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## INTRODUCTION

This work deals with the norpho-syntactic expansions which occur in translation fron English into Slovenian, proceeding fron the assunption that such expansions are the nost connon, "prototypical" response of the translator when faced by an original containing gramatically complex structures.

The book is divided into six chapters.
Chapter 1 presents the general framework used for the investigation of morpho-syntactic expansions.

Chapter 2 focuses on the conplexity of the original and examines sone of the possible criteria by which to evaluate this. The criteria chosen are tested for validity by means of a statistical analysis of two samples of the corpus.

Chapter 3 presents two databases: "Morpho-syntactic expansions" and "Control group", each providing the data relevant for the statistical analysis mentioned above. In addition, the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions" includes a model for the analysis of morpho-syntactic expansions.

Chapter 4 exanines the characteristics of the structures which expand in translation and those of the structures resulting fron expansion. The norpho-syntactic expansions are then classified according to several paraneters.

Chapter 5 discusses various factors which have a bearing on the orcurrence of morpho-syntactic expansions.

In Chapter 6 the results of the present study are evaluated and sone suggestions for further research are given.
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# Chapter 1 

## GENERAL FRAMEWORK

1. Basic concepts
1.1 The unit of translation
1.1.1 The concept of the unit of translation (UT) is one of the several concepts used in reference to translation that are characterized by sone kind of duality. On the one hand they are defined by general translation theory, and on the other by translation practice, whereby the "theoretical" and the "operational" definitions do not necessarily coincide. Whereas, for instance, sone translation theorists (notably those concerned with the theory of literary translation) consider the whole original text to be the only true UT, such a broadly conceived UT is certainly not the unit with which the "practising" translator prinarily operates in the process of translation.
1.1.2 Furthernore, even within translation theory itself sone translation concepts are not unifornly defined. Regarding the concept of UT, there exists a difference of opinion as to what this concept refers to: units of communication, i.e. the original message or parts of it (cf. Ivir 1984), or linguistic units, i.e. the original text or parts of it (cf. Catford 1985, Newnark 1981, 1988, Nida 1964, Nida/Taber 1982). However, since it seens that the majority of theorists, including those who subscribe to the now prevalent communicative theory of translation (according to which translation is seen as a process of interlingual transfer of nessages) link the concept of UT to the original text, the UT is taken to refer to linguistic units in this study as well. The UT may thus be provisionally defined as "/the snallest segnent of an SL /source language/ text which can be translated, as a whole, in isolation fron other segnents" (Newnark 1988, 285). ${ }^{1}$ But what exactly is this "snallest segnent"? The word, the phrase, the clause, the sentence, the paragraph? Here, again, opinions vary.

Different views concerning the size of the UT are related to different attitudes to translation within general translation
theory and to different linguistic theories applied to the study of translation.

The interrelatedness of a particular approach to translation and the concept of UT can be noticed both in the case of the "classical" opposition between literal and free translation as well as in the case of the recently developed communicative theory.

In literal translation the $U T$ is primarily the word, in free translation the sentence: "...the freer the translation, the longer the UT, the more literal the translation, the shorter the UT/.../ Free translation has always favoured the sentence, literal translation the word" (Newnark 1988,54).

Within the communicative theory, the approach which focuses on the receiver of the message and ains at "dynanic equivalence" (Nida 1964, 159) typically gives priority to a larger UT (the sentence or the paragraph), the approach which lays emphasis on the message itself and ains at "fornal equivalence" (ibid.), to a snaller UT (the word or the phrase). 2

As an exanple of how a particular linguistic theory nay bear on the concept of UT, let us mention Newnark 1981,1988, who, proceeding fron Bühler's functional theory of language (Bühler 1934), distinguishes three types of text: expressive, informative, and vocative, each type being characterized by the predoninance of one of the three main functions of language as stated by Bühler ("expression". "representation", "appeal"). The type of text deternines the snallest and the largest UT: in the expressive text these UTs are, respectively, the word and the collocation, in the informative text the collocation and the sentence, and in the vocative text the paragraph and the text as a whole (Newnark 1981,15).
1.1.3 The above discussion suggests that it is probably inpossible to deternine sone kind of universal UT, applicable to any type of text, any translation approach and any type of target language readership. Therefore we follow those theorists who maintain that all linguistic units may serve as UTs, the choice of a particular unit depending on a variety of factors involved in the process of translation. The UT should thus be defined in relative rather than absolute terns.

Definitions of the relative type are frequently used in the study of translation. This is not surprising if we consider the
fact that translation is an instance of language in use. As such it is simultaneously governed by rules of language-systen and rules of language-use. The latter, however, are not of an absolute ("all or nothing") but of a relative ("nore or less") character.
1.1.4 Adding the relativity dinension to the definition of the UT given in 1.1.2 above, we define the UT as follows:

THE UNIT OF TRANSLATION (UT)der is the smallest segnent of a source language text which can be translated, as a whole, in isolation fron other segnents, and which covers the range fron the word through the phrase, the clause, the sentence to the paragraph. (Cf. Newnark 1988, 285.)

This definition accords with the relative nature of translation and, at the same tine, it bridges the gap between the "theoretical" and the "operational" (cf.1.1.1 above). Fron the operational pont of vien, the sentence nay be considered as the basic UT, for we nornally translate sentence by sentence, paying attention to the snaller units within each sentence as we proceed. As noted by Newnark 1988, 67, most translation is done at the level of the smaller units, the larger, i.e. the paragraph and the whole text being considered in case of translation difficulties that cannot be resolved within the smaller units, and during revision. In the process of translation, then, the translator actually operates with different UTs, so a definition of the UT which captures the latter's potential flexibility is in accordance with translation practice.

### 1.2 Deviations

A DEVIATIONder is any translation of the unit of translation UT which is the result of the translator's prototypical response to a translation difficulty felt to exist in connection with the unit of translation UT.

The translator may respond to a translation difficulty in a variety of ways, but the deviation is the nost common, and in this sense "prototypical" response.

A translation involving a deviation nay be nore or less adequate than a translation without a deviation, the forner type of translation sonetimes being the only choice possible. The deviation is in principle a value-neutral category.
1.3 Translation difficulties
1.3.1 These difficulties occur at the level of language-system and at the level of language-use. In the former case they are due to differences in the source language (SL) and target language (TL) systens, in the latter to differences in SL and TL usage norms, and to factors related to the $S L$ text, the translator and the receiver of the $T L$ text. Such factors are, for instance, the functional type and the genre of the SL text, the translator's linguistic and general knowledge and faniliarity with the social and cultural setting of the SL text, the receiver's faniliarity with the subject-natter of the SL text, etc.
1.3.2 In addition to the distinction of two general types of translation difficulties (TD) proposed above, several specific types of $T D$, leading to prototypical deviations, nay be distingushed, e.g.:

```
POTENTIAL TD:
-(structural) conplexity
    of UT
-lack of translation
    equivalent
-lack of knowledge
```

PROTOTYPICAL DEVIATION:
morpho-syntactic expansion
over-translation or
under-translation
nistake.
A deviation is "prototypical" when it represents the most common response to a particular TD.
1.3.3 Every $T D$ is subjective in that it may exist for one translator but not for the other, depending on factors such as the translator's knowledge of the SL and the TL, translation experience, time available for translation, access to reference books, etc. However, it may be assuned that by conparing a large number of diverse texts with their corresponding translations by different translators, it nay be possible to find out which TDs are the most widespread, not influenced by translator-specific factors and in this sense objective.

### 1.4 Morpho-syntactic expansions

A MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONder is any translation of the unit of translation $U T$ which is morpho-syntactically more explicit than the unit of translation UT. 3

Morpho-syntactic (M-S) expansions occur inside and outside
the sentence boundary. In the present work only $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansions within the sentence will be considered for the following two reasons: (i) the sentence is the largest syntactic unit, (ii) fron the operational point of vew, the sentence is the basic UT (cf.1.1.4 above).
2. The corpus

The corpus used as basis for the investigation of M-S expansions which occur in translation fron English (ENGL) into Slovenian (SLOV) conprises an authentic ENGL text - the detective story Cat Among the Pigeons by Agatha Christie (Christie 1975), and its SLOV translation, Macka ned gelabickani, by Zoja Skusek-Mǒnik (Christie 1978). The choice of a literary text belonging to the less serious genre of detective story has been motivated by the assumption that in the translation of texts of more serious literary genres, typically having a strong aesthetic component and requiring a highly creative approach to translation, deviations are, to a large extent, specific, dependent on a particular $S L$ text and $a$ particular translator. On the other hand, we have also decided against scientific or technical texts as they are, as a rule, characterized by universal features of the scientific register (e.g. the use of connon sentence patterns and neutral, unmarked word order Toporisic 1984, 23), which makes deviations in translation, at least those at M-S level, rather linited in kind and therefore potentially less interesting for investigation.
3. Linguistic description
3.1 In describing ENGL structures which expand in translation and the resulting expanded SLOV structures we prinarily draw upon the linguistic descripiton of ENGL and SLOV given in the principal works of ENGL and SLOV descriptive linguistics, A Comorehensive Gramar of the English Language (Quirk/ Greenbaun/Leech/Svartvik 1985) and Sloyenska slovnica (Toporisic 1984) (to be abbreviated henceforth as CGEL85 and SLS84.)

These two grammars are based on widely recognized principles and findings of contenporary structural linguistics, which surpass the narrow bounds of individual structuralist schools of
linguistic thought and define structuralisn as "an approach to the phenonenon of language" (Bugarski 1975, 42-3), as "... a broad, modern, scientifically based view of language..." (op.cit.,44). In this broad sense of the tern "structuralisn", the two grammars are structural, but they do not subscribe to any particular linguistic theory or model of linguistic description. This makes it possible for then to avoid inherent limitations of individual theories and models on the one hand, and on the other, to include in their description sone concepts and procedures used in various theories and models. Both these aspects are of extrene importance to descriptive grammars in view of the complex nature of their main task - providing as comprehensive a description of a given language as possible.

The decision to choose the above nentioned grannars for the basis of linguistic description in this work has been made on the following grounds:
(i) it may be expected that when investigating $M-S$ expansions, a great variety of linguistic structures and relations will have to be dealt with. Therefore a linguistic description which is broadly based in the afore-mentioned sense and which ains at being comprehensive seens to provide a more suitable starting point than $n$ description within the narrow franework of a particular linguistic theory.
(ii) linguistic models which may potentially be considered in a study of this kind (e.g. Chonskyan generative gramnar or Halliday's systenic grannar) have practically not been applied to the SLOV language so far.
3.2 Despite the fact the ENGL and the SLOV grammars chosen as a basis for our description of $M-S$ expansions are of a very sinilar type, there are several questions to be considered before the concrete description is undertaken. Generally speaking, they concern differences in the conceptualterminological apparatus of ENGL and SLOV descriptive grannar, "gradience" (CGEL85,90) and "multiple analysis" (ibid.).
3.2.1 Differences in the conceptual-terminological apparatus of ENGL and SLOV descriptive grannnar

In cases when the two apparatuses differ the question arises as to which should be considered, ENGL or SLOV. Since in analysing $M-S$ expansions in translation fron ENGL into SLOV, we
naturally proceed fron the ENGL structure, we have decided that in principle the conceptual-terminological apparatus of ENGL descriptive gramar is to be used (cf. 3.2.1.1 below). However, a "combined"approach may be applied if warranted by the nature of a particular difference and the categories or structures involved (cf. 3.2.1.2 below).

Whereas conceptional-terminological differences in ENGL and SLOV description of individual structures are dealt with in the analysis of exanples of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansions fron the corpus, nention should be made at this point of two differences, concerning clause elements and sone clause types, as they involve sone of the basic concepts and categories equally relevant for the description of a number of different structures.
3.2.1.1 Clause elements

In CGEL85 the "predicator" refers to the verbal elenent only, even if the latter is a copular and not a full lexical verb. Accordingly, the so-called "subject complenent", which follows a copular verb, is an independent clause element. In SLS84 the "povedkovo dolodilo" ("predicative adjunct"), which, when following a copular verb, corresponds to the subject complenent in ENGL, is part of the predicator, hence a dependent clause elenent.

There is also a difference concerning the ENGL "object complement" and the SLOV "povedkov prilastek" ("predicative modifier"). The object complement relates to the object and nay thus be used only with transitive verbs, whereas the "povedkov prilastek" may refer either to the subject or to the object and may be used both with intransitive and transitive verbs.

The system of clause elements used in our sentence analysis comprises the following independent elements, as they are defined by CGEL85: the subject, the predicator, the object (direct and indirect), the conplenent (subject and object) and the adverbial.

### 3.2.1.2 Clause typology

The difference concerns the treatment of non-finite and verbless clauses. CGEL85 recognizes three main structural types of (subordinate) clauses: finite, non-finite and verbless clauses (CGEL85, 992), non-finite and verbless clauses thus forming two separate categories. By contrast, according to the SLS84 typology non-finite and verbless clauses make up a single
category, the category of so-called "polstavki" ("seni-clauses") - SLS84, 501). The placement of non-finite and verbless clauses into two distinct categories, as proposed by CGEL85, seems justified. While both types of clauses serve as an important means of syntactic compression (CGEL85,995), they differ in the degree of compression achieved. Relative to finite clauses, verbless clauses are syntactically nore condensed than non-finite clauses.s We therefore follow CGEL8S in placing non-finite and verbless clauses into two categories. However, when there is a need for a superordinate term, "seni-clause" (a literal translation of the SLOV term "polstavek"), referring to both non-finite and verbless clauses, will be used.

ENGL non-finite verb forms are the participle, the infinitive and the gerund. Participles in ENGL are used in attributive and adverbial functions. SLOV, on the other hand, has two different non-finite verb forms for expressing these functions: the "deleznik" for the attributive and the "delezje" for the adverbial function. (The two SLOV terns may be translated into ENGL as "attributive participle" and "adverbial participle" respectively.) In SLOV there is a non-finite verb forn not existing in ENGL, the "nanenilnik" ("the supine"), which is used with verbs of notion to express intention. Consequently, there is a difference in the number and type of subclasses of non-finite clauses in ENGL and SLOV. On the other hand, the sane kind of subclassification is applicable to both ENGL and SLOV verbless clauses, the subclasses being noun clauses and adjective clauses. ${ }^{3}$

In view of the above, the following typology of seni-clauses has been set up for the purposes of the present study:

SEMI-CLAUSES

## Mon-finite

ENGL: - infinitive
-participle
-gerund 7
SLOV: -infinitive
-attributive participle
-adverbial participle
-supine

## Yerbless

ENGL: - noun
-adjective

SLOV:-noun (including gerund) ${ }^{B}$
-adjective

In CGEL85 adverbial verbless clauses (e.g. "Angry, he
stalked out.") and postmodifying non-finite clauses (e.g. "Houses ofned by Mr.Snith...") are cited as instances of ellipsis (CGEL85, 888). If compared to finite clauses (e.g. "Since he \&as angry, he stalked out."/ "Houses Hhich/that are ouned by Mr.Snith..."), these clauses can indeed be considered elliptical, for the subject and the conjunction, and in the case of verbless clauses, also the predicator, are missing. Yet it seens that this type of ellipsis should be distinguished from other types, e.g. the ellipsis used in dialogues (cf. "What did you tell hin?" - "Nothing."). Seni-clauses are direct transforms of finite clauses and can thus be readily analysed in terms of clause elements, the missing elenents being structurally recoverable. In other types of elliptical clauses it is often impossible to determine the ellipted elements whout recourse to the co-text and/or the contexts (cf. the above cited elliptical clause "Nothing.", where the nissing elements can be recovered only by considering the co-text). Furthermore, in contrast to other types of elliptical clauses, seni-clauses are not regarded as incomplete structures.

According to SLS84 elliptical clauses are a subclass of "clauses with incomplete structure"(SLS84, 503-8), which, however, do not include semi-clauses. The latter form a special category of their own.

For reasons given above, we follow SLS84 in placing semiclauses and elliptical clauses in two separate categories. The tern "elliptical" will be applied only to those clauses which arefelt to be incomplete in themselves and whose missing elenents can be recovered by considering the co-text and/or the context. Seni-clauses will be termed "reduced" (cf. Matthews 1981, 174) to distinguish then from their "full", finite counterparts on the one hand, and elliptical clauses on the other.

### 3.2.2 Gradience

The boundaries between categories of linguistic description are often not clear-cut. This type of granmatical indeterninacy may best be dealt with by positing a gradient, i.e. .... a scale which relates two categories of description (for example two word classes) in terms of degrees of sinilarity and contrast" (CGEL85, 90).At the extrene points of the scale are items clearly belonging to one or the other category while the
internediate positions on the scale are occupied by "in-between" cases, i.e. "...itens which fail, in different degrees, to satisfy the criteria for one or the other category" (ibid.). 10

In the description of $M-S$ expansions, gradience normally poses no difficulty. An "in-between" item, which is in an intermediate position on the gradient relating two categories, is classified as belonging to that category to which it is closer in the given syntactic environment. The fact that a particular iten is of a gradient nature and the reasons for assigning it to one or the other category are nentioned in the analysis of individual exanples of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ expansions.

In the statistical analysis of the two samples of the corpus which is used for testing the validity of the criteria chosen as measures of the complexity of the original (see Chapter 2), gradience cannot be taken into account. "In-between" cases, which present a problen for statistical analysis, can, in principle, be dealt with in two ways: they can either be sinply excluded fron the analysis or they can be attributed to one of the two categories regardless of their intermediate nature. 11 As it is impossible to predict the number and kind of intermediate items in either sample, we have decided on the latter option. Fron the statistical point of view it is basically not important which of the two categories a given intermediate iten is attributed to. What is essential is that the classification chosen be consistently applied in the analysis of both samples. 3.2.3 Multiple analysis

One and the sane linguistic structure nay sonetimes be analysed in two or more different ways. This phenonenon is referred to by CGEL85 as "multiple analysis" (CGEL85, 90). 12

As in the case of gradience, multiple analysis is incorporated in the description of $M-S$ expansions but excluded from the statistical analysis of the two samples of the corpus.

When multiple analysis is relevant to a structure which expands in translation and/or to the resulting expanded structure, different analyses are first considered and then the one which seens the nore /nost appropriate for the description of each individual instance of $M-S$ expansion is applied.

Since the statistical analysis would become unduly complex if multiple anlysis were taken into consideration, we exclude
those structures which are generally known to involve multiple analysis fron the statistical analysis in advance. 13 In unpredictable cases of multiple analysis, discovered only once the investigation of the concrete text has been undertaken, we choose the analysis that seens the nore/nost appropriate or, if both/all analyses appear to be equally appropriate, nake an arbitrary choice. Whatever choice has been decided on is then, of course, strictly observed throughout the statistical analysis of both samples.
4. Approaches to the study of translation
4.1 The present work is predominantly enpirical in design. By comparing an original ENGL text and its SLOV translation, concrete $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansions are identified, analysed and classified. On this basis, an attempt is then made to deternine the general characteristics of the expanding and expanded structures, and of individual types of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansion.

At different stages in the developnent of the science of translation priority has been given to different approaches to and ains of the study of translation: to the hypotheticaldeductive approach, the discovery of general principles and laws of translation, independent of a particular language pair involved, and the formulation of a general theory of translation, on the one hand, and to the enpirical-inductive approach, the description and analysis of translation procedures and problens related to a particular language pair, on the other). 14 Yet, regardless of the trend prevailing, enpirical research seens to be of the sane inportance for the study of translation as theoretical This is due not only to the generally recognized interre atedness of enpirics and theory, with each providing a basis "or the scientific relevance of the other (cf. Wilss 1982, 16), but also to a number of reasons specifically related to translation and the study of the latter. 4.1.1 The phenonenon of transilation cannot be fully explained by the hypothetical-deductive approach. Various models developed to represent the translation frocess nay be adequate for the description of "competence ill translation" (i.e. competence in interlingual transfer of nessages) - Wilss 1982,14. However, owing to their highly generas, abstract and idealized nature.
they are are incapable of providing a satisfactory account of the concrete realization of this competence, i.e. of "performance in translation" (op.cit., 84). ${ }^{15}$

Wilss observation concerning models of translation mentioned above refers to the existing models and, in principle, does not exclude the possibility of formulating models which would incorporate the "performative" dinension of translation as well. In order to find out to what extent it is possible to develop such more complex models of translation, extensive empirical research of language-pair-specific translation procedures and problens must be carried out (op.cit., 86).
4.1.2 Enpirical translation research is important for the developnent of general translation theory since it enhances our understanding of translation. One of the reasons why a comprehensive, coherent and scientifically verifiable theory of translation has not yet been developed nay be sought in the fact that relatively little is known about the phenomenon of translation (Ivir 1984, 53). ${ }^{18}$ In connection with this the question arises as to whether it is possible to formulate such a theory (Ivir terms it "scientific" - ibid.). considering the inherently complex nature of translation. Empirical research can probably provide at least a partial answer to this question 4.1.3 Finally, enpirical translation research can make a significant contribution to the inprovenent of translation teaching ${ }^{77}$ and translation practice.
4.2 Translation can be conceived of as a process (dynanically) or as a result, product (statically) - (Ivir 1980, 333-4), or as both a process and a result at the same tine (Wilss 1982,58). In accordance with the dual nature of translation, the science of translation studies the process of translating on the one hand, and as such, it is a "prospective" science, and the result of that process, on the other, and as such, it is a "retrospective" science (op.cit., 59).
4.2.1 This work is concerned prinarily with the result - the translation, and the comparison of the translation with the original. Since it proceeds from the translation, it may be considered to belong to the retrospective part of the science of translation (cf. "... a retrospective science /.../ proceeds fron what it finds in the TL..." (ibid.)). However, it does not
contain the normative dimension inplicit in Wilss definition of a retrospective science (cf."... a retrospective science/.../ compares the quality /underlininig by M.M.S./ of the TL text with that of the original..." (ibid.). What is being compared in this work is the $M-S$ structure of the original and the translation, and not the quality of the original and the translation. The main purpose of this comparison is to find out what M-S expansions occur in the process of translation rather than to assess the adequacy of $M-S$ expansions, whereas according to Wilss, the science of translation as retrospective science ains "... to determine how adequately /the formulation processes directing the production of the TL text/ achieve what was intended" (ibid.).
4.2.2 In the nodern science of translation attention has been focused on translation as a process (Wilss 1982,53, Svejcer 1988,6).18 The tendency towards process-oriented study of translation has been particularly strong in the field of translation theory, with sone theorists (e.g. Revzin/Rozencvejg 1963) restricting the subject of translation theory exclusively to the examination of the translation process. In order to describe this process, the result nust be excluded fron consideration lest the study of translation should becone nornative in nature - "... a science which ains to describe translation as a process should not be normative but theoretical in nature" (Revzin/Rozencvejg 1963,21. In: Svejcer 1988,7). However, it seems that a theory of translation with such a narrowly defined subject is incapable of describing the phenonenon of translation in its entirety. Translation is "... a goal-oriented activity, satisfying certain requirenents and norns, and directed towards achieving a certain result. These norns reflect the value-orientation of the translator without the consideration of which it is not possible to explain the logic of the translator's decisions in a satisfactory manner" (Svejcer 1988,7). For this reason the phenonenon of translation can be adequately described only if both the process and the result are taken into account (ibid.). Wilss 1982 sinilarly points out that "/the science of translation has a double assignment..." ( op.cit., 53) - to study translation a process and as a result, both aspects being of equal inportance and conplenentary to each other.

The retrospective study of translation seens to be relevant even if, as in the present work, the nornative dinension is not considered.

The chief objective of general translation theory is to explain translation as a process of interlingual transfer of messages. Since this process takes place in the human brain and is thus not accessible to direct observation, it can be studied only by means of models (Bugarski 1981,17). However, as nentioned in 4.1 .1 above, the existing models can provide only a partial explanation of the translation process. A number of factors which have a potential bearing on this process may be revealed by the examination of the result. When we exanine a particular translation and conpare it with the original, trying to find out what changes have occurred during the process of translation, we also gain insight into the process itself, especially into the stage of interlingual reproduction of messages. ${ }^{1 \theta}$ The retrospective study of translation may thus contribute towards a better understanding of the translation process and, indirectly, towards the formulation of models with greater explanatory power.

By comparing TL texts with SL texts, it is possible to discover "contrastive" or "textual" fornal correspondents (Ivir 1980,337 and 1984,86)20 and translation equivalents of a particular $S L$ linguistic unit, and thus translation alternatives available to the translator. In view of this, the retrospective study of translation is inportant for translation teaching and translation practice. Which of the possible alternatives will be chosen by the translator depends, of course, on the concrete "translation situation". 21 Translation is a process of choosing and decision-naking, which, due to a number of variables involved, is not a strictly deterninate process (Svejcer 1988,63). For this reason there can be no universally applicable translation "recipes". Yet, if the translator is faniliar with various formal correspondents and translation equivalents of a given $S L$ unit, the range of choice is widened and the probability that he/she will choose the alternative which suits the concrete translation situation best is potentially enhanced.
4.3. Owing to the nature of the object of inquiry, i.e. M-S expansions, this work is concerned primarily with the fornal
aspect of translation. At first sight this seens to be contrary to the now predoninant connunicative theory of translation, (cf. Nida 1964, Nida/Taber 1982, Ivir 1980, 1984, Wilss 1982, Svejcer 1988), which places enphasis on the content of the message and its effect on the $T L$ receiver rather than on the forn of the message, on the attainnent of "dynanic" rather than fornal equivalence in translation (Nida 1964, Nida/Taber 1982). However, the fact that content takes priority over form does not mean that the formal aspect of translation can or should be excluded fron consideration.
4.3.1 The formal aspect of translation plays an inportant role in attaining dynanic equivalence, for it is of ten the case that in order "to preserve the nessage the forn nust be changed" (Nida/Taber 1982, 5, cf. also Svejcer 1988, 118). 22,23 4.3.2 Fornal correspondents are relevant in the process of translation since they "... serve as points of reference to the translator in decoding the original message and encoding the message in the target language" (Ivir 1984,89), as "... a basis for establishing translation /in the terninology of Nida, Nida/Taber 'dynamic'/ equivalence" (ibid.). The translator first considers "contrastive formal correspondents" and "... only when a contrastive correspondent with identical meaning in the target language does not exist or when for sone reason it cannot ensure translation equivalence, does he decide on/.../ correspondents with slightly different meanings or on structural and senantic shifts... (Ivir 1980, 340). Yet even in this latter case, contrastive correspondents are of importance, for "... as a link between the original and the translated text, they ensure that the translation will not be a paraphrase..." (Ivir 1984,89), thus contributing towards the attainnent of translation equivalence.

## N OTBS to Chapter 1

1 In connection with the concept of UT a shift (typical of recent developnents in translation theory in general) away fron the prescriptivist orientation of earlier translation studies can be noticed. Cf. the definition of the UT by Vinay and Darbelnet, who introduced th s concept, and according to whon
the UT is "the snallest segment of an utterance whose cohesion of signs is such that they must not be separately translated" (Vinay/Darbelnet 1965, In: Newmark 1988,54, underlining by M.M.S.) with Newmark's definition: "/t/he UT is the smallest segment of an SL text which can be translated, as a whole, in isolation from other segments" (underlining by M.M.S.).

2 In the former case the translator aims at producing basically the same effect on the receiver of the translated message as was obtained on the receiver of the original message ("the principle of equivalent effect"), whereas in the latter he/she tries to reproduce as closely as possible the form and content of the original message. (Nida 1964,159.)

3 For elaboration of this very general definition, see Chapter 2/ 2.1.1.

4 Functional sentence perspective and anaphora are supposed to provide evidence against considering the sentence to be the largest syntactic unit. However, arguments of this kind seem unconvincing. Although functional sentence perspective is relevant for text construction and interpretation, it is mithin the sentence that the theme-rheme relationship is realized. Furthermore, "/A/ text is not something that is like a sentence, only bigger: it is something that differs from a sentence in kind" (Halliday/Hasan 1978, 2), it is not a grannatical but a semantic unit (ibid.). As for anaphora, a distinction must be made between the anaphora inside the sentence houndary and the anaphora outside the sentence boundary. The first type of anaphora is realized primarily by syntactic means whereas the second type is realized also by senantic means, the restrictions on the two types being of a different kind as well (Oresnik 1987a).

B This is particularly obvious when 8 finite clause can be transformed into both a non-finite and a verbless one, e.g.:
(1) Since he was too old to fight, he ran away.
(2) Being too old to fight, he ran away
(3) Too old to fight, he ran away
s In verbless clauses the missing verbal elenent is typically the copular verb "be" and the complement contains either a noun (verbless noun clauses, e.g. "Norman Jones. then a student, wrote several best-sellers." - CGEL85,1314) or an adjective (verbless adjective clauses, e.g. "I net Betty, andry withme as alnays, at the luncheon." - op.cit..1125).
7.8 ENGL gerund clauses are placed in the category of non-finite clauses on account of the nature of the ENGL gerund. Originally a verbal noun, the ENGL gerund has, in the course of tine, acquired some distinctly verbal characteristics (e.g. the category of voice) and can therefore be considered a verbal form. By contrast, the SLOV gerund is closer to the noun (according to SLS84 it belongs to "noun words" - SLS84,192) and SLOV gerund clauses are thus included in the category of verbless clauses.
e The terms "co-text" and "context" are taken from Catford
1985. "Co-text" refers to "... the itens in the text which accompany the iten under discussion..." and "context" to the "... context of situation', i.e. those elenents of the extratextual situation which are related to the text as being linguistically relevant..." (op.cit., 31).

10 Thus, for instance, the ENGL word classes "preposition" and "conjunction" are best described in terns of gradience, with "pure" prepositions (e.g. "of") at one end of the scale and "pure" conjunctions (e.g. "and") at the other, and "in-between" cases (e.g. "like") in intermediate positions.

11 When trying to determine the number of prepositional phrases in the two samples, we have to decide, for instance, whether to consider phrases with "like" as prepositonal phrases ("like" thus being assigned to the class of prepositions in spite of its "in-between" nature - see Note 10 above) or not.

12 ENGL prepositional verbs provide a typical exanple of multiple analysis. The preposition may be taken to belong to the phrase following it, forming a prepositional phrase which functions as adverbial (e.g. "He looked at ne). Alternatively, the preposition may be considered as part of a multi-word verb (e.g. "look at") and the phrase following it as its direct object (e.g. "He looked at me."). (Cf.CGEL8S, 90-1.)

13 For instance, prepositional verbs, which involve nultiple analysis (see Note 12 above), have been excluded from consideration in the treatment of one of the criteria for the conplexity of the original, the criterion terned "the presence of relatively nore complex types of subject and object" (see Chapter 2), where only the objects of non-prepositional verbs are taken into account.

14 In the earlier stages of its developnent, the science of translation was concerned prinarily with fornulating a general theory of translation (Wilss 1982, 14), whereas in recent years the focus of interest has shifted to the investigation of concrete language-pair-specific translation procedures and problens (op.cit., 84). The fact that attention was first devoted to the development of a general theory is not surprising - in order to establish itself as an independent scientific discipline, the science of translation had to concentrate on developing a theory, for the existence of a theory is an important criterion of the scientific status of any discipline. According to Wilss, the above-mentioned shift in focus is to be attributed to two reasons: (i) the existing models of translation are too general and abstract to provide an adequate explanation of translation as a process of interlingual transfer of nessages while, for the tine being, more finely differentiated models cannot be developed due to a lack of suitable methodological means (op.cit., 14, 84), (ii) it is questionable whether even such improved models of translation could contribute significantly towards the solution of concrete problens of the science of translation (op.cit., 14). The hypothetical-deductive approach to translation should therefore be supplenented by the empirical-inductive approach (op.cit., 14-5). The forner is used for discovering general principles
and laws of translation and the latter for describing concrete language-pair specific procedures and problens, which is relevant for the theory, practice and teaching of translation. In view of the above, Wilss 1982, 79-80 proposes that the science of translation be divided into the following three mutually interrelated components:

- the general, language-pair-independent science of translation,
- the language-pair-bound descriptive science of translation,
- the language-pair-bound applied science of translation. (Cf. a similar taxonony of the science of translation in Bugarski 1981,23-4.)

15 Cf. the inadequacy of models of linguistic competence, e.g. of Chomskys generative model, for the description of linguistic performance.

16 In the non-exact sciences the notion of "theory" normally refers to "... basic scientific concepts or theoretical questions of a discipline" (Ivir 1984,52) and not, as in the exact sciences, to "... a firmly defined system of knowledge about a certain phenomenon" (ibid.). "Theory" in the former sense represents "... a set of more or less unrelated data and observations about /a certain/ phenomenon" (ibid.) and ains at "... explaining as many details of the phenonenon under investigation as possible, and /at/ developing a conceptual/terninological apparatus (i.e. a meta-language) for further study" (ibid.). It thus provides only a partial explanation of a given phenomenon. By contrast, "theory" in the latter sense represents a systenic, coherent and comprehensive explanation of a certain phenonenon, subject to experinental verification (ibid.). Ivir s observation that in the case of translation such a theory has not yet been developed (op.cit., 53) seens to be still valid.

17 Cf. Wilss 1982, 86: "The applied science of translation is vitally dependent on the results of the language-pair-oriented descriptive science of translation /.../ if it is to provide the necessary preconditions for more efficient TT /translation teaching/ within the franework of university curricula designed for future translators."

10 Cf.Revzin/Rozencvejg 1963, Nida/Taber 1982, Svejcer 1988.
10 The process of interlingual transfer of nessages comprises interlingual understanding and reproduction of messages (Wilss 1982,15). Cf. also Svejcer 1988,25: translation competence includes the ability of understanding the text in the source language and the ability of creating the text in the target language."

20 Formal correspondents may be established by comparing either the SL and TL systems or translationally equivalent texts. The terms "contrastive" and "textual" refer to formal correspodents of the latter type. (For more details on the difference between the two types of formal correspondents, see Ivir 1980,336-7.)

21 The concept of "translation situation" involves all the basic components of translation as process of interlingual communication: the extra-linguistic reality, the message, the sender of the nessage formulated in the SL code, the translator as the receiver of the message in the SL code and the sender of the message in the TL code, the receiver of the message in the TL code, the code (SL and TL) and the channel, and their characteristics.

22 The need to change the form in order to preserve the content of the nessage can generally be attributed to differences between the SL and TL systens (Nida/Taber 1982,5-6, Svejcer 1988,118-9), to differences between the cultures to which the SL and TL belong (Nida/Taber 1982,5-6) and to differences between the SL and TL usage norns.

23 As it is formulated, the above-quoted statement by Nida/Taber inplies that the forn of the nessage should alyays be changed. However, this is not the case. Dynanic equivalence nay be achieved directly through formal correspondence, especially when snaller units of translation are involved. Furthernore, as noted by Wilss 1982,90, the distortion of the message which, according to Nida, is the result of an attenpt to strictly preserve its forn (Nida 1964,23, In: Wilss 1982,90) does not necessarily affect the receiver's understanding of its content. For instance, texts used in informal international communication among experts in a particular field may be distorted in the above sense but are nevertheless intelligible to those for whon they are intended.


## Chapter 2

THE COMPLEXITY OF THE ORIGINAI.

1. Deternining the complexity of the original

The following eight criteria have been chosen as potential measures of the conplexity of the originali:

1- length of sentences (in terms of average number of words)
2- length of sentences (in terns of average number of clauses)

3- length of phrases (in terns of average number of words)
4- presence of marked grammatical categories
5- presence of relatively more conplex types of subject and object
6- number of modifiers in complex nominal phrases
7- number of components of nodifiers in complex nominal phrases

8-presence of clausal components in postmodifiers of complex noninal phrases
The validity of the above-nentioned criteria will be tested by means of a statistical analysis of two sanples, drawn fron the original ENGL text (Christie, 1975), Sample A and Sanple B, the latter forming a control group.
2. The samples

### 2.1 Sample A

Sample A consists of 150 sentences, taken fron the original English text (fron the the beginning of the story. page 7, to page 75 inclusive (Christie 1975, 7-75)), in whose translation morpho-syntactic ( $M-S$ ) expansions have been observed. Whenever nore than one $M-S$ expansion has occurred in the translation of one and the sane sentence, the latter has been included in the sample the sane number of tines as is the number of expansions involved.
2.1.1 In selecting sentences for Sanple $A$ we proceeded fron the general definition of a M-S expansion (see Chapter 1/1.4), which, however, had to be adapted for the purpose of the task
concerned. In particular, it was necessary to specify when exactly a translation of the unit of translation $U T$ can be considered morpho-syntactically more explicit than the given UT. 2.1.1.1 Since $H-S$ expansions are a type of deviation of a primarily formal nature, we first decided on formal criterion and chose sentences for Sanple $A$ on the basis of the following definition of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansion:

A MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONdep is any translation of the unit of translation $U T$ which contains a greater number of clauses, phrases or words than the given UT.

In establishing the difference in the number of gramatical units, all levels of clause and phrase structure are taken into account. Although the difference can usually be observed at nore than one level (cf. A-682 below), a difference at only one level suffices for a sentence to be the included in Sample A.

(Number of clauses at the level of clause elements: ENGL=0, SLOV=1)
Number of clauses in the sentence: ENGL=1, SLOV=2
In principle, a difference in the number of only one type of grannatical unit (the clause, the phrase, the word) is likewise considered a sufficient condition for a sentence to be included in Sample A. However, it seens that, in conparison with the number of clauses and phrases, the number of words is, in fact, of lesser importance. Usually an increase in the number of words indicates the presence of a $M-S$ expansion, although this is not always the case. On the other hand, the number of words in the translation may be the same or even smaller than that in the original and yet a $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ expansion may have occurred.
2.1.1.2. The comparison of the original and the translation has revealed a number of cases which appear to involve a $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ expansion, but the ENGL sentences concerned could not be included in Sample $A$ if the strictly formal criterion of 2.1.1.1 above were observed. A typical ease of this kind is the following:

ENGL: sentence with a non-finite clause -
SLOV: sentence with a finite clause. e.g. A-2:
A-2: Sone parents sho knok no better had taken her for the

```
Great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knomine that it Mas Miss Balstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holr of holies to rhich only s selected and privilered fer pere taken.
Nekateri med starsi, ki se niso kdove kako spoznali. so jo imeli za sano velike respodieno Bulstrode. sai nise redeli, da se eospodicna Bulatrode nayadno odnakne \(y\) naiszetejfe ysega aretiflea in kebi pripusti le nekri izbrancer in privilerirancer. \# _for (they) did not knor that Miss Bulstrede usually retires to the holiest of all holy places and adrits only a salacted and privilaged far.
```

The SLOV sentence contains the sane number of clauses at the innediate consituent level as the ENGL sentence ( $=2$ ). The number of words in the SLOV finite clause corresponding to the ENGL non-finite clause is not greater than that of the latter (ENGL=27, SLOV=22) and the total number of words in the SLOV sentence is not greater than that in the ENGL sentence (ENGL=42, SLOV=39) .

Such and similar cases have suggested a need for introducing another criterion to be considered in the selection of sentences for Sample A. The new criterion is based on the notion of transparency. 5

We proceed fron the following assumption: a certain number of senantic data may be contained in a single expression or distributed anong several expressions. Transparency involves the relation between the number of semantic data and the number of linguistic neans used to express then. The snaller the forner and the greater the latter - the greater the transparency, and vice versa. Consider, for instance, sentences (1) and (2):
(1) Going home, I met an old friend of nine.
(2) When $I$ was going home, $I$ met an old friend of mine. (1), with its a participle clause, contains several semantic data: two actions in the past, with the same agent, simultaneity of the actions and a temporal link between them. In (2) these two actions are expressed by two predicators with finite verb forms, indicating tense, the sameness of the agents is shown by two identical subjects, and the type of link between the actions by a temporal conjunction. The senantic data implicit in (1) are explicitly expressed in (2) - the transparency of (2) is greater
than that of (1).
In view of the above, a $M-S$ may be defined as follows:
A MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONder is any translation of the unit of translation UT in which the semantic data contained in the UT are expressed by a greater number of morpho-syntactic means than in the given UT.

On the basis of this definition the following types of changes in translation have been considered as instances of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ expansion:

ENGL: subjectless non-finite clause -
SLOV: finite clause (cf. A-2 above)

ENGL: passive clause without the agent by-phrase -
SLOV: active clause, e.g. A-10:
A-10: /Both Miss Vansittart and Miss Chadwick appeared on the occasion./ ${ }^{8}$
"Thex"11 be taten to the Presence" "decided Ann. /Za to priloznost sta se prikazali obe gospodićni Vansittartova in Chadwickova./
"Palinli_jih hontak hiaje" je sklenila Ann. \#"(Thex) vill teve then to Hor, ". . . \#
This type includes only those cases where in the ENGL clause the agent by-phrase is implied in the co-text while in the SLOV clause the subject is expressed or fornally indicated by the verb forn (cf. A-10 above). Cases in which there is an unspecified agent in both ENGL and SLOV (cf. AC-23 below) are excluded since they do not involve a $M-S$ expansion as defined above.

> AC-23: Joan had been out there for two months with her
> deughter Jennifer, Tho sfter bad bout of pgeumonis had been ordered sunabine and a dry clinate.
> 2e dva meseca je bila tukaj s syoje heerje Jennifer, ta je bila orezivele hudo pliucnico in so ii sretarali reliko soncn in suhern rrate. \# _ and (they) recomended to her plenty of sunshine....

ENGL: elliptical clause -
SLOV: non-elliptical clause, e.g. A-58:

# A-58: A casual sort of message to leave for a sister that he night never see again - but in sona sugn the more casual, the better. <br> Hude ysakdanje besede, naneniene sestri, ki ie morebiti nikali vec ne bos videl - pravanprav pac boli ko so raakdanje, bolie jo. \#Anfully casual Hords intended for a sister sho (you) nay never see again - but, actually, mora cnsual as (ther) are, betiter (it) is. \# 

2.1.2 The criterion which we have terned "fornal" (see 2.1.1.1 above) is, in fact, strictly formal whereas the criterion of transparency is of a formal-semantic character (cf. Note 5) and thus nore in line with the general nature of translation. The two criteria overlap to considerable extent: all sentences that can be included in Sample A on the basis of the forner can also be included on the basis of the latter, but the converse does not hold true. In principle, we can therefore decide on the nore inclusive criterion, i.e. the criterion of transparency, while in pratice, especially during the prelininary conparison of the original and the translation, we can follow the simpler, strictly fornal criterion, resorting to the transparency criterion only in anbiguous cases.
2.1.3 In deternining the structure which expands in the translation, we have observed the "principle of locality", according to which the expanding structure is the one that expands directiy. (Cf. A-2 above, in which the whole sentence night be taken as the expanding structure (ENGL=sentence with a non-finte clause - SLOV $=$ sentence with a finite clause), but following the locality principle, the expanding structure is the ENGL non-finite clause only.) ${ }^{7}$
2.1.4 Since we are concerned with M-S expansions within the sentence boundary, we have not included in Sanple A cases of expansion at suprasentential level, such as those involving the addition of intersentential conjunctions or particles (cf. AC-37).

AC-37: /"I don t see why it always has to rain when one cones back to England. It nakes it all seem so depressing."/
"I think it's lovely to be back," said Jennifer.
/"Res ne ven, zakaj mora vselej detevati, kadar se

```
Clovek vrne v Anglijo. Zaradi dezja je vse videti
tako morece."/
"Jaz pa mislim, da se je kar prijetno vrniti,"je
odvrnila Jennifer.
#"I, however, think that..."...*
```

2.1.5 Occasionally a sentence has undergone a change in translation that could potentially be classed as M-S expansion but it seens that what is primarily involved is a semantic rather than a $M-S$ expansion. A typical example of this kind is the expansion resulting fron the addition of particles or adverbs expressing the speaker's connent on what he/she is saying (cf.AC-33 below). Such sentences have not been included in Sample A.

```
AC-33: I'll have to leave it vague.
2al ti ne morem vec povedati.
#Unfortunately, I cannot tell you more.#
```


### 2.2 Sample B

Sample $B$ comprises 450 randonly chosen sentences of the original ENGL text (Christie 1975). We have taken the first five sentences on each page fron the beginning of the story (page 7) o page 96 inclusive. with the exception of pages 53,88,89,90, where we have excluded the sentences in "foreigner's" English attributed to a non-native speaker, and replaced then by the innediately following sentence(s) in "genuine" English.
2.3 Both samples are relatively large so as to be representative of the two groups or, in the terminology of statistics, "populations", that they have been drawn fron: the population consisting of all sentences of the entire original text which have been morpho-syntactically expanded in translation and the population consisting of all sentences of the entire original text.
3. Criteria of the complexity of the original
3.1 Length of sentences (in terns of average number of words)
3.1.1 We will compute the average number of words in the sentences of Sample $A$ and Sanple $B$, the boundaries of the
sentence being the initial capital letter and the final punctuation mark (full stop, exclamation mark or question mark). 3.1.2 Let the symbol $X_{1}$ denote any of the $N$ values $X_{1}, X_{2}$, $X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n}$ assumed by a variable $X$ and the symbol
$\sum_{j=1}^{N} X$ the sum of all the $X_{j}$ s fron $j=1$ to $j=N$.
The arithmetic mean (or the average) of a set of $N$ numbers $X_{1}$, $X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{N}$ is denoted by $\bar{X}$ and defined as

(cf.Spiegel 1981,45)
3.1.3 We predict that the average number of words in the sentences of Sample $A$ will be greater than the average number of words in the sentences of Sample B. If this prediction is confirmed and if the results are statistically significant, the length of sentences expressed in terns of the average number of words nay be considered a valid criterion of the complexity of the original.
3.1.4 Statistical analysis


$$
\begin{aligned}
z= & \frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}{s d} \text { where } \\
\text { sa }= & s \bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}=\text { standard deviation of } \\
& \text { differences in means } \bar{X}_{1} \text { and } \bar{X}_{2} \\
& \text { in Sanple } 1 \text { and Sample } 2
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. Spiegel 1961, 170)
In the case under consideration, $z=9,6371 \cong 9,64$.
Results are significant at certain level of significance if the value of $z$ is greater than the critical value of $z$ at the given level of significance.

Critical values of $z$ at the level of significance $\alpha$ :
$\alpha=0.05: 120.051=1.96$
$\alpha=0,01: \mid z 0.011=2,57$
$\alpha=0,001: 120.0011=3.29$
Usually the results significant at the level of significance $\alpha=0,05$ ( $121>1,96$ ) are considered statisticallly significant, and those significant at the level of significance $\alpha=0,01$ ( $2 \mathrm{zl}>2,57$ ) are considered statistically highly significant. As the value of $z$ we have obtained is even greater than the critical value of $z$ at $\alpha=0,001$, we may conclude that the difference in the average length of sentences in Sanple A and Sanple $B$ is statistically highly significant.

The results of the statistical analysis perforned on our two samples suggest that the length of sentences expressed in terns of average number of words nay be considered a valid criterion of the conplexity of the original.
3.2 Length of sentences (in terns of average number of clauses) 3.2.1 The conplexity of the original does not seen to depend only on the average number of words in sentences, for the latter "... are made up of naming units /.../ and of clauses on the basis of clause patterns" (SLS84,419). Another criterion of the conplexity of the original could thus be the length of sentences expressed in terns of the average number of clauses.
3.2.2 We will calculate the average number of clauses in the sentences of Sanple $A$ and Sample $B$. Only complete, i.e. non-elliptical, finite clauses will be taken into account.

Prediction: the average number of clauses in the sentences
of Sample $A$ will be greater than the average number of clauses in the sentences of Sample B. If the prediction is confirned, and if the results are statistically significant, the length of sentences expressed in terns of the average number of clauses nay be considered a valid criterion of the complexity of the original.

The results obtained will be tested for significance by means of the standardized variable $z$ (cf. 3.1.5 above).

### 3.2.3 Statistical analysis

| SAMPLR A | SAMPLE B |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}=150$ | $\mathrm{N}_{2}=450$ |
| $\sum X_{1}=289$ | $\sum X_{2}=691$ |
| $\bar{X}_{1}=1,9267$ | $\chi_{2}=1.5356$ |
| $s_{1}=1,0776$ | $s_{2}=1,0852$ |
|  | $\bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2}$ |
|  | $z=3,8428 \cong 3,84$ |
|  | $120.0011=3,29$ |
|  | \|z1) 3,29: the difference in means is statistically highly |
|  | significant |


#### Abstract

3.2.4 Our prediction has been proved correct. The average number of clauses in the sentences of Sample $A$ is greater than the average number of clauses in the sentences of Sanple $B$, the difference being statistically highly significant. According to the results obtained, the length of sentences expressed in terns of the average number of clauses may be considered a valid criterion of the complexity of the original.


3.3 Length of phrases (in terns of average number of words)
3.3.1 In addition to the sentence, the phrase seens to be a granatical unit which is particularly suitable for the comparison of the two samples. This is due to several reasons.
(i) While the sentence is the highest unit in the grannatical hierarchy, the phrase is a unit which occupies an intermediate position, linking, as it were, the levels of norphology and (najor) syntax. Since we are concerned with morpho-syntactic expansions, a unit of this kind seens to be of
particular interest.
(ii) Like sentences, phrases exhibit the property of potentially indefinite extensibility, related to the recursive processes of embedding and conjoining (CGEL85, 43-7). Enbedding of phrases is restricted to noun and prepositional phrases (noun phrases may be repeatedly enbedded in prepositional phrases, and vice versa), whereas conjoining involves all types of phrases. Given that we are dealing with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ expansions, the phrase as a unit with inherent potential for extensibility merits special attention.
(iii) Finally, $M-S$ expansions frequently occur at the phrase level.
3.3.2 We will conpute the average number of words in individual types of complex phrases ${ }^{8.8}$ (noun phrase (NP), prepositional phrase ( $P$ ), verb phrase (VP), adjective phrase ( AdjP), adverb phrase (AdvP)) in the sentences of Sample $A$ and Sanple $B$, and test the following two predictions:

1) the average number of words in each type of phrase in Sample $A$ is greater then the average number of words in the corresponding type of phrase in Sample B.
2) the average number of words in NPs in Sample A is greater than the average number of words in NPs in Sanple B, and the average number of words in PPs in Sample A is greater than the average number of words in PPs in Sample B.

If the first prediction is proved correct and the results are statistically significant, it will be concluded that the length of phrases in general may be one of the valid criteria of the complexity of the original. If, however, only the second prediction is confirned (and the results are statistically significant), only the length of NPs and PPs may be considered a valid criterion. We expect that the latter is nore likely to be the case since NPs and PPs have greater potential for extensibility than the other types of phrases.

The significance of the results obtained will be tested by neans of the standardized variable $z$ (cf. 3.1.5 above).

### 3.3.3 Statistical analysis

## SAMPLE A

$N_{1}=175$
$\sum X_{1}=1037$
$\bar{X}_{1}=5,9257$
$s_{1}=6,3534$

## SAMPLE B

## NOUN PHRASES

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}=415 \\
& \sum_{2}= 1645 \\
& \bar{X}_{2}=3,9639 \\
& s_{2}=4,4561 \\
& \bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2} \\
& z=3,7174 \cong 3,72
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& 120,0011=3,29 \\
& \mid 21>3,29: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
$$

## PREPOSITIONAL PHRASE

$N_{1}=119$
$\sum X_{1}=854$
$\bar{X}_{1}=5,4958$
$s_{1}=4,3635$
$N_{2}=212$
$\sum X_{2}=858$
$X_{2}=4,0472$
$s_{2}=2,9377$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2} \\
& z=4,1942 \cong 4,19 \\
& |20,001|=3,29 \\
& |2|>3,29: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
$$

## verb phrase

$N_{1}=96$
$\sum X_{1}=242$
$\bar{X}_{1}{ }_{1}=2.5208$
$s_{1}=0.6162$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}=241 \\
& \sum_{1} X_{2}=575 \\
& \bar{X}_{2}=2,3859 \\
& s_{2}=0.5873
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}_{1}>\bar{x}_{2} \\
& z=1,4749 \cong 1,48 \\
& |z 0.05|=1,98 \\
& \mid z<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ADJECTIVE PHRASE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=26 \\
& \sum_{X_{1}}=160 \\
& \bar{X}_{1}=6,1538 \\
& s_{1}=5,1642
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
N_{2}=57
$$

$$
\sum X_{2}=294
$$

$$
\bar{x}_{2}{ }^{2}=5,1579
$$

$$
s 2=4,4359
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2} \\
& z=0,8506 \cong 0,85 \\
& |z 0.08|=1,96 \\
& |z|<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
$$

ADVERB PHRASE
$N_{1}=13$
$\sum X_{1}=35$
$\bar{X}_{1}=2,6923$
$s_{1}=1,6354$
$N_{2}=21$
TX $X=96$
$\bar{X}_{2}=4,5714$
$s_{2}=5,3681$
$\bar{X}_{1}<\bar{X}_{2}$
$z=-1,4959 \cong-1,50$
$120.081=1,96$
12|<1,96: the difference is statistically not significant
3.3.4 The first prediction has not been confirned in its entirety: the average number of words per phrase in Sanple $A$ is greater than the average number of words per phrase in Sample $B$ in the case of NPs, PPs, AdjPs, but not in the case of AdvPs.The difference in the averages is statistically significant only for NPs and PPs. Furthernore, the results concerning AdjPs and AdvPs are unreliable since the number of these phrases in Sanple A (and of AdvPs in Sanple B, as well) is relatively snall (N < 30). The question of whether the length of phrases in general may be a valid criterion of the complexity of the original therefore remains open.

