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## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in glosses of Worrorra sentence examples:

| $\varnothing$ | Zero morph/placeholder |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | First person (singular) |
| 2 | Second person (singular) |
| 3 | Third person (singular) |
| 3a | Third person singular, masculine gender |
| 3 f | Third person singular, feminine gender |
| 3 m | Third person singular, terrestrial class |
| 3w | Third person singular, celestial class |
| ALL | Allative |
| ASSOC | Associative postposition |
| AUG | Augmenting morpheme |
| C | Consonant |
| CC | Consonant cluster |
| CFT | Counterfactual mood morpheme |
| CUST | Customary |
| DAT | Non-subcategorized object (indirect object) morpheme |
| DD | Discourse diectic |
| DEF | Definite article/proximal demonstrative |
| DIR | Directional |
| DIST | Distal demonstrative |
| DU | Dual number |
| EMPH | Emphatic |
| ENQ | Interrogative adverb |
| EP | Epenthetic morpheme |
| ESS | Essive postposition |
| exc | Exclusive |
| EXIST | Existential |
| FOCUS | Morpheme marking definite and specific reference |
| FUT | Future/optative time-reference adverb |
| G | Glide phoneme |
| HCOM | Human comitative |
| HORT | Hortative |
| inc | Inclusive |


| INST | Instrumental postposition |
| :---: | :---: |
| INV | Inverse morpheme |
| KIN | Kinship suffix |
| KIN.POSS | Possessive kin suffix |
| L | Liquid (lateral or rhotic) phoneme |
| LAT | Lateral demonstrative |
| LOC | Locative |
| MID | Middle voice morpheme |
| MIR | Evidential present-tense morpheme |
| N | Assimilatory nasal phoneme; nasal phoneme |
| NAR | Anaphoric pronoun |
| NEG | Negative |
| NON.P | Non-past |
| NUM | Outranked actor/collective number/unit augmented |
| OPT | Optative mood morpheme |
| p | Plural |
| PAST | Past tense-marking morpheme |
| PERF | Perfect aspect |
| PERFV | Perfective aspect |
| pin | Plural inclusive (first person) |
| PL | Plural |
| PM | Predicate marker |
| PRED | predicate |
| PRES | Present tense |
| PRIV | Privative suffix |
| PRO | Pro-form |
| PROB | Irrealis modality: 'probably, possibly' |
| PROG | Continuous aspect-marking morpheme |
| PURP | Purposive |
| px | Plural exclusive (first \& third persons) |
| QUANT | Quantifier |
| REDUP | Reduplicated morpheme |
| REF | Contextual deictic |
| REL | Relativizing suffix |
| RESULT | Resultative postposition |
| RESUM | Identity maintenance morpheme |
| RPTV | Repetitive |
| SJTV | Subjunctive mood morpheme |
| SPEC | Specific reference |
| T | Stop phoneme |
| TNS | Tense-marking morpheme |
| TRI | Trial number |
| U/AUG | Unit augmented |
| V | Vowel; verb |
| VCOMP | Sentential complement index |
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## One: introduction

The core reference of the word Worrorra (/Wrrorra/) is linguistic, being applied traditionally to the language spoken as a first language by about a dozen related extended families in Australia's northwest Kimberley region. The extended reference of the word, however, was applied as well to the people, the land and the culture of those families. At the time of sustained European contact (1912) there were an estimated 300 people who spoke Worrorra as their first language, and probably at least twice that number again who spoke it as a second language.

The language described here is that attested by Patsy Lulpunda (phonemic /lalbanda/), Daisy Utemorrah and Amy Peters, all now deceased, at Mowanjum near Derby in Western Australia. The study was undertaken initially at the request of their niece Heather Umbagai, and the original project was funded by the Kimberley Language Resource Centre at Halls Creek.

Worrorra people moved out of their traditional lands to Mowanjum in 1956, and today live mostly at Mowanjum and Derby, while some live on Mount Barnett station and at Kalumburu. Since 1956 Worrorra people have lived at Mowanjum in close daily contact with people who spoke either Ungarinyin or Wunambal as their first language, and by the 1970s Ungarinyin had become the lingua franca there. ${ }^{1}$ From the 1970 s the number of people speaking Worrorra as their first language, fluently and with full control over it, declined dramatically until by the beginning of the twenty-first century there were no full speakers of the language left. Nevertheless there are still a number of middle-aged people with partial or passive knowledge of Worrorra, and others who may have forgotten what they once knew as teenagers. Today the lingue franche of Mowanjum and Derby are Kriol and English.

### 1.1 Patsy Lulpunda, Amy Peters and Daisy Utemorrah

Patsy Lulpunda was born probably at about the turn of the twentieth century. She was a girl when the first Europeans entered Worrorra country in 1912, and from her accounts she would seem to have been about ten years old at that time or perhaps a little older. Her family celebrated her 100th birthday in 1998, and that date is probably close to being correct. She clearly remembered life in her own country before the arrival of Europeans. She remembered the arrival of the first white people, and the fear that she and her friends felt when they first saw them. She remembered staying at Mingkunya (Port George IV) near the first missionary encampment, and later their move to Kunmunya. Her oral histories are recorded in a number of texts held at Mowanjum and at the Kimberley Language Centre.

Patsy was born in the land of Grarl, also called Meegrarlya or Meegrarlba, on the northern shore of the St George's Basin, called Ngarlangkarnanya. Her traditional family name was Arrigrarlya, the people of the land of Grarl. This is the most northerly of all the Worrorra-speaking clan countries,

[^0]and her mother was Wunambal. She was a member of the Adbalarriya moiety. Patsy's clan title, therefore, was Nyeegrarlja, and her moiety title was Nyimalaja. Patsy's abi name, nyingumbu abiyanangka in Worrorra, the name she inherited from one of her (classificatory) fathers' fathers' sisters, was Wungkarndalja. Her great name, or wungkurru (dreaming) name, called nyingumbu rdaarreya, was Angaburra 'honey bee,' and the name given to her in commemoration of the place where her mother is buried, Jinbeenu, is the name of an island off the coast. A photograph of Patsy taken in 1938 by Andreas Lommel is reproduced here as Figure 02.

Amy Peters was Patsy's niece. Amy and Patsy called each other reciprocally bamaraanya 'father's sister/brother's daughter,' or 'aunty' in English. Amy was born at Kunmunya in the mid-1920s. Like Patsy, her clan title was Nyeegrarlja, and her moiety title was Nyimalaja. Her abi name was Nyirlawarnduwunya, and her great name was Rurrngawala. Like Patsy, Amy was a widow when I knew her. Amy was Heather's father's mother's sister. Heather referred to her by the kinship term mangkaanya, and Amy referred to Heather as kulaanya (woman's son's daughter). Love (1936:92) presents a charming description of Amy as a baby, with her sister and their father, Peter Malarnaaleya.

Daisy Utemorrah was an internationally renowned children's author, whose published titles include Dumbi, The Snake that Bit the Sun and a collection of poems and stories called Don't Go Round the Edges. She was born at Kunmunya in February 1922. Her family's traditional land is Loolim, near the coast between Kuri Bay and the George Water. Their traditional name is Arrlooliya, and they are also of the Arrbalarriya moiety. Daisy's moiety title, therefore, was Nyimalaja, and her clan title was Nyiloolinya. Her $a b i$ name was Kowan, and her great name was Orlumbun. There is a lovely picture of Daisy as a child in Love 1936: she is the little girl on the right in the photo titled 'Two little Worora girls and a boy,' opposite page 116.

When I met Daisy she had suffered a stroke and was partially paralysed. She recorded over twenty traditional Worrorra stories and a number of oral histories, and edited and translated them with a great deal of patience and care. We finished work on her stories at the end of 1993, and she died at the beginning of 1994. She had strong family links to Wunambal country in the north, and before she died she travelled up to her husband's country on the Mitchell Plateau.

The four of us worked together at Mowanjum as a team, recording, editing, transcribing and translating Worrorra texts. Patsy, Amy and Daisy were unfailingly kind and patient, and educated me with a great deal of good humour. The time I spent with them was one of the most delightful and fascinating I have ever enjoyed.

Patsy and Daisy have links to Wunambal country in the north, and the possibility exists that their speech may be characteristic of a particular district or subset of speakers within Worrorra society. Despite this, the language recorded here is clearly that recorded by Love in the 1930s. The few discrepancies noted here between Patsy's, Amy's and Daisy's speech on the one hand, and Love's grammar on the other, are examined as they occur in descriptive context, but there is always the possibility that varietal distinctions may have an effect over and above what is noted there. If such discrepancies are indeed representative of real differences, their effect on the whole is very slight.

### 1.2 Geography

Worrorra-speaking families lived traditionally on and near the north-west Kimberley coast between the Rothsay Water in the north, and the south-western shores of Collier Bay in the south. In the south their country extended inland some 40 kilometres from the coast, and in the north, roughly some 60 kilometres inland, and 50 or so kilometres up the Prince Regent River, from where it empties into the St George Basin. This region includes the lower and middle reaches of the Prince Regent River, Mana Malanduma, the St George Basin, Ngarlangkarnanya, and the two spectacular mesas on its north shore, Mount Trafalgar, Ngayangkarnanya, and Mount Waterloo, Nubungarri. It includes the George Water and the Glenelg River, Arnngarrngoyu, and the Walcott inlet. On the coast Worrorra country includes Wurrulku aaku ngarlangarlangarri, 'Augustus Island of the talking water,' referring to a well-known fresh-water spring on this large island, as well as many other offshore islands, and the Montgomery Islands and reefs, Yawijaba mana, particularly rich in marine food.

The region is in Australia's arid tropical zone, located roughly around the intersection of latitude $16^{\circ}$ south and longitude $125^{\circ}$ east. The climate is consistently and relentlessly hot, all year round. Rainfall, however, is highly seasonal, with all significant rain falling in heavy downpours in the monsoonal wet season, aajaajirri, from about mid-December to April. There is a brief cool season, mawingki, in June and July, when for a few weeks nights are cooler than at other times. And from October to mid-December is mirringunu, the hot season, or build-up to the wet.

The land is mostly steep and rocky, with large sandstone rocks and boulders. The hillsides support spinifex grass and a thin cover of white-trunked bloodwood eucalypts, woollybutts and boabs (Adansonia gregorii). Creeks and streams dissect the hills, most of which are dry beds for most of the year, but become swollen torrents in the wet season. For most of the year the larger rivers, most notably the Glenelg, consist of strings of pools dotted along dry, sandy beds under a canopy of Maleleuca paperbarks. These also become swollen and very wide in the wet season. The river flats and channels support quite different ecologies than the hills. Here vegetation is denser, tree cover is closer, and there is an abundance of lush grass. Dry tropical rainforest communities are found in small, isolated patches along the watercourses. In flat areas between the hills are extensive grassy plains, thinly scattered with bloodwoods and woollybutts. During and after the wet season these plains are covered in long grass (mana ngalarrama), which grows two to three metres high. Traditionally this grass was burned off in May and June, after the wet season when it had dried out, to drive kangaroos, and to clear the land to make travel easier.

The Kimberley plateau is a drowned sandstone escarpment, and the present coastline is rugged, deeply indented and fringed with islands in places. Major inlets are the St George Basin, George Water, Doubtful Bay and the Walcott Inlet. The coastline presents a mixture of deep, steep-sided fjordlets, wide sandy beaches, many muddy bays clogged with mangroves, rugged headlands and, especially on the southern coast and in the major inlets, broad, shallow bays with wide expanses of mud and mangroves exposed at low tide. The region is famous for its tides: 12 -metre spring tides are not uncommon on this coast.

Three years after their first sustained contact with Europeans, Love (1917:21) estimated a Worrorra-speaking population of 300 , less than 50 of whom were children under 12 years. He also noted a significant division in the population between those living on the coast and offshore islands, obtaining most of their living from the sea, and a majority who lived inland among the hills and creeks (ibid:32).

Today traditional Worrorra country is the most remote region in Australia: protected by ruggedness, aridity, heat, sandflies and crocodiles, it is accessible only by boat and helicopter; there are no roads. Land tenure is divided between Aboriginal reserve and the Prince Regent Nature Reserve. The country was never leased for pasture, as it is too steep and rugged to muster cattle; today, however, it is infested with feral donkeys. When the publisher Dick Smith was looking for a remote and inaccessible location for a survival project, he chose the site of the Kunmunya mission (Cusack \& Cusack 1989). Its isolation has maintained the land as a wilderness area little different from the way it was in 1912.

According to Love (1917), Worrorra people's diet consisted mainly of various kinds of tubers or yams (inja karnmangku), dug up by women, and these seem to have provided the mainstay and bulk of what people ate. A wide variety of other foods supplemented this diet: various kinds of goannas and marsupials, such as bandicoots and possums, and most importantly, kangaroos. The most prized delicacy was honey (ngeenya nyina), which seems to have been particularly abundant in Worrorra country, and was found in bees' nests and chopped out with stone axes (inja lembalya). On the coast, people's diet was dominated, as expected, by seafood: fish and shellfish. As well, the double raft or kalam was used to hunt turtles and dugongs, which were highly prized.

While women dug for tubers and collected honey and small game, men hunted kangaroos. In May and June they would set fire to the long grass and drive the kangaroos into ambush, killing considerable numbers by this means. Spears (jinalya inja) were tipped with beautifully made leafshaped stone blades, 5 to 10 centimetres long, reminiscent of the Solutrean points of the European upper palaeolithic (Love 1936:74-76). These points are called 'Kimberley points' in English, and generically jimara inja in Worrorra, but named more specifically for the types of stone from which they were made (Love 1917:25). As spear points usually snapped on impact, a good deal of men's time was
spent making these implements. Love reported that it would take a competent craftsman about half an hour to make one of these blades. Men also spent a good deal of time in ceremonial activities, which appear to have been concerned primarily with the maintenance of the natural world, of food species and of other resources.

Love's population figure of 300 may be used cautiously in conjunction with Blundell's area calculation (Blundell 1980:107 - for an area not necessarily corresponding to that occupied traditionally by Worrorra-speaking people) to estimate pre-contact population density. Blundell gives a figure of 2,200 square miles $=5,700 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, which yields a population density of one person/19 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$. Blundell (ibid) also gives a figure for the average size of areas of land owned by patrilineal extended families or clans, as c $400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Using this figure, and reducing the number of Worrorra clans to 12 (see $\$ 1.3$ ) we arrive at a population density of one person $/ 16 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, well in accordance with the above figure. In real terms, the economic potential of the land for hunters and gatherers should be calculated by measuring the length of creek and river frontages, and the coastline. Kangaroos abound in the arid, grassy hills away from the creeks and rivers, but the carrying capacity of this terrain is a function of the amount of water available to kangaroos in adjacent creeks and rockholes. Population was probably more concentrated along the coastline and offshore islands to take advantage of rich marine resources. The economic worth of the land owned by an extended family group was a product of its topography; the creeks, rivers and coastline.

### 1.3 Worrorra society

The north-western Kimberley region constitutes a distinct cultural bloc in northern Australian Aboriginal society, recognized as such by its members, and defined by the possession or knowledge in common of a set of cultural artefacts unique to this region, the most obvious of which are:

- The supernatural Wandjina (Wanjurna) ancestors and culture heroes,
- A common social division into two named, exogamous patrimoieties, called in Worrorra Arrbalarriya and Arrwunarriya,
- A group of languages with a significant number of typological and lexical features in common,
- The manufacture of a beautifully made and prestigious type of stone spear head, called in English a Kimberley point,
- The double-raft or kalam,
- A distinctive type of kinship organization, based on extended, exogamous, virilocal, patrilineally reckoned families located in discrete, named areas of land,
- The wurnarn cycle, a ritualized, long-term, long-distance exchange network, with important social consequences beyond the economic. For an account of this cycle see Blundell \& Layton (1978) and Blundell (1980).

There is certainly a good deal of fuzziness around the edges of the region with respect to the inclusion of these artefacts. For instance the occurrence of kalam was restricted to the coastline between King Sound and the Prince Regent River, which includes places where Bardi (non-Worrorran-speaking) people lived, but excludes Wunambal people, who used a dug-out canoe for sea travel (wunu barrawara in Worrorra) (Love 1939a, Akerman 1975). And there are at the same time clear underlying continuities with the wider northern Australian society generally, that locate the culture of the north Kimberley area firmly within that of surrounding regions.

Kinship appears to be organized around the patrilineal, virilocal, exogamous, extended family or clan as something like a basic-level category for purposes of reckoning relatedness (Rosch 1977, 1978; Blundell \& Layton 1978:232). A P Elkin referred to this kinship system as the Ungarinyin one, constituting one of the five major types of system he found in Australia (Elkin 1954:49-79, Berndt \& Berndt 1964:76).

The region is home to a set of languages that show a degree of similarity: Ungarinyin, Worrorra and Wunambal are the best known, and probably had the largest number of speakers before European contact. But there were as well quite a few other named speech varieties, as well as at least one that
appears not to have been named; most of which, however, are no longer spoken (see map in McGregor 1988:83). A list of these speech varieties, references to descriptive and historical work about them, and their approximate locations and current statuses may be found in McGregor (1988). These north Kimberley or Worrorran languages are conventionally classified into three groups: Wororic in the West, Ungarinyinic in the East and Wunambalic in the North, after the largest languages in each region (McGregor \& Rumsey 2009).

To the south of Worrorra country lived people speaking a related (Wororic) speech variety called Unggumi, and to the south-west, on the northern side of the Yampi Peninsula, people spoke Umiida. On the southern side of the Yampi Peninsula a speech variety called Unggarrangu was spoken, which is also likely to have been Wororic. Yawijibaaya, spoken off the coast in the Montgomery Islands Yawijaba mana - appears to have been a dialect of Worrorra, and Yawijibaaya people are spoken of as if they are, in all essential things, Worrorra. ${ }^{2}$ The Montgomery Islands seem to have had the status of a clan country or estate comparable to other Worrorra-speaking clan countries, and Yawiiibaaya people appear to have had patriclan status in Worrorra society. Further north, another group of islands centred on Champagny Island was apparently home to a speech variety called Winyjarrumi.

Worrorra-speaking people refer to the region to the north of their own as Kamali, and the people who live there are described as speaking Wunambal or Bembarra. Here people speak as well at least one other, unnamed, speech variety, such as was referred to by Cappell \& Coate (1984) as the Forest River Language. Other Wunambalic languages lie to the north-east of Wunambal, and include Gamberre, Wilawila, Miwa, a speech variety called both Gunin or Kuniyin and Kwini (McGregor 1993), and Dayiba (Margaret Sefton pers comm). And in the far east of this region, on the western shores of the Cambridge Gulf, people speak a variety called Yiiji. Inland, south and east of these areas, are the Ungarinyinic languages: Ungarinyin and some seven other named speech varieties.

It is important to note that it is most likely that these terms referred traditionally to speech varieties, that is to ways of talking, and not to any other social category such as 'tribe.' The members of each extended family or clan in this region seem to have been identified by a number of properties peculiar to themselves: most importantly their land; a discrete, named and inalienable estate from which they got their living, and of which they were the custodians. Their spirit-animals or totemic emblems were likewise part of their identity, and their ritual centres were located at specific places on their land. As well, the members of each clan had a particular way of talking as their first language, even though in the course of their lives they were very likely to become multilingual. In some places a large number of clans would all share the same speech variety, and this seems to have been the case with the Worrorra, Ungarinyin and Wunambal languages. In other places a single clan had its own unique way of talking, as seems to have been the case with Yawijibaaya. And in other places again, it is likely that a speech variety was shared by only two or three clans, or maybe a few more, and this was probably the situation of many of the other speech varieties listed in this section.

People in this region are or were born from child-spirit centres located in deep, sacred pools of water, called wungkurru. Lommel's (1997:17-19) description of Wunambal speakers' beliefs in this regard, which are, as far as I am aware, identical to those of Worrorra speakers, is worth quoting at length:
every river course, pond or spring in Northwest Australia belongs to a particular Wondschina picture that is in the vicinity ... Sometimes the distance ... is considerable; often a Wondschina picture on a rockface high up in the mountains corresponds to the watering place in the valley. ... At the bottom of the water [the Wandjinas] are incessantly creating new 'child germs.' Every person originates from such a child germ. ... Through the concept of the origin of a particular Ungud [wungkurr] soul from a particular watering place, its belonging to a particular Wondscbina and its return to this Wondschina after the person's death, an especially close connection is established between a person and his particular 'spiritual' place of origin. ... These persons are the natural owners of the countryside around this watering place. ... With every Wondschina picture there are then also the representations of certain animals and

[^1]plants, ... that were created there ... and henceforth have their soul home there. The particular animals or plants stand in the relationship of 'fathers and brothers' to the people who are the soul children of the Wondschina in question. They are the particular totem animals or totem plants of the people deriving from this soul centre. ... A connection and correspondence between life surrounding a person and his own, ... is taken for granted by them.

These beliefs constitute a mandate for land ownership in the north-west Kimberley: people are physically and spiritually endemic to a location, and this conception or dreaming place cannot be removed from them any more than, say, birth dates or star signs can be taken away from people in Western society.

Above the level of the nuclear family, the basic unit of north Kimberley society was the patrilineally-reckoned, virilocal, exogamous extended family, variously called by anthropologists a 'clan,' 'patriclan,' 'horde,' 'descent group' or 'patriline.' Despite such exuberance of terminology, the essentials of these groups are not particularly exotic, and have much in common with contemporary Australian family structure. On the face of it, the most obvious differences between Worrorra and modern Australian extended families is that in the Worrorra case, women retain their own family titles after marriage, whereas in Western society, until quite recently, women did not. The term 'extended family' is thus more apt than 'clan,' but 'clan' will be used here on occasion to signal the specifically patrilineal, geographically anchored nature of these extended families, and to contrast them with other denotations of the word 'family, including the nuclear family and modern Worrorra family structures that may not reflect traditional arrangements.

Historically, all members of a clan probably descended from a common ancestor, but to emphasize this point is to miss another very important difference between Worrorra and Western extended families. The Western extended family exists first and foremost as a construct in time, that is to say that it is defined genealogically, by descent. The Worrorra extended family, by contrast, exists first and foremost in space, that is, it is defined by its location in, and emotional and religious affiliation to a particular place. What is referred to here as an 'extended family' or 'clan' is essentially a translation of the inalienable Worrorra noun =rambim mana ( $\$ 6.3$, Love 1935:231, 1939b:24), cognate with dambeem mana 'place.'3 Under certain circumstances, residence is itself a sufficient condition for clan membership, in a culturally mandated way (Blundell 1980:113-115, Clendon 2009).

Worrorra-speaking clans were named, and their names were synonymous with specific tracts of land. The morphology of group names is quite elaborate, and is described in $\S 6.2 .4$; but for the time being we may simply note that, for example, the clan names Adbalandiya, Arrlooliya, Larrinyuwaaya and Wurnbangkuwaaya are derived from the names of the places or countries where their members lived, in this instance Malanduma, Loolim, Larrinyim and Wurnbangku respectively. In this context we may also note that geographically, a clan country may probably be described best not by reference to a set of boundaries, but as a spatially contiguous cluster of economically and religiously important places.

In 1915 Love estimated the number of Worrorra-speaking people at c 300 (Love 1917:21). Blundell (1980:107), who worked in the area from 1970, calculated a 1912 population of c 200, for people residing at or visiting the mission at Kunmunya, but without commenting on why this figure should be preferable to Love's. In 1935 Love (1935:230-231) listed 10 Worrorra-speaking clans, and another two extinct. Blundell (1980:107) lists 14 clans for 1912 , and elsewhere she lists twice that number (up to 29 , Blundell \& Layton 1978:237, Blundell 1980:104). Blundell's figure of 14 includes one extinct clan (Karnmanyawaaya), so her figure really ought to be 13. It should be noted that Blundell's figures do not take linguistic distinctions into account. This is perfectly valid for anthropological or demographic purposes, as linguistic distinctions do not appear to have been of great emic significance in this context; but it does make it difficult to compare her figures with those of Love, who did make that distinction. It is, therefore, apparently quite likely that the clans Blundell

[^2]lists (Blundell 1980:104, 107; Blundell \& Layton 1978:237) include groups whose first languages were Ungarinyin or Wunambal, or even Unggumi or Umiida. The upshot of this is that her figure of 15 people for the average size of a clan, (Blundell 1980:107), may be a little too small.

My instructors referred to another group, which they said had patriclan status, called Arnngarngoyu, who appear to have been located on the Glenelg River, and who are not listed by either Love or Blundell. There does appear to be a real problem, then, in arriving at a definitive list of Worrorra-speaking clans, and hence at an appreciation of the average size of a clan. On Blundell's figures, clan size was extremely variable, anything from 2 to 35 . I am inclined to accept Love's figures for both population and clan numbers, as his observations appear on the whole to have been reliable: he was there at the time of first European contact, and he was in a position to question Worrorra people directly about their demography - as a present, experienced reality, and not as a remembered construct. At the same time, my own experience suggests that it is perhaps possible that Love failed to record all of the Worrorra-speaking clans, and that there may have been one or two more than the 10 he lists. On this basis, therefore, it seems that an average clan size of about 25-30 was likely at contact, bearing in mind that these groups were probably so variable in their composition, that an average figure must necessarily amount to only a very abstract calculation indeed. The point of such an exercise is to arrive at an idea of the order of magnitude of the groups under discussion: again, an extended family group of such a size (including uncles, aunts, grandparents, cousins, etc), if somewhat on the large side, is not all that unusual in contemporary Australian society.

It would probably be a mistake, in any case, to imagine that a definitive list of Worrorra-speaking clans is a feasible objective. As descent groups, they seem to have been in a more or less on-going state of fission, amalgamation or extinguishment. It is likely, for instance, that a particularly large clan, such as Adbalandiya, might at some future time have split into two or more smaller groups, to each of which the term = rambim might then have applied. It is possible that some of the 'clan' groups shown by Blundell on maps may have had 'sub-clan' status, or status as some other kind of local group. For instance, a particular family group might be identifiable by its location near, or totemic affiliation to the site of a particular culture hero. Blundell (1980:104) includes, for example, a group called 'Wodojngari' (Wadoyngarri [spotted nightjar-REL]) '(people) associated with (the place of) the spotted nightjar.' This term may possibly not have referred to a clan, in the sense intended by Love; but on the other hand, we have absolutely no information about how inclusive was the reference of the word = rambim: could the members of one extended family also be named as members of another, superordinate descent group? And if so, could both groups have been referred to by the word $=$ rambim? The implications of these questions might make it very difficult indeed to calculate clan numbers precisely.

Blundell (1980:113-115) describes how the country of an extinct clan could be taken over or adopted by members of a related and neighbouring clan, who would then take on the clan titles, totemic emblems, ritual responsibilities and overall identity of the extinct clan, and legally 'become' that clan in an act of social resurrection. This is apparently what happened in the case of the Larrinyuwaaya clan, which died out but was revived in just this manner. Here is a concrete illustration of the primacy of residence in a particular place for the constitution of clan identity. In this ideology extended families are the ephemeral human manifestations of eternal places. In ideology, clans are not 'social cells with territorial correlates' as Keen (1997:271) puts it, but territories with human occupants. Land, that is, the manifestation in geography of a supernatural human ancestry, in this ideology is prior, and human groups are identified in terms of it.

Any group of people living together would have been composed typically of members of an exogamous clan, plus wives and mothers married into the clan, and minus sisters and daughters married out of it - again just as in any other Australian extended family. This family group, the anthropologists' 'band' or 'local group' is called mangeema mana in Worrorra, and constituted the basic, day-to-day social and economic unit of Worrorra society. Its size, in any particular instance, would have depended on the carrying capacity of the region and the season.

Basil Sansom (1980) has noted that Aboriginal social life takes place typically on a small scale, or what Keen refers to as 'relatively short social ranges, involving the cooperation of people who are genetically and socially closely related, and who spend most of their time in each other's company' (Keen 1997:266, 269). In the light of this, the notion of 'Worrorra' as a social category becomes
rather shaky: as the denotation of a language, it must surely refer instead to a social artefact, one used by some clans but not by others, just as double rafts are material artefacts used by some (coastal) clans but not by other (inland) ones. A social category defined as 'double raft-using people' is valid but slippery: any north Kimberley person could use a double raft if called upon to do so. So also, a category of 'Worrorra language-speaking people' is equally valid and equally slippery in a region where all people appear to have been multilingual.

At a higher level of organization, Worrorra kinship dynamics are generated primarily by a principle of exogamy (see Chapter 18). The whole of north Kimberley society is divided into two named, exogamous, intermarrying, patrilineal moieties called in Worrorra Adbalarriya and Arrvunarriya. Historically, these categories appear to have intersected almost every aspect of life, including the wurnarn exchange cycle. People, animals, plants and places all appear to be allocated to one or other of the two patrimoieties: clan countries belonging to the Adbalarriya moiety, and most things in them, are designated mamalarrba, while those belonging to the Arrwunarriya moiety are designated mawunarrba. Clans, being patrilineal and exogamous, are also either Adbalarriya or Arrwunarriya; that is, all the members of a clan belong to the same moiety, and marry into clans of the opposite moiety. The moieties themselves have their own totemic emblems; jrr'nkurnja 'owlet nightjar' for Arrbalarriya, and wadoy 'spotted nightjar' for Arrwunarriya. Mythologically, these two birds were culture heroes who exchanged their daughters to institute the virilocal north Kimberley marriage system.

Traditionally, Worrorra society was more or less ahistorical. Necronym prohibitions applied to relatives of deceased people, and kinship terms named a five-generational section of society (two generations up and two down from your own) that travelled intact through time. A rule of alternategeneration equivalence ensured that members of generations beyond $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$ and $\mathrm{G}^{-2}$ were referred to by terms applicable to one or other of $\mathrm{G}^{-2}, \mathrm{G}^{-1}, \mathrm{G}^{\varnothing}, \mathrm{G}^{+1}$ or $\mathrm{G}^{+2}$. For example, Patsy Lulpunda was Heather Umbagai's mother's father's father's sister: at $G^{+3}$ to Heather, Patsy was in a structural position equivalent to $\mathrm{G}^{+1}$, which is, in the patriline in question, karraanya 'mother.' So Heather called Patsy 'karraanya,' or 'Mummy Patsy' in English, and Patsy called Heather ibaanya '(woman's) daughter.' Historical time is divided into three eras: a dreamtime era, called lalai, in which ancestral culture heroes made the world and instituted human customs, and the present era, that is, a time within living memory. In between these two times there is a third, called bulakangarri ([middle-REL]) 'the people-in-the-middle.' This was an historical time of people who lived after the dreamtime, but before the modern age.

Worrorra religion was dominated by the Wanjurna spirits, supernatural ancestors who burst up out of the ground in the dreamtime (lalai), and who are responsible for sending the monsoonal rain between December and April. Where they went back into the earth, the Wanjurna spirits left their shadows on the walls of various caves and rock shelters throughout the north Kimberley. As well, each clan has a set of totemic emblems, animals and/or plants or other natural features, with which the members of a clan are said to gee. This important preverb occurs in complex predication as gee $k u N[]=y i$ 'SUBJ be represented totemically by OBJ,' with opposite predicate perspective to equivalent English verbs such as 'represent' or 'symbolize.'

As well as being referred to by an extensive kinship terminology, Worrorra people may acquire, over the course of their lives, a set of proper names (Love 1936:181-185, Silverstein nd 1). For the purposes of address, kinship terms are nearly always used in vocative form (Hey, cousin! Hey sister! etc). As well as these, however, the set of proper names acquired by each individual endows him or her with an identity that is:
socially constituted in terms of the culturally absolute, timeless frameworks of geography (the fundamental 'natural' Aboriginal order) and kinship structure (the fundamental 'cultural' Aboriginal order). (Silverstein nd 1:1)

In each extended family's country, every significant topographic feature is named as a manifestation of the passage, or deeds, or the physical presence of some dreamtime hero. Conception occurs when a man finds, in a non-mundane or mystical context, a child spirit associated with one of these sacred (wingkurru) places. For a more detailed account of this process, see the chapter in Love 1936 cited above. The child, when born, is then named as the incarnation of an aspect of the wungkurru place
where the father found his or her spirit (where the child spirit appeared or 'rose up' (bariy) to the father. This name is referred to as a person's wungkurru name, or his or her 'great name' (=ngumbu rdaarreya in Worrorra, using the inalienable noun =ngumbu wunu 'name' with a prefix marking the agreement class of the name's referent). One of Love's earliest companions at Kunmunya was a man whose wungkurru or dreaming place, the place where his spirit appeared to his father, was a rock formation that represented the shoulder of a wedge-tailed eagle (nyina warraananya, feminine). His wungkurru name was therefore Nyimarnduma 'her shoulder,' based on the inalienable noun =marnduma mana 'shoulder(s).'

A person may also receive a name from a grandparent in his or her own patriline, that is, from a (classificatory) father's father if a male, or father's father's sister if female. This name is called simply =ngumbu 'name' or =ngumbu abiyanangka ('abi name' in English), being based on the kinship term abiya 'father's father/elder brother.'

The matronymic suffix -yaleya (masculine) or -yaalenya (feminine) could be attached to a person's mother's abi name, or less frequently to her wungkurru name, to name that person, particularly in situations where a speaker would normally be prohibited from uttering that name (for instance when used to refer to someone in an avoidance relationship (rambarr) to the speaker, or to someone recently deceased). So, for example, Amy's daughter in such circumstances could be referred to as Rurrngawalayaalenya, after her mother's wungkurru name, Rurrngawala. A prominent Ngarinyin man had an abi name derived from a grandparent's matronymic, Mowarljaaleya (Silverstein nd 1:21).

Another way of naming someone in an avoidance category, is by naming the place where his or her mother has been buried. So, for example, Patsy's mother is buried on an island called Jinbeenu, and so Patsy may be named in this manner after the island, as Jinbeenu.

Nicknames are very frequent, and are often bestowed for some personal trait or quirk, which, however, very rarely causes offence to the bearer. Often a suffix -moya (masculine) or -monya (feminine) is used to mark a noun as a nickname. Another of Love's earliest companions at Kunmunya was a man who had been injured by his mother's digging stick (warnduna wunu) when he was a boy. His nickname, then, was Warndunmoya. Love (1934:30) also records the names Kayukumonya 'she-of-the-rock' and Kayukumoya 'he-of-the-rock' from kayuku 'rock.'

Women's names are often marked as well by a suffix -wala, as in Rurrngawala, Bajawala or Buruwala, all prominent women at Mowanjum while I was there.

### 1.4 History

Located on the coastline facing the Indonesian archipelago, and only 500 km from it, Worrorra country has always been on the front line to meet new arrivals from those islands. From at least the time of the Austronesian dispersal (c 7,000 years BP), fishermen from Indonesia must have been visiting the coast and its offshore islands on a more or less regular basis. They appear, however, to have made no genetic or cultural impact on Worrorra society at all, probably finding the land and its inhabitants dissuasive of more than fleeting contact. Nevertheless there was some contact at least, somewhere, as c 3,000 years ago dingos were introduced from that general direction. More recently, trepang fishermen sailing from Nusa Tenggara after 1720 AD are reported to have known the Kimberley coast as Kayu Jawa ${ }^{4}$ (MacKnight 1986:70).

The first indirect historical insight we have on Worrorra society relates to a smallpox plague which ravaged the north of Australia in the 1780s (MacKnight 1986:73, Rose 1991:5). It is likely that the north-west Kimberley was not spared this plague, which was introduced by Macassan fishermen. The story of the quoll and the black-headed python is recorded in at least two versions, one told by Elkin Umbagai (Lucich 1969:1-6), and another by Daisy Utemorrah (Lalbanda \& Utemorrah 2000: 31-42). In the first version, the quoll is killed in a pre-dawn raid, a fairly conventional way of coming to grief in a feud (barurruma mana) (see Appendix 1.2). The second version accounts for the quoll's name: wijingarra ([boil-REL]) 'the one with boils' in Worrorra, a reference to the white spots this animal has on its coat. In this version the quoll becomes afflicted with an illness which causes boils to break out all

[^3]over him. Despite all that his wife can do, he lies groaning on the ground while boils continue to break out all over his body, and after a few days he dies. Here we have a fairly clear description of death by smallpox, and it is likely that this version of the story dates from some time after the plague of the 1780 s.

The first direct historical record of Worrorra country comes from March 1837, when a party under the command of the ubiquitous George Grey explored the country south of the Prince Regent River, and named the Glenelg River. In this expedition Grey was speared and wounded by Worrorra men, and he himself shot and killed one of them. He nevertheless describes these men and their language with admiration, respect and humanity.

Grey entered the country at the end of the wet season, when grass was luxuriant and high, the rivers and creeks were flowing and surface water abounded. His description of the land seems to have inspired a commercial venture in the shape of the Camden Harbour Pastoral Association, founded in Melbourne. In December 1864 members of this association landed on the Worrorra coast opposite Augustus Island, a large number of men and a much larger number of cattle. At that time of year the ground was scorched bare by the heat and blackened by fire. There was no grass, scant trees and almost no surface water. The heat was intense and unremitting, the flies dense and maddening. Men and three-quarters of their cattle died. Love (1936:ix) reports that in the 1930s old Worrorra men still recalled a massacre associated with this venture. Men began leaving or seeking to get away almost as soon as they arrived, and by May 1865, five months after it began, the project was abandoned.

In 1892 Bradshaw, a Queensland pastoralist, drove cattle across the continent, intending to set up a pastoral concern by Mount Waterloo, on the northern shore of the St George's Basin. Travelling across the Northern Territory, he sent an advance party ahead of him to build stockyards under the mountain. This party was headed by Aeneas Gunn, who was later to take up a lease on the Elsey station near Mataranka, NT. Gunn and his men appear to have shot Worrorra people on sight. Love (1936:xii) reports that an old woman whom he knew still carried the scar of a bullet wound inflicted by Gunn's party. Bradshaw, in the meantime, settled his cattle in the Northern Territory, and the stockyards were abandoned unused.

In 1901 a surveyor named Brockman travelled with his party up from Perth into Worrorra country, and erected a cairn on top of Mount Trevor, a few miles north of the Glenelg river. He did not report encountering any Worrorra people. From about this time on it appears that a variety of beachcombers, pearlers and trepangers, both Malay and European, were regularly visiting the coast of Worrorra country, but there are few safe anchorages there, and they appear to have left no record.

In 1908 the Presbyterian church determined to establish a mission on the Kimberley coast, and in 1911 the Revd Robert Wilson was commissioned to set it up. In October 1912 he and his wife Frances landed in an inlet at the head of Port George IV, called Mingkunya in Worrorra. That the Worrorra people's initial response to this incursion was one of fear is testimony to the violence of earlier intruders, the Camden Harbour Pastoral Association, and the ringer Gunn and his party. Nevertheless the Wilsons made friendly contact with Worrorra people and proceeded to build accommodation for themselves, as recounted in McKenzie (1969). For two years the Wilsons worked to establish a mission at Port George IV, and were relieved in December 1914 by J R B Love. Love had charge of the mission until he left in July 1915, when the Wilsons returned after leave. During the course of 1916 the mission was moved from Port George IV to a site 10 km further south and a little further inland, called Kunmunya (phonemic /karnmanya/). The Wilsons left Kunmunya at the end of October 1917, and over the next decade a number of other missionaries came and went.

In August 1927 Love, now an ordained Presbyterian minister, and accompanied by his wife Margaret, returned to Kunmunya to take charge of the mission. The Loves worked there for thirteen years, until 1940. During that time Love learned Worrorra, wrote a description of the language, contributed articles to anthropological periodicals, and published Stone-Age Bushmen of To-Day, a perceptive and thoughtful account of his life at Kunmunya and Port George. He and his wife established a firm bond of friendship with, and respect for the Worrorra people at Kunmunya, among whom they were known affectionately as Jijaaya 'dad,' and Amakunya 'mum.'

J R B Love is without doubt an impressive figure in Australian history: not only as missionary, linguist and anthropologist, but also as a bushman and a war hero. A summary of his life is included in McKenzie (1969), but a more detailed, more critical and less fawning biography is still to come. His
major publication, Stone-Age Bushmen of To-Day (1936, reissued in 2009), is an excellent ethnographic account of Worrorra society at the time of contact with Europeans. A large collection of Love's papers are held in Adelaide at the Mortlock Library (see McGregor 1986).

Robert Love was born in Ireland in 1889, the son of the Revd George Love, a Presbyterian minister. When he was five months old his family moved to Australia and settled in Strathalbyn, south-east of Adelaide, where George Love was minister until 1923. Robert Love became a teacher at Copley, in the north of SA, and then in 1913 he undertook, on behalf of the Presbyterian church's Board of Missions, a tour of central and northern Australia to report on the condition of Aboriginal people there. On his return he was recruited by the board to relieve the Wilsons for six months at Port George. After being wounded, and serving with distinction in the 1914-1918 war, Love was ordained into the Presbyterian ministry, and went to north Queensland. He worked as a missionary at Mapoon for five years and came to profess a hatred of paternalism as a way of relating to Aboriginal people (McKenzie 1969:88). After Mapoon, he requested, and received, a posting to Kunmunya, to which he returned in 1927.

The climate of race relations in which Love was raised in South Australia had recently undergone an important and unfortunate paradigm shift. Strathalbyn is located in the traditional lands of Ngarrinyeri-speaking people. George Taplin, the missionary at Point McLeay and advocate for Ngarrinyeri people living along the lower reaches of the River Murray for twenty years, had died in 1879. Nevertheless the general influence of his ministry in church circles, with respect to the way in which religious organizations related to Aboriginal people and their culture, must have been felt, at least indirectly, for several decades after his death. Ngarrinyeri people still camped occasionally in Strathalbyn while Love was a child. Taplin operated on a racial model that was ethnocentric but not racist. ${ }^{5}$ He appears to have genuinely admired and respected the Ngarrinyeri people with whom he worked, while at the same time believing that their culture was demonic, and that they themselves were enslaved to the devil. The work of the missionary, as Taplin and others like him saw it, was to bring their flock, God's children, out of the satanic darkness of their own culture, and into the light of Christianity. Love's position was similar, to the extent that he appears to have believed that parts of Worrorra culture were essentially evil, and that with respect to these parts, his work was aimed at 'letting in a better light, that will, of its own power, in time drive out the darkness of an evil tradition' (Love 1936:32). Around about 1859, the year of the publication of Darwin's Origin of Species, this cultural ethnocentrism, which equates difference with evil, and locates it outside of the individual person, that is in his or her culture, was gradually replaced by a biological ethnocentrism, or racism, which locates difference in the actual physical and mental being of a person of another race, who must now be seen as inherently and irrevocably non-human. In an ethnocentric view, people of another race are capable of 'betterment,' whatever that may mean: there is nothing inherent in their persons preventing them from achieving equality with Europeans. In this view, then, Aboriginal people are amenable to education and Christianity, and efforts to educate and convert them are well founded and worthwhile. In a racist view such an idea is absurd; people of other races are no more capable of achieving European status than are members of another species. In a very real sense, then, Aboriginal people are cast as non-human in racist ideology.

For a century after the publication of The Origin of Species, racism, as an accepted ideology and modus operandi, predominated in Australian race relations. Only this kind of ideology is capable of allowing the perpetrators of large-scale acts of brutality, rape and murder to account for their actions psychologically, in retrospect. Racist ideology thus served an important colonizing function in frontier contexts (see, eg, Rose 1991).

Love appears to have waged an on-going war, both internally and externally, against racism for most his life. He was continually at pains to point out that contrary to popular opinion, under the right conditions of education and Christianity, Aboriginal people are as capable of achievement as anyone else:

At Kunmunya, where Mrs. MacDougall ... taught the school with outstanding success, the scholars learned to read and write in both English and their own language Worora. Elkin, a

[^4]native girl of Kunmunya, was the first girl guide in Western Australia to hold the interpreter's badge for being able to read and write in two languages: her own and English. ${ }^{6}$

As with most people in his position, his life and work among Aboriginal people was marked by unceasing struggle to reconcile his experiences in two cultures, and to come to terms with his ethical role in an alien society. Emotionally, Love appears to have been continually torn between great affection for the Worrorra with whom he lived, and repulsion at aspects of their culture. He knew them to be generous, courteous, gracious and intelligent (Love 1936:9, 17, 65, 201), but at the same time he was shocked and repelled by abortion, infanticide, infant betrothal, the marriage of 10-yearold girls to adult men, domestic violence against women, mortuary cannibalism, sorcery, and murder that seemed to Love both casual and unjustifiable. Love makes it clear in Stone-Age Bushmen of To-Day that he admired and wanted to preserve some aspects of Worrorra culture, while seeking to destroy others. This problem of cultural relativism was certainly not unique to Love; it is an on-going and ever-present feature of intercultural discourse.

Love's ideas about his role at Kunmunya appear to have been continually evolving, and sometimes contradictory. Although bringing with him from Mapoon a loathing of paternalism, by the end of his time at Kunmunya his administration seems to have been unquestionably paternalistic by modern standards. His flock called him 'dad' (Jijaaya), without his ever seeming to have become aware of the irony involved in this affectionately conferred title. At the beginning of his administration the mission confined unmarried teenage Worrorra girls to dormitories out of school hours, in order to prevent their marriage prematurely, as Love saw it, especially to old men. ${ }^{7}$ The girls in question seem to have resented it at the time: as Patsy Lulpunda puts it, 'Burr anbajeerla (they shut us up inside).' Later he abandoned this method, and wrote against the institution of dormitories when he moved to Ernabella in 1941. His tone in Stone-Age Bushmen of To-Day is inconsistent; partly admiring and laudatory, partly patronizing, continually drawing unfavourable comparisons between Worrorra and European cultures. I could not bring myself to read sections of it to my instructors, on this account, as I would have liked. Although educating a generation of children who would become fluent and literate in English, he seems not to have imagined that any Worrorra person would ever be among the book's audience. He was, for all that, held in very high esteem at Kunmunya and deeply mourned when he left.

Unfortunately, benevolent colonizers rarely have the luxury of being able to pick and choose between the parts of a culture they want to preserve, and those they want to eliminate; adjustments to one area of culture often have unforeseen consequences in other areas. Love waged war against the sorcerers (barnmarnjiya), in a struggle which he eventually won (Love 1936:166-174). What he seems not to have realized is that the sorcerers were also medical practitioners, and when Love exposed them, as he thought, as charlatans, he destroyed their people's confidence in their effectiveness as healers. With their traditional means of controlling illness removed, Worrorra people were forced to rely more and more on European methods of control, that is, on European medicine and health care services. Thus, as we shall see, Love's victory over the sorcerers was at least partly instrumental in the Worrorra abandoning their land, and hence their traditional family structures, and eventually their language.

Compounding the problem of cultural relativism was the situation in which Love unwittingly found himself, and in which Worrorra people unwittingly found themselves as well. The society to which Love returned as missionary in 1927 was radically different from that which he had left 12 years previously. When the Wilsons and their staff arrived at Mingkunya in 1912, they were entirely dependent for their survival upon Worrorra people. At that time Worrorra men had a reputation for extreme ferocity, and beachcombers and pearlers, cruising the coastline in their luggers, took pains to

[^5]avoid them. Worrorra people literally had power of life or death over the Wilsons. Two decades later, Love was the superintendent of their mission, with complete charge and control over Worrorra people's affairs; controlling the supply of European goods, and summoning the police from Broome to discipline those whom he judged to have offended against European law. The question must be, of course, how did this situation come about?

The mission was both a magnet for European intervention, and a buffer against the intervention it attracted. As soon as word spread among pearlers and beachcombers that a mission had been established on the coast, first Mingkunya, and later Kunmunya, became targets for lugger captains who judged that the 'wild blacks' had now become 'tame blacks' or 'mission blacks.' As such, they were available for exploitation, the women providing sexual services and the men working as lugger crews and pearl divers. So Europeans who would never have dared approach the Worrorra coast before the mission was established, found, after 1912, a safe buffer between themselves and those Worrorra who would have dealt with them as they most likely deserved. Indeed, a good deal of the reason why the mission was moved to Kunmunya, was an attempt to escape the attentions of lugger crews (McKenzie 1969:61).

The second dynamic which contributed to the end of the Worrorra people's traditional way of life was their adoption of European foods. These originally appeared to be innocuous enough: flour, sugar and tobacco. Honey had always been highly prized among the Worrorra, and they had never ground up grass-seeds to make flour, as many other Australian people had done. But the quantity, palatability, digestibility and ease-of-procurement of flour and sugar near the mission were enormously seductive to people whose traditional food was 'simply indigestible to the white man' (Love 1936:16). And Worrorra people were by no means immune to the addictive properties of tobacco. The mission quickly became, then, the means by which Worrorra people sought to mediate and control their dealings with the world beyond their own country. In these circumstances the people at Kunmunya appear to have entered into relationships first of interdependence with, then of dependence on their mission, which automatically elevated its superintendent to a position of power that must have been unthinkable in 1912.

This relationship found its culmination 11 years after the Loves left Kunmunya, in 1951, when the mission was moved to Wotjulum on the Yampi Peninsula, opposite the iron-ore mine on Cockatoo Island, and on the boundary of traditional Worrorra country (McKenzie 1969:189). Five years later the mission was moved again, to Mowanjum ('Old Mowanjum') in 1956, several kilometres east of Derby. The reason for these moves, as far as the older Worrorra people with whom I spoke were concerned, was to be closer to European medical support services. Doubtless the Presbyterian missionaries had their own reasons at the time, but this is the reason offered by Patsy, Daisy and Amy. The community was moved again in 1961, only a few miles this time, to the site of the present Mowanjum community, on the Gibb River road.

The generation of people who were born and educated at Kunmunya in the 1920s and 1930s included many intelligent, competent people, willing and capable of taking their places in the mainstream of Australian society. The Presbyterian Board of Missions, however, seems for many years to have preferred to employ European missionaries, administrators and service staff in positions which could have been filled just as well or better by talented, educated and Christian Worrorra people. Outside of the mission, as has happened elsewhere on the continent (Brock 1993), the European community in the West Kimberley has been reluctant to accept Aboriginal people in positions suited to their abilities.

Patsy Lulpunda's oral histories aside, nearly all our records of Worrorra society from the time of European contact are due to Love's agency. His Master's thesis, The Grammatical Structure Of The Worrorra Language Of North-Western Australia, is a description of the language in its traditional social and geographic context, completed only 20 years after first contact. Love undertook this study by external enrolment with the University of Adelaide. His supervisor was Professor J A FitzHerbert of the Classics Department at that institution, who took an active interest in Australian languages, working with T G H Strehlow on Arrernte, as well as with Love on Worrorra, and served with Norman Tindale on the University's Language Committee in the early 1930s. FitzHerbert taught Latin, Classical Greek and Philology, and so was ideally placed, in the 1930s, to be involved in a description
of a language characterized by an elaborate morphology. ${ }^{8}$ It is hard to say what influence FitzHerbert had on the progress of Love's thesis, or what influence he could have had, given the enormous geographical distance separating him from his student. He did send Love a book on Scottish phonetics, which he used, but Love had the wisdom ultimately to conclude that what was important was not the shape of the graphic symbols themselves, but the consistency with which they were used. The model of grammatical description that both FitzHerbert and Love adhered to was provided by conventional grammars of Classical Greek and Latin, with their copious lists of paradigms. This approach at the time seemed to be well suited to a language such as Worrorra.

Love was, in any case, a talented linguist irrespective of supervision, and FitzHerbert seems to have realized this, probably to his relief. The linguist Howard Coate, who has worked on Ungarinyin for many years in the west Kimberley, acknowledges his debt to Love, whom he met at Kunmunya in the 1930s:

The Reverend James R B Love was the man who set Howard [Coate] on the road to systematic linguistic investigation, and Howard has always acknowledged this debt. (McGregor 1996:3)

Despite FitzHerbert's phonetic advice, Love never clearly worked out the language's phonology, which is to say that he never formulated a more or less redundancy-free account of native speakers' articulatory goals. This means that it is often impossible precisely to re-state the Worrorra words he lists, phonologically. Love recognized a contrast in vowel length, for example, but did not mark it consistently, nor does he appear to have realized its phonemic significance. He did not recognize a rhotic contrast.

Worrorra exhibits what is called overarching concordance in all its paradigms, which means that lists of nominal and verbal paradigms are unable on their own to account for morphological processes, without which many interesting and insightful generalizations about the grammar are lost. However it is clear from Love's notes that he was well aware of the underlying phonological processes that drive Worrorra morphology, at a time before morphophonology was developed as a descriptive tool in linguistics. ${ }^{9}$ Love frequently treats morphophonemic rules as if they were allophonic ones (which is a reasonable analogy to draw). For example he recognized that the phoneme /rr/ in the derivational 3p prefix arr- could be present even when inaudible, as in ardaarrawaya ([a'da:rə,waje] $\mid \operatorname{arr}=$ raarrawa-ya $\mid[3 \mathrm{p}=$ many-3p]) 'all of them/many people,' which he transcribed as ar'darawaia (Love 1934:28). That the sequence $r^{\prime} d$ in Love's spelling is not simply an indication of retroflexion is shown by his spelling of the first and second person plural shapes of this adjective, as ( $\eta$ ) a'darawaia ( $n g$ ) ardaarrawaya) 'all of us' and nji'darawaia (nyirdaarrawaya) 'all of you,' where retroflexion is present but unmarked in Love's spelling. Similarly, on page 30 Love spells the third person plural shape of the adjective =niya 'good' as ar'dinia (adeeniya $\mid$ arr-nee=niya $\mid$ [3p-AUG=good], see $\int 6.2 .6$ (ii), showing clearly that he recognized and recorded the underlying shape of the 3 p prefix. Another example of Love's appreciation of morphophonology in the language is discussed in $\S 6.2 .2$.

In 1938 a German anthropological expedition (the Frobenius expedition) carried out extensive fieldwork in the north Kimberly. The results of this expedition were published after the war by one of its members, Andreas Lommel, as Die Unambal: Ein Stamm in Nordwest-Australien, in 1952.10 While mainly concerned with Wunambal-speaking people, Lommel's book gives valuable information on many aspects of north Kimberley culture generally.

In the 1963 Elkin Umbagai recorded a number of traditional Worrorra stories for Peter Lucich, who was studying kinship structure at Mowanjum at that time. Elkin was Heather Umbagai's mother,

[^6]and was renowned as one of the most knowledgeable and gifted authorities on her people's history and culture (see Appendix 5).

The Canadian anthropologist Valda Blundell worked at Mowanjum and at other places in the west Kimberley between 1970 and 1977, studying social structure, marriage systems and systems of exchange with Worrorra-, Ungarinyin- and Wunambal-speaking people (Blundell 1975). She has maintained an on-going interest in and relationship with people at Mowanjum up to the present.

The American linguist Michael Silverstein worked on Worrorra at Mowanjum from September 1974 until December 1975. He compiled a phoneme inventory with examples of some minimal pairs (Silverstein nd 2). Silverstein 1986 makes reference to Worrorra complex predicates, and includes examples, with discussion (see $₫ 11.4 .1$ ). Silverstein nd 11 is an invaluable account of traditional Worrorra naming practices and an excellent although partial account of kinship structure.

More recently a Worrorra dictionary has been published (Clendon, Lalbanda, Peters \& Utemorrah 2000) as well as three books of traditional stories, folklore and oral histories (Utemorrah 2000, Lalbanda \& Utemorrah 2000 and Lalbanda, Peters \& Utemorrah 2000). All of these are published by the Kimberley Language Resource Centre.

### 1.5 How this grammar came to be written

In 1991 Heather Umbagai, a Worrorra woman of Mowanjum near Derby in Western Australia, seeing that there were only a few old people still fully fluent in her language, applied to the Kimberley Language Centre for assistance to produce materials that would help her and her children learn Worrorra, and to record it for posterity. The following year I was contracted to produce, under Heather's guidance and direction, a set of language-learning materials in Worrorra. At Mowanjum I met Heather and was introduced to her father, Sam Umbagai, and her aunts, Patsy Lulpunda and Amy Peters, who had agreed to record their language for the project, and with whom I was to work.

We began by recording and transcribing sets of simple sentences and conversational exchanges. I was assisted in transcription by a phoneme inventory compiled by Michael Silverstein of the University of Chicago (Silverstein nd 2), who had worked at Mowanjum in the mid-1970s. Soon after, I began recording parts of Patsy's life story, mainly having to do with her family's first contact with Europeans and her youth at Kunmunya mission, as well as an important cultural text on bereavement practices. At about the same time I was introduced to the renowned children's author Daisy Utemorrah, herself a Worrorra woman, and I began working with her as well. Although in poor health and partially paralysed by a stroke, during the following eighteen months Daisy recorded over twenty traditional stories in Worrorra, and worked with me in translating them into English. As well as being a gifted story-teller, Daisy was a competent linguist in her own right, a sympathetic and patient teacher and an authority on her language and culture. She died in February 1994, soon after we had completed transcribing, editing and translating her stories. As well as texts, we produced a dictionary ${ }^{12}$ and a learners' grammar of Worrorra, ${ }^{13}$ aimed at people inevitably with some, but not necessarily very much knowledge of linguistics.

The study presented here is essentially text-based. The entire, transcribed body of recorded material I will refer to as 'the database,' and is the source for nearly all the claims made here about Worrorra grammar. Some of the sentence examples were not recorded, but were simply observed and noted when they were uttered, or a little later. This brings me to the issue of my own competence or lack thereof in Worrorra: never having been immersed in the language I did not obtain oral fluency in it. I did, however, acquire some degree of passive or aural competence, such that I was able to attend to conversational exchanges between my instructors, and understand most of what was going on. Of course I found that observing actual language use as it occurred in mundane situations (outside of mythic-narrative contexts) was the best way of coming to understand its grammar, and of confirming

[^7]or eliminating hypotheses made about that grammar; and to a certain extent I was able to do this, within my limited knowledge of the Worrorra lexicon.

Patsy, Amy and Daisy present an image of society and a model of language from a woman's perspective. This means that important cultural institutions traditionally controlled by men such as the wurnarn or ritual exchange cycle are barely touched upon in the oral histories. The wurnarn involved the circulation of goods and artefacts among clans throughout the north Kimberley region over an extended period of time and in a specific order (see Blundell \& Layton 1978 and Blundell 1980). Two other important male domains receive scant mention in the database: the manufacture of stone spear-points and the performance of religious rituals. In her oral history text called Nyinjorinya 'The widow,' Patsy Lulpunda describes in some detail the funerary procedures undertaken by women. Missing from this account, however, is a description of the part played by men in funerary rites: the construction of mortuary cairns (durrku) and mortuary platforms (karndirrima), and the rituals associated with these, as well as revenge magic and a description of ossuary caves (for an account of all these see Love 1936:152-165).

A further consideration must be Patsy's, Amy's and Daisy's age and frailty at the time when I was working with them. Due to this we did not venture far into the countryside or undertake a journey into traditional Worrorra country. For this reason the language recorded here may lack the kind of expressions characteristic of people living in and interacting with their ancestral land. Instead, stories and oral histories constitute recollections about what it was like to live that way.

The orthography employed here should not be seen as in any way definitive or final. Orthography does not need to be phonemic, of course, although at a certain stage of enquiry researchers usually need to keep phonemic records, which may all too easily acquire orthographic status. Some of the constraints have to do with the representation of retroflex consonants and the rhotic contrast. Retroflex consonants probably do not need orthographic representation outside of descriptive contexts, however, and without them other possible preferences could be achieved: /ar/ for the long open vowel /aa/, and /or/ for the long back middle vowel/o/. The sequence /ea/ could also be used for the long front middle vowel /e/. The orthography used here was arrived at at a meeting between Heather, Daisy, Amy, Patsy and myself at Mowanjum in 1992.

Lodged with the Kimberley Language Centre in Halls Creek are copies of all tapes, notes, transcriptions and translations made while I was working at Mowanjum. The original audiotapes are lodged with the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies in Canberra. All of these are accessible through those institutions, and the claims made, and transcriptions offered are able to be checked against the original recordings.

### 1.6 Descriptive tools

Worrorra will be described from a position within the broad framework of cognitive/functional linguistic theory. This approach sees language as an integral part of cognition generally, and does not stress the compartmentalization, or modularity, of the components of grammar. The description will proceed by reference to the set of accumulated understandings about language that Dixon (2010, 2012) refers to as Basic Linguistic Theory, and which Pinker (1999) refers to simply as Traditional Linguistics. ${ }^{14}$

I will use as well other descriptive methods that seem to be useful for an appreciation of Worrorra. The morphophonology outlined in Chapter Three consists of a set of phonological rules, from which, given one form in a paradigm, any other form should be able to be predicted. As it turns

[^8]out, this is so: given a form such as imaroorri 'young adolescent boy,' the feminine and plural shapes nyimarooja 'young adolescent girl' and adbaroorriya 'young adolescents' can be accurately predicted from the P-rules: the morphophonology used here is therefore falsifiable, and acquires theoretical status on these grounds.

An equally useful tool in the exploration of syntax is not so much a theory as a set of grammatical models that set out to explain, at a reasonably abstract level, the semantic and pragmatic motivations of syntactic forms. These grammatical models are marshalled together under the heading of 'Role-and-Reference Grammar' (Foley \& Van Valin 1984), the title referring to the way in which syntax becomes the outcome or product of (ie, is motivated by) the semantic roles and pragmatic references taken on by predicate arguments.

Role and reference grammar is primarily concerned with a description of connected discourse and the coding mechanisms that make such connectedness possible. The emphasis here is away from isolated acts of referring and predicating, and on pragmatic factors motivating different ways of linking clauses in particular, and predicates more generally. Ideas about predicate linkage involve a series of models, each one informed by a prior theoretical construct. Initially, semantic roles are related to their syntactic coding by way of a pair of generalized semantic relations or macroroles called actor and undergoer. These categories are employed here in Chapter Five to describe the system of morphological case marking indexed on verbs. The notion of outranked actorhood refers to a process whereby, in competing for function slots, one argument may be outranked by another, yet still command formal representation in argument structure. Here the idea of 'outranked actor' is employed in Chapter Nine to explain important pragmatic properties of number marking in Worrorra.

The model of predicate linkage used relies upon a distinction long maintained in basic theory between direct and indirect objects. This distinction may be restated as one between the core and non-core arguments of a predicate, which in turn leads to a concept of the clause as a layered structure (cf. Andrews 1985). The presentation labels just three layers, as nuclear, core and peripheral, but more layers are certainly implied. The layers are defined more or less tautologically, with the peripheral layer described as being more inclusive (more 'sentential') than the core layer, and the nuclear layer as being less inclusive. The core layer, in turn, is defined as the layer containing core arguments, which are, however, undefined; and this failure relates to the well-known difficulty in distinguishing in all circumstances complements from adjuncts.

The layered structure of predicates requires an account of predicate linkage, involving a theory of juncture, or the layer at which linkage occurs, and a theory of nexus, or the syntactic nature of the linkage. Considerations of juncture and nexus have consequences for what is referred to as the 'strength' or 'tightness' of the syntactic bond between predicates. There follows on from this a typology of bondedness defined in terms of the greater or lesser sententiality of the components of a pair of linked predicates. The more sentential a component remains under linkage, the looser, or weaker, the nature of the bond; and correspondingly, the less sentential the linked components, the tighter or stronger the bond. In this typology predicates linked at a peripheral juncture and in a coordinate nexus (' $\mathrm{S}_{1} \ldots$ and $\ldots \mathrm{S}_{2}$ ') display the loosest kind of bond, and the tightest kind of linkage is seen in possessive noun phrases.

Before this stage, however, is a typology of predicate linkage, defined as stated in terms of combinations of juncture and nexus types. This typology is employed to describe complex predicates in Worrorra, and turns out to be a precise tool for drawing distinctions in this important area of the grammar. The predicate linkage typology offered by role-and-reference grammar is described in greater detail in Appendix 4.

Informing both role-and-reference grammar and the description of verb morphology offered here in $\$ 5.3$, is Silverstein's (1976a) solution to the problem of split case-marking in ergative languages, and the consequences for syntactic theory that flow from this solution. Generative X-bar theory is used to unpack possessive phrases in Chapter 13.

As Worrorra is no longer a spoken language an important coding strategy remains undescribed in this account, and is unlikely to be recoverable: I am referring here to the way in which Worrorra speakers may have used implicature to preserve or flout assumptions of conversational cooperation (Grice 1975, Levinson 1983). The various sense meanings of words and expressions may be understood in at least two interesting ways in semantic theory; either as manifestations of core-
fadeout phenomena in prototype theory, or as resulting from conversational implicatures in unfolding speech-act interactions. In this grammar sense meanings will be described by default as if they were prototype-theoretical phenomena, simply because we are now unable to properly investigate conversational implicature in Worrorra. But it should be understood that in all instances in which I refer to sense meanings, pragmatic implicature is most likely to be the means by which prototype phenomena were realized. In two areas of the grammar in particular conversational implicature is clearly the most revealing way of understanding coding phenomena: in the references of numbermarking morphology seen in Chapter Nine, and in the widely divergent functions of subjunctive verb morphology seen in Chapter 15.

### 1.7 What kind of language is Worrorra?

The purpose of this section is to give the reader an idea of the kind of language under consideration, so that certain types of phenomena may be either anticipated or discounted from the outset.

Worrorra is a north Australian Arafuran or non-Pama-Nyungan language. The north Kimberley languages have often been characterized as 'classifying,' with respect to the way they partition the lexical category of nouns into subclasses or genders; and as 'prefixing,' with reference to the location of subject and object NP-indexing morphemes attached to the verb. In a seminar address at the Australian National University in Canberra in 1975, Michael Silverstein suggested that the single most diagnostic feature of the type to which these languages belong, is the amount of sentence material obligatorily incorporated in or indexed on the verb.

Worrorra is a good example of a head-marking language in Nichols (1986) terms, where the sentence is a projection of the verb (Bloomfield 1933:194). A large number of sentence constituents are obligatorily indexed on the verb, which may in turn be seen as a model or summary of the sentence in which it occurs. As Nichols (1986:110) describes this situation:

The verb is equivalent to a clause or sentence, and ... any independent nominals in a clause are in apposition to morphemes of the verb rather than governed by the verb. This amounts to an informal claim that S [entence] is a projection of $\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{erb}]$ in such languages.

Worrorra is without morphological core case marking; subject and object grammatical relations are usually signalled by the indexation of predicate arguments on the verb.

In order to make the numerous sentence examples used here more readable, a shorthand system for glossing verbal prefix-clusters will be used. Although this system is different from that mandated by the University of Leipzig, its aim is to make Worrorra sentence examples less cumbersome and more readable. The system as outlined in $\int 5.3$ is previewed here. It is hoped that the reader will quickly become used to it and find it concise and accessible:

| 1 | first person | a | masculine | in | inclusive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person | f | feminine | x | exclusive |
| 3 | third person | w | celestial |  |  |
| p | plural | m | terrestrial |  |  |

Where number is not marked by p 'plural', reference is to singular by default. An equals sign (=) is placed at the left-hand boundary of a root morpheme. ${ }^{15}$

As well as argument structure, tense, aspect, mood, discourse deixis, voice, direction, number, polarity, lexical status, possession and other kinds of non-subcategorized object are all formally encoded on the verb (see Table 5.1 in $\$ 5.2$ ). Noun phrases obligatorily indexed on the verb occur in more than just the so-called 'core' subject and object functions. External possession and all sorts of 'oblique' arguments may also be coded on verbs, as in (1.1 = 7.19a):

[^9](1.1) Nganama kaanjaarndengaara?
ngani-ma kaarr-nja $=$ kwarnde-nga-kwara
where-3m 3p-2=place-PAST-1DAT
Where did you put my things?/ Where did you put those things of mine?
Here the undergoer theme ('direct' object) of $={ }^{k} w a r n d e$ 'put, place' is indexed on the verb as a prefix (kaarr- '3p' 'them (things)'), while an externally possessed NP structurally dependent upon the undergoer is also encoded, in the form of the suffix -kwara '1DAT' ('my').

Oblique NPs in the semantic roles of source and goal also receive obligatory coding on the verb, in form-order class [10], by one or other of the directional morphemes -kwaal 'hither' or -ngurru 'away' ( $(7.5 .1)$, as seen in (1.2):

| Kengangurru | $[\mathrm{marno}$ | angkaweenerrima $].$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{ka}=$ ya-nga-ngurru | $[\mathrm{ma}=$ rno | arr-ngka=bwee-na-eerri-ma $]$ |
| $3 \mathrm{a}=$ go-TNS-away | $[3 \mathrm{~m}=$ DIST | $3 \mathrm{p}-$ SJTV=hit.MID-PAST-PROG-3m $]$ |

He went over to the fighting ground. (Lit, 'to the place where they used to fight')
Here the derived locative-goal NP marno angkaweenerrima 'fighting ground, place where they fought' is in apposition to the directional verbal suffix -ngurru 'away, thither.' That being the case, no locative or allative postposition is required with this NP.

Most predicates occur as complex constructions, consisting of preverbs followed by semantically bleached finite verbs. Complex predicates are thus verb-incorporating, and so locate Worrorra firmly in its north-west Australian areal context. The most frequent finite verbs found in such constructions appear to be cognate with comparable forms in other north-west Australian languages.

One of the most distinctive features of the language is its derivational morphology, and the highly productive way in which it is used. As an example, take the kinship root ngawa- 'elder sibling.' With masculine suffixation the noun ngawaaya 'elder brother' is derived; with feminine suffixation, ngawaanya 'elder sister.' The relationship between people and their clan estates is personified as that between close relatives, and so terrestrial (neuter, mana-class) suffixation on this root yields ngawaama '(speaker's) patriclan estate.' The derivational function of agreement-marking morphology is facilitated by its overarching concord across most lexical categories, in a manner comparable to the way in which such concord is found in Bantu languages.

Nouns are distributed among five genders or noun classes, including four large, open categories and one small, less open class of collective nouns. The intensional properties of the four open classes define an orthogonal pair of dynamic polar oppositions between men and women on the one hand, and earth and sky on the other (Clendon 1999). These gender categories may be conveniently referred to as masculine, feminine, terrestrial and celestial respectively. Gender is not used to construct semantic fields of collocated entities; rather it is used to draw fine distinctions between similar or comparable entities.

Worrorra's consonant inventory is unremarkable for an Australian language, consisting of a set of matched oral and nasal stops at five places of articulation, as well as three laterals in non-peripheral places, two rhotics and two glides. The vowel inventory is a little unusual, however, with eight vowel phonemes; five long and three short.

Worrorra is characterized by a high degree of morphological fusion or cluster assimilation, this being one of the most distinctive features of the language. The relatively large number of long compared to short vowels in the language probably results from historical V-G-V cluster assimilations. Worrorra's high level of morphological fusion results in sets of words that appear to be suppletive, such as ko 's/he hits him' and nyimbu 's/he hits her;' or in ostensibly opaque derivations, such as maa 'terrestrial-class topic' and $k e$ 'celestial-class topic;' or in homonyms such as ngenu, both 'I will be' and 'I will tell you.' Quite a large number of phonological rules (about 30) are needed to drive the grammar, and in this respect Worrorra resembles other north Australian languages such as Ungarinyin, Maung and Wubuy.

Both syntactic projection and morphological fusion make Worrorra a highly polysynthetic language, combining several parts of a sentence into one word, and comparable in this respect to
other Australian Arafuran languages, and to many North American languages. The most notable of Worrorra's typologically distinctive features may be summarized as below, with references to the chapters or sections where the phenomena listed are specifically described:

```
Phonology: cluster assimilation ($3)
Morphology: overarching concord ($6)
Syntax: nominal classification ($4)
head-marking ($5.1-5.3, §6.3, §11.5.1, §13.1)
complex predication ($11)
```


## Two: segmental phonology

Worrorra phonology will be discussed here in fairly concrete terms in line with classical phonemic analysis, the function of which is to arrive at a clear statement of native speakers' articulatory goals. Phonetic representations will be enclosed in square brackets ( $[\mathrm{x}]$ ), while phonemic representations will be enclosed in diagonal lines ( $/ \mathrm{x} /$ ) or italicized. ${ }^{16}$ Representations of morphophonemes and morphological forms are enclosed within vertical lines $(|x|)$.

The evidence for the articulatory data presented here is impressionistic, formed during extended fieldwork at Mowanjum and during many hours of listening to recorded speech. Although no spectrographic images of speech segments will be presented, the claims made here are able to be tested by reference to my original recordings, which are all archived with the Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies.

### 2.1 Phonological features

Worrorra phonemes may be described in terms of the set of features displayed in Table 2.1. All phonemes in this table are listed by their 'elsewhere' forms in IPA ${ }^{17}$ (phonetic) script, and also in the orthography in which Worrorra materials have been published by the Kimberley Language Centre.

To a certain extent decisions about the feature system can be read from the morphophonemic rules that drive the grammar, as outlined in Chapter Three. These rules provide an empirical basis for feature selection, in that they define classes of sounds to which the morphology is sensitive. As much as possible, distinctive features need to reflect aspects of both consonants and vowels, in order more clearly to reveal what motivates the language's allophonic alternations, and also to provide, as Foley (1991:41) puts it, a 'maximally simple and transparent statement of the phonological rules,' as set out below in Chapter Three.

The feature [anterior] is employed only in order to distinguish apico-alveolar from apicopostalveolar or retroflex sounds: apico-alveolar consonants are [+anterior] while retroflex consonants are [-anterior]. This feature groups sounds made at bilabial and apico-alveolar positions together as a class, but one motivated by distinctive feature theory rather than by processes internal to Worrorra grammar.

The lamino-palatal consonants $[c],[n],[\lambda]$ and $[j]$ are produced with a constriction that extends for some distance along the palate. They are thus [+distributed], and this feature has acoustic correlates that clearly distinguish the Worrorra lamino-palatal stop [ c$]$ from the dorso-palatal stop ( $\left[\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ ) found in some other languages. ${ }^{18}$

[^10]Table 2.1(a): distinctive features: oral and nasal stops

| Orthographic: | b | d | rd | j | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}$ | m | n | rn | ny | ng |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phonemic: | p | t | t | c | k | m | n | $\eta$ | n | n |
| Syllabic | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonorant | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| Coronal | - | + | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | - |
| Anterior | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - |
| High | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | + | + |
| Back | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | + |
| Continuant | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Consonantal | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Distributed | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | + | - |
| Nasal | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| Lateral | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Round | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 2.1(b): distinctive features: laterals, glides \& trill

| Orthographic: | l | rl | ly | r | y | w | rr |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phonemic: | l | l | $\lambda$ | l | j | w | r |
| Syllabic | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sonorant | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Coronal | + | + | + | + | + | - | + |
| Anterior | + | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| High | - | - | + | - | + | + | - |
| Back | - | - | - | - | - | + | - |
| Continuant | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Consonantal | + | + | + | - | - | - | + |
| Distributed | - | - | + | - | + | - | - |
| Nasal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lateral | + | + | + | - | - | - | - |
| Round | - | - | - | - | - | + | - |

Although the feature [distributed] serves here systematically only to distinguish lamino-palatal consonants from other non-peripheral phonemes, it is nevertheless a clearly audible phonetic feature of this class of sounds.

Lamino-palatal consonants are articulated with the blade (and tip?) of the tongue raised above neutral position, and so are [+coronal]. In Worrorra the feature [+coronal] serves to group the apicoalveolar, retroflex and lamino-palatal consonants together as a class, one that corresponds to the class of [-peripheral] sounds proposed by Dixon (1980: 180-194). Dixon's peripheral (bilabial, velar)/nonperipheral (apico-alveolar, retroflex, palatal) distinction is important in Worrorra phonology and morphology, and can be captured by the feature [coronal] as it is used here: peripheral sounds are [-coronal], and non-peripheral ones are [+coronal].

The feature [round] selects those sounds made with a degree of lip-rounding, and unites the glide $[\mathrm{w}]$ and the back vowels [ v ], [כ:] and [u:] into a class specified as [+round]. This feature is needed to distinguish the [+back, -high] vowels [a] and [a:] ([-round]) from [כ:] ([+round]).

Table 2.1(c): distinctive features: vowels

| Orthographic: | a | aa | i | ee | u | oo | e | o |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phonemic: | a | a: | i | i: | v | $\mathrm{u}:$ | $\varepsilon:$ | $\mathrm{J}:$ |
| Syllabic | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Sonorant | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Continuant | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Consonantal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| High | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - |
| Back | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | + |
| Round | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + |
| Long | - | + | - | + | - | + | + | + |

Other groups of sounds that Worrorra treats as classes can be discerned by feature specifications as follows:

The feature [+high] groups together lamino-palatal and velar consonants, the glide [w], and the high vowels [i], [i:], [v] and [u:]. These sounds do not form a natural class in Worrorra; further specification is needed to form one. The addition of the feature [back] produces sets of sounds which do form natural classes in Worrorra: the class of lamino-palatal consonants and high front vowels is defined by the features [+high, -back], and that of velar consonants, [ $w$ ] and the high back vowels is specified [+high, +back]. Velar consonants and the vowels [a] and [a:] form a natural class in Worrorra which can be distinguished by the specification [+back, -round].

The apical consonants (alveolar and post-alveolar) may be specified [ + coronal, high], and retroflex consonants can be further distinguished as [+coronal, high, -anterior]. As we will see when we come to consider phonotactic constraints on syllables in $\$ 2.4$ below, the apical/non-apical distinction in Worrorra phonology is an important one, and non-apical consonants may be characterized disjunctively as [(+high), (+anterior, -coronal)].

The feature [sonorant] unites the oral stops and the trill [r] in a class of [-sonorant] sounds. The apico-alveolar stop [ t ] has a trill allophone [r]. All other Worrorra phonemes are [+sonorant]. The feature [continuant] unites oral and nasal stops in a class specified [-continuant]. All other sounds are [ + continuant], including the trill [r]. While airflow in the oral cavity is momentarily and repeatedly obstructed in the production of the trill [r], the obstruction is not sufficient to cause a significant blockage. The trill therefore shares perceptual characteristics with fricatives generally in this respect, and is [+continuant]. Laterals and [r] are the only sounds with the feature specification [+continuant, + consonantal], and laterals and [r] interact in interesting ways in the language's phonology (see $\$ 3.2$, rules 11,14 and 17). Laterals and the trill are themselves distinguished by way of the feature [lateral]; $[I],[\lambda]$ and [l] are [+lateral], all other consonants are [-lateral].

Vowels are [+syllabic] while consonants, including glides, are all [-syllabic]. The feature [consonantal] unites the important class of glides with that of vowels, which are both [-consonantal]. Glides can thus be distinguished from other consonants as [-syllabic, -consonantal], as opposed to all other consonants which are [-syllabic, + consonantal]. The formation of [ $\lambda$ ] in Worrorra is analogous to that of the other glides: no contact is made between articulators, which serve merely to constrict the oral cavity in the postalveolar region, just as [j] constricts the oral cavity in the palatal region, and [w] in the labial and velar regions. The feature [long] applies only to vowels, distinguishing long from short (consonants are all [-long] by default, as no geminate consonants may occur). This arrangement does not highlight a separate class of rhotics: the rhotics [r] and [ 4 ] do not pattern together in a significant way in Worrorra.

### 2.2 Consonants

Worrorra has 17 consonant phonemes divided among five places and five manners of articulation, in a typically Australian manner. The places of articulation are bilabial, apico-alveolar ('alveolar'), apicopostalveolar or retroflex, lamino-palatal ('palatal') and velar. The manners of articulation are oral stop, nasal stop, lateral, trill and glide. Five oral stops and five nasal stops are paired at each place of articulation, and in addition three laterals are aligned with the nasal and oral stop series in [+coronal] (non-peripheral) places of articulation. There is one trill and three glides, in complementary distribution in terms of their places of articulation. The labio-velar glide $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is articulated at both bilabial and velar positions. The consonant inventory is shown in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2: consonant phonemes

|  | Bilabial | Alveolar | Retroflex | Palatal | Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stop | $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{p}]$ | $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{t}]$ | $\mathrm{rd}[\mathrm{t}]$ | $\mathrm{j}[\mathrm{c}]$ | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}[\mathrm{k}]$ |
| Nasal | $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{n}[\mathrm{n}]$ | $\mathrm{rn}[\mathrm{n}]$ | $\mathrm{ny}[\mathrm{n}]$ | $\mathrm{ng}[\mathrm{n}]$ |
| Lateral |  | $1[I]$ | $\mathrm{rl}[[]$ | $\mathrm{ly}[\lambda]$ |  |
| Trill |  | $\mathrm{rr}[\mathrm{rr}]$ |  |  |  |
| Glide | $\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{w}]$ |  | $\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{l}]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{j}]$ | (w) $[\mathrm{w}]$ |

The oral stops are typically unvoiced and unaspirated, and, except for [c], are unreleased word-finally. They are, however, voiced or partially voiced after nasals, as in dambeem ['tambi:m] 'place,' joonba ['cu:nbe] 'ceremony' and kunjunganangkorri [jkunfuneney'go:ri] 's/he told them.' Nasal stops, laterals and glides are voiced. The trill is unvoiced except between vowels, where it is voiced: arrka ['arke] 'they' vs. arru ['aru] 'they over that way.'

The phonemic distinction between the apico-alveolar and apico-postalveolar places of articulation does not seem to bear much of a functional load; it appears to be a primarily articulatory characteristic, as evidenced by morphemes such as -aarndu ['a:ndu] 'DUAL,' and words such Grarl [kıal] or [kǔtıal] 'NAME OF CLAN COUNTRY,' rdarlurn ['talun] 'looming' murn [mun] 'gaze' and minjarl ['minfal] 'eat,' where retroflexion is clearly audible. There are a few minimal pairs, such as warli ['wali] 'sea turtle' and wali ['wali] 'wait!; PERFECT ASPECT,' which are always clearly distinguishable from context, and some subminimal pairs such as jada ['cate] 'straight, direct' and jardi ['cati] 'spinifex.' The distinction seems, moreover, to be a relative one; one person's alveolar position is another's postalveolar: there are no absolute criteria for an apical contrast. Nevertheless the distinction needs to be recorded on articulatory grounds: retroflexion is a property of consonants in certain lexemes, such as those listed above, and to disregard this fact about their acoustic perception is to miss a characteristic property of the phonology. Retroflexion is recognizable most clearly as an $r$-colouring effect on preceding vowels, which are usually backed and lowered before a retroflex consonant. Possibly the best way of capturing the phonemic characteristics of retroflex consonants is to understand them as consisting essentially of consonant clusters, ie. an apico-alveolar consonant preceded by the retroflex glide [ 1$]$, with a degree of coarticulation. Apical contrasts are illustrated further below:

| $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{r}$ | daay | wear | raay | engrave, cut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{rr}: \mathrm{d}$ | jarra | charge, attack | jada | straight, direct |
|  | debarr | die | debadi | die (pl actors) |
|  | baarru | dream | baadu | they might be |
| r: rr | nguru | hear | -ngurru | away, ALLATIVE |
|  | kari | paddle | karri | sorry |
|  | arrbareya | murderous (pl) | arrbarreya | sick $(p l)$ |
|  | -aara | 1DAT | aarra | sick |
| rr: rd | ngarru | whither? | ngardu | camp for a few days |
|  | karraa | mother! | karda | stuck |
|  | jarri | dig | jardi | spinifex |
| rd:r | wardi | Ihope | wari | kangaroos |


| $\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{rd}$ | ngadu | let us be | ngardu | camp for a few days |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{rl}$ | wari | kangaroos | warli | sea turtle |
|  | karikari | paddle along | karlikarli | sulky |
| $\mathrm{r}: 1$ | braai | put something up bigh | blaai | pound, grind |
| $\mathrm{l}: \mathrm{rl}$ | wali | wait! | warli | sea turtle |
| $\mathrm{rn}: \mathrm{n}$ | ngurnba | knot in tree | bungunba | you might fall |

It is particularly hard to find minimal pairs for the apical nasals, and I suspect that the functional contrast really is collapsed in these forms. Silverstein (nd 2:6) offers nima 'very sick' vs rima 'heavy,' but my instructors would not accept the 'very sick' interpretation, and it is possible that this meaning may be a metaphorical extension of the other.

It is possible that the homorganic prenasalized stop sequence /ngk/ ([ng]) may be a unit phoneme; an analysis taking this point of view would not distort the phonology. Indeed there is considerable support for this position in the morphology, as seen in $₫ 3.1$ in Velar glide hardening rule 2 and Velar nasalization rule 7 ; in Table 3.1 in $\$ 3.2$, and in the morphological extension processes reviewed in §13.1.1.

Except for the trill $/ \mathrm{rr} /$ and the glide $/ \mathrm{y} /$, in andante or elicitation speech the consonants do not display much obvious allophonic variation. The phoneme $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is treated in $\$ 2.5$, and the allophones of $/ \mathrm{rr} /$ will be described here. The trill $/ \mathrm{rr} /$ is typically unvoiced when it occurs before $/ \mathrm{l} /$, although perceptually there seems to be a fair bit of variability in the onset of voicing, from half-way through the trill to half-way through the lateral, or occasionally both may be voiceless. The trill /rr/ is voiced between other voiced phonemes, and unvoiced elsewhere:

```
\(/ \mathrm{rr} / \mathrm{\longrightarrow}[\mathrm{r}] /[+\) sonorant \(] \ldots\) [+sonorant, - lateral]
    [r] / elsewhere
```

The phoneme /rr/ appears consistently as a trill in front of velar consonants: arrka ['arke] 'they,' arrvu
 /b/: brrabrra ['pra'pra] '(many people) waking up.' In front of /l/, /rr/ displays the two allophones [r] and [r] in free variation, as in arrlungkuma ['arlup,guma] or ['arluy,gume] 'their knees.' Between vowels /rr/ is trilled in slow speech, but usually becomes a voiced tap [r] in fast speech: arru ['aru] ~ ['aru] 'them over there.' /rr/ has a stop allophone [t] word finally: dalorr [ta'lכ:t] 'sink-hole,' kulnmerr [kuln'me:t] 'tail,' debarr ['t $\varepsilon$ :pet] 'die.' That these words really do end in $/ \mathrm{rr} /$ and not $/ \mathrm{d} /$ is seen when they are followed by a word starting with a vowel or a velar consonant: debarr ingkenga ['tz:perin,ge:ŋre] 'when he died,' dalorr wali [ta'اد:r,wali] 'sink-hole PERF.' Finally, /rr/ has an allophone [t] before /b/: arrburrka ['at ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ purke] 'let's go to him,' durrba ['tutpe] 'recuperate,' Arrbalandi ['atpa|landi] 'people of the Prince Regent River clan.' ${ }^{19}$

Trill allophony (ignoring voicing) may therefore be summarized as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
/ \mathrm{rr} / \longrightarrow> & {[\mathrm{t}] / \ldots \mathrm{p}, \# } \\
& {[\mathrm{r}] \sim[\mathrm{r}] / \mathrm{V}-\mathrm{V} } \\
& {[\mathrm{r}] / \text { elsewhere } }
\end{aligned}
$$

Constraints on consonant clusters aside ( $\$ 2.4$ ), consonants are distributed within words quite freely, that is to say that all consonants may occur word initially, word medially and word finally, with the following three exceptions: (i) $/ \mathrm{rr} /$ is barred from word-initial position, (ii) $/ \mathrm{r} /$ is barred from wordfinal position, and (iii) $/ \mathrm{ly} /$ is barred from both word-initial and word-final positions, ie, it may only occur word-medially. This is one of the few areas of the phonology where the rhotics $/ \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{rr} /$ pattern together, in that they are in complementary distribution at the extremities of words.

[^11]
### 2.3 Vowels

Worrorra has a fairly unusual vowel system for an Australian language, with three short and five long vowels. The three short vowels show maximal separation and correspondingly, a fair amount of allophonic variation. As a general rule, short vowels tend to become rounded when adjacent to bilabial ([-coronal, +anterior]) consonants, and raised and fronted when adjacent to palatal ([+high, -back]) consonants.

The vowel /a/ has an allophone [a] in most positions of primary and secondary stress, as in arrvu ['arwu] 'let's hit him' and minjarl ['minfal] 'eat.' When it comes after /w/ , /a/ has an allophone [b], as in waju ['wbcu] 'let's do it,' wanjurna ['wbnfyne] 'dreamtime ancestor,' ngawanya [ทa'wpne] 'your big sister' and wara ['wpıe] 'kangaroo.' When in non-final position, /a/ is fronted to [æ] after a palatal
 ngunyanga ['ŋunæŋァ] 'you went.' The vowel /a/ is raised a bit more to [ $\varepsilon$ ] when it comes in between palatal consonants, as in yaji ['jॄָci] 'joyful,' kaanyajo [ka:'nદ̦cכ:] 'we hit them,' nyayu ['nદָju] 'it (celestial) will go' and binyajin [ןpinș'cin] 'we (exc) should do it.' This pattern only applies with conditions: (i) at least one of the palatal consonants must be [+sonorant], and (ii) it does not occur when the vowel /a/ comes after $/ \mathrm{j} /$ at the beginning of a word: jajarrwa ['cæcærwe] 'shivering' and janyo [cæ'лכ:] 'you will hit me.' In all other unstressed positions /a/ has an allophone [e]. The allophony of /a/ is summarized below:

```
\(/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{C} \quad[\mathrm{p}] / \mathrm{w}\)
```

$\qquad$

```
[æ] / [+consonantal, +high, -back]
``` \(\qquad\)
``` ... \#
[æ] / \#j
``` \(\qquad\)
```

[६] / / [+cons, +high, -back, +son] ___ [+cons, +high, -back]
[a] / elsewhere [+stress]
[e] / elsewhere [-stress]

```

The vowel /i/ has two allophones, [i] and [r]. Generally, [i] occurs word-finally and in primarystressed syllables, and [r] occurs elsewhere: wurlarnbirri ['wulan,bıri] 'belongings,' kumrlinya [kum'line] 'stone curlew.' Also note however that [i] is found before /y/ and /ny/: iyarnda [ijæænde] 'little boy’ and ingunalinyini ['mourneli,nıni] 'until (it is) new.' Surprisingly there are no instances of /i-ly/ sequences in the database. This allophony is summarized below:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text { /i/ —> } & {[\mathrm{i}] /[+ \text { stress }] } \\
& {[\mathrm{i}] /=\square \# } \\
& {[\mathrm{i}] /=[+ \text { cons, +high, -back, +son }] } \\
& {[\mathrm{I}] / \text { elsewhere } }
\end{aligned}
\]

The vowel /u/ patterns in a similar way to /i/, having an allophone [u] word-finally, and an allophone \([v]\) in most other places. The [u] allophone is slightly lowered and centred from cardinal position, such that there may not be very much audible difference between the two sounds. Examples are waju ['wbcu] 'let's do it,' and budurrwu ['putvrwu] 'snoring.' Generally, /u/ is fronted when adjacent to palatal consonants. After palatals it normally has an allophone [y]: jujurr ['cycyr] 'haul along,' yurr [jyr] 'pull,' jubakuya [cy'pakyje] 'tobacco,' yulul ['jylyl] 'approach' and kubajunyarri [kv'pacy,næri] 'they told us.' This pattern only applies when: (i) \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) is not word-final (in this latter case it retains its [u] allophone: Yanmalyu [jænme \(u\) u] 'PLACE NAME'), and (ii) when the following consonant is not velar. When the following consonant is velar, the [v] allophone is found: nyungkubulu ['nvŋgupulu] 'her eyes,' kunjungu ['kunfunu] 's/he did it' and marlabujuk ['male,pucuk] 'black-faced cuckoo shrike.' Futhermore, /u/ has an allophone [y] when it occurs between a velar consonant and /y/: jubakuya [cy'pakyje] 'tobacco,' nguyul ['ŋyjyl] 'strike,' angkeuyu ['aŋgyju] 'who?' and keuyaarriya [ky'ja:rije] 'sulphurcrested cockatoo;' indeed, so fronted is this rounded vowel that Love (1939b:21) spelled this word kwi'ari. This allophony is summarized below:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& / \mathrm{u} / \ldots \quad[\mathrm{u}] / \ldots \text { \# } \\
& \text { [y] / [+cons, +high, -back]__ [+cons, +high, +back] } \\
& \text { [y] / [+cons, +high, +back] } \\
& \text { y } \\
& \text { [v] / elsewhere }
\end{aligned}
\]

The distinction between \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{u}\) / is neutralized word-finally, so that these words end in \(/ \mathrm{V}[-\) long, +back]/. Alternations such as the following are therefore found:
ngalengku or ngalengka
ingumbu or ingumba
inganngumbu or inganngumba
ngayu or ngaya
ngarreyu or ngarreya
wangayu or wangaya
imayu or imaya
ngurnawaju or ngurnawaja
kajarimu or kajarima
nganunu or nganuna
wunu or wuna \({ }^{20}\)
my throat
bis name
if I bit him
I
let's go
women
cold
may I belp you?
can we say his name?
I was
CELESTLAL DEFINITE ARTICLE

Different speakers seem to select one or the other alternative fairly consistently. Although it is often clear which vowel occurs underlyingly, this may not be reflected in the phonology. For example although the forms inganngumbu/inganngumba end in the (underlying) root morpheme \(={ }^{b} w n\) 'hit;' and the forms ngarreyu / ngarreya end in the underlying morpheme =ya 'go,' this does not appear to influence the phonetic outcome of the final vowel in these words. In other words such as ngalengku/ngalengka and imaya/ imayu there is actually no way of telling which vowel, if either, is underlying, and their phonological representation is accordingly unsystematic.

As well as this, the phonemic distinction between short vowels and / \(\varnothing /\) may be neutralized word finally in speech at other than elicitation speeds. Alternations such as the following are therefore found:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
wangalangu or wangalang & child \\
braarru or braarr & dawn \\
yulu or yul & stubborn \\
dambeema or dambeem & place \\
jijaaya or jijaay & dad \\
kumnyenga or kumnyeng & s/he said/ did it \\
wungkurru or wungkurr & sacred \\
jimbirriji or jimbirrij & giant groper \\
wayurru or wayurr & underneath
\end{tabular}

The long vowels are /ee/ [i:], /e/ [ع:], /oo/ [u:], /o/ [ว:] and /aa/ [a:], and occur in more or less cardinal positions in a five-vowel system. The approximate relative locations of short and long vowels are sketched diagrammatically in figure 2.1.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{20}\) Love (1934) heard wuna consistently where I heard wunu.
}


Figure 2.1: vowel space
Minimal and subminimal pairs for the low vowels /a/ and /aa/ are common, but difficult to find for the pairs of high vowels:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
a : aa & aja & sit & aaja & rain \\
& awa & he & aawa & open \\
& karranya & your mother & karraanya & my mother \\
& nyangkangurru & he carries her & nyangkaangurru & when he carries her \\
i: ee & kawi & not him & kawee & he hits himself \\
& irri & not want & irree & put him down \\
& kunyila & moon & kunyeerla & he placed it \\
u: oo & murdu & island & =murdoo & heal \\
& -ngurru & away, ALLATIVE & ngurroo & turn away
\end{tabular}

The allophonic range of the long vowels is smaller than that of the short ones. The vowel /aa/ is always [a:], except when it comes before word-final / \(\mathrm{y} /\), when it may be shortened and raised slightly to a diphthong ([a'r]; for further discussion see \(\$ 2.5\) ). /ee/ is nearly always [i:], or a little lower and more centralized when unstressed. Long /oo/ is uniformly [u:] word-finally, and [u:] elsewhere. The long vowels /e/ and /o/ have somewhat wider allophonic ranges; /e/ is typically realized as [ \(\varepsilon\) :], but may be heard raised to [e:]. /o/ ranges typically from [ \(\mathrm{\rho}:]\) to [ \(\mathrm{p}:]\). This variation is not conditioned. An allophone [ou] of /o/ is found in front of / w/: mardowa [maltouwe] 'walk.' An allophone [er] of /e/ is heard in front of /y/ and /ny/: nyeyu ['nerju] 'she will go' and kengenya [ke:'ŋeince] 'he can go.' Again, the sequence /e-ly/ is not found in the database.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /ee/ —> [i:] / [+stress] } \\
& \text { [i:] / } \\
& \text { \# } \\
& \text { [r:] / elsewhere }
\end{aligned}
\]

Apart from those pertaining to diphthongs ( \(\$ 2.5\) ), phonemic vowels are not subject to any distributional constraints; that is, all phonemic vowels may occur word initially, word medially and word finally.

\subsection*{2.3.1 The story of \([\breve{t}]\)}

An extra-short, epenthetic vowel [ \(\breve{u}]\) or [ \(\mathfrak{f}\) ] occurs in some situations to break up consonant clusters, and in some other situations where it freely alternates with \(\varnothing\). The consonant clusters so effected are unusual for an Australian language, and are not common in Worrorra itself.

This vowel has a wide range of realizations, all of which are conditioned anaptyctically, that is, by the phonetic properties of adjacent consonants. In free variation it may commonly occur fronted as [ \(\dagger\) ], or lowered, or rounded if adjacent to [ + round] consonants. In all its realizations it is noticeably shorter than other short vowels.

The phone [ t\(]\) ~ [f] serves epenthetically to break up consonant clusters; some examples are mrnangkanya [mü'nangene] 'young adolescent girl,' arr'ra ['arǚe] 'male grey kangaroo,' jrr'nkurnja
 's/he said' and Wrrorra [wütro:re ~ w千trore] 'Worrorra;' also note the minimal pair warrey ['wareiç] 'summon' and wrrey [wưtrecç] 'bake (food) under hot sand.' The anaptyctic vowels in the word jrr'nkurnja ( \(\left[1 \breve{C} \breve{r} \breve{\square} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}\right.\) gưre]) are so short that they take up only one beat or mora, so that the word has only three morae, and is in effect trisyllabic. In English this word is written Jinkun, and Love (1939b:75) spelled it tjingundja, reflecting the way in which it is perceived by English speakers. \({ }^{21}\) The analysis of \([\breve{4}] \sim[\breve{\dagger}]\) offered here is comparable to Foley's (1991:46-47) analysis of the epenthetic function of the vowel [ \(\mathfrak{i}\) ] in Yimas. \({ }^{22}\)

Syllable-initial consonant clusters such as /bl/ in blaai [pla:I] 'pound,' /kr/ in krooma ['kıu:me] 'white cypress pine' and /brr/ in brrak [prak] 'wake up' may or may not be separated by [ \(\breve{u}]\) in slow, deliberate speech, but not in fast speech. For example, in uttering [kutyal] for the name of the clan country Grarl, I have on more than one occasion been corrected to [kıal]. The two nasals of the discourse-deictic infix -mnya- may be separated by [f] in short words like kumnyeng [ \(\left.\mathrm{kum} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{ne}: \mathrm{n}\right]\) (|kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng|) 's/he said,' but in longer words with more complex metres the epenthetic vowel disappears, even in slow speech: notice how kawarramnyaarndenga [|kawerem, na: \(\left.\eta^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon: \mathrm{\jmath r}\right]\) (|ka-bwarr-a-mnya=kwarnde-nga|) 'they placed him' contains only six syllables: kà.wa.rram.nyàarn.dé.nga. The phonetic shape of the morpheme -mnya- varies from speaker to speaker; so, eg, depending on who is speaking, and how fast they are speaking, ngamnyarlelwana 'I was born' is heard as either [„ŋampe| \(\mid \varepsilon\) :l'wbne], a five-syllable word with primary stress on the fourth syllable, or as [„ŋamч̆ne'|ع:Iwnne], a six-syllable word with primary stress again on the fourth syllable. Again, note how imnyaangurrunangka [Im 1 'na:nurv|nenge] 'take him to him/her' and irramnyaangurrunangka [Irem'na:nurunenge] 'you all take him to him/her' both contain seven syllables, even though the second form of the verb contains an extra morpheme ( 2 p -rra-). Epenthetic [ 7 ] occurs in the first form, but not in the second. More instances of this sort are to be found in \(\$ 2.6\). The vowel \([\breve{t} \sim \breve{7}]\) thus alternates with \(\varnothing\) in these environments, and is treated as epenthetic here for that reason as well. Normally absent in fast speech, \([\breve{u}]\) does not represent any articulatory goal in Worrorra, and lacks phonemic status.

Silverstein (nd 2:8) also observed this phenomenon in Worrorra, particularly with respect to rhotics and r-colouring:

In some words it is hard to hear the sounds [r] and [ \(\lambda\) ] when the words are said fast. [...] To speakers of English, these words sound like they have a very short u sound, or something in between a very short \(u\) and a very short \(i\). But really the words have just an \([r]\) or an \([\ell]\).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{21}\) But cf eg Ngarinyman jirrikit 'owlet nightjar.'
\({ }^{22}\) In Yimas \([\dagger]\) is a separate phoneme, as well as having epenthetic status in some situations. Nevertheless the manner in which this vowel functions epenthetically in phonology is comparable in both Worrorra and Yimas.
}

Among examples, Silverstein offers [arıa] arr'ra 'male grey kangaroo,' [bınara] burnarra 'animal' and [bıda] b'rda 'sister's daughter's son.'

\subsection*{2.4 Consonant clusters}

Constraints on consonant clusters vary according to whether the cluster occurs (i) within a syllable, (ii) across a syllable boundary or (iii) across a reduplication boundary, with the tightest constraints operating on (i) and the loosest on (iii). \({ }^{23}\) If a cluster is permissible at (i), then it is also permissible at (ii) and (iii); that is, there is an implicational hierarchy in the ordering of constraints. A reduplication boundary occurs when a sequence is repeated, even if the sequence is not itself a morpheme. For example the shapes / jak/ and /bard/ are not morphemes as far as I am aware, but are found repeated in the onomatopoeic preverbs jakjak 'whittle, shave' and bardbard '(animal) shake water out of its fur.' The types of consonant clusters permitted across reduplication boundaries (in these examples \(/ \mathrm{k}+\mathfrak{j} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{rd}+\mathrm{b} /\) ) are prohibited from occurring syllable internally, and only one of these clusters (/rd+b/) may occur across other kinds of syllable boundaries. It is probably the case that retroflex and apicoalveolar consonants are equivalent in their distributional possibilities within clusters, and the absence of examples of certain clusters containing these kinds of consonants should probably be understood to indicate gaps in the database rather than gaps in the phonology.

\subsection*{2.4.1 Syllable structure}

Syllables are defined by their peaks, and so their boundaries are notoriously difficult to determine (eg Hyman 1975:188). In this section syllable boundaries will be determined by appealing to the relative phonological naturalness of one solution over another (Hyman 1975:161). Decisions made here will have consequences for the consideration of syllabification in \(\$ 2.4 .2\).

Syllables in Worrorra may be open (V, CV, CCV) or closed (VC, CVC, VCC). Long vowels and diphthongs also occur ( \(\$ 2.5\) ), both represented here as VV , so that the inventory of syllable types may be doubled by adding VV, CVV, CCVV, VVC, CVVC and VVCC. Although there are no geminate consonants, a number of different types of consonant clusters are found within syllables. Attested syllable-internal consonant clusters occur in onset and coda positions. The following patterns are found in onset position:
(i) b
(ii) 1
\(\mathrm{b}+{ }_{\mathrm{rr}}^{\mathrm{rl}}\)
rr
\(m+\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & r\end{aligned}\)
r

That is, (i) a [-distributed] oral stop followed by /r/; (ii) /b/ followed by a [+continuant, + coronal, -distributed] consonant; and (iii) \(/ \mathrm{m} /\) followed by a [+continuant, +sonorant, -high] consonant. Examples are (i) arrbri 'their heads,' drarr 'gut (an animal),' krooma 'white cypress pine;' (ii) blaai 'pound,' brlung 'emerge, pop out from a confined space,' maarndabrlam 'gorge (topographic),' brrak 'wake up,' braarr 'clear, sweep away,' and (iii) ngunmlarru 'your forehead,' kaanmranga 's/he collected them' and ngunmri 'your head.' Because the cluster / \(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{r} /\) occurs in (i), I will assume that the cluster \(/ \mathrm{rd}+\mathrm{r} /\) is not barred from this position either. Syllable finally, the combinations found are:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (i) } & \text { b } & \text { (ii) } \\
\text { l } & +\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{w}
\end{array}
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{23}\) The term 'consonant cluster' as used here will refer in the first instance to any string of two or more consonants; situations in which the term is used explicitly to refer to complex onsets and codas will be made clear from context.
}

Examples of (i) are -aalb 'ABLATIVE, from,' kulnmerr 'tail' and balngkoninya 'female plains kangaroo (Macropus rufus).' These clusters are uncommon, with few examples. The cluster in (ii) is found so far as I know only in derived forms of the root morpheme \(=^{b} w(a) m a l e ~ ' y o u n g e r ~ s i b l i n g, ' ~ i n ~ p l u r a l ~ s h a p e s ~\) such as ngarrwmaleya 'our (inc) little brother.' The cluster / \(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{w} /\) seems to be a double articulation in this situation: [ \(\eta\) narwme'le:je].

Some generalizations about these clusters are called for: note first of all that [+distributed] consonants are barred from taking part in clusters. This is congruent with the inability mentioned in §2.2 of the palatal /ly/ to occur in any position other than word medially. Also, consonants taking part in clusters may be divided into interior and exterior phonotactic types, the exterior ones being on the outside of the syllable and the interior consonants being closest to the syllable's vocalic nucleus. When this is done it is clear that the [+coronal, -distributed] continuants \(/ \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{rl} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) are interior, and that stops, both oral and nasal, are exterior. The bilabial stops \(/ \mathrm{b} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{m} /\) are particularly widespread in clusters in exterior position, and even the bilabial glide \(/ \mathrm{w} /\) is found here in one restricted context.

Added to this may be the observation that no syllable in the database contains both an initial as well as a final CC: this may be just an artefact of fieldwork, but then again it may not. These observations enable us to characterize the structure of Worrorra syllable-internal consonant cluster + vowel sequences as either \(\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{LV}\) or \(\mathrm{VLC}_{1}\), where \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) is a stop or \(/ \mathrm{w} /\), and L is a liquid (lateral or rhotic); and where these shapes are barred from both appearing in the same syllable. In summary, then, we can note that the following range of structures characterizes Worrorra syllables:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
V & eg. & aja \\
VC & inja & sit \\
CV & aja & the, this (masc) \\
CVC & jok & sit \\
& & heap, pile up \\
VV & aa & and \\
VVC & aalmara & European \\
CVV & ke & and \\
CVVC & baardmarra & white-breasted sea eagle \\
& & \\
VLC & arrwmaleya & our (exc) little brother \\
CLV & arrbri & their heads \\
CLVC & brlung & emerge \\
CVLC & nyinjalngkanya & her ankle \\
& & \\
VVLC & aalb & from \\
CLVV & blaai & pound \\
CLVVC & kraab & large seed, nut \\
CVVLC & bawarraalb & from far away
\end{tabular}

These strings may be summarized in a skeletal syllable structure that is symmetrical front and back as shown in (2.1), where the subscripts \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are intended to indicate the disjunctive distribution of liquids in consonant clusters:
\[
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{C})\left(\mathrm{L}_{\alpha}\right) \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~V})\left(\mathrm{L}_{\beta}\right)(\mathrm{C}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

\subsection*{2.4.2 Syllabification}

The commonest and in some ways the most characteristic consonant clusters across syllable boundaries are homorganic nasal+stop and lateral+stop pairs. A low-level rule of progressive assimilation applies to nasals and laterals at the least marked place of articulation, apico-alveolar, as follows:
\[
1, \mathrm{n} \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { place }] / \ldots[+ \text { coronal, -anterior, } \rightarrow \text { sonorant, } \alpha \text { place }]
\]

This rule states in effect that \(/ \mathrm{n} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{l} /\) assimilate to the position of an immediately following retroflex or lamino-palatal oral stop:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{rd} \longrightarrow \mathrm{rn}+\mathrm{rd} & / \mathrm{rnd} / \\
\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{rd} \longrightarrow \mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{rd} & / \mathrm{rld} / \\
\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{ny}+\mathrm{j} & / \mathrm{nj} / \\
\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{ly}+\mathrm{j} & / \mathrm{lj} /
\end{array}
\]

The retroflex clusters /rn+rd/ [nd] and /rl+rd/ [lt] are represented parsimoniously in the orthography as /rnd/ and /rld/, and the palatal clusters /ny \(+\mathrm{j} /[\mathrm{nf}]\) and \(/ \mathrm{ly}+\mathrm{j} /[\lambda \mathrm{c}]\) are represented by \(/ \mathrm{nj} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{lj} /\) respectively. Examples of homorganic clusters are:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
/mb/ & aambulu & bis eyes \\
/nd/ & yandalba & but \\
/rnd/ & barndaya & mainland \\
/nj/ & ngunju & you \\
/ngk/ & angkam & bowl \\
/ld/ & anjoldanya & in the sky \\
/rld/ & mungurldi & loggerbead turtle \\
/lj/ & dalja & grow
\end{tabular}

In non-homorganic two-place consonant clusters, where patterns can be discerned, it is generally the case that the second member is [+coronal, high] (ie apical). Other patterns show that the first member is often [+coronal, high] or just [+coronal]. The following inventory sorts clusters by the manner-classes of articulation of their components, namely oral stop ( T ), nasal stop ( N ), liquid (lateral or rhotic) (L) and glide (G), with first (1) and second (2) members of pairs listed for each combination. \(\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}\) clusters are particularly common, and characteristic of the phonology:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}: & \text { 1: n, rn } \quad[+ \text { coronal, -distributed }] \\
& \text { 2: m, ny, ng ([-coronal]), ([+distributed }])
\end{array}
\]
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}:\) & kaanmanga & s/he got them \\
\(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{ny:}\) & binjeennyarri & you (sg) should tell us \\
\(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{ng}:\) & bungkenngarri & s/he should tell us (inc) \\
\(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{m}:\) & burnma & TERRESTRIAL PRO-FORM \\
\(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{ny:}\) & kajirnnyini & as if now \\
& burnnya & FEMININE PRO-FORM \\
\(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{ng}:\) & iwarnbarnngarri & king brown snake \\
& & \\
\(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{ny}\) & kawarramnyaarndenga & they placed bim
\end{tabular}

The cluster \(/ \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{rn} /\) is also possible non-systematically as in nanjan-rnanya 'on a ladder,' a variant of the more common and systematic form nanjanma-rnanya, with class marker -ma. The rare form nanjanrnanya is heard with a geminate apical nasal, which I interpret as a cluster \(/ \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{rn} /\).
```

N+L: 1:m
2: l, rl, r [+coronal, -distributed]

| $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{l}:$ | imlarru | his forehead |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{rl}:$ | kumrlinya | stone curlew |
| $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{r}:$ | imri | his head |

```

The clusters \(/ \mathrm{m}+\mathrm{l} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{m}+\mathrm{r} /\) also occur syllable-internally.

\(/ \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{l}\) / is an \(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{L}\) string only when \(/ \mathrm{rr}\) / is pronounced as a trill ([r]); otherwise it is more properly a \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{L}\) cluster. See below for discussion.

Underlying \(|\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{rl}|\) sequences end up as \(/ \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{l} /\) by a rule of deretroflexion.
If retroflex consonants are underlyingly \(/ \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{C} /\) sequences \((\$ 2.2)\), then the sound represented here as \(/ \mathrm{rl}\) / is really an \(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{L}\) cluster \(/ \mathrm{r}+\mathrm{l} /\).

We could posit an \(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{L}\) sequence \(/ \mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{ly}\) / in the clan country name Grarlya, but this sound is interpreted here as an \(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{G}\) string \(/ \mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{y} /\), to pattern with other, similar sequences (see below).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{N}: \quad 1\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
[ \(\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{rl}, \mathrm{ly}, \mathrm{rr}\) coronal] \\
m, ny, ng \(\quad([-\) coronal \(]),([+\) distributed \(])\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \(1+\mathrm{m}\) : & aalmara & European \\
\hline \(1+n y:\) & nyimbanaalnyale & she fell down here as well \\
\hline \(1+\mathrm{ng}\) : & molnganema & river \\
\hline \(\mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{m}\) : & minjarlminjarl & eating (a meal) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{ng}\) : & warlngarrey & turn around quickly \\
\hline ly+ny: & jinalynyine & with a spear \\
\hline \(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{ng}\) : & mamurrnguma & apart, separate \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note also the syllable-internal sequence \(/ 1+\mathrm{n} /\) in kulnmerr 'tail.'
```

L+T: Homorganic clusters plus 1:1, rl, rr [+coronal, -distributed]
2: b, j, k ([-coronal]), ([+distributed])
1+b: kabalba earth, ground
l+k: malka play
rl+b: bundurlba the bush
rl+j: jukurljukurl very bappy
rl+k: burlkumba northern brush-tailed possum
rr+k: arrka they

```

Underlying \(\mid \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{d}\), \(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{rd} \mid\) and \(|\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{j}|\) sequences end \(u p\) as \(/ \mathrm{d}\), \(\mathrm{rd} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{j} /\) respectively, by trill deletion rule 15.
```

$\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{G}: \quad 1: 1, \mathrm{rl}, \mathrm{rr} \quad$ [+coronal, -distributed]
2: w, y [-consonantal], ([-coronal $])$, ([+distributed $])$

```
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{w}:\) & kayalwa & bolding hands \\
\(\mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{w}:\) & jurlwun & male grey kangaroo \\
\(\mathrm{rl}+\mathrm{y}:\) & Grarlya & NAME OF CLAN COUNTRY \\
& & \\
\(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{w}:\) & kaarrwana & they fell down \\
\(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{y}:\) & yorryorr & all sit down together
\end{tabular}

Yorryorr 'all sit down together' is the only instance of /rr+y/ in the database; elsewhere this string finds surface expression as \(/ \mathrm{j} /\). Note that yorryorr is a reduplicated form.
```

T+T: 1: d, rd, k ([+coronal, -distributed]), ([+back])
2: b, j, k ([-coronal]), ([+distributed])
d+b: jedba shade
arrbinguma theirfaces
wudbeenu bair string
rd+b: juwardba jumping along
bardbard (animal) shake water out of its fur
rd+k: kaardkaard going along breaking off branches (of bushes)
k+j: jakjak whittle

```

Note that \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T}\) combinations are commonly represented by reduplicated forms: bardbard, kaardkaard, jakjak.
```

T+N: 1: d, rd, k ([+coronal, -distributed]), ([+back])
2: m, n, ny ([+anterior]), ([+coronal])

```
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{m}:\) & idmangkanu & leaf \\
\(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{n}:\) & Warrawadna & PLACE NAME \\
\(\mathrm{rd}+\mathrm{m}:\) & baawaardmaade & so then [] climb up
\end{tabular}
\[
\mathrm{k}+\text { ny: } \quad \text { nyambalaknyini } \quad \text { until stuck together }
\]
\(T+T\) and \(T+N\) sequences can only have \(/ d, r d /\) or \(/ k /\) as their first member.
```

T+L: 1: rr, k ([+coronal, + anterior]), ([+back])
2:1,r ([+coronal,-distributed]

| $\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{l}:$ | kubarrloonya | let them speak |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{r}:$ | nyigrarlja/nyikrarlja | woman of Grarl patriclan |

```

In addition, all the \(\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{L}\) strings listed as syllable-internal clusters in \(\S 2.4 .1\) above are permitted across syllable boundaries. The cluster \(/ \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{l} /\) is a homorganic pre-stopped lateral when the flap allophone ([r]) of /rr/ occurs: [r] and [r] are in free variation in front of \(/ 1 /\). Pre-stopped nasals and laterals (homorganic) are not common in the phonology: the cluster \(/ \mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{l} /\) ([rl]) is the only lateral cluster recorded, and the only pre-stopped nasal is the sequence \(/ \mathrm{d}+\mathrm{n} /\) found in the place name Warrawadna.
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{C}: & 1: \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{y} & {[\text {-consonantal }],([- \text { coronal }]),([+ \text { distributed }])} \\
& 2: \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{ny}, \mathrm{j} & \text { ([+anterior, -coronal]), ([+distributed }])
\end{array}
\]
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l}
\(\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{m}:\) \\
\(\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{y}:\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ngawmaleya \\
mayawyawama
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
my little brother \\
short (grass)
\end{tabular} \\
\(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{b}:\) & kurriybiji & back off \\
\(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{ny}:\) & lindiynyale & flaking (stone for a cutting edge) \\
\(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{j}:\) & baayji & climb up again
\end{tabular}

In all clusters beginning with \(/ \mathrm{y} /\), this glide's fricative allophone ([ç]) is found (see \(\S 2.5\) ).

\subsection*{2.4.3 CCC clusters}

Eight CCC clusters are recorded, all of them occurring across syllable boundaries. Two very restricted clusters occur morpheme internally:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{ng}+\mathrm{k} & \text { balngkoninya } & \text { female plains kangaroo } \\
\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m} & \text { kulnmerr } & \text { tail }
\end{array}
\]

In both these clusters we can note that the first consosnant is \(/ 1 /\), the place features are ordered [+coronal], [-coronal], and that two consecutive consonants in the clusters are homorganic (/ng+k/ and \(/ 1+\mathrm{n} /\) ). Syllable boundaries occur after the second consonant: balng-koninya and kuln-merr (see §2.4.1). The string / \(\mathrm{nm} /\) is unique to kulnmerr so far as I know, but another morpheme containing /lngk/ is =yalngkanya nyina 'ankle.' Other examples of CCC clusters exhibiting the same kind of syllable boundary are found in words based on the morpheme: \(=^{b} w(a)\) male 'younger sibling:'
\[
\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{m} \quad \text { ngarrwmaleya } \quad \text { our (inc) little brother }
\]

Here the syllable boundary is again after the second consonant: ngarrw-maleya.
The other CCC clusters show syllable boundaries after the first consonant. They are syllabified as indicated here:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{r}\) & arr-bri & their heads \\
\(\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{l}\) & arr-blarru & their foreheads \\
\(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{r}\) & ngun-mri & your head
\end{tabular}
```

n+m+l ngun-mlarru your forehead
n+m+r kaan-mranga s/he gathered them up

```

These clusters show quite strong phonotactic constraints operating on them. The first members of the clusters are all [+coronal], either /rr/ if the following sound is [-sonorant] or \(/ \mathrm{n} /\) if the following sound is [+sonorant]. Subsequent syllable-initial pairs are strictly [-coronal, +anterior] (/b/or \(/ \mathrm{m} /\) ), plus [+coronal, -distributed] (/l/ or \(/ \mathrm{r} /\) ). In these clusters, then, place features are ordered [+coronal], [-coronal], [+coronal].

\subsection*{2.5 Diphthongs and glides}

Glides are specified [-consonantal, -syllabic], and in this section we will consider the two glides that are also [+high], /w/ and \(/ \mathrm{y} /\). The only justification for positing \(/ \mathrm{w} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) as phonemes distinct from \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{i} /\), is by reference to the separate phonotactic functions of glides and vowels in syllable structure, as [-syllabic] and [+syllabic] respectively. It follows, then, that syllabification will be the criterion used to distinguish vowels and glides in the phonology.
\(/ y /\) is usually realized as [j], but it has two other allophones. After unrounded vowels, and at the end of a syllable, it appears as an unvoiced lamino-palatal fricative ([ç]):
\[
/ \mathrm{y} / \ldots \text { [ç] / V [-round }] \ldots
\]

The fricative allophone is usually maintained in slow speech in the presence of following stops, eg. waaybiji ['wa'ıçpıci] 'throw repeatedly,' and waayji ['wa'ıçci] 'throw again.' This allophone is only heard in slow-to-medium-paced careful speech; it is often replaced by [r] in fast speech.

Penultimate /a/ is raised to [æ] and diphthongized before /y/: burrkay ['purkæiç] 'enquire.' The long vowels /aa/ and /e/ are diphthongized before syllable-final /y/: /aa/ — [a’r] (baay [pa’ıç] 'climb'), and /e/ —> [ei] (murndey [mun'deiç] 'cross over'). That the phonemic status of the vowels in this position is not one of diphthongization, is indicated when the words in question undergo morphological processes that remove the final \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) sound. For example daay [ta'rç] 'pierce; wear (clothes)' is reduplicated as daadaay ['ta:ta'rç] 'wear (clothes) habitually,' and baay [pa 'ıç] 'climb' is reduplicated as baabaay ['pa:pa'ıç] 'keep on climbing.'

These words contrast with others that end in the released lamino-palatal stop \(/ \mathrm{j} /:\) : rorrij [1^ə:ric] 'snatch,' jalaj ['calec] 'axe handle,' karruj ['karvc] 'silver gull,' balaj ['palec] 'ebbing (water),' etc. Notice how \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\) are diphthongized to \([æ \mathrm{I}]\) and \([\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{I}]\) respectively before syllable-final \(/ \mathrm{y} /\), but not before \(/ \mathrm{j} /\).

The other allophone of \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) is a glottal stop ([[]), occurring very specifically between /a/ or /aa/ and /i/:
\[
/ \mathrm{y} / \longrightarrow[?] / \mathrm{V}[+ \text { back, -round }] \ldots \text { i }
\]

Examples are wangayinya [|wbyaI'Pine] 'woman,' walbayinya [|,wblpai'Pııne] '(woman's) daughter's
 The fact that the vowels which precede the glottal stop are usually diphthongized indicates that there is a [+high, -back] articulatory goal at the following syllable boundary. Nearly all of these forms consist of a base shape ending in /-ya/ suffixed by the feminine morpheme /-nya/, to make a sequence \(\mid\)-ya-nya \(\mid\) underlyingly, to which is then applied /a/-fronting and raising rule 21 , to produce the phonological output /-yi-nya/. Love spelled wangayinya as wo'yaiinja (Love 1934:25, 1939b:132), and the feminine form of imaya 'cold,' nyimayinya, as njimaiinja (Love 1939b:21), with an /i/ where a \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) is used in this orthography. The word maayirdakama 'thence' contains the independently attested morpheme /-y2irdaka/ 'from,' as in anjoldirdakea 'out of the sky' (Love 1939b:44) with hardening of \(\left|\mathrm{y}_{2}\right|\) to \(/ \mathrm{d} /\).

Worrorra has nine systematic, phonetic diphthongs which may be arranged in three descriptive categories. The first category consists of those phonetic diphthongs which may be analysed as
\(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})+\mathrm{G}\) sequences at the level of phonology. The vowels in strings of this type are all [+back]. We have already seen in \(\S 2.3\) how [ov] occurs as an allophone of /o/ in front of /w/. Diphthongs starting with /o/ and /e/ ([ov], [כI] and [er]) occupy as much time as normal long vowels, while a length distinction appears to be maintained for diphthongs based on the other vowels:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\mathrm{VV}[+\) back \(]+\mathrm{G}\)} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(\mathrm{V}[+\) back \(]+\mathrm{G}\)} \\
\hline [a:v] & /aaw/ & iyaaw & castigate & [av] & /aw/ & yaw & yes \\
\hline [ov] & /ow/ & mardowa & walk. & & & & \\
\hline [u:I] & /ooy/ & wooy & swim & [uI] & /uy/ & wungkuy & whistle \\
\hline [כI] & /oy/ & joy & famous & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The phonemic status of the remaining three ([-round]) phonetic diphthongs is more problematic, as a consistent account would be achieved if these, too, could be seen to pattern with other diphthongs as \(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})+\mathrm{G}\) sequences. For a number of reasons, however, this is unlikely, and two phonemic diphthongs are posited here, as follows:

\subsection*{2.5.1 Phonemic diphthongs}
[a:I] /aai/ laai pleasing [aI] /ai/ laiburru know
These sounds involve articulatory movement from [+back, high] to [-back, +high] in feature terms, and so the [-back, +high] glide phoneme /y/ stands out as an obvious candidate for final constituency in these clusters. However we have already seen that in this environment syllable-final /y/ has a fricative allophone, which contrasts with the released stop \(/ \mathrm{j} /\) in this position. It appears, then, that the phonemic representations of these diphthongs must end in a vowel. One possibility is that these phonetic diphthongs are composed of phonemic VyV sequences: either /aya/ and /aaya/, or /ayi/ and /aayi/; but this would imply that these segments are bisyllabic, when in fact they are not. Note the syllabic patterns that are found when stress falls on the first mora of strings like these: compare kakaakanangkaya [ke'ka:kenen,gaje] 'his/her mother's brothers,' kayabuna ['kaje, pune] 's/he threw him' or rnaaya ['na'ije] 'gather together' with laiburru ['laipuru] 'know' or blaai ['pla:I] 'pound,' where the sequences /aya/ and /aaya/ are clearly bisyllabic, and the sequences /ai/ and /aai/ are equally clearly monosyllabic. Also note the reduplicated forms rnaaya-rnaaya ['na:je'na:je] 'gathering (them all) up together' and blaablaai ['pla:pla: i] 'pounding and pounding (them all up).'24 Under reduplication it seems that final phonemes, but not final syllables, may be deleted from the first morpheme (cf daadaay and baabaay above): so blaai is reduced to /blaa-/ by this process, but rnaaya is not reduced to /*rnaa-/. Hence it appears that blaai and words like it (jaraai 'laugh,' wawaai 'croon,' bardawaai 'writhe in pain' etc) really do end in monosyllablic diphthongs.

The phonological status of the phonetic diphthong [er], as found in words like jeyi [cer] 'spear at very close range,' and keyingka ['keinge] 'fingernails,' is less clear. Although /e/ has an allophone [er] before /y/, the diphthong is unlikely to be a phonemic V+G sequence /ey/, for at least two reasons. The first has to do with the allophonic shape of \(/ \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{syllable}\)-finally in this ([-round]) environment: ([ç]), as discussed. The second is that in this analysis the shape \(* /\) keyngka/ would contain a syllablefinal cluster \(/ \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{ng} /\), which violates the rule proposed in \(\int 2.4 .1\), that only liquids may be interior members of syllable-internal consonant clusters.

Actually, a phonemic VyV sequence /eyi/ would seem to be the most viable option here. The words rdeyi [ter] 'break open' and beyi [per] 'spin (string or fibre)' 25 have reduplicated shapes rdeyi-rdeyi ['teiter] 'go along breaking things open' and beyi-beyi ['peiper] 'spin (a lot of material),' in which the last segment of the first morpheme is not deleted; and in this it patterns like other reduplicated bisyllabic CVyV words such as rnaaya-rnaaya (see above) and biya-biya 'follow tracks over a long

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{24}\) Love (1939b:84) lists this form as blai-blai, but this must be an extrapolation.
\({ }^{25}\) Love (1934:107) gives this word as pai 2 : showing that he heard it as being bisyllabic.
}
distance.' The third category of phonetic diphthongs, then, may be analysed as a phonemic VyV sequence:
VyV [ex] /eyi/ beyi spin

\subsection*{2.6 Suprasegmentals}

The phonology's most important suprasegmental features are vowel harmony and metrical timing, and the patterns of vowel length and stress of which such timing is composed.

\subsection*{2.6.1 Vowel harmony}

Vowel harmony occurs sporadically but persistently throughout Worrorra. Only a few examples will be offered here.
/u/ typically influences adjacent vowels, for instance wangalaalunguyu 'children' is most likely | wangalaalanguya underlyingly, being composed of wangalangu 'child' and -ya 'PLURAL,' with some reduplication. However the final \(/ \mathrm{u} /\)-sound of the singular form alters adjacent \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) vowels to \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) in the plural shape. Similarly, the past-tense morpheme -rla is realized as -rlu in words based on the verb root \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'take, carry:' so underlying | kaangurrurla \(\mid\) is realized on the surface as kaangurrurlu 's/he carried him.'

The vowel /u/ of the 3 w index / kuN -/ has a strong effect on adjacent vowels, where it usually changes the vowel in the verb root \(=y i '\) 'do' to \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) :
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Kunjungu }<-\mid \text { kuN- } \varnothing=y i-\mathrm{ng} \mid & \text { s/he did/said it } \\
\text { keubajungu }<-\mid \text { kuN-bwarr=yi-ng } \mid & \text { they did/said it }
\end{array}
\]

However the presence of the morpheme -mnya- 'discourse deictic' blocks vowel harmony from moving to the right:
\[
\text { kumnyeng <- |kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng } \mid \quad \text { s/he did/said it }
\]

The middle-voice morpheme -ye shows regressive vowel-harmonic effects, turning underlying /a/ and \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) phonemes to the left of it into /i/, up to a distance of two syllables:
\[
\text { kaajimiyena }<-\mid \text { kaarr-nya=ma-ye-na } \mid \quad \text { (classifying function) }
\]

Other examples of the operation of vowel harmony on underlying forms are shown in \(₫ 3.1 .1\), in the derivations of waju 'let's do it' in rule 6 , and of birreen 'you should all do it' in rule 9 .

\subsection*{2.6.2 Timing}

Worrorra is an anticipatory stress-timed language, which gives it a distinctively rhythmic quality, as remarked upon by Love (1934:4). An account of stress patterning in Worrorra needs to observe three important principles: (i) stress is attracted to long vowels, (ii) primary stress is attracted to the penultimate syllable, and (iii) global stress patterns usually override local stress and readjust it. However there is quite a bit of variability around these principles, such that primary stress may be moved to a long vowel not in penultimate position, or to a penultimate vowel that is not long. Stress is marked acoustically by greater muscular force in the pronunciation of stressed syllables, resulting in increased acoustic amplitude and a slight raising in pitch over those syllables. In this section and sporadically hereafter primary stress on a syllable will be indicated by an acute accent (') placed above the vowel, or by a forward slash (/) in metrical notation. Secondary stress will be indicated by a grave
accent (`) or by a backward slash ( \(\backslash\) ). In metrical notation unstressed syllables will be indicated by the symbol 'v.'

Anticipatory stress timing is a function of the placement of primary stress on the penultimate syllable. The operation of this sort of timing is clearly seen in part in mistakes or slips-of-the-tongue made by native speakers. Note the example (drawn from a recorded sequence) in (2.2):
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { Ngàjarlélwa ... ngàja rlélwa ... ngàja rlèlw a náarndu }  \tag{2.2}\\
& \text { We (inc) were born ... we were born ... ... you and I were both born }
\end{align*}
\]

Here the speaker is being effected by interference from the recently uttered word ngàjarlélwana 'we (inc) were born,' with its primary stress on the third syllable. In order to utter the word ngàjarlèlwanáarndu 'you and I were born,' she needs to remove primary stress from off the third syllable in anticipation of its placement on the fifth.

Global metre and context are essential to an understanding of the way stress and timing occur in natural, allegro speech. The difference between primary and secondary stress is not rigidly maintained, and is the distinction most vulnerable to interference from global patterning. Later on in this section I will look at a few of the ways in which global and discourse-pragmatic factors influence metre, but first it will be well to examine words in isolation from their phonological and pragmatic contexts. The words used here to exemplify speech rhythms are drawn from utterances in citation or recitation styles, that is in slow-to-moderately paced speech.

In measuring stress timing in Worrorra it is useful to artificially divide words into abstract rhythmic units or feet, corresponding to repeated patterns of stressed and unstressed syllables. In this way we may more easily observe the larger patterns of which Worrorra prosody is composed. The metric inventory presented here is not intended to list the invariant ('phonemic') suprasegmental status of words: stress is not phonemic in Worrorra, and few words display invariant stress. While some monomorphemic words such as jinálya 'spear' and éeja 'man' show what must amount to a fixed metrical form, most words do not. The word for 'place' is dambéema or dámbeem, and the name of the Prince Regent River is Málandum or Mâlandúma, depending on whether or not the final vowel is pronounced in each case. The word for 'ground, earth' is kábalba, but under locative inflection becomes kabálbarnànya. Polymorphemic words are inherently variable with respect to stress: 'his/her mother' may be heard as kàrranangkánya or kàrranángkanya; 'his body/corpse' is heard as ímanja or imánja, and 'they went over to her' is nyimbarrburrkárlangùrru or nyimbàrrburrkárlangùrru. Rather, in this section I will present a list of the types of metrical patterns that Worrorra words characteristically display in citation and careful recitation styles, using as examples words that typically, although not invariably, exhibit those particular metres.

The phonological status of the boundary between lexemes and a number of suffixes appears to be at the level of the phonological word, showing word-level relations (for discussion of comparable analyses in other Australian languages see Baker \& Harvey 2003). So typically (-)aalb 'from,' (-)ngurru 'towards,' (-)nyini 'until' and (-)kurde 'as well' among others, effect the placement of metrical foot boundaries, as do other more clearly suffixed morphemes such as -nangkea 'DAT,' -rnanya 'LOCATIVE,' \(-(y)\) eerri 'PROGRESSIVE' and \(-\left({ }^{*} w\right)\) aal 'hither.' In this section metrical foot divisions will be marked by a vertical line (I).

In bisyllabic words the penultimate stress rule applies almost absolutely, regardless of length variations, producing a trochaic foot:
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\multicolumn{1}{l}{\(\mathbf{V}\)} & & \\
V-V & & VV-V & \\
á.ja & sit & áa.rra & sick \\
mín.jarl & eat & ngé.nu & I will be \\
bú.ju & finish & kó.rru & alright \\
V-VV & & VV-VV & \\
ká.rle & now/then & báa.waard & climb
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
já.rno & give it to me & jó.yo & drink \\
má.maa & secluded & béng.kaal & come here \\
wú.rloo & purify with smokee & & \\
dám.beem & place & &
\end{tabular}

There are very few exceptions to this rule: one is iyáaw 'castigate,' and another is kulnmérr 'tail,' but this is a truncation of the trisyllabic shape kulnmérrba.

Trisyllabic words fall into three categories. Most of these words show stress on their first syllable, in a dactylic foot:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
/VV & \\
árr.karn.de & let's put him up \\
má.nga.rri & food \\
í.man.ja & corpse \\
ká.bal.ba & earth \\
jú.ngun.ju & when you do/say it \\
Má.lan.dum & the Prince Regent River \\
ngá.rna.yurr & I am an adult \\
máal.ka.ra & get it for me \\
kún.ju.ngu & \(s /\) he did/said it
\end{tabular}

Trisyllabic words with a long vowel in the final syllable may show stress on that syllable, in an anapaestic foot:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} /\) & \\
ku.m.nyéng & s/he said/did it \\
ja.rno.wáal & give it here to me \\
ku.nga.rlóo & I can speak \\
keng.ku.náal & be came
\end{tabular}

A number of other words, however, show stress on the penultimate syllable in an amphibrach foot. These include words with a long vowel in penultimate position:
\(\mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ka.rráa.nya & my mother \\
dam.bée.ma & place \\
ko.yó.ya & salt-water crocodile \\
kaarr.wé.nya & let them fall \\
ngu.rnórna & Igave it to you \\
kuln.mérr.ba & tail
\end{tabular}

Notice how, as mentioned above, dambeem(a) 'place' shows different stress patterns, as either a bisyllabic trochee or a trisyllabic amphibrach, depending on whether or not the final vowel is pronounced. Many other terrestrial-class words show variations of this kind.

In a relatively small number of words amphibrach metre is apparently specified lexically; these include most realis forms of the verb \(=^{b}\) wa 'fall.' This verb seems to require primary stress on its root morpheme in most situations.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Wurn.báng.ku & CLAN COUNTRY NAME \\
wu.lúrr.ku & charcoal \\
i.grárl.ya & man of the Grarl patriclan \\
ji.ná.lya & spear
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
i.yárn.da & little boy \\
nga.wá.na & I fall / fell \\
kaarr.wá.na & they fall / fell \\
ka.rrá.nya & your mother
\end{tabular}

And a number of words appear to be ambivalent; 'smoke,' for instance, is heard as both bíjaku and bijáku, with native speakers accepting both pronunciations as correct.

The commonest pattern for four-syllable words is trochaic dimeter, with primary stress in the second foot:
```

\v/v
bù.lu | lú.ku foliage, kindling
nyìn.jo | rí.nya widow
kàrn.di | rrí.ma burialplatform
ìngan | ngúm.bu when I bit him
Mà.lan | dú.ma the Prince Regent River
wà.nga | yí.nya woman
ngà.ja | ní.ya we (inc) are well

```

A few words have primary stress moved to the first foot:
```

/v\v
má.murr | ngù.ma separate
wú.rloo | wù.nya for the purpose of purifying by smoking
í.m | nyà.ma pick bim up!
bé.lang | kà.rra many people

```

In four-syllable words with a long vowel in the final syllable, primary stress may be moved to that syllable, resulting in a trochee-iambus pattern:
```

\v v /
i.m nya.rnó give it to bim
àn.murr | ka.rláal s/became to us
kàa.rring | ku.náal they came
kà.m | nya.rlóo when s/he speaks

```

The second major pattern found in four-syllable words is iambic dimeter with primary stress in the first foot, particularly evident in words with long vowels in even syllables:
```

v / v
kaa.jéng | ku.nàal they came
bi.nyéng| ku.nyàal she should have come
nyim.nyéng | ku.nàal she came
i.rrám nya.rnò give it to bim, you lot

```

There are a couple of variations to this underlying pattern. In some four-syllable words the second foot is pyrrhic:
```

v / v v
i.yá | ka.rra anotherone
i.rró | li.ja yourfather
arr.lóo | li.ya people of the Loolim patriclan

```
```

a.rráa | ngu.rru we will carry bim

```

Words in which primary stress falls on the penultimate syllable, and in which the antepenultimate syllable contains a long vowel, frequently have a distinctive stress pattern. In words of this sort the antepenultimate syllable may receive secondary stress while the penultimate receives primary stress. In four-syllable words this rhythm produces a iambus-trochee pattern:
```

v \ / v
ku.ngè | ngá.nu I toldyou
bung.kè | nyée.rri s/he should have been doing it
ka.rlò | rlé.rri when s/ he was speaking

```

Five-syllable words are of two main types; either a trochee followed by a dactyl, or a dactyl followed by a trochee. Underlyingly these patterns may be understood as trochaic, with an additional, short syllable inserted into either foot. Primary stress is always on the second foot. The commonest pattern is dactyl-trochee:
```

$\backslash \mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v}$
kà.rra.nang | ká.nya bis/ber mother
Wùrn.bang.ku | wáa.ya person from the Wurnbangku patriclan
nyì.ma.lan | dí.nya
bà.nga.nee | nyá.nu
kù.barr.karn | dé.nga
nyì.du.ngaarn | dée.rri
kà.nu.na|ngú.rru

```
```

woman from the Prince Regent River clan

```
woman from the Prince Regent River clan
1 SG COUNTERFACT 'be,' PAST, + 2DAT
1 SG COUNTERFACT 'be,' PAST, + 2DAT
they placed it
they placed it
you both are
you both are
3 SG MASC 'be,' PAST, + 'away`
```

3 SG MASC 'be,' PAST, + 'away`

```

And as we have seen in four-syllable words, this pattern may be disrupted when both antepenultimate and penultimate syllables are stressed:
```

\ \/v
kù.ba.jè | yée.rri they are doing it
kù.m.nyè | yée.rri s/be's doing it
ngàm.nya.rlèl | wá.na I wasborn

```

This pattern is essentially a variant on the preceding one, and may not be consistently distinguishable from it. The next most common pattern is trochee-dactyl:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
प \(\mathbf{V} / \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}\) & \\
kà.wa | rráarn.de.nga & they placed him \\
ì.wa | ráa.le.ya & month \\
ngà.m | nyá.ni.ya & where is he? \\
kàa.ja | rlél.wa.na & they were born \\
nyàng.ka | wán.jee.rri & she who isfalling \\
kàa.nyi | yáal.ka.rla & s/he stood them up
\end{tabular}

A third, iambic-amphibrach pattern in five-syllable words is underlyingly iambic, usually with primary stress in the second, but also occasionally in the first foot:
\(\mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v}\)
ka.rlèl | wa.náarn.du they were both born
ku.rlòo | nang.ké.rri s/be is talking to bim/her
i.yà | rru.ngáarn.du two
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
i.ngàn | ngum.bú.na & when I hit him \\
i.rràa \(\mid\) da.kó.rri & theirfathers
\end{tabular}

\section*{\(\mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v}\)}
nyi.nín | ja.wà.na she got burned
ka.bál| ba.rnà.nya on the ground
The commonest metre obtaining in six-syllable words is a trochaic trimeter pattern:
```

\ \v/v

```
kù.m | nyè.nga| náng.ka s/he said to him/her
nyàng.ka | ngù.rri | yé.na she was carried
bì.nyi | dèe.rring | kú.rri COLLECTIVE NEGATIVE IMPERATIVE 'be’
kùn.ju | ngà.nang | kó.rri s/be told them
ngà.ja | rlèl.wa | náarn.du you and I were born
mà.ya | rà.ma | rná.nya in a house/houses
bà.nga | màa.li | máarn.du I might spear them two

Minor variations on this pattern are seen when the first syllable is unstressed:
```

v v \ v / v
ku.nga | rlòo.nang | ké.rri I'm talking to bim/her

```

Or when primary stress is moved to the second foot:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { \v / } \mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v} & \\
\text { kàrn.di } \mid \text { rrí.ma } \mid \text { rnà.nya } & \text { on the burial platform } \\
\text { nyì.m } \mid \text { nyí.ya } \mid \text { wà.na } & \text { she rubbed herself }
\end{array}
\]

Another important six-syllable metre is a dactylic dimeter, with primary stress in the second foot:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
\VV/VV & \\
kà.wa.rram | nyáarn.de.nga & they placed bim \\
kà.wa.rram | nyéen.da.rla & they cooked it \\
ngà.m.nya | rlél.wa.na & I was born \\
ngùn.ngum.nya | rlél.wa.na & when you were born
\end{tabular}

As well as these, there are three minor six-syllable patterns. The first is a dactyl-amphibrach combination:
```

\v v v / v
nyì.ma.la | jaarn.dí.nya two women of the Arrbalarriya moiety
nyìm.bu.na | jaarn.dí.nya two women of the Arrwunarriya moiety
kàa.rring.ku | naa.lée.rri they were coming

```

The second minor rhythm is a iambic-pyrrhic-trochaic pattern:
```

v<br>mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}/\mathbf{v
ku.ngàa | ngu.rraal | dée.rri I'm bringing it here for you
i.wàa | wu.narr | kárn.du two people of the Arrwunarriya moiety
nga.wàa | wa.nang | kó.rri their elder siblings

```

And again, when the antepenultimate syllable is long, this pattern is disrupted and a iambic-iambictrochaic rhythm occurs:
```

v<br>mathbf{v}\/\mathbf{v}
ku.rlòo | nang.kò | rrée.rri s/he is talking to them
ka.rràa | nya.rrèrn | dí.nya our (dual exc.) mother

```

And finally there is an amphibrach-dactyl combination, which is really an extension of the five-syllable iambic-amphibrach pattern:
```

v<br>mathbf{v}/\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v
a.rdè.rna | yú.rri.ya they are all grown up/all the grown-up ones
mang.kàa.ngu | rráal.da.ka when s/be brings it for him/her

```

As the number of syllables in a word increases, so the number of metric possibilities increases, seemingly exponentially. A trochee-dactyl-trochee pattern with primary stress on the second foot is very common in seven-syllable words, but with primary stress moved to the third foot when the final morpheme is -(y)eerri 'CONTINUOUS:'
```

\v/v}\mathbf{v}<br>mathbf{v
kà.wa | rréen.da.rla | nàng.ka they cooked it for her
kà.rra | náng.ka.nya | wù.nya to/forbis/ber mother
ì.rram | nyáa.ngu.rru | nàng.ka take bim to ber, you lot

```
\(\backslash \mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v}\)
kù.nya | jàa.ngu.da | ké.rri we're taking it to bim/her
nyì.m | nyèng.ku.naa | lée.rri she was coming
kù.nga | ngà.nya.rro | yée.rri I'm lookingfor it

Which may, as usual, be disrupted by a long antepenultimate vowel:
```

\v\v\/v
bà.nga | yè.ya.ngè | nyée.rri I should bave gone walking around

```

Other common seven-syllable metrical patterns are (i) dactyl-trochee-trochee:
```

\ v v / v \ v
nyìm.barr.burr | ká.rla | ngù.rru they went over to ber
ì.wa.ma | lé.ya | nàng.ka bis little brother

```
(ii) Iambus-amphibrach-trochee:
```

v}<br>mathbf{v}/\mathbf{v}<br>mathbf{v
nyim.bàrr | burr.ká.rla | ngù.rru they went over to ber
i.ngàn | ngu.nyó.na | nàng.ka when I bit bim for her/bim

```

And (iii) iambus-pyrrhus-amphibrach, particularly common with kinship nominals:
\(\mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v}\)
ka.wàa | wu.rla | nang.ká.ya his/her sons and daughters
```

ku.rràa | rra.ma | nang.kó.rri theirmothers-in-law

```

In longer words, metrical patterns usually become somewhat more predictable, often with trochaic meter in words with an even number of syllables, and a mixture of trochaic and dactylic feet in words with an odd number of syllables.

Eight syllables: trochaic tetrameter: \v/v\v \v
kà.wa | rrám.nya | mà.nga | nàng.ka they got itfor him/her
Ten syllables: trochaic pentameter: \} \mathbf { v } \backslash \mathbf { v } / \mathbf { v } \backslash \mathbf { v } \backslash \mathbf { v } kà.wa | rràm.nya | máa.li | mà.rla | nàng.ka they speared it for him/her

Metre in these longer words is, however, very sensitive to vowel length, and may be completely altered by the occurrence of long vowels in a word, as for example in the following eight-syllable word with anapaest-anapaest-pyrrhus metre: \(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \backslash \mathbf{v} / \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}\)
ka.wa.rràa | ngu.rru.rláal | da.ka they brought it for him/her

Or in the following eight-syllable word with a pyrrhic foot inserted into an otherwise trochaic metre:
```

\ v v v \ v / v

```
kàa.rring | ku.naa | lèe.rring | kú.rri they were all coming

Longer words with an odd number of syllables typically show trochaic meter with a dactyl inserted at some point, again with primary stress attracted to the PROGRESSIVE morpheme -(y)eerri if present:
```

Nine syllables: \ v v / v \ v \v
kà.wa.rra | máa.li | mà.rla | nàng.ka they speared itfor him/her
ing.kaarr.ka | rnàa.rna | mà.lu | né.rri where they threw it at him
Eleven syllables:<br>mathbf{v}<br>mathbf{v}/\mathbf{v}<br>mathbf{v}\mathbf{v / v}
kà.wa | rràm.nya | máa.li | mà.rla.nang | kó.rri they speared it for them

```

From this (for an Australian language) relative abundance of metrical patterns, some generalizations are able to be drawn. The predominant stress pattern overall seems to require stress on the first, and then on alternate syllables, in a trochaic or dactylic metre, and in this, Worrorra prosody fits into the general Australian pattern quite well (cf Dixon 1980:128). Furthermore there is a tendency, although not a particularly strong one, for metrical foot boundaries to be correlated with morphology. Suffixes show independent stress patterns to at least some extent (Dixon ibid:129), with stress usually occurring on the penultimate, rather than on the first syllables of suffixed morphemes: note the stress patterns exhibited by the non-subcategorized object morphemes -nángka, -nangkáya and -nangkórri in some of the examples listed above. \({ }^{26}\) Against this is the sub-dominant, but still frequent, occurrence of iambic and anapaestic metre, with stress on even-numbered syllables. And most of all, the penultimate stress rule appears to be fairly unusual in Australia, and this coupled with the influence of syllable length results in an irregular and interesting suprasegmental phonology.

Global stress is a rhetorical tool employed to create balance and contrast. The unmarked stress pattern in the word meaning 'dead, corpse (masc)' is dactylic: imanja. However in (2.3) stress has shifted to the second syllable, to mark the contrast of the subject's present state with his former (alive) state:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{26}\) Non-subcategorized objects are treated in Chapter 13; for the time being they may be thought of as a species of indirect object.
}

Similarly, báyungùrru 'go away IMPERATIVE' has primary stress on the first syllable in unmarked utterances, but takes primary stress on the penultimate syllable when the speaker is annoyed: bàyungúrru! 'go away!' Ultimately, global stress patterns supplant local ones in connected, continuous, and particularly in rapid speech. Notice how initial stress moves from the first to the second syllable in the repetition of the word nyimbarrburrkarlangurru 'they went over to her' in (2.4):
(2.4) Ke nyìmbarrburrkárlangùrru wángaya, ájakàrri wángaya nyimbàrrburrkárlangùrru.

Then women would go over to her, other women would go over to her.
In reasonably rapid utterances, such as that from which (2.4) is taken, stress plays an important rhetorical role, in the construction of balanced and articulate adult speech that avoids monotony and impresses listeners by its formal coherence.

\subsection*{2.7 Boundaries}

Morphology in Worrorra bears by far the greatest functional load of any grammatical level; the elaboration of morphology in the language is one of its most typologically distinctive features. A consideration of morphology in such a language needs to address the issue of boundaries, in order to ascertain the extent to which, and manner in which strings of morphemes cluster together to form words, and the phonological justification for a formal level 'word' as distinct from 'morpheme.' Following on from this, we need to consider the implications that such considerations have for our recognition of morphology and syntax as distinct grammatical levels.

The syntactic word in Worrorra is recognizable as a form, the components of which are not able to be reconfigured. Constituents above the level of the word may be reconfigured, for syntactic or pragmatic reasons. However the constituents of words such as iyarnda (|i=yarnda| [3a=infant]) 'little boy' and kangama (|ka-nga=ma| [3a-1=get]) 'I can get him' cannot be re-arranged under any circumstances, to produce forms such as */yarndai/ or */ngamaka/. Constituent order inside words, although complex as described in \(\S 5.2\), and subject to co-occurrence constraints as described in \(\$ 8.2\), is frozen. Phrases and sentences are generally able to be reconfigured, so inja iyarnda and iyarnda inja 'the little boy' are both common. And iyarnda inja kawarramurrkarla, inja kawarramurrkarla iyarnda, iyarnda kawarramurrkarla inja, kawarramurrkarla inja iyarnda and so on, 'they went to the little boy' are all possible and unexceptional. Constituent reconfigurationality is thus a key test for syntactic word status, as well as are native speakers' intuitions, which are also quite clear on this point, probably for the same reason.

There are, however, two kinds of non-reconfigurational constituents in Worrorra above the level of the simplex word. One is the complex predicate, a phrase consisting of an initial preverb followed by a classifier, as seen in (2.5):
aja nganingeerri
aja nga=ni-ng-eerri
sit 1=be-PRES-PROG
[|acejeni'ni:ri]
I'm sitting down
(b) aja banu
aja \(b a=n u\)
sit CFT=be
['ace'panu]
sit down!
(c) ajawa kanuna
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { aja-b }{ }^{-b} \text { wa } & \mathrm{ka}=\text { nu-na } \\ \text { sit-PROG } & 3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\end{array}\)
['acewe'kanune]
be sat for a while/ he sat and waited
In these expressions aja 'sit' is a preverb, and forms based on \(=n u\) 'be' are inflected classifiers (see Chapter 11). Complex predicates must be configured in this order, almost without exception. This brings up the issue of the status of the boundary between initial and final constituents in complex predicates; whether this boundary really is a word boundary, a morpheme boundary, or something in between. Preverbs may take aspectual suffixation as seen in (2.5c), \({ }^{27}\) and Worrorra speakers not infrequently use preverbs in English sentences as if they were independent words, even inflecting them as English verbs:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { They were jakarl-ing. }  \tag{2.6}\\
& \text { swim } \\
& \text { They were swimming. }
\end{align*}
\]

Classifiers as well may be used as independent words, so: nganingeerri 'I am,' kanuna 'he was.' These independent and productive ways of using preverbs and classifiers suggest that the boundary between the initial and final elements of complex predicates really does have status as a word boundary, especially when it is understood that the elements of the predicate are drawn from distinct lexical categories. The elements of complex predicates, then, originate as separate words which are pressed together or compounded in the phrase-type under consideration. Complex predication in Worrorra is productive, and the boundary between the elements thus probably constitutes a word-level phonological relationship as expounded by Baker \& Harvey (2003). As they put it, productive compounds of this sort are 'words, which are in turn composed of words.'

A second kind of non-reconfigurational constituent in Worrorra is the postpositional or suffixial phrase. This consists typically of a noun (sometimes a verb or preverb) followed by a postposition or a suffix of a certain kind, such as -rnanya 'LOCATIVE,' -aalba 'ABLATIVE,' -nyini 'ENDPOINT,' -maade 'so, RESULT,' -ngurru 'ALLATIVE,' -kwunya 'PURPOSIVE,' and many others. In many instances, the initial phonemes of these postpositions or suffixes are hardened by regular hardening rules (internal sandhi) when they follow certain sounds. This is, however, not necessarily a sure indication of whole-word status; it does appear that hardening can on occasion occur across word boundaries (see, eg, example 17.66 b in the footnotes to \(\$ 17.7 .1\) ). Also note the recorded phrases wurlarl baade 'so that (this may be) suitable,' with the initial nasal of maade 'so, RESULT' hardened to /b/ across what appears to be a word boundary. Phrases such as rlarlangkarram aalb 'from the sea' as well, appear (acoustically, impressionistically) to consist of two words. On the other hand are forms which are clearly single words, such as bundurldanya (|bundurl-rnanya| [bush-LOC]) 'in the bush,' with nasal hardening, and kuloorrkunya (|kuloorr-kwunya| [water.lily-PURP] 'for the purpose of (gathering) water lilies,' this time with glide hardening. In this type of phrase it may be that either (i) the phonological relationship between the elements really is variable between root-level and word-level, or (ii) the relationship is of a type intermediate between these two. Again, the tighter configuration of this phrase type is probably the result of a tighter syntactic bond, between a postpositional head and its dependent noun.

Among the consequences of these considerations is a partial justification for a distinction between morphology and syntax in Worrorra. Morphology is concerned with the grammatical relations holding between morphemes ordered configurationally inside words, while syntax has to do with those relations that hold between words ordered mostly non-configurationally within phrases and sentences. The significance of this distinction is another matter altogether (\$1.5.1).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{27} \mathrm{I}\) will argue in \(\$ 11.3 .2\) that this process is derivational rather than inflexional.
}

\section*{Three: morphophonology}

Clearly the most distinctive aspect of Worrorra phonology, and one of the most distinctive features of the entire language, is the amount of cluster assimilations or fusion involved in any account of its morphology. The assimilations involved are generally consistent with those seen in other Arafuran or Australian non-Pama-Nyungan languages such as Maung, Ungarinyin and Wubuy; nevertheless the extent and nature of this phenomenon as seen in Worrorra is striking by any account. Observe, for example, the forms kunjungu 's/he did/said it' and nye 's/he will do/say it;' or nyimbu 's/he hits her' and \(k o\) 's/he hits him.' These two pairs do not constitute instances of suppletion as might be supposed; there is indeed very little suppletion in the language. Both pairs of forms are regular, non-suppletive (ie predictable) entries in the conjugational paradigms of \(k u N[]=y i\) ' do ' and \(=^{b} w u\) 'hit' respectively. They may only be understood as regular and predictable entries in such paradigms, however, if analysis at a level of abstraction beyond the phonemic level is undertaken.

Morphophonemic changes occur when morphemes are joined. Morphophonemic analysis consists of generalizations about the patterns in which phonemes occur at or near morpheme boundaries, that is to say that morphophonemic processes operate across morpheme boundaries, not within morphemes. Segments at this level of abstraction take part in processes that are analogous to allophonic alternations: morphophonemic rules \({ }^{28}\) differ from phonological rules in the sensitivity they ascribe to phonemes with respect to their morphological as well as to their phonetic environments.

A morphophonology, such as the one outlined in this chapter for Worrorra, is essentially an empirical, formal hypothesis able to be disproven. By this means, and with very few exceptions, a morphology that is at first sight opaque is rendered transparent by way of a coherent, consistently applied and finite set of rules. The phenomena described here collectively constitute a model of the morphology that has predictive value, and so must be located for the most part in grammatical structure rather than in the lexicon.

The psychological reality of this level of abstraction for native speakers (ie whether there is any or not) is unknown. My inclination is to suppose that morphophonemic rules summarize an extensive system of acoustic analogies that do have reality for native speakers. However a formal account such as the one undertaken here makes no claims at all about the psychological status of the rules it employs. And although morphophonology is sometimes said to recapitulate history, no claims are made at this level either; what follows is a synchronic, formal account of morphology.

It is useful to make distinctions among the fairly large number of morphophonemic rules needed to drive the morphology. A type of rule referred to here as general morphophonemic rules applies generally, to any pair of sounds that come into contact with each other across a morpheme boundary, regardless of which morphemes are involved in that contact. A second type of rule applies to specific morphemes only, such that the most parsimonious way of accounting for them is to have the rules listed within the lexicon, as part of a given morpheme's phonological specification. Consequently, these rules will be referred to here as morpheme-specific rules. A third type of rule applies to specific pairs

\footnotetext{
28 Also referred to as P-rules.
}
of morphemes in verbal prefix clusters, deriving shapes that will be referred to here as quasiportmanteaux. These rules derive new morphemes by combining pairs of forms found in the lexicon. For example the verbal prefixes \(b a-\) and \(k u N\) - occur independently in the lexicon, but combine in different ways in different grammatical environments. In the presence of a non-participant subject they combine to produce the derived shape bungka-, while in the presence of a participant subject they produce the shape \(b u\)-. These postlexical rules thus derive new morphemes from pairs of underived forms. The derived shapes are then subject in turn to other more general phonological rules such as vowel harmony.

To avoid confusion, the morphophonemic rules described here are listed with their rule numbers as presented in the Grammar of Worrorra (GW) (Clendon 2000) in brackets, where they are different.

\subsection*{3.1 Morpheme-specific rules}

These lexicon-internal rules account for the allomorphic shapes of 13 morphemes in Worrorra.
Rule 1: nasal assimilation
Assimilatory nasal phonemes (/N/) are found in the 3 f prefix nyiN- and in the 3 w prefix, in its three allomorphs \(k u N-, ~ Ø N-\) and \({ }^{k} w u N\)-. Assimilatory nasals represent underlying nasal consonants that are unspecified as to place of articulation, such that their surface form copies the place of articulation of the consonant that comes after it: \({ }^{29}\)
\[
/ \mathrm{N} / \longrightarrow[\alpha \text { place }] / \ldots \mathrm{C}[\alpha \text { place }]
\]
```

Eg:|nyiN-| —> nyim- / __ b
_> nying- / ___ k
—> nyin- / ___ d
_> nyirn- / ___rd
—> nyiny- / ___ j

```

The 3f index nyaN- \(\sim n y i N-\sim n y u N\) - loses its underlying nasal phoneme in at least three situations:
(i) In front of a root morpheme that starts with /ee/, such as =ee 'put, place' and =eenda 'cook:'
\[
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathrm{N}| —>\varnothing / n y V_{\_}=\text {ee..... } \\
& \text { Eg: /nyeerla/ <- |nyi-Ø=ee-rla| s/ he set her down } \\
& 3 \mathrm{f}-3=\text { place }^{2} \text { PAST }^{30}
\end{aligned}
\]

It appears from this that when an assimilatory nasal occurs in front of a verb root that begins with a vowel, /N/ takes on phonetic features of that vowel, so that eg |nyiN-Ø=ee-rla| ([3f-3=place-PAST]) becomes nyeerla 's/he set her down' by the following process:
```

| nyiN-\varnothing=ee-rla | —>
nyiny-Ø=ee-rla —>
nyiy-Ø=ee-rla —> (cf rule 24)
nyi-Ø=ee-rla —> (rule 18)
/nyeerla/ s/ he set her down

```

In this example / N/ absorbs the features [+high, -back] from the following vowel /ee/ to become (/ny/). /ny/ is then lenited to \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) and eventually to \(/ \varnothing /\). The selection of which process an

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{29}\) Cf the Sanskrit anusvāra (m).
\({ }^{30}\) See \(\$ 1.7\) for explanation of glossing conventions.
}
assimilatory nasal undergoes is probably governed by the features of the vowel that comes in front of it, such that \(\mid \mathrm{kuN}-\varnothing=\) ee-rla \(\mid\) ( \([3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) place-PAST \(])\) becomes kunyeerla ' \(\mathrm{s} /\) he set it (celestial-class) down'. In this instance the [+back] vowel / \(\mathrm{u} /\) coming before / \(\mathrm{N} /\) prevents / ny/ from being lenited and deleted in similar circumstances:
```

$\mid$ kuN-Ø=ee-rla $\quad —>$
kuny-Ø=ee-rla —>
/kunyeerla/ s/he set it (celestial-class) down

```
(ii) Before the root of the irregular verb \(=y a\) ' go :'
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Eg.: /nyenga/ <- } \begin{array}{c}
\mid \text { nya=ya-nga } \mid \quad \text { she went } \\
3 \mathrm{f}=\text { go-TNS }
\end{array} \quad
\end{gathered}
\]
(iii) before the root Grarl/Grarlya 'CLAN COUNTRY NAME:'
\[
\text { /nyeegrarlya/ <- |nyi=grarlya } \mid \text { woman from Grarl }
\]

Morphemes containing assimilatory nasals are probably more accurately characterized at some level as containing underlying nasalized vowels, such that eg the 3 f morpheme is \(|n y \tilde{\mathrm{~V}}|\), and 3 w is \(|\mathrm{k} w u \tilde{u}|\). These two morphemes are treated as if they ended in a vowel by nya-epenthesis rule 26 and V metathesis rule 8 . However it is useful to posit a dummy archiphone \(/ \mathrm{N} /\) here for the sake of pattern congruity in hardening rules.

\section*{Rule 2: velar glide hardening (GW P-5)}

Nasals and glides regularly alternate with stops at the same places of articulation in the morphology. For this reason glides will be said to harden, rather than requiring distinct suites of lenition and fortition rules to account for processes undergone by glides and nasals respectively. In a couple of morpheme-specific contexts, the underlying glide \(\left.\right|^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w} \mid\) hardens to /ngk/ after unrounded vowels:
\[
\left|{ }^{\mathrm{k} w}\right| \longrightarrow \text { ngk / V[-round] }
\]

The morpheme -kwurri 'NUM' becomes -ngkurri after the sound /rri/ that occurs in the continuous aspect marker -eerri and in plural participant pronouns:
```

/kunjeerringkurri/ <— |kunjeerri-kwurri| they say
/ngarringkurri <- | ngarri- $^{\text {k }} \mathbf{w u r r i} \mid$ we few (inc)

```

The morpheme -aal 'hither, CENTRIPETAL' has underlying shape \(|-\mathrm{k} w a a l|\), and becomes -ngkaal after the verb roots \(=y a\) 'go' and \(=\) yoolee 'move, travel:'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline /bengkaal/ & \[
<-\mid \text { ba=ya-kwaal } \mid
\] & come here \\
\hline /nyijooleengkaal/ & \[
\begin{gathered}
<-\mid \text { nyirr=yoolee-kwaal } \mid \\
2 \mathrm{p}=\text { move-hither }
\end{gathered}
\] & come over here, you lot \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Rule 3: deretroflexion (GW P-8)}

The locative morpheme -rnanya hardens to -danya after an apico-alveolar consonant:
\[
\text { -rnanya } \longrightarrow>\text {-danya / d, l, rr }
\]

Eg.: /mangadanya/ <- |mangarr-rnanya \(\quad\) in the pouch
/aambuldanya/ <- |aambul-rnanya \(\mid\) in bis eye(s)

Here the first phoneme /rn/ of -rnanya hardens to a stop (/rd/) (rule 11), then assimilates to the place of articulation of the preceding consonant. Another instance of deretroflexion is described in rule 17.

\section*{Rule 4: relict consonant deletion (GW P-11)}

Some morphemes show evidence of relict or ghost consonants in their underlying forms, which leave traces of their presence in the morphemes' surface shapes, by effecting consonants that come after them:
\[
|C| —>\varnothing / \ldots \ldots
\]

For instance -kwnnya 'PURPOSIVE' hardens to -kunya after a consonant (rules \(10 \& 11\) ), but also appears as -kunya after a final vowel in words like mamangkunu 'morning' and anguja 'what?:'
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
mamangkunukunya & until the morning \\
angujakunya & what for?
\end{tabular}

One explanation for this is that the underlying shapes of mamangkunu and anguja end in relict consonants \((|\mathrm{C}|)\) : \(\mid\) mamangkunuC \(\mid\), \(\mid\) angujaC \(\mid\).

The 3 w -indexing morpheme has a series-2 allomorph / ON -/ (see \(\int 8.1 .1\) ). This zero morph has an effect on following consonants, in that glides that come after it are hardened to stops; the examples offered here use the glide-initial roots = raarreya 'big' and =yakarri 'other:'
```

/rdaarreya/ <- |ØN=raarreya | big (celestial-class)
/jakarri/ <- |ØN=yakarri| another (celestial-class) one

```

The best explanation for this phenomenon is that in its underlying structure the zero morph / ON -/ ends in a relict assimilatory nasal, which triggers glide-hardening rule 10 in following morphemes.

\section*{Rule 5: strange hardening (GW P-13)}

Ungarinyin has a morphophoneme \(\left|\mathrm{y}_{2}\right|\), which hardens to /d/ (Rumsey 1982:17). \({ }^{31}\) This type of hardening, to a non-homorganic stop, does not occur in Worrorra, except in the case of just two morphemes that I know of that start with \(\left|\mathrm{y}_{2}\right|:-y_{2} a k_{a}\) 'EMPHATIC' and \(-y_{2}\) irdaka 'from:'
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { /kajirn berrwundaka/ }<-\mid \text { berrwun- } \mathbf{y}_{2} \text { aka } & \text { we mustn't hit him } \\ \text { /anjoldirdaka } /<-\mid \text { anjol }-\mathbf{y}_{2} \text { irdaka } \mid & \text { out of the sky }\end{array}\)

\subsection*{3.1.1 Quasi-portmanteaux}

A subset of morpheme-specific rules deals with five morphemes in Worrorra that are synchronically analysable as fusions of other, constituent shapes. Their status as quasi-portmanteau forms will be discussed after the rules themselves have been presented.

\section*{Rule 6: velar sequence lenition (GW P-21)}

This rule applies specifically to transitive verbs with first person plural inclusive subjects (-ngarr-) acting on third person singular celestial objects (kuN-). The underlying sequence \(\mid\) kuN-ngarr- \(\mid\) is replaced by warr- 32

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{31}\) McGregor \& Rumsey (2009) argue plausibly that \(\left|\mathrm{y}_{2}\right|\) is derived diachronically from a dental glide /yh/ in an earlier historical period (their putative proto-Worrorran), where there was a conditioned alternation between \(/ \mathrm{yh} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{th} /\) in morpheme-initial position.
\({ }^{32} \mathrm{Ie}\), kuN-ng \(\longrightarrow>\) kungng \(\longrightarrow>\) kung \(\longrightarrow>\) w.
}

> kuN-ngarr —> warr /
\(\qquad\) ....
```

|kuN-ngarr=bwu| —>
3w-1pin=hit
kung-ngarr=bwu (rule 1) —>
w-arr=bwu (rule 6) —>
/warrwu/ we can bit it

```
```

|kuN-ngarr=yi| —>
$3 \mathrm{w}-1 \mathrm{pin}=\mathrm{do}$
kuN-ngarr=yu (vowel harmony)
kung-ngarr=yu (rule 1) $->$
$\mathbf{w}$-arr=yu (rule 6) $->$
$\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{arr}=\mathrm{ju} \quad$ (rule 11) $\longrightarrow$
$\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ju} \quad$ (rule 15)—>
/waju/ let's do it

```

In this example, vowel harmony changes the vowel \(/ \mathrm{i} /\) in the root \(=y i\) ' do ' to \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) in surface form, under influence from the vowel in \(|\mathrm{kuN}|\).

\section*{Rule 7: velar nasalization (GW P-23)}

This rule applies specifically to intransitive counterfactual verbs with third person singular masculine subjects (ka-), and to transitive counterfactual verbs with third person singular subjects (-Ø-) and third person singular masculine objects (ka-); ie to any prefixial string of the shape \(\mid \mathrm{ba}\)-ka \((-\varnothing) \mid\). In this environment the masculine singular morpheme \(|-\mathrm{ka}-|\) turns into -ngka-.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \text { ngk / ba ___ a ... } \\
& \text { /bangkanin/ <- |ba-ka=ni-n| be should be } \\
& \text { /bangkonya/ <- |ba-ka- } \varnothing=\text { bwu-nya } \mid \quad \text { s/he should hit him }
\end{aligned}
\]

This leads naturally to an alternative interpretation of the counterfactual morpheme \(b a\) - as underlying \(b a N\)-, as discussed in \(\S 8.3\).

Rule 8: vowel metathesis (GW P-24)
This rule applies specifically to intransitive counterfactual verbs with third person singular celestial subjects ( \({ }^{*} w u N-\) ), and to transitive counterfactual verbs with third person subjects and third person singular celestial objects ( \({ }^{*} w u N-\) ); ie to any prefixial string of the shape \(\mid\) ba-kuN-(3(p))|. In this sequence the vowel \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) and the underlyingly nasalized vowel /uN/ change places. /N/ then becomes / ng / before \(/ \mathrm{k}\) / by rule 1 :
\[
\text { ba-kuN } \longrightarrow>\text { buN-ka / ___ }[-\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{II}] \ldots
\]

The end result is surface forms like bungkanin 'it should be' and bungkonya ' \(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}\) should have hit it:'
```

|ba-kuN=ni-n| —>
CFT-3w=be-NON.P
buN-ka=ni-n —>
/bungkanin/ (rule 1) it should be

```
```

$\mid$ ba-kuN- $\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}$ wu-nya| $\longrightarrow$
CFT-3w-3=hit-PAST
buN-ka- $\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}$ wu-nya $\quad$ -
bung-ka- $\varnothing={ }^{b}$ wu-nya (rule 1) $->$
/bungkonya/ (rule 18) s/he should have bit it
|ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-n| —>
CFT-3w-3p=say-NON.P
buN-ka-bwarr=yi-n —>
bung-ka-bwarr=yi-n (rule 1) $->$
bung-kaarr=yi-n (rule 23) $->$
bung-kaarr=ji-n (rule 11) $\longrightarrow$
/bungkaajin/ (rule 15) they should say

```

Vowel metathesis is also apparent in some intransitive counterfactual verbs with 'you singular' (ngun-) subjects. Here the vowels of the morphemes in the string | ba-ngun - | change places: |ba-ngun-| —> /bu-ngan-/
```

|ba-ngun=ni-n| —>
CFT-2=be-NON.P
bu-ngan=ni-n —>
/bunganin/ you should be

```

\section*{Rule 9: counterfactual-3w assimilation (GW P-25)}

This rule applies specifically to counterfactual transitive verbs with first or second person subjects acting on third person singular celestial (kuN-) objects; ie to any prefixial string of the shape |ba-kuN-(1(p), 2(p))|. In these verbs the morphemes \(|\mathrm{ba}-|\) and \(|-\mathrm{kuN}-|\) merge or blend together to make \(b u\)-, or \(b i\) - under vowel harmony:
\[
\text { ba-kuN } \longrightarrow\{\text { bu, bi }\} / \ldots\{[+\Gamma],[+I I]\} \ldots
\]
```

|ba-kuN-nga=bwu-n|—>
CFT-3w-1 $=$ hit-NON. $P$
bu-nga $=$ bwu-n $\longrightarrow$
/bungon/ (rule 18) I should bit it
|ba-kuN-rra=yi-n| —>
CFT-3w-2p=say-NON.P
bu-rra=yi-n $\quad->$
bi-rri=yi-n (regressive vowel harmony from $=y$ )
/birreen/ (rule 18) you should all say

```

Here \(b u\) - \(\sim b i\) - really is a portmanteau morpheme, covering two meanings; ba- 'Counterfactual' and kuN- '3rd person singular celestial.'

While there is indeed at least one genuine portmanteau index in Worrorra, jan- ' \(2(\mathrm{p})>1\) ' 'a second person subject acting upon a first person singular object,' the set of quasi-portmanteau forms discussed here is less unequivocal. Vowel metathesis rule 8 , for example, is clearly an elaborate form of vowel harmony, which is a regular prosodic process in Worrorra ( \(\$ 2.6 .1\) ). No-one would want to argue that the shape bungan- (underlying |ba-ngun-| [CFT-2-]) is anything more than a metathesized sequence. This being the case, it is hard to escape the conclusion that the shape bungka- (|ba-kuN-| [CFT-3w-]) is likewise a metathesized string. Yet bungka- is in complementary distribution with bu(rule 9) in terms of its syntactic environment, and \(b u\) - is a much better candidate for portmanteau status. But to list bu- and bungka- as members of separate morpholexical categories is to miss the syntactic motivation underlying their different constructions. Likewise the shape bangka- 'CFT-3a-'
(rule 7) is clearly a nasalized version of underlying |ba-ka- \(\mid\), explicable by reference to an alternative shape for the counterfactual morpheme in morphophonology, as \(|\mathrm{baN}-|\). Like \(b u\)-, the 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{w}\) shape warr-(rule 6) is a good candidate for portmanteau status. Yet the string /arr/ in its construction is reminiscent of that string as it occurs in -ngarr- '1pin.' This leads naturally to a consideration of the phoneme \(/ \mathrm{w} /\) in this morpheme as a lenition of \(|\mathrm{kuN}-\mathrm{ng}|(—>|k u n g|)\) : a sequence of [ +back ] phonemes (velar consonants \(+/ \mathrm{u} /\) ) melted down to the labio-velar glide \(/ \mathrm{w} /\).

\subsection*{3.2 General rules}

There are a number of very prominent 'hardening' rules in Worrorra that turn glides and nasals into stop consonants at the same place of articulation. Importantly, there are two labio-velar glide morphophonemes, designated \(\left|{ }^{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right|\) and \(\left|\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}\right|\), that harden to \(/ \mathrm{b} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{k} /\) respectively after certain types of consonant. The full set of homorganic glide, vowel and nasal-stop pairs is as follows:

Table 3.1: homorganic hardening correspondences
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \({ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{m}\) & \(\longrightarrow\) & b \\
\hline n & -> & d \\
\hline r , rn & —> & rd \\
\hline y, ny & \(\rightarrow\) & j \\
\hline \({ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}\), ng & —> & k, ngk \\
\hline aa / \({ }^{\mathrm{w}}\) Wa & —> & ka, ngka \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rules 2, 5, 10, 11, 12 and 13 are all hardening rules in Worrorra.
Rule 10: glide hardening (GW P-2)
Glides turn into the stop consonant at the same place of articulation when they come after a nasal consonant.
```

    \(\mathrm{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{N}\)
    Eg: yoolee $->$ joolee / $n$

```
\(\qquad\)
```

/ngunjoolee/ <- |ngun=yoolee | you travel $2=$ travel

```

Exception: the root morpheme of the irregular verb \(=y a\) 'go' does not harden after a nasal:
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
/ngunyanga/ & \(<-\) & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mid\) ngun=ya-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(2=\) go-TNS
\end{tabular} & you went \\
/kunyanga/ & \(<-\quad |\)\begin{tabular}{l}
\(\mid\) kuN=ya-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(3 w=\) go-TNS
\end{tabular} & it went \\
& &
\end{tabular}

The irregular paradigm of the verb \(=y a\) 'go' noted here and in rule 1 may be accounted for by a diachronic process of homorganic NT cluster reduction (cf rule 28), which also accounts for the \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) prefix kubarr- from underlying \(\mid\) kuN-bwarr- \(\mid\) :
\[
\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}}[\alpha \text { place }], \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{T}}[\alpha \text { place }] \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~T}\}}
\]

That is:
(glide hardening)
( N assimilation to following T )
(CC reduction)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
|ngun-ya-nga \(\mid\), & \(\mid\) kuN-bwarr- \(\mid \longrightarrow>\) \\
ngun-ja-nga, & kuN-barr & \(\longrightarrow\) \\
ngu[ny-j]a-nga, & ku[m-b]arr & \(\longrightarrow\) \\
ngu[ny-] \(]\) a-nga, & ku[-b]arr & \(\longrightarrow\) \\
ngunyanga, & kubarr-
\end{tabular}

Rule 11: hardening (general) (GW P-3)
Nasal consonants and glides become stops after lateral consonants or /rr/.
\[
\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{rr}
\]
Eg: \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
/nyirringkaalkurri/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) nyirringkaal-kwurri & come here, you lot \\
& /nyamaaldu/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) nyamaal-nu \(\mid\) & s/be will get it for you \\
/Arrbalandiya/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid \operatorname{arr}=\) malandiya \(\mid\) & the Prince Regent River clan \\
& /kaajoolinerri/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) kaarr=yoolinerri \(\mid\) & they were travelling
\end{tabular}

This last example involves the deletion of \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) before \(/ \mathrm{j} /(\) see rule 15\()\).
Exception: there is one exception to this rule: the glide \(/{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w} /\) does not harden at the start of a verbal root morpheme, although it does harden in other environments:
\[
\text { /ngarrbarrwuna } \ll-\underset{\mid}{\mid \text { ngarr- }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathbf{w a r r}=\mathrm{b} \mathbf{w u}-\mathrm{na} \mid} \text { 1pin-3p=hit-PAST } \quad \text { they bit us }
\]

The following two rules, as well as velar elision rule 22, describe the relationship between the [+back] phonemes \(/ \mathrm{a} /\), \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} /\). These three rules in effect describe three phonological outcomes of essentially the same morphophonemic phenomenon.

