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The Institute of Ismaili Studies was established in 1977 with the object of promoting scholarship and learning on Islam, in the historical as well as contemporary contexts, and a better understanding of its relationship with other societies and faiths.

The Institute’s programmes encourage a perspective which is not confined to the theological and religious heritage of Islam, but which seeks to explore the relationship of religious ideas to broader dimensions of society and culture. The programmes thus encourage an interdisciplinary approach to the materials of Islamic history and thought. Particular attention is also given to issues of modernity that arise as Muslims seek to relate their heritage to the contemporary situation.

Within the Islamic tradition, the Institute’s programmes promote research on those areas which have, to date, received relatively little attention from scholars. These include the intellectual and literary expressions of Shi’ism in general, and Ismailism in particular.

In the context of Islamic societies, the Institute’s programmes are informed by the full range and diversity of cultures in which Islam is practised today, from the Middle East, South and Central Asia, and Africa to the industrialized societies of the West, thus taking into consideration the variety of contexts which shape the ideals, beliefs and practices of the faith.

These objectives are realised through concrete programmes and activities organized and implemented by various departments of the Institute. The Institute also collaborates periodically, on a programme-specific basis, with other institutions of learning in the United Kingdom and abroad.
The Institute’s academic publications fall into a number of inter-related categories:

1. Occasional papers or essays addressing broad themes of the relationship between religion and society, with special reference to Islam.
2. Monographs exploring specific aspects of Islamic faith and culture, or the contributions of individual Muslim thinkers or writers.
3. Editions or translations of significant primary or secondary texts.
4. Translations of poetic or literary texts which illustrate the rich heritage of spiritual, devotional and symbolic expressions in Muslim history.
5. Works on Ismaili history and thought, and the relationship of the Ismailis to other traditions, communities and schools of thought in Islam.
7. Bibliographical works and catalogues which document manuscripts, printed texts and other source materials.

This book falls into categories five and seven listed above.

In facilitating these and other publications, the Institute’s sole aim is to encourage original research and analysis of relevant issues. While every effort is made to ensure that the publications are of a high academic standard, there is naturally bound to be a diversity of views, ideas and interpretations. As such, the opinions expressed in these publications must be understood as belonging to their authors alone.
A major Shiʿi Muslim community, the Ismailis have had a long and eventful history. Scattered in many regions of the world, in Asia, Africa, and now also in Europe and North America, the Ismailis have elaborated diverse intellectual and literary traditions in different languages. On two occasions they had states of their own, the Fatimid caliphate and the Nizari state of Iran and Syria during the Alamut period. While pursuing particular religio-political aims, the leaders of these Ismaili states also variously encouraged intellectual, scientific, artistic and commercial activities.

Until recently, the Ismailis were studied and judged almost exclusively on the basis of the evidence collected or fabricated by their detractors, including the bulk of the medieval heresiographers and polemicists who were hostile towards the Shiʿis in general and the Ismailis among them in particular. These authors in fact treated the Shiʿi interpretations of Islam as expressions of heterodoxy or even heresy. As a result, a ‘black legend’ was gradually developed and put into circulation in the Muslim world to discredit the Ismailis and their interpretation of Islam. The Crusaders and their occidental chroniclers, who remained almost completely ignorant of Islam and its internal divisions, disseminated their own myths of the Ismailis, which came to be accepted in Europe as true descriptions of Ismaili teachings and practices. Modern orientalists, too, studied the Ismailis on the basis of these hostile sources and fanciful accounts of medieval times. Thus, legends and misconceptions have continued to surround the Ismailis through the 20th century.

In more recent decades, however, the field of Ismaili studies has been revolutionized due to the recovery and study of genuine Ismaili sources
on a large scale – manuscript materials which in different ways survived the destruction of the Fatimid and Nizari Ismaili libraries. These sources, representing diverse literary traditions produced in Arabic, Persian and Indic languages, had hitherto been secretly preserved in private collections in India, Central Asia, Iran, Afghanistan, Syria and the Yemen.

Modern progress in Ismaili studies has already necessitated a complete re-writing of the history of the Ismailis and their contributions to Islamic civilization. It has now become clear that the Ismailis founded important libraries and institutions of learning such as al-Azhar and the Dar al-’Ilma in Cairo, while some of their learned da’is or missionaries developed unique intellectual traditions amalgamating their theological doctrine with a diversity of philosophical traditions in complex metaphysical systems. The Ismaili patronage of learning and extension of hospitality to non-Ismaili scholars was maintained even in such difficult times as the Alamut period, when the community was preoccupied with its survival in an extremely hostile milieu.

The Ismaili Heritage Series, published under the auspices of the Department of Academic Research and Publications of the Institute of Ismaili Studies, aims to make available to wide audiences the results of modern scholarship on the Ismailis and their rich intellectual and cultural heritage, as well as certain aspects of their more recent history and achievements.
To the memory of my mother
Navruzova Majlis (1938–2013)
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This bibliographical study focuses primarily on the Ismaili literature produced by Russian, Soviet and post-Soviet scholars in the constituent countries of the former Soviet Union and, in particular, in Central Asia. Works on Ismaili literature such as those by Louis Massignon (1883–1962) and Asaf A. A. Fyzee (1899–1981) represent pioneering attempts to collect and catalogue literature on the Isma'ilis and their doctrines in the broader sense. Further progress in the field of Ismaili studies was made in the middle of the twentieth century, and advances in research and publications are reflected in the pages of the *Index Islamicus* edited by James D. Pearson (1911–1997), and by its continuation, the *Quarterly Index Islamicus*.

Research on Isma'ilism includes work on recently discovered Ismaili manuscripts which have been preserved privately especially by members of Ismaili communities from Syria to Yemen and from Central Asia to India. These hitherto unknown manuscript sources have become available to a wider audience thanks to the intensive field work carried out by modern scholars, who include Wladimir Ivanow (1886–1970), author of *Ismaili Literature: A Bibliographical Survey* (1963) *inter alia*, and Ismail K. Poonawala who compiled the *Biobibliography of Isma'ili Literature* (1977). Thanks to their endeavours, approximately 1300 titles attributed to more than 200 authors were identified and classified.

The most recent and most comprehensive bibliography on Ismaili sources is by Farhad Daftary and is entitled *Ismaili Literature* (2004). This is a detailed history of the scholarship in the field of Ismaili studies and is among the first of such studies to include a number of major works on the Ismailis written by Russian and Soviet scholars.
The bibliographic study presented here focuses primarily on the written materials produced by Russian scholars from the era of the expansion of Russian imperial rule in Central Asia (then known as Turkestan) in the mid-nineteenth century to the period after 1917 by Soviet and then post-Soviet scholars. Moreover, this bibliographical study includes other studies on Nāšir-i Khusraw and the Ismailis of Central Asia. In addition, this study aims to show the trajectory of the development of Ismaili studies in the regions under Russian influence. Initially, research in Ismaili studies in Central Asia was carried out by Russian intelligence and military officers, and only later by scholars of oriental studies. Even though the October Revolution of 1917 did not completely change the imperialist attitude towards the peoples of Central Asia found amongst these scholars, the approach to the study of religion was formulated in terms of Marxism and Communist party ideology.

This study first presents the historical background of the Central Asian region in three periods: the Russian, Soviet and post-Soviet eras. Then the second part of this study consists of an annotated bibliography of published books and articles, recorded materials, unpublished manuscripts, theses and documents in the main by Russian, Soviet and post-Soviet scholars on the Ismailis of Central Asia, a field in which relatively little has been published in the West.

Thus, innovatively, this work focuses mainly on Central Asia, which includes Badakhshān, a mountainous district that is the home of one of the earliest established Ismaili communities. Known also as Transoxania, or Mā warā’ al-nahr in Arabic, Central Asia is more appropriately designated by the term Greater Khurāsān. It was in fact in the region (jazīra) of Khurāsān that the Ismaili mission (da’wa) and the activities of its dā’īs (missionaries) found great success in spreading Ismaili teachings, particularly in the province of Badakhshān.

Throughout this study, where English quotations of works in Russian, Persian or Tajik are provided, the English translations are by the author unless otherwise stated.
The most satisfying part of the present work for me is being able to express my thanks to the people who embarked with me on this journey and to acknowledge their support. Without them this work would never have been completed. To begin with, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Qudratbek El’chibekov and Hokim Qalandarov who have been very generous, allowing me to use their private libraries and offering tremendous assistance in identifying the relevant literature.

In addition, I wish to thank my friends and colleagues Bobomulloev, Muzaffar Zoolshoev, Abdulmamad Iloliev, Otambek Mastibekov and Nourmamadchо Nourmamadchoev for all their help with the materials related to this project.

Several other people at the IIS have assisted me with the project, and I am truly grateful to them for their comments and suggestions: Dr Farhad Daftary, the late Kutub Kassam, Dr Gurdofarid Miskinzoda and Dr Maria De Cillis. I wish also to thank Leila Dodykhudoeva for her assistance with the Russian and Tajik sources, Dr Isabel Miller, Russell Harris and
Marjan Afsharian whose editorial suggestions have contributed to the realisation of the final version of this work.

Last but not least, I must thank my wife Nargis for her love and understanding and our beautiful children who are the true joy and inspiration in my life.

Even though this project has not been an easy journey, it has enabled me to understand more deeply the history of the region, its earliest inhabitants, the Zoroastrian religion and tradition, pre-Islamic and Islamic beliefs and rituals, and the eras from the Achaemenids to Alexander the Great, the Sasanians to the Arab conquest, the Sāmānids to the Turkic and Mongol invasions, the Tīmūrids to the Uzbeks, and that of the Great Game during the time of Russo-British imperial rivalry in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAF (RSST)</td>
<td>Akhboroti Akademiä Fanho. Respublikai Sovetti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>Azîü i Afrika Segodniüä</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIT</td>
<td>Akademiiä Ilmhoi Tojikiston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKDN</td>
<td>Aga Khan Development Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKF</td>
<td>Aga Khan Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AME</td>
<td>Anthropology of the Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN SSSR</td>
<td>Akademiä Nauk (Soñuz Sovetskikh Soñsiatalischeskikh Respublik)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANRT</td>
<td>Akademii Nauk Respubliki Tadzhikistana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT (SSR)</td>
<td>Akademii Nauk Tadzhikskoi (Sovetskoï Soñsiatalischeskoi Respublik)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT (SSR)</td>
<td>Akademii Nauk Tadzhikskoi (Sovetskoï Soñsiatalischeskoi Respublik)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Adabiët va san’at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAISR</td>
<td>Bulletin de l’Académie Impériale des Sciences de Russie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>Central Asia and the Caucasus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>Central Asian Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFM</td>
<td>Darülfünun Ilâhiyat Fakültesi Mecmausî (Istanbul)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviations</td>
<td>The Institute of Ismaili Studies, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHAAIJT</td>
<td>Falsafa va Huquq, Akhbori akademii āi ilmhoi Jumhuri Tadzhikiston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISZAA</td>
<td>Filologi āi i storii āi stran zarubezhnoi Azii i Afriki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Filosofi ā i sovremennost'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBAO</td>
<td>Gorno-Badakhshān Autonomous Oblast'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE</td>
<td>The Great Soviet Encyclopedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAN (TSSR)</td>
<td>Izvesti ā Akademii Nauk (Tadzhikskōi Sovetskōi Soišialistitcheskoi Respubliki)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAN SSSR</td>
<td>Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk, Soiūz Sovetskikh Soišialistitcheskikh Respublik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IANRT</td>
<td>Izvesti ā Akademii Nauk Respubliki Tadzhikistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Islamic Culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEA RAN</td>
<td>Institut Ėtnologii i Antropologii. Rossiiskaia Akademii Nauk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Iranšča Filologi ā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFKA</td>
<td>Istoriko-filosofskie i kul'turnye aspekty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFZ</td>
<td>Istoriko-Filologicheskii Zhurnal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH</td>
<td>Ilm va ha ėt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIS</td>
<td>The Institute of Ismaili Studies, London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJHM</td>
<td>IRAN: Istorii ā i Sovremennost'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INA AN</td>
<td>Instituta Narodov Azii. Akademii Nauk. USSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISCU</td>
<td>Ismaili Special Collections Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITREB</td>
<td>Ismaili Tariqa and Religious Education Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITREC</td>
<td>Ismaili Tariqa and Religious Education Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVRAN</td>
<td>Institut Vostokovedeniia Rossiiskoï Akademii Nauk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>Journal Asiaticque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JASB</td>
<td>Journal and Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JBBRAS</td>
<td>Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRAS</td>
<td>Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSIS</td>
<td>Journal of Shi‘a Islamic Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPSS</td>
<td>Kommunisticheskaia Partiiă Sovetskogo Soiūza (Communist Party of the Soviet Union)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSINA</td>
<td>Kratkie soobshcheniia Instituta Narodov Azii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KSIV</td>
<td>Kratkie soobshcheniâ Instituta vostokovedeniâ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGU</td>
<td>Leningradskii gosudarstvennyi universitet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGU</td>
<td>Moskovskii gosudarstvennyi universitet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Mir Islama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Maktabi Soveti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA</td>
<td>Narody Azii i Afriki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Nomai Pazhouhishgoh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Nauka i Religïia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ</td>
<td>Nauchnyi Zhurnal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF</td>
<td>Otdel filosofii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIKFSIA</td>
<td>Problemy istorii, kul’tury, filologii stran Azii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Peterburgskoe vostokovedenie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN</td>
<td>Rossiiskaïa Akademiïa Nauk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REI</td>
<td>Revue des Études Islamiques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMSV</td>
<td>Religïia i obshchestvennaïa mysl’ stran Vostoka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Sovetskaïa Ênïšiklopediïa, see, Bol’shaïa Sovetskaïa Ênïšiklopediïa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Sovetskaïa ètnografïia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFH</td>
<td>Seriïai falsafa va huuq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFIH</td>
<td>Seriïai falsafa, iqtisodïet va huqqishinosi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGE</td>
<td>Soobshcheniïa Gosudarstvennogo Êrmitaža</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAA</td>
<td>Strany i narody Azii i Afriki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Sadoï Sharq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Simvol, SYriaca &amp; Arabica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASSR</td>
<td>Turkestanoñ Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIKO</td>
<td>Tadzhiks: Istorïia, kul’tura, obshchestvo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>Turkestanskie vedomosti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCA</td>
<td>University of Central Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZIKU</td>
<td>Uchënye zapiski Imperatorskogo Kazanskogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZLGU</td>
<td>Uchënye zapiski Leningradskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Voprosy istorii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Vestnik instituta iïazykov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISA</td>
<td>Voprosy istorii stran Azii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKU</td>
<td>Vestnik Khorogskogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Vostochnaâ literatura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLGU</td>
<td>Vestnik Leningradskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPU</td>
<td>Vestnik pedagogocheskogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTNU SFN</td>
<td>Vestnik Tadzhikskogo Naïsional’nogo Universiteta. Seriîa filologicheskikh Nauk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTTU</td>
<td>Vestnik Tadzhikskogo tekhnicheskogo universiteta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VU (RTSU)</td>
<td>Vestnik universiteta (Rossiïsko-Tadzhikskii slaviânskiî universitet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZKV</td>
<td>Zapiski Kollegii Vostokovedov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZV</td>
<td>Zvezda Vostoka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZVORAO</td>
<td>Zapiski Vostochnogo otdeleniîa Russkogo arkhеologicheskogo obshchestva</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note on Transliteration

The material used for this work is mainly in Russian and Tajik. Modifications have been introduced to the ALA-LC Romanisation tables for Slavic alphabets, which is a set of standards for the Romanisation of texts in various writing systems used by the American Library Association and the Library of Congress for the transliteration of Russian (Cyrillic) into English. The exceptions are: ‘и’ as (ǐ); ‘я’ as (ǐa); ‘ю’ as (ǐu); ‘е’ as (e); ‘у’ as (ǐs); ‘ы’ as (y); ‘щ’ as (shch); ‘о’ as (o); ‘ь’ as (’); ‘’ as (’).

To transcribe the Cyrillic Tajik alphabet, the World’s Writing System has been used, as it represents Tajik letters more satisfactorily. The Cyrillic Tajik alphabet differs from the Russian alphabet in the five following letters: ‘ғ’ as (gh); ‘қ’ as (q); ‘Ӵ’ as (ũ); ‘ӊ’ as (’). Since the Tajik Cyrillic alphabet does not differentiate between the letters (з) and (ш), this study transliterates both letters as (h).
The transliteration system for Arabic and Persian characters is the one used by the *Encyclopaedia Islamica*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consonants</th>
<th>Short Vowels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ء</td>
<td>ـ a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>م</td>
<td>ـ u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ب</td>
<td>ـ i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ت</td>
<td>ص   s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ث</td>
<td>ن   n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>ض   d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>چ</td>
<td>ط   t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>ﾍ h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>چ   gh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>د   f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>ﾌ q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>؟</td>
<td>a; at (construct state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ال</td>
<td>al- (article)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diphthongs**

| ء          | ـَـ w           |
| ج          | ﾼَـ y           |
| ر          | ـَـ w           |
| ء          | ـَـ y           |
Illustrations

1. The restored mausoleum of Nāşir-i Khusraw in Yumgān. Image courtesy of the Aga Khan Trust for Culture 169914 © Aga Khan Trust for Culture / Aga Khan Cultural Service – Afghanistan


3. The Panj River (Amū Daryā) which divides Tajik Badakhshān from Afghan Badakhshān as a result of Anglo-Russian rivalry in Central Asia in the late 13th/19th century. Image courtesy of Dmitriĭ Buevich, Russia

4. Khārūgh, the capital of Badakhshān of Tajikistan. Image courtesy of Mikhail Romanyuk

5. The Aga Khan addressing members of the Ismaili community during his visit to Badakhshān in 1998. Photo credit: AKDN / Zahur Ramji


9. Mikhail Stepanovich Andreev (1873–1948). Photo credit: unknown, https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D0%9F%D0%B0%D0%B4%D0%B0%D1%82%D0%BE%D1%80:Andreev_MS_1939.jpg, licensed under CC-BY-SA-3.0


11. Hājat va Munājāt-i Mubārak-i Wakhānī. Courtesy of Abdulmamad Iloliev

12. Ivan Ivanovich Zarubin (1887–1964). Courtesy of the Institute of Humanities named after Academician B. Iskandarov, the Academy of the Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan

Maps and Tables

Map of Badakhshan. Courtesy of Russell Harris 48
Table of the ranks of the Ismaili religious hierarchy at various historical periods 34
Ismaili History in Central Asia

Early Ismaili History in Central Asia

This book is a study of Russian, Soviet and post-Soviet scholarship in Ismaili studies and particularly regarding Ismailis in the region presently known as Central Asia, which in modern contexts has been mainly defined as incorporating the five former Soviet republics of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan. Historically, the term Central Asia could also take in other areas, such as those in present-day Afghanistan, northern and western Pakistan, north-eastern Iran, Kashmir and Xinjiang in western China.¹ Central Asia has also been identified by other names such as Khurāsān or more precisely Greater Khurāsān which included the current province of Khurāsān in Iran,² Afghanistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, most of Kyrgyzstan, the southern territories of Kazakhstan, and northern and western Pakistan. Khurāsān in its narrow sense comprised the cities of Nīshāpūr and Ṭūs (now in Iran), Balkh and Herat (now in Afghanistan), Marv (now in Turkmenistan), Samarqand and


² ‘in pre-Islamic and early Islamic times, the term “Khurāsān” frequently had a much wider denotation, covering also parts of what are now Soviet Central Asia and Afghanistan; early Islamic usage often regarded everywhere east of western Persia, Djibal or what was subsequently termed “Irak” ‘Adjami, as being included in a vast and ill-defined region of Khurasan, which might even extend to the Indus Valley and Sind’, C.E. Bosworth, ‘Khurāsān’, EI2, vol. 5, pp. 55–59. See also Mir Ghulam Muhammad Ghubar, Khurasan (Kabul, 1937), pp. 5–6.
Bukhāra (now in Uzbekistan), and Khujand and Panjakent (now in Tajikistan). However, the name Khorāsān was used to designate an even larger region that encompassed most of Transoxania (Farā-rūd\(^3\) in Persian and in Arabic, Mā warā’-al-nahr, meaning ‘beyond the river’) and Sogdiana\(^4\) in the north, that extended westward to the Caspian Sea, southward to include the Sīstān desert, and eastward to the Hindu Kush mountains in Afghanistan. The toponym Transoxania is also used for the portion of Central Asia that corresponds approximately to modern-day Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, southern Kyrgyzstan and south-west Kazakhstan – in essence the region between the Amū Daryā and the Syr Daryā (the Oxus and Jaxartes rivers of the ancient Greeks).

Thus, some uncertainty exists when it comes to defining the precise borders of this region since this was not an issue in earlier times. However, it is not essential for this study. Firstly, Central Asia has historically been closely tied to its people, both nomadic and settled, and to the Silk Road.\(^5\) It acted as a crossroads in the movement of people, goods and ideas, and as a conduit for the spread of religions between Europe, West Asia, South Asia and East Asia. During pre-Islamic and early Islamic times, this region was mainly dominated by Iranian peoples including sedentary Sogdians, Khwārazmians, Bactrians, semi-nomadic Scythians (Saka) and Alans.\(^6\) The ancient sedentary population played an important role in the history of Central Asia. After the expansion of Turkic peoples into these territories in the early medieval era,\(^7\) Central Asia also became the homeland of many Turkic peoples who, much later, as a result of Soviet

---


\(^5\) The Silk Road or Silk Route was a network of trade routes that for centuries was central to cultural interactions throughout Asia, connecting China to the Mediterranean region and beyond. See, Vadime Elisseeff, *The Silk Roads: Highways of Culture and Commerce* (New York, 2001).


national-territorial delimitation policies, emerged with new titular names such as Kazakh, Uzbek, Turkmen, Kyrgyz and Uyghur. From this point of view, Central Asia can be defined as the western, Turko-Iranian, part of the inner-Asian heartland, whose indigenous population comprised various Iranian peoples, most of whom had been Turkicised, and a growing Turkic population who to varying degrees adopted elements of the indigenous Iranian culture.  

Toponyms of Badakhshan/Pamir

The earliest surviving designation of Badakhshan as a geopolitical entity dates to late antiquity. The name Badakhshan occurs first in Hūan ts’ang’s narrative, about 630’ (as the kingdom of Po-to-chang-na). It was attested in the travelogue of the Chinese Buddhist monk and scholar Xuanzang (玄奘, d. 664). The area described is small and appeared to have consisted of the lower basin of the Kokcha river and left bank of the upper Oxus. However, the Arabic sources of the classical period designated wider areas as Badakhshan, the extension of which reached to areas east of Balkh generally ending in Tokhāristān, thus corresponding to the modern province of Qataghan and districts of Kholm and Baghlān. The Venetian traveller Marco Polo (1254–1324), who passed through these areas on his journey to the court of the Mongol emperor Kublai Khān (r. 1260–1294), employed the toponym Badakhshan in various forms (i.e. Balascian, Baulascia, Baudascia). There is a difference of opinion

---

9 E. Bretschneider, Mediaeval Researches from Eastern Asiatic Sources: Fragments towards the Knowledge of the Geography and History of Central and Western Asia from the 13th to the 17th Century (London, 1888), vol. 2, p. 66.
11 Ibid.
13 Sir Henry Yule, ed., The Book of Ser Marco Polo, the Venetian, Concerning the Kingdoms of Marvels of the East (London, 1871), vol. 1, p. 152.
regarding the meaning of the toponym. Historically, Marco Polo claimed that Baulacia referred to La’l (ruby or lapis lazuli). Modern scholars, such as the late C.E. Bosworth, have argued that the term Badakhsh originally denoted the region, and that only later did it come to mean ruby.\(^\text{14}\) On the other hand, the Russian scholar, T.N. Pakhalina, looking at the word from a linguistic perspective, argues that the term originally consisted of two components, Badakhsh and ān, meaning ‘Land of Kings’.\(^\text{15}\) The historical region of Badakhshān lies across north-eastern Afghanistan and south-eastern Tajikistan, with some portions now in western regions of China and in northern Pakistan. Badakhshān contains diverse ethno-linguistic and religious communities, the majority being the Farsi-speaking Tajiks and Pamiri-speaking Tajiks along with significant Kyrgyz and Uzbek minorities. The region is also called the Pamir (or Pamirs) after its high mountain range. Although the precise extent of the Pamir range is debatable, it is commonly argued that it largely lies within the Gorno-Badakhshān Autonomous Oblast’ (GBAO) of Tajikistan. Since the beginning of the last century Russian and Soviet scholars carrying out research on the region have used the term Pamiri to refer to the Tajik people of Gorno-Badakhshān, identifying as Pamiris those who speak East Iranian languages such as Shughnī, Rūshānī, Wakhī, Sarikūlī, Ishkāshimī and Yazgulāmī.\(^\text{16}\) The fact that Russian and Soviet academic works referred to the Tajiks of the Pamir region as Pamiri eventually led to the development of a new identity: the Pamiri people of Gorno-Badakhshān.\(^\text{17}\)

The Pamir range of mountains became known in Persian as ‘Bām-i dunyā’ (‘the Roof of the World’) and ‘Pā-yi Mihr’ (‘Feet of the Sun’). A recent study has suggested that in Afghanistan people still write ‘Pamir’,


as ‘Pā-yī Mihr’ or ‘Mitr’ (‘Mithra’).\(^{18}\) Meanwhile, a local scholar, Abusaid Shokhumorov, in his book *Pamir–Strana Ariev* (Pamir: Land of the Aryan people)\(^{19}\) disputes the definition of ‘Pamir’ suggested by previous scholars and argues that the term is a highly complex one, consisting of two parts: the first – ‘Pām’ or ‘Bām’, the second – ‘Er’ or ‘Ir’. Both syllables are independent and bear specific meanings. Hence, ‘Pām’ (‘Bām’) is translated as ‘country’, ‘habitat’, and ‘Ir’ (‘Er’) as the self-referential name of those ancient peoples known as the Aryans (later known as the Iranians), which reflects the idea that the name is purely geographical, indicating a certain locality. Therefore, the use of the term Pamiri is problematic, as many of the people covered by this definition consider themselves Tajik, or prefer other local identities, such as Shughnī, Rūshānī, Wakhī, Sarīkūlī and Yazgulāmī, instead.\(^{20}\) However, its use has become commonplace and it has been welcomed quite generally as a new and specific reference to the Pamiri-speaking people and the Ismailis of Tajikistan, although this has led scholars sometimes to conflate the terms Pamiri and Ismailī.\(^{21}\)

In the early 2nd/8th century, Central Asia became gradually incorporated into the expanding Islamic world. This was also the time when, as a result of the Arab conquests, the spread of Islam was accompanied by a simultaneous wave of Arabisation. Then, following the demise of the Umayyad dynasty in 132/750, the Iranian lands came under the rule of the Abbasids who legitimised the use of Persian and Iranian customs within the framework of the religion of Islam. As part of the Islamic lands, the region shared many cultural features with its Muslim neighbours in the south and the west, but combined them with the certain features it shared with the world of the inner-Asian nomads. However, it

---

\(^{18}\) Mithra was the second-most important deity for the Zoroastrians and may even have occupied a position of parity with Ahura Mazda. He was associated with the Sun, and in time, the name Mithra [Mihr] became a common word for ‘Sun’, whilst the expression ‘Pa-i Mihr’ – meaning ‘the foot of the sun or sun god’ – indicated a mountainous land in the east where the sun rises. See, Mina Mingaleeva, ed., *Pamir – Krysha Mira. Sbornik proizvedenii o Pamire* (n.p., 2011). Available online at: [https://skitalets.ru/information/books/pamir-krysha-mira-2410_4723/](https://skitalets.ru/information/books/pamir-krysha-mira-2410_4723/) [Last accessed, 11 June 2020].


\(^{21}\) Ibid.
was the Sāmānids in particular, semi-independent governors of Transoxania for the Abbasids between 261 and 395/819 and 999, who promoted the revival of the Persian language, while also continuing to patronise Arabic to a significant degree.\textsuperscript{22} Indeed they can be said to have done more in this regard than other Persian dynasties of the age, such as the Ṣaffārids (247–393/861–1003) or the Būyids (334–447/945–1055), who also ruled over parts of the Iranian plateau. It can be argued that the Sāmānid dynasty established the first state after the Arab invasion that was ruled over by indigenous rulers and furthermore brought virtually all of Greater Khurāsān under a unified government. For this reason, it has been judged one of the most effective dynasties in the history of the region and a factor in the renaissance of Persian culture and identity during the Islamic era.\textsuperscript{23} Samarqand and Bukhārā, capital cities under the Sāmānids, flourished as centres of the study of poetry, astronomy, mathematics, philosophy, Qurʾān, hadith and many other disciplines. It was also during Sāmānid rule that the first Ismaili dāʾīs reached the area which is now called Central Asia.\textsuperscript{24}

The Ismailis are one of the main branches of Shiʿi Islam. They emerged in the middle of the 2nd/8th century when the Shiʿi Muslim community split over the question of the succession to Imam Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq (d. 148/765). Those Shiʿis who continued to give allegiance to the line of Jaʿfar al-Ṣādiq through his son Ismāʿīl became known as the Ismailis. In this they differ from the Ithnā ʿasharīs (Twelver Shiʿis) who traced their imamate in the progeny of Mūsā al-Kāẓim (d. 183/799), a younger half-brother of Ismāʿīl b. Jaʿfar.

Following the death of Ismāʿīl b. Jaʿfar’s son, Muḥammad b. Ismāʿīl, around 179/795, the Ismailis bifurcated. Representatives of one branch, known as the Qarmaṭīs, believed that Muḥammad b. Ismāʿīl would return as the Mahdī (or qāʿim). Adherents of the other branch, who became known as the Ismailis, engaged in promoting their own cause while maintaining anonymity to avoid persecution. In the 3rd/9th century they finally surfaced with a sophisticated political and doctrinal structure by which they were able to gain widespread support and political success. As

\textsuperscript{22} Elton L. Daniel, \textit{The History of Iran} (London, 2001), p. 74.


\textsuperscript{24} The term dāʾī (pl., duʿāt) refers to a religio-political missionary or propagandist responsible for spreading the Ismaili doctrine and winning followers for the imam. See, F. Daftary, ‘Dāʿī’, \textit{EIS}, vol. 5, pp. 871–878.
a result, the Fatimid caliphate under the leadership of Imam ‘Abd Allâh al-Mahdî was established in Ifrîqiya (present-day Tunisia and Algeria) in 297/909. After the Fatimids moved to Egypt, they established their capital at the newly-founded city on the Nile, which they named al-Qâhira (Cairo), and the influence of Ismaili doctrines spread considerably. The Fatimid empire at its height exerted its influence, through the work of the Ismaili da’wa, far beyond Egypt and North Africa, to lands such as Yemen, Iran, Central Asia and Sind. The Ismailis represented one of the largest branches of Shi’ism at the time, with the characteristics of an independent religious community, establishing an extensive network of da’îs who travelled as far as India and the remoter regions of Central Asia.

By the 260s/870s, the da’wa had reached the Jibâl in western Persia during the time of the dâ’î Khalaf al-Ḥallâj, who established Rayy as his local headquarters. His successors extended the activities of the da’wa to Qumm, Isfahân, Hamadân and other cities in the region. Ghiyâth, the third dâ’î of the Jibâl, succeeded in extending the da’wa’s activities even further to Khurâsân on his own initiative. However, the Ismaili da’wa was not officially established in Khurâsân until the last decade of the 3rd/early 10th century by the dâ’î Abû ‘Abd Allâh al-Khâdim, who based the mission’s headquarters at Nîshâpûr.25 Later Abû Sa’îd al-Sha’râni, the successor of Abû ‘Abd Allâh al-Khâdim in Nîshâpûr, managed to convert several of the province’s notable military men. The next local head of the da’wa, al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alî al-Marvâzî, who was converted by Ghiyâth, had commanded the Şâmânid military forces in Sîstân. Al-Marvâzî extended the da’wa’s activities to Herat, Ghûr, Maymana and other adjacent areas of Badakhshân in eastern Khurâsân, presently located in western Afghanistan. Apparently, there is a shrine in the village of Turbat (turbat means ‘grave’ and the village is named after the shrine) in Ishkâshim of modern Afghanistan that some people associate with al-Ḥusayn b. ‘Alî al-Marvâzî. The shrine is called Mazâr-i Sayyid Amîr Ḥusayn Sadâd.26 According to some beliefs amongst the Badakhshânî Ismailis, Amîr Ḥusayn was a preacher (dâ’î) who came to the region before the 5th/11th century. Although it is not certain whether al-Marvâzî sought to promote the da’wa in Badakhshân, the association of his name with a shrine would seem to indicate that the earliest activities of the da’wa in the region took

---

place around his lifetime. However, what is certain is that, despite rebelling against the Sāmānids and being defeated by them in 306/918, al-Marvazī was able to return to Khūrāsān and in fact was made chief dā’ī of Khūrāsān, and later was succeeded by al-Nasafī.

Meanwhile, the Ismaili da’wa was already spreading through Kirmān, Sīstān, Multan, Baluchistān, Gurgān, Tabaristān, Rayy and Khwārazm. The Sāmānid epoch (3rd–4th/9th–10th centuries), is thus known as the time when the Ismaili da’wa spread across Greater Khūrāsān. Under the Sāmānids, who were able to create a strong centralised state, trade and commerce developed and flourished, connecting the most important cities and regions of Asia, such as China and India, with the Middle East and Europe. The fact that the Silk Road lay across the region eventually led to the further development of trading centres, a synthesis of cultures and various religions, the flowering of science, music, poetry and the expansion and enhancement of the cities of Khūrāsān. The Sāmānids instigated the growth and development of the modern Persian language in the cities of Balkh, Bukhārā and Samarqand, and the language later on spread throughout the lands of what is now Central Asia, Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq and Azerbaijan. Later, it spread yet further afield into the Indian subcontinent, from about the 5th/11th century onwards. As for the province of Badakhshān, one should not forget that it lies between major cities such as Samarqand, Bukhārā, Balkh and Herat which were centres of classical Persian language and culture in the 3rd/9th and 4th/10th centuries. The cradle of the Persian literary renaissance lay in the eastern regions of Greater Iran, another term often used to refer to Greater Khūrāsān and Transoxiana, and so the literary traditions of Badakhshān were a part of this. The first poems written in Persian, also known as Darī,
were composed in Central Asia. The first significant Persian poet was Abū ʿAbd Allāh Rūdākī (d. 330/941), who flourished in the 4th/10th century, when the Sāmānids were at the height of their power. By this time, the Persian language was already widespread in the major cities of the province of Badakhshān and even those people who preserved their own eastern Iranian dialects in the most remote valleys of Badakhshān still used Persian as a lingua franca: a language for literature, poetry and science.

It was during the time of the Sāmānid amīr Naṣr b. Aḥmad (r. 301–331/914–943) that, as a result of the activities of Ismaili dāʿīs, the Ismaili Shiʿi faith was remarkably successful in Khurāsān. According to Ibn al-Nadīm, Naṣr b. Aḥmad himself was converted to Ismaili Islam by the dāʿī Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Nasafī (also known as Nakhshabī, d. 332/943). According to this same source, the Sāmānid amīr also recognised the imamate of the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Qāʾim bi-Amr Allāh (r. 322–334/934–946) and paid dues to him. As mentioned, Daftary argues that the daʿwa was officially taken to Khurāsān during the last decade of the 3th/9th century by Abū ʿAbd Allāh al-Khādim, who based himself in Nishāpūr. As Khurāsān's chief dāʿī, al-Nasafī was one of the first to introduce Neoplatonic philosophy into his thought. His major work, Kitāb al-Maḥṣūl, written around 300/912 and summarising his views on prophecy, and no longer extant, was widely circulated and acquired much popularity in Qarmāṭi circles. However, al-Nasafī's views were criticised by Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī (d. 322/934) al-Nasafī's contemporary

---


33 Abu’l-Faraj Muḥammad b. Ishāq al-Nadīm (d. 385/995) was a bibliophile of Baghdad and compiler of the Arabic encyclopaedic bibliographic catalogue known as the Kitāb al-Fihrist.


35 Ibid., p. 113.

Central Asian Ismailis

dā‘i from Rayy, who in his Kitāb al-Islāh sought to correct certain aspects of al-Nasafi’s teachings. Al-Rāzī’s corrections were criticised, in due course, by Abū Ya‘qūb al-Sijistānī (d. after 361/971), al-Nasafi’s student and successor in Khurāsān. Al-Nasafi and other early dā‘is of the Iranian lands, such as al-Rāzī and al-Sijistānī, through their highly sophisticated works, aimed at attracting the ruling elite and the educated classes to the teachings of the da‘wa. Their works on kalām (speculative theology), revolving around the central Shi‘i doctrine of the imamate, used the most advanced and intellectually fashionable philosophical terminology of the time, without compromising the essence of their religious message.

Daftary further argues that it was in such circumstances that al-Nasafi, al-Rāzī, and most importantly al-Sijistānī, drawing on a type of Neoplatonism then widespread among the educated circles of Khurāsān, wrote on various philosophical themes that are generally absent in the writings of al-Qāḍī al-Nu‘mān (d. 363/974) and other contemporary Ismaili authors living in the Arab lands and North Africa. The Iranian dā‘is elaborated complex metaphysical systems of thought with a distinct Neoplatonic emanational cosmology, representing the earliest tradition of philosophical theology in Shi‘i Islam.

When Naṣr b. Aḥmad, under the influence of the dā‘i al-Nasafi, became an Ismaili he sent dues to the value of 119,000 (Fatimid) dinars to the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Qā’im, as compensation for the death of al-Nasafi’s teacher, the dā‘i al-Husayn b. ‘Ali al-Marvāzī (who lived between the second half of the 3th/9th to the first half of the 4th/10th century), and who had been executed by the Sāmānids. According to

---

37 Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Kitāb al-Islāh, ed. Hasan Minuchihr and Mahdi Muḥaqiq (Tehran, 1377 Sh./1998), with an English introduction by Shin Nomoto, pp. 1–34. For al-Rāzī’s other work on prophetology, A‘lām al-nubuwwa, which is a record of an open debate with the philosopher Abū Bakr al-Rāzī.


39 Farhad Daftary, ‘The Iranian School of Philosophical Ismailism’, Ishrāk [Illumination], EIF, vol. 4, pp. 15–16.

40 Ibid., p. 16.

Ibn al-Nadīm, the Fatimid imam-caliph wrote a letter offering blessings and support for the reign of Naṣr b. Aḥmad Sāmānī. However, the sudden conversion of the Sāmānid amīr worried the Sunni ‘ulamā’ (religious scholars) and the Sunni establishment as a whole, and they responded quickly by instigating his son Nūḥ to rise up against him. The plot against Naṣr b. Aḥmad, hatched by Nūḥ with the support of the Sunni ‘ulamā’ and the Turkish soldiery, brought an end to his reign.\textsuperscript{42} The accession of Nūḥ (r. 331–343/943–954) led to the execution of al-Nasafī and most of his associates, which opened the way for the Turkish amīrs in the Sāmānid army, backed by the Sunni ‘ulamā’, to take over the running of the Sāmānid state.\textsuperscript{43} However, this military coup not only ended the reign of Naṣr b. Aḥmad, but eventually weakened the Sāmānid state itself and led to the fall of the most successful Persian/Tajik state in Greater Khurāsān since the Arab invasions.

Regarding these events Abusaid Shokhumorov (1955–1999), a leading Tajik scholar in the study of the Central Asian Ismailis, says that following the massacre of Ismailis during the reign of the later Sāmānid amīrs, the creative movement of the Tajik (Iranian) people, which had developed within the framework of Ismaili Islam, was suppressed in Central Asia and control of the Sāmānid state was seized by amīrs such as Sebūktigin (r. 367–387/977–997), the founder of the Ghaznavid dynasty.\textsuperscript{44} This was a period of dramatic change in the history of the region. Previously, movements such as those of the Qarmaṭīs, the

\textsuperscript{42} Nūḥ I (r. 331–343/943–954), called for a jihād (or religious war) against the Qarmaṭī ‘heretics’ and many Ismailis were persecuted all over eastern Khurāsān and Transoxania. Nevertheless, there is some evidence that neither Ismaili propaganda nor the da‘wa disappeared as the Ismailis changed from promoting the da‘wa overtly and made it a covert activity. Da‘wa activities continued in Khurāsān under the leadership of dā‘is such as al-Nasafī’s son Maš‘ūd, nicknamed ‘Dīhqān’ (landowner), and Abū Ya‘qūb Ḩishāq b. Aḥmad al-Sijistānī (d. after 361/971). Daftary, \textit{The Ismā‘īlis} (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), p. 113. Also Stern, ‘The Early Ismā‘īli Missionaries, pp. 59–60; reprinted in his \textit{Studies in Early Ismā‘īlism} (Jerusalem and Leiden, 1983), pp. 189–233.


\textsuperscript{44} Abusaid Shokhumorov, ‘Somoniën va junbishi Ismiiliki’ [The Sāmānids and the Ismaili Movement], in A. Muhammedkhajaev and M. Mahmadjonov ed., \textit{Falsāfā dar ahdi Somoniën} [Philosophy during the Sāmānid Era] (Dushanbe, 1999), p. 168.
Shu‘ūbiyya and the Mu’tazila had enjoyed considerable success. Reasoning and rational thought, incorporating Greek philosophy, became fused with and synthesised by these new movements. Now, the Ismaili da‘wa was considered a direct threat to the Sunni establishment and their protectors the Ghaznavid sultans, since the Ismaili da‘is and their sympathisers were supported by the Fatimids in Egypt, whose spiritual authority they acknowledged rather than that of the Abbasid caliph and the Sunni establishment.

The Ismaili Da‘wa in Central Asia

However, with regard to the spread of the Ismaili da‘wa in Central Asia, Daftary says that in fact it seems to have met with greater success there after the demise of the Sāmānids. Nonetheless, it could still face tough resistance from the authorities. As such the Ismailis, who were leaning towards rational thought and philosophy and had endeavoured to bring both intellectual traditions into religious discourse, were accused by Sunni ‘ulamā‘ of being kāfirs (unbelievers) and thānwīyyūn (dualists).

However, since the Ismaili da‘wa was propagated in Khurāsān by da‘īs who were well-informed people, religious activists, poets, philosophers and writers such as al-Nasafi, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, al-Sijistānī and Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, a large volume of literature was produced on Ismaili theology and philosophy. Hence one can say that the Ismaili da‘wa never diminished completely but continued through many agencies including da‘īs and the newly converted Ismailis who had fled from the Sāmānid

---

45 The Shu‘ūbiyya was a movement in early Muslim society which rejected the privileged status that the Arabs had hitherto enjoyed. Most of the Shu‘ūbis were Persians, although references to Aramaeans, Copts and Berbers, among others, are also found in the literature. See, S. Enderwitz, ‘Shu‘ūbiyya’, EI2, vol. 9, pp. 513–516.

46 Mu’tazila is the name given to a religious movement founded in Basra in the first half of the 2nd/8th century by Wāṣib b. ‘Aṭā‘ (d. 131/748), subsequently becoming one of the most important theological schools of Islam. See, D. Gimaret, ‘Mu’tazila’, EI2, vol. 7, pp. 783–793.


court to the mountainous areas of Khurāsān where they were able to maintain their religious life.

One such region was Badakhshān, which is characterised by its high mountains and harsh environment, making this region a safe haven for the Ismailis in times of turmoil, as when they fled from persecution in the cities of the Sāmānid state.50 Even though some scholars have argued that Shi‘ī and Ismaili ideas and teachings spread into Khurāsān and its mountainous regions such as Badakhshān long before the establishment of the Sāmānid state,51 others are of the opinion that the Ismaili da‘wa initially reached Badakhshān during the Sāmānid period, since the Ismaili dā‘īs were operating more or less openly in the territories under Sāmānid control.

The region of Badakhshān, and, in particular, the far-flung mountainous districts, became vital for the survival of the Ismailis and people associated with them, acting as a shield against persecution instigated by the Sunni Ghaznavids who brought the reign of the Sāmānids to an end, and then by their successors, the Saljuqs (5th–6th/11th–12th centuries). The persecution of the Ismailis continued, however. In 436/1044–1045, a large number of Ismailis, who had recognised the imamate of the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Mustanṣir bi’llāh (r. 427–487/1036–1094) as a result of the activities of the Fatimid dā‘īs, were massacred in Khurāsān on the orders of the local Qarakhānid ruler, Bughrā Khān (r. 409–423/1018–1051). Conversely, despite such events, the Ismailis in the region survived, and in 488/1095 Aḥmad b. Khiḍr, another Qarakhānid who ruled over Bukhārā, Samarqand and western Farghāna,52 was accused by the local Sunni ulama of having converted to Ismailism and was executed.53

This persecution is reflected in the poetry of Naṣīr-i Khusrāw where he mentions the Turkic rulers in general, and the Saljuqs in particular, who

---

dominated the eastern Islamic lands and persecuted the Ismailis of Khurāsān. For Nāṣir-i Khusraw, Khurāsān was the land in which the āzādmard, the free-spirited and creative men, lived and built wonderful cities and culture, giving rise to science, religion, poetry and philosophy. However, with the rule of the foreign invaders, the Saljūqs, all these achievements were destroyed:

The land of Khurāsān, once the home of culture,  
Has now become a mine rich in barbarian demons.  
Wisdom once had a home in Balkh, but its house  
Now lies in ruins, its fortune overturned.  
If the kingdom of Khurāsān was once like Solomon's,  
How has it now become the kingdom of the cursed devil?  
The land of Khurāsān once feasted on religion,  
Now religion has become the companion of avaricious Qarun.  
The house of Qarun has now made all Khurāsān  
A model for the world entire of how sinister fate unfolds.  
Their slaves at one time were the Turks.  
But sometimes things turn this way and sometimes that,  
So now they themselves are slaves to the Turks.  
Has not that star of Khurāsān turned sinister and dark?  
Even the servant of the Qipchāq is now a lord  
And the free-born wife willingly a handmaid.  
Consequently, if the deficient man becomes a lord,  
Learning declines and vice increases.  
I shall not give my heart to the slaves of the world,  
Even though you have pledged your heart to Fate,  
You might place your trust in the sinister wolf,  
But the wise consider the wolf as not to be trusted.  
(Nāṣir-i Khusraw, Divān, 37: 16–26)

While in exile in Badakhshān as a result of the persecution of the Saljūqs, Nāṣir-i Khusraw recalled his homeland of Khurāsān with nostalgia, sorrow and bitterness:

Pass by, sweet breeze of Khurāsān,  
To one imprisoned deep in the valley of Yumgān,

---

Who sits huddled in comfortless tight straits,
Robbed of all wealth, all goods, all hope.
Cruel fate has rudely stolen away by force
All peace from his heart, all rest from his body.
His heart swells more full of sorrow than a pomegranate
bursting with seed,
His body shrinks, more consumed than a shrivelled winter reed.
That beautiful face and that handsome figure
Are now fallen into weakness, ugliness and ruin.
That face, once bright as spring’s anemones,
Crackles now like autumn leaves from exile’s miseries.
Even family turn away from him like strangers.
None can help him now, save the mercy of God.\footnote{Hunsberger, \textit{Nasir Khusraw}, pp. 228–229.}

\textit{(Nāṣir-i Khusraw, Divān, 208: 1–7)}

\section*{Nāṣir-i Khusraw and the Ismailis of Badakhshān}

The revival of the Ismaili \textit{da’wa} in Khurāsān after the fall of the Sāmānids is associated with the activities of the most famous Ismaili \textit{dā’ī}, poet, traveller and philosopher, Nāṣir-i Khusraw. As he says in his \textit{Safar-nāma} (Travelogue), Abū Muḥammad Nāṣir b. Khusraw b. Ḥārith al-Qubādiyānī was born in 394/1004 in the Marv district of north-eastern Khurāsān, modern-day Qubādiyān in present Tajikistan. In the past, it lay in the province of Balkh whose capital is now in Afghanistan. Little is known of his childhood and early years except for a few references in his own poems, and other works. Although his works clearly show that he became an Ismaili, it is not quite clear how and when this happened. With reference to his \textit{Safar-nāma} where he describes his conversion symbolically as an ‘awakening dream’ or ‘mid-life crisis’, it seems that perhaps sometime after his ‘dream’, which is regarded as his spiritual journey, he set out on the \textit{hājj} (pilgrimage) and ultimately reaching Cairo the capital of the Fatimid caliphate and the centre of their \textit{da’wa}. Daftary states that ‘[d]espite the opinion of earlier scholars, it is almost certain, as Ivanow and Corbin had perviously argued, that Nāṣir-i Khusraw had already become an Ismaili, probably having previously been an adherent of Twelver Shi‘ism, prior to his departure for Egypt.\footnote{Daftary, \textit{The Ismā‘īlīs} (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), p. 206.}

Nāṣir thus embarked on a seven-year journey, intending to make the pilgrimage to Mecca, going from Marv towards Nishāpūr, which at the
Central Asian Ismailis

time was one of the cultural centres of Khurāsān. However, he took a less direct route for the pilgrimage, as he then headed towards the Caspian coast in northern Iran, into eastern Anatolia, and down through Syria and Palestine. Although he did make the pilgrimage to Mecca from Jerusalem, he did not go back to his native Khurāsān but on returning to Jerusalem made his way to Cairo. On the return journey from Egypt, he made his way to the Hijaz, across the Arabian Peninsula, and through Iran, some seven years after his departure, to his home in Balkh. Throughout his travels he kept a detailed journal, on which the Safar-nāma is based.

In the Safar-nāma, we are informed that he visited the Fatimid court in Cairo and from his Dīvān of poetry we learn that there he met and studied with the important Fatimid dā‘ī al-Mu‘ayyad fi’l-Dīn al-Shirāzī (d. 470/1078).  

Al-Mu‘ayyad fi’l-Dīn al-Shirāzī was a prominent dā‘ī, who belonged to an Ismaili family from Daylam. Al-Mu‘ayyad’s growing influence in Fārs resulted in court intrigues and Sunni reaction against him. In 438/1046, he was obliged to leave for Cairo, where he arrived in 439/1047. In 450/1058, he was appointed dā‘ī al-du‘āt, a post he held for almost 20 years until shortly before his death in 470/1078. As chief dā‘ī, al-Mu‘ayyad delivered the weekly lectures, known as the majālis al-hikma; these lectures, entitled al-Majālis al-Mu‘ayyadiyya, were compiled in due course. See, Daftary, Historical Dictionary, p. 177.
He became thoroughly imbued with the Shi‘i Ismaili doctrine of the Fatimids, and was appointed as the ḥujja or chief dā‘ī of Khurāsān by the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Mustanṣir bi‘l-Lāh (r. 427–487/1036–1094). On returning to his native Khurāsān, Nāṣir was confronted with enormous challenges, as recounted in his Dīvān, facing danger and death threats while trying to spread the Ismaili dā‘wa in Balkh and in Māzandarān in northern Iran. In due course, Nāṣir was subjected to yet more severe persecution by the Sunni authorities, being accused as irreligious (Persian, baddīn), heretic (mulḥīd), Qarmatī and ṭarīqī. 58 His house was destroyed, and there was even an attempt on his life, forcing him to flee. 59 Nāṣir-i Khusraw eventually ended up living in exile in the valley of Yumgān, a district which is now in modern-day Badakhshān of Afghanistan. There, he found refuge in a land ruled by an Ismaili amīr, Abu‘l-Ma‘ālī ‘Ali b. al-Asad, whom he praised in his Jāmi‘ al-ḥikmatayn:

Because these would-be scholars have branded anyone who studies the science of creation as a kāfīr, those who do seek out the ‘how’ and the ‘why’ have been struck dumb. Those who expound this knowledge have fallen speechless; ignorance has taken over people’s minds, and especially the people of our land of Khurāsān and the realms of the East. The amīr of Badakhshān ‘Ayn al-Dawla wa‘l-Dīn, Zayn al-Milla, Shams al-A‘lā, Abu‘l-Ma‘ālī ‘Ali b. al-Asad, 60 says in this sense:

The learned man’s glory lies in his knowledge and culture.  
The ignorant man’s glory lies in gowns and stolen finery.  
The learning and refinement of the scholar have now become Contemptible – how many men possess culture today?  
 Nobodies are at the forefront while the delighting  
 Superior men are shoved to a far remove – an astonishment!  
 No one knows the cause of this, apart from Him  
 Who is the cause of all causes.