The second prediction has been confirned, as expected. The average number of words in NPs in Sample A is greater than the average number of words in NPs in Sanple $B$, and the average number of words in PPs in Sample A is greater than the average nunber of words in PPs in Sanple B. In both cases the difference in the averages is statistically highly significant. The length of NPs and PPs, expressed in terns of the average number of words, may thus be considered a valid criterion of the
complexity of the original.
3.4 Presence of narked grannatical categories
3.4.1 The concept of markedness is, as pointed out by Lyons, "... a concept which covers a number of disparate and independent phenomena" (Lyons 1977,305). He hinself concentrates only on markedness related to lexenes, distinguishing formal, distributional and semantic markedness. However, it seens that this distinction is also relevant for the gramatical level. Thus, for instance, CGEL85,68-9 mentions morphological markedness, when "...a gramnatical or a senantic difference is realized by a contrast between the presence and the absence of an inflection..." (op.cit., 68) 10 , which can be considered an instance of fornal markedness. It is further noted that "the unnarked forn is /.../ frequently the tern which is more general in use or meaning..." (ibid.) ${ }^{11}$, which suggests distributional and semantic markedness. Distributional and senantic markedness are nutually interrelated. When a forn is senantically narked it is, as a rule, relatively more restricted in use, thus being also distributionally marked. Conversely, a senantically unnarked forn is nornally also distributionally unmarked.

In view of the above, the concept of markedness may be defined as follows:

MARREDNESSder is a concept which refers to grannatical contrasts whose elements are formally andor semantically unequal in terns of the presence/absence of a particular formal feature and/or in terns of greater/snaller degree of senantic restrictiveness. 12

To this definition of markedness a psycholinguistic dinension should perhaps be added: the marked elenent of a contrast is the element which is acquired later in the course of language acquisition than the unnarked one, and is lost (e.g. in the case of aphasia) sooner than the unnarked one.
3.4.2 Our investigation of the presence of marked gramatical categories as possible criterion of the complexitiy of the original is based on the following six contrastive pairs: ${ }^{13}$

## UNMARKED

1-ACTIVE CLAUSE
2-dECLARATIVE CLAUSE
3-AFFIRMATIVE CLAUSE

MARKED
PASSIVE CLAUSE
non-declarative clause
negative clause

4-SIMPLE PRESENT
5-COMPOUND PRESENT
6-SIMPLE VERB PHRASE

SIMPLE NON-PRESENT
COMPOUND NON-PRESENT
COHPLEX VERB PHRASE

These pairs have been chosen for two reasons.
(i) In most pairs (i.e. pairs $1,2,3,6$ ) the polarity of markingit is determined within general linguistic theory and hence considered universal. This is important, for we are here concerned with the complexity of the original itself and not with translation difficulties that may occur when the source language ( $S L$ ) and the target language (TL) come into contact. In principle, it is therefore better to avoid pairs with language-specific polarity of marking: if the polarity of marking in the $S L$ turned out be different from that in the $T L, 15$ then translation difficulties night be be attributed to the difference between the $S L$ and the $T L$ rather than to the complexity of the original itself.

The pairs SIMPLE PRESENT/SIMPLE NON-PRESENT and COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOUND NON-PRESENT reflect the special nature of the categories of tense and aspect in ENGL, and are thus languagespecific. They represent a conbination of two presumably universal contrastive pairs, PRESENT TENSE (unnarked)/ NON-PRESENT TENSE (narked) and SIMPLE TENSE(unnarked) / COMPOUND TENSE (narked).
(ii) Some of the pairs involve syntactic categories (1-3), and sone morphological (4,5) or morpho-syntactic categories (6), which is relevant considering our object of inguiry, i.e. morpho-syntactic expansions.
3.4.3 Proceeding from the assumption that the complexity of the original may depend, inter alia, on the presence of marked grannatical categories, we will exanine Sample A and Sanple B for the presence of unmarked and marked categories of the contrastive pairs given above, and will try to establish the probability of occurrence of marked categories in each sample.

Clauses at all levels of sentence and phrase structure are taken into account, provided they are complete (i.e. nonelliptical) and finite. Non-finite, verbless and other types of clauses are not included in the analysis.

The pair DECLARATIVE/NON-DECLARATIVE CLAUSE is related to the fornal, syntactic classification of clauses/sentences and not to their "discursive function" (CGEL85,803) or "syntactic
mood" (SLS84,429). Following the usual formal classification, we distinguish four basic types of clauses: declarative, interrogative, imperative and exclanative. The category of NON-DECLARATIVE CLAUSE thus covers interrogative, imperative and exclanative clauses. The so-called "indirect questions" are considered interrogative, hence NON-DECLARATIVE, regardless of whether the superodinate clause is DECLARATIVE or NON-DECLARATIVE.

The pair AFFIRMATIVE/NEGATIVE CLAUSE is relevant for both declarative and non-declarative clauses, with the exception of exclanative clauses, which nornally cannot be negative (cf. CGEL85,88). Exclamative clauses are therefore not considered in connection with the AFFIRMATIVE/NEGATIVE paraneter.

The pair SIMPLE PRESENT/SIMPLE NON-PRESENT refers to the ENGL tenses known as "simple (indefinite) tenses": The Simple Present Tense, The Simple Present Perfect Tense, The Simple Past Tense and The Sinple Past Perfect Tense, while the pair COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOUND NON-PRESENT refers to the so-called "continuous (progressive) tenses": The Present Continuous Tense. The Present Perfect Continuous Tense, The Past Continuous Tense and The Past Perfect Continuous Tense. The Simple Present Tense is SIMPLE PRESENT, all the other simple tenses being SIMPLE NON-PRESENT, and The Present Continuous Tense is COMPOUND PRESENT, all the other continuous tenses being COMPOUND NON-PRESENT. Excluded fron the analysis concerning the above tense paraneters are verb phrases containing nodal auxiliaries or any other modal elenents and imperative verb phrases.

Concerning the parameter SIMPLE/COMPLEX VERB PHRASE, the analysis is restricted to finite verb phrases, non-finite verb phrases being excluded, which is in accordance with a sinilar restriction applied in the case of clauses (cf. above). ${ }^{18}$
3.4.4 We predict that the probability of occurrence of $a$ marked category will be greater in Sample A than in Sample B. If the prediction is confirned for the najority of the contrastive pairs concerned, and if the difference in probability is statistically significant, the presence of marked categories may be considered a valid criterion of the conplexity of the original.

Using the terninology of probability theory, let $A$ be the event "narked category". The probability of occurrence of an
event (called its "success") is $p$ while the probability of non-occurrence (called its "failure") is $9=1-p$. For a given sample $i$, the proportion of successes (i.e. the probability of success) $P_{i}$ is given by

```
        P
    ai = number of successes in sample i
        (here: number of occurrences of A, i.e. of the
        marked category of a given contrastive pair)
ni = number of successes and failures in sample i
    (here: number of occurrences and
    non-occurrences of the marked category of a
    given contrastive pair)
We will compute \(P_{1}\) for each marked category in Sample \(A\) and \(P_{1}\) in Sanple \(B\). The difference between \(P_{i}\) in Sample \(A\) and Sample \(B\) will be tested for significance by neans of the standardized variable \(z\). In the case of differences of proportions, \(z\) is
``` given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
z= & \frac{P_{1}-P_{2}}{S_{d}} \quad \text { where } \\
\text { sd }= & \text { spi-P2 }=\begin{array}{l}
\text { standard deviation of differences in } \\
\\
\text { proportions } P_{1} \text { and } P_{2} \text { in Sample } 1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]
(cf. Spiegel 1961, 171)
3.4.5 Statistical analysis

\section*{SAMPLE A}

\section*{SAMPLE B}

ACTIVE CLAUSE/PASSIVE CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=25\)
\(a_{2}=27\)
\(n_{1}=289\)
\(n_{2}=691\)
\(P_{1}=0,0865\)
\(P_{2}=0,0391\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& S_{d}=0,0157 \\
& z=3,0206 \cong 3,02 \\
& |z 0.01|=2,57 \\
& |z|>2,57: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

DECLARATIVE CLAUSE/NON-DECLARATIVE CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=13\)
\(a_{2}=55\)
\(n_{1}=289\)
\(P_{1}=0,0450\)
\(n_{2}=691\)
\(P_{2}=0,0796\)
\[
P_{1}<P_{2}
\]
\(S_{d}=0.0178\)
\(z=-1,9444 \cong-1,94\)
\(120,051=1,96\)
|z|<1,96: the difference is statistically not significant
affirmative clause/negative clause
\(a_{1}=27\)
\(n_{1}=287\)
\(a_{2}=61\)
\(\mathrm{n}_{2}=683\)
\(P_{1}=0,0941\)
\(P_{2}=0,0893\)
\[
P_{1}>P_{2}
\]
sd \(=0,0202\)
\(z=0.2358 \cong 0.24\)
\(120.051=1,96\)
|z| \(<1,96\) : the difference is statistically not significant

SIMPLE PRESENT/SIMPLE NON-PRESENT
\(a_{1}=213\)
\(n_{1}=251\)
\(P_{1}=0.8486\)
\(\mathrm{an}_{2}=374\)
\(n_{2}=546\)
\(P_{2}=0,6850\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& S_{d}=0,0336 \\
& z=4,8706 \cong 4,87 \\
& |z 0,001|=3,29 \\
& i z 1>3,29: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOUND NON-PRESENT
\(a_{1}=11\)
\(a_{2}=15\)
\(n_{1}=11\)
\(n_{2}=22\)

Both \(n_{1}\) and \(n_{2}\) are too small ( \(n<30\) ) for the statistical
analysis to be meaningful.

\section*{SImple verb phrase/COMPLEX verb phrase}
\(a_{1}=113\)
\(n_{1}=289\)
\(P_{1}=0,3910\)
\(\mathrm{a}_{2}=260\)
\(n_{2}=691\)
\(P_{2}=0,3763\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,0340 \\
& z=0,4333 \cong 0,43 \\
& |z 0,05|=1,96 \\
& |z|<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
\]
3.4.6 Our prediction that \(P_{1}\) in Sample \(A\) is greater than \(P_{1}\) in Sample \(B\) has been confirned for the narked categories PASSIVE CLAUSE, NEGATIVE CLAUSE, SIMPLE NON-PRESENT and COMPLEX VERB PHRASE but not for the narked category NON-DECLARATIVE CLAUSE. In the case of one contrastive pair, COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOUND NON-PRESENT, the statistical analysis has not been performed since the number of these categories is too snall in both samples. The results are statistically significant only as regards PASSIVE CLAUSE and SIMPLE NON-PRESENT, i.e. for 2 out of 6 pairs under investigation. Does this mean that the criterion "presence of marked gramnatical categories" should be (sinilarly as with the criterion involving the length of phrases) nade nore specific so as to include only sone narked categories? If we decide to modify it in this way, then we will, of course, have to specify which categories are relevant. This, however, might prove to be a rather difficult task, for, as distinct from phrases, the number and kind of contrastive pairs is not fixed in advance. On the other hand, it is noteworthy that our prediction about the probability of occurrence of marked categories has been confirned for the majority of pairs chosen for analysis. Thus it seems that we can tentatively adopt the criterion as fornulated, i.e. "presence of marked grannatical categories", and consider it potentially valid, the specification of relevant categories being a matter for further investigation.
3.5 Presence of relatively nore conplex types of subject and object
3.5.1 With respect to the way they are realized, subjects and
objects may be more or less complex.
Assuming that the type of subject and object may have a bearing on the complexity of the original, we shall exanine Sample A and Sample B for the presence of various types of subject and object associated with the following contrastive pairs: \({ }^{17}\)

LESS COMPLEX
1-UNEXPRESSED SUBJECT
2-NON-CLAUSAL SUBJECT
3-SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE
4-PRONOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

5-NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

6-PRONOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

7-PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE

8-NON-CLAUSAL OBJECT
9-OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE
10-PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

11-NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

12-PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE

13-PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE

MORE COMPLEX
EXPRESSED SUBJECT
CLAUSAL SUBJECT
SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A
ClaUSE
NOUN SUBJECT WITH A ClaUSE

NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT
A CLAUSE
NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
CLAUSAL OBJECT
OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A Clause
NOUN OBJECT WITH A ClaUSE
NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A clause
NUUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE

In Sample A, we consider subjects/objects in clause Ca, which is defined as any clause of the original ENGL text which is morpho-syntactically expanded in translation, the expansion involving either the clause as a whole or its innediate or non-imediate constituents. In addition to this rather general definition, a number of principles are observed in determining \(C_{A}\) (see Chapter \(3 / I .1\) (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions")). The sane principles are followed in identifying clause \(C B\), i.e. any clause of Sample \(B\) which is to be examined for the presence of various types of subject/object given above (see Chapter 3/II.1 (Description of the database "Control Group")).
3.5.2 We shall compute the probability of occurrence of each more complex type of subject/object in Sample A and Sample B.

Prediction: the probability of occurrence of a more complex type of subject/object in Sample \(A\) is greater than that in Sanple B.

The results will be tested for statistical significance by neans of the standardized variable \(z\).

The equations used will be the same as those in 3.4 .4 above:
```

P1 = 的
P1 = probability of success in sample i
(here: probability of occurrence of the nore
complex type of subject/object of a
given contrastive pair)
ai = number of successes in sample i
(here: number of occurrences of the nore conplex
type of subject/object of a given
contrastive pair)
ni = number of successes and failures in sample i
(here: number of occurrences and non-occurrences
of the nore conplex type of subject/object
of a given contrastive pair
z = \frac { P _ { 1 } - P _ { 2 } } { Sd } \quad where
Sd= SP1-P2= standard deviation of differences
in proportions }\mp@subsup{P}{1}{}\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{P}{2}{}\mathrm{ in
Sample 1 and Sample 2
3.5.3 Statistical analysis

```

SAMPLE A
SAMPLE B
UNEXPRESSED SUBJECT/EXPRESSED SUBJECT
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(a_{1}=183\) & \(a_{2}=684\) \\
\(n_{1}=343\) & \(n_{2}=851\) \\
\(P_{1}=0,5335\) & \(P_{2}=0,8038\)
\end{tabular}
\(P_{1}<P_{2}\)
sd \(=0,0285\)
\(z=-9,4748 \cong-9,47\)
\(120.0021=3,29\)
\(|z|>3,29:\) the difference is statistically highly significant

NON-CLAUSAL SUBJECT/CLAUSAL SUBJECT
\(a_{1}=5\)
\(\mathrm{a}_{2}=12\)
\(n_{1}=183\)
\(n_{2}=684\)
\(P_{1}=0,0273\)
\(P_{2}=0,0175\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& \mathbf{S d}_{\mathbf{d}}=0,0115 \\
& z=0,8474 \cong 0,85 \\
& \mid z 0.081=1,96 \\
& i z 1<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=9\)
\(n_{1}=178\)
\(a_{2}=26\)
\(P_{1}=0,0506\)
\(n_{2}=672\)
\(P_{2}=0,0387\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& S_{\mathbf{d}}=0,0167 \\
& z=0,7087 \cong 0,71
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
120.051 \cong 1.96
\]
\[
|2|<1,96 \text { : the difference is statistically }
\] not significant

PRONOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(\mathbf{a}_{1}=0\)
\(\mathrm{a}_{2}=9\)
\(n_{1}=106\)
\(n_{2}=439\)

Since \(a_{1}=0\) and \(a_{1}\) is also very small. the statistical analysis cannot be neaningfully applied.
```

NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE

```
\(a_{1}=9\)
\(n_{1}=72\)
\(P_{1}=0,1250\)
\(a_{2}=17\)
\(n_{2}=233\)
\(P_{2}=0,0730\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,0377 \\
& z=1,3821 \cong 1,38 \\
& |z 0,05|=1,96 \\
& |z|<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
$$

```

PROHOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE
\(\mathbf{a}_{1}=63\)
\(n_{1}=169\)
\(P_{1}=0,3728\)
\(a_{2}=216\)
\(n_{2}=646\)
\(P_{2}=0,3344\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,0410 \\
& z=0,9371 \cong 0,94 \\
& 1 z 0.051=1,96 \\
& |z|<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE/NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=9\)
\(\mathbf{a z}_{2}=17\)
\(n_{1}=9\)
n2 \(=25\)

Both \(n_{2}\) and \(n_{2}\) are too small ( \(n<30\) ) for the statistical anlaysis to be relevant.

NON-CLAUSAL OBJECT/CLAUSAL OBJECT
\(a_{1}=20\)
\(a_{2}=54\)
\(n_{1}=54\)
\(n_{2}=238\)
\(P_{1}=0,3704\)
\(P_{2}=0.2269\)
\(P_{1}>P_{2}\)
sd \(=0.0656\)
\(z=2,1884 \cong 2,19\)
\(120.051=1,96\)
|z|>1,96: the difference is statistically significant

OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/OB.JECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=8\)
\(n_{1}=34\)
\(a_{2}=12\)
\(\mathrm{n}_{2}=184\)
\(P_{1}=0,2353\)
\(P_{2}=0,0652\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,0539 \\
& z=3,1563 \cong 3,16 \\
& 1 z 0.011=2,57 \\
& 1 z 1>2,57: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=0\)
\(82=3\)
\(n_{1}=8\)
\(n_{2}=83\)

Since \(a_{1}=0\) and \(n_{1}\) is snall ( \(n_{1}<30\) ), the statistical analysis cannot be meaningfully applied.

NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=8\)
\(\mathbf{a 2}_{2}=9\)
\(a_{1}=26\)
\(\mathrm{n}_{2}=101\)
\(P_{1}=0,3077\)
\(P_{2}=0,0891\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,0749 \\
& z=2,9191 \cong 2,92 \\
& |z 0,01|=2,57 \\
& |z|>2.57: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { highly significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=18\)
\(n_{1}=26\)
\(P_{1}=0,6923\)
\(\mathrm{az}_{2}=92\)
\(n_{2}=172\)
\(P_{2}=0,5349\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& s_{d}=0,1046 \\
& z=1,5056 \cong 1,51 \\
& 120.051=1,96 \\
& 121<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
\]

PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE/NOUN OBJECT WITH CLAUSE
\(a_{1}=8\)
\(\mathrm{a}_{2}=9\)
\(n_{1}=8\)
\(n_{2}=12\)

The statistical analysis cannot be neaninfully applied since \(n_{1}\) and \(n 2\) are too small.
3.5.4 Our prediction has been confirned for the following nore complex types of subject and object:
- CLAUSAL SUBJECT fron the pair NON-CLAUSAL SUBJECT/ CLAUSAL SUBJECT
- SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE fron the pair SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE fron the pair NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE fron the pair PRONOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/ NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A Clause
- CLAUSAL OBJECT fron the pair NON-CLAUSAL OBJECT/ CLAUSAL OBJECT
- OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE fron the pair OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/OBJECT WITH a Clause
- NOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE fron the pair NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/NOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE fron the pair PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/ NOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE
(It is interesting to note that the prediction has been confirned for the same types of subject and object.)

The prediction has not been confirned in the case of EXPRESSED SUBJECT fron the pair UNEXPRESSED SUBJECT/EXPRESSED SUBJECT.

The following pairs of subjects and objects have not been statistically analysed since the number of subjects and objects concerned is too small either in Sample \(A\) or in both samples:
- PRONOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- PRONOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE/NOUN SUBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- PRONOUN OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE
- PRONOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE/NOUN OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE.

The results are statistically significant for the pairs UNEXPRESSED SUBJECT/EXPRESSED SUBJECT, NON-CLAUSAL OBJECT/ CLAUSAL OBJECT, OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE/OBJECT WITH A CLAUSE and
noun object without a Clause/noun object with a clause.
Since the results concerning those pairs for which the statistical analysis has been perforned and the prediction has been confirned (8 pairs) are statistically significant only for 3 pairs, it might be concluded that the presence of relatively nore complex types of subject and object cannot be a valid criterion of the complexity of the original. Yet the fact that the prediction has been confirmed for the majority of pairs which have been statistically analysed suggests that the criterion nay be considered as potentially valid. The question as to the number and kind of relevant types of subjects and objects, however, remains open for further investigation.
3.6 It may be assumed that the complexity of complex noun phrases (NPs) and, indirectly, of the original may be related to the number of modifiers, the number of components of modifiers and the type of components of postmodifiers in complex NPs. Possible criteria of the conplexity of the original could thus be the following: number of modifiers in conplex NPs, number of components of modifiers in complex NPs and the presence of clausal components in postmodifiers of complex NPs.

The validity of the criteria proposed above will be tested by means of a statistical anlaysis of Sample \(A\) and Sample B. The analysis of Sample \(A\) is linited to those NPs which are directly expanded in translation (see NPo/bx, Chapter 3/I.1 ( Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions")), while the analysis of Sample \(B\) includes NPs at all levels of phrase and clause structure in the first three out of the first five sentences on each page of the original ENGL text (Christie 1975, 7-96) which make up Sanple B. \({ }^{1 e}\)
3.6.1 Number of modifiers in complex noun phrases
3.6.1.1 A complex NP may contain a prenodifer andor a postmodifier. We shall conpute the average number modifiers of complex NPs in sample A and Sample B.

We predict that the average number of nodifiers of conplex NPs in Sanple A is greater than the average number of nodifiers of complex NPs in Sample B.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline SAMPLE A & SAMPLE B \\
\hline \(\mathrm{N}_{1}=39\) & \(\mathrm{N}_{2}=342\) \\
\hline \(\sum X_{1}=65\) & \[
\sum X_{2}=403
\] \\
\hline \(\bar{X}_{1}=1,6667\) & \(\bar{X}_{2}=1,1784\) \\
\hline \(s_{1}=0,4714\) & \(s_{2}=0,3828\) \\
\hline & \(\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{1}>\overline{\mathrm{X}}_{2}\) \\
\hline & \(z=6,2388 \cong 8,24\) \\
\hline & \(120.0011=3,29\) \\
\hline & 121>3,29: the difference is statistically highly significant \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}= & \text { standard deviation of sanple } i \\
z= & \frac{\bar{X}_{1}-\bar{X}_{2}}{S d} \quad \text { where } \\
s d= & s \bar{X} 1-\bar{X}_{2}=\text { standard deviation of } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { differences in neans } X_{1} \text { and } X_{2} \\
\\
\text { in Sanple } 1 \text { and Sample } 2
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]
3.6.1.3 Our prediction has been confirmed \(\left(\bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2}\right)\), and the result obtained is statistically highly significant. In view of this, the number of nodifiers in complex noun phrases may be considered a valid criterion of the complexity of the original.
3.6.2 Number of components of modifiers in complex noun phrases 3.6.2.1 A nodifier (prenodifier or postnodifier) in a complex NP may consist of one or more components.

We shall conpute the average number of components of rodifiers in conplex NPs in Sample \(A\) and in Sample \(B\).

Prediction: the average number of modifiers in conplex NPs is greater in Sanple A than in Sample B.

SAMPLE A
\(N_{1}=64\)
\(\sum X_{1}=97\)
\(\bar{X}_{1}=1,5156\)
\(s_{1}=0,8659\)

\section*{SAMPLR B}
\[
\begin{aligned}
N_{2} & =403 \\
\Gamma X_{2} & =524 \\
\bar{X}_{2} & =1,3002 \\
s_{2} & =0,5240
\end{aligned}
\]
\(\bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2}\)
\(z=1,9344 \cong 1,93\)
\(120,051=1,96\)
|z1<l,96: the difference is statistically not significant
3.6.2.3 The prediction being confirned ( \(\bar{X}_{1}>\bar{X}_{2}\) ) and the difference in the averages closely approaching statistical significance ( \(z=1,93,120.051=1,96\) ), the number of components of modifiers in complex noun phrases may be considered a potentially valid criterion of the complexity of the original.

\subsection*{3.6.3 Presence of clausal components of postnodifiers in complex noun phrases}
3.6.3.1 Components of postmodifiers in complex NPs may be clausal or non-clausal. A clausal component is assuned to be nore conplex than a non-clausal one.

We shall conpute the probability of occurrence of a clausal component in postmodifiers of complex NPs in Sample A and Sample B.

We predict that the probability of occurrence of a clausal component in Sample \(A\) is greater than in Sanple B. 3.6.3.2 Statistical analysis

SAMPLR A
\(a_{1}=30\)
\(n_{1}=61\)
\(P_{1}=0,4918\)

\section*{SAMPLR B}
\(a_{2}=41\)
\(n_{2}=111\)
\(P_{2}=0,3694\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}>P_{2} \\
& \mathrm{Sd}_{\mathrm{d}}=0,0785 \\
& z=1,5603 \cong 1,56 \\
& |z 0,05|=1,96 \\
& |z|<1,96: \text { the difference is statistically } \\
& \quad \text { not significant }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

P1 = probability of success in sample i
(here: probability of occurrence of a clausal
component)
Pi}=\frac{\mp@subsup{a}{1}{}}{
ai = number of successes in sample i
(here: number of occurrences of a clausal
component)
ni = number of successes and failures in sample i
(here: number of occurrences and non-occurrences
of a clausal component)
z=}\frac{\mp@subsup{P}{1}{}-\mp@subsup{P}{2}{}}{sd
Sa= SP1-P2= standard deviation of differences in
proportions P1 and P2 in Sample 1
and Sample 2

```
3.6.3.3 The prediction has been confirmed ( \(P_{1}>P_{2}\) ), but the result is statistically not significant. The presence of clausal components in postmodifiers of complex noun phrases may thus be considered a potentially valid criterion of the complexity of the original.
4. Concluding remarks
4.1 The assessment of the validity of the criteria chosen for investigation involves two requirements for a given criterion to be considered valid: the relevant prediction nust be confirned and the results must be statistically significant.
4.1.1 Both reqirements have been met in the case of the following criteria:
-length of sentences (in terns of average number of words)
-length of sentences (in terms of average number of clauses)
-number of modifiers in complex noun phrases.
4.1.2 The criteria:
-number of components of modifiers in complex noun phrases -presence of clausal components in postmodifiers of complex noun phrases.
fulfil only the first of the two requirenents mentioned above the relevant prediction has been confirmed but the results are not statistically significant. Since one requirement has not
been net, these criteria could be rejected as invalid. However, it must be borne in mind that the statistical anlaysis has been perforned on only two samples, which hardly provides a reliable basis for any definitive conclusions. In addition to this, the fact that one requirement has been fulfilled suggests that in spite of the results being statistically non-significant, these criteria might nevertheless be valid. It therefore seens reasonable to consider all criteria for which the relevant prediction has been confirned as potentially yalid.

\subsection*{4.1.3 The criteria:}
-length of phrases (in terns of average number of words)
-presence of marked grannatical categories
-presence of relatively nore conplex types of subject and object
differ from all the criteria discussed above in that they are defined in a more general way and in that their validity has been examined with respect to individual types of phrases, marked categories and subjects/objects respectively. The statistical analysis thus involves several calculations for each criterion. This nay pose additional difficulties since the results obtained nay differ as regards the relevant prediction and statistical significance.
4.1.3.1 Length of phrases (in terns of average number of words)

The relevant prediction has been confirned for the najority of phrases (i.e. for NPs, PPs, VPs and AdjPs) but the results are statistically significant in the case of NPs and PPs only. The criterion can thus be considered potentially valid (cf. 4.1.2 above).

Alternatively, the criterion may be nodified so as to include only NPs and PP. The modification seems justified not only in view of the statistical significance of results concerning these two types of phrases but also because NPs and PPs have greater potential for extensibility than the other types of phrases (cf. 3.3.1 above), and nay therefore have a greater bearing on the complexity of the original. The nodified criterion can be fornulated as follows: "length of noun phrases and length of prepositional phrases (in terms of average number of words)".

\subsection*{4.1.3.2 Presence of narked grannatical categories}

Of the six contrastive pairs exanined the relevant prediction has been confirned for four narked categories (the results being significant for two of these) and not confirned for one narked category. For one contrastive pair the statitistical analysis has not been perforned since the number of categories involved is very snall in both sanples. On the basis of the results obtained, we may conclude that the criterion "presence of marked grannatical categories" is a potentially valid criterion of the complexity of the original. The number and kind of relevant marked categories, however, can be specified with sone certainity only after extensive research based on statistical analysis of a large number of samples has been carried out.
4.1.3.3 Presence of relatively more conplex types of subject and object
We have considered seven contrastive pairs of subjects and six contrastive pairs of objects. The relevant prediction has been confirned in the case of four nore complex types of subject and four more conplex types of object (the results concerning three of the latter being statistically significant). For one type of nore complex subject the prediction has not been confirned. In the case of two contrastive pairs of subjects and two two contrastive pairs of objects the statistical analysis has not been perforned since Sanple A and/or Sample B contain/s only a very snall number of the types of subject and object involved. In view of the above, the criterion "presence of relatively nore conplex types of subject and object" nay be considered potentially valid, the specification of the number and kind of relevant types of subject and object being a natter reguiring further research (cf.4.1.3.2 above).
4.2 All our findings concerning the criteria of the complexity of the original are relalativized by the fact that they are based on the results of statistical analysis of only two samples. If broad generalizations are to be nade, a large number of sanples involving various types of text should be analysed.
4.3 The original nay be complex to a greater or lesser degree. All original which is complex according to one of the criteria
chosen as neasures of conplexity is not necessarily (although it usually is) complex according to the other criteria as well. In connection with this, the following assumption can be nade: the greater the number of criteria of complexity net by a particular text the greater its degree of complexity, and vice versa. While this assunption as such seens plausible, the question as to which factors considered in establishing the criteria have a greater and which a snaller "cumulative" effect on the complexity of the original remains open for further investigation.

\section*{N OTES to Chapter 2}

\begin{abstract}
1 The unit of translation nay be conplex in itself or it may be complex because its environnent is complex. For this reason, the translation difficulty which we have terned "the conplexity of the unit of translation" will be hereafter referred to as "the conplexity of the original".
\end{abstract}

2 "A-..." stands for exanple number..." and refers to a sentence in Sample \(A\) and its corresponding translation. "AC..." refers to a sentence fron the original ENGL text (Christie 1975) which has not been included in Sanple \(A\), and its corresponding translation, the number following denotes the page in the original ENGL text on which the sentence occurs, e.g. AC-32, and numbers in round brackets, e.g. (1), are used with reference to exanples taken from other texts or nade up for the purpose of exenplification.

3 Bold type denotes a structure which is directly expanded in translation, and underlining is used to mark the part of the sentence which represents its innediate syntactic environnent. In cases where an independent clause as a whole undergoes expansion, the entire clause is underlined (cf. A-68). (For more detail see Chapter 3/I.1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions").)

4 Back-translation is provided when deened necessary for explanatory purposes. It includes only that part of the SLOV sentence and those details which are relevant in the context under discussion. As a rule, literal back-translation is given, which may occasionally result in ungramaticality. The latter, however, cannot always be avoided lest some details bearing on the exanple under consideration night be obscured. Backtranslation is narked by \#\#.

5 When discussing non-finite and verbless clauses, CGEL85 notes that these clauses "...are valuable as a means of syntactic conpression" (CGEL85, 995). which results in greater
"compactness" (ibid.). Transparency is closely related to compactness: the more transparent an expression is the less compact it is, and vice versa. Furthernore, a syntactically compact expression is also senantically compact, sonetines even anbiguous (ibid.), and a syntactically transparent expression is also semantically transparent, the forner thus being more difficult to decode than the latter. In the nost general sense, the notions of transparency and compactness thus cover both syntactic and senantic transparency and compactness respectively, and it is in this sense that these notions will be used throughout the present work.

B / / denotes the sentence(s) preceding or following the sentence under consideration which is(are) relevant for the interpretation of the latter.

7 The principle of locality is dealt with in greater detail in Chapter 4/I.1.1.
a A phrase is conplex if it contains (in addition to the headword) one or more premodifiers and/or one or more postmodifiers, or two or more coordinate or appositive elements. A phrase is simple if it consists of the headword only. A prepositional phrase is always conplex since, by definition, it comprises at least two elements, i.e. a preposition and its object.
- For a detailed discussion of the type of complex phrases included in the analysis, see Chapter 3/I.1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions).

10 E.g. the plural (narked) : singular (unmarked) form of regular nouns in ENGL (CGEL85, 68).

11 E.g. the ENGL "progressive aspect" (narked) : "nonprogressive aspect"(unmarked) - CGEL85.89.

12 While formal inequality is a relation of an all-or-nothing" type, senantic inequality is a relation of a "more-or-less" type. In reference to senantic markedness, the terns "nore narked" and "less narked" would therefore seen nore appropriate than the absolute terns "marked" and "unmarked".

13 If viewed in isolation, the right column seems to involve a paradox: both SIMPLE NON-PRESENT and COMPOUNL NON-PRESENT are listed under the heading MARKED. However, the paradox is only apparent. Harkedness is a relational concept and a marked category is considered narked with respect to its counterpart in the given contrastive pair (e.g. SIMPLE NON-PRESENT is narked with respect to SIMPLE PRESENT, and COMPOUND NON-PRESENT is narked with respect to COMPOUND PRESENT).

\footnotetext{
14 That is, which of the two elements in a contrastive pair is the marked one. The tern "polarity of marking" is taken from Catford 1965, 73.

15 Cf., for instance, the polarity of marking related to the category of aspect in ENGL and SLOV: ENGL= "progressive"
}
(marked) : "non-progressive" (unmarked) SLOV= "imperfective" (unmarked) : "perfective" (marked).

18 For a detailed description of the principles observed in the analysis of both samples with respect to the given parameters, see Chapter \(3 / I .1\) (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions").

17 As in the case of marked categories, each individual type of more complex subject/object is to be considered more complex with respect to its counterpart in the given contrastive pair. A certain type of subject/object nay thus occur both in the left and the right column (e.g. NOUN SUBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE) and types of subject/object such as NOUN SUBJECT/OBJECT WITN A CLAUSE and NOUN SUBJECT/OBJECT WITHOUT A CLAUSE may occur in the right column. (Cf. Note 13 above).

18 For the principles followed in counting the nodifiers and the components of modifiers, see Chapter 3/I. 1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions").

\section*{Chapter 3}

\section*{MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONS DATABASES}

\section*{I. DATABASE "MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONS"}
1. Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions"

The analysis of 150 examples of morpho-syntactic (M-S) expansions (which have occurred in the translation of 150 sentences making up Sanple A (cf. Chapter 2/2.1)) is presented in the form of a database. Each example constitutes a data record with the following data fields \({ }^{1}\) :
1. EXAMPLE No.:
2. ENGL TEXT:
3. PAGE: 4.LINE:
5. SLOV TEXT:
B. PAGE: 7.LINE:
४. No./WORDS in \(\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
9. No./CLAUSES in Sa:
10.No./NPo in Sa:
11.No./WORDS in NPo (in Sa):
12.No./PP in \(\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
13.No./WORDS in PP (in \(S_{A}\) ):
14.No./VP。 in \(\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
15.No./WOKDS in VPo (in SA):

1B.No./AdjPc in \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
17.No./WORDS in AdjPo (in \(S_{A}\) ):
18.No./AdvP。 in \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
19.No./WORDS in AdvPo (in \(S_{A}\) ):
20. MARKED CATEGORIES in Sa:
21. UNMARKED CATEGORIES in \(\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
22.No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
23. No.PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle-c\rangle\) in \(C_{a}\) :
24.No.PRONOUN SUBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
25. No./NOUN SUBJECTS \((-C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
26.No./NOUN SUBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
27.No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in Ca:
28.No./PRONOUN OBJECTS (-c) in Ca:
29.No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
30.No. NOUN OBJECTS \((-C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
31.No./NOUN OBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{A}\) :
32.No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) :
33. No./MODIFIERS in NPo/ex (in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) ):
34.No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPo/bx (in CA):
35.TYPE of COMPONENT(S) of POSTMODIFIER in NPo/bx (in Ca):
36.ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE:
37. ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE:
38. EXPANDING STRUCTURE:
39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE:
40.RANK of IMMEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTUREbNOL:
41.TYPE of EXPANSION:
42. GROUNDS for CLASSIFICATON:
43. NOTES:

Fields 1-7 contain general data about each example, fields 8-35 data relating to the criteria of the complexity of the original (cf.Chapter 2). fields 35-42 data about the M-S expansion involved, and field 43 notes to each individual example of \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion.

Fields 1-1
1. EXAMPLE No.: \(=\) numher of exanple
2. ENGL TEXT: = English text

This field contains the ENGL sentence which involves the structure expanding in translation.

In cases where the preseding or following sentence(s) are relevant for the analysis of the expansion under consideration, the later is(are) given as well, and is(are) marked by / /. e.g.:

> A-117:2 / Isn't that what I want to follow on here now?/
> Someone to pour nem life inte the school.

A non-first and a non-last sentence in direct speech are marked by /".../ and /..."/ respectively, e.g.:

> A-78: \(/\).../Why did Bob Rawlinson spend twenty minutes in his sister s bedroon when she was out and
he had been told that she was not likely to return until erening?/ . ." /
Bold type is used to denote the directly expanding structure, e.g.:

A-4: Yarious melcoming Hords and phrases, uttered Cracionsly by Miss Yansittarte floated through the house.
(The directly expanding structure is the non-finite clause "uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart.)

Underlining is used for that part of the sentence which includes the directly expanding structure and its inmediate syntactic environment. The latter may be the structure whose immediate or non-imnediate constituent is the directly expanding structure (cf. \(A-22, A-4\) below), or the structure which is paratactically related to the expanding structure (cf. A-6 below). In cases where an independent clause as a whole undergoes expansion, the entire clause is underlined (cf.A-68 below).

A-22: Miss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle reassurance. rafraining fron the canstic phrase ahe sonetines HRs tenpted to uther.
(The non-finite clause is the inmediate constituent of the clause "Miss Bulstrode nodded, Hith gentle reassurance refraining fron the caustic phrase she sometines was tempted te utter".)
A-4: Yarious Helconing Hords and phrases uthered Eraciously by Miss Yansittart. floated through the house.)
(The non-finite clause is the innediate consituent of the NP "yarious welcoming hords and phrases, uttered Eraciously_by Miss Yansittart".)
A-6: She could be attractive when she wanted to be but life had taught her that efficiency and competence paid better results and gyoided painful conplications.
The clause is the second of the two paratactically related subordinate clauses "thatefficiency and conpetence paid better results and ayoided panful comolications".)
A-68: Jennifer looked disappointed.
(The clause as a whole expands in translation.)

\section*{3.PAGE:}

The page number given refers to the page in the original ENGL text on which the sentence with the expanding structure occurs.

When the sentence continues on the next page, the page number given is that of the page on which the sentence begins.

\section*{4.LINR:}

The line number given refers to the line with the sentence which contains the expanding structure.

When the sentence continues in the next line, the line number given is that of the line in which the sentence begins.
5.SLOV TEXT: = Slovenian text

This field contains the SLOV translation of the ENGL text given in Field 2.

The part of the sentence printed in bold type and the underlined part of the sentence correspond to the part in bold type and the underlined part respectively of the sentence in Field 2, e.g.:

A-4 :
ENGL TEXT: Xarious Helcomine Hords and phrases.
uttered eraciously by Miss Yansittarte floated
through the house.
SLOV TEXT: Najrazlicnejse besede in stayki,
ki inh je \(\overline{\mathrm{k}}\) dobrodoslico irrekaln rospodicna
Yansittarte so plavali po hisi.

\section*{6. PAGE:}

The page number refers to the page in the original SLOV text on which the SLOV sentence which corresponds to the ENGL sentence with the expanding structure occurs.

When the sentence continues on the next page, the page number given is that of the page on which the sentence begins.

\section*{7. LINE:}

The line number refers to the line with the SLOV sentence which corresponds to the ENGL sentence containing the expanding
structure.
When the sentence continues in the next line, the line number given is that of the line in which the sentence begins.

\section*{Eields 8-21}

Eields 8-21 comprise data related to sentence \(S_{A}\).
Sa=def the original ENGL sentence containing the structure which is norpho-syntactically expanded in translation.

Eields 8,11,13.15,17,19 refer to the number of words in various types of grannatical units. When there are alternative ways of counting words (cf. contracted forms such as "didn't", which may be considered as one or as two words), the information as to which alternative has bean taken into account is given in the NOTES (Field 43).
9.No./CLAUSES in \(S_{A}\) : = number of clauses in sentence \(S_{A}\)

The numerical data are given first and then, in round brackets, the clause(s) concerned. (When there is more than one clause, the clauses are separated by /). Cf. A-113:

A-113: She had never been afraid to experinent, whereas Chaddy had been content to teach soundly but
unoxcitingly phat she knen .
No./CLAUSES in SA: 3 (she had never been afraid to experiment, whereas Chaddy had been content to teach soundly but unexcitingly what she knew/ whereas Chaddy had been content to teach soundly but unexcitingly what she knew/ what she knew)
Clauses at all levels of clause and phrase structure are taken into consideration provided that they are complete (i.e. non-eliptical) and that the predicator is expressed by a finite verb phrase (cf.A-4, A-17 below).

> A-4: Yarious helconing words and phrases. uttered gracionsly by Miss Yansittart, floated through the house

No./CLAUSES in \(S_{A}\) : 1 (various welcoming words, uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart, floated through the house) (The non-finite clause "uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart" is disregarded.)
A-17: Only onemore letter to do, she was pleased to

\begin{abstract}
notes and then she might stroll round the garden. No./CLAUSES in \(S_{A}\) : 2 (she was pleased to note/ and then she night take a stroll round the garden) (The elliptical clause "only one more letter to do" is not taken into account.)
\end{abstract}

Eields \(10.12,14,16,18\) contain data concerning the number of individual types of complex phrases (the noun phrase (NP), the prepositional phrase (PP), the verb phrase (VP), the adjective phrase (AdjP) and the adverb phrase (AdvP)).

The numerical data are followed by the phrase(s) concerned. The latter is(are) placed in round brackets, and, when more than one phrase is involved, separated by /. Cf. A-9:

A-9: The chauffeur sprang to open the doar, an
immense bearded dark-skinned man, wearing a
flowing aba, stepped out, a Parisian fashion plate followed and then a slim dark girl.
No./NPc in \(S_{A}: 5\) (the chauffeur/ the door/ an inmense bearded dark-skinned man, wearing a flowing aba/
a Parisian fashion platel a slim dark girl)
Both conplex phrases with hypotactic and those with paratactic (i.e. coordinate and appositive) structure are taken into account. The conbination of two or more coordinate or appositive (complex) phrases is counted as one phrase, cf. A-1 S:

A-13: She had been P.A. to the chief executive of an oil company, private secretary to Sir Meryya Todhunter, renomen alikefor his eruditione his irxitability and the illegibidity of his handwriting.

No./NFo in \(S_{A}: 1\) (P.A to the chief executive of an oil company, private secretary to Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting)
(The NP "P.A. to the chief executive of an oil company and the NP "private secretary to Sir Mervyn Tudhunter, renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting are in coordinate relation, and count as one phrase.)

Only those phrases which are immediate constituents of an independent clause or dependent clause functioning as a clause
elenent are taken into consideration. Excluded from counting are phrases which are immediate or non-innediate constituents of a phrase or a clause which functions as a phrase elenent (cf. A-5, A-2, below).

The above principles apply to the counting of NPs, PPs, VPs, AdjPs and AdvPs alike. In what follows, therefore, only an exenplification of these principles with respect to fields 10, 12, 14, 16,18 will be given, the exception being field 14, which merits a detailed description on account of sone special features of VPs.
10.No./NPo in \(S_{A}\) : \(=\) number of complex noun phrases in sentence \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A-5: Ann was a nice looking young sonan of } \\
& \text { thirty-five, rith hair that fittod hor like.a } \\
& \text { black satin cap. }
\end{aligned}
\]

No./NPo in \(S_{A}: 1\) (a nice looking young woman of thirty-five, with hair that fitted her like a black satin cap)
(The NP "hair that fitted her like a black satin cap" is not counted since it is a constituent of the PP "with hair that fitted her like a black satin cap")
A-2: Sone parents who kner no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knoring that it ras Miss Bulstrodeंs custon to retice to
a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and pripileged fey pere taken.
No./NPo in \(S_{A}: 2\) (sone parents who knew no better/ Miss Bulstrodes custon)
(The NP "a selected and privileged few" is not taken into account as it is a constituent of the postnodifying clause "to which only a selected and privileged few were taken", nor are the NPs "the great Miss Bulstrode herself" and "a kind of holy of holies to which only a privileged few were taken" since they are constituents of PPs.)
12.No./PPs in \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : = number of PPs in sentence \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\)

A-1: The front door was flung hospitably wide. and just rithin it, admirably suited to its

Georgian proportions，stood Miss Vansittart．
every hair in place，Hearing an impeccably ant
coat and skirt．
No．／PPs in \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) ： 1 （iust within it）
（Not counted are the PP＂to its Georgian proportions＂ （a constituent of the AdjP＂adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions＂）and the PP＂in place＂（a constituent of the postmodifying clause every hair in place＂in the NP＂Miss Vansittart，every hair in place， wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt＂．）

14．Ho．／VP。in \(S_{A}:=\) number of complex VPs in sentence \(S_{A}\)
A－2：Some parents who kner no better had taken her
for the great Hiss Bulstrode herself，not knoning
that it ras Miss Bulstrode＇s custon to cotice to
a kind of holy of holies to which only＿s
salected and privilered fer mere taken．
No．／VP。 \(v S_{A}\) ： 1 （had taken）
（The VPo＂were taken＂is not counted as it is a constiuent of the postmodifying clause＂to which only selected and privilered few were taken＂．）
Only VP。 whose headword contains a finite verb forn are taken into account（cf．A－135）．

A－135：＂Interesting，＂said Detective Inspector Kelsey， and haying assenbled his retinue，he departed to carry．out his duties．
No．／VP。 in \(S_{A}\) ． 0
（The VPc＂having assembled＂and＂to carry out＂are excluded fron counting as their headwords are expressed by non－finite forms（a participle and an infinitive respectively）．
The preposition in prepositional verbs is not considered as part of the VP（rf．A－2 below），whereas the adverb particle in phrasal verbs is considered part of the VP（cf．A－73 below）．In phrasal－prepositional verbs the adverb particle but not the preposition is taken to belong to the VP（cf．A－103 below）． 3 A different approach to these three types of multi－word verbs seens justified since they differ in their syntactic and prosodic properties（cf．CGELBS，1156－7）．

A－2：Some parents who knew no better had taken her

\title{
Ler the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it ras Miss Bulatrode's custon to retire to \\ a kind of holy of halies to rhich only a selected and pripileged fer mere taken.
}

No./VPo in \(S_{A}: 1\) (had taken)
("Take for" being a prepositional verb, the preposition "for" is not considered part of the VP but part of the PP "for the great Miss Bulstrode herself".)
A-73: Then, as though having come to a decision. he held out his hand and spoke briskly.
Np./VPe in \(S_{A}: 1\) (held out)
("Hold out" is a phrasal verb - the particle "out" is taken as part of the VP.)
A-103: Was asking ne the nanes of various flowers, in a sweet and innocent way, when a fenale Gorgon with freckles, red hair and a voice Like a corncrake bore down upon her and renoved her fron my vicinity.
No./VPc in \(S_{A}: 2\) (was asking/ bore down)
("Bear down upon" is a phrasal-prepositional verb - the adverb particle "down" is taken to belong to the VP and the preposition "upon" to the PP "upon her".)
Catenative verb constructionst are regarded as forning one VPc (cf.A-91).

A-91: There seens to be sone iden that your Uncle Bob out something in oy lugeage to bring home. /..."/
No./VPo in \(S_{A}\) : 1 (seems to be)
(The construction with the catenative verb "seen" ("seems to be") is counted as one VP。.)
The negative particle "not" is considered part of the vps (cf. A-79).

A-79: /".../Why did Bob Kawlinson spend twenty minutes in his sister s bedroon when she was out and he had been told that she Has not likely ta return until eveniage/ . . "/
No./VPc in \(S_{A}: 3\) (did spend/ had been told/ was not.) ("Not" is taken to be part of the VP was not". the latter thus being a complex phrase.)
16. No./AdjPo in \(S_{A}:=\) number of complex adjective phrases in sentence \(S_{A}\)

\section*{A-22: Miss Bulstrode nodded, With gentle reassurance. refraining fron the caustic phrase she sometines gas terpted to utter.}

No./AdjPo in \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 0
(The AdjP "tempted to utter" is not taken into account as it is a constituent of the postmodifying clause "she sonetines was tempted to utter".)
18.No./AdvPc in \(S_{A}:=\) number of conplex adverb phrases in sentence \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\)

A-113: She had never been afraid to experinent, whereas Chaddy had been content to teach soundly but noexcitingly what she kner.
No. /AdvPc in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 0
(The AdvP "soundly but unexitingly" is not counted since it is a constituent. of the postmodifying clause "to teach soundly but unexcitingly what she knew".)

Eields 20 and 21 contain dat:t concerning the number and kind of marken and unmarked gramnatical categories in sentence \(S_{A}\)

The following contrastive pairs have been considered, with the first category in each pair being the unnarked and the second the narked one: \({ }^{6}\)

1- ACTIVE CLAUSE/PASSIVE CUAUSE (ACT/PASS)
2- DECLARATIVE CLAUSE/NON-JECLARATIVE CLAUSE (DECL/NDECL)
3- AFFIRMATIVE CLAUSE/NEGATIVE CLAUSE (AFF/NEG)
4- SIMPLE PRESENT/SIMPLE NON-PRESENT (SP/SNP)
5- COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOIIND NON-PRESENT (CP/CNP)
6- SIMPLE VERB PHRASE/COMPLEX VERB PHRASE (VPa/VPo)
In determining the number and kind of marked and unmarked categories in \(S_{A}\), only conflete (i.e.non-elliptical) finite clauses (at all levels of clause and phrase structure \({ }^{7}\) ) and. accordingly, only finite verb phrases, are taken into account (cf. A-2, A-17 below). Since clauses of the sane type are considered in connection with Field 9 (No./CLAUSES in \(S_{A}\) ) and are listed in that field alrady, they are not given in fields 20 and 21 again - all data concerning the individual marked and unmarked categories may be checked by referring to the clause(s)
in Field 9 .

(Finite clauses at all levels (thus also the postmodifying clauses "who knew no better" and "to which only a selected and privileged few were taken") are taken into account. The non-finite clauses "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custom to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken" and "to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken" being excluded, the relevant clauses are the following four: "some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kinf of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken/ "who knew no better"/ "that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken"/"to which only a selected and privileged few were taken". The relevant VPs are the finite VPs
"knew"/ "had taken"/ "was"/ "were taken".)
A-17: Only one more letter to do, she sas pleased to note, and then she might strell round the garden. MARKED CATEGORIES in \(S_{A}: 1\) SNP/ 1 VP。 UNMARRED CATEGORIES in SA: 2 ACT/ 2 DECL/ 2 AFF/
(The elliptical clause "only one nore letter to do" is not taken into consideration nor are the non-finite clauses/phrases "to do" and "to note".

In connection with the contrastive pairs SIMPLE PRESENT/ SIMPLE NON-PRESENT and COMPOUND PRESENT/COMPOUND NON-PKESENT, VPs with a modal auxiliary or any other modal elenent and VPs with constructions for expressing future tine are excluded from
consideration. (Cf.A-17 above, where the VP "night stroll" is excluded ("1 SNP" refers to the VP "was).)

\section*{Eields 22-35}

Eields 22-35 contain data related to clause \(C_{A}\).
\(C_{A}=d o f\) is the clause which is morpho-syntactically expanded in translation, the expansion directly involving either the clause as a whole or one of its innediate or non-imnediate constituents.
\(C_{A}\) may be an indepedent clause forming a simple sentence (hereafter referred to as "clause-sentence") - cf.A-68.