\section*{Rule 12: open vowel - open glide equivalence (GW P-4)}

When it comes at the start of a morpheme, the long vowel / aa/ has the underlying allophonic shape \(\mid\) kwa- \(\mid\). This means that the same things happen to /aa/ as would happen to the glide-vowel pair /kwa-/.
```

aa —> |kwa| / +

```
\(\qquad\)

The morpheme -aarndu 'Dual' hardens to -karndu after a consonant, as if it began with \(\left|{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}\right|\); and -aara '1DAT' becomes -kara after a consonant:
```

/nyirringkaalkarndu/ <- | nyirringkaal-kwarndu| come bere you two

```
/maalkara/ <— |maal-kwara \({ }^{\text {bet it for me }}\)

The verb root =aangurru 'take, carry' may be underlyingly represented as \(\mid={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wangurru \(\mid\), as it becomes
\(=k\) angurru after a nasal. Accordingly, nyiN-' 3 f ' becomes nying- in front of \(/=\mathrm{aa} /\) :
```

| nyiN=kwangurru | ->
nyiN=kangurru —>
nying=kangurru ——>
/nyingkangurru/ take/carry her

```

And =aangurru manifests as \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru in future tense forms:
```

|a-Ø-nya=k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{w}}\mathrm{ wangurru | ->
3a-3-OPT=carry
e=kwangurru ——>
/ewangurru/ s/he will take/ carry bim

```

Note also the shape ngaangurru 'I will take it (celestial),' underlyingly \(\mid \varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-nga=k \({ }^{\text {wangurru }}\), with elision of \(\left|\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}\right|\) between \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) by velar elision rule 22 . Because \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\) has phonemic status elsewhere in the phonology, it is sufficient to posit / \({ }^{\mathrm{k} w a / ~ a s ~ a ~ m o r p h o l o g i c a l l y-c o n d i t i o n e d ~ a l l o p h o n e ~}\) of \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\).

Rule 13: distance hardening (GW P-12)
This rule applies specifically to morphemes of the shape \(/ \# \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{VN}_{[\alpha]} \mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} \ldots /\), where \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) is a glide or nasal, and \([\alpha]\) indexes a specific place of articulation. Examples of such morphemes are \(=\) ningka 'bite,' -nangka 'DAT,' = ninja 'look' and = rambima 'extended family/patriclan.' The rule states that when \(\mathrm{C}_{1}\) is in a position to be hardened, then \(\mathrm{N}_{[\alpha]}\) will also be hardened:
\[
\mathrm{N}_{[\alpha]} \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \# \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~V} \_\mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} \ldots \ldots
\]

Here \(C_{2}\) is a nasal, a lateral or \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\), whichever type of consonant causes \(C_{1}\) to harden under hardening rules 10 or 11 . The application of this rule results in a geminate stop \(\left(\mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} \mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]}\right)\) :
\[
\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{VN}_{[\alpha]} \mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} \cdots . . \longrightarrow \mathrm{TVT}_{[\alpha]} \mathrm{T}_{[\alpha]} \cdots . .
\]
which is now shortened under degemination rule 27.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Eg: & |maal-nangka| maal-dangka maal-dakka /maaldaka/ & ```
_>
(rule 11) —>
(distance hardening) —>
(rule 27)
``` & bring it to bim/ her \\
\hline & \(\mid\) ngarr- \(\varnothing=\) ninja \(\mid\) & —> & \\
\hline & \(1 \mathrm{pin}-3=\) look & & \\
\hline & ngarr- \(\varnothing=\) dinja & (rule 11) —> & \\
\hline & nga- \(\varnothing=\) dinja & (rule 15) —> & \\
\hline & nga- \(\varnothing=\mathbf{d i j j a}\) & (distance hardening) -> & \\
\hline & /ngadija/ & (rule 27) & s/ he can see us \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The next two rules are more or less complementary; rule 14 describes how nasals are deleted before trills and laterals, and rule 15 describes how trills are deleted before nasals and laterals.

Rule 14: nasal deletion (GW P-6)
Nasals are deleted in front of laterals and /rr/.
\[
\mathrm{N} \longrightarrow \varnothing / \quad \ldots, \mathrm{Lr}
\]
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Eg: & /ngulardu/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) ngun=lardu \\
& /kurloonangka/ & \(<-\) & your back \\
& & \(\mid\) kuN=rloonangka \(\mid\) & s/be'll talk to bim/her
\end{tabular}

Nasals are deleted very specifically before the 2 p subject morpheme /-rra/ in transitive verbs:
```

/nyirrona/ <- | nyiN-rrona| you all bit ber
/jarrona/ <- |jan-rrona| you all bit me

```

Rule 15: trill deletion (GW P-7)
The trill /rr/ is deleted before the stops / d, rd/ and / \(\mathrm{j} /\) :
\[
\mathrm{rr} \longrightarrow \varnothing / \ldots \quad \mathrm{T}[+ \text { coronal }]
\]

Before being deleted, though, it frequently hardens a glide or nasal in accordance with hardening rule 11, as in these examples:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline | arr=nu-na & —> \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(1 \mathrm{px}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\)} \\
\hline arr=du-na & (rule 11)—> \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}=\) du-na & (trill deletion)—> \\
\hline /aduna/ & we were \\
\hline | \(\operatorname{arr}=\) yakarri \(\mid\) & -> \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) other} \\
\hline arr=jakarri & (rule 11) —> \\
\hline a-jakarri & (trill deletion) —> \\
\hline /ajakarri/ & the others \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

And /rr/ may or may not be deleted before laterals; here \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) and \(/ \varnothing /\) are in free variation:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mana kaaladingeerri or mana kaarrladingeerri } & \text { they live bere } \\
\text { korru kubarloonya or korru kubarrloonya } & \text { let them speak }
\end{array}
\]

An elaboration on this aspect of trill deletion is dealt with in rule 17.
Also, /rr/ may be deleted before nasals in verbal prefix clusters, and in prefixes used to construct pronouns:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/angkenga/ & \(<\) & & \(\mid\) arr-ngkenga
\end{tabular}

But in other situations / rr/ is not deleted before nasals: eg note the /rr+ng/ cluster in Arnngarngoyu 'CLAN COUNTRY NAME' and mamurrnguma 'separate (adj).'

Rules 3 and 16 deal with apical consonants and are also complementary; rule 3 describes how retroflex consonants lose their retroflexion, and rule 16 describes how alveolar consonants become retroflexed.

\section*{Rule 16: retroflexion (GW P-9)}

Apical consonants become retroflexed before a retroflex consonant at the beginning of a root morpheme:
\[
\mathrm{C}[+ \text { coronal, }- \text { distrib }] \longrightarrow[- \text { anterior }] / \ldots=C[+ \text { coronal, -distrib, -anterior }]
\]
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
Eg: & \begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mid\) ngun= \(\mathbf{r n o} \mid\) \\
ngurn= \(=\mathbf{r n o}\) \\
/ngurno/
\end{tabular} & (retroflexion) —> & \\
& (degemination) & I'll give it to you
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mid\) kaan \(=\) rema \(\mid\) & \(—>\) \\
kaan=rdema \\
kaarn \(=\) rdema
\end{tabular} & (rule 10) \(\longrightarrow>\) \\
(retroflexion) \(\longrightarrow>\) & \\
kaarndema/ &
\end{tabular}

Rule 17: disambiguation before laterals (GW P-10)
When any plural NP index (1pin ngarr-, px arr- or 2 p nyirr-) occurs before a derived noun root that begins with a lateral, then the /rr/ phoneme is not deleted, as rule 15 would otherwise predict:
```

Eg: /ngarrlardu/ <- | ngarr=lardu| our backs
/arrlungkum/ <- |arr=rlungkuma| their knees

```

This happens in order to distinguish between nyiN- ' 3 f ' and nyirr- ' 2 p ', and between nga- ' 1 ' and ngarr' 1 pin' in this position:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ngaladu & my back & ngarrlardu & our backs \\
nyiladu & berback & nyirrlardu & your backs
\end{tabular}

When any of the morphemes ngarr-, arr- or nyirr- occur before intransitive verb roots that begin with laterals, then the same thing happens:
```

/ngarrlelwana/ < - |ngarr=rlelwana| we were born
/nyirrlelwana/ <- | nyirr=rlelwana | you were all born

```

Again, this enables pairs such as the following to be distinguished:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ngarlelwana & I was born & ngarrlelwana \\
nyirlelwana & she was born born \\
nyirrlelwana & you were all born
\end{tabular}

This may not happen with transitive verb roots like \(k u N[]=r l o o\) 'talk, speak' because there is no danger of confusion. Note also that \(/ \mathrm{rl} /\) becomes \(/ \mathrm{l} /\) after these prefixes; this is another case of deretroflexion (cf rule 3).

Rule 18: V-expansion (GW P-15)
The long vowels (/aa/, /ee/, /oo/, /e/ and/o/) may be \(|\mathrm{V}-(\mathrm{G})-\mathrm{V}|\) sequences in morphophonology. The V-expansion rule is concerned with /ee/, /oo/, /e/ and /o/: the underlying representation of the vowel \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\) is dealt with by rule 23 . Rule 18 states that certain underlying \(\mathrm{V}-(\mathrm{G})-\mathrm{V}\) sequences are converted to specific long vowels in surface phonology.

That is:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(|a+(y) i|\) & & \(/ e /\) \\
\(|a+(y) a|\) & & \(/ e\) \\
\(|a+(w) u|\) & \(->\) & \(/ o /\) \\
\(|i+(y) i|\) & \(->\) & \(/ e e /\) \\
\(|u+(y) i|\) & & \\
\(|u+w u|\) & \(\longrightarrow\) & \(/ o o /\)
\end{tabular}

Examples of these cluster assimilations follow:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{/e/} & /nge/ & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(<\) -} & \(|\varnothing \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{nga}=\mathrm{yi}|\) & I will do it \\
\hline & /ngenga/ & & \(<\) & & \(\mid \mathrm{nga}=\) ya-nga| & I went \\
\hline & /ke/ & \(<\) & ØN=kaya & \(<-\) & \(\mid \varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) kwaya \(\mid\) & that one \\
\hline /o/ & /ngo/ & & \(<-\) & & \(\left|\varnothing \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{nga}={ }^{\text {b }} \mathbf{w u}\right|\) & I will hit it \\
\hline /ee/ & \begin{tabular}{l}
/kunjee/ \\
/barrweenya/
\end{tabular} & \(<-\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { kuN-nji }=y i \\
<
\end{gathered}
\] & \(<-\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(|\mathrm{kuN}-\mathrm{nja}=\mathrm{yi}|\) \\
|ba-ka-ngarr=bwu-yinya|
\end{tabular} & you do it we should've hit him \\
\hline /oo/ & /nyidoorri/ & & \(<-\) & & | nyirr= \(^{\text {nu }}{ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 2 p 'be' NUM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Rule 19: \(\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}\) contraction (GW P-16)}

When two short vowels, or a long vowel and a short vowel, are separated by only a morpheme boundary, one of the vowels (usually but not always the short one) may disappear.
\[
V+V->V
\]

In the following examples the bold-type vowels are deleted:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/maalkara/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) ma-aalkara \(\mid\) & get it for me \\
/kunjeerri/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) kunji-eerri \(\mid\) & be's doing it \\
/ngengurreerri/ & \(<-\) & |ngengurru-eerri \(\mid\) & I'm going away \\
/minyiyaara/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) minyiyi-kwara \(\mid\) & tell me \\
/ngarrwaarndeerri/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) ngarrwu-aarndu-eerri \(\mid\) & be's bitting us two \\
/irrayaalkara/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) irra=eeyaalkara & you all put it here for me
\end{tabular}

Rule 20: \(y\)-epenthesis (GW P-17)
When two long vowels are separated by only a morpheme boundary, the glide /y/ may be inserted between them, although this does not always happen.
\[
\varnothing —>y / V \_\# V
\]
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
/irnoyaarndum/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) irno-aarndum \(\mid\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
there they both are \\
/kangoyeerri/
\end{tabular}\(<-\) \\
/kubajeyeerri/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) kango-eerri \(\mid\) & Ikubaje-eerri \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}

Rule 21: \(a\)-fronting and raising (GW P-18)
When /a/ comes in between two lamino-palatal consonants, and in front of a root morpheme, it turns into /i/.
\[
\text { a } \longrightarrow>\text { i / C }[+ \text { high, -back }] \ldots \text { C }[+ \text { high, }- \text { back }]
\]

In the following examples the effected vowel is in bold type:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline /minyiyaara/ & \(<-\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mid \text { miny }=\text { =yi-kwara } \mid \\
2>3 \mathrm{w}=\text { say-1DAT }
\end{gathered}
\] & tell me \\
\hline /nyimnyiyawana/ & \(<\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \text { nyiN-mnya=yawa-na } \mid \\
& \text { 3f-DD=rub-PAST }
\end{aligned}
\] & she rubbed it on berself \\
\hline /kunjiyeerri/ & \(<\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
|kuN-nja=yi-eerri| \\
3w-2=do-PROG
\end{tabular} & you're doing it \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

/anbajiyaariwuna/ <- |arr-n-bwarr-nya=yaariwu-na| they gave it to us
1px-INV-3p-EP-give-PAST

```

Rule 22: velar elision (GW P-19)
In some circumstances velar consonants may disappear when they come between two /a/phonemes or between \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) and \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\).
\[
\mathrm{C}[+ \text { back }] —>\varnothing / \mathrm{V}[+ \text { back, -round }] \ldots \text { V [+back, -round }]
\]

When this happens, two short /a/ sounds originally found on either side of a velar consonant blend together under \(\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}\) contraction rule 19 . In the following examples the consonants in bold are deleted:
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { | ba-kaarr=[i-nga]-n } \mid \\ \text { CFT-3p=[go-TNS]-NON.P } \\ \text { ba-aarr=i-nga-n } \\ \text { /baarringan/ }\end{array} & -\longrightarrow\end{array}\right)\)

\section*{Rule 23: peripheral glide lenition (GW P-20)}

This rule is a reflex of open vowel-open glide equivalence rule 12, and at the same time an extension of V expansion rule 18. It states that the underlying string |awa \| may find surface expression as \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\).
```

/aanangka/ <- |awa-nangka| bis
he-DAT

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline /maarrwu/ & \(<-\) & \(\mid\) ma \(^{-{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { warr }}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu \(\mid\) \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit & they can bit it \\
\hline /ingkaarrwu/ & \(<\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mid \text { i-ngka- }{ }^{\text {b}} \mathbf{w a r r}={ }^{\text {b }} \text { wu } \\
\text { 3a-SJTV-3p=hit }
\end{gathered}
\] & when they hit him \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Rule 24: ny-lenition (GW P-26)
The results of this rule never appear in surface forms. It applies quite specifically to the optative morpheme -nya- and to the transitive first person plural exclusive subject morpheme nyarr-. The /ny/ sound in these morphemes turns into / \(\mathrm{y} /\) when it comes after /a/.
\[
\text { ny } \longrightarrow>y / a \_ \text {a }
\]

This results in the sequence \(\mid\) aya \(\mid\) which then turns into /e/ by the application of rule 18.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline |a-nya=nu| & —> & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3 a -OPT=be} \\
\hline \(\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{ya}=\mathrm{nu}\) & —> & \\
\hline /enu/ & (rule 18) & be will be \\
\hline \(\mid \mathrm{a}-\) Ø-nya= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu \(\mid\) & —> & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3a-3-OPT=hit} \\
\hline \(\mathrm{a}-\varnothing\)-ya=bwu & —> & \\
\hline /ewu/ & (rule 18) & s/ he will hit him \\
\hline \(\mid\) nyaN-nyarr= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu \(\mid\) & —> & \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{f}-1 \mathrm{px}=\) hit} \\
\hline nyany-nyarr=bwu & (rule 1) \(\quad\) - & \\
\hline nya-nyarr \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu & (rule 27) \(\quad\) - & \\
\hline nya-yarr=bwu & —> & \\
\hline /nyerrwu/ & (rule 18) & we hit her \\
\hline | a-nyarr-ngun= \({ }^{\text {bwu }}\) | & —> & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{px}\)-SJTV=hit & & \\
\hline a-nyarr-kum=bu & (rules 10 \& 11) & —> \\
\hline a-yarr-kum=bu & \(\longrightarrow\) & \\
\hline /errkumbu/ & (rule 18) & when we bit him \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Rule 25: \(b a\)-epenthesis (GW P-27)}

There is a rather weak tendency or preference for Worrorra words not to end in consonants. If a word does end in a consonant, an epenthetic morpheme /-ba/ may be added to the end.
\[
\varnothing —>\text { ba / C \# }
\]

For example the underlying shape |bundurl| 'the bush' adds the morpheme -rnanya 'LOC' to make bundurldanya (rule 11) 'in the bush,' but on its own it usually appears as bundurlba. Other examples are: dalorr and dalorrba 'well, sink hole,' kajirn and kajirnba 'unable,' marneen and marneenba 'hang up,' baraan and baraanba 'shaved (head),' and =reen and =reenba 'real.' When the SPECIFIC morpheme -maanappears as a separate phonological word, it has the shape maanba. The clan country name Grarl may also appear as Meegrarlba. Verbs may also very occasionally appear with ba-epenthesis: banjamnyeenba (|ba-ka-nja-mnya=ee-n-ba| [CFT-3a-2-DD=place-NON.P-EP]) 'you should put him down.'

Rule 26: \(n y a\) - and \(a\)-epenthesis (GW P-28)
A meaningless shape /a/ is always inserted after the third person plural subject-third person singular masculine object prefix cluster / ka-b warr-/ in verbs in present and past tenses:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline /kawarro/ & \(<-\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mid \mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{b}_{\text {warr }}-\mathbf{a}={ }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { wu } \mid \\
3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\mathrm{hit}
\end{gathered}
\] & they hit him \\
\hline /kawarrarema/ & \(<\) & \(\mid\) ka-bwarr-a=rema \(3 a-3 p-E P=\) cry.for & they cry for him \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Epenthetic /a/ occurs sporadically throughout Worrorra morphology.
A meaningless shape |nya| may be inserted between a prefix cluster and a verb root, on any verb marked for present or past tense, provided that the prefix cluster ends in a consonant:
\[
\varnothing \longrightarrow \text { nya / .... C }
\]

Compare these pairs of verb forms with and without epenthetic |nya|:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline arr=nu-na & \(>\) & aduna & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\) & & & we were \\
\hline arr-nya=nu-na & \(>\) & ajanuna & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1px-EP=be-PAST} \\
\hline kaarr=i-nga & \(>\) & kaarringa & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST & & & they went \\
\hline kaarr-nya=ya-nga & > & kajenga & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathbf{E P}=\) go-past} \\
\hline ngan-ngun=nu-na & \(>\) & nganngununa & \\
\hline 1-SJTV=be-PAST & & & when I was \\
\hline ngan-ngun-nya=nu-na & \(>\) & nganngunyanuna & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1-SJTV-EP=be-past} \\
\hline ngun- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & > & ngunbuna & \\
\hline 2-3=hit-PAST & & & s/be bit you \\
\hline ngun- - \(^{\text {-nya }}={ }^{\text {b wu-na }}\) & \(>\) & ngunyona & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{2-3-EP=hit-PAST} \\
\hline ngun- \(\varnothing\)-ngun= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & > & ngunngumbuna & \\
\hline 2-3-SJTV=hit-PAST & & & when s/ he bit you \\
\hline ngun- - \(^{\text {ngun- }}\) nya \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & > & ngunngunyona & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{2-3-SJTV-EP=hit-PAST} \\
\hline kaarr-n- O \(^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & > & kaanbuna & \\
\hline 3p-INV-3=hit-PAST & & & \(s /\) be bit them \\
\hline kaarr-n-Ø-nya=bwu-na & > & kaanyona & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{INV}-3-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST} \\
\hline ba-ka-nyarr=kwulkuna-yinya & > & berrkulkunenya & we should've been \\
\hline CFT-3a-1px=fear-PAST & & & frightened of him \\
\hline ba-ka-nyarr-nya \(={ }^{\text {k }}\) wulkuna-yinya & > & bejolkunenya & \\
\hline CFT-3a-1px-EP=fear-PAST & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The appearance of the shape |nya \(\mid\) is phonologically conditioned; that is, it does not appear on a verb form that has a vowel or an assimilatory nasal (/N/) before the verb root, and it does not occur on a verb marked with the phonologically competing morpheme -mnya- 'Discourse Deictic.' After / rr/, |nya| turns into / ja/ (rule 11). Its use is entirely optional.

\subsection*{3.2.1 Redundancy rules}

There are also at least a couple of redundancy rules that ensure that words produced by the morphology conform to the language's more basic phonological and phonotactic requirements. These rules may be stated as:

\section*{Rule 27: degemination (GW P-14)}

This rule simply states that any long consonants that arise in the course of deriving a surface word from an underlying form are shortened: that is, there are no long consonants in Worrorra:
\[
\mathrm{CC}[\alpha \text { place, } \alpha \text { manner }] \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}
\]

See the examples given under rule 13 for instances of degemination rule 27.

\section*{Rule 28: initial CC reduction}

Where zero morphs occur word-initially at a morphophonemic level, nasal-stop consonant clusters are sometimes left stranded at the start of words. Such CCs are illegal in the phonology in this position ( \(\$ 2.4 .1\) ), and when this happens the nasal member of such a CC is deleted:


There are actually a few more morphophonemic rules than the 28 listed here, but most of the other rules follow naturally from the rules of allophony and vowel harmony outlined in Chapter Two, and affect only one or two morphemes in quite restricted places. These rules will be further exemplified, and some of them will be repeated in Chapters Five, Eight and Fifteen, where verb morphologies are described in greater detail.

\subsection*{3.2.2 Rule Ordering}

Morphophonemic processes often involve a number of rules acting in unison, or one rule coming into force before or after another is applied. Some underlying shapes need several rules to be applied in order to derive surface forms. Some instances of processes of this sort have already been offered in the examples listed under Velar elision rule 22, Vowel metathesis rule 8, and ny-lenition rule 24. Two more examples of complex ordering of rules are shown here as well:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline input & nyaN-ngarr= rno | & —> \\
\hline & \(3 \mathrm{f}-1 \mathrm{pin}=\) give & \\
\hline (i) rule 11 & nyaN-ngarr=rdo & -> \\
\hline (ii) rule 15 & nyaN-nga=rdo & -> \\
\hline (iii) rule 1 & nyang-nga=rdo & \(\rightarrow\) \\
\hline (iv) rule 27 & nya-ng-a=rdo & -> \\
\hline (v) rule 22 & nya-a=rdo & \(>\) \\
\hline (vi) rule 19 & nya= \(=\) rdo & -> \\
\hline output & /nyardo/ & let's \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Here Hardening rule 11 must apply before Trill deletion rule 15: if it were otherwise, the hardening rule could not be applied. Rules 22 and 19 must also apply in that order if they are to cooperate to produce the correct outcome. Nasal assimilation rule 1 and degemination rule 27 are examples of 'background' rules that come into operation indiscriminately, that is, whenever derivational processes throw up strings to which they apply.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
input & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ba-ka-nyarr-nya=kwulkuna-yinya \\
CFT-3a-1px-EP=fear-PAST
\end{tabular} & \(\longrightarrow\)
\end{tabular}

In this example we can see that V expansion rule 18 is the last rule to apply, and can only apply after rules 22,19 , and 24 have produced the vowel-glide strings upon which rule 18 feeds.

In many cases where there is a possibility of two rules applying to one cluster, one of the rules will have precedence, to the exclusion of the other. For example V-expansion rule 18 normally applies to |awu| strings at morpheme boundaries:
```

/ingo/ <- |i-nga=bwu | I will bit bim
3a-1=hit

```

When the morpheme \(-{ }^{-}\)warndu 'DUAL' is suffixed to this form, however, \(\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}\) Contraction rule 19 is applied to the sequence before rule 18 has a chance to apply:
```

/ingawaarndu/ <- |i-nga=bwu-aarndu | I will hit them both
3a-1=hit-DU

```

In this example \(\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}\) contraction rule 19 applies to the string / \(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{aa} /\) and deletes the \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) sound in \(={ }^{b} w u\) before V -expansion rule 18 can be applied to the string /a-wu/ to produce /o/. In this case note that it is clear that the grammar deals with the sequence \(\mid i-n g a={ }^{b}\) wu-aarndu \(\mid\) as if it were an autonomous unit, and not merely an inflected form of the singular shape ingo. Thus each of the surface shapes ingo and ingawaarndu is derived from their underlying morphemes independently, without reference to the other as a paradigmatically prior or more basic form. The implication of this situation is that the language's morphology, even in the typically inflexional context of verb paradigms, is not inflexional at all but derivational, with each word-form assembled out of the lexicon independently.

In summary, it can be said that only general morphophonemic rules are ordered: morphemespecific and redundancy rules are 'background' rules as described above. Of the 17 or so general morphophonemic rules, 7 of them (rules \(14,16,17,20,21,25\) and 26) are independent. Rule 17 is simply an exception to trill deletion rule 15 , and rules 20,25 and 26 involve different kinds of epenthesis. The remaining three ( 14,16 and 21 ) likewise do not feed other processes. Rules 10,11 and 13 are hardening rules, feeding trill deletion rule 15 . Rules \(18,19,22\) and 24 all effect vowels, and rules 12 and 23 deal with the \(/ \mathrm{aa} /-/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{wa}}\) / allomorphy in Worrorra.


Figure 3.1: summary of general rule ordering

\section*{Four: nouns and noun classes}

This chapter is concerned with nouns as word-forms that refer to types of things, while Chapter Six deals with nominal expressions as denoting instances of those types (cf Langacker 1991:51). Worrorra referring expressions may be described by reference to at least three independent parameters with formal consequences:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Classificatory: } & \text { by way of a five-way gender partition } \\
\text { Lexical: } & \text { by way of a four-way morpholexical division } \\
\text { Animacy: } & \text { by way of a two-way split, pluralizable vs non-pluralizable }
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Classificatory}

Worrorra nouns occur as members of one of five classes or genders. These are a masculine class, a feminine class, a celestial class, a terrestrial class, and a minor class of collective nouns containing probably about a dozen members. Although some genders may be neutralized in some situations (see especially Chapter Nine), genders and noun classes do not constitute separate categories in Worrorra, as they do in some languages such as Mayali (Evans 2003), so that the terms 'gender' and 'noun class' may be used here synonymously. The two non-human genders referred to here and in Clendon (1999) as celestial and terrestrial, are referred to by Love (1934) as the wuna and mana classes respectively. Love named these genders after the definite articles used with their members (wunu and mana in my experience) (see Chapter Seven). Gender-marking is exhaustive and unique; the gender of the head of a noun phrase controls agreement on adjectives, inalienable nouns, pronouns and verbs and is essentially invariable with respect to each noun. Gender in Worrorra is described in \(\S 4.1\).

\section*{Lexical}

Simple nouns are fully lexicalized, meaning that they do not require additional bound morphemes in order to become utterable words. They may or may not display gender-signalling morphemes as part of their formal construction. The second and third nominal categories (below) constitute examples of external possession, as described in the volume edited by Payne \& Barshi (1999).

The second lexical category comprises nouns that refer to parts of some whole which, when viewed from the perspective of traditional Worrorra culture, are deemed to be inalienable, or, as Chappell \& McGregor (1996) put it, fall within the 'personal sphere' of some 'owner' or some whole of which the denotatum is a part. The 'whole' entity is indexed on nouns of this category by way of a set of inflexional person, number and gender-marking prefixes, with the result that inalienable nouns exhibit double agreement-class marking. Most inalienable nouns refer to parts of the body, but some refer to parts of the person such as =ngumbu wunu 'name,' =yula inja 'anger' and =ngaanja inja 'shadow; soul, spirit.'

The third category contains nouns that refer to kinfolk, or kinship nouns. These are derived from underlying root (vocative) morphemes by way of a set of derivational suffixes that index the agreement-class of the propositus (the 'possessor') on the head noun.

The fourth subcategory is derived from underlying root morphemes by way of a set of person, number and gender-marking affixes. These forms refer underlyingly to states rather than to entities, and are here termed adjectives.

Lexical subcategories may be summarized as follows:
(i) simple nouns: have inherent gender,
(ii) inalienable nouns: composite, inflexional, display double agreement-class marking,
(iii) kinship nouns: composite, derivational, have natural gender,
(iv) adjectives: composite, derivational, gender agreement with head.

Simple nouns are discussed in this chapter. Inalienable nouns and adjectives are described in Chapter Six. Kinship nouns are discussed in Chapter 13, and an inventory of kinship nouns is provided in Chapter 18.

\begin{abstract}
Animacy
Human nouns and nouns that refer to important animals and to foods may be pluralized. Nearly all pluralizable nouns are either masculine or feminine; I know of only two that are not; wunu marruku 'flower' is promoted to the set of pluralizable nouns when flowers are being considered as food for some animals such as possums or echidnas, and mana rambarr 'avoidance-category kin.' This last appears to be a Wanderwort in the Kimberley, denoting in Worrorra a superordinate or category term covering a number of kinship designations whose referents stand in restricted or prohibited relationships to the speaker. There is, therefore, an abstract dimension to its meaning, which is something like 'polar opposite' or 'antithesis.' The same word in Ungarinyin means 'barrier' or 'screen', \({ }^{33}\) and so it is probably safe to assume that in Worrorra this word's primary or underlying meaning has to do with the semantics of separation rather than with the denotation of human beings. Not all masculine and feminine nouns by any means are able to be pluralized; rather the subset of masculine and feminine nouns that can be pluralized may be characterized as being highly placed on a scale of animacy (or notional topicality, or cultural importance). As it happens, highly animate nouns are just the sort that make good candidates for inclusion in either masculine or feminine genders. Plurality in Worrorra is described in \(\S 4.2\).
\end{abstract}

\subsection*{4.1 Agreement classes}

Most of this chapter is concerned with the systematics of the five agreement classes that encode gender in the language. Gender represents one kind of nominal classification system found in Australia, the other being represented by systems of noun classification. Both types of system operate by partitioning the nouns of a language into distinct categories or partition classes (Silverstein 1986). Noun classifiers are lexicalizations of the intensional properties of their partition classes, that also function as heads of the phrases in which they occur. Gender systems are able to be described in similar terms, but without the requirements of lexicalization and headedness. Gender in Worrorra is a lexical property of the noun which bears it, and the articles listed in (4.4) below, that overtly signal gender, are frequently absent from noun phrases.

Referring expressions in Worrorra are partitioned into ten agreement classes, signalled morphologically on adjectives, pronouns, inalienable nouns, kinship nouns and possessive phrases, and on verbs in intransitive subject, transitive object, agent and non-subcategorized object positions. The parameters that define agreement class are of two types: person and number are higher-level parameters employed in the definition of all classes, while participation (that is, whether or not an addressee is included in the reference) and gender are lower-level parameters operating on only a subset of classes defined by the higher-level parameters. There are analytical disparities in agreementclass membership: five of the agreement classes contain only one high-frequency member each (first and second persons) while the other five contain thousands of members (third persons). Emically, however, there are no significant grounds for distinguishing between agreement classes on the basis of

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{33}\) Alan Rumsey, pers comm.
}
person; all agreement classes have equal (and equally obligatory) access to each agreement locus. Although gender is not signalled on morphemes marking agents and non-subcategorized objects on verbs, there are no other morphological cues to indicate that the category 'third person singular' constitutes a subset of agreement classes defined by gender.

Table 4.1: nominal agreement-classes CLASS LABEL
first person singular
second person singular
third person singular masculine
third person singular feminine
third person singular celestial
third person singular terrestrial
first person plural inclusive
first person plural exclusive
second person plural
third person plural
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
ABBREV & DERIVATIONAL PREFIX \\
1 & nga- \\
2 & ngun- \\
3 a & i-, \(\mathrm{a}-\) \\
3 f & nyi(N)- \\
3 w & \(\emptyset \mathrm{N}-\), k \(\mathrm{wuN}-\) \\
3 m & ma- \\
1 pin & ngarr- \\
1 px & arr- \\
2 p & nyirr- \\
3 p & arr-
\end{tabular}

Grammatical person does not operate independently of other agreement-class parameters as it does in other gendered languages such as French or Arabic. To illustrate the way in which inflected person, number, participation and gender categories constitute a single morphosyntactic parameter in Worrorra, it may be helpful to consider the noun nyinjorinya 'widow.' Although semantically a prototypical human noun, it is nevertheless morphologically derived, and is underlyingly adjectival in terms of the analysis offered here. Its meaning is in part derived by morphemes signalling agreement class; the morphological processes that it undergoes are the same as those undergone by all other agreement targets. Nyinjorinya is marked for feminine gender; a widower is iyoru, and is marked for masculine gender. If you were to keep two red-winged parrots (wипи marrirr) in a cage, and one of them were to die, the remaining parrot could be referred to as wunjoru 'it (who has) lost its mate.' If two red-tailed black cockatoos (mana darraanma) were kept in a cage and if one were to die, the survivor could be referred to as mayorama 'it (who has) lost its mate.' If I were to lose my spouse, I would refer to myself as ngayoru 'I (who am) a widow(er);' and similarly with other agreement-class categories: ngunjoru 'you singular (who are) a widow(er),' ngajoyoru 'we inclusive (who are) widow(er)s,' ajoyorn 'we exclusive (who are) widow(er)s,' nyijoyorn 'you plural (who are) widow(er)s' and ajorayoru 'widow(er)s.' In this paradigm, grammatical person is not manifested in a separate form-class from that of gender; the first person singular shape, for instance, cannot bear gender-marking affixes as adjectives do in French: je suis content 'I am happy (male referent)' vs je suis contente 'I am happy (female referent).'

There are, then, no formal grounds for separating the category 'gender' from other agreement-class meanings in Worrorra; in all agreement paradigms, person, gender, number and participation together constitute a single morphological parameter. Syntactic and semantic criteria are required to define the category 'gender' in Worrorra.

Gender partitions the third person singular category only. However there is a small class of collective nouns (about a dozen) whose agreement forms are homophonous with those of the third person plural agreement class. Collective nouns constitute an ambiguous category; they are formally identical to the third person plural agreement class, but morphosyntactically analogous to members of the set of third person singular agreement classes defined by gender. It should be borne in mind that there are at least two competing ways to account for collective nouns in Worrorra: one is to constitute collective nouns as an eleventh (homophonous) agreement class, and the other is to describe the third person plural agreement class as containing both plural and collective nouns. Neither approach seems to offer any clear analytical advantage over the other.

Worrorra nouns frequently co-occur with members of a set of determiners that serve as definite articles. These are inja (masculine), nyina (feminine), wunu (celestial) and mana (terrestrial); and they will be used to identify the gender of nouns occurring in this text. The following sentence exemplifies their use as definite articles:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(4.1)} \\
\hline Wankaleeninjaa, & jurlwun & injaa, & warinyinaa, \\
\hline wankaleena-inja-aa & jurlwun & inja-aa, & warinya-nyina-aa \\
\hline k'roo.sp-3aDEF-and & k'roo.sp & \(3 \mathrm{DEEF}-\mathrm{and}\) & k'roo.sp-3fDEF-and \\
\hline karroninyinaa & buju & kubarrwuna & gka. \\
\hline karroninya-nyina-aa & buju & kuN-bwarr= & -na-nangka \\
\hline k'roo.sp-3fDEF-and & finish & VCOMP-3p= & -PAST-DAT \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{They killed the male plains kangaroos, the male grey kangaroos, the female grey kangaroos and the female plains} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The haplological contraction of noun and article seen here is discussed in \(\$ 4.1 .2\). In (4.1) the types of kangaroo listed (wankaleena, jurlown, warinya, karroninya) are followed by articles of appropriate gender, with the final vowel lengthened by the encliticized conjunction aa 'and.' These determiners may function deictically, and may also be used anaphorically to refer to members of the classes they signal, with quite precise meanings: in prototypical usage the human class markers inja and nyina refer to 'he, him' and 'she, her' respectively, and mana is used to mean 'here:'
```

Mana aja banu
Sit here

```

Wunu is used as a determiner with subjunctive verbs (nganngunjineerri in 4.3a) and to mark the definite status of whole clauses, as in (4.3b):
(4.3a) Waawa nguru banyankangurrun, [wunu nganngunjineerri]?

How come you don't listen to me, [when I speak to you]?
(b) Baanjamurlomurlonyeerri [wunu]!
([You know that]) you should bave been looking after them!
In (4.3a) the definite (backgrounded) status of the subordinate clause is marked by the use of the article of the appropriate class (wunu). In (4.3b) the propositional content of the utterance is rhetorically marked as being already known to the listener, and so receives definite marking by way of the celestial article.

Nouns typically denote concrete things in space, while the events depicted by verbs occur typically in time. Hence the meanings of the deictic functions of Worrorra determiners in prototypical usage are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
(4.4) \begin{tabular}{cc} 
ARTICLE & CORE DENOTATUM \\
inja & male
\end{tabular} & 'MEANING' \\
nyina & female & he \\
wunu & time & she \\
mana & place & when \\
& & here
\end{tabular}

The following overview is based on a survey of some 600 nouns. While the sample is too small to convey meaningful statistics, the following tendencies may be noted. Where percentages are stated they are usually rounded, and should be recognized as being intended to convey tendencies and relative proportions only.

The class with the most membership is the masculine class. Over one third of all nouns belong to this class. Masculine is the most unmarked class, and typically genderless anaphors like anguja 'what?,' angujakana 'something' and angujakane 'all kinds of things' take masculine agreement markers, so in this sense the masculine class is semantically also the most neutral or residual class:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awa & inja & angujakana & baa & kamurrkarla \\
awa & inja & angujakana & baa & ka- =murrka-rla \\
3aNAR & 3aDEF & something & rise/appear & 3a-3= go.to-PAST
\end{tabular}

Something came up towards him
The feminine, celestial and terrestrial classes each contain about one fifth of all nouns in the sample. This rather even spread of nouns among the three non-masculine classes may suggest that allocation to gender may to some extent be arbitrary. However in real terms the feminine class is certainly the smallest of the genders (the collective gender excluded) when nouns that refer to females are discounted.

Inalienable nouns display significant differences from other nouns in the way they are distributed among genders. Of the seventy or so inalienable nouns recorded, three quarters of them are shared equally between the celestial and terrestrial classes. The remaining quarter is shared between the masculine and feminine classes; less than one fifth of the total sample being masculine and only five being feminine (see \(\int 4.1 .3\) (ii) and \(\S 4.1 .6\) (i)).

This discussion excludes from consideration derived nouns such as nyinjorinya (|nyiN=yoru-nya| [ \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) widowed-3f]) 'widow,' referred to above. These word-forms are derived productively from underlying root morphemes by way of derivational affixes that code for all ten agreement classes, and are isomorphic with semantic adjectives such as nyiniyanya (|nyiN=niya-nya| [3f=good-3f]) 'good (feminine).' The root morphemes in question constitute a lexical category devoid of inherent gender.

\subsection*{4.1.1 Gender and culture}

Worrorra genders constitute bounded sets whose core references are, as we shall see, complex, covert and opaque to analysis. \({ }^{34}\)

Since Dixon (1982:178 ff) first described the semantic basis of the allocation of nouns to noun classes in Dyirbal, it has become apparent that some kind of organizational principles with respect to gender allocation may apply to other languages where nouns appear at first sight to be distributed among genders in a more or less random or arbitrary manner. As Lakoff \& Johnson (1980) and Silverstein (1986) have pointed out, languages map classification onto experience by reference to a culturally specific set of symbolic structures. This is to say that language plays an integral part in the way that cultures structure the symbols by which societies create their own realities, and in the way that they manipulate those symbolic structures. This being the case, it would be a conceit to imagine that we could fully understand the organizational principles behind gender allocation in Worrorra without being in a position to comprehend much better than we do, the symbolic structures upon which such allocation is based. The result of this situation is that there must inevitably be a degree of analytic opacity or arbitrariness entering into an account of gender in Worrorra without our being in a position to determine to what extent such perceived randomness is real or merely apparent.

To illustrate this point it may be useful to look at some instances where culture determines gender independently of linguistic considerations. In these instances we are in a position to appreciate the cultural factors involved, but as our understanding of traditional Worrorra society is partial at best, a great deal of this sort of information is unavailable. In instances of this sort the question must inevitably arise as to whether gender is determined by some extra-linguistic cultural (usually narrative) mandate, or whether gender previously allocated thereby makes some entity felicitously available for a cultural role that requires a participant of that gender. In each of these examples it will be seen that the former is the case; in these examples at least, culture determines gender.

The moon has a recognizable physiognomy, and despite (or perhaps because of) periodic changes is recognizable as a single entity. The sun however has no physiognomy and was seen in traditional Worrorra culture as a multiplicity of entities. The operation of the sun was envisaged as follows: there

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{34}\) As is the case with most gender, as opposed to classifier, systems, Worrorra gender semantics are generally beyond the 'limits of awareness' (Silverstein 1981) of native speakers.
}
is a mother sun who stays behind the world giving birth to daughter suns, each of whom in turn travels through the sky and dies at the end of each day. This story, Iwarnbarnngarri nyangkaningkarla marangunya ('The snake that bit the sun') is recounted in Utemorrah 2000:31-36. Because the parent sun, nyina marangunya, must in this cosmology be a mother in order to reproduce, it is female and allocated with other female things to the feminine gender.

Over much of the world and including North-Western Australia, snakes are phallic symbols and we could expect them to be allocated to masculine genders. However in Worrorra the black-headed python, mawunkunya is feminine. It has another name, nyimrimaaingarrinya, also feminine. Nyimrimaaingarrinya is a compound noun derived from the root \(=m r i\) 'head' and the preverb maai '(a woman) shaves and blackens her head in mourning.' Its morphology is as follows: |nyiN=mri-maai-ngarri-nya [fem=head-\{shave-\&-blacken-in-mourning\}-relativizer-fem] 'her-head-is-shaved-and-blackened-in-mourning.' This name refers to the funerary requirement of a woman to shave her head and blacken it with soot as a sign of mourning for a specified period after the death of some relatives, most importantly her husband. In Worrorra culture the black-headed python is taken to be the aetiological prototype of this custom. Because only women perform this funerary duty the blackheaded python is considered to be a woman and is allocated to the feminine gender.

In traditional Worrorra society the manufacture of stone spear-heads was an important and timeconsuming activity and the best of the results were highly prized. There are a number of nouns referring to stone spear-heads and I am not sure of the connotations of all of them. However two types of spear-head are of interest here. The normal, all-purpose, functional spear-point is referred to by the noun jimara. Jimara is a masculine noun for reasons that will be discussed below. Another type of spear-head was essentially non-functional, at least in the way that spear-heads typically function. This type was made of shiny or semi-translucent stone such as jasper or agate, and of bottle-glass after European contact. They were beautifully crafted and often so thin as to be impractical for use in hunting. Their closest comparison is with the Solutrean leaf-shaped points of the European Upper Palæolithic, and they are often referred to as 'Kimberley points.' These points were not made for hunting but for their æsthetic value and for the magic and curative powers they derived from that value. They were prized trade items and were traded as far away as the Western Desert where they were referred to as jinala (from Worrorra jinalya 'spear'). The commonest general term for this type of spear-head is jimbeerlanya, and is feminine. The special properties of jimbeerlanya and the role they played in Worrorra society meant that they were not only culturally highly significant items but were also shiny and dangerous by virtue of their magical properties. As such they were allocated to the semantically marked gender which in Worrorra is feminine. The construction of markedness in Worrorra and its manifestation in gender-marking is discussed in \(\$ 4.1 .6\) (i). If this piece of cultural information were not available, it might be assumed that jimara and jimbeerlanya were allocated to different genders more or less capriciously, and that gender allocation was at least in this instance arbitrary.

A comparable situation is seen in the two nouns that mean 'buttocks.' Wumbarranu is a celestial noun and =malab is terrestrial. I know of no reason why these two apparent synonyms should be allocated to different genders, but that ignorance does not mean that such allocations are necessarily arbitrary. Again, wunu ngubunu, mana ngujalama and nyina wiyarrinya (feminine) are all species of stingray. Examination of the animals themselves and of information about them may reveal some kind of pattern to their distribution among genders but as things stand, no such pattern is apparent.

Associations made by one culture are not necessarily those made by another. To put it another way, any given thing in the world will empirically exhibit a number of attributes, from among which different cultures will select those which are for them significant from those which are not, with respect to the ways in which such things are categorized. For example in Worrorra manjuma 'wind' is terrestrial, and we would expect that jalawuna 'whirlwind, willy-willy' would be in the same category as 'wind,' whereas in fact it is masculine. The reason for this is that Worrorra culture personifies the whirlwind as something like a large, sulky and bad-mannered adolescent, and when one is immanent older Worrorra people will loudly exhort it to go around them or away from them and leave them alone. And so jalawuna is masculine, along with other human figures. Likewise most bright, reflective things are celestial, and we would expect nyungumanja 'reflection' to be in that gender too; the semantic core of the word 'reflection' has to do with light. However the semantic core of nyungumanja is the
image of a human being (seen in still water), and so the word is masculine, again with other human figures. The surface of the sea may appear bright on still, hot days, but the semantics of wondum 'sea, salt water' has to do with the opacity and palatability of the water itself; wondum is applied to salty, unpalatable water generally, and is terrestrial.

\subsection*{4.1.2 Gender and phonology}

With reference to the sample of Worrorra nouns mentioned above, nearly three quarters ( \(73 \%\) ) display a phonological segment that pertains to their class membership. Gender is lexically inherent in Worrorra nouns, that is to say that gender is part of a noun's lexical construction, whether or not it is overtly signalled on the noun itself. Phonological segments do not signal class membership uniquely, and, with one case exception, members of a given class do not display one segment exclusively. However the above figure gives evidence that there are strong tendencies for certain phonological segments to be associated with particular genders. Phonology may serve to indicate or signal class membership, but it does not determine it. Once class membership has been assigned (and I will argue that this is accomplished by reference to criteria that are primarily semantic), then membership may (or may not) be signalled phonologically. A rather nice illustration of this sequence is provided by Love (1934:20):

The Worrorra word for vegetable-fibre string is irkalja, a masculine noun, represented by the pronoun indja. Sisal hemp was grown and used for string making. When asked the gender of hemp the men replied "indja"; but one man asked the English name of the leaf. He was told "Hemp". The men repeated " 'emp, 'emp, mana". At first they designated the hemp plant indja, probably thinking "Irkalja"; but, on hearing the sound of the English word, ending in p, they declared that the word is mana.

In this account we can see that sisal was at first assigned gender by reference to semantic criteria until its phonological shape as an English word was ascertained, which was then taken to signal a predetermined or pre-existing gender.

The forms in question are suffixes or rarely prefixes, essentially the same as those used to derive adjectives and pronouns, and are displayed in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: gender morphemes
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& word-initial & word-final \\
masculine & i- & - ya or -i \\
feminine & ny- & - nya \\
celestial & wu-/u-/oo- & -c \\
terrestrial & ma- & \(-\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{a})\) or \(-\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{a})\) \\
collective & & -ya or -i
\end{tabular}

Collective gender-signalling suffixes have the same shape as suffixes used on plural nouns ( \((\mathbb{4} .2 .2)\)
Gender-signalling morphemes are, as a general rule, not productive on nouns; they are for the most part lexicalized components of their hosts. The exceptions to this are nouns of the feminine and terrestrial classes. In these classes, the gender suffixes -nya and \(-m(a)\) may be detached from their host lexemes under certain (mainly phonological) conditions. For instance when a feminine noun is followed by a determiner or appropriate postposition, the noun's gender-signalling suffix is usually deleted under haplology (4.6a \& b) or distant haplology (4.6c) in normal fast speech:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { Balangkarranya nyangke }->\text { balangkarra nyangke } \quad \text { A storm-wave } \tag{4.6a}
\end{equation*}
\]
(b) Wangayinya nyina —> wangayi nyina The woman
(c) Karranangkanya - \({ }^{\text {k wunya }}->\) karranangkawunya For bis mother

Note as well the haplologically reduced forms warinyinaa 'the female grey kangaroo' and karroninyinaa 'the female plains kangaroo' already seen in (4.1). The feminine noun ngeenya 'honey' exchanges its feminine marker ( \(-n y\) a) for a plural one \((-y a)\) in a form ngeeya that refers to a mass of honey or lots of honey.

Adverbial suffixes are usually interposed between the root lexeme and the gender-marking suffix of a terrestrial noun, as in (4.7a); otherwise the gender morpheme may be lost altogether, as in (4.7b):
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
dambee-ma-nyini \\
place-3m-ENDPOINT
\end{tabular} & & dambee-nyini-ma \\
place-ENDPOINT-3m
\end{tabular}\(\quad \longrightarrow\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
\\
To/athome, homeward
\end{tabular}

Another example of this phenomenon is to be seen in (4.18). Nouns such as kulum mana 'hot (baking) sand' lose gender-marking suffixes when used as preverbal elements in complex predicates, eg kulu \(=m a\) 'burn, scorch with hot sand.'

It will be helpful now to look at each gender in turn to see the ways in which, and the extent to which gender is signalled phonologically.

The feminine gender is the one exception referred to above, in which all the nouns in this class (in my sample) display one underlying segment (|-nya|) exclusively. This makes feminine the most phonologically marked gender in the language. Feminine nouns end in \(-n y a,-(r) j a,-j\) or \(-V j a\). In these words, however, -ja is underlying |-nya \(\mid\). \(\mid\) ny \(\mid\) hardens to \(/ \mathrm{j} /\) after laterals and \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) (rule 11), such that the sequence \(|\mathrm{rr}-\mathrm{ny}|\) always finds surface expression as \(/ \mathrm{j} /\) (rule 15). Take, for example, the masculine words kanangkurri 'dog,' kalakalaarri 'sea-turtles on-shore to mate or lay eggs' and karnamarri 'large shark.' These have feminine equivalents kanangkuja 'bitch,' kalakalaaja 'female sea-turtles onshore to lay eggs' and karnamaja 'large female shark,' and are underlyingly |kanangkurr+nya, kalakalaarr+nya| and |karnamarr+nya|. So it may be assumed that feminine words such as balkuja 'dugong,' banaja 'bustard' and kuyoja 'great-billed heron' end in underlying |... rr-nya| sequences as well. The feminine words mamangkalja 'woman friend, female relation,' ngorlja 'large green frog sp.' and yarnkalja 'spear thrower' show the segment |-nya| hardening after lateral consonants. Three feminine nouns in my sample end in \(-j\) : jimbirrij 'giant groper,' jalaj 'axe handle' and karruj 'silver gull.' As no noun of any other gender ends in this segment, it may be assumed that \(-j\) in this situation is a reduced form of \(-j a\) and is therefore underlyingly \(\mid\)-nya \(\mid\) as well.

Of the four feminine endings listed above, \(-n y a,-(r) j a,-j\) and \(-V j a\), only the ending \(-V j a\) does not signal feminine gender exclusively on common nouns. This is because the phonological hardening process referred to above applies as well to glides, such that the masculine segment \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) also hardens after the trill \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\), and it may be that the underlying sequence \(\mid \ldots\) rr-ya \(\mid\) finds surface expression as \(-j a\) in such masculine words as rnamaja 'bigamist.' Regardless of the difficulty of sorting out words that just happen to end in -ja from those whose endings are derived from underlying \(|\mathrm{rr}-(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{ya}|\) sequences, the ending -Vja is found on both masculine and feminine nouns, as well as on one celestial noun; ngaja 'custom, precedent.' Over nine tenths ( \(91 \%\) ) of the feminine nouns in my sample end in one of the three endings that signal feminine gender on common nouns exclusively.

While all feminine nouns may thus be seen to end in \(\mid\)-nya \(\mid\), not every noun that ends in -nya is feminine. There are a number of place names that end in -nya that belong to other classes: Karnmanya 'Kunmunya Mission' (terrestrial), Mangadanya 'place name' (terrestrial), Mingkeunya 'Port George IV' (terrestrial) and Ngayangkarnanya 'Mount Trafalgar' (celestial). Some of these are diachronic compounds containing the relational morpheme -rnanya 'LOCATIVE,' eg |mangarr-rnanya| [pouchLOC] —> Mangadanya 'In the pouch,' and another may be compounded with the morpheme -kwnya 'GOAL.' These grammatical morphemes impart a superficially feminine appearance to these names.

The one masculine word in the sample that ends in -nya, aalkunya 'young man, initiate,' is almost certainly such a compound.

Four fifths of all terrestrial nouns in the sample end in \(/-B(a) /\), where \(B\) stands for a bilabial nasal or stop. In this score I have included a few nouns on which \(-m a\) or \(-b a\) appear to be epenthetic:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
karnmern or karnmernma & cave \\
rambarr or rambarrba & avoidance relation \\
dalorr or dalorrba & well, sink-hole \\
kulnmerr or kulnmerrba & tail \\
bundurl or bundurlba & countryside; 'the bush' \\
Yarloon or Yarloonma & Cone Bay \\
jerr or jerrba & shade
\end{tabular}

The longer epenthesized forms are citation forms, while the occurrence of the truncated forms is environmentally conditioned. In the last example, the monosyllabic form jerr is very restricted in terms of the phonological environments within which it may occur. In the following example, the epenthetic morpheme is deleted under haplology with the first syllable of the following adjective:

\section*{Jerr maniyam mana}

The deep shadow
Despite the high proportion of terrestrial words ending in \(/-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{a}) /\), this ending is not exclusive to that class, as the following masculine nouns demonstrate: karimba 'golden bandicoot,' burlkumba 'Northern brush-tailed possum,' joomba 'bone in forearm,' joonba 'ceremony,' wundukwwundukeum 'nocturnal gecko sp,' maaba 'old man' and kurruma 'wife's mother's brother or father.' The celestial noun =ngumba/ = ngumbu 'name' is also of interest in this respect.

Over one half of all celestial nouns in the sample end in the segment \(-u\). Included in this score are a few nouns for which that segment appears to be epenthetic, insofar as alternative forms exist without it, or it is elided in the presence of grammatical suffixes:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
angkuban \\
jeerr or j
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
angkuba \\
ru
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{cloud eyebrows} \\
\hline braarr or & aarru & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{first light at dawn} \\
\hline wungkurr & wungku & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{sacred pool} \\
\hline kayuk or & yuku & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{rock} \\
\hline mangarr & mangarru & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{(kangaroo's) pouch} \\
\hline anjolu & sk. \({ }^{\text {r }}\) & anjoldanya & in heaven \\
\hline nguwanu & tree & nguwarnanya & in the tree \\
\hline wiyanu & fire & wiyarnanya & in/ by the fire \\
\hline aambulu & bis eyes & aambuldanya & in bis eyes \\
\hline mangarru & pouch & mangadanya & in the pouch \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As word-final \(-u\) does not behave in this manner when it is found on nouns of other classes, it appears that this ending serves a double function as well, namely that of epenthesis and of signalling class membership. A number of nouns ending in \(-u\) are not celestial; the following are all masculine: karnmangku 'yam (generic),' korru 'jaw,' murlku 'boil, sore,' rangku 'heart,' waraku 'father's sister's son,' broolku 'cicatrice,' irnarruku 'blanket' and jeembu 'kangaroo-bone pressure-flaking tool.' And three belong to the terrestrial class: =reenu 'body,' dungundu 'side, of eg a building or a hill, and kanaalu '(spatially) opposite side or place.'

A little over one third of the masculine nouns in the sample end in the segment \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) and a little over one fifth end in the segment \(-i\). Altogether, over one half of all masculine nouns in the sample end in a segment that may be said to signal gender. As indicated above, \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) may find realization in a
fairly wide range of surface-level phonemes, hence the segments -Vja, (r)nja, -ya and -lya may all signal masculine gender. There are two pieces of evidence that suggest that \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) is a gender-signalling segment. The first is that -ya occurs in complementary distribution to the feminine segment -nya as a suffix to some nouns that refer to male things and have masculine gender. Masculine kinship nouns in their first person singular propositus or citation shapes contrast with feminine kinship nouns in this respect (see below). The suffixes -waaya (masculine) and -waanya (feminine) derive nouns with human reference from the names of some patriclan countries, and nouns that refer to introduced things and roles, such as goats and nurses, may also display alternations between the -nya and -ya suffixes to represent female and male roles and animals respectively. At least one non-introduced role, that of 'friend, countryman, relation' also shows this alternation. The pro-form burn- takes class and number suffixes in agreement with its denotatum in any given context. And finally at least one preverb can be seen transparently to employ \(-y\) a to derive a masculine human noun (the usual way of doing this is by the use of the relativizing suffix -ngarri 'associated with, characterized by or pertaining to (something)'). In three of the pairs in the following list, the segment \(-y a\) is hardened to \(-j a\) after a nasal consonant:

FEMININE
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
babaanya & Mo Fa sister \\
barnmarnya & nurse \\
naningkunya & nanny goat \\
mamangkalja & woman friend \\
burnya & pro-noun \\
jikarl (preverb) & lie \\
Wurnbangkuwaanya & CLAN NAME
\end{tabular}

MASCULINE
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
babaaya & mother's father \\
barnmarnja & doctor \\
naningkunja & billy goat \\
mamangkalya & friend \\
burnja & pro-noun \\
jikarlya & liar \\
Wurnbangkuwaaya & CLAN NAME
\end{tabular}

The second line of evidence referred to above is that this suffix is almost entirely restricted to the masculine class. The segment \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) occurs on the end of only three non-masculine nouns, once the occurrence of \(-j a\) on feminine nouns (where it is a reflex of \(\mid\)-nya \(\mid\) ) is discounted. Two of these are celestial: barndaya 'mainland' and murndaaleya 'plateau, platform,' and one is terrestrial: Grarlya 'clan country name,' which has alternate forms Grarl and Meegrarlba. Two other celestial nouns, ngaja 'custom, precedent' and oomanja 'round bone at the base of the big toe' end in a segment that may be a hardened reflex of \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\), but these are the only two that do so, and no terrestrial noun ends in this segment. This amounts to a possible total of five (or three) non-masculine nouns, as opposed to over 70 masculine nouns displaying the ending \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\).

A significant number of masculine nouns that display phonological signalling of their class membership end in the segment /-lya/, and this ending is almost exclusive to masculine nouns. Rather than propose /-lya/ as a gender-signalling suffix in addition to \(-y a\) and its hardened form -ja, I take it to represent an underlying \(|-L-y a|\) sequence. The occurrence of such a sequence in the morphophonology is supported by comparing the nouns mamangkalya and jikarlya in the above list with the words with which they are paired.

The ending - \((r) n j a\) is almost exclusive to masculine nouns because \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) hardens to -ja after a nasal consonant (rule 10), whereas nasals do not harden after other nasal consonants, so that underlying \(\mid \ldots\) \(\mathrm{N}+\) nya \(\mid\) sequences find surface expression as \(-(r) n y a\). The only non-masculine noun ending in \(-(r) n j a\) is wипи oomanja, referred to above.

The proportion of masculine nouns ending in \(-i\) is so small (one fifth) that it is tempting not to recognize this segment as one signalling gender. Nevertheless, on adjectives and pronouns -i (like \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\) ) does function as a morpheme deriving masculine gender, and it does occur on more masculine nouns than on those of any other gender. In pairs of words like kanangkurri 'dog' and kanangkuja 'bitch,' referred to above, \(-i\) appears to alternate with \(\mid\)-nya \(\mid\) to produce masculine and feminine pairs (|kanangkurr-i, kanangkurr-nya|). A number of celestial nouns also end in -i: wijali 'burnt grass,' jalaani 'deep water,' marlinji 'oyster,' marrirri 'red-winged parrot,' =mri 'head,' namandi 'canoe,' ngarli 'paperbark,' = rnorri 'hand, fingers,' wanawi 'egg' and warnangkali 'cliff.' One terrestrial noun ends in \(-i\) : aajaajirri 'rainy season.'

The endings that signal masculine gender are homophonous with those that signal plural number \((\$ 4.2 .1)\). The shapes of plural masculine nouns may be homophonous with their singular
counterparts, or they may employ stem reduplication as well as suffixation to mark plural number. Be that as it may, the endings that signal masculine gender in Worrorra do not signal gender uniquely; the suffixes concerned are systematically shared with plural number. There is thus no unique gendermarking for masculine, not even if statistical criteria are used. So in this sense the masculine gender is essentially unmarked phonologically in Worrorra.

Of the nine collective nouns in the sample, eight end in \(-i\) or \(\mid\)-ya \(\mid\). This sample is too small to be useful for purposes of comparison with other noun classes, and moreover the segments in question are primarily plural number-marking morphemes ( \(\$ 4.2 .2\) ).

Table 4.3: distribution of gender-signalling suffixes
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
SEGMENT & SIGNAL & FEMININE & TERRESTRIAL & CELESTIAL & MASCULINE \\
-Vja & masc, fem & 10 & & 1 & 11 \\
-nya & fem & 93 & & & 1 \\
-(r)lja & fem & 3 & & & \\
-j & fem & 3 & & 3 & 21 \\
-ya & masc & & & 1 & 21 \\
-(r)lya & masc & & 1 & 10 & 18 \\
-(r)nja & masc & & 119 & 1 & 45 \\
-i & masc & & 3 & 70 & 7 \\
-B(a) & terrestrial & & & \(70 / 57\) & \(116 / 57\) \\
-u & celestial & & \(119 / 79\) & \(7 / 100\) & \(109 / 2\)
\end{tabular}

Gender in Worrorra is signalled phonologically, but not in any straightforward way. The distribution of gender-signalling suffixes is summarized in Table 4.3. Proper nouns (including anomalous place names) are not included in this summary. Place names present some difficulties with respect to gender and will be discussed in \(₫ 4.1 .4\) (i). In the table the number of nouns from the sample displaying each gender-signalling segment is listed for each agreement class. Below this are listed the number of gender-marked nouns in each class \((\mathbb{N})\), and then that number expressed as a percentage of the total number of nouns in each class.

Less than \(12 \%\) of nouns in the sample display initial segments that could be said to correspond to their gender. In Table 4.4 the numbers of nouns displaying initial segments corresponding to (some) gender are listed for each agreement-class.

Table 4.4: Distribution of gender-signalling prefixes
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
SEGMENT & MASCULINE & FEMININE & CELESTIAL & TERRESTRIAL \\
i- & \(\mathbf{1 9}\) & 2 & 3 & 2 \\
\hline ny- & 1 & \(\mathbf{8}\) & & \\
wu- /u- /oo & 4 & 2 & \(\mathbf{1 8}\) & 5 \\
ma- & 11 & 10 & 8 & \(\mathbf{2 3}\)
\end{tabular}

Among those \(20 \%\) or so of nouns that display one or another of the initial segments in question, it is clear that there is a tendency for the shape of segments to correlate with the gender of the nouns on which they appear. That is to say that while the correlation between initial segment and gender is very far from being absolute, neither is it entirely random. The existence of a pair of nouns minimally distinguished by gender-marking segments demonstrates that initial segments can be involved in signalling gender, at least in a minor way: rlarlangkarram mana 'the sea' and irlarlangkarra inja 'salt-water crocodile.' Only 13 such nouns ( \(2 \%\) ) bear 'correct' initial segments without also bearing gendersignalling segments word-finally. The proportions of initial and final gender-signalling segments are as follows:


Although almost three quarters of Worrorra nouns in the sample display phonological signalling of class membership, this figure is not uniform for all classes. The number of nouns that signal gender phonologically falls away from the feminine class, in which all nouns can be seen to display gendersignalling, to the terrestrial (four fifths), and to the celestial and masculine classes (with roughly three fifths each). This trend is interesting in that it defines a scale of phonological markedness which is at least partly correlated with semantic markedness, and which will be discussed further in \(₫ 4.1 .6\) (i) below.

\subsection*{4.1.3 Semantic assignment}

While it is possible that the large and phonologically largely unmarked masculine gender contains a subset of residually classified nouns, that is to say of nouns whose allocation to gender is by default rather than by reference to semantic criteria, the systematics underlying the gender partition are nevertheless so pervasive as to afford us some insight into the interplay between Worrorra culture and language. Nouns are partitioned by reference to a semantic structure that acts as an organizing principle with respect to the denotata to which they refer. This structure is outlined in Figure 4.1 in \$4.1.5, in terms of what I will refer to as a system of meta-intensions. In order to understand the basis of such a meta-intensional structure, it is necessary to investigate the lower-level semantic domains and the integrational principles of which the system as a whole is comprised.

In attempting to describe the operation of the semantic structure responsible for setting up partition-classes in Worrorra, it may be helpful to engage the geometric analogy of a multi-dimensional semantic space. A number of dimensions or parameters may be imagined within such a space, each describable, as in geometry, by reference to a set of coordinates. As far as I am aware, such a semantic space in Worrorra is defined in at least four parameters (dimensions), but there could be more of which I am unaware. The coordinates describing these four parameters are in the form of polar oppositions, to one or other of which any given noun is attracted with respect to each parameter. A more precise geometry might describe two semantic spaces, or two regions within semantic space: one region defined by parameters the coordinates of which are drawn from observations of human shape and experience, and the other drawing from experience of the world external to the human body. These two regions are mutually exclusive, that is to say there is no overlap between them, and they constitute two superordinate partitioning structures that will be referred to as macrogenders ( \(\$ 4.1 .6\) ). The parameters of this semantic space and their coordinates are shown in Table 4.5:

Table 4.5: gender intensions
\begin{tabular}{cll} 
Parameter & Coordinates & \\
human macrogender & MASCULINE & FEMININE \\
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ sex } & male & female \\
shape & extended & rounded \\
non-human macrogender & CELESTIAL & TERRESTRIAL \\
dimension & time & place \\
luminosity & bright, translucent & dark, opaque
\end{tabular}

The term 'human' as a macrogender label applied to the formal marking of the partition is used here to capture those characteristics of denotata that acquire meaning and significance for gender allocation by analogy with meaningful and significant properties and characteristics of human beings. Semantic markedness constitutes another pervasive coordinate-set defined by the dynamics of bipolarity; markedness however is a macrogender parameter and is discussed in \(\S 4.1 .6\) (i).

Some of the parameters of semantic space exhibit more clearly than others core-fadeout properties as category properties in natural language. Prototypical members of a luminous category, for example, such as fire and water, become associated by analogy with things that exhibit luminous properties less unambiguously, along a core-fadeout continuum. For example the most culturally important or 'visible' stones were those capable of producing a sharp cutting edge, such as quartz or agate, and these happen to be shiny, especially after being flaked. Further along the continuum other reflective or pale-coloured stones are included, then dark reflective stones, then any stones, rocks and rocky hills. The point is that core-fadeout properties in categorization do not make the categories in which they appear less significant for nominal partition than categories with relatively straightforward distinctions. Core-fadeout categories may indeed produce secondary semantic parameters, such as that labelled 'topography' in \(\S 4.1 .3\) (v). In reality many if not most nouns must 'appear' in more than one parameter, but only the result or outcome of competing polarities can be evident lexically, in terms of the gender ultimately assigned to nouns. When a number of denotational attributes make a noun eligible for membership of more than one formal class, then the selection of criteria that determine allocation proceeds in ways that are not etically predictable. Although the structural parameters discussed below are clearly observable, they constitute a far from complete account of gender semantics in Worrorra, as the many exceptions to these parameters, which represent generalizations or tendencies only, demonstrate.

The outline displayed in Table 4.5 represents a kind of superstructure, around and within which cluster other semantic fields that may be related to the superstructure to a greater or lesser extent, or which may not be related to it at all. Categories are defined by core-fadeout properties, added to which are historical considerations: gender semantics are liable to change over time (Corbett 1991:248), although gender itself, as a lexically inherent property of a given noun, may be retained to become an exception to synchronic trends, ie an archaism. The result of this kind of process would be to have preserved islands of residual or archaic gender assignment in a sea of more general semantic tendencies, and this is more or less what we find in Worrorra.

\subsection*{4.1.3 (i) sex differentiation}

All humans and a good many higher animals are allocated gender on the basis of biological sex, that is to say that they are sex-differentiable. Terms referring to lower-order animals are not sexdifferentiated. The gender to which males are allocated is here termed masculine and that to which females are allocated is termed feminine. Male-female pairs usually show phonological correspondence or equivalence, but such correspondence is not necessary, as the following list of male and female pairs indicates. Kinship pairs of this sort are usually related affinally.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & MALES & & FEMALES & \\
\hline person & eeja & man & wangayinya & woman \\
\hline child & awanja & boy & nyangkanya & girl \\
\hline adolescent & weerla & & mrnangkanya & \\
\hline demon & akurla & & jilinya & \\
\hline spirit-bird & kaakaaja & & meemeenya & \\
\hline parent & irraaya & father & karraanya & mother \\
\hline spouse & kulaaya & busband & mangkaanya & wife \\
\hline in-laws & ibaaya & busband's father & jalinjaanya & busband's mother \\
\hline & waaya & wife's father & kurrumaanya & wife's mother \\
\hline father's parents & ngawaaya & father's father & mangkaanya & father's mother \\
\hline mother's parents & jamaaya & mother's father & kajaanya & mother's mother \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Some kinship pairs of this sort, however, are consanguineal; most notably those that are closest to you - your parents and their opposite-sex siblings, and your children, reckoned patrilineally:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
patrilateral & irraaya & father & bamaraanya & father's sister \\
matrilateral & kakaaya & mother's brother & karraanya & mother \\
children & irraaya & son & bamaraanya & daughter \\
wife's family & waaya & wife's brother & mangkaanya & wife
\end{tabular}

Usually however the male and female forms of words show phonological correspondence. Most kinship nouns are of this sort: pairs of kinship nouns exhibiting phonological correspondence are always consanguineal, not affinal kin.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline offspring & kawurla 'son' & kawurlanya 'daughter' \\
\hline husband's family & kulaaya 'busband' & kulaanya 'busband's sister' \\
\hline wife's family & kurruma 'wife's uncle' & kurrumaanya 'wife's mother' \\
\hline matrilateral cross-cousin & jamaaya & jamaanya \\
\hline patrilateral cross-cousin & waraku & warakunya \\
\hline matrilineal descendants & ibaaya 'son' & ibaanya 'daughter' \\
\hline elder sibling & ngawaaya (abiya) & ngawaanya (abeenya) \\
\hline younger sibling & ngawmaleya & ngawmalenya \\
\hline old person & maaba & maabanya \\
\hline child & warrala 'boy' & warralinya 'girl' \\
\hline & wangalang 'child' & wangalangunya 'girl child' \\
\hline European & aalmara & aalmarinya \\
\hline friend, relation & mamangkalya & mamangkalja \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

That the correspondences exemplified in the above list represent the most productive way of deriving male and female forms of any type, is shown by recent innovations:
```

medical practitioner barnmarnja 'doctor' barnmarnya 'nurse'
goat naningkunja naningkunya

```

In its traditional sense the noun barnmarnja inja meant 'sorceror' and that role was the exclusive domain of men: as Patsy Lulpunda told me, 'eejamaanja barnmarnja - only men are sorcerors.' Recently however this noun has extended its reference to include Western-style doctors and has productively acquired a feminine ending to refer to nurses and women doctors.

Important animals are sex-differentiated. When the sex of some animal is unknown or is not contextually pertinent, the masculine term is always used to refer to a member of that species:
grey kangaroo
plains kangaroo
salt-water crocodile
perentie (goanna)
green sea-turtle
dog
dingo
large shark
MALES
arr'ra \(\sim\) jurlwun
wankaleena
koyoya \(\sim\) irlarlangkarra
kariyali
juluwarra
kanangkurri
arroli
karnamarri
FEMALES
warinya
karroninya \(\sim\) balngkoninya
meerrinya
irroninya
rlanonya
kanangkuja
arrolinya
karnamaja

\subsection*{4.1.3 (ii) shape}

Most animals are allocated to the masculine and feminine genders, with the majority being masculine. Squat or rounded animals, however, are almost all feminine: balkuja or warlinya 'dugong' is a ponderous and bulky animal, and is contrasted with sea turtles, which are masculine: warli 'sea-turtle (generic),' mawurnkareenja 'large sea turtle,' mungurdi/ mungurldi 'loggerhead turtle,' and nawarralya 'hawksbill turtle.'

Other large and ponderous sea animals are murnumbanya 'whale,' jimbirrij 'giant groper/rock cod' and nyalikanya 'baler shell (Melo amphora)' which are also feminine. Animals may become rounded by curling themselves into balls; mirimiringarrinya 'echidna,' joonya 'mouse, antechinus' and rlangkumanya 'sugar glider' are feminine. Pigeons are plump and bulbous birds and are mostly feminine: kunbalawaja 'crested pigeon,' wawarranya 'rock pigeon' and barnbarrngunya 'bronzewing pigeon.' The rounded or bulbous shape of ankles may be the reason that the words =rlawarndinya 'ankle' and =yalngkanya 'ankle' are feminine.

Extended and/or rigid things are masculine, especially in the field of made goods and chattels or artefacts. These include jinalya 'spear' and associated jimara 'spear blade,' jandoorri 'staff,' karli 'boomerang' (an introduced term for an introduced item) and kalambarna 'wooden paddle for raft.' Extended flexible things are also masculine: irrkalya and mamurlanja 'spun vegetable-fibre string or rope,' banjaya 'string spun from eucalypt bark' and kulijarri 'steel wire.' Bones and bony or bone-like body parts are masculine: =rnaarri 'bones, skeleton,' dingkalya 'bone in lower leg,' joomba 'bone in forearm,' =yoowa 'elbow' (although words for the corresponding body part 'knee,' =rlungkum and =yoorrkum, are terrestrial), =rnangalya 'wrist' (although words for 'ankle,' =rlawarndinya and =yalngkanya, are feminine), korru 'jaw,' kurleerla 'shoulder blades' and keyingka 'finger nails.' Bone tools are masculine; jeembu 'kangaroo-bone pressure-flaking tool' contrasts with mana karrinjalba 'wooden pressure-flaking tool' and wипи keembu 'stone pressure-flaking tool.' Stone things are typically celestial, as is durrku 'mortuary cairn.' However the large erect stone monuments called yarndulya are masculine, as are termite mounds of comparable shape, irrulya.

\section*{4.1 .3 (iii) dimension}

Just as the masculine and feminine genders have 'maleness' and 'femaleness' as their core denotational prototypes, so 'place' is the corresponding denotatum for the terrrestrial class. Any adjective or pronoun marked for terrrestrial agreement without a stated head noun is taken to refer to 'place' by default. In the following sentence examples the adjective =yakarri 'other' is marked for terrestrial agreement as mayakarrima, and is not co-referential with any noun in the preceding discourses. In this situation the reference is always to 'place:'
(4.9a) Ke mayakarrima bariy maarrburrkarla Then they got up and went on to another place
(b) Waa mayakarrima berringenya We didn't go to other places
(c) Mangkanangkanya marno nyimnyenga mayakarrimarnanya, nyinjorinya nyingke His wife, the widow, would move away to another place

The terrrestrial class is in some respects a locative gender as nominals marked for terrrestrial need not (and very often do not) take locative or allative suffixes when used in these functions. Example (4.9b) shows this use of terrrestrial marking with the verb \(=y a\) 'go.' Example (4.9c) shows \(=y a\) 'go' used with an adjective marked for terrrestrial class and inflected with the locative suffix -rnanya. The following sentences are further examples of noun phrases with terrestrial heads used in locative and allative senses without local postpositional ('case') inflection. This usage is rather more common than that with local case inflection, and in \(\int 4.1 .4\) (i) we will see that locative inflection may actually induce derivational terrrestrial class marking.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aja & nganunerri & ngayanangkama & mayaram. \\
aja & nga=nu-na-eerri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ngayu-nangka-ma
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
mayaram
\end{tabular} \\
sit & 1=be-PAST-PROG & I-DAT-3m & house \\
I stayed home at my place. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kaarringoorri & molnganem & mana. \\
kaarr=i-nga-oorri & molnganem & mana \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-U/AUG & river & 3 mDEF \\
She went with them to the river. &
\end{tabular}

The superordinate lexeme for the terrestrial class is dambeem 'place.' Nearly all nouns that refer to locations or to topography are terrestrial, with the exception of a subset of nouns that refer to high, rocky or steep places. Nevertheless the generic term for hills, werrim, is terrestrial, as are:
(a) Low, flat places: marayuma 'plain,' waawima 'flat, open ground,' wondum 'the sea' and rlarlangkarram 'the sea'
(b) Grasses that grow in flat areas: majalba 'grass,' ngalarrama 'long grass' and wurrkalama 'grass'
(c) Ground effected by fire: bimbinalba 'ashes,' kulum 'hot sand' (used for baking vegetables) and maalkarram 'grass fire'
(d) Soil types: mangubam 'mud,' jirrkalima 'sand,' mirijim 'river sand,' anmolba 'white clay,' Kumbarruma 'yellow ochre,' joornim 'dust' and the generic type kabalba 'ground, earth'
(e) Some edible root species: inkalba, 'edible root sp,' wangkarlum 'edible root sp' and wungunimbim 'edible root sp;' although the generic term karnmangku 'yam' is masculine
(f) Rivers, which for most of the year are dry sandy beds dotted with pools: marolalem 'river' and molnganem 'river'
(g) Dark, concave places: karnmern 'cave,' barnjam 'cave,' dalorr 'sink hole, well,' rdakulama 'pit, deep hole,' rlaard 'fissure, gap, crack,' maarnduwalba 'tunnel,' maarndabrlam 'gap, gorge' and balaayuma 'rock shelter'
(h) Places created by people for their use: yandalba 'bark shelter,' mayaram 'house,' yarram 'hut,' karlumba 'path' and karndirrim 'mortuary platform'
(i) Unoccupied places: bundurlba 'the bush'
(j) Spatial abstracts: dungundu 'side (of eg. a hill),' kanaalu 'opposite side' and kangurram 'non-visible or hidden side'
(k) Some trees: jungurim 'boab' (but see §4.7.1), bunjuma 'fig,' jinkarnma 'tree sp.' and krooma 'white cypress pine.'

Other things acquire terrestrial marking by analogy with these imageries of place. Large concave artefacts are terrestrial: namarrkama 'large wooden bowl' and angkam 'wooden bowl,' although the more general term karraki 'container' is masculine and is applied to modern hand-bags and briefcases.

Reference to events involves the indexation of temporal, as opposed to spatial coordinates. As Alan Rumsey has noted with respect to Ungarinyin (1982:40): 'If -m- is the gender of 'place,' \(w_{2}\) is the gender of time.' If an adjective in Worrorra is marked for celestial agreement without being coreferential with any noun in the surrounding discourse, its reference is interpreted as one of time:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Jakarri & wunu \\
\(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) yakarri & wunu \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) other & 3 wDEF \\
The next day &
\end{tabular}
(b) Jarrungu wunu ...

ØN=yarrungu wunu
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) QUANT 3 wDEF
One day ......
(c) Wungunenali
\({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN \(=\) ngu \(<\) ne \(>\) nali
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) new \(<\) AUG \(>\)
These days/nowadays
This interpretation is as guaranteed for celestial forms as the interpretation of the corresponding terrestrial forms is with respect to place: compare with the forms in (4.11) mayakarrima 'another place,' mayarrunguma 'one place' and mangunalima 'a new place.'

Verba dicendi in Worrorra constitute a formal/functional subcategory of transitive verbs which obligatorily index complement clauses in object position. The celestial class-marking morpheme kuNand its allomorphs \({ }^{{ }^{*} w u N}\) - and \(\varnothing N\) - are used to index complement clauses on these verbs. In effect, verba dicendi index sentential objects just as other transitive verbs index noun objects, and the class marker used in this function is that of the celestial class. Furthermore, complex predicates may consist of a preverb placed under the scope of an inflecting verb. Such arrangements constitute examples of nuclear-core subordinate nexus in clause linkage typology (Foley \& Van Valin 1984:249). In these types of predicates the preverb is indexed on the inflecting verb (the verb classifier) in object position as a celestial morpheme (glossed 'VCOMP' - see sentence example (4.1), where buju 'finish' is a preverb). Nor does this phenomenon result merely from the use of an empty, default or residual neuter category: as has been seen, the default category in Worrorra is masculine. There is, moreover, a small set of preverbs that control terrestrial VCOMP marking on verb classifiers: murn 'look, gaze' is one such:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (4.12) Murn maarrwuna. } \\
& \text { murn ma-bwarr=bwu-na } \\
& \text { gaze VCOMP-3p=hit-PAST } \\
& \text { They gazed all around. }
\end{aligned}
\]

But verbal nouns or preverbs and abstract nouns that refer to actions or events normally belong to the celestial class: budurrwu 'snoring,' buju 'finish,' mungurr 'argument,' wurrkunu 'trouble,' ngarlangarla 'speech,' ngarlungarlu 'the sound of people talking,' yarrorl 'the sound of voices,' keuyoya 'whisper,' ngaja 'custom, precedent,' wurnarn '(ritual) sharing,' wurloo 'purification,' wurdoo 'blessing,' lalai 'the dreamtime,' wunurr 'begging,' Kulunu 'sleep' kurdu 'chase, follow' and many other preverbs employed as verbal nouns. In (4.13) the verbal noun kulunu 'sleep' is qualified by the adjective \(=n\)-ngal 'these several' (ie several 'sleeps'). Note that gender agreement is with the celestial class (glossed as '3w'):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke & kulunu & wunngengalu & bariy & kanunaal \\
ke & kulunu & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=n-nge-ngal-u & bariy & \(\mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{nu}\)-na-aal \\
and & sleep & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-AUG-several-3w & rise & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}=\mathrm{PAST}\)-hither \\
And after sleeping for a few days be got up & &
\end{tabular}

However, rough or violent events are terrestrial: barurruma 'feud,' malanim 'battle,' manjuma 'wind' and warrambam 'flood waters.' Verbal nouns that refer to places or country are usually terrestrial as well: rdarlurn 'looming' (with reference to eg a bluff or cliff that 'looks like it's looking down on you'), and murn 'gazing' (typically out over an expanse of country, see example 4.12).

Subjunctive verbs are employed in subordinate and some other clause types. In relativizing constructions, subjunctive predicates may occur with markers signalling agreement with the gender of the nominal head of the phrase in which they occur ( \(\$ 15.6 .3\) ). However in adverbial subordinate function the only gender-markers they may occur with are celestial, such as the determiner wunu, as seen in \(\$ 15.6 .1\), and as illustrated in (4.3a) and (4.14):
(4.14)

Kaarringoorri molnganem mana, ke [woo nyangkawanangurru] wunu, aakumarnanya mana, jarnda ngenu kenga aaku ke, mara nyimbeena; nyungumanja aaya mara kona.
She went with them to the river, and [when she bent down] over the water intending to scoop some up, she saw berself; she saw her reflection.

In (4.14) the subjunctive predicate (in square brackets) falls within the scope of the following determiner wunu. That this determiner is part of the verb phrase and not the following noun phrase (aakumarnanya mana '(over) the water'), is indicated by a pause, marked in transcription by the comma after wипи.

When any verb-form accepts gender agreement, that agreement is always with the celestial class, with exceptions as exemplified in (4.12). And as has been seen in (4.3b), there are a variety of sentence types that may be topicalized, or made definite by the use of the definite article wunu, which in these constructions has scope over the entire sentence. Example (4.15a) shows another definite sentence construction, and ( \(4.15 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) show how events of all sorts may receive celestial marking:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngani & bungenjeerri & wunu! \\
ngani & ba-kuN-nga=yi-n-yeerri & wunu \\
what & CFT-VCOMP-1=do-NON.P-PROG & \(3 w D E F\) \\
I'm not doing anything! (as I've already told you) &
\end{tabular}
(b) \(\quad \mathrm{Ke} \quad\) binjiyeerri.
ke ba-kuN-nja=yi-eerri
3wREF CFT-VCOMP-2=do-PROG
Don't do that.
(c) Aaya anguja, burnu kunjeeng?
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
aaya & anguja & burn-u & kuN-nja=yi-ng \\
3aREF & what & PRO-3w & VCOMP-2=do-PAST
\end{tabular}

What was that, did you fart?
Example (4.15a) is another rhetorical form, used when the speaker believes that the listener already knows the propositional content of an utterance. The determiner here refers to the entire utterance. Example (4.15b) shows an extra-linguistic event referred to by the contextual/topicalizing deictic pronoun \(={ }^{k}\) waya marked for celestial agreement as ke. Example ( 4.15 c ) shows the same pronoun inflected for masculine agreement (as aaya) with the interrogative anaphor anguja 'what?' The event itself, however, is referred to euphemistically by way of the pro-form burn- inflected for celestial agreement.

\subsection*{4.1.3 (iv) luminosity}

The celestial-terrestrial dichotomy encompasses what might be referred to as an abstract-concrete distinction. The prototypically most concrete thing is the earth, and the notion of place is derived directly from it. Just as solid, heavy, dark things tend to be terrestrial, so abstract and bright things are celestial. Bright, translucent things or things with reflective surfaces belong to the celestial class:
(a) Atmospheric/celestial/meteorological phenomena: anjolu 'the sky,' angkubanu 'clouds,' braarr 'illumination,' lewarra 'daylight,' mamangkunu 'dawn,' and wungoru 'air'
(b) Liquids are typically bright and reflective: aaku 'fresh water,' wunjuku 'continuous or sheet rain,' wungkurr 'sacred pool,' bijurlu 'shallow water' and jalaani 'deep water,' although atmospheric or 'dispersed' water is masculine; aaja 'rain' and wilmi 'mist'
(c) Bodily fluids: Kurloo 'blood,' bongkul 'urine,' ngamuku 'milk' and jelu 'saliva'
(d) Soft, bright, liquid things: \(={ }^{k}\) wambulu 'eyes' and marlinji 'oyster'
(e) Hard bright things: wanawi 'egg,' = mlarru 'forehead,' kayuku 'rock, stone,' karrku 'rock, stone' and \(=\) bwiyaku 'teeth'
(f) Fire and associated things: wiyanu 'fire, firewood,' welkunke 'torch,' yorlu 'torch,' wulurrku 'coals, charcoal,' bijaku 'smoke,' br'nalu 'ashes,' wïjali 'burnt grass,' bululuku 'tinder, kindling' and leerrukeu 'fire spindle'
(g) Brightly coloured living things: marrirí 'red-winged parrot' (associated with fire in myth) and marrukeu 'flower'
(h) Bright or shiny parts of living things: ilulu 'feather,' marnda 'tree gum' and idmangkanu 'leaf
(i) Grease: wunjawarra 'grease off meat' and wrrngeewa 'bone marrow.'

The field of bodily fluids is extended to include bodily extracts such as ngoku 'fæces.'
Just as the celestial class can be seen to be composed of luminous things along some kind of core-fadeout continuum, so the terrestrial class is composed of dark, opaque things. We have already noted some dark, concave places in the list of topographies in the preceding section, and the sea, also in that section. Fresh water (aaku wunu) and sea water (wondum mana) are opposed in Worrorra symbolism; fresh water is essential to humans while sea water is unpalatable. Water may be specified as being fresh as opposed to salty by being referred to as aaku rdeenu 'real water;' sea water is, by implication, not 'real' water, in the sense that one of the defining characteristics of water is that it is something people drink. The sea around the Kimberley coast is characteristically dark, cloudy and opaque when compared to fresh water. Other dark or opaque things in the terrestrial class are:
(a) Atmospheric/celestial phenomena: karlumarlum 'evening, dusk,' karlakarlem 'evening, dusk,' wundukum 'night,' warlorluma 'night,' and jerrba 'shade,' although interestingly marram 'light' and yankarndama 'star' are also terrestrial.
(b) Dark-coloured or nocturnal birds: darraanma 'red-tailed black cockatoo,' barrom 'tawny frogmouth' and booboom 'coucal pheasant;' crows, however, (wangkuranya) are feminine.

\subsection*{4.1.3 (v) topography}

The parameters of dimension and luminosity produce another and secondary opposition, that of relative topographic position or height. We have already seen that low, flat places are terrestrial, and that rocks and firewood are celestial. This gender distinction comes about naturally as a result of the terrestrial class's coordinate position on the dimension parameter as 'place,' and the celestial class's coordinate position on the luminosity parameter as 'luminous.' This dynamic now produces a topographic contrast between low, flat areas on the one hand, and high or raised things on the other, and is expressed by way of an opposition between the earth/place and low, flat areas as terrestrial, and tree/hill/height as celestial.

The sea is low and flat as well as being dark and opaque, and things associated with it are terrestrial: wondum 'the sea, salt water,' rlarlangkarram 'the sea,' kalaarrba 'sandy beach,' kalam 'double raft' and jindim 'mangrove.' However landforms defined in terms of their contradistinction to the sea, that is in terms of their raised position above the sea, are celestial: ungujonu 'island,' murdu 'island,' barlurlu 'coastline, sea-shore' and barndaya 'the mainland.'

Trees as well are characteristically raised above the land upon which they grow. The generic term nguwanu 'tree' is celestial and most trees belong to this class. Possible primary reasons for this are that firstly the trees most characteristic of Worrorra country (apart from boabs) are eucalypts with shiny white bark; and secondly the most common use of these and indeed of most trees is as firewood (wiyanu wunu). Things obviously cut out of trees are also celestial: namandi 'canoe' (an introduced item), barrawara 'canoe' and jinyinu 'shield.'

Rocks present hard reflective surfaces and rocks and stony things are celestial:
(a) Rocks: karrku 'rock, stone,' kayuku 'rock, stone,' murndaaleya 'plateau, platform, flat rock' and durrku 'mortuary cairn.'
(b) Steep, high and/or rocky hills: kurrandu 'hill,' rdarlambanu 'high and/or steep hill,' kenjanu 'high and/or steep hill,' kurraarrandu 'line of hills' and warnangkali 'cliff, mountain.'

The two most prominent peaks in Worrorra country are Ngayangkarnanya 'Mount Trafalgar' and Nubungarri 'Mount Waterloo,' two large and spectacular mesas on the Northern shore of

Ngarlangkarnanya (The St. George's Basin) at the mouth of the Prince Regent River. They have high quartzite or sandstone cliffs that glow red and gold at sunrise and sunset.

The distinction between low, flat things and superior rocky or wooden things discussed here in terms of a semantics of 'topography' is represented iconically in the genders assigned to barlarlonma 'mortar/grinding stone' (terrestrial) and its complementary artefact rnoku 'pestle' (celestial).

\subsection*{4.1.4 Functional properties}

The clearest manifestation of a category's intension is the derivational function of that category's tokens within a system of reference. The intensions of Worrorra gender categories are made explicit by virtue of the derivational functions of gender marking.

It has been suggested to me that gender systems in northern Australia may be of two types. \({ }^{35}\) In one type of system, gender is correlated with 'semantic field' or 'lexical domain,' and all members of a particular semantic field are allocated to the same gender. In this system it would appear that the oppositional dynamic that characteristically structures gender systematics is relaxed or absent with respect to the way in which relations between particular semantic fields are conceived emically (eg Gaagudju (Harvey 1992), Ngalakan (Merlan 1983), Ngandi (Heath 1978), Ngan'gityemerri (Reid 1991) etc). In the other type, gender is used to make distinctions between denotata within semantic fields, and the oppositional dynamic appears to function systematically at every level of gender reference. We may characterize this difference as one between gender systems that function to create lexical domain categories, and others that function to signal lexical differentiation between similar or comparable denotata. Worrorra for the most part exhibits the second type of system: but the first type is also found, as described below in \(\$ 4.1 .4\) (ii).

\subsection*{4.1.4 (i) lexical differentiation}

We have already observed the derivation of male-female pairs of nouns in \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (i), and locational derivation in \(\int 4.1 .3\) (iii), showing that 'male,' 'female' and 'place' at least are intensional properties of the masculine, feminine and terrestrial classes.

A change of gender may signal a change in sense (eg such as recorded by Heath 1984:188). The masculine noun jungura means 'boab tree,' while the terrestrial noun jungurim means 'shade of the boab tree.' Indeed large old boab trees have status as places rather than as trees only, and their wide trunks do provide shade in a rather open and unshaded landscape. Kangaroos are precisely named. The term used specifically for the female grey kangaroo, warinya, has masculine shape wara 'kangaroo (generic)' used not for the male animal (jurlyun and arr'ra are used for that), but as a superordinate noun covering all kangaroos regardless of species or sex. The inflexional inalienable noun \(={ }^{*}\) wambulu 'eye' is celestial. When this noun exhibits terrestrial marking it undergoes a change in sense: maambulba mana '(tidal) whirlpool.' The gender-marking in this case is coreferential with rlarlangkarram mana 'the sea.' Literally this word means 'its eye.' When aaku 'water' is thought of as a substance, ie as that which people drink, it is celestial. When water is referred to as a body of water (that is as a place) and marked with a locative postposition, it takes derivational terrestrial class-marking:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(4.16a) & Juward & kanuna & mana & aakumarnanya \\
& juward & \(\mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{nu}=\mathrm{na}\) & mana & aaku-ma-rnanya \\
& jump & 3a=be-PAST & 3mDEF & water-3m-LOC
\end{tabular}

He jumped into the water
The terrestrial class functions as a locative gender in what probably amounts to a large proportion of its occurrences. When used in this locational sense, aaku usually acquires locative (terrestrial) gender derivation (marked by the morpheme -ma) as well as locative case inflection. Note that this gender derivation is not simply a matter of a lexeme-specific case allomorph -marnanya. In this usage, as in

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{35} \mathrm{I}\) am grateful to Jeffrey Heath for pointing this out to me.
}
example (4.16a), aaku exhibits a derived or outer gender that controls anaphor agreement on the article mana. The locative-marked phrase in this sentence is derived as indicated:
(4.16b) [TERR mana \(_{\text {[cel }}\) aaku \(\left._{\text {CEL }}\right] \mathrm{ma}+\) rnanya \(_{\text {TERR }}\) ]

This phrase is composed of an inner inherent gender (celestial) overlain by an outer derived gender (terrestrial). In this instance the language employs derivational gender affixation to make a sense distinction between water as substance, and a body of water as place or location. Other nouns may also occur in derived terrestrial form, with or without the gender affix -ma. When -ma is not attached, the derived status of such a noun is indicated by the shape of the article (mana). For example the masculine noun jardi inja 'spinifex' may occur as jardirnanya mana 'in (a wad of spinifex,' and karrkeu wunu 'rock, hill' may occur as mana karrkurnanya 'on the rocks.'

Conversely, some terrestrial nouns may take derived celestial marking when their aspectual or aktionsart properties are brought to the fore; wundukum mana 'night' is one such:

Ke wundukumarnanya wunu anja wangalaalunguyu kubajunganangkorri, 'Yarrorl birriyeerri, meemeenya binyinmaa, yoowa ke kaakaaja kurde.'
And at night they told their children, 'Don't make too much noise, or the meemeenya bird might get you, or the boobook owl, or the kaakaaja bird.'

In this derivation wundukum 'night' is denoted as an interval of time with internal structure, and acquires celestial marking to signal this typically verbal (aspectual) characteristic. Here gender-marking is used to signal aspectual properties of nouns. This phenomenon is not uncommon in languages; in French, jour (masculine) 'day' and soir (masculine) 'evening' become journée and soirée (both feminine) under the same circumstances. The same also occurs in Arabic, eg. lail (masculine) 'night' and laila (feminine) 'night (aspectual),' although in Arabic the distinction may also be lexicalized: nabaar 'day' and yaum 'day (aspectual).' Another Worrorra noun that behaves in this way is karlumarlum mana 'evening.' Derivational process may be signalled by apparent dis-agreement, or 'wrong' gendermarking morphemes appearing elsewhere in the clause in which the derived noun occurs, as in (4.18). The suffix -nyini 'until, then' usually comes between the root morpheme of a terrestrial noun to which it is suffixed and the gender-marking morpheme -ma or \(-m\) (see example 4.7a):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Yarriba & nyimnyawanangurreerri, & karlumarlunyinim \\
yarriy-b \({ }_{\text {Wa }}\) & nyiN-mnya=b \({ }_{\text {wa-na-ngurru-eerri }}\) & karlumarlu-nyini-m \\
descend-PROG & 3f-DD=fall-TNS-away-PROG & evening-until-3m
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
kumnyanuna & karle imaya. \\
kuN-mnya=nu-na & karle \(\quad\) imaya \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{DD}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\) & then cool \\
[The sun] went down and then evening came on and it got cool.
\end{tabular}

Even though occurring in a construction which picks out, as it were, the gender-marking suffix \(-m\), the noun karlumarlum here receives celestial subject indexation on the following verb (kumnyanuna 'it (celestial) was (inchoative)'), as indicated by the intransitive subject prefix morpheme kuN-. The semantic properties of nouns such as wundukum and karlumarlum, which acquire gender from one part of their denotation (darkness), but which also denote intervals of time, render them inherently liable to this type of derivation.

A few other instances of a change in sense resulting from a change in gender arise when singular or plural noun-forms are homophonous with collective nouns: wari 'kangaroos' (plural) vs 'kangaroo meat' (collective); burnarri 'animals' or 'birds' (plural) vs 'meat' (collective) and barnmarnja 'sorceror' (singular) vs 'sorcery' (collective).

There are only a few instances that I am aware of in which gender changes induce changes in meaning. One of these is rlarlangkarram mana 'the sea' and irlarlangkarra inja 'estuarine crocodile' (ie 'the salt-water one' or 'salty'). The other example is warli inja 'sea-turtle (generic)' and warlinya nyina 'dugong.' This pair shows an interesting and unusual phonological correspondence that treats members of two zoological classes of large, highly-prized marine vertebrates anthropomorphically, ie as if the distinction were a sex-differentiable one. The plural shape warli refers to turtles and dugongs collectively, just as for example the plural shape arroli refers to both dingo dogs and bitches. This special treatment is almost certainly related to the highly prized status of turtle and dugong meat in Worrorra culture. And a third example is seen in the pair wungkurru wunu 'sacred pool,' and wungkuja nyina 'rock python' (underlyingly |wungkurr-nya| with feminine suffix -nya). This pair consists of nominalizations of the adjective wungkurr 'sacred,' with celestial derivation to produce 'sacred water,' and feminine to produce 'sacred python.'

Other instances of gender change do not result in changes of meaning. Love (1934:19-20) describes how the noun karlumba 'path' which is terrestrial, changed gender to become masculine when it referred to the road built under missionary supervision between Port George IV (Mingkunya) and Kunmunya. At present karlumba is terrestrial, but a synonym juwalya 'path' is masculine.

Animals may change gender when they are personified as humans. Most animals are members of the masculine or feminine genders, and when they appear in myths they are personified as men or women depending upon their gender. However there is one example of a mythically important bird in the non-human macrogender, marrirri wunu 'red-winged parrot,' which is personified as a woman and which may take feminine gender agreement in mythic contexts:

Marrirri wunu murn mona: ‘inja wiyanu ngarraangkowa kumalangaayeerri?’ kunjunganangka; wululu nyimbanaalnyale nyangka.
The red-winged parrot looked around: 'Why is he taking the fire away?' it/she asked; then she flew down towards bim.

In this sentence marrirri at first controls celestial agreement on the article (wunu) but subsequently triggers feminine agreement shapes at the opposite end of the sentence in the NP index nyim- and by the pronoun nyanglea 'she.'

A final area of gender fluidity in Worrorra appears to involve place names. I have had some difficulty in ascertaining gender for many place names as nearly all place names appear to be able to control terrestrial agreement, especially in citation contexts. In some instances however terrestrial marking may be taken to be an outer gender assignment. In these circumstances place names are able to control agreement for other genders as well, and such 'inner' genders appear to be allocated according to the topographic type of the referent, as described in \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (v). For instance Ngayangkarnanya 'Mount Trafalgar' may be referred to as Ngayangkarnanya mana '(the place) Ngayangkarnanya' or as Ngayangkarnanya wunu '(the mountain) Ngayangkarnanya.' Mountains (warnangkali wunu, rdarlambanu wипи) appear to have an inner celestial class: Ngayangkarnanya wипи 'Mount Trafalgar,' Nubungarri wunu 'Mount Waterloo,' Majerrin wunu 'Mount Hann' and W undamarro wипи 'MOUNTAIN NAME.' Some islands (ипбијопи wипи, murdu wипи) appear to be of the same class: Wurrurlku wunu 'Augustus Island,' Karlinji wunu 'ISLAND NAME' and Jinbeenu wunu 'ISLAND NAME,' while others are terrestrial: Numen mana 'ISLAND NAME,' and Yawijaba mana 'The Montgomery Islands.' Other place names are also celestial: Dulooku wипи 'land of the dead,' located out over the Western Ocean, probably acquires gender by analogy with barndaya wипи 'mainland,' as may also be the case for Wurnbangku wunu 'patriclan country name,' located on the coast around the St. George's Basin, although it is possible that this term may acquire gender by virtue of its initial and final segments as well. An example of the way in which this kind of dual gender allocation functions in practice is seen in a description of the activities of a dreamtime crocodile who left his liver behind in the form of a large liver-shaped rock:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Warndi & kumnyona & wunu & Yuwulam & mana. \\
warndi & kuN- \(\varnothing\)-mnya=bwu-na & wunu & yuwulam & mana \\
make & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3-\mathrm{DD}=\) hit-PAST & 3wDEF & 'Liver' & 3 mDEF \\
He made (the rocke called) 'The Liver.' & & &
\end{tabular}

The place name Yuwulama 'The Liver' is derived from the terrestrial noun \(={ }^{k}\) wwulama 'liver,' and occurs here with the articles of both the celestial and terrestrial classes. We may thus infer outer terrrestrial and inner celestial assignments for Yuwulam. At the same time a celestial undergoer is indexed on the verb warndi \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'make.' From context, and from the undergoer-gender indexed on the verb, karrku wипи 'rock' or kayuku wunu 'rock' is automatically inferred as the verb's object. This is an example of the way in which gender-marking morphology functions indexically by restricting the range of possible referents. Another rather lovely example of the dual nature of gender allocation with respect to place names is found in a story told by the late Daisy Utemorrah (Utemorrah 2000:13-20); in this story two boys are gazing out to sea at the island called Karlinji. As they look at it they sing:
(4.21) Karlinji bawarra, mawe wurno, mawe wurno.

Karlinji Island so far away, there it is away over there.
In this song the island is referred to by two anaphors: \(={ }^{*}\) we 'presentational' and \(=\) rno 'that (distal).' The first is inflected for terrestrial agreement (mawe) while the second bears celestial inflection (wurno). Ngarlangkarnanya 'The St George's Basin' appears to have an inner gender which is feminine, probably because of the shape of its final segment -nya, although of course it may also accept terrestrial agreement. And some place names are clauses, such as Imalala Jujurr Ingkaarrbanga 'Where they carried the handsome man,' and Jiliny a Jaarr Nyangkawana 'Where the spirit-woman travelled upstream. \({ }^{36}\)

\subsection*{4.1.4 (ii) lexical domains}

Despite what is described above, and in order to set it in perspective, it will be useful to observe how semantic fields are dealt with in the Worrorra gender system. Correlations between gender and semantic field are scanty and not particularly consistent. Most minor semantic fields are unipolar; ie they do not display the coordinate polarities exhibited by the semantics of the gender superstructure displayed in Table 4.5.

Sexuality provides powerful metaphors by which nouns may be classified according to the imagery of masculinity and femininity. Snakes are usually phallic and tend to be masculine, but several are feminine; Heath (1984:181) plausibly suggests that the imagery of swallowing may be sexual, and associated with femaleness. In Worrorra pythons are feminine (mawunkunya/ nyimrimaaingarrinya 'blackheaded python' and wungkuja 'rock python') as well as some other snakes: kawaanya 'black snake' and rlongkungkunya 'sea snake sp' Spears (jinalya) are masculine, and spear-throwers (yamarlbanya and yarnkalja) are feminine. Gender is assigned to spear-throwers by reference to the iconic imagery of how a spear fits into the slightly concave spear-thrower when it is about to be released.

As noted above, body parts are mostly celestial or terrestrial. Body parts are only semantically 'visible' when distinguished apart from the whole beings to which they are attached. Body parts are prototypically parts of human beings, and human beings belong to the human or highly animate genders masculine and feminine. Gender semantics again employs an oppositional dynamic in order clearly to distinguish body parts from their human 'owners' by classifying them in the non-human macrogender. Another way of putting this is to say that body parts only come into existence when reified apart from their human 'owners;' and their categorization in the non-human macrogender serves the function of grammaticizing the reification. Body parts belonging to the human macrogender are of fairly clear types. Bones and bony or bone-like parts are masculine, as noted. The 'heart,' rangku is masculine, as are two words for 'thigh,' wanjarra and \(={ }^{k} w u d a\). Non-material emanations from the body are masculine as well; =ngaanja 'shadow, spirit' and =yula 'anger.' Feminine body parts are mangajanya 'sweat,' jurlwaanya 'sinew,' nyaarnkanya 'Achilles tendon' and =rlawarndinya

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{36}\) See §15.6.6.
}
and =yalngkanya, both meaning 'ankle.' The significance of the relationship between the shape of ankles and their gender has already been suggested, and the gender of sinews and tendons is discussed in \(\int 4.1 .6\) (i).

Bodily ornaments are masculine; these include women's pubic aprons (woven from hair-string or kangaroo fur) warlbirri, yawurna and kuduwaanja, as well as jangkunja 'hair-string belt,' broolku and bankulaja 'cicatrices,' boordi 'mans long hair bun, wrapped in paperbark' and the introduced ornament dress. Interestingly however, wanala and wudbeenu 'woven hair string' (ie before being made up into some artefact or ornament) are celestial.

Major food classes (vegetables, meat, etc.) are all in the collective gender. Such items include 'vegetable food,' mangarri and maya; 'meat,' burnarri; and 'kangaroo meat,' wari (see §4.2.2).

The following tendencies may be briefly noted about the way that other semantic fields cluster with respect to gender.
(a) Animals (including insects and birds) are mostly either masculine or feminine, with the majority being masculine. Larger birds and some insects tend to be feminine, as discussed in \(\S 4.1 .6\) (i).
(b) Topography is mostly terrestrial or celestial, with the majority of terms being terrestrial.
(c) Plants are mostly terrestrial or celestial.
(d) Collocation is a pervasive factor in gender allocation, for instance kambananya 'shovel, scoop, poker' is used mainly by women to manipulate food in a fire, and is feminine.
(e) Most semantic fields are not correlated with gender categories. Artefacts are an example, and marine invertebrates also appear to disport themselves heedlessly across genders.

\subsection*{4.1.5 Meta-intensional references of gender categories}

In order to capture the underlying denotational properties of gender as the system operates in Worrorra, it is necessary to distinguish between levels of intensional reference. The semantic coordinates of a gender system (see Table 4.5) represent discrete clusters of intensions of the sort to which such systems make reference. Meta-intensions, as the term is used here, are essentially image schemata that, by referring to the embodied nature of our experience, motivate the coherence of such intension-clusters. Image schemata are
structures that organize our mental representations at a level more general and abstract than that at which we form particular mental images (Johnson 1987:23-24) ... recurring dynamic pattern \([\mathrm{s}]\) of our perceptual interactions and motor programs that give coherence and structure to our experience (idem: xiv).

An embodied schema is one that refers to the body's physical location in, and operation as a part of the world. Meta-intensions are essentially the symbols to which partition-classes make differential reference at an underlying level.

The male-female opposition encoded by the human macrogender is clear enough to speakers of a language such as English that employs this dichotomy as a gender distinction. What is perhaps less immediately clear is the incorporation of the parameter of shape within this semantics, with its phallic imagery with respect to males and with its imagery of pregnancy with respect to females. Nevertheless it should be clear that the underlying or meta-intensional references of these categories of sex and shape are to the embodied image schemata of human beings, ie to man and woman respectively.

What is less clear, perhaps, is the opposition between notions of [place/dark/concrete] on the one hand and [time/luminous/abstract] on the other. I will argue that like the opposition in the human macrogender, the non-human macrogender opposition involves embodied image schemata, in Johnson's (1987) terms.

The dynamic of polar opposition constitutes the main structural principle involved in the partitioning of lexical categories generally, and this is particularly evident in the structure of the Worrorra partition. As has been suggested, the prototypically most concrete thing is the earth, and it should be apparent that reference to the earth is an important part of the intension of the terrestrial class. We should also expect that whatever the underlying intension, or meta-intension of that class
may be, it will exhibit an oppositional dynamic with respect to that of the celestial class. So far we have observed a series of systematic oppositions in the non-human macrogender between time and place, luminous and non-luminous, and between abstract and concrete. We have also noted an opposition between the sea and low places on the one hand, and hills and high places on the other. Now it appears that all the intensional properties of the two categories constituting the non-human macrogender may be captured by reference to an oppositional dynamic between earth and sky. The earth is the intension of the notion of place. The earth also provides dark, concave places (caves, burrows etc) away from the light. Time is the creation of celestial phenomena: the passage of the sun, moon and stars across the sky, and the variations in colour and luminosity exhibited by the sky create our understanding of time. Furthermore, the sky is luminous; even at night the moon and stars define the sky as the abode of brightness. The sky is also an essentially abstract phenomenon: it can be apprehended only visually; no other sensory mode is able to detect it. Central to an understanding of the semantics of this dynamic is the notion of relative height. The celestial class refers to things that are in general high, while the terrestrial class refers to things that are in general low. The structure of the Worrorra gender system is displayed in Figure 4.1, together with the forms of the definite article required by each lexical category:


Figure 4.1: Worrorra gender categories
The oppositional dynamic between earth and sky is quite consistent with our understanding of embodied image schemata: it is an all-pervasive feature of our existence as physical beings in the world. Earth and sky are the objective or externalized manifestations of our embodied experience of UP and DOWN. The UP-DOWN schema, in external manifestation, is available and suitable for grammaticization because it is bounded, by the sky above and the earth below. Other (eg horizontal) dimensions are unbounded. Furthermore, the bounded, external manifestation of the UP-DOWN schema is all-pervasive in the lives of people who live entirely out-of-doors. It is entirely natural that a gender system should make use of such a schema as an underlying structural principle in the oppositional dynamic of which such systems are constituted. Earth and sky, then, are metaintensional principles that underly other denotational functions of gender in Worrorra. Worrorra society is structured at a very basic and important level according to the parameters described here. Apart from the biological gender distinction, the two patrimoieties, Adbalarriya and Arrwunarriya, refer underlyingly to the UP-DOWN schema - see \(\S 6.2 .4\) for discussion.

The system outlined here is inherently covert: its core denotata are overlain by other intensional references whose relationship to their category's meta-intension is not immediately apparent. The extent of the system's opacity implies that the system itself is an ancient one. Its survival is due to its status as an embodied image schema; that is to say, as a primary semantic structure in memory and cognition; ie, in the apparatus of mental representation.

\subsection*{4.1.6 Macrogender}

The four overt genders described above may be grouped together along orthogonal axes to produce two covert macrogender pairs. The masculine and feminine genders together constitute a human macrogender, and the terrestrial or celestial classes together constitute a non-human macrogender. Similarly, the feminine and terrestrial classes constitute a macrogender whose members are
characterized by phonological markedness, and which typically display features characteristic of some kind of semantic markedness. The masculine and celestial classes constitute a macrogender of typically unmarked nouns.

Table 4.6: macrogenders as defined by the semantics of animacy and markedness
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& +MARKED & -MARKED \\
+HUMAN & feminine & masculine \\
-HUMAN & terrestrial & celestial
\end{tabular}

These four macrogenders are covert, that is to say that they lack consistent overt morphosyntactic marking. However the morphology of one set of referring expressions, that of the independent interrogative substitutes meaning 'who?' or 'what?,' clearly displays the operation of parameters that define macrogender. The paradigm of the anaphor \(|\operatorname{ang}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{uYa}|\) 'who/what?' does not pattern like that of other derived nominals that refer to third persons. This anaphor accepts gender-marking affixes, but only with reference to members of the marked macrogender, that is to say, it accepts gender-marking in agreement with feminine and terrestrial nouns only. The morphologically unmarked forms constitute default categories that trigger either masculine or celestial agreement on verbs:
(4.22a) Anguja kanjamurrkerri?
(b) Anguja worr kanungu ngeenya kajirn?
(c) Angkuyu kardangoo?
(d) Anguja nee kanjaangurreerri?

What are you looking for?
What's that that smells like honey?
Whom can we ask to go and get it?
What are you thinking about?

In each of these examples the prefix ka- on the verb signals masculine agreement with anguja 'what?' or angkuyu 'who?' In other situations, however, anguja controls celestial agreement. The verb \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' only accepts objects marked for celestial class: for an example of this phenomenon see example (4.15b) in \(\S 4.1 .3\) (iii). In that example the textual deictic object ke 'that referred to previously, or already known to the addressee' is the celestial form required by the verb. This verb may also employ anguja in object role (although the VP anaphor ngani 'what?' is more common in this situation):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Anguja & kunjiyeerri? \\
anguja & kuN-nja=yi-eerri \\
what & VCOMP-2=do-PROG \\
What are you doing?
\end{tabular}

A functional paradigm of this anaphor gives a clearer indication of its semantics:
```

|ang(k)uYa |
HUMAN: who? angkuyu
specifically plural angkuyangkuya
specifically feminine angkunya
NON-HUMAN: what? anguja
specifically terrestrial angujama

```

The parameters operating here produce a human-non-human split, and give evidence of another split corresponding to phonological markedness:
\[
\begin{array}{lll} 
& \text { +MARKED } & \text {-MARKED }  \tag{4.25}\\
\text { +HUMAN } & \text { angkunya } & \text { angkuyu } \\
\text {-HUMAN } & \text { angujama } & \text { anguja }
\end{array}
\]


Figure 4.2: features of interrogative anaphors
Independent interrogative substitutes refer by definition to things the identities of which are unknown. In order to operate the anaphor system, a speaker must make decisions about the identity status of any given denotatum, and such decisions draw the denotatum from an unknown to a (partially) known state in a systematic and graded way (Figure 4.2). At each decision-node a choice of 'unknown (decision failed)/not pertinent (decision refused)' automatically yields a default category. Below the feature [human] decisions to register 'known' information yield marked categories. In each case, a feature bearing a negative value means that with respect to that feature, information has either failed or been refused registration, ie information is either not available or is not contextually pertinent. So for instance for the anaphor angkuyu, the specification [+human, -number, -marked] indicates that the denotatum is human, but that its number and gender properties are either unknown or not pertinent to the context of the utterance in which it occurs. The properties of each outputcategory may be more clearly stated as follows:
(a) anguja is used when any of the following apply: (1) the referent's animacy-status is unknown, (2) a non-human referent's gender is unknown or is not contextually pertinent, (3) a non-human referent's gender is known to be masculine, feminine or celestial.
(b) angujama is used when: (1) a non-human referent's gender is known to be terrestrial and (2) its gender is contextually pertinent.
(c) angkuyy is used when either of the following apply: (1) a human referent's sex is unknown or is not contextually pertinent, (2) a human referent is known to be male.
(d) ankuyangkuya is used when: (1) a human referent's number is plural and (2) its number is contextually pertinent.
(e) angkunya is used when: (1) a human referent is known to be female and (2) her sex is contextually pertinent.

From this account it is clear that relations between the members of the set of independent interrogative substitutes are structured with respect to each other, that is to say that some operate as superordinate lexemes with respect to others:


Those that are not superordinate are either marked for number or marked in terms of the semantics of gender.

The features that conjointly define covert macrogender categories operate in parallel to the four overt genders. Moreover, there seems to be no requirement that the semantics of macrogender should be mapped precisely onto the morphosyntax of overt gender. The marked macrogender is partitioned by the feature [human] and the resulting covert categories [+marked, +human] and [+marked, -human] correspond closely to the overt feminine and terrestrial classes respectively, although rambarr mana 'avoidance category kin' is a member of the [+human, +marked] category that is not feminine. However the partitioning of the unmarked macrogender does not correspond closely
to that of the overt masculine and celestial classes: note that both angkuyu and anguja require masculine agreement. The gender specifications of anguja are not stated in terms of an overt category; its primary gender specifications are acquired from its macrogender membership only, as [-marked, -human]. Nevertheless, overt gender concord is obligatory in Worrorra and anguja selects promiscuously and pragmatically from the two overt genders encompassed by the unmarked macrogender. When anguja substitutes for some noun it selects the least marked or default overt gender category, which is masculine; and when it substitutes for a VP it selects the gender appropriate to VP, which is celestial. Anguja is able to behave in this way because it is unspecified for overt gender membership.

\subsection*{4.1.6 (i) markedness}

Possessive phrases in Worrorra show agreement with both head and dependent nouns. Head noun agreement is accomplished by suffixation upon a possessive pronoun, - \(\varnothing\) for agreement with masculine and celestial heads, -nya for feminine and -ma for terrestrial heads; that is to say that head agreement is covert for members of the unmarked macrogender, but overt for members of the marked macrogender. The following examples employ the possessive pronoun ngayanangka 'my:'

> inja karraki ngayanangka wunu kayuku ngayanangka nyina yamarlbanya ngayanangkanya mana angkam ngayanangkama

\author{
my bag \\ my money (stones) \\ my spear-thrower \\ my bowl
}

While both overt gender members of the marked macrogender show phonological markedness, it would appear that only the feminine gender is used systematically to signal semantic markedness. Only one semantic domain displaying characteristically 'marked' criteria occurs in the celestial class (see below). Semantic markedness is therefore not a major feature of this class. It is possible to further differentiate the celestial and masculine genders on the basis that the celestial class is associated with a set of gender-signalling inflections that are unique to itself, whereas the masculine gender shares some of its gender inflections with the plural/collective/mass agreement class (see Table 4.2); that is to say that its gender-marking phonology is not unique. The masculine gender is therefore the least marked category of the unmarked macrogender. This situation gives evidence of a hierarchy of markedness types, as shown in Table 4.7.

Table 4.7: markedness types
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
GENDER & MARKEDNESS TYPE \\
feminine & +phonological, + semantic \\
terrestrial & +phonological, \(\sim\) semantic \\
celestial & \(\sim\) phonological, -semantic \\
masculine & -phonological, -semantic
\end{tabular}

Semantically marked categories are those whose denotata exhibit a culturally perceived assymetry when compared to other things of the same or similar general type (Lakoff 1987:59). Such a semantic assymetry usually involves some kind of desirability or conversely repulsion (ie 'anti-desirability'), although it may involve other things as well. Within the food domain there is a marked subcategory easily characterized as 'delicacies:' ngeenya 'honey' and jubakunya 'tobacco,' both feminine. Also feminine are a number of dangerous things that need to be treated with respect or avoided altogether. The semantics of one of these, jimbeerlanya 'spear blade,' has been discussed in \(\S 4.1 .1\). Other members of this category are balangkarranya 'storm wave' and malngeerrinya 'lightning.' The vulnerability felt during a tropical lightning storm by people living out in the open can be well imagined. The Worrorra double-raft (kalam) is reputed to have been unsinkable and was used for quite long-range sea journeys around the coast and to offshore islands. Riders on kalam were apparently vulnerable to only one natural phenomenon, and that was storms at sea; storm waves could sweep people off their rafts and leave them in the water to drown. Biting arthropods cause pain or irritation, and are feminine: maanya 'centipede,' bijileenya 'mosquito,' nyinyinya 'sandfly,' kungkunya 'march fly' and kubadeenya 'meat ant.'

Also feminine is rdarrkenya 'grasshopper,' although this large and fearsome-looking insect is quite harmless.

A specific type of danger is allocated to the terrestrial class. Violent things and things associated with interpersonal violence belong here: malanim 'battle, fight,' barurruma 'feud,' and the only specifically offensive weapon, rdiyama 'fighting club' (compare this implement with the masculine rdiya inja 'club used to deliver the coup de grace to wounded kangaroos'). Also naturally-occurring violent phenomena belong in this class: manjuma 'wind' and warrambam 'flood waters.'

Most raptors are masculine: baardmarra 'white-breasted sea eagle,' dindiwalya 'black kite,' jarroo 'brahminy kite' and jindiwal 'peregrine falcon.' The largest and most spectacular raptor, however, is feminine: warraananya and arndangarrinya 'wedge-tailed eagle.' Birds that are special by being large and terrestrial or largely terrestrial, and esteemed sources of meat are also feminine: jebarranya 'emu,' kurrongkalinya 'brolga,' kuyoja 'great-billed heron' and banaja 'bustard.' Fresh-water turtles were highlyesteemed sources of meat and two of the three terms in the sample for fresh-water turtles are feminine: kanjorrinya 'fresh-water turtle sp' and wurlumaranya 'northern snake-necked turtle,' while dijakurri 'northern snapping turtle' is masculine.

While woven vegetable-fibre string is masculine (irrkealya, banjaya and mamurlanja) and hair-string is celestial (wanala and wudbeenu), string taken from animals (and therefore present in, if not taken from humans) is feminine: jurlwaanya 'sinew' and nyaarnkanya 'Achilles tendon.'

\subsection*{4.2 Plurality}

Worrorra exhibits two kinds of grammatical number-marking category: a class of overt forms, described in Chapter Nine, and a covert category. The covert category consists of two numbers, singular and plural. Singular nouns occur as unmarked citation forms, such as are discussed in \(\$ 4.1\). Formally plural nouns may be distributed among three functionally-motivated types: those exhibiting non-singular (plural) number, mass plural nouns and collective nouns.

\subsection*{4.2.1 Plural nouns}

A subset of nouns in the human macrogender may exhibit plural forms (two pluralizable nouns in the non-human macrogender are mentioned at the beginning of this chapter). Nouns that have plural forms are typically human nouns or nouns that refer to important animals, foods or artefacts. An exception to this is jalwaya 'skinks.' Pluralizable artefacts are jimareya 'spearheads' and ardarruk.u 'blankets.' Love (1934:25) records a plural form for 'spears' but modern Worrorra people reject this.

All genders collapse into a single plural-agreement form-class, ie gender is not realized in plural number. The plural definite article is anja 'the, these.' Plural number is signalled on the noun morphologically and by agreement patterns registered on all possible agreement targets. Pluralmarking morphology is not straightforward and varies in accordance with both phonological and semantic considerations. The simplest plural shapes are homonymous with their singular forms. Nouns of this sort are bisyllabic, with the first vowel long, and the second back, low and short:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& SINGULAR & PLURAL \\
man & eeja & eeja \\
fish & jaaya & jaaya \\
adolescent boy & weerla & weerla
\end{tabular}

The next most simple shapes involve only the addition of the plural suffix \(-y a\); social roles (orator, initiate, sorceror, demon) take this type of plural marking, as well as lower-order animates such as skinks and plants:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
orator & jorlbarda & jorlbardaya \\
initiate & mamaa & mamaaya \\
sorcerer & barnmarnja & barnmarnjiya \\
demon & akurla & akurlaya \\
bandicoot & karimba & karimbaya \\
brush-tailed possum & burlkumba & burlkumbaya \\
skink & jalwa & jalwaya \\
yam (generic) & karnmangku & karnmangkuya \\
flower & marruku & marrukuya
\end{tabular}

A variant of this type of plural-marking puts /e/ in front of the plural suffix:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
spear-head & jimara & jimareya \\
bullock & buluman & bulumaneya
\end{tabular}

Feminine nouns lose their final segment \(-n y a\) and replace it with \(-y a\) :
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
woman & wangayinya & wangaya \\
emu & jebarranya & jebarreya \\
snake-knecked turtle & wurlumaranya & wurlumareya \\
female plains kangaroo & karroninya & karroniya
\end{tabular}

After a stem ending in a nasal consonant, the suffix \(-j i\) may occur:
grey kangaroo jurlwun jurlwunji
After /rr/ the pural ending /-i/ occurs:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
animal & burnarra & burnarri \\
sheep & kukunja & kukunjarri
\end{tabular}

The plural NP index prefix arr- (\$6.1) may occasionally be used, sometimes with epenthetic -nya- (rule 26):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
blanket & irnarruku & ardarruku \\
young adolescent boy & angkanabija & arrangkanabiji \\
person & aarrinja & arrkarrinjiya
\end{tabular}

When masculine and feminine forms share a common plural shape, the plural form may resemble, or be homonymous with the masculine shape:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& MASCULINE & FEMININE & PLURAL \\
friend & mamangkalya & mamangkalja & mamangkalya \\
white person & aalmara & aalmarinya & aalmareya \\
dingo & arroli & arrolinya & arroli \\
large shark & karnamarri & karnamaja & karnamarri \\
goat & naningkunja & naningkunya & naningkunjiya \\
Kangaroo (generic) & wara & warinya & wari \\
turtle/dugong & warli & warlinya & warli
\end{tabular}

Stem extension or reduplication is used with humans and dogs only; nearly all kinship nouns are of this type. Reduplicated plurals usually employ the suffix \(-y a\) as well. The phonological process
concerned is a Type 3 extension as described in \(\int 6.2 .6\) (ii), and further discussed in \(₫ 13.1 .1\); it is exemplified below:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ka-nangkurri & \(\operatorname{dog}\) & \(\mid\) ka-naa-nangkurri \(\mid\) \\
wanga-langu & child & \(\mid\) wanga-laa-langu-ya \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
kanaanangkurri & dogs \\
wangalaalunguyu & children
\end{tabular}
girl
adolescent girl
young man
sibling
boy
father
mother
mother's brother
father's sister
elder brother
elder sister
mother of many
SINGULAR
nyangkanya
mrnangkanya
aalkunya
ankurnda
awanja
irraaya
karraanya
kakaaya
bamaraanya
abiya
abeenya
karrernbarrinya

PLURAL
nyangkaangkaya
mrnaarnangkaya
aalkalkunyeya
ankaankurndeya
awaawanja
irraarreya
karraarreya
kakaakaya
bamaamareya
abaabiya
abaabiyeya
karraarrernbarriya
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& MASCULINE & FEMININE & PLURAL \\
old person & maaba & maabanya & maabaabaya \\
boy, girl & warrala & warralinya & warraarraleya \\
child & wangalang & wangalangunya & wangalaalunguyu \\
dog & kanangkurri & kanangkuja & kanaanangkurri
\end{tabular}

One noun at least inflects as if it were an adjective, with both prefixing and stem-reduplication:
young woman nyimbarijinya arrwaawarija

There are two nouns in the sample that have plural shapes with plural reference, but which may control only singular agreement:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
cake, ball & imarulya inja & imarumarulya inja \\
bill & kurrandu wunu & kurraarrandu wunu
\end{tabular}

The reduplicated shapes of these nouns refer to 'a pile (collectivity) of cakes' and 'a line of hills' respectively.

Worrorra nouns may overtly signal dual, unit augmented and collective number, but these morphologies also appear on adjectives, pronouns and verbs, and so are treated separately in Chapter Nine. Number- (eg dual-) marking morphemes attach to the plural shapes of nouns:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& SINGULAR & PLURAL & DUAL \\
girl & nyangkanya & nyangkaangkaya & nyangkaangkaarndinya \\
dog & kanangkurri & kanaanangkurri & kanaanangkurrkarndu \\
child & wangalang & wangalaalunguyu & wangalangaarndu or wangalaalangaarndu
\end{tabular}

Most non-human, non-edible nouns do not have plural forms, and do not trigger plural agreement. Paucal adjectives meaning 'several \(x\) ' or 'a number of \(x\) ' may be used with these nouns if clarification or emphasis call for their use ( \(\$ 6.2 .6\) (i), \(\S 7.3 .4\) ), otherwise number may be signalled contextually. More often however the language does not require an overt number choice to be made.

\subsection*{4.2.2 Collective and mass plural nouns}

Some nouns can only control plural agreement, that is to say that they have no singular agreement forms. These are collective nouns which constitute a fifth noun-class in Worrorra. There are three types of collective noun:
(a) Classes of food/consumables: mangarri 'vegetable food,' maya 'vegetable food,' burnarri 'meat,' jubakuya 'tobacco,' wari 'kangaroo meat' and aarlngarra 'food cooked in an earth oven'
(b) Dangerous things: barnmarnja 'magic, sorcery, medicine'
(c) Collective items: wurlarnbirri 'personal effects or belongings,' iwaawurleya 'teenage boys'

The first type refers to classes of food such as meat or vegetables, not to particular items of food. Another type refers to things that are too dangerous to be spoken about directly, but which prefer indirect reference, such as things to do with death or magic. And the third type refers to collective groups of things.

Although there are a wealth of words denoting age grades and stages of growth (angkanabija 'young teenage boy' and weerla 'teenage boy' are two examples); and although the singular nouns kawurla 'son' and kawurlanya 'daughter' have a shared plural shape kawaawurleya, the collective noun iwaawurleya 'teenage boys' has no singular shape that I know of.

The collective nouns burnarri 'meat' and wari 'kangaroo meat' have homophonous (plural) shapes meaning 'animals' and 'kangaroos' respectively; that is to say that the homonyms display differences in denotational sense. In these meanings these words do have semantically corresponding singular shapes (ie 'animal' and 'kangaroo'), but there are no singular shapes for these forms when used with collective meaning; that is to say that there are lexically-motivated paradigmatic gaps:
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
& SINGULAR & PLURAL/COLLECTIVE \\
animal & burnarra & burnarri \\
meat & - & burnarri \\
kangaroo & wara & wari \\
kangaroo meat & - & wari
\end{tabular}

A similar gap, though of a different type, occurs with barnmarnja 'sorcerer' and barnmarnja 'sorcery:'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
sorcerer & barnmarnja & barnmarnjiya \\
sorcery & - & barnmarnja
\end{tabular}

Some plural shapes signal not multiple instantiations of some item, but rather a relatively large amount of that item. This type marks amount rather than number, and is homophonous with plural morphology. Most mass plural nouns refer to valuable commodities:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& SINGULAR & MASS \\
honey & ngeenya & ngeeya \\
tobacco & jubakunya & jubakuya \\
grease & wunjawarra & ajawarre \\
piece of meat & iwileerri & arrwileerri \\
brideprice & embarri & arrembarri, ajembarri \\
group of people & belangkarra & belangkarraya
\end{tabular}

Although the feminine form jubakunya is known to modern Worrorra speakers, jubakuya is now used exclusively, and this lexeme has become in effect a collective noun.

\section*{Five: indicative mood, and basic verbal morphology}

This chapter is concerned with a description of the morphology and semantics of minimally-extended, fully-finite, active verb forms in canonical or characteristic usage; that is, with the inflexion of morphemes signalling core argument structure, tense and aspect. To achieve this, the formally and functionally unmarked indicative category will be taken as an exemplar. Indicative verb forms denote positive, factual assertions and questions in typical reference-and-predication discourse styles.

Worrorra finite verbs occur in four inflexional categories or form-classes, as follows:
(i) Indicative mood, described in this chapter,
(ii) Optative mood ( \(\$ 8.1 \& \$ 8.2\) ),
(iii) Counterfactual mood ( \(\$ 8.3 \& \$ 8.4\) ),
(iv) Subjunctive mood ( \(\$ 15\) ).

As well, a lexical category consisting of non-finite verb forms (preverbs) is described in \(₫ 11.2\).
Classes of verb-forms are defined by the shapes of their prefix clusters and by the shapes of their tense-marking morphemes, both of which are specific to each form-class. These formal distinctions encode a separate and specific semantics in each case, and in each case the entire formal and functional package will be referred to as a mood. Different modalities within each mood are brought into being by constraints on the occurrence of tense and aspect morphemes in order classes [9] and [13].

Indicatives are unmarked forms, while the other three moods are marked. Indicative forms are therefore simpler than the shapes of the other moods, and so indicative prefix clusters are employed in \(\S 5.3\) to illustrate the way grammatical relations are indexed. The prefix clusters that uniquely characterize indicative forms are set out in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 in \(\$ 5.3 .2\). The other forms signalling this mood are its tense markers, which appear in order-class [9] and are displayed in Tables 5.4 and 5.5 in §5.4.

\subsection*{5.1 The verb phrase}

A number of phrasal constituents are discernable below the level of the sentence, and in this section I will discuss some evidence supporting the notion of a verb phrase in Worrorra. The verb phrase is the projection of an inflecting verb, and constitutes a distinct predicative phrase type.

Verb phrases consist optionally of a negative operator (waa 'not' or kajirn(ba) 'unable') plus a preverb followed by an inflecting or classifying verb. In the phrase-types under consideration here, the only obligatory element is the phrasal syntactic head, the inflected form. A phrase-type involving preverbs only is discussed in \(\$ 11.2 .1\), but is not pertinent here. The optionally extended phrase is exemplified in (5.1):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.1a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Kajirnba joli \\
kajirnba joli \\
can't return \\
I can't go back.
\end{tabular} & banganin ba-nga=ni-n CFT-1 = be-NON.P \\
\hline (b) & Waa minjarl waa minjarl not eat S/ he didn't eat (it) & \begin{tabular}{l}
bungkenya \\
ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-nya \\
CFT-VCOMP-3=do-PAST
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (5.1a) the semantic load is carried by the preverb joli 'return;' and the classifier banganin, a form of \(=n u\) 'be,' carries inflexion for subject, tense and reality-status. In (5.1b) the preverb minjarl 'eat' carries the clause's semantic load while the classifier bungkenya, a form of \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do,' carries inflexion for subject, object, tense and reality-status. (Root morphemes are indicated by the use of an equals sign (=) to mark the left-hand morpheme boundary). That the negative operators are part of the verb phrase is signalled by their control over the shape of the verb: all verbs governed by these operators must have counterfactual form (they must contain the counterfactual morpheme ba- 'CFT,' see \(₫ 8.3\) ), and thus fall within the scope of the operators in question. Other material may intercede between these elements; either (i) between the negative operator and the rest of the phrase as in (5.2), where the adjective ngamurlooku 'I-well, healthy' comes between the operator kajirnba and the verb banganin;
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kajirnba & ngamurlooku & banganin \\
kajirnba & nga=murlooku & ba-nga=ni-n \\
NEG & 1=healthy & CFT-1=be-NON.P \\
I'm not well &
\end{tabular}

Or (ii) between the two elements of a complex predication, as in (5.3):
(5.3a) Kurdey maa kawarronerri.
kurdey maa ka-b \({ }^{\text {warr-a }}={ }^{b}\) wu-na-eerri
ask PROG 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST-PROG
They kept on asking him.
(b) Nanjan mana waay ngarraa kanuna.
nanjan mana waay ngarraa ka=nu-na
ladder 3 mDEF throw wrongly \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\)
He maliciously threw the ladder away.

In (5.3a) the adverb maa has aspectual (continuous/iterative) force with respect to a preceding preverb, in this case kurdey 'ask'. In (5.3b) the adverb ngarraa 'wrongly, maliciously' occurs between the preverb waay 'throw' and the classifier kanuna, another inflected form of \(=n u\) 'be.'

Adverbs may also occur before preverbs, as in (5.4):
(5.4) Wajulu ruluk kengkunaal.
wajulu ruluk ka=ya-ngku-na- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) waal
close move 3 a=go-EP-PAST-hither
He moved in closer.
Here the adverb wajulu 'close' precedes the preverb ruluke 'move' and the classifier, an inflected form of \(=y a-{ }^{k} w a a l\) 'come.' Otherwise, two preverbs may occur serially, as in (5.5):
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Kaarri maa } & \text { waa } & \text { wala } & \text { durr } & \text { bungkonya. } \\
\text { kaarri maa } & \text { waa } & \text { wala } & \text { durr } & \text { ba-kuN- } \varnothing={ }^{\text {b }} \text { wu-nya }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
in.vain \\
S/he wouldn't stop crying
\end{tabular}
(b) Mana we ngarrwaarndu kulunu.
mana we ngarr=bwa-kwarndu kulunu
3 mDEF lie 1 pin=fall-DU sleep
Let's lie down bere and go to sleep
In (5.5a) the operator waa 'not' is followed by the preverbs wala 'cry' and durr 'cease, stop'. The classifier is an inflected form of \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit.' In (5.5b) the preverb we 'lie down' occurs before the classifier, and another preverb, kulunu 'sleep,' occurs after the classifier, which is an inflected form of =bwa 'fall.' In this sentence the usually consecutive forms we kulunu 'lie down and go to sleep' are separated for discourse-pragmatic purposes: the postposition of kulunu indicates a purposive meaning in this case.

Adverbs are not the only kind of material that can intervene between a negative operator and the rest of its phrase; various kinds of objects may also occur there. This is exemplified in (5.6):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Waa & barndaya & binyengenyeerri & kaarri. \\
waa & barndaya & ba-nya=[ya-nga]-yinya-eerri & kaarri \\
not mainland & CFT-3f=[go-TNS]-PAST-PROG & 3pNEG \\
She didn't go up onto the land at all &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Waa & aambulkarndu & wunu, & waa & kuman \\
waa & \(\mathrm{a}={ }^{k}\) wambul- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu & wunu & waa & kuman \\
not & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) eye-DU & 3wDEF & not & close
\end{tabular}
bungkamenyaarndu.
ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-yinya- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
CFT-VCOMP-3= get-PAST-DU
Neither of them closed their eyes
In (5.6a) the object noun barndaya 'mainland' occurs between the negative operator and the verb binyengenyeerri. In (5.6b) waa 'not' is followed by the object noun aambulkarndu_wunu 'their (dual) eyes,' and also by the preverb kuman 'close, shut (eyes).' The classifying verb bungkamenyaarndu is an inflected form of \(=m a\) 'get.'

Instrumental arguments may also occur between the negative operator and the head verb. In (5.7) the noun kulum mana 'hot (cooking) sand,' functionally an oblique (instrumental) argument, has been granted derived preverb status and incorporated into a complex predicate meaning 'to burn with hot sand.' Here in predicate position it loses its nominal gender-marking morphology (-m) (cf. (5.9a) below):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mana & dambeem & kulu & ingkamangaarndu \\
mana & dambeem & kulu & i-Ø-ngka=ma-nga-kwarndu \\
3mDEF & place & burn & 3a-3-SJTV=get-PAST-DU
\end{tabular}

The place where they (dual) burned him with hot sand
The classifying verb ingkamangaarndu is another inflected form of \(=m a\) 'get.'

Complement clauses or their predicates may also occur in this position, after a negative operator and before the matrix verb itself, as in (5.8):
(5.8a) Waa kubajeerri bungkaajeenyanangkorri arrka wangalaalunguyu.

They didn't know that their children were doing it /
They didn't know what their children were doing.
In this complex sentence, both clauses are discontinuous. We will look at the matrix clause first:
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { (5.8b) Waa bungkaajeenyanangkorri } \\ \text { waa ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-yinya-nangkorri } \\ & \text { not CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-3pDAT } \\ & \text { They didn't know about them }\end{array}\)
The verb is an inflected form of \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do.' In the sense employed here it is a verbum dicendi operating as a verb of cognition, hence 'know.' The verb indexes two objects: the prefixed object \(k u N\) - 'sentential complement (VCOMP)' refers to the entire complement clause. The suffixed object -nangkorri '3pDAT' refers to the subject of the complement clause. The complement clause itself is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(5.8c)} & Kubajeerri & arrka & wangalaalunguyu \\
\hline & kuN-b \({ }_{\text {warr }}=\) yi-eerri & arrka & wangalaalunguyu \\
\hline & VCOMP-3p=do-PROG & 3 PNAR & children \\
\hline & The children are doing it & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Here the verb kubajeerri is another inflected form of \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do,' with arrka wangalaalunguyu 'the children' as its subject. The matrix's suffixed object index -nangkorri '3pDAT' is coreferential with the complement's subject. This sentence is discussed in more detail in \(\$ 14.1\) and \(\S 14.3 .4\).

Returning now to the three forms first proposed as constituting the verb phrase, that is, negative operator plus preverb and inflecting verb, it appears that all material intervening between any of these constituents must also be considered as part of the phrase. In summary, this material consists of (i) predicate adjectives, (ii) adverbs, (iii) object nouns and (iv) sentential complements.

Complement clauses in Worrorra constitute core object arguments of their matrix verb, as is intimated in the gloss and subsequent discussion of sentence example (5.8b) (see Chapter 14 for extended discussion). And as will be seen in \(\$ 11.5 .2\), many preverbs are core object arguments of their inflecting verbs, and it will be argued there that there is a class of complex predicate in Worrorra that constitutes an example of subordinate nexus in predicate linkage typology (Foley \& Van Valin 1984:249). So it should not be surprising to find that objects generally form part of the verb phrase in Worrorra. Nor should it be surprising that adverbs form part of verb phrases; as modifiers of verbs, adverbs are dependent upon verbs in Nichol's (1986) terms. Predicate adjectives themselves function as part of the predicate. Cross-linguistically, adjectives in predicate positions often take reduced nominal inflexion in accordance with their more verbal function:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
German: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
eine schöne Frau \\
die Frau ist schön \\
albint aljamiila \\
albint jamiila
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
a beautiful woman \\
the woman is beautiful \\
the beautiful girl
\end{tabular} \\
Arabic: & the girl is beautiful
\end{tabular}

In these examples the German adjective schön 'beautiful' loses feminine gender-inflexion ( \(-e\) ) in predicate position, and the Arabic adjective jamiila 'beautiful (fem)' loses definiteness marking (al-) under the same circumstances.

The structure of the Worrorra verb phrase is summarized in Figure 5.1. In this figure the constituent OBJ includes sentential complement clauses, and PV is 'preverb:'
```

$\mathrm{VP} \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}^{\prime},(\mathrm{ADV}),(\mathrm{ADJ}),(\mathrm{OBJ})$
$\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \quad \rightarrow$ (NEG), (PV), V

```

Figure 5.1: verb phrase structure
The preverb and the inflecting verb (V) taken together will be referred to as a 'complex predicate.' The inflecting verb functions normally to classify the predicate, as will be shown in Chapter 11. When an inflecting verb occurs on its own, that is in a simplex construction, it will be referred to simply as a 'verb.' Thus any form functioning as a classifier in complex constructions may also serve as a fully lexical verb in simplex constructions. As a lexical simplex verb, such a form will be endowed with its full semantic import. As a classifying verb that may bear properties of an auxiliary, however, the same form will be lexically 'bleached.' Compare the functions of the form \(={ }^{b}{ }^{p} w u\) 'hit' in (5.10a) and (b):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.10a) & Wunu wunu 3wDEF I bit the tre & \begin{tabular}{l}
nguwanu \\
nguwanu tree
\end{tabular} & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ona } \\
& \text { nga }=\text { bwu-na } \\
& \text { =hit-PAST }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Wunu wunu 3wDEF \\
I saw the tr
\end{tabular} & nguwanu nguwanu tree ree & \begin{tabular}{l}
mara \\
mara \\
see
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kungona } \\
& \text { kuN-nga=bwu-na } \\
& 3 \mathrm{w}-1=\text { hit-PAST }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (5.10a) the verb \(=^{b}{ }^{b} u\) 'hit' bears its full lexical-semantic load. In (5.10b) the lexical load is borne by the preverb mara 'see, find,' while the classifying form \(=^{b}\) wu 'hit' contributes only the grammar of transitivity to the predicate's semantics. The present chapter is concerned with simplex verbs.

\subsection*{5.2 Properties of verb roots}

Simplex verbs contain a lexical core or root morpheme that may not occur without inflexion, although some constructions may appear to involve something very like an uninflected root morpheme:
(5.11) Aaku maal.
aaku \(\quad \varnothing \mathrm{N}=m a-{ }^{-k}\) waal
water \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) get-hither
Get some water.

The celestial object aaku 'water' is indexed on the verb =ma 'take, get' by a prefixed zero morpheme in this instance, giving the appearance of a more or less uninflected root. However the amount of inflexion that a verb root may undergo is one of the typologically defining features of the language. The schematic layout of the Worrorra verb is shown below in Table 5.1. The schema illustrated here represents a string of grammatical signs the ordering of which is invariant. When a token of a clause constituent is indexed on its syntactic head, the verb or verb classifier, that constituent's marking is strictly ordered with respect to the marking of any other co-occurring constituent of the verb. All of the order classes shown here are filled by inflexional morphemes except for those hard on either side of the root morpheme, order classes [6] and [8], which are filled by derivational morphemes.

Table 5.1: verbal form-order classes
[1] Counterfactual
[2] Undergoer
[3] Subject
[4] Optative/Subjunctive
[5] Discourse deixis
[6] Augmenting
[7] ROOT
[8] Middle voice
[9] Tense
[10] Direction
[11] Non-subcategorized object
[12] Dual/unit augmented
[13] Aspect
[14] Collective
([15] Adverbial)
This chapter is concerned with basic finite verbal morphology only, as revealed by morphemes occurring in order-classes [2], [3], [6], [7], [9] and [13]. Subject- and object-indexing morphemes (classes [2] and [3]) are discussed in \$5.3. A derivational morphology occurring in order-class [6] is described in \(₫ 5.2 .2\). Morphemes signalling tense in order class ([9]) are described in \(\$ 5.4\), and aspectmarking in order class ([13]) is described in \(\$ 5.2 .1\).

Certain grammatical properties of verbs may be characterized as being properties inherent or localized in their root morphemes. The most compelling of these are their distributional and lexical properties. In distributional terms, as noted above, a subset of verbs ( 15 out of a total of about 100 in the database) have the ability to take part in complex constructions as verb classifiers. And by text count, as opposed to dictionary count, simplex verbs are relatively infrequent, the majority of verbal instantiations being in the form of complex predicates.

In lexical terms, simplex verbs may be intransitive or transitive. Transitive verbs may be further divided into those that select their objects freely, and a small subset that obligatorily take sentential complement clauses as objects, referred to here as verba dicendi. There are only four verba dicendi in the database, but they are frequent and important in the grammar. There are only 15 intransitive verbs in the database, including nine deponents but not including derived middle forms. The vast majority of verbs are transitive and the majority of intransitive verbal expressions are formed from preverbs combined with one or another of a set of four intransitive verb classifiers.

There are only three irregular verbs in Worrorra: =ya 'go,' =ya-kwal 'come' and kuN[]=yi 'do' (see Appendix 2). The root \(=y a\) has a surface-level word-final allomorph \(=y u\). The verb roots \(=y a\) and \(=y a-{ }^{-k} w a a l\) have suppletive plural-subject allomorphs \(=i\) and \(=i-k w a a l\) respectively.

\subsection*{5.2.1 Aspect}

As a nuclear-level operation, aspect, or the kind of internal structure an event has, is a property with scope over the verb root. Verbs in all form-classes may be inflected for continuous aspect in orderclass [13] by the morpheme -(y)eerri 'continuous/progressive.' This morpheme is opposed to \(\varnothing\) marking for punctual or non-continuous (unmarked) aspect. The ordering contradicts Foley \& Van Valin's prediction \((1984: 210,392)\) that aspect marking, as a nuclear-level operation, will always occur closer to the verb root than a peripheral-level tense marker. In Worrorra the verbal aspect marker in order-class [13] is outside the tense marker in order-class [9]. Again phonology is responsible for this situation. Primary stress is preferentially placed on a penultimate syllable and upon a long vowel. The continuous aspect morpheme is thus custom-made, as it were, to appear at the end of a word, where it nearly always takes primary stress on its long vowel, which in this position is also penultimate. Morphemes appear only quite rarely to the right of order-class [13] (see examples 8.31c in \(₫ 8.4 .3\) and
examples in \(\S 9.2 \& \llbracket 9.6 .2\) ), so that the continuous aspect morpheme is at the end of its verb in most instances.

As described in Chapter Ten, aspect may also be marked by enclitics attached to preverbs and to verbs in order-class [15]: -je/-ji 'again' and -biiji repeatedly' ( \(\$ 10.1 .1\) (iii)). A number of important adverbs also signal aspect, and these are discussed in \(\$ 10.1\) as well.

Verb forms are recognizable both by the general characteristics of the morphological classes to which they belong, as well as by specific tense- and aspect-marking morphologies. These morphemes typically encode more than tense and aspect only; in different combinations they usually conspire to signal other meanings as well (cf Lyons 1977:682). So the occurrence of the progressive marker -(y)eerri on Worrorra verbs controls other functions apart from aspect: in indicative mood its absence signals aorist forms ( \(\$ 5.4 .5\) ), and in counterfactual mood its presence or absence decides whether certain speech acts are monitive or prohibitive (\$8.5.2).

\subsection*{5.2.2 Semantic augmentation}

Verbs roots with extended meanings are derived from normal roots by a phonological process manifested in order-class [6], and glossed AUG. This process is underlyingly a stylized kind of reduplication in which the first consonant of the root morpheme is copied and preposed, and then followed by \(/ \mathrm{e} /\). It is essentially the same, but not quite as involved, as the kinds of reduplication undergone by adjectives and derived nouns, as described in \(\S 6.2 .6\) (ii), and may be formalized as:
\[
\varnothing \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e} / \ldots=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \ldots
\]

So, for example, the root morpheme =ninja 'look at' has its first consonant ( \(n\) ) copied and preposed: \(\mid-\mathrm{n}=\) ninja \(\mid\), and then followed by \(e\) : /-ne=ninja/. In this way new root morphemes are derived which may be used, for example, as in the negative imperative forms shown in (5.12) (see \(₫ 8.5 .2\) ):
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { (5.12a) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Barraneninjerri } \\ \text { ba-ka-rra-ne=ninja-eerri } \\ \text { CFT-3a-2p-AUG=look.at-PROG } \\ \text { Don't stare at the moon }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { kunyila } \\ \text { kunyila } \\ \text { moon }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { aaya } \\ \text { aaya } \\ \text { 3aREF }\end{array}\right\}\)

Although it is clear in these forms that phonological extensions of their root morphemes signal extensions of their meanings, it is difficult to be precise about the kinds of semantic extensions involved. As derived forms, the extended or augmented roots probably have lexically specific and possibly quite idiosyncratic meanings. In the database, forms of the two roots = ninja 'look at' and \(=\) murrka 'SUBJ go to OBJ; go (telic)' make up over half of the instances of semantic augmentation. The form \(-n e=n i n j a\) seems to mean something like 'SUBJ looks intently at, stares at OBJ,' and -me=murrka seems to mean 'SUBJ goes to OBJ with some particular purpose in mind.' Note the examples of this form in (5.13):
(5.13a) Kamemurrkarlaal
ka-Ø-me=murrka-rla-kwaal
3a-3-AUG=go.to-PAST-hither
He came up to him
(b) Nyimbarrbemurrkarla
nyiN-b warr-me=murrka-rla
3f-3p-AUG=go.to-PAST
They all ran out to see her
(c)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Yarriyarriy } & \text { kawanaal } & \text { nyimnyamemurrkarlangurru } \\ \text { yarriy-yarriy } & \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{b} \text { wa-na-k waal } & \text { nyiN- } \varnothing \text {-mnya-me==murrka-rla-ngurru } \\ \text { descend-REDUP } & 3 \mathrm{a}=\text { fall-TNS-hither } & \text { 3f-3-DD-AUG=go.to-PAST-away } \\ \text { He scrambled down (the hill) and ran over to her }\end{array}\)
In (5.13a) one man is going to confront another with an accusation, and in (5.13b) the people involved have been told that the object is a spirit, and are certainly curious to see her. Example (5.13c) describes a reunion between a husband and wife. It would seem, then, that semantic augmentation involves actor intensity or concentration with respect to an event's motivation, ie that an actor performs an action for a particular purpose, and with particular intensity. This is borne out by other examples; reconsider (5.12b), for instance, where the action (refusal to supply honey) is motivated by feelings of rage, as part-punishment for a man's crime. And in (5.12c) the verb =nguyo 'abuse verbally, tell off' becomes -nge=nguyo 'swear at, revile' under augmentation. Some other examples are shown in (5.14):
(5.14a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Abiyarnanya & ajaaja & nganunerri, & waa \\
abiya-rnanya & ajaaja & nga=nu-na-eerri & waa \\
el.br-LOC & dwell & 1=be-PAST-PROG & not
\end{tabular}
```

bangayeyangenyeerri kaarri
ba-nga-ye-[ya-nga]-yinya-eerri kaarri
CFT-1-AUG-[go-TNS]-PAST-PROG 3pNEG
I stayed at my brother's place, I didn't use to go out walking around

```
(b)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Marndum & mamnyawewuna, & nyingulum & blaablaai \\
\hline marndum &  & nyiN=ngulum & blaai-blaai \\
\hline stomach & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3-\mathrm{DD}-\mathrm{AUG}=\) hit-PAST & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) stomach & pound-pound \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mamnyona.
ma- - - mnya=bwu-na
3m-3-DD=hit-PAST
She pounded her stomach, she kept on pounding her stomach.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mangarri & anja & kaanyarrbemrangerri, & garden-ngarri & anja. \\
mangarri & anja & kaarr-nyarr-me=mra-nga-eerri & garden-ngarri & anja \\
food & 3pDEF & 3p-1px-AUG=gather-PAST-PROG & garden-REL & 3pDEF \\
We could always get food out of the garden. & &
\end{tabular}

In (5.14a) the speaker is emphasizing her chastity, in not engaging in an act that is regarded in Worrorra culture as one in which women supposedly promenade to attract men's attention. In using an augmented form, the speaker is drawing attention to the commonly assumed motivation behind a woman's act of walking around or promenading. Example (5.14b) is somewhat mysterious, as it occurs in a story in which a female crocodile pounds her stomach in an act of mourning for the loss of someone dear to her. The point of the example is the event's motivation, and the apparent synonymity of the augmented form, \(-^{-{ }^{-} w e}={ }^{b} w u\), with another verb, the reduplicated preverb blaablaai 'pound,' more specific than the unaugmented shape \(=^{b}\) wu 'hit.' Example (5.14c) is more idiosyncratic; the augmented shape \(-m e=m r a\) 'gather' appears to mean 'gather (food) diligently, conscientiously.' Note also the idiomatic expression in (5.15), where the inalienable noun =ngulum mana 'stomach' has a secondary sense meaning, 'feelings: \({ }^{37}\)
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Awa } & \text { ingulum } & \text { maadedija }  \tag{5.15}\\
\text { awa } & \mathrm{i}=\text { ngulum } & \text { ma-bwarr-ne=ninja } \\
\text { 3aNAR } & \text { 3a=stomach } & 3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}-\text {-AUG=look.at }
\end{array}
\]

They occupied his thoughts ('they stare at his stomach (feelings),' ie, he was unhappy on account of them).
A second type of semantic augmentation in Worrorra involves a more transparent kind of stemreduplication, in which the first two syllables of a verb root are copied and preposed. This type is quite rare in the database, and I am unable to say very much about its semantics (but see example 17.23 b in \(\S 17.3 .1\) and discussion there); three instances are offered in (5.16):
(5.16a =17.23b)

Kamarlamarlaarndorna wunu.
ka- -marla=marlaarndo-rna wunu
3a-3-REDUP=follow-PAST 3wDEF
She followed along after him.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mara & kubajonya & wunu & karrku \\
mara & kuN-b warr-nya=bwu-nya & wunu & karrku \\
see & 3w-3p-EP=hit-HORT & 3wDEF & rock
\end{tabular}
ingkaarrkarnaarnamalunerri.
i-ngka- \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) warr- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warna=\({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warnamalu-na-eerri
3a-SJTV-3p-REDUP=shoot-PAST-PROG
Let them see all the stones that they threw at him.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Angujakunya & karrolkolkunerri & aalmara & aaya? \\
anguja-k wunya & ka-rra-kwulku=kwulkuna-eerri & aalmara & aaya \\
what-PURP & \(3 a-2 p-r e d u p=\) fear-PROG & European & 3aREF
\end{tabular}

Why are you all so afraid of that white man?
And occasionally an entire verb root with prefixes may be repeated in this manner, as in (5.17):

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{37}\) In Worrorra the stomach (=nguluma mana, marnduma mana) is the main metaphor of emotion, just as the heart is in English. However note that in English the stomach is used as a metaphor for one particular emotion, namely courage; as in 'he has no stomach for it,' and 'guts' used as a euphemism for 'courage,' and 'shit oneself' (evacuation of stomach) as a euphemism for the failure of courage. This metaphor is firmly grounded in physiology (cf Grossman 1995:70). Note also its use in Shakespeare's Richard II, Act I, Sc 1: ‘High-stomach'd are they both, and full of ire.'
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{(5.17a)} \\
\hline Mee maa & jajarrwa & nyinunyinuna & nyangka & wala \\
\hline mee maa & jajarrwa & nyiN=nu-nyiN=nu-na & nyangka & wala \\
\hline just & shiver & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{be}-3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\) & 3fNAR & cry \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{She just began to tremble and cry} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{(b)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Arnawurnkarnawurnka, w} & unya & ankangurru \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) rnawurnka-arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) rnawurnka} & unya & arr-n- \(\emptyset={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wangurru \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{px-INV-3/2=lead-px-INV-3/2=lead k'roor} & PURP & px-INV-3/2=carry \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Take them away with you, take them out hunting for kangaroos} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (5.17a) the classifier \(=n u\) 'be' has scope over both the preverbs jajarrwa 'shiver' and wala 'cry,' although the latter is postposed to the inflecting verb upon which it depends ( \(\$ 11.2 .2\) ). In ( 5.17 b ) the verbs are imperative forms ( \(\$ 8.5 .3\) ), the verb =rnawurnka meaning 'take, lead, look after,' depending upon context. Again, I am unable to say much about the semantic motivation for these forms, as they are rare in the database.

\subsection*{5.3 Argument indexation}

One or two predicate arguments are indexed by appositional verbal prefixes occurring in order-classes [2] and [3]. One of these always codes subject grammatical function and the second when it occurs codes object grammatical function. Verbs are lexically subcategorized into those that index one and only one predicate argument (intransitive verbs), and those that are required to index two arguments (transitive verbs). The indexation of subjects and objects in prefix position is obligatory on all verbs, whether or not the NPs to which they refer are overtly present in the discourse environment. All ten agreement classes ( \(\$ 4.1\) ) are coded by subject- and object-marking prefixes; that is to say that NPindexing prefixes on verbs are portmanteau forms that code both grammatical function and agreement class in all situations where they occur.

As transitive verbal prefix-clusters represent 53 different argument combinations, a shorthand system will be used to refer to their components, without which discussion of these kinds of phenomena in a language such as Worrorra is awkward, to say the least. The system employed here is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
1 & first person & a & masculine & in & inclusive \\
2 & second person & f & feminine & x & exclusive \\
3 & third person & w & celestial & & \\
p & plural & m & terrestrial & &
\end{tabular}

Where number is not marked by p 'plural', reference is to singular by default. In transitive combinations the actor is listed first, followed by an arrow ( \(>\) ) to indicate direction of transitivity, then an undergoer listing. So for instance \(2>3\) a means 'a second person singular actor acting upon a third person singular masculine undergoer', ie 'you did it to him,' and 1 pin \(>3\) p means 'a first person plural inclusive actor acting upon a third person plural undergoer,' ie 'we did it to them,' and so on.

\subsection*{5.3.1 Grammatical relations}

Relations between predicate arguments in Australian languages are frequently less than straightforward (see, eg, Nordlinger 2011 on Murrinh-Patha, another Arafuran language; and to appear). I will take as a starting point the notion of grammatical subject, defined in the first instance pragmatically as the term upon which some other expression is predicated.

In order to gain an appreciation of the way in which predicate arguments are coded in Worrorra, we will need to look at the formal marking of grammatical relations in more detail, starting with the sentences presented below in (5.18). Following Dixon (1979) and Foley \& Van Valin (1984), grammatical functions will be referred to by the mnemonics \(S\) (intransitive subject), A (agent/actor/transitive subject), U (undergoer/transitive object) and DAT (non-subcategorized object):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Wangayinya & nyimbana \\
wangayinya & nyiN=b \(=\) wa-na \\
woman & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) fall-TNS \\
A woman fell &
\end{tabular}
(b) Anja eeja kaarrwana
anja eeja kaarr=bwa-na
3 pDEF men \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) fall-TNS
The men fell
(c) Anja eeja nyimbarrburrkarla wangayinya
anja eeja nyiN-bwarr=murrka-rla wangayinya
3pDEF men 3f-3p=go.to-PAST woman
The men went to a woman
(d) Anja eeja wangayinya kaanmurrkarla
anja eeja wangayinya kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla
3pDEF men woman 3p-INV-3=go.to-PAST
\(A\) woman went to the men

In these sentences, word order does not reflect grammatical relations (subject or object) of NPs. Note also that the noun phrases themselves do not bear any indications of case marking. Instead, the coding of grammatical relations is accomplished by morphological markers on the verbs.

In (5.18a) the noun wangayinya 'woman' is indexed (cross-referenced) on the verb \(=^{\text {b }}\) wa 'fall' by the morpheme |nyiN- \(\mid\) (glossed ' 3 f ' or 'third person singular feminine'), and in (5.18b) the noun phrase anja eeja 'the men' is indexed by the morpheme |kaarr-| (glossed '3p' or 'third person plural') on the same verb. In these intransitive sentences the NPs are in S grammatical function.

In (5.18c \& d) the verb is transitive (=murrka 'SUBJ go to OBJ'). In (5.18c) the shape |nyiN-| occurs again, and again it indexes wangayinya 'woman.' And in (5.18d) the shape |kaarr| occurs again, indexing anja eeja 'the men.' Notice however that in these sentences, the noun phrases indexed by |nyiN-| and | kaarr-| are in U grammatical function. In verb morphology so far, then, we can note that the indices of NPs in S and U grammatical functions receive the same formal marking, ie that the morphemes that index transitive objects have the same shape as those that index intransitive subjects, or put briefly, that \(\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{U}\).

Turning again to (5.18c), we can note that the subject of this sentence, anja eeja 'the men,' is also indexed upon the verb, this time by the morpheme \(\mid-\) bwarr- \(\mid\). In ( 5.18 d ), the subject wangayinya 'woman,' receives zero-marking by way of indexation, and a morpheme /-n-/ is also present, between the morphemes that index object and subject grammatical functions. Now it is clear that the indices of NPs in A grammatical function receive different formal markers from those in S and U functions, and that Worrorra has an ergative-absolutive system of verbal agreement-marking.

This is not, of course, the whole story. What happens, for instance, when actor and undergoer are of the same agreement class, for instance a man (eeja inja) and a dog (kanangkurri inja)? How does the language distinguish subjects from objects in these circumstances? The answer is, that in signalling grammatical relations, an extensive system of pragmatic reference is employed, which operates in conjunction with the morphological coding of argument structures on verbs. This pragmatic system of argument coding is examined in Chapter 17. Note as well that in elicitation Worrorra speakers use

SVO word order to distinguish the grammatical functions of denotata of the same agreement class, as in (5.19):


Although displaying ergative-absolutive alignment in verbal prefixes, a subject grammatical relation in Worrorra, subsuming S and A functions, is warranted on a number of grounds. In typical acts of predication, subjects correspond to terms in predicate logic (see Chapter 17): that is to say that the subject relation signals a particular and indispensable pragmatic status with which some predicate argument is associated. Subject arguments prototypically occupy the semantic roles of 'actor' or 'agent,' that is, as the volitional initiators, animate experiencers, performers and/or controllers of events and actions. These observations are as pertinent to Worrorra as they are cross-linguistically. \({ }^{38}\)

The clearest syntactic evidence for subjecthood is found in the grammatical relations that hold between complement clauses and their matrices (see Chapter 14). Complement clauses may be classified as having \(S\) or A arguments with references that are either (i) the same as, or (ii) different from, that of the A argument of their matrix. While different-subject complement clauses are not constrained with respect to the indexation of their arguments, same-subject complement clauses obligatorily encode their S or A arguments as first person singular. This is the case whether the complement-clause verb is intransitive, with a single \(S\) argument, or transitive, with an A argument. The grammar treats \(S\) and \(A\) arguments alike in this context, encoding both types as first person singular. \({ }^{39}\) Without the notion of a subject grammatical relation in Worrorra, important syntactic generalizations having to do with the way in which complement clauses are embedded within their matrices would be lost.

As well, a subject relation uniting \(S\) and \(A\) functions is signalled by anaphor configuration, as is described in §17.4.

The object relation is, however, more complicated. Object arguments fulfil a variety of semantic roles such as 'patient', 'theme,' 'goal', 'utterance', 'recipient', 'deprivee,' 'event,' 'source' etc. As well as by way of prefixes in order-class [2], objects may also be indexed on verbs as suffixes in order-class [11]. In all verbs, material appears in order-class [11] by semantic warrant, that is to say that no assignment rules seem to operate with respect to this position, which is available for the indexation of non-subject arguments as required by the pragmatics of particular predications. In other words, this order class is filled only 'optionally.' In a transitive verb, the prefixed object position is filled obligatorily, even in object-demoting constructions ( \(\$ 11.5 .2\) ). For this reason, the prefixed object position is a privileged one: in transitive verbs only one argument may be indexed there, and one argument must be indexed there. What we have, then, is an unequivocal subject relation, and a formal indication of a privileged object relation, for which all non-subject arguments of a verb compete.

It is likely, then, firstly that there is some criterion or criteria operating to regulate competition for prefixed-object indexation, and secondly, that such a criterion has a semantic basis (cf Fillmore 1968). In considering this issue more widely, other authors have proposed a hierarchy of semantic roles with general application (see eg Allen 1995:122 and references there). The assumption underlying this position is that, of all the arguments a predicate may have, one and only one will be selected to enter into a subject grammatical relation with it, and one and only one will be selected for entry into direct

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{38}\) Some experiencer constructions are exceptions to this generalization; see \(\S 12.3\).
\({ }^{39}\) Except when the matrix subject is first person plural, in which case same-subject complements also encode their subject arguments as first person plural.
}
object relation. In selecting among arguments as candidates for occupancy of these privileged grammatical relations, language appeals to the semantic roles that candidate-arguments fill. Semantic roles in turn are cross-linguistically ordered as to whether they are more naturally suited to occurring as subjects or as objects. When all the arguments of a particular predicate are considered, the one with the most subject-like semantic role, ie the argument whose referent typically initiates and controls an event, achieves what Foley \& Van Valin (1984) describe as semantic macrorole status as actor, and enters into subject grammatical relation with its predicate. And the one with the most object-like semantic role is awarded a macrorole status that, following Bloomfield (1933:165), Foley \& Van Valin refer to as undergoer, and enters into 'direct' object grammatical relation with its predicate. In effect, verbal arguments compete for access to macrorole status according to the semantic roles that they fill in any particular utterance.

This framework has obvious potential to account for the distinction in Worrorra between those non-subject arguments indexed by verbal prefixes and those indexed by verbal suffixes. Prefixed arguments are privileged in being obligatory, and we can guess at this stage that in general, their privileged morphological status probably signals a privileged semantic status as macroroles. Henceforth, then, the NP in subject grammatical relation to a verb will be referred to by its relational label as subject, by its semantic-role label, or by its semantic macrorole label as actor. Prefixed objects will be referred to by their macrorole label as undergoers (mnemonically abbreviated to U ) to distinguish them from other object arguments which receive indexation as suffixes, or which receive no indexation at all.

One other point may be noted. Not only do \(S\) and \(U\) indices (marking absolutive agreement) have the same shape, but they also occur at the front of the verb, that is to say that the order of NPindexing morphemes prefixed to transitive verbs is U-A. In order to keep the glosses in sentence examples as uncluttered as possible, the grammatical functions of prefixed NP indices will not be indicated, and the reader should be aware that where only one index occurs (as in (5.18a \& b)) it will be in \(S\) function, and where two occur (as in (5.18c \& d)) they will be ordered in U-A configuration. This convention may seem a little confusing at first, but its purpose is to make sentence glosses more legible in the long run.

In the rest of this section I will attempt to clarify the Worrorra system of verbal NP indexation and agreement, as it is evidenced in the sentences in (5.18) and in other sentences like them. Here we will be concerned with the formal apparatus of argument indexation, and more or less exclusively with prefixed NP indices, as these feed the morphophonemic processes which define form classes, and which in turn code semantic properties on predicates.

\subsection*{5.3.2 Alignment}

Argument indices display two quite separate and independent systems of alignment, one ergative and the other inverse/accusative. Morphemes referring to the language's ten agreement classes thus occur in four order-classes, as in Table 5.2:

Table 5.2: Series-1 NP indices
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& \(\mathrm{S}[2 / 3]\) & \(\mathrm{U}[2]\) & A [3] & DAT [11] \\
1 & nga- & nga-n- & nga- & -kwara \\
2 & ngun- & ngun- & -nja- & -nu \\
3 a & ka- & ka- & \(-Ø-\) & -nangka \\
3 f & nyiN- & nyiN- & \(-\varnothing-\) & -nangka \\
3 w & kuN- & kuN- & \(-Ø-\) & -nangka \\
3 m & ma- & ma- & \(-Ø-\) & -nangka \\
1 pin & ngarr- & ngarr- & ngarr- & -ngarri \\
1 px & arr- & a-n- & -nyarr- & -nyarri \\
2 p & nyirr- & nyi-n- & -rra- & -noorri \\
3 p & kaarr- & kaa-(n)- & - -b warr- & -nangkorri
\end{tabular}

Worrorra employs two sets of NP indices, one fully inflexional (above) and another doubling as a set of derivational prefixes (see Chapter 6). I will refer to these sets as series -1 and series -2 respectively. Series-2 forms will be described in Chapter 8.

The S order-class indexes the subjects of intransitive verbs, and occurs as prefixed morphemes in position [2/3]. The \(U\) class indexes the undergoers of transitive verbs and occurs as prefixed morphemes in position [2]. The A class indexes the subjects of transitive verbs and occurs as prefixed morphemes in position [3]. The class of non-subcategorized object indices (glossed DAT) may occur on both transitive and intransitive verbs as suffixed morphemes in position [11] (see Chapter 13). This 'indirect object' marking appears on both heads and dependents in possessive phrases, as well as marking predicate objects of all kinds, outranked for undergoer status.

The form nyiN- has a variant nyaN- that may appear when the assimilatory nasal finds expression as \(/ \mathrm{ng} /\). Vowel harmony may upon occasion produce the shape \(n y u N\). The underlying form of this morpheme is probably \(\mid\) ny \(\mathrm{VN}-\mid\), where \(|\mathrm{V}|\) represents some short vowel. Also note that the second person prefix indices are different syllables of the second person free pronouns: ngun-, -nja- cf ngunju 'you singular' and nyirr, -rra- cf nyirri 'you plural.'

Worrorra distinguishes five different number categories. Singular and plural are the only two number categories signalled by prefixed argument indexation, and denote grammatical number in a conventional sense. The dual number category and two morphemes signalling trial and paucal number are indexed upon verbs as suffixes in positions [12] and [14], and are described in Chapter Nine.

Two vertical syncretisms involve the third person singular actor indices (all represented by zero morphs), and the third person singular non-subcategorized object indices, which are all -nangka. \({ }^{40}\) These constitute the only morphological cues defining the category 'third person singular' as a subset of agreement classes also definable by gender partitioning (\$4.1). Gender is only registered by indices in third person singular absolutive agreement ( S and U functions); it is not registered by plural or agent indices.

It should be pointed out that with reference to the prefixed \(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{U}\) and A forms only, patterns of syncretism produce several 'splits' in the agreement paradigm (Silverstein 1976a), as displayed in Figure 5.2:
1 accusative alignment
1pin complete syncretism - no alignment
\(1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}, 3 \mathrm{p}\) no syncretism - accusative-ergative overlap
2,3 ergative alignment
1
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
1 pin & 1 px & 2 p & 3 p & 2
\end{tabular}

\[
\{\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}\}
\]

Figure 5.2: split alignment system
The type of splits observed are brought about through the operation of two independent verbal agreement systems. NP indices signal grammatical relations by way of no less than three independent coding systems, resulting in a double redundancy:
\({ }^{40} 3\) sg A arguments are not claimed to have null-anaphoric coding: rather, I will use the symbol \(\varnothing\) as a placeholder in glosses, again to make them easier to read.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ORDER: } & \text { U-A configuration } \\
\text { FORM 1: } & \text { ergative alignment } \\
\text { FORM 2: } & \text { inverse/accusative alignment }
\end{array}
\]

Any one of these would suffice on its own to code grammatical relations.
This system gives evidence of a hierarchy of grammatical feature-clusters as displayed in Figure 5.3:
\[
[+\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{NUM}]>[+\mathrm{NUM}]>[-\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{NUM}]
\]

Figure 5.3: NP feature hierarchy
This hierarchy is one of natural agency, with natural actors or agents on the left receiving accusative marking when in an 'unnatural' grammatical relation (undergoer-hood); and natural patients on the right receiving ergative marking when in an 'unnatural' grammatical relation (agency). Note that this hierarchy describes the system of verbal agreement generally, and is quite different from the specifically inverse/accusative hierarchy displayed in Figure 5.4 below, particularly in the way it treats second person indices.

In order to examine these two alignment systems as they apply in Worrorra it is necessary to observe the underlying shapes of prefix clusters before the operation of morphophonemic rules.

In indicative intransitive verbs the \(S\) indices displayed in Table 5.2 are prefixed to the verb root in a straightforward manner, subject only to phonological constraints at morpheme boundaries as described in \(\$ 3.2\). The morpheme boundary to the left of a verb root is a phonologically complex one, but not opaque to analysis. Prefix clusters in front of transitive verb roots involve a number of morphosyntactic processes as well as some phonological complexity. These clusters are displayed in Table 5.3, along with the morphemes involved underlyingly in their construction and with notes on the morphological processes involved in their derivation (numbers refer to the pertinent phonological rules (\$3)).

Table 5.3: transitive prefix-clusters: indicative mood
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1>2\) & ngun- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)-Ø| & INV, neutralization \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kanga- & \(\mid\) ka-nga & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyanga- & | nyaN-nga | & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kunga- & \(\mid\) kuN-nga| & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manga & |ma-nga | & \\
\hline \(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyin- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-Ø| & 15, INV, neutralization \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanga- & \(\mid\) kaarr-nga & 15 \\
\hline \(2>1\) & jan- & | jan| & portmanteau \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kanja- & | ka-nja| & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinja- & \(\mid\) nyiN-nja| & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kunja- & \(\mid \mathrm{kuN}-\mathrm{nja}\) | & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manja- & |ma-nja| & \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & kaanja- & \(\mid\) kaarr-nja & 15, neutralization \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanja- & \(\mid\) kaarr-nja | & 15 \\
\hline \(3>1\) & ngan- & \(\mid\) nga-n- \({ }^{\text {| }}\) & INV \\
\hline \(3>2\) & ngun- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)-Ø| & INV \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ka & | ka-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyiN- & \(\mid\) nyiN-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kuN- & \(\mid \mathrm{kuN}\)-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & ma- & | ma-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & ngarr- & | ngarr-Ø| & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & an- & \(\mid\) arr-n-Ø| & 15, INV \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyin- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-Ø| & 15, INV \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaan- & | kaarr-n-Ø| & 15, INV \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & karr- & | ka-ngarr| & 22 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarr- & | nyaN-ngarr| & 22 \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3\) w & warr- & | \({ }^{\text {w w }}\) ( \({ }^{\text {d }}\)-ngarr | & 6 \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marr- & | ma-ngarr| & 22 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaangarr- & | kaarr-ngarr | & 15 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunbarr- & | ngun-(n)-bwarr | & INV, neutralization \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kerr- & | ka-nyarr| & 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerr- & | nyaN-nyarr| & 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kunyarr- & | kuN-nyarr| & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merr- & |ma-nyarr| & 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarr- & | nyirr-n-bwarr| & 15, INV, neutralization \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanyarr- & | kaarr-nyarr | & 15 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & jarra- & | jan-rra| & 14, portmanteau \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & karra- & | ka-rra| & \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyirra- & \(\mid\) nyiN-rra| & 14 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kurra- & | kuN-rra| & 14 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marra- & | ma-rra| & \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & kaarra- & | kaarr-rra| & neutralization \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaarra- & | kaarr-rra| & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & nganbarr- & | nga-n-bwarr & INV \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & ngunbarr- & | ngun-(n) -bwarr & INV \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kawarra- & \(\mid \mathrm{ka}\)-bwarr-a & 26 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyimbarr- & | nyiN-bwarr \(^{\text {d }}\) & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kubarr- & | kuN-bwarr| & NT hardening \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & maarr- & | ma-bwarr| & 23 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & ngarrbarr- & | ngarr-bwarr \(^{\text {b }}\) & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anbarr- & | arr-n-bwarr| & 15, INV \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarr- & | nyirr-n-bwarr| & 15, INV \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanbarr- & | kaarr-n-bwarr | & 15, INV \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.3.3 Morphophonological processes}

A number of phonological processes occur in these clusters that are not found elsewhere. Discussion of the inverse-hierarchy morpheme \(-n\) - is kept for the following section.

\subsection*{5.3.3 (i) trill deletion}

The most obvious process is the deletion of the trill /rr/ before nasals (rule 15). This occurs in every cluster involving the \(1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}\) and 3 p morphemes in undergoer function, such that these morphemes could be argued to have underlying \(U\)-function forms \(|a-|\), |nyi- \(\mid\) and \(\mid\) kaa- \(\mid\) respectively, instead of \(\mid\) arr- \(\mid\), |nyirr- \(\mid\) and |kaarr- \(\mid\) as proposed here. A more efficient description relies on a phonological explanation of the surface shapes of these morphemes for the following reasons: a surface series-2 3p shape /a-/ occurs in S function in intransitive verbs before the subjunctive morpheme -nglea- in shapes such as angkenga 'when they went' |arr-ngka=ya-nga| [3p-SJTV=go-TNS]. This shape involves the series-2 3 p absolutive prefix arr-, which also indexes the 1 px function in series- 2 , so that it appears on the 1 px intransitive subject verb form in the same shape: arrkunyenga 'when we (exc) went' \(\mid\) arr-
ngun=ya-nga \(\mid\) [1px-SJTV=go-TNS]. Here the different shape of the subjunctive morpheme (-ngun- as opposed to -ngka-) could be said to reveal the subject-indexing morpheme in its full form. It could nevertheless be argued that series-2 really has a separate shape for each function, /arr-/ for 1 px and /a-/ for 3p, but this would not be borne out by the prefixes of the optative form-class, which also employs series-2 indices; for example arreyu means both 'they will go' and 'we (exc) will go' \(\mid\) arr-a=ya \([1 \mathrm{px} / 3 \mathrm{p}-\) OPT \(=\mathrm{go}\) ]. The only other explanation is that the 3 p morpheme takes on a different form (/a/) in series- 2 prefixes when used upon intransitive verbs marked with the subjunctive morpheme, but then we have to ask for the reason why this should be so. A phonological explanation involving the incompatibility of the shapes /arr/ and /ngka/ is the most natural, since such incompatibility is elsewhere attested by hardening rule 11, which if applied here would produce \(\mid\) arr + ngka \(\mid>\) */adkka/ \(>* / a k a /\). If phonology can in this manner explain the shape of angkenga and similar verb forms by a rule that deletes \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) before nasals in series -2 prefix clusters, then the rule is available for, and could be expected to apply to series-1 prefix clusters where the same phonological conditions pertain. The shapes /a-/,/nyi-/ and /kaa-/ all occur before nasals except when followed by the 2 p transitive subject morpheme -rra-, in which instance the shapes of the undergoer morphemes in question are as likely to end in \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) as not.

It is now possible to observe the underlying shapes of the \(1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}\) and 3 p morphemes in U function:
```

