g} a y g$.
 ba-ṭāṭīdáykam."
12 hīs bárham ḳaráyb, barhóh, mánam tah b-amahțtōm. ðə-ḥərḳōt šabdátah man ağáyð̣.
13 wa-xəṭərāt ðə̀kəməh salēbhəm aškáyyət. mənádḳət śı̄ lā. w-abárkam līhəm, wa-wtáwg் manhēm alhān awtáwg்.
14 wa-báyḳam manhēm yabáyt bark họt̄al, yabáyt man amanāsīr, wa-rbōt bāram. falīt manhēm. wa-bagdīham barhóh, wa-lhāk ṭāṭ mənhēm wə-wtəg்áyh. wa-śātáyt bārəm.
16 hīs radd barhóh, kūsa al-‘əfār wə-l-ḥarsīs дə-yzḳátrəm hāl ḥōtِวl. w-əl aḥād šēnūs yakrōb ḥōtal lā wa-yahéftkam tīham.
$\bar{a} m \bar{r} r ~ b a r h o ́ h, ~ " h \bar{\varepsilon} s ́ a n ~ s ̌ i ̄ k \partial m ? " ~ a ̄ m a ́ w r, ~ " s i n ̃ ~ y a b a ́ y t ~ m a n a ̄ s i ̄ r ~ ð ə-x a ́ s ́ s ́ a m ~ b a r k ~$ ḥōtal ðōmah, w-al aḥād ḳadūr yakrrábham lā."
18 hftūk adəšdáštah barhóh, w-al habḳōh ar awzārah.
19 wa-hawkūb ḥādáthe bark abáṭ, wa-sfōh bark hōtal. wa-rdōh ba-ḥənáfah bark ḥōtal wa-wkūb. wa-mat sawānōt, yawōka đ̛ār ṭāt man al-manāsīr. wə-wkūb wə-mūna ṭāt bə-ḥáydəh, wə-yaráyd bəh. yōmər hīhəm, "a issēkəm!" wa-kāl ðə-ftūk man ḥōtal, wa-lótġam tah. əwtáwġ yabáyt halákamah. wa-wtáwg mənhēm ašráyn wa-ṭāt, wa-báyḳəm mənhēm śātáyt ðə-fəyáwl, wə-ðə-ffalīt əm-báwməh te nákam aḳāhəm.
22 wə-hīs nákam akāhəm, šəwkīf. wə-wátkəว̣əm, wə-ḥáss ðə-bərhóh bərk


11 lah, lah: The Arabic manuscript has here one word الحلح, and in line 22 it is spelled الحلحه. However, the audio of the Harsusi version has lah, lah, pronounced clearly as two words. Miranda Morris (p.c.) has recorded the verbal root lḥlh in Jibbali and Hobyot, meaning '(snake) to flicker its tongue'. ábšari: Stroomer's edition has hábśari, but there is no $h$ - in any of the manuscripts. In fact, this is just an Arabic imperative form, just as $b$-al${ }^{\prime} \partial s \check{a} \bar{a}$ ' is Arabic. In a note to the Hearsusi text, Stroomer says that the final $-i$ is a problem, but, in fact, this is just the suffix of the fs imperative. The feminine is used because tabráyn is feminine. The quote in this line contains both this pass-phrase, and a repeat of the reasoning used by the leader, "lest you mistake one another".

11 And they took the word of the hyena, "Come, come, rejoice at the dinner, lest you mistake one another!"
When they were close, Berhoh, they restrained him with camel-ropes. He [lit. his liver] burned with anger.
At that time their weapons were swords. There were no rifles. And they ran to them, and they killed from them all that they (could) kill.
14 And there remained of them seven in a tamarisk tree, seven of the Manasir. And four got away. They escaped from them.
15 Berhoh chased them, and he caught up to one of them and killed him. But three got away.
16 When Berhoh came back, he found the 'Ifaris and Ḥarasis walking around the tamarisk. But no one dared approach the tamarisk and get them out.
17 Berhoh said, "What do you have?" They said, "We have seven Manasir who have gone into this tamarisk, and no one can get near them."
18 Berhoh took off his robe, and left on only his waist-cloth.
19 And he stuck his hand in the dirt, and threw (it) into the tamarisk. And he threw himself into the tamarisk and went in. After a little while, he happened upon one of the Manasir. He went in and grabbed one with his hand, and he threw him out. He said to them, "Your dinner!"
20 And each one that came out of the tamarisk, they killed him. They killed seven there.
21 And they killed twenty-one of them, and three remained of them who were safe, and who ran away from there until they got to their country. And when they got to their country, they went to sleep. But they woke up, and the voice of Berhoh was in their ears, the word of the hyena, "Come, come, rejoice at the dinner!"

19 wa-yaráyd: In the Arabic manuscript, before wa-yaráyd, there is another word, the reading of which is uncertain. The word is spelled ووهفيوه, or perhaps وهغيوه. It was omitted in the Roman manuscript, and there is no equivalent in the published version of the parallel Ḥarsusi story.
am-báwmah: The Roman manuscripts have the synonymous phrase man báwməh, but the Arabic manuscript has مبومه, which almost surely was intended to be read am-báwmah. The Arabic manuscript of text 53:1 has əm-báwməh spelled the same way.

23 wa-šawkīf wa-‘̌áśám sabēb aṣáwt ðว-barhóh ādəh bark ḥayðántiham yastádhan bah. ber ațyiss bīham.
24 wə-l-ḥarsīs ráddam ba-habériham wa-habēr ð-amanāsīr, arīkōb дə-g்azīw đ̣áyrsən, wa-ḥaslébiham, wa-habēr ðə-barkīsən. w-ašráyn wa-śāt̄áyt manāsīr ð-əwtēġəm ḥalákaməh.
25 al-ḥarsīs ráddam, wว-šīham śātáyt al-‘fār wa-țəmanīt man al-ḥarsīs, kálham had'ášar ġayg. w-al aḥād manhēm awtēg lā.
26 wə-ráddəm h-aḳāhวт, wว-sēmam hēm w-amōlhวm. wə-kāl ðə-wīda bīham ġarūb kəwt̄ēt ðìməh. agénbət wádam bīs, wə-d-dəráw‘wádam bīs, wa-l-wəháybah wádam bīs, kəwtēt ðīməh man sc̄t.
wa-xəțวrāt ðókamah al-ḥarsīs xáybat, arbə'áyn gayg kálham. walākan arbo'áyn ġayg axáyr man myēti t trayt ṣarōmah.
ṣarōmah bárham mēkan, walākan al yanáfam man śi lā. ḥarb l-ād wīka śı̄ lā am-mənwīham w-əm-mán akabōyal. ṣərōmah hīs al wīḳa ḥarb śī lā ... aḥtarīb hēm wa-d-daráw.
29 ḥátərbam śhalīt sanáyn, wə-gāram aməláwtəg am-mənwīham. al-ḥarsīs,
 sayt mən əd-dəráw .

23 yastádhən: This verb form is difficult to parse. It looks like a T2-Stem 3ms or 3 mp imperfect of a root $s d h$. Allowing for misspelling, the root could be $s d h, s ̣ d h$, or even something else. (In one or two other places, Ali did write $s$ for $s$ or $h$ for $h$; cf. the comment to line 10.) In Stroomer's edition, the verb is translated 'demoralizing?', perhaps just from the context, or perhaps taking it as some form of the verb astawūd 'be disgraced' (see the comment to text 99:46). In one Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'imagining it'.
23 atyis: This must be a D/L-Stem 3 ms perfect from the root tyś. Cf. Arabic G țāša 'be unsteady; be helpless; be reckless'. In one Roman manuscript, Johnstone added the gloss 'terrify'. Miranda Morris (p.c.) has recorded cognate D/L-Stem verbs in Hobyot and Jibbali, with the meanings 'to startle, alarm s.o.; to terrify s.o.; to make a sudden noise; to tell s.o. sth. terrible, terrifying; to upset s.o. with frightening tales', and which likewise are attested with the preposition $b$ - before the object.
had'ášar ġayg: As in line 10, the Roman manuscripts have the initial consonant transcribed as $h$-. In one, Johnstone added in parentheses "or $\dot{g} \partial y \bar{u} g$ "; in the other, $\dot{g} a y g$ was crossed out and $\dot{g} \partial y u \bar{g}$ added. After the teens, nouns can be singular or plural (see § 9.1.2).

They fell asleep and woke up because of the voice of Berhoh still in their ears ?? with it. He had terrified them.
24 And the Harasis brought back their camels and the Manasir's camels, the camels upon which they had made the raid, and their weapons, and the camels that were among them. And there were twenty-three Manasir who were killed there.
25 The Hearasis went back, and they had three 'Ifaris and eight Hearasis, altogether [lit. all of them] eleven men. And none of them were killed.
26 They got back to their country, and they were safe, they and their property. And whoever knows about them knows this story. The Janaba know about it, the Duru' know about it, and the Wahaybah know about it, this story from a long time ago.
27 At that time the Harasis were few, forty men altogether. But forty men (then) were better than two hundred now.
28 Now they are many, but they aren't of use for anything. There isn't any war anymore between them and the tribes. Now when there hasn't been any war ... They and the Duru fought.
29 They fought for three years, and dead fell among them. The Ḥarasis, eight of them were killed. The Duru ' killed them. And the Herasis killed nine of the Duru.
agénbat: According to $M L$ (s.v. gnb), the definite form is ḥagánbət, but here the article is clearly $a$-.
ат-mənwīham $w$-дт-mán: The presence of the initial $\partial m$-before manwīhom is assumed, but there is no direct evidence. There is no evidence from the Arabic manuscript for either prefixed $\partial m$-, but then none is expected. In one Roman manuscript, Johnstone did transcribe $w$-am-man (the other has u mən).
am-manwīham: The Roman manuscripts have man manw̄̄ham, which is surely an error. There is no evidence for man in the Arabic manuscript. As for the prefixed $\partial m-$, see the previous comment.
sayt: It is likely that the spelling سايت in the Arabic manuscript was intended to be read sayt or sa'áyt. Johnstone's Roman manuscripts have sāáyt. It is true that Ali's pronunciation of sa'áyt (heard in other recordings) sounded more like sāáyt (with no 'or ').
wa-hátarbam hēm wa-bəáyli åbri, w-awtáwg man al-harsīs yatitt. awtáwğham ba̛áyli äbri wa-l-harsīs awtáwğ manhēm yabáyt. yāmaram hāaū wz-kāl дһ̣ād ðə-g̈ərbīhəm.
wz-bādīs, sáddəm. wə-sáddəm b-aṣálh ðว-hákzm ðz-szlṭān, wz-wákam asd $\partial \vec{a}$. yāmaram mon azbōn hāwaláy, al-harsīs, wa-šzwğáyr līham amharغ́h, bəáyli agbēl. đ̛áyrəm ar ṣabōyaġ, wz-kāl tāṭ ba-háydəh škay, wz-wtáwġ. wa-kūsam harrsáytan šīsan rawn. w-āṭáwf ba-hāráwn wa-kálam haaynịt. wa-hāráwn, karáwṣssan.
hīs āsar, hātīm ba-karmáym. bárham ba-kərmáym ð-aşháyr, alhākam alḥarsīs, wa-šardīd ḥáziham kálsan, gayr ða-bér shāṭam tīsan amharéh, bəáyli agbēl. wz-wtáwg man amharǧh şătáyt, wa-ffalit karmáym. walākan ðว́kəməh awáktən g̀ayr, wz-ṣarōməh g̀ayr.
ṣarōmah wákam hāā̄ū šīham salēb, mənádkət. wa-šĭham ganōbi, wa-šĭham kāl śy̆yən. wz-salēb axáyr mən arīb̄̄h. hāaū šzłwwīyzm. w-akəbōyzl kāl šiham fanōhən ar škáyyat wa-ganōbi.
wz-fanōhən al-harsīs arba‘áyn gayg, wz-kāl kabáyli yahhárban tah w-al kadáwr lā.
wz-şarōmah akabōyal kāl yašēmīn aḥkáwmət, w-al ahād yakáwdər yalhōm ahād lā.
kāl ahād yzhātūm hāl xásməh, te wz-lū ð-zwtáwg háybzh wz-habrว́h. yahātīm fáxrə. al ahād yakáwdar yahhárrkəh lā.

36 šakwiyzm: As a III-w/y verb, we expect a 3 mp perfect šałww $\bar{w}$. The manuscripts have šakwōyzm, but one Roman manuscript has šakwiw indicated as a variant in the margin above. (The other Roman manuscript is missing this line.).
kabáyli: This word is absent from ML, though $H L$ (s.v. $k b l$ ) has gabāyli 'tribesman'.

They and the people of 'Ibri fought, and they killed six of the Harasis. The people of 'Ibri killed them, and the Harasis killed seven of them. People and everyone who knew them say (this).
31 And after this [lit. it], they came to a truce. They came to a truce by the safe-conduct of the Sultan, and they became friends.
32 They say in former times, the Harasis, (they say that) the Mehris, the mountain-dwellers, raided them. On them were only indigo-dyed robes, and each one had a sword in his hand, and they killed (people).
33 And they found Hearsusi women who had goats. And they seized the goats and left the women. The goats, they stole them.
34 When it was night, they spent the night in the mountains. When they were in the Śḥayr (Dhofari) mountains, the Ḥarasis caught up to them, and they got back all of their goats, except those that the Mehris, the mountaindwellers, had already slaughtered. And they killed three of the Mehris, and they fled to the mountains.
35 But that time of ours was different, and now is different.
36 Now the people have weapons, rifles. And they have daggers, and they have everything. And (a man's) weapons are better than (having) his companion. The people have become strong. Before, all the tribes had only swords and daggers.
37 And before the Hearasis were forty men. And every tribesman fought them, but they couldn't overpower them.
38 But now all the tribes obey the government, and no one can touch anyone.
39 Everyone spends the night with his enemy, even if (they are) the ones who killed his father and his son. They spend the night together. No one can move him.

## Text 105 (no J): At the Airport

1 səyórk h-aməṭār anhōr дว-l-ḥād, wə-šay sədáyḳi. wə-šəryóhk, walākan šīn habūr. wa-sayūran te wáṣalan makōn ṭāt.
k.วádk manhēm. bay gawēlēw. wa-hēm garīw háyni, wว-šawēdək tīhəm makōn țāt.
wa-sayárk wa-ṭáfk amkōn ðว-šawēdəm tī bah, wa-kásk tīham ð-śxəwtīl. ðд-ss̄bam tī.
4 wa-ftūkan man amkōn, wə-rákban bark máwtar. wa-təmmōt.

1 moṭār: This is Arabic mațār 'airport'.
2 gawēlēw: This is probably the diminutive of gōlaw 'fever'. It is absent from ML.

## Translation of Text 105

1 I went to the airport on a Sunday, and my friend was with me. I was happy, but it was cold. And we went until we got to a certain place.
2 I went away from them. I had a little fever. They went ahead of me, and I arranged a meeting with them at a certain place.
3 And I went on and looked for them at the place they arranged to meet me at, and I found them sitting. They had been waiting for me.
4 And we left that place, and we rode in a car. And it is finished.

## Text 106 (= J16, but a variant version): Seeing Ghosts

wz-šátman tōmar w-ayś w-skēr. wa-gəhēman te wásąəən ... ts kaláyni bárən bə-hawōdi, hōh kátak wə-l-ād wáṣalวk lā, w-ämárk hah, "ənhōm ənśxáwwal."
atté kaláyni karbōt ḥayáwm, akáfyən g̀ $\partial \bar{u} k$ kan hārráwn te āgūzən. kūsən əḥād lā. āmūr háyni ag̀āy, "gंadéwwən. ənḥámhzm lā. kal̄̄hวm."
xatarāt hōh w-agāy akáfyan mən anágd, mən hāl habēr, anhōm aśháyr. wa-nákan adəkkōn b-anágd. dəkkōn ðд-yaśtōm śēhaz. wə-hē ðə-yōmər háyni, "ġadéw, hām thōm təwōli hāmēk!"" wə-hīs ādən l-awtákaməh, siñon hāaráwn. wa-śxəwlūlon. wakūbən bark hawōdi te al-hàk. hätōman. atté k-sōbah gahēman, wa-ráfan aśháyr, wz-kūsən házyzn. wz-mgōrrən agāay yzkūlot zl-hābū, āmūr, "hābū
 kalàtk lah lā."

5 wə-kūsən:The audio is very difficult here, and I found no written version of
 fast pronunciation of $w z-k u ̄ s a n$. The (unpublished) variant Jibbali version of Jibbali text 16 , which comes after this Mehri text on the audio recording, clearly has késén érunén 'we found our goats’. The Mehri version has what sounds like ag̈ān 'our brother', between kūsan 'we found' and házyzn ‘our goats', but this may just be an error. It should be noted that neither of the Jibbali versions are identical with the Mehri one.

## Translation of Text 106

1 Once my brother and I went from the Najd, from where the camels were, heading for the mountains. And we came to a store in the Najd, a store that sold frankincense.
2 And we bought dates and rice and sugar. And we went and got to ... Then in the evening when we were in a valley, I got tired and I didn't make it, and said to him, "Let's sit."
3 And he was saying to me, "Let's go, if you want to (go) to your mother!" And while we were like this, we saw the goats. We stayed.
4 Then in the evening, when the sun was close (to setting), we went and looked for the goats until we got worn out. We found no one. My brother said to me, "Let's go. We don't want them. Leave them."
5 And we entered the valley to the north. We spent the night. Then in the morning, we went and ascended the mountains, and we found our goats. And then my brother told the people, he said, "The people that we saw last night were spirits. And I was afraid that my brother would get scared, and I didn't tell him."

## APPENDIX A

## Texts 54 and 65 with Morpheme Glossing

For the benefit of general linguists and other non-specialists, two texts with full morpheme glossing are included below. ${ }^{1}$ Such glossing would no doubt be useful for all of the texts, but would make the entire volume far too long and unwieldy. In the presentation below, I have retained the punctuation of the text, but have slightly modified the use of hyphens in the transcription to reflect certain morpheme boundaries. The following abbreviations are used for the glossing:

| 1 | first person | IMPF | imperfect |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person | IMPV | imperative |
| 3 | third person | M | masculine |
| C | common gender | NEG | negator |
| CIRC | circumstantial particle | OBJ | object |
| CHRT | cohortative particle | P | plural |
| CONJ | conjunction | PASS | passive |
| D | dual | PERF | perfect |
| DEF | definite article | PN | proper name |
| DEM | demonstrative | POSS | possessive |
| DO | direct object | PREP | preposition (idiomatic) |
| EXCL | exclamatory particle | PROG | progressive |
| F | feminine | REL | relative pronoun |
| FUT | future particle | S | singular |
| GEN | genitive exponent | SUBJ | subjunctive |

When citing gender and number, the respective abbreviations are combined (cs, MD, FP, etc.). The gloss Prep is used only when a preposition is idiomatic and has no translation value; otherwise, a preposition is glossed with its English equivalent. I gloss the particle $\partial$ - (§ 7.1.10) with its different functions, though this does not mean that each use necessarily reflects a separate Mehri morpheme. The gloss CIRC is used very loosely with regard to its use with a perfect (see § 7.1.1o.2). Finally, I have not indicated definiteness if no definite article is present. For example, the word tēt in 54:11 and 54:12 is clearly definite, but the definite article $a$ - is not used before words beginning with the voiceless consonant $t$ - (§ 4.4); since there is no definite morpheme present in the surface form, none is glossed. For commentary to the texts, see Chapter 14.
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## A Text 54 (no J): A Grave Encounter

(1) $b-a-k \bar{a}-n$, hām țāt mōt yanáyśa-h
in-DEF-land-1cp.poss if one die.PERF.3MS carry.IMPF.3MP-3MS.OBJ In our country, if someone dies, they carry him (on a bier)
wa-yalháyka-h bū mēkən, ġayūg wa-yznīt. CONJ-follow.IMPF.3MP-3MS.OBJ people many men conj-women and many people follow, men and women.
(2) wa-ha-ynīt tabákyən wə-tanáyan ta-h. CONJ-DEF-women cry.IMPF.3FP CONJ-mourn.IMPF.3FP DO-3MS And the women cry and mourn him.
wa-mat ber kabáwr, yasháayt bēr wal̄̄ CONJ-when already bury.PERF.3MP kill.IMPF.3MP camels or And after they bury (him), they slaughter camels or
rawn, wal̄̄ bakār yanḥáyr l-ah.
goats or cows sacrifice.Impf.3MP for-3MS.OBJ
goats, or else they sacrifice cows for him.
(3) wə-ha-ynūt tawákan dā̄r a-kōbər. wə-mət gazōt CONJ-DEF-women stay.IMPF.3FP over DEF-grave CONJ-when set.PERF.3FS And the women stay by the grave. And when the sun goes down,

ḥд-yáwm, yašgīs hā-bū kāl ahād al-sćkan-əh. DEF-Sun go.IMPF.3MP DEF-people every someone to-settlement-3MS.Poss the people go home, everyone to his (own) settlement.
wa-mən țawr aḥād yahātūm đ̣ār a-k̄̄bər, CONJ-from time someone spend.night.IMPF.3MS over DEF-grave And sometimes someone spends the night by the grave,

mother-3MS.Poss or DEF-sister-3MS.POSS or DEF-sister-3MS.POSS
his mother or his sister or his brother.
(4) xəṭərāt $\partial$-aghōm, hōm tawōli sékən-i. once PROG-go.IMPF.ics want.IMPF.ics to settlement-1Cs.poss Once I was walking, heading towards my settlement.
$t \varepsilon$ kaláyni nákak a-ṣáyga, w-ol kəsk
then in.evening come.PERF.1CS DEF-shelter CONJ-NEG find.PERF.1CS Then in the evening I came to the shelter, but I didn't find
ahād lā.
someone neg
anyone.
(5) hāā-bū ber śállam bark ha-wōdi.