A-68: Jennifer looked disappointed.
( \(C_{A}=\) "Jennifer looked disappointed")
Ca nay be a conplete finite clause-sentence (cf. A-68 above) or any other type of clause-sentence (cf.A-15).
```

A-15: /And there was always Dennis!/Eaithful Dennis.
roturning fron Malays, fron Burna, from
zarious parts of the world, always the sane.
devoted, asking her once again te narry hin.

```
( \(C_{A}=\) "faithful Dennis returning from Malaya, from
Rurna, fron various parts of the world. always the
same, devoted, asking her once again to marry her" -
exclanatory clause-sentence with a NP as its only
imnediate constituent)
When the expansion involves a clause in aratactic structure (a coordinate clause, a parenthetic clause, a clause in direct or free indirect speech or a reporting clause \({ }^{8}\) ). the clause is considered \(C_{A}\) whatever its structural type (cf. A-10, A-40, A-17).

A-10: /Both Miss Vansittart and Miss Chadwick appeared on this occasion./"They'll be taken te the Presence.: decided Ann.
(CAS "they'll be taken to the Presence" - finite clause
- direct. speech)

A-40: "To think, said the young Prince_rith
feeling. "of the moner that has rone inte
aking this a Melfare Statad . . ./"
(CA= "to think of all the money that has gone into
making this a Welfare State" - non-finite clause - part
of direct speech)
A-17: Only one mare latter to do, she was pleased to note, and then she might stroll round the garden.
( \(C_{A}=\) "only one more letter to do" - elliptical clausepart of free indirect speech.)
Ca nay be a subordinate clause functioning as a clause element provided that it is non-elliptical finite clause (cf. A-79 below). In the case of non-finite, verbless or any other types of clauses, \(C_{A}\) is the superordinate non-elliptical finite clause (cf.A-2, A-1).

A-79: /".../Why did Bob Rawlinson spend twenty minutes
in his sister's bedroon when she was out and he had been told that she gas not likaly to return until evenine?/ . . ."/
( \(C_{A}=\) "that she was not likely to return until evening"
- finite subordinate clause)

A-2: Sone parents who knes no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knorine that it was Miss Bulstrode's curton to cetice to a kind of holx of holios te which only a selected and privilered fer rese thaken. ( \(C_{A}=\) "sone parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken" - the directly expanding structure is the non-finite clause "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken", \(C_{A}\) is the finite clause to which the non-finite clause is subordinated)
A-1: The front door was flung hospitably wide, and just rithin it, admirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stood Miss Yansittart, eyery bair._in place., нearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt.
( \(C_{A}=\) "and just within it, admirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stood Miss Vansittart, every hair in place, wearing an impeccatly cut coat and skirt" - the finite clause to which the directly
expanding verbless clause is subordinated)
Subordinate clauses functioning as phrase elements (i.e. postmodifying clauses in NPs, AdjP and AdvPs, and clauses constituents of PPs) and clauses embedded in the latter are not counted as \(C_{a}\) no matter what their type.

In cases involving direct expansion of a phrase as a whole (cf. A-39 below) or of any of its (non-)inmediate constituents (cf. A-3 below), \(C A\) is the clause whose immediate or nonimmediate constituent is the directly expanding phrase (cf. A-39, A-3 below).

The above principle holds for phrases with non-clausal constituents (cf. A-39) as well as for those with clausal constituents (cf. A-3).

A-39: In spita of this diffarance in atnture
they Here on terns of perfect equality.
( \(C_{A}=\) "in spite of this difference in status.
they were on terms of perfect equality" \(=\) the clause whose immediate constituent, the PP "in spite of this difference in status", is the directly expanding structure)

A-3: Some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode s custom to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which onls a selected and privilered fen nere taken.
(CA \(=\) "that it was Miss Bulstrode s custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken" - the directly expanding structure is the postmodifying clause "to which only a selected and privileped few were taken" in the NP "a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken". The latter is an immediate constituent of the PP "to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken", which, in turn, is an immediate constituent of the non-finite clause * to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken". As, however, a non-finite subordinate clause does not count as \(C_{A}\) ( cf. above), \(C_{A}\) is the first finite clause higher up. ("that. it was Miss Bulstrode s custon to retire to a
kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken".)

Eields 22-32 comprise data concerning the number and type of subjects and objects in clause \(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathrm{A}}\).

The numerical data are followed by the subject(s) or objects(s) in a given Ca to which they apply, or in the case of unexpressed subjects, by the clause(s) containing this type of subject (cf. A-2).

A-2: Sone parents who knew no better had taken hex
for the exeat Miss Bulstrode hergelf, not morind thrt it Pas Miss Bulatrode's custon to retire to a kind of holr of holies to rhich only a selacted and privilaged far mare tatan.
No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in CA: 2 (in the non-finite clauses "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken"/ "to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken")

No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(<-c\) )in Ca: 2 (who/ a selected and privileged few)
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{a}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS (-C) in CA: O
No. /NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (some parents who knew no better)

No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in Ca: 1 ( to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken)

When a particular phrase or clause cannot be identified as subject (or object) on the basis of the clause structure in which it occurs within the sentence \(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{A}}\), but only on the basis of the sentence(s) preceding \(S_{A}\), it is disregarded with respect to Fields 22-32. \({ }^{\text {( }}\) (Cf. \(A-17\) and \(\left.A-117.\right)\)
```

A-17: Only one Iore letter to de. she. Nas
plessed to note, and then she might stroll
round the garden.
("Only one more letter to do" can be identified
as subject if we consider the corresponding
non-elliptical clause with the introductory

```

> subject "there" ("there was only one more letter to do"), identification thus being possible without recourse to the sentence(s) preceding SA.)
> A-117: \(/\) Isn't that what she wants to follow on here now?/ Someone to pour nen life into the school.
> ("Someone to pour new life into the school" can be identified as object only on the basis of the sentence preceding SA (i.e. "Isn't that what she wants to follow on here now?"). The NP as a whole is therefore excluded fron consideration. (However, the number and type of subject/object within its postmodifying non-finite clause is is taken into account - 1 unexpressed subject.))

Eields 22-27 concern the number of individual types of subject in clause \(C_{A}\).

Within \(C_{A}\), subjects in clauses of all types and at all levels of clause and phrase structure are taken into consideration (cf. A-1, A-17). 20

A-1: The front door was flung hospitably wide, and just within_it adrirably suited_to ita Gaorgian proportions, stood Miss Yansittart. every hair in place. Hearing an inpeccably cut coat and skirt.
No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : 3 (in the semi-clauses "adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions"/
/"every hair in place"/ "wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt")
(The first (verbless) seni-clause functions as clause element (adverbial) the other two seni-clauses (a verbless and a participle clause) function as phrase elements ( postnodifiers)
No./fRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle-C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 0
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{a}}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \((-c\) ) in Ca: 0
No. / NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle-c\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS(+s) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (Miss Vansittart.
every hair in place, wearing an impeccably coat and
skirt)
(The subject is in the finite main clause.)
No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in Ca: 0
A-17: Onls one more letter to do, she was pleased to note, and then she might stroll around the garden.

No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (in the semi-clause "to do")
(The (infinitive) semi-clause realizes a phrase elenent (a postmodifier).)
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle+C\) ) in Ca: 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS (-C) in Ca: 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \((+C\) ) in \(C a: 1\) (only one more letter to do)
(The subject is in the elliptical clause of a paratactically structured sentence.)
No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in Ca: 0
The introductory subjects "it" and "there" are not taken into consideration (cf.A-2, A-91).

A-2: Sone parents whe knes ne better had tiaken her fer the ereat Miss Bulstrode herself, not moning that ih mas Miss. Bulsticode's eurtom to retire to n hind of holy of holien to Fhich onlr a selected and orivileted for rece taten.

No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in CA: 2 (in the seni-clauses "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire tc a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken"/ "to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken")
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS\&-C) in CA: 2 (who/ a selected and privileged few)
(The introductory subject "it" in the clause "it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a \(k\) ind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken" is not counted.)
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle-c\) ) in Ca: 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(<+C\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (some parents who knew no better)

No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : 1 ( to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken)

\section*{A-91: "There seems to be sone iden that your \\ Uncle Bob put sonething in ny luggace to \\ bring home./..."/}

No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(C_{A}: 1\) (in the semi-clause
"to bring home")
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS (-c) in \(C_{A}\) : 0
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS(+C) in CA: O
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(-c\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (your Uncle Bob)
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}: 1\) (some idea that your Uncle Bob put something in my luggage to bring home) No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in CA: 0
(The introductory "there" in the clause "there seens to be some idea that your Uncle Bob put something in my luggage to bring home" is disregarded.)
22.No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : = number of unexpressed subjects in clause \(C_{A}\)
"Unexpressed" is here taken to mean formally unexpressed. implied in the co-text.

Unexpressed subjects are recorded regardless of whether in a given structure an expressed subject would also be possible (cf.A-81) or not (ef.A-4).

\section*{A-81: L don t miad going abroad for month or two, " said Jennifer.}

No./UNEXPRESSED SUB.JECTS in \(\mathrm{C}_{A}\) : 1 (in the semi-clause "going abroad for a month or two")
(The subject of the gerund seni-clause could also be expressed, ef., for instance, "I don \(t\) mind my/his/him going abroad for month or two..)
A-4: Yarious welconing hords and phrases, uttered eraciously by Hiss Vansittarte floated through the house
No./UNEXPRESSED SUB.JECTS in ( \(A_{A}\) : 1 (in the semi-clause "uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart") (Here the expressed subject is not possible unless the postmodifying participle semi-clause is transformed into its finite counterpart. but the participle semi-clause
as such nay have an expressed subject \({ }^{11}\), and therefore we record 1 unexpressed subject.)

Eields 23-27 refer to the number of pronoun and noun subjects without a directly embedded clause ( \(-c\) ) and with a directly enbedded clause ( \(+C\) ). Enbedded clauses considered as c are finite or non-finite clauses (cf. A-2, A-4 below), but not verbless or any other types of clauses (cf. (1) below).

A-2: Sone parents who knes no better had taken her
for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not
knorine that it was Miss Bulatrode's custon
to retire to a kind of holy of holies to fhich only a selected and privilered fer race taken.
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (some parents who knew no better)
( \(c=\) "who knew not better" - postnodifying finite clause)
A-4: Yarious nelconing Hords and phrases, uttered
graciously by Misa Yansitisarte floated through the house.
No./NOUN SUBJECTS + C) in Ca: 1 (various welconing words and phrases, uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart) ( \(c=\) uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart" postnodifying non-finite clause))
(1): John, worried that he night miss the train, ordered a taxi.
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle-\mathrm{C}\) ) in Ca: 1 (John, worried that he night niss the train)
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) :
(The verbless clause worried that he might miss the train" is not counted as "c" - the subject is a
NOUN SUBJECT(-c).)
When the postnodifier in the subject \(N P\) is structured paratactically and at least one of its parts is a finite or a non-finite clause, the NP involved is considered a NOUN SUBJECT \((+C)(c f . A-1)\).

A-1: The front door was flung hospitably wide. and just rithin it, adnirablz suited to its Geargian proportions, stoed Miss Yansithart. every hair in place, \(\mathrm{Hea}=2 \mathrm{~g}\) an impeccably cut coat and skirt.

No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle-c\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{a}}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle+C\) ) in Ca: 1 (Niss Vansittart, every hair in place, wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt)
(The postnodifier consists of two paratactically related parts, the verbless clause "every hair in place" and the non-finite clause wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt" - the subject is a NOUN SUBJECT( + c).)
When the postmodifier in the subject NP involves hypotaxis (i.e. when its right-most element postnodifies the whole of the preceding phrase), it is the hierarchically higher element that deternines the type of subject (cf. B-363).

B-363: "There's sonething about schoolnistresses that gives me the hump," said Sergeant Bond.

Suppose \(C_{A}=\) "there's something about schoolmistresses that gives me the hump", then
No./NOUN SUBJECTS (-C) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 0
No./NOUN SUBJECTS(+c) in Ca: 1 (something about
schoolnistresses that gives me the hump)
(The postnodifier consists of two parts ("about schoolnistresses" and "that gives ne the hump"), the clause "that gives ne the hump" postnodifies the whole of the preceding phrase ("sonething about schoolnistresses")the subject is a NOUN SUBJECT( + c).)
23.No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle\right.\) - ) in \(C_{A}\) := number of pronoun subjects without a directly enbedded clause, in clause \(C_{A}\)
PRONOUN SUBJECT (-c) includes the subjects expressed by a NP with a pronoun as its headword and without a clausal postnodifier (cf.A-81), and those expressed by a NP with appositional pronouns (cf. (2)).

A-81: I don't nind coine abroad for a month or tmo." said Jennifer.
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS(-c) in Sa: 1 (I)
(2): They all agreed with hin

No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS (-c): 1 (they all)
24.No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS(+C) in \(C_{A}\) := number of pronoun subjects with a directly enbedded clause, in clause \(C_{A}\)
This type of subject is expressed by a NF with a pronoun as
its headword and with a clausal postnodifier (cf. B-343).
B-343: "All I do hope is that the matter will be cleared up QUICKLY."
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\langle+c\) ): 1 (all I do hope)
25.No./NOUN SUBJBCTS <-C) in \(C_{A}:=\) number of noun subjects without a directly enbedded clause, in clause \(C_{a}\)
NOUN SUBJECT (-C) includes the subjects expressed by a NP with a noun as its headword and without a clausal postmodifier (cf. A-86) and those expressed by a NP containing appositional nouns or NPs (cf. B-8).

A-68: Jennifer looked disappointed.
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(-C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (Jennifer)
B-8: In a snall roon on the first floor, Ann Shapland, Miss Bulstrode secretary was typing with speed and efficiency.
No./PRONOUN SUBJECTS(-c): 1 (Ann Shapland. Miss
Bulstrode's secretary)
26.No./NOUN SUBJBCTS (+C) in \(C_{A}:=\) number of noun subiects with a directly enbedded clause, in clause Ca
NOUN SUBJECT( \(+C\) ) refers to the subjects expressed by a NP with a noun as its headword and a clausal postmodifier (cf. A-2, A-91, A-4).

A-2: Sone parents who knew no better had taken her
for the Ereat Miss Bulstrode herself, not
knowing that it nas Hiss Bulstrode's custon
to retire to alkind of holp of holies to Hhich only a malected and privilared fer uere taken.
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}: 1\) (some parents who knew no better)
(Subject NP with a finite postmodifying clause introduced by the relative pronoun "who")

A-91: "Thare seans to be sone idea that your
Uncle Bob put something in my lugeage to bring hame./..."/
No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (some idea that your Uncle Bob put sonething in my luggage to take home) (Subject NP with a finite postmodifying clause introduced by the conjunction "that")
```

A-4: Yarious welconing Hords and phrases, uttered Eraciously by Miss Vansittart, floated through the house.
No./NOUN SUBJECTS $\left(+C\right.$ ) in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : 1 (various welcoming words and phrases, uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart) (Subject NP with a non-finite postmodifying clause)

```
27. No./CLAUSAL SUBJBCTS in CA: = number of clausal subjects in clause \(C_{A}\)
Only the subjects expressed by finite and non-finite clauses are taken into consideration (cf. \(B-81, B-141, A-147\) ).

B-81: What he needed was some person, some perfectly ordinary person who was leaving the country in some perfectly ordinary way.
No./CLAUSAL SUB.JECTS: 1 (what he needed)
(Finite subject clause)
A-141: "It is very good of you to offer to help us." No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS: 1 (to offer to help us)
(Non-finite subject clause)
A-147: She had been shot, whether by accident or not.
Has as yet not determined.
No./CLAUSAL SUBJEITS in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}: 1\)
(The elliptical clause "whether by accident or not" is not counted as CLAUSAL SUBJECT. (Incidentally, the clause cannot be attributed to any of the other types of sub.ject as detined above.)

Fields 28-32 concern the number of individual types of objects in clause \(C_{A}\).

As distinct from unexpressed subiects, unexpressed objects are not not considered, 12 and only objects at the highest level, i.e the objects of \(C_{A}\), are taken into account, objects of clanses directly or indirectly embedded in \(C_{A}\) thus being excluded (ef. A-131, A-129).

A-131: It was almost as though Mademoiselle Blanche
wished to excuse her presence out here
at the Sports_Payilion.
Nu. /NOUN OB.IECTS (-C) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : B
(The object "her presence out here at the Sports
Pavilion" is not counted as it is not an object
at the highest level with respect to \(C A\). It is the object of the non-finite clause "to excuse her presence out here at the Sports Pavilion", which is directly enbedded in the \(C_{A}\) "as though Madenoiselle Blanche wished to excuse her presence out here at the Sports Pavilion".)
No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (to excuse her presence out here at the Sports Pavilion)
(The non-finite clausal object is taken into account as it is the object of the \(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathrm{A}}\).)
A-129 : She had a ghilts look rhich in endintely
rouned gurmise in his mind.
No./NOUN OBJECTS (-C) in CA: 0
(The object "surnise" is not counted since it is the object of the postmodifying clause "which imnediately roused surnise in his mind" - a clause indirectly enbedded in the \(C_{A .}\).)
No./NOUN OBJECTS (+C) in CA: 1 (a guilty
look which imnediately roused surmise in his mind)
(The object is the object of the \(C_{A}\) "she had a guilty look which innediately roused surmise in his mind", and is therefore taken into account.)
We consider direct and indirect, but not prepositional objects (cf. \(A-126, A-7\) ).

A-126: "Itold Miss Shapland to say that neंd rine
hin bracke and sent her to find you.
No./NOUN OBJECTS \(\langle-C\) ) in Ca: 1 (Miss Shapland)
(indirect object)
No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in CA: 1 (to say that we d ring him back)
(direct object)
A-7: At the moment she was concentrating on being ererpthing that \(n\) secretary to the headnistress of a fanous girls. school should be.
(The prepostional object (of the prepositonal vert "concentrate on"). "on being everything that a secretary to the headmistress of a fanous girls school should be", is not taken into account.)
counting individual types of object are the sane as those observed in the case of subjects (cf. the description of fields 23-27 above). In what follows, therefore, only some examples involving individual types of object will be given.
28. No./PRONOUN OBJBCTS(-c) in CA: = number of pronoun objects without a directly embedded clause, in clause \(C_{A}\)
A-2: Sone parents tho knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not koming that it Has Kiss Bulstrode's cnston to retire to a kind of holl of holien to shich only a selected and privileged fer rece taken.
No./PRONOUN OBJECTS (-c) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 1 (her)
(3): I saw then all.

No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left\langle-c\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 1 (them all) (NP with appositional pronouns)
29.No./PRONOUN OBJBCTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : = number of pronoun objects with a directly embedded clause, in clause \(C_{A}\)

B-226: If anyone's got anything to hide, one can soon tell.
No./PRONOUN OBJECTS<+C): 1 (anything to hide)
```

30.No./NOUN OBJECTS(-c) in CA: = number of noun objects
without a directly embedded clause, in clauses CA
A-72: /"That s what probably did the poor chap $2 n$, " said
Colonel Pikeaway./"But He can $t$ Haste time in
telling sad stories about the deaths of kings.d
"/
- No./NOUN OBJECTS(-c) in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}: 1$ (time)
A-146: She rang up a Press Magnate and the Home
Secretary, both personal friends of hers.
No./NOUN OB.JECTS $\langle-\mathrm{c}$ ) in CA: 1 (a Press Magnate and
the Home Secretary, both personal friends of hers)
(The object NP has two appositionally related parts:" a
Press Hagnate and the Home Sporetary" and "both personal
friends of hers" The latter being a verbless clause,
which does not count as $c$, the NP is a NOUN
SUBJECT-c.)

```
31. No. /NOUN OBJECTS \((+C\) ) in CA: = number of noun objects with a directly embedded clause, in clause \(C_{A}\)

A-129: She had a guilty look rhich imediately
roused surnise in his eind.
roused surmise_in his mind.
No./NOUN OBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (a guilty look which innediately roused surmise in his mind)
32.No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in CA: = number of clausal objects in clause \(\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{A}}\)
A-81: I don't mind eoing abrond for a month or
tho," said Jennifer.

No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in \(C_{A}\) : 1 (going abroad for a month or two)

Eields 33 - 35 contain data related to the number of modifiers, the number of conponents of modifiers and the type of components of postmodifiers in the complex noun phrase NPo/mx in the clause \(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathrm{A}}\).

NPo/Ex=dor the complex \(N P\) in the clause \(C A\) which is directly expanded in translation, the expansion involving either the NP as a whole or one of its imnediate constituents.

A-20: But Miss Bulstrode had her rules, she didn't accept morons, or juvenile delinquents, and she preferred to accept girls whose parents she liked, and girls in whom she herself say a prospect of development.
(The NP "a prospect of developnent" as a whole is the directly expanding structure. and is therefore NPo/ex.)
A-4: Various relconing words. and phrases. uttered graciously by Miss Yansittart. floated through the house.
(NPc/ex = "various welcoming words and phrases, uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart" since its innediate constituent, the non-finite clause "uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart", is the dirertly expanding structure.)
A-56: In the kind of thriller that Bob liked reading to fill up his spare monents, you left a kind of cryptogran which was always successfully puzzled out by soneone.
(The directly expanding structure is "to fill up his spare monents", which is a non-imnediate constituent of the NP "the kind of thriller that Bob liked reading to fill up his spare nonents". The latter is therefore not considered NPc/bx, and Fields 33-35 remain empty (marked by -).)

NPo/bx may be a \(N P\) at any level of clause or phrase structure within \(C_{A}\) (cf. \(A-20\) ).

A-20: But Miss Bulstrode had her rules, she didn't accept morons, or juvenile delinquents, and she preferred to accept girls whose parents she liked, and girls in whom she herself sak a prospact of developrent.
(The NP "a prospect of development", which is NPo/bx, is an immediate constituent of the postmodifying clause "in whon she herself saw a prospect of development" Here \(N P_{o / b x}\) is quite "deep" in the structure of \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : the postmodifying clause is an imnediate constituent of the NP "girls in whon she saw a prospect of development", which, in turn. is an immediate constituent of the non-finite clause "to acrept girls whose parents she liked, and girls in whon she herself saw a prospect of development": only the latter is an immediate constituent of the \(C_{A}\) "and she preferred to accept girls whose parents she liked, and girls in whom she herself saw a prospect of development".)

When the directly expanding structure is \(C_{A}\) or a subordinate clause functioning as clause element in \(C_{A}\), fields 33-35 are irrelevant and remain empty (cf. A-8b, A-2 below) unless the only immediate constituent of \(C_{A}\) is a \(N P\) (cf. \(A-17\) below).

A-68: Jennifer looked disappointed.
(CA as a whole is the directly expanding structure -
Fields 33-35 are empty, marked by -.)
A-2: Some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Hiss Bulstrode's custon to retire to_ a kind of holx of holies to which only a selected and privileged fen Heretaken. (The directly expanding structure is the non-finite clause "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's
custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken", which realizes the function of adverbial in the \(C_{A}\) "some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custom to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken"
- Fields 33-35 are narked by -.)
A-17: Only one more letter to de, she nas
Qleased to note, and then she might stroll
round the garden.
(The directly expanding structure is the elliptical
clause only one more letter to do" - CA, whose only
immediate constituent is the \(N P\) "only one more letter
to do". The latter is therefore considered as NPo/Bx.)
33. Mo./HODIPIBRS in NPo/kx (in Ca):= number of modifiers
in noun phrase \(N P_{0} / b x\) (in clause \(C_{A}\) )
First the nunerical data are given ( 1 when only one of the modifiers (prenodifier or postnodifier) is present, and 2 when both modifiers are present. Following (in round brackets) is (are) the modifier(s) concerned. (When there are two modifiers they are separated by +.) Cf. A-2U below.

Prenodifiers include the so-called "determiners" (e.g. articles, short possessives, demonstrative and indefinite pronouns in attributive function)13 - cf. A-20.

A-20: But Miss Bulstrode had her rules, she didn't accept morons, or juvenile delinquents. and she preferred to accept girls whose parents she liked, and girls in whon she herself
sas a prospect of development.
No./MODIFIERS in NPo/ex in CA: 2 (a + of development) (The indefinite article "a" is considered a prenodifier.)

Only modifiers at the highest level of phrase structure are taken into account, modifiers in NPs which are (non-)immediale constituents of modifiers at the highest level being excluded (cf. A-3).

A-3: Some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself. not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custom to retire to

\section*{a kind of holy of holies to which only a \\ selected and prizileged fer rere taken.}

No./MODIFIERS in NPo/sx in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 2 (a kind of + to which only a selected and privileged few were taken) (The modifiers in the NP "a selected and privileged few" are not taken into account as the latter is a constituent of the postmodifier at the highest level, "to which only a selected and privileged few were taken".)

Modifiers in phrases whose imnediate constituents are coordinate complex NPs (e.g."his brother and her sister") and modifiers in phrases with appositive structure (e.g. "John, our new neighbour") are likewise excluded from consideration. In such cases fields 33-35 remain empty and are marked by -.

NPs with the following components: NAME(S)+SURNAME(S) and TITLE+(NAME(S))+ SURNAME(S) (e.g. "Ann Brown", "Miss (Ann) Brown") are complex but they are felt to be units, and are therefore not divided into headwords and modifiers. Consequently such NPs are not relevant with respect to fields 33-35. If, however, such NPs as a whole are modified (e.g. "Miss Brown, who studied psychology and economics"), the modifiers are taken into account (in the example given. 1 (post)modifier ("who studied psychology and economics") would be recorded.
34.No./COMPONBNTS of MODIFIERS in NPa/bx (in Ca): =
number of components of modifiers in noun phrase NPc/ex
(in clause \(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) )
The numerical data are given first and then the individual components are listed. When both modifiers are present, the first figure refers to the number of components of the premodifier and the second to the number of components of the postmodifer. In this case the figures are separated by + , the same mark being used to separate the components of the premodifier from those of the postmodifier. Individual components are separated by /. (Cf. A-4, A-17 below).

Only those components of premodifiers and/or postmodifiers which are the immediate constituents of the latter are taken into account (cf. A-4, A-17).
> A. 4: Various Helcuming Hords and phrases. uttered graciously by Miss Yansittart.
> floated through the house

No./MODIFIERS in NPo/Ex (in CA): 2 (various welcoming + uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart)

No./COMPONENTS of MODIEIERS in NPo/Ex (in CA): 2+1
(various/welcoming + uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart)
(The non-imnediate constituents of the postmodifier (uttered/graciously/by Miss Vansittart//by/Miss Vansittart// Miss Vansittart) are not taken into account.)
A-17: Only one nore letter to do, she wasmpleased to note, and then she might stroll round the garden.

No./MODIFIERS in NPo/Ex (in \(C_{A}\) ): 2 (only one more + to do)
No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPo/Ex (in CA): \(1+1\) (only one more + to do)
(The non-imnediate constituents of the prenodifier (only/ one more // one/more) are not counted.)
35.TYPE of COMPONENT(S) of POSTHODIFIER in NPo/Ex (in CA):

This field concerns the number of two types of components of postnodifiers, clausal and non-clausal.

When NPo/rx contains a postmodifier its components are identified as belonging to one of the two types, and the number of each type of component is recorded in Field 35 (e.g. 2 non-clausal/l clausal). Since individual components of modifiers are already listed in Field 34, those associated with the postmodifier are not given in this field again. (Cf. \(A-3, \quad A-4\) below.)

A component is considered clausal only if it is a finite or non-finite clause (cf. A-3, A-4), but not if it is a verbless or any other type of clause (cf. A-13).

A-3: Some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode suston to retire to
akind of holy of holies to shich only a
selected and pripilered fer vere takena
No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPc/Ex (in Ca): \(1+2\)
(a kind of + of holies/ to which only a selected and
privileged few were taken )
TYPE OF COMPONENT(S) of POSTMODIFIEK in NHe/EX
(in Ca): 1 non-clausa/ 1 clausal
(The first of the two components of the postnodifier (listed in the preceding field), the PP "of holies", is non-clausal, and the second, the finite postmodifying clause "to which only a selected and privileged few were taken", is clausal.)
A-4: Yarious Helconing words and phrases. uttered SRecionsly by Miss Yansitiart, floated through the house.

No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPc/Ex (in Ca): \(1+2\) (various welconing t uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart)

TYPE OF COMPONENT(S) of POSTMODIFIER in NPo/ex (in Ca): 1 clausal
(The clausal component is the non-finite postmodifying clause "uttered graciously by Miss Vansittart".)
A-13: She had been P.A. to the chief executive of an oil conpany, private secretary to Sir Meryyn Todhunter. conormed slike for his erudition. his ircitability and the illegibidity of his handuriting.
No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPo/Ex (in CA): 1 (renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting)
TYPE OF COMPONENT(S) of PUSTMODIFIER in NPo/REX (in \(C_{A}\) ): 1 non-clausal
(The component being a verbless clause ("renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting"), it is considered non-clausal.)

\section*{Fields 36-42}

Eiedds \(36-42\) contain data related to the andividual morphosyntactic ( \(M-S\) ) expansion.
36. ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: = analysis of the English sentence 37. ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: = analysis of the Slovenian sentence The original ENGL sentence \(S_{A}\) and its SLOV translation equivalent are analyser in terms of immediate constituents.

The constituent which directly expands and the constituent resulting from the expansion are given in bold type, and correspond to the part of the text in bold type in Field 2 (ENGL TEXT) and Field 5 (SLOV) respectively (cf. A-4).

> A-4: Yarious Helconiog Hords and phrases, uttered
> Eracionsly by Miss Fansitinct, floated through the house.
> Najrazlicnejse besede in stavki, ki_jih_je y dobrodoflico izrokala sompodicna Pansittart. so plavali po hisi.
> \# Various words and ohrases ghich_Miss Fansithart was utterine in celcone,---\#

ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(NP(...semi-clause)...)) ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause(NP(...clause)...))

In the analysis, "clause" refers to non-elliptical finite clause, "seni-clause" to a non-finite (participle, infinitive, gerund, or supine) clause and verbless (noun or adjective) clause, "clause.i" to an elliptical clause (cf. Chapter 1/3 (Clause typology)), and "clauseorner" to a clause of any type other than those just mentioned.14. For various classes of non-clausal constituents (i.e. phrases and words), abbreviations are used (see ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS, p. 247).

Constituency is indicated by bracketing \({ }^{15}\).
Constituents at the same level of constituent structure are linked by - (cf. A-68).

A-68: Jonnifer looked disappointed.
Zdelo se je, da je Jennifer razocarana.
\#(It) seoned that Jennifer in dianppointed,\#
ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(NP-VP-AdjP))
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause(VP-clause(...VPnom-AdjP)))
When a clause of any type is interrupted by a parenthetic clause, the latter is marked off by / (cf. A-40).

this countery. - - -
ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(semi-clause(VP-/clause/-PP))
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause(VP-/clause/-PP))
A clause of any type which is subordinated to two clauses joined by coordination or subordination (represented as (clause-clause) and clause(...clause) respectively) is indicated by double brackets, \(e . g\) ( (clause)). The fact that a complex-compound sentence is involved is indicated by a space after the opening parenthesis and before the closing parenthesis in the representation. Cf.A-73, A-133.
A-73: Then, ar though having cone to a decision, he
held out his hand and spoke briskly.

ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S( ((seei-clause))(clause-clause))
A-133: He felt a desire he hardly understood_himself.
to mound or snnoy this girl.
Zazelel.si ie da_bi to dekle priandel ali
Yznovoliid, cepray je komaida razunel, zakaj.
\# (He) wished that (he) could nound or annoy
this girl although (he) hardly knes shy. \(\#\)
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S (clause(...clause)((clause)) )
Coordinate phrases, e.g. "girls whose parents she liked and girls in whon she herself saw a prospect of development. and appositive phrases. e.g. "Ann Shapland, Miss Bulstrode's
 any type of phrase (NP, VP, AdjP or AdvP).

NPs with hypotactically structured postmodifiers (i.e. NPs in which the last component of the postmodifier postmodifies the whole of the preceding phrase), e.g. "something about schoolmistresses that gives me the hump" (the relative clause postmodifies "sonething about schoolmistresses") are represented as: \(N P((Y) Z)\), where " \(Z\) " stands for the last component of the postmodifier and " \(Y\) " for the preceding phrase.

Subscripts (numerical and non-numerical) are used only when their use is relevarit for an adequate representation of the expansion involved (cf. A-10. \(A-17, A-33, A-66\) below). Numerical subscripts and those non-numerical ones that specify the type of constituent in greater detail (e.g. VPpees = 'VP with a passive verb form \({ }^{\circ}\), are used both in ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE and ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE (cf. A-35, A-10 below), while subscripts of the type man-x and the subscripts now and dipe are used only
in ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE. Subscripts of the type mator, where "X" stands for a constituent of the ENGL sentence, indicate that the SLOV constituent marked with the subscript man-x corresponds to the ENGL constituent " \(X\) " in the subscript man-x (cf. A-33 below). The subscript now denotes a constituent of the SLOV sentence which has no counterpart in the ENGL sentence and has been introduced on the basis of the co-text ( \(c f . A-17, A-33\) below). When a constituent of the SLOV sentence belongs to the same type as its counterpart in the ENGL sentence, but does not represent its direct or closest translation equivalent in the given co-text, it is marked by the subscript dife (cf. A-66 below.)

A-10: /Both Miss Vansittart and Miss Chadwick appeared on this occasion./"Thes"ll be taken to the Presence." decided Ann. /Za to priložnost sta se prikazali obe gospodieni Vansittartova in Chadwickova./ "Peliali jih horta_kiej_" je sklenila Ann.
\#---" (They) nill take then to Her, "---
ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(NP-VPpeme-PP)-clause)
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S (clause(VPaot-NP-PP)-clause)
(The passive clause in ENGL has been transformed into its active counterpart in SLOV, therefore the VPs involved are marked by the subscripts paem and met respectively.)

A-17: Only one nore Letter to do she mas
pleased to note and then she might stroll round the garden.
Z. zadoyolistron je ugotovila da jo caka

Le fe eno pisno, poten pa se bo sla
lahko potepat po vrtu.
\#Hith oleasure (she) noted that only one letter
is aHaiting her, --. H
ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause。i(NP)-/clausei/-clausez)
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clausei(...clause(NPnou-VP-NP))
-clausez)
(Since the position of the clauses following the ENGL elliptical
clause has been changed in translation, the former are marked by nunerical subscripts. A new constituent has been introduced in the SLOV sentence, the NP "jo" (her"), and is therefore
represented as NPnow. The SLOV verb "cakati" ('(a)wait') is not the direct equivalent of the ENGL "do", but the VP concerned is not marked by the subscript dirp as this is not relevant for the expansion under consideration (i.e. ENGL=elliptical clause : SLOV=non-elliptical clause.)

> A-33: She turned rith a sith and a sense of
> guilt to Mrs Upjohn, who had been
> talking happily for sone tine.
> Yzditnila ie in se 2 obcutkon krivde
> obrnilah_espe Upiohn. ki ie the precej casa nekaj srex̆no oripoyedovala. H (She) sighed and with a sense enilt (she)
> turned to Mrs.Upiohn. Hho ...e\#

ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(NP-VP-PP(...(NP1-NP2))
-PP))
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause(VPgnol-NPi)-clause(
PPRelle npz-VP-PP) )
(The SLOV VP "vzdifnila je", with the verb "vzdihniti" (sigh") corresponds to the ENGL \(N P_{1}\) "a sigh", and is therefore denoted as VPgnol-npi, and the SLOV PP "z obcutkon krivde" ('with a sense of guilt ) contains the NP "obcutek krivde" ('a sense of guilt.), which corresponds to the ENGL NPz. hence it is represented as PFgnol-npz.)

\#---/ "Perhaps Uncle Bob left open. - ---.
ANAL.YSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(AdvP-NP1-VP-NP2)
-clause)
ANAI.YSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause(AdvP-AdjPnew-VPdipe-
NP2)-clause)
(Numerical subscripts are used since the ENGL NF "it" is "lost." in the translation due to change in the structure of the sentence. A new constituent., the Adjp "odprta" (open'), has been introduced, and is thus denoted as AdjPnew. Both the ENGL and the SLUV sentences contain a VP constituent. but. since the

SLOV verb "pustiti" ("leave") is neither the direct nor the closest translation equivalent of the ENGL verb "be" in the given co-text, the \(S L O V V P\) is represented as VPdipr.)

To avoid undue complexity of representation, the constituent structure is not given in full, i.e. at all levels, fron the highest to the lowest. Starting at the highest level, we go only as "deep" as is necessary for an adequate analysis of a particular expansion (cf. A-2 below). For the same reason, not all the constituents at a given level are presented, but only those which are relevant for a particular expansion, the onitted constituents being indicated by ... (cf. A-2 below).

\section*{A-2: Sone parents who knes no better had taken her for the ereat Miss Bulstrode herself, not knorine that it Mas Miss Bulatrode's custor to cetire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a relected and privilered len mere taken. Nekateri ned starsi, ki se niso kdoye kako spozaali, so jo ineli_ ta_sano_yelitso gospedieno Bulstrode, spi nimo redelie da se pospodienn Bulstirode nazadno odntrne p najspetejfe reagn syetiscn in \(k\) sebi pripusti le nekni izbrancey in oripilegirancep. \\ \#---for (they) did not knou thrt Miss Bulstrode二- - \(\quad\) -}

ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(...seni-clause))
ANALYSIS/SLOV SENTENCE: S(clause-clause)
(Since an expansion at clause level is involved (ENGL=seniclause SLOV =clause), the innediate and non-innediate constituents of the ENGL seni-clause and SLUV clause are not given nor are all the innediate constituents of the ENGL clause - cf. S(clause(NP-VP-NP)semi-clause). The onission of the constituents NP-VP-NE is indicated by ....)

Conjunctions are onitted in the representation, but as distinct fron onitted constituents, the onission of conjunctions is not indicated (cf. A-33 above, where the conjunction and" is omitted).

Discontinuity of phrases is not specially indicated (rf. A-69), again for the sake of simplicity of representation. (i. is, however, noted in the description of the expanding and/or the expanded structure (Fields 38,39) when relevant.

\title{
A-69: /"...We haven't got the full report yet. The plane crashed in a pretty inaccessible place./ There Has a renard offered for findine it, but these things take a long tine to filter through /.."/
}

ANALYSIS/ENGL SENTENCE: S(clause(...-VPpaea-NP-PP)-clause)
(The VP "was offered" is interrupted by the NP "a reward", but its discontinuity is not indicated.)
38. EXPANDING STRUCTURE:
39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE:

Eields 38 and 39 contain a detailed description of the structure which directly expands in translation and the structure resulting from the expansion. Each field is divided in to two parts: \(T\) y \(p e\) and \(R a n k\).
 expanding and the expanded structures are described. Thus, for instance, in the case of hypotaxis, the form of the expanding/the expanded structure and its syntactic function in the superordinate structure is stated (cf. Field 38 in A-2 below), and in the case of parataxis, the type of paratactic relation in which the expanding/the expanded structure is involved (cf. Field 39 in A-2 below). When the expanding structure is a clause-sentence (i.e. an independent clause forming a simple sentence), the clause pattern and the type of phrases realizing the clause elements are given (cf. Field 38 in A-68 below). In general. we try to include all those properties of the expanding/the expanded structure that have a bearing on the nature of the expansion involved, including semantic and/or pragmatic ones when relevant.

> A-2: Some parents who kntif no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knoring that it mas Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and pripileged fer Here taken. Nekateri med starsi, ki.seniso kdove kako spoznali, so ju 1 meli za samo xeliko gospodicno Bulstrode. sai niso redeli. da se eospodiena Bulstrode navadno odmakne y naisyetejise verea syetisfa in_k sebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancey in pripilegirancev.

\title{
\&---Cor (they) did not Jnon that Miss Bulstrode usually retires to the holiest of all holl places and adnits only a selected and privileged fen_ \({ }^{\sharp}\)
}
38. EXPANDING STRUCTURE: T y pe:participleine seniclause ("not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken") in the function of adverbial of cause or reason/ inplied is the simultaneity of the situation with the situation in the matrix clause/ the subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text ("some parents who knew no better")/ R a n k: ...
39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE: \(T\) y \(p\) e: coordinate clause ("saj niso vedeli, da se gospodićna Bulstrode navadno odmakne \(v\) najsvetejse vsega svetisća in k sebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancev in privilegirancev") in causal coordination with the conjunction "saj" ( for")/ inplied is the sinultaneity of the situations in the coordinate clauses/ the subject ("nekateri ned starsi, ki se niso kdove kako spoznali") is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form ("niso vedeli" - 3rd person, plural, past tense/ \(K\) a \(n k: \ldots\)
```

A-68: Jennifer looked disapoointed.
Tdelo_se je, da ie Jennifer raxosaranne
\# (lt) seened that Jennifer is disappointed \#

```
38. EXPANUING STRUCTURE: T y pe: clause-sentence ("Jennifer looked disappointed")/ clause pattern' subject-predicator-subject conplement/ subject= \(N+\) "Jennifer", predicator \(=\) VP " looked", subject complenent \(=\) AdjP "disappointed"/ R a n k: ...
39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE: T y pe: - clause ("zdelo se je, da je Jennifer razodarana") with an enbedded sutject clause ("da je Jennifer razodarana")/ the verb of the superodinate clause, "zdeti se" ('seen"), corresponds tu the verb "look" in the ENGL clause/ \(k\) a \(n\) :
When the expanding/expanded structure occurs in direct, tree indirect or free direct speech \({ }^{17}\). this information is included in \(T y\) \(p\) as well, for we assume that certain expanding/expanded structures may be typically associated with
these types of speech.
\(R\) a \(n\) : we state the rank of the expanding/ the expanded structure according to its position in the hierarchy of gramnatical units (sentence-clause-phrase-word-morpheme). For instance, when the expanding/the expanded structure is a clause (of any type), its rank is "clause" (cf. A-2 below), and when the expanding/the expanded structure is a phrase (of any type), its rank is "phrase" (cf. Field 38 in A-39 below).

In Field 39 we also note whether the rank of the expanded structure has been changed with respect to the rank of the expanded structure or not (cf. A-39).

A-2: Sone pareats who knew no better had taken her
for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not
knomine that it uns Miss Bulstrode's custon to retice to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and priyileged fem mere taken. Nekateri ned starsi, ki se nuso kdove kako spoznali, so jo imell. za samo velako cospodicno Bulstrade, saj niso redeli, da se eospodicna Bulstrode navadno odnakne paisvetense psera spetisca in kebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancey in orivilegirancey.
\#---for they did not know that Miss. Bulstrode=--. 38.EXPANDING STRUCTURE \(T\) y \(p e: \ldots / R\) a \(n k\) : clause 39.EXPANDED STRUCTURE: \(T\) y \(p\) e: .../ R a \(n k\) : clause/ rank unchanged with respect the rank of the expanding structure
A-39: Ln spite of this difference in status,
they Here un terns of perfect equality.
Kliub tenu. da sta si bila po polozaju
tako razlićna, ie med niima vladala
popolna enakost.
\#Despite this that. (they). Here in status so
different. ---.
38.EXPANDING STRUC'TUKE: 7 y pe:.../ R a n k: phrase 39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE: \(T\) y \(p\) e: .../ \(k\) a \(n k\) : clause/ rank changed with resfect to the rank of the expanding structure
(The ENGL PP "in spite of this difference in status" (rank: phrase) is rendered by the SLOV clause "kliub temu,
```

da sta si bila po polozaju tako razlićna" (rank: clause)-
the rank of the expanded structure has been changed.)

```
40.RANK of IMMEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTUREENOL:

Eield 40 concerns the rank (in terms of the hierarchy of grannatical units) of the structure which innediately doninates the expanding structure, i.e. of the structure of which the expanding strucure is an innediate constituent. The rank of the innediately doninating structure seens relevant in view of the fact that formally identical structures nay be innediate constituents of structures which differ in rank (cf. A-1, A-3 below). The infornation provided in this field is thus important in establishing the general characteristics of a particular type of expanding structure.

A-1: The front door was flung hospitably wide, and just mithin it, adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stood Miss Vansittart. every hair in elace, Hearing an inpeccably cut coat and skirt.
40.RANK of IMHEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTURERNOL: clause (The verbless adiective seni-clause "adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions" is an innediate constituent of the clause "and just within it, adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stood Miss Vansittart, every hair in place, wearing an inpeccably cut coat and skirt".)
A-13: She had been P.A. to the chief executive of an oil conpany, private secretary to Sir Meryyn Todhunter, renomed alike for his erudition. his irritability and the illeribility of his handmriting.
40.RANK of IMMEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTUREENGL: phrase (The verbless seni-clause "renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting" is an imnediate constituent of the NP "Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renowned alike for his erudition. his irritability and the illegibility of his handwritiug".) Both in \(A-1\) and A-13 the expanding structure is a verbless adjective seni-clause, but in \(A-1\) it is an inmediate constituent of a structure with the rank of

> clause, and in \(A-13\) of a structure with the rank of phrase.

\section*{41. TYPE of EXPANSION:}

Ln field_ 41 it is stated whether the expansion involved is "systenic" or "non-systemic", and reasons are given for assigning it to one or the other category.

An expansion is considered systenic if it is due to differences in the source and target language systens, and non-systenic if it is not due to these differences (cf. A-22, A-39 below). A systenic expansion is obligatory - a direct structural equivalent of the original structure would result in an ungramatical or substandard sentence in the target language (cf.A-83 below), or is simply impossible as a particular structure (or one of its elenents) does not exist in the target language systen (cf. A-22 below). By contrast, a non-systemic expansion is non-obligatory - a direct structural equivalent is possible and does not result in an ungrannatical or substandard sentence.

It must be pointed out that when an expansion 15 classified as systemic, this does not mean that the original structure must be expanded in translation exactly in the way it has been in a particular example under consideration, but merely that some kind of expansion or other change is necessary. Un the other hand, the label "non-systemic" should not be taken to inply that. a translation with a direct. structural equivalent of the orifinal structure would always be as acceptable as a translation with an expansion - "non-systenic" implies onfy that a translation with a direct structurgl equivalent would be pnssible.

A-22: Miss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle reussurance. refraining fron the caustic phrase she sometines mas tenoted to utter. rospodicna Bulstrode je rahle pondriuioce prikinala in zadrenla zase pikerstarek. in yeasih ie res bila q skusniayi, da bi da izrekda naglas.

A-- - and she refrgined fron--..
TYPF of EXPANSIUN: systenic (In SLOV participle semiclauses in the function of adverbial of time/manner are
are possible, but the verb "zadrえati" ('refrain') has no adverbial participle form expressing the sinultaneity of the situations in the matrix clause and the subordinate clause. This being the case, the direct structural equivalent is not possible, and the expansion is classed as systenic.)

\section*{A-39: In spite of thir difference in status.}
they Here on terns of perfect equality.
Klub tenu da sta si bila no polotaju
tako razliana je ned niina yladala popolns enakost.
\#Despite_this that (they) Mere in giatus so different. ---. \#
TYPE of EXPANSION: non-systenic (In SLUV, too, PPs with the preposition "kljub" ("in spite of") and in the function of adverbial of concession are possible (cf. "kljub taks̃ni razliki v statusu/(druzbenem) položaju), je...".)
A-83: /".../ And that local tin He bought to put
the exten thinge in - yes, one, two,
three, four, five, six - yes, that's all
right./ All fourteen are here."/
/".../ Kaj pa bisaga, ki sya_io kupidi tan
doli, da bi yaniu zlokili odrećne strari
- da, ena, dva, tri, stiri, pet, sest - da,
vse je tu./ Vseh stirinaist kosov."/
\#---80 that (we) could put the oxtera thinge
in it--- \#
TYPE of EXPANSION: systenic (ln SLOV infinitive semiclauses may be used in the function of adverbial of purpose, but in the given example, this kind of clause would make the sentence ungramnatical (cf.*"...bisaga, ki sva jo kupili tan doli zloziti vanjo odvećne stvari..."). Ungrammaticality could be avoided if the infinitive seni-clause introduced ty " \(z a{ }^{\prime \prime}\) (for') were used (cf."...bisaga, ki sva jo kupili tan doli za zloziti vanjo..."). However, infinitive semiclauses with "za" are considered substandard.)
Sonetines an expansion may be systemic from the point of view of the existing translation but non-systemic it the
potential direct or closest translation equivalent is considered, or vice versa (i.e. non-systemic from the point of view of the existing translation but systemic if the potential direct or closest translation equivalent is considered). In such cases the expansion is classified on the basis of the existing translation, which is indicated by the subscript rransl "systemictransl", "non-systemictransl" (cf. A-41, A-144).

A-41: "To think, said the young Prince with
feeling, "of the money that has
Rone inte makine this a Melfare State. / . ."/ "Ce pomislim," je custiveno spregovoril mladi
princ, "na denar, ki sme ga porabili
za to, da sno tei deteli zagotorili
blaginiod..."/
H-- "of the money which (He) spent for this
that we ensured prosperity to this country.---\#
TYPE of EXPANSION: non-systemictransl (In SLOV, too, PPs with a gerund semi-clause which function as adverbial of intention are possible (cf."...na denar, ki sno ga porabili za zagotovitev blaginje ..." \#...the money (we) spent. for ensuring prosperity... ). (The verb "zagotoviti" ('ensure') has been used instead of the verb "narediti" with causative meaning, which is the direct equivalent of the ENGL verb "make" in the clause pattern: subject-predirator-object-object
complement. If "narediti" were used, the expansion would be systemic as this verb (in the meaning concerned) lacks a gerund form. The existing translation being taken into account, the expansion is classified as non-systemictransl.
A-144: "You think it more likely that Miss
Springer disturbed a rendezyous of sone kind? - -
"Hocietereci, da je boli verjetno. da je
cospodicna Springer motila kaksen RENDEZ YQUS: \({ }^{\prime}\)
\#" (You) Hant to say that (it) is more likely that Kiss Soringer-=-2 \({ }^{\text {H }}\)
TYPE of EXPANSION: systenictransi. (The direct
structural equivalent of the ENGL introductory object

\begin{abstract}
"it" construction with a "that"-clause is the SLOV structure with the introductory object "to" ('this') cf." vi snatrate to, da je gospodićna Springer znotila kaksen rendezvous, za bolj verjetno" (\#you think this that Mrs.Springer disturbed rendezvous of some kind more likely\#). Since, however, "you think" has been translated as "hocete reci" (\#you want to say\#), the introductory object "to" construction cannot be used the expansion is systenictransl.)
\end{abstract}

\section*{42.GROUNDS for ClASSIFICATION:}

We state the reasons for assigning the change that a particular original structure has undergone in translation to the class of deviations which we have termed "norpho-syntactic expansions" (M-S expansions).

This field often contains several data. The first-cited is of a more general nature and refers, as a rule, to the nain reason for classifying the change involved as a \(H-S\) expansion, the other data providing an explanation of, or following fron the first one (cf. \(\mathrm{A}-2\) ).

A-2: Sone parents whe knek no better had taken her
for the ereat Hiss Bulstrode hersolf, not knosine that it mas Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire te \(n\) kind of holy of holies to which only selected and pripileged fer were taken. Nekateri ned starsi, ki. se nıso kdove kako spoznali, so jo ineli za samo yeliko gospodićne Bulstrode, sai niso zedeli, da se eospodicna Bulstrode naradno odmakne \(x\) naisvetejse vsega syetisca in \(k\) sebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancep in privilegirancer. \#---for (they) did not knon that Miss Bulstrode--- \# GROUNDS for CLASSIFICATION: ENGL= seni-clause, SLOV= clause \({ }^{18}\) (ENGL- "not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrodes custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to which only a selected and privileged few were taken. SLOV- sal niso vedelı, da se gospodična Bulstrode navadno odnakne \(v\) najsvetejse vserga svetisca in \(k\) sebi pripusti le nekai izbrancev in privilegirancev")/ No. of fornally implied subjects in the expanding/expanded structure: ENGL=0. SLOV:1 (1n both ENGL, and

SLOV the subject is unexpressed, but while in ENGL it is inplied in the co-text, in SLOV it is formally implied by the verb form ("niso vedeli" - 3rd person, plural, past tense)/ link with matrix clause(ENGL)/preceding coordinate clause (SLOV): ENGLunexpressed, SLUV = expressed (by the conjunction "saj" (for")

ENGL = semi-clause, \(\operatorname{SLOV}=\) clause" denotes the main reason for classifying the given change as a \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion. "No. of formally implied subjects in expanding/expanded structure" indicates why the change of a semi-clause into a clause is considered an instance of \(M-S\) expansion (a structure with formally implied subject is morpho-syntactically more explicit than one whose subject is not formally implied.) "Link with matrix/preceding coordinate clause" is related to the first data (when a semi-clause is transformed into a clause, a conjunction is normally added.