1px |arr-n|>/a-n-/
2p | nyirr-n| > /nyi-n-/
3p | kaarr-n | > /kaa-(n)-/

```

It is apparent that the underlying shapes of these morphemes match those of the equivalent Sfunction forms shown in Table 5.1. When we exclude \(-n\) - from the first person singular \(U\) prefix as well, we are left with a paradigm of the U order-class that exactly matches that of the S class. Now the 1 index exhibits complete syncretism in all prefixed order-classes, and in this it is comparable to the 1 pin index. And the rest of the paradigm now shows a thoroughly ergative prefix morphology, with \(\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{U}\) shapes opposed to A .

\section*{5.3 .3 (ii) nasal deletion}

The opposite situation to trill deletion rule 15 also occurs; that is, nasal deletion rule 14 deletes nasal consonants when they occur before the trill /rr/ in the \(2 \mathrm{p} A\) index \(-r r a\)-. This process is likewise confined to verbal prefix clusters and can be observed in \(2 p>1,2 p>3 f\) and \(2 p>3 w\) :
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f} & \text { Nyirramurrkarla }  \tag{5.20}\\
& \text { nyiN-rra=murrka-rla } \\
& 3 \mathrm{f}-2 \mathrm{p}=\text { go.to-PAST } \\
& \text { You lot went to her }
\end{array}
\]
5.3 .3 (iii) velar elision

Rule 22 velar elision applies when there is a 1 pin agent, and can be observed in 1 pin \(>3\) a, 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{f}\) and 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{~m}\). This rule derives \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) from underlying \(\mid\) anga \(\mid\) sequences:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1pin>3f } & \text { Nyarrburrkarla }  \tag{5.21}\\
& \text { nyaN-ngarr=murrka-rla } \\
& \text { 3f-1pin=go.to-PAST } \\
& \text { We (inc) went to her }
\end{array}
\]

\section*{5.3 .3 (iv) velar cluster lenition}

The very specific velar cluster lenition rule 6 applies to \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{w}\), and derives / w/from underlying \(\mid{ }^{\text {kwuN-ng }}\) |:
(5.22) 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{w}\) Nguwanu warrburrkarla
nguwanu \(\quad{ }^{\text {k w }}\) wN-ngarr= \(=\) murrka-rla
tree \(\quad 3 \mathrm{w}-1\) pin \(=\) go.to-PAST
We (inc) went to the tree

\subsection*{5.3.3 (v) palatal nasal lenition}

Rule \(24 /\) ny/-lenition applies to clusters with a 1 px agent and is seen in \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) and \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\). This rule operates in tandem with vowel expansion rule 18, first to derive |aya| from underlying \(\mid\) anya \(\mid\) sequences, and then to derive /e/ from |aya|:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1px }>3 \mathrm{f} & \text { Nyerrburrkarla }  \tag{5.23}\\
& \text { nyaN-nyarr=murrka-rla } \\
& \text { 3f-1px=go.to-PAST } \\
& \text { We (exc) went to ber }
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{5.3.3 (vi) peripheral glide lenition}

Peripheral glide lenition rule 23 applies to the cluster \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\). This rule derives /aa/from underlying |awa| sequences:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Werrim \\
werrim
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
maarrburrkarla \\
ma-bwarr=murrka-rla
\end{tabular} \\
& hill & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) =go.to-PAST
\end{tabular}

The cluster \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) displays a homorganic cluster-hardening rule that derives kubarr- from underlying \(\left|k u N-b_{w a r r}\right|\) via the sequence \(\left|N^{b_{w}}>N b>m b>b b\right|>/ b /:\)
\(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w} \quad\)\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Nguwanu & kubarrburrkarla \\
nguwanu & kuN-bwarr=murrka-rla \\
tree & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) go.to-PAST
\end{tabular}

Finally the cluster \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) includes an epenthetic \(/ \mathrm{a} /\) before a following morpheme.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3p }>3 \mathrm{a} \quad & \text { kawarramurrkarla }  \tag{5.26}\\
& \text { ka-bwarr-a=murrka-rla } \\
& \text { 3a-3p-EP=go.to-PAST } \\
& \text { They went to bim }
\end{array}
\]
5.3.3 (vii) first and third person neutralization

Indices separately bearing the features \([+\Gamma\) and \([+I \Pi\) cannot co-occur in the same prefix cluster. The grammar deals with this constraint in two ways. The first is by a process of first and third person neutralization, whereby first person functions in prefix clusters whose other member consists of a second person function, are reassigned to a third person index form. When the subject in such a cluster is first person singular, the expected form -nga-is replaced by the third person singular shape \(/-\varnothing-/(1>2,1>2 \mathrm{p})\). When the subject is first person plural exclusive, the expected form -nyarr- is replaced by the third person plural shape \(-{ }^{-b}\) warr- \((1 \mathrm{px}>2,1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p})\). And when the undergoer in such a cluster is first person plural exclusive, the expected form arr-is replaced by the third person plural shape kaarr- \((2>1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px})\) :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.27a) & \(1>2\) & Ngunmurrkarlaal ngun-(n)- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-kwaal 2-(INV)-3/1=go.to-PAST-hither He/I came to you \\
\hline (b) & \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & Nyinbarrburrkarlaal nyirr-n-bwarr=murrka-rla-kwaal 2p-INV-3p/1px=go.to-PAST-hither We/ they came to you lot \\
\hline (c) & \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Kaanjamurrkarlaal \\
kaarr-nja=murrka-rla-kwaal \\
\(3 \mathrm{p} / 1 \mathrm{px}-2=\) go.to-PAST-hither \\
You came to us/them
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.3.3 (viii) \(2>1\) portmanteau}

The second way in which the grammar conforms to this constraint is by way of a portmanteau morpheme jan- which denotes \(2>1\), and occurs in clusters where a second person subject is opposed to a first person singular undergoer, ie \(2>1\) and \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\). In the latter cluster the 2 p morpheme is placed after the portmanteau; \(\mid\) jan-rra \(\mid>\) jarra-, such that this cluster analyses functionally as \([2[2 \mathrm{p}]>1]\), the embedded function securing the feature \([+\mathrm{NUM}]\) to the second person function:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
2 \mathrm{p}>1 & \text { Jarramurrkarlaal }  \tag{5.28}\\
& \text { jan-rra=murrka-rla- }{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \text { waal } \\
& 2>1-2 \mathrm{p}=\text { go.to-PAST-hither } \\
& \text { You lot came to me }
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{5.3.4 Inverse marking}

It now remains to discuss the role of the morpheme \(-n\) - (see examples (5.18d), (5.27a,b)). Transitive prefix clusters give evidence of another hierarchy of grammatical person and number features with respect to their naturalness or likelihood of agency:
\(2>1>3 p>3\)
Figure 5.4: inverse hierarchy
When an A index bears grammatical features below (or further to the right of those of the undergoer, then an inverse hierarchy marker \(-n\) - appears after the undergoer morpheme.

Note that this is quite a different hierarchy from that described in Figure 5.3. The hierarchy in Figure 5.4 refers specifically to the way in which inverse-hierarchy marking is encoded, and not to the verbal agreement system as a whole. The most dramatic difference between the two hierarchies is in the treatment of second person indices: the second person singular index, for example, is at the opposite end of each hierarchy.

The inverse hierarchy marker (glossed INV) appears when a first person agent acts upon a second person undergoer \((1>2,1>2 \mathrm{p}, 1 \mathrm{px}>2,1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p})\), when a third person agent acts upon a second person undergoer ( \(3>2\), \(3>2\) p, \(3 p>2,3 p>2 p\) ), when a third person agent acts upon a first person undergoer \((3>1,3>1 \mathrm{px}, 3 \mathrm{p}>1,3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px})\) and when a third person singular agent acts upon a third person plural undergoer \((3>3 \mathrm{p})\). The second person singular index /ngun-/ appears to have INV built into its form, making a separate marker / \(-\mathrm{n}-/\) redundant when it occurs in the clusters \(1>2,1 \mathrm{px}>2,3>2\) and \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\). Examples of inverse clusters are shown in (5.29):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.29a) & \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & Nyinbarrburrkarlaal nyirr-n-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}=\) murrka-rla-k \({ }^{\text {waal }}\) 2p-INV-3p=go.to-PAST-hither They came to you lot \\
\hline (b) & \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & Anmurrkarlaal arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-kwaal 1px-INV-3=go.to-PAST-hither He came to us (exc) \\
\hline (c) & \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & Nganbarrburrkarlaal nga-n-b warr=murrka-rla-kwaal 1-INV-3p=go.to-PAST-hither They came to me \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

With one exception, the morpheme \(-n\) - in this position consistently signals an inverse of hierarchical ordering with respect to direction of transitivity. And with one exception the inverse marker occurs wherever the hierarchy displayed in Figure 5.4 predicts that it should. This morpheme is an obligatory morphological ('semantic') inverse in Givón's (1994:23) terms. More particularly it is a 'purely pronominal-morphological' inverse, type C-ix in his typology of inverse clauses (ibid:29). Far from representing an incipient ergative construction as he claims (ibid:32), inverse-hierarchy marking in Worrorra is in effect a form of accusative marking, sensitive only to certain grammatical (person and number) features. A similar morphology occurs in Ungarinyin (Heath 1976:181 ff), where Heath refers to \(-n\) - as a form 'which can be taken as Accusative.'

The INV marker also appears in the equipollent \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) cluster kaanbarr-, where it marks accusative relationship exclusively:
\[
\begin{align*}
3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p} \quad & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Kaanbarrburrkarlangurru } \\
\text { kaarr- } \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b} \text { warr=murrka-rla-ngurru }
\end{array}  \tag{5.30}\\
& \text { 3p-INV-3p=go.to-PAST-away } \\
& \text { They went over to them }
\end{align*}
\]

The marker appears in an accusative role as well in an imperative verb prefix where the underlying second person subject has null (zero) representation, which is understood or misread by the grammar as encoding the third person singular agent index-function (which is also \(/-\varnothing-/\) ). This phenomenon is discussed further in \(₫ 8.5\).3.

As may by now be expected, phonological processes may intervene in morphosyntactic ones and take precedence over them. The 1 pin index does not accept INV when it occurs in undergoer position in the clusters \(3>1\) pin and \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin, respectively: \(\mid\) ngarr- \(\varnothing \mid>\) ngarr- and \(\mid\) ngarr- \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) warr \(\mid>\) ngarrbarr-:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
3>1 \text { pin } & \text { Ngarrburrkarlaal }  \tag{5.31}\\
& \text { ngarr- } \varnothing=\text { murrka-rla-k waal } \\
& \text { 1pin-3= go.to-PAST-hither } \\
& \text { S/ he came to us (inc) }
\end{array}
\]

The reason for this omission is phonological; in prefix clusters trill deletion rule 15 appears to override hardening rule 11 , such that the unattested sequence \({ }^{*} \mid\) ngarr- \(n-\mid\) would produce the surface shape ngan-. This surface form does occur, but as an index of 1 in the equivalent clusters \(3>1\) and \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\). The grammar therefore uses INV to disambiguate the forms of the 1 and 1 pin indices, and INV does not appear in clusters with 1 pin in object function, as would otherwise be expected.

This kind of disambiguation is possible in Worrorra because inverse-hierarchy marking is redundant there. Morphological inverse-hierarchy marking typically occurs in languages in order to
assign grammatical function when pronominal affixes are ordered according to some person and/or number hierarchy (see eg Heath 1984:362 on Wubuy). In Worrorra indexing affixes are unexceptionally ordered syntactically in U-A configuration, so there is no possibility of confusion over grammatical function. Historically, it is likely that the morpheme \(-n\) - has cycled between accusative and inverse marking patterns (cf. Heath 1976:182 et seq). The point of diachronic interest is not that \(-n\) - occurs in an equipollent position ( \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) ) or that it is missing from \(3(\mathrm{p})>1\) pin clusters, but rather its overall redundancy. The shapes ngan- ' 1 ', an- ' 1 px', ngun- ' 2 ', nyin- ' 2 p ' and kaan- ' 3 p ' are as it were ready-made accusative forms if an accusative system were to develop. And the present inverse system may well have developed out of just such an accusative system. Syntactic index-configuration in Worrorra may have arisen if gender-marking suffixes had been copied from a preceding undergoer NP onto a following verb, and had come to be treated as prefixes to that verb. Subsequently participant NPs could have been generalized to this paradigm.

\subsection*{5.4 Tense-marking}

In indicative mood each Worrorra verb employs a set of one or two tense allomorphs to signal time reference. Reference may be to present, past or future time, but each verbal lexeme selects only one set of suffixes for tense-marking; that is, selection of tense-marking allomorphs forms part of a verb's lexical specification. The tense-marking morphology described in this section applies to indicative verbs, and also to verbs in the hypotactic and avertive functions of the subjunctive mood (Chapter 15).

\subsection*{5.4.1 Tense-marking on intransitive verbs}

With respect to tense-marking there are two types of intransitive verbs. The first type has separate tense morphemes for present and past tenses. The second type has only one morpheme that serves to mark both present and past tenses. Intransitive tense-marking morphology is summarized in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: intransitive tense morphemes: indicative mood
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
PRESENT & PAST \\
-ngu & -na \\
\(-\varnothing\) & -na \\
-ng & -na \\
-ng & -nya
\end{tabular}
(b) =bwa 'fall' -na =ya ' \(g_{0}\) ' -nga

For the first type (a), the usual present tense allomorph is \(-n g\). The phonology of this form is discussed below, but at this point it should be noted that the present tense marker on \(=n u\) 'be' \((-n g u)\) is almost certainly /-ng/ underlyingly. And the most common past tense allomorph is -na. This combination of tense forms is an index of intransitivity, and middle (derived intransitive) verbs nearly all show these forms.

The verbs =ya 'go' and =ya-kwaal 'come (go-hither)' are irregular. The past tense marker of \(=y a\) \({ }^{\text {kw wal }}\) is a little problematic; compare ngengkaal 'I come' with ngengkunaal 'I came,' where the difference seems to be in the insertion of a form -un- into the directional morpheme -(ngk) aal. However I suspect that the tense morpheme is better described as \(-n a\), with an epenthetic vowel \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) appearing after the cluster / ngk/ (which is a reflex of the morphophoneme \(\left|{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{W}\right|\) in the directional morpheme), and the vowel of the tense allomorph blended with the following directional morpheme: \(\left.\right|^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{na}-{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) waal \(>\) ngk-u-na-aal|. The tense morphology of =ya-kwaal 'come' and =ninjawa 'burn' (- \(\varnothing\) for present and -na for past) is more typical of transitive verbs (see Table 5.5).

At least four middle verbs take the past tense allomorph -nya; they are are \(=^{b}\) walke 'stand', =bwarnke 'grow,' =kwulkune 'be afraid' and =ngarnde 'rain.' The continuous aspect morpheme does not blend with this tense shape, that is to say that V expansion rule 18 does not apply here, and the past continuous suffix shape is -nyeerri on these deponent verbs.

The second type of intransitive verb exhibits a future/non-future tense system, with non-future forms marked by a suffix and with optative (future) forms unmarked by suffixes. The high-frequency irregular verb =ya 'go' employs the suffix -nga to mark both present and past tenses. When the directional morpheme -ngurru 'away' is suffixed to \(=y a\) 'go,' the tense marker normally undergoes haplological reduction in fast speech:

> Kengurru
> ka=ya-nga-ngurru
> 3a=go-TNS-away
> He went away

The verb =rlelwa 'be born,' appears to be composed of an incorporated element diachronically fused to the front of \(=^{b}\) wa 'fall,' and is conjugated like \(={ }^{b}\) wpa.

\subsection*{5.4.2 Time-reference on transitive verbs}

The continuous aspect morpheme - \(-(y\) eerri has an auxiliary function coding tense on transitive verbs. Present time is signalled by the absence of a tense-marking suffix in order class [9] and the presence of the continuous aspect morpheme in order class [13]: /-Ø-(y)eerri/. In the following exchange, note the way in which the continuous aspect morpheme -eerri signals present time in the absence of a tense morpheme:
(a) Ngayu kaangamarlaarndoyeerri marru. I'm going off with them.
(b) Joli banaal! Come back!
(c) Kaarriyaka, jaa maa kaangamarlaarndoyeerri. No I won't, I'm going with them.
(d) Arri angujakunya? What for?
(e) Marru dambeewunya.

To have a look at the country.
(f) Wangalaalunguyu kurde kaanjaangurreerri? Are you taking the children too?

Sentence (5.33b) has a verb in imperative form, sentences (5.33d,e) are verbless constructions and sentences ( \(5.33 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}\) ) have verbs in present continuous form, constructed as follows:
\((\mathrm{g}=(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})) \quad\) Kaangamarlaarndoyeerri
kaarr-nga=marlaarndo-yeerri
3p-1=follow-PROG
I'm following them
(h=(f)) Kaanjaangurreerri
kaarr-nja \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{p}-2=\) carry-PROG
You're taking them

The absence of both tense and aspect suffixes from a transitive indicative verb normally signals an aorist form, the semantics of which are discussed in \(₫ 5.4 .5\).

There are two types of transitive past-tense suffix. The most common type includes all but a handful of verbs, and has past tense forms marked by -na, -nga or -rla. The suffix -rla is confined to transitive verbs, but apart from that, these suffixes do not signal verbal conjugation, as tense-marking
allomorphs frequently do in other Australian languages. The selection of tense-marking forms is not correlated to any other property of verbs. The other two suffixes -na and -nga occur on both transitive and intransitive verbs.

A second type has past tense forms marked by -rna and -ng. The allomorph -rna appears to be a variant of -na used only with transitive verbs. It may be that -na and -rna are in free variation in transitive verbs; at any rate they are not always easy to distinguish. I have only discerned the form -rna with certainty on four verbs: =rno 'give', =marlaarndo 'follow', =bwalkenju 'erect' and =rnaajo 'copy, imitate'. It is possible that in three of these verbs the retroflex form of the tense allomorph harmonizes with retroflex consonants in the verb root morphemes, but the sample is too small to make any realistic generalizations. At any rate it is the case that the retroflex allomorphs -rla and -rna are confined to transitive verbs, and this is the only correlation able to be drawn between allomorphic shape and the semantics of verbal root morphemes.

The past tense marker -ng I have found on only two verbs, the high-frequency irregular verb \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' and \(={ }^{k} w a l k a r a\) 'discard, throw out'. Transitive tense-marking morphology is summarized in Table 5.5.

Table 5.5: transitive tense morphemes: indicative mood
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & PRESENT & PAST \\
\hline Subsets of transitive only & -Ø & -rna, -rla \\
\hline \(k u N[]=y i ' d o '\) & -Ø & -ng \\
\hline Elsewhere & -Ø & -na, -nga \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The shape of the morpheme \(-n g\) is not unambiguous. It occurs as a present tense marker on intransitive verbs as well as a past tense marker on a couple of transitive verbs. Occurrences of this shape are exemplified in (5.34):
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Nganungu } & \text { I am } \\
\text { nga=nu-ng } &  \tag{5.34a}\\
1=\text { be-PRES } &
\end{array}
\]
(b)
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Kunjungu } & \text { S/ he did it } \\
\text { kuN- } \varnothing=\text { yi-ng } & \\
\text { VCOMP-3=do-PAST } &
\end{array}
\]
(c) Kunjunganangka S/ be said to bim/her
kuN- - = yi-ng-a-nangka
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT
(d)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kunjingeerri & S/ he was doing it \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-eerri & \\
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-PROG &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kumnyeng & S/ he did it \\
kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng & \\
VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST &
\end{tabular}

Kenga
When s/ he did it
ØN-Ø-ngka=yi-ng
VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST

The tense allomorph exhibits the surface shapes \(-n g u(5.34 a, b)\), \(-n g a(5.34 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f})\) and \(-n g(5.34 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e})\) in these examples, and it is likely that only one of them is underlying.

V-expansion rule 18 allows us to rule out the shape -nga as underlying; if it were so, the past continuous shape in (5.34d) would be \(\mid\)-nga + eerri \(\mid>\)-ngerri, which is not what is found there. The shape kumnyeng in (5.34e) may appear with an epenthetic final /a/ at the end of an intonation group or in slow speech, and correspondingly the unepenthesized forms are found in rapid speech. This phenomenon is a result of an apparent preference for ending words in a vowel, a tendency which is far from being a rule. The most likely explanation for the shape of the tense morpheme is that underlying \(|-n g|\) naturally attracts word-final vowel epenthesis, which is nevertheless not obligatory. This is seen in (5.34f), in which vowel epenthesis is employed to avoid a monosyllabic form. Although there are a number of monosyllabic words in Worrorra, the language prefers to avoid monosyllabic forms in those cases where this can be achieved by some kind of epenthesis.

The shape -ngu only occurs when the surface shape of the preceding verb root morpheme contains the vowel \(/ \mathrm{u} /\). Furthermore, -ngu changes to -nga when followed by material immediately containing the vowel \(/ \mathrm{a} /\), as in \((5.34 \mathrm{c})\), and when it occurs in a position of primary stress, as in kùnjungánu 's/he told you (sg).' The occurrence of \(-n g u\) is therefore phonologically conditioned and represents an instance of vowel epenthesis and progressive vowel harmony, in this case moving forward from the vowel in the root lexeme, and in the case of (5.34b), ultimately from the shape of the undergoer morpheme \(k u N\)-. The presence of the discourse-deictic morpheme -mnya-, or of nya-epenthesis blocks the forward movement of vowel harmony, as in (5.34e).

\subsection*{5.4.3 Tense and time reference}

Present tense-marking forms are not tightly correlated to their overt or characteristic time-referential function. Present tense forms may also refer to past time, or more frequently to future time. The following sentence examples illustrate the use of present tense morphemes to refer to future time. In situations that involve some kind of modal semantics, an optative or hortative shape is preferred to code future time reference \((\$ 8.1, \S 8.5 .1)\).
(5.35a) Angujaaw jaraai kanungu?
anguja-aaw jaraai \(k a=n u-n g u\)
what-TIME laugh \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PRES
When is he going to laugh?
(b) Mamangkunu ke ngarringurraarndu
mamangkunu ke ngarr=i-nga-ngurru-kwarndu
morning 3 wREF 1 pin=go-TNS-away-DU
We'll go in the morning
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wardi & ngarringa & marru, & warnangkali & ke & warranganyarro. \\
wardi & ngarr=i-nga & ma=rru & warnangkali & ke & \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-ngarr-a=nganyarro \\
hope & 1pin=go-TNS & 3m=LAT & mountain & 3 wREF & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1\) pin-OPT=look for
\end{tabular}

We'd like you to go with us to look for that mountain.
In (5.35b) the irregular verb root \(=y a^{\prime}\) 'go' has a plural-subject allomorph \(=i\). The tense morpheme and the directional morpheme undergo haplological reduction (|nga-ngurru|>-ngurru). In (5.35c) the form warranganyarro is optative, as is usual in purposive clauses (see \(\int 8.2 .1\) ). However the form ngarringa is a non-future form, and this is unusual after the modal adverb wardi 'hopefully' in this context.

The following sentence examples illustrate the use of present tense morphemes to refer to past time.
(5.36)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Marno & Maangkayarnanya & aja & adungaarndeerri, & mamaa \\
ma=rno & Maangkaya-rnanya & aja & arr=nu-ng-aarndu-eerri & mamaa \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & NAME-LOC & sit & \(1 \mathrm{px}=\) be-PRES-DU-PROG & secluded
\end{tabular}
```

warnda-warnda nganuna
warnda-warnda nga=nu-na
dwell-REDUP 1=be-PAST
I stayed with Maangkaya, I lived there by myself

```

In (5.36) the complex predicate aja adungaarndeerri 'we (du exc) sat/stayed, I sat/stayed with him/her' is inflected for present tense, although it refers to events that took place several decades ago, and is coordinated with a verb in past tense (nganuna).
(5.37a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyengurreerri & abeerla & maa, & ke manja & kaweengaarndu \\
nya=ya-nga-ngurru-eerri & abeerla & maa & ke & manja & ka=bwee-ng-aarndu \\
3f=go-TNS-away-PROG & slow & PROG & and & meet & 3a=hit.MID-PRES-DU
\end{tabular}
inja iwarnbarnngarri
3aDEF snake.sp
She went along slowly until she met up with the king brown snake
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jijaaya & nguru & kerrkangurreerri & karloorlerri \\
jijaaya & nguru & ka-nyarr=kwangurru-eerri & ØN- -ngka=rloo-rla-eerri \\
Mr Love & hear & 3a-1px=carry-PROG & VCOMP-3-SJTV=speak-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
ke, karrangarri, Karnmanya
ke karrangu-ngarri Karnmanya
3wREF high-REL Kunmunya
We used to listen to Mr. Love at Kunmunya when he talked about heaven

In (5.37a) the complex predicate manja kaweengaarndu 'they two meet each other' is in present tense form in a text that refers to past events. And in (5.37b) the complex predicate nguru kerrkangurreerri 'we (exc) are listening to him' includes a classifying verb with present time-marking, dominating a subordinate verb in past tense (karloorlerri 'when s/he was speaking'). This is from a text referring to events that happened half a century previously: jijaaya 'dad' is the Revd J R B Love, referred to here by the name by which he was affectionately known to Worrorra people.

Although this kind of thing is not all that common, neither is it particularly uncommon, and it is a good indication of the generally less-than-tight relationship between tense form and time-referential function in Worrorra.

\subsection*{5.4.4 Verbs unmarked for time reference}

Some indicative forms that are unmarked for both tense and aspect may nonetheless have patterns of occurrence quite distinct from formally identical aorist verbs. The reason for their occurrence is phonological: the verb =nu 'be' often sheds its past tense morpheme -na under haplology in fast speech: /=nuna/ \(>=n u\), as in (5.38):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wali & ajaaja & ajanoorri \\
wali & ajaaja & arr-nya=nu-kwurri \\
PERF & live & 1 px-EP=be-NUM \\
We lived with them
\end{tabular}

Furthermore, and quite importantly, past tense morphemes on all verbs may be optionally deleted in fast speech when time reference is unambiguous. Note the absence of tense markers from the complex predicates mara ko 'see, find' in (5.39a) and rok kee 'bury' in (5.39b):
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Jarroo & mara & ko & marno, & jeyi & kanunerri & jaayawunya. \\
jarroo & mara & ka- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu & ma=rno & jeyi & ka=nu-na-eerri & jaaya- \({ }^{\text {k } w u n y a ~}\) \\
kite.sp & see & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) hit & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & spear & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PAST-PROG & fish-PURP
\end{tabular}

There be found the brabminy kite spearing fish
(b) Rok kee
rok ka- \(\varnothing=\) ee
bury 3a-3=place
\(S /\) he buried him

This phonological process is iconic of fast, dramatic or emotional utterances and is grammaticized to depict events of that nature in aorist verbs.

\subsection*{5.4.5 Aorist}

The indicative mood contains two functional subcategories. Declarative functions are used to make positive assertions, statements and questions that refer to events in past or present time. Aorist is a subcategory of indicative functions that makes no specific temporal reference, either deictically by tense-marking or in terms of internal structure (aspect). Aorist is used in those discourse situations where a speaker wishes to demote time as a salient category in the depiction of events. The term 'aorist' is used here to refer to the functions of indicative verbs lacking tense and aspect marking. These forms denote vividness, habitual action, universal statements ('gnomic aorist'), imminence ('future aorist') and enablement. The Worrorra aorist bears some semantic similarities to the aspect of that name found in Classical Greek and English (Foley \& Van Valin 1984:226-228). Aorist aspect in those languages (i) ignores a predicate's internal temporal structure (aspect), and (ii) expresses timeless, universal statements, vivid descriptions of actions, habitual actions, events that are vague or generalized with respect to time reference, present time reference, and a specific kind of future time reference. Because of these similarities the name of the aspect will be used here opportunistically to refer to a subcategory of the indicative mood; that is, to a set of verb functions signalled by the absence of both aspect and tense marking.

\section*{5.4 .5 (i) vividness}

Aorist verbs are used to depict events vividly. Note the shapes of the verb classifiers kubaju in (5.40a), kama and kayabu in (5.40b), and kumnyama in (5.40c):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(5.40a) & Arrkeka & yarrorl & kubaju & belangkarraya! \\
& arrka-y2aka & yarrorl & kuN-bwarr=yi & belangkarraya \\
& 3pNAR-EMPH & voices & VCOMP-3p=do & everyone
\end{tabular}
(b) Jarrangurlak kama, wurluk kayabu aworle jarrangurlak ka- \(\varnothing=m a \quad\) wurluk ka- \(\varnothing=y a b u \quad a w a-k w u r l e\) lift.up \(3 a-3=\) get swallow 3a-3=throw 3aNAR-first He picked up the first one and swallowed him
(c) Mamaamangkunu wunu ingak kumnyama. ma-maa-mangkunu wunu ingak kuN-Ø-mnya=ma \(\rightarrow\)-AUG-morning 3 wDEF dead VCOMP-3-DD=get By the morning he was dead.

\section*{5.4 .5 (ii) habitual action}

Aorist signals the demotion of time as a salient category in the depiction of an event. In these circumstances the resulting form denotes action not related to a specific temporal framework, and which is usually to be understood as habitual or characteristic action. Aorist verbs may refer to habitual, customary or prescribed actions as in (5.41):
(5.41a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kulaaya & debarr & ingkenganyarri, & arrbri & baraanba \\
kulaaya & debarr & i-ngka=ya-nga-nyarri & arr=mri & baraan-ba \\
husband & die & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS-1pxDAT & px=head & shaved-EP
\end{tabular}
kerrburrka
ka-nyarr=murrka
3a-1px=go.to
When our husbands die we shave our heads for them
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Waa & ngawurriy & bungajin & wunu & mrnangkanya \\
waa & ngawurriy & ba-kuN-ngarr=yi-n & wunu & marnangkanya \\
not & drink.gravy & CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do-NON.P & 3wDEF & adolescent.girl
\end{tabular}
\(\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ngarrkunungu, } & \text { ke arrka } & \text { maa } & \text { ngarrbardo } \\
\text { ngarr-ngun=nu-ng } & \text { ke } & \text { arrka } & \text { maa } & \text { ngarr-bwarr=rno }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
1pin-SJTV=be-PRES and 3pNAR \\
We don't drink the cooked blood in the body-cavity of a kangaroo when we are adolescent girls, until they give it to us
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kunyajunangkorri & anja & wangalaalunguyu & wenngarri & maa. \\
kuN-nyarr=yi-nangkorri & anja & wangalaalanguya & weni-ngarri & maa \\
VCOMP-1px=do-3pDAT & 3pDEF & children & now-REL & PROG \\
We still tell it to our children these days & & &
\end{tabular}

\section*{5.4 .5 (iii) universal statements}

Sometimes aorist mood may be used to make universal statements that refer to situations established in the dreamtime, as in (5.42), although again, subjunctive verbs are more usual in conveying this kind of meaning:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Arrigrarlya & arrke & gee & kaanbarrwu & anja & burnarri \\
arr=igrarl-ya & arrke & gee & kaarr-n-bwarr=b \(w u\) & anja & burnarri \\
\(\mathrm{px}=\) Grarl-3p & 3pREF & represent & 3p-INV-3p=hit & 3pDEF & animals
\end{tabular}

These animals are the totemic emblems of the people of Grarl

In (5.42) the complex predicate gee \(=^{b} w u\) 'SUBJ be represented totemically by OBJ' has a similar semantics but an opposite predicate perspective to English verbs such as 'represent,' 'symbolize' or 'stand for.'

\subsection*{5.4.5 (iv) imminence}

Aorist is used to refer to events that are imminent. Imminence is a privileged type of projection, and denotes future events that are not irrealis in so far as their occurrence is impending, necessary and more or less obvious. Projection is discussed in \(\S 8.2 .2\), but for the time being it should be noted that future time reference in these utterances is an essentially epistemic category, that is to say that certain facts about the present are treated as constituting premises for making judgements about conditions in the immediate future, as may be seen in (5.43):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Manmaa & kerdaarnaarndu \\
ma-n-maa & ka-nyarr=rnaarna-k warndu \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}-\) DEF-3mREF & 3a-1px=await-DU \\
We'll wait here for him
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kawarro & wali & aaya & ngamba & ke \\
ka-b warr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\) wu & wali & aaya & ngamba & kee \\
3a-3p-EP=hit & PERF & 3aREF & now & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

They're going to kill him soon
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Marreya & nganngunungu, & ngurru & maa & barnmarnja & kangarnaarna \\
marreya & ngan-ngun=nu-ng & ngurru & maa & barnmarnja & ka-nga-rnaarna \\
sick & 1-SJTV=be-PRES & here & 3mREF & doctor & 3a-1=await
\end{tabular}

If I get sick I'll wait right here for the doctor
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wunu & kaarri & ngarrkunyanungu, & ngani & nyini & kubaje? \\
wunu & kaarri & ngarr-ngun-nya=nu-ng & ngani & nyini & kuN-bwarr-nya=yi \\
3wDEF & 3pNEG & 1pin-SJTV-EP=be-PRES & what & until & VCOMP-3p-EP=do
\end{tabular}

When we're all gone, what are they going to do then?

In (5.43c \& d) the time reference of the main clause is relative to that of the subordinate clause, such that the subordinate clauses constitute premises sufficient for the occurrence of the main clause events.

\subsection*{5.4.5 (v) enablement}

Aorist verbs may also denote the semantics of a schema of enablement (Johnson 1987:47, 53). Enablement is a type of root modality, that is, a force originating in the physical, rather than the logical world. In discussing the lexicalization of enablement in the English modal verb can, Johnson (ibid:52) says,

Can thus involves a sense of internal power or capacity to act. The actor is a source of energy sufficient to perform some action. Although can tends to assume an absence of restricting barriers, its primary focus is on potentiality or capacity to act.

This description also applies well to Worrorra tense-less and aspect-less indicative verb forms used in a root modal sense. This meaning is closely related to the epistemic sense in which these forms denote imminent future reference; indeed the distinction between the two senses is often less than sure. Nevertheless an opposition may be discerned in paradigmatic cases, between imminent reference and enablement. Aorist forms denoting enablement are shown in (5.44):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Majerrin & kunganinja & wurno-wurno \\
majerrin & kuN-nga=ninja & wurno-wurno \\
Mt.Hann & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1=\) look.at & 3wDIST-3wDIST \\
I can see Mount Hann over there &
\end{tabular}
(b) Dambeem mana marramnyaana nyirrkanangka mana
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
dambeem & mana & ma-rra-mnya=kwana & nyirr=kwa-nangka & mana \\
place & 3 mDEF & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-2 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{DD}=\) keep & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) NAR-DAT & 3 mDEF
\end{tabular}

You can keep this land to be your own
(c) Ngani kungenu wunu?
ngani kuN-nga=yi-nu wunu
what VCOMP-1=do-2DAT 3wDEF
What can I do for you?
(d) Inja kajarimu?
inja ka-ngarr-nya=rima
3aDEF 3a-1pin-EP=name
Can we say his name?

As the phonologically and semantically unmarked morphological category, the indicative mood has been employed in this chapter in order to illustrate some of the basic formal properties of Worrorra verbs, and the kinds of meanings (declarative and aorist) that are signalled by these forms. The semantics of other kinds of verbal modality in the language are explored in Chapter Eight.

Because argument indexation is so exhaustive in Worrorra, it functions frequently to refer elliptically to NPs that are not overtly stated, but which are recoverable either from previous discourse or from context. Indexation by inflexional or derivational morphology is the main referencemonitoring mechanism employed by the language; because inflexion is obligatory on all verbs, other referential systems such as switch reference are not required. The rigorousness of NP indexation on verbs marks Worrorra as a language of a particular type (or a particular 'linguistic system’ in Foley \& Van Valin's (1984:374) view). In this view languages are seen as gestalt systems, that is, as constellations of forms that collectively constitute semiotic apparati that are themselves of greater significance than the sum of their component parts. Systems of voice opposition, gender, pivoting, switch-reference and switch-function determine the nature of such apparati, and hence their type. In Foley \& Van Valin's terms (ibid:361) Worrorra is an example of a language employing an elaborate gender system ( \(\$ 4.1\) ). Such systems are said characteristically to imply the absence of switch reference,
the absence of voice oppositions and the absence of pivots from a language. Nevertheless Worrorra does have a voicing opposition, as well as a reference-monitoring morpheme in order class [5]. More importantly, it is the case that although the linguistic type to which Worrorra conforms is characterized as one of elaborate gender, gender marking is only a part of the system of agreementclass indexation. And it is this system of agreement-class indexation, rather than gender only, that constitutes the elaboration and delicacy by means of which the language achieves its semiotic function.

\section*{Six: adjectives and inalienable nouns}

In undertaking an act of reference, a speaker must fulfil at least two conditions (Searle 1969, Silverstein 1986); the first consists of denoting a type of referent, and the second of identifying a particular instance of such a type. A nominal expression therefore includes a simple noun as well as some referential extension of it, in order to pick out, among all the instances of a particular denotatum that may exist in the world, just which instance or set of instances may be uniquely intended. Extensions of this sort are typically created by way of co-reference, which consists of a relationship between a word used to denote a type of thing in the world, and other words or morphemes that are dependent upon it for their referential value.

In this chapter and elsewhere the term 'noun phrase' or 'NP' will be used fairly informally to refer to any nominal expression, the tokens of which depend for their reference on a noun (lexical or derived) acting as the syntactic head of that expression. The literature on non-configurationality, discontinuous NPs and constituency generally in Australian languages is considerable (see, eg, Austin \& Bresnan 1996 and references therein), and no more than a rather loose and informal characterization of this phrase type will be attempted here.

In Worrorra, agreement morphology is used to signal coreference. In this chapter we will look at adjectives and other more or less adjective-like or nominal expressions, and particularly at the ways in which agreement occurs between head nouns and their referential extensions. As Nichols (1986:5758) puts it, 'the function of the morphology of government, agreement, cross-reference etc, is to identify syntactic relations by appropriately marking either the head \(\ldots\) or the dependent.' Dependent adjectives in Worrorra are marked in agreement with their heads ( \(\$ 6.2 .1\) ), while inalienable nouns are phrasal heads which are marked in agreement with their dependents ( \(\$ 6.3\) ). Members of a third lexical category of derived nouns obligatorily include agreement-class affixes in their construction, without being dependent on any head, and without themselves needing to have any dependent ( \((\$ 6.2 .3)\). The function of those affixes in this case is not to signal agreement, but to index meanings constitutive of a particular denotation. And in this case no syntactic relations are identified by these now derivational affixes, in a category that is formally indistinguishable from that of adjectives.

\subsection*{6.1 Agreement-class indices}

In Worrorra coreferentiality is signalled by the indexation of the agreement class of one constituent upon another ( \(\$ 4.1\) ). Nominal types defined by this morphological pattern include adjectives, derived nouns and inalienable nouns (this chapter), and pronouns and demonstratives (Chapter Seven).

The combination of root lexemes with agreement-class indices in Worrorra represents arguably one of the most productive morphologies observable anywhere. If any one feature of Worrorra were to be selected to characterize the language in general typological terms, it would be the productive capacity of its derivational morphology. This amounts to an acknowledgement of the role of what are sometimes referred to as elaborate gender systems (eg Foley \& Van Valin 1984:373), but which in the
case of Worrorra is really an elaborate system of agreement-class marking. Such productivity allowed the Revd J R B Love to coin words for 'apostle,' 'disciple,' 'elect' (ie 'chosen ones'), 'tempter,' 'vision' and so on from Worrorra lexemes without needing to resort to English words. It is a process complicated by phonological cluster assimilations that occur at both the left- and right-hand boundaries of the root morpheme involved, and by occasional irregularities in the combination specifications of root morphemes. Root lexemes and agreement-class indices are combined analogically, producing an exhaustive referential system with reflexes in most lexical categories. The sheer productivity of the resulting system gives evidence of the efficacy of analogy or patternassociation as a cognitive process in morphology.

Worrorra derivational agreement-class affixes are displayed in Table 6.1 below:
Table 6.1: derivational agreement-class indices
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & PREFIXES & SUFFIXES \\
\hline 1 & nga- & -Ø, -и \\
\hline 2 & ngun- & -Ø, -и \\
\hline 3a & i-, a- & -ya, -i, -iya, -Ø \\
\hline 3f & nyi(N)- & -nya \\
\hline 3 w & ØN-, \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN- & -u \\
\hline 3 m & ma- & -ma \\
\hline 1 pin & ngarr- & -Ø, -и \\
\hline 1 px & arr- & - \(\varnothing\), -и \\
\hline 2p & nyirr- & - \(\varnothing\), -и \\
\hline 3 p & arr- & -ya, -i, -iya \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The affixes in Table 6.1 function derivationally by enabling root morphemes to move between agreement categories, and thus to create new lexical forms. Observe the outcomes of this process in the examples in (6.1):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jakarri & wunu & Mayakarrima & mana \\
\(Ø \mathrm{~N}=\) yakarri & wunu & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) yakarri-ma & mana \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) other & 3 wDEF & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) other- 3 m & 3 mDEF \\
The next day & & Anotherplace &
\end{tabular}
(b) Larlangkarrama Irlarlangkarra
rlarlangkarra-ma i-rlarlangkarra
sea-3m
The sea
3a-sea
Salt-water crocodile
(c) Aarrinja Nyingkarrinjinya
\(a={ }^{k}\) warrinja \(\quad\) nyiN \(={ }^{k}\) warrinja-nya
3a=human
Aboriginal man
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) human-3f
Aboriginal woman
(d) Nyungujaja
nyiN=ngujarr-nya
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) new.born-3f
Baby girl
Ingujarri
\(\mathrm{i}=\) ngujarr- i
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) new.born-3a
Baby boy
(e) Loolim

Nyiloolinya
looli-ma
PATRICLAN.NAME-3m
Loolim (clan country)
nyi=looli-nya
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) PATRICLAN.NAME-3f
Woman of Loolim
Maai
(preverbal infinitive)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Maayinya & Maaya \\
maai-nya & maai-ya \\
mourn-3f & mourn-3a \\
Bereaved woman & Bereaved man
\end{tabular}
(g) Ngunmeembu ngun- \(\varnothing=\) meembu
2-3/1=show
I show you
Wumeembiya
\({ }^{k}\) wuN \(=\) meembu-ye
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) show-MID
Sign
(h)

Ke
ØN=kwaya
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{REF}\)
That (event)

> Maa
> \(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{wa}}(\mathrm{ya})\)
> \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{REF}\)
> There (that place)
(i) Wungkurru
wungkurr-u
sacred-3w
Sacred pool
(j) Ngawaaya
ngawaa-ya
el.sib/fa.fa-3a
Elder brother
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Wungkurri & Wungkuja \\
wungkurr-i & wungkurr-nya \\
sacred-3a & sacred-3f \\
Mytbical Ancestor & Rock Python
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngawaanya & Ngawaama \\
ngawaa-nya & ngawaa-ma \\
el.sib/fa.fa.si-3f & el.sib/fa.fa-3m \\
Elder sister & Clan estate
\end{tabular}

The prefixes are largely homophonous with the series-2 optative NP indices shown in Table 8.4 in 88.1.1. Discussion of the morphophonology of these shapes is to be found in that section.

For the most part, agreement class indexation is the only way in which lexical root morphemes can acquire utterable form. In doing so, a root morpheme also acquires membership of one or other of the ten Worrorra agreement classes, \({ }^{41}\) and it is in this sense that agreement-class indexation is obligatory on derived lexemes. Their derivational function, therefore, makes of this set of indices a productive referential tool, with the power to project all kinds of underlying forms into all ten agreement classes, with lexical consequences as evidenced by ( \(6.1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{i} \& \mathrm{j}\) ) above in particular. This system ensures that agreement class is indexed on the vast majority of dependent lexemes, and that the co-references of nominal expressions can be stated precisely in terms of ten denotational categories. An important corollary of such an exhaustive indexing system is that most dependent forms may be used anaphorically to substitute for the heads of their phrases (eg example 6.1a).

\subsection*{6.1.1 Prefixes}

Worrorra has nine agreement class prefixes that attach to lexical root morphemes. With one case of syncretism, these prefixes refer to the ten agreement classes described in \(\$ 4.1\). The prefixes are formally and functionally analogous to the inflexional NP indices displayed in Table 5.1 in \(\$ 5.3 .1\), and the two sets of forms are clearly reflexes of each other. As well as signalling agreement class upon adjectives, derived nouns, inalienable nouns, pronouns and demonstratives, their series-2 inflexional counterparts are found on subjunctive verbs and on finite verbs in optative mood ( \(\$ 8.1 .1\) ).

Derivational/inflexional series-2 prefixes differ formally from their inflexional series-1 counterparts with respect to three agreement classes only: those of the unmarked macrogender

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{41}\) Actually this is not strictly true: adjectives may lose agreement-class indexation when functioning as preverbal infinitives (ie with an adverbial function) in complex predicates. See sentence examples (11.26) and (11.27) in §11.3.3 and discussion.
}
(masculine and celestial) and third person plural. Note that the 1 px and 3 p indices are syncretized, producing a morpheme that bears only the grammatical features [+NUM, -II\(]\). Where the morphology does not distinguish between 1 px and 3 p functions, the morphemes at issue are glossed \(p x\) 'plural exclusive.' The only grammatical features distinguishing plural agreement classes here are \([I]\) and [II], with [II] the higher-ranking feature, as shown in Figure 6.1:


Figure 6.1: feature specifications of plural derivational prefixes

\subsection*{6.1.2 Suffixes}

As well as the derivational agreement-class prefixes shown in Table 6.1, there is a further set of suffixes which are found only on members of certain lexical categories. For any given agreement class, the affixes shown in Table 6.1 commonly occur together on the same root morpheme, although a number of derived forms are found with only the prefixes. A small subset of adjectives are found with suffixes only; that is to say that they do not occur with prefixes. The morphophonolgy of derived forms is not straightforward, and the following points may be noted about the suffixes in Table 6.1 above:
(i) The 3 a and 3 p suffixes are commonly \(-i\) or \(-i y a\) after \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\), these two forms being in free variation. One common root lexeme, =yakarri 'other, different' has a 3a suffix -a: iyakarra 'other (masc).'
(ii) Suffixes on participant forms are all \(/-\varnothing /\) or epenthetic \(-u\). The epenthetic nature of this vowel becomes clear when we compare two derived forms, one with a phonemic final \(-u,=^{b} w u l k u\) 'first-born child,' and another with an epenthetic final vowel, = warr( \(u\) ) 'wrong, bad; sick.' The form \(=w a r r\) is slightly irregular in that the initial \(/ \mathrm{w} /\) does not harden. Also the initial \(/{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w} /\) in \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wulk \(u\) does not harden after \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) (rule 11). These shapes are shown in Table 6.2. In these paradigms the participant suffix may be said to be \(/-\varnothing /\) on \(=b\) bwulk \(u\). The epenthetic \(-u\) on \(=w a r r\) disappears in the presence of suffixes on third person forms (eg nyiwaja), whereas this does not happen with \(=^{b}\) wulku (eg nyimbulkunya).

Table 6.2: derivational paradigms
(1) \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wulkeu 'first-born child'
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngawulku & \(\mid\) nga=bwulku- \(\varnothing \mid\) & I'm the eldest \\
2 & ngunbulku & \(\mid\) ngun=bwulku- \(\mid\) & you're the eldest
\end{tabular}
(2) =warr 'bad, sick'
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngawarru & \(\mid n g a=\) warr-u \(\mid\) & I'm bad/unwell \\
2 & nguwarru & \(\mid\) ngu(n)=warr-u \(\mid\) & you're bad/unwell \\
3 a & iwarriya & \(\mid \mathrm{i}=\) warr-iya \(\mid\) & be's bad/unwell \\
3 f & nyiwaja & \(\mid\) nyi=warr-nya \(\mid\) & she's bad/unwell \\
3 w & warru & \(\mid\) ØN=warr-u \(\mid\) & it's bad/unwell \\
3 m & mawarrba & \(\mid\) ma=warr-ma \(\mid\) & it's bad/unwell \\
1 pin & ngarrwarru & \(\mid\) ngarr=warr-u \(\mid\) & we're bad/unwell \\
1 px & arrwarru & \(\mid\) arr=warr-u \(\mid\) & we're bad/unwell \\
2 p & nyirrwarru & \(\mid\) nyirr=warr-u \(\mid\) & you're bad/unwvell \\
3 p & arrwarriya & \(\mid \operatorname{arr=warr-iya|}\) & they're bad/unwell
\end{tabular}

Finally, note how the near homonymity of the 3 a and 3 p suffixes unites these two classes as essentially unmarked, default categories, and which are, as it turns out, both 'melting pot' categories in terms of markedness and gender resolution (see \(\S 4.1 .6\) (i) and \(\S 9.4 .2\) ).

In order to illustrate how this referential system works, it will be useful to consider a lexical category referred to briefly at the beginning of Chapter Four, as that of adjectives.

\subsection*{6.2 Adjectives and derived nouns}

Adjectives and derived nouns constitute a single formal category in Worrorra, but the two types are distinguishable on semantic grounds. Formally, both classes consist of root lexemes affixed by the derivational morphemes shown in Table 6.1. This means that the same root lexeme may appear in different agreement categories, with different referential meanings.

\subsection*{6.2.1 Adjectives}

Adjectives in Worrorra may be characterized by means of two orthogonal sets of parameters, formal and functional. The formal parameter reflects the amount of morphology displayed by any given form:
(i) simplex underived forms (simplex forms)
(ii) forms with derivational suffixes only (suffixial forms)
(iii) forms with full derivational affixation (affixial forms)

The functional parameters reflect the notional lexical categories involved:
(i) adjectives
(ii) passive participles
(iii) derived nouns

Love (1934:29) notes that 'uninflected [ie simplex] adjectives are rare.' It would appear that the great majority of adjectives in Worrorra are derived; there is however a smallish class of underived, simplex forms.

The semantic domains into which adjectives may be divided (eg Dixon 1982:16) do not appear to be correlated with their morphological type. For instance the domain 'colour' is spread across all morphological types:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
SIMPLEX & \begin{tabular}{l} 
SUFFIXIAL \\
dubudubulya 'red (masc)'
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
AFFIXIAL \\
jarlewa 'red'
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
wakumaada 'black' 'white'
\end{tabular} \\
rowa 'white' & &
\end{tabular}

Other semantic domains show similar morphological diversity:
(i) Words for relative location or position include bale 'behind, last' (simplex) and bulaka 'middle' (simplex), but the suffix - \({ }^{k}\) wurle or the affixial form \(={ }^{k}\) wurle is used for 'first.' The simplex adverb marnngale 'in front' is a reflex of the inalienable noun =marnngalema mana 'chest.'
(ii) Words for 'strong, powerful' are in different morphological categories: darranku (suffixial), \(=y u l w a r r a(\) affixial \()\) and \(=y u r r u l(b a)\) (affixial).
(iii) The domain of dimension includes birdeen 'small' (suffixial) and =raarreya 'big' (affixial), as well as karranken 'extended' (simplex) and =yawu 'short' (affixial).
(iv) The domain of speed is denoted by forms that are essentially adverbial; abeerla 'slow, quiet' (simplex) may be used as an adjective but is more usually an adverb, and its adverbial function may be indexed syntactically by its co-occurrence with maa 'CONTINUOUS' as abeerla maa 'slowly.' Words for 'fast' or 'quick' are all adverbial: mayakirrkam 'quickly,' bulumba (preverb) '(move) fast, (be) quick' and the preverbal suffix -mirri 'quickly.' The adverb mana-manangarri 'quickly' is composed of mana-mana (3mDEF-3mDEF) 'right here' ( \(\$ 7.3 .1\) ) + -ngarri 'RELATIVIZING.'
(v) Human propensity adjectives are morphologically diverse, and include the affixial forms = malala 'handsome, personable,' =manngulaaya 'inoffensive, meek, quiet,' =kwawiya 'pleasant (of food or people),' and =mara 'murderous, hostile.' Words for 'strong, powerful' also fall into this category (see above). Also the simplex forms karlikarli 'sulky,' yulu 'stubborn,' wirriwirriny 'busy, preoccupied' and rlulwa 'generous,' and the suffixial form wangala 'senseless, silly.' Other human propensities are denoted by preverbs: jukurl '(be) happy,' kadaada '(be) tired, aching' mungurr 'argue, (be) jealous' and ngarlingka 'torment, (be) cruel.' And at least one form is an inalienable noun: =yula inja 'anger.'
(vi) A number of adjectives denoting human physical properties such as afflictions, ailments and oddities are also morphologically diverse, such as the simplex forms aarra 'sick,' marreya 'sick,' ngarla 'sick,' wirrkeman 'unburied' and the adjectives describing the head listed below. Affixial forms include = albakurr 'having a withered arm,' = marreya 'sick,' = mawu 'left-handed,' = moy 'lefthanded,' =murlooku 'healthy, safe,' =kwurrinjat2 'lame,' =bwarndaaja 'lame' and =man 'dead.' Some adjectives of this type are derived from inalienable body-part nouns ( \(\$ 6.3\) ) with an added suffix -ja. These include \(={ }^{k}\) wambilija 'blind' from \(={ }^{k}\) wambul(u) wunu 'eye' and \(={ }^{k}\) warlija 'having a crippled arm' from \(={ }^{k}\) warluma mana 'arm.' A few semantic domains however are correlated with morphological form:
(vii) The two adjectives describing taste are simplex: wulaba 'sweet (=good-tasting)' and kurlakurla 'sour (=bad-tasting).'
(viii) Adjectives describing hair or its absence also appear to be simplex: balaababa 'bald,' baraan 'shaved (head)' and marrurlu 'grey (hair).
(ix) The semantic domain of age appears to contain only affixial forms: =ngunali_'new,' = rnirndeerr 'old' and = rnungkule 'ancient.'
(x) The domain of value contains affixial forms; = niya 'good' and =warr 'bad, wrong, sick.'
(xi) Physical-property adjectives appear to be mostly simplex: maramara 'hot,' rnima 'heavy,' yangkarliba 'limp' and mirimiri 'prickly, spiky.' Aalingarri 'soft' is a suffixial form derived by the suffix -ngarri 'RELATIVIZING.' Also in this category are the sensation preverbs imaya 'cold' and yali 'cold.' However at least one physical property adjective is based on the inalienable body-part noun \(={ }^{k}\) warluma mana 'arm:' = \({ }^{k}\) warlinjarri 'branching, spreading' (typically used to refer to the way in which tuber-bearing roots spread out underground).
(xii) Some important abstract concepts are realized as affixial adjectives, including: (a) =rnora 'be without (something), have none (of something),' as in ngarnora (|nga=rnora| [1=have.not]) 'I haven't got any;' (b) =yilem 'with (someone) present, in (someone's) presence,' as in iyilem ( \(|\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{yilem}|[3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{present}]\) ) 'in his presence, while he’s here' (although the antonym wurdun '(be) absent, silent' is a preverbal infinitive); and (c) = rnamaanya 'private (of property), reserved, set aside, which has third person reference only and does not accept agreement-class suffixes, as seen in (6.2a):

\footnotetext{
\(42={ }^{k}\) wurrinjal 'lame' is an irregular adjective. The initial consonant \(/{ }^{k} \mathrm{w} /\) hardens to a nasal stop under progressive consonant harmony: ngangurrinjal (|nga \(={ }^{k}\) wurrinjal | [1=lame]) 'I am lame.'
}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(6.2a) & Wunu & motor-car & wurnamaanya & wali ke. \\
& wunu & motor-car & \({ }^{k}\) wuN \(=\) rnamaanya & wali ke \\
& 3wDEF & car & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) reserved & PM \\
& This car is reserved for someone else's use). & \\
&
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngarnaarruba marno kamnyengkunaal.
nga \(=\) rnaarruba ma=rno ka-mnya=ya-ngku-na-kwaal
1=AT.HOME.OF \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST \(3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{DD}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither
He came around to my place.
There is however a plural form ardamaaya (|arr=rnamaa-ya| [3p=reserved-PL]). While morphologically an inalienable noun ( \(\$ 6.3\) ) the form =rnaarruba mana 'at the home of \(X\), at \(X\) 's place \({ }^{243}\) belongs here as well; its terrestrial gender marks it as a locational adverb, used in expressions such as ngarnaarruba 'at/to my place', seen above in (6.2b).

From the foregoing it can be seen that the set of simplex adjectives shows a random scatter across a wide range of semantic domains. Some simplex adjectives are derived from reduplicated simplex noun stems, for example manjumanju 'windy' from manjuma mana 'wind' and maramara 'hot' from marangunya nyina 'the sun.'

Some simplex forms may also function as nouns by zero derivation, for example mamaa 'secluded' (adjective)/'initiate' (masculine noun), barnmarn 'medicinal' (adjective)/'sorceror' (masculine noun) and wungkurr 'sacred' (adjective)/'sacred pool' (celestial noun). Other simplex adjectives may also function as preverbal infinitives (\$11.2): joy 'famous,' rdarlurn 'looming,' jukurl 'happy,' jilibeerd 'closed' and quite a number of others. Although affixial adjectives may lose agreement morphology when functioning as preverbal infinitives ( \(\$ 11.3 .3\) ), this loss appears to be restricted to that specific context. There is however at least one lexeme which can occur as a member of two morphological categories as an adjective: marreya (simplex)/=marreya (affixial) 'sick.'

Suffixial forms are an even smaller category than simplex forms, and include wangala 'senseless, silly, confused; lost,' dubudubulu 'red' and birdeen 'small.' Also in this category are forms derived by -ngarri or -ngarra 'REL' ( \(\$ 10.6 .2\) (i)) such as raarrkarri 'clean’ (from preverb braarr 'clean, clear'), aawangarri 'open' (from aawa 'open') and maramarangarri 'hot' (from maramara 'hot'). Forms derived by -ngarri are underlyingly nominal: -ngarri is essentially a derivational morpheme that derives surface adjectives and sometimes adverbs; so bulakangarri (|bulaka-ngarri| [middle-REL]) 'the one(s) in the middle,' wijingarra ( \(\mid\) wijii-ngarra | [boil-REL]) 'the one with boils, ie the spotted quoll,' mirimiringarrinya (|mirimiri-ngarri-nya| [thorny-REL-3f]) 'the thorny one, ie the echidna' and yalingarri (adverb) (|yalingarri| [cold-REL]) 'early in the morning.'

Two adjectives exhibit suppletive plural forms; these are birdeen 'small' (suffixial) and \(={ }^{k}\) wujal 'raw, unripe' (affixial). Their morphology is displayed below:

Table 6.3: adjective paradigms: suppletion

\section*{birdeen 'small'}
\(={ }^{k}\) wujal 'raw, unripe'
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & birdeen(u) & \(\mid\) birdeen(-u) \(\mid\) \\
2 & birdeen(u) & \(\mid\) birdeen(-u) \(\mid\) \\
3a & birdeenja & \(\mid\) birdeen-ya \(\mid\) \\
3f & birdeenya & \(\mid\) birdeen-nya \(\mid\) \\
3w & birdeenu & \(\mid\) birdeen- \(\mid\) \\
3m & birdeenma & \(\mid\) birdeen-ma \(\mid\) \\
PL & beewurdu &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline iwujalya & |i=kwujal-ya \\
\hline nyingkujalja & | nyiN=kwujal-nya | \\
\hline wungkujalu & | \({ }^{\text {k wuN }}\) =kwujal-u \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline mawujalama & \(\mid \mathrm{ma}={ }^{\text {kwujal-a-ma }}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Some root morphemes may be suffixed with -waa- 'PERSONIFYING' when referring to human and personified human denotata. This shape is another derivational morpheme which may itself take

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{43}\) Cf the meaning of French cher, Latin apud.
}
derivational suffixes, 3 a and \(3 \mathrm{p}-y a\), \(3 \mathrm{f}-n y a\) and \(3 \mathrm{~m}-m a\), producing the forms -waaya for masculine singular and all plural human references, -waanya for feminine singular reference and -waama for terrestrial (cf 6.1 j and Table 6.7). Examples are bulmarrwaaya 'squashed, flattened' and marnduwaanya (|marndu-waa-nya| [stomach-PERS-3f]) 'pregnant.'

\subsection*{6.2.2 Passive participles}

Passive participles in Worrorra appear to be forms derived from verb roots with the middle-voice suffix -ye added in form-order class [8]. Love made extensive use of these forms in translating Christian terms into Worrorra, but no examples of them occur in unelicited contexts in all of the 150odd pages of the Worrorra database. And so we are faced with a problem: were these forms merely coined by Love out of a highly productive morphology to create words that would be comprehensible if not natural to his flock? Or are they forms that are simply unlikely to occur in the narrative, predicating-and-referring style of speech of which the database is mainly composed? There is some evidence to suppose that the latter might be the case, and that these forms really do represent an original feature of the morphology, although one whose resulting meanings were rather more 'fixed' or lexically frozen than is implied by Love's account:

Worrorra speech does not include many abstract ideas. Abstract nouns, however do occur. On examination these are found to be, ... The neuter-wuna form of a verb in the future passive ... In this manner, abstract nouns may be coined from the corresponding verb, or less often adjective. Thus, if the language has a verb, or an adjective, containing the thought required to be expressed by a noun, this can be intelligibly given. (Love 1934:27)

As examples, Love cites wun'djo:rie 'hatred' from =yora 'hate' (underlying \(\left.\right|^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wuN}=\) yora-ye \(\mid[3 \mathrm{w}=\) hateMID]), and umi'imbai'e (wumeembiya) 'sign' from =meembu 'show' (underlying |kwuN=meembu-ye| \([3 \mathrm{w}=\) show-MID]). This quotation from Love illustrates very well the missionary concern with linguistics as essentially a science of translation, from English into some target vernacular. This is the opposite of modern linguistic endeavour, which is concerned to account for what is there, rather than to create what is not there. Specifically, Love was interested in coining abstract nouns by morphological derivation from verb roots, and so he lists among others ardjalgai'eja 'hidden things' (Love 1939b:53) and wundjai'elgai'e 'secret' (1939b:95), both from the transitive verb root =yalke 'hide;' adbi'imbai'eja 'signs' (1941a:3) and imi'imbai'e 'teacher' (1939b:113) from =meembu 'show;' adburi'eja 'elect, chosen ones' (1939b:35) from =mra 'gather,' and ar'didji'eja 'vision' (1939b:124, 1941a:4) from =ninja 'look at.' The question remains as to the extent to which he was simply making use of a derivational process already available, or forcing the grammar into forms and meanings which it had not previously taken.

A number of these forms are recoverable today by elicitation, although with meanings somewhat different from those given by Love. As an example, note the following partial paradigm of the root \(=\) meembiya '(still) recognizable,' in Table 6.4:

Table 6.4: participial paradigm
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngameembiya & \(\mid\) nga=meembu-ye \(\mid\) & {\([1=\) show-MID \(]\)} \\
2 & ngunmeembiya & \(\mid\) ngun=meembu-ye \(\mid\) & {\([2=\) show-MID \(]\)} \\
3 a & imeembiyeya & \(\mid \mathrm{i}=\) meembu-ye-ya \(\mid\) & {\([3 \mathrm{a}=\) show-MID-3a \(]\)} \\
3 f & nyimeembiyanya & \(\mid\) nyiN=meembu-ye-nya \(\mid\) & {\([3 \mathrm{f}=\) show-MID-3f \(]\)} \\
3 w & wumeembiya & \(\mid{ }^{\mathrm{k} w u N=\text { weembu-ye } \mid}\) & {\([3 \mathrm{w}=\) show-MID \(]\)} \\
3 m & mameembiya & \(\mid\) ma=meembu-ye \(\mid\) & {\([3 \mathrm{~m}=\) show-MID \(]\)} \\
3 p & arrbeembiyeya & \(\mid \mathrm{arr}=\) meembu-ye-ya \(\mid\) & {\([3 \mathrm{p}=\) show-MID-3p] }
\end{tabular}

These forms have meanings such as: nyimeembiyanya 'she's still the same' and arrbeembiyeya 'they haven't changed much,' etc, and are also used in expressions such as that shown in (6.3):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngunminguma & mameembiya \\
ngun=minguma & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) meembu-ye \\
\(2=\) face & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) recognizable-MID \\
You baven't changed & (Lit: 'your face is still recognizable')
\end{tabular}

In (6.3) the participle mameembiya modifies the inalienable noun =minguma mana 'face, nose,' and so shows terrestrial agreement. Love recorded these forms as (3w) umi'imbai'e 'sign,' (3p) adbi'imbai'eja 'signs' and (3a) imi'imbai'e 'teacher,' showing both phonological and semantic discrepancies with modern forms. The meanings that Love lists for these words are based directly on the meaning of the root verb =meembu 'show;' that is to say that Love's meanings are predictable from the meaning of the root verb. So a sign is 'that which is shown,' and a teacher is 'he who shows.' The modern meaning, however ('recognizable' or something like it), while clearly related semantically to the root verb, is certainly not predictable from it; that is to say that the derived forms represent new lexical entries.

The phonological discrepancies are more involved, and relate to the tension in phonology between allophonic and morphophonemic rules. It is clear from Love's notes that he was well aware of the underlying morphophonemic processes that drive Worrorra grammar (cf, eg, Love 1934:102). The shapes of the unsuffixed (participant and non-human macrogender) forms shown in Table 6.4 allow us to posit a root morpheme =meembiya 'recognizable.' It is also clear that the verbs that underlie the participial forms are transitive, but have lost an argument in the process of their derivation, suggesting that some kind of valency-reducing process is occurring. As far as Love would have been concerned this would have involved the loss of either a subject argument (umi'imbai' e 'sign') or an object argument (imi'imbai'e 'teacher'). Added directly to the underlying verb root is the segment \(|-y a|\), formally and apparently functionally reminiscent of the middle morpheme -ye. It is likely that Love recognized the syllable \(-y a\) as a reflex of the middle (his passive) morpheme, and treated it as an allophonic variant of -ye rather than as a phonological reflex of the morpheme \(\mid\)-ye \(\mid\). This approach would have been supported by the shape of the morpheme when further suffixed by \(-y a\) (masculine and plural), which is \(-y e\). This phenomenon however is not related to the syllable's morphophonemic shape, being simply an instance of open vowel fronting and raising between palatal glides:
\[
|\mathrm{a}| \longrightarrow \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{y} \_\mathrm{y} \quad \text { eg imeembiyeya }
\]

Hence Love represents the middle reflex consistently as \(\left[-i^{\prime} e\right]\) in his transcriptions, probably because having correctly recognized this segment as a variant of the middle morpheme, he collapsed the levels of description involved.

Another middle form is adijiyeya (|arr=ninja-ye-ya| [3p=look.at-MID-3p]), which essentially refers to a recollection, dream or some other non-mundane experience (a 'calling up') of deceased people, or of people you haven't seen for a long time and whom you want to see. This is a more specific modern translation than Love's 'vision,' and again reflects a more lexically idiosyncratic derivation, and one less predictable than simply 'vision.' And so there is more than one possible interpretation of the sentence offered by Love in 1941a:4:
(6.4) Adijiyeya mara kaangona.
arr=ninja-ye-ya
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) look-MID-3p mara \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { see } & \begin{array}{l}\text { kaarr-nga= }{ }^{2} \text { wu-na } \\ 3 \mathrm{p}-1=\text { hit-PAST }\end{array} \\ \text { I saw a vision / } \\ \text { I saw/ remembered/thought about that/ those old (deceased) person/people. }\end{array}\)

\subsection*{6.2.3 Derived nouns}

Most adjectival lexemes are derived forms which, in terms of the range of semantic types they display, grade imperceptibly into a notional category of derived nouns. Morphologically, derived forms constitute a single, unitary category with denotata ranging from prototypically adjectival states such as =niya 'good' and =warr 'bad' through to prototypical nouns such as iyarnda 'little boy,' ngawmalenya 'my
little sister' and nyinjorinya 'widow.' At first glance, this provisional distinction among derived forms in semantics would seem to be an entirely etic one, not able to be justified in the morphology. The last three examples given above have underlying forms =yarnda 'infant,' \(=w(a)\) male 'younger sibling' and \(=y o r u\) 'widowed,' so that other forms may be produced as well; eg. nyinjarndanya |nyiN=yarnda-nya | [3f=infant-3f] little girl,' ngarrwmalenya |ngarr=wmale-nya| [1pin=jnr.sibling-3f] 'our little sister' and iyoru \(|\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{yoru}|[3 \mathrm{a}=\) widowed] 'widower,' and so on for all of the ten agreement classes in the language. Nevertheless, while derived adjectives and derived nouns are morphologically indistinguishable, there do appear to be emic (language internal) grounds for making lexical-semantic distinctions among derived forms.

Derived forms may occur in apposition to each other, as seen in (6.5):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Iyarnda & iniya \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yarnda & \(\mathrm{i}=\) niya \\
3a=infant & 3a=good \\
A good little boy
\end{tabular}

The term iniya 'good (masc)' typically denotes a value state, in which state may be found people, artefacts, food and other substantive things. On the other hand iyarnda 'little boy' has as its core meaning, the denotation of a human being of a specific age. Although the root morphophoneme =yarnda 'young, infant' may also be applied to the young of animals, all surface shapes indexed for human macrogender agreement refer to humans by default, unless some specific animal reference is prominent in the context of a discourse. Semantically, then, we may say that the core denotation of iyarnda is essentially substantive, while that of iniya is not.

Syntactic headedness in the context of phrases like that shown in (6.5) is important in determining which of the forms, if either, is functioning typically, cross-linguistically, as a noun; that is as the nonanaphoric head of an NP in phrase structure. Phrases of the sort shown in (6.5) have at least two structural possibilities. One is that neither word is the phrasal head, both being dependent upon some other implied head noun, while the other possibility is that one of these words does function as a phrasal head, with the other serving as its modifier. Note how in (6.6a), the phrase in (6.5) may function as a predicate argument:
(6.6a) Iyarnda iniya kaarn kaningeerri.
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yarnda \(\mathrm{i}=\) niya kaarn \(\mathrm{ka}=\) ni-ng-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) infant \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) good sing \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PRES-PROG
A good little boy is singing.
(b) Angkuyu kaarn kaningeerri? Iyarnda.
angkuyu kaarn ka=ni-ng-eerri \(\quad i=y a r n d a\)
who sing \(3 a=\) be-PRES-PROG \(\quad 3 a=\) infant
Who is singing? A little boy.
In (6.6b) the proposition in (6.6a) is questioned and answered. In some constrained context the answer could be awa iniya inja 'the good one,' set about with foregrounding ('nominalizing') pronouns (see \(\S 17.2 .2, \S 17.4\) ); but without fairly detailed circumstantial constraints, iyarnda serves as the normal, unmarked denotation of a substantive entity, not inija.

Considerations of this sort enable us to make a distinction among derived forms, between terms denoting physical entities that may also serve as syntactic heads of NPs, and words denoting attributes, states and qualities that modify NP heads. Our ability to draw such a distinction is consequent upon the semantic properties of the root lexemes concerned. Nevertheless the boundary between the lexical-semantic categories of derived adjectives and derived nouns is nothing if not fuzzy. What we end up with is an opposition between typically nominal forms on the one hand and typically adjectival forms on the other, with a good deal of material intervening.

Derivational morphology may sometimes be used simply as a tool for making lexical-semantic distinctions, without regard to the denotational properties of the agreement categories themselves. As
an example, note the abstract root form =yawarra 'shiny, edible fluid; juice, grease.' This lexeme has a masculine shape iyawarra inja 'juice from fruit,' a celestial shape wnnjawarra wunu 'grease off meat,' and a mass plural shape ajawarre anja 'lots of grease off meat' (see \(\int 4.2 .2\) ).

\subsection*{6.2.4 Social categories}

Most, but not all, of the material at the nominal end of the scale denotes social categories of human beings. These include the bereavement categories =yoru 'widowed' and =ye 'orphaned,' the referents of which are clearly nominal (eg nyinjorinya 'widow'). Age-grade terms also constitute a set of social categories: =ngujarr 'new born,' =yarnda 'infant,' = marurr 'young adolescent' and =rnayurr 'adult.' This latter root has as well a metaphoric or extended meaning, as 'elder, leader, boss.' Other social categories denoted by these forms are = rnawurrkunja 'enemy' and \(={ }^{*}\) warrinja 'human.'

A number of important kinship nouns are derived: = bwarnkarra 'grown-up child of some parent,' \(={ }^{b}\) wulku 'first-born child of some parent,' =w(a)male 'younger sibling' and \(={ }^{k}\) wurlu/ \(={ }^{k}\) wurla 'man's son, woman's brother's son, conceived of as a member of her patriline.' This last is an irregular, verb-like form, which Love (1941b:108, 1939b:27, 103) describes as a verb meaning 'beget' (see also comments in \(₫ 7.7, \S 13.1 .1, \S 18)\). It contains a subjunctive morpheme and indexes both propositus in agent position, and referent in undergoer position, as shown in the examples in (6.7):
(6.7a) Ingkorlu

> i-Ø-ngka=kwurlu

3a-3-SJTV=‘beget’
His son
(b) Inganngunyorlu
i-ngan-ngun-nya=kwurlu
3a-1-SJTV-EP=‘beget'
My son
(c) Ingkaarrkurlu
i-ngka-bwarr=kwurlu
3a-SJTV-3p=‘beget’
Their son

These terms locate a boy in his father's (ie his father's father's) clan or patriline (among his abaabiya 'elder brothers,' see Chapter 18). Love offers an example of a form meaning 'my daughter' in 1939b:27, but no mention of this is made in Love 1941b:108, the words based on \(=^{k}\) wurlu being given as 'man's son.'44 An important part of the meaning of \(={ }^{k} w u r l u\), however, is that it may also be used by women, when referring to their brothers' sons as members of their own patriline. For example the dual exclusive term errkunyorlaarndu in (6.8a) may be used by a woman talking to (vocative), or about her brother's son, in which case it indexes a tripartite relationship between a brother and sister and the brother's son. The trial inclusive form ( 6.8 b ), in turn, may be used by a woman talking to two of her brothers or sisters while referring to their brother's son:
(6.8a) Errkunyorlaarndu
a-nyarr-ngun-nya \(={ }^{k}\) wurlu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{px}-\) SJTV-EP='beget'-DU
Our (dual exclusive) 'son'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{44}\) While claiming that these are subjunctive forms (relativized forms, see \(\$ 15.6 .3\) ), and offering the example ingkorlu, Love also gives non-relativized examples kangorlu (|ka-nga=kwurlu| [3a-1='beget']) 'my son' and nyingorlu (|nyiN-nga \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurlu | [3f-1 = 'beget']) 'my daughter' (1939b:27), as well as a number of other forms (1939b:103).
}
(b) Arrkunyorloorri
a-ngarr-ngun-nya \(={ }^{k}\) wurlu-oorri
3a-1 pin-SJTV-EP='beget'-TRI
Our (trial inclusive) nephew
As these terms may refer to the relationship between a woman and her brothers' sons, as well as to that between her brothers and their sons, the translations 'beget' and 'son' are not quite accurate. Nevertheless the forms of these Worrorra words clearly involve the root morpheme in some verbal or quasi-verbal function: some kind of semantically extended type of 'begetting.'

In terms of the set of necessary-and-sufficient conditions for external possession proposed by Payne \& Barshi (1999), this construction is arguably not an instance of that syntactic type. Although the possessor is coded as a core argument (here subject) of a verb, the verb \(=^{k}\) wurlu 'beget' requires a possessor subject in its argument frame. \({ }^{45}\) This root is discussed further in \(\$ 7.7\) below.

Other quasi-verbal or gerund-like forms are derived from the intransitive root \(={ }^{*}\) wangkarre 'SUBJECT'S image exists.' This root occurs only in present tense forms such as ngaangkarrenga (|nga=kwangkarre-ng| [1=IMAGE.EXIST-PRES]) 'my picture is there.' The shape /nga/ at the end of the root is apparently a frozen present tense suffix. This verb appears as well in subjunctive shape, producing in effect an adjectival form, as in (6.9), with reference to a Wandjina painting:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mana & barnjama & ingkaangkarrengama, & irnurnu & warndi \\
mana & barnjama & i-ngka=kwangkarre-ng-a-ma & \(i=\) rnurnu & warndi \\
3mDEF & cave & 3a-SJTV=image.exist-PRES-EP-3m & 3a=hand & create
\end{tabular}
konama.
ØN- - - ngka \(=\) bwu-na-ma
\(3 \mathrm{w}-3\)-SJTV \(=\) hit-PAST-3m
The cave where his picture is, where he painted his hands.
The second clause contains the inalienable noun = rпити wипи 'hand,' with indexation for a masculine singular dependent NP (see \(\S 6.3\) ).

Worrorra society was traditionally in extended social contact with a number of other linguisticallydefined groups, the largest of which appear to have been Ngarinyin and Wunambal ( \(\$ 1.3\) ). The word Worrorra is invariant, and is used as an adjective and a noun, to denote the people, the society, the culture and the language of the region concerned. The term Ngarinyin wunu refers to the group of people who speak Ungarinyin as their first language, but other references are derived from the root form =ngarinyin. So, the Ungarinyin language is Wungarinyinu wunu, and Ngarinyin people are Arrkarinyinja anja. Likewise, Wunambal wunu is the group name for the people who live north of Worrorra country, but other terms are derived from the root \(=^{*}\) wunambal. The Wunambal language, therefore, is Wunambalu wunu, and Wunambal-speaking people are Arrkunambalya anja. The allocation of the group names of these people to the celestial class indicates that the underlying principle by which these groups are defined is linguistic.

The names of the two Worrorra patrimoieties, Arrbalarriya (phonetic Adbalarriya) and Arrvunarriya, are derived from the roots \(=\) malarr and \(=\) bpunarr respectively (Table 6.5). These roots may contain an archaic plural marker in the phoneme / \(\mathrm{rr} /\), which is iconic of plurality in its trilled allophone (a series of repeated, audible beats of the tongue apex against the alveolum). \({ }^{46}\) Note that this phoneme occurs at the end of all the language's plural NP indices and in none of the singular forms ( \(\$ 6.1\) ). Factoring out this sound, and ignoring their status as root forms, we are left with *mala and *wuna. Love (1934)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{45}\) And is in this respect like verbs such as 'have' and 'own' which require a possessor in subject grammatical function.
\({ }^{46}\) Capell \& Coate (1984:105 et seq) discuss the widespread occurrence of / rr / as a plural morpheme in the North Kimberley and Arnhem Land.
}
recorded the shape of the celestial definite article quite consistently as wuna, although I, just as consistently, heard it as wunu. Be that as it may, what we clearly have here are archaic cognates of the markers of the non-human macrogender, mana and wunu (\$4.1.6). Love (1950:280) clearly states, 'I do not know any meaning for the moiety names; they are just the names of moieties.' Nevertheless Blundell (1976) records reference to the moiety names as arbulari (Arrbalarri) 'plains people' and arungari (Arrwunarri) 'rocky people,' terms that are congruent with the semantic analysis offered in §4.1.5. Blundell offers no discussion of these designations, however, and it could be, for instance, that they simply refer to the relative locations of certain patriclans on their traditional land. We need only note that in reckoning kinship, the Worrorra patrimoieties classify the world into two dynamically opposed (exogamous) groups. Such a cultural partition runs orthogonally to the biological malefemale division, and the appropriate orthogonal classificatory apparatus (the non-human macrogender) is enlisted to label its members.

Table 6.5: patrimoiety terms
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& =malarr & =bpunarr \\
1 & ngamalarru & ngawunarru \\
2 & ngunmalarru & ngunbunarru \\
3 a & imalarri & iwunarri \\
3 f & nyimalaja & nyimbunaja \\
3 w & wumalarru & wumbunarru \\
3 m & mamalarrba & mawunarrba \\
1 pin & ngarrbalarru & ngarrwunarru \\
1 px & arrbalarru & arrwunarru \\
2 p & nyirrbalarru & nyirrwunarru \\
3 p & arrbalarriya & arrwunarriya
\end{tabular}

Animals, places and plants, as well as people, are allocated to one or other of the two patrimoieties. This projects the root forms of the patrimoiety terms into all agreement classes, as shown in Table 6.5. In sentence example (6.10), Patsy is describing the patrimoiety affiliation of the sulphur-crested cockatoo (kuyaarriya inja). With reference to its totemic status, the cockatoo, like other totemic beings, may be denoted in terms of its geographic affiliation, as mamalarrba '(belonging to) the land of (the people of) the Adbalarriya moiety,' as well as in terms of its own inherent masculine gender, as imalarri:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kuyaarriya & mamalarrba; & imalarri & kuyaarriya. \\
kuyaarriya & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) malarr-ma & \(\mathrm{i}=\) malarr- & kuyaarriya \\
cockatoo.sp & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) =PATRIMOIETY-3m & 3a=PATRIMOIETY-3a & cockatoo.sp \\
The sulphur-crested cockatoo belongs to the Adbalarriya moiety. &
\end{tabular}

People who spoke Worrorra as their first language were traditionally grouped in around about a dozen patrilineally-reckoned extended families or patriclans (Love 1935:230-231, Blundell \& Layton 1978, Blundell 1980). These were exogamous, virilocal, patrilineal groups sociocentrically named for the country or territory in which they were located. Being exogamous, each clan belonged to one or other of the two patrimoieties. By Love's account, five clans belonged to the Arrbalarriya moiety, and five to the Arrwunarriya moiety. Consanguineal clan members all bore the same clan and moiety titles, as opposed to women who married into the clan, and who retained their own clan and moiety affiliations. Love's population estimate of 300 for Worrorra society as a whole at contact allows each patriclan c 25 members on average: this figure at least gives us an indication of the order of magnitude of the groups under discussion.

The patriclan appears to have been essentially an extended patrilineal family defined by descent, and by religious and emotional allegiance to a particular location. The underlyingly locational definition of clans is reflected in both the shape and gender of the word for 'patriclan:' = rambim mana (an inalienable noun, see \(\int 6.3\) ). This word is clearly cognate with dambeem mana 'place.' Although in theory a clan could have been composed of a number of unrelated patrilines, in practice all members
of a clan were probably descended from a common ancestor. Members referred to themselves collectively as abáabiya 'brothers,' or abàabiyéya 'sisters.' All members bore their clan as well as their patrimoiety titles, and upon marriage women retained both. Marriage appears to have been generally uxorilocal at first, then virilocal, that is, in the husband's clan territory, after a wife (and presumably her family) had gained confidence in her husband. Although on occasion men appear to have travelled fairly widely outside their clan territory, women and children were probably tied more closely to it. As Patsy says, speaking of her childhood before contact with Europeans:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kaarri & waa & mayakarrima & bejengenya & kaarri, \\
kaarri & waa & ma=yakarri-ma & ba-nyarr-nya=[ya-nga]-yinya & kaarri \\
3pNEG & not & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) other-3m & CFT-1px-EP=[go-TNS]-PAST & 3pNEG
\end{tabular}
dambeema mawi.
place 3mNEG
We never used to go to other places.

In one case at least, that of Arnngarrngoyu (not listed in Love 1935), the name of a clan is phonologically unrelated to the name of the country it occupied: Malamalorn mana 'the Glenelg River.' However most clan titles were derived from the name of the country they occupied. The Prince Regent River, for example, Malanduma mana, was the home of a clan of the same name, whose titles were derived as shown below in Table 6.6.

The names and partial derivations of a few other clan countries are displayed in Table 6.7 for comparison. Note the variety of morphological patterns in this Table. The personifying suffix \(-(b w)\) aaya (feminine \(-(b w)\) aanya) is used typically do denote a person as coming from the place marked by the suffix. \({ }^{47}\) Three of the terms in Table 6.7 use this suffix instead of derivational prefixes; Love (1934:30) also gives Karnmanyawaaya 'person from Kunmunya,' and the root =mangurraama takes this suffix as well as derivational prefixes. The gender of most clan countries is terrestrial, except for Wurnbangku which is celestial (see \(₫ 4.1 .4\) (i)). The name Meegrarlba also appears as both Grarl and Grarlya. The people of Yawijaba mana 'the Montgomery Islands,' probably had patriclan status in Worrorra society - the islands are so small, they probably could not have supported more than a clansized group at most.

Table 6.6: Adbalandiya - the Prince Regent River clan
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngamalandu & 1 pin & ngarrbalandu \\
2 & ngunmalandu & 1 px & \begin{tabular}{l} 
arrbalandu \\
3 a
\end{tabular} \\
imalandu & 2 p & nyirrbalandu \\
3 f & nyimalandinya & 3 p & arrbalandiya \\
3 w & wumalandu & & \\
3 m & mamalanduma & &
\end{tabular}

Table 6.7: some patriclan terms
\begin{tabular}{lllc} 
PLACE & FEMININE & MASCULINE & PLURAL \\
Meegrarlba mana & Nyeegrarlja & Igrarlya & Arrigrarlya \\
Loolim mana & Nyiloolinya & Iloola & Arrlooliya \\
Wurnbangku wunu & Wurnbangkuwaanya & & Wurnbangkuwaaya \\
Larrinyima mana & Larrinyuwaanya & & Larrinyuwaya \\
Mamangurraama mana & Nyimangurraanya & & Imangurraaya \\
Yawijaba mana & Yawijibaanya & & Yawijibaaya
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{47}\) Note also the related adjectives bulmarr 'prostrate' and bulmarrwaaya 'crushed, flattened;' and the noun marnduma 'stomach' and the adjective marnduwaanya 'pregnant.'
}

Animals and other significant things can be totemic emblems for particular clans, and such animals and things are said to 'represent' that clan, and may thereby have membership of it:
(6.12)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngurlandangarri & nyina & malyaawam & Wurnbangkuwaanya \\
ngurlandangarri & nyina & malyaawam & Wurnbangku-waa-nya \\
little.corella & 3fDEF & REASON & CLAN.NAME-PERS-3f
\end{tabular}
bungajinangkerri; nyangka Wurnbangkuwaamaanya.
ba-kuN-ngarr=yi-nangka-eerri nyangka Wurnbangku-waa-maa-nya
CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do-DAT-PROG 3fNAR CLAN.NAME-PERS-SPEC-3f
That's the reason why we call the little corella 'the W urnbangku woman,' she belongs only to the land of W urnbangkeu.

\subsection*{6.2.5 Pro-form burn-}

The base form burn- (glossed PRO) serves as the all-purpose pro-form in the language, and takes agreement-class suffixes as shown in Table 6.8:48

Table 6.8: pro-form burn-
\begin{tabular}{ll}
3 a & burnja \\
3 f & burnnya \\
3 w & burnu \\
3 m & burnma \\
3 p & burnji
\end{tabular}

The pro-form is used in Worrorra as a substitute for nouns that a speaker is unable for the time being to remember, as in English, 'whatsit' or 'that thing.' It is also used to refer to amorphous or anonymous materials, such as are referred to by the nouns 'thing' or 'stuff' in English. Such materials may be dangerous, in which case the plural shape will be used with collective meaning, as seen in (6.13a) below.

The fact that the agreement class of the denotatum substituted for is obligatorily encoded on the pro-form, supports Silverstein's (1986:509) contention that in undertaking an act of reference, there are certain base-level, language-specific, denotational structures (eg in this case gender and number) that constitute preconditions for the successful accomplishment of such an act. Examples of the use of burn- are shown below:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Burnji & anja & kaankarndenganangka & nyineemarnanya. \\
burnji & anja & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) kwarnde-nga-nangka & nyiN=neema-rnanya \\
3pPRO & 3pDEF & 3p-INV-3= place-PAST-DAT & 3f=ear-LOC \\
He put a spell on her (lit: he put magic in her ears). &
\end{tabular}
(b) Waa burnu bungkaajin, kaarri.
waa burnu ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-n kaarri
not 3 wPRO CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-NON.P 3pNEG
They don't do that (listen properly) at all.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{48}\) The morphophonolgy of the pro-form burn- is as follows: \(\mid\) burn-ya \(\mid>\) burnja, \(\mid\) burn-nya \(\mid>\) burnnya, \(\mid\) burn-u \(\mid>\) burnu, \(\mid\) burn-ma \(\mid>\) burnma, \(\mid\) burn-yi \(\mid>\) burnji.
}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Aambulkarndu & wunu & karle & wayarl & kumiyena & wunu \\
\(a={ }^{k}\) wambul- \({ }^{\text {warndu }}\) & wunu & karle & wayarl & kuN=ma-ye-na & wunu \\
\(3 a=\) eye-DU & 3 wDEF & then & clean & \(3 w=\) get-MID-PAST & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
burnma, kuma mana.
3 mPRO gum 3mDEF
Their eyes were cleansed of that stuff, that gum.
In (6.13c) the speaker refers to k.uma mana 'gum' by the terrestrial pro-form, burnma, but determines it with the celestial definite article, wипи. In the database the noun kuma 'gum, glue' behaves as if it could belong to both genders: Daisy makes switches of this sort more than once. In (6.13a) the 'stuff' referred to is sorcery, and gets plural/collective marking, on the proform and on the verb in undergoer position (cf. \(₫ 4.2 .2\) ). The 'owner' ('her') of the locative adjunct (nyineemarnanya 'in her ears') is indexed on the verb as a non-subcategorized object (glossed DAT) (see \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (iii)).

The celestial shape burnu substitutes for verbal or sentential constituents, as shown in (6.13b) above. In this case, reference is made to events by nominal forms; that is to say that events are reified as things (cf. \(\S 11.2 .3\) and \(\S 17.1 .1\) ). The form burnu is also used in complex predicates euphemistically to refer to dangerous, unpleasant, rude or embarrassing events or acts, the denotation of which the speaker would prefer not to have to utter (cf diffuse reference in \(\$ 7.1\) ). Some examples of this phenomenon are seen below in (6.14). Another example of this use of burnu is seen in (7.43b).
(6.14a) Iwarndu wunu burnu bungkenya.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{b}\) warndu & wunu & burnu & ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-nya \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) lower.arm & 3wDEF & 3wPRO & CFT-VCOMP-3=do-PAST
\end{tabular}

He broke his arm.
(b) Larlangkarranyinim burnu ko
rlarlangkarra-nyini-ma burnu ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{b}\) wu
sea-until-3m 3wPRO 3a-3=hit
He perished at sea.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngani & kunjeeng? & Angkuyu & burnu & ngunbunerri? \\
ngani & kuN-nja=yi-ng & angkuyu & burnu & ngun-n- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na-eerri \\
what & VCOMP-2=do-PAST who & 3wPRO & 2-INV-3=hit-PAST-PROG \\
What happened to you? Who did this to you? & &
\end{tabular}
(d) Aaya anguja, burnu kunjeeng?
aaya anguja burnu kuN-nja=yi-ng
3aREF what 3wPRO VCOMP-2=do-PAST
What was that, did you fart?

\subsection*{6.2.6 Number and reduplication}

This section deals with two separate but related phenomena. The first part looks at the way in which plural entities are encoded in singular agreement-class forms, and the second at the way in which singular agreement forms may signal plurality by way of phonological extensions to their root shapes.

\section*{6.2 .6 (i) number}

In \(\S 4.2 .1\) it was observed that plural marking is only available to human nouns and nouns referring to important animals, foods or artefacts; that is, to denotata that are highly animate. For other, less animate beings, singular forms are used to refer to just one instance, and also to a number of instances of such things. So:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
inja manjawarra & the manjawarra berry or the manjawarra berries \\
wunu kayuku & the stone or the stones \\
mana angkam & the bowl or the bowls \\
nyina meerrinya & the female crocodile or the female crocodiles \\
mana mayarama & the bouse, the houses, station or settlement
\end{tabular}

It is as if the language treats all low-animate nouns the way English treats mass/food nouns such as rice, boney, sugar, wine. These forms may not take plural marking, as they do not refer to the kinds of things that are conceived of as being able to be counted. Similarly in Worrorra, it is as if low-animate nouns refer to entities that are not conceived of as being the kinds of things that warrant individuation beyond the identification of a single instance of such a thing. \({ }^{49}\) It follows therefore that adjectives behave in the same manner: that is to say that for some less animate entity, singular adjectival agreement shapes are used to refer to both singular and plural occurrences of that entity. The phrases below use the adjective =yakarri 'other:'
```

inja karraki iyakarra the other bag or bags
motor-car jakarri wunu the other car or cars
mana mayaram mayakarrima the other house or houses
nyina kambananya nyinjakarrinya the other shovel or shovels

```

The third person plural shape of adjectives, then, is applied only to people and other things highly placed on a scale of animacy (which varies according to context). And in this case, of course, gender is neutralized in plural marking. The phrases below use the adjective = raarreya 'big:'
```

nyina nyangkanya nyirndaarreyinya the biggirl
anja nyangkaangkaya ardaarreya the biggirls
inja eeja iraarreya the big man
anja eeja ardaarreya the big men

```

Even an inherently plural adjective like = raarrawa 'many' requires singular forms with reference to lowanimate denotata:
(6.15a) Anja ardaarrawaaya
anja arr=raarrawa-waa-ya
3pDEF px=many-PERS-3p
Many people

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{49}\) On one recorded occasion when being bitten by ants, Patsy says in English, 'ants I got it.' Besides a typically Worrorra word order (noun \(+[\) verb+arg indices \(]\) ), this expression shows a plural noun cross-referenced by a singular pronoun ('it').
}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mamangkunu & rdaarrawa & wunu \\
mamangkunu & \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) raarrawa & wunu \\
morning & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) many & 3 wDEF
\end{tabular}

Every morning/ each morning
The demonstrative adjective \(=n\)-ngal 'these few, several, some' also requires singular forms with reference to low-animate (non-human) denotata:
koyoya inngalya
marrirri wunngalu
rdarrkenya nyinngalja
mayaram manngalba or manngalima
wangaya anngalya
eeja anngalya

\author{
several crocodiles \\ several red-winged parrots \\ several grasshoppers \\ several houses \\ several women \\ several men
}

Here the plural agreement form of the adjective, anngalya, is reserved for reference to highly animate denotata; all other nouns induce singular agreement-class prefixes on adjectives, even when a number of such things are specifically referred to.

Highly animate (human) denotata trigger plural agreement on adjectives, but they appear not to be restricted to occurring with plural agreement forms. Such denotata may on occasion induce singular (masculine or feminine) agreement prefixes when a number of them are being referred to. I do not fully understand the grammar of this phenomenon, as there are few occurrences of it in the database, and elicitation of these forms out of context is inherently unreliable. Under dual number marking ( \(\$ 9.3\) ), adjectives prefer singular prefix shapes when referring to human denotata, although duality is a special case. Nevertheless, note the occurrence of singular agreement marking on a form referring to a number of humans in (6.16):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngayu & nyinjorinya & nyinngalja, & yorr \\
ngayu & nyiN=yoru-nya & nyiN=n-ngal-nya & yorr \\
I & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) =widowed-3f & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-several-3f & sit.together
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
nyangkawanjeerri & nyirno-nyirno; & nyirno & ninjarrungunya. \\
nyaN-ngka=bwa-ny-yeerri & nyiN=rno & nyiN=rno & nyiN=yarrungu-nya \\
3f-SJTV=fall-MIR-PROG & 3f=DIST & 3f=DIST & 3f=QUANT-3f
\end{tabular}

I am one of these widows that live all around here, all on their own.
My best guess is that in this example, and possibly in some others like it, the singular reference of the first word (ngayu ' I ') triggers singular agreement marking throughout the rest of the sentence, on coreferential derived nouns, demonstratives, verbs and adjectives (ninjarrungunya 'one (fem):' see Table 9.2 in \(\int 9.1\) ). Love ( \(1934: 28\) ) notes precisely this phenomenon with respect to =raarrawa 'many:' reference to women and girls is usually by way of the 3p shape ardaarrawaaya (cf. 6.15a), but occasionally by way of the feminine shape nyirndaarrawaanya (|nyiN=raarra-waa-nya| [3f=many-PERS\(3 f]\) ). Plural agreement-marking on adjectives and pronouns is summarized below in Table 6.9. This phenomenon is discussed again in \(\$ 7.3\) and \(\$ 9.5\).

Table 6.9: plural agreement prefixes found on adjectives and pronouns
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& HUMAN & NON-HUMAN \\
3 a & i- & i- \\
3 f & nyiN- & nyiN- \\
3 w & & \({ }^{\text {k wuN- }}\), , ØN- \\
3 m & & ma- \\
3 p & arr- &
\end{tabular}
6.2 .6 (ii) reduplication

In \(\int 4.2 .1\) we saw that many highly animate nouns, that is those denoting humans and dogs, and including nearly all kinship nouns, form plurals by phonological extensions of their stems. Some inanimate nouns as well, are able to encode different kinds of semantic extension by means of reduplication:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
imarulya inja & ball (of food), cake & imarumarulya inja & a pile of cakes \\
kurrandu wunu & bill & kurraarrandu wunu & a line of hills \\
mamangkunu wunu & morning & mamaamangkunu wunu & dawn \\
wundukum mana & darkness, night & & \\
wunduwundukum mana & the darkest part of the night; the dark before dawn &
\end{tabular}

Derived nouns and adjectives also commonly signal some kind of semantic augmentation by phonological extension of their stems, in a manner quite similar to that described for verbs in \(\int 5.2 .2\). Such extensions take a number of different forms, but all derive underlyingly (diachronically) from reduplications of different kinds. The usual, but by no means the only motivation for this process is to mark plurality. There are two interesting things to note about the forms listed above and others like them:
(i) The first is that these examples represent an instance of iconicity in language: phonological extensions of root morphemes signal semantic extensions of their denotations.
(ii) The second has to do with the animacy status of words such as imarulya and kurrandu. As these words refer to low-animate denotata, all their agreement forms must be singular (cf Table 6.9). Phonological extension, therefore, is the only way open to the grammar to signal plural or mass quantities of low animate denotata:
(6.17a) Kulunu wunngengalu
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { kulunu } & { }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wuN}=\mathrm{n} \text {-nge-ngal-u } & \text { ( }=n \text {-ngal 'these several') } \\
\text { sleep } & 3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{DEF} \text {-AUG-several- } 3 \mathrm{w} & \\
\text { (After) a number of/ quite a few days ('sleeps') }
\end{array}
\]
(b) Wungunenali
\[
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wuN}=\text { ngu-ne-nali } \\
& 3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{H}-\text { AUG-new } \\
& \text { These days }
\end{aligned}
\]

At least three types of phonological extension may be identified. In the illustrations that follow (6.186.21), the first line lists the relevant root morpheme, the second picks out the extensional material in square brackets, the third shows the output root shape and the fourth illustrates the output shape affixed for 3p agreement:

\section*{Type 1}

In the first type, the first morpheme or segment of the root lexeme is reduplicated, as shown in (6.18). Here the roots illustrated are =yadangurru 'long and straight,' derived from jada 'straight, direct' and -ngurru 'away;' =kwarlinjarrija 'branching, spreading,' derived from =kwarluma mana 'arm, wing;' and \(=y a k\) arri 'other, different.'
(6.18)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline = yadangurru & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warlinjarrija & = yakarri \\
\hline \(=\) yada \(+[\) yada \(]+\) ngurru & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warl + [aarl] + injarrija & \(=\mathrm{yaka}+[y \mathrm{aka}]+\mathrm{rri}\) \\
\hline = yadayadangurru & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warlaarlinjarrija & = yakekarri \\
\hline ajadayadangurriya & aarlaarlinjarrija & ajakekarri \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The 3p derived forms are ajadayadangurriya 'arranged in parallel lines,' aarlaarlinjarrija 'a mass of branching things/spreading out in all directions' (both these 3 p forms coding agreement with the collective noun mangarri anja 'vegetable food,' and here referring to the way in which edible tubers grow underground), and ajakekarri 'all the others.' By this interpretation, V-expansion rule 18 turns the sequence \(\mid\) aya \(\mid\) in \(\mid=\) yakayakarri \(\mid\) into /e/ in the output shape =yakekarri. The first syllable of the irregular lexeme \(={ }^{k}\) wawiya 'nice, pleasant (of food or people)' is reduplicated to signal plural reference, but the initial glide \(/{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}\) / becomes a velar nasal in the reduplicated segment:
\[
\begin{align*}
&={ }^{{ }^{k}} \text { wawiya }  \tag{6.19}\\
&={ }^{k_{W a}}+[\text { nga }]+\text { wiya } \\
&={ }^{k_{\text {wangawiya }}} \\
& \text { arrkangawiya }
\end{align*}
\]

Type 2
In the second type of phonological extension, the first consonant of the root morpheme is copied and preposed, and followed by a long unrounded vowel, either /aa/ or /e/, or rarely, /ee/:
\[
\varnothing \longrightarrow\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} a}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}\right\} / \ldots=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \ldots
\]

This process is illustrated in (6.20) with the root lexemes =warr 'bad,' = niya 'good' and =rnayurr 'adult, grown.'
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
=\text { warr } & =\text { niya } & =\text { rnayurr }  \tag{6.20}\\
=[\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{aa}]+\text { warr } & =[\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{ee}]+\text { niya } & \\
=[\mathrm{rn}+\mathrm{e}]+\text { rnayurr } \\
=\text { waawarr } & =\text { neeniya } & \\
\text { arrwaawarriya } & \text { adeeniya } & \\
\text { ardernayurr } \\
& \text { ardernayurriya }
\end{array}
\]

The shape wunngengalu in (6.17a) is of this sort. Note also the dual derived form iwaawunarrkarndu ( \(\mid \mathrm{i}+\) \(\left[{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}+\mathrm{aa}\right]={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wunarr \(+{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu \(\mid[3 \mathrm{a}+[\mathrm{AUG}]=\) MOIETY +DU\(\left.]\right)\) 'two people of the Arrwnarriya moiety,' with a type 2 extension.

\section*{Type 3}

In a third pattern, it is the first consonant of the second syllable of the root morpheme that is selected for augmentation. This process is illustrated in (6.21) with the roots =ngunali 'new' (cf 6.17b), =yakarri 'other, different' and \(={ }^{k}\) warrinja 'human/Aboriginal.' The augmented shape of the root =yakarri may be explained by this interpretation as well, giving evidence of a link between reduplication as shown in (6.18) and other forms of extension:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (6.21) } \\
& =\text { ngunali } \quad=\text { yakarri } \quad={ }^{k} \text { warrinja } \\
& =n g u+[n+e]+\text { nali } \quad=y a+[k+e]+\text { karri } \quad={ }^{k} w a+[r r+a a]+\text { rrinja } \\
& =\text { ngunenali } \quad=\text { yakekarri } \quad={ }^{\text {k warraarrinja }} \\
& \text { arrkunenali ajakekarri arrkarraarrinjiya }
\end{aligned}
\]

This last output shape, arrkarraarrinjiya, is one of the usual words used to refer to Aboriginal people as opposed to non-Aboriginal people, although before European contact it would have referred to human beings as opposed to other forms of life and spirits.

Many forms referring to highly animate things appear to have two options for pluralization: either plural agreement-class indexation alone, or plural agreement-class indexation and reduplication, as exemplified by the following alternatives, indexed for 3p agreement:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
=kwarrinja & buman & arrkarrinjiya & arrkarraarrinjiya \\
\(=\) man & dead & arrbanjiya & arrbaamanjiya \\
=mara & dangerous & arrbareya & arrbaamareya \\
=marreya & sick & arrbarreya & arrbaamarreya \\
=warr & bad & arrwarriya & arrwaawarriya \\
=niya & good & adiya & adeeniya \\
=yakarri & other & ajakarri & ajakekarri
\end{tabular}

It is not clear to me whether such alternatives are synonymous, or if the reduplicated forms signal a somewhat larger number of items. There is a third plural shape of the root = man 'dead,' arrbanja, used to make oblique or 'diffuse' (euphemistic) reference to a single deceased person (\$7.1).

Derived nouns referring to categories of humans do not have this option, they require both plural agreement-class indexation and reduplication:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
=rnayurr & adult & ardernayurriya \\
=yoru & widow(er) & ajoyoriya, ajorayoriya \\
=yarnda & little child & ajaayarndeya \\
=bwarnkarra & adult offspring & arrwaawarnkarreya \\
ngawmaleya & my little brother & ngawmaamaleya my little brothers
\end{tabular}

Reduplication does not always signal plurality. The adjective =yarrungu 'QUANTIFIER' ( \(\$ 9.1\) ) has a 3p shape ajarrunguya 'all of them.' The reduplicated form ajarrinjarrunguya, however, means 'only a few;' in this case, semantic intensification reduces the scope of reference. The adjective =raarreya 'big' undergoes type 3 reduplication to produce a form =raarraarreya 'huge,' without any reference to number: kanangkurri iràarráarreya 'a huge dog.'

Reduplicated morphologies are available to all plural agreement classes, but are most commonly found on 3 p forms. They are less frequent on participant ( 1 pin, \(1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}\) ) forms, as evidenced in (6.22), with the adjective =warr 'bad:'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arri & waa & benbeenyeerri & arrwarr & arrkununa. \\
arri & waa & ba-nyarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-yinya-eerrri & arr=warr & arr-ngun=nu-na \\
we/us & not & CFT-1px-INV-3=hit-PAST-PROG & px=bad & 1px-SJTV-be-PAST
\end{tabular}

He never used to bit us when we were naughty.

\subsection*{6.3 Inalienable nouns}

A lexical category quite distinct from the nominals we have considered so far is that of inalienable nouns. These are nouns that denote things occurring in what Chappell \& McGregor (1996) refer to as someone's 'personal sphere;' that is, within the range of interactions that are conceptually very close to a person. Inalienable nouns denote things that are regarded emically as forming essential or inseparable parts of a person's being; that is, they refer to inalienable parts of some other (typically human) whole. These may be abstract things that are culturally definitive of personhood, such as
=ngumbu wипи 'name,' =ngaanja inja 'shadow; soul' = rambim mana 'patriclan' and =yula inja 'anger.' Most nouns of this sort however, refer to parts of the body.

Chappell \& McGregor (1996) characterize inalienability in a way that is entirely congruent with this phenomenon as it is found in Worrorra. They describe inalienable relationships in terms of a set of inextricable, essential and unchangeable relations holding between someone (a 'possessor') and an aspect of their being (that which is 'possessed'), over which the possessor has little or no control. The conceptual proximity that holds within such a relationship is rendered iconically in Worrorra and other languages by the affixation of a token of the possessor (in this case an NP-indexing prefix) to the noun denoting of the possessed. This results in an externally possessed construction in Payne \& Barshi's (1999) exposition, in terms of which a possessor may be expressed as a pronominal affix within the NP headed by the possessed noun.

Both the grammar of inalienability, and the grammar of external possession are discussed and exemplified at length by McGregor (1996b \& 1999), with respect to the Nyulnyulan languages of the West Kimberley. These languages are located to the south-west of Worrorra country, and many of the phenomena canvassed in those articles are applicable to these syntactic strategies as they are found in Worrorra.

While some body-part nouns such as keyingka inja 'fingernails', kurleerla inja 'shoulder-blades' and ngaтики wипи 'breast(s)' are simplex, most body-part nouns are inalienable, that is, they obligatorily take agreement-class indices that refer to the whole of which they are a part. English denotes such part-whole relationships by way of possessive phrases such as 'his hand,' with the whole (the 'possessor' of the part) marked by a possessive morphology, while the head noun (the part) is left unmarked. Worrorra refers to the whole by way of an agreement-class index that is prefixed to the head noun, which again denotes the part. This system is comparable to affixial pronominal possessive-marking in Afro-Asiatic languages such as Arabic: kitaab 'book,' kitaabiy 'my book,' kitaabik. 'your (fem) book,' kitaabubu 'his book' etc. The noun stem is the head of its phrase and the coreference of the inflexional index represents an NP dependent upon the head in phrase structure:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (6.23a) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Irnurnu } & \text { wunu } \\
\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{rnurnu} & \text { wunu } \\
3 \mathrm{a}=\text { hand } & 3 \mathrm{wDEF} \\
\text { His hand(s) }
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ngunkubulu ngun \(={ }^{k}\) wambul 2=eye \\
Your eyes
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
wunu \\
wunu \\
3wDEF
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (e) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Nyingarnima nyiN=ngarnima \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) odour \\
Her smell
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
mana \\
mana \\
3 mDEF
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b) Nyimri wunu
nyiN=mri wunu
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) head 3 wDEF
Her head
(d) Ardaarriya anja
arr=rnaarri-ya anja
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) bones-PL 3 pDEF
Their bones
(f) Ngardabim mana
ngarr=rambim mana
\(1 \mathrm{pin}=\) clan 3 mDEF
Our family (patriclan)

While hosting prefixes that refer to some other NP, such nouns also bear gender in the usual lexically specified manner. Inalienable nouns, therefore, are often doubly-marked for gender, as in (6.23a, b \& e); showing the gender of the dependent NP in prefix position, as well as their own inherent gender marked on other agreement loci, such as the determiners in (6.23).

Although the prefixes found on inalienable nouns are homophonous with the derivational indices shown in Table 6.1, their function here is primarily inflexional. They do not usually alter the agreement category or gender of the head noun, which retains its inherent gender. Moreover, the gender of the head noun determines the gender of its phrase, a process illustrated in (6.24):


The body-part noun in this example is \(=^{k}\) wambul( \(u\) ) wunu 'eyes', and the dependent NP within the phrase is wangayinya nyina 'the woman'. The phrase itself compels celestial agreement, that is to say that the phrase's outer gender category is celestial.

As shown in (6.24), whole-part phrases of this type consist of an inner dependent NP and an outer head noun that controls agreement-marking for the discourse section in which it occurs (cf example 4.16 in \(₫ 4.1 .4\) (i) where outer gender controls agreement in derived-gender phrases). In (6.25) the inalienable noun \(=\) mri wunu 'head' receives 2 p indexation (nyirrbri 'your heads') and feminine indexation (nyimri 'her head'). Regardless of which dependent NPs are indexed, anaphor and verb agreement is nearly always controlled by the gender of the head noun (which is in this case celestial):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunu & nyirrbriaarndu & wakumaadamaade & kaninjeerri & wunu. \\
wunu & nyirr=mri-kwarndu & wakumaada-maade & ØN-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri & wunu \\
3wDEF & 2p=head-DU & black-RESULT & 3w-SJTV-be-MIR-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

So now both your heads are black.
(b) Wunu nyimri wakumaada kumnyanungu.
wunu nyiN=mri wakumaada kuN-mnya=nu-ng
3wDEF 3f=head black 3w-DD=be-PRES
Her head is black.
Note here that the verbs involved (forms of \(=n u\) 'be') index agreement for celestial subjects. \({ }^{50}\) This rule, however, is not without exception. In some situations a highly topical dependent (owner) appears to be able to outrank a head noun for head-of-phrase status, as in the verbless sentence shown in (6.26):
\begin{tabular}{clll}
6.26 & {\([\) TERM } & {\([\) PRED } & \\
& Nyingumbu & Kankanarlonya & wali nyina. \\
& nyiN=ngumbu & kankanarlonya & wali nyina \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) name & NAME & PM
\end{tabular}

Her name is Kankanarlonya.
Predicate markers (here wali nyina) agree in gender with their terms (here nyingumbu 'her name') (see §17.8). However in (6.26) the predicate marker agrees not with the gender of the term's head (=ngumbu wипи), but with that of the term's dependant (feminine) NP.

Unlike in some north Australian languages, terms for human body-parts do not appear to be extended metaphorically to non-animate denotata. In Wubuy, for example, parts of plants and artefacts (the belly [= berry] of a tree, the tongue [= blade] of a spear) are referred to by derived forms with the whole entity indexed on the part by derivational noun-class prefixes (Heath 1984:173-177).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{50}\) Love (1934:30) claims that adjectives qualifying inalienable nouns may be marked for agreement not only with the head noun itself, as described here, but with dependent nouns as well, offering as an example the adjective =niya 'good' combined in a phrase with the terrestrial noun =ngarnima 'scent, odour,' as nyingarnima maniyanya (|nyiN=ngarnima ma=niya-nya| [ \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) smell \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) good- 3 f\(]\) ) 'her good smell,' a claim cited by Capell \& Coate (1984:69). However my instructors rejected this and constructions like it, producing adjectives marked only for agreement with their head nouns. Note however that there is indeed an important class of forms that does exhibit double gender-marking, that of subjunctive verbs, as eg. the shape ingkaangkarrengama 'where his picture is' in (6.9), with 3a prefix \(i\) - and 3 m suffix -ma.
}

In this language derived adjectives, derived nouns (Heath's 'defective' roots) and inalienable nouns appear to form the same kind of continuum or 'squish' as derived adjectives and derived nouns do in Worrorra. In Worrorra syntactic criteria clearly distinguish inalienable nouns from the other categories, and the references of inalienable nouns appear to be confined pretty much to body parts and to human and animal wholes.

In verbal argument structure, an inalienable part-whole compound may function directly as an undergoer, as in (6.27a), or in apposition to some other noun in undergoer position, as in the possessor-ascension construction shown in (6.27b). This is to say that either the whole or the part may be indexed as undergoer. These sentence examples employ the complex predicate burr \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'SUBJ fall on OBJ:'
(6.27a) Ngamri burr kumbuna.
nga \(=\) mri burr \(\quad\) kuN- \(\varnothing=b\) wu-na
1 head fall.on \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) hit-PAST
It hit my head (lit: it fell on my-head
(b) Burr nganbuna ngamri.
burr nga-n- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-na nga=mri
fall.on 1-INV-3=hit-PAST 1=head
It hit me on the head (lit: it fell on me my-head)

In (6.27a) the verb's 3 w undergoer is =mri wипи 'head,' while in (6.27b) the undergoer is indexed as first person singular.

Inalienable nouns change gender to agree with that of their dependents when those dependents are celestial or terrestrial, so that in this case the agreement-class indices really do function derivationally. Hence maambulba mana ( \(\mid \mathrm{ma}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wambul-ma| [ \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) eye- 3 m ]) 'tidal whirlpool' derived from \(={ }^{k}\) wambul wunu 'eye,' where the whole indexed on the inalienable noun is rlarlangkarrama mana 'the sea' - so this word means literally 'its eye.' The change of gender involved (from celestial to terrestrial) is evidenced by terrestrial agreement on the determiner and on the adjective = raarreya 'big' in (6.28a):
(6.28a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Maraarreyim & kungurr & kumnyeng, & maambulb & mana \\
ma=raarreya-m & kungurr & kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng & ma=kwambul-ma & mana \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) big-3m & stab & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) =eye-3m & 3 mDEF
\end{tabular}

She stabbed the big one, the eye-of-the-sea.
(b) Mangumbam nganama?
\(\mathrm{ma}=\) ngumba-ma ngani-ma
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) name- 3 m where -3 m
What's the place called?

In (6.28b) the interrogative nga-(ni) 'where?/what?' (\$7.4.1) is predicated upon the inalienable celestial noun =ngumbu wипи 'name.' This noun has changed its gender to agree with that of its implied dependent NP, dambeem mana 'place,' and this change is reflected in the gender marked on both the noun itself and on the interrogative.

This phenomenon of gender derivation to agree with a dependent of the non-human macrogender is illustrated by the noun =rnaarri inja 'bones, skeleton:'
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Wurnaarru wunu & Its (celestial) bones \\
Marnaarrba mana & Its (terrestrial) bones \\
Ardaarriya anja & Their bones
\end{tabular}

This noun also shows derived gender agreement with a 3p dependent, as seen here and in ( 6.23 d ); this probably occurs because of the collective nature of the noun's denotation. Note as well the idomatic expression in (6.29):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (6.29) & Wunu wunu & \begin{tabular}{l}
wiyanu \\
wiyanu
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
wurnaarru. \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN \(=\) rnaarr-u
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 3 WDEF & fire & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) bones-3w \\
\hline & The bones & of the fire & charcoal and ash). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The experiencer constructions in (6.30) show the syntax of the inalienable noun =yula inja 'anger'. The experiencer of the emotion denoted by this noun is indexed on it in prefix position, as the 'whole' of whom anger is an inalienable 'part,' to yield a form that translates literally as 'my anger, his anger' etc. In predications the experiencer is the grammatical agent of the verb \(=m a\) 'get,' while the inalienable noun itself is the undergoer. This syntax produces a construction of the type 'AGENT/EXPERIENCER seizes his/her anger.' The inalienable noun is indexed on the verb (verb classifier) in undergoer position as ka- ' 3 a:'
(6.30a) Ngayu ngayula kangamerri.
ngayu nga=yula ka-nga=ma-eerri

I \(\quad 1=\) anger \(3 a-1=\) get-PROG
I'm getting angry.
(b) Bamaraanya nyinjula kamerri.
bamaraanya nyiN=yula ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri
fa.si \(\quad 3 \mathrm{f}=\) anger \(\quad 3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) get-PROG
My aunt is getting angry.

Note however, that when an experiencer of the non-human macrogender is indexed in dependent (prefix) position, the gender of =yula 'anger' changes to agree with that of its dependent, and this change is manifested in the shape of the verb's undergoer index, \(k \cdot u N-\quad 3 \mathrm{w}\) ' and ma- ' 3 m ' respectively in (6.31), rather than by ka- ' 3 a ' as expected. This morphology indicates that =yula may indeed have changed gender in agreement with its dependent noun:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(6.31a) & Wunu marrirri & wunjula & kumerri. \\
& wunu & marirri & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=yula & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri \\
& 3wDEF & parrot.sp & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) anger & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) get-PROG
\end{tabular}
(b) Mana darraanma mayula mamerri.
mana darraanma ma=yula ma- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri
3 mDEF cockatoo.sp. \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) anger \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) get-PROG
The red-tailed black cockatoo is getting angry.

An alternative explanation for this phenomenon is advanced in \(₫ 12.4\).
'Parts-of-parts' type constructions are represented by only one example in the database, =yardu umalab mana 'heel' (literally 'the buttock of the foot'). This nominal is a more nested (or more embedded) form of the construction shown in (6.24), involving the nouns =yardu wunu 'foot' and = malab mana 'buttocks':
(6.32)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{[mana [wunu [fem} & Nyinjardu & & umalab & & mana \\
\hline & nyiN FEM ] & = yardu & \({ }^{\text {k }}\) WuN wUNU ] & \(=\mathrm{malab}\) & mana mANA] \\
\hline & 3 f & =foot & 3w & =buttock & 3 mDEF \\
\hline & Her heel & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A synonym is the simplex noun umalaku wипи 'heel', diachronically derived from =malab mana 'buttocks' with celestial affixation (-( \(\left.\left.{ }^{*} w\right) u-\right)\).

\title{
Seven: pronouns, demonstratives, anaphors, deictics
}

\subsection*{7.1 Substitution}

This chapter is concerned primarily with anaphora and deixis in Worrorra, involving the substitution of a set of replacement forms for other expressions. The expressions usually substituted for in Worrorra are noun phrases and sentences, the replacement forms comprising a lexical category of pronouns, including demonstrative pronouns.

Worrorra pronouns and demonstratives make primary reference to the substantive person, number and gender categories or agreement classes whose tokens, as inflexional ( \(\$ 5.3 .1\) ) and derivational ( \(\$ 6.1\) ) NP indices, have already been encountered. The grammar treats sentences and sentential complements of all sorts as if they were celestial, or occasionally terrestrial nouns ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)). While these person-and-number categories are evidenced widely in the morphology, this chapter is concerned primarily with their manifestation as lexical words.

Because of the small number of Worrorra speakers, I have not been able to observe much of the indexical use of person-and-number categories, that may have pertained between different classes of kin, between different age groups, between men and women, or in various social circumstances and ritual contexts. The material presented in this chapter, therefore, suffers from that deficiency. One observation, however, may be noted here, and that has to do with a function that I will refer to as diffuse reference.

Diffuse reference is found in euphemistic constructions used in referring to socially or physically dangerous things. Such reference is signalled by the use of the third person plural category, especially in situations where other categories could be expected. The use of the non-participant third person category precludes dangerous things from being represented in the speech event itself, that is to say, third person reference enables people to undertake a speech act while yet excluding unwanted things from participating in the act. The use of the plural number category has the effect of dispersing such reference, so that its connotational weight is not concentrated at any one metaphorical point. The dispersal or deflection of illocutionary force may be observed in the use of the counterfactual mood prefix \(b a\) - in intransitive imperative singular verb forms (eg bayu! |ba=ya| [CFT=go] 'go!'), where the counterfactual morpheme is employed to deflect the inherent illocutionary forcefulness of commands (\$8.5.3).

Within the person-indexical system, 3p marking achieves a similar effect. For example reference to the dangerous practice of sorcery is oblique, by means of the collective noun barnmarria anja. This noun accepts only 3 p agreement and has no singular shape ( \(\$ 4.2 .2\) ). References to death are typically accomplished by 3p forms: the adjective =man 'dead' has singular shapes (eg imanja, masculine and nyimanya, feminine), but an alternative shape arrbanja (|arr=man-ya| [3p=dead-3p]) is also used in diffuse reference, typically outside of mourning and funerary contexts where the awfulness of death is not only allowed, but required to enter. Note that this form is distinct from the genuinely plural shapes arrbanjiya and arrbaamanjiya seen in \(\int 6.2 .6\) (ii). And as seen in \(\int 6.2 .2\), the recollection of a deceased person or people is accomplished by the plural passive participle adijiyeya 'vision.'

Dangerous things may be referred to obliquely, especially in the context of their dangerous behaviour (cf Bloomfield 1933:400-1). This kind of oblique reference is always situated in the 3 p category, as is the case in sentence example (7.1) below:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Inja & eeja & burnarri & arrke & kawarraningkarla. \\
inja & eeja & burnarri & arrke & ka-bwarr-a=ningka-rla \\
3aDEF & man & animals & 3pREF & 3a-3p-EP=bite-PAST \\
A snake bit the man ('those animals' bit the man).
\end{tabular}

The snake in question was the black snake kawaanya nyina, a very dangerous species, and in this context the speaker employed diffuse reference (burnarri arrke 'those animals') rather than actually name the animal itself. This type of consideration probably underlies Silverstein's (1976b:39) passing mention of 'deference' in Worrorra, presumably in relation to the socially dangerous avoidance category kin (rambarr mana).

Pronouns and demonstratives bear number-marking morphemes; number is marked not only as part of the package of references of agreement class indices, but also overtly by way of a set of suffixes described in Chapter Nine. These suffixes are \(-{ }^{-k}\) warndu 'dual,' -oorri 'trial' and \(-{ }^{-k} w u r r i\) 'paucal,' and their allomorphy is described in \(\$ 9.1\) and \(\$ 9.6 .1\). The trial morpheme appears to occur only on the pronoun \(={ }^{k} w a\) 'Anaphoric/Narrative.' The trial and paucal morphemes never occur on the same root form, that is, they are in complementary distribution (cf. \(\S 9.6 .2\) ). The paucal morpheme is less restricted in its occurrence, and the dual morpheme is ubiquitous. Overt number marking in Worrorra is not straightforward, and is discussed in more detail specifically in relation to pronouns in \(\S 9.4 .3, \llbracket 9.6 .1\) and \(\S 9.6 .3\).

There is an important distinction made in pronouns between speech-act participants (first and second persons) and non-participants (third persons). This distinction has significance for number marking, as discussed below and in \(\S 9.5\).

\subsection*{7.2 Personal pronouns}

The criteria for making a distinction between personal and non-personal pronouns in Worrorra are less than clear. Although a distinction between personal and demonstrative third person pronouns is unmotivated on purely formal grounds, by combining morphological and semantic criteria it is possible to set up such a distinction to include participant forms, and in a way that has significance for other parts of the grammar. Morphologically, it may be claimed that personal pronouns are those that take possessive marking. Semantically, it may be claimed that participant pronoun forms are inherently personal, and that anaphoric third person forms, that is, those without a demonstrative function, may also qualify as personal pronouns. These criteria do not wholly cover the personal -non-personal distinction in Worrorra, as there are participant forms that are clearly demonstrative, and Love (1934:12) lists demonstrative forms that appear to take possessive marking. Nonetheless, among these considerations may be discerned some grounds at least for discovering a class of personal pronouns, opposed to one of non-personal or demonstrative forms.

Table 7.1: participant personal pronouns
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PERSON} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{SINGULAR} & PLURAL & DUAL & PAUCAL \\
\hline & & ngarri & ngarrerndu & ngarringkurri \\
\hline 1 inc & & we & you ぶI & you two éI \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1 exc} & ngayu & arri & arrerndu & arringkurri \\
\hline & I & we & \(s /\) he *I & them two \& I \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2} & ngunju & nyirri & nyirrerndu & nyirringkurri \\
\hline & you & you all & you two & you \& them two \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

There are three types of personal pronoun. The first kind consists of forms that denote only participant persons, as shown in Table 7.1. This is a semantically unmarked set based on the root shapes \(=y a\) in the singular and \(=i\) in the non-singular. \({ }^{51}\) Interestingly, these allomorphs are homophonous with the root allomorphs of the verb 'go,' although it is not clear why this should be so. In their non-singular possessive shapes, these pronouns appear to be based on a root form \(={ }^{k} w a\). Notice in Table 7.1 that the first person singular exclusive shape begins with a velar nasal, making it similar in this respect to the first person inclusive series: the other first exclusive forms begin with \(/ \mathrm{a} /\).

The morphophonology of the dual and paucal shapes involves V-expansion rule 18 and velar glide hardening rule 2 respectively, exemplified as follows:
```

ngarri-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{warndu }\longrightarrow> ngarrernd
ngarri-}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{W}\mathrm{ wrri }-> ngarringkurri

```

Note also the split between singular and non-singular: the dual and paucal number markers \(-{ }^{-k} w a r n d u\) and \({ }^{-k}\) wurri are attached to the plural shape of the pronouns, not to the singular.

The second kind of personal pronoun consists of third person anaphors with the root shape \(={ }^{k} w a\) 'Anaphoric/Narrative' (glossed NAR). This is again a denotationally empty form, functioning as a determiner when inside the same NP as the noun to which it refers, and anaphorically when appearing outside of that NP. It is one of the most widely-used anaphoric pronouns and is common in narrative discourse styles. Its morphology is displayed in Table 7.2.

Table 7.2: \(={ }^{k}\) wa 'Anaphoric/Narrative’
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& SINGULAR & DUAL & TRIAL & PLURAL \\
3a & awa 'be' & awaarndu & awoorri & arrka 'they' \\
3f & nyangka 'she' & awaarndu & awoorri & arrka \\
3w & kawa 'it' & kawaarndu & kawoorri & \\
3 m & mawa 'it' & mawaarnduma & mawoorrima &
\end{tabular}

The non-singular possessive shapes of the forms in Table 7.1, as well as the shapes in Table 7.2, are all based on the same root ( \(=^{{ }^{*} w}\) wa) (cf example 7.7c). This fact is suggestive of an underlying identity between the two sets, as participant and non-participant forms of the same root lexeme, and justifies their inclusion together in a category of personal pronouns. Possessive pronouns are described below in \(\$ 7.7\).

The trial series is discussed in \(\S 9.4 .3\). Note also the following points in Table 7.2:
(i) The classes of the human macrogender are neutralized in the non-singular number series.
(ii) Note also and in particular that here, in contrast to Table 7.1, there is a split between plural and non-plural forms. The dual and trial number markers are attached to the singular shape of the pronouns, not to the plural.
(iii) Only highly animate entities in the human macrogender can be represented by plural forms. There are therefore no plural shapes for the non-human classes.

Free personal pronouns are employed in equational sentences, as seen in (7.2) and (7.3). Note the highly elliptical, quasi-idiomatic expression in (7.2e):
\({ }^{51}\) The morphophonolgy of the pronouns in tables 7.1 and 7.2 is as follows:
```

|nga=ya| —> /ngayu/ |a=kwa | —> /awa/
|ngun=ya| —> /ngunju | nyaN=\mp@subsup{k}{wa}{*}|>>/nyangka/
|ngarr=i| —> /ngarri/ |ØN=\mp@subsup{k}{wa}{*}|>>/ka(wa)/
|arr=\textrm{i}| —>/arri/ |ma=\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{k}wa}|\longrightarrow>/mawa/
|yirr=i| —> /nyirri/ |arr=kwa| —> /arrka/

```


In (7.3) note the pronouns arrerndu 'we two (exc)' and awa 'he' used in verbless clauses. Note also that the pronoun ngayu ' I ' is used to point up a contrast between the referent of awa and the speaker. This contrastive use is another important function of free pronouns, as shown again in (7.4), and in (7.5), which records an exchange between two people:


Second person pronouns are frequent in focus position in imperative clauses:


Free personal pronouns are also used more widely to signal focus and topicalization. They occur typically in situations where a speaker wishes to pick out the pronoun's referent for particular attention, as in the sentence examples in (7.7):
(7.7a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngayu & kungenganyale; & ngayu, & ngayu. \\
ngayu & kuN-nga=yi-ng-a-nyale & ngayu & ngayu \\
I & VCOMP-1=do-PAST-EP-too & I & I \\
I've done that myself, too. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngayu & ingo & ngana & kubajungaara. \\
ngayu & i-nga=bwu & ngana & kuN-bwarr- - 1 -ng-k wara \\
I & 3a-1=hit & PROB & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-1DAT \\
They must have wanted to kill me.
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngarri & wali & ngarrkanaanangkaya & anja & wangalaalunguyu! \\
ngarri & wali & ngarr=kwa-naa-nangka-ya & anja & wangalaalanguya \\
we (inc) & still & 1pin=NAR-AUG-DAT-3p & 3pDEF & children \\
They are our children!
\end{tabular}

Sentence (7.7b) contains the sentential complement of the verbum dicendi kuN[]=yi 'do, want,' a situation described in Chapter 14.

The third kind of personal pronouns are negative pronouns, as displayed in Table 7.3. These consist of forms based on the root \(=^{k}{ }_{w i}\) 'Negative' (glossed NEG), with the meaning 'not (someone)'.

Table 7.3: negative pronouns: \(={ }^{k} w i\) 'Negative’
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & ngawi & not me \\
2 & ngunki & not you \\
3 a & kawi & not him \\
3 f & nyungki & not her \\
3 w & kuwi & not it \\
3 m & mawi & not it \\
3 p & kaarri & not them
\end{tabular}

The plural shape kaarri is used for all plural references, as well as being a very widely-used negative interjection: kaarri! 'No!/No way!/Not at all!/Certainly not!/Nothing!' (see eg example 7.12). The expression Kaarri maa 'in vain' is also commonly used ( \(\$ 6.4 .2\) (xi)). Sentence example (7.12) also contains an example of the use of mawi '3mNEG,' and further examples of the use of these forms can be seen in sentence (7.8):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Irranangkorri & kawi, & karranangkorrinya & nyungki, & kaarri. \\
irra-nangkorri & kawi & karra-nangkorri-nya & nyungki & kaarri \\
father-3pDAT & 3aNEG & mother-3pDAT-3f & 3fNEG & 3pNEG \\
Their father's never there, their mother's not around, there's no-one.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.3 Demonstrative pronouns}

Unlike in most languages, demonstrative pronouns in Worrorra constitute an open, or at least a semiopen, class. \({ }^{52}\) Pronominal forms combine with adverbial and adjectival deictic morphemes to create a highly productive referential system, rather than a finite list of pronominal words only. The meanings of many of the forms created in this system are not completely clear to me, as I have not been able to observe their use in a variety of contexts and situations. I will not be able, therefore, to provide a precise semantic description of each form, but will rather try, here and in Chapter 17, to outline the operation of the system as a whole.

\subsection*{7.3.1 Definiteness and deixis}

Among its determiners Worrorra has a set of three demonstratives, used to bring entities onstage in the speech events in which they occur. These are \(=n\) 'the, this, DEFINITE/PROXIMAL' (glossed DEF), \(=r n o\) 'that, DISTAL' and =rru 'LATERAL' (glossed LAT). The proximal forms serve as definite articles, and their functions have been touched on already in \(\S 4.1\). The paradigm of \(=n\) 'the, this' is shown in Table 7.4:

Table 7.4: definite article: \(=n\) 'this, DEFINITE’
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& SING & DUAL & PAUCAL & HUM PAUC & PLURAL \\
3 a & inja & inkarndu & inkoorri & ankoorri & anja \\
3 f & nyina & inkarndu & inkoorri & ankoorri & anja \\
3 w & wunu & wunkarndu & wunkoorri & & \\
3 m & mana & mankarnduma & mankoorrima & &
\end{tabular}

The paucal suffix is heard as either -kurri or -koorri, but usually as the latter. There is no reason from its use to believe that this is a trial form, rather the lengthening of the back vowel seems to be phonologically motivated: being stressed, it attracts length. There is a split between on the one hand the dual and non-human paucal forms which are based on the singular shape, and on the other, the human or highly-animate paucal form, based on the plural shape. \({ }^{53}\) Highly animate (human) denotata may be referred to by either human or non-human paucal forms in line with the usual constraints on number-agreement marking on nominals - see Table 6.8 in \(\$ 6.2 .6\) (i). Also note again how the human genders are neutralized in the non-singular number series, and that plural shapes are unavailable to non-human denotata.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{52}\) Inupiaq has a similar kind of demonstrative system (with thanks to an anonymous reviewer).
\({ }^{53}\) The morphophonolgy of the proximal demonstratives is as follows: \(|\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{ya}|>i n j a,\left|{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wuN}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}\right|>\) wunu, \(|\operatorname{arr}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{ya}|>\) anja. The marked macrogender forms are slightly irregular, the predicted forms /xnyinya/ (nyina) and / \(\times\) manma/ (mana) not occurring.
}

This pronoun has three distinguishable functions or senses; its main function is almost certainly that of a definite article: it is frequently pleonastic, and serves to indicate that the speaker believes that the referent is identifiable by the hearer. That is, the main and most frequent function of \(=n\) in Worrorra appears to be to grammaticize identifiability (cf Lyons 1999:278); in Lyons' terms, \(=n\) occupies a structural position activating definiteness in the NP in which it occurs. When a denotatum first appears in a narrative text, it may occur with the contextual deictic \(={ }^{k}\) waya (Table 7.8), which both introduces and topicalizes it. Subsequently, the denotatum typically appears with \(=n\), in situations where a token of its definite status is required. As an article \(=n\) signals what Bloomfield (1933:203) calls the 'identificational character' of a denotatum, or what Silverstein (1986:509) refers to as its preconditions of reference. The article signals number (singular, dual, paucal or plural, within the constraints imposed by animacy status), gender and definiteness: that is, a referential framework upon which denotation may be hung. The use of \(=n\) as an article signalling general-knowledge definiteness has been seen in sentence example (4.1), where the existence and nature of the animals listed are all part of Worrorra speakers' encyclopaedic knowledge. The construction of definiteness in Worrorra and the uses of \(=n\) 'DEFINITE' are discussed more fully, and in relation to discourse pragmatics generally, in \(\$ 17.1\).

The anaphoric use of \(=n\), as listed in (4.4) above, is widespread, and may be seen in (5.44d) (inja), in (6.34) (nyina), in the second occurrence of anja in (9.7), and elsewhere. As a subcategory of definiteness (Lyons 1999:159), we might expect anaphora to be represented by a definite article-cumproximal demonstrative.

There is, cross-linguistically, a broad overlap between definite articles and proximal demonstratives (Lyons 1999:332), and this is true of Worrorra. The article \(=n\) has a proximal deictic sense ('this') in many of its occurrences, as if physical location near the addressee were a metaphor for an item's presence in the addressee's attention. Here people's general knowledge of the world, and the contents of their immediate discourse and physical environments are squished and represented by a single set of forms. In fact, Worrorra is able to disentangle this set of meanings by reduplicating the definite article to produce forms that are purely proximal demonstratives. Note that in many instances the unreduplicated article also occurs in the same NP pleonastically, serving solely to activate definiteness. Reduplicated demonstratives recoverable from the database are masculine injinja, celestial wипи-wипи or wипипи, and plural anjanja. The terrestrial form mana-mana is frequent, but appears to serve as an adverb meaning 'right here, just here,' as is also often the case with wununu when referring to celestial denotata. A possible feminine shape nyimnyininya has only one occurrence, and there is as well a dual form inkarnd-inkarndu. Examples are offered below, and others may be seen in (9.24, 10.74) and (17.11).
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Injinja & inja & irnurnu & warndi & kona & lalai. \\
inja-inja & inja & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnurnu & warndi & ØN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=bwu-na & lalai \\
3aDEF-REDUP & 3aDEF & 3a=hand & make & 3w-3-SJTV=hit-PAST & dreamtime
\end{tabular} This one [Wandjina] painted bis bands in the dreamtime.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Injinja & inja & we & ingkawanjeerri. \\
inja-inja & inja & we & i-ngka=bwa-ny-yeerri \\
3aDEF-REDUP & 3aDEF & lie.down & 3a-SJTV=fall-MIR-PROG \\
This is him lying down here. & &
\end{tabular}
(c)
Wunu-wunu ngenangka kungenganu
wunu-wunu \(\quad\) DN-nga=yi-nangka
kuN-nga \(=\) yi-ng-a-nu
3wDEF-REDUP VCOMP-1 = do-DAT
VCOMP-1 = do-PAST-EP-2DAT
This is what I wanted to say to you.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngayu & kajaajeya & anjanja. \\
ngayu & kajaajeya & anja-anja \\
I & mother's mothers & 3pDEF- REDUP \\
These are my mother's mother's family.
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nguru & kanuna & inkarnd-inkarndu & aja & ingkaninykarndeerri. \\
nguru & ka=nu-na & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}\) warndu-i=n-kwarndu & aja & i-ngka=ni-ny- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-eerri \\
hear & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PAST & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) DEF-DU-3a=DEF-DU & sit & 3a-SJTV=be-MIR-DU-PROG
\end{tabular} He heard these two where they were sitting.

The distal demonstrative =rno 'that' refers to things situated away from the centre of some point of reference, or beyond the immediate vicinity of the speech event, that is, at all distances beyond \(=n\). The lateral demonstrative \(=r r u\) refers to things that are both beyond the immediate vicinity of the speech event, and at the side of, or behind its participants. The complementary ranges of these two pronouns are shown in Figure 7.1, where the small circle at the centre indicates a speaker or participant in the speech situation, and the arrow shows the direction in which that participant is facing:


Figure 7.1: ranges of =rno 'distal' \& =rru 'lateral'
Love (1934:8) describes the difference between these forms as indicating something 'at-a-distance-but-within-sight' (=rno), versus something out of sight (=rru). Although these meanings are almost certainly involved in the coding specifications of these two forms, my instructors indicated a primary distinction along the lines shown in Figure 7.1. Note that being a long way out of sight is frequently referred to as being in a lateral direction by analogy, such that 'way over that way' becomes a metaphor for 'out of sight,' because in most cases something is referred to as being in a lateral position if you cannot turn and face it directly. That the visual parameter of the distinction is not primary, however, is suggested by expressions such as that shown in (7.10):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Grarlya & marno & yakuwalima & bawarra. \\
Grarlya & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) rno & yakuwali-ma & bawarra \\
COUNTRY & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & EMPH-3m & far \\
The land of & Grarl is a very long way away.
\end{tabular}

When this sentence was uttered, the land in question was several hundred miles distant, but was not conceived of as being located laterally with respect to the speaker's attitude. Again, note the expression in (7.11), where the speaker refers to her own position as 'lateral' ('behind'). This sentence comes from a text about the travels of an ancestral giant groper (nyina jimbirrij) and the baler shell (nyina nyalikanya). In this story the groper races on ahead, leaving the baler shell behind, to crawl through the mud of the St. George's Basin (Ngarlangkarnanya):
(7.11)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangka & Nyalikanya, & 'Marru & ngayu, & marru-marru & nganungu.' \\
nyangka & nyalikanya & ma=rru & ngayu & ma=rru-ma=rru & nga=nu-ng \\
3fNAR & baler.shell & \(3 m=\) LAT & I & \(3 m=\) LAT-REDUP & 1=be-PRES
\end{tabular}

The baler-shell (said), 'T'll be behind, I'm staying behind.'
The paradigm of =rno 'Distal' is shown in Table 7.5, and that of =rru 'Lateral' in Table 7.6. The same constraints apply here as in Table 7.4: number morphemes are based on the singular pronoun shape, the human genders are neutralized, and plural forms are not available to non-human denotata.

Table 7.5: distal demonstrative: \(=r n o\) 'that'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& SINGULAR & DUAL & PAUCAL & PLURAL \\
3a & irno & irnoyaarndu & irnowurri & arno \\
3f & nyirno & irnoyaarndu & irnowurri & arno \\
3w & wurno & wurnoyaarndu & wurnowurri & \\
3 m & marno & marnoyaarnduma & marnowurrima &
\end{tabular}

Table 7.6: lateral demonstrative: =rru
SINGULAR DUAL PLURAL

3a irru irraarndu arru
3f nyirru irraarndu arru
3w wurru wurraarndu
3 m marru marraarnduma

Love (1934:10) appears to list a trial series for these forms: i'nojuri (irnoyoorri ?) but my instructors rejected these. Further, he does not list the paucal series provided here (forms ending in -wurr). He also gives a trial/paucal series for the lateral demonstrative, i'ruri (irroorri ?), but my instructors rejected this even more firmly. This does not mean that Love was necessarily mistaken, or that Worrorra never had trial or paucal series in these categories; I am simply recording here the language as I found it in the 1990s. I would however note two important factors influencing paradigms of this nature: (i) they are the output of a highly productive morphology, from which nothing ought to be discounted out-of-hand, and (ii) speakers' intuitions about indexical forms like these are very vulnerable. My instructors at first rejected irru and nyirru until I contextualized them: these forms even occur in unelicited narrative texts in the database. For further discussion see \(\S 9.6 .1\) and \(\S 9.6 .3\).

On this note, observe that the number of series-distinctions occurring in the demonstrative paradigms given above declines with distance from the speech act, from 5 in Table 7.4, to 4 in 7.5 and 3 in 7.6 - probably a quite natural progression.

Love also gives number-marked feminine forms for most demonstrative pronouns; that is, he does not record a neutralization in the human macrogender under number marking. My instructors also provided such forms in elicited paradigms: my reasons for rejecting them here are given in \(\S 9.4 .3\).

\subsection*{7.3.2 Presentational \(={ }^{k}\) we}

A second set of demonstratives are presentational forms based on the root \(=^{k}\) we 'Presentational' (glossed PRSNTIL), used to point out something that is highly topical in terms of the speech act in which it occurs. This paradigm involves singular participant agreement classes, and is shown in Table 7.7. Other forms are available for plural participant reference ( cf eg sentence example 7.2 c ).

Table 7.7: presentational pronouns: =kwe 'PRESENTATIONAL'
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & ngawe & here I am! \\
2 & ngunke & there you are! \\
3 a & awe & there he is! \\
3 f & nyangke & there she is! \\
3 w & kawe & there it is! \\
3 m & mawe & there it is! \\
3 p & arrke & there they are!
\end{tabular}

Examples of their use are shown in (7.12). The suffix -wurrurru 'by oneself may be attached to these pronouns, as shown in (7.12c \& d). In (7.12a) the subject is mana mayarama 'house(s),' and the verb is in hortative mood:
(7.12a) Mawe mamnyaninyeerri.
\(\mathrm{ma}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) we \(\quad \mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{mnya}=\) ni-nya-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) PRSNTIL \(\quad 3 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{DD}=\mathrm{be}-\) HORT-PROG
They (terrestrial things) can stay the way they are.
(b) Ngawe ngemnyanu.
nga \({ }^{\text {k }}\) we \(\quad\) nga-nya-mnya=nu
1=PRSNTIL 1-OPT-DD=be
I'll stay here.
(c) Ngawewurrurru ngenga.
nga \(={ }^{\text {k }}\) we-wurrurru nga=ya-nga
\(1=\) PRSNTIL-by.oneself \(1=\) go-TNS
I went by myself.
(d) Awewurrurru baay kanuna.
\(a={ }^{k}\) we-wurrurru baay ka=nu-na
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) PRSNTIL-by.oneself climb \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}=\) PAST
He climbed up there all by bimself.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(e) \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Irnurnu & werr & kumnyona, \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) rnurnu & werr & kuN-Ø-mnya=bwu-na
\end{tabular} & awewe & awe-we & maa. \\
3a=hand & sing & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3-\mathrm{DD}=\) hit-PAST & 3aPRSNTIL-REDUP & 3mREF \\
There he was, and he sang about his hands. & &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.3.3 Contextual \(={ }^{k}\) waya}

Finally there is an important set of topicalizing or contextual deictics, that are used to bring something that is already onstage in the speech event into focus. The difference between these forms and the demonstratives is quite subtle. If, for example, you were pointing out a black cockatoo in a tree to someone, you would probably say, 'darraanma wali marno - there's a black cockatoo.' If, however, you heard one screeching in the branches above you, you might still look up and point to it, but say, 'darraanma wali maa - that's a black cockatoo.' The difference is that in the first expression you are drawing the denotatum on stage, by establishing ('creating') it as a feature of the arena in which the speech act takes place; while in the second you are only highlighting one that is already on stage - in
this case one that has already brought itself to your attention by its own actions. Contextual deictics are based on the root shape \(={ }^{*}\) waya 'Contextual' (glossed REF), and are shown in Table 7.8:54

Table 7.8: contextual deictics: =kwaya 'CONTEXTUAL'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& SINGULAR & DUAL & HUMAN DUAL & PLURAL \\
3a & aaya & aayaarndu & arrkeyaarndu & arrke \\
3f & nyingke & aayaarndu & arrkeyaarndu & arrke \\
3 w & ke & & & \\
3 m & maa & & &
\end{tabular}

The first vowel in the feminine form here is quite variable. It may be heard as either nyingke or nyangke, and occasionally as nyungke.

There is a split here in the dual number-marked forms: human dual is based on the plural shape, while non-human dual is based on the singular shape. In this paradigm my instructors unhesitatingly rejected feminine dual-marked forms. No number-marked forms at all are available to non-human denotata.

The pronoun \(=^{k}\) waya functions as a contextual deictic, a distal demonstrative and as a topic marker in Worrorra. Although these uses are quite varied, it seems that the pronoun's contextual deictic function, as exemplified in (7.13), is primary:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngarru & inja & kanangkurri, & iraarreya & aaya? \\
ngarru & inja & kanangkurri & i=raarreya & aaya \\
whither & 3aDEF & dog & 3a=big & 3aREF \\
Where's the & dog (gone), that big one? & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
Nyirramurrkangurru bamaranoorrinya nyangke.
nyiN-rra=murrka-ngurru bamara-noorri-nya nyangke
3f-2p=go.to-away FaSi-2pDAT-3f 3fREF

You lot go over to that aunty of yours.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aaya & anguja & rdu-rdu-rdu-rdu & mamerri? \\
aaya & anguja & \begin{tabular}{l} 
rdu-rdu-rdu-rdu \\
ma- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri
\end{tabular} \\
3aREF & what & du-du-du-du & \(3 m-3=\) get-PROG
\end{tabular}

What's that that's going \(d u-d u-d u-d u\) ?
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mr Love & aaya & irnayurri, & aawali & minister \\
Mr Love & aaya & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr- & aaya-bwali & minister \\
Mr Love & 3aREF & 3a-adult-3a & 3aREF-RESUM & minister \\
That Mr. Love was an elder, be was the minister &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Angujakunya & karrolkolkunerri & aalmara & aaya? \\
\hline angujakunya & ka-rra-kwulka=kwulkuna-eerri & aalmara & aaya \\
\hline why & \(3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{AUG}=\) fear-PROG & European & 3aREF \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{54}\) The morphophonolgy of the contextual deictics is as follows: \(\mid \mathrm{a}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) waya \(\mid>\) aaya, \(\mid\) nyiN \(={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) waya \(\mid>\) nyingke, \(\mid \varnothing N={ }^{k}\) waya \(\left|>k e,\left|m a=k_{w a}(y a)\right|>m a a,\left|\operatorname{arr}=k_{\text {waya }}\right|>\right.\) arrke. Note the operation of V -expansion rule 15 here: \(\mid\) aya \(\mid>/ \mathrm{e} /\), and peripheral glide lenition rule 20 : \(\mid\) awa \(\mid>/ \mathrm{aa} /\). The 3 m shape is slightly irregular.
}
Irnayurri \(\quad\) wali aaya.
\(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr- i
wali aaya
3a-adult-3a \(\quad\) PM
Why are you so afraid of that white man? He's an elder.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(f) & & & \\
Wenngarri & aaya & eeja, & waa & ngarrkunjaangarri \\
weni-ngarri & aaya & eeja & waa & ngarrkunjaa-ngarri \\
now-REL & 3aREF & man & not & long.ago-REL
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{lll} 
bangkaninyangarri & aaya. & \\
ba-ka=ni-nya-ngarri & aaya & \\
CFT-3a=be-PAST-REL & 3aREF &
\end{tabular}

That man lived quite recently, he was not from a very long time ago.
(g)

Ke binjiyeerri.
ke ba-kuN-nja=yi-eerri
3wREF CFT-VCOMP-2=do-PROG
Don't do that.

In all these examples, the referents marked by \(=^{k}\) waya are already present in the immediate linguistic and attentive context in which the utterances occur. That is to say that this pronoun is used deictically, to point to things present in the context of speech-and-memory shared by the participants in a speech act, just as the spatial deictics are used to point to things in the visual environment.

Just as in English, and as would be expected, the boundary between the mnemonic and visual deictic functions becomes blurry at times. The contextual deictic pronoun may from time to time enter service as a distal demonstrative, but in such cases its spatial references are related to and derived from its contextual ones, as already discussed, and as may be seen again in (7.14):
(7.14a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Darraanma & wali maa. & Marrirri wali ke. \\
cockatoo & PM & parrot PM \\
There's a red-tailed black cockatoo. & There's a red-winged parrot.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Marno & binyineerri & nyangke. \\
ma=rno & ba-nyiN=nu-eerri & nyangke \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & CFT-3f=be-PROG & 3fREF
\end{tabular}

Don't let her stay over there.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arno & ajarrungoorri & arrke & wali. \\
arr=\(=\) rno & arr=yarrungu-oorri & arrke & wali \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) DIST & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) QUANT-TRI & 3pREF & RESUM \\
There's three of them out there. & &
\end{tabular}
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Arrke & baarramurrkerri & awaawanja. \\
arrke & ba-kaarr-rra=murrka-eerri & awaawanja \\
3pREF & CFT-3p-2p=go.to-PROG & boys
\end{tabular}

Don't you lot go over to those boys.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Yarrorl & nguru & kanungunyale & mana & dambeemarnanya. & 'Arrke \\
yarrorl & nguru & ka=nu-ng-u-nyale & mana & dambeema-rnanya & arrke \\
voices & hear & 3a=be-PRES-EP-then & 3mDEF & place-LOC & 3pREF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ngani & kubajeerri?’ & kunjungu & ineemarnanya. \\
ngani & kuN-bwarr=yi-eerri & kuN- =yi-ng & \(i=\) neema-rnanya \\
what & VCOMP-3p=do-PROG & VCOMP-3=do-PAST & \(3=\) ear-LOC
\end{tabular}

Then he heard shouting coming from back at the camp. 'What are they doing?' he wondered.

In these examples the contextual deictics are open to either spatial-visual or contextual interpretations. In practice, what is contextually salient is also very often visually prominent. The repetition of contextual deictics is commonly used to mark linear extent or lines of progress through space. In this use they are repeated, usually about three times, in an iconic representation (a 'frame-by-frame' representation) of an entity's motion, as shown in (7.15):
(7.15a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Nyangke! & Nyangke! & Nyangke!' & kubajeng. \\
nyangke & nyangke & nyangke & kuN-bwarr-nya =yi-ng \\
3fREF/3fPRSNTIL & nyangke & nyangke & VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST \\
'There she goes! There she goes!' There she goes!' they cried.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wara & inja & juwardba & kengkunaal & aaya, & aaya, & aaya. \\
wara & inja & juward-bwa & ka=ya-ngku-na-aal & aaya & aaya & aaya \\
kangaroo & 3aDEF & jump-PROG & 3a=go-EP-PAST-hither & 3aREF & &
\end{tabular}
kangaroo 3 aDEF jump-PROG \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither 3aREF
The kangaroo came jumping along towards them.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Jujurrwa & kubarrkangurrurlaaleerri, & ke, & ke, & ke. \\
jujurr-bwa & kuN-bwarr=kwangurru-rla-al-eerri & ke & ke & ke \\
haul-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) carry-PAST-hither-PROG & 3 wREF & & \\
They were hauling it (celestial) along this way. & & &
\end{tabular}

They were hauling it (celestial) along this way.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Jamaramaram & mana & mengkunaal & maa, & maa, & maa. \\
jamaramaram & mana & ma=ya-ngku-na-aal & maa & maa & maa \\
lizard.sp & 3mDEF & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither & 3 mREF & & \\
The dragon lizard came walking along. & & &
\end{tabular}
(e)

Kamnyengkunaalkarndu wajulu aayaarndu, aayaarndu, aayaarndu.
ka-mnya=ya-ngku-na-aal-kwarndu wajulu aayaarndu aayaarndu aayaarndu
3a-DD=go-EP-PAST-hither-DU close 3aREF.DU
They (dual) came closer and closer and closer.
A third important function of \(={ }^{k}\) waya, that of topic marking, is discussed in \(\S 17.2 .1\). There is a preference for the determiners \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'Contextual' and \(=n\) 'Definite' to occur after the noun over which they have scope. This is a preference only, occurring in pragmatically unmarked situations, as shown in (7.16a); in pragmatically marked arrangements ordering can be quite fluid. There is a corresponding preference for \(={ }^{k} w a\) 'Anaphoric' to occur in front of its head noun, as shown in (7.16b). All three determiners may occur in the same noun phrase as the head noun, or outside the
phrase. And in some pragmatically marked contexts all three determiners are found together in the same NP, as shown in (7.16c):
(7.16a)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Inbali & manjawarra & inja, & manjawarra & aaya & blaai & kaadingeerri. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) nbali & manjawarra & inja & manjawarra & aaya & blaai & kaarr=ni-ng-eerri \\
3a=RESUM & berry.sp & 3aDEF & berry.sp & 3aREF & pound & 3p=be-PRES-PROG
\end{tabular} They're pounding up those manjawarra berries.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Awa & imalalamaanja & inja. \\
awa & \(\mathrm{i}=\) malala-maan-ya & inja \\
3aNAR & 3a=handsome-SPEC-3a & 3aDEF \\
He is particularly handsome. &
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Awanyale & inja & koyoya & aaya & moorr & kunjunganangkorreerri. \\
awa-nyale & inja & koyoya & aaya & moorr & kuN- - yi-ng-a-nangkorri-eerri \\
3aNAR-too & 3aDEF & crocodile & 3aREF & hate & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-3pDAT-PROG \\
There was this crocodile that hated them. & & &
\end{tabular}

The semantics and configurational ordering of these three pronouns define an elaborate system of pragmatic reference, described in more detail in \(₫ 17.2\).2 and \(\S 17.4\).

\subsection*{7.3.4 Paucal DEF-ngal-}

The morpheme -ngal- 'several \(x\), a number of \(x\) ' is suffixed to \(=n\) 'Definite' and =rno 'Distal.' This paucal number morpheme is quite distinct from the paucal number-marking morpheme -kwurri described in \(\S 9.6 .1\). Whereas the semantics of \(-{ }^{-k w u r r i}\) is augmentational at bottom, and has to do with the construction of sets, that of -ngal- is comparatively straightforward, denoting simply a number of items (between about five and a dozen) under consideration. The unmarked and distal paradigms of these forms are shown in Table 7.9. Examples of their use in sentences are seen in (7.17):

Table 7.9: -ngal- ‘SEVERAL’
\(=n\)-ngal-'several’
3a inngalya
3 f nyinngalja
3w wunngalu
3 m manngalima \(\sim\) manngalba
\(3 p\) anngalya
=rno-ngal- 'those several'
irnongalya
nyirnongalja
wurnongalu
marnongalba
arnongalya
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wangaya & anngalya & kaarringkaaleerri \\
wangaya & arr \(=\mathrm{n}\)-ngal-ya & kaarr= i - waal-eerri \\
women & \(\mathrm{px}=\) DEF-several-3p & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither-PROG
\end{tabular}

Several women are coming
(b) Wunngalu marrirri kunyangkaaleerri \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=n-ngal-u marirri \(\quad\) kuN=ya-kwaal-eerri \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-several-3w parrot.sp \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) go-hither-PROG A number of red-winged parrots are coming
(c) \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngayu & nyinjorinya & nyinngalja \\
ngayu & nyiN=yoru-nya & nyiN \(=\mathrm{n}\)-ngal-nya \\
I & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) =widow- 3 f & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-several- 3 f
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{7.4 Interrogative pronouns}

There are three important sets of interrogative pronouns.

\subsection*{7.4.1 nga-(ni)-'where?'}

In adverbial locative functions, these forms require suffixial agreement-class coding in agreement with their referents. In adverbial vector functions they take the suffixes =rru 'Lateral' (also possibly a contraction of -ngurru 'Allative') and -aalba 'Ablative,' as seen in Table 7.10. The second syllable of this root form is deleted in adverbial vector functions. This adverbial pronoun may also take the discourse-deictic infix -mnya- after the first syllable, as well as number suffixes and non-subcategorized object (DAT) marking, as seen in the examples in (7.18).

Table 7.10: -nga-(ni)- 'where is ... ?'
Locative \(\left\{\begin{array}{lll}3 \mathrm{a} & \text { nganiya } & \text { where is he? } \\ 3 \mathrm{f} & \text { nganinya } & \text { where is she? } \\ 3 \mathrm{w} & \text { nganangka } \\ 3 \mathrm{~m} & \begin{array}{l}\text { nganama } \\ \text { where is it (celestial)? } \\ 3 \mathrm{p}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { where is it (terrestrial)? } \\ \text { nganiya }\end{array} \\ \text { Centrifugal } \\ \text { Centripetal } & & \begin{array}{l}\text { where are they? } \\ \text { ngarru }\end{array} \\ \text { in which direction/whither? } \\ \text { ngarraalba } & \text { whence? }\end{array}\right.\)
(7.18a) Nyinjarndanya
nganinya?
nyiN=yarnda-nya ngani-nya
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) infant-3f where-3f
Where's the litte girl?
(b)
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Nyinjarndanya } & \text { ngamnyaninya? } \\
\text { nyiN=yarnda-nya } & \text { nga-mnya-ni-nya } \\
3 \mathrm{f}=\text { infant-3f } & \text { ↔-DD-where-3f } \\
\text { Where's that little girl? }
\end{array}
\]
(c) Inkarndu ngamnyaniyaarndu?
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu nga-mnya-ni-ya- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu
3a=DEF-DU \(\longrightarrow\)-DD-where-3a-DU
Where have those two got to?
(d) Wurlarnbirri nganiyaareya?
wurlarnbirri ngani-kwara-ya
belongings where-1DAT-3p
Where's all my things?

The terrestrial shape nganama is used as a general locative interrogative for participant persons, and when location is in focus:
(7.19a) Nganama kaanjaarndengaara?
ngani-ma kaarr-nja \(=\) kwarnde-nga-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wara
where-3m 3p-2=place-PAST-1DAT
Where did you put all my (things)?
Lit: 'Where's (the place) that/ at what (place) did you put all my (things)?'
(b) Nganama ngadunerri karle maa?
ngani-ma ngarr=nu-na-eerri karle maa
where-3m 1pin=be-PAST-PROG REM.P
Where did we use to live?
The anaphor nga-(ni)- is idiomatically predicated upon the inalienable noun =ngumbu wunu 'name,' as seen in (6.27b) in \(\$ 6.3\) and in (7.20):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Nyingumbu & nganangka? \\
nyiN=ngumbu & nganangka \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) name & where. 3 w \\
What's her name?
\end{tabular}
(b) \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ngunngumbu } & \text { nganangka? } \\ \text { ngun=ngumbu } & \text { nganangka } \\ 2=\text { name } & \text { where. } 3 \mathrm{w} \\ \text { What's your name? }\end{array}\)

\subsection*{7.4.2 /ang(k)uYa/ 'who? what?'}

These interrogative pronouns are described in \(₫ 4.1 .6\). Note as well however the forms angujakunya (|angujaC-kwunya| [what-PURP]) 'What for? Why?' and angujaaw (|anguja-aaw| [what-TIME]) 'When?' A relict consonant \(|\mathrm{C}|\) appears in the underlying shape of angujakunya, to harden the following purposive morpheme - \({ }^{-}\)wunya 'Purposive. \({ }^{5} 55\) There is also a rhetorical form angujabirri 'Why the hell ... ? Why on earth ... ?' as seen in (7.21):
```

(7.21) Angujabirri jandu-jandu bungunindaka? angujabirri jandu-jandu ba-ngun=ni-n-y2aka why mock-mock CFT-2=be-NON.P-EMPH Why on earth do you bave to make fun of it?

```

Anguja as a masculine noun may also mean 'something' or 'thing,' as in (7.22):

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{55}\) The relict consonant is probably \(n g\) by reduplication: |angujang |. This in turn suggests the development of the modern form from a reduplicated ancestral form *yangu: yangu-yangu
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Medial glide hardening & yangu-jangu \\
Initial glide deletion & angu-jangu \\
Final vowel deletion & angu-jang—>
\end{tabular}

Note that in this scenario the ancestral shape *yangu is almost identical to the modern Wubuy word yangi (Heath 1984:455), the functional equivalent of Worora anguja. Note also Nyulnyulan *yangki 'what.'
}
(7.22a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Inja & anguja & wori & ngarrwaarndeerri? \\
inja & anguja & wori & ngarr- \(\varnothing={ }^{6}\) wu- \({ }^{-k}\) warndu-eerri \\
3aDEF & something & surround & 1 pin- \(3=\) hit-DU-PROG \\
What's that creeping around \(u s ?\)
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Angujakunya & anguja & inja & kurdu & kunjeenga & wunu? \\
angujaC-kwunya & anguja & inja & kurdu & kuN-nja=yi-ng & wunu \\
what-PURP & thing & 3aDEF & follow & VCOMP-2=do-PAST & 3wDEF \\
What did you bave to go chasing after that thing for? & &
\end{tabular}

The masculine noun angujakana inja 'something' is also used with this meaning:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(7.23) & Awa inja & angujakana & baa & kamurrkarla. \\
awa inja & angujakana & baa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla \\
3aNAR & 3aDEF & something & appear & 3a-3= go.to-PAST
\end{tabular}

Another form angujakane inja 'all kinds of things' is used in this function with plural reference. Finally there is an infrequent variant of anguja, angujanu, with which it appears to be synonymous.

\subsection*{7.4.3 Existential =aani}

The existential interrogative \(=\) aani asks, 'Is there any \(x\) ?/ Does some \(x\) exist?/Do you have \(x\) ?' This word takes agreement-class prefixes as shown in Table 7.11. Note that no distinction is made in the unmarked macrogender:

Table 7.11: existential =ani 'is there (any)?
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(3 a / 3 w\) & kaani \\
3 f & nyaani \\
3 m & maani \\
3 p & kaarrani
\end{tabular}

The use of these forms is exemplified by such expressions as Ngeenya nyaani? 'Is there any honey?' Burnarri kaarrani? 'Is there any meat?' and Ngeeya kaarrani? 'Is there a lot of/much honey? These forms accept the discourse-deictic infix -mnya-, eg 3f nyimnyaani and 3a kamnyaani, as shown in example (7.24):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kulanoorri & kamnyaani? \\
kula-[nu-kwurri] & ka-mnya=aani \\
husband-[2DAT-NUM] & 3a-DD=EXIST \\
Is your ( \(p l\) ) busband still alive?
\end{tabular}

The plural shape may be used with -nya- epenthesis, as in burnarri kaajaani? (|kaarr-nya=aani| [3p\(\mathrm{EP}=\mathrm{EXIST}]\) ) 'is there still some meat left?'

\subsection*{7.5 Directional =rru-nangkowa}

The root shape =rru-nangkowa 'Directional' (glossed DIR) comes about by way of a small set of lateral demonstrative adverbs with the suffix -nangkowa attached. The demonstratives in question are marru 'over that way' and ngarru 'whither?' with a pair of collateral forms, mangurru 'over there' and wurru 'that (celestial) one.' The resulting forms yield a number of sense meanings centred around the notion of 'general or unspecified, usually lateral, direction or extent.' The word marrunangkowa 'this/that side, this/that way' is used without regard to distance: what is denoted is the general nature of the direction referred to, rather than the distance from the speaker. Such expressions are often accompanied by manual gestures:


The interrogative ngarrunangkowa 'on which side?/in which direction?/which way?' may be used in a locative-lateral sense as in (7.26a), or in a directional sense, as in (7.26b \& c):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(7.26a) & \\
Ngarrunangkowa & kaningkarla? \\
ngarrunangkowa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ningka-rla \\
which.way & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) bite-PAST \\
Where (=on which side) did it bite bim?
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & nyenga? & Marrunangkowa & nyimnyenga. \\
ngarrunangkowa & nya=ya-nga & marrunangkowa & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga \\
which.way & 3f=go-TNS & that way & 3f-DD=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

Which way did she go? She went that way.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mee & ngarrunangkowa & kamnyengaarndu. \\
mee & ngarrunangkowa & ka-mnya=ya-nga-kwarndu \\
I.don't.know & which.way & 3a-DD=go-TNS-DU
\end{tabular}

I don't know which way they went.
The function of the English manner interrogative 'how?' may be assumed by anguja 'what/(how)' as in (7.2e), or by ngarrunangkowa, as in (7.27). That is to say that 'which way?' in Worrorra may have both directional or manner-adverbial senses, as in English:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & ngama & wali & welkunke? \\
ngarrunangkowa & ØN-nga=ma & wali & welkunke \\
which way/how & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1=\) get & still & torch \\
How can I get a torch? & & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & wayarl & karrba? \\
ngarrunangkowa & wayarl & ka-ngarr=ma \\
which way/how & remove & 3a-1pin=get \\
How can we get rid of it (masc)? &
\end{tabular}

The paired terms marrunangkowa, marrunangkowa and maangurrunangkowa, marrunangkowa 'this way and that way/on either side/every which-way' denote items or actions extending centrifugally all around the speaker, as in (7.28):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(7.28a) & & \\
Daya & kubajingeerri & marrunangkowa, marrunangkowa. \\
rdaya & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri & marrunangkowa, marrunangkowa \\
ignite & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{do}-\mathrm{PAST-PROG}\) & this.way.and.that.way \\
They were lighting fires all over the place.
\end{tabular}
(b)

Walambarr-walambarr nyimbuna marrunangkowa, marrunangkowa.
Walambarr-walambarr nyiN- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na marrunangkowa, marrunangkowa
throw.out-throw.out \(3 \mathrm{f}-3=\) hit-PAST this.way.and.that.way
He threw it (fem) all out, left, right and centre.
(c)

Malka kanunerringkurri maangurrunangkowa marrunangkowa.
malka ka=nu-na-eerri-kwurri maangurrunangkowa marrunangkowa
play \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}\)-PAST-PROG-NUM this.way.and.that.way
They were playing about all over the place, every which-way.
Finally, the celestial-terrestrial opposition in the non-human macrogender may be enlisted to denote bilateral symmetry, as in (7.29a), which is part of a description of the way in which crocodiles are butchered for food. Extended bilateral symmetry may be marked by a directional form paired with an ablative form, as in (7.29b).
(7.29a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jarlewa & kanungu & mana & inganabarnanya, \\
jarlewa & ka=nu-ng & mana & \(i=n\) nganaba-rnanya \\
red & \(3 a=\) be-PRES & 3mDEF & \(3 a=\) body-LOC
\end{tabular}
marrunangkowaa wurrunangkowa.
\(\mathrm{ma}=\) rrunangkowa-aa \(\quad{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=rrunangkowa
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIR-and \(\quad 3 \mathrm{w}=\) DIR
There are red markings in its body, all up and down each side.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Marrunangkowa & warnangkali, & marraalba & warnangkali. \\
ma=rrunangkowa & warnangkali & ma=rru-aalba & warnangkali \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIR & cliff & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT-from & cliff
\end{tabular}

Cliffs rearing up on either side.

\subsection*{7.6 Highly presentational forms}

Highly presentational pronoun forms direct the listener's attention to their referents by extracting them from their conversational or narrative background, and endowing them with a special emotive significance. In Worrorra such forms are marked by suffixes containing the adverbial shape -bwali ( \(\$ 10.1 .1\) (i)).

\subsection*{7.6.1 Emphatic -y2akuwali}

The emphatic suffix \(-y_{2} a k\) uwali has been seen in examples (7.2a) and (7.10). This shape is composed of - \(y_{2}\) aka 'EMPHATIC' (see \(\$ 10.2 .1\) (ix)), and -bwali 'RESUMPTIVE' ( \(\$ 17.5\) ), although I am unable to ascertain the difference in meaning between \(-y_{2} a k a\) and \(-y_{2} a k u w a l i . \quad-y_{2} a k u w a l i\) is also found suffixed to personal participant pronouns and to the definite article \(=n\), as shown in Table 7.12. The word-forms in this table have meanings something like ' \(x\) is the one!' Note that the morpheme is a postposition in plural participant expressions. In this table the following phonological processes apply:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{w}: \\
& \mathrm{a} \longrightarrow \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
\]

Table 7.12: \(-y_{2}\) akuwali EMPHATIC, ' \(x\) is the one!'
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\multicolumn{3}{c}{ PERSONAL FORMS } & \\
1 & ngayekuwali & 3 a & DETERMINER FORMS \\
indakuwali \\
2 & ngunjekuwali & 3 f & nyindakuwalinya \\
1 pin & ngarri yakuwali & 3 w & wundakuwali \\
1 px & arri yakuwali & 3 m & mandakuwalima \\
2 p & nyirri yakuwali & 3 p & andakuwali
\end{tabular}

The shape \(-y_{2}\) akuwali is also found suffixed to SPECIFIC pronoun forms, in a sequence -maandakuwali, as occurs in iyarrungumaandakuwali ( \(\mid \mathrm{i}=\) yarrungu-maan-y2aka-bwali| [3a-QUANT-SPEC-EMPH-RESUM]) 'he's the very one!' For further discussion of these forms see \(\S 17.7 .1 .{ }^{56}\) Further examples of the use of \(-y_{2}\) akuwali are shown in (7.30):
(7.30a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyindakuwalinya & kungurr & kunjunganangka! \\
nyiN=n-y2aka-b wali-nya & kungurr & kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g-a-n a n g k a\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) DEF-EMPH-RESUM-3f & stab & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}

She's the one who stabbed him!
(b)

Arrke kaarri yakuwali.
3pREF 3pNEG EMPH
There's no-one there/ They've all gone.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{56}\) The morphophonology of emphatic pronouns is as follows: \(\mid\) ngaya-y \({ }_{2}\) aka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wali \(\mid>\) ngayekuwali, \(\mid\) ngunja-y2aka-bwali \(\mid>\) ngunjekuwali, \(\mid \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{akka}^{-\mathrm{b}}\) wali \(\mid>\) indakwwali, \(\mid\) nyiN \(=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{y}_{2}\) aka- \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wali-nya \(\mid>\) nyindakuwalinya,
 andakenvali.
}

\subsection*{7.6.2 Presentational/empathic}

The demonstrative/ indexical postposition (-)yirdabali is quite difficult to understand, appearing to have at least two distinct sense meanings. Most commonly, it is found marking presentational speech acts, in which the speaker is presenting or offering something to the listener, either in a literal sense, as in (7.31a \& c) below, or in a metaphorical, demonstrative sense, as in (7.31b). In this function it appears as either a separate word with the shape irdabali, or as a suffix to nouns and pronouns. As a pronoun suffix, it takes agreement-class marking as shown in Table 7.13:

\section*{Table 7.13: examples of -yirdabali 'Presentational'}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngayirdabali & 1 pin & ngarrirdabali \\
2 & ngunjirdabali & 1 px & arrirdabali \\
3 a & irdabali & 2 p & nyirrirdabali \\
3 f & nyinjirdabalinya & 3 p & arrkerdabali \\
3 w & wunjirdabali & & \\
3 m & manjirdabalima & &
\end{tabular}

This table represents not so much a paradigm, as one example only of the way in which the suffix may be attached to pronouns. For instance the \(3 \mathrm{f}, 3 \mathrm{w}\) and 3 m shapes here are based on the pronoun \(=n\) 'Definite,' while the 3p shape is based on \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'Contextual.' The 3a shape appears to have only the masculine agreement-class index \(i\) - as its prefix - cf also example (7.31b) below. The presentational function of this form is illustrated in (7.31):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(7.31a) & & \\
Karle & irdabali & rnaaya & kungmanga. \\
karle & irdabali & rnaaya & kuN-nga=ma-nga \\
now & PRSNTIL & gather & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1=\) get-PAST \\
There they are now, I've gathered them (celestial) all together.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyingkeyirdabalinya & nyirno & ilardurnanya! \\
nyiN=kwaya-yirdabali-nya & nyiN=rno & i=lardu-rnanya \\
3f=REF-PRSNTIL-3f & 3f=there & 3a=back-LOC
\end{tabular}

There she is, there, she's on bis back!
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Yaw, & nyijengurraarndu, & nyimnyaangurrungurru \\
yaw & nyirr-nya=ya-ngurru-k warndu & nyiN-mnya=k wangurru-ngurru \\
yes & \(2 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) go-away-DU & \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{DD}=\) carry-away
\end{tabular}
mangkanyirdabalinya nyina.
mangkanya-yirdabali-nya nyina
wife-PRSNTIL-3f 3fDEF
Yes, she can go with you, take her away, she's your wife now.
In (7.31c) a father is presenting his daughter to his son-in-law, after the pair have been living with her family for a while, and as they set out to move to her husband's home.

The second sense-function of -yirdabali appears to be to show sympathy or empathy with someone, especially for someone suffering some kind of trouble. Expressions of this sort are quite common in northern Australia, for example japurtu in Nyangumarta and wiyarrpa in Warlpiri both convey this meaning. The core meaning of these forms is not to denote the referent as an object of pity, but rather to register the speaker's projection of his or her self into some other person's situation;
that is, to register empathy with someone in a particular situation. Examples of this are given in (7.32):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Anguja & kaningkarla & irdabali & inja? \\
anguja & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ningka-rla & irdabali & inja \\
what & 3a-3=bite-PAST & PRSNTIL & 3aDEF \\
What's bitten the poor little thing? &
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngani wajunangkerdabali nyinmurruma?
ngani \({ }^{k}\) WuN-ngarr=yi-nangka-yirdabali nyiN=n-murru-ma
what VCOMP-1pin=do-DAT-PRSNTIL \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) =DEF-SEQ.FIN-?
Now what are we going to tell that poor old thing back there?

It may be that the presentational and empathic-projectional meanings of -yirdabali are related as centrifugal gestures towards some goal, typically an addressee in both cases. So also in English, presentational expressions are used to comfort distressed children ('there we are,' 'here we go'); presentation being used in these instances metaphorically, as a vehicle for the projection of the speaker's sympathy toward an addressee/goal.

\subsection*{7.7 Possessive pronouns}

Possessive pronouns are produced by suffixing the indirect or non-subcategorized object morpheme -nangka (glossed DAT, discussed in Chapter 13) to pronouns in the following ways:
(i) The first and second person singular pronouns |ngaya| and |ngunja|, have -nanglea attached directly.
(ii) All other possessive pronouns, including non-singular participant forms, are based on the anaphoric/narrative pronoun \(=^{k} w a\), described above in \(\S 7.2\) and seen in Table 7.2; along with agreement-class prefixes.

Table 7.14: possessive pronouns
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FUNCTION & FORM & MORPHOLOGY & TRANS \\
\hline Singular: first & ngayanangka & | nga=ya-nangka & 'my' \\
\hline second & ngunjanangka & | ngun=ya-nangka & 'your' \\
\hline third masc & aanangka & | \(\mathrm{a}^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka & 'bis' \\
\hline third fem & nyangkanangka & | nyaN=kwa-nangka | & 'her' \\
\hline Plural: first inc & ngarrkanangka & | ngarr \(^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {wa }}\) - \({ }^{\text {nangka }}\) & 'our' \\
\hline first exc & arrkanangka & | arr=kwa-nangka| & 'our' \\
\hline second & nyirrkanangka & | nyirr=kwa-nangka | & 'your' \\
\hline third & arrkanangka & | arr=\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka & 'their' \\
\hline Dual: first inc & ngarrkanangkaarndu & | ngarr=\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu | & 'our' \\
\hline first exc & arrkanangkaarndu & | arr=\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 'our' \\
\hline second & nyirrkanangkaarndu & | nyirr=\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu | & 'your' \\
\hline third & aanangkaarndu & \(\mid \mathrm{a}={ }^{\text {k }}\) wa-nangka-kwarndu \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 'their' \\
\hline Paucal: first inc & ngarrkanangkorri &  & 'our' \\
\hline first exc & arrkanangkorri & | arr=kwa-nangka-kwurri| & 'our' \\
\hline second & nyirrkanangkorri & | nyirr=kwa-nangka-kwurri| & 'your' \\
\hline third & arrkanangkorri & | arr=kwa-nangka-kwurri| & 'their' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This paradigm is not without problems. The paucal number series is represented in the database only in elicited paradigms, it is not found in spontaneous texts. (See \(\int 9.6 .1\) below on the difference between plural and paucal pronouns.) Love (1934:13) lists a trial possessive pronoun series ending in -uri, which may represent the trial morpheme -oorri discussed in \(\$ 9.6 .3\). Love (1934:12) also lists possessive pronouns not based on \(=^{k} w a\) : he gives examples of demonstrative pronouns with possessive inflection. While such forms may have been produced within the context of a full linguistic community, (or perhaps in an elicitation context), my instructors would not agree to them. Both Love's and my elicited paradigms include a feminine dual shape *nyangkanangkaarndinya, but as predicted, this is absent from the spontaneous database, and I do not consider it to be a genuine form (for discussion see §9.4.3).

There are only two spontaneous occurrences of dual possessive pronouns in the database, and they are both third person. There are no examples of celestial or terrestrial possessive pronouns; that is, of possessive pronouns with celestial or terrestrial antecedents. It appears, then, that the possessor in a possessive construction must be human.

Possessive constructions using pronouns are discussed in \(\$ 13.1 .2\), but a few comments here are necessary in order to account for the forms of possessive pronouns. Cross-linguistically nominal possessive constructions are naturally complex, composed of at least two parts: a head nominal, that is the thing possessed; and a dependent, that is the possessor or owner of the thing possessed. I will refer to these categories as 'heads' and 'possessors' respectively. In Worrorra, possessive pronouns are syntactically dependent upon their heads: like English possessive determiners they refer to whatever their heads refer to. They display morphological agreement with their heads when those head nouns are plural, collective, or of the marked macrogender. \({ }^{57}\) Such agreement-marking is by means of suffixes: -nya feminine, -ma terrestrial and -ya collective and plural. Some examples follow:
(7.33a) (3a head) Ngayanangka abiya ngaya-nangka abi-ya
I-DAT El.Sib-3a
My elder brother/father's father
(b) (3f head) Abeenya ngunjanangkanya abi-nya ngunja-nangka-nya
El.Sib-3f you-DAT-3f
Your elder sister/father's mother
(c) (3w head) Ngarlangarla ngarrkanangka
ngarlangarla ngarr= \({ }^{k}\) wa-nangka
language \(\quad 1 \mathrm{pin}=\) NAR-DAT
Our language
(d) (3m head) Rambarrba nyangkanangkama rambarrba nyaN=kwa-nangka-ma avoidance.kin \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{NAR}-\mathrm{DAT}-3 \mathrm{~m}\)
Her avoidance-category kinsman
(e) (3p head) Ngunjanangkaya nyangkaangkaya
ngunja-nangka-ya nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya
you-DAT-3p \(\quad \rightarrow\) AUG-girl-3p
Your girls

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{57}\) And so can be said to display negative or default agreement with the masculine and celestial genders.
}
\begin{tabular}{cll} 
(collective & Arrkanangkaya & mangarri \\
head) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
arr=kwa-nangka-ya \\
\(\mathrm{px}=\) NAR-DAT-3p
\end{tabular} & mangarri \\
food
\end{tabular}

From these examples it can be seen that a pronoun's morphological stem, =ya-nangka or =kwa-nangka in Table 7.14, is doubly inflected; agreement with the phrasal head is marked by suffixes, and coding of the agreement-class of the phrasal dependent (the possessor) is marked by prefixes.

Possessive pronouns often co-occur with their base (uninflected) form in apposition. The following sequences, sometimes metathesized, are found in the database:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ngayu ngayanangka & \(m y\) \\
ngarri ngarrkanangka & our (inc) \\
arri arrkanangka & our (exc) \\
arrka arrkanangka & their
\end{tabular}

This is part of a wider phenomenon discussed in \(₫ 13.1 .2\), in which possessor nouns occur in apposition with, and in the same phrase as possessive pronouns. A by-product of this syntax is that the 1 px and 3 p possessive forms may be disambiguated, as seen above.

Curiously, in pronouns and only in pronouns, the possessive (DAT) morpheme -nangka behaves as if it were a root morpheme, in so far as it may undergo Type 2 phonological extension ( \(\$ 6.2 .6\) (ii)):
\[
\varnothing —>\text { naa / ___ nangka }
\]

In the database this phenomenon is quite restricted in its occurrence. It is found in possessive pronouns modifying nouns that (i) denote humans or dogs, and (ii) are marked for plural number, and (iii) have their own root shapes reduplicated or extended. In the following examples the extension material is glossed AUG:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline (7.34a) & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Abaabiya & arrkanaanangkaya \\
abi-abi-ya & arr=k \({ }^{2}\) w-naa-nangka-ya \\
El.Sib-El.Sib-3p & \(\mathrm{px}=\) NAR-AUG-DAT-3p \\
Our/ their elder brothers
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ngayanaanangkaya iwaawurleya ngaya-naa-nangka-ya i-kwaa=kwurla-ya \\
I-AUG-DAT-3p \\
\(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-man's.child-3p \\
My big boys
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngunjanaanangkaya & kanaanangkurri \\
ngunja-naa-nangka-ya & ka-naa-nangkurri \\
you-AUG-DAT-3p & \(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-dog \\
Allyour dogs &
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (d) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Kawaawurlanangkaya \\
ka- \({ }^{k}\) waa \(={ }^{k}\) wurla-nangka-ya \\
\(\hookrightarrow-A U G=m a n ' s . c h i l d-D A T-3 p\) \\
Her nephews and nieces \\
nyangkanaanangkaya \\
nyaN=kwa-naa-nangka-ya \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{NAR}-\mathrm{AUG}-\mathrm{DAT}-3 \mathrm{P}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Head nouns denoting humans in the above examples are doubly marked for plural number: by stemaugmentation and by suffixation ( \(-y a\) a ' 3 p '), and their possessive pronoun dependents are doubly marked in the same way. Although this process is common, it is not obligatory: example (7.33e) above shows a reduplicated head noun modified by a possessive pronoun that is not itself augmented.

Examples ( 7.34 b \& d) involve the somewhat problematic root \(={ }^{k}\) wurla/ \(={ }^{k}\) wurlu 'man's child; woman's brother's child,' already seen in \(\$ 6.2 .4\). The appearance of the DAT morpheme on the kinship noun in (7.34d) is addressed in \(\$ 13.1 .1\) below. The Type 2 phonological extension \({ }^{-k}\) waa- preposed to the roots of the kinship nouns in the (b) and (d) examples (iwaawurleya and kawaawurlanangkaya respectively) indicates fairly clearly that these forms are derived from an underlying root, probably \(={ }^{k}\) wurla. There are two ostensibly underived nouns, kawurla 'man's son, woman's brother's son,' and kawurlanya 'man's daughter, woman's brother's daughter' that also appear to incorporate this morpheme, although the initial segment /ka-/ is clearly not a masculine marker in this case. The apparently collective noun iwaawurleya 'big boys, adolescents' noted in \(\$ 4.2 .2\) also appears to incorporate this root, or possibly the root \(={ }^{k}\) wpurle 'first' ( \(\$ 10.6 .4\) (ii)); and again the ostensibly masculine singular initial segment \(i\) - is not singular here. In the morphology of possessive pronouns, it is the DAT morpheme that undergoes phonological extension in agreement or harmony with a plural, high-animacy head whose root is also phonologically extended.

\subsection*{7.8 Intimations of other forms}

A number of other pronoun forms occur in the database that are neither well represented nor well understood. For some of these, paradigms have been obtained, and a few are sketched here.
(i) The proximal pronouns \((=n)\) are able to take the first person singular non-subcategorized object suffix \(-{ }^{-}\)wara (1DAT), in the sequence \(\mid=n^{-k}\) wara \(\mid\) ( \([=\) DEF-1DAT]), producing forms with a meaning something like 'here/there \(x\) is, my \(y\) !'
```