DEF-people already migrate.PERF.3MP in DEF-valley The people had moved into the valley.
wa-ḥəṣ́ạ́s.k.
CONJ-look.for.tracks.PERF.1CS
And I looked for tracks.
(6) wa-kásk $a$-śfütən $\partial ə-h ̣ a ̄-b \bar{u}, \quad$ wa-tábak CONJ-find.PERF.1CS DEF-tracks GEN-DEF-people CONJ-follow.PERF.1CS And I found the people's tracks, and I followed
 PREP-DEF-tracks GEN-DEF-people in DEF-valley below the people's tracks down into the valley.
(7) wa-bárk ḥa-wōdi đákəmah məkabrēt. wa-śáff CONJ-in DEF-valley Dem.REmote.fs graveyard conj-so.happens
And in that valley was a graveyard. And it so happened that
a-nhōr дд́kamah дд-mōt j̇ayg,
def-day dem.remote.fs circ-die.perf.3MS man
that day a man had died,
wə-ðд-kə ${ }^{\text {áw }}$ wrə-h.
CONJ-CIRC-bury.PERF.3MP-3MS.OBJ
and they had buried him.
(8) wa-səyárk. wa-bér gazōt ḥว-yáwm. te CONJ-go.PERF.1CS CONJ-already set.PERF.3FS DEF-Sun then And I went. And the sun had already gone down. Then
nákak hāl a-mkabrēt, wz-hōh ðд-yáṣṣək.
come.perf.ics by def-graveyard conj-I circ-be.afraid.PERF.ics I came to the graveyard, and I was afraid.
yāmaram hā̄-bū a-kəyōy yakáwn hāl $a$-mkabrēt. say.IMPF.3MP DEF-people DEF-spirits be.IMPF-3MP by DEF-graveyard People say that spirits live [lit. are] in the graveyard.
(9) wa-śáff tēt ðə-hātzmūt đ̣ār a-ḳ̄bər

CONJ-so.happens woman CIRC-spend.night.PERF.3FS over DEF-grave And it so happened that a woman was spending the night by the grave
ð-a-g̀a-s. tōli śn̄nak ḥəwrīt đ̣ār a-kōbar, gen-def-brother-3FS.poss then see.Perf.1Cs black.fs over DEF-grave of her brother. Then I saw something black by the grave,
walākan ahūgas sakáft.
but think.impF.ics column
but I thought it was a (grave) column.
(10) tōli śñak tī-s ḥtarkōt, tōli xábṭak
then see.perf.1cs Do-3FS move.perf.3FS then cock.PERF.1CS
Then I saw her move, and I cocked
a-məndáwk-i. wa-sayárk karáyb l-a-ḳōbar. hárm-i
DEF-rifle-1CS.POSS CONJ-go.PERF.1CS near to-DEF-grave way-1CS.POSS
my rifle. And I went near the grave. My path
tənōka hāl a-kōbar.
come.IMPF.3FS by DEF-grave
came by the grave.
(11) attōli l-ād śīnək śı̄ hátrok lā. śaff
then neg see.perf.ics anything move.PERF.3MS NEG so.happens
Then I didn't see anything move. It turns out
tēt, hīs śrnyát-i, $\dot{g} b \bar{b} u ̄ t \quad$ mən $a-y \partial s ̣ a ́ y t . ~$ woman when see.PERF.3FS-1CS.ObJ faint.PERF.3FS from DEF-fear the woman, when she saw me, she fainted from fear.
(12) attōli te nákak hāl a-kōbər wa-kósk tēt then when come.perf.ics by def-grave conj-find.perf.ics woman Then I came to the grave and I found the woman

дд-g̈̈būt. əhūgəs g̀abrē taxtyīnan h-áyni.
CIRC-faint.PERF.3FS think.IMPF.1CS demon trick.IMPF.3FS PREP-1CS.OBJ passed out. I thought (she was) a demon (possessing a human body) playing a trick on me.
(13) bér-i hōm lawbád-s. tōli g̀alákak
already-1cs want.IMPF.1cs shoot.SUBJ.1cs-3Fs.OBJ then look.PERF.1Cs I was about to shoot her. Then I looked
tawōli a-kōbar, wa-siñak ta-h yadīn. hankárk towards DEF-grave CONJ-SEE.PERF.1cs DO-3MS new.MS realize.PERF.iCs towards the grave, and I saw that it was new. I realized
ðд-hē ahād дд-mōt a-nhōr ðд́kəməh.
rel-he someone circ-die.PERF.3MS DEF-day DEM.REMOTE.FS
that someone had died that day.
(14) attōli hāśásk at-tēt $\quad w$-āmárk, "hām hēt
then rouse.PERF.1CS DEF-woman CONJ-Say.PERF.1Cs if you.fs
Then I roused the woman and I said, "If you
masawmēt, hōh ġayg masláym. w-āşéśl!"
Muslim.fs I man Muslim.ms conj-get.up.impv.fs
are a Muslim, I am a Muslim man. Get up!"
(15) tōli āśśūt. wa-nákak tī-s wa-ġərábək
then get.up.PERF.3FS CONJ-come.PERF.1CS DO-3FS CONJ-know.PERF.1CS
Then she got up. I went up to her and I recognized
tī-s. walākan ād-i b-ay a-yaṣáyt mən tākáa ar Do-3Fs but still-1cs in-1Cs.ObJ DEF-fear from be.subJ.3FS indeed her. But I still had the fear that she might really be
g̀abrē, wa-ð-aktəwbūt h-áyni al-hīs tēt
demon conj-circ-take.form.PERF.3FS for-1Cs.obj like woman a demon, and that she had taken the form of that woman for me.
ðд́kəməh.
DEM.REMOTE.FS
(16) attōli āmárk $\quad$-īs, "ṣarōmah al ahāad
then say.PERF.1CS to-3FS.OBJ now NEG someone
Then I said to her, "Now no one
yahātūm báwmah lā. wa-ġadéwwan tawōli
spend.night.ImpF.3Ms here neg conj-chrt.icP to
spends the night here. Let's go to
sćkən-i. wa-ksōbạh tardáydi hām tháymi."
settlement-1cs.poss conj-in.morning return.IMPF.2Fs if want.IMPF.2Fs my settlement. And in the morning you can go back if you want."
(17) tōli sīrūt š-ay, walākan hōh ðд-yáṣṣak
then go.PERF.3FS with-1CS.obj but I Circ-be.afraid.PERF.1CS Then she went with me, but I was afraid
mən-s. w-āmárk $\quad h$-īs, "syēri fanw-áy!"
from-3FS.OBJ CONJ-say.PERF.1CS to-3FS.OBJ go.IMPV.Fs before-1CS.OBJ of her. And I said to her, "Go in front of me!"
wa-hōh sayárk man sar-īs. wa-ð-xábṭak CONJ-I go.PERF.1Cs from behind-3FS.obj CONJ-CIRC-cock.PERF.iCs
And I went behind her. And I had cocked
a-məndáwk-i.
DEF-rifle-1CS.Poss
my rifle.
(18) bark a-kāb-i, "hām ṣarōt wal̄̄ raddūt
in DEF-heart-1CS.poss if stand.PERF.3FS or return.PERF.3FS
In my mind (I thought), "If she stops or turns back
l-ay, lawbád-s."
to-1CS.OBJ shoot.SUBJ.1CS-3FS.OBJ
to me, I'll [or: I should] shoot her."
(19) w-āmárk h-īs, "hām hēt məsawmēt, ḥəððáyri conj-say.PERF.ics to-3Fs.obj if you.fs Muslim.fs beware.impv.fs And I said to her, "If you are Muslim, be sure
mən (t)ṣāri. hōh ðд-yáṣṣək mən-š, wa-hām from stand.subj.2Fs I CIRC-be.afraid.PERF.1cs from-2Fs.obj CONJ-if not to stop. I am afraid of you, and if
şarš, awbadōna tī-š."
stand.PERF.2FS shoot.FUT.MS DO-2FS
you stop, I'll shoot you."
(20) attōli l-ād ṣarōt lā. wa-sayūran te wáṣalan then NEG stand.PERF.3FS NEG CONJ-go.PERF.1CP until arrive.PERF.1CP Then she didn't stop at all. And she went until we got to
sćkən-i. wa-hātōmən.
settlement-1cs.poss conj-spend.night.PERF.icP
my settlement. And we spent the night.
(21) te ksōbəḥ sē raddūt tawōli a-ḳōbar, wa-hōh
then in.morning she return.PERF.3FS to DEF-grave CONJ-I
Then in the morning she went back to the grave, and I
báykək hāl sékən-i. wa-təmmōt.
remain.PERF.1Cs by settlement-1CS.POSS CONJ-end.PERF.3FS
remained with my settlement. And it is finished.

## B Text 65 (= J18): Abu Newas and the Old Lady

| (1) | xațərāt bā nawās | дд-yaghōm | yahōm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | once PN | PROG-go.IM | want.IMPF.3MS |
|  | Once Ba Newas w | as going, int |  |

yakfèd a-rḩabēt. wa-káwla a-ð̣ān-əh
go.down.SUBJ.3MS DEF-town conj-leave.PERF.3MS DEF-family-3MS.poss to go down to the town. And he left his family
mən-ğáyr kawt. wa-hē al š-əh śc̄ lā
without food CONJ-he neg with-3Ms.obj something NEG without food. He didn't have anything (with which)
l-agarē ðə- yaśtōm maṣráwf h-a-ðān-əh.
in.order.to buy.SUBJ.3MS supplies for-DEF-family-3Ms.poss to buy supplies for his family.
(2) te wị̧̣al đ̛ar makabrēt, kūsa ḥā-bū

CONJ arrive.PERF.3MS over graveyard find.perf.3MS DEF-people
Then when he reached a graveyard, he found people
ðд-yakábrəm āgáwz. śxəwtūl ðд-yəftkīrən.
CIRC-bury.IMPF.3MP old.woman sit.PERF.3MS CIRC-think.IMPF.3MS
burying an old woman. He sat down thinking.
al wīda hēśan man mahrēt yāmōl lā.
neg know.perf.3Ms what from trick do.subj.3ms neg
He didn't know what kind of trick he might do.
(3) $w$-əl š-əh śī lā, hām kafūd

CONJ-NEG with-3MS.OBJ something NEG if go.down.PERF.3MS
And he didn't have anything, if he went down to
a-rhabēt. attōli aftkūr ba-fēkar kōməḥ. āmūr
def-town then think.PErf.3MS about-thought bad.ms say.PErf.3MS
the town. Then he thought up a wicked idea. He said,
"hōm, mat ḥā-bū šawgīs,
want.IMPF.1CS when DEF-people go.in.evening.PERF.3MP
"I should, when the people leave,
lankēś al-āgáwz ðə-mtōt."
dig.up.SUBJ.1CS PREP-old.woman REL-die.PERF.3FS
dig up the old woman who died."
(4) śxəwlūl bā nawās te hāa-bū šawgīs.
sit.PERF.3MS PN until DEF-people go.in.evening.PERF.3MP He stayed until the people left.
nəkūś al-āgáwz wa-kəl-áys bark
dig.up.PERF.3MS PREP-old.woman CONJ-leave.PERF.3MS-3FS.OBJ in He dug up the old woman, and put her into
$a$-ṣbáǵt-ah. wa-śall-īs wa-gahēm
DEF-robe-3MS.POSS CONJ-carry.PERF.3MS-3FS.OBJ CONJ-go.PERF.3MS
his robe. And he took her and went,
yahōm h-a-rhabēt.
want.IMPF.3MS to-DEF-town
heading for the town.
(5) wə-sayūr atté wị̣̄al karáyb l-a-rḥabēt.

CONJ-go.PERF.3MS until arrive.PERF.3MS near to-def-town
And he went until he got close to the town.
Káwla āgáwz, wa-ffkōh l-īs
leave.PERF.3Ms old.woman CONJ-cover.PERF.3MS PREP-3FS.OBJ
He left the old woman, covered her
$b$-a-şbágt-əh, wa-nūka a-rḩabēt.
in-def-robe-3MS.Poss conj-come.PERF.3MS DEF-town
with his robe, and he went into the town.
(6) tōli śīni bū mēkan ðд-yzwàkbam bayt
then see.perf.3Ms people many circ-enter.impf.3mp house
Then he saw many people going into a house
ðд-tōgar. tōli šxabūr $\dot{g} a y g, ~ a ̄ m u ̄ r, ~ " k \bar{o}$ hēm, GEN-rich.ms then ask.PERF.3MS man say.PERF.3MS why they.m of a rich man. Then he asked a man, he said, "Why are

ḥā-bū alyēk, 站yzwákbom bark
DEF-people DEM.REMOTE.CP REL-enter.IMPF.3MP in
those people going into

```
a-báyt ðayk?"
Def-house dem.remote.fs
that house?"
```

(7) āmūr, "hə-brē ðə-tōgar maráyṣ́, wa-hā-bū
say.PERF.3MS DEF-Son GEN-rich.MS sick.MS CONJ-DEF-people
The man, "The rich man's son is sick, and people
ðд-yzṭáwf l-əh. wa-háb-he
PROG-visit.IMPF.3MP PREP-3MS.OBJ CONJ-parents-3MS.POSS
are visiting him. And his parents
ðд-yašxabīr, 'hām aḥād yagōrəb śā,
PROG-ask.IMPF.3MP if someone know.IMPF.3MS something are asking, 'If anyone knows anything,
yadáwy-дh'" $\quad \bar{a} m u ̄ r \quad b a ̄ n \partial w a ̄ s, ~ " h o ̄ h ~ s ̌-a y ~$
treat.SUBJ.3MS-3MS.ObJ say.PERF.3MS PN I with-1CS.OBJ
he should treat him'." Ba Newas said, "I have
haāmá-y āgáwz wa-təg̈ōrab kāl mərẹ̣̄."
mother-1cs.poss old.fs conj-know.impF.3Fs every illness an old mother, and she knows about every illness."
(8) āmūr a-ğáyg, "g̈adéwwan, mahişon tī-k
say.PERF.3MS DEF-man Chrt.icP show.fUt.ms DO-2MS
The man said, "Let's go, I'll show you

ḩayb $\partial$-a-g̈īgēn a-məráyṣ́. wa-hā-bū tagərē̄. wazyēma father GEN-DEF-boy DEF-sick.MS CONJ-DEF-people rich.CP give.FUT.MP the sick boy's father. The people are rich. They will give
tī-k alhān thōm." attōli sīrōh atté do-2MS REL want.IMPF.2MS then go.PERF.3MD until you whatever you want." Then they went until
ənkōh hāl hayyb $\partial$-a-ġıgēen $a$-məráyṣ́.
arrive.PERF.3MD by father GEN-DEF-boy DEF-sick.MS
they got to the father of the sick boy.
(9) āmūr a-ġáyg ðə-nūka ka-bānəwās, say.PERF.3MS DEF-man REL-come.PERF.3MS with-PN The man who came with Ba Newas said,
"a-ğáyg ðōməh š-əh ḥāmá-h āgáwz,
def-man dem.near.ms with-3MS.obj mother-3MS.poss old.fs
"This man has an old mother,
wə-təg̈ōrab kāl marēṣ." āmūr tōgar, CONJ-know.IMPF.3FS every illness say.PERF.3MS rich.ms and she knows about every illness." The rich man said,
"ḥõ sē?" àmūr bā nawās, "kálak tī-s
where she say.PERF.3MS PN leave.PERF.1cs DO-3FS
"Where is she?" Ba Newas said, "I left her
sār a-byūt alyēk 站əzwkfūt. wa-hām
behind def-houses dem.remote.cp circ-sleep.Perf.3Fs conj-if behind those houses sleeping. If
tháymə-s, háxṣab gūr-i trōh yankēm want.IMPF.2MP-3FS.OBJ send.impV.ms slave-d two.m come.SUBJ.3MP you want her, send two slaves to bring
$b$-īs."
with-3FS.OBJ
her."
(10) attōli xxaṣáwb gūr-i trōh yankēm b-īs.
then send.perf.3MS slave-d two.m come.SUbJ.3MP with-3FS.obJ Then he sent two slaves to bring her.
attōli sīrōh ḥā-garōn. te nákam hāl āgáwz, then go.perf.3MD Def-slaves when come.perf.3Mp by old.woman The slaves went. When they came to the old woman,
hāşīśz-s. tōli l-ād āsśs̄t lāt raddōh rouse.PERF.3MP-3FS.OBJ then NEG wake.PERF.3FS NEG return.PERF.3MD they roused her. Then she didn't wake up at all. They returned
towōli ḥā-bū. āmáwr, "āgáwz āśśūt lā."
to DEF-people say.PERF.3MP old.woman wake.PERF.3FS NEG to the people. They said, "The old woman didn't wake up."
(11) āmūr bā nəwās, "дək tkūn təğtūri
say.PERF.3MS PN just be.IMPF.3FS talk.IMPF.3FS
Ba Newas said, "It's just that she is conversing
k-a-gənnáwn-se, wa-hām tə $\dot{g} t u ̄ r i \quad k-a-g ə n n a ́ w n-s \varepsilon$, with-DEF-jinns-3FS.POSS CONJ-if talk.IMPF.3FS with-DEF-jinns-3FS.POSS with her jinns, and if she is conversing with her jinns,
tāśūś lā, arwa- sabṭāt bə-xatrāāk
get.up.IMPF.3FS NEG unless hit.PERF.PASS.3FS with-stick
she won't wake up unless she is hit with a stick

ṭáwr-i trōh. wa-syēram wa-sabēṭam tī-s
time-D two.M CONJ-go.IMPV.MP CONJ-hit.IMPV.MP DO-3FS.OBJ two times. Go, hit her

ṭáwri trōh, walēkan ḥəððīr man tawtēġam
time-D two.m but be.careful.IMPV.MP from kill.SUBJ.2MP
two times, but be careful you don't kill my
hāāá-y!"
mother-1cs.poss
mother!"
(12) āmáwr ḥā-garōn, "yéyc." wə-sīrōh ṭawr amšēg̉ar. say.PERF.3MP DEF-slaves ok CONJ-go.PERF.3MD time second.MS The slaves said, "Ok." And they went a second time.
$t \varepsilon$ nákam han-īs, sabṭ-áys ṭáwr-i trōh. when arrive.PERF.3MP by-3FS.OBJ hit.PERF.3MD-3FS.OBJ time-D two.M Then when they reached her, they hit her twice.
attōli ftaḳhōt fákh-i.
then split.PERF.3Fs half-d
Then she broke in half.

[^157]
## (15) ād-ham la-wṭákamah, ssadīd $h \bar{a}-b \bar{u}$ <br> still-3MP PREP-thus make.agree.PERF.3MP DEF-people While they were like this, the people got (them) to agree

ho-bā nəwās bə-śalātáyn alf wz-həmáwlət дə-xáyməh
for-PN PREP-thirty thousand CONJ-load GEN-five.F
that he would get [lit. for him (was)] thirty thousand (dollars) and five
rīkōb kawt. wa-sayūr tawōli $a$-व̣ān-əh
riding.camels food CONJ-go.PERF.3MS to DEF-family-3MS.POSS
camel-loads of food. And he went back to his family
bár-əh tōgər. wa-təmmōt a-garáymət ðд-bā nəwās. already-3MS rich.ms conj-end.PERF.3FS DEF-crime GEN-PN already a rich man. And (the story of) Ba Newas's crime is finished.

## APPENDIX B

## Texts 54 and 65 in Arabic Script

When native speakers write Mehri, they naturally do so using Arabic letters, since Arabic is their primary means of written communication. Given this fact, and the fact that most of the texts from Ali Musallam were made as written texts before recording them on tape, it is important to include a sample of Mehri in Arabic characters. The texts below reflect the manuscripts exactly as written by their author, Ali Musallam. Ali did not use punctuation, so I have not added any. I have added only the line breaks and numbering, to facilitate reference to the transcribed versions included in Chapter 14 and Appendix A.

Ali's spelling (like that of other speakers) can be variable. So, for example, in these texts we find tawōli 'to' spelled both تولي and توالي, the former of which is identical with the spelling of tōl 'then'. We also find نا نكك نك to cite just a few examples.

Among different speakers, transcriptions of Mehri into Arabic letters can also vary, especially with regard to the vowels and to those consonants that do not occur in Arabic. For example, the consonants ś and ṣ̣ are transcribed by Ali with the Arabic letter ش $\check{s}$ (often with the diacritic upside down, i.e., "in place of ${ }^{\wedge}$ ). For $s^{s}$, some other speakers use the Arabic letter $ث \underline{t}$. Ali sometimes mixed up the consonants $\dot{g}$ and $k$ in spelling (e.g., قيبوت. for g̈̄̄būt in 54:11).

Like any other literate human being would do, Ali occasionally made spelling errors when writing Mehri. For example, in text 54:12, Ali wrote قيوب for g̈̄bū̄t (cf. the more correct spelling قيبوت in 54:11); in 65:3 he wrote النكيس; for l-ankēs, instead of النكيش; and in 65:12, he wrote اموار for āmáwr, instead of اماور. ${ }^{2}$ In a couple of places, errors were crossed out, either by Ali himself or by Johnstone. For example, at the beginning of 54:14, Ali had written توالي, but he crossed out the alif so that it correctly read تولي tooli. In 54:16, for al $2 h a \bar{a} d$, Ali first wrote لا, but crossed out the second stroke and then wrote لماد, a spelling found in other texts. In text 54:20, he mistakenly wrote سيرونا (sīrōna), though he clearly intended سيورن sayūran (see further the comment to that line in Chapter 14).

It is errors like these, along with the ambiguities inherent in using Arabic letters to write Mehri, that make the audio recordings and Johnstone's Roman-letter transcrip-

[^158]tions invaluable in understanding these texts. It is also for such reasons that I have not published in this volume several texts from Johnstone's collection that are preserved only in Arabic-letter versions, without either audio or Roman-letter transcriptions.

## A Text 54 (no J): A Grave Encounter

1. بقان هام طاط موت ينايشه ويلحقه بو ميكن غيوج وينيث 2. وحاينيث تبكين وتنعين ته ومت بار قبور يسحاط بار ولا رون ولا بقار ينخير لاه 3. وحاينيث تواقن ضار اقوبر ومت جزوت حيوم يشجيش حبو كال احاد لسكنه ومنطور احاد يهتوم ضار اقوبر همه ولا غته ولا اغه
2. خطرت ذجهوم حوم توالي سكني تا كيني ناكك اشاجر وكسك احاد لا 5. حبو بار شلم برك حودي وحشيشك
3. وكسك اشفوتن ذحبو وتابك بشفوتن ذحبو برك حودي ومصاء
4. وبرك حودي ذكه مقبريت وشف انهور ذكمه ذموت غابج وذقبوره
5. وسيرك وبار جزوت حيوم تا نكك هل امقبريت وهوه اذيصك يمرم جبوا اقيوي يكون هل امقبريت
6. وشف تيث ذهتموت ضار اقوبر ذغس تولي شينك حوريت ضار اقوبر ولكن اهوجس سقفت 10. تولي شينك تيس حتركوت تولي خبطك امندوقي وسيرك قريب لقوبر حري تنوكا هل اقوبر
7. تولي لاد شينك شي حترك لا شف تيث هيس شنيتي قيبوت من ايصيت 12. تولي ناكك هل اقوبر وكسك تيث ذقيوت اهوجس غبرا تختينن هايني
8. باري حوم الوبدس تولي قلقك توالي اقوبر وشينك تاه يدين هنكرك ذهه احاد ذموت انهور ذكه
9. تولي هشيشك تيث وامرك هام هيت مسوميت هوه غايم مسليم واشيشي 15. تولي اشوت ونا كك تيس وغبك تيس ولكن ادي باي ايصيت منتقا ار غبرا وذقتوبوت هاني لهيس تيث ذكه
10. تولي اح كك هيس صرومه للاد يهاتوم باومه لا وغدون توالي سكني وكسوبح ترديدي هام تحيمي 17.تولي سيروت شاي ولكن هوه ذيصك منس وامرك هيس سيري فنوي وهوه سيرك من سريس وذخبطك
|مندوية
11. برك اقابي هام صروت ولا ردوت لي لاوبدس
12. وامرك هيس هام هيت مسوميت حذيري من صاري هوه ذيصك منش وهام صرش وبدونا تيش 20. تولي لاد صروت لا وسيرونا تا وصلن سكني وهاتومن 21. تا كسوبح سيه ردوت توالي اقوبر وهوه بايقك هل سكني وتموت

## B Text $\mathbf{6}_{5}(=\mathrm{J} 18$, with Slight Variations) in Arabic Letters: Ba Newas and the Old Lady

1. خطرت بنواس ذيههوم يكوم يقفيد ارحبيت وقاولا اضانه من غاير قوت وهه الشه شيلا لاج ذيشتوم مصروف هضانه
2. تا ويصل ضار مقبريت كوسا حبو ذيقبرم اجوز شَّزولول ذيفتكيرن الويدا هاشن من مريت ميت يورل لا

 5. وسيور تا ويصل قريب الرييت قاولا اجوز وفقوه ليس باصبيته ونوكا ارحبيت

 شاي هي اجوز وتنورب كال ميض

نكوه هل حيب ذغيجن اميض
 قاك تيس سار ابيوت ليك ذشوكنوت وهام تحايس هنصب جور جوريثروه ينكام بيس
3. تولي خصوب جور يثروه ينكام يس تولي سيروه ججرون تا نا نك هل اجوز هشيشس تولي لاد اشوت لا
ردوه تولي حبوا امور اجوز اشوت لا
4. امور بنواس ذك تكون تغتوري كا جنونيس وهام تغتوري كا جنونيس تشوش لا ار وسبطات بخطراق طاور يثووه وسيرم وسبيطم تيس طاوريثروه ولكن حذير ماير من توناقم امحي
 13. ردوه جرون ذيبكي امور بنواس هاشن جروه اد تقام التقَ مي امور اجوز ماري متوت بكوه بنواس اموريا همي يا مي
5. تولي امور توجر ذومه شي مقدر ونها قشيا تيك بكميك وزيما تيك ججرون ذلتمّ تيس امور حوملا المشيغش .
6. ادهيم لوطاكه سديد حبوا هبنواس شُليّن الف ومولت ذخيمه ريكوب قوت وسيور توالي اضانه بره
توج وتوت اجريمت ذبواس
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Manuscript of Text 65，p．1，written by Ali Musallam
DURHAM UNIVERSITY LIBRARY，JOHNSTON COLLECTION，BOX 6C

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LIb } \\
& 0=\infty, j^{\prime} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 会正 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{-1 \text { - }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Manuscript of Text 65，p．2，written by Ali Musallam DURHAM UNIVERSITY LIBRARY，JOHNSTON COLLECTION，BOX WC
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 $\gamma_{2} \rightarrow m t_{m}$ juwgü's, nokuis l-aagawz w bolayp burk jabbaytch. wosthin an pheen Vhoom Erhcheet. Sumur te wïsal karaub Roman-letter Manuscript of Text 65, p. ו, transcribed by T.M. Johnstone DURHAM UNIVERSITY LIBRARY, JOHNSTONE COLLECTION, BOX 6c

## APPENDIX C

## Supplement to Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon

The following are words, or meanings of words, that are not included in Johnstone's Mehri Lexicon. I have, for the most part, not included variant forms of words listed in ML, though I sometimes mention such forms in the comments to the texts. This is not an attempt to correct the multitude of errors in $M L$, though many corrections are included in this appendix and throughout this volume.

In the presentation below, a reference to the texts or the grammar is given where appropriate, but the list of text references is not necessarily exhaustive for each entry. There is, in many cases, a longer comment in the text commentary. In the root headers, I follow Johnstone's practice of using the consonant $v$ to stand for either $w$ or $y$. I have also kept his letter $z$ in the root headers, but not in the transcriptions of words, in which I use ş́, as elsewhere in this book. If an entry below is followed by a colon, then it means that the entry exists in $M L$, and what follows the colon is a correction or addition to that entry. If an entry has no colon, then the entire entry is an addition to $M L$.

## 'mm:

hām 'mother': No form hām is attested, only hāmē.
'wl:
hāwill: The meaning is 'first part' (see § 9.3, n. 12).
hāwaláy: Add the meanings 'first', 'former', and 'previous'. The correct feminine singular form is hāwalīt. See § 9.3.

## 'bl:

ōbal (D/L): Add the meaning 'try, attempt' (76:5).

## 'dm:

$\bar{a} d \bar{u} m$ ( Ga ) 'execute’ (22:32).

## ‘lk:

$\bar{a} l e \bar{k}$ (G passive?) 'be hung' (42:43).

Im:
áylam (Gb) 'know, learn’ (23:15).
' v :
$\bar{a} l e \bar{e}$ : The definition 'at the top' is incorrect. This is an adjective 'upper' (42:28). Cf. Jibbali 'alé (JLO, pp. 401, 656). The opposite is awxáyw 'lower' (root lxy).

## 'mk:

$\bar{a} m \bar{u} k$ ( Ga ) 'pasture in the valley' ( $3: 8$ ).

## 'mr:

$\bar{a} m \bar{o} r(\mathrm{Ga})$ : The correct transcription is $\bar{a} m \bar{u} r$. Add the meaning 'recite or sing (a poem)' (52:1; 84:2).