Whenever two or more expansions occur in the translation of one and the same sentence, only those data that are relevant for the expansion under consideration are listed (ct. A-13).


Bila je sekretarka glavnega direktorja petrolejske druzbe, osebna tajnica sira Meryyna Todhunteria.
ki.jebil enako znan po ten da je bilerudit.
da se ie hitro uiezil in da je inel cisto nexitilivo pisayo.
\#-- Hho Has renouned alike for this that. (he)
Has an erudites that (he) EQt ircitated easily and
Lluat (he) had quite illedible handeriting. \(\quad\).
GROUNISS fror CLASSIFICATION: ENGL= seni clause, SLOV= clause (ENGL- renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting". SLOV- "ki je bil enako znan fu tem, da je bil erudit, da se je hitro uifeillin da je imel risto necitljivo pisavo")/ No. of expressed subjects in expanding/expanded structure: EN(GL=U. SLOV=1 ("ki* (who ))/ Link with antecedent: ENGL- unexpressed, jLOV = expressed dby the relative pronoun "ki" (who"))
Another difference between the ENGL, and SLOV senterices concerns
the number of clauses they contain. Yet this is not recorded here since the increased number of clauses in SLOV is due to the change of the ENGL PP with three coordinated NPs ("for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting") into the SLOV PP with three coordinate clauses ("po tem, da je bil erudit, da se je hitro ujezil in da je inel ©isto neditljivo pisavo" - \#for this that (he) was an erudite, that he got irritated easily and that (he) had quite illegible handwriting\#). The latter change is an independent expansion of its own and is dealt with separately as A-14.)

\section*{43.NOTES:}

Field 43 contains notes concerning the example under consideration. The majority of notes concern the data related to the criteria for the complexity of the original (Fields 8-35) and those related to the \(M-S\) expansion under consideration (Fields 36-42). The translation itself (Field 6) is conmented upon only when it involves a mistake or an inaccuracy.

\section*{2. Database "Morpho-syntactic expansions"}

Due to limitations of space, the complete database cannot be presented here. Of each data record wily the first seven fields are given, the presentation thus includius all the sentences which make up Sample \(A\) and their corresponding translations, with number, line and page references added. By way of illustration, however, the first data record is given in full.
1. EXAMPLE NO: 1
2. ENGL TEXT: The front door was flung hospitably wide, and just Aithin it. adnirably suited to its Georgian propartions, stood Miss Vansithact every hair in ulaces Hearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt.
3. PAGE: 7 4. LINE: S
5. SLOV TEXT: Vhodna vrata so bila gostoljubmo na siroko odprta; pray sredi nifih pa je shada gospocilicna Vausilitart. ysak las na svojen mesth, ulask in kril. Hzurstno krujena, wiena postaya se ie siniaju uiensalu \(\angle\)

\section*{canseznortio vert \(p\) slogn kralier Jurijer.}
6. PAGE: 7
7. LINE: 3
8. No./WORDS in SA: 31
G. No./CLAUSE in \(S_{A}: 2\) (the front door was flung hospitably wide/ and just within it, admirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stoud Miss Vansittart, every hair in place, wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt)
10.Nu./NPe in \(S_{A}: 2\) (the front door/ Miss Vansittart, every fair in place, wearing an impeccably cut cuat and skirt,
11. No./WORDS in NPe (in SA): 3+13
12.No./PP in \(S_{A}\) : 1 ijust within it)
13.No./WORDS in PP (in SA): 3
14.No./VPe in Sa: 1 (was flung)
15.No./WORDS in VFo (in SA): 2
16. No./AdjPc in \(\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 2 (hospitably wide/ admirably suited to its Georgian proportions)
i7.No./WURDS in AdjPc (in Sa): \(\ddot{2}+6\)
18. No. /AdvPo in Sa: O
14. No./WORDS in Advto (in SA): U
¿i!. MAKKED CATEGORIES in \(\mathrm{HA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 1 PASS/ 2 SNP/ 1 VPo
21. UNMARKED CATEGORIES in \(S_{A}: 1\) ACT/ 2 DECL/ 2 AFF/ 1 VP.
22.No. /JNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in CiA: 3 (in seni-clauses "adnirably suited to its (ieorgan proportions / "every hair in place"/ "wearing an impecrably cut coat and skirt")
2:3. No./ERONOUN SUBJECTS (c) in \(C_{A}\) : U
74 Nu./PRONOUN SUBJECTS(+C) in CA: U
25. No./NOUN SUBJECTS (-c) in ( \(\mathrm{Ca}: ~ U\)

2b.No./NOUN SUBJECIS (+C) in Ca: 1 (Miss Vansittart. every hair in place, wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt)
2\%. No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{CA}_{\mathrm{A}}\) : 1
2甘. No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \((-C)\) in Ca: 0
29. No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left\langle+C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}: 0\)

3U.No./NOUN OBJECTS \(\langle\) - \(C\) ) in CA: O

32. No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in (:AA: is
33. No. /MUDIFIERS in NPe/Ex (in (iA): -
34.No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIEKS in NHe/Ex (in (Ya): -
35. TYPE of CUMPONENT(S) of POSTMODIFIER in NPC/EX (in \(\left.C_{A}\right):-\)
36. ANALYSIS of ENGL TEXT: \(\dot{S}\) (rlause-rlause (...senicianse (AdjP) ....)
37. ANALYSIS of SLOV TEXT: S(clause-clause-clause)
38.EXPANDING STRUCTURE: \(T\) y \(p\) e: verbless adjective semi-clause ("adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions") \({ }^{1}\) without a subordinator, in adverbial function - "subjectless supplementive clause" 2 , functioning as "adverbial of general circunstance"3/ the subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text ("Miss Vansittart")/ R a \(n k\) : clause
39. EXPANDED STRUCTURE: \(T\) y \(p\) e: independent finite clause ("njena postava se je sijajno ujenala z razseznostjo vrat viogu kraljev Jurijev" (\#her figure屯 splendidly matched the proportions of the door in Georgian style\#)/ the subject is expressed, news ("njena postava" ('her figure")/ \(R\) a \(n k\) : clause/ rank unchanged with respect to the rank of the expanding structure
40.RANK of IMMEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTUREENGL: clause ("Adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions" is an imnediate constituent of the clause "and just within it, adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions, stood Miss Vansittart, every hair in place, wearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt".)
41.TYPE of EXPANSIUN: systenictransl (The direct structural equivalent of the ENGL verbless adjective seni-clause would be possible (cf. "...prav sredi njih pa je, neverjetno skladna z razseznostjo vrat v slogu kraljev Jurijev, stala gospodićna Vansittart,..." provided the ENGL collocation "be suited to (sonething)" is translated by a collocation with the sane structure ("biti skladen \(s(c i n) "\) ). In the example under consideration, however, the ENGL collocation is rendered by the prepositional verb "ujenati se s/z ( match with'), which has no adjectivized participle corresponding to the ENGL "suited". Thus the verbless adjective seni-clause equivalent is not possible.)
42. GROUNDS FOR CLASSIFICATION: ENGL= seni-clause. SLOV = clause (ENGL = "admirably suited to its Georgian proportions". (SLOV = "njena postava se je sijajno ujemala z razseznostju vrat \(v\) slogu kraljev Jurijev")/ No.of expressed subjects in the expanding/expanded structure: \(E N G L=0\). \(S L O V=1\) (while in in \(E N G L\) the subject is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text (Miss Vansittart"), in SLOV it is expressed ("niena
postava")
43.NOTES: \({ }^{2}\) "Suited" is formally a participleed, but in the collocation "be suited to something" (cf. "she was adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions") it has the value of an adjective. Structures of this kind are classed by CGEL85 as "pseudo passives" - "pseudo" since they have no active transform, nor can they take an agent by-phrase (CGEL85,169-70). Note also the possibility of replacement of the verb "be" by another copular verb, e.g. "seem" ("she seemed admirably suited to..."), which further indicates that "suited" is here not part of a passive structure - "adnirably suited to its Georgian proportions" is in the function of subject complement, the latter being a tyfical function of AdjPs./ 2 "Supplementive clauses" are adverbial participle and verbless seni-clauses without a suboritinator, which (mainly due to this absence of a subordinator) involve a considerable degree of semantic indeterminacy - "...they do not signal specific logical relationships, but such relationships are generally clear from the context" (CGELB5, 1124). They inply "...an
 matrix clause For the reader or hearer, the actual nature ot the accompanying cirrumstance has to be inferred fron the context." (ibid.)/ 3 The exact nature of the arcompanying cirrumstance implied by a supplementive clause 15 sonetimes difficult to determine. This seens to be the case with the semi-clause in the example under discussion. What \(1 s\) implien is a kind of general carcunstance rather than any specific logical relationship (i.e. causal. concessive, temporal, conditional). In such instances. therefore, we tern the adverbial realized by the semi-clause "adverbial of general circumstance"./ s "Figure" is the literal translation of "postava', but in the given context postava" has a wider neaning. referring not to Miss Vansittarts figure only but also to her general appearance./ s "New megns different trom the direct equivalent of the inplied subyert, of the \(A N G L\) structure" (here: "Miss Vansittart*), introduced on the basis of the co-text (here: n, iena postava" ('her figure')'.

1*The front door was flung hospitably wide, and just whthin it, admirably suited to its Georgian proportions, steod Miss Vansittart, every hair in place, Hearing an impeccably cut coat and skirt.*7*3*Vhodna vrata so bila gostoljubno na siroko odprta; pray sredi njih pa je stala gospodicna Yansittart, vsak las na syojen nestu, plasc in krilo izvrstao krojena, njena postava se je sijajno ujenala z razsetnostio yrat y slogu kraljey Jurijer.*7*3
2*Sone parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knosing that it mas Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to ghich only a selected and orivilered fer rere taken,*7* 7* Nekateri ned starsi, \(k i\) se niso kdeve kako spoznali, se ineli 2 a sano veliko gospodikno Bulstrode, saj niso zedeli. da se gospodigna Bulstrode navadno odnakne \(y\) najsyetejke psega syetiska in \(k\) sebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancev in privilerirancer.*7*9
3*Some parents who knew no better had taken her for the great Miss Bulstrode herself, not knowing that it was Miss Bulstrode's custon to retire to a kind of holy of holies to shich only a selected and pripileged fer reare taken. *7*7*Nekateri od starsev, ki se niso kdove kako spoznali, so jo ineli za sano velio gospodieno Bulstrode, saj niso vedeli, da se gospodicna Bulstrode navadno odnakne y najsvetejise ysega syetisca in \(k\) sebi pripusti le nekaj izbrancey in privilerirancey * \(7 * 9\)
4*Yarious Helcoming fords and phrases, uttered eraciously by Miss Yansithart, floated through the house.*7*19* Nairazlienejse besede in stayki, ki jih.je \(y\) dobrodoslica izrekala gospodicna Yansittarts. so plavali po hisi.*7*26
5*Ann was a nice looking young goman of thirty-five, with hair that fitted her like a black satin cap.*8*4*Ann je bila prikupna mlada zenska petintridesetih let, lasje so se pridegali njeni glayi kakor crna satenasta cepica.*8*29 6*She could be attractive when she wanted to be, but life had taught her that efficiency and competence often paid better results and aroided painful conplications. \(* 8 * 8 * C e\) bi hotela. bi bila privlacna, toda zivlijenje jo je naueilo, da ueinkoyitost in konpetenca narsikdai dajeta bolise rezultate in grarocita, da se izognomo bolecin zapleton.*8*32
7*At the noment she was concentratiog on everythine that a secretary to the headmistress of a fanous eirls school should be.*8*10*Éray zdaj pa si je prizadevala. da bi bila pse tisto, kar mora biti tajnica cavnatelijice slayne dekliske sole.*8*34
8*An enormous and almost increditly opulent Cadillac, panted in tho tones, raspberry fool and azure blue, shept (rith difficulty oring to its length) anto the drive and drew up behind Major the Hon.Alistair Hargreaves ancient Austin.*8*24*Yelikanski in skoraj neverjetno razkosen cadillac, poharyan \(y\) dyeh tonih, nocne malinoven ili arbesno modren, je praplayal po privozu (elede na njegovo sirino to pac ni bilo lahko) in zapeljal za starınski Austin Castitega majorja Alistair.ja Hargreavesa.*9*20
9*The chauffeur sprang to open the door, an immense tiearded dark-skinned man, wearing a flowing aba. stepped out.a Parisian fashion plate followed and then a slim dark girl *** 31*Sofer je kar planid k vraton io jih odprl in iz avta je
stopil velikanski bradat temnopolt clovek，oblecen vopletajoč aba，za njim je izstopila slika iz pariskega modnega zurnala in potem vitka temna deklica．＊9＊27
10＊／Both Miss Vansittart and Miss Chadwick appeared on this occasion／＂They＇ll be taken to the Presence，＂decided Ann．＊8＊40＊／Za to prilożnost sta se prikazali obe gospodicni Vansittartova in Chadwickova．／＂Peljali jih bostak Mjej．＂ je sklenila Ann．＊9＊38
11＊Then she thought that，strangely enough，one didn＇t quite Like naking jokes about Miss Bulstrode．＊8＊43＊Potem pa je ponislila，da je res nenayadno，kako clevek pravzapray ne utiva，ce se sali na racun gospodićne Bulstrode．＊10＊1
12＊Then she thought that，strangely enough，one didnit guite Like naking jokes about Miss Bulstrode，＊8＊43＊Poten je pomislila，da je res nenavadno．kake eloyek prayzapray ne山泣々，ce se sali na racun gospodicne Bulstrode．＊10＊1
13＊She had been P．A．to the chief executive of an oil conpany， private secretary to Sir Meryyn Todhunter，renomed alike for his erudition，his irritability and the illegibility of of his handuriting．＊9＊5＊Bila je sekretarka glavnega direktorja petrolejske druzbe，osebna tajnica sira Mervyna Todhunterja，ki je bil enako zon po ten，da je bil erudit． da＿se je hitro uiezil in da je inel cisto necitlijzo pisazo．＊10＊8
14／18a＊She had been \(\mathcal{H}\) ．A to the chief executive of an oil company，private secretary to Sir Mervyn Todhunter，renorned alike for his erndition，his irritability and the illegibility of his handuriting．＊9＊5＊Bila je sekretarka glavnega direktorja petrolejske druzbe，osebna tajnica sira Mervyna Todhunterja，ki je bil enake znan po ten，da je bil erudit，da se ja hitro uiezil in da je inel cisto necithivo pisaye．＊10＊8
15＊／And there was always Dennis！／Eaithful Dennis returning fron Malaya from Burata fron various oarts of the world， aluays the sane，devoted，asking her once again to marry ham． ＊9＊13＊／In potem je bil tu se veči Dennis！／Zyest，Dennis， ki se je vraçal iz Malaje Burae iz razlićnih kraiex no spetu，zmeron enak，vdan，in jo zneron znova prosil \(2 a\) roko＿＊10＊19
16＊／And there was always Dennis！／Eaithful Dennis returnang fron Malaya．from Burma，fron yarious parts of the world， alrays the sane，deyoted，asking her once to marry hia． ＊Y＊13＊／ln poten je bil tu vecni Dennis！／Zvesti Dennis．ki se je vracal iz Malaje．Burme，iz razlicrih kraity vo syeth． zneron enak，ydan．in jo zeeron znova prosil ua roko． ＊10＊19
17＊Unly one more letter to do，she was pleased to note， and then she might stroll round the garden．．．＊Э＊3¿＊ 2 zaduvolistyun je usotovila，da jo caka le se＿eno pisno． potem pa se bo sla lahko potepat po vrtu． \(111 * 5\)
18＊Her exes hent to the procession of ciars sueeping up to the front door＊ \(10 * 14 *\) S porledon je objela spreyod aylumubiluy，ki so se prenikali h elavnin yraton．＊12＊4
19＊Her eyes went to the procession of cars sheeping up to the front door．\({ }^{\text {（1U＊14＊S pugledom je objela sprevod }}\) aylomubiloy， ki so se orenikali h elavnin yraton．＊12＊4
¿O\＆But．Miss Bulstrode had her rules，she did not accept morons， ur juvenile delinquents，and she preferred to accept girls
whose parents she liked, and girls in whom she herself saw a prospect of developnent.*12*12*Toda gospodiena Bulstrode se je drzala svojih pravil, ni sprejemala ne umsko nerazvitih deklic in ne mladostnih prestopnic in rajsi je jenala dekleta, katerih starsi so ji bili vsec, in dekleta, za katere je sama videla. da se bode razyijala in napredoyala.* 14*36
21* Nith ereat forsiqht, she had net suggested that Mrs. Hope should sit doнn.*12*22*Gospe Hove ni ponudila stola in bila je res dalinopidna.* 15* 12
22* Hiss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle reassurance, cefraiaing fron the caustic phrase she sonetines uas tenpted to utier. *12*26*Gospodicna Bulstrode je rable pomirjujoce prikinala in radrtala rase piker stavek, in veasih je res bila x skusnisyi. da bi ge izrekla naglas.*15*16
23*Miss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle reassurance, refraining from the caustic phrase she sometines was tenpted to utter. *12*26*Gospodiena Bulstrode je rahlo pomirjujoce prikimala in zadrzala zase piker stavek, in veasih je res bila y skuśnjayi, da bi ga izrekla naglas, *15*16
24*(She looked with approval at Henrietha, anice Hell-
balanced intelligent child if.exer there nas one, and whe deserved a better mother. )*13*18*(Odobravajoce je pogledala Henrietto za katero je lahko upragiceno rekla. da je orijeten, unirjen in bister otrok in da zasluti boliso mater.)*16*24
25*Miss Bulstrode spoke to her about her projected studies and was relieved to find that she ansuered pronptly in excellent English and without gigeling. 14*3*Gospodicna Bulstrode se je pogovorila 2 njo o njenen prihodnjen delu in \(z\) olajsanjen je ugotovila, da odgovarja natanéno \(v\) odlićni angleséini in da se ne hihita.*17*26
26*It was possible that, despite Julia s apuearance of cheerful conmun-sense, her mother, too, might want to explain that her daughter mas highly strung. *14*Z/*Prav nogoce je bilo, da se be tudi Julini nateri kliub ocitni heerkini zdrayorazumarski yedrini zaholelo. da pojasnie da ie_niena hei strahoyito obentliva,* 18*2:s
27*/"...l sonetimes think - / Why!". exclained Hiss Upiohn. still gazing out of the miador - "how extraordinary!"*15*6*/ /Véasih se mi zdi - Uh! je vzklikuilagospa لlozeho. in śe zedno strmela skozi okno. "Kako nenavadno!"*19*1U
28*For at that moment she herself had glanced casually out of the other window which gave on to the rhododendron shrubbery. and had perceived a highly unwelcome sight, none other than Lady Yeronica Carlton-Sandways, Heaving her gay along the pathe her larise black. velyet hat on que_side. multeriag to herself and clearly. in a state of adyanced intexication.* 15* G*Toda prav \(^{\text {v tem }}\) trenutku se je samamimogrede zazrla skoz drugo okno, ki je gledalo na gredo z rododendrovimi grmi. in zagledala je hudo neljubo postavo, nikogar drugega kot lady Yeronike Carlton-Sandways veliken crnen klobuku. ki i2 je cepel postrani. prebijala se ie po poti in st ocitno \(x\) staniu hude opitosti. sana nekaj mrmrala_*1y*14
29*For at that monent she herself had glanced casually out of the other window which gave on to the rhododentron shrubtery, and had perceived a highly unwelcome sight, mone other than Lady Veronica Carlton-Sandways, weaving her way alorig the path. her large black reluet hat on one side. mutieratig to
herself and clearly in a state of advanced intoxication.*15*9* Toda prav \(v\) tem trenutku se je sama minogrede zazrla skoz drugo okno, ki je gledalo na gredo \(z\) rododendrovini grni, in zagledala je hudo neljubo postavo, nikogar drugega kot lady Veroniko Carlton-Sandways y velikem ernen zanetnem klobuku. ki ji je cepel postrani, prebijala se je po poti in si oditno v stanju hude opitosti sama nekaj mrarala.*19*14
30*For at that monent she herself had glanced casually out rhododendron sight, none other than Lady Veronica CarltonSandways, Heaving her way along the path. her large black velvet hat on one side, muttering to herself and clearly in a state of advanced intoxication.*15*9*Toda prav \(v\) tem trenutku se je sana mimogrede zazrla skoz drugo okno, ki je gledalo na gredo z rododendrovini grmi, in zagledala je hudo neljubo postavo, nikogar drugega kot lady Yeronico CarltonSandHays \(y\) yeliken ernen klebuku, ki ji je cepel postrani, prebijala se ie po poti in si ocitno y stanju hude opitosti sana nekaj nrarala_*19*14
31*But there were times when Lady Veronica gave her well-wishers the slip, tanked herself up and nade a bee-line for her daughters to assure then of her naternal love. *15*25*Toda prisli so casi, ko se je Lady Veronika otresla svojih varuhov, se dodobra odzejala in po najkrajsi poti pohitela \(k\) heerana, da bu ju prepricala o syoji materinski Liubeani.*19*27
32*/Mrs.Upjohn was still talking. But Miss Bulstrode was not listening./She was reviewind various courses of action. for she recognised that Lady Veronica was fast approaching the truculent stage.*15*30*/Gospa Upjohn je se znerom govorila, a je gospodićna Bulstrode ni poslusala./Y mislih je pretresala razne motnosti, kai naj stori, saj je opazila, da se lady Veronika hitro priblizuje trenutku, ko bo postala neprijetna. *20*5
33* She turned gith a sish and a sense of guilt to Mrs. Upjothe tho had been talking happily for some time....*16*16*
Yrdibnila je in se 2 obxption krirde obraila h sospe Upiohn. ki ie le precej casa nekai srec̃o priporedopala e.*21*8
34*/"...though, of course," she was saying, "never real cloak and dagger stuff. Not dropping by parachute, or sabotage, or being a courier./ I shouldn't have been brave enough./../ "*16*20*/"...ceprav, seveda, nikoli nic zares zaupnega in nevarnega. Nobenih skokov s padalon, nic sabotaze ali kurirskih poslov./ Za to ne bi bila doveli poruna. . ."/*21*13
35* She stopped abruptily rith an apolagetic and friendly smile. *16*28* Henadora je umolknila in se y opravieilo prijatelisko nasnehnila.*21*29
36* In the Palace of Ranat, two young nan sat smokine and considering the imerdiate future.*17*3*Y ramatski palaci sta sedela madeniéa, kadila in pretresala blitnjo prihodnosti. *23*5
37*The other young nan was sandy haired and freckled and more or less peniless, except for the handsome salary he dren as private pilet to His Highness Prince Ali Yusut.* 17* 8* Drugi madenie je inel pescene lase, bil je parast in boli ali mani_nopremozen, imel je le kar dobre olaco, ki jo je dobival kot osebni pilet niefoverayisocanstya Alina Yusufa. *23*11
38*The other young nan Has sandy haired and freckled and
noce or less penniless, excent for the handsone salary he drem as private oilot to his Highness Prince Ali Yusuf. *17*8*Drugi mladenic ie imel pescene lase, bil je pegast in boli ali manj noprenoten, inel je le kar dobra placo, ki jo je dobival kot arebni pilot njerovera yisocanstya Alija Yusufa,*23*11
39* In spite of this difference in status, they were on terms of perfect equality,*17*11*Kliub tenu da sta si bila po polokaju tako rarlicna, je med nijma vladala popolaa enakost.*23*14
40*"To think," said the young Prince Hith feeling, "of the monex that has eone into naking this a Melfare Stated.../" *17*27*"Ce porislin." je eustyeno spregovoril mladi princ. "na denar, ki smo ga porabili za te da sme tej detteli zaqotorili blaginio. . . / "*24*10
41*"To think," said the young Prince with feeling, "of the money that has gone into naking this a Melface Stata. /"*1/"*27*"Ce ponislin," je custveno spregovoril mladi prine, "na denar, ki smo ga porabili za_te. da smo tej dateli sacotorili blaginioe/ . ./"*24*10
42*"/. . /Already the chief accusation against me is of being pro-Hestern."*17*36*"/.../ Saj ni te tako ocitajo predrapento, da sen zahodno usnerien. "*24*21
43*"/. . / Already the chief accusation against ne is of being pro-Vestern "*17*36*"/..// Saj ni ze tako ocitajo oredysen to, da sen zahodno hsmerien." \(* 24 * 21\)
44/80*He sounded wistfil, ronnear than his twenty-five yoars.
 petindrajset let, koliker in ie inel.*24*24
45*He sounded wistful, councer than his trenty-fire years.
*17*38*Njequy glas je zyenel otozno, pripisal bi nu
anj kot petindyaiset let, kolikor ih je inal_*24*24
46*"/...And 1 ? What have I done?/ Built hospitals, and schools, welfare, housing...all the things people are said to sant. /..."/*18*6*/"...Kaj pa jaz? Kaj sen storil?/ Zidal sen bolnice in sole, skrbel \(2 a \operatorname{blaginjo,~stanovanja...same~styari,~} 2 a\) 2n katere pravijo. da si jih Liudje telijo. . . "/*24*33
47*/"...In his tribal wars. he killed his enenies unnercifully and executed them horribly. The nere whisper of his name made everyone turn pale. And yet - HE is a legend still! Adnired! Kespected! The great Achned Abdullah!And l?What have 1 dolle? Built hospitals and schools, welfare,housing...all the things people are said to want. Don't they want thea?/ Would they prefer a reign of terror like \(\quad\) grandfather's?"*18*8*/ \(v\) plemenskih vojnah je brez milosti ubijal sovraztiake in prirejal grozljive usnrtitve. 2e ce je kdo sepnil njegovo ine, so vsi pobledeli. In vsenu navkljub - ON je se zmeron legenda! Obcudujejo ga. Spostujejo. Veliki Ahmed Abdulah! Kaj pa jaz: Kaj sen storil?Zidal sen bolnice in sole, skrbel za blaginjo, stanovanja...sane stvari, \(2 a\) katere pravijo, da si jih ijudje zelijo.Ali jih ne narajo?/ Ali bi rajsi ineli vladavane nasilia kakrana ie bila y casu najega deda?" *24*36
48*/"We have to fly north, you know. They can t intercept us
this way./But it neans going ozer the nountains- and at this time of year---"*19*23*/"Leteti bova morala severno. ves.Tam naju ne morejo ustaviti./Toda te comeni, da boya letela cez planine - in \(v\) tem letnem casu... *26*3u 49*He was no longer the modern conscientious Westernized young
man - the smile held all the racial guile and craft which had enabled a lone line of his ancestors to surfive.* 20*10*Nic več ni bil sodoben osvescen zahodnjaski mladenie - v nasmehu je odsevala vsa rasna prebrisanost, ki je dolei vrsti njegevih orednikoy ponagala, da so preziveli,*27*26
50*Bob let out a whistle, picked up the stones, let them run through his fingers.*20*31*Bob je zažvižgal, pobral drago kamenje in pustil, da nu je drselo med prsti,*27*9
bl*/".../ I nay net live to reach the airstrio this aftecnoon. /..."/*21*3*/".../ Morda ne bon devoli delgo zivel, da bi prisel to popoldne na pristaialno stezod..."/*28*2y
52*Jewels worth three quarters of a million had been handed to him, and it was up to him to devise some plan of getting then out of the country.*22*31*2aupali so mu dragulje, vredne tri cetrt milijona, in na njem je bilo, da si izmisli, kako jih bo sprapil iz detele.*31*17
53* Jewels worth three quarters of a million had been handed to \(h i m\), and it was up to him to devise sone plan of getting them out of the country. * Z Z \(2: 31 * Z\) aupali so mis dragulje, vredne tri cetrt milijona, in na njem je bilo, da sl izmisli, kake jih bo spraxil iz detele.*31*17
54*He strode along the street oblivious of the midday heat. *23*33*Stopil ie vadolí uldee, ne da bi se menil ra opoldansko yrocine *32*33
bs*Clothing lay about, the table was littered with rolls of films. postcards, paper-backed books and an assortment of native curzous fron the bouth mostly nads in Birnnghan and Japan_*24* LZ*Vse naokoli so bile razmetane otleke, miza je bila zasura s filmskimi koiuti, razglednicami, mehko vezanimi knjigami in cele vrsto domorudskih izdelkoy z juga vesivo med njimi so naredili y Birninghanu_in na Japonsken* うjes
sbiln the kind of thriller that bub Laked reading to fill up bis_spare monents. you lett a kind of cryptogram which was always successfully puz=led out by someone.*25*15*V urhl.jivkah, \(k_{1}\) din de Bob rad prebiral, da bi nekako pregnal prosti cas. si napisal riekaksen kriptogan, in vedno se jr "чşel kfo. ki ga je z uspehnm razvozljal.*3b*2 ! \(\because \cdot \ln\) the kand of thriller that bob liked reading to fill up nis spare moments. you left a kind of crugtogram mhich gas alrays successfully puzzled out by soneone.*25* 1'j*V orhlifivkh. ki iih ie Bot rad prebıral. da bi ti nekako prognal prosti cas. si napisal nekakseu kriptogran. 10 .vedue se je nasel kdo, ki ga ie zuspehon razyozlial.*35*2
bm* A casuad surt of nessuge to deave tor ansister that he marht uryer see again = but in some hays the more casual. the better. \(/\) Joan mustn't be involved in any funny business, mustn \(t\) even know that there was any funny business. Joan could not dissimulate. \(1 * 25 * 3.3 *\) Hude ysakdanje besede, namenjene sestris ki je morebiti nikoli. ves ne bos videl - prayzapray pa , bolj ko so xakdanje, bolie je. /Joan ne sae bitı vmesana v ilie nenavadnega, se tega ne sme vedeti, da je sploh k.je ka, nehavadnega. Joan se ne zna sprenevedati.i*3b* zU Stw, Since all the telephones in Ramat had been tapped, Boh and fishn kidmundson had worked out a little code of their own./A Honderful gird who was out of this Horld" meant sonething urgent and important. \({ }^{2}\) ti*14*/Odkar so \(v\) Ramatu prisluskovali usem telefonom, sta si Bob in John Edmundson izmislila posebno
śifro./ Sijajno dekle, ki da je kot "iz drugega sveta"_ je ponenilo, da ire za nekaj nujnega in ponembnega,*36*10
60* She had eone out on the balcony orifinally to examine more closely a single hair that had had the andacity to spring un on her chin.*26*31*Na balkon je prisla zate da bi si edino dlako. ki if predrano arasla na licle*36*32
61*The angle at which she was holding her mirror was such that it reflected the mirror of the hanging wardrobe in the roon next to hers, and in that nirror she saw amandoing sonething very curions.*26*35*Zrcalo je driala pod taksinim koton, da se je suetloba odbijala od zrcala na garderobni omari \(v\) sosedriji sobi, in \(v\) ten zrcalu je opazila moskega, ki je pocel nekaj res nenaradnega,*37*4
62*Then she heard the door close**27*13*Zaslisada je, da so se vrata zaprla **37*25
63*/The woman slipped quickly to the door of the next roon. It was locked, but she had expected that./The hairpin she had with her and the blade of a snall knife did the job auickly and expertly_*27*18*/2enska je urno planila \(k\) sosednjin vraton. Bila so zaprta, toda to je bila pricakovala./Z lasnico, ki jo je inela pri sebi, in rezilon maitnega notica ilh je odklenila hitro in strukovuo.*37*32
64*She went in, pushing the door behiad her.*27*20*Ystopila ie in zaprla prata za seboje*37*36
65* She did net provese to consider the responsibility of anbassadors.*27*42*Nobenega namena ni inela da bi
pretresala anbasadorsko adeorornost,*38*21
66*/"...This door is unlocked. How careless these people are."/ "Perhaps it uns Uncile Bob." said Jennifer.*28*28*/'...Vrata niso zaklenjena. Kako so ti ljudje nenarni."/ "Morebiti pa ie oducta pustil strici Bob:", je odvrnila Jennifer.*39*21
B'/*/"../ Hon 1 hate tryine to pack in the hoat of the day. /..."/*28*33*/".../ Kako zoprno ni je. da bi morala posprayliati \(z\) dnozni vroxini / . ."/*39*27
68*Jennifer looked dismappointad. \(28 * 40 *\) Zdele se ie, da je Jennifer razoxarana,*39*:38
bG*/".. We haven t got the full reports yet. The plane crashed in a pretty inaccesible place./ There Has a cemard offered for finding it, but these things take a long tine to filter through./..."/*30*12*/"...Nimano se popolnega porodila. Letalo je padio na hudo nednstopnen kraju./Conudili smo nagrade tistemu, ki ga naide, a te stvari se le pocasi zvedo. /..."/*41*37
7U*/"...The plane rrashed in a pretty inaccessible place./ There has a refard offered for finding it, but these things take a long tine to filter through./..."/*30*12*/"...lietalo je padlo na hudo nedostopnen kraju. / Ponudili smo nagrado Listenu, ki ga najde, a te stveri se le pocasi zvedo./..."/* 41*37
71*/"...Then we had to fly out experts to make an investigation.All the red tape, of course./Apphicaliuns.to a toreign governmenk permission of nindsters, palm trusinkto say nothing of the local peasantry appropriatius anything that night cone in useful. . "/*30*1ち*/". . Potem smu norali poslati strokovnjake, da naredijo preiskavo.Seveda vse strogo po pravilih./Krosnje pri tuli vladi, dovolienja ministrey eodkupoyania - de niti ne onenin tankajsuilh knetor, ki sa potrali yse, kar bi lahke kai koristila."*

42* 1
72*/"That's what probably did the poor chap in," said Colonel Pikeaway./ "But ke can't Haste time in telling and storias of the deaths of kingsa/..."/*30*22*/"To ga je brztas pokopalo," je rekel polkovnik Pikeaway. /"A ne smeva izgubliati cass in si_priporedovati halostnih arodb o kradiexskih smetihe /..."/*42*10
73*Then, as though having cone to a decision, he held out his hand and spoke briskly.*32*7*gakor da se je nenadona odlocile je nate steqnid_rako in urno dejal.*44* 23
\(74 * / "\).. I want you to keep an eye on developments. I 11 have to leave it vague./ L don't knon shat or who nay turn up. but if any of our more unlikeable friends seem to be interested, report it.../..."/*33*6*/"...Hočem, da bedis nad dogodki.2al ti ne morem već povedati./He ven, kai se labko reodi, ne tega, kde se lahko yex̧a, če bo videti, da se kateri nasih bolj neprijetnih prijateljev zanima zanjo, sporoci.../..."/*45*31
7ら*/"...I'll write you some nice testimonials. You ll see they 11 simply jump at you./ No time to maste, summer term begins on the 29th."*34*2*/"...Napisal ti bom nekaj sijajnih priporoci il. Videl bos, kar pograbili te bodo./ Nesmeya izRubliaticasn, poletno trimesečje se začne 29."*47*2
76*/"That's it, and if any oversexed teenagers make passes at you, Heaven help you if you respond./L don \(t\) want yon thrown out. on your anc too soon. \(* 34 * 6 * / \cdots\) Tako je. In sam bog \(t i\) pomagaj, ce se bos odzval na dvorjenje kake bolj razvite najstnice./Nocen, da te prehitro yrteio na cesto. "*47* 7
77*His hands vere nell shaped and beantifully kept.*34*35* Inel ie lepo oblikoyane roke in ilh ie skrbno negoyal *43*8
\(78 / 424\) * He uss not seen to speak to anyone or approach anyone uhilst he nos there.*36*5* if bile videtie de bi tan s kon eoyoril ali se kanu pribli\#nl.*4y*30
7y*/"... Why did Bob Rawlinson spend twenty minutes in his sister s room when she was out and he had been told that she mas not likely to ceturn until erenind?/. . ."/*36*20*/ Zakaj je Bob Rawlinson ostal v sobi svoje sestre dvajset minut. de je bila sama zunaj in sese mu povedadi, da ni relo rerietno. de se bo renida pred rexeron?/ . ."/*SU*11
80*"I hope," Mr Kobinson said risines "that we know enough--"*37*25*"Upam," je rekel gospod Kobinson in ystale "da veva dovolj--"*51*36
81*"L don t mind eoing abrogd for a month or tno." said Jennifer.*38* 1*"Nic niman proti tern, da odpothieya a dyan tri mesece_y tujino.". je rekla Jennifer.*52*16
82*/"I don't mind going abroad for a month or two. "said Jennifer./"All 1 said was I m glad to be back...*38*2*/ "Nic nimam proti temu, da odpotujeva za dva, tri mesece \(v\) tujino," je rekla Jennifer. " Rekla sem samo. da sem yeseda, ker sya spet th." * \(52 * 18\)
83*/".../And that local tin He bought to put the extrathings in - yes, one, two, three, tour five six-yes, thats all right./ All fourteen are here."/*38* \(22 * / . . . / \mathrm{Kaj}\) pa bisaga, \(k i\) sya jo kupili tan doli, da bi panio rlokidi odyecne styari - da, ena, dva, tri, stiri, pet sest - da,vse je tu. / Vseh stirinajst kosov."/*53*18
84* She accepted the ten shilling note her mother handed to her. and Hent out scornfulla*38*40*Vzela je bankovec za deset silingov, ki ga ji je ponudila mati, in sposnehlifin
nasnehor zapustila sobo,*54*1
85*/"No", said O Connor, "It certainly wasn't his fault./ The only hope of عettine the Prince out was to fly in no matter what conditions./..."/*40*15*/"Ne", je odvrnil O'Connor, zagotovo ni bila njegova napaka./ Edina moznost, da sprayi princa iz dettele, je bila, da odleti v vsakrśnih ramerah./ /*55.*38
86*/"No", said 0 Connor, "It certainly wasn't his fault./ The only hope of getting the Prince out was to fly in no matter Hhat conditions. . . ." \(/ * 40 * 15 * / " \mathrm{Ne} "\), je odvrnil \(\mathrm{O}^{\circ}\) Connor, zagotovo ni bila njegova napaka./ Edina noznost, da sprayi princa iz dezele, je bila, da odleti y yskinih razerah. /..."/*55*38
87*"Wondered what?" said Mrs. Sutcliffe crossly__*41*1*"0 cem ste prenisljevali?" ga je sprepirlijivia glason vorasala gospa Sutcliffe.*56*34
88*"Invisible ink!" said Mrs, Sutcliffe, gith a erent deal of distaste.." do you mean that sort of thing they use in spy stories?"*4l*5*"Nevidno črnilo!" je xakliknila gospa Sutchifile, zdaj ze hude nejevolina. "Hocete reci, tista rect ki jo uporabljajo \(v\) vohunskih 2godbah?"*57*1
89*"Are you sure, absolutely SUKE. Mrs Sutcliffe, that your brother didn't give you anything to lake hone, to pack anong zour beloneings?"*41*39*"Gospa Sutclifte, ali ste prepricani. trdno PREPRICANI, da van brat ni dal aceesar 29 donoy.
nicessar, da bi skrili med spoje styari?"*58*9
90*/"Searched through my luggage, do you mean? Unpack?"/
Urs. Sutchiffo's yoice rose mith a gaid on that Hord.*42*1\%* /"Preiscete vse moje kovcke, hocete reci? Vse zmectete ven?"/ Rri teh besedah ie gospa Sutchiffe totece povzdignila glas. *58*34
91*"There seens to be sonc idea that your Uncle Bob out something in ay luggage to bring home./ ..."/42*35*"Yse kǎe, da si nekateri zanisliaio. du je troj stric_Bob dal med strari neki zayitek, ki.naj-bi ga prinesla g domoyino. ..."/ *59*22
y2*"There seems to be some idea that your Uncle Bob put sonething in ny luggage to bring home./..."/*42*35*"Vse kaze, da si nekateri zamisljajo, da je tvoj stric Bob dal med mo.je stvari neki zayitek. ki naj bi ga prinesla \(y\) donoxino. /..."/*59*22
y3* Your mother seens to have trained tou to pack yery mell.: she said.*43*14*"Videti je, da vas je mati dobro naucila. kako se tei reci strete, "- je rekla.*60*11
y4*Something had eyidentip alarned him and he had iled without taking anything *44* \(2 *\) Videti je, da ga je nekaj yznemırilo, tako, da je zbezal. ne da bi bil karkoli vzel s seboj.*61*9
Y5*Something had evidently alarmed him and he_had fled_rathunt taking anythiag.*44*Z*Videti je, da ga je nekaj vznemirilo. Lako, da je zbeial, ne da bi bil karkoli rael s seboj.*t, i*y 96*"1 told you to have the lork of that drawing room french window seen to," had been the comment of Mr. Sutclifte 10 the fanily circle.*44*Y*"Rekel sem ti , da moras pregledati kljucavnicn na francoskem oknu v salonu. taksna je bila sklepna beseda gospoda Sutclifia ki jo je izrekel y drukinsken krogu.*61*20
y\%*"My dear Henry," said Mrs.Sutcliffe, you don theen 1.0
realize that I have been abrand for the last threa months...." /*44*10*"Preljubi Henry," mu je vrnila gospa Sutcliffe, "videti je, da se ne ravedà̀ pray dobro, da sen bila tri mesece y tuini...."/*61*21
98*/"That will do, Jennifer," said Mrs.Sutcliffe./ "You don't appreciate hos extrenely fortunate you are in being admitted to Keadombak /..."/*45*5*/"Jennifer, dovolj," je rekla gospa Sutcliffe./ "Ne cenis dovolj tega, kako zele si lahko srecinada no te spreieli na Meadorbank. ..."/*62*33
99*/Lady Veronica Carlton-Sandways turned up conletely intoxicated !!!/But for Miss Chadrick spotiting it and heading her off, He night have had a most unpleasant incident.*49*37*/Lady Veronika Carlton-Sandways se je pripeljala moz̃no opita!!!/Ra ae bi bilo gospodićne Chadrack. ki ie opazila, kaksner stanju ie, in jo ie odpeliala bi lahko prisle do hudo neprijetnega oripetijaja.*70*7
100*Her Higness arriged in style./Cadillac of squashed strawberry and pastel blue, with Wog Notable in native dress, fashion-plate-fron-Paris wife, and junior edition of same ( H.R.H.)/*50*11*Prihod njene visokosti ie potakal v slague ki ji ustreza. Cadillac jagodne in pastelno modre barve, znaneniti érnuh v narodni nosi, zenska, kakor izrezana iz pariskega modnega zurnala, in njena mlajsa razlicica (N.K.V.)./ *70*25
101*Her Highness arriyed in style./Cadillac of squashed strawberry and pastel blue, with Wog Notable in native dress, fashion-plate-fron-Paris wife, and junior edition of sane ( H.R.H.)./*50*11*Prihod njene visokosti ie potekal y slequ. ki ji ustreanal Cadillac jagodne in pastelno nodre barve, znaneniti crnuh v narodni nosi, zenska,kakor izrezana iz pariskega modnega zurnala, in njena mlajsa razlicica (N.K.V.)./ *70*25
102*Cadillac of squashed strawberry and pastel blue, with Wog Notable in native dress, fashion-olate-fron-Pari wifa, and junior edition of same (H.R.H.)*50*11*Cadillac jagodne in pastelno modre barve, znameniti c rnuh v narodni nosi, Zenska kakor izrezana iz pariskog modnega turnalain njena mlajša razlicica (N.K.V.).*70*26
103*Was asking ne the names of various flowers, in a sweet innocent way, when a fenale Gorgon with freckles, red hair, and a vaice like a corncrake bore down upon her and removed her from my vicinity.*SO*17*S sladkin in nedolinim glasom me je povprasala po inenih razlićnih cuetic, pa se nama je pridruzila oseba zenskegn spola prava gorgona pegasta, rdecih las in 2 glasom, kakor bi poslusal srako, in jo odpeljala iz noje blizine.*70*32
104*Was asking ne the nanes of various flowers, in a sweet innocent way, when a female Gorgon with treckles, red hair, and a voice like a corncrake bore down upon her and removed her fron my vicinity.*50*17*S sladkin in nedolznim glason me je povprasala po inenih različnih cuetic, pa se nama je pridruzila oseba zenskega spola, prava gorgona, pegasta, rdedih las in 2 glason kakor bi posludad srako, in jo odpeljala iz moje blizine.*70*32
105*The Gorgon mas easily pacified, in the end she almost simpered.*50*30*Goreone sen zlahka ponirile nazadnje se je ze skoraj smehljala.*71*10
106* In the Mistrasses' Comon Roon news uns beine exchanged.
*SI*6*UEiteljice so si y zbornici pripovedovale novice. *72*2
107*The nor Sports Parilion mas both criticised and adrired. *51*12* Hovi sportoi parilion so therati obrekovale in obcudoyale.*72*8
108* The ner girls were then briefls passed in revieH, and, on the whole, the verdict was favourabie.*51*15*Roten so so na kratko pretresle dekleta in razsodba je bila \(v\) glavnem ugodna.*72*13
1UY*A litile pleasant conversation mas made te the tro ner nembers of the stiff.*51*17* Prijeten, kratek ponenek so nacele 2 obema norima predstavnicama uciteliskega osebia. *72*15
110*But Miss Springer was not the kind of woman to notice than. *52*11*Toda gospodična Springer ni bila tiste vrste ienska. da bi to opazila_*73*31
111*Miss Bulstrode bit her lip to keep back a smile, as so of ten when in colloquy with Miss Johnson.*52*27*Gospodicena Bulstrode se je ueriznila \(v\) ustnico dabi_prikrila_nasmeh, to se ji je \(v\) pogovorih \(z\) gospodiěno Johnson prav pogoto dogajalo.*74*14
112*Dismissing Shaista, she smiled at the aritated Miss Johnsan *53*20*Odslarila je Shaisto, poten pa se je nasmehnila razhurjeni guspodieni Juhnson.*75*22
113*She had never been afraid to experiment, whereas chaddy had been content to teach soundly but unexcitingly what she knew_*54*4*Sana se ni nikoli ustrasila cesa novega, Chaddy pa je bila cisto zadoyolina a tem, da ie dobro, toda ceisto nexznenirliipo Meila tisto, kar je pak znala*'/6*18
114*/Miss Bulstrode wondered if Chaddy would want to retire when she herself did.Probably not. Probably, to her, the sishol was home. She would continue. lailiful and reliable. Le buttress up Miss Bulstrode s successur * \(54 * 15\) */ Gospodicns Bulstrode se je sprasevala, ali bo tudi Chaddy odsla v pokoj skupaj z njo. Verjetno ne. Lanjo je bila sola
 upuro naslednici gospodicne Bulstrode.*'76*34
115*"So mauy dull things to be done,- she remarked./
"Writing lelters to parents is like feeding dogs.... "/*55*3*
"Toliko dolrodasnih sitvari moran pocieli," je onenila.; "Pisanje pisem starsem je kakor hranjenje psov.... "/*77*33
116*/...I should think she 11 carry on very well-exactly an your tradition. And she s very striking looking, haridsume and with quite a presence. 1 imagine that s mportant, isn \(t\) it. \(\because\); "Yes, it is./ Yes, 1 m sure Eluanor Vansititart is the fisht person."*s8*13*/"Rekla bi, da bo dobro vozila natanen, fu vasih stopinjah. In videti je nekaj posebnega, pri.jetne zunanjosti in nekako odlicna. To je bratas pomembinc, saj ite. kaine? " Da, je./Erepricilua sen, da sen Eleanor Yausithart pray izbrala. -*79*26
117*/Isn't that what 1 want tu folluw unt here nuw: / gunevis. to pour uen life into the school.*56*2j*/Ali si ne zellm, da bi se to tukaj nadaljevalo: / Nekoga, ki bi ydabil soli nove幺ivLienje.*7:3*
 rmong a place Like this."*5\%*34*; ...icluvek bi rektis, da si imas esm_ubialai slavo, ko vodi Lakole usibanuy. -82*1E

119*She was thinking to herself, I wonder if she said that in order to please me.*59*34*Rekla si je, Kdo ve, ce tako pravi sane zate da bi ni polaskala.*85*19
120*She was hovering on the brink of irrexocable Hords. *60*7*Qahoyala je. ali naj ze izrece dokonćno beseda_ *85*38
121*/Why did she so dislike to commit herself?/ Probably, she adnitted ruefully, because she hated the idea of giving up control.*60*12*/Le zakaj se j tako tezko odlocila?/Nenara zato, si je pobito priznala, ker tako sovrazi nisel_nato. da bi predala nadzorstyo.*86*6
122*/She moved at a rapid but dignified step towards the school buildings./Eollowing her more sloyly, Miss Bulstrode almost collided with Eileen Rich, hurrying fron a side path, *60*26*/Hitro, toda dostojanstveno je odkorakakla k šolskin poslopjen./Gospodiena Bulstrode ji je sledila s počasnejsin korakoa knalu bi se bila zaletela y Eileen Rich, ki je Dribitela po stranski poti.*86*21
123*/She noved at a rapid but dignified step towards the school buildings./Following her nore slowly, Miss Bulstrode almost collided with Eileen Rich, hurrying from a side path. *BO*26*/Hitro, toda dostojanstveno je odkorakala k solskin poslopjem./ Gospodicna Bulstrode ji je sledila s pocasnejsin korakon, knalu bi se bila zaletela v Eileen Rich, ki je prihitela po stranski poti.**86*21
\(124 *\) She frouned with the effort of thought. * \(60 * 38 *\) Celo se ii je namiśeilo y napaten promisljeyanju_*87*2
125* Eileen Rich looked erbarrassed *61*25* Kideti je bilo. da je Rilean Rich \(v\) zadregi...*88*1
126*"L told Miss Shapland te say that ge'd rine hin back and and sent her to find yuu."*62*9*"Gosuodicni Shapland sen arcocila, nai rece da ta bono goklicali nazaie poten pa sem jo poslala po tebe. "*88*35
127* She seened starthed at the sight of Adan.*63*12* Kideti je bile, da se je prestrasila ko je zarledala Adana. *90*19
128*She seemed startled ut the sight of Adan.*63*12*Videti je bilo, da se je prestrasila, ko je zapledala Adama,* 90*1y
129* She had a guiliy look which imediately ronsed surnise in his gind.*63*17*甘a obrazu se ii je kazala kripda, ki je nenuduna zbudila niersoy sure *90*25
130* Presently she came out again, closing the door behind her, and paused to speak as she passed him.*63*19*Bmadu arte je prisla ven. raprla prata ra seboi in ko je sla mino Adama, se je ustivila za kratek pogovor. \({ }^{1}\) ) \({ }^{2} 90 * 26\)
131*It was almost as though Mademoiselle Blanche wished to excuse her presence out here at the Sports Pavilion.*63*41* Zdelo se je, kakor da bi se mademoiselle Blanche rada opravieila, ker jesla \(y\) suortni pavilion.*91*18
132*He turned, loaking up at the building behind hin.*64*41* Obrail se je in pogledal staxbo za seboj.*92*30
133*He felt a desire he hardly understood hinself, to sound or annoy this girl *65*3*Zazelel si je, da bi to dekle prizadel ali vznevoliil, cepray je komajda razumel, zakaj.*92*37
134*He Hondu really enjoy seeing her anary.*65*4*hares bi mu bile vsec, ce bi io videl jezno.*93*2
135*"Interesting," said Detective Inspectur Kelsey, and having assembled his retinue, he departed to carry uut his duties.*66*2*"Zanimivo," je rekel detektiv inspektur Kelsey,
zbral ekipo in se odpravil izpolnjevati svoju dolznust.*Gj*6
136*"Well, as I say L went to shut the windor and what was my surprise to see a light in the Sports Pavilion. /..."/*68* 36*"No, kot sen ze povedala, sla senkoknu, dabi ga zaurla, in kako sem bila presenedena,ku sem \(v\) sportnem paviljonu zagledala luč./..."/*99*15
137*"We've had plenty of English girls Lrying to make unsuitable assignations. . . "/*69*15*"Yeliko angleskih deklet smo Że imeli, ki so poskušale napeliati nevrimerne rezia/..."/ *100*4
138*"SU I thought the best thing, " went on Miss Johnson, "yas to go to Kiss Chadwick and ask her to cone out with me and see shat mas poing on."*69*20*"Mishila sen," je nadaljevala gospodićna Juhnson, "da bo najbelie, ce_gren 00 gospodicno Chadwick in jo poprosim, da ne spremi in da skupaj purledaya, kaj se doraia,-*100*10
139*"So I thought. the best thang," went un Miss Johnson, was to go to Miss Chadwick and aisk her ho cone out with me and
 gosodićna Juhnson, "da bo bu najbolje, èe gren po gospodično Chadwick if ju pubrusin, da me suremi in da skupaj ogelodayra kaj se doquia. "*100*10
140*"Well, I didn't want to disturb Miss Bulstrode", said Miss Johnson,"and I'm afraid it's rather a hatit of ours almays to do to Miss Chadrick if He don't mant dishurb Miss Bulstrode./...";*69*25*"No, gospodične Bulstrode nisem hotela motiti, je rekla guspodična Johnson, "pri ass va_je_y navadi. se biijim. du exemo po eospodično Chadrick kadar nosemo motiti gospodicue Bulstrode.* 100*17
141*/" ../ Rather stupidly we hada i taken a torch rith us and it was hard to see where we were going./..."/*69*38*/ ".../ Neunno sya cavali, ker nisyu yzeli s sebuj syetilke. in sva kunajda videli, kod hudiva./... /alu0*32
142*Kelsey paused before going in. "71*2\%*Gelsey se je ustavil preden ie_kstopile* \(143 * 9\)
143*"That seems the natural cunclusion. \(\because\) said M2ss
Chadwick.*'73*8*"Zdi sie da je to zelo verieten sklep, " je rekla gospudiéna Chadwick.*10ゝ*ㄷ2
144*"You think it more likely that Hiss Suringer disturbed a rendezvous uf sume kind?"*73*25*"llucele reci, da je boli veriehoe da je gQspodicina Springtir zotila kaksen rcudezyous?": *106*4
145*Hizs Chadmack Luld us nuk to lalk to anybody about it but I thought you d like to know. */4*7* juskodmad ihadmick uan je narocila, nai nikomur ue. pravinu o hen. jaz fa sem nislila, da bi tebe zanimalo.*1f \(7 * B\)
146*She rang up a tiress magnate and. Whe Hune Secretary, both persoual friends of hers. */4*14.Poklicala je enega
 uiena osebua prinistelia.* \(107 * 11\);
147*She had been shut, thether by accident or not, Hai as \(y \in 1\) nol deternined. \(* 74 * 17 *\) Bila je ustreljena, xendist se aisu uqutuvili, ali je snrt pripisahi nakliuciu adi_ne." \(107+20\)
148*1t enabled them to pursue their inguirics quichly and
 sodili preiskayo nirno_in brez vmesuyania. 10 ( 1 i
149*/"I an !airly certain tlat none ut the pupils have.,

Their passessions are unpacked for then shen they arcive and such a thing would have been seen and noted, and would, I may say, have aroused considerable connent. /..."/*75*28*/ "Precej trdno se prepricana, da je nima nobena izmed ucenk./
 bi gotovo opazili, in upan si reci, da bi dvignila precej prahu./..."/*109*20
150*/".../Their possessions are unpacked for them when they arrive and such a thing rould have been seen and noted. and would, I may say, have aroused considerable comment./ ..."/*75*28*/".../ Ko pridejo, njihove stvari zlozimo iz kovçkov. Takšo styar bi cotoyo opazili, in upan si recti,da bi dvignila precej prahu./..."/*109*20

\section*{II. DATABASE "CONTROL GROUP"}
1. Description of the database "Control group"

The database comprises 450 ENGL sentences which make up Sample B (cf. Chapter 2/2.2) and the data relevant for the statistical analysis perforned for the purpose of testing the validity of the criteria chosen as neasures of the conplexity of the original (cf. Chapter 2).