Table 7.15: $=n$-kwara 'Here's my $x$ !'
3a inkarawa
3 f nyinkarawanya
3w wunkara
3 m mankarawama
3p ankarawaya

```

An example of the use of this form is shown in (7.35):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mangkaanya & nyinkarawanya & nyina! \\
mangka-aa-nya & nyiN=n-k \({ }^{\text {wara-wa-nya }}\) & nyina \\
wife-1DAT-3f & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) DEF-1DAT-?-3f & 3fDEF
\end{tabular}

Here she is, my own wife!

Non-subcategorized object morphemes occur in all sorts of unexpected places, cf the shape nganiyaareya 'where are my (things)?' in (7.18d).
(ii) The shape -murru 'at last, SEQUENCE FINAL' appears to mark something as being one found at last, after a series of events. In the database this morpheme occurs suffixed to the spatial deictics \(=n\) 'Definite, this' and \(=r n o\) 'Distal' as shown in Table 7.16, as well as to the 3 m contextual deictic maa.

Table 7.16: -murru 'SEQUENCE FINAL'
PROXIMAL DISTAL

3a inmurru irnomurru
3f nyinmurru nyirnomurru
3w wunmurru wurnomurru
3 m manmurru marnomurru
\(3 p\) anmurru arnomurru

Under elicitation, pronouns with -murru occur as in Table 7.16, but in the database nearly all forms occur with an additional suffix \(-m\) (a) (see (iv) below). This shape has already been seen in (7.32b), and further examples are shown in (7.36).
(7.36a) Irnomurrum!
\(\mathrm{i}=\) rno-murru-ma
3a-DIST-SEQ.FIN-?
There he is at last!
(b)

Ke wunmaanmurru nyerrkunyo wunu .
ke \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN=n-maan-murru nyaN-nyarr-ngun-nya= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu wunu
and \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-SPEC-SEQ.FIN \(3 \mathrm{f}-1 \mathrm{px}-S J T V-E P=\) hit 3 wDEF
And so now, when we kill them (fem) ...
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyinmurrum & wunu & kamnyaninjeerri & maade \\
nyiN=n-murru-ma & wunu & ØN-ngka-mnya=ni-ny-yeerri & maade \\
3f=DEF-SEQ.FIN-? & 3wDEF & 3w-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG & RESULT \\
And so that's the way it is for her now \(\ldots\) &
\end{tabular}

In (7.36b \& c) the celestial forms refer to time: so wunmaanmurru 'this particular time now,' and the 3 w subject of the verb kamnyaninjeerri is also to be taken as time, ie '(now) it is (thus).'
(iii) The determiner \(=n\) may appear with the relativizing suffix -ngarri. In this form it refers to 'things associated with this or these (things).' Two examples are shown in (7.37):
(7.37a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wunngarrima & bardi & arrkunyaninykarndeerri \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN \(=\) n-ngarri-ma & bardi & arr-ngun-nya=ni-ny-kwarndu-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-REL-? & go.home & 1px-SJTV-EP=be-MIR-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}
mana dambeem.
3 mDEF place
From now on we're staying at home.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyangkanyini & nyina & kawurlanya, & nyinngarriwa \\
nyangka-nyini & nyina & kawurlanya & nyiN=n-ngarri-wa \\
3fNAR-ENDPOINT & 3fDEF & daughter & 3f=DEF-REL-?
\end{tabular}
ngarrkunyameembinjeerri marram mana.
ngarr- -ngun-nya=meembu-ny-yeerri marram mana
1pin-3-SJTV-EP=show-MIR-PROG light 3mDEF
So now it's the daughter, and her (sisters and daughters) who show us the light.
In (7.37a) the celestial reference of the word wunngarrima is to time, hence 'intervals of time associated with this time/from now on.' The feminine shape nyinngarriwa in (7.37b), 'things associated with her/her ones,' refers to other female relations of the daughter, in this case either her sisters or daughters, or both.
(iv) A segment \(-m\) or \(-m a\), homophonous with the terrestrial suffix, occurs quite rarely word-finally on some pronouns in the database, where 3 m marking would not be expected. In this chapter we have seen this segment occur in nyinmurrum (a) in (7.32b) and (7.36c), irnomurrum in (7.36a) and wunngarrima in (7.37a). As well as this, almost all instances of the morpheme -murru 'SEQ.FIN' in the database occur as -murrum (a) ( 7.36 b contains an exception). The pronouns showing this phenomenon are \(=n\) 'DEF,' \(=r n o\) 'DIST' and nyangke '3fREF' which occurs as nyangkem (see example 11.31b in \(₫ 11.4 .2\) ). Some more examples are shown in (7.38):
(7.38a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyinma & kanangkuja & ngarru & nyimnyenga? \\
nyiN=n-ma & kanangkuja & ngarru & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga \\
3f=DEF-? & bitch & whither & 3f-DD=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

Where's that dog gone?
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Aalmara & iniya, & irnayurr & wali & inma. \\
aalmara & \(\mathrm{i}=\) niya & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr & wali & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{ma}\) \\
European & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) good & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) adult & PM & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) DEF-?
\end{tabular}

The European is a good man, he's a leader.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyangkem & aja & nyangkaninjeerri. \\
NyaN=kwaya-m & aja & nyaN-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri \\
3f=REF-? & sit & 3f-SJTV=be-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}

There she is, sitting down.

In these examples the forms with \(-m(a)\) all appear to function just as do their more conventional counterparts; nyina '3fDEF,' inja '3aDEF' and nyangke '3fREF,' even to the point of inma substituting for the more usual inja in predicate-marking syntax in (7.38b) (see \(₫ 17.8\) ). Although at first glance it appears that these forms have acquired terrestrial marking, this is probably not the case, as such extra class-marking appears to be unmotivated in the instances in which it occurs. Example (7.38c), for instance, contains an evidential mirative verb form as well as a presentational or contextual pronoun; in this situation terrestrial marking, indexical of place, would seem to be quite superfluous. And the semantics of place do not enter into (7.38b) at all. Rather, my guess is that these forms are simply stylistic variants, perhaps influenced by borrowing from another language, rare in the database but optionally available none the less.

The uses of the three important pronouns \(=n\), 'Definite,' \(={ }^{k} w a\) 'Anaphoric/ Narrative,' and \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'Contextual' have been sketched in this chapter. The form \(=^{*} w a\) 'Anaphoric' is the language's most common NP anaphor, and it appears most often and most characteristically in this function. It is also discussed in \(\$ 9.4 .3\) in relation to its role in marking number. However \(=n\), 'Definite' and \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'Contextual' have important pragmatic functions, in marking definiteness and topicality over stretches of discourse, as well as combining with a variety of adverbial morphemes and other morphemes whose meanings are primarily or exclusively pragmatic. Consideration of these important functions of Worrorra pronouns involves the analysis of discourse-level phenomena such as rhetoric and 'global' predication, and is reserved for Chapter 17.

The class of pronouns in Worrorra may be characterized quite fairly as a semi-open one. I am far from being sure that I have encountered all of the pronominal morphemes available in the language, and while the number of pronominal words is probably not infinite, when all possible combinations of morphemes are taken into account, it must be large indeed, especially when identity-maintaining and specific pronouns are included in the total \((\$ 17.5, \S 17.7)\).

\section*{Eight: optative, counterfactual and exercitive moods}

Worrorra verbs display four formal-functional categories that correspond well to categories called 'mood' in other languages. Verbs display distinctions that may be termed indicative, optative, counterfactual and subjunctive moods. The term 'mood' is applied here to sets of forms that signal functional categories of the same name. Each verbal utterance must be in one and only one of these classes, which is to say that they are in complementary distribution. Constraints on the co-occurrence of morphemes in any one verb form define the shapes of Worrorra moods:

Table 8.1: mood-signalling
MOOD NP INDEX TYPE SLOT [1] SLOT [4] FUNCTION
Indicative
Counterfactual
Optative
Subjunctive
NP INDEX TYPE
series-1
series-1
series-2
series-2
SLOT \([1]\)
\(\varnothing\)
\(b a\)
\(\varnothing\)
\(\varnothing\)
SLOT [4]
\(\varnothing\)
\(\varnothing\)
a, nya,
ngun, ngka actualized events unactualized events
projected events
averted events

In this chapter Worrorra's optative ( \(\$ 8.1, ~ § 8.2\) ) and counterfactual ( \(\$ 8.3, \int 8.4\) ) moods will be described, as well as a set of exercitive forms also appropriately called 'moods:' hortative, monitive, prohibitive and imperative ( \(\$ 8.5\) ). Indicative mood is described in Chapter Five, and a fourth, irrealis, category of subjunctive forms is described in Chapter 15. The labels 'indicative,' 'optative' and 'subjunctive' are borrowed from Indo-European linguistics without, of course, any suggestion that more than typological or convergent similarity is involved: it just happens that the semantics of Worrorra verbal categories correspond generally with those of some Indo-European languages. \({ }^{58}\)

Reality status is signalled overtly by mood categories, with indicative signalling actualized events, and counterfactual signalling unreal or unactualized possibilities. All Worrorra verb forms apart from declarative signal some kind of irrealis meaning; the term 'irrealis' will therefore be reserved as a general descriptor of semantic properties common to a number of verbal functions. An irrealis category of projected events is signalled by optative mood forms.

As in many other languages (see eg Sweetser 1990:49), a given Worrorra verb form may signal both epistemic and root modality. In Sweetser's account, epistemic modality is derived from root modality by metaphorical process, and the two are in complementary distribution. Forms may therefore be ambiguous between these two senses, and this is what is found in Worrorra. Modality in Worrorra is signalled both by verbal inflexion and by the set of modal adverbs described in \(\S 10.2 .1\).

\footnotetext{
58 A more circumspect approach might label the four Worrorra verbal form-classes as something like the A-, B-, C - and D -series respectively.
}

\subsection*{8.1 Optative prefix-clusters}

The optative mood is used to depict events in future time. Such events are either projected to occur on the basis of present circumstances, or intended as future states. In Worrorra future time reference is not marked by a tense morpheme in order class [9], but by a number of other means, including a morpheme in order class [4]. In this way the language distinguishes real (past, present) from non-real (future) time reference.

The sets of prefix clusters that uniquely characterize optative forms are set out in Tables 8.2 and 8.3 below. The absence of tense-marking morphemes from order-class [9] also signals optative form, although this absence does not preclude aspect marking in order class [13]. This mood is not signalled in a straightforward way. It is marked by a number of formal devices, none of which signal optative function exclusively or uniquely: rather each of them acts in cooperation with other forms to signal the required meaning. The clearest signals of optative form are the occurrence of the allomorphs -nyaor \(-a\) - in order class [4], and the use of a special set of NP indices in order classes [2] and [3]. In the underlying representations in the following tables the optative allomorphs are in bold:

Table 8.2: intransitive prefix-clusters: optative mood
SINGULAR PLURAL
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
1 & nge- & \(\mid\) nga-nya \(\mid\) & 1pin & ngarra- & \(\mid\) ngarr- \(\mathbf{a} \mid\) \\
2 & ngunya & \(\mid\) ngun-nya \(\mid\) & 1 px & arra- & \(\mid\) arr- \(\mid\) \\
3 a & e- & \(\mid\) a-nya \(\mid\) & 2 p & nyirra- & \(\mid\) nyirr-a \(\mid\) \\
3 f & nye- & \(\mid\) nyaN-nya \(\mid\) & 3 p & arra- & \(\mid\) arr- \(\mathbf{a} \mid\) \\
3 w & nya- & \(\mid\) ØN-nya \(\mid\) & & & \\
3 m & me- & \(\mid\) ma-nya \(\mid\) & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 8.3: transitive prefix-clusters: optative mood
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(1>2\) & ngunya- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & inga- & \(\mid\) i-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinga- & \(\mid\) nyiN-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nga- & \(\mid\) ØN-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manga- & \(\mid\) ma-nga \(\mid\) \\
\(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinya- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(1>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anga- & \(\mid\) arr-nga \(\mid\) \\
& & \\
\(2>1\) & janya- & \(\mid\) jan-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & inja- & \(\mid\) i-nja \(\mid\) \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinja- & \(\mid\) nyiN-nja \(\mid\) \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & ja- & \(\mid\) ØN-nja \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manja- & \(\mid\) ma-nja \(\mid\) \\
\(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anja- & \(\mid\) arr-nja \(\mid\) \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anja- & \(\mid\) arr-nja \(\mid\) \\
& & \\
\(3>1\) & nganya- & \(\mid\) nga-n-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>2\) & ngunya- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & e- & \(\mid\) a-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nye- & \(\mid\) nyaN-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nya- & \(\mid\) ØN-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & me- & \(\mid\) ma-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>1 \mathrm{pin}\) & ngaja- & \(\mid\) ngarr-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anya- & \(\mid\) arr-n-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinya- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-Ø-nya \(\mid\) \\
\(3>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anya- & \(\mid\) arr-n-Ø-nya \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & arra- & \(\mid\) a-ngarr-a| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarra- & \(\mid\) nyaN-ngarr-a| \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3\) w & warra- & \(\mid{ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-ngarr-a| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marra- & | ma-ngarr-a \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & angarra- & | arr-ngarr-a \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunbarra- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)- \({ }^{\text {b warr-a }}\) | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & erra- & | a-nyarr-a| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerra- & \(\mid\) nyaN-nyarr-a| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nyarra- & \(|Ø N-n y a r r-a|\) \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merra- & \(\mid\) ma-nyarr-a \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarra- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-bwarr-a \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anyarra- & | arr-nyarr-a \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & jarre- & \(\mid\) jan-rra-nya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & irre- & |i-rra-nya| \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyirre- & | nyiN-rra-nya | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & wurre- & \(\mid{ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-rra-nya \(\mid\) \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marre- & \(\mid\) ma-rra-nya| \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & arre- & |arr-rra-nya | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & arre- & |arr-rra-nya | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & nganbarra- & \(\mid\) nga-n-b \({ }^{\text {warr-a }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & ngunbarra- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n) -bwarr-a \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ewarra- & \(\mid \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{nya} \mathbf{- b}_{\text {warr-a }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyewarra- & \(\mid\) nyaN-nya-bwarr-a \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & barra- & \(\mid Ø N-b\) warr-a| \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mewarra- & \(\mid\) ma-nya-bwarr-a \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & ngarrbarra- & \(\mid\) ngarr-bwarr-a \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anbarra- & \(\mid\) arr-n-bwarr-a \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarra- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-bwarr-a| \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anbarra- & \(\mid\) arr-n-bwarr-a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.1.1 Optative NP indices}

The special NP indices used in optative mood forms occur only in \(S\) and \(U\) positions, and are homophonous with the derivational agreement-class indices described in \(\$ 6.1\) in Table 6.1.

Table 8.4: series-2 NP indices
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& S & S/O & O \\
1 & nga- & & nga-n- \\
2 & & ngun- & \\
3 a & & i- & \\
3 f & & nyiN- & \\
3 w & ØN- & & \({ }^{\text {k wuN- }}\) \\
3 m & & ma- & \\
1 pin & & ngarr- & \\
1 px & arr- & & a-n- \\
2 p & nyirr- & & nyi-n- \\
3 p & arr- & & a-n-
\end{tabular}

This is the set of series-2 inflexions mentioned in \(₫ 5.3 .2\). In optative verb-forms their function is not derivational, rather their presence here contributes to the formal marking of optative mood. These forms differ from their indicative counterparts (Table 5.2) only in the unmarked macrogender (masculine and celestial) and third person plural.
(i) The 3 a shape \(i\) - occurs as \(|\mathrm{a}-|\) in front of velar and palatal nasals, in the \(3>3 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) and \(3 \mathrm{p}>3\) a clusters in Tables 8.2 and 8.3. Also note that \(i\) - disappears before a stem beginning with \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\). In this situation there are three descriptive options; either \(i\) - becomes \(\varnothing\) - before \(/ \mathrm{aa} /\), \(i\) - is assimilated into /aa/ by vowel contraction rule 22, or \(i\) - has an allomorph \(a\) - before /aa/ and is assimilated by rule 22. I prefer the latter option because it is congruent with the variant forms of the 3 findex (ie the open vowel form nyaN-before velar consonants, see \(\$ 5.3 .1\) : \(a a\) - is underlying \(\mid{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wa- \(\mid\) ):
\[
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \text { i } \ldots \longrightarrow>\text {... a } \ldots / \_\mid C[+ \text { velar }] \mid \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
(ii) The 3 w allomorph \(\emptyset N\) - is reconstructed with a final nasal consonant because it has the effect of hardening following glides but not nasals. It therefore induces glide-hardening rule 10 and behaves as if it ended in a nasal consonant (see \(\$ 3.1\), rule 4 ).
(iii) The \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) prefix cluster \(|\varnothing N-n j a|\) leaves the 2 agent morpheme \(-n j a\) - stranded at the start of words, presenting an illegal word-initial nasal-stop consonant cluster. In this situation the first member of the CC is deleted under Initial CC reduction rule 28:
\[
\begin{array}{rll}
|\varnothing \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{nja}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{wu}| & {[3 \mathrm{w}-2=\mathrm{hit}]} & -> \\
\varnothing \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{ja}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{wu} & \text { (rule 28) } & -> \\
\text { jo } & \text { (rule 18) } & \text { you will hit it }
\end{array}
\]
(iv) The allomorph \({ }^{k} w u N\) - is only employed in the transitive 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{w}\) and \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) clusters warra- and wurra-, and in imperative and subjunctive verb forms.
(v) The series-2 3p shape is syncretized with the 1 px shape, (both arr-), resulting in a form that signals plural exclusive reference only (glossed 'px,' see §6.1.1).

\subsection*{8.1.2 The morpheme nya \(\sim a\)}

This section further discusses features of the prefix-clusters illustrated in Tables 8.2 and 8.3 above. The allomorphs -nya- and -a- may be seen in bold in those tables.

The morpheme -nya- appears in order class [4] in the following circumstances:
(a) On intransitive verbs with singular subjects,
(b) On transitive verbs with third person singular subjects,
(c) On transitive verbs with second person plural subjects,
(d) On verbs with \(1>2,1>2 p\) and \(2>1\) argument structures,
(e) On verbs with \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) and \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) argument structures.

The allomorph -a- occurs in order class [4] after subject indices ending in the trill /rr/. That is, it occurs after all plural subjects except second person plural agents.

There is as well a set of forms that lack any kind of optative marking in order-class [4]. These are transitive verbs with first and second person singular subjects, except as listed in (d) above. Added to this situation are the facts that the absence of tense-marking suffixes from order class [9] does not uniquely signal optative form, and that some optative/series-2 indices are homophonous with their indicative counterparts. The result of this is that there are a few verb forms that do not distinguish optative from aorist shapes. These are forms with first or second person singular agents acting upon undergoers of the marked macrogender, ie \(1>3 \mathrm{f}, 1>3 \mathrm{~m}, 2>3 \mathrm{f}\) and \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\).

It is also clear that -nya- and \(-a\) - are phonologically conditioned variants. These shapes are elsewhere epenthetic in this position (rule 26). When they co-occur with optative NP indices and in the absence of tense-marking morphemes in order class [9], they function to signal optative mood. Outside of this formal context they retain their epenthetic role.

Note the underlying shapes of the \(3 p>3 a, 3 p>3 f\) and \(3 p>3 m\) indices. In these shapes the optative morpheme occurs twice; first as -nya- after the undergoer-indexing morpheme, and a second time in its post-trill shape \(-a\)-. This configuration, schematized in (8.2), represents a violation of the general ordering of constituents described in Table 5.1:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{OBJ}+\mathrm{OPT}+\mathrm{SUBJ}+\mathrm{OPT}+\ldots \mathrm{ROOT} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
\]

Another idiosyncratic violation of constituent order of this nature is found in subjunctive verb forms (\$15.2).

In most situations where the optative morpheme -nya- occurs, it is found after the morphophoneme \(|a|\) and is subject to extensive alteration that results in the surface-level phoneme /e/:
\[
\begin{array}{lcl}
\text { (i) } & \mid \text { a-nya } \mid \longrightarrow \text { aya } & \text { (/ny/-lenition rule 24) }  \tag{8.3}\\
\text { (ii) } & \text { aya } \longrightarrow / e / & \text { (V-expansion rule 18) }
\end{array}
\]

The 3 a morpheme \(i\) - also has morphophonemic shape \(a\)-before optative -nya-, resulting in the surface outcome \(/ \mathrm{e} /\). This shape reveals the underlying form of verb roots starting in /aa-/ (|kwa-|):

> Ewangurraal
> a-Ø-nya=kwangurru-aal
> 3a-3-OPT-carry-hither
> S/ he will bring him

Note the shape of the \(3>1\) pin index ngaja- (|ngarr-Ø-nya \(\mid\). In this shape the morphophoneme \(\mid\) ny \(\mid\) hardens to \(/ \mathrm{j} /\) after \(|\mathrm{rr}|\), and constitutes the only instance of hardening rule 11 operating in a verbal prefix cluster at the expense of the expected trill deletion rule 15. Again the reason for this is phonological and has to do with disambiguation; if rule 15 operated upon this cluster initially, the resulting surface shape *nganya- would not be distinguishable from the attested \(3>1\) shape, also nganya-.

\subsection*{8.2 Optative constructions}

The typical denotation of optative is volition. By text count some form of volition is by far the most common meaning of optative forms.

A second denotation is that of projection. Projected functions are epistemic predictions used to make positive assertions, statements and questions that refer to events in future time. Although future events may also be signalled by declarative ( \(\$ 5.4 .3\) ) or by aorist forms ( \(\$ 5.4 .5\) ), the optative mood is the typical, most unequivocal and commonest form used in this function.

\subsection*{8.2.1 Morphological volition}

In many languages the distinction between futurity and intention is not clear-cut. For example the English sentence 'I'm staying here' may denote the speaker's intention (='I intend to stay here') or his prediction about a future state of the world (='I conclude that I will stay here’) or both (or neither). So in Worrorra the distinction may also be a hazy one. Compare the two senses of that English sentence, with the senses of the following Worrorra sentences:
(8.5a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arri & ngayu & manmaa & aja & ngenu \\
arri & ngayu & ma=n-maa & aja & nga-nya=nu \\
but & I & \(3 m\)-this-3mREF & sit & 1-OPT=be
\end{tabular}

But I'll stay right here/ But I want to stay right here
(b) Yaw, bija wali ngemnyeyungurru
yaw bija wali nga-nya-mnya=ya-ngurru
yes FUT PERF 1-OPT-DD=go-away
Yes, I'll go/ Yes, I'd like to go
(c) Maangurrinyini ngayanangkamarnanya ngunyaangurru
maa-ngurru-nyini ngayu-nangka-ma-rnanya ngun-Ø-nya \(=^{k}\) wangurru
3mREF-away-until I-DAT-3m-LOC 2-3/1-OPT=take
I'll take you away to my own country/I'd like to take you away to my own country
In \((8.5 \mathrm{c})\), terrestrial marking on the possessive pronoun refers to 'place' by default ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)); and in ( 8.5 c ) and (8.6a) below, first person singular agent reference on the verb is neutralized with third person singular marking ( \(-\varnothing_{-}\)) in the presence of a second person (undergoer) index (see \(\S 5.3 .3\) (vii)). The adverb bija 'Future' in (8.5b) appears to convey pretty much the same semantics as the does optative mood itself, and is quite common in optative sentences.

But optative morphology is also employed to denote a clearer sense of volition, as the following sentence examples show:
(8.6a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ruluk & bamnyayu, & wook & ngunyamnyongurru! \\
ruluk & ba-mnya=ya & wook & ngun- - - nya-mnya=bwu-ngurru \\
move & CFT-DD=go & pass & \(2-3 / 1-\) OPT-DD=hit-away
\end{tabular}

Move over, I want to get past you!
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nanjan & maa & angujakunya & waay & ngununa? & Yarribaa \\
nanjan & maa & anguja-kwunya & waay & ngun=nu-na & yarribaa \\
ladder & 3mREF & what-PURP & throw & 2=be-PAST & descend.PL
\end{tabular}
nyarramnyengurru!
ØN-nyarr-a-mnya=yi-ngurru
VCOMP-1px-OPT-DD=do-away
What did you take the ladder away for? We want to get down!
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngayu & geenyale & barramnyiyaara & anja & Arrbalandi. \\
ngayu & gee-nyale & ØN-b \({ }^{\text {warr-a-mnya=yi-aara }}\) & anja & arr=maland-i \\
I & represent-next & VCOMP-3p-OPT-DD=do-1DAT & 3pDEF & px-PLACE.NAME-3p \\
Now the Prince Regent River people want me to be their totemic emblem.
\end{tabular}

Example (8.6c) is spoken by a dreamtime ancestor about to be killed and 'deified' as a totem. The preverb gee 'totemic representation' occurs here with the verb classifier kuN[]=yi 'do,' with the meaning 'SUBJ be represented totemically by OBJ.' Here there are two objects; the first is the verb classifier's subordinated complement (gee), indexed in prefixed undergoer position as the sentential object index 'VCOMP.' The second is the animate object indexed as a suffix in order class [11] as 1DAT.

\subsection*{8.2.2 Periphrastic volition}

A third type of volition is represented by a periphrastic construction. Here the verb \(k u N[]=y i{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{do}\) ' acts as a matrix predicate to an optative complement clause. In most of its occurrences the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) is the most semantically bleached of all the Worrorra verb classifiers, being used in both transitive and intransitive predicates \((\$ 11.4 .1)\). As the language's universal pro-verb, it is almost devoid of lexical content in complex predicates. KuN[]=yi 'do' has, however, a second, distinct meaning as an all-purpose verb of cognition, as seen in cognitional constructions such as that shown in example (5.8) in §5.1, where it has a meaning something like 'know/think about.' Related to this meaning, kuN[]=yi has a third sense as a verbum dicendi, in which case it means 'say/tell,' and a fourth sense as a verb of volition, with a meaning roughly equivalent to 'think/want.'

Periphrastic volitional constructions employ \(k u N[]=y i\) in this fourth, volitional, sense as a matrix predicate whose object argument is a complement clause expressing propositional content. This syntax denotes volition unambiguously in Worrorra. It appears to involve the semantics of volition projected from OPT morphology in the complement clause, up to the matrix verb \(k u N[]=y i\), which then functions as if it were a volitional lexeme meaning 'want' (for a more complete discussion see §14.2). Consider example (8.7), with the complement clause in square brackets:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[Dambeewunya & ngeyu] & kungayeerri. \\
dambeem-kwunya & nga-nya=ya & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
place-PURP & 1-OPT= go & VCOMP-1=do-PROG \\
I want [to go home] & &
\end{tabular}

While the expression dambeewnnya ngeyu by itself is ambiguous between volitional or future-time sense meanings (as 'I want to go home' or 'I will go home') the construction in (8.7) can only mean 'I want to go home'. Another example of this kind of construction is shown in (8.8).
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Derluk } & \text { ngewangurru] }
\end{array}\right.} & \text { kenga, ... }  \tag{8.8}\\
\text { derluk } & \text { nga-nya=bwa-ngurru } & \text { ØN-Ø-ngka=yi-ng } \\
\text { between } & \text { 1-OPT=fall-away } & \text { VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST } \\
\text { When she tried [to pass between them], } \ldots
\end{array}
\]

In these constructions the matrix subject is indexed on the matrix clause verb (as - \(\varnothing\) - ' 3 ' in this instance), and is co-indexed obligatorily by the first person singular morpheme (nga- ' 1 ') in the complement clause. This produces what appears to be at first sight a direct-speech kind of syntax of the sort: 'when she thought (kenga): ['I will pass between (derluk ngewangurru)'] ...' Again, for explanation see \(\$ 14.2\).

\subsection*{8.2.3 Purposive clauses}

In Role-and-Reference terms, purposive clauses in Worrorra contain optative verb forms linked in peripheral coordination to a logical protasis. The purposive clause is then structurally although not logically independent of its predecessor. The nature of the linkage is therefore of the loosest sort, and produces essentially de facto purposive constructions. Some examples of purposive clauses are shown below, in square brackets. Note the absence of an OPT morpheme from the purposive clause in (8.9a), with its \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) prefix (see \(\S 8.1 .2\) above).
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Karle & ngengerri & molnganem & [aaku & ngama]. \\
karle & nga=ya-nga-eerri & molnganem & aaku & ØN-nga=ma \\
now & 1=go-TNS-PROG & river & water & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1=\) get
\end{tabular} I'm going to the river [to get some water].
(b)

Nyirringkaal! Nyirringkaal! [Bunjuma minjarl-minjarl nyirranu!]
nyirr= \(={ }^{-}{ }^{-}\)waal nyirr= \(={ }^{-}{ }^{-k_{W}}\) waal bunjuma minjarl-minjarl nyirr-a=nu
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither \(\quad 2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither fig
Come on! Come on! (Come) [and eat the figs]!
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Erramnyaangurru & marno & [warli & arrke & iyilem \\
a-nyarr-a-mnya=kwangurru & ma=rno & warli & arrke & i=yilem \\
3a-1px-OPT-DD=take & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) there & turtle & 3pREF & 3a=present
\end{tabular}
jeyi-jeyi nyarre].
jeyi-jeyi \(\quad\)-N-nyarr-a=yi
spear-spear VCOMP-1px-OPT=do
We want to take bim out [so we can spear turtles in his presence] =
We want to take him out [to show him how to spear turtles].
The first part of the utterance in (8.9b) is an imperative form of \(=\) yat \(^{-k}\) waal 'come,' and the second part is the purposive clause, of the form 'in order to eat figs.'

\subsection*{8.2.4 Projection}

In Worrorra the optative mood signals projected reality as well as volition. Optative forms may denote epistemic necessity. In the following examples the speakers are claiming that available evidence and processes of reasoning compel them to the conclusions they come to. In (8.10) a man has just fallen down a deep hole. When all attempts to get him out have failed, he says,
(8.10) Ngurru maanbali ngenu, korru.
ngurru maanbali nga-nya=nu korru
here FOCUS 1-OPT=be alright
Alright, I'll stay here / Alright, I'll really have to stay here.
The epistemic adverb maaji 'DELIBERATIVE, I-had-better' denotes conclusions reached by processes of reasoning based on the speaker's knowledge of the circumstances of his or her situation. In (8.11) the second clauses contain the premises for the conclusions reached in the clauses controlled by maji.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Maaji-maaji & burnma & mangaarndenangkorri, & ke \\
maaji-maaji & burn-ma & ma-nga=kwarnde-[nangka-kwurri] & ke \\
had.better-REDUP & PRO-3m & \(3 m-1=\) place-[3pDAT] & and
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
murndey & maade & barrayeerri & anja & belangkarraya. \\
murndey & maade & ØN-bwarr-a=yi-eerri & anja & belangkarrya-ya \\
cross & RESULT & VCOMP-3p-OPT=do-PROG & 3pDEF & many.people-3p
\end{tabular}

I think I'd better put something there for them, and then everyone will [be able to] get across.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Barnmarnngarrirnanya & maaji & angaangurru, & wardi & ngana \\
barnmarn-ngarri-rnanya & maaji & arr-nga=kwangurru & wardi & ngana \\
medicine-REL-LOC & had.better & \(3 \mathrm{p}-1=\) carry & I.hope & PROB
\end{tabular}
```

wurlingkarr nyenangkorri.
wurlingkarr ØN-Ø-nya=yi-[nangka-kwurri]
rub.clean VCOMP-3-OPT=do-[3pDAT]
I'd better take them to a doctor, maybe be'll be able to cure them.

```

Another epistemic category referred to by optative forms is that of prediction. In (8.12) a dreamtime ancestor foresees her own death:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngayu & maa & ngemnyeyu & marru, & Daraarlu & marru, \\
ngayu & maa & nga-nya-mnya=ya & ma=rru & rdaraarlu & ma=rru \\
I & 3mREF & 1-OPT-DD=go & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT & PLACE.NAME & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline maangurru & bija & nganbarro, & wirrkeman & nganbarree. \\
\hline maa-ngurru & bija & nga-n-bwarr-a=bwu & wirrkeman & nga-n-bwarr-a=ee \\
\hline 3mREF-away & FUT & 1-INV-3p-OPT=hit & unburied & 1-INV-3p-OPT=place \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{I will go away over there to Daraarlu, and there they will kill me and leave my body unburied.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyijoolee & marno & Wadoyrnanya; & yorr & nyirrwa, & ke \\
nyirr=yoolee & ma=rno & Wadoy-rnanya & yorr & nyirr=bwa & ke \\
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) =DIST & spotted.nightjar-LOC & sit.together & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) fall & and
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
arrka & Wadoyngarri & mana & Jrr'nkurnanya & yorr \\
arrka & wadoy-ngarri & mana & jrr'nkurn-rnanya & yorr \\
3pNAR & spotted.nightjar-REL & 3mDEF & owlet.nightjar-LOC & sit.together
\end{tabular}
arrawa.
arr- \(-{ }^{=}{ }^{b}\) wa
3p-OPT=fall
You lot go over to Wadoy's place and live there, and Wadoy's (daughters) will (come and) live at Jinkun's place.
Example (8.13) is from a story describing how the two culture heroes Jinkurn and Wadoy agreed to exchange daughters, and thereby institute the patrimoiety system common to Worrorra-, Ungarinyinand Wunambal-speaking people. The optative form yorr arrawa 'they will abide' is predictive in this instance.

Promises are performative acts of prediction, in which a speaker's guarantee constitutes the epistemic warrant for his or her claims about the future, as seen in (8.14):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kaarriyaka & wunu, & bija & murlard & erramnyoyeerri. \\
kaarri-y 2 aka & wunu & bija & murlard & a-nyarr-a-mnya=bwu-yeerri \\
3pNEG-EMPH & 3wDEF & FUT & look.after & 3a-1px-OPT-DD=hit-PROG \\
There's no way that'll happen, we're going to be looking after him.
\end{tabular}

An important epistemic category identified by Brisard (1997:276) is referred to by him as that of evaluatives. The two types of evaluative functions recognizable in the Worrorra database are hopes and resultatives (expectations and outcomes), both of which are signalled by specific epistemic adverbs. Resultative expressions are usually signalled by the enclitic adverb made 'so, RESULT,' which occurs as either a postposition or a suffix to a verb or preverb. The second clause in (8.11a) is a resultative clause of this type. The examples in (8.15) come from the same story as example (8.13):
(8.15a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Warrarloowaarndu & ngarlangarla & ke & ngenangka, \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {k w }}\) wuN-ngarr-a \(=\) rloo- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & ngarlangarla & ke & ØN-nga=yi-nangka \\
\hline VCOMP-1pin-OPT=speak-DU & speech & 3wREF & VCOMP-1 = do-DAT \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ke & ngurumaade & janyaangurru. \\
ke & nguru-maade & jan-nya=kwangurru \\
and & hear-RESULT & \(2>1\)-OPT=take
\end{tabular}

I want to discuss something with you that I will tell you about, and then you will understand me.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunu & ngunjanangkaya & nyangkaangkaya & anja \\
wunu & ngunju-nangka-ya & nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya & anja \\
3wDEF & you-DAT-3p & ム-AUG-girl-3p & 3pDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
angkengkaal & ngayurnanya, & ke & wurlarl & baade & nyanu. \\
arr-ngka=ya-kwaal & ngayu-rnanya & ke & wurlarl & baade & ØN-nya=nu \\
3p-SJTV= go-hither & I-LOC & 3wREF & suitable & RESULT & 3w-OPT=be \\
Ifyour daughters were to come over to my place, then that would be fitting. &
\end{tabular}

Hopes are signalled by the epistemic adverb wardi 'I hope,' which occurs most frequently with potential (counterfactual) verb forms. However it may also control optative verb forms, as may be seen in examples ( 8.11 b ) and (8.16). Clauses of this type denote hope with a sense of expectation; that is, the speaker both hopes and expects that the event depicted will happen.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Adijaarndeerri} & ngani-ngani & nye, & wardi \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{a-ngarr= \({ }^{\text {ninja-k }}\) warndu-eerri} & ngani-ngani & ØN-Ø-nya=yi & wardi \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{a}-1\) pin=look.at-DU-PROG} & what-what & VCOMP-3-OPT=do & I.hope \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{ngana yarriy ewaal.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ngana} & a-nya= & a- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) waal & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PROB desce} & 3a-OPT & all-hither & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Let's watch him and see what he's going to do, I hope he does come down.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.3 Counterfactual forms}

The counterfactual mood is used to depict non-factual (irrealis) and counterfactual events. It is the only form able to appear in negative constructions, which constitute its typical and most frequent occurrence.

Counterfactual mood is marked by a morpheme ba(N)- in order class [1], and by a distinct set of tense suffixes in order class [9]. The prefix clusters that uniquely characterize these forms are set out in Tables 8.5 and 8.6 below. Counterfactual verbs have the following formal properties:
(i) A prefix whose underlying shape can be reconstructed as either \(b a\) - or \(b a N\) - appears in order-class [1].
(ii) Counterfactual verbs encode a past/non-past tense system in order class [9], with the morpheme -n signalling non-past and -(yi)nya signalling past on all verbs.
(iii) When order class [9] is empty, potential verb forms are indicated (\$8.4.3).

The shape of the counterfactual morpheme will be taken as \(b a\) - for practical purposes, although the morphophonology could be made to work nearly as easily with a shape \(b a N-\), and in all probability the
synchronic morpheme results from an archiphoneme *baN-. Wubuy in south-eastern Arnhem Land has a morpheme -wan- (-bwan-) in similar position and function (Heath 1984:360). Velar nasalization rule 7 specifically inserts a nasal phoneme after \(b a\) - in some situations, and would be redundant if a form baN-were postulated. Vowel metathesis rule 8 and the alternating shapes of the \(2(\mathrm{p})>1\) portmanteau jan- ~ -nyan- would also be better explained if the morphophoneme were nasalized (see §8.3.1 below). Against this, another rule would be needed to remove nasal-stop clusters where 3 a and 3 p morphemes occur in absolutive \((\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U})\) function if a shape \(b a N\) - were postulated. It seems that an overall simpler synchronic description is achieved by adopting the shape \(b a\)-, although the evidence points to an historical shape \({ }^{*} b a N\) - for the counterfactual prefix. Prefix clusters are listed below, along with phonological rules for the derivation of surface shapes from underlying abstract formulations.

Table 8.5: intransitive prefix-clusters: counterfactual mood
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& SINGULAR & & PLURAL \\
1 & banga- & \(\mid\) ba-nga \(\mid\) & 1 pin & barr- & \(\mid\) ba-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
2 & bungun- & \(\mid\) ba-ngun \(\mid\) & 1 px & berr- & \(\mid\) ba-nyarr \(\mid\) \\
3 a & bangka- & \(\mid\) ba-ka \(\mid\) & 2 p & binyirr- & \(\mid\) ba-nyirr \(\mid\) \\
3 f & binyiN- & \(\mid\) ba-nyiN \(\mid\) & 3 p & baarr- & \(\mid\) ba-kaarr \(\mid\) \\
3 w & bungka- & \(\mid\) ba-kuN \(\mid\) & & & \\
3 m & bama- & \(\mid\) ba-ma \(\mid\) & & &
\end{tabular}

Table 8.6: transitive prefix-clusters: counterfactual mood
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1>2\) & bungun- & \(\mid\) ba-ngun-(n)-Ø| & V harmony \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & banga- & |ba-ka-nga| & 22 \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyinga- & | ba-nyaN-nga| & 21 \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bunga- & | ba-kuN-nga| & 9 \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamanga- & | ba-ma-nga| & \\
\hline \(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyin- & | ba-nyirr-n-Ø| & 21 \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanga- & | ba-kaarr-nga | & 22 \\
\hline \(2>1\) & banyan- & | ba-jan| & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & banja- & | ba-ka-nja & 22 \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binja- & | ba-nyiN-nja | & 21 \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & binja- & \(\mid\) ba-kuN-nja| & 9 \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamanja- & | ba-ma-nja| & \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & baanja- & | ba-kaarr-nja | & 22 \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanja- & | ba-kaarr-nja | & 22 \\
\hline \(3>1\) & bangan- & | ba-nga-n-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>2\) & bungun- & \(\mid\) ba-ngun-(n)-Ø| & V harmony \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bangka- & | ba-ka-Ø| & 7 \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyiN- & | ba-nyiN-Ø| & 21 \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungka- & \(\mid \mathrm{ba-kuN}-\varnothing\) | & 8 \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bama- & | ba-ma-Ø| & \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & barr- & | ba-ngarr-Ø| & (22) \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & ben- & | ba-nyarr-n-Ø| & 24 \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyin- & | ba-nyirr-n-Ø| & 21 \\
\hline \(3>3 p\) & baan- & | ba-kaarr-n-Ø| & 22 \\
\hline 1 pin>3a & barr- & | ba-ka-ngarr| & 22 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyarr- & | ba-nyaN-ngarr| & 21, (22) \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungarr- & | ba-kuN-ngarr| & 9 \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamangarr- & | ba-ma-ngarr| & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baangarr- & | ba-kaarr-ngarr | & 22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & bungunbarr- & | ba-ngun-(n)-bwarr & V harmony \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & berr- & | ba-ka-nyarr| & 22, 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyerr- & | ba-nyaN-nyarr| & 21, 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & binyarr- & | ba-kuN-nyarr| & 9 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamerr- & | ba-ma-nyarr| & 24 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbarr- & | ba-nyirr-n-b warr| & 21 \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanyarr- & | ba-kaarr-nyarr| & 22 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & banyarra- & | ba-jan-rra| & \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & barra- & | ba-ka-rra| & 22 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyarra- & | ba-nyaN-rra| & 21 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & burra- & | ba-kuN-rra| & 9 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamarra- & | ba-ma-rra| & \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & baarra- & | ba-kaarr-rra| & 22 \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baarra- & | ba-kaarr-rra| & 22 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & banganbarr- & | ba-nga-n-bwarr | & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & bungunbarr- & | ba-ngun-(n)-bwarr| & V harmony \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bawarra- & \(\mid \mathrm{ba}\)-ka-bwarr-a & 22 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyimbarr- & | ba-nyiN-b warr| & 21 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungkaarr- & | ba-kuN-bwarr| & 8, 23 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamaarr- & | ba-ma-bwarr| & 23 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & bangarrbarr- & | ba-ngarr-bwarr| & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & benbarr- & | ba-nyarr-n-bwarr| & 24 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbarr- & | ba-nyirr-n-bwarr| & 21 \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanbarr- & | ba-kaarr-n-bwarr| & 22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.3.1 Morphophonological processes}

The morpheme \(b a\) - is prefixed to the inflexional NP indices listed in Table 5.2 in a relatively straightforward manner. However there are four important phonological rules operating in counterfactual prefix clusters, three of which apply exclusively to counterfactual verbs: they are velar elision rule 22 , velar nasalization rule 7 , vowel metathesis rule 8 and CFT- 3 w assimilation rule 9 .
(i) Velar elision rule 22 applies to the \(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}\) indices |ka| '3a', | ngarr| '1pin' and |kaarr| '3p'. When these morphemes occur in a cluster with \(b a\)-, their initial velar consonants are usually elided.
(ii) Velar nasalization rule 7 applies to the \(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}\) index \(|\mathrm{ka}|\) ' 3 a ,' and turns the initial velar consonant into a prenasalized stop ( \(/ \mathrm{ngk} /\) ) after \(b a\) - if no other phonetic indexing material follows.
(iii) Vowel metathesis rule 8 and CFT-3w assimilation rule 9 induce transformations upon the \(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U} 3 \mathrm{w}\) index \(k u N\) - after \(b a\)-. Vowel harmony is prominent in counterfactual prefix clusters, and rule 8 is after all an elaborate vowel harmony rule. Rule 8 metathesizes the underlying sequence |ba\(\mathrm{kuN} \mid\) to \(\mid\) buN-ka|, which is then subject to the operation of other phonological rules to derive surface forms. Rule 9 blends \(b a\) - with the 3 w U index \(k u N\) - to produce the surface shapes \(b u\) and \(b i\) - in the presence of a participant agent index.

Other phonological operations evidenced in these clusters are as follows:
(iv) The shape \(b a\) - becomes \(b i\) - before /ny/, that is before nyiN- '3f' and nyirr- ' 2 p '. This is a variation upon \(/ \mathrm{a} /\)-fronting and raising rule 21.
(v) \(b a\) - becomes \(b u\) - before ngun- ' 2 '.
(vi) The \(2(\mathrm{p})>1\) portmanteau morpheme \(j a n\) - has the shape -nyan- after \(b a\)-. The underlying shape of this morpheme could be construed as either of these two forms.
(vii) The shape barr- occurs in three situations; as intransitive |ba-ngarr| 'CFT-1pin', as transitive |ba-ngarr- \(\varnothing \mid\) 'CFT- \(3>1\) pin' and transitive \(\mid\) ba-ka-ngarr | 'CFT-1pin \(>3 \mathrm{a}\) '. In all these situations barris in free varation with bangarr-.
(viii) The CFT 1pin>3f shape occurs as either binyarr- or binyangarr-; underlyingly |ba-nyaN-ngarr|, either with or without velar elision rule 22.
(ix) The intransitive 1 px morpheme copies the transitive shape -nyarr- in counterfactual verbs. In this way the intransitive CFT 1px shape berr- (|ba-nyarr|) is distinguishable from the 1 pin shape barr-(|ba-ngarr|). Here /ny/-lenition rule 24 and V-expansion rule 18 derive /e/ from underlying \(|a+n y a|\).
(x) The \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) shape binja- shows haplological reduction as follows (the 2 agent morpheme \(-n j a\) - is phonetic [-nyja]):
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { (i) } & \mid \text { ba-nyiN-nja } \mid & \longrightarrow & \\ \text { (ii) } & \text { bi-nyiny-nyja } & \longrightarrow & \\ \text { (iii) } & \text { b-[iny-iny]-ja } & \longrightarrow & \\ \text { (iv) } & \text { b-iny-ja } & \longrightarrow & \text { binja- }\end{array}\)
This makes this shape homophonous with the \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) shape, which in turn derives its form from CFT- 3 w asimilation rule 9 (see (iii) above).

\subsection*{8.3.2 Tense-marking}

Tense and aspect morphemes in order classes [9] and [13] combine in different ways to signal a variety of counterfactual functions. This system is summarized in Table 8.7:

Table 8.7: counterfactual tense and aspect marking

Nonpast:
Nonpast continuous \(\mid-\mathrm{n}+\) yeerri \(\mid \longrightarrow\)-njeerri
Past
Past continuous
Potential
Potential continuous
\[
\begin{aligned}
& -n \\
& \mid-\mathrm{n}+\text { yeerri } \mid \longrightarrow>-n j e e r r i \\
& -(\text { yi)nya } \\
& \mid-(\mathrm{yi}) \mathrm{nya}+\text { eerri } \mid->\text {-nyeerri } \\
& -\varnothing \\
& \mid-\varnothing+\text { eerri } \mid->\text {-eerri }
\end{aligned}
\]

The continuous aspect morpheme is -jeerri after \(-n\), and it combines with pat tense - \((y i) n y a\) to produce -nyeerri. The shape \(\mid\)-yi- \(\mid\) in this allomorph is essentially a dummy segment, being discernable only by the effect it has upon vowels preceding it:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { Waa bangkawenya }  \tag{8.17}\\
& \text { waa } \quad \text { ba-ka }={ }^{\text {b }} \text { wa-yinya } \\
& \text { not } \text { CFT-3a=fall-PAST } \\
& \text { He didn't fall }
\end{align*}
\]

The only way to account for the surface shape of the vowel /e/ in the root morpheme in (8.17) is to posit some influence by the following tense morpheme along the lines of V-expansion rule 18. Essentially the past tense shape -nya can be said to have a raising and fronting effect on vowels occurring on both sides of it.

In counterfactual forms of the irregular verb \(=y a\) ' \(g o\),' the indicative tense morpheme -nga remains bonded to the root, producing a shape \(\mid\)-yanga- \(\mid\). This shape is then re-inflected with counterfactual tense suffixes, as exemplified in (8.18):
(8.18) Waa bangengenya
waa ba-nga=[ya-nga]-yinya
not CFT-1=[go-TNS]-PAST
I didn'tgo
Likewise, the irregular verb =ya-kwal 'come' retains its indicative past tense morphology -ngku-na-([EP-PAST]) as described in \(\$ 5.4 .1\), but redundantly, and only in present-tense shapes: so, bangengleunaal (|ba-nga=ya-ngku-na-kwaal| [CFT-1=go-EP-PAST/EP-hither]) 'I should come.' Here the meaningless shape -na is effectively epenthetic. In genuine past-tense forms of this verb, the morph -na is replaced by the counterfactual past-tense morpheme -(yi)nya: so, bangengkeunyaal (|ba-nga=ya-ngku-nya-kwaal| [CFT-1 = go-EP-PAST-hither]) 'I should have come.' The verb =ya-kwaal also uses a variant -jeerri of the continuous morpheme in counterfactual present continuous shapes after the directional morpheme \({ }^{-k}\) waal (see Appendix 2.2 for examples). So: bangengkunaaljeerri (|ba-nga=ya-ngku-na-kwaal-yeerri| [CFT-1 = go-EP-EP-hither-PROG]) 'I should be coming.'

When followed by a tense-marking morpheme, the verb \(=n u\) 'be' has the shape \(=n i\) in counterfactual forms.

\subsection*{8.4 Counterfactual meanings}

Negative utterances involve a typically irrealis semantics, and the use of the counterfactual mood in these constructions marks it as the inflexional category dedicated to non-factual and counterfactual meanings. The counterfactual mood is used outside of negative contexts in two important functions:
(i) forms marked for tense denote obligations, conceived of in both deontic and epistemic senses, and
(ii) forms unmarked for tense denote statements of potential reality, typically depicting a speaker's hopes and fears.

\subsection*{8.4.1 Negation}

Clauses can only be negated by using either of the two negative adverbs waa 'not' or kajirn(ba) 'unable' (see \(\$ 5.1\) ). These adverbs denote non-modal and root modal senses of negation respectively, and control verbs in counterfactual mood. The use of waa has already been seen in examples (8.17) and (8.18). The use of kajirn(ba) is seen in example (8.19):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Anja & kajirnba & baadinkurri & anja & ngawaawanangkorri! \\
anja & kajirnba & ba-kaarr=ni-n-kwurri & anja & nga-waa-wa-[nangka-kwurri] \\
3pDEF & can't & CFT-3p=be-NON.P-NUM & 3pDEF & C-AUG-El.Sib-[3pDAT]
\end{tabular}

Kajirn baangarnonangkorri
kajirn ba-kaarr-nga-rno-n-[nangka-kwurri] nga-waa-wa-[nangka-kwurri]
can't CFT-3p-1 = give-NON.P-[3pDAT] \(\rightarrow\)-AUG-El.Sib-[3pDAT]
They can't live with their brothers! I can't give them to their own brothers!
Negative verbs are marked for tense. Non-past tense-marking refers to events in present or future (projected) time. Present time reference may be conveyed by the marker \(-n\) 'NON.P', as in the examples in (8.20):
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(8.20a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Nyangke \\
nyangke
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ngeenya \\
ngeenya
\end{tabular} & waa & wali & wali
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
ba-nyangarreendandaka \\
ba-ngarr=eenda-n-y2aka
\end{tabular}

\section*{Of course we don't cook honey}
(b) Waa jukurljukurl banganineerri
waa jukurl-jukurl ba-nga=ni-n-nu-eerri
not happy-happy CFT-1=be-NON.P-2DAT-PROG
I'm not bappy to see you
In (8.20b), and in the verb baangarnonangkorri in (8.19) the tense marker \(-n\) is obscured by the first phoneme of the following object morphemes, \(\mid\)-nu| and \(\mid\)-nangka \(\mid\) respectively. Support for the claim that the tense marker is underlyingly present in these situations is to be found by reference to a paradigm such as that shown in (8.21):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (8.21a) & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngunju & waa \\
ngunnju & waa \\
you & not \\
You're not telling me
\end{tabular} & binjeenkarerri ba-kuN-nja=yi-n-k wara-eerri CFT-VCOMP-2=do-NON.P-1DAT-PROG \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Awa & waa \\
awa & waa \\
3aNAR & not & \\
He's not telling us
\end{tabular} & bungkenngarreerri ba-kuN-Ø=yi-n-ngarri-eerri CFT-VCOMP-3=do-NON.P-1 pinDAT-PROG \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngunju & waa \\
ngunju & waa \\
you & not \\
You're not telling him
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
binjeenangkerri \\
ba-kuN-nja=yi-n-nangka-eerri \\
CFT-VCOMP-2=do-NON.P-DAT-PROG \\
/ her
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The marker \(-n\) is clearly present in (8.21a) and (b), and its presence may be inferred in situations such as that shown in (c) by analogy with the other two examples. It is therefore possible to claim that all verbs employed in negative constructions are tensed. Negative future projected time reference may also be conveyed by the marker \(-n\), as seen in example (8.19) and in the following examples:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (8.22a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Waa \\
waa \\
not \\
I won
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
jakarl bangawan \\
jakarl ba-nga=b \\
swim CFT-1=fall \\
o swimming.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
-n \\
NON.P
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Waa \\
waa \\
not \\
I won
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
minjarl bungen, minjarl ba-kuN eat CFT-VC \\
at anything, I feel sick.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ga=yi-n } \\
& \text { MP-1= do-NON.P }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
karle \\
karle \\
now
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ngawarru. \\
nga-warr \\
1-sick
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (c) & Mara mara see When & \begin{tabular}{l}
errkumbu, a-nyarr-ngun \(={ }^{b}\) wu 3a-1px-SJTV=hit \\
find him, we won't bur
\end{tabular} & kajirn berrw kajirn ba-ka can't CFTbim & \begin{tabular}{l}
ndaka. \\
yarr=b \\
\(-1 p x=h\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
u-n-y \(y_{2}\) aka \\
-NON.P-EMPH
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.4.2 Anaphors and adverbs used with counterfactual verbs}

In sentences containing indefinite anaphors, either the anaphor or the verb may be marked negatively, as in English: (i) We have nothing to eat (ii) We haven't eaten anything (iii) No one's eaten it (iv) Somebody hasn't eaten theirs. In (i) and (iii) negative anaphors are used with positive verb forms,
while in (ii) and (iv) positive anaphors are used with negative verb forms. When sentences with indefinite anaphors are negated in Worrorra, it is usual for an interrogative anaphor to occur with a counterfactual verb. The following sentence examples demonstrate constructions of this sort with the interrogatives angleuyu 'who?/some one,' anguja 'what?/something,' ngani 'what?/anything' and angujabirri 'why on earth ... ?'
(8.23a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Karraarrangarri & aa & irraarrangarri & kaarriyaka \\
ka-rraa-rra-ngarri & aa & i-rraa-rra-ngarri & kaarri-y2aka \\
\(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-mother-1pinDAT & and & ↔-AUG-father-1pinDAT & 3pNEG-EMPH
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
wali, & angkuyu & mara & barrwunjeerri. \\
wali & angkuyu & mara & ba-ngarr- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-n-yeerri
\end{tabular}

Our mothers and fathers aren't here, no one will see us.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mee & anguja & minjarl & bungajindaka \\
mee & anguja & minjarl & ba-kuN-ngarr=yi-n-y2aka \\
I.don't.know what & eat & CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do-NON.P-EMPH \\
There's nothing for us to eat/I don't know what we're going to eat
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngani & bungenjeerri & wunu! \\
ngani & ba-kuN-nga=yi-n-yeerri & wunu \\
what & CFT-VCOMP-1=do-NON.P-PROG & \(3 w D E F\) \\
I'm not doing anything! &
\end{tabular}
(d)
Angujabirri jandujandu bungunindaka?
angujabirri jandu-jandu ba-ngun=ni-n-y2aka
why mock-mock CFT-2=be-NON.P-EMPH
Why do you have to make fun of it? (=You shouldn't make fun of it)
The interrogative angujabirri 'why?' is used in rhetorical questions with deontic force, to suggest that in the opinion of the speaker an event ought not to happen, and is more or less equivalent to an English expression like 'Why on earth ... ?'

Other rhetorical phrases are used when people are deliberating what to do. These are Ngani nge? 'What shall I do?' and Ngani waju? or Ngani waje? 'What shall we do?' and are equivalent to English deliberative expressions such as 'I know what I'll do ...' and 'I know what we can do ...' An idiomatic variant of this type of expression is seen in (8.24):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Anguja & bangamemurrkanjeerri? \\
anguja & ba-ka-nga-me=murrka-n-yeerri \\
what & CFT-3a-1-AUG= go.to-NON.P-PROG \\
Where shall I go now?
\end{tabular}

Two sentence-level adverbs govern counterfactual mood in verbs with which they are associated. These are the negative interrogative adverb waawa 'why not .../is it not so (that) ... ?' and malyaawam 'that's the reason that .../it's not for no reason that ...' Their use is illustrated below:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(8.25a) & & \\
Waawa & joy & badinyeerri? \\
waawa & joy & ba-kaarr=ni-nya-eerri
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunu & malyaawam & 'Dilangarri' & bungkaajeenyanangkorri \\
wunu & malyaawam & dila-ngarri & ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-yinya-[nangka-kwurri] \\
3 wDEF & REASON & dog-REL & CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-[3pDAT] \\
That's the reason why they called them 'Dog-People'
\end{tabular}
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Ngurlarndangarri \\
ngurlarndangarri
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyina \\
nyina
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
malyaawam \\
malyaawam
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
'Wurnbangkuwaanya' \\
Wurnbangku-waa-nya
\end{tabular} \\
little.corella & 3fDEF & REASON & CLAN.COUNTRY-PERS-3f
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.4.3 Obligation}

Obligations in Worrorra are unactualized events expressed in terms of deontic modality. Deontic obligation denotes physical and social forces acting upon humans, and is metaphorically extended to epistemic obligation (Johnson 1987, Sweetser 1990). In Worrorra the counterfactual mood is used for both types of obligation, and verbs used in these functions are tensed. Sentence examples illustrating deontic obligation are presented below; in (8.26a) \& (b) the obligations are expressed in the second clauses:
(8.26a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangke & ngeenya & waa & wali & binyangarreendandaka & nyangke, \\
nyangke & ngeenya & waa & wali & ba-nyaN-ngarr=eenda-n-y2aka & nyangke \\
3fREF & honey & not & PERF & CFT-3f-1pin=cook-NON.P-EMPH & 3fREF
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyinma & kanangkuja & ngarru & nyimnyenga? & Jolimirri \\
nyinma & kanangkuja & ngarru & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga & joli-mirri \\
3fDEF & bitch & whither & 3f-DD=go-TNS & return-quickly
\end{tabular}
binyimnyaninba!
ba-nyiN-mnya=ni-n-ba
CFT-3f-DD=be-NON.P-EP
Where's that dog gone? She'd better get back here quickly!
(c)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Baanjamurlomurlonyeerri & wunu! \\
ba-kaarr-nja=murlomurlo-nya-eerri & wunu \\
CFT-3p-2=look.after-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF \\
You should bave been looking after them! &
\end{tabular}

Sentence examples illustrating epistemic obligation are shown below:
(8.27a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Anja & ngarlungarlu & yarrorl & bungkaajin; & ngarru \\
anja & ngarlungarlu & yarrorl & ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-n & ngarru \\
3pDEF & talking & voices & CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-NON.P & whither
\end{tabular}
```

kaajooleena anja?
kaarr=yoolee-na anja
3p=go-PAST 3pDEF
There should be voices (coming from) their (camp); where have they gone?

```
(b)

Manjamanja bangarrbajonkarndu.
manja-manja ba-ngarr- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr-nya \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-n- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
meet-meet CFT-1pin-3p-EP=hit-NON.P-DU
They should be coming out to meet us.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kanaanangkurri & arrke & beewurdungarri & birdeen & bangkaninya, & burnja \\
kanaanangkurri & arrke & beewurdu-ngarri & birdeen & ba-ka=ni-nya & burn-ya \\
dogs & 3pREF & small.PL-REL & small & CFT-3a=be-PAST & PRO-3a
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kajirnja & iraarraarreya & yolow & kenga & inja & kanaanangkurri. \\
kajirnja & i= raa-rraa-rra-ya & yolow & ka=ya-nga & inja & kanaanangkurri \\
like & 3a=ム-AUG-big-3p & stand.PL & 3a=go-TNS & 3aDEF & dogs
\end{tabular}
[You'd think that] those puppies would have been small, but these dogs were as huge as anything.
In \((8.27 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\) the actors are not physically or morally obliged to be at home or to come out to meet the speakers, respectively. But the speakers are claiming that on the basis of their knowledge of past circumstances and events, they would expect the protagonists to be at home, or to come out to meet them, because that is how they have characteristically behaved in the past. And the evidence of past events constitutes premises on the basis of which they expect that on this occasion too, they will behave characteristically.

Example (8.27c) is a complex sentence and requires some commentary. Firstly it illustrates very well how dogs fall in between humans, whose referents may be pluralized, and animals, whose referents usually may not. Dogs in this sentence display both singular and plural agreement morphologies. Birdeen and beewurdu are the suppletive singular and plural agreement forms respectively of the adjective meaning 'small' ( \(\$ 6.2 .1\) ) Here birdeen takes no agreement-class marking because it is used predicatively, falling under the scope of the following counterfactual verb, bangkaninya. The phrase kanaanangkurri beewurdungarri 'small dogs' refers here to 'puppies.' The speaker is claiming that these particular puppies, as puppies, should by analytic logic be small. That is to say that logic
compels us to conclude that puppies, as 'small dogs,' are thereby small. However that this is not the case is shown by the counterfactual form of the verb bangkaninya, and the following clause, which states that contrary to expectation, the puppies are huge.

\subsection*{8.4.4 Potential reality}

Potential reality is an epistemic category that denotes the possibility of event actualization on the basis of given premises. In placing an event within the domain of potential reality, a speaker asserts no more than that the event is located on one of the 'paths that reality's momentum is not precluded from following,' to paraphrase Langacker (1991:277). Potential reality is a type of futurity with less likelihood of actualization than projection, but greater likelihood than non-reality (Langacker 1991:243). In Worrorra potential reality is indicated by the use of counterfactual verb forms without tense marking ( \(\$ 8.3 .2\) ). Examples of this type of clause follow; in (8.28b) the first, and in (830c) the second clauses express potential reality:

Korru wooy bangayu mana.
korru wooy ba-nga=ya mana
alright swim CFT-1=go 3mDEF
It might be alright for me to swim here.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Karle & joliyolimirri & bungkaajaal, & jukurley \\
karle & joli-joli-mirri & ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-aal & jukurley \\
now & return-return-quickly & CFT-VCOMP-3p-do-hither & tickle
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
ajama & ke & jaraai & baade & enu & inja & kanangkurri. \\
a-ngarr-nya=ma & ke & jaraai & baade & a-nya=nu & inja & kanangkurri \\
3a-1pin-EP=get & and & laugh & RESULT & 3a-OPT=be & 3aDEF & dog
\end{tabular}

They could get back any time now; lets tickle the dog and make it laugh.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wununu & wunu & abeerlawa, & ngurr & bungenangkeka \\
wunu-wunu & wunu & \begin{tabular}{l} 
abeerla-bwa
\end{tabular} & ngurr & ba-kuN-nga=yi-nangka-y2aka \\
3wDEF-3wDEF & 3wDEF & slow-PROG & strike & CFT-VCOMP-1=do-DAT-EMPH
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
wunu & joli & nyangkanungaal! \\
wunu & joli & nyaN-ngka=nu-ng-aal \\
3wDEF & return & 3f-SJTV=be-PRES-hither
\end{tabular}

This is taking so long; I'll really belt her when she gets back!
In (8.28c) the speaker is under no obligation to hit his dog, nor does he state that he intends to do so, although this is not obvious from the English translation. He is asserting only that such an action has become a possibility, where no such possibility existed before. A possibly more accurate although less comfortable translation might read 'I might really belt her ...' or 'I could really belt her ...' The context of the verb bungenangleeka makes it clear that it is a potential form, and that therefore there is no tense marker in its construction, in contrast to (8.21).

In the great majority of instances, however, potential verb forms are used to express people's bopes and fears. In denoting fears, potential verbs carry out a function variously referred to as aversive, apprehensive or evitative. These functions are exemplified below and again in \(₫ 8.5 .2\). Potential forms occur in this context in negative-purposive ('lest') clauses, seen below as the second clauses in each
sentence. In (8.29a) the first clause is imperative ( \((\mathbb{8} .5 .3\) ) and in (8.29b) \& (c) the first clauses are prohibitions (\$8.5.2):
(8.29a)

Ininjerri yangarnay bangkawaarndu.
\(\mathrm{i}=\) ninja-eerri \(\quad\) yangarnay \(\quad \mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{ka}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wa-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
3a-watch-PROG escape CFT-3a=fall-DU
Watch them (dual) so they don't escape.
(b)
Ke bungajeerri, yawarrarra bungaju.
ke ba-kuN-ngarr=yi-eerri yawarrarra ba-kuN-ngarr=yi

3wREF CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do-PROG
sink.PL
CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do
Let's not do that, or we might get drowned.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Yarrorl & birriyeerri, & meemeenya \\
yarrorl & ba-kuN-rra=yi-eerri & meemeenya \\
voices & CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-PROG & spirit.bird
\end{tabular}


Potential forms are also commonly employed in the apodoses of if ... then ... conditional constructions (hypothetical constructions):
(8.30a)

Wunu marnowa nganngunyaangurru, jaa wurlingkarr
wunu marnowa \(\varnothing N\)-ngan-ngun-nya= \({ }^{k}\) wangurru jaa wurlingkarr
3wDEF carry VCOMP-1-SJTV-EP=carry PERF rub.off
bungkayaara.
ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wara
CFT-VCOMP-3=do-1DAT
If I were to carry it, I'm sure it would rub off all my (paint).
(b)

Arri ingujulum inganngunyamaalima, ke bangamaalimaarndu.
arri \(i=n g u j u l u m\) i-ngan-ngun-nya=maalima ke ba-ka-nga=maalima-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
but 3a=ribcage 3a-1-SJTV-EP=spear 3wREF CFT-3a-1=spear-DU
But if I spear him in the ribs, I might get those two (boys).
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aai & kaaju & ke, & ngarrkanangka \\
aai & ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi & ke & ngarr=kwa-nangka \\
reply & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do & 3wREF & 1pin=NAR-DAT
\end{tabular}
ngarlangarlanyine aai kaajaal, ke
ngarlangarla-nyine aai \(\quad\) aN-ngka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=yi-aal ke
language-INST reply VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-hither 3wREF
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
yawarrarra & bungaju & wali & ke. \\
yawarrarra & ba-kuN-ngarr=yi & wali & ke \\
sink.PL & CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do & PERF & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

If they answer, if they answer back in our own language, then we might all get drowned.
Potential verbs are also the commonest forms occurring with wardi 'hope.' These constructions are the semantic opposites of the aversive forms seen above. Optative verb forms may also occur with wardi, (see \(\int 8.2 .4\) ), in which case they denote some degree of expectation as well as hope. In potential constructions, hope is referred to without necessarily any sense of expectation. Following are some examples: in (8.31c) the first clause expresses a hope, while the second contains a complement clause expressing a fear.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wardi & ke & ngambal & bangkawa. \\
wardi & ke & ngambal & ba-ka=bwa \\
I hope & 3wREF & satisfied & CFT-3a=fall \\
I hope he'll be happy about that. &
\end{tabular}
(b)

Ke wardi wurlarl bungkanunu?
ke wardi wurlarl ba-kuN=nu-nu
3 wREF I.hope suitable CFT-3w=be-2DAT
W ould this be suitable for you?
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wardi & murlard & barroyeerringkurri, & balangkarra & nyangke \\
wardi & murlard & ba-ka-rra=bwu-yeerri-kwurri & balangkarra & nyangke \\
I.hope & look.after & CFT-3a-2p=hit-PROG-NUM & storm.wave & 3 fREF
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { bangkawaara } \\ \text { ba-ka= }{ }^{\text {wa-k }} \text { wara }\end{array} & \text { kungayeerri. } \\ \text { CFT-3a= fall-1DAT } & \text { VCOMP-1= }=\text { do-PROG }\end{array}\)
The complement-clause construction in the second clause of (8.31c) is described in \(\S 14.3 .4\). The 1DAT morpheme \({ }^{-k}\) wara occurring here is in affective dative function (see \(\S 13.2 .2\) (ii)).

\subsection*{8.5 Exercitive structures}

A class of exercitive speech acts was proposed by Austin (1955 [1976:155]) to unite those acts by means of which a speaker exercises or seeks to exercise power over events and other people. The prototypical exercitive speech act is imperative, characterized by Searle (1969:124, footnote) as follows: 'the aim of imperatives is to get the world to conform to words, whereas [the aim of other illocutionary acts is] in getting words to conform to the world.' However there is no guaranteed correlation between form and function in the construction of speech acts (see eg Levinson 1983:263276): interrogative forms may be used with imperative illocutionary force, as in 'have you quite finished?' or with requesting force, as in 'can you pass the salt?' or with hortative force ('shall we go?’). Examples of indirect speech acts in Worrorra follow:
(8.32a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Marno & malkalka & ngarranaarndu & jindimarnanya \\
ma=rno & malka-malka & ngarr-a-nu-kwarndu & jindima-rnanya \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) there & play-play & 1pin-OPT=be-DU & mangrove-LOC
\end{tabular}
marno, baay-baay ngarranaarndu.
\(\mathrm{ma}=\) rno baay-baay ngarr-a-nu-kwarndu
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{DIST}\) climb-climb 1pin-OPT=be-DU
Let's go over there and play in the mangroves and climb around in them.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Dumbi & inbali! & Arrowurri! \\
dumbi & \(\mathrm{i}=\) nbali & a -ngarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{wu}}{ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri \\
barn-owl & 3a=RESUM & 3a-1pin-OPT=hit-NUM \\
There's that owl! Let's kill it!
\end{tabular}

The verbs in (8.32) have optative form, but function with hortative illocutionary force. The verb in (8.33) below has hortative form (see below, \(₫ 8.5 .1\) ) but in the context in which it was spoken, that is in the referent's clear hearing, it had imperative illocutionary force.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Karle & nyengenya. \\
karle & nya=[ya-nga]-yinya \\
now & \(3 \mathrm{f}=[\) go-TNS \(]\)-HORT \\
She can go now/Let her go now.
\end{tabular}

Without close observation of the daily use of language in a largish community of speakers, it would be difficult to exhaustively correlate linguistic form and speech-act function in any systematic way. In this section I will attempt to describe the forms that typically and frequently signal exercitive speech acts, and which seem to be dedicated specifically to those speech acts. In this way I will be describing a set of structures with characteristic functions. In Worrorra they include imperative, hortative, monitive and prohibitive forms.

\subsection*{8.5.1 Hortative}

Hortative speech acts are attempts 'to get the world to conform to words' in the same way that imperatives are, but without the addressee being involved as a predicate subject. In making such an attempt, a speaker may use a variety of modal schemata to achieve his or her ends. So hortatives in Worrorra grant a licence or a warrant for such-and-such an event to occur. The granting of such a licence may involve various modal semantics, such as permission or enablement, epistemic warrants such as futurity, or imperative illocutionary force. Regardless of which modal sense an hortative utterance may be framed in, the function of such speech acts is in essence to licence some part of the world to conform to the speaker's wishes.

Hortative verbs are indicative forms usually marked by the suffix -(yi)nya in order-class [9]. This shape combines with the continuous aspect morpheme without the application of \(V\)-expansion rule 18, producing the shape -nyeerri. A segment \(|\mathrm{yi}|\) is hypothesized to occur in front of the shape /nya/ because of the effect that this morpheme has on preceding vowels (see \(\S 8.3 .2\) ):
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { We kawenya! }  \tag{8.34}\\
& \text { we } \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{b} \text { wa-yinya } \\
& \text { lie } 3 \mathrm{a}=\text { fall-HORT } \\
& \text { Let it fall! ( }=\text { drop it!) }
\end{align*}
\]

Just as in counterfactual forms (see (8.18) in \(\int 8.3 .2\) ), the non-future tense morpheme -nga of the irregular verb =ya 'go' remains bonded to the verb root. This phenomenon can be seen in (8.33) above. Other examples of intransitive hortative forms are as follows:
(8.35a) Korru kaajaninyeerri.
korru kaarr-nya=ni-nya-eerri
alright 3p-EP=be-HORT-PROG
Let them have it their way. (=Let them be.)
(b) Ajakarri mana bija aja kaadinyaal.
arr=yakarri mana bija aja kaarr=ni-nya-kwaal
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) other 3 mDEF FUT sit \(3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}\)-HORT-hither
Make a space for someone else to sit down (=Let others come and sit here).
(c) Ngurru nyimnyengkunyaal arrirnanya!
ngurru nyiN-mnya=ya-ngku-nya- \({ }^{\text {k wal }}\) arri-rnanya
here \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{DD}=\) go-EP-HORT-hither we(exc)-LOC
Let her come over here to us!

Example (8.35c) was uttered in the course of a dispute between patriclan members over the allocation of wives. Example (8.33) above is another instance of this type of hortative predicate. The suffix \(-(y i) n y a\) is absent from intransitive forms with plural participant subjects ( \(1 \mathrm{pin}, 1 \mathrm{px}, 2 \mathrm{p}\) ). The only exception to this rule is the irregular verb =ya-kwal 'come.' In these situations the hortative shape is homophonous with indicative declarative or aorist forms. An example of such a form has already been seen in (5.5b), repeated here as (8.36), with other examples in (8.37).
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mana & we & ngarrwaarndu & kulunu. \\
mana & we & ngarr= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & kulunu \\
3 mDEF & lie.down & 1 pin=fall-DU & sleep \\
Let's lie down here and go to sleet. &
\end{tabular}

Let's lie down bere and go to sleep.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aaku & maa & joyo & ngadaarndeerri. \\
aaku & maa & jo-jo & ngarr=nu-kwarndu-eerri \\
water & 3mREF & drink-drink & 1pin=be-DU-PROG \\
Let's get a drink from that pool. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Injinja kurrkujaal ngadunangkaarndu.
inja-inja kurrkujaal ngarr=nu-nangka-kwarndu
3aDEF-3aDEF climb.up.on 1pin=be-DAT-DU
Let's climb up onto this one.
Plural participant hortative forms of the irregular verb =ya 'go' may be homophonous with optative forms, but note (8.38b):
(8.38a) Karle ngarreyu
karle ngarr-a=ya
now 1 pin-OPT/EP=go
Let's go/We'll go
(b) Karle ngajeyu
karle ngarr-nya=ya
now 1pin-EP=ya
Let's go

The verb forms in (8.38a) and (b) are synonymous in hortative contexts, indicating that the second morpheme of the verb in (a) may function epenthetically (by \(a\)-epentheseis rule 26) in those contexts. However the verb form in (8.38b), with nya-epenthesis, is only open to an hortative interpretation. Compare this example with the hortative expression in (8.39):
(8.39) Korru nyijengurroorri.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { korru } \quad \text { nyirr-nya=ya-ngurru-k }{ }^{\text {k }} \text {. } \\
& \text { alright } \\
& \text { Alright, be can go with you/you can go with bim. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Examples of (formally) transitive hortative forms are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(8.40a) & & \\
Wali & wulaa & kubajeenyeerri. \\
wali & wulaa & kuN-bwarr=yi-yinya-eerri \\
PERF & rest & VCOMP-3p=do-HORT-PROG \\
Let them sleep on. &
\end{tabular}
(b)

Korru anmarlaarndonya warliwunya ke.
korru arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) marlaarndo-nya
warli-kwunya ke turtles-PURP 3wREF
turtles-PURP 3wREF

Let him come with us after turtles.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wali maa & awarl & kamnyamenya & wara, & ke & ngarramnyeyu \\
wali maa & awarl & ka-Ø-mnya=ma-yinya & wara & ke & ngarr-a-mnya=ya \\
CONT & cook & 3a-3-DD=get-HORT & kangaroo & and & 1pin-OPT-DD=go
\end{tabular}
dambeem.
place
Let the kangaroo cook first, and then we'll go home.
Transitive hortative forms with first person plural agents are arranged in a fairly chaotic formal paradigm, summarized in Table 8.8:

Table 8.8: transitive hortative (indicative) prefix clusters, first person plural subjects
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & arr- & \(\mid\) a-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarr- & \(\mid\) nyaN-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & warr- & \(\mid\) kwuN-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marr- & \(\mid\) ma-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & angarr- & \(\mid\) arr-ngarr \(\mid\) \\
& & \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunbarr- & \(\mid\) ngun-b warr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & erra- & \(\mid\) a-nyarr-a \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerr- & \(\mid\) nyaN-nyarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nyarra- & \(\mid\) ØN-nyarr-a \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merr- & \(\mid\) ma-nyarr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarr- & \(\mid\) nyirr-n-barr \(\mid\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anyarra- & \(\mid\) arr-nyarr-a \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}

The features of this paradigm are as follows:
(i) The suffix -(yi)nya is absent,
(ii) Series-2 undergoer NP indices are employed (Table 8.4).

First person plural exclusive agent forms have the above features, as well as:
(iii) An epenthetic /a/ is inserted in front of the verb root when the undergoer is a member of the unmarked macrogender (masculine or celestial) or plural,
(iv) The agent index has the shape -bwarr-in the presence of a second person undergoer ( \(\$ 5.3 .4\) (vi)).

Examples of verbs of this sort have already been seen in the first verb in (8.16) and the second verb in (8.28b). Two other examples are as follows:
(8.41a) Karle nyardo, karle arrbanangka wara.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
karle & nyaN-ngarr=rno & karle & a-ngarr=ma-nangka & wara \\
now & 3f-1pin=give & now & 3a-1pin=get-DAT & kangaroo \\
Let's give it to her, let's get her a kangaroo &
\end{tabular}
(b) Manmaa korru arrkarnde karndirrimarnanya.
ma-n-maa korru a-ngarr=kwarnde karndirrima-rnanya
3m-this-3mREF alright 3a-1pin=place burial.platform-LOC
Let's put him up there on the burial platform.

\subsection*{8.5.2 Warnings, probibitions \&o negative commands}

As seen in \(\int 8.4 .4\), people's fears are usually encoded in potential verb forms. Utterances of this sort may in some contexts constitute warnings. This is apparent in the first verb in example (8.28b), the second verbs in examples ( 8.29 b ) and (c), and the third verb in example (8.30c). Examples of two other warnings of this sort are contained in the final clauses of the sentences in (8.42):
(8.42a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Maangurru & bungunyeyeerri, & maa-maa & dalorr & wali & maa, \\
maa-ngurru & ba-ngun=ya-yeerri & maa-maa & dalorr & wali & maa \\
3mREF-away & CFT-2=go-PROG & 3mDEF-3mDEF & sink-hole & PERF & 3mREF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
yawak & barrwa & marru & ke \\
yawak & ba-ngarr=b wa & ma=rru & ke \\
sink & CFT-1pin=fall & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT & 3 wREF
\end{tabular}

Don't go over there, there's sink-holes there and we might fall down one
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Irrangunyaninjanykarndu & ke, & yarriy & bangkawaal \\
i-rra-ngun-nya=ninja-ny-kwarndu & ke & yarriy & ba-ka=bwa-kwaal \\
3a-2p-SJTV-EP=look.at-MIR-DU & 3wREF & descend & CFT-3a=fall-hither \\
Ifyou stare at it, it might come down & & &
\end{tabular}

The predicates of prohibitive utterances consist of potential verb forms marked for continuous aspect. When such verbs have second person subjects, they function as negative imperative forms; a couple may be seen in sentence examples \((5.12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})\), and a couple more given in this chapter are collected here for convenience:
(8.43a) Yarrorl birriyeerri,
yarrorl ba-kuN-rra=yi-eerri
voices CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-PROG
Don't make any noise
(b) Maangurru bungunyeyeerri,
maa-ngurru ba-ngun=ya-yeerri
3mREF-away CFT-2=go-PROG
Don't go over there
(c) \(\mathrm{Ke} \quad\) binjiyeerri
ke ba-kuN-nja=yi-eerri
3wREF CFT-VCOMP-2=do-PROG
Don't do that

When potential verb forms marked for continuous aspect have first- or third-person subjects, they function as negative hortative forms:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
(8.44a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Anja \\
anja
\end{tabular} & wangalaalunguyu & baay & baadeerri & wunu & nguwanu \\
& 3pDEF & children & climb & CFT-3p=be-PROG & 3wDEF & tree
\end{tabular}
(b) Bardoyeerri ngeeya.
ba-ka-ngarr=rno-yeerri ngee-ya
CFT-3a-1pin=give-PROG honey-3p
Let's not give bim any honey.

Another negative hortative form is shown in (5.12b) in \(\$ 5.2 .2\). Of course not all potential verbs marked for continuous aspect denote prohibition: the first verb in example (8.31c) is an instance of one that does not. In this case context defines which continuous potential forms are prohibitive and which are not.

A common syntax in which prohibitive forms occur is in constructions of the sort X-PROHIB, YPOT, meaning 'Let X not happen, or/lest Y might happen.' These may be termed counterfactual bypothetical constructions. In these constructions the first clause is prohibitive and the second is monitive (aversive). Sentence examples ( \(8.29 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) are examples of constructions of this sort. Another example is given below:
(8.45)

Birriyaarndeerrije, akurlanyini wanji
ba-kuN-rra=yi- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-eerri-je akurla-nyini wanji
CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-DU-PROG-again devil-until find
bangkaweenurrerndu wuneerda
ba-ka=bwee-[nu-kwurri]-kwarndu wunu-yirda
CFT-3a=hit.MID-[2pDAT]-DU 3wDEF-try
Don't do it again or a devil might come looking for you another time

\subsection*{8.5.3 Imperative}

Imperative illocutionary force in Worrorra is signalled by a variety of formal types, both indicative and counterfactual. Imperative forms may or may not be marked for continuous aspect: the first verb in sentence example (8.29a) is a continuous imperative form.

Intransitive singular imperative forms are constructed simply by attaching the counterfactual prefix \(b a\) - to an intransitive verb root, without NP indexation. The first verb in sentence example (8.6a) is an imperative of this type, as are the verbs in (8.46):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline (8.46a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Nguru banu! \\
nguru \(\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{nu}\) \\
hear \(\mathrm{CFT}=\) be \\
Listen!
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Baya!
\[
\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{ya}
\]
\[
\mathrm{CFT}=\mathrm{go}
\] \\
Get out of it! (usually spoken to dogs)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aja bawa. \\
aja \(b a={ }^{b}\) wa \\
sit \(\quad \mathrm{CFT}=\) fall \\
Take a seat.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (d) & Bengkaal! ba=ya-kwaal CFT=go-hither Come here! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note also the unanalysable expression kakaaw! 'Come here!' used as an alternative to bengkaal, called out over long distances. Intransitive plural imperative forms are constructed by attaching the \(2 \mathrm{p} S\) index (nyirr-, see Table 5.2) to the front of a verb root. The first and second verbs in example (8.13) are imperatives of this type, as are the verbs in (8.47):
(8.47a) Aja nyideerri.
aja nyirr=nu-eerri
sit \(2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PROG}\)
Don't anyone get up / (keep sitting)
(b) \(\quad \mathrm{Aja}\) nyirrwa.
aja nyirr= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa
sit \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) fall
Be seated.
(c) Nyirringkaaleerri!
nyirr=i-kwaal-eerri
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither-PROG
Keep coming!
Transitive imperative forms are constructed by placing series-2 undergoer NP indices (Tables 8.4 \& 6.1) before a verb root. Plural-subject forms occur with the \(2 \mathrm{p} A\) index -rra-next to the root morpheme. The transitive imperative prefix paradigm is set out in Table 8.9 below.

Transitive imperative prefix clusters exhibit the following features:
(i) The addressee (agent) is indexed as - \(\varnothing\) - in singular and -rra-in plural forms.
(ii) There are two portmanteau morphs, \(2(\mathrm{p})>1\) jan- and \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) minya-.
(iii) In \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) clusters \(\emptyset N\) - and minya- are in free variation.
(iv) 1 px and 3 p undergoers ( px ) require inverse-hierarchy marking ( \(-n\)-) when they occur with a singular agent ( \(\$ 5.3 .3\) ). In this situation the grammar treats the zero morpheme as if it indexed a third person singular agent argument (also - \(\varnothing-\) ), and is accordingly allocated to the bottom of the inverse hierarchy (see Figure 5.5). Here, then, the role of the inverse marker is strictly accusative.

Table 8.9: transitive imperative prefix clusters
OBJ SINGULAR AGENT
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & jan- & \(\mid\) jan \(\mid\) \\
3 a & i- & \(\mid\) i- \(\varnothing \mid\) \\
3 f & nyiN- & \(\mid\) nyiN- \(\varnothing \mid\) \\
3 w & ØN-, minya- & \(|Ø \mathrm{~N}-\varnothing|, \mid\) minya- \(\mid\) \\
3 m & ma- & \(\mid\) ma- \(\varnothing \mid\) \\
px & an- & \(\mid\) arr-n- \(\varnothing \mid\) \\
& & \\
OBJ & PLURAL AGENT & \\
1 & jarra- & \(\mid\) jan-rra \(\mid\) \\
3 a & irra- & \(\mid\) i-rra \(\mid\) \\
3 f & nyirra- & \(\mid\) nyiN-rra \(\mid\) \\
3 w & wurra- & \(\mid\) kwuN-rra \(\mid\) \\
3 m & marra- & \(\mid\) ma-rra \(\mid\) \\
px & arra- & \(\mid\) arr-rra \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}

The first verb in (8.29a) is an imperative of this type. Other examples follow. Note the idiomatic expression in (8.48c):
(8.48a) Yarribaa wurriyaal!
yarribaa \({ }^{k}\) wuN-rra=yi-aal
descend.PL VCOMP-2p=do-hither
Come down!
(b) Ngunju maa jaarr mongurru
ngunju maa jaarr ma=bwu-ngurru
you 3 mREF go.upstream \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) hit-away
You go upstream.
(c) Kekaka ju.
kekaka \(\quad \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{yi}\)
thus \(\quad\) VCOMP \(=\) do
It serves you right.

\subsection*{8.6 Semantic summary}

The following semantic functions of verb forms are identified in this chapter and in Chapters Five and Fifteen:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Indicative & Declarative reference to past, present and future time & §5.4.1-5.4.4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Aorist} & Vividness 『5.4.5 (i) & \\
\hline & Habitual/ characteristic action \$5.4.5 (ii) & \\
\hline & Universal statements \(\int 5.4 .5\) (iii) & \\
\hline & Imminence \(\int 5.4 .5\) (iv) & \\
\hline & Enablement §5.4.5 (v) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Optative} & Volition §8.2.1-8.2.2 & \\
\hline & Purposive clauses §8.2.3 & \\
\hline & Epistemic necessity \(\int 8.2 .4\) & \\
\hline & Predictions \(\int 8.2 .4\) & \\
\hline & Resultatives §8.2.4 & \\
\hline & Hopes, expectations §8.2.4 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{Counterfactual} & Epistemic negation \(\int 8.4 .1\) & \\
\hline & Root modal negation §8.4.1 & \\
\hline & Anaphoric negation §8.4.2 & \\
\hline & Deontic obligation §8.4.3 & \\
\hline & Epistemic obligation \$8.4.3 & \\
\hline & Potential reality §8.4.4 & \\
\hline & Aversives §8.4.4 & \\
\hline & Hopes §8.4.4 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Exercitives} & Hortatives §8.5.1 & \\
\hline & Warnings §8.5.2 & \\
\hline & Probibitions \(\$ 8.5 .2\) & \\
\hline & Imperatives §8.5.3, 8.5.2 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Subjunctive} & Averted/ unconsummated events \(\quad\) 15.4.1 & \\
\hline & Frustrative mood \(\$ 15.4 .2\) & \\
\hline & Inference \(\$ 15.5 .4\) & \\
\hline & Immediacy \$15.5.2 & \\
\hline & Mirative \(\int 15.5 .3\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Nine: number}

\subsection*{9.1 Introduction}

Worrorra has five inflexional categories that may be described under the rubric of 'quantity' or 'number,' although the semantics of these categories are different in many ways from Western number concepts.

Number morphemes may occur as suffixes to nominals, namely simplex nouns, inalienable nouns, derived nouns, kinship nouns, adjectives and pronouns. Where they occur on verbs, number-marking morphemes may index or refer to arguments in all core grammatical functions: S, A, U and D (DAT; ie non-subcategorized or indirect objects). \({ }^{59}\) They have somewhat different sense meanings when they appear on verbs and on nominals respectively.

The singular and plural number categories are signalled inherently, as it were, as part of the meanings of sets of inflexional NP prefixes on verbs, already encountered in Table 5.2 in \(\$ 5.3 .1\), and of derivational agreement-class prefixes on nominals, shown in Table 6.1 in \(\S 6.1\). Our present concern, however, is with three overt number-marking morphemes that occur as suffixes on verbs and nominals, and which are displayed in Table 9.1 below:

Table 9.1: number-marking suffixes
\begin{tabular}{lcccc} 
MORPHEME & ORDER CLASS & NOMINAL & NOMINAL \& VERBAL & VERBAL \\
\({ }^{-}{ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & {\([12]\)} & & dual & \\
- oorri & {\([12]\)} & trial & & unit augmented \\
\({ }^{-}{ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri & {\([14]\)} & & collective plural &
\end{tabular}

Note that the 'collective plural' and 'trial/unit augmented' categories are only distinct in certain highlyconstrained contexts; elsewhere they fall together as a single form. The allomorphy of these forms is as follows:
(i) The dual morpheme \(-k_{\text {warndu }}\) hardens to \(-k a r n d u\) (rule 12).
(ii) The collective morpheme \(-k_{\text {w }}\) wurri hardens to \(-k\) kuri after consonants (rules \(10 \& 11\) ).
(iii) The same morpheme hardens to -ngkurri after /-rri-/ (rule 2), to make the combined shape -ringkurri.
(iv) The shape -kwurri becomes -orri after /a/ by V-expansion rule 18:
\(\left|\mathbf{a}^{-{ }^{k}} \mathbf{w u r r i}\right|->\)-orri
(v) As well, - \({ }^{-}\)wurri becomes -oorri after /u/ by the operation of the same rule: |u-kwurri | —> /-oorri/

The outcome of this process is a shape homophonous with the trial/unit augmented morpheme, with the result that the distinction between trial/unit augmented and collective number is neutralized after

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{59}\) Non-subcategorized objects are treated in Chapter 13.
}
/u/. Historically, the trial/unit augmented morpheme is probably derived from the collective one by reduplication and the application of V-expansion rule 18:
*/-kwu-kwurri/ —> /-oorri/
On verbs, the dual morpheme occurs in order-class [12], and the collective morpheme in order-class [14]. The unit augmented morpheme never occurs in the database in the same verb form as the continuous aspect morpheme (order-class [13]), and so it is impossible to be precise about its location. It is here conservatively allocated to order-class [12], along with the dual morpheme.

An important point to note is that the three morphemes in question signal marked categories, that is to say that they only appear when issues of number and participation are contextually foregrounded. Compare, by way of example, the two expressions in (9.1):
(9.1a) Ngarreyu!
```

ngarr-a=ya

```
\(1 \mathrm{pin}-\mathrm{OPT}=\mathrm{go}\)
Let's go!
(b) Ngarriyaarndu!
ngarr-a \(=\) ya-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
1 pin-OPT=go-DU
Let's go!/ I'll go with you (sg)!/ You (sg) come with me!
The form in (9.1a) may be spoken to a group of people or to just one person, with the clear understanding that the speaker is referring to himself or herself and the addressee(s). The form in (9.1b), however, with the dual morpheme \(-{ }^{-}\)warndu, is employed when the speaker intends to distinguish a single addressee from someone else or from a group of other people, and to include only that addressee in his or her predication. Both forms in (9.1) may be used to refer to two people (the speaker and one addressee): the second expression however is a marked form used when the speaker wants to make it clear to the addressee that only he or she is intended, or that he or she in particular is intended.

Worrorra has an adjectival root morpheme =yarrungu 'QUANTIFIER' which is denotationally empty, but which serves as a base for the attachment of agreement-class indices ( \(\$ 6.1\) ) and number suffixes. Forms derived from =yarrungu are numbers in the strict sense: despite an elaboration of agreement-class affixation, these forms denote absolute numerical quantities of the class depicted. They are displayed in Table 9.2 below.

With respect to this table, note that:
(i) A fourth set of plural forms ('many,' 'all of __') is declined:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
1pin & ngajarrungu \\
1 px & ajarrungu \\
2 p & nyijarrungu \\
3 p & ajarrunguya
\end{tabular}
(ii) Prefixed morphemes index agreement-classes, as listed down the left-hand side of the table. The suffixes -nya on 3 f forms, -ma on 3 m forms and \(-y a\) on 3 p forms code those agreement classes as well (see \(\S 6.1\) ).
(iii) The feminine suffix -nya is optional on 3 f forms: common alternatives are SG ninjarrungu, DU ninjarrungaarndu, TRI ninjarrungoorri.
(iv) The initial phoneme of the 3 f forms is \(/ \mathrm{n} /\) rather than the expected /ny/, under dissimilation with the following [nyj] cluster

Table 9.2: numbers

One
1
2 ngunjarrungu \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
ngun=yarrungu
\end{tabular}
\(3 a\)

3 f

3w jarrungu

3 m
mayarrunguma
\(\mathrm{ma}=\) yarrungu-ma
1 pin

1 px

2p
\(3 p\)
ngayarrungu
nga=yarrungu

> iyarrungu
> i=yarrungu
ninjarrungunya
nyiN=yarrungu-nya

ØN=yarrungu

Two
iyarrungaarndu
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
ninjarrungaarndinya
nyiN=yarrungu-kwarndu-nya
jarrungaarndu
ØN=yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
mayarrungaarnduma
ma=yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-ma
ngajarrungaarndu
ngarr=yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
ajarrungaarndu
arr=yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
nyijarrungaarndu
nyirr= yarrungu \(^{-k}\) warndu
ajarrungaarndiya
arr=yarrungu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-ya

Three
iyarrungoorri
i=yarrungu-oorri
ninjarrungoorrinya
nyiN=yarrungu-oorri-nya
jarrungoorri
\(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) yarrungu-oorri
mayarrungoorrima
\(\mathrm{ma}=\) yarrungu-oorri-ma
ngajarrungoorri
ngarr=yarrungu-oorri
ajarrungoorri
arr=yarrungu-oorri
nyijarrungoorri
nyirr=yarrungu-oorri
ajarrungoorriya
arr=yarrungu-oorri-ya

\subsection*{9.2 Outranked actors}

On the face of it, the number system signalled by these suffixes is, typologically, a system of augmentation, comparable in some ways to that found in other Australian Arafuran languages such as Rembarrnga and Ndjebbana (McKay 1978). A more detailed study of aspects of augmenting pronoun systems may be found in Greenberg (1988), and referral to this phenomenon in neighbouring Nyulnyulan languages may be found in McGregor (1989). The formal marking of the system in Worrorra, however, does not appear to be cognate with that of any other language, and the system itself is reasonably distinct from other systems of numerical augmentation.

In Worrorra, an augmented quantity is characterized as a set, the members of which may then be manipulated conceptually as an entire unit. Consider example ( 9.1 b ) above, in which the use of the dual morpheme indexes the speaker and the addressee as being included in a set distinct from other people around them. The most important function of number marking, therefore, is to index an act of inclusion, by means of which referents are characterized as being co-members of a set. Sets are encoded in the language by virtue of the fact that in any given instance, the co-referents of forms indexed by a number morpheme constitute a set. All verbal arguments co-indexed by a number morpheme must appear in the same grammatical function; that is to say that while a set may occur in \(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{U}\) or D function, all members of the set must appear in the same function as each other. Pronouns marked for number characteristically occur with included referents in apposition to the pronoun, as in (9.2):
(9.2a)

Doreen arrerndu kajaanya nyiman nyimnyanu.
Doreen arri-kwarndu kajaanya nyiN=man nyiN-mnya=nu
Doreen we(exc)-DU MoMo \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{dead} \quad 3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{DD}=\mathrm{be}\)
Doreen's and my grandmother died.
(b)

Arrerndu Aalkaalja marru jarriy kunyarrbaarndu.
arri-kwarndu Aalkaalja ma=rru jarriy kuN-nyarr=ma-kwarndu
we (exc)-DU Aalkaalja 3m=LAT run VCOMP-1px=get-DU
Aalkaalja and I ran away.
Forms overtly marked for number can be described with a collective or expanded plural interpretation, as 'all these items together/we all did something.' This interpretation comes out of the set-inclusive function of number marking, in picking out or indexing all the items that go to make up a set. Inclusiveness is a feature of the person-indexical system as well, and the two occurrences of this meaning are not unrelated.

A somewhat different sense meaning comes out of the set-restrictive function of number marking, picking out just those items that a speaker intends to include in the set, and no others. So forms marked for overt number may also be described with a restrictive/paucal interpretation, as 'these few items/we few did something'. The actual denotation of number in Worrorra may be summed up by a formula such as 'all of those items and just those particular items,' with either one or the other of these senses brought into focus by pragmatic considerations that come out of the context in which they are uttered.

The interpretation of number marking is contextually bound: not only in terms of which sense meaning is in focus in any utterance, but also in terms of which argument \((\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{U}\) or D\()\) is intended. It is not at all uncommon for different instances of number marking occurring in the same short stretch of discourse to index different predicate arguments ( \(\$ 9.5\) ). The construal of sets in Worrorra appears to be pragmatically motivated, and number marking serves an essentially pragmatic function.

Set-formation is accomplished by way of a particular type of enumeration, one in which some quantity is augmented by another quantity. This process of augmentation is depicted abstractly in (9.3): in this notation the augmenting quantity precedes the augmented quantity:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text { dual: } & 1+1  \tag{9.3a}\\
\text { collective plural: } & x+(\mathrm{n}>1)
\end{align*}
\]

From this it is clear that what is here termed 'dual' is really a 'unit-unit augmented' morpheme, although the term dual will be retained. The collective plural notation simply states that in this type of set, some number greater than one is augmented by another quantity. Unit augmentation is a special, marked type of collective number marking, in which \(x=1\) :
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { unit augmented: } \quad 1+(\mathrm{n}>1) \tag{9.3b}
\end{equation*}
\]

This notation states that some number greater than one is augmented by one. Attached to some nominals, the unit augmented morpheme has a distinct sense, 'trial.' This comes about apparently as the result of a special type of unit augmentation, in which the augmented number ( \(\mathrm{n}>1\) ) is understood to be dual:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { trial: } \quad 1+(1+1) \tag{9.3c}
\end{equation*}
\]

This situation gives evidence of a hierarchy of markedness, with the most general function giving way to increasingly more specific ones, as shown in (9.4):
(9.4) Trial \(>\) Unit Augmented \(>\) Collective \(>\) Plural

What this means in effect is that collective is a marked type of plurality, unit augmentation is a marked type of collectivity, and that trial in turn is a marked type of unit augmentation.

An important outcome of the inclusive function and augmentative construction of number marking is that the language is able to encode a type of predicate argument that I will refer to as outranked actor, following Foley \& Van Valin (1984:87). Outranked actors are 'potential actors ... which do not occur as actor[s]' (ibid). That is to say that they are outranked for actor (subject) status by some other argument with a stronger claim. It should be stressed that this is an almost entirely de facto category in Worrorra, as number morphemes may index objects as well as actors. Nevertheless the very common use of number to encode this type of argument is manifest. Consider the examples in the paradigm in (9.5):
(9.5a) Waa malka bedeenya.
waa malka ba-nyarr=ni-yinya
not play CFT-1px=be-PAST
We (exc) never used to play.
(b) Waa malka bedinyaarndu.
waa malka ba-nyarr=ni-nya-kwarndu
not play CFT-1px=be-PAST-DU
I never used to play with him/her.
(c) Waa malka bedinyoorri.
waa malka ba-nyarr=ni-nya-oorri
not play CFT-1px=be-PAST-U/AUG
I never used to play with them.
(d) Waa malka bedinyorri.
waa malka ba-nyarr=ni-nya- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri
not play CFT-1px=be-PAST-NUM
We never used to play with them.
Note that (9.5b) is also translatable as 'he (or she) never used to play with me, we (dual exc) never used to play together,' ( 9.5 c ) as 'they never used to play with me,' and ( 9.5 d ) as 'they never used to play with us, we (exc) never all used to play together.'

The outranked actor interpretation comes out of the augmentative construction of number in the language, encoding the augmentation of one actor/participant by another. Note that augmentation is a function of the entire predicate itself, not just of its arguments: the marked shape of the predicate signals that its argument, or one of its arguments, is augmented. The interpretation of which argument that is, comes about by implicature from context. (For examples of context-determined interpretations of augmenting and augmented actors, see \(\S 9.6 .2\), esp examples ( \(9.39,9.41\) ) and ( 9.42 )). In signalling that their arguments are indexed by 'number' morphemes, the sentences in (9.5) encode the augmentation of that argument by some other actor, the outranked co-indexee of the number morpheme. In such sentences the discourse topic is generally interpreted as occupying subject grammatical function. Number marking, however, signals another participant or potential argument, dependent upon the same lexical predicate, and fulfilling the same semantic role as the topic-subject. But this other argument is outranked for subject status by the topic - in a pragmatic process the pragmatically most salient argument wins out. Although outranked, the other argument may still be included in the predicate's argument structure by being co-indexed with the 'real' subject. The outranked-actor function of number marking is pervasive, and represents the single most common discourse function of this morphology.

Outranked actors may occur as free-standing NPs, in which case their core-argument status is confirmed by the fact that they do not usually accept postpositions, nor are they marked by conjunctions (cf example 9.2). Most importantly, the referents of outranked actors are not coreferential with the subject of their verb; they may often contradict the person and/or gender specifications of the subject, making it impossible to construe them as included in the reference of the subject. This is particularly clear when the subject is second person. The injunction in (9.6a), for example, is addressed to a group of girls: the outranked actor noun, iwaawurleya 'adolescent boys,' cannot be construed as denoting addressees in this instance. Nor can bamaranoorrinya 'your (pl) father's sister' possibly be construed as an addressee in (9.6b):
(9.6a)

Nyirri iwaawurleya malka binyideerringkurri.
nyirri iwaawurleya malka ba-nyirr=nu-eerri-kwurri
you.PL adolescent.boys play CFT-2p=be-PROG-NUM
Don't you lot play with the big boys.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyirramurrkangurru & bamaranoorrinya & nyangke & ajaaja \\
nyiN-rra=murrka-ngurru & bamara-[nu-kwurri]-nya & nyangke & aja-aja \\
3f-2p=go.to-away & FaSi-[2pDAT]-3f & 3fREF & sit-sit
\end{tabular}
nyidoorri.
nyirr=nu-kwurri
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{NUM}\)
You lot go to your aunty and live with her.
In other situations, while subject and outranked actor specifications may be reconcilable, context makes it impossible that they should be co-referential:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngayu & karle & irri & kaangamrerri & anja & ngayu \\
ngayu & karle & irri & kaarr-nga=mra-eerri & anja & ngayu \\
I & now & not.want & \(3 p-1=\) gather-PROG & 3pDEF & I
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ngayanaanangkaya & nyangkaangkaya & arrke: & anja & kajirnba \\
ngaya-naa-nangka-ya & nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya & arrke & anja & kajirnba \\
I-AUG-DAT-3p & ム-AUG-girl-3p & 3pREF & 3pDEF & NEG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
baadinkurri & anja & ngawaawanangkorri. \\
ba-kaarr=ni-n-kwurri & anja & nga-waa-wa-[nangka-kurri] \\
CFT-3p=be-NON.P-NUM & 3 pDEF & \(\longrightarrow\)-AUG-El.Sib-[3pDAT] \\
I don't want to keep these daughters of mine any longer: they can't live with their own brothers.
\end{tabular}

In the second clause of (9.7) the first occurrence of anja '3pDEF' refers to the girls, while the second refers to their brothers: the two are not co-referential.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awaawanja & kaarri, & waa & berringenyeerringkurri & kaarri. \\
awaawanja & kaarri & waa & ba-nyarr=[i-nga]-yinya-eerri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri & kaarri \\
boys & 3pNEG & not & CFT-1px=[go-TNS]-PAST-PROG-NUM & 3pNEG
\end{tabular}

Not the boys, they didn't come with us/we didn't go with them at all.

In (9.8) the speaker is stating that while she and some other girls did go, the boys did not. The two groups cannot be co-referential, since under semantic decomposition the polarity of the predicate refers to only one of the groups, not both.

There are of course other strategies for denoting comitative relationships. The postpositions -rnanya 'LOCATIVE,' (-)kurde 'too, as well, ASSOCIATIVE' and -nyina 'HUMAN COMITATIVE' are also available, especially when the locative sense of such a relationship is in focus; but these are not nearly as frequent as instances of outranked actor marking:
(9.9a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Irraayaa & karraanyarnanya & nganunerri. \\
irraaya-aa & karraanya-rnanya & nga=nu-na-eerri \\
my.father-and & my.mother-LOC & 1=be-PAST-PROG \\
I lived with my father and mother. &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyimnyininya & aja & ajanunerringkurri \\
nyi(na)-mnya-(nyi)na-nya & aja & arr-nya=nu-na-eerri-k \({ }^{\text {wurri }}\) \\
3fDEF-DD-HCOM-3f & sit & 1px-EP=be-PAST-PROG-NUM
\end{tabular}
dambeem, nyangkanangkamarnanya nyina.
dambeem nyangka-nangka-ma-rnanya nyina
place 3fNAR-DAT-3m-LOC HCOM
We all lived with her, at her place.

\subsection*{9.3 Dual}

Sections \(\$ 9.4\) and \(\S 9.5\) will explore how Worrorra resolves competition for the formal marking of various gender and number categories on lexical words. These processes mostly involve, and are best observed in, dual number morphemes, although they also effect other number categories. In order better to understand gender and number resolution in Worrorra, it will be useful first to undertake a general overview of the dual number category.

Dual number marking denotes a set of two people or things, usually one thing of a type and another of a similar type. With well over 700 occurrences, dual morphemes make up three quarters of all attested instances of number marking in the database. Duality is a grammatical category of the same order as 'singularity' and 'plurality;' it is a cultural category grammaticized in morphological marking throughout most of Australia. Where it occurs, dual number marking usually appears on all sentence constituents able to host it: note its marking on both the pronoun and the verb in (9.2b); determiners, nouns and verbs in (9.10a) and the sentences in (9.22) in \(\$ 9.4 .3\), and even on the interrogative anaphor in (9.10b). Dual marking is also regularly indexed across clauses with coreferential arguments, as in ( 9.10 c ). In the following transitive sentence examples, number morphemes are bracketed with the argument indices to which they refer, and are subscripted for \(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{A}\) or D grammatical function.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awaarndu & wangalaalangaarndu & malkalka & kanunaarndeerri \\
awa-kwarndu \\
3aNAR-DU & \begin{tabular}{l} 
wangalaalangu-k warndu \\
children-DU
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
malka-malka \\
play-REDUP
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ka=nu-na-kwarndu-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PAST-DU-PROG
\end{tabular} \\
inkarndu & awaawankarndu. & & \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}\) warndu & awaawan-kwarndu & & \\
3a=DEF-DU & boys-DU & & \\
The two boys were playing. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Angkuyaarndu & inkarndu? \\
angkuyu-k \(w a r n d u\) & \(i=n-{ }^{-}\)warndu \\
who-DU & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{DEF}-\mathrm{DU}\)
\end{tabular}
(c)

Nyirringkaalkarnndu jarrernawajaarndu.
nyirr=i-kwaal-kwarndu jan-[rra]-nya=rnawaja-[kwarndu \({ }_{A}\) ]
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither-DU \(2>1-[2 \mathrm{p}]-\) OPT \(=\) help- \(\left[\mathrm{DU}_{\mathrm{A}}\right]\)
Come here, you two, and belp me.
Attested simplex nouns marked for dual are humans, body parts and dogs (highly animate), and some other entities personified in myth. However it seems likely that dual marking could probably be applied to any noun, if its dual status were important enough. The dual morpheme is normally attached to the plural shape of human nouns, if that shape is different from the singular ( \(\$ 4.2 .1)\) :
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
SINGULAR & & PLURAL & DUAL \\
eeja & man & eeja & \begin{tabular}{l} 
eekarndu \\
wangayinya \\
woman
\end{tabular} \\
wangaya & wangayaarndinya \\
awanja & boy & awaawanja & awaawankarndu \\
nyangkanya & girl & nyangkaangkaya & nyangkaangkaarndinya \\
wangalang & cbild & wangalaalunguyu & wangalangaarndu, wangalaalangaarndu \\
kanangkurri & dog & kanaanangkurri & kanaanangkurrkarndu \\
jebarranya & emu & jebarreya & jebarranyaarndinya \\
marangunya & sun & - & marangunyaarndinya
\end{tabular}

Note that although the plural stem forms of nouns are used in dual marking, the feminine singular suffix -nya is used on those same forms. The dual morpheme is consistently inconsistent with respect to its selection of host (singular or plural) morphologies ( \((\$ 9.5\) ). Note also that the feminine agreement-class suffix -nya usually comes after the dual affix; that is, that the dual morpheme is inserted between the noun stem and its gender suffix: cf eg nyangkanya (|nyangka-nya| [girl-3f]) 'girl,' and nyangkaangkaarndinya (|nya-ngkaa-ngka-kwarndu-nya| [ \(\rightarrow-\) AUG-girl-DU-3f]) 'two girls.' Feminine forms optionally end in \(-{ }^{-}\)warndu instead of \(-{ }^{-}\)warndinya; there is no statistical preference for one form over the other: wangayaarndu 'two women,' nyangkaangkaarndu 'two girls,' jebarranyaarndu 'two emus' and marangunyaarndu 'two suns.'

Derived nouns may also take dual marking:
(9.11a) Imalarrkarndu
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{malarr}^{-\mathrm{k}}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{MOIETY} . \mathrm{NAME-DU}\)
Two people of the Adbalarriya moiety
(b) Nyimalajaarndinya
nyiN=malarr-nya-kwarndu-nya
3f=MOIETY.NAME-3f-DU-3f
Two women of the Adbalarriya moiety
(c) Iwunarrkarndu or iwaawunarrkarndu
\(\mathrm{i}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wunarr- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu \(\quad \mathrm{i}-{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) waa \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wunarr- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) MOIETY.NAME \(=\mathrm{DU} \quad 3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{AUG}=\) moiety.name \(=\mathrm{DU}\)
Two people of the Arrwunarriya moiety (cf. §6.2.6 (ii))
(d) Nyimbunajaarndinya
nyiN \(={ }^{b}\) wunarr-nya-kwarndu-nya
3f=MOIETY.NAME-3f-DU-3f
Two women of the Arrwunarriya moiety
Adjectives may also take dual marking. The quantifier =yarrungu shown in Table 9.2 in \(\S 9.1\) is formally adjectival.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(9.12a) & Iniyaarndu \\
& \(\mathrm{i}=\) niya-kwarndu \\
& 3a \(=\) good-DU \\
& Two good (people)
\end{tabular}
(b) Iyakarraarndu
i= yakarri-kwarndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) other-DU
Two others/another two
Kinship nouns contain possessive suffixes (glossed DAT; see \(\$ 13.1 .1\) ), which may be marked for dual number:
(9.13a) Karraangarrerndinya
karraa-[ngarri-kwarndu]-nya
mother-[1pinDAT-DU]-3f
Our (dual inc) mother
(b) Mangkaangkanangkaarndiya
ma-ngkaa-ngka-[nangka-kwarndu]-ya
ᄂ-AUG-wife-[DAT-DU]-3p
Their (dual) wives
Note again in these examples how the feminine and plural agreement-class suffixes come after the dual number affix. Inalienable body-part nouns may also take dual marking, in which case two people are being referred to, not two organs:
(9.14a) Iyardaarndu
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yardu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) foot-DU
(Two people's) feet or sets of tracks
(b) Aarlaarnduma
\(\mathrm{a}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warlu-k warndu-ma
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{arm}-\mathrm{DU}-3 \mathrm{~m}\)
(Two people's) arms
(c) Aambulkarndu
\(a={ }^{k}\) wambul- \({ }^{k}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) eye-DU
(Two people's) eyes
(d) Ingulumaarnduma
\(\mathrm{i}=\) ngulu-ma-kwarndu-ma
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) stomach \(-3 \mathrm{~m}-\) DU-3m
(Two people's) stomachs or feelings
Note in (9.14b) and (d) how the mana-class suffix -ma comes after the dual morpheme.
Some nouns of the marked macrogender lose their gender suffixes in front of the dual marker, as does \(={ }^{k}\) warluma mana 'arm' in (9.14b) (underlyingly \(\mid={ }^{k}\) warlu \(\mid\) in this construction), and some do not, making instead a form doubly-marked for gender, as does =nguluma mana 'stomach' in (9.14d) (cf also nyimalajaarndinya and nyimbunajaarndinya in ( \(9.11 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{~d}\) ). Word forms of this sort, with two gender morphemes, constitute clear examples of the sequential application of morphology. While the lefthand gender morpheme occurs as part of the noun's lexical construction, the presence of the righthand gender morpheme appears to be due to a post-lexical process.

\subsection*{9.4 Gender resolution}

As is the case in many gendered languages, it sometimes happens in Worrorra that denotata of different genders are included in the same set, that is, are co-indexed by the same number morpheme. When this occurs the genders concerned may, as it were, compete for access to some agreement locus, for instance for registration in prefix position on verbs or pronouns. In this section we will look at the strategies Worrorra uses to resolve potential conflicts of this sort, brought about by number marking on verbs, inalienable body-part nouns and pronouns.

Gender resolution in Worrorra appears to involve the combined application of three principles:
(i) only highly animate denotata are eligible for number marking,
(ii) for highly animate denotata, gender anomalies are resolved by re-allocation to masculine, and
(iii) in some lexical categories, feminine gender markers and number markers do not appear on the same word concurrently.
On verbs, number morphemes may refer to arguments in any core grammatical function. The gender of third person singular core arguments is indexed on verbs in S and U functions (absolutive agreement), but not in A and D functions (see Table 5.2 in §5.3.1). Therefore verbal arguments marked for both number and gender occur in absolutive function only.

\subsection*{9.4.1 Animacy}

In \(\int 4.2 .1\) it was seen that plural marking is only available to human nouns and nouns referring to important animals, foods or artefacts, that is to denotata highly placed on a scale of animacy and/or salience. Overt (dual, trial/unit augmented and collective) number marking is also the privilege of mainly highly animate denotata. Normally, non-human denotata, including nearly all members of the non-human macrogender, are ineligible for number marking, so that a number of entities of this sort are referred to by singular agreement forms:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Angujakunya & kubarrbrerri & wunu & karrku? \\
anguja- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wunya & kuN-b \(w a r r=m r a-e e r r i ~\) & wunu & karrku \\
what-PURP & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) gather-PROG & 3 wDEF & stone \\
What are they picking up all those stones for? & &
\end{tabular}

\section*{(b)}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arrka & karnmangku & wok & kawarrona \\
arrka & karnmangku & wok & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na \\
3pNAR & yam & cook & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

They cooked the yams
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Manjawarrawunya & buluba & kaarringa, & kawarramrangerri \\
manjawarra- \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wunya & buluk-bwa & kaarr=i-nga & ka-bwarr-a=mra-nga-eerri \\
berry.sp-PURP & seek-PROG & 3p=go-TNS & 3a-3p-EP=gather-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
angkam mana
bowl 3 mDEF
They went out looking for manjawarra berries, and gathered them up into bowls
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngani & kubaje & nyini & mana & mayaram? \\
ngani & kuN-b warr-nya=yi & nyini & mana & mayaram \\
what & VCOMP-3p-EP=do & then & 3 mDEF & house \\
What are they going to do with the houses? & &
\end{tabular}

In (9.15a) and (c) the verb =mra 'gather' refers to a number of object entities by definition (rocks and berries respectively), which are marked however by a singular determiner (wunu) in (9.15a), and by singular object indices (kuN- '3w' and k.a- '3a'). In (9.15b) the object karnmangku inja 'yam' is clearly used in a plural sense in the passage in which it occurs, but is indexed on the verb by the masculine singular U form. In ( 9.15 c ) as well, angkam mana 'bowl,' while used with a singular determiner, refers to a number of bowls, and in ( 9.15 d ) mana mayaram 'house' here refers to a number of houses under discussion.

When nouns from different classes are potentially conjoint arguments of some predicate, then the grammar needs somehow to address the issue of gender resolution. One way of doing this is to list such nouns in separate clauses, as shown in (9.16), thus avoiding the issue altogether: \({ }^{60}\)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kulju & inja & kamangaa, & marlinji & wunu & rderdeba \\
kulju & inja & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-aa & marlinji & wunu & rdeyi-rdey-ba \\
snail.sp & 3aDEF & 3a-3= get-PAST-and & oyster & 3wDEF & crack-crack-PROG
\end{tabular}
kumbuna
kuN- \(\varnothing=b\) wu-na
3w-3=hit-PAST
She gathered sea-snails and broke open oysters

Otherwise, a number of entities highly placed on a scale of animacy/salience/topicality (in this case food) may be indexed by plural agreement marking, as in (9.17), where the objects (honey and yams) are co-indexed on the verb by the plural agreement morpheme kaarr- '3p:'
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngeeyaa & karnmangku & wanji & kaanbunaal \\
ngeeya-aa & karnmangku & wanji & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na-aal \\
honey-and & yam & find & 3p-INV-3=hit-PAST-hither \\
She came back, with honey and yams &
\end{tabular}

Although most inalienable body-part nouns belong to the non-human macrogender, owing to their core denotations as parts of the human body, they are potentially highly animate. The sentence examples in (9.18) and (9.19) below show dual number marking on celestial and terrestrial inalienable nouns. In these sentences number marking is found on both the body-part nouns themselves and on the verbs of which they are arguments:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{60}\) Exactly the same device is used in Ungarinyin — see Rumsey 1982:137.
}
(9.18)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Imriyaarndu & wakumaada & kumnyanungaarndu & wunu \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) mri- \(\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{k}^{\text {warndu }}\) & wakumaada & kuN-mnya=nu-ng-kwarndu & wunu \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) head-EP-DU & black & \(3 \mathrm{w}-\) DD=be-PRES-DU & 3 wDEF
\end{tabular}

Both their heads are black.

The intransitive verb in (9.18), kumnyanungaarndu, is marked for dual number and for the gender of its subject, =mri wunu 'head.' The sentence in (9.19) shows dual marking on a terrestrial inalienable noun in undergoer function:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Aarlaarndum \\
\(a={ }^{k}\) warlu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-ma \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) wing \(/ \mathrm{arm}-\mathrm{DU}-3 \mathrm{~m}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
mana \\
mana \\
3 mDEF
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
kurriybiji \\
kurriy-biji \\
cut.off-REPEAT
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
mamangaarndu \\
[ma]- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-[kwarnduu] \\
\([3 \mathrm{~m}]-3=\) get-PAST-[DU \(\left.{ }_{\mathrm{U}}\right]\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{karlinyine} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{karli-nyine} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{boomerang-INST} \\
\hline He cut off their wings with & boomera & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The verb's terrestrial undergoer is the inalienable noun \(=^{k}\) warlum mana 'arm, wing,' with dual number marking on itself and on the verb. On both imriaarndu and aarlaarndum in (9.18) and (9.19), note the masculine indexation (prefixed) of the possessors of these inalienable nouns ( \(\$ 6.3\) ), which in both these instances actually refer to two emus (jebarranyaarndinya), which are feminine (see also 9.24 in §9.4.3).

\subsection*{9.4.2 Re-allocation to masculine}

Usually, when a number of highly animate entities are denoted, plural agreement forms are used to refer to them. However when only two or three highly animate entities of different genders are included in the same number-set, gender marking collapses into the largest and most unmarked gender, which is masculine ( \(\$ 4.1 .2 \& \$ 4.1 .6\) (i)), as in (9.20):
(9.20a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wangalangaarndu & marno & kawalkenyaarndeerri & karrkurnanya, \\
wangalangu-k \({ }^{\text {warndu }}\) & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) rno & ka=b walke-nya-k \(^{\text {warndu-eerri }}\) & karrku-rnanya \\
child-DU & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) there & \(\mathbf{3 a}=\) stand-PAST-DU-PROG & rock-LOC
\end{tabular}
```

awanjaa nyangkanya
awanja-aa nyangkanya
boy-and girl
Two children were standing on a bill, a boy and a girl

```
(b)

\begin{tabular}{llll} 
nyangka & kabalbarnanya & kaanmrangerri & minjarlminjarl \\
nyangka & kabalba-rnanya & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) mra-nga-eerri & minjarl-minjarl \\
3fREF & ground-LOC & 3p-INV-3= gather-PAST-PROG & eat-eat
\end{tabular}
nyinunerri
nyiN=nu-na-eerri
3f=be-PAST-PROG
They were both eating. He was eating (fruit) bigh up in the tree, and she was gathering them up off the ground and eating them.
(c)

Marrkaayaarndu kamnyaweenaarndu iyarrungaarndu.
marrka-y-kwarndu
sibling-EP-DU
ka-mnya \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wee-na- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
3a-DD=hit.MID-PAST-DU
i=yarrungu-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) QUANT-DU

Lose'm kamnyaweenaarndu. Nyina ngawanangkorrinya
lose'm ka-mnya=bwee-na-kwarndu nyina ngawa-[nangkorri]-nya
die 3a-DD=hit.MID-PAST-DU 3fDEF El.Sib-[3pDAT]-3f
laburru ka-mnya=ma-ye-ng-oorri arr=yarrungu-kwarndu-ya
follow 3a-DD=get-MID-PRES-U/AUG \(\mathrm{px}=\) QUANT-DU-3p
The two brothers used to fight. They both died. They went at about the same time as their elder sister, both of them.

In (9.20a) the dual subject (a boy and a girl) is indexed on the verb kawalkenyaarndeerri by the masculine form ka- '3a.' Similarly, the first verb in (9.20b), kanunaarndeerri, has the man and the woman together as its subject, and is marked for dual number, with the subject index showing masculine gender agreement. Example (9.20c) involves all middle-voice verbs, that is to say transitive verbs with an argument removed. That the siblings concerned are brothers (and not sisters) is shown by the masculine gender marking on the quantifier iyarrungaarndu 'two (masc).' Note that they are later referred to by the quantifier ajarrungaarndiya 'two (human),' showing that with reference to humans, these two forms are synonymous (cf Table 6.9 in \(\S 6.2 .6\) (i)). The English verb lose' \(m\) is here used to mean 'die,' and the verb's classifier ='buee 'hit(middle)' is used as a general middle-voice pro-verb. The sentence in which it occurs could more accurately be translated euphemistically as 'they were lost.' As an argument has been removed from the middle-voice predicate laburru kamnyamiyengoorri, a reciprocal meaning may have been produced in this instance, 'they followed each other,' so it is impossible to state which actor (the two brothers or their sister) is referred to by the prefixed NP index ka- '3a' (but see discussion in \(\$ 16.2\) ). The point is that under number marking mixed masculine-feminine subjects of this sort are indexed by masculine forms. Note that the unit augmented morpheme marks three actors in this instance: if sets of this make-up \((1+2)\) were particularly salient or reasonably frequent in the past, it may explain how the unit augmented morpheme came to be treated as a trial form on nominals.

The database contains no instances of masculine or feminine denotata being co-indexed for number with denotata of the celestial or terrestrial classes; that is to say that denotata of the human macrogender are not co-indexed with those of the non-human macrogender. This makes sense in the light of the semantic properties of human and non-human denotata respectively: humans are prototypically natural agents, while non-human things are natural patients (Silverstein 1976a). These two semantic types are therefore functionally opposed to each other: in a world of argument types, they are in a kind of functional complementary distribution. Recall from \(\$ 9.2\) that all members of a set must appear in the same grammatical function: it now becomes clear that with respect to a given predicate, typical agents and typical patients are unlikely to be found together in the same set. Indeed in Worrorra there seems to be a prohibition against nouns of opposing semantic types appearing together in the same set. And if two such arguments were ever required to appear together, some
strategy other than number indexation could be readily found to accommodate them; listing in separate clauses, as in (9.16) would appear to be most likely. This is not an uncommon stylistic device, as seen below in (9.21) with masculine and feminine denotata:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(9.21a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Rorrij \\
rorrij
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kamangaa \\
ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-aa
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyangka \\
nyangka
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
rorrij \\
rorrij
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kamanga \\
ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga
\end{tabular} \\
snatch & 3a-3= get-PAST-and & 3 fDEF & snatch & 3a-3=get-PAST
\end{tabular}

Example (9.21a) shows masculine undergoers, with a switch from masculine to feminine agent marked by the feminine anaphor nyanglea, while ( 9.21 b ) shows feminine and masculine undergoers indexed on the verbs.

\subsection*{9.4.3 Feminine gender and number-marking}

Certain lexical categories (verbs, pronouns and inalienable nouns) appear to be unable to host both number morphemes and feminine gender-marking morphemes at the same time. The result of this situation is that in these categories the masculine and feminine genders are neutralized to masculine under number marking. In the examples in (9.22) below, notice how feminine denotata in S function are indexed on the verb and on pronouns by masculine agreement forms:
(9.22a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Inkarndu & wangayaarndu & ngarru & kengaarndeerri? \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}^{-k}\) warndu & wangaya-k \(^{\text {w }}\) warndu & ngarru & ka=ya-nga-k \({ }^{\text {warndu-eerri }}\) \\
\(\mathbf{3 a}=\) DEF-DU & women-DU & whither & \(\mathbf{3 a}=\) go-TNS-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}

Where are those two women off to?
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awaarndu & nyangkaangkaarndinya & aja & kaningaarndeerri \\
awa-kwarndu & nyangkaangka-kwarndu-nya & aja & ka=ni-ng-kwarndu-eerri \\
3aNAR-DU & girls-DU-3f & sit & 3a=be-PRES-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}
wiyarnanya
wiyanu-rnanya
fire-LOC
The two girls are sitting by the fire
The feminine nouns in (9.22) occur with the dual-marked masculine determiners awaarndu and inkarndu, as well as being indexed by masculine forms on the verbs. With respect to verbs and pronouns, this reflects the situation found in all examples from naturally occurring, unelicited texts: wherever women or other feminine denotata are marked for number, they are indexed by masculine forms. Note in particular the sentence in (9.23); here the discourse topic is a woman, who has been the subject of discussion and a good deal of interest, and of whom it is said:
(9.23) \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke & kamnyanunaarndeerri & inja & eeja \\
& ke & ka-mnya=nu-na-k warndu-eerri & inja & eeja \\
& and & 3a-DD=be-PAST-DU-PROG & 3aDEF & man
\end{tabular}

\section*{And she lived with that man}

The verb \(=n u\) 'be' in this sentence is used in its sense meaning 'dwell, abide.' The woman, as discourse topic, is understood to be the subject, with inja eeja 'the man' an outranked actor. Number marking, however, induces a masculine NP prefix. The marking of the human macrogender is also collapsed into masculine for undergoer arguments. Example (9.24) is taken from a text about two emus, which although feminine, here appear indexed by masculine forms:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wali maa & injinja & karlinyine & ingaarnamalaarndu \\
wali maa & inja-inja & karli-nyine & [i]-nga=aarnamalu-[kwarnduU \(]\) \\
CONT & 3aDEF-REDUP & boomerang-INST & \([3 a]-1=\) shoot-[DU \(]\) \\
I'll get them & (dual) with this boomerang &
\end{tabular}

The reduplicated determiner injinja refers to karli 'boomerang.'
Inalienable nouns are another lexical category in which feminine gender morphemes and number morphemes are prohibited from co-occurring. In sentence examples (9.18) and (9.19) in \(\S 9.4 .1\) we have already seen inalienable body-part nouns with the gender of feminine possessors neutralized to masculine. There is however a way in which both the feminine gender of a possessor and the number of items denoted by the inalienable noun itself may be referred to separately. This construction involves the adjective =yarrungu 'QUANTIFIER,' as seen in example (9.25) below:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyingumbu & wunu & jarrungaarndu & wali wunu; \\
nyiN=ngumbu & wunu & \(\emptyset \mathrm{N}=\) yarrungu- k warndu & wali wunu \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) name & 3wDEF & 3w=QUANT-DU & PM
\end{tabular}
nyimrimaaingarrinyaa mawunkunya.
nyiN=mri-maai-ngarri-nya-aa mawunkunya
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) head-blackened-REL-3f-and python.sp
She bas two names; they are, her-bead-is-shaved-and-blackened-in-mourning and mawunkunya.
In this sentence the number jarrungaarndu 'two (celestial)' agrees with its head, =ngumbu_wunu 'name.' The number of denotata referred to by the inalienable noun is marked periphrastically, rather than morphologically by some disallowed form such as *nyingumbaarndu.

Another important class of gender-restricted nominals is that of pronouns, number-marked instances of which have already been observed in (9.22). Although pronouns are described in more detail in Chapter Seven, the paradigm of the pronoun \(={ }^{k}\) wa 'Anaphoric/Narrative' (glossed NAR) is shown here for illustrative purposes. This is one of the very few forms that displays trial number marking. Its morphology is displayed in Table 7.2 in \(\$ 7.2\), reproduced here in part as Table 9.3. The collapsing of the human macrogender in the non-singular categories is apparent in these forms.

Table 9.3: = \({ }^{{ }^{w}}\) wa 'Anaphoric/Narrative'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& SINGULAR & & DUAL & TRIAL \\
3 a & awa & he & awaarndu & awoorri \\
3 f & nyangka & she & awaarndu & awoorri \\
3 w & kawa & it & kawaarndu & kawoorri \\
3 m & mawa & it & mawaarnduma & mawoorrima
\end{tabular}

However there does appear to be a discrepancy in the data. Love (1934:36-64, 85-89) presents paradigms of intransitive verb forms with feminine shapes marked for number. He lists as well separate number-marked feminine pronouns (ibid.: 9-10), although he does show masculine and feminine genders collapsed in dual possessive pronouns (ibid.:13). Furthermore, I have also found
that such forms, both verbal and pronominal, are readily elicited, especially in paradigms. The form *nyangleaarndinya ( \(={ }^{k}\) wa 'anaphoric/narrative' feminine dual) appears in Love's paradigm (Love 1934:9) and in my own elicited paradigms, but is unattested in numerous textual instances where it could appear, but where awaarndu appears instead. Love (1934:10) also lists njün'gurinja (?nyingkoorrinya) as a feminine trial form of \(=^{*} w a\), but this was consistently rejected by my instructors. A feminine dual definite article *nyinkarndinya is also readily elicited, but again, in all textual situations where it could occur, the masculine shape inkarndu appears instead (cf 9.22a). The point is that unattested forms like *nyinkarndinya, *nyangkaarndinya and *nyingkoorrinya are completely predictable by analogy with the rest of the morphology, which may be what prompts people to recite paradigms of this sort, that show forms not actually in use. Given the verb forms kenga 'he went,' kengaarndu 'they (dual) went' and nyenga 'she went,' an unattested form *nyengaarndu 'they (fem dual) went' is completely predictable.

With some 950 instances of number marking attested in the database, I am reasonably confident about its general reliability in this respect. A number of reasons could be put forward to account for the discrepancy between elicited and attested forms, eg: (i) the discrepancy is a peculiarity of just those few Worrorra speakers remaining in the 1990 s, or (ii) is a result of the late stage of the language in terms of its social use. Other explanations that I think are rather more likely, are that (iii) a highly productive morphology is liable to throw up bogus forms in elicited paradigms, (iv) the highly marked feminine-number forms may be available for particular types of focus or emphasis only, not represented in the database, or (v) there may be a stylistic prohibition against including two highlymarked kinds of morpheme (number and feminine gender) in the same word form; such a prohibition not being manifested in the decontextualized style of paradigm-recitation.

On this point I should make reference to an obscure passage in Love (1934:75-76) having to do with number-marking on feminine objects (undergoers):

\begin{abstract}
N.B. For feminine object in all numbers the dual, trial and plural subjects are same as singular subject. ... Note 4 . With feminine object in the dual, trial, or plural, the subject uses (1) the same forms as for a masculine object; or (2) if necessary to distinguish the feminine gender in the object, the same forms are used, in all numbers of the subject, as given in the above table for singular subject.
\end{abstract}

It seems possible that the reason Love included this passage, and why these comments are obscure, is that his attempts to elicit number-marking on feminine objects foundered. Because the interpretation of number morphemes is so context-dependent, confusion between subjects and objects in these paradigms is to be expected, and was certainly the case in my experience. The situation is reminiscent of Rumsey's (1982:106) warning about the unreliability of elicited responses in relation to number marking. The discrepancy as it stands represents a good example of the difference between what people say, and what they say they say.

\subsection*{9.5 Number resolution}

In this section we will look at interactions between the two types of number marking that I will refer to as 'overt' and 'inherent.' As suffixes, overt number morphemes are normally attached to a word form already bearing some indication of number by means of either inflectional or derivational NP prefixes. As these prefixes include coding for either singular or plural number, then overt number shapes will be attached to word forms already inflected for these inherent number categories. Overt number morphemes are not consistent with respect to which inherent number categories they select to host them. In this section we will look only at how dual morphemes are hosted; consideration of this phenomenon in collective and unit augmented forms is reserved for \(\S 9.6\).

I have already mentioned in \(\S 9.3\) that the dual morpheme is consistently inconsistent with respect to its selection of host morphology, and already it may be apparent that there is an important split in the system by which the dual morpheme selects its host. Grammatical person in Worrorra shows a split between speech act participants (first and second persons) and non-participants (third persons). When the dual morpheme co-indexes or refers to some participant person, then the relevant NP index
must be a plural form. Examples of this phenomenon are shown in (9.1b, 9.2, 9.5b, 9.10b) and (9.13a). But when the dual morpheme refers to some non-participant person, then the NP index must be a singular form. Examples of this phenomenon are shown in (9.11, 9.12, 9.14, 9.18, 9.19, 9.20, 9.22, \(9.23,9.24)\) and (9.25).

Adjectives agreeing with non-participant heads normally take singular prefix shapes when marked for dual number (see examples in 9.12). However the word ajarrungaarndiya 'two (human)' in (9.20c), contains a dual morpheme suffixed to a form with the third person plural (non-participant) prefix arrGiven the constraints on plural marking on adjectives discussed in \(\$ 6.2 .6\) (i), this shape is quite regular. The form =yarrungu 'QUANTIFIER' is an adjective, and as such would normally take plural indexation (arr- ' 3 p ') when referring to a number of highly animate entities, such as humans. But adjectives may also appear in singular agreement forms when referring to a number of humans (see Table 6.9 in §6.2.6 (i)), and so the singular-prefix forms iyarrungaarndu 'two (masc)' and ninjarrungaarndinya 'two (fem)' are just as acceptable as, and are in fact statistically preferred to, the plural-prefix shape ajarrungaarndiya. And of course low-animate denotata may only be referred to by the singular third person prefix forms in Table 9.2. It would appear that for human denotata, the choice between iyarrungaarndu or ninjarrungaarndinya and ajarrungaarndiya is stylistic, and indeed both forms appear together in example (9.20c).

It is claimed above that words with number morphemes, including dual morphemes, are marked forms, and this being so, it ought to be possible to refer to two entities together in an unmarked way This is indeed the case, of course, and such reference is achieved by way of plural NP indexation:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awa & irranangkaa & karranangkorrinya & aja & kaadunerri \\
awa & irra-nangka-aa & karra-nangkorri-nya & aja & kaarr=nu-na-eerri \\
3aNAR & father-DAT-and & mother-3pDAT-3f & sit & 3p=be-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

Their mother and father were sitting down
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Irranangkorri & aa & karranangkorrinya & jandoorri & kawarramanga \\
irra-nangkorri & aa & karra-nangkorri-nya & jandoorri & ka-bwarr-a=ma-nga \\
father-3pDAT & and & mother-3pDAT-3f & staff & 3a-3p-EP-get-PAST
\end{tabular}

Their father and mother got staves
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngarru & kamnyengaarndu? & Angkuyu & kaanmranga? \\
ngarru & ka-mnya=ya-nga-kwarndu & angkuyu & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) mra-nga \\
whither & 3a-DD=go-TNS-DU & who & 3p-INV-3= gather-PAST
\end{tabular}

Where've they (dual) gone? Who took them?
(d)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Kaangurrurlaarndeerri, & maangurru & ingkenga & jaa maa \\
\hline [ka]-Ø= \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla-[ \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warnduU] \(]\)-eerri & maa-ngurru & i-ngka=ya-nga & a maa \\
\hline [3a]-3= carry-PAST-[DUU \(]\)-PROG & 3mREF-away & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS & always \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline kamarlaarndornaarndeerri. & Ke & rri \\
\hline ka-[Ø]= marlaarndo-rna-[kwarndu \(\left.{ }_{\text {A }}\right]\)-eerri & ke & ka=ya-nga-kwurri \\
\hline 3a-[3]=follow-PAST-[DUA \({ }_{\text {A }}\)-PROG & and & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-NUM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
maangurrunangkowa, & marrunangkowa & kengorri \\
maa-ngurru-nangkowa & ma=rru-nangkowa & ka=ya-nga-kwurri \\
3mREF-away-DIR & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT-DIR & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-NUM
\end{tabular}
```

kaankangurrurlerri
kaarr-n- $\varnothing={ }^{k}$ wangurru-rla-eerri
3p-INV-3=carry-PAST-PROG
He would take them (dual) with him; when he went away they (dual) would always go with (follow) him. He went with
them way over this way and over that way; he took them with him.

```

In (9.26a) the two parents are referred to by the plural S index on the verb; in (9.26b) by the plural A index; and in (9.26c) two people are referred to by the plural \(U\) index in the second sentence. In ( 9.26 d ) two boys are referred to as dual undergoers in kaangurrurlaarndeerri, and as dual agents in kamarlaarndornaarndeerri. In the final verb, kaankangurrurlerri, however, they are referred to as plural undergoers by way of the 3 p index kaarr-

Sometimes two agents are indexed by a singular NP prefix when in the presence of a plural undergoer prefix. In the second clause in (9.27a) the two actors referred to in the first clause receive singular indexation (-Ø-) as agents, in the presence of a 3 p undergoer (kaarr-):

Kengkunaalkarndeerri aja kaanyeerla jed mana
ka=ya-ngku-na-aal-kwarndu-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither-DU-PROG
aja kaarr-n-Ø-nya=ee-rla
sit 3p-INV-3-EP=place-PAST
They (dual) came along and set them down in the shade
jed mana
shade 3 mDEF
(b)

Kaarringkunaal nyangka jebarranya nyina,
kaarr=i-ngku-na-aal nyangka jebarranya nyina
3p=go-EP-PAST-hither 3fNAR emu 3fDEF
kaaninjangaaleerri
kaarr-n- = ninja-nga-aal-eerri
3p-INV-3=look-PAST-hither-PROG
(Two) emus had come up, and were watching them
Example (9.27b) contains the first appearance of two emus in a narrative text. Consistently indexed by the dual morpheme subsequently, here they are referred to in the first clause by the singular topicalizing NP nyangka jebarranya nyina, and also by the plural S prefix kaarr- '3p' on kaarringkunaal, a result of their ambiguous status as personified animals. In the second clause, note, they are indexed by a singular A prefix ( \(-(-)\), again in the presence of a plural U prefix.

In summary, then: two participants are always referred to by plural NP indices, whether marked as a dual set or not. Two non-participants, on the other hand, are usually referred to by plural indices if unmarked for duality, but by singular indices if marked for duality. This situation is set out in Table 9.4:

Table 9.4: shapes of prefixes indexing two entities
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
PARTICIPANT & NON-PARTICIPANT \\
PL & SG \\
PL & PL/(SG A)
\end{tabular}

In Worrorra then, the underlying reference of plurality is to some number greater than one; that is, to some non-singular number.

As can be seen from sentence example (9.1b) and all subsequent examples with intransitive verbs, number morphemes are available to mark arguments in S grammatical function. As well, example ( 9.26 d ) shows the dual morpheme indexing co-referential A and U arguments in the same sentence. Another number-marked argument in A function is seen in (9.10b), and other number-marked arguments in U function are seen in (9.19) and (9.24). Example (9.19) shows the verb mamangaarndu
with a dual undergoer argument. Sentence (9.28) shows the same verb form, but this time with a dual agent argument:
(9.28) (cf 9.19)

Kambananyine maa jaluk mamangaarndu mana kulum
kambana(nya)-nyine maa jaluk ma-[Ø]=ma-nga-[kwarnduA mana kulum
shovel-INST 3 mREF scoop \(3 \mathrm{~m}-[3]=\) get-PAST-[DU A\(] \quad 3 \mathrm{mDEF}\) oven-sand
They both scooped up shovelfuls of hot cooking-sand

Comparing (9.19) and (9.28), it is clear that the interpretation of the number morpheme must be made from context: there are no morphosyntactic clues available.

Number morphemes may also index non-subcategorized object arguments (abbreviated to D and glossed DAT), as in (9.29):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
'Angujakunya & irriwa & nyidunaarndaara, \\
anguja- \({ }^{-}\)wunya & irri- \(^{-}\)wa & {\([\)nyirr \(]=\)nu-na- \(\left[{ }^{k} \text { warndu }\right]^{-k^{2}}\) wara } \\
what-PURP & not.want-PROG & {\([2 p]=\) be-PAST-[DU]-1DAT }
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
mangkaangkaya & aai?’ & kunjunganangkaarndu \\
ma-ngkaa-ngka-ya & aai & kuN-Ø=yi-ng-a-[nangka-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) warnduD
\end{tabular}
\(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-wife-3p hey VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-[DAT-DUD]
'Why have you (dual) turned against me, my wives?' he asked them (dual)

In this sentence it is the non-subcategorized object of the verb kunjunganangkaarndu 'he said to them (dual)' that is marked for dual number, as is clear from the preceding material. But compare this example with the sentence in (9.30); the homonymous verb form there indexes dual actors instead:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(9.30)} & Jarrungu & wunu & kunjunganangkaarndu \\
\hline & ØN=yarrungu & wunu & kuN-[Ø]=yi-ng-a-nangka-[kwarndu \({ }_{\text {}}\) ] \\
\hline & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) QUANT & 3wDEF & VCOMP-[3]=do-PAST-EP-DAT-[DUA] \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{One day they (dual) said to him} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

And just as we saw in ( 9.26 d ), switcheroos of this kind can quite readily appear in the same or adjacent sentences, as is seen in (9.31):

'Go and play over there,' he said to them (dual). 'No way, we're (dual) going to stay right here,' they (dual) told their father.

In this text the father starts off speaking to his two sons, and the first occurrence of the verb kunjunganangkaarndu 'he said to them (dual)' indexes the boys as dual addressee objects. But when the boys reply to their father in the next sentence, the same verb form is used, only this time indexing them as dual agents; 'they (dual) said to him.' In this situation, with homonymous forms occurring adjacent to each other, a speaker may feel that some kind of clarification is in order. Clarification is effected in this instance by the juxtaposition of the unambiguous form kubajungananglea 'they said to him,' immediately after the second dual form, substituting a plural agent shape for the by now ambiguous dual agent form. Clarification of this sort is available because unmarked dual reference makes use of plural NP indices, rather than singular ones.

The full references of Worrorra number morphemes can only be discovered in the context of an unfolding speech event in which their pragmatic values are set up or established over a stretch of discourse. In such circumstances clarification is always available, either by extra-linguistic means such as pointing, or by substituting unmarked expressions for the forms in which they occur, as in (9.31). The set-inclusive function of number marking is foregrounded when number morphemes occur on verbs, at the expense of enumeration: enumeration above two is certainly possible, but often fuzzy, as we will see in the next section.

\subsection*{9.6 Collective plural}

While the dual morpheme has a clear enumerative function, by and large the collective morpheme lacks such a function. Being concerned with denoting sets of entities greater than two, the collective morpheme's functional load is almost exclusively concerned with set-construal. Indeed, set-construal is really all that the dual and collective morphemes have in common, and all that motivates their inclusion together in the same general 'number' category.

The collective morpheme signals a set of entities defined in ways dictated by Worrorra culture. So social groups are typically referred to, such as nuclear families, extended families and, rarely, patriclans. Also referred to are orthogonally constructed social groups, such as all the women, all the men or all the children of an extended family group, conceived of as a distinct social unit (set) for the purposes of some activity. In fact any group of more than two acting together may be marked for collective number (glossed NUM): so, 'all of us (did something), they all (did something),' etc. Typically, however, collective number marking seems to be applied to a group of more than two, but one which is not too big, either. It appears to define a set of a size that is socially functional, in terms of the typical day-to-day economic and social activities of a Worrorra family or extended family.

The only two simplex nouns marked for collective number that I have encountered are eekurri [man-NUM] 'all the men' and wangayoorri [women-NUM] 'all the women.' The only collectively-marked modifiers in the database are the quantifier ajarrungoorri 'three' and the adverb bulakorri (|bulaka\({ }^{k}\) wurri \(\mid\) [middle-NUM]) 'in the middle of them all, amongst all the others.' It is likely, however, that collective number was more productive in a traditional linguistic context.

On verbs, the collective number morpheme may index arguments in S , A or rarely, U grammatical function. Non-subcategorized objects appear not to be indexed by collective morphemes in the same way that other arguments are: second and third person non-singular non-subcategorized objectindexing morphemes have the collective morpheme frozen unproductively into their shapes, where they simply mark non-singular number (see Table 5.2 in \(\$ 5.3 .2\); Table 13.1 in Chapter 13). Take, for instance, the declension of the second person non-subcategorized object suffix:
\begin{tabular}{cll} 
SINGULAR & PLURAL & DUAL \\
-nu & -noorri & -nurrerndu \\
\(|-n u|\) & \(\mid-\) nu-k\(^{-k}\) wurri \(\mid\) & \(\mid-\left[n^{-k}\right.\) wurri]-kwarndu \(\mid\) \\
-2DAT & -2DAT-NUM & \(-[2 D A T-N U M]-D U\)
\end{tabular}

Here it is clear that the collective (NUM) morpheme merely forms a non-singular base that may be further inflected for dual number. Love (1934:14) lists a collective or trial series based on the plural shapes, as well as a dual series, but these forms do not occur in the database. It is therefore possible or maybe probable that non-subcategorized objects were on some occasions marked for collective reference, but as no instances of this occur in the database, this possibility will not be explored further. The upshot of this situation is that as far as the material in the database goes, collective number is not marked on non-subcategorized objects.

Collective number morphemes also violate ordering constraints when frozen into a plural nonsubcategorized object index. Reference to Table 5.1 in \(\$ 5.2\) shows that in other circumstances the collective morpheme occurs in order-class [14], that is, after the continuous aspect morpheme in order-class [13], as may be seen in examples ( \(9.6 \mathrm{a}, 9.8 \& 9.9 \mathrm{~b}\) ) above, and ( 9.34 ) below. Nonsubcategorized object indices, however, occur in order-class [11], before the continuous morpheme, whether in singular or non-singular shape, as may be seen in (9.33):

\section*{(9.33) Kurloonurreerri}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kuN- } \varnothing=\text { rloo-[nu-kwurrin }] \text {-eerri } \\
& \text { VCOMP-3= speak-[2DAT-NUM } \left.{ }^{\text {D }}\right] \text {-PROG } \\
& \text { He's talking to you lot }
\end{aligned}
\]

Although undergoers may be set up to receive collective number marking in elicited contexts, of the 75 examples of the collective number morpheme indexing verbal arguments in the database that do not occur in elicited paradigms, only three instances index undergoers; and of those only one occurs spontaneously, presented here as (9.34):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Jandu-jandu & ngardaarnarlerringkurreka & inja. \\
\hline jandu-jandu & [ngarr]-Ø=rnaarna-rla-eerri-[kwurriv]-y2aka & inja \\
\hline mock-REDUP & [1pin]-3=await-PAST-PROG-[NUM \({ }^{\text {d }}\) ]-EMPH & 3 aDEF \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{He really made fools out of us all.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The overwhelming majority of collectively indexed predicate arguments from naturally-occurring contexts, therefore, are in S and A functions; that is, they function as typical actors. While it is possible to index \(U\) arguments, as ( 9.34 ) shows, and while it may well have been possible to index non-subcategorized object arguments, there seems to be a strong preference for restricting collective number marking to actors. This tendency is, of course, completely in accordance with the outranked actor function of number marking: most occurrences of the collective morpheme seem to be motivated at least in part by an outranked actor interpretation.

Collectively marked verb forms take plural NP indexation, thus solving at once all issues having to do with gender and number resolution. The only exception to this is with unit augmented forms, where singular NP indexation is a possibility for third person arguments.

\subsection*{9.6.1 Paucal pronouns}

Collectively marked (paucal) pronouns are discussed more fully in Chapter Seven. Love (1934:10, 1315) describes a paucal pronoun category; he uses the term 'trial', however, which serves in this exposition to label a slightly different category (see \(\S 9.6 .3\) ). In this section, reference will be made to the semantics of paucity in the particular context of collective pronouns.

A referential-indexical category such as 'inclusive' may have a number of sense meanings operating concurrently. In this case we have noted (i) the set-inclusive sense ('the set of all contextually pertinent instances of this particular denotation'), which leads to a plural interpretation; (ii) the outranked actor interpretation, and (iii) the set-restrictive sense ('just these particular ones and no others'), which may lead to a paucal interpretation. Different senses may be foregrounded in different lexical categories: in pronouns, it is the set-restrictive sense of collective meaning that is in focus, giving collectively marked pronouns a strong paucal interpretation.

The pronouns involved in paucal number marking are: (i) possessive pronouns, (ii) personal participant (first and second person) pronouns (Table 7.1) and (iii) some demonstrative pronouns: \(=n\) 'DEFINITE, PROXIMAL' and =rno 'that, DISTAL.' Love (1934:10) lists a collective series for =rru 'LATERAL' but my instructors rejected this (for further discussion, see §7.3.1). All examples of collectively marked pronouns, however, occur in Love's (1934) and my own recorded paradigms; none appear in spontaneous texts. The following examples also come from elicitation:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
eeja arnowurri & those few (3-5) men/all those men together \\
eeja inkoorri & these few men/ all these men together \\
wangayoorri irnowurri & those few women/ all those women together \\
wangayoorri inkoorri & these few women/ all these women together \\
kenjanu wurnowurri & those few hills/all those hills
\end{tabular}

The collective morpheme -kwurri has an allomorph -koorri very specifically after the pronoun \(=n\) 'DEF,' and apparently nowhere else. The numerical denotation of paucity is three, four or five; that is, greater than dual, and with the number of fingers on one hand apparently as the upper limit.

\subsection*{9.6.2 Unit augmentation}

Unit augmentation is a type of collective number marking that focuses or foregrounds the outrankedactor sense-meaning of that category. Evidence for its status as a separate (sub-)category is found in minimal pairs of the sort shown in ( \(9.5 \mathrm{c} \& \mathrm{~d}\) ) and in (9.35):

\section*{(9.35a) Kaarringoorri}
kaarr=i-nga-oorri
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST-U/AUG
S/ he went with them/ they went with her
Kaarringorri
kaarr=i-nga-kwurri
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST-NUM
They all went together
(b) Arringoorri
arr=i-nga-oorri
\(1 \mathrm{px}=\) go-PAST-U/AUG
\(S /\) he went with us/ we went with bim/ her
Arringorri
arr=i-nga-kwurri
\(1 \mathrm{px}=\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{NUM}\)
We (exc) all went together
(c) Nyirringoorri
nyirr=i-nga-oorri
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST-U/AUG
You went with them/ they went with you
Nyirringorri
nyirr=i-nga-kwurri
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST-NUM
You all went together

The verb Kamnyamiyengoorri in (9.20c) is also clearly marked for unit augmentation, contrasting as it does with an alternative collective form kamnyamiyengorri.

The foregrounded outranked-actor function of the category appears to arise from the relative ease with which one entity (as opposed to a number of entities) may be picked out for mental attention. Consequently, it would seem that a set can more easily be conceived of as being augmented by just one entity, than by a larger number of entities. In this aspect of its function, unit augmentation has more in common with dual marking than with the collective category generally, as the following pair of expressions suggests:
```