## $n(t):$

$\bar{a} n \bar{e} t$ : The correct transcription is $\bar{a} n \bar{\imath} t$, and it refers to a small water-skin. See further in the comment to text 84:5.
rṣ:
$\bar{a} r s \bar{a}^{a} t:$ Add the meaning 'hut' (94:30).
šy:
'āśi (D/L): The correct 3ms perfect is probably ōśi. See § 6.5.4, n. 64 .
tm:
mātáym 'spending the night’, found in the phrase hïbōh amātáymək 'how was your night?' (85:27).

## tet:

ọtal (D/L) ‘delay’ (91:26).
' $w$ ð:

- $t$-wð: This is referring to a T2-Stem $\bar{a} t z w \bar{u} ð ~ ' t a k e ~ r e f u g e ', ~ w h i c h ~ h a s ~ a ~ m p ~ i m p e r a t i v e ~$ $\bar{a} t w \bar{l} \partial$ (70:2).


## $b n v:$

ha-bōn 'sons': This entry suggests that there is an indefinite bōn, and the entry haz-būn (s.v. $b r w$ ) suggests that there is an indefinite būn. In fact, the form habūn is attested in the texts as both definite and indefinite (7:3).
brk:
bark 'lightning' (41:8).

## brk:

abárka $(\mathrm{Q})$ : The 3ms imperfect is yabórḳa, not yabròka (3:7).
barkä’ (pl. bəráwka) 'veil' (< Arabic burqa' or birqa') (42:31, 33).

## brw:

barō (Ga) 'bear, give birth': The form barō does not exist. Instead, there is a Gb-Stem bïru, 3 fs barwōt $(7: 4 ; 24: 4)$. See also the comment to text 85:31.
See also s.v. bnv.

## $b z z^{\prime}:$

boṣāāt 'goods, merchandise’ (< Arabic biḍā‘at-) (74:5).

## dwm:

dōm 'last (a long time), go on, persist' (< Arabic dāma) (98:15).
mən dēm- 'as long as' (99:26; § 13.5-3.4).

## dwy:

$d \bar{w} w \bar{e}:$ The plural found in the texts is diwūtan (24:51).
dyn:
dáyyan 'religious' (< Arabic dayyin) (74:2).
$\not r^{r}:$
ðūra (Ga) 'measure' (< Arabic ðara'a) (66:6). The verb can also have a more specialized meaning 'measure with spread fingers to check for jinn possession'. See further in the comment to text 25:13.
ðar' 'forearm': The attested forms are đérə', pl. Øəré' (cf. Arabic pl. đirā‘), and the meaning can also be 'cubit' (66:3-7).

## fkr:

fëkar 'thought, idea' (65:3).

## fkr:

fakáyr: The fs form should correctly be fakáyrot. See § 5.2, n. 3.

## frk:

aftarūk (T2): This verb can also be used in the singular (98:1).
fšl:
$s o ̄ s ̌ z l(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L})$ : The root is alphabetized in $M L$ as $f s ̌ l$, but is misprinted as $f s ́ l$ (separate from the root $f s ́ l$ earlier on the same page). The form sōšal is a misprint for (f)fōšal (< Arabic faššala), and the meaning 'embarrass' should be added (76:18).

## gdd:

gaddēt 'waterless, treeless desert' (76:2).
gdl:
See gwdl.

## $g n$ :

hagne $(\mathrm{H})$ 'warm': It is doubtful that this form exists. The correct H-Stem 3ms perfect is hagnōh, 3 ms subjunctive yahīgan (root gnw/gny, perhaps < earlier gn') (84:4).

## gnb:

ḥa-gánbat: The one attestation in the texts is agénbat, with the definite article $a$ - rather than ha- (104:26). We also find in the texts the fp adjective ganaybəyōt (104:4), presumably from a ms ganáybi.

## gsṣ:

This seems to be a non-existent root. See the comment to text 24:37.
gwdl:
gṑdē l 'stick': The correct transcription is gawdīl ( $36: 8 ; 76: 9$ ).

## gwy:

gəwō 'rainless, clear weather' (98:2).

## $g z$ :

gazē 'reward, payback' (22:101; 73:11); used also in the phrase gazēk xayr 'thank you!' (pl. gazēkam xayr) (20:63; 28:20; 39:14).

## $g z y:$

$h \partial g z o ̄(H)$ : The correct 3 ms forms are perfect hagzōh, imperfect yzhagáyz or yahagōza, subjunctive yzhīgaz, conditional yahágzən. The variant imperfect yahagōza is the only one attested in the texts (83:7).
$\dot{g} l k:$
šag $\dot{g} a \not l a k$ (Š2) 'buy s.t. expensive': It is highly doubtful that this verb exists. See the comment to text 39:3.

```
glm:
galēm 'male camel in rut'(28:21).
g}srw
aġszrō (Q) 'chat at night, chat all night': The correct forms are 3ms perfect aġasrōh and
    3ms subjunctive yagásru (48:29;85:14).
g
gáṣab 'forcible seizure' (< Arabic ġasb) (70:5).
g
gáṭu 'implication'? (82:4).
g}yg
g
hgs:
šhēgas (Š2) 'think' (22:32).
```


## hrm:

```
harmáyt/harōm: The plural form harōm is often used as a singular 'tree'. See further in §4.3, n. 11.
```


## hgw:

```
mahgē 'family property, household' (90:15).
```


## hkf:

```
hakf 'horizontal brand-mark' (28:8).
```


## hfl:

```
hafalèt 'ripe (wild) fig': The plural is ḥ̂ūl (24:14; 25:2), and the singular is correctly hfalitt.
```


## hgl:

```
hagáwlat: Add the meaning 'anklet'. See the comment to text 97:27.
```


## ḥk:

```
\(h a \bar{a} \Rightarrow \partial b\) 'cow-rearing community': The correct form is \(h \bar{o} k \partial b\) ( \(\mathrm{pl} . ~ h \kappa k \bar{u} b\) ), and it should be listed under the root \(h k b\). A better definition is 'camp of cow-herders' ( \(35: 2\) ).
```


## hakm:

ḥəkūm (Ga): The 3 ms perfect is properly $h k \bar{u} m$. Add the meaning ḥkūm l- 'force s.o.' (75:1).
mahkamēt 'court' (< Arabic mahkamat) (66:8).
$h l v:$
halōt 'description' (with suffixes halát--): Add the secondary meaning 'condition' (83:1), which is perhaps due to the influence of hōlat (see below, s.v. ḥwl).
halláy' 'night': Any final glottal stop heard is just phonetic. When suffixes are added, the base is halláyw- (85:27).
haláy 'let's go!' (48:15).

## ham:

hāamal 'load': The plural is hamōwal (99:50).

## herb:

ahtarūb (3mp ahtrrīb) (T2) 'be at war with one another' (= T1 hátrab) (104:28).

## $h r z:$

harooṣ 'Acacia mellifera': Probably instead Acacia tortilis (umbrella thorn acacia). The plural is harṣ̣ (99:13, 39).

## heṣ:

mahṣáwl 'yield, pay, gain' (< Arabic maḥ̣̂ūl) (57:4).

## htu:

maháṭt 'camp, stopping-place' (< Arabic mahaṭ! ) (76:1o).

## h $\quad$ wg:

There should not be separate entries for ḥātūg and ḥátwag. The T1-Stem 3 ms perfect is correctly hátwag. See the comment to 94:13 and § 7.2.7, n. 50 .

## hewl:

hālat 'condition' (< Arabic hāalat) (24:15; 98:3).
hā wīl: See under 'wl, above.
ḥāwaláy: See under 'wl, above.

## har:

hawrīt 'something black' (54:9).

```
hzm:
mahzē̄m 'cartridge-belt' (< Arabic mihazām) (39:6).
```

háź:
(h)haș̣ự̂́ (H) ‘look for tracks’ (54:5).

## kff:

$k a f$ 'palm (of the hand)': This can also refer to a pan on a balance scale (as Arabic kaffa also can). The form is better transcribed $k \varepsilon f f$ (66:9).
$k s r:$
$k \partial s u ̄ r(G a)$ 'overcome': This verb (correctly ksūr) can also have the meaning 'break, damage' (cf. Arabic kasara) (85:36).
$k t b:$
$k o ̄ t a b ~ ' c l e r k ': ~ T h e ~ p l u r a l ~ i s ~ p r o b a b l y ~ k t a ́ w b a t ~(66: 1) . ~$
$k t \bar{u} b$ 'written', used in the phrase hām ktīb 'if it is written; God willing' (39:5; § 7.1.8).
kcld:
$a k a \bar{l} \partial d(\mathrm{Q})$ 'roll': The root is actually $k l^{\prime} d$, and the correct $3 m s$ perfect is akálad $(67: 4,8)$.
kbl:
kabáyli 'tribesman' (104:37).

## kdr:

$k \bar{a} d \partial r$ 'pot': The plural is either $k \hat{e} \bar{d} \bar{r} r$ or $k a d o ̄ w a r ~(s e e ~ t h e ~ c o m m e n t ~ t o ~ t e x t ~ 35: 6) . ~$. məḳáddər 'preordained, predestined decree' (< Arabic muḳáddar) (65:14).
$k h w(y)$ :
maḳhōyat 'coffee-shop’ (48:14, 27, 28, 30).

## kṣ:

koṣṣāb 'butcher' (< Arabic qaṣsāa) (49:3).
ks $r$ :
$k \bar{a} s ̣ ə r:$ Add the meaning 'big house' (75:7).
$k t$ :
makt $\bar{a} t$ 'a cut' ( $75: 18$ ).

## $k t r$ :

kototorat 'half-dry (grass)'? (45:4).

## kwm:

kawm: Add the meaning 'group of men of fighting age' (10:8).

## $l b d$ :

látbəd ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{1}$ ): Add the meaning 'fight with one another'. See the comment to § 6.5.2, n. 54 .

## lhk:

alhāk (G): The verb can also mean 'hurry; run' (3:5).

## lwm:

alwim (D/L): Add the meaning 'expect' (20:37).

## lwy:

látwi ( $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ): Add the meaning 'wrap around (intrans.), wrap oneself around' (49:11).

## lxy:

awxáyw 'lower' (42:28). Cf. Jibbali $\varepsilon / x e ́ ~(J L O, ~ p p . ~ 401, ~ 667) . ~ T h e ~ o p p o s i t e ~ i s ~ a ̄ l e ̄ w ~ ' u p p e r ' . ~$

## $m h r:$

mahrēt 'trick' (65:2).

## $m l^{\prime} / m l y:$

$m \bar{o} l a '$ (Ga) 'fill': The 3 ms is correctly mūla (III-'). Some attested forms look as if they derive from a 3 ms malōh (III-w/y), though that 3 ms form is not attested (4:3; 22:6; 76:14; 84:5; 97:7).

## $m w g:$

máwgət 'wave': The one occurrence in the texts has the form mowgēt (84:3), which in the context can only be singular. (Cf. Arabic mawjat- 'wave', pl. mawjāt-.)

## $n^{\prime} w:$

naywū (G) 'mew': The form must be an error. We expect $3 \mathrm{~ms} n \bar{n} h$. The meaning can also be 'mourn (over) s.o.' (54:2; 75:22).
ng̈m:
$n \partial \dot{g} m$ ( G ) 'be angry': The form in $M L$ is an error. The correct 3 ms perfect is näg $\bar{a} m$ (89:31). Add the meanings 'go away angry; storm off' (with man đ̣ār 'from') and 'come angry' (with tzwōli 'to') (89:26, 28, 31).
$n z^{\text {' }}$
šənēza (Š2) 'wrestle with' (92:4).
$r{ }^{\prime} b:$
$r a \bar{b}(\mathrm{G})$ : Add the definition 'one who chants (nonsense) under possession in the presence of a sick person who sits before him with a cloth over head' (25:10; 25:18).
rśś:
rēsiót 'snake': ML lists a plural rīyēś, but in the texts we find royēs (92:4). The singular is correctly rissiot. The root is probably ryś.

## sdk:

šasdūk (Š1): See the comment to text 20:6, and below, s.v. ṣdk.

## shm:

sahm 'arrow': The plural is shim (103:5).

## skn:

sēkan 'community': The plural is skūn (99:37) and the definite plural is haskūn, not haskōn (35:22; 72:5). Add the meanings 'settlement', 'family'.
maskēn 'dwelling-place, residence' (probably < Arabic maskan) (74:7).
srx:
The root is probably $s$ rx. See the comment to text 39:3.
swd:
astawūd (T2) 'be blackened, disgraced' (99:46). It is not clear if the T1-Stem sátwad (given in $M L$ ) exists.

## $s d k:$

ṣadk 'truth': In the texts, this word, which occurs more than twenty times, is always ṣaṭk (5:12). See also the comment to text 20:6.
sll:
ṣalōt:The Mehri name for the city of Ṣalalah is șalōlat (34:17). There is, in fact, a different place called ṣalōt, on which see the comment to text 38:2.
$s l b:$
$s ̣ a ̄ b$ 'type of brand-mark' (probably < Arabic ṣalb). See the comment to text 28:8.

```
slh:
sūlaḥ (Ga) 'be suitable, be fit' (42:11; 76.3).
haṣlēh (H): Add the meaning 'do well' (52:8).
scb:
şáyrab (Gb) 'become autumn (post-monsoon)' (58:4, 8).
syh:
sayḥ 'desert'` Perhaps sēyzh. See the comment to text 23:3.
```


## śbb:

śab 'youth': The forms in the texts are śsbb (9:8; 22:40; 89:15), pl. śabōb (37:7), def. pl. haśbōb (42:47).

## śf:

śaft 'hair': Correct this to śfēt or śaff, both of which exist as singular nouns (37:19; 37:25).

## śf'?:

śēfa' 'untouched, uneaten grazing' (23:18).

## śgb:

śagūb (Ga) 'put across' (42:28). The verb probably has a limited semantic function. See the entries for the Ga-Stem śogób and the noun śagb in $J L$.
śhr:
məśháyr 'famous': The correct form is maśhīr (64:1).
śks:
məśkáyṣ: The correct form is maśkáyṣ, root śkṣ (80:2; 104:5).

## ssll:

aśtaláwl (T2) 'wander aimlessly': Evidence from the texts suggests that the verb is aṣ́taláwl, root ṣ̂ll (90:7).
śn':
('́)́soona (D/L) 'be hostile to, mistreat' (97:3).
śr $r$ :
śrrēt 'court, judge' (< Arabic šir'at) (24:38).

## śrg:

śəráwg (Ga): The correct 3 ms perfect is śərūg. The form śəráwg is $3 \mathrm{mp}(20: 28)$.

## śwk:

There should not be separate entries for śátwak and śatūk. The T1-Stem 3 ms perfect is correctly śátwak. See the comment to text 14:6 and §7.2.7, n. 50.

## śxt:

śxā̄t (G): Perhaps šx $x a ̄ t ̣$. See the comment to text 20:65.

## śyx:

śōx: The plural form attested in the texts is śyēx (18:10; 74:8).
$t^{\prime} b:$
$t \bar{\varepsilon} b$ 'trouble' (in the sense of 'a lot of effort, difficulty') (50:3).

## tmm:

tam (G): Add the meaning 'continue' (17:10). A better transcription is tomm.
təmōm: Add the meaning 'even (number)' (71A:1).

## $t^{\prime} m:$

moțām 'restaurant' (< Arabic maṭ'am) (18:7).

## tśś:

$t a s s^{s}$ 'light shower': In the texts we find the plural țaśōś (45:4).
$t y k:$
tayk 'wild fig tree': This is Ficus vasta (94:15).

## tyr:

moțār 'airport' (< Arabic maṭār) (105:1).
tyś:
atyis' (D/L) 'terrify (b-s.o.)' (104:23). See the comment to text 104:23.

## $t b r:$

tabaráyn 'hyena': The correct plural is tabrayēn (81:2; 82:5), and the singular is better transcribed tabráyn (6:3; 81:1). Cf. farháyn 'horse', pl. farhəyēn.

```
tkl:
takáyl:The fs form should almost certainly be țzkáylat. See §5.2, n. 5.
teèral 'heavy loads' (23:2).
wd
awōda (D/L) 'see s.o. off' (94:15).
wk
h\partialwkkā (H) 'put': The 3ms imperfect is yzhōka, not yahawkk\overline{a} (42:28). The incorrect
    yzhzwkā also appears on p. xlvii of ML. See also §7.2.9, n. 67.
wr:
hzwr\overline{\varepsilon}(H) 'turn; keep away': The 3ms imperfect is yahōra, not yahzwr\overline{\varepsilon}(88:2).
xl':
xáyli (Gb): Add the meaning 'be unmarried' (56A:8).
xrf:
xarēf (possibly xaráyf) 'yield, fruit' (77:5).
xss:
axass 'less':The texts have only xass (see §5.4).
xss!:
xaṣ 'less': The texts have only xass (see §5.4).
xāştan 'especially': Correct to xáṣşan (46:7).
```


## xtm:

```
\(x \bar{t} t z m\) 'ring': The plural is \(x t \bar{u} m(22: 51 ; 81: 2 ; 88: 5)\). There is no evidence in the texts for a plural xatōwam.
```


## $x t l:$

```
(x)xəṭáwl (H) 'bring animals to graze’ (81:1)
\(x t r:\)
xaṭáwr (Ga) 'think; recall' (cf. Arabic xaṭara 'occur to, come to mind, recall'). Only second person perfect forms are found in the texts ( \(45: 16 ; 52: 14 ; 99: 18\); and \(99: 23\) ).
\(x w f:\)
\(x \bar{a} f\) 'I think; maybe; it might be' (57:8). See § 12.5.22.
```


## xwn:

$x o \bar{n}(\mathrm{G})$ 'betray' (22:81). This seems to be a bi-form of $x \partial y \bar{u} n(\operatorname{root} x y n)$.

## xzn:

xaznēt 'treasury': Add the meaning 'treasure' (19:17; 74:4).
maxzēn 'storeroom, basement' (< Arabic maxzan) (85:25).

## ytm:

yatīm 'orphan' (pl. yatōm): The texts show definite forms with $a-$, not ḥa-, e.g., pl. aytōm (16:2) and fs aytamūt (32:11).

## ywm:

ḩəyáwm: This can also have the meaning 'daytime' (contrasting with 'night'), at least in the phrase ḥyáwm kállas (36:27).

## $z z_{f r}:$

ṣafrīt (pl. ṣ́əfártən): Add the meaning ‘braid, plait of hair’ (75:11; 75:15).
źrr:
ṣarēt 'co-wife, other wife' (97:4).
źrḳ:
məṣárrak 'spoiled' (25:1).
źyk:
șáyyak (Gb)? 'become bored, fed up'. See the comment to text 24:23.

## APPENDIX D

## Additions and Corrections to The Jibbali (Shaḥri) Language of Oman: Grammar and Texts

The extensive changes to my transcription of Mehri in this book, as compared to my 2010 grammar, are due both to an improved understanding of MSA phonology that came out of writing my Jibbali grammar and text edition ( $J L O$ ), and, more importantly, to the excellent work of my colleagues in the field. Re-editing the Mehri texts, and additional work by those same scholars, especially Julien Dufour, has made me rethink many details of my Jibbali transcription in JLO. Some of these are rather minor. For example, I would probably remove acute accents over most non-stressed vowels, and there are some forms in which I would now transcribe a phonetic final $-h$, as I have in this volume on Mehri. Other details are more consequential. For example, there needs to be a re-examination of gemination in the texts, which I likely missed in certain contexts (especially D/L- and H-Stems). Of course, there are also errors, both typographical and others. I offer the following corrections and additional comments to JLO:
p. 33, § 2.1.4: markác is not an example of $b V n>m V n$, since there is no $n$. With mastún, the $n$ is in a different position, not $m V n$.
p. 85, line 8: The indefinite form of 'town' should be șiriét.
p. 105: In the paradigm at the bottom of the page, the subjunctive forms of the verb kéré should all have $k$ (e.g., l-ékar $\rightarrow$ l-ékar).
p. 124, §6.4.3, fourth line: The verb s̃zkéṣar does not mean 'run out of s.t.', but rather 'bargain with o.a..'
p. 155, middle: In the example from text 97:16: change tkik to tokik.
p. 167, bottom: Regarding the use of ber illustrated in the example from TJ2:19, we can compare the similar use in Mehri observed by Watson (2012: 373).
p. 323, § 12.5.18: Every occurrence of athúmk should be changed to $\varepsilon$ thúmk, both in this section and throughout the grammar. The shorter, variant form thumk can remain as is. Also correct athúmk to ethúmk in texts 8:8, 28:1, 34:11, 39:10, 40:6, 60:42, 97:44, and in the comment to TJ4:65; correct ba-thúmk to b-sthúmk in texts 10:4, 32:8, 33:9, and 6o:42; and correct bz-ðə-thúmk to bə-ðthúmk in text 60:44.
p. 368, last line: Correct z̧ḥakk to źahákk.
p. 412, comment to text 9:5, tṣrób: This form must be a Gb-Stem subjunctive, like the form in the Mehri parallel (text $58: 5$ ). No Gb-Stem is listed in $M L$ or $J L$,
though the noun 'autumn' (Mehri ṣáyrab, Jibbali ṣerb) looks identical to the Gb 3 ms perfect. Line 8 in both versions also has the Gb-Stem 3 fs perfect. The phrase te tṣrób probably goes at the end of line 4 , and should be translated 'until autumn comes'. In this case, the subjunctive is expected. If $t \varepsilon t s ̣ r \dot{b} b$ was intended to go with what follows, we would expect a perfect.
p. 418, text 13:8: Correct źaḥakk to ź̛วhákk (twice).

p. 454, text 25:4: There should be an opening quotation mark before tənúkədən, and a closing quotation mark after emih.
p. 455 , text $25: 4$ : The closing quotation mark should be after 'water', not after 'down'.
p. 456, text 24:12: The form $a^{\prime}$ té $\begin{gathered}\text { is likely a T2-Stem } \mathrm{mp} \text { imperative. See the }\end{gathered}$ discussion in the comment to Mehri text 70:2 in this volume. Also, the correct English translation on p. 457 should be 'Take refuge from the devil!'.
p. 506, text 46:14: Correct žahak to źa ̧̣ák.
p. 509, text 47:5: The translation of manhínam should be 'last night', not 'yesterday'.
p. 515, text 48:15: The translation of $a$ 'élí should be 'my forefathers' not 'my forefather'.
p. 516, text 49:4: edídi 'my uncle' should be corrected to $\varepsilon$ didí 'my uncles'. There is no audio of the text, but the correction is confirmed by Johnstone's transcription in the Roman manuscript, and by the parallel haəd́dyz 'my uncles' in the Mehri version of this story (which is nearly identical to the Jibbali version).
p. 516, text 49:7: $\varepsilon$ dídi 'my uncle' should be corrected to $\varepsilon$ díti 'my aunt'. This is confirmed by the Arabic-letter manuscript and by the parallel ḥādáyti in the Mehri version of this story (which is nearly identical to the Jibbali version).
p. 549, text 60:20: The translation for he dha-l-gád 'T'll go' is missing.
p. 518 , text 49:34: In the phrase ba-l-gád, I analyzed $b$ - as the preposition $b$-, used here as a subordinator to indicate purpose. Antoine Lonnet, on the other hand, had suggested (in a personal communication) that this was instead the coordinating conjunction $b$ - (< ${ }^{*} w$-). The fact that the parallel Mehri passage (89:34) has wa-l-asyēr is strong evidence that Lonnet was correct. This may also be the case for text $36: 6$, though in that passage Jibbali has $b$ - plus a subjunctive, while the Mehri parallel (text 48:6) has $w$ - plus an imperative.
p. 552, text 60:33: Correct yazhĩm to yazhĩm.
p. 562, text 97:16: Correct tkikk to takikk.
p. 567, comment to text 97:34: Change "the underlyingly" to "underlyingly".
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## Index of Passages

The text numbers below refer to the Mehri texts published in this volume, with the exception of texts 21, 79, and 87, which were published in Stroomer (2009). All of the references below are to paragraph numbers in this volume. Not included in this index are passages in the grammar where it simply says "see the comment to text $X$ " or any reference to texts made in the commentary in Chapter 14.

| Text 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 4 | 8.12, n. 10; 10.1 $(2 \times)$ |
| 5 | $7 \cdot 1.6 ; 13.2 .8$ |
| 6 | $12.5 \cdot 17$ |
| 7 | 3.8 .4 |
| 12 | 8.2 |

$2.2 .1 ; 13.2 .1$
4.2
$8.13 ; 8.23$
$4.2(2 \times)$
$6.5 \cdot 3 ; 7 \cdot 3$
$2.1 .5 ; 3.7 ; 7 \cdot 2.6$

Text 2

| 1 | $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 4.2 ; 8.6 ; 9.1 .1 ; 9.1 \cdot 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $3.8 .1 ; 7 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 ; 12.5 \cdot 7$ |
| 3 | $3.1 ; 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 ; 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 ; 7 \cdot 2.10 ; 7 \cdot 3 ; 12 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | $7 \cdot 1.5 ; 12 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$ |
| 7 | 8.5 |
| 8 | $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ |

Text 3
8; 8.2; 8.4; 8.12, n. 14
12.5.1

3 7.1.3; 10.1; 13.5.1.1
$5 \quad$ 2.2.1; 12.5.17; 13.1.1
7
8
5.5.3; 6.6.1, n. 75; 8.4
2.2.3
3.2.3; 10.1; 11.5; 13.1
7.1.8; 7.1.10.2
2.1.6; 8.5

Text 5
2

## Text 6

$2 \quad 13.2 .1$
$5 \quad 13.5 .2$
$6 \quad 11.6 ; 13.1$
$7 \quad 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$
$8 \quad 3.1$
$9 \quad 5 \cdot 3$
7.1.1