Each data record contains the following fields:
1. SENTENCE Sb No.:
2. SENTENCE \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{B}}\)
3. PAGE:
4. No./WORDS in \(\mathrm{SB}_{8}\) :
5. No./CLAUSES in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}\) :
6. No./NP。 in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
7. No./WORDS in NPo (in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) ):
8. No./PP in \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
9. No./WORDS in PP (in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) ):
10.No./VP。 in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
11.No./WORDS in VPo (in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) ):
12.No./AdjPc in \(\mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
13.No./WORDS in AdjPe (in \(\mathrm{SB}_{8}\) ):
14.No./AdvPo in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
15.No./WORDS in AdvPc (in \(S_{B}\) ):
16. MARKED CATEGORIES in \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{s}}\)
17. UNMARKED CATEGORIES in \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{s}}\) :
18.No. \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\) in \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
19.No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
20.No.PRONOUN SUBJECTS (-c) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
21. No. PRONOUN SUBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(C_{B}\) :
22.No./NOUN SUBJECTS(-c) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
23.No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+\right.\) C) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
24.No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
25.No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left\langle-c\right.\) ) in \(C_{b}\) :
26.No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left(+\right.\) c) in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{b}}\) :
27.No./NOUN OBJECTS \(\left\langle-\mathrm{c}\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
28.No./NOUN OBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{C}_{B}\) :
29.No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
30.No./NPo-al2 in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :
31.No./MODIFIERS in NPo-all (in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}\) ):
32.No./COMPONENTS of MODIFIERS in NPo-ali (in \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) ):
33.TYPE of COMPONENT(S) of POSTMODIFIER in NPo-all (in \(S_{B}\) ):
34.NOTES:

\section*{Eields 1-3}
1. SBNTENCE No: = number of sentence \(S_{B}\)

In forming Sample \(B\), we have taken the first five sentences on each page of the original ENGL text (Christie 1975) from the beginning of the story (page 7) to page 96 , the only exception being the sentences in "foreigner's" English attributed to a non-native speaker (on pages \(53,88,89,90\) ), which have been excluded and replaced by the imnediately following sentence(s) in "genuine" English.

\section*{2. SENTENCE \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\) :}

In this field the individual sentence from Sample \(B\), \(S_{B}\), is sited.

\section*{3.PAGE:}

The figure indicates the number of the page on which the sentence \(S_{b}\) cited in Field 2 occurs and the number of the sentence on the given page (fron 1 to 5). The two numbers are separated by /.(E.g. \(24 / 3=\) the third sentence \(S_{B}\) on page 24.)

\section*{Eields 4-17}

Fields 4-15 present data related to sentence \(S_{B}\), and they correspond to fields 8-21 in the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions".

In counting clauses, phrases and (un)narked categories the sane principles have been observed as in Sample A (see I/l (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions") in the present chapter).

Individual clauses and phrases are not cited. To facilitate data-processing, the total number of clauses (Field 5) and the total number of individual types of phrases (Fields 6,8,10,12, 14) are shown by separate figures (e.g. if the total number of clauses in sentence \(S_{B}\) is 3 , this is given as \(1 / 1 / 1\) ).

\section*{Eields 18-29}

Fields 18-29 relate to clause \(C_{b}\) in sentence \(S_{B}\).
The types of clauses considered as \(C_{B}\) are the sane as those considered as \(C_{A}\) in Sample \(A\) (see \(1 / 1\) (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions" in this chapter).
18. No/CB in \(S_{B}:=\) number of clauses \(C_{B}\) in sentence \(S_{B}\)

Individual clauses are not cited. When the sentence contains more than one clause \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}\), the total number of clauses is given by separate figures (cf. B-171 below).

Eields 19-29 comprise data concerning the number and types of subjects and objects in clause \(C_{B}\). In deternining these data, the sane principles have been observed as in the analysis of Sample A (see I/1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions") in the present chapter).

Individual subjects and objects are not cited. When the sentence contains nore than one clause \(C_{B}\), the number of subjects and objects is given separately for each clause (e.g. \(1 / 2\) = 1 subject (object) in one \(C_{b}\) and 2 subjects (objects) in the other \(C_{B}\).

Ellipted subjects and objects are counted only if they are recoverable on the basis of the clause structure or the co-text within the sentence boundary. An elliptical clause itself, however, is taken account of in Field 18, regardless of whether it involves ellipsis of the type just nentioned or ellipsis where the ellipted elenents can be recovered only by resorting to the co-text beyond the sentence boundary (cf.B-171 below).

B-171:"Wondered what?" said Mrs. Sutcliffe crossly.
18. No. \(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\) in \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : \(1 / 1\)

Sb contains two Cbs : "wondered what"/ "said Mrs.
Sutcliffe crossly". The first clause is elliptical.
Ellipsis of the subject may be posited, but since the subject cannot be determined unambiguously by considering \(S_{B}\) alone, it is not taken into account. The data concerning the subjects and objects in this Sb are thus as follows:
19. No./UNEXPRESSED SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{Cb}: 0\)
20.No. PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left\langle-\mathrm{c}\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{C}_{B}\) : 0
21.No.PRONOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{CB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 0
22.No./NOUN SUBJECTS \((-C)\) in \(\mathrm{C}_{B}: 1\)
(the subject of the second clause, i.e. "Mrs.Sutcliffe")
23.No./NOUN SUBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{8}\) : 0
24.No./CLAUSAL SUBJECTS in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 0
25.No./YRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left\langle-C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 1
(the object of the elliptical clause, i.e. "what")
26. No./PRONOUN OBJECTS \(\left(+C\right.\) ) in \(\mathrm{CB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 0
27.No./NOUN OBJECTS \((-C)\) in \(\mathrm{Cb}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 0
28.No./NOUN OBJECTS \((+C)\) in \(\mathrm{CB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : 0
29.No./CLAUSAL OBJECTS in Cb: 0

\section*{Fields 30-33}

Fields \(30-33\) present data concerning the number of modifiers, the number of components of nodifiers and the types of componerits of pustmodifiers in complex noun phrases. We consider conplex noun phrases at all levels of phrase and clause structure (NPo.21) in the first three sentences \(S_{b}\) out of five on each page. \({ }^{1 \theta}\) In each data record involving the fourth and the fifth sentence \(S_{B}, f i e l d s ~ 3 U-33\) remain enpty (narked by - ).

In determining the number of nodifiers, the number of components of modifiers and the types of conponents of postnodifiers in NPE-ail the same principles as those applied in the case of NPc/Ex in Sample \(A\) have been observed (see 1/1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions") in this chapter).
30.No./NPo.alı in \(S_{B}\) : - number complex noun phrases NPo-al
in sentence \(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}\)
Individual NPs are not cited, the number of NPs is given by separate figures (cf. B-174 below).

When the sentence contains no complex Nis or contains only (a) complex \(N f^{\prime}(s)\) which is(are) nut analysed in terns of headword-nodjfer (see \(1 / 1\) (bescription of the database "Morphosyntactic expansions") in Lhis chapter), Field 30 (as well as Fields 31-3'3) remain empty (narked by -).
31. No./MODIFIERS in NPc-ali (in \(\mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) ): = number of modifiers in complex phrases NPo..elı (in sentence SB)
The numerical data are given separately for each Neoral,
individual modifiers are not cited (cf. B-174 below).
32.No./COMPONERTS of MODIFIERS in NPo-ali (in \(S_{B}\) ): =
\(=\) number of components of modifiers in complex noun phrases NPo-ali (in sentence \(\mathrm{Sb}_{\mathrm{s}}\) )
The numerical data are given separately (marked by /) for each modifier recorded in Field 31. When both a premodifier and a postmodifier are present, the first figure refers to the number of components of the premodifier and the second to the number of components of the postmodifier, the figures being separated by + (cf. B-174 below).
33.TYPE of COMPONENT(S) of YOSTMODIFIER in NPo-all (in \(S_{B}\) ): = \(=\) type of component(s) of postmodifiers in complex noun phrases NPo-alı (in sentence \(S_{B}\) )
This field is relevant only for NPs with a postmodifier, and concerns the number of two types of postmodifiers, clausal (CL) and non-clausal (NCL). The mark / is used to separate data referring to individual NPs (cf. B-174 below). When a NP does not contain a postmodifier, this is marked by -. If all the NPs in a given sentence are without a postmodifier, this is indicated only once. When both clausal and non-clausal conponents are present, the data are stated separately for each type, e.g. \(1 \mathrm{CL}+1 \mathrm{NCL}\).

B-174: "Invisible ink!" said Mrs. Sutciiffe, with a great deal of distaste, "do you mean the sort of thing they use in spy stories?"
30.No/NPo-all in \(\mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{B}}\) : \(1 / 1 / 1 / 1\)
(The NPos involved are: invisible ink/ a great deal of distaste/ the sort of thing they use in spy stories/ spy stories. The NP。 "Mrs.Sutcliffe" is excluded since NPs of this type are not analysed in terms of headword-modifier.)
31.NO/MODIFIERS in NPo-al2 (in \(S_{B}\) ): 1/1/2/1
(invisible/ a great deal of the sort of + they use in spy stories/ spy)
32.No/COMPONENTS of MODIFIER(S) in NPo-all (in Sb:1/1/1+1/1 (invisible/ a great deal of/ the sort of + they use in spy stories/ spy)
33.TYPE of COMPONENT(S) UF POSTMUDIFIER in NPo-all (in \(S_{B}\) ):
-/-/1 CL/-
(Only the NP。"the sort of thing they use in spy stories" contains a pustmodifer (clausal - CL).)

\section*{34.NOTES:}

Notes which are occasionally given in this field may refer to any of the fields frum 3 to 33.

\section*{2. Database "Control Eroup"}

For reasuns of space, the complete database cannot be presented here. Only the data contained in the first three fields of each data record are given (i.e. those in the fields: SENTENCE Sb No., JENTENCE \(S_{b, ~ P A G E ~-~ c f . ~ 1 . ~ a b o v e ~(D e s c r i p t i o n ~}^{\text {en }}\) of the database "Control group"). All the sentences making up Sample B are cited in the sane order as that in which they occur in the original English text, with page reference added.

\footnotetext{
to herself, "and finish these letters without making any mistakes."*9/2
13*Not that Ann was in the habit of making mistakes.*9/3
14*She could take her pick of secretarial posts.*9/4
15*She had been P.A. to the chief executive of an oil company, private secretary to Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting.*9/5
16*Yes, it was nice that term had started \(-* 10 / 1\)
17*"Miss Johnson?"*10/2
18*"Yes, Pamela."*10/3
19*"I say, Miss Johnson, 1 think something s broken in my case.*10/4
20*It's oozed all over things.*10/5
21*"Uninhibited," said Miss Rowan, who had studied Psychology as well as Economics.*11/1
22*"Thoroughly healthy, one feels.*11/2
23*No repressions."*11/3
24*"But Giuseppe was quite impressed when he found I taught at
Meadowbank," said Miss Blake.*11/4
25*"He became much more respectful at once.*11/5
26*Her pupils were a varied lot.*12/1
27*They included several foreigners of good family, of ten foreign royalty.*12/2
28*There were also English girls of good family or of wealth, who wanted a training in culture and the arts, with a general knowledge of life and social facility who would be turned out agreeable, well groomed and able to take part in intelligent discussion on any subject.*12/3
29*There were girls who wanted to work hard and pass entrance examinations, and eventually take degrees and who, to do so, needed only good teaching and special attention.*12/4
30*There were girls who had reacted unfavourably to school
life of the conventional type.*12/5
31*"Oh! but -" Mrs. Hope's weak petulant face wavered,showed tenper.*13/1
32*"Really, 1 must insist.*13/2
33*After all, she s MY child."*13/3
34*"Exactly.*13/4
35*But it's MY school," said Miss Bulstrode.*13/5
36*Her age, Miss Bulstrode kuew, was fifteen, but like many Eastern and Mediterranean girls, she looked older - quite mature.*14/1
37*Miss Bulstrode spoke to her about her projected studies and was relieved to find that she answered promptly in excellent English and without giggling.*14/2
38*In fact, her manners compared favourably with the awkward ones of many English school girls of fifteen.*14/3
39*Miss Bulstrode had of ten thought that it might be an excellent plan to send English girls abroad to the Near Eastern countries to learn courtesy and manners there.*14; 4
40*More compliments were uttered on buth sides and then the roon was empty again though still filled with such heavy perfume that Miss Bulstrode opened both wandows to their full extent to let sone of it out.*14/5
41*"We had three," said Miss Bulstrode, "but just now we re short-handed except for local labour."*15/1
}

42*"Of course the trouble now-a-days," said Mrs. Upjohn,"is that what one calls a gardener usually isn't a gardener,just a milkman who wants to do something in his spare tine, or an old man of eighty.*15/2
43*I sometimes think - Why!" exclained Mrs. Upjohn, still gazing out of the window - "how extraordinary!"*15/3
44*Miss Bulstrode paid less attention to this sudden exclanation than she should have done.*15/4
45*For at that moment she herself had glanced casually out of the other window which gave on to the rhododendron shrubbery, and had perceived a highly unwelcome sight, none other than Lady Veronica Carlton-Sandways, weaving her way along the path, her large black velvet hat on one side, muttering to herself and clearly in a state of advanced intoxication.*15/5
46*"Splendid, Lady Veronica," said Miss Chadwick.*16/1
47*"We're so pleased you ve cone.*16/2
48*I particularly want you to see the new Sports Pavilion.*16/3
49*You 11 love it."*16/4
50*Adroitly she turned Lady Veronicas unsteady footsteps in the opposite direction, leading her away fron the house. \(* 16 / 5\)
51*About two months earlier than the first day of the sumner tern at Meadowbank, certain events had taken place which were to have unexpected repercussions in that celebrated girls school.*17/1
52*in the Palace of Ramat, two young men sat smoking and considering the imnediate future.*17/2
53*One young man was dark, with a smooth olive face and large melancholy eyes.*17/3
54*He was Prince Ali Yusuf. Hereditary Sheikh of Ranat, which, though snall. was one of the richest states in the Middle East.*17/4
55*The other young man was sandy haired and freckled and nore or less penniless, except for the handsone salary he drew as private pilot to His Highness Prince Ali Yusuf.*17/5
56*He had hundreds of slaves and treated then ruthlessiy.*18/1
57*In his tribal wars, he killed his enenies unmercifully and executed then horribly. \(* 18 / 2\)
58*The mere whisper of his nane made everyone turn pale.*18/3
59*And yet - HE is a legend still!*18/4
BU*Adnired!*18/5
61*"But never mind all that. *19/1
62*The thing is how we re going to get you out of here.*19/2
63*Is there anybody in the Army you can really trust?**19/3
64*S lowly, Prince Ali Yusuf shook his head.*19/4
65**A fortnight ago, I should have said Yes. * \(14 / 5\)
66*Sudden whim.*20/1
67*Go this afernoon.*20/2
68*Then, as your car passes the airstrip, stop there - I 11
have the bus all ready and tuned up. \(* 2 U / 3\)
69*The idea will be to go up to inspect the road construction fron the air, see?*20/4
70*We take off and GO! * 2U゙/5
71*"Yes.*21/1
72* I do not want these stones to fall into the hands of my enemies.*21/2
13*I do not know when the rising against me will take place.* 21/3
74*It may be planned fur to-day.*21/4

75*I may not live to reach the airstrip this afternoon.*21/5
76*He would have been relieved to learn that his freckled
countenance bore exactly its usual expression of cheerful good
nature.*22/1
77*The sentries outside presented arms with a clash.*22/2
78*Bob walked down the main crowded street of Ramat, his mind still dazed.*22/3
79*Where was he going?*22/4
80*What was he planning to do?*22/5
81*What he needed was some person, some perfectly ordinary person who was leaving the country in some perfectly ordinary way.*23/1
82*A business man, or a tourist would be best.*23/2
83*Soneone with no political connections whose baggage would, at most, be subjected to a superficial search or more probably no search at all.*23/3
84*There was, of course, the other end to be considered ...*23/ 4
85*Sensation at London Airport.*23/5
86*Everything had been wonderful.*24/1
87*The Viennese chef had gone first, then the Swiss manager.* 24/2
88*Now the Italian head waiter had gone too.*24/3
89*The food was still ambitious, but bad, the service abominable, and a good deal of the expensive plumbing had gone wrong.*24/4
90*The clerk behind the desk knew Bob well and beamed at him.* 24/5
91*He worked quickly and skilfully.*25/1
92*Once he looked up, suspicious, his eyes going to the open window.*25/2
93*No, there was no balcony outside this room.*25/3
94*It was just his nerves that made hin feel that someone was watching him.*25/4
95*He finished his task and nodded in approval.*25/5
96*"John?*26/1
97*Bob Rawlinson here.*26/2
98*Can you meet me somewhere when you get off? ...*26/3
99*Make it a bit earlier than that? \({ }^{*} 26 / 4\)
100*You ve got to, old boy.*26/5
101*He could not see her fron where he sat at the table, and she could only see him by means of the double reflection. \({ }^{2} 27 / 1\) 102*If he had turned his head behind him, he might have caught sight of her mirror in the wardrobe mirror, but he was too absorbed in what he was doing to look behind him ...*27/2
103*Once, it was true, he did look up suddenly towards the window, but since there was nothing to see there, he lowered his head again.*27/3
104*The woman watched him while he finished what he was doing.* 27/4
105*After a moment's pause he wrote a note which he propped up on the table.*27/5
106*"We ve got a lot of baggage, you know. *28/1
107*We were going hone by long sea - next Wednesday. \({ }^{2} 28 / 2\)
108*The sea voyage will be good for Jennifer.*28/3
109*The doctor said so.*28/4
110*I really must absolutely decline to alter all my
arrangements and be flown to England in this silly flurry. \({ }^{*}\) * \(28 / 5\)

111*It was sone six weeks later that a young man tapped discreetly on the door of a room in Bloomstury and was told to come in.*29/1
\(112 *\) It was a small room. \(* 29 / 2\)
113*Behind a desk sat a fat middle-aged man slumped in a chair, he was wearing a crumpled suit, the front of which was smothered in cigar ash.*29/3
114*The windows were closed and the atmosphere was almost unbearable.*29/4
115*"Well?" said the fat man testily, and speaking with half-closed eyes.*29/5
116*Rawlinson flew Ali Yusuf out of Ramat on the day of the Revolution.*30/1
117*Plane hasn't been heard of since.*30/2
118*Could have landed in some inaccessible place, or could have crashed.*30/3
119*Wreckage of a plane has veen found in the Arolez mountains.*30/4
12U*Two bodies.*3U/5
121*He was in Ali \(s\) contidence if anyone was.*31/1
122*Come now, let s have it. \(\# 31 / 2\)
123*Did he say anything?"*31/3
1थ!*"As to what, sir?"*31/4
12.'*Colonel \(\boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}\) ikeaway stared hard at him and scratched his ear.* 31/5
126*"Its difficult to syy - but no, I shouldn t think so. ** 32/1
127*"I shoulun t either, said Culonel Pikeaway.*32/2
128*He sighed. *32/3
129*"Well, there we are, Mrs.Suleliffe and her daughter are on their way hone by the lung sea route. \(\$ 32 / 4\)
13U*Duck at Tilbury on the EASTERN QUEEN tu-norruw. "*32/5
1314She s been at schcol in Switzerland up to now. "*33/1
13\%*"What do i do!*33/2
133*Abduct her?"*33/3
134*"Certainly not.*33/4
135*i think it possible she may becume a focus of interest in the near future.*33/5
136*1 ll write you some nice testimunials.*34/1
137*Yuu 11 see, they 11 simply junp at you.*34/2
138*No time to waste. summer tern begins on the 2 Heht. \(^{*}\) * \(34 / 3\)
13y." 1 garden and I keep my eyes open, is that right \(\because\)."*34/4
140*"That's it, and if any oversexed teenagers make passes at you, Heaven help you if you raspond. \(34 / \mathrm{J}\)
141*"It is very grod of you to of ter to lielp us."*3s/1
142*Mr. Robinson lit his cigar, savoured it appreciatively, and finally spoke. \(* 35 / 2\)
143*"My dear fellow. \(* 35 / 3\)
144*I just thought - I hear things, you know.*35/4
145*1 know a lot of people, and they tell me things.*35/5 146*"In that case," said Mr. Kotunsun, "it seems as though they must have Ueen got out of the country by some other mexns. "*36/1
147*"What other means?*36/2
148*Have you any idea?"*36/3
149*"Rawlitison went to a cafe in the town after he had received the jewels. \(+36 / 4\)

150*He was not seen to speak to anyone or approach anyone whilst he was there.*36/5
151*"I represent a certain group of interests," said
Mr.Robinson.*37/1
152*His voice was faintly reproachful.*37/2
153*"Some of the stones in question were supplied by my syndicate to his late highness - at a very fair and reasonable price.*37/3
154*The group of people I represent who were interested in the recovery of the stones, would, I may venture to say, have had the approval of the late owner.*37/4
155*I shouldn't like to say more.*37/5
156*"I don't mind going abroad for a month or two," said Jennifer.*38/1
157*"All I said was I'm glad to be back."*38/2
158*"Now do get out of the way, dear, and let me make sure that they ve brought up all the luggage.*38/3
159*Really, I do feel - I ve felt ever since the war that people have got very dishonest now-a-days.*38/4
160*I'n sure if I hadn't kept an eye on things that man would have gone off with my green zip bag at Tilbury.*38/5
161*"Hallo ...*39/1
162*Yes . . .*39/2
163*Yes, Mrs. Sutcliffe speaking ..."*39/3
164*There was a knock at the door.*39/4
165*Mrs. Sutcliffe said, "Just one moment" to the receiver, laid it down and went over to the door.*39/5
166* I ve hardly seen anything of him for the last four years.* 40/1
167*Oh well, one can't change people, can one?"*40/2
168*"No," said her visitor, "I al afraid not."*40/3
169*"Henry always said he d smash hamself up sconer or later," said Mrs. Sutcliffe.*40/4
170*She seemed to derive a kind of melancholy satisfaction from the accuracy of her husband s prophesy.*40/5
171*"Wondered what?" said Mrs. Sutclifte crossly.*41/1
172*"Whether there might have been some - other message concealed in it.*41/2
173*After all .. ." he smiled, . - There is such a thing as invisible ink, you know."*41/3
174*"lnvisible ink!" said Mrs. Sutcliffe, with a great deal of distaste, "do you mean the sort of thing they use in spy stories?"*41/4
175*"Well, I afraid 1 do mean just that," said 0 Connor, rather apologetically.*41/5
1'76*"Or your daughter - you have a daughter, haven t you'?"*42/1
1'77*"Yes.*42/2
178*She s downstairs having tea."*42/3
179*"Could your brother have given anything to her?"*42/4
180*"No, I m sure he couldn \(t .{ }^{\prime \prime *} 42 / 5\)
181*"We ve unpacked everything," said Derek 0 Connor
cheerfully, "and we haven't found a thing and now we re packing them up again.*43/1
182* 1 think you ought to have a drink of tea or something. Mrs. Sutcliffe.*43/2
183*Can I order you something? \({ }^{*} 43 / 3\)
184*A brandy and soda perhaps?"*4j/4
185*He went to the telephone.*43/5

186*Police arrested the man as he was making his escape from the house.*44/1
187*Something had evidently alarmed him and he had fled without taking anything.*44/2
188*Giving his name as Andrew Ball of no fixed abode, he pleaded guilty.*44/3
189*He said he had been uut of work and was looking for money.* 44/4
190̈*Mrs. Sutcliffe's jewellery, apart from a few pieces which she was wearing, is kept at her bank.*44/5
191*"I knew a girl whose cuusin had been there, and she said it was awful.*45/1
192*They spent all their time telling you how to get in and out of Rolls-Royces, and how to behave if you went to lunch with the Queen."*45/2
193*"That will do, Jennifer," said Mrs. Sutcliffe.*45/3
194*"You don't appreciate how extremely fortunate you are in being admitted to Meadowbank.*45/4
195*Miss Bulstrode doesn t take every girl, I can tellyou.*4b/ 5
196*You'd never connect him with any big tine stuff.*46/1
19\%*That's his value, of course."*46/2
198*"And he didn \(t\) find anything, mused Culonel Pikeaway. *46/3
199*"And YOU didn't find anything.*46/4
200*IL rather luoks, doesn \(i\) it, as though there isn \(t\)
anything to find?*46/5
201*She went on at us the other day ahout Jagu, and what HE felt - and a lot about jealousy and how it ate into you and you suffered until you went. quite mad wanting to hurt the person you luved. \(\$ 47 / 1\)
202*It gave us all the shivers - excipt Jennifer, because nothing upsets her.*47/2
203*Miss Kich teaches us geography, tou. \(\$ 47 / 3\)
204*I always thought it was such a dull subject. but it isn t with Miss Rich.*47/4
2US*This morning she told us all about the spice trade and why they had to have spices because of things going bad su easily.* 47/5
206*F'erhaps it s gut warped. *48/1
207*l'd rather like to learn Greek.*48/Z
208*Can I?*48/3
20Y* I luve lariguages. \(\$ 43 / 4\)
210* Some of us are guing tu Lundun tu see the ballet next
week.*48/5
2114Dear Edith, \(1 * 4 y ; 1\)
2124Everything much the same as usual here. 4 49/2
213*The summer term is always nice.*4y/:
214*The garden is louking beautiful and we ve got a new
gardener to help old Briggs - young and strong! \(\# 4 y / 4\)
21J* Rather guod luoking, tou, which is a pity.*4y/5
216*Meadowbank is a really fine achievement, and i shall be
proud to carry on its traditions. \(* 50 / 1\)

218*Talk about sending a man into danger!*50/3
Z1Y*I m the unly able-bodied male in an establishment of,
ruughly, some hundred alld ninety females.*50/4
¿2U*Her Highuess arrived iri style.*5U/5
\(221+N\) s sign, so far, of anything sinister - but I live in
hope.*51/1
222* In the Mistresses Common Room news was teing exchanged.* 51/2
223*Foreign travel, plays seen, Art Exhibitions visited.*51/3
224*Snapshots were handed round.*51/4
225*The menace of coloured transparencies was in the offing.* 51/5
226*If anyone's got auything to hide, une can soon tell. 1 52/1
227*Oh! you'd be surprised if I told you some of the things I.
ve fund out about peuple. \(* 52 / 2\)
228*Things that nobody else had dreamed of."*52/3
229*"You enjoyed that experience, yes?" said Mademoiselle Blanche.*52/4
23U*"Of course not.*52/5
231*She appealed to Miss Bulstrode, who nodded gravely.*53/1
232*"I understand perfectly." said Miss Bulstrode.*53/2
233**And I quite see your point of view. \(* 53 / 3\)
\(234 *\) But in this school, you see, you are anongst girls who are,
for the most part, English, and English girls are not very often women at the age of fifteen.*53/4
235*l like my girls to use make-up discreetly and lo wear clothes suitable to their stage of growth.*53/5
\(2: 36 * C h a d d y \cdot s\) academic distinctions had been better than hers, but it was she who had had the vision to plan and make of the school a place of such distinction that it was known all over Europe.*34/1
23\%*She had never been afraid to experiment, whereas Chaddy had been content to teach soundly but unexcitingly what she knew.*54/2
238*Chaddy s supreme achievement. had always been to be THERE, at hand, the faithful buffer, quick to render assistance when assistance was needed. \(* 54 / 3\)
239*As on the opening day of term with Lady Veronica. \({ }^{\text {2 }}\) (54/4
24U*lt was on her solidity. Miss Bulstrode reflected, that an exciting edifice had been built. \(* 54 / 5\)
241*"That s the lot," said Miss Bulstrode, as she dictated the last word.*3S/1
242* She heaved a sigh of relief.*35/2
243*"So many dull things to be done. she remarked.*SS/3
244*"Writing letters to parents is like feeding dogs.*55i4
245*Pop some soothing platituae inlo every waiting mouth."*SS/5
24f;*But - why?"*56/1
247*"Because I ve given my best to the school - and had the best fron it.*S6/2
248*1 don t want second best. . \(* 56 / 3\)
24y*"The school will carry on?"*S6/4
25U*"Uh yes.*56/b
251*But then 1 didn t like Genevieve Depuy, either. \(57 / 1\)
252*SLY."*57/2
253* Hiss Bulstrode did not ray very murh attention to thas criticism.*57/3
2S4*Chaddy always accused the French mist.resses of being sly.4 57/4
255*"She s not a good teacher." said Miss Bulstrnde. \(5 \% / 5\)
266*"Oh, that was just one of the young ladies. said Adan. * U 1
25\%* Ah.*58/2
258*Une of them two Eye-ties, wasn t it."*58/3

259*Now you be careful, my boy.*58/4
260*Don't you get mixed up with no Eye-ties, I know what in talkin about.*58/5
261*"Yes, it's very sultry and oppressive."*59/1
262*Again Miss Bulstrode frowned.*59/2
263*"Have you noticed that young man - the young gardener?"*59/ 3
264*"No, not particularly."*SS/4
265*"He seems to me - well - an odd type," said Miss Bulstrode thoughtfully.*59/5
266* mean with changing ideas and conditions of life generally."*60/1
267*"Oh, that, yes," said Miss Vansittart.*60/2
268*"One has, as they say, to go with the times.*60/3
269*But it's YOUR school, Honoria, you ve made it what it is and your traditions are the essence of it.*60/4
270*I think tradition is very important, don't you?"*60/5
271*It's so exciting when it comes.* \(61 / 1\)
272*1t doesn't very often, of course."*61/2
273*Miss Bulstrode nodded in agreenent.*61/3
274*She had been right!*61/4
275*This girl had something!*61/5
276*He knows it's against the rules so soon but he's going off quite suddenly to - somewhere that sounds like Azure Basin.*62/ 1
277*"Azerbaijan," said Miss Bulstrode automatically, her mind still on her own thoughts. \(* 62 / 2\)
278*"Not ellough experience," she nurnured to herself.*62/3
279*"That s the risk.*62/4
280*What did you say, Chaddy?"*62/J
281*"Well, I d rather have YOURS.*63/1
282*I could really hit something then. \(* 63 / 2\)
283*I•11 swop, if you will."*63/3
284*"All right then, swop."*63/4
285*The two girls peeled off the snall pieces of adhesive plaster on which their names were written, and re-affixed then,
each to the uther's racquet. \(* 63 / 5\)
286*But why should she?*64/1
28\%*She had a perfect right to go anywhere in the school grounds that she pleased.*64/2
288* There was certainly no need to apologize for it to a gardener s assistant. \(* 64 / 3\)
289*lt raised queries again in his mind.*64/4
290*What had this young woman been doing in the Sports Pavilion?*64/5
291*"They pay for it," said Ann dryly.*65/1
292*"Pay through the nose, so I ve heard," agreed Adan. *65/2
293*He felt a desire he hardly understood himself, to wound or annoy this girl.*65/3
294*She was so cool always, so self sufficient.*65/4
295* He would really enjoy seeing her angry.*65/5
296*"No."*66/1
297*"Interesting," said Detective Inspector Kelsey, and having assembled his retinue, he departed to carry out his duties.*66/ 2
298*The front door at Meadowbank was open, with light streaming from it, and here Inspector Kelsey was received by Miss Bulstrode herself.*66/3

299*He knew her by sight, as indeed most people in the neighbourhood did.*66/4
300*Even in this moment of confusion and uncertainty, Miss Bulstrode remained eminently herself, in command of the situation and in command of her subordinates.*66/5
301*"She has been with you long?"*67/l
302*"No.*67/2
303*She came to me this term. \(* 67 / 3\)
304*My former Games Mistress left to take up a post in Australia."*67/4
305*"And what did you know about this Miss Springer?"*67/5
306*So hearty.*68/1
\(307 *\) Like the sort of woman one could imagine taking on a burglar single-handed - or two burglars."*68/2
308*"Burglars?*68/3
309*H in, said Inspector Kelsey.*68/4
310*"Was there anything to steal in the Sports Pavilion?"*68/5
311*Of course I didn't think of burglars.*69/1
312*That would have been a very fanciful idea, as you said just now."*69/2
313*"What did you think of?" asked Kelsey.*6Y/3
314*Miss Johnson shot a glance at Miss Bulstrode and back again.*69/4
315*"Well, really, I don't know that I had any ideas in particular.*69/5
316*"That's all right.," said Inspector Kelsey kindly,"you needn't describe anything.*70/1
317*I shall be going out there now and 1 shall see for myself.* 70/2
318*You didn t meet anyone on your way there?"*70/3
319*"No."*70/4
320*"Or hear anybody running away?"*\%1/s
321*Miss Johnson sat down shaking her head, then yawned.*71/1
322*Miss Bulstrode followed Kelsey intu the hall.*71/2
323*"I gave her rather a lot of brandy," she said, apologetically.*71/3
324*"It's made her a little voluble.k71/4
325*But not confused, do you Lhink?"*71/5
326*"Yes."*72/1
327*"You came out with Miss Johnson and discovered the body?"* 72/2
328*"Yes.*72/3
329*She was just as she is now. \(72 / 4\)
330*She was dead."*'72/5
331*But Miss Springer wouldn t.*i3/1
332*She would have been quite confident - indeed would have
preferred to tackle an intruder on her own."*73/2
333*"Another point," said the Inspector.*73/3
334*"You came out through the side duor with Miss Johnson. *73/4
335*Was the side door unlocked?"*73/5
336*Dear Mummy,1*74/1
337*We had a murder last night.*'74/2
338*Miss Springer, the gym mistress.474/3
339*It happened in the middle of the right and the police came and this morning they re asking everybody questions.474/4 340*Miss Chadwick told us not to talk to anybody about it but I thought you d like to know.*'14/5
341*"It's no good dwelling on that now, though.*/5/1

342＊We shall weather it，no doubt，as we have weathered other storms．＊＇ち／2
343＊All I do hope is that the matter will be cleared up QUICKLY．＂＊75／3
344＊＂Don＇t see why it shouldrit，eh＂．＂said Stone．＊7b／4
345＊He looked at Kelsey．＊75／5
346＊＂Very sound idea，＂said the Chief Constable．＊＂6／1
347＊＂But you must remember this，＂said Miss Bulstrode，＂one or other of the girls may wish to make herself important by
exaggerating some ingident ur even by inventing one．＊／6／2
348＊Girls do very odd things，but I expect you are used to dealing with that furm of exhibitionism．＂＊／b／3
349＊＂I ve come across it，＂said Inspector Kelsey．＊76／4
350＊＂Now，＂he added，＂please give me a list of your staff，also the servants．＂＊76／5
3＇1＊Cone un at eight ariu knuck off at five－that \(s\) what they think it is．＊77／1
35：＊You ve got to study your wealher，some days you might as well not be out in the garden at all，and there \(s\) other days as you can wurk frum seven in the murning until eight at night．＊7\％／2
353＊Tnat is if you luve the place and have pride in the look of it．＊77／3
354＊＇You ought lo te proud ol this one，＂said Kelsey． \(17 / 4\)
355＊＂I ve never seen any place better kept these days．＂＊ \(17 / 5\)
35b＊You can conc to me in my sitt．ing－room any time this evening．＊ib／l
35\％＊＂Oh，＂Julia Upjuhn sighed，as the girls filed out，＂how l wish we DID knuw sumething：＊78／2
3＇s＊But we dun \(t\) ，du we，Jennifer：＂＊78／3
\(3!3:\)＂No，＂said Jennifer，＂of cuurse we don 1. ．＂ \(78 / 4\)
36U＊＂Miss Springer always seemed su very ordinary，said Julia sadly，＂much too ordinary to get killed in a mysterious way．＂＊ 78／5
361＊Kelsey grimued＊79；1
36Z4 Thai s about right，Fercy，＂he said．＊iy／Z
363＊＂There s sonething about schoolnistresses thal gives me
the hump，said Sergeant Bund．＊79； 3
¿b4＊＂Had a terrur of them ever since I was a kid．＊79／4
365＊Kiew olle lhat was a holy terror．＊79／5
job＊Just this－just Lhat！＊UU／l
36\％＊But that s how she felt about her jub． \(480 /\) ：

3ty＊She didn＇t find it tum．+ BU， 4
5\％U＊She wasn \(t\) keen when stie tound a girl who might be really gisod at tennis，or really fine at some form uf athletics．＊\(\quad 8 / 5\)
3／1＊＂About anyone \(1 n\) particular？＂＊81／1
\(3 \% 2^{*} \mathrm{Nu}\) ，I told you．thal s Just．it．\(*: 51 / 2\)
3\％3＊1 don \(t\) know who it \(15.01 /: 1\)
374＊The only way 1 can sum it uf is to say that there＇s
SUMEUNE here，who s－someliow－wiulig！＊bl／4
 u：ncontortable．＊81／！
\(376 *^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{l}\) is not nice to be in s school where murilers lake plate， she said disapprovingly．＊どZ／l
37\％\＃Also，there did nol．seem lo bi burglar alarms anywhere in
the huuse that was very danger ous．＊ \(82 / 2\)
3＇d＇lheres mothing ut any great value，Majemuiselle Blanche，
to attract burglars."*82/3
379*Mademoiselle Blanche shrugged her shoulders.*82/4
380*"How does one know?*82/5
381*"I do not like places where there are shootings," said Mademoiselle Blanche.*83/1
382*"And the children, they are not respectful."*83/2
383*"They are not quite children, are they?"*83/3
384*"Some of them behave like babies, some of them might be twenty-five.*83/4
385*There are all kinds here.*83/5
386*Angele Blanche was dismissed after a few more unimportant questions.*84/1
387*"Touchy," said Bond.*84/2
388*"All the French are touchy."*84/3
389*"All the same, it's interesting," said Kelsey.*84/4
390*"Miss Springer didn't like people prowling about HER
gymnasium - Sports Pavilion - I don't know what to call the
thing.*84/5
391*Inspector Kelsey said politely that Miss Rowan might be correct in her assumptions, but that he couldn't accept the theory of suicide, unless Miss Rowan could explain how Miss Springer had managed to shoot herself from a distance of at least four feet away, and had also been able to make the pistol disappear into thin air afterwards.*85/1
392 *Miss Rowan retorted acidly that the police were well known to be prejudiced against psychology.*85/2
393*She then gave place to Ann Shapland.*85/3
394*"Well, Miss Shapland," said Inspector Kelsey, eyeing her neat and businesslike appearance with favour, " what light can you throw upon this matter?"*85/4
395*"Absolutely none, I'm afraid.*85/5
396*Mademoiselle Blanche was rather cross about something one day, but then she is a little bit touchy, you know.*86/1
397*There was something about her going into the drawing class one day and resenting something the drawing mistress said to her.*86/2
398*Of course she hasn't really very much to do Mademoiselle Blanche, I mean.*86/3
399*She only teaches one subject - French, and she has a lot of time on her hands.*86/4
400*I think -" she hesitated," I think she is perhaps rather an inquisitive person."*86/5
401*But they got very little out of the servants.*87/1 402*"It's no use asking me anything, young man," said Mrs. Gibbons, the cook.*87/2
403*"For one thing I can't hear what you say, and for another I don't know a thing.*87/3
404*I went to sleep last night and I slept unusually heavy. \({ }^{*}\) * 87/4
405*Never heard anything of all the excitement there was.*87/5
406*She nodded her head significantly.*88/1
407*Inspector Relsey thought that he understood what Miss
Bulstrode had meant.*88/2
408*This girl was dramatising herself - and enjoying it.*88/3
409*"And why should they be watching the school?"*88/4
410*Whatever Kelsey had expected, it was not this.*88/5
411*Inspector Kelsey looked still more incredulous.*89/1
412*That's rather far fetched, isn't it?*89/2

413*"What jewels?"*89/3
414*She made it sourid very matter of fact.*89/4
415*Kelsey stared at her.*89/5
416*Quite a little actress, thought the inspector.*90/1
417*"But in actual fact, nobody has said anything at all to you?"*90/2
418*Inspector Kelsey made up his mind.*90/j
419*"I think, you know," he said pleasantly," that you re really talking a lot of nonsense."*90/4
420*Shaista flashed a furious glance at him. \(\boldsymbol{*}\) GU/5
421*"Now then," said Kelsey.*91/1
422*"You're Goodman -" he looked at a note on his desk "Adan Guodman. "*91/2
423*"That's right, sir.* \(91 / 3\)
424*But iirst, I d like to show you this."*91/4
425*Adam's manser had changed.*91/5
426AAli Yusuf may have willed then io sumeone.*y2/1
427*A lot wuuld then depend on where the will was executed and could be proved.* \(92 / 2\)
428*They may belong to his family.*92/3
429*But the real essence of the matter is, that if you or I
happened to pick then up in the street and put them in our pockets, they would for all practical purposes belong to us.* 92/4
43u*That is, \(I\) doubt if any legal machine exists that could get them away from us.*92/5
431*"But not at Meaduwbank!"*93/1
432*The words were wrung trom Inspector Kelsey.*93/2
433*" 1 perceive your point," said Adam. \(* 93 / 3\)
434*"Lese-najesty."*93/4
435*There was a silence, and then Inspector Kelsey asked:"What do YOU think happened last night?"*Y3/5
436*It's quite a handy place for that.*y4/l
437*A reasonable distance fron the house.*94/2
438*Not too far.*94/3
439* And if anyone was noticed going out there, a simple answer would be that whoever it was thought they had seen a light, etc., etc.*94/4
44U*Let s say that Miss Springer went out to meet someone there was a disagreement and she got shot. * \(44 /\).h
441*Inspector Kelsey resumed his authoritative manner.*yS/1
442."The point is," he said," that we think a lot of Meadowbank round these parts.*Y5/2
443* It's a fine school.*95/3
444*And Miss Bulstrode's a tine woman.*95/4
44J*The sooner we can get to the botom of all thas, the better for the school.*95/5
446*It's usually flick knives, but some of these boys do get hold of guns.* \(96 / 1\)
447*Miss Springer surprised them *96/7.
448*They shot her.*96/3
44Y*That shat 1 should like to let it go at - then we can
get to work quiet-like.*Yb/4
450*Not more than can be helped in the fress.*96/5

\section*{NOTES to Chapter 3}

1 The terms "data record" and "data field" are to be understood in the technical sense as used in computer scrence. A data record is a closed group of data which describes an object or an event, and a data field is one of the data within a data record (Jakopin 1986,48-9).

\footnotetext{
2 Examples taken from Sample A are denoted as "A-" and those taken from Sample \(B\) as "B-". The number following corresponds to the number of examples in Samples A and B respectively. Examples taken from other texts or made up for the purpose of exemplification are denoted by numbers in round brackets, e.g. (1). (We resort to the latter only if a suitable example cannot be found in either Sample A or Sample B.)
}

3 Prepostional, phrasal and phrasal-prepositional verbs are the main types of multi-word verbs in ENGL. A prepositional verb is a combination of a lexical verb plus a preposition (e.g. "look at"), a phrasal verb a combination of a lexical verb plus an adverb particle (e.g. "take off"), and a phrasal -prepositional verb a combination of a lexical verb plus an adverb particle plus a preposition (e.g. "put up with"). The concept of prepositonal verb in SLOV grammar is to some extent similar to that of prepositional verb in ENGL grammar (cf. Toporisic 1982, 93), whereas the concept of phrasal verb is quite different. In SLUV grammar, the tern "phrasal verb" denotes combinations such as "delati greh" ( \(=\) "gresiti") - make a \(\sin\) ( \(=\sin (v e r b)\) ) - SLSB4, 482 (cf. ENGL make a decision" \(=\) "(decide"). The concept of phrasal-prepositonal verb as defined in ENGL grammar is completely unknown in SLUV grammar as combinations consisting of a verb plus an adverb particle plus a preposition are non-existent in SLOV.
* C'atenative verb constructions normally coritain a catenative verb followed by an infinitive (e.g. soem la be") and ". . have meanings related to aspect or modality" (CiELob. 164).
\(s\) The decision to ureat the negative particle not as part of the VP is basically an arbitrary one. (Perllaps it has been somewhat motivated by contracted forms such as "iadn't gune" or "cannot go", where the particle is tormally part ot trie vert element. If VPs with contracted forms occur in the original but are then cited without the particle (e.g. "can go". had gone), the citation will not correspond to the original.) As a rale. however, the negative particle is not eonsidered to botong to the VP, which is adequately justilied by the fact. that \(\quad\) in thm case of senteritial negation) the particle aftects the whole predicate and not only the V f alone.

6 for detailed treatment of markedness and at the contrastive pairs chosen, see Chapter 2i3.4.

\footnotetext{
 clauses and clauses functioning as clause eleaients are taken into account, the number of VPos in fields 14 and zum may diter
}
(Cf. A-2, where the number of VPos in Field 14 is 1 ("had taken") and in Field 20 it is 2 ("had taken"/"were taken"), as in the latter the VPo in the clause functioning as phrase element has also been taken into consideration.)

B Following Halliday 1985, we consider the structural relation between direct speech and the reporting clause to be paratactic (cf. op.cit., 237). Free indirect speech, being a forn of indirect speech, in which, however, "... potentialities of direct-speech sentence structure are retained..." (CGEL85, 1032), is likewise considered as forming a paratactic structure with the reporting clause ( cf. Halliday 1985, 240).
\(\theta\) This seens justified in view of the fact that, in the present work, we are colncerned only with \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions uithin the sentence boundary.

10 Unexpressed subjects are of particularly frequent occurrence in non-finite and verbless clauses (i.e. "seni-clauses" - cf. Chapter 1/3.2.1.2 (Clause typology)), which may function as phrase or clause elenents at various levels. For this reason we have decided to include these types of clauses (at all levels of phrase and clause structure) when considering the number of unexpressstu subjects. Since, however, in connection with the criteria of the complexity of the original, the number of unexpressed subjects is conpared with the number of expressed subjects (of all types, taken together) - cf. Chapter \(2 / 3.5 .1\), the same types of clauses must be taken into account when counting expressed subjects as well, if the comparison is to be valid. Unce finite, non-finite and verbless clauses (at all levels of phrase and clause structure) have been included in the counting of unexpressed and expressed subjects, it seems appropriate to add any remaining types of clauses, and follow a very simple principle concerning the types of clauses to be taken into account - "all types of clauses at all levels of phrase and clause structure".

11 Cf., for instance, "The work done, he went to bed". (In such cases the participle semi-clause has, of course, an adverbial, and not an attributive function.)

12 Unexpressed objects are not taken into acccount since their frequency of occurrence is known to be generally low.

13 Accurding to CGEL8S, noun phrases have the following structure: Determinative+Premodification + Head + Postmodification. The head (or "headword") is thus preceded by two elements, i.e. the determinative, which is realized by determiners, and the premodification, normally realized by adjectives or nouns (op.cit., 1238-9). By contrast, SLS84 (as well as some grammars of English (cf. Hylliday 1985, Blaganje/Konte 1987) postulate a tripartite structure of noun phrases: Premodification +Head(word) - Postmodirication, with determiners being included in the premodification. He adopt the latter alternative for the sake of simplicity.

14 E.g. clauses in "aphoristic sentences" - cf. "Waste not, want not." (CGEL85,843) or clauses in "abbreviated sentences of
instructional writing" - cf. "Cook to golden brown." (op.cit., 847).

15 Bracketing is one of the two standard modes of notation used in immediate constituent analysis, the other being tree diagrams. We have chosen the bracketing notation since it is technically simpler.

16 The notation has been simplified to avoid complexily uf representation - cf. the more exact notation: XP(XP-XP).

17 Free indirect and free direct speech are typically used to report the stream of thought, and are of particularly frequent occurrence in fiction writing (cf.CGEL85, 1033). Free indirect speech is characterized by the backshift of the verb, pronouns, and time and place references, which is a typical feature of indirect speech. At the same time, however. it retains the "...the potentialities of direct-speech sentence structure (for example, direct juestions and exclamations, vocatives, tag questions and interjections)." (ibid.). It thus has sume leatures in common with both irdirect and direct speech respectively. Free direct speech is merged with narration, and is signalled only ty its use of present tense forms (cf. op.cit. 1033-4).

18 Unless addilionally specified (e.g. "clausél") the term "clause" in this field always denctes a non-elliptical
finite clause.
10 Since comflex NPs at all levils of phrase alrd slause structure are taken into accuunt, the tiotal number of NPs in the first. Lhree sententes is sulficiently large fur the purpose of comparing the data concerning the number of modifiers, the number uf compunents ui modifiers and lite types uf components of postmodifiers with the curresponding data related to complex NHs in Sample A (NPosex ci. \(1 / 1\) (Desurapliun ul the database "Morpho-syntactic expansiuns") in this afapter).
\(\qquad\)

\title{
MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONS DISCUSSION
}

\section*{I. THE RXPANDING STRUCTURE}
1. Determining the expanding structure
1.1 In determining the structure which expands we observe the "principle of locality" and the existing translation.
1.1.1 According to the principle of locality, the expanding structure is the structure which expands directily, and not the structure whose innediate or non-innediate constituent is the structure which directly expands (cf. A-13, A-391.2).
"Locality" is related to "depth", both in terns of the hierarchy of grannatical units (sentence - clause - phrase- word - morphene) and in terns of the degree of enbeddedness of a particular structure within a given gramatical unit. Thus, for instance, if the unit which directly expands is a phrase, then the latter is considered the expanding structure regardless of whether a hierachically higher unit sinultaneously expands as well (cf. A-39, and Note 2). When a certain grannatical unit, e.g. a phrase, contains several structures with different degrees of embeddedness, the expanding structure is the one that directly expands, the structure(s) at higher levels of enbedding (i.e. those with lower degrees of embeddedness), which may sinultaneously expand, not being taken into account.