(9.36a) Bija warrarluwarndu
bija ${ }^{\text {k }}$ wuN-ngarr-a=rloo-k ${ }^{\text {k }}$ warndu
FUT VCOMP-1pin-OPT=talk-DU
I want to talk to you
(b) $\quad \mathrm{Bija}$ warrarluwoorri
bija ${ }^{\text {k }}$ wuN-ngarr-a=rloo-oorri
FUT VCOMP-1pin-OPT=talk-U/AUG
We want to talk to you $(\mathrm{sg}) / I$ want to talk to you lot

```

Unit augmentation is a form that appears to be dedicated to encoding the outranked actor status of predicate arguments. The unit augmented morpheme only occurs in \(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{A}\) grammatical function, and it may occasionally be used to encode outranked actor-hood in situations where the augmentation involved is not strictly unitary. The sentence in example (9.37) comes from a mythical text about the discovery of fire. With reference to a group of people not involved in the discovery, the narrator says:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Burnarrinyale } & \text { angarreendoorri } & \text { kubajungu. }  \tag{9.37}\\
\text { burnarri-nyale } & \text { arr-ngarr=eenda-oorri } & \text { kuN-bwarr=yi-ng } \\
\text { meat-too } & \text { 3p-1pin=cook-U/AUG } & \text { VCOMP-3p=do-PAST }
\end{array}
\]

They wanted to cook their meat, too.
The verb angarreendoorri is the head of a complement clause of the sort in which the complement subject must be indexed by a first person shape (here -ngarr- '1pin') if co-referential with the matrix subject (-bwarr-' '3p' in kubajungu). The complement object (burnarri 'meat') is indexed on its verb by the collective shape arr- '3p.' Suffice to say for now that the complement clause here is a form of direct speech, such that the whole may be paraphrased: 'they thought: we will cook (our) meat too.' The use of the unit augmented form in this instance focuses the outranked actor status of the group who discovered fire, and who are here dependent upon the same lexical predicate, and fulfil the same semantic role.

It is often unclear where unit augmentation is and where it isn't. Because \(|\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{wu}|>/ \mathrm{oo} /(\mathrm{V}\) expansion rule 18), then any verb form ending in /u/ suffixed by the collective morpheme \(-{ }^{-}\)wurri is liable to result in a surface shape -oorri. It would seem, therefore, that collective/unit-augmented homophony could be quite common. As examples, note the sentences in (9.38), where the final morphemes are conservatively glossed as \(-{ }^{-k}\) wurri, but could just as easily be analysed as -oorri, as a unit augmented meaning is involved in both cases. In (9.38a) one person is being addressed:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aja & nyidoorri & wangalaalangaarndu & inkarndu. \\
aja & nyirr=nu-kurri & wangalaalangu- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-{ }^{-}\)warndu \\
sit & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{NUM}\) & children-DU & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{DEF-DU}\) \\
You stay here with the two children. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Korru nyijengurroorri
korru nyirr-nya=ya-ngurru-kwurri
alright \(2 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) go-away-NUM

\section*{Alright, be can go with you}

The surface shapes of some words may end in \(/ \mathrm{u} /\), even though their underlying shapes do not. In this situation it is difficult to know at what level the collective/unit-augmented morpheme is applied: at an underlying level ( \(\mid \mathrm{a}+\) oorri \(\mid>/\) oorri/) or at a surface level ( \(\mid \mathrm{u}+{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wurri \(\mid>/\) oorri/). This situation is again suggestive of a distinction between lexical and postlexical phonological processes. In the examples in (9.39), the unaugmented surface shapes of the verbs in question are ngarreyu, arreyu and kubajungu respectively, that is, they are all shapes that end in \(/ \mathrm{u} /\). However none of the underlying shapes of these forms do so. In these glosses, the more conservative option is again adopted:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyinyameembu! & Nyinyameembu! & Ngarriyoorri! \\
nyirr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=meembu & nyirr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=meembu & ngarr-a=ya-kwurri \\
2p-INV-3/1-OPT=show & 2p-INV-3/1-OPT=show & 1pin-OPT/EP=go-NUM \\
I'll show you! I'll show you! Come with me! &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngunju & jeewa & arriyoorri & kunjiyeerri? \\
ngunju & jeewa & arr-a=ya-kwurri & kuN-nja=yi-eerri \\
you & ENQ & 1 px-OPT/EP=go-NUM & VCOMP-2=do-PROG
\end{tabular}

Do you want to go with them?
(c)

Kaarringerringkurri, warli jeyi kubajungoorri.
kaarr=i-nga-eerri-kwurri warli jeyi kuN-bwarr=yi-ng(u)-kwurri
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-PAST-PROG-NUM turtles spear VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-NUM
They went off together and he speared turtles with them.
Sentence (9.39b) is another complement clause of the form, 'Are you thinking: I will go with them?' In all the examples in (9.38) and (9.39), a unit augmented analysis would make perfect sense, both phonologically and semantically. However it should be pointed out that we cannot know whether such an analysis would be quite accurate, especially as in this case we cannot be sure that the collective morpheme is not attached to the surface, rather than to the underlying shapes of verbs. Sentence example ( 9.40 ) shows a verb form that is clearly not marked for unit augmentation; no individual is intended and no outranked actor sense-meaning is involved. The verb form here, however, exhibits a surface shape homophonous with the unit augmented morpheme:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mana & aja & ngadoorri \\
mana & aja & ngarr=nu- \({ }^{k}\) wurri \\
3 mDEF & sit & 1pin=be-NUM \\
Let's all sit down here/ let's sit down here together
\end{tabular}

As well as this, the collective morpheme frequently appears in a unit augmented sense, as the following examples show:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{(9.41a)} \\
\hline Malka & ngamnyanunerri, & malka & adunerringkurri \\
\hline malka & nga-mnya=nu-na-eerri & malka & arr=nu-na-eerri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri \\
\hline play & 1-DD=be-PAST-PROG & play & \(1 \mathrm{px}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{PROG}-\mathrm{NUM}\) \\
\hline anja & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{wangalaalunguyu ajakekarri} \\
\hline anja & wangalaalnguya arr & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{arr-ya-ke-karri} \\
\hline 3 PDEF & children px & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{px-的-AUG-other} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I used to play, I played with all the other children
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awaawanja & arrke & korru, & karle & bedinkurri \\
awaawanja & arrke & korru & karle & ba-nyarr=ni-n-kwurri \\
boys & 3pREF & alright & now & CFT-1px=be-NON.P-NUM
\end{tabular}

The boys are alright, they can stay with me
(c)

Jeengurru awa jeyi-jeyi arraneerringkurri
jeengurru awa jeyi-jeyi arr-a=nu-eerri-kwurri
by.oneself 3aNAR spear-spear 1px-OPT=be-PROG-NUM
We want him to come out spear-fishing with us on his own

Two observations are worth making here; the first is that the unit augmented morpheme never occurs in the presence of the continuous aspect morpheme: in this environment the unit augmented and collective categories appear to be neutralized (cf examples 9.6a, 9.8, 9.9b, 9.39c, 9.41a, c \& 9.42). The second follows on from this, and is simply to repeat that the unit augmented category is essentially a marked type of collective morphology. The collective morpheme appears to be able to stand in for the unit augmented one in any situation where extra semantic markedness is not called for.

As with the collective category, unit-augmented verb forms normally take plural NP indexation. However when the single (augmenting) entity is in focus as the discourse topic or for some other reason, the language has the option of using singular masculine indices to refer to third person arguments. This is the case whether the unit augmenting or the collective morpheme is used to signal this function. We have already seen this phenomenon in the collectively-marked form kengorri 'he went with them' in (9.26d). Other examples are shown in (9.42):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Warnda & kanunerringkurri & mangkanangkanyaa \\
warnda & ka=nu-na-eerri-kwurri & mangka-nangka-nya-aa \\
camp & 3a=be-PAST-PROG-NUM & wife-DAT-3f-and
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
wangalaalangaarndu & awaawankarndu \\
wangalaalangu- \({ }^{-}\)warndu & awaawan- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu \\
two.children & two.boys \\
He lived with bis wife and their two boys
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wrrorra & ngarlangarla & ke & barrabarra & kunjeerringkurri & \\
wrrorra & ngarlangarla & ke & barrabarra & kuN-Ø=yi-eerri-kwurri & \\
Worrorra & stories & 3wREF & narrate & VCOMP-3=do-PROG-NUM
\end{tabular}

In (9.42b) the unit augmented construction of the sentence is made clear by the use of pronouns. The masculine singular shape awa '3aNAR' is conjoined to the trial form of the pronoun, awoorri. The set is clearly made up of three women augmented by one man, who is also the singular subject of the augmented verb.

In (9.20c) the complex predicate laburru kamnyamiyengoorri |laburru ka-mnya=ma-ye-ng-oorri| [follow 3a-DD=get-MID-PRES-U/AUG] 'they followed after each other' constitutes a special case. The
set here is made up of a woman and two men, but the verb is passivized, that is, it has an argument removed, and the language does not specify which one (the men or the woman - see discussion in §9.4.2). If the woman is interpreted as the underlying subject, then the singular indexation on the verb follows for the reasons under discussion. If the two men are interpreted as the subject, however, then singular indexation is still to be expected for the reasons discussed in \(\S 9.5\); that is, that two third person entities marked for number require masculine singular indexation on their predicate (Table 9.4).

\subsection*{9.6.3 Trial}

The Worrorra trial morpheme appears to occur on a few nominal forms only: being highly marked, it is infrequently used. Love (1934) did not recognize separate trial and paucal categories in Worrorra; the entire morphology here termed 'collective,' he seems to have understood as more or less strictly numerical forms denoting three or four, which he termed 'trial.' Not usually recording a phonemic contrast in vowel length, he did not distinguish the forms \(-{ }^{-}\)wurri and -oorri. With respect to his trial category, Love says:

> The trial number denotes three, or several; as many as may be gestured by the fingers of one hand. A Worora man, when questioned about several, will show three, or four, rarely five fingers. The trial number is really thus a limited plural, rather than denoting precisely three; but, in speaking English, the Worrorra man will usually say 'three' for several. (Love 1934:10)

Love may have conflated the paucal sense of collectively-marked pronouns with those forms that do genuinely mark trial number. In my data genuinely trial forms are based on the quantifying adjective \(=y a r r u n g u\) displayed in Table 9.2 and on the pronoun \(=^{k} w a\) displayed in Tables 7.2 and 9.3 , and on these forms only. The contrast between collectively-marked pronouns and trial forms is seen in the following pairs, offered for illustrative purposes:


Love (1934:10, 13) lists pronouns that are possibly trial forms (as that term is used here). For example, where my instructors offered the collective form irnowurri 'just those (distal) together/those few,' Love lists i'no.juri (irnoyoorri?), an apparently trial form. And where my instructors offered the collective arrkanangkorri 'of them all together,' Love lists 'arkanay 'guri (arrkanangkurri? arrkanangkoorri?), one of which may be a trial form. For further discussion of pronoun forms generally, see \(\$ 7.2 \& \$ 7.3\). Love (1934:30) offers trial adjectives, one at least of which ('wora'luri i'wor'kuri 'three bad boys') is clearly paucal-collective, and which my instructors rephrased as warraarraleya iwaawarrkurriya 'some bad boys/all the bad boys together,' using the reduplicated forms of the base lexemes. Of the other trial adjective offered, \(i\) :'guri 'iniuri 'three good men,' my instructors seemed unsure, although eekurri iniyoorri (trial) is a possibility.

Native speakers' intuitions about pragmatic meanings are vulnerable in elicitation, and it is uncertain, of course, if and to what extent Love regularized his data. But I would certainly not want to discount Love's observations on these points out of hand: obviously he had access to a full and viable speech community, and was able to record the full, productive capacity of this very productive morphology. It seems quite likely that there originally were more than the two genuinely trial forms that I have recorded, or that the trial morphology was much more productive than appears to be the case in my data.

Unequivocally trial forms occurring in spontaneous texts are quite few: example (9.42b) is one such, and (9.43) contains another:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(9.43) & & & & \\
Arno & ajarrungoorri & arrke & wali; & inja & iyakarra \\
arr=rno & arr=yarrungu-oorri & arrke & wali & inja & \(i=y a k a r r a ~\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) dist & \(\mathrm{px}=\mathrm{QUANT}\)-TRI & 3 pREF & PERF & 3 aDEF & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) other
\end{tabular}

In conclusion, I should emphasize that number marking, as a pragmatic as well as a referential operation, has a dimension that may well extend beyond the scope of the limited amount of recorded material, and the limited types of context in which I experienced the language. As an essentially pragmatic way of encoding argument structure, the outranked actor function of number marking in Worrorra has parallels in the way in which pronouns are used, as described in Chapter 17. Nor am I, in commenting on Love's data, intending to imply that the system of number marking in Worrorra has never been other than what I found and recorded at Mowanjum in the 1990s.

\section*{Ten: adverbs and postpositional phrases}

Adverbs in Worrorra modify predicates at nuclear, core and peripheral levels. \({ }^{61}\) Nuclear- and peripheral-level adverbs are discussed in \(\$ 10.1\), while core-level or modal adverbs are discussed in \(\$ 10.2\). In addition, \(\S 10.3\) looks at some demonstrative adverbs used to locate events in space, \(\S 10.4\) at some adverbs used to locate events at specific times, and \(\S 10.5\) at a couple of interrogative adverbs. §10.6 will survey a number of very frequently encountered suffixes and postpositions attached to nouns, that form phrases or phrasal words functioning as de-nominal adverbs.

A number of important adverbs are enclitic on preceding material. A small number of aspectual morphemes are encliticized to preverbs, with scope over a complex predicate: these are -mirri 'quickly,' \(-j e,-j i ~ ‘ a g a i n, ' ~ a n d ~-b i j i ~ ' r e p e a t e d l y ’ ~(\$ 10.1 .1 ~(i i i)) . ~ O f ~ t h e s e, ~-m i r r i ~ a t t a c h e s ~ o n l y ~ t o ~ p r e v e r b s, ~ a n d ~-j e ~ a n d ~\) -biji attach to both preverbs and finite verbs. Sentence examples showing the use of -je/ -ji may be seen at \((10.15 \mathrm{a}, 10.61 \mathrm{c})\) and \((15.10 \mathrm{f})\), and those showing the use of -mirri may be seen at (8.26b) and (8.28b). The clause-linking morpheme -maade 'so, RESULT' ( \(\$ 8.2 .4\) ) is found after preverbs and also after nouns, deictics, adjectives in preverbal position, and most frequently after finite verbs. The enclitics -nyini 'ENDPOINT, until' ( \(\$ 10.1 .2\) (v)), and -nyale 'next in sequence' ( \(\$ 10.6 .4\) (i)) appear to be unrestricted in their distribution, attaching to members of nearly every lexical category.

\subsection*{10.1 Aspectual adverbs}

It is useful in Worrorra to try to distinguish between temporal structure as it is found applying to verbal root morphemes as denotative types, and as it is found applying to clauses, as the depictions of fully instantiated events. The first situation we may refer to as nuclear-level aspectual modification, and the second as peripheral-level aspect.

\subsection*{10.1.1 Nuclear-level aspect marking}

These adverbs and adverbial affixes depict a predicate's internal temporal structure.

\subsection*{10.1.1 (i) 'bwali 'PERFECT'}

The adverb \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wali signifies a continuing state, that is, that no change of state has occurred or is occurring. In effect, this adverb signals perfect aspect independently of tense-marking, and frequently in verbless sentences. Spoken on its own, 'wali!' means 'wait!'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{61}\) The nucleus represents a predicate's non-finite denotation: usually a verb root in Worrorra. The core contains the nucleus as well as its core arguments, a subject and up to two objects. The periphery contains everything outside the core. A fuller explanation of these terms is provided in Appendix 4.
}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wali & nyinjarndanya & nyinunerri & nyina. \\
wali & nyiN=yarnda-nya & nyiN=nu-na-eerri & nyina \\
PERF & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) infant-3f & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) be-PAST-PROG & 3fDEF \\
She was still a little girl & &
\end{tabular}

Used in combination with verbs, \({ }^{b}\) wali signals perfect aspect unambiguously: although an action may be completed, its effects are still felt in, or are still important to the present:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(10.2a) & Irrolija & wali & nguyul & kubajenganangka. \\
& irra-wulija & wali & nguyul & kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-a-nangka \\
& father-2KIN & PERF & strike & VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}
(b) Jardi aaya karlaa mona wali mana dalorrba. jardi aaya karlaa ma- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na wali mana dalorr-ba spinifex 3aREF cover \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) hit-PAST PERF 3 mDEF sink.hole-EP Spinifex had covered over the sink-hole.
(c) Ngarru inja kanangkurri wali burnu angkona? ngarru inja kanangkurri wali burnu arr-Ø-ngka=bwu-na whither 3aDEF dog PERF 3wPRO 3p-3-SJTV=hit-PAST Where's the dog who has done this thing to them?
10.1.1 (ii) bwali maa 'EXTENDED PROGRESSIVE'
\({ }^{{ }^{b}}{ }^{\text {w }}\) ali maa signals extended progressive aspect:
(10.3)

Wali maa walaawirri kaadunerri, jeejeerr kubajungu.
wali maa walaawirri kaarr-nu-na-eerri jeejeerr kuN-bwarr=yi-ng

PROG keen 3p=be-PAST-PROG scream VCOMP-3p=do-PAST
They kept on wailing and screaming
10.1.1 (iii) biju /-biji 'REPEAT'

Biju signals repetitive, ongoing action; it has a suffixial form -biji.
(10.4a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wangalaya & biju & kaajoolinerri & ngunjanaanangkaya & kanaanangkurri. \\
wangala-ya & biju & kaarr=yoolee-na-eerri & ngunja-naa-nangka-ya & ka-naa-nangkurri \\
lost-3p & RPTV & 3p=travel-PAST-PROG & you-AUG-DAT-3p & \(\hookrightarrow\)-AUG-dog
\end{tabular}

All your dogs keep wandering around all over the place.
(b)

Waaybiji nganbajabunerri
waay-biji nga-n-bwarr=yabu-na-eerri
throw-RPTV 1-INV-3p=throw-PAST-PROG
They threw me (up in the air) again and again
10.1.1 (iv) jaa 'PERFECTIVE’

Jaa 'PERFECTIVE' signals not only that an action is complete, but that the results of that action are irreversible:
(10.5a) Jaa warrabarl kerrwuna.
jaa warrabarl ka-nyarr=bwu-na
PERFV throw.away \(3 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{px}=\) hit-PAST
We just threw it all away.
(b) Jaa bangkawaara rlarlangkarram mana.
jaa ba-ka \(={ }^{-}\)wa-kwara rlarlangkarram mana
PERFV CFT-3a=fall-1DAT sea 3mDEF
He might fall into the sea, and that would be it.
(c) Jaa wurlingkarr bungkayaara.
jaa wurlingkarr ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi- \({ }^{\text {kwara }}\)
PERFV rub.off CFT-vCOMP-3=do-1DAT
It might all rub off me.
In these examples the presence of jaa signals that (a) what was thrown away was in this case irretrievable; (b) if (a boy) were to fall into the sea, he would perish, and that (c) once (the paint) is rubbed off, it can't be put back on again.
10.1.1 (v) jaa maa 'all the way, always'

This important adverb combines perfective and continuous aspects (maa 'Progressive') to signal that an action is accomplished after a reasonably lengthy process. It has two main sense meanings, one referring to space ('all the way'), and another that refers metaphorically to time ('always').
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Jaa maa & kurdu & konerri. \\
jaa maa & kurdu & \(\mathrm{ka}-\varnothing=\mathrm{b}_{\text {wu-na-eerri }}\) \\
all.way & follow & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) hit-PAST-PROG \\
He chased all the way & after bim.
\end{tabular}
(b) Jaa maa rlerlewa nyengerri. jaa maa rlerlewa nya=ya-nga-eerri all.way crawl \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-PAST-PROG She crawled all the way.
(c) Jaa maa kamarlaarndornaarndeerri. jaa maa ka-[Ø]=marlaarndo-rna- \(\left.{ }^{\left[k^{2} \text { warndu }\right.}{ }_{A}\right]\)-eerri all.way 3a-[3]=follow-PAST-[DU \({ }_{A}\) ]-PROG
They (dual) always went with bim.
(d) Jaa maa ngaja nyejamanga. jaa maa ngaja nyaN-nyarr-nya=ma-nga all.way emulate \(3 \mathrm{f}-1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\mathrm{get}-\mathrm{PAST}\) We alvays followed the custom that she established.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Nyinke & maanbali & balorru & wunu & jaa maa & ngaja & nyejamanga. \\
nyingke & maanbali & balorru & wunu & jaa maa & ngaja & nyaN-nyarr-nya=ma-nga \\
3fREF & FOCUS & everyone & 3wDEF & all.way & emulate & 3f-1px-EP=get-PAST \\
She is the one whose precedent we all follow scrupulousy. & &
\end{tabular}

Other meanings of jaa maa are derived metaphorically from this pair of sense meanings. One important sense refers to an action as being completed with difficulty, against forces that tend to impede or prevent its completion; that is, that the process involved in its accomplishment is not only lengthy but difficult. This sense allows a translation something like 'determinedly:'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(10.7a) & Jaa & kurdey & maa & nyimbarrwunerri \\
& jaa & kurdey & maa & nyiN-bwarr=bwu-na-eerri \\
& PERFV & ask & PROG & \(3 \mathrm{f}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

They kept on asking her
(b) Jaa maa minyamiyangkanaara
jaa maa minya=miyangkana-kwara
all.way \(2>\) VCOMP \(=\) understand-1DAT
You must try to understand me

\subsection*{10.1.2 Peripheral-level aspect marking}

These adverbs depict the temporal structure of entire clauses, often in relation to events immediately preceeding or immediately following.

\subsection*{10.1.2 (i) karle 'change of state'}

The adverb and conjunction karle signifies a change of state from one situation to another, and so has the opposite meaning to 'wali. It corresponds to the English words 'now' and 'then' when these words are used to introduce a different set of circumstances or a new situation from that which has previously obtained. It is commonly heard at the beginning or the end of utterances, signalling essentially a change or impending change in speech act participant, in which case it corresponds to 'that's all.'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyirringkaalkarndu, & karle & wundukum! \\
nyirr=i-k \({ }^{\text {waal- }}\) warndu & karle & wundukum \\
\(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither-DU & now & night \\
Come here you two, it's night-time now!
\end{tabular}
10.1 .2 (ii) 'bwali waa 'not yet'
(iv) 'bwali waa, with a verb in counterfactual mood, means 'not yet:'
(10.9) Wali waa laiburru binyideenya wunu aalmarangarri.
wali waa laiburru ba-nyirr=ni-yinya wunu aalmara-ngarri
not yet know CFT-2p=be-PAST 3wDEF European-REL
You still didn't know the Europeans' (language) yet.

\subsection*{10.1.2 (iii) k.arle wali 'CHANGE’}

The term karle wali signifies that that a situation has changed, and that the change is permanent or at least reasonably long-lasting:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Yarribaa & waje, & karle wali & kaarri. \\
yarribaa & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN-ngarr-nya=yi & karle wali & kaarri \\
descend.PL & VCOMP-1pin-EP=do & CHANGE & 3pNEG
\end{tabular}

We can go down now, it's all over.
10.1.2 (iv) jadbengurru 'for good'

The adverb Jadbengurru 'permanently, for good' marks something as permanent:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngurrinyini & ngajaningeerri, & jadbengurru \\
ngurru-nyini & ngarr-nya=ni-ng-eerri & jadbengurru \\
here-ENDPOINT & 1pin-EP=be-PRES-PROG & for.good \\
We're here now, for good. &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.1.2 (v) -nyini 'until, ENDPOINT'}

The enclitic suffix -nyini 'until, ENDPOINT' is a very common adverbial form with a number of sense meanings, as well as being frequently homophonous with the human comitative/locative morpheme -nyina ( \(\$ 10.6 .2\) (iii) below). There is probably nothing that -nyini cannot occur with, as suffix or postposition. It is found with adverbs (10.11, 10.12, 10.27b, 10.31b, 10.47a), preverbs (10.16b), adjectives (10.17b), pronouns (10.13, 10.18b), nouns (10.13), as a suffix on postpositions (10.31a), and as a postposition to finite verbs (10.49b). Love (1934:31, 34) translates -nyini as 'until, yet,' and this is probably as good a translation as any for its most frequently encountered meaning. English until and Worrorra -nyini denote in different ways the endpoint of a line of events, or of an extended event leading up to a particular point in time:


English until refers to the time leading up to the endpoint ( \(x\) in the diagram above): so, this did not bappen until 1958 depicts 1958 as the endpoint of a stretch of time during which 'this did not happen.' Wororra -nyini, in contrast, tags the endpoint, as an endpoint. So 'OUTCOME' is often denoted by -nyini, in situations where a translation until is not optimal.

The word dambeewunyinim, translatable as something like 'homeward at last,' or 'until (they) got home,' occurs twice in the database, both times at the end of stories in which characters have a number of adventures and then go home:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.12) & Keyaaw, & ke & kamnyengaarndu \\
ke-aaw & ke & ka-mnya=ya-nga- \({ }^{k}\) warndu & dambeewunyinim. \\
dambee-k wunya-nyini-m
\end{tabular}

Not uncommonly, -nyini marks the endpoint or outcome of a series of events in a story. In (10.13) the outcome of an event in the Dreamtime was that a ceremony was created to commemorate it:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyinbalinya & jilinya & jandu & nyimbajamanga & joonbanyiniya. \\
nyiN-nbali-nya & jilinya & jandu & nyiN-b warr-nya=ma-nga & joonba-nyini-ya \\
3f-RESUM-3F & spirit.woman & perform & 3f-3p-EP=get-PAST & ceremony-ENDPOINT-3p
\end{tabular}

So then they used to perform ceremonies about that spirit-woman.
The suffix -nyini frequently marks the endpoint of a journey, as in (10.76) below and (10.14):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mingkunyarnanya & ajengkunaal & Karnmanyanyini. \\
Mingkunya-rnanya & arr-nya=ya-ngku-na-kwaal & Karnmanya-nyini \\
PLACE.NAME-LOC & 1px-EP=go-EP-PAST-hither & PLACE.NAME-ENDPOINT
\end{tabular}

We came from Mingkunya to Kunmunya.

In (10.15a) the outcome of two boys wandering off through the bush on their own is predicted, and in (10.15b) a disaster is described in terms of the events that led up to it:
(10.15a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Birriyaarndeerrije, & akurlanyini & wanji \\
ba-kuN-rra=yi-k warndu-eerri-je & akurla-nyini & wanji \\
CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-DU-PROG-again & devil-ENDPOINT & find
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
bangkaweenurrerndu & wuneerda. \\
ba-ka=bwee-[[nu-kwurri]-kwarndu] & wunu-yirda \\
CFT-3a=hit.MID-[[2pDAT]-DU] & 3wDEF-try
\end{tabular}

Don't do it again; another time a demon might find you both.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Larlangkarram & mana & kaarri maa & keekeey & kanunerri & wunu \\
rlarlangkarram & mana & kaarri maa & keekeey & ka=nu-na-eerri & wunu \\
sea & 3mDEF & in vain & peer & 3a=be-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kalam & mana, & ke & bloy & kumanga & ke \\
kalam & mana & ke & bloy & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & ke \\
raft & 3 mDEF & and & slip & VCOMP-3=get-PAST & and
\end{tabular}
rlarlangkarranyinim burnu ko.
rlarlangkarra-nyini-ma burnu ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu
sea-ENDPOINT-3m 3wPRO 3a-3=hit
He was trying to peer over the side of the raft into the water, but he slipped and perished in the sea.
The semantics of change-of-state may arise naturally from those of 'endpoint,' in that the endpoint of one state or situation may be taken as the beginning of another:


This meaning is exemplified in (10.16); (10.16a) is an exchange between two people:
(10.16a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Ke & arrinyini & baawaa & kunyajaal.' \\
ke & arri-nyini & baawaa & kuN-nyarr=yi- \((\mathrm{k} w)\) aal \\
and & we(exc)-ENDPOINT & appear.PL & VCOMP-1px=do-hither
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Nyirrinyini & Daisy, maa-maa & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyimnyarlelwana.' \\
nyirri-nyini
\end{tabular} & Daisy maa-maa
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
nyiN-mnya=rlelwa-na
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Nyambalaknyini & kamnyimiyenaarndu \\
nyambalak-nyini & ka-mnya=ma-ye-na-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) warndu \\
stick.together-ENDPOINT & 3a-DD=get-MID-PAST-DU \\
And so now they were stucke together
\end{tabular}

Sometimes it is not clear whether -nyini's primary reference is to 'endpoint' or to 'change-of-state.' Example (10.17a) occurs at the end of an adventure in a story, and so is able to denote 'endpoint.' It also depicts a changed state, in that it is now the daughter sun, rather than the mother, who goes up into the sky. Similarly in (10.17b); the new moon is both the endpoint of a sequence, and a change of state from what there was before. In situations like this -nyini denotes both meanings at once:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.17a) & & & \\
Nyangkanyini & nyina & kawurlanya, & nyinngarriwa \\
nyangka-nyini & nyina & kawurlanya & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyiN=n-ngarri-wa \\
3fNAR-ENDPOINT
\end{tabular} \\
3fDEF & daughter & 3f=DEF-REL-?
\end{tabular}

So now it's her, the daughter, and her sisters who show us the light.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Ke & kenga & awa & yarriy & ingkawana & inja & kunyila, \\
ke & ka=ya-nga & awa & yarriy & i-ngka=bwa-na & inja & kunyila \\
and & 3a=go- & 3aNAR & descend & 3a-SJTV=fall-TNS & 3aDEF & moon \\
& PAST & & & & &
\end{tabular}
ke ingunalinyini baa kamnyengkaal.
ke \(i=n g u n a l i-n y i n i ~ b a a ~ k a-m n y a=y a-k w a a l ~\)
and \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) new-ENDPOINT appear 3a-DD=go-hither
The moon goes along, and when it goes down, then a new one appears.
In sentences where -nyini occurs with a change-of-state meaning, the apparently synonymous adverb karle ( \(\$ 10.1 .2\) (i) above) often occurs close by. This co-occurrence may be seen in (4.18) in Chapter Four, and in the following examples:
(10.18a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Karle & maalkarram & kaarri & wijalinyini & ke & wirndiba \\
karle & maalkarram & kaarri & wijali-nyini & ke & wirndiy-bwa \\
then & grass.fire & 3pNEG & burnt.grass-ENDPOINT & and & spear-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
kawarraangurrurlu & inja & wara. \\
ka-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla & inja & wara \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) carry=PAST & 3aDEF & kangaroo
\end{tabular}

Then the grass burned down to black stubble, and they would go out bunting kangaroos.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kenyini & malka & ajanaarndeerri, & karle & kayalkayal \\
ke-nyini & malka & arr-nya=nu-aarndu-eerri & karle & kayal-kayal \\
and-ENDPOINT & play & 1px-EP=be-DU-PROG & then & hold.hand-hold.hand
\end{tabular}
ngankangurrurlu.
nga-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla
1-INV-3-carry-PAST
After that I always used to play with her, and she would hold my hand wherever we went.
Two other aspectual morphemes used mainly with preverbal infinitives, -bwa 'PROGRESSIVE' and maa 'PROGRESSIVE,' are discussed in §11.3.2.

\subsection*{10.2 Modal adverbs, adverbs of manner}

The distinction between modal and manner adverbial functions is blurry in Worrorra, and both kinds are presented here for convenience.

\subsection*{10.2.1 Modal adverbs}

The epistemic modal adverb maaji 'Deliberative' and the enclitic/postpositional adverb maade 'so, RESULT' are described and exemplified in \(\$ 8.2 .2\). The adverb wardi ' \(I\) hope' is described in \(\S 8.2 .2\) and §8.4.3, and bija 'Future' is described in \$8.2.1. The counterfactual adverbs waawa 'Negative Interogative' and malyaawam 'REASON' are described in §8.4.1. The emphatic adverb (-)y2akuwali is discussed in \(\$ 7.6 .1\).

There are other modal adverbs that also have scope over the entire clause in which they occur. Some are listed below, with examples of their use:

\subsection*{10.2.1 (i) jee, jeewa 'Question/Enquiry'}

This word (glossed ENQ) signals that the speech act in which it occurs is a question or a reported question, in which case it translates as 'I wonder (if) ...' The adverb jee/jeewa usually occupies second position in a sentence:
(10.19a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mangarri & jeewa & minjarl & kunjee? \\
mangarri & jeewa & minjarl & kuN-nja=yi \\
food & ENQ & eat & VCOMP-2=do
\end{tabular}

Do you want something to eat?
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngayu & jeewa & marno & dambeem & kabalbarnanya & dalulu \\
ngayu & jeewa & ma=rno & dambeem & kabalba-rnanya & dalulu \\
I & ENQ & \(3 m=\) DIST & place & ground-LOC & shed
\end{tabular}
kaadungu anja marrukuya.
kaarr=nu-ng anja marrukuya
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PRES}\) 3pDEF flowers
I wonder if any flowers have fallen down onto the ground over there.
10.2.1 (ii) mee 'I don't know'

This sentence in English is lexicalized as an adverb in Worrorra:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mee & angkuyu & kaanyamranga. \\
mee & angkuyu & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=mra-nga \\
unknowing & who & 3p-INV-3-EP=gather-PAST
\end{tabular}

I don't know who took them.
10.2.1 (iii) ngana 'probably'

The adverb ngana 'probably, possibly, perhaps' (glossed PROB) gives an irrealis meaning to a sentence in which it occurs. It may be seen in sentence example (10.29) below.

The three modal adverbs shown so far may be used together in a more or less idiomatic expression: mee jee ngana 'I'm not so sure (about that)/I don't really want to.'
10.2.1 (iv) yakurnu 'try'

This adverb is nearly always found in future time-reference contexts, that is with imperative, hortative or optative verb forms:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.21) & Ngunju & bayungurru & yakurnu. \\
& ngunju & ba=ya-ngurru & yakurnu \\
& you & CFT= go-away & try \\
& You try and go on abead.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.2.1 (v) -yirda 'try'}

This suffix is found attached to verbs and pronouns, and may occur in the same clause as yakurnu, with an apparently similar meaning. Its use is shown in (10.22):

Ngunju yakurnu baay baneerda.
ngunju yakurnu baay ba=nu-yirda
you try climb CFT=be-try
You try and climb up there.
(b)

Wunmaanbali, wunmaanjirda kurdu
\({ }^{k}\) wuN-n-maanbali \({ }^{\text {kwwN}}\)-n-maan-yirda kurdu
3 w -DEF-FOCUS 3 w -DEF-SPEC-try follow
warrkunyonjeerri.
\({ }^{k}\) wuN-ngarr-ngun-nya \(=\) bwu-ny-yeerri
vCOMP-1pin-SJTV-EP=hit-MIR-PROG
We still follow, we are still trying to follow this (custom) now.
Example (10.22b) occurs at the end of a description of Worrorra marriage laws, at a point where the narrator amends her explanation to take into account recent social changes that have resulted in marriages that may be socially incomprehensible by traditional standards.
10.2.1 (vi) niji, nijiwa 'truely, really'

This adverb is seen in (10.23):
(10.23)

Nijiwa nganbardongkurlanangka irraayaa karraanya?
nijiwa nga-n-bwarr=rnongku-rla-nangka irraaya-aa karraanya
truely 1 -INV-3p=take.away-PAST-DAT father-and mother
Would they really have taken me away from my father and mother?

\subsection*{10.2.1 (vii) korru 'alright'}

This adverb may be used as an interjection meaning 'that's alright,' or with scope over an entire proposition, as may be seen in example (10.24):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngurru & maanbali & ngenu & korru. \\
ngurru & maanbali & nga-nya=nu & korru \\
here & FOCUS & 1-OPT-be & alright \\
It's alright, I'll stay right here. &
\end{tabular}
10.2.1 (viii) ngaali ‘WRONG SUPPOSITION’

This adverb declares that some supposition is wrong, as in 'I wrongly thought that ... :'
(10.25a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Maniyama & ngaali & kungenga. \\
ma=niya-ma & ngaali & kuN-nga=yi-ng \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) good- 3 m & WRONG & VCOMP-1=do-PAST \\
\(I\) (wrongly) thought this was a good place.
\end{tabular}
(b) Karle kenga ngaali kunyajunganu.
karle ka=ya-nga ngaali kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-a-nu
now \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS WRONG VCOMP-1px=do-PAST-EP-2DAT

We thought you'd gone.
10.2.1 (ix) - yzaka 'Emphatic'

This suffix, glossed EMPH, contains the phoneme /y2/ which hardens to /d/ under Strange Hardening rule 5. See also ( \(-y_{2}\) akwwali in \(\$ 7.6 .1\). The suffix \(-y_{2} a k a\) is seen in the examples in (10.26) and (10.30), and again in (10.39a) where the very common negative word kaarriyaka 'no way, not at all' is seen.
(10.26a) Kajirn berrwundaka.
kajirn ba-ka-ngarr=bwu-n-y2aka
can't CFT-3a-1pin=hit-NON.P-EMPH
We mustn't hurt him.
(b) Awa iyarrungaareka wali kurleen kangona.
awa i=yarrungu-kwara-y2aka wali kurleen ka-nga=bwu-na

3aNAR 3a=QUANT-1DAT-EMPH PERF born 3a-1=hit-PAST
He is the only child ever born to me.

\subsection*{10.2.2 Manner adverbs}

These adverbs are used to describe, in fairly abstract ways, the manner in which events takes place.

\subsection*{10.2.2 (i) mee, mee maa 'just, only'}

This adverb is used to narrow the focus of attention onto just one action of possibly several being performed or considered at any one time:
(10.27a) Ajengerri bundurlba, kaarri, arri mee maa. arr-nya=ya-nga-eerri bundurlba kaarri arri mee maa 1px-EP-go-PAST-PROG 'the bush' 3pNEG we(exc) only We just travelled around through the bush, that's all we used to do.
(b) peanut inja minjarlminjarl ajanuna meenyini
peanut inja minjarl-minjarl arr-nya=nu-na mee-nyini
peanut 3aDEF eat-eat 1px-EP=be-PAST just-ENDPOINT
until we just ate the peanuts

When one action only is being performed, then it may be implied that that action is being performed with concentration and intensity. Mee often signals intense or determined activity:
(10.28a)

Nyungkubulu wunu mee kaaninjangurreerri.
nyiN \(={ }^{k}\) wambulu wunu mee kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) ninja-nga-ngurru-eerri \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) eyes \(\quad 3 \mathrm{wDEF}\) just 3p-INV-3=look.at-PAST-away-PROG
She was straining her eyes to catch sight of them.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kaarri maa & mee maa & kurdey & nyimbunerri. \\
kaarri maa & mee maa & kurdy & nyiN- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-na-eerri \\
in vain & just & ask & 3f-3=hit-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

He kept on asking and asking her, but it was no use.

Both meanings of mee (shown in \(\$ 10.2 .1\) (ii) and \(\$ 10.2 .2\) (i)) may occur together:
(10.29)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mee & ngarru & ngana & kenga, & mee \\
mee & ngarru & ngana & ka=ya-nga & mee \\
I.don't.know & whither & PROB & 3a=go-TNS & just
\end{tabular}
kejanduwerlaarndu.
ka-[nyarr \(\left.{ }_{A}\right]=\) yanduwe-rla- \(\left[{ }^{k}\right.\) warndu \(\left.{ }_{A}\right]\)
\(3 \mathrm{a}-\left[1 \mathrm{px}_{\mathrm{A}}\right]=\) leave-PAST-[DU \(\left.{ }_{\mathrm{A}}\right]\)
I've no idea where he could have gone, we (dual) just left him.

\subsection*{10.2.2 (ii) K.aarri maa 'in vain'}

This expression is used typically to denote frustration or failure, as when something does not happen despite your efforts. An example is seen in (10.30):
(10.30) Kaarri maa kaarri, kajirnba wayarl bangamandaka.
kaarri maa kaarri kajirnba wayarl ba-ka-nga=ma-n-y2aka
in vain 3pNEG can't remove CFT-3a-1=get-NON.P-EMPH
It's no good, I just can't get it off.
Negative pronouns such as \(k\) aarri '3pNEG' are treated in \(\S 7.2\).

\subsection*{10.2.2 (iii) malyaa, malyaama 'purposelessly'}

This adverb has a meaning something like 'purposelessly, idly, uselessly, for no reason.' In the first sentence example Patsy describes how her family felt when Europeans became established in their land:
(10.31a) Malyaama kajirnnyini karle laiburru aduna. malyaama kajirn-nyini karle laiburru arr=nu-na NO.REASON like-ENDPOINT now know 1px=be-PAST Now it was as if we didn't know anything at all.
(b) Wurno malyaanyinim ajaaja nganingeerri wurno malyaa-nyini-m ajaaja nga=ni-ng-eerri 3wDIST NO.REASON-ENDPOINT-3m dwell 1=be-PRES-PROG Now I'm just living idly over there.

The adverb malyaawam 'not for no reason, NO.REASON' (\$8.4.1) is diachronically produced from malyaama 'for no reason' and waa 'not.'
10.2.2 (iv) Ke maa 'thus'

This is the celestial shape of the specific-topic pronoun \(={ }^{k}\) waya-maan, described in more detail in §17.7.1. It has a semi-idiomatic use as an adverb corresponding to some degree to the English adverb 'thus,' or 'in this manner.' Further examples of the use of this form are given in (17.54) and (17.55).
(10.32a)

Ke maa kumnyengaara.
kee maa kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng-aara
thus VCOMP-3-DD=do-1DAT
That's what he said to me.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke maa & kubajunganyarri & mamangkunu & rdaarrawa & wunu. \\
ke maa & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nyarri & mamangkunu & ØN=raarrawa & wunu \\
thus & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-1pxDAT & morning & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) many & 3wDEF \\
This is what they did for us every morning. & & &
\end{tabular}
(c)

Ke maa kaningaayenaarndeerri, ke maa.
kee maa ka=ningaaye-na-kwarndu-eerri kee maa
thus \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) do.MID-PAST-DU-PROG thus
They did this to each other time and again.

\subsection*{10.3 Demonstrative adverbs}

Demonstrative pronouns ( \(\$ 7.3\) ) enter into morphological and syntactic arrangements with a number of adverbial forms, which will be described here. The grammar of the arrangements themselves will be commented on further in Chapter 17. Adverbs meaning 'here,' 'there,' 'hither,' 'thence' etc are mostly terrestrial forms of demonstrative pronouns: so mana 'here,' marno 'there' and marru 'over that way/behind.' The form maa 'there/that (place)' is used to refer to a place already known from context. The phrase marno maa '(that place) over there' is used to indicate a place that is both some distance away, and already known from context:
(10.33a)

Marno maa nganingeerri.
marno maa nga=ni-ng-eerri
over.there 1=be-PRES-PROG
I live over there.
(b)

Nyangka aja kaarnaarnarlerri
nyangka aja kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) rnaarna-rla-eerri
3fNAR sit 3p-INV-3=await-PAST-PROG marno maa werrim. marno maa werrim over.there hill
She was sitting waiting for them over there on the bill.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Arrka & awaawanja & kaarringenya & maangurru, & marno maa & mamaa. \\
arrka & awaawanja & kaarr=[i-nga]-yinya & maa-ngurru & marno maa & mamaa \\
3pNAR & boys & \(3 \mathrm{p}=[\) go-TNS \(]-H O R T\) & 3 mREF-ALL & over.there & secluded
\end{tabular}

The boys can go off that way over there by themselves.

Allative forms are maangurru 'over there/that way/thither' and marrungurru 'away over that way/away back there/thither.' Although these forms refer primarily to movement, as seen in (10.33c) and (10.34a), they may also denote linear extent, as in (10.34b) and in the hyperbolic expression in (10.34c):
(10.34a)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Marrungurru & bungunyeyeerri. \\
ma=rru-ngurru & ba-ngun=ya-yeerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT-ALL & CFT-2=go-PROG \\
Don't go over that way.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyangka & marrungurru & aja & nyiningeerri. \\
nyangka & ma=rru-ngurru & aja & nyiN=ni-ng-eerri
\end{tabular}
3fNAR \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{LAT}-\mathrm{ALL}\) sit \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) be-PRES-PROG

She's living over that way.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
We kamnyawana & marndum & maa & maangurru, & maangurru. \\
we & ka-mnya=b wa-na & marndum & maa & maa-ngurru & maa-ngurru \\
lie & 3a-DD=fall-TNS & stomach & 3mREF & 3mREF-ALL & 3mREF-ALL \\
He lay down with bis bloated stomach extended way out in front of bim.
\end{tabular}

Ablative forms are marnoyaalba 'thence' and marraalba 'from away over there/thence.' The metaphor of motion as linear extent is common with these forms as well:
(10.35)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Marraalba & kumanga & ngarlangarla & marru, & awa \\
ma=rru-aalba & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & ngarlangarla & ma=rru & awa \\
3m=LAT-from & \(3 w-3=\) get-PAST & word & \(3 m=\) LAT & 3aNAR
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ingumbu & kubarrkarndenga, & mayakarrima & dambeem & aalb. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) ngumbu & kuN-b \(\mathrm{warr}={ }^{\text {k }}\) warnde-nga & ma=yakarri-ma & dambeem & aalb \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) name & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) place-PAST & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) other -3 m & place & from
\end{tabular}

He got (that) word from over that way, they gave him his name over there, in another country.
Another common locative adverb is ngurru 'hither/here.' Although formally identical to the centrifugal morpheme ( \(\$ 10.6 .1\) ), its meaning as a separate word is quite distinct. It has two senses, one locative, as seen in (10.36) below; and another centripetal, as seen in (10.37).
(10.36a) Ngurru kaangaarndenu.
ngurru kaarr-nga \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warnde-nu
here \(3 \mathrm{p}-1=\) place-2DAT
I'll put them bere for you.
(b) Korru jarrorawe ngurru.
korru jan-rra=kwurawe ngurru
alright \(2>1-2 p=\) leave here
Alright, leave me here.
(10.37a) Kangurraal ngurru.

ØN=kwangurru-aal ngurru 3w=carry-hither hither

Bring it (celestial) here.
(b) Mamurlanjaaya yarrkorli irriyaara ngurru.
mamurlanja-aaya yarrkorli \(\quad\) i-rra \(=\) ee- \({ }^{k}\) wara ngurru
rope-3aREF hang.down \(3 \mathrm{a}-2 \mathrm{p}=\) put-1DAT hither

Hang that rope down here for me.
(c) Ngurru nyimnyengkunyaal arrirnanya!
ngurru nyiN-mnya=ya-ngku-nya-aal arri-rnanya
hither \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{DD}=\) go-EP-HORT-hither we(exc)-LOC
Let her come over here to us!

The adverb ngurru maa means 'right here' or 'right there.' Its use is exemplified in (10.38):
(10.38a) \begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngayu & ngurru maa & aja & nganungu. \\
ngayu & ngurru maa & aja & nga=nu-ng \\
I & right here & sit & 1=be-PRES \\
& I live right here. & &
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngurru maa rok kejeerla kabalbarnanya. ngurru maa rok ka-nyarr-nya=ee-rla kabalba-rnanya right there bury \(3 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\) place-PAST gound-LOC
We buried it right there in the ground.
(c) Jarrorawe ngurru, ngurru maa ngenu.
jan-rra \({ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {wurawe }}\) ngurru ngurru maa nga-nya=nu
\(2>1-2 p=\) leave here right here 1-OPT=be
Leave me here, I'll stay right here.
An adverb with a similar meaning is manmaa ( \(|\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{maa}|[3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{DEF}-3 \mathrm{mREF}]\) ) 'right here.' This is a contrastive form, used to focus on one place as opposed to, or in contrast to, another (cf wunmaa in §10.4.1 (i) below):
(10.39a)

Kaarriyaka, manmaa ajaaja arramnyanaarndu.
kaarri-y2aka manmaa ajaaja arr-a-mnya=nu-kwarndu
3pNEG-EMPH right here stay 1px-OPT-DD=be-DU
No way, we're going to stay right here.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngunju & maa & bayungurru & jaarr & mongurru & dambeem \\
ngunju & maa & ba=ya-ngurru & jaarr & ma=bwu-ngurru & dambeem \\
you & \(3 m R E F\) & CFT=go-away & upstream & \(3 m=\) hit-away & place
\end{tabular}
maa, arri ngayu manmaa aja ngenu.
maa arri ngayu manmaa aja nga-nya=nu
3 mREF but I right here sit 1-OPT=be
You go on upstream to that place, but I'll stay right here.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Malka & binyidaarndeerri & ngalarramarnanya & marno, \\
malka & ba-nyirr=\(=\) nu- \(^{\text {k }}\) warndu-eerri & ngalarrama-rnanya & ma=rno \\
play & CFT-2p=but &
\end{tabular}
play CFT-2p=be-DU-PROG long.grass-LOC \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST
manmaa aja nyidaarndu.
manmaa aja nyirr=nu-kwarndu
right here sit \(2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{DU}\)
Don't play over there in the long grass, you two stay right here.
A suffix -yirdaka with a likely meaning 'from' was elicited in reference to some of Love's notes. It was offered suffixed to maa '3mREF' to produce a form maayirdakama 'thence;' and also to ngurru 'hither/here' to produce ngurreerdaka 'hence,' as seen below:
(10.40a) Kengkunaal maayirdakama.
ka=ya-ngku-na-kwaal maa-yirdaka-ma
3a=go-EP-PAST-hither 3mREF-?from-3m
He came from there.
(b) Dambeem maayirdakama. dambeem maa-yirdaka-ma place 3mREF-?from-3m There's your home.
(c) Kengangurru ngurreerdaka.
ka=ya-nga-ngurru ngurru-yirdaka
3a=go-PAST-ALL here-?from
He went away from bere.
The sentence in (10.40b) is anomalous with a meaning 'from,' but was spontaneously produced. It is just possible that the shape -yirdaka may incorporate - y2aka 'EMPHATIC' as seen above in \(₫ 10.2 .1\) (ix). I am uncertain about the precise meaning of this form.

\subsection*{10.4 Temporal adverbs}

Time is marked by nouns denoting times of the day: braarru wипи 'first light before sunrise' yalingarri (|yali-ngarri| [cold-REL]) (adverb) 'dawn,' mamangkunu wипи 'morning,' nyinaarringeenya nyina 'midday; early afternoon, hottest part of the day,' karlumarlum mana and karlakarlem mana 'late afternoon; evening, dusk' (a brief event in the north Kimberley) warlorlum mana 'night,' wunduk.um mana 'darkness' and wundwwundukum mana 'the darkest part of the night, the dark before dawn.' Seasons are also named: mawingki inja 'winter, the cool season,' с June-July; mirringunu wunu 'the hot season,' c October-mid-December, and aajaajirri 'the wet season,' c mid-December-April. Note also the adverb weni 'now, today;' and that in my data seasons are defined by core periods, in a system not designed to be exhaustive. \({ }^{62}\)

\subsection*{10.4.1 Time-referential adverbs}

Apart from the verbal tense system described in \(₫ 5.4, \$ 8.1 .2\) and \(\$ 8.3 .2\), there are a number of deictic expressions in the language that refer or point to time in relation to the speech event or its reported equivalent.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{62}\) Elsewhere in the Kimberley it appears that exhaustive five- or six-term naming-systems for seasons are found, which leads me to wonder whether there may be gaps in the information I collected.
}
10.4.1 (i) Wenmaa, wunmaa 'now/right now'

These are contrastive forms comparable to manmaa 'right here' seen in \(\$ 10.3\) above. The adverb weni 'now, today' is incorporated into the first form: see (10.50) for an example of its use. These words are used to focus on the present as opposed to some other, past or future time.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.41a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Wenmaa
\end{tabular} & joli & banu. \\
& wenmaa & joli & \(\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{nu}\) \\
& right now return & CFT=be \\
& Goback right now. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Wunmaa maade wunu nyarrkunyaninjanjeerri. wunmaa maade wunu \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-nyarr-ngun-nya=ninja-ny-yeerri now RESULT 3wDEF 3w-1px-SJTV-EP=look.at-MIR-PROG So that's how we see them (celestial) now.

In (10.41a) the implication is that the addressee wants to procrastinate, and (10.41b) is a resultative clause, contrasting a present situation with a past one.

\subsection*{10.4.1 (ii) karle maa 'REMOTE PAST'}

The expression karle maa marks time reference to a distant past, as seen in (10.42):
(10.42)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Karle maa & ke & kaadunerri & belangkarraya & inja \\
karle maa & ke & kaarr=nu-na-eerri & belangkarraya & inja \\
REM.P & 3wREF & 3p=be-PAST-PROG & everyone & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}
ingkayoolinerri.
i-ngka=yoolee-na-eerri
3a-SJTV=travel-PAST-PROG
Back at that time everyone used to go travelling around from place to place.
10.4.1 (iii) -aaw 'TIME'

This suffix has already been seen in \(\$ 7.4 .2\), attached to anguja 'what?' to produce angujaaw 'at what time?/when?’
(10.43a) Angujaaw ngunyangkunaal?
anguja-aaw ngun=ya-ngku-na-aal
what-TIME \(2=\) go-EP-PAST-hither
What time did you get here?
(b) Angujaaw joli ngunungaal?
anguja-aaw joli ngun=nu-ng-aal
what-TIME return 2=be-PRES-hither
When are you coming back?

This is a deictic suffix, and its default reference is to present time where the more abstract concept of 'time' itself is not appropriate or relevant. It is used to refer to present time where no tense-marking morphology is available, as in (10.44):
(10.44) Karle kaarriyaaw, karle kawi imanjaaw.
karle kaarri-aaw karle kawi \(i=m a n-y a-a a w\)
now 3pNEG-TIME now 3aNEG 3a=dead-3a-TIME
Now its over, now he's dead.

It may also be used either to bring time reference into the plane of the present, as in (10.45a), or where verbal present tense morphology is vague, as in (10.45b):
(10.45a)

Keyaaw, ke kamnyengaarndu dambeewunyinim.
ke-aaw ke ka-mnya=ya-nga- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu dambee- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wunya-nyini-m
and-TIME 3wREF 3a-DD=go-TNS-DU place-PURP-ENDPOINT-3m
And now they both went home.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Karleyaaw & ngunyangerri? \\
karle-aaw & ngun=ya-nga-eerri \\
Change.of.State-TIME & \(2=\) go-TNS-PROG \\
Are you going now? &
\end{tabular}

It is also used where some other tense or mood morphology excludes present tense marking, as in (10.46):
(10.46a) Marno maaji ngeewunya ngeyaaw.
ma=rno maaji ngee-kwunya nga-nya=ya-aaw
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{DIST}\) had.better honey-PURP 1-OPT=go-TIME
I think I'll go off now and find some honey.
(b) Bengkaalaaw!
ba=ya-kwaal-aaw
CFT=go-hither-TIME
Come here now!
(c) Ajaaja banaaw.
ajaaja ba=nu-aaw
stay \(\quad\) CFT=be-TIME
Stay here, meanwhile.

In this connection note the unanalysable form kakaaw!' 'Come here!' mentioned in §8.5.3.

\subsection*{10.4.2 Time-relative ngamba}

This important time adverb appears to have three distinct sense meanings, corresponding to 'later,' 'now/soon' and 'when' (relative-adverbial). These meanings seem to share some general common semantic, in marking the time of a particular event as being relative to the time of some other event. The functions of ngamba are illustrated below:

\subsection*{10.4.2 (i) now, soon, later}

The adverb and conjunction ngamba may mean 'later' as in the examples in (10.47), or 'now' as in (10.48a) or 'soon' as in (10.48b):
(10.47a) Ngambanyini ke wala kaanyajona.
ngamba-nyini ke wala kaarr-nyarr-nya=bwu-na
later-ENDPOINT 3wREF like \(3 \mathrm{p}-1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST
At last we got to like them.
(b) Mamangkunu ke ngamba ngarriyaarndu.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
mamangkunu & ke ngamba ngarr-a \(=\) ya- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu \\
morning & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

Later on we'll go, in the morning.
(c) Yaw, bija bija ngenangka wali ngamba.
yaw bija bija ØN-nga=yi-nangka wali ngamba
yes FUT FUT VCOMP-1=do-DAT PERF later

Yes, I'll be sure to tell him later.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngamba & jolimirri & nyidaalkarndu! \\
ngamba & joli-mirri & nyirr=nu-aal-kwarndu \\
now & return-quickly & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) be-hither-DU \\
Come back, here at once! &
\end{tabular}
(b) Kawarro wali aaya ngamba ke
ka-bwarr-a \(={ }^{b}\) wu wali aaya ngamba ke

3a-3p-EP=hit PERF 3aREF now 3wREF
They're going to kill him now.
10.4 .2 (ii) subordinate relative function

In this function, ngamba usually marks subordinate clauses that describe the time at which the event depicted in the main clause occurs. Typically, subjunctive verbal morphology signals the subordinate status of clauses controlled by ngamba, as may be seen in the examples shown in (10.49):
(10.49a)
Minyiyaara ngamba ngeyu jungunju dambeemangurru.
minya=yi-k wara ngamba nga-nya=ya ØN-nja-ngun=yi dambeema-ngurru
\(2>3 \mathrm{w}=\) do-1DAT when \(1-\) OPT=go VCOMP-2-SJTV=do place-ALL

Tell me when you want to go bome.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Anja & ngamba & maabaabaya & burnu & kaaju, & ngani \\
anja & ngamba & maabaabaya & burnu & ØN-ngka-b warr=yi & ngani \\
3pDEF & when & old.people & 3wPRO & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do & what
\end{tabular}
kubaje nyini?
kuN-b warr-nya=yi nyini
VCOMP-3p-EP=do ENDPOINT
When these old people pass away, what will they do then?
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngamba & wurnarnngarri & ingkenga & wunu, & kolkol \\
ngamba & wurnarn-ngarri & i-ngka=ya-nga & wunu & kol-kol \\
when & exchange-REL & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS & 3wDEF & share-trade
\end{tabular}
ngarrkunungaayenga
ngarr-ngun=ningaaye-ng
1pin-SJTV=do.MID-PRES
We'll share it out when it goes into the wurnarn exchange cycle. \({ }^{63}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{63}\) The ritual exchange cycle called wurnarn wunu was an important part of the culture of Worrorra-, Ungarinyinand Wunambal-speaking people. Participants in the cycle were said to perform (='do,' wurnarn kuN[]=yz) the
}

However subordinate clauses controlled by ngamba are not obligatorily subjunctive. In (10.50), ngamba controls a relative clause dependent upon ngarlangarla wunu 'word, speech, story' as its head noun.
(10.50)

Weni wali maa kunyarrkangurreerri ngarlangarla ke,
weni wali maa kuN-nyarr=kwangurru-eerri ngarlangarla ke
today PROG \(3 \mathrm{w}-1 \mathrm{px}=\) carry-PROG story 3 wREF
ngamba ke barraneninjerri kunyila aaya.
ngamba ke ba-ka-rra-ne=ninja-eerri kunyila aaya
when 3wREF CFT-3a-2p-AUG=look.at-PROG moon 3aREF
Today we still tell that story, about how you shouldn't stare at the moon.

\subsection*{10.5 Interrogative ngani, confirmatory yama}

While anguja 'what?' substitutes for nouns ( \(\$ 7.4 .2\) ), ngani 'what?' substitutes for denotations of events typically, for clauses or their verbal heads. The use of ngani in negative-counterfactual constructions has been discussed in §8.4.2. Ngani 'what?' is used only with \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do,' the verb with the most general semantics, where it is indexed in undergoer position as this verb's celestial-class direct object. Its use in interrogative function may be seen in (10.49b) \& (10.51). This word is quite distinct from nga-(ni)- 'where?’ seen in §7.4.1.
(10.51a) Ngani kunjiyeerri?
ngani kuN-nja=yi-eerri
what VCOMP-2=do-PROG
What are you doing?
(b) Ngani wajiyaarndu?
ngani \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-ngarr-nya \(=\) yi- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
what VCOMP-1pin-EP=do-DU
What shall we (du inc) do?

There is also a reduplicated form ngani-ngani 'what on earth? what in the world?' shown in (10.52):
(10.52a) Ngani-ngani kunjeerri?
ngani-ngani kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-eerri
what-what VCOMP-3=do-PROG
What on earth's s/ he doing?/ What on earth's going on?
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Wali } & \text { ngani-ngani } & \text { ngenangkorri } & \text { anja } & \text { wali? } \\ \text { wali } & \text { ngani-ngani } & \text { ØN-nga=yi-nangkorri } & \text { anja } & \text { wali } \\ \text { PERF } & \text { what-what } & \text { VCOMP-1=do-3pAT } & 3 \text { DEF } & \text { PERF }\end{array}\) PERF what-what VCOMP-1=do-3pDAT 3pDEF PERF Well now, what can I do to them?

Both of these forms may function non-interrogatively as general (ie as non-temporal) relative adverbs, as shown in (10.53):
exchange, while goods put into the cycle were said to 'walk' (marduk \(=y a[\) walk \(=g o]\) ) around the exchange routes or pathways between groups.
\begin{tabular}{llrl} 
(10.53a) & Mee & ngani & nge. \\
& mee & ngani & ØN-nga=yi \\
& I.don't.know what & VCOMP-1= do \\
& I don't know what I'll do.
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngani kunjungu wardi bungkaajara
ngani kuN-Ø=yi-ng wardi ba-kuN-bwarr=yi-kwara
what VCOMP-3=do-PAST hope CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-1DAT
I hope they know what's happened to me. \({ }^{64}\)
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
Adijaarndeerri & ngani-ngani & nye. \\
a-ngarr=ninja-kwarndu-eerri & ngani-ngani & ØN-Ø-nya=yi \\
3a-1pin=look.at-DU-PROG & what-what & VCOMP-3-OPT=do \\
Let's watch bim (and see) what he's going to do. &
\end{tabular}

The confirmatory interjection yama? seeks to confirm a statement or line of reasoning. It is used in the same way as the German nicht wabr? and the French n'est-ce pas?
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wurnbangku & kurdu & bungonya, & yama? \\
wurnbangku & kurdu & ba-kuN-nga=bwu-nya & yama \\
CLAN.COUNTRY & follow & CFT-VCOMP-1=hit-PAST & CONFIRM \\
I should have talked about the Wurnbangku-clan part of the story, shouldn't I?
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.6 Postpositional phrases}

Like other Australian languages, Worrorra has a set of forms that attach to nouns to signal a number of 'non-core' case-like relations. That is, relations that are neither subject nor object, but instead convey locative, allative, ablative, instrumental, comitative, associative and other meanings. This morphology is derivational, producing de-nominal adverbs modifying, delimiting or expanding the reference of the verb in the clause in which they occur. The morphemes occur as suffixes or postpositions, or they may be both on different occasions.

\subsection*{10.6.1 Spatial structure and vector deixis}

Attached to nouns the locative suffix -rnanya and its hardened allomorph -danya (Deretroflexion rule 3 in \(\$ 3.1\) ) are ubiquitous, and may be seen above in ( \(10.12 \mathrm{~b}, 10.37 \mathrm{c}, 10.38 \mathrm{~b}, 10.39 \mathrm{c}\) ), and throughout this grammar. Some place names appear to have this morpheme frozen into their shapes: ones that I know of are Mangadanya (|mangarr-rnanya| [pouch-LOC]) 'PLACE NAME,' Ngayangkarnanya 'Mount Trafalgar' and Ngarlangkearnanya 'the St. George's Basin.'

Functionally related to locative are the allative and ablative forms. These need to be discussed in relation to each other and to a pair of verbal suffixes with corresponding meanings. Taken in combination these nominal and verbal affixes constitute a system of vector deixis that has overarching application to the denotation of events in Worrorra, and which are frequently depicted in terms of real or metaphorical direction or extent. In Worrorra an event's spatial structure, that is the directional movement, orientation or extent of one or more actors in relation to some other entity, is highly salient and frequently coded. Spatial structure in Worora is semantically isomorphic with the coding of temporal structure as verbal aspect. In this sense, Worrorra's depiction of spatial structure may be thought of by analogy as a kind of 'nominal aspect.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{64}\) Literally, 'I hope they think/say/know of me: 'what's happened/what's he done?" See Ch 14.
}

One or other of a pair of morphemes occurs in verbal form-order class [10]; these are -aal (underlyingly \(\mid-{ }^{-k}\) waal \(\mid\) ) 'hither' and -ngurru 'away.' They formally coincide with a pair of directional postpositions that occur with nouns, (-)aalb(a) 'Ablative' and -ngurru 'Allative.'

The attachment of the verbal forms to the verb =ya 'go,' is lexicalized as =ya-kwaal 'come' and =ya-ngurru 'leave, depart.' Their denotation is of movement towards (-aal) or away from (-ngurru) a speaker or some other referent, from whose point of view some event is seen as unfolding. These meanings are diagrammed in Figure 10.1a, where S indicates a speaker or some other focal referent.

The nominal forms denote some referent as either a source (ablative, aalba) or as a goal (allative, -ngurru). These meanings are diagrammed in Figure 10.1 b , where R is a referent, to which the postposition is attached.

\author{
(a) VERBAL -kwaal \\ \(\mathrm{S}<\) - 'towards S' \\ eg bengkaal 'come here' \\ (b) NOMINAL (-)aalba \(\mathrm{R} \longrightarrow\) 'from R (ablative)' \\ eg molnganem aalb 'from the river'
}

> -ngurru
> \(\mathrm{S}->\quad\) 'away from S'
> eg bayungurru 'go away'
-ngurru
\(\mathrm{R}<\ldots\) 'towards R (allative)'
eg. molnganemangurru 'to the river'

Figure 10.1: directional semantics
Note that the English translations of these forms are contradictory: 'towards' may be applied to both forms, as may 'from.' The semantics of vector deixis in Worrorra may be captured, however, by the notions of centripetal and centrifugal grounding. Grounding refers in this case to linear extent or motion with respect to some real world (speech event) participant or some narrative actor. The ground is represented by the actor from whose viewpoint the action is regarded; the empathic, subjective projection of speaker-and-hearer into the event depicted. This kind of projection is obligatorily marked in Worrorra in all kinds of situations where it would not be in English, eg as in (10.55):
(10.55a) Kaarn kanunaal.
kaarn ka=nu-na-kwaal
sing \(\quad 3 a=b e-P A S T-h i t h e r ~\)
He came/ was facing this way/towards (some actor), singing.
(b) \(\quad \mathrm{Aja}\) kanungurreerri.
aja ka=nu-ng-ngurru-eerri
sit 3a=be-PRES-away-PROG
\(H e\) 's sitting away over there.

The equivalence of linear extent and motion in this context is discussed in Heath (1984:287) for Wubuy, and the same considerations apply here.

The morpheme (-)aal(ba) 'hither, ABLATIVE' denotes centripetal motion, away from some referent ( R in Figure 10.2) and towards the ground ( S in Figure 10.2), as shown in Figure 10.2a. And conversely, the morpheme -ngurru 'away, ALLATIVE' denotes centrifugal motion, away from the ground, and towards some other referent, as shown in Figure 10.2b. The formal and functional coincidence of centripetal motion and ablative case is also apparent in Wubuy (Heath 1984:283).

(a) Centripetal grounding
-ngurru
R <- S
(b) Centrifugal grounding

Figure 10.2: vector deixis

The use of these forms in their different senses in the same sentence is common, as is seen in (10.56):
\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (10.56a) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Kengkunaal } \\
\text { ka=ya-ngku-na-aal } \\
\text { 3a=go-EP-PAST-hither }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { molnganem } \\
\text { molnganem }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { aalb. } \\
\text { river }\end{array} \\
\text { He calbe from the river. }\end{array}\right]\)\begin{tabular}{ll} 
from
\end{tabular}

While -ngurru is always a suffix, (-)aalb(a) occurs as either a suffix (marraalba in (10.35)) or a postposition (10.56a, \& dambeem aalb in (10.35)).

\subsection*{10.6.2 Associative postpositions}

Worrorra has a number of suffixes coding relationships of physical proximity, either actual or metaphorical. These morphologies derive adverbial words from nouns, except in the case of -ngarri 'REL,' which sources a broader range of phrase types.

\subsection*{10.6.2 (i) relativizing -ngarri, -ngarra}

The two forms of the relativizing morpheme, -ngarri and -ngarra, appear to be interchangeable, but -ngarri is more frequent in the database:
iwarnbarnngarri ~ iwarnbarnngarra king brown snake
Ngarinyinngarri ~ Ngarinyinngarra of or associated with Ungarinyin-speaking people
The initial nasal hardens to a stop in the right environment: Wunambalkarra (|wunambal-ngarra| [LANGUAGE.NAME-REL]) 'of or associated with Wunambal-speaking people.'

This very common predicative morpheme depicts an abstract relationship between its term and the object to which it is suffixed. It is a two-place stative predicate, and is available to denote a wide and very general range of relationships:
```

-ngarri (x,y)
be-associated-with ( }x,y\mathrm{ )

```

The form -ngarri is suffixed to its object (y), and predicated upon its term, \(x\). Typically the object is a noun, but it can be a noun phrase, and adjective, an adverb, or a whole sentence. A brief introduction to this morpheme is to be found in \(\S 6.2\).1. The suffix -ngarri functions to make its object syntactically dependent upon its term. In the course of doing so it derives a new word from its object; frequently the derived outcome is an adjective or an adverbial phrase, in line with its relativizing function. Whatever the outcome, the term becomes the head of a phrase within which the derived relativized constituent is embedded. The most transparent manifestation of this process is observed in modifiers ('adjectives') derived from nouns; such modifiers display gender agreement with their heads, if those heads are of the marked macrogender:
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
(10.57a) & mangarringarrim & dambeem \\
& mangarri-ngarri-m & dambeem \\
& vegetable.food-REL-3m & place
\end{tabular}
(b) mangarri garden-ngarri
vegetable.food garden-REL
food associated-with-(al the) garden \(=\) food from the garden
(c) aalmarangarrim kajirn dambeem
aalmara-ngarri-m kajirn dambeem
European-REL-3m like place
places like those-associated-with-Europeans \(=\) European-style houses
(d) mana kuma kunyilangarrima
mana kuma kunyila-ngarri-ma
3mDEF gum moon-REL-3m
gum associated-with-the moon \({ }^{65}=\) 'moon-glue'
More often, however, the term is deleted and the derived form, the erstwhile dependent constituent, stands for the whole, as in (10.58):
(10.58a) wurnarnngarri
wurnarn-ngarri
ceremonial.exchange-REL
(goods that are) part of the wurnarn ceremonial exchange cycle
(b)
Bulakangarri
bulaka-ngarri
middle-REL
'(people)-in-the-middle'66
(c) karrangarri
karrangu-ngarri
high-REL
beavenly (things) (Christian context)
(d) wurnirndeerrngarri
\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN \(=\) rnirndeerr-ngarri
(e) bijakungarri
bijaku-ngarri
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old - REL
in the old (days)/ long ago
smoke-REL
smoking (ceremony)
(f)
wungunalingarri
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=ngunali-ngarri
3w=new-REL
these (days), recent (events)
(g) yalingarri
yali-ngarri
cold-REL
cold (time) \(=\) early in the morning

In the following examples the derived forms refer to (a) a person or persons (from or associated with Kalumburu), and (b) a language (associated with Europeans), respectively. In both cases the erstwhile heads of these relativized phrases (persons and language) are unstated:
(10.59a)

Kalamburrungarri nganmurrkarlaalkarndu.
Kalamburru-ngarri nga-n-[Ø]=murrka-rla- \({ }^{k}\) waal- \({ }^{k}\) warndu]
PLACE.NAME-REL 1 -INV-[3 \({ }_{\mathrm{A}}\) ]=go.to-PAST-hither-[DU \({ }_{\mathrm{A}}\) ]
Two (men) from Kulumburu came to see me.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{65}\) The traditional explanation for bacterial conjunctivitis.
\({ }^{66}\) Real people who lived in an historical, as opposed to the mythical period.
}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Wrrorra & maa & kunyarloorlerri & wangalang & arrkununa.' \\
wrrorra & maa & kuN-nyarr=rloo-rla-eerri & wangalang & arr-ngun=nu-na \\
Worrorra & only & VCOMP-1px=speak-PAST-PROG & child & 1px-SJTV=be-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Wali waa & laiburru & binyideenya & wunu & aalmarangarri.' \\
wali waa & laiburru & ba-nyirr=ni-yinya & wunu & aalmara-ngarri \\
not.yet & know & CFT-2p=be-PAST & 3wDEF & European-REL
\end{tabular}
'We only spoke W orrorra when we were children.'
'You didn't know the Europeans' (language) yet.'
As seen at the head of this section, an entity may be linked to a social group by this derivational process. In (10.60) the term is Wanjurna, and the relativized dependent is Ngarinyinngarri. Note here how the frequently deleted phrasal head may be recalled if required:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{(10.60)} \\
\hline Awa & irno & bariy & kanunaal & Ngarinyinngarri, & inja \\
\hline awa & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{rno}\) & bariy & ka=nu-na-kwaal & Ngarinyin-ngarri & inja \\
\hline 3aNAR & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) DIST & rise & 3a=be-PAST-hither & Ngarinyin-REL & 3aDEF \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{iyakarra Wanjurna.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{i=yakarra wanjurna} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{3a=other DEITY} \\
\hline That Ngarim & inyin one o & there r & up, the other W andjin & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Some names (particularly of animals) have -ngarri /-ngarra frozen into their forms:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
BASE & MEANING & DERIVED FORM & MEANING \\
wiji & boil, sore & wijingarra & quoll \\
mirimiri & thorny & mirimiringarrinya & echidna \\
iwarnbarn & \(?\) & iwarnbarnngarri & king brown snake \\
nyimri maai & ber head blackened & nyimrimaaingarrinya & black-headed python \\
barnmarn & magic, sorcery & barnmarnngarri & sorcerer \\
nubu & \(?\) & Nubungarri & Mount Waterloo \\
ngurlarnda & \(?\) & ngurlarndangarrinya & little corella
\end{tabular}

Used with reference to people, the suffix -ngarri usually denotes a person's generational peers, as seen in (10.61) below. In (10.61c) the phrases wunu-walingarri and wungunalingarri are probably more or less synonymous, as 'current/younger generation.' The expression wunu wali is rare in the database, but may mean something like 'meanwhile, at the same time.'
(10.61a)

Anja kulaayangarri
anja kula-aa-ya-ngarr
3pDEF husband-1POSS-3a-REL
my busband's friends and relations
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Daraarlu & Bajawalakurdengarri & arrkanangka. \\
daraarlu & Bajawala-kurde-ngarri & arr=kwa-nangka \\
PLACE.NAME & NAME-ASSOC-REL & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) =NAR-DAT
\end{tabular}
(The place called) Daraarlu belongs to Bajawala's family.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunu-walingarri & bujuje & kaajaningeerri & weni, \\
wunu-wali-ngarri & buju-je & kaarr-nya=ni-ng-eerri & weni \\
3wDEF-PERF-REL & finish-again & 3p-EP=be-PRES-PROG & now
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
wungunalingarri & ke, & ngurru & aja & arrkunyawanjeerri. \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=ngunali-ngarri & ke & ngurru & aja & arr-ngun-nya=bwa-ny-yeerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) new-REL & \(3 w R E F\) & here & sit & px-SJTV-EP=fall-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}

Modern people are still dying now, this new generation who live here.
As well as creating nominal modifiers or adjectives, -ngarri may also create absolutive adverbial phrases, with the suffix having scope over an entire phrase, as bracketed and italicized in the following examples. In (10.62a) the celestial reference in the absolutive phrase is to time, while in (10.62b) it is to namandi wunu 'canoe.' In (10.62c) the adverb dande 'travelling' is relativized to produce a meaning '(those) associated with travel; travelling/nomadic (people).'
(10.62a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Yakurnu & jakarl & nyirrwaarndeerri & [wunu & maramarangarri]. \\
yakurnu & jakarl & nyirr= \({ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{wa}^{-}\)kwarndu-eerri & wunu & maramara-ngarri \\
try & swim & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) fall-DU-PROG & 3wDEF & hot-REL \\
You two try and go for a swim [in the heat of the day]. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Anja & bundurlba & angkengerri & [namandi & kaarringarri & wunu]. \\
anja & bundurlba & arr-ngka=ya-nga-eerri & namandi & kaarri-ngarri & wunu \\
3pDEF & the.bush & 3p-SJTV=go-PAST-PROG & canoe & 3pNEG-REL & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

Those who were travelling through the bush [with no canoes].
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Anbajiyaariwuna & nyina & lolly, & [karle-karle & dandengarri \\
arr-n- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr-nya=yaariwu-na & nyina & lolly & karle-karle & dande-ngarri \\
1px-INV-3p-EP=give-PAST & 3fDEF & lollies & CHANGE.OF.STATE & travel-REL
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
kee], & kaarri maa, & waa & minjarl & binyajeenya. \\
ke & kaarri maa & waa & minjarl & ba-kuN-nyarr=yi-yinya \\
3wREF & in.vain & not & eat & CFT-VCOMP-1px=do-PAST
\end{tabular}

They gave us lollies [but at that time (we were) nomadic, so] it was pointless, we didn't eat them.
There are at least two idiomatic adverbial relativizations using -ngarri: mana-manangarri 'immediately, then-and-there,' and ngarrkunjaangarri 'long before our time/before we were born,' seen in (10.63a) below. This latter word is a variant of ngarrkunjaa with the same meaning. These frozen forms look like they could be lexicalizations of perhaps previously more productive forms, something like *|ngarr-ngun=yaa-(ngarri)| [1pin-SJTV=?be.born-(REL)] 'when we (are/were) born.' There is a modern uninflectable preverbal infinitive nyaa 'be born.'

Whole sentences may be subordinated using -ngarri, with either a relative interpretation, as in (10.63a) where eeja 'man' is modified; or an adverbial subordinate interpretation as in (10.63b):
\((10.63 \mathrm{a})=(7.13 \mathrm{f})\)
Wenngarri aaya eeja, [waa ngarrkunjaangarri bangkaninya]ngarri aaya
weni-ngarri aaya eeja waa ngarrkunjaa-ngarri ba-ka=ni-nya-ngarri aaya
now-REL 3aREF man not long.ago-REL CFT-3a=be-PAST-REL 3aREF
That man lived quite recently, be was not from a long time ago.
(b)


Adjectives may also be relativized, but it is not at all clear to me what difference, if any, this makes to their meaning. Relatived-unrelativized pairs of adjectives, used as adjectives, taken from the database are as follows (see \(\S 6.2 .1\) ).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
wuniya & wuniyangarri & good (celestial) \\
maramara & maramarangarri & hot \\
beewurdu & beewurdungarri & small (plural) \\
manjumanju & manjumanjungarri & windy
\end{tabular}

In one example, manjumanjungarri 'windy' modifies rlarlangkarram 'the sea' to denote wild, stormy water:
(10.64)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Manjumanjungarrim & maa & bariy & kaanmurrkarla & rlarlangkarram. \\
manju-manju-ngarri-m & maa & bariy & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla & rlarlangkarram \\
wind-wind-REL-3m & 3mREF & rise & 3p-INV-3=go.to-PAST & sea
\end{tabular}

The storm-tossed sea rose up around them.

\subsection*{10.6.2 (ii) associative (-)kurde}

Etically, there appear to be three degrees of closeness of association denoted by this suffix or postposition. The closest association is when something is physically a part of, or embedded in, something else. In the database thorns and spears sticking into people, and fruit on trees, are marked by (-)kurde:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awa & jinalyakurde & we & kawanerri. \\
awa & jinalya-kurde & we & \(\mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{b}\) wa-na-eerri \\
3aNAR & spear-ASSOC & lie.down & 3a=fall-TNS-PROG \\
He's lying down with a spear sticking into bim.
\end{tabular}
(b) Wunu nguwanu mangarrikurde. wunu nguwanu mangarri-kurde 3WDEF tree vegetable.food-ASSOC The tree with fruit.

A second, comitative use with humans typically denotes marriage:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Karle & eejakurde & nganuna. \\
karle & eeja-kurde & nga=nu-na \\
change.of.state & man-ASSOC & \(1=\) be-PAST \\
Then I got married. &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Inkarndu & mangkaangkanangkaarndiya & kurde. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{k}}\) warndu & ma-ngkaa-ngka-[nangka-k \({ }^{\text {warndu]-ya }}\) & kurde \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) DEF-DU & \(\leftrightarrows-\) AUG-wife-[DAT-DU]-3p & ASSOC
\end{tabular}

\section*{The two (men) with their wives.}

When used with humans and suffixed by -ngarri 'REL,' the resulting shape -kurdengarri refers to a person's immediate or extended family (see (10.61b) above).

The third, loosest association is one of addition, fairly translatable as 'as well, also:'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(10.67a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Jilinya \\
jilinya
\end{tabular} & kurde & aja & nyinunanangkaarndeerri. \\
& dema & nyiN=nu-na-[nangka-kwarndu]-eerri
\end{tabular}
(b) Arrka kurde kaarringkunyaal.
arrka kurde kaarr=i-ngku-nya-kwaal
3pNAR ASSOC 3p=go-EP-HORT-hither
They can come too.

An idiomatic expression =neem kurdekurde [ear ASSOC-ASSOC] 'with (your) ears' means to listen out for, or watch out for something while doing something else, such as a woman being aware of her children playing nearby while she is otherwise engaged.

\subsection*{10.6.2 (iii) human comitative/locative (-)nyina}

This suffix and postposition is heard as (-)nyini as often as (-)nyina, and is to that extent homophonous with the aspectual suffix described in (10.1.2 (v)) above. In elicitation and as a postposition it is heard as (-)nyina. The following partial paradigm of pronoun and determiner forms was elicited:

Table 10.1: human comitative/locative -nyina 'with (someone)' (partial paradigm)
PERSONAL PRONOUNS
SINGULAR DUAL COLLECTIVE DEFINITE ARTICLES

1 ngayunyina
2 ngunjunyina
3a awanyina
3 f nyangkanyina
awaarndunyina injanyina
1 pin ngarrinyina ngarringkoorrinyina
2p nyirrerndunyina
3p arrkanyina
The feminine definite article form (at least) may be infixed with the discourse-deictic morpheme to produce the shape nyimnyininya, probably composed as follows: |nyi(na)-mnya-(nyi)na-nya| [3fDEF-DD-HCOM-3f].

It's use as a comitative form appears to be restricted to human beings, and is illustrated in the following examples:

mayaram nyina.
house HCOM

And I moved over there with her to keep her company, I lived with her there at the other house.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kerrkulkunarla & ke & nyimnyininya & aja & \\
ka-nyarr=kwulkuna-rla & ke & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyiN=(n-a)-mnya-(nyi)na-nya
\end{tabular} & aja & \\
3a-1px=fear-PAST & and & 3f-(DEF)-DD-HCOM-3f & sit & \\
& & & & \\
ajanunerringkurri & & dambeem, & nyangkanangkamarnanya & nyina. \\
arr-nya=nu-na-eerri-kwurri & dambeem & nyaN=kwa-nangka-ma-rnanya & nyina \\
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 px-EP=be-PAST-PROG-NUM & place & 3f=NAR-DAT-3m-LOC
\end{tabular} & HCOM \\
We were afraid of him so we lived with her at herplace. &
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Marno & ngarreyu & kulurrkunya & jurujuru & ngarranaarndu, \\
ma=rno & ngarr-a=ya & kulurr-kwunya & juru-juru & ngarr-a=nu-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) warndu \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & 1pin-EP=go & water.lily-PURP & dive-dive & 1pin-OPT=be-DU
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ngarranoorri' - & yankarndamaa & kunyilaa & awaarndunyina \\
ngarr-a=nu-oorri & yankarndama-aa & kunyila-aa & awa-kwarndu-nyina \\
1pin-OPT=be-NUM & star-and & moon-and & 3aNAR-DU-HCOM
\end{tabular}
marangunyaarndinya.
marangunya- \({ }^{-k}\) warndu-nya
sun-DU-3f
Let's go and dive for water-lily roots, let's all go' - the stars and the moon along with the two suns.

At the end of the sentences in (10.68a \& b) the postposition nyina functions as a locative, in both cases marking places where someone lived, if not quite the people themselves.

A distinct but related sense meaning 'too, as well' is found when (-)nyina is attached to a nominal denoting an extra item, as shown below:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ke & ngeenyiniya & minjarlminjarl & kaaduna. & Wari & anjaa, \\
ke & ngee-nyina-ya & minjarl-minjarl & kaarr=nu-na & wari & anja-aa \\
and & honey-too-3p & eat-eat & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PAST & kangaroo & 3pDEF-and \\
& & & & s &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
karimbinjaa, & burlkumba, & mirimiringarrinya & arrkenyini & minjarlminjarl \\
karimba-inja-aa & burlkumba & mirimiringarrinya & arrke-nyina & minjarl-minjarl \\
bandicoot-3aDEF-and & possum & echidna & 3pREF-too & eat-eat
\end{tabular}
kaaduna.
kaarr=nu-na
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\)
And they used to eat honey as well. Kangaroos, bandicoots, possums, echidnas; they ate those, too.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wurrkunu & angujakunya & mara & kumbunaara & malyaama? \\
wurrkunu & angkujakunya & mara & kuN-Ø-b \(w u-\) na- \({ }^{k}\) wara & malyaama \\
trouble & what.for & see & \(3 w-3=\) hit-PAST-1DAT & needlessly
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Kubarrwunaara & ngayunyinóo. \\
\hline kuN-bwarr=bwu-na-kwara & ngayu-nyina-óo \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit-PAST-1DAT & I-too-EMPH \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{What did he have to go and make trouble for me for?} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (10.69b) the final vowel of (-)nyina is extended, stressed and rounded for emphasis.

\section*{10.6 .2 (iv) privative -yeye}

There is only one example of this suffix in the database: wiyanuyeye | wiyanu-yeye| [fire-PRIV] 'without fire.' The more usual denotation of privative meaning is by way of the adjective =rnora 'be without, lack (something):'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (10.70a) & Kayuku ngarnora. kayuku nga=rnora rock \(1=\) be.lacking I haven't got any money. & & \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Awanyale kulnmerrbarnanya awa-nyale kulnmerrba-rnanya 3aNAR-next tail-LOC \\
After that he had no fur on bis tail.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
iwajam \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{b}\) wajam \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) fur
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { irnora. } \\
& \mathrm{i}=\text { rnora } \\
& 3 \mathrm{a}=\text { be.lacking }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.6.3 Other derivational suffixes}

Worrorra has two other important case-like suffixes, in addition to the locative, allative and ablative forms seen in \(\$ 10.6 .1\). These mark purposive and instrumental relations, as seen below. Again these de-nominal forms serve derived adverbial functions.
10.6.3 (i) purposive -kwииya

Like the locative suffix -rnanya, purposive \({ }^{-k}\) wunya (glossed PURP) ends in the segment -nya, homophonous with the feminine gender marker. The shape -kwunya hardens to -kunya after laterals, nasals and \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) under hardening rules 10 and 11, and after the words anguja 'what?' and mamangkunu 'morning' under Relict Consonant Deletion rule 4. Nouns of the marked macrogender commonly have their gender suffixes ( \(-m(a)\) and \(-n y a)\) deleted before \({ }^{-k}\) wunya:
\begin{tabular}{lclll} 
dambeem & 'place', & \(\longrightarrow\) & dambeewunya & 'homeward' \\
ngeenya & 'honey' & \(->\) & ngeewunya & 'for honey'
\end{tabular}

The purposive suffix marks goals; that is, entities or states that are desired but not yet attained. Most frequently in the database \({ }^{-k}\) wunya marks the goals of hunting and gathering: so people go out kulurrkunya, ngeewnnya, warivunya, karnmangkuwunya, jaayawnnya, and aakuwnnya; that is, looking for lily roots, honey, kangaroos, yams, fish and water, respectively, among many other things. Dambeema 'place,' when suffixed by -kwunya to form dambeewunya, can only mean 'homeward;' it may not refer to any other kind of place. The word mamangkunukunya (|mamangkunuC-kwunya| [morning-PURP]) 'until morning' uses the purposive suffix somewhat idiomatically, perhaps because people look forward to the morning, in Worrorra culture at least. Preparations for ritual occasions may be referred to by the purposive suffix. So we find wurloownnya 'for ritual purification by smoking,' bijakuwunya 'for smoke' (with the same reference) and wurnarnkunya 'for the ritual exchange cycle.' Activities denoted by preverbal infinitives may also be goals, as minjarlkunya 'for eating/edible.'

The suffix -kwunya appears in many situations where there is a strong allative component to its semantics:
(10.71a)

Imnyaangurrunangka karranangkanyawunya. i-mnya \(=\) kwangurru-nangka karra-nangka-nya-kwunya
3a-DD=carry-DAT
mother-DAT-3f-PURP
Take him to bis mother.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Dalja & kungengeerri & ke & ajengerri & bundurlkunya. \\
dalja & kuN-nga=yi-ng-eerri & ke & arr-nya=ya-nga-eerri & bundurl-kwunya \\
grow & VCOMP-1=do-PAST-PROG & 3wREF & 1 px-EP=go-TNS-PROG & bush-PURP \\
As I was growing up we used to travel through the bush ('go bush'). &
\end{tabular}

Nevertheless GOAL, as the term is used here, is not synonymous with ALLATIVE; people may go to a place without that location being desired for its own sake. So allative and purposive suffixes may appear in the same sentence, as in (10.72):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(10.72) & Marru & ngengerri & lolly-wunya & shop-ngurru. \\
& \(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{rru}\) & nga=ya-nga-eerri & lolly-kwunya & shop-ngurru \\
& \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) LAT & 1=go-TNS-PROG & lolly-PURP & shop-ALL
\end{tabular}

I'm going over to the shop for some lollies.
In (10.71a) the referent is a small, crying child, for whom location with his mother was desired but not yet attained. The sentence in (10.71b) refers to families living on a mission who used to 'go bush' at regular intervals to find food, visit their country and escape from mission controls. On these occasions the bush was a goal, a place of enjoyment for its resources and for its own sake.

Causes may also be marked by the purposive suffix, if a cause is a cause by virtue of its desired status. The commonest example, however, angujakunya (|angujaC-kwunya| [what-PURP]) 'for what purpose/reason?/what for?,' has a more general meaning, 'why?' Other examples may be seen in Chapter 17 in sentences (17.13a, 16, 18d, 19a, \& 20a), and below:
(10.73a)

Bardawaai nyimbeenerri wangalanguwunya wunu. bardawaai nyiN=bwee-na-eerri wangalangu-kwunya wunu writhe \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG child-PURP 3wDEF
She writhed about in an agony of grief for her child.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Anja & iyaaw & kawarraangurrurlu & irraaya, \\
anja & iyaaw & ka-bwarr- \(a={ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla & irra-aa-ya \\
3pDEF & abuse & \(3 a-3 p-E P=\) carry-PAST & father-1DAT-3a \\
& & & \\
ngayu & irraaya & ngayuwunya. & \\
ngayu & irra-aa-ya & ngayu-kwunya & \\
I & father-1DAT-3m & I-PURP & \\
They abused my father, my own father, because of me.
\end{tabular}

At the time of (10.73b), the speaker was a young woman and the object of a dispute over who should marry her; she was therefore the cause of a dispute because she was desired. In (10.73a) the preverb bardawaai denotes a cultural expression of emotional agony. The object of the woman's grief, being desired, is the cause of her pain.

In a few examples the semantics of 'goal' disappear entirely, and \(-k^{*} w u n y a\) is used to mark a noun's semantic role as beneficiary (10.74a) or theme (10.74b) (cf \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (i, iv)):
(10.74a) Jaaya jeyi-jeyi kanunanangkerri nyina karranangkawunya
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
jaaya jeyi-jeyi & ka=nu-na-nangka-eerri & nyina & karra-nangka-kwunya
\end{tabular} fish spear-spear \({ }^{67}\) 3a=be-PAST-DAT-PROG 3fDEF mother-DAT-PURP He was spearing fish for his mother.
(b) Nyangkawunya ngenangka wali kungenganu.
nyangka- \({ }^{\text {k wunya }} \quad\) ON-nga=yi-nangka wali kuN-nga=yi-ng-a-nu
3fNAR-PURP VCOMP-1=do-DAT \({ }_{i}\) PERF VCOMP-1=do-PAST-EP-2DAT \({ }_{i}\)
I've been wanting to tell you about her.
If anything could be said to be desired in (10.74a) it is the fish, but the beneficiary role (karranangkanya 'his mother') is marked by -kwnnya, not the noun in patient role (jaaya 'fish'). In (10.74b) the theme argument appears in a complement-clause construction. \({ }^{68}\) Sentences of this kind are described in §14.3.2, but for now it may be noted that the main clause pronominal object ( \(-n u\) ' 2 DAT - of/about you) is co-referential with the complement clause's pronominal object (-nangka 'DAT - him/her / to him/her'). As this is the only purposive expression of this sort in the database, little more can be said about it.

\section*{10.6 .3 (ii) instrumental -nyine, -ningke, -nyiningkee}

The form found in the database is almost universally -nyine, but I was also given synonymous alternatives -ningke and -nyiningke. Love (1934:32) gives only -nyiningke as the instrumental suffix. Instrumental suffixes may agree with their host nouns of the marked macrogender, taking - \(m(a)\) and -nya inflection, optionally. So kalam(a) 'double-raft' may be inflected with -nyine in the following ways: kalanyinema, kalamanyinem or kalamanyine. Definite articles referring to instruments anaphorically are marked with -nyine; masculine and celestial injanyine and wunyine 'with it/this' are found in the database, as exemplified in (10.75):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(10.75) & Wununu & kungurr & mango & wunyine. \\
wunu-wunu & kungurr & ma-nga=bwu & wunu-nyine \\
3wDEF-3wDEF & stab & \(3 m-1=\) hit & 3wDEF-INST
\end{tabular}

Instrumental suffixes most commonly mark tools used to effect or achieve some desired outcome. So people are stabbed with spinifex, jardinyine, or with spears, jinalnyine or jinalyanyine; they are hit with sticks, nguwanunyine, or with boomerangs, karlinyine; food is pounded up, and grieving women gash themselves, with stones, karrkunyine; people get splashed with water, aakunyine; things are covered over with paperbark, ngarlinyine; hot sand is scooped out of fires with shovels, kambananyine; and children are blessed or purified in smoking ceremonies with their (parents') hands, ardurnunyine. The instrumental suffix also marks vehicles: babies are carried round in coolamons, angkamanyine; and people travel in cars, motor-car-nyine, on rafts, kalamanyinem, and in canoes:
(10.76)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kengkunaal & Warruwarlaalb & barrawarranyine & Ngokonyini. \\
ka=ya-ngku-na-kwaal & Warruwarlu-aalb & barrawarra-nyine & Ngoko-nyini \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither & PLACE-from & canoe-INST & PLACE-ENDPOINT
\end{tabular}

He came from W arruwarlu to Ngoko in a canoe.
Locations may also be marked as instrumental in bringing about desired outcomes: things may be swung through the air in (people's) arms, arrwarndunyine ( \(\mid\) arr \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) warndu-nyine| [px=arm-INST]); and food may be pounded up in mortars, rnokunyine.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{67}\) Preverb jeyi 'spear (something) at close range.'
\({ }^{68}\) Literally, 'Of you I wanted/thought: 'I will tell him/her about her." See \(₫ 14.3 .2\) for the syntax of this construction.
}

Instrumental suffixes also mark media, that is, intervening substances through which things are achieved. So people are anointed with arm-pit sweat, marlambardbanyine; and smeared with charcoal, wulurrkunyine. Language is a medium also marked by instrumental suffixes:
(10.77a) Ngarrkanangka ngarlangarlanyine aai kaajaal.
ngarr=kwa-nangka ngarlangarla-nyine aai ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-(kw)aal
1 pin=NAR-DAT language-INST call VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-hither
If they call out in our language.
(b) Kurloonangkorreerri
kuN-Ø=rloo-[nangka-kwurri]-eerri
Wrrorranyine.
Wrrorra-nyine
VCOMP-3=speak-[DAT-NUM]-PROG
Worrorra-INST
He's talking to them in Worrorra.

Temporal adverbs may also host instrumental suffixes. In the database weni 'now, today' appears with instrumental marking:
Kunyajenangkerri
kuN-nyarr-nya=yi-nangka-eerri
VCOMP-1px-EP=do-DAT-PROG
We still call it that today.
weninyine.
weni-nyine
now-INST

The expression ngarrkunjaa 'before our time/before we were born' has been discussed above in \(\S 10.6 .2\) (i) and illustrated in (10.63a). As well as being suffixed by -ngarri 'REL,' this time adverb may also be suffixed by -ningke 'INST,' illustrated below in (10.79). The variants ngarrkunjaa, ngarrkunjaangarri and ngarrkunjaaningke appear to be synonymous, frozen forms.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyinunerri & nyirnungkurlenya & nyangke & ngarrkunjaaningke. \\
nyiN=nu-na-eerri & nyiN=rnungkurle-nya & nyangke & ngarrkunjaa-ningke \\
3f=be-PAST-PROG & 3f=ancient-3f & 3fREF & long.ago-INST
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.6.3 (iii) customary -barda}

This suffix may be related to the form -da that Love (1934:108) describes as 'customary.' Love (1934:3) also notes tjo:lbada 'orator' (see below). Preverbal infinitives may be suffixed by the form -barda to derive a noun meaning '(person) habitually performing the action of the preverb.' Examples follow:


There is also a word maambulbarda, literally 'it's (terrestrial) eye,' which refers to either a particular tidal whirlpool off the north Kimberley coast, or to tidal whirlpools generally. The terrestrial reference in this word is to rlarlangkarram mana 'the sea,' so maambulbarda is translated as 'eye-of-the-sea.' Its derivation is either \(\mid \mathrm{ma}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wambul-ma-rda \(\mid\left[3 \mathrm{~m}=\right.\) eye- 3 m -CUST], or \(\mid \mathrm{ma}={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wambul-barda \(\mid\) [ \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) eyeCUST]. Either way, the customary morpheme here is surely idiomatic.

\subsection*{10.6.3 (iv) essive -aanjanu}

The suffix -aanjanu attached to nouns derives adverbs indicating that some event occurred while the noun so marked existed. This suffix is unusual in that an essentially verbal meaning may be marked only by nominal affixation. Examples from the database are wangalangaanjanu |wangalang-aanjanu|
[child-ESS] 'while (someone) was a child,' wangalaalangaanjanu |wangalaalangu-aanjanu| [children-ESS] 'while (some people) were children,' and lewarraanjanu |lewarra-aanjanu| [daylight-ESS] 'while there's still daylight.' The use of such derived adverbs is illustrated in (10.80a) below. When attached to a clause, the clause must be subjunctive, as seen in (10.80b):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(10.80a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Arrke \\
arrke
\end{tabular} \\
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
kaangamnyaangurrurlungurru \\
karr-nga-mnya=k wangurru-rla-ngurru
\end{tabular} \\
& I looked after them for a time while they were children.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.6.4 Sequential suffixes}

This section deals with two morphologies that, unlike the other forms in \(\$ 10.6\), are not derivational.

\subsection*{10.6.4 (i) sequential -nyale}

This ubiquitous suffix has a wide-ranging meaning, marking something as coming after something else in a sequence of items or events, as 'next in sequence.' This general meaning can be somewhat artificially broken down as follows: (i) repeated action - an action performed again or in sequence; (ii) joining - comparable items listed together; (iii) next - coming immediately after something else.

The sense meaning 'repeated action; again' is illustrated below:
(10.81a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wali maa & kengaarndeerrinyale & karle & wundukum & manunerri. \\
wali maa & ka=ya-nga-kwarndu-eerri-nyale & karle & wundukum & ma=nu-na-eerri \\
PROG & 3a=go-PAST-DU-PROG-again & then & night & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) be-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

They went on again until night fell.
(b)

Joli kamnyanuna mana jawenyale nyangka ingkaarndengama.
joli ka-mnya=nu-na mana jawe-nyale nyangka i-ngka- \(\varnothing={ }^{k}\) warnde-nga-ma
return 3a-DD=be-PAST 3mDEF same-again 3fNAR 3a-SJTV-3=place-PAST-3m
He went backe again to the same place where she bad left him.
(c)

Wali mamangkununyale kununa, kaanmurrkarlanyale.
wali mamangkunu-nyale ku=nu-na kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-nyale
PERF morning-again/then \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) be-PAST \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) INV-3=go.to-PAST-again
The next morning he went to them again / 'Again it was morning, again be went to them.'
A distinct sense, 'comparable items listed together,' translatable as 'too, also, as well' is illustrated in (7.7a) in Chapter Seven, and below:
(10.82a) Bajawala nyinjorinyalenya nyangka.

Bajawala nyiN=yoru-nyale-nya nyangka
NAME \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) widow-too-3f 3 fNAR
Bajawala is a widow too.
(b) Awa iman kanuna, awanyale aalkunya.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
awa & \(\mathrm{i}=\) man & \(\mathrm{ka}=\) nu-na & awa-nyale & aalkunya \\
3aNAR & 3a=dead & 3a=be-PAST & 3aNAR-too & young.man
\end{tabular}

He died too, as a young man.
(c) Iyaaw kaanbajaangurrurlu
iyaaw kaarr-n- \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) warr-nya \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wangurru-rla
scold 3p-INV-3p-EP=carry-PAST
They scolded all the others as well.
ajakekarrinyale.
arr=ya-ke-karri-nyale
\(\mathrm{px}=\hookrightarrow-A U G-\) other-too

A third sense meaning appears to denote an event as coming after, or immediately after, some other event; here a translation of 'next, then, after that' seems to fit, as seen in (10.70b) above and in the following:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.83a) & Wululu & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyimbanaalnyale
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyangka.
\end{tabular} \\
& wululu & nyiN= \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wa-na- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) waal-nyale & nyangka
\end{tabular}
(b) \begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke & jakarrinyale & mamangkunu & bariy & nyinuna. \\
ke & \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) yakarri-nyale & mamangkunu & bariy & nyiN=nu-na \\
and & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) =other-next & morning & rise & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}\)
\end{tabular}

Then one morning she got up.
It is often unnecessary to invoke these etic distinctions in situations where an overarching semantics of something like 'next in sequence' is clearly intended, as can be seen in these examples:
(10.84a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyangka & kambananya & nyimangaa, & nyangkanyale & nyimanga \\
nyangka & kambananya & nyiN- \(=\) =ma-nga-aa & nyangka-nyale & nyiN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga \\
3fNAR & shovel & 3f-3=get-PAST-and & 3fNAR-too & 3f-3=get-PAST
\end{tabular}

\section*{kambananya.}
shovel
She took. up her shovel, and she \(e_{2}\) also took. up her shovel.
She took up her shovel, and then/ next she took up her shovel.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Inja & birdeenja & kamanganyale, & jarrangurlak & kamanga & ke \\
inja & birdeen-ya & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-nyale & jarrangurlak & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & ke \\
3aDEF & small-3a & 3a-3=get-PAST-too & lift.up & 3a-3=get-PAST & and
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
we & keerlanyale & marno & kubadeernanya. \\
we & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ee-rla-nyale & \(\mathrm{ma}=\) rno & kubadeenya-rnanya \\
lie.down & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) place-PAST-too & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & meat.ant-LOC \\
He got the little one next, lifted him out and laid him on a nest of meat-ants too.
\end{tabular}

\section*{10.6 .4 (ii) -kwurle 'first'}

This suffix hardens to -kurle after nasals, laterals and /rr/, and undergoes cluster assimilations as follows: \(\left|\mathrm{a}^{-\mathrm{k} w u}\right|>/ \mathrm{o} /\), as in \(\mid\) awa- \({ }^{\mathrm{k} w u r l e ~} \mid>\) aworle 'he first,' and \(\left|\mathrm{u}-{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wu}\right|>/ \mathrm{oo} /\), as in \(\mid \mathrm{i}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wulku\({ }^{k}\) wurle | > iwulkoorle 'elder brother first.' Note however that 'me first' and 'you (sg) first' are ngayorle and ngunjorle respectively, indicating that the underlying shapes of the first and second person singular free pronouns end in \(|\mathrm{a}|\), not \(/ \mathrm{u} /: \mid\) ngaya-kwurle \(\mid>\) ngayorle, \(\mid\) ngunja-kwurle \(\mid>\) ngunjorle. \(^{\text {n }}\)

The suffix \({ }^{-k}\) wurle is hosted by far the most commonly by pronouns; but nouns, derived nouns, adjectives, verbs and preverbal infinitives may all host this suffix. An entity may be denoted as the first in a series, as in (10.85a), or an event may be denoted as occurring first in relation to some other event, as in (10.85b):
(10.85a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Aworle & wali, & nyangkorle, & aworlewurle & kulnmerrba \\
awa-kwurle & wali & nyangka-kwurle & awa-kwurle-kwurle & kulnmerrba \\
3aNAR-first & PERF & 3fNAR-first & 3aNAR-first-first & tail
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
rireey & nyinunanangka & mana. \\
reey-reey & nyiN=nu-na-nangka & mana \\
pluck-pluck & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST-DAT}\) & 3 mDEF \\
It was him, no it was her, it was him who first got all the fur on bis tail pulled out.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mangarriwurle & minjarl & ju, & bija & joli & ngenaal. \\
mangarri-kwurle & minjarl & ØN=yi & bija & joli & nga-nya=nu- \(\left({ }^{k} w\right)\) aal \\
food-first & eat & VCOMP=do & FUT & return & 1-OPT=be-hither
\end{tabular}

I'll come back after you've bad lunch. (Lit, 'eat lunch first, I'll come backe bere.')
Even though in (10.85a) the speaker is momentarily confused about who did what first, with the final pronoun doubly-marked with -kwurle, one of the actors is indicated as having been the first to undergo an event. In (10.85b) two events are juxtaposed, their sequence being marked again by \({ }^{-k}\) wurle. The suffix in this sentence is attached to the initial noun, but has scope over the entire clause in which it occurs. It is often unclear whether -kwurle has scope over its whole clause, or over just its host nominal. In (10.86) the pronouns at the start of each clause are marked for \(-{ }^{-}{ }^{*}\) wurle, with ambivalent scope:
(10.86a)

Ankurle kaarringerri.
\(\operatorname{arr}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}\) wurle kaarr=i-nga-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) DEF-first \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-PROG
These people are going first.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Abiorle & maanja & karlelwana, & aworle & karlelwana \\
abiya- \({ }^{\text {w wurle }}\) & maan-ya & ka=rlelwa-na & awa-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wrle & ka=rlelwa-na \\
El.Sib-first & SPEC-3a & 3a=be.born-PAST & 3aNAR-first & 3a=be.born-PAST
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ngayu } & \text { abi. } \\ \text { I } & \text { El.Sib }\end{array}\)
My only brother was born first, my big brother was born first.
When verbs \((10.87 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\) and preverbs \((10.87 \mathrm{c})\) host \(-{ }^{-k}\) wurle, the suffix's clausal scope is clear:
\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (10.87a) } & \text { Mana aja } & \text { ngadoorriwurle. }\end{array}\right]\)
(b) Bengkaalkurle.
ba=ya-kwaal-kwurle
CFT=go-hither-first
Come here first.
(c) Yorrwurle ngarrwa yorr- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurle \(\quad\) ngarr \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wa sit.together-first \(1 \mathrm{pin}=\) fall Let's sit down together first.

\section*{Eleven: Complex predicates}

\subsection*{11.1 Introduction}

Complex predicates, also called verbal compounds, constitute the commonest means of verbal expression in Worora. \({ }^{69}\) By text count such predicates are far more frequent than simplex verbal expressions. Complex predicates make use of a large and open word class that occurs in few other grammatical contexts: this is the lexical category that I will refer to as preverbal infinitives or preverbs. Worrorra preverbs are semantically verbal, while possessing syntactic properties of both nouns and adverbs. Complex predication, and the lexical category of preverbs it hosts, together constitute one of the defining features of Worrorra in typological terms, and is a characteristic areal feature of northwestern Australia generally (see eg McGregor 2002, Amberber, Baker \& Harvey (eds) 2010).

Complex predicates in Worrorra are typically composed of a preverb followed by an inflecting verb, here termed a verb classifier for reasons that will become apparent. Predicates of this type are not uncommon in Australia, where they have traditionally been referred to as compound verbs or verb-plus-auxiliary sequences (eg Capell 1976:615 ff). Other terminologies include 'root,' 'verb,' 'prefix,' 'infinitive,' 'particle,' 'uninflecting verb' and 'transparent event' for initial elements, and 'thematizing suffix,' 'root,' 'auxiliary,' 'classifier,' 'classifier complex,' 'light verb' and 'inflecting verb' for final elements (Dixon 1980:426, Nash 1982, Silverstein 1986, McGregor 1990, 2002 and references therein, and Amberber, Baker \& Harvey (eds) 2010). The preverb carries the predicate's main semantic load, while the inflecting verb characterizes the event in terms of a small set of semantic features such as transitivity, telicity and control, as well as coding argument structure, tense and so on. I will use the term 'complex predicate' to refer to the combination of two such forms in some way within a single clause to compose a single although formally complex predication.

The approach to complex predication in Worrorra that I will prefer is based on Johnson's (1987, 2007) and Lakoff and Johnson's (1980) treatment of cognition, which engages with the embodiment of mental phenomena, and emphasizes the essential unity of bodily and mental experience. This perspective involves image schemata; that is, impressions that register in a non-specific and culturally defined fashion, the partitioning of the stream of experience into segments that manifest themselves as recurring kinetic, sensory enactments, configurations and performances. This approach is favoured as well by McGregor (2002) with respect to preverb and classifier combinations in north-western Australia generally, although what I am calling event schemata he refers to as vectorial configurations. Event schemata in Worrorra, as represented by preverbs, will be understood here as sets of specific and detailed gestures and configurations that are, in McGregor's terms, immanent; that is, operating without reference to external phenomena such as is coded, importantly, by argument structure. In

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{69}\) Whether the combinations of preverb and inflecting verb described in this grammar are or are not compounded is probably a moot point. The majority of combinations described in this chapter are lexically appointed, and so meet lexical, if not phonological conditions for compound status.
}

Worrorra, argument structure is signalled entirely by the classifying verb with which preverbs are conjugated.

In the approach to generative theory taken by Jackendoff (1990) event denotation is decomposed into sets of semantic primes such as MOVE, CAUSE, BECOME, etc. Each element in such a decomposition is said to have its own argument structure which is projected upward to contribute to the argument structure of a complex predicate as a whole. This structural approach to the explication of complex predication is addressed by contributors to Amberber, Baker \& Harvey (eds) (2010), and is elaborated in contributions by Baker \& Harvey, Butt, Foley and Nordlinger, among others. The denotation of events is in this theory conceived of as having distinct tiers - importantly a thematic tier containing semantic information, and corresponding to event schemata as that term is used here; an action tier containing argument structure, an aspectual tier, and a temporal tier for coding sequential ordering. Event denotation by complex predication is said to be achieved by means of two grammatical processes - event fusion or merger unites semantic content, while argument fusion or coindexation rationalizes argument structure.

Complex predicates share with complement clause constructions in Worrorra properties that make them amenable to being treated as variations upon a single syntactic theme, or at least as showing syntactic features in common. Both constructions commonly, but not invariably, involve (i) the embedding of one predication within another, and (ii) the indexation of the embedded predicate on the matrix in undergoer position. While Lexical-Functional Theory allows complex predicates to evince two heads, and while Worrorra combinations also display two heads, there is an important difference: the preverb in Worrorra is the semantic head of the predication, while the classifying verb is its syntactic head. A large number of complex predicates in Worrorra are hypotactic: that is, the preverb appears as an object argument of its classifier. Lexical-Functional Theory admits of such hypotaxis: in that formulation, the process of argument fusion may involve a transparent event ( \(\approx\) preverb) being embedded as an argument of a light verb ( \(\approx\) classifier) (as outlined by Nordlinger 2010: 250 \& fns 18, 19 \& references therein).

Clearly this is a quite specific solution to the 'problem' of predicate linkage, and one characteristic of the language generally. To the extent that core arguments are indexed obligatorily on verbs as prefixes in \(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}\) position, this coding device is available to signal grammatical relations of a certain kind. That predicate composition should make use of this ready-made morphological facility should not be surprising. It would appear that the language construes formal relations between predicates in much the same way as it construes morphosyntactic relations generally.

McGregor (2002:245 et seq) provides a comprehensive overview of the wide range of approaches taken to describing complex predication in Australian languages. His scenario for the origins of this phenomenon in Australia includes the use of ideophones with framing verbs (2002:345) (as in, eg, 'it went bang,' 'they're making whoopee,' and so on). In transitive constructions ideophones would have constituted object complements dependent upon matrix framing verbs. The transitive matrices involved would have comprised a smallish set of fairly generic verbs of the do/say, get, put, makee variety, one or more of which would have taken on, or would have been selected because it already possessed, properties of verba dicendi. \({ }^{70}\) The resulting hypotactic constructions would have reflected what we currently find in Worrorra.

While Worrorra verb classifiers bear many of the properties also associated with auxiliaries, the term 'auxiliary' is potentially confusing in cross-linguistic contexts in Australia. The label 'auxiliary' is probably better applied to the lexical category of that name occurring in Ngumpin languages such as Warlpiri, Walmatjarri and Djaru.

An example of a complex Worrorra predicate is provided in (11.1):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(11.1) & We & ngawanerri. \\
& we & nga=b wa-na-eerri \\
lie & \(1=\) fall-TNS-PROG
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{70}\) Just as English \(g o\) and be like have recently turned into verba dicendi. Before this, go was a framing verb for ideophones in expressions like 'it went bang,' 'birds go tweet,' etc.

\section*{I'm lying down.}

The preverbal infinitive (we 'lie down') is uninflected and carries the phrase's semantic load. The verb classifier ngawanerri is inflected for predicate argument structure, tense and aspect, with scope over the entire phrase. Although a fully-lexical verb meaning 'fall' in simplex constructions, in complex constructions \(={ }^{b}\) wa contributes no lexical information apart from a general sense of telic motion. Classifying verbs are schematic to the extent that for the most part they encode only general and abstract semantic properties, namely transitivity, control, telicity, motion and radial vector dynamics, more often than not by metaphorical extension.

Their schematic nature, and the way in which they combine with preverbs, should be borne in mind when reference is made to the auxiliary functions of verb classifiers in later sections. In attempting to provide a systematic account of complex predication in Worrorra, I will use the Role-and-Reference typology proposed by Foley \& Van Valin (1984:238), consisting of a set of diagnostic criteria for classifying linked predicates and clauses. This is done on the basis of both formal, syntactic features and the semantic distinctions that motivate them. A quick outline of this theory and methodology is set out in Appendix 4. Where this analysis fails to best account for what we observe in Worrorra, I will refer to McGregor's (2002) exposition of this phenomenon in Australian languages more generally.

The Worrorra database contains 400 preverbs and 100 finite verbs, only 15 of which may serve as classifiers. By the end of the time I spent at Mowanjum I was encountering progressively fewer new finite verbs, but the rate at which I was encountering new preverbs was not diminishing. This suggests to me that a more complete lexicon might show a much higher ratio of preverbs to finite verbs.

\subsection*{11.2 Preverbs}

In languages such as English, finite and infinitive predicators are distinguishable morphosyntactically. In Worrorra these two types are distinguished lexically, in that they belong to separate lexical categories. Preverbal infinitives in Worrorra constitute a category whose members may never take finite inflexion. Members of this category denote events, actions and states, and may host aspectual derivational morphology ( \(\$ 11.3\) ); this is to say that their denotations are entirely verbal. They also have inherent gender, they may take relational suffixes and they may occur as core arguments of finite verbs. Although exhibiting both verbal and nominal characteristics, preverbs are distinct from both finite verbs as defined in Chapter Five, and nouns as defined in Chapter Four.

As predicted by McGregor (2002:324-326), and reflecting their putative ideophonic origins, Worrorra preverbs have distinctive phonotactic features that set them apart from other word classes. A higher proportion of preverbs end in consonants than do members of other word classes, including words ending in \(/ \mathrm{k} /\) (eg ajak 'sit down abruptly) and \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) (eg bariy 'rise'), a situation not found at all in other classes. And a/rr+y/ cluster is found in the preverb yorryorr 'many people sit down together,' a reduplication of yorr 'sit down together.' Everywhere else in the language this cluster is hardened to /j/ by phonological rules 11 and 15 (but compare in this regard the preverbs yurr 'pull' and its reduplication jujurr 'haul along.' Here the central cluster has not only hardened, but has induced hardening on the initial consonant as well: *yurr-yurr > *yujurr > jujurr.) The diphthong /aai/ is also found word-finally uniquely in preverbs, and a relatively high proportion of preverbs are monosyllabic.

Finite verbs may never occur in uninflected form. Finite verbs and preverbs are therefore in formal complementary distribution: the former may never occur without inflexion, while the latter may never occur with inflexion. In functional terms as well, preverbs are in complementary distribution with their finite verb classifiers. Preverbs bear the semantic load of predication, with minimal grammatical encoding, while classifiers code syntactic relations and other grammatical properties with minimal semantic input. Nearly all the finite referential apparatus that predicates require in order to consummate linguistic semiotic function is borne by classifiers in the verb phrase. Both categories are required in order to constitute a formally complex predicate.

Preverbs are, then, semantic expansions or elaborations of the finite, reference-signalling, classifier heads of verb phrases. As such, preverbs typically denote types of event: only in combination with verb classifiers do they constitute predicates capable of referring to instances of such events. This distinction between type and instance of denotation (Langacker 1991:51) will be important in the description of other properties of Worrorra predicates. Most importantly, although preverbs are the semantic heads of their phrases, they are not the syntactic heads. The verb phrase in Worrorra is a projection of the verb classifier, not the preverb (see \(\$ 5.1, \$ 11.5 .1\) ). This means that in syntactic terms preverbs are underlyingly adverbial; that is to say that they are dependent forms in the predicates in which they occur.

\subsection*{11.2.1 Bare preverbs}

One of the few situations in which preverbs may occur on their own, without the kind of referential setting otherwise provided by a classifier, is in imperative contexts, in which they function in a manner similar to that of English adverbial imperatives such as 'Quickly!' 'Careful!' 'This way!' or 'Over there!'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(11.2a) & \begin{tabular}{llll} 
Yarribaa & wurriyaal! & & Yarribaa! \\
& yarribaa \\
descend.PL & kwuN-rra=yi-aal & VCOMP-2p=do-hither & yarribaa \\
descend.PL
\end{tabular} \\
& Come down, you lot! Come down!
\end{tabular}

Ngani waju wali? Kurdu angarrwu! Kurdu! Kurdu!
ngani \({ }^{\text {kwwN-ngarr=yi wali kurdu arr-ngarr=bwu kurdu kurdu }}\)
what VCOMP-1pin=do PERF follow 3p-1pin=hit follow follow
What'll we do? Let's get after them! Chase them! Follow them!
Imperatives typically bear minimal inflexion cross-linguistically, often consisting of uninflected root morphemes. Worrorra preverbs are therefore suited to this function, although finite imperative forms are much more common here than preverbs ( \(\$ 8.5 .3\) ). In example ( 11.2 b ) the preverb wulaa 'rest' is not limited by any classifier, and the phrase wulaawirri wulaa constitutes an imperative expression distinct from the rest of the sentence. The following preverb kuman 'close (one's) eyes' is conjugated with the classifier \(=m a\) 'get' or its iterative form \(=\) mra 'gather.' Wulaa normally engages only with \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' in complex predicates.

Preverbs may very occasionally substitute for an entire complex predicate, as in (11.4) below. Constructions of this sort are quite rare, are usually indicative of extra-linguistic interference (tiredness, distraction) and only occur when all the relevant referential indices are completely recoverable from a verb or verb classifier in the immediate environment. The opposite situation, in which a verb classifier substitutes for a complex predicate, is much more common (see §11.4.1). In (11.4) the bare preverbs are minjarl 'eat' in (11.4a) and baawaa 'ascend, appear, arrive' in (11.4b):
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
(11.4a) & Arrka & karnmangku & wok & kawarrona, & ngeenya & minjarl. \\
arrka & karnmangku & wok & ka-bwarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na & ngeenya & minjarl \\
3pNAR & yam & cook & 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST & honey & eat
\end{tabular}
(b) Kaarringurru dambeem baawaa.
kaarr=i-nga-ngurru dambeem bawaa
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-away place ascend.PL
They went on and came to a camp.

\subsection*{11.2.2 Serial preverbs}

Serial preverb constructions are reasonably common, as seen in (11.5) and (11.6) below, with the paired forms we 'lie down,' kulunu 'sleep' and wulaa 'rest:'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
We & kulunu & ngawanerri. \\
we & kulunu & nga=bwa-na-eerri \\
lie.down & sleep & 1=fall-TNS-PROG \\
I'm going to & sleep. &
\end{tabular}


In serial constructions of this type one of the preverbs may appear postposed to the classifier. An example of this kind of arrangement may be seen in (5.17a) in \(\$ 5.2 .2\). Such post-position may occur for pragmatic reasons, as in (11.7) (=8.36) where the preverb kulunu 'sleep' is a purposive complement comparable in this way to the postpositional forms seen in (11.8) (below):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(11.7) & Mana & we ngarrwaarndu & kulunu. \\
mana & we ngarr=b \(w a-a a r n d u\) & kulunu \\
3 mDEF & lie 1 pin=fall-DU & sleep \\
Let's lie down here and go to sleep. &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{11.2.3 Preverbs used substantively}

Preverbs may also occur on their own when used substantively. Their use in a substantive syntax is signalled by a number of properties, among them their ability to take relational postpositions or suffixes:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(11.8a) & Kumnyaangurrurlaal & bululuku & wurloowunya. \\
kuN-Ø-mnya=kwangurru-rla-aal & bululuku & wurloo-kwunya \\
& 3w-3-DD=carry-PAST-hither & foliage & purify.by.smoke-PURP
\end{tabular}

She brought leaves and grass to perform the ceremony of purification by smoking.
(b) Nguru kanunaarndu yarrorlkunya.
nguru ka=nu-na-aarndu yarrorl-kwunya
hear 3 a=be-PAST-DU sound.of.voices-PURP
They listened for the sound of voices.
(c) Mangarri ding-ding kunjungunyarri minjarlkunya.
mangarri ding-ding kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g-a-n y a r r i \quad\) minjarl-kwunya
food ding-ding VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-1pxDAT eat-PURP
He rang the bell for us to have dinner.
None of the preverbs wurloo 'purify ritually by smoke' in (11.8a), yarrorl 'make a sound as of voices' in (b) or minjarl 'eat' in (c) are limited by any classifier, and all take a nominal purposive suffix ( \({ }^{-k}\) wunya). The reduplicated form of the construction in (11.8c) (minjarl-minjarlkunya) is translatable as 'edible,' as in (11.9):

Diwurl kanunangurraarndeerri karimbinjaa,
diwurl ka=nu-na-ngurru-aarndu-eerri karimba-inja-aa
kill.game 3a=be-PAST-away-DU-PROG bandicoot-3aDEF-and
rdungkulyinjaa, burnarri anja minjarl-minjarlkunya.
rdungkulya-inja-aa burnarri anja minjarl-minjarl-kwunya
goanna.sp-3aDEF-and animals 3pDEF eat-eat-PURP
They (dual) killed bandicoots and goannas as they went along, and other animals that are good to eat.

In substantive use, preverbs may fall under the scope of a determiner, and so may display overt gender agreement. Most but not all preverbs are celestial ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)), and may occur with a determiner of that gender:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunu & kuyoya & wali & nyijaningaayengaarndeerri. \\
wunu & kuyoya & wali & nyirr-nya=ningaaye-ng-kwarndu-eerri \\
3wDEF & whisper & PROG & \(2 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) do.MID-PRES-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}

In (11.11a \& b) the respective preverbal object arguments wala 'cry' and budurrwu 'snore' accept a determiner (wипи) as an indicator of their grammatical function as predicate arguments. The syntax of this construction is treated below in \(\S 11.5 .2\).
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(11.11a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Karle \\
karle
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) wala wunu & durrba & kumbunerri. \\
then cry & 3wDEF & durr-b wa & kuN- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na-eerri
\end{tabular}
(b) Budurrwu maa wunu kumurrkarlanangkerri.
budurrwu maa wunu kuN- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-nangka-eerri
snore PROG 3wDEF 3w-3=go.to-PAST-DAT-PROG
Hei went towards the sound of hisk snoring.
In (11.11b) the preverb budurrwu 'snore' is followed by the aspectual form maa 'PROG' and accepts a determiner of appropriate gender (celestial). As well as this, the preverb is indexed in undergoer position on the following verb, as \(k u N-\) ' 3 w .' Note that this is not a simple collocational type of composition: linkages of this type are treated in \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (iii).

The preverb ngaja in its more 'verbal' sense means something like 'be like (someone), emulate.' It has as well a nominal sense in which it translates as 'custom, tradition, precedent.' In its verbal sense its nominal properties are not evident (11.12a), but it may accept a determiner when its nominal sense meaning is in focus, even when combined directly with a verb classifier as in (11.12b).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Awa & ngaja & kaanmanga. \\
awa & ngaja & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga \\
3aNAR & emulate & 3p-INV-3= get-PAST \\
He's like them. &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangka & nyina & nyimrimaaingarrinya & wunu & ngaja & nyejamanga. \\
nyangka & nyina & nyimrimaaingarrinya & wunu & ngaja & nyaN-nyarr-nya=ma-nga \\
3fNAR & 3fDEF & python.sp & 3wDEF & emulate & 3f-1px-EP=get-PAST \\
We follow the custom established by the black-headed python. & &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{11.3 Derivational processes}

While unable to inflect, preverbs may yet undergo derivational processes in order to signal aspect. Preverbs modified for aspect constitute lexical entries distinct from their base or uninflected forms. Members of other lexical categories may also be summoned to appear in preverbal function.

\subsection*{11.3.1 Plural actors}

When a number of people perform some activity together, that activity appears to be conceived of in Worrorra as a multiplicity of separate, individual actions, constituting an essentially iterative event structure. Preverbs denoting events with multiple actors are given aspectual treatment, that is to say that such preverbs receive some kind of formal augmentation, by way of either reduplication or some

Table 11.1: plural-actor preverbs
SINGULAR PLURAL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{(a)} & & baawaa, baawaard & ascend, appear \\
\hline & baay & baawaa, baawaard & climb \\
\hline & brrak & brrabrra & wake up \\
\hline & jo & joyo & drink \\
\hline & joli & joliyoli & return \\
\hline & yorr & yorryorr & sit together \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(b)} & balya & balyaa & go and visit someone \\
\hline & yala & yalabaa & go bunting \\
\hline & yarriy & yarribaa & descend \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{(c)} & rnala & rnalala, rnalowa & make camp \\
\hline & wala & walaawirri & cry \\
\hline & yola & yolow & stand \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(d)} & darak & darari & enter \\
\hline & debarr & debadi & die \\
\hline & yawak & yawarrarra & sink underneath something \\
\hline & yawurlak & yawarrarra & sink under water, drown \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
other phonological extension, in a manner comparable to the way in which other types of aspect are marked (see \(\$ 11.3 .2\) ). Plural-actor preverbs can be seen in sentence examples (11.2a) and (11.4b) above, and (11.13) below. The plural-actor forms typically signal three or more actors, and the singular forms signal one actor. Two actors may be signalled by either form.

Some plural-actor preverbs and their singular counterparts are displayed in Table 11.1 above. Group (a) in Table 11.1 displays stem-reduplication, also used for other kinds of aspectual meaning (often iteration). Group (b) appears to show an extended form of the morpheme -bwa 'PROGRESSIVE', as does group (c), although in this group the continuous morpheme is more closely assimilated with preceding material. Group (d) displays other kinds of phonological augmentation.

Note that plural-actor forms may neutralize semantic distinctions encoded by singular-actor shapes: baa 'ascend' and baay 'climb' have the same plural-actor form, as do yawak 'sink' and yawurlake 'sink under water, drown' (cf the related and equally onomatopoeic wurluk 'swallow').

Preverbs do not bear coding features (such as number) for grammatical relations such as 'subject.' Plural-actor forms are underlyingly aspectual and denote certain kinds of event structures, not properties of arguments in subject grammatical function. As it happens, the kinds of event structures denoted by plural-actor forms take place typically when a number of instantiations of that event occur together in time and space. This semantic mismatch between coding for grammatical function and coding for predicate aspect is clearly revealed when the corresponding formal mismatch occurs. In (11.13) a plural-actor preverb accepts a singular subject argument:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Baawaard & maa & kanunaaleerri & inja & murlku. \\
baawaard & maa & ka=nu-na-aal-eerri & inja & murlku \\
ascend.PL & PROG & 3a=be-PAST-hither-PROG & 3aDEF & boil \\
Boils kept on breaking out (all over bim ). & &
\end{tabular}

The subject inja murlku 'boil' is inanimate and so has no plural shape (\$4.2.1). It also receives singular subject indexation on the verb classifier, as 3a ka-. Nevertheless, the fact that reference is being made to a multiplicity of entities is signalled by the form of the preverb. The preverb however does not itself refer directly to a multiplicity of entities; rather it codes the kind of event structure that is found when a number of instances of an event occur together in time and space. In (11.14) a singular-actor preverb (yawak 'sink down, disappear’) accepts a plural subject argument:
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
Maa-maa & dalorr & wali maa, & yawak & barrwa & marru & ke. \\
maa-maa & dalorr & wali maa & yawak & ba-ngarr=bwa & ma=rru & ke \\
3mREF-3mREF & sink.hole & PM & sink & CFT-1pin=fall & 3m=LAT & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

There's sink-boles over that way, and we might fall down one.
In this case the speaker is suggesting that only one person ('one of us') is likely to fall down a hole, ie, that it is unlikely that they would all fall down sink-holes simultaneously or even one-after-the-other. Again, the fact that reference is being made to a single event is signalled by the shape of the preverb.

\subsection*{11.3.2 Aspect}

As well as the aspectual enclitics described in Chapter Ten, an aspectual morpheme -bwa 'PROGRESSIVE' occurs as a suffix on preverbs. Its semantic range appears to be quite broad; Love (1934:108, 1939b:45) refers to its function as 'frequentative,' and in some of its occurrences it does seem to denote iterative aspect, as in rdeyi 'gash, smash open' and rdeba (<//rdeyi-bwa//) 'smash repeatedly, smash a number of things open, go along smashing things open' as seen in (11.15b) below; but such instances seem usually to result from the semantics inherent in the base or underived form. The essentially progressive, rather than iterative denotation of -bwa can be seen in (11.15a), a description of the setting sun, a continuous, uninterrupted event. In this example continuous aspect marking on both preverb and classifier is used to depict the gradual nature of the event.
(11.15a)

Yarriba nyimnyawanangurreerri.
yarriy-b \({ }^{\text {wa }} \quad\) nyiN-mnya \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wa-na-ngurru-eerri
descend-PROG \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{DD}=\) fall-TNS-away-PROG
It (the sun) was going down.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wali maa & rdeyi-rdeyi & kumbunerri, & rdeba & maa \\
wali maa & rdeyi-redyi & kuN- \(\varnothing==^{W}\) wu-na-eerri & rdeyi-bwa & maa \\
CONT & crack-crack & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) hit-PAST-PROG & crack-PROG & PROG
\end{tabular}
nyengerri.
nya=ya-nga-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-TNS-PROG
She was going along cracking them (celestial (oysters)) open as she went.

The word maa 'PROGRESSIVE' may signal progressive or extended aspect when it occurs after a preverb; examples of this use may be seen in \((11.11 \mathrm{~b}, 11.13)\) and \((11.15 b)\). Maa may also perform this function after finite verbs (example 11.6), after kaarri '3pNEG’ (11.47b) and after some adverbs, such as wali 'yet, still' (examples \(11.14,11.15 \mathrm{~b}\) ) and after jaa 'PERFECTIVE.' The use in the same sentence of \(m a a\) as a continuous aspect adverb after wali 'still,' and again after the preverb rdeba 'cracking, gashing' is shown in (11.15b).

The other kind of aspect-marking evidenced by preverbs is reduplication. Here there is stronger evidence that iteration is at least a part of the semantics of reduplicated forms. Reduplication is iconic of multiple instantiations of an event, that is to say that it is a form that lends itself naturally to iterative interpretation, as the following examples show:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Manab-manab & bamarroyeerri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ajakarri \\
manab-manab
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ba-ma-rra=bwu-yeerri
\end{tabular} \\
arr=yakarri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
arrkanangkaya \\
arr=kw-nangka-ya
\end{tabular} \\
steal-steal & CFT-VCOMP-2p=hit-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) other & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) NAR-DAT-3p
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mana-mana & kaard-kaard & kanunaarndeerri & mana & ngalarram, \\
mana-mana & kaard-kaard & ka=nu-na-aarndu-eerri & mana & ngalarram \\
3mDEF-REDUP & break.off-REDUP & 3a=be-PAST-DU-PROG & 3mDEF & long.grass
\end{tabular}
jinalya warndi-warndi kanunaarndeerri.
jinalya warndi-warndi ka=nu-na-aarndu-eerri
spear make-make \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{DU}-\mathrm{PROG}\)
Here's where they've been breaking off stalks of long grass and making toy spears.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Marno & ngarreyu & kuloorrkunya & jurujuru & ngarranoorri. \\
ma=rno & ngarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ya}\) & kuloorr-k wunya & juruk-juruk & ngarr-a=nu-oorri \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & 1pin-OPT= go & water.lily-PURP & dive-dive & 1pin-OPT=be-NUM \\
Let's all go and dive for water-lily roots. & &
\end{tabular}

Example (11.16c) demonstrates very nicely the underlying and essentially iterative nature of pluralactor aspect marking. Diving for water-lily roots (an esteemed food source) was an activity typically undertaken by women in groups, and invariably requiring a number of dives in order to bring up enough material to make the activity worthwhile. So here we can see an event being depicted as being performed by a number of actors who perform it iteratively, with the reduplicated form of the preverb serving to denote both plural-actor and iterative senses of this form of aspect marking.

However reduplication may also signal simply the extension of an event over a prolonged period of time (extended progressive aspect), as in English 'he ran and ran,' or 'she cried and cried.' Or it may simply signal continuous aspect, in which case there may be no semantic difference discernable between reduplicated forms and forms suffixed with -bwa 'PROG.' For example the preverb marnuk 'lift' has a reduplicated form marnu-marnu and a suffixed form marnowa, both coding continuous aspect and both translatable as 'carry.' Moreover these forms appear to be interchangeable:

Wiyanu marnu-marnu/marnowa kunyajingeerringkurri
wiyanu marnu-marnu/marnowa kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-eerri-kwurri
fire carry/carry VCOMP-1px=do-PAST-PROG-NUM
anja wangaya.
3pDEF women
We used to go and get firewood with the women.
In Worrorra, then, the reduplication of preverbs may signal (i) continuous aspect, (ii) extended progressive aspect, (iii) iteration or (iv) plural actors, depending upon context and more particularly upon the Aktionsart properties of the lexeme in question.

In observing the semantics of preverbal aspect it is useful to note the various forms of kayal 'hold hands.' The base form is combined with (conjugated with) the classifier =ma 'get' in a predicate meaning 'take (someone) by the hand, hold (someone's) hand.' Other shapes of kayal, bearing aspectual morphologies, combine with \(=^{{ }^{*}}\) wangurru 'carry,' which encodes the semantics of controlled or accompanying motion. So kayalwa \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'go along holding hands, hold hands while going along:'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kengurraarndeerri & kayalwa & kaanbarrkangurrurlerri \\
ka=ya-nga-ngurru-aarndu-eerri & kayal-b \({ }^{\text {wa }}\) & kaarr- \(n-{ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=k \({ }^{\text {wangurru-rla-eerri }}\) \\
3a=go-TNS-away-DU-PROG & hold.hands-PROG & 3p-INV-3p=carry-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
anja wangalaalunguyu.
3pDEF children
They both went on, leading their children by their hands.
The base shape when reduplicated yields a form which may denote multiple instantiations of events in which people hold hands, such as occurs in the description of habitual or characteristic events:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kenyini & malka & ajanaarndeerri, & karle & kayalkayal \\
ke-nyini & malka & arr-nya=nu-aarndu-eerri & karle & kayal-kayal \\
and-ENDPOINT & play & 1 px-EP=be-DU-PROG & then & hold.hand-hold.hand
\end{tabular}
ngankangurrurlu.
nga-n- = \(={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla
1-INV-3-carry-PAST
Then she always used to play with me, and she would hold my hand wherever we went.

Alternatively the reduplicated shape may denote an event in which people keep on going along holding hands for an extended period:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Kadaada & binjiyeerri & wali, & dambeem & mara \\
kadaada & ba-kuN-nja=yi-eerri & wali & dambeem & mara \\
tired & CFT-VCOMP-2=do-PROG & PERF & place & see
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
marrwaarndu,' & kunjunganangka & ngawanangka. \\
ma-ngarr=b wu-aarndu & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-a-nangka & ngawa-nangka \\
3 m-1pin=hit-DU & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT & el.sib-DAT
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kayalkayalwa & kaangurrurlerri & wali. \\
kayal-kayal-bwa & \(\mathrm{ka}-\varnothing=\mathrm{k}\) wangurru-rla-eerri & wali \\
hold.hand-hold.hand-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) carry-PAST-PROG & PERF \\
Don't get tired, let's find our way home,' said bis elder brother. He held his band as they travelled along.
\end{tabular}

The precise reference of the kind of aspect denoted by reduplication is largely dependent upon the particular semantics of the lexeme involved. The reduplicated shape of aja 'sit, stay' is ajaaja 'dwell, inhabit, abide' not with reference to a single, extended, prolonged period of sitting, but to multiple, habitual instantiations of an act that is culturally constitutive of residence. The reduplicated form of minjarl 'eat' may refer to a number of instantiations of the event (ie a plural-actor interpretation) or to a temporally extended event, that is to the consumption of a meal rather than a snack. Warnda 'make camp' is typically a collective activity in Worrorra society, but the reduplicated forms (see below) refer to one or two people camping for an extended period of time. In reduplicated forms some degree of phonological alteration often occurs. The first phoneme of the (non-reduplicated) original may be altered under reduplication, as in (a), or the final phoneme of the original may be omitted from either or both reduplicated morphemes (b):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(a)} & joli & return & joliyoli \\
\hline & yurr & pull & jujurr \\
\hline & aja & sit & ajaaja \\
\hline & jo & drink & joyo \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{(b)} & brrak & wake up & brrabrra \\
\hline & juruk & dive & jurujuru \\
\hline & baay & climb & baabaay \\
\hline & daay & pierce & daadaay \\
\hline & blaai & pound & blaablaai \\
\hline & marnuk & lift & marnumarnu \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A few preverbs exhibit alternative reduplicated shapes; one form showing a degree of phonological accommodation between the component morphemes, while the other does not:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
jurro & smoke (intr) & jurrojurro, & jurroyurro \\
malka & play & malkamalka, & malkalka \\
wala & cry & walawala, & walaala \\
warnda & camp & warndawarnda, & warndaarnda
\end{tabular}

There is some evidence to suggest the possibility that the more blended shapes on the right might refer to multiple concurrent instantiations of an event while the unblended forms refer to multiple consecutive instantiations, however this is not certain. It is more likely that these are simply variant
forms of reduplication, determined probably by speed of utterance. Example (11.21) seems to have caught the speaker in the process of selecting between synonymous alternatives:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Walawala & nyimnyanu, & walaala & nyimnyanu. \\
wala-wala & nyiN-mnya=nu & wala-wala & nyiN-mnya=nu \\
cry-cry & 3f-DD=be & cry-cry & 3f-DD=be
\end{tabular}

She cried and cried.

Aspect is registered upon preverbs independently of its registration upon the classifiers with which they are conjugated. An event may be denoted as the punctual performance of a (punctual or progressive) act, or the progressive performance of such an act. These possibilities are illustrated below in the examples in (11.22) with the preverb buluk 'look for' and the classifier =murrka 'go (telic),' and the continuous preverbal shape buluba 'look for' and its classifiers =ya 'go' and =nganyarro 'look for.' In (11.22a) both preverb and classifier are unmarked for aspect, that is, they are both interpreted as punctual forms, and in (11.22b) an unmarked preverb is combined with a classifier marked for continuous aspect. In (11.22c) a preverb marked for progressive aspect is combined with a punctual-form classifier, and in (11.22d) both preverb and classifier are marked for progressive aspect.
(11.22a) (PUNCT - PUNCT)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mee & ngunju & angujabirri & buluk & kanjamurrka & wunu? \\
mee & ngunju & angujabirri & buluk & ka-nja=murrka & wunu \\
I.don't.know & you & why & seek & 3a-2=go.to & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

Why the hell did you have to go and look for him?
(b) (PUNCT - CONT)

Wali buluk kaangamurrkerri!
wali buluk kaarr-nga=murrka-eerri
PERF seek 3p-1=go.to-PROG
I'm still looking for them!
(c) (CONT - PUNCT)
Kengerri buluba kenga ajakekarri.
ka=ya-nga-eerri buluk-bwa ka=ya-nga arr=ya-ke-karri
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-PROG seek-PROG \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS \(3 \mathrm{p}=\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-other
He set off to go and look. for all the others.
(d) (CONT - CONT)

Kaajengerri
kaarr-nya=ya-nga-eeri
3 p EP-go-TNS
seek-PROG \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) seek-PAST-PROG

They set out to go looking for it.
The reduplicated form of the preverb, bulubuluba 'look around for' denotes extended progressive aspect, and it, too, may be combined with classifiers either marked or unmarked for continuous aspect, as in (11.23).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Bulubuluba & kaarringerri & mangarriwunya. \\
buluk-buluk-bwa & kaarr=i-nga-eerri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
mangarri-kwunya
\end{tabular} \\
seek-seek-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-PROG & food-PURP \\
They were looking around for some food. &
\end{tabular}
(b) \begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngeewunya & wali & bulubuluba & arreyu. \\
ngee-kwunya & wali & buluk-buluk-bwa & arr-a=ya \\
honey-PURP & PERF & seek-seek-PROG & \(1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{OPT}=\) go \\
We'll bave a look around for some honey. &
\end{tabular}

As mentioned, preverbs constitute the only lexical category whose members are able to end in /y/ (realized as [c] word-finally, \(\S 2.5\) ) or \(/ \mathrm{k} /\), and they do so in significant numbers. There is a small amount of evidence that the phoneme \(/ \mathrm{k} /\) at the end of a preverb may actively signal punctual aspect (as opposed to punctual being the default, ie, 'no aspect' category): the preverb aja 'sit' may also occur as ajak 'sit down abruptly.' This is however the only example of a productive or ideophonic use of \(/ \mathrm{k} /\) in this setting that I have encountered.

\subsection*{11.3.3 Derived preverbs}

As already discussed in \(\$ 5.1\), a number of different lexical categories may appear in preverbal position in complex predications. When material of this sort loses morphology that it would normally have, or when it accepts a typically preverbal morphology, we are justified in treating it as preverbal by derivation. Sometimes it is apparent from their syntax that words in preverbal position are functioning as derived preverbs, as is the noun bijaku wипи 'smoke' in (11.24), here conjugated with the transitive pro-verb \(={ }^{b} w \sim\) 'hit:'
(11.24) Bijaku kaanbuna.
bijaku kaarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na
smoke 3p-INV-3=hit-PAST
She smoked them. (Ritual context)
The English verbs work' \(m\) and lose' \(m\) in (11.31a) and (11.31b) are preverbs derived syntactically, in just the same manner as the noun in (11.24). In (11.25) below, the terrestrial nouns kulum mana 'hot sand out of a fire, used to roast vegetables' and marram mana 'light' lose their gender marking suffix ( \(-m\) (a)) in preverbal position as derived preverbs. In (11.25b) marrama takes preverbal aspect-marking as well:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& (11.25 \mathrm{a}) \\
& (=5.7)
\end{aligned}
\]} & Mana & dambeem & & ingkamangaarndu. \\
\hline & mana & dambeem & kulu & i-[Ø]-ngka=ma-nga-[aarndu] \\
\hline & 3 mDEF & place & hot.sand & 3a-[3]-SJTV=get-PAST-[DUA] \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{The place where they burned bim with hot sand.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(b)} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{Marrawa nyenga. marra-bwa nya=ya-nga light-PROG \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-TNS She went about with a torch.}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Predicate adjectives may also lose gender-marking affixes in preverbal position, as does the adjective birdeen in (11.26), which would otherwise take masculine gender marking (|birdeen-ya| [small-3a] > birdeenja) in agreement with the subject of the verb:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\((11.26)\) & birdeen & bangkaninya. \\
\((=8.27 \mathrm{c})\) & birdeen & ba-ka=ni-nya \\
& small & CFT-3a=be-PAST \\
& He should bave been small.
\end{tabular}

Agreement-class indexation may also be lost from an adjective in predicate position when it functions as a preverb. Compare example (5.2) where the predicate adjective =murlooku 'well, healthy' takes an
agreement-class prefix, with (11.27) where the adjective =warr 'wrong, bad' appears without any derivational affixation at all. In this syntax =warr is conjugated with \(={ }^{b} w a\) 'fall' to produce a predicate meaning 'look/appear wrong:'
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(11.27) & Mana warr \(\quad\) mawanerri & dambeem & mana. \\
mana & warr \(\quad\) ma=bwa-na-eerri & dambeem & mana \\
3mDEF & wrong \(\quad 3 \mathrm{~m}=\) fall-TNS-PROG & place & 3 mDEF \\
& This place here doesn't look right. & &
\end{tabular}

Adverbs are the category most easily converted to use as preverbs. The word wajulu 'close' has in most of its occurrences a clearly adverbial syntax (see also ex. (5.4)):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Diyam & mamanga, & wajulu & maarndenga & awarnanya. \\
rdiyam & ma- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & wajulu & ma- \(\varnothing=\) kwarnde-nga & awa-rnanya \\
fighting.club & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) get-PAST & close & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) place-PAST & 3aNAR-LOC
\end{tabular}

He got his fighting-club and put it close by him.

But wajulu may also be used as a preverb, and in this role it is conjugated with \(=m a\) ' \(g\) get' in transitive predicates:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Maaji-maaji & wajulba & angarrbaarndeerri. \\
maaji-maaji & wajul-bwa & arr-ngarr=ma-aarndu-eerri \\
had.better-REDUP & close-PROG & 3p-1pin=get-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}

We'd better get up closer to them.

Even a directional marker such as -ngurru 'away', normally confined to verbal order class [10], may enter service as a preverb:

Kayal kamangaarndu ngurruba kaankangurrurlu.
kayal ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-aarndu ngurru- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa kaarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla
hold.hand \(3 \mathrm{a}-3=\) get-PAST-DU away-PROG \(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{INV}-3=\) take-PAST
She took them by the hand and led them away.

More commonly, the morpheme ngurru would be suffixed to the finite verb in this kind of sentence: kaankangurrurlungurru (//kaarr-n-Ø=kwangurru-rla-ngurru// [3p-INV-3=take-PAST-away]). The alternation occurs presumably in response to requirements of a discourse-pragmatic nature, such as focus.

Preverbs exhibiting aspect-marking morphology often select different classifiers to combine with, than they do when in punctual or 'base' form. Table 11.2 below lists some frequently-occurring preverbs with the classifiers they most commonly combine with. This display shows the different classifier-selection requirements of a number of preverbs according to whether or not they bear aspectual morphology. This phenomenon raises the question of the nature of the process that results in complex predicates. It will be argued in the following section that predicates of the type listed below arise out of processes that are lexical rather than syntactic. Except in certain productive combinations discussed in \(\$ 11.4 .2\), the set of classifiers with which a preverb is conjugated must be listed with that preverb as part of its entry in the lexicon. The varying selection requirements displayed by the preverbs in Table 11.2 give evidence that the aspectual and non-aspectual shapes of preverbs have different lexical specifications, that is to say that they have separate lexical entries. This in turn means that aspect marking on preverbs is a derivational rather than an inflexional process: aspectual morphology in this instance derives new lexical entries.

Table 11.2: aspect and conjugation
```

BASE-FORM PREVERB
baa 'ascend,' =ya 'go'
baay 'climb,' =nu 'be' / =ya 'go'
jiley 'carry on shoulder' = ma 'get'
jandu 'perform' =ma 'get'
jarriy 'run' kuN[]=ma 'get'
jo 'drink' =ya 'go'
joli 'return' $=n \mathrm{nu}$ 'be'
kayal 'bold hands' = ma 'get'
marnuk 'lift' =ma 'get'
minjarl 'eat' kuN[]=yi 'do'
rduwiy 'camp out' =nu 'be'
wala 'rry' kuN[]=yi 'do'/=nu 'be'
yala 'bunt' =nu 'be'
yarriy 'descend' $={ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ wa 'fall'
yawak/yawurlak 'sink' $={ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ wa 'fall'
yorr 'sit togther' $={ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ wa 'fall'

```

\section*{DERIVED PREVERB}
baawaa(rd) kuN[]=yi 'do'
baawaa(rd) kuN[]=yi 'do'
jileba =ya 'go'/=kwangurru 'carry'
jandu-jandu 'mock' =rnaarna 'await'
jarriba \(=\) ya ' \(g_{o}\) '
joyo =nu 'be'/ kuN[]=yi 'do'
joliyoli kuN[]=yi 'do'
kayalwa \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'carry'
marnu-marnu 'carry' kuN[]=yi 'do'
minjarl-minjarl =nu 'be'
rduwiba \(=\) ya ' \(g o\) '
walaawirri \(=n u\) ' \(b e\) '
yalaba/yalabaa kuN[]=yi 'do'
yarriba/yarribaa kuN[]=yi 'do'
yawarrarra kuN[]=yi 'do'
yorryorr \(=n u\) ' \(b e\) '

A direct consequence of derivations of this nature is that most complex predicates containing the preverbs listed in Table 11.2 exhibit alternations in argument structure along active/agentive or 'split S' lines (Mithun 1991). The underived or base forms of baa 'appear,' baay 'climb,' jo 'drink,' joli 'return,' yala 'go hunting,' yarriy 'descend' and yawak 'sink' are all conjugated with intransitive verb classifiers, and so require subjects in \(S\) grammatical function. Their derived aspectual forms however are characteristically conjugated with transitive classifiers and so require agentive (A) subjects. For the preverbs minjarl 'eat,' jiley 'carry (something) slung over one's shoulder' and jarriy, 'run,' the opposite situation holds: the base forms are typically conjugated with transitive classifiers and take agentive subjects, while the aspectual forms are conjugated with intransitive classifiers and take S subjects. Again this should perhaps not be surprising: as lexically derived forms, the preverbs in the right-hand column of Table 11.2 strictly speaking do not exhibit aspectual properties at all, but rather Aktionsart (lexically aspectual) properties. And it is semantic alternations of just this sort that motivate active/agentive (split S) alignment systems in other languages that exhibit them (Mithun 1991:512514).

\subsection*{11.4 Lexical status of complex predicates}

Worrorra complex predicates may be described by reference to two grammatical parameters: their lexical status and their syntactic structure. In this section I will examine evidence for the lexical status of complex predicates, and in the following section \((\$ 11.5)\), that for their structural make-up.

\subsection*{11.4.1 Verb classifiers}

At the beginning of this chapter it was noted that inflecting verbs in complex predicates serve to situate preverbs contextually within the semantic range of one of a set of generalizing lexemes. Inflecting verbs contribute a very abstract semantics to the predicates they take part in, and are essentially generalizing lexemes. In fact their semantics are so schematic that most inflecting verbs differentiate transitivity, motion, control and telicity, but not much more (see Table 11.3 below). It is this generalizing property in the majority of instances of their use that led Dixon (1976:13, 1982:225) to characterize these forms in northern Australia generally as classifying forms. Silverstein (1986) has applied this characterization to Worrorra inflecting verbs specifically:
the different [preverbs] select particular fixed and restricted sets of auxiliaries (from one to about three at most) as the range of possibilities for inflection. This means ... that in effect Worora ... [has] a system of verb classifiers. (1986:497)

In Worrorra, verb classifiers are the superordinate lexemes in a taxonomy of verbal reference (Silverstein ibid); in any particular instance of use they characterize their preverbs in terms of their sense meaning, as being one of this or that kind of event. So wok 'cook,' kurdey 'ask' and kurleen 'give birth' are all emically \(=\) 'bw 'hit [impact]' kinds of events; marnuk 'lift,' yaarn 'look for' and mariny 'gut' are all emically =ma 'get [centripetal]' kinds of events; rok 'bury,' marneen 'hang up' and wamara 'cover' are all \(=e e\) 'put [centrifugal]' kinds of events, and krewa 'walk awkwardly,' darak 'enter' and wunurr 'beg' are all \(=y a\) 'go [atelic motion]' kinds of events.

In Silverstein's view Worrorra verb classifiers are treated as the analogues of noun classifiers. In particular, an analogy is drawn between the mensural classification of nouns and the denotation of verbal aspect, as both code the kinds of intervals, 'lumps' or 'bits' in which a given (temporal or substantial) denotatum occurs. A further analogy is drawn between the sortal classification of nouns, and different types of predicate argument structure and predicate perspective (Silverstein 1986:511512). While classifiers do register a general distinction between formally transitive and intransitive predicates, these formal coding features are only imperfectly matched up to verbal semantics. For example, some etically transitive preverbs such as rdiwurl 'kill (for food, as game)' are conjugated with intransitive classifiers (in this case with \(=n u\) 'be'), and some etically intransitive preverbs such as braarr 'be illuminated' may be conjugated with the formally transitive classifier kuN[]=yi 'do' (but see §11.5.2). Many classifiers fail to register argument structure altogether, for instance the predicate minjarl kuN[]=yi 'eat' may take an object (such as mangarri 'food'), but such an object is not indexed on the classifier. When third arguments are indexed on classifiers they appear as indirect objects in order class [11]. Worrorra verb classifiers and their component semantics are displayed in Table 11.3. The translations provided there are those of the verbs when used in simplex situations.

Table 11.3: verb classifiers


It should be understood that preverbs co-occur with finite verbs in a variety of different syntactic arrangements, leaving it an open question as to which of these arrangements qualify as 'complex predicates' of the sort that might contain 'classifiers' as opposed to some other functional manifestation of the finite verb. In some of the syntactic arrangements in which preverbs and finite verbs are combined, it will be seen that inflecting verbs cannot be characterized as classifiers, even in broad terms (cf eg (11.11b)). Therefore the characterization of inflecting verbs in Worrorra as classifiers in any given construction will be contingent upon the particular syntactic environment in which the preverb and inflecting verb are linked. By and large, however (but see \(₫ 11.4 .2\) ), the forms listed in Table 11.3 do function as classifiers. The reasons for the distinction between classifying and non-classifying predicates are discussed below, and predicate linkage in general is examined in \(\$ 11.5\).

The classifiers in Table 11.3 are divided into four groups, labelled A, B, C and D. These groups reflect the number of preverbs with which the verbs in them are found to combine in the Worrorra database. There can be no doubt that this database represents a far from complete picture of traditional language use; nevertheless the patterns evident in it are indicative of tendencies that in all probability and to a greater or lesser extent must reflect the traditional language-use situation. In this case the pattern is so stark that it may be assumed that the database really does contain a reflection of traditional usage, even if the pattern to emerge from a more complete corpus might be less well defined. The six classifiers in group A each combine with between 60 and 100 preverbs in the database, while the four in group B each combine with between 10 and 15 . The ten classifiers in groups A and B constitute a classificatory system that characterizes complex predicates according to the semantics of transitivity, motion and control. Together the members of groups A and B define a semantic partitioning structure for verbal predication that accounts for the overwhelming majority of predicates, and constitutes the language's most important set of classifiers.

Both transitive and intransitive classifiers may denote motion, and motion is in turn categorized according to telicity. Transitive predicates also encode control in the form of prototypical verbs of manipulation; =ma 'get, take, pick (something) up,' or as Love (1934:91) puts it with characteristic perspicacity, 'a movement of the hands towards the body.' The complementary kind of manipulation is denoted by \(=e e\) 'place, set, lay (something) down.' These types of manipulation may be characterized as centripetal and centrifugal respectively. Because they most typically describe an actor's control over objects, they are employed in predicates involving control and causation generally. One transitive classifier, \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'carry, take' combines motion and control together in a form that denotes accompanying or controlled motion. \({ }^{71}\)

The componential analysis of classifier semantics suggested in Table 11.3 is constrained by a high degree of metaphor and metonymy in classifier selection. The semantic relation between preverb and finite verb can be absolutely clear, as in the case of the synonymous relationships in (11.22d) and (11.31c) through to almost completely opaque, as for example is the case for the preverb nguru 'hear, listen, understand' when conjugated with \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'carry, [accompanying motion].' In this instance it may be that hearing is typically conceived of as listening to speech, in which case the speaker and hearer are mutually engaged ('structurally coupled' in Maturana \& Varela's (1987) terms) in an act in which the speaker 'leads' the listener through a narrative, and the listener 'accompanies' the speaker through his or her depiction of a logically connected sequence of internal states or memories (as when in English the listener tells the speaker ' \(I\) 'm with you' meaning 'I understand'). Be that as it may, the classifier in this instance encodes only the relatively skeletal semantics of accompanying motion.

The classifiers in group C do not participate in the same classificatory system as those in groups A and B. The classifier =rnaarna 'wait for' occurs with five preverbs in the database, and its semantic contribution is very opaque indeed. It occurs with jandu-jandu 'mock, make fun of, deride' (< jandu 'perform'), jukurl-jukurl 'be delighted with' (< jukurl '(be) happy') and ngarlingka 'torment, torture.' These preverbs seem to imply a meaning of something like 'feeling' or 'sensation,' but =rnaarna is also found with kajurd 'spear' and low 'splash.' The classifier =yora 'look for' combines with murn 'look, gaze' to produce 'scan, search visually,' and possibly in its second (homonymous) sense, meaning

\footnotetext{
\(71={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'carry' is transparently composed of the pan-Australian verb stem ka- 'carry' plus the Worrorra directional -ngurru 'away.'
}
'hate,' it combines with kungurr 'stab.' The classifier \(={ }^{k}\) wana 'hold, keep, look after' combines with wurloo 'purify ritually by smoke' and with kurdurdumen 'chase' (<kurdu 'chase').

The classifiers =yoolee 'go, travel' and \(=m r a\) 'gather, collect' are quasi-aspectual variants of \(=y a\) 'go' and \(=m a\) 'get' respectively, and so have the potential to occur wherever the latter two are found. \(=\) Yoolee denotes movement in company over a reasonable distance ('walk around from place to place'), and \(=m r a\) is essentially iterative. As variants, their distributional properties are essentially or potentially similar to their corresponding group A classifiers.

\subsection*{11.4.2 Predicate composition}

Each preverb appears to be entered in the lexicon with a small set of classifiers that are part of its lexical specification (cf \(₫ 11.3 .3\) ). The set of classifiers associated with a preverb contains from one to about three members, as noted by Silverstein in the quotation above. If a preverb lists more than one classifier in its lexical entry, then those classifiers define the range of sense meanings available to the preverb. For example the preverb aja 'sit' may be denoted as a =nu 'be [state]' kind of event (SUBJ exists in sitting position); as a \(=^{b}\) wa 'fall [telic motion]' kind of event (SUBJ moves into a sitting position); or as an =ee 'put [caused]' kind of event (SUBJ causes OBJ to exist in a sitting position). To take another example, the preverb wala 'cry' has the classifiers \(=n u\) 'be' and \(=m a\) 'get' in its lexical entry. The first, intransitive classifier refers to crying as a state of being, a state into which someone enters for a period of time. While the second, transitive classifier also refers to the state, it refers as well to the reason for that state: the person over whom you are crying is indexed on the classifier in undergoer position, in an inflexional applicative process. Preverbs select classifiers from their lexical entries in a way that appears to be quite analogous to the way in which free morphemes select bound morphemes in language generally; that is to say that morphological rules appear to play a significant part here as elsewhere in the language.

Two and possibly three of the classifiers listed in Table 11.3 do not appear to be part of the lexical entry of any preverb, and in these cases classifier selection is not mandated lexically. Rather, in some contexts preverbs are able to select a default classifier.

The superordinate pro-verb \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do,' although formally transitive, may be combined with any preverb, to compose both transitive and intransitive predicates. This is the general or default classifier, and as will be seen, its default status has a clear pragmatic function. At a lower level in Table 11.3, there is a split between transitive and intransitive classifiers, with \(=^{b} w u\) 'hit' the transitive proverb, and \(=n u\) 'be, INCHOATIVE' the intransitive pro-verb. The pro-verbal status of kuN[]=yi'do' and \(=^{b} w u\) 'hit' is evident from their use with English lexemes:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Garden & mana & work' \(m\) & wurri. \\
garden & mana & work' \(m\) & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN-rra=yi \\
garden & 3mDEF & work & VCOMP-2p=do \\
Work in the garden. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kekaka & nyangka & nyinjorinya & nyangkem & kulanangka, & lose'm \\
kekaka & nyangka & nyinjorinya & nyangke-m & kula-nangka & lose'm \\
thus & 3fNAR & widow & 3fREF-? & husband-DAT & lose
\end{tabular}
ingkona.
i-Ø-ngka=bwu-na
3a-3-SJTV=hit-PAST
That's the way it was for the widow when she lost her husband.
The subordinated predicate in (11.31b) was rephrased 'correctly' as (11.31c), with the metaphor of atelic motion traditionally employed in the denotation of bereavement:
```

(11.31c) waay ingkayabuna
waay i-Ø-ngka=yabu-na
throw 3a-3-SJTV=throw-PAST
when she lost him

```

It is likely that the classifiers \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do,' \(=b w u\) 'hit' and possibly also \(=n u\) 'be' can combine with any preverb in context (for another example see (11.24) above), and so are not listed with each preverb individually. It is probably the case that these classifiers are listed elsewhere in the grammar as default selections (ie, as pro-classifiers) for all preverbs, with selection constrained in any instance by the semantics of the preverb. Although I have no clear evidence that \(=n u\) 'be' is a pro-classifier, this is likely to be the case, if only because of its symmetry with the other two default forms.

\subsection*{11.4.3 Compositional strategies: buju 'finish'}

For a more detailed study of how classifiers are associated with preverbs in the lexicon, we can look at the preverb buju 'finish,' and note the various ways, and the various argument structures, with which it is conjugated. The semantics of buju is quite close to that of its English translation, as 'something ceases to be, something goes out of existence.' Note its unconjugated use as an adverbial imperative:

> Buju door!
> Shut the door!

In this case 'door' is understood to include the opening by means of which ingress and egress is effected through a wall, as well as the artefact used to block that opening. The expression in (11.32) is a command that the addressee cause that opening to cease to exist. The following classifiers are listed with buju in the lexicon:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& ={ }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { wu 'hit }(x, y)^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{kuN}[]=\mathrm{b} w u \text { 'hit }(x, V)^{\prime} \\
& \text { kuN[]=b} \mathrm{bu}+\operatorname{DAT} \text { 'hit }(x, V(y)) \text { ' } \\
& =\text { yabu 'throw' }
\end{aligned}
\]

Buju can also be conjugated with the general pro-verbal classifiers \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' and \(=n u\) 'be,' but I will assume that these are not part of the lexical entry of buju because they seem to be able to combine with any preverb in the right context. If this is the case, then only two classifiers are specified for buju: \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit' with a number of argument structures, and =yabu 'throw.' Note that in this case \(={ }^{b} w u\) is not a default choice, rather it is in this particular instance listed with buju as that preverb's main classifier of choice.

The set of classifiers it may occur with defines the range of sense meanings able to be denoted by a preverb. When \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' is combined with buju, the preverb's most general or least precise sense is denoted: 'SUBJ performs an act that results in the extinction of some entity; SUBJ ceases some activity,' as in (11.33):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
School & buju & nyarrkunjungu & ke, & dambeenyinim \\
school & buju & ØN-nyarr-ngun=yi-ng & ke & dambee-nyini-m \\
school & finish & VCOMP-1px-SJTV=do-PAST & 3wREF & place-ENDPOINT-3m
\end{tabular}

\section*{braarr merrwuna.}
braarr ma-nyarr=bwu-na
clean \(3 \mathrm{~m}-1 \mathrm{px}=\) hit-PAST
After we'd finished school we swept the grounds.

The classifier \(=n u\) 'be' brings an inchoative semantics to predicates with buju: 'SUBJ becomes nothing, SUBJ ceases to exist, goes out of existence' as in (11.34):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyirringkaal! & Minjarl-minjarl & nyirranu & mangarri, & karle \\
nyirr=i-k waal & minjarl-minjarl & nyirr-a=nu & mangarri & karle \\
2p=go-hither & eat-eat & \(2 \mathrm{p}-\) OPT=be & food & CHANGE.OF.STATE
\end{tabular}
buju kaadingeerri!
buju kaarr=ni-ng-eerri
finish 3p=be-PRES-PROG
Come on! Come and get some food before it's all gone!
When conjugated with \(=^{b}{ }^{b} w u\) 'hit,' buju has a causative sense meaning: 'SUBJ causes OBJ to cease to exist/die:'
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mawa & warrambam & baayje & manuna, & ke & buju \\
mawa & warrambam & baay-je & \(m a=\) nu-na & ke & buju \\
3mNAR & floodwater & climb-again & \(3 m=\) be-PAST & and & finish
\end{tabular}
kaanbuna.
kaarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na
3p-INV-3=hit-PAST
The floodwaters rose bigher still, and drowned them all.
When buju is conjugated with the object-demoting verb \(k^{k} N[]={ }^{b} w u(\$ 11.5 .2)\), the sense meaning 'SUBJ ceases some activity' is created:
(11.36)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kaarrbarrkaweenerri & kaarrweenerri, & ke & buju \\
kaarr=marrkawee-na-eerri & kaarr=bwee-na-eerri & ke & buju \\
3p=fight.w.weapons-PAST-PROG & \(3 p=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG & and & finish
\end{tabular}
kubarrwuna.
\(k^{k N}\)-b \(_{\text {warr }}={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na
VCOMP-3p=hit-PAST
They were fighting each other with spears and shields, \({ }^{72}\) and then they stopped.
The complex predicate buju kuN[]=b\(=^{b} w u\) and predicates like it, with the preverb coded on the classifier in undergoer prefix position, is probably more accurately described as an agentive or \(\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{A}\) ambitransitive predicate (Dixon \& Aikhenvald 2000). Nevertheless the alternation between transitive and intransitive uses of such labile predicates in Worrorra involves the demotion or deletion of an object. So here, any such alternation where an object in a transitive clause is deleted or lost in a corresponding (semantically) intransitive clause will be referred to as an 'object-demoting' construction. The object-demoting classifier \(k u N[]={ }^{b} w u\) can be 're-transitivized,' as it were, by the indexation of an indirect or non-subcategorized object (see Chapter 13) (glossed DAT) in suffix position at order class [11]. When this form is combined with buju, the resulting predicate appears to have the same meaning as the expression buju \(=^{b} w \sim\), ie. 'SUBJ causes OBJ to cease to exist/die:'

\footnotetext{
72 = marrkawee 'fight, skirmish with offensive weapons.'
}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(11.37) & Buju & kubarrwunanangka & wara. \\
buju & kuN-bwarr=bwu-na-nangka & wara \\
& finish & VCOMP-3p=hit-PAST-DAT & kangaroo
\end{tabular}

They killed all \({ }^{3}\) the kangaroos.
The DAT morpheme -nangka in this construction indexes the object wara 'kangaroo.' The reason for an alternation between the predicates \(b_{u j u}=b_{w u}\) and \(b_{u j u} k_{u} N[]={ }^{b} w u+D A T\) is treated in \(\S 11.5 .2\) below, and is in all likelihood pragmatic, having to do with foregrounding an object (buju kuN[]=bpu+DAT) versus backgrounding an object (buju \(={ }^{b} w u\) ).

Finally the classifier \(=y a b u\) 'throw' in combination with buju creates an idiomatic expression meaning 'SUBJ eats all of [ \(\mathrm{OBJ}=\) food], SUBJ finishes off [OBJ=food],' as shown in (11.38):
\begin{tabular}{lcll} 
Bunjuma & waa & buju & bamayabeenya. \\
bunjuma & waa & buju & ba-ma- \(\varnothing=y a b u-y i n y a ~\) \\
fig & not finish & CFT-3m-3=throw-PAST \\
He didn't finish off all the figs.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{11.4.4 Productive composition}

By way of contrast to the above, some complex predicates show preverbs and finite verbs combined in ways that seem to result from processes that are productive rather than lexical. In these cases preverbs appear to select their means of finite realization on semantic grounds. This difference points to an important distinction among complex predicates, between lexical and non-lexical types of composition.

Examples of non-lexical combinations are shown in (11.39) below:
(11.39a) Nyangka aja karnaarnarlaarndeerri.
nyangka aja ka- \(\varnothing=\) rnaarna-rla-aarndu-eerri
3fNAR sit 3a-3=wait.for-PAST-DU-PROG
She was sitting waiting for them both.
(b) Jarriba kamarlaarndorna inja wara.
jarriy-b wa ka- \(\varnothing=\) marlaarndo-rna inja wara
run-PROG 3a-3=follow-PAST 3aDEF kangaroo
He ran after the kangaroo.

(d) Bariy imurrkangurru.
bariy \(i=\) murrka-ngurru
rise \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go.to-away
Get up and go over to him.

Another example of this type of composition already encountered is the combination of buluba 'seek PROG' with =nganyarro 'seek' in (11.22d). In these sentences the finite verbs do not have a generalizing

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{73}\) Buju in this context refers to the extermination of a number of entities, or a mass of entities, hence the plural denotation of wara 'kangaroo' in this sentence. The killing of just one or two kangaroos could be referred to by preverbs such as nguyul 'strike, kill,' barda 'kill,' kajurd 'spear' or rdiwurl 'kill (as game).'
}
function, and so may not function as verb classifiers. Instead they are fully lexical - see especially (11.39a), where the verb = rnaarna 'wait for' has its full or simplex meaning, which never happens when it occurs in lexical compositions. Far from being lexically bleached, these arrangements are essentially serial constructions; they contain two fully lexical predicates sharing an actor in subject (A in these sentences) grammatical function (cf Nordlinger 2010). This kind of arrangement is discussed further in \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (i) below.

This being the case, the inflecting verbs are not functioning here as classifiers: rather they bear finite coding features on behalf of the preverbs which are thereby dependent upon them. Classifiers, then, are found in lexical compositions, but not in non-lexical ones.

The potentially very productive nature of these non-lexical combinations suggests that in principle at least, just about any finite verb in the language could combine with just about any preverb if the appropriate semantic setting were presented. Nevertheless compositions of this sort are reasonably uncommon, most predicates consisting of preverb+classifier combinations that appear to have lexical status.

Worrorra also has a set of causative verbs that take complements in the form of preverbs. These are \(={ }^{k}\) wanjoo 'cause,' =yanda 'cause' and \(={ }^{k}\) warnda 'set alight.' These verbs push their undergoer arguments onto their preverbal complements, which then appear as the subjects of those complements:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Yawarrarra } & \text { kaanjiyandarla. }  \tag{11.40}\\
\text { yawarrarra } & \text { kaarr-nja=yanda-rla } \\
\text { sink.PL } & 3 \mathrm{p}-2=\text { cause-PAST } \\
\text { You caused them to drown. }
\end{array}
\]

In predicates of this sort the classifier's undergoer is interpreted as a preverbal subject. These compositions are discussed further in \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (ii).

\subsection*{11.5 Syntactic status of complex predicates}

Although complex predication might usually be thought to involve nuclear-level juncture, the linkage types under consideration here may involve juncture at either core or nuclear levels. From the discussion in \(\S 11.4\) it can be seen that Worrorra preverbs and finite verbs may be combined in a number of different syntactic arrangements.

\subsection*{11.5.1 Headedness}

The implications of the classifying status of finite verbs for the syntax of complex predicates are not insignificant. As mentioned previously ( \(\$ 4.1\) ), and as other authors point out (eg Nichols 1986:57, Langacker 1991:165), classifiers are generally the syntactic heads of their phrases. Classifiers 'determine the possibility of occurrence of a preverb in predicate function, and they determine the kind of arrangement in which a preverb appears. \({ }^{74}\) Classifiers code predicate argument structure, tense, aspect, directionality, modality, voice, number and other categories (\$5.2), and their encoding makes reference to the entire sentence in which they are found, not just to the finite verb or classifier. So although the preverb is the semantic head of the verb phrase, it is classifier that is the syntactic head, and the verb phrase is the projection of the classifier in syntax. Silverstein (1986:511-512) has remarked of Worrorra classifiers, that 'they are always present as the non-deletable component of the finite verb phrase, even where the lexical verb head of the projection does not occur.' Sentence example (11.41a) shows this phenomenon, where the preverb kurruk 'burst forth' is referred to anaphorically by the classifier maajona. The sentence that follows also shows a verb classifier used

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{74}\) Verbal auxiliaries as well are generally the heads of constructions in which they occur with main verbs.
}
anaphorically. In (11.41b) the predicate involved is warnda \(=n u\) 'dwell, inhabit,' which occurs twice. In its second occurrence it is represented anaphorically by its classifier, inflected as ngarrkeunungeerrima:
(11.41a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kurruk & mamnyona, & maajona & belangkarraya; \\
kurruk & ma- -mnya=bwu-na & ma-bwarr-nya=bwu-na & belangkarra-ya \\
burst & \(3 m-3-\) DD=hit-PAST & \(3 m-3 p-E P=h i t-P A S T\) & everyone-3p
\end{tabular}
... karle kurruk mangkaarrwuna dambeem.
karle kurruk ma-ngka-bwarr=bwu-na dambeem
... then burst \(3 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{SJTV}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit-PAST place
He burst up, they all did; ... they burst up out of the ground.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mana & waa & warnda & baadinyeerri & mana & aalmarangarrim \\
mana & waa & warnda & ba-kaarr=ni-nya-eerri & mana & aalmara-ngarri-m \\
3mDEF & not & live & CFT-3p=be-PAST-PROG & 3 3DEF & European-REL-3m
\end{tabular}
kajirn dambeem ngarrkunungeerrima.
kajirn dambeem ngarr-ngun=nu-ng-eerri-ma
like place 1 pin-SJTV=be-PRES-PROG-3m
They didn't use to live in these European-style houses that we live in.
In (11.42a) the masculine undergoer is manjawarra inja 'berry sp.,' which does not appear in this particular sequence. The preverb blaai 'pound' is conjugated with \(=_{b w u}^{b}\) 'hit' in its first two occurrences, and then with =murrka 'go (transitive, telic motion).' In this last occurrence, the classifier \(=\) murrka refers to the preverb blaai anaphorically:
(11.42a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Blaai & kawarronerri, & blaai & kawarronerri, \\
blaai & ka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr- \(-\mathrm{a}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu-na-eerri & blaai & \(\mathrm{ka}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{\text {warr- } \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}}\) wu-na-eerri \\
pound & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-E P=\) hit-PAST-PROG & pound & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-E P=\) hit-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
kawarramurrkarla barlarlonmarnanya mana maniyama.
ka-bwarr-a=murrka-rla barlarlonma-rnanya mana ma=niya-m
3a-3p-EP=go.to-PAST mortar-LOC 3mDEF 3m=good-3m
They pounded it and pounded it, they pounded it up on a good-sized grinding stone.
(b)

Wurrkunu angujakunya mara kumbunaara malyaama?
wurrkunu angujakunya mara kuN- \(\varnothing={ }^{b}\) wu-na-aara malyaama
trouble what.for see \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) hit-PAST-1DAT needlessly
Kubarrwunaara ngayunyinoo.
kuN-bwarr=b wu-na-aara ngayu-nyini-oo
3w-3p=hit-PAST-1DAT I-ENDPOINT-EMPH
What did he have to go and make trouble for me for? They made trouble for me too.
In (11.42b) the predicate mara \(=^{b}{ }^{b} u\) ' 'see, find' has the sense 'find, come across,' and the adverb malyaama has a sense meaning 'unintentionally, accidentally.' The undergoer of the predicate, wurrkunu wипи 'trouble,' is indexed on the classifier as \(3 \mathrm{w} k u \mathrm{~N}\)-. In the first sentence in ( 11.42 b ) the predicate is inflected as mara kumbunaara 'he found (trouble) for me.' In the second sentence the predicate occurs as kubarrwunaara 'They found (trouble) for me.' In this second sentence the preverb mara 'see' is
deleted and the classifier substitutes for the predicate as a whole. Another example of this phenomenon may be seen in example (11.49). These examples show classifiers used anaphorically in the same way as the English pro-verb 'do' is used in the translation of (11.41a), and as an auxiliary such as will is used in sentences like be told me not to tell you but I will. The capacity to function anaphorically in this manner is a defining characteristic of phrasal head words. An important diagnostic of phrasal headedness has to do with the determination of argument structure. As the syntactic heads of their phrases, classifiers bear properties that encode predicate valency; that is to say that the classifier encodes the argument structure of the predicate in which it occurs.

Preverbs are typically conjugated or combined with one or another of a small set of classifiers which are listed with the preverb in the lexicon. In any particular instance of use, one member of the set will be selected, usually with close or not-so-close reference to the semantic features listed in Table 11.3 in \(\S 11.4 .1\) above. Classifier selection is governed by a number of grammatical considerations, not the least of which have to do with valency.

Worrorra preverbs select classifiers with different valencies to achieve a number of different construction types. Unlike what has been proposed for some other Arafuran languages (eg Reid 2000 for Ngan'gityemerri and Schultze-Berndt 2000 for Jaminjung), Worrorra preverbs do not bear valency. As denotative types they are entirely immanent, they depict events without reference to any actordriven instantiation of those events. Rather, it is the classifier that imparts its valency to the predicate, or, as perhaps more accurately stated by McGregor (2002:266-281), it is the verb class (the 'indexed category'), of which the classifier is the lexicalization or representative, that bears valency. Either way, valency is largely, though not entirely as we shall see in Chapter 13, a property of, and encoded on, the classifier.

As an example of this, it may be interesting to observe the pragmatic motivation underlying the use of the transitive pro-verb \(=^{b}\) wu 'hit' as a valency-increasing morpheme. One of the commonest reasons for increasing the valency of a predicate is to bring an oblique or peripheral argument into its core. This type of construction is called applicative (cf eg Dixon \& Aikhenvald 1997:78, 2000), except that in Worrorra the process is syntactic rather than derivational, as is apparently more often the case elsewhere. The motivations for this choice are essentially similar to those involved in noun-verb compounding or noun incorporation in other languages, which involve pragmatic strategies for foregrounding or backgrounding predicate arguments (Mithun 1984, 1986). For instance Leeding (1996) has described how noun incorporation in Anindilyakwa may denote culturally 'institutionalized' activities (cf in English bunting for foxes or seeking attention, where the object is chosen at random so to speak, and fox-bunting and attention-seeking, where the incorporation of the object is indexical of an institutionalized cultural activity).

In order to see how this is accomplished in Worrorra, we may return to a consideration of sentence example (11.16c) in \(₫ 11.3 .2\). Note that the noun kuloorr mana 'water lily' in that example is a peripheral argument marked as such by the oblique suffix - \({ }^{-k}\) wunya 'PURPOSIVE' ( \(>\) kuloorrkunya 'for water-lily roots'). The predicate juruk \(=n u\) 'dive' is intransitive, and so its object arguments lie beyond the core and need to be marked by postposition or suffixation. In example (11.43) however, kuloorr mana 'water lily' is raised to undergoer status and brought into the core of the predicate juruk \(=^{b} p u\) 'dive.' To achieve this, the transitive pro-verbal classifier ( \(={ }^{b}{ }^{p} w u\) 'hit') is employed as a valencyaugmenting device, in order to depict an institutionalized cultural activity:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Juruk & kaadunerri, & kuloorr & maa & juruk & maarrwunerri. \\
juruk & kaarr=nu-na-eerri & kuloorr & maa & juruk & ma-bwarr=bwu-na-eerri \\
dive & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PAST-PROG & lily & 3 mREF & dive & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

They were diving, they were diving for water-lily roots.
Evidence of the core status of keuloorr is to be found in its lack of inflexion and in its indexation on the classifier \(={ }^{b} w u\) in undergoer position as 3 m ma .

Valency augmentation is also available to clarify reference, by providing the facility for a core object argument to be indexed in undergoer position in those cases where its identification would otherwise be ambiguous. As an example of this process we could take note of the preverb minjarl 'eat,'
conjugated with \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' to produce an object-demoting predicate, or in derived form as minjarlminjarl, conjugated with \(=n u\) 'be' to produce an intransitive predicate. The core objects of these predicates can only be identified compositionally, as in (11.44), where the object kumbiyanu 'yam sp.' occurs right next to the predicate:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Minjarl-minjarl } & \text { aduna } & \text { kumbiyanu. }  \tag{11.44}\\
\text { minjarl-minjarl } & \text { arr=nu-na } & \text { kumbiyanu } \\
\text { eat-eat } & 1 \mathrm{px}=\text { be-PAST } & \text { yam.sp }
\end{array}
\]

We used to eat the yams called kumbiyanu.
In more involved constructions such as (11.45), where a full object NP is not available in the immediate vicinity, and where this kind of clarity is therefore not so easily achieved, the use of the transitive pro-verb allows a distant object to be coded as the predicate's undergoer argument:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyimnyeendarla & ke & minjarl & nyimnyona. \\
nyiN- -mnya=eenda-rla & ke & \begin{tabular}{l} 
minjarl \\
nyiN- \\
-mnya=bwu-na
\end{tabular} \\
3f-3-DD=cook-PAST & and & eat & \(3 \mathrm{f}-3-\mathrm{DD}=\) hit-PAST \\
He cooked her and ate her. & & &
\end{tabular}

Use of the object-demoting expression minjarl kunjungu 'he ate' in this sentence would be as uneasy or as marked in Worrorra as would its counterpart in English: be cooked ber and then be ate.

Another function of valency augmentation is topic maintenance. Topic chaining in Worrorra commonly takes the form of verb-initial absolutive \((\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U})\) indices recurring in sequence. When this occurs the topic must be kept in \(S\) or \(U\) grammatical function if a topic shift (a pragmatically marked event) is to be avoided. Valency augmentation is one device available to hold a topic in U function. Take for example the preverb rdivurl 'kill (as game).' This form is conjugated with the classifier \(=n u\) 'be' in the vast majority of its occurrences, as for example in (11.9) in \(\$ 11.2 .3\), and its core objects are identifiable compositionally by being nearly always in immediately pre- or post-verbal position, as in (11.9). If however a speaker wishes to index the object of such a predicate in such a way that its coreferentiality with other indices in a chain is manifest, then the use of the transitive classifier will serve to raise the object to undergoer status, as in (11.46):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyangka & nyengerri, & nyimnyengerri, & ke & rdiwurl \\
nyangka & nya=ya-nga-eerri & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga-eerri & ke & rdiwurl \\
3fNAR & 3f=go-TNS-PROG & 3f-DD=go-TNS-PROG & and & kill
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
nyimbarrwunerri, & jeyi & nyimbajamanga & nyina & jimbirrij. \\
nyiN-b \({ }^{\text {warr= }}\) bu-na-eerri & jey & nyiN-bwarr-nya=ma-nga & nyina & jimbirrij \\
3f-3p=hit-PAST-PROG & spear & 3f-3p-EP=get-PAST & 3FDEF & giant.groper
\end{tabular}

She went along, she kept going along, then they killed her, they speared the giant groper.
The speaker's ability to hold the feminine index \(n y V(N)\) - in verb-initial S/U position ensures that the foregrounded, topical status of the NP nyina jimbirrij 'the giant groper' is maintained.

Having reviewed some of the pragmatic motivations involved in the selection of classifiers, it will now be useful to look at the syntactic arrangements that produce the different types of predicate in question.

\subsection*{11.5.2 Nuclear-core subordination}

Preverbs frequently function as undergoer (and less frequently subject) arguments of finite verbs. As pointed out in \(\$ 11.2 .3\), preverbs, as a lexical category of non-finite denotative types, have something in common with nouns generally. One of their most striking nominal-like attributes is their propensity
to function as predicate arguments, that is to be subordinated as arguments of a higher-level matrix predicate. In fact hypotaxis is generally the most characteristic syntax in which preverbs are found.

A preverb used substantively as the object argument of a complex predicate may be postposed to its predicate head, as in (11.47a), for discourse-pragmatic reasons:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(11.47a) & Wala & kanunerri, & durr & kumbu & wala. \\
& wala & \(\mathrm{ka}=\) nu-na-eerri & durr & kuN- \(\varnothing={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu & wala \\
cry & 3a=be-PAST-PROG & stop & VCOMP-3=hit & cry \\
He was crying, then he stopped crying. & &
\end{tabular}

Here in the second clause the preverb wala 'cry' is postposed to its predicate head (inner preverb durr 'stop' plus classifier kumbu), and a stylistically elegant construction is achieved with wala at opposite ends of the sentence. In this construction wala is a core object argument of durr kumbu 'he stopped (it),' and so is not tightly bound to the inner predicate.

Reconsider the situation of the preverb wala 'cry' in example (11.11a) in §11.2.3. In that example wala 'cry' is again the core object argument of the complex predicate durr \(=^{b} w u\) 'stop, cease (some activity).' And sentence example (11.47b) shows a different instantiation of the same construction:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kaarri maa & waa & wala & durr & bungkonya. \\
kaarri maa & waa & wala & durr & ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-nya \\
in vain & not & cry & stop & CFT-VCOMP-3=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

He wouldn't stop crying.

This section will progressively outline evidence for an analysis in which this kind of construction involves a double embedding: (i) the preverb durr 'stop' is embedded in the classifier \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit,' and (ii) the preverb wala 'cry' is embedded in turn in the complex predicate. This nested structure is shown in (11.47c):
(i) [ [ durr ] bungkonya ]
(ii) [[[wala ] durr ] bungkonya ]

Bearing in mind the embedded syntactic status of the preverb wala 'cry' in the above example, it is now appropriate to turn our attention to the first type of embedding displayed in (11.47c), the embedding of a preverb inside a classifier.

One of the commonest and most revealing predicate syntaxes in Worrorra is an object-demoting construction produced by embedding a bare nucleus (a preverb) into the core of a classifier as the undergoer argument of that classifier. Preverbs have gender ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)); most are celestial, and a few are terrestrial, eg murn 'gaze,' beruk 'finish a job of work,' kurruk 'burst up out of the ground,' laariy 'remove a scab from a sore,' maarli-maarli 'wave,' rlaa 'hold one's hand out to receive something,' and manab 'steal' (in (11.16a), indexed on the classifier \(=^{b}{ }^{\prime} w u\) 'hit' as VCOMP ma-). When a preverb is brought into a classifier's core, it is indexed on the classifier in undergoer position; a situation which can be observed in sentence examples (11.33), (11.36) and (11.37) above, where the celestial preverb buju is indexed as a 3 w undergoer ( \(k u N\) - or \(\emptyset N-\) ) and glossed VCOMP. This construction removes competing NPs from undergoer position and puts there instead a preverb, in this manner embedding the preverb within the classifier. The following examples illustrate exactly how preverbs compete with other nouns for indexation on their classifiers as undergoers. In these sentences the preverb illustrated is juman 'proceed along a line, follow a path' which is conjugated with =ma 'take, get.'

There is a common Worrorra metaphor of telling a story as procedure along a path. This metaphor is expressed by juman and also by kurdu 'follow, chase,' both of which have metaphorical as well as literal senses. The metaphor stems underlyingly from a very widespread religious narrative in which mythical (Lalai 'Dreaming') ancestors emerge out of the ground at specific places and travel along well-defined routes, creating the landscape as they go. They then either re-enter the earth or turn themselves into various features of the landscape. Religious ceremonies recount the deeds of these ancestors and culture heroes by following their progress in song from place to place along well-
defined 'dreaming trails' across the countryside. The preverbs juman and kurdu therefore have metaphorical senses meaning 'narrate, retell, recount a story in temporal sequence.' In (11.48) the preverb juman is used in a literal sense meaning 'proceed along a line,' and in this instance the subject is actually creating the line as a Dreaming ancestor:
(11.48) \([\mathrm{U}=\) mana dambeem (3m)]
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyina & dambeem & juman & mangkamanga & jimbirrij & nyangke. \\
nyina & dambeem & juman & ma-Ø-ngka=ma-nga & jimbirrij & nyangke \\
3fDEF & place & proceed & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3\)-SJTV=get-PAST & groper & 3fREF \\
It was the giant groper who made her way up through the land. & &
\end{tabular}

This sentence comes from a text describing the origin of the Prince Regent River. The Prince Regent River follows a long, straight fault line through the north-western Kimberley, and was made in the Worrorra account by an ancestral giant groper (nyina jimbirrij) ploughing her way up through the land and creating the channel for the river to run in. In (11.48) the predicate juman =ma refers to an act of not only following a line, but actually creating the line, although in the myth, as in most Worrorra myths, the event, and hence the geographical features that record the event, seem to have been in some sense pre-ordained, so that the giant groper really is following a (pre-ordained) line. The predicate's undergoer is dambeem mana 'the land,' indexed on the classifier as \(3 \mathrm{~m} m a\)-, and signalling the literal sense meaning of the predicate here. The following sentence examples employ the predicate in its metaphorical sense.
(11.49a) [U = inja joonba (3a)]
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arrkunumbalja & juman & kawarramanga & inja & joonba. \\
arr=kwunumbal-ya & juman & ka-bwarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\) ma-nga & inja & joonba \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) wunumbal-3p & follow & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) get-PAST & 3aDEF & ceremony
\end{tabular}

W unumbal people used to enact this ceremony.
(b) \(\quad[\mathrm{U}=\) 'her' (3f)]
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arrka & joonbanyini & juman & nyimbarrbanga. \\
arrka & joonba-nyini & juman & nyiN-bwarr=ma-nga \\
3pNAR & ceremony-ENDPOINT & follow & 3f-3p=get-PAST
\end{tabular}

Then they performed this ceremony about her.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(c) & {\([\mathrm{U}=\) juman \((3 \mathrm{w})]\)} & & & & \\
Anja & ardungkuleya & burnarri & nganaya & ke & juman \\
anja & arr=rnungkule-ya & burnarri & ngana-ya & ke & juman \\
3pDEF & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) ancient-3p & birds & PROB-PL & 3wREF & follow
\end{tabular}
kubarrbanga.
kuN-bwarr=ma-nga
VCOMP-3p=get-PAST
In the olden days I think it was the birds who used to tell this story.
In (11.49a) inja joonba 'ceremony' is present in the sentence and is the undergoer NP. In (11.49b) inja joonba is again a core argument, but it does not receive undergoer treatment. Instead the undergoer position is taken by another core argument, this time a human one (3f). From this we can see that the predicate juman \(=m a\), in its metaphorical sense as 'narrate, perform, act out a narrative ceremony,' has three core arguments; an agent (the narrators or performers), a theme (the ceremony) and a topicreferent (who or what the ceremony is about). In (11.49b) we can see that the topic-referent, being typically a human, a deity or a personified animal, outranks the theme for access to undergoer position. In \((11.49 \mathrm{c})\) neither theme nor topic-referent arguments are present in the sentence, and the celestial preverb juman is indexed on the classifier \(=m a\) as undergoer. The nominal-like status of juman in this sentence is signalled by its co-occurrence with the deictic ke ' 3 wREF.' The result is what I will
refer to as an object-demoting construction, in that although both the core object arguments of juman \(=m a\) have been removed, this absence of objects is not signalled morphologically, as the classifier still indexes an undergoer. It is clear from this, that there is a hierarchy of accession to undergoer status with this three-place predicate, as follows: (1) animate topic-referent, (2) inanimate theme, (3) preverb. In the absence of (1) and (2), an object-demoting expression is created automatically, by virtue of the syntactic requirement that the transitive classifier \(=m a\) 'get' must index an undergoer argument.

It is probably the case that most if not all transitive predicates need to refer to a hierarchy of this sort. The terrestrial predicate murn 'look, gaze' has \(=^{b} w u\) 'hit' and =yora 'search' listed in its lexical entry for conjugation. The predicate murn \(={ }^{b}\) bu 'gaze, look about' may take an NP undergoer, as in (11.50):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyangka & murn & kaanbuna. \\
nyangka & murn & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na
\end{tabular}

Usually, however, the terrestrial preverb murn is indexed on the classifier in undergoer position as terrestrial VCOMP ma-, and an object-demoting form results, as in (11.51). This construction is available whenever a speaker wishes to demote an object from focus or centre-stage position, and it is very frequently used.
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Mamangkunu } & \text { murn } & \text { maarrwuna. } \\ \text { mamangkunu } & \text { murn } & \text { ma-bwarr=bwu-na }\end{array}\right]\) gaze VCOMP-3p=hit-PAST

The preverb kurdu 'chase, follow' is normally conjugated with \(=b w u\) 'hit' as in (11.52a), but note how \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' may be used as a ready-made object-demoting classifier in (11.52b):
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Maniyam & maan & ngana & mana & kurdu & kungoyeerri \\
ma=niya-m & maan & ngana & mana & kurnu & kuN-nga=bwu-yeerri \\
\(3 m=\) good-3m & SPEC & probably & 3 mDEF & chase & VCOMP-1=hit-PROG
\end{tabular}
kunjungu.
kuN- - = yi-ng
VCOMP-3=do-PAST
He thought this would be a good place to go chasing after it.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wunu-wunu & angujakunya & anguja & inja & kurdu & kunjeenga \\
wunu-wunu & angujakunya & anguja & inja & kurdu & kuN-nja=yi-ng \\
3wDEF-3wDEF & what.for & something & 3aDEF & chase & VCOMP-2-do-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
wunu? & Darr & banjamnyeenba & wara & inja! \\
wunu & darr & ba-ka-nja-mnya=ee-n-ba & wara & inja \\
3wDEF & stand & CFT-3a-2-DD=place-NON.P-EP & kangaroo & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}

What'd you bave to go chasing after that thing for? You should have let the kangaroo go!
In (11.52a \& b) the speakers are interested in the actors and their trajectories, and are not at all interested in the objects. Example (11.52a) contains a main clause (kunjungu 'he thought') and a complement clause (the rest of the sentence: the third person subject of the complement clause receives formal first person singular marking). Note that the complement clause does not contain an
object: the object recoverable from the environment is inja wara 'kangaroo.' A more accurate but stilted translation of the complement clause would be, 'a good place in which to perform an act of chasing.' In (11.52b) the object (also a kangaroo) is referred to in the first sentence as anguja inja 'that something' as an indicator of its unimportance in the speaker's estimation. It is not indexed in undergoer position, as it is the inconvenience of the addressee's actions, not the fate of the kangaroo that concerns the speaker.

Sentence example (11.53) shows an object-demoting variant of the predicate seen in (11.29); here again the object is deleted as old or given information, against which the gestures and trajectories of the actors are promoted as being more important in this section of discourse:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Wajulu } & \text { kumangaalkarndeerri. }  \tag{11.53}\\
\text { wajulu } & \text { kuN- } \varnothing=\text { ma-nga- }{ }^{\text {}} \text { waal-aarndu-eerri } \\
\text { close } & \text { VCOMP-3= get-PAST-hither-DU-PROG } \\
\text { They (dual) were coming closer. }
\end{array}
\]

So far we have seen how object-demotion can be used for pragmatic purposes, that is to alter focus: to shift an object NP out of the foreground of discourse, as in sentence example (11.52b), or to remove object NPs offstage altogether, as in examples (11.51), (11.52a) and (11.53). However objectdemotion can have a syntactic discourse function as well, in those situations where there is a clear coding advantage in suppressing an undergoer. Sentence (11.54) may be taken as an example here, showing the relativization of an instrumental argument:

Karrku wunu ruluk kubarrbanga burr kaajungu.
karrku wunu ruluk kuN-bwarr=ma-nga burr ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng
rock 3 wDEF move \(3 \mathrm{w}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) get-PAST enclose VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST
They moved away the rock they'd shut them in with /
They moved away the rock with which they'd shut them in.
In this complex sentence the main clause is karrku wunu ruluk kubarrbanga 'they moved (away) the rock.' The undergoer here is karrku wипи 'the rock,' indexed on the classifier \(=m a\) 'get' as \(3 \mathrm{w} k u N\)-. There is also a subjunctive-mood subordinate relative clause, burr kaajungu 'they enclosed.' The relative clause is an object-demoting construction which indexes the preverb burr 'be enclosed' on the classifier \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' in undergoer position as \(Ø N\) - 'VCOMP.' Now the 'problem' for constructions of this sort is how to code the undergoer of the main clause, karrku wunu 'the rock,' as the head of the relative clause with an instrumental role interpretation; or put another way, how to give the subordinate clause a relative interpretation, with the matrix undergoer as its head.

The preverb burr 'be enclosed' is a state predicate with the same semantic frame as aja 'sit,' and although, like aja, it can be causativized in conjugation with the classifier \(=e e\) 'put, place' ( \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (ii)) (SUBJ cause OBJ to become enclosed), the undergoer of such a construction could only be interpreted as the patient, not as an instrumental NP. The use of such a construction (burr angkaanbarreerla 'when they had shut them in') would yield an adverbial subordinate reading of the sentence: 'they moved away the rock after they had shut them in' (see \(₫ 15.6 .1\) ). The only way to relativize a subordinate clause of the type shown in (11.54) and endow it with an instrumental head, is to use an objectdemoting construction which leaves the interpretation of headedness open to pragmatic rather than morphological cues. Syntactically, then, the only NPs in the main clause that could be candidates for head-of-relative-clause status are the subject (3p -bwarr- 'they') and the undergoer karrku wипи 'the rock.' An interpretation with the main clause subject as relative clause head ('having shut them in, they moved the rock away') does not make sense: the act of shutting them in has already occurred some time previously in the narrative, with a number of events and a good deal of text intervening. The only candidate left is the main clause undergoer, which receives instrumental interpretation by virtue of the real-world, physical properties of its denotatum. Another example of this kind of use of pragmatic implicature for argument coding may be seen in (15.41) in \(\$ 15.6 .3\).

Finally, observe the two variants in (11.55), demonstrating the predicate bloy =ma 'drop/slip,' with an active, transitive syntax in (11.55a), and the corresponding object-demoting construction in (11.55b):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Bloyba & maa & kerrbangerri. \\
bloy-bwa & maa & ka-nyarr=ma-nga-eerri \\
drop-PROG & PROG & \(3 a-1 p x=\) get-PAST-PROG \\
We couldn't hold on to him/He kept slipping out of our grasp/We dropped him.
\end{tabular}
(b) Bloy kumanga.
bloy kuN- \(=\) =ma-nga
slip VCOMP-3=get-PAST
S/he slipped.

In dispensing with an object, the construction in (11.55b) acquires a reflexive interpretation, which is completely congruent with the semantics of middle-voicing processes (including antipassivization) generally. \({ }^{75}\)

\subsection*{11.5.3 Collocation}

This section deals with the commonest, least marked type of predicate syntax in Worrorra, one which McGregor (2002) refers to as collocation. Collocation is the juxtaposition in separate packages of the lexical and grammatical components of a single predicate (idem: 266-281). In being collocated with a preverb, a classifier bestows a distinct sense meaning to it. Put another way, classifiers invoke and apply the kinds of valency and vectorial configuration schemata by means of which events are instantiated

In terms of the role-and-reference typology employed in other sections, collocation represents an instance of nuclear-nuclear subordination; that is, an arrangement where a (preverb) nucleus is embedded as an adverbial modifier of another (classifying) nucleus, so that the two form a compounded nucleus sharing all core and peripheral arguments. Consider the sentences in (11.56):


In these examples the preverbs aja 'sit' and mara 'see' modify the phrasal heads =nu 'be,' =bwa 'fall' and \({ }^{b}{ }^{b} w u\) 'hit.' That this is so, and not the other way around, is evident when we consider the syntactic status of the two elements. As phrasal heads ( \(\$ 11.5 .1\) ), the classifiers have their own lexical meaning in simplex constructions: under linkage, this lexical meaning is drastically modified. In contrast, the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{75}\) Also called 'patientive ambitransitive' and 'unaccusative' processes by different writers.
}
lexical meaning of the preverbs is in no way modified; their status as types of predicate is unaltered, classification affecting only the way in which they are instantiated, eg as atelic states (11.56a) or telic events (11.56b).

In this section however, role-and-reference typology will be suspended in favour of an analysis that highlights the classifying function of finite verbs in Worrorra. The reason for this is that this kind of predicate exhibits formal but only schematic semantic linkage; that is, their formal status as linked predicates is not reflected in their semantics. Rather than being semantically complex, their denotation is of single, simplex events, not even chained in the way that verbs in languages like Kalam are (Pawley 1993). Yet formally they are complex; classifiers may function as simplex verbs in their own right, and most perverbs may combine with more than one classifier. Semantically, then, and in terms of grammatical outcomes, collocation is not really a form of predicate linkage at all: it results in semantically simplex predicates.

In Silverstein's (1986) exposition classifiers are lexicalizations of the intensions of their categories, and as such are overt ('privileged') prototypes for systems of classification generally. By contrast nominal gender systems, for example, are covert; their intensions are not lexicalized and are only discoverable, if at all, by observing the derivational functions of their tokens. If Worrorra complex predicates really do constitute a system of verbal classification, then by Silverstein's account their classifiers should be lexicalizations of the intensional properties of the categories of which they are the superordinate lexemes (see Table 11.4 below). And if this is the case, then at least some of their lexical meanings are likely to be retained under composition. And this is indeed more or less what we find in Worrorra (see Table 11.3 in \(\$ 11.4 .1\) above). McGregor (2002:266-281) denies that classifiers have a predicative function, or that complex predicates are semantically complex. Rather, classifiers each invoke a particular kind or class of event, one with a specific valency and image schema ('vectorial configuration' in his exposition). It follows, then, that such languages should have a finite set of verb classes or categories, each headed by a particular classifier. Again, Table 11.3 in effect constitutes a list of verb classes in Worrorra, defined by the valencies and vectorial configurations they exhibit, and headed by a classifier in each case.

This arrangement may be clarified by looking at some examples. Table 11.4 presents nine of the Worrorra verb classes, excluding the universal pro-classifier \(k u N[]=y i ' d o / s a y\) ' and the members of sets \(C\) and \(D\) in Table 11.3. Next to each class is listed a small selection of the preverb members of that class.

Table 11.4: examples of verb classes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline VERB CLASS =bwu 'bit' & \begin{tabular}{l}
EXAMPLE MEMBERS \\
duk 'bit something and knock it over'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & durr 'cut' gee 'be represented totemically by OBJ' balya 'visit someone' mara 'see, find' \\
\hline = nu 'be' & nguru 'hear, listen' \\
\hline <MONOVALENT> & keekeey 'peer, peep' \\
\hline < INCHOATIVE> & \begin{tabular}{l}
imbard 'lie prostrate' \\
bakurl 'miss, pine for someone' \\
burr 'enclose'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa 'fall' & ngurak 'cross over' \\
\hline <MONOVALENT> & yala 'bunt' \\
\hline <TELIC MOTION> & balaj '(tide) ebb' balyarr 'slip' juward 'jump' darr 'stand' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline VERB CLASS & EXAMPLE MEMBERS \\
\hline =ya 'go' & rdorl 'explode, pop, crack' \\
\hline <MONOVALENT> & juward 'jump' \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{<ATELIC MOTION>} & marduk 'walk' \\
\hline & ruluk 'move' \\
\hline & wanga 'be senseless, lost' \\
\hline \(=\mathrm{ma}\) 'get' & duk 'bit something and knock it over' \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & warrey 'summon' \\
\hline <CONTROL> & wayarl 'remove' \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{<CENTRIPETAL>} & aarl 'cook food in an earth oven' \\
\hline & irri 'not want, not likee' \\
\hline =ee 'put, place' & durloo 'set down, lay out, present' \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & marneen 'bang up' \\
\hline <CONTROL> & darr 'stand' \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{<CENTRIFUGAL>} & burr 'enclose' \\
\hline & duru 'light a fire' \\
\hline = murrka 'go to OBJ' & balala 'run to meet someone' \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & baraan 'shave head for OBJ in mourning context' \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{<TELIC MOTION>} & bardi 'come home to OBJ' \\
\hline & joli 'return to OBJ' \\
\hline = yabu 'throw' & burrkay 'enquire' \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & leewurr 'turn aside' \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{<ATELIC MOTION>} & warlaai 'turn around' \\
\hline & wurluk 'swallow' \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru 'carry' & nguru 'bear, listen' \\
\hline <BIVALENT> & durloo 'set down, lay out, present' \\
\hline <CONTROL> & doori live with as wife' \\
\hline <MOTION> & iyaaw 'tell off' \\
\hline & joli 'return' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
<MONOVALENT>
<ATELIC MOTION >
\(=\mathrm{ma}\) 'get'
<BIVALENT>
<CONTROL>
<CENTRIPETAL>
```

