We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



186,000

200M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Tallest Timber Buildings: Main Architectural and Structural Design Considerations

Hüseyin Emre Ilgın and Markku Karjalainen

Abstract

Since the end of the twentieth century, the question of how to deal with the increasing scarcity of resources has been at the center and the need for renewable materials has come to the fore, especially in the construction sector. A possible solution to these environmental challenges is represented by the development of engineered timber products, which allowed the realization of tall timber structures. Their main drivers are decarbonization, forest management, and timber life cycle, urbanization, and densification, productivity in the construction industry, and the benefits of using timber indoors. In this context, this chapter will analyze data from the 10 tallest timber building cases to enhance the understanding of contemporary trends. Data are collected through literature surveys and case studies to analyze the main architectural and structural design concerns to contribute to the knowledge about the growing tall timber structures around the world. By revealing up-to-date features of the tallest timber towers, it is thought that this chapter will contribute to aiding and directing key construction professionals such as architects, structural engineers, and contractors, in the design and construction of future tall timber building developments.

Keywords: timber/wood, engineered timber/wood products, tall building, timber construction, architectural design considerations, structural design considerations, dovetail massive wooden board elements

1. Introduction

The utilization of timber in the construction sector has been revived since the mid-1990s [1, 2], and particularly in the last 10 years [3, 4], due to environmental concerns, urbanization challenges, and productivity in the construction industry [5–7]. Since the end of the twentieth century, the question of how to deal with the increasing scarcity of resources has been at the center and the need for renewable (building) materials has come to the fore, especially in the building construction industry [8]. A potential solution to these challenges is the development of engineered timber products (ETPs) that enable the erection of tall timber buildings [9] as in the case of the 18-story and 85 m high Mjøstårnet (Brumunddal, 2019).

CO₂ has been a game-changer since 1970, sparking a revolution in the way buildings are built. With the successful implementation of issues such as efficiency and passive standards in just a few years, there has been an increased emphasis on sustainability during and after the construction site [10]. Furthermore, today, the construction industry accounts for approximately 40% of annual greenhouse gas emissions, 40% of global resource consumption, 40% of energy use, and 50% of global waste, timber is a valuable alternative material [11, 12].

In this sense, the use of timber can enable the construction industry to avoid significant greenhouse gas emissions associated with unsustainable material use, as it is a natural carbon sink [13]. In other words, the fact that it is a renewable building material that can store CO_2 compared with steel and concrete, which are traditional building materials, has brought timber to an important point as a construction material [14, 15].

On the other hand, simultaneously, the world population doubled in less than a century, and for the first time in history, more people lived in cities than in the countryside [16]. The overall effect of this high density of people in cities forced buildings to rise. However, combined with the chronically low productivity of the construction sector since the 1990s and the high demand for new buildings in the future [17], there may be other challenges to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The assessment of a skilled and aging workforce and slow construction time, among other factors, are significant challenges for both established and future companies [18]. Prefabrication is recommended as the best way to improve productivity, and timber is perfectly suited for this as it is light and easy to work with [19, 20].

Latest technical developments in ETPs (e.g., [21]) and systems, as well as regulatory procedures in fire codes, other building codes, and various government regulations initiatives, have allowed timber construction to reach new heights [22]. Multistory construction is a new and promising business with high potential to support the bioeconomy [23] as in the case of the 25-story and 87 m high Ascent (Milwaukee, under construction) (**Figure 1**). Besides the potential for substantial



Figure 1. Ascent (image courtesy of Jason Korb/Korb + Associates Architects).

environmental and economic life cycle advantages can contribute to social sustainability in the processing of materials, as in both primary production and timber-based value chains [24].

In the literature, numerous surveys present the technical features of ETPs, their use in building construction, and diverse technical solutions (e.g., [25–28]). Several surveys focus on timber as a construction material from the viewpoints of key specialists (e.g., [29–32]) and users or inhabitants (e.g., [33, 34]); whereas there is a very limited number of comprehensive comparative design studies on architectural and structural parameters of multistory and tall timber buildings (e.g., [35–37]).