60 Little is known of this Ismaili ruler; cf. Daftary, The Ismā‘īlīs (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), pp. 206–207, where he is described as ‘the autonomous amīr of Badakhshān’.
'Alī b. al-Asad says so: From top to bottom
The world is pain and toilsomeness (ta‘ab).\(^{61}\)

Thus, it appears that ‘Alī b. al-Asad, the amīr of Badakhshān, was an educated man, and a poet who wrote in Persian, some of his verses being cited in the Jāmi‘ al-ḥikmatayn. He also asked Nāṣir-i Khusraw to elucidate a long philosophical poem written in Persian a century earlier by Abu’l-Haytham Ahmad b. al-Ḥasan al-Jurjānī (4th/10th century).\(^{62}\) The evidence in Jāmi‘ al-ḥikmatayn indicates that ‘Alī b. al-Asad either was an Ismaili himself or had a strong interest in Ismaili beliefs, which clearly shows not only the spread of Ismailism in Badakhshān prior to Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s arrival, but also the pervasiveness of the Persian language and admiration for the Persian language and poetry in the region. ‘Alī b. al-Asad was also an independent ruler whose dynasty survived in Khurāsān under Saljūq dominion, doubtless due in part to the inhospitable mountain terrain of his kingdom making it difficult to invade. Nāṣir-i Khusraw called him ‘vigilant, astute, brilliant, incisive of intellect, far-sighted, subtle in reflection, correct in his opinions, possessed of a powerful memory, pure of heart, utterly praiseworthy and yet, alongside all these virtues and merits, a religious man’.\(^{63}\) Beben says that despite the fact that ‘there is no documented evidence’, ‘[i]t is [still] possible that one of ‘Alī b. al-Asad ancestors may have been among the converts at the Sāmānid court in the previous century and that the remote corner of Badakhshān could have served as a place of refuge for Ismaili sympathisers fleeing from the persecution unleashed by the Amīr Nūḥ and his successors.’\(^{64}\) Certainly the fact that Nāṣir-i Khusraw sought refuge in Badakhshān would seem to corroborate the idea that it was known as a haven for Ismailis.

Nāṣir spent the rest of his life in exile in Badakhshān, championing the Ismaili cause and teachings. There he achieved some peace and tranquility and produced some of his major philosophical and theological works. He was also successful in spreading the Ismaili da‘wa and gaining supporters and followers, both among the ordinary people and the ruling elite. The success of his work in Badakhshān can still be seen in his legacy

---

63 Ibid., p. 32.
64 Beben, The Legendary Biographies, p. 68.
there where he is regarded as a *sayyid*, *pîr*, *shâh*, *hadrat* and *huijat*. As Eric Ormsby puts it: ‘Though his work proved influential, often in surreptitious ways, Nâşîr himself remained a puzzle to later writers, and legends, often fabulous, sprouted up about his name’. However, there were also people who branded him a ‘heretic’. For example, a little-known heresiographer Ibn al-Dā’î al-Râzî regarded Nâşîr-i Khusraw as the founder of a heretical sect known as ‘the Nâşîriyya’, also stating that ‘he was a cursed poet who led many people astray’.67

As regards his poetry, Wladimir Ivanow, a pioneer of modern Ismaili studies, wrote: ‘A tall, robust, rustic looking man, Nasir brings into his works the rustic, primitive atmosphere … Nasir was not a pioneer in writing on philosophical matters in Persian, but his style is extremely unartistic, dull, full of unnecessary repetitions . . .’.68 However the general consensus, both among Persian as well as Western scholars, is that Nâşîr-i Khusraw is a vital figure in the history of Persian literature, a master of both poetry and prose and a profound thinker. Shiblî Nu’mânî, a 20th-century scholar of Persian literature who wrote a history of poets and literature of Iran, stated: ‘Before everyone else, Nâşîr-i Khusraw inserted philosophical ideas and concepts into poetry’.69 Further, Bâdî’ al-Zamân Furûzânfar asserts that ‘Nâşîr-i Khusraw is a master with a powerful poetic nature and a rare style. His poetry is profound and meaningful, and his manner of expression reaches the highest degree of solidity and strength. The versification of scientific laws and arguments that Khusrawî Sarakhšî initiated, Nâşîr-i Khusraw carried to its perfection’.70 Eric Ormsby also points out that, ‘As his works have been

65 All these titles are discussed in the section on the Ismaili history in Badakhshān.
published and studied, his originality as a thinker as well as an early master of Persian prose and poetry has been recognised and acclaimed.71

While in exile, Nāṣir-i Khusraw completed a number of treatises including Gushāyish va rahāyish, Khwān al-ikhwān, the Shish faṣl, Wajh-i dīn, and Zād al-musāfīrīn, probably his greatest philosophical and theological works, as well as a portion of his Divān of poetry, while also spreading the da'wa and the Ismaili interpretation of Shi'i Islam among the inhabitants of Badakhshān and surrounding areas. However, in this context, one should not forget that the philosophical works written by Nāṣir-i Khusraw and other Ismaili dā'īs were never meant to be understood by the majority in any age, and it was always the case that only a minority of educated individuals (later designated by terms such as pīr and khalīfa) were able to read and understand this literature, and so interpret it for ordinary believers, the mustajibs. But it is unlikely that someone such as Nāṣir-i Khusraw, who had committed his life to the Ismaili da'wa, having gone to Badakhshān would not have sought to propagate the faith there.

Whether Nāṣir-i Khusraw was the first to convert the local people is unknown, but as an ardent Ismaili missionary he certainly preached and taught Ismailism in the region. According to his own testimony, he sent one book with missionary purposes (yakī kitāb-i da'wat) to all parts of the world (aṭrāf-i jahān) every year and was the commander of the shī'at in Yumgān.72

One of the most important of the works Nāṣir-i Khusraw wrote in Badakhshān is his Wajh-i dīn, a masterpiece of ta'wil, remarkable for its succinctness and clarity, which was evidently designed to address certain matters concerning Ismaili beliefs in the Persian language and which served subsequently as the main source of religious knowledge for the Ismaili khalīfas in Badakhshān. As Daftary puts it, ‘Nāṣir simply and masterfully applies his esoteric exegesis to the system of ideas, concepts, doctrines and methods of interpretation propounded in the Ismā'īlī works

---


of an earlier period, works that the exile in Yumgān took as a representation of the ideally valid and sacred truth.\textsuperscript{73} 

The date of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s death is not known, but some sources indicate that it occurred in the year 470/1077, while other accounts mention the year 481/1088, and that it happened in Yumgān, where his tomb is still a popular place for pilgrimage, as that of a great Ismaili philosopher or indeed as a Sufi master whose fame has spread throughout the region.\textsuperscript{74} Over the years Nāṣir-i Khusraw acquired the reputation of a miracle-worker. Many stories have been narrated and recorded about him and some of these have been published.\textsuperscript{75} Abdulmamad Iloliev has argued that one cannot underestimate their importance for the study of Ismailism in Badakhshān: ‘where the gap between the oral and written traditions was not filled until the 19th and early 20th century, oral materials are considerably important in understanding and interpretation of the events in the past.’\textsuperscript{76} What is more, the sorts of legends that came to surround his persona were of the kind that have always been associated with famous persons, historical figures, religious authorities or heroes. They come to form part of the biographies of these prominent individuals and play an important part in the life, history and beliefs of a given group of people, a community or nation, and the legacies of these religious and heroical persons live on in the memories of the people who honour and revere them. So, fabrications and narrations of legends once again exhibit Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s significant role in the history of the Ismaili religious community, as well as the identity and traditions of the Ismailis of Central Asia. Alice Hunsberger in her biography of Nāṣir-i Khusraw concluded that these narratives, ‘reveal clues of the popular conception of this man; that is, what is remembered of the man can give us an inkling of the powerful effect he had on the public consciousness’.\textsuperscript{77}

As regards the later medieval history of the Ismailis in Iran, after the establishment of the Nizārī Ismaili \textit{da’wa} at Alamūt in the late 5th/11th

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{75} Saidjalol Badakhshi, \textit{Bahr ul-akhbor: silsilai hikoiatgo doir ba haēti Nosiri Khusraw va saēhati u ba Badakhshonzamin} [Ocean of News: A Sequence of Legends about the Life and the Journey of Nāṣir-i Khusraw in Badakhshān] (Khārūgh, 1992).  
\textsuperscript{77} Hunsberger, \textit{Nasir Khusraw}, p. 18.
\end{flushright}
century, it might be argued that they knew nothing of Nāṣir-i Khusraw and his writings.\textsuperscript{78} One might potentially agree with Daniel Beben’s statement that this is the case since there is no direct reference to Nāṣir-i Khusraw or his work in known Nizārī writings.\textsuperscript{79} However, there are several studies that present evidence to show that the Nizārī Ismailis were aware of Nāṣir-i Khusraw. One such by Daryoush Mohammad Poor has identified a particular tradition attributed to the Prophet, cited by Nāṣir-i Khusraw in the \textit{Jāmi’ al-ḥikmatayn}, and later to be found in the works of two important Ismaili thinkers, al-Shahrastānī’s \textit{Majlis} written in the 6th/12th century and in al-Ṭūsī’s \textit{Rawḍa-yi taslim} written in the 7th/13th century.\textsuperscript{80} ‘God the Exalted established His religion on the analogy with His creation of the world so that one might be guided from His creation to His religion and from there be led to His Oneness (\textit{yegānegī}).’\textsuperscript{81} It is possible that all three scholars had access to the same source which is yet unknown but equally that al-Shahrastānī had read Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s \textit{Jāmi’ al-ḥikmatayn}, and possibly al-Ṭūsī also, which would indicate the continuity of an intellectual tradition. In addition, both Hermann Landolt and Daryoush Mohammad Poor have pointed out that the Sufi ‘Ayn al-Quḍāt Hamadānī (492–526/1098–1131) is held to have cited verses from one of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s poems;\textsuperscript{82} he lived at the height of the Alamūt era and was aware of Ismaili beliefs, examining the Nizārī doctrine of \textit{ta’lim} and challenging al-Ghazālī’s polemical account of their thinking.\textsuperscript{83} If

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{78} Beben, \textit{The Legendary Biographies}, p. 114.
\item \textsuperscript{79} Apparently, it was in the tradition of philosophy to not follow a strict regime of referencing.
\item \textsuperscript{81} Nāṣir-i Khusraw, \textit{Kitāb-i jāmī’ al-ḥikmatayn}, tr. Ormsby, \textit{Between Reason and Revelation}, p. 145.
\item \textsuperscript{83} Daryoush Mohammad Poor, ‘Extra-Ismaili Sources and a Shift of Paradigm in Nizārī Ismailism’, pp. 219–245. See also his \textit{Command and Creation: A Cosmological Treatise}, a Persian edition and English translation of Muḥammad al-Shahrastānī’s \textit{Majlis-i maktūb} (London, 2021), Introduction.
\end{itemize}
Nāṣir-i Khusraw was known to other people who lived and wrote at the time when Nizārī Ismailis had their state in the land of Iran then surely, with their admiration for knowledge and science, and their use of Persian as opposed to Arabic, the Nizārīs would have been aware of the existence of Nāṣir-i Khusraw, the only Ismaili dāʾī of Fatimid times who wrote his works, prose and poetry, in Persian.

The Badakhshānī Ismailis during the Alamūt period

Following the death of the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Mustanṣir in 487/1094, the dispute over his succession led to a major split in the Ismaili community, dividing the Ismailis into Nizārī and Mustaʿlī branches. Al-Mustanṣir had initially designated his eldest son Abū Maṣūr Nizār (437–488/1045–1095) as his successor. However, al-Afḍal as the all-powerful vizier and ‘commander of the armies’, whose sister was married to Nizār’s younger half-brother Abu’l-Qāsim Aḥmad (467–495/1074–1101), moved swiftly and placed Aḥmad on the Fatimid throne with the title of al-Mustaʿlī bī’l-lāh.⁸⁴ There is little in the literature regarding this particular period of the Ismaili daʿwa in Badakhshān, and indeed it is one of the most obscure periods in the history of the Ismailis of that region. However, according to oral tradition, being devoted to Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s daʿwa they continued with his teaching until contact was made with the Nizārī Ismailis during the late Alamūt period. Meanwhile, only a few sources have been identified from the Alamūt period, such as Haft bāb-i Bābā Sayyidnā, by Ḥasan-i Mahmūd-i Kātib, wrongly attributed to Ḥasan-i Šabbāh, who was designated as Bābā and Sayyidnā (our master) by the contemporary Nizārīs. The treatise was composed around 596/1200 and contains an account of the declaration of the qiyyāma in 559/1164 at Alamūt⁸⁵ by Hasan II ʿalā dhiкраhi al-salām, acknowledged as the 23rd Nizārī Ismaili imam. The treatise generally deals with the Nizārī teachings of the Alamūt period after the declaration of the qiyyāma. With the recent publication of Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i Kātib’s

---


⁸⁵ Qiyyāma or resurrection, in Islamic eschatology is used as a reference to the Last Day or Day of Judgment. However, in Ismaili thought, qiyyāma is used in reference to the end of any partial cycle (dawr) in the history of mankind, and the declaration of qiyyāma at Alamūt for the Nizārī community was understood spiritually and symbolically to mean the manifestation of the unveiled truth, or haqīqa. See Daftary, *Historical Dictionary*, p. 140.
Divān-i Qā’imiyyāt, which consists of Ismaili religious poems from the 7th/13th century, new light has been shed on the Haft bāb and the analysis of this treatise, which has a remarkable value from historical, social, political and religious perspectives. As a result, it has been suggested that Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i Kātib also wrote Haft bāb-i Bābā Sayyidnā,\textsuperscript{86} one of the few surviving books from the Alamūt period discovered in Badakhshān. Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i Kātib was also known as Ḥasan-i Ṣalāḥ-i Munshī and was a contemporary of four imams of the Alamūt period, from Ḥasan II ‘alā dhikrihi al-salām (d. 561/1166) to ‘Alā‘ al-Dīn Muḥammad (d. 653/1255). It is almost certain that he witnessed the declaration of the qiyāma as he refers to this in the Haft Bāb.\textsuperscript{87} Therefore, one can assume that he was born at the end of the 6th/12th century. As Badakhchani says, Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i Kātib was probably born in northwestern Iran, in the region of Qazwīn and joined the Ismaili community at a young age. For a long period, he acted as a scribe in the court of the Ismaili rulers of Quhistān in south-eastern Khurāsān. He moved to Alamūt, the centre of the Nizārī Ismaili state, around 637/1240 and died there in 644/1247.\textsuperscript{88}

The author of a later Ismaili treatise, Silk-i gawhar-rīz, records among the Ismaili religious authorities in Badakhshān a certain Shaykh Zayd, who went to the court of Imam Ḥasan II ‘alā dhikrihi al-salām. He remained there for seven years and received a farmān of appointment as a religious authority for the Ismaili community of Badakhshān.\textsuperscript{89} However, there is little other evidence about Shaykh Zayd. In this regard Marshall


\textsuperscript{88} Ibid., p. 2.

Hodgson has also argued that ‘[t]he Ismāʿīlīs of the upper Oxus valleys, beyond the Saljūq presence, had, at least at one time, a local dāʿī independently responsible to Cairo; at any rate they do not seem to have been involved, at least at first, in the movements which took place among the Ismāʿīlīs in the Saljūq lands’. 90 Similarly Daftary says, ‘[i]t was much later, in the Alamūt period of Nizārī history, that the Ismāʿīlīs of Badakhshān and adjacent regions accorded their allegiance to the Nizārī daʿwa’. 91 But he maintains that by the 7th/13th century the Nizārī Ismailis were actively propagating their daʿwa in Badakhshān. 92 Maryam Muʿizzī in a recent work argues that the Nizārīs of Quhistān apparently remained in contact with their co-religionists in Badakhshān following the destruction of Alamūt. 93 When the Nizārī Ismaili poet Nizārī Quhistānī (645–720/1247–1320) refers in one of his poems to ‘the provinces that are under the authority of the imam’, and lists Tūrān, Āmu [Daryā], the Orient and China it seems that he is referring to Badakhshān.

---

[No wonder there are], so many sweet countries under the dominion of the Friends of God (awliyā'); [namely] an Egypt of sufficiency, a Rome of renunciation, and a Baghdad of security.

The Iranian provinces represent love, while the Tūrānian provinces represent intellect; and in between them, the Oxus of wisdom flows beseemingly.

Prosperous Khurāsān and blissful 'Irāq are both [in this dominion]; the latter is a house of contentment, and the former a home of convalescence.

Do you know what lies within the Orient of insolvency? It is the China of justice, peace, equity, splendour, dignity and purity.

In the presence of the King of the beneficent men, the dominion of all seven climes of the world and the totality of the universe, are all like the poor child (tufayl) of a beggar.

Well, to put it in a nutshell, His Command is the jewel of the seal of Unity; and to make this story short, the land of Gnosis is, indeed, in our hands.94

In this poem the ‘Āmū’ of Nizārī Qhurstānī is clearly the Āmū Daryā, which rises in the mountains of Badakhshān and flows throughout the region, a region which borders China. Tūrān was an ancient name for the region which encompasses Badakhshān and the poet regards Tūrān as a place of joy, love, justice, peace and harmony which is significant for Ismailis. In fact, these kinds of allusions are common in Ismaili poetry, particularly when they refer to places where their imam or his followers reside.95 This shows that Badakhshān, like the Alamūt mountain fortresses, had become a haven for the Ismailis over several centuries.

However, in the post-Alamūt period, another schism occurred following the death of the Nizārī Imam Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad (d. ca. 710/1310) that split the community once again, into the Muḥammad-Shāhī and Qāsim-Shāhī branches. There is very little information regarding this event but what is clear is that the succession was disputed by Shams al-Dīn’s sons, ‘Alā’ al-Dīn Mu’min-Shāh and Qāsim-Shāh. The Muḥammad-Shāhī (or Mu’mini) imams, who were engaged in religious and political life, initially seem to have acquired numerous followers in certain regions, notably northern Persia, Badakhshān and Syria.96 However, this line became extinct by the end of the 12th/18th century.

---

94 The poem has been kindly translated from Persian into English for this work by Rahim Ghulami.

95 Mu’izzī, Ismā’iliyya-yi Badakhshān, p. 148.

The Qāsim-Shāhī imams, who were mainly based in Iran, lived a clandestine life, mostly in hiding, although they made systematic efforts to extend their influence over the various Nizārī communities. Maryam Mu’izzī argues that it was only at the end of the 9th/15th and the beginning of the 10th/16th century that the Qāsim-Shāhī imams re-established contact with their followers in Badakhshān and were able to bring the Ismaili community there under their authority. One of the sources regarding the Muḥammad-Shahī and the Qāsim-Shāh split is an early 10th/16th-century text from Badakhshān entitled Irshād al-ṭalibīn fi dhikr a’īmmat al-Īsmā’īliyya. Even though the work advocates the Muḥammad-Shahī lineage, it is the most complete account available of this schism.

At any event, according to both oral tradition and certain historical sources, dā’īs were sent to Badakhshān during the late Alamūt period by the Nizārī imams. Mīrzā Sang Muḥammad Badakhšī, in his Tārīkh-i Badakhshān (13th/19th and early 14th/20th centuries), using oral traditions, provides some details about the arrival of Persian dā’īs, suggesting that as early as 481/1088 and 490/1096, a darvīsh arrived in Shughnān, Badakhshān, but does not refer to him as a Nizārī Ismailī dā’ī sent from Alamūt. Professors Bosworth and Madelung name two persons, Shāh Khāmūsh and Shāh Malang, as dā’īs who were sent by the Nizārī imams. The former, initially called Sayyid Mīr Ḥasan Shāh, later became known as Sayyid Shāh Khāmūsh. He was a Husaynid Alīd, tracing his descent to Mūsā al-Kāẓim (d. 183/799), the seventh Imam of the Twelver Shi’īs. Much later, in the 10th/16th century, he was followed by Shāh

---

97 Ibid.
98 Mu’izzī, Ismā’īliyya-yi Badakhshān, p. 205.
Malang. Daftary writes that according to the tradition preserved in Badakhshan, the first of these Nizārī dā’īs was a certain Sayyid Shāh Malang, who was followed by a second Nizārī dā’ī, Mīr Sayyid Ḥasan Shāh Khāmūsh. However, it has to be noted that the historical sources and oral tradition with regard to the arrival of the Nizārī dā’īs in Badakhshan contradict each other. Shaftalou Gulamadov has identified five versions of the Badakhshāni tradition about the identity, place of origin and time of arrival of these dā’īs.

Both Mīrzā Sang Muḥammad Badakhshī in his Tārikh-i Badakhshan and Sayyid Ḥaydar Shāh Mubārak Shāhzāda (d. 1363/1943) in his Tārikh-i Mulk-i Shughnān indicate that Sayyid Shāh Khāmūsh and Shāh Malang came from the province of Khurāsān in present-day Iran although they give different cities there as their points of origin or departure, and they go on to say that these dā’īs were the ancestors of dynasties of pīrs and mīrs who ruled in Badakhshan for centuries. However, although the Nizārī state in Iran had been destroyed by the Mongols, protected by the mountains of the Pamir and the Hindu Kush, Badakhshan largely escaped the cataclysm of the Mongol invasions. Indeed, Bartol’d argued that ‘Badakhshan was not affected by the Mongol conquests and remained till the 9th/15th century under the rule of [a local] dynasty’. Nevertheless, a recent study by A.B. Petrov has shown that the Badakhshan region did not completely escape the Mongol assault, but it had not been conquered when Chingiz Khan laid siege to Tirmiz in 617/1220-21.

However, he further concludes that:
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104 Sayyid Ḥaydarshoh Mubarakshohzoda, Ta’rikh-i Mulk-i Shughnān (Khārūgh, 1992), pp. 6–9.
i) Badakhshān was not subjected to a barbaric destruction of the population like, for example, the cities and regions of Mā warā’ al-nahr.

ii) even the conquest of this region was carried out by Chingiz Khan, either by force or on occasion negotiation.

iii) more often the Mongols preferred to negotiate with the rulers of Badakhshān rather than seize the region and bleed it.

iv) the position of the local rulers was special. They enjoyed greater privileges and rights than the rulers of other conquered territories, which is clearly seen from the analysis of numismatic material and written sources.

v) the region was rich in both precious stones and, apparently, working silver mines.

vi) during the 8th/14th century the rulers of the Chaghatay state, one of the Mongol successor states, tenaciously kept this area under their control even under the most difficult conditions of civil strife.  

So it would appear that the local amīrs of Badakhshān continued to rule over the region in a semi-independent fashion during the Mongol period, and that Wakhān, Ishkāshim, Shughnān and Darvāz escaped the Mongol invasions:

At the beginning of the 7th/13th century, on the eve of the Mongol invasions, Badakhshān, Wakhān, Ishkāshim and Shughnān were subject to the ruler of Khwārazm, Muhammad Khwārazm-Shāh, whose empire encompassed the greater part of Central Asia. There is no direct evidence that these people were conquered by the Mongols, though the conquest of both Xinjiang and the bulk of Afghanistan makes this is highly probable. Their subjugation by Timūr and the Timurids has, however, been abundantly demonstrated.

In fact it was Timūr’s great-grandson, Abū Sa‘īd (r. 855–873/1451–1469), who wanted to incorporate Badakhshān into his realm, and succeeded in conquering it after a number of punitive expeditions. Later the region was conquered in 993/1584 by the Uzbeks, although they were persistently resisted by different local dynasties, including the Ismaili mīrs of Shughnān. The region was then ruled by various dynasties,
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108 Ibid., p. 539.
110 Nourmamadchoev, The Ismā’īlis of Badakhshan, p. 60.
including local Tajik, as well as Turkic and Afghan ones. These dynasties were headed by *murîds*, *mîrs* or *beks*, wealthy landlords whose authority depended very much on the political situation, external as well as internal.\footnote{Bakhodur I. Iskandarov, *Vostochnaïa Bukhara i Pamir v period presoedineniïa Sredneï Azii k Rossii* (Stalinabad, 1960), pp. 36–37.}

**Taqiyya and the Ismailis in the Post-Alamût Era**

With the fall of Alamût in 654/1256 the Ismailis moved from the Caspian provinces to other parts of Iran and the neighbouring regions. This period is one of the most obscure in Ismaili history not only in Iran but elsewhere. Although historians of the time, such as ‘Atā’-Malik Juwaynî (d. 681/1283), say that the Ismailis were totally extinguished, the community in fact survived and developed in a different form on the peripheries of Muslim states. Thus, it becomes apparent that communities like those in Badakhshan, as well as northern areas of Pakistan, in common with other Ismaili communities in the Middle East and the Indian subcontinent, continued in isolation, which allowed each community to develop a distinctive tradition of its own.

The structure of the Ismaili *da‘wa* institutions in the Nizârî Ismaili state in Iran had not remained the same as that under the Fatimids in Egypt. The Nizârî *da‘wa* had become simpler, reflecting the changed circumstances of the Ismailis in Iran confronting the Saljûq sultans. As a result, it was referred to as the *da‘wat-i jadîda*, that is, ‘the new preaching’, by contrast to *da‘wat-i qadîma* or the ‘old preaching’. The idea behind the *da‘wat-i jadîda* was a modification of the doctrine of the imamate, which was called the doctrine of *ta‘lim* (teaching). However, it has been argued that this ‘new teaching’ did not mean a change of doctrine, but rather the reformulation of an old Shi‘i doctrine that the Ismailis had long been acquainted with, the Shi‘i doctrine of *ta‘lim*, or authoritative teaching by the imam,\footnote{Daftary, *The Ismâ‘îlis* (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), p. 339.} and this provided the basis for the Nizârî Ismaili teachings of the later Alamût times and subsequent periods. Following the destruction of the Nizârî Ismaili state, centred at the mountain fortress of Alamût in northern Iran, in 654/1256\footnote{Ibid., p. 396.} and of other Ismaili fortresses, as well as the news of the execution of Rukn al-Dîn Khurshâh (d. 655/1257), the last lord of Alamût and the 27th Nizârî imam, the Nizârî
Ismailis became isolated in different areas of Iran. Many of them became assimilated into other communities, mainly the dominant Sunni community. But they also moved to areas in Central Asia, Sind, the Punjab and various other parts of the Indian subcontinent, where there already were Ismaili communities. Under these circumstances, the scattered Nizârî communities in the Persian-speaking world resorted once again to the strict observance of taqiyya. It is important to bear in mind that the observance of taqiyya in this period, marked by the absence of a viable central da’wa organisation and leadership, was not imposed on the community. The Nizâris had become experienced in adopting external guises to safeguard themselves. For a while during the Alamût period, they had even adopted the shari’a in its Sunni form.

Thus, when after the fall of Alamût, rulers and religious scholars again attacked the Ismailis as kâfir (infidel) or mulhid (heretic), and they were threatened again with persecution, they developed ways to secure and safeguard themselves. They started to conceal themselves under Sunni, Sufi or Twelver Shi’i guises, elements of which, with the passage of time, came to be included in their diverse traditions. When the Ismailis of Badakhshân concealed their true religious beliefs the result was the amalgamation of various Sufi and Twelver Shi’i elements in their beliefs and practices. The practice of taqiyya also continued in other areas where the Ismailis used Sufi terminology, such as khânqâh, darvîsh, ’ârif (gnostic), qalandar (wandering dervish) as well as pîr and murshid to refer to elements of their organisation and their people. It was during this period that the
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115 Ibid., p. 410.
117 Taqiyya is an Arabic word that in the Ismaili context means the precautionary dissimulation of one’s true religious beliefs, especially in time of danger. The Nizârî Ismailis have been obliged to dissimulate rather strictly to protect themselves against widespread persecution. See, Daftary, Historical Dictionary, p. 165.
119 Ibid.
institution of the pīr or pīrship, another example of the deployment of Sufi terminology, was introduced as a central element in the religious hierarchy (ḥudūd al-dīn) of the Nizārī Ismailis of Central Asia, and this usage survived throughout the Soviet period.

The Ḥudūd al-Dīn in the Context of Central Asia

One aspect of studying the Ismailis, as carried out by pre-Soviet Russian and Soviet scholars, concerned the role of religious leaders, and specifically, the hierarchy of religious authorities. The Ismaili hierarchy served as a means of maintaining the community and providing it with guidance on religious and socio-political issues. However, due to the risk of persecution, religious leaders and their associates were frequently compelled to hide their true identity, revealing their status to only a few loyal Ismailis. So study of the Ḥudūd al-dīn is limited by the lack of written sources and reliance solely on oral testimony, which has acted as an impediment to any profound analysis of the topic in the context of Central Asia. One of the exceptions to this dearth of primary sources is Naṣīr-i Khusrav’s introduction to his ḥāmi‘ al-hikmatayn,123 where he provides a short but precise explanation of the concepts of Ḥudūd al-dīn, ḥadd-i jīsmānī and ḥadd-i rūḥānī. But this only refers to the state of affairs in the 5th/11th century and is not necessarily valid for later centuries.124

Publications in the secondary literature on the theme of the Ḥudūd al-dīn include a brief study by Vladmir Ivanow,125 the relevant sections in Henry Corbin’s History of Islamic Philosophy126 and Farhad Daftary’s monograph, The Ismā’īlīs.127 Soviet studies on the subject included, notably, A.E. Bertel’s Naṣīr-i Khusrav and Ismailism which paid particular attention to the spiritual realm of the Ismaili hierarchy. In

---

addition, fieldwork in Badakhshān (1959–1963) led to the collection of primary material, such as Bāb dar bāyān-i dānistan-i ʿalam-i din; Risāla dar bāb-i haft hудūd-i din, and Dawāzdah faṣl which also speak about the concept of the hудūd al-dīn and the role and influence of the Ismaili religious authorities. These original works are preserved at the Rudaki Institute of Oriental Studies and Written Heritage in the Academy of the Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan, and it is hoped will provide fruitful material for further studies on this important subject.

The establishment of the Ismaili spiritual hierarchy dates back to the mid-4th/10th century, but it originally did not have an elaborate structure or organisation until the Fatimids had established themselves in Egypt. The daʿwa organisation acquired its definite shape during the reign of al-Ḥākim (r. 386–411/996–1021), the sixth Fatimid Imam-caliph, as reflected in the work of Ḥamīd al-Dīn al-Kirmānī. Paul Walker argues that the theme of hудūd is vital to the Ismaili cause. In Fatimid times, it consisted of twelve office-holders called either lāḥiq or ḥujuja or even naqīb, each of whom was in charge of one of the twelve districts or territories (s. jazīra) of the known world. Each jazīra represented an independent region for the purpose of propagating the daʿwa. In the writings of the Ismaili jurist al-Qāḍī al-Nuʿmān produced earlier in the 4th/10th century, the twelve jazāʾir are listed as al-ʿArab (Arabs), al-Rūm (Byzantines), al-Šaqāliba (Slavs, i.e. Eastern Europeans), al-Nūb (Nubians), al-Khazar (Khazars), al-Hind (India), al-Sind, al-Zanj (East Africans), al-Ḥabash (Abyssinians), al-Ṣīn (China), al-Daylam (the Persians), and al-Barbar (the Berbers). Interestingly enough Khurāsān, of which Naṣīr-i Khusraw claimed to be the ḥujujat in the second half of the 5th/11th century, is not included as a jazīra in al-Nuʿmān’s list. However, Daftary points out that ‘al-Nuʿmān’s well-informed and possibly Ismāʿīlī
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The ranks of the Ismaili religious hierarchy at various historical periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatimid period (909–1171)</th>
<th>Alamūt period (1090–1256)</th>
<th>Post-Alamūt period</th>
<th>According to Sayyid Haydar Shāh’s text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>al-nāṭiq</td>
<td>Imām</td>
<td>Imām</td>
<td>Imām</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Asās/al-waṣī</td>
<td>muṭaʿalīm</td>
<td>ḥujjat/Pir</td>
<td>ḥujjat (Nāṣīr-i Khusraw)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Imām/al-mutimm</td>
<td>muʿallim</td>
<td>dāʿī</td>
<td>dāʿī</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-lāḥiq</td>
<td>māʿi/Bāb-i bāṭin</td>
<td>muʿallim</td>
<td>maʿdhūn-i akbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-yadd</td>
<td>zabān-i ʿilm</td>
<td>maʿdhūn-i akbar</td>
<td>muʿallim-i ṣādiq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-janāḥ</td>
<td>ḥujjat-i aʿzam</td>
<td>maʿdhūn-i aṣghar</td>
<td>(ishāns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al- maʿdhūn</td>
<td>dast-i qudrat</td>
<td>maʿdhūl-i aṣghar (khālīfās)</td>
<td>other believers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-muṣtaḥib/al-muʿmin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ibn Ḥawqal, who himself travelled through eastern Persia and Transoxania around 358/969, does mention Khurāsān as a jāzira of the Fatimid daʿwa. One of the Ismaili manuscripts discovered in Badakhshān in 1967, gives the names of only two ḥujjas of the Fatimid period, Nāṣir-i Khusraw in Khurāsān and Bābā Sayyidnā (Ḥasan-i Šabbāh) in Persia. They were, of course, the two most prominent ones, at least in historical terms. At the time of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s arrival in Badakhshān, the term dāʿī was used to refer to a religious rank, and later on, other terms such as maʾdhūn and muʾallim were introduced. These terms are not unique to the Ismailis of Badakhshān, as they were used in other areas where the Ismaili daʿwa was active.

The table above shows that there were either seven or nine main ranks, described slightly differently by various authors and covering various historical periods, however, the core assumption that the Ismaili teaching is in accordance with the imam’s guidance never changed.

In the case of the Badakhshān community, the hierarchy continued unchanged from the post-ʿAlamūt period when the role of the pīr was introduced until the late 14th/20th century when new Imamat institutions were introduced by the present Ismaili imam. Thus the ranks below that of the imam were ḥujja, pīr, muʾallim (teacher) and finally khalīfa (the deputy of a pīr). The Ismaili hierarchy, through its religious dimension or hudūd al-dīn, sought to demonstrate an understanding of the realms of spiritual and material existence. The study and analysis of the Ismaili sources allow us to better understand the Ismaili hierarchy and its role in preserving and sustaining the integrity of the Ismaili community and the continuity of its religious practice.
**Pīr and Pīrship in Central Asia**

In Central Asia the Ismailis are mainly concentrated in Badakhshān. As mentioned above, Nashir-i Khusraw is regarded as the founder of this community in Badakhshān, although there is evidence of earlier da'i travelling to the Pamirs. In its external structure, Badakhshāni Ismailism differs only in terms of certain aspects of its tradition and rituals from other expressions of Ismaili beliefs found elsewhere. Followers of the tradition of Nashir-i Khusraw in Central Asia are now spread across parts of four modern states: Badakhshān of Tajikistan, Badakhshān of Afghanistan, the Xinjiang region of China, and the Gilgit, Hunza and Chitrāl areas of northern Pakistan. With regard to the context of Central Asia, it was Ivanow who first demonstrated that in the post-Alamut period Persian terms borrowed from Sufism, such as pīr and murīd, replaced Arabic terms such as ḥuṣja, dāʾi and maʿdhūn in the ḥudūd al-dīn.¹³⁸ This was part of the form of taqiyya adopted in this period similar to that used by Ismailis in other parts of the Iranian world. The term pīr is derived from Persian meaning an ‘older person’, the equivalent of the Arabic word shaykh. In the religious context, pīr refers to a ‘spiritual master’ or ‘spiritual guide’. In Badakhshān, besides the terms pīr and murīd, the term khalīfa (successor, vicegerent),¹³⁹ was also introduced.

The religious hierarchy remained mainly three-tiered, with the pīr as the spiritual guide in the absence of the imam, the khalīfa as the pīr’s deputy, and the murīd as his disciple.¹⁴⁰ In the religious hierarchy, one pīr could have several khalīfas. The khalīfa performed all the religious duties under the instruction of his pīr. Historically, the pīrs were responsible for the organisation of the da’wa in their areas of jurisdiction and this included the provision of religious instruction, ensuring the correct practice of the faith and the development and sustaining of a healthy relationship with rulers, and with non-Ismaili communities amongst or alongside whom the Ismailis lived. The position of the pīr was regarded as one of the highest; the pīr could be replaced by his son or, in the absence of a son, by a very close relative. This hereditary succession of religious authority is one of the
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important characteristics of the Ismaili tradition in Badakhshan, where families of pirs and khalifas remained in charge of religious matters for centuries. This enabled them to maintain the practice of religious duties independently from whoever was in charge of the political establishment over the region, whether local rulers, outsiders, Sunnis or Communists. The religious hierarchy was also a stronger marker of identity than any political authority by virtue of its role as protector and preserver of Ismaili religious belief and ritual practice from the time of Nāşir-i Khusraw onwards. In this regard the Silk-i gawhar-rīz is of great significance in identifying the genealogy of the Ismaili imams and the religious hierarchy in the context of Badakhshan and the role of the pirs there.

The Russian ethnographer and archaeologist Alekseï A. Bobrinskoï (1861–1938), during his visit to Badakhshan in 1901, after conversations with the local pirs and murids, concluded that, ‘the pīr is the complete ruler of the heart and soul of the murīd, and has rights over the moral, family and civil duties of the murīds.’\(^{141}\) Pīrs were directly appointed by the imams as it was their prerogative to bestow the title of pīr upon a murīd, and in order to be appointed a pīr it was not necessary to be a sayyid (a descendant of the Prophet’s family).\(^{142}\) However, in most cases pirs and their khalifas belonged to either a sayyid family or a khwāja clan.\(^{143}\)

In his recent study, ‘Pirship in Badakhshan’, Abdulmamad Iloliev details the significant role played by the pirs in organising and maintaining the socio-religious and socio-political affairs of the community in the late 13th/19th and early 14th/20th centuries.\(^{144}\) Iloliev defines the role of the pirs’ network, referred to as the pirship, ‘as an institution of social control and organisation that not only provides religious guidance, but also collects and distributes the religious dues, and responds actively to the immediate political and social environment of its time.’\(^{145}\) Over time the role and the authority of the pirs increased and they became very powerful; they represented and negotiated on behalf of the community with the rulers of the area or the neighbouring amirs regarding political and social issues.

\(^{141}\)  A.A. Bobrinksoï, ‘Sekta ismailiâ v russkikh i bukharskikh predelakh Sredneî Azii’ [The Ismaili Sect in Russian and Bukharan Central Asia], EO, 2 (1902), pp. 1–20.

\(^{142}\)  Ibid., p. 10.

\(^{143}\)  Khwājas usually marry someone from their own social rank, the daughter of a sayyid or of another khwāja. See also, Abdulmamad Iloliev, ‘Pirship in Badakhshan: The Role and Significance of the Institute of the Religious Masters (Pirs) in Nineteenth and Twentieth Century Wakhan and Shughnan’, Journal of Shi’a Islamic Studies, 6 (2013), p. 169.

\(^{144}\)  Ibid., p. 156.

\(^{145}\)   Ibid.
As a result of the rivalry between the amirates of Bukhārā and Afghanistan in the 13th/19th century, the Ismaili community found itself under enormous pressure from these Sunni amīrs who raid the region, treating the local Ismailis brutally and imposing heavy taxes on the population on the pretext that being Ismaili they were not fully Muslim. When the Ismaili pīrs became important agents during the ‘Great Game’ (the rivalry between the British and the Russian empires over Central Asia in the late 19th and early 20th centuries), and negotiated on behalf of the community with the Russian representatives, asking for their region to be annexed to the Russian empire, their aim was to avoid the privations and suffering imposed upon the population by the Afghan and Bukharan amīrs.\textsuperscript{146}

There is also a considerable body of literature on Ismaili doctrines produced by the pīrs between the 8th/14th and the 12th/18th centuries. This includes the \textit{Ṣaḥīfāt al-nāẓirīn} (also known as \textit{Ṣī va shish ṣaḥīfā}, composed in 857/1453). The text exists in two versions: one attributed to Pīr Ghiyāth al-Dīn Iṣfahānī, and a second attributed to Pīr Sayyid Suhrāb Valī.\textsuperscript{147} Another pīr of the early 10th/16th century was Khayrkhwāh-i Haratī, who was regarded as the head of the \textit{dā'wa} in Khūrāsān, including Badakhshān.\textsuperscript{148}

However, gradually the role of the pīrs were reduced and in particular from the middle of the 20th century, with the establishment of new


\textsuperscript{147} A detailed discussion on Ghiyāth al-Dīn Iṣfahānī and Sayyid Suhrāb Valī will be provided later on in this study.

\textsuperscript{148} Khayrkhwāh-i Haratī was a Nīzārī Ismailī dā‘ī and poet, who was born in Ghūrīyān near Herat. His life and activities coincided with the early Anjūdān period in Nīzārī history (i.e. mid 9th–early 10th/15th–16th centuries), when the Nīzārī \textit{dā’wa} activities were revived under the direct leadership of the Qāsim-Shāhī Nīzārī imams themselves. He was appointed as the chief dā‘ī or ḥujja and as pīr of Khūrāsān and Badakhshān. See Daftary, \textit{Historical Dictionary}, p. 127. His collected works were edited and published by Wladimir Ivanov as \textit{Taṣnīfāt-i Khayrkhwāh Haratī} (Tehran, 1961). See also, Beben, ‘The Ismailis of Central Asia’, p. 6.
imamate institutions, and the development of modern technology, which improved direct communications between the Ismaili imam and his murīds all over the world, reduced the role of the pīrs. Then the need for an institutional restructuring of the Ismaili community in modern times resulted in the ending of the role of the pīr and its institutions.

Structurally the institution of pīrship was an extension of the Ismaili religious hierarchy, i.e. ḥudūd al-dīn, but on a much smaller scale. The religious terminology and dimension were defined by, and adapted to, the local environment of the post-ʿAlamūt era. The Ismailis of Badakhshān upheld the belief that the religious authority of the institution of pīrship had always been based upon Ismaili religious doctrines, as the directions were given by the imam, who directly or indirectly appointed the pīrs. However, in the post-ʿAlamūt era in Badakhshān until 13th/19th century there was very little evidence available to confirm direct contact with the higher ranks of the Ismaili daʿwa and therefore, there is not much evidence of pīrs being directly appointed by the Ismaili imam, although some pīrs were appointed by agents of the imam, who had been given the authority to do so. However, Silk-i gawhar-rīz mentions several instances of Ismaili pīrs who visited the Ismaili imams’ headquarters, first in Persia and later in India and received farmāns about their appointments. For instance, individuals such as Shāh Gādā, Shāh ‘Abd al-Raḥīm and Faqīr Shāh visited the court of the Imām Khalīl Allāh ‘Alī where they were appointed as pīrs over different areas of Badakhshān. During the reign of Ḥasan ‘Alī Shāh, Aga Khan I, the Ismailis of Badakhshān established even closer contact with the imamate. A series of farmāns and documents confirm this connection. The study by Kawahara Yayoi and Umed Mamadsherzodshoev of 164 historical documents collected from private collections of the Ismailis of Badakhshān includes Imām Ḥasan ‘Alī Shāh’s decrees and rescripts.

The Political History of Badakhshān

This study focuses mainly on the Ismailis of Badakhshān, a region of present-day Tajikistan and historically part of Greater Khurāsān. The
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150 Kawahara Yayoi and Umed Mamadsherzodshoev, Documents from Private Archives in Right-Bank Badakhshan (Fascimiles), TIAS Central Eurasian Research Series 8 (Tokyo: Department of Islamic Area Studies, Centre for Evolving Humanities, Graduate School of Humanities of Sociology, University of Tokyo, 2013). For more see, Gulamadov, The Hagiography of Nāṣir-i Khusraw, pp. 109–110.
region of Badakhshan was divided into four sectors as a result of Russian and British colonial policies. A large part of Badakhshan now lies within Tajikistan and another section, with its historical capital city of Faydabād lies in Afghanistan. Two other parts of historical Badakhshan fall within the borders of present-day Pakistan and China. Similarly, the Ismaili community which observes the Nāšir-i Khusraw tradition is also spread across these four countries. The focus here is primarily on the Ismailis of Badakhshan in present-day Tajikistan with some reference to the other parts of Badakhshan now lying within Afghanistan, China and Pakistan.

The political history of Badakhshan over the last millennium is undeniably connected with the existence and spread of Ismaili Islam in the region. The harsh topography and remoteness of the area have played a major role in maintaining and preserving the Ismaili community’s rituals and traditions. The power struggle between local and foreign rulers continued until the late 13th/19th century, when Britain and Russia, the colonial powers, effectively stoked religious feuds in order to further their respective imperial policies in the region.
Long before the Achaemenids, the Iranian people developed the principle of what we call now local autonomy. This means that the shāhanshāh (supreme king) recognised the autonomy of each kishwar (country), as part of a vast empire. Petty rulers were then called shāh, and were subservient to the shāhinshāh (King of Kings). Based on this principle, the Sāsānid empire (224–651) and later in the Islamic period, the Sāmānid state, included Badakhshān, Shughnān, Wakhān and Darvāz, as independent kishwars. As already mentioned, after the fall of the Sāmānids in the late 4th/10th century and the movement of Turkic nomadic tribes into their domains, Badakhshān remained outside the lands of subsequent states, such as those of the Ghaznawid and Saljuq Turks and retained its independence until the beginning of the 8th/14th century, when the Timūrids (771–913/1370–1507) annexed various parts of it.

The last local independent shāh of Badakhshān, Shāh Sultān Muḥammad, was executed by the Timūrid sultan Abū Saʿīd in 780/1466–67. According to the sources he claimed descent, like all his predecessors, from the Achaemenid Dāryūsh I (Darius the Great, r. 522–486 BCE) and Alexander the Great (336–323 BCE). The founder of the Mughal empire, Bābur, refers to the Alexander lineage of Shāh Sultān Muḥammad. There is scant evidence available regarding the local rulers of Badakhshān, apart from some coins minted in the name of ‘Ali Shāh and dating to 690/1291. Other evidence is provided by coins (dating to the years 690–691/1290–1292) in the name of his son Dawlat Shāh b. ‘Alī Shāh minted with the title of ‘al-Sultān al-ʿĀzīm’. This indicates that ‘Alī Shāh...
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151 The Achaemenid Empire (ca. 550–330 BCE), also called the First Persian Empire, was founded by Cyrus the Great and based in Western Asia, though it covered an area from the Aral sea to North Africa. See also, Gareth C. Sampson, *The Defeat of Rome: Crassus, Carrhae and the Invasion of the East* (Barnsley, 2008).


153 The Sāmānids, the first local dynasty after the Arab domination, ruled from the 3rd/9th to 4th/10th centuries.


was an independent ruler. However, these coins do not show any traces of Shi’i or Sunni Islam and nor do they show whether these rulers had any connection to Abu’l-Ma’ali ‘Alî b. al-Asad, the amîr of Badakhshan in the time of Naṣîr-i Khusraw. 158 Nevertheless, there is a possibility that Shâh Sulṭân Muḥammad was a descendant of ‘Alî b. al-Asad given that, at the time when the Timûrīd Abû Sâ’îd (d. 926/1520–21) sought to eliminate him, many writers and historians, including Marco Polo, Dawlatshâh Samarqandî and Bâbur, wrote that Shâh Sulṭân Muḥammad was of the lineage of Alexander the Great. 159 Nevertheless, there is no evidence to substantiate this claim and scholars believe that it was part of the legends fabricated by historians on the orders of Shâh Sulṭân Muḥammad to provide legitimacy for his rule. But Marco Polo referred to this claim in his travelogue written in the early 8th/14th century, so even if it is considered only myth, there can be no doubt that it was a long-standing claim. 160

According to some scholars, most notably Abusaid Shokhumorov, by the time of Shâh Sulṭân Muḥammad, the majority of the population in Badakhshân openly practiced Ismailism. 161 He himself was a poet and had a Divân of poetry and there were a number of renowned poets including Mawlānā Şâhib Balkhî and Khwāja Maḥmūd Bursa, 162 philosophers and Ismaili scholars at his court. One of them may have been the philosopher, scientist and poet, Ghiyâth al-Dîn ‘Alî b. Amîrîn Sayyid al-Ḥusaynî al-Īṣfahānî, who most probably arrived in Badakhshân during the reign of Shâh Sulṭân Muḥammad. 163 He was a prolific writer on mathematics as well as religion and his Danish-nâma-yi jahân was allegedly written in response to the Danish-nâma of Ibn Sînâ. There are other treatises attributed to Ghiyâth al-Dîn and preserved by the Ismaili community in
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Badakhshān. However, until now the attribution of these treatises to any particular Ismaili figure has not been verified, despite being included in Andrei Bertel’s and Mamadvafo Bakaev’s **Catalogue**.\(^{164}\)

The Timūrids were Sunnis and adopted punitive measures against the Ismailis in their domains. The Timūrid governor, Sulṭān Ways Mīrzā, violently suppressed an Ismaili uprising in Badakhshān which was led by the Muḥammad-Shāhī Imam Rāḍī al-Dīn ‘Alī in 915/1509. Timūrid rule in Badakhshān came to an end when the Shaybānid Uzbek ruler ‘Abdullāh Khān II seized most of Badakhshān in 992/1584, although he also faced revolts by the Ismailis there.\(^{165}\)

In the mid 11th/17th century, Badakhshān fell under the control of Mīr Yārībeg Khān (r. 1067–1119/1657–1707), who succeeded in establishing a dynasty which ruled over most of the region for almost two centuries. Like his predecessors the Shaybānids and the Timūrids, Mīr Yārībeg Khān professed Sunni Islam and was strongly opposed to the Ismailis. His descendants continued to oppress and attack the Ismailis, for instance, in the mid 12th/18th century raiding Chitrāl.\(^{166}\) The religious hostility and intolerance of the Yarids resulted in the Ismailis being declared adherants of a heretic creed and was one of the main reasons for the sharp socio-political and cultural decline of Badakhshān.\(^{167}\) Moreover, prior to this, there are numerous documents dating to the beginning of the 11th/17th century which provide information about the condemnation of the Ismailis by the ruling authorities. These documents which were discovered and published by Khalilillāh Khalilī in Kabul in 1959 include a series of waqf (endowment) deeds whose wording contains anti-Ismaili sentiments.\(^{168}\)


\(^{167}\) Ibid. Also see, Gabrielle van den Berg, ‘Keeping Religion Alive: Performing Pamiri Identity in Central Asia’, *International Institute for Asian Studies, 74* (Summer 2016), p. 37.