In cases of structures involving parataxis, the principle of locality deternines the "breadth" of the structure to be considered as the expanding structure: the latter is only that part of a paratactic structure which directily expands, and not the paratactic structure as a whole (cf. A-103).

We have decided to apply the principle of locality in the analysis of morpho-syntactic ( \(M-S\) ) expansions for the following reasons: (i) M-S expansions occur at all levels of phrase and clause structure, (ii) within one and the sane sentence more than one \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion may occur, (iii) an expansion at a particular level does not necessarily lead to an expansion at
(a) higher level(s) as well. Thus, if a more "global" approach were applied, sone \(M-S\) expansion might not be noticed at all. In addition, it may be assumed that, generally, in translation "local" changes are relatively nore frequent than "global" ones. The validity of this assumption would, of course, have to be examined independently against a body of enpirical data, and, if the assunption were proved valid, the principle of locality would not only be relevant for the treatnent of M-S expansions, but would also be in accordance with the general nature of translation.
1.1.2 The existing translation, rather than the principle of locality, is taken into consideration in cases when - in addition to the structure which, according to the locality principle, should be the expanding structure - (an)other part(s) of the sentence has(have) undergone a major change in translation. In such cases the locality principle is abandoned and the expanding structure is deternined nore "globally" (cf. A-78, A-394).

Sometimes all constituents of a paratactic structure expand in translation. If constituents are of the sane fornal type and if they all expand in the same way, then the expanding structure is the whole paratactic structure (cf. A-36s). If, however, the constituents are of a different type and expand differently, the principle of locality, as applied to paratactic structures (cf. 1.1.1 above), is observed, each constituent being considered separately as forming one expanding structure. (cf. A-28, A-29, A-30®).
2. \(T\) y \(p e\) of expanding structure

The expanding structures are phrases (noun phrases (NPs), prepositional phrases (PPs), adjective phrases (AdjPs), adverb phrases (AdvPs)) and clauses (non-elliptical finite clauses, "seni-clauses" (non-finite and verbless), elliptical clauses")cf. Table 1, p. 215.

Phrases function as phrase or clause elements. Dependent clauses function as phrase or clause elements, or they are innediate constituents of paratactic structures. Independent clauses are innediate constituents of paratactic structures or (the only) imnediate constituents of simple sentences (cf. Table

2, p.215).
2.1 Phrases
2.1.1 Noun phrases

The expanding NPs are all complex, with a prenodifier and/or a postmodifier. The headword is most frequently an abstract noun, and the postnodifier a PP (cf., for instance, A-20, A-32, A-42). The postmodifying \(P P\), too, may contain an abstract noun (cf. A-20).

The NP realizes a phrase elenent (A-102 - prenodifier) or a clause elenent (A-20, A-32, A-59, A-131 - object, A-42, A-103 subject) - cf. Table 3, p.216.

\subsection*{2.1.2 Prepositional phrases}

The PPs in this corpus contain a gerund clause or two paratactically joined gerund clauses ( \(\mathrm{A}-7,25,41,43,53,70,72,85\), \(95,98,99,121)\), or a \(\mathrm{NP}(\mathrm{A}-5,14,21,29,38,39,47,88,98,101,104\), 128) - of. Table 4, p.218.

The subject of the gerund clause is unexpressed, with the exception of A-99 (where the subject of the first coordinate gerund clause is expressed). The NPs are complex, most of them containing a prenodifier and postmodifier (clausal or nonclausal).

It may be noted that, in general, the expanding PPs are structurally relatively quite conplex.

The PPs of both types mentioned above function as phrase or clause elenents (cf. Table 5, p.216). As phrase elenents, they are either restrictive postmodifiers (or their conponents) in NPs (A-5,47,53, \(85,96,104,121\) ), or postmodifiers in AdjPs (A-14.98, 128). As clause elenents, they are mostly adverbials (A-21,25,29, 38,39,41,70,72,88,95,99,101), but one PP is in the function of object (A-7) and one in the function of subject complement (A-43).
2.1.3 Adjective phrases

The two expanding AdjPs (A-37,45), are both innediate constituents of a coordinate AdjP functioning as subject conplenent. \({ }^{-}\)

The AdvPs which expand are simple (A-84,87,94) or conplex (A-11,141), all perforning the function of adverbial. Taking into account the CGEL85 typology of adverbials (cf. CGEL85,503), we nay classify then as "content disjuncts" ( \(A-11,94\) ) and "subject-oriented subjuncts" (A-84, 87, 141.e

\subsection*{2.2 Clauses}

\subsection*{2.2.1 Independent finite clauses}

The expanding independent finite clauses - innediate constituents of sentences are of the following types:
- a clause without an embedded subordinate clause (of any type) which alone forns a sentence ( \(\mathrm{A}-34,35,44,68,77,90,100,106\), 107,109, 120, 124, 125, 127,143) - henceforth referred to as "clause-sentence",
- a clause with an enbedded subordinate clause (of any type) which is the only innediate constituent of the sentence ( \(\mathrm{A}-18,33,63,78,91,93,129\) ),
- a clause (with or without an enbedded subordinate clause of any type) which is an innediate constituent of a paratactic structure ( \(\mathrm{A}-10,66,69,74,97,105,108,149,150\) ).(Cf.Table 6, p.216.)

\subsection*{2.2.1.1 Clause-sentences}

The clause-sentences have various clause patterns. The most frequently occurring pattern is the pattern: subject-predicator-subject conplenent, with the verbs of inconplete predication "be","sound,"seen","appear","look" as predicators (A-34,44,68,77,125,127,143). Five examples involve the pattern: subject-predicator-object-adverbial-(adverbial) - A-35, 90, 100 , 120, 124, and three a passive clause without the agent by-phrase ( \(\mathrm{A}-106,107,109\) ).
2.2.1.2 Clauses with enbedded subordinate clauses

Each clause has a different clause pattern, sone of then being typical of English (e.g. A-91 (the clause with the introductory subject "there"), A-93 (the clause with a catenative verb construction), A-144 (the clause with the introductory object "it").

The clauses contain a subordinate clause at phrase level ( \(A-18,33,63,129\) ) or at clause level ( \(A-78,91,93,144\) ).

\subsection*{2.2.1.3 Clauses in paratactic structures \\ The majority of clauses are passive clauses without the}
agent by-phrase ( \(\mathrm{A}-10,69,105,108,149,150\) ).

\subsection*{2.2.2 Dependent finite clauses}

The clauses are mostly in the function of phrase elements (A-3,46,57 (restrictive postnodifier in NP), A-116 (postmodifier in AdjP)). One clause (A-79) functions as clause element (object), and one (A-6) is one of the two subordinate clauses together realizing an object. (Cf. Table 7, p.216.)

\subsection*{2.2.3 Seni-clauses}

The category of semi-clauses comprises non-finite and verbless clauses which are transforns of their finite counterparts (cf. Chapter 1/ 3.2.1.2 (Clause typology)).

In the examples analysed, all types of non-finite and verbless clauses within the category of seni-clauses are represented: infinitive, participle, gerund, verbless adjective. and verbless noun clauses. 10

\subsection*{2.2.3.1 Non-finite semi-clauses}

Non-finite verb forns lack the category of tense (in terms of the PRESENT/NON-PRESENT contrast) and the category of nood. Aspect contrast is partly neutralized in the case of the -ing participle (cf. "working"/""being working", "working"/"having worked" ), and voice contrast in the case of the -ed participle, which is "inherently passive" (CGEL85,994). In addition, non-finite verb phrases do not contain modal auxiliaries. Non-finite semi-clauses are therefore less explicit than their finite counterparts with respect to tense, mood and nodality, sone types also with respect to aspect or voice.

The subject of a non-finite semi-clause may he either expressed or unexpressed. If unexpressed, the subject is inplied either "structurally" (i.e. it can be identified on the basis of the type of non-finite semi-clause and the structure of which the latter is a constituent part ) or "non-structurally" (i.e. it can be identified by means of the co-text (within or beynnd the boundaries of the sentence in which the non-finite semi-clause occurs) and/or the context. A structurally implied subject is, for instance, the subject of the adverbial participle seni-clause (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause - cf. A-112), whereas the subject of the
gerund seni-clause nay be implied structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause - cf. A-134) or non-structurally (cf. A-48).

Non-finite seni-clauses functioning as clause elenents may or may not be introduced by a subordinating conjunction.The absence of a subordinator further reduces their explicitness (as against finite clauses), especially in the case of adverbial non-finite semi-clauses where the type of semantic relationship between the non-finite semi-clause and the matrix clause usually has to be inferred fron the co-text (cf. "supplenentive clauses", CGEL85, 1124).

As nentioned above, due to the fact that their predicators are expressed by non-finite verb phrases, non-finite seni-clauses are less explicit than their finite counterparts. The degree of explicitness is additionally reduced in non-finite seni-clauses with unexpressed subjects and non-finite seni-clauses without a subordinator. Regarding the degree of explicitness of non-finite seni-clauses thenselves, the following gradient of explicitness may be posited: non-finite seni-clause with expressed subject and with subordinator (the most explicit) -- non-finite seni-clause with unexpressed subject and with subordinator -- non-finite seni-clause with expressed subject and without subordinator -- non-finite seni-clause with unexpressed subject and without subordinator (the least explicit), although the relative order of the two internediate stages cannot be deternined with any certainty.

The figures for individual types of non-finite seni-clauses analysed are given in Table 8, p. 217.

\subsection*{2.2.3.1.1 Infinitive seni-clauses}

The majority of infinitive seni-clauses contain, in addition to the infinitive predicator, sone other clause elenent as well (only three exanples ( \(A-23,49,62\) ) involve a clause with the predicator only). In all examples the infinitive is the socalled "present infinitive". The bare infinitive (infinitive without to occurs only in two examples (A-50,62). With the exception of \(A-119\), all semi-clauses are without a subordinator.

Two seni-clauses are innediate constituents of a paratactic structure (A-40,71), eight function as phrase elements (or parts of then) - ( \(A-23,82,89,92,110,113,117,133)\), the rest of then
functioning as clause elenents (cf.Table 9, p.217).
Semi-clauses at the level of phrase elenents are postmodifiers (or their components) in NPs - A-89, 92, 110,117,133, or postnodifiers in AdjPs (A-23,82,113). Seni-clauses at the level of clause elenents realize the subject (A-52,140), the object (or part of it) - A-26, 49, 65, 114, 126, 139, 145, 148), the subject complement (or part of it) - A-86, 138, the object complement ( \(\mathrm{A}-50,62\) ) and the adverbial ( \(\mathrm{A}-9,31,51,56,60,83\), 111,119,136).
Infinitive semi-clauses - phrase elements_(or parts of then
As a rule, postmodifying infinitive seni-clauses in NPs are transformationally related to postmodifying finite relative clauses, with the relative pronoun functioning as subject, object, or adverbial in the relative clause. The infinitive seni-clauses in the corpus examples can be transformed into relative clauses with the pronoun as subject ( \(A-17,110\) ) or object (A-89,92), but there are no infinitive semi-clauses transformable into relative clauses with the relative pronoun functioning as adverbial.

The unexpressed subject of the infinitive seni-clause is implied structurally, when the clause is transformable into a relative clause with the relative pronoun as its subject (the unexpressed subject of the infinitive seni-clause is identical with the antecedent), or non-structurally, when the infinitive semi-clause is transformable into a relative clause with the relative pronoun as object (the unexpressed subject of the infinitive semi-clause is identified on the basis of the co-text and/or the context). In one example (A-133) the infinitive seni-clause cannot be transformed into a relative clause - the unexpressed subject of the forner is inplied non-structurally.

Infinitive seni-clauses - postmodifiers in NPs may have a modal or non-modal interpretation. All of our examples involve infinitive seni-clauses with a modal interpretation.

In the three exanples where the infinitive semi-clause functions as postmodifier in AdjP, the headword of the latter is an enotive adjective (A-82,112) or an adjectivized participle (A-23). The unexpressed subject of the infinitive seni-clause in the function of postmodifier in AdjP is implied structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). Infinitive seni-clauses - clause elements (or parts of then)

\section*{Subject}

The two examples with an infinitive seni-clause functioning as subject ( \(A-52,140\) ) both involve an introductory subject "it" construction, the seni-clause being the real subject. The unexpressed subject of the seni-clause is implied nonstructurally.

Object
The majority of infinitive semi-clauses are in the function of (direct) object of a monotransitive verb in the superordinate clause ( \(A-26,65,114,126,139,145\) ). The unexpressed subject of the seni-clause is implied structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). In the renaining two examples ( \(A-49,148\) ), the seni-clause is the direct object of a ditransitive verb in the superordinate clause, the unexpressed subject of the semi-clause being likewise implied structurally (it is identical with the indirect object of the superordinate clause).

\section*{Subject conolement}

In the two examples with an infinitive seni-clause as subject complement ( \(A-86,138\) ), the predicator of the superordinate clause contains the copular verb "be" and the subject one of the abstract nouns which typically occur in clauses with an infinitival subject complenent (cf. Blaganje/ Konte 1978,419). The unexpressed subject of the seni-clause is inplied non-structurally.

\section*{Object conolenent}

There are two examples (A-50,62) involving an infinitive seni-clause (with a bare infinitive) in the function of object complenent. The unexpressed subject of the seni-clause is implied structurally (it is identical with the object of the superordinate clause containing a complex-transitive verb as its predicator.)

\section*{Adverbial}

With the exception of one example (A-51), all infinitive seni-clauses function as adverbials of intention. The unexpressed subject of an aderbial seni-clause of this kind may be identical with the subject or object of the supeordinate clause. In the examples analysed there are no seni-clauses with the unexpressed subject being identical with the object of the superordinate clause.

The infinitve seni-clause of \(A-51\) is in the function of adverbial of time/outcome, the unexpressed subject is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause.

Infinitive clauses in paratactic structures
A-40 contains an independent infinitive semi-clause in direct speech, and A-71 an infinitive semi-clause in a paratactic relation with the preceding elliptical clause. In both seni-clauses the unexpressed subject is implied nonstructurally.

\subsection*{2.2.3.1.2 Participle seni-clauses}

Participle seni-clauses nay be classified according to the kind of participle into the following types: participle seni-clauses with the ing- participle, participle semi-clauses with the ed- participle and participle semi-clauses with the perfect participle (henceforth referred to as participleina, participlead and participleperf semi- clauses respectively). In our examples all three types of participle seni-clauses are represented (cf. Table 10, p.217).

The participle seni-clauses analysed are in the function of phrase elements (or parts of them) or in the function of clause elements (or parts of them) - cf. Table 11, p.217.
Participle seni-clauses - postnodifiers in NRs
The majority of seni-clauses contain the participleine ( \(\mathrm{A}-15,16,19,28,30,61,123,137\) ); only two contain the participlead (A-4, 55). They are restrictive postnodifiers (or their components) - \(A-15,16,19,61,137\), or non-restrictive postnodifiers (or their conponents)- A-4,28,30,55,123.11

Most of the NPs with postnodifying participle seni-clauses contain prenodifiers as well. Seni-clauses which realize part of the postmodifier nay be components of paratactic or hypotactic postnodifying structures, whereby the individual components may differ in form. In general, the NPs involved have a relatively quite complex structure.

Postmodifying participle semi-clauses are, as a rule, transfornationally related to finite relative clauses with the relative pronoun functioning as subject of the relative clause. The unexpressed subject of the semi-clause is implied structurally (it is identical with the antecedent).
Particiole seni-clauses - adyerbials

The most numerous in our examples are participleine semi-clauses without a subordinator (A-2,22,27,64, 80, 112,118, 122,130,132) - the so-called "subjectless supplenentive clauses" (CGEL85, 1124-5).

Supplenentive clauses (i.e. adverbial participle and adverbial verbless clauses without a subordinator - CGLE85, 1123) are characterized by "...considerable indeterminacy as to the senantic relationship to be inferred" (ibid.). Due to the absence of a subordinator, the semantic link between the supplementive and the matrix clause (e.g. temporal, concessive, conditional, etc.) has to be inferred from the co-text, and frequently more than one kind of semantic relationship may be felt to co-occur. In our examples, too, most participle semi-clauses realize an adverbial of a "nixed" semantic type, the adverbial of tine/manner. As to the tine relationship between the situations denoted in the matrix clause and the participle semi-clause without a subordinator, the semi-clause may inply anteriority, posteriority or simultaneity, the type of tine relationship sonetines being difficult to determine. In the corpus exanples, participle semi-clauses of all three tenporal types are represented.

Two clauses contain the participleperp, which explicitly indicates anteriority. One clause functions as adverbial of manner (A-73) and the other as adverbial of time (A-135).

Two clauses (one with the participleine and one with the participlead) are introduced by a subordinator (A-142,73).

Considering the restrictive/non-restrictive distinction, which sone grannarians apply, by analogy with postmodifying relative clauses, to adverbial clauses as well, (CGEL85, 1075-6), we nay note that the majority of participle seniclauses concerned are non-restrictive. Non-restrictive adverbial clauses denote circunstances accompanying the situation described in the matrix clause, but in contrast to restrictive adverbial clauses, they do not restrict the situation in the natrix clause to the circunstances they express. "The nonrestrictive adverbial /.../ makes a separate assertion, supplying additional information" (CGEL85,1076). Although not explicitly nentioned by CGEL85, it seens that supplenentive subjectless clauses are as a rule non-restrictive (such are also all clauses of this type in our examples). This is suggested by
the statement that all adverbial clauses in initial position are non-restrictive (CGEL85,1076). Since subjectless supplementive clauses may occur in initial position or may be freely noved to this position, it may be inferred that they are non-restrictive. Participle seni-clauses - obiects

There is only one example with the object participle seniclause ( \(A-76\) ). The seni-clause contains the participlead and an expressed subject.

\subsection*{2.2.3.1.3 Gerund seni-clauses}

All the gerund semi-clauses ( \(A-12,48,67,81,134\) ) have their subject unexpressed and function as objects.

The unexpressed subject of the gerund seni-clause nay be identical with the subject of the superordinate clause, it may be the generic subject "people", or may be inferred fron the co-text or the context (CGEL85, 1065-6). In four of the gerund semi-clauses the unexpressed subject is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause ( \(A-12,67,81,134\) ), and in one gerund seni-clause it can be identified on the basis of the co-text ( \(\mathrm{A}-48\) ) .

\subsection*{2.2.3.2 Verbless seni-clauses}

Verbless semi-clauses are normally interpreted as having an ellipted verb "be" and are thus limited to two basic clause patterns: subject-(predicator)-subject complenent and subject-(predicator)-adverbial (CGEL85, 996). 12 Often the subject is onitted, too. They may or may not be introduced by a subordinator.

Since they lack a verbal elenent, verbless seni-clauses are less explicit than non-finite seni-clauses. As for the degree of explicitness of various types of verbless seni-clauses, a gradient of explicitness, sinilar to that proposed for nonfinite semi-clauses, may be postulated:verbless seni-clause with expressed subject and with subordinator (the most explicit) -verbless seni-clause with expressed subject and without subordinator -- verbless seni-clause with unexpressed subject and with subordinator -- verbless seni-clause with unexpressed subject and without subordinator (the least explicit). As in the case of non-finite seni-clauses, the relative order of the two intermediate stages cannot be determined with any certainity.

Three examples ( \(A-1,13,54\) ) contain adjective verbless semiclauses, and one (A-146) a noun verbless seni-clause. The seniclauses function as phrase elements (A-13,146) or as clause elements ( \(A-1,54\) ).

All the adjective verbless seni-clauses are subjectless and are without a subordinator. One of them realizes a nonrestrictive postmodifier in NP (A-13), two an adverbial (A-1, 54).

The noun verbless semi-clause has an expressed subject and is in the function of apposition.

\subsection*{2.2.4 Elliptical clauses \({ }^{13}\)}

Four examples involve structural ellipsis (the ellipted elements can be recovered on the basis of clausal structure) \(A-17,24,75,115\), and in the remaining three, the ellipted elements are recoverable on the basis of the co-text within the sentence ( \(A-8,58,147\) ).

Three clauses have the sane clause pattern: introductory subject "there"-predicator("be")- real subject(NP(...infinitive semi-clause)), the ellipted elements being the introductory subject "there" and the predicator ( \(A-17,75,115\) ), while each of the remaining four clauses has a different pattern.

Six elliptical clauses are innediate consituents of paratactic structures (coordinate clauses (A-17,24,58,75), a parenthetic clause (A-8), direct speech (A-115)), and one is an object clause (A-147).
2.3 We have assumed that some expanding structures may be typical of direct, free direct and free indirect speech (cf. Chapter 3/I. 1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions"). The assumption has not been confirmed. In a total of 65 examples involving these types of speech, the same kinds of expanding structures have been observed as in exanples involving indirect speech or narration.
2.4 The analysis of the corpus examples has shown that \(M-S\) expansions occur with phrases and clauses realizing various phrase and clause elements. It seens, however, that structures with certain syntactic functions, notably those functioning as phrase modifiers and adverbials are particularly prone to
expansion. The number of expansions involving structures in these functions is noticeably greater than that involving structures in other functions.
2.4.1 Syntactic "centre"/"periphery"
2.4.1.1 According to CGEL85 the adverbial is the nost "peripheral" and the predicator the nost "central" elenent of the clause. The other elements (subject, object, subject complement, object complement) are (in varying degrees) more peripheral (less central) than the predicator and less peripheral (nore central) than the adverbial. (CGEL85, 49-50)14 The status of a clause element as to the centre/periphery distinction is determined on the basis of the following criteria: (i) its position in the clause ( initial, medial, final), (ii) the (non-)obligatoriness of its presence in the clause, (iii) its nobility within the clause (i.e. whether it can be moved to a different position), and (iv) its capacity to determine the number and kind of other obligatory elements. With respect to these criteria, adverbials are the most peripheral clause elenents: their position is nost frequently final, they are nostly optional and mobile, and they do not deternine the number and kind of other elements which must be present in the clause. (CGEL85,50). \({ }^{15}\)

Although all adverbials do not fulfil all the criteria for peripheral status, and are therefore not peripheral to the same degree, \({ }^{16}\) they can be said to be in general more peripheral than the other elenents.
2.4.1.2 We may extend the distinction between "centre" and "periphery" to complex phrases and their elenents, the headword being the central elenent while modifiers are peripheral elenents. The headword is nornally obligatory and modifiers are optional. Despite the fact that modifiers, too, may sometimes be obligatory \({ }^{17}\), they are always peripheral relative to the headword if dependency relations in the complex phrase are considered. The headword is the "controlling elenent" ("controller"), while modifiers are "dependents" (cf.Matthews 1981, 160-3), and in this sense, peripheral.

In NPs, the headword is central in the sense that it "...dictates the concord with other parts of the sentence" (CGEL85,1238)18, and in AdjPs it is central in the sense that it deternines the type of postmodifier. \({ }^{1 e}\)

The headword plays a crucial role also in the "X-bar theory" of phrase structure within the recent version of Chonskyan generative gramar, i.e. in the "Government and Binding Theory" (cf. the so-called "head parameter" and "projection principle"). 20

In view of the above, nodifiers, despite the fact that, like adverbials, they are not all peripheral to the same degree, may in general be considered more peripheral than the headword. 2.4.2 Senantic "centre"/"periphery"

The distinction between "centre" and "periphery" may also be drawn on semantic grounds.
2.4.2.1 The proposition (the "underlying semantic base of the sentence" - SLS84,423) consists of the predicator and the participants, the latter being either actants or circunstants (ibid.). As in the case of clause elenents, a gradient relating the elenents of the proposition as to the degree to which they are central/peripheral may be posited, with the predicator at one end of the scale ("central"), circunstants at the other ("peripheral") and actants in internediate positions. The predicator, which on the syntactic level is the verb elenent (or "predicator" in the syntactic sense) is the most central element of the proposition at least in two respects: it determines the number and kind of participants, and it may alone forn the "propositional nucleus"21. On the other hand, circunstants (adverbials on the syntactic level) are the most peripheral since they do not determine the number and kind of the other elements of the proposition and are normally not part of the propositional nucleus. Between the predicator and the elements of the proposition there exist links of varying strength, the weakest link being that between the predicator and circunstants (Kovacic 1989, 17). In this respect, too, circunstants may be considered the most peripheral elenents of the proposition.
2.4.2.2 In the case of clausal adverbials (finite, non-finte and verbless) the centre/periphery distinction may be related to the restrictive/ non-restrictive distinction. The latter normally applies to postnodifying relative clauses, but may be extended to adverbial clauses. The restrictive adverbial clause restricts the situation described in the matrix clause to the circunstances it describes (CGEL85, 1076), sinilarly as the restrictive relative clause restricts the possible referents of
a NP to those which correspond to the description given in the relative clause (thus enabling the identification of the referent(s)). By contrast, the non-restrictive adverbial clause provides only additional, non-essental infornation on the circunstances accompanying the situation in the matrix clause (ibid.), just as the non-restrictive relative clause supplies only additional information on the referent(s) of the NP, which is not essential for the identification of the referent(s).

Since they do not give essential circunstantial information about the situation in the matrix clause, non-restrictive clauses may be considered more peripheral than restrictive ones.

In the examples analysed the najority of adverbial clauses which expand in translation are non-restrictive, and hence peripheral.
2.4.2.3 In the case of modifiers in NPs, the restrictive/ non-restrictive distinction is relevant for both prenodifiers and postmodifiers (clausal and non-clausal). As to the centre/ periphery distinction, non-restrictive NP modifiers (like nonrestrictive adverbials) may be considered more peripheral than restrictive ones.

The number of expanding restrictive NP modifiers in our examples is greater than the number of non-restrictive ones.
2.4.3 The structures which, according to the results of our analysis, expand relatively most frequently are typically structures which are both syntactically and senantically highly peripheral. Hence the following assumption can be made: syntatically and semantically more peripheral structures are more prone to expansion than syntactically and senantically less peripheral ones. Adverbials expand more frequently than the other clause elements, non-restrictive adverbials expanding nore frequently than restrictive ones. Modifiers expand more frequently than headwords, whereby in the case of modifiers in NPs, non-restrictive modifiers expand more frequently than restrictive ones. 22

The above assumption should, of course, be tested for validity by examining a more comprehensive corpus of texts of different types and their corresponding translations. At this point it is interesting to note that Kovacic 1989, in her discussion of ellipsis in subtitline translation from ENGL int.o SLOV, notices that most frequently ellipted are "...expressions
which are linked with their superordinate elenents by weaker links - modifiers, circunstants and third actants" (op.cit., 17). These are the elenents that we have considered to be (in varying degrees) more peripheral than headwords and predicates. This suggests that in the context of translation, the centre/periphery distinction may be relevant not only for expansion but also for its opposite - reduction (with ellipsis as the extrene forn of the latter). A comparative analysis of various types of change occurring in translation may therefore be warranted in order to establish whether the above assumption may be generalized as follows: relatively nore peripheral structures are more prone to change in translation than relatively less peripheral ones.
2.5 Anong the expanding structures in the analysed examples there is a relatively large number of seni-clauses (infinitive, participle, gerund and verbless). They belong to the oategory of "reduced" (known in the literature also as "abridged", "abbreviated", "contracted") clauses, which are derived by reduction fronfinite clauses. The extent of the reduction varies relative to the number and kind of the parts of the finite clause which it affects, the result being a greater or lesser degree of syntactic compression.

\section*{II. THE EXPAMDED STRUCTURE}
1. \(T y p e\) of expanded structure

Structures resulting fron \(M-S\) expansion (i.e. the expanded structures) are phrases and clauses. In contrast to the expanding structures which involve all kinds of phrases and clauses, the expanded structures in the exanples from the corpus include only noun, prepositional and adjective phrases (NPs, PPs, AdjPs), independent and dependent finite clauses, and adjective semi-clauses (cf. Table 12, p.218).

The phrases and dependent clauses function as phrase or clause elenents. The independent clauses are innediate constituents of paratactic or hypotactic structures, or (the only) constituents of simple sentences (cf. Table 13, p.218).

\subsection*{1.1 Phrases}
1.1.1 Noun Phrases

All three NPs are complex. Two contain a clausal postmodifier (A-32, \(A-70\) ), and one is appositive (A-103). They function as clause elenents (object - A-32, \(A-70\), subject A-103).

\subsection*{1.1.2 Prepositional phrases}

The PPs contain a clause (A-14, A-101, A-113, A-121) or a complex NP (A-84, A-87). Three are in the function of phrase elenents (postmodifier in AdjP - A-14, A-113, postmodifier in NP - A-121), and the remaining three in the function of clause elenents (adverbials).

\subsection*{1.1.3 Adjective phrases}

There is only one example with an AdjP (A-45). The AdjP is part of a coordinate AdjP functioning as subject complement.

\subsection*{1.2 Clauses}

\subsection*{1.2.1 Independent clauses}

In addition to all the subtypes of clauses within the category "independent clause" identified in the case of the expanding structures (cf. I/2.2.1 above), the expanded structures include another subtype, the "natrix clause", i.e. the superordinate clause minus its subordinate clause (CGEL85, 991). (Cf. Table 14, p. 218.)

\subsection*{1.2.1.1 Clause-sentences}

The clause-sentences (i.e. clauses without a subordinate clause which forn simple sentences) - A-34, A-66, A-90, A-106, A-107, A-109, A-124 - have various clause patterns, but they all contain, in addition to the subject (expressed or indicated by the verb form) and the predicator, at least one more clause elenent, mostly an object or an adverbial.

\subsection*{1.2.1.2 Clauses with enbedded subordinate clauses}

In these clauses, too, various clause patterns are observed. Six clauses ( \(\mathrm{A}-78, \mathrm{~A}-93, \mathrm{~A}-97, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{125}, \mathrm{A}-127, \mathrm{~A}, 143\) ) have the sane clause pattern: predicator-subject (with an enbedded clause), the predicator in five examples containing the verb "videti" ('appear'), and in one, a similar verb, "zdeti se"
('seen'). The remaining clauses ( \(A-18,85,63,68,69,91,100\), \(120,129,144,149\) ) all contain, in addition to the subject ( expressed or indicated by the verb form) and the predicator, at least one more clause element, most frequently an object or an adverbial.

Four clauses involve a subordinate clause at the level of phrase elenents ( \(A-18,63,100,129\) ), the rest a subordinate clause at the the level of clause elements.

\subsection*{1.2.1.3 Clauses in paratactic structures}

The majority of clauses are coordinate (A-2,5,9, \(21,22,27,28,30,33,35,36,37,38,44,57,64,72,74,75,77,80,105,112\), 114, 122, 130, 132, 135,150 ), mostly occurring in copulative coordination. The remaining examples involve clauses in direct speech ( \(A-10,55,66,115\) ), juxtaposed clauses (A-1,146) and a parenthetic clause ( \(\mathrm{A}-8\) ).

The clause patterns vary, but they are mostly extended, i.e. they contain at least one nore element apart fron the subject and the predicator.

\subsection*{1.2.1.4 Matrix clauses}

In three examples ( \(A-11,94,141\) ) the matrix clause expresses the speaker's conment on the content of the following subordinate clause, this being the only connon feature observed in the sub-type of clause concerned.

\subsection*{1.2.2 Dependent finite clauses}

The clauses function as phrase elenents (or parts of then), or as clause elements (or parts of then). In two examples, the dependent clause is an imediate constituent of a paratactically structured sentence (henceforth referred to as "paratactic dependent clause"). (Cf. Table 15, p. 219.)

\footnotetext{
1.2.2.1 Dependent clauses - phrase elenents (or parts of then)

The majority of clauses realize a postnodifier (or part of it) in the \(N P(A-4,13,15,16,19,23,24,29,46,47,61,65,85,92,96\), 104, \(110,117,123,137\) ), the renaining two functioning as postmodifiers in AdjPs (A-98,116).
}
1.2.2.2 Dependent clauses - clause elenents (or parts of then)

With the exception of the object complement clause, all other types of clauses - subject, object, subject conplenent and adverbial clauses - are represented in the examples analysed. Most connon are adverbial clauses ( 23 exanples - A-12,31,39, 41, \(51,54,56,60,73,81,82,83,89,95,99,111,118,119,128,131,134,136\), 142) and object clauses ( 23 examples - \(A-3,6,7,17,20,25,26,43\), \(48,49,50,53,59,62,67,76,79,126,133,138,139,145,148\) ). Two examples involve a subject clause ( \(A-52,140\) ), and one a subject complenent clause (A-86).
1.2.2.3 Paratactic dependent clauses

In one exanple (A-71) the dependent clause is paratactically related to the preceding elliptical clause, and in the other it is a direct speech clause, paratactically related to the reporting clause (A-40).

\subsection*{1.2.3 Seni-clauses}

In both examples the seni-clause is a verbless adjective clause (A-88,102), functioning as postmodifier in the NP (A-88 -non-restrictive postnodifier, A-102 - restrictive postnodifier).
1.3 The type of expanded structure depends on the type of expanding structure in the sense in that the potential translation equivalents are deternined by the latter. The actual choice of one of the possible translation equivalents will, of course, be influenced by a number of factors (e.g. the text-type of the original, the translation approach chosen, the translator's personal preferences, etc.), yet it seens that, at least as far as sone types of original structure are concerned, certain general tendencies nay be observed. Thus, when the original structure is syntactically reduced, the expanded strncture is most frequently the translation equivalent of the non-reduced original structure which is a direct transform of the reduced structure. For instance, the ENGL non-finite semi-clause - postmodifier in NP ( which is the direct transform of the finite postmodifying clause) is typically translated by the SLOV finite postnodifying clause (cf. A-19, A-117). Similarly, the ENGL adverbial non-finite seni-clause has as its typical translation equivalent the SLOV adverbial finite clause (cf.A-60). Non-reduced original structures, too, are frequently
translated by a structure corresponding to the direct transform of the original structure. The ENGL structure containing a catenative verb, its direct transforn being the structure with the introductory subject "it", often expands into the SLOV structure which corresponds to the transforn concerned (cf. A-97). A translation typically involving the SLOV structural equivalent of a transforn of the ENGL structure can also be observed in the case of the ENGL structures with "likely" (cf. A-79) and those with verbs of incomplete predication (e.g. "seen", "look") - cf. A-127. The expanded structure is, as a rule, closely connected with the original structure even if it is not the structural equivalent of the direct transforn of the original structure. In such cases the SLOV structure characteristically corresponds to an ENGL structure which is senantically (and usually also syntactically) close to the original structure. For instance, ENGL adverbial non-finite and verbless seni-clauses without a subordinator, which, in their semantic indeterninacy (caused by the absence of a subordinator), resenble coordinate clauses in copulative coordination with "and" (cf. CGEL85, 1123), are frequently translated by coordinate clauses (cf. A-22).

\section*{2. \(R\) a \(n k\) of expanded structure}

In 121 examples the rank of the expanded structure (in terns of the hierarchy of grannatical units) renains unchanged with respect to the rank of the original structure, and in 29 examples the rank is changed. In the latter case, the expanded structure is of the next higher rank relative to the rank of the original structure (e.g. if the original structure has the rank of phrase, the expanded structure has the rank of clause), with the exception of one exanple, where the expanded structure is of lower rank than the original structure.

\section*{III. RANK of IMMEDIATELY DOMINATING STRUCTUREEmGL}

The structure which innediately doninates the expanded structure (cf. Chapter 3/I.1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions")) has the rank of sentence, clause or phrase (cf. Table 16, p. 219).

\section*{IV. TYPR OF RXPANSION}
1. As has already been mentioned (cf. Chapter 3/I.1 (Description of the database "Morpho-syntactic expansions")), \(M-S\) expansions can be either "systenic" or "non-systenic". Systenic expansions are due to differences in the source and target language systens and are as such obligatory - a direct structural equivalent of the original structure is either impossible (since the target language lacks a given structure or one of its elenents), or would lead to ungrannaticality or substandardness. Non-systemic changes, by contrast, are not caused by differences in the source and target language systens and are thus non-obligatory. When a particular expansion is systenic fron the point of view of the existing translation, but non-systemic fron the point of view of the potential direct or closest (formal) translation equivalent, and vice versa, the type of expansion (as to the systemic/non-systemic distinction) is considered to be "translationally determined", and is labelled "systenictransl" and "non-systenictransl" respectively.
2. In deternining the type of expansion as to the systenic/ non-systenic paraneter, we try to establish whether the direct or fornally closest translation equivalent of the original structure is possible or not, whereby it is essential that the neaning of the original sentence be preserved to the greatest possible extent.
2.1 The type of expansion can be determined most easily when a particular structure (or one of its elenents) simply does not exist in the target language (e.g. in SLOV, in contrast to ENGL, there are no adverbial non-finite seni-clauses introduced by a subordinator - cf. A-73), and when the direct or formally closest translation equivalent would result in an ungrannatical or substandard sentence (e.g. in SLOV an infinitive seni-clause in the function of anderbial of purpose following a verb of notion would render the sentence ungramnatical - cf.A-9, and an adverbial infinitive seni-clause introduced by "za" (for') is considered substandard - cf. A-83). In such instances the expansion is readily classified as systenic. Sinilarly unproblenatic are cases when a structure which is identical to
the original structure in forn, syntactic function and distribution could be used in the translation - the expansion is non-systenic.
2.2 Sonetines a structure with a particular form and syntactic function is in principle possible both in ENGL and in SLOV, but in the concrete example, only the same form and not the same function as that of the original structure could be retained in the SLOV translation. (Cf. A-23, where the infinitive semi-clause could be retained in SLOV, but its function would be changed. Instead of functioning, like its ENGL counterpart, as postmodifier in the AdjP (infinitive semiclauses in this function otherwise being possible in SLOV), it would function as postmodifier in the NP.) In such cases the expansion is considered non-systenic - what is crucial is that in the SLOV translation a structure which is not expanded with respect to the original structure could be used.
2.3 The same kind of consideration, i.e. whether or not a structure which is not expanded relative to the original structure is possible in the SLOV translation, is applied in determining the (non-)systemic nature of expansions in those cases where, in the SLOV translation, a structure which would have the sane function as the original structure, but would differ fron the latter in forn, could be used. If such a structure is not expanded with respect to the original structure, the expansion is classified as non-systemic. (Cf. A-104, where the closest equivalent of the ENGL structure "preposition + NP" is the SLOV structure "conjunction + NP" the latter being non-expanded with respect to the forner, the expansion is considered non-systenic regardless of the fact that the original structure and its closest equivalent are sonewhat different in form.)
2.4 Any given structure may be described in greater or lesser detail, e.g. in describing a clause we may state only its clause pattern, or we may also specify how the clause pattern is realized: whether the clause elenents are realized by phrases or clauses, and, further, which kinds of phrases andor clauses realize individual clause elenents. In deternining the type of expansion as to the systemic/non-systemic parameter we consider that degree of descriptive precision which is relevant fron the point of view of the particular expansion involved. For
instance, if the original structure is a clause with a certain clause pattern, with all the clause elenents expressed by phrases, the decision concerning the type of expansion will depend solely on whether or not a structure with the same clause pattern and with all the clause elenents expressed exclusively by phrases would be possible in the SLOV translation, the fact that in the SLOV translation a particular phrase may differ in kind fron its ENGL counterpart being irrelevant. (Cf. A-125, where in the original structure the subject complement is expressed by an AdjP, while in the corresponding SLOV structure the same element would be realized by a PP. Since, however, the same clause pattern, with all the clause elenents realized by phrases, could be retained in the SLOV translation, the expansion is considered non-systenic.)
2.5 In cases involving the so-called "multiple analysis" (i.e. when a given structure nay be analysed in more than one way) it is, as a rule, irrelevant which of the alternative analyses is taken into consideration when deternining the type of expansion (cf. A-146, where the expansion is non-systemic regardless of whether the original ENGL verbless noun semi-clause is analysed as an appositive clause or as reduced relative clause).
3. The majority of expansions (121) are non-systenic, 28 are systenic, and in one exanple (A-121) the type of expansion could not be deternined with any certainty. (Cf. Table 17, p. 213.)

\section*{V. CLASSIFICATION OF MORPHO-SYNTACTIC EXPANSIONS}
1. Classification according to expanding and expanded structures

With respect to the structure which expands and the structure which results fron the expansion, \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions nay be divided into the following four nain groups:

Group \(A\) : expansions involving the change, in translation, of an ENGL phrase into a SLOV clause or a SLOV phrase which is expanded relative to the ENGL phrase,
Group B: expansions involving the change, in translation, of an ENGL non-elliptical finite clause in terns
of the number of clause elenents and/or the way they are realized, \({ }^{23}\)
Group C: expansions involving the change, in translation, of an ENGL seni-clause (non-finite or verbless) into a SLOV finite clause,
Group D: expansions involving the change, in translation of an ENGL elliptical clause into a SLOV nonelliptical clause.
Within each group several subgroups are distinguished. (The subgroups are indicated by a number following the capital letter referring to one of the main groups ( \(A, B, C, D\), ), e.g. Al, and, in cases of further subclassification, a lower-case letter is added, e.g. Ala). The number of expansions in each group and subgroup is shown in Table \(18, \mathrm{p} .220\).

\section*{Greup A}

A1: ENGL = prepositional phrase
SLOV = clause or prepositional phrase expanded with respect to the ENGL phrase
Ala: ENGL= prepositional phrase with gerund seni-clause/ function: adverbial
SLOV = subordinate clause (with the sane or different function)

OR
ENGL= prepositional phrase with gerund semi-clause /
function: adverbial
SLOV = coordinate clause
Exanple: A-41: "To think," said the young Prince with feeling, "of the noney that has gone into naking this a Melfare Stated..."/ Ce ponislin," je dustveno spregovoril mladi princ, "na denar, ki sno ga porabili 2nta da smo toi deteli angotovili blaginja. . . " / \#---" of the money which (He) spent for this that (ne) ensured prosperity to this country---\#
A-72: /"..."/"but нe can't haste time in telline sad stories of the deaths of kings. . . ./

\title{
/"..."/ A ne sneya izanbljati casa in_si \\ priporedoysti salostnih retodh o \\ kraljerskih smrtih. \\ \#---But (нe) mustn't Haste tine and tell each other sad stories of kines deation---\#
}

Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 5
\[
(A-25, A-41, A-72, A-95, A-99)
\]

The ENGL PPs realize various kinds of adverbials (nanner (A-25,A-95), purpose (A-41), condition (A-99)). With the exception of \(A-99\), the subject of the gerund seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally (cf. A-41) or structurally 24 (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause) - cf. A-72. The subject of the SLOV subordinate clause nay be expressed (cf. A-99) or unexpressed but indicated by the verb forn - and is identical with the subject of the ENGL gerund seni-clause. As a rule, the SLOV clause has the same syntactic function as the corresponding ENGL PP, but a change of function nay occur, usually due to some other changes that the sentence has undergone in translation. The possibility of the expansion into a coordinate clause seens to depend on the the preceding part of the sentence. Therefore it may be expected that, in general, the expansion into a coordinate clause will occur less frequently than the expansion into a subordinate clause. The subject of the coordinate clause is unexpressed - but indicated by the verb forn - and is, as in the case of the subordinate clause, identical with the subject of the ENGL seni-clause.

The expansion is non-systenic provided a corresponding gerund forn exists in SLOV (A-25), otherwise it is systemic (A-95, A-99). In A-41 the expansion is non-systenic from the point of view of the existing translation (i.e. nonsystemictransl), and in A-72 systemictransl.

Alb: ENGL= prepositional phrase with gerund seni-clause / function: postnodifier in NP

SLOV = subordinate clause (with the same or different
function)
OR
ENGL = prepcsitional phrase with gerund seni-clause/
```

function: postnodifier in NP
SLOV = prepostional phrase with embedded postmodifying clause
Example: A-85: /".../ The only hope of ettine the Prince
out was to fly in no natter what
conditions./..."/
/".../ Rdine monnost, da sprayi prince iz
detelea je bila, da odleti v vsakršnih raznerah.
\#-- The only hope thrt (he) could get the Prince out of the conntry, was---\#
A-121: /.../ Probably, she adnitted ruefully, because she hated the idea of giving un contral.
/.../ Nemara zato, si je pobito priznala, ker tako sovraži misel ne to, da bi predala nadrorstro.
\#---the iden of this that (sho) mould
tire no contral. \#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 3 (A-53, A-85, A-121)

```

The subject of the ENGL gerund seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally. The SLOV postmodifying clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb form, and is identical with the subject of the ENGL gerund seni-clause. The syntactic function of the SLOV structure may be the same as that of the ENGL structure (A-85, A-121 restrictive postnodifier in NP), or may be changed owing to some change in the preceding part of the sentence ( \(A-53\) ).

The expansion is non-systemic provided a corresponding gerund form exists in SLOV, otherwise it is systenic (A-53, A-85). (In A-121 the expansion could not be classified as to the systemic/non-systemic parameter.)

Alc: \(\begin{aligned} E N G L= & \text { prepositional phrase with gerund seni-clause } \\ & \text { containing the passive form of the gerund/ } \\ & \text { function: postmodifier in AdjP } \\ \text { SLOV }= & \text { active subordinate clause/ } \\ & \text { function: postmodifier in AdjP }\end{aligned}\)
```

Example: A-98: /"..."/ "You don't appreciate how
fertunate you are in heing adnitted te
Meadorbank. /.../
/".../ "Ne cenis dovolj tega, kake zele si
labko srecna, da so te mpreiali na
Meadoribank./ . .//
\#---fortunate that (they) adritited you to
Meadorbank.\#

```
Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 1
    ( \(\mathrm{A}-98\) )

When postmodifying enotive adjectives, ENGL PPs with a gerund seni-clause usually inply cause or reason. The subject of the gerund semi-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). The SLOV subordinate clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is indicated by the verb form. The subject is identical with the the unspecified agent of the ENGL passive gerund clause, and the object with the inplied subject of the ENGL passive gerund semi-clause.

The expansion is systenic as SLOV has no passive form of the gerund, PPs with a passive gerund clause thus being non-existent in SLOV.

Ald: ENGL= prepositonal phrase with gerund semi-clause/ function: subject complement

SLOV = subordinate clause (with different function)
Exanple: A-43: /".../"Already the chief accusation
agrinst me is of boing pro-Martarn."
/". . /Retako ni ocitajo predysen to.
da sen rahodno narerion."
\#---Alceady (they) reproach ne mainly for
this that (I) an pro-Mentern."\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1
(A-43)
The subject of the ENGL gerund clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally. The SLOV subordinate clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is indicated by the verb forn and is identical with the implied subject of the ENGL gerund seni-clause. The predicator contains the verb "biti" ('be'), which corresponds to the verb
"be" in the predicator of the ENGL gerund seni-clause. The syntactic function of the SLOV clause is changed with respect to that of the ENGL seni-clause, due to a change in the preceding part of the sentence.

Ale: ENGL= prepositional phrase with gerund seni clause/ function: object
SLOV = object clause
Example: A-7: At the monent she was concentrating on
being ererfthing that a secratnry to the hoadmistross of a fanous gixls. school should be.
Pray adaj si je orizadevala, da bi bile Yse tisto, kar nora biti tainica racoatelijice slame detliske sole \#---(she) was trxine that (she) mould be all that shonld be a secretary to the hasdristreas of a fanoun eivls school"\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1 (A-7)
The subject of the ENGL gerund clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). The SLOV subordinate clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is indicated by the verb form and is identical with the inplied subject of the ENGL gerund seni-clause. The predicator contains the verb "biti" ('be'), corresponding to the verb "be" in the predicator of the ENGL gerund seni-clause.

The expansion is systenic when (as in the example cited) there is no corresponding gerund form in SLOV.

> Alf: ENGL= prepositional phrase with NP (or with coordinate NPs)/ function: postnodifier in AdjP
> SLOV = prepositional phrase with enbedded postnodifying clause (or with embedded postmodifying clauses joined by coordination)/
> function: postmodifier in AdjP
> Example: A-14: She had been P.A to the chief executive of an oil company, private secretary to Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renobned alike for his

> andition his ircitability and the
> illectibility of his handuriting.
> Bila je sekretarka glavnega direktorja petrolejske družbe, osebna tajnica sira Mervyna Todhunterja, ki je bil enako man po ten da je bil arudite da se je hitro ujeril in da je imal eisto nekitlije pisazo.
> \#---uho Has renouned alike for this that (he) was an orudite, that (he) eot antry ansily and that (he) had anite illerible handuritine.
> Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1 (A-14)

The ENGL PP contains a NP with an abstract noun (denoting a property) as headword. In the SLOV PP there is an embedded postmodifying clause (or clauses joined by coordination), introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'). The predicator contains the verb "biti" ('be') or "ineti" ('have"), the subject complenent and the object respectively contain an AdjP and a NP with an adjective and a noun respectively which correspond to the ENGL adjective and noun fron which the ENGL abstract noun is derived. (Note that in the exanple given above the translation of the second ENGL NP does not follow this pattern (cf. "da se je hitro ujezil"- \#that (he) got angry easily\#), but this NP, too, could be translated in the sane way as the other two (cf. "da je bil razdrailjiv" - \#that (he) was irritable\#).)

The expansion is non-systenic (provided, of course, that the ENGL abstract noun has a counterpart in SLOV), but is of quite frequent occurrence in translation fron ENGL into SLOV (cf. Klinar 1983 and Klinar/Mahkota 1988, who discuss SLOV translation equivalents of ENGL abstract nouns).

Alg: ENGL= prepositional phrase with indirectly enbedded clause/ function: part of postnodifier in NP

SLOV = coordinate clause
Example: A-5: Ann was a nice young wonan of thirty-five. mith hair that fitted her like a black satin cap.
Ann je bila prijetna mlada そenska.
```

    petintridesetih let, lasje so se prilegali
    njeni glari kakor erna satenasta copica.
    #---nice young нoman of thiry-five, (her)
    hair fitted her haad like a black satin
    cap."
    Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1
(A-5)

```

The ENGL PP is the second, non-restrictive part of a NP postmodifier, and contains a NP with a relative clause. The SLOV coordinate clause has the same pattern as the ENGL relative clause. The subject of the SLOV clause is expressed by a NP with the head noun corresponding to the ENGL noun - antecedent of the relative clause. A new noun, introduced on the basis of the co-text ("glava"-head"), is used instead of the direct equivalent of the ENGL pronoun "her" (i.e. "ji") in the function of direct object.

The expansion is non-systenic. It is noteworthy that in both languages non-restrictive postmodifying phrases, being only loosely linked to the antecedent, have their typical expanded counterparts in coordinate clauses.
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { A1h: ENGL }= & \text { prepositional phrase/ function: adverbial } \\
\text { SLOV }= & \text { subordinate clause or seni-clause (with the sane or } \\
& \text { different function) }
\end{aligned}
\]

ENGL= prepositional phrase/ function: adverbial
SLOV \(=\) coordinate clause

A-21: Uith ereat forenitht, she had not
suggested that Hrs.Hope should sit doun.
Gospe Hope ni ponudila stola in bila ie
res dalinovidna.
\#(She) did not offer Mrs.Hope a chair and
(she). Has really foresifhted.

Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 6
\[
(A-21, A-29, A-38, A-38, A-88, A-128)
\]

The ENGL PP realizes various kinds of adverbials (nanner A-21, A-29, A-88, exception - A-38, concession - A-39, tine A-128). The SLOV clause may have the same syntactic function as the ENGL PP (cf. A-39) and is introduced by a conjunction typical of a particular kind of adverbial, or its function may be changed on account of some other change that the sentence has undergone in translation (cf. A-29 - ENGL= adverbial, SLOV = postmodifier). In the case of "subject-oriented subjuncts" (CGEL85, 572-8), i.e. adverbials of manner which characterize "...the referent of the subject with respect to the process or state denoted by the verb" (CGEL85, 574) - A-21, A-88, the expansion into a postmodifying verbless adjective semi-clause or into a coordinate clause with an AdjP corresponding to the ENGL PP and functioning as subject complement is in accordance with the nature of this kind of adverbial (they differ from other kinds of manner adverbials precisely in that they, being in close relationship with the subject, can be turned into a subject complement.) Non-restrictive adverbials (of any kind) are typically expanded into a cnordinate clause (cf. \(\mathrm{A}-21, \mathrm{~A}-38\) ). This is due to the fact that non-restrictive adverbials are both syntactically and senantically less closely linked to the rest of the clause than restrictive adverbials, and thus easily expand into an independent structure.

The expansion is generally non-systenic. It is also nonsystemic in the majority of the examples analysed. In one example ( \(A-38\) ) it is systemic due to co-occurrence restrictions, and in one example (A-29) it is systenictransl.