This chapter aims to identify, organize, and combine the data about the tallest timber buildings from the primary architectural and structural aspects to enhance understanding of the design and construction of these towers. To accomplish this goal, data were collected from the 10 tallest timber buildings under construction and completed.

The scope of the chapter is limited to the information available and uses key points to provide a representative understanding of contemporary trends in tallest timber buildings: general information (building name, location, height, number of stories, completion, gross floor area, amount of timber used), architectural and structural design parameters (building form, core type, structural system, and material). It is thought that this study will contribute to aiding and directing architects in the design and construction of future tallest timber towers.

2. Materials and methods

The chapter was mainly conducted through a literature review including peerreviewed research, official documents and reports, fact sheets, architectural and structural magazines, and other Internet sources. Additionally, case studies were used to identify, gather, and combine the data about the tallest timber buildings to examine the architectural and structural perspectives. The study sample included 10 tallest timber buildings under construction and completed, in a variety of countries (two from Norway, two from Finland, two from Canada, one from Austria, one from the Netherlands, one

#	Name	City (country)	Height (m)	# of stories	Completion date	Gross floor area	Amount of timber used		
1	Ascent	Milwaukee (USA)	87	25	UC	30,136 m ²	NA		
2	Mjøstårnet	Brumunddal (Norway)	85	18	2019	11,300 m ²	2600 m ³ /GL (structural timber)		
3	НоНо	Vienna (Austria)	84	24	2020	25,000 m ²	4350 m ³ (entire construction)		
4	HAUT	Amsterdam (Netherlands)	73	22	2022	14,500 m ²	2000 m ³ (entire timber)		
5	Brock Commons Tallwood House	Vancouver (Canada)	58	18	2017	15,115 m ²	1973 m ³ /CLT 260 m ³ /GLPSL		
6	Treet	Bergen (Norway)	49	14	2015	7140 m ²	550 m ³ /GL 385 m ³ /CLT		

#	Name	City (country)	Height (m)	# of stories	Completion date	Gross floor area	Amount of timber used [*]
7	Lighthouse Joensuu	Joensuu (Finland)	48	14	2019	5935 m ²	2000 m ³ (entire construction)
8	HOAS Tuuliniitty	Tuuliniitty (Finland)	42	13	2021	7584 m ²	NA
9	Origine	Quebec (Canada)	41	13	2017	13,124 m ²	3111 m ³ (mass timber)
10	Trafalgar Place	London (UK)	36	10	2015	16,661 m ²	750 m ³ (timber volume)

Note on abbreviation: 'UC' indicates under construction; 'NA' indicates not available; 'CLT' indicates cross-laminated timber; 'GL' indicates glue-laminated timber; 'PSL' indicates parallel strand lumber. Different levels and kinds of data for "the amount of timber" e.g. structural timber, entire construction, or only CLT were given by various references.

Table 1.

10 tallest timber buildings.

from the United Kingdom, and one from the United States) as seen in **Table 1**. In the study, a "tall building" was defined as a building with over eight story [22].

In terms of functionality, tall buildings can be classified as single-use or mixeduse. In this study, hotel, residence, and office were considered as primary functions, whereas their combinations were considered mixed-use. Taking into account existing literature (e.g., [36–43]), the classifications based on their structural behavior under lateral loads by Ilgın [44–46] and Ilgın et al. [47] were used in this paper due to its more comprehensive and clearer structures (see **Table 2**).

Core	Building form	Structural system	Structural material		
Central core	Prismatic form	Shear-frame system	Steel		
• Central	Setback form	• Shear trussed frame	Reinforced concrete		
• Central split	Tapered form	• Shear walled frame	Composite		
Atrium core	Twisted form	Mega core system			
• Atrium	Leaning/tilted form	Mega column system			
• Atrium split	Fee form	Outriggered frame system	$(\bigtriangleup) [\bigtriangleup]$		
External core		Tube system			
• Attached		• Framed-tube			
• Detached		• Trussed-tube			
Partial split		• Bundled tube			
• Full split					
Peripheral core					
• Partial peripheral					
• Full peripheral					
• Partial split					
• Full split					

Table 2.

Core, building form, structural system, and structural material classifications.