ee put, place
CENTRIFUGAL>

```
=murrka 'go to OBJ'
<BIVALENT>
<TELIC MOTION>
=yabu 'throw'
<BIVALENT>
<ATELIC MOTION>
=kwangurru 'carry'
<COAVALEN \(>\)
<CONTROL> TION>
juward 'jump'
marduk 'walke'
ruluk 'move'
wanga 'be senseless, lost'
duk 'bit something and knock it over'
warrey 'summon'
wayarl remove
cooke food in an earth oven
durloo 'set down, lay out, present'
marneen 'hang up'
darr 'stand'
burr 'enclose'
uru 'light a fire'
balala 'run to meet someone'
baraan 'shave head for OBJ in mourning context'
bardi come home to OBJ
joli 'return to OBJ'
burrkay 'enquire'
n aside
warlaa1 turn around
nguru 'bear, listen'
durloo 'set down, lay out, present'
'ive with as mife
joli 'return'

The right-hand column headed 'example members' represents categories or classes: a group of preverbs here are all members of a particular class. In the left-hand column the features in angle brackets represent a category's intension, some of the things the members all have in common, so to speak. The classifying verb in the left-hand column is the lexicalization of this intension, and as such it is also the superordinate lexeme for its category. The features listed with each class by no means exhaust the intensional meanings of classes; rather, each set represents a skeletal outline of an intension, constrained by the limits of our experience of the language. Prolonged exposure would inevitably enable us to elaborate on the intensional meanings of Worrorra verb classes.

The most important thing to note about the above list is that class membership is determined emically; there is no point in trying to devise etic explanations for membership. Some allocations may seem fairly clear; experiences such as listening and pining for someone, states such as being enclosed, and stances such as lying prostrate may be recognized as inherently monovalent and inchoative. Likewise hunting, crossing over and the ebbing of the tide are sensibly monovalent telic movements. Yet these characterizations are by no means inevitable; we may imagine why lighting a fire involves a centrifugal schema, but it could perhaps just as easily involve a centripetal one. And it is not at all etically obvious why cooking food in an earth oven involves a centripetal schema

The second point of note is that preverbs are fairly promiscuous, most being amenable to instantiation in more than one valency and vectorial configuration.

It is instructive to consider collocation along with the second most common type of complex predicate in the language, namely nuclear-core subordination, involving object-demoting constructions ( \(\$ 11.5 .2\) ). Pairs of this sort, of the type shown in (11.55), are common, and it is worth considering what kind of process is involved in this alternation. From formal juxtaposition with a classifier (collocation), it would seem that a preverb may enter into a hypotactic relationship with the classifier, appearing in its core as an undergoer argument. Alternations of this sort are common and are pragmatically motivated.

We are now in a position to compare in more detail three common and related types of complex predicate: (i) collocated predicates, (ii) object-demoting (nuclear-core subordinate) predicates and (iii) 're-transitivized' object-demoting constructions, as seen previously in example (11.37). By way of example we may observe the preverb nguru 'hear, listen, understand,' first in collocated predicates:

\section*{Collocation}


Note that the object-demoting construction in (11.57c) is semantically equivalent to the intransitive form in (11.57a). Note also the correlation between the collocated form in (11.57b) and the retransitivized object-demoting form in (11.57d). In (11.57b) a human participant is indexed on the classifier in undergoer position as 3 a ka-. In the re-transitivized object-demoting construction, the preverb has usurped the undergoer position on the classifier, and the human argument has been pushed outward into indirect object (DAT) position. As the preverb moves into the classifier's core it dislodges the human object, which is then propelled outward from undergoer position to indirectobject position, in a chain-reaction process.

\subsection*{11.5.4 Serialization}

Some complex predicate compositions involve serializations, that is, a pair of connected events rather than a single (complex) event. In predications of this sort the preverb is dependent upon an associated finite verb for operators such as tense, directionality, illocutionary force and modality. Of course the preverb cannot be independently specified for mood; rather the whole composition must be so specified, with the result that the preverb and the finite verb share the same core operators. They also share a core argument, and all peripheral constituents and operators, and so are combined at
the core level of juncture. These productive, non-lexical compositions have been touched on in §11.4.4 above.

Serial compositions may be differentiated by reference to the way in which their finite verbs frame the event denoted by the associated preverb. One of the finite verb's arguments will be interpreted as the preverb's subject. This newly-appointed preverbal subject may be co-referential with the finite verb's actor, its undergoer, or with some other object not provided for in the finite verb's lexical entry. This last kind of argument, a non-subcategorized object, is discussed in \(\$ 13.2\).

Preverbs in serialized predicates will be referred to, then, as having subjects that are the same as, or different from that of their associated finite verbs. Different-subject compositions are commonly found in causative constructions.

\subsection*{11.5.4 (i) same-subject serialization}

The status of core-level juncture in Worrorra as the syntactic framework most suited to serial constructions is best seen when the finite verb is intransitive; then the finite verb has only one argument, which it shares with its preverb:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ajakekarri & wulaawa & kaarringa & wiyarnanya. \\
arr=ya-ke-karri & wulaa-bwa & kaarr=i-nga & wiyanu-rnanya \\
3p-L-AUG-other & rest-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS & fire-LOC \\
All the others went and lay down by the fire. &
\end{tabular}

When the finite verb is transitive, it shares its actor argument with the preverb. We have already seen some predicates of this type in (11.39) in \(₫ 11.5 .4\), and two more are shown below. In (11.59a) the predicate baay kawarraangurrurlu is the serial composition:

Kawarraarndenga nanjanma. Baay kawarraangurrurlu.
ka-warr-a=kwarnde-nga nanjan-ma baay ka-warr-a=kwangurru-rla
3a-3p-EP=place-PAST fork-EP climb 3a-3p-EP=carry-PAST
They placed him up in the branches of a tree. \({ }^{76}\) They climbed up there with him.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Manjumanjungarrim & maa & bariy & kaanmurrkarla & rlarlangkarram. \\
manju-manju-ngarri-m & maa & bariy & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla & rlarlangkarram \\
wind-wind-REL-3m & \(3 m R E F\) & rise & \(3 p-I N V-3=\) go.to-PAST & sea
\end{tabular}

The wind blew up and the sea rose up around them.
The composition in (11.59b) is the same as that seen in (11.39d) (bariy 'rise'+=murrka 'go (tr)'). In these sentences the subject of the preverb (rlarlangkarram 'the sea') is co-referential with that of the finite verb.

\section*{11.5 .4 (ii) different-subject serialization}

The subject of a preverb may be co-referential with the undergoer of its associated finite verb, as seen in the examples shown below:
(11.60a) Awanja baa kaangurrurlaal.
awanja baa ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla-aal
boy ascend 3a-3=carry-PAST-hither
He brought (=carried) the boy up.
It could be argued in (11.60a) that the subject of baa 'ascend' is ambiguous, since both the boy and the person who carried him ascended. This observation shows up the ad hoc nature of the analytical

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{76}\) Nanjan mana: 'forked branch of a tree; ladder, step, ledge.'
}
convenience of ascribing arguments to preverbs: here a carrying-and-ascending event is depicted as involving two actors; pragmatic implicature does the rest.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kanangkuja & baa & nyirnaarnarlerri. \\
kanangkuja & baa & nyiN- \(\varnothing=\) rnaarna-rla-eerri
\end{tabular}

This particular syntactic framework is tailor-made, as it were, for causative constructions. We have already seen an example of this in (11.40) in \(\$ 11.5 .4\). Some others are shown below in (11.61):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (11.61a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Wangarr nyinjandarla. \\
wangarr nyiN- \(\varnothing=\) yanda-rla \\
forget \(3 \mathrm{f}-3=\) cause-PAST \\
He made ber forget.
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline (b) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Inja imarumarulya, yorryorr \\
inja imaru-marulya yorr-yorr \\
3aDEF REDUP-round sit.together-REDUP \\
Their round cakes, which they had stacked up all together.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ingkaajandarla. \\
i-ngka-bwarr=yanda-rla \\
3a-SJTV-3p=cause-PAST
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Jawalaa kaankanjoona. \\
jawalaa kaarr-n-Ø=kwanjoo-na \\
scatter 3p-INV-3=cause-PAST \\
He made them scatter.
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The control classifiers =ma 'get' and =ee 'put' occur frequently in serial causative constructions. The transitive pro-classifier \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit' may also occur with a causative function in these predicates, as has already been seen with the preverb buju 'finish' in (11.35 =11.62):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Buju & kaanbuna. \\
buju & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na \\
finish & \(3 p-I N V-3=\) hit-PAST \\
S/he killed them.
\end{tabular}

The classifier in (11.62) has a causative sense: 'SUBJ cause OBJ to finish/cease to exist,' and so the classifier's undergoer ( 3 p kaarr-) is co-referential with the subject of the preverb buju.

Other constructions of this sort with the classifier \(=e e\) 'place, put down' also have causative meaning, as shown in (11.63) below. The preverbs burr 'be enclosed,' aja 'sit' and we 'lie down' are all intransitive state predicates when combined with intransitive classifiers: aja and burr are conjugated with \(=n u\) 'be,' and we is conjugated with \(={ }^{b} w a\) 'fall' in collocated compositions. In (11.63) they occur with \(=e e\) :
(11.63a) We kawarreerla.
we ka-bwarr=ee-rla
lie.down 3a-3p=put-PAST
They knocked him down. (ie they killed or seriously wounded him)
(b) Aja nyeerla.
aja nya- \(\varnothing=\) ee-rla
sit \(3 \mathrm{f}-3=\) put-PAST
He sat her down.
(c) \begin{tabular}{l} 
Burr anbajeerla. \\
burr \(\quad\) arr-n-bwarr-nya=ee-rla \\
enclose 1 px-INV-3p-EP=put-PAST \\
They locked us up inside.
\end{tabular}

The control classifier =ma 'get' may also be used causatively, again with preverbs that are otherwise found in intransitive collocated arrangements. The preverbs shown below are ruluk 'move,' barlkarr 'be offended' and yangarnay 'abscond.' Ruluk 'move' is conjugated with \(=y a\) 'go' in collocation, or with \(k \cdot u N[]=y i\) 'do' in nuclear-core subordinate predicates:

Ruluk bayaara.
ruluk \(\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{ya}\)-aara
move CFT=go-1DAT
Make room for me (move over for me).
(b) Ruluk jaal.
ruluk \(\quad\) ON=yi-aal
move VCOMP=do-hither
Move over this way.

However it can be causativized (transitivized) by conjugation with \(=m a\) 'get,' as has already been seen in (11.54 =11.65):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Karrku & wunu & ruluk & kubarrbanga. \\
karrku & wunu & ruluk & kuN-bwarr=ma-nga \\
rock & \(3 w D E F\) & move & \(3 w-3 p=\) get-PAST
\end{tabular}

The preverb barlkarr 'be offended' is conjugated with kuN[]=yi 'do' in nuclear-core subordination (11.66a), but with \(=m a\) 'get' in causative constructions (11.66b):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Barlkarr & kungenga. \\
barlkarr & kuN-nga=yi-ng \\
offended & VCOMP-1=do-PAST \\
I was offended.
\end{tabular}
(b) Barlkarr nganmanga.
barlkarr nga-n- \(\varnothing=m a-n g a\)
offended 1-INV-3=get-PAST
He offended me.
When the preverb yangarnay, which means something like 'disappear' or 'abscond,' is collocated with \(={ }^{b}\) wa 'fall,' it forms a complex predicate meaning 'escape' (11.67a). When causativized with =ma 'get' in serial constructions, it forms a predicate meaning 'steal,' as in (11.67b):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ininjaarndeerri & yangarnay & bangkawaardu. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) ninja-aarndu-eerri & yangarnay & \(\mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{b}\) wa-aarndu \\
3a=watch-DU-PROG & abscond & CFT-3a=fall-DU \\
Watch them so they don't escape. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Koorlangi aaya yangarnay kamangaarndu.
koorlangi aaya yangarnay ka-[Ø]=ma-nga-[aarndu]
berry.sp 3aREF abscond 3a-[3]=get-PAST-[DUA]

\section*{They have stolen the koorlangi berries.}

\section*{11.5 .4 (iii) demoted-object serialization}

Non-subcategorized objects are indexed upon verbs in order class [11], and are here glossed by the mnemonic DAT. They are essentially object arguments outranked for undergoer status by some other argument, or the undergoer arguments of formally intransitive verbs, where there is no site for their indexation in undergoer position (see \(\S 13.2\) ).

The subjects of preverbs in serial constructions may be co-referential with NPs indexed on the finite verb in order class [11] as non-subcategorized object arguments. This occurs for pragmatic and coding reasons, when a preverb dislodges a nominal object from undergoer slot on a finite verb. The erstwhile undergoer is consequently propelled or demoted into indirect object position as a DAT form.

These constructions are typically quite opaque to translation. We have already seen one in (11.11b), repeated here as (11.68a):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Budurrwu & maa & wunu & kumurrkarlanangkerri. \\
budurrwu & maa & wunu & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-nangka-eerri \\
snore & PROG & \(3 w D E F\) & \(3 w-3=\) go.to-PAST-DAT-PROG
\end{tabular}

Hek went towards the sound of hisl snoring.
Elsewhere in the grammar, DAT morphemes may signal possession (a function reflected in the English translation of (11.68a)), but in this and in the following sentence example they are used to encode grammatical relations in predicate argument structure. In (11.68a) the subject of the preverb budurvou 'snore' is indexed on the verb =murrka 'go (tr)' as a non-subcategorized object (DAT -nangka). The preverb itself is the undergoer argument of =murrka, marked by the appropriate gender index, celestial \(k u N\)-. Example (11.68a) has the logical structure shown in (11.68b):
\[
\begin{array}{clll}
=\text { murrka } & (-\varnothing-, & \text { [budurrwu } & (\text { (-nanglea) }])  \tag{11.68b}\\
\text { go.to } & \left(\mathrm{he}_{\mathrm{k}},\right. & {[\text { snore }} & \left.\left.\left(\mathrm{he}_{1}\right)\right]\right)
\end{array}
\]

Consider as well the sentence in example (11.69a):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Anguja & jurro & kunjandanangkerri? \\
angujua & jurro & kuN- \(\varnothing=y a n d a-n a n g k a-e e r r i ~\) \\
what & smoking & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) cause-DAT-PROG \\
What's he got that's making all that smoke?
\end{tabular}

In this sentence the preverb (jurro 'smoking') is again the classifier's undergoer argument, marked by celestial kuN-. The unspecified object (DAT -nangka) is co-referential with the interrogative anaphor anguja 'what?' and refers to the subject of jurro 'smoking.' Example (11.69a) has the logical structure shown in (11.69b), which is exactly the same as that shown in (11.68b):
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
=\text { yanda } & (-\varnothing \text {-, } & \text { [jurro } & \text { (anguja) ] ) }  \tag{11.69b}\\
\text { CAUSE } & \text { (he, } & \text { [smoke } & \text { (what) ] })
\end{array}
\]

This example is particularly interesting because it shows that an NP nested as an argument of another argument can be questioned, that is, extracted and preposed in an interrogative construction. English is unable to do this, which is why the translation of (11.69a) is unrevealing of its structure. A structurally more faithful but unnatural translation would be 'what is he causing the smoking of?/what is he causing to smoke?' or possibly the emphatic but pragmatically misleading 'he's causing what to smoke?’

An intransitive counterpart of this object-demoting composition, one in which a subject is demoted to DAT position, may be seen in example (12.6) in \(\$ 12.2\).

\section*{Twelve: experiencer constructions}

Experiencer constructions in Worrorra are, in general terms and for the time being, complex constructions involving one of a set of preverbs that will be referred to as sensation preverbs. These denote usually experiences or states felt by someone, or affecting someone. A stricter, more specific formulation of experiencer constructions will be offered in \(\$ 12.3\). Sensation preverbs do not always occur in experiencer constructions, nor need experiencer constructions necessarily contain sensation preverbs, although they almost always do. There are round about 24 sensation preverbs in the database, or about \(5 \%\) of all the preverbs recorded there: \({ }^{77}\)

PHYSICAL SENSATIONS
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
rdurlurr & full (of food), swollen \\
bayaa & bungry \\
bungkuru & thirsty \\
imaya & cold \\
yali & cold \\
jajarrwa & shivering, trembling \\
jarrarra & cramp \\
kadaada & tired \\
ko & tired
\end{tabular}

IRREVERSIBLE CHANGES
burnu die (euphemistic), perish
ingak die
awarl be cooked

MENTAL STATES
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
rley & aware, realize \\
laai & like, be pleased \\
larr & like, be pleased \\
=yula inja & anger \\
kulunu & sleep \\
ngambal & satisfied \\
yaji & delighted \\
bakurl & miss, be lonely for someone \\
irri & not like
\end{tabular}

NON-VOLITIONAL ACTS
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
dinjirr & sneeze \\
ngoy & breathe
\end{tabular}

AFFLICTION
kurnkarriya cold, influenza

\subsection*{12.1 Experiencers with subject coding}

Constructions involving sensation preverbs with experiencers in \(S\) grammatical function depend on the classifiers \(=n u\) 'be' or \(=^{b}{ }^{w}\) a ' 'fall.' Some examples have already been seen in (5.17a) and (11.1b), and more are shown in (17.44) and (12.1):

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{77}\) Because of the limitations of the database it is not always possible to know if a given preverb can be used in experiencer constructions.
}
(12.1a) Imaya nganungu.
imaya nga=nu-ng
cold 1=be-PRES
I'm cold.
(b) Ngambal ngunngulum maa ngunbananangka.
ngambal ngun=ngulum maa ngun \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wa-na-nangka
pleased \(2=\) stomach 3 mREF \(2=\) fall-TNS-DAT
You will feel/ are feeling pleased with/ happy about it.
(c) Yaji nyimbananangka.
yaji nyiN=bwa-na-nangka
delighted \(\quad 3 \mathrm{f}=\) fall-TNS-DAT
She was delighted with him.
(d) Kaarriyaka waa ngambal bangawanjeerri.
kaarri- \(y_{2}\) aka waa ngambal ba-nga \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wa-n-yeerri
3pNEG-EMPH not satisfied CFT-1=fall-NON.P-PROG
No way, I'm not at all happy about that.
In (12.1b) the inalienable noun =ngulum mana 'stomach,' the seat of feelings, is used either in apposition to the subject (ngun- ' 2 ' indexed on the verb \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wa 'fall'), or as an adverbial adjunct ('pleased in your stomach/heart'). The non-subcategorized (DAT) object refers to a clause in the complex sentence from which this example is taken (for the entire sentence and discussions see (13.23) in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (iii)) and (15.40a) in \(\$ 15.6 .3\) ).

Experiencers may also be encoded as transitive subjects of the object-demoting classifier \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do.' In these cases, the sensation preverb may be understood as the classifier's formal undergoer, indexed on it as \(3 \mathrm{w} k u N\) - in a nuclear-core subordinate syntax. Nevertheless, the use of an object-demoting classifier signals that these constructions are semantically objectless, that is that they are essentially intransitive. Examples are shown in (12.2):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Durlurr & kungayeerri. \\
rdurlurr & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
full & VCOMP-1=do-PROG \\
I'm full. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Yali kungayeerri.
yali kuN-nga=yi-eerri
cold VCOMP-1 \(=\) do-PROG
I'm cold.
(c) Aakuwunya bungkuru kunyajeerri.
aaku- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wunya bungkuru kuN-nyarr=yi-eerri
water-PURP thirsty VCOMP-1px=do-PROG
We're getting thirsty for water.
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { (d) Kadaada } & \text { kunjungu } & \text { nyilardu } & \text { wunu. } \\ \text { kadaada } & \text { kuN- } \varnothing=\text { yi-ng } & \text { nyiN=lardu } & \text { wunu } \\ \text { tired/aching } & \text { VCOMP-3=do-PAST } & \text { 3f=back } & \text { 3wDEF } \\ \text { Her back was aching. } & & \end{array}\)
Unfortunately I did not elicit a paradigm for the construction in (12.2d), so I cannot tell whether the possessor-experiencer (she/her) or the body-part noun (back) is indexed in A position on the verb
\(k u N[]=y i\). Options for meanings such as 'my back was aching' could be ?kadaada kunjungu ngalardu wипu with the body-part noun indexed on the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) in A position as 3 sg ; or a possessorascension construction such as ?kadaada kungenga ngalardu wunu, with the possessor-experiencer (in this case 1 sg ) raised to subject relation and indexed as such on the verb, as well as on the body-part noun. A possessor-ascension syntax in an inalienable part-whole construction may be seen in (6.27b) in \(\S 6.3\). I suspect that both the above putative constructions could be valid, either option being selected pragmatically.

Another object-demoting classifier found in the database is \(k u N[]=m a\) 'get,' with the sensation preverb ingak 'die.' Again, the preverb may be interpreted as its classifier's formal undergoer in this semantically objectless construction:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mamaamangkunu & wunu & ingak & kumnyama. \\
ma-maa-mangkunu & wunu & ingak & kuN- \(\varnothing\)-mnya=ma \\
\(\rightarrow\)-AUG-morning & \(3 w D E F\) & die & VCOMP-3-DD=get \\
In the early morning he died. & &
\end{tabular}

The inalienable noun =yula inja 'anger' may appear in experiencer constructions, functioning as if it were a sensation preverb. The noun =yula inja occurs as the undergoer of the verb =ma 'get,' indexed on it as ' 3 a ,' and with the experiencer encoded as the verb's subject. This construction has been discussed already in \(\S 6.3\), and is shown in example (6.30). The arrangement creates an expression of the form 'AGENT/EXPERIENCER grabs/gets his/her anger,' again meaning 'SUBJECT gets angry.' Its paradigm is shown in Table 12.1:

Table 12.1: =yula inja =ma 'get angry'
ngayula kangamerri I'm getting angry
nga=yula ka-nga=ma-eerri
\(1=\) anger \(3 a-1=\) get-PROG
ngunjula kanjamerri you're getting angry
iyula kamerri be's getting angry
nyinjula kamerri she's getting angry
wunjula kumerri it's getting angry (celestial)
mayula mamerri it's getting angry (terrestrial)
ngajula karrberri we're getting angry
ajula kerrberri we're getting angry
nyijula karramerri you're getting angry
ajula kawarramerri they're getting angry
Comparing this paradigm with that in Table 5.3 in \(\S 5.3 .2\), it can be seen that the inflecting verb’s \(U\) prefix is coded as 3 a ka-, and the only noun available for interpretation in this slot is =yula inja 'anger.' The non-human macrogender behaves differently here, but we will come to that below in \(\$ 12.3 .1\).

This syntax is exemplified again in (12.4), where the NP in the semantic role of THEME ('with him') is encoded as a non-subcategorized object (DAT):
```

(12.4) Arri ajula kerrbanganangkerri.
arri arr=yula ka-nyarr=ma-nga-nangka-eerri
we(exc) px=anger 3a-1px=get-PAST-DAT-PROG
We were getting angry with him.

```

By reference to Table 12.1, it is clear that the verb's 3 a undergoer ka-is in agreement here with ajula, and is not indexing the theme argument.

\subsection*{12.2 Preverbs with subject coding}

At this point we should note an idiomatic use of =yula inja 'anger.' In intransitive constructions it occurs as the subject of the complex predicate bariy \(=n u\) 'rise,' to create an idiomatic expression of the form 'SUBJECT's anger rises,' meaning 'SUBJECT gets angry,' as in (12.5):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Iyula & inja & bariy & kanunerri. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yula & inja & bariy & ka=nu-na-eerri \\
3a=anger & 3aDEF & rise & 3a=be-PAST-PROG \\
He was getting angry. & &
\end{tabular}

In these expressions the inalienable noun =yula inja is coded as the verb's subject, and the experiencer is encoded by the dependent NP index on the inalienable noun ( \(i\) ' ' \(3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{he}\), his' in 12.5).

Sentence example (12.6a) contains a demoted-subject serialization, an intransitive analogue of the demoted-object serialization process described in \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (iii). This composition links the preverbs jajarrwa 'shiver, tremble' and wala 'cry' to depict the trembling cry of a distressed baby:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(12.6a) & Wala jajarrwa kununanangka. \\
& wala & jajarrwa kuN=nu-na-nangka \\
& cry & tremble \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) be-PAST-DAT \\
& He was crying and trembling.
\end{tabular}

This sentence example is unusual in the database, in having a preverb (the celestial preverb wala 'cry') in subject grammatical relation. Wala is indexed in S position on the verb \(=n u\) 'be' as \(3 \mathrm{w} k u N\) - (cf 11.11a). A literal translation of (12.6a) is: 'his cry was trembling,' with the preverb wala promoted to subject relation under metaphorical licence. The logical structure of this sentence is captured in (12.6b):
\[
\begin{array}{cll}
\text { jajarrwa } & (\text { wala } & \text { (-nanglea)) }  \tag{12.6b}\\
\text { tremble } & (\text { cry } & \text { (he)) }
\end{array}
\]

The human experiencer, the demoted subject of wala 'cry,' appears as the non-subcategorized object of the finite verb kununanangka, indexed as DAT -nangka. Further constructions involving experiencers with object (undergoer, non-subcategorized object) verbal agreement are discussed below.

\subsection*{12.3 Experiencers with object coding}

In a stricter formulation, experiencer constructions in Worrorra may be re-defined as those in which an experiencer appears as the syntactic subject of a verb, but receives formally objective agreement marking, as either an undergoer (U) or a non-subcategorized object (DAT). \({ }^{78}\) Cross-linguistically, it is not all that unusual to find syntactic subjects being given formal marking as objects to encode the typically patientive semantic role of experiencers, and this is the case in Worrorra as well. Although displaying in these situations formal agreement as objects, they are nevertheless the syntactic subjects of their constructions. Arrangements of this sort will be treated here as experiencer constructions in a strict sense, as they exhibit a type of verbal agreement that is idiosyncratic and distinctive.

Subject arguments effected by sensations, afflictions and states of all sorts not uncommonly receive object agreement cross-linguistically. Split intransitivity case-marking systems \({ }^{79}\) regularly treat experiencer subjects in this manner (see Merlan 1985, Mithun 1991, Dixon \& Aikenvald (eds) 2000).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{78}\) Experiencers in Worrorra may also take objective case-marking without being syntactic subjects: this situation is discussed below in \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (vi). Although these are also experiencer constructions in a broad sense, they lie outside of the concerns of this chapter and are more appropriately treated in Chapter 13.
\({ }^{79}\) Also called 'active-stative,' 'active-agentive,' 'Split S' or 'Fluid S' systems among others.
}

For example Lakhota (Mithun 1991:514-515) has a first person singular morpheme ma used to mark patient undergoers in transitive expressions such as maktékete 'he'll kill me.' This shape is also used to refer to experiencer subjects in expressions such as machúwita 'I'm cold,' imaphi 'I'm full' and wamátikba 'I'm tired.' Old English did, and Icelandic still does encode experiencer subjects by means of dative case marking (Allen 1995). Early Modern English retained vestiges of dative case-marking of subjects in expressions such as methinkes 'I think,' and Modern German has expressions such as mir ist kalt 'I am cold' with the first person singular dative-case pronoun mir, or mich friert/ mich friert es 'I'm freezing,' with the first person singular accusative-case pronoun mich. \({ }^{80}\) Note that in both the Early Modern English and Modern German examples, verb agreement is for a third person singular subject (thinks, ist, friert). Allen (1995:137 footnote) accounts for this phenomenon by claiming that third person singular verb forms are unmarked forms, and as such are selected by default when no predicate argument is in a position to control verbal agreement. This is likely to be the case in Worrorra as well, where ergative third person singular subjects receive zero marking formally ( \(\$ 5.3 .2\) ).

The semantics of object agreement for experiencer subjects should be fairly clear from the Lakhota examples. Experiencers are underlying patients, who are effected by sensations, states and afflictions: they do not exhibit the characteristics of initiation, volition, performance or control typically associated with semantic subjects. This being the case, it is perhaps unsurprising to find grammars finding ways to avoid granting agentive (nominative, ergative) formal agreement to such patientive arguments. Allen (1995:321) discusses this phenomenon in Old English in terms of 'the grammatical compromise of making the topical experiencer a subject, but marking it with dative case to express [its] non-volitionality.'

\subsection*{12.3.1 Experiencers with non-subcategorized object coding}

Experiencers in Worrorra may be encoded by way of non-subcategorized object (DAT) verbal agreement marking. The following example demonstrates DAT marking of experiencers in Worrorra:
(12.7a) Durlurr kunjungaara.
rdulurr kuN-Ø-yi-ng-aara
full VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT
I'm full.
The verb classifier in (12.7a) presents three grammatical function-slots, for A, U and D. By comparison with (12.2a) we can see that the sensation preverb rdurlurr 'full' is indexed as the classifier's undergoer, as VCOMP \(k u N\)-. The experiencer receives D coding as \(1 \mathrm{DAT}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}\) wara. The A function-slot is empty: there is just no argument available that could fill it. Nevertheless the A slot may not remain formally empty, and so accepts a morpheme of the unmarked, default third person singular category, which is in this case \(\varnothing\). The paradigm of expressions of which (12.7a) is a part includes the following, with suffixed morphemes -nu '2DAT,' -nangka 'DAT' and -nangkorri '3pDAT' respectively:
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Durlurr \\
rdurlurr
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kunjunganu. \\
kuN- \\
full
\end{tabular} \\
Youi-ng-a-nu & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-2DAT
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{80}\) Of course in the second variant es 'it' is here the formal dummy subject, but note how mich 'me' takes the subject's clause-initial position, in contrast to other impersonal constructions such as es tut mir leid 'I am sorry/pained,' where dummy subject es assumes the subject's initial position.
(d) Durlurr kunjunganangkorri.
rdurlurr kuN-Ø-yi-ng-a-nangkorri
full VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-3pDAT
They're full.
This paradigm clearly shows how DAT-marked experiencer arguments take on the subject grammatical relation, where the ergative A form-order class codes for third person singular. Another example of a construction with rdurlurr may be seen in (15.24).

In (12.8) below the same considerations apply: here the sensation preverb ngoyba 'pant, breathe heavily' receives U marking, and the subject gets D marking:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngoyba & kumnyenganangka. \\
ngoy-b \({ }^{\text {wa }}\) & kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng-a-nangka \\
breath-PROG & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST-EP-DAT \\
S/he was panting / his/her breathing was laboured.
\end{tabular}

These predicates, 'be full' and 'pant,' are unequivocally monovalent, cross-linguistically. Even in a grammar that embeds a semantic predicate in its classifier as an undergoer, there is no possibility of a third argument that could be interpreted in A function.

The formally intransitive predicates laai \(=^{b} w a\) 'like' and larr \(=^{b} w a\) 'like' also obligatorily index experiencers as DAT arguments. The result is that the sensation preverbs laai 'like' and larr 'like' are found only in impersonal constructions, as seen in (12.9):
(12.9a) Laai kumbanaara.
laai kuN=b \({ }_{\text {wa-na-aara }}\)
like \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) fall-TNS-1DAT
I like it.
(b) Larr kumbananu.
larr \(\quad\) kuN \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wa-na-nu
like \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) fall-TNS-2DAT
You liked it.

These preverbs are used when the CAUSE of the experience is an event, indexed in S position as 3 w \(k u N\)-. So even here, in these apparently impersonal constructions, the reference of the \(3 \mathrm{w} S\) index is recoverable as some event in the immediate linguistic or extra-linguistic environment. Although the formal subject in these expressions is clearly 3 w 'EVENT,' the syntactic subject is equally clearly the experiencer.

\subsection*{12.3.2 Experiencers with undergoer coding}

The final kind of experiencer construction I will review here is one in which the experiencer is indexed on a transitive verb classifier as an undergoer. There is no DAT argument in these constructions and the A function-slot is marked for third person singular ( \(\varnothing\) ). This kind of construction for uncontrolled events is apparently quite common in Papuan languages (Foley 1986:121-127). In Worrorra, these constructions are found in complex predicates conjugated with \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit' and \(=m a\) 'get,' as shown in (12.10) and (12.11) respectively:
(12.10a) Imaya banganbeerri.
imaya ba-nga-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-eerri
cold CFT-1-INV-3=hit-PROG

\section*{I might get cold.}
(b) Kulunu nganbu.
kulunu nga-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu
sleep \(1-\mathrm{INV}-3=\) hit
I'm tired.
(c) Bungkuru ngunbeerri?
bungkuru ngun- \(\varnothing={ }^{b}\) wu-eerri
thirsty 2-3=hit-PROG
Are you thirsty?
(d) Dinjirr koyeerri.
dinjirr ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-yeerri
sneeze 3a-3=hit-PROG
He's sneezing.
(12.11a) Jajarrwa nganmanga.
jajarrwa nga-n- \(\varnothing=m a-n g a\)
shiver \(\quad 1-\mathrm{INV}-\varnothing=\) get-PAST
I was shivering.
(b) Jarrarra nganmerri.
jarrarra nga-n- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri cramp 1-INV-3=get-PROG
I've got a cramp.
(c) Kurnkarriya kaanmerri.
kurnkarriya kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) ma-eerri
cold 3p-INV-3=get-PROG
They've all got colds.
(d) \(\quad \mathrm{Ke}\) yali nyimanga karle wajeng.
ke yali nyiN- \(\varnothing=m a-n g a\) karle kuN-ngarr-nya=yi-ng
and cold \(3 \mathrm{f}-3=\) get-PAST then VCOMP-1pin-EP=do-PAST
We used to say, then she was getting cool.
(e) Wali maa awarl kamnyamenya wara.
wali maa awarl ka-Ø-mnya=ma-yinya wara
CONT cook 3a-3-DD=get-HORT kangaroo
Let the kangaroo keep on cooking.
The syntax of these sentences can be construed in at least two interesting ways. In the first scenario, the sensation preverb itself may be understood as the syntactic subject: this is the explanation preferred by Foley (ibid) for similar phenomena in Papua New Guinea (and cf \(\int 12.2\) above). In this explanation, sensation preverbs have nominal status as NPs in A function, in constructions of the form, 'SENSATION hits/grabs EXPERIENCER.' Constructions of this sort are not uncommon for highly patientive experiencers; in English, sensations are nominalized and granted agency status in this way, in expressions like 'panic siezed him,' 'fear overcame him,' 'relief overwhelmed me' and so on. In French, sensations may be nominalized and function as undergoers of the verb avoir 'have,' in constructions like J'ai faim 'I'm hungry' and J'ai soif 'I'm thirsty.' And in Worrorra, anger is nominalized as an inalienable noun, =yula inja, and may appear with absolutive verbal agreement as either an undergoer, as in (12.4), or an intransitive subject, as in (12.5).

Note however that in those instances in Worrorra where preverbs or sensations do unequivocally take on predicate argument functions, as seen in \((12.4,12.5)\) and \((12.6)\), they appear with absolutive indexation, that is with S or U marking, not with A marking. The most clearly nominal sensation, =yula inja 'anger' never occurs in A function as it might be expected to. This suggests another explanation for constructions of the type seen in (12.10) and (12.11), and one more in line with the observations noted about the DAT-marked subjects seen in \((12.7,12.8)\) and (12.9). And that is simply that arguments marked for absolutive agreement in undergoer position in experiencer constructions are functioning as the syntactic subjects of the sentences in which they appear. In morphological terms, this explanation implies a kind of passivization or valency reduction, in which formally transitive verbs become intransitive, and their absolutive-marked indices switch from coding U grammatical function to coding \(S\) function. This is congruent with the erstwhile marking of A function on these verbs, which is zero: in this scenario there really is no A morpheme present, as the verbs have become intransitive.

This phenomenon has been observed as well in Yawuru, where Hosokawa (1996) refers to a quasi-passive construction operating with body-part nouns. In Yawuru a verbal prefix that 'ought' to index an ergative subject, instead indexes an absolutive-case object:

Like the transitive, the core constituents are an ergative NP and an absolutive NP. Unlike the transitive, however, the verbal prefix does not agree with the ergative NP, but agrees with the undergoer. (Hosokawa 1996:165)

Recall here the phenomenon reviewed in \(\S 6.3\), in which inalienable nouns appear to change gender in agreement with a dependent NP of the non-human macrogender, as seen in Table 12.1 and in sentences such as (6.31), repeated here as (12.12):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(12.12a) & Wunu marirri & wunjula & kumerri. \\
(=6.31a) & wunu marirri & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}} \mathrm{wuN=yula}\) & \(\mathrm{kuN}-(\varnothing)=\) ma-eerri \\
& 3 wDEF parrot.sp & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) anger & \(3 \mathrm{w}-(3)=\) get-PROG \\
& The red-winged parrot is getting angry.
\end{tabular}

A more parsimonious explanation might see the verb =ma 'get' in these constructions as having reduced valency, such that the prefixed indices are now effectively in \(S\) function, showing agreement with their syntactic subjects, here wunu marrirri and mana darraanma. \({ }^{81}\) If this really is the case, then of course the subjects are in S , not U function, so their undergoer status is merely erstwhile in this context.

If it should turn out that this kind of process is indeed responsible for constructions in which experiencer subjects are found in undergoer position, then it might be expected that it could be productive, and could extend into other types of predication that involve highly patientive experiencers. And to a certain extent this is found in the database, as seen in example (12.13). This example involves the formally transitive predicate \(b_{u r n u}=^{b} w u\) 'die, perish,' with an experiencer in absolutive verbal agreement, and another transitive predicate, yawurlak =yabu, productively assembled to produce an experiencer construction meaning 'drown:'
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
1Bloy & kumanga & 2ke & rlarlangkarranyinim & burnu & ko, \\
bloy & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & ke & rlarlangkarra-nyini-m & burnu & ka- \(\varnothing=\) bwu \\
slip & VCOMP-3=get-PAST & and & sea-ENDPOINT-3m & 3wPRO & 3a-3=hit
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{81}\) Ungarinyin has an intransitive verb of this form (=ma 'do’) (Rumsey 1982:81, 157).
}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\({ }^{3}\) yawurlak & kayabuna. & \({ }^{4}\) Yawurlak & maa & kawanangurreerri \\
yawurlak & ka- \(\varnothing=\) yabu-na & yawurlak & maa & ka=bwa-na-ngurru-eerri \\
sink & 3a-3=throw-PAST & sink & PROG & 3a=fall-TNS-away-PROG
\end{tabular}
rlarlangkarram.
sea
He slipped over and perished in the sea, he drowned. He sank down under the sea.
This sequence involves four clauses, numbered with superscripts for clarity. The first clause is described in relation to ( 11.55 b ) in \(\S 11.5 .2\).

In the fourth clause the predicate yawurlak kawanangurreerri 'he sank down' is formally as well as functionally intransitive, and the terrestrial noun rlarlangkarram 'the sea' appears in a clearly locative role without suffixation, as is usual for terrestrial nouns denoting places.

And in the second clause as well, despite its lack of locative suffixation, the noun rlarlangkarram appears in the semantic role of LOCATION, and does not appear to be functioning as an agent. \({ }^{82}\) The complex predicate burnu ko 'he perished' contains the celestial pro-form burnu, here denoting disaster euphemistically as a sensation preverb, and the transitive classifier \(=^{b} p w\), with the boy indexed on it as ka- '3a' in U position but in S grammatical function.

The complex predicate in the third clause, yawurlak kayabuna 'he drowned,' is also revealing. The preverb yawurlak 'sink' does not normally function as a sensation preverb. Nor does it denote, in its usual meaning, a sensation that could be said metaphorically to impact upon an experiencer. Rather than a sensation, it denotes a state, one of descent. The transitive classifier =yabu 'throw' also conveys only the semantics of atelic motion. The motivation for projecting a state of motion into an experiencer construction is to foreground the actor's patientive status, and to dramatize the experienced nature of the event. It is therefore quite unlikely that the preverb yawurlak 'sink' occurs in A function in this predicate. Here it would appear that there is an absolutive-agreement subject in undergoer position ( \(3 \mathrm{a} k a-\) ), again involving a labile passivization process that deletes underlying \(\varnothing\) marked arguments in A function, and promotes an erstwhile U index to S grammatical function.

It is not unknown cross-linguistically for arguments to be removed from labile predicates without morphological signalling. English object-demoting expressions such as he's eating are without morphological marking, and sentences such as they're fighting can have antipassive or reflexive interpretation, again without morphological cueing.

This interpretation of the experiencer data brings the syntactic evidence into line with the semantic and pragmatic facts: experiencers in these constructions are animate and sentient, and so are clearly topical. Pragmatically, they are equally clearly terms, about which predications are being made. Both semantic and pragmatic considerations of this sort may be expected to motivate syntactic subjecthood. Objective agreement-marking of subjects in these constructions can be demonstrated with respect to DAT-marked arguments, and it is therefore conceivable that erstwhile undergoers showing absolutive verbal agreement might also be found in subject grammatical function.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{82}\) In (11.59b) the sea is not a location, but an actor.
}

\section*{Thirteen: objects and possession}

In this chapter we will look at a set of person and number suffixes occurring on pronouns, verbs and kinship nouns. On pronouns and kinship nouns they serve to mark possession, and on verbs in formorder class [11] they mark various kinds of objects (see Table 5.1 in \(\mathbb{5} .2\) ). The homophony of possessive and dative (indirect object) case markers is not uncommon in Australia, \({ }^{83}\) and this morphology will be glossed DAT for convenience. I will argue in \(\$ 13.2\) that these forms code overt syntactic dependency in both complex NPs and complex predicates. Their shapes, with glosses, are set out in Table 13.1:

Table 13.1: possessive/non-subcategorized object (DAT) suffixes
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline FUNCTION & FORM & MORPHOLOGY & GLOSS \\
\hline singular: first & \({ }^{-k}\) wara & & 1DAT \\
\hline second & -nu & & 2DAT \\
\hline third & -nangka & & DAT \\
\hline plural: first inc & -ngarri & & 1pindAt \\
\hline first exc & -nyarri & & 1pxDAT \\
\hline second & -noorri & nu-kwurri & 2pDAT \\
\hline third & -nangkorri & nangka-kwurri & 3pDAT \\
\hline dual: first inc & -ngarrerndu & ngarri-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & 1 pindat-DU \\
\hline first exc & -nyarrerndu & nyarri-kwarndu & 1pxDAT-DU \\
\hline second & -nurrerndu & [nu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri]- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & 2pDAT-DU \\
\hline third & -nangkaarndu & nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & DAT-DU \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note the following features of these forms:
- The singular and first person plural forms are unsegmentable.
- In second and third persons, plurality is marked by the collective morpheme \(-k_{\text {wurr }}\) attached to the singular shape.
- In first and second persons the dual morpheme is attached to the plural shape.
- In third persons the dual morpheme is attached to the singular shape.

Love (1934:14-15) lists a paucal ('trial') number series for these suffixes as well, with participant shapes 1 inc -ngarringkurri, 1 exc -nyarringkurri, and 2 -nurringkurri. His third person paucal shape is homophonous with the plural form. Although it is important to note that these forms are found in his grammar, as discussed in \(\$ 9.6\) they are not found in the database.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{83}\) Nor in other languages for that matter; cf this homophony in, eg, the Latin 1 st \& 5 th (singular) nominal declensions.
}

Of pronouns, only personal pronouns may host DAT morphemes, and only the third person shapes in Table 13.1 are hosted. Possessive pronouns are described in \(\S 7.7\) in Chapter Seven, and their formation will not be dealt with here.

\subsection*{13.1 Possessive constructions}

Three morphosyntactic categories may be marshalled beneath a rubric of 'possession' in Worrorra. We have already looked at the class of inalienable nouns in \(\$ 6.3\), a head-marking morphology with syntactically dependent possessors indexed by prefixation. Another head-marked morphology, this time with dependents indexed as suffixes, will be addressed below in \(\$ 13.1 .1\). These two headmarking morphologies are reserved quite specifically for what are typically body-part nouns and kinship nouns respectively (two kinds of arguably 'inalienable' possession). Alienable possession is dependent-marked; here possessive morphology attaches to the possessor, not to the thing possessed, as discussed in \(\$ 13.1 .2\). These three types of possession are summarized in Table 13.2 below:

Table 13.2: possession types
MORPHOLOGICAL TYPE
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
BODY PARTS & derivational \\
KINSHIP & inflectional \\
ELSEWHERE & inflectional
\end{tabular}
MARKEDNESS TYPE
head
head
dependent

\subsection*{13.1.1 Kinship nouns}

The suffixes in Table 13.1 may be attached to kinship nouns, to index the propositus or 'owner' of the person denoted by the relationship term. An inventory of Worrorra kinship terms is provided in Chapter 18.

In the tables that follow, the first person singular propositus ('my') shapes are the citation forms. In this chapter primary stress will be marked on kinship nouns with an acute accent, and secondary stress is taken up by unaccented long vowels, if present. This convention is aimed at making the sometimes lengthy and compositional nature of such nouns easier to read.

Table 13.3: 'mother' stem karra-
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
PROPOSITUS & 'MOTHER' & MORPHOLOGY & GLOSS \\
my & karráanya & karra-aa-nya & Mo-1DAT-3f \\
your & karránya & karra-Ø-nya & Mo-Ø-3f \\
his/ her & karranangkánya & karra-nangka-nya & Mo-DAT-3f \\
our (inc) & karraangarrínya \(\sim\) & karra-aa-ngarri-nya & Mo-1DAT-1pinDAT-3f \\
our (inc) & karráanyangarri & karra-aa-nya-ngarri & Mo-1DAT-3f-1pinDAT \\
our (exc) & karraanyarrínya \(\sim\) & karra-aa-nyarri-nya & Mo-1DAT-1pxDAT-3f \\
our (exc) & karráa(nya)nyarri & karra-aa-nya-nyarri & Mo-1DAT-3f-1pxDAT \\
your (pl) & karranóorrinya & karra-[nu-kwuri]-nya & Mo-[2pDAT]-3f \\
their & karranangkórrinya & karra-[nangka-kwurri]-nya & Mo-[3pDAT]-3f
\end{tabular}
- All forms with a first person propositus contain a segment \(-a a\)-, which I take to be a reduced version of the 1DAT morpheme - \({ }^{-}\)waral-aara in Table 13.1. This appears redundantly on first person plural forms.
- Singular kinship nouns show alternative placements of the possessive (propositus, DAT) morpheme in their first person plural shapes; one with the possessive morpheme inside, and one with it outside the gender marker. There appears to be no preference for one of these forms over the other.
- Elsewhere however the propositus marker appears inside the word-final gender marker.
- Forms with a second person singular propositus are somewhat variable: in karraanya and feminine nouns like it, it appears that the first person marker - \(a\) a- is simply dropped out of the citation shape, leaving a bare stem karra- to mark a second person propositus. Nouns that pattern like this are, among others, mangkanya 'your wife, your father's mother,' kawurlanya 'your (woman's) brother's daughter' and ngawanya 'your elder sister, your father's father's sister.' Other feminine nouns show their penultimate vowel modified to /i/: bamarinya 'your (man's) daughter, your father's sister,' and jaminya 'your mother's brother's daughter, your mother's father's sister' (cf first person propositus forms bamaraanya and jamaanya).
- Bi-morphemic second and third person plural propositus markers are enclosed within square brackets.

Table 13.4: 'father' stem irra-
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
PROPOSITUS & 'FATHER' & MORPHOLOGY & GLOSS \\
my & irráaya & irra-aa-ya & Fa-1DAT-3a \\
your & irrólija & irra-wulija & Fa-2KIN.POSS \\
bis/ her & írranangka & irra-nangka & Fa-DAT \\
our (inc) & irráangarri \(\sim\) & irra-aa-ngarri & Fa-1DAT-1pinDAT \\
our (inc) & irráayangarri & irra-aa-ya-ngarri & Fa-1DAT-3a-1pinDAT \\
our (exc) & irráanyarri \(\sim\) & irra-aa-nyarri & Fa-1DAT-1pxDAT \\
our (exc) & irráayanyarri & irra-aa-ya-nyarri & Fa-1DAT-3a-1pxDAT \\
your ( \(p\) l \()\) & irranóorri & irra-[nu-kwurri] & Fa-[2pDAT] \\
their & irranangkórri & irra-[nangka-kwurri] & Fa-[3pDAT]
\end{tabular}

In masculine singular nouns the second person singular propositus marker is reconstructable as -wulija. This morpheme does not appear to be related to any other in the language. Other nouns in the database with this suffix are kakólija 'your mother's brother,' kulélija 'your husband,' jamólija 'your mother's father, your mother's brother's son,' ngawarlólija 'your elder brother, your father's father,' and ibólija 'your (woman's) son, your husband's father.' \({ }^{84}\)

The nouns káwurla and káwurlànya 'woman's brother's son/daughter,' already commented on in \$7.7, attract propositus suffixes more transparently, as seen in Table 13.5. Here the unreduced first person singular propositus marker is apparent, with the conventional second person singular marker in the masculine noun, as seen in Table 13.1. The second singular propositus in the feminine noun is Ø-marked. The rest of the paradigm is quite transparent, with suffixes from Table 13.1 attached directly to the stem kawurla-, and the feminine suffix -nya coming word-finally.

Table 13.5: kawurla 'woman's patrilineal son,' kawurlanya 'woman's patrilineal daughter'
\begin{tabular}{rllll} 
PROPOSITUS & 'SON' & MORPHOLOGY & 'DAUGHTER' & MORPHOLOGY \\
\(m y\) & kawurláara & kawurla-kwara & kawurlanyáaranya & kawurla-nya-kwara-nya \\
your & kawurlánu & kawurla-nu & káwurlanya & kawurla-Ø-nya
\end{tabular}

Many if not most nouns denoting humans and dogs, including all kinship nouns, form plurals by stem augmentation and suffixation (see \(₫ 4.2 .1\) ). The 3 p suffix \(-y a\) is added to an augmented stem. The stem is augmented in Type 3 manner, targeting the first consonant of the noun's second syllable (see \(\S 6.2 .6\) (ii)). This consonant is copied, preposed and followed by /aa/, as shown:
\[
\varnothing \longrightarrow C_{i} a a / \#\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\$ 1 & \$_{1}
\end{array}\right] \ldots C_{i} \ldots
\]

This process produces infixes that are inserted into the stem in front of the erstwhile second syllable, as exemplified in Table 13.6:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{84}\) Corresponding to first person singular propositus forms kakeaaya, kulaaya, jamaaya, ngawaaya and ibaaya, respectively.
}

Table 13.6: stem augmentation by Type-3 infixation
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
SG NOUN & TRANS & STEM & INFIX & PL NOUN \\
kawurla & (W) BrSo & ka_wurla- & - -waa- & kawaawurlaya \\
awanja & boy & a_wa- & - waa- & awaawanja \\
ngawaaya & ElBr, FaFa & nga_wa- & - waa- & ngawaawaya \\
irraaya & Father & i_rra & -rraa- & irraarreya \\
kurruma & WiMoBr & ku_rruma- & - -rraa- & kurraarramaya \\
karraanya & Mother & ka_rra & - -rraa- & karraarreya \\
kajaaya & MoMoBr & ka_ja- & - -jaa- & kajaajeya \\
mrnangkanya & teenage girl & m_rnangka- & -rnaa- & mrnaarnangkaya \\
kanangkurri & dog & ka_nangkurr- & -naa- & kanaanangkurri \\
bamaraanya & (M)Da, FaSi & ba_mara- & -maa- & bamaamareya \\
kakaaya & MoBr & ka_ka- & -kaa- & kakaakaya \\
nyangkanya & girl & nya_ngka- & -ngkaa- & nyangkaangkaya
\end{tabular}

As indicated in \(₫ 2.2\), and as seen here in the word nyangkaangkaya 'girls,' this morphology treats the prenasalized stop / ngk/ as a unit phoneme for the purposes of stem augmentation: the stem appears to be syllabified as indicated in Table 13.6. This phenomenon is seen again in the plural stem mangkaangka- (|ma-ngkaa-ngka-|) 'wives' in example (13.1) below.

Although phrases like 'my mothers' or 'my fathers' may seem odd in English, Worrorra kinship nouns denote sets of classificatory relations (such as 'mothers'), as well as a single socially and emotionally important individual. Therefore all kinship nouns in Worrorra have frequently-used plural forms. Propositus markers are suffixed to the augmented stems of plural kinship nouns before the plural suffix -ya is attached, as seen in Table 13.7:

Table 13.7: 'mothers' and 'fathers'
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
PROPOSITUS & 'MOTHERS' & 'FATHERS' & MORPHOLOGY (i-rra-) \\
my & karraarréya & irraarréya & i-rraa-rra-aa-ya \\
your & karraarraníya & irraarraníya & i-rraa-rra-nu-ya \\
bis/her & karraarranangkáya & irraarranangkáya & i-rraa-rra-nangka-ya \\
our (inc) & karráarrangarri & irráarrangarri & i-rraa-rra-ngarri \\
our (exc) & karráarranyarri & irráarranyarri & i-rraa-rra-nyarri \\
your ( \(l\) l \()\) & karraarranóorri & irraarranóorri & i-rraa-rra-[nu-kwurri] \\
their & karraarranangkórri & irraarranangkórri & i-rraa-rra-[nangka-kwurri]
\end{tabular}
- The plural marker \(-y a\) and the word-final segment -rri are in complementary distribution in these forms.
- The underlying first person singular propositus marker -aa- (from -aara from -kwara) is fronted to -ébefore \(/ \mathrm{y} /\).
- Likewise the second person singular propositus marker -nu appears as -ni before /y/.
- The first person singular propositus marker -aa-is omitted from first person plural forms.

Duality is an important social concept in Worrorra, and two people may frequently be referred to as the propositi of a kinship relation.

Table 13.8: 'two people's mother'
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
PROPOSITUS & 'MOTHER' \\
our (dual inc) & karraangarrérndinya \\
our (dual exc) & karraanyarrérndinya \\
your (dual) & karranurrérndinya \\
their (dual) & karranangkáarndinya
\end{tabular}

\section*{MORPHOLOGY}
karra-[aa-ngarri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu]-nya
karra-[aa-nyarri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu]-nya
karra-[[nu-kwurri]-kwarndu]-nya
karra-[nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu]-nya

Therefore dual propositus markers may be attached to kinship nouns as shown in Table 13.8 above, where the dual-marked propositus material is enclosed within square brackets.

And of course it follows that two people may be the propositi of a kinship relation occupied by a set of people in that relationship. In this case dual propositus markers are attached to the plural shapes of kinship nouns:

Table 13.9: 'two people's mothers'
\[
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { PROPOSITUS } & \text { 'MOTHERS' } & \text { MORPHOLOGY } \\
\text { our (dual inc) } & \text { karraarrangarrérndiya } & \text { ka-rraa-rra-[ngarri-kwarndu]-ya } \\
\text { our (dual exc) } & \text { karraarranyarrérndiya } & \text { ka-rraa-rra-[nyarri-kwarndu]-ya } \\
\text { your (dual) } & \text { karraarranurrérndiya } & \text { ka-rraa-rra-[[nu-kwurri]-kwarndu]-ya } \\
\text { their (dual) } & \text { karraarranangkáarndiya } & \text { ka-rraa-rra-[nangka-kwarndu]-ya }
\end{array}
\]

An example of the use of a plural kinship noun with a dual propositus is shown in (13.1). This reference is specifically to two men: more than one wife is involved, but not necessarily just two:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Warnda & kanunaarndeerri & mangkàangkanangkáarndiya & kurde. \\
warnda & ka=nu-na-k \({ }^{\text {warndu-eerri }}\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ma-ngkaa-ngka-[nangka-k \(w a r n d u]-y a ~\)
\end{tabular} & kurde \\
dwell & 3a=be-PAST-DU-PROG & \multicolumn{1}{l}{-AUG-wife-[DAT-DU]-3p } & ASSOC \\
They (dual) lived (there) with their (dual) wives. &
\end{tabular}

A variant on plural kinship noun morphology was used by Patsy Lulpunda, who alternated forms like irraarranangkaya with irraadakaya 'his/her fathers,' and irraarranangkorri with irraadakorri 'their fathers.' These shapes come about by eliding the final vowel of the stem, leaving the DAT morpheme abutting the consonant / rr/, which induces Distance-Hardening Rule 13. The morphology of these words is exemplified in (13.2):
```

irraadakaya karraadakorri
i-rraa-rr(a)-nangka-ya ka-rraa-rr(a)-[nangka-kwurri]
\hookrightarrowAUG-father-DAT-3p }\quad\mathrm{ AUG-mother-[DAT-NUM]
his/ her fathers

```
```

their mothers

```
```

their mothers

```

A noun karrèrnbarrínya 'mother-of-many' denotes a woman as being a mother of more than one person. It has a plural shape karràarrernbárriya, and its use is exemplified in (13.3):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Arrerndu & ninjarrungunya & karrernbarrinya \\
arri-kwarndu & nyiN=yarrungu-nya & karrernbarrinya \\
we(exc)-DU & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) QUANT-3f & mother.of.many
\end{tabular}
karraanyarrerndinya, ninjarrungunya.
karra-[aa-nyarri-kwarndu]-nya nyiN=yarrungu-nya
mother-[1DAT-1pxDAT-DU]-3f \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{QUANT}-3 \mathrm{f}\)
\(S /\) he and I had the same mother/ the one woman was the mother of us both.
There is some evidence that kinship nouns may be able to delegate propositus marking to dependents in their phrase; in particular the quantifier =yarrungu in kinship contexts is used to refer to the sole member of a particular kinship category, as in (13.3) above. Further examples are offered below in (13.4), where in (13.4a) the 1 px propositus marker appears on the quantifier, while the head noun reverts to default first person singular marking. In kinship contexts =yarrungu may take suffixial head marking as if it were a kinship noun.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
iyarrungunyarrerndu & irraaya \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yarrungu-[nyarri-k \({ }^{\text {w }}\) warndu] & irra-aa-ya \\
3a=QUANT-[1pxDAT-DU] & father-1DAT-3a \\
the one man who was the father of him/ her and me \\
s/ he and I had the same father
\end{tabular}
(b) iyarrungaara inja
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\mathrm{i}=\) yarrungu \(^{\mathrm{k}}\) wara & inja \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) QUANT-1DAT & 3 aDEF \\
my only (son)
\end{tabular}

A nominal propositus is placed invariably in front of its kinship noun head, either with or without a possessive pronoun determiner (see \(\$ 13.1 .2\) below), as seen in (13.5):
\(\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { (13.5a) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Kurnak } \\ \text { Kurnak }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { ngawanangka. } \\ \text { ngawa-nangka }\end{array} & \\ & \text { NAME } & \text { ElSib-DAT }\end{array}\right]\)

Amy's elder sister.

\subsection*{13.1.2 Dependent-marked possession}

Dependent-marked possessive phrases may be used to denote kinship relations, in conjunction with the head-marking arrangements described above. Other kinds of possession are shown exclusively by dependent-marking, and in the database this syntax is used to depict people's relationships with the following kinds of things: (i) people, including kin, children, dogs, and teachers; (ii) places, including country, houses, caves, cliffs, rivers, etc; (iii) utensils and artefacts, money; (iv) food; (v) totems, and (vi) language. Dependent-marked possessive phrases in Worrorra are compound and frequently complex, and in this section we will seek to unpack and display them using generative X-bar notation. As possessive phrases may consist of several layers of embedding, this approach is quite well suited to exposing the geometry of their structure.

The simplest kind of possessive phrase in Worrorra consists of a head noun modified by a possessive pronoun (see \(\$ 7.7\) ), as shown in (13.6a). The constituents of this phrase are labelled in (13.6b) and their relationships are displayed in (13.6c). Constituent order in these phrases may be varied, and the reverse of (13.6a) is shown in (13.6c). In these trees NP is the complement of \(\mathrm{D}^{\prime} .{ }^{85}\)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
aanangka & karraki \\
awa-nangka & karraki \\
3aNAR-DAT & bag \\
bis bag &
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{85}\) In this exposition \(\mathrm{D}=\) determiner, \(\mathrm{N}=\) noun, \(\mathrm{P}=\) phrase, and ['] represents an intermediate or bar-level projection. DP (Determiner Phrase) is an extended or functional projection of the phrase's lexical head, which is N (karraki 'bag' and mayaram 'house' in the following few examples). For a succinct exposition of the DP hypothesis, see Bernstein (2001). For arguments critical of functional projections generally, see Matthews (2007). The formalism as employed here is modified to avoid phonologically empty terminal nodes.
}
(b)
POSSESSIVE DETERMINER aanangka
(c)

HEAD
karraki


Other possessive phrases in Worrorra, as in English, consist typically of a sequence of <possessor NP, possessive determiner, head noun>, as in (13.7). This arrangement is the citation form for possessive phrases generally. Note that the Worrorra determiner must agree with both the possessor and the head of the phrase:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
eeja & aanangkama & mayaram \\
eeja & awa-nangka-ma & mayaram \\
man & 3aNAR-DAT-3m & house \\
(the) man's house &
\end{tabular}

In English the possessive determiner is enclitic 's, while in Worrorra it is a possessive pronoun. This isomorphy is shown in (13.8a), and the structure of the phrase in (13.7), in two configurations, is shown in (13.8b):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
POSSESSOR & POSSESSIVE DETERMINER & HEAD \\
eeja & aanangkama & mayaram \\
man & 's & bouse
\end{tabular}
(b)



Here eeja is the specifier of DP, and mayaram is the complement of \(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}\). Another phrase of this kind is ajakearri arrkanangka mangarri 'other people's food,' as seen in (11.16a).

That possessive pronouns in these phrases are functioning as determiners, is indicated by the fact that free pronouns and possessive pronouns are frequently found together, ostensibly pleonastically, as seen in (13.15a) and (13.9) below. In these situations free pronouns function as possessor noun specifiers of DP, just as eeja does in (13.7) and (13.8); the possessive pronouns here function as determiners:
(13.9a) bunjuma ngayu ngayanangkama
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
bunjuma & ngayu & ngaya-nangka-ma \\
fig & I & I-DAT-3m \\
my figs & &
\end{tabular}
(b) nyangkaangkamaanja arrkanangka arri nya-ngkaa-ngka-maan-ya arr=kwa-nangka arri \(\hookrightarrow\) AUG-girl-SPEC-3p \(\quad \mathrm{px}=\mathrm{NAR}-\mathrm{DAT} \quad \mathrm{we}(\mathrm{exc})\) belonging only to us girls
(c) Jimbirrij [kajaanya anja arrkanangka]. jimbirrij kajaanya anja arr=kwa-nangka rock-cod MoMo 3pDEF \(\mathrm{px}=\mathrm{NAR}-\mathrm{DAT}\) The giant rock-cod is these (people)'s (totemic) mother's mother.
(d) Ngarri wali [ngarrkanaanangkaya anja wangalaalunguyu]. ngarri wali ngarr=\({ }^{k}\) wa-naa-nangka-ya anja wangalaalanguya we(inc) PM 1pin=NAR-AUG-DAT-3p 3pDEF children They are our children.

In (13.9a) the first person singular free and possessive pronouns occur side by side. In this construction the phrasal head (bunjuma 'fig') is taken out of DP and fronted under a higher NP node:
\((13.10)(=13.9 \mathrm{a})\)


In (13.9b) it is the first person plural exclusive pronoun whose free and possessive shapes are juxtaposed. In this example the phrasal head is deleted, being recoverable from previous context. The free pronoun arri 'we (exc)' is in apposition to the noun nyangkaangkamaanja 'girls only.' This noun is also removed from DP and fronted under a higher NP node:


In (13.9c \& d) the possessive phrases are bracketed. In (13.9c) the definite article anja is functioning as a third person plural pronoun, standing in for the possessor NP. Again, it occurs right next to the third person plural possessive determiner arrkanangka. The head of this phrase, kajaanya 'mother's mother,' is preposed in a structure similar to that seen in (13.10). The phrase contains an unusual kinship-noun construction, in that the noun kajaanya bears 'incorrect' coding, being marked for a first person singular propositus ('my mother's mother') when the associated article and possessive determiner clearly show that third person plural reference is involved. \({ }^{86}\) The totemic status of this relationship is probably behind this phenomenon, as if this sentence could be paraphrased: 'the giant cod is (the one) they (call) 'grandmother'.' In (13.9d) the two first person plural inclusive pronouns ngarri and ngarrkanaanangkaya occur in close proximity, but this time the free pronoun ngarri is just outside the possessive phrase. In this verbless sentence ngarri is the term, with the possessive phrase the predicate. The use of wali to mark predicates is discussed in \(₫ 17.8\). The structure of the possessive phrase in (13.9d) is shown in (13.13) below.

Possessive phrases may incorporate non-possessive determiners on a number of levels. The determiners most frequently found in possessive phrases are definite articles, as seen in (13.12). Note in this example a kinship noun not uncommonly exhibiting both head- and dependent marking syntaxes at once; with possessive marking suffixed to the head, and in the presence of a possessive determiner:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(13.12a) & anja & kawaawurlanangkaya & nyangkanaanangkaya \\
& anja & ka-waa-wurla-nangka-ya & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyangka-naa-nangka-ya
\end{tabular} \\
& 3pDEF & \(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-WBrCh-DAT-3p & 3fNAR-AUG-DAT-3p \\
& her brothers' children
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{86}\) Here kajanangkorrinya 'their MoMo' could be expected.
}
(b)


Non-possessive determiners may be imbedded within the possessive phrase, as in (13.13 (=13.9d)):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(13.13a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ngarrkanaanangkaya \\
ngarr=kwa-naa-nangka-ya
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
anja \\
anja
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
wangalaalunguyu \\
wangalaalanguya
\end{tabular} \\
1pin=NAR-AUG-DAT-3p \\
(they are) our children
\end{tabular}
(b)


A more fully extended possessive phrase with definite articles at two levels, is seen in (13.14):
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(13.14a) & anja & ngayu & ngayanaanangkaya & anja & nyangkaangkaya \\
anja & ngayu & ngaya-naa-nangka-ya & anja & nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya \\
& 3pDEF & I & I-AUG-DAT-3p & 3pDEF & C-AUG-girl-3p
\end{tabular}
(b)


Here \(\mathrm{NP}^{1}\) is the specifier of \(\mathrm{DP}^{2}\), and \(\mathrm{DP}^{2}, \mathrm{DP}^{3}\), and \(\mathrm{NP}^{2}\) are successively more embedded complements under DP \({ }^{1}\).

As mentioned, the typical possessive phrase is constructed as in (13.7); consisting, that is, of a possessor noun or free pronoun, a possessive determiner, and a head noun. As seen in (13.6), the possessor noun may be omitted, with coding for this constituent carried by the possessive determiner. Head nouns may also be omitted, their reference retrievable both from coding on the possessive determiner, and from context. Some examples may be seen in (13.9b) and (13.15):
(13.15a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Durr & kunyajona & arrbri & kaarri. \\
durr & kuN-nyarr-nya=bwu-na & arr=mri & kaarri \\
cut & VCOMP-1px-EP=hit-PAST & \(\mathrm{px}=\) head & 3pNEG
\end{tabular}
[Ngayu ngayanangka] durr kungamnyona.
ngayu ngayu-nangka durr kuN-nga-mnya \(=^{b}\) wu-na
I I-DAT cut VCOMP-1-DD=hit-PAST
We used to gash our heads. I cut mine, too.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[Burrbu & nyangkanangkaya], & [Kurdu & nyangkanangkaya] & anja & wali \\
Burrbu & nyangka-nangka-ya & Kurdu & nyangka-nangka-ya & anja & wali \\
NAME & 3fNAR-DAT-3p & NAME & 3fNAR-DAT-3p & 3pDEF & still
\end{tabular}
```

kaangarnaarnarla bijakuwunya.
kaarr-nga=rnaarna-rla bijaku-kwunya
3p-1=wait.for-PAST smoke-PURP
I still had to wait for Burrbu's (family), and Kurdu's (family) (to come) for the smoking (ceremony).

```

In (13.15a) the deleted head of the possessive phrase in the second sentence is retrievable from the first (arrbri 'our heads'). This body-part noun is referred to in the second sentence by a dependentmarked possessive construction, instead of by the expected head-marked morphology as ngamri or ngayu ngamri 'my head' ( \(\$ 6.3\) ). In (13.15b) the deleted heads of the two possessive phrases are the same; something like 'family, kinsmen, children.' The plurality of the heads is marked on the possessive determiners, on the definite article, and on the verb in undergoer position. Example (10.60b) in Chapter Ten is interesting in this respect; here we see a possessive phrase predicated upon its head, which serves as the term in this verbless sentence. This example is repeated here as (13.16):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(13.16) & [TERM \(]\) & [PRED & \\
\((=10.60 \mathrm{~b})\) & Daraarlu & Bajawalakurdengarri & arrkanangka. \\
& rdaraarlu & Bajawala-kurde-ngarri & arr=kwa-nangka \\
& PLACE.NAME & NAME-ASSOC-REL & 3p=NAR-DAT \\
& Daraarlu is Bajawala's family's / Daraarlu belongs to Bajawala's family.
\end{tabular}

Although language, totems and stories are usually treated as alienably possessed, there is one occurrence in the database where a noun of this type is given inalienable treatment: in (13.17a) the DAT morpheme is suffixed to the head noun (ngarlangarla 'speech, story') as if it were a kinship noun. The person concerned is a dreamtime ancestor, and so she may be identified in terms of her story; that is, her story may be understood as being inalienably part of her.
(13.17a) Ke ngarlangarlanangka wali wunu.
ke ngarlangarla-nangka wali wunu

3wREF speech-DAT PM
That is ber story.
(b) Aawali school teacher-nyarri.
aa(ya)-bwali school-teacher-nyarri
3aREF-RESUM school teacher-1pxDAT
He was our teacher.
Likewise in (13.17b) the social role 'teacher' is treated as a kinship noun for the purpose of coding a possessive-type relationship. This is entirely congruent; social roles in traditional Worrorra society are defined by kinship.

The coding of both possessor and head noun on the possessive determiner is isomorphic with other features of the language, most obviously the coding of both subjects and undergoers on transitive verbs. In this way an abstract syntactic phenomenon may be seen to have reflexes in more than one part of the grammar.

\subsection*{13.2 Non-subcategorized (lexically unspecified) objects}

Worrorra verb roots obligatorily host either one (intransitive) or two (transitive) NP-indexing prefixes (\$5.3). Where one is hosted, it codes a subject argument; where two are hosted, the subject and one object argument are coded. Most verbs require two argument prefixes, and so are formally transitive. A formally intransitive verb may never appear with two argument prefixes, and while formally transitive verbs may appear with only one argument prefix, they require a derivational valencyreducing marker to do so (see Chapter 16). We could thus contend that the number of argument
prefixes a verb may host (one or two) is part of its specification in the lexicon; that is, is part of its lexical specification or subcategorization frame. There is scope, however, for all verbs optionally to code another argument - a second in the case of formally intransitive, and a third in the case of formally transitive verbs. These extra, lexically unspecified or non-subcategorized object markers take the form of the suffixes displayed in Table 13.1 above, occurring on the verb in order class [11].

It will be seen then that DAT morphology occurs in quite distinct environments: it marks possession in complex NPs, as well as being available to index the 'overflow' of clausal objects when for various reasons the prefixed verbal object slot is unavailable or already taken. With such widely diverse functions, we could expect DAT morphemes to be semantically underdetermined, their only obvious coding being for grammatical person and number features. This being the case we might look for a syntactic motivation for their occurrence, to account for their appearance in both nominal and clausal environments.

DAT morphemes appear to mark dependency status in both complex NPs and complex predicates; that is, they code forms that are syntactically dependent on some other form, which is the head. In possessive phrases DAT morphemes index the dependent possessor NP; in predicates the same morphology indexes objects dependent on the predicate's head, usually but not invariably a verb (see (13.33) in \(\$ 13.2\).2 (iii) below and discussion).

In \(\S 13.2 .1\) we will review a number of different lexical and syntactic classes of verbs, and the ways in which non-subcategorized objects are used within each class. Then in \(\$ 13.2 .2\) we will look at the range of meanings that non-subcategorized objects may encode. \({ }^{87}\) In the rest of this chapter and in the next, for convenience, the phrase 'non-subcategorized object' will be abbreviated to 'NSC object.'

\subsection*{13.2.1 Object-marking on a range of verbal subcategories}

For the purposes of recording argument structure, we may discern formal distinctions among verbs in terms of (i) intransitive, (ii) object-demoting and (iii) fully transitive subtypes. Each subtype displays a somewhat different morphology with different syntactic consequences and different functional outcomes.

\subsection*{13.2.1 (i) objects on formally intransitive verbs}

Complex predicates with intransitive classifiers may yet take objects, but of course such objects are not able to be registered as a verbal prefix (see example (11.44) in \(₫ 11.5 .1\) ). Such occurrences are found when the classifier in a complex predicate is formally intransitive, but where the predicate itself is semantically transitive. Another example of this phenomenon is shown below, where the object mangarri 'vegetable food' is not indexed on the verb:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mangarri & minjarl-minjarl & kaaduna. \\
mangarri & minjarl-minjarl & kaarr=nu-na \\
veg.food & eat-eat & \(3 p=b e-P A S T\)
\end{tabular}

They used to eat vegetable food.
Pronoun objects, however, are able to be indexed on intransitive verbs as NSC objects in DAT position, as the following examples show:

Aaja yulul kanunanangka.
aaja yuluk ka=nu-na-nangka
rain approach \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{DAT}\)
Rain came towards him/ her.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{87}\) The term 'indirect object' seems like an obvious choice to refer to this morphology, but it would nevertheless not always be accurate. As we will see, DAT morphemes often code semantic direct objects, and so the admittedly rather clumsy term 'non-subcategorized objects' will be preferred here.
}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Jaaljaal & kaadunaarerri, \\
jaal-jaal & kaarr=nu-na-k
\end{tabular} wara-eerri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
marnumarnu \\
carry.in.arms
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
marnuk-marnuk \\
carry.on.shoulder
\end{tabular} \\
kaadunaarerri & wangalangaanjanu.
\end{tabular}
(c)

Waa laiburru banganeenyanu.
waa laiburru ba-nga=nu-yinya-nu
not know CFT-1=be-PAST-2DAT
I didn't know you.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Angujakunya & irriwa & nyidunaarndaara? \\
\hline anguja-kwunya & irri- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa & [nyirr]=nu-na-[kwarnda]-kwara \\
\hline what-PURP & hate-PROG & [2p]=be-PAST-[DU]-1DAT \\
\hline Why do you two & me? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(e)

Akurla wanji bangkaweenurrerndu.
akurlu wanji ba-ka=bwee-[[nu-kwurri]-kwarndu]
devil meet CFT-3a=hit.MID-[[2pDAT]-DU]
\(A\) devil might find you both.

In each of these examples DAT morphology marks semantic direct objects; that is, patients who are directly effected by the verb's action; or themes. In (13.19e) the predicate wanji \(=^{b}\) wee 'find/meet up with someone, find/meet up with each other' employs an intransitive classifier derived from the transitive verb \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu 'hit.'

\subsection*{13.2.1 (ii) object indexation in formally object-demoting constructions}

In object-demoting constructions the verb's undergoer slot is occupied by a celestial or terrestrial morpheme indexing a verbal complement or event, usually a speech event or the event denoted by a preverb if the verb is part of a complex predicate ( \(\$ 11.5 .2\) ). I have referred to predicates of this sort as object-demoting constructions. Here the predicate's preverb is indexed in undergoer position to the exclusion of any nominal object; no morphological process deletes nominal objects, rather object deletion comes out of the clause's information structure, specifically by way of the selection of a verbal complement rather than a noun as undergoer.

When a nominal object is to be indexed on a formally object-demoting verb classifier, it is accomplished by NSC object suffixes. In the following examples the object-demoting predicates shown are maarlimaarli ma[]=bwu 'wave,' jarriy kuN[]=ma 'run,' buju kuN[]=bwu 'finish, kill,' rdurna kuN[]=yi 'take hold,' durr kuN[]=yi ‘stop,' ngurr kuN[]=yi ‘strike,' and nguru kuN[]=kwangurru 'listen,' and the nominal objects of these predicates are indexed in DAT position:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Maarlimaarli & monanangka. \\
maarlimaarli & ma- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na-nangka \\
wave & VCOMP-3=hit-PAST-DAT
\end{tabular}

He waved to him.


The sentence in example (13.20e) actually makes formal reference to three objects: the preverb durr 'stop, block' as a VCOMP object, the inalienable noun = neem mana 'ear' to the right of the predicate, and the 'owner' or 'whole' of which the ears are a part ('her') indexed on the classifier as -nangka 'DAT.' Sentence (13.20a) shows a terrestrial morpheme in VCOMP position; all the others have celestial VCOMPs.

\subsection*{13.2.1 (iii) objects of verba dicendi}

If such a verb is not part of a complex predicate, then it may be a verbum dicendi or speech-framing verb, with the undergoer slot automatically filled by a celestial morpheme (glossed 'VCOMP') indexing the quoted or spoken material, either explicitly or implicitly ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)). While classifiers need only index their preverbs in undergoer position when they enter into nuclear-core subordinate (objectdemoting) constructions; Worrorra verba dicendi obligatorily index the celestial VCOMP morpheme in undergoer position. Verba dicendi register thought, speech or reported speech, or its denotation by means of a noun such as ngarlangarla wunu 'language, speech, discussion,' in undergoer position. I am aware of four verba dicendi in Worrorra:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
kuN[]=yi & do; say, tell; think; want \\
kuN[]=rloo & speak,, talk \\
kuN[]=miyangkana \({ }^{88}\) & understand \\
kuN[]=b waawaa & fail to understand
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{88}\) The verb = miyangkana has a second, possibly homophonous meaning 'recognize,' which is unconstrained in its selection of undergoer.
}

Verba dicendi are also able to code nominal objects by way of NSC object suffixes. 'Telling' and 'talking' are verbs of speech production, and these verba dicenda have addressee objects. The following examples show the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) used to frame direct (13.21a) and indirect (13.21b) speech. Note here how the direct speech example is in imperative mood, while its indirect counterpart is in hortative mood. Also shown in (13.21c) is the verb \(k u N[]=\) rloo used to denote a more extended or structured speech event. In all these examples addressee objects are indexed by DAT morphemes.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 'Bengkaalaaw,' } & \text { kubajenganangka. }  \tag{13.21a}\\
\text { ba=ya-kaal-aaw } & \text { kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-a-nangka } \\
\text { CFT= go-hither-now } & \text { VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-EP-DAT } \\
\text { 'Come here now,' they said to her. }
\end{array}
\]
(b) Minyenangka nyengkunyaal. minya \(=\) yi-nangka nyiN=ya-ngku-nya-kwaal \(2>3 \mathrm{w}=\) do-DAT \(\quad 3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-EP-HORT-hither Tell her she can come over.
(c) Kungarloonurreerri.
kuN-nga=rloo-[nu-kwurri]-eerri
VCOMP-1 = speak-[2pDAT]-PROG
I'm talking to all of you.

Verbs of understanding or failing to understand have the opposite predicate perspective; they are verbs of speech perception. Accordingly their objects code the speaker in a speech event: the person whose speech is being, or failing to be, perceived. And the speaker in these clauses is coded by NSC object morphology on the verb:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (13.22a) & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Jaa maa minyamiyangkanaara.} \\
\hline (=10.11b) & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{jaa maa minya = miyangkana- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wara} \\
\hline & all.way 2 & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) understand -1 DAT & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{You must understand me properly.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(b)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Ngarlangarla kunjawaawaarlaara \\
ngarlangarla kuN-nja \(=\) bwaawaa-rla-kwara \\
speech VCOMP-2= not.understand-PAST-1DAT \\
You didn't understand my argument.
\end{tabular}}} & wunu. \\
\hline & & & wunu \\
\hline & & & 3wDEF \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Sentence (13.23) shows a complex sentence involving a form of kuN[]=yi'say, tell; do' with wипи ngarlangarla 'speech, language, discussion' indexed in undergoer position as celestial \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-:
\begin{tabular}{lcllll} 
(13.23) & (=15.40a), & (cf 12.1b) & & & \\
Wardi & ngana & ngambal & ngunngulum & maa & ngunbananangka \\
wardi & ngana & ngambal & ngun=ngulum & maa & ngun=bwa-na-nangka \\
I.hope & PROB & pleased & \(2=\) stomach & 3 3REF & \(2=\) fall-TNS-DAT
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
wunu & ngarlangarla & nganngunjunu & ke. \\
wunu & ngarlangarla & ØN-ngan-ngun=yi-nu & ke \\
3wDEF & language & VCOMP-1-SJTV=do-2DAT & 3wREF \\
I think you might be pleased with the proposal I'm putting to you.
\end{tabular}
13.2.1 (iv) other uses of \(k u N[]=y i\) : naming and questioning

Although Worrorra has a simplex verb =rima 'name OBJ, call OBJ by name, and a preverb daleeba 'recite, list (by name),' the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) is used for constructions of the 'SUBJ calls \(x, y\) ' variety; where \(x\) is the person or thing named, and \(y\) is the name or status being bestowed upon \(x\). In Worrorra \(x\), the person or thing being named, is indexed on \(k u N[]=y i\) as an NSC object, with \(y\), the name bestowed, marked compositionally by being close to the verb. The following sentences provide examples. In each case the DAT morpheme indexes the person or thing being named, even in (13.24b) where a tract of land is referred to. In (13.24c) the inalienable noun =ngumbu wипи 'name' is indexed in NSC object position on the verb, in a construction meaning 'called \(x=\) its name, \(y=\) Murray Springs.'
(13.24a)

Jijaaya kurreenangka.
jijaaya kuN-rra=yi-nangka
dad VCOMP-2p=do-DAT
You can all call him 'dad.'
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kubajenganangka & dambeem & maa, & Iujurr \\
kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-a-nangka & dambeem & maa & jujurr \\
VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-EP-DAT & place & \(3 m R E F\) & haul
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Ingkaarrbanga
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Dambeem.' \\
i-ngka-b \({ }^{\mathrm{W} a r r}=\mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{nga}\) \\
dambeem
\end{tabular} \\
3a-SJTV-3p=\(=\) get-PAST & place
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aalmara & kunjunganangka & wungumbu & Murray Springs \\
aalmara & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-a-nangka & \({ }^{\text {k wuN }}=\) ngumbu & murray springs
\end{tabular}
kunjunganangka, Wrewirri.
kuN-Ø=yi-ng-a-nangka wrewirri
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT PLACE.NAME
Europeans called Wrewirri by the name 'Murray Springs.'
The VCOMP undergoer of \(k u N[]=y i\) may index the interrogative sentential anaphor ngani 'what (event)?' ( \(\$ 10.5\) ). When NSC object morphology is applied to ngani kuN []\(=y i\) constructions, it codes objects effected, either positively or negatively, by the action questioned:
(13.25a) Ngani ngenangkorri anja?
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ngani \(\quad\) ON-nga=yi-[nangka-kwurri] & anja \\
what & VCOMP-1=do-[3Pdat] & 3 pDEF \\
What can I do to/for them?
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngani kurringaarndaara?
ngani kuN-[rra]=yi-ng-[kwarndu]-kwara
what VCOMP-2p=do-PAST-DU-1DAT
What have you two done to me?

\subsection*{13.2.1 (v) verbs of transfer}

Transitive verbs may regularly be coded for two nominal objects; one in prefixed undergoer position, and the other in suffixed NSC object position. An inventory of uses for this kind of coding will be offered in \(\$ 13.2 .2\), but for the moment, and to exemplify the kinds of syntactic arrangements and semantic constraints involved, we will look at the grammar of a typically trivalent situation, that of verbs of transfer; specifically those of giving and taking.

The Worrorra verb =rno 'give' has two objects, a recipient and a theme; here a theme will be understood as an entity not necessarily or immediately physically effected by the action of the verb, but one of interest or concern nonetheless, and in this case one whose location is at issue. Normally, however, only one of these objects, the recipient, being typically human, is indexed on the verb, in prefix position. The theme object is usually indicated compositionally, by occurring close to the verb In (13.26) the theme mangarri 'food' is not indexed on the verb:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Mangarri & nganbardorna. \\
mangarri & nga-n-b \(w a r r=\) rno-rna \\
veg.food & \(1-\) INV-3p=give-PAST
\end{tabular}

They gave me some food.

The verb =rno may be used, as 'give' is in English, to denote the transfer of a person, usually a woman, between patrilines; that is, the act of giving someone away in marriage. In this situation both recipient and theme are human, and both must be indexed on the verb. However the rule that decides which object, the theme or the recipient, is coded in which object slot, is not straightforward; and in this case the grammar refers to the inverse hierarchy shown in Figure 5.4 in \(\S 5.3 .4\). The rule may be stated as follows:

1 A second-person object must be prefixed, regardless of whether it is recipient or theme.
2 In the absence of a second-person object, the recipient will be prefixed.
This rule automatically gives rise to formal coding ambiguity:
(13.27a) Ngurnornaara.
ngun- \(\varnothing=\) rno-rna-kwara
2-3= give-PAST-1DAT
He gave me to you
He gave you to me.
(b) Ngurnornanangka
ngun- =rno-rna-nangka
2-1/3=give-PAST-DAT
I/ he gave you to bim / her
I/ he gave him / ber to you.

This ambiguity, however, is only formal: in any real-world exchange the identity, location and gender of speaker and addressee, and the context and occasion on which such utterances were made, would amply clarify reference.

In the absence of a second person actor, the recipient is coded in undergoer, and the theme in NSC object position:
(13.28a) Kangarnornanangka.
ka-nga=rno-rna-nangka
3a-1 = gave-PAST-DAT
I gave her to him.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kajirn & baangarnonangkorri & ngawaawanangkorri! \\
kajirn & ba-kaarr-nga=rno-n-[nangka-kwurri] & nga-waa-wa-[nangka-kwurri] \\
unable & CFT-3p-1= give-NON.P-[3pDAT] & 4-AUG-El.Sib-[3pDAT] \\
I can't give them to their own brothers! &
\end{tabular}

Even though in (13.28b) both object slots on the verb are occupied by 3p morphemes, we know that it is the theme (the girls in this case, known from context) that receives DAT coding.

The matching verb with opposite predicate perspective is =rnongku'take (something) away from (somebody),' with deprivee and theme objects. Again, it is the typically human deprivee who gets prefixed undergoer marking in most cases, while themes are adduced compositionally. In (13.29) the celestial theme is not indexed on the verb:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (13.29) & Wiyanu wiyanu & \begin{tabular}{l}
wunu \\
wunu
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
angarnongku. \\
arr-nga=rnongku
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & fire & 3 WDEF & \(3 \mathrm{p}-1=\) take.away \\
\hline & I'll take & fire away & them. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

But again, a human theme must be indexed on the verb, by means of another hierarchical rule:
1 A first-person object must be prefixed, regardless of whether it is deprivee or theme.
2 In the absence of a first-person object, a second-person object must be prefixed, regardless of whether it is deprivee or theme
3 In the absence of first- and second-person objects, the deprivee will be prefixed.
Similar formal ambiguities result, again resolvable from information about speaker, addressee and context. Unambiguous coding only occurs in the absence of first or second person actors, as in (13.30b).
(13.30a) Nganbardongkurlanangka irraayaa karraanya.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
nga-n-b \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr= rnongku-rla-nangka & irra-aa-ya-aa & karra-aa-nya \\
1-INV-3p=take.away-PAST-DAT & Fa-1DAT-3a-and & Mo-1DAT-3f
\end{tabular}

They took me away from my mother and father
They took, my mother and father away from me.
(b) Nyimbardongkurlanangka.
nyiN-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}=\) rnongku-rla-nangka
\(3 \mathrm{f}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) take.away-PAST-DAT
They took him/ her away from her.

Having reviewed some of the patterns and constraints on the formal coding of NSC objects, we may now turn to a consideration of the variety of meanings they are able to be used for.

\subsection*{13.2.2 Some uses for non-subcategorized objects}

In this section we will observe some of the functions that NSC objects in Worrorra may signal. Later this apparently straightforward list of etically-proposed semantic categories will break down and be seen to be rather less than straightforward; the categories prone to blurring and sliding into each other.

\subsection*{13.2.2 (i) beneficiaries}

Cross-linguistically arguments in the semantic role of beneficiary are likely to appear as indirect or secondary objects, if a language has them or anything like them. And indeed this is the case in Worrorra: beneficiaries are coded as NSC objects. The following examples show a variety of situations in which beneficiaries occur.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (13.31a) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Awa \\
awa \\
3aNAR \\
He speared
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
wari \\
wari \\
kangaroos \\
kangaroos for the
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
kanunanangkorri. \\
ka=nu-na-[nangka-kwurri] \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-[3 \mathrm{pDAT}]\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline (b) & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Warndi maajonanyarri. \\
warndi ma-b \({ }^{\text {warr-nya }}={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na-nyarri \\
make \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST-1pxDAT \\
They built it (a dormitory, mayaram mana) for us.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mangarri \\
mangarri \\
veg.food \\
He used to
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ding-ding \\
ding-ding \\
ding-ding ring the bell for us
\end{tabular} & kunjungunyarri kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-a-n VCOMP-3=do-PAS s for dinner. \({ }^{89}\) & rri
-EP-1pxDAT & minjarlkunya. minjarl-kwunya eat-PURP \\
\hline (d) & Minyamaal minya \(=\) ma \(2>3 \mathrm{w}=\) get Get a chair & \begin{tabular}{l}
aldaka \\
\({ }^{-k}\) kwaal-nangka \\
t-hither-DAT \\
for your father.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
chair irrolija. \\
chair irra-wu \\
chair father-2
\end{tabular} & IN.POSS & \\
\hline (e) & Ruluk
ruluk
move
Move over for & \begin{tabular}{l}
bayaara. \\
\(\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{ya}-\mathrm{k}\) wara \\
CFT=go-1DAT \\
for me / make roon
\end{tabular} & om for me. & & \\
\hline (f) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Barra \\
barra \\
narrate \\
They told me
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
nyimbarrkangu \\
nyiN-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}\) \\
3f-3p=carry-P \\
me the story about
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
urrurlaara \\
wangurru-rla-kwara \\
PAST-1DAT \\
the sun.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
nyina \\
nyina \\
3 fDEF
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
marangunya. \\
marangunya sun
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (13.31f) both a theme, nyina marangunya 'the sun,' and a beneficiary, 'me,' the listener, are indexed, with the theme in undergoer position.

\section*{13.2 .2 (ii) affective dative \({ }^{90}\)}

The opposite situation occurs when an argument's referent is disadvantaged or adversely affected by an action; such arguments are also coded on verbs as NSC objects.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(13.32a) & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Anja & wangalaalunguyu
\end{tabular} & ngani & kurreenganangkorri? \\
anja & wangalaalanguya & ngani & kuN-rra=yi-ng-a-[nangka-kwurri] \\
& 3pDEF & children & what & VCOMP-2p=do-PAST-EP-[3pDAT] \\
& What have you done to these children?
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{89}\) Literally, 'he did ding-ding for us to eat food.'
\({ }^{90}\) Also called 'ethical dative.'
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (b) & Wurrkunu angujakunya wurrkunu angujaC-kwunya trouble what-for Why did he go and make ('find') troun & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
mara & kumbunaara? \\
mara & kuN- \(\varnothing={ }^{b}\) wu-na-kwara \\
see/find & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) hit-PAST-1DAT \\
trouble for me? &
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (c) & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nanjan mana waay \\
nanjan mana waay \\
ladder & 3 mDEF & throw \\
When you threw away the ladder on
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ngunngununanyarri. \\
ngun-ngun=nu-na-nyarri 2-SJTV=be-PAST-1pxDAT on us.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline (d) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Belangkarraya ngarru \\
belangkarraya ngarru \\
many.people whither \\
Where's everyone gone?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
kaajengarrerndu? \\
kaarr-nya=ya-nga-[ngarri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu] \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) go-PAST-[1pinDAT-DU]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(e) Arrke baawaard kaadunaara murlku.
(cf. 11.13)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
arrke & baawaard & kaarr=nu-na-kwara & murlku \\
3pREF & rise:PL & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\) PAST-1DAT & boil \\
Boils have broken out all over me.
\end{tabular}

In each example the referent of the DAT argument is adversely affected, even when this is not obvious from the English translation offered here. From the context in which (13.32a) is found it is clear that the children are suffering; likewise in (13.32c), the speakers are left stranded half-way up a cliff when the addressee throws away the ladder that got them up there. And in (13.32d) the first person inclusive dual (you and I) DAT object cannot be happily retained in translation while keeping the sentence a question. In this situation two children suddenly notice that their extended family has left them behind in the bush, with their own affectedness registered by DAT morphology.

\subsection*{13.2.2 (iii) external possession}

Recalling its possessive use ( \(\$ 13.1\) ), DAT morphology suffixed to a verb in NSC object position may be used to mark external possession. In this case the shape of the DAT morpheme codes the possessor, the dependent element in the construction, while the head, the possessed entity, will be some other argument in the clause. This construction constitutes a canonical instance of external possession, in which the possessor is coded as a grammatical relation of a verb, while the possessed item is expressed in a constituent separate from that which contains the possessor (cf Payne \& Barshi 1999:3). The head of this construction in Worrorra may apparently be in any grammatical function except that of transitive subject.

Sentence (13.33) is a fuller version of the exchange shown in (1.1) in Chapter One:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Wurlarnbirri & nganiyaareya? & Nganama & kaanjaarndengaara?' \\
wurlarnbirri & ngani- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wara-ya & ngani-ma & kaarr-nja=k \({ }^{\text {warnde-nga- }{ }^{\text {k }} \text { wara }}\) \\
belongings & where-1DAT-3p & where-3m & \(3 p-2=\) place-PAST-1DAT
\end{tabular}
'Karrangu kaangaarndenganu.'
karrangu kaarr-nga=kwarnde-nga-nu
high \(3 \mathrm{p}-1=\) place-PAST-2DAT
Where's my things? Where did you put my (things)?'
'I put your (things) up there I I put them up there for you.'
The first question in (13.33) is verbless, predicate function being taken up by the inflected interrogative pronoun nga-(ni)- 'where?' (\$7.4.1). Here the 1DAT morpheme codes the dependent possessor ('my'), while the head of the possessive construction is the noun wurlarnbirri 'possessions,
things.' Similarly the other two sentences code possessors in DAT position, and possessed heads in verbal undergoer position, as 3p kaarr. If ngani 'where?' in the first sentence may be understood as an intransitive predicate, a specifically interrogative form of 'be-at', then the head of the possessive construction (wurlarnbirri) is in \(S\) (intransitive subject) function. Another sentence with the head of an embedded possessive construction in \(S\) function is seen in (13.34) below:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mayaranyinim & yorryorr & mawananangkorreerri. \\
mayara-nyini-m & yorryorr & \(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{b}\) wa-na-[nangka-k \({ }^{\text {wurri]-eerri }}\) \\
house-ENDPOINT-3m & be.together & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) fall-TNS-[3pDAT]-PROG
\end{tabular}

In this sentence the head of the possessive construction is mayaram 'house(s),' with the DAT morpheme -nangkorri indexing the dependent constituent.

Objects of formally intransitive complex predicates may also be heads of embedded possessive constructions, as seen in (13.35), with an object-demoting predicate in (a), and a formally intransitive one in (b):


It is conceivable that DAT morphology could be coding the objects of these compositions, iwajam 'his feathers' and kulnmerrba '(his) tail,' respectively, instead of the owners of those objects. That this is not the case, but rather the DAT morphemes are coding possessor NPs dependent upon these objects, may be shown when the undergoer argument is coded on a formally transitive verb, as is (13.36):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kulnmerrba & mamnyamanganangka. \\
kulnmerrba & ma- \(\varnothing\)-mnya=ma-nga-nangka \\
tail & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\mathrm{DD=}=\) get-PAST-DAT
\end{tabular}

She grabbed his tail.
(b) Kulnmerr manjamangaara!
kulnmerr ma-nja=ma-nga-kwara
tail \(3 \mathrm{~m}-2=\) get-PAST-1DAT
You grabbed my tail!

In both these sentences the terrestrial noun kulnmerr 'tail' receives undergoer coding (ma-) on the verb, while the owner of the tail gets DAT coding. Of the two arguments that could possibly be coded by DAT morphology in these constructions, it is clearly the dependent possessor that is so coded.

In (6.13a) (repeated below as (13.37)), there are three non-agent arguments, any one of which could potentially be receiving DAT coding: (i) burnji (plural/collective pro-form) 'magic,' (ii) = neema 'ears,' and (iii) nyiN- 'her:'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(13.37) & Burnji & anja & kaankarndenganangka & nyineemarnanya. \\
(=6.13a) & burnji & anja & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing={ }^{2}\) warnde-nga-nangka & nyiN=neema-rnanya \\
& 3pPRO & 3pDEF & \(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{INV}-3=\) place-PAST-DAT & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) ear=LOC \\
& He put a spell on her (lit: he put stuff (= magic) in her ears (=understanding, memory)).
\end{tabular}

Burnji 'magic' is here clearly indexed as 3 p in undergoer position, while \(=\) neema 'ears,' as a locative adjunct, would not be expected to be encoded on the verb. So it is nyiN- 'her,' the owner of the adjunct, who receives DAT coding. Note that while, as an adjunct, the head of the possessive construction is not coded on the verb at all, its dependent is so coded, in DAT position.

\subsection*{13.2.2 (iv) themes}

Objects (almost invariably people) of mental-state predicates meaning 'cry over/for (someone),' 'ask about (someone),' 'think (about someone),' 'be angry with (someone),' and 'be happy to see (someone),' and probably more, are all coded by NSC object morphology. These objects are goals towards which peoples' interests and emotions are directed, or with which they are preoccupied. They are also, more generally, the cause or source of the thought or emotion denoted in the instance under consideration. As formulated in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (v) above, they are objects of interest and/or concern to an agent, and are therefore themes. Note, however, that themes are not restricted to NSC object morphology; as mentioned in \(\$ 11.4 .2\), undergoers of the transitive predicate wala \(=m a\) 'SUBJ cry for OBJ' are also themes. Constructions involving the preverb wala 'cry' with NSC object themes are shown in (13.38):


Other NSC object constructions involving themes are illustrated below:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(13.39a) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Nyina \\
nyina
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
ngaard \\
ngaard
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyingamanganangka \\
nyiN-nga=ma-nga-nangka \\
3fDEF
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kawurlanangkanya. \\
kawurla-nangka-nya \\
daughter-DAT-3f
\end{tabular} \\
& I asked bis daughter about him.
\end{tabular}

Sentence (13.39a) presents another example of the kind of inherent ambiguity discussed in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (v): the reported addressee, 'his daughter' is encoded by the definite article nyina and in undergoer postion (nyiN-), as well as being stated in full as kawurlanangkanya 'his daughter.' The theme ('about him') is coded in NSC object position. From the narrative in which this statement was uttered, we know that this is the intended reading. Yet this sentence could also mean 'I asked her about her/(his) daughter,' again with reported addressee in undergoer position, but this time with the theme stated in full, as kawurlanangkanya, as well as being given DAT coding. And again, situation and context would clarify this kind of potential ambiguity.

The experiencer construction in (13.39b), discussed in \(\$ 12.1\), also involves a theme in DAT position. Sentence (13.39c) demonstrates the complex predicate jukurl(-jukurl) =nu+DAT with an apparently idiomatic meaning 'SUBJ be happy to see OBJ,' with the theme object given DAT coding.

\subsection*{13.2.2 (v) emblematic representation}

This section addresses a particular kind of theme, involving relationships of emblematic or totemic representation between people, land and dreamtime ancestors. The preverb gee 'SUBJ be represented totemically by OBJ' is used in similar circumstances, but its grammar is relatively straightforward, and will not be discussed here.

Worrorra joy is a predicate adjective that only occurs with \(=n u\) 'be.' It is not found in the database in attributive function, nor compounded with any other finite verb. Daisy Utemorrah stated that this word means 'famous,' and translated it in some passages as 'represent.' Both people (13.40b), and ancestors (13.40a) may be said to be 'famous,' without specifying a relational context:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & joy & ngenu? \\
ngarrunangkowa & joy & nga-nya=nu \\
which.way & famous & 1-OPT=be \\
Where will I be famous? & (ie, where will I establish my totemic domain?)
\end{tabular}
(b) Ardirndeerri anja Dilangarri joy kaaduna.
arr=rnirndeerr-i anja dilangarri joy kaarr=nu-na
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) ancient-3p 3pDEF CLAN.NAME famous 3p=be-PAST
The ancient Dog-people used to be famous.
In (13.40a) the speaker is a crocodile ancestor predestined to become a totemic emblem for a particular group of people in a particular place. In this usage joy seems to refer specifically to totemic status. On the other hand the extended family of humans referred to as being 'famous' in (13.40b) are not totems, rather they are represented by their totems, the giant dogs of their name (Clendon 2009). Places may also be 'famous:'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Maa maanma & joy & mamnyanunangka & mana & dambeem. \\
maa maan-ma & joy & ma-mnya=nu-na-nangka & mana & dambeem \\
3mREF SPEC-3m & famous & \(3 m-D D=\) be-PAST-DAT & 3 mDEF & place
\end{tabular}

That place became famous because of him.

Sentence (13.41) denotes a relationship between the 'famous' status of the land and a particular (quasihistorical) person. From these examples it is clear that ancestors, land and people can all be 'famous.' And as seen in (13.41) the source of the 'fame' of any one of these categories may be coded on these complex predicates in NSC object position (-nangka 'because of him' in this example). In the database at least, there is a symmetrical relationship between the 'famous' entity and the source or reason for its fame, as diagrammed in Figure 13.1:


Figure 13.1: emblematic relationships denoted by joy 'famous'
Consider the further examples in (13.42):
(13.42a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Ngayangkarnanya & wali wunu & kawa & wungumbu & ke, & joy \\
\hline ngayaangkarnanya & wali wun & kawa & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{wuN}=\) ngumba & ke & joy \\
\hline Mt.Trafalgar & PM & 3 WNAR & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) name & 3wREF & famous \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kumnyaninyanangkorri} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Wurnbangkuwaaya} & arrke. & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kuN-mnya=ni-nya-[nangka-kwurri]} & Wurn & bangku-waa-ya & arrke & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{DD}=\mathrm{be}\)-HORT-[3pDAT]} & PLAC & .NAME-PERS-3p & 3 PREF & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Ngayangkarnanya is its name, and it can represent the people of W urnbangku.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Angujakunya & anja & yawarrarra & kaanjiyandarla, & anja \\
angugaC-kwunya & anja & yawarrarra & kaarr-nja=yanda-rla & anja \\
what-PURP & 3pDEF & drown.PL & 3p-2=cause-PAST & 3pDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
belangkarraya & Dilangarri \\
belangkarra-ya \\
many.people-3p
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
anja? \\
dilangarri \\
CLAN.NAME
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Waawa \\
3pDEF
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
joy \\
waawa
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
joy
\end{tabular} \\
baadinyaneerri?
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyangka & Wurnbangkuwaamaanya & nyangke, & joy & mamnyanunangka \\
nyangka & Wurnbangku-waa-maan-nya & nyangke & joy & ma-mnya=nu-nangka \\
3fNAR & CLAN.COUNTRY-PERS-SPEC-3f & 3fREF & famous & \(3 m-D D=\) be-DAT
\end{tabular}
mana dambeem.
3mDEF place
She belongs only to the land of Wurnbangku, that place is [famous because of/ represents] her.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mana & aja & bungkamnyaninya & wunu, & ke & ngarri \\
mana & aja & ba-kuN-mnya=ni-nya & wunu & ke & ngarri \\
3mDEF & sit & CFT-3w-DD=be-PAST & 3wDEF & 3wREF & we(inc)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
joy & ngarrkuninyanangka. \\
joy & ngarr-ngun=ni-yinya-nangka \\
famous & 1pin-SJTV=be-PAST-DAT
\end{tabular}

It should have been bere, we wanted to represent it.

Example (13.42a), like (13.41), is an instance in which land is famous for, or emblematic of people: Mount Trafalgar is a large and spectacular mesa on the north shore of the St George's Basin, visible for miles around, and would certainly have been emblematic of the land in which it stood, just as its harbour bridge is emblematic of Sydney. In (13.42b ) we see people famous because of their relationship with a dreamtime culture hero, in this case a giant talking dog. It is not clear whether those mythical people could be considered to be emblems of the culture hero in question. In (13.42c) a tract of land is famous for its association with a female dreamtime ancestor, and in (13.42d) a group of dreamtime ancestors are famous for, or emblematic of, Mount Trafalgar.

Translations of the adjective joy must therefore vary among 'represent,' 'be famous for,' and 'be emblematic of,' according to situation. We may nonetheless discern the set of partially reciprocal emblematic relations diagrammed in Figure 13.1, as they are represented in the database. In this figure the base of an arrow represents the famous entity, with the head of the arrow pointing to the cause or source of its fame. It is likely that recourse to a more complete corpus would enable all arrows to be double-headed. In every instance the source or cause of fame, or the entity for which a given denotatum stands as an emblem, is coded as a theme in DAT position on the verb \(=n u\) 'be.'

\subsection*{13.2.2 (vi) experiencers as syntactic objects}

In \(\S 12.3 .1\) we observed how experiencers in some constructions may function as syntactic subjects while yet receiving objective case-marking. Some examples of experiencer subjects coded by NSC object morphology were offered in (12.7-12.9). There is another construction, however, not particularly well represented in the database, in which experiencers function as syntactic objects while receiving NSC object coding. Two examples are shown in (13.43):
(13.43a) Mamangkunu kununanangkaarndu.
mamangkunu \(k u N=n u-n a-[n a n g k a-\) kwarndu]
morning
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-[\mathrm{DAT}-\mathrm{DU}]\)
It was morning.
(b) Aalmara kawi, waa bangkaninyanyarri.
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { aalmara } & \text { kawi } & \text { waa } & \text { ba-ka=ni-nya-nyarri } \\ \text { European } & \text { 3aNEG } & \text { not } & \text { CFT-3a=be-PAST-1pxDAT }\end{array}\)
There were no Europeans, they weren't around.
In neither of these sentences can the NSC objects be simply translated into English. This construction denotes an event, with what are effectively witnesses or bystanders to the event coded in DAT position; so: 'it was morning and they both experienced it, \({ }^{91}\) and 'we experienced a time when there were no Europeans.'

\subsection*{13.2.2 (vii) an approach less etic}

In this section we have surveyed some of the functions in which NSC object morphology is called to serve. Of course this does not exhaust the possibilities, as it appears that DAT marking could potentially be available to index any object-like semantic role with a denotatum animate and salient enough to warrant it. For example, in (13.19a) and (13.20b) above, and in (13.45b) below, we can see the role of 'goal' indexed by NSC object morphology. Even the typically intransitive predicate \(a j a=n u\) 'sit' appears in the database with a NSC object (13.44); the DAT morphology in this case apparently serving to host a dual morpheme with an outranked actor meaning (\$9.2):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jilinya & kurde & aja & nyinunangkaarndeerri. \\
jilinya & kurde & aja & nyiN=nu-na-[nangka-kwarndu]-eerri \\
demon & ASSOC & sit & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) be-PAST-[DAT-DU]-PROG
\end{tabular}

There was a female demon sitting with them both.
Non-subcategorized object morphology is employed as well to code argument structure in certain serial-predicate constructions ( \(\$ 11.5 .4\) (iii)), and to code direct objects in complement clauses, as will be seen in Chapter 14. This last grammar is formally a variety of indirect speech, the basics of which have been outlined in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (iii) above.

Finally, consider the pair of sentences in (13.45):

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{91}\) A closer literal translation might be 'it dawned on them two,' but this has a completely different meaning in English.
}
(13.45a) Aaku kungkangurraalkarreerri.
aku kuN- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-kwaal-ngarri-eerri
water \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) carry-hither-1pinDAT-PROG
He's bringing some water for us (inc).
(b) Imnyaangurrunangka karranangkanyawunya.
( \(=10.71 \mathrm{a}\) ) i-mnya \(={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wangurru-nangka
3a-DD=carry-DAT
Take bim to bis mother.

Both sentences involve DAT-marking on the verb \(={ }^{k}\) wangurru 'take, carry.' In (13.45a) a beneficiary role is coded, while in (13.45b) the NSC object indexes a goal, marked by \(-{ }^{-k}\) wunya 'PURP' on the following noun. \({ }^{92}\) Note, however, that \({ }^{-k}\) wunya's semantic scope is broad enough to include beneficiaries, as seen in (10.74a) in \(\$ 10.6 .3\) (i); so its denotation of goalhood is not guaranteed. And anyway, in many cases it is just not clear whether goals or beneficiaries are being coded; that is, when used on verbs like \(=^{k}\) wangurru, whether only the motion is being denoted, or its motivation as well. Consider the sentences in (13.46):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(13.46a)} & Irranangka & kaankangurrunangkerri & mangarri. \\
\hline & irra-nangka & kaarr-n-Ø=kwangurru-nangka-eerri & mangarri \\
\hline & father-DAT & 3p-INV-3= carry-DAT-PROG & food \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{S/ he's taking food to his/ her father.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Yarrkorli & irriyaara & ngurru. \\
yarrkorli & i-rra=ee-y-kwara & ngurru \\
hang.down & 3a-2p=place-EP-1DAT & here \\
Hang it down here tolfor me. &
\end{tabular}

While in (13.46a) the father would appear to be a beneficiary, he could just as easily be a goal, or both. In (13.46b) a man at the bottom of a well is asking his friends to hang a rope (mamurlanja inja) down so he can climb out. The adverb ngurru 'here' clearly signals directional motion, but just as clearly the act is to be done for the speaker's benefit.

External possession ( \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (iii)) is another source of etic ambiguity. In the following examples the first person singular morpheme ( \(-{ }^{-}\)wara) could signal a variety of meanings: possessive, beneficiary, deprivee, affective, or combinations thereof:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kulaaya & karlewa & debarr & kengaara & inja. \\
kula-aa-ya & karle-bwa & debarr & ka=ya-nga-kwara & inja \\
husband-1DAT-3a & now-PROG & die & 3a=go-TNS-1DAT & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}

Now my busband has died.
(b) Nyangkaangkaya anja angkuyu kaanmraara?
nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya anja angkuyu kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) mra- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wara
\(\longrightarrow\)-AUG-girl-3p 3pDEF who 3p-INV-3= gather-1DAT
Who will take these girls off me? / who will take my girls?
(c) Wangalaalunguyu arrke akurla kaanmrangaara.
wangalaalanguya arrke akurla kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) mra-nga-kwara
children 3pREF demon 3p-INV-3=gather-PAST-1DAT

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{92}\) The 'him' on this occasion was a crying child, one whom the mother was at the time not keen to receive, and clearly did not consider herself to be a beneficiary of the transfer.
}

\section*{A demon took my children.}

In (13.47a) first person singular morphology appears on both the kinship noun kulaaya 'my husband' and on the verb. If a possessive reading is involved in the verbal morpheme, then it is redundant, possession already being coded on the noun. It is more likely that the verbal morpheme signals an affective dative reading, or that both meanings are intended. Similarly in ( 13.47 c ), possessive and affective dative readings are both plausible for the 1DAT morpheme on the verb. In (13.47b) a man is wondering where he can find husbands for his grown-up daughters. In a possessive reading of the morpheme in question, he is asking 'who will take my girls?' In another reading he is a deprivee, and in yet another he is a beneficiary, as it is to his advantage to have them married off satisfactorily. It is not possible etically to decide which of these readings the speaker intended, or indeed, whether two or more of these readings were not intended simultaneously.

\section*{Fourteen: complement clauses}

In Chapter 11 generally, and in \(\$ 11.5 .2\) in particular, it was shown how preverbs may frequently enter into hypotactic relations with verb classifiers: in these cases the preverb is embedded in the classifier's core as a complement of the classifier, although the linkage is tighter than that usually envisaged when verbal complementation is considered. In this chapter another, looser, kind of complementation is brought into consideration, one that has been referred to intermittently throughout this grammar, in §4.1.3 (iii), §5.1, §5.3.1, §7.2, §8.2.1, §8.4.3, §9.6.2, §10.6.3 (i), §11.5.2, and §13.2.2 (vii). This construction involves the general-purpose pro-classifier \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' in its non-classifier use as a simplex verb. A quick outline of this verb's basic usage, as a simplex verb, has been provided in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (iii), and the reader is referred to that section. The present chapter describes the use of \(k u N[]=y i\) as a matrix verb, and more particularly the kinds of clauses that may be subordinated to it, as a matrix. The sentences or speech events embedded under or subordinated to \(k u N[]=y i\) will be referred to as complement clauses \({ }_{1}\).

A number of factors motivate the treatment of the framing verb-framed clause relationship in Worrorra as one of syntactic dependence. Such an analysis is isomorphic with the classifier-preverb relationship described in Chapter 11; in both cases the embedding of an eventive complement is signalled formally by celestial indexation on the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) in undergoer position. The status of \(k u N[]=y i\) as a superordinate framing verb for both preverbs and complement clauses 1 is guaranteed by its obligatory indexation of celestial morphology: \(k u N[]=y i\) can never appear without celestial indexation, and only this class is permitted in its undergoer slot. A comprehensive survey of the relationship between framing verbs and framed clauses is to be found in Rumsey (2010), who documents the widespread cross-linguistic treatment of framed clauses as grammatical undergoers.

Worrorra complement clauses \({ }_{1}\) may represent any of three pragmatic functions, as illustrated in (14.1):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline [Wangarr & ema] & kubajungu. \\
\hline wangarr & a-Ø-nya=ma & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng \\
\hline forget & 3a-3-OPT=get & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1. They said, ['s/ he'll forget him.']} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2. They said [s/ he would forget him].} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3. They wanted [him/ her to forget him].} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As shown, a single form may signal any or all of these pragmatic functions, represented here by their English translations numbered 1-3. The first function encodes direct speech, a way of reporting what is emically and ideally understood to be the more or less exact wording used in a speech event. \({ }^{93}\) The

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{93}\) It has long been recognized (eg Wierzbicka 1974) that 'direct speech' may not be used to report exact wording at all, but may serve as a device for dramatic effect and other discourse purposes. This is not material to the
}
second function codes indirect speech, a way of reporting the semantic content of a speech event, without claiming that exact wording is involved. The third function is that of the complement clause \(2_{2}\) more narrowly defined, the coding of some mental process (volition in the example above) that is not uttered, or at least whose utterance is immaterial to the context in which the clause \({ }_{2}\) appears. \({ }^{94}\) To clarify this terminology, the kinds of clauses under discussion are related as in the diagram in (14.2):


Here the expression 'complement clause \({ }_{1}\) ' represents the entire range of types, while 'complement clause2' represents a sub-type. The taxon 'Speech reporting' is discussed in \(₫ 14.4\). Sections \(₫ 14.2\) and \(\$ 14.3\) are concerned primarily with complement clauses 2 .

As both verbum dicendi and pro-verb, \(k u N[]=y i\) is well suited to its role as general-purpose matrix predicate; and indeed its range of meanings, as discussed below, is wide: 'say, tell, think, suppose, know, want' in its role as a verb framing both speech and cognition, as well as 'do' more generally. As a verbum dicendi it may never appear without celestial indexation in undergoer position, so it obligatorily codes sentential material of some sort in object grammatical function. As a classifier, \(k u N[]=y i\) codes preverbs in undergoer position; as a matrix it codes sentences, thoughts and speech events generally.

\section*{\(14.1 k u N[]=y i\) as verbum dicendi}

As noted in \(₫ 13.2 .1\) (iii), after its pro-verbal function \(k u N[]=y i\) is at base a speech-framing verb. In this usage kuN[]=yi has three main semantic functions or 'meanings,' all denoting mental states and attitudes in either internal or external (spoken) manifestations. It is probably the case that at least all these mental stance meanings, 'say, think, know, want,' are related polysemously as sense meanings, in a manner comparable to that in which the connaître and savoir senses of the English verb know are related.

The material introduced or framed by this verb consists of a complement clause \({ }_{1}\) representing an utterance or mental process which is indexed on the matrix in celestial undergoer position. In these constructions the complement clause \({ }_{1}\) normally appears in front of the matrix verb, which is in turn usually at the end of the sentence it heads, as seen for example in (14.3a,b, 14.4) and (14.5) below. In these examples complement clauses \({ }_{1}\) are circumscribed by square brackets.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
['Angujakunya & wala & kunjiyeerri?'] & kunjunganangka. \\
anguja-kunya & wala & kuN-nja=yi-eerri & kuN- - \(=\) yi-ng-a-nangka \\
what-PURP & cry & VCOMP-2=do-PROG & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT \\
'Why are you crying?' be asked him. &
\end{tabular}
(b) ['Bengkaalaaw,'] kubajenganangka.
(=13.21a) ba=ya-kwaal-aaw kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-a-nangka CFT=go-hither-now VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-EP-DAT 'Come here now,' they said to her.

\footnotetext{
present discussion, which concerns a language-specific encoding of the direct-indirect distinction itself, rather than the motivations for each category.
\({ }^{94}\) Rumsey (1990) has maintained for Ungarinyin that these three pragmatic functions are not emically
distinguished, and that this situation is reflected in Ungarinyin sentence structure.
}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(c) & Minyenangka & [nyengkunyaal]. \\
\((=13.21 \mathrm{~b})\) & minya=yi-nangka & nyiN=ya-ngku-nya-kwaal \\
& \(2>3 \mathrm{w}=\) do-DAT & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-EP-HORT-hither \\
& Tell her she can come over.
\end{tabular}

The non-subcategorized (NSC) \({ }^{95}\) object morphemes (DAT) on the matrix verbs here and in (14.4) index addressees (see \(₫ 13.2 .1\) (iii)). We can note a difference in these sentences along the lines of the direct-indirect speech distinction observable in many languages. While the complement clause \({ }_{1}\) verb in (14.3a) has a second-person subject; and while the complement \({ }_{1}\) verb in (14.3b) is imperative, with an unmarked or understood second-person subject, that in (14.3c) is hortative, with a third-person subject. Sentence (14.3c) could conceivably have a direct-speech interpretation, as ? \({ }^{\text {tell }}\) him, 'she can come over' with the referent of the complement-clause subject different from that of the matrix object. But this was not the case in the speech event in which this utterance was recorded: while it is possible or even likely that the Worrorra sentence may serve for the questioned translation, it was spoken with the meaning given. However, in other situations this kind of distinction may not be apparent at all:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Karle & we & nyirrwaarndu & nganngunjungunurrerndu, \\
\hline karle & ve & nyirr= \({ }_{\text {wa }}{ }^{\text {-k }}\) warndu & ØN-ngan-ngun=yi-ng-u-[[nu- \({ }^{\text {k wurri] }}-^{\text {k }}\) warndu \(]\) \\
\hline now/then & lie.down & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) fall-DU & VCOMP-1-SJTV = do-PAST-EP-[[2pDAT]-DU] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
waa burnu birreenya.
waa burn-u ba-kuN-rra=yi-yinya
not PRO-3w CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-PAST
1. When I told you both to lie down and go to sleep, you didn't do it.
2. When I told you both, 'lie down and go to sleep,' you didn't do it.

The first part of this sentence contains a complement clause \({ }_{1}\) ((karle) we nyirrwaarndu) in either imperative or hortative mood. \({ }^{96}\) I am not sure whether the change-of-state conjunction karle should be included or excluded from the complement clause, as 'now lie down ...' or 'then when I told you ...' Whether it is or not, on the surface it seems that the direct-indirect distinction is irrelevant to sentences such as (14.4).

Thinking in Worrorra is represented as being analogous to speech, as internal conversation or monologue. The verb \(k u N[]=y i\) is able to frame thoughts as if they were speech:
(14.5a)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([\) Mangkaanya } & nyina & ngarru & nyengaara,] \\
mangka-aa-nya & nyina & ngarru & nyaN=ya-nga-kwara \\
wife \(_{\text {i }}\)-1DAT-3f & 3fDEF & whither & \(3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) go-PAST-1DAT
\end{tabular}
kungamnyenganu.
kuN-nga-mnya=yi-ng-a-nu
VCOMP-1-DD=do-PAST-EP-2DAT \({ }_{i}\)
I was thinking about you, wondering where that wife of mine bad gone.
(b) \((=11.52 \mathrm{a})\)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[Maniyam & maan & ngana & mana & kurdu & kungoyeerri] \\
ma=niya-m & maan & ngana & mana & kurdu & kuN-nga=bwu-yeerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) good-3m & SPEC & PROB & 3 mDEF & chase & VCOMP-1=hit-PROG
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{95}\) See Chapter 13.
\({ }^{96}\) The hortative suffix -(yi)nya is absent from intransitive forms with plural participant subjects (\$8.5.1).
}
kunjungu.
kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng
VCOMP-3=do-PAST
He thought/ supposed he was chasing after it in a safe place.
(c) \((=10.28 \mathrm{~b})\)
[Karle kenga] ngaali kunyajunganu.
karle ka=ya-nga ngaali kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-a-nu
now \(3 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) go-TNS WRONG VCOMP-1px=do-PAST-EP-2DAT \(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}\)
We thought/ supposed you'd gone.
From these examples we can see that representations of speech and thought are formally indistinguishable. Although from context it is clear that a sense meaning 'thought' is intended in each case, the same forms could be used to represent utterances, but of course with different intonations and different meanings. For instance, the form of (14.5a) could be used to mean 'I asked you where my wife had gone/I asked you 'where's my wife gone?" with the complement subject not co-referential with the matrix object; the form of ( 14.5 b ) could be used to mean 'he said, I'm chasing it in what is probably a safe place;' and the form of ( 14.5 c ) could be used to mean 'we mistakenly told you he'd gone/we were wrong when we told you he'd gone.' Note however that in complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) pragmatic function, the NSC object morphemes in (14.5a,c) do not index addressees; rather they have a thematic interpretation in these sentences ( \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (iv)).

The continuum from speaking to thinking to knowing is denoted by the verb \(k u N[]=y i\). In (14.6) this verb in its matrix occurrence means 'know.' In example (14.6a) both clauses are discontinuous: this example has already been discussed in \(\int 5.1\), and the reader is referred to that section, and to §14.3.4 below. In this sentence the matrix occurrence of \(k u N[]=y i\) denotes knowing, while its occurrence in the complement clause 2 denotes doing. Here, then, are two quite distinct sense meanings in compositionally adjacent forms (from now on actor identity will be co-indexed by subscripts):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(14.6a) & (=5.8) & & \\
Waa & {\([\) kubajeerri \(]\)} & bungkaajeenyanangkorri & arrka \\
waa & kuN-b warr=yi-eerri & ba-kuN-b warr=yi-yinya-nangkorri & arrka \\
not & VCOMP-3p \(_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PROG & CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-3pDAT \({ }_{i}\) & 3pNAR \(_{i}\)
\end{tabular}
wangalaalunguyu.]
children \(_{i}\)
They didn't know [what their children were doing].
(b) \((=10.52 b)\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Ngani & kunjungu] & wardi & bungkaajaara. \\
ngani & kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g\) & wardi & ba-kuN-b \({ }^{\text {warr=yi-k }}\) wara \\
what & VCOMP-3 \(\mathrm{i}=\) do-PAST & hope & CFT-VCOMP-3p=do-1DAT
\end{tabular}

I hope they know [what happened to me].
We have found so far a semantic, but no formal distinction between saying and thinking. Here we still find no formal distinction, but another semantic one between saying/thinking on the one hand and knowing on the other. Example (14.6a) cannot mean *they didn't tell their children what to do/ to do it, and (14.6b) cannot mean *I hope they say to me, 'what happened?' While the saying and thinking sense meanings may be more or less interchangeable in (14.5), those meanings are not interchangeable with knowing in (14.6).

\section*{14.2 \(k u N[]=y i\) as a tense-marking verb}

In many of its occurrences as a matrix verb, \(k u N[]=y i\) appears to be semantically void, its only apparent function being to impart time reference to its complement clause \({ }_{2}\), in circumstances where such complements are unable to code tense. In this section we will look at sentences where the subject of the complement clause 2 is the same as that of the matrix (that is, at 'same-subject' constructions). In this situation the matrix verb acquires whatever non-referential meaning it may exhibit, by raising it from its verbal complement. Observe tense and modality marking in (14.7):
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{[\text { Ngeyu }]} & \text { kungayeerri. }  \tag{14.7a}\\
\text { nga-nya=ya } & \text { kuN-nga=yi-eerri } \\
\text { 1-OPT=go } & \text { VCOMP-1=do-PROG } \\
\text { I want to go. } &
\end{array}
\]
(b) [Ngeyu] kungenga.
nga-nya=ya kuN-nga=yi-ng
1-OPT=go VCOMP-1=do-PAST
I wanted to go.

Example (14.7a) is potentially synonymous with its complement clause \({ }_{2}\) : ngeyu can mean both 'I will go' and 'I want to go.' But whereas verbs in optative mood are usually ambiguous between future time reference and volition ( \(\$ 8.2 .1\) ), optative verbs in periphrastic complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) constructions denote volition unambiguously ( \(\$ 8.2 .2\) ). Note also in these examples how time reference and modality are distributed: the optative-mood verb ngeyu is unable to mark tense ( \(\$ 8.2\) ), its time-reference in this case being shown on the matrix verb, which marks tense as present in (14.7a) and past in (b). Likewise the semantics of volition resides solely in the complement clause \(2_{2}\) verb; it imparts a volitional sense upwards to its matrix. The matrix assumes control of time-reference for the entire sentence, leaving the complement clause \(2_{2}\) with access to only the modal, volitional sense of its optative mood. In constructions of this sort, then, tense flows down from the matrix to the complement, while modal semantics, in this case volition, moves up from the complement to the matrix, as diagrammed in (14.8):


As Role-and-Reference theory predicts (Foley \& Van Valin 1984:256), two predicates in a subordinate linkage of this nature cannot be independently specified for tense and modality, which is just what we find here.

The use of a matrix verb to code tense on a subordinate clause is transparently derived from a direct-speech situation: to paraphrase Rumsey (1990:349, ex 5), sentences like (14.7a) may be translated literally as I am doing: 'T will go.' Nevertheless there is evidence that something other than direct speech is being signalled in these constructions. While examples like (14.7) may not depict the kind of complement clauses found in English, we are yet able to distinguish in Worrorra direct speech and another kind of complement distinct from it.

The use of matrix \(k u N[]=y i\) to encode tense on complement clauses \(2_{2}\) is particularly evident in intransitive same-subject constructions. In (14.9) below, the complement clauses \({ }_{2}\) are de-transitivized by means of a valency-reducing device, glossed MID (see Chapter 16):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[Barda & ngewee] & kunjungu. \\
barda & nga-nya=b \({ }^{\text {wee }}\) & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng \\
kill & \(1_{i}\)-OPT \(=\) hit.MID & VCOMP- \(3_{i}=\) do-PAST
\end{tabular}

He wanted [to kill bimself].
(b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Ngeninjiye] & binjee. \\
nga-nya=ninja-ye & ba-kuN-nja=yi \\
1-OPT=look.at-MID & CFT-VCOMP-2=do \\
You might want [to look, at yourself].
\end{tabular}

But this use holds as well for sentences with transitive complement clauses \({ }_{2}\), as seen in (14.10):
(14.10a) [Awa irranangka mara ingo] kunjungu.
awa irra-nangka mara i-nga=bwu kuN-Ø=yi-ng
3aNAR father \(r_{k}-\) DAT \(_{i}\) see \(3 a_{k}-1_{i}=\) hit VCOMP- \(3_{i}=\) do-PAST
He wanted [to see bisifather].
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arri & [ngamuku & nyarrama] & kunyajeerri. \\
arri & ngamuku & ØN-nyarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ma}\) & kuN-nyarr=yi-eerri \\
we(exc) & milk & \(3 \mathrm{w}-1 \mathrm{px}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{OPT}=\) get & VCOMP-1px \(=\) do-PROG \\
We want [to get some milk].
\end{tabular}

A present-tense version of example (14.10a) could be expressed in a simplex optative-mood construction: awa irranangkea mara ewu 'he will/wants to see his father,' and a simplex version of (14.10b) would merely omit the matrix verb kunyajeerri. It would seem, then, that the functions of same-subject complement clause \(2_{2}\) constructions are restricted pretty much to (i) disambiguating optative sense meanings, and (ii) encoding tense on complement clauses 2 .

A construction in which a matrix verb imparts its time-reference to a subordinate clause is potentially available to encode tense on any complement-clause \(2_{2}\) verb that cannot display it. The other formal class of verbs in Worrorra that cannot bear tense are potential forms, occurring when orderclass [9] on a counterfactual verb is left empty ( \(\$ 8.3\), Table 8.7), and used chiefly to denote hopes and fears as described in \(₫ 8.4 .4\). But there are only a couple of examples of potential-mood complement clauses \(_{2}\) in the database, and in both of them their subjects are different from those of their matrices. A potential-mood complement clause 2 is illustrated below in (14.27) in \$14.3.4.

While tense-marking is a significant function of complement-clause \(2_{2}\) constructions, it is not their only one. Just as importantly, these constructions are used to track participants in constructions where the subject of the complement clause \(2_{2}\) is different from that of the matrix ('different-subject' constructions).

\subsection*{14.3 The syntax of complement clauses \(_{2}\)}

The reader may have noticed that the subjects of complement clauses so far presented have on a number of occasions been coded as first person singular, in situations where such coding is not reflected in the English translation provided. This coding may be accounted for on the understanding that complement clauses \(1_{1}\) represent direct-speech quotations, as discussed in relation to Ungarinyin by Rumsey (1982:87-89, 95-96, 157-166; 1990:348-349), and as seen in (14.4). In this interpretation, the translations of the examples in question may be re-phrased as follows: (14.5b) be thought, I'm probably chasing it in a safe place;' (14.7) I think/ thought, 'I will go;' (14.9a) be thought, 'I will kill myself;' and (14.9b) you might think, 'I will look at myself. \({ }^{97}\) However, and despite appearances, from further consideration it

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{97}\) The grammar of (14.10a) will be considered below.
}
appears that the use of a first person singular morpheme indexes a non-quotational complementclause 2 style.

\subsection*{14.3.1 Intransitive same-subject complements}

For present purposes, we should note three types of intransitive complement clauses 2 in Worrorra: (i) clauses with simple intransitive verbs, as seen in (14.7), (ii) clauses with object-demoting predicates, as seen in (14.5b) and (14.12a) below, and (iii) derived intransitive clauses, as seen in (14.9). All these examples exhibit same-subject complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) constructions.

Consider now the paradigm in (14.11), used for '(someone) wants to go.' Column (a) contains the complement clause \(_{2}\), either ngeyu (|nga-nya=ya| [1-OPT=go]) 'I will/want to go,' ngarreyu (|ngarr\(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ya} \mid\) [1pin-OPT=go]) 'we (inc) will/want to go,' or arreyu (|arr-a=yu| [1px-OPT=go]) 'we (exc) will/want to go.' Column (b) contains the matrix, forms of \(k u N[]=y i\) inflected for different classes of agent:
(a) (b)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & ngeyu & kungayeerri & I want to go \\
2 & ngeyu & kunjiyeerri & you want to go \\
3 & ngeyu & kunjeerri & s/ he wants to go \\
1 pin & ngarreyu & wajeerri & we (inc) want to go \\
1 px & arreyu & kunyajeerri & we (exc) want to go \\
2 p & ngeyu & kurriyeerri & you all want to go \\
3 p & ngeyu & kubajeerri & they want to go
\end{tabular}

This paradigm is consistent with a direct-speech interpretation (he thinks, 'I will go;' we think, 'we will go,' etc) until the second and third person plural actor forms, where unexpectedly, the paradigm reverts to a first person singular index in the complement, as if each and every member of the indexed group (each individual of the 'you all' and 'they' sets) is depicted as thinking I will go. It is not clear why this depiction should not obtain as well for first person plural actors, or alternatively, why the second and third person plural complements should not use first person plural indices. This anomaly is even more apparent in spontaneous texts:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Baay & kanunaarndu & werrim & mana, & [murn & mangayora] \\
baay & ka=nu-na-kwarndu & werrim & mana & murn & ma-nga=yora \\
climb & 3a=be-PAST-DU & hill & 3 3DEF & gaze & VCOMP-1=seek
\end{tabular}
kunjungaarndu.
kuN-[Ø] \(=\) yi-ng-[kwarndu]
vCOMP-[3]=do-PAST-[DU]
They (dual) climbed a bill [in order to look around].
(b)
\begin{tabular}{clll}
\(\ldots\) [baay & ngenu] & kaajingeerri & {\([\) wunu } \\
baay & nga-nya=nu & ØN-ngka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=yi-ng-eerri & wunu \\
climb & 1-OPT=be & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
karrku rdaarreya.]
karrku \(\quad\) ØN=raarreya
hill \(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{big}\)
... they were trying [to climb up into the bigh bills].

In these same-subject constructions, matrices with third person non-singular subjects dominate complement clauses with first person singular subject indices. In (14.12a) the matrix kunjungaarndu is marked for a third-person dual subject. The complement clause in this sentence is murn mangayora, literally 'I'll look around,' rather than either of the available 1 pin shapes murn marrayora 'we'll look around,' or murn marrayoraarndu 'we'll both look around,' as would be expected if this construction really was one of direct speech. Instead, the direct speech version of this sentence would be, baay kanunaarndu werrim mana: 'murn marrayora,' kaningaayenaarndu - 'they climbed up a hill: 'let's have a look around,' they said to each other.' And indeed, not very far away in the same text we find a direct speech construction of just this sort, with the suppletive middle-voice allomorph of kuN[]=yi (see §16.1):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
'Karle & ngarriyaarndu,' & kaningaayenaarndu. \\
karle & ngarr-a=ya-kwarndu & ka=ningaaye-na-kwarndu \\
now & 1pin-OPT= go-DU & 3a=do.MID-PAST-DU \\
Let's go' they said to each other. &
\end{tabular}

Likewise in (14.12b), the complement clause \(2_{2}\) verb ngenu has a singular subject index rather than the plural index expected if this really were direct speech. The point is that first person singular indices always stand in for second and third person plural subjects in this kind of construction; the corresponding plural indices are just not found.

Further evidence for the grammaticization of first person singular in this role is seen in (14.14) below, where in order to denote iterative aspect the complement-clause verb is truncated and reduplicated in its entirety, as if it were a single (preverbal) morpheme ( \(\mathrm{cf} \S 11.3 .3\) ):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Ingam-ingam] & kaajingeerri. \\
i-nga=ma-i-nga=ma & ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri \\
3a-1=get-3a-1=get & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

They were trying [to grab bold of him] / they almost [caught hold of him].
Notice as well in sentence example (10.49a) in \(\$ 10.4 .2\) (ii), how the complement clause is discontinuous - the relevant part of that sentence is repeated here as (14.15):
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
(14.15) & \ldots & \text { [ngeyu] } & \text { jungunju }
\end{array} \quad \text { [dambeemangurru] }
\]

Here the matrix verb jungunju 'when you want' splits the complement clause 2 : this configuration can in no way be intended to represent actual utterance.

Despite that fact that constructions of the sort shown in (14.12) and (14.14) are clearly derived from or related to direct speech, with respect to synchronic grammar we are nonetheless able to entertain a prima facie case that in some contexts first person indices are found in constructions that do not involve direct speech. And indeed a good case can be made that first person singular subject marking is indexical of complement \(2_{2}\) constructions more generally. And when we observe as well constructions of the sort seen in (14.3a \& b), it is equally clear that we need to distinguish direct speech from other kinds of construction in Worrorra.

Returning now to a reconsideration of the paradigm in (14.11), we can suggest a non-directspeech interpretation of its grammar. In this interpretation first-person coding in same-subject complement clauses \(2_{2}\) constitutes dummy coding, with the subject's 'real' grammatical features projected onto the matrix, to appear as the matrix verb's subject index.

\subsection*{14.3.2 Transitive same-subject complements}

The reader will also have noticed that sentence examples (14.5a, c) and those in (14.6) display matrix verbs suffixed with NSC object morphemes that do not denote addressees, and are not involved in direct-speech constructions. These examples all involve different-subject constructions; and indeed NSC objects are unable to appear on matrices involved in same-subject constructions with intransitive complement clauses \({ }_{2}\). They may be found, however, in same-subject constructions with transitive complement clauses 2 ; we have already seen one such in (10.74b) in \(\$ 10.6 .3\) (i), and another is shown below:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[Burrkay & ingayabu] & kungeneerri. \\
burrkay & i-nga=yabu & kuN-nga=yi-nu-eerri \\
ask & \(3 a_{k}-1_{i}=\) throw & VCOMP- \(1_{i}=\) do- 2 DAT \(_{k}\)-PROG
\end{tabular}

I want to ask you something.
In this sentence the complement clause's \(s_{2}\) subject and object are both indexed twice. The complement object's real, second person, features appear in NSC object position on the matrix verb (2DAT), while it accepts dummy third person coding on the complement verb. Same-subject constructions, therefore, are produced according to the following specifications:
(1) A matrix subject is represented in the complement as a (dummy) first person,
(2) The complement object is relegated to third person,
(3) The real person features of a dummy third person index are projected onto the matrix.

As a corollary, when the complement object is an actual third person, no NSC object appears on the matrix. Observe in this context, the minimal pairs in (14.18) and (14.19), the latter taken from Appendix 1:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngarloonangka & kungayeerri. \\
ØN-nga=rloo-nangka & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
VCOMP- \(1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) speak-DAT & VCOMP- \(1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PROG \\
I want to talk. to him. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngarloonangka kungeneerri.

ØN-nga=rloo-nangka kuN-nga=yi-nu-eerri
VCOMP \(-1_{i}=\) speak-DAT \({ }_{k} \quad\) VCOMP \(-1_{i}=\) do- 2 DAT \({ }_{k}-\) PROG
I want to talk to you.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ingo & ngana & kubajungu & inja. \\
i-nga \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu & ngana & kuN-b warr=yi-ng & inja \\
\(3 a_{k}-1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) hit & PROB & VCOMP-3pi=do-PAST & \(3_{\mathrm{i}}\) VEF \(_{\mathrm{K}}\)
\end{tabular}

They must have wanted to kill him.
(b) Ngayu ingo ngana kubajungaara.
ngayu i-nga=bwu ngana kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-kwara
\(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 3 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}-1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) hit \(\quad\) PROB \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(3 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PAST-1DAT \({ }_{k}\)
They must have wanted to kill me.

Further examples of transitive same-subject constructions with third-person complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) objects not marked on the matrix verb, may be seen in (14.10) and in Appendix 1.

For transitive same-subject constructions, then, there are three possibilities of expression: (i) in simplex form, (ii) in a hypotactic construction with a third person complement object, or (iii) in a
hypotactic construction with a non-third person complement object, whose person features are coded on the matrix. These three possibilities are exemplified below:
(14.20a) Nyarloongarreerri.

ØN-Ø-nya=rloo-ngarri-eerri
VCOMP-3-OPT=speak-1pinDAT-PROG
He will/ wants to talk to us.
(b) [Ngarloonangkorri] kungayeerri.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ØN-nga=rloo-[nangka-kwurri] } & \text { kuN-nga=yi-eerri } \\
\text { VCOMP- } 1_{i}=\text { speak-[3pDAT] } & \text { VCOMP- } 1_{i}=\text { do-PROG }
\end{array}
\]

I want to talk, to them.
(c) [Ngarloonangkorri] kunjungarreerri.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ØN-nga=rloo-[nangka-kwurri] kuN- } \varnothing=\text { yi-(ng)-ngarri-eerri } \\
& \text { VCOMP } \left.-1_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { speak-[3pDAT } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{k}}\right] \quad \text { VCOMP- } 3_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { do-(PAST)-1 } \text { pinDAT }_{\mathrm{k}} \text {-PROG }
\end{aligned}
\]

Note that real number features are faithfully recorded for objects on complement clause \({ }_{2}\) indices they just happen to be attached to dummy person features. In (14.20c) the dummy third person object index on the complement clause \(2_{2}\) verb ( 3 p -nangkorri) retains its plural number marking to agree with its 'real' manifestation (1pin -ngarri) as marked on the matrix verb.

\subsection*{14.3.3 Indices of discourse status}

We have seen above in \(\$ 14.3 .1\) how the dummy use of a first person singular morpheme in complement clauses 2 is an index of the indirect or non-direct status of a stretch of discourse. Deictic shifts mark indirect speech cross-linguistically; usually shifts from the 'original' or 'real' values in which deixis appears in corresponding direct-speech utterances (see, eg, Rumsey 1990 and references therein). It appears that person-marking in Worrorra complement clauses 2 is one such deictic, subject to shifting away from direct-speech contexts.

Two other phenomena in Worrorra may be noted in this regard. As mentioned, complementclause \(_{2}\) constructions in Worrorra may be indexed by the matrix verb's NSC object having a thematic rather than an addressee interpretation ( \(\$ 13.2 .2\) (iv)). The NSC object morphemes in (14.5a, c) above, for example, cannot be interpreted as addressees without radically altering their sentences' meanings. An interesting example of the contrast between thematic and addressee interpretations may be seen in (14.21), where the first sentence is indirect reportage, while the second repeats the information in direct speech style:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
{\([\) Karle } & school-kunya & ready & nyininyaal] & kubajengaara. \\
karle & school-kwunya & ready & nyiN=ni-nya-kwaal & kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-kwara \\
now & school-PURP & ready & \(3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) be-HORT-hither & VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-1DAT \({ }_{i}\)
\end{tabular}
'School-kunya karle ngunyangerri,' kubajengaara
school-kwunya karle ngun=ya-nga-eerri kuN-bwarr-nya=yi-ng-kwara
school-PURP now 2=go-PAST-PROG VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST-1DAT
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { anja } & \text { aalmareya. } \\ \text { anja } & \text { aalmara-ya } \\ \text { 3pDEF } & \text { European-3p } \\ \text { They said I should get ready for school. 'You're going to school, now,' the Europeans told me. }\end{array}\)

The first sentence here is an intransitive different-subject construction ( \(\$ 14.3 .4\) ) involving indirect reporting, while the second is direct quotation (see \(\S 14.4\) ). As I will argue in \(\S 14.4\), the hortative form of the complement-clause \(1_{1}\) verb in the first sentence constitutes a polite register used in reporting imperative speech acts: this phenomenon may be observed in (14.3c) as well. As will be seen below, the third person shape nyininyaal is indexical of a different-subject complement 2 ; it also means that the first person singular NSC object index on the matrix verb cannot, in the first sentence, have an addressee interpretation; rather it must be a theme. An addressee interpretation would require second-person or imperative coding in the complement clause \({ }_{1}\). Another example is seen below, in which for the same reasons the NSC object cannot be an addressee, but must be a theme:
(14.22)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Kunyajunganangkerri & awa & barnarn & kawana \\
\hline kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-a-nangka-eerri & awa & barnarn & ka= \({ }_{\text {wa }}\)-na \\
\hline VCOMP-1px \(=\) do-PAST-EP-DAT \({ }_{1}\)-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{aNAR}_{1}\) & married & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) fall-TNS \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
karraanya.
karra-aa-nya
mother-1DAT-3f
We used to say that he'd married our mother / we used to say he's married our mother.
The NSC object on the matrix verb makes it improbable that this sentence is directly quoting what the speakers used to say, and its flat intonation contour confirms this interpretation (see discussion in §14.4).

Thirdly, possessive marking in complement clauses \(2_{2}\) shifts away from the deictic parameters that would apply in direct-speech situations. This phenomenon can be observed in (14.10a) above and in other examples:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
{\([\) Mangkanangkanya } & nyinganinja] & kunjungu. \\
mangka-nangka-nya & nyiN-nga=ninja & kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g\) \\
wife \(_{\mathrm{k}}\)-DAT i - 3 f & \(3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}-1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) look.at & VCOMP- \(3_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PAST \\
He wanted [to see hisi wife]. &
\end{tabular}

In both (14.10a) and (14.23) there is a mismatch between possessive marking on the kinship nouns (third person singular) and formal marking of the possessor, the complement clause's \({ }_{2}\) subject (as dummy first person singular). Possession (-nangka ' 3 sg DAT') is marked on the complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) object in formal agreement with the subject of the matrix verb, not with that of the complement verb, as we might expect if the complement clause really did represent a direct speech utterance.

\subsection*{14.3.4 Intransitive different-subject complements}

Intransitive complement clauses \(2_{2}\) with subjects different from those of their matrix verbs may be seen in \((14.3,14.4)\) and in the second sentence of (14.21), but these examples all involve speech reporting, and will be discussed in \(\S 14.4\). Sentence examples ( 14.5 a, 14.5 c ), and the first sentence in (14.21) do not involve direct speech, and all have intransitive complement clauses \({ }_{2}\) in different-subject constructions. Further examples are presented below:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Nyeyu] & kunjunganu. \\
nyaN-nya=ya & kuN-Ø=yi-ng-a-nu \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{OPT}=\) go & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-2DAT \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\) \\
S/he wanted [you (fem) to go].
\end{tabular}
(b) \begin{tabular}{ll}
{\([\) Eninjiye \(]\)} & kunjungaara. \\
a-nya=ninja-ye & kuN-Ø=yi-ng-kwara \\
3a \(_{\mathrm{i}-\text {-OPT-look.at-MID }}\) & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT \({ }_{i}\) \\
S/he wanted [me (masc) to look at myself].
\end{tabular}

Each of these examples display (i) a dummy third person complement subject, with (ii) the complement subjects' real person features projected onto their matrix verb. This situation reverses one of the specifications for same-subject constructions noted in (14.17), which requires a dummy third-person complement object. We may now complete the specifications for constructing complement clauses 2 , started in (14.17), as follows:

\section*{IN BOTH CONSTRUCTION TYPES:}

ORDERED RULES:
(1) A matrix subject is represented in the complement as a (dummy) first person,
(2) A complement argument unaffected by (1) is relegated to third person, SUBJ \(>\) OBJ.

UNORDERED:
(3) The real person features of a dummy third person index are projected onto the matrix.

IN DIFFERENT-SUBJECT CONSTRUCTIONS ONLY:
(4) A \([\{+\mathrm{I},+\mathrm{II}\}]\) complement subject is projected onto the matrix.

Although specifications (3) and (4) may seem to be equivalent, they are not, and both are required, as we will see. The ordering Rule (1) > Rule (2) accounts for the switching of obligatory third-person marking between the subject in different-subject, and the object in same-subject constructions. In same-subject complements Rule (1) applies first, effecting the complement subject. Only then can Rule (2) apply, and the only target left for it to effect is the complement object, which now becomes obligatorily third person. The rule only applies once, targeting first a subject, and if that has already been effected by Rule (1), it moves on to the object.

A further corollary is needed here, in addition to and along the lines of that provided for the specifications in (14.17): when a complement subject is [-I, -II], it may be projected onto the matrix verb, but is not required to be so. This optionality is illustrated in (14.26), where both sentences are legal:
(14.26a) [Nyeyu] kungayeerri.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
nyaN-nya= ya & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
3f-OPT= go & VCOMP-1=do-PROG \\
I want [her to go7. &
\end{tabular}
(b) [Nyeyu] kungenangkerri.
nyaN-nya \(=\) ya kuN-nga=yi-nangka-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{OPT}=\) go \(\quad\) VCOMP-1 \(=\) do-DAT \(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{PROG}\)
I want [her to go].
The only potential-mood complement clause \(e_{2}\) construction in the database is of this type ( \(\$ 14.2\) ); the complement here is intransitive with a third-person subject which is not projected onto its matrix:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Larlangkarram & maa & bangkawa] & kungayeerri. \\
rlarlangkarram & maa & ba-ka=bwa & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
sea & 3 mREF & CFT-3a=fall & VCOMP-1=do-PROG \\
I am worried [that he might fall into the sea].
\end{tabular}

The sentences in (14.5a, 14.5c, 14.21, 14.24a) and (14.26) all show simple intransitive complement clauses \(_{2}\) in different-subject constructions, and (14.24b) illustrates a derived intransitive complement in such a construction. The sentences in (14.6) contain complement clauses \(2_{2}\) with object-demoting predicates, and require further comment.

In (14.6b) the subject of the complement clause \({ }_{2}(-\varnothing-\quad ' 3\) ') is co-referential with the first-person singular matrix NSC object. The interrogative anaphor ngani is the complement verb's object (see §10.5), and the real (first) person features of the complement subject have been projected onto the matrix verb. In Worrorra the question-frame ngani kuN[]=yi? 'what did [SUBJ] do?' corresponds in meaning to the English frame what happened to OBJ? (see also (6.14c).

A third-person NSC object suffixed to a matrix verb is indexical of the different-subject status of a complement-clause \({ }_{2}\) construction - this phenomenon is not found in same-subject constructions (see \(\int 14.3 .2\) ). And so: when different-subject status is referentially important, for, say, disambiguation or participant-tracking purposes, a third person complement subject will be projected onto its matrix verb as an NSC object, which is what we find in (14.6a/5.8), and which may be what the speaker had in mind when (14.26b) and (14.29b) were elicited. In (14.6a) the juxtaposed matrix and complement verbs share the same lexical root ( \(k u N[]=y i\) ), and the same subject index ( 3 p ), which are, however, not co-referential. Projecting the complement subject onto the matrix as an NSC object ensures that this sentence will not be interpreted as a same-subject construction - the subjects of the two clauses can only refer to different actors.

\subsection*{14.3.5 Transitive different-subject complements}

The first specification in (14.25) requires a matrix subject to be represented as a dummy first person if it appears in a complement clause \(2_{2}\). In transitive different-subject constructions, this occurs when the matrix subject is co-referential with the complement object (as opposed to the complement subject in same-subject constructions). The examples in (14.28) illustrate this kind of transitive construction:
(14.28a) [Nganyaninja] kunjuneerri.
nga-n-Ø-nya=ninja kuN-Ø=yi-nu-eerri
\(1_{\mathrm{i}}\)-INV-3 \(3_{\mathrm{k}}\)-OPT \(=\) look.at \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(3_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-2DAT \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\)-PROG
Hei wants [you to look at himi].
(b)
[Nyarluwaara] kungeneerri.
ØN-Ø-nya=rloo-kwara kuN-nga=yi-nu-eerri
VCOMP- \(3_{k}\)-OPT \(=\) speak- \(1 \mathrm{DAT}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad\) VCOMP \(-1_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do- \(2 \mathrm{DAT} T_{\mathrm{k}}\)-PROG
I want [you to talk to me].
(c) [Aai nganyo] kubajunganangkerri.
aai nga-n-Ø-nya=bwu kuN-b \({ }^{\text {barr }}=\) yi-ng-a-nangka-eerri
call \(\quad 1_{\mathrm{i}}\)-INV \(-3_{\mathrm{k}}\)-OPT=hit VCOMP-3 \(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PAST-EP-DAT \(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}\)-PROG
Theyi wanted [bim to call out to them \({ }_{i}\) ].
(d) [Mara nganyo] kungenganu.
mara nga-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya \(={ }^{b}\) wu kuN-nga=yi-ng-a-nu
see \(\quad 1_{i}-\) INV- \(3_{k}-\) OPT \(=\) hit \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(1_{i}=\) do-PAST-EP-2DAT \({ }_{k}\)
I wanted [you to see me].
In each of these sentences the matrix subject appears in the complement as dummy first-person singular, and the real person features of the third-person complement subject are projected onto the matrix verb. In (14.28c) the speaker has chosen to project a third-person complement subject onto the matrix, again, most likely to maximally distinguish the roles of the third-person actors in this sentence.

When the matrix subject does not appear as an argument of the complement clause \({ }_{2}\), the complement object is not projected onto the matrix, and retains its real person coding:
(14.29a)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Ngunyaninja] & kunjaareerri. \\
ngun-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=ninja & kuN- \(\varnothing=y i={ }^{k}\) wara-eerri \\
2-INV-3-OPT=look.at & VCOMP-3=do-1DAT \({ }_{i}\)-PROG \\
He wants [me to look at you.
\end{tabular}
(b)
[Barrarloonu] kungenangkorreerri.
ØN-b warr-a=rloo-nu kuN-nga=yi-nangkorri-eerri
VCOMP-3pi-OPT=speak-2DAT VCOMP-1=do-3pDAT \({ }_{\mathrm{i}}\)-PROG
I want [them to talk, to you].
(c)
Irno eeja [mangkanangkanya nyininja] kunjaareerri.
\(\mathrm{i}=\) rno eeja mangka-nangka-nya nyiN- \(\varnothing=\) ninja kuN- \(\varnothing=y i={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wara-eerri
3a-DIST \(\operatorname{man}_{\mathrm{i}}\) wife-DAT \(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}\) 3F \(3 \mathrm{f}-3_{\mathrm{k}}=\) look.at \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(3_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-1DAT \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}\)-PROG
That mani wants [me to look at hisi wife].

Again, in these sentences the complement subject is third person, with its real person features projected onto the matrix. In (14.29b) a third-person complement subject is projected onto the matrix, so whether or not such forms are dummy, is moot.

The first-person coding of matrix subjects appearing as complement objects, in distinction to complement objects from other sources, has implications for participant tracking. The following minimal pair illustrates how coding the source of a complement object may support comprehension: in (14.30a) the complement object co-refers with the matrix subject, and in (14.30b) it does not.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{[\text { Nganyaninja] }} & \text { kunjaarerri. }  \tag{14.30a}\\
\text { nga-n- } \varnothing \text {-nya }=\text { ninja } & \text { kuN- } \varnothing=y i={ }^{\text {k }} \text { wara-eerri } \\
1_{\mathrm{i}} \text {-INV- } 3_{\mathrm{k}} \text {-OPT=look.at } & \text { VCOMP- } 3_{\mathrm{i}}=\text { do-1DAT }{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \text {-PROG } \\
\text { He wants [me to look at himi]. }
\end{array}
\]
(b) [Eninja] kunjaarerri.
a-Ø-nya=ninja \(\quad\) kuN- \(\varnothing=y i={ }^{\text {k }}\) wara-eerri
\(3 a_{k}-3_{\mathrm{p}}\)-OPT \(=\) look.at \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(3_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-1DAT \(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}}\)-PROG
Hei wants [me to look at hime].

Different-subject constructions appear not to be required to project complement subjects onto their matrices in the following circumstances: (i) when all arguments in both clauses are third person, and (ii) when the matrix subject does not appear in the complement clause \({ }_{2}\). Some examples follow, with intransitive complement clauses \({ }_{2}\) seen in (14.31a, b), and a transitive complement in (14.31c)). Sentence example (14.1) is also of this type, with a transitive complement.
(14.31a) [Wangarr nyewa] kunjungu.
wangarr nyaN-nya= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa kuN- - \(=\) yi-ng
forget \(\quad 3 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}\)-OPT \(=\) fall \(\quad\) VCOMP- \(3_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PAST
He wanted [her to forget].
(b) [Barda arrawee] kunjungu.
barda arr-a \(={ }_{\text {b }}^{\text {wee }}\) kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g\)
kill 3p-OPT=hit.MID VCOMP-3=do-PAST

He wanted [them to kill themselves/each other].
(c)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline [Wangarr & nyewarrama] & kubajungu. \\
\hline wangarr & nyaN-nya- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr-a=ma & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng \\
\hline forget & \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{OPT}-3 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\)-OPT \(=\) get & VCOMP-3 \(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\) do-PAST \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Theyi wanted [theme to forget her].} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This appears to be a strong tendency, but without more exemplification in the database it is difficult to rule out the possibility of projection altogether. Rather, in such circumstances the projection of the subject of a complement clause onto its matrix is likely to have been a device always available for disambiguation and to clarify participant tracking; but able to be omitted when not required.

\subsection*{14.3.6 Complement-clause \(2_{2}\) syntax: summary and extension}

In the preceding sections we have observed a syntax transparently derived from a direct-speech scenario. This syntax consists of a set of formal dependencies and correspondences holding between the arguments of the matrix and complement clauses 2 , that conspire to signal the identity of participants in discourse. And as seen in \(\$ 14.2\), these inter-clausal syntactic dependencies extend beyond argument structure to time-reference and tense-marking. Taken together, this set of syntactic and morphological forms collectively constitutes the grammaticization of complement clause \({ }_{2}\) syntax in Worrorra.

It could be tempting to ignore the relationships described above in favour of a less formal approach, which would treat complement clauses \(2_{2}\) as direct mental quotations without reference to the set of dependency correspondences obtaining between them and their matrices. Despite their derivation, complement clauses \(2_{2}\) of the sort we have seen have structural properties that are not simply excrescences of a synchronic direct-speech style, and they are not able to be adequately described in those terms. Nevertheless it is possible to summarize the processes we have observed over the previous sections, and this has been attempted in the set of specifications set out in (14.25), and again, and perhaps more graphically, in (14.32):
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { MATRIX SUBJ }->1(\mathrm{sg}) \text { in COMP }  \tag{14.32}\\
& \text { COMP ARG }->3 \\
& \text { COMP ARG }[+ \text { REAL }] —>\text { MATRIX OBJ }
\end{align*}
\]

This summary employs the term ARG to represent either argument of a clause (subject or object, depending on clause type) that undergoes some syntactic process. The clause level, matrix or complement, is capitalized in front of the grammatical function under consideration. If (14.25) lists a set of specifications for each kind of clause, (14.32) summarizes (in a hopefully comprehensible fashion) the processes involved, without reference to clause type.

Having established specifications and processes, it remains to describe a revealing variation upon them. This occurs when an NP index contains a bundle of person features, namely \([+\mathrm{I},+\mathrm{II}]\) or \([+\mathrm{I}\), \([-I,-I I]]\). Complement-clause \(2_{2}\) constructions are able to decompose or separate out these features in the same way that outranked-actor number marking is able to distinguish grammatical classes indexed by a single form ( \(\$ 9.2\) ). The sentences in (14.33) both contain intransitive complement clauses 2 , a same-subject complement in (14.33a), and a different-subject one in (b). Both complements contain an index with a complex feature-bundle: 1 px which is \([+\mathrm{I},[-\mathrm{I},-\mathrm{II}]]\).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{98}\) Abbreviations used in this formalism: MATRIX \(=\) matrix clause; COMP \(=\) complement clause; SUBJ \(=\) subject; \(\mathrm{OBJ}=\) (non-subcategorized) object; ARG \(=\) either argument of a clause, one effected by a syntactic process; REAL \(=\) non-dummy person features; \(1=\) first person; \(3=\) third person.
}
(cf. 9.39b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Arriyoorri] & kungayeerri. \\
arr-a=ya-oorri & kuN-nga=yi-eerri \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}-\) OPT=go-U/AUG & VCOMP-1=do-PROG \\
I want [to go with them]. &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Arriyoorri] & kungenangkorreerri. \\
arr-a=ya-oorri & kuN-nga=yi-nangkorri-eerri \\
1px-OPT=go-U/AUG & VCOMP-1=do-3pDAT-PROG \\
I want [them to come/go with me].
\end{tabular}

Sentence (14.33a) is the simplest type of construction (intransitive same-subject), and the only specification that applies to it is that the matrix subject should be represented in the complement as first person; which is what we find, although not in singular index form as could be expected. This example shows that the grammatical category affected by these syntactic processes are grammatical person features, rather than composite grammatical persons as such. In (14.33a) the matrix subject bears the feature \([+\Pi\), and it is this feature, rather than a particular formal index, that must appear in the complement clause 2 .

The matrix in sentence (14.33b) bears an NSC object morpheme, making it clear that its intransitive complement displays a different subject. Reviewing the specifications required for this kind of construction, again we see that the matrix subject finds representation in the complement by way of a \([+\Gamma]\) grammatical feature. In this different-subject construction rule (2) (in (14.25)) is outranked by rule (1), so the complement subject is not required to appear in a third person index.

In accordance with specification (4), a complement subject is projected onto the matrix of (14.33b), but it bears neither of the features \([+I]\) or \([+I I]\). In effect, and as signalled by the outrankedactor morpheme appearing on the complement verb, this construction has split the feature-bundle of 1 px . The feature \([+\Gamma]\) remains behind in the complement clause \({ }_{2}\), while only the third-person feature \([-I,-I I]\) is projected onto the matrix: and this turns out to be a precise coding device - in this sentence the semantic subject is invested only with the feature \([-I,-I I]\). The outcome is that in this construction the complement-clause \(2_{2}\) verb arriyoorri has a third-person plural subject in line with its English translation: but only in the specific syntax in which it occurs in (14.33b) and sentences like it. Note how the semantic subjects of arriyoorri are switched in these two sentences: this switch can only be signalled by the syntax of the constructions in which they appear, a syntax which would not be apparent in an analysis which treated alike all manifestations of complement clauses.

Finally, observe a variation on this process in another intransitive different-subject construction, this time with a \([+\mathrm{I},+\mathrm{II}]\) feature-bundle in the complement:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{[\text { Ngarreyu }]} & \text { kungenurreerri. }  \tag{14.34}\\
\text { ngarr-a }=\text { ya } & \text { kuN-nga=yi-noorri-eerri } \\
\text { 1pin-OPT= go } & \text { VCOMP-1=do-2pDAT-PROG } \\
\text { I want [you all to come with me]. }
\end{array}
\]

Here we find the matrix subject represented in the complement, again by the person feature \([+\Gamma]\), and a \([+I I]\) complement subject feature projected onto its matrix. Again, the syntax has decomposed the feature bundle of the first person inclusive plural index, sending one feature up into the matrix while retaining the other in the complement.

The sentences in \((14.33,14.34)\) appear to have a syntactic foot in both same-subject and differentsubject camps. This is because the matrix subjects in these sentences are, in feature terms, both the same as and different from the subjects of their complements. And the grammar uses this ambiguity as an opportunity to encode meaning in subtle and precise ways.

\subsection*{14.4 Reporting speech}

In \(\S 14.2\) and \(\$ 14.3\) we observed complementation 2 as the representation of mental processes by means of an array of syntactic dependencies between a matrix clause indexing an agent and a type of mental process (thinking, wanting, fearing etc), and its complement, denoting the propositional or semantic content of that process. In this section we will review a second kind of complementation, that used to record speech. We will need to distinguish further two kinds of speech reporting, that which purports to present actual wording, and that which conveys the propositional content of some speech event, without making claims about the actual wording used.

We may now return to a consideration of the examples of speech reporting shown above in (14.3, 14.4) and in the second sentence of (14.21). Example (14.13) also involves direct speech, and the morphology of the framing verb in this sentence will be discussed in Chapter 16. In formal terms the sentences in \((14.3,14.4)\) and (14.21) are all intransitive different-subject constructions in which the complement subject is an actual or implied second person. They violate complement clause \({ }_{2}\) specifications in not having a third-person complement subject index, and complement-clause \(2_{2}\) semantics in having NSC objects that index addressees.

Reported speech may involve a complement clause \({ }_{1}\) with a verb in optative mood, without requiring a volitional interpretation. The complement in (14.35) contains three verbs, the first two in hortative mood, the third in optative:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Karle & nyardo, & wara & arrbanangka & nyarrarno,' \\
karle & nyaN-ngarr=rno & wara & a-ngarr=ma-nangka & nyaN-ngarr-a=rno \\
now & 3f-1pin=give & kangaroo & 3a-1pin=get-DAT & 3f-1pin-OPT=give
\end{tabular}
kubajeng.
kuN-b warr-nya=yi-ng
VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST
Let's give it to her now, let's get a kangaroo and we'll give it to her,' they said.
Formally, the sequence nyarrarno kubajeng represents a transitive same-subject construction, and violates none of the specifications for complement clauses 2 of this kind. Yet this construction does not involve a complement of that sort. The optative-mood verb registers future time reference only, as supported by the hortative form of the two preceding verbs, and the sentence conveys a representation of direct speech in its complement. \({ }^{99}\)

Direct speech is conveyed as well by altered intonation, pitch and volume. The material within quotation marks in (14.3a, b, 14.21) and (14.35) was spoken with pitch and intonation altered from that in adjacent text. Pitch is generally higher, volume may be increased, and intonation is more varied (more lively), depending on the content of quoted material. In a narration direct speech may be introduced by an interjection ' \(O\),' repeated for each new speaker. The speech in (14.36) was quoted without a framing verb:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
'O, karle & nyardo, & marno & binyineerri & nyangke.' \\
O & karle & nyaN-ngarr=rno & marno & ba-nyiN=nu-eerri & nyangke \\
O now & 3f-1pin=give & 3mDIST & CFT-3f=be-PROG & 3fPRSNTIL \\
Let's give it to her now, she might be (all alone) over there.'
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{99}\) The speaker is here representing actual wording. Whether these exact words were uttered precisely as stated is irrelevant; in Worrorra as in other languages direct speech is a discourse style available for graphic and dramatic effect, as well as for accurate recording purposes. In (14.37) below Patsy Lulpunda recounts an event that had happened 80 years previously, and employs direct discourse style for effect. Of course speaker and hearers all understand the conventions associated with this style.
}

In fluent or dramatic renditions, as above, framing verbs may be dispensed with altogether. The speakers in (14.37) have just discovered the body of a recently-murdered dreamtime ancestor. This passage is reproduced here with the sentences separated out and numbered for convenience:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Arri & angkuyu & nguyul & kumnyenganangka? \\
arri & angkuyu & nguyul & kuN- -mnya=yi-ng-a-nangka \\
but & who & kill & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}
1. 'But who killed him?

Angkuyu nguyul kunjunganangka?’
angkuyu nguyul kuN-Ø=yi-ng-a-nangka
who kill VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT
2. Who killed him?'
'O, Mee angkuyu ngana, angkuyu ngana.'

O I.don't.know who PROB who PROB
3. 'I don't know who it could have been, who it might have been.'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Nyina & karranangkanya & nyengkunaal: \\
nyina & karra-nangka-nya & nya=ya-ngku-na-k waal \\
3fDEF & mother-DAT-3f & 3f=go-EP-PAST-hither
\end{tabular}
4. His mother arrived:
‘Karraa! Karraa! Karraa! Karraa!'
mother! mother! mother! mother!
5. 'O mother! O mother! O mother! O mother!'

Marnduma mamnyawewuna.
marnduma ma-Ø-mnya-bwe=bwu-na
stomach 3m-3-DD-AUG=hit-PAST
6. She pounded her stomach.

Karraa! is the vocative shape of karraanya 'mother,' and is employed as a cry of extreme grief. Here, as commented on in relation to \((5.14 \mathrm{~b}\) ) in \(\$ 5.2 .2\), the crocodile mother cries out in grief and pounds her stomach, possibly in reference to the place (her womb) where her son was conceived. No framing verbs appear in this passage, and each new speaker (in lines 1,3 and 5) is introduced by alterations in pitch and intonation: the pitch in line 3 is higher than in lines 1 and 2, and the volume in line 5 is louder than in adjacent text. Sentence (14.38) also contains direct speech, part of which is whispered (shown by grey text and dotted underline):
(14.38)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 'Ngarriyaarndu! ngarr-a=ya-kwarndu & Bern bern & \begin{tabular}{l}
ngarranu \\
ngarr-a=nu
\end{tabular} & marno marno & werrim,' werrim & \begin{tabular}{l}
kunjaara. \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-{ }^{-k}\) wara
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}-\mathrm{OPT}=\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{DU}\) & climb & \(1 \mathrm{pin}-\mathrm{OPT}=\mathrm{be}\) & 3 mDIST & hill & VCOMP-3=do-1DAT \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{'Come with me! We'll climb that bill, she told me.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Note how only part of the quoted material is whispered, the initial imperative is shouted, and the final framing verb is in neutral intonation. There is an intonation break between the quotation and the framing verb, as is also the case in (14.35) and in other sentence examples of this kind.

However there is no pause or intonation break between the complement clause and its matrix verb in (14.22) above, or in (14.39) below (taken from Appendix 1.1); in both these examples the entire sentence is contained in a single intonation contour:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[Wara & irno & imaal & drarr & iwu] & kubajunganangka. \\
wara & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rno & \(\mathrm{i}=\) ma- \(^{\text {k }}\) waal & drarr & \(\mathrm{i}={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu & kuN-b \({ }^{\text {warr=yi-ng-a-nangka }}\) \\
k'roo & 3a=DIST & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) get-hither \(^{\text {and }}\) & gut & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) hit & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}
1. They told bim [to get that kangaroo and gut it].
2. 'Get that kangaroo and gut it,' they said to bim.

Even though the complement contains two imperative verbs, intonation here is flat, in line with reading (1). On the strength of this, and of the contrast between this kind of intonation and the much more varied intonations seen in the direct-speech examples above, it may be claimed that Worrorra distinguishes both direct and indirect speech reporting styles. And it follows therefore that sentences \((14.3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\) are also examples of direct, and \((14.1,14.4)\) of indirect styles. But of course in \((14.39)\) and in (14.4) there is no morphological or textual way of deciding between the two styles. The complement clauses in both sentence examples contain verbs in imperative form, just as would be used in direct speech. Note how (14.3b) and (14.40) also contain imperative verb forms in their complement clauses, but how the speech acts they record, questioning and commanding, are reproduced with realistic intonation, marking them as instances of direct speech reporting:
(14.40) (cf. 11.2a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Yarribaa & wurriyaal! & Yarribaa! & Anguja & karrolkunerri?' \\
yarribaa & \({ }^{\text {k wuN-rra=yi-aal }}\) & yarribaa & anguja & ka-rra=kwulkuna-eerri \\
descend.PL & VCOMP-2p=do-hither & descend.PL & what & \(3 a-2 p=\) fear-PROG
\end{tabular}
kubajunganyarri abaabiya.
kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nyarri a-baa-bi-ya
VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-1pxDAT \(\rightarrow\)-AUG-El.Sib-3p
'Come down! Come down! What are you frightened of?' our big brothers called to us.
So far then, we have identified a distinction between direct and indirect speech in Worrorra on the basis of suprasegmental features (stress and intonation). There is one context, however, in which morphology indexes the distinction. When imperatives are reported they may occur in the complement clause \({ }_{1}\) as such, as seen in (14.39), or they may be shifted to hortative mood if the speaker is using a polite register. This has already been noted with respect to (14.3c) and the first sentence in (14.21), where hortative forms index the use of this register. The phenomenon may be seen again in the minimal pair in (14.41):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
[Nyeyungurru] & kungenga. \\
nyaN-nya=ya-ngurru & kuN-nga=yi-nga \\
3f-OPT= go-away & VCOMP-1=want-PAST \\
I wanted [her to go]. &
\end{tabular}
(b) [Nyengenyangurru] kungenga.
(cf. 8.33) nyaN = [ya-nga]-yinya-ngurru kuN-nga=yi-nga
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) [go-TNS \(]\)-HORT-away VCOMP-1 = want-PAST
I said [she could go] / I told [her to go].
Sentence (14.41a) contains an intransitive different-subject complement clause \({ }_{2}\) expressing volition. Sentence (14.41b) contains a hortative-mood complement verb, marking this sentence as an instance of indirect reported speech - a polite-register imperative form, moreover.

We have, then, been able to identify three categories of complement clause \({ }_{1}\) in Worrorra: direct speech, indirect speech, and complement clauses \({ }_{2}\) more narrowly defined. We have also noted quite a lot of overlap between these categories; that is, they have fuzzy boundaries. Nevertheless core instances of each type are able to be identified, and the emic identification of any particular instance would have depended on each occasion upon factors having to do with pragmatic implicature and discourse context, as well as extra-linguistic circumstances.

The elicited sentence in (14.1) is a case in point: its form fits into any of the three categories, and its interpretation, and that of sentences like it, would have been subject to the particulars of the speech event in which it would have been produced, and the conventional implicatures involved. This categorial fuzziness does not, however, mean that discourse category distinctions, in their core manifestations at least, were unavailable to Worrorra speakers, or that Worrorra speakers did not reify mental processes and speech acts, as processes and acts. In (14.42) the 'thought' sense-meaning of \(k u N[]=y i\) is made explicit by adverbial qualification by means of a postpositional phrase based on the noun =neema 'ear,' the pan-Australian seat of cognition:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{(14.42) (cf. 7.14e)} \\
\hline 'Arrke & ngani & kubajeerri?’ & kunjungu & ineemarnanya. \\
\hline arrke & ngani & kuN-bwarr=yi-eerri & kuN-Ø=yi-ng & \(\mathrm{i}=\) neema-rnanya \\
\hline 3pREF & what & VCOMP-3p=do-PROG & VCOMP-3=do-PAST & \(3=\) ear - LOC \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{'What are they doing?' he wondered.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The expression = neemarnanya kuN[]=yi, literally 'do/say in (one's) ear' is an idiom denoting pondered or considered thought. By its intonation in the text in which it occurs, this complement clause \({ }_{1}\) is clearly framed to depict direct speech. In (14.42) speech act discourse style is employed to portray a mental process. The ability to use one discourse style in the service of another is only available where speakers are able to distinguish and manipulate the relevant discourse categories.

\section*{Fifteen: subjunctive verbs}

The subjunctive mood constitutes a class of verb forms along with the indicative, optative and counterfactual moods (see Chapters Five and Eight). Subjunctive status is signalled by a pair of allomorphs in form-order class [4], and by the use of the series-2 inflexional NP indices shown in Table 8.4 and discussion in \(\S 8.1 .1\). For convenience Table 8.1 from Chapter Eight is repeated here:

Table 15.1 (=8.1): mood-signalling
\begin{tabular}{rcccl} 
MOOD & NP INDEX TYPE & SLOT [1] & SLOT [4] & FUNCTION \\
Indicative & series-1 & \(\varnothing\) & \(\varnothing\) & actualized events \\
Counterfactual & series-1 & \(b a\) & \(\varnothing\) & unactualized events \\
Optative & series-2 & \(\varnothing\) & \(a\), nya, \(\varnothing\) & projected events \\
Subjunctive & series-2 & \(\varnothing\) & ngun, ngka \(a\) & averted events
\end{tabular}

It will be seen that the optative and subjunctive moods share some formal features distinctive to themselves: both employ series-2 NP indices, and both insert material in form-order class [4]. And non-distinctively, both leave form-order class [1] empty.

J R B Love referred to these forms as 'subjunctive' because, like the Latin subjunctive, they may signal an irrealis meaning and are found in subordinate clauses. Of this form-class Love wrote: 'the subjunctive mood is used in hypothetical clauses, conditional clauses, and subsidiary clauses; i.e. in all dependent clauses that are not direct statement[s] of fact' (Love 1934:42). The Latin subjunctive encompasses both hypothetical and counterfactual events, meanings which are, however, formally distinguished in Worrorra. As Love observed, subjunctive verbs may convey irrealis meaning, but they are in many ways the opposite of the irrealis counterfactuals described in Chapter Eight: they are used to affirm or assert a proposition's validity on the basis of evidence. Nevertheless I will retain the label 'subjunctive' here: as Michaël Peyrot writes with regard to its use in Tocharian, it is an empty term ... it is the name of a category, no more' (Peyrot 2013:4).

\subsection*{15.1 Overview}

The most intriguing feature of Worrorra's subjunctive mood is the diversity of its functions, as follows:

Modal: averted action/event (Kuteva's (2004) 'avertive') and frustrative mood. These are events that could have happened, or nearly happen, but which do not.

Evidential: coding for the source of evidence for a statement's propositional content. In Worrorra two categories of evidence are coded, firsthand and non-firsthand.

Syntactic: coding for hypotaxis, that is, when a predicate is subordinated as a dependent upon some other constituent: in Worrorra this results in both adverbial and relative subordination.

The obvious question is how these three apparently quite disparate grammatical meanings can be related, as indeed they must be in some way related, as they are all expressions of a single class of forms. This question in turn devolves into two further issues: diachrony, that is, the putative evolution of two of these categorial meanings from another, supposedly prior or 'original' meaning; and synchrony, that is whether, in terms of speaker competence, these meanings were related homonymously, or as sense meanings, by way of participation in a system of conversational implicatures.

Because of its complementary distribution with the other form classes, the subjunctive mood's modal meaning should probably be seen as systematically prior, coding, like the other three, a realitystatus modality. Note that while systematic priority may imply diachronic priority, it need not imply anything about synchronic priority, that is, speaker competence. Worrorra's avertive modality appears in two flavours: reality status, in which an event nearly happens but does not, and a root modal frustrative mood, in which someone wanted an event to happen which ultimately did not happen. Frustrative mood, as a kind of volition, is congruent with the formal parallels noted with the optative mood.

In Worrorra the four moods show varying degrees of event actualization or reality status, from indicative, in which events happen (realis), to counterfactual, in which events do not happen (irrealis). In between are two more irrealis moods; optative, in which an event does not happen but is expected to happen at some future time, and subjunctive, in which an event does not happen although it nearly happened. This set defines a scale of actualization, from indicative through optative and subjunctive to counterfactual. Using some ad hoc notation, the semantics may be simplified and the scale schematized as in Table 15.2:

Table 15.2: modal actualization scale
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
SCALE & MOOD & NOTATION \\
full actualization & indicative & \(\exists \mathrm{e}\) \\
projected actualization & optative & \(\neg \exists e_{i} \wedge\left(\exists e_{i}\right)_{\text {FUT }}\) \\
averted/failed actualization & subjunctive & \(\neg \exists e_{i} \wedge\left(\left(\exists e_{i}\right)_{\text {FUT }}\right)_{\text {PAST }}\) \\
no actualization & counterfactual & \(\neg \exists \mathrm{e}\)
\end{tabular}

Where e stands for some event, the notation reads as follows:
- for indicative: some event exists
- for optative: it is not the case that some event exists, and in the future that event exists
- for subjunctive: it is not the case that some event exists, and in the past it was the case that in the future that event exists
- for counterfactual: it is not the case that some event exists

The notations for optative and subjunctive contain both of the terms \(\exists \mathrm{e}\) and \(\neg \exists \mathrm{e}\), and so are mid-way on the scale. Optative contains the least embedded or least qualified instantiation of \(\exists \mathrm{e}\), and so is higher on the scale than subjunctive, in which \(\exists \mathrm{e}\) is more embedded and more qualified. What this means for the grammar of Worrorra is that the semantics of avertive modality involve irrealis meanings well suited to framing inferential logic, including hypothesizing. Mid-way between nonactualization and projection, logical inference is grounded in the preconditions for event actualization.

Subjunctive morphology, along with the syntax of complement clauses, is probably the most complex part of Worrorra's verbal system, primarily because it has so many functions and because those functions are not related in transparent ways. The analysis offered here is not complete; I have not, for example, been able to establish clear criteria to predict where hypotaxis will and will not occur in clause linkage, nor in all circumstances to distinguish adverbial from relative subordination. Some discussion of these problems appears below at \(₫ 15.6 .4\). The necessarily meta-linguistic analysis presented here is not intended as a model of speakers' competence: the outline presented in this chapter collapses synchronic and diachronic relations without distinction.

\subsection*{15.2 Subjunctive forms}

The morpheme appearing in form-order class [4] (glossed SJTV), which together with the series-2 NP indices shown in Table 8.4 signal a verb's subjunctive status, is -ngun-, with an allomorph -ngka- used only when all NP indices appearing in the prefix cluster are third person. The following two tables show how the series-2 NP indices combine with SJTV allomorphs to produce the prefixes that signal the subjunctive form class.

Table 15.3: intransitive subjunctive prefix-clusters

SINGULAR
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & nganngun- & \(\mid\) ngan-ngun \(\mid\) \\
2 & ngunngun- & \(\mid\) ngun-ngun \(\mid\) \\
3 a & ingka- & \(\mid\) i-ngka| \\
3 f & nyangka- & \(\mid\) nyaN-ngka \(\mid\) \\
3 w & ka- & \(\mid\) ØN-ngka \(\mid\) \\
3 m & mangka- & \(\mid\) ma-ngka \(\mid\)
\end{tabular}

PLURAL
1pin ngarrkun- |ngarr-ngun|
1px arrkun- |arr-ngun|
2 p nyirrkun- |nyirr-ngun |
3p angka- |arr-ngka|

Table 15.4: transitive subjunctive prefix-clusters
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1>2\) & ngunngun- & | ngun-(n)-Ø-ngun \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & inganngun- & |i-ngan-ngun| \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinganngun- & |nyiN-ngan-ngun| \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nganngun- & | \(\\) N-ngan-ngun \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manganngun- & |ma-ngan-ngun | \\
\hline \(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngun- & | nyirr-n-Ø-ngun | \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anganngun- & | arr-ngan-ngun \\
\hline \(2>1\) & janngun- & | jan-ngun| \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & injangun- & |i-nja-ngun| \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinjangun- & | nyiN-nja-ngun | \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & jungun- &  \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manjangun- & | ma-nja-ngun \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anjangun- & | arr-nja-ngun | \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anjangun- & | arr-nja-ngun | \\
\hline \(3>1\) & nganngun- & \(\mid\) ngan-(n)-Ø-ngun | \\
\hline \(3>2\) & ngunngun- & \(\mid\) ngun-(n)-Ø-ngun \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ingka- & |i-Ø-ngka| \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyingka- & | nyiN-Ø-ngka | \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & ka- & | \(⿻ \mathrm{~N}\) - - - -ngka \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mangka- & | ma-Ø-ngka \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & ngarrkun- & | ngarr-Ø-ngun \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anngun- & | arr-n-Ø-ngun \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngun- & | nyirr-n-Ø-ngun \\
\hline \(3>3 p\) & angka- & \(\mid \operatorname{arr}-(\mathrm{n})-\varnothing\)-ngka \(\mid\) \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & arrkun- & | a-ngarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarrkun- & | nyaN-ngarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & warrkun- & \(\mid{ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-ngarr-ngun \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marrkun- & | ma-ngarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & angarrkun- & | arr-ngarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunngumbarr- & | ngun-(n)-ngun-bwarr \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & errkun- & | a-nyarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerrkun- & |nyaN-nyarr-ngun| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nyarrkun- & |ØN-nyarr-ngun| \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merrkun- & |ma-nyarr-ngun \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngumbarr- & | nyirr-n-ngun- \({ }^{\text {b warr }}\) \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anyarrkun- & | arr-nyarr-ngun | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & jarrangun- & | jan-rra-ngun | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & irrangun- & |i-rra-ngun| \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyirrangun- & | nyiN-rra-ngun | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & wurrangun- & \(\mid{ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-rra-ngun \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marrangun- & |ma-rra-ngun| \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & arrangun- & | arr-rra-ngun | \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & arrangun- & | arr-rra-ngun | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & nganngumbarr- & | ngan-(n)-ngun-bwarr \({ }^{\text {b }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & ngunngumbarr- & | ngun-(n)-ngun-bwarr | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ingkaarr- & | i-ngka-bwarr | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyingkaarr- & | nyiN-ngka-b \({ }^{\text {b }}\) arr \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kaarr- &  \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mangkaarr- & | ma-ngka-bwarr | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{pin}\) & ngarrkubarr- & | ngarr-ngun- \({ }^{\text {b warr }}\) | \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anngumbarr- & | arr-n-ngun- \({ }^{\text {b warr }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngumbarr- & | nyirr-n-ngun- \({ }^{\text {b warr }}\) \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & angkaanbarr- & | arr-ngka-kaarr-n-bwarr | \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Some points to be noted in these paradigms are:
(i) Series-2 NP indices are used.
(ii) The first person singular index is ngan-rather than the expected nga-.
(iii) Initial CC reduction rule 28 applies to the \(2>3 \mathrm{w}, 3>3 \mathrm{w}\) and \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) clusters \(\mid \varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-nja-ngun|, \(\mid \varnothing \mathrm{N}-\varnothing\)-ngka \(\mid\) and \(\mid \varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-ngka-bwarr| to produce the surface forms jungun-, ka- and kaarrrespectively.
(iv) Trill deletion rule 15 deletes / rr / at the end of the plural indices nyirr- 'second person' and arr'exclusive' before a nasal consonant.
(v) General hardening rule 11 turns the initial nasal of the morpheme -ngun- into \(/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{after} / \mathrm{rr} /\), to produce the shape -kun-
(vi) The subjunctive \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) shape contains a redundancy, with the object index being repeated: |arr-ngka-kaarr-n-bwarr | [3po-SJTV-3po-INV-3pa]. Note that the object's second appearance is in its series-1 shape.
(vii) The subjunctive morpheme appears in the prefix cluster after the NP indices, except when the subject index is 3 p -bwarr- (or 1 px in the \(1 \mathrm{px}>2(\mathrm{p}\) ) clusters).

\subsection*{15.2.1 Tense-marking}

Subjunctive verbs display three kinds of tense-marking:
(i) the first is the same as that appearing on indicative forms, as set out in \(\$ 5.4\) in Tables 5.4 and 5.5 . This marking appears on subjunctive verbs in hypotactic and avertive functions.
(ii) The frustrative mood employs the past-tense morpheme -(yi)nya appearing in form-order class [9]. This morpheme in subjunctive verbs uniquely marks frustrative mood, and combines with the continuous aspect morpheme to produce -nyeerri (| nya-eerri|).
(ii) Subjunctive verbs coding evidential meaning display a distinct present-tense morpheme -ny in form-order class [9] (glossed MIR). This morpheme combines with the continuous aspect morpheme in slot [13] to produce the shape -njeerri \((\mid\) ny-yeerri \(\mid)\).

\subsection*{15.3 Subjunctive functions}

Subjunctive verbs occur in eight etically distinguishable functions; two modal-semantic, four evidential, and two syntactic. These functions are arrayed in Table 15.5:

Table 15.5: functions of subjunctive mood
(1) Modal
(i) averted reality
(ii) frustrative mood
(2) Evidential
(i) non-firsthand:
(ii) firsthand:
(3) Syntactic
(i) adverbial subordination
(ii) relativization
(a) social general knowledge
(b) inferred from stated antecedents
(a) immediately apparent
(b) mirative

A single sentence in Worrorra may contain instances of all three types of grammatical meaning; evidential, syntactic and modal-semantic, coded by subjunctive verbs. The following two sentence examples illustrate this range of functions.

Sentence example (15.1) below is from a story in which an old man has been slighted by a family living near him. In revenge, he invites them to climb up a ladder onto a cliff face where his fig trees \({ }^{100}\) are growing, and eat the ripe figs there. Once they are there he takes the ladder away and leaves them stranded high on the cliff face. Figs ripen at the end of the hot season, and the sweet and sticky figs make people thirsty. After leaving them in the sun on the cliff face for an unspecified but presumably lengthy amount of time, during which the family have become frightened and distressed, he puts the ladder back up and lets them down. Once down, they say to him:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Nanjan & mana & waay & ngunngununanyarri & ke, & bungkurunyini \\
nanjan & mana & waay & ngun-ngun=nu-na-nyarri & ke & bungkuru-nyini \\
ladder & 3mDEF & throw & 2-SJTV=be-PAST-1pxDAT & 3wREF & thirsty-ENDPOINT \\
& & & & & \\
anngumbuna & & wunu: & wangalaalunguyu & anja & karle \\
arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) ngun=bwu-na & wunu & wangalaalanguya & anja & karle \\
1px-INV-3-SJTV=hit-PAST & 3wDEF & children & 3pDEF & then
\end{tabular}
debadi kaajingeerri.
debadi ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri
die.PL VCOMP- SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG
When you took the ladder away you knew we'd get thirsty, and these children nearly died.

All three clauses in this sentence are in subjunctive mood, and each codes a different grammatical meaning, as follows:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{100}\) The rock fig Ficus platypoda.
}
1. Nanjan mana waay ngunngununanyarri kee, 'when you took the ladder away,'

This is an adverbial subordinate clause: here the subjunctive shape codes the clause's syntactic dependence on the main clauses.
2. bungkurunyini anngumbuna wunu: 'you knew we'd get thirsty'

This verb codes subjunctive evidential meaning: the speaker is claiming that the old man could infer from evidence (hot weather, being stranded for a long time, eating figs) that they would get thirsty. This meaning is reinforced by the use of the definite article wunu, making this entire clause definite, the speaker asserting that its propositional content is already known to the old man (see §17.1.1). Evidentiality and definite marking here conspire towards an additional purpose: this clause constitutes an accusation that not only must the old man have known what would happen, but that he brought the situation about on purpose, maliciously. The morpheme -nyini 'ENDPOINT' also imparts a resultative meaning to the clause, as a logical and inferable outcome of the situation the old man had engineered.
3. Wangalaalunguyu anja karle debadi kaajingeerri' 'the children nearly died.'

Subjunctive form here marks avertive reality status: an event that could have happened, or nearly happened, but did not. Note that this clause is in effect resultative, in that although containing neither of the resultative postpositions -maade or -nyini, it has an effective protasis in clause 2, constituting its logical antecedent.
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Bulakangarri & arrke & wunu & ngarlangarla & barrabarra & kaajeerri, \\
bulaka-ngarri & arrke & wunu & ngarlangarla & barrabarra & ØN-ngka-b \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=yi-eerri \\
middle-REL & 3pREF & 3wDEF & story & narrate & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
wunu & bundurlb & mana & angkayoolinerri & wali & ke. \\
wunu & bundurlb & mana & arr-ngka=yoolee-na-eerri & wali & ke \\
3wDEF & bush & 3mDEF & 3p-SJTV=travel-PAST-PROG & PERF & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

Long ago they used to tell this story when they were travelling around in the bush.

Both clauses in (15.2) are subjunctive, and again they code different meanings:
1. Bulakangarri arrke wunu ngarlangarla barrabarra kaajeerri, 'long ago they used to tell this story.' This clause bears non-firsthand cultural-knowledge evidential meaning. Daisy Utemorrah translated bulakangarri (/bulaka-ngarri/ [middle-REL]) as 'the people-in-the-middle,' meaning people who lived before living memory and beyond the range of genealogical reckoning, but subsequent to the mythical events of the dreamtime. This being the case, the speaker is unable to state from firsthand experience what such ancient people did: rather she defers to an emically reliable, non-firsthand authority as the source of evidence for her statement. The authority in this case is likely to have been cultural ideology or publically received understanding.
2. wunu bundurlb mana angkayoolinerri' 'when they were travelling in the bush'

This adverbial subordinate clause provides the setting for the preceding main clause.
Another sentence with multiple subjunctive clauses may be seen in (15.41) in \(\$ 15.6 .3\) below.
Well over 200 sentences in the database contain one or more subjunctive verbs, and the clear majority of these signal sentential hypotaxis in the form of either adverbial or relative subordinate clauses. However the marking of evidentiality and inference is likely to be one area in which the narrative style of most of the database imparts a bias to the sample.

\subsection*{15.4 Avertive}

Avertive meaning quite specifically involves events which nearly happen; which some actor could have good reason to expect to happen, but which fail to happen (Kuteva 1998, 2004:78-112). Averted reality is found in the database in two clearly different senses, one involving reality-status modality, and one root-modal. The reality-status sense involves reasonable expectation; that is, some actor's expectation that an event will occur, but which fails to occur. The root-modal sense, here termed 'frustrative,' involves volition: some actor both expects and desires an event to happen, which does not happen.

Averted reality is patchy in the database at best. There are few examples of it, though verbal paradigms with the translation 'wanted to V' were readily elicited. Love (1934:57) also recorded this verbal category, which he called a 'past subjunctive.' There are some anomalies, and some forms have tantalizingly few instances. What follows is an attempt to make sense of the data that is evident, both in the database and in Love's thesis.

Verbs denoting averted reality are signalled both by subjunctive form and usually by the past-tense allomorph -(yi)nya (cf this allomorph on irrealis counterfactual forms as described in \(\$ 8.3\) ). Elicited forms translated by 'wanted to ...' always involved this tense allomorph, some of which are presented as the frustrative mood paradigms in Appendices 2 and 3.

\subsection*{15.4.1 Averted reality}

Events which are on the verge of happening, but which ultimately fail to occur, are denoted in Worrorra by subjunctive forms. Avertive reality is discussed by Kuteva (2004:78-112), as a grammatical meaning involving imminent aspectuality, past time-reference and counterfactual modality. Whether or not imminence in Worrorra is aspectual may be open to further investigation, but the link between avertive status and past time-reference seems sound, and it is clearly the case that averted events are coded as a kind of irrealis modality. Some unequivocal examples of avertive reality from the database are shown in (15.3):
(15.3a) (=14.12b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Baay & ngenu & kaajingeerri & wunu & karrku \\
baay & nga-nya=nu & ØN-ngka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=yi-ng-eerri & wunu & karrku \\
climb & 1-OPT=be & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF & hill
\end{tabular}
rdaarreya.
ØN=raarreya
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{big}\)
They were trying to climb up into the high bills.
(b) \((\mathrm{cf} 14.14)\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ingam-ingam & nyarrkunjingeerri & ke & kaarri maa. \\
i-nga=ma-i-nga = ma & ØN-nyarr-ngun=yi-ng-eerri & ke & kaarri maa \\
3a-1=get-3a-1=get & VCOMP-1px-SJTV=do-PAST-PROG & 3wREF & in.vain \\
We tried to grab hold of bim but we couldn't. & &
\end{tabular}
(c)

Arrka wali brrabrra kaajingeerri maa.
arrka wali brrabrra ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri maa
3pNAR PERF awake.PL VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG PROG
They were still just waking up / they still hadn't woken up yet.

In text subsequent to sentence (15.3a) the actors fail to get up out of reach of floodwaters, and perish. A more careful but cumbersome translation of this sentence might read 'they were trying, but ultimately failed to climb ...' The 'failed outcome' reading of ( 15.3 b ) is made explicit by the adverb Kaarri maa 'in vain,' and in ( 15.3 c ) acts of waking up have begun but have failed to be achieved when surrounding and accompanying events overtake the sleepers.

These examples all use the verb \(k u N[]=y i\) as either a classifier (15.3c) or as a matrix verb in complement clause \(2_{2}\) constructions (see Chapter 14). Note that these textual examples all use this verb's indicative past-tense allomorph -ng. The following elicited examples, in contrast, all use the allomorph -(yi)nya:
(15.4a)

Bija ngana iwarnbarnngarri aja ngannguninyanangka.
bija ngana iwarnbarnngarri aja ngan-ngun=ni-yinya-nangka
FUT PROB brown.snake sit 1-SJTV=be-PAST-DAT
I would have/ nearly sat on a snake.
(b)

Bija ngana mara inganngumbeenya.
bija ngana mara i-ngan-ngun \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu-yinya
FUT PROB see 3a-1-SJTV=hit-PAST
I would have found bim (if I'd gone a bit further).
(c) Inganngurnonya.
(d) Nganngunyenyanangka.
i-ngan-ngun=rno-nya
3a-1-SJTV=give-PAST
I would have given it to him.
VCOMP-1-SJTV-EP=do-PAST-DAT
I would have told him.

Note how in the (a) and (b) examples here my instructors have underlined the irrealis import of these sentences by use of the dubitative adverb sequence bija ngana at the start of each. Although a volitional reading could be argued to be possible in (15.4b), this is out of the question in (15.4a), in which a completely involuntary situation is described.

\subsection*{15.4.2 Frustrative mood}

Frustrative mood in Worrorra is an aspect or subset of avertive reality status, one incorporating the semantics of volition. A volitional interpretation ('wanted to ...,' 'would have ...') was volunteered for all the frustrative paradigms presented in the appendices. Consequently all the frustrative mood examples used here are unelicited.

There are a couple of sentences in the database denoting present-tense frustrative forms, one of which (15.5a) is both clear and spontaneous. Significantly, the present-tense frustrative morpheme used here is the irrealis counterfactual non-past marker \(-n\), noted in \(\$ 8.3\). The use of epenthetic \(-b a\) word-finally after non-past \(-n\) may be seen again in sentence ( 8.26 b ). Other sentence examples involving past-tense forms are shown in (15.5b-d):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nganngunyenganba & kanangkuja & baanyini: & ngani \\
ngan-ngun-nya=[ya-nga]-n-ba & kanangkurr-nya & baa-nyini & ngani \\
1-SJTV-EP=[go-TNS]-NON.P-EP & dog-3f & appear-ENDPOINT & what
\end{tabular}
kunjeerri?
kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-eerri
VCOMP-3=do-PROG

I have to/ want to go (but can't) until (my) dog shows up: what's she doing?
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Marruminya & ngarrkunyengenya & dalorrba & maa, & dalorr & wali \\
marru-minya & ngarr-ngun-nya=[ya-nga]-yinya \\
dalorr-ba & maa & dalorr & wali \\
3mLAT- ? & 1pin-SJTV-EP=[go-TNS]-PAST & well-EP & 3mREF & well & PERF \\
manungu, & yawak & barrwa. & & & \\
ma=nu-ng & yawak & ba-ngarr=bwa & & & \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) be-PRES & sink & CFT-1pin=fall & & \\
We wanted to go/ would have gone that way, but there's sink-boles there and we might fall down one.
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Biju & nganngunyawenya & motor-car, & biju & nganngunyawenya. \\
biju & ngan-ngun-nya=bwa-yinya & motor-car & biju & ngan-ngun-nya= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa-yinya \\
repeatedly & 1-SJTV-EP=fall-PAST & motor-car & repeatedly & 1-SJTV-EP=fall-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Barda & ngewee & kungenga. \\
barda & nga-nya=b wee & kuN-nga=yi-ng \\
kill & 1-OPT=hit.MID & VCOMP-1=do-PAST \\
I wanted to fall out of the car, I kept trying to fall out. I wanted to kill myself.
\end{tabular}
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Mana & aja & bungkamnyaninya & wunu, & ke & ngarri & joy \\
mana & aja & ba-kuN-mnya=ni-yinya & wunu & ke & ngarri & joy \\
3mDEF & sit & CFT-3w-DD=be-PAST & 3wDEF & 3wREF & we(inc) & famous
\end{tabular}
ngarrkuninyanangka.
ngarr-ngun=nu-yinya-nangka
1 pin-SJTV=be-PAST-DAT
It (celestial) should have been here, we wanted to represent it.

Examples ( \(15.5 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) clearly involve unachieved volition: in the first sentence the reason why they didn't go that way is stated, and in the second sentence the speaker clearly did not throw herself out of a moving vehicle. Note the interesting and rather fine semantic distinction in (15.5c), between 'failed attempt,' encoded by the subjunctive frustrative verb form of the first two clauses, and the less marked kind of volition encoded by the complement \({ }_{2}\) construction in the final clause. This last clause clearly distinguishes this sentence as involving frustrative mood, in which an actor wanted an event to happen, from one involving averted accomplishment as in (15.4a), in which an actor would certainly not have wanted something to happen. Daisy Utemorrah translated the second clause in (15.5d) as 'we wanted to represent it,' although a literal translation would be 'we wanted to be famous because of it.' \({ }^{101}\)

\subsection*{15.5 Evidentiality}

In another of its sense-meanings the subjunctive mood signals evidentiality. Worrorra displays one of the simplest kinds of evidential system in Aikhenvald's (2004) typology, formally marking statements for which evidence is invoked, against statements unmarked for evidence. In Aikhenvald's arrangement this appears to be a Type A2 system, however the extensions of this system are not simple.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{101}\) See \(\S 13.2 .2\) (v) for a discussion of constructions with joy 'famous.'
}

Etically, four kinds of evidentiality can be distinguished within the single formal device available in the language, and these kinds can be sorted into firsthand and non-firsthand categories:
(1) Cultural knowledge is non-firsthand evidence, and derives from the body of social understandings that every traditionally-educated Worrorra speaker would have possessed, and which could be appealed to, to validate a proposition.
(2) Inferential evidentiality is again non-firsthand, and invokes a set of circumstances as logical antecedents to a proposition, and which a speaker uses to validate the statement in which the proposition is contained.
(3) Immediately apparent evidentiality is firsthand, in which a speaker presents the addressee's observable environment as manifest evidence for a proposition.
(4) Mirativity is also firsthand, and presents information that is not only new, but unexpected as well.

Any evidential clause may involve one or more of these meanings at the same time: they are not mutually exclusive, in fact they often entail and support each other in the invocation of evidence. It is important to note the discourse function of evidential marking in Worrorra: evidentials are used to support propositions rather than to qualify them. This means that in most cases subjunctive forms constitute assertions, with a stronger epistemic force than if they constituted qualifications or caveats.

\subsection*{15.5.1 Non-firsthand evidence: cultural general knowledge}

What I will refer to here as 'cultural knowledge' consists of background social and cultural understandings about religion, mythology, geography, kinship and the world generally, accessible to all traditionally-educated Aboriginal people in the north-west Kimberley. Merlan (1981:182) describes a comparable use of irrealis modality in Mangarayi, to encode a situation which the speaker knows about from hearsay or repute, but of which she herself has no firsthand knowledge. In Worrorra, evidentiality is not used to distance a speaker from the validity of a proposition, as appears to be the case in some other languages: rather it is used to achieve the opposite effect. In marking evidence as non-firsthand, a Worrorra speaker is appealing for validation to a higher authority than that of his or her own self. While non-firsthand evidentials in some languages convey, 'this is not my evidence, and so I cannot vouch for its reliability,' non-firsthands in Worrorra convey, 'this is not just my evidence, and so is more reliable than if it were.' The higher authority appealed to is likely to be religious doctrine, social custom and ideology, or received opinion, all of high importance in Australian Aboriginal society. In similar vein, an English speaker might say, at a crucial part of a narrative where he or she wishes to assert that the information is not merely his or her own opinion or interpretation, but rather is supported by other people's observations and evidence, something like: 'now apparently what happened then was this ...' In utterances of this sort a speaker is indexing his or her evidence as non-firsthand, but as all the more reliable for being so. Non-firsthand evidentials, therefore, may represent assertions with a stronger epistemic warrant than indicative declarative utterances.

In talking about her life, Patsy Lulpunda started with just such an assertion, based on universal religious and cultural knowledge:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngayu & gee & anganngumbu & mirimiringarrinya, & irlaangalya, & lalai. \\
ngayu & gee & arr-ngan-ngun=bwu & mirimiringarrinya & irlaangalya & lalai \\
I & represent \(^{102}\) & 3p-1-SJTV=hit & echidna & possum.sp \({ }^{103}\) & dreaming
\end{tabular}

My spirit-animals are the echidna and the scaly-tailed possum, from the dreaming.
However by no means all religious references require evidential marking; having established the authority for her assertion, Patsy repeats the statement a little later, without evidential marking:

\footnotetext{
102 gee \(={ }^{b}\) wu 'represent': literally 'SUBJ has OBJ as his or her totemic representative.'
\({ }^{103}\) The cuscus-like phalangerid Wyulda squamicaudata.
}
(15.6b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Inja & gee & kango & ngayu & irlaangalya. \\
inja & gee & ka-nga=bwu & ngayu & irlaangalya \\
3aDEF & represent & 3a-1=hit & I & possum.sp \\
My spirit-animal is the scaly-tailed possum. & &
\end{tabular}

While traditional and mythical stories are by no means told exclusively in subjunctive form, at some stage, usually but not always at the start, a story-teller is likely to index the cultural mandate that constitutes the evidentiary source of the assertions she makes. The sentences in (15.7) all come from traditional stories, and show evidential marking used to signal the cultural source of the information being proffered:
(15.7a)

Nyina dambeem juman mangkamanga jimbirrij nyangke.
nyina dambeem juman ma-Ø-ngka=ma-nga jimbirrij nyangke
3fDEF place road 3m-3-SJTV=get-PAST rock-cod 3fREF
The giant rod-cod made a road up through the land.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Kunyila & inja & barraninjerri,' & kaajunganangkorri \\
kunyila & inja & ba-ka-rra=ninja-eerri & ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng-a-[nangka-kwurri] \\
moon & 3aDEF & CFT-3a-2p=look-PROG & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-EP-[3pDAT]
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
anja & wangalaalunguyu & wunu & wurnirndeerrngarri & wali & wunu. \\
anja & wangalaalanguya & wunu & \({ }^{k}\) wuN=rnirndeerr-ngarri & wali & wunu \\
3pDEF & children & 3 wDEF & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old-REL & PERF & 3wDEF \\
Don't look at the moon,' they used to tell their children long ago. & &
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wangalaalunguyu & anja & baawaard & kaajungu ... \\
wangalaalanguya & anja & baawaard & ØN-ngka-b \({ }^{\text {warr }=y i-n g ~}\) \\
children & 3pDEF & appear.PL & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST
\end{tabular}
arrka Dilangarri dambeem mana brrak kaajungu.
arrka dilangarri dambeem mana brrak ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng

3pNAR dog.people place 3mDEF awake VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST
Cbildren were born ... the Dog-clan's child-spirits were conceived in that land.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Jirrini & wunu-wunu, & jamaarri & ingkamnyaarnamaleena & lalai. \\
jirrini & wunu-wunu & jamaarri & i-ngka-mnya \(={ }^{k}\) warnamalee-na & lalai \\
PLACE.NAME & 3wDEF-3wDEF & mullet & 3a-SJTV-DD=fight.MID-PAST & dreaming
\end{tabular}

Jirrini is bere, where the mullet fought in the dreamtime.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Karle & kurruk & mangkaarrwuna & dambeem. \\
karle & kurruk & ma-ngka-bwarr=bwu-na & dambeem \\
then & burst.up & \(3 m-S J T V-3 p=\) hit-PAST & place
\end{tabular}

Then they (W andjinas) burst up out of the ground.
All these sentences depict events about which the speaker has no first-hand knowledge, but which are nevertheless part of public cultural knowledge, and their validity is asserted by being marked as such.

The 'road' referred to in (15.7a) is the Prince Regent River, which flows along an ancient fault in a straight line SE to NW. Sentence (15.7b) bears the same import as the first clause in (15.2): the speaker has only cultural understanding as a basis for asserting what people actually did long ago. Sentence (15.7c) contains two metaphors; baawaard kuN[]=yi '(many people) appear/be born,' referring to succeeding generations of children, and brrak \(k u N[]=y i\) 'wake up,' referring to the appearance of child spirits out of the deep wungkurr pools where they dwell, and their metamorphosis into human form.

Sentence (15.8) is from the middle of a text, and represents the impression point of that story (see \(\$ 17.3 .1)\). Because this is the pivot around which the story turns, the speaker is re-asserting her evidentiary source for it, in reference to her character's motivation for behaving as she does at this particular point:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ke & ko & kenga & wunu: & 'maaji & aakuwunya \\
ke & ko & ØN- -ngka=yi-ng & wunu & maaji & aaku-kwunya \\
3wREF & tired & VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST & 3wDEF & had.better & water-PURP
\end{tabular}
ngeyu.'
nga-nya=ya
1 -OPT=go
Then she must have/I think she got tired: T'd better go for some water.'
Another narrative impression point employing a subjunctive verb may be seen below in (15.24b).
Sentence (15.9) is not from a story, rather it describes a rock-shelter with Wandjina paintings. Where hands are stencilled on the wall, Patsy says, in reference to the Wandjina called Marrenbini:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(15.9) (=7.9a) & & & & \\
Injinja & inja & irnurnu & warndi & kona & lalai. \\
inja-inja & inja & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnurnu & warndi & ØN- -ngka \(=\) bwu-na & lalai \\
3aDEF-3aDEF & 3a-DEF & 3a=hand & make & 3w-3-SJTV=hit-PAST & dreaming
\end{tabular} This (Wandjina) painted bis bands here in the dreamtime.

Not having seen Marrenbini do this, Patsy has no direct or firsthand evidence for her assertion; rather she is stating what is common knowledge. Subjunctive marking in contexts like this may have become more common since the advent of Christianity, a religion which is often seen to compete with traditional accounts of the way the world is.

\subsection*{15.5.2 Immediately apparent firsthand evidence}

Immediately apparent evidence is typically manifest or ongoing at the time of speaking, and so is usually (but not always) coded by a verb with the evidential present-tense morpheme -ny (glossed 'MIR') in form-order class [9]. Immediately apparent evidentiality is presentational, in that a speaker typically holds an event up to a listener for his or her inspection, or presents a scene to an onlooker, in order to bring it to his or her attention. In the following sentences speakers are presenting situations to their listeners: notice how in (15.10a) a presentational pronoun is used (\$7.3.2), to reinforce the presentational function of the utterance. The interesting sentence in (15.10d) is discussed in \(\$ 6.2 .6\) (i); and ( 15.10 e ) contains three clauses, the last of which is of interest here: the speaker is pointing out that his body is freshly painted with white paint (pipe-clay):
(15.10a) (=7.38c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyingaangurrurlaal & nyangkem & aja & nyangkaninjeerri. \\
nyiN-nga=kwangurru-rla-kwaal & nyaN=kwe-m & aja & nyaN-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri \\
3f-1=carry-PAST-hither & 3f=PRSNTIL-? & sit & 3f-SJTV=be-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}

I brought her here, and there she is.
(b) \((=7.9 \mathrm{~b})\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Injinja & inja & we & ingkawanjeerri. \\
inja-inja & inja & we & i-ngka=bwa-ny-yeerri \\
3aDEF-3aDEF & 3aDEF & lie & 3a-SjTV=fall-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}

This is him lying down bere.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngurru & aja & arrkunyawanjeerri & kaarrinyini. \\
ngurru & aja & arr-ngun-nya=bwa-ny-yeerri & kaarri-nyini \\
here & sit & 1 px-SJTV-EP=fall-MIR-PROG & 3pNEG-ENDPOINT \\
We're living here until the end. &
\end{tabular}
(d) \((=6.16)\)

Ngayu nyinjorinya nyinngalja, yorr
ngayu nyiN=yoru-nya nyiN=n-ngal-nya yorr
I \(\quad 3 \mathrm{f}=\) widowed- \(3 \mathrm{f} \quad 3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-several-3f sit.together
nyangkawanjeerri nyirno-nyirno.
nyaN-ngka \({ }^{b_{w}}\) wa-ny-yeerri nyiN=rno
3f-SJTV \(=\) fall-MIR-PROG \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) DIST
I am one of these widows that (you can see) live all around here.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunu & marnowa & nganngunyaangurru, & jaa & wurlingkarr \\
wunu & marnu-bwa & ØN-ngan-ngun-nya=kwangurru & jaa & wurlingkarr \\
3wDEF & carry-PROG & 3w-1-SJTV-EP=carry & PERFV & rub.off
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
bungkayaara: & wunu & rowa & ngannguninjeerri! \\
ba-kuN- \(\varnothing=y i^{-}\)wara & wunu & rowa & ngan-ngun=ni-ny-yeerri \\
CFT-VCOMP-3=do-1DAT & 3 wDEF & white & 1 -SJTV=be-MIR-PROG \\
If I carry it (celestial) my (paint) might all rub off: look. how white I am!
\end{tabular}
(f)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunngurrij & maa & barrabarra & warrkunyenjeerri. \\
\({ }^{{ }^{k}} \mathbf{W u N}=\mathrm{n}-\) ngurru-ji & maa & barrabarra & \({ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \text { WuN-ngarr-ngun-nya=yi-ny-yeerri }}\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-away-again & PROG & narrate & VCOMP-1pin-SJTV-EP=do-MIR-PROG \\
To this day we still tell (this story). & &
\end{tabular}

Congruent with the presentational function of immediate first-hand evidence, verbs of perception are frequent in this kind of sentence. Note nguru \(=n u\) 'hear' in (15.14b) below, and predicates of visual perception (=ninja, kala \(=n u\), murn ma[]=\({ }^{b} w u\), mara \(=^{b} w u\) ) elsewhere (eg. 15.11, 15.16, 15.18, 15.19, \(15.27 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{~g}\) ), and (15.41). Sentence (15.11) below illustrates this presentational function particularly clearly:
(15.11)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Maa & maa & kekaka & wurramnyaninja, & wurno & rdarlurn \\
maa & maa & kekaka & \({ }^{k}\) wuN-rra-mnya=ninja & wurno & rdarlurn \\
3mREF & 3mREF & like.this & 3w-2p-DD=look & 3wDIST & looming
\end{tabular}
kamnyanunaaljeerri.
ØN-ngka-mnya=nu-na-kwaal-yeerri
3w-SJTV-DD=be-PAST-hither-PROG
Look at it (celestial) there, looming up over there.
In this example immediate evidentiality is signalled after the directional morpheme, by the hardening of the first phoneme of the continuous aspect morpheme to produce the shape -aaljeerri, as if the morpheme -ny, covertly present, were yet effecting the shape of the following aspect morpheme. In other contexts (eg 8.46c, 9.27b, 11.13, and the indicative forms in Appendix 2.2) the morphemes \({ }^{-k}\) waal 'hither' and -(y)eerri 'progressive' combine to produce the shape -aaleerri. \({ }^{104}\)

Immediate marking is used to draw attention to new and evolving events: rather than presenting an existing situation, this involves pointing out new information. In (15.12) two children are lost. At one stage they hear their family in the distance, and say:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Arno & yarrorl & kaajinjeerri! \\
arr=rno & yarrorl & ØN-ngka-b warr=yi-ny-yeerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) DIST & sound.of.voices & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-MIR-PROG \\
There's their voices! &
\end{tabular}

In (15.13) two people are desperately searching for assistance; seeing other people camped in the distance, the wife says:
(15.13) (=17.39d)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Arno-rno & jurroyurro & marrkunjandanjeerri \\
arr=rno-arr=rno & jurro-jurro & ma-ngarr-ngun=yanda-ny-yeerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) dist-3p=dist & smoke.rising-REDUP & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-1\) pin-SJTV=cause-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}
maalkarram, angarrburrkaarndu arrke wali.
maalkarram arr-[ngarr]=murrka-[kwarndu] arrke wali
grass-fire \(3 \mathrm{p}-[1 \mathrm{pin}]=\) go.to-[DU \(] \quad 3 \mathrm{pREF}\) RESUM
Here, we'll light grass-fires to (send up) smoke (to signal) those (people) over there, (and then) let's go over to them.
The second clause in (15.13) is unequivocally hortative, while the first is an immediately-apparent evidential, indicating that the action is occurring while the actor is speaking; that is, in her anxiety she is setting fire to the grass without waiting for her addressee's consent. Neither of the expected optative or hortative forms are used here; it is as if the utterance's requirement to code evidentiality outranks other considerations. This first clause is presentational: by coding for evidentiality and a first person plural inclusive actor, the speaker is saying, 'look at what I'm doing, I invite you to do this too.' This expression is semantically hortative, but more immediate and urgent, because the denoted action is taking place at the same time as the exhortation is uttered. An English translation 'here, let's V' with the speaker initiating a performance of V while speaking, is probably as good as any.

In (15.14) the speakers are in hiding, and are reporting on what they are observing in (15.14a), and overhearing in (15.14b):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Yaw, & ke blaai & ingkaarrwunjeerri & rnokukajirn. \\
yaw & ke blaai & i-ngka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr= \(={ }^{\text {b wu-ny-yeerri }}\) & rnoku-kajirn \\
Yes and pound & 3a-SJTV-3p=hit-MIR-PROG & mortar-like \\
Yes, and it looks like they're pounding it (masc) up in a mortar.
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{104}\) The other exception is the counterfactual present paradigm of the irregular verb \(=y a-^{k}\) waal 'come,' as discussed in §8.3.2.
}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nguru & kanuna & inkarnd-inkarndu & aja & ingkaninykarndeerri. \\
nguru & ka=nu-na & \(i=n-{ }^{-}\)warndu- \(=n-{ }^{-}\)warndu & aja & \(i-n g k a=n i-n y-k\) warndu-eerri \\
hear & \(3 a=\) be-PAST & \(3 a=\) DEF-DU-3a-DEF-DU & sit & \(3 a-\) SJTV=be-MIR-DU-PROG
\end{tabular}

He heard them both sitting there.
Notice how often the presentational dimension of evidential marking is supported by the reduplication of deictic determiners: wunu-wипи, injinja, nyirno-nyirno and inkarnd-inkarndu in (15.7d, 15.9, \(15.10 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}\) ) and (15.14b).

In (15.15) the speakers are identifying things in their immediate surroundings, one is pointing it out to an addressee in (15.15a), and the other to himself in (15.15b):

\section*{(15.15a)}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Darak & bayungurru & rlaard & mangkenganjeerri. \\
darak & ba=ya-ngurru & rlaard & ma-ngka=[ya-nga]-ny-yeerri \\
enter & CFT=go-away & crevice & 3 m -SJTV=[go-TNS]-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Marneen & nyangee & mana & nanjarnanya & wurno & rlaard \\
marneen & nyaN-nga=ee & mana & nanjan-rnanya & \({ }^{k}\) wuN=rno & rlaard \\
hang.up & 3f-1=place & 3mDEF & ledge-LOC & 3w-DIST & split
\end{tabular}
kenganjeerri.
ØN-ngka=[ya-nga]-ny-yeerri
VCOMP-SJTV=[go-TNS]-MIR-PROG
I'll put her on the ledge, up there where (the rock) is fissured.
These two sentences both use the word rlaard 'split, fissure, ledge, crack,' typically in sandstone cliffs or rocks, and often used as ossuary deposits, as in (15.15b). This word appears as a noun rlaard mana 'crevice' in (15.15a), and in (15.15b) as a preverb in the complex predicate rlaard \(=y a\) '(rock) be cracked, fissured.'

Immediately apparent evidentiality may consist of evidence of an event that happened in the past, in which case the present-tense morpheme -ny must be dispensed with. This situation is not common in the database, but one such occurrence is shown in (15.16), where an indicative past-tense morpheme is used. Here the speaker is showing two boys the body of a demon, and uses evidential form to present its past action:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Irramnyaninjaarndu & jadam; & aaya & wurluk \\
i-[rra]-mnya=ninja-[kwarndu] & jadam & aaya & wurluk \\
3a-[2p]-DD=look.at-[DU] & closely & 3aREF & swallow
\end{tabular}
nyinngunyiyabunaarndu.
[nyirr]-n-Ø-ngun-nya=yabu-na-[kwarndu]
[2p]-INV-3-SJTV-EP=throw-PAST-[DU]
Take a good look at him, you two; he swallowed you both.

Evidential paradigms with -ny 'MIR' were readily elicited, as systematic alternatives to present indicative paradigms (see Table 15.6 below). Immediate/presentational meaning is therefore not confined to any particular set of agreement classes, it simply indexes an event that is occurring here-and-now as being evident to an addressee, where such indexation is pragmatically pertinent. These verb forms
may be seen in spontaneous use in sentences (15.10a, e; 15.18a) and (15.27a, f). Other examples of the immediate/presentational use of subjunctive verbs can be seen in the final clause of (15.41) below, and at (10.60c) and (17.58), this latter set about with presentational/topicalizing/focusing determiners.

Table 15.6: present-tense evidential paradigm, \(a j a=n u\) 'sit'
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
aja ngannguninjeerri & I'm sitting down \\
aja ngunnguninjeerri & you're sitting down \\
aja ingkaninjeerri & be's sitting down \\
aja nyangkaninjeerri & she's sitting down \\
aja kaninjeerri & it (celestial) is sitting down \\
aja mangkaninjeerri & it (terrestrial) is sitting down \\
aja ngarrkuninjeerri & we (inc) are sitting down \\
aja arrkuninjeerri & we (exc) are sitting down \\
aja nyirrkuninjeerri & you're all sitting down \\
aja angkaninjeerri & they're sitting down
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{15.5.3 Mirative}

Mirativity is the semantics of 'unprepared mind,' as manifested in evidential meaning (DeLancey 1997, 2001; Aikhenvald 2004). Aikhenvald (2004:8) sums up mirativity as signalling 'unprepared mind, new information, speaker's surprise.' We have already seen that 'new information' is part of the meaning of immediately apparent evidentiality in Worrorra, but it is also possible to distinguish new information from the semantics of surprise or unprepared mind in comparable forms. In (15.17) a man has gone to sleep in a rock shelter. He is woken up by a noise, and looking up he sees:
(15.17)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ey! & Wangayinyina & nyangkawalkeny! \\
ey & wangayinya-nyina & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyaN-ngka=bwalke-ny
\end{tabular} \\
ey & woman-3fDEF & 3f-SJTV=stand-MIR
\end{tabular}

The following two examples distinguish a background event of unfocussed gazing or scanning, denoted by the complex predicate murn ma[]= \({ }^{b}\) wu 'gaze (typically out over landscape),' from what the viewer ends up seeing: in both cases what is seen causes surprise, and is set against the activity that preceded it: \({ }^{105}\)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Awanja & inja & kala & kanuna & nguwanu, & ke & murn \\
awanja & inja & kala & ka=nu-na & nguwanu & ke & murn \\
boy & 3aDEF & look.up & 3a=be-PAST & tree & 3wREF & gaze
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
mona & dumbinyini & aja & ingkaninjeerri. \\
ma- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & dumbi-nyini & aja & i-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri \\
\(3 m-3=\) hit-PAST & barn.owl-ENDPOINT & sit & 3a-SJTV=be-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}

The boy looked up into a tree and saw an owl sitting up there.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Murn & mona: & 'bijakunyini & jurro \\
murn & ma- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-na & bijaku-nyini & jurro
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
105 murn ma[ ] \(=^{b}{ }^{p u \prime}\) 'gaze' and =nganyarro 'search' may be understood as atelic and telic alternatives of what is essentially the same act.
}
gaze VCOMP-3=hit-PAST smoke-ENDPOINT smoking 3w-SJTV=be-MIR
kunjungu.
kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g\)
VCOMP-3 = do-PAST
He looked around: 'there's smoke rising up,' he thought.
Notice how in both sentences the clauses containing the subjunctive verb also contain the morpheme -nyini 'ENDPOINT,' signalling that these clauses are conceptually separate from, and the outcomes of, the activity of gazing that leads up to them.

Sentence (15.19) shows unequivocal mirative meaning. Here two children are being warned against looking at the moon; not an easy injunction to obey when going to sleep in the open with a full moon overhead. Mirative marking on the verb = ninja 'look at' denotes accidental or inadvertent observation, of the sort that could easily happen in these circumstances. Here neither surprise nor new information are being signalled: this sentence codes 'unprepared mind' exclusively, as an act done inadvertently, without attention:
(15.19) (=8.42b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Barraninjaarndeerri & kunyila & aaya; & irrangunyaninjanykarndu \\
ba-ka-[rra]=ninja-[kwarndu]-eerri & kunyila & aaya & i-[rra]-ngun-nya=ninja-ny-[kwarndu] \\
CFT-3a-[2p]=look.at-[DU]-PROG & moon & 3aREF & 3a-[2p]-SJTV-EP=look.at-MIR-[DU]
\end{tabular}
ke, yarriy bangkawaal.
ke yarriy ba-ka= \({ }^{\text {wa }}\) wa \({ }^{\text {kwaal }}\)
3wREF descend CFT-3a=fall-hither
Don't you two look at the moon; ifyou inadvertently look at it, it might come down.

\subsection*{15.5.4 Inferred evidence}

Inferred or inferential evidence is non-firsthand and based on stated or otherwise known logical antecedents. The second clause in sentence example (15.1) above is a good example of this kind of marking: the stated antecedent occurs in the first clause, 'when you threw away the ladder.' The ANTECEDENT-RESULT structure of this kind of sentence is often made explicit by the use of either -nyini 'ENDPOINT' or (-)maade 'RESULT' in the apodosis, as in (15.20):
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Maambulb & mana & kungurr & kunge & rlarlangkarram & mana, \\
ma=kambul-ma & mana & kungurr & kuN-nga=yi & rlarlangkarram & mana \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) eye-3m & 3mDEF & stab & VCOMP-1=do & sea & 3 mDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
manmaadem & buju & angkamnyanuna. \\
\(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{maade}-\mathrm{m}\) & buju & arr-ngka-mnya=nu-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DEF-RESULT-3m & finish & \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV-DD=be-PAST \\
I stabbed the Eye-of-the-sea, that's why they all died.
\end{tabular}

The 'Eye-of-the-sea' is the name of a particularly dangerous tidal whirlpool off the north-west Kimberley coast.

Even when result status is not specifically marked, the evidential nature of such sentences is usually clear. In (15.21) a man is searching for evidence of what has happened to two missing children:
(15.21)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Akurla & kamangaarndu; & mana & braarr & kamnyeng \\
\hline akurla & [ka]- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-[kwarndu] & mana & braarr & ØN-Ø-ngka-mnya=yi-ng \\
\hline demon & [3a]-3=get-PAST-[DU] & 3 mDEF & clear & 3a-3-SJTV-DD=do-PAST \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mana ngalarram, rdiwa-rdiwa mangkamurrkarlaal.
mana ngalarram rdiwa-rdiwa ma-Ø-ngka=murrka-rla-kwaal
3 mDEF long.grass break-break 3m-3-SJTV=go.to-PAST-hither
A devil took them; here's where he must've flattened the long grass and trampled it down.

In (15.22) two women have just recounted the horrific details of their adventures or sojourn in their husband's country. They end their account by declaring that on the basis of what has happened to them:
(15.22) (=7.37a)

Wunngarri maa bardi arrkunyaninykarndeerri
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=n-ngarri maa bardi arr-ngun-nya=ni-ny-kwarndu-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-REL PROG be.at.home 1px-SJTV-EP=be-MIR-DU-PROG
mana dambeem.
3mDEF place
From now on we're staying at home.
Subjunctive inferential marking in this case indexes the antecedent narrative that leads up to this declaration. In (15.23) evidential marking appears again on the outcome or result ('we ran away') of the situation indexed by inferential morphology ('we were afraid of him'):
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { Arri } & \text { arrkunjooleenangurru } & \text { wangalaalunguyu } & \text { kerrkulkunarla. } \\ \text { arri } & \text { arr-ngun=yoolee-na-ngurru } & \text { wangalaalanguya } & \text { ka-nyarr=kwulkuna-rla }\end{array}\)
we(exc) 1px-SJTV=go-PAST-away children 3a-1px=fear-PAST
The reason us children ran away is that we were afraid of him.
Example (15.24) is from Daisy Utemorrah's account of her childhood at the Kunmunya Mission. Here she is describing the ways in which as girls she and her friends helped older women. The first part of the extract is not glossed, and describes the kind of work girls did after school. The second part, which is glossed, is an assertion based on evidence, that girls at that time actively helped their parents and relations:
(15.24a)

School buju nyarrkunjungu ke dambeenyinim braarr merrwuna. Wiyanu marnowa kunyajingeerringkurri anja wangaya. Ke aaku kurley kunyajingeerringkurri mangarringarrim dambeem mana
When we finished school we used to clean up around our camps. We carried firewood with the women, and with them we watered [splashed water on] the gardens.
(b)

Wunu anyarrkurnawajangerri; marno-marnowa
wunu arr-nyarr-ngun=rnawaja-nga-eerri marno-marno-bwa
3wDEF 3p-1px-SJTV=help-PAST-PROG
carry-carry-PROG
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
nyarrkunjingeerri & wiyanu, wunu \\
ØN-nyarr-ngun=yi-ng-eerri & \begin{tabular}{l} 
wiyanu \\
wunu
\end{tabular} \\
VCOMP-1px-SJTV=do-PAST-PROG & fire & 3wDEF \\
& & \\
anyarrkurnawajangerri & anja & wangaya. \\
arr-nyarr-ngun=rnawaja-nga-eerri & anja & wangaya \\
3p-1px-SJTV=help-PAST-PROG & 3pDEF & women \\
That's how we helped them; we used to carry firewood, we helped the women that way.
\end{tabular}

From the same story as example (15.1), sentence (15.25) also shows subjunctive inferential marking on a result clause. In Worrorra culture a full stomach is invariably accompanied by thirst, and thirst happens to be a crucial consequence in this story. The speaker is here stating how it came about that they became full, and so became thirsty:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wangalaalunguyu & anja & minjarl & kaajanunerri & ardernayurriya, \\
wangalaalanguya & anja & minjarl & kaarr-nya=nu-na-eerri & arr-rne=rnayurr-iya \\
children & 3pDEF & eat & 3p-EP=be-PAST-PROG & 3p-AUG=adult-3p
\end{tabular}
ke rdurlurr kenganangkorri.
ke rdurlurr \(\quad\) NN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=yi-ng-a-[nangka-kwurri]
3wREF full.of.food VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST-EP-[3pDAT]
The children and adults all ate (figs), and [that's how they got/ they must have been] full.

This construction involves a kind of causation; the speaker is not specifically saying that their eating was the cause of their being full: they were eating figs (which swell inside the stomach), and so in this case we may logically infer that they were full. This is an example of a conversational implicature coming out of what Levinson (1983:146) calls a principle of informativeness, allowing a listener to 'read into an utterance more information than it actually contains.'

\subsection*{15.5.5 Aetiological formula}

Third-person narratives make up a significant proportion of the database, and a significant proportion of these are traditional stories. And a significant proportion of these, in turn, are ætiologies. This being the case, it is appropriate to note an evidential formula, or set of formulæ, that almost invariably end ætiologies. In these contexts the etic distinction drawn here between immediate and inferential evidentiality is collapsed. Observe this phenomenon in (15.26), which comes at the end of a story in which Mount Trafalgar was stolen and then returned to its rightful place on the north shore of the St. George's Basin:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Aja & kamnyaninjeerrimaade & wurno & Malandumarnanya. \\
aja & ØN-ngka-mnya=ni-ny-yeerri-maade & \({ }^{k}\) wuN=rno & Malanduma-rnanya \\
sit & 3w-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG-RESULT & 3w=DIST & Prince.Regent.Riv-LOC
\end{tabular}

As an ætiology, the story ends by representing the outcomes of a traditional story as being observable today. Note however that it is impossible to tease out immediate from inferential status: the outcome of the story is immediately apparent, as anyone can observe Mount Trafalgar. But the outcome of the story is also evidenced by the narrated events that caused the phenomenon under consideration to come into being; and these events constitute antecedents for the final outcome or result that comprises ætiological status. In other stories it is the thorns on an echidna, the black head and
reduced wings of an emu, the lack of hair on the scaly-tailed possum's tail, and the red splashes on the wings of the red-winged parrot that are readily observable, and that are also the outcomes of events narrated in each case.

In the following examples certain natural phenomena are held up as the manifest results of the events that have just been recounted. Note in these examples the frequent appearance of the postposition maade 'RESULT' or -nyini 'ENDPOINT' used to make explicit the logical connection between the narrative and the currently-observable phenomena which have been its outcome. Such sentences have been seen in ( 7.36 c ), (10.17a) and (10.40b); others are presented below:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke & wunu & jarlewamaade & nyangkaninjeerri & wunu. \\
ke & wunu & jarlewa-maade & nyaN-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri & wunu \\
3wREF & 3wDEF & red-RESULT & 3f-SJTV=be-MIR-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

That's why she's red now.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Weni & arrkuninjanjeerri: & kunyilarnanya & maa & aja \\
weni & a-ngarr-ngun=ninja-ny-yeerri & kunyila-rnanya & maa & aja \\
now & 3a-1pin-SJTV=look.at-MIR-PROG & moon-LOC & 3mREF & sit
\end{tabular}
kaningeerri.
ka=ni-ng-eerri
3a=be-PRES-PROG
We can see him now: he's up there in the moon.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ke & wunu & warnanjakurde & nyangkamnyaninjeerrimaade. \\
ke & wunu & warnanja-kurde & nyaN-ngka-mnya=ni-ny-yeerri-maade \\
3wREF & 3wDEF & thorn-ASSOC & 3f-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG-RESULT
\end{tabular}
3wREF 3wDEF thorn-ASSOC 3f-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG-RESULT

And so that's how she is now, covered in thorns.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kanbanerri & awa & burnu & kenga, & bulmarrwaaya & maa \\
kanbanerri & awa & burnu & ØN-Ø-ngka=yi-ng & bulmarrwaaya & maa \\
crab & 3aNAR & 3wPRO & VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST & squashed & PROG
\end{tabular}
ingkenganjeerrimaade.
i-ngka=[ya-nga]-ny-yeerri-maade
3a-SJTV=[go-TNS]-MIR-PROG-RESULT
The crab was injured, and he still goes around all squashed.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ke & birdeennyinim & yarrkay & mangkamnyaninjeerri. \\
ke & birdeen-nyini-m & yarrkay & ma-ngka-mnya=ni-ny-yeerri \\
3wREF & small-ENDPOINT-3m & hang.down & 3m-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG \\
And so now there's just little (wings) banging down there.
\end{tabular}
(f)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunu & nyirrbriaarndu & wakumaada & maade & kaninjeerri \\
wunu & nyirr=mri-kwarndu & wakumaada & maade & ON-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri \\
3wDEF & 2p=head-DU & black & RESULT & 3w-SJTV=be-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
wunu, manjawarra & wali & aaya & durloo & irrangunyeerlaarndu. \\
wunu & manjawarra & wali & aaya & durloo & i-[rra]-ngun-nya=ee-rla-[kwarndu] \\
3wDEF berry.sp & PERF & 3aREF & place & 3a-[2p]-SJTV-EP=place-PAST-[DU] \\
So now you see that both your heads are black from where you carried the manjawarra berries.
\end{tabular}
(g)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wunmaamaade & wunu & nyarrkunyaninjanjeeerri \\
\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN=n-maa-maade & wunu & nyaN-ngarr-ngun-nya=ninja-ny-yeerri \\
3w=DEF-SPEC-RESULT & 3wDEF & 3f-1pin-SJTV-EP=look.at-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}
rdiwurl angkamnyaningeerri.
rdiwurl arr-ngka-mnya=ni-ng-eerri
kill 3p-SJTV-DD=be-PRES-PROG
And so this is how we see them (fem), now when they kill (them).
Sentences ( \(15.27 \mathrm{f} \& \mathrm{~g}\) ) both show a pair of subjunctive clauses; in each case the first clause is evidential while the second is adverbial-subordinate. Example ( 15.27 g ) clearly shows both kinds of subjunctive present-tense marking: the evidential present-tense marker -ny is seen in the first verb, while non-evidential indicative-type present tense marking ( \(-n g\) ) occurs on the second. Sentence (15.27d) also shows two subjunctive clauses, this time both are evidential. The first clause here indexes cultural knowledge as an evidentiary source, while the second is ætiological.

The second clause in (15.27f) is clearly adverbial-subordinate: it denotes the background or prior condition for the information in the first clause, as well as containing the perfect aspect marker wali, indexing past events as being still pertinent to the present. The second clause refers to the preceding narrative: two emus stole cakes of black berries and ran off with them on their heads; this is expected of the backgrounding function of this kind of clause. But in serving this function the second clause assumes a de facto causative role: again, implicature from the principle of informativeness is probably involved here. In stating antecedent conditions, this clause thereby and in this instance states the cause of the situation in the first, evidential clause.

\subsection*{15.6 Clause subordination}

In Worrorra texts it is not uncommon to find ambiguity between evidentiality and backgrounding: sentence example (15.25) has already been discussed in this regard. Another example may be seen in (15.28) below. This example comes from a text in which a divine injunction is broken and a Wandjina floods the land, drowning everyone except for two children who survive. The story ends as in (15.28); for transparency, intonation breaks are here marked by diagonal slashes, and intonation units are numbered in superscripts.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\({ }^{1}\) kaangurrurlaarndu & mayakarrinyinim & dambeem & \(/{ }^{2}\) arrka \\
{\(\left[\right.\) ka]- \(\varnothing={ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla- \(\left[{ }^{k}\right.\) warndu \(]\)} & ma=yakarri-nyini-m & dambeem & arrka \\
{\([3 a]-3=\) carry-PAST-[DU] } & \(3 m=\) other-ENDPOINT-3m & place & 3 pNAR
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline karle & buju & angkona & & inja & Wanjurna \\
\hline karle & buju & arr-(n)-Ø-ng & \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & inja & wanjurna \\
\hline change.of.state & finish & 3 p -(INV)-3-Sj & =hit-PAST & 3aDEF & DEITY \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
/3 yawarrarra \\
yawarrarra sink.PL
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kubajeng} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{/4 dambeenyinim} & karamalo. \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kuN-bwarr-nya = yi-ng} & dambee & & karamalo \\
\hline & VCOMP-3p & EP=do-PAST & place-E & NT-3m & empty \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\({ }^{1}\) It took themj both to another country \(/{ }^{2}\) now the Wandjina had destroyed theme \(/{ }^{3}\) they} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
empty.
It is likely that intonation unit 3 , recapitulating as it does the preceding narrative, constitutes the antecedent material for the evidential/inferential clause in unit 2. Unit 4 constitutes a second, verbless, result clause with unit 3 as its precedent. Nevertheless the information in unit 2 could also be understood as background or prior condition for the information in unit 1; perhaps more realistically, this sequence may be decoded in both ways: as indexing an antecedent source of knowledge (3) about propositional content (2), while at the same time indexing that propositional content as a sufficient condition for the situation in (1). It is likely that conversational implicature licences the extension of formal evidential marking into other linguistic categories.

\subsection*{15.6.1 Adverbial subordinate clauses}

Worrorra clauses may frequently be juxtaposed, especially when events occur concurrently. Verbs may be serialized to depict sequences of related events, as in (16.10) in \(\$ 16.2 .3\). In (15.29) clauses which would normally be subordinated in English are linked paratactically to each other. Example (15.29a) uses a parallel format in which each clause is introduced by karle 'CHANGE-OF-STATE:'
(15.29a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Karle & wali & ajeendaarndu & wunu, & [karle & budurrwu \\
karle & wali & a-[ngarr]-nya=eenda-[kwarnduA \(]\) & wunu & karle & budurrwu \\
Then & PERF & 3a-[1pin]-EP=cook-[DUA & 3wDEF & then & snore
\end{tabular}
kengerri].
ka=ya-nga-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{TNS}-\mathrm{PROG}\)
Then we both burned him, [while he was snoring.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ke maa & kubajingeerri & [wori & kaarrweenerri]. \\
ke maa & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri & wori & kaarr=bwee-na-eerri \\
thus & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-PROG & go.around & 3p=hit.MID-PAST-PROG \\
They did these things [while they were travelling around]. &
\end{tabular}
(c) \((=10.84 \mathrm{~b})\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Mangarriwurle & minjarl & ju, & {\([\) bija } & joli \\
mangarri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurle & minjarl & ØN=yi & bija & joli
\end{tabular}
food-first eat VCOMP=do FUT return 1-OPT=be-hither I'll come back [after you've had lunch]. (Lit: 'eat lunch first, I'll come back bere.')

Clauses may also be subordinated by means of the relativizing suffix -ngarri (see \(\$ 10.6 .2\) (i)). For negative subordinate clauses, this may be the only means available:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(15.30) (=10.62b) & & \\
[Wali waa & nyaa & bangawenyangarri,] & karraanya \\
wali waa & nyaa & ba-nga=bwa-yinya-ngarri & karra-aa-nya \\
not.yet & born & CFT-1=fall-PAST-REL & mother-1DAT-3f
\end{tabular}

However by far the most frequent means of clausal subordination is by the use of subjunctive morphology; indeed, this is the most frequent function of subjunctive forms in the database, which is strongly biased towards a narrative third-person, referring-and-predicating discourse style. This kind of subordinating morphology may be used to set up temporal backgrounds:
(15.31a) (=10.58b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wrrorra & maa & kunyarloorlerri & [wangalang & arrkununa]. \\
wrrorra & maa & kuN-nyarr=rloo-rla-eerri & wangalang & \begin{tabular}{l} 
arr-ngun=nu-na
\end{tabular} \\
Worrorra & only & VCOMP-1px=speak-PAST-PROG & child & 1px-SJTV=be-PAST \\
We only spoke & W orrorra [when we were children]. & &
\end{tabular}
(b) \((=8.28 \mathrm{c})\)

Ngurr bungenangkeka,
ngurr ba-kuN-nga=yi-nangka-y2aka wunu joli nyaN-ngka=nu-ng-kwaal
strike CFT-VCOMP-1=do-DAT-EMPH 3wDEF return 3f-SJTV=be-PRES-hither
I'll really belt her [when she gets back].
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Wunu & marangunyina & maramara & nyangkaningeerri,] \\
wunu & marangunya-nyina & maramara & nyaN-ngka=ni-ng-eerri \\
3wDEF & sun-3fDEF & hot & 3f-SJTV=be-PRES-PROG
\end{tabular}
nyinaarringeenya wajunangka.
nyinaarringeenya kuN-ngarr=yi-nangka
midday VCOMP-1pin=say-DAT
[When the sun is hottest], we say it's midday.
(d) \((=10.48 \mathrm{a})\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Minyiyaara & [ngamba & ngeyu & jungunju & dambeemangurru]. \\
minya=yi-k \({ }^{\text {wara }}\) & ngamba & nga-nya=ya & ØN-nja-ngun=yi & dambeema-ngurru \\
\(2>3 \mathrm{w}=\) do-1DAT & when & 1-OPT=go & VCOMP-2-SJTV=do & place-ALL \\
Tell me [when you want to go bome]. & &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Karle & waay-waay & kanunangurru & wurno; \\
karle & waay-waay & ØN-ngka=nu-na-ngurru & wurno \\
CHANGE.OF.STATE & throw-throw & \(3 \mathrm{w}-\) SJTV=be-PAST-away & 3wDIST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
iyakarra & iwaraaleya & baay & ingkenga ... \\
i=yakarra & iwaraaleya & baay & i-ngka=ya-nga \\
3a=other & month & rise/appear & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

Then when after a while she had recovered (from the worst of her grief); when another month bad gone by ...
(f)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Mara & errkumbu] & kajirn & berrwundaka. \\
mara & a-nyarr-ngun=b wu & kajirn & ba-ka-nyarr=bwu-n-y2aka \\
see & 3a-1px-SJTV=hit & NEG & CFT-3a-1px=hit-NON.P-EMPH
\end{tabular}
[If/ when we see/find him], we certainly won't hurt him.
(g)
```