Ali: ENGL= prepositional phrase/ function: postmodifier (or part of postmodifier) in NP
SLOV \(=\) postmodifying clause
Example: A-47: /".../ Would they prefer areien of terror like ay erandfather's?"
/".../ Ali bi rajsi ineli vladayine nasiliy
katrokn je bila \(x\) casu mojera doda?"-
\#---a reign of terror such as (it) mas
in my erandfather's time?"\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 3
\[
(A-47, A-96, A-104)
\]

The ENGL PP realizes a restrictive postmodifier or the second part of a hypotactically structured restrictive postmodifier. In A-47 and A-104 the ENGL PP and the corresponding SLOV clause express sinilarity (the clause is introduced by a comparative relative pronoun or comparative conjunction). The predicator of the SLOV clause is introduced on the basis of the co-text and so is the subject, which is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb form. The expanded structure can be considered the closest equivalent of the original structure, for in ENGL, too, postnodifying PPs which express sinilarity are, as a rule, transformationally related to a postmodifying clause. In \(A-96\), the \(S L O V\) clause is introduced by the relative pronoun "ki" ("who"/which"/that*), the predicator is introduced on the basis of the co-text, the subject is indicated by the verb form and is identical with the NP in the first part of the postnodifier.

The expansion is non-systenic in A-96, A-104, and systemic in A-47. (The SLOV equivalents of the ENGL preposition "like" in postmodifying phrases expressing sinilarity, the relative pronoun "kakrsen" and the conjunction "kot", can introduce postnodifying clauses only. Exceptionally "kot" may introduce a postmodifying phrase, but the latter must be a non-restrictixe postmodifier.)

\section*{Alj: Miscellaneous}

This subgroup comprises the renaining two examples involving the expansion of a PP (A-70,101), in which the expansion is bound to the concrete co-text to such an extent that it cannot be placed into any of the categories proposed so far nor can it be considered general enough to justify the setting up of a category in its own right.

A2: ENGL= noun hrase
SLOV = clause or noun phrase expanded with respect to the ENGL phrase

A2a: ENGL= noun phrase with abstract headword noun and non-clausal postmodifier/ function: subject or object
\(S L O V=\) clause (dependent of independent) or noun phrase
with clausal postnodifier / function: subject or object
Example: A-20: But Miss Bulstrode had her rules, she did not accept morons, or juvenile delinquents, and she preferred to accept girls whose parents she liked, and airls in_shon she herself san a prospact of derelopent. Toda gospodixna Bulstrode se je driala svojih pravil, ni sprejemala ne unsko nerazvitih deklic in ne mladostnih prestopnic in rajsi je jenala dekleta, katerih starsi so ji bili vsec, in dekleta, za kntere ie sana videla, da se bodo razyijals in napredoralhe H---girls that (she) herself knen mond derelop and proprean. \#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 4
\[
(A-20, A-32, A-42, A-131)
\]

The ENGL abstract noun is replaced by the corresponding SLOV verb, which, as a rule, results in the expansion of the ENGL NP into the SLOV clause (dependent - A-20, A-131, or independent -A-42), or into the SLOV NP with a clausal postmodifier (A-32). When the ENGL NP contains two abstract nouns, one as headword and the other as part of the postnodifier, either the latter or both are replaced by the corresponding SLOV verb(s) - cf. A-32 and \(A-20\).

The expansion is non-systemic (provided the ENGL abstract noun has a counterpart in \(S L O V\), yet it occurs quite frequently in translation fron ENGL into SLOV. This may be attributed partly to different tendencies in the two languages as to the preferred way of expressing a particular "semantic category", notably an "event" (in the sense used by Nida/Taber 1982, 37-8). Whereas in general ENGL seens to favour noun expressions, SLOV gives priority to verb expressions (cf. Klinar/Mahkota 1988).

A2b: ENGL= noun phrase/ function: premodifier in NP
SLOV = semi-clause or clause/ function: postnodifier in NP
Example: A-102: Cadillac of squashed strawberry and past.el blue with Wog Notable in native dress, fashion-plate-fron-Paxis uifa, and iunior edition of sane (H.R.H.).

Cadillac jagodne in pastelno modre barve, znaneniti črnuh v narodni noši, ఓenska, kakor izrezana iz pariskega nodnega
turnala in njena mlajsta razlicica (N.K. V.).
\#--- Honan as if cut out of Parimian
Eashion magarine---. \#
Nunber of examples involving this type of expansion: 1 (A-102)
The ENGL NP functioning as prenodifier with a comparative meaning expands into the SLOV verbless adjective semi-clause introduced by the comparative conjunction "kakor" ('as if").

The expansion is non-systenic. In SLOV a NP cannot function as prenodifier in NP and therefore an ENGL NP prenodifier must always be changed into a postnodifier in SLOV, but the expansion into a seni-clause or clause is not obligatory when the ENGL NP prenodifier is in a comparative relation with the headword.

\section*{A2c: Miscellaneous}

Here is included the expansion in example A-103 since it is co-text-specific to such a degree that it cannot be assigned to any of the above categories nor can it warrant the setting up of an independent category in its own right.

The expansion is systemictransl.

A3: ENGL= adjective phrase
SLOV = clause or phrase expanded with respect to the ENGL phrase
Of the examples analysed, there are only two involving the expansion of an AdjP (A-37, A-45). As in both the expansion is highly co-text-specific, further subclassification of this subgroup does not seen warranted.

A4: ENGL= adverb phrase
SLOV = clause or phrase expanded with respect to
the ENGL phrase
A4a: ENGL = adverb phrase/ function: adverbial - disjunct
SLOV = natrix clause
Example: A-11: Then she thought that, strangely enoughe one didn't quite like naking jokes about

\section*{Miss Bulstrode.}

Poten pa je ponislila, da je res nenayadno, kako elovek prayzapray ne ǔ̌iva. ce se sali na račun gospodične Bulstrode.
\#---that (it) is raally strande how one actually does not enjoy if (one) nakes jokes ---. \#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 3
\[
(A-11, A-94, A-141)
\]

The ENGL AdvP functions as an adverbial of the "content disjunct" type, i.e. an adverbial which expresses "the speaker's comment on the content of what he is saying" (CGELB5, 620). The corresponding SLOV matrix clause with the sane senantic function typically contains the verb "biti" (be') and a subject complement AdjP with an adjective corresponding to the adjective base of the head adverb in the ENGL AdvP (cf.A-11). Alternatively, the SLOV matrix clause is formed in accordance with the co-text (cf. A-94, A-141), always, of course, in such a way that the semantic function of the original adverbial is retained.

The expansion is non-systenic, yet of frequent occurrence. The latter is not surprising in view of the nature of disjuncts - with regard to other clause elenents, they are "syntactically nore detached and in sone respects superordinate...." (CGEL85, 813), and thus naturally expand into a matrix clause.

A4b: ENGL= adverb phrase / function: adverbial - subjunct SLOV = prepositional phrase with complex noun phrase/ function: adverbial - subjunct
Example: A-87: "Wondered what?" said Mrs.Suteliffe crossly.
"O cen ste prenisljevali?" ga je s
propirliivin elason vorasala cospa Sutcliffe.
\#---asked hin mith n cross yoice
Mrs.Sutchiffe. \({ }^{H}\)
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-84, A-87)
\]

The ENGL AdvP is in the function of "subject-oriented
subjunct", i.e. an adverbial which, rather than characterizing the process or state denoted by the verb, characterizes the referent of the subject with regard to the process or state concerned (CGEL85,574). The SLOV PP contains a NP whose postnodifier is an adjective corresponding to the adjective base of the head adverb of the ENGL AdvP, and whose head noun is one of the nouns which typically occur in PPs functioning as subject-oriented subjuncts (e.g. "glas" ('voice"), "pogled" ( \({ }^{(l o o k}{ }^{\prime}\) )).

The expansion is non-systenic in A-87, and systenic in A-84. In may be assumed that in general this type of expansion is non-systenic as in SLOV AdvPs in the function of subjectoriented subjunct are conmon, too. The need for expansion seens to arise nainly in those cases where the AdvP as such could be retained in translation, but the meaning would then be changed (cf.A-84).

Group B
B 1 : ENGL= clause without a (directly or indirectly) embedded clause

SLOV = clause with a (directly or indirectly) embedded clause

OR
two coordinate clauses
B 1a : ENGL= clause-sentence
clause pattern: subject-predicator-subject conplenent
SLOV = clause with a directly enbedded clause
clause pattern: predicator-subject(expressed by a dependent clause)
Exanple: A-66: Jennifer looked disappointed. Zdele sa je, da je Jonnifer razokarana \# (It) seered that Jennifer is disappoiated_\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 4 ( \(\mathrm{A}-68, \mathrm{~A}-125, \mathrm{~A}-127, \mathrm{~A}-143\) )
The predicator of the ENGL clause-sentence contains the copular verb "look", "seen" or "appear", the subject complenent being expressed by an AdjP with an adjective or adjectivized participle as headword (cf.A-68), or by a NP (cf. A-143). The
superodinate clause in SLOV contains a predicator with the copular verbs "videti" or "zdeti se" (which are the equivalents of the ENGL copular verbs "look", "seen", "appear"). In the SLOV subject clause, introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), the subject and the subject complenent correspond respectively to the subject and the subject conplement of the ENGL clause-sentence, and the predicator contains the verb "biti" ('be')- (cf.A-68), or a verb which corresponds to the ENGL verb base of the adjectivized participle of the subject complement AdjP in the original structure (cf.A-127).

The expansion is non-systenic - the direct structural equivalent of the ENGL clause-sentence is possible in SLOV.

B1b : ENGL= clause-sentence
clause pattern: subject-predicator-subject complenent SLOV = two coordinate clauses (copulative coordination)

Example: A-77: His hands Mere mell_shaped and
benutifully kept.
Inal je lepa oblikorane roke in jih je
skrbno norgoral.
\# (He) had rall shaped hands and kept
uith care. \({ }^{H}\)
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-44, A-77)
\]

The ENGL clause-sentence contains a predicator with the verb "be" or sone other copular verb (cf.A-44), the subject complement is expressed by a coordinate Adjp (the headword of each of its coordinated constituent phrases (or "conjoins"CGEL85, 46) being an adjective or an adjectivized participle). In SLOV, the predicator of the first coordinate clause contains the SLOV equivalent of the ENGL verb (cf.A-44), or a different verb chosen on the basis of the co-text (cf. A-77). In the second SLOV coordinate clause, a new verb is introduced on the basis of the co-text (cf. A-44), or a verb is used which corresponds to the ENGL verb base of the adjectivized participle in the second conjoin of the ENGL coordinate subject complement AdjP (cf.A-77).

The expansion is non-systenic in \(A-77\), and systenictransi.
in A-44. Since clause-sentences with the pattern and its
realization as described above are quite common in SLOV as
well, it may be assumed that the expansion is generally non-systemic.

Blc: \(E N G L=\) clause without a directly embedded clause
clause pattern: subject-predicator-adverbialadverbial

SLOV = two coordinate clauses (copulative coordination)
Example: A-33: She twened rith a gich and a gense of guilt to Mrg. Upiohn, who had heen talking hapoily for sore tire.

Yrdibnile ie in se 2 obxuton keirde obraila h gorpe Upjobn, ki je te orecei Cnsa nokni srecno priporedoralan \# (She) sighed and rith a rense of onilt (she) thened to Mrs. Upiohne Tho---
Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-33, A-35)
\]

One of the adverbials in the ENGL clause is realized by a PP with a NP (or coordinated NPs) with an abstract head noun, such as "sigh", "smile", so that the adverbial characterizes the referent of the subject with the feature < +ANimatr) (cf. "She turned with a sigh..." / "She sighed and turned..."). In the SLOV sentence, one of the coordinate clauses contains the predicator with the SLOV equivalent of the verb in the predicator of the ENGL clause, and the other a verb derived fron the noun corresponding to the ENGL abstract noun of the NP (or of one of the coordinated NPs) in the adverbial PP of the ENGL clause.

The expansion is normally non-systenic (this being the case also in the examples analysed). It is, however, of quite frequent occurrence in translation fron ENGL into SLOV, which may be partly attributed to different tendencies in the two languages as to the generally preferred mode of expression, ENGL favouring the noninal and SLOV the verbal mode of expression.

B 2: ENGL= clause with the pattern:
subject(-animatr) -predicator(intransitive verb)-(...) OR
subject(-ANIMATE)-predicator(transitive verb)-
object-(...)

SLOV = clause with the pattern:
adverbialenal cubjeot-subject(n@w. (+ANIMATB)) predicator(intransitive verb)-(...)

OR
adverbialsnal aubseot-subject(new. (+ANIMATB))
predicator(transitive verb)-object-(...)
OR
ENGL= clause with the pattern:
subject(-aninats)-predicator(intransitive verb)-(...)
SLOV \(=\) clause with the pattern:
(...)-subject(new. (+ANIMATB) )-predicator(transitive
verb)-objectenol eubjeot
Exanple: A-18: Her exes ment to the procession of cars swooping up to the front door.
Sporledon ie objela sorepod aytomobilor.
ki so ge prenikali helamin yraton
\#Mith her look ( \(=\) - opes') she enbraced the grocearion of arara--=\#
Nunber of exanples involving this type of expansion: 3
\[
(A-18, A-63, A-90)
\]

The subject NP in the ENGL clause contains a head noun with the feature (-animatr), and the predicator an intransitive or transitive verb. In the SLOV clause a new subject is introduced and is expressed by a NP with a head noun or pronoun with the feature (+ANIMATR), the subject being coreferential with the possessive pronoun or the noun in the possessive case in the function of prenodifier of the ENGL subject NP. The original ENGL subject or part of the latter is turned into the means; instrunent adverbial (A-18,A-63) or object (A-yU) of the SLOV clause.

The expansion is non-systenic, yet it uccurs quite frequently in translation fron ENGL into SLOV. Reasons for this may be sought in the tendency for SLUV to give preference to an aninate subject in cases when co-occurrence restrictions allow both an aninate and an inanimate subject, and in the fact that in SLOV the semantic role of INSTRUMENT is normally not realized by the subject but by an adverbial.

B 3: ENGL: clause with the pattern:
subject-predicator(catenative vert: .. ) ablect-
-(object)
OR
introductory subject "there"-predicator(catenative
verb)
SLOV: clause with the pattern:
predicator-subject(clause)
OR
subject-predicator-object(clause)
Example: A-97: "Hy dear Henry," said Mrs.Sutcliffe, Y You
don't seen to realize that I hare been
abroad for the lant three months...."
"Preljubi Henry," je rekla gospa Sutcliffe,
"gidati ja, de sa ne saredal pray dobro.
da son bila tri masece \(\%\) tujini
\#"---seons that ( x (1) don't anite
carlire that=--"\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 3
\[
(A-91, A-93, A-97)
\]

The predicator of the ENGL clause contains the catenative verb "seen", which is followed by an infinitive, the construction indicating nodality. The predicator of the SLOV clause contains the verb "videti" or "kazati" with a modal meaning, both verbs being equivalents of the ENGL catenative "seen", while the subject or the object is expressed by a subordinate clause with a finite forn of the verb corresponding to the infinitive in the ENGL catenative construction.

The expansion is always systenic - the SLOV equivalents of the ENGL catenative verb "seen" (or "appear"), the verbs "videti" and "kazati", cannot pattern with an infinitive.

B 4: ENGL= clause with the pattern:
subject-predicator("be")-subject conplenent(AdjP
("likely"))-infinitive seni-clause)
OR
subject-predicator-introductory object "it"-object
complenent(AdjP("likely"))-real object (clause)
SLOV = clause with the pattern:
predicator(biti")-subject complenent(AdjP("verjetno"))
-subject(clause)
subject-predicator-object(clause(predicator("biti")--subject complenent(AdjP("verjetno")))-subject (clausernol-ozauee)

Example: A-79: /".../Why did Bob Rawlinson spend twenty ninutes in his sister's roon when she was out and be had been told that sho marn not likelr to retmen nntil erening?/ . ."/ /".../ Zakaj je Bob Rawlinson ostal \(v\) sobi svoje sestre dvajset minut, टe je bila sana zunaj in ceso mu poyedali, da ni rele Yerietno, ds ge bo venile pred zeNeron?/ ."/
\#"---and if (they) told hin. that (it)
is not rary likely, that she ill rature before erening---\#
A-144: "耳ou think it more likely that Miss Springer distnrbed a RRBDRTFOUS of sone kind?"
"Hacete resi da io boli zeriatnon da, je
cospodiena Sorincer reotiln mation RRNDETYQUS?*
*"(Yon) Mant to ant that (it) is more
Likels that Miss Sprincer dinturhod---
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
( \(A-79, A-144\) )
Two typically ENGL structures are involved here. The first contains a subject complement expressed by an AdjP with the head adjective "likely" and a postmodifying infinitive semi-clause. It expands into a SLOV structure in which the superordinate clause contains a predicator with the verb "biti" (be'), a subject complenent AdjP with the head adjective "verjetno" ('likely'), and a subject clause. The second has a complex-transitive verb of intellectual state (e.g. "think", "consider", "find") as the predicator of the superordinate clause, the object complenent is expressed by an AdjP with the head adjective "likely", and the real object by a clause. The structure expands into a SLOV structure with the equivalent of the ENGL verb of intellectual state in the predicator of the superordinate clause, followed by an object clause containing a subject complenent AdjP which corresponds to the ENGL AdjP and
an embedded subject clause corresponding to the ENGL object clause.

The expansion of the first structure is always systemic as in SLOV an AdjP with the head adjective "verjetno" (and sone others, e.g. "neverjetno" ('unlikely"), "gotovo" ('certain")) and a postnodifying infinitive seni-clause cannot occur as subject complenent in the clause pattern: subject-predicatorsubject complenent. The expansion of the second structure, however, is generally non-systenic, the direct equivalent of the ENGL structure being possible in SLOV. In the exanple analysed the expansion is systemictransl, necessitated by the free translation of "You think" (cf. "Hǒete redi" - 'You want to say').

B 5: ENGL= passive clause without the agent by-phrase SLOV = active clause
Example: A-106: Ln the Mistresses' Conmon Roon news uns being exchanged.
URiteliice so si \(\quad\) shornici priporedorale noyice.
\#The mistresses vere exchanding nens in the comon-reon "
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 10
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A-3, A-10, A-69, A-105, A-106, A-107, \\
& A-108, A-109, A-149, A-150)
\end{aligned}
\]

The agent of the ENGL passive clause is inplied in the co-text, while the subject of the corresponding SLOV active clause is expressed or indicated by the verb forn. This type of expansion occurs in both independent clauses (clause-sentences and paratactically joined clauses) and dependent clauses.

The expansion is non-systemic in all the examples except in A-108, but in most cases the passive structure in SLOV is rather awkward and less natural than the corresponding active one.

B 6: Miscellaneous
Here are included the expansions in the renaining 12 exanples in Group \(B\) ( \(A-6, A-34, A-46, A-57, A-66, A-74, A-78\), A-100, A-116, A-120, A-124, A-129). They involve clauses with most diverse structures and are highly co-text-specific.

The majority of expansions are non-systenic, three are
systenic (A-6, A-6, A-74).

Group C
C1: ENGL= infinitive seni-clause
SLOV = finite clause
Cla: ENGL= infinitive+to seni-clause/ function: subject SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: subject
Example: A-52: Jewels worth three quarters of a million had been handed to hin, and it yas up to hin to derire sona plan of eotting then out of the country. Zaupali so mu dragulje, vredne tri cetrt milijona, in na nien je bilo, da si izmisli. kako jih bo spraril ir datele.
\#---and it was up to hin that (he) thinke out hor (ha) mill eet then out of the countre_ \({ }^{\mu}\)
Nunber of exanples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-52, A-140)
\]

ENGL infinitive seni-clauses in the function of subject are of particulariy frequent occurrence in structures with the introductory subject "it", where they realize the real subject. This is also the case with the infinitive seni-clauses in the two examples concerned. The subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed and is implied in the co-text non-structurally. The ENGL infinitive semi-clause expands into the SLOV finite subject clause introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'). The subject of the finite clause is either expressed or unexpressed but indicated by the verb forn.

The expansion is non-systenic - in SLOV, too, infinitive seni-clauses may occur in the function of subject.

Clb: ENGL= infinitive+to seni-clause/
function: subject complenent
SLOV \(=\) finite clause/
function: subject conplenent \(O R\) subject
Example: A-86: /".../ The only hope of getting the Prince Qut was to fly in no matter what conditions./..."/
/".../ Edina nozoust da spravi princa iz
dezele, je bila, da odlati rantofnih caznerah.
\#--- Has that (he)flian in Fhateryer
conditions---\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
( \(\mathrm{A}-86, \mathrm{~A}-138\) )
The subject of the ENGL infinitive semi-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text non-structurally. The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that') or "とe" ( 'if'/'whether') and its subject is expressed or indicated by the verb form. (In A-138 the syntactic function of the SLOV finite clause is different fron that of the ENGL seni-clause (ENGL=subject conplenent, SLOV=subject), the change of function being the result of a change in the preceding part of the sentence.)

The expansion is non-systenic - infinitive seni-clauses functioning as subject conplenents are possible in SLOV as well.

CIc: ENGL= infinitive-to semi-clause/ function: object conplenent
SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: object
Exanple: A-50: Bob let out a whistle, picked up the stones.
Let then run throngh his finders.
Bob je zazvizgal, pobral drago kamenje in pustil, da nu je drselo ned orsti.
\#---let (alloued) that (they) ran through
his fingers.
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-50, A-62)
\]

ENGL bare infinitive seni-clauses typically occur with complex-transitive verbs. The subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the object of the superordinate clause). The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form. The change of syntactic function relative to the ENGL seni-clause (ENGL=object complenent, \(S L O V=o b j e c t\) ) is not obligatory, but it occurs quite frequently in translation fron ENGL into SLOV, especially when the ENGL object \(N P\) in the superordinate clause contains a noun with the feature (-animate) - cf. A-62.

The expansion is non-systenic (cf.A-50) unless the predicator of the superordinate clause contains a reflexive verb (cf.A-62).

C1d: ENGL= infinitiveteo seni clause/ function: object SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: objeot

OR
ENGL= infinitive+to seni clause/ function: object
SLOV = finite clause with different function
Example: A-26: It was possible that, despite Julia's
appearance of cheerful comnon-sense, her mother too, might Hant to explein that hor dourthter mas hichly sternale
Prav mogoce je bilo, da se bo tudi Juliini materi, kljub ocitni heerkini zdravorazunarski vedrini, rahotelo, de pojnanie da jo niena mi_strahorito obsutifira.
\#---that Julia's nother ton - - - Mid_ Hant that (rim) mill axolein tha---. *
A-65: She did not propose to consider the componsibility of ambannadorg.
Nobenecta_namana inala, de bi
 \#(She) had no intention that she mould consider---."
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 8
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A-26, A-49, A-85, A-114, A-128, A-139, \\
& A-145, A-148)
\end{aligned}
\]

The subject of the ENGL infinitive seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb form. The ENGL semi-clause is in the function of direct object (of a mono- or di-transitive verb), while the SLOV finite clause has the function of direct object or a function different fron that of the ENGL seni-clause (adverbial - A-49, postmodifier in NP - A-65). In A-114 the ENGL seni-clause expands into the SLOV coordinate clause (in copulative coordination). The change of
the syntactic function is due to a change in the preceding part of the sentence, while the expansion into the coordinate clause is unavoidable - the verb "nadaljevati" ('continue') cannot be followed by either an infinitive seni-clause or by a finite clause.

The expansion is non-systemic unless necessitated by cooccurrence restrictions (cf.A-114).
```

Cle: ENGL= infinitive+to semi-clause/
function: averbial of purpose
SLOV= finite clause/ function: adverbial of purpose
OR

```
    ENGL= infinitive+ro seni-clause
    function: adverbial of purpose
    SLOV = coordinate finite clause (in copulative coordination)
    Example: A-60: She had gone out on the balcony orifinally
                to exanine a sinele hair that had had the
                andacitr to spring up on her chin
                        Na balkon je orisla zato, da bi si
                        poblike ofledala edino diako ki je
                        predrano zrasla na licu.
                        \# (She) Hent out on the balcony so that
                (she) mould exanine---. \#
            A-9: The chauffeur sprang to open the door.
                an innense bearded dark-skinned man, wearing
                a flowing aba, stepped out, a Parisian
                fashion plate followed and then a slin dark
                    girl.
                    Sofer je kar planil k vraton in jih odprl,
                in iz avta je stopil velikanski bradat
                    temnopolt clovek, oblecen \(v\) opletajoc aba, za
                njin je izstopila slika iz pariskega modnega
                zurnala in nato vitka temna deklica.
                \#The chauffeur sprang to the door and
                opened_it, and---.\#

Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 8
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A-9, A-31, A-56, A-60, A-83, A-111, \\
& A-119, A-136)
\end{aligned}
\]

The subject of the ENGL infinitive semi-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text structurally (it is identical
with the subject of the superordinate clause); in one example (A-119) the seni-clause is introduced by a conjunction ("in order"). The predicator of the superordinate clause contains a verb of motion. The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb forn. In A-9 the ENGL seni-clause expands into a SLOV coordinate clause in copulative coordination. This type of expansion is generally quite frequent in translation from ENGL into SLOV and occurs typically when the ENGL structure contains a verb of motion denoting an instantaneous action and an obligatory adverbial expressed by an infinitive semi-clause.

The expansion is systenic - in SLOV a verb of notion cannot be followed by an infinitive semi-clause, the obligatory nonfinite forn used with this type of verb being the supine.

\section*{Clf: ENGL= infinitive+to semi-clause/ \\ function: adverbial of tine/outcone \\ SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ \\ function: adverbial of tine/outcome}

> Example: A-51: /".../L nay not live to ranch the airatrio this afternoond . . "/
> /".../ Morda ne bon dovoli doleo tivel. da bi prital to oopoldne na_pristaiglna stero./.."/
> \#---"Rechaps (I) Hill not live long enough that ( 1 ) rould cone to--- \({ }^{\#}\)

Nunber of examples involving this type of expansion: 1
\[
(A-51)
\]

ENGL infinitive seni-clauses of this type always follow the natrix clause (cf. CGEL85, 10\%9) and usually realize an obligatory adverbial. The subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'). its subject is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb form. In our corpus there is only one exanple of the expansion concerned, but since the expansion is typical and \(\because\) onmon in ENGI.-SLOV translation, its placenent into a subgroup of its uwn sems justified.

The expansion is systenic - infinitive seni-clauses of this type are not possible in SLOV. (Occasionally an infinitive clause seni-clause introduced by "za" ('for') may be used but the latter is not acceptable in standard SLOV.)

C1g: AN= independent infinitive+to seni-clause
SL= independent finite clause
Example: A-40: "To think." said the young Prince with feeling. "of the moner that has gone inte anking this a Melfare Stated . . "/
"Ce pomislin." je custveno spregovoril nladi orinc, "na donar, ki sno ga porabili zato. da smo tej detali ragotorili blaginio. . . "/ \#"If (L) think"---of the moner which (ge) spent for this that (re) onsured prosperity to this conntry.---\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-40, A-71)
\]

The ENGL infinitive seni-clause is independent in the sense that it is an innediate constituent of aratactically structured sentence (cf. both the exanples analysed) or alone forms a simple sentence. The subject is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally (in the examples analysed it refers to the speaker of the nessage - 1st person personal pronoun). The SLOV independent (in the same sense as defined above) finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "ce" ('if') or "da" ('that'), its subject is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form, and refers to the speaker of the message.

The expansion is systenic if the inplied subject of the infinitive seni-clause refers to the speaker/writer of the message (this is the case with both A-40 and A-71), but non-systemic if the implied subject refers to the addresee of the message or to a "third party", not directly involved in the speech event (cf. "To think of a thing like that!", where a direct structural equivalent is possible in SLOV: "Ponisliti na kaj takega!").

Clh: ENGL= infinitive+to seni-clause/
function: postnodifier in NP

SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: postmodifier in NP
OR
ENGL= infinitive+to seni-clause/
function: postnodifier in NP
SLOV \(=\) finite clause with different function
Example: A-117: /..../ Soneone to ponr nor life inte the school.
/.../ Nekoga, ki bi rydihnil foli novo tivlienie.
\#---Soneone tho nould ponr nor life into the school."
A-89: "Are you sure, absolutely SURE, Mrs.Sutcliffe, that your brother didn't give you anything to take home, to pack among zour belonginers"
"Gospa Sutcliffe, ali ste prepricani, trdno PREPRICANI, da van brat ni dal nieesar za donore niconar, da bi sturili med seoje atyari?"
\#--- anything that (xou) could hide smone zour belonginga?"\#
Nunber of examples involving this type of expansion: 6
\[
(A-89, A-92, A-96, A-110, A-117, A-133)
\]

ENGL infinitive seni-clauses functioning as posnodifiers in NPs usually have a modal sense (cf. CGEL85, 1268) and they typically occur with headwords expressed by indefinite pronouns. The subject of the seni-clause \(1 s\) unexpressed, inplied in the co-text strucurally (it is identical with the antecedent). All seni-clauses in the examples analysed are restrictive and so are the corresponding finite clauses in SLOV. The latter are introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that') or the relative pronoun "ki" ('who/ which/that'). The subject of the SLOV finite clause is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb forn (when the clause is introduced by a conjunction), or expressed by the relative pronoun. The change of syntactic function, when an ENGL postmodifying seni-clause is turned into a SLOV adverbial finite clause of purpose (cf. A-89), is not obligatory but occurs quite frequently, since infinitive clauses (especially in the absence of a conjunction) nay be functionally anbiguous. Other changes of syntactic function (cf. A-133 ENGL=postnodifier, SLOV=object)
are usually due to changes in the preceding part of the sentence.

The expansion is systemic. In SLOV infinitive clauses functioning as postmodifiers in NPs are possible only when they are transforms of appositive finite clauses introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that), but not when they are transforms of relative clauses. In the latter case infinitive seni-clauses introduced by "za" ('for') may sonetimes be used, but they are not acceptable in standard SLOV.
```

C1i: ENGL= infinitive+eo seni-clause/
function: postnodifier in AdjP
SLOV $=$ finite clause with different function
Example: A-82: /..../ "All I said was I'n عlad to be
back."
/.../ "Rekla sen sano, da sen yesela, ker
sya spet tu."
\#--- (I an plad becanse ve ace hera
again."̈
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 3
( $\mathrm{A}-23, \mathrm{~A}-82, \mathrm{~A}-113$ )

```

The subject of the ENGL infinitive semi-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). When the headword of the AdjP is expressed by an enotive adjective ( cf. A-82, A-113), the seni-clause implies cause or reason. The subject of the SLOV finite clause is unexpressed, but indicated by the verb forn. In the case of an enotive head adjective the finite clause may retain the syntactic function of the ENGL seni-clause (i.e. postnodifier in AdjP), or else it may assume the function of adverbial of cause or reason (cf. A-82). Other changes of syntactic function (cf. A-23 ENGL=postnodifier in AdjP, SLOV=postnodifier in NP) usually occur owing to changes in the preceding part of the sentence.

The expansion is systenic when the headword is realized by an enotive adjective - in this case SLOV does not allow infinitival posnodification. However, there is a snall number of non-enotive adjectives in SLOV which may be postnodified by an infinitive seni-clause, i.e. "pripravljen" ('ready'). There are no examples involving this type of adjectives in our corpus.

C2: ENGL= participle seni-clause SLOV= finite clause
C2a: ENGL= participleana seni-clause/
function: postnodifier(OR part of postnodifier) in NP SLOV \(=\) finite clause/
function: postnodifier(OR part of postnodifier) in NP

Example: A-19: Her eyes went to the procession of cars syeapine no to the front door. \(Z\) očni je objela sprevod aytonobiloy, ki so so pronikali h elavnir vrator.
\#---cars which Here novine torards the front door \({ }^{\#}\)
A-16: /.../ Eaithful Dennis, returning from
Malaya fron Burna, fron various parts of the morld, aluays the same, devoted, arking her once again to mure hin.
/.../ Zvesti Dennis. ki se je vraçl iz
Malaje Burne, iz razliçih krajex po syetu, zmeron enak vdan, in jo zmaron znova prosil za roko.
\#---Eaithful Dennis, tho was returning fron Malayg, Burna, fron yarious parts of the world, always the sane, devoted. and who was asking her ever and oyer again to garry hin.\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 8
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A-15, A-16, A-19, A-28, A-34, A-61, \\
& A-123, A-137)
\end{aligned}
\]

The subject of the ENGL semi-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the antecedent). The corresponding SLUV finite clause is a relative clause introduced by the relative pronoun "ki" (who/which/ that ) - cf. A-19, or, in case the ENGL semi-clause is a non-initial constituent of a paratactically structured postnodifier, a coordinate clause (cf. A-16). The subject of the relative clause is expressed by the relative pronoun "ki", the subject of the coordinate clause is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form. The ENGL seni-clause and the corresponding SLOV
relative clause nay be restrictive (cf. A-19) or non-restrictive (cf. A-123).

The expansion is systenic when there is no corresponding participle in SLOV2s (cf. A-61), otherwise non-systemic - cf. A-15, A-13, A-137. (Postnodifying participle seni-clauses are in general quite common in SLOV.) In A-16, A-28, A-30, A-123 the expansion is systenictransl.

\section*{C2b: ENGL= participleans seni-clause/ function: adverbial SLOV = coordinate finite clause (in copulative coordination)}

OR
ENGL= participleane seni-clause/ function: adverbial SLOV = finite clause/ function: adverbial

Example: A-112: Dismissing Shaista she sniled at the agitated Miss Johnson.
Odslovila je Shaiato, poten pa se je nasnehnila razburjeni eospodicni Johnson. \# (She) disnisard Shaista, then (she) smiled--- \(\#\)
A-118: /".../You'd think she ad enough to bothex her head about, cunning a place like this."
/".../ Clovek bi rekel, da si ina s ein ubijati glayo ko rodi takole ustanove."
\#---One sould say that (she) has---. Ghen (she) cuns an institution like this.\#

Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 12
\[
\begin{aligned}
& (A-2, A-22, A-27, A-36, A-64, A-80, \\
& A-112, A-118, A-122, A-130, A-132, A-142)
\end{aligned}
\]

The subject of the ENGL seni-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). With the exception of A-142, all the seni-clauses analysed lack a subordinating conjunction. Adverbial participle seni-clauses without a subordinator belong to the category of "supplenentive clauses" (CGEL85, 1123-7). which are characterized by their senantic indeterminacy as to the type of adverbial relations they express. The type of adverbial relation can usually be determined only by considering the type of verb in the predicators of the seni-clause and the
matrix clause, the position of the seni-clause in the superordinate clause and/or the co-text within or beyond the sentence boundary. Supplenentive clauses frequently realize adverbials of a "nixed" semantic type, e.g. tine/manner adverbials (cf. A-22, A-27, A-64, A-80, A-122, A-130, A-132). In their indeterminacy as to their semantic relationship with the matrix clause, supplementive clauses resenble copulative coordination with the conjunction "and" (CGEL85,1123-4), hence their frequent expansion into coordinate clauses is not surprising. Furthernore, supplenentive clauses are as a rule non-restrictive (cf.I/2.2.3.1.2 in the present chapter). Being thus less closely connected with the matrix clause than restrictive adverbials, they readily expand into coordinate clauses. This type of expansion also occurs in all our examples involving a supplementive clause, with the exception of A-118, where the expanded structure is a subordinate clause (introduced by the conjunction "ko" ('when')). The ENGL seni-clause in A-142, introduced by the subordinating conjunction "before", expands into the SLOV finite adverbial clause with the conjunction "preden" - the SLOV equivalent of the ENGL conjunction "before". In all the SLOV finite clauses the subject is unexpressed but indicated by the verb form.

The expansion is systemic when no corresponding adverbial participle exists in SLOV (A-22, A-64, A-80, A-130, A-132) or when the ENGL seni-clause is introduced by a conjunction (A-142), adverbial participle seni-clauses of this type being non-existent in SLOV - utherwise it is non-systemic. lt is noteworthy, however, that even when non-systenic, this type of expansion occurs with relatively great frequency in translation fron ENGL into SLOV, which may be due to the fact that adverbial participle seni-clauses in SLOV are generally felt to be stylistically marked.

C2c: ENGL= participleed semi-clause/
function: postnodifier in NP
SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: postmodifier in NP
OR
ENGL= participleod seni-clause/
function: part of postnodifier in NP
SLOV \(=\) independent finite clause
```

Example: A-4: Yarious Helcoming Hords and phrases,
uttered eracionsly by Miss Vansittract.
floated through the house.
Najrazlieneise besede in stayki, ki iih je
Y dobrodoslico irrakala rospodicna
lansittart, so plavali po hiši.
\#Yarious words and phrases which Miss
Yansittart mas uttering in melcome
floated through the house.\#
A-5S:Clothing lay about, the table was littered
with rolls of filns, postcards, paper-backed
books and an assortment of native curios
from the South, mostly made in Birninghan
and Japan.
Vse naokoli so bile raznetane obleke, niza je
bila zasuta s filmskini koluti, razglednicani,
mehko vezanimi knjigani in s cele vaste
donorodskih izdelkoy z juga - vecino mad
njimi so naredili y Birminghama in na
Japonsken.

```
                    \#---an asserinent of native curios fron
                    the South - (they) made most of then in
                    Birminghan and in Japan.
    Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
                        (A-4, , A-55)

ENGL ppostnodifying participlead semi-clauses have a passive neaning. (The latter is explicitly expressed (by the agent by-phrase) in A-4). The subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the antecedent). A typical SLOV equivalent of the ENGL seni-clause is an active postnodifying finite clause (cf.A-4), or, in case the seni-clause is a constituent of a hypotactically structured postnodifier, an active independent finite clause (cf. A-5S). Postmodifying participlead seni-clauses may be restrictive or non-restrictive. In both the examples analysed the semi-clause is non-restrictive. The corresponding SLOV finite postmodiying clause is as a rule of the sane type with regard to the restrictive/non-restrictive distinction as the original semi-clause, but sonetines a change of type nay occur due to sone other changes that the sentence
has undergone in the process of translation (cf. A-4).
The expansion is non-systenic provided a corresponding passive participle exists in SLOV (this is also the case with our two examples). However, when the ENGL seni-clause contains the agent by-phrase, an active finite clause is generally its nore natural and acceptable equivalent in SLOV.

C2d: ENGL= participleed seni-clause/ function: object SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: object
Example: A-76: /".../ L don't Hant you_throm out on your
ear too soon."
/".../ Hočon, da te prokonaln pritejo na
cesto."
\#---(I) don't want that (thar) thron zou
out in the stract ( \(=\) 'ont on yonc ancं)
too soon. \#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1
\[
(A-78)
\]

The ENGL participlead seni-clause in the function of direct object has a passive neaning. Its subject is expressed ("you"), the agent of the action is implied in the co-text. The corresponding SLOV clause is a finite active object clause, introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that'), its subject (referring to the agent of the action) is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form, its object corresponds to the subject of the ENGL seni-clause. In the corpus there is only one exanple of this type of expansion, but the latter typically occurs in translation fron ENGL into SLOV as object passive participle seni-clauses with an expressed subject are non-existent in SLOV.

The expansion is always systenic (cf.above).


\title{
\#As thouth he suddenly cane te a decision he---. \#
}

A-135:"Interesting," said Detective Inspector Kelsey, and having assenbled his retinue. he departed te carry out his duties. "Zaninivo," je rekel detektiv inšpektor Kelsey, zbral ekipo in se odpravil izpolnjeyat svoio dolžnost. \#---said Detective Inspector Kelsey, (he) nsserbled his retinue and (he)---_\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-73, A-135)
\]

ENGL adverbial participlepore semi-clauses indicate that the tine of the situation they denote is anterior to the tine of the situation denoted in the matrix clause. They may or may not be introduced by a subordinating conjunction (in the latter case they belong to the category of "supplenentive clauses" (cf. C2b above)). In A-73 the seni-clause is introduced by the conjunction "as though", while in A-135 the conjunction is absent. The subject of the seni-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause). The SLOV finite adverbial clause (A-75) is introduced by the SLOV equivalent of the ENGL conjunction "as though", "kakor da", its subject is unexpressed but indicated by the verb from. The typical SLOV equivalent of the supplementive clause ( \(A-135\) ) is a coordinate clause in copulative coordination with "and" (cf.C2b above).

The expansion is systemic in cases where the ENGL participlepore seni-clause is introduced by a conjunction - \(\mathbf{c}\). A-73 (in SLOV participle seni-clauses with conjunctions are non-existent), otherwise it is non-systenic provided a corresponding participle expressing anteriority exists in SLOV (cf.A-135).

C3: ENGL= gerund semi-clause/ function: object
SLOV \(=\) finite clause/ function: object
OR
ENGL= gerund seni-clause/ function: object
SLOV = finite clause with different syntactic function
Exanple: A-48: /".../ But it means going over the
> mountains - and at this tine of year---" /".../ Toda to poneni, da boya letela cez planine - in \(v\) ten letnen casu..." \#---But that neans that (re) will be flyine orer the mountains---. \#
A-12: Then she thought that, strangely enough, one didn't quite like nakjop jokes about Kiss Bulstrode.
Poten je ponislila, da je res nenavadno, kako clexek oravzapray ne ukiva, se se Sali na racun earoodiça Bulstrodac
\#---hor one does not actually enjoy if (one) menes joker at Miss Bnlatrode's expunse. \({ }^{\text {H }}\)
Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 5
\[
(A-12, A-48, A-67, A-81, A-134)
\]

The subject of the ENGL seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally (A-48, A-67, A-81) or structurally (it is identical with the subject of the superordinate clause - A-12, A-134). The SLOV finite clause is introduced by the conjunction "da" ('that") or "ce" ('if"), its subject is unexpressed, indicated by the verb form. In one example (A-12) the syntactic function of the SLOV finite clause is changed (ENGL=object, SLOV=adverbial), the change, however, not being necessary.

The expansion is non-systenic provided a corresponding gerund exists in SLOV, otherwise it is systenic. In the examples analysed, the expansion is systenic in A-67, A-81, A-134, systenictransl in \(A-12\), and non-systemictransl in \(A-48\).

C4: ENGL= verbless seni-clause
SLOV = finite clause
C4a: ENGL= verbless adjective seni-clause/ function: adverbial SLOV = finite clause/ adverbial OR independent
Exanple: A-54: He strode alone the street oblivions of the midday heat.
Stopal je vadole ulice, ne da bi se menil \(2 \pi\) opoldansko yrocino. \# (He) strode along the street, hithout that (he) yould notice the nidday heat.

Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 2 (A-1, A-54)
The subject of the ENGL seni-clause is unexpressed, implied in the co-text non-structurally. In both examples the seni-clause lacks a subordinator and thus belongs to the category of "supplenentive clauses". The corresponding SLOV clause is an independent finite clause (A-1) or a finite adverbial clause (A-54). The subject of the SLOV clause is normally unexpressed, indicated by the verb form (cf. A-54), but may also be expressed due to sone other changes that the sentence undergoes in translation (cf. A-1, where a new subject is introduced on the basis of the co-text \({ }^{28}\) ).

The expansion is non-systemic provided that the ENGL adjective has an adjective equivalent in SLOV, otherwise it is systemic. \({ }^{27}\) (Cf. A-1 - systenictransl, A-54 - systenic.)
\(\begin{aligned} \text { C4b: ENGL: } & \text { verbless adjective clause/ } \\ & \text { function: postnodifier in NP }\end{aligned}\)
SLOV = finite clause/ function: postmodifier in NP
Example: A-13: She had been P.A. to the chief executive of an oil company, private secretary to Sir Meryun Todhunter, renomed alike for his erudition his irritability and the illagibility of his handuriting. Bila je sekretarka glavnega direktorja petrolejske druz̈be, osebna tajnica sira Meryyna Todhwnterja, ki je bil enako rnan po ten, da je bil_erndit, da se io hitra uiezil in da je inel cisto necithivo pisavo.
\#---Sic Meryyn Todhunter sho uas ranomed alike for---_\#
Number of exanples involving this type of expansion: 1 ( \(\mathrm{A}-13\) )
The subject of the ENGL seni-clause is unexpressed, inplied in the co-text structurally (it is identical with the antencedent). The SLOV relative clause is introduced by the relative pronoun "ki" ('who/which/that'), its subject is expressed ("ki"), its predicator contains the verb "biti" (be') and the AdjP corresponding to the AdjP in the ENGL semi-clause
is in the function of subject complement. The SLOV relative clause is the direct structural equivalent of the ENGL relative clause transform of the verbless adjective seni-clause.

The expansion is non-systenic except in cases where there is no appropriate adjective in SLOV. Verbless adjective seni-clauses - transforns of relative clauses with the pattern: subject-predicator-subject complenent are quite common in SLOV as well.

C4c: EVGL= verbless noun seni-clause with expressed subject/ function: apposition
SLOV = independent finite clause
Example: A-146: She rang up a Press Magnate and the Hone Secretary, both personal friends of hers. Poklicala je enega rodilnih not pri tisku in notranjega ministra, oba sta bila njena osobna prijatelia. \#---one af the leading nen of the Press (SIC) and the Hune Minister, both mere her porsonal friends.\#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 1
\[
(A-146)
\]

Tre ENGL seni-clause contains a subject and a subject complement (expressed by a NP). The SLOV finite clause has the pattem: subject-predicator-subject conplenent, with the verb "biti" ('be') in the predicator, and the subject complenent NP corresponding to the \(N P\) in the ENGL seni-clause. The SLOV clause is the direct structural equivalent of the ENGL finite clause transforn of the verbless noun seni-clause.

Tte expansion is non-systenic - verbless noun semi-clauses with en expressed subject functioning as apposition are possible in SLCV, too.

\section*{Group D}

D1: ERGL = elliptical clause with ellipsis of the introductory subject "there" and the predicator / clause pattern: "there"-predicator("be")- real subject(NP(...infinitive semi-clause))
SlOV = non-elliptical clause/
clause pattern: subject-predicator-object
Example: A-115: "So many dull thingsto be done," she renarked./"..."/
"Toliko doleoxasnih stymei noran
poxeti, "je onenila./"..."/
\#"Somanz dull things (I) must do, "--- \#
Number of examples involving this type of expansion: 2
\[
(A-75, A-115)
\]

The NP functioning as real subject in the ENGL elliptical clause contains a postmodifying infinitive seni-clause with an implied modal meaning. The subject of the seni-clause is implied in the co-text non-structurally. The predicator of the SLOV non-elliptical clause is expressed by a modal verb and an infinitive, the latter corresponding to the infinitive of the ENGL seni-clause. The subject of the non-elliptical clause is unexpressed, indicated by the form of the nodal verb (it corresponds to the implied subject of the ENGL seni-clause), the object is realized by a NP corresponding to the ENGL NP without the inifinitive postmodifier.

The expansion is systenic. In SLOV the ellipsis of the predicator in the clause pattern: predicator("biti" ('be")subject (NP(...inifinitive seni-clause)), which is the closest equivalent of the ENGL clause pattern concerned, is not possible.

D2: ENGL = elliptical clause with ellipsis of the subject and the predicator/ clause pattern: (...)-"the"-subject conplenent-subject-predicator--"the"-subject conplenent-subject-predicator SLOV = non-elliptical clause with an enbedded clause of proportion
```

Example: A-58: A casuad sort of nessare to leave for a sister that he miabt never see again - but in sore prys the rore casural the

``` betteral Hude vsakdanje besede, nameniene sestri, ki je morebiti nikoli vet ne bos videl prayzapray pa, boli ko so vsakdanje, bolie je.
\#Anfully casual words intended for a sister

\section*{Hhe (you) nay never see again - but actually, mare casmal as (they) ares batter (it) is.\#}

Nunber of examples involving this type of expansion: 1 (A-58)

The ENGL elliptical clause contains two AdjPs with the head adjectives in the comparative degree and the correlative "the"..."the", expressing the relationship of proportion. The two AdjPs function as subject complements. The first ellipted subject is inplied in the co-text non-structurally, the second structurally ("it"). The ellipted predicators contain the verb "be". The SLOV non-elliptical clause has an enbedded clause of proportion introduced by the conjunction "ko" ('as'). The subject of the latter clause is indicated by the verb forn (it corresponds to the first ellipted subject in the ENGL clause). Both the natrix and the subordinate clauses have the verb "biti" ('be') in the predicator.

The expansion is non-systemic. The direct structural equivalent of the ENGL elliptical clause is possible in SLOV provided that the correlative "cin"..."ten", corresponding to the ENGL correlative "the"..."the" is used. In A-58, however, the expansion is systenicransi - instead of the direct equivalent of the ENGL correlative "the"..."the", the conjunction "ko" (as ) has been chosen, which makes ellipsis impossible.

D3: Miscellaneous
This subgroup comprises the renaining expansions of an elliptical into a non-elliptical clause (A-8, A-17, A-24, A-147), which involve structural changes resulting fron an entirely "free" translation bound to the given co-text. These expansions can therefore not be considered representative of sone general types.

The expansions in \(A-17\) and \(A-24\) are systemic, and in \(A-8\) and A-147 non-systenic.
2. Classification according to the language-pair-bound/ language-pair-independent parameter
2.1 In the present work we are concerned with norpho-syntactic expansions ( \(M-S\) expansions) relating to only one language-pair, i.e. ENGL-SLOV. However, it may be assuned that at least sone general types of expansion nay be language-pair-independent, i.e. not bound to a particular language pair. Thus Nida/Taber 1982, in their treatnent of general translation principles and procedures, discuss the so-called "structural adjustments" (op.cit., 112), and anong these sone structural changes which we have defined as \(M-S\) expansions, e.g. the change of passive into active structures (op.cit., 114) and the change of elliptical into non-elliptical structures. The change of non-finite clauses into finite (cf. our Group C) is mentioned by Wilss 1982, 143 in connection with the English-German translation pair and by Marojevic 1988, 70 in connection with the Russian-Croatian/ Serbian translation pair.

Within the classification of \(M-S\) expansions proposed above, all of the four main groups (A,B,C,D) may be considered as categories of expansions which are language-pair-independent. This, of course, does not mean that these types of expansion are universal in the sense that they would occur in translation involving every conceivable language pair, but nerely that they are not bound to a particular language pair. If language-pairindependent changes in translation are conceived of in this way, then the expansions in sone of our subgroups, too, nay be considered as language-pair-independent. Svejcer 1988,128, for instance, nentions the sane type of change in the context of the English-Russian translation pair as that described in Subgroup B2, and Nida/Taber 1982,5 discuss the replacenent of NPs with abstract nouns expressing "events" \(2 \theta\) by the corresponding verbs (cf. Subgroup A2a), which is typical in the case of all those target languages which express "events" exclusively or predoninantly by verbs.

The exact number of language pairs in which a particular type of change may occur is impossible to determine due to the enormous number of potential translation language pairs. However, it is possible to investigate to what extent a particular type of change (regardless of the number of language pairs in which it nay occur) is typical of and frequent in a given language pair. This may have important inplications for the teaching of translation and translation practice.
2.2. With respect to one of the basic characteristics of the translation process, its uni-directionality (cf. Wilss 1982,80, Svejcer 1988,75), the question arises as to whether individual types of change depend on the "direction of translation". In particular, do the types of \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion identified in translation fron ENGL into SLOV also occur in translation fron SLOV into ENGL? It seens that the most general types of M-S expansion (cf. the main groups (A,B, C,D) above, Nida/Taber 1982, 166-7) may not only be language-pair-independent but may also be "direction-independent". In the case of the ENGL-SLOV translation pair, it may be assumed that the nost general expansion types are relevant in translation fron SLOV into ENGL as well. Individual subtypes of a certain general type, however, may be "direction-bound". Thus, for instance, particular subtypes within the general type of expansion of an elliptical into a non-elliptical clause may occur only in translation fron ENGL into SLOV, but not in translation fron SLOV into ENGL, or vice versa, due to different patterns of ellipsis in the two languages. The frequency of occurrence of a particular type of expansion may likewise depend on the "direction of translation" (e.g. the expansion of a non-finite into a finite clause seens in general to occur nore frequently in translation fron ENGL into SLOV than in translation from SLOV into ENGL).
3. Classification according to the scope of expansion
3.1.A M-S expansion at a particular level of syntactic structure does not necessarily trigger \(M-S\) exparisions at all the other levels of syntactic structure. According to their scope, expansions may be classified into the following groups:

Group 1: expansions which nanifest thenselves at all levels of syntactic structure,
Group 2: expansions which manifest thenselves at one or more but not all levels of syntactic structure; at those levels where there is no expansion the opposite change, i.e. reduction, does not occur either.
Group 3: expansions which manifest thenselves at one or more but not all levels of syntactic structure: at those levels where there is no expansion the opposite
change, i.e. reduction, occurs.
Group 1 - Example:


The PP expands into a clause. Expansion is present at the next higher level (clause level): ENGL= clause with all the clause elements expressed by phrases, SLOV = clause with one of the clause elements expressed by a clause, as well as at the highest level (sentence level): ENGL= simple sentence, SLOV= complex sentence.
Group 2 - Example:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A-47: /".../ Would they prefer a reifn of terror } \\
& \text { likengerandfather's?" } \\
& \text { /".../ Ali bi rajsi ineli vladayino nasilia } \\
& \text { mervitn ia bila y Enan mojern deda?" } \\
& \text { \#Would (you) rather have a reifn of tercor } \\
& \text { anch an (it) man in my grandfather" }
\end{aligned}
\]

The postmodifying \(P P\) expands into a clause. Expansion is present at the next higher level (the level of the NP "a reign of terror like my grandfather's"): ENGL= NP with a non-clausal postmodifier, SLOV= NP with part of the postmodifier expressed by a clause). At the next higher level (clause elenent level) there is no expansion: in both the ENGL and the SLOV sentences all the clause elenents are expressed by phrases. There is likewise no expansion at the level of innediate constituents of the sentence as far as the number of the latter is concerned: both the ENGL and the SLOV sentences have one innediate constituent (a clause). If, on the other hand, the number of all the clauses (imnediate and non-immediate constituents) in the sentence is considered, the SLOV sentence is obviously expanded relative to the original one: ENGL= sentence comprising one clause, \(S L O V=\) sentence comprising two clauses. None of the levels, however, involves any reduction.