3. Findings: main architectural and structural design considerations

As can be seen in **Table 3**, the case study buildings were designed mostly for residential purposes, and the two mixed-use cases also included residential use. Additionally, central core arrangement was the dominant core typology (only one case with peripheral core). The benefits of a central core are factors, e.g., structural contribution, compactness, making the exterior facade open to light and scenery, and facilitating fire escape, which can aid in the dominant formation of this typology.

Prismatic forms were the most common and occurred in eight case studies including HoHo (**Figure 2**). The reason why prismatic forms are common may be due to ease of workmanship, practicability, and efficient use of interior space (especially in rectangular floor plans) compared with complicated forms.

The advantages of shear wall systems in buildings up to approximately 35 stories such as construction speed, suitability for prefabrication, and sufficient rigidity to withstand lateral loads may be the reasons behind this occurrence [48] as in the case of the 22-story and 73 m high HAUT (Amsterdam, 2022) (**Figure 3**) with a concrete

					system	material	description
1	Ascent	R	Central	Prismatic	Shear- walled frame	Composite	Core: RC Column: GL
2	Mjøstårnet	R/H/O	Central	Prismatic	Trussed- tube	Timber	Nonstructural core: CLT Column: GL exterior brace: GL
3	НоНо	R/H/O	Central	Prismatic	Shear- walled frame	Composite	Core: RC Column: GL
4	HAUT	R	Central	Free	Shear wall	Composite	Core: RC Shear wall: CLT
5	Brock Commons Tallwood House	R	Central	Prismatic	Shear- walled frame	Composite	Core: RC Column: GL and PSL
6	Treet	R	Central	Prismatic	Trussed- tube	Timber	Nonstructural core: CLT exterior braces, belt, outrigger: GL
7	Lighthouse Joensuu	R	Central	Prismatic	Shear wall	Timber	Core and shear wall: LVL
8	HOAS Tuuliniitty	R	Central	Prismatic	Shear wall	Timber	Core and shear wall: CLT
9	Origine	R	Central	Free	Shear wall	Timber	Core and shear wall: CLT
10	Trafalgar Place	R	Peripheral	Prismatic	Shear wall	Timber	Core and shear wall: CLT

Table 3.

Tallest timber buildings by function, core type, form, structural system, and structural material.



Figure 2. *HoHo (photo courtesy of DERFRITZ).*

core and CLT shear walls. Additionally, Mjøstårnet (**Figure 4**) and Treet took the advantage of trussed-tube system, in which exterior multistory GL trusses handle the horizontal and gravity loads to ensure the required rigidity of the structure and the CLT core has a nonstructural function [49, 50].

On the other hand, the interstory drift between adjacent floors of upper stories in shear wall systems and the interstory drift between adjacent floors of lower stories in rigid frame systems are problematic issues, but shear frame systems (namely shear trussed frame and shear-walled frame systems) offer a solution where both systems compensate for each other's disadvantages as in the case of the 18-story and 58 m high Brock Commons Tallwood House (Vancouver, 2017) (**Figure 5**).

In terms of structural material, CLT was the structural material commonly used in 10 selected cases (**Table 3**). In the buildings where composite/hybrid systems were employed, concrete was utilized in all four cases. Additionally, in all case studies, the ground floor or podium was made of concrete and had a reinforced concrete core. Moreover, among them, in Ascent, mass timber residential floors were built over 5-story-concrete parking. Concrete podium construction has many advantages, including ground-level housing facilities and services, offering high clearances in public areas and large openings, and creating fireproof zones for primary mechanical



Figure 3. *HAUT (photo courtesy of Jannes Linders).*



Figure 4. *Mjøstårnet (photo courtesy of Voll Arkitekter AS* + *Ricardo Foto).*

and electrical components [51]. Furthermore, the reason for employing concrete core: (i) to provide the lateral rigidity and strength of the structure to a great extent; (ii) to take advantage of the natural resistance of concrete against fire; (iii) to benefit from



Figure 5. Brock Commons Tallwood House (photo by Michael Elkan and courtesy of Acton Ostry Architects).

its advantage in damping wind-induced building sway, which is one of the commonly confronted issues in high-rise buildings [52].