The waqf deeds specifically state that the lands around the tomb of Nāṣir-i Khusraw were granted as waqf administered by the shaykh of the mazār (mausoleum or shrine). Nāṣir-i Khusraw was declared a Sunni saint, and a shrine was built over his grave. Another anti-Ismaili campaign occurred with the rise to power in Kabul of Aḥmad Shāh Durrānī (r. 1160–1186/1747–1772), who fought a devastating campaign against the Yārid dynasty and the Ismailis of Badakhshān. The weakening of Yārid rule over Badakhshān allowed for the emergence of a semi-independent Shughnān region. In this regard Shaftolu Gulamadov writes that:

In the second half of the 11th/17th century, the Ismā‘īlīs seem to have established closer contacts with their Imams, who, as demonstrated were generally practicing pious circumspection under Twelver Shi‘ism. Still later, in the second half of the 12th/18th century, other, more significant, socio-political developments in Ismā‘īlism and in Badakhshān took place, making this period different from the immediate preceding centuries. First, the power of later Yārids, who were clearly anti-Ismā‘īlī, weakened due to internecine wars and the constant struggles with other external dynasties. Second, the Ismā‘īli imamate in Iran and subsequently in India came to operate openly, which seems to have encouraged the Ismā‘īlīs of Badakhshān to follow suit and carry out their religious activities more publicly. The Imam authorized the pīr to establish the Ismā‘īli da‘vah in Badakhshān. From the mid-18th century until the time of the composition of the Šīlk-i gawhar-rīz (completed in the 1830s) and until the beginning of the 20th century the Ismā‘īli da‘vah operated actively in Badakhshān.¹⁶⁹

As regards Shughnān, even though local sources indicate that the ruling dynasty was not Ismaili, the sources confirm that in the course of the 12th/18th century it developed a close relationship with the heads of the Ismaili da‘wa. One such Shughnān ruler was Shāh Vanjī, who became the disciple of a prominent Ismaili pīr, Khwāja Muḥammad Šāliḥ. According to Šīlk-i gawhar-rīz, a work written by Khwāja Šāliḥ’s grandson, Shāh Vanjī sponsored the efforts of a number of missionaries to spread the da‘wa in other parts of the region.¹⁷⁰ But this should be seen as an interlude since from then on there was a constant struggle between Sunni rulers on the one hand, and the Ismaili population and the pīrs on the other. Indeed, from the 10th/16th century onwards, after the Timūrid conquests,

¹⁶⁹ Gulamadov, The Hagiography of Nāṣir-i Khusraw, pp. 84–85. See also, Ėl’chibekov, Ierarkhiā Dukhovenstva, p. 269.
¹⁷⁰ Beben, The Legendary Biographies, p. 287.
Badkhshān was no longer a place of refuge for Ismailis and instead considered as unbelievers the Ismailis of Badkhshān had to practise taqiyya.  

This study will now narrow its focus to the areas where Ismailis have been the majority or dominant group. The Ismailis of this region, often referred to as Pamiri or Pamiri-Tajik people, have been studied by a number of scholars, such as the historian Bahodur Iskandarov (1912–2004). He provided a thorough examination of the history of the late medieval and modern periods even though, like his contemporaries, he was subject to Soviet ideological constraints on his scholarship. However, by focusing on a reconstruction of the past, Iskandarov was able to sidestep the Russian-Soviet political and ideological understanding and interpretation of the literature on the Ismailis.

Until the early 11th/17th century, the remote regions of Badkhshān, that is Wakhān, Shughnān, Rūshān and Darvāz, had enjoyed virtual independence under the rule of indigenous dynasties. Throughout the 12th/18th century, Rūshān, Shughnān and Wakhān fought for political independence from the rulers of Badkhshān, Qundūz and Darvāz, and eventually became semi-independent satellite kingdoms under the sovereign rulers of Darvāz, who levied taxes on them. In 1829, an Uzbek khan called Murād Beg who ruled over Qunduz to the east of Badkhshān invaded the region. Like previous external rulers he targeted the Ismaili population of the region in slave raids, forcing many Ismailis to flee to the inaccessible gorges of Shughnān and the surrounding areas. As a result of this persecution, the only Ismaili communities in Badkhshān that were able to preserve their beliefs and practice were those living in isolated mountain gorges such as those in the districts of Wakhān, Shughnān and Darvāz.

Thus in the first half of the 13th/19th century, Shughnān (including Rūshān) and Wakhān while preserving a degree of independent rule, varying in degree and length, acknowledged also the authority of more powerful neighbours such as the amīr of Badkhshān, although Murād Beg, the ruler of the local feudal state of Qunduz, was able to maintain his independence.
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171 Shokhumorov, Razdelenie Badakhshana, p. 27.
172 Murād Beg mounted several devastating invasions of Wakhān (in which he killed the ruler of Wakhān) and Shughnān (leading to a significant reduction in population). See, John Wood, A Journey to the Source of the River Oxus (London: John Murray, 1872), pp. 159–160.
Throughout the 13th/19th century there seem to have been a series of wars between the rulers of Shughnān and Wakhān for control of Ishkāshim, and other areas such as Ghārān (known for its ruby mines), Shākhdara and Ghund. Likewise, there were frequent wars between the Kyrgyz of the Eastern Pamir and Darvāz and Shughnān over Rūshān and Bartang.  

Around 1295/1878, the Khanate of Bukhārā incorporated Darvāz along with Qal’a-yi Khumb and the valley of Vanj and Yazghūlām into its territories as Eastern Bukhārā. But the end of the 13th/19th century saw increasing rivalry between Russia and Britain for influence in this part of Central Asia. This resulted in an Afghan army under Amir ʿAbd al-Raḥmān Khān (r. 1299–1319/1880–1901) invading Wakhān, Shughnān and Rūshān. The expansionist policies of ʿAbd al-Raḥmān Khān prompted an immediate response from Tsarist Russia.

As a result of this situation, the British and Russian colonial powers decided to hold a special conference on the Pamir question, which took place in St Petersburg in April 1309/1892. The conference considered two main issues: (i) sending Russian armed forces to the Pamir region; and (ii) demarcating the territory of the Pamir region and marking the borders of China, Afghanistan and British India. The conference also decided to send an Anglo-Russian commission to this region to study the topography of the northern and eastern borders of Afghanistan.

This arbitrary demarcation of the borders, agreed between Great Britain and Russia on 25 February 1313/1895, put an end to a series of protracted border disputes. On 29 August 1313/1895, the commission finalised the border demarcation. Britain and Russia signed an agreement in London defining ‘the spheres of influence of the two countries in the region of the Pamirs’ to which both Afghanistan and Bukhārā had


According to this treaty, Darvāz, and parts of Rūshān, Shughnān and Wakhān on the left bank of the Panj River, became part of Afghanistan. Furthermore, under the same agreement the administration of Wakhān, Shughnān and Rūshān on the right bank of the Panj River was nominally transferred to the amīr of Bukhārā as compensation for his loss of territories in Darvāz. The outcome of the 1313/1895 establishment of the frontier between the Emirate of Bukhārā (which had become a Russian protectorate), and Afghanistan, which was under the tutelage of British India, was that the lion’s share of historical Badakhshān now became part of Afghanistan.

Following the demarcation of the borders, the Russians set up permanent military headquarters in Khārūgh, now the capital of Gorno-Badakhshān, and expelled the Afghan forces installed there. Having already made the amīr of Bukhārā and the Khan of Khoqand their vassals in 1285/1868 and 1293/1876, respectively, the Russians now established their sovereignty over the whole Pamir region. However, the demarcation of the region of Badakhshān did not put an end to the suffering of the local people at the hands of the Afghan and Bukharan amīrs. While the British had ‘granted’ the districts of Wakhān, Shughnān and Rūshān on the left bank of the Panj River, to the Afghan amīr, the Russians had left the territories on the right bank of the Panj in the hands the amīr of Bukhārā. Having received these districts from the Russians, the amīr of Bukhārā appointed his viceroy governor there. In fact, the rule of the amīr of Bukhārā lasted only nine years (1313–1321/1895–1904), during which he continued with the same anti-Ismaili policy he had pursued previously.

The main reason for the unwillingness of the Ismaili population of the Pamirs to accept the amīr’s rule was their religious differences. The local people under the leadership of the Ismaili pīrs vented their frustration at the Russian decision that left them in the hands of the Bukharan amīr. Following a request from the Russian military garrison in Khārūgh to include the
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Map of Badakhshan
Pamir region under the direct control of the Russian governor-general of Turkestan, several uprisings against the amīr of Bukhārā broke out.

The representatives of the amīr of Bukhārā ‘Abbād al-Āhd Khān (r. 1303–1328/1885–1911) regarded the Ismailis as ‘infidels’ and so their treatment of them was inhuman. In this regard, the Russian officer L.N. Kharūkov wrote, ‘after the establishment of a new border in the Pamir (and the Pamir’s transfer to Bukharan administration) at the behest of the great powers, without taking into account the vital interests of the Pamir nationalities, life became even harder for the Ismaili populations.’¹⁸⁰ As a result several letters were sent to the Russians on behalf of the Ismaili community under the leadership of the pīrs, such as the one from Yūsuf ‘Alī Shāh (d. 1932) who played a critical role at this point in the history of the Pamīr region. The Russians also felt some responsibility for the fate of the people whose territory they had transferred to Bukhārā, and began to create obstacles for the Bukharan officials in pursuing their anti-Ismaili policy in the region. T.G. Tukhtametov wrote, ‘During the anti-Bukharan

¹⁸⁰ Kharūkov, Anglo-russkoe sopernichestvo, p. 63.
uprising of 1314/1896, the Tsarist authorities began intensively intervening in the affairs of the Bukharan authorities over Shughnān, Rūshān and Wakhān, and this undermined the authority of Bukharan officials in the eyes of the local inhabitants.\(^{181}\)

In 1905, upon being apprised of several of the grievances of the Ismailis, the pīrs appealed to the Russian authorities. This situation forced the Russians to take a decisive step in terms of changing the status and the socio-political situation of the western Pamir, and the region was taken out of Bukharan control and handed over to the Russian governor-general of Turkestan.\(^{182}\) As a result, there was for a time some kind of certainty and stability in the social life of the communities in Wakhān, Shughnān and Rūshān. Beside protecting them from the depredations of the Afghans and Bukharans, the newly arrived Russians began road building, encouraged the use of horses\(^{183}\) and gradually a minimum of basic health care was established through the Russian feldsher system.\(^{184}\) A public school was opened in Khārūgh in 1914, and the commitment of the local Ismaili community to educate both boys and girls is recorded in late 13th/19th century reports by explorers.\(^{185}\) A road between Osh (now in Kyrgyzstan) and the Murghāb district (now in Gorno-Badakhshān) was opened in 1315/1897 and connected to Khārūgh a few years later. However, stability


\(^{182}\) Shokhumorov, Razdelenie Badakhshana, p. 78. We might also note here than the Russian annexation of Turkestan as a whole increased the size of the Russian empire by approximately 11 per cent.

\(^{183}\) O. Olufsen, Through The Unknown Pamirs – The Second Danish Pamir Expedition, 1898–99 (London, 1904), p. 117: ‘When I passed from Langarkish to Khorok the first time in 1896, there were no horses to be seen. But of late years the province has made much progress under Russian protection, and now the little horses of Kirghiz and Badakhshān have been imported. These horses are small, persevering, sagacious, and well adapted to mountain use, and they are highly prized by the people.’

\(^{184}\) Feldsher is the Russian term for a health care professional who provides various medical services, mainly in rural areas. Feldshers provide primary, obstetrical and surgical care services in many rural medical centres and ambulatory care across Russia.

and progress for the Pamirs was short lived, as it was interrupted by the revolutions and upheavals in Russia starting at the end of the 1917 and continuing in 1918.

As noted above, Russian imperial interest in Badakhshan was strategic and formed an integral part of Russian strategy in the Great Game. In addition to competition with British imperial rule in the end of the 13th/19th and the beginning of the 20th century, it intersected with an anti-Ismaili strategy that was being unfolded by Russian military forces in Bukhara, Afghanistan and China.

In 1902 the Consulate of Russia in Kashgar reported to the Tsar Nicholas II that the Ismaili faith had spread in Kashgar (eastern China) and Osh. This expansionist trend was perceived as a threat to Russian interests in the region. Therefore, the Russians decided to block communications between the Ismailis of Central Asia and their spiritual leader, Sultan Muhammad Shah, Aga Khan III (r. 1885–1957), the Nizari Ismaili imam. The Russian Consulate, thus, viewed the Ismailis as agents of British imperial interests, who could work against Russian interests in the region. 186

According to Bobrinskoï, writing in the early years of the 20th century, and in contrast to the state of affairs in the 11th/17th and 12th/18th centuries, the Ismaili faith had now spread throughout Badakhshan and neighbouring regions and prevailed in the Wakhân valley, the Zibak and Mundjian areas of Chitral, Kandjut (now in Afghanistan and Pakistan), Ishkashim, Ghârân, Shughnân on both sides of the Panj River, Rûshân and Sarikûl (now in China). Furthermore, Bobrinskoï propounded, in Afghan Darvaz, Ismailis were found in villages such as Jarf, Ghumai, Amurd and Jamârj, and below Qal’a-yi Khumb (the centre of Darvaz), and on the Afghan side in villages such as Khodara and Zingiria. In the village of Yâgîd, on the other side of the Panj River, most of the population were Ismaili, with a minority being Ithnâ‘ashari. In the Katagan village of Guria, there were a few Ismaili families, while the majority were Sunni. Furthermore, the Hazâra communities near Kabul were mostly Ismaili with only a small portion being Ithnâ‘ashari. In Bukhara, Qoqand and Osh, the residents who were natives of Shughnân and Wakhân were all Ismaili. 187

Tsarist colonial policy and imperial interests resulted in the marginalisation of the broader Tajik religious communities, a process which continued into the Soviet era. Communities were isolated by the establishment of borders that were political rather than geographic, making conditions difficult for the Ismailis in parts of Badakhshān in both Tajikistan and Afghanistan, the western regions of China and northern India (now northern Pakistan). Until then, the Ismailis of the region had been united in their common faith, culture and history, as well as through the Persian language, which was used as a lingua franca throughout Central Asia, Afghanistan, in the north of the Indian sub-continent and in Kashgar in the west of China. However, in the course of the 13th/19th century, with British control of India and the Russian advance in Central Asia, Farsi ultimately lost its status and other languages became dominant. Since then, the Tajik Ismaili communities in the north of Pakistan have adopted Urdu, and those in western China used Uyghur, as their functioning languages.

Meanwhile, once the Pamir region had been subjugated in 1905–1906 and placed under the direct rule of the Russian Tsar, the situation gradually stabilised, and until the October Revolution in Russia in 1917, supreme power remained with the Russian military forces (the governor-general of Turkestan). In the Pamir region, this was implemented by the Russian Pamir military detachment in Khārgū. Over time, the Tajik Ismailis came to be recognised by the Russians and to a certain extent the attitude towards the Ismailis and their spiritual leader, Sulṭān Muḥammad Shāh, Aga Khan III, in the early decades of the 20th century improved:

In 1912, at the invitation of His Majesty, the Emperor of Russia Nicholas II, the spiritual leader of the Ismailis – His Highness Sulṭān Muḥammad Shāh [Aga Khan III] visited St Petersburg. He was in amicable relations with the Tsar of Russia. Subsequently, the Aga Khan was highly appreciative of the accord for the voluntary joining of the Pamirs to the Russian Imperial Rule. Already in 1913, the first delegation of the Pamirs visited St Petersburg to
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188 Karl Jettmar, *Religii Hindukusha*, tr. from German by K.D. Tsivina (Moscow, 1986).


celebrate the 300th anniversary of the House of Romanov. Among them there were ‘Aziz Khân, the ruler of Shâkhdara, a resident of Bartang-Mastali, and a resident of Alay-Tahur Bek.\footnote{Istoriya Pamira, available online at: http://www.pamir-spb.ru/istoriya.html [Last accessed, 16 April 2017]. Also see, Sunatullo Jonboboev, ‘Geography, ethnicity and cultural heritage in interplay in the context of the Tajik Pamiri identity’, in Dagikhudo Dagiev and Carole Faucher, ed. Identity, History and Trans-Nationality in Central Asia: The Mountain Communities of Pamir (London, 2018), pp. 11–22.}

Compared to the rulers of Bukhârâ and the Afghans, the Russian evinced a much more humane attitude towards the local people, especially the Ismailis. In addition, as has been said, they helped to develop education by establishing modern schools, which later on embarked on teaching local culture and tradition, and by teaching sciences and modern languages significantly contributed to the future development of the region. Correspondingly, a Russian scholar of oriental studies, Bobrinskoï, expressed an influential opinion in this regard:

In conclusion, I must express my opinion about our relationship with Islam in Central Asia. Currently, we support only Sunnis, ignoring not only the small minorities (Ismailis, Babis), but even the Shi’a, as if our goal is the unification of Islam and the absorption of all its ramifications by Sunnism, which, I think, does not fully coincide with our interests. I think that our direct political calculation should be to recognise that all the branches of Islam have the right to an official and independent life, and, as a consequence of this recognition, I would consider it obligatory for us to protect this right, given to everyone, from the encroachments of the stronger sides or more militant confession.\footnote{A.A. Bobrinskoï, ‘Sekta ismailâ’, p. 18.}

Bobrinskoï visited the local pîrs and discussed the Ismaili Weltanschauung with them. According to him they displayed a liberal and tolerant interpretation of Islam. He believed that the Pamiri pîrs should be acknowledged for the crucial role they played in the modern history of the region. This was probably the case as it was the tremendous efforts of the local pîrs, local political leaders and certain intellectuals in the Pamirs that had preserved and maintained the cultural heritage of the community down the centuries and helped it to flourish.
The Russian Revolution and the Panjebhai Movement

The Russian Revolution put an end to Tsarist rule and revolutionary committees were established in every corner of the empire, eventually taking initiatives and establishing the new social order, or as it was labelled later on, Sovetskaâ Vlast' (Soviet power), which was also known locally as the Hukumat-e Shūravī. In the Pamir, until December 1918, power remained in the hands of the Pamir border detachment of the Provisional Government, headed by Colonel V.V. Fenin (1875–1933). But when the Council of Peoples’ Commissars of the Turkestan Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic (TASSR) sent a detachment of Revolutionary Guards headed by P. Volovik to the Pamirs in November 1918; however before they reached the Pamirs in December, Fenin and his collaborators fled to India.193 The fall of Tsarism in Russia was received with pleasure by the amīr of Bukhārā, Mīr Muḥammad ‘Alīm Khān (r. 1911–1920), who immediately endeavoured to take advantage of the situation, with the help of local officials, in order to regain control over the western Pamir region. But the people of Shughnān rose against the Bukharan soldiers in support of the new Soviet authorities,194 and as a result the amīr of Bukhārā’s attempt at a take-over proved abortive. Another failed attempt was made by an anti-Bolshevik group known as the Basmachi,195 who sought to restore traditional rule over these territories and implemented harsh measures against the local Ismailis.

In 1921, the Pamir Revolutionary Committee was established; it began to organise the Hukumat-e Shūravī in the villages and the mountain districts. After the Hukumat-e Shūravī was established in the Pamirs, daily life and protection of the state’s borders were administered by a military-political Troïka consisting of T.M. D‘akov, T. Khuseînbaev and S. Shotemur, who have been recorded by history as the organisers of Hukumat-e Shūravī in the Pamirs.196 A study of archival documents
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195 The Basmachi were anti-Soviet rebels in Turkestan between the Russian Revolution and the early 1930s. The term, derived from the Turkic word basmak (to attack or raid), connotes banditry and was originally a pejorative term used by the Russians.
reveals that until 1922 the attitude of the new government towards the Ismailis was completely neutral and there were no repressive measures against either pirs or ordinary Ismailis. In the first years of Soviet rule, despite the fact that the Communist Party and its ideology were fundamentally hostile to religion, attempts were made to maintain good relations with religious figures and their murids.  

Following the establishment of Soviet rule in the Pamirs, the region was transferred to the Farghāna Oblast of TASSR in 1923, becoming part of the Turkestan region. Two years later, in 1925, with the demarcation of national borders, the Pamir region was promoted to the status of ‘Autonomous Oblast [region] of Gorno-Badakhshān’. It was then transferred to the Tajik Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic, which became known as the Soviet Socialist Republic of Tajikistan in 1929. According to the Soviet authorities, the incorporation of the Pamir region was carried out on the basis of common geographic, linguistic, cultural and ethnic features. Some historical sources of the 19th and early 20th century, including ethnographic studies by the Russians, argued that the people of the Pamir region, regardless of certain differences such as linguistic diversity and divergence of belief from the lowland Tajiks, referred to themselves as ‘mountain Tajiks’. In fact, several Tajik Ismailis played a vital role in the formation and the establishment of the autonomous region of Badakhshān and the national republic of Tajikistan. One of these was Shirinsho Shohtemur (1899–1937). Originally from Shughnān, he received his secondary education at a Russian school and played an instrumental role in the formation of modern Tajikistan. For this reason he was declared a national hero of Tajikistan in 2006.  

However, the voluntary submission of the Pamir region to Russian imperial rule, on the one hand, and the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia, on the other, led to divisions in the Ismaili religious hierarchy in the region. The dramatic changes in the socio-political life of the Ismaili
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197 Ibid., p. 87.  
community engendered reforms to religious traditions and rituals in order to modernise the community so that it could face the challenges of the modern era. The Panjebhai was one such movement, headed by a group of Ismaili community leaders, which attempted to rise to the challenge.

The term Panjebhai is a compound of two nouns: *panj* ‘five’ and *bhai* ‘brother’, both components of which appear in a variety of South Asian languages (such as Hindi, Gujarati, Punjabi, etc.). The first component, *panj*, means five in Persian and Sanskrit, while the second component, *bhai*, means brother in a variety of modern South Asian languages.\(^{201}\)

According to Paul Bergne, the Ismaili reform movement in the Pamirs became known as the Panjebhai movement in the 1920s.\(^{202}\) The movement initially spread among the Ismailis of South Asia in the second half of the 19th century. It spread in Soviet Badakhshan, predominantly in Shughan and Rūshān, during the early 1920s and its activities coincided with the visit of a high-profile Ismaili emissary of the Imam Sultan Muhammad Shāh, Pir Sabzali Ramdān ‘Alī (1871–1938) in 1923.\(^{203}\) During these years, advocates of the movement in Badakhshan introduced changes to both the religious and social life of the community. For instance, they sought to introduce religious education throughout the community and attempted to simplify some religious rituals. However the introduction of initiatives such as the new *panj tasbih ‘Ali-i Zamān* funeral ceremony instead of the old *Charāgh-i rawshan*\(^{204}\) funeral rite and the establishment of the ‘trusted groups’ or *anjuman* who would be
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\(^{204}\) *Charāgh-i rawshan*: a religious funeral ceremony conducted by the family of the deceased. The ceremony is ascribed to Nāṣir-i Khusrav and known also as *da’wat-i Nāṣir*. There are two types of *da’wat* ceremony in Badakhshan; the first is *da’wat-i fanā*, performed for the soul of the deceased; and the second, is *da’wat-i baqā*, performed for the eternal life of the soul. See also, H. Elnazarov, ‘Chirāgh-i Rawshan’, *EIS*, vol. 5, pp. 676–681.
responsible for the collection and sending of religious dues known as zakāt to Bombay, to the Ismaili Imam Sultan Muhammad Shāh, Aga Khan III, met with strong opposition from some influential pīrs and khalīfas, who then began an active campaign against the movement.

Another significant element of the movement’s history is the fact that its emergence coincided with the establishment of Soviet power which became distinctly hostile towards religion in the interwar years. By the late 1930s, and as a result of the Soviet anti-religion campaign, the leading Panjebhais, as well as the pīrs who opposed them, had all been either imprisoned or eliminated by the state authorities. Only a small number of the Panjebhai groups continued practising their new panj tasbīḥ ‘Āli-i Zamān funeral ceremony in some villages in Shugnān and Rūshān. 205

The movement’s aim to introduce reforms and bring modernity to the Ismaili community in the Pamir region coincided with the development of modern schools, hospitals, cultural centres, power stations, roads and airports in all major areas of the region brought under Soviet rule, which dramatically changed the living standards of the local Ismaili community.

The Ismaili Community in the Soviet Era

During the Soviet era, the Badakhshān region had the highest proportion of individuals with higher education qualifications in the Soviet Union. It produced a great number of highly educated professionals who made valuable contributions to the Tajik state and society. Improved health, education, social welfare and security resulted in rapid demographic changes and greater mobility, which prompted many Ismailis to migrate to the lowlands of Tajikistan and to other parts of the Soviet Union. However the Ismailis, like the rest of the Soviet peoples, also experienced the collectivisation of agriculture, when land was nationalised and the cultivation of certain cash crops (e.g. tobacco and cotton) was forced upon the people. Furthermore, ‘beginning in the 1930s and continuing intermittently until the late 1960s, the Soviet authorities forcibly transferred people from the central and eastern zones of Tajikistan to provide labour for new industries and, especially, for intensive agricultural projects.’ 206

The forced migration of the mountain people, including Ismailis, to the southern lowlands of Tajikistan with their very hot summers resulted in many deaths. In 1937–1938 the ‘great purges’ of Soviet intelligentsia and intellectuals who displayed any opposition to Soviet rule, or who might possibly present an obstacle to the realisation of the Hukūmat-e Shūravī, included a great many of the Ismaili political, intellectual and cultural elite. Furthermore, the local youth were encouraged to move to other parts of the Soviet Union to meet the deficit in labour elsewhere.²⁰⁷

By the end of the 1920s, the Soviet authorities had started placing restrictions on religious freedom and the activities of the religious communities and their leaders. Taking a Marxist perspective, the Soviet government regarded any religious belief, ceremonies and functionaries as the means of manipulating uneducated people in order to disturb them or distract them from building the new Soviet society and creating the new Soviet man (Homo Sovieticus).²⁰⁸ In the history of the Ismailis of Soviet Tajikistan the year 1936 marked a turning point. A particular concern for the Hukūmat-e Shūravī and the Communist Party was the border issue between Tajikistan, China and Afghanistan, given the number of Tajik Ismailis residing in these countries. Moscow decided to seal the borders of Tajikistan with Afghanistan and China, which resulted in the complete isolation of the Ismailis of Tajikistan from their co-religionists in those countries. Above all, the sealing of the borders was intended to prevent any future contacts with the Ismaili imam and his representatives. The Hukūmat-e Shūravī launched a new propaganda campaign, accusing the pīrs and other religious officials of being class enemies and disloyal to the Soviet system. Moreover, the strengthening of the Hukūmat-e Shūravī all over the country and the consolidation of centralised power led to the imposition of a strict atheist ideology, which gradually forced prominent religious authorities such as pīrs to flee the country, and if they did not they were either jailed or executed.²⁰⁹

Thus, during the Soviet era religious life and the practice of the faith became restricted in every aspect and in some areas it was almost

impossible for religious communities to maintain and observe their traditions and rituals. The Hukūmat-e Shūravī considered any religion or religious ritual as outdated myths, superstitions and expressions of fanaticism, and membership in religious communities was suppressed.\footnote{210} Therefore the clerics, or \textit{khalīfas}, had to ‘hand over all religious matters to local officials’, as refusal could have led to imprisonment or even the death penalty. Government officials or representatives of the state institutions, including whistle-blowers, were present during religious ceremonies with the result that the \textit{khalīfas} could not perform their religious duties.\footnote{211} However, some \textit{khalīfas} did manage to perform rituals as they regarded it their duty to do so, even though the consequences could be dire.\footnote{212} The \textit{khalīfas} went underground and some ordinary members of the community also risked their lives and, in private, maintained their devotional practices, in particular, the funeral ceremony. The oppressive measures of the Soviet authorities could not prevent the performance of these religious obligations, and this led to the practice of a ‘parallel Islam’, where religious rites were performed informally and secretly.\footnote{213} However, a shift in the official approach occurred during the Second World War, particularly in 1943, when the Soviet authorities desperately needed the support of the entire Soviet population in the war effort against Nazi Germany. They finally relaxed state repression and restrictions on religion, allowing people to perform their religious duties and ceremonies and re-opening many mosques, churches, synagogues and other places of worship.

A similar policy of détente towards religion occurred in the 1960s when the Soviet authorities realised the impossibility of imposing atheism on the population and decided to change their policy and keep religion under their control, creating official religious structures. As far as the Ismailis were concerned, henceforth, \textit{khalīfas} were appointed by the state.
but nonetheless the observance of religious practices continued to be a highly private and concealed domain of life.214

The Ismaili Community in the Post-Soviet Era

In 1986, Mikhail Gorbachev introduced the policy of perestroïka (reconstruction) and glasnost’ (openness) in the Soviet Union, and the attitude of the state towards religion underwent a complete change, as a process of political, cultural and religious renaissance took place throughout the USSR. The Soviet state’s monolithic atheist policy could not survive the renaissance of its multi-ethnic and multi-religious society, and the year 1991 saw the dissolution of the Soviet Union.

Like other Soviet National Republics, Tajikistan declared its independence on 9 September 1991, and the Ismaili community of Badakhshan remained an integral part of it. Tajik Ismailis, like many other people in the newly independent Tajikistan, actively participated in the political developments of the early 1990s, which ultimately led to a civil war (1992–1997). Some Ismaili activists founded a movement called La’l-i Badakhshan, with the aim of achieving greater autonomy for the GBAO.215 In the early stages of the civil war in 1992–1993, many Ismailis took refuge in Badakhshan, which the war had isolated from the outside world. The inflow of refugees from other war-zones in Tajikistan resulted in food shortages and the conflict caused a complete implosion of the economy and a humanitarian catastrophe of enormous proportions. But, from 1993 on, the Aga Khan Foundation (AKF)’s rural development interventions in Tajikistan coordinated relief and humanitarian assistance. Subsequently, with the change in political climate and the restoration of stability, the AKDN has grown extensively in Tajikistan to become a major force for improving the quality of life in the country.

In addition to this, the re-establishment of connections with their current Imam, Shah Karim al-Husayni, Aga Khan IV, as well as with the global Ismaili community, brought about a new climate of hope for the Tajik Ismailis. Various institutions began working in varying capacities in the region, including grassroots initiatives with local businesses and education, and working towards the development of civil society in the post-Soviet era.

215 Dagiev, Regime Transition in Central Asia, p. 115.
Moreover, three visits by Aga Khan IV to Tajikistan in 1995, 1998 and 2008 generated a new spirit in all the people of the region. For the first time in centuries, the Ismailis and their fellow Muslims in Badakhshan joined in recognising their common aspirations. In each of his visits, the Ismaili imam stressed the importance of peaceful co-existence, education and ethics for the development of the economy and civil society in Central Asia. These visits substantially raised the self-confidence of the people, sparked hope in many of them, and contributed to the peace process. The people of the Pamirs now face the future as a revitalised and confident community that hopes to play a constructive role within the global Ismaili community in general and in Central Asia in particular.\textsuperscript{216}

In October 2009, an Ismaili Centre in Dushanbe was opened by Aga Khan IV and the President of Tajikistan Emomali Rahmon. The Ismaili Centre was built in the heart of the capital city with the purpose of encouraging a ‘spirit of peace and dialogue and in the search for knowledge and human dignity’. The Ismaili Centre offers the Ismaili community’s own outlook and understanding of Islam as ‘a thinking, spiritual faith’.\textsuperscript{217}

Earlier, in 2000, the University of Central Asia (UCA) was founded. The Presidents of the Kyrgyz Republic, Tajikistan, and Kazakhstan, along

\textsuperscript{216} Niyozov, ‘Shi’a Ismaili Tradition in Central Asia’, pp. 39–46.
with Aga Khan IV, signed the International Treaty and Charter establishing this secular, private, not-for-profit university, which was ratified by the relevant parliaments and registered with the United Nations. The UCA’s Tekeli Town campus in Kazakhstan was officially opened in 2003, the Naryn campus was inaugurated in September 2016 in the Kyrgyz Republic, and the second residential campus in Tajikistan, in Khārūgh, opened in September 2017. The UCA brings with it the commitment and partnership of the broader AKDN by offering an internationally recognised standard of higher education in Central Asia. The UCA’s mission is to promote the social and economic development of Central Asia, particularly its mountain communities, while at the same time helping the different peoples of the region to preserve and draw upon their rich cultural heritage and diverse traditions as assets for the future.
The maxim that ‘knowledge is power’ was demonstrated by the campaigns of the imperial powers of Britain and Russia to document and research the areas now under their control. Once any territorial disputes had been settled between them, they embarked on studying and exploring both the region of Central Asia and the people under their colonial rule. Russia’s interest in Ismaili studies is believed to have been provoked by the colonial policies of British India. Earlier in the 13th/19th century, Afghanistan had lost a great part of eastern Pashtunistan to British India. The accession of ’Abd al-Rahmān Khān to the throne of Afghanistan in 1880 saw him expand his territory to include Kāfīristān, Qaṭaghān, Badakhshān and Chahār-wilāyat. Much of the present northern Afghanistan had already been subdued and his expansionism was certainly a response to British military involvement in his country’s affairs. At the same time, the Russian military presence in Turkestan (present Central Asia) highlighted the region’s geo-political importance, which lay between territories under the effective control of the two imperial powers. As a result, the Pamir region became an area of rivalry between the British and Russian empires, in the struggle referred to as the Great Game. For the Russians this was an
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imperial expansionist policy aimed at gaining control over the new territories, while the British lent support to 'Abd al-Raḥmān Kān, amīr of Afghanistan, in order to compensate him for the loss of eastern Pashtunīstān. Due to this colonial rivalry there was a need for knowledge about the area, people, culture, religion and geography of the territories occupied.²

Accordingly, the Russian empire, in the British fashion, ordered scholars to begin studying the people and countries of Russian Turkestan.³ This was a time when scholars were given the task of defining priorities in the study of new regions. In this regard Serebrennikov, a Russian explorer of the Pamir region, noted, 'It is precisely this mountainous country . . . that represents the sources of the Amū Daryā flowing through the whole of Central Asia from the heights of the Pamirs to the Aral Sea and known since ancient times under the name of Oxus.'⁴ Almost in the same manner, M.S. Andreev later wrote in 1905, ‘The pre-Pamiri countries have similarities with the Caucasus in terms of their multitude of ethnographic units. Here, in every valley one can see a distinct dialect and authentic custom that has no analogy even in the most nearby village.’⁵ During the second half of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century, a number of archaeological monuments were studied, but the greater

³ The first study of this kind was undertaken by Konstantin von Kaufmann (1818–1882), the first Governor-General of Russian Turkestan (1867–1882). Turkestanskii Al’bom (The Turkestan Album) is a unique photographic survey dedicated to the history, ethnography, geography, economy and culture of Central Asia.

The Russian Orientalist A.L. Kun (also spelled Kuhn) compiled the first three parts, and the album was formerly referred to as the Kun Collection. Other compilers included M.T. Brodovskii, M.A. Terentyev, N.V. Bogaevskii and the photographer N.N. Nekhoroshev. The Military-Topographic Department, Military District of Tashkent printed the lithographic parts of each plate. The production work was primarily done in St Petersburg and Tashkent in 1871–72. Available online at: https://www.loc.gov/rr/print/coll/287_turkestan.html [last accessed, 7 May 2019]
⁴ A. Serebrennikov, ‘Ocherki Shugnana’ [Sketch of Shugnan], Voennyĭ sbornik, 226 (1896), pp. 1–52; A. Serebrennikov, Ocherk o Pamire [Sketch of Pamir] (St Petersburg, 1900), p. 1.
⁵ M.S. Andreev, ‘Bliny v pripamirskikh stranakh’ [Oral Epic in the Pamir Countries], in TV, 32 (1905), pp. 3–33.
successes of Russian orientalism were in the fields of historical geography, history, irrigation and numismatics. The pioneering contribution of the eminent Russian Orientalist Vasiliĭ Vladimirovich Bartol’d (1869–1930) to the study of the history of Central Asian peoples has been generally acknowledged by specialists in this field.\(^6\)

Material on the beliefs, culture, way of life, languages and dialects of the Ismaili areas, as well as on the history, political and socio-economic problems faced by the Ismailis, were primarily collected by amateur scholars during secret missions, as military envoys, adventurers from all walks of life, naturalists and officers in the Russian army. A characteristic feature of these studies was a non-differential approach to integrated knowledge. In the 20th century, the salient feature of Soviet scholarship was its ideological focus; a disciplinary approach in studying the Pamir area and the Pamiri people took the general form of a survey that only took account of certain cultural aspects of Ismailism. Soviet ethnographic research began to be shaped along national republic lines and categorised according to the numerous ethnic minorities living in the vast area of the Soviet Union. Along with the Russian scholars, indigenous cadres of various nationalities, including Tajik specialists and a number of Ismailis, participated in these ethnographic surveys.

Under the Soviet regime, the Ismaili-populated areas were studied with reference to the disciplines of archaeology, ethnography, anthropology, linguistics and history. Having identified the cultural peculiarities of the economically and culturally backward peoples in the peripheral areas of Tsarist Russia, Soviet ethnography aimed at defining the specific form of non-capitalist methods to be used in order to develop these peoples along the socialist model. The experience of the first generations of Soviet ethnographers, who began their studies during the pre-revolutionary period, has provided indispensable information. Collecting research material, ethnographic methods, observation, and a scientific approach to material and cultural evidence in pre-Soviet scholarship were the major features in the study of the way of life and culture of these peoples.

Interest in Ismaili studies can be said to have appeared in Russian scholarship during the course of the Russian annexation of Turkestan in the second half of the 19th century, and in connection with the Ismaili
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areas in the region. On the one hand, British colonial rule in India where the Ismaili imams had resided since the 1840s, and on the other hand, the expansionist policy of the Russian empire that had incorporated Ismaili-populated regions, caused the Russians to view the Ismaili imam as an agent of the British imperialists, who could work against Russian interests.\(^7\) First of all, the Russian consulates in Bombay and Kashgar indicated their alarm and sent reports to the Turkestan Governor-Generalship on the apparently pro-British stance of the Ismaili Imam Sulṭān Muḥammad Shāh, Aga Khan III (d. 1957).\(^8\) In addition to this, Baron Cherkasov, the Head of the Russian Political Agency in the Turkestan Governor-Generalship, also provided some information on the Tajik Ismailis. In his account, Baron Cherkasov shows little knowledge of Islam, though he was allegedly conversant in Persian. In this reports, he claims that the religion of Panjtanī among the Mountain Tajiks, which is how he refers to Ismailism in his report, neglected the usual precepts of Islamic religious duties and obligations.\(^9\) He added that there was little in terms of religious hierarchy but much worship of saints and sanctuaries.

Alekseĭ Bobrinskoĭ, who met three Ismaili pīrs in various areas during an expedition in the Pamirs, published a booklet called Sekta ismail’ī v russkikh i bukharskikh predelakh Sredneĭ Azii (The Ismaili Sect in Russian and Bukharan Central Asia), which is distinct from his ethnographic study of the Ishkāshimīs and Wakhānīs. This booklet consists of interviews with Sayyid Yūsuf ‘Alī Shāh, Sayyid Kāzim and ‘Alī Mardān Shāh, well-known Ismaili pīrs in the Western Pamirs at the turn of the 20th century. The booklet is not an academic work and is most subjective in relation to the people with whom he spoke and with regard to their beliefs. Despite that, as a result of its publication, Bobrinskoĭ was considered an authority on the religion of the mountain Tajiks. Either due to his poor Persian, a deficient interpreter, or reluctance on the part of the pīrs to initiate an open discussion with an outsider on matters of faith, Bobrinskoĭ describes the main religious authorities of the Ismailis as illiterate people, who neither understand nor follow Islamic tenets.

---


\(^8\) On this point see, p. 70 above.

However, it seems that Bobrinskoī’s main issue of concern was the genealogies of the pîrs, the number of their followers and the procedure of paying the zakāt.\textsuperscript{10} Paradoxically, many years later the well-known Russian scholar, Aleksandr A. Semënov, recalled with admiration his meetings with lay Ismailis whom he regarded as ‘peasant-philosophers’ due to their knowledge of Greek philosophy.\textsuperscript{11}

On the whole, pre-Soviet scholarship did not produce significant works on the Ismailis, and even the reports of the Russian political, military and diplomatic missions generally dealt with the political aspects of the life of the community. The dearth of robust academic literature and the lack of accurate knowledge on the Ismailis and their religious beliefs, history and philosophy as well as the role of their imams, frequently led to misunderstandings about them on the part of other religious groups. However, the archival material collected from diplomatic missions, military service and clandestine documents is still very useful as the historical record of a given era and its ideas, and for the statistical data it provides.

\textbf{The Ismailis in Soviet Studies}

Most studies on the Ismailis during the Soviet era were carried out on the basis of Marxist-Leninist ideology. The aim of Marxism was, in fact, to fight for the transformation of society on a national and international scale, and it considered religion as a factor leading to class division in human society.\textsuperscript{12} Religious studies were to be approached in the light of historical Marxism, which, by nature stands in contradiction to religious ideas and teachings.\textsuperscript{13} Meanwhile, the Soviet government initiated a scrupulous study of religion ‘per se’. These measures can be said, in the broader sense of the word, to have been undertaken as part of an atheist campaign to eradicate religion, ‘the opium of the masses’, as well as part

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Bobrinskoī, ‘Sekta ismailî’, pp. 1–20.
\item Georgi Plekhanov, \textit{Selected Philosophical Works}, vol. 3 (Moscow, 1976), pp. 117–183.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
of the implementation of the grand project to reinterpret the past along the lines of Marxist theory. Communist agitators argued that religion being reactionary was the instrument of class enemies and a remnant of imperialism. The study of Islam materialised in this hostile environment. A book by a leading Soviet scholar on Islamic studies, Nikolai Smirnov, reflects this policy. Smirnov’s book begins with a reference to Marx and Lenin’s critique of religion and the religious Weltanschauung; it pays great attention to presenting the social function of religion as an instrument of a class-ridden society, and exposing the class character of the activities of religious organisations which were aimed at protecting the interests of the oppressor class. The book, which was regarded as a major work on the study of Islam, is in two parts: (i) from the first encounters of the Kievan Rus’ (East Slavic tribes) with Muslims in the 7th/13th to the early 20th century; (ii) from the period following the October Revolution up to the early 1950s. The work analyses various aspects of Islam such as the religion and culture of Muslims from historical, social and political perspectives, from the early days of Islam through its development and expansion into different lands. It also provides different studies of the Russian people, in particular their intellectual perception of Islam over the course of history. However, as the author argues, ‘the study [. . .] of Islam and the religious organisations in the history of the East and of modern life, in particular, shows up the role of Islam as an instrument of imperialist policy used for the colonial enslavement of the people of the East; [this] is the most important task for Soviet historians.’

Following these premises, Ismailism, alongside all other religious traditions, came under attack in several studies written during the Soviet era. As regards the Ismailis, the prejudices were twofold: not only did Soviet scholars engage in Ismaili studies from a Marxist ideological perspective, but they were also victims of the bias in the historical sources which they used when writing about the Ismailis. These anti-Ismaili sources had been influenced by the polemical writings of earlier Sunni authors such as Abū ‘Abd Allāh Muḥammad b. ‘Alī b. Rizām al-Kūfī, better known as Ibn Rizām, who lived in Baghdad during the first half of

15 N.A. Smirnov, Ocherki Istoriizucheniia Islama v SSSR (Moscow, 1954).
16 Ibid., p. 3.
17 Ibid., p. 263.
the 4th/10th century and Abū Manṣūr ʿAbd al-Qāhir b. Ṭāhir al-Baghdādī (d. 429/1037). F. Daftary, in his studies, has demonstrated that the Sunni polemicists and heresiographers often deliberately incorporated or fabricated anti-Ismaili accounts in their writings. Also the establishment of an Ismaili state centered at the fortress of Alamūt under the leadership of Hasan-i Ṣabhāḥ (d. 518/1124), and Ismaili opposition to the Saljūq Turks, who ruled the eastern Islamic lands of Iran and Central Asia and who supported the Abbasid caliphs, led to further Sunni reaction against the Ismailis in general, and the Nizārī Ismailis of Persia and Syria in particular. The new literary campaign was initiated and led by Niżām al-Mulk, vizier of the Saljūq sultan Malik-Shāh (r. 465–485/1072–1092). He was one of the most fervent opponents of the Ismailis and of their dāʿwa, and his Siyāsat-nāma, a work in the Mirror for Princes genre, has been regarded as one of the important sources, albeit from the viewpoint of a staunch opponent, on early Ismaili dāʿwa activity in Persia and Khurāsān. He devoted a chapter in the Siyāsat-nāma to condemnation of the Ismailis and an account as he saw it of their history to date. However, the earliest polemical treatise against the Persian Ismailis and their doctrine of taṣlīm (the authoritative teaching in religion of an imam in every age after the Prophet Muhammad) was written by al-Ghazālī (450–505/1058–1111). He was, in fact, commissioned by the Abbasid caliph al-Mustazhir (487–512/1094–1118) to produce a major treatise in refutation of the Bāṭinīs, a demeaning designation meaning ‘esotericists’ coined for the Ismailis by their adversaries. He completed this task in the Niżāmiyya madrasa in Baghdad in 488/1095.

These were the kinds of primary sources by Muslim writers that were examined by Russian and Soviet scholars who also used a number of medieval European works based on the distorted images derived from the Crusaders who, despite military and diplomatic encounters with the Fatimids in Egypt and the Nizārī Ismailis in Syria, had remained unversed in the teachings of Islam and notably Ismaili beliefs, and engaged in
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fanciful speculations and the fabrication of legends developing the stories of the Ismailis’ Muslim opponents yet further.  

Defamation of the Ismailis of Badakhshan and their leader, the Aga Khan, was not a Soviet invention, but dated back to the time of the Russian empire and the rivalry between the colonial powers. At that time, the Russian colonial rulers erroneously considered Aga Khan III a British agent. Soviet Communist scholars continued with the same imperial misperception. This is demonstrated by Maïskiï’s 1935 statement, ‘Ismailism is the ideology of the feudal aristocracy, and it is clear that the ideology of the class could not be the enemy of the same class. The modern Ismaili caliph – Sultan Muhammad Shah, Aga Khan III – is a major bourgeois politician and a staunch supporter of the British Raj in India.’

It was this alleged support for the British in India that was the reason for the Communist government in Moscow clinging on to the pre-Soviet vision of Aga Khan III. The Aga Khan had appealed to his murids (his followers) in India and Central Asia to lend their support to the British during the Second World War. The hardening of Soviet policy vis-à-vis the Ismailis, as mentioned, justified and encouraged Soviet scholars in taking a more aggressive stance in their works. The writings of these scholars are sometimes not far short of prejudice due to their continuous reliance on the medieval sources. G. Ashurov (1930–2020), E. Bertel’s (1890–1957), A. Bertel’s (1926–1995), A. Bogoutdinov (1911–1970), E. Braginskiï (1905–1989), B. Ghafurov (1908–1977), A. Zakuev (1988–1968), L. Klimovich (1907–1989), A. Semenov (1873–1958), L. Stroeva (1910–1993) and Kh. Dodikhudoev (1936–2021), were all Soviet scholars who directly or indirectly studied the Ismailis. The scholars who dealt more strictly with the philosophical aspect of the Ismailis were A. Bogoutdinov, S. Grigorian (1920–1974), A. Sagadeyev (1931–1997) and O. Trakhtenberg  


24 P.M. Maïskiï, ‘Sledy drevnikh verovaniï v pamirskom ismailizme’ [Traces of Ancient Beliefs in Pamiri Ismailism], Sovetskaià ètnografià, 3 (1935), pp. 48–58, especially p. 58.
(1889–1959). Soviet studies were diametrically opposed to what came to be identified as ‘bourgeois orientalist scholarship’. The ideological tendentiousness and consequences of the myopia of Soviet scholarship becomes more obvious in the work of these scholars, as for example, in the work of Dodikhudoev, who has produced several books, numerous articles and delivered countless lectures on Ismaili philosophy.\(^{26}\)

**Review of the Literature on the Ismailis in Soviet Scholarship**

Khaëlbek Dodikhudoev is an important and interesting case for this study as well as for the further development of Ismaili studies in the post-Soviet era. Firstly, Dodikhudoev was regarded as a major scholar in the field of Ismaili studies, during both the Soviet and post-Soviet periods; secondly, even though he was a product of Soviet schooling, Dodikhudoev also had the advantage of being born into an Ismaili family in Badakhshân of Tajikistan. Thirdly, as a dynamic scholar during both the Soviet and post-Soviet eras, his scholarship will also be examined in terms of the shift in Ismaili studies since the disintegration of the Soviet Union in the section below entitled ‘Review of the Literature on the Ismailis in Post-Soviet Scholarship’.\(^{27}\) During the Soviet period, Dodikhudoev’s views about the origins of the Ismaili movement were in line with the Marxist understanding of religion. While this view was shared by the above-mentioned colleagues and scholars, Dodikhudoev argued that an analysis of the secondary literature shows two opposing attitudes towards the medieval Ismaili movement:

According to the one, held mainly by the bourgeois orientalists, the intellectual speculations of the Ismailis not only did not go beyond the


\(^{26}\) In a recent publication Dodikhudoev admitted that ideological restrictions were in place in Ismaili studies during the Soviet period. Dodikhudoev, *Filosofskii ismailizm* [Philosophical Ismailism] (Dushanbe, 2014), pp. 6–7.

\(^{27}\) For more discussion on Dodikhudoev see, pp. 108–115.
framework of Islamic dogmas, but, on the contrary, refined them. Therefore, the accusations against the Ismailis of heresy, atheism and allegations of socialist inclinations are groundless. W.A. Ivanow, A. Ismail, H. Corbin, A. Nanji, S.H. Naṣr and others hold this viewpoint.  

At the same time, Dodikhudoev, like his Soviet contemporaries, adopted the second interpretation with regard to the emergence of the Ismaili movement which is in line with the official Marxist approach to the study of religion:

...Ismailism initially emerged from contradictions within the Shi‘i Imamate. With a series of doctrinal changes in virtually all aspects, including theological, philosophical and socio-political ones, the movement grew into a powerful counter-weight to the Sunni orthodoxy and to Shi‘ism itself, while it invariably bore an implicit mark of popular aspirations. This point of view has been promoted by a number of scholars and students of the history and doctrine of Ismailism, including A.M. Bogoutdinov, B.G. Ghafurov, L.V. Stroeva, Alessandro Bausani, H. Enayat, K. Keshawarz, Hermann Ley, Bernard Lewis and Ehsan Tabari.