Nguru kerrkangurreerri [karloorlanyarreerri].
nguru ka-nyarr=kwangurru-eerri ØN-\varnothing-ngka=rloo-rla-nyarri-eerri
hear 3a-1px=take-PROG VCOMP-3-SJTV=speak-PAST-1pxDAT-PROG
We used to listen to bim [when be talked to us].

```
(h) (=4.3a)
Waawa nguru banyankangurrun [wunu nganngunjineerri]?
waawa nguru ba-jan=kwangurru-n wunu ØN-ngan-ngun=yi-nu-eerri
NEG.INTER hear CFT-2>1=carry-NON.P 3wDEF VCOMP-1-SJTV=do-2DAT-PROG How come you don't listen to me [when I'm talking to you??
(i)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Bardi & nyinyamurrkaarndu & ngayu, & [wunu & yala \\
bardi & {\([\) nyirr \(]-\mathrm{n}-\varnothing\)-nya=murrka- \([\mathrm{k}\) warndu \(]\)} & ngayu & wunu & yala \\
at.home & \([2 \mathrm{p}]-\mathrm{INV}-3-\) OPT= go.to-[DU \(]\) & I & 3 wDEF & hunting
\end{tabular}
nganngumbana wariwunya].
ngan-ngun \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wa-na wari- \({ }^{\text {k wunya }}\)
1-SJTV = fall-TNS kangaroos-PURP
I'll come back home to you [after I've gone hunting for keangaroos].
(j)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Jinalya & jadba & kawarraangurrurlu & {\([\) kulunu } & wulaa \\
jinalya & jada-bwa & ka-b \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr- \(={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla & kulunu & wulaa \\
spear & straight-PROG & 3a-3p-EP=take-PAST & sleep & rest
\end{tabular}
kaajingeerri].
ØN-ngka-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri
VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG
They, threw theire spears at him [while theyl were sleeping].
(k)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngarramnyeyu & dambeem & [ingkamnyaninjawa, & karle \\
ngarr-a-mnya=ya & dambeem & i-ngka-mnya=ninjawa & karle \\
1pin-OPT-DD=go & place & 3a-SJTV-DD=be.burned & CHANGE.OF.STATE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
iwujarra & ingkamnyanungu]. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}\) wujarra & i-ngka-mnya=nu-ng \\
3a=ripe/cooked & 3a-SJTV-DD=be-PRES \\
We'll go home [when it's cooked, when it's ready to eat].
\end{tabular}

Other examples can be seen at (14.4) in \(\$ 14.1\), and in the first clause in (15.41) below. The subjunctive verbs in ( \(15.31 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}\) ) and ( k ) show indicative-type present-tense marking ( -ng ), reinforcing the analysis that they are not evidential forms. These examples involve the depiction of a background or context in time, against which the events in the main clause are to be understood. The definite status of the backgrounding or contextualizing subordinate clauses in examples (15.31b,c,h \& i) is signalled by the celestial definite article wunи.

Other sentences use subordinate clauses to depict something about the circumstances or the manner in which main clause events occur; that is, about the circumstances accompanying and effecting those events. In Worrorra these manner-adverbial subordinate clauses also employ subjunctive morphology; some examples may be seen below:
(15.32a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Juwalyanyini & warndi & kawarrona & [yarludoo & angkengerri \\
\hline juwalya-nyini & warndi & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na & yarludoo & arr-ngka=ya-nga-eerri \\
\hline path-ENDPOINT & make & 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST & break & \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV \(=\) go-PAST-PROG \\
\hline jindimaa & yanarri & injaa & nguwanu & wunaa]. \\
\hline jindima-aa & yanarri & inja-aa & nguwanu & wunu-aa \\
\hline mangrove-and & undergrow & th 3aDEF-and & tree & 3wDEF-and \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{They made a road [by breaking down all the mangroves, bushes and trees].} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke & juman & nyimbajamanga & [wunu & barnbarreyi \\
ke & juman & nyiN-b warr-nya=ma-nga & wunu & barnbarreyi \\
3wREF & enactment & 3f-3p-EP=get-PAST & 3wDEF & thrash.about
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
kamnyenga, & \begin{tabular}{l} 
rdeyi-rdeyi
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyangkamnyaweena \\
ØN-Ø-ngka-mnya=yi-ng
\end{tabular} \\
rdeyi-rdeyi & nyaN-ngka-mnya=bwee-na \\
VCOMP-3-SJTV-DD=do-PAST & gash-gash & 3f-SJTV-DD=hit.MID-PAST
\end{tabular}
karrkunyine].
karrku-nyine
rock-INST
They enact this story about her, [in which she thrashes about (in grief) and gashes herself with a rock.].
(c)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Kunyajenganangkerri} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{weninyine, weni-nyine now-INST} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
[mangkaardimarla \\
ma-ngka-bwarr=rima-rla \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{SJTV}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) name-PAST
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline kuN-nyarr-nya = & g-a-nangka-eerri & & \\
\hline VCOMP-1px-EP= & -PAST-EP-DAT-PROG & & \\
\hline mangumbam & mana dambeem]. & & \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ma}=\) ngumba-m & mana dambeem & & \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) name -3 m & 3 mDEF place & & \\
\hline We still tell (the stor) & today, [how they gave that & lace its name]. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Juloorr-juloorr-juloorr & kumnyengaalkarndeerri & [wunu \\
juloorr-juloorr-juloorr & kuN-[Ø]-mnya=yi-ng-kwal- \({ }^{[k}\) warndu]-eerri & wunu \\
carry.along.over.shoulder & VCOMP-[3]-DD=do-PAST-hither-[DU]-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
imrirnanya & aja & ingkeerlaarndu & inja & manjawarra]. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) mri-rnanya & aja & i-[Ø]-ngka=ee-rla- \({ }^{k}\) warndu] & inja & manjawarra \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) head-LOC & sit & 3a-[3]-SJTV=place-PAST-[DU] & 3aDEF & berry.sp
\end{tabular}

They were coming along with their bags over their shoulders and [with the manjawarra cakes placed up on their heads].

It follows that manner-adverbial subordinate clauses may quite naturally serve as protases for result clauses that are themselves marked for inferential evidentiality. In arrangements of this kind an evidential clause indexes or points to the circumstances that constitute a source of knowledge about some propositional content, as seen in (15.33) below, in which a subjunctive manner-adverbial clause precedes an evidential clause:
(15.33)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[karrku & ke & marnuk & kamanga], & ke & iwarndu \\
karrku & ke & marnuk & ØN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=ma-nga & ke & \(\mathrm{i}={ }^{\text {b }}\) warndu \\
rock & 3wREF & lift & 3w-3-SJTV=get=PAST & 3wREF & 3a \(=\) arm
\end{tabular}
wunu burnumaade kenga.
wunu burnu-maade ØN-Ø-ngka=yi-ng
3wDEF 3 wPRO-RESULT VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST
[It was when he lifted up that rock], that's how he broke his arm.
In an etic analysis such as this, manner-adverbial subordinate clauses blend fairly seamlessly into those that constitute causes: that is, clauses whose propositional content is understood to be a sufficient condition for the events in the main clause. And such causal clauses are also, naturally enough, marked by subjunctive morphology; some analytical indeterminacy along these lines has already been noted with respect to (15.28). And as noted, example (15.27f) above is a clear instance of causation: the first clause is an immediately-apparent evidential; the second is a manner-adverbial subordinate clause involving causation, and so becomes a de facto causal clause. Example (15.34a) below is from the same story, and here the causal function of the subordinate clause is even clearer. Other subordinate clauses with causal functions are shown in (15.34b-d):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Imriyaarndu & wakumaada & kumnyanungaarndu & wunu, & [aja \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\) mri- k warndu & wakumaada & kuN-mnya \(=\) nu-ng- -kwarndu & wunu & aja \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) head-DU & black & 3 w -DD= \(=\) ee-PRES-DU & 3wDEF & sit
\end{tabular}
ingkeerlaarndu inja manjawarra].
i-[Ø]-ngka=ee-rla-[kwarndu] inja manjawarra
3a-[3]-SJTV=place-PAST-[DU] 3aDEF berry.sp
Both their heads are black [from where they put the manjawarra berries].
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ardernayurriya & marndum & maangurru & [wunu & minjarl \\
arr-rne \(=\) rnayurr-iya & marndum & maa-ngurru & wunu & minjarl \\
px-AUG=adult-3p & stomach & 3mREF-away & 3wDEF & eat
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
angkanunerri & bunjuma & mana]. \\
arr-ngka=nu-na-eerri & bunjuma & mana \\
3p-SjTV=be-PAST-PROG & fig & 3mDEF
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Marnduma & rnima & mamangerri & [wunu & wurluk \\
marnduma & rnima & ma- = \(=\) ma-nga-eerri & wunu & wurluk \\
stomach & heavy & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) get-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF & swallow
\end{tabular}
ingkayabunaarndu].
[i]-Ø-ngka=yabu-na-[kwarndu]
[3a]-3-SJTV=throw-PAST-[DU]
His stomach was heayy from having swallowed them botb].
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Dress & anbardorna & [school-kunya & arrkunyenga]. \\
dress & arr-n-bwarr=rno-rna & school-kwunya & arr-ngun-nya=ya-nga \\
dress & 1 px-INV-3p=give-PAST & school-PURP & 1px-SJTV-EP=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

They gave us dresses [for when we went to school].

\subsection*{15.6.2 Hypothesizing}

Hypothesizing necessarily involves inference; hypothesis is explanation on the basis of (incomplete) evidence. Hypothetical constructions involve pairs of irrealis clauses, an antecedent prior condition and an outcome. Hypothetical constructions differ from other ordered matrix-subordinate syntaxes in that the apodosis is irrealis, not realis. In Worrorra the hypothetical protasis is in subjunctive form, and the apodosis is in either counterfactual-potential or optative mood. Hypothetical constructions from the database may be seen in \(\$ 8.4 .3\) in the examples in (8.30). One of these is presented above in (15.10e) (the first two clauses of that example), another may be seen in (15.19), and another is repeated in (15.35a) below. More examples may be seen in ( 15.35 b \& c), both from an ætiology about the origin of marriage rules and patrimoieties:
(15.35a) (=8.30b)
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Arri } & \text { ingujulum } & \text { inganngunyamaalima, } & \text { ke } & \text { bangamaalimaarndu. } \\ \text { arri } \quad \mathrm{i}=\text { ngujulum } & \text { i-ngan-ngun-nya=maalima } & \text { ke } & \text { ba-[ka]-nga=maalima-[kwarndu] } \\ \text { but } 3 \text { = =ribcage } & \text { 3a-1-SJTV-EP=spear } & 3 \mathrm{wREF} & \text { CFT-[3a]-1=spear-[DU] } \\ \text { But if I were to spear bim in the ribs, I might get those two (boys). } & \end{array}\)
(b)

Ngunju nyangkaangkayanyale angkaanbarrburrkaal anja ngayu
ngunju nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya-nyale arr-ngka-kaarr-n-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}=\) murrka-k \(^{-k}\) wal anja ngayu
you \(\quad \rightarrow\)-AUG-girl-3p-then 3p-SJTV-3p-INV-3p=go.to-hither 3pDEF I
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
ngayanaanangkaya & iwaawurleya, & ke & wardi & wurlarl & bungkanunu. \\
ngaya-naa-nangka-ya & iwaawurleya & ke & wardi & wurlarl & ba-kuN=nu-nu \\
I-AUG-DAT-3p & teenage.boys & 3 WREF & HOPE & suitable & CFT-3w=be-2DAT \\
Then if your girls could come over to be with my big boys, I hope that might be suitable to you.
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunu & ngunjanangkaya & nyangkaangkaya & anja & angkengkaal \\
wunu & ngunja-nangka-ya & nya-ngkaa-ngka-ya & anja & arr-ngka=ya-kwaal \\
3WDEF & I-DAT-3p & ム-AUG-girl-3p & 3pDEF & 3p-SJTV=go-hither
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ngayurnanya, & ke & wurlarl & baade & nyanu. \\
ngayu-rnanya & ke & wurlarl & maade & ØN-nya=nu \\
I-LOC & 3wREF & suitable & RESULT & 3w-OPT=be
\end{tabular}

Ifyour girls come over to my place, then that will be appropriate.

\subsection*{15.6.3 Relative clauses}

The distinction in Worrorra between adverbial, adjoined, and relative subordinate clauses might be an entirely etic one, were it not for a phenomenon involving the terrestrial and plural agreement classes: some subjunctive verbs exhibit class-marking suffixes, which, when taken together with their series-2 NP prefixes, give them the formal appearance of adjectives (see \(₫ 6.1\) ). Terrestrial suffixation on
subjunctive verbs marks such forms as being relativized or nominalized, \({ }^{106}\) and structurally dependent upon actual or implied head nouns in locative semantic roles, as seen in (15.36). In the following examples the external heads of relative clauses are marked by a subscripted H :
(15.36a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Ngayu & mana & aja & nyinngunyeerlaarndum] \\
ngayu & mana & aja & [nyirr]-n- - - ngun-nya=ee-rla-[ \({ }^{k}\) warndu]-m \\
I & 3 mDEF & sit & {\([2 p]-\) INV-3/1-SJTV-EP=place-PAST-[DU]-3m }
\end{tabular}
dambeemarnanya \({ }_{H}\) waa aja binyidinyaarndeerri.
dambeem-rnanya waa aja ba-nyirr=ni-nya-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu-eerri
place-LOC not sit CFT-2p=be-PAST-DU-PROG
You two didn't stay at the place where I left you.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Bunjuma & minjarl-minjarl & nyirranu & werrim & maa, & werrim \\
bunjuma & minjarl-minjarl & nyirr-a \(=\) nu & werrim & maa & werrim \\
fig & eat-eat & 2p-OPT=be & hill & 3 mREF & hill
\end{tabular}
[manganngunyaarndengama].
ma-ngan-ngun-nya= \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warnde-nga-ma
3m-1-SJTV-EP=place-PAST-3m
You can eat your fill of the figs on the bill, the bill where I've planted them.
The presence of the locative morpheme -rnanya in such sentences seems to be stylistic; overt locative marking may be on the relative-clause head as in (15.36a), on a dependent constituent such as a determiner as in (15.37a) below, on the relativized or nominalized verb itself as in (15.37b), or absent altogether, as in (15.36b \& 15.37c):
(15.37a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Maangurrunyini & ngayanangkamarnanya & ngunyaangurru, \\
maa-ngurru-nyini & ngaya-nangka-ma-rnanya & ngun- \(\varnothing\)-nya=kwangurru \\
3mREF-away-ENDPOINT & I-DAT-3m-LOC & \(2-3 / 1-\) OPT=take
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[arri & brrak & nyarrkunjuma & maa]. \\
arri & brrak & ØN-nyarr-ngun=yi-ma & maa \\
we(exc) & awake & VCOMP-1px-SJTV=do-3m & 3mREF \\
So now I'll take you away to my own (country H), where our child-spirits are found.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Dambeem \(_{H}\) & joli & mangamurrka & ngayanangkama \\
dam \()\) & brrak \\
dambeem & joli & ma-nga=murrka & ngaya-nangka-ma & brrak \\
place & return & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-1=\) go.to & I-DAT-3m & awake
\end{tabular}
nyarrkunjumarnanya].
ØN-nyarr-ngun=yi-ma-rnanya
VCOMP-1px-SJTV=do-3m-LOC
I'll go back to my own country, where our child-spirits are found.

\footnotetext{
\({ }_{106}\) Perhaps more accurately 'adjectivalized.'
}
(c) \((=6.9)\)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Aja & ajanuna & mana & barnjamaH & [ingkaangkarrengama], \\
aja & arr-nya=nu-na & mana & barnjama & i-ngka=kwangkarre-ng-a-ma \\
sit & 1px-EP=be-PAST & 3mDEF & cave & 3a-SJTV=image.exist-PRES-EP-3m
\end{tabular}
```

[irnurnu warndi konama].
i=rnurnu warndi ØN-Ø-ngka=bwu-na-ma
3a=hand create 3w-3-SJTV=hit-PAST-3m
We stayed in the cave where his picture is, where he painted bis hands.

```

The gerund-like root \(={ }^{k}\) wangkarre 'SUBJECT'S image exists' is discussed in relation to example (6.9) in \(\$ 6.2 .4\). Terrestrial class-marking on subjunctive verbs in locational constituents may be observed as well in sentence examples (1.2) in \(₫ 1.7\), and (11.41b) in \(\S 11.5 .1\), along with accompanying discussions.

In the database there are two instances of subjunctive verbs with plural suffixation, shown in (15.38) below. The relativized verb in (15.38a) modifies a head in \(S\) grammatical function, while that in (15.38b) modifies one in U function:
(15.38a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
ArrkaH & [iwaawurleya & angkanuneya] & maa & maanma & buju \\
arrka & iwaawurleya & \begin{tabular}{l} 
arr-ngka=nu-na-ya \\
teenage.boys
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
maa \\
3p-SJTV=be-PAST-3p
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
maan-ma \\
3mREF
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
SPEC-3m
\end{tabular} \\
finish
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Anja & kawaawurlanangkayaн & nyangkanaanangkayaн & {\([\) kurleen } \\
anja & ka-waa-wurla-nangka-ya & nyangka-naa-nangka-ya & kurleen \\
3pDEF & ム-AUG-woman's.son-DAT-3p & girl-AUG-DAT-3p & bear
\end{tabular}
```

angkonaaya] bijaku kaanyiyaalkarla.
arr-n-Ø-ngka=bwu-na-ya bijaku kaarr-n-Ø-nya=yaalka-rla
3p-INV-3-SJTV=hit-PAST-3p smoke 3p-INV-3-EP=stand.TR-PAST
She stood the sons and daughters she'd given birth to in the smoke.107

```

Subjunctive verbs with agreement-class suffixes bear formal testimony to their status as constituents dependent upon nominal heads, and so themselves qualify as heads of relative clauses. Yet the majority of verbs in the database with proposed relative status show no such suffixation, and a relativization analysis is dependent upon an interpretation based on pragmatic and semantic, as well as structural considerations.

The examples below may be understood as subordinate relative constructions, using semantic and pragmatic considerations alone. The most pertinent structural consideration appears to be the appearance of a relative clause immediately adjacent to its main-clause head, as these examples show.
(15.39a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Angujakane & inja: & kaakaajinjaa & {\([\) [ka-ka-ka’ } \\
angujakane & inja & kaakaaja \({ }^{-}\)-inja-aa & ka-ka-ka \\
all.kinds.of.things & 3aDEF & spirit-bird \({ }^{108}-3 a D E F-a n d\) & ka-ka-ka
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{107}\) Although redundant in English, this qualification distinguishes classificatory from biological kin.
}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
kenga & \(\mathrm{ke}]\). \\
ØN-3-ingka=yi-ng & ke \\
VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST & 3 wREF \\
(There were) all kinds of things: (there was) the kaakaaja bird, that goes 'ka-ka-ka.'
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ngarru & inja & kanangkurri \({ }_{H}\) & [wali & burnu & angkona], \\
ngarru & inja & kanangkurri & wali & burnu & arr-n- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=bwu-na \\
whither & 3aDEF & dog & PERF & 3wPRO & 3p-INV-3-SJTV=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}
[yawarrarra angkayandarla]?
yawarrarra arr-n- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=yanda-rla
sink.PL \(\quad 3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{INV}-3-\) SJTV \(=\) cause-PAST
Where's the dog who destroyed them, who made them disappear?
(c)

Ke wiyanbali [ke wurluk kayabuna wunu
ke wiyanu \({ }_{H}\)-wunbali ke wurluk ØN-Ø-ngka=yabu-na wunu 3wREF fire-3wRESUM 3wREF swallow 3w-3-SJTV=throw-PAST 3wDEF
ngarrkunjaangarri].
ngarrkunjaa-ngarri
long.ago-REL
That's the fire that he swallowed long ago.
(d)
[Ngayu baba-baba nganngunju] karle kanunangurru maa.
ngayu baba-baba \(\emptyset N\)-ngan-ngun=yi karle ka=nu-na-ngurru maa I MoFa-MoFa VCOMP-1-SJTV=do then 3a=be-PAST-away 3mREF (The \(\operatorname{man}_{H}\) ) whom I called grandfather passed away there.
(e)

Anjanyale [yorr angkawanerringkurri] kaarringanyale.
anja \(a_{H}\)-nyale yorr arr-ngka \(=^{b}\) wa-na-eerri- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wurri kaarr=i-nga-nyale
3pDEF-then sit.together 3p-SJTV=fall-TNS-PROG-NUM \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-then
Then they who were sitting with them went away too.
(f)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wayurrkarri & anja \(_{(\mathrm{H})}\) & [kabalbarnanya & dalulu & angkanuna] & anja \\
wayurr-ngarri & anja & kabalba-rnanya & dalulu & arr-ngka=nu-na & anja \\
under-REL & 3pDEF & ground-LOC & shed & 3p-SJTV=be-PAST & 3pDEF
\end{tabular}
marrukuya \(_{H}\) arrke minjarlminjarl nyinunerri.
marruku-ya arrke minjarl-minjarl nyiN=nu-na-eerri
flower-PL 3pREF eat-eat \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{PROG}\).
She ate the flowers underneath that had fallen on the ground.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{108}\) Two onomatopoeically-named spirit-birds haunt the night forests of the north-west Kimberley: kaakaaja inja and meemeenya nyina.
}
(g)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nalala & kunjungu & anja & wurlarnbirri & [jaalba \\
rnalala & kuN-Ø=yi-ng & anja & wurlarnbirri & jaal-bwa \\
set.down & VCOMP-3=do-PAST & 3pDEF & belongings & carry.in.arms-PROG
\end{tabular}
nyangkengerri].
nyaN-ngka=ya-nga-eerri
3f-SJTV=go-PAST-PROG
She set down all the things that she had been carrying.
(h)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arrka & wijingarra & {\([\) bardbard } & kenga] & gee \\
arrka & wijingarra & bardbard & ØN-3-ingka=yi-ng & gee \\
3pNAR & quoll & shake.out.water & VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST & represent
\end{tabular}
kawarramnyo.
ka-bwarr-a-mnya \(={ }^{b}\) wu
3a-3p-EP-DD=hit
Their spirit-animal is the quoll that shook the water out of its fur. 109
(i)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Еејан & anja & [yala & angkawana & wariwunya] & yawarrarra \\
еејa & anja & yala & arr-ngka \(^{\text {b }}\) Wa-na & wari- \(^{\text {k }}\) Wunya & yawarrarra \\
man & 3pDEF & hunt & 3p-SjTV=fall-TNS & kangaroos-PURP & sink.PL
\end{tabular}
kubajeng.
kuN-b \({ }^{\text {warr }}=\) yi-ng
VCOMP-3p=do-PAST
The men who had gone bunting for keangaroos all disappeared.
There is a strong preference for relative clauses to appear to the right of their heads, the only exception in these examples being (15.39f) above and (15.40b) below. And in (15.39f), the first appearance of the determiner anja '3pDEF' could be taken as a head: indeed, in the terms of the DP hypothesis (Bernstein 2001) determiners are the heads of their phrases.

Sentences (15.39a-c) have verbless main clauses in which their relative clause NP heads cannot be assigned a grammatical function. In sentences ( \(15.39 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{e}\) ) main clause NPs in S grammatical function head up relative clauses, and sentences ( \(15.39 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{h}\) ) have NPs in U function heading relative clauses. There is no convincing, unelicited example in the database of an NP in A function heading up a relative clause, but this may be a result of the statistically less likely occurrence of this type of clause in natural discourse, rather than an indication of its impossibility. \({ }^{110}\) The main clause of sentence (15.39i) is an object-demoting construction, with a semantically intransitive subject given formal agentive marking as 3 p -bwarr-. Here at least we see a relative-clause head indexed by a formally agentive morpheme.

NPs in other grammatical functions can also head relative clauses: in (15.40) below we see (a) a non-subcategorized object NP, and (b) a possessor NP dependent upon a set of heads in U function, both heading up relative clauses:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{109}\) Literally: 'they gee the quoll that shook water out of its fur.'
\({ }^{110}\) An elicited example of an agent NP heading up a relative clause is: inja eeja \({ }_{H}\) [warndi nyangkonerri yarnkalja], kanangkurrinyini nguyul kunjunganangka 'the man [who was making a spear-thrower] hit the dog;' but this may not be an unequivocally relative construction, even though the subjunctive predicate immediately follows its head. The morpheme -nyini 'ENDPOINT' suggests a sequence of events, rather than the embedding of an event inside an NP.
}
(15.40a) (=13.23), (cf 12.1b)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wardi & ngana & ngambal & ngunngulum & maa & ngunbananangka & wunu \\
wardi & ngana & ngambal & ngun=ngulum & maa & ngun=bwa-na-nangka \({ }_{i}\) & wunu \\
HOPE & PROB & satisfied & \(2={\text { feelings }{ }^{111}}^{2 m R E F}\) & 2=fall-TNS-DAT & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ngarlangarla \(a_{i H}\) & [nganngunjunu & ke. \\
ngarlangarla & ØN-ngan-ngun=yi-nu & ke \\
speech & VCOMP-1-SJTV=do-2DAT & 3wREF \\
I think you might be pleased with the proposal I'm about to put to you.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[Debarr & ingkenga] & aaya \(_{(\mathrm{H})}\) & kulanangka & kakaakanangkaya, \\
debarr & i-ngka=ya-nga & aaya & kula \(_{\text {-nangka }}\) & ka-kaa-ka-nangka-ya \\
die & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS & 3aREF & Hu-DAT & ५-AUG-MoBr-DAT-3p
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kajaajeyanangkaya, & bamaamaranangkaya & wurloo & kaanyona. \\
ka-jaa-ja-ya-nangka-ya & ba-maa-mara-nangka-ya & wurloo & kaarr- -nya=bwu-na \\
\(\leftrightarrows\)-AUG-MoMoBr-3p-DAT-3p & ム-AUG-FaSi-DAT-3p & smoke & 3p=3-EP=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

She performed the smoking ceremony for the men of the mother's patriline, for the people of the mother's mothers' patriline, for the women of the father's patriline of her husband who had died.

In (15.40a) the head of the relative clause, wunu ngarlangarla 'utterance, word, idea' is indexed on the main clause verb, ngunbananangka, as a DAT object in this experiencer construction (see \(\int 12.1\) ). In (15.40b) an NP is relativized (kulanangka 'her husband') which is structurally dependent upon an undergoer NP, or in this case a set of undergoers (coded by 3 p kaarr- on the main-clause verb). This sentence follows on directly from (17.29f) in \(\$ 17.4\), which shows the same phenomenon.

Relativized NPs may take on instrumental roles in their relative clauses. Although there is no instrumental marking in (15.41), the main-clause undergoer wunu karrk. 'rock, stone' gets an instrumental-role interpretation in its relative clause by virtue of its context. Again, and for clarity, the component clauses of this sentence are numbered by superscripts:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\({ }^{1}\) Weni & ngamba & \begin{tabular}{l} 
burramiwa \\
weni
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
angkenga \\
ngamba \\
when
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
burramiwa \\
travel.around
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
arr-ngka=ya-nga \\
now \\
3p-SJTV= go-TNS
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
arr=ya-ke-karri \\
3p=4-AUG-other
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\({ }^{3}\) [ingkaarrkarnaarnamalunerri & ke,] & \({ }^{4}\) jok \\
i-ngka-b \({ }^{\text {warr- }}\) warn= \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warnamalu-na-eerri & ke & jok \\
3a-SJTV-3p-AUG = hit.with.missile -PAST-PROG & 3wREF & heap.up
\end{tabular}
kamnyaninjeerri
ØN-Ø-ngka-mnya=ni-ny-yeerri
3w-3-SJTV-DD=be-MIR-PROG
\({ }^{1}\) Now when other people go past \({ }^{2}\) theyj can see the rocks \({ }^{3}\) that theyk threw at him, \({ }^{4}\) all piled up in a beap.
The first clause is adverbial-subordinate, denoting those times or occasions when the action of the main clause occurs. The second clause is the main clause, in hortative mood. The third clause is

\footnotetext{
111 =ngulum mana 'stomach, feelings'
}
relative, with wunu karrku 'the rocks' as its head in the main clause; and the verb in the fourth clause has evidential present-tense marking (-ny), and is an immediately-apparent evidential form. This example should be compared with sentence (11.54) in \(\$ 11.5 .2\) and the discussion there: in both cases a matrix undergoer (karrku 'rock, stone') appears in an instrumental role in a relative clause. (In (15.41) the relative-clause undergoer is 3a 'him,' not 'rock' as in the English translation.)

\subsection*{15.6.4 Adjoined relative clauses}

It is far from being inevitably clear which subordinate clauses may be adverbial, and which relative: there are many instances where it is just not possible to decide. Rather, some subjunctive clauses are clearly relative, and some are clearly adverbial; and there is a fuzzy zone between these two categories. Rather than being syntactically embedded, many such clauses in Worrorra appear to be adjoined to the main clause in some way, along the lines described by Hale (1976) for Warlpiri and other Australian languages. An adjoined relative interpretation is consistent with sentences whose syntax, and therefore whose meanings, would otherwise be ambiguous. In Worrorra adjoined subordinate clauses may have NP-relative, time-relative or place-relative interpretations. Two examples are shown below, the first from an oral history:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mana & arrkunyenga & merrkunjanduwerla & ajengerri \\
mana & arr-ngun-nya=ya-nga & ma-nyarr-ngun=yanduwe-rla & arr-nya=ya-nga-eerri \\
3mDEF & 1px-SJTV-EP=go-PAST & 3m-1px-SJTV=leave-PAST & 1px-EP=go-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
juwalya inja kerrbarlaarndornerri.
juwalya inja ka-nyarr=marlaarndo-rna-eerri
path 3aDEF 3a-1px=follow-PAST-PROG
1. We came away and left that (place) and went further on along the road. \({ }^{112}\)
2. When we came away and left that (place) we went further on along the road
(b) \((=10.48 \mathrm{c})\)

Ngamba wurnarnngarri ingkenga wunu, kolkol
ngamba wurnarn-ngarri i-ngka=ya-nga wunu kol-kol
when EXCHANGE-REL 3a-SJTV=go-TNS 3wDEF share/trade
ngarrkunungaayenga
ngarr-ngun=ningaaye-ng
1pin-SJTV=do.MID-PRES
We'll share it out when it goes into the wurnarn exchange cycle.
Example (15.42a) involves a serial pair of subjunctive verbs that could be cultural-knowledge evidentials, or hypotactic: there is nothing in the surrounding discourse to indicate which, if either, is intended. The first clause in (15.42b) is adverbial-subordinate, while the second contains the suppletive middle-voice allomorph = ningaaye of \(k u N[]=y i\) 'do' (see Chapter 16). As in (15.13), optative or hortative morphology could be expected here, but a subjunctive form is used instead. This clause is not a result clause, nor an outcome, nor evidential; rather it is volitional, it codes the speakers' intentions for the future. It is likely that the meanings of constructions like these were distinguished pragmatically by the operation of conversational implicatures (Grice 1975, Levinson 1983).

Sometimes lexical or structural cues are available, as in the set in (15.43) below, showing an externally-headed NP-relative clause in the (a) example, and adjoined subordinate clauses in the (b) and (c) examples. In these and in the following sentences, the first of the translations offered is NPrelative, the second time-relative:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{112}\) The Gibb River Road is referred to here.
}
(15.43a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangka & maa & ngoy & kumnyengaa & anja & eeja \\
nyangka & maa & ngoy & kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng-aa & anja & eeja \\
3fNAR & PROG & breath & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST-and & 3pDEF & men
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll}
{\([\) karrangurnanya } & nyangkarnanya & angkanunerri]. \\
karrangu-rnanya & nyangka-rnanya & arr-ngka=nu-na-eerri \\
high-LOC & 3fNAR-LOC & 3p-SJTV=be-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

She was still alive, as well as the men who were with her high up (on the bill).
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Warrabarl & kaanyarrwuna & mangarri & {\([\mathrm{ke}\)} & Andrew & anngunjaariwuna]. \\
warrabarl & kaarr-nyarr=bwu-na & mangarri & ke & Andrew & arr-n- - \(n g u n=y a a r i w u-n a ~\) \\
throw.out & 3p-1px=hit-PAST & food & 3wREF & Andrew & 1px-INV-3-SJTV=give-PAST
\end{tabular}
1. We threw away the food that Andrew gave us
2. We threw away the food when Andrew gave it to us.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Barra & nyimbarrkangurrurlaara & nyina & marangunya & {\([\) ke } \\
barra & nyiN-b \({ }^{\text {warr=k }}\) wangurru-rla-kwara & nyina & marangunya & ke \\
narrate & 3f-3p=take-PAST-1DAT & 3fDEF & sun & 3wREF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
iwarnbarnngarri & nyangkaningkarla & mana & karrangu]. \\
iwarnbarnngarri & nyaN- -ngka=ningka-rla & mana & karrangu \\
brown.snake & 3f-3-SJTV=bite-PAST & 3mDEF & high
\end{tabular}
1. They told me about the sun which the snake bit up in the sky.
2. They told me about the sun and how the snake bit her up in the sky.

Sentence (15.43a) contains the NP-NP conjunction aa 'and,' linking nyangka 'she' and eeja 'men,' making a relative interpretation feasible and likely. Sentences \((15.43 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c})\) on the other hand contain the VP-VP conjunction \(k e\) ' \(3 \mathrm{wREF} /\) and' joining two clauses. Instead of ke in (15.43b) we would expect a 3 p determiner such as arrke '3pREF' to agree with the collective noun mangarri, if this subordinate clause were to have an embedded NP-relative interpretation. Similarly, ke in (15.43c) links two clauses; it does not join the two nouns. In this example the subjunctive clause could be either a cultural-knowledge evidential, a manner-adverbial subordinate clause, or an adjoined relative clause.

In the sentences that follow we are not able to be sure whether relative or adverbial coding could be intended; they are all examples where an adjoined-relative analysis would appear to be the most parsimonious:
(15.44a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arrkeka & nyejarnawajanga & [arri & ajoyoriya & arrkunu]. \\
arrka-y2aka & nyaN-nyarr-nya=rnawaja-nga & arri & arr-yo=yoru-ya & arr-ngun=nu \\
px-EMPH & 3f-1px-EP=copy-PAST & we(exc) & px-AUG=widow-3p & 1px-SJTV=be
\end{tabular}
1. We who are widows do what she did.
2. We do what she did when we are widows.
(b)

Arrka jukurl-jukurl kawarrarnaarnarla inja imarumarulya
arrka jukurl-jukurl ka-bwarr-a=rnaarna-rla inja imaru-marulya
3pNAR happy-REDUP 3a-3p-EP=await-PAST 3aDEF REDUP-cake
[yorryorr ingkaajandarla].
yorr-yorr i-ngka-bwarr=yanda-rla
sit.together-REDUP 3a-SJTV-3p=cause-PAST
1. They were very pleased with all the cakes that they'd piled up together.
2. They were very pleased with all the cakes when they'd piled them up together.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Wardi & banganbarrbiyangkana & wunu, & [ngamri & maai & nganngunyenga]. \\
wardi & ba-nga-n-bwarr=miyangkana & wunu & nga=mri & maai & ngan-ngun-nya=ya-nga \\
HOPE & CFT-1-INV-3p=recognize & 3wDEF & 1=head & blacken & 1-SJTV-EP=go-TNS
\end{tabular}
1. I hope they recognize me who have shaved and blackened my head.
2. I hope they recognize me now that I've shaved and blackened my head.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Arrbri & maai & kaanyajamurrka & anja & kulaayanyarri & [debarr \\
arr=mri & maai & kaarr-nyarr-nya=murrka & anja & kula-aa-ya-nyarri & debarr \\
px=head & blacken & 3p-1px-EP=go.to & 3pDEF & Hu-1DAT-3a-1pxDAT & die
\end{tabular}
angkenga].
arr-ngka=ya-nga
3p-SJTV=go-TNS
1. We shave and blacken our heads for our husbands who have died.
2. We shave and blacken our heads for our husbands when they die.

If right-hand adjacency to a potential main-clause head were taken as a post hoc criterion for relative status, then the subjunctive verbs in ( \(15.44 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{~d}\) ) would be relative (with heads imarumarulya 'cakes' and kulaayanyarri 'our husbands'), and those in ( \(15.44 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) would be adverbial. But it is not clear that adjacency on its own can be taken as criterial.

\subsection*{15.6.5 Place- and time-relative clauses}

Sentence examples (15.36) and (15.37) above show place-relative clauses with verbs bearing terrestrial suffixation. Locative suffixes may be attached directly to subjunctive verbs in such contexts, without terrestrial marking, as in (15.45) and (15.48a), or alternatively neither terrestrial nor locative suffixation may be present, as in (15.46):
(15.45a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyengkunaal & [mana & kroomarnanya & mangkawalkenyarnanya]. \\
nya=ya-ngku-na-kwaal & mana & krooma-rnanya & ma-ngka=bwalke-nya-rnanya \\
3f=go-EP-PAST-hither & 3mDEF & cypress-LOC & 3m-SJTV=stand-PAST-LOC
\end{tabular}

She came to whereH the cypress pine \({ }^{113}\) was standing.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awa & bariy & kanunaal & [debarr & ingkengarnanya]. \\
awa & bariy & ka=nu-na-kwaal & debarr & i-ngka=ya-nga-rnanya \\
3aNAR & rise & 3a=be-PAST-hither & die & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS-LOC
\end{tabular}

He got up from where \(H_{H}\) he had died.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{113}\) Callitris sp.
}
(15.46a)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline kawarramurrkarlerri & mana & dambeem \({ }_{\text {H }}\) & [kulu & ingkamangaarndu]. \\
\hline ka-bwarr-a=murrka-rla-eerri & ana & dambeem & kulu & i-[Ø]-ngka=ma-nga-[kwarndu] \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) go.to-PAST-PROG & 3 mDEF & place & n & \(3 \mathrm{a}-[3]\)-SJTV \(=\) get-PAST-[DU] \\
\hline , & & ad burned & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Maa & dalorr \(_{H}\) & manungunyale & [rlaburd & ingkamanga]. \\
maa & dalorr & ma=nu-ng-nyale & rlaburd & i-Ø-ngka=ma-nga \\
3mREF & sink.hole & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) be-PRES-then & seize & 3a-3-SJTV=get-PAST
\end{tabular}

There's a sink-hole there where he seized it.

Subjunctive verbs may constitute time-relative clauses, dependent upon covert or stated temporal adverbs or determiners. In the following examples the celestial determiners ke, kawa and wunu, the celestial adjective wurnirndeerr 'old,' the aspectual adverbs wali and karle, and the temporal noun lalai 'dreamtime' all refer to times that are further specified by subsequent relative clauses. Note how in \((15.47 \mathrm{c})\) the adverb karle introduces each relative clause in turn:
(15.47a)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wurnirndeerr & wali & ke & lalai, & [waay & nyangkayabuna & wunu]. \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=rnirndeerr & wali & ke & lalai & waay & nyaN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=yabu-na & wunu \\
\(3 w=\) old & PERF & 3wREF & dreaming & throw & 3f-3-SJTV=throw-PAST & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

It was long ago in the dreamtime, when he threw her.
(b)
Awanyale kulnmerrbarnanya iwajam irnora, [wunu nyangka
awa-nyale kulnmerrba-rnanya \(i={ }^{b}\) wajam \(i=r n o r a \quad\) wunu nyangka
3aNAR-then tail-LOC 3a=fur 3a-not.have 3wDEF 3fNAR
```

yurrwa ingkaangurrurlaal].
yurr-bwa i-Ø-ngka=\mp@subsup{}{}{k}wangurru-rla-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{k}}\mathrm{ waal
pull-PROG 3a-3-SJTV=carry-PAST-hither
And so be's got no fur on his tail, from when she pulled it.

```
(c) \((=17.32)\)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kawa & wunu & karle & [arrkumnyenga & aalmara] & karle \\
kawa & wunu & karle & arr-ngun-mnya=ya-nga & aalmara & karle \\
3wNAR & 3wDEF & then & 1px-SJTV-DD=go-TNS & European & then
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([\mathrm{marno}\)} & Karnmanya & yorr & arrkunyawana]. \\
\(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{rno}\) & Karnmanya & yorr & arr-ngun-nya= b wa-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{DIST}\) & Kunmunya & sit.together & \(1 \mathrm{px}-S J T V-E P=\) fall-TNS \\
That was the time then, that we went with the white people and we all moved to Kunmunya.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{15.6.6 Nominalizations}

As a consequence of their adjoined syntax and especially of their adjectival function, that is their ability to head up constituents that are in turn dependent upon external NP heads, subjunctive verbs may function as absolute nominal phrases in their own right. \({ }^{114}\) In such usage they constitute

\footnotetext{
114 As do, for example, substantive adjectives in languages such as Latin.
}
exocentric lexicalizations that I will refer to here for convenience as nominalizations. Consider the phrases used to express 'waterfall,' 'witness' and 'world war' in the following sentence examples:
(15.48a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ajengorri & [warrambam & wo & mangkawana]rnanya & marno. \\
arr-nya=ya-nga-kwurri & warrambam & wo & ma-ngka=bwa-na-rnanya & marno \\
1px-EP= go-TNS-NUM & flood & roar & 3a-SJTV=fall-TNS-LOC & 3mDIST
\end{tabular} We all went out to the waterfall / (to where the floodwaters roar).
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Arrinyini & ajenga & courthouse & Broome & [mara \\
arri-nyini & arr-nya=ya-nga & courthouse & Broome & mara \\
we(exc)-ENDPOINT & 1 px-EP=go-TNS & courthouse & Broome & see
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
nyarrkumbuna] & bamaraanya & ngayu. \\
ØN-nyarr-ngun=bwu-na & bamaraanya & ngayu \\
3w-1px-SJTV=hit-PAST & Fa.Si & I
\end{tabular}

So my aunt and I went to court in Broome as witnesses.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[Wunu & angkaweenerri & anja & aalmareya] & marru & kenga. \\
wunu & arr-ngka=bwee-na-eerri & anja & aalmareya & marru & ka=ya-nga \\
3wDEF & 3p-SJTV=hit.MID-PAST-PROG & 3pDEF & Europeans & 3mLAT & 3a=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

He went away to the World War (=when the Europeans were fighting)

The bracketed phrases in these sentences were unhesitatingly translated as 'waterfall,' 'witnesses' and '(First) World War' respectively, and upon enquiry it transpired that warrambam wo mangkawana was the usual (lexicalized) term for this seasonal phenomenon. From (15.48b) it likewise transpired that a complex predicate of the form mara \(\varnothing N-[]-S J T V={ }^{b}{ }^{w} u\) serves the function of the English noun 'witness,' with built-in variable reference of agreement-class for the phrase's exocentric head. The expression used for 'fighting ground' seen in (1.2) in \(\$ 1.7\) is a further example.

Place-names may be place-relative clauses with exocentric heads: two such recorded in the database are:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(15.49a)} & Imalala & jujurr & ingkaarrbanga \\
\hline & \(\mathrm{i}=\) malala & yurr-yurr & i-ngka-bwarr=ma-nga \\
\hline & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) attractive & pull-pull & \(3 \mathrm{a}-\) SJTV-3p \(=\) get-PAST \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Where they carried the handsome man} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(b)} & Jilinya & jaarr & nyangkawana \\
\hline & jilinya & jaarr & nyaN-ngka \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wa-na \\
\hline & spirit-woman \({ }^{115}\) & upstream & \(3 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{SJTV}=\) fall-TNS \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Where the spirit-woman travelled upstream} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Daisy Utemorrah gave many of her traditional stories titles with subjunctive verbs. Two explanations are available: they could be either cultural-knowledge evidentials, or ad hoc nominalizations, as that term is used here; that is, relative clauses with omitted heads. Some examples are provided, with Daisy's translations:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{115}\) Jilinya, translated as 'spirit-woman,' is essentially a female version of akurla 'demon.'
}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Kunyila & ingkaninjangaarndeerri \\
kunyila & i- \([\varnothing]\)-ngka=ninja-nga- \(\left[{ }^{k}\right.\) warndu]-eerri \\
moon & 3a-[3]-SJTV=look.at-PAST-[DU]-PROG
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Iwarnbarnngarri & nyangkaningkarla & marangunya \\
iwarnbarnngarri & nyaN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=ningka-rla & marangunya \\
brown.snake & 3f-3-SJTV=bite-PAST & sun \\
The snake that bit the sun / when the snake bit the sun
\end{tabular}
(c) Jujurr kaarrbanga Ngayangkarnanya
yurr-yurr ØN-ngka-bwarr=ma-nga Ngayangkarnanya
pull-pull 3w-SJTV-3p=get-PAST Mt.Trafalgar
How they carried Mount Trafalgar
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Jilinya & wurda & angkamanga \\
jilinya & wurda & arr-n- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=ma-nga \\
spirit.woman & go.looking116 & 3p-INV-3-SJTV-get-PAST \\
The spirit-woman who fell in love / how the spirit-woman fell in love
\end{tabular}

In these instances it may not be necessary to have to decide between competing etic explanations; it may be that the various relevant senses of subjunctive morphology combine in a gestalt manner to produce an outcome that is more than the sum of its components.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{116}\) This preverb was translated as 'go looking for (something),' but appears to have a wide range of metaphorical extensions.
}

\section*{Sixteen: middle voice}

The term 'middle' in this chapter will serve as a rubric for what is essentially predicate valencyreduction fulfilling a variety of ends, all of which are marked on the verb by the morpheme -ye in form-order class [8], immediately to the right of the verb root. \({ }^{117}\) Paradoxically however, not all the uses to which middle morphology is put result in a reduced number of arguments for any given predicate.

As its adjacency to the root morpheme suggests, the morpheme -ye is derivational, creating formally intransitive from transitive verb roots. This morpheme performs reflexive, reciprocal, passive and antipassive operations; it is a 'patientless antipassive' and an 'agentless passive' morpheme in Dixon's (2002:535-536) typology, and a 'backgrounding antipassive' in Foley \& Van Valin's (1984:338) exposition.

Starting with Austin (1981:151-157) this function with variations has been recognized in a number of Australian languages. Dixon (2002:206-207, 530-536) has proposed an 'original' pan-Australian valency-reducing morpheme *-dharri-, although he is not specific about the sense in which this form could have been original, as either a proto-form in phylogeny or in some other sense. From the first syllable he derives subsequent shapes \(-* d b a-,-d h i,-j i\), and \(-y i\). These forms are very widespread: they are found, for example, in the Gulf of Carpentaria, on Cape York and in the Lake Eyre Basin. Languages in south-east Arnhem Land (Wubuy, Ngandi, Warndarrang, Ngalagkan; Dixon op. cit: 535) show the morphemes \(-i\), \(-y i\), or \(-j i\) - in this function, as do languages elsewhere in Arnhem Land: Gurrgoni and Njebbana, as well as Wardaman (Merlan 1993:189-191).

In the Kimberley region the non-Worrorran language Gooniyandi employs two verb classifiers, \(=\) arniz \(_{2}\) and =marni, in a reflexive/reciprocal role (McGregor 1990:195, 557 et seq), while the Worrorran language Wunambal accommodates this function without any dedicated marker (Carr 2000:183). However Ungarinyin has a morpheme -yi- occurring immediately after the verbal root morpheme (Rumsey 1982:102-105). The Worrorra valency-reducing morpheme -ye is formally, functionally and compositionally similar to the Ungarinyin morpheme, and more widely comparable with morphemes used in this role in other Arafuran languages across northern Australia.

The treatment of valency reduction in this chapter (and elsewhere, see \(\S 11.5 .1\) and \(\S 13.2 .1\) (ii)) is based on Givón's (1994) broad functional characterization, and on Dixon \& Aikhenvald's (2000) typological discussion. On statistical grounds Givón treats canonically active structures as basic, and passive and antipassive structures as derived. So passivization (in the broad sense he employs) involves the removal (or demotion) of an active subject, as seen in lexical sense-alternating pairs such as be opened the door and the door opened, in which the subject of the first, active, member of the pair has

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{117}\) Dixon \& Aikhenvald (2000:11) rightly point out that 'the term 'middle' is used with a frightening variety of meanings.' Nevertheless in the work of a number of authors, such as Kemmer (1993), that variety has begun to converge on 'valency reduction' in all or most of its manifestations, and it is in this spirit that the term is employed here.
}
been removed to form the second member. \({ }^{118}\) Likewise, antipassivization may involve the removal of an active object, as seen in a labile sense-alternating pair such as be's eating beans and be's eating, in which the object of the active sentence has been 'removed' to form the antipassive one. In this broad functional treatment morphological cueing is not critical: note how a verb such as eat can have both active and antipassive senses, just as a labile verb such as fight can have a number of uncued pragmatic senses, as in they're fighting the enemy (active), they're fighting (reciprocal), and they're away fighting (antipassive).

\subsection*{16.1 Middle forms}

Valency reduction is signalled by the morpheme -ye in form-order class [8], in combination with one of the intransitive NP-indexing prefixes listed in Table 5.2 in \$5.3.2. If originally transitive, the verb so marked now becomes formally intransitive, and accepts only the tense morphemes -ng 'PRESENT' and -na 'PAST,' as noted in §5.4.1. Morphemes to the left of -ye are affected by regressive vowel harmony (see \(\S 2.6 .1\) ): the short vowels \(/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{u} /\) are frequently but not invariably changed to /i/ (see sentences ( \(16.1,3,4,5,7,10\) ) below and elsewhere for examples).

A few verbs do not accept -ye, but rather display lexically-specific middle-voice allomorphs ending in /ee/ or /e/, and those known to me are listed below:

Table 16.1: verbs with middle-voice allomorphs

ACTIVE SHAPE
(a) \({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu 'bit; transitive pro-verb'
\(=\) rno 'give'
\({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) warnamalu 'hit with missile'
\(={ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wanjoo 'cause'
(b) =rnawaja/e 'imitate; help'
\({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wulkuna 'fear'
=ngarnda 'rain fall on \(O B J\) ’
(c) kuN[]=yi 'do, say'
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MIDDLE SHAPE } \\
& =\mathrm{b} \text { wee 'middle pro-verb' } \\
& =\text { rnawee 'exchange' } \\
& ={ }^{\mathrm{k}} \text { warnamalee 'fight with missiles' } \\
& ={ }^{\mathrm{k}} \text { wanjee 'become' } \\
& =\text { rnawaje 'idem' } \\
& ={ }^{\mathrm{k}} \text { wulkune 'be afraid' } \\
& =\text { ngarnde 'rain' } \\
& =\text { ningaaye 'middle pro-verb' }
\end{aligned}
\]

The items in set (a) show the [+round] final vowel of an active root becoming /ee/ in middle form, while in set (b) are verbs with a final /a/ which becomes /e/. These alternations are likely to have resulted from an historical application of V-expansion rule 18 (/u-yi/ > /ee/, /a-yi/ > /e/). While the sole member of set (c) ends with the middle-voice morpheme -ye, it exhibits a suppletive alternation with its active counterpart.

Rumsey (1982:103-104) describes the Ungarinyin verb root =ininga as the suppletive shape used to replace the active intransitive verb \(=m a\) 'do, say,' in reflexive-reciprocal contexts. The root =ininga is suffixed with the Ungarinyin reflexive-reciprocal morpheme \(-y i\) when it appears in this function, to produce a shape =iningayi. Ungarinyin \(=m a\) is the functional equivalent of Worrorra kuN[]=yi'do, say,' and in other, active, contexts Ungarinyin =ininga means 'put.' The Worrorra root = ningaaye is never found without its middle-voice segment, nor in any function other than that of suppletive counterpart of \(k u N[]=y i\). It is transparently composed of a shape / ningaa/ suffixed by the middle morpheme, and is formally and functionally directly comparable to Ungarinyin =ininga in reflexivereciprocal usage. Both languages have suppletive middle-voice forms of a verb meaning 'do, say,' and both languages use essentially the same shape for that function. What is intriguing is the difference in the active forms in each language; an historical scenario that may account for this has been suggested in Clendon (2006:55-56).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{118}\) The second sentence manifests other operations specific to English, such as the raising of the active object to subject in the medio-passive or anticausative version. This is not particularly germane to a discussion of Worrorra.
}

\subsection*{16.2 Middle functions}

The use of the term 'middle' as a rubric is justifiable by our inability in many cases to distinguish among the various functions of valency-reduced forms in Worrorra. Not only is it frequently impossible to differentiate etically-determined sense meanings for Worrorra middle-voice verbs, it is frequently doubtful whether such an imposition could be warranted. The following sentence examples show forms that could be interpreted in any or all of passive, antipassive, reflexive or reciprocal functions:
(16.1) (=17.61)

Kowa ke maanbali wurnirndeerrngarri angkarnongkiyena
kowa ke maanbali \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=rnirndeerr-ngarri arr-ngka=rnongku-ye-na
that's.what.happened \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old-REL \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV=take.away-MID-PAST
inja manjawarra.
3aDEF berry.sp
That's what bappened long ago when they had the manjawarra berries taken off them/when they stole the manjawarra berries.

The grammar of the trivalent transitive verb =rnongku 'take away' is described in \(\$ 13.2 .1\) (v). A third person deprivee must be indexed in undergoer position on this verb: the indicative active form of the verb in (16.1) is kaanbardongkurla (|kaarr-n-bwarr=rnongku-rla| [3p-INV-3p=take.away-PAST]) 'they took (something) away from them.' It is impossible, then, to tell in (16.1) whether the 3 p prefix on the middle verb is indexing the erstwhile active agent or the active undergoer, and it is therefore not possible to tell whether this is a passive or antipassive, or even a reciprocal, verb form (although this latter is highly unlikely, given the preceding context).
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyingumbu & Kankanarlonya & wali nyina, & ke & yankarndamanyinyim \\
nyiN=ngumbu & kankanarlonya & wali nyina & ke & yankarnda-ma-nyini-m \\
3f=name & NAME & PM & 3wREF & star-3m-ENDPOINT-3m
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
warndi & nyimnyaweena & inja & Baalamara. \\
warndi & nyiN-mnya= \({ }_{\text {wee-na }}\) & inja & baalamara \\
make & 3f-DD=hit.MID-PAST & 3aDEF & Venus
\end{tabular}

Her name was Kankanarlonya, and she turned into a star, the evening star.
In this sentence the valency-reduced predicate warndi nyimnyaweena could be interpreted as passive ('she was made into a star,' with implied external agency), as medio-passive (anticausative) \({ }^{119}\) ('she turned into a star,' with no agency implied) or as reflexive ('she made herself into a star'). Certainly the text from which it is taken offers no clues, and it is anyway doubtful whether distinctions of this sort are able to be coded in Worrorra by morphology alone.
(16.3) (=9.20c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyina & ngawanangkorrinya & laburru & kamnyamiyengoorri, \\
nyina & ngawa-[nangkorri]-nya & laburru & ka-mnya=ma-ye-ng-oorri \\
3fDEF & El.Sib-[3pDAT]-3f & follow & 3a-DD=get-MID-PRES-U/AUG
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{119}\) This term is from Dixon \& Aikhenvald’s (2000) typology. Different treatments of valency alternation employ slightly different terminologies; for instance Keenan \& Dryer (2007) provide another useful typological discussion.
}
ajarrungaarndiya.
arr=yarrungu-kwarndu-ya
\(\mathrm{px}=\mathrm{QUANT}-\mathrm{DU}-3 \mathrm{p}\)
They both followed after their elder sister.
A larger context for this example, including specifics of number-marking morphology, may be seen in ( 9.20 c ) in \(\S 9.4 .2\), and in subsequent discussion. In the historical context from which this sentence is taken, the woman died first and her two younger brothers died shortly after her. The definite-marked term nyina ngawanangkorrinya 'their elder sister' appears at the start of this sentence, a position in which subjects are frequently found. The middle-voice verb could, then, be passive ('she was followed by them two'), although a reciprocal interpretation might be just as feasible ('they all followed each other'). The topic of the larger text, however, including the immediately preceding section, is the two brothers, and if they are the subject (that is, the term upon which the utterance is predicated), then the middle verb must be antipassive ('the elder sister (died and) they followed').

The bivalent predicate laburru [OBJ] [SUBJ]=ma 'follow' in this sentence occurs with tokens of both its arguments, nyina ngawanangkorrinya, as mentioned, and ajarrungaarndiya 'the two of them,' with reference to the brothers. Clearly then, the middle form of the verb is not used here in order to reduce its valency: something else must be involved, and there are at least two compatible possibilities. This sentence constitutes a metaphor for that semantically most highly-marked topic, death. Middlevoice verbs in Worrorra are highly marked, they occur with significantly less frequency in the database than do other kinds of verbs. It is likely that the use of middle morphology in this instance signals the metaphorical nature of the 'following' denoted; and not metaphor only, but the actual reference of the metaphor used in this instance. Dying is an intransitive state, and the metaphor is detransitivized to index that reference iconically. This sentence involves an instance of what I will refer to below as 'mutual action,' in which a number of actors take part in the same event, performing essentially the same or complementary acts together (see below \(\$ 16.2 .3\) ). It may be that a metaphor of death has in this instance motivated the schema of mutual motion.

An approach likely to arrive at a realistic account of this kind of coding is one that does not force etic distinctions, but is aware of a range of often compatible semantic and discourse-pragmatic motivations for detransitivization in Worrorra.

\subsection*{16.2.1 Reflexive actions}

Such considerations notwithstanding, reflexivity is one meaning able to be clearly distinguished in middle morphology. Reflexive meaning involves agentive acts performed with the actor as patient. In (16.4) we see just such a series of violent, prototypically agentive acts, coded by middle morphology in the denotation of intense grief:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyangka & rdeyi & nyimbeenerri, & nguyulwa & nyangkangurriyena, \\
nyangka & rdeyi & nyiN=bwee-na-eerri & nguyul-b \({ }^{\text {wa }}\) & nyaN=kwangurru-ye-na \\
3fNAR & gash & 3f=hit.MID-PAST-PROG & strike-PROG & 3f=carry-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
bardawaai & nyimbeena, & karrku & kumanga & rdeyi-rdeyi \\
bardawaai & nyiN=bwee-na & karrku & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & rdeyi-rdeyi \\
roll & 3f=hit.MID-PAST & stone & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) get-PAST & gash-gash
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
nyimbeenerri, & bimbinalb & mamanga & rok & nyimiyena. \\
nyiN=bwee-na-eerri & bimbinalb & ma- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & rok & nyiN=ma-ye-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG & ash & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) get-PAST & bury & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) get-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}

She gashed herself, she struck herself, she writhed on the ground, she grabbed a rock and slashed herself, she threw ashes over berself.

Here we see the middle-voice predicates \(r\) deyi \(=^{b}\) wee 'gash oneself,' nguyulwa \(={ }^{k}\) wangurriye 'strike oneself and rok = miye 'bury oneself (ie, throw dirt or ash over oneself). Some preverbs in the database combine with the middle-voice pro-verb \(=^{b}\) wee to denote forceful, agentive movements that involve and effect only the actor(s); one of these is bardawaai 'roll, thrash about,' seen here; others are manja 'come face-to-face with someone,' wanji 'idem,' rdurdu 'move, walk around,' barnbarrey 'throw oneself down,' nambarr 'gather together' and wori 'move, travel around.' Other sentences with typically reflexive meanings are presented below in (16.5):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Barda & ngewee & kungenga. \\
barda & nga-nya=b wee & kuN-nga=yi-ng \\
kill & 1-OPT=hit.MID & VCOMP-1=do-PAST \\
I wanted to kill myself. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Kuraa nganingaayena.
kuraa nga=ningaaye-na
chop.with.axe \(1=\) do.mid-past
I cut myself with an axe.
(c) Anmolba dinda ngamiyena.
anmolba dinda nga=ma-ye-na
white.clay paint \(1=\) get-MID-PAST
I've painted myself with pipe-clay.
(d) Mara nyimbeena, nyungumanja aaya mara kona.
mara nyiN=bwee-na nyungumanja aaya mara ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{b}\) wu-na
see 3f-hit.MID-PAST reflection 3aREF see 3a-3=hit-PAST
She saw herself, she saw her reflection.
(e) We kamnyawana ke ngarli kurneen kamiyena.
we ka-mnya=bwa-na ke ngarli kurneen ka=ma-ye-na
lie \(3 a-D D=\) fall-TNS \(3 w R E F\) paperbark cover \(3 a=\) get-MID-PAST
He lay down and covered bimself over with paperbark.
(f) 'Yaw,' kaningaayena iyarrungumaanja inja.
yaw ka=ningaaye-na i=yarrungu-maan-ya inja
yes \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) do.MID-PAST \(\quad 3 \mathrm{a}=\) QUANT-SPEC-3a 3 aDEF
'Yes,' he said to bimself, all on bis own.

\subsection*{16.2.2 Reciprocal and antipassive meanings}

Reciprocal actions involve a number of agents acting on each other, and as seen in the English example used above, fighting and arguing are prototypically reciprocal events. The Worrorra verb \(={ }^{b}\) wee 'hit.MID' is frequently employed in this function; note two nominalizations in which this form is found:
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\((16.6 \mathrm{a})\) & wunu & angkaweenerri & anja & aalmareya \\
\((=15.48 \mathrm{c})\) & wunu & arr-ngka=b wee-na-eerri & anja & aalmareya \\
& 3wDEF & 3p-SJTV=hit.MID-PAST-PROG & 3pDEF & Europeans \\
& When the Europeans were fighting (=the world war) &
\end{tabular}
(b) marno angkaweenerrima
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\((=1.2)\) & \(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{rno}\) & arr-ngka=bwee-na-eerri-ma \\
& \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{DIST}\) & \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV \(=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG-3m \\
& The fighting-ground
\end{tabular}

An example containing the middle shape \(={ }^{k}\) warnamalee 'fight with missiles' may be seen at ( 15.7 d ), and a passivized form of =maalima 'spear' is shown in (16.7). Other sentences with reciprocal meanings are shown in (16.8):
(16.7)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kaarrbaalimiyena & ngana, & angkuyu & ngana & kawarrona? \\
kaarr=maalima-ye-na & ngana & angkuyu & ngana & ka-b \({ }^{\text {warr-a=b }}\) wu-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) spear-MID-PAST & PROB & who & PROB & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST \\
They must be fighting with spears; I wonder if they've killed anyone?
\end{tabular}
(16.8a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mungurr & kaningaayenaarndu & marruku & ke. \\
mungurr & ka=ningaaye-na-k warndu & marruku & ke \\
argue & \(3 a=\) do.MID-PAST-DU & flower & 3 wREF
\end{tabular}

They argued over flowers.
(b) \((=17.54 b)\)

Ke maa kaningaayenaarndeerri ke maa.
ke maa ka=ningaaye-na-kwarndu-eerri ke maa
thus \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) do.MID-PAST-DU-PROG thus
They (dual) did this to each other time and again.
(c)

Kangamurrkarla ke arrburrkayena.
ka-nga=murrkarla ke arr=murrka-ye-na
3a-1=go.to-PAST 3 wREF \(1 \mathrm{px}=\) go.to-MID-PAST
I went to him and we met one another.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Mana & we & ngarrwaarndu & kulunu,' & kunjunganangka \\
mana & we & ngarr= \({ }_{\text {wa }}{ }^{- \text {k }}\) warndu & kulunu & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-a-nangka \\
3 mDEF & lie & 1 pin=fall-DU & sleep & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}
kaningaayenaarndu.
ka=ningaaye-na-k \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
3a=do.MID-PAST-DU
Let's lie down here and go to sleep,' he said to him, they said to each other.
(e)

Karnaweenaarndu Jrr'nkurn aa Wadoy wangalaalunguyu.
ka=rnawee-na-kwarndu jrr'nkurn aa wadoy wangalaalanguya
3a=give.MID-PAST-DU owlet.nightjar and spotted.nightjar children
Jinkun and W adoy exchanged their children.
Note here the functional equivalence of \(k u N[]=y i\) and \(=\) ningaaye as seen in (16.8d): the former signalling active, the latter middle voice.

In the absence of any token specific to the effected actor (ie, of some kind of reciprocal anaphor) these constructions are patientless antipassives, in that the objects from their active equivalents are unstated but understood. Unlike the object-demoting constructions described in \(\$ 11.5 .2\) and \(\S 13.2 .1\) (ii), these are morphological antipassives, as the presence of their dedicated middle-voice morpheme indicates. Here predicate actors are not distinguished, agent from undergoer; so in the first clause of (16.7) the opposing participants in the fight are all indexed by the same prefix (3p kaarr-), and in (16.8e) each culture hero is both source and recipient of the theme object wangalaalunguyu 'children.'

The examples in (16.7) and (16.8) involve typically reciprocal events: each participant does to the other what the other does to him or her, be it arguing, fighting, meeting, telling or exchanging. The events in (16.9) could also be argued to be reciprocal, although perhaps less typically so:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(16.9a)} & Kol & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kaadingaayenerri,} & minjarl & kaadunerri. \\
\hline & kol & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{kaarr=ningaaye-na-eerri} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{minjarl} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{kaarr=nu-na-eerri \(3 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{be}-\mathrm{PAST}-\mathrm{PROG}\)} \\
\hline & distribute & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) do.MID-PAST-PROG} & & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{They shared it all out and ate it.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{(b)
\[
(=11.10)
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Wunu wunu} & kuyoya & wali nyij & gaayeng & ndeerri. \\
\hline & & kuyoya & wali nyirr & \(\mathrm{a}=\) ning & e-ng-kwarndu-eerri \\
\hline & 3wDEF & whisper & PROG 2p-EP & do.MID- & ES-DU-PROG \\
\hline & You two ar & e still whisp & ng together. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The functional equivalence of \(k u N[]=y i\) and \(=\) ningaaye may again be noted with respect to (16.9b): note the various conjugational possibilities of the preverb keryoya 'whisper' in the database. These include the intransitive predicate kuyoya \(=n u\) 'whisper (immanent),' the transitive kuyoya \(k u N[]=y i+D A T\) 'whisper (something) to someone (uni-directional),' and the middle-voice predicate seen here, kuyoya \(=\) ningaaye 'whisper to each other (bi-directional).'

\subsection*{16.2.3 Mutual action}

The most frequent use of middle morphology in the database is to encode an image schema that I will refer to as 'mutual action' as indicated above with respect to (16.3); that is, more or less the same action performed by a number of people together, more or less in the same place, and for more or less the same ends. Indeed, the reciprocal/antipassive meanings described above in \(\mathbb{\$ 1 6 . 2 . 2}\) should probably be subsumed under a rubric of mutual action: they are kept separate here only for the sake of exposition. And again, a schema of mutual action could be argued to be central to the psychology and anthropology of a small-scale society living in closely-knit family groups, for whom close cooperation in social, economic and religious ventures was indispensible.

In example (16.10) we can see how mutual action and reciprocal/antipassive meanings merge. This example describes a procedure for dispute resolution involving ritualized or at least formalized armed conflict. It contains three middle-voice verbs and four clauses, the latter numbered for clarity with superscripts. The third clause consists of a string of three verbs, two of which are in middle voice:
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\({ }^{1}\) Anja & ardaarrawaaya & belangkarraya & jaruk & kaarrbiyena & 2ke \\
anja & arr= raarrawa-ya & belangkarra-ya & jaruk & kaarr=ma-ye-na & ke \\
3pDEF & 3p=many-3p & group-3p & gather & 3p=get-MID-PAST & 3wREF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
kaarringa & malanim & we & maarreerla. & \({ }^{3}\) Kaarringerri \\
kaarr=i-nga & malanim & we & ma-b \(^{\text {warr=ee-rla }}\) & kaarr=i-nga-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS & battle & lie & \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) place-PAST & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go-TNS-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kaarrbarrkaweenerri & kaarrweenerri, & 4ke & buju \\
kaarr=marrkawee-na-eerri & kaarr= \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wee-na-eerri & ke & buju \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) fight.w.weapons-PAST-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG & 3wREF & finish
\end{tabular}
kubarrwuna.
kuN_- \({ }^{\text {warr }}={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na
VCOMP-3p=hit-PAST
\({ }^{1}\) A big crowd of people had gathered together, \({ }^{2}\) they went out and made preparations for a fight. \({ }^{3}\) They went and fought with their weapons, they fought \({ }^{4}\) and then brought the fight to an end.

The first clause here contains the middle predicate jaruk = miye 'gather together,' a good example of the mutual action schema; the action is undertaken by a group but is not reciprocal, rather it is collective and cooperative. In the third clause two more middle-voice verbs occur, the deponent = marrkawee 'fight with weapons' and \(=^{b}\) weee 'hit.MID, fight.' These are clearly reciprocal/antipassive forms, but in this context it is equally clear that they are part of the mutual action described in this passage.

The transitive, telic motion verb =murrka, in middle form, is quite common in the denotation of mutual action, where it seems to refer specifically to mutual centripetal motion:
(16.11a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Inside dormitory & -nyini & ajamurrkayena & burr & anyeerla. \\
inside dormitory & nyini & arr-nya=murrka-ye-na & burr & arr- \(\varnothing\)-nya=ee-rla \\
inside dormitory & ENDPNT & \(1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\) go.to-MID-PAST & enclose & \(1 \mathrm{px}-3\)-EP=place-PAST \\
We all went into the dormitory together and he shut us up in there. & &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kaarrburrkayenerri & bundurlb & mana. \\
kaarr=murrka-ye-na-eerri & bundurlb & mana \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go & m-MID-PAST-PROG & bushland
\end{tabular}
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) go.to-MID-PAST-PROG bushland 3mDEF
They were travelling around through the bush.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Warnda & kaarrburrkayenerri & mana & dambeem. \\
warnda & kaarr=murrka-ye-na-eerri & mana & dambeem \\
camp & 3p=go.to-MID-PAST-PROG & 3mDEF & place
\end{tabular}

They were camping in their own country.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Blaai & kawarramnyonerri, & blaai & kaarrburrkayena \\
blaai & ka-bwarr-a-mnya=bwu-na-eerri & blaai & kaarr=murrka-ye-na \\
pound & 3a-3p-EP-DD=hit-PAST-PROG & pound & 3p=go.to-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ardaarrawaamaanja & anja & burnarri. \\
arr= raarrawa-waa-maan-ya & anja & burnarri \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) many-PERS-SPEC-3a & 3pDEF & birds
\end{tabular}

They were pounding them up, all the birds were pounding them up together.

The centripetal schema involved in these sentences may not be immediately clear: in (16.11a) teenage girls from different camps around Kunmunya are brought into a central dormitory building. The predicates in ( 16.11 b \& c) denote a traditional domestic and economic pattern in which people radiated out from a central camp in the morning, and returned thither, or to another predetermined location, at nightfall. In (16.11c) dreamtime birds are pounding up vegetable matter with pestles on a big mortar. Here middle voice signals not only mutual action, but the centripetal motion of a number of pestles surrounding and striking a single grindstone.

Further examples of the use of middle voicing to invoke the mutual action schema may be seen in (16.12):
(16.12a)

Ke maa kubajingeerri wori kaarrweenerri.
ke maa kuN-b warr=yi-ng-eerri wori kaarr=bwee-na-eerri thus VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-PROG move.around \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit.MID-PAST-PROG They did these things while they were travelling around together.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Ajooleena & baawaard & ajaangurriyena & werrim & marno & karrangu. \\
arr=yoolee-na & baawaard & arr-nya=kwangurru-ye-na & werrim & marno & karrangu \\
\(1 \mathrm{px}=\) travel-TNS & ascend.PL & \(1 \mathrm{px}-E P=\) carry-MID-PAST & hill & 3mDIST & high
\end{tabular}

We took ourselves off and climbed to the top of a bill.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Murndaaleya & mana & baawaard & kaadingaayena, & yarribaa \\
murndaaleya & mana & baawaard & kaarr=ningaaye-na & yarribaa \\
plateau & 3 mDEF & ascend.PL & 3p=do.MID-PAST & descend.PL
\end{tabular}
kaajaangurriyena.
kaarr-nya \({ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {w }}\) wangurru-ye-na
3p-EP=carry-MID-PAST
They climbed up onto the plateau together, they all came down together.
(d)

Dambeewunyinim ruluk kaajimiyena.
dambee-kwunya-nyini-m ruluk kaarr-nya=ma-ye-na
place-PURP-ENDPOINT-3m move 3p-EP=get-MID-PAST
They all went back home together.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Karle & laiburru & ajanungurroorri, & kayalkayal & ajaangurriyena. \\
karle & laiburru & arr-nya=nu-ngurru-kwurri & kayal-kayal & arr-nya=k \({ }^{\text {wangarru-ye-na }}\) \\
then & know & \(1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\mathrm{be}\)-away-NUM & hold.hands-RDP & \(1 \mathrm{px}-\mathrm{EP}=\) carry-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}

By then we had got to know each other, and we held hands with them.
(f)

Nanjan maarndenganangkorri, baawaard kaadingaayenerri,
nanjan ma-Ø=kwarnde-nga-[nangka-kwurri] baawaard kaarr=ningaaye-na-eerri
ladder \(3 \mathrm{~m}-3=\) place-PAST-[3pDAT] rise.PL \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) do.MID-PAST-PROG
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
baawaard & kubajingeerri & karrangunyini & warnangkali & wunu. \\
baawaard & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri & karrangu-nyini & warnangkali & wunu \\
rise.PL & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-PROG & high-ENDPOINT & cliff & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

He put a ladder up for them and they all climbed up, they climbed up to the top of the cliff.
These sentences illustrate a range of undertakings done together in company, and signalled as such by middle-voice morphology: travelling together, climbing hills, coming down hills, holding hands; these and similar events reflect the importance of companionship and concerted action in Worrorra society. Note again the functional equivalence of \(k u N[]=y i\) and \(=\) ningaaye in (16.12f), denoting active and middle discourse perspectives respectively.

Middle voice is a marked category, and so is relatively rare in the database. This being the case, much of what can be said about such verbs must remain tentative, simply because there are not enough examples from which to draw firm conclusions. With this caution, I will attempt to make sense of a couple of forms that occur infrequently.

In at least part of their meanings, the two verbs =yoolee 'travel' and =ladee 'be' appear to be middlevoice, mutual-action equivalents of the active verbs \(=y a\) 'go' and \(=n u\) 'be' respectively. For one of these verbs at least, =yoolee, this supposition is borne out by its morphology, which is largely isomorphic with that found on \(=y a\) 'go' and \(=y a{ }^{-k}\) waal 'come' (see \(\$ 5.4 .1\) ). The centripetal directional morpheme used with =yoolee appears as -ngleaal in spoken form (16.13), and a redundant tense allomorph -nga is bonded to the root in hortative forms (see (17.63d) in \(₫ 17.8\) ). This is the same morphology as that found on =ya 'go,' in counterfactual ((8.18) in §8.3.2) and hortative ((8.33) in §8.5) moods. The long high front vowel at the end of these verbs is reminiscent of the middle-voice signalling function of this vowel in the section (a) verbs in Table 16.1. These vowels may be shortened to accommodate global stress patterns, so the variants =yooli and =ladi are found. =Ladee takes the two intransitive tense morphemes \(-n g\) and \(-n a\), signalling present and past tense respectively, while =yoolee appears to have only one tense morpheme, -na, marking non-future tense, just as -nga 'TNS' does for =ya 'go.' Of 32 occurrences in the database, =yoolee is found only once with a singular subject, and both occurrences of =ladee are with plural subjects. The more common verbs of movement are intransitive \(=y a\) 'go' denoting atelic movement generally, and transitive \(=\) murrka 'go to OBJ,' requiring a goal to consummate its meaning. =Yoolee, then, appears typically to have denoted travel undertaken in company over a distance; examples of this verb may be seen in \((8.13,10.7,10.42\), \(15.4,15.23\) ) and (16.12b). In typical usage it is likely to have described the movement of a group of people around their home country; hunting, finding food, visiting religious sites and visiting relations. Its semantics would have contained two important parts: travel over distance, and travel in company. However it appears that either, but not both, of these meanings could be dispensed with:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Nyijooleengkaal } & \text { school-kunya! }  \tag{16.13}\\
\text { nyirr=yoolee-kwaal } & \text { school-kwunya } \\
2 \mathrm{p}=\text { travel-hither } & \text { school-PURP } \\
\text { You lot all come to school! }
\end{array}
\]

In this sentence the children's homes at Kunmunya were presumably not a great distance from the school, so the denotation is of travel undertaken together, as a group, with the distance meaning in abeyance.

The verb = ladee appears to denote stative existence, again in a group. In both sentences in (16.14) reference is being made to groups of people and houses located together. Note that the singular terrestrial NP index in (16.14b) refers to a number of houses (see \(\int 6.2 .6\) (i)).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Anja & aarra & kaajaladinerri. \\
anja & aarra & kaarr-nya=ladee-na-eerri \\
3pDEF & sick & 3p-EP=be-PAST-PROG \\
They were all getting sick.
\end{tabular}
(b) Ngani kubaje nyini mana mayaram?
ngani kuN-bwarr-nya-yi nyini mana mayaram
what VCOMP-3p-EP=do ENDPOINT 3mDEF house
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Mawe & maa & maladinyeerri. \\
\(m a={ }^{k}\) we & maa & ma=ladee-nya-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) PRSNTIL & PROG & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) be-HORT-PROG
\end{tabular}

Then what are they going to do with the houses? Let them stay the way they are.
In a mutual-action schema middle-voice constructions may be re-transitivized or reactivated, just as object-demoting verb forms may be, as described in \(\$ 11.4 .3\) and \(₫ 11.5 .3\). We have already seen in
(16.3) a formally intransitive mutual-action predicate with both subject and object NPs present. Two more reactivated middle-voice constructions may be seen in (16.15) below:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(16.15a) (=10.15a) & \\
Birriyaarndeerrije, & \\
ba-kuN-rra=yi-kwarndu-eerri-je & akurlanyini
\end{tabular} & wanji \\
CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-DU-PROG-again & & devil-ENDPOINi
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
wanji \\
find
\end{tabular}
(b)

Ke maa kubajingeerri, kaardawajenanangka.
ke maa kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-eerri kaarr=rnawaje-na-nangka
thus VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-PROG 3p=imitate.MID-PAST-DAT
That's how they did it, by working together/ helping each other.
The first sentence example involves the middle-voice form of the predicate wanji \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'find,' reactivated by means of non-subcategorized object morphology (see \(₫ 13.2 .1\) (ii)). The second clause in this sentence therefore has a subject (akurla 'demon') and an object (-nurrerndu 'you two'). The predicate is both semantically and morphologically transitive, despite its de-transitivizing middle-voice morphology. The denotation is probably that of mutual action, in which the speaker envisages both the demon and the two boys walking through the bush, and the boys being opportunistically found by (meeting up with) the demon. Sentence (16.15b) employs the transitive verb =rnawaja '[SUBJ] does what [OBJ] does, \({ }^{120}\) seen here with a single intransitive NP index in middle-voice form. The meaning of this verb is not straightforward: it can be used of someone who 'got there before' someone else ('she's doing what I was going to do'); it can refer to following a custom laid down by a dreamtime ancestor, and, as in this sentence, to helping, or working together to achieve a common goal. The function of middle voicing in this sentence probably has to do with the depiction of collective, concerted group action.

\subsection*{16.2.4 Passivization}

Passive constructions occur when an active subject is removed in the formation of a middle-voice predicate, leaving only an active object, now in derived subject grammatical function. In Worrorra the question arises as to whether the single prefixed NP index of a middle form represents the subject or object of the underlying active version (see (16.1) above). In this section I will argue that passive derivations are found in a number of Worrorra constructions. The sentences in (16.16) show two examples from the database. Sentence (16.16a) describes the traditional consequence of violating the prohibition against sexual relations within one's own patrimoiety:
(16.16a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wurrkunu & kununa & karle maa & ke, & kaarrbaalimiyena, \\
wurrkunu & kuN=nu-na & karle maa & ke & kaarr=maalima-ye-na \\
trouble & 3w=be-PAST & REM.P & 3wREF & 3p=spear-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{120}\) This verb's active shape may apparently end in either /a/ or /e/ (see Table 16.1). =rnawaja has an apparent synonym, = rnaajo.
}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
nyangka & barda & nyimbarrwuna, & awa & barda & kawarrona. \\
nyangka & barda & nyiN-bwarr=bwu-na & awa & barda & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na \\
her & kill & \(3 \mathrm{f}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) hit-PAST & him & kill & 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

There used to be trouble in the old days, they were speared, they killed both the woman and the man involved.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Aambulkarndu & jilibeerd & kumiyenangkaarndu. \\
\(a={ }^{k}\) wambul \(\left.(u)\right)^{-k}\) warndu & jilibeerd & kuN=ma-ye-(na)-[nangka-kwarndu] \\
\(3 a=\) eye-DU & close & \(3 w=\) get-MID-(PAST)-[DAT-DU]
\end{tabular}

Their eyes were stuck together.
If the 3 p index kaarr- on the verb in the second clause in (16.16a) referred to the agents of the act of spearing, we would expect the verb to maintain its active form, as kaanbarrbaalimarla (|kaarr-n\({ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) warr=maalima-rla [3p-INV-3p=spear-PAST]) 'they speared them;' there would be no obvious motivation for patient removal. Indeed, in the next two clauses it is the patients nyangea 'her/the girl/woman' and awa 'him/the boy/man' who are preposed in topic position, and we would expect their topic status to be reflected in the previous clause, by the removal of competing agent morphology from the middle-voice verb. In (16.16b) the subject of the middle-voice predicate is \(={ }^{k}\) wambulu wипи 'eye,' with tokens of the dependent possessors (two boys) affixed to the body-part noun and suffixed to the classifying verb. Here the verbal predicate is jilibeerd \(=m a\) '[SUBJ] close, enclose [OBJ] by manual manipulation; [SUBJ] fold up [OBJ].' Sentence (16.16b) is without agent indexation, there is only a celestial token of the enclosed patients, the boys' eyes, stuck together by what is probably bacterial conjunctivitis, prefixed to the now intransitive verb in subject position.

The following examples are taken from the same story as the last; the first involves the predicate nyambalak \(=m a\) '[SUBJ] stick/glue [OBJ] together,' in which a typically human AGENT uses some medium or INSTRUMENT, typically spinifex gum, to stick two objects together, coded as undergoer PATIENT(S). This predicate's active use is illustrated in (16.17), with two human patients as undergoers, and the moon as subject/agent:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Nyambalak } & \text { binyinmaarndu } & \text { nyirrkumbulaarndu. } \\
\text { nyambalak } & \text { ba-[nyirr]-n- } \varnothing=\text { ma- }[\mathrm{k} \text { warndu }] \\
\text { stick/glue } & \text { CFT-[2p]-INV-3=get-[DU] } & 2 \mathrm{p}=\text { eye-DU } \\
\text { It might glue your (dual) eyes together. } &
\end{array}
\]

The middle-voice versions of this predicate seen in (16.18) redirect the active patients of (16.17) to subject status, with the erstwhile agent, along with the instrument, uncoded by verbal NP indices:
(16.18a)

Nyambalak kamiyenyaarndu.
nyambalak ka=ma-ye-nya- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu
stick.together \(3 a=\) get-MID-HORT-DU
Let them (dual) be stuck together/ they (dual) will just have to be stuck together.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wurlingkarr & kunjingeerri & burnma & kuma, & wunu \\
wurlingkarr & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-eerri & burnma & kuma & wunu \\
rub.off & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-PROG & 3 3PROO & glue & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
nyambalak angkamiyena.
nyambalak arr-ngka=ma-ye-na
stick.together \(3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{SJTV}=\) get-MID-PAST
He rubbed away at that stuff, the gum with which they were stuck together.

The second clause in (16.18b) is a subordinate relative clause of the sort seen in \(₫ 15.6 .3\), headed by kuma 'glue, gum' in the main clause. In this instance the determiner wumu appears to refer to kuma anaphorically: for the gender ambiguity involved here, see ( 6.13 c ( \(=16.20\) below)) and discussion in §6.2.5.

From the same story, the preverb wayarl is used to denote cleaning or removing some (sticky or dirty) substance off an object, such that an AGENT cleans some material THEME off some PATIENT. It is found in the database in two compositions, intransitive wayarl =ya '[THEME] be removed,' and transitive wayarl =ma'[AGENT] remove [THEME]; [AGENT] get [THEME] off ([PATIENT]).' Its use in an active intransitive construction is shown in (16.19a), and in a transitive one in (16.19b):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Karle & wayarl & menga & mana & kuma. \\
karle & wayarl & ma=ya-nga & mana & kuma \\
PERF & remove & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) go-TNS & 3 mDEF & glue
\end{tabular}

The glue has gone.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & wayarl & karrba & inja & kunyila? \\
ngarrunangkowa & wayarl & ka-ngarr=ma & inja & kunyila \\
which way/how & remove & 3a-1pin=get & 3aDEF & moon
\end{tabular}

Kajirnba wayarl bangamandaka.
kajirnba wayarl ba-ka-nga=ma-n-y2aka
can't remove CFT-3a-1=get-NON.P-EMPH
How can we remove this moon (stuff)? I can't get it off.

Here we see the offending substance (the semantic THEME in both sentences) in S function (as 3 m ) in the intransitive, and \(O\) function (as 3 a ) in the transitive sentence. In the passive sentence in (16.20), however, the semantic PATIENT(S), the boys' eyes, are indexed in subject position, to the exclusion of both agent and theme:
(16.20) (=6.13c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Aambulkarndu & wunu & karle & wayarl & kumiyena \\
\(a^{={ }^{k}}\) wambul- \({ }^{\text {w warndu }}\) & wunu & karle & wayarl & kuN=ma-ye-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) eye-DU & 3 wDEF & then & remove/clean & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) get-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
wunu & burnma, & kuma & mana. \\
3 wDEF & 3 mPRO & gum & 3 mDEF
\end{tabular}

Their eyes were cleansed of that stuff, that gum.

The above examples illustrate agent deletion and the concomitant foregrounding of both non-agent arguments: of the theme in (16.19a), and of the patient in (16.20). Note however that in all the examples in this section passivization in Worrorra targets semantic patients: competing semantic roles, such as instruments and themes in the above examples, are not targeted; other strategies are employed to foreground non-core (non-agent and non-patient) semantic roles.

Being marked, middle voicing is relatively rare in the database. Its mutual-action function is by far the most frequent, and its passivization function possibly the least frequent. Nevertheless there is good evidence from the few examples we have that a fully passive, patient-targeting operation was an integral part of the syntax of the Worrorra middle voice.

\subsection*{16.3 Deponent verbs}

Deponent verbs are those that have passive or middle form, but active meaning. For the time being, middle form will be seen in intransitive verbs ending in the segments /ye/, /e/ or /ee/, or intransitive verbs with uniquely middle tense-marking morphology. Of concern is the fact that some middle verbs are so rarely attested, or unattested outside of elicitation contexts, that there is a real possibility of their having active forms that have simply not been recorded. These forms will be noted, but in the meantime a core of genuinely deponent forms can be identified.

The transitive verb \(=k_{\text {willkuna }}\) '[SUBJ] fear [OBJ]' takes the past-tense allomorph -rla, and has a middle-voice form \(={ }^{k}\) wullkune '[SUBJ] be afraid' (see Table 16.1). The middle form takes the tense morphemes -ng 'present' and -nya 'past.' In Worrorra inja aaja 'rain' is grammaticized in a number of ways, including aaja yulul \(=n u(+D A T)\) 'rain approaches (someone),' aaja \(=^{b}\) wa 'rain falls' and aaja \([\) OBJ]-[3]= murrka 'rain approaches some object.' There are as well at least two weather verbs, shown in Table 16.1: transitive =ngarnda '[SUBJ=rain] falls on [OBJ], and its middle-voice equivalent \([3 a]=n g a r n d e ~ ' r a i n ~ f a l l s . ' ~ T h e ~ m i d d l e ~ f o r m ~=n g a r n d e ~ t a k e s ~ t h e ~ p a s t ~ t e n s e ~ a l l o m o r p h ~-n y a, ~ a l t h o u g h ~ I ~\) have no record of its present tense allomorph. It is likely however, that this verb patterns like \(={ }^{k}\) wulkune 'fear,' with active and middle forms, and \(-n g /-n y a\) middle-voice tense allomorphy.

This morphology is distinctive: although a past-tense morpheme -(yi)nya is found with counterfactual verbs ( \(\$ 8.3 .2\) ), and the same shape may signal both hortative ( \(\$ 8.5 .1\) ) and frustrative ( \(\$ 15.4 .2\) ) moods, this allomorph is not found on indicative verbs except in a few specific instances. The other instances along with \(={ }^{k}\) wwilkune and \(=\) ngarnde are the intransitive verbs \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) walke 'stand' and \(=^{\text {b }}\) barnke 'grow' (see \(\$ 5.4 .1\) ), which also, and uniquely, take -ng and -nya as indicative present- and pasttense allomorphs respectively. The verb \(=^{b}\) walke has a causative shape \(=^{b}\) walkenju ' \([\mathrm{SUBJ}]\) erect [OBJ],' but this is transparently a secondary form incorporating the north-western Australian causative morpheme \(j u\)-, widely found in this function. By analogy with \(={ }^{k}\) wulkune and \(=n g a r n d e\), then, I will claim that \(=^{b}\) bwalke and \(=^{\text {b }}\) parnke exhibit middle morphology (final segment /e/ and distinctive tense allomorphy) without corresponding active forms, and so are deponent.

Love (1934:108) identified a class of deponent verbs in Worrorra, citing \(=^{b}\) walke and \(=^{b}\) warnke as its members. \({ }^{121}\) It also seems likely that the verbs =yoolee and =ladee discussed in \(\$ 16.2 .3\) above are deponent, in having an essentially middle form and function, without corresponding active shapes.

A list of deponent and potentially deponent verbs, arranged by semantic category, is presented below in Table 16.2:

Table16.2: deponent verbs by semantic type
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Existential state:} & \(=\) ladee & exist \\
\hline & \({ }^{\text {b }}\) walke & stand \\
\hline & \(=\mathrm{b}\) warnke & grow \\
\hline & \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wangkarre & exist as image \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mutual motion:} & = yoolee & travel \\
\hline & \(=\) marrkawee & fight with weapons \\
\hline & \({ }^{\text {b }}\) waje & separate, part company \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Emotion:} & \(=\) rnaarriye & love \\
\hline & \(=\) rnangajaaye & regret, apologize \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

All these verbs have final segments /e/, /ee/ or /ye/, and where they have been recorded, all have a present-tense allomorph -ng, and a past-tense allomorph \(-n a\), except for \(=^{b}\) walke and \(=^{b}\) warnke as discussed above.

The verb = marrkawee 'fight with weapons, engage in armed conflict' denotes a typically mutualaction schema, and this, coupled with its transparent incorporation of the middle shape \(={ }^{\text {bopee }}\) of the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{121}\) Unfortunately the 2000 Lincom Europa reprint of his thesis reproduced this section under the sub-heading 'dependent verbs.'
}
impact verb \(={ }^{b}{ }^{\square} w u\) 'hit' (see Table 16.1) guarantees its middle-voice status. The two emotion verbs likewise transparently incorporate the middle morpheme -ye as their final segment, making it also likely that these are middle-voice forms. The verb = rnaarriye 'love' may be based on the body-part noun \(=\) rnaarri inja 'bone(s),' in a metaphor of powerful affection: indeed its one instantiation in the database is as the form nyirnaarriyenanangka (|nyiN=rnaarri-ye-na-nangka| [3f=love/bone-MID-PAST-DAT]) 'she loved him dearly.'

The status of the verbs \(=^{k}\) wangkarre 'exist as image' (see (6.9) in \(\$ 6.2 .4\) and discussion) and \(={ }^{b}\) waje 'part company' is less certain; they are poorly attested in the database. All that can be said is that their semantics, their tense allomorphy and their final segments make them likely candidates for deponency status.

Of the 89 simplex verbs in the Worrorra dictionary (excluding allomorphic shapes as in Table 16.1), only 15 are intransitive, so there is a restricted set to consider. Of those 15 , nine are found in Table 16.2, while another three are classifiers, shown in Table 11.3 in \(\$ 11.4 .1\). The remaining three are =yawa 'rub oneself,' = ninjawa 'be burned' and =rlelwa 'be born.'

\section*{Seventeen: discourse cohesion}

Pronouns, especially the demonstratives reviewed in Chapter Seven, combine in a variety of ways with each other and with adverbs to produce an extensive pragmatic system with referential, deictic and indexical functions. We have already seen some of these pragmatic devices in the existential interrogatives in \(\$ 7.4 .3\), the contrastive forms in \(\$ 7.5 .2\) and \(\$ 7.5 .5\), and the presentational forms in \$7.5.4. In this chapter we will briefly observe an array of configurations that encode a number of important pragmatic categories, and which are in turn important in discourse cohesion.

As discussed in \(\$ 5.3 .1\), subject and object grammatical relations are indexed on verbs as prefixes, by way of a set of tokens of the agreement-class of the nouns entering into those relations. This is quite a different state of affairs from signalling grammatical relations on the nouns themselves. Verb morphology merely codes the class of the noun in such-and-such a grammatical relation, and any instance of that class in the linguistic or extra-linguistic environment is a potential candidate for interpretation in that relation. The problem for this kind of grammar is that individuals rather than classes are usually required to be encoded in core grammatical relations. For instance eeja inja 'man' and warrala inja 'boy' are both members of the masculine singular agreement class, but the coding of that agreement class in a particular grammatical function fails to distinguish between the two nouns themselves. And of course in transitive subject function, the zero-marked third person singular ergative form does not even distinguish between genders. Verbal agreement alone will not identify arguments exhaustively; the identification of denotata in particular grammatical relations needs to be achieved by other means.

Within the context of the section of discourse in which it occurs, the pragmatic status of a noun phrase, as being, say, more important, better known or more topical than some other noun, may distinguish it from other members of its class. In doing so, its pragmatic status may also qualify such an NP to be a candidate for interpretation in some particular grammatical function to which its class membership entitles it. What results is a system of grammatical-function signalling that relies on both morphological and syntactic cueing, in which individual nouns are primed pragmatically to serve in the grammatical relations signalled by verbal morphology.

In broadly characterizing pragmatic reference, the metaphor of the theatre employed by Foley (1993:133), is a useful one. If nouns are characters and verbs are the script, then pragmatic devices amount to staging effects: the particular effects we will be concerned with here are prominence (at the front of the stage or at the back, that is, foregrounding and backgrounding) and lighting (in the spotlight or out of it). In this analogy, prominence and lighting together constitute an indexical system, the purpose of which is to encode grammatical function by offering up selected arguments for service in particular syntactic roles.

\subsection*{17.1 Definiteness}

The definite article \(=n\) 'DEF,' realized as inja 'masc,' nyina 'fem,' wunu 'celestial,' mana 'terrestrial' and anja 'plural;' and discussed in \(₫ 7.3 .1\) and seen in Table 7.4 , encodes definiteness as a major part of its functional load. By definiteness is meant the linguistic marking of a speaker's belief that the identity of a particular denotatum is already known to the listener when a phonetic token of its type is produced (Lyons 1999). What any speaker imagines a listener to know is, of course, culture-specific. There exists in any society a large set of cultural givens which all its adult members are expected to know as part of their membership endowment. An understanding of what constitutes definiteness in a language, therefore, is not a purely linguistic enterprise: it involves as well considerable cultural sophistication. As a simple example of this in Worrorra, observe the marking of definiteness in (17.1) below.

Being a pragmatic phenomenon, definiteness makes reference to whole stretches of discourse, and its causes and effects are rarely observable in single sentences. Because of this, detailed glossing will be suspended from time to time in this chapter, in favour of a more schematic system. This will be done in order to make larger stretches of discourse available to the reader, than can be conveniently displayed in a closely-glossed format. When this is done, the relevant morphemes will be in bold.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jaarra & maarrwunerri & mana & Malandum, \\
upstream & they.were.doing & the & Prince.Regent.River
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ke & murn & maajorarlerri & dambeem & mana. \\
and & gaze & they.were.doing & place & the
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { 'Warnangkali } & \text { wunu } & \text { ngarru } & \text { kumnyenga?' } \\ \text { mountain } & \text { the } & \text { whither } & \text { it.went }\end{array}\)
They were travelling up the Prince Regent River, and looking all around at the countryside. Where's the mountain gone?'

This passage occurs right at the start of a narrative text, yet three nouns in it are definite: mana Malanduma 'the Prince Regent River,' mana dambeem 'the place/countryside, and warnangkali wunu 'the cliff, mountain.' These occurrences are instances of what Lyons (1999:233, 278 et seq) refers to as resulting from people's encyclopaedic knowledge of the world; they are in his terms situational or general knowledge definites. The name of the river is definite because as a name, its reference is unique. The mountain/cliff/mesa (warnangkali wunu) is also definite because in Worrorra culture one mountain above all others is associated with the Prince Regent River: Ngayangkarnanya 'Mount Trafalgar,' the large and spectacular mesa that guards the entrance to the river. Any traditionallyeducated Worrorra person would know the referent of warnangkali wunu in this context.

Typically, however, the first occurrence of a noun in a text is not definite. For example common household utensils such as angkam mana 'wooden bowl,' namarrkama mana 'large wooden bowl,' kambananya nyina 'shovel, poker,' karraki inja 'bag' and ngarli wunu 'paperbark' are usually introduced without definite marking, only becoming definite on subsequent appearances. In some contexts, however, definite marking of such items is granted on first appearance, as in (17.2):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Manjawarrawunya & buluba kaarringa, & kawarramrangerri & angkam mana. \\
for.m.berries & searching they.went \\
they.were.gathering.them bowl & the \\
They were going out looking for manjawarra berries, they were gathering them in bowls.
\end{tabular}

In this sentence angkam mana 'bowl' is definite, because such items are co-located contextually with the particular food-gathering activity denoted; that is to say that they are presupposed to occur within the context of such activity. Similarly in English, such contextually backgrounded items may be
introduced with definite marking, as in, eg, I've spilt some on the carpet, where neither the name of the item nor the item itself have figured in previous conversation.

\subsection*{17.1.1 Definite clauses}

In Worrorra, clauses as well as nouns may be marked as definite. Definite clauses contain a propositional content that a speaker believes is already known to his or her listener, and they are marked by the determiner wunu. When clauses are marked for definiteness, there is a strong tendency for the article to occur clause-finally. Observing the occurrence of this phenomenon in other languages, Lyons (1999:60) supports this interpretation: 'a definite article can sometimes serve to introduce an entire finite clause, thus functioning somewhat as a complementizer. [...] This phenomenon may be particularly characteristic of polysynthetic languages.'

Identifying such clauses in Worrorra is not all that straightforward, however, as in a language which is only loosely configurational, and in passages where intonation contours are unclear, ascertaining which function of wипи is intended can be problematic. Nevertheless there appear to be a number of pragmatic reasons for marking a clause as definite.
(i) The first of these, and by far the most common, is in the marking of subordinate time-relative clauses (see \(\S 15.6 .5\) ). Such clauses are subjunctive, and definiteness-marking frequently occurs as part of the syntactic apparatus that produces subordinate forms. The function of these subordinate clauses is specifically to refer to an event as representing backgrounded or known information, in the light of which the information presented in the main clause is to be understood. Two examples of this kind of construction are shown in (17.3), where the subordinate clauses are enclosed in square brackets.
(17.3a)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kaanyardawaje & [wunu & ajora & arrkununa] \\
kaarr-nyarr= rnawaje & wunu & arr=yora & arr-ngun=nu-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}-1 \mathrm{px}=\) copy & 3 wDEF & \(\mathrm{px}=\) widow & 1px-SJTV=be-PAST \\
We do the same as they did [when we are widows] &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Bardi & nyinyamurrkaarndu & ngayu, & [wunu & yala \\
bardi & nyirr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=murrka-kwarndu & ngayu & wunu & yala \\
come.home & 2p-INV-3/1-OPT= go.to-DU & I & 3 wDEF & hunting
\end{tabular}
nganngumbana]
ngan-ngun \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wa-na
1-SJTV=fall-TNS
I will come back, bome to you both, [after I've gone bunting]
Sometimes the determiner wиии may mark the backgrounded or conditional status of a clause in the absence of a subjunctive verb, as in (17.4), or even in the absence of any verb at all, as in (17.5):
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[Larlangkarram & mana & kaarri maa & keekeey & kanunerri & wunu \\
rlarlangkarram & mana & kaarri maa & keekeey & \(\mathrm{ka}=\) nu-na-eerri & wunu \\
sea & 3mDEF & in.vain & peer & 3a=be-PAST-PROG & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
kalam & mana], & ke & bloy & kumanga & ke & rlarlangkarranyinim \\
kalam & mana & ke & bloy & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga & ke & rlarlangkarra-nyini-ma \\
raft & 3mDEF & and & slip & VCOMP-3=get-PAST & and & sea-ENDPOINT-3m
\end{tabular}

(ii) The second function of wипи is to substitute for a definite clause; that is, to serve as a sentential anaphor of an expression of known propositional content, just as 'it' is a sentential anaphor in English (cf I didn't see it, where 'it' substitutes for an instantiation of 'Fred kicked a goal'). Some examples are seen in (17.6):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wunu & ngenangka & kungenu. \\
wunu & ØN-nga=yi-nangka & kuN-nga=yi-nu \\
3wDEF & VCOMP-1=do-DAT & VCOMP-1=do-2DAT \\
I want to tell you about it. &
\end{tabular}
(b) Kaarriyaka wunu.
kaarri-y2aka wunu
3pNEG-EMPH 3wDEF
That won't/ doesn't/ didn't happen at all.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(c) Wunu & nge, & marram & angarno & dambeem. \\
wunu & ØN-nga=yi & marram & arr-nga=rno & dambeem \\
3wDEF & VCOMP-1=do & light & \(3 \mathrm{p}-1=\) give & place
\end{tabular}

I want to do it, I want to give light to them on the earth.
Sentence (17.6a) is a complement-clause construction with kungenu 'I want (with respect to yourself)' the matrix, and wunu ngenangka 'I will tell (you) about it' the complement; and sentence (17.6b) is a verbless construction. In these examples wunu functions in a manner equivalent to its English translation, as 'it' or 'that' with reference to events.
(iii) A third important function of sentential definiteness-marking is to signal questions, most commonly rhetorical questions. In this function wипи appears at the end of questions. In making questions definite, a speaker is declaring his or her belief that either the answer, that is, the information content of the question, or the reason for asking, is known to the listener. Note that only the information content of questions is marked as being definite: the question-word itself lies beyond the scope of the determiner, as is suggested both by semantics and by observation of intonation contours, as shown in (17.7):
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
[Ngarru] & [nyina & nyim nye nga & wunu?] \\
\(\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { ngarru } \\
\text { whither } & \text { nyina } & \text { nyiN-mnya=ya-nga } \\
\text { 3fDEF } & \text { 3f-DD= } \begin{array}{l}\text { go-TNS }\end{array} & \text { 3wDEF }\end{array}\right]\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Where did she get to?}

Other examples of definite-marked questions are shown in (17.14) \& (17.8):
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(17.8a) & Yaada & ngarlangarla & kunjawaawaarlaara & wunu? \\
& yaada & ngarlangarla & kuN-nja \(={ }^{\text {b }}\) waawaa-rla-k wara & wunu \\
& ENQ & speech & VCOMP-2=misunderstand-PAST-1DAT & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

Can it be that you didn't understand what I said?
(b) Angkuyu baangamnyarnonangkorri wunu?
angkuyu ba-kaarr-nga-mnya=rno-nangkorri wunu
who CFT-3p-1-DD=give-3pDAT 3wDEF
Who can I give them to?
(c) Arri wunu baraankarndu wunu?
arri wunu baraan-kwarndu wunu
but 3wDEF shaved-DU 3wDEF
So how come your (dual) heads are shaved?

Sentence (17.8c) is highly elliptical, in omitting both a question word, a verb and the phrasal head. However its sense is completely recoverable from the context in which it occurs, and the repetition of the article emphasizes the speaker's belief that not only is the answer known to the listeners, but they also know his reason for asking, and are deliberately withholding information from him.
(iv) A speaker may acknowledge his or her belief that information is already known to a listener when he or she is repeating that information, or when the depiction of some event is repeated. Sentences containing repeated information are usually definite in Worrorra. The following discourse sections show this phenomenon:
Waay kawarrayabuna karrangu. Yarriy kawanaal ke
throw they.threw.him high descend he.fell.here and
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
waayje & kawarrayabunangurru & karrangu, & ke & yarriyje & kawanaal. \\
throw.again & they.threw.him.away & high & and & descend.again & he.fell.here
\end{tabular}

Ke wunu waayje kawarrayabuna.
and the throw.again they.threw.him
They threw bim up in the air. He fell down and again they threw him up, and again he fell down. Then they threw him up again.

Key words in this text are waay 'throw,' waayje 'throw again,' yarriy 'descend,' yarriyje 'descend again' and karrangu 'high.' The sentence containing the second repetition of waay 'throw' receives definiteness marking.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Barnbarrey & kumnyengeerri & nyangka & nyina & karranangkanya. \\
throw.self.down & she.did.it & she & the & his.mother
\end{tabular}
Deyi-rdeyi nyimbeenerri karrkunyine, ke barnbarrey kunjingeerri.
gash-gash she.hit.self with.rock and throw.self.down she.did.it
```

