

Linguistic Identities in the Arab Gulf States

Waves of Change

Edited by
Sarah Hopkyns and Wafa Zoghbor

First published 2022

ISBN: 978-0-367-71173-3 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-0-367-71171-9 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-14963-7 (ebk)

6 Unifying multiple identities through Arabic varieties

An analysis of Arabic dialects in Kawaja Abdulqader's discourse

Wafa Zoghbor and Muneer Alqahtani

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

DOI: 10.4324/9781003149637-9

This chapter is funded by Zayed University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates



Routledge
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

6 Unifying multiple identities through Arabic varieties

An analysis of Arabic dialects in Kawaja Abdulqader's discourse

Wafa Zogbor and Muneer Alqahtani

The Arab world consists of 22 countries that adopt Standard Arabic (SA) as an official language. They have a population that exceeds 420 million inhabitants (World Population Review, 2020), and it is believed that they share a similar linguistic landscape connected with similar cultures and a common history (Toffolo, 2008). However, since this vast population stretches from the Arabian Peninsula and Indian Ocean in the east to the Atlantic Ocean in the west, it is hardly possible to argue that their shared language of Arabic is uniform. Shafik (2017) argues that 'on the linguistic level little unity exists [in the Arab World]; in addition to the languages of ethnic minorities like Berbers, Nubians, and Kurds, the Arabic language itself has split into a huge variety of local dialects' (p. 1). Such linguistic diversity within Arabic itself has influenced the dominance of some Arabic dialects over others based on their outreach. The media, in this case, is an effective tool for reaching a wider audience and, as a result, the dialect used in media production is more likely to have a greater influence over other dialects not represented, or which have a weak presence, in the media.

Due to mass media production and its high quality in Egypt, the Egyptian dialect has a clear dominance and is the most recognized amongst other dialects in the Arab world (Amin, 2002). For comparison, in countries like Sudan, Saudi Arabia, Libya, and the United Arab Emirates (UAE), media production is limited to television series and short films (Shafik, 2017). Other countries such as Algeria and Iraq have produced around 100 films, Syria around 150, Tunisia some 130, Lebanon has produced some 180 films, whilst Egypt has remarkably dominated media production with more than 2,500 films (Shafik, 2017). Furthermore, when it comes to quality of production, the Arab world has witnessed fierce competition. For example, the media industry in Syria has excelled in producing high-quality drama series, in Lebanon the media industry focuses on producing music clips and advertisements (Shafik, 2007), and in Dubai a pioneering media city was built to be 'a focal point of media activity in the region' (Quinn et al., 2003, p. 6). Nevertheless, media production in Egypt, ranging from talk shows and quizzes to television serials, remains the leading hub for media production in the Arab world (Shafik, 2007). The reason behind Egypt's superiority in the media relates to its long

history, with the introduction of cinema in 1896, radio in 1926, and TV in 1962 (Allam, 2019). As Shafik (2007) states:

Egypt feeds the numerous channels of other Arab broadcasting stations, in particular those of the Arabian Peninsula. Even more importantly, Egyptian movies with their popular film stars are [...] still screened and aired all over the Arab world.

(p. 5)

The wide-reaching Egyptian media has resulted in the widespread visibility of the Egyptian dialect in the Arab world (Amin, 2002). Awareness and recognition of the Egyptian dialect led to media production in some Arab countries, such as Lebanon, adopting the Egyptian dialect in their productions (Hammond, 2007). Similarly, Egyptian media productions also include several Arabic dialects that reveal the variations within this language and the linguistic identities that each of these variations might represent. An example of this is *Khawaja Abdulqader*, a popular TV drama set in the Middle East, including the Gulf region.

Khawaja Abdulqader is an Arabic drama consisting of 30 episodes, broadcast in 2012, about Herbert Doperfield, an English man who falls into a depression following the death of his brother and brother-in-law in the Second World War. This depression influences his social and professional life. Herbert moves from London to Sudan to work in a quarry as a stone maker. He is greatly influenced by a religious man, Sheikh Abdulqader (SAQ), then embraces Islam and changes his name to Abdulqader (known subsequently as Khawaja Abdulqader – KAQ). After spending a couple of years in Sudan, Herbert/Khawaja Abdulqader (H/KAQ) moves to Upper Egypt.

While this is a brief summary of the 30 episodes of *Khawaja Abdulqader*, there are two levels of linguistic identity that are revealed beyond the plot. The first level relates to Sufism. The term ‘Sufism’ (or ‘Taṣawwuf’ in Arabic) refers to ‘a Muslim ascetic who withdrew from the world and attained a high degree of piety and closeness to God’ (Hill, 2019, p. 3). It is also derived from the Arabic word ‘suf’ or ‘wool’, so *mutasawif* is ‘the person of wool’, referring to wool as the rough piece of cloth worn by ascetics (Hill, 2019). The reference to Sufism is obvious in the use of Sufi-related words and the Arabic poem written more than 1,000 years ago by Al-Hallaj, a Sufi poet of Persian origin (Mason, 1995). The second level of linguistic identity, which is also the focus of this chapter, relates to portraying different identities in the character of H/KAQ through the use of three variations of Arabic: Standard Arabic (SA), Marked Sudanese Dialect (MSD), and Marked Sa’idi Egyptian Dialect (MSED).

This chapter examines how the character of H/KAQ utilizes linguistic resources to reveal the multiple identities of a non-Arab who speaks Arabic as a foreign language. This chapter will, first, introduce the theoretical approach, focusing on Arabic variation and language identity and the extent to which

variation influences intelligibility. It will then draw on five scenes from the drama, in which three types of codes are used, to argue that, despite the variation in the Arabic language, the language unifies, rather than creates divisions in, Arab speakers' identities.