10
12.5.10; 12.5.11
3.8.1 ( $2 \times$ ); 8.16
3.7; 4.3.3, n. 26; 7.2.6; 8.5; 11.2

| Text 4 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $4.3 \cdot 3$, n. $23 ; 8.13 ; 9.1 .1(2 \times) ; 9.1 .3 ;$ |
|  | 9.1 .5 |
| 2 | 3.8 .4 |
| 3 | $2.2 .1 ; 4.3 .1 ; 5 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | 8.1 |
| 6 | $8.8 ; 12.5 \cdot 14$ |
| 8 | $7.3 ; 8.7$ |
| 9 | $3.2 .3 ; 4.3 .3$, n. $27 ; 8.2$ |
| 10 | $7.3 ; 9.1 .5 ; 13.2 .3 ; 13.4 .1$ |

12.5.7
7.1.10.1; 11.2 ( $2 \times$ ); 11.6
13.5.3.2
10.1
10.1 ( $2 \times$ )
2.1.7; 2.2.1, n. 22; 7.1.2; 11.5
8.8; 8.12
12.1.1; 13.1
4.2; 7.1.2
2.1.9; 3.2.3; 8.2
3.8.1; 11.3; 13.2.1
13.2.4; 13.5.2
9.1.1

## Text 7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.1, \mathrm{n} .3 \\
& 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 ; 5 \cdot 4 ; 8.9 \\
& 4.1, \mathrm{n} .3 ; 4.4, \mathrm{n} .37 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \\
& 4.1, \mathrm{n} .3 \\
& 4.1, \mathrm{n} .3 ; 8.22 \\
& 3.8 .1(2 \times) ; 4.1, \mathrm{n} .3 ; 5 \cdot 4 ; 9 \cdot 5 ; 9 \cdot 5 \text {, } \\
& \text { n. } 21 \\
& 4.1, \text { n. } 3 ; 8.13 \\
& 7.1 .8
\end{aligned}
$$

| Text 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.1.1 |
| 2 | 7.2.10, n. 77; 13.4.1 |
| 3 | 7.2.10, n. 80 |
| 4 | 4.5 |
| 6 | 9.1.3 |
| 7 | 2.1.9; 8.2 |
| 8 | 7.1.6; 7.3; 12.1.1; 13.2.4 |
| Text 9 |  |
| 1 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 2 | 8.11 |
| 3 | 8.11 |
| 3 | 9.1.4 |
| 4 | 4.2; 9.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 7 | 4.1, n. 2; 5.5.5; 8.20 |
| 8 | 4.1, n. 2; 4.3.3, n. 26; 8.2; 12.5.3; |
|  | 13.3.2 |
| 10 | 3.5.3; 8 |
| 11 | 7.2.10, n. 77; 8.11 |

Text 10

| 1 | 8.13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 5.2; 8.13 |
| 4 | 7.1.10.1 |
| 5 | 11.2 |
| 6 | 7.1.3; 8.17 |
| 8 | 13.5.3.3 |
| 9 | 7.1.10.3; 12.5.1; 13.2.7; 13.4.1 |
| 10 | 3.2.3; 8.9; 9.1.1 |
| 12 | 3.4; 3.5.1, n. 21; 5.5.5; 7.3.1 |
| 14 | 8.12 |
| 15 | 2.2.3 |
| 16 | 5.5.3; 8.3; 13.3.1.2; 13.3.1.2, n. 11 |
| 17 | 7.1.2 |


| Text 11 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $7 \cdot 1.2$ |
| 2 | $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 8.11 ; 13 \cdot 3.1$ |
| 4 | 8.2 |

Text 12

| 1 | $13.3 \cdot 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 1, \mathrm{n} .15$ |
| 4 | $13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ |
| 6 | $8.12, \mathrm{n} .14$ |
| 7 | $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 ; 8.8 ; 12.1 .3$ |
| 8 | 8.8 |
| 9 | $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 6.5 \cdot 2, \mathrm{n} .53$ |

Text 14A
$1 \quad 8.2 ; 8.11$
$2 \quad 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$
$5 \quad$ 13.1.1
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Text 15
4.2; 4.3.2; 13.1.1
$2 \quad 2.2 .1$
$3 \quad 3.6$
4 4.3.2; 12.1.1; 12.5.18
$5 \quad 8$
$6 \quad$ 2.1.6; 7.2.10
$7 \quad$ 7.1.10.1; 13.5.3.3
$9 \quad 3.2 .3 ; 8.18 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.2$
$10 \quad 2.1 .5 ; 3.2 .3 ; 7.1 .2 ; 7.2 .10$
12 8.9; 12.1.1
13 3.2.3; 7.2.10, n. 76; 13.5.3.2
17 2.1.6;3.4;13.5.2
$18 \quad$ 2.1.6; 7.3; 13.2.1
$21 \quad 3.5 .3 ; 6.5 \cdot 3$, n. 6o; 7.1.3; 13.5.2

## Text 16

$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 12.1 .3 ; 13.2 .7 \\ 2 & 3.7 ; 4.4, \text { n. } 34 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.1\end{array}$
$4 \quad 3.5 \cdot 3$
8.2; 9.1.1; 10.2
8.18
$6.5 \cdot 2$, n. 54
3.5.3; 6.5.2, n. 53
3.4; 7.1.10.1
13.3.1.1

Text 13
8.7
13.5.3.3
8.4
3.2.1; 3.8.4; 7.1.2; 13.5.3.2
2.1.4
7.1.3; 13.5.2
13.5.3.3

Text 14
1 8.6; 9.1.1
$2 \quad 8.9$
3 9.1.1
$5 \quad 9.1 .1$

1

| Text 17 |  | 16 | 12.5.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.2.3; 8.18; 13.2 .4 | 17 | 11.2 |
| 3 | 7.1.10.1; 8.7 | 18 | 8.10; 12.5.5; 13.5.3.3 |
| 4 | 8.13 | 19 | 12.5 .7 |
| 6 | 8.20 | 23 | 7.1.3; 13.4.1 |
| 7 | 13.5.3.3 | 24 | 8.20 |
| 9 | 6.3.1, n. 29; 8.20 | 25 | 2.2.3; 3.2.3 (2×); 7.1.3; 7.2.8; 9.1.1 |
| 11 | 4.2; 5.5.4; 9.1.1 | 26 | 13.5.1.1 |
| 12 | 3.5.2; 13.1.1 | 27 | 7.1.3, n. 9; 12.5.14 |
| 15 | 8.22; 13.3.1 | 31 | 3.3 |
|  |  | 32 | 7.1.10.1; 9.1.3; 9.1.5 |
| Text 18 |  | 34 | 11.3 |
| 1 | 7.3.2; 8 | 35 | 3.8.1 |
| 2 | 3.5.5; 3.8.1; 7.1.10.2 | 36 | 11.5 |
| 4 | 7.1.3, n. 9 | 37 | 7.1.3, n. 9; 13.2.3; 13.2.5 |
| 6 | 3.2.3; 4.5 | 38 | 7.1.3; 13.5.1 |
| 8 | 4.2, n. 7; 7.3.2 | 41 | 8.13; 13.2.4; 13.2.8 |
| 9 | 8.20; 12.5.3 | 42 | 9.1.1; 13.5.3.3 |
| 10 | 7.2.11; 9.1.1 (2×) | 43 | 3.1; 13.2.4; 13.5-3.3 |
| 12 | 2.1.7; 4.6 | 44 | 11.1 |
| 13 | 7.2.9; 12.1.1 | 45 | 3.5.5; 4.6 |
| 14 | 13.3.1 | 46 | 3.2.3; 8.12 |
| 15 | 3.5.4; 13.1 | 47 | 3.5.5; 13.5.3.3 |
| 17 | 13.1.1; 13.5.1.1 | 48 | 7.1.5 |
| 18 | 3.6; 4.3.2; 8.8; 8.10; 12.5.4 (2×); | 49 | 12.5.17 |
|  | 13.2.2; 13.5.3.3 | 50 | 12.5.8 |
|  |  | 51 | 2.1.4 |
| Text 19 |  | 53 | 8.5; 13.5.3.2 |
| 1 | 13.3.1.1 | 54 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 5 | 8.18 | 56 | 12.5.18 (2x) |
| 6 | 3.1 | 58 | 5.1 |
| 11 | 7.1.5 | 59 | 13.2.1 |
| 13 | 3.5.3; 4.3.3, n. 28; 12.5.11 | 61 | 7.2.10, n. 83 |
| 14 | 2.2.3; 4.6 | 63 | 12.5.7 |
| 16 | 2.1.7; 7.1.10.1 | 64 | 8.8 |
| 17 | 13.5.1.1 | 68 | 11.1; 13.1 |
| 20 | 7.1.2; 7.1.10.2 | 69 | 7.1.1; 13.2.1 |
| 21 | 11.6 | 70 | 8.8, n. 6; 8.9; 13.1 |
| 24 | 13.5.1.1 | 72 | 7.1.2; 13.2.4; 13.4.1 |
| 25 | 13.2.7 | 73 | 8.8 |
|  |  | 74 | 13.2.1 |
| Text 20 |  | 78 | 12.5.8; 13.5.3.2 |
| 1 | 8.2 |  |  |
| 4 | 3.2.3 | Text 21 |  |
| 5 | 7.1.10.2; 11.6; 12.1.3 | 11 | 13.4.1 |
| 6 | 7.1.6; 13.2.1 | 12 | 13.4.1, n. 12 |
| 8 | 7.1.1 |  |  |
| 12 | 12.5.4; 13.2.7 | Text 22 |  |
| 13 | 11.3 | 1 | 8.21; 13.1; 13.3.1 |


| 2 | 8; 13.2 .2 | 83 | 8.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2.1.3; 13.5.1 | 85 | 3.5.5; 8.2 |
| 4 | 13.5 .2 | 87 | 13.1 |
| 7 | 7.3.1 | 88 | 12.5.7 |
| 8 | 10; 12.1.1; 13.5.3.2 | 89 | 3.8.1 (2×); 8.13; 13.1 |
| 9 | 7.3.2 | 92 | 8.2 |
| 11 | 8.18; 11.5 | 93 | 7.1.6; 8.10; 13.4 .2 |
| 15 | 8.23 | 94 | 8.17; 13.1.2; 13.4 .2 |
| 17 | 13.4 .2 | 97 | 3.4; 8.4; 12.1.1; 13.1 |
| 18 | 13.4 .2 | 98 | 7.2.9, n. 64; 13.5.3.2 |
| 19 | 7.1.3 | 100 | 13.2.1 |
| 20 | 3.2.3 | 101 | 8.9 |
| 22 | 13.1; 13.2.1 | 102 | 9.3 |
| 24 | 3.2.3 | 104 | 4.3.1 |
| 25 | 2.1.7; 7.1.10.1; 12.5.4 |  |  |
| 26 | 13.2.5 | Text 23 |  |
| 27 | 8.8 | general | 11, n. 2 |
| 28 | 3.5.5 | 1 | 7.1.10.2 |
| 30 | 13.1 | 2 | 8.7; 10.5 |
| 31 | 13.1 | 3 | 5.4, n. 21; 5.5 .3 (2×); 7.1.9.1; 11, n. 2; |
| 32 | 2.1.6; 9.1.4; 10.5 |  | 13.5.1 |
| 33 | 7.1.10.1 | 4 | 7.1.7; 12.5.23 |
| 35 | 8.10 | 9 | 13.2.3 |
| 36 | 8.19 | 10 | 11, n. 2; 3.8.1 |
| 37 | 8; 8.2 | 11 | 12.5.11 |
| 40 | 6.6.2, n. 8o; 9.1.1 | 14 | 3.1 |
| 41 | 7.3; 13.5.1 | 15 | 11, n. 2; 12.1.1; 13.5.1.1 |
| 44 | 12.3 | 16 | 8.5; 11, n. 2 |
| 47 | 3.6; 8.2; 8.9; 9.1.5 | 18 | 7.1.8; 10.1 |
| 48 | 7.1.5; 8.22; 9.1.5 | 21 | 12.5.5 |
| 49 | 9.1.5; 9.3 |  |  |
| 50 | 9.1.4 | Text 24 |  |
| 52 | 12.5 .7 | 1 | 3.6 |
| 53 | 9.1.5 | 2 | 2.2.2; 3.1 |
| 54 | 3.4 | 3 | 7.1.5 |
| 56 | 8.9 | 4 | 7.1.1; 8.13 |
| 57 | 12.5.14 | 5 | 5.1; 9.1.1 |
| 60 | 3.2.3 (2×); 4.6; 7.1.5 | 6 | 3.8.1; 5.1; 8.2 |
| 62 | 5.3; 12.5.3 | 7 | 12.5.17 |
| 65 | 8.11 | 9 | 2.1.2; 3.2.3 |
| 66 | 3.2.3 | 11 | 4.2; 4.3.1, n. 15; 8.5; 9.1.1 |
| 68 | 8.4; 9.3 (2×) | 15 | 10.4 |
| 69 | 13.5.3.2 | 16 | 3.1 |
| 70 | 6.3, n. 26; 12.5.7 | 17 | $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 73 | 3.8.1; 7.1.10.1; 12.5.1 | 19 | 3.2.3; 7.1.2; 13.5.2 |
| 74 | 7.1.10.2 | 20 | 9.3 |
| 77 | 7.1.5; 9.3; 13.5.3.3 | 21 | 5.5.1 |
| 79 | 7.1.3 | 22 | 7.2.10; 13.2.1 |
| 81 | 3.2.3 | 24 | 3.2.3 |


| 25 | 3.7; 7.3.1; 10.4 | 14 | 12.5.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 7.1.3; 13.5.2 | 15 | 7.1.3; 7.1.6; 8.21; 13.2.6 |
| 27 | 12.3 | 16 | 12.5.10 |
| 28 | 2.1.3; 3.2.3; 10; 12.5.17 |  |  |
| 32 | 8.8; 8.10; 10.3, n. 3 | Text 27 |  |
| 33 | 8.9 | 2 | 2.1.4; 11.2 |
| 36 | 8.2; 12.5.1; 13.2.1; 13.3.2 | 3 | 7.1.6; 12.2.1 |
| 37 | 3.8.2; 8.13 | 4 | 11.5; 12.2.1 |
| 38 | 7.1.3; 8.12; 8.20 | 5 | 13.2.4 |
| 39 | 2.1.2; 7.1.7; 7.3; 13.4.2 | 6 | 13.5.3.1 |
| 41 | 2.1.6; 12.5.19 | 9 | 3.5.2; 12.5.21 |
| 42 | 3.6 | 11 | 11.8 |
| 43 | 7.1.3 | 15 | 13.2.1 |
| 45 | 8.16 | 16 | 8.7 |
| 46 | 7.1.3 | 21 | 11.8 |
| 47 | 3.8.1 | 22 | 9.1.1; 9.2; 13.3.1.2; 13.4.1 |
| 48 | 13.5.1.1 | 23 | 2.1.6 |
| 49 | 8.20 | 24 | 5.4; 5.5.1 |
| 50 | 7.1.10.2 | 27 | 8.8, n. 6; 12.5.9 |
| 52 | 7.1.10.2 |  |  |
| 53 | 12.5.7 | Text 28 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 7.1.10.2, n. 30; 8.5; 12.5.5; 13.5.1, |
| Text 25 |  |  | n. 17 |
| 1 | 9.1.1 | 4 | 11.4 |
| 3 | 4.3, n. 11; 8.9; 12.1.2; 13.3.2 | 5 | 2.1.7; 8; 11.2 |
| 4 | 7.1.2 | 6 | 3.2.3; 8.13; 12.5.4 |
| 5 | 5.5.3 | 7 | 11.2; 13.1 |
| 7 | 6.3; 6.4.1; 6.5.3 | 8 | 7.1.8; 8.12 |
| 8 | 9.1.3 | 9 | 8.4 |
| 9 | 8.4; 8.11 | 12 | 12.5.9; 13.2.3 |
| 13 | 3.8.4; 5.3 | 14 | 7.1.10.2; 12.5.4 |
| 14 | 8.4; 8.11 | 15 | 7.1.5; 13.2.3 (2×) |
| 15 | 7.1.7; 7.1.10.1; 9.4 | 18 | 7.1.10.2, n. $30 ; 12.5 .5 ; 13.5 .1$ |
| 16 | 3.6; 13.1.1 | 19 | 5.4, n. 18; 7.1.3; 8.8 |
| 17 | 3.5.1; 7.1.2; 13.4.1 | 20 | 12.5.13 |
| 18 | 4.3.1; 5.5.2 |  |  |
| 19 | 8.1; 12.1.2 | Text 29 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 12.5.21 |
| Text 26 |  | 4 | 4.3; 8.5 |
| 2 | 7.2.5, n. 42 | 5 | 5.5.3 |
| 3 | 3.5.2 | 6 | 4.6; 8.1; 12.5.9 |
| 4 | 4.5 (2x); 5.4; 8.13 | 7 | 2.2.2; 4.3; 7.2.9, n. 57; 8.2; 10.5 |
| 6 | 3.8.1; 5.5.2; 7.1.10.2, n. 30; 12.5.5; | 8 | 7.3 |
|  | 13.2.1 | 11 | 7-3.1 |
| 7 | 10.5 | 13 | 3.1; 3.2.3, n. 13; $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 8 | 5.5.2 | 14 | 7.3 |
| 9 | 12.5.6; 12.5.10; $12.5 .13 ; 13.5 .1$ | 15 | 3.5.2 |
| 12 | 11.6 | 17 | 8.10; 12.2.1 |
| 13 | 8.13 | 18 | 4.3; 12.2.1 |


| Text 30 |  | 5 | 7.1.3; 7.1.3, n. 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.8.1; 4.5; 13.2.1 | 6 | 13.2 .7 |
| 2 | 2.1.7; 8.18; 8.19 |  |  |
| 3 | 7.3; 13.4.1 | Text 34 |  |
| 8 | 7.1.2; 9.3 | 4 | 4.3.3, n. 28; 5.1 (2×); 5.5.5; 13.1; |
| 9 | 12.5.1; 13.3.2 |  | 13.3.1 |
| 11 | 12.5.4 | 6 | 13.1.2 |
| 12 | 8.5; 13.4.1; 13.5.2 | 7 | 13.5.3.3 |
| 13 | 4.3.2; 9.3; 13.2.3 | 8 | 12.5.1 |
| 14 | 3.2.1; 7.2.11, n. 91; 13.3.1.2 | 9 | 13.4.1, n. 13 |
|  |  | 10 | 3.8.4 |
| Text 31 |  | 11 | 2.1; 4.3.3, n. 26; 7.2.9, n. 61; 8.2 |
| 1 | 2.1.2 | 12 | 2.1 |
| 2 | 12.5.1 | 15 | 7.1.5; 8.9; 8.20 |
| 3 | 3.1; 3.4; 5.4; 5.4, n. 18; 7.1.3; 13.1 | 16 | 3.1; 7.1.9.1; 12.5.1 |
| 4 | 7.3; 12.5.7; 13.2.1 | 18 | 7.1.3; 13.5.1 |
| 5 | 8.8; 8.12 | 20 | 3.8.1; 5.1; 13.1 |
| 6 | 4.6, n. 46; 7.2.3; 8.21 | 21 | 7.1.10.1 |
| 9 | 2.1.2 | 24 | 8.5 |
| 10 | 2.2.3; 13.3.2; 13.4 .2 | 25 | 3.8.1; 7.1.5; 13.2.1 |
| 11 | 13.4.1 | 26 | 12.5.23 |
| 12 | 8.22 | 27 | 7.1.2; 12.1.1 |
| 13 | 12.5 .9 | 28 | 3.1 |
| 14 | 7.1.10.2; 12.5.20 | 31 | 12.5.14 |
| 15 | 8.20 | 32 | 13.2.1 |
|  |  | 33 | 12.1.3 |
| Text 32 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 8.11; 13.3.1.2 | Text 35 |  |
| 5 | 7.1.3; 8.3; 9.3; 13.2.4; 13.5.1.1, n. 20 | 1 | $4.2(2 \times) ; 9.1 .1 ; 13.3 .1 .2$ |
| 6 | 7.1.6; 8.12; 13.5.1.2 | 2 | 2.1.2; 4.6; 5.5.1; 7.1.1 |
| 7 | 12.5.18 (2×) | 3 | 8.8 |
| 8 | 8.2 | 4 | 3.1; 13.2.1 |
| 9 | 4.2; 8.12 | 5 | 2.1.6; 7.1.1; 7.3 |
| 10 | 8.1 | 7 | 3.2.3; 12.5.7; 13.5.3.3 |
| 11 | 2.2.3; 4.4, n. 34; 5.3; 8.5 | 8 | 9.1.1; 13.3.1 |
| 12 | 13.2.2 | 9 | 11.3 |
| 13 | 5.5.3; 7.1.2 | 10 | 2.2.3; 3.5.3; 4.2; 8.5; 13.5 .2 |
| 19 | 3.2.3 ${ }^{(2 \times)}$; 7.1.5 | 12 | 2.1.6 |
| 21 | 3.2.3; 7.1.5 (2x) | 13 | 3.5.2; 12.5.1 |
| 22 | 8.15; 13.3 .2 | 14 | 3.5.2; 13.2.3 |
| 25 | 13.4 .2 | 16 | 4.2 |
| 28 | 12.1.3; 13.2.5 | 17 | 3.2.3, n. 10; 3.3; 4.2; 8.4; 13.2.3; |
| 30 | 5.5.3 |  | 13.5.3.2 |
|  |  | 18 | 8.4 |
| Text 33 |  | 20 | 2.1.4; 3.6; 8.23 |
| 1 | 7.1.10.3; 8.11; 12.2.1; 12.5.4 | 23 | 13.1.1 |
| 2 | 8.21; 12.2.1; 12.5.4; 13.2.6 |  |  |
| 3 | 8.12; 13.1.2; 13.5.1.1 |  |  |
| 4 | 8.8 |  |  |


| Text 36 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.21; 13.1; 13.1.1 |
| 2 | 9.1.5; 13.3.2; 13.4.1 |
| 3 | 3.8.2; 8.1; 12.5.4; 12.5.21 |
| 4 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 6.5.3; 7.2.3; 8.9 |
| 6 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 8 | 7.2.11; 8.13; 13.3.2 |
| 11 | 8.12; 13.3.2 |
| 12 | 3.2.3 |
| 14 | 7.1.10.1 |
| 15 | 3.1; 11.5 |
| 19 | 12.5.14 |
| 21 | 4.5 |
| 24 | 7.1.3; 13.5.2 |
| 25 | 2.1.6 |
| 26 | 11.5 |
| 27 | 5.2; 5.5.3; 7.1.1; 7.1.3; 11.1 |
| 28 | 7.1.2; 13.4.1 |
| 29 | 8.1 |
| 30 | 13.1; 13.2.1 |
| 34 | 5.1 |
| 36 | 7.1.10.2 |
| Text 37 |  |
| 1 | 12.4 |
| 2 | 9.5; 9.5, n. 21 |
| 3 | 9.1.1; 12.5.7; 13.5.3.1 |
| 4 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 5 | 3.4; 13.2.2; 13.2.7; 13.5.1.1 |
| 6 | 5.5.2; 9.1.1 |
| 7 | 3.1; 12.5.18; 13.3.1.1; 13.5.3.2 |
| 9 | 8.1; 13.1.1 |
| 10 | 8.5; 8.18; 13.2.5 |
| 11 | 2.2.3; 5.5.3; 9.1.1 |
| 12 | 11.4; 12.5.4; 13.1; 13.2.5 |
| 13 | 2.2.3; 12.1.4; 12.5.4; 13.4.1, n. 15 |
| 14 | 3.2.3; 5.3; 8.7; 9.2 |
| 15 | 5.3; 7.1.6; 11.6 |
| 16 | 3.8.1; 9.3; 13.3.2 |
| 17 | 8.4; 13.5.3.2 |
| 18 | 4.2; 5.4, n. 18; 10.5 |
| 19 | 7.1.2; 9.3; 13.4.4 |
| 20 | 8.22, n. 23; 9.3 (2×) |
| 22 | 3.3; 3.4; 3.5.2; 12.3; 13.5.1 |
| 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 .5 ; 7 \cdot 3 ; 12.5 .1 ; 13 \cdot 4.1(2 \times) ; 13 \cdot 4.1 \text {, } \\ & \text { n. } 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | 7.2.11; 12.4 |
| 25 | 7.2.11; 13.5.3.1; 13.5.3.2 |

## Text 38

| 1 | 8.9 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $3.5 .1 ; 7 \cdot 3.2 ; 8.8$ |
| 6 | 8.4 |
| 7 | $7 \cdot 3.2 ; 8.9$ |
| 9 | 13.2 .4 |
| 10 | $3.2 .3 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 ; 8.9$ |
| 11 | $5.1 ; 7.1 .2 ; 8.4 ; 11.1$ |
| 12 | $6.1 .2 ; 6.1 .2, \mathrm{n} .7 ; 7.1 .7$ |
| 13 | $6.5 \cdot 3, \mathrm{n} .6 \mathrm{o}$ |
| 15 | 3.8 .1 |
| 16 | $2.1 .5 ; 7.3 ; 13.5 .1$ |
| 18 | $7 \cdot 3.1 ; 12.1 .1$ |
| 19 | $7.3 ; 12.5 \cdot 4$ |
| 21 | $8.8 ; 8.12 ; 8.2 \mathrm{o}$ |