Moreover, the excessive reliance of Soviet scholars on medieval sources that were opposed to the Ismailis was not incidental since it conformed to the Marxist understanding of the emergence of religions as a part of the class struggle between different groups in society. The medieval sectarian discourse provided evidence for the analysis of the social system because, in the Marxist view, orthodox and heterodox schools have often corresponded to the respective ideologies of the antagonistic classes. Within this framework, Dodikhudoev assumed a pragmatic stance, perhaps on the basis that the dominant Marxist ideology allowed for a reinterpretation of Ismaili philosophy as one of rebellion and as a form of medieval freethinking, with this reinterpretation disguised as atheist propaganda and as essentially founded on anti-Islamic agendas. Therefore, Dodikhudoev may have viewed Ismailism as a medieval sectarian discourse on political philosophy, a theory initially laid out by Soviet
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29 Ibid., p. 7.
Oriental Studies and by the Soviet Tajik scholar Bobojon Gharufov. The latter’s main work *Tadzhiki* (The Tajiks) traces the history of the Tajiks from ancient times. The sections of the book on the Ismailis and Qarmaṭīs during the Sāmānid era best illustrate partisan scholarship with reference to medieval Sunni sources. Gharufov argued that the rise of the Qarmaṭīs was related to the internal weaknesses of the Sāmānid state, relying on the material in *al-Kāmil fi‘l-ta‘rīkh* by Ibn al-Athīr (555–630/1160–1233), a Sunni historian of the medieval era, to develop his argument. He also linked the Qarmaṭī movement to the major uprisings that occurred under the leadership of the Ismaili dā‘ī al-Marvāzī who was defeated in 306/918, first in Herat and then in Nīshāpūr.30

Equally, Gharufov could not, or intentionally did not, draw a distinction between the Qarmaṭīs and the Ismailis, as was the case with many Soviet and post-Soviet scholars.31 He uses the taxonyms Qarmaṭī and Ismaili interchangeably, apparently with the meaning of an anti-bourgeois community. In his analysis, the bourgeois class confronted a rival utilitarian aristocracy who skilfully manipulated mass discontent to their own advantage. In this manner, underlying religious sensitivities prevailed over ideological commitment. Gharufov cleverly embarks on speculation about the dualist nature of the Qarmaṭī movement in which the peasants are again betrayed by their masters. Further in the same work, when discussing the Saljūqs and the era of the Khwārazm-Shāhs from the 5th/11th to the 7th/13th century, Gharufov defines Ismaili doctrine as distinct from the beliefs of the Qarmaṭīs, but nonetheless considers the Ismaili *da‘wa* as an extension of the early Qarmaṭī movement: ‘The movement, now renamed Ismailism, came to be used by local feudal rulers in their struggle against the Saljūqs. The Ismaili sect with its new programme of action, transformed itself into a clandestine terrorist organisation’.32 Every now and then Gharufov moves back and forth between class and ethnic differentiation by writing of the natural anti-Turk and pro-Tajik (Persian) stance of the Ismailis.
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30 B. Gharufov, *Istoriia Tadzhikskogo Naroda v Kratkom Izlozhenii. S Drevneishikh vremien do velikoi Oktjabrskoi Revoliutsii 1917* [The History of the Tajik People in Summary. From Ancient Times to the Great October Revolution in 1917], vol. 1. (Moscow, 1952), pp. 201–203. For the dā‘ī al-Marvāzī, see also Chapter 1 of this work, pp. 7–8, and 10.

31 This point will be further illustrated in the section ‘Review of the Literature on the Ismailis in Soviet Scholarship’, pp. 71–90.

Ghafurov was not alone in his claims, as the same view was supported by a range of Soviet scholars, including Bertel’s and Stroeva who regarded the Ismailis and their ideology as a ‘class struggle in feudal societies of the Middle East’. The Ismailis have been described as an extreme religious group who from the early days of their religious formation up to the Fatimid and later Alamūt periods terrorised their political and religious opponents in order to achieve their ultimate goals. Furthering his argument, Ghafurov also accused Aga Khan III of being a lackey of the British monarchy.

However, to be fair, not all scholarly works were composed in line with Marxist ideology and neither did all academics succumb to bias in their pursuit of Ismaili studies. During the Second World War, particularly after 1943, there was a positive shift in Soviet policy towards religion and

---


religious ceremonies. The reasons for this are to be found in the Soviet authorities’ general effort to acquire the maximum support of the Soviet people in the ‘patriotic war’ against Nazi Germany. They eventually eased some of the restrictions on religious authorities performing religious duties and ceremonies. This also created opportunities for academics to carry on with their work freely. Certainly, this policy played a positive role in the study of religion, and consequently the lion’s share of academic literature on the Ismailis was produced at that time. The Soviet government became more tolerant towards religion, and even though the relatively short-lived rule of the Soviet leader, Nikita S. Khrushchev (r. 1953–1964), brought back some restrictions, it was a time when a new generation of scholars emerged who were more disposed towards academic success and achievement in contrast to the first generations of military officers or government agents who were commissioned for the service of Imperial Russia and the Communist Party. There are indeed exceptions in terms of impartial scholarship which did not necessarily yield to strict ideological demands and state-sponsored interpretations. Many studies regarding the study of Ismaili history, philosophy, rituals and beliefs were conducted to a high academic standard and these have been held in considerable respect by modern scholars studying the Ismailis of Badakhshan.

Badakhshan, as mentioned earlier, is a land-locked region surrounded by the high Pamir Mountains which have protected and preserved not only the community but also ancient rituals, cultural traditions and linguistic diversity. Persian has served as a lingua franca between speakers of the various Pamiri languages, and between them and the rest of the Persian-speaking world. Moreover in Badakhshan, Persian is the language used for most works of religious literature as well as other literature, notably poetry. It was the beauty of the manuscripts and a fascination with calligraphy that attracted Russian scholars to Ismaili studies and the study of Ismaili rituals, traditions and practices. One of the most dynamic scholars in the field of Ismaili studies was Aleksandr A. Semënov, whose output included more than twenty articles along with the partial translation of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s Wajh-i dīn into Russian, and encompassed
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an edition of the work of Fidā'ī Khurāsānī’s *Kitāb Hidāyat al-mu’minin al-tālibīn* with an extensive introduction. Furthermore, he also devoted several articles to Ismaili studies. One of these was ‘Iz oblasti religioznykh verovanii shugnanskikh ismailitov’ (On the Realm of the Religious Beliefs of the Ismailis of Shughnān); Semēnov collected the material for this article in 1912 as a scholar, while still in the service of the colonial administration. The article describes many aspects of Shughnānī Nizārī Ismaili doctrine on the concept of God and the creation of the world. He argued that even though a thousand years had passed since the spread of Islam amongst the mountain Tajiks, people were still able to maintain ancient beliefs, and Islam had not be able to completely supercede the primitive beliefs of the people including the role of the pīrs who played an important part in the daily lives of the mountain Tajiks. ‘Ismailitskaia oda, posviashchennaiia voloshcheniiu Alii v boga’ (An Ismaili Ode Dedicated to the Incarnation of God in ‘Ali) is concerned with an Ismaili ode, the *qaṣida-i-Dhurriya* by Raqqāmī Khurāsānī, which Semēnov understood as dedicated to the incarnations of what he referred to as ‘Alī-God. In the article he argued that in what he termed ‘classical Ismailism’ there is no special concept such as shari‘a, ma‘rifā and haqīqa, as in Sufism. However, beginning from 7th–8th/13th–14th centuries after the fall of the Nizārī state, Ismaili approaches assimilated Sufi ones to some extent. Hence Sufi religious concepts and terms are visible in some of the Ismaili writings of this period which are influenced by Sufism, and therefore the studies of individual Ismaili scholars were compiled in the spirit of Sufism. In ‘Nasyri Khosrov o mire dukhovnom i material’nom’ (Nāṣir-i Khusraw on the Spiritual and Material Worlds), Semēnov says that, according to Nāṣir-i Khusraw, the spiritual world was first created, and then the natural world appeared from it.

Nāṣir-i Khusraw believed the spiritual world to be absolute, wise, graceful, scientific, majestic and revered. The cause of the material world is the imperfection of the Universal Soul, by virtue of which it is considered lower in degree and rank than the Universal Intellect. This world belongs to the Universal Soul, as it serves as the main source through which the Universal Soul corrects its imperfections. ‘Protivorechiia vo vzgliadakh na pereselenie dush u pamirskikh ismailitov i u Nasyr-i Khosrova’ (Contradictions in the Views on Metempsychosis in the Works of Nāṣir-i Khusraw and Pamiri Ismailis), is a study on the highest degree of accomplishments of the human soul which can only be achieved with a knowledge of the meaning and value of this material world. ‘Sheikh Dzhalal-ud-Din-Rumi po predstavleniiam shugnanskikh ismailitov’ (The
Shughnānī Ismailis’ View of Shaykh Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī, is an article which begins with an introduction by Semēnov and was written on the basis of recorded conversations with a resident of the Shughnān district whose name, for some reason, was not given. It deals with the meetings and conversations between Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī and Shams-i Tabrizī. In ‘Vzglīad na Koran v vostochnom ismailizme’ (The Qur’an from the Viewpoint of Oriental Ismailism), Semēnov expressed his personal fascination with the Ismaili philosophical interpretation of the Qur’an. In particular, Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s arguments and interpretation of Qur’anic verses and in Wajh-i dīn appeared to Semēnov so far advanced from the ossified forms of Islamic orthodoxy that it could be easily modified to the needs of time and environment. These works and others are saturated with creative ideas reflecting the historical reality of the Ismailis of Central Asia.

36 For further details on all these works see Chapter 3.
It is unarguable that Wladimir Ivanow, who also worked for the Asiatic Museum of the (Imperial) Russian Academy of Sciences as well as later on for the Asiatic Society of Bengal in Calcutta in the 1920s, made great contributions to Ismaili studies. Since he was commissioned in 1931 by the Ismaili Imam Aga Khan III, to research the manuscripts and literature related to the history and doctrines of the Ismailis, it has often been thought that he evinced great sympathy for Ismaili doctrines. However, more to the point, he had unprecedented access to sources in India and later Pakistan, Iran and Central Asia. He also spent much time at the seat of Aga Khan III in Bombay. As a result, besides the publication of Ismaili manuscripts, he also published numerous articles on Ismaili doctrines and philosophy. Being at the heart of the Ismaili community, he had access to archives and other privately owned materials and documents and so he was in a far better position to tackle issues related to Ismaili studies than other scholars. Meanwhile, he was troubled by how some historical sources were full of contradictions and biases against the Ismailis, whereas he saw the Ismailis as a purely defensive community
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struggling against fanatical persecution. This view differed from that of his contemporaries, who considered Ismailism a ‘class struggle’, and identified Ismaili dā’īs as ‘secret agents’, ‘troublemakers’ and ‘assassins’. Ivanow did not regard these writers as scholars but rather as rumour-pedlars. For him the Ismaili dā’īs were ‘prominent public and political figures’ who occupied a special place not only in the history of the Ismaili community, but in the Muslim umma as a whole due to their extensive knowledge and contributions in various fields of learning such as philosophy and theology.\(^{38}\)

Another important scholar in the field of Ismaili Studies was the great ethnographer, Mikhail Stepanovich Andreev, author of the book Tadzhiki Doliny Khuf (The Tajiks of the Khuf Valley). He was a pioneer in the study of the rituals and ceremonies of the Ismaili Tajiks of the Khuf Valley, situated in the present-day Rūshān district of the GBAO. This work is considered to be the most valuable ethnographical study written on the Ismaili inhabitants of Badakhshān.\(^{39}\) However, Andreev’s study displays the influence of the pre-revolutionary Russian ethnographer-collectors, who limited themselves to describing certain aspects of the life and culture of the people under study. As a result, Andreev’s scholarly interpretation of the material presented in the work cannot fully satisfy the reader. A number of important social occurrences of the time are not given an accurate explanation. For example, the book says very little about the representatives of the privileged class in Shughnān and Rūshān and about their relationship with the al-fuqarā’ tax-paying class. The author does not disclose the presence of slave labour in the Pamir region, in particular in Khuf itself. He explains the local rulers practice of selling local people they ruled over exclusively on the basis of religious beliefs. Andreev writes that the Shughnān shāhs could ‘without disturbing their religious conscience, calmly enslave and sell in bondage their own subjects, infidels for them (the Ismailis), which they could not do according to their religious beliefs, if these subjects were Sunni.’ The Shughnān shāhs were mostly Sunnis of foreign origin, it is true. However, this practice of theirs was not only engaged in for religious motives, but


\(^{39}\) M.S. Andreev, Tadzhiki Doliny Khuf (Verkhov’uš Amu Dar’ u) [The Tajiks of the Khuf Valley (The Upper Reaches of the Amū Daryā)] (Stalinabad, Part 1, 1953; Part 2, 1958).
was the result of social attitudes and conditions. Put briefly, the rulers of the Pamir countries were vassals of Badakhshān, and they were obliged to include a number of slaves for the amīr of Badakhshān in the tribute they sent to him.  

Another prominent Russian-Soviet scholar was Andreï Evgen’evich Bertel’s, who dedicated his life to textual studies. Like his father Evgeniï Eduardovich Bertel’s, a scholar of remarkably high stature, outstanding scholarship and erudition, he was also a prolific writer. His extensive studies covered a wide range of themes in classical Persian and Tajik literature. In particular, his elegant translations of Ḥāfiz, Rūmī and other famous Persian poets into Russian with commentaries, and numerous works and articles written in perfect Persian, brought him deserved fame even in Iran. His father, Evgeniï Bertel’s, wrote the entry on Nāṣir-i Khusraw in the Encyclopedia of Islam as well as the Russian translation of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s Safar-nāma. He was one of the first Soviet scholars to regard Nāṣir-i Khusraw as an

40 Ibid., p. 29.
41 Evgeniï Bertel’s, ‘Nāṣir Khusraw’, EI2, pp. 869–870.
advocate of the rights of the peasants in their struggle against the ruling class. In the preface to the Safar-nāma, he emphasises Nāšir-i Khusraw’s important role in teaching and spreading the Ismaili da’wa among the Pamiri Tajiks. However, according to Evgeni ĭ Bertel’s, in his time Ismailism was no longer fighting against feudalism, but rather had become an instrument of British imperialism.\footnote{Evgeni ĭ Bertel’s, ‘Vstuplenie’, in Safar-nāme, p. 17.}

His son, Andre ĭ Bertel’s, wrote Nasir-i Khosrov i Ismailizm (Nāšir-i Khusraw and Ismailism),\footnote{Andre ĭ Bertel’s, Nasir-i Khosrov i ismailizm [Nāšir-i Khusraw and Ismailism]. AN USSR, IV (Moscow, 1959).} a serious pioneering study in Soviet scholarship, and maintained the same standard for subsequent studies. Andre ĭ Bertel’s addressed the development of Ismailism during the time of Nāšir-i Khusraw against the backdrop of contemporary social life. He explored Nāšir’s role, which he analysed through an examination of his philosophical treatises and poetry. He also organised a number of field expeditions to Badakhshān, including one in 1959–1963 under the aegis of Evgeni ĭ Ėduardovich Bertel’s (1890–1957).
of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR and the Institute of Oriental Studies of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan. The expedition headed by Bertel’s discovered many rare manuscripts, which are now preserved in the Rudaki Institute of Oriental Studies and the Written Heritage in Dushanbe. In 1959–1960, he discovered a highly significant Ismaili manuscript which consisted of five philosophical epistles and critically edited the text under the title \textit{Piat' filosofskikh traktatov na temu Afāq va Anfus} (On the Relationship Between Man and the Universe) providing an extensive commentary.\footnote{The published edition contains five philosophical treatises written in Persian in the 5th/11th to 7th/13th centuries: – [Māhmūd b. `Abd al-Karīm Shabistāri] \textit{Mīr`āt al-muḥaqqiqīn}, [`Azīz al-Dīn Nasafī] \textit{Zubdat al-haqā’iq, Umm al-Kitāb, Uṣūl al-ādāb} and \textit{Afāq-nāma}.} The epistles deal with various aspects of Ismaili doctrine, such as the relationship between microcosm and macrocosm, and between Man and Universe. On the basis of these field trips and the materials discovered, in 1971 and 1972 a local scholar, Amīrbek Habībov (1916–1998), produced two monographs entitled \textit{On the History of Tajik Literature in Badakhshān} and \textit{The Treasures of Badakhshān}.\footnote{For more details about these publications, see Chapter 3.} Also as a result of these expeditions, Bahodur Iskandarov published his edition of a work by two local scholars, Qurbān Muḥammad-žāda (aka Ākhūnd Sulaymān, d. 1953) and Muḥabbat Shāhzāda (aka Shāh Fitūr, d. 1959), \textit{Istorii Badakhshana}, in Moscow in 1973 with an extensive introduction.

Perhaps the best example of Ismaili studies in the Soviet period is provided by the writings of Lūdmila V. Stroeva (1910–1993). Her major works are: \textit{Dvizhenie Ismailitov v Isfakhane v 1100–1107 gg.} (The Ismaili Movement in Isfahan in 1101–1107) and \textit{K Voprosu O Sotsial’noi Prirode Ismailitskogo Dvizheni\textit{i}a v Irane v XI–XIII vv.} (On the Problem of the Social Nature of the Ismaili Movement in Iran in the 11th–13th Centuries). Even within the ideological constraints of the time, in her studies Stroeva managed to draw a realistic picture of the last years of the Ismaili state of Alamūt. \textit{Gosudarstvo Ismailitov v Irane v 11–13 vv.,} leaving aside some compulsory ideological ‘embellishment’, may be the least biased study of Ismaili history in Russian. Here is an extract from her writings:

From the beginning of the 13th century, the Ismaili state received a large number of Muslims who emigrated there from various feudal estates.
This seems to have been due to the conducive policy of Jalāl al-Dīn Hasan – Naw-Musulmān (New Muslim). Even later, the Ismaili state began to attract many people because of its economic prosperity. . . the attraction being that in the Ismaili lands any people, even enemies of the Ismailis, could find refuge and political asylum. This tradition was never violated and was known far and wide.46

In her work, Stroeva expounded upon the Ismaili movement in Iran and Syria between the 3rd and 7th/9th and 13th centuries, presenting it as a popular movement of the masses aimed at social emancipation and liberation under the leadership of Hasan-i Ṣabbāh (440s–518/1050s–1124) and his disciples.47 As for the methods of ‘individual terror’ attributed to them, Stroeva contended that it was a class war waged against the stratum of exploiters.48 Class-consciousness, according to Stroeva, was their central motive because:

The overwhelming majority of the population, the rank and file Ismailis, were occupied in agriculture, cattle breeding and handicrafts. From among them personnel for military raids were recruited, and likewise from among them came forth the fidā’īs. They carried on their shoulders the burden of public welfare (construction, irrigation and repair, etc.). Freedom from the political domination of the [Turks] gave them a deep sense of satisfaction. Al-da’wa al-jadīda (the new preaching) became a profound articulation of this victory, of complete independence, and of state-building. In it they found their faith in the Imam, who, in their minds, was, in some ways, connected with the establishment of social justice and material equality in the future.49

Through this modern interpretation of the religious state, Stroeva attempted to define the role of the da’wa in the political life of Alamūt: ‘Striving for material equality, the ordinary Ismailis have maintained through the history of their state, respect for, and faith in their leaders whose lifestyles were not in any way dissimilar to theirs’.50 As Stroeva put it regarding the local non-Turkic elite: ‘The Iranian feudals mobilised all

48 Ibid., p. 158.
49 Ibid., p. 168.
50 Ibid.
their forces against the Ismailis in the life-and-death struggle. In spite of everything, however, the Ismaili state survived for the simple reason that the Ismailis knew what they stood for.\textsuperscript{51} Thus in academic circles the stories of the Assassin legends were replaced by a new scholarly view of the Ismailis and the impact of their doctrines on the development of feudal society and culture, one which gradually began to gain acceptance.\textsuperscript{52} In conclusion Stroeva declared:

In the Ismaili state they demolished the political power of the Saljuqs, ousted the Saljuq administration; a traditional form of governing, hereditary monarchy, was substituted by the rulership of Hasan-i Sabbah and his disciples who expressed the interests of the popular masses – the artisans, urban poor and peasants. This was a significant achievement of the rebels.\textsuperscript{53}

Unlike the medieval heresiographers and indeed some modern scholars, Stroeva refrained from attacking Hasan-i Sabbah. For Stroeva, Hasan-i Sabbah was not a ‘shrewd man’ with devious schemes and sinister plots, nor did he claim the imamate for himself and his progeny. Rather, she writes, ‘on its dogmatic level al-da’wa al-jadida was no different from al-da’wa al-qadima [the old preaching]. Hasan-i Sabbah did not proclaim himself imam, but preached in the name of the imam.’\textsuperscript{54} Stroeva, nonetheless, tended to see al-da’wa al-jadida as a deviation from al-da’wa al-qadima brought about by social factors. The circumstances that led to the split of the Ismailis into Musta’li and Nizari branches, she contended, were identical to those entailing the formation of similar groups. As was always the case, this schism is explained in terms of controversies about the person of the imam. Thus, her understanding of the split following the death of the Imam-caliph al-Mustanṣir bi’llah (d. 487/1094) is not watertight and her claim that Hasan-i Sabbah was dissatisfied with al-da’wa al-qadima is not substantiated.

On the whole, and in contrast to some of her colleagues, Stroeva took a balanced approach to the treatment of Ismaili history. It is unfortunate that her contemporaries in Soviet academia often showed an outright hostility to the Ismailis. Thus, the academician Bogoutdinov, while

\textsuperscript{51} Ibid., p. 170.
\textsuperscript{52} Ibid., p. 168.
\textsuperscript{53} Ibid., p. 108.
\textsuperscript{54} Ibid., p. 107.
‘defending’ Rūdakī, ‘implicated’, as he put it, for his explicit ties with the Ismailis at the Šāmānid court, writes, ‘However, it is more likely that Rūdakī sometimes articulated the political orders of aristocratic circles connected with the Fatimids in his poetry… Moreover, even many “leftist” Ismaili preachers of the 4th/10th century were known for their religious fanaticism.’ Likewise, a Soviet historian of philosophy, A.K. Zakuev, who wrote on the doctrinal affinity of the Ikhwān al-Ṣafāʾ and the Ismailis, concluded that ‘undoubtedly, there are many similarities between the teachings and methods of the Ikhwān al-Ṣafāʾ and the Ismailis. Nevertheless, the peaceful, liberal and humanistic teaching of Ikhwān al-Ṣafāʾ, which propagates love, equality and good disposition towards other people, is, in its essence, at odds with the aggressive intolerant views of the Ismailis.’

Another important figure in the field of Ismaili studies was Lidiā Semēnova (1925–2005). One of her works, Iz Istorii Fatimidskogo Egipta (On the History of the Fatimids in Egypt), covers the period from the second half of the 4th/10th century to the first half of the 6th/12th century when the Ismaili imams were ruling over a great empire. Written from a Marxist perspective, it interprets the Ismaili movement in terms of a ‘class struggle’ in which the Fatimids promised social justice for those people who had become disappointed with the Abbasids. The Fatimid empire in Egypt is characterised as a feudal state, with a very high level of development in agriculture, crafts and trade. However, according to Lidiā Semēnova ‘…excessive centralisation over time began to have a negative impact on the life of the country’, while the ‘strengthening the power of the military class and the intensification of the struggle within the army, and the weakening of the Ismaili religious hierarchy…’ ultimately led to the disintegration of the empire. In spite of the fact that the book was written in the spirit of Marxist ideology, it displays a scholarly standard in examining and analysing historical sources without preconceptions or doctrinal biases.

58 Ibid.
The Ismailis in Soviet Badakhshān were also studied in terms of ethnography, cultural anthropology, modern history and politics. Lidia Fedorovna Monogarova’s (1921–2011) ethnographic survey contains references to Ismailism in the Pamirs. Her outline of its origins is a slightly modified version of the orientalist definition common at the time:

Ismailism, rooted in the divination of ‘Ali, the son-in-law of the Prophet Muhammad, is one of the two major ramifications in the Shi‘i branch of Islam. The emergence of the denomination in the middle of 2nd/8th century is believed to have been connected to a dispute over the right to succession to the imamate after the sixth Shi‘i Imam Ja‘far al-Ṣādiq, who allegedly deprived his elder son Ismā‘il of hereditary rights. Some of Ja‘far’s followers, however, did not accept his decision and declared Ismā‘il the rightful seventh imam, and thereafter the Ismaili sectarians defected. 59

However, Monogarova, similar to many of her colleagues of the Soviet era, instantly finds a social explanation for a religio-political development:

The denial of Ismā‘il’s right to the succession was a pretext for the formation of the new sect, as the genuine reason behind the split was ‘the struggle between feudalism’ and incipient capitalist relations, especially [since] many Ismaili followers were among the wealthy and educated classes of the urban and provincial bourgeoisie . . . the propagators of Ismailism penetrated far into the depths of the lower social level. 60

Monogarova further argued that the Ismailis in the Western Pamirs, who regard Ṣā‘īr-i Khusraw as the first propagator of the Ismaili da‘wa there, were different from their fellow Muslims. 61 In her view, which is in line with Marxism, the Ismaili system was formed under the influence of the Indo-Iranian and Zoroastrian religious perspectives on Islam and, therefore, it had a deep impact, particularly because the population of Pamiri lands adopted the Ismaili faith while they were still in a patriarchal and feudal stage of development, maintaining their ancient animistic beliefs. 62

There are a few studies that have argued that Soviet and post-Soviet scholarship has referred back to the pre-Islamic era to explain rituals and
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60 Ibid.
61 Ibid.
62 Ibid., pp. 63–64.
traditions that they felt did not readily fit with Islam. One such is Daniel Beben’s PhD thesis, in which he said that ‘Soviet and post-Soviet scholarship on the Badakhshāni Ismā‘īlī tradition has often invoked a supposed Zoroastrian past as a means of explaining the presence of pre-Islamic “survivals” in the beliefs and practices of Ismā‘īlīs, particularly observances that were perceived as reflecting a veneration of light or fire’.63 However, this is a somewhat generalised statement with regard to Soviet and post-Soviet scholarship and the significance of ‘a supposed Zoroastrian past’ for Soviet and post-Soviet scholars deserves some investigation. What is more, Soviet and post-Soviet scholars and archaeologists were among the first to provide detailed information on Buddhist castles and temples in the Wakhān region.64 And indeed, before the studies of Soviet scholars, it was Western Europeans visiting the region who made claims about the survival of Zoroastrian beliefs and traditions in Badakhshān, which were reiterated later by Western scholars during the Soviet and then the post-Soviet periods.65 Soviet scholars, working under an official stance of atheism, naturally adopted a neutral approach to the question of the pre-Islamic

65 ‘The Oxus valley having been the cradle of the religion of Zoroaster, the valleys south of the Hindoo Khoosh are not likely to have escaped its influence. In Wakhān there are many towers and structures which are still ascribed to the worshippers of fire, and the tradition of this worship still lingers in Yassin. The secluded easily defensible valleys of Yassin and Gilgit are so eminently suited to afford shelter from persecution of the followers of a dying faith, that fire-worship probably existed in them long after it had been driven out of neighbouring, more accessible, valleys’. John Biddulph, Tribes of the Hindoo Khoosh (Calcutta: office of the superintendent of government printing, 1880), p. 108. See also, Ole Olusen, Through the Unknown Pamirs; the Second Danish Pamir Expedition, 1899–99 (London: William Heinemann, 1904). ‘Then all evidence of Zoroastrian communities disappears from Central Asia, except in the Pamirs and Badakhshān where in the 7th/13th century some people still claimed to follow the teachings of Zoroaster.’ D.A. Scott,
faith of the Badakhshānīs, including whether it was Zoroastrianism or Buddhism, as well as the possible survival of pre-Islamic rituals. There is no doubt that Buddhism also existed in some parts of Badakhshān, in particular along the routes of the Silk Road, one such being the Wakhan corridor.\footnote{66} Similarly Zoroastrianism was a dominant religion and tradition for thousands of years, such that many of its traditions survived including specific features of the Nawruz (Persian New Year) celebrations and of Pamiri houses, graveyards, burial rites and customs, as well as Avestan toponyms.\footnote{67} Most importantly the recent discovery of the ancient city of Karān, including the Fire Temple there, has provided more evidence to support the theory that the Darvās district of Badakhshān of Afghanistan, which lies on the border with Tajikistan, and which was the southern periphery of ancient Bactria, was most probably the birthplace of Zoroaster.\footnote{68} Similarly, many Fire Temples have been discovered in Badakhshān of Tajikistan, Afghanistan, the Northern Areas of Pakistan and, most recently, in the Chinese part of Badakhshān.\footnote{69}

\footnote{66} Hyech’o noted on their religion that ‘The king, the chiefs, and the common people all serve Buddha and do not belong to any other religions.’ Further he provided a brief description of the religion of Shughnān to the north explicitly saying that Buddhism was not observed there. Hyech’o, \textit{The Hye Ch’o Diary: Memoir of the Pilgrimage to the Five Regions of India}, ed. and tr. Han-Sung Yang and Yün-Hua Jan (Berkeley, CA: Asian Humanities Press, 1984), p. 52. See, Beben, \textit{The Legendary Biographies}, p. 51.


Meanwhile, a review of the Soviet literature in Ismaili studies appears rather confusing, as on the one hand there were scholars who somehow undermined Ismaili teachings and doctrines, and on the other hand, there were a number of other scholars who were open-minded in their attempt to understand the nature of Ismailism. Clear examples of this would be quotations from two Tajik scholars, which reflect their scholarship. A quotation from Lutfullo Buzurg-zoda (1909–1943) is a good example to illustrate the case in point:

The situation in Egypt in that period [i.e. under the reign of the Fatimids] is characterised, to a great extent, by a highly centralised state machinery and a higher degree of *adāb* in the state administration. Manufacture and commerce flourished there and more religious tolerance was observed for perfectly explicable mundane reasons, namely religious tolerance was conducive to commercial and diplomatic relations with non-Muslim states.\(^{70}\)

Buzurg-zoda also presented a progressive view of the conversion to Ismailism of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw which he considered had two purposes: on the one hand it led to the formation of a great *dā‘ī* of the Ismaili faith, but on the other it was part of his mission to fight against the oppression of his people in Khurāsān at the hand of foreign rulers.\(^{71}\)

Equally, another Tajik scholar, Kamol Ayīnī (1928–2010), discussed the tragedy and crisis faced by Nāṣir in his life and saw his acceptance of Ismailism as the only possible way to fight feudalism and the ideology of ‘orthodox’ Islam.\(^{72}\) For these reasons, the way Nāṣir-i Khusraw courageously confronted the trials of his life was an important factor in his popularity with his contemporaries and in particular with the later generations who admired and respected his bravery, his compassion and his love for Khurāsān that included, of course, its people and his mother tongue:

---


\(^{71}\) Ibid., p. 10.

\(^{72}\) Kamol Ayīnī, ed. and intr., *Nosiri Khusra. Gulchine az devoni ashør* [Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. Selected Poems from *Divān*] (Stalinabad, 1957).
I am he who does not throw before swine
These precious pearls of the Persian language.\(^73\)

(Nāṣir-i Khusraw, *Dīvān*, 64:32)

In the Soviet era some of these scholars had to make a choice between either conforming to Communist ideology, or attempting to follow purely academic lines of examination, which involved compromise and manoeuvring between state ideology and academic objectivity. Apart from the Marxist element in Soviet works, other factors should also be considered while reviewing the literature on the Ismailis by Russian and Soviet scholars. Some scholars, perhaps understandably since the written source material was limited, were influenced by the medieval Sunni sources, as has been discussed. Still others, evidently driven by a belief in Communist ideology, carried out their studies in the service of the Communist Party with the expectation of achieving promotion for their ideologically-sound writings.

**Manuscripts Discovered in Badakhshān**

An important work written in Badakhshān is *Silk-i gawhar-rīz*, which was found in the GBAO during the 1959–1963 field expedition organised by the Academy of Sciences of the USSR and the Institute of Oriental Studies of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan. The work was significant for identifying the genealogy of the Ismaili imams and the religious hierarchy of the pīrs of Badakhshān. In contrast to previous texts found in Badakhshān, it is not about theology or philosophy, but is mainly concerned with history and, therefore, represents a source of reference for the study of the history and the genealogy of the pīrs as well as the Ismaili imams. Initially, it was assumed to be only about Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s journey to Badakhshān. Some local people even believed that it was an eastward continuation of his *Safar-nāma* and therefore referred to it as *Safar-nāma-yi mashriq*, assuming that the original text had disappeared. However, textual study has verified that these assumptions were wrong.\(^74\)

*Silk-i gawhar-rīz* was written in the first half of the 13th/19th century in Badakhshān in present-day Afghanistan, by a local author named

---


\(^74\) Ėl’chibekov, *Ierarkhiû Dukhovenstva*, p. 7.
Gawhar-rīz, son of Khwāja ‘Abd al-Nabī, son of Šāliḥ-i Yamgī. He considered himself to be a descendant of Sayyid Khwāja Suhrāb Valī Badakhshānī (d. after 856/1452), who was erroneously assumed to be a companion of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. The book has been portrayed as an intellectual and social history of the Ismailis, and similar to many other works about religion, analyses the concepts of ancient religious beliefs, nature, society and the perception of the Badakhshānī Ismailis regarding their own belief system. As mentioned earlier, there is no specific work that deals with the Ismaili hierarchy although the Sīlk-i gawhar-rīz is a very significant source in this context due to the details it provides on this subject. Even though a few anonymous Ismaili sources such as: Bāb dar bāyn-i dānīstān-i ʿālam-i dīn, Risāla dar bāb-i haft ʿudūd al-dīn and Dawāzdah faṣl provide some information about the Ismaili hierarchy, none of them is as detailed as Sīlk-i gawhar-rīz. However Sīlk-i gawhar-rīz, like some of the other manuscripts discovered, contains misreadings and misunderstandings of historical events particularly regarding Ismaili history, as the ‘author does not provide sources for his information’ and ‘invokes Nāṣīr-i Khusraw throughout the text, [but] narratives about him are scarce’.  

There are three copies of the Sīlk-i gawhar-rīz; one is listed in the Alphabetical Catalogue of the Manuscripts collected by Andreī Bertel’s and Muhammadvafo Bakaev. It is preserved as MS number 195 in the collection of the Institute of Oriental Studies, Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan. The second manuscript was found by a learned man in Khārūgh, the administrative centre of the GBAO, in 1970. Since the first manuscript was found in the Rūshān district, it was listed under the letter ‘R’, and the second manuscript from the Shughnān district is listed under the letter ‘S’. Following the study of both manuscripts, it appears that manuscript ‘S’ is the more complete and accurate one. A third copy is an uncatalogued manuscript held in Semēnov archive in the Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan.

76 A.E. Bertel’s and M. Bakaev, Alφavitnyi katalog rukopisei [Alphabetical Catalogue of Manuscripts], pp. 85–86.
77 Ė l’chibekov, Jerarkhiia Dukhovenstva, p. 60.
Silk-i gawhar-rīz was written in 1224 hijrī (1828–1829) in the Jurm district of Badakhshān and as verses in the book reveal, the author was sixty when he wrote it:

My precious life has reached sixty
The load of guilt has broken my back

Although the author gives his name as Gawhar-rīz, this seems to be his nickname. He was the son of Khwāja ‘Abd al-Nabī b. Khwāja Ṣāliḥ-i Yamgī, and was born around 1181–82/1768–69 (there is no reference to his place of birth).

The ‘S’ copy of the manuscript, consisting of 113 folios, was copied in a very beautiful nastā’īq style by the renowned Ismaili pīr Sayyid Shāhzāda Muḥammad b. Sayyid Farrukh Shāh in 1337/1918 (in the village of Sarā-yi Bahār, Pārshinev district) at the request of an influential local figure of the time, Sayyid Mursal (first half of the 20th century), as indicated in the colophon. The ‘R’ copy, found and collected by the Bertel’s and Bakoev expedition in the district of Rūshān in 1381/1961, is a complete text copied in nastā’īq mutawassīt. It contains many grammatical mistakes, which are quite commonly found in texts copied by the local people.

The work is only known of in Badakhshān, and Wladimir Ivanow noted that he ‘failed to find the manuscript even though he made a great effort to do so’.

The book consists of two parts: poetry and prose. It begins with the conventional practice of talking about the creation of the world and a discussion of Ismaili philosophy of the medieval era. It further argues that the concepts in Ismaili philosophy, which was based a combination of Neoplatonic teaching and interpretation of Qur’anic verses, originated among Ismaili thinkers in Egypt in the 4th–5th/10th–11th centuries and

---

79 A.E. Bertel’s and M. Bakaev, Alfavitnyi rukopisei, pp. 238–239.
80 Author’s interview with Qudratbek  Ė l’chibekov, Senior Research Associate at the Oriental Institute of Manuscript Studies Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan (Dushanbe, 2015).
81 A.E. Bertel’s and M. Bakaev, Alfavitnyi rukopisei, p. 88.
later spread over the present territories of Iran and Central Asia. However, as already stated here, the latest studies have established that Ismaili teachings were infused with Neoplatonic philosophy in Khurāsān by Persian Ismaili thinkers.  

The author of *Silk-i gawhar-rīz* continues with this Ismaili philosophical understanding regarding the creation of the universe, beginning with the ‘*aqīl-i kull* (the Universal Intellect), *nafs-i kull* (the Universal Soul) and *chahār ‘anāsir* (the four elements), in line with Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s religio-philosophical understanding of the world. The historical part of the book is important for scholars studying the Ismailis of Badakhshān, as it attempts to outline a historical narrative about the spread of Ismailism at the time of Nāṣir, as well as providing accounts of the activities of *dā’īs* and other religious authorities who followed Nāṣir’s tradition. However, as already

---

82 For further information in this regard see, pp. 9–10, 12.
mentioned, an examination of the text has demonstrated that *Silk-i gawhar-rîz* cannot be a reliable source as a narrative of the history of the Ismailis of Central Asia. In the historical part, the author mentions Nāṣīr-i Khusraw meeting the Imam of the time, al-Mustanṣir bîllâh, and receiving the title of *huja* of Khurāsān, which is not found in any Fatimid historical sources. However, E. Bertel’s assumed that these details are not given in Nāṣīr’s *Safar-nāma* because the original copy of the *Safar-nāma* was probably lost.

The book provides information about religious leaders in Badakhshān such as Sayyid Suhrāb Valī and Bābā ‘Umar-i Yamgī who were regarded as disciples of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, although Suhrāb Valī lived in the 9th/15th century and ‘has nothing to do with Nāṣīr-i Khusraw and does not even belong to his school, but coincides with the Alamūt tradition.’ But it also presents a detailed account of the Ismaili hierarchy and the ranks of *imām*, *huja*, *dā’ī*, *ma’dhūn-i akbar*, *ma’dhūn-i aṣghar*, *mu’allim*, *mustajib*. A significant part is devoted to the Nizārī imams, the activities of the *dā’īs* and the rulers of Badakhshān from Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s time until that of the author. Although earlier works have been written about the history of Badakhshān, none of them provides such a broad, comprehensive study of the Ismailis and of the activities of the *dā’wa* in Badakhshān.

As mentioned earlier, the basis for understanding the culture and tradition of the indigenous people of the mountain region of Badakhshān was established through the study of Ismailism by Russian scholars. I.I. Zarubin (1887–1964), was one of the first to visit Shughnān in 1916 and collected eleven manuscripts amongst which were: *Umm al-kitāb*, *Wajh-i din*, *Haft  bāb*, *Sih  faṣl-i Aṭṭār*, *Divān-i Shams-i Tabrīzī*, *Markaz al-adwār-i Fayd-i Dakkani*, *Zilzila-nāma*, *Ḥikāyat-i qahqaha*, *Sa’dat-nāma* and *Mir’at al-Muḥaqiqīn*. These, together with some other materials with

84. ‘It is interesting to note that Khurāsān, of which Nāṣīr-i Khusraw claimed to be the *huja* in the second half of the 5th/11th century, does not appear as a jāzīra in al-Nu’mān’s list.’ Daftary, *The Ismā’īlis* (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), p. 218.
85. E. Bertel’s assumed that the present text of the *Safar-nāma* is incomplete and that the original version has not come down to us. ‘The present copy of the *Safar-nāma* is incomplete and has been much distorted, as one can see in several places the narrative is in the third person.’ Bertel’s, preface to his translation of *Nasir-i Khusraw: Safar-nāma* (Moscow–Leningrad, 1933).
Sufi and Ismaili contents acquired from the local people, were eventually moved to the Asiatic Museum in St Petersburg where they are still preserved at the Institute of Oriental Manuscripts.

However, these pioneering Russian scholars were only able to collect manuscripts that were known amongst the larger portion of the community and hence generally accessible.

A few years later Semënov also collected eight more manuscripts, which are also held by the Asiatic Museum. Yet many more manuscripts and related literature on poetry, philosophy, history and religious matters were still held and preserved by local people, particularly in remote rural areas. Many of these manuscripts only became known and available to scholars during the Soviet period as a result of the several field trips to those regions undertaken by members of the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan. In 1967, Andreï Bertel’s and Muhammadvafo Bakaev compiled a list of the manuscripts found in the region of Gorno-Badakhshān. Their work is regarded as one of the most important manuscript studies for this region. During the five years of fieldwork (1959–1963) it undertook, the academic expedition to the GBAO mentioned earlier examined 117 manuscripts. Palaeographic descriptions were prepared, and the manuscripts were photographed and then returned to their owners. The
present catalogue has been compiled from photostat copies from the photographs and the diaries that were the result of the expedition. This material is now kept at the Department of Oriental Studies in Dushanbe. The collection described in the present catalogue consists of 186 works. At least 30 manuscripts have been ascertained to be completely new findings.

The manuscripts found as a result of these field trips have contributed towards a better understanding of the spread of Ismailism in the region from the 4th/10th century up to the present time. One of the oldest manuscripts is a copy of the *Rasā’il Ikhwān al-Ṣafā* and the most recent is a collection of poetry by poets such as Nazmī, Maḥmūd, Ghiyāthī, Fārīghī, Mīrzā ʿĪbādī Shidzī, Shāh Fitūr and many other Badakhshānī poets of the 12th/18th and 13th/19th centuries, a collection which clearly reflects the life and religious activities of the Ismaili community at that particular period of time. One popular book was the *Zafar-nāma*, whose original text, according to Hājī Khalīfa, was translated by Ibn Sīnā (370–428/980–1037) for Nūḥ b. Manṣūr Sāmānī (r. 366–387/977–997). Other works discovered include the *Pand-nāma* of Shaykh Farīd al-Dīn ʿAṭṭār (Persian poet, Sufi theoretician of mysticism, and hagiographer,
540–618/1145–1221) and the Ṣad naṣīḥat of Luqmān al-Ḥakīm.\textsuperscript{88} In the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan there is a special section for the Ismaili manuscript collections which were discovered during the five years of fieldwork in Badakhshān, including the Zubdat al-haqāʾiq and Kashf al-ḥaqāʾiq of Ṭāzīz al-Dīn Nasaḥī, a famous Persian Sufi scholar and author of the 7th/13th century, Uṣūl al-ādāb and ʿĀfāq-nāma (attributed to Nāṣīr-i Khusraw), and the anonymous work, Umm al-kitāb. It was thought that Umm al-kitāb was written by Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, or the Persian polymath and Ismaili scholar, Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī (597–672/1201–1274). However, the original of the Umm al-kitāb is thought to have been produced in Arabic in the early Shiʿi ghulāt groups of southern Iraq in the second half of the 2nd/8th century, and then translated in the early decades of the 6th/12th century into Persian and expanded by the Persian Nizārī Ismailis.\textsuperscript{89}

Several copies of the manuscript were discovered by Russian Orientalists among the collections of the Nizārī Ismailis of Badakhshān. Even though the text does not contain any known Ismailis doctrines, still the Central Asian Ismailis regard it as one of their most sacred and secret works. Ivanow was one of the first Orientalists to introduce the Umm al-kitāb to the scholarly world and in 1936 published a critical edition of it.\textsuperscript{90}

Along with these materials discovered as a result of the fieldwork, there are also collections of folklore literature produced by local poets and writers, such as Qiṣṣa-yi Chihil-tanān, Jang-nāma-yi Amīr-i Sistān, Dāstān-i dukhtar-i Shaykh Maṃšūr-i Hallāj and other works. Moreover, amongst the materials collected there is also a pseudo-biography of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, Dar nadāmat-i rūz-i qiyāmat, and Ḥāsan-i Sābbāh’s Haft Bāb or Qiṣṣa-yi Sargudhasht-i Bābā Sayyīdnā, still both very popular with the people of Badakhshān. The majority of these collections consists of works by local poets, which bring to the fore the popularity of, and admiration for, poetry and the Persian poetic tradition, among the Badakhshānī Ismailis. The main

\textsuperscript{88} Sometimes considered to be the Aesop of Arabic literature, wise sayings and epithets have been attributed to this pre-Islamic poet who is mentioned in Q. 31:23: ‘We bestowed wisdom on Luqmān’.

\textsuperscript{89} Daftary, The Ismāʿīlīs (2nd ed., Cambridge, 2007), pp. 93–95.

\textsuperscript{90} For a comprehensive discussion of this work, see, Farhad Daftary, ‘Omm al-ketāb’, \textit{EIR}. Available online at: http://www.iranicaonline.org/articles/omm-al-ketab [Last accessed, 15 June 2020].
sources of poetic inspiration were the beauty of nature, love of God and his creation, the relationship between the Prophet and the *ahl al-bayt* (the Prophet’s immediate family), philosophy and the ethical aspects of human life. Also, the influence of the great and respected poet, Nāşir-i Khusraw, is supreme among the poets and poetic traditions of Badakhshān, with most local poets having followed his style when composing their works. However, the literature of the local poets of Badakhshān has not been properly studied as yet. Well-known local poets include Mubārak-i Wakhānī (d. 1903), Shāh Fitūr, Mullā Timi, Shamsherbek, to mention but a few. Besides being a respected poet, Mubārak-i Wakhānī also produced a scholarly work on philosophy and astrology.\(^9^1\) The emergence of these manuscripts highlights the fact that the people living in the high mountains of the Pamirs were well aware of Persian classical literature, such as the works of Aḥmad-i Jāmī (d. 536/1141), Shaykh ‘Aṭṭār (d. 618/1220), Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī (d. 672/1273), Sa’dī Shirāzī (d. 691/1291), Maḥmūd Shabistarī (d. after 740/1339), Bābā Farghānī (d. 749/1348), Ḥāfīz Shirāzī (d. 792–1390).

The second part of these literary finds consists of a collection of historical sources written in Badakhshān. One of the first historical works identified as *Tārīkh-i Badakhshān* (The History of Badakhshān) was written by local author, Muḥammad Ḥusayn, in the first half of the 12th/18th century. However, it seems that this work has not survived. The second local history which includes a detailed historical work concerning the political and social history of Badakhshān by Mīrzā Sang Muḥammad Badakhshī (12th–13th/18th–19th centuries) and a history by Faḍl ‘Alī Bek Surkhafsar (13th–14th/19th–20th centuries) is also entitled *Tārīkh-i Badakhshān*.\(^9^2\) *Tārīkh-i Badakhshān* consists of these two parts; the first part is the history of Badakhshān compiled by Mīrzā Sang Muḥammad Badakhshī in 1223/1808, and the second part belongs to Faḍl ‘Alī Bek Surkhafsar completed in 1325/1907, which also includes three appendices, and covers the events of 1905 [1657–58] in the territories of the present-day Tajikistan and northern Afghanistan. It reflects the bloody inter-tribal clashes in Rāgh, Shughnān, Khatlān, Chitrāl and Faydābād provinces of Afghanistan. Individual chapters are devoted to the history of the dynasties of the *amīrs* and *khāns* of Badakhshān from the Tajiks, Uzbeks,

---

\(^9^1\) For more details see, Iloliev, *The Ismā‘īlī-Sufi Sage of Pamir*.

\(^9^2\) Mirzo Sangmuhammad Badakhshi and Mirzo Fazlalibeki Surkhafsar, *Tārīkh-i Badakhshon* [The History of Badakhshān] (Dushanbe, 2007).
Qaṭaghans, Qârluqs and Qalmūqs, the intervention of Tsarist Russia and the British empire in the region, and their division of Badakhshān. The book provides a genealogy of a range of the *shāhs* and *mirās* of Shughnān, including a biography of Sayyid Shāh Khāmūsh and a genealogy of Sayyid ‘Alī Shāh Valī, the father-in-law of Shāh Khāmūsh.

Another source also entitled *Tārīkh-i Badakhshān* was written by Qurbān Muḥammadzāda Åkhsūn Sulaymān and Shāh Fitūr Muḥammad Shāhzāda. The work was edited and published by a Tajik historian from Badakhshān, Bahodur Iskandarov, in 1973. This work is designated by the same title as the two previous ones, however it mainly describes and narrates the local oral tradition of Shughnān, and how it has been preserved and passed from generation to generation, and would probably have been more appropriately called a history of Shughnān rather than of Badakhshān. However, it does refer to events in the history of Badakhshān as well. It also recounts the arrival of the three brothers from Mashhad, Shāh Malang, Shāh Khāmūsh and Shāh Būrḥān. The work is divided into four parts: 1) the reign of a local ruler ‘Abd al-Raḥīm Khān the son of Qubād Khān (ca. 1207–1230/1792–1814); 2) a history of the local kings of Shughnān; 3) *Tārīkh-i Afghānistān*, which deals with the occupation of Badakhshān by the Afghans; and 4) the time of Tsar Nicholas II (r. 1894–1917) and the arrival of Russian soldiers in Badakhshān up to the October Revolution in 1917.

The *Qayd-hā-yi tārīkhī* was written by Qurbān Shāh Zuhūr Bekzāda and describes historical events in Badakhshān from 1274/1858 to 1920 and the hardships of life under the rule of the Afghan *amīr* ‘Abd al-Raḥīm Khān (r. 1845–1867), and his sons Muḥabbat Khān (r. 1867–1868) and Yūsuf ‘Alī Khān (1869–1874), the rulers of Shughnān and Rūshān. This work and Sayyid Ḥaydar Shāh Mubārak Shāhzāda’s *Tārīkh-i Mulk-i Shughnān* contain some detailed discussions on the history of Shughnān and its relations with neighbouring areas such as Rūshān, Wakhān and Darvāz. *Tārīkh-i Mulk-i Shughnān* was written at the request of the Russian scholar Aleksandr Semēnov in 1912, who translated it into Russian four years later. The work begins with the story of Chinese rule in Shughnān, but does not provide dates. It also says that the local people

---

93 In the local pronunciation, known as Kurbon Mukhamadzoda (Okhun-Sulaimon) and Mukhabbat Shokhzoda (Seid-Fitur-Sho), *Istoriyü Badakhshana* [The History of Badakhshān] (Moscow, 1973).

94 Muborakshohzoda, *Tārīkh-i Mulk-i Shughnān.*
belonged to different religious confessions including Ismailism, Twelver Shi’ism and idol-worship, and that half of the population were Sunnis. The text also mentions the arrival from Khurāsān of Shāh Khāmūsh and the story of how he overthrew the oppressive fire-worshipping king and establish his own rule. A short manuscript, *Mathnawī-yi Tārīkhī*, which is dedicated to eight local rulers of Shughnān, is another historical source. The *Mathnawī-yi Tārīkhī* also known as the *Tārīkh-i Shāhān-i Shughnān*, is a history of the rulers of Shughnān written in verse which gives a brief survey of the reigns of eight rulers of Shughnān. The author was a local Ismaili *pīr* of Shughnān, Sayyid Farrukh Shāh (d. 1307/1889), the son of Shāh Partāwī.  