Arabic dialects: Issues of variation and identity

A dialect is a code of language which is associated with a local area and/or a community of speakers that share sociolinguistic variables (Bassiouney, 2018). Language is associated with a social group through which linguistic choices show claim to social identities and groups of people (Barber, 2018; Giles et al., 1991). Many studies have investigated the relationship between language and identity on both the social and the personal level, which affects the way individuals interact and communicate (Bucholtz & Hall, 2004). While social identity can be defined on different levels such as nationality, ethnicity, race, religion, language, geography, history, and ideology (Albirini, 2016), it includes 'self-definition as a group member in terms of in-group-out-group differentiations' (Simon, 2004, p. 37). Personal identities, in contrast, are 'based on one-on-one relationships with others' (Holmes, 2006, p. 167). Albirini (2016) argues that the relationship between language and identity has been addressed by different fields such as sociology, anthropology, social psychology, history, communication studies, political science, and linguistics. Therefore, different approaches and frameworks such as social constructionism (Kroskrity, 2000), anti-essentialist views of identity (Dervin, 2012), and group membership (Antaki & Widdicombe, 1998) have shaped post-structuralist discussions of identity.

Joseph (2004) argues that language and identity are 'ultimately inseparable' and, hence, 'the entire phenomenon of identity can be understood as a linguistic one' (p. 12–13). Moreover, Edwards (2009) asserts that any study considering identity must also investigate language. To clarify such a relationship, Block (2009) uses the term 'language identity' to refer to 'the assumed and/or attributed relationship between one's sense of self and a means of communication' (p. 40). Block (2009) argues that 'language identity' includes three types of relationship: 1) *language expertise*, or an individual's proficiency in a language; 2) *language affiliation*, which denotes an individual's attitudes towards a particular language; and 3) *language inheritance*, which is the language that an individual has learned since birth. These three types of relationship between language and identity can be seen in the definition of 'an Arab' provided by the Arab League: 'a person whose language is Arabic, who lives in an Arabic-speaking country, and who is in sympathy with the aspirations of the Arabic-speaking people' (Albirini, 2016, p.122). Nonetheless, such a definition does not fully consider the unique sociohistorical environment of the Arab world (Suleiman, 2003) and its multiple identities (Albirini, 2016). Thus, defining 'one Arab identity' is neither viable nor desirable.

Before Islam, 'Arabs did not form a coherent religious group or a unified political entity, and therefore, the Arabic language was possibly one of the main shared resources linking the various Arab tribes' (Albirini, 2016, p. 124). In this case, language was used as an identifying feature that distinguished their social identity from the 'others' and highlighted any differences (Barth, 1998). Moreover, Arabic was a language of importance, since Mecca held significant religious, commercial, and literary statuses (Albirini, 2016). Therefore, people held a sense of pride in the Arabic language and used the term 'Ajam' to describe non-Arabic-speaking individuals (Aldawri, 1984). After the rise of Islam, Arabic gained further significance as the language of Prophet Mohammed and the Quran. This religious feature strengthened Arabs' sense of identity as a privileged group whose language was chosen to carry the sacred message. Furthermore, after the death of Prophet Mohammed, Arabs led the Islamic conquests beyond the Arabian Peninsula and viewed themselves as the carriers of the message of Islam, which is in Arabic. Arabic also worked as an identifier of Arab Muslims, distinguishing them from the non-Arab Muslims who entered Islam after the conquests (Albirini, 2016).

Arabs' pride in their language was not only on the individual level but also the state level. Aldawri (1984) points out that, during the Umayyad Caliphate, Arabic 'drew the demographic and geographical parameters of the Arab nation' (p. 50), and senior roles in the state were assigned to Arabs. This privilege that Arabs held continued for centuries until the rise of the Ottoman Empire. In the beginning, the Ottomans recognized the importance of Arabic as the language of the Quran and viewed Arabs as their associates in Islam (Makdisi, 1996, 2002). However, at a later stage, the Ottomans adopted a nation-state model and introduced a 'Turkification' policy that favoured the Turks over other ethnic groups (Albirini, 2016). As a result, the Arabs revolted against the Turks, and the Arabic language was an identity aspect that unified them. After the collapse of the Ottoman Empire and the success of the Arabs' revolution, Arabs desired to establish a nation state in which the Arabic language was its core identity regardless of faith and ethnicity. However, this attempt failed because of colonizing powers which divided the land between them, resulting in different experiences where some countries, such as Algeria, were colonized for a longer period, and others, such as Iraq, for a shorter period, whilst some countries such as Saudi Arabia were barely influenced (Albirini, 2016). Therefore, the Arab world is not a homogeneous place where Arabs have shared the same history and circumstances but rather a heterogeneous place that covers a vast area of land where Arabs' linguistic identities have been influenced by social factors such as religion, region, ideology, history, and class. As a result, when studying the relationship between Arabic and identity, the unique sociohistorical environment of the Arab world and its multiple identities should be considered (Albirini, 2016; Suleiman, 2003).

Such multiple identities have influenced the varieties of Arabic used across the Arab world and have affected morphemes, phonemes, lexis, and grammar.

For example, at a regional level, many words have different meanings depending on where they are spoken, such as the word <ماشي> /ma:ʃi/, which means ‘no’ in Yemen and Morocco, ‘yes’ in Jordan, and ‘walking’ in Saudi Arabia (Alshargi et al., 2019), and it could mean either ‘yes’ or ‘walking’ in Syria and Palestine, depending on the context. Such differences do not only occur on a country level but also within the same country. For example, on the phonemic level, <فجر> /fadʒr/ in SA (pronounced /fagr/ in Yemen) means ‘early morning’ in Taizz, south Yemen, while it means ‘poverty’ in Sana’a (Alshargi et al., 2019). These examples illustrate how Arabic varies based on the region.