Text 39
3.1; 7.3.4; 11.8
5.1; 9.1.2; 9.1.2, n. 8; 12.2.1
7.2.10; 12.1.1; 12.2.1; 12.5.4; 13.4.1;
13.4.1, n. 13
7.1.8; 8.16
7.1.1
7.1.1
2.1.7
3.4; 12.5.4; 12.5.19
8.5; 12.5.4; 13.5.1.1
12.5.1; 12.5.4
7.2.10, n. 82; 8.7; 13.5.3.1
5.1; 7.1.6; 9.3; 13.5.1.1

## Text 40

4.2; 9.1.1
12.5.18
2.2.1; 4.3.1
7.1.10.1
2.1.10; 8.2
3.1; 7.1.5; 12.5.7; 13.2.4
3.2.3; 3.8.3
6.2, n. 15
7.1.7; 12.5.7; 13.6
8.12
3.2.1; 8.18
7.1.2
8.8
8.15; 13.5.1.1
3.2.3; 8.21
7.1.10.1; 7.2.10; 13.5.1.2
12.5.7
7.1.3; 8.2; 13.3.2

| Text 41 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 13.1 .2 |
| 4 | $3.5 \cdot 7 ; 8.7 ; 12.5 \cdot 2 ; 12.5 \cdot 7 ; 12.5 \cdot 23$ |
| 8 | $5 \cdot 4 ; 8.10 ; 8.21$ |
| 9 | 13.5 .1 .1 |
| 10 | $3.4 ; 8.13 ; 13.2 .4$, n. 5 |

13.4.4
$3 \quad 8.17$
$4 \quad 2.2 ; 12.5 .23$
$9 \quad 7.1 .4 ; 8.22 ; 13.4 .3$
11 6.2.1, n. 19; 13.4.3 (2×)

## Text 44

Text 42

| general | 1.1, n. 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 |
| 2 | 5.1; 12.1.1; 13.1 |
| 3 | 2.1.4; 3.5.1; 8.10; 11.6 |
| 5 | 4.6; 11.1 |
| 6 | 8.8; 12.5 .7 |
| 7 | 3.5.2; 11.2; 13.1.1; 13.6 |
| 10 | 3.1; 3.2.3; 13.2 .7 |
| 12 | 8.13; 12.1.3 |
| 14 | 5.4, n. 18; 7.1.3; 7.1.3, n. 12 |
| 15 | 5.1; 7.1.10.2; 8.9; 8.12 |
| 17 | 3.4; 5.5.3; 7.1.7 |
| 19 | 3.6; 6.3, n. 26; 7.2.10; 13.2.4; 13.2.5 |
| 20 | 7.1.6 |
| 22 | 2.1.7 |
| 23 | 7.1.4; 12.5.7 |
| 24 | 9.1.1 |
| 25 | 8.20; 9.3, n. 12 |
| 26 | 2.1.7; 7.1.10.1; 11.4; 13.6 |
| 27 | 2.1.3, n. 7; 4.3.2; 10.1 (2×); 13.5.2 |
| 28 | 2.1.3, n. 7 |
| 29 | 3.1 |

2.1.7; 7.1.10.1
13.5.3.2
13.5.3.1
9.4
11.4; 13.1; 13.6
10.1
8.8; 11.1
3.5.2; 3.5.3
3.5.3; 3.8.1; 3.8.2; 3.8.4
$3.4(2 \times) ; 4.4$
12.3
7.1.10.1
11.3
12.5 .8
9.1.1
13.6

## Text 43

18.10

Text 46

## Text 45

| 1 | 11.6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$ |

$5 \quad 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 ; 12.5 \cdot 21$
$8 \quad 4.5$
$9 \quad 11.6$
11 7.3.1, n. 110
$12 \quad 12.5 .21$
$14 \quad 12.5 .21$
15 2.1.10
16 8.18; 12.5.1
17 7.1.3; 11.7; 12.5.1
18 12.5.1
7.1.10.1
3.8.1; 12.5.19; 13.2.4
13.2 .4
12.5.19
8.15; 12.1.1; 13.5.3.1
12.5.7; 13.5.3.2
7.1.3; 11.6
3.4; 11.6
8.12
12.5.11
7.1.10.1; 13.5.3.2, n. 26
3.4; $13.1(2 \times) ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.2$
3.5.3; 5.5.3
12.1.4, n. 2
13.5.1.1

## Text 47

11.4
12.5 .7
12.2.1
12.2.1
2.1.6
9.1.1
13.3.1.2
1.6
3.5.2

2
$3 \quad 8.22$

| 4 | 2.1.5; 13.3.1.2 | Text 50 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 12.5.7; 13.5.1 | 1 | 7.1.2; 7.3; 9.1.1 (2×); 13.3.1.1 |
| 8 | 3.8.1; 13.2.1 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 9 | 13.2.4 | 3 | 3.7; 8.3 |
| 11 | 3.5.1; 7.1.10.3; 8.8; 8.12; 12.5.4; | 4 | 3.5.3; 12.3; 13.5•3.3 (2×) |
|  | 13.2.6; 13.4.1 | 5 | $5.4 ; 5.4$, n. 18 |
| 12 | 4.6; 5.3 |  |  |
| 14 | 7.1.2 | Text 52 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 12.5.10; 12.5.13 |
| Text 48 |  | 2 | 5.4; 8.13 |
| general | 9.3, n. 16 | 3 | 3.2.3, n. 8; 12.5.16 |
| 1 | 8.2 | 4 | 7.1.4 |
| 2 | 9.5; 12.4 | 6 | 7.3; 13.4.1 |
| 3 | 8.12; 8.16 | 7 | 11.5 |
| 5 | 8.2; 8.16; 11.2; 13.3.2 | 9 | 13.5.1.1 |
| 6 | 7.1.1; 8.9; 8.12; 12.1.1; 12.4 | 10 | 7.1.10.1 |
| 7 | 2.1.6; 13.3.1.1 | 11 | 7.1.10.1; 11.7 |
| 8 | 8.12; 11.4 | 13 | 12.2.1 |
| 9 | 2.1.2; 12.5.7 | 14 | 12.2.1 |
| 11 | 2.1.5; 3.6; 8.2 | 15 | 8.1; 13.2.4; 13.5.3.3 |
| 12 | 8.13; 11.2 | 17 | 8.1; 13.2.4 |
| 13 | 2.2.3; 4.3, n. 9; 8.2; 8.16; 8.23 | 18 | 3.2.3 |
| 14 | 4.3, n. 9; 8.20 |  |  |
| 15 | 2.1.4; 12.5.11 | Text 53 |  |
| 16 | 8.2 | 1 | 7.1.8; 7.3, n. 109; 9.3; 9.5; 10.1 |
| 17 | 7.1.10.1; 8.5 | 3 | 7.1.7; 13.5.2 |
| 18 | 6.3 .1, n. 30; 12.4 | 4 | 3.2.3; 3.3; 7.1.3 |
| 19 | 7.2.9; 8.2; 8.9; 13.5.3.2 | 6 | 2.1.7 (2×); 9.4; 12.1.1; 12.5.1; 13.3.1.2 |
| 22 | 1.2, n. 22 |  |  |
| 23 | 3.2.3; 3.6; 7.2.8; 8.13; 9.3; 9.3, n. 16; | Text 54 |  |
|  | 12.1.4 | 1 | 3.5.1; 5.5.5 |
| 24 | 9.3 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.6 | 2 | 12.1.4; 13.5.3.1 |
| 26 | 8.3; 13.5.3.2 | 3 | 3.5.1; 3.5.3; 7.2.3; 12.1.4; 13.5.3.1 |
| 27 | 3.6 | 4 | 7.3.2; 12.1.1 |
| 28 | 3.2.3 | 6 | 8.18 |
| 29 | 3.5.3; 8.5 | 7 | 3.4; 7.1.10.2 |
| 30 | 12.1.2; 12.5.4 | 9 | 5.3; 12.5.18 |
| 31 | 3.4; 8.22 | 11 | 2.2.2; 7.2.10, n. 76; 8.13; 12.5 .18 |
| 33 | 12.1.1; 12.4 | 13 | 7.3.3; 8.20; 12.5.7; 13.5.1.1 |
|  |  | 15 | 12.5.1 |
| Text 49 |  | 17 | 8.6; 8.18; $13.5 .1(2 \times$ ) |
| 1 | 4.1; 12.5.15; 13.3.1 | 18 | 7.1.10.3; 12.1.4; 13.4.1 |
| 3 | $7 \cdot 3$ | 19 | 2.1.6; 7.1.10.2 |
| 5 | 8.4; 13.2.1; 13.4.1; 13.4.1, n. 13 | 20 | 13.2.4 |
| 10 | 7.1.2; 13.2.7 |  |  |
| 11 | 2.1.5; 3.2.1; 8.12 | Text 55 |  |
| 15 | 2.1.6; 7.2.10 | 2 | 8.11 |
| 16 | 7.1 .3 | 3 | 7.1.10.3; 13.5.1.1, n. 20 |
| 19 | 7.2.10, n. 76 | 5 | 7.1.2; 13.5.3.1 |


| 6 | 8.12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5.1 (2×); 7.1.4; 13.1.1; 13.4.3 |
| 9 | 13.1.2; 13.4.2 |
| 10 | 7.1.6; 13.4.2 |
| 16 | 6.5.3, n. 58; 13.2 .2 |
| Text 56 |  |
| 1 | 11.9 |
| 3 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 11.7 |
| 6 | 8.5 |
| 8 | 13.3.2; 13.5.2 |
| 9 | 13.2.7 |
| 10 | 13.2 .7 |
| 11 | 13.4.1, n. 13 |
| 14 | 7.2.2; 13.2.7 |
| 16 | 7.1.1 |
| 19 | $6.5 \cdot 3$ |
| Text 56A |  |
| 1 | 8.9 |
| 2 | 6.4.3, n. 46 |
| 9 | 8.8 |
| Text 57 |  |
| 1 | 12.5.7 |
| 2 | 12.5.7 |
| 4 | 4.5; 13.2.1 |
| 5 | 12.5.21 |
| 6 | 13.2.4; 13.5.1.1 |
| 7 | 8.13 |
| 8 | 7.1.5; 7.1.10.1; 7.1.10.2; 12.5.22 |
| 9 | 11.5; 13.6 |
| 10 | 7.1.10.2 |
| 11 | 7.1.9.1; 12.5.1 |
| 12 | 3.5.7 |
| 13 | 7.1.3; 12.5.13; 12.5.16 |
| 14 | 3.4; 12.5.13 |


| Text 58 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5$ |
| 2 | $12.5 \cdot 3$ |
| 4 | $13 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$ |
| 6 | $2.2 .1 ; 7 \cdot 2.1$ |
| 7 | 8.1 |
| 8 | 8.22 |
| 9 | 2.1 .6 |

## Text 59

general 1.2, n. 23; 6.6.1, n. 78
$1 \quad 7.3 .2 ; 13.2 .4$
6 7.1.10.1; 12.5.9
$8 \quad 12.3$
$10 \quad$ 7.1.10.2; 13.2.1
11 7.1.10.2; 8.9; 12.5.4; 12.5.7
$13 \quad 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$
$14 \quad 3.7 ; 6.5 .4$, n. 72

## Text 6o

4.3.1, n. 13; 8.6
4.5
3.3; 9.1.1
3.2.3; 3.2.3, n. 13; 7.1.5; 9.1.4
4.2; 12.5.3
3.2.3; 4.3.1; 5.3; 7.2.11; 9.1.1; 13.2.7
5.3; 6.3; 8.16; 9.1.1
7.1.3
12.5.16, n. 13

## Text 61

| 1 | $2.1 .7 ; 7.1 .10 .2 ; 10.5 ; 13.1 .1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 | $3.1 ; 13.2 .1$ |
| 5 | 3.7 |
| 6 | $7.1 .10 .1 ; 12.5 \cdot 3 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.2$ |
| 7 | $2.2 .1 ; 7.2 .1 ; 13.4 .3$ |
| 8 | $5 \cdot 4$ |
| 9 | $3.5 .4 ; 5.4 ; 8.5 ; 13.1 .1$ |

## Text 62

$1 \quad 13 \cdot 3 \cdot 1.2$
$2 \quad$ 12.1.3
$3 \quad 7.3 ; 13.2 .1$
$4 \quad 3.2 .3 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.2$
$6 \quad$ 2.2.1; 7.2.8
$7 \quad$ 7.1.2; 8.1; 12.1.2
$13 \quad 3 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$

## Text 63

```
3.4; 5.5.3; 7.1.10.2
8.2
3.2.3; 7.2.10, n. }8
7.1.10.1; 11.3; 12.5.7
7.2.10
2.1.7; 12.5.14
8.16; 12.4
7.1.10.2; 8.2
11.5
```

$\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3.4 ; \\ 2 & 8.2 \\ 3 & 3.2\end{array}$
3
4
5
6
8
$9 \quad$ 7.1.10.2; 8.2
$10 \quad 11.5$

| 12 | 7.1.10.2 | 12 | 3.2.3; 3.2.3, n. 10; 4.2; 9.3; 9.3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 2.1.7; 2.2.3; 3.7; 13.5 .2 |  | n. 13 |
| 15 | 7.3.3; 12.5.7 | 13 | 7.1.9.1; 11.2; 12.2; 12.5.1 |
| 16 | 2.2.3; 8.9 | 14 | 3.4; 3.5.2; 7.1.8; 7.2.10; 8.2 |
|  |  | 15 | 4.6; 9.1.1; 9.1.3; 9.1.5 |
| Text 64 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7.1.8; 8.5; 8.8 | Text 66 |  |
| 2 | 12.5.19 (2×) | 1 | 3.5.4; 3.8.1; 4.3.3, n. 23; 13.1.1 |
| 3 | 12.5.4; 13.2.8 | 2 | 7.3.1; 12.4; 13.5.1.2 |
| 6 | 2.1.6; 2.1.7; 5.2, n. 11; 7.1.8; 7.1.10.1 | 3 | 4.2; 7.3.1 |
| 8 | 7.1.2; 7.1.3; 13.2.4 | 4 | 5.4; 9.1.4 |
| 9 | 4.6; 7.1.2; 7.3.3, n. 111 | 5 | 9.1.1 |
| 10 | 3.2.3; 13.3.1.1 | 7 | 5.4; 13.2.7 |
| 11 | 3.8.4; 9.1.4 | 8 | 2.1.7; 13.2.7 |
| 12 | 12.5.18 | 9 | 9.4 |
| 15 | 12.5.23; 13.2.1 | 10 | 3.5.1; 4.3.3, n. 23; 5.5.3; 13.2.4; |
| 16 | 3.1 |  | 13.2.4, n. 5 |
| 17 | 12.5.10 |  |  |
| 18 | 3.1; 8.12 | Text 67 |  |
| 19 | 7.1.8; 9.3 ( $2 \times$ ) | 1 | 7.1.3; 10.5 |
| 21 | 3.6 | 2 | 7.1.5; 11.2; 13.2.3 |
| 25 | 12.5.20 (2×) | 3 | 2.2.2; 7.2.1 |
| 26 | 3.6; 7.1.8; 7.3.1; 7.3.3, n. 111; 12.5.20 | 4 | 8.4 |
|  | (2×); 13.1.2 | 5 | 3.5.1; 3.5.6; 3.8.2; 7.1.10.2; 13.2.1 |
| 27 | 12.5.4; 13.2.4 | 6 | 3.8.2 |
| 28 | 12.5.20 | 8 | 3.1; 3.3; 3.8.3; 8.16; 13.1 |
| 29 | 7.1.7; 7.1.10.2; 13.2.4; 13.4.1; 13.4.1, <br> n. 12; 13.5.3.3 | 9 | 3.5.4 |
| 30 | 7.1.2 | Text 68 |  |
| 31 | 3.8.2; 7.1.10.3 | 1 | 4.2 |
| 32 | 9.1.1 | 2 | 3.8.1; 10.1 |
| 33 | 9.1.1 (2×) | 3 | 3.6; 8.12 |
|  |  | 4 | 2.1.7 |
| Text 65 |  | 6 | 2.1.5; 4.2; 11.2; 13.5.2 |
| 1 | 3.5.2; 13.5.2 | 9 | 2.1.6 |
| 2 | 7.1.10.1; 11.3 | 13 | 13.1.1 |
| 3 | 7.3.1; 13.4.1, n. 15 | 14 | 2.1.4, n. 9 |
| 4 | 7.3.2 | 16 | 13.5.1.1, n. 20 |
| 5 | 8 |  |  |
| 6 | 3.4; 5.5.5; 7.1.10.1 | Text 69 |  |
| 7 | 3.5.2; 5.5.3; 7.1.10.1; 13.3.1.1; 13.4.1; | 2 | 8.11; 13.1 |
|  | 13.4.1, n. 12 | 3 | 8.15; 9.3 |
| 8 | 3.8.3; 4.3.3, n. 23; 5.1; 7.2.10; 12.4; | 4 | 7.1.9.1; 7.2.6, n. 45; 13.1.1; 13.5.3.2; |
|  | 12.5.11 |  | 13.5.3.3 |
| 9 | 3.1; 3.2.3, n. 13; 5.2, n. 10; 7.1.2; | 5 | 9.1.4; 9.3; 12.1.2; 13.2.4 (2×) |
|  | 7.1.10.2; 8; 11.4 | 6 | 5.4, n. 19; 13.2.7 |
| 10 | 4.2; 8.9; 11.5; 13.2.3; 13.2.4 | 7 | 5.5.5; 8.2; 13.1.1 |
| 11 | 7.1.7; 7.1.9.2; 12.5.4; 13.4.1, n. 12; | 8 | 2.1.7; 7.1.10.2; 9.4 |
|  | 12.5.10; 13.4.1 |  |  |


| Text 7 O |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $2.2 .2 ; 4.3 ; 7 \cdot 2.1$ |
| 2 | $4.3, \mathrm{n} .11 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 12.5 \cdot 20(2 \times$ |
| 3 | $4.3, \mathrm{n} .11 ; 8.5 ; 9.1 .1 ; 13.2 .4$ |
| 4 | $3.7 ; 9.1 .1$ |
| 5 | 9.1 .1 |
| 6 | $3.5 .7 ; 3.8 .4 ; 11.2$ |
| 7 | $2.1 .4 ; 5 \cdot 4 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.2$ |
|  |  |
| Text 71 |  |
| general | $1.2, \mathrm{n} .23$. |
| 1 | 7.1 .2 |
| 2 | $7.1 .10 .2 ; 8.9 ; 12.3 ; 12.5 .21$ |
| 3 | $7.1 .5 ; 7.2 .10 ; 12.5 \cdot 9$ |
| 4 | $3.8 .1 ; 11.2$ |

## Text 71A

1
$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 4.2(2 \times) ; 5.1 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 8.20 ; 9.1 .1 ;$ 12.1.3; 12.5.14; 13.1.2

Text 72

| 1 | $4.2 ; 11.3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $3.2 .3 ; 4.2 ; 5.5 .5 ; 8.5 ; 11.4$ |
| 3 | $9.1 .3 ; 12.1 .6 ; 12.5 .7 ; 13.4 .2$ |
| 4 | $3.8 .1 ; 8.12 ; 13.1 .1$ |
| 5 | $4.4 ; 11.4$ |
| 6 | $9.4 ; 10.5$ |

Text 73

| 1 | $7 \cdot 3.2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 12.1 .2 |
| 4 | $3.8 .1 ; 12 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$ |
| 5 | $3 \cdot 1 ; 3 \cdot 8.1 ; 4 \cdot 6 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 ; 12.5 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 3 \cdot 1$ |
| 6 | $7 \cdot 2.1 ; 8.9 ; 8.22 ; 12.5 \cdot 7$ |
| 7 | $8.22, n .25$ |
| 8 | $9 \cdot 3$ |
| 9 | $9 \cdot 3(2 \times)$ |
| 10 | $9 \cdot 3$ |
| 11 | $3.8 .1 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 ; 12.5 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 1.2$ |
| 12 | $3 \cdot 1 ; 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 ; 5 \cdot 3$ |
| 13 | $13 \cdot 2.8 ; 13 \cdot 4 \cdot 4$ |

Text 74
$1 \quad 7.3 .2 ; 8.7 ; 12.5 \cdot 15$
$2 \quad$ 12.5.1; 13.2.2
$3 \quad 3.4 ; 8.2 ; 10.5 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.1$
$4 \quad 3.5 .5 ; 7.1 .3 ; 9.1 .5 ; 13.1 .1$
$5 \quad 5.5 .3 ; 7.1 .6 ; 8.2 ; 10.1$
$6 \quad 3.8 .3$
3.8.2; 7.1.3; 11.3
12.5 .7
3.7
3.8.4; 8.9; 13.1.1
13.5.1.1
3.8.3; 12.1.1; 12.5.3
3.6; 7.1.3
7.1.10.2; 7.3.1
5.3; 7.2.10
8.23; 13.5.3.3
11.6; 13.5.3.2
7.1.5
11.5; 13.5.3.2
3.8.1; 3.8.3; 10; 11.6 (2×); 13.5.1.1
2.2.3; 11.2; 11.6
2.2.3; 3.1; 7.1.5; 11.2
3.1; 3.4; 13.1; 13.2.4
2.1.10; 2.2.3; 3.5.3

## Text 75

general

18 2.1.3, n. 4; 7.3.3; $8.12(2 \times) ; 10$;
12.5.7; 12.5.17
$21 \quad$ 3.1; 12.5.17
$22 \quad$ 2.1.6; 7.2.10, n. 87; 13.2.2
23 2.2.1, n. 22; 7.1.2; 7.1.5; 8.18; 12.2
$24 \quad 3.6$
$25 \quad 8.2$

## Text 76

general 1.1, n. 11
1
1.1, n. 11
4.6, n. 46; 8.1; 12.4
13.5.1.1
7.1.3; 13.4.1, n. 12
3.8.1; 8.8
11.3
2.1.6; 3.2.3; 3.8.1; 4.1, n. 2; 7.1.5;
7.2.10; 11.6
3.2.3; 3.5.5; 4.1, n. 2; 8.11; 13.5.3.3
3.8.1; 12.4; 12.5.7; 13.1.1
4.1, n. 2; 4.3.2; 12.4; 12.5.3; 13.2.4
4.3.2, n. 17; 11.2; 11.3; 13.3.1
2.1.3, n. 4; 7.3.3; 8.12 ( $2 \times$ ); 10;
3.8.4; 7.1.8; 7.2.10, n. 82; 12.4; 13.2.1

| 2 | 2.1.9; 4.6, n. 46 | Text 81 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 11.6; 13.2.4 | 1 | 13.3.1.2 |
| 4 | 3.1 | 2 | 7.2.10; 13.5.1.1 |
| 5 | 2.1.2; 3.1; 3.8.1; 5.4; 12.1.4 | 3 | 7.1.7; 7.1.10.2; 12.5.15 (2×); 13.5.1.2 |
| 7 | 3.1 | 4 | 4.5; 9.1.1; 9.3 |
| 9 | 12.5.1 |  |  |
| 11 | 7.1.3; 7.2.9, n. 65; 8.1; 8.10; 13.1.1; | Text 82 |  |
|  | 13.2.2; 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 1 | 4.5; 7.2.6, n. 45; 13.3.1.2; 13.5.1.1 |
| 12 | 3.4; 7.3.1; 13.1.1; 13.4.1; 13.4.1, n. 12; | 2 | 5.4, n. 21; 10.5; 13.2.2; 13.2.4; 13.5.1.1 |
|  | 13.5.1.1 (2×); 13.5.3.1 | 3 | 2.2.2; 3.1; 13.2.1; 13.4.4 |
| 13 | 4.6, n. 46; 7.3.2 | 4 | 2.2.3; 7.1.9.1; 7.1.10.2; 11.2; 11.5; |
| 15 | 6.6.3, n. 82; 8.12 |  | 13.2.4 |
| 16 | $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ | 5 | 6.5.2, n. 53 |
| 18 | 2.1.6; 3.5.4; 6.2, n. 14; 12.5.20 (2×) |  |  |
|  |  | Text 83 |  |
| Text 77 |  | 1 | 5.1; 7.1.6; 8.23; 13.1 |
| 1 | 2.1.3, n. 7; 8.10; 13.5.3.3 | 2 | 2.1.4; 7.1.2; 7.3.1; 13.4.1 |
| 2 | 7.1.1; 7.1.2; 7.1.10.1; 13.2.2 | 3 | 4.5; 8.21 |
| 3 | 3.8.1; 7.1.2, n. 7; 9.1.3; 12.5.4; | 4 | 11.1; 13.2.4 |
|  | 13.5.3.3 | 5 | 2.1.6; 12.5.19 |
| 4 | 3.5.2; 7.1.1; 8.15; 8.15, n. 18; 12.5.11; | 6 | 8.1, n. 1; 12.5.4; 12.5.12 |
|  | 12.5.23; 13.5.2 | 7 | 4.6, n. 46; 7.1.4; 9.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.4.3 |
| 5 | 3.4; 6.5.3, n. 6o; 7.1.10.2; 8.8 (2×); |  |  |
|  | 8.11; 13.3.1 | Text 84 |  |
| 6 | 7.1.6; 7.1.10.1; 7.3.3; 12.5.7; 13.5.3.2 | 1 | 8.1; 8.8 |
| 7 | 2.1.7; 3.1 | 2 | 3.6 |
| 8 | 3.7; 13.2.2; 13.5.1.1; 13.5.1.1, n. 20 | 4 | 4.2; 7.1.10.1; 10; 13.2.7 |
| 9 | 7.2.10, n. 8o; $7 \cdot 3$ | 5 | 3.8.1; 5.3; 12.5.13 |
| 10 | 4.2; 8.15; 8.23 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 .3 ; 3.2 .3, \text { n. } 10 ; 6.3, \text { n. } 26 ; 6.3 .1 \text {, } \\ & \text { n. } 36 ; 11.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Text 79 |  | 7 | 6.3.1, n. 36; 7.1.3; 7.1.10.2 |
| 1 | 6.7, n. 85 | 8 | 3.6; 6.3.1, n. 36; 11.6 |
| 8 | 6.7 | 9 | 7.1.10.2; 13.2.4 |
|  |  | 10 | 10.4 |
| Text 8o |  |  |  |
| general | 1.2, n. 23 | Text 85 |  |
| 1 | 11.4 | 1 | 13.3.1.1 |
| 2 | 10.1 | 2 | 7.1.5; 7.3, n. 109 |
| 3 | 11.4 | 3 | 7.3.2; 9.1.4; 11.4 |
| 4 | 2.1.7, n. 12 | 4 | 7.1.10.1; 9.5; 11.5 |
| 5 | 3.6; 12.1.3; 12.2.1 | 5 | 3.2.3; 9.1.4 |
| 6 | 7.1.3; 7.2.6, n. 45; 8.12; 12.2.1 | 7 | 7.1.3 |
| 7 | 13.3 .2 | 8 | 9.1.4 |
| 9 | 11.6; 13.6 | 10 | 4.3.2, n. 17 |
| 13 | 11.6 | 12 | 9.1.4 |
| 15 | 12.5.4 | 13 | 8.8 |
| 16 | 11.6; 13.6 | 15 | 6.5.4, n. 72; 7.2.10 |
| 19 | 10.4 | 16 | 2.1.7 |
| 20 | 11.4 | 17 | 7.3.2; 13.5.3.2 |