These discoveries suggest a rich tradition of literature and literacy amongst the inhabitants of Badakhshān. Learned people and poets held a position of respect in the community as well as being highly regarded by the rulers of their time for their knowledge and contributions to learning and scholarship. The appetite for knowledge and learning in the tradition of the Badakhshān Ismaili community can be attributed directly to the teachings of their *pīr*, Nāṣīr-i Khusrav, who in his *Wajh-i din* lays particular importance on the role of the intellect and learning: ‘...in reality, the Intellect is Paradise’. Therefore, the attainment of knowledge was regarded as the highest purpose of a human-being in the material world, being the only means of salvation for the soul.

Even though an analysis of the poetry and religious literature of the Ismaili community shows that they were generally isolated from the outside world in the remote high Pamir mountains, it also proves that they were well aware of the Persian literary tradition and its main themes such as love, beauty, ethics, religion and philosophy. They were also able to maintain their religious traditions and beliefs, passing them down through the generations over centuries despite living in a hostile environment, surrounded by a Sunni majority, and governed by autocratic rulers.

---

95 In the 18th and 19th centuries, local scholars produced a number of historical works. For more details see, Kudratbek El’chibekov, ‘Novye materialy po istorii Shugnana’ [New Materials on the History of Shughnān], *IAN (TSSR)*, 2 (1973), pp. 3–11.

In the *Tārīkh-i Badakhshān* of Sulaymān Qurbān Muḥammadzāda and Sayyid Shāh Fittūr Muḥabbat Shāhzāda, mentioned above, there is no mention of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s *da’wa* or his teachings but the authors talk extensively about the Ismaili teachings given by four *darvīshes* known as Sayyid Shāh Malang, Mīr Sayyid Ḥasan Shāh Khāmūsh, Shāh Kāshān and Shāh Burhān Valī. Nonetheless, the focus is on the socio-political life of Badakhshān, the ruling elite and their genealogies, rather than on religion. By contrast, the author of *Silk-i gawhar-rīz*, as is apparent from the text, was a follower of the Nizārī Ismaili *tariqa* who constantly demonstrates his love and passion for Nāṣīr-i Khusraw and his followers. Consequently, Gawhar-rīz is mainly concerned with Ismaili religious beliefs and practices, Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, the religious hierarchy, and the influential *pirs* and *dā’īs* who played an important role in the spread of Ismaili teachings in the region.

Despite the essential shortcomings of Soviet studies, as a result of the all-pervasive influence of Marxism and the Communist Party’s authoritarian rule, Soviet scholars in fact produced many positive insights in the field of Ismaili studies. They examined almost every aspect of the life of the Ismaili community using disciplines from anthropology to archaeology, ethnography, history, philosophy, ritual practice and most importantly the collection and preservation of Ismaili manuscripts. Most of the photostat reproductions of these manuscripts are held at the Rudaki Institute of Oriental Studies and Written Heritage of the Republic of Tajikistan and the Russian Federation and are now available to scholars and students. However, the sudden collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 and the emergence of the national republics as independent states meant that various projects which were designed to locate manuscripts for future studies were left in tatters. However, since independence developments for the Ismaili community and scholars in Tajikistan have included the establishment of the Ismaili institutions and the AKDN there. Once these institutions were established in Tajikistan, they began collaborating with the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan and other academic institutions in order to advance the study and preservation of manuscripts. Several projects, which had come to a halt as a result of financial and other difficulties in the newly independent countries, have been restarted.
Central Asian Ismailis

Review of the Literature on the Ismailis in Post-Soviet Studies

In Soviet and post-Soviet Tajikistan, the Ismailis of Badakhshān, who are like the Yaghnābīs, 97 also referred to as Pamiris in official Tajik government and academic contexts, are regarded as the ancestors of the present Tajiks. Alongside the Bactrians, Sogdians, Saka and other sedentary peoples of Central Asia – the Pamiris and the Yaghnābīs have contributed to the core elements that formed and developed into contemporary Tajik ethnicity. 98 Since the Tajik government recognises the Pamiri peoples and the Yaghnābīs as a central part of the Tajik nation, accordingly, their languages are also an integral part of the Tajik common cultural heritage. In other words, they are as much a part of the common national cultural heritage as the official state language, which is Tajik-Farsi. This means that the state should pay the same attention and give as much funding to the development of these languages as it does to the official state language. The Tajik people including Pamiris and other Eastern Iranians by their origin, culture and tradition are part of a common historical heritage,

97 ‘Currently, one of the most ancient and smallest groups of people lives in the territory of modern Tajikistan, which it can be also said with confidence is one of the rarest nationalities in the world. From time immemorial, the Yaghnābīs have lived in the high, mountainous and impassable Yagnāb Gorge. Their ancestors are the Sogdians, thus the peoples who have been famous for their high culture since the existence of the Sogdian and Bactrian kingdoms. The inhabitants of Yagnāb, overcoming all the political and historical obstacles that fell to their lot, have managed to preserve and bring to our times their most precious wealth – the Sogdian language, better known today as “Yagnāb” or “new Sogdian”. And here it is important to say that it was precisely due to their geographical location that the Yagnābīs managed to preserve their language. The Yagnāb gorge is located between the Zarafshān mountain range in the north, and in the south, the Hişṭār mountain range. This territory is subordinate to the Ainy district of the Sughd region. The main occupation of the inhabitants of the gorge is agriculture and animal husbandry.’ S. Mirzozoda, ‘Yagnabskii yazyk-bogatstvo tadzhikskogo naroda, kotoroe neobkhodimo peredat’ sledujuuschim pokoleniam’ [The Yagnāb language is the wealth of the Tajik people, which must be passed on to the future generations]. Available online at: http://sugdnews.com/2017/10/30/mirzozoda-yagnobskij-yazyk-bogatstvo-tadzhikskogo-naroda-kotoroe-neobkhodimo-peredat-sleduyushchimpokoleniyam-3/ [Last accessed, 29 October 2020].

which requires special attention in terms of its preservation and study. These languages are no longer purely oral. With these languages, people compose poems, write songs and correspond on social networks.\textsuperscript{99} Thus, their development is still on going and is to be considered as an irreversible process; yet, the development and preservation have been done mainly by the people themselves, rather than by state institutions.

The disintegration of the Soviet Union and Tajikistan’s 1991 declaration of independence opened up an opportunity for the Ismaili community in Badakhshān and Tajikistan to establish links once again with their imam and with the Imamate institutions worldwide from which they had been completely cut off during the Soviet period. Indeed, this was a significant and historical event for the Ismaili community in Tajikistan as well as for the Imamate institutions around the world. The Imamate institutions under the umbrella of the Aga Khan Development Network (AKDN) began providing assistance for every aspect of the community’s life as well as humanitarian aid and support for local institutions in various fields including education. In this instance it was achieved by granting scholarships for students and scholars to carry on with their studies at Russian and Western universities, and providing funding for academic institutions in Tajikistan and other Central Asian states. One such important project was the collaboration with and the continuation of the Soviet scholarly tradition in Ismaili studies.

Since the disintegration of the Soviet Union, local, Russian and Western scholars have continued to work on Ismaili studies, including on the Ismailis of Central Asia. This also includes the publication and translation of many academic works into Russian, Persian and Tajik\textsuperscript{100} by the Institute of Ismaili Studies (IIS), in London. The study and understanding of Ismaili beliefs and doctrines are undergoing a dramatic transformation due to increased access to the academic and non-academic literature produced in Western countries. Prior to independence only a very limited number of people had any access to the considerable body of Ismaili literature that has been published by Western scholars over the last several decades. However, thanks to the IIS, much of this material has

\textsuperscript{99} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{100} From the 1930s the Persian language in Soviet Central Asia became known as Tajik. The Arab/Persian script was abandoned and the Latin script adopted in 1929, and in 1940 it was changed again to the Cyrillic script, which is still in use in modern Tajikistan.
been translated into various local languages, including Tajik, enabling access to many people in the community as well as others interested in Ismaili studies. The seminal works by Farhad Daftary, such as *The Ismā’īlis: Their History and Doctrines*, *The Assassin Legends* and *A Short History of the Ismailis*, have been instrumental for both academic and non-academic audiences in the post-Soviet era in understanding Ismaili history and doctrines. Farhad Daftary’s works on the study of the history of the Ismailis and their doctrines are clear and comprehensible, but they are mainly focused on the areas that have been either the bases of the Ismaili imams or the focus of *da’wa* activity, such as North Africa, Egypt, Iran and lately India. Generally speaking, Central Asia was viewed as peripheral in this regard and received less scholarly attention in the West.

Nevertheless, in post-Soviet Central Asia, in a fashion similar to that initiated by Russian scholars in the Tsarist era and continued by Soviet scholars under the guidance of the famous Soviet Orientalists, Andrey Bertel’s and Mamadvafo Baqoev, a group of orientalist scholars and other academics travelled to the Western Pamirs to identify and digitise
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102 See the list of IIS translations and publications in Russian, Tajik, Chinese and Uyghur:

7. – *The Ismailis: Their History and Doctrines*, tr. L. Dodykhudoeva (in Russian).
manuscripts. The IIS launched this project in 1995 in order to identify, preserve and digitise the manuscripts and literary heritage of the Ismailis of Badakhshān in conjunction with the then Ismaili Tariqa and Religious Education Committee (ITREC) in Khārūgh, Tajikistan. In 2013, the Institute of Ismaili Studies established the Ismaili Special Collections Unit (ISCU) that now directs and manages the work of the manuscript project in Khārūgh, Tajikistan (previously managed under different entities in the IIS).

Outline of the Activities and Projects 
Conducted by the Manuscript Office

Since 1995, the manuscript project in Khārūgh has conducted many field trips in Badakhshān of Tajikistan. As a result, a great deal of material has been collected and periodic reports have been submitted to the IIS. A selected number of reports produced by the team in the Khārūgh Manuscript Office and the ISCU have been used in writing this brief section.¹⁰³

The work of the Khārūgh Manuscript Office can be divided into the following broad categories:

(i) Identifying manuscripts and other literary sources
(ii) Preparing working handlists/catalogues
(iii) Digitising identified manuscripts and other literary sources
(iv) Analysing the content of identified manuscripts

Identifying and digitising manuscripts and other literary sources in Badakhshān of Tajikistan is still a work in progress. Hence, the Manuscript Project in Khārūgh produces working lists and reports which are used by

¹⁰³ The following reports have been used in writing this section: N. Nourmamdchoev, Analytical Mapping of Persian Manuscripts from Badakhshan of Tajikistan: Revised version (November 2019); N. Nourmamdchoev, Analytical Mapping of Persian Manuscripts from Badakhshan of Afghanistan: Revised version (November 2019); S. Sherzodshoev, ‘Discovery of Documents in Badakhshan of Tajikistan’ (Unpublished Report for the IIS, 2016); N. Nourmamadchoev, Review of the Hand-List of Manuscripts from Badakhshan of Tajikistan (20 January 2016); S. Sherzodshoev, ‘Report from the Khorog Office: Discovery of Historical Documents’ (Unpublished Report for the IIS, 2015); S. Mamadsherzodshoev, ‘Excerpt from a report by ISCU Khārūgh Unit’ (Unpublished Report for the IIS, 2014).
Central Asian Ismailis

scholars at the ISCU, IIS, for preparing catalogues of manuscripts found in the broader Badakhshān region. The work the Khārūgh Manuscript Office undertook can be divided into two phases: phase one covered the period from 1995 to 2007 when the project was focused on identifying and digitising manuscripts, archival material and other sources relating to the heritage of the mountain regions of the GBAO of Tajikistan only. The originals are still held in private collections. Phase two covered the period from 2007 to 2016 when the project extended its field trips to include Badakhshān of Afghanistan as well. Unfortunately, the fieldwork in Badakhshān of Afghanistan did not last long due to the political instability, becoming infrequent from the end of 2010 and eventually stopping in 2016. Therefore, the southern parts of Badakhshān of Afghanistan where a small pocket of Ismailis resides remain uncovered by the project.

Even though the fieldwork in Badakhshān of Tajikistan and Afghanistan proved to be difficult because of the harsh climate and the natural environment as well as political instability in these regions, they resulted in the collection of a great deal of material. This was used in the creation of working handlists of various treatises in prose and poetry preserved in the manuscripts that had been discovered, the manuscripts being then digitised.

A working hand-list of manuscripts from Badakhshān of Tajikistan written in the Persian script by Shozodamamad Sherzodshoev and Mamadhusayn Alimadadshoev. This hand-list describes a total of 310 manuscripts which are either single-volume works or collections of treatises, known as a majmū’as. These 310 manuscripts contain more than 570 titles of various lengths and genres. Most of the texts are either copied from older manuscripts or from printed/lithographed copies which were not readily available in Badakhshān. A prominent place is given to a small number of manuscripts which are considered unique and were copied between 1049/1648 and 1101/1690.104

The Ismailis of Badakhshān preserved various copies of collections of poetry, Divāns, by famous Sufi poets such as Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad Ḥāfiẓ (d. 791/1389) [MS BT 301 and MS BT 308], and Jalāl-al-Dīn Muḥammad Rūmī (d. 672/1273) [MS BT 3; MS BT 13; MS BT 70; MS BT 96, MS BT 97; MS BT 120; MS BT292]. Apart from these Divāns there is a prevalence of Sufi works generally, such as those of the famous

5th/11th-century author Abū Ismā’īl ʿAbd Allāh Anṣārī (d. 481/1081) [MS BT 309 and MS BT 144]. Apart from this, the collection includes works by local Ismaili authors such as Naẓmī-i Shughnānī (12th/18th century) [MS BT 274], Sayyid Ja’far b. Sayyid Timūr b. Sayyid Shāh Muẓaffar (13th/19th century [MS BT 161 and MS BT 162]), and Mubārak-i Wakhānī [MS BT 145, MS BT 149, MS BT 151, and MS BT 154].105

Another important discovery made during the fieldwork in Badakhshān of Tajikistan was a short Ismaili risāla known as Haft bāb-i Bābā Sayyidnā. This brief work, which has been ascribed to Ḥasan-i Ṣabbāh, was found among the treatises in MS BT 9, MS 157 and MS BT 171 and then used as the basis of the critical edition and English translation with a proper ascription to Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i Kātib. The new critical edition was prepared for publication by S.J. Badakhchani in 2017.106

Another working hand-list of manuscripts from Badakhshān of Afghanistan was prepared on the basis of digital copies of manuscripts identified in Badakhshān of Afghanistan since 2007. It too was written in Persian by Shohzodamamad Sherzodshoev, and then later translated into English by Mamadhusayn Alimadadshoev. The hand-list presents descriptions and codicological information on 168 manuscripts containing more than 287 titles of various lengths and genres.107 A prominent place in this collection is also given to two manuscripts from the 10th/16th and 12th/18th centuries. These are MS BA 5 Mazhār al-ajāʾib by Fārid al-Dīn ʿAṭṭār-i Tūnī (fl. 9th/15th century) dated 10 Muḥarram 918/7 April 1512 and MS BA 46 Majmūʿa dated Muḥarram 1127/January–February 1715.

As part of these research projects, the ISCU at the IIS utilises codicological and palaeographic information from various hand-lists to prepare systematic catalogues of manuscripts identified in Badakhshān of Tajikistan and Afghanistan. This work is is anticipated to result in the publication of catalogues in the coming years.

As part of the Manuscript Analysis Project, the ISCU commissions scholars to produce research using manuscripts in its holdings including the
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105 Ibid., p. 8.
106 For more information, see Badakhchani, Spiritual Resurrection in Shi’i Islam, pp. 37–42.
digital copies referred to above. Scholars such as Shozodamamad Shozodshoev, Jalal Badakhchani, Shafi qe Virani, Umed Sherzodshoev, Orkhan Mir-Kasimov, Karim Javan, Otambek Mastibekov, Nourmamadcho Nourmamadchoev, Daniel Beben, Shaft olu Gulamadov and many others, work on manuscripts from these collections.

Several articles featuring a discussion of some of the manuscripts digitised by the project in Khārūgh will form part of the conference proceedings to be published by the IIS under the title Texts, Scribes and Transmission: Manuscript Cultures of the Ismaili Communities and Beyond. These articles were originally presented at a symposium organised by the Ismaili Special Collections Unit in 2017.108

**Post-Soviet Scholarship on the Ismailis**

In the last two decades, many volumes of academic writing on Islam have been produced by scholars in Russia. These include Khrestomatiā po Islamu (Readings on Islam) edited by the Russian Orientalist, Stanislav M. Prozorov.109 The work does not deal directly with the Ismailis or Ismaili studies. Prozorov himself argues that the aim of the book is to help the Russian-speaking audience, for the first time in the post-Soviet period, gain an impartial and accurate view of the religion of Islam as an elaborate and flexible ideological system. This was seen as a new area of Islamic Studies in Russian scholarship. The editor introduces three dimensions of Islamic history and discusses the problem of correlation between the fundamental principles of doctrinal Islam and their regional interpretations in multifarious social, cultural and ethnic contexts.

In the post-Soviet era, a wealth of new studies has been produced on the history, religion, culture and tradition of the Ismailis of Central Asia. Among Tajik scholars, mention can be made of the work of Khaëlbebek Dodikhudoev, Abusaïd Shokhumorov, N. Davlatbekov, Kudratbek El’chibekov, Elbon Hojibekov, Sunatullo Jonboboev, Tohir Qalandarov, Hokim Qalandarov, Davlat Niyozbekov, Abdulmamad Iloliev, Muzaffar
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108 I would like to thank Dr(s) Wafi Momen and Nourmamadcho Nourmamadchoev of the Ismaili Special Collections Unit at the IIS for their support and their help in providing updates on the manuscript project in Khārūgh, Tajikistan.

Zoolshoev, Nourmamadcho Nourmamadchoev, Otambek Mastibekov, Shaftolu Gulamadov, as well as those of a small number of Western scholars with Alice Hunsberger, Daniel Beben and Jo-Ann Gross undoubtedly being among the most significant.¹¹⁰

Scholars and research on the Ismailis in the post-Soviet era can be divided into three categories: (i) scholars influenced by Soviet or Marxist historical materialism despite the collapse of the Communist regime; (ii) scholars who have embarked on Ismaili studies since the disintegration of the Soviet Union, who have not been greatly affected by Soviet scholarship, and who can also access the relevant literature in Western languages; and (iii) scholars approaching Ismaili studies from Western perspectives including Western scholars and indigenous Tajik scholars who have received a Western education.

One of the publications that appeared in 1996 was *Anglo-Russkoie Sopernichество v Tsentral’noi Azii i Ismailizm* (Anglo-Russian Rivalry in Central Asia and Ismailism) by Leonid N. Khar’ukov, a military serviceman who served in Badakhshán during the Soviet era. He had access to archival material including some private sources and his work conforms to Soviet ideology even though it was published several years after the collapse of the Soviet Union. He expresses a biased attitude towards Ismaili history and particularly towards what he sees as Aga Khan III’s pro-British stance in the international arena. Although Khar’ukov’s book falls far short of being an academic work, it is however valuable in terms of utilising unpublished material.

As already mentioned, the foremost scholar in the study of Ismaili philosophy during the Soviet and post-Soviet eras, is the Tajik academician Khaëlbek Dodikhudoev whose scholarship was introduced and examined in the previous section.¹¹¹ It is imperative for us to examine and assess his stance on Ismaili studies in the post-Soviet era given that his case reveals how in the Soviet era, the official ideology constrained him and his colleagues in their approach to the Ismailis and their faith.

In his recent work *Filosofskii Ismailizm* (2014), Dodikhudoev admits that in the totalitarian atmosphere engendered by the prevailing Communist ideology Ismaili studies was not a safe option for any Soviet scholar.¹¹²

¹¹⁰  More details on their works are provided in Chapter 3.
For this reason, many aspects of Ismaili history remained unexplored, even though considerable work on the study of Ismaili history, religious belief, doctrine and philosophy had been undertaken by scholars in other parts of the world. Nevertheless, according to Dodikhudoev, as regards the medieval era, the Ismailis were viewed by the Soviet authorities as a branch of Shi‘i Islam with a rational philosophy and shared with the other branches a long history of tragic events going back to the middle of the 2nd/8th century.\textsuperscript{113} According to Dodikhudoev, the Ismaili legacy in the history of Islamic thought and Iranian philosophy and culture is unique due to the contributions made by Ismaili thinkers from the 3rd/9th to the early 14th/20th century. He argues that this contribution is primarily based on the following: 1) the Ismailis were amongst the first in Islamic history to compose works on philosophy and science in the Persian language, replacing Arabic usually used for these subjects (\textit{Umm al-kitāb}, al-Sijistānī, Nāṣir-i Khusraw); 2) the Ismailis added the comparative study of religion and the philosophy of religion to the scholarship of their time (Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī); 3) in their writings they formed a synthesis of Islamic prophetic wisdom and ancient philosophy (al-Nasafī, al-Rāzī, al-Sijistānī, al-Kirmānī, al-Shirāzī, Nāṣir-i Khusraw and many others); 4) in scientific and religious thought, the Ismailis developed rationalism and raised up the role of reason (Abū Mūsā Jābīr b. Ḥayyān, Ibn al-Haytham and other Ismaili philosophers, including the authors of the \textit{Rasā‘il Ikhwān al-Ṣafā‘}); 5) they were the first to carry out experiments in chemistry and optics (Jābīr b. Ḥayyān, Ibn al-Haytham); 6) they created the first encyclopaedia in the form of the \textit{Rasā‘il Ikhwān al-Ṣafā‘}; 7) they established the world’s first university, al-Azhar, in Cairo; 8) they developed the concept of sacred history in Islam; 9) they were the first group in Iran to create a national liberation movement to counter the domination of the Turkic tribes (the Nizārī Ismaili movement in Iran, from the 5th/11th to the 7th/13th century); 10) they established the first people’s state in the history of Islam (Ḥasan-i Ṣabbāh).\textsuperscript{114} However, he concludes, not all of these elements and contributions in terms of science and civilisation have been fully investigated and studied.

Abusaid Shokhumorov’s final work \textit{Razdelenie Badakhshana i sud‘by Ismailizma} discusses the major events of the late 19th and early 20th centuries in Badakhshān and their impact on the Ismailis of the region.

\textsuperscript{113} Ibid., p. 13.
\textsuperscript{114} Ibid.
The author examines the main reasons for the ultimate subjugation and division of Badakhshan between the colonial powers. He also assesses and examines the major role played during the partitioning of Badakhshan by the religious and political figures of the time. The work presents a new approach to the study of historical events in the region, with the author questioning some of the assumptions of previous scholars.\footnote{Shokhumorov, \textit{Razdelenie Badakhshana}. The unexpected death of Abusaid Shokhumorov in 1999 delayed the publication of this monograph for almost nine years but with the support of his friends and family members it was published in 2008.} Even though Shokhumorov attempted to introduce a new approach to the study of the Central Asian Ismailis, which should have been different from the Soviet one, still the work suffers from certain shortcomings as occasionally the claims regarding certain historical events are not supported by evidence.

Kudratbek El’chibekov’s work takes into account the theory of the hierarchy of the clergy discussed earlier, making use of a large number of the Ismaili sources discovered in Badakhshan during the expeditions carried out between 1957 and 1963. However, El’chibekov’s study is still in line with the Soviet approach to the study of religion in which the development of the Ismaili movement was interpreted as an instance of ‘class struggle’ taking place in medieval Muslim society.

Elbon Hojibekov’s work presents an analysis of the little-known activities of the Ismaili \textit{pirs} in the political life of the of the Pamir region, particularly in the Shughnan district, between the end of the 13th/19th and the first half of the 20th century. Even though Hojibekov’s work suffers from misreading and misquotation of the primary and secondary sources, the work is important because it includes a trove of recorded material and interviews that he conducted.

The Russian scholar, Andrei Vadimovich Smirnov (b. 1958), who studied Ismaili philosophy, wrote in his introduction to the Russian translation of al-Kirmâni’s work \textit{Râhât al-‘aql}:

\begin{quote}
Among the many discoveries of our century, we can rightly count the world’s discovery of Ismaili philosophy. For centuries surrounded by an aura of mystery, generating fanciful and not always friendly legends, this most mysterious of the Shi’i Islamic sects has only in recent decades allowed the publication of the key works of its theoretical thought.\footnote{Hamid al-Din al-Kirmani, \textit{Uspokoenie razuma} [\textit{Râhât al-‘aql}]. Vvedenie, perevod s arabskogo i kommentarii A.V. Smirnova [Intr., and tr., from Arabic with a commentary by A.V. Smirnov], (Moscow, 1995), p. 5.}
\end{quote}
Another academic, Muso Dinorshoev, in the introduction to an edition of *Zād al-musāfirīn*,\(^\text{117}\) identified reasons for the persecution of the Ismailis in the medieval period under various Muslim empires. He writes:

> [T]his phenomenon was due to several reasons. First, with their new call announcing happiness, justice and equality the Ismailis attracted the attention of various sectors of society. Secondly, of all the religious movements, sects and schools, only the Ismailis called for resistance to the Saljūq invaders. This patriotic call of the Ismailis was perceived positively by noble people and was headed by a true patriot such as Nāṣir-i Khusraw. On this basis, we can surely say that the persecution and oppression of Nāṣir by the Saljūqs had confessional and political reasons.\(^\text{118}\)

Since the disintegration of the Soviet Union, several books have been published on the Ismaili community, which have more or less demonstrated impartiality and which have given credit to the Ismaili community and their imam for their work in the development of health services, educational programmes and economic growth all over the world. Sergei Plekhanov’s *Raskrytaâ ladon’: Aga-Khan i ego Mûridy*\(^\text{119}\) presents in a broad historical context the significance of Aga Khan IV and his community in the world today. Plekhanov used various sources, domestic and foreign, to examine and provide a realistic picture of Ismaili movement as a unique cultural phenomenon. Plekhanov also says that his personal acquaintance with the life of the Ismaili communities and the work of AKDN institutions in different countries has enabled him not only to experience the diverse nature of AKDN programmes in the fields of economy, culture, education and health, but also to understand the Ismailis through the prism of human history.

Plekhanov further argues that the medieval Ismailis were the target of attacks by both Muslim and Christian orthodoxy, who presented them as assassins in the service of a secret organisation. He notes that it is only in recent decades that the perception has changed and people have started to understand that the bizarre legends circulating about the Ismailis were spawned to create an ideology of intolerance. Plekhanov’s book serves as a
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\(^{118}\) Ibid., p. 12.

source of inter-civilisational, inter-religious dialogue. It allows the Russian reader, as well as readers from the former Soviet territories, to have a better understanding of the Ismaili community and the role of its spiritual leader Aga Khan IV, one of the most prominent religious leaders in the world today.

Hokim Qalandarov’s book entitled Rūdakī va Ismoiliū,¹²⁰ is a product of post-Soviet scholarship on the Ismailis. It considers one of the most debated topics amongst scholars of Persian literature about the life and work of the great poet Rūdakī, who is regarded as a master of Persian/Tajik poetry. The author was able to consult all the sources available in order to shed light on Rūdakī’s affiliation with the Ismailis and the contribution he made to Persian poetry and his glorification of the Sāmānid state. He has also tried to highlight the differences between the Ismailis and the Qarmaṭīs who, due to hostile historical sources, were for a long time depicted as a single group.¹²¹ However, the author not only differentiates the Ismailis from the Qarmaṭīs in this study, but dismisses the notion that Rūdakī had any association with the Qarmaṭīs. Furthermore, in the course of the analysis and the study of the topic, Qalandarov was able to respond to some of the anti-Ismaili publications of recent years.

A scholar such as Otambek Mastibekov represents another interesting case in Ismaili studies which is a combination of both Soviet and Western educational backgrounds. In his work under the title Leadership and Authority in Central Asia: the Ismaili Community in Tajikistan (2014) he provides a detailed study on religious leadership and authority in the context of the Ismailis of Tajikistan over the last a hundred years or so. The work is rich in terms of sources in both Tajik and Russian, revealing the role of the pīrs and khalīfas in the pre-Soviet and early-Soviet periods with a particular reference to Stalin’s anti-religious policy as a result of which most religious authorities, and in particular pīrs, were eliminated. He further argues that with the elimination of the pīrs as key religious figures since the 1930s, the khalīfas became important in the preservation of Ismaili religious knowledge not only by passing it on to the next generation, but also by concealing religious manuscripts. However, he does not elaborate on the roles of the khalīfas during the establishment of Imamate institutions in post-Soviet Tajikistan.

¹²⁰ Qalandarov, Rūdakī va Ismoiliū.
¹²¹ For further on this point, see Daftary, The Ismā‘īlis, especially ‘The Ismā‘īli-Qarmaṭī Schism of 286/899’, pp. 116–126.
A study of the Darvāz district of the Gorno-Badakhshān region, where a small Ismaili community lives surrounded by a Sunni majority, was published by another Russian scholar, Nadezhda Emel’iänova. In the conclusion to her work she argues that a certain custom and tradition of the Darvāz Ismailis differs from those of the Ismailis in Shughnān and Rūshān. Their focus on education, which comes out of their attitude to knowledge and the activities of the AKDN institutions in the region, is obvious and she further states, ‘the Ismailis are trying to improve the life of their community through economic measures and by raising their educational level’.

In addition to these individual studies, a series of conferences was organised with the support of the Institute of Ismaili Studies, London, and the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan. These conferences have enabled scholars and students to further their knowledge and understanding of the Ismailis and their beliefs by exploring the material collected in the course of a hundred years or so by Russian and Soviet Orientalists in Central Asia and Russia. Two large conferences were organised and sponsored by the Institute of Ismaili Studies in Khārūgh and Dushanbe (2003). Many scholars and academics were brought together at the conference to commemorate Nāšir-i Khusraw’s millennium, which ultimately resulted in the publication of a collection of articles under the title Nāšir-i Khusraw: Yesterday, Today, Tomorrow in English, Russian and Tajik. Even though many of Nāšir’s works were published in Tajik and Russian during the Soviet era, recent years have seen a positive surge in the publication of his works. In recent decades, with the support of the IIS in joint projects with many academics and academic institutions in Tajikistan, almost the entire corpus of Nāšir’s works and those of many other Ismaili thinkers have been translated and published, which has opened up new opportunities for research and further advances in Ismaili studies.

More recently, a conference was organised by the Institute of Ismaili Studies and the Russian Academy of Science’s Institute of Oriental
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Manuscripts in St Petersburg in celebration of the 125th anniversary of the birth of the prominent Russian Iranist, Wladimir Ivanow. Many scholars from the former Soviet countries as well as the Western world participated, including Farhad Daftary who had recently edited and annotated the English translation of Ivanow’s memoirs.125

Another factor in the changing landscape of Ismaili studies is the influence of globalisation. Globalisation and modern technology have opened up a new dimension in Ismaili studies worldwide, as most of the works on the Ismailis have now become accessible to scholars regardless of their geographical location, political and religious views, or even language.

Therefore, since the disintegration of the Soviet Union, Ismaili studies has dramatically changed and there have been many positive developments. Prior to 1917, studies were conducted in the region mostly by Russian military officers and a few scholars, who in the main were on intelligence missions, but although their work is less significant academically, it contains important material that can be regarded as primary source material.

Ismaili studies in the Soviet era resulted in the discovery of a remarkable body of Ismaili manuscripts during fieldwork in the GBAO and a range of academic publications related to Ismaili studies, in fields such as history, religion, philosophy, and ethnography. However, as argued earlier, most of the time this academic study was undermined by the imprint of Communist ideology which had profound impact on much of the literature produced. Nevertheless, the achievements of the scholarship during this period outweigh the shortcomings resulting from any ideological constraints. Since then, with the disintegration of the Soviet Union, the Ismaili community as well as scholars in the field have been provided with fresh opportunities to further their knowledge and understanding of Ismaili history and traditions in Central Asia.
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125 Stanislav M. Prozorov and Hakim Elnazarov, ed., Russian Scholars on Ismailism (St Petersburg, 2014).
Bibliography of Works by Imperial Russian, Soviet and Post-Soviet Scholars

This section is a detailed bibliography of books, articles, published and unpublished material, including also documents and recorded material. It is an attempt to bring together the vast range of material on the history, thought and practices of the Ismailis of Central Asia, most of which has been hitherto unknown to Western scholarship. It is hoped that this effort will contribute to further development of Ismaili studies and encourage scholars to engage even further in the study of the history and heritage of the Ismailis of Central Asia.

A


The information provided in this study is based on archival material collected by Orientalists and the extensive literature which they systematised regarding aspects of the history of Badakhshān. The volume also presents data of a socio-economic nature as well as on the external relations of Badakhshān during the second half of the 19th century. It contains information about the religious beliefs of the mountain Tajiks, and also provides information on Shi‘i, Ismaili and officially accepted Sunni practices.


A study of the history of religious and ethnic minorities of the Pamir-Hindu Kush region in Afghanistan. The work traces the dynamics of relations

1 ‘Post Soviet’ includes Western as well as Russian and Tajik academic writing.
between the amīrs of Kabul and the small ethnic groups and tribes of Badakhshān in the last quarter of the 19th century during the formation of the Afghan state. The book also touches upon the role of Aga Khan III and his murīds in Badakhshān province in the era of Anglo-Russian rivalry.


The paper focuses on the importance of the Persian (Tajik) language and its impact on the production of Ismaili literature, particularly in Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s works. It highlights the unifying character of this language despite the diversity of the spoken dialects in each district of Badakhshān. The author emphasises the role of the ishāns or pîrs as the most distinctive members of the Pamir Ismaili community and she includes in her analysis a study of the Panjebhai movement in the Pamir area.


The work aims to serve as a historical record and guide to bibliographic efforts on Central Asian sources, and is intended to be a primary resource on the Ismailis of Central Asia.


A history of written literature in Badakhshān, dating back to the time when modern Persian/Tajik emerged in the major cities. The further development and promotion of written literature in Badakhshān is very much associated with the arrival of Nāṣir-i Khusraw (ca. 1060), who played a key role in the teaching and spread of the Ismaili da’wa. The impact of his personality, religious teachings and philosophical ideas has been detectable in the written literature of Badakhshān ever since.


Popular folktales about Nāṣir-i Khusraw and his personality found among the Ismaili population of Badakhshān.

This paper discusses the philosophical ideas of Naṣir-i Khusraw, which differed from Sunni Islam. It also provides a Russian translation of his poem.


The Ikhwân al-Šafâ’ was a group of 4th/10th century encyclopaedists, whose works had a significant influence on the formation of the philosophy of the Ismaili movement.


The collection of Persian and Tajik manuscripts of the Institute of the Asian peoples of the Academy of Sciences of the Russian Federation is one of the oldest collections of written records in Persian, and includes a very important collection of Ismaili manuscripts.


A ‘Biographical note’ on Wladimir Alekseevich Ivanow, the outstanding Orientalist and scholar of Iranian Studies, an internationally recognised expert in Ismaili studies, who laid the foundations for the modern study of Ismaili history and philosophy.


The little-studied region of Pamir and the adjacent areas are known for fabulous minerals, and have long attracted the attention of travellers and
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academics. Records show that in the Middle Ages the region was visited by Chinese, Arab and other travellers.


This thesis explores the religious life of Muslim communities in Soviet Tajikistan from 1950 to 1985 with a particular focus on the districts of Shughnān, Rūshān, Wakhān and other parts of Badakhshān where the Ismaili community is based.


The treatise on *Excellence* was written in Persian by Shihâb al-Dîn Shâh (1851–1884), brother of Aga Khan III, and consists of sixty-four solutions related to Muslim ethics and Ismaili spiritual matters.


‘On the Advice of Nāsîr-i Khusraw’, analyses a chapter of the *Rawshanā’ī-nāma* in which virtues are seen as a basis for developing the human soul and achieving its perfection.


Many stories and legends have been told with regard to Nāşir-i Khusraw. However, most of these stories were fabricated in an attempt to portray a negative image of him. The author has challenged and unravelled some of these stories by demonstrating out-dated aspects of the chronology and geography of Nāşir-i Khusraw’s *Safar-nāma*. 

This article points out the origin of, and reasons for, these changes and misinterpretations. Evidence is presented from many sources to indicate the fallacies and generalisations of those who have studied the Safar-nāma over the centuries.


Nāšir-i Khusraw’s Divān consists of qaṣīdas on philosophical and theological issues, singing the praise of human intelligence and dignity, and a description of the beauty of nature as God’s creation, inter alia. It also includes two poems – the Rawshanā‘i-nāma (The Book of Enlightenment) and the Sa‘ādatnāma (The Book of Happiness).


22 —— ‘Uspokoenie razuma’ (Otryvki) ['Th e Rāḥat al-‘aql’ (Selected Chapters)], Ishrāk [Illumination], EIF, 4 (2013), pp. 319–261.

An annotated translation by A. Smirnov of ‘quarters’ 1.6–7, 2.1 and 7, 3.1, 4.5 and 7, 5.2, 7.9–10 and 12–13 of al-Kirmānī’s Rāḥat al-‘aql (‘Quiétude of Intellect’), completely revised and with extended commentaries.


A collection of articles, The Pamir Expedition introduces the reader to the history, life, traditions and culture of the people living in the mountain region called ‘the Roof of the World’, i.e. Tajik and Afghan Badakhshān. The publication has been prepared by a team of scholars from Russia, Tajikistan and Canada. A number of the articles are written on the basis of collected field data and others are the result of scholarly research in Moscow, London, Dushanbe and Khārūgh.

This paper focuses on the religious and spiritual dimensions of ʿNāṣir-i Khusraw’s poetry, citing examples from his devotional poetry. The author suggests that ʿNāṣir-i Khusraw’s poetry introduces the words of Ḥaḍrat ʿAlī as the starting point for Ismaili doctrine, and as such, everything becomes invaluable and sacred in the eyes of the poet.


The author presents some important and specific aspects of Ismailism that should be taken into consideration by the architects of modern Tajikistan during the establishment of the Tajik nation-state.


An ethnographical study of Ishkāshim and Wakhān, which includes historical legends, remnants of the old social order, social practices, rituals and beliefs.


This is a study of the beliefs of the Pamir (Ismaili) people. It is a significant contribution in the field of classical Central Asian ethnography. The Tajiks of the Khuf Valley is an important source for the material and spiritual culture of the mountain peoples of the Pamir region. The last chapter of the first volume deals with the funeral and memorial ceremonies of the Khuf population, which is said to have many similarities with the rites of the Bartang Ismailis.


The article is about the Ismaili areas in Central Asia, their ethnolinguistic composition, religious history, beliefs and practices, and community organisation.

The work presents a series of interesting stories about the life and journeys to Central Asia of Pir Sabz’ Ali.

This article deals with the ontological status of the category of time in the Nāšir-i Khusraw’s philosophical system. The philosopher traces the connection and continuity of the teachings and writings of previous Ismaili thinkers, looking at Peripatetic as well as Platonic perspectives on ‘time’.

34 —— ‘Rat/i+a+tieonalizmi shoirona’ [Poetic Rationalism], MS, 10 (1986), pp. 35–37 (in Tajik).
This article focuses on disproving the accusations against Nāšir-i Khusraw of being a dualist, since he never emphasised the independent existence of nature nor sought to separate religion from knowledge.

This analysis is focused on the two ways human beings acquire knowledge: by sensation and intellection. Through observation, sensation allows human beings to attain a knowledge of particulars, whilst through the ‘aql understanding of the invisible side of substances and accidents is reached.

Nāšir-i Khusraw shared the Peripatetic concept of space, recognising the eternity of the world and its attributes, thus also embracing Ibn Sīnā’s philosophical stances.

In the moral teachings of Nāşir-i Khusraw the existence of good and evil is traditionally linked to the realm of the soul (nafs). If evil and indecency are the products of ignorance, good is the fruit of moral intentions, which are triggered by knowledge.
Throughout his writings, Nāṣir-i Khusraw justifies the need for knowledge en large in order to recognise the world. The process of learning involves understanding both the intrinsic quality and the quantitative characteristics of the material world. As a follower of Ismaili theology, Nāṣir-i Khusraw simultaneously held both theological and teleological positions, suggesting that any person is authorised by God to comprehend the world we live in.

In order to explain the various degree of motion (haraka) occurring in existence, Nāṣir-i Khusraw follows philosophers such as Aristotle and Ibn Sinā and speaks of generation (kawn) and corruption (fasād), improving imperfection (nuqṣ), and changes and transformations in terms of motion taking place in prime matter (hayūla).

In Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s worldview, knowledge, mysticism, philosophy and religion are intertwined; the essence of his teaching is that if any of these components separates from the others, its functionality will lose specificity and effectiveness.

Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s ideas on matter (hayūla), form (šūra) and body (jism) followed those of the philosophers, in that ‘form (šūra) is above essence (jawhar) and prime matter (hayūla), since action actualises from form (šūra) and not from prime matter (hayūla).’

For Nāṣir-i Khusraw the ratio between soul and body is effectively explained in his philosophical works. He denounces some of the inconsistencies held by the philosophers regarding the concepts of soul and body.
A brief overview and reflection of Našir-i Khusraw’s religio-philosophical works.


This paper discusses Našir-i Khusraw’s independent thought and the courage of his philosophical-poetical expression. The author also considers Našir’s alleged threat to the religious establishment, for which he was condemned as a *kāfir* (unbeliever) by the Sunni ‘ulamā’.


The Ismaili philosopher believed that the hierohistory of mankind develops in seven cycles or eras (sing. *dawr*) each one inaugurated by a speaking-prophet or enunciator (*nātīq*) of a revealed message. In the first six eras of human history the enunciator-prophets were Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, Jesus and Muhammad. Each *nātīq* was succeeded by a legatee or executor (*wašī*). The legatees in the first six eras were Sîth (Seth), Shem, Ishmael, Aaron, Sham‘ūn and ‘Ali.


According to Našir-i Khusraw, *tawil* is the knowledge possessed by the Universal Soul whose aim is to elevate the individual soul, thus enabling its return to the Origin.


In his introduction, Arabzoda argues that his endeavours have produced one of the most complete works on Našir-i Khusraw’s philosophy. The author claims to have consolidated all previous studies on Našir-i Khusraw in the former Soviet Union. The book is divided into five main topics: (i) the search for truth and justice, (ii) theology, (iii) the puzzle of being, (iv) the cognition of being, and (v) ethical teaching.


In his poetic and religious works, Našir-i Khusraw teaches the reader to communicate through a moral, philosophical, aesthetic and literary language. Speech is deemed the most fundamental aspect of the human essence.

Central Asian Ismailis


Nāšir-i Khusraw is portrayed as a thinker whose legacy has had a major impact on the history of philosophy and the development of Tajik literature. One of the first philosophers to write in Farsi, he managed to syncretise his Weltanschauung, theology and religion with scientific knowledge, his faith with reason. His doctrine is a valid synthesis of religious and philosophical outlooks. Nāšir-i Khusraw is, undoubtedly, the founder of the religious and philosophical genre of the qašīda.

51 —— ‘Fazilati neki va nakūkori dar ta’limoti akhloqii Nosiri Khusrav’ [The Virtue of Kindness and Compassion in Nāšir-i Khusraw’s Ethical Teachings], IH, 1 (1999), pp. 4–6 (in Tajik).

As a medieval Muslim philosopher and an Ismaili dā’ī, ethical issues were at the heart of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s teachings. He argued that virtuous behaviour was meant to guide others; his ethics called for a two-fold use of the intellect: to learn and to teach.


Nāšir-i Khusraw is a thinker whose work has left its mark on the history of philosophy, Ismaili theology, and on the history of the literary process. He was one of the first Persian thinkers who managed to combine organically a philosophical worldview with theology, religion with scientific knowledge, and faith with reason.


In this paper it is suggested that in Nāšir-i Khusraw’s philosophy, intellect and reasoning, knowledge and learning, are objects for special analysis in epistemological, ethical and religious terms. Knowledge and learning, philosophy and theology are all so intertwined in his worldview that they make up the fundamental elements of his philosophy, and if we were to try to separate them from each other, it would very probably lose its central meaning.

Arabzoda, Nozirjon, see Arabzoda, Nozir.

54 Arapov, Aleksandr. ‘Kон’єспісіа Мирового Разума і Мирового Души в русскої релігіозної філософії і ісламізм’ [The Concepts of the Universal Intellect and Universal Soul in Russian Religious Philosophy and Ismailism], in Stanislav M. Prozorov and Hakim Elnazarov, ed., Russian Scholars on

The Platonic concepts of the Universal Intellect and Universal Soul are common to both Ismaili philosophy and the Russian religious philosophy of the Silver Age (the period between 1880 and 1920, which is characterised by the emergence of various intellectual movements in Russia), whilst being alien to classical Christian philosophy.

Russian philosophers who belonged to the Russian Orthodox Church were exposed to these ideas and developed a cosmological framework which was much closer to the Ismaili teaching than to Christian theology. The paper explores this subject in detail.


The most significant philosophical work by Nāṣir-i Khusraw is his Zād al-musāfirin. Nāṣir himself pointed this out, presenting it as his major opus. In one place Nāṣir-i Khusraw expresses his attitude to this work in the following form:

Zād al-musāfirin – one of my creations,
If read over Plato’s grave,
Plato’s dust will give me praise.


According to Ashurov, Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s philosophical views appear to be those of an objective idealist. However, despite his general attitude in conveying concepts as an idealist, on some occasions, Nāṣir-i Khusraw seems to propound outlooks of a somewhat more pragmatic character. Thus, according to his theory of knowledge, practice neither acts as a criterion for attaining
knowledge nor as the grounds for knowledge, but finds its validity as an application of knowledge, ultimately confirming the truth of the divine revelation.


Ashurov argues that Zād al-musāfīrīn must be regarded as one of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s most important works of philosophy. According to the scholar, this pivotal work, which is analysed from a Marxist point of view, presents the fundamental questions of philosophy in an idealistic manner not unlike that of major medieval thinkers.


This is an abridged version of the author’s introduction to al-Rāzī’s A’lām al-nubūvwa, a critical edition of which he published together with S. al-Sawī in 1977, and of which a revised second edition was produced in 2002. A’awani praises the A’lām al-nubūvwa as a monumental contribution to the Islamic thought of the late 3rd/9th–early 4th/10th century. He also discusses its influence on later Ismaili thinkers, in particular Nāšir-i Khusraw and Ḥāmid al-Dīn Kirmānī.


In his introduction to this edition of selected poems from Nāšir-i Khusraw’s Divān, Kamol Ayni provides a historical background and argues that Nāšir-i Khusraw was one of the most spirited thinkers of his time who was not
cowed by the rulers of the age and advocated and spread his ideas and religious beliefs with courage.

B


This is the first general ethnographic study of the traditions, particularly the funeral rites, common among the mountain Tajiks of southern Tajikistan.


*Tarikh-i Badakhshon* is a valuable historical work which covers 250 years of the history of the Tajik people in Badakhshan from around 1657 to 1907.

The work is of great value particularly in terms of the accuracy of its sources relative to the Badakhshan area and its cities. It details Badakhshan’s relations with the neighbouring regions such as Khatlan, Darvaz, Chitrak, Kaghchar, Qataghan and Yarqand. The socio-political and the economic structure of the region as well as the religio-ethnic identity of the people are also discussed.

The book is divided into three parts: (i) the genealogy and hierarchy of certain personalities amongst the Shughn mîrs, (ii) the biography of Sayyid Shâh Khâmûsh, and (iii) the genealogy of Sayyid ‘Alî Shâh Vali, the father-in-law of Shâh Khâmûsh.


A collection of legends and stories about Nâşir-i Khusraw and his travels in Badakhshan.


Despite the long Ismaili history in the Pamirs, many traditional customs and beliefs relating to the life of the Pamiri peoples can still be observed. However, the pre-Islamic traditions have been adapted and addressed in accordance with Ismaili canons and practices.

Descriptions of the journey recounted in Nizari’s poetic work, Safar-nama. The work reports the poet’s conversation with local scholars on religious themes in the form of short stories.


This work by Baiburdi is a study of the life and work of the once very famous Persian Ismaili poet, Nizari Quhistani, who lived during the Mongol invasion of Iran and Central Asia between the 7th/13th and 8th/14th centuries.


This is a study of the works of Nizari Quhistani, a well-known Persian-Ismaili poet who lived in the 7th/13th and 8th/14th centuries. The study of the literary heritage of Nizari which was initiated by Bertel’s has since progressed further and several poems and two Divans by Nizari have been discovered.


A brief entry on Naṣir al-Dīn Tūsī.


A brief entry on Nizārī Quhistānī.


In this article, the author analyses and edits four letters, which it is sometimes thought, the Saljuq sultan of Iran in the late 5th/11th century, Malik-Shah
and his famous vizier Nizām al-Mulk exchanged with the dā‘ī of the Iranian
Ismailis and founder of the Ismaili state in Alamūt, Hasan-i Šābbāḥ.

78 ‘Ob ideologicheskoï obshchnosti nekotorykh doktrin ismailizma i
babizma’ [Some Commonalities of the Ideological Doctrines of Ismailism
and Bābism], in FISZAA, Tezisy dokladov nauchnoï konferentsii,
posviashchënnoï 120-letiû osnovaniâ Vostfaka LGU. Leningrad, 1974,

According to recent scholarship, the religio-philosophical ideas of Bābism
can be directly attributed to the founder of the movement, Sayyid ‘Alī
Muḥammad Shirāzī. Despite the accuracy of this position, a number of
scholars believe that Bābism’s main ideas were actually derived from Ismaili
teachings.

79 Baǐkov, A.A. ‘Pechat’ fatimidskogo khalifa Zakhira’ [The Seal of the Fatimid

A collection of carved stones found in the State Hermitage. Cut out on flat
stones with polished surfaces, the inscriptions, mostly executed in Kufic
script, include the following:

ٖعَرَبُ العِزِّ الْعَظِيمِ بَعْيُن

ٖعَلَى الْبَيْنَةَ اَلْخَالِقِ الْبَشْر

لَعْبَارِ ذِنَّ اللَّهِ اَلْإِمَامُ اَلْمُؤْمِنِين

80 Baīza, Yahya. ‘The Concept of ‘Ilm in the Writings of Nasir Khusraw’, in
Sarfaroz Niyozov and Ramazon Nazariev, ed., Nasir Khusraw: Yesterday,
Today, Tomorrow. (Proceedings of a conference held in Khārūgh, Tajikistan).

This article explores some of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s major discussions on
concepts such as tawhīd, tā’wil and ‘ilm. The author regards Nāṣir-i
Khusraw’s notion of knowledge as an educational approach based on a
systematic understanding of the purpose of creation and life in the material/
physical world. Similarly, Nāṣir regards tā’wil as the best method for
enquiring into the religious truths.

81 ‘Religion, Language or Ethnicity? Hybridised Identity among the
Ismaili Youth of Afghanistan in Germany’, in F. Ahmad and
M. Seddon, ed., Muslim Youth: Challenges, Opportunities and Expectations.

This study explores the role of religion, language and ethnicity in
the formation of identity among the Shi‘i Ismaili youth of Afghanistani
origin who are primarily concentrated in four major regions of Essen
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(Nordrhein-Westfalen), Frankfurt (Hessen), Munich (Bavaria) and Hamburg (or Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg).