Religion and identity

Religious identities have also influenced Arabic variations. For example, Abu-Haidar (1991) reported phonological differences between Christians and Muslims in Baghdad, where the former group used the pronunciation /neɪs/ for ‘people’, whilst the latter group pronounced it as /næs/. Differences were also apparent on the morphological level, where the Christian group used the broken-plural forms in words such as /xababi:z/ ‘bakers’ and /xajai:t/ ‘tailors’, whilst the Muslim group used the masculine plural form /xabbazi:n/ and /xajati:n/. Nonetheless, Abu-Haidar (1991) observed that the Christians in Baghdad started to assimilate their dialect to the Muslims’ dialect. Similarly, Holes (1983, 1987), who studied the dialects of the Sunni and Shia groups in Bahrain, observed that the minority Shia population in a Sunni-majority neighbourhood assimilated their dialects to the Sunnis’ dialect. In contrast, when a Sunni population was a minority in a Shia-majority neighbourhood, they would maintain their Sunni dialect. For example, Sunni groups would maintain Sunni-specific sounds such as /g/, /θ/, and /ð/, whilst the Shia groups would not do so with their Shia-specific sounds such as /j/, /f/, and /ɣ/ (Holes, 1983, 1987). Such examples could be explained by the power that Muslims and Sunnis hold in both cases. These dialects represent a ‘social group in which political and commercial power is concentrated, and whose dialect as a consequence has acquired a locally prestigious status’ (Holes, 1983, p. 38). Further, it is worth noting that the influence of religious identities on Arabic variations do not necessarily occur across religions but also on the denomination level within the same religion. These examples further illustrate the complexities of Arabic identities and their influences on Arabic dialects.

The above examples also show how language reflects multi-identities within the same religious group, but, at a more generic level, language reveals an identity that reflects Aljinsiyya or Alqawmiyya (used by Suleiman, 2003 to mean ‘nationalism’) despite belonging to different religions (Zoghbor, 2018a). For example, an Egyptian (whether a Muslim or a Qibti (a minority Christian in Egypt)) will still reveal by his or her accented English his or her belonging to Egypt, reflecting the Arab identity

with no indication of religion (Alsohaibani, 2016). Despite this view about how language unifies groups of people into one (Arabic-speaking identity), some linguists claim the opposite and argue that Arabic dialects are ‘separate, distinct languages’ (Bassiouney, 2020, p. 28). Such disagreements, according to Albirini (2016), might be attributed to ‘language attitudes’ which he defines as ‘the socio-psychologically evaluative reactions to a certain language or to the speakers of that language’ (p. 68). Here, both Arabic speakers and Arabic linguists tend to have more positive attitudes towards Standard Arabic (SA) (a variety of Arabic that has no native speakers) (Bassiouney, 2020) than of local dialects. SA is the language used in religious sermons, universities, and formal occasions (Bassiouney, 2020), whilst local dialects are often considered as a ‘distorted, debased, and a deficient form of SA [...] with many borrowed words and with no underlying system, logic, or rules’ (Albirini, 2016, p. 81). However, when it comes to attitudes towards local dialects, the speakers of such dialects tend to hold more positive attitudes towards their own dialect depending on ‘the context of speech, social appropriateness, personality, group membership, and cultural influences’ (Albirini, 2016, p. 86). Therefore, although Arabs in general hold more positive attitudes towards SA than towards their own dialects, they still view their local dialects as a ‘better form of Arabic’ when compared to other dialects, which shows how a language is not only linguistically defined but also socially constructed.

Variation of Arabic and intelligibility

The term ‘intelligibility’ has been defined differently, but all definitions are linked to verbal communication and the extent to which oral speech can be understood by interlocutors (Smith & Nelson, 2008). Additionally, in verbal communication, pronunciation (rather than any aspects of language) carries identity, as it is more noticeable than other language aspects, such as grammar or vocabulary (Zoghbor, 2016, 2018b).

To distinguish between ‘dialect’ and ‘language’, Edwards (2018) uses intelligibility as the main criteria. For example, speakers of French and English are not expected to understand one another, but English speakers of different varieties are usually able to. Smakman and van der Meulen (2018) consider linguistic borders as the most obvious division between Arabic variations, indicating that the closer the words are (in meaning and how they are related), the thinner the dividing line. These linguistic borders are followed by ethnic borders, identity borders, social connotation borders, and communication-based borders.

The large distribution of Arabic speakers in the world (Anishchenkova, 2020) has caused a rich diversity of variations and dialects. Not only do these variations exist on a national or regional level, but they may also exist in the same small geographical area (Albirini, 2016). In some cases, these variations may be vastly different to the extent that they are not intelligible

to other speakers of Arabic dialects (e.g., the difference between Yemeni and Moroccan dialects). Despite their differences, Arabic dialects are still classified as variations of the same language because they differ on the lexical and phonological levels (Albirini, 2016) rather than the structure (Aoun et al., 2010; Benmamoun, 2000; Soltan, 2007). Such dialects are regularly used ‘in everyday conversations and other informal communicative exchanges: sports, music, film, and some TV show broadcasts’ (Albirini, 2016, p. 13).

In contrast, SA is the same across the Arab world and, therefore, is intelligible to Arabic speakers regardless of their local dialects. Some differences may occur, nonetheless, on phonological and lexical levels due to the influence of local dialects (Holes, 2004; Parkinson, 1991; Schulz, 1981). As SA is mainly used in formal education, print publications, news broadcasts, official speeches, and political announcements (Albirini, 2016), Holes (2004) argues:

[SA] is the language of power and control, as opposed to the language of intimacy and domesticity (the dialect), and it impinges in multifarious and sometimes subliminal ways on the daily life of Arabs of all generations, backgrounds, and educational levels.

(p. 6)

As a result, the choice of SA may work as an identifying factor for the level of education that its speakers have since they use some of its linguistic aspects even when they speak in their local dialects (Bassiouny, 2020; Holes, 2004). The elite status that SA holds is demonstrated in dramatic and literary works, where SA is often used in certain circumstances where no other variety would be appropriate to reveal a neutral identity or hide the origin of the speaker. For example, the Egyptian playwright Mahmud Taymour in his plays *Ibn Jalā* and *Saqr Quraysh* in the 1950s (Holes, 2004), and Tawfiq al-Hakim in his plays *al-Safqa* and *al-Warta*, used SA for characters whose country of origin was unknown or not important to identify.

The study

The data in this chapter includes five scenes that have been selected from several episodes of the *Khawaja Abdulqader* series. The scenes were chosen for two main reasons. First, these episodes contained the three main codes of Standard Arabic (SA), Marked Sudanese Dialect (MSD), and Marked Sa’idi Dialect (MSAE) – more information about the scenes is provided in Table 6.1. Second, the scenes speak to the main theme of this chapter and demonstrate that various codes within the Arabic language unify rather than divide Arabic speakers. Critical discourse analysis (CDA) was used to examine the utterance structure, form, usage, meaning, and pronunciation that were employed in the creation of these utterances (Fairclough, 1995). To render actual pronunciation, this chapter uses IPA symbols (Brierley et al., 2016) in transcribing examples and linguistic data.