| 18 | 12.5.14 | 12 | 2.1.6; 13.5.3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 6.5.1, n. 49 | 13 | 2.1.6 |
| 22 | 3.6 | 14 | 7.3 |
| 24 | 2.1.6; 12.2 | 15 | 12.5 .7 |
| 25 | 3.2.3 | 16 | 11.2 |
| 26 | 3.2.3 | 18 | 7.1.5; 13.2.4 |
| 27 | 5.5.3; 10.3, n. 2; 11.6 | 20 | 5.5.3 |
| 28 | 2.1.6; 12.4 | 21 | 6.5.4, n. 70; 13.2.4 |
| 29 | 2.1.6; 8.9; 12.5 .4 | 23 | 7.1.10.1 |
| 31 | 2.1.6; 8.2 | 24 | 13.5.3.3 |
| 34 | 2.1.6; 3.5.4; 5.5.3 | 26 | 8.5 |
| 35 | 8.9 | 27 | 7.3 |
| 36 | 12.5.4 | 31 | 9.3; 13.5.2 |
|  |  | 32 | 8.17; 8.23; 11.2 |
| Text 86 |  | 33 | 13.4.4 |
| 1 | 13.4.1; 13.4 .2 | 34 | 3.6 |
| 2 | 7.1.6; 13.4.1; 13.4.2 | 35 | 6.5.4, n. 70; 13.5.1 |
| 3 | 7.1.6 |  |  |
| 4 | 3.6 | Text 90 |  |
| 6 | 13.4.1; 13.4.2 | 1 | 8.5 |
| 7 | 5.5.5 | 2 | 7.2.10, n. 82 |
| 8 | 3.5.2 | 6 | 3.4; 13.2.1 |
| 9 | 13.5.3.1 | 7 | 7.2.11; 12.5.4 |
| 11 | 7.1.6 | 8 | 8.21; 13.2.6 |
| 12 | 6.3.1, n. 35 | 9 | 7.1.1 |
|  |  | 11 | 12.5.6 |
| Text 87 |  | 12 | 7.2.2; 13.5.3.3 |
| 1 | 3.1 | 13 | 7.1.3 |
| 4 | 5.2, n. 4 | 14 | 7.3; 11.2 |
|  |  | 15 | 2.2.2; 7.1.5; 7.1.6; 7.2.7; 9.3; 13.4.4; |
| Text 88 |  |  | 13.5 .2 |
| 1 | 8.1; 9.1.1 (2×) |  |  |
| 5 | 9.3 (2x) | Text 91 |  |
| 6 | 7.1.2; 8.7 | 1 | 7.3; 8.8; 9.1.1 |
| 7 | 4.2; 9.1.1; 13.3.2 | 2 | 3.8.1; 13.3.1; 13.5.3.3 |
| 9 | 4.5 (2x) | 3 | 3.1; 13.1; 13.5.3.3 |
| 11 | 3.8.4 | 4 | 2.1.4; 7.1.1; 13.5.3.3 |
| 14 | 3.4 | 5 | 13.5.3.3 |
|  |  | 6 | 7.3.1; 13.1.1 |
| Text 89 |  | 7 | 4.2, n. 7; 11.3; 12.1.1 |
| 1 | 12.5.15 (2×) | 8 | 3.1; 7.1.10.2, n. 30; 8.2; 12.5.5; 13.5.1, |
| 2 | 5.5.5; 13.1.1 |  | n. 17 |
| 3 | 3.8.4; 7.1.2; 13.5.3.1 | 9 | 7.1.3; 7.1.5; 12.5.6; 12.5.13 |
| 4 | 3.1; 9.1.1 | 10 | 3.2.3; 3.5.2 |
| 5 | 6.1.2; 7.1.7; 13.2.2; 13.5.1.1 | 11 | 2.1.4; 7.3; 8.11 |
| 8 | 12.5.4; 13.2.2 | 13 | 6.4.1; 9.5 |
| 9 | 2.1.7; 7.1.10.1; 8.12 | 14 | 3.8.1; 7.3; 8.6; 13.3.2 |
| 10 | 7.1.2; 7.2.13; 13.2.2 | 15 | 7.1.2; 7.2.10 |
| 11 | 3.1; 13.5.2 | 16 | 8.11; 13.1.1; 13.3.1.2 |


| 18 | 3.8.1 | 25 | 3.8.1; 6.1.2, n. 5; 7.1.7; 7.2.9, n. 61; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 12.5.10; 13.3.1.2 |  | 12.5.21 |
| 20 | 13.5.1.1 | 26 | 12.1.4; 12.5.4 (2×); 13.2.4 |
| 22 | 3.1 | 28 | 3.8.2; 7.1.8 |
| 23 | 2.2.3; 8.5 | 29 | 3.8.1; 7.2.9, n. 61 |
| 24 | 2.1.9; 3.2.3; 7.1.5; 12.1.4 | 32 | 3.5.2; 4.6; 7.1.3; 8.1; 12.1.4 |
| 26 | 7.3.3; 12.5.7; 13.2.5 | 33 | 4.6; 5.5.1; 8.12, n. 10; 10.1 (2×); |
| 27 | 9.3 ( $2 \times$ ) |  | 13.2.1 |
| 28 | 5.5.3; 7.1.8; 8.12 | 34 | 3.2.3 |
| 29 | 13.2.1 | 35 | 12.5.1; 13.3.1.2 |
| 30 | 3.3; 8.12; 13.5.1.1, n. 20 | 36 | 3.1; 3.2.3; 8.22 |
| 32 | 8.19; 13.2.4 | 37 | 2.1.9; 3.4; 3.5.2; 4.3, n. 11 |
| 33 | 8.19 | 39 | 7.1.9.1; 12.5.19 |
|  |  | 40 | 12.5.19 |
| Text 92 |  | 41 | 3.2.3, n. 10; 4.5; 7.1.4; 7.2.11 |
| 1 | 9.1.1 | 42 | 4.6; 12.5.5; 13.1.1; 13.4.2; 13.5.1; |
| 2 | 3.8.1; 8.22; 13.4.1, n. 12 |  | 13.5-1.1 |
| 3 | $9 \cdot 3$ ( $2 \times$ ) | 43 | 3.2.3, n. 9; 3.6; 6.5.1, n. 51; 13.4.2 |
| 4 | 3.2.3; 9.3 | 45 | 11.2; 13.1 |
| 6 | 2.1.2; 3.5.1; 5.4, n. 21; 8.13; | 46 | 13.5.1.1 |
|  | 13.5.3.3 | 47 | 3.5.5; 6.5.2, n. 53; 12.5.11 |
|  |  | 48 | 2.1.4 |
| Text 93 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 13.1.1 | Text 95 |  |
| 2 | 12.1.4 | 1 | 10.1 |
| 3 | 9.1.1 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 5 | 8.2 | 4 | 3.2.3; 8.4 |
| 6 | 3.1 | 5 | 8.6 |
| 7 | 5.4, n. 21; 9.5 | 6 | 11.2; 13.5.3.2 |
|  |  | 8 | 3.8.4; 12.5.10 |
| Text 94 |  | 10 | 12.5.4 (2x); 13.2.2 |
| 2 | 7.1.3; 7.3.1 | 11 | 3.5.2; 13.5.1.1 (2x); 13.5.3.1 |
| 4 | 3.8.1; 7.1.2; 8.12 | 12 | $5 \cdot 3$ |
| 5 | 13.1.2 |  |  |
| 8 | 3.5.7; 3.6; 13.5.1.1 | Text 96 |  |
| 9 | 6.5 .1, n. 48; 8.11 | 1 | 7.1.4; 7.2.3; 13.2.2; 13.2.4 |
| 10 | 6.5 .1, n. 48 | 2 | 13.4.1 |
| 12 | 3.5.5 | 3 | 3.5.5; 12.1.5 |
| 13 | 8.12, n. 11 | 4 | 12.1.3; 13.1.1 |
| 14 | 8.12 | 5 | 8.2; 12.1.4; 13.1.1 |
| 15 | 7.1.5 | 7 | 12.1.3; 13.2.3; 13.3.1.2; 13.5.1.2 |
| 16 | 13.5.1 (2×) |  |  |
| 17 | 3.5.2; 7.1.9.2; 13.4.1 (2×) | Text 97 |  |
| 18 | 8.18; 13.2.5 | 2 | 11.5; 12.3 |
| 19 | 4.5; 7.3.1 | 3 | 11.5 |
| 20 | 7.1.9.1; 13.5.1 | 5 | 5.5.3 |
| 22 | 7.1.10.2; 12.5.5; 12.5.11 | 7 | 7.1.5; 7.1.9.1; 9.1.1; 13.5.3.1 |
| 23 | 7.1.10.2 | 8 | 4.3.1 |
| 24 | 13.5.3.2 | 10 | 8.5 |


| 11 | 7.2.6, n. 45 | 5 | 7.1.10.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 7.1.10.1 | 6 | 3.2.1; 5.5.3 |
| 15 | 8.18 | 7 | 2.2.3; 3.5.3 |
| 16 | 6.3.2; 7.1.7; 9.1.1 | 10 | 7.1.10.2 |
| 19 | 9.1.1 | 11 | 3.2.1; 7.1.8; 7.1.9.2 |
| 21 | 9.1.2 | 12 | 7.3.2; 8.4 |
| 22 | 3.1; 7.1.1; 8.9; 11.5 | 15 | 7.2.7, n. 52; 8.19 |
| 24 | 13.5.3.1 | 17 | 13.5.3.3 |
| 27 | 3.5.7; 3.8.1; 8.7 | 19 | 3.4; 12.1.4; 13.1.1 |
| 28 | 3.5.3 | 20 | 13.1.1 |
| 31 | 4.2; 9.1.1; 9.1.2 | 22 | 7.1.9.1 |
| 32 | 9.1.2; 9.3 | 24 | 13.1.1 |
| 33 | 5.1; 12.4 | 26 | 13.5.1.1; 13.5.3.4 |
| 34 | 2.1.9; 5.1; 11.1 | 28 | 2.2.1; 5.4; 6.6.2; 7.3; 13.2 .9 |
| 37 | 3.6 | 29 | 3.5.2; 12.1.4 |
| 38 | 11.2; 13.6 | 30 | 3.3 |
| 41 | 8.16 | 34 | 7.1.10.3; 13.4.1 |
| 42 | 12.3 | 36 | 5.5.5; 11.2; 13.6 |
| 43 | 3.1; 8.6 | 37 | 7.1.10.2; 8.3 |
| 44 | 7.3.1 | 39 | 3.1; 3.5.3; 5.5.3; 10.1 |
| 46 | 5.1; 8.9; 12.4 | 40 | 3.2.3; 8.12, n. 15 |
| 48 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 41 | 3.1; 13.6 |
| 50 | 11.6 | 42 | 5.5.3 |
| 51 | 11.6 | 43 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 52 | 7.1.1; 7.1.5 | 44 | 11.2; 13.2.5; 13.5.2 |
|  |  | 45 | 12.5.1 |
| Text 98 |  | 46 | 7.1.3 |
| 1 | 3.8.4; 6.5.4, n. 71; 7.2.10, n. 82; | 47 | 12.2 |
|  | 7.3.2; 11.4; 13.3.1.2 | 48 | 5.5.3 |
| 2 | 13.2.3 | 49 | 11.2 |
| 3 | 13.3.1.2 | 50 | 8.2; 11.8 |
| 4 | 7.3; 12.4; 13.2.4 | 52 | 3.7; 3.7, n. 26; 9.2 |
| 6 | 4.2 | 53 | 10.5 |
| 7 | 5.1; 13.1 | 55 | 3.2.1 |
| 8 | 5.1; 7.1.2; 7.2.11, n. 91; 13.2.8 | 56 | 7.1.8, n. 25 |
| 9 | 3.8.1; 9.1.1 (2×); 11.6 |  |  |
| 10 | 7.1.4; 7.1.9.1; 7.2.3; 12.5.14 (2×); | Text 100 |  |
|  | 13.3.1; 13.4.3 | 1 | 4.2; 13.4.1 |
| 11 | 3.5.4; 7.1.4, n. 15; 7.1.9.1; 9.1.1; 13.1.1; | 2 | 3.2.3; 7.1.9.2 |
|  | 13.4.3 | 4 | 3.5.5; 13.5.1.1 |
| 12 | 7.1.4; 7.1.6; 11.6; 13.4 .3 (2×) | 5 | 3.1; 13.5.1.1 |
| 13 | 4.6; 7.1.5; 11.6 | 6 | 13.5.3.1 |
| 15 | 3.5.2; 13.2.1; 13.2.7; 13.5.3.2 | 7 | 12.5.15 (2×) |
| Text 99 |  | Text 101 |  |
| 1 | 9.3; 12.1.3; 13.5.3.3 | 2 | 3.1 |
| 2 | 8.2; 13.5-3.3 | 3 | 3.1 |
| 3 | 7.1.8 | 8 | 7.1.3; 11.7 |
| 4 | 8.3 | 10 | 7.1.3; 11.2; 12.5.18; 13.5.1.1 |


| 12 | 11.2 | 8 | 9.1.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 8.13; 11.7 | 9 | 3.7 |
| 14 | 8.13 | 10 | 9.1.2; 9.1.3; 12.1.1 |
| 15 | 7.1.10.1; 12.2.1 | 17 | 11.2 |
| 16 | 12.2.1 | 18 | 12.5.4 |
| 17 | 7.1.9.1; 12.5.1; 13.1.1 | 19 | 13.5.3.1 |
| 18 | 7.1.3; 7.1.10.2; 13.5.1 | 21 | 9.1.3 |
| 19 | 13.1.1; 13.4.1 | 23 | 8.17 |
|  |  | 24 | 3.8.1; 9.1.3 |
| Text 102 |  | 25 | 9.1.2 |
| 1 | 7.1.3; 7.2.8; 12.5.15 (2×) | 27 | 9.1.3 |
| 3 | 7.1.5 | 28 | 3.5.2; 6.5.4, n. 67; 13.1.1 |
| 4 | 2.1.7, n. 12; 13.5.1.1 | 29 | 6.5.4, n. 67; 7.1.7; 8.15; 9.1.1 (2×) |
| 10 | 7.1.1 | 30 | 3.5.3 |
| 11 | 8.12; 13.2.5 | 31 | 8.3 |
| 16 | $6.1 .3, \mathrm{n} .10$ | 32 | 3.5.3 |
|  |  | 34 | 3.8.1 |
| Text 103 |  | 35 | 3.4 |
| 1 | 7.3.2; 13.1.1; 13.2.4 | 36 | 3.5.4; 4.3.3, n. 26 |
| 2 | 5.4; 7.2.7; 8.20 | 37 | 3.8.1, n. 28 |
| 3 | 3.5.2 | 38 | 3.5.1 |
| 7 | 8.13 | 39 | 3.5.3; 13.4.4 |
| Text 104 |  | Text 105 |  |
| 1 | 13.5.3.3 | 1 | 9.5; 13.3.1.2 |
| 2 | 7.2.9, n. 61 | 2 | 4.5 |
| 3 | 8.12, n. 15; 10.5; 10.5, n. 8 |  |  |
| 4 | 7.1.8 | Text 106 |  |
| 5 | 4.2 | 3 | 12.5.11 |
| 6 | 9.1.1 | 5 | 13.2.5 |

## Index of Select Mehri Words

Most function words and particles can be found using the Table of Contents, but for those grammatical particles that are not, or for those that are treated in more than one section, it is convenient to have a separate index. Many of the words treated in only one section of the grammar (like some of the particles treated in Chapters 8 and 12) are not listed below.

```
äd 7.1.9.1; 12.5.1; 13.3.2
    negative l-äd 13.2.4;13.2.7;13.2.8
    negative m-\overline{a}d\quad13.2.5
әд- 12.1.6; 13.4.2
    (see also under д-)
ahād 3.5.1
    kāl ahād 3.5.3
aktēer 5.4
am- 8.15;10.1
`ámlak('əmk) 7.1.10.2; 12.5.5
ar 8.1; 12.5.4; 13.2.4
\overline{a}wēṣ
axáss 5.4
axáyr 5.4
\overline{a}zūm 7.3.3, n. 111; 8.2
'áynat 4.6;5.5.1
```

$b-$
for possession 13.3 .2
preposition 8.2
shift to $m \quad$ 2.1.9
bāl 4.6
balá(š) 8.22
bor 2.3; 4.6; 12.5.7
ber 2.3; 12.5.7; 13.5.3.1; 13.5.3.2; 13.5.3.3
д-
assimilation 2.1.7
complementizer 13.5.1.1; 13.5.1.2
genitive exponent $\quad 12.4$
relative pronoun 3.8.1
verbal prefix 7.1.10; 13.2.1
with numbers 9.1.4; 9.3
(see also under $k \bar{a} \boldsymbol{l}$ )
$\dot{g}$ grūb 7.1.10.2; 7.2.1; 7.2.1, n. 31
$\dot{\text { gayr }} \quad 3.5 \cdot 7 ; 8.7$
h-
assimilation 2.1.3
preposition 8.8;8.12

```
    for possession 13.3.2
    non-occurrence 2.1.3
hāl 8.9
    man hāl 3.8.4;8.9
hīs
    preposition 8.1o
    temporal conjunction 13.5.3.3
hānōh 7.1.10.2
hōg\partialt 3.5.7
haláy 12.5.11
hวnöf- 3.6; 4.4, n. }3
hyyálla 3.5.6
k-
    preposition 8.11
    for possession 13.3.1
kēf 11, n. 2
kāl 3.5.3;5:5.3
    kālahād 3.5.3
    kāl ð- 3.8.2
    kālttāt}\quad3.5.
    kāl śy̆yn 3.5.4
kīnaḥ 10.2
l-
    loss 2.1.5
    for possession 13.3.2
    preposition 8.8;8.12
    shift to w 2.1.5
    verbal prefix 7.1.3
l-ädēd 5.5.4
l-ägarē 8.22;13.5.2
alhān 3.8.3
al-hīs
    preposition 8.10
    conjunction 13.5.3.3
lawb 12.2.1; 12.5.13
m-\overline{a}d\quad13.2.5
makā 13.2.6
mәn 2.1.9;2.3;8.13;13.2.5;13.2.6
```

```
man hāl (see hāl)
mənēdəm 2.1.9;3.5.7;4.3
mot 13.5.3.1
nōf (see ḥənōf-)
nūka 2.1.3; 2.2; 2.3;7.2.9;8.2
\(t-\)
        assimilation 2.1.8
        loss of (verbal prefix) 2.1.6
        pronominal d.o. marker 3.3
t \(\varepsilon\)
    conjunction 13.5.3.2
    preposition 8.19
    in purpose clauses 13.5 .2
(ot)tōli 10.3; 13.5.3.2
taw 10.4
țāt 3.5.1; 5.3; 9.1.1
        \(k \bar{a} l t ̣ a ̄ t \quad 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3\)
waḥś- 3.6;10.4
wakōna 10.5
wīka
    as a copula 13.1.1
```

    conjugation 7.2.9; 7.2.13
    with \(\bar{a} d \quad\) 7.1.9.1; 12.5.1
    with compound tenses \(\quad 7.1 .9 .1\)
    wal \(\bar{\varepsilon} \quad 12.1 .4 ; 12.5 .21\)
    wāyan 10.5
    \(\begin{array}{ll}x \bar{a} r & 5.4\end{array}\)
    xass 5.4
xas(s) $\quad 5 \cdot 4$
$x \bar{a} s \quad 10.5$
xáṣṣn 10.5
xaṭarāt $\quad 10.3 ; 12.5 \cdot 15$
$y \bar{a}$
conjunction 12.1.5
exclamatory 12.2
vocative 12.3
yдkūn
as a copula 13.1.2
with compound tenses 7.1.9.2
yass $\quad 7.1 .3 ; 8.13 ; 13.2 .5 ; 13.5 .1$
zōyad 5.4, n. 19; 12.5.1; 13.2.4; 13.2.7


[^0]:    1 Overviews of the MSA languages and dialects can be found in Johnstone (1975), Lonnet (1985; 2006), and Simeone-Senelle (1997; 2011).

    2 Wellsted (1835a). Wellsted briefly discusses the language on pp. 211-212, and a word-list appears on pp. 220-229. Much of the data, with abundant printing errors, is found also in Wellsted (1835b: 165-166). It seems that Wellsted falsely claimed credit for collecting at least some of this data (see Haines 1845: 110).

[^1]:    A fifth part of this study (published as three, 1914b-1915b), though important, mostly contains re-published texts and commentary.
    A study of Thomas's data was made by Leslau (1947).
    11 Thomas (1932) is a fascinating account of his travels in Arabia, including his time among speakers of Modern South Arabian languages. Interestingly, Johnstone's texts 42, 75, and 76 are similar to stories that Thomas recounts on pp. 246-251, 239-242, and 219-222, respectively. Thomas's accounts of various beliefs and customs also find parallels in Johnstone's texts.
    12 Already Thomas (1932: 143) refers to the dwindling numbers of the Batḥari tribe.

[^2]:    13 Fresnel (1838b: 82) mentioned the existence of a definite article $a$-in Jibbali, but this seems to have been forgotten or disregarded.
    14 Janet Watson, who also consulted with Ali during her visits to Oman, kindly put me in contact with him. He and I, with the help of Saeed al-Mahri, corresponded in Mehri by letter (sometimes also recorded onto audio) over a period of about three years, and once we even spoke by telephone. One Jibbali story that Ali provided me with was published in JLO. Sadly, Ali passed away in February, 2013, around the age of sixty-seven.

[^3]:    See Rubin (2011) for an overview and more examples of dialectal differences. Watson (2012), which is a study of two different dialects, also has abundant examples, including many tables comparing forms in Omani Mehri and Eastern Yemeni Mehri.
    21 The Yemeni forms are adapted from Jahn (1902: 90, 96 ). On the suffix -am, see Lonnet (2005a: 191-195).
    ML (s.v. hrm ) defines Omani Mehri harmēt as 'widow; poor, defenseless woman'. The word occurs once in our texts (48:22), with the general meaning 'woman', but text 48 was translated from Yemeni Mehri, and has several Yemeni lexemes.
    23 Confusion over this verb is the subject of text 71. See especially the comment to text 71:2. Texts 59 and 80 also deal specifically with misunderstandings due to dialectal differences in vocabulary.

[^4]:    and that there is some degree of mutual intelligibility between Ḥarsusi and Baț̣̣ari. On the other hand, Mehri speakers find Bațhari quite unintelligible.

    29
    For discussions of the subgrouping of the Semitic languages, see Rubin (2008a), Huehnergard and Rubin (2011), and Kogan (2015).

[^5]:    30 A minority of scholars, most notably David Cohen and Antoine Lonnet, have suggested that the MSA imperfective form (e.g., Mehri yəkūtəb) does in fact stem from yaqtulu, and not from the Proto-Semitic *yVqattVl; cf. Cohen (1974; 1984: 68-75) and Lonnet (2005a: 187-188; 2017). See Goldenberg (1977:475-477; 1979) for an argument against this scenario. It remains for either theory to be proven definitively.
    31 See the excellent study of Kogan (2015) for a lengthy discussion of this issue.
    Although many scholars made such a connection with accompanying doubts, it was the

[^6]:    short article of Porkhomovsky (1997) that has been most influential in disproving this assumption.

    Nebes (1994). Nebes also provides discussion of the history of the debate. Huehnergard (2005).
    This isogloss may be misleading, since the preposition is $h$ - in Mehri (and Harsusi), but her in Jibbali (with the base $h$ - used mainly before suffixes) and often also in Hobyot. In Soqotri the cognate is $e$-. Even if they prepositions are cognate, they may be retentions from Proto-Semitic; see Kogan (2015: 119-120, 556).
    Beeston (1984: 68). The interdentals and dental/alveolar stops have fallen together in the Yemeni Mehri dialect of Qishn and in Soqoṭi (i.e., $\underline{t}>t$ and $\partial>d$ ), but these are internal developments.
    For example, the MSA word for 'one', reflected in Mehri $t \bar{a} d$ (probably from the common

[^7]:    1 Cf. also the allomorph $s^{-}$- of the preposition $k$ - 'with' (§8.11).
    2 Cf . also the roots 'kš, xšl, and $x s ̌ y$. This is not to say that the presence of another guttural always blocks this shift. Cf. meḥḥ 'clarified butter' < *mahḥ < *mašh (Jibbali mašḥ).