This paper begins with a critique of the existing hypotheses and interpretations, and continues with an analysis of the early Ghūrīds and the Ismaili *da’wa* and their influence on the Hazara’s adoption of Shi’i Islam.


This article focuses on the rise and development of Shi’i Ismaili history in Khurāsān, from its earliest beginnings to the end of the Ghaznavid era. It demonstrates that the success of the Ismaili *da’wa* in Khurāsān was due to the high value that the Ismaili imams placed on Khurāsān as a territory ripe for their *da’wa* mission.

The work analysis the contexts and the concepts of the dream which is described in Nāṣir-i Khusrāw’s *Safar-nāma*, and how this dream turned into a dream-work that transformed his life and enabled him to leave behind a rich intellectual legacy.

This paper provides a brief introduction to the Nizārī Ismailis followed by an analysis of the Weberian notion of authority. There follows an analytical discussion of authority from the Shi’a, and specifically the Ismaili, perspective. It continues with a discussion on change and continuity in religious rituals, and concludes with an interpretative analysis of two concrete cases of *shahāda* (testimony) and *zakāt* (alms-giving) in the Ismaili context.
This chapter presents an exploratory analysis of how the modern Ismaili religious education programme approaches the concepts of authority, identity and pluralism, and how it negotiates them with other parallel values in both Muslim-majority and minority contexts.


At the end of August 1961, an expedition headed by Bakaev went to Badakhshan. This turned out to be very productive, with several valuable manuscripts on the history of the region being retrieved. Among the important findings was a second copy of Khwāja ‘Abd al-Nabī b. Khwāja Šālihi Yamgī’s Silk-i gawhar-riz.


Towards the end of 1962, the Institute of Oriental Studies and Manuscript Heritage of the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan organised an expedition to the GBAO region in search of manuscripts.


In August 1963, the Institute of Oriental Studies and Manuscript Heritage of the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan organised a fifth Tajik expedition to the GBAO in search of rare and valuable oriental manuscripts.


The work is the result of the author’s field trips to the Badakhshan province of Afghanistan in the 1990s. He collected over 30 stories about Nāṣīr-i
Khusraw. Some of these can also be found in the written historical literary sources, but the ones identified by the author are different versions of the same tales and therefore are of special importance for scholarly research on Nāšir-i Khusraw’s tradition.


Human understanding of the spiritual realities such as the Intellect and the Soul is said to be possible through the material world that, via sense perception, allows the human mind to grasp the spiritual realm.


This is an article that deals with the correlation between the soul and the intellect according to Nāšir-i Khusraw’s view as reflected in his religious and philosophical works.


The origin of the ethical philosophy of Nāšir-i Khusraw is analysed on the basis of his major philosophical works, in particular, his Zād al-musāfrīn, Khwān al-ikhwān, Gushâyish va Rahâyish and Jāmi‘ al-īkmatayn, as well as his Divān. In both his poetic and religious works, Nāšir-i Khusraw teaches the reader to communicate through a moral, philosophical, aesthetic and literary language. Speech is deemed the most fundamental aspect of the human essence.


The author has tried to draw a connection between the Ismaili movement and the peasant masses revolting against the mainly land-owning local aristocracy in the medieval era.


An examination of Semënov’s career, scholarship and personal networks on the basis of his personal archive in Tajikistan’s Academy of Sciences, which has not been researched in a systematic way since the 1970s. This thesis also includes a section on ‘Semënov and the Ismailis in Tashkent 1912–1916’.


This is an examination of the legendary biographical traditions concerning the 5th/11th-century Ismaili philosopher and missionary Nāsir-i Khusraw and their significance for the history of the Badakhshān region of Central Asia.


This study examines the spread of Islam in Asia through a case study of the Shi‘i Ismaili community of the highland Badakhshān region of Central Asia, a historical province encompassing the mountainous districts of present-day north-eastern Afghanistan and eastern Tajikistan, along with bordering areas of northern Pakistan and north-west China.


This paper explores the Shughnān region in Ismaili history and examines the process by which the Ismaili da‘wa (summons), with its roots in Iran and the Near East, came to be embedded in the social and political structure of Shughnān, resulting in the close affiliation of Ismaili and Pamiri identity that is present in the region today. In particular, the paper examines a critical and yet uncharted development that occurred between the 11th/17th and 13th/19th centuries, in which a resurgence of the Ismaili da‘wa in the broader Badakhshān region coincided with a new process of state formation in Shughnān.

The work is a historical survey of the Ismailis in Central Asia from the arrival of the Ismaili da’wa in Central Asia in the early 4th/10th century up to the present time.


This paper is a study of the Kalām-i pīr, a text on religious doctrine preserved among the Shi’i Ismaili community of the Badakhshān region of Central Asia, attributed to the 5th/11th-century Ismaili author, Nāšir-i Khusrav.


This paper examines how a text attributed to the renowned Central Asian Sufi figure Ahmad Yasavī came to be found within a manuscript produced within the Ismaili Shi’i community of the Shughnān district of the Badakhshān region of Central Asia.


This paper contends that Ghiyāth al-Dīn ‘Ali b. Amīrān Sayyid al-Ḥusaynī al-Īṣfahānī (fl. 9th/15th century) should most probably be identified as the original author of the Ṣāḥīfat al-nāẓīrin. Further, the author examines some of the possibilities that may explain the apparent shift in the text’s attribution to Sayyid Suhrāb, which in turn may shed some additional light on the biography of this important yet enigmatic figure in the Ismaili history of Central Asia.


The article examines the issue through a case study of the Ismaili community of the mountainous Badakhshān region of Central Asia. It reassesses the place of taqiyya in the relationship between Ismailism and Sufism, which has frequently been cited as constituting a form of disguise for Ismailis engaged in the practice of precautionary dissimulation.


Nāšir-i Khusrav’s relationship to the Qur’an. As a devoted Muslim, Nāšir quoted the Qur’an widely in his works. All his written works are in the spirit of, and refer to, Qur’anic verses and prophetic hadiths.


The important role of ta’wil in poetry from Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s standpoint.


This article is devoted to the ethical problems in Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s poems. The poet touches upon many cosmic issues whilst simultaneously examining human ethics. He emphasises the point that ethics and enlightenment are the source of the religion of Islam. The author, very precisely, formulates his position on the role of poetry in addressing social problems.


The article provides a short background on the usage of Qur’ānic references in the works of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. As well as a semantic analysis of the poetic texts, the author studies some Qur’ānic verses.


The article analyses a number of sources, particularly anthologies where the authors have focused on Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. Behronov investigates and questions some of the information provided in these anthologies and offers an objective evaluation of comments on the poetry of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. He achieves this by showing the significance of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s works in the context of the Persian poetic tradition.


The task and duty of poetry, according to Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, is to promote religious moral values. In his view, religion must be based on reason and it must improve human behaviour.

The main purpose of this dissertation is to identify Qur’anic verses in the Persian-Tajik poetry of the 5th/11th century with a particular focus on Nāşir-i Khusraw as an outstanding representative of this literary genre. The thesis analyses the process of how Qur’anic motifs imbued Nāşir-i Khusraw’s poetry.


Some modern scholars are inclined to regard the Ikhwān al-Ṣafā’ as followers of the Ismaili faith whilst a number of them have also argued that the epistles of the Ikhwān al-Ṣafā’ were produced by the early Ismaili imams. One common aspect between the ‘Brethren of Purity’ and the Ismailis is that the two ideological schools pursued their ultimate spiritual goal in secret. Despite the similarities, the author holds that the Ikhwān al-Ṣafā’ and the Ismailis differ from each other in several aspects of their philosophical interpretations and understanding of the world.


Notwithstanding the fact that Nāšir-i Khusraw faced persecution, his love and affection for his native Khurāsān, where he lived as an āzādmard, never diminished. In his works, he considered the intellect, wisdom and reasoning as genuine tools for reaching the real meaning of humanity. His rationalist approach is still today deemed the hallmark of his intellectual contribution.


Khwān al-Ikhwān occupies a special place among Nāšir’s works as it explicitly invokes his philosophical teachings but demonstrates implicitly the influence of other esoteric philosophical systems.


The author examines different religious tariqas in Islam, including that of the Ismailis. Its origins are traced back to the time of Ismā’il (d. 136/754), son of the Imam Ja’far al-Ṣādiq. The article also discusses the emergence of the Qarmaṭī movement and its relationship to the early Ismailis.

One of the first works on Ismaili studies by a Russian scholar, this article focuses mainly on the reformers who acted under the leadership of Ḥasan-i Ṣubbāḥ at Alamāt and the resistance mounted by the Ismailī ḍā‘īs and ṣidā‘īs during this period to their opponents.


124 —— ‘Rudaki i karmaty’ [Rūdakī and the Qarmatīs], in Rudaki i ego ė pokha [Rūdakī and his Epoch] (Stalinabad, 1958), pp. 63–78. (in Russian).

Following the deposition of Amīr Naṣr I (301–331/914–943) as ruler of the Sāmānid state, the author argues, the Ismailis could have become the subject of detractors who condemned them as ‘Qarmatīs’ and were therefore liable to be persecuted.


In Nāṣir-i Khusraw and Ismailism, the author addresses the development of the Ismaili community during the time of Nāṣir-i Khusraw against the backdrop of contemporary society. He explores the role of Nāṣir in this, presenting an analysis of his philosophical treatises and poetic verses.


In August 1959, the Institute of Oriental Studies and Manuscript Heritage of the Academy of Sciences organised an expedition to the GBAO region where several valuable and rare oriental manuscripts were discovered. Amongst these, a biography of Ḥasan-i Šubbāh, Uṣūl al-ādāb, Rāhnāma and a few small manuscripts such as the Kitāb al-majmū‘ az haft gûnâh, Dar bayân-i haft jasd-i jismâ‘ī attributed to Nāṣir-i Khusraw. Other works include Ṭulā‘ī Shams by Khākī Khurāsânī, a copy of the Rasā‘īl Ikhwān al-Ṣafâ‘, and Rawdâ-yi taslîm by Naṣîr al-Dīn Ăūsī.

This collection of manuscripts, published in 1959, is of great academic importance. It enhances our understanding of the cultural life of the people in the Pamirs before the October 1917 Revolution.


A detailed list of the manuscripts discovered during the author’s fieldwork in the Pamir region.


Brief information on Ismaili literature and the leading Ismaili intellectuals.


During five years of fieldwork in the GBAO (1959–1963), the expedition examined 117 manuscripts. Palaeographic descriptions were prepared, the manuscripts were photographed and then the originals were returned to their owners who regard them as family heritage. The catalogue was compiled on the basis of photocopies and diaries written in the course of the expedition. This material is now kept at the Department of Oriental Studies in Dushanbe. The collection described in the catalogue consists of 186 works. At least 30 manuscripts were shown to be absolutely new discoveries.


Brief information on Nāšir-i Khusraw’s life and works.


Bertel’s argues that the book Five Philosophical Treatises on Āfaq va Anfus is of exceptional importance for the study of Ismaili philosophical and theological concepts. The volume critically analyses some of the pivotal philosophical and theological ideas of the Ismailis of Badakhshan. Although Bertel’s manages to explain objectively many questions of Ismaili philosophy and theology, in contrast to previous authors he does not address each of these problems individually.
This monograph is the most comprehensive work on the life and work of Nāšīr-i Khusraw in terms of history and philology. Bertel’s, who was a prominent Russian academic, challenges the one-sidedness of a number of his colleagues and their failure to evaluate Nāšīr-i Khusraw’s literary heritage in its entirety.


A brief biography and bibliographical list of Nāšīr-i Khusraw’s works.

Bertel’s talks about his peregrinations in the villages in Badakhshān in the summer of 1960, during which he acquired a small collection of poems, edited by the copyist Sayyid Muḥammad Shāh Zāda between 1906 and 1922. This was then the object of study by the Russian Orientalist, A.A. Semēnov, who analysed Shāh Zāda’s deep knowledge of philosophy and his love for poetry.
In the collection, Bertel’s admits to having been struck by one particular ‘Qaṣīda that was attributed to Sayyid Nāšīr-i Khusraw and for each of the bayts (couplets) Shāh Ni’mat Allāh Wālī provided a commentary’. Bertel’s questions the authenticity of this work, and asks, among other things, why a Sufi master would have produced a commentary on an Ismaili poem 300 years after its author’s death.

According to Bertel’s, the Sufi concept of ecstasy does not find any place in Nāšīr-i Khusraw’s poetry.

This book deals with the history, anthropology and recent social and economic developments of the Pamiri people in Gorno-Badakhshan. Following the collapse of the Soviet Union, such high mountain areas were more or less forgotten and people would have suffered severely from the region’s isolation had an AKF project in 1993 not afforded broader support.

The article attempts to describe the personality of both the Prophet of Islam and the fourth caliph, 'Ali, giving prominence to their distinctive relationship. In addition to Nāšir-i Khusraw’s poems, the author employs many other sources to analyse what is for many Muslims an ethical issue.

In 1901, Bobrinskoi visited the region of Badakhshan where he met the local Ismailis, their pirs, and representatives of Sunni and Shi‘i Muslims. Following such encounters, he became convinced that the English sources he analysed were correct with regard to the information they provided on the Ismaili inhabitants of the Wakhān, Ishkāshim, Ghārān, Shughnān and Rūshān districts.

The book begins with a general description of the headwaters of the Panj River (Amū Daryā) located between the southwestern slopes of the northern spurs of the Pamir and Hindu Kush ranges. The subsequent chapters are
observations on the mountain tribes of Wakhān and Ishkāshim and the
legends surrounding these mountain tribes, which resulted in a general
characterisation of the population of the region in the late 19th–early 20th
centuries. The seventh chapter provides brief historical information on
Wakhān. Further, the author provides an ethnographic description of the
inhabitants of the upper reaches of the Panj River, and discusses the
handicraft industry and commerce of the local tribes, their ritual practices
and customs. In the final chapters of Bobrinskoi describes the ruins of local
castles, shrines and caves.

144 Bogoutdinov, Alaudtin Mukhmudivich (1911–1970). ’Nasir Khisrau’
[Nāṣir-i Khusraw], in Obshchestvenno-filosofskaya mys’ tadzhikskogo
naroda v period XI–XV vekov. Izbrannye proizvedeniia. Dushanbe: Donish,
Bogoutdinov examines Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s philosophical and religious
perception, with a reference to Marxist ideology. Nāṣir is depicted as a
representative of the progressive ideology of the peasants, focusing on
protecting and defending the interests of the working people.

145 — — ‘Nasir Khisrau’ [Nāṣir-i Khusraw], in Ocherki po istorii tadzhikskoi
philosofi [Essays on the History of Tajik Philosophy]. 3rd ed., Dushanbe:
Adopting the outlook of Marxist materialist philosophy, the author
interprets Nāṣir-i Khusraw as an irreconcilable fighter against the ruling
elite who protected the interests of the working class. Nāṣir-i Khusraw was
a mirror of his time: the anger of the working masses against their cruel
feudal exploitation, the fight against official religion, disputes between the
different groups in Islam, and the struggle of science against religious
ignorance, are all themes reflected in his writings.

Even though scholars such as A. Krymski and S. Nafissi undoubtedly
regarded Rūdakī as a Qarmaṭi-Ismaili, according to more cautious
perspectives, such as that of A. Mirzoev and A. Bertel’s, Rūdakī’s
relationship with the Ismailis consisted of a series of episodic conjunctures;
therefore, Rūdakī can be neither considered an active figure of the Ismaili
movement nor an Ismaili poet.

147 — — ‘Tragediia pravdoiskatel’ [The Tragedy of the Truth Seeker], ZV, 10

148 — — ‘Tragediia pravdoiskatel’ [The Tragedy of the Truth Seeker], in Iz
istorii persidskoj i tadzhikskoj literatury [On the History of Persian and
Nāšir-i Khusraw believed in the power of human cognition. On the basis of two causes that trigger the existence of all creatures, both spiritual and physical, he divided the objects of knowledge into speculative and sensual.


The Fatimid dynasty of the Shi‘i Ismaili caliphs traces its origins from Fātima bint Muḥammad, through Ismā‘īl b. Ja‘far. However, according to the author, the true genealogy of the imams during the occultation remains obscure; he believes that even Ismaili sources suggested different times and names, whilst the Sunnis, in most cases, deny the ‘Alid origins of the Fatimids.


In the summer of 1925 the civil unrest which took place in the Ismaili districts of Shughnān and Rūshān, a mountainous area lying across the Afghan-Soviet border, became one of the events that eventually led to the overthrow of Afghan reformist ruler, King Amanullah in 1929.


The article discusses the biography of Nāšir-i Khusraw and disputes amongst scholars with regard to his personality and place of birth. Browne refers to Professor Rieu, who argued that there were two Nāšir-i Khusraws, having the same name and the same kunya or cognomen.


According to this research, pre-Islamic faiths and beliefs are deeply rooted in the views and religious practices of the Ismailis of the western Pamir, and they appeared long before the acceptance of the Ismaili faith in Badakhshān.


The research is devoted to the Qarmaṭīs, one of the most radical religious communities of their time, who led an armed struggle against Sunni Islam and the Abbasid caliphate for many years. Their ideological programmes
propounded equality for all members of the community, whilst their slogans called for the coming of the True Imam.


This article discusses issues of the lives, as well as the religious beliefs, of the poorly studied peoples of the western Pamir, who inhabit the Gorno-Badakhshan Autonomous Region of Tajikistan.


In this article, the authors praise Nāšir-i Khusraw for his ‘sincere and fervent’ criticism of the ruling class and the clergy on account of their ‘wrongdoings’ and for his ‘selfless’ and ‘brave’ struggle against ‘the corruption of those in power’.


Devotion to his beliefs was, according to Buzurg-Zoda, the misfortune of Nāšir-i Khusraw life’s journey. He argues that, without realising it, Nāšir-i Khusraw was engaged in a humanistic struggle for justice and truth. However, his works, which the author claims are deeply permeated with Marxist teachings, were also at odds with his Ismaili religiosity.

C


This is a comparative analysis of a medieval philosophical problem, the question of time and movement. It offers comparisons with the views of the 20th-century scientist Albert Einstein. The author also discusses the theological aspect of the issue as expressed in Nāšir-i Khusraw’s works.
This dissertation explores qasīda-khoṇī, a musical performance tradition practiced among the Pamiri Ismaili Muslim community living in the mountainous Gorno–Badakhshān province of Tajikistan. This study analyses the importance of qasīda-khoṇī for the Pamiri Ismaili Muslims of Badakhshān in terms of how it participates in the construction of a distinct geo-cultural identity, and how it is embedded in broader social and cultural contexts and histories. This dissertation therefore studies qasīda-khoṇī as a distinct musical, cultural practice of Central Asia that has been shaped by history, language, geography and religion, and shows how its performance helps in fulfilling various socially cohesive functions.

In order to appreciate the importance of Nāṣir-i Khusraw in the history of Iranian thought, it is necessary to place him in the Ismaili setting as a whole, for he was one of its most outstanding personalities. This chapter investigates the survival of the Ismailis under the cloak of Sufism; it is perhaps under such a guise that the true grandeur of Ismaili Islam and the inspiration of its distant origins is found rather than in the Fatimid court in Egypt.

This work explores the role of the intellect in the legal, theological, philosophical and mystical traditions of Islam. It also addresses the impact of the intellect in the contemporary Muslim world and the challenges of modernity. Contributors include leading scholars such as Mohammad Arkoun, Norman Calder, John Cooper, Muhsin Mahdi, Abdulaziz Sachedina and Annemarie Schimmel.

The translation of F. Daftary’s work offers Russian readers access to Ismaili history as one of the main branches of Shi‘i Islam. The study of the history of the Ismaili state and its religio-philosophical doctrines are described as having been hitherto essentially dependent on material in heresiographical writings including the works of Sunni Muslim polemicists and European chroniclers of the Crusades, which led to misunderstanding and misrepresentation.


The author focuses on the formation of the Fatimid caliphate and the Nizārī state of Alamūt, especially the development of the Ismaili philosophical tradition, and the birth and progress of Ismaili literature through some of the most prominent representatives such as Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, Nizārī Quhistānī, al-Nasafī, Hamīd al-Dīn al-Kirmānī, al-Sijistānī and Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Tūsī.


In the 6th/12th century, the mythical tale of the Assassins and the legend of the Old Man of the Mountain excited the European imagination. These fables appeared when the Crusaders first encountered the Syrian Nizārī Ismailis, reportedly ready to go to their deaths on the orders of their leader. The apogee of such myth-making was reached in the narrative by Marco Polo, which told how the Old Man of the Mountain lured his followers into a secret ‘garden of Eden’ by intoxicating them with hashish. As a result, the word ‘assassin’ from the Arabic term ḥashšāshīn (lit.: one who uses hashish), became in European languages a synonym for ‘murderer’.


The authoritative research by Farhad Daftary is dedicated to the complex history of the Ismailis, the second largest Shi‘i Muslim community, now scattered through more than twenty countries across the world. The work
describes all the major stages of Ismaili history, presents new material and investigates the historical context. It covers a period of over twelve centuries, from the formation of the Ismaili movement, through the founding of the Fatimid empire in Egypt, the Nizârî Ismaili state in Iran and Syria, and the post-Alamût period, up to the present time which sees the Ismailis as a confessional minority in the Muslim world.


The Russian translation of *A Modern History of the Ismailis: Continuity and Change in a Muslim Community* has a special place among the numerous publications on the contemporary history of Islam. For the first time the Ismailis’ unique experiences, through the use of academic and archive material, are made available to the Russian-speaking audience, particularly as regards the identity and beliefs of this community in the rapidly changing world of the last two centuries.


Until the middle of the 20th century, the Ismailis were studied and evaluated almost exclusively on the basis of evidence produced, or often fabricated, by their detractors. Wladimir Ivanow played a key role in the initiation of modern Ismaili studies. This book presents the historical development of Ismaili studies and Ivanow’s major contributions to the field of Islamic studies.


This dictionary covers all the main phases of Ismaili history, as well as the central doctrine of the community and provides systematic information on the main aspects of the life of the Ismaili community, including institutions, traditions and key figures.

The book is the second Russian edition of the memoirs of W.A. Ivanow, the outstanding Iranianist and founder of modern scholarship on Ismailis studies. It also includes a bibliography of his works and a detailed introduction by F. Daftary.

Daftary, Farkhad see Daftary, Farhad.


The origin of the ethical philosophy of Nāṣir-i Khusraw is analysed on the basis of his major philosophical works, in particular, his Divān, Zād al-musāfirīn, Rawshanā-i-nāma and Sāādat-nāma.


This book represents the first collection of scholarly articles in English entirely focused on the Ismaili population of the Pamir mountains. The book explores the identity, history and religious/philosophical stances of the Tajik Ismailis of the Pamir mountains, who are a small group of Iranian peoples inhabiting the mountainous region of the Pamir-Hindu Kush, a historical region of Badakhshan.


The chapter examines and discusses the work of several academics and ethnographers with regard to the origin, development and evolution of Tajik Pamiri identity in Soviet and post-Soviet Tajikistan.


The work examines the scholarly findings of Wladimir Ivanow, Henry Corbin, Andrei Bertel’s and Farhad Daftary; and with the help of important Ismaili manuscripts discovered in the Badakhshan region of Tajikistan during the Soviet era, also investigates how, particularly in Central Asia, the Ḥudūd al-dīn were structured and how their role and function served the Ismaili community which lived in the midst of a Sunni majority.

---

---


The purpose of this book is to study the holistic reconstruction of Ismaili social doctrines and determine the extent of their importance for modern social philosophy, education and culture for the Ismaili community and the Islamic world.


The author’s research is devoted to a historiographical analysis of pre-revolutionary Russian scholarship, discussing issues surrounding the remnants of pre-Islamic belief in the Pamir region, and Ismaili rites, rituals and customs.

---

---


This detailed study of Ismailism by Russian Orientalists is in line with historical Marxism. The author considers the emergence of the Ismailis as the result of a socio-political movement against the unjust feudal rule of the Arab conquerers. It includes stories and legends about Nasir-i Khusraw and Ali b. Abi Talib and captures theological and philosophical debates concerning the imam’s role as understood by the Ismailis of Badakhshan.

This research is devoted to the study of the region of Shughnān and its history from ancient times when Aryan tribes inhabited the region. It also investigates the ethnography, language and religious beliefs of its inhabitants.


This article is devoted to Shāh Karīm al-Ḥusaynī, Aga Khan IV, who succeeded his grandfather to become the 49th Ismaili Imam. A very rare study from the Soviet era about the current Ismaili leader’s life and activities.


The author recounts a story consisting of two sections: the first part, beginning with the words, *bismī’lāh al-rāmān al-raḥīm*, details Nāšir-i Khusraw’s intention to perform the pilgrimage and his preparations for it. The second part analyses the will that Nāšir-i Khusraw wrote for his brother.


The article deals with three philosophical questions posed by Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī to his older contemporary Shams al-Dīn Khusrawshāhī (d. 652/1254), a scholar, philosopher and physician (who, to the best of our knowledge, never provided answers to them).


While analysing the philosophy of Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī, this study pays special attention to his teachings on the classification of sciences, matter and form, and the categories of being and essence.


Two opposite approaches relative to the classification of sciences – the religious/idealistic (Ghazālī) and materialistic (Peripatetic) – are analysed in this study. In particular, Ghazālī’s worldview is at variance with the outlook of the self-proclaimed Peripatetic philosophers such as Ibn Sinā and Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī.
This selection from Ṣaḥīḥ al-Khuṣraw’s works includes Zād al-musāfīrīn and his Safar-nāma and reveals the essence of his philosophical views, and also, in particular, his talent in composing poems. It looks at how the philosophical and political views of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Khuṣraw and his religious convictions were formulated under the influence of his great Ismaili predecessors – in line with Ahmad al-Nasafi, Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, Abū Ya’qūb al-Sijistānī and Ḥāmid al-Dīn al-Kirmānī in the 4th/10th century during the Ismaili mission in the Iranian lands.


The author briefly spells out the content of the philosophical and theological heritage of Ṣaḥīḥ al-Khuṣraw, including his philosophical-theological works, poetry and other prose genres such as the tadkīra.


Zād al-musāfīrin is considered the main religious and philosophical work of the 5th/11th-century philosopher, Naṣir-i Khuṣraw. It analyses the most important religious and philosophical problems of the author’s era such as the concepts of knowledge, material and spiritual existence, the nature and destiny of man and his place in the world and in society.


The article examines Naṣir-i Khuṣraw’s Zād al-musafīrin (which was translated into Russian by the author, a well-known Tajik scholar). The author believes that Naṣir’s theory of knowledge must be treated as propaedeutic to his doctrines, and discusses its similarities and differences with Peripatetic Gnosticism.


This is a study of one of the eight coins, recorded by G.C. Miles as minted in 542/1147, which is stored in the collection of the Hermitage, St Petersburg. It is a poorly preserved, heavily dented dinar, broken in two places. This coin was purchased in Tehran by J. Bartholomew for the Hermitage. It is a rare coin which was probably minted under Muḥammad b. Buzurg-Umīd, the second lord of Alamūt.

We are informed that the Hermitage has only one dinar of the same type belonging to Muḥammad b. Buzurg-Umīd which is well preserved, but minted in 556/1160. A similar coin, but dating to 536/1142, is held in the numismatic collection of the History Museum of Armenia, in Erevan.
A significant feature of this coin dating to the era of the Ismailis of Alamūt is the presence of the title ‘Sulṭān’, which has not previously been found on the coinage associated with Alamūt.


This study highlights the Qur’ānic verses and hadīths used in the works of the famous Ismaili poet of the 7th–8th/13th–14th centuries, Nizārī Qubistanī, and their Ismaili interpretation.


This article reviews the ideas of Nizārī Qubistanī who is presented as an important religious poet in the era of the Mongol invasions.


In five points, the author, defines the worldview of the Ismailis by arguing that their philosophical concepts and ideological systems are based on Ancient Greek teachings, especially Pythagoreanism, Platonism and Peripatetism. The article also refers to the beliefs of the Ancient Persians, the Hindus, and other Eastern religions.


Based on Ismaili religious manuscripts and archival material, this work reflects a strictly Marxist approach to the study of religions, looking at Ismailism as an ideology for the ruling class. The work is part of the Marxist-atheist propaganda of the Soviet era which aimed at negating its religious aspect and depicting it as a class struggle for control over the means of production.

An analysis of Ismaili philosophical doctrine shows that it played a major role in the development of freethinking and contained many ideas opposed to the teachings of Sunni Islam. This primarily refers to the Ismaili method of allegorical interpretation (ta’wil) of the Qur’an.


Ismaili doctrine, in contrast to other faiths, is presented as a socio-political concept, refuting feudal forms of exploitation and their ideology.


This book take a general look at the religio-philosophical doctrines of the medieval Ismailis. It displays a Marxist-Leninist approach to the study of religion and religious movements. It also tries to explain the success of the Ismaili dā’is and their da’wa by arguing that the Ismaili movement was characteristically an anti-feudal one which gained momentum in the 4th/10th and 5th/11th centuries in the present territories of Iran, Central Asia and Syria.


Encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and meaning of the term “Allāhiyyān”, or Ahl-i haqq, as the members of this Shi’i denomination prefer to call themselves.


An encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and meaning of the notion of the ‘Aql-i kull (universal intellect), as a philosophical and religious concept.


Encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and meaning of the religious group called the Druze. A group of the Shi’a, founded in the 5th/11th century in Egypt by al-Darazī, from which the Ismailis distanced themselves early on. Large Druze communities still exist in Syria, Lebanon and Israel.


An encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and meaning of the term ‘imām’. In Ismaili doctrine, the imam is regarded as the religious leader responsible for the esoteric hermeneutical interpretation of the Holy Scripture.


An encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and development of Ismaili da’wa as a branch of Shi’i Islam. The formative period was grounded in a feudal-structured society whilst the spread of the da’wa is associated with the socio-political contradictions and tensions characterising the Abbasid caliphate.
Encyclopaedia entry examining the origin and meaning of the notion ‘Nafs-i kull’ (universal soul), as a philosophical and religious concept.

This work analyses Ismaili teachings, according to the Marxist-Leninist approach to the study of religion. The Ismaili movement and its relationship to Sunni Islam are interpreted as an ideological battle which mobilised the masses, the peasants and artisans, against the ruling class and feudalism. The historical experience of the Ismaili working masses is investigated and portrayed as a struggle against religious bigotry and the obscurantism of Sunni ‘orthodoxy’.

This work, focusing on the history of the Ismaili movement during the Middle Ages, is written from a Marxist perspective and analyses the works of both medieval philosophers and Soviet and Western scholars. It provides a distinctive understanding of the role of the Ismailis in the development of philosophical ideas and freethinking in the East in the 4th/10th–8th/14th centuries.

212 —— ‘Razum ne mozhet predstavit’ tvorštva (Koran s tochki zreniā Nosir-i Khusrava)’ [Reason cannot Conceive the Creator (the Qur’an from Nāšir-i Khusraw’s viewpoint)], Shelkovyi put’, Al’manakh (1990), pp. 149–164. (in Russian).
At the core of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s negative theology is the thesis of the fundamental impossibility of any human being grasping the essence of God. The Creator is beyond comprehension; God defies any definition and cannot be categorised.


The article, which for the most part consists of translated or paraphrased passages from Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī’s (d. 934) A’lām al-nubuwwa, provides a detailed account of the Ismaili da’ī’s polemic against his contemporary and compatriot, the famous physician and philosopher, Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Zakariyyā al-Rāzī (d. between 925 and 935 CE).

Focusing on the study of the Universal Intellect and the Universal Soul, Sayyid Suhrāb Valī presented his views on matter and form and their relationship with the first being systematically. His understanding of the process of emanation differs from any known Ismaili philosophical concept of the 9th–10th/15th–16th centuries.

Philosophical Ismailism investigates Ismaili philosophical trends, along with Eastern Peripateticism, Kalām, Sufism and Ishrāqism. It examines the philosophical aspects of key Ismaili notions such as tawḥīd, ẓāhir, bāṭin, šarīʿa, haqīqa, tanzil and taʾwil, probing into ontology, cosmogony, cosmology, epistemology, the classification of sciences, natural, social and political philosophy, ethics and anthropology.

The author investigates the philosophical debates between Abū Bakr al-Rāzī and Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī and their dispute on topics such as the nature of different groups in Islam and the coexistence of the world and God.

This article discusses the features of the wedding ritual of the Ismailis of Badakhshān based on oral traditions and historical sources. The work reveals the significant impact of pre-Islamic beliefs on the wedding ritual.
Works by Imperial Russian, Soviet and Post-Soviet Scholars


The scholar suggests that Nāṣīr-i Khusraw approached the problems and tensions of his time by choosing a different interpretation of religion. The particular situation in Badakhshān forced Nāṣīr-i Khusraw to play not simply the role of an Ismaili dāʿī, but also that of a dāʿī of Islam in general. In his role as a hakīm, Nāṣīr emphasises the importance of the four tenets of Islam: Qurʾān, sharīʿa, taʾwil and tawḥīd.


Through an analysis of a number of verses from qaṣīdas in Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s Divān, the authors highlight a significant point, that the sukhan-i nik (i.e. the good word) is part and parcel of the ‘Logos, or Divine Word’. The authors go as far as affirming that Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s poetry is divinely inspired in both content and form. As such, his poetry requires an esoteric allegorical interpretation (taʾwil).


Dedicated to the poetic work of the outstanding Ismaili writer and religious leader, Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, the book is designed to offer the modern reader a better understanding of Nāṣīr’s role in the history of classical Persian literature. It focuses particularly on delineating Nāṣīr’s function as a preacher and genuine innovator of poetic language. The biographical aspect of this research is supported by historical, theological and cultural material from Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s own works.

Dzhonboboev, Sunatullo, see Jonboboev, Sunatullo.

224 Ėdel’man, A.C. ‘Shoir, mutafakkir, saīēh va khodimi buzurgi jam’īiāti Tojik dar asri XI Nosir Khisrav’ [A Prominent Tajik Poet, Thinker, Traveller and Public Figure of the 11th Century, Nāṣīr-i Khusraw], MS (Stalinabad), 12 (1952), pp. 32–44 (in Tajik).
In Persian/Tajik literature, Nāšir-i Khusraw emerged as a dedicated fighter against encroaching social evil, and as a courageous thinker and a talented poet.


This study of the intellectual life of Nāšir-i Khusraw clearly shows that he was an outstanding thinker and, in many ways, ahead of his time.


The author analyses the socio-political, philosophical, ethnical and anti-clerical views of Nāšir-i Khusraw. However, Ė del’man has tried to identify some materialistic propensities in the idealistic philosophy and theology of Nāšir-i Khusraw.


Shāh Fitūr Muḥabbat Shāh-Zāda, one of the authors of the Tārīkh-i Badakhshān, indicates that the book was completed on 6 Rajab 1365 (3 June 1946). The manuscript identifies some rulers of Shughnān, beginning with Shāh Khāmūsh, 459–531/1066–1137, and his son Shāh Khudādād, up to the last ruler of Shughnān, Yūsuf ‘Ali Khān. Between the first and the last ruler, the author also names, Davlat-shāh, Shāh-Vanjī, Shāh-Amirbek, Shāh-Vanjī II, Shāh-Quļad-khān and the father of Yūsuf ‘Ali-khān, ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Khān. Ėl’chibekov himself admits that he has been able to provide only the names of those rulers of Shughnān of whom he has heard.


As is apparent from the title of the article, the author has attempted to define the common features of Sufism and Ismailism, in particular with regard to the ideology and organisation of both currents of thought. He focuses on their historical and traditional sources, which should enable us to understand the intricacies of the religious ideologies found in a number of Eastern countries today. At the beginning of the article the author admits that his approach reflects a Marxist explanation of religion, which is also presented as the only scientific method.

The work deals with three historical sources written by local authors in Badakhshan including a copy of \textit{Silk-i gawhar-riz}, an important discovery which was hitherto unknown.


The object of this thesis is to identify the causes which led to the formation of the religious and philosophical structure of the Ismaili hierarchy. The study of the Ismaili spiritual hierarchy is presented as being based on the Ismaili sources discovered in the territory of the GBAO.


This work presents the role of the \textit{pir}, one of the most important in the religious hierarchy in Badakhshan; it examines the \textit{pir}’s task of teaching Ismaili beliefs in the region and of maintaining the link between the Imam of the Time and his 	extit{murids}.


This work investigates the sources of the legends about Nāšir-i Khusraw.


This is an attempt to identify the origins of the myths portraying Nāšir-i Khusraw as a sacred person, revered by both the Sunni and Shi’i populations of Badakhshân, by studying oral and written accounts.


The objective of this work is to identify the causes of the formation and development of religious and philosophical studies on the Ismaili clerical hierarchal structure.

El’chibekov, Qudratbek, See El’chibekov, Kudratbek.


A general overview of the emergence of Ismaili and Pamiri studies in Russian scholarship.


The chirāgh-i rawshan is one of the most important traditional practices of the Central Asian Ismailis. The practice assisted the community in the preservation of its religious identity as well as providing a medium for the Ismaili pīrs and dā’īs to disseminate their teachings to various parts of Central Asia.


The work presents the Ismailis of Central Asia as having a distinct set of religious, cultural and social practices, values, achievements and challenges.

The significance of this treatise lies in its comprehensive treatment of medieval Ismaili thought, including theology, philosophy and esotericism, as well as being regarded as the major doctrinal work of the Nizārī Ismailis to survive the destruction of Alamūt by the Mongols.

Él’nazarov, Khakim, see Elnazarov, Hakim


The focus of this article is to study the emergence of the Ismaili da’wa in Afghanistan, to examine the role of Ismaili missionaries in preaching Ismaili doctrines, and to explore factors that compelled the Ismailis to collaborate with the dominant powers in the post-Alamūt period.


The focus of this article is to examine how the Ismaili da’wa unfolded and became consolidated in Badakhshān, to explore factors that led to politicisation of the Ismaili intelligentsia in Shughnān in the 1960s and 1970s, to study the basis of their support for the pro-Soviet government during the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan.


The work is a short history of the Ismailis of the GBAO, a region in modern-day Tajikistan, from the spread of the Ismaili da’wa in the area up to modern times, the declaration of independence of Tajikistan and the challenges that the Ismaili community of Badakhshān faces.


This article is about the history of the spread of Ismaili doctrine through the dā’īs, the agents of the da’wa, in Badakhshān of Afghanistan, and how the Ismailis have been able to maintain the principle of taqiyya in practising their beliefs, thereby preserving their religious literature and safeguarding their identity.


This study focuses on the politics of Islamic insurgency and its impact on the lives of the Ismailis of Badakhshān of Afghanistan in the 1980s and 1990s. It explores factors that led the AKDN to engage in the rehabilitation of Badakhshān, a district inhabited by Sunnis and Shi‘is with opposing political views. The article also examines efforts by the Ismaili leadership to modernise Ismaili communities by establishing new institutional structures and appointing a new generation of leaders to guide the Ismailis and facilitate their interaction with other communities.


The author briefly describes the impact which some of the major events in Nāšir-i Khusraw’s life have had in delineating diversity in the Pamir region. She concludes that the passage of time has not diminished the popularity and devotion of the Pamiri people to Nāšir-i Khusraw.


In August–September 2002, the first scientific expedition in Badakhshān, Afghanistan by a group of Russian scholars from the Institute of Oriental Studies took place. The author, who participated in this event, shares her expertise, experiences and observations.


The book is based on material gathered by the author during her field studies in 2003–2006. It discusses processes occurring in both Afghan and Tajik Badakhshān. It attempts to provide answers to questions such as: what opportunities exist for the development of a pluralistic society, and to what degree has traditional society been challenged by the processes which modernisation has brought to the mountain areas.


Ismailism is regarded as one of the main branches of Shi’i Islam in which major philosophical concepts and religious precepts are based on rationalism and rational philosophy, including ontological and epistemological approaches.


This study deals with the development of Ismailism as one of the branches of Shi’i Islam which emerged during the 2nd/8th century. It also discusses important concepts in Ismaili philosophy such as God, the Universal Intellect, the Universal Soul, the imam, and creation, the law of nature in accordance with the writings of Ismaili thinkers such as al-Nasafi, al-Sijistani, al-Kirmāni, Nāšir-i Khusraw and others.

G


Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī’s Akhlāq-i Naṣirī is devoted to philosophical ethics; the study is divided into three parts: ethics, economics and politics.

Gafurov, Bobodzhan G., see Gafurov, Bobojon G.


The work is the result of a request by the Communist Party leadership to produce polemical propaganda. This booklet was aimed at presenting the Aga Khan as an anti-revolutionary bureaucrat who advised his murīds and pīrs to spread detrimental rumours against the Communist government in the Soviet Union, urging his followers to resist its rule.


A collection of articles covering a wide range of issues providing a religious assessment of the ideological struggle occurring in India, Pakistan, Indonesia, Thailand, Afghanistan and Iran. The author examines the evolution of the role of religion in the various stages of the history of the East.
To one of the most salient questions posed during the interview (‘What is your impression of the Ismailis in general?’), Bobojon Gafurov replies: ‘The Ismailis are always peace-loving people. I have studied the history of the Ismaili imams, and feel that union of the universal mind and universal soul can be achieved through the leadership of the imam. The Ismailis are guided by their imam, and this is why they are a well-organised and well-disciplined community. Their attitude towards women is also progressive. Generally speaking, religious fanaticism is a hindrance to progress, and this is why Sufi thought (the Bâtini philosophy) helps to develop freedom of thought.’

Ismailism is labelled here as a revolutionary movement opposed to mainstream Islam, and led by medieval feudal lords, encouraging an uprising amongst ordinary people and followers of other movements such as taṣawwuf and other innovative currents of thought.

A brief biography of Nāṣir-i Khusraw focussing on his conversion.

The paper explores the religious ideas and beliefs of Nāṣir-i Khusraw through his poetry while comparing his interpretations with those of other Ismaili philosophers and writers. One of the subjects analysed is the position and authority of the imam, the spiritual leader of the Ismailis. The author considers Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s teachings on nubuwwa (prophecy) and imāma (the imamate) in the light of his poetry and tries to define their value and status in terms of artistic and creative expression.

For many years the author travelled in the Pamirs, collecting ancient legends, which still survive in remote corners of the high valleys. The Pamir range is an ancient crossroads of trade routes, a reserve of secrets, a repository of legends of various peoples and religions.

Having arrived in the Pamir region in 1923, Pir Sabz ‘Ali prepared and organised a number of *anjuman* (societies/committees). The local *pirs*, who were losing their influence as a consequence of the innovative teaching propounded by the Panjebhai movement, revolted against the latter’s newly-proposed religious rites which had not yet received the Aga Khan’s endorsement.


The outstanding thinkers of Central Asia and Iran are depicted as bearers of new ideas, and philosophical, scientific and doctrinal approaches to the study of religious teachings. They are credited with having mapping out new paths of research and having made a vast contribution to the world cultural heritage.


This work is an excursus on the history of the philosophy of science relative to the philosophical heritage of the people of Central Asia, Iran and the Arab East. It presents a unified picture of their materialist and rationalist ideas set against the religion and ideology of the Middle East during the Middle Ages.


As suggested by the title of the article, the author has attempted to define the features common to Sufism and Ismailism in terms of ideology and organisation. The primary intent is to identify their historical and traditional sources, which should enable us to understand the intricacies of the religious ideologies in a number of eastern countries today. At the beginning of the article, the author admits that his approach is in accordance with the Marxist explanation of religion that is to say historical materialism, which is presented as the only scientific method.

The papers, according to the author, in this symposium address four main themes: (i) issues of religious and ethno-linguistic identity; (ii) the effects of institutional, structural and environmental change, particularly in Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan where socio-economic dislocation and nation building followed the break-up of the Soviet Union; (iii) the ecological, spiritual and socio-cultural dimensions of geographical space and the natural landscape; and (iv) indigenous knowledge, legend and history.


The aim of this study is to explore the geography of sacred knowledge in the Pamirs through its foundational traditions. It discusses their relationship with the sacred landscape of shrine networks in the regions of Shughnān, Rāšhtqala, Ishkāshim, and Wakānān.


This study examines the motif of the cave as it relates specifically to the oral and written traditions regarding Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s death and burial in Yumgān. The analysis is based on two primary sources: (i) oral traditions collected during field research in Tajik Badakhshān; (ii) the funerary narrative contained in a *Risāla* written in the voice of Nāṣir-i Khusraw and his brother, Abū Sā’īd.


The project draws attention to the history of the Ismaili tradition in the context of Islamic Central Asia and engages in a new field of inquiry concerning the local cultural practices of Islamic documentation in the Persianate world, specifically the documentation of genealogically-based sanctity and sayyid pedigree among familial communities of shāhs, pīrs and khalifas in Badakhshān.


The thesis examines Badakhshānī Ismaili hagiographical texts written between, approximately, the late 10th/16th and the late 14th/20th century in their socio-political contexts. It analyses the narratives by drawing attention to how the authors expressed ideals, values, beliefs, practices and concerns through the medium of hagiography.

Hasan-i Mahmūd-i Kātib’s Divān-i Qā‘imiyyāt is a collection of Ismaili religious poems of the 7th/13th century. This newly discovered work is significant for Persian culture and language in particular, and Ismaili studies in general, and is of remarkable value from historical, social, political and religious perspectives. Jalal Badakhchani, the editor of this volume adds important biographical information about the author of many of the poems. Hasan-i Mahmūd-i Kātib was also known as Hasan-i Šalāḥ Munshī; he was a contemporary of four imams of the Alamūt period, from Hasan ‘alā dhikrihi al-salām (d. 561/1166) to ‘Ala’ al-Dīn Muḥammad (d. 653/1255). He was probably born in north-west Iran, in the region of Qazwīn, and joined the Ismailī community at a young age. For a long period he worked as a scribe for the Ismailī rulers of Qhīstān. He moved to Alamūt, the centre of the Nizārī Ismailī state in Iran, around 637/1240 and died there in 644/1247. It is argued that Hasan-i Mahmūd-i Kātib’s Divān has been deemed instrumental in the compilation of Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī’s Rawḍa-yi taslīm.


The article investigates the Panjebhai movement and the role of Sayyid Munīr as the movement’s missionary in Badakhshān in the early Soviet period.


Historical evidence suggests that it was mainly due to Ismailī pīrs that the Pamir region entered voluntarily into a union with Tsarist Russia. They viewed this union as the only means of safeguarding the Ismailī community from Sunni and Afghan persecution.

275 —— Ismailīskie dukhovnye nastavniki (pīry) i ikh rol’ v obschestvenno-politicheskoi i kulturnoi zhizni Shugnana: vtoroi polovini XIX veka – 30-e gody XX v. [Ismaili Spiritual Mentors (pīrs) and their Role in the Socio-Political and Cultural Life of Shughnan: the second half of the 19th Century – 1930s], Dissertašiē na soiskanie uchenoi stepeni kandidata filosofskikh
Following the death of Sayyid Yūsuf 'Ali Shāh, an Ismaili pīr from the Shughnān district, his followers decided to elect Mahmad 'Ali Shāh as their next pīr, and this appointment was later on approved by the fārmān of the Imam of the time. He was later captured by the Soviet agents on the Afghan side of Shughnān and probably shot to death.

Hojibekov engages in an analysis of Nāshir-i Khusraw’s ethical ideas, all of which clearly possess humanistic pathos. The author’s analysis and conclusions indicate that, regardless of Nāshir-i Khusraw’s own suffering at the hands of the Saljuq rulers, he always propounded humanism, knowledge and reason.

The author touches upon a popular tradition known as Zinda da’wa in the Shughnān and Rūshān districts of Badakhshān and as Da’wat-i safā in the Wakhān and Ghārān areas of the district of Ishkāshim. This tradition, which the author considers is part of the concept of Da’wat-i baqā, is no longer practiced.

The virtual ‘elimination’ of the Ismaili pīrs in Badakhshān in the 1930s is presented as having been facilitated by the local people themselves. Amongst the causes, the author identifies illiteracy, a lack of experience (especially among young people) and political short-sightedness as factors which led to mass repression.

The virtual ‘elimination’ of the Ismaili pīrs in Badakhshān in the 1930s is presented as having been facilitated by the local people themselves. Amongst the causes, the author identifies illiteracy, a lack of experience (especially among young people) and political short-sightedness as factors which led to mass repression.
This paper points out the similarity between the ideas and views of Rūdākī and the *Ikhwān al-Ṣafā* due to their common historical, ideological and literary circumstances.


The author of the paper examines the major issues in the history of the Ismaili *pirs* of the Shughnān district of the GBAO of Tajikistan as presented in the works of Russian and Soviet scholars.


The monograph focuses on the Ismaili *pirs* of Pārshnēv and mainly on Sayyid Farrukh Shāh and his son Sayyid Yūsuf ‘Alī Shāh, the religious leaders of Shughnān. It analyses the struggle during the second half of the 19th century against the Afghan and the Bukharan rulers, who regarded the Ismailis as heretics. These rulers abused and jailed thousands of Ismailis, and shipped others to Kabul and other central regions of Afghanistan as slaves.

Honsberger, Alis, see Hunsberger, Alice.


For Nāṣir-i Khusraw, reason is not opposed to faith, but it does represent an alternative way of life. Reason is integral in both leading a believer to proper faith and strengthening that conviction.


The first large-scale study of the life and creative heritage of Nāṣir-i Khusraw, one of the greatest Persian poets; a writer and traveller, who was above all an Ismaili thinker and influential preacher. A unique synthesis of magnificent poetry and original theological constructions made the subject of this book one of the outstanding figures of medieval Islamic culture.


This paper examines the genre of philosophical poetry in the Persian language, specifically the composition of philosophical *qasidas* by Nāṣir-i Khusraw who expressed highly sophisticated ideas in this genre of poetry.


This volume is based on a conference, ‘The Philosophical Poetry of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’, convened in 2005 by Alice Hunsberger in collaboration with Doris Behrens-Abouseif at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS) in London, as part of the international commemorations of the 1000th anniversary of the birth of Nāṣir-i Khusraw. The chapters are arranged in three main sections: (i) Speech and Intellect; (ii) Philosophical Poetry: Enlightening the Soul; and (iii) Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s Poetics; the volume consists of 13 chapters and an introduction.


Through *ta’wil*, the seekers of the truth are taken beyond the apparent meaning of words, reaching their original and deepest sense. Thus, the method of *ta’wil* is a means to a broader interpretation of apparent meanings. Moreover, *ta’wil* is portrayed as a ‘path’ to rationalism and an instrument triggering pluralism through discussion.


The roots of ethics are to be found in the Qur’an and in the sayings and actions of the Prophet of Islam, whose personality embodies for Muslims the highest ethical standard. Nāṣir’s position on ethics suggests that the straight path for any individual is a reflection of virtuous acts and behaviour.

This study investigates the views of Nāṣir-i Khusraw on a cardinal religio-philosophical concept, that is, pleasure, and places it in historical contexts by attempting to find out the origins of its philosophical explanation.


According to Nāṣir-i Khusraw, the concept of pleasure is not simply an ethical one, but a cosmic issue, which is active and functional on all existential levels. On the sub-human level (i.e. mineral, vegetable and animal), the principle of pleasure is a driving force, which enables man to preserve the well-being of all entities belonging to this dominium. However, from the human level up to the level of the Universal soul, this principle acquires an increasingly epistemological character as it is connected to the soul’s activity of gaining knowledge.