4. Concluding remarks

Driven predominantly by decarbonization, forest management, and timber life cycle, urbanization and intensification, and productivity in the construction industry, tall timber buildings have been at the forefront of construction practices in the global urban context for over one decade with an ever-increasing trend of height. It is thought that the analysis of the key architectural and structural design concerns of the 10 tallest buildings (one is under construction) will contribute to the planning of future timber buildings that will push the height limits.

The tallest timber buildings were mostly designed as residential. Central core arrangement was the dominant core typology. Prismatic forms were most widely used. Shear wall systems were preferred in five cases. In terms of structural material, six cases used pure wood, mostly CLT, while others opted for composite, usually concrete.

Rules, expectations, requirements, and typologies for tall wooden buildings, whose design dynamics are associated with technological developments and new construction techniques, have not yet been clarified. The diversity in the design and construction methods of these structures is still evolving to meet various building codes, market demands, contexts, and environments. This chapter has given the most up-to-date information on this pioneer building typology.

This report also has its limitations, since the empirical data presented in this chapter were limited to 10 buildings, it seems difficult to generalize about timber tall buildings of the future. On the other hand, given the increase in the number of tall timber buildings erected, further research can be conducted with larger sample groups to obtain broader generalizations and new information.

Additionally, the increase in global environmental awareness strengthens the attractiveness of timber construction, which leads the search for innovative and environmentally friendly engineered timber product solutions such as the DoMWoB



(a)



(b)

Figure 6.

(a) Dovetail massive wood board prototype manufactured at Vocational College Lapland (Ammattiopisto Lappia), Kemi, Finland; (b) Fire test specimens mounted to supporting construction made of aerated concrete blocks at Tampere University Fire Laboratory, Tampere, Finland (photos by Hüseyin Emre Ilgin).

project (Dovetailed Massive Wood Board Elements for Multi-Story Buildings); see Acknowledgments and Funding (**Figure 6**) [53] in the future. Although for example, the uptake of dovetail massive timber elements for industrial applications is very limited at the moment, with new research projects to be developed, these elements can be used more in multistory and even tall building construction.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No [101024593].

Funding

This project has also received funding (60,000 EUR) from the Marjatta and Eino Kolli Foundation for funding the technical performance tests including fire safety, structural, moisture transfer resistance and air-tightness, and sound insulation.

IntechOpen

Author details

Hüseyin Emre Ilgın* and Markku Karjalainen Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

*Address all correspondence to: emre.ilgin@tuni.fi

IntechOpen

© 2022 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] Mayo J. Solid Wood—Case Studies in Mass Timber Architecture, Technology and Design. London, Routledge; 2015

[2] Tulonen L, Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE. Tall Wooden Residential Buildings in Finland: What Are the Key Factors for Design and Implementation? IntechOpen: London, UK; 2021

[3] Krötsch S, Müller L. The development of multi-storey timber construction. In: Kaufmann H, Krötsch S, Winter S, editors. Manual of Multi-Storey Timber Construction. Munich, Germany: Detail Business Information; 2018

[4] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Tulonen L. Main design considerations and prospects of contemporary tall timber apartment buildings: Views of key professionals from Finland. Sustainability. 2021;**13**:6593

[5] United Nations. World Urbanization Prospects: 2018: Highlights. New York, NY, USA: United Nations; 2019

[6] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M. Perceptions, Attitudes, and Interest of Architects in the Use of Engineered Wood Products for Construction: A Review. IntechOpen: London, UK; 2021

[7] Kuzman MK, Sandberg D. A new era for multi-storey timber buildings in Europe. In: New Horizons for the Forest Products Industry, Proceedings of the 70th Forest Products Society International Convention; 26-29 June 2016; Portland, OR, USA. Ljubljana, Slovenia: University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Wood Science and Technology; 2016

[8] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Metsäranta L, Norvasuo M. Residents' Attitudes towards

wooden facade renovation and additional floor construction in Finland. The International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;**18**:12316

[9] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M, Koponen O,
Soikkeli A. A Study on Contractors'
Perception of Using Wood for
Construction. IntechOpen: London, UK;
2022