[^8]:    3 This rule applies across the MSA languages.

[^9]:    8 Watson (2012: 18) usually recorded a geminate, e.g., ah-hanōfi 'to myself', while Johnstone's texts have just ḥanōfi. See $\S 3.6$ for examples.

[^10]:    9 In Stroomer's edition of the texts, we also find $h$-hāgarīt (68:14), but this reflects an error in Johnstone's transcription. The texts of the Austrian expedition are more inconsistent with the transcription of $h$-before $h$ or $h$, and this has caused some occasional confusion among subsequent scholars. See the brief discussion in Bittner (1913b: 51-52).

[^11]:    awbūd 'he hit, shot' (< 'labūd, cf. yalūbad 'he hits, shoots')
    yowbōs 'he wears' (< "yalbōs, cf. lïbas 'he wore')
    hวwbūs 'he dressed (s.o.)' (< *həlbūs, cf. lïbəs 'he wore')

[^12]:    10 As noted above, initial $l a-$ (like $r \partial$ - and $n ə-$ ) is usually realized $\partial l-$, which is why we see the shift al- > aw- in these last two examples. Then we see the shift awCC-> waCC- in watgōna in order to break up the consonant cluster. See also § 2.2.3.

[^13]:    11 See further in Testen (1998) and the references therein. On Jibbali, see $J L O$ (§ 2.1.9).

[^14]:    13 Curiously, Mehri kabūn is surely cognate with Arabic kamana 'hide'. In Jibbali, we find both the roots $k b n$ and $k m n$, depending on dialect.
    14 Especially Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b).

[^15]:    15 On unstressed $\bar{\iota}(<\partial y)$ in noun plural and other patterns, see Diem (1979: 64-75).

[^16]:    24 However, dabōh seems to be an acceptable variant. See the comment to text 77:3.
    $25 M L$ (s.v. $s r x$ ) has the form sáwrox, but this is likely an error. See further in the comment to text 39:3.
    26 III-' verbs are rare, and are often conjugated on analogy with III-w/y verbs. See further in §7.2.9.

[^17]:    1 There are exceptions found in a couple of idioms. For examples, see the comment to text 36:3.

[^18]:    2 As already noted, the $\partial$ is usually not heard in the dual, 2p, and 3p forms (e.g., háybham 'their father'). The diphthong $a y$ is present in what appears to be a closed syllable, but, in fact, it is in an open syllable in the underlying form háybaham.
    3 This was first explained by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a).
    4 The suffixed forms of $t e \bar{t}$ may also have an initial geminate $t$ (e.g., (a)ttēte 'my wife'), reflecting the definite article (§ 2.1.8; §4.4).

[^19]:    5 The suffixed forms of xalūt may also have an initial geminate $x$ (e.g., (a)xxaláwti 'my mother-in-law'), reflecting the definite article (§ 2.1.8; § 4.4).
    6 On the noun méśi 'excrement' with a ics possessive suffix, see the comment to text 99:55.

[^20]:    imperative with an object suffix, but this was just a mistranslation (followed by Stroomer

[^21]:    10 There are just four certain dual forms with suffixes in the texts, two of which are given in this section ( $65: 12 ; 84: 6)$. The other two can be found in texts $35: 17$ and 94:41.
    11 This could also be parsed as a 3 ms perfect (< *sabṭīs), but a dual fits the context.

[^22]:    12 Since all Mehri 2 ms and 3 fs imperfects are identical, we can use tagárbah 'she knows him' as evidence for taǵárbah 'you (ms) knowhim', to make the point that the gender distinction of these $2 s$ imperfects is lost when suffixes are added.
    13 Note that while the diphthong ay of 2fs tháymi is reduced to á in the suffixed base thóm-, the vowel of 2 mp thaym (< "tháymz) is unaffected. Cf. tháymi 'you (mp) want me' (29:13), tháyman 'you (mp) want us' (6o:5), and tháymas 'you (mp) want her' (65:9).

[^23]:    in this dialect. However, in other dialects there is variation in the vowel (Rubin 2011: 74; Watson 2012: 69).
    See Rubin (2011: 73-74) and Watson (2012: 201-202).

[^24]:    19 See the comment to this passage in the texts.
    20 A short form of the near demonstrative (alyēh) exists in Yemeni Mehri. Cf. Jahn (1905: 29); Watson (2012: 79).

[^25]:    21 It is possible that aḥād is a borrowing of Arabic $h \bar{a} d$ rather than a retention from earlier Semitic. The adjective waháyd 'solitary, lone' (10:12) is from Arabic wahīd.

[^26]:    25 This is not to say that the idiom is verbal in origin. No G-Stem verb (which would give a base waḥs'-) is attested, though ML lists an Šı-Stem šawḥáws' 'feel lonely'. Cf. also the Arabic C-Stem 'awḥaša 'be deserted; be lonely'.

[^27]:    27 A plural relative pronoun la- is attested in some Yemeni dialects; cf. Jahn (1905: 28) and Bittner (1913a: 55).

[^28]:    28 In general, a resumptive pronoun is used whenever a direct object precedes the verb, regardless of whether or not a relative clause is involved. Cf. kāl kabáyliyahárban tah 'they fought any tribesman' (104:37).

[^29]:    29 The verb wátx(ə)f 'come to (in the evening)' takes a direct object.

[^30]:    32 Watson $(2012: 387,401)$ also recorded the particle $h \bar{a} n$ used with the functions of relative man hāl in Omani Mehri.

[^31]:    1 Johnstone suggested (1975a: 20) that the -ōt suffix is characteristic of trisyllabic nouns, while - $\bar{e} t$ is characteristic of disyllabic nouns. This does not seem to hold up.

    2 The word kalōn can be qualified to remove ambiguity, and so we find tēt kalōn 'bride' (9:7),

[^32]:    aġaggīt kalōn 'bride' (75:7), and aǵáyg katōn 'groom' (9:8; 75:10). But cf. sē katōn 'she is a bride' (75:6) and nūka haynīt tawōli kalōn 'the women came to the bride' (9:7).
    3 In 7:4, the masculine singular sēhar must refer to one of the people referred to as sawēhor elsewhere in this text ( $7: 3,7: 7$ ), though sawēhar is grammatically feminine. The word sēhar in other passages in this text is an abstract noun meaning 'magic, witchcraft' (7:1, $7: 5$, and $7: 8$ ).

[^33]:    4 More examples can be found in Watson (2012: 139).
    5 In this example, the base gát- could derive either from the singular ǵayt or the plural gáwtən, but the suffix -he clearly shows that this is a plural form (cf. aǵátzh 'his sister' and agáthe 'his sisters').

    6 These last two examples were elicited from Saeed al-Qumairi, a native speaker of Yemeni Mehri.

[^34]:    7 We see this order preference too when there is a compound subject that is semantically dual. Cf. hōh wa-śśōx mánan tah 'the big one and I held him back' (91:7, with the semantically dual compound subject first, followed by a plural verb) and sayárki hōh wə-sədáyki 'my friend and I went' (18:8, with the dual verb first, followed by the semantically dual compound subject).
    8 See, for example, the comments to texts $5: 3,17: 1,35: 17,35: 22,76: 4$, and $77: 10$, among others.

[^35]:    14 Johnstone (1975a: 20) has the definition 'eyebrow' for gafōn, but that is an error.
    15 The singular zəwōd normally has plural or collective meaning; cf. texts 12:3 and 24:11.
    $16 M L$ (s.v. 'gz) gives $\bar{a} g \bar{o} y \partial z$ as the plural of $\bar{a} g a ́ w z$, and lists $\bar{a} g z o ̄ n$ as a collective. In the texts, only $\bar{a} g z \bar{o} n$ is used for the plural.

[^36]:    19 See, for example, the extensive coverage of Jahn (1905: 35-63).
    20 This also includes passive participles; see § 7.1.8.
    21 It is sometimes unclear from the audio evidence whether the plural is really $\bar{a} s ̣ a ́ w r ~ o r ~ a ̄ s ̣ o ̄ r . ~$ Both forms are given in ML. Watson (2012) has only the former.

[^37]:    $x \partial d d \bar{m} m i ~ ‘ h a r d-w o r k i n g ’ ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ a t t e s t e d ~(34: 4) . ~ J a h n ~(1902: ~ 1905) ~ l i s t s ~ s g . ~ x o ̄ d ə m, ~ p l . ~ x a d e ̄ m ~$ (cf. Arabic xādim, pl. xuddām).
    29 The definite article in Mehri was only first suggested (tentatively) by Thomas (1937: 243 [17]), who was the first to collect data on Omani Mehri. Matthews (1962) was aware of the definite article, but his work was not widely known. Johnstone (1970a) published the first real description of the definite article in Mehri. Sima (2002a) is an important study of the issue.

[^38]:    45 Watson (2009) is a study of the construct state and other genitive constructions in Yemeni Mehri. The subject is treated also in Watson (2012: 177-182).
    46 When a possessive suffix is added, the phrase 'cousin' always has the definite article, e.g., bar hadáydas 'her cousin' (75:1). On the other hand, bar-ḡā does not, e.g., bar-gāh 'his nephew (from his brother)' (76:2) and bar-ğ́tzh 'his nephew (from his sister)' (76:13). When the words are plural, we find the exact opposite: báni dáydi 'my cousins' (83:7), but báni agátk 'your nephews' (31:6). See also the comment to báni dáydi in text 83:7.

[^39]:    1 One adjective, nōb 'big (f.)', takes the lexical definite article har-, for reasons discussed in § 4.4. On possible etymologies of this word, see Kogan (2015: 577, n. 1501).

[^40]:    2 More examples can be found in Watson (2012: 139).

[^41]:    3 In $M L$ (s.v. $f k r$ ), the fs form is given as fakáyr, identical to the ms form. This is obviously a mistake (cf. n. 5, below). The fs form should be fakáyrət; cf. Jahn (1902: 177) for Yemeni Mehri. $H L$ (s.v. $f(\underset{r}{ }$ ) also lists a distinct fs form for Ḥarsusi. Nakano (1986: 55; 2013: 111) does not list a distinct feminine form for Yemeni Mehri or for Hobyot, but this is likely just a lacuna.
    4 In $M L$ (s.v. $n k d$ ), no mp form is given. This is presumably just an oversight. Only the fp form occurs in the texts (87:4).
    5 In $M L$ (s.v. $\underline{t} k l$ ), the fs form is given as ta $\begin{aligned} & \text { káyl, identical to the ms form (cf. n. 3, above). This is }\end{aligned}$ certainly a mistake. We expect fs ț takáylat, though I found a distinct fs form (țáklət) recorded in one of Johnstone's handwritten notes (kindly loaned to me by Antoine Lonnet). For Yemeni Mehri, Jahn (1902: 231) has fs takéylat and Nakano (1986:149) has fs tkílt, both of which would support Omani takáylat.

[^42]:    6 Though the word masláym is derived from an Arabic passive participle, I do not consider it so in Mehri, since it is a borrowing from Arabic, and since it does not decline like a passive participle. The fs form is masawmēt, while passive participles normally have fs forms ending in -ōt.
    7 The fs form kənnát (< *kənnátt < *kənnánt < *kannáwn-t) shows assimilation of $n$, as does the fp form kannáttan, though the latter does not appear in the texts.
    8 When the final root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic (§2.1.2), we get ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}$ CCáyCt $>$ CəCCáCt, as in nagást < "nagáyst ( ms nagáys) and xfáft < "xfáyft ( $\mathrm{ms} x f a ́ y f$ ).
    9 Many of the fs and mp forms discussed in this paragraph are taken from $M L$, not from the texts, and so they need confirmation.

[^43]:    13
    Rood (2017: 205), who worked with speakers of Eastern Yemeni Mehri, found two additional adjectives with no inflection that are not included in ML: hēbor 'spicy' (cf. Jibbali $h \bar{\varepsilon} r$, listed in $J L$ under the root hyr) and haśm (perhaps hāázm) 'unpleasant tasting' (originally 'phlegm'; cf. ML, s.v. ḥśm, which lists the meaning 'unpleasant taste' for Jibbali).

[^44]:    14 In the phrase țāt háywal, ṭāt is acting as a noun, and háywal is an attributive adjective modifying that noun. If háywal were a substantive and ṭāt were a numeral, then the phrase would be hááywal t tāt.
    15 On the effect of glottalics or a glottalic plus $r / l / w$, see further in $\S 2.2 .1$ and $\S$ 2.2.3.
    16 The two occurrences of aktēr in the texts both involve the same idiom, l-ād k-X aktēr lā, meaning 'have no more (strength/energy)'. Watson (2012: 173, 212, 217, 364, 442) provides several examples of its use as a real comparative.

[^45]:    Some colloquial Arabic dialects do have a comparative form of xayr, e.g., Egyptian axyar 'better', though it is not normally the usual way to express the sense of 'better'. Davey (2016: 92, n. 25) suggests that the increased use of $a x \bar{e} r$ 'better' in Dhofari Arabic, in place of $x \bar{e} r$ 'better', is due to influence from Mehri axáyr and Jibbali axér.
    In each of the three attested cases (31:3; 37:18; 42:14), xār is followed by the preposition $h$-, and the meaning is 'it is better for $X$ that'; that is, it is not found in a simple comparative phrase. However, there are seven examples of axáyr followed by $h$ - used with the identical meaning (e.g., 28:19; 50:5). Jahn (1905: 69) also has xār.

[^46]:    23 This phrase is translated in Stroomer's edition as 'every witch', following Johnstone's own translation. This translation is proven incorrect not only by the fact that $k \bar{a} l$ follows the noun, but also because the following verb yaṣs is feminine plural.

[^47]:    24 In Stroomer's edition, the translation incorrectly reads 'the women of the whole town', which in Mehri would be haaynit̄ $ð$-arḥabēt kállas. Earlier manuscript translations by Johnstone have the correct translation.

[^48]:    1 In Yemeni Mehri dialects, the suffix -am is usually used with strong verbs as well, along with or in place of ablaut.
    2 The initial $l a$ - of the ics and ıcd subjunctive and conditional can also be realized al-, e.g., ics subjunctive $a l-b(a) g e ̄ d$ and ics subjunctive $a l-k t e ̄ b$.
    3 Johnstone recorded a suffix -áyzn for the dual conditional of all stems. No relevant forms occur in the texts, but Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral recorded a geminate $y$ in the course of their fieldwork. As Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b) observed, a suffix -áyən, with a short vowel in a stressed open syllable, should not be allowed (§ 2.2). See also the final note to the table of suffixes in § 7.1.2, as well as § 7.1.2, n. 6 .

[^49]:    4 The initial la- of the 1 cs and 1 1cd subjunctive and conditional can also be realized $a l-$, e.g., ics subjunctive al-ktōb.
    5 The a of the prefix is only epenthetic here and in the other second- and third-person forms indicated in this paradigm, because the initial consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic. For a verb with an initial voiced or glottalic consonant, a phonemic a would be present, e.g., təwtō $\dot{g}$ 'you may be killed' (< *təltōg, 94:25).
    $6 M L$ (p. xxii) gives the $2 f$ fs subjunctive form $\operatorname{tark} \bar{o} z$ (Johnstone used the paradigm root $r k z$ ), but I assume that this is a mistake. The expected form is torkáyzi, identical with the imperfect. Cf. the Gb imperfect and subjunctive form totِbáyri (§6.1.3). Unfortunately, no relevant forms are attested in the texts.

    7 The vowel after the second root consonant in this case is just epenthetic. The underlying pattern is CəCCēt; cf. xəwkāt 'she was born' (< *xəlkēt, 38:12).

[^50]:    8 The fact that the imperfect and subjunctive are identical is the result of a sound change internal to Mehri (and Ḥarsusi). Evidence from other MSA languages shows that the Gb imperfect and subjunctive were distinct in proto-MSA. See Rubin (2015b: 324-325) and Dufour (2016).
    9 The initial la- of the 1 cs and icd subjunctive and conditional can also be realized al-, e.g., ics subjunctive al-nśōz.

[^51]:    10 Relatively few Gb-Stem imperatives are attested. The fs is normally of the shape naśáyzi, but when an object suffix is added, the diphthong reduces to a, as expected (§ 2.2; § 3.2.3), e.g., matáli 'be like me!' (102:16). No plural Gb-Stem imperatives are attested in the texts.

[^52]:    11 On the Ga-Stem forms of this verb, see the comment to text 97:7.
    12 Watson (2012: 83) calls this stem simply the L-Stem, and still other terminology (Stem II, Ȟ2-Stem, etc.) can be found in the works of other scholars. I use the term D/L-Stem for the historical reasons discussed in $\S 6.2 .1$, and because borrowed Arabic D- and L-Stems (Forms II and III) are both incorporated into this stem.

[^53]:    13 The dual suffix - ${ }^{2} h$ of the perfect and subjunctive is from an underlying -ēh <-ē; see § 2.2.4.
    14 The subjunctive, which has the same base as the 3 ms perfect, has the more predictable shape (a)CáCC- before suffixes, with the regular loss of $a(\S 2.2$.5) and reduction of $\bar{o}>a ́$ (§ 2.2), e.g., tfášl ' you embarrass me' (76:18; cf. tfōšal 'you embarrass').

[^54]:    18 See § 6.5.4, n. 64 for a second possible example.
    19 This verb, which occurs in text 43:11, is no doubt borrowed from the Arabic L-Stem sā‘ada 'help'.

[^55]:    21
    The distribution of this prefix was first correctly explained in the very thorough study of
    Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014a). There are about a dozen exceptions to the rule found in

[^56]:    $M L$ (out of more than 500 verbs), and these are listed by Bendjaballah and Ségéral. None of the exceptions occur in the texts, making them suspect.
    22 The rules for the appearance of $h$ - are essentially the same as those for the prefix $a$ - of the D/L-Stem (§6.2) and the definite article $a$ - (§ 4.4).
    23 The 2fs subjunctive and fs imperative forms should correctly be without the suffix -i, but younger speakers now use forms like thárkabi (with the suffix and no ablaut) on analogy with other verb stems. See further in the comment to text 24:6.

[^57]:    $29 M L$ (s.v. lṣ̂k) has həwṣūk., but this is an error for həwṣáwk; cf. the 3 mp perfect həwṣáyḳ, attested in text 17:9.
    $M L$ (s.v. $m l^{\prime} / m l y$ ) lists an H-Stem perfect $m l \bar{u}$, but this is an error. The correct form hamlōh appears in text 48:18. On the variant forms of the Ga-Stem, see § 7.2.9.
    ML (s.v. $\partial r v$ ) lists a 3 ms perfect ðáyar', clearly a typo for ðáyra', which is still very likely a error. The form should be Jīra (like wīka); cf. dīre in Jahn (1902: 173), with $d$ for $\partial$ in his Yemeni Mehri dialect.

[^58]:    Müller's version of the story (Müller 1902: 119) as an H-Stem passive. The Jibbali version ( JLO, p. 562, text 97:16) also has an H-Stem passive.
    Verbs with the pattern istaffa'ala (a CtD-Stem) are also occasionally found in colloquial Arabic dialects, including outside of Southern Arabia, though it is not a productive stem like the MSA Š2-Stem.
    Holes (2005) discusses some developments of the istaf'ala (Form X) in Gulf Arabic, but with no reference to MSA languages.

[^59]:    55 See the comment to text 94:13.
    56 See the comment to text 14:6. On the form of the H-Stem, see § 6.3.1, n. 35 .
    57 With certain first root consonants, there seems to be some free variation between the patterns $\partial C t \partial C \bar{u} C$ and $C \partial t C \bar{u} C$. See, for example, the comment to text 29:5.

[^60]:    69 See the comment on $\bar{a} t w \bar{l} ð$ in text 70:2.

[^61]:    73 See the comment on astawōd in text 99:46.
    74 See the comment to text 48:11.

[^62]:    79 The verb appears in $M L$ under the root $k l$, but the root must be $k w l$, and the underlying form "ənkzw'ül; the first $w$ of ankzwáwl is the radical, while the second is the result of the shift $\bar{u}>a ́ w$, caused by the underlying guttural (see § 2.2.2). JL presents the root as $k l /$ kw\%.
    80 Another, pure Arabic verbal form in the texts is trággab 'he was delighted' (22:40) < Arabic táajjaba (colloquial trajjab).
    81 Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral confirmed $n n k a f i r u ̄ r$ and $ə n s ̣ ə h \bar{e} w \bar{u}(w)$ in the course of their fieldwork in Oman, but their informants did not recognize anhaṣī $\bar{u} b$. They also recorded NQw anzhaw'ūu'slide over a surface'; cf. Qw zhawlūl in $M L$ (s.v. zhwll), which their informants did not recognize.

[^63]:    82 In the one place this occurs in the texts (76:15), Ali pronounced it šadérbaš on the audio recording.
    83 Some prefer to transcribe $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \bar{u} C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$ in place of $C_{1} \partial C_{2} \partial w C_{3} \bar{u} C_{3}$, e.g., śxūū̄l for śx $x \partial w \bar{u} \bar{u}$. In Ali Musallam's speech, at least, śxzwlūl is a more faithful transcription.

[^64]:    1 We know from other forms that the object suffixes themselves have no underlying vowel. Cf.

[^65]:    wazmáthəm 'she gave them' (< "wazamūt-ham); or wazáwmən 'they gave us' (< "wazáwmə$n$ ), but wazmátan 'she gave us' (< "wazamūt-n). On the object suffixes, see §3.2.3. The same underlying final -a is found in the Jibbali 3mp perfect ( JLO, §3.2.3), while in Bathari, the original -u remains, e.g., 'emōru 'they said', mātu 'they died', nōka'u 'they came', and rēkabu 'they mounted' (Fabio Gasparini, p.c.).
    2 That is to say, there are verbs for which we must have an underlying - $\bar{u} t$ or $-\bar{o} t$, which we can prove based on forms with a guttural or glottalic before the suffix; the vowel $\bar{u}$ shifts to $a w$ after a guttural or glottalic (see § 2.2.1 and § 2.2.2), while $\bar{o}$ does not. Also, we can tell based on forms with reduced vowels, since when an object suffix is added, $-\bar{u} t$ reduces to - $\partial t-$, while $-\bar{o} t$ reduces to -át-. Having said that, with a small number of verb types (T2-Stems, and perhaps some geminate verbs), there seems to be some variation.
    3 Sabrina Bendjaballah first brought my attention to the distribution of these 3 fs suffixes, based on her own fieldwork data. Our text data confirm her findings.

[^66]:    4 In at least some Yemeni Mehri dialects, $-m$ replaces $-n$ in the $2 m p$ and $3 m p$ forms, and so they are distinct from their singular counterparts.
    5 We know from other forms that the suffixes themselves have no underlying vowel, e.g., tháms 'you want her' (< *thōm-s). On the object suffixes, see §3.2.3. Note also that Baṭhari retains a final $-u$ in the $2 / 3 \mathrm{mp}$ imperfect, e.g., thāā 'you (mp) want', yahā $\bar{m} u$ 'they want', and $t x a \bar{a} d a m u$ 'you work' (Fabio Gasparini, p.c.).
    6 The gemination in this form, along with the phonological problem of -áyan (the suffix recorded by Johnstone) was first recognized by Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b). No relevant forms are attested in Johnstone's, but Bendjaballah and Ségéral recorded forms with gemination in the course of their fieldwork.

[^67]:     future dabhōna.

    8 There is some discussion of this use of the imperfect in Wagner (1953: 44-47; 2001: 342-343).

[^68]:    In a very few places in the texts, ics subjunctives are missing the prefix $l$-. Such are $a n k \varepsilon^{\prime}$ (18:4), ardéh (20:27), aklēk (20:37), frēh (33:5). See the comments to these examples in the texts.

[^69]:    11 On the verb foṣáh, see the comment to text 94:2.
    12 When this is negated, it means 'it's better for $X$ not to', as in the sample sentence from 42:14. On the variants axáyr and $x \bar{a} r$, see $\S 5 \cdot 4, \mathrm{n} .18$.

[^70]:    13 See further in the comments to texts $26: 15$ and $85: 7$.

[^71]:    14 All dual conditional forms have the suffix -áyyan; see §6.1.1, n. 3 .
    15 This passage is repeated nearly verbatim in 98:11.
    16 We would expect the form to be $l$-дkwïran, but Johnstone ( $M L$, $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xxx}$ ) took this as a variant form. It could also be parsed as a D/L-Stem imperfect, though we would still not expect the loss of the $w$.

[^72]:    17 There is variation with the initial vowel of the subjunctive and imperative forms of I-w verbs (see §7.2.3). So, for example, the ms imperative of wazūm can be either azēm or $\bar{a} z e \bar{m}$. I have used forms with initial ${ }^{-}$-, but all of the forms given in the table could also have initial $\bar{a}$-.

[^73]:    18 On the historical development of the future, both in form and function, see Rubin (2007). On its form, see also Lonnet (1994b).
    19 There are no feminine dual futures in the texts, but the forms found in the paradigms in $M L$ (pp. lxix-lxxi) have been confirmed by Watson (2012: 99).
    20 On Yemeni future forms in general, see Jahn (1905: 84), Bittner (191: 25-26), and Watson (2012: 99). On the feminine plural form CəCCátna, see Lonnet (1994b: 234).