Table 6.1 Summary of scenes in Figures 6.1–6.5

Scene #	Characters in the scene	Code choice	Topic of scene
1	H/KAQ and Sheikh Abdulqader (SAQ) (religious man in Sudan)	SA	H/KAQ is dreaming about meeting SAQ before travelling to Sudan.
2	H/KAQ and his sister Katy	SA	H/KAQ is talking to his sister before travelling to Sudan.
3	H/KAQ and Fadlallah (one of the workers in Sudan)	MSD	H/KAQ is in Sudan and asking Fadlallah about Al-Hadhra (praying with a Sheikh).
4	H/KAQ and Kamal (a teenager in Upper Egypt)	MSED	H/KAQ moves to Upper Egypt and is asking Kamal about Surat Al-Fajr (in Chapter 89 of the Quran).
5	H/KAQ and his wife Margaret	MSED and SA	H/KAQ is thinking about Shahwaniya and speaking to Margaret.

Findings

The data in this section reveal the types of codes that are used throughout the 30 episodes of *Khawaja Abdulqader* by providing the frequency of the occurrence of each code. Then the five scenes mentioned previously are analysed to consider the context where each code is used, focusing on its linguistic features, particularly the properties. Table 6.2 shows the frequency of scenes where H/KAQ uses each of these codes. It is necessary to draw attention to the fact that the Marked Sudanese Dialect (MSD) is the accented Sudanese Dialect (SD) used by H/KAQ, who uses SD as a foreign language (in Table 6.2, and the rest of the chapter). Similarly, the Marked Sa'idi Egyptian Dialect (MSED) is the accented version of SED used by H/KAQ. In most of the scenes provided in Table 6.2, MSED was predominantly used, then SA, and finally MSD. It should be noted that the borders between these codes were not rigid, as will be shown in the following sections.

The scene in Figure 6.1 is an example of how SA is used to represent H/KAQ's mother tongue. Most utterances in this scene have equivalent, but not identical, vocabulary in other dialects. Of all the words that are said by H/KAQ in this scene, only two are identical in SA and the Egyptian Dialect, which is a common media dialect in the Arab world. These words are <أنا>, which means 'I', and <أموت>, which means 'I die'. Apart from these two words, all the other words are different in SA and the Egyptian Dialect. In some cases, the same vocabulary with the same orthography is used in both varieties but with different vowel pronunciations (e.g., <هنا>, which means 'here', is pronounced as /huna/ in SA and /hina/ in the Egyptian Dialect, and <أنت>, which means 'you', is pronounced as /anta/ in SA but /Inta/ in ED).

Table 6.2 Summary of codes used by the character Khawaja Abdulqader

<i>Code choice</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Comments</i>
SA – Standard Arabic	45	H/KAQ uses SA in scenes where one would expect to hear his mother tongue (English). Therefore, Arabic is used with Margaret (his wife), Beident (his colleague), Katy (his sister), and every English speaker in Britain, Sudan, and Upper Egypt.
MSD – Marked Sudanese Dialect	30	MSD is the dialect that H/KAQ learns and uses when he is working in Sudan as a stone maker. He uses it to communicate with Sudanese characters such as Fadlallah and Sheikh Abdulqader.
MSED – Marked Sa’idi Egyptian Dialect	138	H/KAQ starts to modify his MSD to MSED based on communication with Sa’idi Egyptians after moving to Upper Egypt. It is used with all Sa’idis: Kamal, Zeinab, Haj Abduldhaher and Shahwaniya. When using MSED, some Sudanese words he used to use in Sudan also occur, especially the word ‘/ʃinu/’ <شينو> (‘what’).

The SA code (with an Egyptian accent) is even more noticeable in other incidents where SA is used to communicate with English-speaking characters, such as the conversation between H/KAQ and his sister Katy in the scene in Figure 6.2. In this scene, the words in bold are either vocabulary used in the Egyptian Dialect (not SA) or words that are in SA but pronounced with an Egyptian accent. Table 6.3 demonstrates how these words were pronounced differently in SA and ED.

The scene in Figure 6.2 shows two examples of interference from the actor and actress’s first dialect, which is the Egyptian Dialect. The first is the choice of vocabulary, as in ‘no travelling ... no Sudan’, where the negation tool /ma/ is used instead of /la/. The second is the use of the same word in SA and the Egyptian Dialect but pronounced differently. In the case of consonants, this can be heard in the pronunciation of ‘very’, where /g/ is used instead of /dʒ/, and ‘keep’, where /^sɔ/ is velarized. In the case of vowels, it can be heard in phrases like ‘I can’, where the vowel in the last syllable is shortened, so it is /i/ instead of /i:/, and the same with the word ‘really’, where the vowel in the first syllable is shortened to sound like /a/ instead of /a:/. The phrase ‘his colour’ has a unique modification in pronunciation, where the vowel in the first syllable changes from /a/ to /u/, along with the rounded consonant /w/. The consonant /w/ is elided and the word sounds like /lunu/ instead of /lawnu/. The scene in Figure 6.2 reveals how SA was used in different incidents and how the code that was produced reflected the characters’ Egyptian Dialect through the choice of vocabulary or pronunciation of words that are identical



مرحباً، مرحباً بك أين كنت طوال هذه المدة؟ أنا أقف هنا في انتظارك وتقد تأخرت كثيراً. أعطني هذه العصا.	الشيخ عبد القادر
أنا لا أستطيع السير بدون العصا	هاربرت
غير صحيح من قال لك هذا؟	الشيخ عبد القادر
أنت تقف هنا في انتظاري، اتعرفني؟	هاربرت
طبعاً أعرفك وأعرف ماذا تريد	الشيخ عبد القادر
أريد أن أموت	هاربرت
هنا لا يوجد موت، ما دمت وصلت هنا سوف تعيش إلى الأبد. أعطني هذه العصا.	الشيخ عبد القادر

SAQ	Welcome, welcome. Where have you been all this time? I am standing here waiting for you. You are too late. Give me this stick.
H/KAQ	I cannot walk without the stick.
SAQ	That is not true. Who told you this?
H/KAQ	You were standing here waiting for me. Do you know me?
SAQ	Sure, I know you. And I know what you want.
H/KAQ	I want to die.
SAQ	Here, there is no death, since you arrived here you will live forever. Give me this stick.