[10] Salvadori V. Multi-storey timberbased buildings: An international survey of case-studies with five or more storeys over the last twenty years [PhD dissertation]. Vienna, Austria: Technische Universität Wien; 2021

[11] Rinne R, Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M. Comparative study on life-cycle assessment and carbon footprint of hybrid, concrete and timber apartment buildings in Finland. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022;**19**:774

[12] Karjalainen M, Ilgin HE, Somelar D.
Wooden Extra Stories in Concrete Block of Flats in Finland as an Ecologically Sensitive Engineering Solution,
Ecological Engineering—Addressing Climate Challenges and Risks.
IntechOpen: London, UK; 2021

[13] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M, Koponen O. Dovetailed massive wood board elements for multi-story buildings. In: Proceedings of the LIVENARCH VII Livable Environments & Architecture 7th International Congress Other Architect/ ure(s); 28-30 September 2021; Trabzon, Turkey. Vol. I. pp. 47, 2021-60

[14] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M, Koponen O. Review of the Current State-of-the-Art of Dovetail Massive Wood Elements. IntechOpen: London, UK; 2021 [15] Häkkänen L, Ilgın HE,Karjalainen M. Cottage culture inFinland: Development and perspectives.Encyclopedia. 2022;2(2):705-716

[16] United Nations World. Population
Prospects—The 2017 Revision: Key
Findings and Advance Tables. New York,
NY, USA: Department of Economic and
Social Affairs Population Division; 2017.
pp. 1-46

[17] Changali S, Mohamman A, van Nieuwland M. The Construction Productivity Imperative. New York, NY, USA: McKinsey Productivity Sciences Center; 2015

[18] McKinsey & Company. Reinventing Construction: A Route to Higher Productivity. Singapore: McKinsey Productivity Sciences Center; 2017

[19] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Somelar D. Wooden additional floors in old apartment buildings: Perspectives of housing and real estate companies from Finland. Buildings. 2021;**11**:316

[20] Soikkeli A, Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M. Wooden additional floor in Finland. Encyclopedia. 2022;**2**(1):578-592

[21] Nazrul IM, Rahman F, Das AK, Hiziroglu S. An overview of different types and potential of bio-based adhesives used for wood products. International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives. 2022;**112**:102992

[22] Ilgin HE, Karjalainen M, Pelsmakers S. Contemporary tall residential timber building: What are the main architectural and structural design considerations? International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation. 2022. Ahead-of-print

[23] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M, Pelsmakers S. Finnish architects' attitudes towards multi-storey timber-residential buildings. International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation. 2021. Ahead-of-print

[24] Toppinen A, Röhr A, Pätäri S, Lähtinen K, Toivonen R. The future of wooden multistory construction in the Forest bioeconomy—A Delphi study from Finland and Sweden. Journal of Forest Economics. 2018;**31**:3-10

[25] Siahkamari M, Emmanuel S, Hodge DB, Nejad M. Lignin-Glyoxal: A fully biobased formaldehyde-free wood adhesive for interior engineered wood products. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering. 2022;**10**(11):3430-3441

[26] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M. Preliminary design proposals for dovetail Wood Board elements in multi-story building construction. Architecture. 2021;**1**:56-68

[27] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Yli-Äyhö M, Soikkeli A. Complementary Building Concept: Wooden Apartment Building: The Noppa toward Zero Energy Building Approach. IntechOpen: London, UK; 2021

[28] Hua LS, Chen LW, Antov P, Kristak L, Md TP. Engineering wood products from eucalyptus spp. Advances in Materials Science and Engineering. 2022;**2022**

[29] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Metsäranta L, Norvasuo M. Wooden Facade Renovation and Additional Floor Construction for Suburban Development in Finland. IntechOpen: London, UK; 2022

[30] Gagnon B, Tanguay X, Amor B, Imbrogno AF. Forest products and circular economy strategies: A Canadian perspective. Energies. 2022;**15**(3):673

[31] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE, Metsäranta L, Norvasuo M. Suburban residents' preferences for livable

residential area in Finland. Sustainability. 2021;**13**:11841

[32] Häkkänen L, Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M. The current state of the Finnish cottage phenomenon: Perspectives of experts. Buildings. 2022;**12**(3):260