[^74]:    21 Watson (2012: 99-100) recorded the fd suffix -awti for derived stems. I wonder if younger speakers have replaced older -ēti with -áwti on analogy with the G-Stem, as the dual forms grow even more obscure.
    There are some differences in other forms, as well, such as the use of the suffix - $a$ for ms forms of the future of derived stems, and the lack of - $a$ in the G-Stem. See further in Rubin (2011: 72), Watson (2012: 99-100), and especially Lonnet (1994b: 234-244).

[^75]:    24 Some weak verbs types have the feminine suffix -ōt in place of $-\bar{u} t$.
    25 The feminine plural məśabbōt in 99:56 (habēr məśabbōt 'satisfied camels') is an Arabized form.

[^76]:    29 Pennacchietti (2007) is an important study on the origin of the verbal prefix $\partial$-, though the data available to him were limited. Other previous studies include Wagner (1953: 120-121) and Simeone-Senelle (2003: 247-250).

[^77]:    30 For examples of 'ámlak meaning 'I think' (and its shorter variant ' $\partial m k$ ) without the prefix $\partial$-, see texts $26: 6,28: 2,28: 18$, and 91:8. Watson (2012: 94) also recorded only 1 cs forms, mainly with the prefix $\partial$-.

[^78]:    31 In Jibbali, the cognate ġarób also behaves differently than other I-Guttural verbs. See JLO (§7.4.2 and §7.4.10). For an etymology of this verb, see Bulakh (2013), and for a semantic study, see Bulakh and Kogan (2014).

[^79]:    $403 \mathrm{mp} y \bar{a}$ ṣáwṣ is also found in the texts, a form which stems from an analogy with Ga-Stem geminate verbs. See the comment to text 15:2.
    $41 M L$ (s.v. $y \underset{̣ s ̣)}{ }$ actually has yzhṣōs, but this must be a mistranscription for yahṣáwṣ. Confusion of $\bar{o}$ and $a w($ and $\bar{u})$ is rampant in $M L$.

[^80]:    An exception, according to $M L$ (s.v. $r \underline{h} k$ ), is rịhok, whose perfect looks like that of a strong Gb-Stem verb. The texts have only a Ga-Stem rahāk. (cf. 1cp perfect raḥākan in text 26:2).

[^81]:    54
    For the 3 ms imperfects, we find in the texts H-Stem yahaṣáwb (30:8) and Šı-Stem yašṣáwb (95:7). See further in the comments to these passages in the texts.
    Jibbali shows the same metathesis of $\dot{g} w r$ in the Šı-Stem ( $\tilde{z} z b g e ́ r ~ ' r a i d ', ~ w i t h ~ b<~ " w), ~ b u t ~ n o t ~$ with ṣwb ( $\tilde{a} \partial s ̣ b e ́ b)$. Ḥarsusi is the same (šawǵḡr, but šaṣwōb). On Mehri šaṣwūb, see n. 53.

[^82]:    67 The forms in $M L$ are incorrect on this point. See further in the comments to texts 42:28 and 88:2. Also note that the lost $w$ is there underlyingly, since it comes back when the vowel $\bar{o}$ is reduced to $a$, at least in the Š1-Stem. See the comment to text 27:25.

[^83]:    78 One also hears yaśńnyam, which is only a phonetic variant.
    79 There is no evidence at all for the form yaśnēham that is given in $M L$ ( p . xxxii).

[^84]:    8o In the texts, only forms of the perfect are attested: 1cp ráyṣ́zn (77:9), with a Gb-Stem pattern, and raṣawt (8:3), with a Ga-Stem pattern (see also n. 77, above).
    81 On such 1cp forms, see Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2017b: 177-179).

[^85]:    92 For the 3 fs perfect of yass 'be afraid', Sabrina Bendjaballah and her colleagues recently recorded yasssáwt (< "yasssūt), pronounced very clearly on their audio recording, but as a Gb-Stem we expect yasṣōt, as recorded in Watson (2012: 220). This may simply be an analogical form. The 3 fs perfect is not attested in Johnstone's texts, unfortunately. Once in the texts we find 3 mp imperfect yāṣáws, following the Ga-Stem pattern. See also the previous note and the comment to text 16:2.

[^86]:    $98 M L$ (p. xlvii and s.v. $w k^{\wedge}$ ) incorrectly has yzhawk $\bar{a}$. See further in the comment to text 42:28, and also §7.2.9, n. 67 .
    99 The imperfect and subjunctive forms need confirmation. These are based on those given in $M L$ (p. lxiii).

[^87]:    100 See the comment to text 40:18.
    101 It is possible that we should call this a II-w, III-‘ verb, since it does have some features of a III-‘ verb (e.g., 3ms šōda, 1cs šádak), but it still does not look like a typical III-‘ verb or II-w verb in the Ši-Stem.
    102 The subjunctive of the Hobyot, Jibbali, and Soqoṭi cognates of towōh also exhibit differences from other verbs of the same type in those languages. For the forms, see $H V$ (p.47), $J L O$ (§ 7.4.15), and Naumkin et al. (2014: 679).

[^88]:    105 These last two verbs are listed in ML (s.v. šfh/f̣h).
    106 G-Stem forms of $s ̣ b t ̣$ with the $b$ still present are attested in Yemeni Mehri. Cf. Jahn (1902: 174) and Watson (2012: 90).

[^89]:    107 An abridged, and now outdated, version of this section and its sub-sections, with some additional comparative linguistic discussion, was published as Rubin (2009b).
    108 A few perfect-tense forms seem to be attested in the older Yemeni material. Cf. Müller (1902: 2, Genesis 37:8) and Jahn (1902: 157, lines 19 and 28).
    109 Twice in the texts ( $53: 1 ; 85: 2$ ) we find ahōm, but this is likely just a phonetic variant. In the Arabic-letter manuscripts of these two texts, Ali did not indicate the initial $\partial-$, as he normally would have for an initial phonemic a.

[^90]:    110 A non-cohortative example occurs in text 45:11: thámi, hām kask sékanak, l-āmēr hïham hībōh' 'if I find your community, what do you want me to say to them?'

[^91]:    111 The verb $\bar{a} z u \bar{m}$ 'decide; intend' can also have the meaning 'be about to; nearly'. Cf. text 64:9 and 64:26, and see also Watson (2012: 213).

[^92]:    1 Compare this sentence with hōh šay bēr ar xawr 'I have only a few camels' (83:6). These two examples clearly show the overlap between the use of ar described here and the use described in § 12.5.4.

[^93]:    2 Cf. Arabic jā̉a bi- 'bring', from j $\bar{a} ’ a$ 'come'.

[^94]:    3 In Stroomer's edition of the texts, there were several passages with the transcription man $\dot{g} \bar{a} r$, and one with man xayr. These were all errors, as confirmed by the manuscripts and the audio recordings.

[^95]:    4 Only with the future of kalūt is $h$ - more common than $l$ - (four times versus one time in the texts), but this may just be coincidence.
    5 See also the comment to text 94:36 (kalūt hīs (līs)).

[^96]:    6 However, every case of kalūbl- is in the idiom ḳalūbl-X (ba-)salōm 'send greetings to s.o.' (e.g., 20:70; 27:27).
    7 It is possible that this text was mirroring the Jibbali version. In Jibbali, the verb is normally followed by $h$-.

[^97]:    8 Some comparative and etymological discussion of this preposition can be found in Rubin (2009a), though the presentation of the data in this article is now outdated.

[^98]:    9 Watson (2012: 119) records several additional phrases, pertaining mostly to the seasons, e.g., $k$-akáyọ 'in the hot period (pre-monsoon)'.

[^99]:    10 We find $\partial w$-bōh only with imperative verbs. When other tenses are used, we get báwmah instead. Compare anké aw-bōh 'come here!' (1:4) with al yanákam báwmah lā 'they don't come here' (94:33).

[^100]:    11 The phrase hátwag l- 'need s.o.', given in the list above, also only occurs once in the texts (94:13), but Ali Musallam used it again in a personal letter to me in 2010; for the text, see §8.22, n. 25 .
    12 This is from Arabic ḥāšā li-.
    13 This is from Arabic 'alā r-rīq.
    14 E.g., ġəbūr ġayg al-ḥōrəm 'he met a man on/by the road' (12:6), but giayg дə-yasyūr bə-ḥōrəт 'a man was traveling on the road' (3:1).
    15 Based on the few examples in the texts, $l$ - is used after rēhak with reference to how much distance a person has to cover, while man simply indicates a statement of fact about two objects, human or not. Cf. ḥəmōh rēḥaḳlīhəm 'the water was far from them [or: far for them to go]' (104:3) vs. ġəbrīsən rēḥəḳ mən ḥāráwn 'he met them far away from the goats' (99:40).

[^101]:    16 On the Yemeni Mehri forms, see Watson (2012: 114). Jahn (1905: 125) and Bittner (1914a: 12) have beyn, while bīn is found in Sima (2009). Watson also lists an Omani Mehri form mēn.

[^102]:    17 The Hobyot form is given in $H V$ (p. 250). The Ḥarsusi and Soqotri forms are given in $H L$ (s.v. byn), and the latter is also found in Leslau (1938: 85). The more recent Soqoṭi glossary of Naumkin et al. (2014:515) simply has bin.
    18 Of the six passages with am-mán in the texts, Ali use the spelling من in three and مان in three. For the fourteen suffixed forms (am-manw-), which bear stress on the suffixes, Ali always wrote منو (mnw-). Only in one place (77:4) did Ali transcribed an initial alif to indicate $\quad$ m-

[^103]:    19 On the etymology of naxāli, see Rubin (2012a).
    20 Johnstone recorded a fourth example that is suspect. See the comment to text 22:28.

[^104]:    21 The preposition may derive from the root $t l w$ 'follow', as in Arabic tala 'it followed'. If so, then it is connected to the adverb tōli (see § 10.3, n. 4).
    This is not to say that a preposition is required when the object of verb of motion is a human. But a preposition is usual if the human object is nominal (rather than pronominal). For most verbs of motion, if the object is a place, then there is usually no preposition used.

[^105]:    23 For a literal example of $b$-aðōbal ð- 'at the side of', see text 37:20.
    24 More often, l-agarē is used as a subordinating conjunction indicating purpose. See further in §13.5.2.

[^106]:    25 Although this compound preposition occurs only here in the texts (repeated in text 73:7), Ali used it again in a letter to me in 2010. In the letter, he wrote (in Arabic letters), hām hátwagak lī ... hōh l-adfétk ('if you need me [for help with Mehri] ... I am at your side'); above the final Mehri phrase, he added the English gloss "I am ready or I am beside you".

[^107]:    1 For some variant Omani Mehri forms, and for Yemeni Mehri forms, see Watson (2012: 110). See also Bittner (1913a: 79-92) for a long discussion of the various Yemeni forms.
    2 Bittner (1913a: 82-84) derived țād from the Semitic root *whd. The form țd (vowels unknown) is also attested in Qatabanic, one of the Old (Epigraphic) South Arabian dialects. Watson (2012: 110, n . 91) seems to suggest that this connection with Qatabanic rules out the derivation from *wḥd, but this etymology and the connection with Qatabanic are not mutually exclusive.

[^108]:    3 There actually seems to be quite a bit of variation in Yemeni Mehri. In Hein (1909), for example, we find the transcriptions dajtitit (text 11:22), țagsists (text 21:1), taǵtitt (text 29:20), dagásáyt (text 56:3), and śaǵśáyt (text 57:1). My thanks to Antoine Lonnet for alerting me to these forms.
    4 The root *s'l is reconstructable for proto-MSA (cf. also the forms for 'three (days)', 'third', and 'one-third' in $\S 9.2, \S 9.3$, and $\S 9.4$ ). Like $t \bar{a} d$, this root has parallels in Old South Arabian. A feminine form śllt (vowels unknown) is attested in Early Sabaic and in the other OSA languages (though later Sabaic has $\underline{\underline{t}}(\underline{t})$, and a form śhlter is attested a couple of times in Minaic.
    5 The form sāt given in $M L$ (s.v. $s^{c}$ ) and Johnstone (1975a: 23) is likely an error. Watson (2012:110) also recorded sa'áyt.

[^109]:    6 Kaláyt is the feminine form of 'both'. The masculine counterpart, unattested in the texts, is kalōh. These words have the same historically-dual suffixes that are found on the forms of the numeral 'two'.
    7 Speakers were using Arabic forms of the teens alongside native forms already at the turn of the 20th century, and probably earlier; cf. Jahn (1905: 75). Nevertheless, Watson (2012: 110) found the native Mehri forms still widely used in Oman.
    8 In the context of text 39:3, ōśar wa-xáymah could conceivably be translated 'ten and five'.

[^110]:    9 As mentioned in the comment to this text, the manuscripts have alf, but the audio has yalēf.
     does match the ordinals (used with 'day').

[^111]:    feminine ordinal āśsrē̄, which must be the ordinal used with 'days', and, as such, it is better transcribed with a final -it.
    Text 48 is an Omani Mehri "translation" of a Yemeni Mehri text published in Jahn (1902: $7^{-14}$ ). It is not surprising, therefore, that the text contains this form, as well as several other Yemeni Mehri words (e.g., harmēt 'wife', dáwlat 'ruler', and makahāyyt 'coffee shop'). Although, in the passage in Jahn (p. 12) that corresponds to text 48:23, we find lilat taniyat, with the feminine ordinal! Watson (2012:133, n. 100) explains that tōni has a very restricted use in Omani Mehri. On the related adverb tányzn ‘secondly’, see § 10.5 .

[^112]:    20 Sabaic also has $f k h$ 'half', giving us another OSA-MSA connection in the numeral system. See above, notes 2 and 4 . On the etymology of the root, see Kogan (2015:566-567).
    21 Morris (2012: 486). A younger informant confirmed this, but implied that there is some

[^113]:    1 See $M L$ (s.v. knh ) and Watson (2012: 117).
    2 The bare noun halláy is not attested in the texts without the preposition bz-. It is, however, attested with pronominal suffixes, in which case it has the irregular base halláyw-, as in ḥalláywi 'my night' and halláywah 'his night' (both in text 85:27).
    3 In the phrase man fanōhan 'before(hand)', the preposition man has no clear meaning of its own. Other prepositions in combination with fanōhan do have meaning. Cf. al-hīs fanōhan 'as before' (e.g., 24:32).

[^114]:    4 The longer form attōli comes either from wa-tōli, by an irregular assimilation, or from the Arabic definite form, as in the Arabic phrase bi-t-tāli 'then'. The forms tōli and $\partial t t o ̄ l i$ are free variants. See the comment to text 35:5.
    5 The adverb táayzan 'secondly' (< Arabic taniyan) occurs only once in the texts; see the comment to text 58:9. The same root is found in the ordinal tōni, discussed in § 9.3.
    6 From the same root as xațrā̄t is maxṭār 'once', which is not attested in the texts. It is, however, attested in Johnstone's Jibbali texts (text SB2:2) and is recorded for Mehri by Watson (2012: 118).

[^115]:    7 See $M L$ (s.v. wt.') and (Watson 2012: 119).

[^116]:    8 This is assuming that rēhok is an adjective in text 104:3. We could also parse it as a Gb-Stem perfect (rïhak.) in this context. There is no audio for this passage, and the Arabic-letter spelling would be the same for either form, so the transcription is open to interpretation.

[^117]:    1 Rubin (2008b) includes some comparative and historical discussion of the interrogatives, though the presentation of the data in that article is now outdated.
    2 We also find kēf 'how?' three times in text 23 (23:10, 23:15, and 23:16), but this is just an Arabism. Text 23 has additional Arabisms, including ma (23:3) and bz-ð̛̣ábṭt (23:15).

[^118]:    3 In his Arabic-letter manuscripts, Ali normally wrote $k \bar{o}$ and the pronoun as one word as well. In such cases he spelled $k o ̄$ simply $ك$, but wa-kōh he spelled وكوه, with a final -h. Also compare Jibbali, in which the interrogative and a second-person pronoun form a contraction, e.g., ket 'why are you ...?' < ko + het (see JLO, § 11.5).

[^119]:    4 In the form əð-ġarábk, the prefix is the verbal prefix $\partial$-(§7.1.10.2), not the relative pronoun.

[^120]:    1 The short $a$ in the final $C V C$ syllable is unusual; see $\S$ 2.2.

[^121]:    2 A meaning 'neither ... nor' can be seen in the Arabic phrase used in text 46:19.

[^122]:    3 Watson (2009) is a study of the genitive exponent $\partial$ - and other genitive constructions in Yemeni Mehri. Further data and discussion can be found in Watson (2012).

[^123]:    4 See the comment to text 39:14.

[^124]:    5 Watson (2012: 292) reports that Ali Musallam, the author of Johnstone's texts, recognized ámma as an Arabism, and that he used it significantly more than her other informants.

[^125]:    6 Ali spelled this word 'amk in the Arabic-letter manuscript of this text, but read 'ámlak on the audio recording.

[^126]:    7 See the discussion, with a list of forms from four MSA languages, in Rubin (2015b: 325-326).
    8 Watson (2012: 135) seems to suggest that dáwn- a frozen imperative (her wording is ambiguous), but then the suffix - $k$ would not make sense.

[^127]:    9 The form ġədéwwan comes from "g̈ədéwən, that is, ğadéw + the 1cp suffix -ən. However, the sequence - $\varepsilon$ wan (syllabified $-\bar{\varepsilon} / w a n$ ) would have a short vowel in a stressed open syllable, which is not allowed. Therefore, the form is realized gadéwwan. See further in $\S 2.2$.

[^128]:    10
    Watson (2012: 135) transcribes the plural forms with a geminate $k$.

[^129]:    11 The rarity of this particle in the texts seems to be due to the preference of Ali Musallam for ámma, and it may not be typical of Mehri speakers in general. According to Watson (2012: 292), some speakers use it more frequently.
    In the margin of the manuscript, above the (i), Johnstone added the note "optional".

[^130]:    14 Watson and Al-Mahri (2017:96) report that native speakers make this connection, but I suspect a folk etymology. Antoine Lonnet (p.c.) suggests that śaf(f) could be a borrowing of colloquial Arabic šăf 'he saw'.

[^131]:    16 See Lonnet (2003: 422-423) for discussion.
    17 The use of $l$ - with $w \bar{o} g a b$ in Mehri corresponds to the use of 'ala with wājib in Arabic.

[^132]:    (C) AARON D. RUBIN, 2018 | DOI:10.1163/9789004362475_014

[^133]:    1 In Arabic, the verb waqa'a has the basic meanings 'fall' and 'happen, take place'. No doubt the Arabic semantics have influenced the usage in Mehri.

[^134]:    2 On the use of śč in negative or interrogative existential phrases, see §3.5.2.

[^135]:    3 It is not suppletive in a morphological sense, since wīka does have an imperfect (3ms yowōka). But the imperfect is used with other meanings ('become', 'stay', 'happen upon', etc.), rather than as a copula. For a possible overlap in usage between the imperfects yəwōka and yəkūn, see the comment to text 29:10.

[^136]:     'nobody has ever seen it' (41:10), in which the negative element follows $\bar{a} d$. The difference relates to the different uses of $\bar{a} d$. When $\bar{a} d$ means 'never, not ever' it normally has a pronominal suffix (in text 41:10 it presumably does not because of the following indefinite pronoun ahād) and al follows. When $\bar{a} d$ means 'not again', as in text 66:10, the element $l$ - precedes.

[^137]:    6 Watson (2012:394). Watson also says that the uncontracted form man $\bar{a} d$ is still used. On man as a negative marker in Jibbali, with a different function, see $J L O, \S$ 13.2.7.

[^138]:    7 The function of wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$ (cf. §12.1.4 and $\S 12.5 .21$ ) in this example is unclear.
    $8 M L$ translated this phrase once (s.v. $k l l$ ) as 'she has no equal', and once (s.v. śwl) as 'there is nobody like her', both times neglecting to translate mokā. In the manuscript of text 26 , the phrase was not given its own translation, but was clearly intended as the equivalent of yaklēl bīs moḳā šīs śzwáyl.

[^139]:    9 In a previous work (Rubin 2009a: 223), I incorrectly described tāká as an imperfect of wīka, and gave the translation 'you will have'. That erroneous translation, which is found in Stroomer's edition of the texts, came ultimately from Johnstone's manuscripts.

[^140]:    10 See also the comment to text 35:4.

[^141]:    11 Other verbs denoting environmental phenomena are attested impersonally in the 3 fs form, namely haddūt 'it thundered' and barḳáwt 'it was lightning' (both 10:16).

[^142]:    These are found in texts 21:12 (not included in this volume, but included in Stroomer 1999), $64: 29,65: 7,65: 11,75: 3,76: 12$, and 92:2. I am not including forms of the verb hōm, whose imperfect is used for all tenses (§7.3).

[^143]:    14 As noted in the comment to this text, Ali read the imperfect alábdas on the audio (with the same meaning), but his original manuscript has the subjunctive l-awbáds.

[^144]:    15 Although text 21 is not included in this volume, I have checked the original manuscripts and audio recordings. The translation 'destroy' for the verb nəkūś is Johnstone's. That meaning is not in $M L$, and elsewhere in the texts it means 'dig up' (37:13; 65:3).

[^145]:    17 As noted in § 7.1.10.2, the verb áymal 'make, do' sometimes has the meaning 'think, believe, be of the opinion' (cf. 28:2; 91:8), at least in the ics perfect. When used with prefixed $ð$-, it seems to always have this meaning. Conversely, when it means 'think', it usually has the prefix $\partial$ -

[^146]:    21 Compare Dhofari Arabic a'raf innak mā kunt fil-bēt 'I know that you weren't at home’

[^147]:    A third example, which I believe is an error, is found in the manuscripts. See the comment to text 40:17.

[^148]:    1 See further in Rubin (2017).
    2 Twenty-nine of the Mehri texts in this volume have parallel Jibbali versions, of which Ali

[^149]:    17 te mōt l-āyōman wa-xxalūf ǵl̄gēn wa-ġaganōt. abēli yarhámah wa-sékanah b-agənnēt.

[^150]:    77 w-awzáyrham:The manuscripts have $w$-awzáyrham, but the audio has wə-b-awzáyrhom.

[^151]:    15 C: "lā. wálla nēhar maxtīb yaklēl bah ḳawt, məkā xā sē nəkátah arhamēt ðìmah."

[^152]:    15 B: "ṣəbrōna lūk ṣərōməh, walākan mət nūka akáyợ, háwfi man ġayr ġərōy."
    16 A: "šanðórk ð-əl-háwfək akáyy ̣̣ amhákbol."

[^153]:    7 xáṣṣan: The form xāṣtan given in ML (and used in Stroomer's edition of this line) is probably an error. The Arabic manuscript has خاصًا, and there is no $t$ heard on the audio. The Roman manuscript appears to have had xaassən, later altered to xastən. The form xáṣṣən is clearly borrowed from Arabic xaṣsan. Cf. also $x \bar{a} s ̣$ in $7: 1$ and $7: 3$.

[^154]:    24 ar wa-: Though ar wa- is in both manuscripts, Ali skipped ar wa- on the audio.

[^155]:    19 awákt: The manuscripts have awákt 'heat', but on the audio Ali read aktīw 'darkness' (cf. line 16).

[^156]:    1 An abridged version of Text 5 with morpheme glossing can be found in Rubin (forthcoming).

[^157]:    (13) raddōh hā-gərōn ðд-yabákyəm. āmūr return.PERF.3MD DEF-slaves CIRC-cry.IMPF.3MP say.PERF.3MS The slaves came back crying.
    $b a ̄ n \partial w a ̄ s$, "hēśs gərōh? $\bar{a} d$ tākām
    pn what happen.PERF.3MS perhaps be.sUbJ.2MP
    Ba Newas said, "What happened? Have you perhaps
    látġəkəm ḥāmá-y?" āmáwr, "āgáwz mətōt!"
    kill.PERF.2MP mother-1cs.poss say.PERF.3MP old.woman die.PERF.3FS killed my mother?" The slaves said, "The old woman died!"
    bəkōh bānəwās, āmūr, "yā hāmó-y,
    cry.PERF.3MS PN say.PERF.3MS EXCL mother-1CS.Poss
    Ba Newas cried, and said, "Oh my mother,
    $y \bar{a}$ ḥāmá-y!"
    excl mother-1cs.poss
    my mother!"
    (14) tōli āmūr tōgər, ðōmah śī makáddar.
    then say.Perf.3ms rich.ms dem.near.ms something preordained Then the rich man said, "This is something preordained.
    wə-nhāh kaş́yēya tī-k bə-hāmē-k.
    CONJ-we compensate.FUT.MP DO-2MS for-mother-2MS.POSs
    We will compensate you for your mother.
    wazyēma tī-k ḥā-gərōn ðд-látġam tī-s."
    give.fut.mp do-2Ms def-slaves Rel-kill.PERF.3MP DO-3Fs
    We will give you the slaves who killed her."
    $\bar{a} m u ̄ r, \quad$ "hōm lā. al mašīkaṣ́
    say.PERF.3MS want.IMPF.ics NEG NEG be.compensated.with.FUT.ms
    He said, "I don't want (that). I will not accept as compensation (blood-payment)
    bə-ḥāmó-y gərōn lā!"
    for-mother-1cs.poss slaves NEG
    slaves for my mother!"

[^158]:    1 Note also that the audio clearly has l-ankēś, though the spelling suggests al-nkēs.
    2 This word could also be spelled امور, a spelling which is also used for $3 \mathrm{~ms} \bar{a} m u \bar{u} r$. Cf. سيور for səyáwr 'they went' in text 65:12.