Figure 6.1 Scene where H/KAQ dreams about talking to SAQ before moving to live in Sudan.

in orthography in both varieties but different in pronunciation. The scenes in Figure 6.3 and Figure 6.4 focus on the use of MSD (Marked Sudanese Dialect) and MSED (Marked Sa'idi Egyptian Dialect) when H/KAQ travels to Sudan and then to Upper Egypt.

The scene in Figure 6.3 shows examples of the vocabulary that H/KAQ uses in MSD; e.g., the word 'what' (/shenu/) and the negation tool /ma/ (or 'not') in 'not a dream' (/ma hilm/) and 'I did NOT see it' (/ma fuft/). Syntactical and grammatical features in the scene in Figure 6.3 are also noticeable. For example, 'I saw', /ana faf/ instead of /ana fuft/, where the former should be used to describe a male third person (he saw), while the /t/ at the end (which



مافيش وداع مافيش سفر مافيش سودان	كاتي
خلاص كاتي، مافيش سودان مافيش سفر	هاربرت
بجد	كاتي
هل تستطيعين الاحتفاظ بالنقطة؟ مارجريت لا تحبها	هاربرت
مارجريت أنانية	كاتي
انت حنونة وطيبة جداً، تذكريني بأمي. هل تعرفين بأني رأيت ملك الموت في الحلم	هاربرت
ملك الموت؟	كاتي
نعم لونه أسمر	هاربرت

Katy	There is no goodbye, no traveling, no Sudan.
H/KAQ	Alright Katy. No Sudan, no traveling.
Katy	Really?
H/KAQ	Can you keep the cat? Margret does not love her.
Katy	Margret is selfish.
H/KAQ	You are very kind. You reminded me of my mother. Do you know I have seen the angel of death in my dream?
Katy	Angel of death?
H/KAQ	Yes. He was black.

Figure 6.2 Scene where H/KAQ is talking to his sister Katy before travelling to Sudan.

should have been used) indicates a first-person speaker and is 'I' in 'I saw'. Another example is the demonstrative pronoun /di/ (or 'this' in English), which is /haða/ in SA. In this example, /di/ is used as a demonstrative to point at the dream (which is masculine), so, in the Sudanese Dialect, /da/ rather than /di/ should have been used. In <أنا كان صاحي> ('I was awake'), one would expect /kunt/ to be used instead of /kan/, as the former is used for the first person (I was) and the latter is used with the masculine third person (he was).

The conversation in Figure 6.4 is between H/KAQ and Kamal in Upper Egypt. In this scene, while Kamal is using the Sa'idi Egyptian Dialect (SED), H/KAQ is using the marked version of this code (which is MSED). In this conversation, H/KAQ is trying to tell Kamal the bad news about the death of his father. To reduce the negative effect of this news on Kamal, H/KAQ starts

Table 6.3 Pronunciation of SA by H/KAQ and Katy

<i>Standard Arabic (produced by H/KAQ and Katy, with interference from accented Egyptian Dialect)</i>	<i>Standard Arabic</i>
مافيش سفر مافيش وداع /mafij' wadaʕ mafij' safar/	لا وداع لا سفر /la wadaʕ la safar/ Different vocabulary in SA and ED in the word /la/ or /mafijʃ/, which means 'there is no ...'.
خلاص كاتي /xalasʕ Ki:ti/	حسناً يا كاتي /hasanan ya Ki:ti/ Different vocabulary in SA and ED in the word /hasanan/ or /xalasʕ/, which means 'all right'.
بجد /bigad/	حقاً /haqqan/ Different vocabulary in SA and ED.
تستطيعين الاحتفاظ /tastatʕi:ʕin al ehtifaðʕ/	تستطيعين الاحتفاظ /tastatʕi:ʕi:n al ehtifa:ðʕ/ The same orthography but different vowel pronunciation.
أنايية /ananiyya/	أنايية /ana:niyya/ The same orthography as in SA but different vowel pronunciation.
جداً /geddan/ – with shadda on /d/	جداً /djiddan/ – with shadda on /d/ The same orthography as in SA and ED but different vowel pronunciation.
لونه /lunu/	لونه /lawnu/ The same orthography as in SA but different pronunciation.

to remind Kamal about a Surat in the Quran that talks about souls going to heaven after death, and the belief that dead people can be met in the hereafter in heaven, a better place than the current life.

Table 6.4 shows that the utterances of H/KAQ are identical in SD and SED, but the vocabulary in SA, in the second column, is different either in pronunciation (as in the word <يقول> or 'says', which is /jaqu:l/ in SA but /jugu:l/ in the other two variations) or vocabulary (as in the words <ينتقل إلى>, which mean 'to go', and <أريد>, which mean 'I want to').

In Figure 6.5, Margaret is talking in SA to H/KAQ, who is not paying her any attention and is crying while whispering about Shahwaniya being murdered. The scene in Figure 6.5 reflects H/KAQ's spiritual closeness to God, his purification, and his withdrawal from his surrounding context that exemplifies God's characteristics of knowing the unseen realities, which are



في المصحف في القرآن ربنا كان يجول حاجة عن واحد يموت يروح جنة
 الخواجة عبد القادر
 إنت عاوز إيه يا خواجة عبد القادر
 كمال
 أنا عاوز يحفظ آية واحد يموت يروح جنة
 الخواجة عبد القادر
 (يحاول التذكر) موت وجنة ... موت وجنة سورة الفجر، آخر سورة الفجر
 كمال
 أنا عاوز يحفظ آخر سورة الفجر
 الخواجة عبد القادر

H/KAQ In the Holy Book in the Quran, God was saying something about if the person dies, he goes to heaven
 Kamal What do you want Khawaja Abdulqader?
 H/KAQ I want to memorize the Aya that says that one goes to heaven when he dies.
 Kamal [trying to remember] death and heaven ... death and heaven ... Sourate Al-Fajr, the end of Sourate Al-Fajr.
 H/KAQ [confirming] I want to memorize the end of Sourate Al-Fajr.