[33] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE. The change over time in Finnish residents' attitudes towards multi-story timber apartment buildings. Sustainability. 2021;**13**:5501

[34] Harju C. The perceived quality of wooden building materials—A systematic literature review and future research agenda. International Journal of Consumer Studies. 2022;**46**(1):29-55

[35] Karjalainen M, Ilgın HE. A statistical study on multi-story timber residential buildings (1995-2020) in Finland. In: Proceedings of the LIVENARCH VII Livable Environments & Architecture 7th International Congress Other Architect/ure(s); 28-30 September 2021; Trabzon, Turkey. Vol. I. pp. 82, 2021-94

[36] Svatoš-Ražnjević H, Orozco L, Menges A. Advanced timber construction industry: A review of 350 multi-storey timber projects from 2000-2021. Buildings. 2022;**12**(4):404

[37] Kuzmanovska I, Gasparri E, Tapias Monné D, Aitchison M. Tall timber buildings: Emerging trends and typologies. In: Proceedings of the World Conference on Timber Engineering; 20-23 August 2018; Seoul, Korea.

[38] Gunel MH, Ilgın HE. A proposal for the classification of structural systems of tall buildings. Building and Environment. 2007;**42**(7):2667-2675

[39] Salvadori V. Worldwide Structural Survey of 197 Multi-Storey Timber-Based Buildings From 5 to 24 Storeys. In: Proceedings of the Conference: WCTE 2021—World Conference on Timber Engineering; 9-12 August 2021; Santiago del Chile, Chile

[40] Erikshammar J. Activity: Wood-Based Product Platforms: An Industrial Application of Industrialized House-Building, An Industrial Application of Industrialized House-Building. Reading, UK: University of Reading; 2014

[41] Brege S, Stehn L, Nord T. Business models in industrialized building of multistorey houses. Construction Management and Economics. 2014;**32**:208-226

[42] Ilgin HE. Potentials and limitations of supertall building structural systems: Guiding for architects[Ph.D. dissertation]. Ankara, Turkey: Department of Architecture, Middle East Technical University; 2018

[43] Hurmekoski E, Jonsson R, Nord T. Context, drivers, and future potential for wood-frame multi-story construction in Europe. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2015;**99**:181-196

[44] Ilgın HE. Space efficiency in contemporary supertall office buildings. Journal of Architectural Engineering. 2021;**27**(3):4021024

[45] Ilgın HE. Space efficiency in contemporary supertall residential buildings. Architecture. 2021;1(1):25-37

[46] Ilgin HE. A study on interrelations of structural systems and main planning considerations in contemporary supertall buildings. International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation. 2022. Ahead-of-print

[47] Ilgin HE, Ay BÖ, Gunel MH. A study on main architectural and structural design considerations of contemporary supertall buildings. Architectural Science Review. 2021;**64**(3):212-224 Wood Industry - Past, Present and Future Outlook

[48] Gunel MH, Ilgın HE. Yüksek Bina: Tasıyıcı Sistem ve Aerodinamik Form, Turkey (in Turkish). Ankara: METU Faculty of Architecture Press; 2014

[49] Abrahamsen R. Mjøstårnet— Construction of an 81 m tall timber building, 23. Internationales Holzbau-Forum IHF 2017, 06-08.12. Germany: Garmisch-Partenkirchen; 2017

[50] Malo KA, Abrahamsen RB, Bjertnæs MA. Some structural design issues of the 14-storey timber framed building "Treet" in Norway. European Journal of Wood and Wood Products. 2016;74(3):407-424

[51] Harte AM. Mass timber—The emergence of a modern construction material. Journal of Structural Integrity and Maintenance. 2017;**2**(3):121-132

[52] Gunel MH, Ilgin HE. Tall Buildings:Structural Systems and AerodynamicForm. London and New York: Routledge;2014

[53] Ilgın HE, Karjalainen M,
Koponen O. Various Geometric
Configuration Proposals for Dovetail
Wooden Horizontal Structural Members
in Multistory Building Construction.
IntechOpen: London, UK; 2022