Group 3 - Example:
A-23: Miss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle
reassurance, refraining fron the caustic
phrase she sonetines nas tenpted to utter.
Gospodicna Bulstrode je rahlo ponirjujoce
prikimala in zadríala zase piker stavek, in
ycasih ie ces bila y skusnjayie ds bi ets
izrakls naqlas.
\#Miss Bulstrode nodded, with gentle
reassurance and refrained from a caustic phrase,
and sonetines (she) readiy felt the temptation
that (she) nould ntter it \#

The infinitive seni-clause expands into afinite clause. At the next higher level (the level of the AdjP "tempted to utter") expansion is also present: ENGL= phrase with an infinitive seni-clause postmodifier, SLOV= phrase with a finite clause postmodifier. At the next higher level (the level of the NP "the caustic phrase she sometines was tempted to utter") reduction has taken place: ENGL= phrase with a postnodifier, SLOV= phrase without a postnodifier (i.e. "piker stavek" - caustic phrase", or, literally caustic sentence’).
3.2. We may assume that the above typology of \(H-S\) expansions with respect to scope is language-pair-independent as well as "direction-independent".
4. Classification according the systenic/non-systesic parameter

See Section IV (Type of expansion) in the present chapter.

\section*{TABLES}

Tables 1-11: EXPANDING STRUCTURES (rank, type, syntactic function)

Table 1: Expanding structures / rank and type ( \(\mathrm{N}=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rank & type & N & \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{phrase} & NP & 7 & \\
\hline & PP & 24 & \\
\hline & AdjP & 2 & total: 38 \\
\hline & Adve & 5 & \\
\hline \multirow{4}{*}{clause} & finite & 36 & \\
\hline & non-finite & 63 & \\
\hline & verbless & 4 & total: 112 \\
\hline & elliptical & 7 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 2: Expanding structures / syntactic function ( \(N=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline syntactic function & rank (type) & N & \\
\hline phrase element or part of it & \begin{tabular}{l}
phrase \\
clause
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13 \\
& 24
\end{aligned}
\] & total: 37 \\
\hline clause element or part of it & \begin{tabular}{l}
phrase \\
clause
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
25 \\
48
\end{tabular} & total: 75 \\
\hline immediate constituent of simple sentence & independent clause & 23 & \\
\hline parataxis & independent clause dependent clause & 15
2 & total: 1\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3: Noun phrases / syntactic function ( \(N=7\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline phrase elenent & 1 \\
clause elenent & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4: Prepositional phrases / type ( \(\mathrm{N}=24\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline type & \(N\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
PP with gerund \\
semi-clause
\end{tabular} & 12 \\
PP with NP & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5: Prepositional phrases / syntactic function ( \(\mathrm{N}=24\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline phrase element & 10 \\
clause elenent & 14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 6: Independent clauses / type ( \(N=32\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline type & \(N\) \\
\hline clause-sentence & 15 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clause with embedded \\
clause \\
clause in paratactic \\
structure
\end{tabular} & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 7: Dependent finite clauses / syntactic function ( \(N=6\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
phrase element \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clause element \\
or part of
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 8: Non-finite seni-clauses / type ( \(\mathrm{N}=63\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|}
\hline type & \(N\) \\
\hline infinitive & 33 \\
participle & 25 \\
gerund & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 9 : Infinitive seni-clauses / syntactic function ( \(\mathrm{N}=33\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline syntactic function & N & \\
\hline ```
                                    postmodifier in NP
phrase elenent
or part of it
postmodifier in AdjP
``` & 5
3 & total: 8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
subject \\
object \\
subject
\end{tabular} \\
clause elenent & complenent \\
or part of it & object \\
& complenent \\
& adverbial
\end{tabular} & 2
8
2

2
9 & total:23 \\
\hline part of paratactic structure & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10: Participle seni-clauses / type ( \(N=25\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline type & \(N\) \\
\hline participleane & 20 \\
participleød & 3 \\
participleporf & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 11: Participle semi-clauses / syntactic function ( \(\mathrm{N}=25\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
phrase element \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 10 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clause elenent \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tables 12-15: EXPANDED STRUCTURES (rank, type, syntactic function)

Table 12: Expanded structures / rank and type ( \(\mathrm{N}=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rank & type & \(N\) & \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{ phrase } & NP & 3 & \\
& PP & 6 & total: 10 \\
\hdashline- & AdjP & 1 & \\
\hdashline clause & independent & 65 & total: 140 \\
& dependent & 75 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 13: Expanded structures / syntactic function ( \(\mathrm{N}=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
phrase element \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 26 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clause elenent \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 59 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
independent \\
clause
\end{tabular} & 65 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 14: Independent clauses / type ( \(\mathrm{N}=65\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline type & \(N\) \\
\hline clause-sentence & 7 \\
clause with embedded & 18 \\
clause & \\
clause in paratactic & 36 \\
structure & 4 \\
natrix clause & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 15: Dependent finite clauses / syntactic function ( \(N=73\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
syntactic \\
function
\end{tabular} & \(N\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
phrase element \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 22 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clause elenent \\
or part of it
\end{tabular} & 49 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
paratactic dependent \\
clause
\end{tabular} & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 16: RANK OF IMMEDIATELY DUMINATING STRUCTUREgnol ( \(N=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline rank & \(N\) \\
\hline sentence & 34 \\
Clause & 79 \\
phrase & 37 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Table 17 : TYPE OF MORPHO-SYNTACTC EXPANSION (SYSTEHIC/NON-SYSTEMIC) ( $\mathrm{N}=150$ )

```
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline type & \(N\) & \\
\hline systemic & \(6 S\) & total : 6B \\
systenictransl & 13 & \\
\hdashline non-systemic & \(B U\) & total: 81 \\
non-systemictransl & 1 & \\
\hline unclassified & 1 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 18: CLASSIFICATON OF M-S EXPANSIONS according to EXPANDING AND EXPANDED STRUCURES
( \(\mathrm{N}=150\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline group & N & & \\
\hline  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \\
& 3 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 6 \\
& 3 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
\] & A1 total: 24 & A total: 37 \\
\hline A2a
A2b
A2c & 4
1
1 & A2 total: 6 & \\
\hline A3 & 2 & A3 total: 2 & \\
\hline A4a & 3 & A4 total: 5 & \\
\hline B1a
B1b
B1a
- & - & B1 total: 8 & \\
\hline B2 & 3 & B2 total 3 & \\
\hline B3 & 3 & B3 total: 3 & B total: 38 \\
\hline B4 & 2 & B4 total: 2 & \\
\hline B5 & 10 & BS total: 10 & \\
\hline B6 & 12 & B6 total: 12 & \\
\hline  & 2
2
2
2
8
8
1
1
2
6
3 & C1 total: 34 & \\
\hline c2a
c2b
c2c
c2d
C2e & 8
12
2
1
2 & C2 total: 25 & C total: 68 \\
\hline C3 & 5 & c3total: & \\
\hline C4a & 2
1
1 & C4 total: 4 & \\
\hline D 1 & 2 & Ditotal: 2 & \\
\hline D2 & 1 & D2 total: 1 & D total: 7 \\
\hline D3 & 4 & D3 total: 4 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{abstract}
\({ }^{1}\) All the examples referred to in this chapter are presented in Chapter 3/ I. 2 (Database "Morpho-syntactic expansions").

2 In A-13 the NP or the PP "(private secretary) to Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting", could have been considered as the expanding structure, and in A-39, the simple sentence similarly ("In spite of this difference in status they were on terms of perfect equality."). However, observing the principle of locality, we consider as the expanding structure the NP "Sir Mervyn Todhunter, renowned alike for his erudition, his irritability and the illegibility of his handwriting" and the PP "in spite of this difference in status" respectively.
\end{abstract}

3 The expanding structure is the PP "with hair that fitted her like a black satin cap", i.e. that part of the paratactically structured postnodifier which directly expands.

4 In A-78 the coordinate seni-clauses "to speak to anyone or approach anyone" could have been taken as the expanding structure, but since the rest of the clause - innediate constituent of the sentence has been considerably changed in translation as well, the expanding structure is taken to be the clause as a whole. In A-39, on the other hand, where, apart fron the PP "in spite of this difference in status", the rest of the clause has likewise undergone sone change, the latter is not such as to necessitate a nore global approach - the principle of locality may be kept and the PP concerned is considered the expanding structure.
S.6 A-36 involves the expansion of coordinate participle seni-clauses ("snoking and considering the innediate future") which together realize an adverbial, each expanding in the same way, i.e. into a coordinate finite clause ("kadila in pretresala bliznjo prihodnost" - \#(they) were smoking and considering the immediate future\#), hence they are taken together as forning one expanding structure. By contrast, in A-28 to A-30, the paratactically structured postmodifier ("weaving her way along the path, her large black velvet hat on one side, muttering to herself and clearly in a state of advanced intoxication") is made up of different types of constituents (viz. participle seni-clause - verbless semi-clause - participle seni-clause verbless clause), which also expand differently in translation, therefore each constituent is taken separately as forming an expanding structure of its own.

7 See Chapter 1/ 3.2.1.2 (Clause typology).
- In the corpus there are a few examples involving adjective semi-clauses whose only inmediate constituent is an Adjt ( \(A-1,13,54\) ), but the latter have been included in the category of adjective seni-clauses.
a Content disjuncts express "...the speaker's connent on what he is saying..." (CGEL85, 620) - cf. "REMARRABLY, Mrs. Jensen consulted her lawyer." (CGEL85, 621) (The speaker considers her action to be renarkable.) Subject-oriented subjuncts characterize "...the referent of the subject with respect to the process or state denoted by the verb." (CGEL85, 574) - cf. "SADLY, she wandered through the library."/'She was sad when she.../ (ibid.).

10 For nore detail on the typology of seni-clauses followed in the present work see Chapter 1/ 3.2.1.2 (Clause typology).

11 In our corpus restrictive and non-restrictive postnodifying participle seni-clauses are represented in equal proportion. Generally, however, postnodifying participle seni-clauses are restrictive (CGEL85, 1265).

12 Sonetines the verbless seni-clause may alternatively be interpreted as having an ellipted verb "have", the clause pattern then being: (subject-predicator)-object-adverbial.

13 See Chapter 1/ 3.2.1.2 (Clause typology).
14 As noted by CGEL8S, "/t/he distinction between centre. and periphery is relative rather than absolute" (op.cit., 50).

\begin{abstract}
15 Adverbials are also considered peripheral by Matthews 1981, in the context of his distinction between "complenents" and "peripheral elenents" (op.cit., 123-7).
\end{abstract}

18 Thus, for instance, adverbials of place are obligatory with sone verbs (cf. "He put the book." / "He put the book on the table."). Matthews 1981 provides examples with obligatory adverbials of manner (e.g. "This book reads." / "This book reads well".) - op.cit., 137. Sone adverbials are not nobile, e.g. averbials of tine/outcone expressed by an infinitive seni-clause can occur in final position only (CGEL85,1079).

17 In ENGL, for instance, a prenodifier-determiner is obligatory when the headword is a singular countable noun (cf. " "book", "a/the/sone/this book"). In sone (albeit rare) cases a prenodifier in the adjective phrase is likewise obligatory (cf. *"She is a case." / "She is a typical case." (Matthews 1981, 151). Certain adjectival headwords require a postnodifier (cf. *"The ship is bound." / "The ship is bound for Australia."). However, even in such cases, the headword is in a sense nore central than the modifier, since the obligatory presence of the latter depends on the head noun or adjective.

18 In ENGL the nost important type of concord (or "agreement") is the subject-predicator concord in number. Other types of concord include the number agreenent between sone determiners and head nouns (cf. "this girl"/"these girls"), gender agreement in nouns and 3rd person singular pronouns (cf. "John/Mary said that he/she had finished his/her honework."), and the agreement between the head noun and the relative pronoun with respect to the person/non-person contrast (cf. "the girl who.../*the book who.../*the girl which.../the book which...").
\(1 \theta\) Cf., for instance, "I'm sorry to be late/that I'n late."/ "I'n ready to help you/*that I help you."

20 For an outline of "X-bar" theory and "Governnent and Binding" theory see Riemsdijk/Willians 1987, Bolta 1987, Cook 1988.

21 The propositional nucleus conprises the indispensable elenents of a proposition (SLS84, 423).

22 As far as restrictive and non-restrictive nodifiers in NPs are concerned the results of our analysis apparently do not confirn this assunption - the number of expansions of restrictive nodifiers is greater than that of non-restricitve ones. However, this nay be due to the fact that nodifiers in the original text are predoninantly restrictive. The number of restrictive and non-restrictive modifiers in the original text, regardless of whether they expand in translation or not, would therefore have to be established before a tenable conclusion could be drawn as to the inplications of the results for the assumption concerned.

23 An ENGL non-elliptical finite clause with a particular clause pattern including a certain number of clause elenents, realized in a particular way (e.g. all the elements are realized by phrases) is changed into a SLOV clause having a pattern which is extended relative to the ENGL clause pattern (i.e. the nunber of clause elenents is greater), and/or the realization of the pattern involves expansion (e.g. instead of all the elenents being realized by phrases, as in the ENGL clause, one or more elenents are realized by clauses.)

24 For an explanation of structurally and non-structurally inplied subjects see \(1 / 2.2 .3 .1\) in this chapter.

25 In SLOV sone verbs lack the participle forns. Thus, for instance, the present participle in \(-c\) (corresponding to the ENGL participleina in postmodifying function) and the adverbial participles in -aje, -e can be formed only fron inperfective verbs.

28 For a detailed analysis of A-1 see Chapter 3/ I. 2 (Database "Morho-syntactic expansions"/ Example No.1).

27 When the ENGL verbless adjective seni-clause functioning as adverbial is introduced by a subordinator, the expansion is always systenic - in SLOV seni-clauses (of any kind) are never introduced by a subordinator.

20 "Event" is one of the four universal senantic categories ("event", "object", "abstract", "relation") - Nida/Taber 1982, 37-8.


\section*{Chapter 5}

\title{
FACTORS RELEVANT TO THE \\ OCCURRENCE OF MOREHO-SYNTACTIC
} EXPANSIONS
I.The complexity of the originall
1. The complexity of the original itself
1.1 Formal complexity

The formal, i.e. norpho-syntactic complexity of the original nay be established on the basis of formal criteris, whose validity may be tested by statistical nethods. Thus, in principle, the formal complexity of the original may be stated in a fairly objective way. The criteria used as measures of the fornal conplexity of the original itself are in principle not translation-specific - the criteria of fornal complexity of texts in general may also be applied to a text involved in the process of translation. 2 The difficulty, however, lies in the absence of a set of generally valid criteria. It is therefore necessary that several criteria te always taken into account and that the results of statistical analysis be further tested for validity against a large brdy of texts of various types before generalizations concerning a particular criterion are made.

\subsection*{1.2 Senantic conplexity}

The original may contain syntactically reduced, conpressed structures, which may result in senantic conplexity. This is because syntactically reduced structures may be semantically anbiguous. Typical structures of this kind are non-finite seni-clauses (cf. CGEL85, 995 ), verbless seni-clauses and elliptical clauses. Semantic complexity caused by the presence of reduced structures is, of course, not restricted to the context of translation - any text, regardless of whether it is involved in the process of translation or not, myy be semantically complex in the above deseribed way.

The semantic complexity of the uriginal has lot been investigated in the present work. It is interesting to note,
however, that the analysis of norpho-syntactic (M-S) expansions has shown that sentences containing reduced structures undergo M-S expansion relatively frequently, which suggests that the semantic complexity of the original caused by the presence of reduced structures may have a bearing on the occurrence of \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions.
2. The conplexity of the original fron the translator's point of view
2.1 According to the conmunicative theory of translation, which views translation as a special type of communication process (cf. Nida/Taber 1982, Wilss 1982, Ivir 1980, 1984, Svejcer 1988), the translator perforns a dual role, that of the receiver of the original nessage and that of the sender of the transcoded message (Ivir 1980,334). As receiver, the translator has to decode the original message. The original contains structures which may be more or less complex to decode, the complexity involved being either formal or semantic. Thus, for instance, shorter and structurally less conplex sentences are, as a rule, easier to decode than longer and structurally more complex ones, (cf. Nida/Taber 1982,147), and vice versa. Structures in which the inplied elenents and senantic relations have to be recovered on the basis of the co-text and/or the context (e.g. syntactically reduced structures) are more difficult to decode than structures in which all the elenents and semantic relations are explicitly stated. Structures with implicit elements and semantic relations are senantically "opaque" and potentially anbiguous, sonetines, as noted by Wilss 1982,140, to the extent that anbiguity cannot be resolved even by considering the co-text and/or the context (within and beyond the sentence boundary). 4

The formal complexity of the original itself may or nay not coincide with its complexity fron the point of view of decoding. (E.g. a sentence containing more than one clause may be more complex both with respect to structure and decoding than a sentence containing one clause only. On the other hand, a structure without an expressed subject is formally less complex than one with an expressed subject, but it is nore difficult to decode than the latter since the subject nust be recovered from
the co-text and/or the context.
2.2 Sinilarly as in the case of the complexity of the original itself (cf. 1.1 above), the complexity of the original from the translator's standpoint is not translation-specific - what is involved is the complexity of the text with respect to decoding, fron the point of view of the receiver of the message in general. However, the response of the translator to this type of complexity is specific. The translator does not differ from the "ordinary" receiver as far as the receiver's basic task in the conmunication process is concerned, i.e. decoding the message (Ivir 1980, 334), but on the other hand the translator is a "special" receiver as he/she is also the sender of the original message, which he/she must, of course, first transcode.

When faced with the formal complexity of the original, the translator, in order to convey the original message as adequately as possible, often has to reorganize the original text (in the SL) first (Wilss 1982, 141-2), restructuring it by neans of syntactic operations (in the terminology of Nida/Taber 1982, "back-transfornations") into "transparent syntactic structures" (Wills 1982, 140) or "kernels" (Nida/Taber 1982,39). Only after "intralingual reorganization" (Wilss 1982.140) has been carried out does he/she undertake "interlingual translation" (ibid.).

In the case of semantic conplexity of the original, the translator, anticipating the difficulty potentially faced by the the receiver of the target language text (TLT), tends in the translation to express explicitly what is inplicitly present in the original (cf. Levi 1982,145, Nida/Taber 1982, 163. Steiner 1976, 277), thus facilitating conprehension on the receiver s part. In this way the translator not only translates the original text but interprets it as well (Levi 198L, 145). The tendency to explicate nay be noticed both at syntactic and semantic levels (cf. syntactic and lexical (semantic) expansions in Nida/Taber 1982,186-7, and the expansion of metaphors into similes and descriptive translation of netaphors - Levi 1982. 14y), and seens to be a general tendency in translation.s It can be partly accounted for by the fact that the linguistic and cultural environment of the SLT receiver is different from that of the TLT receiver and therefore the latter may lack the necessary background which makes it possible for the ELT
receiver to understand without difficulty also what is implicit in the text (Nida/Taber 1982,163, Steiner 1976,267). The need for explication may be assuned to be the stronger, the greater the differences between the two languages and cultures which cone into contact in the process of translation. On the other hand, an exaggerated tendency to explicate night have a negative effect. Thus, for instance, Levi 1982, when discussing the translation of literary texts, notes that by explication the aesthetic function, characteristic of this type of text, is weakened and the informative function strengthened "...into the style of a literary work of art there penetrate the rationalisn and descriptiveness of business prose" (op.cit., 154).
3. The complexity of the original due to differences between the source language (SL) and the target language (TL)
3.1 Differences in the SL and \(T \mathrm{~L}\) systens
3.1.1 The original may be complex on account of the differences between the \(S L\) and \(T L\) systens. The differences which are relevant for \(M-S\) expansions are those concerning gramatical elements and structures of the \(T L\) and \(S L\) systens as well as differences in granmatical rules defining the relations anong elenents and structures respectively.

The original may contain an elenent/a structure which does not exist in the TL systen at all (e.g. the ENGL passive gerund/passive gerund seni-clause has no systenic counterpart in SLOV) or else the elenent/structure does exist in the TL systen but has a different functional potential fron that of the corresponding \(S L\) elenent/ structure (cf. for instance the participle/participle semi-clause in ENGL and in SLOV (while in ENGL this elenent/ structure nay function either as postnodifier or as adverbial, in SLOV it may function as postnodifier only)).

The presence in the original of a systemically equivalentless elenent/structure \({ }^{8}\) does not, of course, always make \(M-S\) expansion inevitable. In SLOV, for example, the participle/participle seni-clause may not perforn an adverbial function, but the latter may be realized by another non-finite verb form/non-finite seni-clause, the "delezje"/the "delezje" semi-clause. Provided that a suitable "delezje" exists, the
expansion of an ENGL adverbial participle/participle seni-clause into a SLOV finite adverbial clause is therefore not obligatory. A systenically equivalentless elenent/structure in the original nay be replaced in translation by an element/structure which may be terned "the closest partial equivalent", rendering translation without expansion possible. Thus, for instance, the ENGL participle/participle seni-clause may be considered a systenically equivalentless element/structure with respect to SLOV as in the systen of the latter there is no corresponding elenent/structure which nay perforn two functions, postnodifying and adverbial. However, there exist two "closest partial equivalents": the "deleznik"/the "deleznik" seni-clause and the "delezje"/the "delezje" seni-clause. They are "closest" in the sense that they involve, like the ENGL participle/participle seni-clause, a non-finite verb forn, and "partial" in that each perforns only one of the two functions of the ENGL participle/participial seni-clause: the former the postmodifying function and the latter the adverbial function.

Differences in the grannatical rules of the \(S L\) and \(T L\) systems may involve differences in distribution rules or differences in transfornational rules. An exanple of a particular elenent/structure with a particular function existing in both the SL and TL systens, yet with differing distribution rules is the infinitive seni-clause in the function of adverbial of purpose; as distinct fron ENGL, the SLOV infinitive seni-clause in this function cannot combine with a verb of notion in the superordinate clause. Transtiornational rules of the \(S L\) and \(T L\) systens nay differ in that a particular transfornation is not possible in the TL at all (e.g. in SLOV, prepositions of prepositional relative pronouns may not be shifted to the end of the relative clause), or the structural conditions required for a particular transformation to be applied nay be different (cf. for instance different rules of ellipsis in ENGL and SLOV).
3.1.2 The complexity of the original due to differences in the SL and TL systens is a frequent cause of \(M-S\) changes of various types, not only of \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions (cf. Svejcer 1988,11y-22, Wilss 1982,165,173, Marojevic 1988,70-2, who discuss various kinds of M-S changes resulting from the differences in the SL and \(T L\) systems in connection with the translation pairs

Russian-English, English-German, and Russian-Croatian/Serbian respectively).

Although differences in the SL and TL systens present potential translation difficulties, a translator with a sound knowledge of the SL and TL systens and of the differences existing between then is, as a rule, able to overcone difficulties of this type quite easily. 7

In contrast to the conplexity of the original itself and the conplexity of the original from the point of view of the translator as the receiver of the original message (cf. 1., 2. above), the complexity of the original due to differences in the SL and TL systens is restricted to the context of translation.
3.2 Differences in SL and TL usage norns

Often a \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion is not necessitated by differences in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) systens, and yet the translator has decided on an expanded structure (cf. expansions which we have terned "non-systemic"). The reasons for such a decision may be sought in (i) different tendencies (in the SL and TL) as to the use of noun epressions and verb expressions (cf. the "nounness" of ENGL in comparison to SLOV), (ii) different tendencies concerning the way in which semantic roles are generally expressed at the syntactic level, (iii) different frequency of occurrence of a particular structure in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) (cf. the frequency of occurrence of non-finite semi-clauses in ENGL and SLOV - in general, semi-clauses occur more frequently in ENGL than in SLUV日, (iv) the different stylistic values of a particular structure in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) (cf. the ENGL stylistically unmarked infinitive semi-clause adverbial of purpose and its stylistically marked counterpart in SLOV), (v) the different degrees of "naturalness" of a particular structure in the SL and TL (cf. some passive structures in ENGL and their counterparts in SLOV - while the former are perfectly natural, the latter are of ten considered rather awkward and unnatural), or, generally speaking, in differences in the prevailing, common modes of expression in the SL and TL (differences in the general usage norms of the SL and TL). \(\theta\)

The presence in the original of a structure which differs from its systemic or "closest partial equivalent" (cf. 3.1.1 above) as regards the general usage norm may contribute to the
complexity of the original. The translator has to be thoroughly faniliar with the SL and TL usage norns and with the differences in usage of particular structure in order to be able to choose fron anong the possible translation equivalents the one which is closest to the original structure with respect to the general usage norn. When the original contains a structure which, as regards the general usage norn, differs fron its systenic or closest partial equivalent more than the corresponding expanded structure, expansion nay generally be expected. The closest partial equivalent of the ENGL adverbial participle seni-clause with a perfect participle, the SLOV adverbial seni-clause with a "deleije" in -si, for instance, is much nore stylistically marked than the ENGL seni-clause, the corresponding expanded structure, i.e. a finite clause, thus being a closer equivalent of the original structure fron the point of vien of the general usage norn than the seni-clause.

In comparison with the complexity of the original due to differences in the SL and TL systens, the complexity due to differences in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) usage norns seens to pose potentially greater translation difficulties. In both cases the translator has to perceive the difference(s) and to choose fron anong the possible translation equivalents, but this process is facilitated in the case of systenic differences as he/she can draw upon not only his/her own knowledge of the SL and TL systens and his/her translation experience but also upon the descriptive grannars of the SL and TL. By contrast, when differences in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) usage norms are involved, he/she can largely rely only on his/her own knowledge of the SL and TL usage norns. Descriplive grannars generally include only narginal notes concerning the usage of a particular structure, mostly in cases of highly narked structures with restricted use while usually no nention is made of minor differences in the usage of senantically equivalent, syntactically sinilar structures. Furthernore, descriptive grannars nay differ as to the extent to which they incorporate usage notes. The description of a particular structure nay thus be accompanied by a usage note in the gramnar of the \(S L\) but not in the gramnar of the TL, or vice versa.

In practice, of course, an experienced translator with a conprehensive knowledge of the
norns, as a rule, autonatically registers the difference(s) involved and makes a straightforward choice from anong the possible translation equivalents. In nore complex cases, however, the problems concerning differences in the \(S L\) and \(T L\) usage norns nay, for the reasons nentioned above, be nore difficult to solve than those related to systenic differences.

Like the complexity of the original due to differences in the SL and TL systens, the conplexity due to differences in the SL and TL usage norns is specific to the context of translation.
II. Other factors

In addition to various aspects of the conplexity of the original (cf. I. above), several other factors nay be relevant to the occurrence of \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions (as well as other \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) changes), notably factors which are related to sone of the basic elenerts of the translation process, i.e. the translator, the SL text and the receiver of the TL text.
1. General tendency to explicate the original

As nentioned in I/2. above, translators generally tend to make what is implicit in the original explicit in the translation. Expansions, in particular expansions of syntactically reduced, senantically opaque structures, are frequently a result of this general tendency in translation.
2. The approach to translation

The choice of the general translation approach, or, in the terminology of Svejcer 1888, of the "translation strategy" (op.cit., 65) depends on a number of objective factors (e.g. the text type and genre, the type of the TLT receiver, etc.), but may also be based on a subjective decision on the part of the translator. Thus, for instance, Hatin/Mason 1990, 16-7, when discussing the three najor approaches to translation ("author-centered translating", text-centered translating" and "reader-centered translating"), note that "/f/or many translators of religious texts, first loyalty is at all tines with the source text. For others in the sane field of translating, concern for the reader is paranount" (op.cit. 17). The choice of a certain general approach to translation bears on
indivudual decisions made by the translator in the process of translation. As far as \(M-S\) expansions are concerned it may be assuned that if the translator has chosen the author-centered or the text-centered approach, he/she will, for instance, decide on the expansion of syntactically reduced, semantically opaque structures less frequently than if he/she has chosen the reader-centered approach.
3. The translator's personal style

When the translator may choose anong several translation equivalents of relatively equal adequacy, his/her decision depends, to a great extent, on his/her personal preferences. If, for example, the choice involves a direct structural equivalent of a particular original structure and a morpho-syntactically expanded equivalent, he/she is more likely to choose the later if he/she generally prefers to use the structure concerned in the TL (either as an "ordinary" user or as a creative writer in the TL), or if he/she comnonly uses it in the translation of the given SL structure. 10,11
4. Text type and genre

Text type and genre play an important role in the process of translation (cf. Newnark 1981.1988, Wilss 1982, Svejcer 1988). 12

Texts may be divided into three major types: expressive, informative and vocative. depending on the predominance of one of the three main functions of language as defined by Bühler 1934 ("expression", representation", "appeal"). The text typology based on Bühler s functional theory ot language (or on its extended version as proposed by Jakobson 196613) is frequently used within the framework of translation studies as well (cf. Reiss/Verneer 1984, Newnark 1981, 1988). \({ }^{14}\)

Text type and genre are related in that a particular genre is of en characteristically associated with a particular text type, e.g. a lyric poen typically belongs to the expressive type, a scientific paper to the informative and an advertisment to the vocative.

Translation is a process of choosing and decision-making, which invloves two stages: "the working out of the translation strategy" and "determining the concrete linguisiic realization
of this strategy" (Svejcer 1988,65). During the first stage the translator decides, for instance, to what extent he/she will preserve the formal structure of the original in the translation, whether he/she should translate nore literally or more freely, which aspects of the original should be reflected in the translation (ibid.), in short, he/she chooses the general approach to translation, the "translation method" in the sense of Newnark 1988, 45-7. The second stage involves the choice of "...various concrete translation procedures - translation transformations', which make up the technology of translation" (Svejcer 1988, 65).

Text type and genre are relevant to the choice of both the general translation approach (cf. Newnark 1988,45-8, Svejcer 1988,6515) and individual "translation transfornations". Thus, for instance, it may be assuned that in the case of informative and vocative types of text, the translator is generally more likely to decide to explicate the original and subnit syntactically reduced, senantically opaque structures to \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion 16 than in the case of expressive texts. Genre nay likewise have a bearing on the translator's decision concerning M-S expansions. In general, he/she may be expected to apply \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion less frequently in texts which belong to genres characterized by considerable compactness of expression, e.g. lyric poetry, lest the characteristic feature of the genre be lost in the translation.
5. The receiver of the TL text

In choosing the general translation approach and in making individual decisions in the course of translation, the translator also has to consider the prospective receiver of the TL text (cf. Nida 1964,158, Wilss 1982,144, Hlebec 1989,70-85). TLT receivers differ as to their decoding ability and their interests (Nida 1964,158)17, the importance they attach to certain aspects of translation (Wilss 1982,144)18 and their knowledge of the subject-matter of the original (Hlebec 1989,75)18.

As regards \(M-S\) expansions the type of TLT receiver seens to be relevant prinarily when the retention of the original structure in the translation might make the latter difficult to understand (cf. syntactically reduced, semantically opaque
structures). In such cases the translator is likely to decide on a \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion more frequently if the translation is intended for TLT receivers whose main notive for reading the translation is to get straightforward factual infornation on a particular subject-natter, or for those with a relatively low level of decoding ability.

\section*{N O T S S Chapter 5}

1 Complexity is to be understood in a relative rather than absolute sense (cf. Chapter 2/ 4.3).

2 E.g. in the present study we consider the criterion of length of sentences (in terns of average number of words), which is a well-known criterion of the fornal complexity of texts, often used outside the context of translation as well.

3 However, it is within the context of translation that syntactically reduced structures are of ten discussed (cf. Wilss 1982, 140-1, Marojevic 1988, 80) since due to their potential anbiguity, they may give rise to translation difficulties.

4 Since in the case of syntactically reduced structures the translator has to "...carry out the preliminary reconstruction of the full /i.e.non-reduced/ syntactic pattern" (Marojevic 1988, 82), and, when faced with anbiguity, has "...to nake a senantic decision" (Wilss 1982, 140), such structures may be a source of mistakes in translation (cf. Marojevic 1988, 82).

3 As a result, translations are usually sonewhat longer than the originals (Steiner 1976,277, Nida/Taber 1982,163).
s The tern "equivalentless structure" is used by Svejcer 1988 to refer to a structure of the SL systen which does not exist in the TL systen (op.cit., 119). Since, however, the syntactic-senantic function of an element/a structure is deternined within the language systen, too, we apply the tern "systenically equivalentless elenerit/structure" also to an elenent/structure of the SL systen which has a fornally close counterpart in the TL systen but the equivalence of function does not obtain. In connection with the ENGL-SLOV translation pair, for instance, both of the ENGL elenents/ structures mentioned, i.e. the passive gerund/ passive gerund seni-clause and the participle/participle seni-clause nay thus be considered systenically equivalentless elenents/structures.

7 In connection with this the question arises as to the relevance of contrastive analysis for the theory and practice of translation. As regards the theoretical aspect of translation. contrastive analysis is undoubtedly of importance, for, as noted by Svejcer 1988, "...in a number of cases it provides an answer to the question of why a particular /translation/ operatioll has
been applied in translation" (op.cit., 11) and thus "... forns an indispensable foundation /.../ for the theory of translation" (ibid.). On the other hand, in translation practice, a translator with a good command of the SL and TL and sufficient translation experience is usually able to cope with differences between the SL and TL systens without having to be faniliar with the findings of contrastive analysis, especially when the latter is restricted to establishing fornal correspondents at the level of the language systen. However, a contrastive analysis which is concerned with discovering formal correspondents at the level of translationally equivalent texts (i.e. "contrastive correspondents" in the sense of Ivir 1980), nay be relevant to translation practice. Unlike systenic fornal correspondents, contrastive correspondents are not in a one-to-one relationship but in a one-to-nany relationship - a particular formal elenent in the SL may have several corresponding fornal elenents in the TL. The contrastive analysis which establishes contrastive correspondents thus reveals potential translation alternatives available to the translator concerning a given elenent of the SL.
o This may be partly attributed to the fact that certain types of non-finite seni-clauses, notably seni-clauses with an expressed subject and seni-clauses introduced by a subordinator, are non-existent in SLOV. On the other hand, subjectless non-finite semi-clauses without a subordinator do exist in SLOV and yet preference is generally given to their finite clause counterparts. It may be assumed that this is one of the reasons why, when translating ENGL non-finite semi-clauses into SLOV, translators relatively more often decide on a finite than on a non-finite translation equivalent.
- Wilss 1982 distinguishes two categories of usage norms: "situation-independent usage norns", which refer to "... language-community-deternined conventions on the adnissibility or non-admissibility of certain means of expression..." and ""situation-dependent usage norns", which "...control situationally appropriate ways of expression..."(op.cit., 166). What we have terned "general usage norns" are situationindependent norns, yet unlike those in the first of Wilss. categories mentioned above, they are not primarily concerned with adnissible and non-admissible modes of expression but rather with the predominant, connon modes of expression in a given language. (Cf. also Svejcer 1988, who relates the concept of language norm to "...the common, traditional realizations of language functions" (op.cit., 10).)

10 A relevant study here is that by Kovacic 1991, who investigated the SLOV translation eguivalents of ENGL participle structures in three novels by an Anerican author and three novels by a British author, translated into SLOV by different translators. The results of her analysis show that one translator (M.Mihelic) has preserved the original participle structure in the translation nore frequently than the other translators (of the works by the sane author), the difference in frequency being statistically significant. Since M.Mihelic was also a novelist herself, it would be interesting to find out if she used this type of structures with a relatively great
frequency in her own works as well, her style in translation thus being influenced by her own literary style.
\({ }^{11}\) The inpact of the translator's personal style on his/her decision-making in the process of translation may have negative effects. The translator, particularly if he/she is a creative writer hinself/herself, may assert his/her personal style in translation to such an extent that stylistically diverse original texts may becone stylistically uniforn when translated (Sibinovic 1979,137).

12 For nore details on the relevance of that area of text linguistics which studies text type and genre for the theory and practice of translation, and on various text typologies applied in translation studies, see Wilss 1982, 112-18 and Svejcer 1988, 32-5.

13 According to Jakobson there are six universal functions of language: enotive, referential, conative (which correspond to Bühler's three functions), phatic, netalingual and poetic (cf. Jakobson 1966, 290-5).

14 Another text typology which seens particularly suitable for application in translation studies is the typology proposed by de Beaugrande/Dressler 1981, 184, which has been recently applied (with sone modifications) in the context of translation by Hatin/Mason 1990,153-60.

15 Newnark 1988 relates the choice between the so-called "senantic translation" and "conmunicative translation" (Newnark 1981,39 and 1988,46-7) to text type: "Semantic translation is used for expressive texts, commicative for informative and vocative texts." (Newnark 1988,47). Svejcer 1988 nentions genre as one of the factors influencing the translators decision as to whether he/she will translate nore freely or more literally (op.cit.,65).

16 M-S expansions may be included anong "translation transfornations" in the sense of Svejcer 1988, 118.

17 Nida 1964 distinguishes four different levels of decoding ability, the lowest being that in children and the highest that in specialists "...when they are decoding nessages within their own area of specialization" (op.cit., 158). Consequently, translations designed for TLT receivers with a different level of decoding ability will necessarily have to be different. E.g. "...a translation designed for children cannot be the sane as one prepared for specialists..." (ibid.). Differences in the interests of prospective TLT receivers must likewise be taken into account - "/f/or example a translation designed to stinulate reading for pleasure will be quite different fron one intended for a person anxious to learn how to assenble a complicated nachine" (ibid.).

18 Thus, for instance, in translations of texts intended for specialists who want to get a quick update on new developnents in their own field of specialization, it is the accuracy of the translation with respect to the contents of the original that is
of prime importance rather than its "stylistic elegance" (Wilss 1982,144).

10 E.g. a translation prepared for specialists in a particular field will be different fron one intended for lay readership or for future specialists in the field, i.e. students (Hlebec 1989,75).

\author{
CONCLUSIONS
}
1. Proceeding fron the assumption that norpho-syntactic (M-S) expansions occur as the most common, "prototypical" response of the translator to the complexity of the original, we have first concentrated on the latter and have proposed eight criteria as possible neasures of the conplexity of the original (cf. Chapter 2). Through a statistical analysis of the two samples from the corpus the potential validity of the majority of the chosen criteria has been confirned, as well as the assumption about the existence of a close link between the conplexity of the original and the occurrence of \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions. However, in order for the results obtained to be generalized, they should be tested for validity against data from a more extensive corpus of texts of various kinds. At the sane tine, some additional criteria should perhaps be considered, notably:
- number of subject conplenents and object complenents
- presence of relatively more complex types of subject complement and object complement
- number of syntactically reduced strucures (i.e. non-finite and verbless seni-clauses and elliptical clauses)
- number of structures which differ fron their direct structural equivalents in the target language with respect to general usage norns.
2. The examination of some of the possible criteria of the complexity of the original has been followed by a detailed analysis of 150 examples of \(M-S\) expansions fron the corpus, and the following findings have been reached:
- the directly expanding structures are phrases and clauses, functioning as phrase or clause elements,
- the directly expanded structures, too, are phrases and clauses, functioning as phrase or clause elenents,
- with respect to the rank of the original structure, the rank of the expanded structure nay renain unchanged, but this is not necessarily so,
- semantically and syntactically more peripheral structures
expand nore frequently than those which are senantically and syntactically nore central,
- syntactically reduced structures expand nore frequently than non-reduced structures,
- the expanded structure is, nost frequently, the direct structural equivalent of a transforn of the original structure or the direct structural equivalent of a structure which is semantically (and, as a rule, syntactically, too) close to the original structure,
- the syntactic function of the expanded structure usually renains unchanged with respect to that of the original structure,
- expansions can be systenic (i.e. due to differences in the source and target language systens) and as such obligatory (the direct structural equivalent of the original structure would result in an ungramatical or substandard sentence) or non-systenic and thus non-obligatory (the direct structural equivalent is possible),
- the scope of expansions varies, involving all levels of phrase and clause structure, or sone levels only,
- sone types of expansion are language-pair-bound, whereas others appear to be language-pair-independent.
2.1 The findings concerning the greater frequency of expansion of senantically and syntactically more peripheral structures and of syntactically reduced structures relative to more central and non-reduced structures respectively have not been subnitted to statistical analysis since our corpus consisted of only one original text and its corresponding translation. The relative frequency of expansion of a certain type of structure depends on various factors involved in the translation process (e.g. text type and genre, the approach to translation, the translator's personal style, etc.) as well as on the relative frequency of the type of structure concerned in the original text itself. Therefore the results of a statistical analysis based on only one text and its corresponding translation, even if proved statistically significant, would not allow for reliable generalizations to be made. It is only by investigating a number of texts of different types and genres and their corresponding translations, the relative frequency of a certain type of structure in various original texts by the same author, various
original texts by the sane author and the corresponding translations by different translators, and the relative frequency of expansion of a certain type of structure in various translations by the same translator that it would be possible to state to what extent our findings concerning the relative frequency of expansion of the above-nentioned structures may be generalized.

While further empirical research is needed, it is noteworthy that the relative frequency of expansion of syntactically reduced structures as established in the present study is in accordance with a general tendency in translation, the tendency to explicate the original. Reduced structures expand nore frequently than non-reduced ones since the former, being semantically opaque (and potentially anbiguous), tend to be explicated. Sinilarly, our findings concerning the type of structure which nost frequently results fron the expansion may be related to a general principle of translation, according to which the content of the original message should be preserved in the translation to the greatest possible extent. In order for dynanic equivalence to be attained the forn of the nessage may often have to changed, but fornal changes should not affect the content of the original message essentially. Therefore the translator frequently chooses the direct structural equivalent of a transform of the original structure (transformations being formal operations which do not change the basic semantic relations in the proposition of the sentence) or the direct structural equivalent of a structure which is senantically (and usually syntactically, too) close to the original structure. If the translation involves too great a syntactic and semantic shift from the original, it may result in a paraphrase, and dynanic equivalence will not be attained. \({ }^{1}\)
2.2. M-S expansions have been classified with respect to (i) the structure which expands and the structure resulting fron the expansion and (ir) certain other paraneters (whether or not the expansion is due to differences in the source and target language systens, the scope of the expansion, and whether it is language-pair-bound or language-pair-independent) - cf. Chapter 4/V.
2.2.1 The typology of \(M-S\) expansions as to the expanding and the expanded structure is based only on the exanples or \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\)
expansions fron the corpus. However, it may easily be extended and/or modified should analysis of more extensive and diverse corpora reveal the need to do so. 2
2.2.2 The systenic/non-systenic parameter and the language-pair-bound/language-pair-independent paraneter are relevant also for M -S changes other than expansions as well as for changes at other levels of language structure (regardless of which language pair may be involved in translation), while the paraneter of scope is specific to \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) changes (to expansions and probably also to reductions).
2.2.3 The relative frequency of individual types of M-S expansion within the proposed classification has not been analysed statistically, since the results could not be generalized due to our corpus being restricted both in size and variety (cf. 2.1. above).
3. In view of the above, there is a need for further, nore comprehensive research into M-S expansions, in which, however, the sane methodological approach and model for the analysis of M-S expansions as well as the sane paraneters for their classification as proposed in this study may be used.
3.1 Extensive corpora of texts of various types and genres and their corresponding translations should be exanined, including various original texts by the same author and corresponding translations by different translators and different translations of the sane original text. This would provide a reliable empirical basis for generalizations concerning the validity of the criteria for the conplexity of the original as proposed in this study (and of any other criteria) and generalizations as to which types of \(M-S\) expansion are common in the sense that they do not depend on a particular text type, genre, author or translator, and which are text-specific, author-specific or translator-specific, as well as generalizations about the relative frequency of occurrence of individual types of \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion.
3.2 The scope of research should be widened so as to include also \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) occurring in translation from SLOV into ENGL as well as those involving other language pairs. In this way it would be possible to establish, with a considerable degree of reliability, which types of \(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}\) expansion are "direction-
independent" (cf. Chapter 4/V.2.2) and which are language-pairindependent.
4. The kind of research into \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}\) expansions as outlined in 3.1 and 3.2 above would be relevant for all of the three main components of the science of translation: the general, language-pair-independent science of translation, the language-pair-bound descriptive science of translation and the language-pair-bound applied science of translation. \({ }^{3}\)
4.1 It may be expected that this kind of research may show whether those findings about M-S expansions which seen not to be restricted to the ENGL-SLOV translation pair may be generalized to a great extent and thus included in the general theory of translation.
4.2 Extensive empirical research allows for generalizations concerning \(H-S\) expansions which ocrur in a particular translation pair (their characteristics, types, and the relative frequency of individual types), thus aking an important contribution to the development of the descriptive science of translation.
4.3 Generalized statements about the characteristics, types and relative frequency of individual types of \(M-S\) expansions in the context of a particular translation pair may be utilized in translation teaching. They may be included in the study of translation procedures within the framework of university courses designed for future translators and, on a more practical level, they may serve as a basis for making classified lists of M -S expansions pertaining to a particular translation pair. Such lists are, of course, not to be taken as instructions which would autonatically ensure high-quality translation but rather as a neans of developing an awareness of the possible alternatives available in the target language for the translation of particular structure of the source language. This is of importance for the future translator and the beginner with little translation experience since, being faniliar with the whole range of alternatives, he/she is potentially nore likely to choose the one which suits the concrete translation situation best.

\section*{NOTES to Chapter 6}

\begin{abstract}
\({ }^{1}\) The donain of dynamic equivalence lies (as a rule) sonewhere between two extrenes, the literal translation and the paraphrase (Ivir 1984,89).

2 Potential extension and/or modification is likely to concern prinarily individual subgroups with the typology proposed in this study, while the four main groups (Groups A,B,C,D - cf. Chapter 4/V.l) are probably general enough to renain unchanged. Owing to their generality, they may provide a suitable basis for a typology of \(M-S\) expansions occurring in translation fron SLOV into ENGL and perhaps also for typologies of M-S expansions involving sone other language pairs.

3 The taxonomy of the science of translation referred to is is that proposed by Wilss 1982, 79-80.
\end{abstract}

\section*{POVZETEK}
\(V\) pricujocen delu obravnavano oblikoslovno-skladenjske razsiritve, ki nastajajo pri prevajanju iz anglescicine v slovenscino. Izhajamo iz podmene, da oblikoslovno-skladenjske razsiritve predstavljajo najobicajnejsi, "prototipicni" odziv prevajalca na zapletenost izvirnika.

Za dolocanje zapletenosti izvirnika sno izbrali osen meril. Njihovo veljavnost smo preverjali s ponodjo statisticne obdelave dveh vzorcev gradiva raziskave: osnovni vzorec tvori 150 povedi izvirnega angleskega besedila, pri katerih sno \(v\) prevodu zabelezili oblikoslovno-skladenjsko razsiritev, kontrolni vzorec pa 450 nakljucno izbranih povedi istega besedila. Rezultati statisticne analize so potrdili potencialno veljavnost vecine meril, hkrati pa tudi domnevo o tesni povezavi ned zapletenostjo izvirnika in nastankom oblikoslovno-skladenjskih razsiritev.

Na podlagi podrobne razclenbe 150 zgledov oblikoslovnoskladenjskih razsiritev iz gradiva sno le-te razvrstili glede na zgradbo, \(k i\) se razsiri, in zgradbo, ki z razsiritvijo nastane, ter glede na paranetre sistenskosti, dosega in vezanosti razsiritve na doloceni prevodni jezikovni par. Ugotavljali sno znacilnosti zgradb, ki se razsirijo, in zgradb, ki z razsiritvijo nastanejo, ter znacilnosti posameznih vrst razsiritev. Nasse ugotovitve so naslednje:
- zgradbe, ki se neposredno razsirijo, so besedne zveze in stavki, v vlogi besednozveznih ali stavenih clenov,
- zgradbe, \(k i z r a z s i r i t v i j o ~ n e p o s r e d n o ~ n a s t a n e j o, ~ s o ~ p r a v ~\) tako besedne zveze in stavki, \(v\) vlogi besednozveznih ali stavenih clenov,
- rang zgradbe, \(k i \quad z\) razsiritvijo nastane, glede na rang izvirne zgradbe ostane vcasih nesprenenjen, vcasih pa se spreneni,
- pomensko in skladenjsko bolj obrobne zgradbe se razsirjajo pogosteje kot pomensko in skladenjsko bolj osrednje zgradbe,
- skladenjsko reducirane zgradbe se razsirjajo pogosteje kot skladenjsko nereducirane zgradbe,
- zgradba, ki \(z\) razsiritvijo nastane, je najpogosteje direktni strukturalni ustreznik transforna izvirne zgradbe ali direktni strukturalni ustreznik zgradie, ki je izvirni ponensko
(in obicajno tudi skladenjsko) blizu,
- skladenjska vloga nastale zgradbe glede na skladenjsko vlogo izvirne zgradbe praviloma ostane nesprenenjena,
- razsiritve so lahko sistenske (pogojujejo jih razlike v sistemih izhodišenega in ciljnega jezika) in kot takšne neogibne (direktni strukturalni ustreznik izvirne \(2 g r a d b e n i n g o d, ~ k e r\) bi bil prevod neslovnicen ali neknjizni), ali pa so nesistenske, neobvezne (direktni strukturalni ustreanik je mogoc),
- razsiritve inajo razlicen doseg: zajanejo lahko vse ravnine besednozvezne in stavčne strukture, ali pa sano nekatere,
- nekateri tipi razsiritev so vezani na konkretni prevodni jezikovni par, za nekatere pa domevano, da \(v\) ten snislu niso onejeni.

Pricujoce delo predstavlja osnovo za preucevanje oblikoslovno-skladenjskih razsiritev pri prevajanju iz anglescine \(v\) slovenšcino. Vsebuje mozen metodološki pristop, nodel \(2 a\) razclembo razsiritev ter klasifikacijo razsiritev. Potrebne so nadaljnje raziskave, ki bi zajele vecje stevilo razlicnih vrst besedil in se druge prevodne jezikovne pare. Pricakujeno, da bi bilo na ta nacín nod izpeljati posplositve v zvezi \(z\) znadilnostni, vrstani in relativno pogostnostjo oblikoslovno-skladenjskih razsiritev, ki bi bile ponenbne za teorijo prevajanja, za prevajalsko prakso in za pouk prevajanja.

\section*{ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline AdjP & adjective phrase \\
\hline AdvP & adverb phrase \\
\hline C & clause \\
\hline ENGL & English \\
\hline Infinitive-to & bare infinitive \\
\hline Infinitive+to & to-infinitive \\
\hline M-S & norpho-syntactic \\
\hline NP & noun phrase \\
\hline Participlead & past participle \\
\hline Participleane & present participle \\
\hline Participlepere & perfect participle \\
\hline PP & prepositional phrase \\
\hline S & sentence \\
\hline SL & source language \\
\hline SLOV & Slovenian \\
\hline SLT & source language text \\
\hline TD & translation difficulty \\
\hline TL & target language \\
\hline TLT & target language text \\
\hline UT & unit of translation \\
\hline vP & verb phrase \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{CGEL85} & Quirk,R./S.Greenbaum/G.Leech/J.Svartvik(1985) \\
\hline & A Comprehensive Gramar of the English Language. Longnan. London \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SLS84} & Toporisic, J. (1984) Slovenska slovnica. \\
\hline & Obzorja. Maribor. (Second edition). \\
\hline def & definition \\
\hline * & ungramnatical construction \\
\hline \# \# & back-translation \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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