Figure 6.4 Scene where H/KAQ asks Kamal about Surat Al-Fajr.

Table 6.4 Variation in the vocabulary used in SA, SD, and SED

Utterance by H/KAQ	SA	SD	SED
يجول /jugu:l/ (He says)	يقول /jaqu:l/	يجول /juyu:l/	يجول /jugu:l/
يروح /jiru:h/ (He goes)	ينتقل إلى /jantiqil ?ila/	يمشي* /yamfi/	يروح /jiru:h/ or يمشي* /yamfi/
عاوز /ʕa:wiz/ (I want)	أريد /?uri:d/	عاوز /ʕa:wiz/ Or عايز /ʕa:jiz/	عاوز /ʕa:wiz/

Note: * In SA, <يمشي> (/yamfi/) means 'he walks'.


		
MSED	(باكيا) مسكين شهوانية، مسكين حبيبي، عاش تعبان، مات مظلوم	هاربرت / خواجه عبد القادر
SA	كلمني بلغتنا ... أنا لا أفهم هذيانك	مارجريت
MSED	(باكيا) الله يرحمك شهوانية ... الله يرحمك حبيبي	هاربرت / خواجه عبد القادر
SA	(وهي تصرخ) أنا لا أفهمك	مارجريت
SA	لماذا اتيت مارجريت؟	هاربرت / خواجه عبد القادر
SA	لماذا تكرر هذا السؤال ولا تصدق إجاباتي؟	مارجريت
H/KAQ	[Crying] poor Shahwaniya, poor dear, she lived a hard life and was unjustly killed	MSED
Margret	[Screaming] talk to me in a language that I understand ... I cannot understand you.	SA
H/KAQ	[Crying] may your soul rest in peace, Shahwaniya ... May your soul rest in peace my dear.	MSED
Margret	[Screaming] I cannot understand you.	SA
H/KAQ	[Speaking angrily to Margret] Why did you come, Margret?	SA
Margret	Why do you keep asking me this question and yet do not believe my answers?	SA

Figure 6.5 Scene where H/KAQ ignores Margret as he thinks about the death of Shahwaniya.

The scene in Figure 6.5 shows differences in vocabulary and pronunciation between SA and other Arabic variations. The same level of difference exists between the Gulf dialects and other codes. Table 6.5 includes examples of words from the five scenes and the differences/similarities between them in SA, SD, ED, and one variation of the Gulf dialect, the Emirati Dialect, which is used in the UAE. Table 6.5 shows the similarities and differences between the words in each code. One example is the pronunciation of demonstratives, which is /di/ (for a singular feminine) in the Sudanese and Egyptian dialects. In the Emirati dialect, it is /haði/ (for feminine), which is close to the SA /haðihi/. The word <عاوز> /ʕawiz/ ('I want') is identical in the Sudanese and Egyptian dialects, and the word <أريد> /ʔari:d/ in the Emirati dialect is similar to that in SA (/ʔari:d/), with a difference in the short vowel /u/.

In Table 6.5, another variation for the word <لا أستطيع> (/la ʔastatʕi:ʕ/, which means 'I cannot') in SA is <لا أقدر> (/ʔaqder/), which is also used in the Sudanese

Table 6.5 Differences/similarities in the vocabulary used in the four codes

Utterance by H/K/A/Q	SA	Sudanese	Egyptian	Emirati
ما 'Not' / 'No' (negation tool – أداة نفي)	ما /ma/	ما /ma/	مش /muʃ/	ما /ma/
لا أستطيع /la ʔastatʕi:ʕ/ 'I cannot' (for first- person speaker, /la/ at start is a negation tool)	لا أستطيع /la ʔastatʕi:ʕ/ (another word is لا أقدر /la ʔaqder/)	ما أقدر written as /ma ʔaqder/ but pronounced /ma ʔagder/	ما أقدرش in Sa'idi Dialect and written as /m ʔaqderʃ/ but pronounced /ma ʔagderʃ/ In some other parts of Egypt (e.g., Cairo), it is pronounced /ma ʔdarʃ/	ما أقدر written as /ma ʔaqder/ but pronounced /ma ʔagder/ Another word is أروم The /ʔ/ at the start of the word is omitted and pronounced /ma ru:m/
أتعرفني /ʔataʕrifuni/ 'Do you know me?'	أتعرفني /ʔataʕrifuni/	تعرفني /tiʕrafni/	تعرفني /tiʕrafni/	تعرفني /tiʕarifni/ Same orthography in Egyptian and Sudanese dialect. Differs in pronunciation –consonant cluster used instead of vowel /i/
دي /di/ 'This is'	هذه /haðihi/	دي /di/	دي /di/	هذي /haði/
شينو /ʃinu/ 'What'	ماذا /maða/	شينو /ʃinu/	إيه /ʔi:h/	شينو /ʃinu/
عاوز /ʕawiz/ 'I want'	أريد /ʔuri:d/	عاوز /ʕawiz/	عاوز /ʕawiz/	أريد /ʔuri:d/

and Emirati dialects, but the /q/ is pronounced as /g/ and the negation tool /ma/ is used instead of /la/. The latter dialect also has another common word to express the same meaning, <ما روم> (/maru:m/), which does not exist in any of the other codes. The same word is also generated in the Egyptian dialect but with slight changes in sound, so it is pronounced /mʔaqderʃ/ in the Upper Egypt code but /ma ʔagderʃ/ in other parts such as Cairo.

Discussion

The dominance of Egyptian media production, described by Shafik (2017), Allam (2019) and Amin (2002) at the start of this chapter, contributed to the enhanced intelligibility of the Arabic varieties in two senses. First, it increased Arabs' exposure to the Egyptian dialect, making it the most recognized version in the Arab world (Amin, 2002). Second, it allowed for several variations of Arabic to enter the homes of Arabs, including those in the Gulf, as in the case of *Khawaja Abdulqader*, with SA, SED and SD being used. Thus, despite the large area of the Arab region and the demographical distribution of the Arabs, exposure and familiarity reduces the intelligibility threshold.