Bardawaai nyimbeenerri wangalanguwunya wunu.
thrash/writhe she.hit.self for.child the
His mother threw herself down on the ground and thrashed about. She gashed herself with a sharp rock, and rolled
about.She thrashed about [in her grief] for her child.

```

The key terms here are the preverbs barnbarrey and bardawaai, both of which refer to a response specific to newly bereaved women, of throwing themselves down on the ground and writhing and thrashing about in an agony of grief. These two terms appear to be synonyms, and although they possibly may not be, they are closely collocated at any rate. On the third occurrence of this denotation, the sentence in which it occurs receives marking for definiteness, as it essentially repeats information already contained in the previous two sentences.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunu-wunu & \begin{tabular}{l} 
karle \\
now
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
wali \\
still
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kunyajunganoorri \\
we.told.you
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
wunu, \\
the
\end{tabular}
[dumbi mara irrangunbu, malka barroyeerri], kunyajunganoorri. owl see when.you.do.him play you.do.not.him we.told.you
We told you and told you: [ifyou find an owl, don't play with it;] we told you.
The key word here is kunyajunganoorri (|kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-a-noorri| [VCOMP-1px=do-PAST-EP2pDAT]) 'we (exc) told you (pl),' and the reported speech is indicated in square brackets. In this sentence definiteness marking refers to both the verbum dicendi ('we told you'), in that the speakers are claiming rhetorically that the listeners knew that they had been told, as well as to the reported content, which the listeners are also being told that they already knew.
[Yawurlak kawana] rlarlangkarrama, [kawana],
sink he.fell sea he.fell
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
balangkarranya & bariy & anmurrkarlaal & ke & keekeey & kanunerri, \\
storm.wave & rise & it.came.to.us & and & peering & he.was
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
[yawurlak kamnyawanangurru wunu]. \\
sink \\
he.fell.away
\end{tabular} the
[He sank down under] the sea, [he drowned], storm waves rose up all around us and he was peering over the side, [he
drowned under] the sea.

In (17.12) the key words are yawurlak 'sink, drown' rlarlangkarrama 'sea,' balangkarranya 'storm wave,' bariy 'rise' and keekeey 'peep.' The complex predicate yawurlak =bwa 'sink down, drown' appears three times here; its tokens enclosed in square brackets. The second time it appears, the classifier kawana is used anaphorically to substitute for the entire predicate (see \(\$ 11.5 .1\) ). The third time the predicate appears, it is marked as definite, that is, as repeated information by this time known to the listener.
(v) In presenting information, a speaker may wish to declare that the information is, or should be, already known to his or her listener, and to emphasize that the listener ought to be aware of it. In these situations, too, definiteness marking is used rhetorically to point out to a listener that what is being said is nothing new. In (17.13a) a man has for some time been asking a certain woman to let her son go out turtle-fishing with him and his friends. At one stage of this process he says to her:
(17.13a)


Sentence (17.13a) contains a matrix verbum dicendi, kunyajeerri 'we want' and a complement-clause verb erraangurru 'we want to take him.' Because the speaker wishes to make it clear to the boy's mother that he knows that the information in the complement clause is already known to her, and that it should therefore come as no surprise to her, he marks it as definite. In (17.13b) a mother is scolding her children; they clearly know what they have been doing, and the predicate is marked as definite.

Example (17.14) consists of a definite-marked question (cf. 17.8), followed by a definite statement, with both sentences marked by шипи in final position:

Arri ngani kunjinganangkorreerri wunu?
arri ngani kuN-nja=yi-ng-a-nangkorri-eerri wunu
but what VCOMP-2=do-PAST-EP-3pDAT-PROG 3wDEF

Baanjamurlomurlonyeerri
ba-kaarr-nja=murlomurlo-nya-eerri wunu
CFT-3p-2=look.after-PAST-PROG 3wDEF
But what have you been doing to them? You should have been looking after them!
Here in the second sentence the speaker is declaring not only what the listener's obligation was, but also that the listener was, or should have been, well aware of it. The repetition of sentence-final definiteness-marking in sequences such as this is very effective in producing an eloquent rhetorical pattern of accusation and condemnation.

Another exchange is reproduced in (17.15), a good deal of which consists of two people telling each other what they already know (a not uncommon discourse phenomenon in any society). This exchange is from a mythical text in which a dead man comes back to life and goes to see his wife. She, however, has already instituted funerary rites for him, and does not want to see him. Here the definite clauses are enclosed in square brackets. When he appears before her, she says:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
'Waa jukurljukurl & banganineerri; & [wunu & ngunyengkunaal,] & [karle wali \\
not & happy & I.am.not.to.you & the & you.came & CHANGE
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
waay & ngunjabuneka & wunu] & karle & ngamri & ke & maai \\
throw & I.threw.you.really & the & now & my.head & it & shave-blacken
\end{tabular}
ngunmurrkarla. Angujabirri bariy ngununaal?’ kunjunganangka.
I.did.for.you why rise you.were.here she.said.to.him
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
‘[Mee & bariy & ngamnyanunaal & ke & buluba & ngunyanganyarrona & wunu].' \\
just & rise & I.was.here & and & searching & I.looked.for.you & the
\end{tabular}

I'm not happy to see you; [although you've come back] [already by now I have really lost you] and I've shaved and blackened my head in mourning for you. Why did you have to come back?' she asked him.
'(I just got up and went out looking for you (as you can see)].'
The first listener, the husband, obviously knows that he has come back, so ngunyengkunaal 'you have come back' receives definite-marking. The wife then declares that she has already lost him (way ngunjabuneka 'I have really lost you') and that this too he must know, or at least ought to know, in the normal course of things. She then declares the means by which she has instituted funeral rites, by shaving and blackening her head. As a new social institution, the significance of this process cannot be known to her husband, indeed the point of the narrative so far is that it is not known to him, and so her declaration of it is not marked as definite. In reply, the husband states what must be obvious to his wife, that he has come back to life and come looking for her, and so this sentence too receives definite marking.

In this part of its grammar we see how Worrorra logically extends the reference of definiteness from nominal denotata that the speaker believes the listener can identify, to information in general, that is, to events and whole stretches of discourse that the speaker believes are known to the listener. The determiner used to signal this assumption is the celestial one, the determiner from the category of events, denotata occurring in time ( \(\$ 4.1 .3\) (iii)).

\subsection*{17.2 Topicality}

In this section the notion of 'topic' will be understood rather informally, as the entity or activity that is what a sentence is primarily about. Topics are often, but not necessarily, old or given information from which, or against the background of which, new information is introduced. It seems that in at least some languages explicit topic-marking serves to confer an artificially 'given' status to constituents, that is to confer 'given' status on them despite their failure to qualify for such status on discourse-internal grounds. Using such a facility, language is able to introduce new references and treat them as if they are old. Given information attracts staging privileges resulting from considerations of relevance, importance, salience and other pragmatic properties. The purpose of conferring 'givenness,' then, is to expose such constituents under highlighting on centre stage. In English this may be used to mark its referents as topics, that is as the constituents that provide the setting for a discourse, and from which the narrative departs (cf Levinson 1983:85). In its other senses, this denotes an entity that is spatially proximate to the location of the speech act; that is typically visible or known to the listener, as old or given information. In Worrorra, deictic principles are employed to serve such ends.

\subsection*{17.2.1 Contextual deixis}

The uses of the pronoun \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'CONTEXTUAL' (glossed REF, see Table 7.8) as a contextual deictic and distal demonstrative have been discussed in \(\$ 7.3 .3\). Contextual deictics serve quite naturally as topic markers in Worrorra because what is salient in a referential context is, of course, also salient at a pragmatic level. By way of example of this collapse, observe contextual deictic marking in (17.16). This utterance was made during a dispute over the allocation of wives. As the object of an ongoing dispute between the members of two clans, the woman concerned is contextually salient on an ongoing basis. At the same time she is also the topic of this particular exchange, in the sense that she is what the exchange is all about. She is referred to by CONTEXTUAL marking (nyingke) on both counts, but observe how readily the one meaning fades into the other:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nyingke & nyimnyiyabaal! & Angujakunya & nyinjaangurreerri? \\
nyiN=k waya & nyiN-mnya=yabu-aal & anguja- \({ }^{\text {n }}\) wunya & nyiN-nja=kwangurru-eerri \\
3f=REF & 3f-DD=throw-hither & why & 3f-2=carry-PROG
\end{tabular}

\section*{Send her over here! What are you bolding onto her for?}

The first occurrence of a reference in a Worrorra text is typically marked by a contextual deictic if the entity concerned is topical. Subsequent references are usually definite (marked by \(=n\) 'DEFINITE'). In this situation \(={ }^{*}\) waya 'REF' cannot mark entities as being somehow known from context: rather the pronoun serves to introduce a referent, by announcing it as a new and topical entity. In (17.17a-d) the topical nouns occur in translation with the English articles 'a' or 'some,' indicating their indefinite or 'new' status. In each of the sentences in (17.17) the speaker is introducing the noun marked by a contextual deictic to his or her listener or audience as a new and important reference:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Jilinya & nyingke & mara & nyingona. \\
jilinya & nyingke & mara & nyiN-nga=bwu-na \\
spirit-woman & 3fREF & see & 3f-1=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

I have found a spirit-woman.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kanbanerri & birdeenja & aaya & rlerlewa & kamurrkarlerri. \\
kanbanerri & birdeen-ya & aaya & rlerlewa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-eerri \\
crab & small-3a & 3aREF & crawl & 3a-3=go.to-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

A little crab went crawling up to bim.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Dumbi & aaya & mara & irrangunbu, & ngarlingka & barrarnaarnerri. \\
dumbi & aaya & mara & i-rra-ngun=bwu & ngarlingka & ba-ka-rra=rnaarna-eerri \\
owl & 3aREF & see & 3a-2p-SJTV=hit & torment & CFT-3a-2p=await-PROG \\
Ifyou find an owl, don't burt it.
\end{tabular}
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wangalaalunguyu & arrke & wumbirli & nganbarrbangerri. \\
wangalaalanguya & arrke & wumbirli & nga-n-bwarr=ma-nga-eerri \\
children & 3 pREF & mock & \(1-\) INV-3p=get-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

Some children were making fun of me.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Mara & nyimbeena, & nyungumanja & aaya & mara & kona. \\
mara & nyiN=bwee-na & nyungumanja & aaya & mara & ka- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-na \\
see & 3f=hit.MID-PAST & reflection & 3aREF & see & see3a-3=hit-PAST
\end{tabular}

She saw herself, she saw her own reflection.
(f)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wangalaalunguyu & arrke & akurla & kaanmrangaara. \\
wangalaalanguya & arrke & akurla & kaarr-n- \(\varnothing=\) mra-nga-kwara \\
children & 3pREF & devil & 3p-INV-3= gather-PAST-1DAT \\
A devil bas taken my children. & &
\end{tabular}
(g)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Arrkeka & yakurnu & burrkay & angarramurrkerda & anja & darraanma \\
arrke- \({ }_{2}\) aka & yakurnu & burrkay & arr-ngarr-a=murrka-yirda & anja & darraanma \\
3pREF-EMPH & try & enquire & 3p-1pin-OPT=go.to-try & 3pDEF & cockatoo \\
Here, let's try and find out from those black cockatoos. & &
\end{tabular}

Topic marking is not of course reserved for first occurrences. Any noun that a speaker wants to hold under a spotlight on centre stage may receive such marking, as shown in the examples in (17.18):
(17.18a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Karle & waay & kangayabuna & aaya & kulaaya & ngayanangka. \\
karle & waay & ka-nga=yabu-na & aaya & kulaaya & ngaya-nangka \\
now & throw & 3a-1=throw-PAST & 3aREF & husband & I-DAT
\end{tabular}

I've lost my busband (=he bas passed away).
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mangkanangkanya & marno & nyimnyenga & mayakarrimarnanya \\
mangka-nangka-nya & ma=rno & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga & ma=yakarri-ma-rnanya \\
wife-DAT-3f & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & 3f-DD=go-TNS & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) other-3m-LOC
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { nyinjorinya }\end{array} & \text { nyangke. } \\ \text { nyiN=yoru-nya } & \text { nyangke } \\ 3 \mathrm{f}=\text { widow-3f } & 3 \text { fREF } \\ \text { His wife, the widow, would go over to another place. }\end{array}\)
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangke & ngeenya & waa & wali & binyangarreendandaka & nyangke. \\
nyangke & ngeenya & waa & wali & ba-nyaN-ngarr=eenda-n-y2aka & nyangke \\
3fREF & honey & not & PERF & CFT-3f-1pin=cook-NON.P-EMPH & 3fREF
\end{tabular}

That honey; we don't cook it at all.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Kewunya & mungurr & kaningaayenaarndu, & marruku. \\
ke-kwunya & mungurr & ka=ningaaye-na-aarndu & marruku \\
3wREF-PURP & argue & 3a=do.MID-PAST-DU & flower
\end{tabular}

That's what they were arguing about, flowers.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Arrigrarlya & arrke & gee & kaanbarrwu & anja & burnarri. \\
arr=igrarl-ya & arrke & gee & kaarr- - \(^{\text {b }}\) warr=bwu & anja & burnarri \\
3p=CLAN.COUNTRY-3p & 3pREF & represent & 3p-INV-3p=hit & 3pDEF & animals
\end{tabular}

Those Arrigrarly clan people have these animals as their totemic emblems.

\subsection*{17.2.2 Definite topics}

The three pronouns \(=n\) 'DEFINITE,' \(={ }^{k} w a\) 'ANAPHORIC' (glossed NAR) and \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'CONTEXTUAL' may all appear inside the same NP, where their co-occurrence and configuration signal a number of pragmatic functions ( \(\$ 7.3 .3\) ). The most straightforward of such orderings is simply the juxtaposition of \(=n\) and \(={ }^{k}\) waya within the same NP. This construction signals that a topical NP is also definite (recall from \(\S 17.2 .1\) that topics in Worrorra need not be definite). Examples are shown in (17.19); the determiner wипи in (17.19a) marks the subordinated verb phrase headed by ingkaarrvu 'when they kill it (masc)' as definite, that is, as background information to a preceding main clause:
\begin{tabular}{clllll}
... inja & koyoya & aaya & ingkaarrwu & minjarlkunya & wunu. \\
inja & koyoya & aaya & i-ngka-bwarr=bwu & minjarl-kwunya & wunu \\
nadeF & crocodile & 3aREF & 3a-SJTV-3p=hit & eat-PURP & 3
\end{tabular}
... 3aDEF crocodile 3aREF 3a-SJTV-3p=hit eat-PURP 3wDEF
... when they kill the crocodile for food.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Yawarrarra & angkayandarla & kanangkurri & inja & iraarreya & aaya. \\
yawarrara & arr- -ngka=yanda-rla & kanangkurri & inja & i=raarreya & aaya \\
sink.PL & 3p-3-SJTV=cause-PAST & dog & 3aDEF & 3a=big & 3aREF
\end{tabular}

The dog, that big one that caused them to disappear.
(c)
Iyarrungu aaya inja kurleen kangona
i=yarrungu aaya inja kurleen ka-nga=bwu-na
3a=QUANT 3aREF 3aDEF bear 3a-1=hit-PAST

The one and only (child) born to me
(d)

Karle arrke ankurle wali, anja Mawanjamangarriwurle.
karle arrke arr=n-kwurle wali anja Mawanjama-ngarri- \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wurle
then 3pREF 3p=DEF-first PERF 3pDEF Mowanjum-REL-first
Then (I did) those ones first, these Mowanjum people first.

Another syntactically important way of ordering pronouns is described in \(\$ 17.4\).

\subsection*{17.2.3 Topical adverbs}

Adverbial phrases as well as clauses may be topicalized by 3 wREF ke. We have already seen one such phrase in (17.13) in \(\S 17.1 .1\) : warlinnnya ke 'for (the purpose of getting) turtles.' This suffixial phrase, like suffixial and postpositional phrases generally, functions adverbially, as seen again in (17.20a \& b). The examples in (17.20) show the adverbial suffixial phrase wangalangaanjanu 'as children, when (we were) children,' and the adverbs ngamba 'soon, TIME RELATIVE' and ngarrkunjaangarri 'long before our time:'
(17.20a)

Weni-weni korru anmarlaarndonya [warliwunya ke].
weni-weni korru arr-n- \(\varnothing=\) marlaarndo-nya
warli-kwunya ke
now-now alright 1px-INV-3=follow-HORT
turtle-PURP 3wREF
Now you can let him go with us after turtles.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
'Yarrorl & birriyeerri,' & kubajunganyarri \\
yarrorl & ba-kuN-rra=yi-eerri & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nyarri \\
voices & CFT-VCOMP-2p=do-PROG & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-1pxDAT
\end{tabular}
[wanglangaanjanu ke].
wangalang-aanjanu ke
child-ESS 3wREF
'Don't make too much noise,' they used to tell us when we were children.
(c) \(\quad(=5.43 \mathrm{~b}, 7.42 \mathrm{~b})\)

Kawarro wali aaya [ngamba ke].
ka-bwarr- \({ }^{-}={ }^{b}\) wu wali aaya ngamba ke
3a-3p-EP=hit PERF 3aREF now 3wREF
They're going to kill him soon.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wiyanbali & ke & wurluk & kayabuna & [wunu \\
wiyanu-kwuN-nbali & ke & wurluk & ØN- \(\varnothing\)-ngka=yabu-na & wunu \\
fire-3w-RESUM & 3wREF & swallow & 3w-3-SJTV=throw-PAST & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}
ngarrkunjaangarri ke].
before.our.time 3 wREF
That's that same fire that he swallowed way back in the dreamtime.
Note how the term ngarrkunjaangarri 'before our time/before we were born' receives definite marking as well (wипи ' 3 wDEF '), in a narrative in which the mythical or dreaming context of the events described is in focus.

\subsection*{17.2.4 Clause conjunction}

Probably related historically to its contextual deictic function, \(k e\) has a further and very frequent use in clause-clause conjunction, where it means 'and.' Typical examples of clause conjunction by ke 'and' are seen in (17.21):
(17.21a)
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
Wangawa & kengaarndu & ke & baay & kanunaarndu & werrim & mana. \\
wanga-b wa & ka=ya-nga-aarndu & ke & baay & ka=nu-na-aarndu & werrim & mana \\
lost-PROG & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-DU & and & climb & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) be-PAST-DU & hill & 3 mDEF
\end{tabular}

They got lost and climbed up the bill.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Dambeem & braarrbraarr & monaarndu & ke & we & kawanaarndu. \\
dambeem & braar-braarr & ma- \(\varnothing=b\) wu-na-aarndu & ke & we & ka=bwa-na-aarndu \\
place & clean-clean & \(3 m-3=\) hit-PAST-DU & and & lie & \(3 a=\) fall-TNS-DU
\end{tabular}

They cleared away an area of ground and lay down.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Braarru & kuninjangaarndu & ke & bariy & kanunaarndu. \\
braarru & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ninja-nga-aarndu & ke & bariy & ka=nu-na-aarndu \\
dawn & \(3 \mathrm{w}-3=\) look-PAST-DU & and & rise & \(3 a=\) be-PAST-DU
\end{tabular}

They saw the first light of dawn appear, and they got up.

\subsection*{17.3 Climactic clauses}

Whole clauses may be highlighted and placed at centre stage by the use of a couple of syntactic devices in Worrorra. Clauses are so foregrounded in order to mark the importance of their propositional content, in processes that may be subsumed under a heading 'climactic,' as this adjective sums up the general motivation for these processes. Foley (2010:99) recognizes a similar (climactic, punchline) function for chain-final serial verb constructions in the Papuan language Watam.

\subsection*{17.3.1 Impression points}

The determiner wunu may again be used rhetorically, to mark predicates that are pivotal to the narrative in which they occur. These are the intense and dramatic events upon which a narrative turns, that is, the impression points which the speaker considers to be of key importance in his or her
account of something. \({ }^{122}\) Such sentences are in effect super-predicates, that is, the pragmatic goals of entire stretches of discourse, not of single sentences only, and may occur in mundane gossipy accounts of daily activities as well as in narrative texts. The predicates marked by wunu as being impression points usually contain a verb in aorist mood ( \(\$ 5.4 .5\) (i),(iv)), with the vivid or imminent sense meanings of this mood foregrounded. Examples of sentences that constitute impression points, and which are marked as such by the article wипи are:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Jarrangurlak & kamaarndu & wunu. \\
jarrangurlak & {\([\mathrm{ka}]-\varnothing=\) ma- \([\) kwarndu \(]\)} & wunu \\
lift.up & {\([3 a]-3=\) get- \(\left[\mathrm{DU}_{\mathrm{U}}\right]\)} & 3 wDEF
\end{tabular}

He lifted them both up.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Jarra & kowaarndu & wunu. \\
\hline jarra & [ka]- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-[kwarndu \({ }^{\text {] }}\) ] & wunu \\
\hline charge & [3a]-3=hit-[DUU] & 3wDEF \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

He charged at them.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
{\([\) Karle wali } & ajeendaarndu & wunu], & karle & budurrwu \\
karle wali & a-[ngarr]-nya=eenda- \(\left[{ }^{k}\right.\) warndu \(]\) & wunu & karle & budurrwu \\
CHANGE & \(3 a-[1\) pin \(]-E P=\operatorname{cook}-\left[D U_{A}\right]\) & \(3 w D E F\) & then & snore
\end{tabular}
kengerri.
ka=ya-nga-eerri
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-PROG
[Then we both burned him], while he was snoring.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Kanbanerri & birdeenja & aaya & rlerlewa & kamurrkarlerri, \\
kanbanerri & birdeen-ya & aaya & rlerlewa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-eerri \\
crab & little-3a & 3aREF & crawl & 3a-3=go.to-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
[kaningka wunu] ke yarrmun kumnyeng.
ka- \(\varnothing=\) ningka wunu ke yarrmun kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng
3a-3=bite 3 wDEF and fright VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST

A little crab crawled up to bim [and bit him], and he got a fright.
Aorist mood is usual in this function, but not inevitable, as the sentences in (17.23) show:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Marrirri & wunu & aja & kumnyanunerri & wunu. \\
marrirri & wunu & aja & kuN-mnya=nu-na-eerri & wunu \\
parrot & \(3 w D E F\) & sit & \(3 w-D D=b e-P A S T-P R O G ~\) & \(3 w D E F\) \\
The red-winged parrot came and sat down.
\end{tabular}
(b) \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Kamarlamarlaarndorna & wunu. \\
ka-Ø-marla=marlaarndo-rna & wunu \\
3a-3-REDUP=follow-PAST & 3wDEF \\
She followed along after him. &
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{122}\) The idea of narrative impression points (German Eindruckspunkt) employed here is adapted from the work of Frank Kermode (1979), whose understanding is in turn based on that of the philosopher Wilhelm Dilthey.
}

In (17.23a) the arrival of the red-winged parrot is pivotal to the myth in which this event occurs, in that this bird is totemically associated with fire, and is able now to show the other birds how to obtain it. In (17.23b) the special (pivotal) status of the predicate is reinforced not by aorist mood, but by the reduplication of the root morpheme ( \(\$ 5.2 .2\) ).

Related to the rhetorical function of wипи discussed in \(\$ 17.1 .1\), is its occurrence with the modal adverbs wardi 'hopefully' (\$8.4.3) and mee/ mee maa 'just, only' (\$6.4.2 (iii)). In this position wипи takes on an adverbial emphatic function, as seen in (17.24):
(17.24a) Wunu mee ngenu...
wunu mee ØN-nga=yi-nu

3wDEF just VCOMP-1=do-2DAT
I really just want to tell you ...
(b) Wunu mee maa minjarl binjeen.
wunu mee maa minjarl ba-kuN-nja=yi-n
3wDEF just eat CFT-VCOMP-2=do-NON.P
You should really just eat it.
(c) Wardi bangkayungurru wunu.
wardi ba-ka=ya-ngurru wunu
I.hope CFT-3a=go-away 3wDEF

With any luck he might just go away.
(d) Mee wardi wunu, wardi murlard barroyeerringkurri.
mee wardi wunu wardi murlard ba-ka-rra=bwu-yeerri-kwurri
just I.hope 3wDEF I.hope look.after CFT-3a-2p=hit-PROG-NUM I really just hope you'll be looking after him.

\subsection*{17.3.2 Topical clauses}

In Worrorra it appears to be the case that whole propositions, not just nouns, may be invested with topicality. As a discourse-level property, it would not be unfeasible if topicality were to apply to sentences. Although the database does not provide conclusive evidence on this point, it would appear that the form of the contextual deictic used to topicalize sentences is the celestial shape, kee. And just as is the case with the topicalized nouns shown in (17.18), it is the clauses and sentences marked by kee in (17.25) that the speakers seem to want to place in the spotlight on centre stage. Here the proposed topicalized clauses are enclosed in square brackets:

(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngayu & mana & aja & nyinngunyeerlaarnduma \\
ngayu & mana & aja & {\([\) nyirr \(]-n-\varnothing\)-ngun-nya=ee-rla-[aarndu]-ma } \\
I & 3 mDEF & sit & {\([2 \mathrm{p}]-\) INV-3/1-SJTV-EP=put-PAST-[DUO]-3m }
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
dambeemarnanya, & waa & aja & binyidinyaarndeerri: & [arri \\
dambeema-rnanya & waa & aja & ba-nyirr=ni-nya-aarndu-eerri & arri \\
place-LOC & not & sit & CFT-2p=be-PAST-DU-PROG & but
\end{tabular}
ngalarram maa marramurrkarlaarndu ke].
ngalarram maa ma-[rra]=murrka-rla-[aarndu] ke
long.grass \(3 \mathrm{mREF} \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}-[2 \mathrm{p}]=\) go.to-PAST- \(\left[\mathrm{DU}_{\mathrm{A}}\right] \quad 3 \mathrm{wREF}\)
You didn't stay at home where I left you: [instead you went over into the long grass].
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[Ngarrunangkowa & ngunyangerri & ke?] \\
ngarrunangkowa & ngun=ya-nga-eerri & ke \\
IN.WHICH.DIRECTION & 2=go-TNS-PROG & 3wREF \\
[So where are you off to?] & &
\end{tabular}
[So where are you off to?]

In (17.25a) the topicalized clause conveys a sense of urgency, consisting as it does of a warning about an imminent danger. In (17.25b) topic marking is used to bring out the contrast between what the two boys were told to do, and what they actually did. In (17.25c) the question is topicalized, probably for purposes of focus, as is suggested by the use of 'so' in the translation.

Topical clauses and sentences typically constitute background information, against which the information contained in surrounding clauses is to be understood (cf eg Haiman 1978). In Worrorra topic-marked sentences are indeed frequently subordinate, as indicated by the subjunctive shapes of their verbs, examples of which are shown in (17.26). Again, the subjunctive, topicalized sentences are shown in square brackets:
(17.26a)
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
[Kawa & karrku & maa & marnuk & kamanga & ke], & blaai \\
kawa & karrku & maa & marnuk & ØN- -ngka=ma-nga & ke & blaai \\
3wNAR & rock & 3 3REF & lift & \(3 w-3\)-SJTV=get-PAST & \(3 w R E F\) & pound
\end{tabular}
kona.
ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na
3a-3=hit-PAST
[W hen be lifted up the rock there], it crusbed bim.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunu & angkaweenerri & anja & aalmareya & marru \\
wunu & arr-ngka=bwee-na-eerri & anja & aalmareya & ma=rru \\
3wDEF & 3p-SJTV=hit.MID-PAST-PROG & 3pDEF & Europeans & 3m=LAT
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kenga & wali, & [wali & weerla & ingkanuna & ke]. \\
ka=ya-nga & wali & wali & weerla & i-ngka=nu-na & ke \\
3a=go-TNS & PERF & PERF & young.man & 3a-SJTV=be-PAST & 3wREF \\
He had gone away to the great war [when he was still a young man].123 &
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{123}\) The great war: wunu angkaweenerri anja aalmareya 'when the Europeans were fighting each other.'
}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nguru & kerrkangurreerri & [karloorlerri & ke]. \\
nguru & ka-nyarr=kwangurru-eerri & ØN-Ø-ngka=rloo-rla-eerri & ke \\
hear & 3a-1px=carry-PROG & VCOMP-3-SJTV=speak-PAST-PROG & 3 wREF \\
We used to listen to bim [when be spokee]. & &
\end{tabular}

The NP in example (17.27) below is another example of one containing a topicalized subordinate relative clause. The proximity of ke to the subjunctive verb suggests that in this situation topic marking is primarily a feature of the relative clause (shown here in square brackets), and only applies secondarily to the entire NP. The exocentric head of the relative clause is ngarlangarla 'story, speech, word,' here translated as 'thing:'
(17.27)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunmaanbali & ngarlangarla & jarrungu & [nganngunjunu & ke]. \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=n-maanbali & ngarlangarla & ØN=yarrungu & ØN-ngan-ngun=yi-nu & ke \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) DEF-FOCUS & story & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) QUANT & VCOMP-1-SJTV=do-2DAT & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

The very same thing [that I want to talk to you about].
It is by no means the case, however, that all topical sentences need to be in subjunctive form. One reason for conferring given status to a clause (topicalizing it) is in order to mark it as a prior condition of an event depicted in some other clause, that is, to constitute it as in some sense the cause of some other event expressed in another clause (Clendon 1988:199-200). Causal constructions of this sort need not be subjunctive, as may be seen in the sentences in (17.28):
(17.28a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[Wurrkunu & kununa & karle maa & ke], & kaarrbaalimiyena. \\
wurrkunu & kuN=nu-na & karle maa & ke & kaarr=maalima-ye-na \\
trouble & 3w=be-PAST & REM.PAST & 3wREF & 3p=pierce-MID-PAST
\end{tabular}
[For that sort of trouble in the old days], they used to get speared.
(b)
[Wumbirli nganmangaarndeerri ke], nguyul
wumbirli nga-n-[Ø]=ma-nga-[aarndu]-eerri ke nguyul
deride \(\quad 1-\mathrm{INV}-[3]=\) get-PAST-[DU A\(]\)-PROG 3 wREF strike/kill
kungenganangkaarndu.
kuN-nga= yi-ng-a-[nangka- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu]
VCOMP-1 = do-PAST-EP-[DAT-DU]
[They were sneering at me], so I killed them.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[Wangalaalunguyu & dumbi & ngana & kawarronerri & ke], \\
wangalaalanguya & dumbi & ngana & ka-bwarr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\) wu-na-eerri & ke \\
children & owl.sp & PROB & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-E P=\) hit-PAST-PROG & 3wREF
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wanjurna & waay & kayabuna & inja & aaja. \\
wanjurna & waay & ka- \(\varnothing=\) yabu-na & inja & aaja \\
Wandjina & throw & \(3 a-3=\) throw-PAST & 3aDEF & rain
\end{tabular}
[The children must have killed the owl], that's why the Wandjina has sent the rain.

\subsection*{17.4 Periphrastic subject-marking}

The pronouns \(={ }^{k} w w_{a}\) 'ANAPHORIC' (Table 7.2 in \(\int 7.2\) ) and \(=n\) 'DEFINITE' (Table 7.4 in §7.3.1) appearing together and most often in that order within an NP, provide a periphrastic marking of topicality that serves, in the overwhelming majority of its occurrences, as a de facto signal of subjecthood. The two pronouns need not be closely juxtaposed, the important constraint is that in nearly all cases they must be configured in the right order (example 17.30a below is one of the very few exceptions in the database). Examples may be seen in (17.44) in \(\$ 17.6\), and in (17.29):
(17.29a) (=4.5)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Awa & inja & angujakana & baa & kamurrkarla. \\
\(a={ }^{\text {wa }}\) & \(i=n-\) ya & angujakana & baa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla \\
3a=NAR & 3a=DEF-3a & something & rise/appear & \(3 a-3=\) go.to-PAST
\end{tabular}

Something came up towards him.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangka & nyina & 'Ngarreyu! & Ngarreyu!' & kunjaara & Aalkaalja. \\
nyangka & nyina & ngarr-a=ya & ngarr-a=ya & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-kwara & Aalkaalja \\
3fNAR & 3fDEF & 1pin-OPT=go & 1pin-OPT=go & VCOMP-3=do-1DAT & NAME \\
Let's go! Let's go!' Aalkaalja said to me. & & &
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arrka & anja & kawarrarnaarnarlerri & eeja. \\
arrka & anja & ka-bwarr-a=rnaarna-rla-eerri & eeja \\
3pNAR & 3pDEF & 3a-3p-EP=await-PAST-PROG & man
\end{tabular}

Certain people were waiting for the man.
(d)

Arrka anja eeja belangkarraya Arnngarrngoyu kaarringurru.
arrka anja eeja belangkarraya Arnngarrngoyu kaarr=i-nga-ngurru
3pNAR 3pDEF men large.group patriclan.name 3p=go-TNS-away
All the men of the Arnngarrngoyu clan departed in a group.
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awaarndu & inkarndu & wumbirli & kamangaarndeerri \\
awaarndu & inkarndu & wumbirli & ka-[Ø]=ma-nga-[ \({ }^{k}\) warndu \(\left.{ }_{A}\right]\)-eerri \\
3aNAR.DU & 3aDEF.DU & deride & 3a-[3]= get-PAST-[DUA]-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
irranangkorri \\
irra-nangkorri \\
inja. \\
inja
\end{tabular}
father-3pDAT \(\quad\) 3aDEF
They were both making fun of their father.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{124}\) Wurloo 'smoking, purify ritually by smoking:' a ceremony performed at a specified time after a death to remove mortuary prohibitions from relatives of the deceased.
}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kulanangka & kakaakanangkorri, & kakaakanangkaya & awa \\
kula-nangka & ka-kaa-ka-nangkorri & ka-kaa-ka-nangka-ya & awa \\
Hu-DAT & ↔-AUG-MoBr-3pDAT & \multicolumn{1}{l}{-AUG-MoBr-DAT-3p } & 3aNAR
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
inja & debarr & ingkenga. \\
inja & debarr & i-ngka=ya-nga \\
3aDEF & die & 3a-SJTV=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

She would perform the smoking ceremony for his mothers' brothers, for her busband's (and his siblings') mothers' brothers, for the mothers' brothers of he who had died.

Because the kinship-possessive morpheme (-nangka 'DAT') does not code for gender, sentences such as (17.29f) are potentially ambiguous as to whose (his, the husband's, or her, the wife's) uncles are being referred to. The use of the topicalizing \({ }^{{ }^{k} w} w a=n\) word order makes it clear that it is the husband's relations that are being referred to here. In (17.29e) dual pronouns are used.

Verbless equational sentences are not usually said to have subjects as such, but in predicate logic we may distinguish terms and predicates within such sentences (Searle 1969:113). A logical term is functionally equivalent to a grammatical subject; in fact most subjects are terms by virtue of the pragmatic motivations underlying grammatical relations. In this situation the \(={ }^{k} w a=n\) configuration marks terms, and so supports the contention that this word order is intrinsically involved in marking subjects. The examples in \((17.30)\) show equational sentences with terms marked periphrastically:
(17.30a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
{\([\) TERM } & & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(]\)} & [PRED & & \\
Mana & dambeem & mawa & Dilangarri & manbali & mana. \\
3mDEF & place & 3mNAR & 'Dog' & 3mRESUM & 3mDEF
\end{tabular}

That place is Dog-clan country as well.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline [TERM & ] & [PRED & & \\
\hline Nyangka & karranangkanya & nyirnayuja & nyangke, & ke \\
\hline nyangka & karra-nangka-nya & nyiN=rnayurr-nya & nyangke & ke \\
\hline 3 fNAR & mother-DAT-3f & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\) adult-3f & 3fREF & and \\
\hline [TERM & & [PRED & & \\
\hline nyangka & nyina marangunya & birdeenya. & & \\
\hline nyangka & nyina marangunya & birdeen-nya & & \\
\hline 3fNAR & 3fDEF sun & small-3f & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Her mother is the big one, and the sun is the little one.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([\) TERM } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(]\)} & [PRED & \\
Kawa & wunu & Wunumbal & wali wunu \\
3wNAR & 3wDEF & Wunumbal & PM
\end{tabular}

That one is a Wunumbal (story)
In (17.30a) the term is mana dambeem mawa 'this/that country,' topicalized by the two pronouns, and with its definite status highlighted by the initial position of the determiner mana. Example (17.30b) contains two contrasting verbless clauses. In the first one the term is nyangka karranangkanya 'her mother,' and in the second the term is nyangka nyina marangunya 'the sun.' In the first clause the predicate (nyirnayuja 'adult (fem)) is topicalized morphologically by the contextual deictic nyangke, while in the second clause it is the term that is topicalized, this time configurationally by the pronouns nyanglea nyina. This construction produces a highly contrastive sentence, with terms and predicates contrasted on a number of levels. In \((17.30 \mathrm{c})\) the topicalizing pronouns themselves (kawa wunu 'that
(celestial) one') constitute a term, with Wunambal 'group/language name' the predicate. The phrase wali wunu is a predicate marker (glossed PM), described in \(\$ 17.8\).

While pronominal ordering is available to mark subjects, as an essentially topicalizing construction it is, of course, not required for this purpose. Rather it functions pragmatically to produce emphasis, foregrounding, disambiguation etc, in a manner comparable to movement rules in English. As a topicalizing device it may, of course, occur with non-subject NPs, although this is surprisingly rare in the database. The examples in (17.31) show a process comparable to the movement called object fronting in English, first with an undergoer object (17.31a), and then with a non-subcategorized object (17.31b):
(17.31a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Nyangka & nyina & nyimrimaaingarrinya & wunu & ngaja & nyejamanga \\
nyangka & nyina & nyimrimaaingarrinya & wunu & ngaja & nyaN-nyarr-nya=ma-nga \\
3fNAR & 3fDEF & python.sp & 3wDEF & custom & 3f-1px-EP=get-PAST
\end{tabular}

It is the black-beaded python whose precedent we followed
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Nyangka \\
nyangka
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nyina, \\
nyina
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
'Juward \\
juward
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
bawa' \\
ba \(=\) bwa
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
kunjunganangka, \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-\) ng-a-nangka
\end{tabular} \\
3fNAR & 3fDEF & jump & CFT=fall & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-DAT \\
& & & & \\
'mana & wiyanu' & & & \\
3mDEF & fire \\
Her be ordered to jump into the fire
\end{tabular}

Note in (17.31b) that wiyanu wunu 'fire' controls terrestrial agreement on the determiner to denote a fire as a location. Example (17.31b) also contains a good example of a not uncommon stylistic device, in which a verbum dicendi (kunjunganangka) is encliticized to the first constituent (in this case the complex predicate) in a direct speech quotation (cf also 17.29b). The non-subcategorized object is referred to by the pronouns nyangka nyina 'her,' and indexed on the verbum dicendi by the morpheme -nangka 'DAT.'

Subjecthood defines a pragmatically-motivated phenomenon, and the \(=^{k}{ }^{k} w a=n\) configuration marks subject status in the vast majority of instances of its use, in situations that call for the marking of topicality as well as subjecthood.

In summary we can say that there are two main topicalizing devices in the language, the first being the set of contextualizing deictics ( \(={ }^{k}\) waya) , and the second being the ordered \(=^{{ }^{k} w a}=n\) configuration. While the former is used generally, the latter appears to be dedicated (largely but not exclusively) to marking subject status.

As well as non-subject NPs, pronominal ordering may also be used to topicalize adverbial phrases, as in (17.32). In this example the sequence kawa wunu has temporal reference, forming with karle 'CHANGE-OF-STATE' a topicalized time-adverbial phrase:
(17.32) (=15.47c)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kawa & wunu & karle, & arrkumnyenga & aalmara & karle \\
kawa & wunu & karle & arr-ngun-mnya=ya-nga & aalmara & karle \\
3WNAR & 3wDEF & then & 1px-SJTV-DD=go-TNS & European & then
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
marno & Karnmanya & yorr & arrkunyawana. \\
ma \(=\) rno & Karnmanya & yorr & arr-ngun-nya \(=b_{\text {wa-na }}\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST & Kunmunya & sit.together & 1 px-SJTV-EP=fall-TNS
\end{tabular}

That was the time then, that we went with the white people and we all moved to Kunmunya.
The remaining logical combination of pronouns involves \(={ }^{k}\) wa 'ANAPHORIC' and \(={ }^{k}{ }^{k}\) waya 'CONTEXTUAL,' however these two do not appear to be combined in any systematic way. The few examples in the database where they do co-occur within an NP appear to involve non-systematic
instances of topicalized anaphors or of predicate marking ( \(\mathbb{1} 17.8\) ). Examples of equational termpredicate constructions involving \(={ }^{*} w a\) and \(={ }^{k}\) waya are seen in (17.33). In (17.33a), nyangka is the term and the rest of the utterance the predicate:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
(17.33a) \\
[TERM ]
\end{tabular} & [PRED & \\
Nyangka & Wurnbangkuwaamaanya & nyangke. \\
nyangka & Wurnbangku-waa-maan-nya & nyangke \\
3fNAR & clan.country-PERS-SPEC-3f & 3fREF
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
[PRED & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\(]\)} & {\([\) TERM } & \\
Ngayangkarnanya & wali wunu & kawa & wungumbu & ke. \\
Ngayangkarnanya & wali wunu & kawa & \({ }^{k}\) WuN=ngumbu & ke \\
Mt.Trafalgar & PM & 3 3NAR & \(3 w=\) name & 3wREF
\end{tabular}

Ngayangkarnanya is its name.
(c) \((=7.16 \mathrm{c})\)

Awanyale inja koyoya aaya ...
awa-nyale inja koyoya aaya
3aNAR-too 3aDEF crocodile 3aREF
There was this crocodile ...

Sentence examples ( \(17.33 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) appear to involve highly presentational constructions, the (c) example introducing as subject a pivotal character in a myth (a crocodile), and the (b) example recording a performative act by which a mountain was named, with corresponding emphasis on the noun =ngumbu wипи 'name.' Note in (17.33b) that just as in the sentences in (17.30), the term in this equational sentence is marked configurationally (here by kawa ... kee), although the linear order of term and predicate is reversed.

\subsection*{17.5 Identity maintenance}

Identity maintenance in Worrorra is another form of definite reference, as in other languages. The bound morpheme -bwali (in this context glossed 'RESUM') in Worrorra has an essentially similar meaning to that of the free adverb of the same form ( \(\$ 10.1 .1\) (i)), namely 'perfect aspect; ongoing, continuing state.' The function of \({ }^{-b}\) wali is to maintain a referent's identity within an unfolding speech event, that is, to maintain the referent's known (definite) status as the event unfolds. The bound form is suffixed to the pronouns \(=n\) 'DEF,' =rno 'Distal' and \(={ }^{*}\) waya 'Contextual.' Although the language has a suffixial adjective jawe- '(the) same,' it is rare in the database, and identity maintenance of the type covered by the English adjective 'same' is usually achieved by the use of DEF-bwali. The definite form =nbali is used for unmarked reference, and the distal = rnowali form for reference to distant things. There is also an identity-maintaining topic form \(=^{{ }^{k}}\) waya-wali, as shown in Table 17.1. Note that the 3 w and 3 p forms with this meaning consist of two words rather than one:

Table 17.1: identity-maintenance pronouns
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
THE SAME & THE SAME DISTAL & THE SAME TOPIC \\
inbali & irnowali & aawali \\
nyinbalinya & nyirnowalinya & nyingkewalinya \\
wunbali & wurnowali & ke wali \\
manbalima & marnowalima & maawalima \\
anbali & arnowali & arrke wali
\end{tabular}

Identity maintenance in Worrorra is found when reference is resumed after an interval of time. Such an interval may be of real (extra-linguistic) time, or of narrative time, as when reference to an NP in a narrative is resumed after discussion of other things.

In (17.34) some children have been warned not to hurt owls. Later on that day they find an owl in a tree, and call out:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Inbali! inbali & Inbali! inbali & Dumbi dumbi & \begin{tabular}{l}
inbali! \\
inbali
\end{tabular} & Arrowurri! a-ngarr-a \(={ }^{\text {b wu }}\)-kwurri \\
\hline 3a.RESUM & 3a.RESUM & owl & 3a.RESUM & 3a-1pin-OPT=hit-NUM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In (17.34) a highly definite reference is resumed after an interval of time, and the fact of the resumption is registered by the use of an appropriate form of the identity-maintaining pronoun. Two other resumptions in real time are shown in (17.35). In (17.35a) a husband arrives at a camp some time after his wife has arrived there previously, and in (17.35b) the speaker realizes the identity of a culprit some time after a crime has been committed:
(17.35a)

Mangkanya nyinbalinya marno, jawarnda maa rnala kunjungu.
mangkanya nyinbalinya marno jawarnda maa rnala kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng
your.wife 3f.RESUM 3mDIST apart PROG camp VCOMP-3=do-PAST
That wife of yours is over there, she's made her camp away from everyone else.
(b)

Nyinbalinya ngunju, ngunju maambulba kungurr manjona.
nyinbalinya ngunju ngunju ma=kwambul-ma kungurr ma-nja=bwu-na
3f.RESUM you(sg) you(sg) \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) eye-3m stab \(3 \mathrm{~m}-2=\) hit-PAST
So it was you (fem), you stabbed its eyes.
In both these examples reference is resumed after an interval of time during which the people in question have not been referred to.

Participant persons may receive identity-maintenance marking, in which case they may either attract gender-marking appropriate to their sex, as shown in (17.35b) above (nyinbalinya ngunju 'so it's you (fem)/you (fem) are the one'), or else be marked by a celestial form, as in (7.2c, 17.36) and (7.2d \(=17.63 \mathrm{a})\). In the following example someone standing outside a house has called out to someone inside to find out if anyone is at home, in which case the person inside may reply:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Ngayu & wunbali! \\
I & 3 w. RESUM \\
I'm (still) here!
\end{tabular}

A resumption in narrative time is shown in (17.37): here the narrator returns to her central theme after consideration of other things:
(17.37)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Nyinbalinya & jilinya & jandu & nyimbajamanga & joonbanyiniya. \\
nyinbalinya & jilinya & jandu & nyiN-bwarr-nya=ma-nga & joonba-nyini-ya \\
3f.RESUM & spirit.woman & perform & 3f-3p-EP=get-PAST & ceremony-ENDPOINT-3p
\end{tabular}

They used to perform ceremonies about that spirit-woman.

In many instances of its occurrence, DEF- \({ }^{-}\)wali and REF-b wali cover much the same semantic ground as the English adjective phrase 'the same:'
(17.38a)
\begin{tabular}{lcccl} 
Hey! & Nguru & banu! & 'Du-rdu-rdu' & ke wali! \\
hey & nguru & ba=nu & rdu-rdu-rdu & ke wali \\
hey & hear & CFT=be & rdu-rdu-rdu & 3wREF RESUM \\
Hey! Listen! It's that 'du-du-du' noise again! &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ke wali & kurdu & kungoyeerri? \\
ke wali & kurdu & kuN-nga=bwu-yeerri \\
3wREF RESUM & follow & VCOMP-1=hit-PROG
\end{tabular}

Should I be talking about that same thing?
(c)

Anbali anja, ngayanangka ibaaya aaya kawana rlarlangkarrama.
anbali anja ngayanangka ibaaya aaya ka=bwa-na rlarlangkarrama

3p.RESUM 3pDEF my son 3aREF 3a=fall-TNS sea
They're the very ones (that caused) my son to fall into the sea.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wunbali & wunu & joli & nganungaal. \\
wunbali & wunu & joli & nga=nu-ng-aal \\
3w.RESUM & 3wDEF & return & 1=be-PRES-hither \\
I'll come straight back. & &
\end{tabular}

In (17.38d) the 3 w pronoun phrase makes reference to time, namely the same general time-period as that in which the utterance is made.

In other occurrences, DEF-bwali and REF-bwali are used anaphorically when the referent of a phrase is re-stated, usually to clarify or reinforce that referent's identification by maintaining and emphasizing its definite status:
(17.39a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kunyilarnanya & maa & aja & kaningeerri & inja & kanangkurri, \\
kunyila-rnanya & maa & aja & ka=ni-ng-eerri & inja & kanangkurri \\
moon-LOC & PROG & sit & 3a=be-PRES-PROG & 3aDEF & dog
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
inbali & irraarreya & inja. \\
inbali & \(i=\) raarreya & inja \\
3a.RESUM & 3a=big & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}

The \(\operatorname{dog}_{i}\) is still up there in the moon; that big onei.
(b)

Mr Love aaya irnayurri, aawali minister.
Mr Love aaya \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr- i aa(ya)-bwali minister
Mr Love 3aREF 3a=adult-3a 3aREF-RESUM minister
Mr. Love was an elder, he was the minister.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ingumbu & Dawarra; & ingumbu & ke wali & Dawarraweyi. \\
\(i=\) ngumbu & Dawarra & \(i=\) ngumbu & ke wali & Dawarraweyi \\
\(3 a=\) name & NAME & \(3 a=\) name & 3wREF RESUM & NAME
\end{tabular}

His name was Dawarra, or rather Dawarraweyi.
(d) \((=15.13)\)

Arno-rno jurroyurro marrkunjandanjeerri
arr=rno-arr=rno jurro-jurro ma-ngarr-ngun=yanda-ny-yeerri
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) dist- \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) dist smoking.rising-REDUP \(3 \mathrm{~m}-1\) pin-SJTV \(=\) cause-MIR-PROG
maalkarram, angarrburrkaarndu arrke wali.
maalkarram arr-[ngarr]=murrka-[kwarndu] arrke wali
grass-fire \(3 \mathrm{p}-[1 \mathrm{pin}]=\) go.to-[DU] 3pREF RESUM
Here, we'll light grass-fires to (send up) smoke (to signal) those (people) over there, (and then) let's go over to them.
In none of the examples in (17.39) is the use of identity-maintaining pronouns essential to consummate referential meaning; rather they are employed as stylistic and/or pragmatic devices to reinforce a referent's identity.

\subsection*{17.6 Discourse deixis}

A morpheme -mnya-, glossed DD 'discourse deictic,' occurs in verbs in form-order class [5], just before the verb root, or before root augmentation in form-order class [6]. This morpheme appears to function rhetorically; it is used more by some speakers than by others, and is found in particular kinds of discourses and in particular places in discourse. It appears to be a stylistic device with a discoursedeictic role. Its occurrence appears to mark a speaker's assessment that the predicate in which it occurs has a particular logical or emotional connection to an earlier section of discourse. It indicates a speaker's wish to highlight a link between the predication in which it occurs, and the propositional content of some previous utterance or situation, not too far back in some spoken or even unspoken discourse. In elicitation the morpheme is produced typically in responses to questions, as seen in sentences such as (7.26b), repeated here as (17.40), in which a speaker wishes to be seen to be cooperating with the questioner:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ngarrunangkowa & nyenga? & Marrunangkowa & nyimnyenga. \\
ngarrunangkowa & nya=ya-nga & marrunangkowa & nyiN-mnya=ya-nga \\
which.way & 3f=go-TNS & that way & 3f-DD=go-TNS
\end{tabular}

Which way did she go? She went that way.
The phonetics of -mnya- are discussed in \(\S 2.3 .1\). The morpheme has no indispensible coding function, which means it's optional; it cannot appear after -nya- in epenthetic function (nya-epenthesis rule 26), but may occur after this morpheme when it is found in optative function:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ruluk & bamnyayu, & wook & ngunyamnyongurru. \\
ruluk & ba-mnya=ya & wook & ngun- - - nya-mnya=bwu-ngurru \\
move & CFT-DD=go & pass & \(2-1 / 3\)-OPT-DD=hit-away
\end{tabular}

Move aside, I want to get past you.

The morpheme does not occur naturally after (plural) subject NP indices ending in /rr/, as this would require initial \(/ \mathrm{m} /\) to harden to \(/ \mathrm{b} /\) to produce a shape -bnya-. That this is a phonological rather than a morphosyntactic restriction is shown by the fact that it is found in plural-subject index clusters not ending in \(/ \mathrm{rr} /\) : kawarramnyo (|ka-bwarr-a-mnya=bwu| [3a-3p-EP-DD=hit]) 'they hit him.' Hardened forms occur in an elicited paradigm, but they are absent from every other part of the database; an example is warrbnye ([|wDtpłl/ne:]) (|kuN-ngarr-mnya=yi| [VCOMP-1pin-DD=do]) 'we do it/we'll do it.'

This morpheme is readily comparable to the Ungarinyin definite subject morpheme (Rumsey 1982:105-106). The Ungarinyin morpheme, -iwa- or -irra-, occurs in form-order class [8] in the Ungarinyin verb (idem: 75), to the left of, and immediately adjacent to the root morpheme. Of this morpheme Rumsey states that 'the subject of the verb so marked is an NP which is coreferential to one which has occurred in previous discourse.' In the Worrorra database the morpheme appears to have a wider reference than to subjects only: part participant-tracking mechanism, part rhetorical device, it appears to have referential scope over either an entire previous predication or just specific parts of it, selected and decoded by conversational implicature.

In texts the morpheme may occur in clusters, in parts of a narration the speaker judges to be of particular emotional or connective significance. Textual example (17.42) comes after a conversational exchange, without framing verba dicendi, between a dying man and a person caring for him. Discourse deixis is absent from this exchange, and then the narrator says:
'Karle ngawarru, kumnyenga, karle ngoyba kumnyenganangka. now I.sick he.said now breath he.did.it he.dead he.is
die he.went
they.placed.him die he.went
walaawirri kaajanu. Mangkanangkanya maangurru nyimnyenga, nyinjorinya nyimri
wailing they.are his.wife away she.went widow her.head
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
durr & kumbuna. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Nyimnyenga,
\end{tabular} & marno \\
cut & she.did.it & she.went
\end{tabular} there \begin{tabular}{ll} 
karramnyaarndenga \\
they.placed.him & karndirrim
\end{tabular} maa.
'T'm sick,', he said, then be stopped breathing. He was dead, he had died. They put him up, he had died, they grieved. His wife went away, the widow gashed her head. She went away, they put bim up on a burial platform.

Here the discourse deictic morpheme is in bold. In a passage with 11 verbs, discourse-deictic marking is found in 9 of them; the two without such marking are underlined in the translation. The section involves three participants: the deceased man, his wife, and a group of others ('they'); all are at one time or another the subjects of verbs marked for discourse deixis. This passage includes the first reference to mangkanangkanya 'his wife' in the text, but the predicate in which she occurs, as a subject, also receives discourse deictic marking.

This kind of clustering is reasonably common in the database, in dramatic or important sections of discourse, or sections involving climaxes or impression points. It is found in descriptions of Patsy's own widowhood, the activities of Wandjina ancestors, a demon cannibal feast, the commission and discovery of crimes, and conclusions, climaxes, retributions, realizations, tense and exciting episodes, and warranted or deserved outcomes generally.

The use of discourse deixis in (17.42) is rhetorical, as befits its tragic content. But its rhetoric comes out of its structural context: all the events depicted ensue from the text that precedes it; it represents an outcome or consequence of the preceding passage. A little later in the same text, after a description of women's grieving practices, the following sentence occurs, with reference to the widespread traditional belief that deaths not resulting from old age are brought about by (supernatural) human agency:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Jakarri, & 'ngani & kumnyenga & wunu? & Yaada & ngani \\
ØN=yakarri & ngani & kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng & wunu & yaada & ngani \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) other & what & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST & 3 wDEF & ENQ & what
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
kumnyenga & wunu?' \\
kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng & wunu \\
VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST & 3 wDEF \\
One day/ the next day [they asked], 'what happened to him? What had he done?'
\end{tabular}

Discourse deictic marking occurs here because the question necessarily follows on from, and refers back to, the preceding event, the man's death.

Sentence example (17.44) comes near the end of a story about the origin of patrimoieties. The culture hero Jrr'nkurn (Jinkun), the owlet nightjar, has resisted attempts to make him give his daughters away to men of the opposite patrimoiety, preferring to keep them for their own brothers. Finally he becomes aware of the enormity of what he has been wanting:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Ineem \(\mathrm{i}=\) neem \(3 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{ear}\) & karle karle now & \begin{tabular}{l}
rley \\
rley open
\end{tabular} & kunjungu kuN- - = yi-ng VCOMP-3=do-PAST & awa awa 3aNAR & Jrr'nkurn jrr'nkurn Jinkun & \begin{tabular}{l}
inja, \\
inja \\
3aDEF
\end{tabular} \\
\hline ke & 'Yaw, & korru & angamnyarnerno,' & & yeng. & \\
\hline ke & yaw & korru & arr-nga-mnya-rne= & & -mnya= & \\
\hline 3wREF & yes & alright & \(3 \mathrm{p}-1-\mathrm{DD}-\mathrm{AUG}=\) give & & \(-3-\mathrm{DD}=\) & PAST \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Then Jinkurn realized, \({ }^{125}\) and he said, 'yes, it's right that I should give them away completely.'} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This is an outcome or consequence of the story so far, and its climax. The crucial verb angamnyarnerno is augmented ('give them away completely, with no thought of their return') and marked for discourse deixis.

Consider as well the clustering seen in (17.45), again with discourse-deictic marking in bold:

Maa-maa kerreendarla. Dande maa kerreendarla marno aakurnanya
there-there we.cooked.it out.bush there we.cooked.it there by.water
yorr ajawana.
sit.together we.did
'Yaw, karlewa ngajengerri.'
yes now we.may.be.going
'Wali maa awarl kamnyamenya wara, ke ngarramnyeyu dambeem
keep.on cook let.it.do kangaroo and we.will.go place/home
ingkamnyaninjawa, karle iwujarra ingkamnyanungu. Ngarramnyeyu
when.it.is.cooked then it.cooked when.it.is we.will.go
joli ngarramnyanu dambeemangurru dormitory-rnanya.'
return we.will.be to.home in.dormitory
We cooked it right there. We sat down together by a pool of water and cooked it out in the bush.
'Alright, let's go now,' (he said).
Let the kangaroo cook, first, and then we'll go home when it's done, when it's cooked properly. Then we'll go back home to the dormitory.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{125}\) Literally: 'now Jinkun's ears (=understandng) opened.'
}

Here a group of girls' European teacher is trying to hurry the cooking process, which must have been taking an inordinate amount of time by his standards. In the girls' reply every verb contains discoursedeictic marking, as a response to and rebuttal of what their teacher has just said.

The previous context indexed by deictic marking may not be an utterance at all: it may be an extra-linguistic situation manifest to discourse participants. In (17.46) a woman finds her husband sleeping-in one morning. The first thing she says to him is:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Bariy & bamnyanu, & karle & mamangkunu. \\
bariy \(\quad\) ba-mnya=nu & karle & mamangkunu \\
rise \(\quad\) CFT-DD=be & now & morning \\
Get up now, it's morning. & &
\end{tabular}

No preceding discourse context can be involved here; deictic marking refers to situational context, in this case the rising sun. Sentence (17.41) above is another example arising out of an extra-linguistic context in which a man finds his wife asleep on a narrow path, and (17.56b) and (17.57b) below are two more.

In other situations discourse deixis in Worrorra may be a feature of rhetorical anaphora, as a group of words repeated across a series of sentences, as seen in (17.47), in which Patsy talks about her early childhood development:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Wali & maa & karleng & ngawana, & karleng & ngamnyawana & karle \\
wali & maa & karleng & nga=bwa-na & karleng & nga-mnya=bwa-na & karle \\
PERF & PROG & turn & 1=fall-TNS & turn & 1-DD=fall-TNS & then
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ngarnayurr & nganunangurru, & karle & ngarnayurr & ngamnyanungurru, \\
nga=rnayurr & nga=nu-na-ngurru & karle & nga=rnayurr & nga-mnya=nu-ngurru \\
1=grown & 1=be-PAST-away & then & 1=grown & 1-DD=be-away
\end{tabular}
rlerlewa ngamnyenga, rlerlewa ngamnyengangurru, karle
rlerlewa nga-mnya=ya-nga rlerlewa nga-mnya=ya-nga-ngurru karle
crawl 1-DD=go-TNS crawl 1-DD=go-TNS-away then
bariy ngamnyanu mardow-mardowa ngamnyenga
bariy nga-mnya=nu mardow-mardowa nga-mnya=ya-nga
rise 1-DD=be walk-walk 1-DD=go-TNS
I could turn over, then I grew bigger and started to crawl, and then I could stand up and I started to walk.

Three verbal expressions are repeated here: \({ }^{126}\) Karleng \(={ }^{b} w a\) '(an infant) turn over,' = rnayurr \(=n u\)-ngurru 'develop physically,' and rlerlewsa =ya 'crawl.' Discourse-deictic marking is seen on the second occurrence of each of the first two predicates, and on all subsequent predicates. The last two predicates ('I rose,' 'I walked') are marked as arising out of, and as being logically connected to the preceding discourse. Marking for subject-tracking alone would be redundant in this passage, and may anyway occur without specific deictic marking.

The marking of rhetorical anaphora is not unusual in Worrorra; a poem by Daisy Utemorrah about the characteristic movements of the northern quoll, reproduced here as (17.48), employs it in each occurrence of the verb:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{126}\) This repetition is not reflected in the translation.
}

\author{
(17.48: Daisy Utemorrah) \\ Wijingarra kamnyeng \\ Wijingarra kamnyeng \\ Wijingarra dankewa dankewa kamnyeng \\ Wijingarra krewa krewa kamnyeng \\ Wijingarra rdurdurduwa darak kamnyeng \\ The quoll goes along \\ The quoll is going along \\ The quoll goes along swaying from side to side \\ The quoll goes along with its hindquarters raised \\ The quoll scuttles off into its burrow
}

Discourse-deictic marking is ubiquitous in Worrorra, especially in Patsy Lulpunda's texts. It may be seen in many sentence examples in this chapter, and in most other chapters. Its occurrence is sometimes puzzling, as may be expected of a rhetorical-stylistic device. As an expression of a speaker's mood or intention, the motivation for its appearance may remain unclear if in any instance that intention is not overtly or explicitly evident.

\subsection*{17.7 Specific reference}

By 'specific reference' is meant here our ability to identify a single instance of some type of thing; a 'uniquely determined instance' of something (Langacker 1991:103, see also Bickerton 1981:146-154). Uniqueness is central to the meaning of specificity: specific reference is reference made to a particular thing and to no other thing of its kind. Specificity is encoded anaphorically in language by way of grammatical forms that enable us to make such identifications.

\subsection*{17.7.1 Specificity}

Specificity is marked in Worrorra by the adverbial suffix or postposition -maan- 'Specific' (glossed SPEC), with agreement forms as shown in Table 17.2:

Table 17.2: -maan- 'SPECIFIC'
3a, 3p maanja
3f maanya
\(3 \mathrm{w} \quad \operatorname{maa}(\sim\) maan \()\)
\(3 \mathrm{~m} \quad\) maanma ( \(\sim\) maanba \()\)
The 3 w shape -maan- appears to be confined to the pronoun \(=n\) ' DEF ' in wunmaan (see Table 17.3 ), the form maa appearing elsewhere. \({ }^{127}\) The \(3 m\) shape maanba has an epenthetic suffix -ba, and occurs as a separate phonological word. These forms are attached as suffixes or postpositions, with no consistent pattern to the way in which the strength of the boundary is decided. Compare, for example, the suffixial form Wurnbangkuwaamaanya 'she of the Wurnbangku clan country/the Wurnbangku clan country is her own' in (17.33a) above, with the postpositional form karraanya maanya 'my own mother' in (17.49a) below.

Specificity in Worrorra highlights a referent's uniqueness, by picking one item out from a background of similar items. So in (17.49a) the speaker refers to an action performed by one particular person, identified by being set apart from all the other people to whom the kinship term karraanya 'mother, mother's sister, classificatory mother' could apply. Likewise in (17.49b) the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{127}\) The homophony of maa '3mREF' and maa '3wSPECIFIC' appears to be fortuitous.
}
reference of the kinship noun abiya 'elder brother, father's father, son's son; clansman, etc' is narrowed down to just one particular person:
(17.49a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Karraanya & maanya & karlaa & konerri & rambarrba \\
karraanya & maanya & karlaa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) bu-na-eerri & rambarrba \\
my.mother & 3fSPEC & cover & \(3 a-3=\) hit-PAST-PROG & avoidance.kin
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline nyangkanangkama, & ke & waa & bawarronya. \\
\hline nyangka-nangka-ma & ke & waa & ba-ka-bwarr-a=bwu-nya \\
\hline 3fNAR-DAT-3m & and & not & CFT-3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Abiyorle & maanja & karlelwana; & aworle & karlelwana \\
abiya-kwurle & maanja & ka=rlelwa-na & awa-kwurle & ka=rlelwa-na \\
El.Br-first & 3aSPEC & 3a=be.born-PAST & 3aNAR-first & 3a=be.born-PAST
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ngayu & abi, & ngayanangka & maanja. \\
ngayu & abi & ngaya-nangka & maanja \\
I & El.Br & I-DAT & 3aSPEC
\end{tabular}

My own elder brother was born first; he was born first, my elder brother, my own one.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wundu-wundukum & maanma & bariy & kanunaarndu. \\
wundu-wundukum & maanma & bariy & ka=nu-na-aarndu \\
REDUP-dark & 3 mSPEC & rise & 3a=be-PAST-DU
\end{tabular}

They got up very early one morning.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Mayarrungum & maanma & ajaaja & nganuna. \\
ma=yarrungu-ma & maan-ma & aja-aja & nga=nu-na \\
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) QUANT- 3 m & SPEC-3m & sit-sit & 1=be-PAST \\
I just stayed at the one place. & &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Marnu-marnu & kunyajengaal, & arri & arrkanangka \\
marnu-marnu & kuN-nyarr-nya=yi-ng-aal & arri & arr=kwa-nangka \\
carry.on.shoulders & VCOMP-1px-EP=do-PAST-hither & we(exc) & px-NAR-DAT
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
maa, & nyangkaangkamaanja & arrkanangka & arri. \\
maa & nyangkaangka-maan-ya & arrkanangka & arri \\
3wSPEC & girls-SPEC-3p & our & we(exc)
\end{tabular}

We carried it back on our shoulders, it was only for us, just for us girls.
In (17.49c) one particular morning is singled out for attention, and in (17.49e) a quantity of food is referred to as belonging to one particular group of girls only, and to no-one else. Participant persons marked as SPECIFIC appear to attract adverbial celestial agreement forms (cf \(\$ 17.5\) ), as seen in arri arrkangangka maa 'belonging just to us (exc)' in (17.49e).

In keeping with its denotation of a single instance of some type of thing, -maan- often occurs with a sense meaning 'only,' as in (17.49e). In this function, specificity serves to exclude other members of a class from consideration. Some more examples of this sense of -maan- are seen in (17.50):
(17.50a)

Ricky- maanja kengenya.
Ricky maan-ya ka=[ya-nga]-yinya
NAME SPEC-3a \(3 \mathrm{a}=[\) go-TNS \(]\)-HORT
Only Ricky can go.
(b)

Wakumaada maa.
black 3wSPEC
(I) only (have) black (tea)/ only black (tea for me).
(c)

Eejamaanja barnmarnja.
eeja-maan-ya barnmarn-ya
man-SPEC-3a sorcerer-3p
Only men are sorcerers.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wrrorra & maa & kunyarloorlerri & wangalang & arrkununa. \\
wrrorra & maa & kuN-nyarr=rloo-rla-eerri & wangalang & arr-ngun=nu-na \\
Worrorra & SPEC & VCOMP-1px=speak-PAST-PROG & child & 1px-SJTV=be-PAST \\
We only spoke & W orrorra when we were children. & &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Darrkawala & maanya & kubajunganangka & mana & Karnmanya. \\
Darrkawala & maan-nya & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nangka & mana & karnmanya \\
NAME & SPEC-3f & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-DAT & 3mDEF & Kunmunya
\end{tabular}

Only Darrkawala got her name at Karnmanya.
\begin{tabular}{clllll} 
Waa & barndaya & binyengenyeerri & kaarri. & Wondum & maanma \\
waa & barndaya & ba-nya=[ya-nga]-yinya-eerri & kaarri & wondum & maanma \\
not & mainland & CFT-3f=[go-TNS]-PAST-PROG & 3pNEG & sea & 3mSPEC
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rlerlewa rlerlewa crawl & \begin{tabular}{l}
nyengerri, \\
nya=ya-nga-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{TNS}-\mathrm{PROG}\)
\end{tabular} & jaa maa jaa maa all.the.way & rlerlewa rlerlewa crawl & \begin{tabular}{l}
nyengerri \\
nya=ya-nga-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) go-TNS-PROG
\end{tabular} \\
\hline mangubam mud & \begin{tabular}{l}
maanma. \\
3mSPEC
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Love (1934:31) describes a comparative degree formation in adjectives using man'daga, almost certainly -maandaka (|-maan-y2aka| [SPEC-EMPH]). \({ }^{128}\) Unelicited comparative expressions using -maan- 'SPEC’ are rare in the database, those examples shown in (17.51) being exceptions:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{128}\) In elicitation my instructors agreed to forms modelled on Love's examples, such as those shown in (17.66):
(17.66a)

Awa darranku maandakeya eeja ardaarrawaya.
awa darranku maan-y2aka-ya eeja arr=raarrawa-ya
3aNAR strong SPEC-EMPH-3p man 3p=many-3p
He is stronger than the other men.
}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Awa & imalalamaanja & inja. \\
awa & \(i=\) malala-maan-ya & inja \\
3aNAR & 3a=handsome-SPEC-3a & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ardaarramaanja & anja & dobiji & kawarronerri \\
arr= raarra-maan-ya & anja & do-biji & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na-eerri \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) many-SPEC-3p & 3pDEF & stone-REPEAT & 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}
karrku wunu.
stone 3 wDEF
A very large crowd of people stoned him.
While the sequence |-maan-y2aka| [SPEC-EMPH] does occur in the database, the semantics of this construction is not comparative. In (17.52) a group of people are looking for a pair of dogs, a dog (kanangkurri) and a bitch (kanangkuja). When they spot them in the distance they say:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Nyina & ninjarrungumaandakuwalinya, & iyarrungumaandakuwali, \\
\hline nyina & nyiN=yarrungu-maan- y \(2 a k a-b w a l i-n y a ~_{\text {a }}\) & i=yarrungu-maan- \(\mathrm{y}_{2}\) aka- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wali \\
\hline 3 fDE & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{Q}\) & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) QUANT-SPEC-EMPH-RESU \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
kanangkurri inja.
dog 3aDEF
There she is, (I mean) there he is, it's the dog.
Here the narrator has mistakenly used a feminine form and corrected herself to produce the masculine form of the adjective. As this is the only construction of this sort in the database, it is difficult to say very much about it except that (i) it is clearly a highly presentational form, (ii) it is also highly definite by virtue of the incorporated identity-maintenance morpheme, and (iii) the morphemes =yarrungu 'Quantifier' (here meaning 'one') and -maan- SPEC ('unique, only') co-occur, which is expected and quite typical, there being nine instances altogether in the database of these two morphemes cooccurring (cf 17.49d, 17.53c 17.57c \& 17.60a).

The shape -maan- occurs systematically with the pronouns \(=n\) 'DEF' and \(=^{k}\) wa (ya) 'Contextual,' but the forms it occurs with appear to be very limited. Why this should be so is not clear: the most obvious answer is that neither Love nor I collected paradigms of these forms, but even so, there is a
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(b) & & & \\
Mana & darraanma & marnayurr & baandakama & mawa. \\
mana & darraanma & ma=rnayurr & maan-y2aka-ma & mawa \\
3mDET & cockatoo & \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) adult & SPEC-EMPH-3m & 3 mNAR \\
This black, cockatoo is bigger than that one. & &
\end{tabular}

In (17.66b) is seen an instance of nasal hardening across a word boundary, probably haplologically motivated from underlying marnayurrba maandakama, with the 3 m allomorph -ba suffixed to the adjective. These examples are, however, possibly unconvincing as instances of traditional, pre-contact language use. The adjective = rnayurr 'adult, grown' Love appears to have interpreted as 'big' (1934:31), an interpretation followed apparently by Capell \& Coate (1984), who wrongly use it to qualify rdiyama 'fighting club.' In (17.66b) my instructors probably used it to signify 'more fully grown, more fully fledged, elder.' Opposed to this, however, the consistent agreement of the adverb with the following object ( 3 p and 3 m respectively) suggests that these really may have been grammaticized constructions.
reasonably large number of \(=n\)-maan forms in the database inflected for agreement with the nonhuman macrogender, but none agreeing with the human macrogender. In contrast, forms in \(=n\)-maanbali are common in all non-participant agreement classes, and it is possible that the two sets are in partial complementary distribution ( \(\$ 17.7 .2\) ). Specific pronoun forms attested in the database are as shown in Table 17.3:

Table 17.3: specific pronouns
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& =n-maan & \(={ }^{k}\) waya-maan \\
3 a & & aamaanja \\
3 w & wunmaan & ke maa \\
3 m & manmaan & maa maanma \\
& manmaanma & maamaan
\end{tabular}

It is impossible to say now whether the gaps in this paradigm are real or simply an artefact of fieldwork. Note the proliferation of terrestrial shapes compared to the paucity of human macrogender forms: the terrestrial expressions are used almost exclusively with reference to places. The 3 m shapes listed are alternatives: the 3 m specific-topic shape occurs as two words maa maanma when the 3 m suffix appears, or as one word maamaan if it does not. Examples of their use in sentences are shown in (17.53):
(17.53a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Irranangka & debarr & kenga, & ke & aamaanja \\
irra-nangka & debarr & ka=ya-nga & ke & \(a={ }^{\text {k wa }}\) (ya)-maan-ya \\
father-DAT & die & \(3 a=\) go-PAST & and & \(3 a=\) REF-SPEC-3a
\end{tabular}
kaangurrurlerri.
ka- = \({ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla-eerri
3a-3= carry-PAST-PROG
Hisi father had died, and hei was all she had.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wunmaan & joli & ngamnyanungaal. \\
\({ }^{{ }^{k}}\) wuN \(=\) n-maan & joli & nga-mnya=nu-ng-aal \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-SPEC & return & 1-DD=be-PRES-hither \\
I'll come straight back. &
\end{tabular}
(c)
Maa mayarrungum maanma kaaduna.
maa ma=yarrungu-ma maan-ma kaarr=nu-na
\(3 \mathrm{mREF} \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{QUANT}-3 \mathrm{~m} \quad\) SPEC-3m \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PAST
They all lived in the one place.
(d)

Manmaanma dalorrba kamnyanungu.
\(\mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{n}\)-maan-ma dalorrba ka-mnya=nu-ng
\(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DEF-SPEC-3m sink.hole 3a-DD=be-PRES
He's still there in that sink hole.

The celestial reference of wunmaan in (17.53b) is to time (cf. 17.38d). Note in (17.53c) how the two words of the specific-topic shape (maa ... maanma) surround the adjective mayarrunguma 'one (terrestrial).'

The celestial specific-topic form ke maa has a widespread and semi-idiomatic identity-maintenance meaning: 'that same thing (= event, action)/again' (see also \(\S 10.2 .2\) (iv)). Related to this core meaning
is a recapitulatory meaning: 'that's what/that's how ... (EVENT happened).' Its identity-maintenance use is shown in (17.54), and its more strictly recapitulatory use is exemplified in (17.55).
(17.54a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke maa & kubajunganyarri & mamangkunu & rdaarrawa & wunu. \\
ke maa & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nyarri & mamangkunu & \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) raarrawa & wunu \\
thus & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-1pxDAT & morning & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) many & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

They used to tell us the same thing every morning/ that's what they used to tell us every morning.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Ke maa & kaningaayenaarndeerri & ke maa. \\
ke maa & ka=ningaaye-na-kwarndu-eerri & ke maa \\
thus & 3a=do.MID-PAST-DU-PROG & thus
\end{tabular}

They did the same thing to each other all over again.
(c)

Ke maa kunjinyaarndeerri.
ke maa kuN-[Ø]=yi-nya-[kwarndu]-eerri
thus VCOMP-[3]=do-HORT-[DU]-PROG
They'll bave to keep doing the same thing/ that's what they'll have to keep doing.
(d)

Ke maa kunjingeerri awa irranangkorri inja.
ke maa kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-eerri awa irra-nangkorri inja
thus VCOMP-3=do-PAST-PROG 3aNAR father-3pDAT 3aDEF
Their father was doing the same thing again.
(17.55a)

Ke maa kumnyengaara.
ke maa kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng-kwara
thus VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST-1DAT
That's what she said to me.
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ke maa & wali maa & warnda & kaaduna. \\
ke maa & wali maa & warnda & kaarr=nu-na \\
thus & PROG & dwell & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PAST
\end{tabular}

That's how they used to live.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ke maa & daadaay & kunyarrbrangerri, & warlbirri & maa, \\
ke maa & daay-daay & kuN-nyarr=mra-nga-eerri & warlbirri & maa \\
thus & wear-REDUP & VCOMP-1px=gather-PAST-PROG & apron & 3wSPEC
\end{tabular}
yawurna.
apron
That's what we used to wear, ((just) the pubic aprons called) warlbirri and yawurna.
The SPECIFIC morpheme -maan- occurs in some situations in the absence of any substantive to which it could refer. In these situations it appears to have an adverbial meaning, as 'only' or 'really.' In this function it may appear in suffixial form as -maan (17.56c), or in either of the 3 m shapes maanma (17.56a) or maanba (17.56b \& d):
(17.56a)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Malka & kanungaarndeerri & maanma. \\
malka & ka=nu-ng-a-kwarndu-eerri & maanma \\
play & 3a=be-PRES-EP-DU-PROG & only \\
They're only playing. &
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Bariy & bamnyanu & ngunju, & mee & maanba & kaanjamnyaninjerri! \\
bariy & ba-mnya=nu & ngunju & mee & maanba & kaarr-nja-mnya=ninja-eerri \\
rise & CFT-DD=be & you & just & only & 3p-2-DD=look-PROG
\end{tabular}

Get up, you! You're only just watching them!
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wali maa, & wali & yorr & kaajawanerri & ngurru, \\
wali maa & wali & yorr & kaarr-nya=b \({ }_{\text {Wa-na-eerri }}\) & ngurru \\
PROG & PERF & sit.together & 3p-EP=fall-TNS-PROG & here
\end{tabular}
malyaamaan wali yorr kaajawanerri.
malyaa-maan wali yorr kaarr-nya=bwa-na-eerri
NO.REASON-just PERF sit.together 3p-EP=fall-TNS-PROG
They still go on living together here, just all hanging out around here.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Baanbaan & nyirdeen & kurri & maanba & wunu. \\
baanbaan & nyirr= reen & kuN-rra \(=\) yi & maanba & wunu \\
ignore & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) real & VCOMP-2p=do & really & 3 wDEF
\end{tabular}

You really did ignore it/you just completely ignored it (= everything we'd told you).

Example (17.56d) is quite idiomatic, with the adjective =reen 'real' used in an adverbial sense fortuitously matching its English translation.

Far more frequently, however, the SPECIFIC morpheme occurs in its 3 w shape, maa, in adverbial constructions of this kind. We have already seen it in this function in (17.49e) and (17.55c) above, as ke maa 'SPECIFIC TOPIC' above, as maa 'CONTINUOUS' when used with preverbs ( \(\$ 11.3 .2\) ), and as a frozen component of the adverbial expressions wali maa 'EXTENDED PROGRESSIVE' ( \(\$ 10.1 .1\) (ii)), jaa maa 'all the way, always' ( \(\$ 10.1 .1(\mathrm{v}))\), mee maa 'just, only' ( \(\$ 10.2 .2\) (i)), Kaarri maa 'in vain' ( \(\$ 10.2 .2\) (ii)), and karle maa 'REMOTE PAST' ( \(\$ 10.4 .1\) (ii)). In this light observe that the expression mee maanba in (17.56b) is simply a terrestrial variant of the more usual celestial form mee maa. The use of terrestrial shapes in the sentences in (17.56) and in others like them probably has to do with the greater markedness value of the terrestrial class over the celestial: the SPECIFIC morphemes used in (17.56) convey the asymmetric semantics of markedness in the expressions in which they occur.

\subsection*{17.7.2 Definite and specific}

The morphemes -maan- 'SPECIFIC' and -bpali 'RESUMPTIVE' are commonly combined to produce a form -manbali (glossed FOCUS), used to refer to things that are both unique and highly definite within the context of discourse. These two shapes have already been seen together in (17.52), in words the literal translation of which must be something like 'that's the very one/that's just the one (we've been looking for).' The morpheme -maanbali is used to put an NP in front and centre stage under highlighting; it demands that a listener uniquely identify something highly pertinent and intensely topical. The word maanbali on its own may be used as an adverb modifying other adverbs (17.57a \& b), adjectives ( 17.57 c ) or personal pronouns ( \(17.57 \mathrm{~d} \& \mathrm{e}\) ), in which case it has a focusing function, usually translatable by 'just,' 'really' or something similar:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Niji & maanbali & wunu & ajarrungoorri & maa & aja & kaadingeerri. \\
niji & maanbali & wunu & arr=yarrungu-oorri & maa & aja & kaarr=ni-ng-eerri \\
truly & FOCUS & 3wDEF & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) QUANT-TRI & 3 mREF & sit & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PRES-PROG
\end{tabular}
There really are only three of them out there.
(b)
Wenmaanbali ngamnyengkunaal.
weni-maanbali nga-mnya=ya-ngku-na-aal
now-FOCUS 1-DD=go-EP-PAST-hither
I've only just got here.
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Juwalya & iyarrungu & maanbali & inja & kamarlaarndornaarndeerri. \\
juwalya & i=yarrungu & maanbali & inja & ka- \([\varnothing]=\) marlaarndo-rna- \(\left[{ }^{k}\right.\) warndu \(\left.{ }_{A}\right]\)-eerri \\
path & 3a=QUANT & FOCUS & 3aDEF & 3a-[3]=follow-PAST-[DU \(\left.{ }_{A}\right]\)-PROG
\end{tabular}

They had both come along on just this one path.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awa & maanbali & akurla & inja. \\
3aNAR & FOCUS & demon & 3aDEF \\
He really is a demon. & &
\end{tabular}
(e)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Angujabirri & ngunju & maanbali & ngurnaarnarlerri? \\
angujabirri & ngunju & maanbali & ngun-n- \(\varnothing=\) rnaarna-rla-eerri \\
why & you & FOCUS & 2-INV-3/1=await-PAST-PROG
\end{tabular}

What the hell did I have to keep waiting for you for?
The shape -maanbali is also found combined systematically with the pronouns \(=n\) 'DEFINITE' and \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'CONTEXTUAL.' In this morphology these pronouns may be translated by something like 'this/that particular one.' Their forms are shown in Table 17.4:

Table 17.4: focal pronouns
\(=n\)-maanbali
3a inmaanbali
3 f nyinmaanbalinya
3w wunmaanbali
3 m manmaanbalima
\(3 p \quad\) anmaanbali
\(={ }^{k}\) waya-maanbali
aamaanbali
nyingke maanbali
ke maanbali
maamaanbalima
arrke maanbali

Unlike the specific pronoun shapes in Table 17.3, the focal pronouns in Table 17.4 show a full set of agreement-classes, through both human and non-human macrogenders. In fact it is likely that the focal forms serve both purposes in the human macrogender; that is, that the distinction between specific and focal pronoun reference is collapsed in the human macrogender. The exception of course is the 3a specific topic form aamaanja, which contrasts with the focal topic shape aamaanbali.

Although specific and focal pronouns do appear to have distributions and hence meanings that coincide closely, in some situations they can be seen to contrast. Observe the sentence in (17.58): here the words wunmaanbali and wunmaanjirda refer to wипи ngaja 'custom, precedent,' implied from context. In talking about Worrorra marriage arrangements the speaker corrects herself to take into account modern practices that deviate from the traditional ideal. In uttering this sentence the speaker realizes that modern practices are indeed not the same as those established in the dreamtime, and so replaces
the identity-maintenance morpheme of the focal shape wunmaanbali with the suffix -yirda 'try' on the specific pronoun wunmaan: \({ }^{129}\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Wunmaanbali, & wunmaanjirda & kurdu \\
\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-n-maan-b wali & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN-n-maan-yirda & kurdu \\
3w-DEF-SPEC-RESUM & 3w-DEF-SPEC-try & follow
\end{tabular}
warrkunyonjeerri.
\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN-ngarr-ngun-nya \(={ }^{\mathrm{b}}\) wu-ny-yeerri
VCOMP-1pin-SJTV-EP=hit-MIR-PROG

Nyarrkunyonjeerri
ØN-nyarr-ngun-nya=bwu-ny-yeerri
VCOMP-1px-SJTV-EP=hit-MIR-PROG
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
aayaarndu & maanbali & ngaja & kaanyarrbanga, & Wadoy \\
\(a={ }^{k}\) Waya- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) warndu & maanbali & ngaja & kaarr-nyarr=ma-nga & wadoy \\
\(3 a=\) REF-DU & FOCUS & custom & \(3 p-1 p x=\) get-PAST & spotted.nightjar
\end{tabular}
aa Jrr'nkurn.
and owlet.nightjar
This is the same [custom], this is the very [custom] that we (inc) still follow, are still trying to follow. We (exc) follow and keep the custom those two [established], W adoy and Jinkurn.

Note also in this interesting sentence the occurrence of a dual focal-topic construction (aayaarndu maanbali), and the anaphoric use of the verb classifier nyarrkunyonjeerri ( \(\$ 11.5 .1\) ). In the second sentence the speaker has altered the subject's person reference from 'we (inc)' to 'we (exc),' to take into account a non-Worrorra audience, and has used the inflected classifier of the predicate kurdu \(k u N[]={ }^{b} w n\) 'follow, adhere to a practice or custom' anaphorically to substitute for the whole predicate. Specificity (-maan-) and identity-maintenance ( \({ }^{-b}\) wali) are both denoted in focal pronouns, and the semantics of either or both may be brought to the fore in any utterance. In (17.58) wunmaanbali carries an identity-maintaining sense, while aayaarndu maanbali has both specific and identity-maintenance sense meanings. More examples of focal forms with specific sense meanings are shown in (17.59). Other examples of focal pronouns used with an identity-maintenance sense are shown in (17.60). There (17.27) is repeated as (17.60a).
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Inmaanbali! & Inmaanbali! & Burnja & aaya & dindiwalya. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}\)-maanbali & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}\)-maanbali & burn-ya & aaya & dindiwalya \\
3a=DEF-FOCUS & 3a=DEF-FOCUS & PRO-3a & 3aREF & falcon \\
That's the one! He's the very one! - that what's-his-name, the peregrine falcon.
\end{tabular}
(b)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Awa & anmaanbali & Jrr'nkurn & aanaanangkaya \\
awa & arr=n-maanbali & jrr'nkurn & awa-naa-nangka-ya \\
3aNAR & 3p=DEF-FOCUS & spotted.nightjar & 3aNAR-AUG-DAT-3p
\end{tabular}
wangalaalunguyu.
children
They're the ones, those children of Jinkurn's.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{129}\) See \(\$ 10.2 .1\) (v).
}
(17.60a) (=17.27)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Wunmaanbali & ngarlangarla & jarrungu & nganngunjunu & ke. \\
\({ }^{k}\) wuN=n-maanbali & ngarlangarla & \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) yarrungu & \(\varnothing \mathrm{N}\)-ngan-ngun=yi-nu & ke \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{DEF}\)-FOCUS & story & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) QUANT & VCOMP-1-SJTV=do-2DAT & 3 wREF
\end{tabular}

The very same thing that I want to talk to you about.
(b)

Arrke maanbali burnarri anja ngaja kaanyarrbanga.
arrke maanbali burnarri anja ngaja kaarr-nyarr=ma-nga
3 PREF FOCUS animals 3Pdef custom 3p-1px=get-PAST
Those very same animals are the ones whose precedent we follow.

Just as the 3 w SPECIFIC expression ke maa is used most often with a recapitulatory meaning ( \(\$ 17.7 .1\) ), so the 3 w focal form ke maanbali occurs most often in the database in a quasi-idiomatic recapitulatory formula at the end of narrative texts: kowa ke maanbali 'that's the way it is (was)/that's how it came to be so.' The word kowa is a variant of kawa ' 3 wNAR' confined to this expression. A typical example of this formula is seen in (17.61):
(17.61) (=16.1)

Kowa ke maanbali
kowa ke maanbali
wurnirndeerrngarri angkarnongkiyena
kowa ke maanbal
\({ }^{\text {k }}\) wuN \(=\) rnirndeerr-ngarri
arr-ngka=rnongku-ye-na
that's.how.it.was \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old-REL \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV \(=\) take.away-MID-PAST
inja manjawarra.
3aDEF berry.sp
That's what happened long ago when the manjawarra berries were stolen.

\subsection*{17.8 Predicate Marking}

In \(\$ 17.4\) ideas from predicate logic were employed to characterize equational sentences, namely concepts of terms and predicates, and the equivalence of terms with subjects. Subjects, defined pragmatically, are what you are talking about; the things about which you have something to say. Predicates on the other hand are the pragmatic goals of a speech act: they are what motivate you to say something in the first place, the reason for undertaking a referring-and-predicating kind of act. In this section we will take note of a construction that signals predication in Worrorra; a syntax that will be referred to as predicate marking (PM).

Predicate marking in Worrorra is accomplished by the word wali followed by a form of either \(=n\) 'DEF' or \(={ }^{k}\) waya 'CONTEXTUAL': that is, by either of the constructions wali \(=n\) or wali \(={ }^{k} w a y a\). The pronoun in these markers agrees in gender and number with the term in most cases (examples 17.62e \& 17.63a are exceptions), that is to say that the predicate marker refers anaphorically to the term. This is not uncommon in languages; for example in Roper River Kriol in an expression such as san im odwan 'the sun is hot,' the predicate marker im refers anaphorically to the term, san 'sun.'

Predicate marking of this sort occurs only in verbless predicates, and serves to mark one of the expressions in a sentence as a predicate. We have already seen the predicate markers wali maa (7.14a, \(11.14,17.25 \mathrm{a}\) ), wali wunu \((9.25,17.30 \mathrm{c}, 17.33 \mathrm{~b})\), wali aaya ( 7.13 e ) and wali ke ( 7.14 a ). The selection of either the \(=n\) or \(={ }^{k}\) waya forms of a predicate marker has to do with whether or not the speaker considers the predicate to be topical in discourse context. Examples of predicate marking have already been seen in \(₫ 17.4\); some more examples are shown in (17.62):
(17.62a)
\begin{tabular}{lll}
{\([\) TERM \(]\)} & {\([\) PRED } & \\
Inbali & irnayurri & wali inja. \\
\(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{b}_{\text {wali }}\) & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr- i & wali inja \\
\(3 \mathrm{a}=\) DEF-RESUM & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) adult-3a & PM
\end{tabular}

He is the leader.
(b)
[PRED ] [TERM ]

Kajaaya wali aaya, irlarlangkarra.
MoMoBr PM crocodile
He is my mother's mother's brother, the crocodile.
(c)


Now the quoll, he was the man.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{lcll}
{\([\) TERM } & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(]\)} & [PRED & \\
Jrr'nkurn & inja & imalarri & wali inja. \\
jrr'nkurn & inja & i=malarr-i & wali inja \\
owlet.nightjar & 3aDEF & 3a=patrimoiety-3a & PM \\
The owlet nightjar belongs to the Arrbalarriya moiety. &
\end{tabular}
(e) \((=6.26)\)
[TERM ] [PRED ]
Nyingumbu Kankanarlonya wali nyina.
nyiN=ngumbu kankanarlonya wali nyina

3f=name NAME PM
Her name is Kankanarlonya.
(f) \((=9.25)\)
[TERM ] [PRED ]
Nyingumbu wunu jarrungaarndu wali wunu.
nyiN=ngumbu wunu \(\quad \varnothing \mathrm{N}=\) yarrungu-kwarndu wali wunu
\(3 \mathrm{f}=\) name \(\quad 3 \mathrm{wDEF} \quad 3 \mathrm{w}=q\) quant \(-\mathrm{DU} \quad \mathrm{PM}\)

She has two names.
(g)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
[TERM] & [PRED & \\
Awa & imalaleka & wali inja. \\
awa & \(\mathrm{i}=\) malala- \(\mathrm{y}_{2}\) aka & wali inja \\
3aNAR & 3a=handsome-EMPH & PM
\end{tabular}

He's very handsome.
Note that in (17.62f) the predicate marker agrees in gender with the term (=ngumbu wunu 'name'), but that in (17.62e) the marker agrees with an NP dependent upon the same term (the referent of the 3 f prefix nyiN-). In this example it appears that the gender of the predicate noun (Kankanarlonya feminine) overrides that of the term. Again note in (17.63a) below that the participant pronoun ngayu 'I' takes celestial agreement markers (cf 17.36 above).

Some expressions of this sort lack terms (that is, are 'subjectless'); we have already seen examples in (7.14a): Darraanma wali maa! 'that's a black cockatoo!' Marrirri wali ke! 'that's a red-winged parrot!' These expressions consist of pure predication; that is to say that their 'terms' are extralinguistic, being the already-observed presence of the birds themselves. In other situations as well, narrative or extralinguistic contexts throw up unuttered terms upon which predications are made: non-narrative, non-'predicating-and-referring' speech is full of such expressions, which are pure predication, probably accounting for the majority of speech acts in everyday life. Example (17.63a) is an observed example, and ( \(17.63 \mathrm{~b} \& \mathrm{c}\) ) are examples in which previous discussion provides instantiation of the term.
```

(17.63a) (= 7.2d)
'Angkuyu?' 'Ngayu wali wunu!'
who I PM
Who is it?' 'It's me!'

```
(b) \((=7.13 \mathrm{e})\)
Irnayurri wali aaya.
\(\mathrm{i}=\) rnayurr-i wali aaya
3a-ult-3a PM
That one's an elder.
(c)
Nyangka wali nyangke.
3fNAR PM
That's ber.
(d)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Arrwaawarnkarraya & wali anja, & karle & kajooleengenya. \\
arr-waa=warnkarra-ya & wali anja & karle & kaarr=[yoolee-nga]-yinya \\
3p-AUG=grown-3p & PM & change-of-state & \(3 \mathrm{p}=[\) travel-TNS]-HORT \\
Now that they're grown up, let them go/They're grown up now, so let them go.
\end{tabular}

The term in (17.63d) is missing, presumably arrka '3pNAR' or similar. This appears to be a stylistic option not prohibited even from narrative contexts.

In some sentences the terms are subjunctive evidential clauses, which appear to be functioning as terms in these situations. Some examples of verbless matrices with subjunctive clauses acting as terms are shown in (17.64):
(17.64a)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[PRED & & \multicolumn{1}{c}{\(]\)} & [TERM & & ] \\
Wurnirndeerr & wali ke & lalai, & waay & nyangkayabuna & wunu. \\
\({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) WuN=rnirndeerr & wali ke & lalai & waay & nyaN-Ø-ngka=yabu-na & wunu \\
\(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old & PM & dreaming & throw & 3f-3-SJTV=throw-PAST & 3wDEF
\end{tabular}

It was way back in the dreamtime that he threw her up.
(b)
[TERM
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Kunyila & inja & barraninjerri,' & kaajunganangkorri \\
kunyila & inja & ba-rra=ninja-eerri & ØN-ngka-b warr-yi-ng-a-nangkorri \\
moon & 3aDEF & sjtv-2p=look-cont & VCOMP-nom-3p=do-past-ep-3pdat
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& & [PRED & & \\
anja & wangalaalunguyu & wunu & wurnirndeerrngarri & wali wunu. \\
anja & wangalaalanguya & wunu & \({ }^{\mathrm{k}}\) wuN=rnirndeerr-ngarri & wali wunu \\
3 pDEF & children & 3 wDEF & \(3 \mathrm{w}=\) old-REL & PM \\
It was back in the old days, that they used to tell their children not to look at the moon.
\end{tabular}
(c)
Wunu nyirrbriaarndu wakumaada maade kaninjeerri
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
wunu & nyirr=mri-kwarndu & wakumaada & maade & ØN-ngka=ni-ny-yeerri \\
3wDEF & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) head-DU & black & RESULT & 3 w -SJTV=be-MIR-PROG
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ] & [PRED & & & ] \\
\hline wunu, & manjawarra & wali aaya & durloo & irrangunyeerlaarndu. \\
\hline wunu & manjawarra & wali aaya & durloo & \(\mathrm{i}-[\mathrm{rra}]\)-ngun-nya=ee-rla-[kwarndu \(\left.{ }_{\mathrm{A}}\right]\) \\
\hline 3wDEF & berry.sp & PM & present & 3a-[2p]-SJTV-EP=place-PAST-[DUA \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

So now both your heads are black from where you put the manjawarra berries.

The sentences in (17.64) may be paraphrased in order to make their structures explicit, with the English copular verb 'be' substituting for the Worrorra predicate marker, as follows:
(17.64a) [TERM his throwing her up TERM] [PRED W AS back in the dreamtime PRED]
(b) [TERM their telling their children not to look at the moon term]
[pred W AS back in the old days pred]
Example (17.64c) is more complex, as the predicate as well as the term contains a subjunctive clause, this time a relative clause dependent upon the noun manjawarra 'berry sp.' In this context the predicate still lacks a verb as its head constituent; the subjunctive predicate durloo irrangunyeerlaarndu' 'where/when you (dual) placed it (masc)' functioning only to modify the predicate noun manjawarra:
(17.64c) [TERM the cause of your heads being black \({ }_{\text {TERM }}\) ]
[PRED IS those berries, and your placement of them PRED]
These are fairly complex constructions, and it is probably not coincidental that they all come from the final, recapitulatory sections of stories told by an articulate and accomplished narrator.

The sequences wali \(=n\) and wali \(={ }^{k}\) waya do not function as predicate markers in predicates with head verbs. Of course these sequences are not uncommon in the language, and care must be taken to distinguish their use as predicate markers in verbless predicates from their functions in other situations. The Examples in (17.65) show these sequences in predicates with head verbs:
(17.65a)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ngani & kurringaarndeerri & wali & wunu? \\
ngani & kuN-rra=yi-ng-a-kwarndu-eerri & wali & \begin{tabular}{l} 
wunu
\end{tabular} \\
what \(\quad\) VCOMP-2p=do-PAST-EP-DU-PROG & PERF & 3wDEF \\
What have you two been doing? & & \\
& & & \\
(b) & & wali & ke. \\
Yawarrarra & bungaju & wali & ke \\
yawarrara & ba-kuN-ngarr=yi & CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do & PERF & 3wREF \\
sink.PL & &
\end{tabular}
(c)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Eeja & maa & wali & yawak & kawana. \\
eeja & maa & wali & yawak & \(\mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{b}\) wa-na \\
man & 3mREF & PERF & sink & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) fall-PAST \\
A man badfallen down there.
\end{tabular}

In each of these sentences the word wali codes perfect aspect, that is, an indication that events in some non-present time are important for the way we react and behave in the present. In (17.65a) the determiner wипи marks the utterance as a definite-marked question ( \(\$ 17.1 .1\) ), and in (17.65b) the pronoun ke marks the projected event as highly salient ( \(\$ 17.3 .2\) ). In (17.65c) the pronoun maa substitutes anaphorically for the noun dalorrba mana 'sinkhole,' occurring in the preceding stretch of discourse.

Just as in Chapters Five and Seven we saw how pragmatic categories such as subject and topic are signalled morphologically by agreement marking, in this chapter we have seen how those categories are signalled morphologically as well as periphrastically. In Worrorra both agreement and word-order contribute to the coding of grammatical relations. The subject grammatical relation is coded at both levels: morphology reveals the class of the denotatum to receive subject coding, and word-order identifies which real-world member of that class is intended.

\section*{Eighteen: kinship terms}

Worrorra kinship nouns are nominal expressions which include reference to the propositus (the 'owner,' a structurally dependant NP) as well as to the structural head, the person designated as being in such-and-such a relation to the propositus. Although the grammar of Worrorra kinship nominals has been discussed in \(\$ 13.1\), it is relevant here to provide an inventory of Worrorra kinship terms with their equivalents in the usual anthropological metalanguage. As well as being of interest in its own right, such an inventory can be useful in comparative exercises such as that undertaken by McConvell (1997).

Elkin (1954:49-79, see also Berndt \& Berndt 1964:76) identifies the north Kimberley or Ungarinyin kinship system as one of five major types of kinship system found in Australia. It is based on the extended patrilineal family or clan as the basic-level category for the purpose of reckoning relatedness. In this system all members of an extended family are to a certain extent equivalent for kinship-reckoning purposes, and terminology reflects this situation. It is what Elkin calls a 'vertical' system, in the sense that entire extended families or clans are egocentrically labelled, with either all generations referred to by a single term, or with different terms used for alternating generations. This situation is evident in Lucich's (1968) exposition, and made explicit in Silverstein (nd 1).

Following Silverstein's arrangement, then, the inventory offered here will be considered in terms of patrilines, on the understanding that each Worrorra person is (among other things) a social expression of the intersection of four patrilines. In Worrorra society these patrilines are practically and functionally, if not theoretically, equivalent to patriclans. All patrilines/clans are shared out among the two sociocentrically-named, exogamous patrimoieties, Arrbalarriya (phonetic Adbalarriya) and Arrwnnarriya. Unless otherwise stated, the kinship terms presented here are the default forms, indexed for a first person singular propositus ('my x').

As in most Australian societies, kinship dynamics are generated by patrilineage exogamy, and constrained by (i) equivalence of same-sex siblings, and (ii) equivalence of alternate generations. Marriages are arranged as exchanges of daughters between patrilines, a state of affairs the origin of which is described explicitly in the story Jrr'nkurn aa Wadoy 'The owlet nightjar and the spotted nightjar' (Lalbanda \& Utemorrah 2000:43-50).

The system exhibits a degree of Omaha-type skewing (Lucich 1968) in which adjacent generations are collapsed in some contexts, and which makes it difficult clearly to distinguish generational moieties. So for example, sons and fathers call each other by the same term (irraaya), and fathers' sisters and brothers' daughters call each other by the same term (bamaraanya). More covertly, husbands and wives occupy harmonic generations in most contexts having to do with kinship reckoning, although it is clear from the prescribed marriage rule that husbands and wives may regularly come from adjacent or disharmonic generation levels (see Figure 18.2). \({ }^{130}\) One of the outcomes of this situation is anomalous generational reckoning for categories such as walbaya

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{130}\) North-eastern Arnhem Land or 'Murngin'type marriage rules also display an alternation in the generation levels from which wives or husbands may be selected (Berndt \& Berndt 1964:103).
}
'(woman's) DaHu ,' which is a harmonic term when applied to a HuSiHu, but disharmonic when applied to a DaHu (see below). Nevertheless the cyclic alternation of kinship terms within patrilines and between generations is the most significant feature of Worrorra kinship terminology, and the clearest expression of the underlying meanings of these terms.

Most kinship nouns occur in male-female pairs, with the feminine form being based on the masculine shape with the addition of the feminine suffix -nya. Pairs of this sort are always related consanguineally, as brother and sister, never affinally. Three important brother-sister pairs however do not have the same phonological stem shapes: irraaya 'father, mans son' and his sister bamaraanya; karraanya 'mother, man's son's wife' and her brother kakaaya, and mangkaanya 'father's mother, wife' and her brother waaya. All others share stem shapes with siblings, eg kulaaya 'husband' and kulaanya 'husband's sister.'

Instead of using the usual first person Latin shifter ego to stand for a datum point in kinship reckoning, I will for the sake of clarity use the English second person shifters 'you' and 'your.' Also note that the system as described here is without doubt an idealized model of what should happen, and not necessarily what actually does happen to real people in real families. This is to say that the Worrorra kinship system is depicted here at the level of ideology, and that practice probably reflects this ideology to a greater or lesser extent.

\subsection*{18.1 Your own patrimoiety}

\subsection*{18.1.1 Father's father's family}

This is your 'own' clan, reckoned in terms of the dominant patrilineal ideology, and referred to by the inalienable noun = rambim mana 'patriclan,' so: ngarambim mana 'my family/clan.' This is the patriline of which you are identifiably a member, and to which you owe social allegiance.
Irraaya: male-male pairs in adjacent generations are irraaya to each other, hence 'father,' '(man's) son.' Also '(woman's) brother's son.' Jïjaaya 'dad' is the baby-talk form. Plural: irráarrèya, vocative: irra.
\(-S J T V={ }^{*} w u r l u\) : this term is formally a nominalized verb, unable to bear tense or aspect marking ( \(\$ 6.2 .4\) ). It refers to \(\mathrm{G}^{-1}\) males in your own patriline, hence '(man's) son,' '(woman's) brother's son.' This term appears to be cognate with, and equivalent to the simplex nouns kawurla and kawurlanya 'patrilineal son, daughter' (see \(\S 7.7, \$ 13.1 .1\) ).
Bamaraanya: male-female pairs in adjacent generations are irraaya and bamaraanya to each other. Hence 'FaSi,' '(man's) Da,' '(woman's) BrDa.' Jijeenya is the baby-talk form. Plural: bamàamaréya.
Ngawaaya (baby-talk abiya): ascending harmonic generation kinsman in your own patriline, hence 'ElBr,' 'FaFa.' Note that a ngawaaya's wife (your FaMo) is referred to by the same term as your own wife, mangkaanya. By extension, ngawaaya is also used in other contexts to refer to all men in your own (your FaFa's) clan. Plural: ngawaawaaya and abaabiya, usually used to refer to all your clansmen as a group. Vocative: abi.
Ngawaanya (baby-talk abeenya): ascending harmonic generation kinswoman in your own patriline, hence 'ElSi,' 'FaFaSi.' Also 'clanswoman' by extension. Plural: abàabiyéya. Alternate generations of both men and women are \(a b i-a b i\) to each other.
\(=^{b}{ }^{p}(a) m a l e:\) descending harmonic generation kinsperson in your own patriline. This nominal form takes derivational affixes as shown:
Ngawmaleya: 'my YrBr,' 'my (man's/woman's brother's) SoSo.' Also iwamaleya/ iwamaleyanangka 'his little brother,' nyimbamaleya/ nyimbamaleyanangka 'her little brother,' ngarrwmaleya 'our (inc) little brother' etc. Plural: ngawmaamaleya etc.
Ngawmalenya: 'my YrSi,' 'my (man's/woman's brother's) SoDa.' Also iwamaleyinya/ iwamaleyanangkanya 'his little sister,' nyimbamaleyinya/nyimbamaleyanangkanya 'her little sister,' ngarrwmalenya 'our (inc) little sister' etc. Plural: ngawmaamalenya and ngawmaamaleya etc.

\subsection*{18.1.2 Mother's mother's family}

This patriline contains a man's (or a woman's brother's) wife's mother, and full or partial avoidance constraints apply to people in this clan.
Kajaanya: 'MoMo,' 'MoBrWi.' All women of this patriline are kajaanya to you.
Kajaaya: 'MoMoBr,' 'MoMoFa.' All men of this patriline are kajaaya to you.
Kajaajeya (plural): all members of this clan.
Kaja: vocative form for any member of this clan, applied to all generation levels.
Kurrumaanya: ‘WiFaWi (=WiMo),' 'WiBrWi' (ie 'FaMoBrWi,' 'FaMoBrSoWi').
Kurruma: 'WiMoBr.' Kurruma and kurrumaanya are in a full avoidance relationship to yourself, and are in the strong avoidance category called rambarr mana: (Ungarinyin 'barrier' - Alan Rumsey, pers comm).

\subsection*{18.2 Opposite patrimoiety}

\subsection*{18.2.1 Mother's father's family}

This clan represents your matriline, and contains your most important matrilineal kin. It is the patriline into which disharmonic kinsmen in your own clan (ie irraaya, both ' Fa ' and '(man's) So') marry, hence it contains 'FaWi ( \(=\mathrm{Mo}\) )' and 'SoWi.'
Karraanya: disharmonic generation kinswoman in this patriline, hence 'Mo,' '(man's/woman's brother's) SoWi.' Plural: karraarreya. By extension, karraarreya is also used to refer to all the women in this clan. Baby-talk: amakurya, \({ }^{131}\) plural amaamakuyu. Vocative: karraa.
Kakaaya: disharmonic generation kinsman in this patriline, hence 'MoBr,' 'MoBrSoSo,' 'SoWiBr.' Plural kakaakaya, also refers to all men of this clan.
Jamaaya: harmonic generation kinsman in this patriline, ie your matrilateral cross cousins and your mother's father, hence 'MoFa,' 'MoBrSo,' 'MoBrSoSoSo.' Baby talk: babaaya.
Jamaanya: harmonic generation kinswoman in this patriline, ie your female matrilateral cross cousins, hence 'MoFaSi,' 'MoBrDa.' Baby talk: babaanya. Vocative for both jamaaya and jamaanya: jama. Maangkarreya: (plural) all members of this clan.

\subsection*{18.2.2 Father's mother's family}

This is the clan that gives away its sisters and daughters to men in your own clan, in generations harmonic to yours, as wives.
Makamaka: all members of this clan
Maka: vocative form for any member of this clan, applied to all generation levels.
Mangkaanya: woman of opposite patrimoiety and in generation level harmonic to yours, hence 'FaMo.' Also a man's prescribed wife, 'FaMoBrDa' or 'FaMoBrSoDa,' hence 'Wi' and 'WiSi.' All women of this patriline are mangkaanya to you.
Makamangleaanya: all the women of this clan.
Waaya: 'FaMoBr (=WiFa),' 'FaMoBrSo (=WiBr).' All men of this patriline are waaya to you.
Waawaaya: (plural) all the men of this clan.
There is a further set of relations best understood as 'woman's in-laws,' that is, as the family of a woman's husband, and/or of a man's sister's husband. Although these people too may be members of one or more of the patrilines referred to above, their structural definitions come about by virtue of their affinal relationship to your own patriline. So, for instance, although walbaya '(woman's/man's sister's) DaHu,' walbayinya '(woman's/man's sister's) DaHuSi, burda '(woman's/man's sister's) DaSo' and burdinya '(woman's/man's sister's) DaDa ' are all defined in terms of their membership of the

\footnotetext{
131 Amakunya 'mum' is probably derived from *ngamuku-nya 'breast-fem.'
}
patriline into which a woman's daughter marries, these same people are also members of your own MoMo patriline, and in some contexts you may refer to them as such, ie as kajaanya '(structural) MoMo' and kajaaya '(structural) MoMoBr.' We may therefore examine as well a woman's (or man's sister's) husband's family:

\subsection*{18.3 Woman's (man's sister's) husband's family}

\subsection*{18.3.1 Husband's father's father's family}

The clan into which a woman marries has four terms associated with it, which effectively partition the patriline by gender and generation. Terms in this patriline need to be understood from two points of view, from egocentric and sociocentric perspectives (Silvserstein n.d.1:12-13). In egocentric perspective the terms kulaaya/kulaanya and ibaaya/ibaanya refer to harmonic and disharmonic generation levels respectively, while in sociocentric perspective those terms refer less abstractly to 'woman's husband/husband's sister' and 'woman's child' respectively. The point to be noted is that the egocentric perspective maintains an alternation of generation levels, while in sociocentric perspective those levels are collapsed.
Kulaaya: (i) Viewed egocentrically, as a woman married into this line: male in harmonic generation to yourself, hence 'Hu,' 'HuFaFa,' '(woman's) SoSo.' (ii) Viewed sociocentrically, from the point of view of your own clan: husband of any woman in your patriline, hence ' FaFaSiHu, ' FaSiHu ,' 'SiHu,' (man's) DaHu.' Note that 'FaSi' and '(man's) Da' are both bamaraanya, and that 'ElSi' and ' FaFaSi ' are both ngawaanya or abeenya, so kulaaya may in this reckoning be resolved as 'husband of some sister or daughter,' or more broadly as 'man of the patriline to whom our sisters and daughters are given.'
Kulaanya: sister of Kulaaya, hence 'HuSi' and '(woman's) SoDa' among others.
Ibaaya: Viewed egocentrically: male in disharmonic generation to yourself, hence 'HuFa,' '(woman's) So.' Viewed sociocentrically: son of any woman in your patriline, ie son of some bamaraanya or ngawaanya, hence 'SiSo,' '(man's) DaSo,' 'FaSiSo.'
Ibaanya: sister of ibaaya, hence 'HuFaSi,' '(woman's) Da,' 'SiDa' '(man's) DaDa ,' ' FaSiDa .' Vocative for both ibaaya and ibaanya: iba.
Waraku and his sister warakunya: These kin are structurally equivalent to ibaaya and ibaanya, and may be considered as a specialized subset of those relations. (i) ' FaSiCh ,' ie patrilateral cross-cousin, and (ii) 'HuFa' and 'HuFaSi.' Just as his waaya provides a man with a wife, so her waraku provides a woman with a husband. To understand how these two functions are congruent with each other and are equivalent to ibaaya 'woman's son,' the structure of Worrorra prescribed or ideal marriage relations must be noted.

Ideal marriage arrangements from a man's point of view are with a (classificatory) FaMoBrDa or FaMoBrSoDa (Silverstein nd 1:11). This arrangement is shown in Figure 18.1: note here that all the women in the patriline into which a man marries are mangkaanya to him, and all the men are waaya:

Figure 18.1: Man's prescribed marriage to a FaMoBrDa or a FaMoBrSoDa


The key point here is that there are two possible locations in which a wife may be found. Now consider the same arrangement from a woman's point of view, with the first possibility shown in Figure 18.2, and the second in Figure 18.3.

Figure 18.2: Woman's prescribed marriage to a FaSiSoSo


Figure 18.3: Woman's prescribed marriage to a FaFaSiSoSo


Note in Figure 18.2 that waraku appears in its 'correct' relation as patrilateral cross-cousin, ie as 'FaSiSo.' A bamaraanya's husband is kulaaya, so the men in the patriline into which a woman marries alternate kulaaya-waraku-kulaaya, with waraku occupying in this instance the structural position otherwise occupied by ibaaya in this patriline. A woman's father-in-law or 'husband-giver' really is in this case a structural patrilateral cross-cousin.

Now note Figure 18.3: here waraku is not bamaraanya's son at all, but the son of a ngawaanya ' FaFaSi ,' a structural 'ElSi:' because of the rule of alternate generation equivalence, this ngawaanya is equivalent to an elder sister. And because of the rule of same-sex sibling equivalence, ngawaanya's children are equivalent to your own children. Therefore waraku is indeed a structural (woman's) son, and again is equivalent to ibaaya 'woman's son.' In both positions, note, waraku consistently maintains its apparently most important denotation as 'husband's father/husband-giver.' Once this point is understood, its other interpretations, as 'son' and 'patrilateral cross-cousin' cease to be anomalous And it becomes apparent that kinship nouns such as waraku cannot be understood in terms of focal relations only, but must be seen as indices or tokens ultimately of more abstract functional relationships within a particular structure.

\subsection*{18.3.2 Husband's mother's father's family}

This clan is your husband's matriline, partitioned only by terms that encode gender.
Ngalinjaanya: any woman whom your husband refers to as karraanya 'mother/(man's) SoWi,' hence 'HuMo,' '(woman's) SoWi.' Also your husband's female (structural) matrilateral cross cousins (ie his jamaanya), 'HuMoBrDa' and 'HuMoFaSi.'
Ngalinjaaya: any man whom your husband refers to as kakaaya ' \(\mathrm{MoBr}^{\prime}\) ' or jamaaya 'matrilateral cross cousin.' Hence 'HuMoBr,' 'HuMoBrSo' and 'HuMoFa,' as well as '(woman's) SoWiBr.'
HuMo-SoWi pairs are ngalinjaanya to each other, and are also rambarr to each other. All people in this patriline, ie all your husband's maangkarreya, are referred to as either ngalinjaanya or ngalinjaaya, and all are rambarr with respect to yourself.

\subsection*{18.4 Woman's (man's sister's) husband's sister's husband's family}

This is the family of your husband's sister's in-laws, ie the patriline that your husband and his sister in their turn refer to as kulaaya/kulaanya and ibaaya/ibaanya. By the logic of kinship geometry this patriline is equivalent to your own MoMo patriline, and accordingly you may refer to its members as kajaaya and kajaanya. People in this clan are structurally complementary to the people in your HuMoFa patriline, ngalinjaanya and ngalinjaaya. Note that as with the HuFaFa patriline ( \((18.3 .1\) ), the terms used to name people in this patriline employ a sociocentric view of it.
Walbaya and his sister walbayinya: most importantly, this category includes a woman's DaHu and his sister. DaHu-WiMo pairs are walbaya and kurrumaanya to each other respectively, and constitute the strongest form of the rambarr relationship category. More broadly, walbaya and walbayinya refer to all the relations that your husband calls kulaaya and kulannya, hence: 'HuFaFaSiHu,' 'HuFaSiHu,' 'HuSiHu,' DaHu' and 'HuSiSoSo' and their sisters. Note that just as the terms kajaaya and kajaanya ignore generation levels, so the relationships listed here for walbaya and walbayinya range over \(\mathrm{G}^{+2}, \mathrm{G}^{+1}, \mathrm{G}^{\varnothing}, \mathrm{G}^{-1}\) and \(\mathrm{G}^{-2}\). That is, walbaya and walbayinya name the husband and husband's sister of any woman in your husband's patriline.
Burda and his sister burdinya: most importantly, this category includes a woman's DaSo and DaDa. More broadly, burda and burdinya refer to all the relations that your husband calls ibaaya and ibaanya, hence: 'HuFaSiSo,' HuFaSiDa,' 'HuSiSo,' 'HuSiDa,' 'DaSo' and 'DaDa,' as well as '(man's) SiDaSo' and '(man's) SiDaDa.' That is, burda and burdinya name the child of any woman in your husband's patriline.
An important skewing effect is evident here: although a husband's use of kulaaya and his wife's use of walbaya name the same person, kulaaya is a harmonic generation term, while walbaya is a disharmonic one. The same holds true for the generational references of ibaaya and burda. This asymmetry results from the generational mis-match inherent in a woman's prescribed marriage to a FaSiSoSo as shown in Figure 18.2, such that while ibaanya ' \(\mathrm{Da}^{\prime}\) is \(\mathrm{G}^{-1}\), walbaya ' DaHu ' is \(\mathrm{G}^{-2}\), ie walbaya really is a harmonic generation relative after all. Nevertheless a walbaya's children, burda and burdinya, follow their mother's generational position and are \(\mathrm{G}^{-2}\) as well, thus pushing walbaya up into a structural \(\mathrm{G}^{-1}\) position. This state of affairs gives evidence that male marriage to a FaMoBrDa is more underlyingly prescriptive than the alternative (to a FaMoBrSoDa ).

A couple of cyclic patterns are evident in this account. \({ }^{132}\) One pattern manifests an alternation of terms along harmonic/disharmonic generation lines within patrilines. These are the patrilines defined by reference to a parent's or husband's father:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{132}\) For a more detailed account of these cycles see Lucich (1968).
}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
FaFa patriline & harmonic: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ngawaaya/ngawaanya \(\left(\mathrm{G}^{\varnothing}, \mathrm{G}^{+2}\right)\), \\
ngawmaleya/ngawmalenya \(\left(\mathrm{G}^{\varnothing}, \mathrm{G}^{-2}\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
MoFa patriline & disharmonic: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
irraaya/bamaraanya \(\left(\mathrm{G}^{ \pm 1}\right)\)
\end{tabular} \\
harmonic: & jamaaya/jamaanya \\
HuFaFa patriline & disharmonic: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
karraanya/kakaaya
\end{tabular} \\
harmonic: & kulaaya/kulaanya \\
HuSiHuFaFa patriline & \begin{tabular}{l} 
disharmonic: \\
harmonic:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ibaaya/ibaanya \\
burda/burdinya
\end{tabular} \\
& disharmonic: & walbaya/walbayinya
\end{tabular}

Another pattern manifests no such alternation; these are the patrilines defined by reference to a parent's or husband's mother.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
MoMo patriline: & kajaanya/kajaaya \\
FaMo patriline: & mangkaanya/waaya \\
HuMoFa patriline: & ngalinjaanya/ngalinjaaya.
\end{tabular}

Ultimately, Worrorra kinship terminology cannot be understood as referring to prototypical, focal members of some kinship category as proposed by Lounsbury (1965). Rather, kinship terms denote abstract relations that refer underlyingly to categories. As an example, take the noun mangkaanya, usually translated as 'wife.' This translation runs into difficulty with one of mangkaanya's other translations, 'father's mother.' For a young child in a virilocal society a doting FaMo could indeed be the 'focal' denotation of mangkaanya, but as the child grows, so then the woman with whom he would come to live on intimate terms, and who bears his children would be likely to take over from his FaMo as the focal denotation of mangkaanya. The point is that a term like mangkaanya has no unique focal denotation; rather the term refers underlyingly to an entire set of women in a particular relationship to yourself within social structure. To capture the proper meaning of mangkaanya, a formula such as 'woman of opposite patrimoiety to propositus, and in harmonic generation level' is required, even though mangkaanya, as 'man's wife,' may only be a harmonic relation when adjusted out of the disharmonic position that results from generational skewing. Such a formula refers at base to a particular abstract relationship or social function, rather than to a unique focal relation. This is not to deny that the underlying denotation of mangkaanya may have to do with a function translatable by the English word 'wife;' what it does mean, however, is that wifehood in Worrorra and English involve quite different concepts. Rather the claim made here is that while reference is to one of a set of people in a particular social function, no one member of that set is prototypically representative of it, ie that no instance of the set stands for the set as a whole. An even clearer example is seen in the noun irraaya 'Fa,' '(man's) So:' fathers and sons refer to each other as irraaya, a term which clearly indexes both males as members of the same patriline. The part of the meaning that might be said to capture a so-called 'biological' basis, by which is or ought to be meant intimate interpersonal relations within a nuclear family, is of course the generational one, by the denotation of both persons as members of adjacent generations within their patriline.

\section*{Appendices}

\section*{Appendix 1: texts}

Appendix 1.1: Amy Peters, extract from Dawarraweyi
Ke ingo kubajeng,
ke i-nga=bwu kuN-b \({ }^{\text {warr-nya }}=\) yi-ng
3wREF 3a-1=hit VCOMP-3p-EP=do-PAST
1. Now they wanted to kill him,
ke nyina kunjungaara,
ke nyina kuN-Ø=yi-ng-kwara
3wREF 3fDEF VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT
2. and she said to me,
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'kawarro & wali & aaya & ngamba & ke. \\
ka-b warr- \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}_{\text {wu }}\) & wali & aaya & ngamba & ke \\
3a-3p-EP=hit & PERF & 3aREF & now & 3wREF
\end{tabular}
3. 'they're going to kill him now.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Wara & drarr & iwu & kaajunangka \\
wara & drarr & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{b}\) wu & ØN-ngka-b \({ }^{\text {warr=yi-nangka }}\) \\
kangaroo & gut & 3a=hit & VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-DAT
\end{tabular}
4. When they tell him to gut the kangaroo
bariy imurrkangurru, kunjungaara.
bariy \(i=\) murrka-ngurru kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g-{ }^{-k}\) wara
rise \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go.to-away VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT
5. get up and go over to him,' she told me.

Ke Kengkunaaleerri,
ke ka=ya-ngku-na- \({ }^{\text {w }}\) waal-eerri
3wREF 3a=go-EP-PAST-hither-PROG
6. And then he arrived,
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
wara \(\quad\) irno & imaal & drarr & iwu & kubajunganangka. \\
wara \(\quad \mathrm{i}=\) rno & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{ma}^{-\mathrm{k}}\) waal & drarr & \(\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{b}\) wu & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nangka \\
k'roo & 3a=DIST & 3a=get-hither & gut & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) hit & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-DAT \\
7. and they told bim to get that kangaroo and gut it. & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Ke & kamangaal & drarr & kamnyona, \\
ke & ka- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) waal & drarr & ka- -mnya= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na \\
3wREF & 3a-3= get-PAST-hither & gut & 3a-3-DD= \(=\) get-PAST
\end{tabular}
8. So be brought it over and set about gutting it,
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ke & nyangka & kunjungaara, & 'bariy & imurrkangurru; \\
ke & nyangka & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-kwara & bariy & \(i=\) murrka-ngurru \\
3wREF & 3fNAR & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT & rise & 3a=go.to-away
\end{tabular}
9. and she said to me, 'get up and go over to him;
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ingo & kubajeerri & wali & aaya,' & kunjungaara. \\
i-nga=bwu & kuN-bwarr=yi-eerri & wali & aaya & kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g-k\) wara \\
3a-1=hit & VCOMP-3p=do-PROG & PERF & 3aREF & VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT \\
10. they want to kill him,' she said. & & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Bariy & kangamurrkarlangurru & ngawurriy & kunyajungaarndu; \\
bariy & ka-nga=murrka-rla-ngurru & ngawurriy & kuN-nyarr=yi-ng-kwarndu \\
rise & 3a-1=go.to-PAST-away & drink.gravy & VCOMP-1px=do-PAST-DU
\end{tabular}
11. I got up and went over to bim, and drank the gravy in the body-cavity with him;
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ke & awa & laiburru & kanuna: \\
ke & awa & laiburru & ka=nu-na \\
3wREF & 3aNAR & know & 3a=be-PAST
\end{tabular}
12. and so then he knew:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ingo & ngana & kubajungu & inja. \\
i-nga=bwu & ngana & kuN-bwarr=yi-ng & inja \\
3a-1=hit & PROB & VCOMP-3p=do-PAST & 3aDEF
\end{tabular}
13. they must have wanted to kill him.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
'Ngayu & ingo & ngana & kubajungaara, \\
ngayu & \(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{nga}=\mathrm{b}\) wu & ngana & kuN- \(^{\mathrm{b}}\) warr=yi-ng- \({ }^{\text {w }}\) wara
\end{tabular}
nyina nganmurrkarlaal.'
nyina ngan-n- \(\varnothing=\) murrka-rla-kwaal
3fDEF 1-INV-3=go.to-PAST-hither
15. she has come over to me.'

Waa ngawurriy bungajin
waa ngawurriy ba-kuN-ngarr=yi-n
not drink.gravy CFT-VCOMP-1pin=do-NON.P
16. We don't drink the cooked blood in the body-cavity of the kangaroo
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
wunu & mrnangkanya & ngarrkunungu, \\
wunu & mrnangkanya & ngarr-ngun=nu-ng \\
3wDEF & teenage.girl & 1pin-SJTV=be-PRES \\
17. when we are young teenage girls,
\end{tabular}
ke arrka maa ngarrbardo.
ke arrka maa ngarr- \({ }^{\text {b }}\) warr=rno
3wREF 3pNAR 3wSPEC 1pin-3p=give
18. unless they give it to us.

\section*{Appendix 1.2: Amy Peters, Kanunerri Warruwarlu}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Kanunerri & Warruwarlu. & Awa & iyarrungu & maa, & ke \\
ka=nu-na-eerri & Warruwarlu & awa & i=yarrungu & maa & ke \\
3a=be-PAST-PROG & PLACE & 3aNAR & 3a=QUANT & 3mREF & and
\end{tabular}
1. He used to live at Warruwarlu. He was on bis own there, and
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
buju & kenga & jubakuyaa & flour, & sugar, & kengkunaal \\
buju & ØN-Ø-ngka=yi-ng & jubakuya-aa & flour & sugar & ka=ya-ngku-na-kwaal \\
finish & VCOMP-3-SJTV=do-PAST & tobacco-and & flour & sugar & 3a=go-EP-PAST-hither
\end{tabular}
2. when he had finished his tobacco, flour and sugar, he came
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Warruwarlaalb & barrawarranyine & Ngokonyini. & Arrka & anja \\
warruwarlu-aalb & barrawarra-nyine & ngoko-nyini & arrka & anja \\
PLACE-from & canoe-INST & PLACE-ENDPOINT & 3pNAR & 3pDEF \\
3. from W arruwarlu in a canoe to Ngoko. & Those & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kawarrarnaarnarlerri & eeja. & Ke & kawarrona, & we & kawarreerla. \\
ka-bwarr-a=rnaarna-rla-eerri & eeja & ke & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na & we & ka-bwarr=ee-rla \\
3a-3p-EP=await-PAST-PROG & men & and & \(3 a-3 p-E P=h i t-P A S T\) & lie & \(3 a-3 p=\) place-PAST
\end{tabular}
4. men were waiting for him. They bashed him and left him lying there.
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
ke & we & kawanerri & ke & bariy & kanuna. & Karle & karlumarlum & wali. \\
ke & we & ka=bwa-na-eerri & ke & bariy & ka=nu-na & karle & karlumarlum & wali \\
and & lie & \(3 a=\) fall-PAST-PROG & and & rise & \(3 a=b e-P A S T\) & now/then & evening & PERF
\end{tabular}
5. He lay there, then [later] be got up. By then it was evening.
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Jaaya & karle & irnurru. & Ardurru & kaaduna & jaaya. & Kengkunaal \\
jaaya & karle & \(\mathrm{i}=\) rnurru & arr=rnurru & kaarr=nu-na & jaaya & ka=ya-ngku-na-kaal \\
fish & now & \(3 a=\) stinking & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) =stinking & \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) be-PAST & fish & \(3 a=\) go-EP-PAST-hither
\end{tabular}
6. His fish were by that time stinking. The fish were stinking. He came
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
dambeenyinim. & 'Angujaaw & ngunyangkunaal, & waraku?' & kungenganangka. \\
dambee-nyini-m & anguja-aaw & ngun=ya-ngku-na-kwaal & waraku & kuN-nga=yi-ng-a-nangka \\
place-ENDPOINT-3m & what-time & 2=go-EP-PAST-hither & FaSiSo & VCOMP-1=do-PAST-EP-DAT
\end{tabular}
7. to the camp. 'When did you get here, cousin?' I asked him.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
'Wenmaanbali & ngamnyengkunaal,' & kunjungu. & 'Anja & jaaya \\
weni-maanbali & nga-mnya=ya-ngku-na-k waal & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng & anja & jaaya \\
now-FOCUS & 1-DD=go-EP-PAST-hither & VCOMP-3=do-PAST & 3pDEF & fish
\end{tabular}
8. 'I came in just now,' he said. 'The fish
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ardurrunyini, & weya?' & 'Wenmaanbali & ngamnyengkunaal,' \\
arr=rnurru-nyini & weya & weni-maanbali & nga-mnya=ya-ngku-na-kwaal \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) stinking-ENDPOINT & hey & now-FOCUS & 1-DD=go-EP-PAST-hither
\end{tabular}
9. are stinking, bey?' 'I came in just now,'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
kunjungaara. & Walambarr & kunyajungu & anja & jaaya. \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=y i-n g-k\) wara & walambarr & kuN-nyarr=yi-ng & anja & jaaya \\
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT & throw.out & VCOMP-1px=do-PAST & 3pDEF & fish \\
10. he told me. We threw out all the fish. & & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Ardurrunyiniya & karle, & jaaya & walambarr & kunyajeng. \\
arr=rnurru-nyini-ya & karle & jaaya & walambarr & kuN-nyarr-nya=yi-ng \\
\(3 \mathrm{p}=\) stinking-ENDPOINT-3p & now & fish & throw.out & VCOMP-1px-EP=do-PAST
\end{tabular}
11. By now they were all stinking, we threw out all the fish.
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Ke & anja & iyaaw & kawarraangurrurlu & irraaya, & ngayu & irraaya \\
ke & anja & iyaaw & ka-bwarr-a=kwangurru-rla & irra-aa-ya & ngayu & irra-aa-ya \\
and & 3pDEF & abuse & 3a-3p-EP=carry-PAST & father-1DAT-3a & I & father-1DAT-3a
\end{tabular}
12. Then they \({ }^{133}\) abused my father, my own father,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ngayu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wunya. & 'Nyingke & nyimnyiyabaal! & Nyingke & nyimnyiyabaal! \\
\hline I-PURP & nyiN=kwaya & nyiN-mnya \(=\) yabu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) waal & nyiN=kwaya & nyiN-mnya \(=\) yabu- \({ }^{\text {k }}\) waal \\
\hline ngayu-kwunya & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{REF}\) & 3f-DD=throw-hither & \(3 \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{REF}\) & 3f-DD=throw-hither \\
\hline 13. because of \(m\) & end her over & ! Send her over here! & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Angujakunya & nyinjaangurreerri? & Ngurru & nyimnyengkunyaal & arrirnanya!' \\
anguja-kwunya & nyiN-nja=kwangurru-eerri & ngurru & nyiN-mnya=ya-ngku-nya-kwaal & arri-rnanya \\
what-PURP & 3f-2=carry-PROG & hither & 3f-DD=go-EP-HORT-hither & we(exc)-LOC
\end{tabular}
14. What are you hanging on to her for? Let her come over here to us!'
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
kubajunganangka & ngayu & irraaya. & Ke & awa \\
kuN-bwarr=yi-ng-a-nangka & ngayu & irra-aa-ya & ke & awa \\
VCOMP-3p=do-PAST-EP-DAT & I & father-1DAT-3a & and & 3aNAR
\end{tabular}
15. they said to my father. Then be \({ }^{134}\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kunjunganangkorri, & 'Angkuyu & iyaaw & kaangurreerri \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-a-[nangka-kwurri] & angkuyu & iyaaw & ka- \(\varnothing={ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-eerri \\
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-3pDAT & who & abuse & \(3 a-3=\) carry-PROG
\end{tabular}
16. said to them, 'who is calling out abuse
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
kakaaya & ngayanangka? & Nyirringkaal & ngurru & nyinyamanjoo!' \\
kaka-aa-ya & ngayu-nangka & nyirr=i-kwaal & ngurru & nyirr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=manjoo \\
MoBr-1DAT-3a & I-DAT & \(2 \mathrm{p}=\) go-hither & hither & \(2 \mathrm{p}-\) inv-1/3-opt=kill
\end{tabular}
17. to my uncle? Come here and I'll kill you!'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline kunjunganangkorri. & Ke & wali & kengkunaalkarndu \\
\hline kuN-Ø=yi-ng-a-[nangka-kwurri] & ke & wali & ka=ya-ngku-na-kwaal-kwarndu \\
\hline VCOMP-3=do-PAST-EP-3pDAT & and & PERF & \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-EP-PAST-hither-DU \\
\hline he said to them. Then straight & & em & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
jarriy & kumangaalkarndu & ke & kaajaweena, & ke \\
jarriy & kuN- \(\varnothing=\) ma-nga-k \(^{\text {waal-k }}\) warndu & ke & kaarr-nya=bwee-na & ke \\
run & VCOMP-3= \({ }^{\text {get-PAST-hither-DU }}\) & and & 3p-ep=hit.MID-PAST & and
\end{tabular}
19. running over here and they fought, and
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline jadbengurru & maa & wangarr & kawarrona. & Ke & kawarraangurrurlu \\
\hline jadbengurru & maa & wangarr & ka-b warr-a= \({ }^{\text {b }}\) wu-na & ke & ka-bwarr= \({ }^{\text {k }}\) wangurru-rla \\
\hline for.good & 3 mREF & strike/kill & 3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST & and & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) carry-PAST \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
20. they knocked him down and killed him. They carried him

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{133}\) The men who had attacked Amy's cousin.
\({ }^{134}\) Amy's cousin, the man from Warruwarlu.
}
hospital-kurru, we kawarreerla. Nyina ngaard nyingamanganangka hospital-ngurru we ka-bwarr=ee-rla nyina ngaard nyiN-nga=ma-nga-nangka hospital-ALL lie \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) place-PAST 3 fDEF ask \(3 \mathrm{f}-1=\) get=PAST-DAT 21. to the hospital and laid him down there. I asked
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kawurlanangkanya, & 'Ngani & kumnyeng, & irrolija?' & 'Karle & kaarri' \\
kawurla-nangka-nya & ngani & kuN-Ø-mnya=yi-ng & irra-wulija & karle & kaarri \\
BrCh-DAT-3f & what & VCOMP-3-DD=do-PAST & father-2KIN.POSS & now & 3pNEG
\end{tabular}
22. his daughter about him, 'how is your father?' 'He is gone,'
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
kunjungaara. & Ke & wundu-wundukum & maanma \\
kuN- \(\varnothing=\) yi-ng-k wara & ke & wundu-wundukum & maanma \\
VCOMP-3=do-PAST-1DAT & and & REDUP-night & \(3 m S P E C\)
\end{tabular}
23. she told me. And that same night, before dawn,
\begin{tabular}{llllllll} 
wali & wulaa & kaajingeerri & maa, & arrka & anja & eeja & belangkarraya \\
wali & wulaa & ØN-ngka-b warr=yi-ng-eerri & maa & arrka & anja & eeja & belangkarra-ya \\
PERF & sleep & VCOMP- SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG & PROG & 3pNAR & 3pDEF & men & many.people-3p
\end{tabular}
24. while they were still sleeping, all the men

Arnngarrngoyu kaarringurru. Jinalya jadba kawarraangurrurlu
Arnngarrngoya kaarr=i-nga-ngurru jinalya jad-bwa ka-bwarr-a=kwangurru-rla
CLAN.NAME 3p=go-PAST-away spear straight-PROG 3a-3p-EP-take-PAST
25. of the Arnngarrngoyu clan set out. They threw their spears at them \({ }^{135}\)
kulunu wulaa kaajingeerri maa. Ke kaanbajaangurrurlu
kulunu wulaa ØN-ngka-b warr=yi-ng-eerri maa ke kaarr-n-b \({ }^{\text {w }}\) warr-nya \({ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {w }}\) wangurru-rla
sleep rest VCOMP-SJTV-3p=do-PAST-PROG PROG and 3p-INV-3p-EP-carry-PAST
26. while they were still sleeping, until others dragged them
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline marnonyinim. & Aworle & kawarrona & we & kawarreerla \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ma}=\) rno-nyini-m & awa-kwurle & ka-bwarr-a=bwu-na & we & ka-bwarr=ee-rla \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST-ENDPOINT-3m & 3aNAR-first & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{EP}=\) hit-PAST & lie & \(3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{p}=\) place - PAS \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
27. away. They attacked the [younger] one first, and laid bim out
dambeemarnanya, Arrigrarlya dambeem we kawarreerla.
dambeema-rnanya arr=grarlya dambeem we ka-bwarr=ee-rla place-loc \(3 \mathrm{p}=\) CLAN.NAME place lie 3a-3p=place-PAST 28. in the camp, they laid him out in the camp of the Arrigralya clan.

Arri inja iwarnkarra kengangurru marno angkaweenerrima.
arri inja \(i=\) warnkarra ka=ya-nga-ngurru ma=rno arr-ngka=bwee-na-eerri-ma CONJ 3aDEF \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) elder \(3 \mathrm{a}=\) go-TNS-away \(3 \mathrm{~m}=\) DIST \(3 \mathrm{p}-\) SJTV=hit.MID-PAST-PROG-3m
29. But the elder [brother] went over to the fighting-ground.

Karraanya maanya karlaa konerri.
karra-aa-nya maanya karlaa ka- \(\varnothing=b\) wu-na-eerri
mother-1DAT-3f 3fSPEC cover 3a-3=hit-PAST-PROG
30. My own mother covered him. \({ }^{136}\)

\footnotetext{
135 at the men who had killed Amy's cousin.
\({ }^{136}\) with her body.
}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
Awa & maa & we & kawarreerla & aalkunya. & Karraanya & maanya \\
awa & maa & we & ka-bwarr=ee-rla & aalkunya & karraanya & maanya \\
3aNAR & 3mREF & lie & \(3 a-3 p=\) place-PAST & young.man & my.mother & 3fSPEC
\end{tabular}
31. They laid the young man out there. My own mother
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
karlaa & konerri & rambarrba & nyangkanangkama, & ke \\
karlaa & ka- \(\varnothing=\) bwu-na-eerri & rambarrba & nyangka-nangka-ma & ke \\
cover & 3a-3=hit-PAST-PROG & avoidance.kin & 3fNAR-DAT-3m & and
\end{tabular}
32. protected her (classificatory) son-in-law and
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
waa & bawarronya. & Karle. \\
waa & ba-ka-bwarr-a=bwu-nya & karle \\
not & CFT-3a-3p-EP=hit-PAST & that's.all
\end{tabular}
33. they didn't kill him. That's all.

Policeman-nyini kaanyamurrkarlaal, kaanyaangurrurlu barndaya. policeman-nyini kaarr-n- \(\varnothing\)-nya=murrka-rla- \({ }^{k}\) waal kaarr-n- - \(-n y a={ }^{k}\) wangurru-rla barndaya police-ENDPOINT 3p-INV-3-EP=go.to-PAST-hither 3p-INV-3-EP=carry-PAST mainland 34. Then a policeman came for them, and took them away overland.

Karle kaarri. Arrinyini ajenga courthouse Broome,
karle kaarri arri-nyini arr-nya=ya-nga courthouse Broome
now 3pNEG we(exc)-ENDPOINT 1px-EP=go-TNS court PLACE.NAME
35. That's all. We went to court in Broome,
mara nyarrkumbuna, bamaraanya ngayu. Ngayu mangkanangkanya
mara ØN-nyarr-ngun=bwu-na bamara-aa-nya ngayu ngayu mangka-nangka-nya
see \(3 \mathrm{w}-1 \mathrm{px}-\) SJTV=hit-PAST FaSi-1DAT-3f I I wife-DAT-3f
36. as witnesses, my aunt and I. His wife and I
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ajengaarndu & courthouse & Broome. & Karle. \\
arr-nya=ya-nga-kwarndu & courthouse & Broome & karle \\
1px-EP=go-TNS-DU & court & PLACE.NAME & that's.all
\end{tabular}
37. went to court in Broome. That's all.

\section*{Appendix 2: irregular verb paradigms}

\section*{Appendix 2.1: =ya 'go'}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & NON-FUT PROG & PAST & OPTATIVE \\
\hline & I am/was going & I went & I will go \\
\hline 1 & ngengerri & ngenga & ngeyu \\
\hline 2 & ngunyangerri & ngunyenga & ngunyayu \\
\hline 3a & kengerri & kenga & eyu \\
\hline 3f & nyengerri & nyenga & nyeyu \\
\hline 3w & kunyengerri & kunyenga & nyayu \\
\hline 3 m & mengerri & menga & meyu \\
\hline 1 pin & ngarringerri & ngarringa & ngarreyu \\
\hline 1 px & arringerri & arringa & arreyu \\
\hline 2p & nyirringerri & nyirringa & nyirreyu \\
\hline 3 p & kaarringerri & kaarringa & arreyu \\
\hline & SUBJUNCTIVE & HORTATIVE & FUTURE PROG \\
\hline & when I go/ have gone & let me go & we will be going \\
\hline 1 & nganngunyenga & ngengenya & ngeyeerri \\
\hline 2 & ngunngunyenga & ngunyangenya & - 137 \\
\hline 3a & ingkenga & kengenya & - \\
\hline 3 f & nyangkenga & nyengenya & - \\
\hline 3 w & kenga & kunyangenya & - \\
\hline 3 m & mangkenga & mengenya & - \\
\hline 1 pin & ngarrkunyenga & ngarreyu & ngarriyeerri \\
\hline 1 px & arrkunyenga & arreyu & arriyeerri \\
\hline 2p & nyirrkunyenga & nyirreyu & nyirriyeerri \\
\hline 3 p & angkenga & kaarringenya & - \\
\hline & CNTRFCT NON-PAST & CNTRFCT PRES PROG & CNTRFCT PAST \\
\hline & I should go & I should be going & I should have gone \\
\hline 1 & bangengan & bangenganjeerri & bangengenya \\
\hline 2 & bungunyangan & bungunyanganjeerri & bungunyangenya \\
\hline 3a & bangkengan & bangkenganjeerri & bangkengenya \\
\hline 3 f & binyengan & binyenganjeerri & binyengenya \\
\hline 3 w & bungkengan & bungkenganjeerri & bungkengenya \\
\hline 3 m & bamengan & bamenganjeerri & bamengenya \\
\hline 1 pin & barringan & barringanjeerri & bangarringenya \\
\hline 1 px & berringan & berringanjeerri & berringenya \\
\hline 2p & binyirringan & binyirringanjeerri & binyirringenya \\
\hline 3 p & baarringan & baarringanjeerri & baarringenya \\
\hline & CNTRFCT PAST PROG & POTENTIAL & AVERSIVE \\
\hline & I should have been going & I might go & I don't want to go \\
\hline 1 & bangengenyeerri & bangayu & bangayeerri \\
\hline 2 & bungunyangenyeerri & bungunyeyu & bungunyeyeerri \\
\hline 3a & bangkengenyeerri & bangkayu & bangkayeerri \\
\hline 3 f & binyengenyeerri & binyiyu & binyiyeerri \\
\hline 3 w & bungkengenyeerri & bungkayu & bungkayeerri \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{137}\) Unattested forms.
}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
3 m & bamengenyeerri & bamayu & bamayeerri \\
1 pin & bangarringenyeerri & bangarriya & bangarriyeerri \\
1 px & berringenyeerri & berriya & berriyeerri \\
2 p & binyirringenyeerri & binyirriya & binyirriyeerri \\
3 p & baarringenyeerri & baarriya & baarriyeerri \\
& & & \\
& FRUSTRATIVE MOOD & I wanted to go/I nearly went & \\
1 & nganngunyengenya & \(3 \mathrm{~m} \quad\) mangkengenya \\
2 & ngunngunyengenya & \(1 \mathrm{pin} \quad\) ngarrkunyengenya \\
3 a & ingkengenya & 1 px & arrkunyengenya \\
3 f & nyangkengenya & 2 p & nyirrkunyengenya \\
3 w & kengenya & 3 p & angkengenya
\end{tabular}

IMPERATIVE Go!
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Imp singular & bayu & go! \\
Imp sg continuous & bayeerri & keep going! \\
Imp plural & nyirriya & you all go! \\
Imp pl cont & nyirriyeerri & you all keep going! \\
Negative imp sg & bungunyeyeerri & don't go! \\
Neg imp pl & binyirriyeerri & don't go, you lot!
\end{tabular}

Appendix 2.2: \(=\mathrm{ya}^{-\mathrm{k}} \mathbf{w a a l}\) 'come'
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
& AORIST & PRESENT PROG & PAST \\
& I come & I'm coming & I came \\
1 & ngengkaal & ngengkaaleerri & ngengkunaal \\
2 & ngunyangkaal & ngunyangkaaleerri & ngunyangkunaal \\
3 a & kengkaal & kengkaaleerri & kengkunaal \\
3 f & nyengkaal & nyengkaaleerri & nyengkunaal \\
3 w & kunyangkaal & kunyangkaaleerri & kunyangkunaal \\
3 m & mengkaal & mengkaaleerri & mengkunaal \\
1 pin & ngarringkaal & ngarringkaaleerri & ngarringkunaal \\
1 px & arringkaal & arringkaaleerri & arringkunaal \\
2 p & nyirringkaal & nyirringkaaleerri & nyirringkunaal \\
3 p & kaarringkaal & kaarringkaaleerri & kaarringkunaal \\
& & & \\
& PAST PROG & OPTATIVE & SUBJUNCTIVE PRES \\
& I was coming & I will come & when I come \\
1 & ngengkunaaleerri & ngeyengkaal & nganngunyengkaal \\
2 & ngunyangkunaaleerri & ngunyengkaal & ngunngunyengkaal \\
3 a & kengkunaaleerri & eyengkaal & ingkengkaal \\
3 f & nyengkunaaleerri & nyeyengkaal & nyingkengkaal \\
3 w & kunyangkunaaleerri & nyayengkaal & kengkaal \\
3 m & mengkunaaleerri & meyengkaal & mangkengkaal \\
1 pin & ngarringkunaaleerri & ngarriyengkaal & ngarrkunyengkaal \\
1 px & arringkunaaleerri & arriyengkaal & arrkunyengkaal \\
2 p & nyirringkunaaleerri & nyirriyengkaal & nyirrkunyengkaal \\
3 p & kaarringkunaaleerri & arriyengkaal & angkengkaal
\end{tabular}

SUBJUNCTIVE PAST
when I came
1
2
3a
3f
3w
3 m mangkengkunaal
1pin ngarrkunyengkunaal
1px arrkunyengkunaal
2p nyirrkunyengkunaal
3p angkengkunaal

\section*{HORTATIVE}
let me come
1
2
3a
3 f nyengkunya
3w kunyangkunya
3 m mengkunyaal
1pin ngarringkunyaal
1px arringkunyaal
2p nyirringkunyaal
3p kaarringkunyaal

\section*{CNTRFCT PAST}

I should have come
1

2 bungunyangkunyaal bangkengkunyaal
3 f binyengkunyaal
3 w bungkengkunyaal
3 m bamengkunyaal
1pin bangarringkunyaal
1px berringkunyaal
\(2 \mathrm{p} \quad\) binyirringkunyaal
3p baarringkunyaal

SUBJUNCTIVE PAST PROG when I was coming nganngunyengkunaaleerri ngunngunyengkunaaleerri ingkengkunaaleerri nyingkengkunaaleerri kengkunaaleerri mangkengkunaaleerri ngarrkunyengkunaaleerri arrkunyengkunaaleerri nyirrkunyengkunaaleerri angkengkunaaleerri

\section*{CNTRFCT NON-PAST \\ I should come}
bangengkunaal
bungunyangkunaal
bangkengkunaal
binyengkunaal
bungkengkunaal
bamengkunaal
bangarringkunaal
berringkunaal
binyirringkunaal
baarringkunaal
POTENTIAL
I might come
bangengkaal
bungunyengkaal
bangkengkaal
binyengkaal
bungkengkaal
bamengkaal
bangarringkaal
berringkaal
binyirringkaal
baarringkaal

CNTRFCT PRES PROG
I should be coming bangengkunaaljeerri bungunyangkunaaljeerri bangkengkunaaljeerri binyengkunaaljeerri bungkengkunaaljeerri bamengkunaaljeerri bangarringkunaaljeerri berringkunaaljeerri binyirringkunaaljeerri baarringkunaaljeerri

\section*{AVERSIVE}

I don't want to come bangengkaaleerri bungunyengkaaleerri bangkengkaaleerri binyengkaaleerri bungkengkaaleerri bamengkaaleerri bangarringkaaleerri berringkaaleerri binyirringkaaleerri baarringkaaleerri

FRUSTRATIVE MOOD I wanted to come/I nearly came
1 nganngunyengkunyaal

IMPERATIVE Come!

Imperative singular
Imp sg continuous
Imp plural
Imp pl cont
Negative imp sg
bengkaal
bengkaaleerri
nyirringkaal
nyirringkaaleerri
bungunyengkaaleerri
come!
keep coming!
you all come! you all keep coming!
don't come!

Neg imp pl binyirringkaaleerri don't come, you lot!
The 1 pin counterfactual series prefix bangarr- is in free alternation with barr-.

\section*{Appendix 2.3: kuN[]=yi 'do'}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & AORIST P & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
PRES PROG \\
I'm doing it
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{OPTATIVE} \\
\hline & \(I\) do it & & I will & \\
\hline 1 & kunge k & kungayeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(i\) nge} \\
\hline 2 & kunjee & kunjiyeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{i je} \\
\hline 3 & kunju & kunjeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{nye} \\
\hline 1 pin & waju wa & wajiyeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{warre} \\
\hline 1 px & kunyaju k & kunyajeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{i nyarre} \\
\hline 2p & kurree kur & kurriyeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{wurre} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3 p} & kubaju ku & kubajeerri & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{barre} \\
\hline & PAST & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{PAST PROG} \\
\hline & I did it & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{I was doing it} \\
\hline 1 & kungenga & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kungengeerri} \\
\hline 2 & kunjeenga & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kunjeengeerri} \\
\hline 3 & kunjungu & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kunjingeerri} \\
\hline 1 pin & wajungu & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{wajingeerri} \\
\hline 1 px & kunyajungu & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kunyajingeerri} \\
\hline 2p & kurreenga & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kurringeerri} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3 p} & kubajungu & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kubajingeerri} \\
\hline & SUBJUNCTIVE PRES when I do it & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
S SUBJUNCTIVE PAST \\
when I did it
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1 & nganngunju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{nganngunjungu} \\
\hline 2 & jungunju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{junngunjungu} \\
\hline 3 & ke & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kenga} \\
\hline 1 pin & warrkunju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{warrkunjungu} \\
\hline 1 px & nyerrkunju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{nyarrkunjungu} \\
\hline 2p & wurrangunju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{wurrangunjungu} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3 p} & kaaju & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{kajungu} \\
\hline & CNTRFCT PRES & & CNTRFCT PAST & POTENTIAL \\
\hline & I should do it & & I should have done it & I might do it \\
\hline 1 & bungen & & bungenya & bunge \\
\hline 2 & binjeen & & binjeenya & binjee \\
\hline 3 & bungken & & bungkenya & bungke \\
\hline 1 pin & bungajin & & bungajeenya & bungaju \\
\hline 1px & binyajin & & binyajeenya & binyaju \\
\hline 2p & birreen & & birreenya & birree \\
\hline 3 p & bungkaajin & & bungkaajeenya & bungkaaju \\
\hline & AVERSIVE & & HORTATIVE & FRUSTRATIVE MOOD \\
\hline & I don't want to do it & & Let me do it & I wanted to do it/ I nearly did it \\
\hline 1 & bungayeerri & & kungenya & nganngunyenya \\
\hline 2 & binjiyeerri & & u & jungunyenya \\
\hline 3 & bungkayeerri & & kunjinya & kenya \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 pin & bungajeerri & waju & warrkunyenya \\
1 px & binyajeerri & kunyaju & nyarrkunyenya \\
2 p & birriyeerri & wurri & wurrangunyenya \\
3 p & bungkaajeerri & kubajeenya & kaajenya
\end{tabular}

IMPERATIVE Do it!
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Imp singular & ju \(\sim\) minye & do it! \\
Imp sg continuous & jiyeerri \(\sim\) minyiyeerri & keep doing it! \\
Imp plural & wurri & you all do it! \\
Imp pl cont & wurriyeerri & you all keep doing it! \\
Negative imp sg & binjiyeerri & don't do it! \\
Neg imp pl & birriyeerri & don't do it, you lot!
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix 3: transitive verb paradigm: \(={ }^{b} w u\) 'hit'}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & PRES PROG & PAST & OPTATIVE \\
\hline & I'm bitting you & I bit you & I will hit you \\
\hline \(1>2\) & ngunbeerri & ngunbuna & ngunyo \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kangoyeerri & kangona & ingo \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyingoyeerri & nyingona & nyingo \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kungoyeerri & kungona & ngo \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mangoyeerri & mangona & mango \\
\hline \(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbeerri & nyinbuna & nyinyo \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaangoyeerri & kaangona & ango \\
\hline \(2>1\) & janbeerri & janbuna & janyo \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kanjoyeerri & kanjona & injo \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinjoyeerri & nyinjona & nyinjo \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kunjoyeerri & kunjona & jo \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manjoyeerri & manjona & manjo \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & kaanjoyeerri & kaanjona & anjo \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanjoyeerri & kaanjona & anjo \\
\hline \(3>1\) & nganbeerri & nganbuna & nganyo \\
\hline \(3>2\) & ngunbeerri & ngunbuna & ngunyo \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & koyeerri & kona & ewu \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyimbeerri & nyimbuna & nyewu \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kumbeerri & kumbuna & nyo \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & moyeerri & mona & mewu \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & ngarrweerri & ngarrwuna & ngajo \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anbeerri & anbuna & anyo \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbeerri & nyinbuna & nyinyo \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanbeerri & kaanbuna & anyo \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{a}\) & karrweerri & karrwuna & arro \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarrweerri & nyarrwuna & nyarro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & warrweerri & warrwuna & warro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marrweerri & marrwuna & marro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaangarrweerri & kaangarrwuna & angarro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunbarrweerri & ngunbarrwuna & ngunbarro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kerrweerri & kerrwuna & erro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerrweerri & nyerrwuna & nyerro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kunyarrweerri & kunyarrwuna & nyarro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merrweerri & merrwuna & merro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarrweerri & nyinbarrwuna & nyinbarro \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanyarrweerri & kaanyarrwuna & anyarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & jarroyeerri & jarrona & jarrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & karroyeerri & karrona & irrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyirroyeerri & nyirrona & nyirrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kurroyeerri & kurrona & wurrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marroyeerri & marrona & marrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & kaarroyeerri & kaarrona & arrewu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaarroyeerri & kaarrona & arrewu \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & nganbarrweerri & nganbarrwuna & nganbarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & ngunbarrweerri & ngunbarrwuna & ngunbarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & kawarroyeerri & kawarrona & ewarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyimbarrweerri & nyimbarrwuna & nyewarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kubarrweerri & kubarrwuna & barro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & maarrweerri & maarrwuna & mewarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & ngarrbarrweerri & ngarrbarrwuna & ngarrbarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anbarrweerri & anbarrwuna & anbarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinbarrweerri & nyinbarrwuna & nyinbarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & kaanbarrweerri & kaanbarrwuna & anbarro \\
\hline & SUBJUNCTIVE PRES & hortative & \\
\hline & when I bit you & let me hit you & \\
\hline \(1>2\) & ngunngumbu & ngunbeenya & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & inganngumbu & kangonya & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyanganngumbu & nyangonya & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nganngumbu & kungonya & \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manganngumbu & mangonya & \\
\hline \(1>2\) p & nyinngumbu & nyinbeenya & \\
\hline \(1>3\) p & anganngumbu & kaangonya & \\
\hline \(2>1\) & janngumbu & janbeenya & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & injangunbu & kanjonya & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyinjangunbu & nyinjonya & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & jungunbu & kunjonya & \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & manjangunbu & manjonya & \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anjangunbu & kaanjonya & \\
\hline \(2>3\) p & anjangunbu & kaanjonya & \\
\hline \(3>1\) & nganngumbu & nganbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>2\) & ngunngumbu & ngunbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ingko & konya & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyangko & nyimbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & ko & kumbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mangko & monya & \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & ngarrkumbu & ngarrweenya & \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anngumbu & anbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>2\) p & nyinngumbu & nyinbeenya & \\
\hline \(3>3\) p & angko & kaanbeenya & \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{a}\) & arrkumbu & arrwu & \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyarrkumbu & nyarrwu & \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{w}\) & warrkumbu & warrwu & \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marrkumbu & marrwu & \\
\hline 1 pin \(>3\) p & angarrkumbu & angarrwu & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & ngunngumbarrwu & ngunbarrweenya & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & errkumbu & erro & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyerrkumbu & nyerrwu & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & nyarrkumbu & nyarro & \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & merrkumbu & merrwu & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngumbarrwu & nyinbarrweenya \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & anyarrkumbu & anyarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & jarrangunbu & jarronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & irrangunbu & karronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyirrangunbu & nyirronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & wurrangunbu & kurronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & marrangunbu & marronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & arrangunbu & kaarronya \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & arrangunbu & kaarronya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & nganngumbarrwu & nganbarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & ngunngumbarrwu & ngunbarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & ingkaarrwu & kawarronya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & nyangkaarrwu & nyimbarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & kaarrwu & kubarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & mangkaarrwu & maarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & ngarrkubarrwu & ngarrbarrweenya \(\sim\) ngarrbarronya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & anngumbarrwu & anbarrweenya \(\sim\) anbarronya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & nyinngumbarrwu & nyinbarrweenya \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & angkaanbarrwu & kaanbarrweenya \\
\hline & CNTRFCT PRES & CNTRFCT PAST \\
\hline & I should bit you & I should have bit you \\
\hline \(1>2\) & bungunbun & bungunbeenya \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bangon & bangonya \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyingon & binyangonya \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungon & bungonya \\
\hline \(1>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamangon & bamangonya \\
\hline \(1>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbun & binyinbeenya \\
\hline \(1>3 p\) & baangon & baangonya \\
\hline \(2>1\) & banyanbun & banyanbeenya \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{a}\) & banjon & banjonya \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binjon & binjonya \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{w}\) & binjon & binjonya \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamanjon & bamanjonya \\
\hline \(2>1 \mathrm{px}\) & baanjon & baanjonya \\
\hline \(2>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanjon & baanjonya \\
\hline \(3>1\) & banganbun & banganbeenya \\
\hline \(3>2\) & bungunbun & bungunbeenya \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bangkon & bangkonya \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyimbeen & binyimbeenya \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungkon & bungkonya \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamon & bamonya \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & bangarrwun & bangarrweenya \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & benbun & benbeenya \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbun & binyinbeenya \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanbun & baanbeenya \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & barrwun & barrweenya \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bangko \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyimbu \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungko \\
\hline \(3>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamo \\
\hline \(3>1\) pin & barrwu \\
\hline \(3>1 \mathrm{px}\) & benbu \\
\hline \(3>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbu \\
\hline \(3>3 p\) & baanbu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & barrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyangarrwu ~ binyarrwu \\
\hline 1 pin>3w & bungarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamangarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{pin}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baangarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2\) & bungunbarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & berrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyerrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & binyarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamerrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbarrwu \\
\hline \(1 \mathrm{px}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanyarrwu \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1\) & banyarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & barro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & burro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & baarro \\
\hline \(2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) & banganbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2\) & bungunbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{a}\) & bawarro \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{f}\) & binyimbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{w}\) & bungkaarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{~m}\) & bamaarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1\) pin & bangarrbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>1 \mathrm{px}\) & benbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}\) & binyinbarrwu \\
\hline \(3 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}\) & baanbarrwu \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
bangkoyeerri ingkonya
binyimbeerri nyangkonya
bungkoyeerri konya
bamoyeerri
barrweerri
benbeerri
binyinbeerri
baanbeerri
barrweerri
binyangarrweerri ~ binyarrweerri
bungarrweerri
bamangarrweerri
baangarrweerri
bungunbarrweerri
berrweerri
binyerrweerri
binyarrweerri
bamerrweerri
binyinbarrweerri
baanyarrweerri
banyarroyeerri
barroyeerri
binyirroyeerri
burroyeerri
bamarroyeerri
baarroyeerri
baarroyeerri
banganbarrweerri
bungunbarrweerri
bawarroyeerri
binyimbarrweerri
bungkaarrweerri
bamaarrweerri
bangarrbarrweerri
benbarrweerri
binyinbarrweerri
baanbarrweerri

IMPERATIVE Hit me!
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& SG & PROG SG & PL & PROG PL \\
1 & janbu & janbeerri & jarro & jarroyeerri \\
3 a & iwu & iweerri & irro & irroyeerri \\
3 f & nyimbu & nyimbeerri & nyirro & nyirroyeerri \\
3 w & minyo & minyoyeerri & wurro & wurroyeerri \\
3 m & mo & moyeerri & marro & marroyeerri \\
1 px & anbu & anbeerri & arro & arroyeerri \\
3 p & anbu & anbeerri & arro & arroyeerri
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& \begin{tabular}{l} 
NEGATIVE IMPERATIVE
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Don't hit me! \\
SG
\end{tabular} \\
1 & banyanbeerri & banyarroyeerri \\
3 a & banjoyeerri & barroyeerri \\
3 f & binjoyeerri & binyirroyeerri \\
3 w & binjoyeerri & burroyeerri \\
3 m & bamanjoyeerri & bamarroyeerri \\
1 px & baanjoyeerri & baarroyeerri \\
3 p & baanjoyeerri & baarroyeerri
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix 4: the Role-and-Reference account of predicate linkage}

A predicate is the pragmatic goal of a speech act; that is to say, a predicate is the reason why someone embarks on an act of predicating-and-referring in the first place. For the purpose of investigating linkage phenomena, clauses may be considered as expanded predicates, and grammatically equivalent to them. In Role-and-Reference grammar the clause is understood to be a layered structure, with an inner level called a nucleus, an intermediate level called a core and an outer layer called a periphery. The nucleus contains the non-finite manifestation of a lexical predicate - a denotative type in Langacker's (1991:51) terms. The core contains the nucleus and its 'core arguments,' which are nowhere well defined, but which are considered generally to be the subject and in transitive predicates one or two of its objects, selected on morphosyntactic grounds by access to a privileged position within the clause, and on semantic grounds by reference to a hierarchy of semantic roles (Foley \& Van Valin 1984:59). The periphery contains everything outside the core. Also distinguished (ibid: 208) are two relevant categories in the clause, a substantive category called 'constituents' and a non-substantive one called 'operators.' The operators over a predicate are aspect, directionality, modality, reality status, tense, evidentiality and illocutionary force. These operators are said to have scope over (be an integral part of, make specific reference to) different layers of the clause. Aspect and directionality have scope over the nucleus, with aspect the innermost operator. Modality has scope over the core, and reality status, tense, evidentiality and illocutionary force have scope over the periphery, proceeding outwards from reality status as the innermost peripheral operator to illocutionary force as the outermost one (ibid: 224).

Turning to a consideration of linkage patterns between predicates, the authors propose a theory of juncture (ibid:188), in which it is claimed that when predicates are joined, the juncture occurs at one and (usually) only one particular structural level; either nuclear, core or peripheral. So that when predicates are joined at the nuclear level (nuclear juncture), the combined nuclei form a complex nucleus and share all core and peripheral arguments. When predicates are joined at the core level, two cores usually share at least one core argument and all peripheral arguments. Peripheral junctures are the loosest sort of union and allow for a variety of different syntactic arrangements. Predicates, then, may be joined at any one of the three structural levels, and the level of juncture will have grammatical consequences in each instance.

While the theory of juncture refers to the clausal level at which linkage occurs, a second parameter, called nexus, refers to the syntactic nature of that linkage (ibid: 238). The two diagnostics of nexus type are embeddedness and dependency. Embeddedness refers to a part-whole relationship between predicates, as when one predicate is a part of another. In this situation the embedded constituent is typically a core argument or an adverbial component of another predicate, which is in turn superordinate. Dependency refers to whether or not two linked, non-embedded predicates may be independently specified for the operators that have scope over the level at which the juncture occurs. If the predicates may be independently specified (for, say, modality at a core-level juncture), then the nexus is said to be independent. If independent specification is not possible, the nexus is said to be dependent. When a nexus is both non-embedded and independent, it is said to be an instance of coordinate nexus. When a nexus is non-embedded but dependent, it is said to be an instance of cosubordinate nexus. And when a nexus is embedded, it is also dependent, and is said to be an instance of subordinate nexus (ibid: 241). For descriptive purposes, this amounts to a diagnostic flow-chart: at the first decision-node, linkages are distinguished embedded from non-embedded, and embedded linkages are labelled subordinate. At the second decision-node, non-embedded linkages are distinguished dependent from independent: dependent, non-embedded linkages are labelled cosubordinate, while independent, non-embedded ones are labelled coordinate.

Each type of nexus may be represented at all three levels of juncture and vice-versa: each level of juncture may exhibit all three types of nexus, making a total of nine types of linkage, defined jointly by nexus type and juncture level. The typology created by this methodology is therefore discovered from the interaction of three independent diagnostic parameters: embeddedness, dependency and level of juncture. As mentioned, the theory of juncture claims that generally, juncture occurs between predicates (or 'juncts’ - member units of a juncture - ibid: 188) at the same structural level of each conjoined clause; that is to say, nuclear with nuclear, core with core and peripheral with peripheral
levels. However there is one nexus type in which we might expect this not to be necessarily the case. Subordinate nexus involves the possibility of not only a core-level predicate becoming embedded in the core of a superordinate junct, but also an entire periphery becoming embedded as a core argument of some other predicate. As Foley \& Van Valin (ibid: 256) point out, direct or indirect speech quotation is probably the most common occasion on which an entire periphery is embedded in the core layer of a verbum dicendi as a core argument (a sentential object). Bare nuclei may also be embedded as core arguments of superordinate predicates, as is seen in \(\$ 11.5 .2\). Peripheral- and nuclear-core subordinations are marked nexus types (ibid: 251), being exceptions to the general principle that predicate linkage occurs between juncts at the same structural level.

It is claimed that the type of predicate linkage available in any context has grammatical consequences that may be discerned both inside and outside the clause. Certainly, the methodology described here is able to make distinctions among complex predicates in Worrorra, and these distinctions have significance for our understanding of the grammar as a whole. In Chapter 11 various types of predicate linkage in Worrorra are described in terms of the theory of nexus and juncture outlined above, on the understanding that a systematic syntactic account of these phenomena will permit an appreciation of their semantics.

\section*{Appendix 5: bibliographical note}

This appendix briefly outlines just a few of the more interesting and accessible publications on Worrorra culture and society, and some on related and neighbouring languages.

Although by now a little out of date, the best bibliography of manuscripts and publications directly relating to Worrorra may be found in William McGregor (1988) Handbook of Kimberley Languages v 1, as well as references to materials on related and neighbouring languages. McGregor's Languages of the Kimberley, Western Australia (2004) likewise contains extensive information on Worrorra and its neighbouring languages. The Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies’ on-line Mura catalogue (<http://www.aiatsis.gov.au/collections/muraread.html>) has an even longer list of references (over 250), found by searching under both 'Worora' and 'Worrorra.'

The predecessors to this description of Worrorra grammar are A Grammar of Worrorra (Clendon 2000) and a Worrorra Dictionary, both available from the Kimberley Language Centre. The dictionary has been published by the language centre as A Provisional Worrorra Dictionary (Clendon et al 2000). Both these works are intended for use as resources in any future language revival programme that Worrorra people may decide to undertake.

Major accessible published accounts of Worrorra society and culture include pre-eminently J R B Love's Stone-Age Bushmen of To-day (1936, reissued 2009), and the film Lalai Dreamtime. The eminent scholar of Kimberley rock art, David Welch, has re-issued Love's book under the title Kimberley people: Stone-Age Bushmen of To-day. Welch has added a wealth of additional photographs taken from a variety of early twentieth-century sources, additional text, and a brief biography of J R B Love. This book is beautifully produced and is a valuable compilation of original sources and photographs of Worrorra society at and near the time of European contact, and well worth close attention.

An historical account dealing with missionaries and their activities in and around Worrorra country is provided by Maisie McKenzie in The Road to Mowanjum (1969). Of McKenzie's history and others like it Noel Pearson has written (1986:161): 'The works of the Church authors are a product of a mission tradition of paternalism and they are inadequate, misleading and offensive.'

Other important descriptions of Worrorra society published in journals are Love 1917 and 1935, and Blundell 1980 and Blundell \& Layton 1978. Love's master's dissertation, The Grammatical Structure of the W orora Language of north-western Australia (1934) is widely referred to in this book, and was published by Lincom Europa in 2000.

Also of considerable cultural interest is Andreas Lommel (1952) Die Unambal: Ein Stamm in Nordwest Australien, recently translated into English by Ian Campbell (1997) as The Unambal: a tribe in northwest Australia. This is an account of the Frobenius expedition to the north Kimberley in 1938, and while mainly concerned with Wunambal-speaking people to the north of Worrorra country, is yet a fascinating and very important early study of the north Kimberley culture complex. In similar vein, Valda Blundell and Donny Woolagoodja (2005) have produced a worthwhile emic account of religion and land in the north-west Kimberley.

Peter Lucich worked at Mowanjum in the 1960s, and produced a monograph on kinship (Lucich 1968), relating to Worrorra, Ngarinyin and Wunambal people there. However as the author's concern is to investigate a particular problem in typology this book is not intended to provide a comprehensive description of the kinship system. It contains some omissions, and is somewhat confusing in the way it is set out. Lucich 1969 is a set of transcriptions and translations of Worrorra stories told by Heather Umbagai's mother, the late Elkin Umbagai. The transcriptions are not phonemic and appear to omit some discourse-cohesive material; nonetheless they overlap with the stories recorded by Daisy Utemorrah and provide a very important perspective on those stories.

Capell and Coate's (1984) comparative-typological monograph Comparative Studies in Northern Kimberley Languages surveys aspects of the grammars of Wunambal, Worrorra, Ungarinyin and an unnamed speech variety which they refer to as the Forrest River Language. Their study makes reference to other north Kimberley speech varieties as well. Many of their Worrorra sentence examples are taken from Love's (1934) thesis, and many of those that are not, are wrong. The volume includes nonetheless some interesting observations.

Eric Vasse (Eric Vászolyi) worked extensively on Wunambal in the 1970s (see eg Vászolyi 1976, Vasse 1991), and more recently Thérèse Carr (2000) has described this language. An important unpublished resource on Wunambal is Vászolyi 1972.

Alan Rumsey's 1982 Intra-Sentence Grammar of Ungarinyin remains the definitive description of Ungarinyin, and Rumsey has more recently described the Kimberley language Bunuba (2000).

William McGregor has written extensively on Kimberley languages, not only on Gooniyandi (1990), Warrwa (1994) and Nyulnyulan (eg 1996b \& 1999), but also on the northern Wororan language called Gunin or Kwini (1993). William McGregor and Alan Rumsey (2009) is a detailed argument in support of an hypothesis that the north-west Kimberley (Wororan) languages are related phylogenetically; this monograph contains many insightful observations and comparisons among the languages of this region.

Three important recent publications are Utemorrah (2000), Lalbanda \& Utemorrah (2000) and Lalbanda, Peters \& Utemorrah (2000). These bilingual collections of traditional stories and oral histories provide a definitive perspective on Worrorra culture and folklore, and contain a good deal of the written material upon which this account is based.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Michael Silverstein, pers comm.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The 'Winawidjagu' referred to by Blundell \& Layton $(1978: 231,244)$ are likely to have been Yawijibaaya (cf McGregor 1988:96).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ An equals sign (=) before a morpheme indicates that it is a root morpheme, unable to be uttered without prefixation. While this sign conventionally signals clitic status, in this exposition it will be used to indicate root morphemes, with apologies to those who may find this confusing.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Kayu Jawa - 'Javan wood’ in modern Malay - just possibly a reference to sandalwood.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ I am grateful to Anne Scrimgeour for pointing out this distinction to me.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Quotation from a letter dated 5/10/1943 which Love sent to the Revd H C Mathew, General Secretary, Board of Missions, Presbyterian Church in Australia. The letter is held in the records of the Presbyterian Church of Australia, Mitchell Library, NSW, Mss 1893: Box 1 (2502). This reference was kindly provided to me by Jonathan Nicholls, to whom I am grateful.
    ${ }^{7}$ I am grateful to John Love of Adelaide for clarification on this issue, and generally for imparting to me his understanding of the mission's operation.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ I am grateful to John Love and Jonathan Nicholls for information about Professor FitzHerbert. Despite the proximity of a number of indigenous languages to its north with large numbers of native speakers, as well as many more languages in more parlous state, the University of Adelaide did not create a department of linguistics until 1992.
    ${ }^{9}$ Although sandhi processes were known from Sanskrit grammar: morphophonology as it operates in Worrorra is, by another name, a system of internal sandhi.
    ${ }^{10}$ Ian Campbell's English translation of this book, as The Unambal: a tribe in Northwest Australia, was published by Takarakka Nowan Kas in 1997.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ My typescript copy of Silverstein (nd 1) bears the note 'To appear in H. C. Conklin, ed., Proc. Amer. Ethnol. Soc. for 1980,' but to the best of my knowledge it has never been published.
    ${ }^{12}$ Clendon et al (2000).
    ${ }^{13}$ Published by the Kimberley Language Centre in 2000 as $A$ grammar of Worrorra.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ The website of the Himalaya Languages Project sums up this position as follows: 'Basic Linguistic Theory is the theoretical framework that is most widely employed in language description, particularly in grammatical descriptions of entire languages. Basic linguistic theory is grounded in traditional grammar, but in contrast to traditional grammar and recent theoretical frameworks, it emphasizes the need to describe each language in its own terms, rather than imposing on individual languages concepts whose primary motivation comes from other languages. Basic Linguistic Theory has taken analytic techniques from structuralist traditions, but it contrasts with pure structuralism in that semantic considerations are included.'
    [http://www.himalayanlanguages.org/?q=research_methodology](http://www.himalayanlanguages.org/?q=research_methodology) accessed 19/2/13.

[^9]:    ${ }^{15}$ Note that this sign does not signal a clitic boundary in this grammar.

[^10]:    ${ }^{16}$ Because the orthography used here is phonemic, the phonemic values of segments may be read from their orthographic representation. See Table 2 for the correlation.
    ${ }^{17}$ IPA: International Phonetic Association. Elsewhere forms are the most widely distributed allophones.
    ${ }^{18}$ The so-called 'palatalized velars.'

[^11]:    ${ }^{19}$ Although most underlying $|\mathrm{rr}+\mathrm{d}|$ sequences occur across morpheme boundaries, I have not encountered any unambiguous morpheme-internal phonetic $[\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{t}]$ sequences, which leads me to suspect that this is a phonological, rather than a morphophonological phenomenon. Nevertheless, it is possible that forms like ['tutpe] really do contain alveolar flaps: ['turpe].