The chapter consists of two parts. The first part makes an attempt to present a synoptic vision of Nasir-i Khusraw’s contribution as an Ismaili dā‘ī, Persian poet, Muslim philosopher and founder of the faith tradition in Central Asia. In the second part, it endeavours to examine Nasir-i Khusraw’s notion of pleasure (lazzat) as one of the central principles of his philosophical worldview.


A translation from English into Tajik of Charāgh-i Rawshan, a work by ‘Allāma Naṣīr al-Dīn Hunzā’i. He argues that the text of the Charāgh-i Rawshan requires a spiritual explanation (ta’wil; esoteric hermeneutical interpretation) because of the meaning hidden in it. The Charāgh-i Rawshan ceremonies have been divided into 21 hikmas, each one having a specific spiritual meaning in the performance of the ceremony.


The work discusses the important geo-political changes of the 20th century, such as the partition of the Indian sub-continent. As a result of colonial policy, the Ismaili da’wa centred in Badakhshān gradually lost its active
influence in Northern Pakistan. This, in turn, brought about the decline of the Persian language in the area.

I


Through an examination of the life and legacy of Mubārak-i Wakhānī, a Persian mystical poet, the author identifies connections between the Ismaili tradition introduced by Nāšir-i Khusraw and the Sufi tradition. Mubārak-i Wakhānī was able to reconcile Sufi vocabulary, methods and symbolism with Ismaili esotericism in the context of the Pamir region.


This book is the first introductory study on the subject, and provides a systematic presentation of a seminal Islamic figure. In an endeavour to establish an accurate biography of Mubārak-i Wakhānī and to render his Ismaili-Sufi ideas as lucidly and coherently as possible, the author concentrates on assessing his life and thoughts in their historical and religious context. In addition he explores how far Mubārak’s works represent the indigenous Pamiri perception of Ismaili thought and where he stands in relation to it in general.


This is a study of Ismaili saints (awliyā’) and shrines (sing. qadamgāh) in the Wakhān region of Tajikistan along with their historical context. It draws a succinct historical and ethnographical picture of shrine culture in the region and determines its religious significance in the broad frame of the socio-cultural context of Wakhān.


This is an examination of how the Ismailis, like many other Muslim communities, have developed their own distinctive ways of practising Islam and being Muslim. These are also deeply rooted in their indigenous histories and cultures, but theoretically framed in relation to the Shi‘ī doctrine of the imamate.
Works by Imperial Russian, Soviet and Post-Soviet Scholars

Examinaing the traditional Pamiri accounts associated with ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭalīb, the paper explores the hagiographic image of ‘Alī.

This article aims to examine the concept of wilāya (‘the exercise of authority’), which denotes devotion for and allegiance to the Shi’i imams, in Wakhānī’s Risāla-yi Chihil Dunyā (‘The Epistle of the Forty Worlds’) in relation to the special role and spiritual authority of ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭalīb (d. 40/661) in Shi’i and Sufi thought. Discussing Wakhānī’s perception of wilāya as the divinely given spiritual authority of ‘Alī, Iloliev explores how Sufi ideas are used alongside, and in conjunction with, the Ismaili concept of imāma.

The intellect is portrayed as God’s creation according to Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s views. The understanding of religion and its development is achieved not through blind imitation and dogmatic defensiveness, but through the laws of science, especially logic.


304 — Torzhestvennyi vyezd fatymidskikh khalifov [The Ceremonial Procession of the Fatimid Caliphs]. Izdatel’stvo: ‘ÊÊ Media’ (Kniga po trebovanii [Print on Demand]), 2012. pp. 120. (in Russian).
This is a Russian annotated translation from Arabic describing the perception which the Egyptian chronicler al-Maqrizi (1364–1442) had of the court ceremonials in the Fatimid state. The latter (297–567/909–1171) being described as a medieval Shi’i (Ismaili) Arab state with its centre in Cairo (from 972), ruling over Egypt, North Africa, and parts of Syria.

Central Asian Ismailis

*Ismaili History* was written by Shaykh Muhammad Iqbal and Zawahir Noorally in Urdu. However, the Tajik text with minor editing is a translation from the Persian text published in Karachi in 1979.

The book consists of four parts and each part covers a particular period of Ismaili history. The first part is from the early period of Islam up to the Fatimid s in Egypt. The second part relates to the history of the Fatimid caliphs. The third part focuses on the history of the imamate in Iran, and the fourth part begins with the history of the imamate in India.


Ghiyāth al-Dīn ʻIsfahānī was one of the most celebrated Ismaili da‘īs sent to Badakhshān during the time of the 32nd Nizārī Ismaili Imam, Mustanṣir bi’llāh II (d. 885/1480) in the 9th/15th century. The works of Ghiyāth al-Dīn ʻIsfahānī became well known in Badakhshān. Among his interests was the elucidation of the development of astronomy in Badakhshān. Despite the fact that there were no madrasas in Badakhshān at the time, astronomers taught their students in private schools, and observed the galaxy and the planets in order to determine auspicious and inauspicious times.


This work deals with the socio-political and economic aspects of the life of the people in the Pamir region over thousands of years.


The work investigates the religio-philosophical and socio-political views of Nizārī Ismailis from a number of hitherto unexplored historical sources.


This work was designed for graduate students of Tajik/Persian language and literature. It provides detailed studies of Ismaili history from the Marxist perspective which considered the work of the Ismaili da‘wa as a struggle for the control of political power among different factions of society.

Ivanov, Vladimir Alekseevich, see Ivanow, Wladimir.

A list of the extremely valuable Ismaîli manuscripts brought from Shughnân and Rûshân to the Asiatic Museum by I.I. Zarubin.


A review of a manuscript containing a rare Persian work detailing the theoretical system of Shi’ism. The manuscript is extensive and provides some information about Shi’i tendencies and references to the extensively used principles of taqiyya.

312 —— Ismaîlitica, in Memoirs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 8 (1922), pp. 1–76.

A short text which, according to its author, contains an exposition of some secret Ismaîli doctrines, as well as a few notes on the present state of the Ismaîlis in Persia.

313 —— ‘Notes on the Ismaîlis in Persia’, in his Ismaîlitica, in Memoirs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 8 (1922), pp. 50–76.

A study relative to the Ismaîlis in Persia: their lifestyle; geographical location in Iran; family structural organisation and family ties; beliefs and understanding of their imam.


According to Ivanow, some interesting casual references to Imam Ismā’îl are found in a little known and rare Shi’i book, Ma’rifat akhbār al-rijâl, composed sometime in the 4th/10th century by Abū ’Umar Muḥammad b. ’Umar b. ’Abd al-‘Aziz al-Kishshî. Although such references do not add much to our current knowledge of Imam Ismā’îl, they deserve attention for two main reasons: (i) such references are extremely rare in Islamic literature and, (ii) they come apparently from early and very reliable sources.


The paper is based on Ivanow’s personal observations of the fortress of Alamūt. It is shaped by both the literary sources and reports which he collected directly from members of the Ismaili community in Iran.


The work is an important introduction to the life and the works of Naṣîr al-Dīn Țūsî. Of particular interest to the reader is the question of Țūsî’s relationship with his Ismaîli patrons. The work also analyses Țūsî’s philosophical investigations and his views on Ismaîli doctrine.
Central Asian Ismailis


The treatise called *Gulshan-i Rāz* is probably one of the most popular sources on Sufism, largely imitated and commented upon, particularly by Persian poets. One of such commentary is a short work titled *Bāḏī az Ta’wilāt-i Gulshan-i Rāz* which offers Ismaili explanations of selected passages from the original treatise.


*A Guide to Ismaili Literature* is the first catalogue of Ismaili sources published in modern times. It demonstrates the richness and diversity of Ismaili literature and served as an invaluable tool, for several decades, for the advancement of Ismaili scholarship.


As Ivanow says in the introduction, the *Dīvān*, or collection of lyric poetry was the work of Imām-Qulī, who was the inhabitant of the village of Dızbād situated high up in the hills, half-way between Mashhad and Nishāpūr. In his poetry, he used the *takhallūs*, or *nom-de-plume* of Khāki, and is known as Khāki Khurāsānī.


In 1537, an extraordinary event took place in Ahmadnagar, when the ruler Burhān Nizām Shāh proclaimed Shi’ism the official religion of his kingdom. The paper is devoted to the central figure who inspired this policy: a rather enigmatic Persian emigrant, a learned theologian, philosopher, poet and politician, Shāh Tāhir, surnamed Dakkhanī Ḥusaynī.


The author’s personal investigation and research into the medieval Ismaili fortresses of the Nizārī state, about which little was known. The author draws attention to the fact that, for his contemporaries there was no reliable information on the famous fortress of Alamūt linked to Ḥasan-i Șubbāḥ.

The article investigates the nature of the tombs of the Ismaili imams during the period of concealment following the Mongol destruction of the Ismaili strongholds.


327 —— ‘Ismailis and Qarmatians’, *JBBRAS*, NS, 16 (1940), pp. 43–85.

Virtually all the early historians regarded the Ismailis and the Qarmatīs as members of the same religious group. This view that generally prevailed among the early Orientalists was that the term ‘Qarmatīs’ was actually the authentic name of the Fatimid caliphs.

328 —— ‘Early Shi’ite Movements’, *JBBRAS*, NS, 17 (1941), pp. 1–23.

According to Ivanow, historians too often regarded Shi’i sectarian movements as purely religious developments resulting from the impact of pre-Islamic religious traditions on Islam. The need to counter-argue this conviction motivated him to produce this work.


The work is an attempt – apparently the first of its kind – to collect, analyse, and systematise as far as possible all the information contained in genuine Ismaili literature concerning the history of the Shi’i moment which brought about the foundation of the Fatimid caliphate in North Africa in 297/909.


The author challenges the centuries-old myth of ‘Abd Allah b. Maymūn al-Qaddāḥ, the non-‘Alid personality often regarded by anti-Ismaili polemicists of medieval times as the founder of the Ismaili movement and the progenitor of the Fatimid caliphs.


A short treatise on the fundamental Ismaili principles. It represents a useful introduction to the study of the Ismaili doctrine in general and of its Nizārī facet in particular.
According to Ivanow the pamphlet represents a remarkable discovery due to the outspoken treatment of specific esoteric subjects and to the abundance of references to authors and poets of Persian literature.

The work is a combination of several articles written by Ivanow relative to early Ismaili history, particularly the early history of the Ismaili movement in Persia.

The volume includes translations and collection of seminal Ismaili related literature.

The work was intended to elucidate Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s relationship with Ismailism, a point which in his biography remained for a long time rather obscure.

An analysis of the Biblical names occurring in Ismaili mythological tales.

As the author argues, this work is an attempt to provide the gist of the revolution that Ismaili ideas, as a whole, underwent in the course of the twelve centuries of Ismaili history.

The article investigates whether Ibn Sinā adhered to the same religious belief, that of the Ismailis, as his father and brother.

Ivanow propounded that there was a shrine in Multan in the name of Shams Tabrīzī, claimed as a co-religionist by the Ismailis. However, recent studies...
seem to have shown that the Shams buried in Multan may be identified with an Ismaili *pir*, Shams al-Din.


The aim of the Ismaili Society, founded in Bombay on 16 February 1946, was the promotion of the independent and critical study of all subject-matter relative to Ismaili literature, history and philosophy.


This article reports on Ismaili villages found in the valley of the River Panj (Amū Daryā) in Soviet Central Asia, present-day Tajikistan. The author argues that their Ismaili inhabitants were often wrongly called ‘Pamir Ismailis’, not because they inhabit the plateau of the ‘Roof of the World’, the Pamir, but because they reside on its borders, in the gorges which open into the Panj valley.


The author sought to clarify some of the problems related to Nāšir-i Khusraw’s biography and his connection with Ismailism.


Ivanow believed that the Ismaili community attained prosperity because they were a well-organised body guided by their imams. This helped them to survive the catastrophes which overtook them in the course of history.


According to Ivanow, Sufi philosophy is virtually the same doctrine as Ismaili esoterism, even though at the beginning Sufism was a purely Sunni development with, he argues, an undeniable Christian influence.

As Ivanow himself says, the purpose of this publication is to provide students of Ismailism with reliable data on the drama of Alamūt. A proper understanding of the 170 years of the Alamūt era is fundamental for attaining a correct perception of the evolution which Ismaili religious and philosophical thought has undergone.


This book is a detailed inventory of the Ismaili literary heritage, including works which are still extant as well as lost works whose titles, however, may be traced in early literature.


The author narrates his initial meeting with Ismailis in Persia in February 1912. Although World War I loomed on the horizon, Persia was still living in an ancestral medieval fashion, with affairs largely carried on in a traditional way.


A brief study by Ivanow regarding the views of various scholars on Nizārī Quhistānī’s works and his religious affiliation.


A brief entry on the Ismailis and their development, including a survey of how they have been variously named over the course of history.


Brief entries on the subjects of the Bohoras, Imām-Shāh, Ismā’iliyya, Khodja and Ṭāhir.


Wladimir Ivanow was at the forefront of modern Ismaili studies and made significant and original contributions to the academic account of the history of the Ismailis and their teaching. This publication represents the
first Russian translation of Ivanow’s works and serves to honour his academic achievements.


The book is a publication of previously unreleased material from the private archives of Wladimir Ivanow. The documents are arranged in two parts: an autobiographical one and a section relative to the observations collected by Ivanow whilst living in the East, mostly in India and Iran.

J


The author examines the views of three Russian scholars (Bertel’s, Semënov and Ivanow) on Nâšir-i Khusraw’s personality, poetic skills, and role in the development of Ismaili religious and philosophical thought as well as his position as a hujja. In particular, he regards Ivanow’s view as subjective and biased and attempts to corroborate this point with references derived from Nâšir-i Khusraw’s works.


This book explores the folklore of the Badakhshânî people including ancient legends and myths which are often linked to accounts of extraordinary phenomenological events and are often interwoven with religious beliefs.


This publication consists of a Tajik translation of Bobrinskoï’s article, ‘Sekta ismail’iâ v russkikh i bukharskikh predelakh Sredneî Azii’, with a foreword by the editors, as well as two other documents: i) 11 February 1904 – Report of the General Consul in Bombay, V.O. von Klemm, to the Foreign Ministry about his meeting with the Aga Khan; ii) Report of Ḥaydar Shâh Mubârak
Shāh Zāda and Shāhzāda Muḥammad regarding a dispute with Mullah Muḥammad-Ṣālih of Peshawar.


This article concerns the intellectual tradition of the poets of the Šāmānid and post-Šāmānid periods, such as Rūdakī, Firdawsī Ṭūsī, Abū Shakūrī Balkhī, Nāšir-i Khusraw and Kasā’ī-yi Marvāzī.


Nāšir-i Khusraw believed and propounded the notion that enmity and evil are not ingrained in human nature. He maintained that enmity is only a temporary condition for human beings, the result of an in-built ignorance which, however, can be removed by learning and by following the guidance of spiritual leaders.


The author observes the impact that the Ikhwān al-ʃaʃā’s literature had on the religious and philosophical themes in Rūdakī’s poetry.


Ismaili thinkers understood the cycle of human history as being in strict connection with the motion and the events in the material universe.


This article discusses the problem of leadership in the history of the Ismailis, developed in line with the Ismaili doctrine of the imamate, as one of the
ultimate social, philological and religio-political issues in the history of Tajikistan and the Islamic world generally.

— ‘O spešifike ismailitskoj konseptii filosofii istorii’ [On the Particularities of the Concept of the Philosophy of History according to the Ismaili Tradition], Izvestiia instituta filosofii, politologii i prava, 1–2 (2013), pp. 35–44. (in Russian).

The concept of the philosophy of history occupies an important place in the Ismaili intellectual tradition. This article is based on a reading and analysis of works by Ismaili thinkers, whilst also providing a synthesis and assessment of Russian, Soviet and Western research on the Ismailis.


The Safar-nāma was, according to Našir-i Khusraw, a biographical account of his adventurous life, although it does not encompass all his life. The travelogue, in fact, only recounts seven years of his journeys which began on 16 October 1045 and drew to a close on 23 October 1052, during which he visited the largest Islamic and non-Islamic civilisational centres and cities of his time.


This article is based on an analysis of the works of the Ismaili philosophers, as well as on the generalisations and evaluations made by Russian, Soviet and Western scholars of Ismaili studies. These generalisations served as the foundation for a comparison between the current conception of Ismaili history and other well-known, similar theories related to the philosophy of history.

K

Kalandarov, Hokim, see Qalandarov, Hokim.


The religious situation in the Pamirs is characterised by a significant number of the population whose everyday beliefs and rituals go back to ancient times, often even to the most ancient, Indo-Iranian beliefs, although they have been reinterpreted and understood in an Ismaili context.

— Istoricheskie sud’by shugnanâev i ikh verovaniâ [The Historical Destiny of Shughnânîs and their Beliefs], Dissertatsiâ na soiskanie uchënoi stepeni


This work is devoted to the study of the Shughnānī people and their beliefs, from the early stages of their history. The GBAO is identified with the remote valleys of the Panj river tributaries where the Pamiri people (such as the Yazghulāmīs, Rūshānīs, Bartangīs, Rashārwīs, Shughnānīs, Khūfīs, Bajūwīs, Ishkāshīmīs, Wāhkīs, etc.) reside. Due to their isolation from the lowland areas, these people have been able to preserve their languages as well as many ancient customs and traditions which have disappeared elsewhere in Central Asia.


This article attempts to make a comparative analysis of the legends and traditions about Nāšir-i Khusrāw found among the people of Gorno-Badakhshān, on the one hand, and the reliably known facts of his biography, on the other.


This article focuses mainly on the transformations of the late 20th and early 21st centuries in the religious practices of the Pamiri Ismailis. It also analyses the role that Ismailism has played in the social and spiritual life of the inhabitants of GBAO.


This article focuses primarily on the peoples of the Western Pamirs and their ethno-confessional relations throughout history. It assesses the role played by pre-Islamic beliefs and cultures in shaping the Ismaili doctrine in the region.


‘There are places on earth where man finds peace of mind and an exalted state of being. One of them is the High Pamir region.’ The author investigates
how in the sparsely inhabited valleys of the Panj River and its tributaries, there are people speaking eastern Iranian languages and adhering to Ismaili beliefs, until now a religion that only a few non-Ismailis have understood.


A study of some aspects of the funeral rites taking place among the indigenous people of Badakhshân. Most of such ceremonies focus on ritual purifications aimed at enabling the departure of the deceased’s soul into another world.


The author touches upon some of the most fundamental themes of Nâšîr-i Khusraw’s poetry, including truthfulness, knowledge of the self or self-awareness, freedom and free thinking, the renunciation of the material world, etc. These ideas are supported by the examples of Qur’ânic āyât and prophetic hadîths.


According to the author, ‘Debate with God’ is written in a poorer style than other poems by Nâšîr-i Khusraw. In addition, the subject matter is at odds with the main topics dealt with by Nâšîr-i Khusraw. Therefore, he argues, authorship of Debate cannot be attributed to Nâšîr-i Khusraw.

A comparative analysis of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s and Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī’s religio-philosophical doctrines upholding the necessity of a balance between the spiritual and material world.


This article deals with Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s ideas on the hidden and manifest meanings in the Qur’an and the *shari’a*. According to Nāṣir-i Khusraw, each item or phenomenon in this world has an inner content, reflecting the purpose of the Creator.


Man, analysed from a philosophical perspective, bridges the differences between Eastern and Western philosophy.


The article investigates the dispute between Nāṣir-i Khusraw and Muhammad b. Zakariyyā al-Rāzī on topics such as prime matter, space and time.


This book provides historical data, reports and records on military officers, border guards and other political agents who served in the Pamir region before the October Revolution of 1917. It opens with the first Russian expedition to the Pamir region, providing information on the lives of researchers such as Bronislav Grombchevskii (1855–1926), a military officer, Dmitrii Lavovich Ivanov (1846–1924), a writer and traveller, Mikhail Efremovich Ionov (1846–1923) and Vasiliii Nikolaevich Zaitsev (1851–1933), both military officers, Andrei Snesarev (1865–1937), a military academic Orientalist, and Ivan Ivanovich Zarubin (1887–1964), one of the pioneers of Pamir studies, a folklorist, ethnographer and historian.

Du’ās in the context of Badakhshān are similar to du’ās in other religious contexts. They are divided into two groups: one type is performed during funerals and the mourning period, and the second is performed at the harvest, at meals, social and convivial events, receptions, etc., Du’ās are recited mainly in Persian and partly in Arabic.

Kātib, Ḥasan-i Maḥmūd-i, see, Kotib, Hasan Mahmud.


The Proclamation begins: ‘In the name of God and of the Imam of the time Sūltān Muḥammad Shāh and according to Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s *Wajh-i din*, and contains a covering letter by the Muftiyyat of the Muslims of Central Asia and Kazakhstan, Ishan Babakhan ibn Abdulmanzhidkhan. On 22 June 1944, the proclamation was sent to the people of the Pamirs in the name of Sayyed Kazem, Sayyed Farrukhshah-Zada and Shohnam Sayyed Mursal-Zada. Its core was actually an appeal to all Ismailis and to all Muslims to help the Red Army and Soviet State in their war against Fascism, as well as an exhortation to liberate all people from Nazi enslavement.


This treatise is the outcome of research on the tomb of Nāṣir-i Khusraw, the famous poet and Ismaili dā’ī of the 5th/11th century.


When dealing with Ismaili origins, Iranian and western scholars have identified the founder as Ja’far b. Șādīq’s eldest son Ismā’il, whose descendants continued to expand and develop the military-political movement established by their ancestor. Given that most of the surviving Ismaili manuscripts pertain to the Fatimid or post-Fatimid era, the early period comprised between the imamate of Ismā’il b. Ja’far and ‘Abd Allāh al-Mahdī (here called ‘Ubayd Allāh), the author argues remains, by and large, *terra incognita*.


On the basis of the ethnographical sources, this study has attempted to understand the hardship that Ismailis of Badakhshānī were faced with upon the collapse of the Soviet Union.

This report explores the traditional (religious and cultural) practices of the Central Asian Ismailis.


In this article, the author analyses Nāšir-i Khusraw’s views on knowledge, tradition and upbringing. His approach to these issues is important for our understanding of Islam and our contemporary world. Nāšir-i Khusraw’s choice of these three areas of interest was affected by the Fatimid da’wa’s ideas on taqwâ (humility, obedience), siyâsa (leadership, authority) and ‘ilm (knowledge).


The paper presents a core metaphor in the Ismaili religious ceremony called Chirâgh Rawshan (here Chirâgh-i Rōshan). This ceremony is a reference to the Prophetic tradition of divine guidance. For centuries, this ceremony has been critical for Ismaili Muslims in Afghanistan, Tajikistan, China and northern Pakistan including Chitrâl and Gilgit-Baltistan, in preserving and protecting their religious identity, and in expressing their devotion through the series of ritual acts which form this ceremony.


This work focuses mainly on the history of the Ismailis of Central Asia in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, with consideration given to Anglo-Russian rivalry in the region and its effect on the Ismailis living there.

This work by Fida’ī Khurāsānī, which constitutes an extremely valuable source for the history of the Ismailis, has so far been known only in the form of a unique manuscript belonging to the Russian Orientalist, Semēnov. The book includes annotations contained in a number of seminal works which are no longer extant.


This article examines the process of religious revival among the people of the Western Pamirs, in Rūshān, Shughnān, Bartang, Ishkāshim and Wakhān, who inhabit the GBAO of the Republic of Tajikistan. The article also investigates the changes that have been taking place in the Ismaili community since the late 1980s, as well as the role of the AKF in modern Pamiri society.


Bobrinskoï was one of the first Russian Orientalists to travel to Badakhshān with the aim of documenting the presence of the Ismaili community in Central Asia. There he met Ismaili religious leaders, such as pîrs. As a result of his travels and writings he was and still is regarded as an expert in Ismaili studies in Russian scholarly circles.

Khudonazarov claims that Bobrinskoï’s publication of 1902 was the first Russian, and in fact, the first European, study on Ismailism.
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been credited with the systematisation of the Fatimid Ismaili philosophical system. As one of the most learned Ismaili ḍāʾīs, he opposed Druze teachings on the nature of the Ismaili Imam of the time, al-Ḥākim bi-Amr Allāh.


‘The Anti-religionist’ (Antireligioznik), was a monthly journal of the League of Militant Atheists (Soţuz voinstvuushchikh bezbozhnikov). In this article, Klimovich argues that Ismailism has a ‘conspiratorial’ nature and alerts the Soviets to what he asserted was the espionage of the Ismailis who were loyal to the imam. He calls the imam ‘a loyal servant of British imperialism’ and ‘an enemy of the Soviet state.’


Klimovich approached the study of religion from a Marxist standpoint, that of historical materialism, which was used by Communist and Marxist historiographers in the study of religion. In the author’s view Ismailism in particular, and Shi’i Islam in general, are the movements that served as a religious cover for the anti-feudal peasant movements directed against the prevailing feudal cliques.


The religious understanding of Ismailism is presented through the thought of some of the most important Ismaili ḍāʾīs such as Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, al-Nasafi and Nāṣir-i Khusraw.


The article is devoted to the life and works of the Persian Ismaili philosopher Nāṣir-i Khusraw who, according to the author, made a great contribution to the development of Persian literature and influenced the formation of its poetic language.


The study, based on an examination of the treatise, Gushâyish va rahâyish (translated into English as ‘Knowledge and Liberation’) demonstrates that the Ismaili philosopher did not just adhere to concepts developed by his
predecessors, but actively reinterpreted and refined them, embedding them in his own philosophical system.


This article covers the split in Shi’i Islam in the second half of the 2nd/8th century which led to the rise of a new movement that became known by the name Ismaili. It further discusses the movement’s development up to the Fatimid era in Egypt in the 4th–6th/10th–12th centuries.


Brief entry on the Ismailis and their religious leaders.


The thesis presents anthropological studies on the Ismaili Tajiks of the Pamirs. The work provides a historical background to the history and the doctrines of the Ismailis and the introduction and diffusion of these beliefs in the region of present-day Badakhshan. The research is mainly based on interviews the author conducted in the Khurugh, Shughnan and Ishkashim districts on local Ismaili religious practices and education, particularly during the Soviet and post-Soviet period.


This publication is the first systematic description of the life, work and teachings of Imam Muḥammad al-Bāqir. One of the most educated Muslims of his time, he played a significant role in the early Islamic history. Being both a spiritual leader and a versatile scholar, he was also an authority on the interpretation of the Qur’an, the traditions of his forebear, the Prophet Muhammad, and a variety of issues relating to Muslim rites, rituals and practices.


The article probes two somewhat different, earlier cases of possible ‘cross-ties’ between Ismailism and Sufism, both having to do with the ‘multi-faceted personalities’ of Nāṣir-i Khusraw. Landolt briefly discusses the general questions of Sufi influence on, and reception of, the great Ismaili poet.

A brief overview of Russian-Soviet studies on Ismailism including social, cultural, religious and other aspects of the Ismaili inhabitants of Soviet Central Asia.


This thesis explores how the isolated life in the remote mountain region of the Pamirs has helped to preserve local culture in terms of their (eastern Iranian) languages and their particular faith, Ismailism.


The work examines the ritual performances of a small religious community in order to understand relationships in their villages and with the wider Darvaz district and Tajik society. The beliefs of the religious community differ from those of the Sunni majority of Darvaz, adhering to a different set of interpretations of Islam, that are the basis for drawing a boundary in terms of ‘we’ and ‘they’. To a great extent this work uses approaches to performance to explore social interactions and relationships in the village communities. In this work, ritual is primarily used to understand how this religious community conceives of itself as a particular community in the region and how by means of performance the individuals in it relate themselves to other groups, to their nation-state and to the Ismaili ‘global assemblage’.


This article argues that the Khoja Ismailis under the leadership of Aga Khan III played a major role in the reformation and re-organisation of Ismaili
institutions not only in South Asia but also other countries where Ismaili communities reside.


This thesis is an attempt to highlight the history of one of the different caste groups of the Muslim bourgeoisie of India and Pakistan, tracing the history of the Khoja Ismaili community.


The development of capitalism in India has not led to the disappearance of the trading castes, present since the Middle Ages. In a number of works by Soviet and foreign researchers, attention has been drawn to the important role which continues to be played in shaping the commercial caste bourgeoisie of India and Pakistan by the Bohra, Meman and Khoja communities.


The Aga Khan’s modernisation of the Ismaili community’s traditional organisation is aimed at involving the leadership and representatives of the bourgeois class of the Indian trading community, the Khojas, in it. Under the leadership of Aga Khan IV, the Khoja community has created an extensive network of Ismaili banks, financial corporations, insurance companies and cooperative societies in countries like India, Pakistan and Burma, and in East Africa.


Brief information about the life and work of Aga Khan III (Sultan Muhammad Shâh).

422 —— ‘Etapy i osobennosti formirovaniĭa musul'mankoi burzhuazii iz obshchiny ismailitov-khodzha’ [Stages and Distinctive Features of the Transformation of the Ismaili-Khoja Community into a Muslim Bourgeoisie], Islam i sotsial'nye struktury stran Blizhnego i Srednego Vostoka (1990), pp. 39–50. (in Russian).

The article focuses on the position of the individual groups belonging to the Pakistani Ismaili bourgeoisie.

Based on extensive, long-term field work in the borderlands of Afghan and Tajik Badakhshan, this book explores the importance of local leaders and local identity groups for the stability of a state’s borders, and ultimately for the stability of the state itself.


This article attempts to decipher some of obscure religious, cosmological, theological, and psychological allusions found in Nāšir-i Khusraw’s metaphysical poetry. A single *qašida* is translated, each line given exhaustive commentary and its key concepts – particularly Soul (*nafs, jān*), Intellect (*‘aql*), Substance (*jawhar*), and Form (*šūrat*) – placed in their proper philosophical and literary context.


From a Marxist perspective, in each stage of human social development the dominant ideology is that of the hegemonic class. Drawing on this attitude Ismailism is depicted as the ideology of a feudal aristocracy.

Makhmudov, Oybek. ‘Rossiïa i Ismaility: K istorii vzaimootnosheniï v Srendeï Azii’ [Russia and the Ismailis: the History of their Relationship in Central Asia], *Istoriïa: problemy ob”ektivnosti i nравственности: Materialy...
The study introduces some features of the relationship between the local Ismaili population of the Pamirs and the Russian military deployment. It also presents features of the positive influence the Russian military had on the life of the local Pamiris under É.K. Kivekês, the long-serving head of the military unit.


It is evident that various trends and philosophical beliefs have affected Ismailism in the Pamir-Hindu Kush region. This study questions whether these forms of belief have facilitated the existence of Ismailism in this part of the world and whether they differ from those in other regions.


The study is an examination of the process of the dissemination of Ismaili beliefs in the Pamir. Evidence is presented from various sources, including legends about the spread of the Ismaili da‘wa in the Pamir-Hindu Kush region.


A brief study on some unique features in the traditional calendar of the Pamir Ismailis and their connection with pre-Islamic beliefs and ideas.
This paper portrays Semënov as one of the first researchers of Pamiri Ismailism. It presents a brief analysis of his works and publications.

The works of A.A. Bobrinskoï and A.A. Semënov examined in this study show them to be pioneers in the study of Pamiri Ismailism. A brief analysis of their publications and the issues related to their studies is presented here.

This study is based on archival material and a number of research papers on the role played by the Ismaili religious leaders (pirs and khalîfas) during the era of Russian and British imperial rivalry in the region.

The study investigates the main stages in the development of western historiography with regard to Ismaili history. The dynamics and processes of the changing and deepening knowledge about Ismaili history and teachings emerges through both researching original sources and the emergence of new avenues of enquiry.

— ‘Pandzhabkhaïskoe dvizhenie na Pamire v 20–30s gg. XX v. (k voprosy o reformaïsii v ismailizme)’ [The Panjebhai Movement in the Pamirs in the 1920s and 1930s (On the Question of the Reformation of Ismailism)]. "Esh Sharqshunoslarning Akademik Ubaïdulla Karimov Nomidagi IX Ilmiy-Amalli Konferensiasi Təzislarï [The 9th Academic Conference of Eastern

A paper on the under-studied Panjebhai movement which attempted to reform Pamiri Ismailism between the 1920s and 1930s but which, however, was ultimately abortive.

‘Nekotorye predstavleniâ pamirskikh ismailitov o ēisklicheskom ustroistve’ [Some Views of the Pamiri Ismailis on the Cyclical Structure of the Universal Hierarchy], Vestnik Naţional’nyi Universitet Uzbekistana, Special Issue, 2011, pp. 40–44. (in Russian).

Based on collected documents held in the Central State Archive of the Republic of Uzbekistan, this study includes the report of Ḥaydar Shâh Mubârak Shâh Zâda and Shâhzâdamuhammad regarding a dispute with Mullah Muḥammad-Ṣâliḥ of Peshawar. The study provides a summary of the speakers’ arguments and comments, particularly on the Pamiri Ismailis and their perception of the cyclical and hierarchical structure of the universe.


This thesis studies aspects of and developments in Ismailism in Central Asia, especially in the Pamir region from the middle of the 19th to the early 20th centuries. It relies mainly on information provided by Russian academics.


This research is an analysis of the contents of a number of publications on the Pamir collated in the ‘Collection of geographical, topographical and statistical materials on Asia’, including the opinion of the Russian military on the Pamir region and its population in the late 19th and early 20th century.

The works of B.L. Tageev, a military man and journalist, on the Pamir region are reviewed and analysed in this paper. He visited and observed the local inhabitants of the Pamirs and the region itself.


A brief analysis of the features and approaches in the study, observation and representation of Ismaili doctrines in Imperian Russian, Soviet and post-Soviet historiography.


This article is a brief description of the main sources on Ismaili history in Russian and Soviet studies, covering their characteristics and features.


The Ismaili dâ'îs actively promoted Ismaili ideology, philosophy, culture, and socio-political teachings, over the centuries to many people, from North Africa to the Indian subcontinent.


In this article, the author argues that the Sufi convention of expression through symbols does not correspond to the notion of ta'wil, which is widely used by Ismailis as the hermeneutical interpretation of the Qur’ân. In the formative period of Sufism, Qur’ânic sayings were interpreted in such a way that their meaning could be understood only in a state of ecstasy.

A rare article written about Aga Khan IV during the Soviet era, by a Tajik Ismaili government official. The article is written from the Marxist perspective which views the Aga Khan as an imperialist bureaucrat on good terms with American and British imperialists.


The work describes the main stages in the study of the written heritage of Badakhshani manuscripts and the role played by Russian scholars in the collection and examination of this heritage.


Besides being an Ismaili dā’i who is regarded as a pīr and a ĕ̂̂ūjja in Badakhshan, Nasir-i Khusraw also made a great contribution to the world of Persian literature throughout his writings by calling people to humanism, patriotism, the acquisition of knowledge, justice, friendship and brotherhood.


In this paper it is argued that, according to Tūsī, we have to know Him (the Universal teacher) in order to acquire knowledge, while in Nasir-i Khusraw’s thought we have to acquire knowledge in order to reach or know Him.


The book identifies traditional forms of religious authority in the network of religious functionaries amongst the Central Asian Ismailis. The development of a religious structural authority is viewed as a necessity for the survival and evolution of the religious and political authorities of the Badakhshani Ismailis in times of radical and political upheaval.

451 Matīnī, Jalāl. ‘Nāṣir-i Khusraw va Madiha-sarāyi’ [Nāṣir-i Khusraw and Eulogism], in *Ya’dnāma-yi Nāṣir-i Khusraw*, ed. Dānishghā-i Firdawsī,
Dānishkada-yi Adabiyyāt va 'Ulūm-i Insānī (Faculty of Letters and Humanities of the University of Mashhad), Mashhad: Dānishgāh-i Firdawsī, 1355 Sh./1976, pp. 421–494. (in Persian).

The article argues that through his religious poetry Nāšir-i Khusraw propagated the Ismaili da'wa as a righteous religious faith.


The concept of reason was fundamental to the creation of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s worldview, as well as his religious beliefs.


This is a study of the shrines found in the mountainous region of Badakhshān and the traditions attached to them.


The theme of the book is the socio-religious life of the Ismaili community in modern Iran, and the challenges and issues that it is faced with.


The Ismailis place great religious significance in the concepts of the manifest (zāhir) and the hidden (bāṭin). In order to understand the concealed meaning of the sharī'a, namely, the real meaning of the Revelation, it is necessary to decode the figures, characters, numbers and numerical values of the letters of the alphabet, to reveal the true significance of the Qur'anic āyat, Prophetic hadīths and the alkhām of the sharī'a.


The source of Nāšir-i Khusraw's intellectual and ethical philosophy lies not in the blind imitation and repetition of ancestral practice and traditions, but in the individual intellect and knowledge, in personal effort (individual intellect), as well as in knowledge and social practice (active complete intellect).

457 —— Filosofskii analiz mirovozzreni/i+a+tie     Muboraka Vakhani [A Philosophical Analysis of Mubārak-i Wakhānī's Worldview], D disserta/i+a+tie      na soiskanie

This is an analysis of the philosophical outlook of the Tajik Ismaili poet and thinker of the late 19th and early 20th centuries, Mubârank-i Wakhâhâni, who had a great influence on the lives of the Wakhânî Tajiks living in the mountainous regions of the Pamir and Hindu Kush ranges.


Inspired by Nāšir-i Khusraw’s writings, the author suggests that a diversity of views, or pluralism, is to be regarded as basis for establishing a civil society in contemporary terms.


The article compares the lives, thought and teachings of Nāšir-i Khusraw and Jalâl al-Dîn Rûmî through their poetry.


An allegorical poem, in which the poet tells the story of Nāšir-i Khusraw through the spring (*chashma*) that he was said to have generated. The spring is the symbol of the *khirad* (intellect), one of the powerful tools in the hands of humankind. He emphisises the importance of reason, knowledge and wisdom of human beings.


The book is a study of the biographies and the poetry of Badakhshânî poets writing between the 17th and 20th centuries CE. There is very little evidence regarding the written literature of Badakhshân before the time of Nāšir-i Khusraw; as a result Nāšir-i Khusraw’s poetry has had a great impact on the poetic, philosophical and religious literature of Badakhshân.

462 Mirzozoda, Kholiqzoda. ‘Ismoilîâ, qarmatiîa, botinîa’ [The Ismailis, Qarmâtis and Bâtinîs], in his *Ta’rikh-i adabiëti tojik (az davrai qadim to asrî XIII)* [The History of Tajik Literature (from Ancient times to the 13th century)]. Dushanbe: Maorif, 1989, pp. 126–176. (in Russian).
Ismailism has been labelled a democratic tendency in the Shi‘i tradition, and this led to its rise as a powerful literary movement and tradition. Ismailism is presented as having been led in Iran and Central Asia by eminent dâ‘îs who were able to convert the intelligentsia, artists and free thinkers, such as Rûdaki, Abu‘l-Fa‘l Bal‘amî, Firdawsi, Nasîr-i Khusraw and many others.


This work examines processes taking place among the Pamiri nationalities mainly based on ethnographic material collected by the author as a result of the expedition which took place in 1964–1967. The work also shows the influence of radical changes in the socio-economic conditions of the Pamiri Ismailis that took place during the Soviet era.


Monogarova investigated remnants of pre-Islamic custom and ritual therapeutic acts in the daily life of the Shughnânîs as well as other peoples of the Pamir region.


The article discusses the fearlessness of the Ismailis in the Alamût fortresses under the leadership of Hasan-i Sabbâh whom the author regards as a ‘selfless fighter for the people’s happiness’.


It is thought that Târîkh-i mulk-i Shughnân was the work of Sayyid Haydar Shâh Mubârak Shâh Zada. It provides detailed discussions on the history of Shughnân and its relations with neighbouring areas such as Rûshân, Wakhân and Darvâz on both the Afghan and Tajikistan sides of the Amû Daryâ.

Nāṣir-i Khusraw tried to understand and develop rationalism in Perso-Islamic culture in four ways: (i) by moving away from the superficial religio-legal terms towards the true inner meaning of the teachings; (ii) by a rationalisation of culture and religion strongly expressing a practical dimension; (iii) by thinking of a prosperous exemplary society and the depiction of the city of his dreams in the form of the Fatimid state as it existed then; and (iv) by presenting a prosperous and exemplary society not as an imaginary utopia, but as a glimpse of the realities in which he had lived and worked.


The scholar compares the Sufi interpretation of the Qur’anic sūra 12 (ṣūrat Yūsuf), and its Ismaili interpretations, showing both similarities and differences between the philosophies of these two groups and the way they engaged in *ta’wil*.


For both the Ismailis and the Sufis the interpretation of the Qur’anic commands was not only intended to unveil the meaning of the shari‘a, but also was a way to turn from a merely exoteric (zāhir-parast) understanding of the truth and to attain a more authentic meaning, thus enabling freedom of expression for the leading thinkers.


*Gushāyish va Rahāyish* contains responses to a series of 30 questions on theological and philosophical subjects, with special reference to the human soul, its relation to the world of nature and its quest for salvation.

471 Muḥaqiq, Mahdī. ‘Chihra-yi dīnī va madhhabī Nāṣir-i Khusraw dar *Dīvān*’ [Faith and the Religious Figure of Nāṣir-i Khusraw in his *Divān*], in *Yādnāma-yi Nāṣir-i Khusraw*, ed. Dānishgāh-i Firdawsī, Dānishkada-yi Adabiyyāt va ‘Ulūm-i Insānī (Faculty of Letters and Humanities of the University of Mashhad), Mashhad: Dānishgāh-i Firdawsī, 1355 Sh./1976, pp. 492–519.

Nāṣir-i Khusraw shunned the material world and the luxurious life of his age which he compared to darkness. It was in the spiritual world that he found knowledge, awareness and a faith that could lead to enlightenment and fulfilment.

Central Asian Ismailis


The Divān of Nāṣir-i Khusraw is full of symbolic expressions which derive from various sources and are not easy to comprehend. For this reason, Mahdī Muḥaqqiq, a well-known commentator on Nāṣir-i Khusraw, offers a brief and comprehensive interpretation of Nāṣir’s poems.


The article begins with a brief account of the Ismaili role in Iranian history. In particular, the author argues that the heroic defence of Alamūt changed the course of the history of Iran. The original Arabic version of Kashf al-mahjūb, as far as we know, has not survived. The sole manuscript of the Persian translation (or, perhaps, paraphrase) of the book was discovered in the private library of Sayyid Naṣrullāh Taqawī. The translation, which was most probably made about a century after al-Sijistāni’s death, was first described by Paul Kraus, later translated into French and published by Henry Corbin.


The book is dedicated to the socio-political life and history of Badakhshān, and is divided into four parts. The first part begins with the reign of a local ruler ʿAbd al-Raḥmān Khān, the son of Qubād Khān (ca. 1792–1814); the second part relates to the history of the local kings of Shughnān; the third part which is titled Tārikh-i Afghānistān, refers to the time of the occupation of Badakhshān by the Afghans; and the final part of the book focuses on a period from the reign of the Russian emperor Nicholas II and the arrival of Russian soldiers in Badakhshān to the victory of the October Revolution of 1917 in Russia.


476 —— ‘Obychai i obr/i+a+tie476, pamirskikh tadzhikov, s v/i+a+tie s zhilishchem: Kone/i+a+tie XIX-nachalo XX v. (materialy k istoriko-ētnografi cheskому atlasu narodov Sredne/i+a+tie Azii i Kasakhstana)’ [Rites and Customs of the Pamiri Tajiks Dealing with Housing: End of 19th – Beginning of 20th Century
Pamiri customs and rituals are discussed in the work, as well as the leading role of the Ismaili pirs and in particular khalifes in the daily practices of the community.

Ancient folk representations associated with the cult of the ancestors were redefined under the influence of Ismaili theology; this shift can be witnessed in architectural elements such as basic load-bearing roof structures, including poles and support columns which now came to symbolise the God-creator and the holy Prophets.

A study of the cycle of agricultural labour among the Pamiri people, citing analogies of certain customs and beliefs linked to the production of a good harvest.

It is argued here that, in an effort to ensure a good harvest and to increase the number of livestock, the Pamiri people combined traditional farming skills that took into account the characteristics of the natural environment along with rites associated with animistic beliefs, including those connected to astral cults.


According to the author, Nāšir-i Khusraw accepted the theory of the origination of the universe whilst simultaneously maintaining that the processes of generation and corruption are embedded in nature.

---


The discussion is based on Nāšir-i Khusraw’s philosophical treatise Jāmi‘ al-hikmatayn, which analyses the discourses of the universal cycle, the ratio of the moon and the sun, stars and other heavenly objects in connection to the Earth.


A biography of Nāšir-i Khusraw including an analysis of his main religio-philosophical works from a Marxist perspective.


The discussion is based on one of the essential works by Nāšir-i Khusraw, the Jāmi‘ al-hikmatayn, which deals with a large range of ontological issues such as substantial and accidental origination, motion, space and time.


The work deals with issues of movement, space and time according to Nāšir-i Khusraw’s understanding together with the ratio of form and substance.


Nāšir-i Khusraw, unlike many thinkers of his time, did not disseminate ideas on the ‘supernatural’ origin of human knowledge and instead made attempts to explain the human mechanisms of reflection and reproduction.

488 —— ‘O nekotorykh aspektakh problemy substanitsii s tochi zreniia Avicenny i Nosiri Khisrava’ [Some Aspects of the Theory of Emanation

The author draws parallels between the theoretical thinking of Avicenna and that of Nāṣir-i Khusraw on pivotal philosophical topics such as accident and substance, form and matter, essence and existence.


This article investigates Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s main philosophical points, based on his major philosophical works such as the *Zād al-musāfirin, Jāmi’ al-ḥikmatayn*, and *Gushāyish va Rahāyish*. The issues of existence, the universe, the relationship between the soul and the body, the categories of motion, time and space, as well as the theory of natural philosophy are all discussed and analysed.


A comparative analysis of al-Sijistānī’s and Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s discourses on issues such as God’s relationship to nature and mankind, His role as the Creator in respect to His creation. Murodova argues that even though both philosophers could be, from a Marxist perspective, identified as being objective idealists, their approach to certain philosophical matters differs substantially.


The work analyses metaphysical categories such as ‘accidental’ and ‘substantial’, space, time and matter, and the concepts of God and Nature, as expounded by Nāṣir-i Khusraw in his treatise, *Jāmi’ al-ḥikmatayn*.


The work analyses al-Sijistānī’s Ismaili philosophy on subjects such as being, the relationship of the Creator and creation, matter and form, soul and body, and the categories of movement, space and time.

In Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s ethical philosophy, man occupies an important place. The human being, in his opinion, has a speaking soul, which ensures his superiority over other earthly creatures.

N


The study is a comparative analysis of the Ismailis of Central Asia, in particular Tajikistan and Afghanistan and their dynamics. The study provides: i) a critical analysis of the history of the introduction and spread of Ismailism in the region; ii) an assessment of the influence and position of the Ismaili imams in strengthening the Ismaili community in Central Asia; iii) an investigation of the change in the position of Ismailism in the post-Soviet period.


This paper argues that Nāṣir-i Khusraw divides the Intellect into the universal or complete (kulî) and the individual (juz’î). Nāṣir-i Khusraw regarded the universal or first intellect as the prime origin of creation, which emerged by God’s command without reference to reason, space or time, simply by instantaneous *ibdâ* (creation *ex nihilo*). The individual intellect is a tool for perfecting the soul, which in turn is developed via learning and the gaining of knowledge.


A brief biographical entry on Nāṣir-i Khusraw.

Nāṣir Khusraw, see, Nasir-i Khusraw.


Guy Le Strange translated from the Persian and annotated the first partial English language edition of the *Safar-nâma*.


The first Russian translation and edition of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s *Safar-nâma*. 

A selection of forty poems by Nāšir-i Khusraw.


Gushāyish va Rahāyish is the first major treatise by Nāšir-i Khusraw to be translated into English. Consisting of a series of thirty questions and answers, it addresses some of the central philosophical and theological issues of his time from an Ismaili perspective, ranging from the creation of the world and the nature of the soul to questions of free will and responsibility.


505 —— ‘Raushanai-nama’ (‘Kniga prosvetleniâ’) [Rawshanā’i-nāma (‘The Book of Enlightenment’)], Introduction; Chapter on edification; Verses 1–162, EIF, vol. 4, pp. 333–344.
An annotated translation by N.I. Prigarina and M.A. Shakarbekova of both the introduction and the first chapter of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s Rawshanā’i-nāma.

Brief information about Nāšir-i Khusraw’s life, thought and work, and influence on Islamic philosophy.

The theme of the thesis is about the understanding the anthropology of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s concept of the historical and socio-cultural conditions that have influenced the formation of rational philosophy, including an analysis of human nature, the mind and the soul, and their relationship.
While human beings are generally subordinate to God, they are also relatively free in their actions. Nāṣir-i Khusraw describes this intermediate situation as ‘neither compelled to do something, nor free in the full sense’.

This study covers the basic philosophical, theological, epistemological and social issues – such as the nature of God, the transcendent world and its elements, the Qur’an, the nature and role of the prophets and imams as spiritual and charismatic leaders of society – from the point of view of different Ismaili thinkers. Particular attention is paid to the conceptual and systematic analysis of the above issues from the Ismaili doctrinal perspective.


Many eminent scholars have studied Ismaili philosophical doctrines. Amongst these, a special role is given to Russian Orientalists. The study highlights the following periods: (i) pre-Soviet scholars: A.A. Bobrinskoi, A.A. Semënov, V.V. Bartol’d, V.A. Ivanov; (ii) Soviet scholars: A.E. Bertel’s, A.K. Zakuev; and (iii) post-Soviet scholars: E.A. Frolova, A.V. Smirnov and A.A. Ignatenko.

The work studies terms, concepts and phrases in the philosophical and poetic corpus of the hakim, philosopher, traveller and the renowned poet of the 5th/11th century, Nāṣir-i Khusraw.

The authors illustrate the important role which, according to Nāṣir-i Khusraw, human beings play in society, highlighting the value of knowledge and wisdom, the significance of language, advice and good counsel.


According to the author, the Qarmaṭ movement shows some common traits with Ismaili thought and Shi‘i thought in general. From the outset, the Qarmaṭis were very critical of the feudal social structure and, as a result, gained support amongst the ordinary people. Ideologically, the Qarmaṭ movement used rationalism and philosophy on the one hand, whilst appealing to reactionary Sufism (tašawwuf-i irtijā‘i) on the other.


A brief biography of Nāṣir-i Khusraw.


Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī was a follower of the doctrine of the imamate, as well as an adherent of ta‘limiyāa teaching, believing that the source of true knowledge comes through the imam. In his book, Kitāb a‘lām al-nubuwwa, he set out to prove the necessity of the imam as the foremost means of attaining true knowledge of God.


Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī was regarded as the second thinker, after Nasafi, to make a significant contribution to the development of theology and philosophy in the Ismaili movement, as well as to the systematisation of theological terms in the Arabic language.

This work focuses on Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī’s intellectual activities and the contradictions in his understanding and interpretation of the Qur’an.


Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī not only played a huge role in the development of Ismaili philosophical ideas, but along with other followers of the Ismaili doctrine, he also contributed significantly to the development of a Shi‘i school of jurisprudence (fiqh) in Islam.


The study focuses on a synthesis of Islam and ancient philosophy in the works of Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, which played an important role for the further development of Islamic culture and civilisation.


This work is devoted to the origins of philosophical views in the history of philosophy of the East, particularly the works of Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī, a philosopher and a preacher from the ranks of the Ismaili hujjas.


This article sheds some light on Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī’s ethical philosophy, an area of study which has been so far overlooked.


The book covers little-studied questions on the ontology, epistemology and anthropology of Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī as well as the formation of early Ismaili philosophical thought.

The author discusses the traditional poetic works of Nāšir-i Khusraw. In her thesis she devotes a chapter to the use of poetic devices in his Qaṣīdas.


The study analyses the doctrines of the Central Asian Ismailis, their views about God, the Prophets and the imams, including the Ismaili hierarchy and the role of the pîrs, religious rituals and ceremonies.


The Tajik Ismaili community constitutes one of the largest and historically oldest concentrations of Ismailis in the world.


The collection of articles is from the conference celebrating the millennium of Nāšir-i Khusraw, held in Tajikistan in September 2003. It captures the intellectual quality and diversity reflected in the presentations and discussions held in Khârûgh and Dushanbe, Tajikistan.


Despite post-Soviet advances in the study of Central Asian Ismailism, Wladimir Ivanow and Andrei Bertel’s have remained foundational in this field. However, due to the opposing ideological camps in which these two scholars found themselves, their impact has been limited to their own spheres of influence. The paper advocates a re-examination of the ideological positioning of both authors, arguing that each played a critical role in the establishment of this subject within the context and constraints of their times.

The main problems of physical and metaphysical significance in the teachings of Aristotle and Naṣir-i Khusraw are expounded in comparative focus. Special attention is paid to an analysis of the correlations of the spiritual and material, cosmic and terrestrial, natural, corporal and spiritual.


The paper is a survey of the Ivanow Collection in the Asiatic Museum (currently known as the Institute of Oriental Manuscripts) and its value for Orientalists.


This thesis analyses the political, religious, and cultural life of Badakhshan, set in the wider historical context of Central Asia and the Persianate world, from 905/1500 to 1163/1750. Its main focus is the scattered Ismaili communities of Badakhshan and the Pamir principalities. It also addresses the impact of politics on religion and religious communities, particularly the Shi‘i and Ismaili minority groups in Badakhshan.


This chapter focuses on Ismaili-Sufi and Ismaili-Twelver relations in Badakhshan. In modern studies, Badakhshan is referred to as ‘a sort of cultural palimpsest, a recipient of a complex series of influences’ such as the relations between the Sufis, Ismailis and Twelver Shi‘is. In this context, this chapter gives an overview of Ismaili-Sufi relations and also tries to explore the influence of Twelver Shi‘ism on the Chirāgh-nāma and on other texts produced in Badakhshan.


Shāh Dīyā’-i Shughnānī is a hitherto unknown Ismaili poet from the region of Shughnān. He lived in the 10th–11th/16th–17th centuries, a time when most of Badakhshan was ruled by the last Timūrids, namely Sulaymān Mīrzā (d. 997/1589) and his son Ibrāhīm Mīrzā (d. 967/1560). In the
religious context, he was a contemporary of the Qāsim-Shāhī Imams, Murād Mirzā (d. 981/1574) and Dhul-Fiqr ‘Alī (d. 1043/1634). This study concentrates comparing two different texts on the poem of allegiance known as Salām-nāma.

O


This study analyses new materials and historical documents on the socio-economic and political life of the Pamir region and in particular the district of Shughnān, before the October 1917 Revolution.


This article scrutinises the life and works of the prominent 7th/13th-century thinker Khwāja Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī.


Jāmi’-al-ḥikmatayn was written at the request of the amīr of Badakhshān, Abu’l-Ma’ālī ‘Alī b. Assad; it aims at providing answers to a qaṣīda by Abu’l-Haytham Aḥmad b. al-Ḥasan al-Jurjānī containing 91 questions on philosophy, logic, physics, syntax and the religious sciences.


A series of legends about ‘Alī in the folklore of Persian-speaking countries such as Afghanistan, Iran and Tajikistan.


This is the first English translation of the last known philosophical work of the great fifth/eleventh-century Ismaili thinker, poet and Fatimid emissary, Naṣīr-i Khusraw. Appointed by the Fatimid Imam-caliph al-Mustansir in Cairo to serve first as a dāʾī, and then as the ḥujjat, for the entire region of Khurāsān, he maintained his allegiance both to his mission and to his imam for the rest of his life, even when driven into exile.

This dissertation examines the ways in which the Ismailis of the Badakhshan region of Tajikistan understand, and relate to, their sacred sites. It explores the sacred sites of Badakhshan in the framework of anthropological literature on space and place.

---


The relationship between intellect (‘aql) and faith has always been of fundamental importance to Muslims and has been widely discussed amongst Muslim philosophers and intellectuals.


From the study of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s work it can be deduced that ta’ilim (instruction or education) encompassed the study of ‘ilm and the practice (‘amal) of various disciplines including the Qur’an and its ta’wil or deeper meaning, the hadith or the traditions of the Prophet, and the teachings of the legitimate imams from the Prophet’s progeny.


A brief overview of Ismaili history.


Brief information on Ismailism and its history.


The author asserts that the philosophy of the ancient Greeks, in particular that of Aristotle, had a major influence on Nāšir-i Khusraw’s thought. At
the same time, in contrast to Ibn Sīnā and al-Farābī who were also influenced by Greek philosophy, Nāṣir-i Khusraw subjected Greek ideas and theories to much deeper scrutiny. Pidrom touches upon a number of themes in Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s works, such as non-being, being and God.


Following the split in Shi’i Islam in the second half of the 2nd/8th century, a new religious movement emerged which later became known as Ismailism and in time further developed its doctrines.


Following the decline of the Fatimid state in Egypt, Ḥasan-i Šabbāḥ became the leader of the Ismailis of Iran and Syria. Under his leadership they established a secret organisation at Alamūt whose members were bound to strict discipline under unconditional obligation to their leaders.


The book presents a broad historical context, without which it would not be easy to understand the significance of the Aga Khan and his followers in the world today.


The author discusses the rites and rituals of the people of Badakhshān, such as those of birth and death, the construction of houses and other more or less significant religious and non-religious ceremonies and holidays.


Prozorov discusses the Ismailis as followers of one of the main branches of Shi‘i Islam, who played a significant role in the history of the Muslim East at different times and in different countries, who have also been
known under different names such as al-Bâṭiniyya, al-Qarmaṭiyya, al-Ta’limiyya, al-Mulhidâ, etc. The work is divided into subsections: (i) the history of the Ismaili movement; (ii) propaganda organisation (hierarchy); (iii) teaching.


In December 2011 the Institute of Ismaili Studies, London, and the Institute of Oriental Manuscripts, St Petersburg, held an international conference on the contribution of Russian scholars to Ismaili studies on the occasion of the 125th anniversary of the birth of the prominent Russian Iranist, W.A. Ivanov (1886–1970). The conference materials form the basis of this collection of articles.

Putîâta, Dmitrii Vasil'evich. ‘Ocherk ekspeditions' v Pamir, Sarykol, Wakhân i Shugnan v 1883 g.’ [Essays on an Expedition to the Pamir, Sarikül, Wakhân and Shughnân in 1883], *Sbornik geograficheskikh, topograficheskikh i statisticheskikh*, 10 (1884). pp. 88. (in Russian).

Putîâta’s work is one of the first ethnographic studies of the people of Shughnân, Wakhân and Sarikül. The author also provided an account of their religious beliefs by arguing that these people are Muslim Shi’i [Ismaili] followers.


This book on Ismaili traditions and ceremonies was commissioned and approved by the Committee on Religious Affairs of the Government of Tajikistan. It provides a set of examples of *du‘ā* (s), prayers, chants and verses of the Qur’an with translations.


A dispute between the author of the article and a contemporary scholar, Tojiddin Mardoni, who argued that when Nāsîr-i Khusraw asked the question, ‘Who is a blind clairvoyant poet?’ he was referring to the Arab poet al-Mâ'arî. Kalandarov disagrees with this identification and holds that the person referred to is actually Rûdakî.

An important study on two key figures of the Islamic world: Imam Ja'far al-Ṣādiq, who the Ismailis regard as their fifth imam, and Abū Ḥanīfah one of the founders of the Sunni school. The study presents Abū Ḥanīfah as a pupil of Ja'far al-Ṣādiq, as a scholar who had a high regard for his master and was heavily influenced by his teachings.


The famous Persian-Tajik poet Rābi’a Balkhi lived in a time when Ismaili philosophy was dominant in the world of thought. The author has analysed one of Rābi’a’s ghazals which narrates the story of ‘Azāzīl.


In this article, the author discusses Abū ‘Abd Allāh Rūdakī’s view of the Ismailis using his poems and including other examples of Persian poetry in connection to this issue.


Rūdakī’s connection to the Ismailis is one of the most important aspects of the history of Tajik-Persian literature. The author of Rūdakī and the Ismailis claims that Rūdakī adhered to Ismaili ideas. The author also touches upon the important issue of the distinction between the Qarmaḵīs and the Ismailis.


The author emphasises the importance of Ismaili philosophical thought and its impact on the poetry of the 4th/10th century. He focuses on one of the most popular poets, Kasāyī Marvazi, analysing how faith and belief are reflected in his verse.


Nāšir-i Khusraw often refers to the poet Kasāyī in his lyrics, a peculiarity which has attracted the attention of the experts. This issue is interpreted from different perspectives by Orientalists due to the fact that both scholars had the same religious affiliation. Despite noting Kasāyī’s ideas, Nāšir-i Khusraw did not welcome his arguments mainly deeming them anachronistic.
This article focuses on one of the first religious elegies in the history of Persian-Tajik poetry. Kasāyī Marvazī was clearly an adept of the Prophet’s Family, and this elegy dwells on the martyrdom of Imam Ḥusayn b. Ḥ. b. Abī Ṭālib. The author, referring to historical sources, and using the comparative-historical method, undertakes a comprehensive examination of the elegy.

An analysis of Sūzanī Samarqandi’s qaṣīdas relative to Ismaili religious teachings and philosophical views.

According to this article, Ibn Sinā had close relations with the Ismailis, and besides being engaged in the study of secular sciences was also fascinated by Ismaili doctrines. Qalandarov believes that Ibn Sinā wrote Ḥayy ibn Yaẓīn, intending to present it as an allegory on the Active Mind, yet, from a hidden and symbolic angle, one could identify in this story the doctrines and the core of the Ismaili faith.

This paper analyses the religious affiliation of Ibn Sinā, which has often been a subject of debate in the works of Russian and European academics. During the Sāmānid era, the Ismaili movement was regarded as a progressive one that was spread by its preachers (sing. dāʾī).

This article presents an analysis of the impact of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s philosophical theology in outlining the main pillars of Islam.

This article focuses on one of the first religious elegies in the history of Persian-Tajik poetry. Kasāyī Marvazī was clearly an adept of the Prophet’s Family, and this elegy dwells on the martyrdom of Imam Ḥusayn b. Ḥ. b. Abī Ṭālib. The author, referring to historical sources, and using the comparative-historical method, undertakes a comprehensive examination of the elegy.

An analysis of Sūzanī Samarqandi’s qaṣīdas relative to Ismaili religious teachings and philosophical views.

According to this article, Ibn Sinā had close relations with the Ismailis, and besides being engaged in the study of secular sciences was also fascinated by Ismaili doctrines. Qalandarov believes that Ibn Sinā wrote Ḥayy ibn Yaẓīn, intending to present it as an allegory on the Active Mind, yet, from a hidden and symbolic angle, one could identify in this story the doctrines and the core of the Ismaili faith.

This paper analyses the religious affiliation of Ibn Sinā, which has often been a subject of debate in the works of Russian and European academics. During the Sāmānid era, the Ismaili movement was regarded as a progressive one that was spread by its preachers (sing. dāʾī).

This article presents an analysis of the impact of Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s philosophical theology in outlining the main pillars of Islam.
The work examines Ibn Sīnā’s *qaṣīda*, which has been translated repeatedly into Persian, through an Ismaili lens. From the perspective of Ismaili doctrine, it can be seen to recount the heavenly origin of the soul, believed to be in captivity and in pain in this earthly world.


Although there have been certain assumptions with regard to Sūzānī Samarqandī’s Ismaili religious adherence, this study sheds some new light on this issue, analysing Samarqandī’s poetical works and concluding that he adopted the Ismaili faith during the second half of his life.


The article gives a brief overview on the works of the Russian Orientalist, Wladimir Ivanow, on Ismaili literature. The author points out the need to define the place of Ismaili literature in Persian-Tajik literary criticism.


Overall, this work sets out Nāšir-i Khusraw’s understanding of the role of the imam according to Ismaili doctrine. According to the author, Nāšir-i Khusraw, as an Ismaili preacher, maintained that the imam is the treasure of God’s wisdom and is, consequently, the only person who can interpret the Qur’ān and the *shari’ā* transmitted by the Prophet.


Among the works by Ibn Sīnā, there is a *qaṣīda* which has been interpreted according to Ismaili wisdom, and which recounts the descent of the soul to the world of dust where it dwells in the human body, as well as its return to heaven (*a/lām-i qudsī*). The *qaṣīda* is also known as *al-Qaṣīda al-‘Ayniyya* (the Poem on the Soul), *Qaṣīda ‘an al-Nafs*, *al-Qaṣīda al-Nafsiyya* and even as *Qaṣīda ‘an al-Rūḥ*.

571 —— ‘Andar mansubīyati īaq qasida ba Hakim Kosoī’ [Regarding One of the *Qaṣidas* from the Pen of Ḥakim Kasāyī], *Slovesnost’ Nauchniy ĭ zhurnal instituta ĭazyka, literatury, vostokovedeniî ĭ pis’mennogo naslediî* (2013), pp. 87–101. (in Tajik).

The author analyses the discussion which arguably took place between the Ismaili poets Kasāyī Marvāzī and Nāšir-i Khusraw. Some scholars have argued that Kasāyī, having composed the *qaṣīda* ‘The soul and mind are fluid under the blue firmament’, sent it to Nāšir-i Khusraw, who wrote a
qašīda in response, Bālā ī haft charkh mudawwar du gawharand. However, this work considers whether in fact Bālā ī haft charkh mudawwar du gawharand was composed by Kasāyī Marvazi.


Relying on the studies of many Orientalist scholars, as well as his own research findings, Qalandarov investigates the reasons behind Nāşīr-i Khusraw’s conversion.


Abu’l-Haytham’s qašīdas occupied a prominent place in the Ismaili literature of his time. The themes of his qašīdas concern mainly religious and philosophical issues such as the universe and man, God and the Prophet’s successors, the role and scope of spiritual guidance and the role of the Ismaili imam.


Persian-Tajik Ismaili poetry heralds the spread of the Persian language; its spiritual and religious development is linked with the works of Rūdakī and his contemporary poets. This paper discusses, in particular, the spiritual and religious realm propounded in the poetry of Rūdakī and his contemporaries.

575 — ‘Struktura i soderzhanie kasydy Khadzhi Abul’khaï sama i kommentarii k nei’ [The Structure and the Content of Abu’l-Ḥaytham’s qašīda and the Commentaries on it], VTNUSFN, 1 (2014), pp. 257–263. (in Russian).

This article deals with the structure and content of the qašīda by the Ismaili poet and philosopher Abu’l-Ḥaytham as perceived by two major representatives of Ismaili literature, Nāşīr-i Khusraw and Muḥammad b. Surkh al-Nishāpūrī.


The main purpose of this paper is to identify the influence of the Qarmaṭī movement on cultural and social aspects of the Sāmānid and Ghaznavid states.

This is a comparative analysis of Ismaili philosophy and its impact on Abu’l-Qāsim Firdawsī’s worldview. Qalandarov argues that the main reason Firdawsī’s renowned Shāhnāma was not well received by Sulṭān Maḥmūd was because Firdawsī belonged to a branch of Islam which the Ghaznawid rulers opposed.


The classical period of Persian-Tajik literature is divided into four currents of thought: i) the philosophy of language ii) collective rationality (mashsha’ūn), iii) mysticism, and iv) Ismailism. This work focuses on whether Ismaili doctrine was established based on the philosophy of words or other general literary trends.


Abu’l-Ḥaytham Jurjānī’s qaśīda aims to probe his contemporary scholars and philosophers on issues such as knowledge of God, the Prophet, the imam and the dāʾī, the universe and the end of the world, from the standpoint of Ismaili doctrine.


Excluding Sufi literature, the role of religious poetry in literature in general, and in Tajik literature in particular, has not yet been systematically analysed.


This is a study on Ismaili philosophy and its impact on the works of a number of Persian-Tajik poets from the 3rd/9th and 4th/10th centuries.


In 1993 Qozidavlat Qoimdod, Deputy to the Supreme Council of the newly independent Tajikistan and the representative of Tajik Ismaili community, visited the Aga Khan IV in Geneva, Switzerland, for the first time. He gives
details about his meetings with the Aga Khan, and describes the Ismaili Imam’s life and his worldwide projects carried out through the AKDN network.


This paper suggests that the historical memory of the Pamiri Tajiks, as well as the social and political conditions in Soviet Tajikistan, contributed to the construction of a defensive communal identity with clearly defined cultural, religious and linguistic boundaries.

Qalandarov, Tohir, see Kalandarov, Tokhir.

Qulmatov, Nozir. See Arabzoda, Nozir.
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Ismailism is portrayed as a medieval school of Arab-Muslim philosophy, with an intrinsic scientific and encyclopaedic nature and not purely as a secretive community.


An entry describing Nāšir-i Khusraw’s seven-year journey and conversion to Ismailism, his return to his homeland and struggle against the Turkic Sunni establishment, as well as his major philosophical and religio-intellectual works.


The author suggests that throughout the centuries, many stories were told about Nāşir-i Khusraw that were of a type of folkloric genre enriched by the imagination and creativity of skilful and talented storytellers, and these tales were passed from one generation to another.

Rodionov, Mikhail A. ‘Ad-Duruziā’ [The Druze], IES, p. 71. (in Russian).

A brief entry on the emergence and the establishment of the Druze as a religious community, who live in various regions of present Syria, Lebanon and Israel.

A conversation between a Pamiri elder and a correspondent of *Nauka i religiâ* (Science and Religion), a journal on moral principles.


Events during the reign of the caliph al-reative ā kim were marked by sharp turns and sudden changes of view, reflecting the vicissitudes of the struggle of centrifugal tendencies among the Fatimid ruling oligarchies in Egypt.


Brief information on the role of the Ismaili ā r ī qa in the GBAO of Tajikistan prior to the establishment of the Imamate institutions.


According to the author, the key feature of the Ismaili ā r ī qa is that Imam Aga Khan IV guides and protects his community during times of socio-political upheaval, as shown by the example of the Ismaili community in Badakhshân, Tajikistan, following the disintegration of the Soviet Union and the civil war in Tajikistan (1992–1997).


Ismailism had a visible impact on the way of life of the population of the Western Pamirs. However, there are traces of pre-Islamic beliefs, ideas and customs there, indicating the very ancient origins of the earliest religion in the region. These traces can be found, *inter alia*, in the wedding and funeral ceremonies, and the custom of honouring ancient shrines.


The author argues that Naʃir al-Dîn Ţûsî’s interest in Ismaili philosophy and doctrine was due to the influence of his paternal uncle, who was a student of Shahrâstânî. It was probably following his advice, that Ţûsî went to Quhistân, where he was commissioned by the local Ismaili ruler to translate Miskawayh’s
*Tahdhib al-akhlāq* into Persian. At some point during his sojourn in Qhīstān he formally converted to Ismailism and later moved to Alamūt, where he made use of the rich library of Ismaili works. It is thought that Tūsī wrote about a hundred works in different fields of learning.

S


The place and the role of Imam Ḥusayn in Tajik folk culture.


The article documents some important sacred sites and their relevance today, an age of economic and social change. Since in Islam the term ‘pilgrimage’ is often associated with the ḥajj, in order to avoid confusion the term ‘visit’ (ziyāra) is used for the local pilgrimage-like tradition being discussed here. The study is based on data collected in the Tashkurgan Tajik Autonomous County in Xinjiang in the summer of 2011.


This article analyses the modern theory of identity through examples of identity consumption among the Uyghurs and Tajiks in Xinjiang and other ethnic groups in modern China.


The Ismaili community in China is an ethnic, religious, linguistic and racial minority. They are an autonomous group, with a history connecting them to the ancient eastern Iranian inhabitants of the region, and an ethno-linguistic and cultural heritage connecting them to the present-day Pamirī Ismailis of Tajikistan.
This thesis deals with the processes by which Tajiks in the far west of China attempt to manage disputes inside an authoritarian state. By looking at the micro-politics of disputing, it analyses the relationship between everyday social values and norms and formal state law. In particular, the thesis focuses on the ethics of Tajik society, and the ways in which the Tajiks try to organise their internal relationships, as well as their relationship with the state. More broadly, the thesis is therefore also concerned with the dynamics of legal and political change among minorities in marginal areas of China.

This essay focuses on the ritual of the Chīrāgh-i rawshan, a unique religious ceremony of the Nizārī Ismaili community in Xinjiang, in order to consider the internal diversity and richness of Shi‘i ceremonial traditions.

This chapter examines how ethnicity is imagined, fashioned, consumed and re-iterated, on the peripheries of a nation-state while a marginal community is involuntarily exposed to changes generated from outside.

Throughout his works Nāsīr-i Khusraw emphasised themes such as freedom, patriotism, justice, national consciousness, bravery and truthfulness, which have influenced successive generations until today.

The intellectual works of Nāsīr-i Khusraw are all dedicated to the call for learning and the acquisition of knowledge and wisdom.
Semënov commented on the beliefs of the people of the Pamirs, pointing out that the Ismaili faith is found in India, Badakhshān, Tibet and Kashghar. He also provided important data on Ismaili ceremonies and religio-philosophical views.

Bobrinskoĭ participated in organising and conducting a series of expeditions to Central Asia with the goal of establishing the geographical location of the Ismailis and collecting data about their lifestyle. The author also highlights some of the controversial conclusions made by Bobrinskoĭ in the process of his study of Ismailism.

This thesis is a historiographical analysis of the materials of pre-revolutionary Russian researchers and their discussions on issues such as remnants of pre-Islamic beliefs in the Pamirs, the use of light in the Ismaili funeral ceremony (the Chirāgh-i Rawshan), and customs associated with them.

The book is a study of the history of the Fatimid caliphs in Egypt (909–1172).

Under God’s protection I am here in Yumgān. Look closely, and consider me not a prisoner. No one says that silver or diamonds or rubies
Are prisoners in the rocks or lowly.
Even though Yumgān itself is lowly and worthless,
Here I am greatly valued and honoured.

As the author says, this study has only been able to provide a cursory analysis of certain aspects of the structure of Nāʾir-i Khusraw’s shrine. The saint culture of the Ismailis of Badakhshān still remains an under-studied field. The suras and invocations enscribed on the ceiling of the shrine likewise deserve the attention of an expert, as they promise to yield further insights into the intellectual rapprochement between Ismaili thought and Sufi mysticism.

This book provides an important survey of the major themes and techniques deployed by Nāʾir-i Khusrav in his poetry, including a significant number of new English translations of some of his verse. Nāʾir-i Khusrav’s poetry, in spite of being written by one of the finest Ismaili intellectuals and most renowned poets in Persian literature, has not been much translated so this publication is important in presenting his poetry to an English-speaking audience.

Semënov argues that even though Islam spread among the mountain Tajiks a thousand years ago, due to the isolation and remoteness of their abode these people have preserved remnants of pre-Islamic religious beliefs. The people of the highlands piously honour their old ancestral beliefs and customs, which have almost disappeared among lowland Tajiks.

This article discusses the concept of God in the understanding of the mountain Tajiks. Semënov argued that a thousand of years of Islam among the mountain Tajiks did not completely remove traces of their ancient beliefs.

In the vast pantheon of saints in Central Asia, a very important place is occupied by a prominent figure and patron of Bukhārā, Shaykh Bahā’ al-Dīn (Khwāja Bahā’ al-Dīn Naqshband Bukhārī). Among the local
orthodox Sufis, he is considered the ‘imam of the *tariqa*, *pir* of the *haqīqa* and the model worthy of emulation in the knowledge of *shari‘a*.


The article begins with an introduction by Semēnov, which is written on the basis of recorded conversations with a resident of the Shughnān district whose name, for some reason, is not given. The article covers the meetings and conversations between Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī and Shams-i Tābrīzī.


‘Istoriīa Shugnana’ is the Russian translation of the original Persian work. As Semēnov says, ‘The work was written in Persian at the end of 1912, at my personal request, by a fairly literate person from Shughnān, Sayyid Ḥaydar Shāh, son of Mubārak Shāh, from the village of Porsheniev. He was one of the natives who was willing to talk about his country and about the different features of his life.’


Semēnov discusses how he and another Russian scholar, Ivan I. Zarubin, raised the issue of the collecting and study of Ismaili manuscripts at an academic level in Russian circles. Semēnov is regarded as one of the first scholars to initiate the use of Ismaili primary sources.


The cause of the material world is the imperfection of the Universal Soul, by virtue of which it is considered as lower in degree and rank than the Universal Intellect. This world belongs to the Universal Soul, as it serves as the main source through which the Universal Soul corrects its imperfections.


Critical studies of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s biography and works.

A study on the concept of the highest degree of accomplishments of the human soul which can only be achieved with a knowledge of the meaning and value of this material world.


This is a work on Ismaïli theology and philosophy. It discusses creation, the ‘aql-i kull and the nafs-i kull along with the mediation of the hierarchy of the religious ranks including the nāṭiq, asâs, the imam and the hujja. It also talks about the spiritual mission of the prophets and the imams and the latters’ continuing presence as a proof of God on earth.


The author expresses his personal fascination with the Ismaïli philosophical interpretation of the Qur’an and in particular with Nāṣîr-i Khusraw’s interpretation of Qur’anic verses and arguments in Wajh-i dîn, where his reading appears to Semênov so far advanced from the ossified forms of Islamic orthodoxy that it could be easily modified to meet the needs of the time and environment.


An Ismaïli ode known as the Qasîda-i-Dhurriya by Raqqâmî Khurâsânî includes a list of imams given by an Iranian Ismaïli of the 11th/17th century.


An Ismaïli ode known as the Qasîda-i-Dhurriya by Raqqâmî Khurâsânî includes a list of imams given by an Iranian Ismaïli of the 11th/17th century.


The author of this eulogy was a modern scholar and Ismaïli dâ‘î, Muḥammad b. Zayn al-‘Abidin Khurâsânî, whose nickname was Fida‘î.

This article is about one of Nāṣīr-i Khusrāw’s well-known works. The Rawshanā’ī-nāma or ‘Kniga prosveshcheniia’ [Book of Light] is a poem in the mathnawi form. There are two manuscripts of it in the Bibliothèque nationale de France (one formerly in the possession of M. Schefer), and one in Leiden, one in Gotha, and one in the India Office. A line in this poem, giving the date of the composition, forms the basis of the most serious argument in favour of the view that there were two separate Rawshanā’ī-nāmas by Nāṣīr-i Khusrāw.

The discussion is followed by the text of the Rawshanā’ī-nāma, which was brought to Semēnov from Shughnān by A.V. Stanishevkīi.


This collection is dedicated to the 140th anniversary of the birth of A.A. Semēnov, the outstanding scholar, Academician of the Academy of Sciences of Tajikistan and the first director of the Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography.

The collection covers all the main areas of Semēnov’s activities – from research to administration and his contribution to the study of the cultural history of the Central Asian nations, among other subjects. The collection is designed for professionals and students in the field of Oriental Studies, as well as for those interested in the history, culture and religion of the peoples of Central Asia.


The book is a study of Egyptian history. The author has consolidated her arguments through use of the Arabic primary sources that refer to the history of Egypt from the second half of the 4th/10th century to the first half of the 6th/12th century, a period when the Ismaiīlī imams ruled over a great empire. The work also pays particular attention to the popular uprising of Abū Rakwa.

In general, in the decade prior to 1980 Western scholars of Ismaili studies highlighted new facts gleaned from Ismaili literature, greatly expanding our understanding of the Fatimid Ismailis, and so taking account what is described as their social utopia, the author asserts that Egypt under the Fatimid rule was neither an oriental despotism in its classical interpretation, nor a feudal state.


This chapter tackles the problems related to the study of Ismaili thought of the Fatimid era and issues concerning the study of Islam in contemporary western scholarship.

629 —— ‘Fatimidy’ [The Fatimids], BSE, vol. 27, p. 218 (in Russian); also in KLE, vol. 27, pp. 112–113. (in Russian).

A brief historical account of the Arab-Muslim dynasty whose rulers, as it is put, claimed to be descended from Fatima, the daughter of the Prophet Muhammad.


The article includes photographs of Aga Khan IV and the US president John F. Kennedy (16 March 1961) and provides a brief historical overview of the Ismailis. However, the article is written in a Marxist spirit where the author accuses the Aga Khan of adhering to western imperialism.


The work succinctly notes some of the factors that contributed to the Badakhshāni people’s affectionate and devoted attitude to Nāṣīr-i Khusraw. In his exposition, the author refers to certain historical events and points out that Nāṣīr-i Khusraw not only spread the Ismaili faith in Badakhshan but also, through his religious preaching, knowledge and wisdom, and notes that his legacy is kept alive by the people of the region.


This work consists of a collection of oral and written accounts and stories about Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, who has been depicted as a saint and the father of a religious tradition, as well as preaching the faith as a dā‘i.
Myths, legends and stories are rooted in the religion, tradition, customs and beliefs of the people of Badakhshan. The legends and stories clearly show that, during a thousand years of history, the residents of the GBAO have maintained social and cultural ties with other countries and peoples.

The author emphasises the fact that the number of tales developed about Nāsīr-i Khusraw is unprecedented in the history of Persian and Tajik literature, culture and tradition, and even if one comes across this sort of legacy for other poets or philosophers, it does not hold the same kind of meaning as the stories about Nāsīr-i Khusraw do.

Materials and oral data collected on the religions, traditions, rituals, ceremonies and holy places in the mountainous areas of Badakhshan have demonstrated the impact of ancient beliefs on the religion of the inhabitants of Badakhshan, which to a certain degree differ from village to village, district to district, and valley to valley as regards their performance.

The study begins by referring to Abū Yaḥyā Zakariyyā b. Muḥammad al-Qazwīnī’s Āthār al-bilād wa-akhbār al-‘ibād (Gazetteer of World Geography), and cites many examples of stories and tales devoted to Nāṣīr-i Khusraw, which in the course of the centuries acquired mythical and imaginary elements.

The author attempts to define the meaning of words in Nāṣīr-i Khusraw’s discourses, with reference to Nāṣīr’s hermeneutical understanding of Qur’anic verses.
According to Nāṣir-i Khusraw, true beauty in human beings is a form of religious subordination through which mankind is able to overcome everyday challenges and reach spiritual and intellectual maturity.


This study examines the complex issues related to the idea of death, with associated images and symbols which are embodied in the customs, rituals and ceremonies of the people of the Pamirs.

An accepted tradition among the Ismaili population of the Western Pamir says that when death is approaching, the khalīfa must read a prayer to the dying person to facilitate his/her soul’s salvation.


The article is a review of B.I. Iskandarov’s book *Socio-economic and Political Aspects of the History of the Pamir Principalities (10th century – the first half of the 19th century)*. (Dushanbe: Donish, 1983) which is a socio-political and socio-economic history of the Pamir region covering a thousand years.

The author proposes a hypothesis, which is that the idea of negative theology was formed by Nāṣir-i Khusraw and other Ismaili thinkers more under the influence of ancient Iranian Zoroastrian traditions than of other philosophical and religious currents.

The dâ’is were selected from the intelligentsia and received instruction from the Fatimid caliphs for their mission, and then were sent to different cities, countries and areas termed jazîras. Thus Nāšir-i Khusraw was commissioned to spread the da’wa in the region of Khurâsân. The article analyses the challenges and the success of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s mission.


The philosophical core of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s speculative system lies in its theory of knowledge. Knowledge does not confine itself to the understanding of the Creator, as representatives of schools of thought in ancient and medieval Eastern philosophy had previously propounded. According to Nāšir-i Khusraw, the comprehension of human essence and its role in the world order is attainable exclusively through an understanding of nature.


In Persian-Tajik literature, Nāšir-i Khusraw’s role is considered significant as he is regarded as the unique proponent of the genre of philosophical qašîda. According to his stance, knowledge is the only salvation for any man’s soul.


A story about Sayyid Munîr Badakhshânî, a renowned public and religious figure in Badakhshân during the first decades of the 20th century.


The book consists of three articles dedicated to the issues of pre-Islamic culture and Ismaili doctrine. It attempts to shed some light on the religious and philosophical aspects of the Aryan people from both a historical and a cultural perspective.

The Ismaili movement during the Sâmânid period, and especially during the reign of Naṣr I b. Ahmad Sâmâni, acquired a religio-political and Iranian national character, both in terms of theoretical and political implications. Here it is considered a purely Iranian uprising against the rule of foreigners such as Arabs, Turks and Mongols.


*The Sacred Lamp* talks about the emergence of fire as a miracle of divine provenance. The author maintains that this tradition or ritual existed for a thousand years before Zoroaster and is one of the many sacred traditions of the Zoroastrians.

The author also connects this to the text known as *Chirāgh-i Rawshan*, which is associated with Nâṣîr-i Khusraw, and recited in religious ceremonies by the Ismaili community in Badakhshân.


The work reveals the lesser-known aspects of the relationship between Russia and the Pamir region, and discusses the fate of the Pamiri peoples who were held hostage by the ‘Great Game’ of the 19th century that was played out between the Russian and the British empires in the Pamir-Hindu Kush region.


The central subject of Ismaili doctrine throughout its history has been the doctrine of the imamate, characterised by unquestioning obedience to the Imam of the time who is regarded as the source of all religious, doctrinal and philosophical knowledge.


*Chirâgh Rawshan* is a ritual tradition of the Ismailis of Central Asia and its origins and practices are still debated among scholars. Scholars such as A.E. Bertel’s and A. Shohhumorov believed that *Chirâgh Rawshan* was inherited from *Mihrparasti* and Zoroastrianism. Another group of scholars such as Nâṣîr al-Dîn Hunzaî and Azizullah Najib hold that this is a purely Islamic tradition and has little in common with pre-Islamic practices and doctrines.
The tradition of Chirāgh Rawshan is one of the most famous and the richest religious rituals practiced by the Ismaili community in Badakhshān of Tajikistan, Afghanistan, the Northern Areas of Pakistan and China. It is also referred to by different names by the inhabitants of these areas such as Dā’wat, Da’wat-i Pīr Shāh Nāṣir and Chirāgh Rawshan.

A collection of verses of the Qur'ān, du‘ās (supplications) and prayers, which are recited by khalīfas in the Ismaili community of Badakhshān during religious ceremonies.

Man’s central place in the universe is the common denominator characterising the thought of medieval Christian and Muslim philosophers. A number of parallels are drawn between the Christian idea of God incarnated in a human being and the Ismaili concept of certain humans resembling the First Intellect.
God’s attributes, origination (ibdā’), and the theory of knowledge and ethics.

Smirnov, A. ‘O raskole shiitov i ismailitov v osobennosti’ [On the Schism of the Shi’is and in particular the Ismailis], UZIKU, 1 (1846), pp. 79–180. (in Russian).

This work seeks to establish the distinction between Twelver Shi’is and Ismailis. However, besides the issue of the succession of Ismā’īl b. Ja’far al-Ṣādiq, the author has not been able to identify any other major differences. However, Smirnov further sought to argue that the Shi’is established a new, resourceful and radical organisation under the name of the Qarmātīs, and that this organisation quickly became a powerful political force, founding the Shi’i Fatimid caliphate that stretched from the Atlantic Ocean to the Euphrates.


The Soviet scholar Smirnov viewed the emergence of Muslim groups as the result of social protest. His stance clearly echoes the opinion of pre-revolutionary Russian Orientalist scholars.


Notes on the religious beliefs and practices of the people of the Western Pamirs by a Russian and Soviet military officer who served in the region.


This collection of articles ‘Ismailism in Pamirs (1902–1931)’ begins with an introduction by Stanishevskii. One of the first articles, ‘Religion and Customs of the Mountainers of the Western Pamir’, was written by a prominent Russian Orientalist, A.E. Snesariev. The next article, ‘The Ismaili Denomination in Russian and Bukharan Central Asia’, was written by another Russian scholar, Bobrinskoi, and it is followed by a series of documents on Pamiri Ismailism and a variety of Ismaili documents.
The second part of the report begins with the Regulation of the Ismaili Panjebhai movement compiled by Sayyid Munir and with an explanation of the contemporary Ismaili Panjebhai dogma propounded by Sayyid Haydar Shâh Mubârak Zâda which also includes several *farman* of Aga Khan III.


Informed by the richness of Ismaili history, theories of transnationalism and globalisation, and first-hand ethnographic field work in the Himalayan regions of Tajikistan and Pakistan as well as in Europe, this is an investigation of the development of the Ismaili Muslims’ remarkable and expansive global structures in the 21st century. Led by a charismatic Europe-based hereditary Imam, Prince Karim Aga Khan IV, global Ismaili organisations make available an astonishing array of services – social, economic, political, and religious, to some three to five million faithful stretching from Afghanistan to England, from Pakistan to Tanzania.

Stênli, Lên-Pul’. *Musul’manskie dinastii* [Muslim Dynasties], tr. and introd. by V.V. Bartol’d. St Petersburg, 1899, pp. 55–73. (in Russian).


Chronological and genealogical tables with a historical introduction to the main events in Islamic history. This includes a history of the Fatimids in Egypt and the genealogy of the Fatimid imams, and the history of the Qarmaṭīs and their state in Bahrain.


This article is in line with the Marxist approach to the study of religion, and argues that the causes of demise of the Ismaili state are to be found not simply in the treacherous policy of its ruling circles or the numerical
superiority of the Mongol troops with their better military equipment. The main reason, according to the author, lies in the problems associated with the social ideals of popular uprisings aimed at recreating an irretrievable past, with unrealistic ideals of returning to a pre-class society of social and economic equality.


The Ismailis of Alamūt are portrayed here as a secret organisation whose members were bound to iron discipline, and an unconditional obligation to obey all orders from their spiritual leader. As the latter’s authority increased, it is argued, their political objectives and religious dogma continued to be kept secret from the uninitiated.


The author argues that in proclaiming the doctrine of the Day of Resurrection, Ḥasan II ‘alā dhikrihi al-salām was promulgating a specific socio-political agenda which promoted social justice and material equality among the Ismailis.


Following Genghis Khan’s defeat of the last Khwārazm-Shāh, his son Jalāl al-Dīn (1220–1231), in his struggle against the Mongols, tried unsuccessfully to create a union of states between Transcaucasia and Asia Minor. Of these, a central role was played by Alamūt, the Ismaili state.


The Ismaili state was created in 1090, with its centre in the Alamūt region, and encompassed a number of places, fortresses and castles between Iran and Syria. It arose due to the movement of artisans and mountain peasants, directed against the power of the Saljūqs and their feudal exploitation.

673 —— ‘Ismaility Irana i Sirii v zarubezhnoi i sovetskoi istoriografii’ [Soviet and Foreign Historiographical Literature on the Ismailis of Iran and Syria],
Stroeva shows that the Ismaili movement in Iran and Syria in the 5th–6th/11th–12th century was a popular undertaking of the working masses for social liberation under the leadership of Ḥasan-i Ṣabbāh and his associates.


The Ismaili state is presented as the outcome of a revolt by artisans and poor mountain farmers directed against the power and feudal oppression of the Saljuqs.


Whilst in Egypt the religious reforms of Šalâh al-Dîn al-Ayyûbî were not opposed, in Syria he was confronted with extreme resistance by the Ismailis. While establishing their stronghold of Alamût on the southern flanks of the Caspian mountains, as well as maintaining other Iranian fortresses in the second half of the 5th/11th century, the Nizârî Ismailis were successful in promoting their teachings in Syria, the cradle of the early Ismailis, skilfully using the support of the peasants for their cause.


The author puts forward her concept of the history of the Ismailis of Iran and Syria in the 5th–6th/11th–12th centuries. The Ismaili doctrine called al-da’wa al-jadida (the new preaching) is presented as a religious pretext for a broad popular movement proclaimed by Ḥasan-i Ṣabbāh.

Publication of a section of Rashid al-Din’s *Jami’ al-tawārikh*. The work introduces much valuable material on the Ismailis of Alamūt such as the relationship between the Ismailis, on the one hand, and the various circles of the Persian nobility and the Saljūq sultans Muḥammad and Sanjar, on the other.


680 —— ‘Gosudarstvo Ismailitov’ [The Ismaili State], *SIE*, vol. 6, pp. 352–353. (in Russian).


Two articles offering brief information on the Ismaili state based at Alamūt (Iran).


In 1090 following the establishment of Alamūt as a state independent of the Fatimids, Ḥasan-i ʿAbbāḥ proclaimed a new teaching (*da’wat-i jadīda* – the ‘new call’) addressed to the masses and calling for an anti-Saljūq and anti-feudal struggle.


685 —— ‘Provozglashenie “Dniia Voskreseniia” (iz istorii gosudarstva ismailitov v Irane v XI–XII vv.)’ [The Declaration of “The Day of Resurrection” (from
the history of Ismaili State in Iran in the 11th-12th centuries], *IJHM* (1973), pp. 133–165. (in Russian).

This article/chapter examines historical sources relating to the concept of *qiyāma* and its declaration by Ḥasan II ʿalā dhikrihi al-salām, including an analysis of the genealogy of Ḥasan II.


This study highlights the socio-economic and political causes behind the Ismaili movement, with a particular focus on the Ismaili state in Iran, its territory and its history.


689 Suponina, Elena V. *Religiozno-filosofskaiā doktrina druzov* [The Druzes’ Religio-Philosophical Doctrine], Dissertaťi na soiskanie uchënoi stepeni kandidata filosofskikh nauk [PhD Candidate for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy]. Moscow, 1995. pp. 159. (in Russian).

The Druze movement dates back to the reign of al-Ḥākim at the beginning of the 5th/11th century. Despite the influence of the teachings of the Fatimid Ismailis on the development of the Druze doctrine, the movement pursued its own path diverging from certain Fatimid dogmatic principles.
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Undoubtedly, Nāşir-i Khusraw was deeply familiar with the history and culture of the Arabs of both the pre-Islamic and the Islamic eras. His perfect knowledge of the Arabic language and literature vividly resonates in all his works and, particularly, in his poetry.

Ismaili rationalist thought, particularly Nâśir-i Khusraw’s, has interacted not only with Sufi traditions but also with the teachings of other Islamic theoretical schools.


This paper presents the outstanding contribution of A.E. Bertel’s to the field of Ismaili studies, which is particularly visible in his analysis of the manuscripts which were found in the GBAO of Tajikistan during the expedition of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR (1959–1963).


The author suggests that the madīha-sarāyī (singing of devotional poetry), which is known as maddāh or qaṣīda in different parts of Badakhshān, is an ancient traditional genre and part of the cultural heritage of the Badakhshānī people. Many such performances are associated with Nâśir-i Khusraw and his legacy.


The thesis studies the madhiya tradition amongst the Badakhshānī Ismailis, and analyses its position in the spiritual life of the local people.


The aim of this study is to analyse the Nizārī Ismaili philosophy of Sayyid Suhrāb Vali and determine his role in the revival, preservation and development of Ismaili philosophy of the 9th/15th century. Studying the main philosophical treatise of Sayyid Suhrāb, Sī va shish šahīfa, and
examining his philosophical views by revealing the connection between the philosophy of Sayyid Suhrāb and Ismaili philosophical teachings, Eastern Peripatetism and Sufism.

Since the arrival of Islam in Central Asia in general and in the Pamirs in particular, a significant role has been played by the tradition of visitation (ziyāra) to revered objects. In particular, the legends of Mushkilkusho, as well as many elements of ziyāra practice, it is argued display roots in eras prior to the emergence of Islam in the region.

Contemplation and Action is Naṣīr al-Dīn Ṭūsī’s spiritual autobiography, in which he recounts details of his early education, his search for knowledge and his eventual conversion to the Ismaili faith.

In these treatises, Ṭūsī provides concise philosophical interpretations of key motifs in Nizārī Ismaili thought, with special reference to the existential condition of human beings, their primordial origin and nature, their earthly existence in relation to the Imam, and their destiny in the Hereafter.

The aim of this study is to familiarise the reader with the history of the Ismaili community in Afghanistan and the role of its religious leaders in the preservation of its customs and traditions. The work also explores the relationship between the ethnicity and religious identity of the Ismailis in Afghanistan.
V


The author investigates natural places of worship among the Ismailis of the Western Pamirs, as well as their mythological and folkloric themes. These places of worship are called variously mazār or ziyārat-gāh (Mazār-i Shāh Burhān, Mazār-i Sayyid Jalāl), and are the graves of the Ismaili preachers and pîrs. Qadamgāh, on the other hand, is the term primarily referring to the places of worship where it is believed the saints have left traces (Haḍrat ‘Ali, Zayn al-‘Abidin).


An Ismaili interpretation of the story of Adam’s expulsion from paradise.


The traditional Pamiri house (chîd), besides being a place for the activities of daily life, is also a ritual and symbolic space which reflects the specificity of the material and spiritual culture of the mountain peoples living in the Western Pamirs.


An analysis of the Ismaili concept of cyclical time in accordance with the Ismaili hierarchy.


An investigation into how places of pilgrimage and worship continue to play a very important role in the spiritual and religious life of the Pamiri Ismailis.

704 — ‘Iz istorii isma’îlitskogo prizyva v Badakhshan’ [On the History of the Ismaili Call in Badakhshan], in M.E. Rezvan, ed., Tadzhiks: Istoriîa, kul’tura,
The Ismaili call in Badakhshan, which began with Naṣīr-i Khusraw’s daʿwa, seems to have developed further, becoming even more active and ambitious, after the tragic events surrounding the capture of Alamūt by the Mongols.


This is an exploration of Naṣīr-i Khusraw’s spiritual hermeneutic of the tradition concerning the completion of creation in six days, with the seventh day or Sabbath having a particular sanctity. The Sabbath represents the cycle of the Lord of the Resurrection or qāʾim-i qiyāma through whom the divine unity and grandeur of God will be revealed and the purpose of creation fulfilled.


A collection of articles on the history and philology of the peoples of Central Asia, devoted to the 80th anniversary of the birth of A.A. Semënov.


Entry on the life and works of Naṣīr-i Khusraw.


Naṣīr-i Khusraw first became known to European readers through the translation of works such as the Safar-nāma, Rawshanā′i-nāma, Wajh-i din, Zād al-musāfirīn and Jāmi′ al-ḥikmatayn by German, Russian and English scholars, such as Hette and others. The intellectual aspects of Naṣīr-i Khusraw’s work, such as pluralism and the possibility of dialogue between the followers of different faiths as well as his synthesis of ancient Greek philosophy and eastern wisdom held a unique position in their studies.
Y


Taking an anthropological approach the author argues that Zoroastrian doctrinal traces can be linked to the culture present in Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s homeland. The cultural heritage of Nāṣir-i Khusraw’s time, according to Ya’qubov, was multi-dimensional and of a sophisticated level that still continues to have significance to this day.

710 Yayoi, Kawahara and Umed Mamadsherzode’sov. Documents from Private Archives in Right-Bank Badakhshan. Central Eurasian Research Series 10, Department of Islamic Area Studies, Center for Evolving Humanities, Graduate School of Humanities and Sociology. Tokyo: The University of Tokyo, 2015. pp. 102.

This work introduces the historical documents found and copied from private collections in the villages in the region on the right bank of the Panj River, the present-day GBAO, in the Republic of Tajikistan, during two expeditions. The work is divided into two volumes: (i) produces facsimiles of the documents from private collections in Right-bank Badakhshan, (ii) presents an introduction to the documents.

Z


This article investigates Muḥammad Nakhshab (al-Nasafi)’s method of attracting new adepts to the Qarmaî form of Islam.


Collected materials about the Rûshânîs and their language, calendar of rituals and celebrations, weddings, funerals and memorial rites.

713 —— ‘Dopolneniâ k stat’e N.I. Veselovskiî, “Rol’ strely v obrîadakh i ee simvolicheskoj znachenii”’ [Appendices to the article by N.I. Veselovskii, ‘The Role of the Arrow in the Rites and Its Symbolic Meaning’], ZVORAO,
Adding to Veselovski’s arguments, Zarubin enriches his findings by inserting hand-written observations on the life and rituals of the Pamiri Tajiks.


The doctrines professed by the Ahl-i Haqq are presented as being mainly syncretic. Despite sharing common features with the Nuşayriyya (‘Alawiyya), among the ‘people of truth’, the cult of ‘Alī is completely obscure. Characterised mainly by Sufi darvīsh ceremonies, the election of pīrs, food distribution, fraternal associations, etc., the adherents of the Ahl-i Haqq were mainly members of the lower classes: nomads, inhabitants of rural areas, the urban poor and ordinary darvīshes.


In 1890 a Russian translation of Nāšir-i Khusraw’s poetry was published for the first time.


Brief entry on the Bātinīs.


This research addresses issues relative to the Ismaili faith and tradition under the atheist propaganda of the Soviet era. It also investigates developments since the collapse of the Soviet Union.


This survey of literature analyses the sources devoted to the history of small ethnic groups spread across the Pamir–Hindu-Kush region (also known as historic Badakhshān) of Central Asia. It includes sources on the region’s history which cover the period from the end of the 19th to the first half of the 20th century.

The work seeks to take a fresh look at the history of the Panjebhai religious movement as well as to attract greater scholarly attention to the study of Ismaili history in the rural areas of Central Asia. It examines not only the issues related to the emergence of the Panjebhai religious movement (which coincided with the rise of the Soviet state), but it also attempts to identify the major socio-historic, ideological, political and economic factors that formed the backdrop against which the movement emerged and developed in Soviet Tajik Badakhshan.

Even though the article is about the history and religions of the Farghāna region, it contains several observations on the Ismailis and Qarmatīs during the Sāmānīd era, including the periods when the regions of Badakhshān and Ferghāna were under the same ruling dynasty.

This article includes the biographies of the two leading religious authorities of Badakhshān, whose names and contributions have been largely ignored by later religious authorities and expertise in the study of the history of Badakhshān. Sayyid Munīr and Sayyid Haydar Shāh were two actively religious state figures during the first decades of the twentieth century. However, due to political and ideological circumstances, their names remained obscure until the break-up of the USSR in 1991.

722 —— Ancient and Early Medieval Kingdoms of the Pamir Region of Central Asia: Historical Shughnan and Its Lost Capital. Forthcoming.
Although this work covers the ancient and early medieval history of Shughnān, it contains a short, but important description of life in Shughnān during the first centuries of Islam. At the same time, it provides solid references about the possible conquest of Shughnān and adjacent regions by the Arab armies.
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