Throughout the episodes, H/KAQ uses the Arabic code associated with the social group he is interacting with, thereby claiming the social identity of that group (Barber, 2018, Giles et al., 1991). Religious identity was prominent in revealing the strong linkage between the Arabic language and the religion of Islam. Arabic as the language of the Quran made non-Arab Muslims view Arab Muslims as having a close association with Islam (Makdisi, 1996, 2002). In the scene in Figure 6.4, where H/KAQ refers to Sourate Al-Fajr in the Holy Quran while delivering the news to Kamal about the death of his father, his language choices indicate his wish to belong to Kamal's ethnic group, and he eventually gains more acceptance and trust during the conversation. Along with language use, the act of Sufism appears often as the framework within which multiple identities are revealed through the use of different codes: SA, SED, and MESD.

Despite morphological and phonological differences across Arabic dialects, differences in linguistic properties are not rigid and border lines are grey, allowing overlap of and similarities between the language systems of different codes. Acting beyond differences across codes, the Arabic language, rather than codes, is the main representation of Arab identity. Despite the link between Arabic and Islam (Albirini, 2016), and the positive attitudes towards SA as a prestigious variety of Arabic (Bassiouney, 2020), Arabic in its generic form is an umbrella that represents Alqawmiyya (Arab nationalism) (Suleiman, 2003), regardless of religion, ethnicity, or dialect (Zoghbor, 2018a).

The morphological and phonological analysis of the scenes indicates that SA was used as a neutral language that does not reflect the identity of any specific Arabic region. Considering that SA is a variety of Arabic with no native speakers (Bassiouney 2018, 2020), it was manipulated throughout the episodes to perform different types of identities. SA was used in the episodes by H/KAQ and all the other non-Arab characters (e.g., Margaret and Katy) as their mother tongue instead of English. This indicates that these characters' identities are not connected to the Arab regions in this drama and do not belong to the Arab communities of Sudan and then of Egypt. SA was introduced as an independent language rather than a variety within Arabic, and 'intelligibility' was the criteria that revealed this distinction. As Edwards (2018) states, one of

the definitions of ‘language’ and ‘dialect’ is based on if one understands it or not. Evidence of this was provided in the scene in Figure 6.5, when Margaret tells H/KAQ that she does not understand the language he is using when he is crying and whispering while thinking of ‘Shahwania’. Further evidence that Arabic was considered an independent code is when H/KAQ dreams about SAQ (Figure 6.1) before travelling to Sudan. In his dream, SA is spoken by both of them, but in reality, after travelling to Sudan, SAQ speaks in SD, and H/KAQ, as a user of SD as a foreign language, speaks in MSD.

More evidence regarding the representation of SA as an independent code rather than a variety was provided by non-Arab characters such as H/KAQ. For example, in scenes where H/KAQ is supposed to speak in his native language (which the audience understands to be English), SA is used instead. Since SA in these scenes is performing the role of the first language, it is spoken in the same way a language is spoken by native speakers who show control over the language usage (without grammar mistakes, with a wide vocabulary range suitable for the context, and with standardized pronunciation). Having said this, there may be some interference from the Egyptian dialect, the dialect of the non-Arab actors and actresses in this episode who use sounds such as /g/ instead of /dʒ/, as in the conversation between H/KAQ and his sister Katy. While H/KAQ shows control over SA, as it is used as the character’s first language in place of English, he uses accented SA when reading from the Quran with Kamal. The pronunciation of proper names, such as Abdulqader, is further evidence of the neutral role of SA; /q/ is pronounced as in SA when H/KAQ speaks in SA to an English-speaking character, but the name is pronounced with a /g/ when he speaks in MS or MES with Sudanese or Sa’idi characters.

Conclusion

The chapter has established how different types of Arabic language (SA, SD, and SED) are used to portray different types of identities in the character of H/KAQ in the TV series *Khawaja Abdulqader*. This chapter analysed the phonological and lexical features in five scenes that represented three Arabic variations – SA, Sudanese Dialect, and Egyptian Dialect – and shed light on the differences and similarities between these and Gulf varieties such as the Emirati dialect.

Overall, the lexicon between the codes used were either completely different or identical in orthography, but different in the pronunciation of consonants or vowels across these variations. As a result, it was revealed that the distinction in phonology and lexicon, which are also important elements in the production of identity, is not rigid but rather overlaps across the variations as well as in the Gulf varieties of Arabic such as the Emirati dialect. This indicates that linguistic features and variation unify, rather than distance, the identities that are produced when Arabic variations are used in communication.

References

- Abu-Haidar, F. (1991). *Christian Arabic of Baghdad*. Otto Harrassowitz.
- Albirini, A. (2016). *Modern Arabic sociolinguistics: Diglossia, variation, codeswitching, attitudes and identity*. Routledge.
- Aldawri, A. (1984). *Al-takween at-taariikhi li-l-'umma l-'Arabiyya: Diraasa fi l-hawiyya wa-l-wa'y* [The historical establishment of the Arab nation: A study in identity and awareness]. Markaz Diraasaat Al-Wahda Al-'Arabiyya.
- Allam, R. (2019). Entertainment media industry in Egypt: Overview, challenges and future performance. In P. Sigismondi (Ed.), *World entertainment media: Global, regional and local perspectives* (pp. 124–134). Routledge.
- Alshargi, F., Dibas, S., Alkhereyf, S., Faraj, R., Abdulkareem, B., Yagi, S., Kacha, O., Habash, N., & Rambow, O. (2019). *Morphologically annotated corpora for seven Arabic dialects: Taizi, Sanaani, Najdi, Jordanian, Syrian, Iraqi and Moroccan*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Fourth Arabic Natural Language Processing Workshop.
- Alsohaibani, A. (2016). A critical review on the ideological and symbolic role of the Arabic language in national identity in the Arab world. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education*, 3(4), 19–26.
- Amin, H. (2002). Egypt, status of media. In D. Johnston (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of international media and communications* (pp. 479–488). Academic Press.
- Anishchenkova, V. (2020). *Modern Saudi Arabia*. ABC-CLIO.
- Antaki, C., & Widdicombe, S. (1998). Identity as an achievement and as a tool. In C. Antaki & S. Widdicombe (Eds.), *Identities in talk* (pp. 1, 14). Sage.
- Aoun, J., Benmamoun, E., & Choueiri, L. (2010). *The syntax of Arabic*. Cambridge University Press.
- Barber, N. (2018). Performing identity on screen. In R. Bassiouney (Ed.), *Identity and dialect performance: A study of communities and dialects* (pp. 256–285). Routledge.
- Barth, F. (1998). *Ethnic groups and boundaries: The social organization of culture difference*. Waveland Press.
- Bassiouney, R. (2018). Identity, repertoire, and performance. In R. Bassiouney (Ed.), *Identity and dialect performance: A study of communities and dialects* (pp. 286–302). Routledge.
- Bassiouney, R. (2020). *Arabic sociolinguistics: Topics in diglossia, gender, identity, and politics*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Benmamoun, E. (2000). *The feature structure of functional categories: A comparative study of Arabic dialects*. Oxford University Press.
- Block, D. (2009). *Second language identities*. Bloomsbury.
- Brierley, C., Sawalha, M., Heselwood, B., & Atwell, E. (2016). A verified Arabic-IPA mapping for Arabic transcription technology, informed by Quranic recitation, traditional Arabic linguistics, and modern phonetics. *Journal of Semitic Studies*, 61(1), 157–186.
- Bucholtz, M., & Hall, K. (2004). Language and identity. In A. Duranti (Ed.), *A companion to linguistic anthropology* (pp. 369–394). Blackwell.
- Dervin, F. (2012). Cultural identity, representation and othering. In J. Jackson (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and intercultural communication* (pp. 181–194). Routledge.
- Edwards, J. (2009). *Language and identity: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

- Edwards, J. (2018). Nonstandard dialect and identity. In R. Bassiouney (Ed.), *Identity and dialect performance: A study of communities and dialects* (pp. 17–34). Routledge.
- Fairclough, N. (1995). *Critical discourse analysis*. Longman.
- Giles, H., Coupland, J., & Coupland, N. (1991). Accommodation theory: Communication, context and consequences. In H. Giles, J. Coupland & N. Coupland (Eds.), *Context of accommodation in applied sociolinguistics (Studies in emotion and social interaction)* (pp. 1–68). Cambridge University Press.
- Hammond, A. (2007). *Popular culture in the Arab world: Arts, politics, and the media*. American University of Cairo Press.
- Hill, J. (2019). Sufism between past and modernity. In M. Woodward & R. Lukens-Bull (Eds.), *Handbook of contemporary Islam and Muslim lives* (pp. 1–26). Springer.
- Holes, C. D. (1983). Patterns of communal language variation in Bahrain. *Language in Society*, 12(4), 433–457.
- Holes, C. (1987). *Language variation and change in a modernising Arab state: The case of Bahrain*. Routledge.
- Holes, C. (2004). *Modern Arabic: Structures, functions, and varieties*. Georgetown University Press.
- Holmes, J. (2006). Workplace narratives, professional identity, and relational practice. In A. de Fina, D. Schiffrin & M. Bamberg (Eds.), *Discourse and identity* (pp. 166–187). Cambridge University Press.
- Joseph, J. (2004). *Language and identity: National, ethnic, religious*. Springer.
- Kroskrity, P. (2000). Regimenting languages: Language ideology perspectives. In P. Kroskrity (Ed.), *Regimes of language* (pp. 1–34). School of American Research Press.
- Makdisi, U. (1996). Reconstructing the nation-state: The modernity of sectarianism in Lebanon. *Middle East Report*, 200, 23–30.
- Makdisi, U. (2002). After 1860: Debating religion, reform, and nationalism in the Ottoman Empire. *International Journal of Middle East Studies*, 34(4), 601–617.
- Mason, H. W. (1995). *Al-Hallaj*. Curzon Press.
- Parkinson, D. (1991). Searching for modern fuṣḥa: Real-life formal Arabic. *al-'Arabiyya*, 24, 31–64.
- Quinn, S., Walters, T., & Whiteoak, J. (2003). Tale of three (media) cities. *Australian Studies in Journalism*, 12, 129–149.
- Schulz, D. E. (1981). *Disglossia and variation in formal spoken Arabic in Egypt*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin.
- Shafik, V. (2007). *Popular Egyptian cinema: Gender, class, and nation*. Oxford University Press.
- Shafik, V. (2017). *Arab cinema: History and cultural identity*. American University of Cairo Press.
- Simon, B. (2004). *Identity in modern society: A social psychological perspective*. Blackwell.
- Smakman, D., & van der Meulen, M. (2018). The elusive dialect border. In R. Bassiouney (Ed.), *Identity and dialect performance: A study of communities and dialects*. Routledge.
- Smith, L., & Nelson, C. (2008). International intelligibility of English: Directions and resources. *World Englishes*, 4(3), 333–342.
- Soltan, U. (2007). *On formal feature licensing in minimalism: Aspects of standard Arabic morphosyntax*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland.
- Suleiman, Y. (2003). *The Arabic language and national identity*. Edinburgh University Press.

- Toffolo, C. E. (2008). *The Arab league*. Infobase Publishing.
- World Population Review. (2020). *Arab Countries 2020*. <https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/arab-countries>
- Zoghbor, W. (2016, May). تطويع صوتيات اللغة الإنجليزية لتعزيز الهوية العربية [Enhancing the Arabic identity through the pronunciation of English]. Paper presented at the 5th International Conference of the Arabic Language, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. www.alarabiahconference.org/uploads/conference_research-2092323376-1468933124-2732.pdf
- Zoghbor, W. (2018a). Revisiting English as a foreign language (EFL) vs. English lingua franca (ELF): The case for pronunciation (LFC). *Intellectual Discourse*, 26(2), 829–858.
- Zoghbor, W. (2018b). Teaching English pronunciation to multi-dialect first language learners: The revival of the lingua franca core (LFC). *System*, 78, 1–14.